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## INTRODUCTION

The various genera and species of the Orthopteran sub-family Tettigidae may be recognized under several names in the reports of a number of entomologists. They range from the form Bulla of Linné (1767), whose figures are unmistakable, to the recent descriptions of Scudder (1900), Hancock (1902), and other orthoptologists. Such words as Tettix, Tettiginae and Tettigidae apparently were derived from "tettix" of Greek origin, meaning grasshopper. The common name, '"Grouse locust', has probably been applied because of a fanciful resemblance of some of these insects to the grouse (Tetraoninae).

## BIOLOGY OF THE GROUSE LOCUSTS

The Distinguishing Characteristics. The following discussion concerning the characteristics which distinguish the Grouse Locusts is largely from the detailed descriptions by Hancock (1902) and Robertson (1915). (See Fig. 1).


Fig. 1. Lateral, dorsal and frontal aspects of the body of a typical grouse locust, Acrydium (From Hancock)

They are among the smallest of the Orthoptera. The extremely developed apical process of the pronotum extends backward over the

## Explanation of Plate I

(All figures from Hancock, 1902)
Fig. 1. Choriphyllum toliatum Hancock. Female from Jamaica.
Fig. 2. Nymph of Acrydium obscurus Hancock.
Fig. 3. Tettigidea parvipennis preparing the burrow for the eggs.
Fig. 4. Acrydium granulatus Scudder. Female.
Fig. 5 and 6. Dorsal and profile views of posterior abdominal appendages of a male Acrydium hancocki Morse.
Fig. 7. Group of eggs of Tettigidea parvipennis as laid in the ground.
Fig. 8. An egg of Acrydium ornatus triangularis.

Plate I. - (From Hancock)

meso- and metanotum, and either back to the end of the abdomen, which coincides with the distal ends of the femora of the jumping legs, or considerably beyond this point. With respect to the length of the apical process of the pronotum, there is a tendency toward rather strict dimorphism, though intermediate lengths of pronota and wings are sometimes found. The wings correspond in length, generally, with that of the pronotum which covers them, and may be comparatively large and well-developed. Pulvilli are lacking and the tegmina are greatly reduced or absent. The individuals vary greatly in shape and size, especially of the pronota, even within the species, and enormously within the group. (See Plates I, III and IV and Fig. 1). The females are generally conspicuously larger than the males.

In the Tettigidae the caeca have only anterior prolongations, while in the Acrididae the caeca have each a smaller posterior extension as well. The crop is smaller and less rectangular anteriorly than in the Acrididae. Other features of internal structures are noted in the description of the reproductive systems, pp. 43-4ї.

There are extraordinary variations in the color patterns on the pronota, legs and other parts of the body even within a species. These include several widths and colors of stripes along the median pronotum, or on the femora of the jumping legs, six or eight kinds of conspicuous spots about the middle of the pronotum, varying through white, gray, yellow, mahogany, and black, and there are numerous other patterns. In Paratettix texanus Hancock, more than thirty such elementary patterns have been observed; about fifteen in Apotettix eurycephalus Hancock; twenty-five, or more in Acrydium arenosum, A. granulatus Kirby, and $A$. obscurus Hancock and several in Tettigidea parvipennis pennata Morse, Telmatettix aztecus Saussure, and others. These elementary patterns, amounting to nearly a hundred, so far observed in the various species, are, with two or three exceptions, all dominant in inheritance. No interspecies crossing has been observed, but there are great possibilities for combining in the breeding experiments, within each species, the dominant elementary patterns so that each may still be recognized, and yet the whole be greatly different from any one. (See Plates III and IV).

It should be noted that there is a tendency for several of the same general patterns to be repeated in different genera and species. For examples, the pattern designated as C , in $P$. texanus, has a close
counterpart in Telmatettix aztecus, and in Nomotettix (sp), and the K stripe of $A$. eurycephalus is almost exactly repeated in $P$. texanus and Acrydium arenosum. Nevertheless, these patterns are, with few exceptions, sufficiently different to render distinction fairly easy. There are also differences in the linkage relations of similar patterns in different species. For example, Y and K in $A$ potettix eurycephalus cross over to the extent of five or six per cent; while J and K, their close counterparts in Paratettix texanus, show no crossing over. Several other similar cases may be noted among the data.

Distribution. Hancock (1902) gives the distribution of the Tettigidae as cosmopolitan for the tropics and the temperate zones. The greater numbers and varieties of species appear to be in the tropics, and more actual species arc found in North America than in Europe. Hancock (1902) mentioned ninety-nine species, most of them having been described in detail, and he thought there were many more. The taxonomy of the group needs a thorough revision.

The Grouse Locusts are usually found in fairly moist places in the woods, or brush, or out in open places, but most commonly on the margins of fresh water ponds and streams. Generally, as dry weather comes on, they follow the contracting margins of the ponds. As the ponds fill during wet weather, they retreat to the higher ground. Some forms as Tettigidea parvipennis pennata, appear to thrive best in shaded, or wooded areas, while others, as Paratettix cuculatus and $P$. texanus are most abundant in the open spaces. Field observations indicate that the grouse locusts would make excellent materials for ecological studies.

Food. The Grouse Locusts feed in nature mainly upon the various algae growing on the moist soils, and Spirogyra, Hydrodictyon and other filamentous algae, alive or decaying, which are left by the retreating waters of the ponds or slowly running streams. They also eat some lichens and much humus. They practically never eat grasses or other higher plants.

## BREEDINGHABITS

Northern species. The northern grouse locusts (Roughly from the line of the Ohio, lower Missouri, and Kansas Rivers in the U. S. A.), probably produce one, or an average of about one and one-half gener-
ations a year. The cold weather coming on in October, or November, finds both adults and various stages of nymphs and they all go into hibernation for the winter.

They make their way into tufts of grass, under stones, pieces of wood, etc., but really receive little protection from the weather. They have been observed to endure and survive $-0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. However, there is usually a high mortality, due probably as much to desiccation as to cold. There is no regularity about their going into, or emerging from hibernation. They do not become inactive till the cold weather actually arrives, and they become active during any very warm periods. During aberrantly early warm weather, they emerge from hibernation, to be driven back later in the spring if there is more cold weather.

When the warm weather arrives in the spring the adults mate and soon lay their eggs during a period of several weeks beginning in March, April or May, depending on the latitude and season. The nymphs which have hibernated over the winter contemporaneously, become adults, mate and lay eggs some weeks later. It is the supposition that the adults, hibernating over a given winter, produce a generation which in turn give a second generation which pass the following winter as nymphs. The contemporaneously hibernating nymphs, maturing and laying eggs considerably later, produce offspring which become adults in time for the coming winter - a sort of alternation of hibernating generations, the one as adults, the other as nymphs, and a generation in the summer of every other year which does not go through the winter.

Scheme of Alternation of Hibernating Generations of Northern (U. S. A.) Grouse Locusts

| Winter | Spring | Summer | Autumn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\nearrow$ ADULTS produce NYMPHS become | ADULTS produce NYMPHS remain NYMPHS |  |  |
| $\searrow$ |  |  |  |

Southern Species. In southern Texas and Louisiana, it appears that Tettigidea parvipennis, Paratettix texanus, Apotettix eurycephalus, Telmatettix aztecus and, perhaps other species, are active the year round except on days when the temperature is below $50^{\circ}$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Thus, they are different from the northern species in that they do not spend so long a period of inactivity each year. They undoubtedly
have more generations in the south than in the north, depending on the latitude. At Baton Rouge, Louisiana, males and females of $P$. texanus were entirely inactive on a frosty February morning, but were feeding and copulating at $3: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. the same day, when the temperature had risen to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. There is the difference, however, between the southern Louisiana and Texas species and those of the regions of Manhattan, Kansas, and Chicago, Illinois, in that the southern individuals will breed during the winter in the greenhouse, though not so vigorously, while the northern ones will not at all till late in the winter, and then apparently better, after a period of hibernation in a cold environment.

Experimental Breeding in the Greenhouse. Some northern (region of Chicago) species of Tettigidea, Paratettix and Acrydium were first used in the experimental breeding, but as they gave only one, or at best, two generations a year, and appeared to require a critical period of hibernation, it was decided to secure some southern forms. The latter, it was thought, would find the environment of the greenhouse more like that to which they had been accustomed in nature.

Therefore, in September, 1908, some specimens of Paratettix texan$u s$ Hancock were collected near Houston, Texas, and taken to the greenhouse at the University of Chicago. They gave an average of four succeeding generations a year, and without a hibernating period. In 1910, the stocks were moved to the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station, Manhattan, Kansas, where they have since been bred continuously, with additions of fresh specimens almost yearly, from Houston, Sugarland, San Antonio, and Austin, Texas, and Many, Louisiana. (Nabours, 1914, 1917, 1923, Nabours and Foster, 1929).

Specimens of Apotettix eurycephalus Hancock were first collected near Tampico, Mexico, in August, 1911, and others have since been added, almost yearly, from the regions of Houston, Sugarland, and San Antonio, Texas. (Nabours, 1919, 1923, 1925).
$P$. texanus and $A$. eurycephalus have been bred most extensively, but Tettigidea parvipennis pennata and Telmatettix aztecus from southern Texas, and a few specimens of the latter from Pasadena, California, have been bred in considerable numbers. (Bellamy, 1917, Nabours and Snyder, 1928).

Compared with Drosophila, the breeding of the grouse locusts is a
most arduous undertaking. Two of the four succeeding generations a year are secured during the optimum season, approximately MarchJune, inclusive, while the other two generations are drawn out over the long period of about eight months, approximately July-February, inclusive. At times, in late summer and mid-winter, it is most difficult to keep the stocks going. The causes of the high mortality have not been ascertained, but their vitality appears to be greatly reduced during these periods.

Paratettix texanus appear to flourish for two or three generations in the greenhouse, and then, if new stocks from nature are not added, the numbers of offspring hatched decrease, sterility increases, and the mortality between the time of hatching and the time for making the first records, usually during the third instar, increases appreciably. The workers in the laboratory observe that the first and second generations of $P$. texanus from nature are much more lively and better breeders than generations more remote from nature. Some stocks have been carried continuously nineteen years, through more than sixty-five generations, but it is not thought this could have been done without the addition of individuals from nature almost yearly. It has been suggested that the decline in vigor may be due to the elimination of certain rays from the light that passes through, first, the glass roof and then during most of the day, the glass cylinders in which they are confined.

On the other hand, it is noted that the stocks of $A$. eurycephalus do not experience a similar decline in vigor and breeding activity during generations more remote from nature. In most respects, $A$. eurycephalus breed much better in the greenhouse than do $P$. texanus.

The best cages so far used consist of glass cylinders (battery jars with bottoms cut off) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ and $9^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime \prime}$, respectively, set in bulb pots filled with sand at the bottom and a loam, rich in humus, on top. A three or four inch pot, with the hole plugged, is placed upside down in the middle and over the hole in the bulb pot before the sand and loam are put in. This inside pot is supposed to provide for better aeration of the soil. The food, consisting mainly of the filamentous algae, is placed on the projecting bottom of the inverted pot and allowed to run down the sides and over part of the soil. The cylinders have covers made of 24 -mesh screen wire. (Nabours, 1914).

A single pair, usually, is placed in the smaller cage. The eggs are
laid in the ground. (Figs. 3 and 7, Plate I). Soon after hatching, the young are transferred with ordinary curved tweezers to the larger breeding cages where they remain till the records of color patterns
Table I. - Numbers transferred compared with numbers recorded in A potettix eurycephalus

|  |  | Jan | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | De | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Yearly } \\ \text { totals } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Percent Recorded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Trans. |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 39 | 20 | 39 |  |
|  | Trans. |  |  |  | 135 | 175 |  | 151 | 284 | 178 |  |  |  | 1004 |  |
| 1912 | Rec. | 6 | 5 |  | 40 | 118 | 69 | 151 | 72 | 45 |  |  |  | 506 |  |
| 1913 | Trans. |  |  |  | 173 | 201 | 55 | 43 | 200 | 21 |  | 39 |  | ${ }_{518} 83$ |  |
|  | Rec. | 52 | 210 | 617 | 353 | ${ }_{584}$ | 627 | 232 | 137 | 410 | 68 | 87 | 40 | 3517 |  |
| 1914 | Rec. | 31 | 191 | 429 | 350 | 288 | 491 | 201 | 104 | 238 | 43 | 72 | 34 | 2472 |  |
| 1915 | Trans. | 280 | 242 | 823 | 2252 | 1969 | 600 | 2124 | 1225 | 1859 | 3348 | 1008 | 61 | 15791 |  |
| 1915 | Rec. | 184 | 222 | 496 | 1527 | 1469 | 453 | 1460 | 722 | 1216 | 2438 | 422 | 13 | 10622 |  |
| 1916 | Trans. | 0 | 288 | 2261 | 650 | 322 | 193 | 1453 | 155 | 411 | 1155 | 1459 | 22 | 8369 |  |
|  | Rec. |  | 159 | ${ }_{2432}^{1742}$ | ${ }_{2282}^{357}$ | 1422 | 151 1936 | $\begin{array}{r}539 \\ 3276 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 102 1583 | 70 1367 | 318 <br> 841 |  |  | 4059 1838 |  |
| 17 | Trans. | 180 | 858 | 2432 1384 | 2282 | 1375 918 | 1936 139 | 2276 | 1583 | 1367 619 | 841 | 1451 636 | 1157 521 | 18738 11382 |  |
|  | Trans. | 315 | 487 | 2498 | . 3587 | 2254 | 3204 | 1528 | 795 | 99 | 303 | 3244 | 2078 | 20392 |  |
|  | Rec. | 204 | 306 | 2282 | - 2857 | 1630 | 1546 | 551 | 208 | 80 | 208 | 2084 | 1154 | 13110 |  |
|  | Trans | 1472 | 1044 | 3945 | 5618 | 3792 | 5635 | 5574 | 1142 | 369 | 556 | 579 | 420 | 30146 |  |
| 1919 | Rec. | 1038 | 743 | 3135 | 4662 | 2692 | 4513 | 3548 | 608 | 189 | 443 | 266 | 225 | 22062 |  |
|  | Trans. | 531 | 808 | 2107 | 2540 | 4434 | 3433 | 2477 | 953 | 447 | 1593 | 876 | 926 | 21125 |  |
| 1920 | Rec. | 330 | 533 | 1783 | 2073 | 3455 | 2459 | 1968 | 597 | 251 | 837 | 598 | 660 | 15544 |  |
| 1921 | Tran | 898 | 418 | 2752 | 5175 | 5686 |  | 3539 | 452 | 835 | 2549 | 1335 | 716 | ${ }^{33298}$ |  |
|  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Rec. }}$ Trans. | 134 | 78 | 205 | 4118 | 4055 | 5177 | 4645 | 7322 | 2114 | 2625 | 2066 | 3051 | 36925 |  |
| 1922 | Rec. | 65 | 62 | 457 | 3550 | 4349 | 4704 | 4124 | 6702 | 1829 | 1786 | 1224 | 1740 | 30592 |  |
|  | Tran | 3869 |  | 17970 | 27888 | 25847 | 6117 | 25042 | 14462 |  |  |  |  | 190481 |  |
|  | Percent | ${ }_{68} 264$ | 65.9 | 76.5 | 2322 | 77.6 | 74.5 |  | 73 | 5152 | 57.9 | 47.5 | ${ }_{56.8}$ | 134208 | Av. |

are made. The color patterns are distinct as early as the second instar, but records can be made better during the third instar. To wait later means the loss, in the aggregate, of a large percentage from the total of the records because the mortality is continuous and considerable.

