"I learned from Kellogg that the old problem of potential distribution was attracting renewed interest."

N. Wiener, "I am a Mathematician"

In recent years much attention has been given to a circle of questions grouped around the classical criterion of Wiener concerning the regularity of a boundary point relative to harmonic functions [1, 2]. According to Wiener's theorem, the continuity at the point 0,  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ , of the solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation in the n-dimensional domain  $\Omega$ , n > 2, under the condition that on  $\partial\Omega$  there is given the function, continuous at 0, is equivalent to the divergence of the series

$$\sum_{\kappa \geq 1} 2^{(n-2)\kappa} \operatorname{cap}(\mathcal{C}_{2^{-\kappa}} \cap \Omega).$$

Here  $C_{\rho} = \{x : x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \beta/2 \leq |x| \leq \rho\}$ , while cap C is the harmonic capacity of the compactum C.

This statement has been extended (sometimes only in the sufficiency part) to various classes of linear and quasilinear second-order equations (the description of these investigations and references can be found in [3]). As far as equations of higher order than two are concerned, for them, up to recently, there have been no results similar to Wiener's theorem. In a recent paper of the author [4], one investigates the behavior near a boundary point of the solutions of Dirichlet problems with zero boundary conditions for the equation  $\Delta^2 u = f$ , where  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . It is proved in [4] that for n = 5, 6, 7 the condition

$$\sum_{\kappa>4} \mathcal{Q}^{\kappa(n-4)} \operatorname{cap}_{2}(\mathcal{C}_{2^{-\kappa}} \cap \Omega) = \infty, \qquad (1)$$

where  $cap_2$  is the so-called biharmonic capacity, guarantees the continuity of the solution at the point 0. For n = 2, 3 the continuity of the solution follows from S. L. Sobolev's embedding theorem, while in the case  $n \approx 4$ , also examined in [4], the continuity condition has another form.

Conjecture 1. The condition n < 8 is not essential.

The author knows only one argument in favor of this conjecture: For all n, for any spherical sector, the solution of the problem under consideration is continuous at the vertex. The restriction n < 8 occurs only in one of the lemmas on which the proofs in [4] are based, but it is necessary for this lemma. The problem concerns the positivity property of the operator  $\Delta^2$  with the weight  $|x|^{4-n}$ . This property of weight positivity allows us to give for n = 5, 6, 7 the following estimate for the Green function of the biharmonic operator in an arbitrary domain:

$$|\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})| \leq c(n) |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{4-n}, \tag{2}$$

where  $x, y \in \Omega$ , while c(n) is a constant depending only on n.

Conjecture 2. The estimate (2) holds also for  $n \ge 8$ .

It is clear that similar problems can be posed also for more general equations but I wish to draw the attention of the reader to an unsolved problem and to the Laplace operator. According to [5, 6], a harmonic function, whose generalized boundary values satisfy a Hölder condition at the point 0, satisfies itself the same condition at this point if

<sup>\*</sup>V. G. MAZ'YA. Leningrad State University, Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Leningrad, 199178, USSR.

$$\underbrace{\lim_{N\to\infty}}_{N\to\infty} N^{-1} \sum_{N>k \ge 1} 2^{\kappa(n-2)} \exp\left(C_{2^{-\kappa}} \Omega\right) > 0.$$
(3)

It would be interesting to prove or disprove the following assumption.

Conjecture 3. Condition (3) is necessary.

Finally, we turn to a nonlinear elliptic equation of the second order. As proved in [7], the point 0 is regular for the equation  $d\omega(|\nabla u|^{p^{-2}}\nabla u)=u, 1 , if$ 

$$\sum_{\kappa \geq i} \left[ 2^{(\kappa - \rho)\kappa} \rho - \operatorname{cap} \left( C_{2^{-\kappa}} \cap \Omega \right) \right]^{p-1} = \infty , \qquad (4)$$

where

$$\rho - \operatorname{cap}(C) = \inf \{ \| \nabla u \|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p} : u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), u \geq 1 \text{ on } C \}$$

Recently, this result has been carried over in [8] to the very general class of equations  $\dim \vec{A}(x,u,\nabla u) = \mathcal{B}(x,u,\nabla u)$ . Since for p = 2 condition (4) coincides with Wiener's criterion, it is natural to formulate the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4. Condition (4) is necessary.

In [9] there are given examples which show that condition (4) is sharp in a certain sense. Recent results on the continuity of nonlinear potentials [10, 11] also speak in favor of Conjecture 4.

## LITERATURE CITED

- 1. N. Wiener, "The Dirichlet problem," J. Math. Phys., <u>3</u>, 127-146 (1924).
- 2. N. Wiener, "Certain notions in potential theory," J. Math. Phys., 3, 24-51 (1924).
- 3. E. M. Landis, Second-Order Equations of Elliptic and Parabolic Type [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1971).
- 4. V. G. Maz'ya, "On the behavior near the boundary of the solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic operator," Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 18, No. 4, 15-19 (1977).
- 5. V. G. Maz'ya, "Regularity at the boundary of solutions of elliptic equations and conformal mapping," Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 152, No. 6, 1297-1300 (1963).
- V. G. Maz'ya, "On the behavior near the boundary of the solution of the Dirichlet problem for a second-order elliptic equation in the divergence form," Mat. Zametki, 2, No. 2, 209-220 (1967).
- V. G. Maz'ya, "On the continuity at a boundary point of the solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations," Vestn. Leningr. Gos. Univ., <u>25</u>, 42-55 (1970); [correction: Vestn. Leningr. Gos. Univ., <u>1</u>, 158 (1972)].
- 8. R. Gariepy and W. P. Ziemer, "A regularity condition at the boundary for solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations," Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 67, No. 1, 25-39 (1977).
- 9. I. N. Krol' and V. G. Maz'ya, "The absence of continuity and Hölder continuity of the solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations near a nonregular point," Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch., 26, 73-93 (1972).
- 10. L. I. Hedberg, "Nonlinear potentials and approximation in the mean by analytic functions," Math. Z., 129, 299-319 (1972).
- 11. D. R. Adams and N. G. Meyers, "Thinness and Wiener criteria for non-linear potentials," Indiana Univ. Math. J., 22, No. 2, 169-197 (1972).