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## INTRODUCTION

## KINGS OF NABATAEA

Little modification, except in small details, seems to be required in the arrangement of the Nabataean series proposed by R. Dussaud in his excellent study published in 1904, ${ }^{1}$ to which reference may be made for earlier numismatic literature.
ARETAS III (с. 87-62 в. с.).

The coinage begins with Aretas III Philhellen (about 87-62 в.c.), who acquired Damascus in 85 b.c., having defeated Antiochus XII. His coinage ${ }^{2}$ is for the most part a close copy of the bronze coins issued at Damascus (under the name of Demetrias) by Demetrius III Eukairos; indeed, even the portraits on the obverses of the two sets of coins are strikingly similar. In addition to the two types of coins described in this Catalogue, there is also a third similar to a type of Demetrias, viz. a female figure standing l., r. extended holding uncertain object, 1. resting on sceptre (Dussaud, no. 5, Pl. I. 3; here Pl. XLIX. 1, from the Paris specimen).

All the bronze coins of Aretas bear in the field the letters AP, which are not likely to be a date, a mark of value, an abbreviation

[^0]of the name of Aretas, or (least of all) of $i \in \rho \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$, all possibilities discussed by Dussaud. It is possible that Aretas may have renamed Damascus after himself, as Demetrius had done, and that AP represents this new name. In any case Aretas does not seem to have held Damascus very long, since it appears that the coins of 'Tigranes' third period ( $71-69$ в. c., see Macdonald in Head's Hist. Num. ${ }^{2}$, p. 773) were struck there, ${ }^{1}$ and in 66 it was occupied by Pompeius's legates. The later coins of the Nabataeans were therefore presumably struck at their old capital, Petra.

No coins with Nabataean inscriptions can be attributed to Aretas III. On the other hand, all trace of Greek disappears from the coinage of his successors, if we except the puzzling letters IKC on a coin of Malichus I (see below). How long Aretas III reigned after the expedition of Scaurus ( 62 B. c.) is not known.

> OBODAS II (с. 62-60 в.с.).

Obodas II has been proposed by Clermont-Ganneau to fill the gap between Aretas III $^{2}$ and the next king who appears in history, Malichus I (first mentioned as assisting Julius Caesar in 4.7 b. C., last mentioned in 30 в.c.). To this Obodas, Dussaud attributes silver didrachms (see P1. XLIX. 2, 3), ${ }^{3}$ dated in years 2 and 3 ; the elderly short-haired head on the obverse is quite different from the portrait on the coins attributable to Obodas III. Fabric and style show that these didrachms are not far removed in date from the didrachm attributed to Malichus I.

[^1]
## MALICHUS I (c. 60-30 в. с.).

Although Malichus I is not mentioned before 47 в. c., he may have been reigning for some time previously. Since the coins just mentioned, if rightly attributed to Obodas II, show that that king came to the throne at a ripe age, and did not perhaps reign more than three years, it may be suggested that Malichus I may have succeeded him in or soon after 60 b. c. If the date on the bronze coin to be mentioned immediately can be read 28, it seems necessary to assume this.

Dussaud assigns to Malichus I only the didrachm illustrated in P1. I. 5. This bears, in addition to the two Nabataean letters which occur constantly on coins of Obodas III and Aretas IV, the mysterious letters IKC. Neither of the interpretations hitherto suggested, $i[\epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} s] \kappa[\alpha i \dot{\alpha}] \sigma\left[u \hat{\nu}^{\prime} o v\right]$ nor $i\left[\epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha}_{s}\right] K[o i \lambda \hat{\eta} s] \Sigma$ [upías], commends itself. It is true that Dussaud's objection, that $i \in \rho \bar{\alpha} s$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \sigma u ́ \lambda o u$ are titles applicable only to a city, falls to the ground, since the coins were presumably struck in some city which might bear those titles; but the use of such a formula without a cityname is unparalleled; and the blunder presupposed in the abbreviation provides another objection. With regard to the second interpretation proposed by Dussaud, it is not clear whether he regards $i \in \rho \hat{\alpha} s$ as an epithet of $K o \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ Vupías (for the use of such an epithet to describe a large province he does not give any authority) or of some unnamed city in the province. In the second case the objection to the interpretation just rejected applies again. It is to be noted that all the other coins of the period are dated, and bear the date across the field; it, may therefore be suggested that IKE is the attempt of a person, but slightly acquainted with Greek, to date the coin. [ may possibly be meant for ${ }^{~} E$ (rous), and IK for 30 , since a Nabataean, accustomed to write 30 as $20+10$, might conceivably construct a Greek date on the same plan. Another possibility, more remote however, is that he used $I$ for 1 , and meant the date to be 21 .

Among the coins of Aretas IV, with which it has no affinity in portraiture, style, or arrangement of inscription, Dussaud, following Clermont-Ganneau, places a large bronze coin (here PI. XLIX. 4), on which the king's name is obliterated. It bears across the field-like the coins of the period with which we are dealing-a date which has been read as 43 . So high a date would certainly point to the reign of Aretas IV ; but the middle numeral seems to me to be possibly not 20 , but 5 . This reading-yielding the date 28 -is confirmed by M. Babelon, who has kindly reexamined the original. Now in fabric. portrait, general style, and arrangement of inscription this coin is as closely allied to the silver of Malichus I as it is unlike the coins of Aretas IV. It would thus seem that Malichus I reigned at least twenty-eight years; possibly thirty, if my interpretation of IKE is correct. There is just room for such a term of years between the date suggested alove for his accession and 30 b. c., when he is last mentioned.

In addition to the letters IK[ the didrachm of Malichus I bears the Nabataean $\pi$ and also a circular sign. These two occur frequently on the succeeding coins, both silver and bronze, and no explanation of their meaning is forthcoming. The $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ might possibly be the initial of a mint, as was suggested for the AP on the coins of Aretas III.

> OBODAS III (c. 30-9 в. с.).

If the reign of Malichus I really extended from about 60 to 30 B. C., it is not possible to accept a proposal of Dussaud's, ${ }^{1}$ by which he would interpolate between Aretas III and Malichus I not one but two kings of the name of Obodas. His reason for the interpolation of this new Obodas (who would be Obodas III, the Obodas who reigned circa $30-9$ b.c. becoming Obodas IV)

[^2]is the following. To this Obodas of $30-9$ в. c. had been attributed two classes of coins :

1. 'Ptolemaic' coins of years 3 and $5,{ }^{1}$ weighing 6.90 gm . and 6.94 gm . (obv. head of king and queen, jugate ; rer. eagle).
2. 'Attic' ${ }^{2}$ coins of years 10 to 20, weighing 4.50 gm . max., average 4.386 gm . (olr. head of king; rer. head of king and queen, jugate).

Now, however, it has been discovered that there exist coins of this latter class dated in the first year of Obodas (weights 4.75 gm., 4.15 gm .). It seems to Dussaud better, therefore, to transfer the Ptolemaic coins to an earlier Obodas, whom he places after Obodas II and before Malichus I, with a reign of at least five years, leaving coins of uniformly 'Attic' weight to the old Obodas III, who now becomes Obodas IV. From a metrological standpoint this new arrangement is certainly advantageous. But it is open to the following objections:
(1) It leaves no time for the long reign which, if the bronze coin which I assign to Malichus I is rightly attributed and the date rightly read, that king must have enjoyed.
(2) It invents a new king of whom nothing is otherwise known. (This, of course, in the fragmentary state of our information, is not a strong objection.)
(3) While making a good metrological sequence it breaks the sequence of types; since the coins which Dussaud transfers to before Mahichus I bear on the obverse two heads jugate, as in the later fashion, whereas the coins of Malichus I have only one head.

I prefer therefore to adhere to Dussaud's older arrangement. The appearance of coins of the 'Attic' standard early in the king's reign, before the Ptolemaic standard had been discarded, would point merely to his making experiments with a new standard before giving up the old. As the coins were of very different

[^3]weights and sizes, no confusion would result; the double standard of the coins of Croesus, for instance, must have been much more likely to cause trouble. ${ }^{1}$ They may have been meant, as it is supposed the coins of Croesus were meant, for commerce in two different directions. In any case, since the average weight of all the known coins of the Ptolemaic standard struck by the Nabatacans is 6.70 gm ., and that of the 'Attic' drachms of Obodas III is 4.41 gm ., two of the older coins ( 13.40 gm .) would be worth little more than three of the new ( 13.22 gm. ), so that the two sorts could be used together.

On the so-called 'Attic' drachms of Obodas III of his first year, one side, which bears the king's name and the date, has a diademed portrait; on the other are the jugate busts of a queen, veiled, and of the king. The queen's head is placed in the first plane. On the later coins of this king,' whether 'Ptolemaic' or 'Attic', the king's head takes its place in the first plane. Dussaud suggests ${ }^{3}$ that Obodas came to the throne as a minor; that the female bust on the coins of year 1 is his mother's, on the later coins his wife's ${ }^{4}$; and that it was during the regency that the notorious Syllaeus, who really ruled in Nabataea during the reign of Obodas, was first appointed epitropos.

There can be little doubt that the single bust represents a king, the jugate busts a king and queen throughout the Nabataean series henceforward. ${ }^{5}$ There is probably no particular significance

[^4]in this repetition of the king's lust alone. The eagle on the didrachms was merely a copy of the Ptolemaic or Tyrian type; as Dussaud remarks, it disappeared when the Ptolemaic didrachm was superseded by the new draehm, and was succeeded, 'sans grands frais d'imagination', by the king's head. ${ }^{1}$ The appearance of the jugate busts may, as Dussaud remarks, point to the queen's being queen by the right of birth and not merely as consort; in other words, as in Egypt, the king married a sister or a cousin german. But it is noticeable that it is not until we come to the reign of Malichus II that the queen is described as sister of the king; the fact that this description was thought necessary would rather indicate that in previous reigns the king did not marry his sister.
$$
\text { ARETAS IV (9 в. c. }-40 \text { A. D.). }
$$

The most plentiful issue of coins in the Nabataean series was in the reign of Aretas $I V^{2}$; hence doubtless the fact that the silver coins with his bust came to be known as ${ }^{4}{ }^{3}$, which the editors of the C.I.S. (II. 198) render sicli Haretici.

The dates on the coins of Aretas IV, even when they are not off the flan, are frequently very obscure. I am compelled to differ from Dussaud in the reading of some of these dates.

Of the wives of Aretas, Huldu seems to have lived until at least the sixteenth year of her husband's reign, and Shaqilath to have
however (Num. Zt., 1916, p. 191), accepts Morey's identification. [The article which is bere and henceforward cited as Bostra is the Appendix to Div. II, Sect. A, Part 4 of Publications of the Princeton University Archaeological Expedition to Syria in 1904-5 and 1909, which contains a revised version of the article in the Rev. Num. and a Catalogue of the Coinage of Bostra. I have to thank Mr. Morey for a copy of this Appendix.]
${ }^{1}$ As we shall see, something of the same kind seems to have happened on the Himyarite coins.
${ }^{2}$ On this king and his descendants, see Dalman, Nene Petra-Forschungen, p. 106 f . The existence of a wife of Aretas called Hagiru is very problematical.
${ }^{3}$ Cp. םub by Nordtmann, Num. Zeit., XII (18s0', p. 319.
become queen as carly as the twentieth year. This latter date depends on no. 7 (p.6), which appears to read clearly ' 20 ', although Dussaud reads it ' 40 ', presumably regarding the numeral, the top of which has run into the border of dots, as having three curves instead of the usual two. The date 24 on no. 8 he reads as 30 , whereas the cross (representing 4) attached to the tail of the 20 is fairly clear on the original. The other dates on coins of this couple in the British Museum are doubtful. The name of Shaqilath is not legible on any coins later than that of year 24, unless no. 13 is really of year 27; but the types continue the same until year 48 of Aretas, so that we may assume that she shared his throne to the end.

Among the types of bronze coinage of Aretas IV not represented in this collection are the following:
a. Olv. Ilead of Aretas r., laureate.

Rev. Draped and turretel figure (City-goldess) standing r., holding palm-branch in I., r. hand raised. Remains of inscr. 'king of Nabataea, year 4 '.
E 18 mm. Paris; Dussaud, p. 218, no. 25; here Pl. XLIX. 7.
$\beta$. Obv. IIead of Aretas r., laureate; name and titles of Aretas.
Rev. Eagle standing l.; inser. ' half (obol) of silver, year 10 '.
※ 19 mm . Paris (two specimens). Dussaud, p. 221, no. 32: here PI. XLIX. 8.
This is the half of the bronze 'obols of silver 'represented by nos. 5, 6 (see below, p. xxi).
\%. Obv. Head of Aretas r., laureate.
Rev. Two cornuacopiae and palm-branch ; in field 1., $\dagger$ º
※ 14 mu. Dussaud, p. 231, no. 57. Here Pl. XLIX. 9.
The letters $\boldsymbol{\$} \boldsymbol{9}$ are unexplained. Possibly they may represent , פת , one of the children of Aretas IV. ${ }^{1}$
$\boldsymbol{U}$, $\boldsymbol{U}$, and (nos. 14 ff .) probably all stand for the same word, in the sense of 'concordia'.

[^5]Aretas IV seems to have held Damascus for a time, ${ }^{1}$ but there is no evidence that he struck coins there.

Mr. E. T. Newell possesses a bronze coin with $甘$ b between the two cornuacopiae on the reverse, and, on the obverse, $=$ behind a head (to r.), which by its style and dressing of the hair in long ringlets may be of Aretas IV, or even earlier. It is unfortunately too badly preserved to be worth reproducing.

## MALICHUS II (c. A. D. 40-71).

Under Malichus II $^{2}$ the practice of placing the busts of king and queen jugate on the silver disappears, although it is retained on the bronze. Shaqilath, the queen, is described on the coins as sister of the king. ${ }^{3}$ As Dussaud has pointed out, on the coins of this reign the date is placed on the obverse after the king's name, not on the reverse as in the reign of Aretas IY. There is, however, doubtless no significance in this arrangement; the title 'lover of his people' borne by Aretas made it difficult to find space for the date after his name.

RABBEL II (c. A. D. 71-106).
Rabbel II ${ }^{4}$ reigned for a short time as minor under the regency of his mother Shaqilath, as is proved by bronze coins from
${ }^{1} 2$ Cor. xi. 32.
${ }^{2}$ Malichus II (son of Aretas IV and Shaqilath I) reigned over thirty years. Littmann (Princeton Univ. Arch. Erpec., Div. IV, Sect. A, p. 21) reads a date as 'year 33 of Malik', but the number of units seems doubtful, and the date is perhaps 31.
${ }^{3}$ Half-sister, if Dalman (Neue Petra-Forschungen, p. 106) is right in his genealogical list.
${ }^{4}$ Son of Malichus II and Shaqilath II. His accession is fixed to A. D. 70-71 by an inscription (C.I.S., I, 161), which equates his twenty-fourth year to year 405 of the 'Roman' (i.e. Seleucid) era. This Seleucid date has also been read 410 (giving A. D. 75 as Rabbel's first year), but 405 seems to be correct.
the collections of the Marquis de Vogiié and of Dussaud himself. ${ }^{1}$ One Oneishu, described as 'brother of the queen Shaqilath', in the sense of ${ }^{\text {entirpotos, }}{ }^{2}$ assisted the queen in the government. Subsequently Rabbel married Gamilath, and all the coins (on none of them are any dates legible with certainty) were issued in their joint names.

The existence of a Malichus III in succession to Rablee II, assumed by Dussaud, is, as Schürer has argued, very doubtful. The dynasty came to an end with the creation of the province of Arabia in 106.

The Berlin Cabinet possesses three bronze coins procured recently at Bostra; one is of Malichus II and Shaqilath, of the usual types; the second is an unusually rude specimen of Rabbel II and Gamilath; but the third is a new variety :

Obv. Figure standing 1., r. raised ; border of dots.
liex. Similar type; in field r . inscr., apparently

This is therefore to be attributed to Malichus II and Shagilath, or, less probably, to Rabbel with his mother.

## THE STANDARD OF THE SILVER.

The weights of the didrachm are as follows:
Obodas II $6.78 \mathrm{gm} ., 6.76 \mathrm{gm}$.
Malichus I 6.51 gm .
Obodas III $6.90 \mathrm{gm} ., 6.54 \mathrm{gm}$.

[^6]This is obviously the didrachm of the Tyrian or Ptolemaic standard.
The weights of the drachm are as follows:
Obodas III, maximum 4.75 gm .; average 4.41 gm .
Aretas IV, ${ }^{1}$ maximum 4.79 gm . ; average 4.204 gm .
If the four very light coins below 4 gm., evidently underweighted, are omitted from the calculation, the average is 4.36 gm . The coin was thus two-thirds of the Ptolemaic didrachm. It is obvious that so high an average is unlikely if the standard is supposed to be Attic. The evidence of contemporary coinages, such as those of Aradus or Parthia, shows that the level of the 'Attic' standard in these parts is much lower. The standard is evidently some independent, doubtless local one, with which we are not acquainted.
These 'drachms' are the of Aretas mentioned abore.
Malichus II, maximum 3.98 gm .; average 3.5 .2 gm .
Rabbel II, maximum 3.59 gm .; average 3.40 gm .
It is not unreasonable to suppose that these were intended to conform to the Roman denarius, which from the close of the First Punic War until the time of Nero was normally 3.90 gm.'

The terms 'obol of silver' (מעה בפק) and 'half of silver' ( בD" evidently mean that the pieces in question were to pass current for $\frac{1}{6}$ and $\frac{1}{12}$ of a drachm of silver respectively. ${ }^{3}$ The pieces in question weigh from 11.26 gm . to 9.20 gm . and 5.3 g gm . respectively, but owing to the inexactitude of the weights of token money at this period it is difficult to guess what denominations

[^7]they were meant to represent. Normally the silver obol was worth eight chalkoi. Babelon gives the average weight of the chalkous of the Syrian kings (Antiochus IV and Alexander Bala) as barely $6 \mathrm{gm} .^{1}$ But the coin inscribed XA^KOY $\Sigma$, attributed to Agrippa II, and apparently struck in A.D. $86,{ }^{2}$ weighs only 1.46 gm . Eight of these would weigh 11.68 gm ., which is a little more than the highest weight of the obols of Aretas IV. It is probable, however, that in his time the normal weight of the chalkous was considerably higher than in the time of Agrippa II, and the object of the inscriptions on his bronze was evidently to give it a forced value. It was in fact mere token money.

## ARABIA PROVINCIA*

The Arabian cities whose coins are catalogued in this rolume are those which happened to be comprised in the province from the time of its institution in A.D. 106 down to the end of the period of the Greek coinage. ${ }^{4}$ Thus the mints of Philadelphia, Gerasa, Dium, and Philippopolis are included, although they were originally in the Decapolis, ${ }^{5}$ and were only transferred to Arabia in the reign of Severus at the earliest. But Canatha, which was transferred at the same time, had then ceased to issue coins ${ }^{6}$; it is therefore omitted from this volume. Eboda, of which a solitary coin of Nero's time is known, might have been omitted on the same grounds, but is included because its coinage does not find

[^8]a place in the series of any other province. The latest Greek coins issued by any Arabian city are of the time of Valerian and Gallienus.

## ADRAA.

Adraa (Adrri"dt in the Hauran, the Biblical Edre'i) was a minor centre of the cult of Dusares, whose baetyl is represented on the coins. ${ }^{1}$ It was originally in the Nabataean kingdom, and was doubtless included in Provincia Arabia at the time of the constitution of the province, whose era is employed on the coinage. ${ }^{2}$

The coinage ${ }^{3}$ begins in the Antonine period (Marcus Aurelius, Lucilla, Commodus) and continues to Valerian and Gallienus. The chief types are:

Baetyl of the god Dusares ( $\triangle O Y C A P H C$ © $\mathcal{O}$ C) placed (sometimes) between two ornaments (horns of the altar? ${ }^{4}$ on a square basis, probably a licibah or môtcl (P1. III. 5). This is the type which has usually been described as a wine-press, but has been at last recognized for what it really is by Dussaud. ${ }^{5}$

Bust of City-goddess, turreted (TYXH).
City-goddess (TYXH), standing, turreted, resting on spear or sceptre, and holding human head. On a coin in the British Museum (Pl. III. 4) this figure appears in a shrine. The head

[^9]which she holds is that of the emperor ; see B.M.C., Palestine, p. xix.

Herakles seated on rock, r. resting on club.
Two deities, one lying down, the other seated behind (apparently a river-god, presumably the Wadi Zeidi, and Tyche). Inscription $\mathbf{A} \triangle$ PAHNWN. O? M? TYXHC $\epsilon_{(\text {(tous })} \mathbf{B O}^{(P a r i s) . ~ S e e ~}$ P1. XLIX. 12. The doubtful letters may point to the title Ko $\mu$ $\mu 0 \delta \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, of which there seems to be a trace on another coin of Commodus recorded by Hardouin. ${ }^{1}$

The inscription $\triangle$ OYCAPIA which is supposed to occur on a coin of Adraa is perhaps really $\triangle$ OYCAPHC. ${ }^{2}$ The description: 'Table on which is an urn, between two small figures; under ${ }^{3}$ the table, a press', suggests that the 'urn' is not a prize-crown or vase, but the baetyl of Dusares.

## BOSTRA.

Bostra, the modern Boşra, ${ }^{4}$ belonged to the Nabataean kingdom and was included by Trajan under the name N $\epsilon$ ́ $\alpha$ T $\rho \alpha \ddot{a} \alpha \nu \eta ̀$ Bó $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha$ in the Provincia Arabia. Its era dates from this incorporation, beginning March 22, 106. ${ }^{5}$

The earliest coins which can with certainty be assigned to Bostra are of Antoninus Pius; but it is generally supposed that the coins of Hadrian, with the bust of Arabia holding two small figures ${ }^{6}$

[^10]in her arms (Pl. III. 1-3), were struck at this mint. There are a few quasi-autonomous coins (p. 16, nos. 1, 2) which seem to bear the date ${ }^{1} \leqslant($ (Jous $) \quad 20$, i.e. 76, which would place them in the reign of Commodus; and another, apparently not dated, is mentioned below.

The date of the erection of Bostra into a colony is in dispute. Eckhel ${ }^{2}$ is inclined to refer the statement of Damascius, that it was made a colony ( $\pi 0 \lambda i \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ) by 'Severus', to Septimius Severus rather than Severus Alexander. This must have been after 1. D. 209-10, as the existence of Greek coins of Septimins Severus and Domna shows. Some of the small coins of Caracalla inscribed COL.MET•ANTONINIANA•AVR... (in various forms), and usually classed under Carrhae, have been attributed to Bostra; for they are said to read B, and one of them BOSTRA in full, after the other titles. ${ }^{3}$ These readings, up to the present, entirely lack confirmation ; and it may be remarked that, if Bostra was already called Metropolis in the reign of Caracalla, it would hardly have dropped the title only to resume it under Philip. Further, while these coins of Caracalla do not resemble in style or fabric those of Bostra either before or after his reign, they are extremely close to those of Carrhae. De Saulcy ${ }^{4}$ seems therefore to have been justified in rejecting the attribution to Bostra altogether. ${ }^{5}$ Nor is it probable that Elagabalus was responsible for the foundation; for the one coin which seems to show that the place was a colony

[^11]in his time is equally unverified. ${ }^{1}$ In fact, there is no satisfactory evidence of the foundation of the colony before Severus Alexander. ${ }^{2}$ It then takes the title Colonia Bostra Nova Traiana Alexandriana. Under Philip it receives the title Metropolis, and the titles acquired from Trajan and Severus Alexander disappear. The coinage comes to an end with Trajan Decius or Trebonianus Gallus.

The types are as interesting as they are puzzling. Of chief importance is the god Dusares. ${ }^{3}$ There is an anthropomorphic representation of this god on a coin of Commodus:-

## 

Bust of Commodus r.
liev. BOCTPHN $W$ N $\triangle O Y C A P H C$. Beardless male bust r. draped, wearing diadem or fillet.

Æ 22 mm . Princeton Art Museum. C. R. Morey, Rev. Num., 1911, p. $69=$ Bostra, 1. 12, no. 12, fig. 11 (here Pl. XLIX. 13).

The bust on the reverse of a badly preserved coin of Philip, which Morey takes for Philip Junior, perhaps represents the same god (p. 23, no. 39).

Since Dusares was identified with Dionysos, ${ }^{5}$ it has been thought that he is to be recognized in the god who appears on a coin of
${ }^{1}$ Pellerin, Mél. de Mél. i (1765), p. 300, no. 6. Obe. IMP. M. AVR. ANTUNIN. Bust of Elagabalus laureate. Rev. N. TRA. BOSTRA. Founder ploughing with two oxen. Cf. Mionnet, v, 582, 20 (who gives N. TPA. BOSTRA). The mixture of Latin and Greek on the obverse is, of course, possible, but does not add to our confidence in the reading. Kubitschek, op. cit., p. 187, thinks that Bostra may have been made a colony in the last days of Elagabalus, while Alexander was Caesar.
${ }^{2}$ P. Meyer, Flecheisen's Jahrbücher f. class. Philol., xliii, 1897, p. 595, note, cuts the difficulty ly saying that Bostra received 'Staditrecht' under Septimius Severus, and became a colony under Severus Alexander.
${ }^{3}$ See especially Baethgen, Beitr. z. semit. Religionsyesch., pp. 92 ff.; Cumont in Pauly-Wissowa, v, 1865 f.; Dussaud, Notes de Mythologie Syr:, pp. 169 ff.; C. R. Morey, Rev. Numı, 1911, pp. $69 \mathrm{ff} .=$ Bostra, pp. 1 ff .
${ }^{4}$ Cf. the inscription on the coin of Commodus in the British Museum (no. 12): $\Lambda A$ YPKOM KA ?]ICAV(?) TVIO[EB. On $\Gamma$ for $[$ and $S$, see Kubitschek, op. cit., p. 190, n. 3.
${ }^{5}$ See e.g. G. Dalman, l'etra u. s. Felsheiliytümer (1908), p. 50. In the dedication by Syllaeus at Miletus he is identified with Zeus.

Elagabalus (Pl. IV. 3), on which the attribute of the god has been taken for a panther. But there is little doubt that that god is the same as the one who appears under Trajan Decius (P1. IV. 13), ${ }^{1}$ where the animal accompanying him seems to be more like a ram than a panther; in fact he is no other than Zeus Ammon (see below).

Dussaud has explained the type, usually described as a winepress, which is found on various coins of Bostra (Pl. IV. 12 and XLIX. 14), as three baetyls sacred to Dusares. Kubitschek ${ }^{2}$ dismisses this interpretation as a 'verlorene Sache'; and recently Morey has endeavoured to revive the wine-press theory. ${ }^{3}$ I confess that the arguments against Dussaud's views seem to me to be quite baseless. The fact that an anthropomorphic representation of the god occurs under Commodus is certainly no reason for supposing that an aniconic representation would not occur later; the evidence of coinages, such as those of Perga or Ephesus, where primitive cultus statues existed, proves the precise contrary. Secondly, the object does not, apparently, bear any very close resemblance to any known form of ancient wine-press. ${ }^{4}$ If the central portion is a press, the two objects at the sides are certainly not in the least like vases. It is true that no satisfactory explanation has been given of the flat objects ${ }^{5}$ of which seven are piled on the central baetyl, and one on each of the side ones; but such

[^12]caps to baetyls are known in other cases, and occur both singly and doubly at Paphos. ${ }^{1}$ The number seven may have some religious significance, as Dussaud remarks.

The platform on which the baetyls rest, and the top of which is approached by steps, is doubtless, as Dussaud has shown, a sort of altar, môtab or liceluch. It is true that Suidas or his source (s.v. $\Theta \in v \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta s)$ says that the baetyl of Dusares had square faces and rested on a golden base ; but possibly he confused the omphalosshaped baetyl itself with the square base on which it rested. The coins of Adraa show the baetyl in a simpler form (see above).

The fact that two camels (or rather the figures thereof) were dedicated by Nabataeans to Dusares, according to an inscription at Puteoli, ${ }^{2}$ does not prove, though it does suggest, that the camel was his sacred animal; nor is that necessary to explain the appearance of the camel on the coins of Bostra. A quasi-autonomous coin (here Pl. XLIX. 15) in the Paris Cabinet (olv. head of City-goddess) is described by Morey (no. 1) as having on the reverse two camels, one with a rider (possibly Dusares). But the animals, and the type as a whole, bear an extraordinarily close resemblance to the type on the coins of Orthosia in Phoenicia ${ }^{3}$; and the animals, whether they be panthers or griffins, are almost certainly winged. On the other hand, a camel-rider appears on a coin of Caracalla or Elagabalus (Pl. XLIX. 16) with the half-read and unexplained inscription OEOKANI (??), ${ }^{4}$ which seems to contain the element $\Theta \in O$, and may therefore be a god's name.

The games celebrated at the annual festival of Dusares (Dec. 25) were known as the Actia Dusaria, as is proved by the inscriptions on the coins (nos. 40-42).

[^13]If Dussaud is happy in his interpretation of the baetylic type of Dusares, he is less certainly right in his theory of the identification of Zeus Ammon with the Arabian god. Ammon appears as the god of the third legion (Cyrenaica) which was quartered at Bostra. ${ }^{1}$ He is represented on a coin already mentioned (Pl. IV. 13) in soldier's garb, proving his comnexion with the legion. A very interesting Concordia type (cf. Rev. Num., 1911, plate iii, 10) shows the god, representing the legion, in concord with the Citygoddess.

The City-goddess type (TYXH) is manifestly influenced by the Astarte-City-goddess of Phoenicia and Palestine (P1. III. 8). One font is placed on the back of a small crouching animal; unfortunately this detail is obscure on all specimens known to me, although de Saulcy identifies it as a lion on a good specimen which was in his collection. ${ }^{2}$ She rests her hand on a spear, which is apparently topped by a small trophy, although this detail is seldom in any degree clear. She holds a cornucopiae. On one coin, of Mamaea (Pl. IV. 9), two small creatures, which have been taken for centaurs, stand on either side of her; Dussaud is, however, probably right in describing them as bulls. ${ }^{3}$ It may be observed that in a dedication at Petra, ${ }^{4}$ by one of the panegyriarchs of Adraa, a goddess is figured; she is described as being seated, wearing modius and veil and holding a cornucopiae, with two oxen recumbent at her feet. We have already seen that another

[^14]of these dedications，in the same place，is connected with Dusares． We may take it that this goddess，who is also the City－goddess of Adraa and Bostra，is Allât，the consort of Dusares，or possibly the $X \alpha \alpha \mu 0 \hat{v}$ mentioned by St．Epiphanius as the virgin－mother of that god．Dussaud＇s attempt to explain away St．Epiphanius＇s account is based on the doubtful reading $X \alpha \alpha \beta o \hat{v} .^{1}$

A very interesting type of the City－goddess is the Athena of P1．III．9．There can be no doubt that she is the City－goddess， since the inscription calls her Tyche．There is abundant evidence that，in the Hauran，Athena was identified with Allât．${ }^{2}$

The＇god of（the tribe）Qatsiu＇was worshipped at Bostra，${ }^{3}$ but the coins do not help to inform us how he was represented，and whether he was identical with $Z$ tùs Káoıos or Ba＇al－Shamin． Another unidentified god of Bostra was Aarra（אาผ）．${ }^{4}$

The coin－engravers of Bostra seem to have used the genitive of the town name BOCTP $\Omega N$ and the ethnic BOCTPHN $\Omega N$ indifferently．Among the Latin inscriptions on the coins is found the transliteration BOSTRON．

Many of the smaller coins of Bostra in the third century （e．g．nos．22－7，32－8）seem to have been produced by casting． There is no doubt that this process was more frequently used in antiquity，at any rate under the Roman Empire，than is usually supposed．

## CHARACHMOBA．

The Qir－Môab of the Old Testament，el－Kerali at the present day．${ }^{3}$ The coins，which are all of Elagabalus，were unknown

[^15]until Babelon published two of the specimens now in the British Museum. The third was at the time unknown to him. On this coin, unfortunately badly preserved, a figure is seated before an erection on which is a tall object between two small baetyls (?), i.e. probably an altar or cult-stone of Dusares, as on coins of Bostra and Adraa, rather than a wine-press; but the central object in this case looks more like a column ${ }^{1}$ than an omphalosshaped baetyl.

## DILII.

Dium ${ }^{2}$ of the Decapolis probably belonged to Provincia Arabia in the time of Septimius Severus, when its coins were issued ${ }^{3}$; certainly that was the case at a later time. Its site is very uncertain: Kefr-Abil, near Pella; Êdîn and Qul'at el-Husn, near Irbid: and Tell-el-Asliari, N. of el-Muzêrib have all been suggested. ${ }^{4}$

The era employed on the coins is the Pompeian, since the place received its liberty from Pompeius. In the Decapolis this era seems to have dated from Oct. 63 b.c. ${ }^{5}$ Consequently the dates which appear on the coins of Caracalla and Geta (268, 270, 271, and 275 ) are all, with the exception of the last, within the reign of Septimius Severus. The coin of Caracalla, reputed to bear the date $\in O C$, rests on the authority of Sestini only; that of Geta, with apparently the same date, has been shown by de Saulcy to be really of year $270(0 \mathrm{C})$. There is, of course, nothing improbable in the issue of a coin by Caracalla in $275=$ A. D. 212-13. The type

[^16]of this piece, according to Sestini, is the City-goddess seated, with a river-god at her feet.

The type of the other coins is the Syrian grod Hadad (Pl. IV. 15, 16), who appears in many slightly varying forms on coins of Syrian cities, notably Rhosus and Raphanea ${ }^{1}$; the bulls are a constant element in the type. At Rhosus, as at Dium, horms appear on the top of his head. Hadad, being equated by the Greeks with Zeus, was appropriately worshipped at a place called Dium.

## EBODA.

The site of " $E \beta \omega \delta \alpha^{2}$ is at $e l-{ }^{*} A b d a$, in Arabia Petraea, south of Elusa. It is sometimes called Oboda, and Zeus Obodas was worshipped there.

Apparently the only known specimen of the coinage is that identified by Imhoof-Blumer :

Obv. [NEPWN] K $\triangle A Y \triangle I O C$ KAII. Head of Nero r., laureate.
Rev. EBW l., $[\Delta] \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ r. Nike apteros l., semi-nude, holding wreath in r., palm-branch in 1.
※ 16 mm . Berlin (Imhoof-Blumer Collection, here Pl. XIIX. 17): see Mionnet, Suppl. viii, 387, 21 (under Esbus); de Saulcy, p. 394 ; Imhoof-Blumer, loc. cit.

The occurrence of a coinage in this district so early as the time of Nero is surprising; but it must be remembered that the relations of Eboda with Gaza (between which and Petra it was about half-way) must have been fairly close; and Gaza had a coinage at this time.

[^17]
## ESBUS.

'E $\sigma \beta$ ous, the Biblical Heshbôn, is the modern Hesbûn between Philadelphia and Medaba, 26 km . east of the north end of the Dead Sea. ${ }^{1}$

The coins are probably all of the time of Elagabalus, although some of them have been attributed to Caracalla. The types are an ordinary seated Zeus, holding phiale (Pl. v. 1); the City-goddess in her temple, her right foot on a small figure, an obscure object (perhaps the emperor's bust ${ }^{2}$ ) in her hand (Pl. V. 2) ; and the god illustrated on Pl. V. 3. The last is the second type described by de Saulcy as Astarte holding a small bust; but it is apparently a male god, ${ }^{3}$ perhaps akin to the so-called Mên who appears on the coins of Gaba. ${ }^{4}$ The conical object which he holds resembles a pine-cone, but it may perhaps be compared with the conical stone, if it be a stone, held by the City-goddess on coins of Sebaste in Samaria. ${ }^{5}$ A serpent twines round the spear or sceptre on which he leans. ${ }^{6}$

The coins show that Esbus was called Aurelia.
The inscription on the obverse is in Latin (save for the beginning, AVT instead of IMP), that on the reverse in Greek.

## GERASA.

Gerasa (Jercish) was probably included in the Provincia Arabia at the time of its foundation. ${ }^{7}$ The coins bear no dates, but the

[^18]so-called Pompeian era was in use on inscriptions there. ${ }^{1}$ The place is not mentioned in history lefore Josephus, who says that it was taken by Alexander Jannaeus. ${ }^{2}$ But one of the Seleucid kings, probably Antiochus IV, who was fond of renaming cities,
 This is proved by various inscriptions of the second century after Christ, which speak of the inhabitants as 'Avtıox $i$ is oi $\pi \rho o ̀ s$
 and L. Verus which bear the same legend abbreviated. These coins are published by Imhoof-Blumer. ${ }^{4}$ The type of that of M. Aurelius (Pl. XLIX. 18) is Tyche, with rudder and cornucopiae, standing, with the emperor (?) togate, standing to front behind her; that of L. Verus (PI. XLIX. 19) bears Tyche seated on a rock, holding ears of corn, with a figure of the river-god Chrysorrhoas swimming at her feet.

The coins of imperial date belong for the most part, if not entirely, to the period of the city's greatest prosperity in the second century, and extend from Hadrian to Commodus, and perhaps to Severus Alexander. ${ }^{5}$ Under the bust on the obverse

[^19]of many of the coins of Hadrian are certain unexplained letters, which have been read $\in \wedge I, \Delta \in, A \mathbf{I}, \mathbb{\&}$. It does not seem possible to read them as dates, or as part of the title of the emperor.

The prevailing type is the bust of Artemis as Tyche of the city (P1. V. 4-6). ${ }^{1}$ Dedications to the goddess are found among the inscriptions from the site ; the great temple of Gerasa was dedicated to her, not, as formerly supposed, to the Sun. ${ }^{2}$ The coins throw no light on the other cults of the city which are revealed by the inscriptions (Zeus Olympios with Hera, Zeus Helios Sarapis with Isis and $N \epsilon \omega \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \alpha$, i. e. Nephthys, ${ }^{3}$ the $\Theta \epsilon$ òs ' $A \rho \alpha \beta$ ıкós, presumably Dusares, icc.).

## MEDABA.

Medaba (Nâdubu), chiefly famous for its geographical mosaic, ${ }^{4}$ was not known to hare struck coins until Babelon ${ }^{5}$ published one of the Hamburger specimens, now in the British Museum (P1. V. 9), and another in the Paris Cabinet, which had been described by de Saulcy as possibly a coin of Rabbathmoba or Gaba. ${ }^{6}$ To these coins, which are of Elagabalus, we may now add two dated coins of Caracalla, nos. 1 and 2 (P1. V. 7, 8) in this catalogue, and two

129-30. when a statue of the emperor was erected in the city ; see Bleekmann in Zeitich: D. P. V., xxxvi, p. 231, and cf. ibid., p. 260 f. ; or it may have been connected with the wintering of eight troops of the Cavalry of the Guard at Gerasa, which Cheesman (Journ. Rom. Stud., iv (1914), p. 16) supposes to have taken place in A. D. 132.
${ }^{1}$ De Saulcy describes one coin of Hadrian (p. 385, 3; Mionnet, v, p. 329, 57) as having the bust placed on a crescent.
${ }^{2}$ H. Lucas in Mitt. u. Nachr: des deutschen Palästina-Vereins, 1901, pp. 50 ff.;
 Schumacher, Zeitsch. D. P. J.. xxv (1902), p. 130, adheres to the view that the great temple was dedicated to the Sun. For other inscriptions, besides the references given by Schürer, p. 179, note, see Princeton Unir. Expedition, div. iii, sect. A, part i, p, 18 f.
${ }^{3}$ A. J. Reinach, Rev. Ét. gr:, 1912, p. 68.
${ }^{4}$ A. Jacoly, Das geogr: Mosaik ron Madaba (1905). On the site see A. Musil, Alcabia Petraek, i (1907), pp. 113-23.
${ }^{5}$ Comptes Rendus de l'Acal., 1898, p. $387=$ Mél. Num., iii, pp. 251 ff.
${ }^{6}$ De Saulcy, Terve Sainte, p. 358.
of Septimins Severus. ${ }^{1}$ De Saulcy read the coin of Elagabalus in the Paris Cabinet ... BHN TYXH, and Babelon accordingly assumes, for this coin, the ethnic $M H \triangle A B H N W N$. Possibly the $H$ is a badly formed $\boldsymbol{W}$. The coins of Septimius Severus, if rightly read, confirm the termination $-\eta \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$; but in the illustration given the last three letters $N \Omega N$ are indicated as doubtful, while in the text the letters [HN] are bracketed. The draughtsman has read the first two letters as MA; he may be right, since the form $M \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha \beta \alpha$ is one of the many which the name assumes in literature.

All the other coins read MH $\triangle A B \Omega N$ TYXH. The City-goddess is represented, on three of the four specimens where she appears, as holding a human bust, which has been shown elsewhere to be that of the reigning emperor. ${ }^{2}$ On the fourth the object in her right hand, which is held close to her body, is not distinguishable.

An interesting coin of Septimius Severus, published ly R. P. Decloedt, represents Helios $(\mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{N})$ in a quadriga to front, his head to 1 . and his $r$. hand raised ; the torch which Père Decloedt says that he holds in his left arm is not shown in the illustration. Behind him appears a double arc which may be meant to indicate the heavens.

The coins of Caracalla appear to be dated by the Arabian era, which, as Kubitschek remarks, ${ }^{3}$ was naturally used by Medaba so long as it belonged to the Arabian province. The dates on the two coins in the British Museum appear to me to be $\mathbf{P} \in$ (A. D. 21011) rather than Pe (A. D. 214-15).

## MOCA.

De Saulcy ${ }^{4}$ is rightly doubtful of the existence of coins of Moca. Coins of Antoninns Pius and Septimius Severus were described by Vaillant ${ }^{5}$ as reading respectively MOKA IEP. ACY. AYTO (City-

[^20]goddess in tetrastyle temple, in r. spear, in 1. cornucopiae) and MOKA IEP. ACY A. AY (female figure standing, holding poppyhead and corn-ears in r., cornucopiae in l.). Nionnet ${ }^{1}$ described similarly a specimen of the former from the Beaucousin cabinet, and a specimen of the latter (with a slight difference in the inscription, MOKA. IEP. A. AYTO) which de Saulcy has shown to be really a coin of Hermocapelia. Yet another autonomous piece attributed to Moca is stated by de Saulcy to belong to Mopsus. The coin of Antoninus Pius has not been verified. ${ }^{2}$

## PETRA.

Petra, ${ }^{3}$ the capital of the Nabataean kingdom, was presumably the chief mint of the Nabataean regal coinage. Its coinage under the Roman empire is of comparatively small interest, and extends only from Hadrian to Geta. The coins show that Hadrian bestowed on the city the titles Hadriana and Metropolis. ${ }^{4}$ The coinage under this emperor must have been considerable in extent, since among the twelve coins in the British Museum only two show the use of a common obverse die.

The chief type is the City-goddess (Pl. V. 10, 11, 13-15), who, according to Dalman, is to be identified with Allât-Manâtu. ${ }^{5}$ She carries a trophy, and sometimes holds in her other hand other
${ }^{1}$ v. p. 586, nos. 40, 41.
${ }^{2}$ Mr. E. S. G. Robinson suggests that it may be a coin of Dora, with the inscription $\triangle W P A . ~ I \in P$. ACY. AYTO к.т. . and a type similar to that of B.M.C., Phoenicia, p. 118, no. 43 (Elagabalus). In the illustration in Gessner, Num. Ant. Imp. Rom., pl. Cll, fig. 46, the word MOKA occupies the same position in the exergue as the word $\triangle W P A$ on the coin of Elagabalus. and the representation of the temple looks as if the engraver had omitted the gable and one column on each side.
${ }^{3}$ See especially Brünnow-Domaszewski, i, pp. 125-428; A. Musil, Arabia Petraea, ii (1907), pp. 41 ff.; G. Dalman, Petıa u. s. Felsheiligtïmer (1908), and Neue Petra-Forschungen (1912).
${ }^{+}$De Saulcy, pp. 351-3. Perhaps the titles were given on the occasion of a visit by Hadrian in 130. Kubitschek, X'um. Zeif.. 1916, pp. 185-6.
${ }^{5}$ Petra, 1. 52.
oljects which cannot be easily made out. On one coin, the object has been described ${ }^{1}$ as a human bust; but it differs little from the object which, on another piece (Pl. XLIX. 21), ${ }^{2}$ looks like a small stele, and may perhaps represent a deity." Usually, however, her right hand is open. ${ }^{4}$ On a coin of Antoninus Pius (Pl. V. 13) she is shown sacrificing. ${ }^{5}$ The coins throw no light on the cult of Dusares, the chief god of the Arabians, unless the olject held by the City-goddess, as above described, is connected with him.

De Saulcy has described a series of coins of Elagabalus which, if rightly attributed, would show that Petra became a Roman colony in the reign of that emperor. These coins, which are not uncommon, ${ }^{6}$ appear always to come from Palestine. The reverse type is a founder (who is only partly visible) ploughing to $r$. with two oxen. The inscription in the exergue is COLOU or COLONI (with A sometimes in front of the oxen's forefeet); above is PET^^, PET^, or PEIA. The lower bar of the $I$ is, however, apparently the remains of a line drawn to regulate the lettering. The fabric is usually thick and dumpy, entirely unlike anything found at Petra, but resembling that of the smaller coins of places like Caesarea Samariae and Ascalon. Under the circumstances the series camnot yet lue accepted as belonging to Petra.
${ }^{1}$ De Saulcy, p. 353.
${ }^{2}$ In the market in 1906; obr. AYK - - YH PO[TE - bust of Severus r.. laureate; in conntermark on neck, $\Delta$; rev. A $\triangle$ PIANHTIET PAMH

TPOПO^IC. City-goddess seatel 1 . as described in text. Mionnet ( v , 1. 588,49 ) describes a coin of Geta bearing on the obverse $\mathcal{E}$ in countermark.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. the pillar-idols so frequent at Petra; Dalman, Petra, p. 70.
${ }^{4}$ Her fingers have appareutly been taken for ears of corn by de Saulcy (p. 351, no. 1); and the cornucopiae and palm-branch which have been described as carried by her on some specimens seem to be equally doultful.
${ }^{5}$ Probably also on a joint coin of two Antonine emperors, where de Saulcy describes the reverse type as a pontifex.
${ }^{6}$ De Sanlcy mentions three in the Paris Cabinet, two in his own collection (acquired at Jerusalem), and one (under l'ella, p. 292, 'Caracalla') from the Clermont-Ganneau collection; this last is now in the British Museum, which also acquired three others with the Hamburger collection.

De Saulcy has pointed out that the letters read as $\mathbf{P} \wedge \Delta$ by Pellerin on a coin of Septimius Severus are really MHT. No dated coins of Petra are known.

## PHILADELPHIA.

Philadelphia, ${ }^{1}$ the Biblical Rabbah or Rabbuth-bene-Ammón, is represented by extensive ruins at 'Ammân. It acquired its Greek name from Ptolemy Philadelphus, who rebuilt it. Stephanus (s.v. $\Phi \iota \lambda \alpha \delta \in ́ \lambda \phi \epsilon \iota \alpha)$ says that it was called ' $A \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta$, which may be a confusion with 'A $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \alpha$, since a goddess of this name is proved by the coins to have been worshipped there, and since Eustathius ${ }^{2}$ actually mentions a city called Asteria in Syria. The coins, ${ }^{3}$ which are inscribed $\Phi I \wedge A \Delta \in \wedge \Phi \in \Omega N$ KOI^HC CYPIAC, show that the place was included in Coele-Syria, and it is mentioned by Pliny under Decapolis; but it belonged to the province of Arabia as early as A.D. 138, and doubtless from the constitution of the province. ${ }^{4}$ It continued, however, to employ the Pompeian era of 63 в. c. at least as late as A. D. 164-5.

The Ammonites in Rabbah as elsewhere worshipped the god Milkom, ${ }^{5}$ and this worship evidently survived into the Roman period, since the Herakles, whose figure ${ }^{6}$ or head (often assimilated to the portrait of the Caesar of the time) and sacred chariot appear on the coins, is clearly the 'Tyrian Herakles', ${ }^{7}$ Molech-MelqarthMilkom. According to one version, ${ }^{8}$ the mother of the Tyrian

[^21]Herakles was Asteria, who also is represented and named on the coins, as a reiled goddess with a star surmounting her head ${ }^{1}$ (PI. VI. 9).

The sacred chariot of Herakles (HPAK^EION APMA), ${ }^{2}$ which is represented on some coins (Pl. VI. 8 and 12), is evidently one of those shrines, whether wheeled or provided with carrying poles, used for carrying an idol or cult-objects in procession, of which Phoenicia provides various examples. ${ }^{3}$

The helmeted bust which appears both as an independent type and as an adjunct to the portrait of Antoninus Pius is usually described as Athena, but appears rather to be male (Pl. VI. 7).

Of the other types of Philadelphia, we may mention the Citygoddess (TYXH $\Phi I \wedge A \triangle \in \wedge \Phi \in I A C$ ), who is depicted in the usual Astarte-like form. The Dioscuri also occur; the type is probably only an allusion to the name of the city, and does not prove the existence of a cult there. The head of 'Bacchus', described by Vaillant on coins of Hadrian and Antoninus Pius, seems to require verification. ${ }^{4}$ The Herakles types have already been mentioned, but attention should be called to the remarkable coin at Paris of M. Aurelius and L. Verus, with the facing bust of the god HPAK $\wedge$ HC holding a club over his shoulder. ${ }^{5}$ (Here PI. XLIX. 20.)

Of the quasi-autonomous coins described by de Saulcy, his first

[^22]is a misread coin of Philadelphia in Cilicia, ${ }^{1}$ and his second appears to be badly preserved and of doubtful attribution. His coin of Agrippina Junior belongs to the Lydian Philadelphia. ${ }^{2}$ Thus there remain no coins earlier than the reign of Titus. From henceforward until the reign of Elagabalus the coinage is fairly continuous. The coins of Severus Alexander cited by de Saulcy rest on the authority of Sestini only.

## PHILIPPOPOLIS.

Philippopolis was founded as a Roman colony by Philip the Arabian. The site is at Shuhba (or Shehba), about 7 kil. north of el-Ḳ(cucucût (Canatha?). ${ }^{3}$ A building which bears inscriptions in honour of members of Philip's family, including his father Julius Marinus, was probably a temple in which the deified Marinus was worshipped. ${ }^{4}$ Coins struck by Philip commemorate the apotheosis of his father, whose bust is bome by an eagle, and surrounded by the inscription $\Theta \in \Omega$ MAPIN $\Omega$ (Pl. VI. 14, 15). ${ }^{5}$ But the statue of Roma on the coins with the portraits of the two Philips and Otacilia bears in her hand an eagle supporting not one but two small figures (Pl. VI. 16, 17). These are possibly intended for Marinus and his wife, the mother of Philip, although there is no evidence that she was divinized. ${ }^{6}$

[^23]The coins of Philippopolis are not dated（though the city used a local era，about A．D．244，commemorating its foundation）．${ }^{1}$ Philip gave it the status of a Roman colony，lut the lack of Latin among the colonists is proved ly the use of Greek inscriptions．The letters SC in the field are an attempt to repair the omission，on the analogy of the coins of Syrian Antioch，which likewise used the title KO $\wedge \Omega$ NIA in Greek．

The only reverse types of Philippopolis represent Roma，either standing or seated；when standing she holds a phiale，when seated the two figures．All the coins were evidently struck at the same time．

## RABBATHMOBA．

The ruins of Rabbathmoba，which the Greeks called Areopolis， are at er－Rabbu．${ }^{2}$ The difficult question of the relations of the ancient places Ar and Kerioth with Rabbathmoba cannot be dis－ cussed here．${ }^{3}$ The following points are，however，to be noted：

Kerioth（Qeriyyoth）was apparently the chief cultus－place of the Moabite god Kemosh（Moalite Stone，G．A．Cooke，N．Sem． Inscr．，p．3）．

The old name of Areopolis was Ariel（＇Apı́⿱亠八）：Theodoret，Comm． in Is．，c． 16 and 29 （Migne，？Patr．Gr．，81， 275 and 302）；cf．Hieron．， de situ et nomin．locorum Helr．（Migne，？Patr．Gr．，23，162）：some consider Ariel（Isa．xxix．1）to be Areopolis，＇eo quod ibi usque nunc Ariel idolum colunt，vocatum $\alpha \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} " A \rho \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ，id est a Marte，unde et civitatem dictam suspicantur＇＇；but St．Jerome takes Ariel here

[^24]to refer to Jerusalem．Elsewhere（Comm．i九兀 Iš，c．15，1）identifying Areopolis with the ancient Ar，he denies the derivation from Ares．

The god who is represented on the coins of Rabbathmoba is， in the first place，a war－god（Pl．VII．1，2）．But the torch－like altars which flank his figure（they are not ordinary incense altars， since they evidently burn with a large flame）suggest a comnexion with fire；and this is signifieant in view of the most favoured interpretation of the word גרא as＇altar－hearth＇．＇

But for the statement of Eusebius it would be natural to give the name Kemosh to the deity represented on the coins of Rabbath－ moba；and indeed it is possible that Eusebius misunderstood his authority，and applied to the god the name that really belonged to his fire－altars；or there may have existed between the god and his altars the same intimate union as seems to be indicated in the case of the Arabian god Dusares and his motub，${ }^{2}$ the two being mentioned on an equality．Baethgen ${ }^{3}$ has already pointed out that Kemosh was probably a war－god．

The coins of Rabbathmoba belong chiefly to Septimius Severus and his family．It may be doubted whether those which are attributed to Antoninus Pius and Gordian III（see de Saulcy） are rightly read；there is，however，no reason to doubt de Saulcy＇s coin of Elagabalus，whose head seems also to occur in countermarks on coins of the city（e．g．no．3）．${ }^{\text {t }}$

Besides the type of the war－god，we find on the coins the City－ goddess，her left foot on a river－god，resting with her $r$ ．on a spear（？），and holding in her 1．the emperor＇s bust．${ }^{5}$ The type of Poseidon used on coins of Caracalla（no．$\overline{\text { a }}$ ）is interesting in con－ nexion with the fact that the city seems to have been subject to eirthquakes．${ }^{6}$

[^25]Readings by Vaillant suggest that the place-name was sometimes written Rabbathmoma, and this form is also attested by one of the manuscripts of Stephanus.

The era used on the coins is that of the province.

## ARABIA FELIX

According to Strabo, ${ }^{1}$ whose information is based on Eratosthenes, there were four leading tribes in occupation of Southern





 yarites from this list is due to the fact that they did not rise to power until after the time of Eratosthenes. Pliny (vi. 161), on the other hand, mentions the Himyarites, omitting the Katabanians, whose place they had taken. They had already been mentioned (circa A.d. 50-70) by the author of the Periplus.

Hitherto the coins of Southern Arabia have always been classed together as 'Himyarite'. It will be seen that a more exact term for a great part of them would be 'Sabaean', and also that there is ground for distinguishing two small groups of coins, one attributable to the Minaeans, the other to the Katabanians, although this latter group can only be regarded as subordinate to the main Himyarite series. ${ }^{3}$

[^26]
## SABAEAN, HIMYARITE, AND KATABANIAN COINAGES.

Since the rise of the Himyarites to power probably did not take place before the middle of the second century b.c., when their capital at Sapphar regia (Safur, near Ierim) superseded the old Sabaean capital at Mariaba (Ma'rib), the earliest series of the coins with which we are concerned should strictly be regarded as Sabaean rather than Himyarite. Nevertheless, the chronology is so uncertain, and the series are interlaced in so curious a way, that it is
dealing with the epigraphic remains, and the portion of the Corpus Inscriptionum Semiticarmm which includes the inscriptions is still in progress of publication. W. T. Pilter, in Proc. Soc. Bibl. Arch., xxxix (1917), has published an Index of the South Arabian Proper Names contained in the U.I.S.

## Numismatics.

Adr. de Longpérier, Rev. Num., 1868, pp. 169 ff.; W. F. Prideaux, Trans. Soc. Bibl. Ajcch., ii (1873), pp. 5, 6, 23 ; ibid., Joum. As. Soc. Benycl, 1881, pp. 95 ff.; B. V. Head, Ňum. Chıon., 1878, pp. 273 ff.; 1880, pp. 303 ff.; J. H. Mordtmann, Num. Zeit., 1880, pp. 289 ff. ; G. Schlumberger, Le T'úsor de Sun'û (Paris). 1880 ; Rev. Num., 1886, pp. 369 ff.; Casanova, Rev. Num., 1893, pp. 176 ff.; D. H. Müller und J. W. Kubitschek, Sïdurabische Altertümer. (Vienna), 1899, usually hereafter quoted as 'M. u. K.'; E. Babelon, Traité des Monnaies grecques et romaines, II, ii, pp. 686 ff .; G. F. Hill, Ancient Coinage of Southern Arabia, in Proc. Brit. Academy, vol. vii, 1915 (this is the basis of the present section).

## Ifistory and Georgraphy.

D. H. Müller, Burgen und Schuösser Südorabiens nach dem Iklîl des Hamdûn̂̂, in Sitzungber: d. Wiener Akadewie, Bd. 94 (1879), pp. 335-423, and Bd. 97 (1880), pp. 955-1050 ; especially pp. 981-96 and 1012-23 of the latter volume ; see also his additions to the lists of kings in Zeitschr. Deutsch. Morg. Ges., 37 (1883), p. 390. E. Glaser, Die Abessinier in Arabien und Afriko, 1895. Martin Hartmann, Der Islamische Orient, ii (Die Arabische Frage), 1909, is written from the political and sociological rather than from the historical standpoint. The summary by Tkač in Pauly-Wissowa-Kroll, Reatencyclopïdie. viii, 2182 ff . (Homeritae), and his immense article 'Saba' in the same work, IA 2, 1298 1511, may also be consulted. The latter appeared too late for consultation while this section was being written, but has been referred to later. I have been unable to obtain a sight of Part I of vol. i of Eduard Glaser's Skizze der Gesshichte und Geographie Arabiens (Munich, 1889). Vol. ii of the same book (Berlin, 1890) deals with the geography, and I have frequently referrecl to his more ingenious than convincing theories; but the most useful treatment of this subject is still A. Sprenger, Die alte Geographie Arabiens (Bern, 1875).
very difficult to draw any line between them. Roughly speaking, we may assume that the earliest coins, which are direct imitations of the earlier Attic coinage, belong to the Sabaean period, while the later, flat coins (of that which we may for convenience call the San'a class), ${ }^{1}$ with a reverse type derived from the Attic coinage of the 'New Style', the small coins with names and heads of various kings, and the 'bucranium' series must certainly belong to the Himyarite period. But there are certain single coins, or small groups, which, although in fabric and types they look fairly early, seem by their monograms and inscriptions to be intimately connected with the San'a class, apparently so much later.

The following is an attempt at a provisional classification of the various series.

## I. Initations of the Older Attic Types.

a. Obv. Head of Athena. Rev. Owl, with olive-spray, crescent, and $\mathrm{A} \Theta \mathrm{E}$, more or less blundered ; traces of incuse square on some specimens [PI. VII. 3-8].

The largest coins which appear to belong to this class are reproductions of the Athenian tetradrachm ; the only specimens known to me are at Berlin. ${ }^{2}$ One is countermarked on the obverse with $\mathbf{X}$ (Sabaean $\boldsymbol{J}$ ) and $\boldsymbol{A}$; another has something likè a Sabaean monogram (possibly meant for reversed, with $\boldsymbol{N}$ below it) scratched on the reverse.

But these large coins are quite exceptional, and we are justified

[^27]in regarding as the ordinary unit the smaller coins of 5.55 gm . maximum. ${ }^{1}$

These units all show the Sabaean $\boldsymbol{g}$ on the cheek of Athena; the halves, when legible, are similarly marked with $\mathfrak{j}$ : the quarter's with $\boldsymbol{\pi},{ }^{2}$ the eighths with $\dot{ש}$. The same system appears to be followed on the series next to be described. On the San'a coins, howerer, we find the halves marked sometimes with $\mathfrak{g}$ ( p .58 , no. 2.2 ), sometimes with $\boldsymbol{J}$ (p. 58, nos. 18-20, and Schlumberger, Pl. II, 23, 31 ; III. 47), while a cross (which may be meant for $\AA$, though that is not certain) occurs on the reverse of some of the San'a units (e.g. no. 36 and Schlumberger, Pl. III. 48, 49) which have $\boldsymbol{J}$ on the obverse. The $\boldsymbol{P}\left(\frac{\zeta}{4}\right)$ which is found on one half (no. 40, cp. Schlumberger, Pl. III. г6) may perhaps be really 「 (д). Schlumberger has suggested that the $\boldsymbol{J}$ is the initiał of Nejran ( $\left.N^{\prime} \neq \gamma \rho \alpha \nu \alpha\right)$. But if he is right, it would seem to follow that the other letters mentioned above are also mint-initials, ${ }^{3}$ and that, at least in the earliest period, the four different denominations were issued from four different mints. If this seems improbable, it is, for the following reasons, equally difficult to accept the view, which suggests itself upon the consideration of the earlier series, that the letters are the initials of denominations. Schlumberger records (p. 22) an early Attic tetrudruchim which has been countermarked with a Sabaean J, ${ }^{4}$ and, as already stated, the $\boldsymbol{J}$ is found on halves of the San'a class. We have also seen that the Berlin Museum possesses ${ }^{5}$ a piece of about the weight of the Attic tetradrachm ( 16.95 gm .), imitated from the earlier Attic types, with two countermarks, viz. $\mathbf{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}$, of which the former may be the Himyarite $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$; and this letter, as we have seen, is found on the quarters.

[^28]The coins of the class with which we are dealing bear nothing Sabaean or Himyarite about them save their style and the letter on the cheek of Athena (the 'tetradrachms' at Berlin being without even the latter distinguishing mark of Arab origin). They still retain traces of the incuse square, and were dated by Head ${ }^{1}$ about 400 b.c. It is, however, clear from the treatment of the eye that they are imitated from the comparatively late Attic coins which may themselves be dated to the fourth century (c. 393-322 b.c. according to Head). ${ }^{2}$ The earliest imitations themselves are scarcely earlier than the third century. ${ }^{3}$
$\beta$. Similar to series $\alpha$, but slightly broader in fabric and later in style; on the reverse, Sabaean letter or monogram ; traces of incuse square rarely if ever present (Pl. VII. 9-23). The units, halves, and quarters are marked with the same letters as in series $\alpha$; no eighths seem to be known.

These coins must cover a fairly long period of time; for in proportion to the number of specimens known (the collections in London and Vienna provide all or nearly all of them), the number of varieties is comparatively large, at least nineteen different letters or monograms being represented ; while to strike the thirty-eight specimens of the unit in the British Museum alone about twentynine obverse and twenty-seven reverse dies were required. The series may be dated to the second century b.c. The lower limit is furnished by the fact that some coins which resemble this series in fabric and style are intimately connected by monograms and inscriptions with the Hat coins of the San'a class.

The following letters or monograms occur on coins of this series; I record only those of which I have seen originals, casts, or clear photographs:-
(1) $\boldsymbol{G}=$ ב. B. M. p. 48, nos. 24-5.
(2) $B=$ D. B. M. nos. 26-7.

[^29]（3） $\boldsymbol{\xi}=$ §．$\quad$ B．M．nos．28－35．
（4）
$\bar{y}=9+$ ．B．M．nos．36－7．
（5）
$\Gamma=\mathfrak{i}+\boldsymbol{y} . \quad$ B．M．nos．38－40．Cp．M．u．К．nos． $24,25$.
（6） $\mathbb{H}=9+2+$ T．B．M．nos．41－3 and Philipsen Collection（Hirsch， Katal．xxv，3072）．Cp．the place $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$（Khamir）described as a strong fortress by Al－Hamdani（M．u．K．，p．93）．

（8） $\mathbb{4}=9+7+$ 2．$\quad$ B．M．nos． $46-7,62$ ．
（9） $\boldsymbol{K}=\beth(:)+p+\boldsymbol{n}(?)$ ．B．M．nos． $48-50$ ．
（10） $\boldsymbol{9}=\mathbf{7 世}^{\circ}$ ．B．M．nos．51－3．
（11）$\prod_{1}=\boldsymbol{h} \div 7+$ N．B．M．nos．51－3．
（12）
 no． 23 （Taf．XIV．12）．
（13）茙 $=\mathbf{N}+(?)+$ ． B．M．no． 66.

10．11．The letters $\boldsymbol{9}, \mathbf{7}$ ，and $\dot{y}$ seem to be common to all three．
（15） $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \boldsymbol{U}=7+\overline{3}+\boldsymbol{7}$ ．See M．u．K．no．26．This also occurs on coins of the Şan＇a class，and Mordtmanu（Num．Zeit．，1880，p．305） suggests that it gives the name حضور（Haḍur）of a mountain and castle between San＇a and Kaukeban．
（16）${ }_{\ddagger}^{\AA}=9+コ+\beth$ or $+\boldsymbol{+}$（cp．no． 13 above）．M．и．K．no．26．The additional sign there given beside the monogram is the curred sign which occurs on so many coins and which is discussed below．In fact this coin is one of the links between the earliest and the San＇a class．
（17）両 $=($ or $\ddot{y})+\boldsymbol{\square}+7$ ．Paris，Babelon，Traité，1118，PI．CXXVI． 12.
（18）An incomplete monogram of which the only certain element is $\boldsymbol{8}$（ㄱ）．М．и．К．no． 16 ．
（19） $\mathrm{HY}=\overline{7}+$ ？B．M．nos． $54-7$ ；Vienna，M．u．K．nos． $12,15,16$ ．

This last group has been the subject of considerable discussion.
Mordtmann ${ }^{1}$ explains the right-hand sign as $\bar{\pi}$ or $\pi$, which ordinarily take the forms $\frac{\Psi}{\zeta}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}^{2}$ He notes that these two signs, sometimes in reversed order, are frequently found in lapidary inscriptions, now at the end, now at the beginning of the inscription. They remind him of the unexplained which precedes certain passages in the Koran. It is to be noterl that this group of signs is not confined to this particular series, but also occurs on the small transitional group to be discussed below, and on the earlier of the Sanea series. Schlumberger ${ }^{3}$ suggests that it is the mark of the unit (drachm). As it occurs so irregularly, this explanation may be at once rejected. Casanova, ${ }^{4}$ who pulblishes an interesting lapidary instance, where it is combined with the 'gazelle-bucranium' to be discussed later, thinks it has some religious significance. The fact that the sign is uniformly on a larger scale than its accompanying $\overline{\rceil}$ seems to me to show that it is not an ordinary letter, but some special symbol. It is possibly a degenerate pictograph derived from the bucranium and associated with 'Athtar ${ }^{5}$ : but still more probable appears to me the derivation from the Babylonian twin-serpent sceptre. The earliest example ${ }^{6}$ of the twin-serpent-sceptre motif is found on a libation vase in the Louvre of dark green steatite dedicated by Gudea. patesi of Lagash, to Ningishzida, his patron deity, about $24 \check{0} 0$ b.c. ${ }^{7}$ Ningishzida in his chief aspect was a war-god and a Sumerian prototype of the god Ninib in his later character, whose emblem

[^30]was the twin lion-headed sceptre; so that the twining serpents with natural heads are the direct ancestors of the lion-headed serpents of the later emblem, as we get it, for instance, on a boundary-stone of Nazimaruttash, ${ }^{1}$ about 1330 b.c. I take it that the wavy form generally assumed by the tail of the Sabaean sign in question is a relic of the spirals of the serpents' tails.

The other sign, as I have said, is usually, if not always represented of the same size as the ordinary letters of the inscription, and is doultless only $\uparrow$. Weber and the editors of the C.I.S., however, regard it as a special symbol, the former elaborating a most ingenious theory, which identifies it with the double curved symbol which occurs so frequently upon the later coins (see below). If he were right in this last identification, then (1) the voided and solid forms of the curved symbol must be distinguished, because (2) the solid form, at least, of the curved symbol occurs occasionally in connexion with and in addition to the group of signs which we are discussing. (See, for instance, p. 54, nos. 2 ff . in this Cutalogue.) But that the solid and roided forms of the curved symbol cannot be distinguished in significance is clear from the fact that both are used indifferently in the same context on coins of the San'a class.
$\gamma$. In a small group of coins, comprising two specimens at Vienna, ${ }^{2}$ one at Paris (Pl. L. 1), and one in the British Museum (Pl. VII. 24), we find on the obverse, instead of the head of Athena, a beardless male head with curly hair, in which the Viennese scholars see a resemblance to the portrait of Philetairos on Pergamene coins. To me, if it is a copy of anything, it seems to reproduce rather the Soter portrait on Ptolemaic coins and Cyrenaic didrachms of the Ptolemaic period ${ }^{3}$; but it is probably an attempt at a portrait of a local ruler. That is surely true of the heads on the

[^31]succeeding group. There is no letter on the cheek. The owl is more erect than on the series $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and $A \odot E$ is absent. The monograms are more elaborate, and there are two on each coin. That on the right of the second Vienna coin is the same as appears on the Paris and London coins, which are incomplete on the left. The Paris coin shows a below the right-hand monogram, which thus consists of $\bar{M}+\bar{y}+\boldsymbol{\square}+\boldsymbol{+}+\boldsymbol{\beth}$. The left-hand monogram, judging from the photographs, consists of $\square \boldsymbol{9}$ ( $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\prime}$ ) with 4 ( ) above; but Muiller and Kubitschek draw it as a more elaborate combination. ${ }^{1}$

ס. On this group (Pl. VII. 25-6) the Attic types have disappeared. On the obverse we have a beardless portrait of pronouncedly Semitic character. On the reverse is a much less characteristic head, bearded. The curly-haired Semite of the obverse connects this group, which is represented, so far as I know, only by the two half-drachms in the British Museum, with group $\gamma$. On the reverse of no. 71 we have the monogram which contains the same elements as a monogram which is found on one of the two coins of group $\gamma$ at Vienna. ${ }^{2}$ On the other coin we have in the exergue the name Harb, on the left a mutilated monogram (possibly the same as that just mentioned), and on the right another monogram , well-known on later Katabanian coins, some of which were also struck at Harb (see below, p. lxxv). We may therefore perhaps regard these two groups, $\gamma$ and $\delta$, as representing the earliest Katabanian coinage, which developed, side by side with the coinage of the San'a class, into the later coinage with the full names of kings.
$\epsilon$. The latest of the coins imitated from the older Attic coins (Pl. VII. 27-9) retain the old types, the 1 on the cheek of Athena

[^32]for the units, the broken-down $A \odot E$ and the pair of signs $H Y$ on the reverse; but they introduce certain new features, viz. the Yanaf monogram, the curved sign (see p. lvii f.), and the very puzzling inscription $\rho \rho \boldsymbol{\Omega} \vee \boldsymbol{\wedge} \boldsymbol{h}$. ${ }^{1}$ One of these coins in the British Museum (Pl. VII. 29) appears to have a bare male head on the obverse, instead of the head of Athena; but in its present condition this is not certain.

The monogram \& $\boldsymbol{\&}^{\boldsymbol{8}}$ (=, Yanaf) represents a regal surname ('exalted '). Mordtmann ${ }^{2}$ has remarked that this name occurs as the surname of three kings of Saba, all called Samah'ali; of a king whose name is missing on an inscription of Sapphar, ${ }^{3}$ and elsewhere ; while in the form IANAAD it is inscribed on one of the later Aethiopic coins. Mordtmann further notes that since the word has no significance in Aethiopic, the equation IANAA $\Phi={ }^{2}$ י helps to confirm Von Gutschmid's theory that of the two names which occur on the Axumite coins one represents the under-king of Yemen. It is obvious that all the Sabacan or Himyarite coins with the Yanaf monogram are not necessarily to be attributed to one ruler on account of that monogram only. Nevertheless, it would be unreasonable on the ground of fabric alone to separate the coins of the group now under consideration from those of the Sanfa class which are connected with them by the Yanaf monogram, the Aramaic inscription, and the pair of signs $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{Y}$. We may, therefore, attribute them to the same ruler, to whom must be due the introduction of the coinage imitated from the 'New Style' Attic coins.

To the elucidation of the Aramaic inscription I am unable to contribute anything definite. Mordtmann (loc. cit.) holds that it

[^33]should be inverted，and reads it Vlagash，i．e．Volagases，an Arsacid name．He points out that the writer of the Periplus Maris Erythruei says that part of the coast of Hadramaut and the island Massyra（Sarapidis insula）belonged to Persia，so that Yemen may have been in relation with Persia before Sassanian times．Never－ theless，his reading is improbable for at least two reasons．First， the position of the Yanaf monogram and other details of the design show that the inscription should be read as here printed，and not outwardly．Second，the two letters on the extreme left cannot reasonably be given different values．The general character of the script recalls the Characenian Aramaic．${ }^{1}$ It might accordingly be read גתעתחה（g－t－e－t－h－h）．${ }^{2}$ It is possible that the inscription indicates the intrusion from the neighbourhood of the Persian Gulf of some conqueror，who ruled in Yemen for a time，and introduced the new style of coinage．But if so，why did he retain the distinc－ tive Sabaean or Himyarite Yanaf monogram on his coins？Another possibility is that the inscription was added to the coins by a native ruler in order to facilitate commerce with some tribes who used the script in question．

## II．Imitations of the Later Attic Type．

San＇a class（Pl．VIII－X．11）．
As stated above，the change from the old to the new Attic type probably took place during the reign of a single ruler；nevertheless，

[^34]for purposes of classification it seems better to keep the two types separate. Head ${ }^{1}$ dates the coins of the San'a class as follows:

Group with Arab head on obv., Aramaic inscription and monograms on rev. (Pl. VIII. 2-8). Circa r0-40 в.с.
Group with similar obv., monograms only on rev. (Pl.VIII. 9-IX.11). Circa 40-24 в.c.
Group with Augustan head on obv., monograms on rev. (Pl. X.1-11). After circa 24 в.с.

This classification is generally much more acceptable than that of Schlumberger. ${ }^{4}$ It is true that the Attic coinage of the New Style by no means came to an end, or was even seriously restricted, under Sulla, as was formerly supposed; we now know that it went on until the time of Augustus. But the rule that a barbarous imitative coinage begins when the supply of originals falls off must not be rigidly interpreted. It would, for instance, be inconsistent to insist on this rule, in order to find a date post quem for the earliest San'a type, and yet fix the adoption of the later type, with the Augustan head, by the expedition of Aelius Gallus in 24 в. C. But if the rule applies at all here, it is worthy of notice that the supply of the New Style Attic coinage shrank considerably during the periods circa $146-100$ and $100-86$ B.c. According to the latest chronology ${ }^{3}$ of these coins we find that in

| Class | I, circa 222-197 в. с., there are 17 serie |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | II, | 196-187 в.с., | , | - |  |
|  | III $\alpha$, | 186-147 в.c., |  | 31 |  |
|  | III $\beta$, | 146-100 в. С., |  | 14 |  |
|  | IV $\alpha$, | 100-86 в.С., |  | 9 |  |
|  | IV $\beta$, | 86-Augustus |  | 30 |  |

The time of greatest scarcity of Attic coinage was therefore from 146 to 86 в.c., and the date of the beginning of the corresponding Himyarite coinage may fall within this period.

[^35]If, on the other hand, ignoring this rule, we seek to associate the reform with some event in Himyarite history, we may find it in the inauguration of the Hinyarite era in 115 B.c., ${ }^{1}$ a date which, curiously enough, corresponds to within a single year with the middle of the period $146-86 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{c}$. It appears to me quite reasonable, on grounds of style, to place the accession of the ruler represented by the Aramaic inscription about this time, and to date the San'a coins with that inscription during the period circa 11580 b.c. The other San'a coins with the Arab head may then be dated circa 80-24 B.c.; and the Augustan type during the last quarter of the century and the first half of the first Christian century.

The head on the obverse of the pre-Augustan San'a coins is seen by its head-dress to be that of an Arab king or god. The encircling of the type by a wreath has been referred by Schlumberger to Seleucid coins, ${ }^{2}$ and the strange border made up of small vases to the fillet-border on the same series; and there are no chronological objections to this view. The resemblance of the ringletted head to certain heads on Ptolemaic and Roman coins is doubtless purely a coincidence. It is indeed remarkable that there are so few signs on the coinage of this district of that Ptolemaic influence, which is so evident in Nabataea. Possibly, however, the weight of the gold coin discussed below may point to a connexion with Egypt.

It is exceedingly difficult to decide whether the head ${ }^{3}$ represents a god or a ruler. The features on one coin (Pl. VIII. 2) are curiously like those of Obodas III of Nabataea in general effect. The face on another (Pl. VIII. 3) shows a very different individuality. It is

[^36]probable that both gods and rulers would be represented in the same sort of head-dress, even to the wearing of the ornament (globe-in-crescent) which appears on the head in some specimens, and which is doubtless the symbol of the moon-god. ${ }^{1}$

The more important groups of the coins of the San'a class may be classified as follows ${ }^{2}$ :
A. With Arab head.
a. Gold und Silver with monogram of Yanaf. (P. 54, no. 1, Pl. VIII. 1). The British Museum specimen is the only known gold coin of this class; another gold piece at Berlin is catalogued by Miiller and Kubitschek ${ }^{3}$ in their sixth class, i.e. among the later coins with two heads. Its reverse is described as a clumsy attempt at a cornucopiae ; can this be the curved sign / which we shall discuss presently?

The weight of our coin is $2.48 \mathrm{gm} .=38.3 \mathrm{gn}$. This may perhaps be regarded as one-third of a Phoenician didrachm of $\tau \cdot 44 \mathrm{gm}$. It is possible that Egyptian gold coins may have been in circulation in Yemen. As we know nothing of the ratio prevailing between gold and silver, it is wiser not to speculate on the question of the value of this gold coin in silver units.

Although it does not bear the mysterious Aramaic inscription, this coin is connected with the groups which do bear it by its fabric, the Yanaf monogram, and the curved sign ${ }^{4}$ which appears in so many varying forms on the remaining Himyarite coins. This same sign, often resembling a sort of ribbon, is found also in

[^37]lapidary inscriptions, ${ }^{1}$ and has been regarded as a non-significant terminal or initial sign, or even as a misunderstood or degenerate cornucopiae, derived from a symbol on some Attic coin which started the fashion. Neither explanation will stand in view of the fact that the object occurs alone as a symbol in the field of certain coins, and of the importance which is assigned to it in the lapidary inscriptions. The editors of the C.I.S. ${ }^{2}$ see in the symbol of a deity, possibly Ilmaqah or Ilmuqah. ${ }^{3}$ It occurs on a remarkable little inscribed stone, ${ }^{4}$ a dedication to 'Athtar and Sahr, with four other symbols, thus (from r. to l.): 'gazelle-bucranium ', dragon's head, curved symbol, a second smaller bucranium, and the standard (?) sign to be discussed later. Since Ilmaqah is not mentioned in this dedication, the curved sign can hardly be regarded as exclusively, if at all, his symbol. Weber's ${ }^{5}$ theory that the voided form of this symbol is only another form of the sign for $\overline{\bar{\top}}$ is not tenable for reasons already given. The late Prof. L.W. King has here again solved the difficulty, so far as tracing the origin of the object is concerned; for it is exactly like the curved weapon, consisting of three or more strips bound together, which is held, for instance, by King Eannatum on his stele in the Louvre. ${ }^{6}$

It seems doubtful whether the other gold coins which according to rumour have been found in Yemen were Himyaritic. ${ }^{7}$ Mordtmann ${ }^{8}$ quotes Cruttenden as saying that rectangular gold coins were often offered for sale by shepherds in the neighbourhood of Marib, and Mohl for the story of the finding in the same place of

[^38]a chest full of gold coins，which were melted down．There is no reason to suppose that any of these last were Himyaritic rather than Persian or Aethiopic．As to the rectangular gold coins，they must be something otherwise quite unknown ；for the gold mohurs of Akbar never，to our knowledge，circulated in those parts．

In the same class as the gold coin must be placed the silver coins at Vienna of the same style，viz．a half（ 2.38 gm ．）and a minute denomination $(0.16 \mathrm{gm}.){ }^{1}$ These have the same symbols as the gold，and the larger one，at any rate，is exactly similar in other details（reverse border with pellet in crescent at top）．The larger silver denomination has not yet been found．

All the remaining coins of the San＇a class are of silver＇，and of fairly good quality．
$\beta$ ．（PI．VIII．2－10）．The distinguishing marks of this group are the Yanaf monogram ；inscr．$\rho \rho$ תNVS ；$A \Theta E$（blundered）；and the group of signs $月$（or $H$ ）$\}$ ，all of which have been discussed above．

The remains of $A \Theta E$ and the inscr．$\rho \rho$ תOVh hereafter dis－ appear from the coins；so do the signs $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{Y}$ ，but the curved symbol remains．
$\gamma$ ．Monograms：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=\text { Yanaf. } \\
& \xi=+コ+コ+ל .
\end{aligned}
$$

M．u．K．，p．69，no．1，Taf．XIV． 15.
ס．Monograms the same as on preceding，but，in addition，on
 M．u．K．，p．69，no．5，Taf．xiv．18；p．76，no．218．With the former of the additional monograms，cp．the place Medr or Madar in the Hamdan district，where there were no less than fourteen castles： Sprenger，Alte Geogr．Arab．，p． 221 ；C．I．S．，iv． 5 ；M．u．K．，p． 94 （from Al－Hamdani）．

[^39]－є．Monograms the same as on $\gamma$ ，but，in addition，on $1 . \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ $=(?)+\boldsymbol{\beth}+\overline{\boldsymbol{7}}+\boldsymbol{h}$（the upper sign is given differently by Kubitschek）； on r．，the same elements as in the right－hand monogram of $\delta$ （i．e．${ }^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{+}+\boldsymbol{\square}+\boldsymbol{+}$ ）differently arranged．M．u．K．，p．69，no．12， Taf．XIV． 20 ．
§．Monograms：
 gram，but the separate letter which occurs fiequently on this class．See，e．g．，p．57，no． 16.
并 as on $\delta$ ，and below it 弦 $=9+\boldsymbol{\square}+\boldsymbol{\mu}$ ；cp．$\mu$ below． The name may be Shammar（Shammar Yuhar＇ish was king of Saba and Raidan ${ }^{1}$ ；cp．C．I．S．，iv．407）；but there was also a place－name רש゙ゅ，C．I．S．，iv．376，1．9．M．u．K．， p．69，no． 6 ．
$\eta$ ．On obverse，behind the head， $\boldsymbol{\square}$ ．On rev．，monograms $\zeta_{\zeta}$ $(\mathbf{7}+\mathbf{y}+\boldsymbol{\square})$ reversed and another probably the same as the second on §．M．u．K．，p．69，no．13，Taf．XIV．31 ；cp．p．76，no．190．The first monogram occurs on coins of the Bucranium class（see p． 64 f ．）

O．（Pl．VIII．11，12．）Monograms：
人بَ
出 $=\pi+9+\beth+2$.
$\iota, \kappa$ ．（Pl．IX．1－6）．Monograms：
\＆


[^40]accepted by Miiller and Mordtmann．${ }^{1}$ It is the name of five kings of Saba．${ }^{2}$
$\lambda$ ．（Pl．IX．7－9）．Monograms：

出苩＝ $\boldsymbol{\square}$ ，Hadur，according to Mordtmann（p．305），a castle and mountain between San＇a and Kaukeban．Un－ fortunately for this identification，this same monogram occurs on coins of King＇Amdan Bayyin which bear the mint－name of Raidan in full ；it therefore probably repre－ sents a personal and not a place name．
率 as above（ $t, \kappa$ ）．
These two monograms occur together on one group of the coins with the Augustan head．We may therefore regard this group $(\lambda)$ as the latest of the pre－Augustan series．
$\mu$ ．（PI．IX．11．）On this solitary coin，differing somewhat in workmanship from the others，the head is not laureate，and the monograms are unusually elaborate．One of them 出 combines $\boldsymbol{\Pi}+\overline{\mathbf{5}}+\boldsymbol{\eta}+\boldsymbol{\jmath}$ ，but the last may be merely the $\boldsymbol{N}$ which is found on so many of these coins，so that the same name may be intended as
 $\boldsymbol{\tau}(?)+\boldsymbol{\square}+\dot{\cup}$ in its lower．Cp．$\zeta$ above．

B．With Augustan head．
$\nu$ ．Of this series，the first group must be that with the same monograms as on $\lambda$ preceding．${ }^{3}$（P1．X．1，2．）
$\xi$ ．（Pl．X．3－11）．Monograms ：
角 $=\boldsymbol{+}+\boldsymbol{N}+\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{y}$ ；perhaps also $\boldsymbol{J}+\boldsymbol{Y}+\boldsymbol{y}$ ．This is also found on the Bucranium class．Miiller interprets 7．Mordmann

[^41]A monogram somewhat resembling this（but with a ${ }^{\text {＇}}$ added）is found on a stone（C．I．S．iv．7，pp． 19 and 450） of which the editors say，＇primo adspectu apparet in lapidem titulum monetae esse translatum＇．They suggest that it may be for＇חיאלי，the name of a coin．It seems to me that the monogram may be read $4 \boldsymbol{4} 1 \frac{1}{1}$ ，which is the name of the Sabaean castle Sallhin，near Marib．${ }^{1}$ But， like Haḍur，it also occurs on a coin which bears the mint－ name of Raidan（see p．lxxi）．

## III．

There remain two more series of Himyaritic coins，that with the names of kings and a human head on each side，and that with the king＇s head on one side and an animal＇s head on the other：${ }^{2}$ The latter may be dealt with first，since they have certain points of comexion with the San＇a class．Thus the monograms on nos．1－23 are found also on coins of the San＇a class（see above $\xi$ and $\eta$ ）． The other monograns which I have noted are $\frac{\text { 号 }}{\sim}=\boldsymbol{\bullet}+\boldsymbol{\Pi}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}+\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ （nos．24－33，and M．u．K．，p．77，no number）${ }^{3}$ ；直 $=\boldsymbol{\prime}+\bar{\dagger}+\boldsymbol{\dagger}+\boldsymbol{\square}$ or
期 $=7(?)+\uparrow+コ+\beth+\boldsymbol{P}+\uparrow$（M．u．K．，p．78，no．195），which is apparently only the monogram on our nos．36－7 without the $?$ A variety of our nos．17－23 at Paris has the head on the obv．to $r$ ． （here P1．L．2）．All the coins of this class show the curved sign in two forms，the solid form on the obverse，the voided one on the reverse．The border on the reverse looks like a degenerate

[^42]descendant of the amphora border on the San'a class. The other sign, like a standard, on the obverse is probably not a monogram, but some sort of religious symbol. It occurs in the relief of the five symbols delicated to 'Athtar and Sahr mentioned above (p. lviii) and also in inscriptions (e.g. Brit. Mus., no. 102,460). ${ }^{1}$

A small coin at Vienna (M. u. K., no. 12, Taf. XIV. 28) omits the ordinary types on both sides, and bears only the monograms or symbols.