In one experiment with A.eurycephalus, covering a period of twelve years, of 190,481 individuals hatched and transferred, 134,208 or 70.4 per cent survived to be recorded. Table I shows the distribution of this mortality thoughout the year and with figures 2 and 3 , also indicates the months which appear to be more favorable for the breeding operations (Nabours, 1925).

Kans. Agri. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bull. 17.


Fig. 2. Monthly totals of Apotettix eurycephalus offspring, 1911 to 1922 inclusive.

Kans. Agri. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bull. 17.


Fig. 3. Yearly totals of Apotettix eurycephalus offspring, 1911 to 1922 inclusive.
Table II. - Productive and non-productive matings in Apotettrx eurycephalus (Kans. Tech. Bul. 17, Nabours, 1925).

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Yearly totals | Combined totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1911 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - | 10- 0 | - | - | - | 10-0 | 10 | 100 |
| 1912 | - | 2-0 | 3-0. | 10-0 | 1-0 | - | 9-8 | 0- 5 | - | $0-1$ | -- | 2-0 | 27-14 | 41 | 65.8 |
| 1913 | 1-2 | 3-2 | 2-0 | - |  | 5- 1 | 1-0 | 0-3 | - |  | 3-1 | 2-1 | 17-10 | 27 | 62.9 |
| 1914 | 12-1 | 2-1 | 9-0 | 1-0 | 6-0, | 3-2 | 9- 2 | 6- 2 | 2- 5 | 3-2 | 6-0 | - | 59-15 | 74 | 79.7 |
| 1915 | 9-2 | 1-0 | 22-3 | 7-1 | 5-0 | 25-7 | $14-2$ | 16-2 | $17-3$ | 12-2 | 8-9 | - | 136-31 | 167 | 81.4 |
| 1916 | - | 15-3 | 14-4 | 8-2 | 2-2 | 17-19 | 15-16 | 4-3 | 20-8 | 3-0 | 15-8 | 3-2 | 116-67 | 183 | 63.3 |
| 1917 | 18-3 | 28-3 | 25-6 | 16-1 | 27-7 | 21- 4 | 27- 6 | $7-1$ | 6- 4 | 26-5 | 5-0 | 17-3 | 223-43 | 266 | 83.8 |
| 1918 | 8-0 | 15-6 | 24-1 | 31-3 | 24-6 | 22-6 | 8-10 | 7-25 | 36-12 | 37-4 | 28-5 | 9-8 | 249-86 | 335 | 74.3 |
| 1919 | 38-19 | 73-13 | 35-8 | 33-1 | 56-6 | 55-14 | 18-34 | 18-35 | 12-39 | 16-15 | 4-15 | 14-21 | 372-220 | 592 | 62.8 |
| 1920 | 47-27 | 35-12 | 52-5 | 30-2 | 61-15 | 17- 9 | 23-18 | 40-24 | 18-9 | 8-2 | 22-15 | 18-10 | 371-148 | 519 | 71.4 |
| 1921 | 23-9 | 74-16 | 65-9 | 49-16 | 50-7 | $62-65$ | 6-13 | 37-19 | $85-49$ | 5-16 | 2-4 | - | 458-223 | 681 | 67.2 |
| 1922 | $2-1$ | 37-2 | 54-8 | 22-2 | 23-3 | 48-81 | 52-13 | 17- 2 | 24-7 | 54-14 | 18-2 | 2-1\| | 353-63 | 416 | 84.8 |
| Totals | $\|158-64\|$ | \|285-58| | \|305-44| | 207-28\| | 255-46 | \|275-135| | 182-122 | 152-121 | 230-136 | 164-61\| | 111-59 | 67-46 | 2391-920 | - | - |
| Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals. . | 222 | 343 | 349 | 235 | 301 | 410 | 304 | 273 | 366 | 225 | 170 | 113 | - | 3311 | - |
| Percent of matings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| productive. | 71.1 | 83 | 87.3 | 88 | 84.7 | 67 | 59.8 | 55.6 | 62.8 | 72.8 | 65.2 | 59.2 | - | - | Av. 72.2 |

Over the same period of twelve years, and the same experiment, among the 3,311 matings, exclusive of parthenogenesis, in A.eurycephalus, 920 produced no offspring while 2,391 matings gave from 1 to 288 individuals each. Table II, and Figs. 4 and 5 indicate the distribution of the productive and non-productive matings throughout the months of the years.

Kans. Agri. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bull. 17.


Fig. 4. Number of Apottetix eurycephalus matings by months, 1911 to 1922 inclusive.

Kans. Agri. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bull. 17.


Fig. 5. Number of Apotettix eurycephaius matings by years, 1911 to 1922, inclusive.

Individuals often live in the laboratory as long as four to six months. The oldest recorded life-span is that of a female which lived a few days more than nine months. The hibernating individuals of the north probably frequently live eight to ten months, and longer, in nature.

After the records are made, all, except those reserved for further mating, are preserved in 70-80 per cent alcohol. After changing once into fresh 90-95 per cent alcohol, the vials are hermetically sealed with a torch. The mated individuals, after the eggs are deposited, are similarly preserved when they do not die prematurely and become stained. In this way a large number of parents and offspring have been preserved over a period of eighteen years. The patterns of those which have been in alcohol, even as long as seventeen years, except in cases where some were preserved too soon after moulting, are practically as distinct as on the day the individuals were killed.

THE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEMS OF APOTETTIX E URYCEPHALUS

The following abbreviated account of the reproductive systems of Apotettix eurycephalus is almost entirely from the detailed description by Harman (1925).

The Male Reproductive System. Nine conical follicles, any one of which is actually independent, compose each of the pair of testes which lie close together in the mid-dorsal part of the abdomen (Fig. 3 Plate II). A follicle is composed of cysts which give it a segmented appearance. All the cells in any cyst are in approximately the same stage of development. Harman recognized four zones in a follicle: (1) the germarium, or zone of multiplication, (2) the zone of growth, (3) the zone of the maturation divisions, and (4) the zone of transformation. (Fig. 5, Plate II). The germarium, at the tip of the follicle, includes the primordial germ cells and spermatogonia. The successive stages of growth, with minor exceptions, are the same as those of Paratettix texanus described by Harman (1920). The zone of maturation includes the primary and secondary spermatocytes. The zone of transformation contains the successive stages in the development of the spermatids and their transformation into spermatozoa which ap-
pear to be the same as in P.texanus (Harman 1915). The functions of a seminal vesicle are apparently performed by the basal cyst of the follicle.

The paired vasa deferentia lie very close together, and each upon the ventral surface of one-half of the testicular mass. They extend posteriorly, one on either side of the alimentary canal, to enter the anterior end of the ejaculatory duct. The vasa efferentia from the follicles enter the anterior part of the respective vas deferens. (Fig. 3, Plate II).

The two masses of accessory glands are composed of about nine convoluted tubes each, and they lie ventral and lateral to the testes. (Fig. 1, Plate II). One tube in each mass is shortened and enlarged at the distal end. However, it shows no histological peculiarity. All the tubes open into a common duct which joins the ejaculatory duct ventral to the vasa deferentia. No spermatozoa were found in any of the tubes of the accessory glands.

The ejaculatory duct consists of an irregular bag ventral to the posterior part of the intestine and anus. The conical penis, a continuation of the ejaculatory duct, is situated at the base of the ninth sternum, or subgenital plate, and posterior to the suranal and podical plates. It is everted through a thin chitinous collar, the edges of which are thickened to form a rim on either margin. The distal rim has a deep V -shaped groove on the middorsal line. The opening in the penis for the passage of the semen is at the tip which is slightly bent (Fig. 7, Plate II). The subgenital plate (ninth sternum) has a groove extending from the apical end two-thirds back toward the base. (Fig. 5 , Plate I). The spines of the cerci are coarse and scattered all over, while those of the podical and suranal plates are fine, and located only at the tip.

The Female Reproductive System. The ovaries, oviducts, vagina, spermatheca, spermathecal glands and ovipositor are considered. There are no collaterial glands. This account is also from the detailed description by Harman (1925).

The paired, triangular-shaped ovaries occupy the middorsal part of the abdomen, extend farther anteriorly than do the testes of the male and do not lie so close together. An ovary is composed of about eighteen separate tubes, each terminating in the egg calyx. Harman
recognizes three regions, or zones, in each ovarian tube ; (1) the terminal filament, (2) the germarium and (3) the vitellarium (Fig. 9, Plate II).

The terminal filaments are continuations of the sheaths anteriorly and, together, they form the ovarian ligament. The germarium is the zone of multiplication and corresponds to the germarium of the testes. It includes the primordial germ cells, the oögonia and the early stages of the growth period. The vitellarium, consisting of about ninety-five percent of the length of the ovarian tube, greatly increases in size at the beginning, and the cells are in a single row, except rarely, when two may lie side by side at the anterior end.

Anteriorly, in the vitellarium, the relative diameter of the cells is greater than the length, but with the enormous increase in size due to the accumulation of yolk, proceeding posteriorly, they become cuboid, then elongate, and finally very elongate ovoid. (Fig. 9, Plate II). The egg in formation in the anterior part of the vitellarium has the nucleus in the center. As the amount of yolk increases and it becomes larger and more elongate, in the posterior vitellarium, the nucleus comes to lie near the distal end of the egg which is then about 0.9 mm . long.

The fat-body invariably surrounds the ovaries and connects with all the tubes at various places. There is a correlation between the size of the eggs and the amount of fat; while the eggs are small the fat body is large; at the time of laying, the fat body is very small, becoming little more than strands of connective tissue a short time after the eggs are laid.

The eggs pass from the ovarian tubes through the egg calyces to the paired oviducts which unite to form the short vagina which, in turn, opens ventral to the anus (Fig. 2, Plate II).

The spermatheca, with its gland, lies dorsal to the oviducts and opens into the vagina middorsally. Spermatozoa are usually found in the lumen of the spermatheca, but no where else in the body of the female.

The ovipositor is composed of two pairs of processes, the dorsal and ventral gonapophyses, respectively. The opening of the vagina is formed at the base of the dorsal gonapophyses. Just below the vaginal orifice, between the gonapophyses, projects the pair of slender chitinous rods which Harman (1925) observed functioning as the eggguide. (Fig. 6, Plate II).

## Explanation of Plate II.

(Figures. 1-7, 9-1 1 from Harman ; Figs. 12-15 from Robertson ${ }^{1}$ ); Figures 1-7, 9—12, 14, 15 refer to Apotettix eurycephalus; Figure 13 refers to Paratettix texanus).

Fig. 1. Accessory glands of male. C. convoluted tubules; CD, common duct; E, enlarged end of short tubule.

Fig. 2. Reproductive organs of female. LO, left ovary; OT, ovarian tube; EC, egg calyx; OD, oviduct; V, vagina; S, spermatheca; SD, spermathecal duct; $G$, spermathecal gland.

Fig. 3. Testes and vasa deferentia. F, follicle; VE, vas efferens; VD, vas deferens.

Fig. 4. Longitudinal section through vas efferens.
Fig. 5. Median section through a testicular follicle. G, germarium; GR, zone of growth; DI, zone of maturation divisions; TR, zone of transformation; 1SP, primary spermatocyte divisions; 2S, secondary spermatocyte divisions; SP, spermatids; MSP, spermatozoa.

Fig. 6. Posterior end of abdomen of female with chitin removed. OP, ovipositor; EG, egg guide; M, muscle; A, apodeme; V, vagina.

Fig. 7. Everted penis. CC, chitinous collar; AR, distal rim; PR, proximal rim; DG, dorsal groove; TP, tip of penis.

Figs. 9, 10, 11. Sections through distal part of an ovarian tube, an egg of the middle part of vitellarium, and almost fully developed egg. TF, terminal filament; G, germarium; V, anterior part of vitellarium; N, nucleus of egg.

Fig. 12. Chromosomes of a spermatogonium of a bisexually produced individual. (See pp. 52,53).

Fig. 13. Chromosomes of an oogonium of a bisexually produced individual. (See pp. 52,53).

Fig. 14. Chromosomes of a brain cell of a parthenogenetically produced female. (See p. 53).

Fig. 15. Chromosomes of an ovarian follicle wall cell of a parthenogenetically produced female. (See p. 53).

[^1]Plate II. - (From Harman and Robertson)


Mating, Ovipositing and Hatching. The males and females of Tettigidea parvipennis spend hours, even days, at a time in copulation before and during the egg-laying period which may last several weeks. The other extreme appears to be Apotettix eurycephalus which are together rarely more than five or ten minutes at a time copulating. Acrydium granulatus also employ very short periods at a time mating. Paratettix texanus often mate for ten minutes to more than an hour at a time. The following concerning courtship and mating is summarized from the observations of Tettigidea parvipennis, Acrydium granulatus and A. obscurus by Hancock (1902).

The male approaches the female with a hurried tremulous or sometimes jerky gait, and climbs upon her from the side, sometimes facing temporarily the reverse direction. The males climb up on each other, but appear soon to realize their mistakes. At the sight of an individual of another species, or what appears to be an uncongenial male of her own species, the female usually shakes her body in a way that apparently indicates aversion. In the genus Acrydium there is no anatomical provision for clasping the female, so that the mating individuals cannot go about together for long periods, as can the individuals of the genus Tettigidea. After establishing himself on the female, when a pair of T.parvipennis mate, the male extends his abdomen down below the female's and to one side, and turns up the end so that the subgenital plate is affixed by its anterior border to the process of the last sternum below her ovipositor. In the meantime, the female usually offers some opposition and the male goes through a kind of convulsive orgasm. After copulation the ovipositor is made to open and close repeatedly as if to facilitate the entrance of the semen.

When the female is ready to lay, a suitable spot on the muddy ground or vegetable mould (Paratettix) or among moss and lichens (Acrydium and Tettigidea) is selected. The abdomen is curved under and the four gonapophyses are forced into the ground. They spread and close, and the abdomen turns back and forth on the long axis, and thus makes its way into the ground. During oviposition the front and middle pairs of legs are raised while the hind legs are drawn up to the sides, so that the abdomen extends into the ground to the maximum depth (Fig, 3, Plate I). However, there is considerable diversity in the positions assumed during egg laying; in some species,
as $A$. eurycephalus, the female rests in normal position, the abdomen extending very little into the ground.

When first laid the mass of $15-40$ eggs is white with a tinge of pink; they later turn to a more opaque greenish yellow-white. Hancock found the diameter of the eggs of Acrydium ornatus triangularis one-third as great as the length, which is 1.75 mm ., not counting the pointed micropylar extension. The eggs of $A$. eurycephalus are about 2.1 mm . in length; those of $P$. texanus are slightly larger, while $T$. parvipennis have eggs still larger, the total length of the latter being five times the diameter (Figs. 7 and 8, Plate I). The length of the egg stage varies from 15 to 30 , or more days.

The freshly hatched young are white except for the red eyes. Hancock describes the emergence of the young "from a little hole which the first hatched makes by worming its way to the surface." - "As if exhausted by the struggle, the young larva (nymph) on reaching the outside lies motionless for a moment; then vigorously spreading the legs and expanding the body, the veil-like amnion is torn open" "folded backward and at last kicked off by the hind tibia". The color pattern develops rapidly and is complete within 30-40 minutes, as a rule, and is approximately the same during the rest of the life of the individual.

## POLYANDRY

Hancock (1902) states that polyandry was frequently observed among the Tettigidae, mostly in the genus Acrydium (The Tettix of Hancock), and other grouse locusts that spent short and frequent intervals in copulation. Those that required longer periods at a time for the act of mating, such as the genus Tettigidea, were more likely to be restricted to the one, or fewer males for each female. Polyandry has been noted among Apotettix eurycephalus and Paratettix texanus during the fifteen and eighteen years, respectively, of their use in experimental breeding.

Polyandry in Paratettix texanus. In 1923-24, Miss Caroline M. Perkins, Mr. E. H. Ingersoll and the author carried on a series of special experiments with $P$. texanus which would indicate the possibilities of this species in polyandrous matings (Nabours, 1927). The
mere curiosity to determine how many males might take part in the parentage of the progeny of given females was the main motive. Anyway the regular program of study of gametogenesis in both the males and females would not be interrupted. If the sexual products of any male or males were to affect the color patterns or other observable features of the progeny of another male in a polyandrous mating the fact would be noted. However, the negative results of several experimenters using other materials (Rabaud 1914), and the consequences of extensive previous breeding of the grouse locusts did not render such an outcome probable.

Eight females of $P$. texanus were placed separately in mating jars, and each given opportunity to mate with several males of different dominant contrasting color patterns, and the normal recessive, of such kinds and in such ways that there would be no doubt as to the identity of the paternity of the offspring. They were exposed to males as follows: one to five different males; one to four; four, each to seven, one to nine, and one to eight males.

To five of these females the males were mated successively; that is, only one male was placed with a female at a time and, as soon as copulation occurred, he was removed and another introduced. No male was allowed to mate with a given female more than once. These five females with which four (exposed to five), three (exposed to four), seven, eight (exposed to nine), and seven males copulated successively, and only once each, respectively, gave offspring as follows: Female number $1,(+/ J), 44$ showing parentage of three males; female number 2, ( $+/ \mathrm{Sm}$ ), 70 indicating parentage of three; female number $3,(+/ C), 260$ demonstrating participation by five males; female number $4,(+/+), 139$ revealing five males as parents, and female $5,(+/+), 75$ offspring with four males taking part (Table I, Females number 1 to number 5, Nabours, 1927).

Three groups of seven, seven and eight males, respectively, were placed all at the same time with the other three of the separated females. They were kept under observation and notes made of the time each male spent in copulation. When the observer had to be absent, the females were segregated from the males. All seven males with female number 6 mated with her during a 14-day period; two of them once on one day each; one once each, on two days; one once each, on four days, and two on seven days each, respectively. The seventh is
recorded as only trying (five times) to effect copulation and apparently not succeeding; but the observer's attention must have been diverted, at least once, for the records of the 102 offspring show that he, as well as the other six males, actually took part in the parentage. The next female, number 7, mated to six males, which were all with her over most of the period of 22 days, gave 186 offspring having the characters of four of the males. The last female, number 8, exposed to eight males, seven of them copulating with her, gave 169 offspring from four of the males. (Table I, Females number 6 to number 8, loc. cit.).

Discussion. Females numbers $3,(+/ C), 4,(+/+)$, and $5,(+/+)$, gave overwhelming majorities of offspring from the males that mated with them last, $+/+$, on the ninth day, $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{P}$, on the thirteenth day, and Cof/S, on the sixteenth day, respectively. Female number $7,(+1+)$, also gave majorities from those males, $\mathrm{Hm} / \mathrm{P}$ and $+/+$, that mated with her on the twentieth and twenty-first days. On the other hand, female number $8,(+/+)$, gave more offspring from each of males $+/+$ and $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{J}$, that mated with her on the twentieth and twentyfirst days, respectively, than from male Cof/Sm that mated twice on the twenty-second day. The male $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$, that mated nine times on five days, and male $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{P}$, that copulated five times on four days, did not become parents at all.

Conclusions Concerning Polyandry. 1) The last male or males copulating with a female tended to become the parents of the predominant proportion of her offspring.
2) All seven males which were placed with one female, concurrently, during a fourteen-day period, shared, respectively, in the parentage of her 102 offspring.
3) The sexual products of none of the males appeared to have any effect upon the observable characteristics of the progeny of any other males of polyandrous matings.

THE CHROMOSOMES OF THE TETTIGIDAE

The chromosome number of the Tettigidae was first determined in Choriphyllum (one species), Nomotettix (one species), Acrydium (four
species), Paratettix (two species), and Tettigidea (two species). All ten species of the five genera exhibited in all cells, both somatic and germinal, from several regions of the body and gonads, the uniform number thirteen for the $2 \mathrm{~N}-1$ of the males and fourteen for the 2 N of the females. One fundamental plan of structure of chromosomes appears to run through the entire subfamily. So regular and dependable are the size gradations in all these genera and species of the Tettigidae that it is possible to recognize every one of the series of six autosome pairs as well as the sex chromosomes. For instance, pairs numbers 2 , 3 , or 4 each would have about the same size, shape and relations in any species of any genus. (Robertson 1915).

Harman $(1915,1920)$ has worked out the spermatogenesis of Paratettix texanus in detail, and finds 13 rod-shaped chromosomes, four larger and nine smaller ones, as the $2 \mathrm{~N}-1$ number. Harman (1925) has found in the primary spermatocytes of Apotettix eurycephalus six dumb-bell-shaped chromosomes and one that is ovoid. For the secondary spermatocytes, the ovoid chromosome fails to divide and there are then six and seven, respectively.
W. R. B. Robertson, working in our laboratory, kindly allows the inclusion of the following observations he has lately made on Paratettix texanus and Apotettix eurycephalus. In both species there are thirteen chromosomes in the spermatogonia and various soma cells, six bivalents and the X -chromosome in the primary spermatocytes, and seven and six univalents in the secondary spermatocytes and spermatids. The size gradations and homologies of the chromosomes of these species are as regular and dependable as those of the ten species of the Tettigidae previously reported by him (1915) (Figs. 12 and 13 , Plate II).

So far as can be ascertained the first observation of the chromosomes of a female of $A$. eurycephalus was made in our laboratory in 1917, by A. H. Hersh who was working with Dr. Mary T. Harman. He noted that the diploid number in at least one cell was fourteen (Nabours, 1919, 1925). Robertson (1925) confirms the observation of Hersh, and states that the regular 2N number of chromosomes in the oögonial and somatic cells of the bisexually produced females of this species is fourteen. Robertson (1929MSS.) has also discovered that the numbers of chromosomes in some of the soma cells, likewise the oögonial cells of the parthenogenetically produced females of $A$.
eurycephalus and $P$. texanus appear to range from seven to fourteen, though there are actually fourteen in all of them. When the full fourteen are manifest, the members of the homologous pairs, respectively, lie together in early cell divisions, and not far apart, each from the other, in later cell generations, in such positions as to suggest the second polar body division had been inhibited.

When only seven chromosomes appear to be present in these parthenogenetically produced individuals, each has twice the bulk in transverse thickness (broadness of the equatorial plane) of either member of the similarly numbered pair in the cells containing seven discrete pairs. Furthermore, in these parthenogenetically produced individuals, Robertson notes that in those soma and oögonial cells containing above seven chromosomes, there is one less of the broad chromosomes for every'additional one above seven. For example, if the apparent number is nine, there are five of double equatorial broadness, or bulky ones, and four smaller ones in two pairs, the homologues of which always lie together, or not far from each other, in the same manner as the similar pairs do in those cells of the parthenogenetically derived females containing the fourteen discretely paired chromosomes (Figs. 14 and 15, Plate II). Robertson (1929MSS.) finds the same situation in the cells of the parthenogenetically produced males which he has examined.

Robertson (1915) is convinced by the results of his work with the Tettigidae that parasynapsis is a fact in grasshoppers, and that there are interlockings between the pairs, but there is no such intir ate fusion as results in the loss of individuality or continuity as a thread. The same author finds among the chromosomes of Syrbula and Chorthippus (Acrididae) bodies similar to those observed by Janssens (1909) in Triton and Batrachoseps and upon which the chiasmatype theory was based, but there is no agreement with Janssens' interpretations. It is concluded that the Tettigidae show that the spermatogonial chromosomes on entering synapsis are already split. Robertson finds in the maneuvers of the chromosomes of the Tettigidae and Acrididae (Chorthippus and Jamaicana) bases, other than the chiasmatype theory, for coupling and repulsion, the crossing over of factors observed in the breeding of animals and plants.

THE CHROMOSOMESOFOTHERORTHOPTERA
McClung (1914) had examined over forty genera of the Acrididae, and with rare exceptions, the males possessed twenty-three chromosomes. It was evident that the organization of the chromosome complex was very definite and precise "'in number, size and form, fiber attachment, arrangement in the metaphase and behavior during division of its elements".

In the Locustidae, McClung (1914) had observed in five genera, and others not identified, 33 chromosomes as the diploid number of the males, with an unusually large accessory chromosome. When the work of all the investigators of the family is considered, one is uncertain as to the uniformity of numbers of chromosomes, but in other respects, there appear to be no essential differences between the Locustidae and the Acrididae.

The Gryllidae, Phasmidae, Blattidae and Forficulidae, the latter no longer considered as belonging to the Orthoptera, have not had their chromosomes studied with so much care and detail, and there is no such unanimity of agreement on numbers, size and relations as in respect to the other families and sub-families. See summaries by McClung (1914).

General Consideration of the Chromosomes of Orthoptera. The following is evidence indicating the persistence of the chromosomes as morphological entities (McClung, 1914): (1) In each cell division the chromosomes perpetuate themselves with accuracy; (2) "not only does the individual animal show in each of its cells the same series of elements, but all the members of the species, genus and even family, with few exceptions, have it"; (3) the attachment and other relations of the spindle fibers are constant ; (4) even the occasional peculiarities are invariable and uniform, as in Hesperotettix where there is the ever recurring union between the accessory chromosome and one of the tetrads.

McClung (1902), on discovering the accessory chromosome, suggested it might be a sex determinant.

Sutton (1902) first indicated that pairs of chromosomes may be heteromorphic with respect to other pairs and that during the maturation processes they segregate in such manner as would be required
in following the Mendelian Laws of Heredity, provided the chromosomes carry the factors for the somatic characteristics.

Carothers (1913, 1917, 1921), Voinov (1914), Wenrich (1914, 1916) and Robertson $(1915,1916)$ have noted the heteromorphic pairs of chromosomes in Acrididae, Gryllidae and Tettigidae.

Carothers $(1917,1921)$ had determined, through the examination of a large number of wild individuals of Hesperotettix, and by father and sons in breeding experiments with Circotettix, the remarkable fact that the members of the heteromorphic, telomitic and atelomitic pairs segregate at random in such a way as to parallel the behavior of unit characters in Mendels' first and second laws.

## INHERITANCE EXPERIMENTS

Characteristics Studied. The Tettigidae have long been noted for the extraordinary range and variations of their color patterns. It should be stated at the outset that neither excessive temperatures, aridity, humidity, acidity, salinity, sunlight, through glass and direct, darkness, numerous colors of soil, pots, food and excreta, starvation, fungus diseases, parasitism, nor any other observable features of environment, applied intentionally or accidentally, has ever changed any color patterns to an appreciable extent, or in any way influenced those of the progeny. Some of the elementary patterns, as B and C, in Paratettix texanus, have been carried in the laboratory twenty years, through more than sixty-five generations, and numerous combinations with other patterns, and yet remain exactly the same, so far as can be observed, as they were, and still are in nature. The studies of the inheritance of the variations in morphological features have not yet proceeded far enough to justify discussion of them.

The studies have thus far pertained almost exclusively to some of the dominant color patterns, and the normal recessives, of the following species: Paratettix texanus (Nabours, 1914, 1917, 1923, Nabours and Foster, 1929.), Apotettix eurycephalus (Nabours, 1919, 1923, 1925), Tettigidea parvipennis pennata (Bellamy, 1917) and Telmatettix aztecus (Nabours and Snyder, 1928).

Paratettix texanus Hancock - The Color Patterns of P. texanus.

## Explanation of Plate III

The drawings have been made by Mr. S. Fred. Prince, and as printed, are about two and one-half times natural size. They are mostly of females which are usually larger than the males, but have the same color patterns.

All have long wings and pronota, except Cext/Cext which has intermediate length of wings and pronotum, and Cof0/S which has short wings and pronotum.

The two top rows represent the normal recessive and twenty-three dominant elementary color patterns. The recessive pattern, sf (specked femora), is shown in the hybrid complex Esf/Jsf. The recessive color, $\Phi$, is shown in $\mathrm{B} \Phi / \mathrm{B} \Phi$.

The third row and first three drawings of the fourth row represent a few simple and obvious hybrid combinations of elementary patterns.

The 4th to the 10th drawings, the last row, show the loosely linked pattern, $\theta$, in various combinations. The last drawing, lower right shows an individual homozygous for the dominant B and the recessive $\Phi$, respectively, and heterozygous for the dominant $\theta$.

These drawings may be made to represent correctly many other combinations of the same patterns, respectively. For example, in the top row $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{E}$ represents also $+/ \mathrm{E} ;+/ \mathrm{I}$ represents $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{I}$, and so on. In the bottom row, $\mathrm{B} \theta / \mathrm{H}$ might represent $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{H} \theta, \mathrm{B} \theta / \mathrm{H} \theta$, as well; $+/ \mathrm{K} \theta$ equals $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{K} \theta, \mathrm{K} \theta / \mathrm{K} \theta ; \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B} \theta \Phi / \Phi$ equals $+/ \mathrm{B} \theta \Phi / \Phi$, $\mathrm{B} \theta / \mathrm{B} \theta \Phi / \Phi,+\theta / \mathrm{B} \theta \Phi / \Phi$.


Plate III.