The metal of these coins is often comparatively poor; there is a large proportion of base coins in the Vienna series. ${ }^{2}$

The curious type of the reverse is evidently connected with the animals' heads carved on certain of the inscribed stones from Yemen. Thus at Vienna ${ }^{3}$ we find two bucrania, each with a sort of plume between the horns, and a somewhat similar bucranium occurs on a stone at Paris already mentioned. ${ }^{*}$ Stylized bucrania also form the decoration of another Vienna stone. ${ }^{5}$ On the altar in the British Museum ${ }^{6}$ the design is simplified into almost pictographic form. ${ }^{7}$ Casanora has noticed that the head on the Paris stone resembles a bull in its muzzle and a gazelle in its horns. There can be little doubt that it is the sacred beast of some deity, probably 'Athtar, as Dérenbourg has suggested, since on some of the inseriptions it seems to be associated with that deity. ${ }^{8}$
${ }^{1}$ Weber (Hilprecht Amiversary Volume, p. 275) recognizes its likeness to the spear-head of Marduk, which, however, lacks the cross-piece.
${ }^{2}$ M. u. K., p. 70, nos. 1-12. Other copper coins of small size, with very degraded versions of the types, are in the possession of Comm. Carlo Conti Rossini, as he kindly informs me.
${ }^{3}$ Hofm. 24; M. u. K., Taf. IX. Müller holds that the bucrania here cannot have anything to do with bull-worship, because the inscription shows that the bucrania are used with a magical object: a complete non sequitur. It is to be noted that both on the Bulawayo stone and on that at Vienna the two bucrania are of different sizes.
${ }^{4}$ Casanova in Rer. Num., 1893, p. 181.
${ }^{5}$ Hofm. 123 ; M. u. K., Taf. XII.
${ }^{6}$ Birch, Pl. XV, no. 29.
${ }^{7}$ For other instances see Weber in Hilprecht Anniversary Volume, pp. 271 ff .
${ }^{8}$ Nielsen, on the other hand, prefers to connect the stylized bull's head on the monuments with Ilmuqah, as the Sabaean moon-god (Mitt. Vorderas. Ges., 1909, 4, p. 52).

On one of the British Museum specimens of this series (no. 14), unfortunately much worn on the obverse, I seem to detect the sign ), and even traces of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ to the right of it. These letters would indicate the mint of Hearb (see below).

Numbers of coins of this series have been brought to England by officers stationed at Aden during the war. Some were reported as being brought to Aden by an Arab from Zaaba; others to have come from Marib.

## IV.

There is a general agreement that the Himyarite coins which are inscribed with the full names of a series of kings, and which bear a head on either side, come last in the series in point of time (Pl. XI. 1-20). It is also regarded as probable that Prideaux is right in his identification of Karib'il Watar Yehun'im, who struck coins at Raidan, with the Karab'il Watar Yehun'im, ${ }^{1}$ king of Saba and Raidan, known from a number of inscriptions, and with the $X \alpha \rho \iota \beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda$ who was reigning at the time when the Periplus Muris Erythrcee was written, that is about A.D. 70 or a decade or two earlier. ${ }^{2}$ But since there were five rulers called Karib'il, it must be admitted that the lastmentioned equation, of the Charibael of the Periplus with the king who struck the coins, is open to dispute. Glaser, for instance, ${ }^{3}$ is inclined to identify the Charibael of the Periplus with the first of the kings of the name Karib'il, who apparently bore no extra titles. If this is so, then the Karib'il of the coins must come down a generation or two later. But he will still probably fall within the

[^43]second century after Christ. It may be remarked that if we have to pick out our king who struck coins from among five kings of the same name, our choice will naturally fall upon that one who, like the Charibael of the Periplus, was in close relations with Rome, because such relations seem to indicate commercial prosperity. It may be added that the coins themselves seem to show the influence of the Roman denarius of the Neronian reduction (see below).

Miiller assigns the rulers who, like Karib'il, call themselves Kings of Saba and Raidan', to the last period of Sabaean history, ending about A.D. 100. Ilsharḷ Yaḷḍib, king of Saba and Raidan, who is also mentioned in inscriptions, may be the 'I $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \rho o s$ who was king of Mariaba or Marsyabae at the time of the expedition of Aelius Gallus ( 24 b.c.). ${ }^{1}$ Since his father Fara ${ }^{\text { }}$ Yanhub is called king of Saba only, the change from Sabaean to Himyarite domination, with the corresponding transference of the capital from Mariaba to Raidan, may, Miiller suggests, have been connected with the expedition of Gallus. ${ }^{2}$ Mordtmann, ${ }^{3}$ on the other hand, would date the transference of the capital about the middle of the first century of our era; and if the coinage inscribed with regal names began with this transference, his date seems to suit the uumismatic evidence better.

If the identification of Ilsharh with 'I $\lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho o s$ is correct, one might expect to find a monogram representing the name on some coin of the San'a class; but there is nothing of the kind. Another curious fact is that of the kings whose names can be read in full on the coins so few seem to be mentioned in the inscriptions. ${ }^{4}$ It must, however, be remembered that many more inscriptions remain to lee published. It is only fifteen years since the inscription containing the names of two Katabanian rulers, to whom as we shall see coins can be assigned, was first made known.
${ }^{1}$ Strab., xvi, 782.
${ }^{2}$ Cp. Müller in Z.D.M. G., xxxvii (1883), pp. 10, 11. But it is doubtful whether the titulature of the kings on these inscriptions is so rigid that we can base an argument of this kind on it.
${ }^{3}$ Z. D. M. G., sxxi, p. 72.
${ }^{4}$ Cp. Glaser, Die Abessinier; p. 32, note.

Longpérier sees a general resemblance of the coins of the class now under consideration to those of the Characenian Arabs of the first and second centuries of our era, ${ }^{1}$ and suggests as the inferior limit for the coinage the breaking of the dam of Marib, which he supposes to have happened in the second century. But the date of this critical event is extraordinarily uncertain. ${ }^{2}$

This much is certain, that all these small coins, showing little change of style, belong to a comparatively restricted period. ${ }^{3}$ It is highly improbable that they should overlap with the large flat coins of the San'a class: the non-numismatic evidence as to the date of Karib'il points to the second half of the first century after Christ; and since the tendency to a scyphate fabric, perceptible in these coins, is a sign of decadence, we cannot reasonably date any of the kings who struck them much earlier.

On the whole we shall not be far wrong in assigning the coinage of this class to a period begimning about A. D. 50 , and lasting about a century.

The following is an attempt at the description and classification of this regal coinage. ${ }^{4}$

[^44]The general types of the coins are:
i. (Pl. XI. 1-20). Obv. Head of the usual Himyarite type, with ringlets, usually with a monogram behind it.
Rev. Smaller head of the same type, between two monograms; above, king's name ; below, mint-name.
ii. (Pl. XI. 21, 22). Generally similar to i, but without any king's name. (See also M. u. K., Taf. XIV. 36, 37, $39 \mathrm{a}, 40,41$, 42, 44, 46.)
iii. Olv. Monogram.
liev. As in ii.
(See MI. u. K., Taf. XIV. 38, 39.)
The second and third types are confined to small denominations and, so far as I know, are represented only in the Vienna Cabinet among the coins from the Glaser Expedition, with the exception of two specimens of the second type in the British Museum.

The two heads on the two sides of the coin are so much alike ${ }^{1}$ that it seems natural to assume that they both represent persons of the same class; that is to say, they are both human beings or else both deities. The inscriptions sometimes mention two brothers reigning jointly, but if the two heads on the coins represent joint rulers, it is strange that the name of only one is inscribed, and that too against the smaller head on the reverse. That smaller head, since the king's name is written against it, may be regarded as representing the reigning king. Is the larger head on the obverse the founder of the dynasty? Or have we here merely a repetition of the process which it is suggested took place on the Nabataean coinage, so that both heads represent the same person, the head on the reverse being repeated from the obverse when a type was required to take the place of the original owl ? ${ }^{2}$

[^45]i. Coins with liingls names.

(כרבאל יהנעם ותר) son of Dhamar'ali Bayyin.' The coins were first identified by Prideaux, ${ }^{2}$ who showed that the monogram on the obverse is the surname Wattar, ${ }^{3}$ which the king hears in the lapidary inscriptions.

On his no. 2 Mordtmann reads a $ת$ in the border above the head, and behind it a monogram consisting of the letters $\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\top}, \boldsymbol{\beth}$. This contains the same elements as the names of two other kings who struck coins ('Amdan or 'Umdan). Since it cannot be a placename (the mint-name being given on the other side), or another surname of the king, we may assume that it and the other monograms on the reverse represent magistrates of some kind (possibly one of them may be an eponym). Or it may represent the man who actually became king afterwards, in a subordinate capacity. So far it has not been possible to discover any definite rule about the use of monograms on these coins.

On the reverse the king's second name is sometimes written INTP, without the $\alpha i n$, as on the two specimens here catalogued. The mint-mark is always 4019), Raidan, the castle of Sapphar; the regular title of the rulers of this period is מלך פבא ודרירך, king of Saba and Dhu-Raidan. ${ }^{4}$ In front of the hearl is always the sign $\oint$ (found also in slightly varying forms on coins of all

[^46]the other kings of this period except Yeda'ab Yanaf, Shahar Hilal, and Waraw'il Ghailan). This appears to be not a monogram, but some kind of symbol, analogous to the religious symbols on the coins of the San'a and Bucranium classes; it occurs in inscriptions. ${ }^{1}$ On the left of the head, the British Museum specimens show monograms, $\mathscr{F}_{7}$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$, which probably occur also on other specimens, although they have not been noticed. The former seems to consist of $9+\boldsymbol{\beth}$; the latter possibly of $\boldsymbol{N}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}$ (or $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\emptyset}$ ). Mordtmann (1. 314) describes it as having marks in the body of the rectangle which he takes for 9 , thus rearling it as ■IN: which is the name (1) of a place where the god Ilmaqah was worshipped; (2) of a god, perhaps the sun-god called $A \dot{v} \mu o \hat{v}$ by the Nabataeans. But among the many instances of this monogram which occur on coins of this class I have seen no trace of the interior signs. ${ }^{2}$ It is noticeable also that the rectangle shows no signs of incurving sides, as <br>(\$) normally docs. The interpretation must therefore remain uncertain.

There seems to be at present no possibility of deciding whether the other kings, whose coins remain to be described, were earlier or later than Karib'il.
 Specimens of the coinage of this ruler ${ }^{3}$ were first published by Mordtmann ${ }^{4}$ and Prideaux. ${ }^{5}$ One of Mordtmann's specimens has no monogram (or an obscure one) on the obverse; on the other

[^47]we finl a monogram which he resolves into ${ }^{\boldsymbol{r}}+\boldsymbol{\zeta}+\boldsymbol{\pi}+\boldsymbol{\pi}+\overline{\boldsymbol{5}}+\boldsymbol{7} .^{1}$ On the British Museum specimens we have four different monograms, one 管 consisting of $\dot{\boldsymbol{j}}+\boldsymbol{\pi}+\boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\square}+\bar{\xi}$ (i.e. in all but the first letter the same as the king's name); another of apparently
 of $\boldsymbol{\zeta}+\boldsymbol{M}+\boldsymbol{\beth} \boldsymbol{\square}$ (the double slanting line on the right is not quite certain). On the reverse we have the sign $\oint$, and on two out of four specimens the monogram ל. The mint is always Raidan.
 coins with this name are usually all attributed to the same ruler, but it will be observed that they may be divided into two groups, according to the presence or absence of the Yanaf monogram $\xi$. Coins were first published by Prideaux and Mordtmann. ${ }^{2}$ Taking first those with the Yanaf monogram (which are the less numerous), we find that they are on the whole better executed and of better quality than the others. The border on the obverse is linear, not dotted, and resembles a penannular torc. ${ }^{3}$ The mint is always Raidan; and the sign on the reverse takes the form $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ or $\boldsymbol{\phi}$. Above the head on the obverse of some specimens (e.g. no. 1) appears an ornament or sign of some kind. It is not the letter $\boldsymbol{P}$.

On the other hand, those without the Yanaf monogram, besides being as a rule of poorer work and sometimes of poorer alloy, include smaller denominations, and seem to belong to a later stage of development. They are, for one thing, much more markedly

[^48]scyphate．On the obverse we find a number of monograms．Those which can be made out are ：
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 峟 = }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 然 }=\cdot+\zeta+\boldsymbol{\Pi}+\boldsymbol{N} \text { 。 }
\end{aligned}
$$

This could also be read טלחק，with which compare the name of the Sabaean castle Salḷin（see above，p．lxii）．But the improba－ bility that the monogram can here represent a place，since the mint－name appears on the other side，has already been pointed out．

A very elaborate monogram given by Kubitschek ${ }^{1}$ as $\frac{\text { 雍 }}{}$ con－ tains the letters $\boldsymbol{+}+\boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$（twice ？）$+\boldsymbol{j}+\boldsymbol{\mathscr { j }}$ and at least one other．茞 is also given by Kubitschek ${ }^{2}$ ；the letters seem to be $\boldsymbol{7}(?)+\boldsymbol{\Pi}+\boldsymbol{\square}+\boldsymbol{ש}$ ；the wavy line below is perhaps not part of the monogram but the curved symbol of a deity，which is，however， not found on any other coins of this class．

Other monograms，not to be clearly made out，are given by Kubitschek．${ }^{3}$ On one obverse he describes a corn－ear in front of the head．

The mint of all these coins is Raidan，with one exception which is of barbarous workmanship and has the mint－name Sait


Other peculiarities are the branch（？）on no． $4^{5}$（possibly the corn－ear mentioned above is something of the same kind），and the letter $\nless(\dot{\mathscr{U}})$ on the neck of the bust on no． 7, p． 72 ．Both forms of the characteristic sign $\boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\$}$ occur．

[^49]The differences noted above seem to point to a distinction either letween two rulers, an earlier, 'Amdan Bayyin Yanaf, and a later, 'Amdan Bayyin, or between two issues of the same ruler, those without Yanaf being the later. This second alternative is faroured by the facts :
(1) that more than one find seem to be composed entirely of coins of these two classes to the exclusion of coins of any other ruler; if the two varieties belonged to two different kings, more especially if they were separated by any interval, we should expect some other king to be represented;
(2) a curve of frequency shows that there is a slight falling off in weight, the peak of the curve being at 1.50 gm . for the coins without the monogram, at 1.60 gm . for those with the monogram;
(3) in a series of coins from a find which I have examined, the coins without Yanaf seemed to be on the whole in better condition than the others, showing that they were more recent. ${ }^{1}$

I am inclined to think that the coin with a doubtful reading published by Mordtmann ${ }^{2}$ may be of 'Amdan Bayyin, and that the monogram on the obverse which he reads $\bar{\Pi}+\boldsymbol{N}$ may be really ${ }_{\text {㳖, }}$, as on no. $\overline{5}, \mathrm{p} .71$; but if so, this is the only coin of 'Amdan Bayyin with the monogram

ס. (PI. XI. 16-18.) Tha'ran Ya'ub (П0ilh) $\ddagger$ \& surname is sometimes written without the ain. A king Tha'ran, son of Dhamar'ali Yuhabir, son of Yasar Yuhaṣdiq, is known from an inscription. ${ }^{3}$ Longpérier and Mordtmann, who first published his coins, ${ }^{4}$ misread his name, the form of which is however quite

[^50]clear on various pieces not known to them. The following monograms may be noted as occurring on the obverses:
$$
x H=\pi+\pi+\pi
$$
$$
\text { (?) }=7+\boldsymbol{\jmath}+\boldsymbol{y} \text { (Morltmann no. } 7 \text { ). }
$$

解 = ロ $\boldsymbol{\bullet}+\boldsymbol{+}$ (Mordtmann no. 8). (Yerîm) is the name of a place in Yemen, and also of a Sabaean king Yerîm or Yarîm Aiman. ${ }^{1}$ רים on the other hand is a surname ('the exalted'), and this interpretation is preferred by Mordtmann, although, as he admits, it is used by Minaean rulers, whereas the Sabacans prefer the equivalent 9 ינ".

The last two, being evidently meant for the same name, show that the last letter of the name must be P , since that is not present in both. Nadhuw ( $\infty$ (מרהוו , מע the reverse are the characteristic sign $\oint$ and the monogram $\wp$; on some specimens instead of the latter we find $\frac{\circ}{6}(=\bar{\jmath}+\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ surmounted by . $^{3}$ The mint is always Raidan.

The head on the obverse occasionally bears a letter on its cheek in characteristic Himyarite manner: $X=\boldsymbol{\Pi}$, on no. $1, \boldsymbol{\Pi}=\boldsymbol{\beth}$ on the coin published by Longpérier.

Mordtmann's no. 10, reading apparently $\beth+\boldsymbol{} \mid \boldsymbol{|} \boldsymbol{\dagger}+\boldsymbol{\Downarrow}$, may, according to him, be a badly struck coin of Tha'ran Ya'ub; but see above, p. lxxii.
 So, rather than Shamdar () 1 I3), I read the name on the rare coins, p. 74, no. 1 in this Catalogue, and Mordtmann's no. 9 ; his illustration does not, at any rate, conflict with this reading.

[^51]On the obverses of these coins we have the monogram $\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{\sim}}=\boldsymbol{\Pi}+\boldsymbol{7},{ }^{1}$ and on one of the two known coins the cheek is marked with $\mathbf{O}=\boldsymbol{y}$. The mint is Raidan, and the reverse shows the usual sign § and the monogram ـ

Omitting a broken coin with an apparently blundered inscription, ${ }^{2}$ we have now given the list of all the coins bearing kings' names which have the characteristic sign $\oint$ or $\boldsymbol{\phi}$. The coins with kings' names on which this sign is absent are much fewer in number.
 Mordtmann points out that the name Yeda'ab occurs in inscriptions with the surnames Bayyin and Ghailan, but these are kings of Hadramaut ${ }^{3}$; on the other hand the name is found with the surname Dlubayyin ( $\boldsymbol{j}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ ) as the name of the son of a Katabanian priest-king, and we shall see later that the other rulers who struck coins at the same mint (Harb) as this Yeda'ab were Katabanians. The name also occurs among the deities and kings invoked at the end of certain inscriptions mostly found at Kharibat Sa'ud, ${ }^{4}$ a day's journey north-east of Marib. All Glaser's ${ }^{5}$ Katabanian inscriptions came from the country between Marib and Shabwat, and they give as the name of the chief city תמנע, which is the Tamma of Eratosthenes, the Thomna of Pliny, the Thumna of Ptolemy, and, according to Glaser, the modern Tamna in Wadi Baiḥan el Qașab. ${ }^{6}$ Now Eratosthenes says that the Katabanian country, which he mentions between the Sabaeans and the people of Hadramaut, came down to the straits of Bab-el-Mandeb. It

[^52]would seem therefore that in his time the Katabanians occupied a good deal of the country which afterwards belonged to the Himyarites. There has been a general tendency to look for the capital Tamna somewhere in the south-west corner of the peninsula; but it is difficult to reject the evidence of the inscriptions. ${ }^{1}$

Now the coins of Yeda'ab Yanaf bear in their exergue, in the place where the mint-name normally comes, the name Harb. ${ }^{2}$ Mordtmann has accordingly suggested that Harb may be Kharibat Sa'ud, the place where the inscriptions with the name of Yeda'ab were found, and that both may be Caripeta, the furthest point reached by Aelius Gallus. ${ }^{3}$ Kharibat, however, merely means 'ruins', and there are various places of that name.

The name Har(i)b, again, is not singular; Manzoni marks one place of the name about 55 km . E. by N. of San'a on the way to Marib; and there is another more important Harib south-east of Marib, about half-way to Nisab. Since the Katabanian coins were struck at Harb, is it not probable that it may have borne the same relation to the capital Tamna as Raidan did to Sapphar, i. e. that it may have been the stronghold of the Katabanian kings ?

The coins of Yeda'ab Yanaf have no monograms on the obverse. ${ }^{4}$ On the reverse, besides the familiar and the incomplete mono-

[^53]gram on no. 1, p. 75 of this Catalogue, we find a monogram which, if rightly drawn by Kubitschek, ${ }^{1}$ consists of ${ }^{9}+\boldsymbol{\Pi}$; lut in the illustration it appears to me to be more elaborate.

The mint of Harb was also used by two other kings, who can be identified in a most satisfactory way with kings mentioned in inscriptions, and are represented each by a unique coin :-
 presumably the Katabanian king, known from an inscription. The coin proves that Weber is right in correcting the reading of his second name from Yalil or Yagil to Hilal. ${ }^{2}$ His third name was Yuhargib (יהרג).

The only known coin of this ruler, which is in the Viemna Cabinet, ${ }^{3}$ has the monogram on the reverse, and weighs 0.77 gm .
 name was Yehm'im, the son of Shahar Hilal, just mentioned. The inscription on the coin is incomplete, ${ }^{4}$ and is given by Kubitschek as $47 T 1$ ! 1 § ${ }^{4}$, with an alternative reading by
 confused, especially on these tiny coins, we may quite certainly emend Kubitschek's reading, with the help of Glaser's, to $41911 h^{\prime}(0)(0$, the name of the Katabanian king who is known from the same inscription as his son Shahar. ${ }^{5}$

The solitary coin of this ruler, which is in the Berlin Cabinet, has on the reverse the monograms and (as drawn by Kubitschek) ; the latter I cannot resolve.

The above identifications with Katabanian rulers perhaps justify

[^54]us in regrarding the group of coins without the characteristic mark

§as clistinctively Katabanian.
And if our dating of these coins to the period A.D. 50-150 is approximately correct, Glaser's theory ${ }^{1}$ that Katabania was absorbed into Hadramaut in the second century B.C., and that at the time of the expedition of Aelius Gallus the Katabanian kingdom had ceased to exist, needs considerable revision.
ii. Coins with two heuds, but without the king's nume.

With the exception of the two pieces described in this Catalogue (pp. 74-5), all the published coins of this class are in the Vienna cabinet. They all belong to small denominations, and it may be assumed that the absence of the king's name is merely due to lack of space. They fall into the following groups :
a. Obv. Head r. Rev. Head r.; on I. $\boldsymbol{\phi}$; sometimes on r. $\boldsymbol{\xi}$; in exergue 4ㅁㅇ) (Raidan). Pl. XI. 21. M. u. K., p. 72, nos. 19-23, Taf. XIV. 36, 37.
ß. Obv. Head r. Rev. Head r.; on r. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { Q }}$, on l. a combination of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ with $\boldsymbol{\psi}$; in exergue $\mathbb{J O H}$ (Na'am). M. u.K., p. $72 \beta$, nos. 1,2 , Taf. NIV. 39 a, 40.
र. Olv. Head r. liev. Head r.; on I. §; in exergue ПO9 (Yáub). M. u. K., p. $72 \gamma$, nos. 1-5, Taf. NIV. 41, 42.

ס. (Jve. Head r. Rer. Head r.; on l. 4, on r. 어 ; in exergue ) П4 (Yuhabir). M. u. K., p. 73 B. $\alpha$, nos. 1, 2, Taf. XIV. 47, 48.
є. Olv. Head r.; sometimes on l. a monogram, such as
Rer. Head r.; monogram of 9 and $D$ or ; in exergue П) 4 (Harb). Brit. Mus., p. 75, no. 2, Pl. XI. 22 ; M. u. K., p. 73, nos. 5-10, Taf. XIV. 44-6.
If we assume, as Muiller and Kubitschek assume (and it is difficult to take any other view), that the names in the exergue of the reverses of these five groups represent mints, it is strange that three out of the five mints should be represented only on these

[^55]poor little coins. Harb itself, it must be admitted, may he a man's name. ${ }^{1}$ As to Na'am, it can be both a man's name and the name of a castle. ${ }^{2}$ Ya'ub and Yuhabir, on the other hand, seem to be known, apart from their occurrence on these coins, only as surnames of kings of Saba and Raidan. ${ }^{3}$ One of these kings, Tha'ran Ya'ub, struck coins at Raidan. The other, Dhamar'ali Yuhabir, was the father of a Tha'ran, presumably this same Tha'ran Ya'ub. This is a remarkable coincidence, if it is nothing more. We have to choose between two alternatives: either the names Yuhabir and Ya'ub on these coins represent not mints, but the two kings in question, or they represent mint-places which were founded by and named after these kings, just as the fortress of Na 'am was named after its founder $\mathrm{Na}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{am}^{4}{ }^{4}$

The Yanaf monogram occurs on the Raidan coins ( $\alpha$ ), and suggests that they may have been struck by 'Amdan Bayyin Yanaf; it is less reasonable to attribute them to Yeda'ab Yanaf because that king's coins were struck not at Raidan but at Marl, and do not bear the sign $\boldsymbol{\phi}$.

On $\beta$ we find, combined with the sign $\oint$, the letters $\boldsymbol{Q}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$. These might possibly stand for JO4 49 (Yehun'im), the surname of Karibil and Shamnar, or 日Пईपі (Yehuqbiḍ), the surname of 'Amdan. It is again a curious coincidence, if nothing more, that the supposed mint-name 】O $\$ in the exergue of these coins, if read in continuation of the two isolated letters, gives the name $\mathbb{\square O 4 4 9}$ (Yehun'im).
$\gamma$ may conjecturally be attributed to Tha'ran Ya'ul, and $\delta$ to Dhamar'ali Yuhabir, his father, even if we suppose the names in their exergues to be the names of mints; for the assumption-

[^56]taking it for what it is worth-is that these mints were established by the persons whose names they bore.
$\epsilon$ bears a monogram of $\boldsymbol{9}$ and $\boldsymbol{\square}$, which may represent Yeda'ab, whose inscribed coins were struck at Harb.
iii. Finaliy, there are two coins which bear on the obverse a monogram, on the reverse a head, the mint-name Raidan, and the sign $\oint .{ }^{1}$ The monogram is that which is ahready familiar to us from coins of the San'r class (above, p. lxi, $\lambda$ ) and of 'Andan Bayyin (above, p. lxxi). Possibly the coins were struck by the last-named king.

Here also may be mentioned a coin which Kubitschek has placed in a seventh class by itself. ${ }^{2}$ On the obverse it has a monogram which he draws 0 d]; on the reverse $\mathbb{O X}$ (, i.e. Wattar, and the curved symbol below it. It is natural to give this coin to Karib'il Wattar Yehun'in; but it must be remembered that there were other kings bearing the name Wattar. ${ }^{3}$

## The Standard of the Coinage.

As Kubitschek ${ }^{4}$ has remarked, the standard in use was based on the Babylonian drachm of 5.6 gm . ( 86.4 grains troy). The highest weight recorded for coins of this denomination of the earlier class is 555 gm. ${ }^{5}$

On the other hand, among the coins of the San'a class we find the maximum of this denomination reaching $5.62 \mathrm{gm} .(86.7 \mathrm{gn.} \text {. })^{6}$

The maxima of the various denominations are given in grammes

[^57]in the following table (based on the coins in the British Museum and Schlumberger's and Kubitschek's lists) :

|  | Three units. | Unit. | Half. | Quarter. Eighth. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earlier Class | 16.95 | 5.55 | 2.61 | 1.35 | 0.55 |
| Transitional Class |  | 5.41 |  | 1.48 |  |
| San'a Class |  | 5.62 | 3.10 | 1.33 | 0.40 |

The high weight reached by the half in the San'a class is remarkable; but it is possible that the two coins at Vienna and Berlin, ${ }^{1}$ weighing 3.10 and 3.05 gm . respectively, are accidentally over-weighted, since otherwise the maximum of this group is 9.85 gm. ${ }^{2}$ The weight 0.16 gm . is reached by two small coins at Vienna, ${ }^{3}$ but the weights of these minute denominations are apt to he irregular, so that it is impossible to say what they represent.

The Berlin Museum, as already stated, possesses six ${ }^{4}$ coins of approximately the weight of the Attic tetradrachm (ranging from 16.95 to 16.35 gm. .), imitated from the earlier Attic type, but differing from the smaller coins in the absence of any Sabaean letter on the obverse. All appear to have come from South Arabia, having been acquired from Mordtmann and Glaser. A tetradrachm of purely Attic origin was acquired by the British Museum along with the Himyarite coins purchased from Salunjie of Aden. It is clear therefore that the Arabians were not only familiar with the Attic tetradrachm, but made imitations of it of somewhat low weight. Nevertheless, in consideration of the comparative rarity of these larger coins, and of the steady persistence of the piece of about 5.62 gm . as the dominant denomination throughout the period of the coinage, we are justified in regarding the latter as the unit. The pseudo-Attic tetradrachm, as Kubitschek has pointed out, is a tridrachm expressed in terms of the piece of 5.62 gm. In the same way, in the little group of coins attributed below

[^58]to the Minaeans, we have an Alexandrine Attic tetradrachm or Babylonic tridrachm of 16.72 gm .

The weights of the coins of the class with the bucranium reverse are very irregular, and the metal is frequently very base, so that any attempt to ascertain their standard is likely to be futile. Fifty-six specimens of which the weights are available range from 0.30 to 3.63 gm. ; the weight aimed at was, however, apparently in the neighbourhood of $3.10 \mathrm{gm} .{ }^{1}$

The denominations employed for the latest class of Himyarite coins are so small that here again it is difficult to come to any conclusion about the standard. ${ }^{2}$

The maximum recorded weight seems to be 2.26 gm . (a coin of 'Amdan Bayyin Yanaf, from the alleged Abyssinian find), but this is quite exceptional. Another coin of the same ruler from the same find weighs 2.02 gm . These are clearly outliers; the table of frequency ${ }^{3}$ shows that there is a fairly continuous series from 1.83 gm . down to 1.00 gm .; thus, at intervals of 0.10 gm ., we get

| 1.80 gm. | 15 specimens |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.70 | 24 |
| 1.60 | 46 |
| 1.50 | 48 |
| 1.40 | 30 |
| 1.30 | 14 |
| 1.20 | 10 |
| 1.10 | 7 |
| 1.00 | 2 |

This indicates (allowing for loss of weight by circulation) that the norm was in the neighbourhood of 1.60 gm . The coins

[^59]weighing less than 1.00 gm . fall into two groups, representing probably the half and the quarter of the highest denomination, at about 0.80 and 0.40 gm . respectively. Most probably the highest denomination represents half the weight of the Neronian denarius ( 1.71 gm. .).

## MINAEAN COINAGE.

The remarkable imitation of an Alexandrine tetradrachm in the Cabinet of the University of Aberdeen, the Arabian source of which was first recognized by Head, ${ }^{1}$ stands quite apart from the rest of the South Arabian series in every particular except the script. I follow Head's description:

Olv. Head of young Herakles r., in lion's skin. Border of dots.
 character.

Figure imitated from, or rather suggested by, the Zeus on the coins of Alexander, seated left on throne, his feet on footstool. He rests with his left arm on sceptre. The upper part of his body is naked, the lower limbs draped. The face is beardless, and the hair falls in curls, in the Arab fashion. In his right hand, instead of the eagle, he holds apparently a flower. Outside the inscription and parallel with the sceptre is a long perpendicular line of dots. In the field in front of the figure is the Himyaritic letter $\boldsymbol{h}$ (Alif).
ش $8 \frac{1}{2}$ [ 30 mm .]. Wt. 258 gn. [16.72 gm.]. [Die-position $\uparrow$ ]. Pl. XV. 3 [here Pl. L. 5].
The apparent radiation round the head on the reverse seems to be due to creases in the impression from which the cast photographed by Head and the electrotype now in the British Museum were made.

The original Alexandrine from which this piece was imitated belonged to Müller's Class V. Head remarks that the original was doubtless struck about 200 B.c. We may date the coin itself to some time in the second century в.с.

[^60]Since this coin seems to belong to a different category from the other South Arabian coins, which form one connected series attributable to the Sabaean and Himyarite rulers, we are justified in looking for its origin in one of the other two great Arabian tribes, viz. the Minaeans or the Chatramotites. Now it happens that a typical Minaean name is $\boldsymbol{ע}$ ע゙, Abyadá. Mordtmann has already remarked ${ }^{1}$ that the Abyateh who was subdued by Assurbanipal in the middle of the seventh century b.c. must have been king of Ma'in, because his name, which is to be equated ${ }^{2}$ with אבּ is peculiar to the Minaean royal race. We seem therefore to be justified in removing this coin from the Sabaean-Himyarite series and placing it in a separate class as Minaean. But to which of the kings Abyada' who are mentioned in the inscriptions it is to be attributed depends on the dates of those inscriptions, a question on which I do not feel competent to pronounce. D. H. Mïller ${ }^{3}$ places Abyada ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Yathi ${ }^{\circ}$ in the second group of Minaean kings; if his third and last group was contemporary with the latest Himyarite dynasty of which we have coins (the fixed point among which is Karibil, about A. D. $50-70$ ), then kings of his second group may possibly have been reigning during the second century b.c. ${ }^{ \pm}$

The Aberdeen tetradrachm carries with it the curious bronze imitation of an Alexandrine drachm (PI. XI. 23), which came from the Prideaux Collection, therefore probably from Arabia. The resemblance to the tetradrachm is very striking, especially in the modelling of the figure on the reverse.

The reverse type of a small coin at Vienna ${ }^{5}$ is also imitated

[^61]from the Alexandrine coinage, with less modification than the tetradrachm above discussed; the obverse shows a bare male head, with short curly hair, and a skin (lion-skin?) fastened round his neck.

The ancient Minaean capital is probably represented by important ruins at Ma'in, about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ hours east of El-Hazm Hamdan, in the middle Jauf. ${ }^{1}$ The ancient writers give Karna or Karnaua (Qarnầu; see above, p. xliv) as the name of the capital. Important Minaean sites are also at Es-Sud and Beraqish. ${ }^{2}$ These are all in the interior, whereas the statement of Eratosthenes that the
 the coast. ${ }^{3}$ Probably the geographer's use of the phrase merely implies south-western Arabia generally, which is bounded by the Arabian Gulf (the Red Sea in the modern acceptation) and the Gulf of Aden. Both these pieces of water were included by the ancients in the Red Sea.

To sum up: we have seen that the coinage of Southern Arabia Felix may be divided into (1) the coinage of the Sabaean dynasty, merging into that of the Himyarites, with a small group that can be assigned with practical certainty to the Katabanians ; (2) a small group which stands apart, and may be attributed to the Minaeans. It is doubtful whether any of the coinage is earlier than the third century b. c., although the Attic prototype is of the fourth century. The influence of Athens is dominant as regards morphology, that of Persia in the standard. The coinage probably comes to an end in the second century of the Christian era.

[^62]
## NORTH ARABIAN IMITATIONS OF ATHENIAN COINS.

Head has published ${ }^{1}$ a group of very barbarous small imitations of the earlier Attic type, some of which come from the land of Midian. Burton obtained at Manna (Muqna') on the east coast of the Gulf of Aila an ancient plated coin copied from one of the earlier Attic tetradrachms. Unfortunately Head did not illustrate this, and it is not clear how precise he intended to be in describing it as of the same class as the coin next to be mentioned (see PI. XI. 26). This, which has recently been presented to the British Museum by Mr. J. Mavrogordato, ${ }^{2}$ weighs 10.87 gm ., and is of copper or bronze, without trace of plating. It is said to have been found in Babylonia by Loftus. This is not in favour of its Arabian origin, though it may well have passed across the neck of the Arabian peninsula to the head of the Persian Gulf ; but Head points out that it is the prototype of small coins already mentioned as having been acquired by Burton at Muqna'. On these the degradation has proceeded still farther, the types being almost unrecognizable, and the fabric similar to that of the small bronze coins of the Jewish rulers in the late second and first centuries b.c. 'Among them,' says Head, 'and at first sight hardly to be distinguished from the rest, I have found coins struck by the Maccabaean princes, Alexander Jannaeus and Alexander II, a coin of Herod Archelaus, and several coins of Tiberius, one struck in A. D. 30 by Pontius Pilate, also a few coins of the Nabathaean king, Aretas II, 7 B. C. to A. D. $40 .{ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ Clearly then these imitations, although derived from the earlier Attic

[^63]type, must have been made as late as the first century b. c., since their fabric is that of coins which would only have come into circulation in North Arabia in the last third of the second century.

The British Museum possesses (see Pl. XI. 24, 25) two other imitations of the Athenian tetradrachm which, although their provenance is not known, alike differ from any other Eastern imitations in certain peculiarities, which at the same time seem to comnect them with the Loftus coin. These are, on the obverse, the large curve on the cheek under the eye, and, on the reverse, the treatment of the olive-spray, which, with a little more formalization might well develop into the form which it takes on the Loftus coin. Both still retain traces of the incuse square, and are evidently, to judge by the treatment of the eye, copied from a quite early variety of the Athenian coinage. They have been tentatively included in this Catalogue as early examples of the Arabian imitations circulating in the northern part of the peninsula.

Finally, among Arabian imitations of Athenian coins, may be mentioned the curious piece (P1. L. 4) belonging to Mrr. J. de Morgan, and illustrated here by his permission. It was procured by him at Muscat. The crest of Athena's helmet is represented by a row of annulets. On the reverse the owl is incuse; on the left are three letters, $\mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\gamma M}$ (?), and on the right a crescent above a sign resembling the Cypriote sign for ba.

## MESOPOTAMIA.

The cities considered under this heading were all included in the Roman province of Mesopotamia. ${ }^{1}$ The region was conquered by Trajan at the same time as Armenia and Assyria, as a result of his campaigns of A.D. 114-16; but it was given up by Hadrian, and

[^64]only partly recovered by the campaigns of L. Verus (A.D. 16:-5). It was first properly organized by Septimius Severus. The Romans held it with varying completeness until Jovian in 363 ceded all east of the Chaboras to the Persians. It is bounded on the north by Armenia, on the west by the Euphrates, on the cast by the Tigris; southwards it may for a time have extended to the sea; but the coinage of the southern portion was issued from Babylon and Seleucia, ${ }^{1}$ and none of it comes into the period of the Roman Province ; it is therefore dealt with under the heading Babylonia.

## ANTHEMUSIAS.

Anthemusias (also called Anthemusia or Anthemus) is iclentified by Regling ${ }^{2}$ with Batnai and the modern Eski-Seruj. It was a Macedonian foundation and took its name from the Macedonian Anthemus. All the coins that can be verified are of the reign of Caracalla, who, as Regling suggests, may have visited the place on his eastern campaign. ${ }^{3}$ The reverse type is a head of the Citygoddess (Pl. XII. 1, 2). On some specimens she wears a crescent on her turreted crown, as at Carrhae.

## CARRHAE.

Carrhae, or H!urran, Crassi clade nobiles, lay at the junction of the rivers Skirtos and Karrha. ${ }^{4}$ It is described by Dio Cassius $(37,5)$ as a Macedonian colony. It was famous in antiquity for

[^65]its cult of the Moon-rod, the Babylono-Assyrian Sin, here called Ba'al-Harran. ${ }^{1}$ The coinage extends from Marcus Aurelius ${ }^{2}$ to Gordian and Tranquillina. Most, if not all, of the quasi-autonomous coins attributed to Carrhae belong to other mints. Thus the piece described by Dumersan ${ }^{3}$ and Millingen ${ }^{4}$ (obv. bearded head r . rev. XAPP three ears of corn) is a common coin of Tingis in Mauretania ${ }^{5}$ with a Punic inscription; and Arigoni's piece (obv. head of Helios, with torch in front, rev. bucranium surmounted by a crescent and two stars with the inscription ETCKAP PHN $\Omega$ N) is of Stectorium in Phrygia. ${ }^{6}$

Here may be mentioned a curious bronze coin in the Fitzwilliam Museum, Cambridge :

Obv. Figure of armed goddess on basis, facing, with round shield on I. arm, wielding axe (?) in r.; inscription KAIKO $\wedge \omega$ NEIAC Border of dots.
liev. On a basis, a baetyl, decorated with an eagle displayed, and
 dots.
玉 19 mm .
Dr. Imhoof-Blumer kindly informed me that a specimen formerly in his collection read MHTPOПO $\mathcal{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{C}$ on the reverse, on the right side, where the Fitzwilliam coin is deficient; on the other hand his specimen failed altogether where that in the Fitzwilliam Museum shows considerable though obscure remains of lettering.

I had been inclined to read these remains as $\mathbf{A} \wedge \in \equiv A N \triangle P \in I A C$ and to attribute the coin to Carrhae, regarding KAI on the obverse

[^66]as a miswriting of KAP. The type of the reverse would be quite appropriate to Carrhae. Further examination, however, inclines me strongly to read $\triangle A O \triangle I K I A C$. If I am right, the coin must belong to Laodicea ad Mare. The type of the obverse would then be the Artemis Brauronia, who appears on the coins of that city, with axe and shield, accompanied by deer. ${ }^{1}$ The baetyl of the reverse, on the other hand, must be the stone of Elagabal, which on the coins of the neighbouring Emesa is represented adorned with an eagle. ${ }^{2}$

Sestini ${ }^{3}$ has published the following coin from the Munich Cabinet:

Obv. Crescent with star, resting on globe.
Rev. KAPPHN $\Omega$ N Crab.
※ size 4.
If this is correctly described, the crab presumably stands for the constellation Cancer.

The ethnic of Carrhae is given as Kappaîos by Dio Cassius, as Kappaios or Kappqvós by Stephanus. Only the latter form (sometimes written with one $\rho$ ) is found on the coins. Where the name of the city appears instead of the ethnic, it takes the form KAPPA. ${ }^{4}$ On a coin of Sept. Severus we find this in the genitive miswritten KAP $\omega N$.

The books of the older writers on Carrhae swarm with misreadings. ${ }^{5}$ On the authenticated coins the following titles appear, usually abbreviated:
$\Phi \mid \wedge O P \Omega M \in O$. M. Aurelius and L. Verus; possibly also Commodus. See Eckhel, iii, p. 509.

AYPH^IA, AYPH^(८avoí). From Commodus onwards.

[^67]^OYKIA. Septinius Severus. See Macdonald, Hunter. Catul., iii, p. $301, \therefore$.

KO^ $\Omega$ NEIA. From Septimius Severus onwards.
MHTPOПO^IC. From Caracalla onwards.
MHTPOПO^IC MECOП(oг $\alpha \mu i ́ \alpha s)$. Severus Alexander; sometimes with $A$ added in the field (for $\Pi P \Omega \mathbf{T H}$ ). See Eckhel, iii, p. 509. Vaillant's coin of 'Marcus Aurelius' with this title is doubtless really of Severus Alexander.

On the Latin coins of Caracalla the titles are Col(onia) Met(ropolis) Antoniniana Aur(elia) Alex(andriana) or Col. Aur. Metropolis Autoniniuna. According to Eckhel one coin with the latter legend adds CA, and indeed this affords the reason for the attribution of these Latin coins to Carrhae.

Numerous coins of M. Aurelius, L. Verus and Commodus ${ }^{1}$ have been published by Arigoni, Vaillant, Sestini and others, which would seem to show that Carrhae was a colony before the time of Septimius Severus; and Eckhel and other good authorities have not questioned the readings. In no case, however, have I been able to verify them. Some of them combine the colonial title with the epithet $\Phi I \wedge O P \Omega M A I O I$, but how should Roman colonists be described as 'Friends of Rome'? ${ }^{2}$ Yet, if KO $\wedge \Omega$ NEIA really appears on coins of Commodus, the latter portion of the word may have been the source of the readings $K O \wedge \Omega N$. $\in$. Ir. given by Arigoni and $K O \wedge \Omega N$. $\Theta E I \Omega N$. quoted by Rasche from Odericius. The titles Lucia Aurelia were, as Macdonald has remarked, derived from Verus, who effected the Roman conquest of Mesopotamia. Whether, however, either of them appears before the time of Commodus seems to be doubtful; and of ^OYKIA the only occurrence seems to be on a coin of Severus.

[^68]Imhoof ${ }^{1}$ has suggested the attribution to Carrhae of two silver tetradrachms, the style of which, and the form of oxide with which they are encrusted, point to a Mesopotamian origin: He describes them as follows:

1. AYT K M AY [CE ANTW]NINOC CE. Bust of young Caracalla l., laureate; on his back, scale-cuirass, on his 1. arm, shield.
liev. ФOY^OYIA П^AYTI^^A AY「OYCTA. Bust of Plautilla r .
Al 25 mm . Wt. 11.60 gm . Pl. L. 6.
2. AYT K M AY CE ANTWNINO. Bust of Caracalla l., with slight beard, radiate crown, and scale-cuirass; spear in r., shield on 1 . shoulder.

Rev. $\Theta \in \omega$ C $\in O Y[H P \omega]$ ПA $\Pi \Pi \omega$ Bust of Severus r., wearing cuirass and paludamentum.
AR 25 mm . Wt. 10.35 gm . Pl. L. 7.
These could not have been struck at Edessa, since until the death of Abgar IX (X) (216-17) the Edessene coins bear the portrait of that king, whereas one of the above coins was issued before the banishment of Plautilla in 205. The other is not earlier than 211. The only likely mint, other than Edessa, is Carrhae, on the bronze coins of which the bust of Caracalla is sometimes represented in the same way, with shield on shoulder. ${ }^{2}$ Пámтos, as Imhoof points out, must be used in the sense of puter.

The great outburst of coinage under Caracalla is to be connected with his use of this district as a base for his eastern campaigns. It was in setting out in 214 for his first expedition that $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s$ 'A $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\xi} \dot{\xi} \alpha \nu \delta o s \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \nu,{ }^{3}$ and accordingly we find that the colony received the title Alexandriunu. He was murdered on the road from Edessa to Carrhae.

[^69]The conquest of Carrhae by the Persians accounts for the absence of coins of that mint in the reign of Maximinus. The issue was restored during the brief period of re-conquest by Gordian III.

The types are for the most part illustrative of the cult of the local moon-god. The crescent (PI. XII. 3, 5, 9-12, 23; XIII. 4, 5) is usually represented with a single star, and is frequently placed on a globe or cushion-like object, but occasionally there are two stars, which must be the sun and Venus, so that we have the trinity: Sin, Shamash, and Ishtar. ${ }^{1}$ From the crescent sometimes descend streamers on either side. The moon-grod or goddess is sometimes represented by a conical or obelisk-like object, possilbly a baetyl, surmounted by a crescent. ${ }^{2}$ The coins of Septimius Severus show this type in the central space of a temple (PI. XII. 4). In the intercolumniations on either side are two objects which have been taken for cultus-figures. ${ }^{3}$ It seems probable, however, that Mionnet, Chwolsohn, and others were right ${ }^{4}$ in calling them military standards. A single standard in a shrine is also found at the Syrian Hieropolis, where again it has been taken to be the representation of a deity. ${ }^{5}$ That the Roman standard was placed in a shrine and worshipped ${ }^{6}$ is well known. In ordinary

[^70]camps the aedicula was doubtless placed near the praetorium; but in a colony the standards of the legions quartered there would naturally be placed in one of the chief temples. At Carrhae then we see two standards, each in an aedicula in the chief temple. Each aedicula is surmounted by a crescent ${ }^{1}$; it was the easier thus to connect the worship of the standard with the local cult lecause a crescent often formed part of the symbolic decoration of the military standard. To say, with Mr. A. B. Cook, that the objects in these aediculae were originally pillar-altars, later conventionalized into Roman standards, is surely to exhibit a desperate ingenuity.

Vaillant ${ }^{2}$ describes a coin of Marcus Aurelius on the reverse of which the crescent supports a bust of the Moon-goddess; but the engraving and description are so untrustworthy that all details must be regarded as suspect until confirmed.

The figure of the City-goddess is, as usual, derived from that of Antioch on the Orontes; the river-god at her feet is either the Skirtos or the Karrha. On a few of the Latin coins of Caracalla a cornucopiae or a small serpent appears in front of her bust (PI. XII. 19-22). The crescent-moon is placed above her head on the coins of Gordian III, and at the same time a small figure is represented on a pedestal before the bust (Pl. XIII. 1, 2). This has been explained by Macdonald ${ }^{3}$ as the sign Aquarius. He points out that it seems to correspond to other astronomical signs, such as Aries and Sagittarius, on Mesopotamian coins. But the corre-
of so holy and ancient a city as Hieropolis. Besides, there is in this image not the least resemblance to Roman standards or to their commonly known coin types. The fact of the matter is that the circles are not the solid medallions of Roman standards but are serpent coils. The shadows and lines show that there is a continuity and not a solution of the curved lines.' Mr. Frothingham is too positive. Certain details, which he considers have been added by the draughtsman responsible for the drawing in Strong and Garstang, are confirmed by the half-tone illustration in the same book made directly from a cast of the coin.
${ }^{1}$ At Hieropolis, similarly, by a dove, for the Syrian goddess.
${ }^{2}$ Num. Col., i, p. 179.
3 Hunter. Catal., iii, p. 303, note.
spondence is not exact, since this figure alone of the three is represented on a pedestal, which seems to indicate that it is a monument; also the figure seems to hold a skin and not a jar, which would indicate the 'Marsyas' of the Forum, a frequent type on colonial coins, even if it be not the ordinary symbol of colonial right. On the other hand, the attitude is not that of the 'Marsyas', and on some specimens liquid appears to be issuing from the skin; so that the probabilities as between the 'Marsyas' and Aquarius seem to be about evenly balanced. For the present we may continue to use the latter name.

It may be suggested that the zodiacal signs which play so important a part on the coins of Mesopotamian cities are, so to speak, genethliac, marking in each case the sign under which the colony was founded. They cannot, as Eckhel has shown, ${ }^{1}$ mark the month in which the local era begins.

## EDESSA.

Edessa ${ }^{2}$ in Osrhoene, or more correctly Orrhoene, is represented by the modern Urfa. It is first heard of in Macedonian times, when its earlier name Orrhoe was changed by Seleucus I to Edessa after the Macedonian city. For a time (perhaps only under Antiochus IV) it bore the name of Antiochia $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} K \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \rho o ́ \eta$, from a lake of that name, and the coins struck there by Antiochus IV are inseribed ' $A \nu \tau \iota \circ \chi^{\epsilon} \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \in \pi \iota K \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \rho o ́ \eta \iota$. The river Skirtos, on which the city was situated, and which is represented below the feet of the city-goddess on the coins (e.g. Pl. XIV. 18) is now

[^71]called the Daisân ${ }^{1}$; both names mean the same thing, the 'leaper', and refer to the serious inundations to which it subjected the city. The rock on which the City-goddess sits more probably represents the Nimrûcl Dâgh than, as Babelon supposes, the far distant range of Masios. The Kallirrhoe is now called Birket Ibrâlitm, ${ }^{2}$ and its fish are still regarded as sacred. This fish-worship was doubtless connected with the cult of Atargatis, which is otherwise known to have prevailed at Edessa. Christian authorities also mention the cults of Bel and Nebo. We know also from Julian ${ }^{3}$ that the Sungod was worshipped at Edessa with two attendant deities Azizos and Monimos, probably the morning and the evening stars. Helios and Bel are probably to be identified. ${ }^{4}$

The thorough study which has been made of the coinage by Babelon ${ }^{5}$ makes it unnecessary to go into many numismatic details here. The examination of the material available confirms his attributions and dates in all essentials; a few minor points of difference are noticed as they occur.

Although the kingdom of Edessa began in the second century B. c., the founder of the dynasty being Aryu, 132-127 в.c., there is no coinage before the time of Marcus Aurelius. The generally accepted chronology from the reign of Ma'nu VIII onwards (which is that of A. von Gutschmid, based on Dionysius of Tellmahre) has heen corrected by Babelon with the help of the coins, as will be seen from the following comparative table ${ }^{6}$ :

[^72]A. D.

163-165
$165-167$
167-179
179-214
$214-216$

216-242
242-214

Gutschmid.
Babelon.
Waël, son of Sahru (2 years).


The coinage begins with the expulsion of Manu VIII from the throne of Edessa by the Parthian king Volagases III. Three classes of bronze coins appear now to have been struck at Edessa: (1) Coins with the bust of Volagases on the obverse, and the symbol $\boldsymbol{P}$ as reverse type. These bear the name of 'Volagases Arsaces king of kings' in the local script (Wroth, B. M. C. Parthiu, p. 236). (2) Coins with the bust of Volagases on the obverse, and the bust of Waël (with inscription Waël Mallka ${ }^{1}$ ) on the reverse (Pl. XIII. 6). (3) Coins with the bust of Waël (Waël Malka) on the obverse and a temple containing a cult object on the reverse (Pl. XIII. 7, 8). The inscription accompanying the reverse type has been read by Babelon as אלה אלולה, and the remains of the inscription on the British Museum specimens do not contradict this reading, and certainly support it more than any other that has been suggested. The star which appears in the perliment of the temple indicates the god's celestial character, and the object by which the deity was represented was a cubic stone or something of that kind. Such an object is represented in the temple which occurs as an adjunct on some of the later coins of the city (e.g. Pl. XV. 4). It is tempting, in view of the celestial

[^73]character of the god in question, to comect the name with Allul, the Babylonian name for the constellation Cancer. ${ }^{1}$ At the neighbouring city of Carrhae a crab appears as one of the types, if Sestini's description of a coin at Munich is to be trusted. ${ }^{2}$

Waël, the creature of Volagases, reigned but two years. On or after the conclusion of the Parthian war by L. Verus about the middle of $166,{ }^{3}$ Ma'nu VIII was restored to his throne. There may have been some interval between the expulsion of Waël by the Romans and the restoration of Ma'nu, but, as Babelon has shown, there is no reason to fill the gap with an otherwise unknown Abgar. ${ }^{4}$ Dionysius of Tellmaḥre mentions no king, nor indeed any interval, between Waël and Ma'nı. Waël doubtless disappeared from Edessa soon after the beginning of the campaign of $165 .{ }^{5}$ I do not see any reason for dating the restoration of Ma'nu in 167 rather than 166.

During the second reign of this king. Edessa was the mint of certain silver denarii with the portraits of Marcus Aurelius, Faustina II, Lucius Verus, and Lucilla. They all bear on the reverse the name of Ma'nu (Mannos) with the titles B $\alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \in$ ús and $\Phi \iota \lambda o \rho \omega ́ \mu \alpha l o s . A s ~ r e g a r d s ~ t y p e s, ~ t h o s e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ d e n a r i i ~ o f ~ M . ~ A u r e l i u s, ~$ Faustina Junior, and Lucilla are purely Roman in character. The resting Mars of Pl. XIII. 10 alludes to the conclusion of the war. ${ }^{6}$

[^74]The reverse of the denarius of L. Verus bears merely the king's name and title in four lines across the field (P1. L. 8). ${ }^{1}$

Edessa, rather than Carrhae, was probably also the mint of certain other silver denarii of Marcus Aurelius, Faustina II, L. Verus, and Lucilla (PI. XIX. 5-12), and small bronze of Commodus (Pl. XIX. 13), which commemorate a Roman victory in the words Y$\Pi \in P$ NIKHC $P \Omega M A I \Omega N$ or the like. They are described on pp. 137-9. Eckhel attributes the bronze of Commodus to Carrhae, but leaves the mint of the silver coins uncertain. Babclon ${ }^{3}$ gives them all to Carrhae on the ground that the silver must go with the bronze, and that the bronze cannot have been struck at Edessa under Commodus, because its 'republican' type of Tyche is unsuitable to Edessa at a time when a dynast was striking coins there in his own name. There is, however, no reason against supposing that coins with the complimentary inscriptions $\mathrm{Y} \Pi \in \mathrm{P}$ NIKHC $\mathrm{P} \Omega \mathrm{MAI} \Omega \mathrm{N}$ к.т.入. may have been struck at more than one mint in Mesopotamia; so that even if the bronze coin was struck at Carrhae, the denarii might belong to Edessa. But indeed the occurrence of the head of Tyche on the bronze does not forbid the attribution of it to Edessa during the reign of a dynast, unless we are prepared at the same time to deny the existence of coins of Tigranes with the 'lyche of Antioch, or of Philopator of Cilicia with the Tyche of Hieropolis. The head of Tyche, moreover, does not, so far as I know, occur on coins bearing the name of Carrhae in the time of Commodus ${ }^{4}$; so that we are free to attribute the bronze as well as the silver to Edessa, where we know that a silver coinage of exactly similar style was being issued at the time. A further reason, though not

[^75]a strong one, for attributing these coins to Edessa is the fact that the denarii of Manu, which were struck there, bear the portraits of exactly the same four imperial personages. It must, however, be admitted that the attribution to Edessa does not amount to a certainty.

Wherever they may have been struck, the silver coins bear Roman types, although it is difficult to give names to some of the personifications, as nothing exactly like them occurs on contemporary Roman coins. The figure of Armenia seated on the ground (PI. XIX. 6) on the coin of Marcus is, however, an exact reproduction of that inspired by the Armenian campaign of L. Verus, which first appeared on the coins at the end of A. D. $163 .{ }^{1}$

Other types that occur in this series are:-
Victory, carrying long palm-branch and circlet with pendent fillets, standing on a globe (Pl. XIX. 5).

Female figure, with globe or apple and cornucopiae (Pl. XIX. 7, 9).
Female figure, with sceptre and comucopiae (PI. XIX. 8).
Female figure with patera and sceptre (PI. XIX. 10).
Venus with apple and sceptre (Pl, XIX. 11, 12).
Female figure, holding sceptre in 1., ears of corn in r. over altar. (Pl. XLVIII. 7).

Fecunditas, with four children. Nionnet, $\mathrm{v}, 638,229$.
Jupiter seated, holding Victory. Ilicd. 639, 231.
Lectisternium. Ibid. 232 (the Saeculi Felicitas type of Faustina II).

Minerva standing, with javelin and shield. Ibiul. 233.
Fortuna standing, with rudder on glohe, and cornucopiae, llid. 234.

The inscriptions are $H$ NEIKH $P \Omega M A I \Omega N$, YTIEP NIKHC $P \Omega M A I \Omega N, Y \Pi \in P$ NIKHC $T \Omega N$ KYPI $\Omega N$, sometimes with $\mathbf{C} \in \mathrm{B}$ added, $\mathrm{Y} \Pi \in \mathrm{P}$ NIKHC $T \Omega \mathbf{N} \mathbf{C} \in B A C$. The Armenian type is identified by $A P M \in N$ in the exergue.

[^76]To return to Ma'nu: Babelon also assigns to him the coins which bear his name in Estranghelo on the reverse (Ma'ıu Malliu). Most of these have the king's portrait in a tiara on the obrerse (Pl. XIII. 9) ; but one variety (here Pl. L. 9) ${ }^{1}$ has a bearded portrait, without tiara, which so strongly resembles Lucius Verus that I take it to represent that emperor rather than the king. That in itself would be sufficient to fix the date of all these coins to the time of Verus. i.e. to the second reign of Ma'nu. This bronze coin, with the portrait of Verus on the obverse and the name of the king without type on the reverse, is exactly parallel to the silver denarii, except that, doubtless for reasons of space, the bronze coin does not give the king the epithet corresponding to $\Phi$ i入ора́ $\mu$ alos.

Ma'nu VIII, dying in A.d. 179, was followed by his son Abgar the Great (VIII according to Babelon, IX according to von Gutschmid), who reigned thirty-five years (A.D. 179-214). His coins (all of bronze) fall into the following groups:
(1) Obv. Bust of Abgar r. wearing tiara.

Rev. אבגר מלבא in two lines in Estranghelo; no type.
E 12 mm . Collection of the Marquis de Vogïé. (Rer. Fum. 1892, p. 210; Babelon, Mélanges, ii, p. 24万̂, no. 14, Pl. IV. 1). ${ }^{2}$
(2) Coins with the heads and names of Commodus and Abgar (Pl. XIII. 14 and Babelon, p. 248, nos. 15, 16).
(3) Coins with the heads and names of Septimius Severus and Abgar (Pl. XIII. 15-XIV. 7, and Babelon, pp. 251 ff .). These are often very badly blundered. The obverse inscription seems to be usually intended for C $\mathcal{O}$ YHPOC AYTOKPAT $\Omega P$, and the portrait of Severus is often assimilated to those of other emperors, such as

[^77]L. Verus. On one (no. 29) the inscription seems to be a misture of the names of Trajan or Hadrian and Severus. None of the coins in the British Museum gives Abgar any alditional name: but Babelon publishes specimens (his nos. 22-4) on which he reards $B A C \cdot \wedge \cdot A I \wedge \cdot C \in \Pi \cdot A B \Gamma A P O C{ }^{1}$ and BACI^EYC AI^•AYPH^• $\mathbf{C} \in \Pi \cdot А В Г А Р О С$. See Pl. L. 10, 11. The names Lucius Aelius Aurelius are derived from Commodus, and Septimius from Severus.
(4) A coin with the portraits and names of Caracalla and Abgar, ABए $\langle A\rangle P \circ C[B A C I] \wedge \in Y C$ (Babelon, no. 33, Pl. V. 7, here Pl. L. 12).
(5) There are also some coins (Babelon, pp. 258 ft .) which bear on the obverse the bearded portrait of king Abgar (PI. L. 14), and on the reverse a portrait of Marnu (MANNOC with beardless bust (Pl. XIV. 8, 9), or MANNOC ПAIC with bearded bust, here Pl. L. 13). Both persons wear the tiara. It is clear that this Ma'nu was associated in youth with his father Algar. The question arises: are these two persons Abgar YIII and an otherwise unknown son Ma'nu who was associated in the kingship, but did not succeed his father, and is not known except from the coins? Or are they Abgar IX Severus and his son, who, according to Dionysius of Tellmahre, reigned together for one year and seven months, beginning A. Abr. 2203 (A.D. 214): 'and after Abgar, there reigned his son Ma'nu, for 26 years'? The Abgar whose portrait is associated with the boy Ma'nu is bearded, and resembles Abgar VIII, whereas all the portraits which can with any probability be identified with Abgar IX (see below) are beardless. We are therefore constrained, in spite of the undesirability of inventing an otherwise unknown Ma'nu son of Abgar VIII, to follow Babelon and adopt the former alternative. It is curious that the beardless portraits are entitled simply MANNOC, and the bearded one MANNOC TAIC ; possibly, when the son had grown a beard, it was thought necessary to indicate his juniority in the inscription.

Von Gutschmid, by an arbitrary alteration of the text of Dionysius, makes Abgar VIII (IX) continue to reign after A.D. 214 for one

[^78]year and seven months with his son Severus Abgar. Now we know ${ }^{1}$ that Caracalla deposed 'Abgar king of the Osroenes' who, as soon as he had established his power over his people, began to treat them with great cruelty. Therefore this Algar, who is Severus Abgar, must have leen reigning for some little time before his deposition ; in other words, Alggar V III (IX) must have ceased to reign : and there is no reason to emend Dionysius or extend the reign of Abgar VIII (IX) beyond A.D. 214. It was, as Babelon maintains, Severus Abgar who reigned for one year and seven months from 214 to 216, not with his father Abgar VIII (IX) but with a son, possibly the Ma'nu who was afterwards titular king of Edessa for 26 years.

An epitaph at Rome ${ }^{2}$ tells us that 'Abgar, son of the former king Abgar', died there aged 26 years; the epitaph was put up by his brother Antoninus. According to von Gutschmid and von Rohden ${ }^{3}$ this young Abgar was the Abgar IX (X) Severus who was deposed by Caracalla, and Antoninus was the Ma'nu who appears on the coins with Abgar the Great. But we should, in that case, expect to find this young Abgar called by his Roman name Severus (especially since his brother calls himself Antoninus); and, also, the fact that he had actually reigned for a time would hardly be ignored. It is much more probable that ó $\pi \rho i \nu \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \grave{\nu} s{ }^{\prime \prime} A \beta \gamma \alpha \rho o s$ of the epitaph was Abgar IX ( X ) Severus. Whether the Abgar buried at Rome was the son who, according to Dionysius, was associated with his father on the throne, may be doubted, since such a fact, again, would hardly have been ignored in the epitaph. Probably Antoninus was his elder brother; for Abgar IX being named after Septimius Severus would appropriately name his elder son after Caracalla. It is accordingly not unreasonable to assume that Antoninus was the name of that Ma'nu who, according to Dionysius, reigned for twenty-six years after his

[^79]father Abgar IX (X) had been deposed by Caracalla. This reign was of course merely de jure. Was he also the son who had also previously been associated with his father on the throne? If so, would he not have mentioned the fact in the epitaph which he put up to his brother's memory? Or would he perhaps have considered it politic not to allude more definitely to his claim to a kingdom which had been suppressed?

We may therefore, provisionally, accept the following table as representing the relations of the family at this period:

> Abgar VIII (IX) the Great
> son of Ma'nu, reigned A. D. 179-214.


The coins attributable to Abgar IX Severus bear on the obverse the bust of Caracalla, on the reverse the bust of the king, wearing the tiara. He is beardless, or at the most has a very slight beard. The coins ${ }^{1}$ clearly give him the name Severus Abgar (Pl. XIV.10),

From 216 until 242 the kingdom was in abeyance, but coins were issued at Edessa in the names of Caracalla, Macrinus, Diadumenian, Elagabalus, Severus Alexander, Julia Mamaea, Gordian III and Tranquillina. The distinction between the coins of Caracalla and Elagabalus, always a matter of difficulty, is here so doubtful that Babelon gives up the attempt to draw it, and describes all these

[^80]coins under the later emperor. It is generally assumed ${ }^{1}$ that Caracalla gave Edessa the status of a Roman colony; but this assumption is based only on the attribution to Caracalla of coins reading KO^. It is, however, to be noted that no coins of Macrinus or Diadumenian give the city that title; and we may therefore argue ${ }^{2}$ that the colony was founded ly Elagabalus. If so, no coins with the title KO^ can belong to Caracalla. The safest course is perhaps to credit Caracalla with only a small group of coins reading AY( $\rho \eta \lambda i ́ \alpha)$ AN( $\tau \omega \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \iota \alpha \nu \grave{\eta}) \in \Delta \in C C A{ }^{3}$

On the coins of Macrinus and Diadumenian the city is called $O_{(\pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda i \alpha)} M_{(\alpha \kappa \rho \epsilon \iota \nu(\alpha \nu \grave{\eta})} \in \Delta \in C C A$. On those which are attributable to Elagabalus we find the following titles: $\in \Delta \in C C \in \ldots$. . .;
 $\nu<\alpha \nu \grave{\eta}) K O \wedge$. $\in \Delta \in C C A$ or $\in \triangle \in C C H N W N$; KO^. ANT. AYP. $\epsilon \Delta \in C C A ; \operatorname{MAK}(\rho \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \nu \grave{\eta})$ AYP. KO. $\in \Delta \in C C A ; M A K . ~ A Y P$. $\epsilon \Delta \in C C$. : MHT ( $о о ́ \pi о \lambda ı s) K O \wedge(\omega \nu i ́ a) \in \Delta \in C C H N \omega N$; and $\in \Delta \in C C$. K○へ.

The title MAK. occurs on no. 68, and on the Paris coin, Babelon, no. 55, Pl. VI. 11. Babelon reads M•A•K, but his engraving shows no stops ; and the K on the British Museum coin cannot stand for Ko入 $\omega \nu \nu^{\prime} \alpha$, since KO comes later in the same inscription. The title MAK. also persists into the next reign. If the interpretation above given is right, it is clear that no coins on which the city is called MAK. can be as early as Caracalla.

[^81]Babclon disputes the reading of all coins earlier than the reign of Severus Alexander which give the title МПтрóтодıs to Edessa; but there can be no doubt about the reading of such coins as those of Elagabalus figured in Pl. XV. 6, 7. The title was not, however, firmly established until later; for under Severus Alexander we find, on his coins as Caesar: MAP. AVP. ANT. $\in \Delta \in C C A$ and MAK. AVP. $\in \triangle \in C C A$, in which also the absenee of the colonial title is remarkable; on his coins as Augustus: MAK. AVP. $\in \Delta \in C C$. and MHT. KO^. $\in \Delta \in C C H N \omega N$.

The Persian occupation of Mesopotamia during the reign of Maximinus doubtless, as Babelon remarks, included Edessa, so that no coins of that emperor were struck there.

Under Gordian III (who reconquered Mesopotamia) and Tranquillina the inscription MHT. KO^. $\in \triangle \in C C H N W N$ is found, apparently without exception.

Gordian III re-established the kinglom of Edessa; this is proved by the coins which were struck there in the joint names of the emperor and king Abgar, commemorating the restoration of the kingdom. This event doubtless dates from Gordian's arrival in Mesopotamia, in $24:$. . With the murder of the emperor in 244 it is probable that the reign of Abgar X came to an end. Babelon's statement that Philip closed the mint of Edessa and deprived the city of its colonial title is not disproved, as it, might be thought, by the coin in the Leake collection, ${ }^{1}$ described as bearing Philip's name and bust $r$. on the obverse, and on the reverse a goddess seated to front in a tetrastyle temple, with the inscription KO^. $\epsilon \triangle \in C C H N \Omega N$; since that piece appears in reality to be a coin of Nesibi, reading $K \circ \wedge \Omega N \in C I B I M H T$.

Alogar X (XI) is usually identified with the Abgar Phrahates who is mentioned in a puzzling inscription at Rome ${ }^{2}$ : D.M. ABGAR PRAHATES FILIVS REX PRINCIPIS ORRHENORV. HODDA CONIVGI BENE MERENTI FEC. Von Gutschmid supposes that

[^82]this epitaph was set up by Abgar to his wife Hodda; and that king Alogar Phrahates was the son of Ma'nu, who is called princeps, because he was only co-regent with his father, or successor designate, but never really becane king. Mommsen, on the other hand, thinks that the curious language of the inscription is to be explained by a confusion between two drafts, the first having read Dis manibus Abgari Prahatis filii principis Orrhenorum, \&c., and the word principis having been accidentally retained when the construction was altered, and rex substituted for princeps. It may be suggested that we should read: Dis manibus; Abyur Pialutes rex, filius principis Orrlenorum; Hodda coniugi bene merenti fecit. There is little to be said for the identification of this Abgar with the one who died at Rome aged twenty-six, and of whom we have a Greek epitaph (discussed above), or with the Abgar who was deposed by Caracalla; as we have seen, the latter was the son of Algar VIII (IX), and his surname was Severus. Mommsen does not explain whether he regards Phrahates as a surname of Abgar, or as the name of his father who was ruler of Orrhoene. On the whole the probabilities seem to be in favour of von Gutschmid's identification.
The latest coinage of Edessa is an insignificant series issued by Trajan Decius. The coinage of Rhesaena ceases at the same time, and there is no later Greek coinage of Mesopotamian cities, although it is clear that some of them held out against the Persians until the time of Valerian.

The types of the earlier regal coins of Edessa have already been discussed abore. Besides the ordinary bust-portraits of the kings, we have, under Gordian III, an interesting representation of the emperor standing, or seated on a platform, receiving the new king whom he has installed, and another of the king riding to the ceremony (Pl. XVI. 7, 8). The city-types are (1) the bust of the City-goldess (occasionally with the inscription TYXH or TYXHC). A small figure, which occurs also at Carrhae, and which may be meant for the sign of Aquarius, is sometimes on a pedestal in front of the bust (see Pl. XVI. 4, 6 and above, p. xciii).

Two busts confronted, with a small temple below them, are found on some coins (Pl. XV. 4); the meaning of this duplication is obscure, unless the two Fortunes are those of Emperor and Empress. ${ }^{1}$ On a coin of Severus Alexander and Mamaea (PI. XVI. 1), the little figure of Aquarius appears above the head of the goddess, as on coins where the bust alone is represented.
(2) Figure of the City-goddess, seated on a rock with the River Skirtos at her feet (Pl. XV. 11, dec.). She holds an object which is often obscure: sometimes it resembles a branch; at others, a bunch of fruit or corn-ears; or incense which she drops on an altar; or a small temple (Babelon, Pl. VII. 6, here Pl. L. 16). Besides the altar which occurs frequently in the field, and is sometimes duplicated, we occasionally find a cornucopiae, and once a serpent rearing and a small turreted bust ${ }^{2}$ : or the goddess is crowned by a flying Victory. In the time of Severus Alexander the field usually holds two or four stars (Pl. XV. 11-XVI. 3). ${ }^{3}$
(3) A temple façade of a somewhat unusual kind is seen on the reverse of a coin of Severus Alexander ${ }^{4}$ : it has the appearance of a hexastyle shrine in cutis with a pediment, within a larger building of which two columns supporting a gable are shown (Pl. L. 17). The Leake coin of Philip Senior also shows a goddess to front in a tetrastyle temple.

The coins with the reverse type of Roma seated, crowned by the City-goddess, which are sometimes ${ }^{5}$ classified under the Mesopotamian mint, belong to Edessa in Macedonia. It is a curious coincidence that a specimen of such a coin of Philip (similar to B. M. C., Macerlon, p. 40, no. 28) recently shown at the British Museum was stated to have been found in Mesopotamia.

[^83]
## MAIOZOMALCHA.

The coin attributed to this place by Sestini ${ }^{1}$ is of the colony of Mallus in Cilicia. ${ }^{2}$

## NESIBI.

Nesibi, as it is almost invariably called on its coins, ${ }^{3}$ while the usual literary form is Nisibis, was situated on the Mygdonius, a tributary of the Chaboras. ${ }^{4}$

Strabo (xvi. 747) records the fact that the name of Mygdones was given by the Macedonians to the inhabitants of the neigbour-
 Antiochus IV accordingly coins were issued thenee with the inscription ANTIOXE $\Omega$ N T $\Omega N$ EN THI MYF $\triangle O N I A I .{ }^{5}$ The place was taken by Lucullus in 68 B. c., ceded to Tigranes, and reconquered by Trajan in A. D. 115 ; under Septimius Severus it became a Roman colony ${ }^{6}$ : witness the title Septimiu which it hears on the coins, and the statement of Dio Cassins (lxxv. 3) that Severus increased the dignity of the place and handed it over to the Roman knights. The Roman coinage does not, however, legin before the time of Macrinus, unless the coin published by Macdonald (loc. cit.) is really of Caracalla, and not rather of some later emperor. The bust on this coin is supported by an eagle. The titles borne by the city in the Roman period are: $\mathbf{K O \Lambda}$. $\mathbf{N} \in \mathrm{CIBI}$ under Macrinus;

[^84]СЕП(тьнía) KO^O (vía sic) NЄCIBI MHTPOПO^(ıs) under Severus Alexander and Gordian III; and $\operatorname{IOY}(\lambda i \alpha)^{1} \mathrm{C} \in \Pi(\tau \iota \mu i \alpha) \mathrm{KO} \Omega \Omega(\nu i \alpha)$ NECIBI MHT(рóтодıs) under Philip Senior. The title Julia was doubtless taken in honour of Philip.

Mionnet (v, 628, 183) cites a coin which he attributes to Trajan Decius, but his description suggests that it may be of Macrinus. ${ }^{2}$

The astronomical sign under which the city seems to have been placed was the Ram, just as at Edessa we find the sign of Aquarius and at Singara that of Sagittarius governing the destiny of the city. At Nesibi the sign usually occurs over the head of the Citygoddess (Pl. XVII. 8, 9, \&c.), but it also forms a type by itself (Pl. XVII. 13). The river-god ${ }^{3}$ who appears at the feet of the City-goddess is the Mygdonius. On coins of Philip and his family (Pl. XVII. 10 ff .) the seated figure of the goddess is represented to front, so rudely that when the preservation of the specimen is not good she has the appearance of a Canopic figure. The temple in which she sits has, as a rule, nothing unusual except its twisted columns; but on one specimen (PI. XVII. 12), instead of the inner columns, a panelled wall, perhaps the front wall of the cella, appears to be represented.

## NICEPHORIUM.

Vaillant ${ }^{4}$ has attributed to this place, the modern Raqqa, ${ }^{5}$ coins of Gordian (rev. Zeus seated holding Nike and sceptre) and Gallienus (rev. female figure holding phiale and cornucopiae) on which he reads the inscription NIKHФOPI $\Omega$ N. His attributions have not been confirmed.

[^85]
## RHESAENA.

Rhesaena, later called Theodosioupolis, lay near the upper waters of the Chaboras, about half way between Carrhae and Nesibi at Rus el 'Ain. The spelling on the coins is uniformly 'P $\eta=\alpha \iota \nu a$, whereas most of the literary authorities write the first syllable with $\epsilon{ }^{1}$

The inscriptions on the coins show that it was colonized by Septimius Severus, ${ }^{2}$ and that a detachment of the Legio Tertia $\mathrm{P}(\text { arthica })^{3}$ was quartered there.

The coinage appears to begin with Caracalla, although some of the coins attributed to him may belong to Elagabalus. ${ }^{*}$ After Severus Alexander the coinage ceased, to be revived in great plenty in the time of Trajan Decius; but after this revival it came to an end altogether.

The Roman eagle is a frequent accompaniment of the main type; on some coins it is apparently held by the City-goddess in her hand, or it is placed above her head, ${ }^{5}$ but more frequently it

[^86]appears as a separate adjunct. Under Trajan Decius it is represented in a temple (PI. XVIII. 17).

The river-god Chaboras is figured in the usual way below the feet of the City-goddess, or in the exergue under other types. The very rude representations on Pl. XVIII. 1, 2, if rightly made out, ${ }^{1}$ may be meant for a fountain nymph. If so, we may perhaps identify her as the Fons Cavorae (Scabore, i.e. Chaborac) of the Anonymus Ravennas and the Tabula Peutingeriana, a fountain which was quite close to Rhesaena.

The Founder ploughing the sulcus primigenius is represented with a vexillum inscribed with the name of the legion in the background, under Severus Alexander, according to Vaillant; but on the later coins the vexillum is absent. It appears, on the other hand, both as a main type and accompanied by the fountain-figure or by a centaur (Pl. XVIII. 3, 2, 6).

The centaur Sagittarius ${ }^{2}$ is the type of a coin of Elagabalus (Pl. XVIII. 4), and appears as an accompaniment to one of the two figures of the city-goddess in the Concordia type (Pl. XVIII. 11), the other goddess being accompanied by a figure of Aquarius on a pedestal, just as at Carrhae and Edessa. Sagittarius is less probably the sign of the legion, or an allusion to a local force of mounted archers, than the zodiacal sign proper to Rhesaena. ${ }^{3}$ Singara seems also to have been founded under the same constellation. Macdonald ${ }^{4}$ has suggested that in the Concordia type the City-goddess on the left represents Carrhae or Edessa, and that on the right Rhesaena or Singara. Since Carrhae seems to have been of small importance at the time and Rhesaena is not likely to hare

[^87]been omitted altogether from a type of its own coinage, the two figures prohably represent Edessa and Rhesaena.

The Caryatid supporting the offering slab between the two figures, which is sometimes substituted for an altar (cp. nos. 28, 29) is shown clearly on the Hunterian specimen (here P1. L. 18).

The letters $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ (?) and $\Delta$ which appear in the field of some of the coins (cf. Mionnet, v. 6:29, 184) are unexplained. There are remarkable hlunders in the writing of the name of Herennia Etruscilla ( $\omega$ TPACKA $\wedge \wedge A$, AITP $\omega C K I \wedge \wedge A$ ), ${ }^{1}$ the former of which suggests, a confused reminiscence of Otacilia Severa.

## SINGARA.

Singara ( $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sum$ ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha$, but occasionally as a fem. sing.) lay at the modern Sinjur, on the south slope of the $\sum \iota \gamma \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha s$ mountain, at the head of the W. Tartur, the stream of which is doubtless represented by the river-god at the feet of the City-godless on the coins.

The titles $A \dot{u} \rho \eta \lambda i ́ a \Sigma_{\epsilon \pi \tau \iota \mu i ́ a ~ i n d i c a t e ~ t h a t ~ b e n e f i t s ~ w e r e ~ c o n f e r r e d ~}^{l}$ on the place by M. Aurelius or L. Verus and Septimius Severus, though which of them made it a colony we cannot tell.

Vaillant ${ }^{2}$ describes a coin of Severus Alexander (rev. bust of City-goddess l.); but otherwise the only known coins belong to the reign of Gordian III, ${ }^{3}$ and presumably to the years $242-244$, when Gordian after the battle of Rhesaena recovered Mesopotamia from the Persians.

The City-goddess (figure or bust, Pl. XIX. 1-4) provides all the types for the coinage. The sign of Sagittarius above her head has alrealy been noticed (p. cxi).

[^88]
## ZAÜTHA.

Zaiitha was situated on the left bank of the Euphrates, about 30 km . below the point where the river Chaboras enters the main stream. It is mentioned by Ammianus Marcellinus (xxiii. 5, 7: Zaitha locus, qui olea arbor interpretatur) as a place at which the tomb of Gordian III was conspicuous. Zosimus (iii. 14) calls it Zav $\theta \dot{\alpha}$, and places the tomb of Gordian at Dura, the next stage. Ptolemy (v. 17) gives the name as $Z \epsilon i \theta \alpha$. The place is otherwise quite unknown, and was evidently of very small importance.

Two coins have been described, purporting to be issued from this obscure town. The one ${ }^{1}$ is a bronze coin of Trajan (AY. NEPYAN TPAIANON CE., head r. laureate); rev. Nike 1. with wreath and palm-branch, and an inscription alleged to be ZAYOHC NIAC. It is highly improbable that Trajan should have struck coins at this alone of the Mesopotamian cities; and, so far as it is possible to judge from the engraving published (the two publications are evidently from the same plate), the coin looks as if it belonged to some Lydian mint. ${ }^{2}$

The second coin ${ }^{3}$ is of Carrhae (see below, p. 83, no. 5) .
It is quite clear that Zaïtha may be expunged from the list of Mesopotamian mints.

## BABYLONIA.

## BABYLON.

Certain series, representing the coinage (other than that bearing the name and types of Alexander the Great) which was issued by Alexander's governors and immediate successors between the

[^89]fall of the Persian Empire and the establishment of Antioch on the Orontes as the Scleucid capital, are currently attributed to the mint of Babylon. As these coins are the successors of the Imperial Persian issues, they are catalogued after the darics and sigloi of the Persian kings (pp. 176-92).