PARATETTIX TEXANUS HANCOCK

Most of the elementary color patterns of P. texanus, and some of their hybrid complexes, have been previously approximately described and illustrated (Nabours, 1914, 1917, 1923). The full list of those employed in experimentation up to date, some of them not included in the data, may be described, though inadequately, as follows (See Plate III): ( 1 ) $+/+$ (old AA), mottled gray, a pattern common to practically all the grouse locusts, and now considered the normal recessive, or "wild type"; (2) B/B, white over pronotum and parts of posterior femora; (3) C/C, white anterior pronotum, posterior dark or mottled, reddish brown legs; (4) Cext/Cext, the same as $C / C$, but with an extension of the white of the anterior pronotum posteriorly, and the line between the white anterior and dark posterior is not sharp; (5) Cof/Cof (old QQ) practically the same as C/C, but with red middle legs and conspicuously orange colored femora of the jumping legs; (6) $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{D}$, the same as $+/+$, but with conspicuous white spots on hind femora; (7) $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{E}$, broad yellow stripes along median pronotum and on distal ends of posterior femora; (8) $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}$, broad mahogany stripes along median pronotum and posterior femora; (9) S/S, broad yellowish gray, nearly white stripes along median pronotum and on distal ends of hind femora; (10) $\mathrm{Sm} / \mathrm{Sm}$ (old $\widehat{\text { ISIS }}$ ), broad brown, slightly red stripes along median pronotum and on distal ends of posterior femora (distinctly different from the other stripes and the only mutant observed to occur in the greenhouse); (11) $\mathrm{S}_{1} / \mathrm{S}_{1}$, broad nearly clear white stripes along median pronotum and on distal ends of hind femora, and with red middle legs; (12) P/P, broad brown stripes along median pronotum and on distal ends of posterior femora; (13) L/L, trilineata, three nearly white lines along the pronotum and one along femora of hind legs; (14) $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{K}$, narrow white stripe along median pronotum, and red middle legs, almost indistinguishable from $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{K}$, of Apotettix eurycephalus, (Nabours, 1925); (15) J/J, conspicuous large white spot over broad part of pronotum, identical with $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{Y}$ in $A$. eurycephalus (Nabours, 1925) ; (16) Jof/Jof, the same as $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{J}$, but with prominently orange colored posterior femora and red middle legs; (17) H/H, large yellow, or orange spot covering the same area as the white spot of $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{J}$; (18) $\mathrm{Hm} / \mathrm{Hm}$, a gray, slightly orange spot, covering the same area as the spot $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}$; (19) I/I, a dark mahogany spot covering the same area as that of J/J ; (20) M/M, brown all over pronotum. [Hybrid M/S looks
precisely like $\mathrm{Sm} / \mathrm{Sm}$. It is now thought the origin of Sm was due to the mutation of a gene closely linked with the S gene (Nabours, 1917, pp. 48, 52, 53)]; (21) N/N, a brown gray all over; (22) $\mathrm{N}_{1} / \mathrm{N}_{1}$, dull orange, or henna all over; (23) $\mathrm{N}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$, brilliant orange all over. (These first named twenty-three factors for color patterns are extremely closely linked. Hm is the only one to have crossed over at all. Some of them may be actually multiple allelomorphs). (24) $\theta / \theta$, dense black over anterior pronotum, fading somewhat towards the posterior and extending over the hind femora; (25) sf/sf, white spots on posterior femora, resembling $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{D}$, but recessive in heterozygotes, and not showing well, even in homozygous condition, with some of the dominant patterns, as C, Cof, Jof; (26) $\Phi / \Phi$, reddish, or pink all over, hardly discernable, almost recessive in heterozygotes. These two, sf/sf and $\Phi / \Phi$, are the only colors so far discovered in all the grouse locusts, except the normal recessive, $+/+$, that can in any sense be considered recessive, and they are only partially so. These last described three are extremely loosely linked with each other and all the others, or they may be on separate pairs of chromosomes (For $\theta$, see Haldane, 1920).

The breeding methods have been about the same as those employed in all the experiments with the grouse locusts (loc. cit.).

The Results of Breeding Paratettix texanus. The data are presented mainly in tables with explanations, and their use demonstrated by a few succinctly elaborated examples. In this paper, as previously (Nabours, 1925, 1927, 1928 Nabours and Foster 1929), the symbol $+($ or $+/+$ ) is made to serve, as it were, a double role. When + is opposite a symbol for the factor of a dominant characteristic (for example $+/ B$ ) it refers simply to the normal recessive allelomorph of that factor. In case none of the dominant factors is present, $+/+$ represents the ensemble of indistinguishable factors that produces the mottled gray pattern, or "wild type", common to all the species of the Tettigidae so far studied, the pattern most frequently found in nature, and which is generally recessive to the more striking patterns.

Explanation of Table III. Twenty of the factors have shown no crossing over, any one with the other, and have thus behaved as
alternatives, or multiple allelomorphs, so far as they have been submitted to breeding tests. Since the data have been assembled, another one, Cext, has been found also to be alternative to several. The other four factors, designated as $\mathrm{Hm}, \theta, \Phi$ and sf $^{1}$ ) will be considered separately. The symbol + stands for the normal recessive allelomorph of the factors for dominant patterns, respectively, $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, etc. Segregation in the males and females is given separately and then in totals.

The first item shows that all males heterozygous for the normal allelomorph + and the factor $B$ gave $767+$ gametes and 865 with B. All the similar females that were ever bred gave $972+$ gametes and 939 B gametes. The total gametes produced by both males and females of $+/ \mathrm{B}$ constitution was 3543 , of which 1739 carried + and 1804 contained B. Following this alphabetically arranged table to B/E, it is found that of 1625 gametes produced in the breeding experiments by both males and females of this genetic composition, the males gave $376 \mathrm{~B}: 342 \mathrm{E}$, the females $453 \mathrm{~B}: 454 \mathrm{E}$, or both together 829 B: 796 E. Following on to C/I, it is found that the males gave 260 C : 288 I and the females $274 \mathrm{C}: 247 \mathrm{I}$; both gave $534 \mathrm{C}: 535 \mathrm{I}$, in a total of 1069 gametes. Detailed analyses of three of the matings composing part of the data of the last two examples may be seen in matings 91, 92, 93 (Nabours, 1917). The totals at the end of the table, give the sums of the reactions of the factors, and are supposed to be unbiased because of the alphabetical arrangement. Of 110,572 gametes produced there were $27,604: 26,881$ in the males, 27,970 : 28,117 in the females; the two sexes together gave $55,574: 54,998$. This table is, in effect, a record and an exhibition of alternative gametogenesis.

[^2]Table III. Showing Segregation of Extremely Closely Linked or Alternative Factors for Color Patterns in Paratettix texanus

| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Females |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +/B | $\begin{gathered} + \\ 767 \end{gathered}$ | B $865$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 972 \end{aligned}$ | B $939$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 1739 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & 1804 \end{aligned}$ | 3543 |
| +/C | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & 479 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & 419 \end{aligned}$ | $889$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 898 \end{aligned}$ | 1787 |
| $+/ \mathrm{Cof}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +- \\ & 384 \end{aligned}$ | Cof 424 | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 212 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 584 \end{aligned}$ | Cof 636 | 1220 |
| +/D | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 240 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 189 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 160 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & 349 \end{aligned}$ | 774 |
| +/E | $+$ | E 348 | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 163 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 511 \end{aligned}$ | 1038 |
| +/F | $+_{135}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{94} \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F } \\ & 219 \end{aligned}$ | 596 |
| +/H | $+_{42}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{33} \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & 252 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & 285 \end{aligned}$ | 575 |
| $+/ \mathrm{Hm}$ | $+$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Hm} \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $77$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Hm} \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{+}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Hm} \\ 78 \end{gathered}$ | 164 |
| +/I | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 237 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 239 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 476 \end{aligned}$ | 839 |
| +/J | $\begin{gathered} + \\ 756 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 748 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 574 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 1048 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} \\ 1322 \end{gathered}$ | 2370 |
| +/Jof | $+_{117}$ | Jof $135$ | $+_{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jof } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $+$ | Jof <br> 141 | 266 |
| +/K | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 314 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 292 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 475 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 767 \end{aligned}$ | 1526 |
| +/L | $+_{53}$ | L 51 | $+_{79}$ | L $82$ | ${ }_{132}^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & L \\ & 133 \end{aligned}$ | 265 |
| +/M | $+_{65}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M } \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $+_{64}$ | ${ }_{79}$ | + +129 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & 146 \end{aligned}$ | 275 |
| +/N | $+$ | $\mathrm{N}$ $85$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 239 \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 324 \end{aligned}$ | 662 |
| $+/ \mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $+_{85}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ & 172 \end{aligned}$ | 349 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Heterozygotes \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Males} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Females} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Males Females} \& Total gametes <br>
\hline $+/ \mathrm{N}_{2}$ \& ${ }^{+}$ \& $\mathrm{N}_{2}$

0 \& ${ }^{+}$ \& ${ }^{\mathrm{N}_{2}}{ }_{7}$ \& ${ }^{+}$ \& $\mathrm{N}_{2}$
7
7 \& 19 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$+/ \mathrm{P}$} \& $+$ \& P \& + \& P \& $+$ \& P \& <br>
\hline \& 206 \& 175 \& 363 \& 368 \& 569 \& 543 \& 1112 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{+/S} \& $+$ \& S \& $+$ \& S \& $+$ \& S \& <br>
\hline \& 349 \& 312 \& 348 \& 344 \& 697 \& 656 \& 1353 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$+/ S_{1}$} \& + \& $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ \& + \& $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ \& + \& $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ \& <br>
\hline \& 55 \& 61 \& 9 \& 11 \& 64 \& 72 \& 136 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{+/Sm} \& $+$ \& Sm \& $+$ \& Sm \& $+$ \& Sm \& <br>
\hline \& 353 \& 381 \& 193 \& 205 \& 546 \& 586 \& 1132 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/C} \& B \& C \& B \& C \& B \& C \& <br>
\hline \& 2309 \& 2221 \& 1610 \& 1572 \& 3919 \& 3793 \& 7712 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/Cof} \& B \& Cof \& B \& Cof \& B \& Cof \& <br>
\hline \& 294 \& 291 \& 400 \& 404 \& 694 \& 695 \& 1389 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/D} \& B \& D \& B \& D \& B \& D \& <br>
\hline \& 22 \& 30 \& 39 \& 29 \& 61 \& 59 \& 120 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/E} \& B \& E \& B \& E \& B \& E \& <br>
\hline \& 376 \& 342 \& 453 \& 454 \& 829 \& 796 \& 1625 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/F} \& B \& F \& B \& F \& B \& F \& <br>
\hline \& 427 \& 384 \& 667 \& 597 \& 1094 \& 981 \& 2075 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/H} \& B \& H \& B \& H \& B \& H \& <br>
\hline \& 183 \& 180 \& 129 \& 184 \& 312 \& 364 \& 676 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/I} \& B \& I \& B \& I \& B \& I \& <br>
\hline \& 903 \& 872 \& 972 \& 879 \& 1875 \& 1751 \& 3626 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/J} \& B \& J \& B \& J \& B \& J \& <br>
\hline \& 244 \& 253 \& 632 \& 643 \& 876 \& 896 \& 1772 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/Jof} \& B \& Jof \& B \& Jof \& B \& Jof \& <br>
\hline \& 67 \& 79 \& 87 \& 87 \& 154 \& 166 \& 320 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/K} \& B \& K \& B \& K \& B \& K \& <br>
\hline \& 460 \& 413 \& 364 \& 392 \& 824 \& 805 \& 1629 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/L} \& B \& L \& B \& L \& B \& L \& <br>
\hline \& 175 \& 197 \& 126 \& 122 \& 301 \& 319 \& 620 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{B/M} \& B \& M \& B \& M \& B \& M \& <br>
\hline \& 187 \& 196 \& 45 \& 41 \& 232 \& 237 \& 469 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Females |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B/N | B | N | B | N | B | N |  |
|  | 147 | 131 | 527 | 501 | 674 | 632 | 1306 |
| $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{N}_{1}$ | B | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | B | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | B | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ |  |
|  | 12 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 25 | 27 | 52 |
| $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | B | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | B | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | B | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |  |
|  | 131 | 99 | 78 | 61 | 209 | 160 | 369 |
| B/P | B | P | B | P | B | P |  |
|  | 428 | 445 | 327 | 337 | 755 | 782 | 1537 |
| B/S | B | S | B | S | B | S |  |
|  | 635 | 645 | 1002 | 973 | 1637 | 1618 | 3255 |
| $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{S}_{1}$ | B | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | B | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | B | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ |  |
|  | 30 | 33 | 37 | 42 | 67 | 75 | 142 |
| $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{Sm}$ | B | Sm | B | Sm | B | Sm |  |
|  | 649 | 585 | 387 | 344 | 1036 | 929 | 1965 |
| C/Cof | C | Cof | C | Cof | C | Cof |  |
|  | 36 | 36 | 95 | 84 | 131 | 120 | 251 |
| C/D | C | D | C | D | C | D |  |
|  | 96 | 95 | 205 | 225 | 301 | 320 | 621 |
| C/E | C | E | C | E | C | E |  |
|  | 185 | 162 | 89 | 94 | 274 | 256 | 530 |
| C/F | C | F | C | F | C | F |  |
|  | 308 | 287 | 459 | 459 | 767 | 746 | 1513 |
| C/H | C | H | C | H | C | H |  |
|  | 126 | 127 | 5 | 3 | 131 | 130 | 261 |
| C/I | C | I | C | I | C | I |  |
|  | 260 | 288 | 274 | 247 | 534 | 535 | 1069 |
| C/J | C | J | C | J | C | J |  |
|  | 206 | 229 | 419 | 434 | 625 | 663 | 1288 |
| C/Jof | C | Jof | C | Jof | C | Jof |  |
|  | 30 | 28 | 21 | 25 | 51 | 53 | 104 |
| C/K | C | K | C | K | C | K |  |
|  | 212 | 252 | 74 | 61 | 286 | 313 | 599 |
| C/L | C | L | C | L | C | L |  |
|  | 72 | 70 | 47 | 29 | 119 | 99 | 218 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Heterozy- } \\ & \text { gotes } \end{aligned}$ | Males |  | Females |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C/N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 292 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 304 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & 414 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & 706 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 704 \end{aligned}$ | 1410 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}_{1}$ | C <br> 0 | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 5 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & \quad 98 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ | 220 |
| C/P | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & 155 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & 155 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 408 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 563 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & 535 \end{aligned}$ | 1098 |
| C/S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 907 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \\ & 902 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 1004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & 975 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & 1911 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} \\ 1877 \end{gathered}$ | 3788 |
| C/Sm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 307 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 319 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 481 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 455 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 788 \end{aligned}$ | Sm $774$ | 1562 |
| Cof/D | Cof 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D}_{59} \end{aligned}$ | Cof $78$ | $\mathrm{D}_{74}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 126 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & 133 \end{aligned}$ | 259 |
| Cof/E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 252 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 218 \end{aligned}$ | Cof 86 | E $74$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 338 \end{aligned}$ | E 292 | 630 |
| Cof/F | Cof $18$ | $\mathrm{F}_{12}$ | Cof $37$ | $\mathrm{F}$ $30$ | Cof $55$ |  | 97 |
| Cof/H | Cof 46 | H <br> 45 | Cof $55$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{92} \end{gathered}$ | Cof 101 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & 137 \end{aligned}$ | 238 |
| Cof/I | Cof $40$ | I <br> 32 | Cof 64 | I 59 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 104 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 91 \end{aligned}$ | 195 |
| Cof/J | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 295 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 292 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 420 \end{aligned}$ | J <br> 444 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 715 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 736 \end{aligned}$ | 1451 |
| Cof/Jof | Cof $40$ | Jof 49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jof } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | Cof 64 | Jof 69 | 133 |
| Cof/K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 720 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 719 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 351 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 1071 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} \\ 1089 \end{gathered}$ | 2160 |
| Cof/L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | L <br> 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | L $202$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 195 \end{aligned}$ | L $220$ | 415 |
| Cof/M | Cof $55$ | M <br> 40 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | Cof $79$ | ${ }_{59}$ | 138 |
| Cof/N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cof } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | Cof 66 | N 71 | Cof $66$ |  | 137 |

THE GENETICS OF THE TETTIGIDAE (GROUSE LOCUSTS)

| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Females |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cof} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Cof 0 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ 0 | Cof $72$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ 60 | Cof 72 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | 132 |
| Cof/P | Cof 91 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & 103 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 167 \end{aligned}$ | P 135 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cof } \\ & 258 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & 238 \end{aligned}$ | 496 |
| Cof/S | Cof 270 | S $300$ | Cof 367 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & 324 \end{aligned}$ | Cof 637 | $\begin{aligned} & S \\ & 624 \end{aligned}$ | 1261 |
| Cof/Sm | Cof 168 | Sm 135 | Cof 97 | Sm 110 | Cof 265 | Sm $245$ | 510 |
| D/E | D <br> 73 | E $73$ | D $45$ | E 61 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 118 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 134 \end{aligned}$ | 252 |
| D/F | D 6 | F 8 | D 0 | F 0 | D 6 | F 8 | 14 |
| D/H | D $60$ | H <br> 56 | D $13$ | $\mathrm{H}_{13}$ | D $73$ | $\mathrm{H}$ $69$ | 142 |
| I)/I | D 0 | I 0 | D <br> 12 | $\mathrm{I}_{13}$ | D 12 | I $13$ | 25 |
| D/J | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 212 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 186 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 213 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 202 \end{aligned}$ | D $425$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 388 \end{aligned}$ | 813 |
| I)/Jof | D <br> 8 | Jof 10 | D 34 | Jof 33 | D 42 | Jof 43 | 85 |
| D/K | D $138$ | K <br> 141 | D $116$ | K 106 | D $254$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 247 \end{aligned}$ | 501 |
| D/L | D <br> 56 | L 65 | D 20 | $\mathrm{L}_{17}$ | D 76 | L 82 | 158 |
| D/M | D $66$ | M <br> 41 | D 75 | $\mathrm{M}_{45}$ | D <br> 141 | $\mathrm{M}$ <br> 86 | 227 |
| $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{N}$ | D <br> 10 | N 18 | D 63 | ${ }^{\text {N }} 62$ | D 73 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | 153 |
| $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{N}_{1}$ | D 0 | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | D | ${ }^{\mathrm{N}_{1}} 7$ | D | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ 7 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{P}$ | D <br> 136 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & 162 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & 167 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & 319 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & 329 \end{aligned}$ | 648 |
| D/S | D $138$ | S $123$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 214 \end{aligned}$ | S $230$ | D $352$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \\ & 353 \end{aligned}$ | 705 |


| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Females |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}_{1} \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | D <br> 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}_{1} \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 28 |
| D/Sm | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 266 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 258 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & 644 \end{aligned}$ | Sm 628 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & 910 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 886 \end{aligned}$ | 1796 |
| E/F | E <br> 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{19} \end{aligned}$ | E 42 | $50$ | E $48$ | F $69$ | 117 |
| E/H | E <br> 51 | $\mathrm{H}_{59}$ | E <br> 86 | ${ }_{83}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & 137 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & 142 \end{aligned}$ | 279 |
| E/I | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 197 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 147 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 344 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 332 \end{aligned}$ | 676 |
| E/J | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 204 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 198 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 184 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 182 \end{aligned}$ | E $388$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | 768 |
| E/K | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 285 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 279 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 218 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 287 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 503 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 566 \end{aligned}$ | 1069 |
| E/L | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 116 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & 126 \end{aligned}$ | E $246$ | L $231$ | 477 |
| E/M | E <br> 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | E 33 | M $37$ | E 83 | $\begin{gathered} \text { M } \\ 97 \end{gathered}$ | 180 |
| E/N | E <br> 7 | $\mathrm{N}_{9}$ | E <br> 76 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{68} \end{gathered}$ | E 83 | $\mathrm{N}_{77}$ | 160 |
| $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | E <br> 26 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | E <br> 51 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | E 77 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ | 136 |
| E/S | E <br> 71 | $\mathrm{S}_{57}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{85}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & 164 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \\ & 142 \end{aligned}$ | 306 |
| E/Sm | E <br> 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | E <br> 47 | Sm <br> 58 | E <br> 115 | Sm 142 | 257 |
| F/H | F <br> 14 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{24} \end{gathered}$ | F <br> 36 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | F | $\mathrm{H}_{69}$ | 119 |
| F/J | $\mathrm{F}_{81}$ | J $71$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F } \\ & 210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 202 \end{aligned}$ | F 291 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & 273 \end{aligned}$ | 564 |
| F/Jof | F 0 | Jof 0 | $\mathrm{F}_{27}$ | Jof 20 | $\mathrm{F}_{27}$ | Jof 20 | 47 |


| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Females |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F/K | F | K | F | K | F | K |  |
|  | 28 | 19 | 68 | 73 | 96 | 92 | 188 |
| $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{P}$ | F | P | F | P | F | P |  |
|  | 46 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 49 | 95 |
| F./S | F | S | F | S | F | S |  |
|  | 35 | 43 | 15 | 7 | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| F/Sm | F | Sm | F | Sm | F | Sm |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 41 | 49 | 41 | 49 | 90 |
| H/I | H | I | H | I | H | I |  |
|  | 30 | 37 | 73 | 82 | 103 | 119 | 222 |
| H/J | H | J | H | J | H | J |  |
|  | 56 | 57 | 0 | 2 | 56 | 59 | 115 |
| H/K | H | K | H | K | H | K |  |
|  | 315 | 262 | 87 | 77 | 402 | 339 | 741 |
| H/L | H | L | H | L | H | L |  |
|  | 31 | 37 | 22 | 39 | 53 | 76 | 129 |
| $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{N}$ | H | N | H | N | H | N |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 19 | 23 | 19 | 23 | 42 |
| $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | H | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | H | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | H | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |  |
|  | 47 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 41 | 88 |
| H/P | H | P | H | P | H | P |  |
|  | 67 | 68 | 24 | 26 | 91 | 94 | 185 |
| H/S | H | S | H | S | H | S |  |
|  | 244 | 215 | 168 | 192 | 412 | 407 | 819 |
| $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{S}_{1}$ | H | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | H | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | H | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ |  |
|  | 51 | 57 | 29 | 57 | 80 | 114 | 194 |
| H/Sm | H | Sm | H | Sm | H | Sm |  |
|  | 25 | 30 | 83 | 70 | 108 | 100 | 208 |
| I/J | I | J | I | J | I | J |  |
|  | 72 | 83 | 223 | 199 | 295 | 282 | 577 |
| I/Jof | I | Jof | I | Jof | I | Jof |  |
|  | 35 | 43 | 1 | 3 | 36 | 46 | 82 |


| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Females ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I/K | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & 206 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 211 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {K }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 306 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 297 \end{aligned}$ | 603 |
| I/L | I | L | I | L | I | L |  |
|  | 133 | 100 | 155 | 149 | 288 | 249 | 537 |
| $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{N}$ | I | N | I | N | I | N |  |
|  | 52 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 31 | 83 |
| $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | I | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | I | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | I | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{P}$ | I | P | I | P | 1 | P |  |
|  | 319 | 361 | 140 | 136 | 459 | 497 | 956 |
| I/S | I | S | I | S | I | S |  |
|  | 191 | 113 | 197 | 224 | 388 | 337 | 725 |
| $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{Sm}$ | I | Sm | I | Sm | I | Sm |  |
|  | 137 | 147 | 83 | 84 | 220 | 231 | 451 |
| J/K | J | K | J | K | J | K |  |
|  | 458 | 402 | 360 | 380 | 818 | 782 | 1600 |
| J/L | J | L | J | L | J | L |  |
|  | 80 | 67 | 86 | 81 | 166 | 148 | 314 |
| J/M | J | M | J | M | J | M |  |
|  | 73 | 61 | 6 | 8 | 79 | 69 | 148 |
| J/N | J | N | J | N | J | N |  |
|  | 606 | 626 | 541 | 555 | 1147 | 1181 | 2328 |
| $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{N}_{1}$ | J | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | J | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | J | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ |  |
|  | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | J | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | J | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | J | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |  |
|  | 7 | 2 | 124 | 106 | 131 | 108 | 239 |
| J/P | J | P | J | P | J | P |  |
|  | 384 | 342 | 423 | 398 | 807 | 740 | 1547 |
| J/S | J | S | J | S | J | S |  |
|  | 336 | 276 | 360 | 400 | 696 | 676 | 1372 |
| J/Sm | J | Sm | J | Sm | J | Sm |  |
|  | 394 | 382 | 532 | 526 | 926 | 908 | 1834 |
| Jof/K | Jof | K | Jof | K | Jof | K |  |
|  | 338 | 302 | 73 | 93 | 411 | 395 | 806 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Heterozy- } \\ & \text { gotes } \end{aligned}$ | Males |  | Females |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jof/L | Jof 91 | L <br> 81 | Jof 64 | $\mathrm{L}$ | Jof 155 | $\mathrm{L}$ $136$ | 291 |
| Jof/N | Jof 0 | ${ }^{\mathrm{N}} 0$ | Jof 4 | N <br> 1 | $\mathrm{Jof}_{4}$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 5 |
| Jof/ $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Jof 0 | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{Jof}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Jof | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 4 |
| Jof/S | Jof 9 | $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ | Jof 29 | $\mathrm{S}_{33}$ | Jof <br> 38 | ${ }^{\text {S }} 44$ | 82 |
| Jof/Sm | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jof } \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{Sm}_{0}$ | Jof 1 | $\mathrm{Sm}_{0}$ | Jof ${ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Sm}_{0}$ | 1 |
| K/L | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 146 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & 157 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & 148 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 267 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & 305 \end{aligned}$ | 572 |
| K/M | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | M <br> 162 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 213 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & 226 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 343 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & 388 \end{aligned}$ | 731 |
| K/N | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 298 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 278 \end{aligned}$ | K $45$ | $\mathrm{N}_{43}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 343 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 321 \end{aligned}$ | 664 |
| $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & .115 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{61}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ 47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 176 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ & 171 \end{aligned}$ | 347 |
| $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 128 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 236 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & 212 \end{aligned}$ | 448 |
| K/P | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 102 \end{aligned}$ | P 97 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 243 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & 244 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 345 \end{aligned}$ | P 341 | 686 |
| K/S | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 204 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 363 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & 395 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 567 \end{aligned}$ | S 596 | 116.3 |
| $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | K $45$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $45$ | ${ }^{S_{1}}$ | 87 |
| K/Sm | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 134 \end{aligned}$ | Smi <br> 144 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 287 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & 381 \end{aligned}$ | Sm $431$ | 812 |
| L/M | L $12$ | M $14$ |  | ${ }^{\text {M }}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{12}$ | M 14 | 26 |
| L/N |  | ${ }_{3}$ | L ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 15 |


| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Fəmales |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | L $3$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | L 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ { }_{17} \end{gathered}$ | 26 |
| L/S | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & 103 \end{aligned}$ | S $64$ | L <br> 21 | $\mathrm{S}_{28}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | S $92$ | 216 |
| L/S ${ }_{1}$ | L <br> 10 | $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ | L 0 | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | L ${ }_{10}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ | 21 |
| L/Sm | L | $\mathrm{Sm}_{1}$ | L 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | L 24 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Sm} \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | 55 |
| M/S | M <br> 45 | $\mathrm{S}_{34}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | ${ }_{45}^{M}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{34}$ | 79 |
| M/Sm | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}_{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Sm}_{9}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}_{17} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{25}^{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 44 |
| $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}_{1}$ | N $62$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ <br> 3 | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ 48 \end{gathered}$ | 111 |
| $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{32} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 57 |
| N/P | $\mathrm{N}_{62}$ | P <br> 50 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{N}} 65$ | $\mathrm{P}_{52}$ | 117 |
| N/S | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 251 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & 233 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{43}$ | $41$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 294 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & 274 \end{aligned}$ | 568 |
| $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{58} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}_{1} \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{54} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}_{1} \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 112 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}_{1} \\ & 123 \end{aligned}$ | 235 |
| $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{Sm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 114 \end{aligned}$ | Sm $110$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{69} \end{aligned}$ | Sm 87 | N 183 | Sm 197 | 380 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} / \mathrm{S}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $2$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 2 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} / \mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \quad \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}_{1} \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | 100 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} / \mathrm{Sm}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Sm}_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ & \quad 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Sm}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ 17 | ${ }^{\text {Sm }} 7$ | 24 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} / \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ 17 | $\mathrm{P}_{20}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ 10 | P 10 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ 27 | P <br> 30 | 57 |


| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Females |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} / \mathrm{S}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | S | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | S | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | S |  |
|  |  | 115 | 17 | 18 | 107 | 133 | 240 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} / \mathrm{Sm}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Sm | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Sm | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Sm |  |
|  |  | 3 | 73 | 84 | 76 | 87 | 163 |
| $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}$ | P | S | P | S | P | S |  |
|  |  | 75 | 44 | 44 | 116 | 119 | 235 |
| $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}_{1}$ | S | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | S | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | S | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ |  |
|  | 3 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 24 |
| $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{Sm}$ | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | Sm | S | Sm | S | Sm |  |
|  |  | 0 | 134 | 142 | 134 | 142 | 276 |
|  | ,604 | 6,881 | 7,970 | 8,117 | 55,574 | 4,998 | 110,572 |

Explanation of Table IV Showing Linkage Relations of the Factor for Hm in Paratettix texanus. All the factors for dominant color patterns in $P$. texanus so far studied, except Hm and $\theta$ have failed to show crossing over, any one with another, as indicated in Table IV. Whether any would cross over if they were bred to the extent of millions, is, of course, not known, and no predictions can be made. Hm, as shown in Table IV, has certainly crossed over with B, L and S three times and once each, respectively. However, the percentage of crossing over is so low as to suggest very close linkage of this factor with the other twenty. It is interesting to note that three of these crossovers occurred in males and one in a female.

The arrangement is similar to that of Table III. All the pairings of Hm with the alternatives and their segregations are shown. It appears in the first item that when Hm and B were together, of 604 gametes produced by the males, 287 carried the factor for $\mathrm{Hm}, 316$ the factor for B , and one the factor for the two, BHm linked. In another mating where BHm and L were paired in the male, of the seven gametes recorded, two carried BHm , four carried L and one HmL linked. In another group of matings where BHm and S were paired in the females, of the 273 gametes recorded, 121 carried $\mathrm{BHm}, 151 \mathrm{~S}$, and one carried B alone, showing that Hm had crossed out from it.