## SELEUCIA AD TIGRLM.

Seleucia ${ }^{1}$ was founded by Seleucus on the right bank of the Tigris near the point where the Royal Canal from the Euphrates reaches the eastern river, perhaps on the site of the older city of Opis. It superseded Babylon as the chief city of the country; indeed it became one of the greatest cities in the world. It must have been the mint from which many of the earlier Seleucid coins were issued. With the rest of the Seleucid Empire east of the Euphrates, the city fell into Parthian hands about 141 B. c., during the reign of Mithradates I. On the opposite bank of the river lay Ctesiphon, and this, rather than Seleucia, was the chief city during the Parthian domination from the first half of the first century down to A.D. 226. Some of the uninscribed coins generally attributed to Seleucia may just as well have been issued from Ctesiphon, which, as Wroth has remarked, was probably the mint of most of the ordinary later Parthian coinage. For convenience, however, and for lack of any criterion for distinguishing the two mints, all are collected together in this Catalogue under the heading of Seleucia.

The coins which bear the name of the city read $\Sigma E \wedge E Y K E \Omega N$ $T \Omega N$ TPPO乏 $T \Omega I$ TIIPEI. In addition to the varieties represented in this Catalogue, some are deseribed by Imhoof-Blumer: ${ }^{*}$ as follows:

[^90]1．Obv．Head of City－goddess r．，turreted．Border of dots．
Rev．$\Sigma E \wedge E Y K E \Omega N$ T $\Omega N$ TTPO乏 T $\Omega I$ and，in ex．，TITPEI． Two women ${ }^{1}$ turreted，standing confronted，each holding cornucopiae，and grasping each other＇s hands over a tripod．
E 18 mm ．Wt． 3.94 gm ．Cp．Mionnet，Suppl．viii，417．79．Here Pl．LII． 1 （Paris）．
2．Obv．Similar；border of dots；bevelled edge．
Rev．$\Sigma[E \wedge E Y] K E \Omega N$ and，in smaller letters，$T \Omega N$ TTPO $\Sigma T \Omega I$ ［TIFPEI］，in circular legend．Man，nude，seated 1．on cippus，holding in r．a large corn－ear or palm－branch，and in l．，supported against his seat，a cornucopiae（？）．
Æ 18 mm ．Wt． $3 \cdot 67 \mathrm{gm}$ ．
This type seems to resemble，in some respects，that of no．5， Pl．XXIII．7，in this Catalogue．The figure on the Museum coin also appears to be male and mude，but the kalathos－shaped head－ dress，which suggests Tyche，is clear．The object behind does not seem to be held in the figure＇s hand，and is more like a lyre than a cormucopiae；the figure sits forward on the edge of the seat or cippus，on which appears an omphalos－shaped object．These details indicate a connexion with Apollo，whose tripod appears on other coins（Pl．XXIII．3．4）．

Imhoof－Blumer also gives two specimens similar to our no．4， on the obverse of which monograms 层 and Ko（？）are risible；also varieties of no． 6 ，without date，and（from other authorities）with the dates $A B\left[\left(? A D[)^{2}\right.\right.$ and $\triangle O[$ ；the last has the reading ［EAEVKIA TH［ к．т．入．，and the City－goddess standing．A speci－ men acquired in Persia and presented to the British Museum by Mr．G．B．Hopkins shows the reading－－ －EYKIA－－and the date AOC．On the Paris specimen illustrated in Pl．LII．2，the mono－ gram $K o$（？）is partly legible both on obverse and on reverse．

The anonymous coins fall into the following groups ：
1．Obv．Head of City－goddess．
Rev．City－goddess seated，holding Nike，with horned river－god at her feet；inscr．$\Pi \circ \wedge 1 \Sigma$ and large $A$（see nos． $7-15$ ， Pl．XXIII．9，10）．

[^91]Imhoof-Blumer explains $\mathcal{A}$ as $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$, Seleucia being the Parthian capital. The word $\Pi \circ \wedge I \Sigma$ occurs, accompanying the type of an eagle r., on bronze coins with the portrait of Phraates IV, ${ }^{1}$ and these city-coins may perhaps belong to his time.
2. Ubv. Bearded head r.
liev. Head of City-goddess r., turreted; behind, A; all in wreath.
※ 17 mm . Wt. 4.35 gm . Imhoof-Blumer, Mfonn. gr., p. 452, no. 69 .
3. Obv. Head of City-goddess $\mathbf{r}$.

Rev. King (?) seated, holding Nike. (See no. 16, Pl. XXIII. 11).
4. Obv. King seated, receiving wreath from City.

Ricv. City-goddess seated, holding wreath (see nos. 17, 18, PI. XXIII. 12, 13).

In style and fabric groups 3 and 4 are late and poor, and would seem to be about contemporary with Volagases III (second half of the second century after Christ).

The following dated groups exist:
5. The common coins with $\Delta K \Sigma \Delta I O Y$ A (nos. 19-40, Pl. XXIII. 14, 15). These belong to the end of the reign of Mithradates II, or to the beginning of his successor's. The $\boldsymbol{A}$ seems to connect them with the ПONII coins, which are, however, probably later, if the era used on the coins in question is really the Seleucid. ${ }^{2}$
6. The small group with a veiled head, wearing small kalathos, on the reverse, dated in the months Gorpiaios and Hyperberetaios of the year 326 (=A.D. 14-15). See no. 41 and Gardner, Parthian Coinage, Pl. VII. 21, here PI. LII. 4. Both bear the same monogram, $\searrow$ or $\nVdash$ according to the way in which it is looked at. Connected with this group is an interesting coin with a similar

[^92]obverse type, and the same monogram as reverse type, surrounded by the inscription BOY AHCCKY $^{1}$ (so de Saulcy; probably $5 K T$.). In the time of Tacitus (Ann. vi. 42) the Senate of Seleucia consisted of trecenti opibus aut sapientia delecti ut Senatus.
7. For coins with the head of Phraates IV on the obverse, and the bust or figure of the City-goddess, or eagle with ПONI[ on the reverse, see Imhoof-Blumer, Monu. gr., p. 45̃2, nos. 70-2; B. M. C., Purthiu, pp. 1288, 131, 133, 134.
8. The little coin no. 42, Pl. XXIII. 17, is badly preserved: indeed, the type has been taken for a dolphin to l., instead of an elephant's head to 1 .; and the supposed date has been read as $\mathrm{Y} \wedge \mathrm{A}(431=\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{D} .119-20)$ instead of $\mathrm{T} \wedge \Delta$. In either case the date is inverted as regards the type; and the coin is only included here with the greatest reserve.
9. The little group of coins with dates from 351 to 355 ( $=$ A. D. 39-40 to 41-2) must belong to the revolt of Seleucia. The date of the suppression of the revolt, which lasted seven years, is fixed by Gutschmid in A. D. 43 , by others in A.D. $46 .^{2}$ The earlier date is to be preferred; for these coins are immediately succeeded by others, with the portrait of Vardanes, the type of BOY $\mathcal{B}$ seated, and the dates $\triangle N T$ and $\operatorname{ENT}$ (A.D. 42-3 and 43-4), which, as Wroth suggests, must have been struck by the Senate of Seleucia on returning to its allegiance. But from this time onwards, Ctesiphon greatly overshadowed its neighbour, which probably ceased to issue coinage of any kind, and was utterly destroyed by Avidius Cassius in A. D. $165 .{ }^{3}$

[^93]
## ASSYRIA.

ATUSIA (?), ATUMIA (?), or NATUMIA (?).
Atusia ${ }^{1}$ (as the name of the city has always hitherto been read) is not mentioned by ancient literary authorities, and is only known from the solitary specimen of its coinage here catalogued (p. 147, no. 1, Pl. XXIII. 22). The style of the coin shows that the Kapros on which the city stood was the Assyrian, not the Phrygian river. The 'palm-branch' which, together with an arrow, forms the reverse type of the coin, may perhaps be really meant for the jaw-bone of a boar, alluding to the name of the river, the whole type being reminiscent of that of the Aetolian coins; but, if so, the jaw-bone is singularly ill represented. But, as Mr. Robinson points out to me, the reading of the coin is by no means certainly $A T O Y ミ I E \Omega N$. The supposed $₹$ is very large compared with the rest of the letters, and much older in form (having splayed arms) than the nearly square [ of ПРОГ, and the top bar is perhaps separate from the rest of the letter. It seems preferable to read, continuing in the direction of the first four letters, ATOYNI or ATOYM. Further, it is more natural, though not necessarily correct, to begin the word with $\mathbf{N}$, rather than to suppose that the $\mathbf{N}$ comes at the end of the legend. In that case the - after KATP must be regarded as a stop. The two other stops in the inscription appear to be equally large, though placed lower in relation to the other letters. The problem is further complicated by the fact that, as Weston pointed out in the first publication of the coin, a distinguishing epithet giving the name of the river on which a place stands usually indicates the existence of another city of the same name. So that we might expect to find two cities Atumia or Natumia.

[^94]Weston suggested that the arrow may be an allusion to the name of the Tigris, which appears to be connected with the Zend word tigheri for arrow. ${ }^{1}$ If he is right, the site of the city should he looked for near the confluence of the Lesser Zab and the Tigris.

## DEMETRIAS AD TIGRIM.

This city is mentioned by Strabo ${ }^{2}$ and Stephanus as being in the neighbourhood of Arbela. The only known specimen of its coinage was described by Millingen, from the cabinet of Steuart. ${ }^{3}$

Obv. Bust of City-goddess r., turreted.
Riev. Tripod; in field, downwards, inscr. [ $\triangle H]$ MHTPE $\Omega N$ r., T $\Omega$ NTPO[T $\Omega \mid$ | TIRPEI I. Border of dots.
玉. 65.
The proper form of the ethnic would be $\triangle H M H T P I E \Omega N$, and the engraving in Millingen shows a slight space between $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{E}$. Steuart is either R. Steuart or Col. Claude Scott Steuart, of whom the British Museum bought a number of Parthian and other coins in 1848; acquisitions were also made at his sale in $1853 .{ }^{4}$ The coin is unfortmately no longer to be traced, and it appears possible that the first word of the inscription should reaily be read EEAEYKE $\Omega N$, since it so closely resembles that of the coins of Seleucia with the same types. ${ }^{5}$

[^95]NINIVA.
It is hardly necessary to state here that the supposed Roman colony at Nineveh never existed, and that the coins attributed to it in old books belong to Ninica Claudiopolis in Cilicia. ${ }^{1}$

## PERSIAN EMPIRE. ${ }^{2}$

The rulers of the Persian Empire, during whose reigns the Persian Imperial coinage was issued, were the following ${ }^{3}$ :

> B. C.

Darius I, s. of Hystaspes . . . . 521-486
Xerxes I, s. of Darius I . . . . . 486-465
Artaxerxes I Makrocheir, s. of Xerxes I . 465-4:5
Nerxes II, s. of Artaxerxes I . . . 42 j
Ochos $=$ Darius II Nothos, s. of Artaxerses I 4:4-405
Arsakas $=$ Artaxerxes II Mnemon, s. of
Darius II . . . . . . . 405-359
Cyrus the Younger, s. of Darius II . . 401
Ochos $=$ Artaxerxes III, s. of Artaxerxes II . 359-338
Arses, s. of Artaxerxes III . . . . 339-3.37
Kodomannos $=$ Darius III, s. of Arsanes, s. of
Artostes or Ostanes, s. of Darius II . . 33i-330
The Persian Imperial coinage consisted of gold coins, generally known to the Greeks as Darics ( $\triangle \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \kappa 0 i \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \bar{\eta} \rho \epsilon s$ ), with smaller denominations, and silver coins, generally known as sigloi ( $\sigma$ i $\gamma \lambda$ дou, бík $\lambda o l, \sigma i ́ k \lambda \alpha$, the same word as Hebrew shekel), which also had smaller denominations. The word $\Delta \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \kappa$ ós was sometimes also

[^96]used by the Greeks of the silver coins. ${ }^{1}$ The Persian name for the gold coins is not known ${ }^{2}$ : there can be little doubt that the word $\Delta \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota$ ós is 'a pure Greek formation from the Greek form of the Persian name Darayavaush; just as "fanciful" is a pure English formation from the English form "fancy" of the Greek $\phi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha$." ${ }^{3}$

The probability is that the daric was introduced by Darius $I^{4}$; no specimens that have survived appear, so far as one can judge by style and fabric, to be earlier than his reign.

The metrology of the daric and siglos has been subjected to an exhaustive analysis by Regling, ${ }^{5}$ which makes it unnecessary to go into details here. He comes to the conclusion that the normal weight of the daric is 8.4 gm . ( 129.7 gn .), ${ }^{6}$ although single specimens are known of various higher weights from $8.41 \mathrm{gm} .(129.8 \mathrm{gnı}$.) to 8.83 gm . ( 136.3 gn .). The average weight is 8.354 gm . ( 128.9 gn. ). The supposed half-daric does not exist as a denomination; ${ }^{7}$ but two specimens of the $\frac{1}{12}$ daric survive, one in the British Museum weighing 0.69 gm . (Pl. XXVII. 22), and one weighing 0.71 gm . at Berlin, ${ }^{8}$ as well as a single specimen of $\frac{1}{54}$ of a daric, weighing $0.155 \mathrm{gm} .{ }^{9}$ It is difficult to know what purpose these small denominations can have served, except as makeweights when it was desired to make up the value of under-weighted darics.

[^97]The specific gravity of seven of the darics in the British Museum has been ascertained by the Rev. J. W. Hunkin. ${ }^{1}$ The average is 18.96. If the alloy is pure silver, the average fineness of these darics is 0.981 , as opposed to 0.991 for Croesean staters also ascertained from the examination of seven specimens.

The normal weight of the siglos, again according to Regling's exhaustive demonstration, is $5.6 \mathrm{gm} .(86.4 \mathrm{gn}$.$) ; the highest$ recorded weight is $5.88 \mathrm{gm} .(90.7 \mathrm{gn}$.$) ; the average 5.38 \mathrm{gm}$. ( 8.31 gn. ). A table of frequency shows the mass of the coins concentrated between 5.26 and 5.60 gm . As smaller denominations Regling gives thirds, fourths (the point of distinction between these two denominations is difficult), sixths, and one specimen of a twelfth. ${ }^{2}$ A specimen of a third $(1.72 \mathrm{gm}$.) is illustrated in Pl. LII. 6 from the Paris cabinet. ${ }^{3}$ He reckons certain very light specimens, some of them not much more than half the normal weight, as full sigloi; but the last piece in his list (British Museum, from Cunningham, 2.93 gm .) proves on examination to be nothing more than an electrotype, though an admirably made one. Mr. Newell has a specimen weighing 4.00 gm ., which, he says, shows no signs of being plated or cast. The coins of very low weight may, as suggested to me by Mr. Allan, be of Indian origin ; but the coin from Cunningham's collection weighing 3.58 gm ., and supposed to have been found on the Oxus, is, I regret to say, really one of Becker's forgeries. ${ }^{4}$

The gold daric, as is well known,' was in the time of Darius I

[^98]rated at 20 sigloi, the ratio between gold and silver being as $13 \cdot 3$ to 1. According to Viedebantt ${ }^{1}$ about the time of Xenophon its value was only 16 sigloi. In the passage of Xenophon (Anab. i. 7. 18) in which 3,000 darics are equated to 10 talents, he regards the talents as talents of Attic silver, not (as Regling does) talents of 6,000 Persian sigloi. 'Ten talents' is Xenophon's way of expressing the amount for his Greek readers, not Cyrus's own phrase. It would follow that 1 daric was equivalent to 20 Attic silver drachms. But elsewhere Xenophon (Anab. i. 5. 6) says 1 siglos was equivalent to $7 \frac{1}{2}$ Attic obols, in other words 1 Attic drachm $=\frac{4}{5}$ siglos. It follows that 1 daric $=20 \times \frac{4}{5}$ sigloi $=16$ sigloi. This reduces the ratio of gold to silver from $13 \frac{1}{3}: 1$ to $10 \frac{2}{3}: 1$. Since a deterioration of the Persian gold is out of the question, it follows, he maintains, that the quality of the Persian silver must have improved. The old Persian siglos had been legally adulteratert (which was the reason for the troubles of Aryandes, who made coins too pure): the new was improved in quality. The few analyses ${ }^{2}$ that have been made of sigloi, while they show that the quality of the silver was below that of Attic coin, do not, since the date of the specimens is uncertain, enable us to judge whether the quality was improved after 400 as Viedebantt maintains. But his assumption is unnecessary. For it may be observed that the change in the value of the daric from 20 to 16 sigloi may have been due not to a deterioration in the quality of gold but to a decrease in its market price (owing to increased supply), just as much as to an improvement in the quality of the silver coin.

It is perhaps necessary to say a word here of certain names of coins which, it has been thought, have some connexion with the Persian system. The Elephantine papyri reveal to us the existence in Egypt in the fifth century of a system of reckoning by which

[^99]\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 \text { keresh } & =10 \text { shekels, } \\
1 \text { shekel } & =4 \text { d(rachmae ?), } \\
1 \text { d(rachma ?) } & =10 \text { hallurin. }{ }^{1}
\end{array}
$$
\]

Keresh is apparently the old Persian karsha. ${ }^{2}$ The word lallur (חלา) seems to correspond to the Assyrian klialluru. ${ }^{3}$ ClermontGanneau ingeniously interprets the system as based on a shekeltetradrachm of the Attic standard; and this may well be right, although the premiss on which he bases his argument is apparently unsound. ${ }^{4}$ It is very doubtful whether the hallur was an actual coin, and not merely a money of account ; but it would be a convenient unit, since $\frac{1}{40}$ of an Attic tetradrachm was roughly equivalent to $\frac{1}{50}$ of a tetradrachm of the 'Babylonian' standard, and to $\frac{{ }^{\frac{3}{10}}}{} \frac{1}{0}$ of a tetradrachm of the 'Phoenician' standard, and many coins of those systems must have circulated in Egypt.
$\Delta \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \kappa \eta$ or $\delta \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \kappa \eta s$ is the Greek form of the old Persian dānaka, and is described by late Greek writers (Hesychius and Etym. Mayn.)
 it was a denomination of the Imperial Persian currency may be doubted. But there are small coins, such as the ' $\frac{1}{16}$ shekel' struck at Sidon (about 0.89 gm . or 13.8 gn .) and the Aradian 'ohol' (about the same weight) which were fairly plentiful in Phoenicia, and would fit the description. ${ }^{6}$ The $\dot{\eta} \mu \delta \delta \alpha \alpha \kappa \kappa \iota \nu$ which is recorded would, on this theory, be represented by an actual Sidonian coin.

[^100]The classification of the Achaemenid coinage, in spite of one or two gallant attempts at solution, ${ }^{1}$ remains almost where it was in the days when Lenormant ${ }^{2}$ vaguely recognized that there were different profiles to be distinguished in the heads of the kings. Barclay Head ${ }^{3}$ was content in 1877 to say of the darics (and the same must apply to the sigloi) that 'some are archaic, and date from the time of Darius and Xerxes, while others are characterized by more careful work, and these belong to the later monarchs of the Achaemenian dynasty,' and to describe Lenormant's attempt as a 'refinement of classification'. Thirty-four years later ${ }^{4}$ he recognized that there were successive modifications in the physiognomy of the king which suggest rude attempts at portraiture, notably the beardless head, presumably of Cyrus the Younger (Pl. XXV. 14). The latest pronouncement on the subject ${ }^{5}$ goes back to Heal's position in 1877 and rejects Babelon's identification of the beardless king as Cyrus on various grounds. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^101]That there are various modifications, which enable us to divide the darics and sigloi into groups, is clear; but how far these are to be regarded as 'successive', and how far they are merely due to local differences of workmanship is another question. It must be remembered also that the dating of other Persian works of art, such as seals, by their 'portraiture' alone is no more secure than the dating of the coins. Had we a dated series of seals, or of other objects with representations of the kings, it might be possible to obtain some evidence for the dating of the coins; although even then it would be necessary to remember that the traditions. in one art are not always the same as in another.

The darics and sigloi fall into four very distinct series, according as the Great King is represented as:-
I. Carrying strung bow in l., spear in r. (Pls. XXIV, XXV, and XXVI. 1-8).
II. Carrying strung bow in 1., dagger in r. (Pl. XXVI. 9XXVII. 21).
III. Shooting with the bow (PI. XXVII. 22-4).
IV. In half-tigure, holding strung bow in l., two arrows in $r$. (Pl. XXVII. 25-6).
Within the first two series the following groups may be distinguished. I give Babelon's attribution in square brackets after each.
xiv. p. 104) finds the average of the double darics (which everybody admits to be of the time of Alexander the Great) to be 16.59 gm ., which yields a daric of 8.30 gm ., or less than the ordinary Persian daric. A table of frequency (intervals of 0.05 gm .) constructed from Regling's list shows the highest point ( 11 specimens out of 48) between 16.65 and 16.61 gm ., which would place the normal weight a trifle higher than the average. The weight of the Paris specimen is, if anything, in favour of a pre-Alexandrine date.] M. Théodore Reinach also, as he informs me, rejects the attribution to Cyrus, on the ground that Cyrus was a man of about forty when he tried to seize the throne. The question of his age has, however, been thoroughly threshed out elsewhere (see the references in Busolt, Gi: Gesch., III. ii (1904), 1. 1568, Anm. 2). and the evidence seems to me clearly in favour of the statement in Plutarch that Cyrus was born after his father's accession, i. e. after autumn 424 . At sixteen he would not have been too young to be appointed to a high command; everything would have been entrusted to his staff. We cannotignore the epithets $\mu \in t p a ́ k \iota o v a n d ~ v e a v i \sigma \kappa o s ~ a p p l i e d ~ t o ~ h i m ~ b y ~ P l u t a r c h ~ a n d ~ D i o d o r u s ~(E p h o r u s) . ~$

Series I:-
A. The king's figure is slight, his head inclined a little forward [Darius I]. See Pl. XXIV. 1-11.
B. Kidaris usually low ; beard more flowing [Xerxes]. See Pl. XXIV. 12-23.
(The distinction between $A$ and $B$ is often very diflicult.)
C. Coarse features, nose large, beard shaggy [Artaxerxes I]. See Pl. XXIV. 24-8.
$C$ bis. Similar to $C$, but more definitely barbarous, or connected by reverse dies with barbarous obverses. See Pl. XXV. 1-9.
D. Slim figure with straight nose [Darius II]. See Pl. XXV.10, 11.
E. Eye in profile, nose short, cheek full, beard long, V-shaped fold in front of kandys [Artaxerxes II]. See P1. XXV.12, 13.
$F$. Beardless ; kidaris without points (?) ; kandys of rough material [Cyrus the Younger]; mask of bearded and homed Pan, incuse, at side of incuse of reverse. See Pl. XXV. 14 and $14 a$, where a negative reproduction of the reverse is illustrated, so as to show the head of Pan in relief.
G. Short figure, large head, square beard, straight nose. See Pl. XXV. 15, 16.
H. Short, squat figure; curls at side of beard; nose usually aquiline; $V$-shaped fold in front of kandys; fabric of coins usually small and circular. See Pl. XXV. 17-20.
K. High relief ; straight nose; long beard. Reverse pattern of wavy lines, approximating to that of Babylonian doubledarics. See Pl. XXV. 21-6, and compare the reverse with those of double darics, as on Pl. XX. 1-5.

Series $I I:-$
A. Body without indication of waist.
(a) With symbols on reverse. See Pl. XXVI. 9-2l.
(b) Without symbols on reverse. See Pl. XXVI. 22-6.
$B$. Generally similar to $A(b)$, but with pellets indicating ornament on undersides of sleeves of kandys. See PI. XXVII. 1-3.
C. Barharous in style. See Pl. XXVII. 4-6.
1). Coarse style ; waist indicated ; large nose ; exergual line, where shown, is dotted [Arses]. See Pl. XXVII. 7-15.
E. Neat style; three or four annulets on breast of kandys; exergual line plain; fabric of silver resembling Series I $H$ or Series III [Arses and Darius III]. See PI. XXVII. 16-20.

Series III and IV [both given to Artaxerxes III by Babelon] seem to allow of no division into groups.

The two last series are much rarer than the others, and differ from them in fabric, being as a rule round, instead of oblong in shape, and of much neater workmanship. I have noticed among these no instance of barbarous style, and only two cases of punchmarking, and these punch-marks are placed on the edges instead of on the faces of the coins. The style of the coins of Series III seems to be characteristically Persian, and there can be no probability that they were made in the portions of the Empire amenable to Greek influence. There is one group ( $H$ ) among the coins of Series I which approaches Series III in neatness and roundness of fabric, and the same is true of Group $E$ in Series II. Darics corresponding to Group $H$ of Series I are very scarce, ${ }^{2}$ and the Series III and IV consist entirely of silver, with the exception of the tiny gold coin from the Montagu Collection (now in the British Museum) and its fellow at Berlin. Possibly this rarity of the gold pieces points to the series having been issued in a different part of the Empire from the others.

In addition to the four ordinary series of Persian Imperial coins there exists a single gold coin, ${ }^{2}$ with an obverse of Series I, on which the usual incuse reverse is replaced by the design of a ship's prow ; on the side of the prow is the sign $\Theta$, which is explained

[^102]as the Carian letter ë or eu (see Pl. LII. 5). Babelon suggests that it was struck by Memmon the Rhodian when in command of the Persian fleet off the Carian coast in opposition to Alexander the Great. In style it seems to belong to the latest period of the Persian coinage, although the treatment of the kandys is as on Groups $E$ and $H$ of Series I.

When we attempt to determine the classification of the coinage according to periods, we find that the fixed, or more or less fixed, points are few. One is offered by the hoard of 300 darics which was discovered about 1839 in the Canal of Xerxes at the foot of Mt. Athos ${ }^{1}$ together with about 100 early Athenian silver tetradrachms, in the finest possible condition. It is a legitimate conclusion that darics of this group are probably not later than the time of Nerxes. The Paris Cabinet acquired 9 out of the 125 which passed through Borrell's hands, ${ }^{2}$ and these Babelon assigns to Xerxes, with the sigloi which seem to belong to the same group. One would like, before using the Canal provenance as a guide to classification, to be sure that these nine coins are representative of the hoard. In any case, it hardly seems proven that they are necessarily of Xerxes and not of Darius I. They are certainly of worse workmanship than those which Babelon would assign to the earlier king, but, especially in dealing with a series like the Persian, it is unsafe to assume that the better coins are always the earlier.

Another point which possesses a certain degree of stability is the identification of the daric of the beardless king (Pl.XXV.14). Babelon has made out a good case for the attribution of this rare piece ${ }^{3}$

[^103]to Cyrus the Vounger ${ }^{1}$; although it may seem rash, when we are dealing with so small a piece, to assert that the figure 'a le visage empreint d'un caractère de douceur et d'intelligence qui convient plutôt à un Grec qu'à un Asiatique', while the statement that the kidaris is not surmounted by spikes, like that of the ordinary kings, but resembles the 'toque' of a magistrate, might be upset by the discovery of a specimen on which the top of the kidaris was fully preserved. The workmanship of the coin is certainly more careful than usual. A curious fact may be noted about the reverse; the small horned and bearded human mask ${ }^{2}$ which stands beside the incuse impression is not a punch-mark, but was worked (in relief) on the original die; it is in exactly the same position on both known specimens. It is clearly the mask of Pan or a satyr.

A third fixed point is provided by the general resemblance to the double darics of the reverses of the group with the figure in high relief (Group $K$ of Series I, Pl. XXV. 21-6). The reverse shows a tendency to be filled with a pattern of wavy lines, which is on the point of developing into the well-known pattern of the reverse of the double darics (Pl. XX. 1-5). Since it is now generally admitted that the double darics belong to the Alexandrine period, these darics of Group $K$ must belong to the last Persian king, Darius III. A number of sigloi, with the ordinary type of reverse, resemble these darics in the relief and treatment of the obverse. There are also a certain number of darics (e.g. one in Mr. Newell's Collection) which, although they do not show the peculiar reverse, resemble the $K$ darics in the purely Greek style of the portrait.

When, however, with the help of these more or less fixed points we attempt to classify the coins within the lines drawn between

[^104]them, the difficulty of distinguishing groups, and, when they are distinguished, of saying which are the earlier and which the later, still remains as great as ever. Some of the groups-such as Babelon's first three groups attributed to Darius I, Xerxes, and Artaxerxes I-merge into each other almost imperceptibly. The coins are frequently so badly struck that it is impossible to say whether two are from the same die, or whether one is copied from the other; and, if the latter is true, the second coin may well belong to a later group than the first.

It would seem that the only direction in which a solution is to be expected is the recording of finds of darics or sigloi with other coins susceptible of being dated. So far only two or three such finds have been noted or at any rate properly described. Four darics were included in the Avola Hoard, presumably the earlier of the two hoards which go by that name, ${ }^{1}$ and are therefore to be dated before about 360 в.c. The only one of these darics which has been published belongs to the small but wellmarked group called $E$ in this Catalogue, and is of a type attributed by Babelon to Artaxerxes II Mnemon. Another daric, from the same reverse die, ${ }^{2}$ was included in a hoard of Cyzicene staters ${ }^{3}$ which Head thinks was probably deposited not much later than 412 b.c. Six however (in one of his letters above mentioned) dated the Cyzicenes of this hoard 'before and after 400 '. All the coins illustrated by Head belong to von Fritze's ${ }^{ \pm}$Groups II, $b, c$, or

[^105]III, $a, b$, except the coin with the two eagles on the omphalos, ${ }^{1}$ which von Fritze places in his group IV ; his earlier limit for that group is about 410 b.c. Wroth places the same type in his third period ( $480-400$ B.c.). We may not unreasonably assume that if it belongs to ron Fritze's fourth group, as is indicated by the coarse granulation of the reverse, on which he bases his classification, it must come fairly early in the group, probably before 400 B.c. The eridence of these two finds, taken together, goes to show that the daric in question was earlier than about 400 B.c. Six remarked that this particular type of daric 'a été recueilli en nombre dans la grande trouvaille de Cyzicènes' in question ; if that is so, and all were in as good condition as the one illustrated by Head, it is probable that this type of daric belongs to the last quarter of the fifth century, and that it was struck by Darius II Nothos (424-405 в.c.) rather than by Artaxerxes II (405-359 в.c.).

A second Sicilian hoard, from Mammanelli near Avola, has recently been described by P. Orsi. ${ }^{2}$ It has unfortunately not been secured in its entirety. It contained from 300 to 400 gold coins, viz. about 100 hectolitra of Syracuse, about 100 pentekontalitra of the same mint, and about 100 darics. Of these last Orsi illustrates one and describes five, attributing them all to Artaxerxes I Makrocheir $(465-42 \tilde{5})^{3}$; they would therefore belong to our Group C. To judge, however, from the casts which he has kindly sent me, it would appear that one of them is of our Group $E$, with the distinct reverse already noted in other specimens of that group (above, p. cxxxi, note 2). The other four are two from one pair of dies and two from another. Neither pair seems to me to belong to ' Artaxerxes I', i.e. to our Group $C$ '; in their comparatively refined style they seem to me to be of a distinct type, approximating to $E$ more closely than to any other; they show the $V$-shaped fold in the kandys. The find, according to Orsi, was buried in the last years of the fifth or the first years of the fourth century ; the darics

[^106]show more or less signs of wear. The weight of each of the five coins is 8.3 gm .; the three rarieties which they represent are illustrated in Pl. LII. 7-9.

So far the evidence does not violently contradict any proposed classifications. But when we come to the hoard of coins described by E. T. Newell, ${ }^{1}$ we obtain some important data, which throw a new light on the question. It will be observed that in the classification given above the sigloi of Series II are divided into four groups (excluding purely barbarous coins) ; on two of these groups $(A, B)$ the body of the king is represented without any indication of the waist (Pl. XXVI. 10-XXVII. 3) ; on the others ( $D, E$ ), the attitude is less stiff, the waist is marked, and more detail is displayed in the drapery (Pl. XXVII. 7-20). Now in Mr. Newell's find only the waistless groups were represented ${ }^{2}$; and the evidence of the other coins in the hoard proves conclusively that all the sigloi present were struck before about 380 в.c., the date of the deposit. Further, to judge by their worn and punchmarked condition, it is unlikely that any of them were struck later than the fifth century. This suggests that the 'waistless' varieties belong to the earlier kings, before the time of Cyrus the Younger, and also that the other varieties of Series II belong to the fourth century. Further confirmation of this view comes from the hoard published by J. G. Milne, ${ }^{3}$ which consisted entirely of sigloi of Series I of the earlier, sixth-fifth century, types (Groups I $A$ and I $B$ in our classification), and sigloi of the 'waistless' types of Series II. Yet again, out of eight coins abtained at Panderma, from a small hoard said to have been found at Miletopolis, seven are of the earliest types of Series I ( $A$ or $B$ ), and one of the waistless type (Series II, $A$ or $B$, much worn). ${ }^{4}$ Finally, Mr. Newell provides

[^107]${ }^{2}$ A siglos which Mr. Newell received from Dr. Haynes's family after the publication of his article, and which by its appearance undoubtedly belonged to the 'Cilician find', was also of the 'waistless' type.
${ }^{3}$ Num. Chron., 1916, pp. 1 ff.
${ }^{4}$ Mr. F. W. Hasluck, who obtained the coins from a money-changer, was not confident that the statement of their provenance was correct. The eight
a similar confirmation of the early date of the 'waistless' type. He informs me ${ }^{1}$ that 20 pieces, which were all procured from an Armenian dealer in Paris at one and the same time, may be analysed as follows. (It should be premised that all 20 bore traces of a yellowish red clay still adhering to the deeper parts of their designs, thus indicating, in all probability, that they came from a single hoard.) Nine are of Series I, Groups $A$ and $B$, and are from very good to worn; some bear several punch-marks, others none at all. Three are from one single reverse die, identifiable by a curious little projection from the side of the incuse in about the same position as the lion's head in Series II $A(a)$. These three are the only specimens of Series I in the hoard not punch-marked. Three others of the 20 belong to Series I, but are of poor workmanship and worn. The remaining eight are all of Series II, Group $A(b)$. The condition of these latter also ranges from worn to very good.

This appears to exhaust the present possibilities of chronological classification. It seems clear that types (i) King with spear and (ii) King with dagger continued in use throughout the whole course of the coinage, and that types (iii) King drawing low and (iv) King in half-figure-which are unrepresented in the finds of early sigloi-belong to the later period of the coinage, since they approximate in fabric and style to those varieties of Series I and II, which are not represented in the finds of early sigloi. The comparative rarity of punch-marked coins of this series admits of explanation if this chronology is adopted, and if, as I believe, the punch-marking was chiefly done in the Eastern Mediterranean. ${ }^{2}$ It was only towards the end of the fifth century that the Persian

[^108]satraps began to make issues of any importance, and it was only in the half-century from abont 386 to 333 that these issues were so numerons as to supply the wants of the population under satrapal control. Until then, sigloi must have circulated in Asia Minor and Syria in great quantities, and it was in this earlier period, before the rise of the great satrapal coinages, that the punch-marking was chiefly done. But in the fourth century the import into Greek lands of the Persian sigloi must have been greatly diminished, the demand being supplied by the local and satrapal money. Hence these later sigloi are not punch-marked to anything like the same extent as the earlier.

Here we must leave the question of chronological classification. As regards the attribution of the various groups to individual kings, apart from the slight indications which have been noted above, the less said the better. It should be emphasized that while the coins undoubtedly fall into certain groups, it does not follow that there is in all cases an attempt at portraiture. Nor does it seem possible to make any attempt to identify the mints at which the coins were struck, until the very difficult problem of the mints of Alexander's coinage in the East has been more or less cleared up.

Mr. Milne has been the first to call attention to the extremely interesting groups of coins with small symbols, sometimes in relief, sometimes incuse, on the reverse. These all ${ }^{1}$ belong to the 'waistless' variety of Series II (P1. XXVI. 10-21), and are therefore, if our chronology is right, of the fifth century. Mr. Milne has made the very plausible suggestion that the lion's head (PI. XXVI. 10-16) may indicate the mint of Sardes. The sigloi similar to PI. XXVI. 17-19, with what appears to be a curiously stylized lion's scalp, can hardly be separated from the others. Of the symbol on the coin illustrated in Pl. XXVI. 21 I have no explanation to offer.

[^109]The Punch-marks (see Table opposite). There can be little doubt that these ${ }^{1}$ were impressed on the coins by local bankers or money-changers, who were also doultless responsible for the stabbing and cutting of the coins with the object of testing their purity. One would have thought that a single cut would have been sufficient for this purpose, but some coins have been reduced almost to fragments. In spite of the occurrence among the punchmarks of designs which suggest coin-types, such as the tortoise (no. 100 in the table), the Aeginetic reverse design (no. 62), and the kneeling goat mentioned below (no. 189), it is improbable that any of the punch-marks were impressed by mint-authorities, although it is a reasonable conjecture that these Aeginetic-looking punch-marks were more probably impressed in Aegina than elsewhere. Such a head as that in no. 112 cannot have been designed by any but a Greek artist. The tetraskeles (no. 27), triskeles (nos. 2.-6 and 186), and monoskeles (nos. 18-21) seem to point to Lycia; and this is partly confirmed by provenance, although the characteristic central ring is absent from the tetraskeles and triskeles. Babelon has noted the letters $\Theta \Sigma^{2}$ which are found on Lycian coins. The kneeling goat on Mr. Newell's coin from the Cilician Find, no. 137 (no. 189 in our Table) is, as he points out, of considerable importance, since it is almost certainly a Greek countermark, and may indicate Celenderis. Certain marks, such as the varieties of ankh (nos. 147-5l), and forms like Cypriote signs for bu, si, and ro (Babelon, Perses Achém.,
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| $\mathbb{Z}$ | , | \% | ¢ | ต | ® | \% | 8 | \% | (1) | \% |
| ¢8\% | 8 | ${ }_{*}^{*}$ | 8 | [1] | V | V1 | [ | U | 8 | 0 |
| [ | $\bigcirc$ | V | ¢ | ( | V | V | \% | I | 8 |  |
| $\theta$ | 2 | c | B | 8 | (18) | E | E | - | $k$ | ¢ |
| 8 | 8 | A | 1 | 2 | \% | 7 | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ |  | $\Psi$ | 8 |
| $\mathcal{S}$ | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 8 | * | ® | $\sigma$ | [ | $\pm$ |
| 1 | ? | ? | 8 | 8 | ? | ? | ? | P | 4 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | - | $\checkmark$ | (1) | $\square$ | - | 1 | - | \# | r |
| r | 1 | I | x | Y | 1 | T | r | - | . |  |
|  | $y$ | ${ }_{3}$ | 16 | $\bigcirc$ |  | - | \% | - | (2) | - |
|  | \% | 111 |  | \% | 8. |  |  | $\pm$ | 器 | (2) |
|  |  | 7 | © | Q |  | 0 | T |  |  |  |

Pl. XXXIX. 8, and our nos. 121-3), or Phoenician letters gimel, yod, pe, mem (nos. 124-32) seem to indicate the coasts of Cilicia and Syria and Cyprus as a source. Rapson, ${ }^{1}$ it is true, held twenty-four years ago that some at least of the punch-marks were Indian in origin, and included Brāhmī and Kharosṭhī characters. But of the former, his yo, if turned upside down, would serve for the Cypriote si (no. 121), his $v a$ is more probably a more or less mutilated anlih, his $k h a$ is the Lycian monoskeles (nos. 18 f.) ; his $p a$, if turned upside down, may be the Phoenician $p$ (no. 128) ; his ju may be the Greek E (nos. 117-19). He is inclined to think that his go (no. 140) is more probably to be completed as the symbol no. 81 ; but, as a matter of fact, it must be conceded to him that the form as given is correct. This exhausts his list of Brāhmī characters. Of the Kharoṣthī his ma is, he admits, in some instances at least, probably a crescent (nos. 45 ff .) ; his me is a kind of flower (nos. 70 ft .) ; his mam is really the symbol no. 173, his ti may equally well be a Phoenician mim (no. 132); while his $d a$ and ha (nos. 133 ft .) are not sufficiently characteristic to afford strong evidence on either side. Newell ${ }^{2}$ has added one or two more to this list of alleged Indian characters. No. 138 in our table he compares with Kharosthin $t a$, but there is nothing very close to the form in Biihler's table. ${ }^{3}$ No. 139 (drawn by him without the complete loop on the right hand) he compares with Kharosṭhī gha; as here drawn it comes much closer to Brāhmi cha (upside down). His nos. 32 and 16 I take to be floral in origin, and less angular than he has depicted them; his no. 24 (our

[^111]no. 141) is not really very like Brāhmī kili; nor do I quite see with which Brāhmī sign he would identify his no. 31 ; his no. 37 is hardly characteristic enough to serve as basis for argument. On his coin no. 94 he says there is an elephant punch-mark, but this is not visible on the cast before me, unless his no. 12 is meant for it; and that appears to me to be a geometrical design of some kind. ${ }^{1}$

At the best we may grant that there is occasional coincidence between the punch-marks and the forms of Indian letters, and that it would be very satisfactory if their identity could be proved, since many marks otherwise uninterpreted would acquire significance. But we may still ask for more evidence that these Indian letters were used to any extent by the Indians in marking their own silver coins. Other marks they used in plenty, but these apparently not at all, or only to a very limited extent.

Of the three symbols which Rapson instances, the 'taurine' (nos. 40, 41) would give most support to the Indian theory, if it could be shown that this astronomical symbol was peculiar to India. But there seems to be little doubt that it is not so confined and, indeed, that its home was rather in Eastern Asia Minor, Northern Syria, or Cyprus. ${ }^{2}$ Nos. 60 and 58 on the other hand might be Cypriote or Lycian letters (though they are more probably mere patterns); and the triskeles, though it may be nearer the Indian ${ }^{3}$ than the Lycian form, is too widely diffused a symbol to carry much force in the argument. It is worthy of notice that three specimens marked with the triskeles probably came from

[^112]Lycia, since they were once in the collection of Daniell and Graves, and one marked with the tetraskeles came from a Smyrniote collection. The tetraskeles occurs on one of Mr. Robinson's little find from Miletopolis. Finally, of all the sigloi in the British Museum, only five come from Cunningham or the India Office, and of these it is significant that only one is punch-marked. There are in the British Museum no other sigloi of definitely Indian provenance, though there are many from Persia.

A day spent in examining carefully the collection of Indian punch-marked coins in the British Museum, while the punch-marks on the sigloi were still fresh in memory, the drawings for the accompanying table having just been completed, has left the distinct impression that the two sets of punch-marks have nothing whatever to do with each other. There may be certain curious coincidences as between a mark on one of the Indian coins and no. 15゙3, although the Indian example does not show the hooked handle of the blade (or stalk of the leaf, whichever it may be). But the point to remember is that the leading characteristics of the two sets are quite different; the forms chiefly characteristic of the sigloi, such as the floral symbols (nos 68 ff .), the ankh (nos. 147 ff .), the bull's head and its derivatives (nos. 105 ff. ), occur with extreme rarity or not at all on the Indian coins; and forms characteristic of the Indian series, such as the Stupa, or Chaitya, do not occur on the Persian.

It would probably be possible with a little ingenuity to find a number of analogies between these punch-marks and signs in various other scripts. Thus nos. 5 只, 58, 117, 120, 124, and 133, and Mr. Newell's no. 37 (inverted) could all be interpreted as Lycian spiritus asper, sonant $m, \iota, \kappa, \lambda, \sigma$, and $\tau$ respectively, ${ }^{1}$ and some of the same, of course, as pure Greek; or again no. 142 as Himyarite $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, while nos. 30 and 143 both suggest Himyarite symbols. But it seems idle to lay stress on these resemblances, which may be purely accidental.

[^113]
## ALEXANDRINE EMPIRE OF THE EAST

The coinage which is currently attributed to Babylon, during the period between the fall of the Persian Empire and the establishment of Antioch on the Orontes as the chief seat of the Seleucid Empire, has been classified by Imhoof-Blumer. ${ }^{1}$
The argument of most weight against the attribution to Babylon lies in the fact that the coins with the name of Mazaeus attributed to Babylon identify the god on the obverse as Ba'al-tars, who would be unknown and unworshipped at Babylon. The answer to this objection is that, although issued at Babylon, this was a satrapal coinage, intended chiefly for the payment of troops, many of whom may have been raised in Cilicia by Mazaeus, and brought by him to the East. Two points, however, may be noted with regard to the coins on which the god is named Ba'al-tars: (1) that they seem to be of a somewhat different fabric from the ordinary series of lion-coins, and to lack the characteristic thick flan and sharp edge; (2) that the name appears not only on the earliest class (as Imhoof-Blumer, Z.f. N., 1905, p. 7, supposes), but on such a later piece as no. 7, Pl. XXI. 2, in this Catalogue, on which Ba'al is represented in later fashion, with one leg drawn back. As regards this flatter fabric, it is shared by no. 5 (Pl. XXI. 1), another early coin; among the later coins it is quite exceptional (no. 36, Pl. XXII. 3, is an instance).

[^114]The lion-coins were struck, like Sicilian coins, out of nearly spherical pieces of metal, ${ }^{1}$ the ridge marking the junction of the two halves of the sphere, where the metal has exuded from between the two hemispherical moulds, being visible on the edges of most specimens. This kind of blank was also used for certain of the Phoenician coins, such as those of Mazaeus issued from Sidon, and some of the Tyrian coins belonging to the period immediately after the siege of Tyre; indeed, these Sidonian and Tyrian coins approach more nearly than anything else to those struck at Babylon, and the Balylonian technique was doubtless brought from Phoenicia by the craftsmen of Mazaeus.

Although the double darics have the same general appearance in fabric as the silver lion-coins, I have found no evidence that they were struck out of spherical blanks. Some appear to have been struck out of cut blanks, having sharp edges. ${ }^{8}$ In a large proportion of the coins acquired from Rawal Pindi dealers the edges are hammered up, which makes it the more difficult to judge of their authenticity. This hammering up of the edges is occasionally found on the Sidonian coins above-mentioned, e.g. B. M. C., Phoenicia, p. 154, no. 83 ; cp. also Tyre, ibid., p. 231, no. 30.

Between the lion-coins with the name of Mazaeus ${ }^{3}$ and those which bear the anchor-symbol, and are therefore certainly to be attributed to Seleucus, comes a whole series, which Imhoof-Blumer has provisionally divided between the various persons who held rule in Babylon. This division appears to me to be in some respects highly conjectural, even the chronological arrangement of the series being very obscure. ${ }^{4}$ The arrangement of the double

[^115]darics must follow that of the silves. At Babyion or other Eastern mints were issued also certain groups of Alexandrine coins which can be identified by means of the symbols and monograms which they bear in common with the double darics and lion-coins; these Alexandrines include the very rare decadrachm (the British Museum specimen of which ${ }^{1}$ was actually found at Babylon), as well as some of the smallest denominations.

The letters $\boldsymbol{\wedge} \mathbf{Y}$ ( or $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ ) and $\mathbf{M}$, which occur on double darics, lion-coins and Alexandrines alike, are also found on coins of Philip III (3:3-316 в.c.). Since Philip left Babylon rery soon after his accession, Imhoof-Blumer suggests that the group with these letters was issued between 323 and 321 , when Seleucus began his first reign in Babylon. Between 321 and 306, when Seleucus assumed the title $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u ́ s$, must be placed the lion-coins which seem later in style than those with $\wedge \mathcal{Y}$, and of course those with the anchor or horned horse's head; while towards the end of the period the new types represented by nos. 6:-4 (p. 191 f.) were introduced, and bronze (still, however, bearing the name of Alexander) was also struck for the first time. It is not possible to say which coins were struck by Peithon for Antigonus during his brief interruption of the reign of Seleucus. ${ }^{2}$ But Imhoof-Blumer suggests that, in addition to the coins with the anchor and the horse's head, which probably belong to the period after 311, Seleucus issued the coins with the scorpion and the pentalpha. To the same reign he assigns the obols with the type of Zeus holding an eagle ; but these seem to me to be reminiscent of the Ba'al-tars on certain of the coins of

[^116]Mazaeus, and I have therefore placed them higher up in the classification. The distribution of these coins among the various rulers seems to me to be so difficult that, while placing the coins in what appears to be an approximately chronological order, ${ }^{1}$ I have preferred not to attempt it.

The double darics show a curious development in the design of the reverse; there can be no doubt that the pattern of Pl. XX. $11-13$ is a development from the wavy lines of the earliest specimens. But there is also little doubt that some coins which show a comparatively undeveloped reverse were of late origin, being copied at a later time, perhaps by an Oriental artist, from earlier specimens. Such are the coins no. 10 on Pl. XX with $\stackrel{\Phi}{\Lambda}$ and wreath, ${ }^{2}$ and also no. 138 in the Paris collection. ${ }^{3}$ Head ${ }^{4}$ has noticed a tendency in these Indo-Greek coins to dispense with the representation of the quiver on the king's shoulder. I must confess that grave doubts may be entertained about the antiquity of many of these pieces of Indian provenance, especially no. 11, Pl. XX. 11, but I have included them in the Catalogue under reserve.

[^117]Imhoof-Blumer points out that the gold distaters with the head of Alexander in elephant-scalp are double-darics in weight, not EuboicAttic distaters ( 16.66 gm. maximum: Jameson Catal., no. 1781). In this comexion we may note that the gold stater acquired by the British Museum in 1884 (p. 192, no. 63) is over the weight of the daric ( 8.61 gm .). There is every reason to suppose that it is a forgery. It is to be observed that the stater in the British Museum and the distater in the Jameson Collection are from the same dies. That in itself is not necessarily against their genuineness, but it is the kind of thing that might easily happen in a modern forger's shop. The late Mr. Jenkins also possessed a stater ( 8.11 gm .) from the same dies. Nearly all his gold coins were obvious forgeries. It will be noticed that the tip of the trunk of the elephant on this die is quite misunderstood. Also the flap of the elephant's skin, which should be sharply defined against the lower jaw of Alexander, fades into it imperceptibly. That this is not due to the coin being worn is proved by the fact that the failure is perceptible in exactly the same way on all three pieces. It would appear that the die was made without intelligent appreciation of this part of the design. If the British Museum stater is false, as it undoubtedly appears to be, so are the Jameson distater and the Jenkins stater.

Less suspicion seems to attach to the other distaters. Certain forgeries do, however, exist; one was in the Jenkins Collection, and one in silver was given to the British Museum by Dr. Parkes Weber.

The remarkable decadrachm illustrated in Pl. XXII. 18 is included among the series at present under discussion, as having been issued somewhere in the Eastern Alexandrine Empire shortly after Alexander's death. Its mint must for the present remain uncertain, although the monogram, as Mr. Robinson points out to me, suggests Babylon itself. Head's theory that the piece commemorates Alexander's expedition to the Panjab is most attractive. ${ }^{1}$

[^118]It thus falls into line with other great Victory coins of Greek times, such as the Demareteion and the decadrachm of Athens.

The description of the bronze coinage which has to be associated with this period may, since it still bears the name $A \wedge E \equiv A N \triangle P O Y$, be reserved for the Alexandrine Catalogue. Here, however, it may be noted that, besides the coin with the anchor as reverse type, the British Museum contains seven specimens of the coin with the Nike type, on two of which, besides the symbol of the horse's head, the monogram $£$ (characteristic of some Seleucid issues) ${ }^{1}$ may be discerned; those with the anchor symbol do not seem to bear any monogram. The provenance of these pieces is Eastern.

The later coinage of Babylon, in the name of Seleucus and Antiochus, as well as the coinages of later rulers intimately associated with Babylon, such as Timarchus, belongs to the domain of Seleucid numismatics. ${ }^{2}$ Some of the coins generally, in accordance with Imhoof-Blumer's view, attributed to Babylon may, as he himself admits, have been struck in Seleucia on the Tigris, and there were other mints farther east at which we cannot at present do much more than guess. The discovery of an Alexandrine tetradrachm struck at Susa by Aspeisas ${ }^{3}$ gives an indication of one of them.

Of the silver lion-coins, some exceed 17 gm ., but most of them would pass very well as triple-sigloi ( 16.80 gm .). This explains the three pellets which mark some specimens. ${ }^{4}$

In addition to the double-darics, there exist a few darics (none in the British Museum) which by the design of the reverse or by marks on the obverse are connected with the double-darics.

[^119]Such are (to judge by the description) a daric at Paris ${ }^{1}$; another in Mr. E. T. Newell's Collection, with the satrapal head-dress as symbol (Pl. LI. 7) ${ }^{2}$; those which bear the letters $\wedge \boldsymbol{Y}($ or $\hat{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ ) and $M^{3}$; and those with $\stackrel{\Phi}{\Lambda}{ }^{+}$The daric in the Ward Collection (no. 821) may possibly be of the same class, although in some ways its reverse recalls the satrapal silver of Pythagores issued in Western Asia Minor.

Specimens of the Babylonian coinage showing variations not represented in the Museum Collection are illustrated in PI. LI. They are:

Gold double darics.
No. 1. 16.65 gm . Wreath and X Paris. Babelon, Perses Achém., p. 14, no. 117.

No. 2. 16.52 gm . $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{O}}$ Paris. Ibid., pp. 13-14, no. 113.
No. 3. 16.71 gm . Tiara. E. T. Newell (from E. F. Weber Sale, 4406).
No. 4. 16.65 gm . 个 Berlin (Imhoof-Blumer). Head, Lydia and Persia, PI. I. 20.
No. 5. Wt. ? $\Phi$ and grapes. Present possessor unknown.
Gold darics.
No. 6. 8.35 gm . $\boldsymbol{\wedge} \boldsymbol{Y}$ and $\mathbf{M}$. Paris (Babelon no. 120).
No. 7. 8.30 gm . Tiara. E. T. Newell.
No. 8. 8.24 gm . No symbol. J. Ward no. 821.
Silver.
No. 9. $\quad 17.12 \mathrm{gm}$. Mazaeus. Rev. M below lion. Major V.E. Mocatta. No. 10. 17.07 gm . Mazaeus. Rev. Shell on exergual line. Cambridge (McClean).

[^120]No. 11. 16.50 gm . Kev. Torch and H E. T. Newell.
No. 12. 16.49 gm . Rev. Rose in wreath and IM. E. T. Newell.
No. 13. 16.71 gm . Olv. FA Cambridge (McClean).
No. 14. 16.91 gm . Rer. AN Cambridge (Leake).
No. 15. 15.93 gm . Obv. Club. Rer. 「. E. T. Newell.
No. 16. $15.91 \mathrm{gm} . \quad O b v . \bullet \cdot$ Rev. Г. E. T. Newell.
No. 17. 16.90 gm . Obv. Head of horse. Rev. Anchor and £ E. T. Newell.
No. 18. 15.30 gm . Rev. Anchor and A-A E. T. Newell.

## NORTHERN PERSIA

Before considering the coinage of Persis, it seems necessary to discuss the coins which bear the name of Andragoras. These were included by Gardner in his Catalogue of the coins of Bactria, but it is generally admitted that they do not belong to that part of the world. Wroth, on the other hand, excluded them from Parthia, ${ }^{1}$ with which the only known historical references to persons called Andragoras connect them, on the ground that they should properly be catalogued with Persia and its satrapies. It is not, therefore, possible to evade the obligation of grappling with this difficult problem here. ${ }^{2}$

The first coin of Andragoras to be known was published by Gardner in 1879.3 It was the gold stater, said to come from the Oxus find. In 1881 he published the first silver tetradrachm firom the same find. ${ }^{4}$ With the Cunningham Collection in 1888 the Museum acquired another specimen of each of these. ${ }^{5}$ A third

[^121]stater is in Berlin, ${ }^{1}$ and Markoff has published a specimen of the tetradrachm. ${ }^{2}$

A description of the coins which were said to come from the Oxus find was given by Cunningham in 1881. ${ }^{3}$ They comprised coins of the kings and various satraps of Persia, Babylonia, the Seleucidae Seleucus I, Antiochus I, II, and III, the Bactrians Diodotus and Euthydemus, Cilicia and other parts of Asia Minor, Lysimachus, Byzantium, Acanthus, Athens. As Dalton remarks, ${ }^{4}$ the latest date for the whole treasure indicated by the coins is about 200 в.c.; but probably the later coins are intrusions, and the bulk of the treasure belongs to the fourth century. In any case, as Cunningham observes ( $\mathrm{p} \cdot \dot{1} 185$ ), the absence of any Parthian coins points to a date preceding the reign of Mithradates I, whose coins are so common.

The genuineness of the coins of Andragoras, as indeed of many other objects in the Oxus 'hoard', has been doubted, ${ }^{5}$ since most of them, if not all, passed through the hands of the Rawal Pindi goldsmiths. Other grounds upon which the coins have been condemned are the following :
(a) 'They are entirely different from any other coins of Greek fabric or quasi-Greek fabric that have come from any of the countries bordering on India.' But neither are they like any of the forgeries from India with which we are acquainted.

[^122](b) The bearded head on the obverse is very puzzling; 'the hair' is bound by a taenia, and round the neck is a nondescript garment, neither a chlamys nor yet a regal robe.' It is clear that the treatment is orientalized; but that cannot surprise us. Nor is the taenia in any way unusual instead of a wreath on a god's head. ${ }^{1}$ The drapery is, it must be admitted, very clumsily and unintelligently done. The head is evidently modelled on some fourth or third-century type of Zeus or Poseidon or Dionysos, with rather weak features, such as one finds, for instance, on coins of Metapontum or Locri. ${ }^{2}$ The treatment of the hair is not exactly paralleled elsewhere, but the suggestion of waving is, as Gardner remarked, doubtless due to Oriental influence.
(c) 'The figures of Mars and Victory occur in this way' (i.e. driving in a chariot) 'on Roman, and nowhere, so far as I know, on Greek coins.' There is no ground to suppose that the armed figure in the chariot is 'Mars' rather than Andragoras himself. Chariot groups containing a general and a driver were familiar in the East, as for instance on the coins of Sidon. On the other hand, Victory is the commonest of all drivers in Greek chariot-types. The substitution of Victory for the usual Oriental driver is quite appropriate to a Greek (or Graecizing Persian) ruler of a Parthian province. The implication that Mars in a chariot driven by Victory is found on Roman coins is incorrect so far as the Republican series is concerned. The nearest parallel is to be found on the RomanoCampanian silver, where Victory drives Jupiter in a chariot. But whereas on these Campanian coins the god stands forward, leaning over the front of the chariot, while Victory is behind him, on his right, in the coin of Andragoras the disposition is similar to that

[^123]on the Sidonian coins, or on the chariot of Darius as seen on his seal; that is to say, the charioteer occupies the forward position, leaning over the front-rail of the car. It is, in other words, locally correct. It must be admitted that the way in which the tail of the near horse flies out behind is rather strikingly paralleled in Roman coins, and not, so far as I know; in Greek or Oriental ones.
(d) 'The horses' legs . . . instead of being carefully modelled, as they are on Greek gold coins, such as those of Philip of Macedon, are treated exuctly in the same way that the horses' legs are treated on the Roman denarii in question, namely, with little lumps or dots on the joints, involving a very peculiar and unmistakable technique.' The treatment thus described as peculiarly Roman is due to the use of the drill or, rather, of the punch, and is found on all Greek coins of Asia after the best period, and is especially characteristic of Parthia. There are signs of it on other coins of the period immediately following Alexander, as in the modelling of the lion's legs on Babylonian coins. ${ }^{1}$ The way in which the horses gallop in step, like those on Roman denarii, is found in all parts of the world, and is familiar in Babylonian and Persian art. As is shown below, it is also found on a coin of Seleucus I. The chariot is of good Greek shape. The horses are horned (another Oriental touch). The two staters in the British Museum are not from the same dies ${ }^{2}$; although the obverses are almost line for line the same, the head on the Cunningham coin is on a much larger scale and in flatter relief. On the reverse, the Cunninghan coin has three pellets, thus : •, in the field. Yery close to this Cunningham specimen is yet another, which belonged to General Pearse. ${ }^{3}$ The obverse is, again, almost line for line the same as that of the Cunningham piece; the reverse is also very close, and

[^124]is also marked by three pellets; and the inscription, which takes the form $O \triangle$ rOPOY, brands it as a forgery. That is not the sort of mistake that an ancient imitator made. In fact, it is clearly derived from the Cunningham specimen, in which PATOPO, owing to the bottoms of the $\mathbf{P}$ and the $\mathbf{A}$ being off the flan, has been read $O \Delta \Gamma O P O$ ly the forger. Cunningham says that he had seen no less than seven forgeries of the Andragoras gold. He had two specimens in his own cabinet (no. 26 of his list) which he considered genuine; but only one came to the British Museum with his collection.

The most striking of all analogies to the stater of Andragoras is, however, to le found in a bronze coin of Selencus, of which the British Museum has long possessed a specimen, although it escaped the cataloguer of the Seleucit Kings.

Obr. Bearded bust of Poseidon (?) r., with long hair, wearing wreath; behind, head of trident (?). Boriler of dots.
liev. Nike r., driving quadriga of horses, galloping in step, the hind legs all parallel and of exaggerated length. In field $1 .$, monogram $\mathbb{K}$ : in exergue $\Sigma E \Lambda E Y K$ -
※ $\uparrow 18 \mathrm{~mm}$. Wt. $3 \cdot 89 \mathrm{gm}$.
The coin is indifferently preserved, but the general resemblance, especially of the reverse, to the Andragoras stater is rery striking. ${ }^{1}$

The two silver coins in the British Museum are from different dies; but the Cunningham specimen is in so poor a condition that it is better to leave it out of consideration here, although there is no primu fucie reason for suspecting it. That which was acquired in $1881{ }^{2}$ shows certain weaknesses in technique. The hair of the Tyche is clumsily done; the panelling of the battlements of her crown is rather unusmal ; on the reverse, the spear of Athena, which passes, point downwards, behind her, is supported on air only : and her dress is not as well understood as it might be.

[^125]Still, these are all faults which an Oriental die-cutter might perhaps have committed in the late fourth or early third century ; it is sufficient to compare the Athena holding an owl on the fourthcentury coins of Side to be convinced of this. ${ }^{1}$ The lettering of the inscription is good, and so is the edge.

The head of a city-goddess wearing a walled crown does not become common on Greek coins until after the middle of the third century. But a crown commonly described as turreted is worn ly a goddess on coins of Heraclea Pontica as early as the first half of the fourth century, ${ }^{2}$ and at Salamis in Cyprus on the coins of Euagoras II (361-351) and his successors Pnytagoras (351-332) and Nicocreon $(331-310)^{3}$ there is no doubt about the walled crown worn by the local goddess. It is probable that, on the analogy of these coins, we have to recognize in the turreted bust of the coins of Andragoras not primarily a City-Tyche, but a national goddess to whom the Greek or half-Greek artist has given the head-dress which defines her as protectress of the state. There is nothing extraordinary in the appearance of even a city-goddess on coins of a monarch or the representative of a monarch. ${ }^{4}$ A detail worth noticing, as confirming the authenticity of the type, is that the battlements of the crown are stepped, in true Persian fashion.

The balance of the evidence accordingly seems to be in favour of the genuineness of two at least of the gold staters of Andragoras, that acquired by the British Museum in 1879 and the Cunningham specimen, and of one of the silver tetradrachms, that acquired in 1881; while there is nothing definite against the second silver piece (no. 4).

The close resemblance between the stater of Andragoras and that of a Persian ruler with Aramaic inscription, to which we are

[^126]${ }^{3}$ B. M. C., Cyprus, Pl. XII. 3, 4, 11, 19.
${ }^{4}$ See above, p. xcviii.
about to come, necessitates dating then to about the same period. Put contemporancity of date does not imply identity of person; there is, that is to say, no reason, so far as the coins are concerned, to follow Sir Henry Howorth in identifying the man who issued the Aramaic coins with Andragoras. ${ }^{1}$

The extant staters with Aramaic inscriptions with which we are immediately concerned are two. One, a barbarous imitation of Alexander the Great, comes from the Payne Knight Collection. Its appearance and the nature of its inscription were unknown until Gardner published it in 1879. It cannot therefore have suggested to a forger the idea of the inscription on the other stater, with a satrap's head, since that was acquired as part of the Oxus find in the same year 1879. But indeed the suspicion that has been cast * on this coin with the satrap's head seems to me to lee quite baseless. The only peculiarity in its design is the omission of the knot and ends of the tie behind the head ${ }^{3}$; and this may have been caused by the desire to find room for the inscription, althongh on the reverse, so far as the minuteness of the design allows one to see, the ends are also omitted. Such a detail as the studding of the tyre of the wheel, which has been described as 'quite unknown elsewhere and meaningless', is on the contrary a distinct mark of genuineness. A glance at the chariot of

[^127]Darius III in the Issus mosaic, or on the cylinder-seal of Darius I in the British Museum, or at the chariot of Assurbanipal in the relief also in the British Museum, ${ }^{1}$ or, again, at the coins of Sidon, ${ }^{2}$ is enough to show that such studding of the wheels is a local characteristic. Again, the firmness and regularity of the letters, as distinct from the carelessness of the script on the later silver coins, is not due to a forger's copying from books (how many books giving such an alphabet were available at Rawal Pindi in 1879 ?), but partly to the special care in engraving dies for a new gold coinage, partly to the comparatively early date of the coins.

The following readings have been proposed for the inscriptions:
On the stater of Alexander's types
(a) Rev. PHSPPD and B (Phahaspes (?) Pada). Gariner.
(b) Obv. VaḤ. Rev. VaḤSHUVaR ('qui possede la croissance'). Marquart, quoted by Drouin, Bull. de Num., 1900, p. 95 ; cp. Holwerda, Levensbericht wan J. P'. Six (Jaarb. d. Kon. Akad. v. Wet., Amsterdam, 1902), p. 47. The name would lue, in Greek, Oxyares.

On the other stater with the satrap's head
(a) Obv. PDIPD (Pad-i Pada, Lord of Lords). Rcv. PHSP (Phahaspes?). Gurdner.
(b) Rev. Plaraspa. Cunningham.
(c) Obv. PHRTPIIR (Phrataphar). Howorth (Num. Cheon., 1890, p. 40). This reading is accepted by Drouin, Rec. Num., 1893, p. 119.
(d) Obv. פרתפר (Phrataphar). Rev. (ת) (Lord of a Paxs̆). Justi (Iran. Namenbuch, p. 104).
(e) Obv. VaRIUR. Rev. VaḤSHU ('qui possède la croissance'). Marquart, quoted by Drouin, Bull. de J'um., 1900, p. 95. Drouin objects that there is no instance in numismatics of
${ }^{1}$ The two latter figured by Studniczka in Jalh $\cdot$., xxii (1907), pp. 170, 188. Cp. too the Persepolis chariot (Dalton, Treasure of the Oxus, p. 57) or the model chariot from the Treasure itself (ibid., Pl. IV).
${ }^{2}$ B. M. C., Phoenicia, PI. XVIlI. 4 ; XIX. 5.
an inscription thus diviled between olverse and reverse. This objection may be true of Oriental inscriptions, but does not hold of Greek (e.g. the coins of Abacaenum and Laiis) ; still, the division is not very probable. Allotte de la Fuye inclines to accept the reading.
(f) Rer. ZḤŠP or PḤŠP. G. A. Cooke, quoted by Halton, Treasur" of the Oxus, p. 105.

It is curions and rather unfortunate that all the scholars who have dealt with these coins, with the exception of Cunningham and Dalton, seem to have paid little or no attention to the fact that the same Aramaic inscription 'Vahshu' or 'Phahasp', or whatever it may be, occurs on a gold seal-ring from the Oxus 'Treasure, and was also read on another coin, of which unhappily all trace has now been lost. These facts are duly recorded in Cumningham's article in the Bengal Asiatic Society's Jourual, which for some reason has been ignored by all later writers except Dalton.

The gold seal-ring is now in the British Museum (Pl.XXVIII. 5) ${ }^{1}$. It is of a typical fourth-third century form, and bears on the flat oval bezel an intaglio of a winged human-headed bull, crowned, and standing l. Above is the inscription in question; in front, the taurine symbol, resembling the upper part of a caduceus. Dalton's defence of the genuineness of the ring, which may be read at length in his Catalogue of the Oxus Treasure, seems to be quite convincing. The ring cannot possibly, from its form, be as late as the second century B.c.; it is either earlier, or quite modern. Canon G. A. Cooke, consulted on the inscription, read it ZHSSP or PHŠP, and dated it, from the style of the lettering, to the fourth or third century B. C.

The third coin bearing the inscription, which has now most unfortunately disappeared, is described by Cunninghan,2 who however only saw a paper impression, as a gold clouble stater of the types struck at Babylon, with the head of Alexander in

[^128]elephant's skin on obrerse, and on the reverse Nike holding. palm-branch and wreath, with the symbol of the horned horse's head. It is to be presumed, though he does not say so, that the Greek letters $\Delta I$, which occur on the clouble staters ${ }^{1}$ with which he compares it, were absent. But as he saw only a paper impression, it is impossible to say whether the coin was a rough imitation, like the imitation of the ordinary stater of Alexander, or something more in the style of the stater with the satrapal head. It would seem, however, that we hare no right to assume that the coin was a modern forgery. And, if genuine, it affords another valuable indication of date: for we know now that these gold double staters were struck in Babylonia or some neighbouring province shortly before 306 в.с.

Thus it may be said that the whole of the evidence indicates, for these coins with the Aramaic inscription, a date towards the end of the fourth century.

This investigation of the whole question of Andragoras and the other ruler who is represented by the Aramaic inscription was begun with a distinct prejudice against the coins; but gradually it became clear that the balance of evidence was on their side (with the reservation about certain specimens of the Andragoras coinage already mentioned). It was only after the genuineness of the coins seemed to be highly probable that they were examined in the light of Mr. Dalton's discussion of the problem from an extra-numismatic standpoint. It is highly satisfactory to find that his evidence supports the conclusion which had been reached on independent grounds.

We now come to the attribution of the coins. Justin mentions two people of the name of Andragoras:
(1) a noble Persian, established by Alexander the Great as governor of Parthia (xii. 4. 12).

[^129](2) a governor of Parthia about the middle of the third century, who was crushed by Arsaces (xli. 4. 7).

Markoff ${ }^{1}$ held that the gold coins inscribed ANDPAГOPOY were struck by the first Andragoras, i. e. about 330 в.c., the silver hy the second, i.c. about $250-240$ b.c. Most scholars will agree with Rapson that it is not possible to separate them, and that both belong to one satrap.

Sir Henry Howorth, in the days before he took up the position that all these coins were false, identified Phrataphar, as he read the Aramaic legend, with the Phrataphernes, satrap of Parthia and Hyrcania, who retained his position under Alexander, afterwards became Satrap of Armenia, and fell fighting against Eumenes. ${ }^{2}$ He made the further ingenious suggestion that Andragoras was no other than Phrataphernes under a Greek name. This view, inspired by the resemblance between the quadriga types of the two coinages (a resemblance which seems to be due merely to their having been made in the same part of the world within a generation of each other) has not met with much acceptance, although his reading of the inscription has received a good measure of support. Justi also accepts his identification of Phrataphar with the historical Phrataphernes.

Drouin, while accepting Howorth's reading Phrataphar, argues that no other pieces with Phoenico-Aramaic script (i.e. with Aramaic script of this early character) are known to come from beyond Tigris; it is therefore, he says, difficult to admit that these staters can have been struck in Parthia; so that he cannot accept Howorth's attribution of the coin to the Phrataphernes who was satrap of that province. But, whether we accept that attribution or not, it is surely excess of caution to refuse to believe that coins with this early seript can have been struck in Parthia, say about 323-300 b.c., when we know that coins with the same script, in a further state of development, were struck in Persis before the middle of the next century.

[^130]Marquart, so far as it is possible to gather from the brief summary of his views given by Holwerda, believed that after the fall of Andragoras a Hyrcanian kingdom came into existence and lasted some time, and it is to a ruler of this kingdom, called Vaḥshuvar (Oxyares ${ }^{1}$ ), that he would attribute these coins. We are told by Justin (xli. 4. 8) that the Hyrcanian kingdom was eventually subdued by Arsaces, soon after he had suppressed the second Andragoras. On the other hand Michael the Great ${ }^{2}$ says, just after mentioning the rise of the Parthian power under Arsaces, that 'Hyrcania, situated to the north of Persia, imitated the example (of the Parthians) and set up a prince of its own under the suzerainty of Persia'. It must be confessed that, without having the details of Marquart's argument, it is difficult to see what has inspired his idea that these coins may belong to Hyrcania.

This is not the place ${ }^{3}$ to add to the conjectural readings which have already been proposed in sufficiency, but it may be observed that the reading Uhishu suggested itself independently. As regards the local attribution of the coins, it seems that everything points to Northern rather than to Southern, or Southwestern Persia. The only reason for connecting these coins with the Persepolitan series is the quite superficial one, that the satrap wears a head-dress of the same fashion that is familiar to us from the later Persepolitan coins. But this head-dress, the kyrbasid, is not specifically Persepolitan; it is worn by Persians, and in the same way, with the piece swathing the chin, in all parts of the empire ; by Pharnabazus, on a coin struck in North-western Asia Minor; by a Persian on one of the Sidon sarcophagi ; and so on. ${ }^{4}$ On the other hand, as regards Andragoras, the historical evidence points to Parthia; and if the other coins were struck by the

[^131]historical Phrataphernes, they too must come from Northern Persia. The place of discovery, which seems, accorling to the most probable account, ${ }^{1}$ to be Kabarlian, on a tributary about a stage to the north of the Oxus itself, also favours a Northern rather than a Southern Persian origin. Of course provenance is of little value in deciding the place of mintage of gold coins, which wander far; but in this case we have the seal-ring, which presumably belonged to the ruler who struck the Aramaic coins, and is not likely to have travelled far from his dominions.

As regards date, if the gold double stater inscribed Uhshu, referred to above as having been seen by Cunningham, was genuine, we must place our Andragoras late in the fourth century or early in the third, a date which is confirmed by the comparison of the types of his coins with those of the bronze coin of Seleucus.

## PERSIS.

For the coinage of Persis, at least in its earliex stages, our chief guide must be the work of Col. Allotte de la Fuÿe. ${ }^{2}$ He gives a full bibliography of the subject, which need not be repeated here. The coins were struck in the province of Iran lying along the north-east coast of the Persian Gulf, corresponding to the modern Parsistan, and containing the great cities of Pasargadae and Istakhar or Persepolis. ${ }^{3}$ The period covered by the coinage is

[^132]between four and five centuries, beginning about $2 \check{0} 0$ в.c., and lasting until the rise of the Sassanian coinage in the first half of the third century after Christ. The currency consists entirely of silver, ${ }^{1}$ forming a curious contrast to that of Susiana and Characene. The denominations are the tetradrachm with a maximum of $16.95 \mathrm{gm} .(261.6 \mathrm{gn} \text {. })^{2}$; the drachm, with a maximum of $4.50 \mathrm{gm} .(69.5 \mathrm{gn} .)^{3}$ and smaller pieces which are described as tetrobols, hemidrachms, diobols, obols, and half-obols; but their weights are very irregular, and it is often difficult to decide upon their denomination. In the last period of the coinage the standard degenerates, the drachm seldom rising above 3.56 gm . ( 55 gm .).

The inscriptions are all in Aramaic, degenerating into Pehlvi. Drouin is of opinion that the debased and frequently illegible script, occurring on coins of which the art is still good, indicates that the coins are the work of Greek artists who did not understand the language. If that is so, there is some excuse in the case of many of the inscriptions, especially in the latest stages of the coinage, for the difficulty of making out where they begin, and even which way up the letters stand.

The accompanying tables (p. clxii f.) give the forms more usually occurring on these coins.

The coins which Allotte de la Fuỵe has studied belong to three series. The remainder may be classed as a fourth series, since the intricacy of the relations between the groups is too great to allow of their being divided up.

[^133]
## ALPHABET OF THE COINS OF PERSIS．

|  | first series． | SECOND scrics | third series． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\aleph$ |  | メカメ |  |
| 2 | y |  |  |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 7 | 44 | 1193 | 113181 |
| $\cdots$ | ワフ円フ | Heow |  |
| 1 | 177 | 11 | 117111 |
| ＇ | 1 |  |  |
| $\pi$ | пH |  | KNHHHHW |
| $\cup$ |  |  |  |
| ， | ヘ＾ | 17 | （＇） |
| 2 | リリリ | $\gamma$ | 1111117 |
| $b$ | ちくして | 1 | 111）（11 |
| $\square$ |  | xtxx |  |
| j |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\nu}$ |  |  |  |
| \％ | 711777 | 7177 | フาワาヘาファ |
| s |  |  |  |
| 7 | フ4フサ114ト47 | 1114 | Mר「リア7！ |
| $\cdots$ | とK |  | Q50 |
| $\Omega$ | 1r）h | h＇HMh | hbrrorpra |
| בר |  |  | レ レンעル |
| ברח |  |  | － |

## ALPHABET OF THE COINS OF PERSIS

FOURTH SERIES

| N$=$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| ： | gatrery |
| 7 | 3 |
| 7 | $\xrightarrow{\text { ramen }}$ |
| 1 | 11 |
| ； |  |
| $\Pi$ | MNNFTr |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |
| ， | Y？＞） |
| $=$ | 111112 |
| ＞ | 1163115 |
| $\square$ | hh入入hカ $0 \times \rightarrow \infty$ |
| ： | 11 |
| － |  |
| 3 |  |
| 2 | フワイワค |
| s |  |
| $p$ |  |
| 7 | $11<$ |
| $\because$ | － 1 |
| $\pi$ | トサrやrercrec |
| าะ | H上楽 |
| ברת | $\pm$ |

> FIRST SERIES.

## Bagadates I.

Allotte de la Fuye gives all the coins with the head shown on Pl. XXVIII. 7-9 to the same man. It is, however, clear that our no. 1 (his no. 2) never had any inscription in the exergue. Our no. 2 was published by de Luynes in 1846 as being in the British Museum, but it was not acquired from Canon Eaton until 1872. J. R. Steuart's coin, on the other hand, which the Museum acquired in 1848, and which has more than once been illustrated, ${ }^{1}$ is a cast, made probably from the Eaton specimen. Its low weight ( 235.7 gn.) is due to this, and not, as Levy wrongly supposed, to its being restruck over an Alexander, which it is not. Of course this fact does not lessen its value as evidence for the reading of the inscription, which, as may be seen from the Eaton specimen, it reproduces correctly.

No. 1. This inscription has been read as follows:
 PRaTaDaRA ZI ALaHIA by Allotte de la Fuÿe (there can never have been another word in the exergue as he suggests).
(b) בגרו פרטכרא זג אלהיא, i.e. BaGaRaZ FeRiTKaRA ZaG ALoHIA by Mordtmann.

Drachms in de la Fuÿe's own collection (his no. 1) and elsewhere ${ }^{2}$ add to the inscription a word in the exergue, which he and Mordtmann both read בגכרת (BaGaKeRT). As between Bagadat and Bagaraz, the former has the advantage of being the better known Persian name. The fourth letter is a mere vertical stroke. I can see no trace on our specimen of the hook which would make it into a certain $\Omega$, but Allotte de la Fuÿe

[^134]gives another instance of such simplification, which is in auy case not surprising in the slovenly script of these coins. The reading $\Omega$ seems to be confirmed by the hemidrachm no. 3, on which the fourth letter in the exergue, mutilated though it is, seems to be a certain $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. The reading בגברת, on the other hand, seems to be very doubtful. The third letter on de la Fuye's drachm is 7, which as he says is rather $u$ than $k$. The same word occur's on the hemidrachm just mentioned, between the standard and the temple; and here the third and fourth letters are the same 7 , except that the vertical of the third is slightly the shorter of the two. I would suggest, as a possibility, BaGaWaRaT (= Bagarat) or BIURaT. ${ }^{1}$

The word which de la Fuÿe reads Pratadara, Mordtmann Feritkura, Justi ${ }^{2}$ Frutakara, occurs regularly on the coins of the earlier Persepolitan series; of these readings, the last, meaning' 'firekindler', has hitherto seemed the most attractive. But as Babelou has pointed out, ${ }^{3}$ the word would seem from the Elephantine Papyri ${ }^{4}$ to be Fratarak.

All authorities seem now to be agreed in reading ZI (or ZaG ) ALaHIA in the sense of either ' of the Gods', or 'of divine origin'. The form affected by the final $\mathfrak{N}$, if such it is, compared with the initial one, is an extraordinary instance of the looseness of this script.

The fragments of the inscription on the left of the hemidrachm no. 3 may perhaps represent ALaHIA.

The evidence, then, so far as it is verifiable, points to the following, reading first on the right, then in the exergue, then on the left:

[^135]No. 1. BaGaDaT FRaTaRaKA ZI ALaHIA
No. 关. BIURaT FRaTaRa ZI - -
No. 3. BIURAT BaGaDaT - - -

## A. F., no. 1. BaGaDaT FRaTaRaKA BIURat ZI ALaHIA

Finally, a very fine specimen of the tetradrachm in Monsieur Jameson's collection, on which the inscription is complete, seems to me to read BaGaWaRa'T or BIURaT (on r. downward), BaGaDar FRaTa[Ra]KA ZI (in ex.), ALaHIA (on. l. upwards).

In view of the identity of the portraits on all these coins, we must assume that they represent the same man ; and if so no. l, on which the inscription is complete, shows that he must have been Bagadat. Then Biurat was his father, and the word Bar, for son, is omitted, as in modern Persian. That the name of the father should be placed sometimes on the right, sometimes in the exergue, and sometimes omitted altogether, need not surprise us in a coinage which is conspicuous for its irregularities.

As regards the proposed reading BIURAT, Col. Allotte de la Fuye, to whom a cast of no. 3 was submitted, writes that the reading is epigraphically sound, though the name is rather Armenian than Achaemenid. ${ }^{1}$
M. de Morgan, also consulted with regard to the same coin, reads, on the right, between altar and standard, BGDD $\mid \mathrm{F}$ for $\mathrm{BGDT}^{\prime} \mid \mathrm{F}$. But, since the reading BGDT is already certain in the exergue, we must not read the same name between altar and standard.

[^136]The objects which surmount the fire-temple on no. .2 , and on similar coins of succeeding rulers, have been explained as three small altars, placed on top because they could not be seen outside. They are, however, probably merely battlements, of the form found on coins of the Second Series. ${ }^{1}$

## Vahuberz (Oborzos).

Polyaenus (vii. 40) mentions a satrap of Persis called "Oßop\}os, without indication of date; Justi identifies with this satrap the ruler whose name he reads וחוברו, Vahuberz, on a certain number of coins, given by Mordtmann to Zaturdat. ${ }^{2}$ On our drachm, no. 1, the king's name is much broken down, but on the Paris tetradrachm (A. de la Fuye, no. 4), here P1. LII. 10, the reading (which is in the first place due to Justi) seems clear. In addition to the formula Frataraka zi Alahia, de la Fuye read on the right of the temple and smaller denominations (tetrobol, hemidrachm, and obol, A. de la Fuye, nos. 6-8), פּ פר or. These letters he takes to be the patronymic FRaDaBaR, son of Frada. The reading בו is very probable; that of the father's name less so. The $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ between the king and the temple is unexplained. Our hemidrachn, no. 3, appears to belong to this series, although the reading of the word in the exergue is difficult to reconcile with the others, unless it is, as I have suggested, and as de la Fuÿe thinks it may be, א פרת and the hemidrachm no. 3 the name of the ruler (on the left) is off the flan, but both the French scholars agree in the attribution to Oborzos.

Justi ${ }^{3}$ reads the letters on our drachm FRBG, i.e. the sacred fire Far(n)bag. If he were right, we should find the same name

[^137]beside the fire altar on other coins, whereas the word in this position varies frequently. Moreover, the fourth letter, whatever it may be, is hardly a G. Justi's suggestion is a good example of the rashness of building a theory on a single coin.

## Artanfries I.

The four coins catalogued here (p.198) are the only extant pieces which are attributed to this ruler. The reading of the drachm no. 1 as ARTaḤSHaTR FRaTaRaKa ZI ALaH(ia) seems to be fairly certain. On the tetrobol the name seems to be written HaRTaḤSHaTR ; on the left is an inscription which has not been read, although the letters are (quite clearly defined (possibly FRaTaRA?). On the right, between standard and temple, are three letters, which Allotte de la Fuÿe in his scheme represents as 7.9., although in his text he interprets FRaDa , which seems to be the more likely reading. If so it represents the same name as we find on the coins of Vahuberz, and the two kings may have been sons of the same Frada. The hemidrachm is very difficult to decipher; the name in the exergue may be that of Artaxerxes in some form or another. The words on the left and right are clearer since the coin was cleaned. While that on the left remains undeciphered, that on the right may be the same as on the tetrobol.