Table IV. Showing Linkage relations of the factor Hm in Paratettix texanus

| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Females |  | Males Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hm/B | $\begin{array}{cl}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{B} \\ 287 & 316\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rl}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{B} \\ 216 & 217\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cl} \mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{~B} \\ 503 & 533 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{BHm}$ | 1037 |
| $\mathrm{Hm} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Hm } & \mathrm{C} \\ 235 & 205\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{C} \\ 246 & 249\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cl} \mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{C} \\ 481 & 454 \end{array}$ |  | 935 |
| Hm/Cof | $\begin{array}{cr}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{Cof} \\ 47 & 46\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{Cof} \\ 171 & 145 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Hm } & \text { Cof } \\ 218 & 191\end{array}$ |  | 409 |
| Hm/D | $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{D} \\ 38 & 46 \end{array}$ |  | Hm D <br> 110 101 |  | $\begin{array}{cl}\text { Hm } & \text { D } \\ 148 & 147\end{array}$ |  | 295 |
| Hm/E | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{E} \\ 29 & 32\end{array}$ |  | Hm E <br> 15 41 |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{E} \\ 44 & 73\end{array}$ |  | 11 |
| Hm/H | $\begin{array}{rl}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{H} \\ 56 & 38\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{H} \\ 16 & 21\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{H} \\ 72 & 59\end{array}$ |  | 13 |
| Hm/I | $\begin{array}{cl}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{I} \\ 19 & 19\end{array}$ |  | Hm I <br> 0 0 |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{I} \\ 19 & 19\end{array}$ |  | 38 |
| Hm/J | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{J} \\ 77 & 49\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{J} \\ 32 & 37\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{J} \\ 109 & 86\end{array}$ |  | 195 |
| $\mathrm{Hm} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\begin{array}{cl}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{K} \\ 212 & 189\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{~K} \\ 159 & 161 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cl}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{K} \\ 371 & 350\end{array}$ |  | 721 |
| Hm/L | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{L} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{L} \\ 43 & 34\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{L} \\ 43 & 34\end{array}$ |  | 71 |
| $\mathrm{Hm} / \mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{M} \\ 14 & 11\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{M} \\ 79 & 98\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cl} \mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{M} \\ 93 & 109 \end{array}$ |  | 202 |
| $\mathrm{Hm} / \mathrm{N}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{N} \\ 16 & 18\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{N} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{~N} \\ 16 & 18 \end{array}$ |  | 34 |
| $\mathrm{Hm} / \mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ 0 & \\ 0\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ 7 & 3\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ 7 & 3\end{array}$ |  | 10 |
| Hm/P | $\begin{array}{cl} \mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{P} \\ 108 & 101 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{P} \\ 15 & 20\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cl} \mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{P} \\ 123 & 121 \end{array}$ |  | 244 |
| Hm/S | $\begin{array}{rl}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{S} \\ 54 & 62\end{array}$ |  | Hm S <br> 33 39 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{cl}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{S} \\ 87 & 101\end{array}\right\|$ |  | 188 |


| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Females |  | Males <br> Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Hm} / \mathrm{Sm}$ | Hm Sm |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ 120 & 108\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Hm} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ 134 & 115\end{array}$ |  |  |
| BHm/+ | BHm + <br> 75 74 |  | $\begin{array}{rr}\mathrm{BHm} & + \\ 59 & 59\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rl}\text { BHm } & + \\ 134 & 133\end{array}$ |  | 267 |
| BHm/C | $\begin{array}{rl}\text { BHm } & \text { C } \\ 46 & 23\end{array}$ |  | BHm C <br> 52 41 |  | BHm C <br> 98 64 |  | 162 |
| BHm/Cof | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { BHm } & \text { Cof } \\ 173 & 145 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { BHm } & \text { Cof } \\ 141 & 138\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rc} \text { BHm } & \text { Cof } \\ 314 & 283 \end{array}$ |  | 597 |
| BHm/E | BHm E <br> 0 0 |  | $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { BHm } & \mathrm{E} \\ 9 & 5\end{array}$ |  | BHm E <br> 9 5 |  |  |
| BHm/F | $\begin{array}{rl}\text { BHm } & \mathrm{F} \\ 12 & 13\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { BHm } & \mathrm{F} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|rc} \mathrm{BHm} & \mathrm{~F} \\ 12 & 13 \end{array}$ |  | 25 |
| BHm/J | BHm J <br> 14 9 |  | $\begin{array}{rl}\text { BHm } & \mathrm{J} \\ 15 & 14\end{array}$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{rc} \mathrm{BHm} & \mathrm{~J} \\ 29 & 23 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |
| BHm/K | BHm K <br> 55 45 |  | $\begin{array}{rcc}\text { BHm } & \text { K } \\ 31 & 37\end{array}$ |  | BHm K <br> 86 82 |  | 168 |
| BHm/L | BHm L <br> 2 4 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HmL} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \mathrm{BHm}_{4} & \mathrm{~L} \\ 4 & \end{array}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{rc} \mathrm{BHm} & \mathrm{~L} \\ 6 & 10 \end{array}\right\|$ | HmL 1 | 17 |
| BHm/M | BHm M <br> 1 0 |  | $\begin{array}{rl} \mathrm{BHm} & \mathrm{M} \\ 121 & 116 \end{array}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{rl} \mathrm{BHm} & \mathrm{M} \\ 122 & 116 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | 238 |
| BHm/P | BHm P <br> 20 15 |  | $\begin{array}{rll}\text { BHm } & \text { P } \\ 30 & 28\end{array}$ |  | BHm P <br> 50 43 |  | 93 |
| BHm/S | BHm S <br> 146 140 |  | $\begin{array}{rl} \text { BHm } & \text { S } \\ 121 & 151 \end{array}$ | B | $\left\|\begin{array}{rl} \mathrm{BHm} & \mathrm{~S} \\ 267 & 291 \end{array}\right\|$ | B | 559 |
| BHm/Sm | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr}\text { BHm } & \text { Sm } \\ 56 & 51\end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{array}{rr} \mathrm{BHm} & \mathrm{Sm} \\ 46 & 51 \end{array}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} \text { BHm } & \text { Sm } \\ 102 & 102 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | 204 |
| Totals | \|1,806 1,658| | 2 | \| $1,8911,920 \mid$ | 1 | \|3,697 3,578| | 3 | 7,278 |

Explanation of Table V Showing Linkage Relations of $\theta$ in Males and Females in Paratettix texanus. The crossing over of $\theta$ with the factors B, C, Cof, D, etc., and their expedientially assumed common normal allelomorph, indicated by + , respectively, is shown for both
males and females. The first column, to the left, gives the pairings of the factors with $\theta$. The columns of figures, to the left, on each side, under males and females, respectively, show the numbers of pairings of factors, the middle columns the numbers of crossovers, and the column to the right the respective percentages of crossing over.

The first item, $+\theta$, refers to the reactions of $\theta$ with the approximately common normal allelomorph of the extremely closely linked, some of them probably exact alternatives (except Hm), factors B, C, Cof, D, etc.

The linked BHm (see Table V) remained so in all cases where, in this linked condition, they were paired with $\theta$. Therefore, as a matter of convenience, they are treated as one, though actually they occupy separate loci.

Examples of the Use of Table $V$. The first item shows that $\theta$ was paired with the opportunely assumed common allelomorph of the other factors, B, C, Cof, etc., in the males 1603 times and the females 1907. There were 394 crossovers, or 24.57 per cent, of crossing over in the males and 930 , or 48.76 per cent, of crossovers in the females. Near the middle of the table, J and $\theta$ were paired 1263 times in the males, 1600 times in the females, and gave 345 crossovers, a percentage of 27.31 , and 764 crossovers, a percentage of 47.75 , respectively.

In the males there was a total of 16,361 pairings of $\theta$ with the closely linked and alternative factors $B, C, D$, etc., and their approximate allelomorph, with 4147 crossovers, a percentage of 25.34 . In the females the corresponding figures are $21,733,10,341$ and 47.58 , respectively.

Table V. Showing Linkage Relations of $\theta$ With Other Factors in the males and Females in Paratettix texanus

| Males |  |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pairs of factors | Numbers | Crossovers | Per cent of crossing over | Numbers | Crossovers | Per cent of crossing over |
| $+\theta$ | 1603 | 394 | 24.57 | 1907 | 930 | 48.76 |
| B 0 | 1878 | 426 | 22.68 | 3866 | 1809 | 46.79 |
| $\mathrm{BHm} \theta$ | 165 | 36 | 21.81 | 298 | 145 | 48.65 |
| C $\theta$ | 741 | 187 | 25.23 | 1068 | 512 | 47.94 |
| $\operatorname{Cof} \theta$ | 1559 | 435 | 27.90 | 1654 | 759 | 45.88 |
| D $\theta$ | 202 | 59 | 29.20 | 373 | 196 | 52.54 |
| $\mathrm{E} \theta$ | 897 | 248 | 27.64 | 789 | 383 | 48.54 |
| F $\theta$ | 488 | 147 | 30.12 | 1218 | 574 | 47.12 |
| $\mathrm{H} \theta$ | 425 | 100 | 23.52 | 449 | 221 | 49.22 |
| Hm $\theta$ | 212 | 49 | 23.11 | 177 | 77 | 43.50 |
| $\mathrm{I} \theta$ | 480 | 102 | 21.25 | 379 | 169 | 44.59 |
| J $\theta$ | 1263 | 345 | 27.31 | 1600 | 764 | 47.75 |
| Jof $\theta$ | 355 | 78 | 21.97 | 379 | 181 | 47.75 |
| K $\theta$ | 3120 | 771 | 24.71 | 3054 | 1471 | 48.16 |
| L $\theta$ | 853 | 211 | 24.73 | 631 | 311 | 49.28 |
| M $\theta$ | 158 | 45 | 28.48 | 467 | 225 | 48.17 |
| $\mathrm{N} \theta$ | 23 | 7 | 30.43 | 15 | 7 | 46.66 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \theta$ | 60 | 13 | 21.66 | 72 | 34 | 47.22 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \theta$ | 179 | 47 | 26.25 | 130 | 52 | 40.00 |
| $\mathrm{P} \theta$ | 384 | 90 | 23.43 | 420 | 175 | 41.66 |
| S 0 | 1143 | 319 | 27.90 | 2106 | 1023 | 48.57 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1} \theta$ | 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 79 | 43 | 54.43 |
| $\operatorname{Sm} \theta$ | 166 | 38 | 22.89 | 602 | 280 | 46.51 |
| Totals | 16,361 | 4,147 | 25.34 | 21,733 | 10,341 | 47.58 |

Discussion of the Relations of the Factor $\theta$. In the previous report on the inheritance of the factors for color in Paratettix texanus (Nabours 1917), the factor $\theta$ was treated as independent of the others which
were extremely closely linked, if not multiple allelomorphs. It might have been on a separate pair of chromosomes, though not definitely stated in such terms. However, J. B. S. Haldane (1920) demonstrated with my data (Nabours, 1914, 1917) that the factor $\theta$ was apparently not independent of the other factors, but was, in fact, loosely linked with them. He showed that, on an average, there was approximately 24 per cent of crossing over in the males and about 46 per cent in the females of the factor $\theta$ with the group of alternatives.

Subsequent extensive breeding of $P$. texanus, including the factor $\theta$ with the others named, has increased the data available for, and bearing on this matter more than twelve and one-half times beyond that used by Haldane. A general average of the much greater data, shown in Table V, seems to confirm Haldane's conclusions. The average crossing over in the males is shown to be 25.34 per cent which is 1.7 per cent greater than that indicated by the lesser data to which he had access. In the females there is shown a crossing over percentage of 47.58 which is a little more than one per cent greater than that found by Haldane, with less than one-thirteenth the data now available.

As a result of these considerations, first suggested by Haldane's review (loc. cit.), I have come to regard the factor $\theta$ as being loosely linked, about 25 per cent in the males and 47 per cent in the females, with the group of twenty and more closely linked, or alternative factors, and all as being on one pair of chromosomes.

Explanation of Table VI Showing the Relations of $\Phi$ in Paratettix texanus. Unfortunately the only data available are for males; the few females bred during the short time this characteristic was among the stocks were homozygous for $\Phi$, or otherwise unavailable. The relations of $\Phi$ to $\theta, 48.6$ per cent, in a small number is about the same as the average of its relations to the alternatives $B, C, J$ and $S$, with which $\theta$ has a linkage relation, in the males, of 25.34 per cent. The first column indicates the factors that were paired, the second the numbers of pairings, the third the numbers of crossovers, or segregations of $\Phi$, and the last column gives the percentages of segregations or crossing over.

COLOR PATTERNS OF APOTTETTIX EURYCEPHALUS HANCOCK
Explanation of Plate IV
(From Kansas Technical Bulletin 17)
The drawings have been made by Mr. S. Fred Prince and, as printed, are about two and one-half times natural size.

The top row represents the normal recessive type, $+/+$, and eleven dominant elementary patterns.

The second row, except the last one to the right, represents various combinations of the elementary dominant patterns in pairs. The last one of the second row and the first nine of the third row show three-pattern combinations. The last three of the third row and the first two and the fourth of the last row are four pattern complexes. In the fourth row, the third and fifth show five patterns, while the sixth represents a six-pattern complex.

The $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{YT}, \mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{T}$, and YT/T drawings of the fourth row show the influence of single and double doses of Y and T , respectively.

The last three of the fourth row show (1) an individual with short pronotum and wings, (2) one with the pronotum turned up and forward, and (3) an individual with the pattern obscured by an alga and intermediate length of pronotum and wings.

These drawings actually represent many other combinations of the same patterns, respectively. For example, in the top row, $+/ \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{Z}=+/ \mathrm{Z}$ and so on. Second row: $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{K}=+/ \mathrm{ZK}$ $=Z / Z K=Z K / K=Z K / Z K ; R K / R K=R / K=+/ R K=R / R K$ $=\mathrm{RK} / \mathrm{K}$. In the third row: $+/ \mathrm{MZK}=\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{ZK}=\mathrm{MZ} / \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{MK} /$ $Z=\mathrm{MZK} / \mathrm{MZK}=\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{MZK}=\mathrm{MZ} / \mathrm{MZK}=\mathrm{MZ} / \mathrm{ZK}=\mathrm{MK} / \mathrm{MZK}$ $=\mathrm{MZ} / \mathrm{MK}$. In the fourth row: MRT $/ \mathrm{ZK}=\mathrm{MZK} / \mathrm{RT}=\mathrm{MZT} / \mathrm{RK}$ $=\mathrm{MRK} / \mathrm{ZT}=\mathrm{MRT} / \mathrm{MZK}=\mathrm{MRK} / \mathrm{MZT}$. Double doses of none of the factors appear to make perceptible differences in the pattern complexes, except in the case of the redness of $T$, as shown Y/YT, $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{T}$, and YT/T, in the last row. These show the relative effects of the same genes in single and double quantities.
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Plate IV


Table VI. Showing the relations of $\Phi$ in Males of
Paratettix texanus

| Factors | Numbers | Crossovers ${ }^{1}$ ) | Per cent of crossing over ${ }^{1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta \Phi$ | 220 | 107 | 48.6 |
| В $\Phi$ | 537 | 252 | 46.92 |
| СФ | 187 | 95 | 50.8 |
| JФ | 91 | 51 | 56.0 |
| SФ | 217 | 97 | 44.7 |
| Total | 1252 | 602 | 48.08 |

Apotettix eurycephalus Hancock
The Color Patterns of A.eurycephalus. (See plate IV.) (1) The symbol + , or $+/+$, is used to designate the type that constitutes the majority of the individuals found in nature. It is a mottled gray and is common to all species of the Tettigidae so far studied. It is recessive to, and results from crossing over among the factors for dominant color patterns; (2) B, brown anterior pronotum and femora of jumping legs; (3) H, large brown spot near anterior end of pronotum ; (4) M, oblique, transverse white stripes just anterior to the black triangles; (5) Y, bright white spot over the same area as H , and almost identical with J in $P$. texanus (Plate III) ; (6) Ymf, identical with Y, except that the femora of the hind legs are brown mahogany ( Ymf is not included in the table, but has been found to be alternative with Y ) ; (7) O, cream-all-over ; (8) R, yellowish-all-over; (9) W, yellow stripes along femora of jumping legs, with tinge of yellow over entire body; (10) $Z$, prominent yellow tips on distal ends of posterior femora; (11) $\theta$ black over anterior pronotum and extending back on posterior femora, almost exactly like $\theta$ in $P$. texanus (So closely linked with $Z$, that the two, linked when brought in from nature, have shown no crossing over. Not included in table); (12) T, red-all-over the pronotum and jumping legs; (13) K , narrow white, tinged with yellow, stripe along median pronotum, slightly gray-all-over, closely resembling K, of $P$. texanus (Plate III);

1) Probably independent segregation.
(14) $G$, reddish brown stripe along median pronotum, resembling $P$, of $P$. texanus, though slightly narrower (See Plate III).

Besides the prominent, mostly dominant color characteristics enumerated above, there are undoubtedly many others, most of them too subtle for ordinary observation to detect. Numerous cases of upturned, twisted and otherwise aberrant pronota, and abnormal abdomen, such as fusion of the sterna, have occurred. Some of these were apparently inheritable (Nabours, 1925), but were left for further study.