The drachm no. 2 (Pl. XXIX. 2) must also be attributed to Artaxerxes.

The three letters beside the standard on nos. 2 and 3 in this Catalogue are presumably the same. The former piece gives the third letter clearly. Allotte de la Fuÿe (on his no. 9) reads the word FRD (i.e. [son of] Frada...); if he is right, the D is an early form which, by being turned on its side, developed into the form which one finds on later coins.

## Autophradates I.

The coins attributed to Autophradates (Vatafradat) ${ }^{1}$ are mainly tetradrachms and drachms (Allotte de la Fuye, nos. 12-20). I have

[^138]included with them the hemidrachm no. 5 , which may have had the name of the ruler on the left. The inscription in the exergue is perhaps a miswriting of פרתרכ. As to the letters on either side of the shaft of the standard it is just possible that they may read 77, which might stand for DaRIaU B(ar) (cp. FRa(Da) BaR on the drachm of Oborzos, A. F., no. 5). But until a specimen is discovered with the left side complete, it must remain uncertain whether this coin really belongs to Autophradates.

Where the reading of the inscription is as uncertain as it is in this series, it seems best to classify the coins according to details of types, rather than according to their inscriptions.

This ruler introduces certain variations of the reverse type; on some he is represented crowned by Nike (Pl. XXIX. 7), and the temple is surmounted by the steppel-battlement arrangement so characteristic of Persian architecture ${ }^{1}$; and in all, the figure of Ahuramazda now appears hovering above the temple. We may assume that all coins of this series on which Ahuramazda is not present are earlier than Autophradates.

This Autophradates is the latest ruler of the first series whose name can be read with any probability. Allotte de la Fuye describes a number of uncertain coins of similar types, placing among them no. 2, p. 200, which he would presumably assign to a son of Autophradates; but as it is so close in type to the coins of Autophradates himself, and the missing word may as well have been the father's name as the son's, or have merely completed the formula $\boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{\aleph}$, I have placed it among the attributed coins.

The uncertain drachms nos. 5 and 6 (p. 203) have been submitted to MMI. de la Fuÿe and de Morgan for suggestions. For no. 5 they propose respectively VT (on the right, as the beginning of Vatafradat) or PHR (as on coins of Oborzos), and . . . . . HTR perhaps Artahshtr, reading from left to right. For no. 6 M . de la Fuye proposes the rearling of the portion on the right as given

[^139]in the text; on the left he sees traces of VaHUBeRZ ; the exergue remains unintelligible.

An uncertain tetradrachm in the Paris Collection, which is restruck on a tetrarlrachm of Vahuberz, is figured in Pl. LII. 12. ${ }^{\text { }}$ The two drachms formerly in Gen. Clerk's Collection deseribed by Allotte de la Fuÿe under nos. 21 and 25 respectively, are now in the Museum (nos. 2, 3). I have nothing to add to his remarks on these coins.

We have no evidence save that of style to enable us to date this first series. Allotte de la Fuye thinks that the earliest coins, those attributed to Bagadat I, are not much earlier than Antiochus III (ㄹ.2.2 B.c.). We may perhaps provisionally assign the whole series to the period $250-150$ B.c., since, as we shall see, Parthian influence made itself felt during the time of Mithradates I ( $171-138$ в. с.), and is reflected in the coinage of the second series.

Allotte de la Fuye has shown (p.90) that the evidence for the nime Narseh, which Mordtmann read on several coins of the first period, is insufficient.

## SECOND SERIES.

The second series comprises the coins ( $\mathbf{P l}$. XXX-XXXII. 4) of two kings whose names are inscribed, and possibly of some others. The tetradrachm disappears after the beginning of the series, the majority of the coins being drachms, with smaller denominations. The coins are characterized by very high relief on the obverse. The king, on the earliest class, wears a modified kyrbasia, his chin being no longer swathed in side-flaps. This class falls into three groups:
A. Uninscribed (with one or two possible exceptions) ${ }^{2}$; the head-dress surmounted by an eagle. Pl. $\mathbf{X X X} .1-10$.
B. Similar; in addition to the eagle, a crescent on the back of the head-dress. Pl. XXX. 11-15.

[^140]C. Inscribed similar type, but instead of the eagle, a crescent on top of the head-dress, and none on the back. Pl. XXX. 16XXXI. 11.

All have the same reverse: the fire-temple, with Ahuramazda above it, the king adoring, and on the right a standard, on which is perched a bird. ${ }^{1}$ The work is progressively bad, the details of the temple, for instance, being more and more summary, the stepped battlements becoming simplified into forms resembling the horns of an altar; in group C, the standard is reduced to a mere upright rectangle. The inscription on the reverse of group C was read MaNaVaZ MaLKA by Mordtmann; but Allotte de la Fuye points out that there is another letter at the begiming and suggests DARIaU. The first, third, fourth, and fifth signs are mere minims; the second is proved to be $\boldsymbol{N}$ by comparison with the $\boldsymbol{N}$ in $\boldsymbol{N}$; but the rest must remain uncertain for the present. An interesting feature of these coins is that the title of King appears on them for the first time.

These coins are followed by a small group with similar types, but reading Vatafradat Mullia (Pl. XXXI. 12-14). As Allotte de la Fuye remarks (on his no. 51 ), this community of types proves that this Autophradates is the successor and probably the son of the king who used the same types with the inscription interpreted DARIaU MaLKA. This group represents the first coinage of Autophradates. He afterwards introduced his own portrait with the Parthian type of head-dress (P1. XXXI. 15-XXXII. 4). If the Parthian coins are any guide, he must be more or less contemporary with Mithradates I (171-138 b. c.) if we accept the classifications of Gardner and Petrowicz. ${ }^{2}$ Now there is a tradition that

[^141]Persis was conquered by Mithradates I, and this would account for the sudden appearance of the Parthian style. We may accordingly date this second series from about 150 to 100 B.c.

## THIRD SERIES.

The third series (Pl. XXXII. 5-XXXIV. 5) begins with Darius (II ?), son of Autophradates II, who is followed, according to Allotte de la Fuye's reading, by his sons Oxathres and (later) Artaxerxes II. The reading on the first group (Pl. XXXII. 5-20) ${ }^{1}$ DARIaU MaLkA (or MeLeK) BaRMaN (or perhaps BaRaH) VaTaFRaDaT MaLKA (or MeLeK) is certain; and Allotte de la Fuye maintains the same of the reading VaHUHSHaTR (equivalent to Greek Oxathres or Cyaxares) on the second group (Pl. XXXII. 2lXXXIII. 7).

In style the coins of Darius, son of Autophradates, seem to show the influence of those placed by Wroth at the end of the series of Mithradates II (B. M. C., Purthic, Pl. VIII. 1-9), and ly Petrowicz (Pl. IV. 6 ff.) attributed to Mithradates I. The arrangement of the inscription on the four sides of the square surrounding the type shows the growing influence of the Parthian coinare. The coins of Oxathres can hardly be earlier than those assigned by Wroth to Artabanus II, while in some ways they seem almost more reminiscent of Orodes I. We must regard this series as beginning not much earlier than 100 в.c. Gutschmid and Allotte de la Fuye think that Artaxerxes II may be the king of that name of whom Isidore of Charax (who lived about the beginning of the Christian Era) speaks as having been known to his parents, and as having been assassinated at the age of ninety-three by his hrother Gosithres. ${ }^{2}$ Allotte de la Fuye suggests that to this Gosithres ${ }^{3}$ may be assigned a drachm in his own collection with

[^142]a reverse type, unparalleled in the series, and borrowed from the Parthiinn coinage of Orodes I ( $57-37$ b. c.) ; viz. the king seated, receiving a palm-branch (?) from the City, who stands before him. ${ }^{1}$

The usual reverse type of all this series is the king standing, with sceptre in his hand, before a small altar. The inscription on the coins of Darius has already been mentioned. That on the coins attributed to Oxathres ${ }^{2}$ is hardly ever complete; the name והוחשתר appears to be so on the drachm illustrated in Corolla Numismatica (Pl. III. 68) as on the Paris specimen here given in Pl. LIII. $2^{3}$ : but of the five drachms in this Catalogue only two (nos. 2, 4) approach anything like completeness; and as regards nos. 1,3 , and 5 it seems hardly possible to extract that name from them. On some of the smaller denominations the names of both king and father are much abbreviated. The word for 'son' is written in a way which has excited considerable discussion. The signs for $\mathcal{Z}$ and are combined, and followerd by a sign which has been variously explained as ${ }^{4}$ or $\boldsymbol{n}^{5}$ or ${ }^{1}{ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{5}$ Allotte de la Fuÿe observes that the letters $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ and $\pi$, in these Proto-Pehlvi inscriptions as in the fully developed Pehlvi, assume indistinguishable forms, except in the case of final $ה$.

The curious piece illustrated on Pl. XXXIII. 8 (p. 22.1, no. 1) is connected by its obverse type with the Oxathres group. On the reverse is a sign (possibly the letter $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ or $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ ) surrounded by radiating dashes, which may perhaps be the remains of an inscription.

On the coins of Artaxerxes II we see the characteristic Perse-

[^143]politan crown, with steppel battlements. ${ }^{1}$ There also appears, in the field of the obverse, a monogran or rather a symbol, ${ }^{2}$ which reminds us of the Himyarite coinage more than of any other. The fabric of the coins of this king inclines to be thin and flat, and differs notably from that of the coins of Darius II and Oxathres; so that it may belong to a different district.
FOURTH SERIES.

Col. Allotte de la Fuÿe having terminated his study of the series with Artaxerxes II, we have to rely, for assistance ${ }^{3}$ in reading the inscriptions on the succeeding and still more difficult coins, chiefly on the work of Mordtmann and Justi. ${ }^{4}$ The script is so sloveuly that it seens desirable to see what can be done towards a classification based not merely on the readings of the inscriptions but also on falric and style and other features which the linguist is apt to neglect. In what follows, this more strictly numismatic evidence has been taken into account as far as possible.

The coins which remain to be considered in this way probably cover a period of about two centuries, bringing us down to the beginning of the Sassanian coinage under Ardashir I (A. D. 226). There may, as Col. Allotte de la Fuÿe points out, have been a gap in the succession in Persis during this last period, seeing that the Arsacid Gotarzes was able to set up a bas-relief commemorating a victory at Persepolis.

The name Namōpat or Nemōpat, which has been read on the coins catalogned on pl. 225, 226 (Pl. XXXIV. 6 ff .), is not otherwise

[^144]known. ${ }^{1}$ His father was Artahshatr, ${ }^{2}$ possibly the second of that name, whose coins we have included in the third series. The star and crescent type (representing the conjunction of Venus and the Moon), either by itself (as on no. 10 and on the Paris drachm, PI. LIII. 3), or combined with the figure of the king, is characteristic of this group of coins. There is also a small group ${ }^{3}$ with the king's bust (instead of his figure) facing the star and crescent, which may be associated with Namōpat (Pl. XXXIV. 18-21); but I have failed to make anything of the inscriptions; and, as the bust on the obverse wears a low tiara instead of the mural crown, a different person may be intended. On no. 3 the last three letters may, as M. de Morgan suggests, represent M[L]KKA ; the rest may be a blundered rendering of some name ending in -pat. M. dc Morgan suggests NaPat or NaMUPat; Col. de la Fuye KaPA'T'.

Close to the coins of Namōpat, in the manner of dressing the hair, though the mural crown is lacking and the king wears a simple diadem, are the coins with a triskeles on the reverse (p. 229, nos. $1-3$, Pl. XXXV. 1-3). On some pieces of this type, Mordtmann ${ }^{4}$ has read the name of ' Darius, king, son of Artaxerxes (II)'. I confess that neither on the specimens before me, nor yet in the facsimiles which he gives of the legends, can I see satisfactory grounds for so reading the first name. No. l, indeed, certainly contains a $\rrbracket$, the only certain letter of the first name, and Justi's suggestion ${ }^{5}$ of 'Pakūr Malka barah Vahumitr' seems to be much nearer the mark. As regards the father's name, no. 1 in the British Museum and a small piece at Paris (here Pl. LIII. 4) seem

[^145] drachin (no. 2) I cannot make out anything but the word הา.

Col. de la Fuÿe accepts the reading Pakür for nos. 1 and 2 on p. 299 and, reading the father's name ש゙mini, i. e. VaHUHSHaTR, would regard Pakūr as the son of the Oxathres whose coins are classed in the previous period; indeed, he thinks that the father's portrait may be represented on the son's coins. This reading seems to have the most in its favour. M. de Morgan agrees as to the Oxathres, but would read that of the son as PiRUČ, which seems to me to be less probable.

With this group, judging by the king's dress, we may also perhaps comnect the curious drachm (p. 231, no. 1, Pl. XXXV. 9) with a flying bird on the reverse, and a long, unfortunately very difficult inscription, of which no one has made anything.

The name Pakūr may also perhaps be read on the fine drachm, ${ }^{2}$ p. 229, no. 4, Pl. XLVIII. 17; and on the hemidrachms, p. 230, nos. 8 and 9. The inscription on the reverse of the hemidrachm no. 8 is unfortunately very obscure. Col. de la Fuÿe suggests that it is the same as on the obverse, and so does M. de Morgan (the latter reading in each case Pirouz instead of Pakūr). The names would thus be of father and son, and the coin would belong to a Pakinr (Pirouz) II.

General resemblance of the king's bust to that of Darius II (ср. Pl. XXXV. 10 ff. with Pl. XXXII. 5 ff .), a comparatively thick fabric, and a tendency to arrange the reverse inscription in a square, prompt us to place early in this series the large group on which Mordtmann ${ }^{3}$ has read 'Minutschetr Malkā bar Jezdikert' and Justi ' 'Kawāt Malkā bareh Kāmōpat Malkā'. Mordtmann has lumped together two groups of coins; on one (which we may

[^146]consider later) the bust on the reverse is beardless; on the other, the group with which we are immediately concerned, the bust is bearded. It is of this group that Allotte de la Fuye publishes a specimen, ${ }^{1}$ with the pertinent remark that the portraits on the two sides are so much alike that we cannot suppose one to represent a king of Persis, and the other his Arsacid suzerain ; they must be father and son.

Allotte de la Fuye does not discuss the reading: but there can be no doubt that Mordtmann, ${ }^{2}$ in his reading of our no. 3, has misread the title מלכה as the begiming of the king's name. This really begins above the bust, and consists of only four letters. of which the first is a mere minim, and may be read as $7,9,9, \beth, \zeta, p$, or 7 , while the last two are without doubt את: there is, I think, equally little doubt that the second letter is $\boldsymbol{9}^{3}$ We thus have a name of two syllables ending in -pat, and the only forms which suggest themselves are Kapăt ${ }^{ \pm}$and Napāt.

As regards the name of the father of Kapat (as we may call him, subject to correction), Mlordtmann's 'Jezdikert' may be at once dismissed. The name is obviously the same as that which has been read נמופת (Namōpat or Nemōpat) on a group already discussed. Why Justi ${ }^{5}$ should read it Kāmōpat, seeing that there is no $\mathfrak{N}$ between the first and second letters, he does not explain.

The father of Kapāt does not, however, seem to be the same as the Namōpat, son of Artaxerxes, who struck coins; for Kapāt's

[^147]coins, as we have seen, seem to follow closely in style on those of Darius II, whereas the Namopat who struck coins seems to have been the son of Artaxerxes II who was the son of Darius II. We probably have to do with rulers reigning contemporaneously in different parts of Persis; possibly after Autophradates II there was a division of territories, at the end of the second period, and the father of Kapāt may have been contemporary with Darius II, but have struck no coins.

In this Catalogue, the main series of coins attributed to Kapāt is divided into two groups, according as the king's bust on the obverse has a neck-piece to the tiara, covering the back-hair (Pl. XXXV. 10XXXVI. 3), or has no neck-piece, so that the back-hair projects in a waved mass (Pl. XXXVI. 4-11). In each of these groups, however, a further distinction is noticeable, in that the hair of the bust on the reverse is also treated in two ways. On most of those pieces which show the hair of this bust in a thick waved mass behind (pp. $232-5.1-3,12,22-8$ ), the inscription is fairly legible; but on nearly all of those in which the hair falls more smoothly on to the neck (pp. 232-5. 4-11, 13-20, 29), the inscription appears to break down, and it is seldom possible to see any relation to the reading of the other group. It can hardly be that all these coins with the illegible inscription are merely unintelligent copies of the others, since they correspond with an actual variation of the type.

As regards the two heads on coins of Kapāt-and on other coins on which heads appear on both sides-they must, as Col. de la Fuye has already suggested, be father and son. The portrait with the tiara and without the inscription must be that of the son, who issued the coins. On Kapāt's coins, therefore, the diademed head represents Namōpat.

The group of coins ${ }^{1}$ which show a head in tiara accompanied by triskeles symbol on the obverse, and a formal representation of a diadem ${ }^{2}$ on the reverse (Pl. XXXVI. 12-19), is connected by its

[^148]fabric with the Kapāt series. But the work, though occasionally neat (as in no. 5, p. 237, P1. XXXVI. 15), is usually very poor; the inscription, when anything is left of it, is reduced by the smallness of the flans to portions of a few letters.

These coins belong to Mordtmann's classes XV' and XVI (pp. 178-9). He has taken the triskeles, on the only specimen where he has observed it, for $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$. The type of the reverse is to be compared with the diadem on certain coins of Susiana (PI. XLI. 26-7); but usually on the Persepolitan coins the ends of the diadem, instead of being kept outside the double circle, fall down in front of it; P1. XXXVI. 20 is an exception.

Here, in comexion with the coins just discussed, for lack of a better place, we may mention the drachm, half-drachm, and obol (p. 239, P1. XXXVI. 21-3), which, like those of Kapāt, have a head in a tiara on the obverse, and a bearded diademed head on the reverse. The types and the arrangement of the long circular inscription on the reverse comnect these coins with the Kapāt series, whereas the flatter fabric, together with the placing of an inscription along the back of the head on the obverse, is more in the fashion of the next series to be discussed. The remains of the inscription on the obverse seem to me to indicate $V^{r}$ atafradat malk $\bar{a}^{1}$; unfortunately the beginning of the name is very uncertain.

We now come (p. 241, Pl. XXXVII. 3-9) to the coins (confused by Mordtmann with those discussed above) on which the bust on the reverse is beardless. Justi ${ }^{2}$ agrees with Mordtmann in reading on these coins the name of Manučithr (Mordtmann : Minutscheher ; Justi: Manušči日ra) Malkā, i.e. מנגָתרי מלבא. The beardless head (which, on the fine specimen no. 1, Pl. XXXVII. 3, is also

[^149]identified as a king Manučithr, i.e. the first of that name) is doubtless that of the father of the king Manučithr who struck these coins, and who must be called Manučithr II, adding one to Mordtmann's numeration of the kings of that name. This beardless head usually has the hair dressed up in a thiek mass on the crown of the head. A similar treatment of the hair is seen on olverses of the small group (p. 240, P1. XXXVI. 24-6, XXXVII. 1, 2, and the Paris specimen, Pl. LIII. 5), which bear on the reverse a rudiate beardless diademed bust, inscribed, apparently מחףרי מלכא. It would seem that in these beardless busts, whether radiate or not, we should recognize deified ancestors. The Paris drachm gives us the name of the reigning sovereign, namely, Artaxerxes (Artaḥshatr).

Coming to the coins of Manučithr III, son of Manučithr II (p. 243, Pl. XXXVII. 12, 13), we see, in the fabric, a distinct approximation to the flat Sassanian style. Mordtmann (p. 18:2, no. 141) reads the inscription on one specimen: (obv.) Minutschetri Malkā, (rev.) Nafī (i. e. grandson) Minutschetri Malk Malkā. He suggests that the son of Manučithr I died during his father's lifetime, ${ }^{2}$ which would account for the second king of the name describing himself as grandson of the first. But of the two specimens of the coinage in this Catalogue, one describes the king clearly as son (ברח) of Manučithr. ${ }^{3}$ We have already seen that the first Manučithr to issue money seems to have placed on the reverse of his coins the beardless head of a predecessor, probably father, of the same name. If Mordtmann's reading of the Alishan specimen is correct, then the grandfather referred to is the leardless king in question.

[^150]The hemidrachm no. 3 (Pl. XXXVII. 14) is also to be attributed to this third Manučithr. It is probably similar to Mordtmann's no. 142 .

The latest issue of Persepolitan coins represented in this collection was made in the name of Artaxerxes IV, son of Manučithr (p. 244, Pl. XXXVII. 15-19; Mordtmann, pp. 183-4). The king's name is sometimes on the reverse, around a radiate head wearing the Persepolitan walled crown; which head, however, is doubtless that of his deified father, whose name is written against it on no. 3 and perhaps on no. 4. The coins of this king fall into two groups; those which have Manučithr's name on the reverse (nos. 3 and 4) are of less flat fabric than the others (nos. 1,2 , and 5).

Mordtmann closes his study of the series with two coins which he reads 'King Tiridat' and 'Tiridat son of Ardeshir'. The former name is on a coin at Berlin (Prokesch-Osten); the latter he reads on a coin figured by Thomas ${ }^{1}$; but in order to read the father's name it is necessary to assume that two letters have been dropped in the middle, for all that the coin shows is As a matter of fact, the inscriptions on both sides of this coin are the same as on no. 4 of Manučithr II, and the father's name must be, as in that case, Manučithr also. No. 4 however, apart from its obverse inscription, is exactly like nos. 3 and 5 , both of which seem to contain in their obverse legends certain elements of מנגבתרי.

Markoff"s coin, ${ }^{2}$ which Justi ${ }^{3}$ groups with the two described by Mordtmann, has only three letters of the king's name left on the obverse; the reverse is uninscribed, with a type like no. 2 of Manučithr II. Markoff reads the name as $[\boldsymbol{\square} \boldsymbol{\square}]$, thus confirming Mordtmann's view. It is possible, therefore, that there was a Tiridates, son of the original Manučithr, who struck coins indistinguishable, save in their inscriptions, from those of Manučithr II. But the readings are so uncertain that I have preferred

[^151]to leare our hemidrachm no. 4 with the others which it so closely resembles.

For the relationships of this last family of Persepolitan kings we may therefore propose the following scheme:


A late Persepolitan coin which it is difficult to fit in with any of the other series is represented by a few specimens, of which two are catalogued on p. 242, Pl. XXXVII. 10, 11. The remarkable reverse type has a faint suggestion of Indian influence. ${ }^{1}$ On the first specimen the inscriptions on both sides of the figure appear to be identical, except that on the left it is read inwards from left to right instead of as usual from right to left. Both suggest MaLKA, but in addition there is a fifth sign of which only the bottom of the upright stroke is visible. On the other specimen the inscription on the left of the figure may be as on the first. M. de Morgan, to whom a cast of the Museum specimen was submitted, suggests RTČR for the inscription on the right (for Artaxerxes II, father of Namopat?); but, as he points out, the name is differently written on Namōpat's coins; and in any case the style of the coin appears to me to be later than the time of that Artaxerxes.

## ELYMAIS-SUSIANA.

Elymais, which, at any rate for the most part, was identical with Susiana, ${ }^{2}$ may be briefly described as the country between

[^152]Babylonia and Persis, about the valleys of the Choaspes and Eulaeus, with Susa as its chief city.

Of its cults, something can be gleaned from incidental references. Antiochus III was killed in an attempt to plunder the treasury of a temple of 'Belos' in Elymais'; and Antiochus IV, in 164 в. c., made a similar attempt on a temple of a goddess who is variously called 'Artemis' (Polybius, Josephus), 'Aphrodite' (Appian), 'Nanaia' (2 Macc. i. 13), i.e. the Babylonian Nature-goddess Nanai, ${ }^{1}$ the Nana or Nanaia of Indo-Scythic coins, and perhaps also to be identified with the Persian Anaitis, of whom Aelian mentions a temple, in the land of Elymais, where tame lions were kept. The temple, or one of the temples, of Artemis was known as $\tau \grave{\alpha}{ }^{\prime \prime} A \zeta \alpha \rho \alpha$; this and a temple of Athena were robbed by Mithradates the Great of 10,000 talents. It seems safe to assume that these goddesses are the Artemis and Athena who appear in Greek guise on the later coins (P1. XLII. 13-21); and the Citygoddess whose radiate bust forms an earlier type (Pl. XXXIX. 14 ff .) is generally also identified with the local Artemis. The Zeus of the earliest coins (P1. XXXVIII. 1-6), on the other hand, may represent the Belos who is mentioned in connexion with Antiochus III. The 'anchor' which occurs on most of the coins is usually supposed to be derived from the Seleucid symbol, and may, if that is so, indicate some claim of the local kings to Seleucid descent; for after the death of Alexander Susa was part of the Seleucid dominions. Very similar objects occur on coins attributed to Phraates III (?) and Orodes I of Parthia ${ }^{2}$; on some of the latter coins it is capped by a crescent or trident-shaped ornament. The association with the crescent and star or pellet on the coins of Elymais is very close. Parthian coins which bear this symbol may have been meant, as Wroth suggests, for circulation in Elymais, or to emphasize the Parthian king's suzerainty over that kingdom.

[^153]But we are not by this brought any nearer to the interpretation of the object, which may, after all, be not an anchor at all, but it sacred symbol associated with some deity of Susa.

The coinage begins in the second century b.c., under the dynasty of Kamnaskires. It has been studied with great care by Col. Allotte de la Fuye, whose three contributions to the subject make reference to earlier publications unnecessary. ${ }^{1}$

The earliest coinage directly associated with Elymais is the remarkable Alexandrine tetradrachm of Aspeisas, governor of Susiana under Antigonus. ${ }^{2}$

The only literary mention of any later ruler of Elymais is to be found in the text of Pseudo-Lucian ${ }^{3}$ : Kai Mvarкíp s $\delta \grave{\xi}$
 périer, on the evidence of the coins, emended to $K \alpha \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \kappa i \rho \eta s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{s}, \kappa \tau \lambda$. This Kamnaskires is called king of the Parthians, it is true, but the author could hardly be expected to distinguish between a king of the Parthians and his vassal of Elymais.

Which of the kings of the name is referred to, it seems impossible to decide, for there is no certainty in the proposed attribution of the various coins with the name to three or four different kings. It is certain that the dynasty lasted for some time ; for the earliest coin, by its style, is evidently of about the middle of the second
${ }^{1}$ (I) Rev. Num., 1902, pp. 92-114 ('La Dynastie des Kamnaskirès', dealing with the earlier coinage).
(II) 'Monnaies de l'Élymaïde' in Mission de. Morgan, tome viii (1905), which describes the coins in the hoard found in 1900 and other coins supposed to be contemporary with them, and also others which are known, and are presumably later than the contents of the said hoard.
(III) 'Les Monnaies de l'Élymaïde ' in Rer. Num., 1919, which modifies his previous classification in the light of new material.

It may be noted here that, apart from the earlier coins of silver, and the bronze 'tetradrachms', the great mass of the coins in the British Museum comes from two donations made in 1909 by Lt. A. T. Wilson (now Sir Arnold Wilson, K.C.I.E.). The first donation consists of coins found in a hoard at Susa; the provenance of the second does not appear to be certain, but that the coins came from a hoard, and from Susa, seems to be probable. In the indications below the text the coins from the latter donation are distinguished by a mark of interrogation after the word 'Susa'. A certain number of the
century B.c.; the Kamnaskires who married Anzaze dated his coins in the year 231 A.s. $(=82-81$ B.c. $)$; and there is a long
coins from these two donations are too badly preserved to be included in this Catalogue, or even to be classified with complete certainty. Approximately, however, Allotte de la Fuÿe's various groups are represented as follows in the two donations.

| Classification according to Allotte de la Fuÿe. |  | First donation (from Susa). | Second donation (from Susa ?). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kamnaskires | Type B a | 7 | - |
| Orodes I | Type A a 1 | 18 | - |
|  | ," ,, 2 | - | - |
|  | ", " 3 (Fortune) | 10 | 3 |
|  | ., ., 3 (Anchor, \&c.) | 30 | 3 |
|  | .. Ad (Eagle) | - | -- |
|  | , ,"(Diadem) | - | - |
| Orodes II | Type Ad or A e 1 (Bust) | 12 | -- |
|  | ., ", "2 (Dashes) | 38 | 4 |
|  | ,, B b 1 | 3 | - |
|  | , , , 2 | 31 | 2 |
| Phraates | Type Abl (Artemis) | 11 | 3 |
|  | ,, ", 1 (Dashes) | 23 | 3 |
|  | ,, Ac 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | ., ., 2 (Eagle) | -- | 2 |
|  | , ,, 2 (Diadem) | - | 5 |
|  | , Ad | -- | 2 |
| Phraataces | Type B c | - | - |
| X | Type Bd | - | - |
| Orodes III | Type Be (Anchor) | - | - |
|  | ", "(Artemis) | - | 7 |
|  | , B f | 1 |  |
| Orodes IV | Type Bg | 10 | 5 |
| Y | Type B h | - | 1 |
| Z | Type Bi | 14 | 6 |
| Vologeses | Type Bj | 1 | 2 |
| Chosroes | Type Bk | - | - |
|  | Total | 210 | 50 |

Another important addition to the Museum series was made in 1920 by the generosity of Monsieur J. de Morgar. All the pieces presented by him are characterized by their excellent preservation, especially as regards the inscriptions. They came from a hoard found at Dizful.
${ }^{2}$ Robinson in Num. Chron., 1921, p. 37.
${ }^{3}$ Macrobii, c. 16.
serics of coins showing a progressive degradation of the types. A certain number of these degraded types are associated in hoards with the coins attributable to kings of the names of Orodes and Phraates. Allotte de la Fuje, who was formerly inclined to identify Orodes I and Phraates of Elymais with the Parthian kings of the same names, is now of opinion that they are later, and belong to the end of the first and begimning of the second century after Christ. A specimen of the bronze tetradrachms of the Kammaskires class was found together with Characenian coins of Attambelos III and IV and Theoneses III, which belong to the period A.D. 93-111; and this would seem to indicate that the Kamnaskires coinage came to an end in the second half of the first century. The period covered by the coinage from the reign of the Kamnaskires who married Anzaze, to the reign of Orodes I, seems to have lasted about a century and a half. Then follow the reigns of Orodes I, Phraates, Orodes II and their successors, bringing us down, perhaps, to the latter part of the second century.

The identification of the Orodes and Phraates of Elymais with Parthian namesakes being now discarded by its proposer, there remains another suggested link between the two series, in the bronze coin attributed to Chosroes of Parthia, whom Allotte de la Fuye is inclined to regard as the successor of Phraates. This will be discussed in its place ; meanwhile, without accepting the interpolation of the coin in the series of Elymais, we may agree to the attribution of the coin to Chosroes.

Adhering in the main to the principles established by Allotte de la Fuye for the distinction of the various groups of coins, but omitting the more precarious elements of his classification, we note the following groups.

## I.

## Kamnastires I Nikeploros.

AR Attic tetradrachm (Paris) : Obr. Portrait ${ }^{1}$ in the style of the Seleucid coins of the first half of the second century ; rer. BAI-

[^154]$\Lambda E \Omega \Sigma K A M N I \Sigma K I P O Y$ NIKHФOPOY. Apollo seated on omphalos, holding two arrows.

Rev. Num., 1902, Pl. V. l; here Pl. LIII. 6.
Another at Berlin (Z.f. N., 1898, p. 231). Drachms of the same type, without the epithet NIKHФOPOY, also exist.

## II.

Kumnashires II and Anzaze. 231 and 232 A.s. $=82 / 1$ and $81 / 0$ b.c.
A Tetradrachms and drachm, as nos. 1-4 in this Catalogue (Pl. XXXVIII. 1-4 and LIII. 7). Cf. Rev. Arum., l.c., p. 99, no. 2, p. 102, no. 5.

## III.

Kamnaskires III, son of (the Great ?) King Kamnaskires II.

$$
251 \text { А. S. }(?)=62 / 1 \text { в. с. }
$$

(a) $R$ Tetradrachms (Rev. Num., l.c., p. 100, no. 3, Pl. V. 3, here Pl. LIII. 8) and drachms, as p. 247, nos. 1, 2, Pl. XXXVIII. 5, 6. The portrait is that of a young man. The tetradrachm reads
 de la Fuye rejects the interpretations of the doubtful word or words as OY^ET (for Volagases) or TOY ET( ( óvov), in favour of $\operatorname{TOY}(M) E \Gamma(\alpha ́ \lambda o v)$, which is partly supported by the reading TOY^E厂 found in group (b). Even this, however, seems a very doubtful emendation. The drachms seem to have the same inscription as the tetradrachms in an even more decrepit form.
(b) At Tetradrachms and smaller denominations (Rev. Num., l.c., p. 100 f., no. 4, p. 103 f., nos. 6-10); see p. 248 f., nos. 3-11 (Pl. XXXVIII. 7-14; LIII. 9). The head on the obverse would appear to represent Kamnaskires II, while that on the reverse, with short round beard, is not unlike the heads on the obverses of group (a), which may be taken for Kamnaskires III. The specimen of the drachm in Sir Charles Oman's Collection ( 3.86 gm., here Pl. LIII. 10) seems to read

[^155] is obliterated.

Among the less degenerate specimens of this group are two ${ }^{1}$ which appear to be dated: one of them either T© or TO (309 or 370 A.s. $=4 / 3$ в.c. or A. D. $58 / 9$ ) and the other $-\equiv Z$, i. e. presumably $\mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{Z}(368$ A.s. $=$ A. $D .56 / 7$ ). As the two specimens are much alike, it is to be presumed that the date of the former is TO rather than T©. These coins appear to me to be mere imitations, struck by later rulers, of the types and inscriptions of the earlier coins, the only attempted change being in the dates which they bear. It seems therefore hopeless to attempt to assign them to different kings of the name of Kamnaskires, as Allotte de la Fuye does, ${ }^{2}$ although, as there is no change in the types, we may assume that one dynasty kept the throne throughout the period of this coinage.
(c) Modification of the previous obverse type, probably an attempt at portraying a definite king. The hair is treated in such a way as to suggest the brim of a hat. Above the anchor symbol on the tetradrachms is usually a four-pointed star in a crescent; on the drachms the star is reduced to a pellet. See P1. XXXIX. 1-10, LIII. 11, and Rev. Num., 1902, Pl. V. 11-13; 1919, Pl. I. 5-8. The metal is either very base silver (potin) or bronze. Col. Allotte de la Fuÿe calls the king represented by these coins Kamnaskires VI; traces of the name may indeed be found on some specimens. A rosette which he finds in place of the crescent above the anchor on a drachm is compared by him to a similar symbol on coins of Characene attributed to Attambelos IV (412-16 A.s.). ${ }^{3}$ But, in the first place, it will be seen from our Pl. XLIV. 6 that the symbol on the coin of Attambelos is not a rosette but a star; and, in the second, there appears in any case to be little difference between the rosette on the drachm in question and the symbol,

[^156]half star, half rosette, above the anchor on a tetradrachm of an earlier type, such as that illustrated in Pl. XXXVIII. 8 (where, it is true, the symbol, owing to the worn state of the coin, cannot be seen in the reproduction). This symbol therefore hardly helps us to a date. But an indication is furnished by the fact that one of the tetradrachms of the group at present under consideration ('Kamnaskires VI') was found in the hoard of Characenian tetradrachms discovered by de Sarzec at Tello in 1878. These tetradrachms range from 365 A.s. (A.D. 53 ) to $423 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{S} .(\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{D} .111$ ). As the coin of 'Kamnaskires VI' was poorly preserved, Allotte de la Fuye thinks it may belong to about 380 A.s. (A. D. 68). Since the head on the coins of this king is always that of an old man, M. de la Fuye supposes that he may be the Kamnaskires who is recorded to have reached the age of ninety-six years.
(d) The tetradrachm no. 31, Pl. XXXIX. 11 (presented by Sir Charles Oman, whose own specimen, weighing $13 \cdot 10 \mathrm{gm}$., is figured in Pl. LIII. 12) appears to show a portrait distinct from that on the tetradrachms just discovered. In front of the bust on Sir Charles Oman's specimen is the trace of a second symbol (another anchor?). The reverse type appears to be a head to I., but of the inscription I can make nothing.

The degeneration of the reverse type culminates in the field semé with dashes which is so characteristic of the later coinage of Elymais. Henceforward the coinage seems to make no pretence of being of any metal more precious than bronze; though the distinction between two main denominations, corresponding to the original tetradrachms and drachms, seems to be preserved for some time. But no 'tetradrachms' are known that can be attributed to any king later than Phraates; and even in the weights of the 'drachms' there is a distinct falling off as time goes on. The Greek inscriptions become progressively blundered, and the Aramaic, which appear first with Orodes I, become no easier to read.

## Orodes $I$.

With this king the character of the coinage changes considerably. The tetradrachm is very rare. The apparently unique Paris specimen, from de Morgan's find, is illustrated in Pl. LIII. 13. It bears the king's name in Aramaic, Uruch Malku, and is the only known coin of this king with Aramaic inseription. ${ }^{1}$

To this king Allotte de la Fuye also attributes a small group of drachms with the facing head wearing a tiara (described as not ornamented with crescents) and on the reverse either an eagle holding a diadem in his beak, or a double diadem flanked by two crescents containing pellets. ${ }^{2}$ There were no specimens of these coins in de Morgan's find. Further, the same or similar types occur under Phraates, ${ }^{3}$ a king subsequent to those represented in the find. The question therefore arises whether all coins of these types do not belong to the time of Phraates. The absence of the crescents from the tiara on the coins attributed to Orodes I may possibly be due to the worn state of the coins. In this Catalogue all the coins of these types are placed under Phraates. An alternative, still preferable to assigning them to Orodes I, would be to give them to Orodes II, who uses the facing heal wearing a tiara without crescents. I cannot see what reason there is for assigning these coins to Orodes I rather than to either of the other kings, with whose types they seem to accord better.

Assuming, as seems probable, that the coins of Orodes I follow on the coins dated in A.S. 368 and 370, and that the coin of Chosroes which was represented by a solitary specimen in de Morgan's find was struck by the Parthian king of that name, who reigned approximately from A.s. 406 to 441 (A. D. 94-129), Allotte

[^157]de la Fuye dates between 370 and 440 all the kings represented in de Morgan's find, from and including Kamnaskires VI, viz.:

Kamnaskires VI.
Orodes I.
Orodes II (Kamnaskires-Orodes, son of Orodes I).
Phraates, son of Orodes I.
Chosroes.
Esen if we do not accept the attribution to Elymais of the coin of Chosroes, its evidence for the dating of the hoard remains unimpaired, so that we may regard the kings Orodes I and his successors as having reigned approximately within the limits A. D. 58 and 128. Whether those successors were two or three depends on whether the identification of Orodes II with Kamma-skires-Orodes is to be accepted or rejected.

## Orodes II.

All the coins attributed to this king represent him facing: the inscription, where there is any, is in Aramaic. The only reverse type is the bust of Artemis facing; the coins which do not show this have merely scattered dashes on the reverse. The inscription Urud Malla, ${ }^{1}$ sometimes with the addition Buri Urud, identifies the king as Orodes son of Orodes. But there is a group of coins ${ }^{2}$ on which the king's bust is shown with two large lateral tufts of hair. Some of these are inscribed Urucd Malla Bari Crucd (Mulka). But some of the drachms read KUMaŠKIR URUD MaLKA, and the tetradrachms $\mathrm{KaBNaH}(Z) K I R$ URUD MaLKa BaR URUD MaLKA. ${ }^{3}$ Allotte de la Fuye maintains that Orodes and Kamnaskires- (or Kumaskires-) Orodes are one and the same king. Certainly we may agree that all the coins with the bust having large lateral tufts of hair belong to one and the same

[^158]person; and, if this is so, it is clear that he did not always think it necessary to prefix the Kamnaskires or Kumaskires to his name Orodes. A further fact in favour of assigning both groups of coins to the same king is that the facing bust of Artemis is found on them and them alone.

An unpublished variety of the coinage of Kamuaskires-Orodes is illustrated in P1. LIII. 16, by the permission of the owner, M. J. de Morgan. On the reverse is a curious bearded head l., with an inscription which M. de Morgan reads בומנ ורוד מלכא (Kumn. Urud Malkā).

## Phraates.

That this king was the son of Orodes is proved by the unique tetradrachm, p. 274, no. 23, Pl. XLI. 16. ${ }^{1}$ This is the king's only coin with Aramaic inscription ; the lettering on his smaller coins, when there is any, is Greek. He introduces the type of Artemis huntress; the eagle and diadem types attributed to him have already been mentioned (p. cxc). ${ }^{2}$

After Phraates, Allotte de la Fuÿe proposes to interpolate a bronze coin ${ }^{3}$ with an uncertain Greek legend, possibly BAC $\wedge \in Y$ XOCPOI. The head-dress of the king on this coin is certainly in favour of the attribution to Chosroes, whose date may be taken approximately as A. D. 94-129. The type of the huntress Artemis on the reverse, combined with the fact that a specimen was

[^159]included in de Morgan's Susa find of coins of Elymais, has led to the inclusion of this coin in the series of Elymais. ${ }^{1}$

There is, however, a most striking difference between the fabric of this coin, which is comparatively flat, and its style, which still retains traces of a good Greek model, especially in the treatment of the figure of Artemis, on the one hand, and, on the other, the thick fabric and rude style of the coins of Elymais. Inserted anywhere in the series, it looks thoroughly out of place. There is, however, a possibility that Chosroes may have conquered Elymais and struck this coin in his own mint as a record of his victory. This would reconcile the conflicting evidence of fabric and type.

## Later Kingo.

Allotte de la Fuÿe distinguishes ${ }^{2}$ five kings, represented by coins of which there were no specimens in de Morgan's find. These are:

Orodes III ${ }^{3}$ (with his queen Ulfan).
Unidentified (X).
Orodes IV.
Unidentified (Y).
Unidentified (Z).
He gives them to a period of ninety-eight years, making the series end with the rise of the Sassanian power under Ardashir (A.D. 226). Judging from style and fabric, the last coins of our series may well be contemporary with the small bronze coins of that ruler.

As regards his King $X$, the single specimen ${ }^{4}$ which he attri-

[^160]butes to that ruler differs only in the lack of lateral tufts of hair from others which are attributerl to Orodes III (as no. 1, Pl. XLII. 1, in this Catalogue, nos. 154-6 in his work). To Orodes IV are attributed coins of the types of Pl. XLII. 7-12; certain specimens (like that from the Luynes Collection, Pl. LIII. 17) bear the inscription Urul Malka. The Kings Y and Z are represented by types similar to those in Pl. XLII. 13-18. Among them are the coins with the Athena type (Pl. XLII. 19, 20), which Allotte de la Fuyie formerly attributed, with a mark of interrogation, to the Parthian Volagases II, and which he now would regard as the only type of King Z. Finally, there is a type, represented by six coins (Pl. XLII. 22-5), which evidently belongs to our: series, although it has not come to the notice of previous writers on the subject.

In the above description, it has heen assumed that the era in use in Elymais was the Seleucid. Allotte de la Fuye has, however, raised the question ${ }^{1}$ whether the era may possibly have been that of Alexander, begiming in 330 в.c. Although this hypothesis would perhaps remove one objection to his classification (which implies that coins of 'Kamnaskires V ', slowing no marked difference of age in the portrait, were struck some sixty years apart), ${ }^{2}$ he leaves the question undecided, and we may follow his example.

## CHARACENE.

Characene, a district at the head of the Persian Gulf, comprised the delta of the Tigris and Euphrates, from the junction of the two streams. It took its name from its chief city $X \alpha^{\alpha} \rho \alpha \xi^{\prime} \Upsilon_{\sigma \pi \alpha o-}$ oivou (Spasinu Charax), which was founded by Hyspaosines (see helow) on a site on which Alexander the Great had previously placed a city called Alexandreia, and Antiochos IV an Antiocheia.

[^161]The site appears to be quite uncertain ${ }^{1}$ the most popular view is that it was at Mohammerah.

The history of the coinage, down to the disappearance of the Greek inscriptions, has been revised by Babelon, in the light of much new material (chiefly from de Sarzec's great hoard of 732 coins found at Tello in 1878). ${ }^{2}$ The recent discovery of coins of an Attambelos earlier than the one hitherto supposed to have been the first has altered the numeration of the kings of that name.

The British Museum acquired, in 1825, a large number of Characenian coins from the collection of Claudius James Rich, formerly H.E.I.C. Resident at Baghdad. 'This collection included at least 522 coins of the later series, with Aramaic inscriptions. Unfortunately no exact record has been preserved of the contents of the collection, and it is probable that all the choicer specimens were picked out and incorporated in the general series of Characenian coins, ${ }^{3}$ the remainder leing left together for future consideration. From this mass of coins those which are noted in this catalogue as 'from C. J. Rich' have been selected for description. The remainder are too badly preserved to repay cataloguing. They undoubtedly, to judge by their appearance, came from a single hoard; it is unfortunate that we camnot decide with certainty whether any of the earlier series, with Greek inscriptions, were in the same hoard. A point of interest to be

[^162]noted is that, mingled with this collection, were three Kushan coins, of Vima Kadphises (as B. M. C., Greek and Scythic Kings, PI. XXV. 12) of Kanishka ${ }^{1}$ and of Huvishka (as B. M. C., Pl. XXIX. 3). From their appearance, these three coins would seen to have formed part of the hoard; they successfully escaped notice until the coins were carefully examined for the purposes of the present work. Their presence is another indication, if any were needed, of intercourse between the head of the Persian Gulf and India in the first and second centuries of our era; but the dates of the three Kushan kings themselves being greatly in dispute, we obtain no light from them as to the date of the Characenian coins.

The following is the sequence of the coinage and of the kings who issued it, so far as we can establish it from the available evidence.

## GREEK SERIESS.

I. Hyspaosines, son of Sagdodonakos. ${ }^{2}$ Silver tetradrachns, one of which, at Berlin, is dated A.s. $H \boldsymbol{P P}^{3}=1:{ }^{3}-4$ в. c. Babelon, p. 2.25.4 Here Pl. LIV. 1 (the Paris specimen). The reverse type

[^163]of Herakles seated, with his club on his knee, seems to be borrowed from the coins of Euthydemus I of Bactria.
II. Apodakos, only known from his coins, which are silver tetradrachms, dated 203 (110-9 в.c.) and 207 (106-5 в.c.). See Pl. XLIII. 1 ; LIV. 2. ${ }^{1}$
III. Tiraios I. Silver tetradrachm dated $\boldsymbol{\Gamma K} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}=90-89$ b.c. Babelon, p. 227, here PI. LIV. 3 (the Paris specimen). This king is only known from his coins. He bears the title Euergetes, and his reverse type breaks the monotony of the Herakles types with a figure of a City-goddess enthroned to l., holding cornucopiae and Nike. The portrait of the aged king is remarkable.
IV. Tiraios II. Tetradrachms, of which one is dated $\boldsymbol{\Sigma N B}=$ 61-60 в.c., ${ }^{2}$ another probably $A \boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ or $\Delta \boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, i.e. $52-51$ or $49-$ 48 в.c. (here Pl. XLIII. 2). This king also issued bronze coins with the reverse type of Nike (p. 290, no. 2, Pl. XLIII. 3). He takes the titles Soter Euergetes, ${ }^{3}$ and his example is followed by his successors, with few if any exceptions, down to the end of the series with Greek inscriptions. This Tiraios is supposed to be the king mentioned by Pseudo-Lucian as the third in succession after Hyspaosines, although the portrait on the coins of Tiraios I would suggest that he also lived to a great age. It would be possible to make the statement apply to Tiraios I if we assumed that, in counting, the author of the Macrobii included the king from whom the counting began. ${ }^{4}$ But, as we shall see when we come to Artabazos, he did not do so.

On coins of this king and of many of his successors there appear beneath the arm of Herakles certain letters, some of which certainly are of the local Aramaic form.

[^164]V. Attambelos I. The existence of this king was unsuspected until five silver tetradrachms, aequired by a soldier in Mesopotamia during the war, were submitted to and bought by the British Museum in 1920 (pp. 291-2, Pl. LV. 10-14). They bear portraits closely resembling that of Tiraios II. Of the dates, only one is absolutely complete ( $\mathbf{B O \Sigma}$ ), but another, $\boldsymbol{\odot} \equiv \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, may be regarded as nearly certain. ${ }^{1}$ The others are very obscure; on one of them the digit numeral may possibly be a $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ (made like a $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ ); if so, this king's reign extended to $40-39$ b.c. An Aramaic or Mandaean letter appears under the arm of Herakles on no. 5.
VI. Theonesios (Thionesios) I. Base metal or bronze 'tetradrachms' dated $\mathbf{\Gamma O \Sigma}=40-39$ в.C., Babelon, p. 229 ; here Pl. LIV. 4 (Paris specimen). The form of the name varies; this king's coins show the reading OIONHइIOY; those of the second of the name have $\odot \in \Omega$ NHEIOY; those of the third, $\odot \in O N H C O Y$ or $\odot \in \bigcirc$ NHIOY. The balance of evidence is in favour of the form Theonesios, rather than Theomeses, as Waddington and Babelon write it.
VII. Attambelos II. Base tetradrachms ${ }^{2}$ dated from $\mathbf{\Gamma \Pi \Sigma}$ (30-29 в.c.) or EПГ (28-27 в.c.) to ZIT (A.D. $5-6$ ) ; see pp. 293-4, Pl. XLIII. $4-6$ and Babelon, p. 230. Also bronze of the same

[^165]There was a minute trace of gold present, but no silica.
January, 1920.
(Sd.) A. Scott.
type（Nike）as the coin of Tiraios II．${ }^{1}$ From this time onwards the coins are constantly differentiated by the Mandaean letter placed in the field under the arm of Herakles．This king，like most of the dynasty，is only known from his coins．${ }^{2}$

VIII．Adinerglos or Adinergaos．Base tetradrachms dated AKT （ $=$ A．D．9－10）or $\Delta K T$（A．D．12－13）and $\boldsymbol{T} \wedge \Gamma_{\text {（A．D．21－22）．Much }}$ has been written in the endeavour to distinguish these two coins as belonging to two different kings，the earlier named Abinnerglos， the later Adinnerglos．The two are illustrated on Pl．XLIII． 7 and Pl．LIV．5．The reading on the later coin（ $\wedge \triangle I N N P \Gamma \wedge 0$ ）is certain．${ }^{3}$ The beginning of the name on the British Museum coin， on the other hand，is obliterated．Waddington professed to be able to see at the beginning the right leg of an A；I regret that I can discover no sign of this．The second letter he read（with a confidence which，considering the condition of the coin，is somewhat surprising） as $\mathbf{B}$ ，or rather the lower loop of a $\mathbf{B}$ ，adding＇cette lettre ne peut en aucun cas être un $\Delta$ ，comme on aurait pu le supposer＇．Babelon follows him，saying that the letter has the form $b$＇sans aucun doute possible＇．After a close examination of the original I can see not the slightest foundation for these very positive statements， and feel that but for the natural desire to make the coins square with the form＇Aßє ${ }^{\prime} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho \circ \gamma o s$ ，given by Josephus，${ }^{4}$ no one would have dreamed of reading the name on our coin as anything but A］$\triangle$ INHP「 $\wedge[\mathrm{OY}]$ ．This is not to assert that the real reading cannot be ABINHP「＾OY，which would be showing excessive confidence on the other side．The only sound conclusion，according

[^166]to the evidence available, seems to be that the probabilities are in favour of $[A] \Delta I N H P[\wedge[O Y]$, but that $[A] B I N H P[\wedge[O Y]$ is not excluded, in view of the form which is taken by the second letter, with a triangular lower loop, on many coins of the period.

The testimony of Josephus, indeed, goes to show that his 'Aßє $\quad$ vи́pıros was probably still reigning after A.D. 21-22, in which year the coin of Adinerglos was issued. It is all set forth by Waddington (pp. 92 ff .), who sums up by saying that it is not possible to reconcile it with the evidence of the coins. But that is only because he insists that 'Abinerglos' had already ceased to reign by A.D. 21-22. Josephus says that Izates, son of Monobazos, king of Adiabene, was sent as a young man to Abennerigos, who gave him his daughter Symacho in marriage, and established him as a ruler of a part of his dominions. It appears from other passages of Josephus that Izates died in A.D. 65, aged 55 years: he was therefore born in A.D. 11; he therefore camot have been of marriageable age during the reign of Abemnerigos, if that reign came to an end not later than A.D. 2l-22. But if Abennerigos and Adinerglos are the same person, his reign may have continued even until A.s. 363 ( $=$ A.D. 5l-2), the date of the only known coin of the next king, Theonesios II. We thus have, in the testimony of Josephus, a strong confirmation of the view that the attribution of the two coins in question to two different kings is incorrect.

Two more arguments remain to be met. The first is that the portraits on the two coins are different, the nose of the king on the later coins being more aquiline than on the earlier. But the character of the nose on the later coin appears to have been affected by a flaw in the die, which has produced an excrescence on the bridge. The contour is certainly not pure, as in the even more aquiline nose of Attambelos II on the coin illustrated by Babelon immediately above (Pl. VI. 7). If the excrescence be imagined away, we have a nose exactly like that on the other coin. In any case, we must make an allowance for increasing age.

The other argument, adduced by Babelon (p. 233), is that Pseudo-Lucian (loc. cit.), speaking of a certain king 'Artabazos',
says that he was the seventh king of Characene after Tiraios, and that to obtain this number we must distinguish Abinerglos from Adinerglos. The discovery of the coins of an earlier Attambelos, successor of Tiraios II, disposes of this argument.

A discussion of the native form represented by Adinerglos will be found in Waddington, pp. 96 ff . The $\mathbf{A}$ on the coins of this period is, as a rule, distinctly barred; but this does not prove absolutely that we must read $A \triangle I N H P \Gamma \wedge O Y$ instead of $A \Delta I$ NHPГAOY. ${ }^{1}$ As regards the second letter, it may be suggested that the $\delta$ of the coins and the $\beta$ of other sources were both attempts to represent an indeterminate native sound.
IX. Theonesios II. Bronze tetradrachm dated A.s. 363 (A.D. $51-2$ ); Babelon, Pl. VI. 10 ; here Pl. LIV. 6.
X. Attambelos III. Bronze tetradrachms dated from A.S. 365 (A.D. 53-4) to A.S. 383 (A.D. 71-2). The portraits show the king's growth from a beardless youth to a man of middle age (PI. XLIII. 8-XLIV. 5). ${ }^{2}$ On one specimen of this king's coinage appears a sign or group of signs which M. de Morgan (Num. Chron., 1920, p. 123) interprets as TA, giving the initials of the king's name in Mandaean.
XI. Artabazos and Attambelos IV. The passage of the Nucrobii referring to king Artabazos, whose existence is not vouched for by any coins, has already been quoted. In that passage $\epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon$ is probably a slip for ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$, the writer's point throughout being the age to which these people lived.3 The interesting part of the statement is that the king was for some time an exile in

[^167]Parthia. This might be held to account for the lack of coins bearing his name. But as the writer says that he actually was restored to his throne, we may assume that he might possibly have issued coins.

It is not impossible that Pseudo-Lucian's 'Artabazos' (a common Persian name) is a mistake for Attambelos, ${ }^{1}$ and that the gap of some thirty years between the last coin of Attambelos III (383) and the first of Attambelos IV (412) represents not the reign of an Artabazos, but the exile of Attambelos IV. The first coin of the next king is dated A.s. 421 (A.D. 109-10). If Attambelos IV died at the age of 86 about A.S. 420 , he was born about a.s. 334, and would have been 49 or 50 at the time of the death of Attambelos III, and about 78 at the time of his first issue of coins on his return from exile. An objection, which cannot be ignored, to this theory is that the portrait on the coins of Attambelos IV does not show any signs of great age. But the art of the period is not such as to permit us to lay great stress on this fact; the only sign of age which the coins seem to make use of is the amount of beard which is shown.

The coins of Attambelos IV bear dates from A.S. 412 (A.D. 100-1) to A.S. 416 (A.D. 104-5). A star appears before the face on the obverse.
XII. Theonesios III. The coins of this king on which dates are legible belong to the years A.s. 421 (A.D. 109-10) to 423 (A.D. 111-12). The date on the specimen in the British Museum (no. 2, Pl. XLIV. 8), which Waddington read $\mathrm{Y} \wedge \mathrm{A}$ or $\mathrm{Y} \wedge \Delta$, is so much knocked about that it is impossible to be certain of, and futile to conjecture, its reading. The coins of this king show a palm-branch instead of a star in front of the head.
XIII. Attambelos V. When Trajan descended the Tigris in A.D. 116, he received the submission of Attambelos, King of Mesene

[^168]and Characene. ${ }^{1}$ This king does not seem to be represented by any coinage. Babelon, it is true, suggests that the date YKE (=A.D. 113-14) may perhaps be read on a coin with the portrait of a beardless king (Babelon, PI. VII. 9; here Pl. LIV. 7). It must, however, be observed that the differential sign in the field in front of the leg of Herakles ${ }^{2}$ on the reverse of this coin is exactly the same as that on another coin with a youthful head which is clearly dated $\mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\Omega}$, and which, therefore, belongs to Attambelos III. ${ }^{3}$ This peculiar sign does not, to my knowledge, occur on other coins; its appearance, therefore, on two coins, both of which show a youthful portrait, indicates that both belong to the same king, as indeed the resemblance in the portraits, conventional though they be, would suggest. Babelon's attribution assumes a coincidence that is too remarkable to be accepted without further evidence.

There is more to be said for his further suggestion that one of the countermarks which occur on a number of the earlier coins may indicate this fifth Attambelos. It is found on coins of Theonesios III (see p. 300, no. 2, Pl. XLIV. 8) as well as on earlier pieces (e.g. p. 297, no. 4 of Attambelos III), and is easily to be resolved into ATTAMB.

The coins attributed to 'Orabzes' or 'Obadas' are in fabric and style so much closer to the coins of Meredates, that, in spite of their Characenian types, they may fitly be dealt with in a later section on the sub-Characenian coins.
ARAMAIC SERIES.

The transition to the Aramaic ${ }^{4}$ series is given by two coins (p. 301, P1. XLIV. 9, 10) which are unfortunately in a wretched

[^169]state of preservation. The reverse type is the seated Herakles; one of them also shows the monogram above the god's right arm and a differential letter or letters below it; but the remains of the (perhaps blundered) Greek inscriptions are quite indecipherable. The head-dress on the obverse shows a departure from the usual style of the Greek coins, and an approximation to that of the earliest of the Aramaic.

These Aramaic coins fall into four groups (A-D), three of which are very small, while the fourth is represented by hundreds of specimens and numerous varieties. This difference is doubtless partly due to the fortune of finds. These coins have all been fully studied by E. Drouin. ${ }^{1}$
A. The group represented by the two coins, p. 302, Pl. XLIV. 11, 12.' ${ }^{2}$ Drouin, p. 216 f., nos. 1-4, Pl. V. 1-4. These continue the type of the seated Herakles, with the monogram above, and the Aramaic letter or letters below his r. arm. The inscription on the right can be read without doubt as מלבא (Mulki, king). Scott read the other half of the inseription as Ibilnu or Yabilana; Lenormant as Iabina (in the various forms Iabinu, Ibia'na, Iâbina) or Yaline. Drouin rejects both these, regards the points ${ }^{3}$ at the beginning and end of the inscription as points merely, and suggests Bage'a or Biga'a or Bunaga for the form which has no point after the first letter, and Binugu for the fuller spelling. Much the most plausible reading, however, is de Morgan's 'Ib'inga'i, which he connects with the form Abinerglos (or Abinergaos) discussed above.

[^170]The name Binega is one of the readings that has been proposed, on the basis of a transliteration in Pehlvi letters, in correction of the form Bandū, given by Al-Tabari as the name of a king of Maisan (i. e. Characene) who was conquered by Ardashir I, ${ }^{1}$ about A. D. 224-7. This is more than a century later than the latest dated coinage of the Greek series. The coins supposed to read 'Binaga' are clearly earlier than the other groups B, C, D, from which the Herakles type disappears. So that in any case the Binaga of the coins cannot be the last king of Maisan, who was defeated by Ardashir, ${ }^{2}$ but may be an earlier king of the same name.
B. This group is represented by the three coins, p.303, Pl. XLV.1, 2 (Drouin, p. 228, no. 5, Pl. V. 5). The Herakles type has vanished, but the characteristic monogram remains, placed in front of the undiademed head which now appears as reverse type. There is also another sign, which recalls the Himyaritic type of monogram more than anything else. ${ }^{3}$ Behind the head appear letters; on
${ }^{1}$ Tabari, transl. Zotenberg, ii, p. 72 ; Justi, Lian. Namenbuch, 11. 62, s.v. Band̄̄.
${ }^{2}$ Weissbach's account (in Pauly-Wissowa, iii, p. 2119) of the evidence relating to the latest coinage is in some respects quite gratuitously sceptical and is probably affected lyy his imperfect acquaintance with numismatic method. The reading Binaga is, it must be admitted, far from certain, and Drouin's division of our group D between various kings of the name Artabaz must certainly be rejected; but the connexion of all these groups with Characene has every appearance, to an eye accustomed to numismatic evidence, of being established. Their fabric is slightly flatter than that of the last datable coins (of Theonesios III); but that is not surprising; it is not the kind of difference of fabric which is noticeable in the coins of 'Orabzes', and which indicates that the coins belong to some other district, but merely a natural development owing to degeneration of technique. On the provenance of the coins, which entirely favours the attribution to Characene, see Drouin, p. 363.
${ }^{3}$ As we have seen above (p. liv) on the carlier Himyaritic coinage of the end of the second century B.c., an inscription appears which recalls the forms of the Characenian Aramaic. The curious sign on the coins of Attambelos III mentioned above (p. cci) might possibly have a Himyaritic origin (cp. the form $\boldsymbol{8}$ for $\bar{\Pi})$. There must certainly have been intercourse at all periods between the inhabitants of the 'l'igris delta and the Southern Arabians, whose prosperity, as shown by their coinage, lasted down to the middle of the second century
one，what Dronin describes as a kind of reversed gamma；on another，a letter which may be a $\Delta$ with the bottom bar indistinct．

C．This group is represented by the piece on p．304，Pl．XLV． 3 ； Drouin，p．230，no．6，Pl．V．6．${ }^{1}$ The remains of the inscription
 reverse we have the two monograms in front of the head（which now closely resembles that which we shall find on group D），and an inscription which also in great part anticipates that which we shall find on that group．As regards this inseription it seems fairly certain that the four letters which come last，if we read continuously from right to left and outwardly，are upside down； in other words，these four letters must be read inwardly，and against the clock．${ }^{2}$ The first，third，and fourth letters are，as Drouin has shown， $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{\beth}$ ，and $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ ．The second letter，accordingly， ought，one would suppose，to be ל，making מלכא．But there is no known instance of such a form for $b$ in any other Aramaic script；and it would only need the addition of a base line to make it into a Mandaean shin（ $\Delta \Delta$ ），while without the addition of such a line it is an inverted Aramaic shin．But the word is unexplained，${ }^{3}$ whereas מלבא is what we should expect to find on the coin，${ }^{4}$ although hardly separated in this way from the rest
after Christ．W．K．Loftus discovered a Himyarite gravestone（Hanatasar， son of Esau）at Warka（see his Chaldaea and Susiana，p．223）．Are these suggestions of South Arabian influence to be connected with the conquest of Irak and Anbar by Arabs from Bahrein and South Arabia in the second century of our era？（Tabari，transl．Zotenberg，ii，p．8）．
${ }^{1}$ Drouin has made some confusion here．There may exist another specimen； see the note on p． 304.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{Cp}$ ．Drouin，p．236．These four letters are on many specimens of group $D$ separated from the others by a space．
${ }^{3}$ W．H．Scott read Nコゼ！（Num．Chron．，xviii，p．35）．Allotte de la Fuÿe （Num．Chron．，1920，p．183）inclines to MSBA，and thinks that it may be an invocation of some kind．This seems very unlikely，and there is more in the suggestion made by Mr．H．D．McEwen（in a private communication）that the word conceals a place－name．Mesabatene，which it recalls to him，is however hardly in the right geographical position，if these coins are Characenian．
${ }^{4}$ M．de Morgan（Num．Chron．，1920，p．126）accordingly regards the doubtful letter as a specialized form of $\zeta$ ．
of the inscription. As regards the remainder of the inscription, what is legible corresponds to the latter portion of the inscription which we shall deal with under group $D$; we have, that is to say, ת תאבא (to adopt for the moment Drouin's transliteration). Drouin supplies at the beginning two letters (N) ${ }^{1}$ which he supposes to complete the legend. But on examination it is clear that our coin is a restruck piece which probably bore the types of group B, and the two monograms in front of the head on the reverse possibly belong not to the new but to the old type. If that is so, the space now occupied by the monograms would be available for the beginning of the longer inscription which characterizes group D : and our coin is therefore merely a transitional piece of the king of group D , struck on a coin of his predecessor, and showing his portrait in the older-fashioned head-dress.
D. In this group, represented by the great mass of the coins from the Rich collection, and by a certain number of others which have been described by Drouin, the king is represented on the obverse wearing a tiara or helmet, with one line of inscription behind and two in front; the characteristic monogram, often reduced to a slight shadow of itself, is placed before the bust, low down. On the reverse we have the undiademed bearded head with the hair in six thick rolls, which we have already seen on group C, and the long inscription already mentioned. Outside this inscription, on the right, most well-preserved specimens show a letter or two letters. Apart from these differentiae, it is doubtful whether any distinction is to be drawn between the various coins; Drouin's notion (p. 234) that the heads differ in physiognomy and, above all, in the disposition of the hair, which is to be taken into account in attributing the coins to a number of different rulers, has very properly met with no acceptance. ${ }^{2}$

[^171]For the inscription on the reverse he suggests מאשע (Ma'n) or (if the point which sometimes follows the $;$ is to be taken as a letter) מאעני. The name would then recall king Ma'nu of Edessa, and the Persian Mâni (Manes). The remainder of the inscription (excluding the four last letters, which, as we have seen, probably form a separate word to be read against the clock) he interprets ארתהאבאֹ ארתאבאן or paralleled, and that the letter rather suggests a qoph or samech; but this would give such a 'barbarous' name as Aqtabaz, Astabaz, or Astacuz, whereas Artalaz and Artaban are good Persian forms. The point which frequently occurs after the $\mathcal{I}$ he thinks may be due to an error of the engraver, or may be an ornament (which is highly improbable), rather than indicate such a form as Artabiaz or Artabiun (which would be philologically possible). We may here observe that, since Astavadh is recorded by Tabari as the name of a king of a district on the Persian Gulf conquered by Ardashir, ${ }^{1}$ there is no reason why we should not read the doubtful letter as a samech.

Much more attractive is M. de Morgan's reading. ${ }^{2}$ Taking the point, as in the other coins which he has discussed, to represent yod, and the upright stroke to be zayin, he reads MA'Ga Z'I ATaMbIAZ MaLKA. This reading of the first two words is quite acceptable. The difficulty about the third is in the letters which he reads TM. The M differs remarkably from the form which it assumes in the other words of the same inscription; ${ }^{3}$ and it is sometimes absent altogether, and an A appears in its place. I am inclined to take the two letters for ST. Drouin, as we have seen, has already pointed out that the first resembles a samech, and both he and Allotte de la Fuye (quoted by de Morgan) read the second as T. If, as de Morgan suggests, the name is to be connected with the Greek Attambelos, it is to be observed that the

[^172] lisping sibilant. Possibly the combination of samech with tau was intended to indicate this modification of the dental sound. The Greek form 'A $A \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \lambda$ os is interesting in view of the absence of the II in the Semitic.

The interpretation Ma'ga z'i A(s)tab'iaz Malka, 'Maga son of A $\theta$ abiaos King ', is therefore provisionally adopted in this catalogue. It is obvious, however, that the reading must remain for the present very doubtful. In any case, if Drouin's reading Artabaz be accepted, this Artabaz cannot, as Justi ${ }^{1}$ supposes, be the one mentioned by Pseudo-Lucian as seventh from Tiraios; he is much too late.

There remain the even more puzzling inscriptions on the obverse. That in front of the bust, in two lines, takes a perfectly definite form. Drouin is undoubtedly right, for various reasons, in rejecting Lenormant's reading melek si Mesan. He himself suggests for the first line $V h m n$, for the second Vhtm (occasionally Vhtmn). This may mean either Vuhaman-Vuhtam, 'Bahman the excellent', or Valuman-i-Vahutam, 'Bahman son of Vahatam'. The single line inscription behind the head he reads Nivive (sometimes "טזמ) Tazma or T'azmi, which may be some title equivalent to 'lord'. Lenormant read טעמשׁ טעש טמש, Thumash or Thomash. Drouin himself admits that the second letter may be a rar. It is to be noted that it is occasionally omitted altogether ; on one coin it is replaced by $\boldsymbol{N}($ p. 305, no. 2$)$; occasionally it takes a waved form which suggests 5 .

Such is the present position in regard to the reading of these puzzling coins. It seems undesirable, in the state of our knowledge of the scripts of the neighbourhood, to speculate further.

Drouin has singled out the coin, no. 39, Pl. XLVI. 15, as differing in its legend from the others. He reads the name Dalizar or Walizur: I have little hesitation in regarding it as merely an inaccurately inscribed specimen of the ordinary series.

[^173]The limits of time between which the Aramaic series of Characene must be placed are, on the one hand, the date of Trajan's expedition in A.D. 116, when Attambelos V was on the throne, and, on the other, the conquest of the kingdom by Ardashir I in the reign of Bīnegā, Bandū or Bevda, about A.D. 224-8. The association of the three Kushan coins of Vima Kadphises, Kanishka, and Huvishka, with a hoard of these Aramaic coins is accordingly quite possible.

It is impossible to say who is represented by the undiademed head on these Aramaic coins. ${ }^{1}$ The tendency to see always in the various cases of coins with two heads, which we meet with in Arabia, Mesopotamia, and Persia, portraits of a ruler and his suzerain is probably fallacious, although, as at Edessa, it is impossible to deny that they are sometimes so to be interpreted. One of the two heads is more often, it would seem, an ancestor of the reigning sovereign.

## SUB-CHARACENIAN CLASS.

For the lack of a better name I use this to describe two groups of coins. The first ${ }^{2}$ (Pl. XLVII. 1, 2) are those of a king whose name has been read Obadias, Obadas, Orabazes, or Oralzes; to this name Longpérier has added that of Prataphernes. The coins appear to be dated, but all that is probable is that the letter $\mathbf{Y}$, representing presumably A.s. 400, is present. They do not belong to the ordinary Characenian series; that is clear from the fabric, which is exactly similar to that of the coins of Meredates (see below). The way in which a small die is used for the obverse, leaving a wide margin outside the border, and the scattering of

[^174]the inscription about the field of the reverse, are very characteristic of both these and the coins of Meredates. The types are, however, borrowed from the ordinary Characenian coins with Greek inscriptions. ${ }^{1}$

As to the reading of the inscription I can make no further suggestion. The form $\mathbf{P}$ which has been noticed, instead of $\mathbf{P}$ or $\mathbf{b}$, is probably a mere blunder of the engraver. ${ }^{2}$

The second group of coins which may be called Sub-Characenian (Pl. XLVII. 3-14, Pl. LIV. 8, 9) bear the name of King Meredates and are, as already remarked, very similar in fabric to those of the group just described. They are usually attributed to the Omani, a tribe which Pliny ${ }^{3}$ describes as once occupying territory between Petra and Charax, with large cities; in his time, however, it was desert.

The attribution, which is due to A. de Longpérier, ${ }^{4}$ rests on very insufficient evidence, viz. on the reading OMANO $\mathbf{O} \wedge \wedge$ (arranged VIФO OMAN ) on the reverse of the coins of Meredates. A study of the descriptions on pp. 311-13 of the present Catalogue and of the two reverses from the Paris Cabinet illustrated in Pl. LIV. 8, 9, will

[^175]show that the reading is very obscure ${ }^{1}$; the letters VIゆO are clear, but of the crucial part of the word only the $M$ is certain; the letter before it may be $\mathbf{O}$, that which follows may be $\Lambda$ or $\mathbf{A}$, and the next letter may be $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ or $\mathbf{K}$ or $\mathbf{N} .^{2}$ Even granted that Longpérier's reading of the letters is correct, it still seems doubtful whether his interpretation is sound. He supposes that the coins were issued not by a prince allied to the Omani, but by the Omani themselves in honour of their master, perhaps their conqueror.

Pliny, who alone mentions these Omani, died, as Longpérier remarks, sixty-three years before the coins of Meredates, which bear the date $V N \Delta=A . D$. 142-3, were issued. Even in the writer's time the Omani had ceased to inhabit the country between Petra and Charax, and Pliny does not say what had become of them. What ground therefore is there for assuming that these coins were issued by them, or by a king who ruled over them ? Considering the great uncertainty of the reading, it is better to leave such conjectures alone, and confine ourselves to what the coins tell us.

They come from the neighbourhood of Basrah. ${ }^{3}$ Some of them are restruck coins of the Characenian series (e.g. no. 11, P1. XLVII. 11). In fabric and style they are close to the other sub-Characenian class described above. We can therefore have no hesitation in placing them somewhere in the neighbourhood of Characene. They are all dated VND, which, if it is reckoned by the same era as the Characenian coins, i.e. the Seleucid, gives A. D. 142-3. This dating is borne out by the style of the head-dress, which is of the fashion which was dominant about that time. ${ }^{\neq}$The inscription is confused to a degree which is only surpassed on the coins of

[^176]'Orabzes'. The words $M \in P \in \triangle A T B A C I \Lambda \in V C$ are certain. In the disposition adopted by Longpérier the letters BABACI^, which begin in front of the forehead of the City-goddess and curve over her head, reading outwardly, are read separately from the letters VIФO in front of her face. BABACI^ is interpreted by Longpérier $B \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \dot{s} B \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$. This is in tantologous addition to the title $B \alpha \sigma l \lambda \epsilon$ ús, which is read on the portion of the coin behind the head. The letters VIФO were by earlier writers combined with BA, to make the name Viphoba, supposed to be a queen $[\mathrm{BACI} \wedge(\iota \sigma \sigma \alpha)]$, and to be represented by the turreted head. Longpérier very properly dismisses this interpretation. For the boustrophedon arrangement involved by his own combination OMANO $\boldsymbol{O}$ I^(os) he gives no parallel. It is true that in the exergue of some Parthian tetradrachms ${ }^{1}$ the date reads outwardly and part of the king's title inwardly, but these two elements are not consecutive. Still, if the interpretation were more plausible, the irregularity of the arrangement would not be a bar to acceptance.

A fatal objection to Longpérier's solution is the already mentioned fact that the title $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u$ 's is duplicated by the interpretation of $\beta \alpha$. as $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon$ ús. As the letters always seem to read continuously VIФОBABACI^, we are bound to seek an interpretation of them accordingly, and thus the reading vi[ $\mathrm{o} s]$ Фó $\beta \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda[\epsilon \epsilon \omega s]$ suggests itself. ${ }^{2}$ Whether Meredates was king of the Omani (who as we have seen, according to the correct interpretation of Pliny, no longer inhabited their old territory) or of some other people, depends on the doubtful remainder of the inscription. Von Gutschmid, who misinterprets Pliny's past tense incoluere in the same way as Longpérier, says that these Omani can only be immigrants from Oman, and are probably identical with the race el-Azd which, according to Arab tradition, partly remained at home, partly moved

[^177]with the great South Arabian migration northwards past Bahrein, and finally settled in Anbar and Hira. It is of course mere theory-spinning to base such an identification on apparent similarity in names, although there is nothing improbable in the theory itself, as we have seen other traces of South Arabian influence in the neighbourhood of Charax.


## ERRATA

P. 69. For 'Yehuqbidh' read 'Yehuqbid '.
P. 72, no. 7. For 'PI. XI. 5' read ' Pl. XI. 15'.
P. 158, no. 71. Add reference to Pl. XXV. 20.
P. 194, no. 2, obv. For ' (ורתור (וריור ?) ' (ור ' read) .

P. 198, nos. 1, 2. For 'פרתרכא' 'פרתראבא' read '
P. 225, heading. For 'Artakh - - ' read 'Artaḥ - - '.
P. 229, heading. For 'Vahukh - ? ' read 'Vahuḥ - - ?'.
P. 240, heading. For 'Artakhshat' read 'Artaḥshat'.
P. 244, heading. For 'Artakhshatr' read 'Artahshatr'.

Pl. XXIII. For ' 1 -3 BABYLON. $4-22$ SELEUCIA. 23 ATUSIA' read ' $1-2$ BABYLON. $3-21$ SELEUCIA. 22 ATUSIA'.

Pl. XXXI. For ' $1-11$ AUTOPHRADATES II. 12-21' read ' $1-11$ DARIUS. 12-21 AUTOPHRADATES II '.

## ADDENDUM

Pp. cciv ff. M. Lidzbarski's article on the Mandaean inscriptions of the coins of Characene (Z.f. N. xxxiii, 1921, pp. 82-96) reaches me as these pages go to press. On group A he reads Ibignai malk $\bar{\alpha}$. On group D, obverse, he reads behind the head Time, for Greek Tıpaios; in front of the head ששקו// i.e. 'shekel' and some name of a foreign coin equivalent to the shekel. On the reverse he and Andreas interpret 'Mānī the appointed of Mithrā', the latter word having the form מהרא (the four letters behind the head, reading against the clock). The British Museum specimens were not known to the writer except through Drouin's illustrations.

## KEY TO PLATES XLVIII-LV

## PLATE NLVIII.



| No. | Class. | Collection. |  |  | See Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. | Bostra. | Princeton | - . | . | . xxvii |
| 15. | " | Paris | . . |  | - xxviii |
| 16. | " | " • | - - |  | - " |
| 17. | Eloda. | Berlin . | . . |  | - xxxii |
| 18. | Gerasa. | ? | . . |  | . xxxiy |
| 19. | " | ? | . . |  | " |
| 20. | Philadelphia. | Paris | . . | . | - xl |
| 21. | Petra. | ? | - . |  | - xxxriii |
|  |  | PLATE L. |  |  |  |
| 1. | Himyarite. | Paris | . . | . | li |
| 2. | ,, | , . | . . | . | 1xii |
| 3. | ". | " | - - |  | - 1xii u. |
| 4. | Arabian. | M. J. de Mo | rgan |  | - lxxxyi |
| 5. | Minaean. | Aberdeen | . . |  | - 1xxxii |
| 6. | Carrhae. | Dr. Bernlar | d |  | - xci |
| 7. | " | " | - . |  | - " |
| 8. | Edessa. | Paris | . . | - | - xcriii |
| 9. | " | , - | - . | - | - c |
| 10. | , | ", | . ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | - | ci |
| 11. | " | , . | . $\cdot$ | . | " |
| 12. | " | , . | - . | . | - , |
| 13. | , | . ${ }^{\text {, }}$ | - . | - | - ${ }^{\text {, }}$ |
| 14. | - | " | - - | . | , |
| 15. | " | Cambridge | (Leake) |  | ciii n . |
| 16. | " | Paris | . | - | - cvii |
| 17. | " | ", | - - |  | - " |
| 18. | Rhesaena. | Glasgow (H | unter) |  | - cxii |

## PLATE LI.

1. Double daric.
2. 
3. 
4. ,"
$5 . \quad$,
5. Daric.
6. 
7. 
8. Silver lion-coin.

Paris . . . . . cxlrii
". . . . .
Mr. E. T. Newell . . . ,"
Berlin (Imhoof) . . . ?
Paris . . . . . ",
Mr. E. T. Newell . . . ,
New York (Ward) . .
Major V. E. Mocatta . . "


## PLATE LIII.

| 1. Persis. | Col. Biddulph | . | . | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| clxxii n., |  |  |  |  |
| clxxiv 1. |  |  |  |  |



PLATE LIV.


PLATE LV.

| 1. | Himyarite | Page 65 | No. 16 a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | North Arabian | lxxxv, 78 | 3 |
| 3. | , | " 78 | 4 |
| 4. | " | , 78 | 7 |
| 5. | ," | ,, 78 | 8 |
| 6. | " | , 79 | 10 |
| 7. | " | ,, 79 | 19 |
| 8. | " | ,, 79 | 20 |
| 9. | " | ,, 80 | 24 |
| 10. | Characene, Attambelos I | cxeviii, 291 | 1 |
| 11. | ," ", | " 291 | 2 |
| 12. | ,, , | , 291 | 3 |
| 13. | ", " | ," 292 | 4 |
| 14. | , " | ,, 292 | 5 |



## KINGS OF NABATAEA



1. 1908. L. Hamburger. Dussaud, J. As., 1904, p. 305, no. 1. 2. Dussaud, ibid., no. 2. De Saulcy, Ann. Soc.fr. de Ňum., iv, p. 12. 3. 190s. L. Hamburger. Dussaud, ibid.. no. 3.

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Metal. } \\ \text { Size. } \\ \text { Axis. } \end{gathered}$ | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 93.9 \\ & 6.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. } 8$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \cdots-\mid \text { APETOV } \mid[\Phi \mid \wedge] E \\ & \text { ААHNOE; [A]P } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{array}{r} 110.2 \\ 7.11 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{E}} .75$ |  | $\begin{gathered} {[B A / C I \wedge-1 \text { APETOV }} \\ {[\Phi \mid\rceil \wedge \text { E^AHN-; }[A] P} \\ \text { PI. I. } 4 . \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \cdot 8 \\ & 5 \cdot 6: \end{aligned}$ | $\text { A. }{ }^{1} .8$ |  | B]ACIへE - APETOV \| $\Phi I \wedge E \wedge \wedge H N$ - : $[A P]$ |

4. 1905. Spink. Same rev. die as two Paris specimens Dussaud, ibid.. no. 3). 5. 1839. Millingen. Ann. Soc. fr. de Num., loc. cit., no. 2. Dussaud, op. cit., p. -206; no. 4. 6. 1908. Hamburger. Dussaud, ihid.

1. 1881. Clermont Ganneau. De Saulcy, Ann. Soc. fr., iv, p. 32, Pl. I. 1. Dussand, loc. cit.. 1. 210 , no. 8, PI. I. 6. Formerly worn as a pendant by a woman of Kerak.