Breeding Results in Apotettix eurycephalus (Crossing over occurs almost exclusively in the females). A large series of detailed results of the breeding of $A$. eurycephalus is included in Kan. Tech. Bull. 17, Nabours, 1925, using all the factors for color patterns described above and illustrated in the plate (Plate IV), except the factors for Ymf and $\theta$. There is also a table of primary data, (Table VI, Nabours, 1925), showing the locus to locus crossing over in the females, and from which the diagram of chromosome relations, or chromosome map, was constructed. These data provided a factor map, or diagram as follows:


This table is summarised as Table VII in this paper.
Explanation of the Use of Table VII, Showing Locus to Locus Crossing Over Data (Primary) in Females of Apotettix eurycephalus. Mating 1906 (Nabours, 1925), may be used for illustration. A $+/ \mathrm{T}$ male was crossed with an MRK/WG female with the following result: Noncrossovers, +/MRK 22, +/WG 23, MRK/T 19, WG/T 17; crossovers, +/MWG 1, +/MRG 1, +/RK 1, +/WK 2, RK/T 2, MRG/T 1. When the results of this mating are used as primary data, in map making, there are considered only the relations of M to $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}$ to W , W to G and G to K . Among the 89 individuals there were four crossovers between $M$ and $R$, and four between $W$ and $G$, with none
between $R$ and $W$, and $G$ and $K$, respectively. This measures, when there are sufficient numbers, the respective distances. Since the pairs RW and GK, respectively, are alternatives, the two distances measured are those from M to RW and RW to GK.

A summary of Table VII (Nabours, 1925), showing the total crossing over data resulting from the breeding of $A$. eurycephalus is appended below as Table VIII in this paper. These total data may not be employed in making a map, since this method differs from that of the primary data in that in measuring the distances some of the single set of data would be used twice (Nabours, 1925, pp. 217, 220).

Explanation of the Use of Table VIII, Showing the Total Crossing Over Data (Primary plus Secundary) in Females of A. eurycephalus.

The same mating, 1906 (Nabours, 1925), is used as follows for the total crossing over data composing the table below (Table VIII). Referring again to the mating 1906 (loc. cit.), described above, there were ten opportunities for reactions, one factor with another; M with $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{W}$ and G ; R with $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{W}$ and G ; K with W and G ; and $W$ with $G$. According to this arrangement the following crossovers took place in mating 1906: M crossed over from R and K and joined $W$ and $G$ four times; $G$ crossed over from $W$ and joined $M$ and R four times; while, reciprocally, K crossed over from M and R and took position with W the same number of times. This makes four crossovers each between M and R , and M and W ; eight between $M$ and $K$, and $M$ and $G$, four between $R$ and $K, R$ and $G, W$ and $K, W$ and $G$, respectively, and none between $R$ and $W$, or $K$ and $G$. The situation may be illustrated by a diagram as follows:

In the way described in the last paragraph above the total numbers of pairings, numbers of crossovers and percentages shown in the table were derived.
 For example, item 1: Table VIII, in 323 pairings of the factors H and Y, there was no crossing over. In 14,977 pairings of M and K , there were 960 or 6.4 per cent, crossovers. In 6600 pairings of the factors for the patterns G and K , there was no crossing over.

Table VII. Showing the locus to locus crossing over data (primary) in Females of Apotettix eurycephalus

| Factors | Number | Crossovers | Per cent | Factors | Number | Crossovers | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HY | 323 | 0 | 0 | YK | 836 | 56 | 6.7 |
| HO | 463 | 80 | 17.2 | OR | 3138 | 0 |  |
| HR | 2142 | 248 | 11.6 | O W | 2876 | 0 |  |
| HZ | 1294 | 64 | 4.9 | OZ | 3530 | 0 |  |
| HG | 42 | 1 | 2.4 | OT | 3383 | 20 | . 6 |
| HK | 483 | 3 | . 6 | OG | 2786 | 7 | . 2 |
| BM | 2141 | 0 | 0 | OK | 1222 | 8 | . 6 |
| BY | 386 | 0 | 0 | RW | 9418 | 0 |  |
| BO | 395 | 18 | 4.5 | RZ | 8469 | 0 |  |
| BW | 737 | 64 | 8.7 | RT | 9286 | 32 | . 3 |
| BZ | 125 | 7 | 5.6 | RG | 3951 | 67 | 1.7 |
| MY | 3420 | 9 | . 3 | RK | 6611 | 31 | . 5 |
| MO | 4335 | 525 | 12.1 | WZ | 187 | 0 |  |
| MR | 16299 | 934 | 5.7 | WT | 7364 | 26 | . 3 |
| MW | 2337 | 73 | 3.1 | WG | 6825 | 72 | 1.0 |
| MZ | 4094 | 225 | 5.4 | WK | 4980 | 15 | . 3 |
| MT | 1503 | 65 | 4.3 | ZT | 8913 | 72 | . 8 |
| MG | 140 | 20 | 14.3 | ZG | 3970 | 30 | . 8 |
| M K | 722 | 13 | 1.8 | ZK | 8579 | 33 | . 4 |
| YO | 8387 | 398 | 4.7 | TG | 8346 | 3 | . 03 |
| YR | 9850 | 430 | 4.4 | TK | 11652 | 3 | . 02 |
| YW | 4040 | 244 | 6.0 | GK | 6600 | 0 |  |
| YZ | 5860 | 281 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |
| YT | 2438 | 213 | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |
| YG | 259 | 10 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |

Summary and Discussion of the Inheritance of Color Patterns in $A$. eurycephalus. The three- and four-point data, and the locus to locus crossing over, or primary data (Nabours, 1925) and Table VII, are entirely consistent in lining up the factors as represented in the diagram, p. 50, B. H, M, first; Y second ; the O, R, W, Z alternatives

Table VIII. Showing the Total Crossing Over Data (Primary plus Secondary) in Females of Apotettix eurycephalus

| Factor | Number | Crossovers | Per cent | Factors | Number | Crossovers | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HY | 323 | 0 | 0 | YO | 8387 | 398 | 4.7 |
| HO | 463 | 80 | 17.3 | YR | 11312 | 458 | 4.0 |
| HR | 2465 | 248 | 10.0 | YW | 8800 | 543 | 6.2 |
| HZ | 3396 | 223 | 6.6 | YZ | 9805 | 392 | 4.0 |
|  |  |  |  | YT | 10480 | 661 | 6.3 |
| HT | 491 | 16 | 3.2 | YG | 8678 | 532 | 6.1 |
| HG | 1044 | 237 | 22.7 | YK | 17799 | 967 | 5.4 |
| HK | 1602 | 11 | . 7 | OR | 3138 | 0 | 0 |
| BM | 2141 | 0 | 0 | OW | 2876 | 0 | 0 |
| BY | 386 | 0 | 0 | OZ | 3530 | 0 | 0 |
| BO | 395 | 18 | 4.5 | OT | 5486 | 26 | . 5 |
| BR | 2385 | 62 | 2.6 | OG | 5393 | 14 | . 2 |
| BW | 1025 | 82 | 8 | OK | 5439 | 24 | . 4 |
| BZ | 125 | 7 | 5.6 | RW | 9418 | 0 | 0 |
| BG | 2828 | 155 | 5.5 | RZ | 8469 | 0 | 0 |
| BK | 707 | 51 | 7.2 | RT | 13470 | 60 | . 4 |
| MY | 3431 | 9 | . 3 | RG | 9988 | 145 | 1.4 |
| MO | 5013 | 538 | 10.7 | RK | 23712 | 151 | . 6 |
| MR | 19031 | 1194 | 6.3 | WZ | 187 | 0 | 0 |
| MW | 9331 | 366 | 3.9 | WT | 7364 | 26 | . 3 |
| MZ | 9824 | 653 | 6.6 | WG | 9030 | 81 | . 9 |
| MT | 16480 | 894 | 5.4 | WK | 10130 | 83 | . 8 |
| MG | 12344 | 947 | 7.6 | ZT | 8913 | 72 | . 8 |
| MK | 14977 | 960 | 6.4 | ZG | 5179 | 56 | 1.08 |
|  |  |  |  | ZK | 14924 | 88 | . 6 |
|  |  |  |  | TG | 8346 | 3 | . 03 |
|  |  |  |  | TK | 12816 | 3 | . 02 |
|  |  |  |  | GK | 6600 | 0 | 0 |

third; T fourth, and G and K fifth (Table VII). Even the summarized primary plus secondary, total data, Table VIII, are not inconsistent with this arrangement, and, in fact, if used in making a map would,
make only very slight changes in linkage distances from those in the one derived from the locus to locus, or primary data. The recently discovered $\theta$, extremely closely linked with $Z$ (not included in the table), makes a sixth locus. These are all actually closely linked, the extremes being, on the average less than 8 per cent apart, and they are all clearly confined to the one pair of chromosomes. Also, the new factor for Ymf appears to be among the B, H, M, Y closely linked group.

The results of the breeding of $A$. eurycephalus, although only one pair of chromosomes and comparatively few factors are involved, parallels the results obtained in the breeding of Drosophila. The Linear Hypothesis (Morgan, Muller, Bridges and Sturtevant) has proved to be the most available and explicit premise for the quantitative arrangement of the results obtained.

Telmatettix aztecus Saussure. - The Color Patterns of T. aztecus. Specimens of grouse locusts, identified as Telmatettix aztecus SAUSSURE, were secured along Shoal Creek, Austin, Texas, in August, 1922. By breeding analyses it was determined that there were a recessive and four dominant elementary patterns as follows: (1) A mottled gray recessive resembling the $+/+$ of Apotettix eurycephalus, and the ensemble of indistinguishable factors responsible for it, are represented by the symbol $+/+$; (2) a dense black extending over the anterior pronotum and on the femora of the jumping legs is indicated by the letters Bl ; (3) a grayish white over the anterior pronotum and lateral lobes, resembling C, of Paratettix texanus, although the posterior pronotum and legs are quite yellow, is represented by the letter C; (4) a dark greenish gray over the pronotum, including vertex, eyes and femora, with lighter lateral lobes, ranging almost to white, is designated by the letter H ; (5) a dull brick red covering the vertex, eyes, pronotum and posterior femora, is designated by the letter R. A few specimens of $+/+$ and $+/ \mathrm{C}$ from Pasadena, California, were later added.

All adult individuals of this species (Telmatettix aztecus) have had long wings and pronota, as against $P$. texanus and $A$. eurycephalus, individuals of which frequently have had short wings and pronota (Nabours, 1914, 1917, 1925, Nabours and Snyder, 1928).

The experimental breeding of this species is much more difficult than is that of $P$.texanus and still more arduous than of $A$. euryceph-
alus. A large proportion of the matings are not productive, due usually to the early death of one or both individuals. During the whole period 1922-27, only 116 of the 187 matings made were productive. During the one year of most intensive breeding, May, 1923, to June, 1924, only 53.9 per cent of the matings were productive; the range throughout the months of that year is indicated in table.IX.

Table XI. Matings Made Compared with Number ProductiveYear 1923-24


The number of individuals recorded fell far short of the number of young hatched and transferred from the mating jars. During the whole period of five years, only 50.6 per cent or 2850 of the 5634 offspring transferred, were finally recorded. However, during the year June, 1923 to July, 1924, when better attention was given them, 55.19 per cent of those transferred had their color patterns recorded. Table X shows the distribution of the mortality throughout the year.

Table X. Number Transferred Compared with Number Recorded - Year 1923-24

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Segregation of Factors in the Mated Individuals (Males on left, females on right of hyphen). The segregation of the factors of all the individuals heterozygous for the color patterns is shown in Table XI. Referring to the table: beginning at the left with the item $\mathrm{Bl} / \mathrm{C}$, for example, all males bred gave 166 carrying $\mathrm{Bl}: 165$ carrying C ; the females gave $192 \mathrm{Bl}: 174 \mathrm{C}$; both males and females gave $358 \mathrm{Bl}: 339$ C, in total of 697 gametes.

Table XI. A Summary of the Segregation of Factors of Heterozygous Males and Females of Telmatettix aztecus

| Heterozygotes | Segregation of factors in males |  | Segregation of factors in females |  | Segregation of factors in males and females combined |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+/ \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} + \\ 153 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & 157 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 213 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 228 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 366 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 385 \end{gathered}$ | 751 |
| $+/ \mathrm{Bl}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 312 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bl} \\ & 276 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} + \\ 96 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Bl} \\ 96 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 408 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bl} \\ & 372 \end{aligned}$ | 780 |
| $+/ \mathrm{H}$ | $\begin{gathered} + \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \\ 53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 134 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ | 247 |
| $+/ \mathrm{R}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 131 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} \\ & 167 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 276 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} \\ & 288 \end{aligned}$ | 564 |
| Bl/C | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bl} \\ & 166 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 165 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bl} \\ & 192 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & 174 \end{aligned}$ | Bl $358$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 339 \end{gathered}$ | 697 |
| Bl/H | Bl | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | Bl 32 | H 24 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Bl} \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | 57 |
| Bl/R | Bl | R | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Bl} \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Bl} \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | 223 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 211 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & 215 \end{aligned}$ | C $96$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 307 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & 283 \end{aligned}$ | 590 |
| C/R | C $149$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | C $58$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ 62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 207 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} \\ & 182 \end{aligned}$ | 389 |
| H/R | $\mathrm{H}$ $33$ | R 39 | H 24 | R 26 | $\mathrm{H}$ $57$ | R <br> 65 | 122 |
| Totals | 1222 | 1147 | 1022 | 1029 | 2244 | 2176 | 4420 |

In addition to the data summarized in the table above, there was one mating of a male $+/ \mathrm{C}$ to a female $+/ \mathrm{C}$. This gave $44+/ \mathrm{C}$ and $C / C: 14+1+$ individuals, a close approximation of the $3: 1$ ratio.

Cytology. Cytological preparations for the study of spermatogenesis in T. aztecus, prepared with the aid of Doctor Mary T. Harman, exhibit six and seven chromosomes in the nuclei of the secondary spermatocyte divisions.

Summary and Conclusions. 1. A series of four factors for dominant color patterns and their normal recessive have been analyzed. It appears that these factors are confined to the one series, or one pair of chromosomes, and that they are alternative, or so closely linked that no crossing over has occurred during the experiments.
2. The chromosome numbers have been found to be six and seven in the secondary spermatocyte division cells.

Tettigidea parvipennis pennata Morse - The Color Patterns of T. parvipennis pennata. (1) A yellowish white striped pronotum was designated as $C$; (2) two whitish lines extending the full length of the margins of the pronota (bilineata), D ; (3) slightly fulvoaeneous plus blackish striped pronotum, E; (4) narrow white spots, or bands on the posterior femora, F ; (5) melanic, i. e., the whole animal a dirty-brown to almost black, M. These five compose a group of apparent alternatives. (6) Light brownish-red pronotum and femora of the jumping legs, H . This one, H , is extremely loosely linked with the five alternatives, or multiple allelomorphs, and it may be of another pair of chromosomes and entirely independent (Bellamy, 1917, and his Plate).