1. 1898. Presented by Mr. Barclay V. Head. Dussaud, op. cit., p. 212, no. 9, Pl. I. 7. From Gaza. 2. 1899. W. T. Ready. Dussaud, op. cit., p. 214, no. 14, Pl. I. 11. 3. 1876. Lient. Herbert Kitchener. Pierced. Dussaud. ibid., no. 15, Pl. I. 12.

| N $n$ ． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． | Date． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ARETAS IV PHILOPATRIS． <br> חרתת מקך נבטו רחם עמה <br> （Aretas，king of Nabataea，lover of his people）． $9 \text { в. с. } \cdots 40 \text { A. D. }$ <br> I．In the name of Aretas and Huldu． （years 1－16？） <br> חלדו מלכת נבטו <br> （Huldu，yueen of Nabataea）． <br> Silver． <br> Bust of Aretas r．with Bust of Huldu r．．draped long hair，laureate；inscr． on r．upwards，on l．down－ and veiled，wearing orna－ ment on top of head；iuscr． wards ；border of lots． on r．upwards，on I．date downwards ；botder of dots． |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 69.4 \\ & 4 \cdot 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} A & \cdot 6.5 \\ \hat{\imath} \end{array}$ |  | 3 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \cdot 6 \\ & 4 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 65$ |  | 4 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 5 \\ & 4 \cdot 0: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} .65$ | on r．Y）コクガค <br>  <br> on r．пуノд9чля <br> on 1．ふんク」ズル <br> H（77）on r．，in field． <br> Pl．I． 11. | 16 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ |  | ？ |

1．1908．L．Hamburger．Dussaud，p．217，no．21．Apparently re－struck on another $\begin{array}{lcl}\text { coin．2．Dussaud，p．217，no．22．} & \text { 3．1839．Millingen．Dussaud，1．225，no．} 38 . \\ \text { Re－struck？} & \text { 4．} 1909 . & \text { Barclay V．Head．}\end{array}$ Re－struck？4．1909．Barclay V．Head．

| N\％． | W\％． | Metal． <br> size． <br> Axis． | Olivere． | Date． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bronze． <br> Bust of Aretas r．，with Bust of Hullur，latureate， long hair，weariug laurel－ draped，weariug veil：inscr． wreath and ornament on top of heall ；inser．on $r$ ． upwards，7ไม תภา downwards（Huldu，de．， ックロ <br>  （Aretas．\＆c．，obol of silver）． |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 173.8 \\ & 11.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 95$ |  | 10 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 159 \cdot 0 \\ & 11(\cdot 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 9$ |  on l．，at on no． 5 ，euds five letters ohliterated． DDY on I．＂・ークリビ in countermark． | ， |
|  |  |  | II．In the name of Aretas and Shagilath I． <br> שקילת מלבת נבטו <br> （Shagilath，queen of Nabataea） <br> Base Silver． <br> Bust of Aretas r．，with long Busts draped，jugate r．of hair and moustache（？），Aretas（with long hair， laureate，draped；inscr on laureate）and Shaqilath r．upwards，on l．down－（wearing ornament on top wards；border of dots of head）；inscr．on r．up－ （usually off the flan）． wards，on l．downwards； border of dots（usually off the tlan）． |  |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 65.4 \\ & 4.24 \end{aligned}$ | Ai ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | 20 |

5．1908．L．Hamburger．Dussaud．p．221，no．33，second specimen．

8. 1839. Millingen. Dussaud, p. 227, no. 42 (date read as 30). 9. 1901.
W. T. Ready. Dussand. p. 299, no. 18.
10. 1906. Parkes Weber Gift.
11. 1908.
L. Hamburger. Dussaud. p. 229, no. 47. 12. 190s. Hamburger. Dussaud, p. 295, no. 39 (wrongly described as silyer). The fabric of the coin is that of the silver issmes, and it may once have been silvered.
13. 1902. Noury Bey. Dnssaul, p. 226, no. 40.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Ohwerse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bronze． <br> Busts of Aretas and Shaqilath Two cornuacopiae crossed r．，jugate：the king is lau－and filleted；between them， reate，with long hair（and above in two lines，and be－ moustache？），the queen draped：low in one，inscr． both wear ornaments on top of nif <br>  1．，letter $\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{1}$ ：border of dots．border of dots． |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \cdot 2 \\ & 4 \cdot 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .75$ | letters in field obliteraterl． |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 70.0 \\ & 4.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ | Pl．II． 1. |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 09 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ |  |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 1 \\ & f \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ | Pl．II． 2. |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 59.0 \\ & 3.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{E} \cdot 7}$ |  |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.0 \\ & 3 \cdot 69 \end{aligned}$ | E 7 | ［no rev．；impression incuse of previously struck coin］ <br> Pl．II． 3. |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\uparrow}^{.75}$ | Pl．II． 4. |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\hat{\uparrow}}{\mathrm{E}} .$ |  |
| $\underline{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.8 \\ & 4.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } \quad .75$ | above heads，traces of カノル（ロフッ） $\text { Pl. II. } 5 .$ |

${ }^{1}$ So Dussaud，p． 229 ，no． 49 ；but on all the specimens here catalogued the letter is either off the flan or not visible on it．

14－19．See Dussaud，p．229，no． 49.
Presented by the Rev．Greville Chester．

14．190s．L．Hamburger．
16． 1867.
17．1824．R．Payne Kniglıt Bequest． Ňum．Vet．，p． 167 （B），7．18，19．190s．L．Hamburger．20．1905．Spink．21． 1913. W．S．Lincoln．Twice pierced．

22． 1908.
L．Hamburger．Dussand，loc．cit．

23. 182t. R. Payne Knight Bequest, Ňum. Vet., p. 167 B), 6. Dussaud, p. 220, no. 30. Same rev. die as Dussaud, no. 23, Pl. II. 6, therefore of year 4. 24. 190s. L. Hamburger. Dussaul, p. 219, no. 27. 25. 190s. L. Hamburger. Dus-aud. p. 2:1, no. 31 (second specimen.
27. 190 s .
L. Hamburger. See Dussaud, p. 230, no. 53.


2S-35. 1908. L. Hamburger.
28-9. See Dussaud, 1. 230. 110. 53.
30, 31. See Dussaud, p. 231. no. 54.

| No. | Wt. | Iletal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. | Date. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 8 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | MALICHUS II and SHAQILATH II. $\text { c. A. D. } 40-71 .$ <br> מלכו מלכז מלך נבטי <br> (Malichus the king. king of Nabataea). <br> שׁקילת צהחתה מלכת נבשו <br> (Shaqitath, his sister, queen of Nabataea). <br> Base Silver. <br> Bust of Malichus r., with Bust of Shaqilath r., long hair, laureate; inser. draped, veiled; inscr. on on r. upwards, on l. down- r. upwards, on l. downwards ; border of dots. wards : border of dots. <br> on r . inscr. off the than. <br> on r. HoNse on l. \$NIFIO on l. vorn Pl. II. 13. | 9 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \cdot 1 \\ & 2 \cdot 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\hat{\uparrow}}$ |  | ? |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.4 \\ & 2.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{K}$ | on r. aming oiv <br> on l. axis <br> on $r$. inser. off the flan. <br> on 1. <br> Pl. II. 15. <br> Bronze. <br> Busts jugate r. of Malichus Two cornuacopiae crossed (laureate) and shaiflath, and filleted; between draped: details obscure: them, above in two lines borler of dots. and below in one, inscr. $\begin{aligned} & \text { נjנ } \\ & \text { sst } \\ & n j \end{aligned}$ boriler of dots. | \% |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \cdot 3 \\ & 2 \cdot 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | Pl. II. 16. |  |
| ј | $\begin{aligned} & 38.9 \\ & 2 \cdot 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{.65}$ | Pl. II. 17. |  |

1. 1838. Matthew Young. Dussaud, p. 232, no. 58.
1. 1850. Najor Henry Rawlinson. Dussaud, p. 233, no. 61. 3. 18.50. Major Henry Rawlinson. Dussand.
P. 233, no. 62.
1. 1881. Clermont Ganneau. Dussaud, p. 234, no. 64.
1. 1908. 

L. Hamburger. Dussaud, ibid.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． size． Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． | Date． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RABBEL II． <br> c．A．D．71－106． <br> רבאל מלכא מלך נבטו <br> （Rabbel the king，king of Nabataea）． <br> 1．With his mother Shaqilath II． No coins in British Museum． <br> 1I．With his sister and queen Gamilatl <br> גמלת אהתה מלכת נבטו <br> （Gamilath，his sister．queen of Nabataea）． <br> Silver． <br> Bust of Rablel r．，lau－Bust of Gamilath r．，veiler reate，with long hair：and draped；inser．on r． inscr．on r．and 1 ． andl． |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 4 \\ & 3.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\pi_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | inscr．on r．off the flan ；inscr．on r．，upwards， on l．．downwards．ontemen $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \int \pi \\ & a \nabla\end{aligned}\right.$ Pl．II． 18. | \％ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 49 \end{aligned}$ | Ai ．j <br> （base） | on r．，upwards，mojsbloi on r．off the flan；on 1. on 1．inscr．and date off १ロリノノアsic the flan：border of dots． <br> Pl．II． 19. | ？ |

1．1918．Glendining Sale 19．ix．1918．lot 119.
2． 1832 ．
H．P．Borrell．

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Ohwerse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.4 \\ & 3.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\overbrace{\uparrow}^{.75}$ | Bronze. <br> Busts 1. jugate of Rabbel Two cornuacopiate crossed and (with long hair. laureate, filleted: between them. in wearing ornament on top of two lines, inscr. head) and Gamilatl: [border J6ر of dots]. <br> border of dots. <br> Pl. II. 20. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 8 \\ & 3 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\overbrace{1} \cdot 6$ |  |
| 5 | $46 \cdot 8$ 3.03 | $A^{.65}$ |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 0 \\ & 2 \cdot 53 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |
| 7 | $34 \cdot 3$ 2.22 | A $\overbrace{}^{.65}$ |  |

3-6. 1905. L. Hamburger. Dussaud, p. 237, no. 78. 7. Dussaud, ibid.

## ARABIA ${ }^{1}$

| No. | Wt. | Metal. size. Axis | Obverve. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coins issued for the province struck a <br> Hadr <br> Bust of Hadrian r., laureate, undraped; around, beginning below, inscr. :- | without mint-name: perhaps Bostra. <br> rian. <br> Bust of Arabia to front, hearl r., wearing turreted crown and mantle blown out by the wind: in each arm she holds small seated figure of a child (?) ; below, inscr. :- |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 125.9 \\ 5.16 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\text { E } 9}$ | AV[T]OKPATWPKAICA PTPAIANOCAD[PIANO CC --- | APABIA <br> Pl. III. 1. |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 111.3 \\ 7 \cdot 21 \end{array}$ |  | AVT OKPATW PKAICA PTPAIANOCA $\triangle$ PIANOC C-- | " |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 123.5 \\ 8.00 \end{array}$ | A 75 | AVTOKPATKAICA[PTP AIANOCA $\triangle$ PIANOCC---] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{APAB}[\mid \mathrm{A}] \\ & \text { Pl. III. } 2 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \cdot 0 \\ & 5 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ . } 8$ | [AVTOKPATKAICAPT] PAIANOCA $\triangle$ PIANOCC | --- |
| 5 | $\begin{array}{r} 119.2 \\ \gamma . \% 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } .9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | ... APTPAIAN[OC A [ $\triangle \mathbf{P}$ IANOCCEBAC - - | $\begin{gathered} {[A P A B] \mid A} \\ \text { Pl. III. } 3 . \end{gathered}$ |
| ${ }_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.2 \\ & 5.2 .2 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\mathrm{E}^{.} .85}$ | AVT[OKPAT]KAICAPT PAIANOCA $\triangle$.-. | [ $A P] A B[1 A$ |

${ }^{1}$ All coins, unless otherwise described, have a border of dots on both sides.

1. 1905. Spink. Same olvv, die as Mionnet V, 5i7. 1. 2. 1908. L. Hambarger. Same olvv. die as no. 1.
1. 1832. H. P. Borrell. De Saulcy, Terre Sainte. p. 362, Hadr. no. 1. 4. 1908. L. Hamburger. Same olv. die as no. 3? 5. 1908. L. Hamburger. 6. 1908. L. Hamburger.

1. 18:2. Edward Wigan. Catal. Rollin and Feuardent, 1s64, 7641. De Saulcy, Terre Sainte. p. 376. 2. 1S7T. Bank of England Cift.


1, 2. 190s. L. Hamburger, Liex. Num., 1911. p. So, note... Morey, Bostra, no. 2. 3. 190s. L. Hamburger.

4. 1908. L. Hamburger: Morey, Bostra, no. 5.
5. 1877. Bank of England Gift. Morey, Bostra, no. 4 , fig. 4 7, 8. 190s. L. Hamburger.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 68.8 \\ & 4 \cdot 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\downarrow} \cdot 7$ | $[\boldsymbol{\theta}] \in A-\Phi A \vee 1 ., \cdots r$ <br> XV．T on I．，downwards； <br>  <br> （barbarous style） <br> Pl．III． 13. <br> Marcus Aureitus Caesar． <br> Bust of Marcus r．，bare－Bust of City－goddess r．，tur－ headed，wearing paludamen－reted and drapell：arnund， tum and cuirass ：around，be－beginning on l．，inscr．：－ ginning on 1．，inscr．：－ |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 36.9 \\ & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ | . | AYPHヘ1OC I．，KAICAP r．TYXNEA I．，TPAIBO${ }^{\circ}$ r． Pl．III． 14. |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 33.0 \\ & 2.1 .1 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 65$ | AYPHへIO［C］I． <br> TYXNEA I．， K ${ }^{2}$ IICAP ． |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.9 .9 \\ & \hline 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | Commonus Caesar． <br> Bust of Commodus r．，youthful，Bust of Zeus Ammon r．， bareheaded，wearing paluda－draped，with ram＇s horu and mentum and cuirass；around．disk on top of head：around， beginning on 1．，inser．$\triangle A \vee P$ beginning on 1．，inser．BOC I．， KOM［KA ？］on 1．，ICAVTV TPWN r． $10 r_{\text {．．}} \in B$ on $r$ ． <br> PI．III． 15. |
|  |  |  | Commodus Augustus． <br> Bust of Commodus r．，bearded，｜Camel walking r．：around， laureate，undraperl：around，beginning on l．，inser．：－ beginning on 1．，inscr．：－ |
| 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 102.9 \\ 6.67 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .8$ | AVKOMOA．  <br> ANTWNINO $r$. $[N \in T] P B O C T$ 1．．PA r． <br>  Pl．III．16． |

9．190ヶ．L．Hamburger．10．190s．L．Hamburger．Morey，Bostra，un．11，fig．10． 11．1905．L Hamburger．Same oliv．die as no．10．12．1839．Millingen．Ile Sauley， p．363．no． 4 ［reads A．AYP．KOM．．．M．AYP．YIOY•CE or＾•AYP•KAIC IC． for K？）ANT•YIO：［EB］．Inrey．Bostra，no．13，fig．1上［reads $\triangle A Y P K O M O \Delta$ ．． ．ICAYTYIOrEY（？）］．13．190s．L．Hamburger．Morey．Bustro，no．15．Same dies as Paris sperimen Mionnet V，5so．12；Morey，fig．13）．

14. 1908. L. Hamburger.
15. 1918. Glendining Sale, 19, ix, 1918, lot. 119.
16. 1841. Sir R. Aljdy, Sale Catal., lot 369. Morey, Bostra, no. 17, fig. 14. Botra, 17. 19. fig. 15.
L. Hamburger. 18. 1906. Dr. F. Parkes Weber Gift. Morey, Bostra, mo 19, fig. 15.

Same obv. die as no. 17.

| No. | Wr. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nlagabalus. |  |
| 19 | $\begin{array}{r} 139.3 \\ 9 \cdot(13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Bust of Elagabalus r., radiate. undraped: around, beginning or 1., inser AVKE - 1., AHTWNIN\% $\%$ <br> Pl. I | Bust of City-goddess r., turreted and draped, with cornucopiae over r. shoulder (?); around, beginning on l.. inscr. - XHI.. BOCTPGE r . <br> IV. 2. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 73.9 \\ & 4.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .75$ | Bust of Elagabalus r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass; around, beginning on l., inscr. AVTOKECAPAN TUNINOC | Temple showing two columns and pediment (but no architrave; ; within, Zeus-Ammon ? wearing short chiton, standing l., cruciform ornament on his head; he rests l. on sceptre or spear and holds phiale in $r$.: at his feet, ram (?) looking up: inscr. BOC on l. upwards, TPH ou r. downwards, NWN in ex. <br> IV. 3. |

## COLONIA BOSTRA.

Severus Alexander.
21 229.1 E 1.25 Bust of Severus Alexander r., Founder ploughing r. with $14 \cdot 85 \quad \downarrow \quad$ laureate, clothed (\%) around, beginning on l., IMPCAES MAVRSEV 1, A LE]XAN DERAV: r. yoke of humped cattle, his r. raised ; above, baetyl on a square altar approached by steps ; inscr, around, begiuning on l., N.TR•A LEXA ND:GAtA: : and in ex. COL•BOSTR

Pl. IV. 4.

19. De Saulcy, p. 367, no. 3. Perced. The cornucopiae is not certain: what appears to be its head may be the $\mathbf{Y}$ of $\mathbf{T} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X H}$. Cp. Morey, Bostra, no. 20, under Caracalla (laur. hust). 20. 1872. Edward Wigan. De Sauley, p. 366, no. 1. Morey, Bostra. no. 25, fig. 19. 21. 1900. Reichardt, Sule Catal., 1899, lot 312 . Morey, Bostra, no. 32.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bust of Severus Alexander r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass; around, beginning on l., inscr. :- | Bust of City-goddess I., draped and turreted, with cornucopiae behind shoulder: around, beginning on 1.. inser: :- |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 169.7 \\ & 11.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { स } .9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | IMPCAESMAVRSEV I ALEXANDERAVG r. | COLONIAB 1. OSTRA r. |
| 23 | $\begin{array}{r} 146.9 \\ 9 \cdot 52 \end{array}$ | E. ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | IMPCAESMAVRSEV I. AL[EXANDER]AVGr. | PI. IV. 5. |
| 24 | $\begin{array}{r} 107.9 \\ 6.99 \end{array}$ | E | IMPCAESMAVRSEV I. ALEXA[N DERAVG . | Pl. IV. 6. |
|  |  |  | Similar bust and inscr. :- | Bust of Kens Ammon r., with ram's horn and disk on top of head, ciraped : around, beginning on l., inscr. :- |
| 25 | $\begin{array}{r} 106.7 \\ 6.91 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 8$ | IMPCA ESMAVRSEV 1. ALEXA[NDERAVG] r. | CO[LONIA] 1 . [B OSTRA r. |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\downarrow} .7$ | IMPCAESMAVRSEV 1. ALEXANDERAVG . | COLONIA I. BOSTRA r. Pl. IV. 7. |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 61.5 \\ & 3.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{E} .75 \\ \downarrow \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Similar bust and inscr. :- | Silenus (•Marsyas' of the Forum) r., with wine-skin over shoulder, and r. hand raised : around. beginning on $1 .$, inser :-- |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \cdot 6 \\ & 5 \cdot 09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \cdot 7 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | IMPCAESMAVRSEV I. | COLONIAI. <br> B OSTRA r. |

22. De Saulcy, p. 367, no. 2. 23. 1908. L. Hamburger. Same obv. die as no. 22. 24. 1908. L. Hamburger. 25. 1909. Rollin and Feuardent. 26. 1908. L. Hamburger. Same dies as no. $25 . \quad$ 27. 1908. L Hamburger. Same obv. die as no. 25? 28. 1908. L. Hamburger.

23. 1919. Sir H. Weber Collection from W. T. Ready, 1900. 30. 1839. Millingen. De Sauley, p. 368, no. 5. Same obv. die as no. 29. 31. 1908. L. Hamburger. Same dies as Mionnet V. 583. 29 (de Saulcy, p. 369, no. 5) on which the details are clearer. 32. 1908. L. Hamburger. Same olve. dic as Miomet V, 583. 27.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \quad .9$ |  |  |
| 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 73.6 \\ & 4 . \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \cdot 9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Similar bust and inscr. :- | Bust of \%eus Ammon r., draperl, with ram's horn, and disk on top of head; around, beginning on l., inser. COLO NIA 1, BOSTRA |
| 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \cdot 8 \\ & 5 \cdot 6: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 7$ | IVLIAMAMAEAI. AVGVSTA r. |  |
| 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 78.0 \\ & 5.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } .8 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | IVLIAMAMA[EA 1. [AVGVSTA. |  |
| 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 6+4 \\ & 4 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. } 8$ | IVLIAMAMAEA 1. AVGVSTAr. |  |
| 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 8 \\ & 3 \cdot 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} \cdot 8$ | " |  |
|  |  |  | Philip | Senior. |
| 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 221 \cdot 0 \\ & 14 \cdot 3: \end{aligned}$ |  | Bust of Philip Senior r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass: around, lueginning on l., inscr. (very oloscure) IMPCAESMIVLI.PH | Male bust r., diademed (or laureate?), draped (Dusares:); around, beginning on 1., CO LMETKOPOLISBOSTRA | MMPCAESMIVL I. PH--r.

33. 1839. Millingen. Same obv. die as no. ̈̈2. De Sanlcy. p. 36s, no. .2. 34. 1908. L. Hamburger. Same dies as no. 33. Another specimen from the Hamburger collection (Invent. 1236) is too badly preserved to be catalogued. 35-8. All these seem to be from the same dies, but they are too badly preserved to allow of certainty on this point. Besides these four, four others of the same types have been omitted owing to their bad preservation (ep. de Sauley, p. 368, no. 1) ; a specimen from the Devonshire collection ( 237 = Morey, Bostru, no. 36 , fig. 26 ) is entirely altered by tooling.
1. 1908. L. Hamburger.
1. 1900. Reichardt, Sale Catal., 1899, lot 312. 37. 1908. L. Hamburger. 38. 1841. T. Burgon. De Saulcy, p. 368, no. 1. 39. 1908. L. Hamburget. Horey, Bostru, no. 42, fig. 29.

1. 1863. Eastwood. De Saulcy, p. 36!, no. こ. Morey. Bostra, no. 44. fig. 31. 43. 1900. Reichardt. Sale Catal.. 1899, lot 312.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Rever'se. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Trajan <br> Bust of Decius r., radiate (?), wearing paludamentum and cuirass: around, beginning on l., inscr. :- | Decius. <br> Zeus Ammon and the Citygoddess : on l., Zeus r., with disk and horns on head, wearing short chiton or cuirass and mantle, gives $r$. hand to City-godrless, who stands l., turreted. holding cornucopiae in l.: around, beginning on l.. inscr. :- |
| 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \\ & 13 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { £ } 1.05$ | inscr. illegible: portrait uncertain. | CONCORDIABOSTRENR <br> VM(sic) |
| 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 199.8 \\ & 12.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 1.05$ | $\ldots \text { VSDECIVS }$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CONCORDIAB }--- \\ \text { Pl. IV. } 11 . \end{array}$ |
| 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 192 \cdot 8 \\ & 12 \cdot 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { £ } 1.0$ | Bust of Decius r., laureate, drapery on l.shoulder: around, beginning on l., IMPCMQT RAIANVSDECIVSAVG | Zeus Ammon, standing $1 .$, with disk and horns on liead, ram's horn on temple, wearing cuirass and mantle; l. rests on spear surmounterl by trophy (?), in r. ears of corn (\%): at his feet, small animal (ram ?) : around, heginning on 1.. COLMETPO POL BOSTRON |

Pl. IV. 13.
$47 \begin{array}{rccl}105.2 & \mathrm{E} & .8 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Bust of Decius r., laureate, } \\ 6.82\end{array}\end{array} \uparrow \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { clothed (?): around, beginning }\end{aligned}$ on 1., -... DECIVSAVG

Bust of City-goddess r., turreted and draped: around. beginning on l., COLMETR[0] EBOSTRA
44. 190s. L. Hamburger.
45. 190s. L. Hamburger. Morey, Bostica. no. 50. tig. 37.
46. 1908. L. Hamburger, Fiec. Num., 1911, p. S3. note 6. Morey, Bostre, no. 49. fig. 36.
47. 1908. L. Hamburger. Morey, Bostia, no. 48, tig. $3 \overline{5}$.

| No. | W't | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Trajan Inecius and Bust of Decius r., laureate, and Herennius l., radiate, confronted, each wearing paludamentum and cuirass: arouncl, beginning below, inser.:- | Hfreennius Etruscus. <br> On a raised platform approached by steps, a baetyl (surmounterl by seven flat cake-like objects) between two other baetyls, each surmounted by one similar object ; all in wreath ; around, beginning on l., inscr.:- |
| 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 250.8 \\ & 16.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } 1 \cdot 0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | IMP [MDECIVSETC]VAL EN[SCVINTVSCAI]SAR $\in S$ | ACTIADVSAR [IACOLM ETRB]OSTRE NORVM Pl. IV. 12. |
| 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 218.3 \\ & 14 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Æ } 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | IMPMDECIVSETCVALE NSCVINTVSCAISARES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACTIADV } \ldots . .- \text { OR } \\ & \text { VM } \end{aligned}$ |
| 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 91.7 \\ & 5.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\text { E }} .9$ | Similar busts, but both laureate; around, beginning on l., inser. $\qquad$ QVINTV SCAES | City-goddess standing to front; wears turreted crown, tunic, and mantle; r. rests on spear surmounted by trophy, 1. holds cornucopiae ; l. foot on small crouching figure (?): on i., at her feet, small figure of Marsyas of the Forum 1.: around, beginning on l., inscr. <br>  |

48. 1900. Reichardt, Sule Catal. 1899, lot 312. Morey, Bostra, no. 52. Same dies as Morey, fig. 38 and as the Vienna specimen, Num. Zeit, 1908, p. 130, Taf. VIII. 16 ; same obv. die as Rer. Num., 1911, Pl. III. 10. 49. 1908. L. Hamburger. Same ols. die as no. 48. 50. 18:8. Cureton. De Sanley, p. 371, no. 3. Morey, Bostra, no. 53. fig. 39.

1. 1908. L. Hamburger. Babelon, Rev. Ňum., 1899. p. 275, fig. 1. 2. 1908.
L. Hamburger. Babelon, loc. cit., fig. … 3. 1908. L. Hamburger.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size Axis. | Obrerse. | Reverse. | Date. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bust of Geta r., bareheaded, wearing paludamentum and cuirass : around, beginning on l., inscr. :- | II. <br> TA. <br> Cultus-figure of Hadad to front: he wears kalathos, with horns or a crescent on head; long chiton with over - garment showing parallel horizontal bands: r. rests on sceptre surmounted by eagle, 1. holds Nike who stands l. holding out wreath to him ; at his feet, recumbent bulls on either side; inscr., beginning on 1 . and reading downwards :- |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 196.5 \\ & 12.73 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .95$ | ПоYПСı., ГЕTAC•Kr. | $\triangle \in I H$ I., $N W N$ in ex., HエC r. (upwards) $\text { V. } 15 .$ |  |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 132.9 \\ 8.61 \end{array}$ | $\text { स } \quad .9$ | .. .. | HIC I, $\Delta \in I H N$ in ex., $\omega \mathrm{N}$ r. (up wards) | , |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 181.4 \\ 11 . \% 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} 1 \cdot 0$ |  | $\triangle E I H$ l., $N W N$ in ex., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ r. (upwards) PI. IV. 16. | $\begin{gathered} 270= \\ 207-8 \\ \text { A. D. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 158.9 \\ & 10 \cdot 30 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\uparrow}^{\text {E }} \cdot 9$ | ," , | $\Delta \in I H$ I., $N W N$ in ex., OC r. (downwards) | " |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{EBO}$ <br> No coins in the British Mu | DA. <br> seum. See Introduction. |  |

1. 1814. Devonshire, Sale Catal., I, lot 236. De Sauley, p. 383, no. 2. B. M. C., Galatia, R.c., p. 303, no. 1. 2. 1908. L. Hamburger. Same obv. die as no. J. 3. 1844. Devon-hire, Sale Catal., I, lot 596. De Saulcy, p. 383, no. 3. B. M. C., loc. cit., no. 2. 4. 1908. L. Hamburger. Same obs. die as no. 3.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ESB } \\ \text { (Aurelia } \end{array}$ | BÜS <br> Esbus). |
|  |  |  | Elaga | balus. |
|  |  |  | Bust of Elagabalus r., laureate, wearing paludameutum and cuirass: around, beginning on l., inscr.: - | Zeus, nude to waist, seated 1 ., 1. resting on sceptre, r. holding phiale : around, beginning on I., inscr. :- |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 178.3 \\ & 11.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 95$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[A V] T C M A V[R] A N T O N I} \\ & N V S \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AV - - I., OVC } . \\ \text { Pl. V. } 1 . \end{gathered}$ |



Similar bust and inscr.:-- Within a temple slowing four columns, with central arch and tlat roof to wings, Citygoddess stauding l.; she wears turreted head-dress and short chiton ; r. foot on small tigure (?) ; 1. rests on spear or standard, r. holds uncertain olject (bust of Emperor? ); above wings and in ex., inscr. :A $\quad V$ above
$[\epsilon] C B O V[C]$ in ex.
Pl V. 2.

A V] above ECBOVC in ex.
$\begin{array}{rcc}4 \begin{array}{rl}150.0 & E .95 \\ 9.72\end{array} & \downarrow \mathrm{~S}\end{array}$

5. 1908. L. Hamburger.
6. 1908. L. Hamburger. Same obv. die as no. 5.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | GER | ASA． |
|  |  |  | Hadr | RIAN． |
|  |  |  | Bust of Hadrian r．，laureate ： around，leginning on 1 ．， inscr．：－－ | Bust of Artemis－Tyche 1 ． draped，with top－knot，quiver behind shoulder，and low in front；around，begiuning on l．，inser．：－ |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 154 \cdot 7 \\ & 10 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ | Æ: | A EAMTPA 1. <br> $A \triangle P I A N O C[C \in] r$ ． <br> lust in paludamentum and cuirass． | APTEMICTYXHI EPAC $\omega N$ <br> Pl．V． 4. |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 143.7 \\ 9.31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} E \quad .95 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | $[A B H A T P A]$ ． <br> A $\triangle$ PIANOCCE $:$ <br> bust in palurlamentum anci cuirass． | $\begin{aligned} & A[P T] \in M I C[T Y X H \Gamma] \in P \\ & A C W N \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 88.7 \\ & 5 . \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | AYK［T］PA 1. <br> A $\triangle P I A N O C C=$ r． <br> 寿Iへ below：bust undraped． <br> Pl． | AP T $\in$ MITYXHI． rePAC $\omega$ r． <br> V． 5. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \cdot 4 \\ & 2 \cdot 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. } 6$ | 彦： $\mathrm{A} A \mathrm{KKTPAI} 1$. A $\triangle$ PIANOC $r$ ． <br> bust undraped． | APTETYREPALWN |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \cdot 7 \\ & 2 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 6$ |  A $\triangle$ PIANOC $r$ ． <br> bust．undraped． | ［APTET Y |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.6 \\ & 2.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E }_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | －．PAI 1. <br> $A \triangle P I A N O C$ ． <br> bust undraped． | APTEMITY「EPA <br> Pl．V． 6. |

1．1908．L．Hamburger．2．1900．Reichardt，Sule Catal．，1899，lot 313 ．Same olv．die as no．1．3．1900．Reichardt，ibid．Wroth，Num．Chron．，1900，p．295，no． 33. Pl．XIV．8．Pierced．4．1900．Reichardt，ibid．5．1908．L．Hamburger．Same dies as no．4．6． 1908 ．L Hamburger．


[^178]| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Ohverse．Reverse． | Date． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MEDABA． <br> Caracalla． |  |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 137.8 \\ 8.93 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | Bust of Caracalla r．，lau－ reate，with slight beard， wearing paludamentum and cuirass；around，be－ ginning below on l．，inscr． AVTKMAV ANT［U NINU］ <br> City－goddess，wearing tur－ reted crown and chiton leaving l．leg bare，stand－ ing r．，l．foot ou prow（？）： holds in I．cornucopiae， in r．uncertain object； around，inser．$[M] H \Delta A$ BUN 1．，TVXH⼺⼺ r．； in field l．$\stackrel{P}{\text { C }}$ <br> Pl．V． 7. | $105=$ $210 / 11$ <br> A．D． |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 131.7 \\ 8.53 \end{array}$ | $\text { ※ } 1.0$ | Same bust：inscr．AVT City－gordess，wearing tur－ KMAV ANTUNIN：B reted crown and chiton leaving r．leg bare，stand－ ing l．，r．foot on prow（？）； holds in 1．cornucopiae， in extended r．bust of Emperor（：）：around，inser． <br>  r．；in field l．$P$ ，r．$\in$ Pl．V． 8. | ＂ |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 126.4 \\ 8.19 \end{array}$ | $\AA_{\uparrow} .85$ | Elagabalus． <br> Bust of Elagabalus r．，｜City－goddess，wearing tur－ laureate，wearing palu－reted crown and chitou damentum and cuirass； around，beginning below leaving r．leg bare，stand－ ing l．，r．foot on prow（？）； on 1．，inscr．$\Lambda V T O K \cdot C C$ ． holds in 1．cornucopiae， ヘ・ヘИТОИІИ． in extended r．bust of Emperor；around，inscr． MH $\triangle$ ABUN 1．，TVXH r． $\text { Pl. V. } 9 .$ |  |

1，2．1908．L．Hamburger． 1 is pierced．
3．1908．L．Hamburger．Babelon，Mit． de Num．，III，p． 252 reads the obverse inscription AVTO KECA ANTONINO．

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Oliverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (Hadriana Petr <br> Hadi <br> Bust of Hadrian r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass ; around, beginning below on 1., inscr. :- | TRA <br> tra Metropolis). <br> ditian. <br> City-goddess seated 1 . on rock, wearing turreted crown, veil, long chiton, and mantle; with 1. holds trophy, r. extended open: around, beginning below on 1., inscr. $\Pi \in T$ PAMH T І., POTO^IC r. |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 271.4 \\ 17 \cdot 59 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\text { E } 1 \cdot 1}$ | AYTOKPATWPKA[ICA] PTPAIANOCA $\triangle$ [PIAN]O CCEBACTOC <br> Gorgoneion on breast. |  |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 233 \cdot 6 \\ 1 \cdot \cdot 1 i \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\text { E } 1 \cdot 15}$ | AVVTOKPATWPKAICA PTPAIANOC[A]DPIANO CCEBACTOC <br> Gorgoneion on breast. |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 223.4 \\ & 14.48 \end{aligned}$ | E $1 \cdot 1$ | AVTOKPATWPKAICAP TPAIANOCA $\triangle$ PIANOCC EBACTOC <br> Gorgoneion ou breast. | $\text { v. } 10 .$ |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 195 \cdot 2 \\ & 12 \cdot 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\text { E } 1.0}$ | A VTOKPAT WPKAICA PTPAIANOCA $\triangle$ PIANOC Gorgoneion on lsreast. |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 206.5 \\ & 13.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} \text { Æ } 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{array}\right\|$ | AVTOKPATWPKAICAP TPAIANOCA $\triangle$ PIANOCC EBACTOC |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 168.2 \\ & 10.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | AVTOKPATWPKAICAP TPAIANOCA $\triangle$ P . . - OC |  |

1. 1840 . J. R. Stenart, Sale Cutral., lot :332. De Saulcy, p. 351. 1. 2. 190 s. L. Hamburger. The donble $V$ in AVTOKPATWP, and the onission of the $A$ in $[A] \triangle P I A N O C$ are due to double-striking. 3 3. 1595. Presented ly Lord Grantley.
2. 1908. L. Hamburger.
1. 1S:39. Millingen. De Sauley, ibid.
2. 190 s .
L. Hamburger.

3. 190S. L. Hamburger. 8. 1900. Reichardt, Sale Catal., 1899, lot $311 . \quad$ 9. 1908.
L. Hamburger: 10. 1844. Devonshire, Sale C'atal., I, lot 46. De Sauley, p. 352. 4
(Coll. Wigan )
4. 1900. Reichardt, itid. Same ohv. die as no. $10 . \quad 12,13.1908$.
L. Hamburger.

| No． | Wt． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 178.7 \\ & 11.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Æ } 1 \cdot 1$ | Similar bust：inscr．AYTKA ITAIへA $A$ AANTWNIN －CCEBEYCEB |
|  |  |  | Septimius |
| 15 | $\begin{array}{r} 120.4 \\ 7.80 \end{array}$ | $\text { ※ } 1.0$ | Bust of Septimius Severus r．， laureate，undraped；around， beginning on 1 ．below，inscr． <br> Kへ［TTI［Oษ HPO［T EP［桊帯 |


#### Abstract

City－goddess，wearing turreted crown，veil，long chiton，and mantle，standing l．，holding trophy in l．，sacrificing with phiale in r ．over horned altar； around．beginning below on 1 ．， inser．V $\triangle$ PI＾NHTETP＾ M HTPआПOTOへIC（sic）


Pl．V． 13.

## Septimius Severus．

City－goddess，as on nos． 1 f．， seated l．on rock，holding troplyy in l．．r．hand extended open；around，beginning be－ low on l．．inser．A $\triangle$ PIANH ПЕ 1．．－－TPOTOヘ－－r．

Julia Domina．
98.9 £ 85 Bust of Domna（？）r．，draped： $6.41 \quad \uparrow \quad$ inscr．obliteraterl．

Within a temple showing two columns，City－goddess，seated 1．；she holds in 1．trophy，in extended r．uncertain object （small stele？）；in pediment， ornament or facing bust； inscr．－ $\Pi \in T$ in ex．，－$H$－on r．

$$
\text { Pl. V. } 14 .
$$

## Geta．

17 125．3 E 1.0 Bust of Geta r．，youthful，Within a temple，showing S．12 $\uparrow$ bareheaded，wearing paluda－two columns but no pediment， mentum and cuirass；around，City－goddess seated l．on rock； inscr．ПСЄПТ• I，•ГЄTA• she holds in l．trophy and KA r． extends her r．：inscr．$A \Delta P I$ on 1．downwards，$\Pi \in T P A$ in ex．，MHT on r．upwards． Pl．V． 15.

14．1805．Charles Townley．De Saulcy，p．352．15．1839．Millingen．De Saulcy， p．352．1．Same obv．die as Mionnet V，588． 46 （Paris specimen）．16． 1908.
L Hamburger．17．1872．Edward Wigan．De Saulcy，p．353． 1.


1-4. 1908. L. Hamburger.

5. 6. 190s. L. Hamburger. 7. 1908. L. Hamburger. Pierced. 8. 1908.
L. Hamburger. same ohs. die as no. \%.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Ixic． | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Antonines Pius and Marcus Iurelius <br> Bust of Pius $r$ ，laureate，Bust of Marcus Aurelius wearing paludamentum and Caesar r．，bareheaded，beard－ cuirass；in front，facing lim，less，as Herakles，wearing small helmeted beardless bust lion－skin over shoulders： 1．：inscr．around，beginning inscr．around，beginning on on 1 ：－ 1．：－－ |
| 9 | $\begin{array}{r} 132.8 \\ 8.1 ; 1 \end{array}$ | £ | AY TKAICAP I．．ANTU <br> ФIへADEへ I］ <br> NE r．．INOC below． <br> ФE（1）NKOIへ－r． <br> Pl．VI． 7. |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 132.4 \\ 5.54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \quad .9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Marcus Iurblius． |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 418 \cdot 9 \\ & 2 \pi \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 3$ | Bust of Marcus r．，bareheaded，Car with domed canopy sup－ with slight beard，undraped：ported by four pillars drawn inscr．around，beginning on I，$r$ by four horses：inscr． AVTKAICMAVPANTWN around，beginning on 1．，ФIへK INO－－ 1．OCYPHPAK $\mathcal{E}$ above． ION r．，APMA below． <br> Pl．VI． 8. |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 212.9 \\ 103.30 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | Bust of Marcus r．，bearded，Bust of goddess（Asteria）r．， laureate．draped：inscr．around，draped and veiled，with star AYTKAIC•M• 1．，AYP．AN above heal：inscr．around， TWNE r．，INOC below． ФIへ・KOI．CYPI．I，ӨEAA CTEPIA $\text { Pl. VI. } 9 .$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 157 \cdot 2 \\ & 1(1 \cdot 1!1 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. } 95$ | Bust of Marcus r．，hearded．The Dioscuri，nule，wearing bareheaded，wearing paluda－pilei，standing to front，facing mentum and cuirass $(\because)$ ：inscr．each other，each resting with around，－．AVP I．，AN－－outer hand on spear，other r． hands lowered：inscr．on 1. upwards，$\Phi \mid \wedge$ ： downwards．KK•CYPI |

9．1908．L．Hamburger．10．190s．L．Hamburger．Same obv．die as no． 9.
11．De Sauley，p．390．1．B．M．C．，（ialatia，\＆e．，p．306，no．1．12．1888．Rollin and
Feuardent．B．M．（．．，ibid．，no． 2 13．190s．L．Hamlurger．

| No． | W＇t． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obrerse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $\begin{array}{r} 123.8 \\ 8 \cdot 12 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\uparrow}^{\mathrm{E}} .8$ | Bust of Marcus r．．bearded， laureate，wearing paludamen－ tum and cuirass（ $\%$ ）；inscr． around，AY＂ジ・KAIC•M•AY 1．，P•ANTW ．－r． | Beardless bust of Herakles r．， laureate，wearing lion－skin knotted at neck；inscr． around，beginning on 1．，$\Phi 1$ $\wedge A \triangle \in \wedge \Phi \in \omega N$ KOIへHC CYPIAC |

## Lucus Verus．

$16 \quad 133.9$ 玉 .85 Bust of Verus r．，laureate，Beardless bust of Herakles r．， $8.65 \uparrow$ wearing paludamentum and laureate，wearing lion－skin cuirass：inscr．around，AYT• knotted at neck；inser．around， KAICA•1．，•A＝－－CC r．beginning below on I．，$\Phi[1] \wedge$ A $\triangle \in \wedge \Phi \in W N$ KOIへHCC YPIAC

## Commodus Caesar．

17 140．8 E 1.0 Bust of Commodus r．，bare－Bust of goddess（Asteria）r．， $!\cdot 12 \quad \downarrow$ headed，beardless，wearing veiled and draped，with star paludamentum and cuirass；on top of head；inscr．around，
 MOAOCKAIr．r．，A below．
$18^{\prime} 108.5$ E 7 Similar bust r．：inscr．around，Bust of City－goddess r．，wear－ r．03 $\downarrow$ N．AYP．1．KOMMO $\triangle$ OCK ing turreted crown and drapery leaving 1 ．breast bare： inscr．around，$\Phi I \wedge A \Delta \in \wedge \varnothing$ r．，EWNKC 1.
Pl．VI． 11.
$19 \quad 39.3$ E .55 Similar bust r．：inscr．around，Beardless bust r．，wearing 2．5．5 $\downarrow$ \＆VKAII，AVPK r．crestel helmet：inscr．around，


14，15．1908．L．Hamburger． 17－19．190s．L．Hamburger．

16．1908．L．Hamburgrr．Same rev．die as no． 14.



1. 1909. Lincoln. 2. 1867. Duc de Blacas. De Saulcy, ]. 396, no. 2. 3. 1860. Count de Salis Gift. Same dies as no. 2. 4. 190S. L. Hamburger. Same rev. die as Glasgow specimen (Macdonald, Hunferian Cutal., iii, p. 300. Pl. LXXVIII. 23).

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 253 \cdot 4 \\ & 16 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\hat{\uparrow}} \cdot 1$ | AYTOKKMIOY＾IФIヘIாா ○ ССЄB | фІヘІПாопо＾［IT $\Omega$ N］ 1. ［KO＾ЛNIA Cr． |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} 239 \cdot 0 \\ 15 \cdot 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 15$ $\uparrow$ | AY［TO［KKMI OYヘIФINI <br>  | ФIヘIாாOПOヘIT $\Omega$ N I． $K \circ \wedge \Omega(N \\| A C$ ． |
| 7 | $\begin{array}{r} 275.2 \\ 17.83 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 15 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AYTOкKMIOYヘIゅIへIாா ○ $С$ C $\in B$ | ФІヘIாா二ாロヘIT $\Omega$ N 1. K○ $\wedge$ КNIAC $r$ ． |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 232.2 \\ & 15.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.15$ | ．．．．．， | ФIヘITाாOாOへIT $\Omega$ NKO＾ $\Omega$ NIAC |
|  |  |  | Otacilia | Severa． |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 228.1 \\ & 14 . \% 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{E} 1 \cdot 1}{\uparrow}$ | Bust of Otacilia r．，draped， with crescent behindshoulders： wears stephane；hair waved aud taken up in long plait at back of head；inscr．around， leginning on 1．，MAP $\Omega$ TAK IヘICEOYHPANCEB | Roma seated l．，holding eagle with two figures，as on nos． $4 \mathrm{f} .:$ inser．around，begin－ ning on I．，$\Phi$ । $\ \Pi \Pi$ ПП०へ। T $\Omega$ N l．，K○＾$\Omega$ NIAC r．； in field l．and $r, S$ C <br> I． 17. |
|  |  |  | Philis | J unior． |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 291.4 \\ 18.88 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{E} 1 \cdot 1}{\downarrow}$ | Bust of Philip Junior r．，lau－ reate，beardless，wearing palu－ lamentum and cuirass：inser． around，beginning on l．，AY TOKKMIOYヘIФIヘIППOC CEB | Roma seated l．，holding eagle with two figures，as on nos． 4 f．：inscr．around，begin－ ning on l．，Ф।ヘITПOПOへ। $T \Omega N K O \wedge \Omega$ NIAC ：in field 1．and $r, S$ C |

5．1908．L．Hamburger．Same dies as no．4；the reverse has heen re－struck upside down．6．1805．Townley．Same rev．die as no．4．De Saulcy，p．396．1．7． 1908. L．Hamburger．Same obv．die as no．6．8．1847．Baron Knobelsdorf．Same obv． die as no．6．9．1839．Millingen．De Saulcy，p．397．10．1885．F．Böīeke．


[^179]3. 1908. L. Hamlinger.
4. 1908 . 1899. lot $\lesssim 11$.

## ARABIA FELIX



| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size <br> Axis. | Obverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 81.7 \\ & 5.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \cdot 65 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ | Pl. V |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot \because 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{H i}{\leftarrow}$ |  |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbb{A} \cdot 6 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { न } \cdot 6 \\ \text { base } \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 76.4 \\ & 4.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} A R \cdot 6 \\ b a s e \\ \leftarrow \end{array}\right.$ |  |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 0 \\ & 4 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 i & .65 \\ \text { base } \\ \swarrow \end{array}$ |  |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.7 \\ & 2.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{R} & \cdot 5 \\ \leftarrow\end{array}$ | Hal <br> Head of Athena r., as on units, but on the cheek |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \cdot 8 \\ & 2 .: 3.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{A} & .55 \\ \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | Pl. V |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.8 \\ & 2.25 \end{aligned}$ | R 5 | no type visible: struck from worn die? |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \cdot 8 \\ & 2.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Ai } \quad .5$ |  |

1 The silver in the majority of these halves and smaller denominations seems to be haser than in the units.
6. 1850. Rollin. Found at Marib, Num. Chron., 1878, p. 275, Pl. XIII. 1 ; 1880, Pl. XV. 1. 7. 1871. Presented by Capt. IV. F. Prideaux. From Aden. 8-15. 1901. Ihrahim Salunjie Aden)

16. 1919. Spink. Sir Hermann Weber Collection. 17-23. 1901. Ibrahim Salunjie (Aden).

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Oliverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ( $\beta$ ) I'ith letters or monograms on reverse. <br> Units. <br> Similar head of Athena to Similar owl, with olive-spray, precerling, with $\mathbf{N}$ on cheek. crescent, and $A \odot E$ as on preceding; in field r., letter or monogram; no trace of incuse square. |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 9 \\ & 5 \cdot 37 \end{aligned}$ |  | Pl. VII. 9. |
| $\because 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ |  | " |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $B$ |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | R $\cdot 7$ | Pl. VII. 10. |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 39 \end{aligned}$ |  | $!$ |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 3: 3 \end{aligned}$ | d $\downarrow$ | , |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 1 \\ & 5 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \cdot 65 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | , |
| 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 . \overline{7} \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Pl. VII. 11. " |
| 32 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 0 \\ & 5 \cdot 34 \end{aligned}$ | $A i_{\uparrow} \cdot 65$ | Pl. VII. 12. |
| 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot \end{aligned}$ | $\text { . } 16$ $\downarrow$ | " |
| 34 | $\begin{gathered} 82.2 \\ 5 \cdot 3: 3 \end{gathered}$ |  | " |

24-34. 1901. Ibrahim Salunjie (Aden). 28, 29. From same dies? 30, 31. From same dies. 32. From same rev. die as 30, 31.


35-47. 1901. Ibrahim Salunjie (Aden).
38, 39. From same rev. die.
42. Same dies as Philipsen specimen (Hirsch, Kutcl., xxv, Taf. 33, no. 3079). 44, 45. From same dies. 46,47 . From same dies

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Oliverse. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 4 \\ & 5 \cdot 40 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Pl. VI | I. 17. |
| 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\downarrow} \cdot 65$ |  |  | , |
| 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 0 \\ & 5 \cdot 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{\downarrow} \cdot 65 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | , |
| 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 9 \\ & 5 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{q} ; \text { on } \\ & \text { or olive- } \end{aligned}$ |
| 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A_{\downarrow} \cdot 65 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Pl. VII. 18. |  | ', |
| 53 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 1 \\ & 5 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\downarrow} \cdot{ }^{-7}$ |  |  |  |
| 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot 41 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\downarrow} \cdot 65$ |  |  | HY |
| 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 39 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ', |
| 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 0 \\ & 5 \cdot 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{\downarrow} \cdot 65 \\ \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 1 \\ & 5 \cdot 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 74.8 \\ & 1.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { A } 6.5 \\ \text { base } \\ \downarrow \end{array}\right.$ |  |  | monogr |
| 59 | $\begin{aligned} & 60.3 \\ & \because 3! \end{aligned}$ | A $\cdot 7$ <br> base <br> $\downarrow$ |  |  | - |

48-50. 1901. Ibrahim Salunjie (Aden). 49, 50. From same dies. 51-9. 1901. Ihrahim Salunjie Aden.

5l-3. From same dies. 54-6. From same dies.


60-7. 1901. Ibrahim Salunjie (Aden).
60. This may belong to the series without monograms.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Ohverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \cdot 8 \\ & 1.02 \end{aligned}$ | $A R \quad .45$ |  | monogram obscure. |
| 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \cdot 6 \\ & 1.01 \end{aligned}$ | R 45 |  | .. - |
|  |  |  | ( $\gamma$ ) H'ith male head on (Kataban | obverse, oul on reverse. nian ! ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| 70 | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \cdot 2 \\ & 4 \cdot 55 \end{aligned}$ |  | Beardless male head r., with short curly hair, much obliterated. | Owl standing r., head to front (more erect than on series a and $\beta$ ) ; on r. $\frac{4}{4 \pi}$; on 1 . traces of another monogram. Pl. VII. 24. |

(8) With two heads.
(Katabanian?)
Secoud cent. в.с.

> Thirds (?).

Without mint-name.

| 27.5 | R | .5 | Beardless male hear <br> 1.78 | $\uparrow$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| short curly hair. |  |  |  |  |

## Mint of Harb (בר)

## Pl. VII. 25.

68-70. 1901. Ibrahim Salunjie Aden). 71. 1910. Major Wyndham Wood. From Arabia, Marib side of frontier 72. 1919. Spink. (Sir Hermann Weher Collection.)


Pl. VII. 27.

Pl. VII. 28.
of AøE and HY

## Quarter.



Pl. VII. 29.
73. 1881. N. Mavrocordato. 74. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. V̌m. Chron., 1878, Pl. XIII. $\because \quad$ Two file marks on face.
75. 1901. Ibrahim Salunjit Aden). 76. 1s72. Presented by Capt. W. F. Prideaux. Procured at Aden. Num. Chron., 1s78, p. 275. Pl. XIII. 3; 1880, Pl. XV. 2. Behind the neck on the obv. are visible dots which may indicate the crest of a helmet ; hut the head appears to lu bare.


1. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Num. Chrom., 187s, Pl. XIII. 4. The pellet in crescent may also have been present above the head on the ohverse, but that portion of the border is off the flan.
1. 1895. Rollin and Feuardent. Same obs. die as Num. Chron., 1880, PI. XV. 4.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> size. | Olwere. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \cdot 6 \\ & 5 \% 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1 \cdot 0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | wreatlı shows circle ornament at top and bottom. <br> § (double-struck) <br> gonvis ob HY) <br> 4 below tail of owl. <br> Pl. VIII. 3. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 85.5 \\ & 5.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{A} \underset{\rightarrow}{ } 1 \cdot()$ | \& Şovnर ô $4 \text { below tail of owl. }$ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 83.9 \\ & 5 \cdot 41 \end{aligned}$ | R105 | 4 below tail of owl. |
| $6^{6}$ | $\stackrel{84.8}{5.50}$ | $\text { A: } 1.1$ | \& fonvint $\bar{\sigma}_{E} H Y($ <br> 4 below tail of owl. <br> Pl. VIII. 4. |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 1 \\ & 5 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | $A \div 1 \cdot 1$ | 4\% ¢prush ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ HY\% |
| 8 | $\begin{gathered} 83 \cdot 8 \\ j \cdot f 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{A} ~}{\leftarrow} \stackrel{95}{\leftarrow}$ |  usual) <br> Pl. VIII. 5. |
| 9 | $83 \cdot 4$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\text { Ai } 1.1}$ |  <br> Pl. VIII. 6. |

3. 1881. N. Mavrocorlato. 4. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 5. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Double-struck. 6. 1881. N. Mavrocordato. 7. 1878. Presented by Mr: W. F. Douglas. 8. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Slightly double-struck. 9. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection.


P1. VIII. 10.

For groups $\gamma-\eta$ see lntronluction.
10. 1881. N. Mavrocordato. 11. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 12. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Double-struck on rev. 12 bis. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection.
13. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Donble-struck on both sides. Which may account for the ahbreviated appearance of the inscriptions.

| No | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Ruverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ( $\theta$ ) Monograms $\uparrow$ and TI <br> Unit. <br> Within a wreath, beardless male head I., laureate; hair in long ringlets. <br> Within a border made of handleless amphorae, owl standing $r$. on prostrate amphora with handles; on 1.们 below which small handleless amphora prostrate; on r. TI ; below the large $I$ amphora, $N$ |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \cdot 1 \\ & 5 \cdot 51 \end{aligned}$ |  | Pl. VIII. 11. |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 7 \\ & 5 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\text { R } 1.05}$ | Pl. VIII. 12. |
|  |  |  | (i) Monograms $\boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\circ}}$ and ${ }^{\frac{9}{1}}$ <br> Unit. <br> Within a wreath, beardless male head r., wearing laurelwreath with medallion (pellet-in-crescent) in front; hair in long ringlets. <br> Within a border made of small handleless amphorae, owl standing $r$. on prostrate amphora ; on 1. $\boldsymbol{H}$, on r. ${ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \cdot 0 \\ & 5 \cdot 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Ai } \quad .9$ | PI. IX. 1. |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 76.0 \\ & 2.9 .2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Re 1 \cdot 15 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |

14. 1895. Rollin and Feuardent. Num Chron., 1878, Pl. XIII. 11. Same rev. die as no. 14. Reverse partly double-struck. 17. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Partly double-struck. Broken.

1. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Same dies as a specimen in Dr. O. Codrington's Collection.
1. 187S. Presented by Mr. W. F. Douglas, N゙um. Chron., 1878, p. 279. 5, Pl. XIII. 9. Reverse double-struck. Same dies as no. 18. 20. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Same rev. die as no. 18 ; same obv. die as no. 22. 21. 1910. .J. Hamburger. 22. 1895. Rollin and Fenardent. Same olv. die as no. 20.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Oluverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | （ $\lambda$ ）Monngrams $\square$管 and Unit． |
|  |  | 11.05 | Within a wreatl，beardless Within a border made of han－ male head r．，laureate：bair dlelessamphorae，owlstanding in long ringlets． r．on prostrate handleless am－ phora；on 1．）日，on r． |
| 2. | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot 5 i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot \text { is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { R } 1 \cdot 1 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | Pl．IX． 7. |
| 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot 1 \text { ' } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A 1 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Pl. IX. } 8 .$ |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 24 \end{aligned}$ | R1.05 |  |
|  |  |  | Halves． |
|  |  |  | Within a wreath，beardless Similar to precerling． male head，latureate：hair in long ringlets． |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \cdot 4 \\ & 2.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Ai } 85$ | head tol．$\quad$letails confused by double－ <br> striking． |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \cdot 8 \\ & 2 \cdot \gamma 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { N } 7$ | head to $r$ ： <br> Pl．IX． 9. |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \cdot 2 \\ & \therefore \cdot 6 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { It } \cdot 65 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Pl. IX. } 10 .$ |
| 30 | 39.9 <br> 3.59 | AR $\uparrow^{.75}$ | ＂ |

23，24．1915．Col．W．F．Prideaux Collection．
25．1878．Presented by Mr．W．F． Douglas．Num．Chron．，1880，Pl．XV．6．26．1915．Col．W．F．Prideaux Collection． 27，28．1895．Rollin and Feuardent．No． 28 is from same dies as a specimen in Mr．E．T． Newell＇s Collection． 29，30．1915．Col．W．F．Prideaux Collection．

31. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Ruder work than usual; metal less pure. 32. 1895. Roilin and Feuardent. Struck from worn die; same rev. die as Kubitschek Taf. XIV. 53. 33. 1915. Cwl W. F. Prid\&aux Collection. Same rev. die as preceding. Num. Chron., 157S, Pl. XIII. 14.


34, 35. 1915. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 37. 187s. Presented by Mr. W. F. Douglas.
36. 1895. Rollin and Feuardent. 38. 1915. W. F. Irideaux Collection.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \cdot 6 \\ & 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ | $1: 1 \cdot 1$ | Pl. x. 6. |
|  |  |  | Halves. |
|  |  |  | Similar to precerling, but\|Similar type to preceding:wreath without medallion (?) |
| 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \cdot 2 \\ & \therefore \cdot 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { R } \quad .8$ |  |
| 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \cdot 4 \\ & 2 \cdot \% 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{R}{\downarrow} \cdot 7$ |  |


| 42 | $\begin{array}{l\|ll} 42.4 & \text { R } & .85 \\ 2.75 & \downarrow \end{array}$ | head to 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | $\begin{array}{c\|c} 37.0 & \mathrm{R} \cdot 8 \\ 2 \cdot 10 & \downarrow \end{array}$ | " Behind, 7 " |
|  |  | Quarters. <br> Similar type to precerling Similar type to preceding:(head to r.). |
| 41 | $\begin{array}{ccc} 20.5 & A \& & -65 \\ 1 \cdot 33 & \uparrow \end{array}$ | on 1.曷, on r. 8 耑 Pl. X. 9. |

39. 1895. Rollin and Fenardent. Num. Chron., 1880, Pl. XV. 8. 40-3. 1895. Rollin and Feuardent. 40 and 41 from same obv. die; 42 and 43 from same rev. die. 44. 1895. Rollin and Feuardent.

1. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Num. Chron., 1S7S, Pl. NIII. 16. Same obv. die as no. 44. 46-8. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 46. From same rev. die as a specimen iu Mr. E. T. Newell's Collection. 47, 48. From same obr. die. 48. Badly double-struck on ohs.

[^180]| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. |  | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \pi \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Fi }{ }^{.75}$ | on 1. J, on r. f | on 1. $Z$, | on r. 8 |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \cdot 3 \\ & 2.91 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\text {A }}$. | $\pm$ | " | 8 |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \cdot 1 \\ & 2 \cdot 92 \end{aligned}$ | Ai $\downarrow$ | 35 , 9 | " |  |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \cdot 5 \\ & 2 \cdot 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\pi{ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \cdot 0 \\ & 2.85 \end{aligned}$ | R $\quad .7$ $\leftarrow$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 0 \\ & 2 \cdot 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}_{1}^{\cdot 7}$ | below head, $\boldsymbol{V}^{\prime}$ ) |  | B singleplume |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \cdot 9 \\ & 1 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | $\text { on 1. }\left\{, \text { on } 1 . \frac{1}{2}\right.$ |  | " , |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \cdot 5 \\ & 1 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R \cdot 6 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & ., \int \text {; surface on r. broken } \\ & \text { away; below head, } \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | , Y |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \cdot 2 \\ & 2 \cdot 98 \end{aligned}$ |  | on 1. (; no symbol on r.; degraded wreath border. |  | 8 |

$$
\text { Pl. LV. } 1 .
$$

9-12. 1920. A. A. Hasanaly, Aden. From a find at Marib?
13. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection.
14. 1871. Presented by Capt. W. F. Prideaux. Found at Aden. 15. 1872. Presented by Capt. W. F. Prideaux.
16. 1919. Presented by Cipt. A. W. T. Webb. 16 a. 1920. A. H. Baldwin.

17. 1910. Major Wyntham Wood. From Arabia. Marib side of frontier. 18-20. 1920. A. A. Hasanaly, Aden. From a find at Marib? 21. 1929. Presented by Mr. W. H. Lee-Warner. 22, 23. 1920. A. A. Hasanaly, Aden. From a find at Marib? 24. 1915. Presented by Dr. Alex. MacRae of Aden. 25, 26. 1920. As nos, 22. 23.


27-9. 1920. As nos. 22. 23.
30. 1920. Major M. S. Irani, I.M.S., of Aden. 31, 32. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 32 a. 1920. A. H. Baldwin. 33. 1920. As nos. 르. 23 . 34. 1920. A. A. Hasanaly, Aden. From a find at Marib? 35, 36. 1920. Major M. S. Irani, I.M.S., of Aden. 37. 1920. Presented by Mr. W. H. Lee-Warner.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1V. Series with two heads. <br> (Fabric usually scyphate) <br> Silver. <br> circa A.D. 50-150. <br> Karibil Yehunim Wattar <br> כרבאל יהנעם ותר <br> Mint of Raidan (ריךן). <br> Male head r., beardless, hair Male head r., as on obverse; bound with taenia or wreath. ave, in are, inscr.: on 1. ringlets on neek : behind, monogram (ותר), all in dotted border interrupted by the monogram: convex field. |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 24 \cdot 8 \\ 1.61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R \cdot 6 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |  <br>  Pl. XI. 1. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.7 \\ & 1.51 \end{aligned}$ | R $\cdot 6$ $\leftarrow$ |  traces of a third monogram. on r. $\frac{7}{\text { ? }}$; in ex. 4Hi) <br> Pl. XI. 2. |

1. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Journ. As. Soc. Bengat, 1881, p. 98. no. -. Pl. X. 2. 2. 1915. Ibir., no. 1, Pl. X. 1.


1-3. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideatux Collection. Joum. As. Soc. Bengal. 1881, Pl. X, nos. 3, 5 and $t$.


[^181] p. 163 (E. 1; Truns. S. B. A., II, •. 22 ; Num. Chron., 1880, 1. 310, Pl. XV. 10; Num. Zeit., 1880, p. 310.5 .5 5. 1895. Dr. K. Buresch. From the Hermos plain near Sardes.

No. Wt. | Metal. |
| :---: |
| Size. |
| Ixis. |$\quad$ Obverse. $\quad$ Reverse.

## 'Amdan Bayyin

## בּ

## Mint of Raidan (9ำ)

Beardless male head r., hair Small beardless male head r., in ringlets ; on l, and r.mono- hair in ringlets: above, in grams, hy which borter is arc, inscr.; on r., monogram : iuterrupted. in ex., mint-name.

$1 . \pi 6 \uparrow \quad$, 目 der of duts terminating in arrow-head under the monogram : convex field.

क: in ex. 4N); concave tield.
similar to precerling.
Pl. XI. 11.
$\begin{array}{ll}3 & 22.3 \text { Ai } \\ 1 \cdot 15\end{array}$

Pl. XI. 12.

5 - 6 A .45 small head: on 1. 啠: r. off similar: above, 149 H 4 $0 \cdot \frac{19}{} \rightarrow$ the tlan: plain border. IO: on r. $\boldsymbol{\phi}:$ in ex. $\left.\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{Q}\right)$
(fabric tlat)
PI. XI. 13.

1. 1919. Presented by Capt. A. W. T. Webb.
1. 1919. Presented by Mr. Oswald Bakewell. 3. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 4. 1901. Ibrahim Salunjie (Aden). The monogram is fully legible on the Viemna specimen, M. u. K.. p. $7: 3$, no. 11. 5. 15i2. Presented by Capt. WV. F. Prideaux. Num. Chron.. 1880. p. 310, PI. XV. 11.

| No | W't. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obrerse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 9.8 \\ 0.61 \end{array}$ | $\text { di } 45$ | small heal: above, crescent: similar: above, 4iПl on 1. 浐: on r. traces of a $r$. off the flan: in ex. 4A9) monogram: plain border, tiel at bottom like a wreath. <br> (fabric tlat) <br> Pl. XI. 14. |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} 9 \cdot \bar{i} \\ 0 \cdot 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\leftarrow}{\hbar} \cdot 45$ | Within a plain border, inter- Similar type to preceding rupted by the neck. small janiform beardless head: on <br> coins: on r. $\oint$ : alove, the neck, <br> 4tioilh 10 : in ex. $4+9$ ) <br> (fabric flat) <br> Pl. XI. 5. |



1. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Chisel-cut on head.
1. 1881. N. Mavrocordato. 3. 1919. Spink (Sir Hermann Weber Collection).

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Ohverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \cdot 1 \\ & 1 \cdot 89 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{i} \cdot 6$ | Shamnar Yehun＇im ששמנר יהנעם Mint of Raidan（17ワワ） |
|  |  |  | Small male head r．，beardless：Small male head r．，as on hair in ringlets：on the cheek， O（シ）；behind，monogram abverse；above，in arc，IO4 よ which interrupts border Yïl）4I3；on 1．ל乌，on r． of dots． $\oint: \text { in ex. } 4 \phi i)$ <br> Pl．XI． 19. |
|  |  |  | Withont king＇s name． |
|  |  |  | Mint of Raidan（ $フ^{\bullet \rightarrow 7}$ ） |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \cdot 4 \\ 0.35 \end{gathered}$ | $R \quad 4$ | Head r．．details obscure：bor－Small beardless head r．：be－ der of dots．In countermark， i： hind．$\oint:$ in ex．4미） P1．XI． 21. |

1．1915．Col．W．F．Prideaux Collection．
1．1919．Presented ly Capt．A．W．T．Webl．

No Wt. \begin{tabular}{c}
Metal. <br>

| Size. |
| :--- |
| Ixis. | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## KA'TABANIA

[For earlier coins perhaps attributable to Katabania, see p. 52.$]$

Yedáab Yanaf

## ירעאב ינ:

First-second century after Christ.
Mint of Harb (7า)
124.4 R . 55 Male heal r., bair in ringlets: Male head r., as on obverse, $1.58 \quad \downarrow$ border of dots?: convex fielıl. but smaller: above, in are,
 1. $\sqsubset$ : in ex. П) !:! ; concare fieht.

## Pl. XI. 20.

## Without king's name. <br> Mint of Harls (חา)

$\begin{array}{rrrrl}4 & \mathrm{H} .4 & \mathrm{~N} & .4 & \text { Traces of small beardless bust ; } \\ 0.29\end{array} \quad \downarrow \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { convex field. }\end{aligned}$

Small beardless head r.; hair in ringlets : on 1 . $\leftrightarrows$, monogram ou l.: inscr., if any, obliterated: in ex. П) $\boldsymbol{\Psi}:$ concave field.
Pl. XI. 22.

1. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Callection. Journ. As. Soc. Bengut. 1881, Pl. X. 6, p. 9!9.
1. 1901. Ibrahim Salunjie (Aden).

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} F_{1} \quad \cdot 7 \\ \leftarrow \end{array}$ | Minacan (?) <br> Third-second century b. c. <br> Imitation of Alexandrine types. <br> Bronze. <br> Head of young Heracles r. in $\mid$ Zeus seated 1. on chair, 1. lion-skin; plain border. resting on sceptre, $r$. holding eagle. <br> Pl. XI. 23. |

1. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. This coin is of silver fabric, and traces of silver seem to be still percoptible.

| No. | Wt. | Metal <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Northern Arabia Felix. <br> Third century в. с. (?) <br> Imitation of early Athenian coinage. <br> Base silver tetradrachms. <br> Hearl of Athena r. [wearing Owl standing r., head to helmet and] circular ear-ring ; front; in field r., downwards, eye shown full: curved line inscr.; behind, spray of olive extending from corner of eye with two leaves and one to ear. |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 2.31 .4 \\ 14.99 \end{gathered}$ | A. 95 buse $\leftarrow$ | $\begin{array}{r} \nabla \odot E \\ \text { Pl. XI. } 25 . \end{array}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 218 \cdot 0 \\ & 14 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | A 1.05 base $\leftarrow$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mid \triangle \odot E \\ \text { P1. XI. } 24 . \end{array}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 167.8 \\ & 10.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } \quad 9$ | 1)egraded head of Athena r.: $\mid$ Owl standing r., head to eye shown full; curved line front: in field r., downwards, on cheek as on preceding. rived from olive-spray. <br> Pl. XI. 26. |

1, 1848. Pembroke, Num. Aut., P. 2, T. 6; Sale Catal., 1848, lot 282. 2. 1919. Spink \& Son. Procured from a Syrian dealer. 3. 1917. Presented by J. Mavrogordato, Esq. From the Babington and Philipsen Collections. Num. Chron., 1878, p. 283, Pl. XIII. 17 ; Philipsen Sale (Hirsch, Katal. xxv, lot 3075).

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Ohverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Second century b.c. <br> Degraded imitations of Attic types, of Jewish fabric. <br> First century b.c.-First century after Christ. <br> Bronze. <br> The followiny 29 coins, found by Sir Richurd Burtow at Macna in the land of Midiun, are the property of the Cumberwell Public Libraries, but are deposited at the British M/useum on indefinite loan. <br> Remains of head of Athena\|Remains of owl standing to (of which as a rule only the front and $A \odot E$ eye is recognizable). |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \cdot 4 \\ & 2 \cdot 68 \end{aligned}$ | E . 7 |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 9 \\ & 2.65 \end{aligned}$ | A 65 |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 6 \\ & 2 \cdot 57 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 | $\text { Pl. LV. } 2 .$ |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 3 \\ & 2.55 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 | Pl. LV. 3. |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \cdot 0 \\ & 2 \cdot 27 \end{aligned}$ | E.6\| |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.0 \\ & 2.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 65$ |  |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.6 \\ & 1.98 \end{aligned}$ | E 65 | Pl. LV. 4. |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.0 \\ & 1.91 \end{aligned}$ | E.55 | Pl. LV. 5. |


19. Nи\%. Chon., 157s, Pl. XIII. 22.

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Metal. } \\ \text { Size. } \\ \text { Axis. } \end{gathered}$ | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \cdot 4 \\ & 1 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | E .5 |  |  |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \\ & 0.9 \gamma \end{aligned}$ | E 55 |  |  |
| 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \cdot 3 \\ & 0.9 .3 \end{aligned}$ | E - 5 |  |  |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \cdot 0 \\ & 0 \cdot 81 \end{aligned}$ | E. 45 |  |  |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \cdot 0 \\ & 11.78 \end{aligned}$ | E 5 |  |  |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.2 \\ & 0.73 \end{aligned}$ | 玉 5.5 |  |  |
| 29 | $\begin{array}{r} 7.6 \\ 0.49 \end{array}$ | E 55 |  |  |

## MESOPOTAMIA


${ }^{1}$ When not otherwise described, the circular inscriptions on coins of this and succeeding Mesopotamian mints begin on the left and read with the clock.

1. 1919. H. Marcopoli.
1. 1872. Edward Wigan.

| No． | Wt． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 174.2 \\ & 11.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | CARR <br> Marcus | HAE．${ }^{1}$ <br> Aurelius． |
|  |  |  | Bust of Marcus r．，bearded， laureate，undraped ：inscr． AYTOKP．KAICMAP AY PHへI．OYHPOC | Crescent．horns upwards，with fillets depending from it， placed on a globe；between horns，star of six rays：inser． in arc below，KAPHNWN $\$ 1$ ヘOPWM： border． <br> Pl．XII． 3. <br> IODUS． |
|  |  |  | Bust of Commodus r．，bearded， laureate，undraped：inscr．：－ | Crescent，horns upwards， placed on a glube．which is on a basis；Jetween horns， star of six rays；inscr．：－ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 33.8 \\ & 2 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } .5$ |  the tlan． | －－－r．，ヘ：チ१レヘ l．，beginning on l．above；fillets depending from crescent． |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \cdot 4 \\ & 1 \cdot 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{E}}{\rightarrow}$ | inscr．and border off the flan． <br> Septimius | －－r．．彦 $\mathrm{H} N \Omega$ l．；triangu－ lar ansae on either side of globe． <br> Pl．XII． 5. <br> Seterus． |
| 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 104.5 \\ 6.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\text { ※ }_{\uparrow} .9$ | Bust of Severus r．，bearded， laureate，undraped：inscr． －－ | Temple showing four columns； perliment contains wreath or crescent：in central inter－ columniation．conical baetyl， surmounter by crescent，on base：in wings，two legionary sigua，each in a distyle slurine surmounted by a crescent； inser．－$\because$ ON（outwardly） in ex．， $\boldsymbol{\wedge} \boldsymbol{\wedge} \wedge(:)$（inwardly） $\mathrm{r} .$. A $X$ abore． <br> Pl．XII． 4. |

${ }^{1}$ All the coins have borders of dot，on both sides unless otherwise described．
1．1844．Devonshire．Sale Catal．，i，lot 235 ．Same obv．die as Col．L．A．D．Montague＇s specimen．2．1911．H．Marcopoli of Aleppo．

5. 1919. H. Marcopoli. Same dies as Paris specimen ('Zaütha' : see Joun. Rom. Stud., vi, 1916, p. 168, Pl. XII. 27); same obv. die as Macdonald, Hunt. Catct., iii, p. 301, no. 2, Pl. LİVIII. 24. 6. 1916. Presented by Capt. Edw. Shepherd. Cp. Vaillant, Num. Col., ii, p. 6. 7. 1912. Presented by Mr. H. P. Hall. Same dies as no. 6. 8. 1897. Presented by Mr. H. F. Amedroz.

| No． | Wt． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | O，，verse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.5 \\ & 3.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\underbrace{\star}_{\downarrow} \cdot 7$ | AVKANT＂ONEINOC（be－ ginning on $r$ ．abore）；bust radiate，undraped． | KАРKM ］$\quad$ \＃ОヘIC |
|  |  |  | Bust of Caracalla r．，beardless， laureate，wearing paludamen－ tum and cuirass；inscr．：－ | Crescent，horns upwards，with pendent fillets．placed upon base；between horns，star of eight points ：inscr．：－ |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 46.7 \\ & 3.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Æ } .65 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | ［－－KMAA］NTWNEIN［ | KA ］OMHTPOTO |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 3 \\ & 2 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\downarrow} \times .65$ | ］KMAA $\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{T} \omega \mathrm{N} \in \mathbb{N}]$ | KA［ OMHTP］OTO Pl．XII． 9. |
|  |  |  | Bust of Caracalla r．，beardless， radiate，undraperl；inscr．：－ | Crescent，horns upwards，with pendent fillets and small globe below it ；inscr．：－ |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 0 \\ & 3.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\pm .6$ | ［ $\quad \mathrm{N} \in[$ | Kべ事 <br> Pl．XII． 10. |
| 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 43.0 \\ 2.79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 玉 } .65 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | A［ ：upper part of head off the flan． | KAPKOMH <br> Pl．XII． 11. |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \cdot 3 \\ & 0.5 \pi \end{aligned}$ | $\text { モ. } 6$ | AV | KAPK［：between horns， rosette of six pellets sur－ rounding central pellet，abore which，uncertain olject． <br> P1．XII． 12. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & \text { bis } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.0 \\ & 2.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 无 } 65$ | ］AN［T］ W ［－－］ | KAP ${ }^{\prime}$ OMH Similar type to preceding，but without olject above rosette． |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 68.1 \\ & 4 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 压. } 75$ | Bust of Caracalla r．，with short beard，laureate，wearing paludamentum and cuirass： inscr．AVTОКР•АИТ $\omega$ ．－． （beginning on $r$ ．alove）． | Crescent，horns upwards，with star of many points leetween them：inscr．KOへ・㹍 ．－． NUN |

9．1872．Edward Wigan． Exeter．Same obr．die as no． 10. Excavations Grant． by Mr．T．Skinner．

10．1913．Lincoln．
11．1843．Dr．Milles，Dean of 12．1847．Baron Knobelsdorf．13．1911．Carchemish Dr．F．Parkes Weber Gift． 14 bis，1920．Presented Glendining Sale，19，ix，1918，lot 119.

| No． | W＇t． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axir. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Olverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | （b）With Lati <br> Bust of Caracalla r．，with short beard，laureate，unclothed ： inscr．（beginning on r． abore）：－ | n inscriptions． <br> Bust of City－goddess r．，tur－ reted，veiled，and draped； inscr．（beginning on $r$ ． above）：－ |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \cdot 1 \\ & 6 \cdot 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\text { E } . S 5}$ | M T RANTONIN VSP FAV G | COLMETANTO NI［N］I ANAAVR ALEX |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 9 \\ & 5 \cdot 50 \end{aligned}$ | E 75 | ［MA］VRANTON IN V： $\because A V G$ <br> Pl．X | COLMETANTO Nivitia <br>  <br> II． 13. |
| 18 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \cdot 1 \\ 4 \cdot 09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } .75 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{H A V R A N T O N ~[I N ~ V S ~} \\ & \text { PF]AVG } \end{aligned}$ | COLMETANTONINIAN AAV配 |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 78.3 \\ & 5 \cdot 0 \gamma \end{aligned}$ | E 88 | MAIFNTONI NVEPFAV G | CULMEFANTONINIAN AAVRA： |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 78.2 \\ & 5 \cdot 07 \end{aligned}$ | 趐 85 | MAVRANTON IVVG： AVG | COLME［ ］NTON INIA NAAVRAIC［ |
| 21 |  | A $\begin{gathered}\text { ．} 8 \\ \downarrow\end{gathered}$ | MAVRANTO NIN V 2P F $/=$［ <br> P1．X | COIMETAN＂I゙®［ ］参 NAAVR AI $\in$ <br> II． 14. |
| 22 | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \cdot 6 \\ 4 \cdot 51 \end{array}$ | E 8 | MAVR［ | COIMEIANT ONI NIA N．$\stackrel{A}{A}$ |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 41 \end{aligned}$ | E． 8 | ］VRANTON NIN［ | COIMETANTIO［ ］NA A년 $\in X$ |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \cdot 0 \\ & 4 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 85$ | IVRANTON INVSPF AVG | ］GTANTONINIAN AAVRA［ |
| 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 上 } \cdot 7$ | MAVRAN＂ï［ $]$ NVS［ ］ VG | COIMETAN TO： |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 6 \\ & 4 \cdot 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .8$ | MAV［］INIへFへL | COIMETANTO NINIAN AA［ |

16．1845．Presented by the Secondary of the City of London．
18．1906．Dr．F．Parkes Weber Gift．Same obv．die as no． 17.
24．1805．Charles Townley．25．1838．Cureton．26．1913．Lincoln．

| No． | Wt． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 75$ | MAVRFA | COIMET［ |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.4 \\ & 3.72 \end{aligned}$ | E | ［ ］o иוuvs | COIME．启討 A＾$\varepsilon X$ <br> Pl．XII． 15. |
| $\because 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 8 \\ & 3 \cdot 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 8$ | ］İio NiNIANAAVFA－ | COIMETANT ONINIAN A＾ |
| 30 | $\begin{gathered} 53 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\downarrow} .8$ | MAVRÂtion［ ］VIVへG | COIMETANTIOO NINIAAN AへVI <br> II． 16. |
| 31 | $\begin{array}{r} 76.9 \\ 1.98 \end{array}$ | $\underbrace{\text { Æ }}_{\downarrow} 75$ | ［ ］？：ойй | ［i］$]$ VRMETROPOLIAU |
| 32 | $\begin{gathered} 65.6 \\ 1.25 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{E}}{\downarrow} \downarrow$ | ［ N］TONINVFPA［ | NIAN[ TROPOLIANTONI |
| 33 | $\begin{gathered} 6+7 \\ i \cdot 1,7 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\downarrow} .75$ | ［ ］NTONINVEPN［ | ［ ］O POLIANTIONIN［ |
| 34 | $\begin{array}{r} 3+0 \\ 2 \cdot 20 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\downarrow} \cdot 6$ | ］N TONINVS［ <br> （in front of bust，wreath） <br> Pl．X | $\underset{X}{\text { COLMETAN［ }] \text { NNIC }}$ <br> II． 17. |
| 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 37.4 \\ & 2 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \downarrow$ | ［PFAVG MAANTONINVS | COLAVRME：TROPOLI ANTONI |
| 36 | $\begin{gathered} 28.8 \\ 1.87 \end{gathered}$ |  | IMPCAES［ | CO［ ROPOLIANTONI NIAN |
| 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \cdot 4 \\ & 1 \cdot 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 6$ | ［ ］NINVSPFF\％\％ | COLAVRMEM RO POL IANTONIE［ Pl．XII． 18. |
| 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 65.6 \\ & 4.25 \end{aligned}$ | $-\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{~B}$ | ［－－AANTO］NIN V2PF $A \cdot$ | COIMETANTO <br> cornucopiae in front of bust． PI．XII． 19. |

30．1913．Lincoln．31．1906．Dr．F．Parkes Weber tift． same olsv．die as no．32．34．190s．Lincoln．35． 1844 Devonshire．Sale Catal．， lot 1140.36 .1909. Rullin and Feuardent．37．1913．Lincoln．38．190s．Lincoln．

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \cdot 3 \\ & 4 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } .75 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | \|AANTO NIN VZPFA「 |  |
| 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.3 \\ & 3.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E . } 75 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $[\quad] N \vee 2 P F[$ | COIMETAN $\because O$ ONINI[ cornucopiae in front of bust. II. 20. |
| 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 6! \end{aligned}$ |  | [ NI HUC[ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ] ONINIAN } \\ & \text { cornucopiae in front of bust. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 42 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 8 \\ & 3 \cdot 1! \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ※ } \cdot 8 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | [ ]ANTO NINIANAA cornucopiae in front of bust. |
| 43 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.2 \\ & 3.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} .7 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | inscr. obliterated. | ]AN TONINIA [ serpent in frout of lust. Pl. XII. 21. |
| 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} .8 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | ]RAN T O NIN[ <br> Pl. X | COMET ANTONI[ serpent in front of bust. <br> II. 22. |
|  |  |  | Caracalla <br> Busts, confronterl, of Caracalla (r. laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass) and Geta (l, bareheaded, draped) ; inscr.:- | and Geta. <br> Crescent, with horns upwarl, with star of eight points between horms; inser. beginning on r., above :- |
| 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 85 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | WN ::INC reTACCË | K^WNEI^MH <br> Pl. XII. 23. |
| 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \cdot 2 \\ & 4.5 \pi \end{aligned}$ |  | WN] :INC reTACCe | K^WN[ ]POTOKAPP |
| 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 77.2 \\ & 5.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { 玉 } & .75 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | AVTOKA[ ] | ]MНTPOTO[ ]K^P |
| 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \cdot 3 \\ & 4 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E }_{\downarrow} .75 \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | inscr. obliterated. | ]HTPOTO |

39. 1906. Dr. F. Parkes Weber Gift. Same obs. die as no. 3S. 40. 1854. Presented by Mr. John Evans. 41-4. 1900. Lincoln. 45 1841. Sir R. Abdy. Sale Catal., lot 369. 46. 1847. Baron Knobelsdorf. Same obr. die as no. 45. 47. 1915. Col. WV. F. Prideaux Collection 48. 1844. Devonshire. Sale Catal., lot 428.

| N n. | Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Ohverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | $\begin{array}{r} 150.9 \\ 9.78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \cdot 9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Severus A <br> Bust of Severus Alexander, wearing paludamentum and cuirass; inscr.:- <br> AVTK[MACA $\quad \Lambda \in I] A N$ $\triangle P O C$ <br> bust I., laureate, hokling shield. <br> Pl. XI | LEXANDER. <br> City-goddess, wearing turreted crown and reil, seated 1 . on rock on which her l. hand rests ; luefore lier, lighted altar: below her feet, halffigure of river-god swimming 1.; inscr.:- <br> MHTKOK <br> II. 24. |
| 50 | $\begin{array}{r} 144.0 \\ 9.3 .3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } .9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVT[K]MACA $\Lambda \in I A N$ $\Delta[\mathrm{POC}]$ <br> bust l., laureate, holding shield. | MHTKOK |
| 51 | $\begin{array}{r} 127 \cdot 6 \\ 8 \cdot 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } .95 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTET $\mathrm{N} \triangle P \circ C$ <br> bust l., laureate holding shield anil spear. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [river-goll off the flan. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 52 | $\begin{array}{r} 123.7 \\ 8 \cdot 0 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \quad .9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\text { bust }_{r}\right] \text {, radiate. }$ | MHTKO[ ] APPHNWN |
| 53 | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \cdot 6 \\ & 2 \cdot 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \quad .75 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Bust of Severus Alexander r., laureate, unclothed ; inser. MAPAV Aへ:ANDPO $\div$ <br> Pl. X | Bust of City-goddess r., wearing turreted crown and veil: inscr. KAKOヘMH MECO $\pi$ <br> II. 25. |

49. 1909. Rollin and Feuardent.
1. 1841. Sir R. Abrly. Sale Cata7., lot 369. Same dies as no. 49. 53. 1913. Lincoln. Slightly double struck.

| No. | W't. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gordia <br> Bust of Gordian III r., wearing paludamentum and cuirass; inser.:- | an III. <br> Bust of City-goddess l., wearing turreted crown (with crescent, horns upward, above it) and veil, draped ; before it, on pedestal, small figure $r$. holding wine- or water-skin over shoulder ; inscr. :- |
| 54 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 276.7 \\ 17.93 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.15$ | AVTOKKMAN"il"'OP $\triangle I A$ NOCCEB <br> bust radiate. | MHTPKOヘK APPHNW N between bust and pedestal, small altar. |
| 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 239 \cdot 3 \\ & 15 \cdot 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.1$ | AVTOKKMANTTOPDIA NOCCEB <br> bust laureate. | M HTP[ ]KAPPHN <br> $\omega \mathrm{N}$ crescent obliterated. <br> III. 1. |
| 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 196.5 \\ & 12.73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 2 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTOKK ]iANOCCEB bust laureate. | MH TPKO^KAPPHNW N |
| 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 238 \cdot 9 \\ & 15 \cdot 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.2 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTOKKMAFEI CCEB <br> JANO <br> bust radiate. | MH TPKO[ ]PHNUN <br> III. 2. |
| 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 207.9 \\ & 13 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\text { E } 1.05}$ | [ $\triangle$ IANOCCEB bust radiate. <br> Bust of Gordian III r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass: inser.:- | MH TPKOA[ <br> crescent obliterated. <br> Bust of City-goddess r., wearing turreted crown and veil, draped, hetween two stars of eight rays; inscr.:- |
| 59 | $\begin{array}{r} 152.9 \\ 9.91 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } \quad 9$ | AVTOKKMANT[ ] 1 ANOCCEB <br> Pl. X | MH"İко天 ] AN [ <br> III. 3. |
| 60 | $\begin{array}{r} 124.7 \\ 8.08 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 9$ | ]TOKKMANTT OPD[ | MHTE ${ }^{\text {chen }}$ |

54. 1918. Glendining Sale, 19, ix, 1918, lot 119.56 . 1805. Charles Townley. 57. 1844. Devonshire. Sale Catal., lot 765. 59. 1913. Lineoln.

| No. | Wt. | Metal Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bust of Gordian 1II r., radiate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass; inscr. : - | Crescent with horns upwards; between horns, star of six rays; inscr. :- |
| 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 231.2 \\ & 14.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 1$ | AVTOKKMANTTOPDIA NoCCEB | MHTPKOAKAPPHNUN Pl. XIII. 4. |
| 62 | $\begin{aligned} & 189.5 \\ & 12.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AVTOKKMAN[ ]NOC } \\ & \text { CEB } \end{aligned}$ | MHTF[ $\quad$ KAPPHNWN |
| 63 | $\begin{array}{r} 102.7 \\ 6.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \quad .9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTOKKMAИTIOPDIA NoCCE | MHTPKOA[ ]PHNWN (two stars of eight rays). <br> Pl. XIII. 5. |

61. 1844. Thomas, Sale Catul., I I, lot 2520.
1. 1S47. Baron Knobelsdorf.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． <br> Size． <br> Axis． | Obrerse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | EDESSA． <br> For bronze coins struck under Antiochus IV of Syria at Edessa with the inscription ANTIOXE $\Omega$ N T $\Omega$ N EПI KAヘヘIPOH，see B．M．C．Seleucid Tings of Syria， p． 41. <br> For bronze coins struck by Volagases III of Parthia at Edessa，see B．M．C．P＇arthia，p． 236. <br> Regal Coinage under Parthian suzerainty．${ }^{1}$ <br> W＇aël，son of Sahru <br>  <br> A．D．163－165． <br> Bronze． <br> Bust of Volagases III 1．，with Bust of Waël 1．，bearded， long beard；wears diademed wearing necklace，bust hemet with back－flap and clothed ；inscr．on r．down－ crest of hooked appenclages； behind，B wards，$\rfloor \leqslant \cap$（ $\mathrm{K} \mathbf{N}$ ），on 1．downwards，（ $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ ） （Nコフロ）；wreath border． <br> Pl．XIII． 6. <br> Bust of Waël l．，bearded，bust｜Temple with pediment，seen clothed：inscr．on $r$ ．and 1 ． downwards：wreath－border． $J \pi \cap \text { r., }$ <br> in three－quarters perspective； two columns in front，and steps leading up to it；within， a cubic cult－object on a base supported ly two curved legs； in pediment，a star；inscr．on r．and l．downwards ；wreath－ border． <br>  <br>  <br> the star has three points． <br> Pl．XIII． 7. |  |
| 1 |  | A 85 |  |  |
| 2 | 141.9 9.19 | E ． 75 |  |  |

1 All the coins of Edessa have border of dots on both sides，and the inseription reading as usual with the clock，unless otherwise descrilied．

1．Num．Chron．， 1856, p． 3.
2．1866．Wondhonse．Same rev．die as Hunter specimen，Macdonald iii，Pl．78． 30.

3. Num. Chron., 185̆6, pp. 2-3. 4. 1S43. James Robertson Sale, 1842, lot 256. Tum. Chron., 1856. p. 20. 5. See Taylor Combe, Vet. Pop. et Reg. Num., p. 230, no. 1 ; Babelon, Mé7. Num., ii, p. 234. 5, Pl. III. 5. 6. See Taylor Combe, ibid. ; Babelon, ibid., 6, Pl. III. 6.

7. 1853. Sibatier, Sale Cafal. 'from the Reichel Collection, St. Petersburg).
8. 1841. T. Burgon. Same obr. die as no. 7. 9. 1909. E F. Weber Collection, Hirsch Katul., xxi, 4335. Same obs. die as no. i. 10. 1841. T. Burgon. Same dies as Mr. Elw. shepherd's specimen. 11. 1918. Glendining Sale, 19, ix, 1918, lot 119.

| No． | Wt． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axif. } \end{aligned}$ | Obrerse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.3 \\ & 1 . \% \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 玉 } \cdot 6$ | $[\quad] C \wedge P \text { KOMO } \triangle O$ | $\wedge B \Gamma \wedge P O C$ B＾CI＾EV \％． |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 25.9 \\ & 1.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\mathrm{E} \cdot 65}$ | AVKNICAP KOMODOC | АВГ＾POC B＾CI＾EVC cross on tiara． |
|  |  |  | Sept．Severus | and Abgar VIII． |
|  |  |  | Bust of Severus r．，bearderl， laureate，undraped：inscr．be－ ginning on r．．above ：－ | Bust of Abgar r．，bearded， wearing diademed tiara with crest of hook－shaped orna－ ments，decorated with crescent and three（or two）stars ； mantle fastened on $r$ ．shoulder； before the face，sceptre ；inscr． beginning on r ．above：－ |
| 14 | $\begin{array}{r} 118.2 \\ \because .06 \end{array}$ | $\text { ※ } 1.0$ | CEOVPIOAV［ 10$]$ PPA | ABTAPOC： |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 78.4 \\ & 5.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } .85$ | $\because O K P A$ Pl. | AB 「АРOCBAC II． 15. |
| 16 | $\begin{gathered} 111 \cdot 6 \\ 7.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \cdot 9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | CEOVHP OCA[T] <br> Pl． | АВГへPOCB＾CI＾E II． 16. |
| 17 | $\begin{array}{r} 104 \cdot 2 \\ 6 \cdot 95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} .85 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | ，，［0］CAT | $\wedge B \Gamma \wedge$ OUC АСI＾E |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 79.8 \\ & 5 \cdot 1 \pi \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. } 85$ | OCAT | $\wedge B \Gamma \wedge P O C B \wedge$［ |
| 19 | $\begin{gathered} 110.3 \\ 2 \cdot 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { E. } 85$ | 因EOVI参へO CTOhヘı | $\wedge B \Gamma \wedge P$ OCB＾CI＾： |
| 20 | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \cdot 8 \\ 7 \cdot 12 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\downarrow} .85$ | CEOVHPOC［ ］TOAシ（？） <br> Pl．X | ］＾POC＾CI＾※ <br> IV． 1. |

13．1840．Millincen． Same obv．die as no． 14 ． Same obv．die as no． 16 ． R．Payne linight lequest．

14．1805．Charles Towniey．
16．1901．W．T．Ready．17，18．1900．W．S．Lincoln． 19．1918．Filendining Sale，19，ix．1918，lot $119 . \quad 20.1824$. Vrum．Vet．．p．195． 1 （wrongly read KOMMO KOC ）．

| No． | Wt． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Motal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 93.8 \\ & 6.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { £ } .85 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | ］AOTOKPA［ | A曰TAPOCB［ ］CINヘジ $\in C$ |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \cdot 4 \\ & 5.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} .85$ | CV TO］Э\％ | $\triangle B r \wedge P \quad \circ C B \wedge$ |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 89.6 \\ & 5 \cdot 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } \quad .9$ | CEO＾HPOC＾VTOE | へBr POCE $\wedge C I \wedge E \wedge$ |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 81.5 \\ & 5.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\downarrow} .85$ | CEOVHP［0］［．］V＾ | $\wedge B \Gamma$ POC＾［CI］＾E＾C |
| 25 | $63.0$ | $\text { £ } \cdot 8$ | CEOVHPO［ OVA <br> Pl．X | ヘBr［POC＾］CI＾E＾C IV． 2. |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \cdot 2 \\ & 1 \cdot 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\mathrm{E} .8}$ | CEOVHPOC＾TOK＾O Pl． | ABrへ［］B＾CI＾C IV． 3. |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 80.9 \\ & 80.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\pm \uparrow$ | $\mathrm{C} \in \mathrm{O}_{[ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AB「APOこ [ } \quad \text { behind lust, X } X \\ & \text { Pl. XIV. } 4 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \cdot 6 \\ & 1 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. } 8$ | $C[\in O$ | $A B \Gamma A P O \text { BAC: }$ $\text { behind head. } \bar{X}$ |
| 29 | $\frac{9+7}{6.1 i}$ | $\mathrm{E} \cdot 9$ | POC AVKETFAI | $B \wedge C \wedge \in O g$ |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 87.4 \\ & \hdashline-64 \end{aligned}$ | ※ .9 |  | B＾CI $\Lambda \in 0^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Z}$ C IV． 5. |
|  |  |  | Similar to preceding series ； inscr．beginning on r．above：－ | Similar to precerling series，but without sceptre ；inscr．begin－ ning on r．above：－ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { lis } \end{aligned}$ | $7+5$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\downarrow} \cdot \mathrm{T}$ | ［＾］VKCET CEO．．． （inscr．beginning on I．below）． | ジ彡产 |

21．1840．Millingen．
（＇M．Aurelius，＇Kings，p．39）．
＇T．Combe，op．cit．，p． 230, no． 2.

22．1866．Woodhonse．Same obv．die as Leake－pecimen 23．1844．Devonshire．Sale Catal．， 592.24. See 25．See T．Combe，ibid．，no．1．Same dies as no． 24. 26．1824．R．Payne Knight bequest．Tum Vet．，p．195，no．2．27．1844．T．Thomas， Sale Catal．，2520．28．1849．J．R．Steuart，Sale Catal．，lot 443．Same ohv．die as no． 27. 30．1913．Lincoln． 30 zis．1920．Presented by Mr．T．Skinner．

| No． | W＇t． | Metal． <br> Size． <br> Axic． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \cdot 7 \\ & 1.5: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } .75 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | CEO＾HPO CATOVA Pl．X | ＾ВГへРОСВ＾モへО＾ IV． 6. |
| 32 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.5 \\ & 3 \cdot 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } .75$ | CEOVHP OCFOO | АВГ＾POCB＾C＾IAOC |
| 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ |  | CEOVHPOOA VTOKPA ぞぁP | $\mathrm{B} \wedge \mathrm{CI} \mathrm{\wedge CV}[]$ 令へPO |
| 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \cdot 4 \\ & 2.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 玉 } \quad .6 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CEO} \quad] \ddot{\wedge} \mathrm{T}[$ | АВГАРОСВ ACIべ： |
| 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.7 \\ & 2.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\downarrow} \cdot 55$ | CEOVHPO Pl. | $\text { V. 7. }{ }^{\text {APOC } \mathrm{B} \wedge C I[ }$ |
| 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \cdot 3 \\ & 2 \cdot 16 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{ } \underset{\downarrow}{ }$ | Abgar VIll and <br> Bust of Abgar r．，bearded， wearing diatemed tiara and mantle as on preceding series ； inscr．beginuing on 1 ．abore ：－ <br> $] \hat{A} \mathrm{P} \circ \mathrm{CBCI} \mathrm{\wedge E}$ <br> Pl．X | his son Mánu． <br> Bust of Mainu r．，beardless， wearing tiara with crest of hooks，but no diadem；man－ tle fastened on r．shoulder ； inser．beginning on r．above：－ <br> MヘИИ OC <br> V． 8. |
| 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.0 \\ & 2.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 55$ | АВГАРОС竐 | ヘヘヘN ИОС Pl．XIV． 9. |
| 38 | $\begin{array}{r} 34.2 \\ 2 \cdot 9.2 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{E} \cdot 55$ | Caracalla and $A$ <br> Bust of Caracalla r．，laurtate with slight beard；inscr．be－ ginning ou r．above，AИTW［ <br> Pl．XI | bgar IN Severus． <br> Bust of Abgar r．．leardless， wearing diademed tiara with crest of loooks：inscr．begin－ ning on 1．ahove．CEOYH［ V． 10. |

31．1900．Lincoln．32．See T．Combe，on．cit．，p．230，no． 3.
Sir R．Abdy．34．See T．Combe，loc．cit．，no．4．35．1900．Lineoln．36． 1840. Millingen．Babelon，Mélanges，ii．p．35，PI．V．fig．9．37．157．Bank of England Gift． 38．187．Bank of England Gift．A．von Gutschmid，Entersuchungen，p． 40.

39. 1908. L. Hamburger Collection.
40. 1913. Lincoln.
41. 1805. Charles Townley. 42. 18S9. Rullin and Feuardent. Same rev. die as no. 41. 43. 1900. Lincoln.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \cdot 8 \\ & 2.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{E}} .7$ | ] AYMNINOC bust r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | MAANTW\% $\because \because C C A$ |
| 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.8 \\ & 2 \cdot 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbb{E}_{\downarrow} .65$ | ] $\mathrm{M}=\boldsymbol{B}$ <br> bust r., radiate, unclothed. | $\wedge[\quad \nabla \in C C A$ |
|  |  |  | Bust of Macrinus r., bearded, laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass; inscr. :- | inus. <br> Bust of City-goddess r., wearing turreted crown and veil, draped ; inscr.: - |
| 47 | $\begin{gathered} 66.5 \\ 4 \cdot 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ※ } \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AKMO C MAKPINOC <br> londer of laurel-wreath. <br> Pl. | OMEA CCCA (beginning on r . above) border of palm-wreath. V. 13. |
| 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.0 \\ & 3.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } .75 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AKMO CMESENOC border of dots. | $O M \in \Delta$ ECCA (beginning on $r$. above) border of laurel-wreath. |
| 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 50.6 \\ & 3.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \cdot 7 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AYKMOCM <br> $\therefore K P I N O C$ (beginning on r. above) border of dots. | OME $\triangle \in C C A$ uncertain object in front of bust. |
| 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } .8 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AKM OCMAKPINOC border of laurel-wreath. | OMA $\in \triangle E C C A$ (beginuing on $r$. above) type !.; border of laurelwreatl. <br> Pl, XIV. 14. |
| 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 1 \\ & 2 \cdot 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ | MOCEY -.- <br> (beginning on $r$. above) | ```OM\Delta\in\Delta - - - (beginning on r. above) borcler of dats.``` |

45. 1918. Glendining Sale, 19. ix. 1918, lot 119.4 46. 1908. Lincoln. 47. 1877. Bank of England Gift. 48. 1847. Baron Knobelsdorf. 49, 50. 1841. Sir R. Abdy. Sale Catal., lot 369. No. 49 is from same dies as Col. L. A. D. Montague's specimen. 51. 1919. H. Marcopoli.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 70.0 \\ & 4.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\downarrow} .75$ | Diadua <br> Bust of Diadumenian r., bareheaded ; inscr.:- <br> MOA NTENEINOC <br> bust wears paludamentum and cuirass. <br> Pl. XI | IENIAN. <br> Bust of City-goddess r., wearing turreted crown and veil, draped; inscr., beginning on r. abore :- <br> OMEDE CCA <br> V. 15. |
| 53 54 | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \cdot 1 \\ 2.92 \end{array}$ <br> 53.7 <br> $3 \cdot 48$ |  | MOÏICEANTWNEINOC <br> bust wears paludamentum and cuirass. <br> - OANTW NEIN (beginuing ou $r$. above) <br> bust unclothed: border of laurel-wreath. $\text { Pl. XIV. } 16 .$ | OME $\triangle$ ECCA |
|  |  |  | Elaga <br> Some of the coins catalogued un to Cara <br> Bust of Emperor: inscr.: | BALUS. <br> nder this Emperor may belong calla. <br> City-goddess, wearing turreted crow:, veil, mantle, and chiton, seated 1. on rock, 1. resting on seat, r. extended, usually holling some object ; at her feet, half-figure of rivergod, swimming : inscr.:- |
| 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 234.8 \\ & 15.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { A } 1.05$ | AVTKAICMA VPANT UN <br> bust 1., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | KO^UMA PEAECCA holds branch. |

52. 1918. Lincoln.
1. 187\%. Bank of England Gif.
2. 1805. Charles Townley:

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 187.9 \\ & 12 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\mathrm{E} 1.05}$ | AVTOKAICMAPAVPAN TW bust l., lanreate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | KO^WMAP $\in \triangle E C C h$ holds branch. Pl. XIV. 17. |
| 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 164 \cdot 0 \\ & 10 \cdot 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | AVTKMAANT : CCEB <br> bust r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | MAPAVPAK OEDECCA object in hand uncertain. |
| 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 155.6 \\ & 10.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbb{E}_{\uparrow} .95$ | AVTKMAVANT :ANEIN OCC <br> bust r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAPAVANT: KO^EA } \\ & \text { ECC: } \\ & \text { holds fruits. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 59 | $\begin{array}{r} 125 \cdot 5 \\ 8 \cdot 13 \end{array}$ | $\text { ※ } 1 \cdot 0$ $\downarrow$ | AVTKMAA - $\quad \\| I I E I N O$ CC <br> bust r., radiate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | MAPAVANK O^EAEC CA <br> holds fruits. <br> P1. XIV. 18. |
| 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 201 \cdot 6 \\ & 13.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 1 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | ]PRPANT <br> bust I., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass; oll 1. shoulder, round shield charged with [gorgoneion on] aegis; in oval couutermark, bust r. | inscr. illegible; holrls fruits; in field belind lier, cornucopiae. |
| 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \cdot 0 \\ & 11 \cdot 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTKMA ANT $\Omega N \in I$ bust 1., lanreate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | $\text { ] } K \in \triangle \in C C A$ <br> holds fruits; in field behind her, cornucopiae. $\text { Pl. XV. } 1 .$ |
| 62 | $\begin{aligned} & 218 \cdot 7 \\ & 14 \cdot 1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTKMA ANT[ ]NO C bust r., laureate, unclothed. | KO^.ANT AVP.E $\triangle \in C C$. holds fruits ; in field before her, cornucopiae. |
| 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 165.9 \\ & 10.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Æ } 1.0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTKM [ ] ANTNNINO C (beginning on r. above) bust I., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass ; on 1. shoulder, round shield charged with [gorgoneion on] aegis. | KO^ANT [ ] $\quad \triangle \in C$ holds fruits; in field before her, cornucopiac. |

56. 1905. J. Hirsch.
1. 1840. M. Young 59. 1841. Sir R. Abdy. Sule Catal., lot 369.
1. 1846 .

Sotheby, March 7, 18:38, lot 263 . H. O. Cureton.


[^182]| No. | W\%. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { size. } \\ & \text { Axio. } \end{aligned}$ | Obreree. | Peverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | $\begin{array}{r} 109.3 \\ 7.118 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .85$ | ] ANTQNEINOC | $K[O] \wedge M \in \Delta \in C C A$ |
| 71 | $\begin{aligned} & 96.9 \\ & 6.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\downarrow} .85$ | AN]TRNEINOC | $K \wedge$ WMA PE $\triangle \in C C A$ |
|  |  |  | Bust of Emperor. lueardless ; iuser.:- | Bust of City-goddess 1., wearing turreted crown and veil, draperl ; inscr.:- |
| 72 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 89 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{~T}$ | ```AVG%&ICMAPAVPAN T bust I., radiate, unclothed (?).``` Pl. | $K \cup \wedge \omega M A P \in \triangle \in C C$ bust 1 . <br> V. 5. |
| 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 79 \end{aligned}$ |  | AV:゚○K <br> bust I., laureate, unclothed. |  bust I. |
| 74 | $\begin{aligned} & 56.7 \\ & 3 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { E }} \cdot 7$ | AVTOKAICMAPAVPAN $\because T W N$ <br> bust r., laureate, uuclothed. | KO^W ] $P \in \nabla \in C C A$ bust I. |
| 75 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \cdot 7 \\ & 2.96 \end{aligned}$ |  | AVTOKAICMAPAVANT WN <br> bust r., laureate, unclothed. | KO^WMAP Є $\triangle C C A$ bust 1 . |
| 76 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 6 \\ & 3.60 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ | AVIIK $\quad$ RNEINOC bust l., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | $\text { TVXHC: } \triangle \text { CCKO }$ $\text { bust } r \text {. }$ |

70. 1901. Wr. T. Ratay. Same dies as no. 69.
1. 18to. Millingen. Same obr. die as 1 6. 69. 72. 1897. Presented by Mr. H. F. Amedroz. 74. 1St0. Mathew Vouns. 75. 1913. Lincoln. 76. 1909. Lincoln.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Revere． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | With title | Metroputis． |
|  |  |  | Bust of Emperor，leardless， laureate ；inscr．：－ | City－goddess wearing turreted crown，veil，mantle，and chi－ ton，seated l．on rock，l．hand on seat，r．extenderl ；before her，small flaming altar；at lier feet，half－figure of river－ god swimming；inscr．：－ |
| 77 | $\begin{array}{r} 121 \cdot 1 \\ \% \cdot 85 \end{array}$ | $E_{\uparrow} .85$ | AVT．K．M．A．CEP．ANT WN世 | MHTKO＾ヒ̈』̈ CCHNW N |

bust l．，r．land raised：on l．goddess drops incense ou shoulder．round shield charged altar：
with Nike carrying wreath and palm．

$$
\text { Pl. XV. } 6 .
$$

78120.0 玉 ．8： $7.78 \downarrow$

PI．XV． 7.

## Blagabalus aul severus Alexaxder．

$79 \quad 172.2$ E 1.25 Bust of Elagabalus（on l．，City－gorlless，wearing turreted 11．16 $\uparrow$ laureate）and Severus Alex－crown，veil，mantle and chi－ ander（on r．，luareheaded），con－ton，seated 1．on rock：holds fronted，each wearing palu－branch in r．，l．rests on seat： damentum and cuirass：be－before her，small tlaming tween them，below，small altar；below，lialf figure of temple with pediment：inscr．river－god swimming；in field AVTKMAANTNI＊OCC．I．，two pellets：iuscr．MAKシ M AAへE $\Xi N \triangle P$

## $P \in \triangle \in C C$

Pl．XV． 8.

77．1844．Devonshire．Sale Catal，I t 592.
78．1S74．Rollin and Feuardent． 79．1799．C．M．Cracherode（rift．Holed（by decay？）．

| No． | Wt． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Severus Alexa <br> Bust of Severus Alexander r．， wearing paludamentum and cuirass：inser．：－－ | ander Cafsar． <br> City－gordess，wearing turreted crown．veil，mantle，and chi－ ton，seatell l．on rock，l．resting on seat：below，half－figure of river－gorl swimming：inscr．：－ |
| 80 | $\begin{array}{r} 141.3 \\ !16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | MAP•AVP•Aへ $\Xi A N \Delta P$ OC．KAICAP <br> bust bareheaded． | MAPAVPANT $\in C \triangle \in C$ CA <br> holels fruits in $r$ ． <br> Pl．XV． 9. |
| 81 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 132.1 \\ \therefore 56 \end{array}\right.$ | $\text { ※ } .95$ | $M \cdot A \cdot \wedge \in \Xi A[\quad] \ddot{C}$ bust bareheaded． |  <br> holds brauch over small flam－ ing altar． |
| 82 | $\begin{aligned} & 166.8 \\ & 10.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } .95$ | MAAへEミA NDPOCKA bust laureate． | MAKAV PE $\triangle \in C C$ holds branch over small flam－ ing altar． |
| 83 | $\begin{aligned} & 165.5 \\ & 10 \cdot 7.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { © } 1.0$ | ］＾ヘヘミN $\triangle \in P O C K A$ bust laureate． | MAKAVP $\in \triangle \in C C$ holds branch over small flam－ ing altar． |
| 84 | $\begin{array}{r} 154.2 \\ 9.99 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } .95$ | MAAへE－－A bust laureate． | similar to preceding． |
| 85 | $\begin{array}{r} 140.8 \\ 9.12 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | \＾E $\mathrm{N} \triangle \mathrm{POCKAC}$ bust laureate． <br> Severus Alexa <br> Coins without titles | MAKAV PE $\triangle \in C C$ <br> holds branch over small flam－ ing altar． <br> nder Augustus． <br> Metropolis or Coloniu． |
|  |  |  | Bust of Severus Alexander r．， wearing paludamentum and cuirass ；inser．：－ | City－goddess as on preceding coins，holding branch over small flaming altar：inscr．：－ |
| 86 | $\begin{aligned} & 163.5 \\ & 10.5 .1 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { E } 1.0}{\downarrow}$ | AVTKMAC EYAへEIA ivA茾C bust laureate． | MAKAV PEDECC |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80, } 81 . \\ & \text { nley. } \\ & \text { ver cifit. } \end{aligned}$ | 1505．Cl 84.191 86. | Carles Townley．82．1840．M 8．Gilendining Sale，19．ix．1918，lot 1900．Lincoln． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 83. 1805. Charle } \\ & \text { 119. } \\ & \text { 85. 1906. Dr. F. Parke } \end{aligned}$ |


| No． | Wt． | Metal size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | With title <br> First Den <br> Bust of Severus Alexander ： inscr．：－ | Metropolis． <br> omination． <br> City－goddess，wearing turreted crown，veil，mantle，and chi－ ton，seated l．on rock，l．resting on seat：at her feet，half－ figure of river－gorl swimming； before her，small flaming altar ；in fielid l．and r．，stars ； inscr．：－ |
| 87 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \pi 7.0 \\ & 24 \cdot 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 3$ | AVTKMACA $\wedge \in I A N \triangle$ POC C <br> bust r．，laureate，unclothed． <br> Pl．X | MHTKOE $\triangle$ CCHNUN <br> holifs branch or corn－ears． <br> V． 10. |
| 88 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 278.0 \\ 18.01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.25 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | ```ITKMACA^E इAN\triangleP oCCE bust r., laureate, unclothed.``` | MHTKO［ ］NWN similar． |
| 89 | $\begin{array}{r} 260.6 \\ 16.89 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AVTK[ }] \text { IMAVCEA^E天 } \\ & \text { A }[] \Delta \mathrm{POC} \\ & \text { bust r., laureate, unclothed. } \end{aligned}$ | MH：$\cdot \in \triangle$ ECCHNWN similar． |
| 90 | $\begin{aligned} & 228.0 \\ & 14 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.2$ | ```M^VCEA^E\XiAN \trianglePO # bust r., laureate, unclothed.``` | MHKOE $\triangle$ ECCHNWN similar． |
| 91 | $\begin{aligned} & 291.7 \\ & 18.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} 1.25$ | AV ］APAVPCEAへE天 AN $\triangle P O C$ <br> bust r．，radiate，wearing palu－ damentum aud cuirass． | MHKOEA ECCHNWN similar． |
| 92 | $\begin{aligned} & 286.7 \\ & 18.58 \end{aligned}$ | E 1.2 <br> $\uparrow$ | AVTKMACA＾EIAN $\triangle P$ －C C <br> bust l．，radiate，wearing cuirass， round shield clarged with gorgoneion on l．shoulder，r． hand holding eagle－topped sceptre over $r$ ．slooulder． | MHTKOE $\triangle$ ECCHNWN similar． |

88．1859．F．Bü̈̈cke． edge for mounting． Mattliew Young．

89．1848．Dr．Milles，Dean of Exeter．Two incisions in 90．180．）．Charles Towmley．91．1900．Lincoln．92．1840．

93. 1805. Charles Townley. near Carchemish. 94. 1505. Charles Townley. 95. 1900. Lincoln. Presented by Mr. W. C. Boyd.

93 bis. 1920. Presented by Mr. C. I. Wroolley. Acquired

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size Axis. | Obverse. | Reve:se. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98 | $\begin{array}{r} 148.0 \\ 9.59 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | AVTKMACA $\Lambda \in \equiv A N[\triangle$ P]OC゙ C <br> bust r., laureate, drapery over I shoulder: in countermark, Just of City-golldess r., turreted. <br> Pl. X | MHTKOE $\triangle$ ECHNWN similar. $\text { V. } 12 .$ |
| 99 | $\begin{array}{r} 147.9 \\ 9.58 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } \uparrow_{\uparrow}^{95}$ | C C $\cdots \hat{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{~A}[] \in \mathrm{AN} \triangle \mathrm{PO}$ <br> as preceding, with similar countermark. | MHTKOE $\triangle$ ECCHNWN similar. |
| 100 | $\begin{array}{r} 152.9 \\ 9.91 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Æ } 1 \cdot 0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTKMACAA EIAN $\triangle$ PO CC <br> hust r., radiate, drapery over 1 shoulder. | ]KOE $\triangle$ CCHNWN similar. |
| 101 | $\begin{aligned} & 187 \cdot 1 \\ & 12 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTKMACA $\Lambda \in I A N \triangle$ PO\% <br> bust 1., laureate, wearing cuirass: on l. shoulder, round shield, in r. eagle-topped sceptre over $r$. shoulder. | ] $\triangle \in C C H N W N$ similar. |
|  |  |  | Bust of Severus Alexander, slightly bearded; inscr.:-- | Similar type to preceding series, butseat of gorldess regular in form; two stars in field. |
| 102 | $\begin{aligned} & 215.7 \\ & 13.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\text { ® }} 1 \cdot 1$ | AVTKMACEVAへEI bust r., laureate, drapery over 1. shoulder. | MHTKO추 goddess holds fruits (?) in r. |
| 103 | $\begin{aligned} & 178.1 \\ & 11.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .95$ | AVTKMACEVANEIAN $\triangle P O C$ <br> bust r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | MHTKO [ $\triangle \in$ CCHN UN object in 1 : obscure. |
| 104 | $\begin{array}{r} 146.6 \\ 9 \cdot 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.0 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | ] $\mathrm{A} \wedge \in I \mathrm{AN} \triangle \mathrm{P} \circ \mathrm{C} \mid$ <br> bust r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | MHTKO^ED [ <br> holds fruits. |

98. 1805. Charles Townley. 99. 1913. Lincoln. 100. 1861. Eastwood. 101. 1908. Lincoln. 104, 1841. Sir R. Abdy. Salc Catal., lot 369.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． size． Axis． | Obwerse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | $\begin{array}{r} 128.8 \\ 8 \cdot 35 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | CEVAへEI AN $\triangle P \circ C C \in B$ <br> bust r．，laureate，wearing palu－ damentum and cuirass． | ］$\Delta \in C$ CHNWN holds fruits． |
| 106 | $\begin{array}{r} 113.8 \\ 7.37 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 9$ | AVTKMACEVA＾© E bust r．，lameate，wearing palu－ damentum and cuirass． <br> Pl．X | ］i゙KO＾Є $\triangle \in C C H N \omega N$ <br> object in r．obscure． <br> V． 13. |
| 107 | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \cdot 4 \\ & 6.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ }{ }_{\uparrow} .95$ | AVTKFI：］A A EIAN $\Delta[\quad] \in B \cdot$ bust r．，radiate，wearing palu－ damentum and cuirass． | MHTK <br> ］ $\mathrm{CHN} \omega \mathrm{N}$ <br> object in 1 ．obscure． |
| 108 | $\begin{aligned} & 179 \cdot 6 \\ & 11 \cdot 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Æ } 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | ］MACEV AへEIA［ <br> bust l．，laureate，round shield on 1．shoulder，r．holding eagle－ topped sceptre over 1 ．shoulder． | MH＂i <br> $\mathrm{N} \omega \mathrm{N}$ holds fruits． |
| 109 | $\begin{array}{r} 136.3 \\ 8.83 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} \text { E } & .95 \\ \downarrow \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[ } \\ & \mathrm{CCE} \\ & \text { similar to preceding. } \end{aligned}$ | MHTKOAE $\triangle E C$ CHN WN object in r．obscure． |
| 110 | $\begin{array}{r} 123.6 \\ 8.01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \quad .9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTKMAC EA＾EIAN $\therefore$ A similar to preceding（but bust beardless？）． | ］$\triangle \in C C H N W N$ holds fruits（？）． |
| 111 | $\begin{aligned} & 173.8 \\ & 11.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |  | MHTKON ］N holds fruits；a second altar behind her． |
| 112 | $\begin{gathered} 146 \cdot 1 \\ 5 \cdot 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTKMACEA＾EIAN $\triangle$ POCC：B <br> bust r．，laureate，drapery orer 1．shoulder． | ］KO＾E $\triangle \in C \quad C H N W N$ <br> similar to preceding． <br> P1．XV． 14. |

105．1900．Lincoln．
108．1St0．Mattiew Young．
$109,110.1805$.
Charles Townley．
111，112．191：）．Lincoln．



## Pl. XVI. 2.


holds fruits; two stars in tield ; second altar on $r$.
Pl. XVI. 3.
$\begin{array}{rrr}123127.5 & \mathrm{E} .95 \text { IOVAIAMAM } \\ 5 \cdot 26 & \uparrow\end{array}$
] $\triangle \in C C H N W N$
ubject in r. hand obscure; two stars in field.
118. 1900. Lincoln. 120. 1844. T. Thomas. Sule Catal., II, lot 25020.121 .1909. Linculn.

125. 1805. Charles Townley. Same obv. die as no. 124.
$126,127.1805$. Charles Townley. 128. 1841. T. Burgon. 129. 1805. Charles Townley. 130. 1844. Devonshire. Sule Cafal., lot 48 .

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Oliverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bust of Gorlian III r., rarliate, drapery showing over 1 . shoulder; inscr.:- | Bust of City-goddess r., wearing turreted crown and veil, shoulders draped; in front. star; inscr.: - |
| 131 | $\begin{aligned} & 87.9 \\ & 5.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } .75$ | AVTOKKMAに シГ NoCCEB | MHTKO^E $\triangle$ ECCHNW |
|  |  |  | Pl. X | VI. 5. |
| 132 | $\begin{aligned} & 76.9 \\ & 4.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ | AVTOK[ ] $O P \triangle I A N$ oCCEB | MHTKO^E $\triangle$ ECCHNWN |
|  |  |  | Tranqu | illina. |
|  |  |  | Bust of Tranquillina r., wearing stephane, hair waved and coiled on nape; shoulders draperl : inscr.:- | Bust of City-goddess 1., with small altar and figure of Aquarius ( $\because$ ), as on nos. 124 f.; inser.:- |
| 133 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 257.1 \\ 10.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 1 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\Phi\rceil 0[V P] C A B I N A T P A N} \\ & K C \in B \end{aligned}$ | $\because H \% O \wedge \in \triangle \in C C H N W N$ |
| 134 | $\begin{aligned} & 244.7 \\ & 15.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 15$ | ФOVPCABINA[TPAN]K C $\in B$ | MHTKO^E $\triangle$ CCCHNU:[ |
| 135 | $\begin{aligned} & 226.3 \\ & 11 \cdot 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.15$ | ФOVPCABINATPANKCE B | MHTKO $\triangle \triangle$ CCCHNWN |
|  |  |  | Pl. XV | VI. 6. |



| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140 | $\begin{aligned} & 317.9 \\ & 20 \cdot 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 上 } 1.3$ | Bust of Gordian III r., laureate drapery showing over 1. shoulder ; inscr. AVTOKK MANTTOP $\triangle I A N O C C E B$ | Gordian receiving Abgar ; on l., Gordian, laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass, holding globe in l., mappa in r. ; on r., Alogar, bearded, dressed as on preceding series, in raised r. a wreath (?); inscr. ] CABFAPOCBACIA EVC |
|  |  |  | Bust of Gordian III r., radiate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass; inscr.:- | Abgar, bearded, wearing diademed tiara, kandys and trousers, riding $r$. on pacing horse ; inscr.:- |
| 141 | $\begin{aligned} & 332 \cdot 1 \\ & 21 \cdot 5.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.3$ | AVTOKKMANTIO $[\mathbf{P}] \Delta I$ ANOCCEB | ABTAPOC BACIへEVC |
|  |  |  | Pl. X | VI. 8. |
| 142 | $\begin{aligned} & 309 \cdot 1 \\ & 20 \cdot 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{E}} 1 \cdot 3$ | AVTOKKMANTIOPDIA NOCCEB |  |
| 143 | $\begin{aligned} & 305.7 \\ & 1.9 .81 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.25$ | AVTOKKMAN[TROP $\triangle I A$ NOC]C $\in$ B | ] BACI^EVC |
|  |  |  | Secored De <br> Bust of Gordian III, r.: in front, star; inscr.:- | nomination. <br> Bust of Abgar r., bearded. wearing diademed tiara with crest of hook-shaped orna. . ments, necklace, and robe over garment with buttons down the front ; behind, star ; inser.:- |
| 144 | $\begin{aligned} & 180.5 \\ & 11 . \% 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .95$ | AVTOKKMAN"IITOP $\triangle I A$ NOCCEB <br> bust laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | ABTAPOC BACI^EVC <br> rosette of pellets on tiara. |

$$
\text { P1. XVI. } 9 .
$$

140. 1832. H. P. Borrell. Same obv. die as no. 138.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145 | $\begin{array}{r} 142.6 \\ 9.24 \end{array}$ | $\mathbb{E}_{i} \cdot 95$ | AVTOKKMANTIO PDI ANOCCE: <br> bust similar. | ABATPOC BACIへEVC similar. |
| 146 | $\begin{array}{r} 139 \cdot 2 \\ 9 \cdot 02 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .95$ | $\qquad$ | АВГАРОС BACI^EVC similar. |
| 147 | $\begin{array}{r} 117.0 \\ 7.58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTOKKMANTIOPAIA NOCCEB <br> bust similar. <br> Pl. XV | АВГАРОС छАСАЕVC similar. <br> I. 10. |
| 148 | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 147.1 \\ 9.53 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } \quad .95$ | $] \div$ ANTIO P $\triangle I A$ NoCCEB bust laureate, unclothed. | АВГАРОС BACINEVC decoration of tiara obscure. |
| 149 | $\begin{aligned} & 166.2 \\ & 10 \cdot \pi \gamma \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .95$ | AV[TOKKMANTIOP] $\Delta I$ ANOCCEB <br> bust radiate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | $\therefore$ B[ ] BACIへEVC <br> decoration of tiara olsscure. |
| 150 | $\begin{aligned} & 166.2 \\ & 10.7 \gamma \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\text { E }} 1.0$ | AVTOKKMANTIOPDIA NOCCEB <br> bust similar. | АВГАРO CBACINEVC <br> decoration of tiara obscure. <br> I. 11. |
| 151 | $\begin{array}{r} 123.4 \\ 8.00 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } \underset{\downarrow}{ } \cdot 95$ | [A]VTOKKMAN[T]TOPA IANOCCEB <br> bust similar. | ]BГAPOC BACIN [ <br> decoration of tiara obscure. |
| 152 | $\begin{aligned} & 163 \cdot 3 \\ & 10 \cdot 58 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbb{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 9$ | IVT OKKMANTI OPA IAN <br> bust similar; $\therefore$ on front of crown. | ABTAPOC BACINEVC <br> tiara covered with network. <br> Pl. XVII. 1. |
| 153 | $\begin{aligned} & 163 \cdot 2 \\ & 10.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \cdot 9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | A]VTOKKMANTTOPAI [ANOCCEB <br> bust similar. <br> Pl. XV | ABГAPOC BACI^EVC rosette of pellets on tiara. <br> II. 2. |

147. 1900. Lincoln.
1. 1900. Lincoln. same rev. die as Col. L. A. D. Montague's specimen. 153. 1913. Lincoln.
1. Same obv. die as no 149 ;
2. Same obv. die as no. 14 .

| No. | W't. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 154 | $\begin{array}{r} 132.4 \\ 8.58 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 9$ | AVTOKKMA[NTT]OPAI ANOCCEB <br> bust similar. | ABRAPOC [ ]I^EVC decoration of tiara obscure. |
| 1:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 160 \cdot 6 \\ & 10 \cdot 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbb{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 95$ | AVTOKKMAN[ ]IA NOCCEB bust similar. | AB「APO[ <br> 1^EVC <br> tiara covered with network. |
| 156 | $\begin{array}{r} 150.7 \\ 9 . \% 6 \end{array}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\text { E } .95}$ | AVTOKKMANTTOPDIA NOCCEB <br> bust similar. | АВГАРОС BACI^EVC decoration of tiara obscure. |
| 157 | $\begin{array}{r} 120.7 \\ \% \cdot 82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \quad .9 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | [AVTOKKMANTIOPA]I ANOCCEB <br> bust similar. | OC BACIへEVC <br> rosette of pellets on tiara. |
| 158 | $\begin{array}{r} 130.7 \\ 8.47 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 9 j$ | AVTOKKMA [ <br> $10 \mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{I}$ ANOCCEB <br> bust 1., laureate, wearing cuirass; shield on 1. shoulder; <br> r. hand holding eagle-topped sceptre, of which head is seen between $\mathbf{O}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ of inscr. <br> Pl. XV <br> Third Den <br> Bust of Gordian III r., laureate, drapery showing over I. shoulder; inscr.:- | ABRAPOC [ ]CINEVC rosette of pellets on tiara. <br> VII. 3. <br> nomination. <br> Bust of Abgar r., bearded, dressed as on preceding series , decoration of tiara, when visible, consists of pellets; inscr.: - |
| 159 | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \cdot 1 \\ & 6 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E . } 75$ | [AVT OKKMANTT OPD IANOCCEB <br> P1. XV | ABГA:OC BACI^EV C <br> VII. 4. |

154. See T. Combe, Vet. Pop. ct Reg. Num., p. 231, no. 1. 155. Ibid., no. 2. 156. 1906. Dr. F. Parkes Weber Gift. 157. Same obv. die as no. 156. 159. 1900. Lincoln.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160 | $\begin{aligned} & 78.2 \\ & 5.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} E^{-75} \\ \uparrow \end{array}\right.$ | AVTOKKMANTT OPA IANOCCEB | ABTAPOC BAC[ |
| 161 | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \cdot 8 \\ & 5 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \cdot 75 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTOKK ANTIOPAI ANOCCE | ABTAPOC BACI^EVC |
| 162 | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \cdot 6 \\ & 5 \cdot 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 8$ | AVTOKKMANTIOPDIA NO[CCEB] | " " |
| 163 | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \cdot 6 \\ & 1.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\text { E }} .8$ | AVTOKKMANTIOP $\triangle I A$ NOCCEB | ., " |
| 164 | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .8$ | AVTOKMANTIOPDIAN OCC <br> bust radiate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | TAPOC BACINEVC |
| 155 | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \cdot 4 \\ & 2 \cdot 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | Fourth Der <br> Bust of Gordian 111, r., laureate, drapery showing orer l. shoulder: inser. AVTOK 「O P $\triangle I A N O C$ | omination. <br> Bust of Abgar r., diademed, without tiara; inscr. ABFA POC BACIへEVC |
|  |  |  | Colonial Coin <br> Trajan <br> Bust of Trajan Decius r.: inscr. :- | age Restored. <br> Decius. <br> Bust of City-goddess 1., wearing turreted crown and veil, shoulders draped; in front, small flaming altar: inscr.:- |
| 166 | $\begin{aligned} & 73.3 \\ & 4.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | AVR $\triangle$ EKICCEB <br> bust laureate, drapery showing over l. shoulder. |  |

[^183]| No. | W't. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axi-. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 167 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 9 \\ & 4 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | E ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | AVr $\Delta \in \operatorname{kI}] C C \in B$ bust similar. | $\mathrm{O}[\mathrm{l}$ ] $\triangle \in C C A$ |
| 168 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{E}{\downarrow} \cdot 8$ | AVr. $\Delta \in K$ ICCEB <br> bust radiate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | $K O \wedge \in \triangle \in C C A$ |
|  |  |  | Pl. XVII. 5. |  |
| 169 | $\begin{gathered} 56 \cdot 2 \\ 3 \cdot 61 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { ※ . } 75$ | similar. | $[K O] \wedge \in \triangle \in C C A$ |
| 170 | $\begin{aligned} & 76.2 \\ & 4.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\text { Æ } \cdot 75}$ | AVr $\Delta \in K I C C \in B$ bust similar. | $K \circ \wedge \in \triangle \in C C A$ |
|  |  |  | Pl. XVII. 6. |  |
| 171 | $\begin{aligned} & 67.5 \\ & 4.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 无 } 75$ | AVr $\triangle \in K \geqslant 1 C C \in B$ similar. | $K \circ \wedge \in \Delta \in C[$ |
| 172 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { A. } 7$ | A]Vr $\Delta \in K I C C \in B$ similar. | $\bigcirc \bigcirc \wedge \in \triangle \in C C A$ |

167. 1900. Lincoln. Same obv. die as no. 166, and same dies as Col. L. A. D. Montague's specimen. 168. 1844. Devonshire. 169. 1843. Dr. Milles, Dean of Exeter. Same dies as no. 168. 170. 1913 Lincoln. 171. 1900. Lincoln. Same obv. die as no. 170. 172. Same obv. die as no. 170.

No. \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l}

Wt. \& | Metal. |
| :---: |
| Size. |
| Axis. | \& Obverse. \& Reverse. <br>

\hdashline.- \&
\end{tabular}

## NESIBI ${ }^{1}$

For bronze coins of Antiochus IV of Syria struck at Nesibi with inscription ANTIOXE $\Omega$ N T $\Omega$ N EN MYF $\triangle O N I A I$, see B.M.C. Seleucid Kimys, p. 42, nos. 86-8.

## Macrinus.

Bust of Macrinus r., laureate: Bust of City-goddess r., tur-inscr.:- reterl, reiled. and draped; in front, cornucopiae (?) :
inscr.: -

Pl. XVII. 7.

Severus Alexander.
Bust of Severus Alexander r.. Bust of City-goddess r., turlaureate; inscr.:reted, veiled, and draped; above, sign of Aries r., with head reverted ; in front, star: inser. :-

## -KAIMAPGO AヘEI CETKO^O NECIBIM H AN $\triangle$ POCC $\in$

drapery showing on l. houlder: slight beard.

$$
\text { Pl. XVII. } 8 .
$$

1 All the coins have borders of dots and inscr. arranged as usual unless otherwise described.

1. 1908. L. Hamburger. 2. 1913. Lincoln. Same dies as Macdonald, Hunter Catal., III, p. 315, no. 2, Pl. LXXIX. 15. 3. 1841. Sir R. Abdy. Sale Catal., lot 369. Same dies as preceding.
1. 1840. J. R. Steuart. Sale Catal., lot 332.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 169.7 \\ & 11 \cdot 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | inscr. obliterated: bust as on preceding. | ] $\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{CIBIMH}$ <br> star behind as well as in front. |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 179.7 \\ & 11 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTOKA $\in I A$ <br> loust clothed (paludamentum and cuirass !"). |  <br> Aries off the flan. |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} 178 \cdot 1 \\ 11.54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ]KAI[ } \quad \text { MAVPC A^EI } \\ & \text { AN } D \text { PO } \\ & \text { bust clotherl. } \end{aligned}$ | $c \in \pi[$ JHT |
|  |  |  | Severus Alfexander w <br> Busts confronted of Alexander (r., wearing paludamentum and cuirass) and Mamaea (1., draped, wearing stephane in in hair) ; inscr.:- | witl Julia Mamaea. <br> Bust of City-goddess r., as on nos. 4 f., with Aries above, star behind and in front; inscr.:- |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 219.3 \\ & 14.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 05$ | $\in A$ <br> Alexander radiate, Mamaea with crescent at shoulders. | $] N \in C I B I M H$ |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} 208.9 \\ 13 \cdot 51 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{E} 1 \cdot 1}$ | AV[ ]EIAN $\triangle P O C I O V$ M <br> Alexander laureate. <br> Pl. XVI | CE ] NECIBIMH TP ear of corn in field $r$. <br> II. 9. |
|  |  |  | Julia Ma | Tamaea. |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 210.7 \\ & 1.3 \cdot 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} 1 \cdot 1$ | Bust of Mamaea r., draped, on large crescent, wearing stephane in hair, which is waved horizontally and taken up on nape of neck: inscr. IOVMAMEA CEBA | Bust of City-goddess, as on nos. 4 f., with Aries above and star in front; inscr. C $\epsilon$ ПКО^О [ ]BIMHT |

5. 1847. Baron Knobelsdorf.
1. 1860. Count de Salis Gift.
1. 1909. Lincoln.
1. 15\%. Bank of England Gift.
2. 182\%. С. J. Rich. 10. 1900. Lincoln.

| No. | Wt. | Metal.Size. Axis. | Olverse | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gordia <br> Bust of Gordian III : inser. :- | $\text { n } \mathrm{Ill} \text {. }$ <br> Bust of City-goddess, as on nos. 4 f ., with Aries above: no stars; inser.:- |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \cdot 5 \\ & 11 \cdot 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTOK! MANT:のFק --bust I., lamreate, drapery over r. shoulder. | CЄПKO^ [ ]MHT |
| 12 | $\begin{array}{r} 136.8 \\ 8.96 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 1$ | ATVTOKKMANTTOP $\triangle I A$ NOC CE <br> bust r., laureate, drapery over shoulder. | CETIKO^ NECIBI[ |
| 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 130.8 \\ 8.15 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\mathrm{E}} .05$ | AVTOKKMANTROP $\triangle I A$ N" bust r., radiate, drapery over 1. shoulder (?). | CETKKO^ ONECI[ |
|  |  |  | Gordian III and <br> Busts confronted of Gordian (r., laureate, wearing paludamentum aud cuirass) and Tranquillina (l., draped, wearing stephane in hair); inscr.:- | Tranquillina. <br> City-goddess, wearing turreted crown, reil, chiton, and mantle, seated l. on rock, l. resting on rock, $r$. holding ears of corn; above her head, sign of Aries 1.; at her feet, halffigure of river-god swimming 1.: inser.:- |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 366 \cdot 3 \\ & 23 \cdot \% 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.35 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTOKKMANTOP $\triangle$ [IAN ON - - -TNKVAヘINANCE B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CET[ } \quad \text { TON ECIBIMH } \\ & \text { TPO } \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 284 \cdot 3 \\ & 18 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.3 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTOKKMANTTOPAIA NONCABTP AN[ | ]ECIBIMHT PO |
|  |  |  | Tranqui | illina. |
| 16 | $\begin{gathered} 164 \cdot 0 \\ 10 \cdot 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | Bust of Tranquillina r., draped, wearing stephane, hair waved horizontally: inscr. CABINA TPAN[ | Bust of City-goddess r., as ou nos. 4 f., with Aries above r.; inscr. CEПKO^ ONECIB :HTPO |

12. 1901. W. T. Ready. obv. die as Mionnet V. 626. 174.
1. 1914. J. H. Daniels.
1. 1840. Millingen. Same 15. 1805. Charles Townley.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Oberser． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Philif <br> Bust of Philip ；inscr．：－ | Senior．${ }^{1}$ <br> Temple with four twisted columns，pediment，and cen－ tral arch ；within，City－ goddess，wearing veil，chiton， and mantle，seated to front； above her head，sign of Aries r．：at her feet half－figure of river－god swimming r．： inscr．：－ |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 188.2 \\ & 12.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 0$ | AVTOKKMIOVヘIФIへIா ПоССЕВ <br> bust r．，laureate，in paluda－ mentum and cuinass． | IOVCЄTKO＾תNECIBIM HT |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 175.9 \\ & 11.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | similar． | similar． |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 169 \cdot 0 \\ & 10.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 0$ | ， | 1O＾CETKO＾ЛNECIBIM HT |
| 20 | $\begin{array}{r} 150.5 \\ 9.55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 0 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | ．． | IOVCETKOヘ |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 167.6 \\ & 10.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { £ } 1.0 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | similar inscr．；bust l．，laureate， in paludamentum and cuirass， shield charged with gorgo－ neion（？）on 1．shoulder． Pl. XV] | IOVC $\not T K O \wedge \Omega N \in C I B I M$ HT <br> II． 10. |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 178.0 \\ & 11.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { F } 1.0$ | similar inscr．；bust l．，radiate， in paludamentum and cuirass． |  |
| 23 | 144.6 6.37 | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | similar to preceding． | $\text { Pl. XVII. } 11 .$ |

${ }^{1}$ Some of these coins may be of Philip Junior，especially nos．21－4，on which the face appears to be beardless．

17．1853．Rev．Bryan Faussett．Sale Catal．，lot 10.
18．1805．Charles Townley： 19．1840．Millingen． 20 1825．C．J．Rich．21．1847．Same dies as Col．L．A．D． Montague＇s speeimen．23．1840．Millingen．On rev．，B originally omitted，then engraved over $M$ lefore inscr．was completed．

24. 1832. H. P. Borrell.
26. 1832. H. P. Borrell.

Dean of Exeter. Same dies as no. 27.
25. 1844. T. Thomas. Sale Catal., II, lot 2520. 27. 1908. L. Hamburger. 28. 1843. Dr. Milles,

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | $\begin{array}{r} 146 \cdot 2 \\ 9.47 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | MAP $\Omega$ TAKIへ) NCEB | IOVCЄПKO^תNECIBIM HT |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 163 \cdot 0 \\ & 10 \cdot 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { A } 1.0$ | MAP NCEB | " " |
| 31 | 145.5 9.13 | £ ${ }_{\uparrow} 95$ | " | " " |
| 32 | 153.9 9.97 | ※ ${ }_{\uparrow} .95$ | ". .. | " " |

29. 1847. Baron Knobelsdorf. Same rev. and same obv. (?) die as no. 27. 30. 1900. Lincoln. 31. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection. 32. 1805. Charles Townley.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \cdot 1 \\ & 6 \cdot 16 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\mathrm{E}} .8$ | RHESAENA. ${ }^{1}$ <br> Caracalla. <br> Bust of Caracalla r., radiate: Nude female figure seated 1 ., clothing of bust obscure : inscr. r. holding uncertain object $\text { ] } \mathrm{ANT} \Omega \mathrm{NI}[$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \cdot 6 \\ & 2 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E • } 65$ | Bust of Caracalla or Elagabalus r., laureate, undraped, supported on back of eagle r., in field l., III, r. J (?). whose spread wings take the form of a crescent : inscr. АИT $\omega$ जI |  |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \cdot 7 \\ 8.31 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } .75$ | Bust of Caracalla r., slightly Vexillum: on $1 . \frac{\text { q/ on r. © }}{\text { II }}$ bearded, laureate, undraped, supported on back of eagle $r$., with wings spread ; inscr. -- <br> ANT[ <br> NONCEB |  |
|  |  |  | Pl. XVIII. 3. |  |
|  |  |  | Elagat | balus. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 159 \cdot 0 \\ & 10 \cdot 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 9$ | Bust of Elagabalus r., laureate: clothing of bust obscure : inscr. ] ANTW Pl. XV | Centaur Sagittarius r., discharging bow; inscr. 参 HC AINH:- <br> IIII. 4. |

${ }^{1}$ All the coins have borders of dots on both sides and inscription arranged as usual.

1. 1889. Eug. Chaix., Catal., no. 968. 2. 1840. J. R. Steuart. Sale Catal., lot 332. Holed owing to decay (?). 3. 1916. Presented by Mr. Edw. Shepherd. 4. 1909. Lincoln.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． <br> Size． <br> Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Severus <br> Bust of Alexander l．，laureate， with shield on l．shoulder ： inscr．：－ | LEXANDER． <br> City－goddess，wearing turreted crown，veil，chiton，and man－ tle，seated 1 ．on rock；l．hand on seat，$r$ ．holding eagle， which turns its head towards her ；at her feet，half－figure of river－god swimming 1 ．； inscr．：－ |
| 5 | $\begin{array}{r} 234 \cdot 4 \\ 15 \cdot 19 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{1 \cdot 1}$ | AVTKMA CAへEIAHD POC <br> shield decorated with double volute． <br> Pl．XV | inscr．confused；ends LEGII IP <br> III． 5. |
| 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 142 \cdot 2 \\ 9 \cdot 21 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | $] \triangle \mathrm{POCAV}$ | INNCI WHLEGIIIPZ river－god off the tlan． |
| 7 | $\begin{array}{r} 141 \cdot 6 \\ 9 \cdot 18 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | inscr．illegible；shield not visible；traces of earlier type restruck． | ＂IIIo $11 / 11 C l \omega$ river－god not visible；traces of earlier inscr．restruck $\because \wedge$ ヘヘС… |
| 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 122.0 \\ 7.91 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | inscr．illegible：struck from fractured die． | －－HHCI WIIIEGI ．－ <br> river－god off the flan． |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 46.4 \\ & 3.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .75$ | Bust of Alexander 1．，laureate， wearing paludamentum and cuirass；inscr． АИロРО $] A \wedge \in I$ <br> Pl．XV | Centaur Sagittarius standing r．；before him，banner of vexillum inscribed 111 ； below him，$\Delta$ <br> III． 6. |

5．1908．Lincoln．6．1909．Lincoln．7．1889．Eug．Chaix．，Catal．，no． 969. Apparently struck（obv．on rev．）over a coin of Sev．Alexander，part of whose name is legible on rev．The rev．inscription is apparently a blunder for PHCAINHCIW． 8．1843．Dr．Milles，Dean of Exeter．9． 1849 ．J．Doubleday．

10. 1853. Rev. Bryan Faussett. Sale Catal., Iot 10. Holed by decay (?).
11. 1841.

Sir R. Abdy. Sale Catal., lot 369 (?). 13. 1847. Baron Knobelsdorf. 14. Same obv.
die as no. 12 (?). 15. 1844. Devonshire. Sale Catal., lot 22'.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Ol,verse. <br> Bust of Decius, wearing paludamentum and ruirass: inscr.:- | Reverse. <br> 'Temple seen in perspective three-quarters l., with two columns in front, five at side ; pediment, but no roof indicated ; within, eagle standing l. with wreath in beak; in ex., half-figure of river-gorl swimming between two palmbranches: inscr.:- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 216 \cdot 1 \\ & 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 05$ | AVTKAITAIMECKVTPA $\Delta$ EKIOCCEB <br> bust r., radiate. | CETPHCAINHCIWNLIII P |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 174 \cdot 0 \\ & 11.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 05$ | same inscription and bust. | ., .. |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 188.8 \\ & 12.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { A } 1.05$ |  PA $\triangle$ EKIOCCEB <br> bust l., laureate | ", " |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 175.5 \\ & 11.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Æ } 1.05$ | ```AVTKAITAIMECKVTPA AEKIOCCEB hust l., radiate.``` | " ${ }^{\prime}$ |

Pl. XVIII. 8.

$20 \begin{array}{ccc}167.8 & \text { E } 1.1 \\ 10.8 \gamma & \downarrow\end{array}$ same inscription and bust. CETPHCAIN[ WNLI[
21201.2 E 1.05 Bust of Decius r., laureate, Temple with eagle as on pre13.04 drapery on shoulder: inser. ceding coins, but roof also AVTK[ ]AIMECKV"I[ indicated; river-god and ]IOCCEB palm-branches in ex.; inscr. C $\operatorname{CHPHCAINHCIWNL}$

Pl. XVIII. 9.
16. 1841. T. Burgon.
17. 1844. Devonshire. Sale Catal., lot 229. Same obv, die at no. 16. 18. 1841. T. Burgon.
20. 1844. Devonshire. Sale Catal., lot 229. Same ribv. die Same obv. die as no. 15 . a* $n 0.15$.

| No. |  | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bust of Decius r., radiate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass: inscr. | City-goddess, wearing turreted crown, chiton, and mantle, standing I., holding cornucopiae in l.: with r. she pours libation on lighted altar: in field l., above, eagle with spread wings standing $r$. on palm-branch, holding wreath in beak; in field on either side, palm-branch ; inscr. :- |
| 22 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 234 \cdot 2 \\ 15 \cdot 18 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | A :K「MEKV $\triangle$ EKIOCT PAIANOCCEB | HCAINHCIWNLIIP eagle stands on short sceptre (?). |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 188.7 \\ & 12 \cdot 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 05$ | AVTKГMEKVDEKIOCT PA[IANOCCEB] | ", " |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 159 \cdot 5 \\ & 10 \cdot 3 i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ※ } 1.0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVTKГMEKVDEKIOCT PAIANOCCEB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CEПKO^PHCA:FHCIW } \\ & \text { NLIP } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Pl. XV | II. 10. |
| 2.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 174 \cdot 2 \\ & 11.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | AVTKIMEKVT[ ]ANO C $\triangle$ EKIOCCEB | CETTO^PHCAIN[ WN |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 162 \cdot 6 \\ & 10 \cdot 5 i \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | AVTKГMEKVDEKIOC־ Fis. | C $\in \Pi K O /$ FHCAINHCIW NLIIP <br> no palm-branch in field $r$. |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 176 \cdot 3 \\ & 11 \cdot 1.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \underset{\uparrow}{1.05}$ | Bust of Decius r., rarliate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass; inscr. AVTKAITAI MECKVTPADEKIOCCEB | City-goddess, wearing turreted crown, veil, chiton, and mantle, seated l.: I. hand on seat, r. extended (over altar !) ; at her feet, half-figure of rivergod swimming; above her head, uncertain object (eagle 1. ?) ; inser. CETPHCAI N HCIONJIIP |

22. 1847. Baron Knobelsdorf. Same obv, die as no. $10^{\prime}$ ?).
1. 180\%. Charles Townley. Same obv. die as no. 13. 24. 1844. T. Thomas. Sale Catal., II. lot 2520. Same obv. die as no. 13. 25. 1909. LincoIn. Pierced. 26. Piercerl. 27. Same obv. die as no. 16.

| No. | W't. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bust of Decius, radiate, wearing paludamentum aud cuirass: inscr. :- | Two City-gorldesses standing, joining r. lands, each wearing turreted head-dress, chiton, and mantle; between them, altar; on l., figure of Aquarius (:) r. on column ; on r., figure of Centaur Sagittarius r.; in ex., half-figure of river-god swimming ; above, eagle with spread wings holding wreath iu beak; inscr.:- |
| 28 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 237.4 \\ 15.38 \end{array}$ | $\pm 1.05$ | AVTKГ M EKV [ $\Delta$ EKIOCT PAIANOCCEB] bust r . | CETKKOAPHCAI[NHCIW NLIIP] <br> altar in shape of caryatid figure supporting slab: eagle's head to r . |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 163.1 \\ & 10.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\not \subset 1.05$ | inscr. obliterated; bust r. | C $€ \Pi K O \wedge P H C A I N H C I W$ NLIIP <br> details as in preceding. <br> Pl. XVIII. 11. |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 177.6 \\ & 11.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{ } .95$ | AVTKAITAIMECKVTPA $\triangle$ EKIOCCEB bust 1 . | C $€ \Pi K O \wedge P H C A I N H C I W$ NLIIIP <br> altar of ordinary circular sliape; eagle's head I. <br> Pl. XVIII. 12. |
| 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 176.7 \\ & 11.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\text { E } 1.05}$ | AVTKAITAIMECKVTPA $\Delta \in K I O C C \in B$ bust 1 . | C $€ \Pi K \circ \wedge P H C A I N H C I \omega$ NLIIP <br> details as on preceding. |

28. 1805. Charles Townley. Same obv. die as no. 11 (?).
1. Rev. Mr. Badger. hame dies as no. 28. 30. 1843. Dr. Milles, Dean of Exeter. Same obv, die as no. 15. 31. Same obv. die as no. 15.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | $\begin{aligned} & 224 \cdot 3 \\ & 14 \cdot 5: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} 1 \cdot 05 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | AVT OCCEB bust r. | CETKO $\triangle$ PHCAINHCIW NLIIP <br> letails as on preceding. $\text { Pl. XVIII. } 18 .$ |
|  |  |  | Bust of Decius r., radiate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass: inscr.:- | 'Two busts of City-gorldess, confronted, wearing turreted crown and veil, draped; between them, above, eagle with wings spread, head r., holding wreath in beak ; below, altar : inscr.: - |
| 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 97.2 \\ & 6 \cdot 30 \end{aligned}$ | E.s | AVTKГMKVTPAD EKIO CC€]B | $\left[\begin{array}{l} {[--\wedge P H C A] \text { INHCIWNLII }} \\ \text { Pl. XVIII. } 13 . \end{array}\right.$ |
| 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 92.5 \\ & 5 \cdot 9.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 85$ | AVTKTMKVTPA $\Delta \in[K I$ - ]CCEB | ]^PHCAINHCIWNLIIP |
|  |  |  | Bust of Decius r., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass ; inscr.:- | Vexillum, with pendants from ends of cross-bar, surmounted by eagle with spread wings, head l., holding wreath in beak; inscr. around; on the banner, name of legion :- |
| 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 7 \\ & 3 \cdot 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 65$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[A V] \text { TKFMKTP } \triangle E K I O C C} \\ & {[\in B]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CETTKO^PHCA INHCIW } \\ \text { NLIIP } \\ \text { on banner LEG \| IIIP } \\ \text { Pl. XVIII. } 14 . \end{gathered}$ |
| 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.8 \\ & 2.06 \end{aligned}$ | E | AVTKГMKTP $\triangle$ EKIOCCE B | FHCAI NHCIWF: <br> inscr. on banner obliterated. |

32. (?) 1843 . Dr. Milles, Dean of Exeter. 34. 1844 . Devomshire. Sule Catal., lot 46 . Same dies as no. 3\%. no. 35.

| No. | W't. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 206 \cdot 6 \\ & 13 \cdot 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | Trajan Declus and Herennia Etruscilla. <br> Busts confronter of Decius (on Founder ploughing r. with 1.) and IIerennia (on r.) ; yoke of cattle; above, eagle ; Decius r., radiate, wearing in ex., river-god (all as on paludamentum and cuirass: no. 10); inser. CЄПKO^PH Herennia, l., wearing stephane, CAINHCIWNUIIP with crescent at shoulders; inscr. AVTKГAMEKTPA $\triangle$ EKIOCCEB $\mathcal{P}[$ ETPOVC $K I] \wedge \wedge A C \in B$ |
| 38 | $\begin{gathered} 117.7 \\ \% \cdot 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | Trajan Decius and Herennius Etruscus. <br> Busts confronted of Decius (on Founder ploughing r. with l.) and Herennius (on r.), eacl yoke of cattle : above, eagle; wearing paludamentum and in ex., river-god (all as on cuirass: Decius, r., radiate ; no. 10) ; inscr. C $\mathcal{\Pi}$ KO $\triangle P$ Herennius, l., bareheaded; HCAINHCIWNLIP inser. AVTKГMKTP $\triangle$ EKI <br> Pl. XVIII. 15. OCCEB[KVEPETP]MD[E KIOCKÄICAP] |
| 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 171.1 \\ & 11.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 1.0$ | Same type as preceding: inscr. AVTKГMKTP $\Delta[$ EKIOC $]$ CEBKVEP $\in T P M \triangle \in K I O C$ KAICAP <br> City-godeless, with cornucopiae, standing l., sacrificing; in field above on l. eagle on palm-branch, on 1 . and r ., palm-branches (all as on no. 24): inser. CETKOヘPHC AI [ <br> Pl. XVIII. 16. |

37. 1905. J. Hirsch. Same olow. die as Mionnet V, 633.203. 38. 1901. W. T. Ready. Pierced. 39. 1860. J. F. W. Count de Salis. Same obv. die as no. 38.

| No. | Wt. | Netal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Herennia <br> Bust of Etruscilla r., wearing stephane, hair waved horizontally, draperl : inser. :- | Etruscilla. <br> Temple seen in perspective, three-quarters l., two columns in front, six at side: pediment, but no roof indicated ; within, eagle stauding l., holding wreath in beak; in ex., half-figure of river-gort swimming l., and palmbranch: inser.:- |
| 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 211 \cdot 2 \\ & 13 \cdot 69 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\uparrow}^{\mathrm{E} 1.05}$ | EPENNIANWTPACK^^ $\wedge \wedge$ <br> Pl. XV | CETPHCAINHCIWNL.H P <br> III. 17. |
| 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 206 \cdot 8 \\ & 13 \cdot 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 05$ | JNIANAITPW | tiled roof indicated: palmbranch not visible. |

40. 1905. J. Hirsch.
1. 1805. Charles Tuwnley.

| No | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 262 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \% \cdot(10 \end{gathered}$ | $-\mathrm{E} 1.05$ | SINGARA. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  |  |  | (iordian lil. |  |
|  |  |  | Bust of Gordian : inscr. : - | Bust of City-goddess r., wearing turreted crown and veil, draped; above, Centaur Sagittarius r., discharging bow : inscr.:- |
|  |  |  | AVTOKKMANTTOPDIA NOCCEB <br> bust r., radiate, Irapery on I. shoulder. | AViCCTT KO^CINTAPA |
|  |  |  | P1. XIX. 1. |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 218 \cdot 2 \\ & 11 \cdot 1 i \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | same as preceding. | " |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 197.1 \\ & 12.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.15$ | similar inscr.; bust r., laureate, wearing paluclamentum and cuirass. | AVPCET |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 185.0 \\ 11.99 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | similar inscr.; bust l, radiate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. | AVF |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 171 \cdot 8 \\ & 11 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\text { E } 1.15}$ | same as precerling. | AVPCET KO^CINTA |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \cdot 6 \\ & 11 \cdot 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } 1 \cdot 0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | similar inser.: bust l., laureate, wearing paludamentum and cuirass. <br> Pl. X | AVPCETT KO^CINTAPA IX. 2. |

[^184]1. 1900 . Lincoln.
2. 1874. Lincoln. Same obv. die as no. 1 .
1. 1877. Bank
of England dift. 4. 1853. Rev. Bryan Faussett. Sale Catal., lot $10 . \quad$ 5. 1847. Mu,tard. Same obv. dieas no. 4. 6. 1874. Lincoln.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gordian III and <br> Busts of Gordian r．（on I．）and Tranquillina l．（on r．）con－ fronted；Gordian wears palu－ damentum and cuirass，Tran－ quillina wears stephane，hair horizontally waved，and dra－ pery about shoulders：inscr．：－ | Tranquillina． <br> ｜City－goddess，wearing turreter crown，veil，mantle，and chi－ ton，seated l．on rock，l．resting on seat， 1 ．extended holding small branch；at her feet， half－figure of river－god swim－ ming l．；above her lead， Centaur Sagittarius 1．，dis－ charging bow ；inser．：－ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 369 \cdot 5 \\ & 23.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\text { E }} 1 \cdot 3$ | 7KMANTIOP $\triangle I A N O C C$ ABTPANKVAヘINAC Gordian radiate． | AVPCETIKO＾CINTAPA |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 352.0 \\ & 22.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.25$ | AVTOKKMANTTOPDIA NONCABTPANKVヘヘIN ACEB <br> Gordian laureate． | AVPCETK O＾CINTAPA |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 345 \cdot 8 \\ & 22 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{E}} 1.3$ | similar inser．and type． | ＂＂， |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 345.0 \\ 22.36 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.2$ | ＂，＂， | ＂．＂ |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 344 \cdot 4 \\ & 29.3: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 3$ | Pl．X | IX． 3. |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 316 \cdot 1 \\ & 20 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { £ } 1.2$ | AVTOKKMANTTOPDIA NONCABTPAN［KVA］＾I NACEB <br> similar type． | ＂＂ |
| 13 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 300.9 \\ 19.50 \end{array}$ |  | AVTOKKMANTIOPDIA NONCABTPANKVヘヘIN ACEB <br> same type． | AVPCETTKO＾CINTAPA |

7，8．1805．Charles Townley．No． 8 is from same obv．die as Col．L．A．D．Montagne＇s specimen．9．1856．Lynch．10．Graves．11．1844．T．Thomas．Sale Catal．， III，lot 2520．12．1844．Devonshire．Sale Catal．，lot 229．13．Same obr．die as no． 12.

14. 1860. J. F. W. Count de Salis. 15. 1825 C. J. Rich. Same obv. die as no. 14.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Olverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | UNCERTAIN MINT.1 <br> CARRHAE or EDESSA (see Introduction). <br> M. Aurelius. <br> Silver denarii. |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.1 \\ & 3.70 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ | Bust of M. Aurelius r., bearded, \|Nike, carrying long palnlaureate, draperyon l.shoulder; branch in l.: circlet with inscr. ]KMAVP pendent fillets in r., standing NOC. r. on globe: inscr. HNEIKH $P \Omega M A I \Omega N$ Pl. XIX. 5. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 i & .65 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | Bust of M. Aurelius r., bearded, bareheaded, drapery over shoulders: inscr. AVTKMA V $\mathrm{H} \wedge$ ] ivi $\Omega$ NIN : border off the flan. <br> Female figure (Armenia) wearing tall head-dress and long garment, seated 1 . on ground; beside her a shield and a vexillum-like standard; inscr. VTTEPNIKHCP $\Omega M$ AI $\Omega \mathrm{N}$, and in ex. $[\mathbf{A}]$ PM $[$ Pl. XIX. 6. |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \cdot 6 \\ & 2 \cdot 70 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} .65$ | Same as precerling; inscr. $\mid$ Female figure, wearing tunic [AV]TKMAVPHへ AN[T and mantle, standing to front, תNIN]; border off the flan. head l., holding in r. globe or apple, in 1. cornucopiae; inser. $[V \pi][P]$ NIKHC $P$ $\Omega M A I \Omega N$ <br> Pl. XIX. 7. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot \tau$ | Bust of M. Aurelius r., bearded, Female figure, wearing tunic nodraped; inscr. MAVPHAIOC ANT $\Omega$ NI head l., resting with r. on NOCE sceptre, holding in l. cornucopiae: inscr. VTIEPNIKH C P $\Omega M A I \Omega N$ <br> Pl. XIX. 8. |

${ }^{1}$ All the coins have borders of dots on both sides and inseription arranged as usual.
2. 1860. Eastwood. 3. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection. From Noury Bey, 1906. 4. 1799. C. M. Cracherode.


Pl. XIX. 10.
7

| $\begin{gathered} 34 \cdot 6 \\ 2.24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \pi & \cdot 65 \\ & \uparrow \end{array}$ | Bust of Faustina r., draped, Venus, wearing tunic and hair in chignon at back of mantle, standing to front, head; inscr. ФAVCTINA head l., holding apple in r., CEBACTH resting with l. on sceptre: inscr. VTEPNIKHCT $\Omega$ N KVPISN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Pl. XIX. 11.

Lucius Verus.

## Silver denarius.

| 5 | 7 | Bust of Verus r., bearded, un- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | $\uparrow$ | draped: inscr. $\mathcal{V}$ VTKへへVP OVHPOCCEB |

Female figure, wearing tunic and mantle, standing l., 1. resting on sceptre, r. holding ears of corn over small portable altar: inscr. VПEPNi


## Pl. XLVIII. 7.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} A i .7 \\ \downarrow \end{array}\right.$ | Silver d | LLA． <br> enarius． |
|  |  |  | Bust of Lucilla r．，draped， hair plaited and in chignon at back：inscr．$\wedge O$ VKIヘヘA C EBACTH <br> Pl．XI | Tenus，as on no． 7 ；inscr． TNIKHC P $\Omega$ MAI $\Omega$ N <br> X． 12 ． |
|  |  |  | Сомm <br> Bron | ODUS． <br> nze． |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \cdot 0 \\ & 1.94 \end{aligned}$ | A 6 | Bust of Commodus r．，bearded， laureate，undraped：inscr． AVKAICAP KOMODOC Pl. XI | Bu－t of City－goddess r．，rlraped， wearing turreted crown and veil ；inscr．シП $\Pi$ EPNIKHC PWMAILNN <br> X． 13 ． |

10．1860．Eastwood．

## BABYLONIA

For series attributed to Babylon under Alexander and his successors，see below， Alexandrine Empire of the East．

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 89 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E }_{\uparrow}^{.7}$ | SELEUCIA AD TIGRIM．${ }^{1}$ <br> Undated bronze． <br> （Second－First cent．b．c．） <br> With bevelled edge． <br> Bust of City－goddess r．，wearing Tripod－lebes；inscr．on r．and turreted crown． <br> clothing of bust uncertain． <br> l．，downwards；border of dots． <br> 彦 <br> 胗而TPOミT $\Omega$ I <br> 参ITPE： <br> Pl．XXIII． 3. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 72 \end{aligned}$ | $Æ_{\uparrow}^{.65}$ | bust unclother． <br> EENEIKE $\Omega$ N <br> シ $\Omega$ NTPO $T \Omega$ I <br> ジアPEI <br> Pl．XXIII． 4. |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \cdot 7 \\ & 1 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow} .5$ | Bust of City－goddess r．，wear－｜Cornucopiae ；on r．down－ ing turreted crown，undraped ： behind，uncertain monogram： border of dots． <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Pl．XXIII． 5. |

${ }^{1}$ All the coins should probably have a border of dots，but it is frequently invisible．
1．1908．Lincoln．
2，3．1900．Lincoln．

4. 1841. Matthew Young.
5. 1825. С. J. Rich.
6. See Imhoof-Blumer, Mom, (ir. p. 451, no. 66.

| No． | W＇t． | Metal． <br> Size． <br> Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 8 \\ & 2 \cdot 58 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 5}$ | Coins without mint－nam at Tig <br> Undated <br> End of First <br> Head of City－goddess r．，wear－ ing turreted crown，with two long curls on neck：border，if any，usually oft the flan． | e，attributed to Seleucia grin． <br> bronze． <br> st cent．в．c． <br> City－goddess，wearing turreted crown and tunic，seated 1 ．on rock，r．holding Nike r．placing wreatly on her head，1．resting on seat；beneath her feet， river－god，horned and bearled， swimming 1．；in field r．，A： 1，upwards，inser．：－ <br> П०ヘ1：．． |
| 8 | 29.3 1.90 | E ${ }^{5} 5$ |  | \％TOヘI．．．：horns like antlers． |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \cdot 2 \\ & 1 \cdot 89 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E }{ }_{\uparrow}^{6}$ | border of dots． | $\Pi \circ \wedge I \Sigma$ <br> Pl．XXIII． 9. |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.8 \\ & 1.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .5$ | Pl. XXI | ПOヘジ彡彡 <br> III． 10. |
| 11 | $\begin{gathered} 25.7 \\ 1 \cdot 66 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 45$ |  |  |
| 12 | 23.2 1.50 | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .45$ |  | 参○へ |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0 \\ & 1.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 5}$ |  | TONIL |
| 14 | 20.2 1.31 | E ${ }_{\uparrow} 5$ |  | \％○ぶ参 |
| 15 | $20 \cdot 1$ $1 \cdot 30$ | E ${ }_{\uparrow} 5$ |  | inser．off the flan． |

[^185] 10．Gardner，Parthian Coinage，Pl．VII． 22 ；B．M．C．Parthia，Pl．XXXVII．11．11，12．189S． Benlian．With other Parthian bronze．13．Same obs．die as no．10．14． 18.15. Subhy Pacha Sale，lot 3941.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \cdot 2 \\ & 1 \cdot 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | Second century after Christ. <br> Bust of City-goddess r., wear- $\begin{array}{l}\text { King (?) seated l. on throne, } \\ \text { ing turreted crown, draped. }\end{array}$ <br> $\begin{array}{l}\text { holding in r. Nike who flies r. } \\ \text { to place wreath on his head. }\end{array}$  <br> Pl. XXIII. 11. |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \cdot 0 \\ & 1.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .75$ | King seated l. on throne. <br> City-goddess seated l., wearing receiving wreath from Citychiton and mantle, holding goddess, who stands $r$. wreath in $r$. border of dots. <br> Pl. XXIII. 12. |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.3 \\ & 1.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | Pl. XXIII. 13. <br> Dated by the Seleucid era. <br> Year 224 А. s. $=89-88$ в.с. <br> Head of City-goddess r., wearing turreted crown; border, when visible, usually linear, A but sometimes dotted. |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.4 \\ & 2 \cdot 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ | $A(?)$ for $A$ |
| 20 | $\begin{gathered} 30.7 \\ 1.99 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ |  |
| 21 | $\begin{gathered} 30 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 97 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | $A \text { for } A$ |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \cdot 3 \\ & 1 \cdot 96 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot \bar{j}$ | $\Delta$ for $\Delta, A$ for $A$ |

17. 1887. Presented by Mr. J. M. C. Johnston. Parthian bronze.
1. 1898. Benlian. 21, 22. 1894. Sir Alex. Cunningham Collection.
1. 1898. Benlian. With other 20. 1878. Subliy Pacha Sale, lot 8934 .

| No. | wt. | Metal. <br> Size <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | $30 \cdot 1$ | E 5 | Pl. XXIII. 14. |  |
|  | 1.9\% | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| 24 | 29.6 | E. 5 |  |  |
|  | 1.92 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| 25 | 29.4 | E. 5 |  |  |
|  | 1.90 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| 26 | 28.7 | ※ .6 |  | $\Delta$ for $\Delta$ |
|  | 1.96 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| 27 | 26.8 | E 4 |  | $A$ for $A$ |
|  | 1.\%1 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |
| 28 | 26.4 | E. 55 |  | " |
|  | 1.\%1 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| 29 | 26.2 | E. 55 |  | " |
|  | 1.20 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| 30 | 25.2 | ※. 45 |  | " |
|  | $1 \cdot 63$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| 31 | 24.9 | ※ . 55 |  | $\Delta$ for $\Delta$ |
|  | 1.61 | - |  |  |
| 32 | 23.5 | E. 6 |  | " |
|  | 1.52 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| 33 | 22.2 | E.4 |  | $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ off the flan. |
|  | 1-14 | 1 |  |  |
| 34 | 21.6 | E. 45 |  | $A$ for $A$ |
|  | $1 \cdot 10$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| 35 | 20.3 | E.55 |  |  |
|  | 1.31 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |

23. 1908. L. Hamburger Collection. 24. 1894. Sir Alex. Cunningham Collection. 25. 1898. Benlian. 26. 1878. Subhy Pacha Sale. lot 3935 . 27. 1898. Benlian. 28, 29. 1878. Subhy Pacha Sale, lots 3936-7. 30. 1894. Sir Alex. Cunningham Collection. 31. Gardner, Parthian Coinage. Pl. VII. 23; B. M. C. Parthia, Pl. XXXVII. 12. 32, 33. 1898. Benlian.
1. 190 s.
L. Hamburger Collection.
2. 1878. Subhy Pacha Sale, lot 3938.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. | Date. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \cdot 0 \\ & 1.30 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow}^{.5}$ | Pl. XX] | $\Delta \text { for } \Delta$ <br> III. 15. |  |
| 37 | 19.8 $1 \cdot 28$ | E ${ }^{\text {¢ }} 4$ |  | $A$ for $A$ |  |
| 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \cdot 4 \\ & 1.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} 4$ |  | A off the tlan. |  |
| 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \cdot 3 \\ & 1.19 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 45$ |  | , |  |
| 40 | 16.5 1.07 | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 45$ |  | [ for $\Sigma$ |  |
| 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{E} & .85 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | Bust of City-goddess r., Female head r., wearing draped, wearing turreted small kalathos and veil ; crown ; border of dots. inscr. (beginning on 1. above) ᄃКТГОРПIAIO Y; behind head, X Pl. XXIII. 16. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.S. } \\ & 326= \\ & 14-15 \\ & \text { A.D. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 42 | $\begin{gathered} 9.4 \\ 0.61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \notin .45 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Similar type; border of Elephant's head (\%) r.; bedots. $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { low, ....V } V \text { : border of } \\ & \text { dots. }\end{aligned}\right.$ Pl. XXIII. 17. <br> Similar type, of different Nike advancing l., l. hand style and thick fabric; hanging at her side, in r. border of dots. a palm-branch: in field 1. downwards, date ; border of dots. <br> ANT <br> Pl. XXIII. 18. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A. S. } \\ & 334= \\ & 22-3 \\ & \text { A. D. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $4 \cdot 10$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 351= \\ 39-40 \\ \text { A. D. } \end{gathered}$ |

36, 37. 1894. Sir Alex. Cunningham Collection.
38. 1841. L. Strange.
39. 1895.