Directions for Reading Table XII Showing Segregation of Factors for Color Patterns in T. parvipennis pennata. The first column shows the pairs of factors; the next two, under males, give the respective numbers of gametes, recorded from the males. The two middle columns show the gametes of the females, and the last column gives the total number of gametes from the respective pairings. Example, of the 462 gametes produced by individuals carrying D and F , the males gave

Table XII. Segregation of Factors for Color Patterns in
Tettigidea parvipennis pennata (Summarized from Bellamy's
Table, 1917)

| Heterozygotes | Males |  | Females |  | Males Females |  | Total gametes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C/D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | D | C | D | C | D |  |
|  |  | 9 | 8 | 6 | 18 | 15 | 33 |
| C/E | C | E | C | E | C | E |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| C/F | C | F | C | F | C | F |  |
|  | 78 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 79 | 157 |
| C/M | C96 | M | C | M | C | M |  |
|  |  | 82 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 82 | 178 |
| $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{E}$ | D | E | D | E | D | E |  |
|  | 4 | 5 | 165 | 156 | 169 | 161 | 330 |
| D/F | D | F | D | F | D | F |  |
|  | 180 | 198 | 46 | 38 | 226 | 236 | 462 |
| D/M | D25 | M | D | M | D | M |  |
|  |  | 17 | 59 | 62 | 84 | 79 | 163 |
| E/F | E | F | E | F | E | F |  |
|  | 32 | 47 | 85 | 101 | 117 | 148 | 265 |
| F/M | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | M | F | M | F | M |  |
|  |  | 28 | 43 | 48 | 65 | 76 | 141 |
| Totals | 447 | 465 | 409 | 415 | 856 | 880 | 1736 |

$180 \mathrm{D}: 198 \mathrm{~F}$; the females $46 \mathrm{D}: 38 \mathrm{~F}$; both males and females gave 226 D : to 236 F.

Explanation of the Use of Table XIII Showing Relations of the Factor $H$ with the Alternatives $C, D, E$ and $F$, in Males and Females of $T$. par. pennata. The pairings are shown at the left. The first column of figures shows the numbers, and the second column the crossovers, or segregations; the third column gives the percentage of crossing over, or segregation, in the males. The three columns, to the right, give the same data for the females. There were no pairings of C and H in the
females. Example, in the males, there were 355 gametes from EH pairings of which 171, 48.17 per cent, were crossovers or independent. In the females there were 59 gametes from EH pairings with 35 or 59.32 percent of independent segregation, or crossing over. The totals show a crossing over, or independent segregation of 45.81 per cent in the males and 47.95 per cent in the females.

Table XIII. Showing Relations of the Factor H with the Alternatives C, D, E and F in the Males and Females of Tettigidea parvipennis pennata

| Males |  |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factors | Numbers | Crossovers ${ }^{1}$ ) | Percent of crossing over | Numbers | Crossovers ${ }^{1}$ ) | Percent <br> of crossing over |
| CH | 14 | 6 | 42.85 |  |  |  |
| DH | 312 | 139 | 44.55 | 39 | 12 | 30.76 |
| EH | 355 | 171 | 48.17 | 59 | 35 | 59.32 |
| FH | 251 | 111 | 44.22 | 98 | 47 | 47.95 |
| Total | 932 | 427 | 45.81 | 196 | 94 | 47.95 |

Discussion and Summary of the Results of Breeding T. parvipennis pennata. The factors for the color patterns designated as $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}$ and M are certainly extremely closely linked, if they are not alternatives, or a series of multiple allelomorphs. The gene for the color pattern represented by H is probably located in a different pair of chromosomes from the five alternatives, as there is close to 50 per cent segregation in both the males and females (Bellamy, 1917; Haldane, 1920).

PARTHENOGENESIS IN THE TETTIGIDAE

The species Paratettix texanus, Apotettix eurycephalus, Telmatettix aztecus and Tettigidea parvipennis pennata, have produced offspring

[^3]parthenogenetically in the breeding experiments (Nabours, 1919, 1925; Nabours and Foster, 1925, 1929; Nabours and Snyder, 1924, 1928). Bisexual reproduction appears to be the normal method, but if the males are impotent, die before copulation, or if the females are not mated at all, a limited number of offspring, preponderantly females, are hatched from the unfertilized eggs. Rarely, if ever, do females give parthenogenetic offspring when they are mated to potent males. It appears that males are more frequently impotent than females. Examinations of the reproductive organs have revealed a number of cases of absence or deficiency in numbers of spermatozoa.

Parthenogenesis in P. texanus. Seventy-five females which were not exposed to males gave 625 offspring of which 393 females and one male became large enough to be recorded and there were seven the sex of which was not noted. In addition, thirty-three females, which had been exposed to males of contrasting dominant color patterns, produced offspring of which 187 females and one male were recorded. The offspring from these exposed females (1) were preponderantly females, (2) exhibited no traces of the dominant color patterns of the males with which the females had been placed, and, whenever tested by further breeding, (3) showed themselves to have been homozygous for those factors for which they should have been heterozygous had the eggs been fertilized; therefore, they were thought to have been from unfertilized eggs (Nabours and Foster, 1925, 1929).

Besides these 580 recorded females and two males which were produced parthenogenetically, fifteen mated females, in addition to their bisexual progenies, each gave from one to seven offspring, all females, which did not show any influence of the dominant characteristics of the males. They thus satisfied two of the criteria for parthenogenesis (loc. cit.).

Parthenogenesis in A. eurycephalus. There had been 5313 females and thirteen males hatched from unfertilized eggs in A. eurycephalus when the data were last assembled. Tables and descriptions showing the details of these experiments were published by Nabours (1925). There were many females which were never exposed to males, some
of them producing parthenogenetically for seven consecutive generations, and a few with which males were placed, but all the criteria pointed to the fact of the parthenogenetic origin of all their progenies (loc. cit.). As in P. texanus, a few females gave offspring both bisexually and parthenogenetically, and there were some which gave offspring from unfertilized eggs, and then, after mating with males, bisexual progenies.

Parthenogenesis in Telmatettix aztecus. Among fifteen groups of females which had not been exposed to males after becoming adult, twelve gave from one to 112 offspring. From two females, $+/ \mathrm{C}$, in one cage, 112 offspring hatched, and 102 were recorded, of which 49 were $+/+$ and $53 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C}$. Twelve females heterozygous for two factors, respectively, gave 68 of the one and 70 of the other alternative (Items 8-12, Table IV, Nabours and Snyder, 1928).

The individuals which gave parthenogenetic offspring were from progenitors collected exclusively from a small area along Shoal Creek, Austin, Texas, and had not been hybridized in the laboratory with stock from any other place.

Parthenogenesis in Tettigidea parvipennis pennata. There have been a few $T$. par. pennata females produced by parthenogenesis in the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station laboratory. One unmated individual of this species, of the genetic composition $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{M}$, for color patterns (Bellamy, 1917), gave two $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}$ and three $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M}$ females (Nabours and Foster, 1929).

Summary and Conclusions Regarding Parthenogenesis in the Tettigidae. The members of the species $P$. texanus, A. eurycephalus, T. aztecus and $T$. parvipennis pennata have been found to be bisexual, the fertilized eggs producing males and females in equal numbers, and parthenogenetic, the unfertilized eggs, with rare exceptions, hatching females. The females are generally much more prolific when mated than when unmated. A mated female may have part of her ova fertilized, and also produce from unfertilized ova, by parthenogenesis, an additional number of offspring which are nearly always females.

The segregation of factors occurs in all the individuals producing
parthenogenetically apparently to the same extent as in those reproducing bisexually. Crossing over of factors occurs in the females, where there is a linear arrangement of the genes, as in $P$. texanus and $A$. eurycephalus, in parthenogenetic breeding apparently to the same extent as in bisexual reproduction.

The inheritance results in parthenogenesis indicate that segregation and crossing over occur before the inception of the parthenogenetic processes, and that up to this stage in gametogenesis there is no essential departure from the usual procedure in bisexual reproduction.

As previously noted, p. 53, when the full number of fourteen chromosomes of the soma and oögonial cells of parthenogenetically produced individuals of $P$. texanus and A. eurycephalus are manifest, the members of the homologous pairs, respectively, lie together in early cell divisions, and not far apart, each from the other, in later cell generations, in such positions as to suggest that the second polar body division had been inhibited (Robertson, 1929, MSS.).

The second polocyte division in the Tettigidae (loc. cit.) as in other forms (see Wilson, 1925), is probably normally consequent upon the entrance of the sperm, another case of a later stage of maturation being overlapped by an earlier stage of fertilization. In the absence of the fertilizing sperm and the resultant complete, or partial inhibition of the last polocyte division, the diploidal condition is retained or restored, and if the specific gene, or complementary genes responsible for the parthenogenetic processes are present (Nabours and Foster, 1929), a chemical situation arises which conditions the initiation of development. Since it appears that any egg of these species is capable of being fertilized (those without the specific genes require it), such educement of development as described is, in effect, artificial parthenogenesis.

The parthenogenesis exhibited by these grouse locusts can hardly be classed as facultative and certainly not as obligatory (see definitions, Wilson, 1925, pp. 228, 229). It might perhaps be best entitled tychoparthenogenesis and preponderantly gynogenetic.

Bateson's (1922) challenge that a new stock must be evolved, or observed to evolve, which will not cross back with the parent stock, or if it does, will produce only sterile progeny, before evolution can be spoken of as a tait accompli, has been and appears still to remain the
impedimentum supremum in experimental evolution. This impediment was duly noted by Darwin and others. If a germinal variation or mutation of sufficient range to overcome this barrier should occur, or be educed in only the one individual, of either sex, it would be useless. The chance that the same variation would occur in the two sexes coincidentally is so remote as to render the idea of it untenable. Not as a general rule, but to cover a special way, if a sufficient mutation should occur in a female of tychoparthenogenetically reproducing organisms, might not this difficulty be obviated? In one line, among several, a female of $P$. texanus exposed to a male gave progeny parthenogenetically. Seven of these offspring were mated; five gave bisexual progenies, but the other two again reproduced parthenogenetically in spite of the males to which they were exposed. However, in this case the progeny of the second parthenogenetic generation, exposed to males, mated and gave bisexual offspring (Nabours and Foster, 1929). The two successive parthenogenetic generations, while the females were exposed to males, had very likely been a matter of impotency of the males rather than a mutation in a female. Nevertheless, there may be possibilities in this direction.

Peacock and Harrison (1925) found that hybrid moths from the crossing of Tephrosia bistortata males with T. crepuscularia females reproduced parthenogenetically. The unmated females of neither species alone would reproduce. They concluded mainly on the basis of this result that parthenogenesis was consequent upon hybridity. In a later paper (1926) these authors adduced from my tables (Nabours, $1919,1925)$ that all the parthenogenetic females of the grouse locust A. eurycephalus had been hybrids from the crossing of one variety of this species from the region of Houston, Texas, with another from Tampico, Mexico. They welcomed this as evidence constituting strong confirmation of their hypothesis. This proposition of Реacock and Harrison has probably received further support from the results of the parthenogenetic breeding of $P$. texanus (p. 89, and Nabours and Foster, 1929), if it be provided, as Peacock and Harrison did not, that the process of hybridism may bring together specific complementary, or climaxing genes which are responsible for, or cause the development of the unfertilized eggs.

```
INHERITANCEIN ORTHOPTERAOTHERTHANTHE
    TETTIGIDDAE
```

The difficulties of breeding the Locustidae, Acrididae and the Gryllidae have made the members of these families largely unavailable for inheritance studies. The few species of the Acrididae and Locustidae with which breeding experiments have been attempted, were extremely susceptible to fungus and other diseases and required from one to three years for each generation. The variety of color of the contrasting characteristics is not nearly so great in any of these as in several species of the sub-family Tettigidae.

## Haxcock's Studies of Inheritance in Green and Pink Katy-dids, Amblycorypha oblongifolia De Geer

A green male was mated to a pink female Katy-did, both of the species Amblycorypha oblongifolia. There were thirteen $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ progeny, eight pink and two green hatched two years, and one pink and two green hatched three years after the eggs had been oviposited. The $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ greens, as well as green parents from the field, when inbred, invariably gave green progenies. The $F_{1}$ pink individuals, from green mated to pink, when inbred, produced, requiring two and three years for hatching, 38 green: 90 pink offspring. It thus appears that the green and pink colors of $A$. oblongifolia compose a pair of Mendelian characters, with the pink color dominant (Hancock, 1916; Nabours, 1928).

Carothers's Identification of the Homologues of Given Pairs of Chromosomes from Parents to Offspring in Circotettix verruculatus

Carothers (1921) was able to make actual identification of the homologues of given pairs of chromosomes, the members of the heteromorphic, telomorphic and atelomorphic pairs, from fathers to sons in genetical experiments with Circotettix verruculatus. These wellmarked chromosomes segregated at random in such a way as to parallel the behavior of unit characters in Mendel's first and second laws of inheritance.

## The Phasmidae

Several persons have bred the walking sticks, mostly the species Bacillus rossii and Carausius (Dixippus) morosus, which reproduce both bisexually and parthenogenetically. MacBride and Jackson (1915) found that the females of $C$. morosus produced males and females in the ratio of about one to five hundred in parthenogenesis. They reported that either brown or green individuals gave a ratio of about one brown to 25 green progeny even in the third and fourth parthenogenetic generation. They concluded that the color characteristics were not influenced by the environment. Fryer (1914) woiked with the bisexual walkingstick, Clitumnus cuniculus, from Ceylon and was led to believe the color differences were inherited.

On the other hand, Dobkiewicz (1912) and Mangelsdorf (1926) interpreted the variations in colors of Dixippus (Carausius) morosus as due to the influence of the environment. Pantel and de Sinety (1918) came to the conclusion that other species of walking-sticks were dependent upon the surroundings for color variations.

Wankel (1871) and Graber (1873) observed polyandry and polygamy in the Tettigidae and other grasshoppers. Bolivar (1897), Dominique (1897, 1901), de Sinéty (1901), Godelmann (1901), Hanitsch (1902), Daiber (1904), Polak (1904), Stockard (1909), Nachtsheim (1923) and Cappe de Baillon (1926) are among many who have experimented with, or observed parthenogenesis and inheritance in the Phasmidae. The changes of colors from the time of hatching till the adult stage, the great mortality among the immature stages, time required for each generation, and other complications have rendered these insects very difficult material with which to carry on experimentation.

## The Mantidae

Mr. E. H. Ingersoll (1923-26, unpublished), in the Kans. Agricultural Experiment Station Laboratory, bred a considerable number of individuals of Stagmomantis carolina. These exhibited a variety of color patterns. He was able to determine that the nymphal colors were not influenced by the environment. Green appeared as a recessive to several other colors. Avellaneous body color was dominant to
a dark cinnamon pink. These insects proved to be extremely difficult to breed, and their color characteristics, including the nymphal changes, were extraordinarily complex.

Przibram (1906, 1909), Rau and Rau (1913), Adair (1924,) and Rabaud (1926) have studied color variations, parthenogenesis and, to some extent, heredity among the species of the Mantidae.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Contribution No. 105, Department of Zoology, Kansas State Agricultural College and Agricultural Experiment Station, U. S. A.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Dr. Robertson generously furnished the drawings of the chromosomes, figs. 12-15 (His figs. 26, 131, 1 and 15 , respectively). Subsequently, he has changed the order of numbering the chromosomes, and decided that No. 3 in the upper gonomere of fig. 12 (His fig. 1) is probably the unpaired (sex) chromosome.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) There are not sufficient data available for this characteristic to justify a definite conclusion regarding it. However, it appears to be very loosely linked, or independent of the others.

[^3]:    1) Probably independent segregation.