Lincoln. 40. 1898. Benlian.
41. 1909. Lincoln.
42. See Introduction.
43. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent. B. M. C. Parthia, Pl. XXXVII. 13.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obver-e. |  | Date. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .55$ |  | BNT <br> III. 19. | $\begin{gathered} \text { A.S. } \\ 352= \\ 40-1 \\ \text { A.D. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | (flatter fabric) | BNT | " |
| 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\AA_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | BNT | " |
| 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.7 \\ & 2.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  | $\Gamma N \neq$ <br> III. 20. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { A.S. } \\ 353= \\ 41-2 \\ \text { A. D. } \end{array}$ |
| 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 33 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | behind bust, A | \| 参参T <br> III. 21. | $?$ |

44. Gardner, Parthian Coinage, Pl. VII. 24; B. M. C. Parthia, ll. XXXVII. 14. 46. 190 . Lincoln. The $\mathbf{B}$ in the date has a curved back, which at first sight makes it look like $\boldsymbol{E}$.

## ASSYRIA

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obserse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 29.8 \\ 1.93 \end{gathered}$ | $E_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | ATUSIA (?) AD CAPRUM. <br> Bronze. <br> First century в.c. <br> Bust of City-goddess 1., wear- Palm-luranch and arrow uping turreted crown. |

1. 18:3. Millingen. Weston, Arcluclogia, XVI (1806), pp. 9 and 89 ; Sestini, Lettere, VI (1819), p. 80 ; Millingen, Sylloge, pp. 82 fi.. Pl. II. 64 ; Mionnet, Supp, VIII, 390.8.

## PERSIAN EMPIRE



1. 1918. J. Gorman Ford Bequest. 2. 1845. M. J. Borrell. Head, Lydia and Persia, Pl. I. 14 ; Coins of the Ancients, I A. 17. Hunkin, Num. Chron., 1916, p. -58. Apparently same rev. die as Babelon, Perses Ach., Pl. I. 16. Sp. G. 18.91.

1. 1866. Woodhouse Gift. Sp. G. 18.92. Jrun. Chron., 1916, p. $258 . \quad 3$ bis. 1920. F. W. Hasluck Bequest. 4. ? 1841. T. Burgon. 5. 1917. Presented by Mr. E. S. Gr. Robinson. From Mr. F. WV. Hasluck's hoard. 6, 7. 1895. Gen. Houtum Schindler from Persia). 8,9. 1916. Presented by Mr. J. G. Milne. From same rev. die. Num. Chron., 1916, p. 2, nos. 2, 3. IO. 1916. Presented by Mr. J. (土. Milne. Ibid., 1916, p. 2, no. 14. $\quad 11.1846 . \quad$ II. J. Borrell.

1. 1915. Presented by Mr. E. 'T. Newell. Num. Chron., 1914, p. 2s, no. 105. Same rev. die as no. 10. 13. 1846. M. J. Borrell. 14. 1916. Presented by Mr. J. G. Milne. N’um. Chron., 1916, p. こ, no. (;. 15. 1915. Presented by Mr. E. T. Newell. Num. Chron., 1914, p. 23 , no. 100 (?). 16. 18!5. Gen. Houtum Schindler (from Persia. 17. 1866. Woodhouse Gift. 18. 1841. T. Burgon. Same rev. die as no. 14. 19. 1866. Wuodhouse ( W ift. Sp. G. 18.79. Hunkin, Num. Chron., 1916. p. 258.

1. 1906. Parkes Weber Gift. Same rev. die as no. 19.
1. 1853. Sabatier Sale, lot 775. 22. 1846. M. J. Borrell. 23. 1841. T. Burgon. 23 bis. 1920. F. W. Hasiuck Bequest. 24. 1917. Presented by Mr. E. S. G. Robinson. From Mr. F. W. Hasluck's hoard. 25-8. 1915. Presented by Mr. E. T. Newell. Ňum. Chron., 1914, pp. 23 f., nos. 106 , 129, 123, 121. All from closely similar rev. dies. Cp. rev. of Babelon, Traité, Pl. LXXVI. 5.

1. 1906. Presented by Mı. J. G. Milne. Num. Chrom., 1916. p. 2, no. 8. Rev. die closely similar to nos. 25-S. 30. 1915. Presented by Mr. E. T. Newell. Num. Chron., 1914, p. 24, no. 122. Rev. die closely similar to nos. $25-9$. 31. 1916. Presented by Mr. J. G. Mine. Num. Chon. 1916, p. 2, no. 9. 31 bis. 1920. F. W. Hasluck Bequest. 32. 1882. India Office Collection. 33. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection. 34. 1918. Presented ly Mr. J. (i. Milne. Ňum. Chron., 1916, p. 2. no. 5.
1. 1841. T. Burgon.
1. 1870. Webster.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | $84 \cdot 3$ | A . 7 |  |
| bis | $5 \cdot 46$ |  |  |
| 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 71.5 \\ & 4.63 \end{aligned}$ | A. 65 plated |  |
| 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \cdot 2 \\ & 1.22 \end{aligned}$ | R 65 plated |  |
| 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 53.7 \\ & 3 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | Aर 6 plater |  |
|  |  |  | ```Group C. \\ 'Artaxerxes I' Babelon. \\ Coarse features, nose large, beard shaggy.``` |
| 40 | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \cdot 1 \\ 8.37 \end{array}$ | A 6 | Darics. <br> Pl. XXIV. 24. |
| 41 | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \cdot 0 \\ 8 \cdot 36 \end{array}$ | A 6 |  |
| 42 | $\begin{array}{r} 128.7 \\ 8.34 \end{array}$ | - ${ }^{\text {d }} 65$ | Pl. XXIV. 25. |
| 43 | $\begin{array}{r} 127.8 \\ 8.2 S \end{array}$ | A 65 | Pl. XXIV. 26. |
|  |  |  | Sigloi. |
| 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 84.7 \\ & 5 \cdot 49 \end{aligned}$ | 兂 6 | Pl. XXIV. 27. |

36 bis. 1920. F. W. Hasluck Bequest. Rev. closely resembles that of nos. 25 ff . 37-9. 18fi6. Woodhouse Gift. Rev. of nos. 37, 38 closely resembles nos. 25 ff. Similar reverses are also found attached to definitely barbarous obverses (e.g. group C bis, nos. 46 bis and ter). 40. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection. From E. Arslanoglou, Smyrna, 1891. 41. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham Bequest. 42. 1866. Woodhouse Gift. Same obv. (?) and rev. dies as Babelon, Tiaite., Pl. LXXXVI. 3. Gift. 44. 1841. 'l'. Burgon.
43. 186f. Wondhouse

45. 1918. Glendining Sale, 19. ix. 1918, lot 120.
46. 1846. M. J. Borrell. 46 bis and ter. 1920 . F. W. Hasluck Bequest. Rev. of same type as nos. $25 \mathrm{ff} ., 36$ bis ff . 47. 1824. R. Payne Knight, Num. Vet., p. 167 C 3. Same rev. die as Babelon, Traité,

Pl. LXXXVII. 28. Pl. LXXXVI. 5. preceding.
48. 1846. M. J. Borrell. Same rev. die as Rabelon, Trait, 49. 1895. Gen. Houtum Schindler (from Persia). Same rev. die as 50. 1846. M. J. Borrell. Same rev. die as preceding.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. ${ }_{\text {a }}$ Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 4 \\ & 5 \cdot 40 \end{aligned}$ | . l . 65 | Q $\text { Pl. XXV. } 5 .$ |
| 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | A -6.5 | and another, obscure. on edge, obscure punch-mark. $\text { Pl. XXV. } 6 .$ |
| 53 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 7 \\ & 5 \cdot 42 \end{aligned}$ | A $\cdot 6$ |  |
| 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | A $\cdot 7$ | anil two stabs. <br> * 8 and another, obscure. Pl. XXV. 8. |
| 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 81.5 \\ & 5.25 \end{aligned}$ | . 6.6 | Pl. XXV. 9. |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group D. } \\ & \text { 'Darius II' Babelon. } \\ & \text { Slim figure, with straight } \\ & \text { nose. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \cdot 8 \\ & 5 \cdot 2 i \end{aligned}$ | R . 8 | Sigloi. $\text { Pl. XXV. } 10 .$ |
| 57 | $\begin{gathered} 78 \cdot 2 \\ 5 \cdot 07 \end{gathered}$ | R $\quad .7$ | Pl. XXV. 11. |

51. 1844. E. T. Daniell's Executors. From Lycia. Spratt and Forbes, Travels, II, p. 305. Same rev. die as preceding. 52. 1895. Gen. Houtum Schindler (from Persia). Same obv. (?) and rev. dies as preceding. 53. 1846. M. J. Borrell. 54. 1906. Presented by Mr. W. W. Simpson. 55. 1841. T. Burgon. 56. 1909. S. Lonisides of Makri 57. 1824. R. Payne Knight, Num. Vet., p. 167. C 1.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Group E. <br> 'Artaxerxes II' Babelon. <br> Eye in profile, nose short, cheek full, beard long, V. shaped fold in front of kandys. <br> Darics. |
| 58 | $\begin{array}{r} 128.7 \\ 8.31 \end{array}$ | A $\cdot 65$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\mid}{\text { Pl. }} \mathrm{XXV} . \\ \end{gathered}$ |
| 59 | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \cdot 4 \\ 8 \cdot 32 \end{array}$ | A $\cdot 65$ | $\text { P1. } \stackrel{\text { XXV. }}{13 .}$ |
| 60 | $\begin{array}{r} 126 \cdot 3 \\ 8 \cdot 18 \end{array}$ | A $\quad .65$ |  |
|  |  |  | Group F. <br> Cyrus the Younger (\%). |
| 61 | $\begin{array}{r} 126 \cdot 6 \\ 5 \cdot 20 \end{array}$ | A 65 | Daric. <br> At side of incuse, mask of bearded and horned Pan 1., incuse. <br> PI. XXV. 14 and 14 (. |

58. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Same rev. die(?) as Babelon, Traité, Pl. LXXXVI. 21, 22. preceding. 60. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection. From Macridi, 1896. 61. 1874. Rollin and Feutrdent. Sp. G. 19.07. Head, Lydia and Persia, Pl. I. 17 ; Coins of the Ancients, III A 2; Babelon, Traité, Pl. LXXXVI. 17. Num. Chron., 1916, p. 258. Same dies as Babelon, Traité, Pl. LXXXVI. 16. The adjunct on the rev. appears to be an official addition, and not a subsequent punch-mark, since on both the known specimens it is in exactly the same position. Head rightly describes the type of this punch-mark as above, pace Babelon, who turns it on its side, describing it as a boar's head.

1. 1825. Collection of King George III. 63. 1841. T. Burgon. Rev. die similar to no. 62.
1. 1825. R. Payne Knight, Nem. Vet., p. 167 C 2.

65-7. 1845. M. J. Borrell. All from same pair of dies as no. 64 . The same dies were used for speeimens in Leake ( 83.7 grs.) and Sir H. Weber ( 82.7 grs.) Colleetions; and Delbeke Sale (1907), lot 229, and Jameson, no. 1783, are from same rev. die.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 8 \\ & 5 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | R $\cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 7 \\ & 5 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | R 66 |  |  |
| 70 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 7 \\ & 5 \cdot 42 \end{aligned}$ | R .6 |  |  |
| 71 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot 41 \end{aligned}$ | Ai 6 |  |  |
| 72 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 39 \end{aligned}$ | A 5.55 |  |  |
| 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 1 \\ & 5 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | At 6 |  |  |
| 74 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 9 \\ & 5 \cdot 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\text { R } \cdot 65$ |  |  |
| 75 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 8 \\ & 5 \cdot 37 \end{aligned}$ | $A R .65$ |  |  |
| 76 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 0 \\ & 5 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | $A R \quad .65$ |  |  |

68. 1852. Presented by Baron Behr.
1. 1845. M. J. Borrell. Same dies as no. 68. 70. 1866. Woodhouse Gift. Head, Lydia and Persia, Pl. I. 25; Coins of the Ancients, II A 2. 71, 72. 1845. M. J. Borrell ; 72 is probably from same dies as Ward, no. 822.
1. See Taylor Combe, Vet. P. et R. Num., p. 237, no. 1. 74. 1845. M. J. Borrell. Same rev. die as no. 73 (?).
2. 1845. M. J. Borrell. Same rev. die as no. 73.
1. 1845. 

M. J. Borrell.

78. 1880. Soonder Dass. Same rev. die as Babelon, Traité, Pl. LXXXVII. 22. 79. 1816. Earl of Elgin. Same rev. die as no. 78. 80-3. 1866. Woodhouse Gift. All from same rev. die as no. 78. Sp. G. of no. 81 is 19.02 ; of 110.83 is 18.93 .
84. 1866. Woodhouse Gift. Head, Lydia and Persia, Pl. I. 15 ; Coins of the Ancients, II, A 1.Sp. G. 19.01 (Nrem. Chron., 1916, p. 253, note 19).
85. 1866. Woodhouse Gift.

86. 1S41. T. Burgon. Same rev. dio as nu. dō. Bequest 88, 1866 Wondhouse Gift -89. 1841. T. Burgom Capt. Thomas Graves, R.N. 91. 1841. T. Burgon. 92-3. 1915. Presented by Mr. E. T. Newell. Num. (\%ron., 1914, pp. 23-4, nos. 124(?), 109.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 46 \end{aligned}$ | A 65 | chisel-cu | ```four chisel-cuts: punch-mark obliterated. on erlge.``` |
| 95 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 8 \\ & 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | A 6 | 0 | chisel-cut. |
| 96 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | A 65 |  | O three chisel-cuts. |
| 97 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot\{1 \end{aligned}$ | A 65 | another obscure, and a chiselcut. <br> Pl. XX | six chisel-cuts. VI. 2. |
| 98 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 39 \end{aligned}$ | d . 7 | punch-mark obscure. <br> obscure puncl | two punch-marks partly obliterated, and four chisel-cuts. mark on edge. |
| 99 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 39 \end{aligned}$ | A 65 |  | two chisel-cuts. |
| 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 0 \\ & -5.38 \end{aligned}$ | Ai .65 | another obscure, and a stab. | two punch-marks obliterated, a stab and five chisel-cuts. |
| 101 | $\begin{aligned} & 85.1 \\ & 5.51 \end{aligned}$ | A ${ }^{10}$ |  | $8$ |
| 102 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot 48 \end{aligned}$ | A | another olscure, and a stab. <br> Pl. | VI. 3. |
| 103 | $\begin{aligned} & 83.7 \\ & -7.4 \end{aligned}$ | $13 \cdot 55$ | another obscure, and a stab. <br> Pl. XX | another obscure, and a stal). VVI. 4. |

94-100. 1915. Presented by M1. E. T. Newell. Num. Chron., 1914, pp. 23-4, nos. 95, $119,108,127(?), 126(?), 117,125 . ~ N o .95$ is pierced. 101. 1841. T. Burgon 101-3. 1846. M. J. Borrell.

104. 1846. M. J. Borrell.

106-8. 1906. Parkes Weber Gift. No. 108 (which is pierced) is the piece published by Garrucei in Rev. Num., 1862, p. 408, Pl. XV. 4.

109. 1897. Montagu Sale, II, 403. Regling, Samml. Warren, p. 205, must be in error in giving Montagu Sale, II, 403 as the source of his no. 1308. 110. 1916. Presented by Mr. J. G. Milne. Vum. Chron., 1916, p. 3, no. 22.111. 184.. M. J. Borrell. Same rev. die as no. 110 .

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112 | $\begin{gathered} 87 \cdot 4 \\ 5 \cdot 66 \end{gathered}$ | $A_{\uparrow} .55$ | Pl. X | Symbol: lion's head I.; punchmarks and a stab. VI. 11. |
| 113 | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \cdot 0 \\ & 5 \cdot 5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $R \quad .6$ | Pl. X | Symbol: lion's head I. : punclimarks VI. 12. |
| 114 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \cdot 8 \\ & 5 \cdot 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  | Symbol: lion's head 1. |
| 115 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 9 \\ & 5 \cdot 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A \cdot 65 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | obscure punch-mark and stab. <br> P1. XX | Symbol: lion's head 1.; punchmarks 88 and another, obscure. VI. 13. |
| 116 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 7 \\ & 5 \cdot 49 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | Pl. X | Symbol: lion's head I. VI. 14. |
| 117 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 4 \\ & 5 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | and another, obscure. | - |
| 118 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{i} \cdot 7 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Pl. XX | Symbol: lion's head incuse r.: punch-mark (D) <br> VI. 15. |
| 119 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 9 \\ & 5 \cdot 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A \cdot .55 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |  | Similar symbol. <br> VI. 16. |
| 120 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \cdot 7 \\ & 5 \cdot 55 \end{aligned}$ | 不 •6 | Pl. XX | Symbol: lion's scalp formalized <br> 88 <br> VI. 17. |

112. 1895. Gen. Houtum Schindler (from Persia). Mr. J. G. Milue. Num. Chron., 1916, p. 3, nos. 32 and 26. 116. 1916. Presented by Mr. J. G. MiIne. Num. Chron., M. J. Borrell. nos. 38, 37, 33.

113-14. 1916. Presented by
115. 1846. M. J. Borrell. 117. 1845. 118-20. 1916. Presented by Mr. J. G. Milne. Num. Chron., 1916, p. 3,


121-3. 1916. Presented by Mr. J. G. Milne. Num. Chron.. 1916, p. 3, nos. 34. 35. 41. 124. 1895. Gen Houtum Schindler (from Persia). 125. 1846. M. J. Borrell. 126. 1895. Gen. Houtum Schindler (from Persia. 128. 1846. M. J. Borrell.

129. 1846. M. J. Borrell.
131. 1916. Presented by Mr. J. G. Minne. Jum. Chron., 1916, p. 3, no. 20. Presented by Mr. E. T. Newell. Num. Chron., 1914, p. 25, no. 139 (?). 132. 1915. 133. 1895. Gen. Houtum Schindler (from Persia). 134. 1916. Presented by Mr. J. G. Milne. Num. Chron., 1916, p. 4, no. 49. 135. 1846. M. J. Borrell. 136. 1919. Spink. sir H. Weber Collection. 137, 138. 1846. M. J. Borrell.

139. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham Bequest.
140. 1846. M. J. Borrell. 141. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection. From Van Lennep, 1898.142 .1850. Major Henry Rawlinson.
143. 1909. S. Louisides of Makri.
144. 1846. M. J. Borrell. 145. 1915. Presented by Mr. E. T. Newell. Num. Chron., 1914, p. 25, no. 133 (!).
146. 1913. C. L. Woolley (from Syria, probably).

146 bis. 1920.
F. W. Hasluck Bequest.


155. 1841. T. Burgon.
156. 1846. M. J. Borrell.
157. 1866. Woodhouse Gift. 158. 1860. Sotheby's Sale, April 3, 1860, lot 178. Capt. Graves's coin, Fellows, Coins of Ancient Lycia, Pl. VIII. 2; obtained in Lycia (Spratt and Forbes, Travels, II, p. 304). 159. 1845. M. J. Borrell. 160. 1811. T. Burgon. 161. 1832. H. P. Borrell.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 0 \\ & 5 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | R . 7 | and a nother, obscure, and two stabs. $\text { Pl. } \mathrm{XX}$ |  |
| 163 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 2 \\ & 5 \cdot 33 \end{aligned}$ | A 65 | P1. X |  |
| 164 | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \cdot 7 \\ & 5 \cdot 29 \end{aligned}$ | d $\cdot 65$ | stab. |  |
| 165 | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \cdot 1 \\ & 5 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ | A . 6 | 5 and another, obscure. $\text { Pl. } \mathrm{XX}$ |  |
| 166 | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \cdot 6 \\ & 5 \cdot 16 \end{aligned}$ | A . 6 | $?$ |  |
| 167 | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | d 65 | P1. XX |  |
| 168 | $\begin{aligned} & 78.7 \\ & 5 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | A $\cdot 65$ | stab. |  |
| 169 | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \cdot 4 \\ & 5 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{lr} \text { R } & \cdot 65 \\ \text { plated(?) } \end{array}\right\|$ |  | o stabs. |

162. 1847. Edwards.
1. 1905. J. Hirsch. 164. 1S40. Millingen. Pierced. 165. 1860. Sotheby's Sale, April 3, 1860, lot 178. Capt. Graves's coin, Fellows, Coins of Ancient Lycia, Pl. VIII. 1; obtained in Lycia (Spratt and Forbes, Trarels, II, p. 304). 167. 1840. Millingen. 168. 1848. Claude Stewart. 169. 1841. T. Burgon.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Later group：4th century． <br> Group E． <br> （The silver sometimes punch－marked．） <br> ＇Arses＇and • Darius III＇Babelon． <br> Neat style ；three（sometimes four）annulets on breast of kandys；exergual line plain： fabric of silver resembling that of Series I，Group H，or Series III． <br> Darics． |
| 170 | $\begin{array}{r} 132.2 \\ 8.57 \end{array}$ | d ． 7 | Pl．XXVII． 16. |
| 171 | $\begin{array}{r} 128.0 \\ 8.29 \end{array}$ | $A$ | Pl．XXVII． 17. <br> Sigloi． |
| 172 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 8 \\ & 5 \cdot 50 \end{aligned}$ | A ． 6 |  |
| 173 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 46 \end{aligned}$ | お ． 65 | Pl．XXVII． 18. |
| 174 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 7 \\ & 5 \cdot 42 \end{aligned}$ | A ． 6 | chisel－cut． |
| 175 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 1 \\ & 5 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | 凡 ． 6 | C3 and stab；annulets ob－ scure． |
| 176 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 6 \\ & 5 \cdot 35 \end{aligned}$ | 甭 6 | Pl．XXVII． 19. |

170．1894．Gen．Sir Alex．Cunningham Bequest．Attic weight；therefore later（？）． 171．Head，Lydic and Persia，Pl．I． 16 ；Coins of the Ancients，III，A．1．Same rev．die as Babelon， Traité，Pl．LXXXVII．20．172．1860．G．Christoforo．Head，Lydia and Persia，Pl．I．26． 173．1866．Woodhouse Gift．Head，Lydia and Persia，Pl．I．27．Same dies as Babelon， Traite，Pl．LXXXVII．19．174．1841．T．Burgon．175．184S．Claude Stewart． 176．1919．Spink．Sir H．Weber Collection．From Van Lennep， 1891.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 177 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 4 \\ & 5 \cdot 34 \end{aligned}$ | A $\cdot 6$ |  | VII. 20. |
| 178 | $\begin{aligned} & 70.8 \\ & 4.59 \end{aligned}$ | A - 6 plated |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 178 \\ b i s \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.7 \\ & 4.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { AR } & \cdot 55 \\ \text { plated (?) } \end{array}$ | only two annulets. |  |
|  |  |  | Of previous group tion ; | defaced or worn for classificaf Group A or A (l). <br> loi. |
| 179 | $\begin{gathered} 86 \cdot 2 \\ 5 \cdot 59 \end{gathered}$ | A 6 |  | six chisel-cuts. |
| 180 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \cdot 7 \\ & 5.55 \end{aligned}$ | R . 6 |  | VII. 21. |
| 181 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \cdot 5 \\ & 5 \cdot 54 \end{aligned}$ | A . 55 | 3 |  |
| 182 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 33 \end{aligned}$ | 及 65 | stal. | stab and three chisel-cuts. |
| 183 | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 2 \% \end{aligned}$ | A $\quad 7$ |  | two chisel-cuts. |

177. 1848. Claude Stewart.
1. 1919. Presented by Mr. A. T. Monck-Mason. Stab on rev. 178 bis. 1920. F. W. Hasluck Bequest. 179. 1915. Presented by Mr. E. T. Newell. Ňum Chron., 1914, p. 2ธ, no. 134. 180, 181. 1846. M. J. Borrell. 182, 183. 1915. Presented by Mr. E. T. Newell. Num. Chron., 1914, pp. 24 f., nos. 130 (?), 140.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SERIES III. <br> In fabric nearest to Group II of Series I. <br> Fourth Cent. в.c. <br> King drawing bow. <br> 'Artaxerxes III Ochus' Babelon. <br> The Great King in kneeling- Oblong incuse with irregular running attitude $r$. $\therefore$ he is ground. bearded, wears kidaris with dentated top, and kandys, and quiver at shoulder, and draws bow : exergual line plain when visible. |
| 184 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.7 \\ & 0.69 \end{aligned}$ | $A \quad .25$ | One-twelfth Daric. <br> ! (incuse nearly square) <br> P1. XXVII. 22. <br> Sigloi. |
| 185 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 4 \\ & 5 \cdot 40 \end{aligned}$ | A . 6 | Pl. XXVII. 23. |
| 186 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \cdot 3 \\ & 5 \cdot 40 \end{aligned}$ | .1. 6 |  |
| 187 | 82.7 5.36 | A $\cdot 65$ |  |
| 188 | 82.8 5.37 | 凡 6 |  |

184. 1897. Montagu Sale, II, 403. Ňum. Chron., 1892, p. 38, Pl. III. 12. Hist. Ňum. ${ }^{2}$. p. 827. Probably same rev. die as Berlin specimen, Z. $f_{0}$. N., NXIV, Taf. IV, 5. 185. 185 . Presented by Baron Behr. Head, Lydia and Persia, Pl. I. 28. 186. 1845. M. J. Borrell. 187. 1845. M. J. Borrell. Same rev. die as Babelon, Traité, Pl. LXXXVII. t. 188. 1866. Woorlhouse Gift.

1. 1906. Parkes Weber Gift.
1. 1845. M. J. Borrell. Same rev. die as no. 189. 191. 1848. Claude Stewart. Same obv. die as no. 190. 192. 1845. M. J. Borrell. Same dies as no. 191. 193. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham Bequest. Same rev. die as Babelon. Trate, Pl. LXXXVII. 1. 194. 1845. M. J. Borrell. 195. 1832. H. P. Borrell.

1. 1852. Presented by Baron Behr.
1. 1852. H. P. Borrell Sale, lot 437. Same rev. die as no. 196. 198. 1848. Claude Stewart. Head, Lydia and Persia, P]. I. 29 ; Coins of the Ancients, III, A:3. Same ?) rev. die as Babelon, Ticite, Pl. LXXXVII. 6.

## ALEXANDRLNE EMPIRE OF THE EAST

Coins struck by Alexander's governors and successors, but not bearing his name.
Usually attributed to the mint of Babylon.


1. 1888. Sir Alex. Cunningham. J. A. S. B., 1881, Pl. XII. 3 and XVII. 1 (from the Oxus Find). 2. 1877. Bank of England Gift. Head, Lydia and Persia, Pl. I. 18 ; Coins of the Ancients, IV. A. 1; Num. Chron., 1904. Pl. I. 14. Same olvv. die as Babelon, Perses Ach., Pl. II. 17.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 258.8 \\ 16.77 \end{gathered}$ | A $\quad .75$ | five points to kidaris; in field depression down middle of <br> 1. incuse, markings straighter than usual. <br> Pl. XX. 3. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 257.3 \\ & 16.67 \end{aligned}$ | A . 8 | five points to kidaris; in field depression down middle of 1. $\phi 1$ incuse : markings similar to preceding. <br> Pl. XX. 4. |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 257.8 \\ 16.71 \end{gathered}$ | A $\quad .7$ | five points to kidaris; butt of depression down middle of spear not apple-shaped; in incuse. <br> field 1. 虫 and satrapal tiara. <br> hammered edge. <br> Pl. XX. 5. |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} 257 \cdot 1 \\ 16 \cdot 66 \end{gathered}$ | A. 7 | three points to kidaris; in markings assume form of two field 1. FPP trapezoids, each with two parallel sides, divided up the one by two the other by three bars. <br> Pl. XX. 6. |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} 256.4 \\ 16 \cdot 61 \end{gathered}$ | A $\cdot 75$ | four points to kidaris; in field markings assume form of two <br> l. thunderbolt upright and <br> \$ horns projecting inwards at top and bottom, and in middle two square enclosures filled with irregular markings. <br> hammered edge. <br> Pl. XX. 7. |

3. 1897. H. Montagu. Sale Catal., II, lot 402. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. III. 8. 4. 1919. Spink. (Sir H. Weber Collection.) Purchased by Sir II. Weber from Rollin and Feuardent in 1895. Apparently identical with the slecimen previonsly stated (it would seem erroneonsly) to be in the Hague Collection (Z.f. N., III, Taf. IX. 14 ; Head. Lydia and Persia, Pl. I. 22). 5. 1890. Lambros. Ñum. Chron., 1891, p. 133, Pl. IV. 19 ; 1904, Pl. III. 11 ; 1906, Pl. I. 1. 6. 1879. Chanda Mall of Hawal Pindi. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. III. 18 : 1906, Pl. I. 2. Same (? obv. die as Babelon, Perses Ach.. Pl. II. 18. 7. 1881. Chancla Mall of Rawal Pincli. Num. Chron.. 1904, Pl. III. 10.

1. 1888. Sir Alex. Cunningham. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. I. 15. 1904, Pl. III. 9.
1. 18S1. Chanda Milll of Rawal Pindi. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. I. 12. Authenticity extremely doubtful.

2. 1881. Chanda Mall of Rawal Pindi. Head, Hist. Num. ${ }^{1}$, p. 700. N'um. Chron., 1904, Pl. II. 5 ; 1906, p. 5. Same dies as the W. Rome specimen Sale Catal., 1904, no. 75), which shows the first letters to be $\Sigma \ll \mathbb{A}$. 13. 1881. Chanda Mall of Rawal Pindi. Num. Chron, 1904, Pl. III. 12 ; 1906, Pl. I. 3.

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mazafus, Covernor for Alexa <br> Silver of Euboic <br> Tetradr <br> Ba'al-Tars seated l. on seat without back and with dotted rung ; he is bearded (?), and wears himation over 1 . shoulder and from waist downwards: lis legs are represented parallel ; r. rests on dotted sceptre, l. on his hip ; inscr. on <br>  border of dots. | reat, 331-328 в.c. <br> andard. <br> ing l., tail curling bove, inscr. ('7iM); ler. |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 265 \cdot 2 \\ & 17 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} \Re & .95 \\ \downarrow \end{array}\right.$ | border off the tlan. <br> Pl. X | beside $r$. hind foot $\boldsymbol{I}$; portion of exergual handle to r.; border <br> n. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 264 \cdot 2 \\ & 17 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A R 1 \cdot 0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | chisel-cut. P1. | ex., wreath. |

1. 1897. H. Montagu (Sale Catal., II, lot 306). Nrum Chron., 1904, Pl. I. 2.
1. 1838. Warmington. Head. Coins of the Ancients, IV A 34. Nrum. Chron., 1881, Pl. VI. 6; 1904, Pl. I. 4. Same obv. die as Babelon, Perses Ach., Pl. VI. 21.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Successors of Mazaeus. <br> 328/7-323: Stamenes <br> 323-321: Archon <br> 321-317: Seleucus. <br> 317-311: Peithon (for Antigonus) <br> 311-306: Seleucus <br> (Wefore assumption of regal title). <br> For silver in the name of Alexander III and Philip III probably struck at Babylon during this period, see ImhoofBlumer, Num. Zeit., 1895, Pl. I. 3-5, 13-16; II. 15. <br> Silver of Euboic-Attic Standard. <br> Tetradrachms. <br> Ba'al seated l. on seat without \|Lion walking, tail curling back; he is beardless (?) and wears himation over l. shoulder and from waist downwards; his legs are represented parallel; <br> r. rests on dotted sceptre, l. on his hip; border of dots. |
| 3 | $255 \cdot 6$ $16 \cdot 56$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { R }}$. 85 | type $r$.; no symbol visible. Pl. XX. 16. |
| 4 | $254 \cdot 7$ <br> 16.50 | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\text { Ai }} \cdot 9$ | \| type 1.; above, spear-head 1. Pl. XX. 17. |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 252.8 \\ & 16.38 \end{aligned}$ | $R 1 \cdot 0$ | Ba'al as on preceding coins, Lion walking 1., tail curling but himation covers 1. fore- behind; above, spear-head l.; arm, not shoulder, and l. hand in ex., I; border of dots. rests on seat, without rung; border of dots. <br> Pl. XXI. 1. |

3. 1904. Presented by Sir II. Howorth. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. I. 10.
1. 1904. Presented by Sir H. Howorth. 5. 1840. R. Steuart. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. I. 9, II. 8. The rough surface of this coin, which at first sight suggests casting, may be due to the use of acid in cleaning.

| No. Wrt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axiヶ. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Hemiobol.

$6 \quad 10 \cdot 6$ Ai $35 \mathrm{Ba}^{2} \mathrm{al}$ as on preceding tetra- Lion walking r., tail curling
$0.69 \leqslant$ drachim ; border of dots. behind; above, spear-head r. PI. XXI. 4.

Later style.
(a) Without letters or symbols.

## Tetradrachm.

7 259.3 $\mathbb{F} 1.0$ Ba'al-Tars seated 1 . on seat Lion walking l., tail curling

| 16.80 | $\leftarrow$ | without back, with one rung he is bearded, and nude to waist; $r$. leg is drawn back, $r$. hand rests on sceptre, I. on seat ; inscr. on r., above, <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | behind; plain linear border. he is bearded, and nude to waist; r. leg is drawn back, $r$. hand rests on sceptre, I. on seat ; inscr. on r., above,

 of border of dots.

## Pl. XXI. 2.

## Drachm.



| Similar type: no inscr.: bor- |
| :--- |
| der of dots. | | Lion walking l., tail between |
| :--- |
| legs; border of dots. |

Pl. XXI. 3.

## Hemiobols or smaller denominations.

Baal seated $r$. on seat without Lion walking 1 . ; border of back or rung, nude to waist, dots.
r. resting on sceptre, in extended l. eagle l.; l. leg drawn back: border of dots.
6. 1840. R. Steuart. Num Chron., 1904, Pl. I. 11.
7. 1904. Rollin and Feuardent. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. II. 6. 8. 1824. R. Payne Knight Bequest. Num. Vet., p. 135 (D) 5. The upper part of the design on the reverse is off the flan ; it is possible that there may have been a symbol above the lion.
9. 1866. Hooper \& Co. (with Parthian, Persian and other Oriental coins).

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size <br> Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 4 \\ 0 \cdot: 54 \end{array}$ | $\text { 1i } 35$ | tail between legs. |
| 11 | $\begin{array}{r} 7.7 \\ 0.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \cdot 35 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ | tail between legs $(?)$ <br> Pl. XXI. 5. |
| 12 | $\begin{array}{r} 6.8 \\ 0.44 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\downarrow}^{R} \stackrel{3}{\downarrow}$ | $\text { Pl. XXI. } \frac{1}{6 .}$ |
| 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \cdot 4 \\ 0 \cdot 35 \end{array}$ | $A R \cdot 3$ | eagle off the flan; r. hand on type r., tail waving behind. seat instead of holding scep- <br> tre (?). <br> PI. XXI. 7. |
|  |  |  | (b) Symbol: Spear-head. <br> Hemiobols. <br> Ba'al seated 1. as on no. 5, but Lion walking l., tail waving with legs crossed; border of behind: above, spear-head 1.; dots. border of dots. |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \\ & 0.67 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \quad .35$ | Pl. XXI. 8. |
| 15 | $\begin{array}{r} 6.3 \\ 0.41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} R \quad \cdot 35 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.3 \\ & 0.67 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\downarrow} \cdot 35$ | Ba'al seated 1 . as on nos. 7,8 ; Lion walking l., tail not visisceptre not visible, seat with- ble; above, spear-head 1. out rung ; border of dots. <br> P1. XXI. 9. |

10, 11. 1850. Major Rawlinson (with Parthian and Sassanian coins, and the Alexander. decadrachm which was found at Babylon). 12. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection, from Macridi, 1893. 13. 1894. Dr. C. Macridi. 14. 1913. M. McIver(from Persia). Pierced and broken. 15. 1895. Lincoln. 16. 1890. Presented by Col. Miles.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $\begin{array}{r} 261 \cdot 3 \\ 16.93 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} R 1 & 1.05 \\ \\ \downarrow \end{array}\right\|$ | ( $b$ l,is) Letters <br> Tetradr <br> Báal seated 1. as on no. 7. nude to waist, r. leg drawn back, 1. hand on seat which has no rung; below seat $M$; border of dots. <br> Pl. XLV <br> (c) Lett <br> Tetradra <br> Ba'al seated 1. as on no. 7, nude to waist, r. leg drawn back, l. hand on seat, which has no rung: border of dots | rs $M$ and $A$. <br> drachm. <br> Lion walking l., tail waving behind ; in ex., $\mathbf{A}$; border of dots. <br> VIII. 8. <br> tter $\Delta$. <br> rachms. <br> \|hion walking l., tail waving behind; above, $\Delta$; border of dots. |
| 17 | $\begin{gathered} 261.7 \\ 16.96 \end{gathered}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 9$ | $\text { Pl. } \mathrm{XXI}$ | $\text { XI. } 10 .$ |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 259.3 \\ & 16.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} R & 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | obv. almost obliterated. ${ }^{\text {Didrac }}$ | achm. |
| 19 | $\begin{array}{r} 118.7 \\ 7.67 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\downarrow}{ }^{2} 5$ | Báal seated 1 . as on tetradrachms ; seat has dotted rung ; border, if any, off the flan. <br> Pl. XX <br> (d) Symb | Lion walking l., tail showing between legs ; above, $\Delta$; border, if any, off the flan. <br> XI. 11. <br> bol: Bee. <br> drachm. |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 260 \cdot 3 \\ & 16.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} \text { R } & .85 \\ \rightarrow \end{array}\right.$ | Ba'al seated l. as on no. 17 ; border, if any, off the flan. | Lion walking l., tip of tail showing between legs; above, bee: borter of dots. <br> Pl. XXI. 12. |

16 bis. 1920. Presented liy Messrs. Spink \& Son. Re-struck on another coin. 17. 1850. Max. Borrell. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. III. 17. 18. 1850. Major Henry Rawlinson. 19. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection, from Macridi, 1894. 20. 1904. Presented by Sir H. Howorth. Num. Chron., 1904, PI. III. 15.

21. 1904. Presented by Sir H. Howorth. Same rev. die as Mr. E. T. Newell's specimen. 22. 1896. H. Montagu. Sale Catal., I, lot 669 ; Tum. Chron., 1904, Pl. III. 3. 23. 1824. R. Payne Knight Bequest. Num. Vet., p. $13:$ (B) 1. 24. 1914. E. Chachati of Aleppo.
25. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection, from Macridi, 1900.

Vet. Pop. et Reg. Num., p. 243, no. 17; Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. III. 5.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | $\begin{gathered} 64 \cdot 4 \\ 4 \cdot 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { A } & \cdot 65 \\ \downarrow\end{array}$ |  | in ex. A |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 86 \end{aligned}$ | $A \cdot \cdot 6$ |  | $"$ |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 7 \\ & 4 \cdot 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} A & \cdot 65 \\ \leftarrow \end{array}$ | Pl. XX | $\begin{aligned} & ,, M \\ & \text { XI. } 18 . \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | (g) Letters: <br> Tetrad | M. MI, \&c. <br> rachms. |
|  |  |  | Ba'al seated 1 . as on preceding: border of dots. | Lion walking l., tail between legs ; border of dots. |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 229 \cdot 0 \\ & 14 \cdot 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\leftarrow}{\leftarrow} \cdot 8$ | uncertain letter behind seat? | above, MI |
| 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \cdot 5 \\ & 16 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{R} \cdot 9$ |  | above, traces of monogram $A P(?)$; in ex. MI |
|  |  |  | Dra | chm. |
| 32 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{R} \cdot 6$ | Similar to preceding. | Lion walking l., tail between legs; above, wreath; in ex. MI and uncertain object. <br> XI. 21. |

27. 1832. H. P. Borrell. Same obv. die as no. 26.
1. 1901. Van Lennep. Same (?) dies as Babelon, Perses Ach., Pl. VII. 10. 29. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection, from Spink, 1890. 30. 1914. E. Chachati of Aleppo. 32. 1824. R. Payne Knight Bequest. Num. Vet., p. 135 (D) 6. Num. Chron.. 1904, Pl. III. 6.

1. 1914. E. Chachati of Aleppo. 34. 1918. Glendining Sale, 19. ix. 1918, lot 121. 35. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection, from Macridi, 1893. The same symbol occurs on Alexandrines of 'Babylonian' style. 36. 1866. Woodhouse Bequest. 37. 1840. R. Steuart. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. III. 14. 38. 1824. R. Payne Knight Bequest. Num. Vet., p. 134 (B) 2. 39. Bank of England Gift.

| No. | W't | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 252.8 \\ & 16.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Ai } \cdot 8$ |  | border oft the flan. |
| 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 213 \cdot 1 \\ & 13 \cdot 81 \end{aligned}$ | R 85 plated ? $\downarrow$ | Pl. XX | II. 4. |
|  |  |  | (j) Letter <br> Tetradrachms of Ori <br> Ba'al seated 1. as on preceding coins, but of rude style: beneath seat, $W$; in field 1 ., letters; border of dots. | s: $\Phi \mathbf{P}$ <br> ental workmanship. <br> Lion walking l., tail between <br> legs: border of dots. |
| 42 | $\begin{aligned} & 249 \cdot 3 \\ & 16 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Al }_{\uparrow} \quad .9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ <br> Pl. XX | XII. 5. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & \text { bis } \end{aligned}$ | $249 \cdot 3$ $16 \cdot 15$ | $R_{\uparrow} .85$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | (k) Symbols: Anchor, <br> Struck by Selfucu <br> Tetradr <br> Ba'al seated l. as on preceding coins: border of dots. | horned horse's head r. <br> s I, 312-306 в.с. <br> achms. <br> Lion walking l., tail between legs: above, anchor with curved stock: border of dots. |
| 43 | $\begin{aligned} & 259.7 \\ & 16.63 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \cdot 95$ | two rungs to seat. $\mathrm{Pl} . \mathrm{XX}$ | XII. 6. |
| 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 254 \cdot 5 \\ & 16 \cdot 49 \end{aligned}$ | $A 1.0$ | " , | anchor erased. |

40. 1866. Woodhouse Bequest. 41. 1904. Presented by Sir H. Howorth. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. III. 20. 42. 1881. Chanda Mall of Rawal Pindi. Num. Chron., 1904, Pl. III. 13. 42 bis. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. This coin, the metal of which is base, has split iu half owing to lamination. A similar tendency to lamination is perceptible in other coins of this series.
1. 1866. Woodhouse Bequest. Same rev. die as $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{c}}$ Clean specimen of 246.3 grs. 44. 1841. L. Strange (with Parthian, \&c., coins). Num. Chron., 1904, PI. II. 7.

1. 1914. E. Chachati of Aleppo. Same obv. die as $\mathrm{M}^{c}$ Clean specimen of 241.4 grs . 46. Perhaps a cast. 47. Broken. 47 bis. 1920. Presented by G. P. Churchill, Esq. In spite of its low weight, there is no doubt of the genuineness of this coin.
1. 1832. 

H. P. Borrell.
51. 1888. Sir Alex. Cunningham.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | $97 \cdot 2$ 6.30 | $\xrightarrow{\text { R }}$ - 65 | Didrachms (?) <br> Similar type and symbol to Lion walking l., tail between preceding ; border of dots. legs ; above, anchor as on preceding ; in ex., monogram; border of dots. |  |
| 53 | $\begin{aligned} & 96.4 \\ & 6.25 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow}{ }^{\cdot 7}$ | border obliterated. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { monogram } \mathbb{I} \\ & \text { Pl. XXII. } 13 . \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Hemidrachm. |  |
| 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9 \\ & 2.00 \end{aligned}$ | $R \stackrel{5}{\downarrow}$ | Similar type, symbol, and bor-\| Similar to preceding; in ex., der to preceding. <br> $\Delta I$; border, if any, off the flan. <br> PI. XXII. 11. |  |
|  |  |  | Tetradrachms. |  |
|  |  |  | Ba'al seated 1 . as on preceding Lion walking 1. , tail between tetradrachm; border of dots. legs; above, anchor with ring at both ends and curved stock, and $\Gamma$; in ex., monogram F border of dots. |  |
| 55 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 259.7 \\ 16.83 \end{array}$ | R 95 | crescent to r. oí mon. in ex. <br> Pl. XXII. 14. |  |
| 56 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 258.2 \\ 16.73 \end{array}$ | $A_{\uparrow} .95$ |  | * to r . of mon. in ex. Pl. XXII. 15. |
| 57 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 255.6 \\ 16.56 \end{array}$ | $A_{\downarrow} \quad .95$ | test-hole. | ivy-leaf to l, of mon. in ex. |

52. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection, from the Montagu Sale, II, lot 307. 53. 1595. Lincoln. 54, 55. 1888. Sir Alex. Cunningham.
1. Same obv. die as no. 55. Perhaps a cast. 57. From Sir Henry Rawlinson. Obtained at Khiva. Same rev. and obv. (?) dies as $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{Cl}$ ean specimen.

2. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weber Collection, from Macridi, 1892. 59. 1S81. Chanda Mall of Rawal Pindi. 60. 1919. Spink. Sir H. Weluer Collection, from Macridi, 1 S97. 61. 1857. Presented by Sir A. W. Franks, found at Khullum, Bokhara. Num. Chron., 1887, p. 177. Pl. VII. $1 ; 1906$, p. 9, Pl. I. S. 62. 1879. Chanda Mall of Rawal Pindi.

| No．Wt． | Metal． <br> Size． <br> Axis． | Ohverse． | Reverse． |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Euboic－Attic didrachm．

$63 \quad 132.8$ AJ $\quad 65$ Similar head r．and border．
Nike standing l．，holding in l．shaft of naval standard（ $?$ ）， in outstretched r．wreatl ；in field l．，head of horned horse r．and $\overline{\text { al }}$

## Silver． <br> \section*{Euboic－Attic tetradrachm．}

64 257．2 $\mathbb{A}$ ． 85 Head of Zeus r．，laureate，Elephant walking r．with 16.67 甲 bearded；border of dots．trunk raised；above，spear－ head r. ；in ex．A，to l．of which uncertain object or letter；border of dots．

## Pl，XXIII． 3.

For bronze coins contemporary with the above，but inscribed AヘEミAN $\triangle$ POY（obv．Head of Alexander in elephant－skin r．，rev．Nike l．or anchor），see Babelon，Perses Achém．，p．v， and Introduction to this Catalogue．

63．1884．Col．R．S．Simonds（with Indo－Seleucid coins）．Same dies as Jameson， 1781 （a distater）．Authenticity extremely doubtful．Published by Svoronos，No ．Птo入．，Pl．I． 31 as bronze．64．1824．R．Payne Knight Bequest．Num．Vet．，p． 175 （A）． 10.

## NORTH-EASTERN PERSLA

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 131.9 \\ 8.55 \end{array}$ |  | ANDRAGORAS. <br> Late Fourth-Third century b.c. <br> Gold Stater. <br> Bust r. of a Zeus-like deity, In a chariot drawn r. by four with long hair, waved, and prancing horned horses, male beard; wears plain taenia figure in armour, accompanied and robe ; behind, $\triangle \mathcal{T}$ by Nike, who drives the horses, holding goad in r .; inscr. inex. <br> AN $\triangle P A T O P[O Y]$ <br> Pl. XXVIII. 1. |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 131.5 \\ 8.52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{A} & .75 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | [AN]ADAГOPOY: <br> in field r. . $\cdot$ <br> Silver tetradrachms. <br> Bust of goddess r., wearing turreted crown, with stepped battlements; bair rolled; earring and necklace; behind, same monogram as on no. 1; border of dots. <br> ANAPATOPOY on r.downwards. Athena, wearing crested helmet, long chiton, and mantle, standing l.; holds in outstretched r. an owl, rests 1 . on shield with gorgoneion device ; behind her, her spear point downwards, slanting ; border of dots. |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 255.6 \\ 16.56 \end{gathered}$ |  | (slightly concare field) <br> Pl. XXVIII. 2. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \cdot 4 \\ & 16 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \nleftarrow \underset{\downarrow}{\downarrow} .95 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | I (border off the flan) <br> Pl. XXVIII. 3. |

1. 1879. Chanda Mall. From the Oxus Find. Num. Chron., 1879, p. 1, Pl. I. 1. B. M. C. Greek and Scythic Kings, p. 1, no. 1, Pl. I. 1. For other references, see Introduction. 2. 1888. Sir A. Cunningham. Num. Chron., 1879, p. 1. Atti e Mem. dell' Istituto Ital. di Nutn., III, 1919, Tav. X. 5 (obv.) and 3 (rev.). 3. 1831. Presented by (Sir) A. W. Frank!s. From the Oxus Find and Chanda Mall. Num. Chron., 1881, p. S. B. M. C. loc. cit., p. 1, no. Z, Pl. I. 2. For other references, see Introduction. 4. 1888. Sir A. Cunningham. From the Oxus Find. See Introduction.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 132.5 \\ 8.59 \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{A~}_{\uparrow} .8$ | VAḤŠUVAR (?). <br> Late Fourth or Early Third Century. <br> Gold Staters. <br> Head of Athena r., wearing Nike standing l., holding crested Athenian helmet ; hair wreath in r., wand with trifid in ringlets on neck; below neck, 085 ; field slightly concave. <br> Pl. XXVIII. 4. |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 135.6 \\ 8.79 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\underset{\downarrow}{ } \quad .8}$ | Male bust r., with moustache, Male figure, wearing same wearing kyrbasia, tied with head-dress as on obv. (but diadem (ends of which not ends of diadem shown) and shown) and with flap brought arm-guards; he drives fourforward to cover chin; dress horse chariot r., holding goad with raised seam marking in r . ; wheel of chariot is shoulder and under-garment studded round the tyre; in ex. with pleated front; behind, <br>  <br>  concave. <br> Pl. XXVIII. 6. |

1. 1824. R. Payne Knight Request. Num. Vet., p. 83 A5. Num. Chron., 1879, p. 4. 2 ; Pl. I. 3. J. A. S. B., 1881, Pl. XVII. 8. Serrure's Bull. de Num., 1900, p. $95 . \quad$ Cor. Num., p. 77. 2. 1879. Chanda Mall. Num. Chron., 1879, p. 4. 1, Pl. I. 2. J. A. S. B., 1881, Pl. XVII. 7. Head, Coins of the Ancients, IV, A 15. Serrure's Bull. de Num., 1900, p. 95. Cor. Num., p. 77.

## PERSIS

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 255.9 \\ 16.58 \end{gathered}$ | $\notin \underset{\uparrow}{1.1}$ | FIRST SERIES. <br> Third cent. в.c. <br> Bagadat. <br> Silver. ${ }^{1}$ <br> Tetradrachms. <br> Head r. of Bagadat, with Bagadat seated 1. on throne moustache and close-cropped with back; wears head-dress beard; taenia showing ou as on obv.; long overforehead; wears satrapalhead- garment with false sleeves dress (kyrbasia) with double and arm-guards; holds in $r$. tie behind, and flaps fastened a longsceptre, in l. a flower (\%); over top; ear-ring in ear; planted before him, standard border of dots. with $\not \ldots$ decoration and hanging tassels ; inser. on r. downwards and on 1. upwards, <br>  $\mathrm{Y} \wedge \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{\theta} 1 \text {. }$ <br> border of dots; concave field. Pl. XXVIII. 7. |

${ }^{1}$ All the coins of Persis being of silver, the metal is not mentioned hereafter except in the metal column.

1. 1854. Capt. Jones, H.E.I.C.S. (from Baghdad). Num. Chron., 1856, p. 145, fig. 7. Z. D. M. G., 1867, p. 425, Taf. I. 2. Z.f. N., IV, p. 157, no. 3. Cor. Num., p. 79, Pl. III. 2.

1. 1872. Canon Eaton. De Luynes, Sutr., Pl. VI. Cor. Num., p. 80, Pl.III.3. 3. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection.

1. Re-struck on another coin. Z.D. M. G., 1867, p. 426, Taf. I. 5; Z.f. N., IV, p. 159. 10; Cor. Num., p. 83, no. 5. 2, 3. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． <br> Size． <br> Axis． | Obverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Reverse． 

Artaxerxes I．

## Drachms．

1 | 60.8 | $\mathbb{R} .65$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Head of Artaxerxes r．，head－} \\ 3.94\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\downarrow$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { dress as on preceding coins；} \\ \text { border of dots．}\end{array}$ |  |

Fire－temple，as on preceding coins；on l．，Artaxerxes，in dress and attitude as usual ； on r．，standard with $※$ orna－ ment，no tassels ；inscr．

 inscr．on r．，if any，off the flan．
 ［7x＇ 9 ex．］：border of dots． Pl．XXIX． 1.

Similar type to preceding， but four tassels to standard； inscr．\＆ wards；daON79077 in ex．； ๆ77 r．，downwards，between standard and temple；ๆ （between figure and temple） ［าルயル（ク） $7 \times$ retr． 1.

（？！フา r．，๖］

## Pl．XXIX． 2.

## Tetrobol．

Similar type to no．1，but standard has four tassels； inscr．■ロavo l．，upwards， gocoovoos in ex．，Top $r$ ．， downwards，between standard and temple，$r$ between figure and temple ；border of dots．
$[(?)$ 1．，าภย゙ตภาต ex．，
（？）フา ฉ r．，๖（？）］
Pl．XXIX． 3.

1．Levy，Z．D．M．G．，1S67，Taf．I．12，p．427．Mordtmann，Z．f．N．，IV，p．15S，no． 6. Cor．Num．，p．S5，no． $10 . \quad$ 2．1918．Anonymous donation．3．Levy，loc．cit．，Taf．I． 14. Mordtmann，loc．cit．，no．7．Cor．Num．，p．85，no． 9.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.2 \\ & 2.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R \quad .55 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ | Hemidrachm. <br> Similar to preceding; traces Similar type to preceding; of inser. in field r. standard has two tassels on r.; inser \&yO1 l., upwards; <br>  between standard and temple. <br> Pl. XXIX. 4. |

4. 1851. Sternschuss (from Hamadan). Levy, loc. cit., Taf. I. 15. Mordtmann, loc. cit., no 8. Cor. Num., p 85, no. 11.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． <br> Size <br> Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Autophra <br> Tetrad <br> Head of Autophradates r．， head－dress and ear－ring as on preceding coins ；border of dots． | adates I． <br> rachms． <br> Fire－temple as on preceding coins；above it，half－figure of Ahuramazda 1．，hovering；on 1．Autophradates in dress as usual，r．raised in adoration， 1．resting on bow upright before him ；on r．，standard with four tassels；inscr．on l．， in ex．and on r．；border of |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 252 \cdot 9 \\ 16 \cdot 39 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R } 1 \cdot 15 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ |  | a amos on l．，upwards； <br>  on r．，downwards（ภาาอภ） <br>  |

## Pl．XXIX． 5.

inscr．on l．off the flan － 0 yynyา in ex．
$\frac{\text { Acy } 4 \text { 万h }}{4 y}$ on r．，downwards
－• ィコา
standard has $\mathcal{X}$ ornament．
Pl．XXIX． 6.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 259.7 \\ & 16.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { R } 1.25$ | Similar to preceding；border， if any，off the flan． | Fire－temple surmounted by stepped battlements；above it，half－figure of Ahuramazda l．，hovering ；on l．，Autophra－ dates with bow as on pre－ ceding coins；behind him a Nike r．，placing wreath on his head ；on r．standard with tassels and ornament of eight－ pointed star：inscr．on पم大力の in ex．，ММppip on r．（7าコク） コาภาป）；border，if any，off the flan． <br> XIX． 7. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \cdot 3 \\ & 4 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} .9$ | Similar to no． 1. <br> PI．XX <br> Hemid | Fire－temple with Ahuramazda， Autophradates，and standard all as on no． 1 （detail of standard blurred）；inscr． <br> － 4 ลร• on l．，upwards <br>  <br> － 1 万A7 on r．，downwards <br> （ותפר פרתרכא border of rots． <br> IX． 8. <br> rachm． |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \cdot 6 \\ & 1 \cdot 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A .55 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Similar to no． 1 ；border，if any，off the flan． | Similar to preceding ；standard adorned with $X$ ；inscr．on 1. off the flan；，フnч89．in ex．； $\frac{77}{77 A} 0 n \mathrm{r} .$ <br> ［כר（？）גרב（？）פרכתר（？）］ border of dots． <br> IX． 9. |

3．1874．Rollin and Feuardent．Same rev．die as Cor．Num．，p．S6，no．18．The other specimen of this coin in the British Museum published by Levy，Z．D．M．G．，1867，Taf．I． 10 is a cast．4．1854．Jones．Num．Chron．，1856，p．145，fig．8．Levy，Z．D．M．G．，1867， Taf．I．3．Mordtmann，Z．f．N．，IV，p．160．12．Fuÿe，Cor．Num．，p．S6，no．15．Re－struck on another coin．

5．1890．Presented by Col．Miles．


1. 1867. Gen. Cunningham. 2, 3. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection. Both published by Allotte de la Fuỵe, op. cit., p. 87, no. 21, and p. 89, no. 25. No. 3 re-struck on Seleucid (?) drachm.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 88 \end{aligned}$ | $A \underset{\uparrow}{ } \cdot 64$ | Similar head r．；border of dots． | Similar fire－temple surmounted by altars ；on l．figure of ruler r．，hand raised in adoration ； on r．standard obliterated； inscr．and border off the flan． |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 3 \\ & 3.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A \cdot 7 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ | Similar to preceding． | Similar fire－temple ；on 1. similar figure of ruler；on $r$ ． standard with $※$ ornament； inscr．on l．off the flan；in ex．coscisa；on r．down－ wards，$\rightsquigarrow \triangleright$ ；border of dots． <br> IX． 13. |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A .7 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Similar to preceding． | Similar type to preceding， but standard off the flan； inscr．，on 1．downwards， <br>  downwards，ロタムロロッ <br>  <br> border of dots． <br> IX． 14. |

4．1874．Rollin and Feuardent．
by Sir E．Grant Duff，K．C．M．G．
$\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|}\text { No. } & \text { Wt. } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Metal. } \\ \text { Size. } \\ \text { Axis. }\end{array} & \text { Obverse. } \\ \hline & \text { Reverse. } \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$

## SECOND SERIES.

about $150-100$ B.c.
Dariús (\%).
Group A.
With eagle on head-dress.
Uninscribed.

## Tetradrachm.

| 1 | 254.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16.47 | 1.0 | He <br> cro |


| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 7 \\ & 4 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{. k}$ |  | (Ahuramazda to r. ©) |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 7 \\ & 4 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ | Pl. X | $\text { XX. } 4$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 3 \\ & 4 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{R}$ |  |  |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 63.0 \\ & 4.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{R} \cdot 7$ |  | (horizontal lines of panels omitted; Ahuramazda to l.?) |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 8 \\ & 4 \cdot 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} A & .65 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 5 \\ & 4 \cdot 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} R & \cdot 65 \\ \uparrow_{1} \end{array}$ |  | (Ahuramazda to r.) |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 3 \\ & 4 \cdot 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} A \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ |  | (Ahuramazda to l.) |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 2 \\ & 4 \cdot 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { A. } 65$ |  | , |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \cdot 9 \\ & 4 \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | $A^{\circ} \cdot 6$ | P1. $\mathbf{X}$ | XX. 5. |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \cdot 9 \\ & 4 \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} R .65 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ |  | $9$ |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \cdot 9 \\ & 4.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Al}^{\mathrm{R}} .65$ | careful style; eagle larger than usual. | XX. 6. |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 95 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \cdot 75$ |  | 9 9 |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 76 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | peculiar style; eye-shaped mark on cheek ; no border. <br> Pl. XX | (Ahuramazda to l.; bad work) <br> X. 7. |

4. 1908. Presented by Major Mackenzie Elliot. 5, 6. 1917. Presented by G. F. Hill. Glendining Sale, 15. iii. 1917, lot 23. 7. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 8. 1866. Woodhouse Bequest. 9. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 10. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent. 11. 1853. Col. Claude Steuart (Sotheby's Sale, 5. iv. 1853, lot 64). Pierced. 12, 13. 1894. Gen. Cunningham. 14. 1851. Steruschuss (from Hamadan). 15. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 16. 1864. Eastwood. In style and fabric this is closer to Group B.

1. 1913. M. Mciver (from Persia). Pierced. 18. 1872. Canon Eaton. 19. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart (?). 20, 21. 1851. Sternschuss (from Shiraz).

1. 1841. T. Burgon. 2. 1844. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. Sotheby's Sale, 5. v. 1844, lot 312. 3. 1841. T. Burgon. 5. 1891. Dr. Lubicz.
1. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude

Stenart.
7. 1900. Lincoln.
8. 1864. Eastwood.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.2 \\ & 2.09 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} .5$ | Similar to drachms. | Hemidrachms. <br> Similar to drachms. <br> temple front shows plain square in middle. <br> Pl. XXX. 14. |
| 10 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.0 \\ & 2.0 \tau \\ & 24.0 \\ & 1.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A R .5 \\ \leftarrow \\ R_{\uparrow} \cdot 5 \end{gathered}$ |  | no divisions to temple front. P1. XXX. 15. |

9. 1906. Presented by Dr. F. Parkes Weber.
1. 1917. Presented by G. F. Hill. Glendining Sale, 15. iii. 1917, lot 25. 11. 1906. Presented by Dr. F. Parkes Weber.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Group C． <br> Crescent on top of head－dress． <br> Inscribed． <br> （ハコプ <br> （？）ソソาボา Darius（？）the king）． <br> Drachms． <br> similar to Group B，but cres－ Similar to Group B ；the fire－ cent on top of heal－dress， temple shows three vertical nothing at back ：no border． livisions，and stepped battle－ ments；Ahuramazda，when distinguishable，to 1. ，with branch－like wings；the bird stands on an upright rect－ angle；in ex．，sometimes ex－ tending up I．side，inscr．：－ |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \cdot 5 \\ & 4 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\text { R }} \rightarrow$ | SVDRpNe. (- - מלכא) <br> Pl．XXX． 16. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \cdot \pi 5 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ | Pl．XXX． 17. |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 59.9 \\ & 3 \cdot 88 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 85$ |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 64 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 75$ | DAlRE <br> Pl．XXX． 19. |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l} \text { rftx } \\ \text { battlements horn-shaped. } \\ \text { Pl. XLVIII. } 9 . \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ |

1．1854．Capt．Jones，H．E．I．C．S．
2．1848．Lt．－Col．Claude Stenart．
3． 1854. Dr．J．Bird Sotheby＇s，May 1854，lot 468）Cor．Num．，p．91，no． $45 . \quad$ 4．191\％．Presented by G．F．Hill．Glendining Sale，15．iii．1917，lot 23．5．1919．Miss V．D．Galton．

6. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 7. 1872. Canon Eaton. Cor. Num., p. 91, no. 46. 8. 1851. M. Sternschuss (from Hamadan). Twice pierced. 8iis. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection. 9. 1908. Presented by Miss Newton. 10. 1917. Presented by (i. F. Hill. Glendining Sale, 15. iii. 1917, Iot 23. 11. 1919. Miss V. D. Galton. A drachm at Paris (Luynes) is either from the same dies, or (as is suggested by resemblance in shape and loss of detail) cast from this specimen.
12. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent.

13. 1855. Presented by Col. Ratwlinson. Glendining Sale, 15. iii. 1917, lot $23.15 .1915 . \operatorname{Col}$. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 16. 1890. Presented by Col. Miles. 17. 1848. Lt.-Col Claude Steuart. 18. 1917. Presented by G. F. Hill. Glendining Sale, 15. iii. 1917, lot 23.


1. 1853. Col. Claude Steuart Sotheby's, 5. iv. 1853, lot 64). 2. 1872. Canon Eaton. 3. 1908. Presented by Miss Newton. 4. 1850. Major Rawlinson. Cor. Num., p. 91, no. 51.
1. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart.

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Metal } \\ \text { Size. } \\ \text { In: } \end{gathered}$ | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Group B. <br> Obverse type in Parthian style. <br> Drachms. <br> Bust r. of Autophradates, with <br> Fire-temple, showing three long beard, diademed; cresvertical divisions, with Ahuracent on top of head: wears mazda 1 . ; on l. ruler, on $r$. torque (?) and cuirass. bird on upright rectanğle, all as on preceding group ; in ex. inscr., sometimes continued |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 t \cdot 4 \\ & i \cdot 1 \gamma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R .8 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | $11 \times 10$ <br> Pl. XXXI. 15. |
| 7 | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \cdot 6 \\ 4 \cdot 12 \end{array}$ | $\text { ii } \cdot 5$ | ungs A PROMO <br>  <br> Pl. XXXI. 16. |
| S | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 5 \\ & 4 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow}^{.75}$ | ANOX |
| -8is | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 1 \\ & i \cdot(0! \end{aligned}$ | AR 8.85 |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \\ & i \cdot 08 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| bis: ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 0: ? \end{aligned}$ | $\downarrow^{.75}$ |  <br>  <br> Pl. XLVIII. 11. |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 94^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbb{R}_{\uparrow} .8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \| Nforit } \\ & \text { Pl. XXXI. } 18 . \end{aligned}$ |

6. 1865. Presented by Mr. I. G. Taylor, Consul at Diarhekr.
1. 1882. India Office Collection. 8. 1850. Major Rawlinson. . 8 bis. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection. 9. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 9 bis. 1920. Spink from the Froelner Collection). 10. 1888. Sir Alex. Cunningham. Pierced.

1. 1866. Hooper \& Co.
1. 1882. India Office Collection.
1. 1917. Presented by Sir E. Grant Duff, K.C.M.G. Pierced. 14. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 15. 1866. Hooper \& Co. 16. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent. 17. 1917. Presented by G. F. Hill. Glendining Sale, 15. iii. 1917, lot 23. 18. 1848. Lt.-Col. Clande Stenart.

1. 1890. Presented by Col. Miles. Twice pierced. 20. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent. Twice pierced.


## Drachms.

Bust of king l., with long The king to l., wearing long beard; wears Parthian helmet robe, sceptre in raised hand, (adorned with three rows of standing $l$. before a lighted rlots and a crescent) diadem, altar ; inscr. in square, begintorque, and cuirass ; border of ning above on r.' dots (usually off the flan).


[^186]
6. 1918. Presented by Rev. E. Rogers.
7. 1853. Col. Claude Steuart. Sotheby's, April 4, 1853, lot 64. 8. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 9. 1919. Miss V. D. Galton. 10. 1894. Sir Alex. Cunningham. 11. 1915. Col. WV. F. Prideaux Collection. 12. 1852. India Office Collection (from Sir H. Willock's Collection). H. H. Wilson, Ariana Ant., p. 395. 1, Pl. XV. 2. Z. D. M. G., 1867, Taf. II. 5. Z.f. N., IV, p. 170, 110. 53. 13. 1900. Lincoln. 14. 1918. Presented by Rev. E. Rogers. 15. Thomas (?). Num. Chron., 1850, p. 68, no. 7 ; p. 110. Z D. M. G., 1867, Taf. II. 4. Z.f. N., IV, p. 170, no. 52.

18. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. Sir Alex. Cunningham. Pierced. Follin and Feuardent. Pierced. 22. 1900. Rollin aud Fenardent. Rollin and Feuardent.
17. 1s94. Sir Alex. Cunningham.
18. 1894. 19. 1913. M. M ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Iver (from Persia).
20. 1900. 21. 1906. Presented by Dr. F. Parkes Weber. 23. 1851. M. Sternschuss (from Shiraz).


1. 1894. Sir Alex. Cunningham.
1. Double-struck on rev.
2. 1848. Lt.-Col.
1. 1919 .
H. D. Gill Claude Steuart.
2. c. 1861. Pre-enterl by Count de Salis. (found at Susa).

3. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection.
1. 1917. Presented by Sir E. Grant Duff, K.C.M.G.
1. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart.
1. 1842. Presented by Rev. J. D. Money.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 07 \end{aligned}$ | $R \quad .75$ | UNCERTAIN RULER. <br> Drachm. <br> Bust 1., resembling that on surrounded by radiating preceding drachms. dashes. <br> Pl. XXXIII. 8. |

1. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent.

1. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 2. 184S. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. Num. Chron., 1850, p. 68, no. S. Z.D. M. G., 1867, Taf. II. 9. Z. f. N., IV, p. 174, no. S4. 3. 1866. Rollin and Feuardent. 4. 1894. Sir Alex. Cunningham. 5. 1894. Sir Alex. Cunningham. Broken.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.3 \\ & 2.03 \end{aligned}$ | $A \mathrm{e} \cdot 6$ | Similar to drachms. <br> (no monogram ; edge bevelled by hammering) <br> Pl. XX | Hemidrachms. <br> Similar to drachms (king on r., standing 1.) <br> inscr. olscure. <br> XXIII. 13. |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.2 \\ & 20 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\downarrow} \cdot 65$ | Pl. XX |  XXIII. 14. |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \cdot 0 \\ & 2 \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A \gtrless \cdot 6 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Pl. X | $\text { XXIII. } 15 .$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.0 \\ & 1.9 .1 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow}{ }^{.7}$ | Pl. | (inscr. begins on r. below) <br>  <br> XXIII. 16. |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \cdot 5 \\ 1 \cdot 91 \end{gathered}$ | R •6 | Pl. XX | \| similar to preceding. XXIII. 17. |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.0 \\ & 1.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\leftarrow}{\leftarrow} \cdot 6$ | (no monogram?) <br> Pl. XX |  XXIII. 18. |
| 12 | $\begin{gathered} 28 \cdot 9 \\ 1 \cdot 87 \end{gathered}$ | $\xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\lambda i}$ | Pl. XX |  XXIII. 19. |
| 13 | $\begin{gathered} 27.9 \\ 1.81 \end{gathered}$ | $A_{i} \cdot 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { edge bevelled by ham- }\left.\right\|_{\text {mering }} \text { " } \\ & \text { Pl. XX } \end{aligned}$ |  <br> XXIII. 20. |

6. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 7. 1917. Presented by Sir E. Grant Duff , K.C.M.G. 8. 1855. Presented by Col. Rawlinson. 9. 1888. Sir Alex. Cunningham. 10. c. 1861. Presented by Count de Salis. Same rev. die as no. !. 11. 1882. India Office Collection (from Sir H. Willock's (nllection). H. H. Wilson, Ariana Ant.. p. 395. 2, Pl. XV. S. Z. D. M. G., 1867, Taf. II 10. Z.f. N., IV, 1. 174, no. S5. 12. 1917. Presented by Sir E. Grant Duff, K.C.M.G. Pierced. 13. c.1861. Presented by Count de Salis.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \cdot 6 \\ & 1 \cdot 79 \end{aligned}$ | $A k \cdot 65$ |  | (king on l., standing r.) <br>  XIII. 21. |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.4 \\ & 1.7 \gamma \end{aligned}$ |  $\uparrow$ <br> Pl. XXXIV. 1. |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & b i s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.4 \\ 1.77 \end{gathered}$ | $A{ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Obols. |  |
|  |  |  | Similar to drachms. | Similar to drachms (king on r., standing I.) |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.3 \\ & 0.67 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow}^{.5}$ | (no monogram) |  XIV. 2. |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \cdot 3 \\ & 0.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow{R}$ | Pl. XXXIV. 3. |  |
| 18 | $\begin{array}{r} 9.7 \\ 0.63 \end{array}$ | $A \cdot 5$ | (no monogram) | inser. badly struck. |
| 19 | $\begin{gathered} 9 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 59 \end{gathered}$ | $R_{\uparrow} .45$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Af } 10 \text { 時 }-\cdots \\ & \text { XIV. } 4 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \\ 0.56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} A \imath \cdot 4 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | (no monogram) | … $\operatorname{CDO}$ $\text { XIV. } 5 .$ |
| 21 | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \cdot 4 \\ 0 \cdot 61 \end{array}$ |  |  | (king on 1., standing r.); inscr. mostly effaced. |

14. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. Re-struck. 15. 1888. Sir Alex. Cunningham. 15 bis. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection. The inseription appears to repeat the same word four times. 16. 1906. Presented by Dr. F. Parkes Weber. 17, 18. 1864. Eastwood. 19. 1894. Sir Alex. Cmmingham. 20. 1888. Sir Alex. Cunningham. 21. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection.

1. 1893. Dr. C. Macridi. Slightly double-struck on rev. 2. 1908. Presented by Miss Newton. Re-struck (?). 3. 1917. Presented by G. F. Hill. Glendining Sale, 15. iii. 1917, lot 23.4 4. 1864. Eastwood.

| No． | W\％． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.7 \\ & 1.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\AA \cdot 6$ | before face，annulet（？）：border off the flan． | portion of inscr．： <br> mimn $\sim 0 』$ Sosi（begins below） <br> XIV． 10. |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \cdot 0 \\ & 1 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { N }}$ •65 | inscr．much battered． <br> Pl．XXXIV． 11. |  |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} 20 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} R \quad .55 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | Pl．XXX | type reversed（king on r．， standing l．） <br> VTID K Y Y TDOA <br> （begins on I．above） <br> XIV． 12. |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \cdot 4 \\ & 1 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow{R .55}$ |  | type reversed（king on r．， standing l．）；portion of inser． XIV． 13. |
| bis | $\begin{aligned} & 16.8 \\ & 1.09 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{R} \downarrow$ |  | type reversed（king on r．， standing I．）；inscr．fragmen－ tary． |
|  |  |  | Obol（？）． |  |
| 9 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.9 \\ 0.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} R \cdot 4 \\ < \end{gathered}$ | Similar to drachms（but only two battlements on crown）． <br> Pl． XXX | ```Similar to drachms; inscr. (begins above)```  ```XIV. }14``` |
|  |  |  | Hemidrachm． |  |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \cdot 6 \\ & 1 \cdot 66 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | Bust as on draclims of pre－Star in recumbent crescent； ceding group，but without tie circular inscr．，beginning to diadem ；border，if any，off the flan． <br> on r．：－ <br>  <br> ？（Лビッ … ภปグココ） <br> Pl．XXXIV． 15. |  |

5．1915．Col．W．F．Prideaux Collection．6．1848．Lt．－Col．Claude Steuart． Pierced．7．1882．India Office Collection（from Sir H．Willock＇s Collection）． H．H．Wilson，Ariana Ant．，p．396．3，Pl．XV．4．8．1851．M．Sternschuss（from Hillah）． 8 bis．1920．Gen．Malcolm Clerk Collection．Chipped．9．1906．Presented by Dr．F．Parkes Weber．10．1848．Lt．－Col．Claude Steuart．

11. 1906. Presented by Dr. Parkes Weber.
12. 1S48. Lt. Col. Claude Steuart.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Uncertain. <br> Drachm. |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.3 \\ & 3.06 \end{aligned}$ | R . 8 $\downarrow$ | Bust l., bearded, wearing tiara (bearing pellet in crescent and row of dots defining its crown) and diadem; bushy bair: torque and robe ; behind, uncertain inscr.; in front, uncertain letter or symbol ; border of dots. <br> Bust of king l., as on olurerse, facing star in crescent; inscr., beginning on $r$. <br>  in field l., dagger (?) <br> Pl. XXXIV. 18. |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 26 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot \% 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R \quad .6 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | Hemidrachms. <br> P1. XXXIV. 19. |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \cdot 0 \\ & 1 \cdot 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { R } .55$ | on tiara, pellet in crescent whำ将 (begins on 1.) and two rows of dots; in field ( $\boldsymbol{N}$ ? $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ ? ? ? ?) 1. \| (? flaw in die). <br> Pl. XXXIV. 20. |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 21 \cdot 5 \\ 1 \cdot 39 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{AR}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 6}$ | on tiara, pellet in crescent, \|(type reversed, bust of king and single row of dots. $\mid$ r.) : inscr. fragmentary. <br> Obol. |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \\ & 0.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\lambda^{1} \cdot 45$ | Bust l., bearded, wearing tiara (bearing crescent, zigzag ornament, and row of dots), diadem, torque, and robe; border of dots. <br> Bust of king l., facing star in crescent ; around, inscr. (be- <br>  <br> Pl. XXXIV. 21. |

1. 1865. Rollin and Feuardent.
1. 1890. Presented by Col. Miles.
1. 1882. India Office Collection (from Sir H. Willock's Collection). H. H. Wilson, Ariana Ant., p. 396. 4, Pl. XV. 5. 4. 1906. Presented by Dr. Parkes Weber. 5. 1917. Presented Dy G. F. Hill. Glendining Sale, 15. iii. 1917, lot 23.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.2 \\ & 3.77 \end{aligned}$ | 小 8 | Pakur, son of Oxathres (?). <br>  Tahukh - - ?). <br> Drachms. <br> Bust of king I., bearded, dia- <br> Triskeles; around, inscr., bedemed, with thick back hair ginning on 1. above :waved, wearing torque and role ; border of dots. <br>  <br> P1. XXXV. 1. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \cdot 3 \\ & 2.93 \end{aligned}$ | 小 . 8 |  <br> Pl. XXXV. 2. <br> Obol. |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 8.7 \\ 0.56 \end{array}$ | R 45 |  |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 61 \cdot 6 \\ 3 \cdot 99 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } 1.0 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbb{R}_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inscr. broken down; ends in } \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l} \text { traces of inscr. before and } \\ \text { behind bust; border of dots. } \\ \text { N } \end{array}\right. \\ & \text { Pl. XXXV. } 4 . \end{aligned}$ |

1. 1894. Sir Alex. Cunningham. M. Mc Iver (from Persia). 4. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection. 5. 190.) Nor

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 1 \\ & 2 \cdot 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} R & .7 \\ & 1 \end{array}$ | similar to preceding；remains of inscr ；no trace of border． <br> Pl．XL <br> Hemid <br> Similar bust to that on drachm， 1. | similar to preceding；behind， inscr．ending ヘコフィ ；in front， traces of inscr．；no border visible． <br> IIII． 16. <br> rachms． <br> Similar bust to obverse， 1. |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \cdot 1 \\ & 1 \cdot 82 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | border and inscr．，if any，off the flan． | border and inscr．，if any，off the flan． |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.5 \\ & 1.78 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | inscr．behind bust <br>  <br> Pl． X |  concave field． <br> XV． 6. |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \cdot 1 \\ & 1.50 \end{aligned}$ | $A \wedge^{.55}$ | inscr．behind bust <br>  <br> border interrupted by bust． <br> Pl．X | traces of inscr．behind bust； concave field． <br> XV． 5. |
|  |  |  | Similar to hemidrachms． | ols． <br> Similar to hemidrachms；no border． |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.3 \\ & 0.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow} .45$ | traces of inscr．behind bust； border of dots． <br> P1．XX | inscr．behind bust riry XV． 7. |
| 11 | $\begin{array}{r} 9.7 \\ 0.63 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} A .5 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | no border or inscr． Pl ． $\mathbf{X}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \| no inscr. } \\ & \text { KXV. } 8 . \end{aligned}$ |

6．1919．Presented by Mr．L．A．Lawrence．
7．1900．Rollin and Feuardent． 8．1864．Eastwood．9．1920．Gen．Malcolm Clerk Collection．10． 1917. Presented by G．F．Hill．Clendining Sale，15．iii．1917， $\operatorname{lot} 23$.

11．1906．Presented by Dr．Parkes Webor：


1. 1902. Noury Bey.

1. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. 2. 1894. Sir Alex. Cumningham. 3. Lt.-Col. Clande Steuart. Num. Chron., 1S50, p. 68. 4 and p. 106. Z.D. M. G., 1867, Taf. II, S. Z.f.N., IV, p.179, no. 119. 4,5.184S. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 6. 1872. Canon Eaton.

1. 1865. Presented by I. G. Taylor, Consul at Diarbekr. 8. 1844. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. Sotheby's Sale, May 1844, lot 312 (?). $9-11.1848 . \quad$ Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 12. 1872. Canon Eaton. 13. 1855. Presented by Col. Rawlinson. 13 bis and ter. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection. 14. 1917. Presented by Sir E. Grant Duff, K.C.M.G.

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.5 \\ & 1.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { AR } \cdot 55$ |  |  |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 22.1 \\ & 1 \cdot 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Ai }{ }^{5}$ | Pl. XI | NAV --- - STITB <br> XV. 23. |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 22.0 \\ & 1.43 \end{aligned}$ | $\pi .5$ | Pl. XXXV | inscr. fragmentary. <br> XV. 24 |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.6 \\ & 1.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { R }{ }^{15}$ | Pl. XXX |  |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.8 \\ & 1.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{R}{R}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mid \\ \mathrm{Pl} . \mathrm{XXXI} \end{array}$ | Of V - - - M O O O Orors XVI. l. |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.6 \\ & 1.33 \end{aligned}$ | $R .5$ | P1. XxX <br> Hemio | tuft of hair at back; inscr. very fragmentary. <br> XVI. 2. <br> iobol. |
| 21 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 0.32 \end{array}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\pi}$ | Similar to drachms, but two rows of dots and pellet without crescent on tiara. <br> Pl. XXX <br> B. With thick back hair, a <br> Drach <br> Bust l., bearded, with thick back hair waved, wearing tiara (adorned with three rows of dots), torque, and robe; border of dots interrupted by bust. | Bust l., bearded, diademed; traces of inscr. in front. <br> XVI. 3. <br> and no neck-piece to tiara. <br> hms. <br> Bust l., bearded, diademed, with thick back hair waved, as ou drachms nos. 1-3; inscr. arranged in square. |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 54.7 \\ & 3.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R \quad .7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | on tiara, $\boldsymbol{*}(?)$. <br> Pl. XXX |  <br> XVI. 4. |


| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 54 \end{aligned}$ |  | on tiara, crescent; in field 1. star in crescent, r. 0 <br> Pl. XX | $\text { XVI. } 5 .$ |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{R_{\downarrow}}$ | on tiara, crescent. |  |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 0 \\ & 3.24 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 7}$ | tiara covered with pellets. $\text { Pl. } \mathbf{X X}$ |  <br> [כפאת מלבא <br>  <br> XVI. 6. |
|  |  |  | Hemidr | achms. |
|  |  |  | Similar to drachms. | Similar to drachms. |
| 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 28.4 \\ & 1.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} A_{i} & .55 \\ \leftarrow \end{array}$ | three rows of pellets on tiara. Pl. XXX | Cbricasidulyroier <br> XVI. 7. |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.8 \\ & 1.80 \end{aligned}$ | $A{ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | two rows of pellets and crescent on tiara. <br> Pl. XX | $\text { XVI. } 8 .$ |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 25.9 \\ & 1.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} A l & .65 \\ \leftarrow \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | border off the flan; on tiara, two rows of dots and ? |  |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \cdot 1 \\ & 1 \cdot 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} R_{\downarrow} & .55 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | similar to preceding ( $\mu$ on tiara) | GAVOUNU $\times$ POODAK |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.9 \\ & 1.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{R} \cdot 5$ | zigzag ornament on tiara. | 4-200no hair not waved. |

22 bis. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection. 23. 1864. Eastwood. 24, 25. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection. The second half of the legend of no. 24, M. de la Fuÿe suggests, is to be read from left to right, and outwardly. 27. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection.
28. 1848
26. 1872. Canon Eaton. rev. die as no. 27.


30 and 31. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. | Oloverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 56 \end{aligned}$ | A . 7 | Uncertain. <br> Drachms. <br> Bust l., with short beard, Formal representation of a wearing tiara (decorated with (usually) two rows of pellets and a symbol), diadem, torque, and robe; behind head, triskeles; border of dots. triskeles turning to 1. ; border inscr. mostly truncated. off the flan; pellet in crescent on tiara. <br> Pl. XXXVI. 12. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 7 \\ & 3 \cdot 16 \end{aligned}$ | A $\cdot 65$ | triskeles (turning to l.) in front $\mid$ QLUWV ........ene of head ; crescent on tiara. <br> Pl. XXXVI. 13. <br> Hemidrachms. <br> Similar to drachms; no border. Similar to drachms; slight remains of inscr. |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 25 \cdot 2 \\ 1 \cdot 63 \end{gathered}$ | A . 5 | triskeles turning to r.: on assusus, tiara, ••• <br> Pl. XLVIII. 15. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.7 \\ & 1.54 \end{aligned}$ | 凡 55 | $\text { "P1. XXXVI. } 14 .$ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.5 \\ & 1.52 \end{aligned}$ | R . 55 | PI. XXXVI. 15. |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \cdot 3 \\ & 1.51 \end{aligned}$ | R $\cdot 55$ | no triskeles; on tiara, cross on crescent. <br> Pl. XXXVI. 16. |

1. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 2. 1882. India Office Collection. 3. 1918. Presented by Rev, E. Rogers. 4. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent. 5. 1917. Presented br G. F. Hill. 6. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart.

1. 1866. Hooper \& Co. 8. 1844. Steuart. Sotheby's Sale, 3. v. 1844, lot 312. 9. 1864. Eastwood. 10. 1906. Presented by Dr. F. Parkes Weber. 11. 1900. Presented by Mr. W. T. Ready. 12. 1918. Presented by Rev. E. Rogers. 13. Presented by Count de Salis. 14. 1S64. Eastwood. 15. 1900. Presented by Mr. W. T. Ready. 16. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection. Pierced and broken.

1. Num. Chron., 1872, p. 42, Pl. II. 4. Z. f. N.. IV, p. 183, note after no. 147. The doubts which might attach to this coin are removed since it has been cleaned. 2.1918. Presented by Rev. E. Rogers. 3. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection. Pierced.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Artaxerxes III, son (?) of Mithri <br> (ארתחשת - מתרי מלבא, Artakhshat—Mithri the king). <br> Drachms. |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ |  | Bust 1., bearded, diademed, Beardless diademed bust l., wearing open crown with with rays above head; in stepped battlements, above front, inscr. 刃l son which is high fur (?) headdress ; wears robe ; border, if any, off the flan. <br> Pl. XXXVI. 24. <br> Bust l., bearded, diademed; Beardless diademed bust l., high fur (?) head-dress on with rays above head; in crown of head; hair in thick front, downwards, inscr.:mass behind: wears robe: lehind, triskeles turning r. |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 01 \end{aligned}$ | $A R .7$ | triskeles mostly off the flan. Hfh Pl. XXXVI. 25 |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \cdot 2 \\ & 2 \cdot 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{R \quad .7}$ | $\text { Pl. } \mathbf{X X}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Hemidr |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.5 \\ & 1.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{R}$ | Similar to no. 2, but in front star in crescent and triskeles turning l. ; behind, inser. :- <br>  Pl. XX | pe to drachms; no ble. |
| 5 | 18.4 1.19 | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\text { A }}$. 55 | Similar to no. 2. <br> Pl. XXX | type to drachms: r. upwards, virifu, wards, ylh |

1. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection.
1. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection.
1. 1864. Eastwood.
1. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 4. 1920. Gen. Malcolm Clerk Collection.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.7 \\ & 3.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \cdot 7 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ | Mancč מלכא) <br> Bust I．，bearded，we （decorated with tw pellets and pellet in diadem，torque，a behind，upwards，in <br> soylcea <br> （－－ダาภม－－） | tr II，son of Manučithr I． <br> าภมิตร Manučithr the king） <br> Drachms． <br> ingtiara Beardless bust l．，diademed， rows of hair dressed high（or fur crescent）head－dress）on crown of head ； robe：hair in thick mass behind． <br> r．：－ <br> on 1．，upwards，mintrin <br>  <br> Pl．XXXVII． 3. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.0 \\ & 3.05 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 7}$ | Similar to drachms． | ｜hair low on crown of head． <br> Pl．XXXVII． 4. <br> Hemidrachms． <br> Similar to drachms；inscr． around，beginning on 1 ．be－ low ：一 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \cdot 3 \\ & 1 \cdot 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A .55 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | , 1E | म．jurvict <br> P1．XXXVII． 5. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.7 \\ & 1.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R \quad .5 \\ \leftarrow \end{gathered}$ | sadyers． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I fipjes. } \\ & \text { Pl. XXXVII. } 6 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ 1.19 \end{gathered}$ | $\xrightarrow{R} \cdot 55$ | जTra | $\text { P1. XXXVII. } 7 .$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \\ & 1.18 \end{aligned}$ | $R_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 5}$ |  | ｜ar．arnew <br> Pl．XXXVII． 8. |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & 1.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | 20880\％ |  <br> Pl．XXXVII． 9. |

1．1882．India Office Collection．
2．1848．Lt．－Col．Claude Steuart．Num．Chron．， 1850，p．68，no．3，and p．104．Z．D．M．G．，1867，Taf．II．1．Z．f．N．，IV，p．181，no． 129. 3．1864．Eastwood．4．1920．Gen．Malcolm Clerk Collection．5． 1848. Lt．－Col．Claude Steuart．6．1908．Presented by Miss Newton．7．1920．Gen．Malcolm Clerk Collection．

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Uncertain. <br> Hemidrachms or diobols (?). <br> Bust l., bearded, wearing tiara <br> Nude male figure standing l., (adorned with rows of pellets wearing helmet (?), r. extendand inverted crescent), diaed, holding short sword, l. on dem, torque, and robe; thick hip ; inscr. on r. upwards, and back hair. on 1. downwards. |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \cdot 3 \\ & 1 \cdot 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\overbrace{\downarrow} \cdot 6$ | r) 0187 Pl. XXXVII. 10. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \cdot 9 \\ & 1 \cdot 03 \end{aligned}$ |  | \| Fess surue Pl. XXXVII. 11. |

1. 1y18. Presented by Rev. E. Rogers.
2. 1915. Col. W. F. Prideaux Collection.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \cdot 8 \\ & 3 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} .85$ | Manučithr III，son of Mannčithr II． <br> Manučithr the kiug， son of Manučithr the king）． <br> Drachms． |
|  |  |  | Bust l．，bearded，diademed，Bust l．，bearded，wearing high hair in top－knot and in thick diademed tiara adorned with waved mass behind：torque pellets and a crescent；thick and robe；behind，upwards， inscr．：－ inscr．：－ robed back hair，torque and いっかりにビ3 in front，downwards，inscr． <br>  （partly double－struck）：－ <br>  <br> （ברה］מנגֹתרי［מלבכא） <br> Pl．XXXVII． 12. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.2 \\ & 3.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { I } & .85 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | Bust l．，bearded，wearing high ．Bust l．，bearded，wearing dia－ diademed tiara，adorned with demed head－dress，with top rows of pellets and a pellet in bent forward，and adorned crescent；torque and robe； with row of pellets；torque behind，upwards，inscr．：－ and robe；in front，down－ り频にない wards，and behind，upwards， <br>  inscr．：－ <br>  |
|  |  |  | P1．XXXVII． 13. <br> Hemidrachm． |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \cdot 0 \\ & 1 \cdot 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} A & .65 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | Similar to the drachm no．2，Similar to obverse of the but no pellet in the crescent：drachm no．1；inscr．．frif behind head，triskeles． （I．downwards），$\Rightarrow 87 \AA$（r．up－ wards） <br>  <br> PI．XXXVII． 14. |

1，2．1866．Hooper \＆Co．
3．1918．Anonymous donation．


1. 1866. Hooper \& Co. 2. 1902. Major-Gen. M. G. Clerk. 3. 1855. Presented by Col. Rawlinson. 4. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. Num. Chron., 1872, PI. II, no. 3 ; p. 42, no. 1. Z.f. N., IV, p. 184, no. 150. Pierced. 5. 1866. Hooper \& Co.

## ELYMAIS ${ }^{1}$

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Kamnaskires I（Nikephoros）． <br> circu 150 A．s．$=163-2$ в．с． <br> No coins in British Museum．See Introduction，and <br> Pl．LIII． 6. <br> Kaminaskires 11 and Axzaze． $231 \text { А.S. }=82-1 \text { в.с. }$ <br> Silver Tetradrachms． <br> Busts 1．．jugate，of Kamna－ skires and Anzaze ：he is dia－ demed；his beard is long and pointed；wears ear－rings and torque ；dress decorated with stars（four－pointed on breast， six－pointed on sleeve）；she wears stepliane，diadem，neck－ lace，and jewelled dress；bor－ der of dots． <br> Zeus，seated l．on throne with back nude to waist，with himation over lower limbs； l．rests on spear，r．holds Nike who extends wreath towards him． |
| 1 | $242 \cdot 1$ $15 \cdot 69$ | R 1.05 | behind，anchor－shaped symbol， lower part of＂which forms monogram $\overline{0}$ ． <br>  r．downwards $彡$ へじKIIOY． on l．downwards $彡 彡, \therefore \\| \wedge[I \wedge$ I［CHI，in ex．$\wedge$ NZ $\wedge$ ZHI ヘヘㅍ․（BALIヘESE KAM NACKIPOY KAI BACI ヘICEHC ANZAZHCA $\wedge \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ ）；on l．，below hand of Zeus，downwards，in small letters，traces of uncertain inscr． <br> P1．XXXVIII． 1. |

${ }^{1}$ For an Alexandrine coin struck by Aspeisas，governor of Susiana under Antigonus， see Introduction．

1．1858．James Whittall Sale，lot S33．Gardner，Parthian Coinage，PI．VII．26．Rer．Num．， 1902，p．99．Same obv．die as Rex．Num．，1902，p．99，no．2，P1．V． 2.

2. 1553. Jos. Olguin (found near.Hamadan). Longpérier, Rois Parthes, p. 34, Pl. VI, 66. Leake, Num. Hell., Kings and Dynasts, p. 66. Num. Chron., 1856, p. 140, Pl. at p. 139, fig. 4. 3. 1853. Jos. Olguin (found near Hamadan). Longpérier, loc. cit., Pl. VI. 65. Leake, loc. cit. Num. Chron., 1856, p. 139. Same obv. die as no. 2. 4. 1858. J. Whittall Sale, lot 834. Rer. Num., 1902, p. 10:.

| No． | Wt． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Kamnaskires III， <br> son of Kamnaskires II，and successors． <br> First cent．B．c．to first cent．A．D． <br> GROUP A <br> （with Zeus on reverse）． <br> Silver Tetradrachms． <br> None in British Museum．See Introduction． <br> Drachms． <br> Head of Kamnaskires III 1．，Zeus with spear and Nike bearded，diademed；wears ear－seated 1 ．as on preceding； ring，and dress like that of inscr．on four sides；in field Kamnaskires II（details ob－1．，letter or monogram． <br> scure）；border of dots． |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 60 \cdot 6 \\ 3.93 \end{gathered}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 7$ | beardless． <br> inser．above off the flan；on r．downwarIs シIINへ［KII． on 1．downwards 爫VB＾［1 $\wedge[I=$ in ex．$K \wedge I,\|I\|\rangle \mid$ ；in field，K <br> Pl．XXXVIII． 5. |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 55.0 \\ 3.56 \end{gathered}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \cdot 65$ | with short beard． <br> シ $\wedge$［INE <br>  <br> Pl．XXXVIII． 6. |

[^187]

[^188]4. Longpérier, Rois Parthes, p. 35, Pl. VI. 67. Nrm. Chron., 1873, p. 185, Pl. VII. 6. Z.f. N., 1881, p. 211, no. 11. Rev. Num., 1902, p. 103, no. 7, Pl. V. 7.
5. 1903. Noury Bey.
6. 1906. Presented by Miss H. L. Lorimer.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.7 \\ & 3.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R } \quad .7 \\ \text { base } \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | sumbol and border off the flan. | above $N \neq M$; on 1. $K \Lambda \neq$; the rest illegible. |
|  |  |  | Hemidrachms. |  |
| 8 | $\begin{gathered} 30 \cdot 3 \\ 1.96 \end{gathered}$ | $R_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ | Similar bust to preceding ; no symbol behind. <br> border off the tlan. | Similar bust to preceding; inscr. on four sides. <br> above $\|\wedge\|\langle \| \wedge \mid ; ~ t h e ~ r e s t ~$ illegible or off the flan. |
|  |  |  | Pl. XXXVIII. 11. |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \cdot 1 \\ & 1 \cdot 82 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ | plain border. |  wards HヘIP, the rest illegible. |
|  |  |  | P1. XXXVIII. 12. |  |
|  |  |  | Obols. |  |
|  |  |  | Similar bust to preceding; border obscure. | Similar bust to preceding; on r., remains of inser. mostly off the flan. |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \cdot 3 \\ 0.60 \end{array}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 35$ | Pl. XXXVIII. 13. |  |
| 11 | $\begin{array}{r} 9.0 \\ 0.58 \end{array}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 35$ | $\text { Pl. } \mathbf{X X X I}$ | VIII. 14. |

7. 1913. H. Conway Elliot.
1. 1878. Subhi Sale. Rev. Num., 1902, p. 104, no. 8,

Pl. V. 8.
9. 1903. Noury Bey. 11. Rev Num. lac sit, 120, Pl V 9. Same rev die as no. 10.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | GROU <br> Tetradr <br> Bust 1．，diademed，with long beard；wide fringe of hair below diadem；dress as be－ fore；behind，symbol ：anchor having double cross－bar at top，usually with pellet above or beside it，and with star in crescent above ：border of dots． | UP． <br> rachms． <br> Very degraded copy of bust and inscr．as on previous series ；the whole type gradu－ ally becoming a collection of dashes． |
| 12 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 216.9 \\ 14.05 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 玉 } 1.05 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | －mall bust；upper part of symbol obscure；oral border． | inscr．illegible． <br> Pl．XXXIX． 1. |
| 13 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 209 \cdot 4 \\ 13 \cdot 57 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\text { Æ }} 1 \cdot 05$ | similar to preceding． <br> PI．XXXIX． 2. | inscr．illegible． |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 225 \cdot 6 \\ & 14 \cdot 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 1 \cdot 15$ | Pl．XX | above，ヘIヘI；on l．，upwards and inwards，シІППАП；the rest disfigured loy bad striking． XIX． 3. |
| 15 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 209 \cdot 4 \\ 13.57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Æ } 1.2 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | no pellet． | ｜bust just discernible ；inscr． wholly disfigurerl． <br> XIX． 4. |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 213.0 \\ & 13.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 1$ | no pellet． <br> Pl．XX | bust in rude outline；inscr． wholly disfigured． <br> XIX． 5. |
| 17 | $\begin{gathered} 231.7 \\ 15.01 \end{gathered}$ | E1．2 | Pl. XXI | bust and inscr．wholly dis－ figured． <br> XIX． 6. |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 162 \cdot 0 \\ & 10 \cdot 50 \end{aligned}$ | E 1.15 | no pellet beside symbol；pellet instead of star． | type obliterated；inscr．illeg－ ible． |

12．1918．Glendining Sale，19．ix．1918，lot 121.
lot 4.1 14．1909．Presented by Capt．D．L．Lorimer．
Cunningham．16．1918．Presented by Prof．C．Oman． Steunt Tum．Chron．185̌6，p．141，fig 5（according to Vaux from Rois Parthes，p．35，Pl．VI．72．18．1918．Presented by Prof．C．Oman．

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - | Drachms. <br> Bust, symbol (with single bar, T'ype and inscr. wholly deand no pellet) and border as graded into collection of on preceding. dashes, or smoothed away. |
| 1.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 78 \end{aligned}$ | E 7 | Pl. XXXIX. 8. |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 7 \\ & 3 \cdot 87 \end{aligned}$ | E 65 |  |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 84 \end{aligned}$ | E.65 |  |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 8 \\ & 3 \cdot 81 \end{aligned}$ | 䢒 65 | Pl. XXXIX. 7. |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 80 \end{aligned}$ | A 65 |  |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.4 \\ & 3.72 \end{aligned}$ | E 65 | - |
| 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 8 \\ & 3 \cdot 62 \end{aligned}$ | E.65 |  |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 60 \end{aligned}$ | E.65 |  |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 5.2 \end{aligned}$ | E.65 | P1. XXXIX. 9. |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 33 \end{aligned}$ | E. 6 |  |

19. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful).

20-6. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa)
27. 1862. Comnt de Salis (from Richard Collection .
28. 1856. W. K. Loftus.

| No. | W.t. | Metal. Size. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 1 \\ & 3.12 \end{aligned}$ | E $\cdot 7$ |  |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 46.8 \\ & 3.03 \end{aligned}$ | E.6 | P1. XXXIX. 10. |
|  |  |  | GROUP D (parallel to Group C). Tetradrachm. |
| 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 232 \cdot 3 \\ & 15 \cdot 05 \end{aligned}$ | E $1 \cdot 15$ | Bust l., diademed, with long Remains of type (bust (?)) and pointed beard and bushy back hair; wears torque; behind, anchor-symbol with double cross-bar, and four-pointed star in crescent. <br> Pl. XXXIX. 11. |

29. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham. Presented by Prof. C. Oman.
1. 1856. W. K. Loftus.
1. 1918. 

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 60.2 \\ & 3.90 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow}^{6}$ | Second half of <br> Type Aa (bust l. with ti <br> 1. With Gre <br> Bust l., bearded, wearing diademed tiara adorned with anchor; behind, anchor and crescent with pellet ; border of dots. | DES I. <br> f first cent. A.D. <br> ara adorned with anchor). <br> ek inscription. <br> chms. <br> Turreted and radiate female bustr. (Artemis-Tyche); wears necklace; around, brokendown Greek inscription, intended for VPW $\triangle$ HC BAC I $\wedge \in V C$; border of dots. <br> king's name on l. upwards, title on r. upwards, thus: <br> s 3 3 3 3 3 <br> Pl. XXXIX. 12. |
| 2 | $59 \cdot 3$ $3 \cdot 84$ | Æ ${ }_{\uparrow} 65$ |  | $\text { PI. XXXIX. } 13 .$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 59.2 \\ & 3 \cdot 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}{ }^{6}$ |  | " " |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.7 \\ & 3.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 65$ |  | ", " |
| 5 | 57.1 3.70 | ${ }_{\uparrow}{ }^{6}$ |  | " |
| 6 | $56 \cdot 5$ $3 \cdot 66$ | E ${ }^{-6}$ |  | " " |

1-4. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful).
5. 1909 . Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa`.
6. 1920. As nos. 1-4.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | As on preceding. |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 65$ |  | ", " |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 54.4 \\ & 3 \cdot 5.3 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | " |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | XIX. 14. |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | king's name on r. upwards, title retrograde on l. upwards, thus : |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  | XIX. 15. |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 1 \gamma \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  | " ", |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 6}$ |  | ", " |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 6 \\ & 3.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 5.5$ |  |  |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 58 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  | king's name on r. upwards retrograde, title on l. upwards retrograde, thus: |

7. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham. S. 1908. Lincoln. 9, 10. 1920. As nos. 1-4. 11. 1900. Lincoln.
1. 1920. As nos. 1-4.

Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).
14. 1920. As nos. 1-4.
13. 1909. Presented by
16. 1920. As nos. 1-4.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 58 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  | As on preceding. |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 6}$ |  | $\text { Pl. XXXIX. } 16 .$ |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | " " |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | E.55 |  | " " |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 51.9 \\ & 3.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. } \quad .6$ |  | " ", |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 32 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} .6$ |  | ", ", |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 32 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\uparrow}{ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | , ${ }^{\text {, }}$ |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 7 \\ & 3 \cdot 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | " "' |
| 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \cdot 5 \\ & 2 \cdot 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | ," , |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 59.8 \\ & 3.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .6$ |  | king's name on l. upwards retrograde, title on r. upwards, thus: |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | ", ", |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | ", " |

17. 1920. As nos. 1-4.
1. 1909. As no. 13.

19-27. 1920. As nos. 1-4. 28. 1909. As no. 13.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 54.5 \\ & 3 \cdot 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  | As on preceding. |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .7$ |  | " , |
| 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 50.2 \\ & 3.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E }_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | , |
| 32 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  | " |
| 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \cdot 0 \\ & 2.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  | " , |
| 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 42.9 \\ & 2.78 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} .5$ |  | " |

2. With Aramaic legend.

## Tetradrachm.

None in British Museum. For the only known specimen see Allotte de la Fuÿe, p. 56.17 (here Pl. LIII. 13).
3. Uninscribed.

Drachms.
Bust 1., bearded ; anchor with Bust of Artemis-Tyche 1., crescent and pellet; border of draped, wearing modius; bedots; all as on group $1 . \quad$ hiud, cornucupiae; border of dots.

| 35 | 59.7 | E 55 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$3.87 \uparrow$
29. 1920. As nos. 1-4.
30. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham.
31. 1920.

As nos. 1-4. $32,33.1909$. As no. 13. $34,35.1920$ As nos. 1-4.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. }{ }_{\uparrow}^{6}$ |  |
| 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} \cdot 6$ $\downarrow$ |  |
| 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 50.7 \\ & 3.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \underset{\downarrow}{\downarrow}$ |  |
| 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \cdot 1 \\ & 2 \cdot 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | Pl. $\mathbf{X X}$ |
| 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 6 \\ & 3.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |
| 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 54.2 \\ & 3.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{E}} .6$ |  |
| 42 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | P1. XXXIX. 19. |
| 43 | $\begin{aligned} & 50.5 \\ & 3.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 65$ |  |
| 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} \cdot 6$ |  |
| 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 7 \\ & 2 \cdot 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \cdot 6 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \cdot 2 \\ & 2.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  |
| 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.4 \\ & 2.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  |
| 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 6}$ | small star between anchor and liead. |

36-9. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful). 40-4, 46, 47. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson. $40,41,43,44$ and 47 . Found at Susa ; also probably nos. 42 and 46 . 45. 1900. Rollin. 48. 1916. Presented by Rev. Edgar Rogers (from Teheran).

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bust l. bearded; anchor with crescent and pellet; border of dots : all as on group 1. | Anchor, on a field covered with vertical dashes. |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 61.5 \\ & 3.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | Pl. X | XL. 1. |
| 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 6 \\ & 3.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | Pl. X | L. 2. |
| 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 55.7 \\ & 3 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .65$ |  |  |
| 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 55.3 \\ & 3.58 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\uparrow} .6$ |  |  |
| 53 | $\begin{aligned} & 55.0 \\ & 3.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E }_{\uparrow} .6$ |  |  |
| 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | Pl. X | L. 3. |
| 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ }_{\uparrow} .6$ |  |  |
| 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .65$ |  |  |
| 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  |  |
| 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \cdot 1 \\ & 2.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .6$ |  |  |
| 59 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 6 \\ & 2 \cdot 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 玉 } \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 61 | $52 \cdot 0$ | $\text { ※ } 65$ | Pl. X | L. 4. |

49-59. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson. All found at Susa, except perhaps no. 53. 60. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham. 61. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \cdot 3 \\ & 2 \cdot 93 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |
| 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 6 \\ & 3.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 55}$ |  |
| 64 | $\begin{aligned} & 48.3 \\ & 3.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\notin \uparrow$ |  |
| 65 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.6 \\ & 3.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |
| 66 | $\begin{aligned} & 52.8 \\ & 3.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .6$ | small star between anchor and head. $\text { Pl. XL. } 5 .$ |
| 67 | $\begin{aligned} & 43.9 \\ & 2.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |
| 68 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 58 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { £ } 65$ | Similar to preceding (but Field filled with dashes arwithout star $\rangle.$ $\begin{array}{l}\text { ranged so as to suggest three } \\ \text { ears of corn. }\end{array}$ |
| 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \cdot 2 \\ & 2.99 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 | Pl. XL. 6. |
| 70 | $\begin{gathered} 58.1 \\ 3.76 \end{gathered}$ | A 6 |  |
| 71 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 64 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 |  |
| 72 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.4 \\ & 3 \cdot 07 \end{aligned}$ | 玉 55 |  |
| 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | E.6 | Pl. XL. 7. |

62. 1905. Lincoln. 63-5. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful). 66-72. 1909. As nos. 49-59. 73. 1894. Sir Alex. Cunningham.

| No．Wt． | Metal． <br> Size． | Obverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORODES 1I |  |  |
| （KAMNASKIRES ORODES）． |  |  |
| Late first cent．A．D． |  |  |

## Tetradrachms．

Bust facing，bearded，wearing Field filled with dashes． tiara，with line of dots down front，and transverse crest ：in field r．，anchor with double cross－bar at top，and crescent containing star；on l．，down－ wards，inser．；border of dots．

Pl．XL． 8.
2222.2 E $1 \cdot 1$ ज゙ざかくなり！
$12 \cdot 40$
Pl．XL． 9.

1．1850f．W．K．Loftus．
2．1920．Preented by M．J．de Morgan（from a find at Dizful）．3．1856．W．K．Loftus．

4. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).

5, 6. 1920. As no. 2. 7-10. 1909. As no. 4.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Ixis. | Oliverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 55.5 \\ & 3.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \cdot 6 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | inscr. Jegins on r., below. Pl. XL. 12. |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E }{ }_{\uparrow}^{6}$ |  |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.3 \\ & 3.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. } 6$ |  |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 玉 }{ }^{-6}$ | Pl. XL. 11. |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\leftarrow}{\mathrm{E}} \stackrel{\cdot 6}{\leftarrow}$ | inscr. begins on l., middle. |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 1 \tau \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\downarrow}{\mathrm{E} \cdot 6}$ | inser. legins on r., below. |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} .65 \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 6$ |  |
|  |  |  | 2. Uninscribed. <br> Drachms. <br> Bust facing, bearderl, wearing Field filled with dashes. tiara, with line of dots down front (usually without sign of crest) : in field r., anchor (usually with single cross-bar) and crescent containing pellet above : border of dots. |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 61.7 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | E . 6 | tiara has transverse crest; double cross-bar to anchor. <br> Pl. XL. 13. |

11, 12. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa .
13-16. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful .
17. 1909. Rollin.
18. 189 S . Benlian.
19. As. nos. 11, 12.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 84 \end{aligned}$ | E.55 | tiara has transverse crest; double cross-bar to anchor. |  |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 1 \\ & 3.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} \cdot 6$ | :. |  |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. } \cdot 6$ | ", " |  |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 1 \\ & 3.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} \cdot 6$ | tiara has no crest (?) ; double cross-bar to anchor. $\text { Pl. XL. } 14 .$ |  |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 65$ | tiara has transverse crest. |  |
| 25 | $\begin{gathered} 60 \cdot 4 \\ 3.91 \end{gathered}$ | E 6 | Pl. XL. 15. |  |
| 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 1 \\ & 3.99 \end{aligned}$ | ※ . 65 | ," ,. |  |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 6 \cdot t \end{aligned}$ | ※ 6 | ," |  |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 6$ | -, |  |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 65.7 \\ & 4 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 65$ |  |  |
| 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.5 \\ & 3.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 65$ | Pl. XL. 16. |  |
| 32 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.5 \\ & 3.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } .65$ |  |  |

20, 21. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa)
22. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa?).
23. 1916. Presented by Rev. Edgar Rogers (from Teheran). 24. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at DizfuI). 25. 1900. Lincoln. 26, 27. As nos. 20,21. 28. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham. 29-32. As nos. $20,21$.


33-43. As nos. 20, 21.
44, 45. As. no. 22.
46. As nos. 20, 21.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | ※ 6 | Pl. XL. 19. |  |
| 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \cdot 3 \\ & 3.06 \end{aligned}$ | E 65 |  |  |
| 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 54.4 \\ & 3.5 .2 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 |  |  |
| 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 39 \end{aligned}$ | E 65 |  |  |
| 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 7 \\ & 3 \cdot 9.3 \end{aligned}$ | E 65 |  |  |
| 52 | $\begin{gathered} 60 \cdot 3 \\ 3 \cdot 91 \end{gathered}$ | A 655 |  |  |
| 53 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 59 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 | , |  |
| 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 53.2 \\ & 3 \cdot 45 \end{aligned}$ | E •65 |  |  |
| 55 | $\begin{gathered} 52.8 \\ 3 \cdot 42 \end{gathered}$ | 玉 6 |  |  |
| 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 7 \\ & 3.35 \end{aligned}$ | 玉 6 | double cross-bar to anchor. |  |
| 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 30 \end{aligned}$ | E.6 | " " |  |
| 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 6 \\ & 3.28 \end{aligned}$ | ※.55 |  |  |

47, 48. As nos. 20, 21.
49, 50. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful). 51. 1853. W. K. Loftus (found at Susa). 52, 53. 185̃6. W. K. Loftus. 54. 1908. Presented by Major Mackenzie Elliot. 55. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham. 56. 1911. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson. 57. 1856. W. K. Loftus.
58. 189 . Benlian.

59. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful).
60. 1856.
W. K. Loftus. Same obv. die as no. 59.
61. 1856. W. K. Loftus.
62. 1919.
H. D. Gill (found at Susa).
63. 1856. W. K. Loftus.


64-6. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).
67. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful).
e8. 1824. R. Payne-Knight Bequest.
69. 1900. lincoln. 70. As no. 67.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2. Uninscribed. <br> Drachms. <br> Similar bust and symbols to [Field filled with irregular preceding; double cross-bar dashes. <br> to anchor: border of clots. |
| 71 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 22 \end{aligned}$ | E . 6 |  |
| 72 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 97 \end{aligned}$ | E -6 |  |
| 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 4 \\ & 3.91 \end{aligned}$ | ※ . 65 | $\cdots$ |
| 74 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 0 \\ & 3.89 \end{aligned}$ | E 65 | Pl. XLI. 4. |
| 75 | $\begin{aligned} & 59.9 \\ & 3.88 \end{aligned}$ | E.65 |  |
| 76 | $\begin{gathered} 58 \cdot 2 \\ 3 \cdot 77 \end{gathered}$ | ※ . 6 | Pl. XLI. 5. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & b i s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot r^{\prime} 4 \end{aligned}$ | A 6 | . $\square$ |
| 77 | $\begin{gathered} 58.1 \\ 3.76 \end{gathered}$ | A 655 |  |
| 78 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 76 \end{aligned}$ | Æ . 6 |  |
| 79 | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 73 \end{aligned}$ | ※ . 6 | Pl. XLI. 6. |
| 80 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.6 \\ & 3.73 \end{aligned}$ | ※ .65 |  |
| 81 | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 71 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 |  |

71-81. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).


82-5. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson found at Susa). 83 and 84 are from same obr. die. 86, 87. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson found at Susa?). 88, 89. As nos. 82-5. 90-2. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful). 93. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. 94. 1900. Lincoln. 95. 1856. W. K. Loftus.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 37 \end{aligned}$ | E 65 |  |  |
| 97 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 45 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 |  |  |
| 98 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 7 \\ & 4 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 65$ | Similar to preceding, but anchor has single cross-bar. | Field filled with irregular dashes. |
| 99 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 9 \\ & i \cdot 08 \end{aligned}$ | E . 65 |  |  |
| 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \cdot 8 \\ & 4 \cdot 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | E $\cdot 7$ |  |  |
| 101 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 9.5 \end{aligned}$ | E 65 |  |  |
| 102 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 5 \\ & 3.92 \end{aligned}$ | ※ . 6 |  |  |
| 103 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 88 \end{aligned}$ | E.65 | Pl. XLI. 9. |  |
| 104 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 84 \end{aligned}$ | 玉 . 6 |  |  |
| 105 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot \underline{2} \\ & \because 3 \cdot S \dot{t} \end{aligned}$ | E -65 |  |  |
| 106 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 3 \\ & 3: 28 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 |  |  |
| 107 | $\begin{aligned} & 52.0 \\ & 3 \cdot 39 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 |  |  |
| 108 | $50 \cdot 4$ 3.27 | \& 55 |  |  |

96. 1856. W. K. Loftus. 97. 1902. Presented by Mr. A. P. Ready. 98-108. 1909. Presented hy Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | £ 6 |  |  |
| 110 | $\begin{aligned} & 53.7 \\ & 3.48 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 |  |  |
| 111 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 8 \\ & 3.42 \end{aligned}$ | ※ 6 |  |  |
| 112 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 7$ |  |  |
| 113 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 9 \\ & 3.49 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 |  |  |

109. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa .

110-12. 1856. W. K. Loftus. 113. 1908. Lincoln.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Phraates <br> son of Orodes． <br> Early second cent．A．d． <br> Type Ab．Bust l．in tiara adorned with crescent containing pellet． <br> 1．With Greek inscription． <br> Bust l．，bearded，weuring dia－ demerl tiara adorned with crescent containing pellet ：on l．，when not off the flan，TPPA upwards；behind，anchor and crescent containing pellet； border of dots． <br> Artemis，wearing short chit－ on，standing r．，holding bow in l．，plucking arrow with r． from quiver at her back： on r．upwards，retrograde， ОНТАА 9 T ；on l．，retro－ grade，ЈVЭヘIつか8；border of dots． |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \cdot 3 \\ & 1: 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | Pl．XLI． 10. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 6 \\ & 4 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 1 \\ & 4 \cdot 09 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}$ | P1．XLI． 11. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .6$ |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 56.9 \\ & 3.69 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{E}}{ }^{-6}$ |  |
| （； | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 1 \\ & \because 6 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{.65}$ |  |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 47 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | Pl．XLI． 12. |

1－7．1920．Presented by M．J．de Morgan（from a find at Dizful）．

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbb{E}_{\hat{i}} .65$ |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \cdot 3 \\ & 4 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 92 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 8 \cdot i \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 2 \\ & 3 \cdot 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 43 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow} \cdot 65$ | Pl. XLI. 14. |  |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbb{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 3 i \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbb{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  |  |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \cdot 5 \\ & 3.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 5 \\ & 3 \cdot 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  |  |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 84 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  |  |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 3 \\ & 3.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E }_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |

8. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful). 9. 1911. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson. 10-15. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).
1. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa ?).

18, 19. 1900. Rollin.
20. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Stemart.
17. 1900. Lincoln.

21. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. (from Teheran). 23. 1911. G. H. Judd. Rev. Num., 1919, p. 67, and Pl. I. 9. 24. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).


25-36. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).

| No. | Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \cdot 1 \\ & 3 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | ※ 55 |  |  |
| 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 00 \end{aligned}$ | ※ .6 |  |  |
| 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 52 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 |  | dashes crescent-shaped. <br> Pl. XLI. 19. |
| 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 7 \\ & 3 \cdot 48 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 |  |  |
| 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 53.0 \\ & 3.43 \end{aligned}$ | E.6 |  |  |
| 42 | $\begin{gathered} 56.9 \\ 3.69 \end{gathered}$ | E.65 |  |  |
| 43 | $\begin{gathered} 54 \cdot 5 \\ 3 \cdot 53 \end{gathered}$ | ※ 55 |  |  |
| 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \cdot 6 \\ & 3 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 | Pl. | LI. 20. |
| 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 3 \\ & 3 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | ※ 65 |  |  |
| 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 56.7 \\ & 3.68 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 | double cross-bar to anchor. |  |
| 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 6 \\ & 4 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | ※ . 6 | P1. | dashes irregular. <br> LI. 21. |

37, 35. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. 'T. Wilson (found at Susa).
39-41. 1909.
Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa ?)
42. 1900. Rollin.
43. 1900.

Lincoln.
44. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful,
45. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham. 46. 1908. Lincoln. 47. 1919. H. D. Gill (found at Susa).


48, 49. 1920. Presented by M. J. de Morgan (from a find at Dizful).
50. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa). 52. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa?).
53. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \cdot 9 \\ & 3 \cdot 2: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.9 \\ & 2.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ |  |  |
| 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \cdot 1 \\ & \sim \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \gamma \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.6 \\ & 3.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | border oval. | Eagle has wings closed and holds in beak diadem, ends of which fly behind; border oval. <br> I. 25. |
| 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.8 \\ & 2.71 \end{aligned}$ | $-\mathrm{E} \cdot 55$ | Similar to preceding. | Double diadem; on either side a crescent, horns upward, containing a pellet; border of dots. |
| ऽ9 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \cdot 2 \\ & 2 \cdot 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 6$ | crescents visible in decoration of tiara. |  |
| 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 4 \\ & \sim \cdot 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .55$ | Pl. XI | I. 26. |
| 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \cdot 4 \\ & 2 \cdot 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ }{ }^{-55}$ | crescent in field, if any, off the flan. |  |
| 62 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \cdot 8 \\ & 2 \cdot 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\text { A } \cdot 55}$ | no crescent in field. <br> Pl. X | no crescents. I. 27. |
| 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \cdot 0 \\ & 2 \cdot 1 \cdot t \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{A \cdot 55}$ | no crescent in field. | \|crescents with horns outward. |

54. 1916. Presented by Rev. Edgar Rogers (from Teheran). 55, 56. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. W'ilson found at Susa ?). 57. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent. 58, 59. 1909. As nos. 55, 56. 60. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent. 61-3. 1909. As nos. 55, 56.

| No | Wt. | Metal. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64 | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \cdot 2 \\ & 2 \cdot 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\notin \cdot 6$ | Similar bust, \&c., to preceding : border of dots. <br> Pl. XLI. 28. | Field filled with parallel crescents ( $\because$ ). |
| 65 | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \cdot 1 \\ & 2 \cdot 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { A. } 55$ | Similar to preceding; tiara adorned with rertical row of pellets; border of dats. | Pattern of crescents : centre of three crescents back to back, surrounded by a border of six crescents with horns outward (?). |

64, 65. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa?). In spite of the decoration of the tiara on no. 65, usually associated, according to Allotte de la Fuye's classification, with Orodes II, the style and weight of these coins connect them with those attributed to Phraates.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Orode } \\ \text { (URUD } \\ \text { Secoud ce } \\ \text { Dracł } \\ \text { Type Be. } \end{gathered}$ | MALKA) <br> nt. A.D. <br> hms. <br> Bust facing. |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.9 \\ & 3: 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | Bust facing (l. shoulder prominent), with long moustache and pointed beard; hair dressed high on head; double diadem: small lateral tufts: border of dots. <br> Pl. X | In a formal wreath, anchor, flanked at top by crescents containing pellets. <br> II. 1. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 8 \\ & 3 \cdot 50 \end{aligned}$ | E. 6 <br> $\uparrow$ | Bust facing, all as on preceding. but with larger lateral tufts; border of ilots. | Female bust (Artemis?) 1., wearing a head-dress with a crest of rays terminating in pellets, and ties falling to shoulders; necklace and pearled dress; behind head, anchor ; border of dots. <br> III. 2. |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \cdot 0 \\ & 2 \cdot 92 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}$ |  |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \cdot 2 \\ & 2 \cdot 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ | in field l., crescent surmounted by a star. |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 3 \\ & 2 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 0 \\ & 2 \cdot 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| 7 | $33 \cdot 2$ $2 \cdot 15$ | E ${ }_{\uparrow}^{6}$ |  |  |

1. 1900. Pollin and Feuardent.

2-7. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa ?).

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 6$ | Pl. XLII. 3. |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \cdot 9 \\ & 2.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}{ }^{6}$ | $\frac{1}{\text { Pl. XLII. } 4 .}$ |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 42.9 \\ & 2.78 \end{aligned}$ | E ${ }_{\uparrow} 6$ |  |
| 11 | 37.4 2.42 | ${ }_{\wedge}{ }^{-6}$ |  |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 43.9 \\ & 2.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | Bust l., with pointed beard, Female bust (Queen Ulfan?) large tufts of hair on top of l., draped; wears diadem with head and nape of neck; double long ties, and necklace; [in diadem: in front, traces of front, inscr.]. <br> Aramaic inscr. (URUD MAL- <br> KA) ; border of dots. <br> Pl. XLII. 5. |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \cdot 3 \\ & 1.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | Similar bust 1.; in front, Similar bust 1.; details of anchor ; border off the flan. head-dress off the flan; on 1. , inscr. <br> \| ULFAN); border of dots. <br> Pl. XLII. 6. |

8, 9. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent. 10. 1894. Gen. Sir Alex. Cunningham. 11. 187\%. Feuardent. 12. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent.
13. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilsou (found at Susa).

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Urode } \\ & \text { (URIfD } \\ & \text { Secomel cen } \\ & \text { Drach } \\ & \text { Type Bg. } \end{aligned}$ <br> Bust l．，with small beard in two points：large tuft of hair on top of head；double diadem；on 1．downwards． sometimes inscr．バコクม 717\％； border of dots． | ES IV． <br> MALKA） <br> ntury A．D． <br> chms． <br> ．Bust 1. <br> Female bust 1．（Artemis：）； wears head－dress with crest of rays terminating in pellets， and ties；necklace and pearled dress：border of dots． |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.5 \\ & 3.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 7}$ |  | behind head，anchor． |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \cdot 4 \\ & 3 \cdot 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ | inscr．（if any）off the flan；in front of head，anchor． |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \cdot 0 \\ & 2.98 \end{aligned}$ | E 6 | type mis－struck ；inscr．（if any） off the tlan． | behind head，anchor． <br> Pl．XLII． 7. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \cdot 6 \\ & 2 \cdot 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{.55}$ | inscr．（if any）off the flan． Pl．XL | $\text { LII. } 8$ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \cdot 0 \\ & 2 \cdot 92 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 65$ | ＂ |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 0 \\ & 2 \cdot 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 6}$ | no inser． |  |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 0 \\ & 2.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } \cdot 6$ | traces of inscr． | behind head，anchor． |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \cdot 9 \\ & 2 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ | E.65 | no inser． |  |

1，2．1920．Presented by Mr．G．P．Churchill（from Persia）．
3－6．1909．Presented by Lt．A．T．Wilson（found at Susa？）．7．1920．Presented by Mr．G．P．Churchill（from Persia）．8．1916．Presented by Rev．Edgar Rogers（from Teheran）．

| No. | Wt. | Metal Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} 33.9 \\ 2.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { E } \uparrow \uparrow$ | inscr. (if any) off the flan. | behind head, trace of anchor ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ). |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 45.0 \\ & 2.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} .55$ | no. inser. | behind head, crescent (\%). |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 37.0 \\ & 2.40 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | inscr. (if any) off the flan. | behind head, crescent. |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.0 \\ & 2.27 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\uparrow}{ }_{\uparrow}^{.55}$ | Pl. | II. 9. |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \cdot 4 \\ & 2 \cdot 1 \cdot \end{aligned}$ | $\text { A. }_{\uparrow} .55$ | Similar type, \&c., in lo relief; behind head, anch no. inser. | Similar type, in lower relief. <br> II. 10. |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \cdot 6 \\ & 2 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E. } 5$ |  |  |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \cdot 2 \\ & 2 \cdot 0^{!} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ |  | in front of head, crescent (?). Pl. XLII. 11. |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.0 \\ & 1.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ |  |  |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \cdot 1 \\ & 1.8 ? \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 5}$ | Pl. | LII. 12. |

9-11. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa). 12. 1909. As nos. 3-6. 13-16. 1909. As nos. 9-11.
17. 1909. As nos. 3-6.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.8 \\ & 1.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\not{ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ | Uncertain Kings. <br> Late second century to 226 A.D. <br> Drachms. <br> Type Bh. Bust l.; rev. Artemis. <br> Bust 1., with beard in two Artemis, wearing short chiton, points; small tuft on top of standing r.; in extended l., head ; double diadem ; no anbow, r. draws arrow from chor behind (?) ; border of dots. quiver at her shoulder; bor- der of dots. <br> Pl. XLII. 13. |

1. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (from Susa ?).

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Siz Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Type Bi. Bust <br> Bust l., with beard in two points ; small tuft at back of head and sometimes also on top; diadem (usually double); sometimes, ${ }^{1}$ behind head, anchor, and above it a crescent and star, separated: border of dots. | . ; rev. Artemis. <br> Artemis, wearing short chiton, standing r.; in extended 1. bow, r. draws arrow from quiver at her shoulder ; border of dots. |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.3 \\ & 3.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{\cdot 55}$ |  |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.2 \\ & 2 \cdot 6 r \end{aligned}$ | $E \cdot 55$ |  |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \cdot 0 \\ & 2.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\not{ }_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ | P1. XLI | II. 14. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \cdot 1 \\ & 2 \cdot 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\underbrace{5}_{\uparrow}$ |  |  |
| 5 | 31.5 2.04 | ¢ ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |
| 6 | 28.5 | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ |  |  |
| 7 | 26.8 1.71 | E $\uparrow^{.55}$ |  |  |
| 8 | 26.7 1.73 | E ${ }_{\uparrow} 45$ |  |  |
| 9 | 22.5 1.46 | E ${ }_{\uparrow} 45$ |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Some of those coins on which the anchor, \&e., are not described may be only faulty specimens.

1. 1900. Lincoln.
1. 1920. Presented by Mr. G. P. Churchill (from Persia). 3. 1900. Lincoln. 4-9. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).

| No. | Wt. | Metal Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \cdot 2 \\ & 1 \cdot 44 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow} .5$ |  |  |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \cdot 3 \\ & 1 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 45$ |  |  |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \cdot 0 \\ & 1.30 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow} .55$ |  |  |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.5 \\ & 2.01 \end{aligned}$ | $A_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ |  |  |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.2 \\ & 2.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} .45$ | * |  |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \cdot 1 \\ & 1 \cdot 6: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E }{ }_{\uparrow} 4$ |  | - |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.0 \\ & 2.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | P1. XI | II. 15. |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.8 \\ & 1.80 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow} .5$ | Pl. X | II. 17. |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.5 \\ & 2.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 5$ |  | in field l., crescent (horns upward). |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 4 \\ & 2.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{.5}$ | behind head, traces of anchor, crescent, and star. |  |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.8 \\ & 2.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbb{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | behind head, anchor [also crescent and star?]. |  |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \cdot 5 \\ & 2 \cdot 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow} \cdot 55$ | behind head, anchor, crescent, and star. | II. 16. |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 28.7 \\ & 1.86 \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\uparrow} .45$ | in front of head, anchor. Pl. XI | I. 18. |

10-12. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa). 13-15. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (from Susa?).
16. 1916. Presented by Rev. Edgar Rogers from Teheran).
17. 1905. Presented by Mr. F. A. Harrison.
18. 1909. As nos. 13-15.
19. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).

20, 21. 1909. As nos. 13-15.
22. 1!09. As no. 19.


[^189]

1, 2. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson (from Susa?). ly Lt. A. T. Wilson (found at Susa).

## CHARACENE



1. 1853. Jos. Olguin (found near Hamadan). Arch. Zeitung, 1853, 383. Num. Chron., 1856, p. 139. Waddington, Mél. II, p. S5, Pl. VI. ;'; Babelon, Mél. III, Pl. VI. 3.

1. 1824. R. Payne-Knight. Num. Vet., p. 193. 1. Visconti, Icon. Gi. III, Pl. IX. 9. Langlois, Pl. II. 2. Waddington, p. 87, Pl. VI. 4. Babelon, Pl. VI. 5.
1. 1897. 

Presented by Mr. H. F. Amedroz. Ňum. Chron., 1899, p. 107, no. 34, Pl. IX. 13.

| No | IVt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． | Date． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 162 \cdot 2 \\ & 10 \cdot 51 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\uparrow}^{\text {I: } 1 \cdot 2}$ | Attambelos I Soter Euergetes <br> reigning 269 （or earlier）－273（？）A．s．$=44 / 3$ to $40 / 39$ в．c． <br> Silver tetradrachms． <br> Head r．，diademed，beard－Herakles seated l．with ed，hair in curls；border of dots． <br> club，as on preceding tetra－ drachms ；on r．down－ wards and on l．down－ wards，inscr．；above r． arm，monogram；in ex．， date． <br> BAINE［ $\Sigma] \mid$ ATTA MBH＾D［Y］on r．，$\Sigma \Omega$ THPD［इ］｜［KA1］EYE －－－on 1．；monogram X；in ex．E． <br> $\Sigma$ <br> Pl．LV． 10. | $\begin{gathered} 269 \\ \text { А. s. }= \\ 44 / 3 \\ \text { в. с. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 209 \cdot 6 \\ & 13 \cdot 58 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { R } 1.05$ | Pl．LV． 11. | $\begin{gathered} ? 270 \\ \text { A.s. }= \\ 43 / 2 \\ \text { B.c. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 211.5 \\ & 13.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { A. } 1 \cdot 15$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 272 \\ \text { A.S. }= \\ 41 / 0 \\ \text { B.C. } \end{gathered}$ |

1～3．1920．D．B．Davies（from Mesopotamia）．


4, 5. 1920. D. B. Davies (from Mesopotamia).

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． | Date． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Attambelos II <br> reigning 283－317 A．s． <br> Base tetra <br> Head r．，diademed，beard－ ed，hair in curls；border of dots． | Soter Euergetes $=30 / 29 \text { в.с. }-5 / 6 \text { А. } \mathbf{D .}$ <br> adrachms． <br> Herakles with club seated 1．as on preceding tetra－ drachms ；on r．down－ wards and on 1 ．down－ wards，inscr．；above r． arm，monogram $\sqrt{\text { a }}$ ；be－ low arm，a letter：in ex．， date． |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 211.5 \\ & 13.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { base } \\ 1.05 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ |  | BALIN产｜ATTAMB <br>  on l．；under arm，〕（ユ）； in ex．$\overline{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 313(?) \\ \text { A. S. }= \\ 1 / 2 \\ \text { A.D. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 225 \cdot 1 \\ & 14 \cdot 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { base } \\ 1.0 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | Pl． XL |  r．，㐱 $\Omega$ THPD｜券 $\operatorname{AIEYE}$ on l．；under arm，$T$ ；in ex．ᄃIT <br> III． 4. | $\begin{gathered} 316 \\ \text { A.S. }= \\ 4 / 5 \\ \text { A. D. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 214 \cdot 9 \\ & 13 \cdot 93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { base } \\ 1.05 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ |  | §ヘ： <br>  under arm， $\boldsymbol{y}$（J）；in ex． ㅈ．．IT <br> Pl．XLIII． 5. | $\begin{gathered} 317 \\ \text { A.S. }= \\ 5 / 6 \\ \text { A. D. } \end{gathered}$ |

[^190]| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． | Date． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 219 \cdot 3 \\ & 14 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | base <br> 1.05 <br> $\uparrow$ |  | BACI ${ }^{\prime}$ ATTAM ${ }^{\prime}$ on <br>  1．；under arm，コ（コ）； date off the flan． <br> III． 6. | ？ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 211 \cdot 7 \\ & 13 \cdot 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { base } \\ 1.05 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ |  | ЗAINE ${ }^{\prime}$ シ｜ MB：！$\wedge$ on r．；inscr．on 1．obliterated；monogram $A$ no letter under arm； date off the flan． | ？ |


| Lo． | Wt． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obrerse．Reverse． | Date． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 221 \cdot 2 \\ & 14 \cdot 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { base } \\ 1.05 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | Adinerglos Soter <br> reigning 321 or 324－333 A．s．（9／10 or <br> $12 / 13-21 / 22$ A．ग．） <br> Base tetradrachm． <br> Bust r．，diademed，bearded，Herakles seated 1．with hair in curls：traces of club as on preceding coins： border． on r．downwards，参：ACIへ E多｜㐱会INHPr $\wedge$ ，on I downwards，谷会THP \％（rest，if any，off the flan）；above arm，remains of monogram ：in ex． AKT <br> Pl．XLIII． 7. <br> Theonesios II Soter $\text { reigning } 363 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{s} .=51 / 2 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{D} .$ <br> No coins in British Museum．See Introduction． | $\begin{gathered} 321 \\ \text { A.S. }(= \\ 9 / 10 \\ \text { A. D. }) \\ \text { or } 324 \\ \text { A.s. }(= \\ 12 / 13 \\ \text { A.D. }) \end{gathered}$ |

1．Waddington，p．91，Pl．VII．10．Babelon，p．231，Pl．VI． 8.


1. Waddington, p. 100 , no. 2. 3. 1S54. Capt. Jones, H.E.I.C.S. Waddington, p. 100, no. 1, PJ. VII. 12.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． | Date． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 238 \cdot 1 \\ & 15 \cdot 43 \end{aligned}$ | $-\mathrm{E} 1 \cdot 05$ | no beard；on neck，coun－ termark AB |  B on r．，CWTH ${ }^{\prime}$｜${ }^{\prime}$ K A on l．；monogram ob－ scure，letter ；date参频 <br> III． 10. | ？ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 228.8 \\ & 14.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | slight beard． | 参参 盖而TAMB on r．， ※WTHF｜ monogram obscure，letter X；date TOA IV． 1. | $\begin{gathered} 371 \\ \text { A.S. }= \\ 59 / 60 \\ \text { A. D. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} 231 \cdot 3 \\ 11.99 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { ※ } 1.05$ | slight beard；in front of neck，countermark | 彦旁｜ATTAMB on r．， <br>  monogram letter O（N）：date TOB IV． 2. | $\begin{gathered} 372 \\ \text { A. S. }= \\ 60 / 1 \\ \text { A. D. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 228 \cdot 4 \\ & 14 \cdot 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | slight beard：behind head， J；on neck，countermark （upside（lown）． | 彦产｜ATTAMB on r．． <br>  monogram（？），letter obscure ；date TO天（？） IV， 3. | $\begin{gathered} 375 \\ \text { A. S. }= \\ 63 / 4 \\ \text { A.D. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 223.5 \\ & 14 \cdot 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | slight beard；in front of face，countermark | $B A C=$ ATTAMB on <br>  monogram and letter ob－ scure；date 参め彦 | ？ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 191 \cdot 4 \\ & 12 \cdot 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{1 \cdot 0}$ | slight beard． | 彦参｜ATTAM旁 on r．， <br>  nogram and letter ob－ scure：date off the flan． | ？ |

5．1850．Major Rawlinson．This is not the Woodhouse specimen mentioned by Waddington，p．101，no． 5 ；the Woodhouse Collection，when acquired by the British Museum， contained no such coin．

6．190S．Lincoln．
8．1900．Lincoln．
9． 1852. Merewether．

10. 1874. Rollin and Feuardent.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. | Date. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 221 \cdot 3 \\ & 14 \cdot 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | Artabazos. <br> No coins known. <br> Attambelos IV Soter Euergetes reigning $412-416$ A.S. ( $100 / 1-104 / 5$ A. D.). <br> Bronze tetradrachm. <br> Head r., diademed, bearded, hair in curls ; in front, six-pointed star; traces of border of dots. <br> Herakles seated l. with club as on preceding coins; on r. downwards, <br>  on 1. downwards, $\because W T$ HP \|KAIEY; no monogram or letter; in ex., date YIE | $\begin{gathered} 415 \\ \text { A.S. }= \\ 103 / 4 \\ \text { A.D. } \end{gathered}$ |

1. 1840. R. Steuart. Longpérier, I, p. 310, Pl. V. 1. Waddington, p. 101, Pl. VII. 14 (where the date is misread as $Y \mid B$ ).

1. 1874. Rollin and Feuardent.
1. 1882. India Office Collection. Waddington, p. 103. 3, Pl. VII. 16 Babelon, p. 238 f . The date, which Waddington read as $Y \wedge A$ or $Y \wedge \Delta$, and Babelon thinks may bo YKA. is quite indecipherable.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. <br> Size. <br> Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 216.9 \\ & 14.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | Uncertain Kings. <br> ( 2 nd cent. after Christ). <br> Bronze tetradrachms. <br> Bust r., bearded, diademed; hair dressed in two rows of curls on top and tuft behind ; bust draped: border of dots. <br> Herakles seated 1. with club, as on preceding coins; remains of inscr. (Greek ?) on r. and l.; above r. arm, monogram Y/ below arm, a letter (?); ex. obscure. <br> Pl. XLIV. 9. |
| 2 | 179.9 11.66 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 玉 } 1.0 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | Bust r., bearded, diademed; hair dressed in three rows of curls, flat-topped, on top of head, and tuft behind; bust draped ; border of dots. <br> Similar type; remains of inscr. (Greek ?); all very much worn. $\text { Pl. XLIV. } 10 .$ |

1. Perhaps from C. J. Rich. 2. 185̃. Whittall of Smyrna.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | II. ARAMAIC SERIES. <br> A. Banaga or Binaga (?). <br> ( 2 nd cent. after Christ). <br> Bronze tetradrachms. <br> Bust r., diademed, with\|Herakles seated 1., with club, pointed beard; hair dressed as on preceding coins; on 1. in two rows of curls, flat- and $r$. downwards, inscr. ; topped, on top of head, and above r. arm, monogram; betuft behind; bust draped; low arm letter or letters: traces of letters in field (?) border of dots. and of border of dots. |
| 1. | $\begin{aligned} & 290.9 \\ & 18.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 玉 } 1.05 \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | $0 \boldsymbol{O} \int \boldsymbol{\pi}$ on r., inscr. on l.obliterated; monogram $\neq($ ? $)$; letters obscure. Pl. XLIV. 11. |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 234 \cdot 6 \\ 15 \cdot 20 \end{array}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{£ 1.05}$ | -OVJ.J. on 1., OJSת <br> on r.; monogram $\square$ ; letters 70 <br> P1. XLIV. 12. |

[^191]2. From C. J. Rich (?). Num. Chron., 1856, no. 8 (rev.) on Plate at p. 1. Lenormant, Prop. de l'Alph. Phén., II, Pl. XII. 2. Rer. Num., 1889, p. 216, no. 1, Pl. V. 1. This is not the 'lôchon d'Annecy-Curt coin as Drouin, loc. cit., supposes.


1. From C. J. Rich (?). Num. Chron., 1856, Plate at p. 1, no. 9. Lenormant. op. cit., Pl. XII. 3. Rev. Num., 1889, Pl. V. 5. 2. 1844. R. Steuart. 3. From C. J. Rich (?).

| No | Wt． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal. } \\ & \text { Size. } \\ & \text { Axis. } \end{aligned}$ | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 256.7 \\ & 16 \cdot 6.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Æ } 1 \cdot 1$ | C．Maga son of Athabiaos（？） <br> （2nd cent．after Christ）． <br> Bronze tetradrachm． <br> Bust r．，diademed，with pointed Head r．，undiademed，with beard，hair in two rows of pointed beard，hair in six curls，flat－topped，on top of large rolls and a long plait head，and tuft behind；bust draped：in front，in two lines downwards，inscr．$\underset{\rightarrow \text { た }}{\rightarrow 2}$ and，lower，remains of mono－ ning below on r ． <br> LWCO1OつOn彡彡 gram $\downarrow$ ；border of dots． <br> Pl．XLV． 3. |

1．From C．J．Rich（？）．Num．Chron．，1856，Plate at p．1，no．10．Drouin，Rev．Num．， 1889，Pl．V．6．This is not，as Drouin supposes，the coin engraved by Lenormant，Prop．de l＇Alph．Phén．，II，PI．XII． 6 ；nor is Drouin＇s facsimile of the inscr．，op．cit．，PI．VII．6，made from this coin．This coin is re－struck；on the reverse，in the hair，are visible the pellets representing the curls of the hair of the previous type，which was probably like the head on the rev．of group $\mathbf{E}$ ．Perhaps the two monograms in the field also belong to the earlier type．

| No． | Wt． | Metal． <br> Size． <br> Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | D．Maga son of Athabiaos（ $\because$ ） <br> （2nd cent．after Christ．） <br> Bronze tetradrachms．${ }^{1}$ <br> Bust r．，with pointed Head r．as on rev．of preceding beard，wearing round－coins，undiademed，with pointed topped tiara diademed；beard．hair in six large rolls bust draped；in front，with long plait on top of head； monogram K and， around，beginning above on r．， downwards，inscr．in two and outside this inscr．，on r．， lines סッパ，behind，lifferential letters；border of dots． downwards，MフI仿； border of dots． |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 254.7 \\ & 16.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\text { E } 1.05}$ | Differentia： 710 <br> Pl．XLV． 4. |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \cdot 8 \\ & 16 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 1 \cdot 0$ | （Mッ1 behind） <br> Pl．XLV． 5. |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 247.4 \\ \hline 16.03 \end{array}$ | $\text { Æ } 1 \cdot 1$ |  $\text { Pl. XLV. } 6 .$ |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 246.5 \\ 15.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\AA 1 \cdot 1}$ |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 245 \cdot 7 \\ & 15.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 1.05$ | Pl．XLV． 7. |

${ }^{1}$ A few of the more interesting variations of the inscriptions on these coins are given in rough facsimile in the text，but it has not been possible to represent them all in this manner．

1－5．All probably from ؟．J．Rich， $1825 . \quad$ 1．Rev．Num．，1889，p．232，Pl．V． 9.
R r


6-16. All probably, and nos. $6-8,10,11,13,15$ certainly, from C. J. Rich, 15.5.
14. Rev. Num., 1889, р. 233, Pl. VI. 18. 16. Rer. Num., 1889, p. 231, Pl. V. S.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． <br> Size． <br> Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 250.8 \\ & 16.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | （hair in seven rolls） <br> Pl．XLV． 13. |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 250.1 \\ & 16 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 1.05$ | （Mブ，b behind） |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 239 \cdot 0 \\ & 15 \cdot 49 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Differentia：I（？） |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 244 \cdot 0 \\ & 15 \cdot 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | Pl．XLVI． 1. |
|  |  |  | Differentia：$>$ |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 251.7 \\ & 16.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | Pl．XLVI． 2. |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 250.0 \\ & 16.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ |  |
|  |  |  | Differentia absent or off the flan． |
| 23 | $\begin{gathered} 271.1 \\ 17.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\text { 玉 } 1 \cdot 0$ | Pl．XLVI． 3. |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 265.8 \\ & 17.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | Pl．XLVI． 4. |
| 25 | $\begin{array}{r} 259.1 \\ 16.79 \end{array}$ | $\text { ※ } 1.0$ | Pl．XLVI． 5. |
| 26 | $\begin{gathered} 256.4 \\ 16 \cdot 61 \end{gathered}$ | $\notin \uparrow$ |  |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \cdot 2 \\ & 16 \cdot 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 1$ | Pl．XIVI． 7. |

17－27．All probably，and nos．18，19，22，25，27 certainly．from C．J．Rich，182！． 17．Rer．Num．，1859，p．233，Pl．V． 15 （the reverse；the illustration of the obverse is from some other specimen？．

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | $\begin{gathered} 257.6 \\ 16 \cdot 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ |  |  <br> Pl．XLVI． 8. |
| 29 | $\begin{gathered} 254 \cdot 8 \\ 16 \cdot 51 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow}^{1 \cdot 0}$ |  |  |
| 30 | $\begin{array}{r} 252 \cdot 7 \\ 16 \cdot 37 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 0$ | （ $\boldsymbol{\pi} / \boldsymbol{A}$ behind） <br> Pl． | $\text { XLVI. } 9 .$ |
| 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 252.7 \\ & 16 \cdot 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ |  | Pl．XLVI． 10. |
| 32 | $\begin{aligned} & 251.7 \\ & 16.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 1.05$ |  |  |
| 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 249 \cdot 2 \\ & 16 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{E} 1 \cdot 1}$ | （フ／ム behind） |  |
| 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 238 \cdot 3 \\ & 15 \cdot 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 1 \cdot 1$ | （л／A behind） Pl．XLVI． 11. |  |
| 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 243 \cdot 8 \\ & 15 \cdot 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\text { E }} 1.05$ |  |  |
| 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \cdot 9 \\ & 15 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ |  | 11700 behind） Pl．XLVI． 12. |  |
| 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 231.8 \\ & 15 \cdot 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 玉 } 1 \cdot 0$ |  | （LAMncoollクO•A』の） Pl．XLVI． 13. |
| 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 249 \cdot 2 \\ & 16 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 0$ |  | Pl．XLVI． 14. |
| 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 239 \cdot 6 \\ & 15 \cdot 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.0$ | （クロロ behind） <br> Pl． | XLVI． 15. |

28－39．All probably，and nos． 28,36 certainly，from C．J．Rich， $1825 . \quad 33$ and 34 are from same obv．die． 39．Rev．Num．，1889，p．253，Pl．VI． 35.

| No. | Wt. | Metal. Size. Axis. | Obverse. | Reverse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 237 \cdot 1 \\ & 15 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ | Mフ日 behind) |  |
| 41 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 236 \cdot 6 \\ 15 \cdot 33 \end{array}$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ |  |  |
| 42 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|} 230 \cdot 0 \\ 14.90 \end{array}\right.$ | $\text { E } 1.05$ |  | Pl. XLVI. 16. |
| 43 | $\begin{aligned} & 161 \cdot 0 \\ & 10 \cdot 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E} 1.0$ | ()10 behind) |  |

40-3. All probably, and no. 40 certainly, from C. J. Rich, 1825.

## SUB-CHARACENIAN



[^192]| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Meredates，sol <br> reigning A．S． 454 <br> （MEPEAATHC BAC BACI＾ <br> Bronze tet <br> Bust of king r．，bearded ；he wears diademed tiara deco－ rated with crest of hooked ornaments and three upright bands，of which the outer con－ tain star in crescent，the inner star and ？；decorated robe； border of dots，with broad outer margin． | on of Phobas (?) $4(=\mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{D} .142-3)$ <br> CINEYC YIOC ФOBA $\wedge \in \Omega$ ）． <br> tradrachms． <br> Bust of City－goddess r．，wear－ ing turreted crown，hair tied with fillet ；inscr．（a）behind bust，in two lines down－ wards ；（b）beginning in front of face and curving over head；（c）date below bust； $(d)$ in second line in front of face． |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 227.5 \\ & 14.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{E}} 1 \cdot 2$ |  |  <br> （b）obliterated． <br> （c）VИ\＆ <br> （d）obliterated． |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 225 \cdot 7 \\ & 14 \cdot 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 15$ |  | （a）$H \in P \in \Delta{ }_{彡} \mid$ off the flan． <br> （b）彥：$Ф \bigcirc B A \mathrm{~B} \wedge \subset$ <br> $(c, d)$ off the flan． <br> Pi．XLVII． 3. |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 217.3 \\ & 14.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ※ } 1 \cdot 05$ |  | （a）$M \in P \in \triangle A$ 푸 $\mid$ OA ©Iへ <br> （l）$V \mid \Phi \circ \mathrm{B} \therefore \mathrm{B} \wedge \mathrm{CI} \wedge$ <br> （c） $\mathrm{YN} \Delta$ <br> （d）obliterated． <br> Pl．XLVII． 4. |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 210 \cdot 5 \\ & 13 \cdot 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\text { E }} 1.15$ | Pl．XL | （a）obliterated． <br> （（ ）$\because \Phi \Phi$ <br> （c）$\because \mathrm{N} \Delta$ <br> （d） <br> VII． 5. |

2．1891．Lubicz．3．1839．Millingen．Sylloge，p． 85.

| No． | Wt． | Metal． Size． Axis． | Obverse． | Reverse． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 209 \cdot 3 \\ 13 \cdot 56 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\uparrow}{\mathrm{E}} 1.2$ |  | （a）illegible． <br> （b）VIФОВคロ：－． <br> （c）YN： <br> （d） $\mathbb{R} M \underline{Z}$ <br> Pl．XLVII． 6. |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 201 \cdot 8 \\ & 13.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 2$ |  | （a）$M \in P \in \Delta--\mid B A C I \wedge E$ （b，c，d）illegible． |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \cdot 3 \\ & 12.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\notin \underset{\uparrow}{1.15}$ |  | （a）$M \in P \in \triangle A T$ ジ $A C I \wedge Y C$ <br> （b）VIФOBA－－－ <br> （c） $\mathbf{Y N}$ ジ <br> （d）illegible． <br> VII． 7. |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 198.7 \\ & 12.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 玉 } 1 \cdot 1$ |  | （a）$M \in P \in \Delta A=\mid{ }_{彡}^{\prime}{ }_{彡}$ <br>  <br> （c） $\mathrm{YN} \Delta$ <br> （d）remains of tops of letters． <br> Pl．XLVII． 8 ． |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 198.2 \\ & 12.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 15$ |  | （a）－－－$\Delta \mathrm{A} \mid$ 券 <br> （b） $\mathrm{V} \div \Phi \circ$ 彦帝 <br> （c）$Y \mathbb{N} \wedge$ <br> （d）off the flan（all confused by re－striking） <br> Pl．XLVII． 9. |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 193 \cdot 0 \\ & 12.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { E } 1 \cdot 1$ |  | （a）$M \in P \in \triangle A T \mid B A C I \wedge \in$ $\vee \mathrm{C}$ <br> （b）illegible． <br> （c） YNL <br> （d）illegible． <br> Pl．XLVII． 10. |


11. 1909. Lincoln.
12. 1847 (?). James Prinsep. Procured by Dr. Martin in Asia Minor. 13. 1829. Young.

## ADDENDA

| No． | Wt． | Metal． <br> Size． <br> Axis． | Obverse．Reverse． | Date． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 102.9 \\ 6.67 \end{array}$ | $A R .8$ | Obodas II of Nabataea <br> Silver didrachm． <br> Bust r．diademed，draped；Eagle with closed wings border of dots． standing l．；inscr．around， on 1．downwards תาコン ［ $\mathbf{N}]$ ］ $\boldsymbol{D}$ ；on r．upwards ！טコּ ת ת ת ת ；border of dots． <br> Pl．XLIX． 3. | 3 |

1．1921．Vambéry（from the Windischgriitz Collection）．See Dussaud，p．210，no． 7.
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## INDEX 1

## GEOGRAPHICAI.

## A

el-'Abda, xxxii.
Abyssinia, lxxii n., lxxxi.
Acanthus, cxlix.
Aden, lxiv, lxxx, 46 m., 53 n., 65 n.
Adraa, xxiii f., xxviii, xxx f., 15.
Adri' ât, xxiii.
Aegina, cxxxvi.
Aelia Capitolina, xcii 11.
Aleppo, xci n.
Alexandria $=$ Charax, $q \cdot v$.
'Ammân, xxxix.
Anemurium, lxxxvii n.
Anthemusias (Anthemusia, Anthemus), lxxxvii, 81.
Antiochia = Charax, q.v.
Antiochia ad Callirrhoen, xciv, 91.
Autiochia ad Chysorrhoam, xxxiv.
Antiochia in Mrgdonia, criii, 119.
Antiochia Syr., xlii, xciii, xeriii.
Ar, slii f.
Arabia, xxii-lxxxvi, cev n., cexiii, 14-80.
Aradus, cxxiv.
Areopolis, xlii f.
Ariel, xlii.
Armenia, xcix, clvii, clxvi $n$.
Ascalon, xxxviii.
Assyria, cxviii f., 147.
Astarte, xxxix.
A steria, xxxix.
Athens, cxlix.

Athos, Mt., Canal of, exxix.
Atumia (?), cxviii.
Atusia (?) ad Caprum, exviii, 147.
Auranitis, xxiv $n$.
Arola (Sicily), cxxxi.
Axum, liii, lxvii n.
Azara in Elymais, clxxxiii.

## B

Babylon, lxxvii, cxiii, cxli ff ., clvi f. $140,176 \mathrm{ff}$., 181 ff .
Babylonia, cxiii ff., 140-6.
Baghdad, 195 n.
Baihan el Qaṣab, W. W., lxxiv.
Basrah, ccxii.
Batnai, lxxxvii.
Beraqish, lxxxiv.
Birket Ibrâhîm, xcv.
Bokhara, 191 n.
Boṣra, xxiv.
Bostra, xx, xxiii 11., xxiy-xxxi, 14, 16-26.
Byzantium, cxlix.

$$
\mathrm{C}(\text { see also } \mathrm{K})
$$

Caesarea Samariae, xxxviii.
Canatha, xxii, xli.
Carchemish, 106 n.
Caripeta, lxxv.
Carrhae, xxy, lvii, lxxxvii-xciv, xcrii f., ex f., cxiii, 82-90, 137.
Celenderis, cxxxri.

Chababa, xli n.
Chabatanon, xliv.
Chaboras, R., cviii, ex f.
Characene, cxciv-cexiv, 289-313.
Charachmoba, xxx f., 27.
Charax Spasinm, exciv-cexiv.
Chatramotites, xliv, lxxxiii.
Choaspes, R., clxxxiii.
Chrysorrhoas, River, of Damascus, xxxivn.
Chrysorrhoas, River, of Gerasa (IV. Jerash), xxxiv.
Coele-Syria, xxxix.
Ctesiphon, cxiv, cxv n., cxvii.
Cyprus, cxxxviii.
Cyrene, lin.
Cyzicus, cxxxif.

## D

Daisîn, xcv.
Damascus, xi, xii, xix, xxxiv 1.
Decapolis, xxii, xxxi, xxxix.
Demetrias-Damascus, xi.
Demetrias ad Tigrim, cxix.
Dium, xxii, xxxi f., 28.
Dizful, clxxxv u., 253-76 passim.
Dora xxxvii $n$.

## E

Eboda, xxii f., 28.
Edessa, xci, xciv-cvii, cix, cxi f., 91$118,137$.
Edréi, xxiii.
Êdûn, xxxi.
Elusa, xxxii.
Elymais, clxxxii-cxciv, 244-88.
Emesa, xl n., lxxxix.
Esbus, xxxiii, 29 f.
Eski-Seruj, lxxxvii.
Eucarpeia, lxxxviii n.
Eulaeus, R., clxxxiii.

Fons C'avorae, cxi.
Forat, cexi $n$.

## G

Gaba, xxxiii, xxxv.
Gaza, xxxii.
Gerasa, xxii, xxxiii-xxxy, 31 f.

## H

Hadramaut, liv, lx n., lxxiv, lxxvii.
Ḥadûr, xlix, lxi f.
Hamadan, 199 n., 205 n., 210 n., 246 n., 289 n.
Harb (Harib), lii, lxiv-lxix, 52, 75.
Hauran, xxiii, xxx.
Heraclea Pontica, cliii.
Hermocapelia, xxxvii.
Hermos plain, lxx n., 70 n .
Hesbân, xxxiii.
Ḥeshbôn, xxxiii.
Hieropolis Ciliciae, xcviii
Hieropolis Phrygiae, lxxxviii $n$.
Hieropolis Syriae, xcii, xciii n.
Hillah, 226 n., 234 n.
Himyarites, xliv-lxxxiv, 45-74.
Homeritae. See Himyarites.
Hyrcania, clviii f.

## I

India, cxxxix f., excvi.
Istakhar, clx.

## J

Jauf, lxxxiv.
Jerasl, xxxiii.
Jerash, W.. xxxiv n.

$$
\mathrm{K}(\text { see also } \mathrm{C} \text { and } \mathrm{Q})
$$

Kabadian, clx.
Kallirrhoe, xciv f .

Kapros, R., cxviii.
Kama, Karmana, xliv, lxxxiv.
Karrha, R., lxxxvii, xciii.
Katabanians, xliv, lii, lxv, lxviii n., lxxiv-lxxvii, 52, 75.
Kankeban, xlix, lxi.
Kefl-Abil, xxxi.
el-Kerak, xxx, 3 n.
Kerioth, xlii.
Khamir, slix.
Kharibat Sa‘ud, lxxiv f.
Kharibat Ṣirwah, lxxv 11.
Khiva, 190 n .
Khullum, Bokhara, 191 n.

## I

Laodicea ad Mare, lxxxix.
Leucas Syr., xxxiv n.
Locri Epizephyrii, cl.
Lycia, cxxxvi, cxxxviii f., 155 n., 169 n., 170 n.

## M

Macual, lxxxv, 78.
Madaba, xxxy $f$.
Madar, lix.
Main, xliv 11., lxxxiii.
Maiozomalcha, cviii.
Maisan (Characene), cev.
Mallus, cviii.
Mammanelli (Sicily), cxxxii.
Mariaba, xliv f., lxv, lxxv. See also Marib.
Marib (Ma'rib), xlv, lviii, lxii, lxiv, lxvi, lxviii n., lxxii $n$., lxxiv f. 46 n., 52 n., 64 n., 65 n., 66 n., 67 n. See also Mariaba.
Marsyabae, lxy, lxxy n.
Massyra, liv.
Medaba, xxxv f., 33.
Medr, lxix.

Mesabatene, ccri.
Mesene (Characene), ccii.
Mesopotamia, lxxvi-cxiii, 81-139.
Metapontum, cl.
Midian, lxxxy, 78.
Miletopolis, exxxiii.
Minacans, xliv, lxxiii, lxxxii-lxxxiv, 76.

Moca, xxxrif.
Mohammerah, cxev.
Mopsus, xxxvii.
Muqna', lxxxy.
Museat, lexxvi.
Mygdonia, criii.
Mygdonius, R., cviii f.

## N

Náam, lxxvii f.
Nabataea, xi-xxiv, xxxvii, lvi, lxvii, lxix, lxxxv, 1-13, 314.
Nahr el Qût, xer $n$.
Natumia (?), cxviii.
Negrana, xlvii.
Nejran, xlvii.
Nesibi, cy, cviii-cx, 119-24.
Neṣibin, criii $n$.
Nicephorium, cix.
Nimrûd Dâgh, xcv.
Ninica Claudiopolis, cxx.
Niniva cxx.
Nisab, lxxy.
Nisibis, cviii.

## O

Oboda, xxxii.
Omani, cexi-ccxiy.
Opis, cxiv.
Orrhoe, xciv.
Orthosia Phoen., xxviii.
Osrhoene, xciv, cii, cri.
Oxus, R., cxxii, cxlviii ff., 158 m , 193 n.

P
Paphos, xxviii.
Parsistan, clx.
Partlia, clvii f.
Pasargadae, clx.
Pella Decap., xxxviii n.
Peltae, lxxxviii in.
Perinthus, civ n.
Persepolis, clx.
Persia, cxx-clx, 148-75, 193 f.
Persis, clx-clxxxii, 195-244.
Petra, xii, xxiii n., xxix, xxx n., xxxvii-xxxix, 34-6.
Philadelphia Arabiae, xxii, xxxixxli, 37-41.
Philadelphia Ciliciae, xli.
Philadelphia Lydiae, xl n., xli.
Philippopolis, xxii, xli-xlii, 42 f .

## Q

Qulfat el-Ḥusu, xxxi.
Qara Qoyun, xer n.
Qarnâ'u, xliv, lxxxiv.
Qeriyyôth, xlii.
Qir-MIôab, xxx.

## R

er-Rabloa, xlii.
Rabbah, xxxix.
Ral,bath-benê-'Ammôn, xxxix.
Rabbathmoba, xxxv, xlii-xliv, 44.
Rabbathmoma, xliv.
Raidan, liii n.: lx f., lxiv f., lxviii, lxx f., lxxiii-lxxv, lxxvii f., 6874.

Raphanea, xxxii.
Raqqa, cix.
Ras el 'Ain, cx.
Rhesaena, cri, cx-cxii, 125.
Phosus, xxxii.

## S

Saba, liii, lx f., lxiv f., lxriii, lxxviii.
Sabaeans, xliv-ly, lxxxiv, 45-73.
Sabata, xliv.
Safar, xlv.
Ṣaiṭ, lxxi.
Salamis Cypri, cliii.
Salḷin, lxii, lxxi.
San'a (Ṣan'â), xlvi-lxiii, lxv, lxix, lxxv, lxxix f., 54.
Sapphar regia, xlv, liii, lxiv n., lxviii, lxxy.
Sarapidis insula, liv.
Sardes, exxxy.
Sebaste Samariae, xxxiii.
Seleucia ad Tigrim, xxxi n., lxrii, cxiv-cxvii, cxix, 140-6.
Shabwat, lxxiv.
Shehba, xli.
Shiraz, 206 n., 218 n.
Shuhba, xli.
Side, cliii.
Sidon, cxxiv, cxlii, cl, clv.
Singara, cix, exi f., 134-6.
Singaras, M., cxii.
Sinjar, cxii.
Skirtos, R., lxxxvii, xciii f., crii.
Spasinu Charax. See Cbarax.
Stectorium, lxxxviii.
Stratonicea Lydiae, cxiii n.
es-Sud, lxxxiv.
Susa, exlyi, clxxxiii, clxxxiv 11 , 219 n., 253-88 passim.
Susiana. See Elymais.
Syracuse, cxxxii.
Syria, 167 n .

## T

Tamna (Tamna'), lxviii n., lxxiv f.
Tartar, W., cxii.
Teheran, 257 n , 263 n ., 274 n ., 278 n ., 282 п., 286 п., 287 п.

Tell-el-Ash'ari, xxxi.

Tello, clexxix, cxev, cxevi n.
Theodosioupolis, cx.
Thessalonica, xxv n.
Thomna (Thumna), lxxiv.
Tigris, R., cxiv, cxviii f.
Tingis, lxxxviii.
Tyre, xxxix n., cxlii.

## U

Urfa, xciv.

Yarîm, 1xxiii.
Yathil, lxxxiv n.
Yáub, lxxvii f.
Yemen. See Arabia.
Yerîm, xlv, lxxiii.
Yuhabir, lxxvii f.

## Z

Zaaba, lxiv.
Zaütha, cxiii, 83 n.
Zeidi, W., xxiv.
Zeitha, exiii.

## INDEX II

## TYPES

A
Agonistic wreath. See Wreath.
Ahuramazdaover fire-temple-Persis 200-15.
Alexander the Great: with thnnderbolt and spear-Alex. Emp. of the East 191; head of, in elephant-scalp - cxlv, clvi f., 191 f. ; Cassuinder cxlix 1.
Altar: baetyl on-Adraa 15 ; king before-Persis 216-20, 222-4. See also Fire-temple.
Ammon. See Zeus Ammon.
Anchor: on field covered with dashes-Elymais 258 f. ; and crescents in wreath-Elymuis 280.

Aphrodite. See Temus.
Apollo seated on omphalos, holding arrows-Elymais clxxxvii.
Arabia, bust of, holding two chil-dren-Arabia 14.
Ares. See Mars.
Ariel. See War-god.
Aries, sign of, in wreath-Mesili cix, 123.

Armenia seated on ground, with shield and standard-Mesopotamia xcix, 137.

Arrow and palm branch-Atusia (?) cxviii, 147.
Artemis Branronia-Laodicea ad Mare lexxix.
Artemis huntress-Gerasa xxxiv n.; Chosroes of Parthia excii f.; holding bow and drawing arrow-Elymais 272-4, 277, 284-6.
Artemis, bust of: radiate-Elymais 280, 282-3; radiate, facingElymais 261 f., 267.
Artemis-Tyche, bust of: with bowGerasa xxxv, 31 f.; turreted and radiate-Elymais 253-6; wearing modius, coruucopiae behind-Elymais 256 f .
Asteria, bust of, veiled, star abovePhiladelphia xl, 39-41.
Athena standing: with spear and shield-Elymais exciv, 287: with spear, shield, and owlAndragoras 193.
Athena, head of, helmeted : imitated from Alexander-I. E. Persia 194; imitated from AthensArabia xlvi, lxxxy f., 45-53, 77-80.
Athena-Tyche, with spear and shield,
pouring libation, in templeBostra $\mathrm{xxx}, 17$.
Athena. See also Minerva.

## B

Báal (Báal-Tars) seated, with sceptre -Alex. Emp. of the L'ast cxli, 181-91; with sceptre and eagle-182 f.
Baetyl: on altar-Adraa 15 ; conical, flanked by signa in temple -Carrhae xcii, 82; decorated with eagle, on basis-Laodiceu ad Mare lxxxviii ; of Dusares, on basis-Adrad xxiii.
Baetyls, two columns between, figure seated before - Charachmoba xxxi.
-_ three, on platform-Bostra xxiii n., xxvii, 26 ; Charachmoba 27.
Basket containing ears of corn between serpents-Pliladelphia 37.

Bird flying-Persis clxxvii, 231. See also Eagle.
Bucranium: surmounted by two star's and crescent-Stectorium lxxxviii ; with antelope-like horns and plume-Arabia lxiii, 64-7.
Bust. See Head.

Camel-Bostra xxviii, 16, 18.
Camels, two, with one rider-Bostra xxviii.

Camel-rider-Bostra xxviii.
Car with domed canopy, drawn by four horses-Philadelphica 39, 41.

Centaur Sagittarius-Rhesaena cxi, 125 ; with bamer of vexillum before him-Riluesoena, cxi, 126 ; with vexillum in backgroundC'urrluce (?) cxi 1 .
Ceres seated with sceptre and ears of corn-Edessa 93.
Chariot, four-horse ; driven by Nike —Seleucus $I$ cliii; driven by Nike, containing armed figure Andragoras cl, 193 ; driven by male figur-N.E. Persia 194.
City-goddess, figure of, seated: holding cornucopiae and NikeCharacene cxcrii; Seleucia ad Tigrim 141 ; holding wreath-Seleucia al Tigrim cxvi, 143; holding trophy-Petra xxxvii f., 34-6; holding trophy and stele, within temple-Petra xxxviii, 36.
figure of, seated, river-god at feet: Dium xxxii; altar before her-Carrhae 88 ; Edessa 101, 103-10; holding branch, fruits, \&c.-E'dessa cvii, 99 f., 103-9; holding branch, fruits, \&c., crowned by Nike-Edessa cvii, 101 ; holding corn-ears-Gerasa xxxiv; holding corn-ears, Aries above her-Nesibi 121 ; holding cornucopiae-N゙abataea 1 ; eagle above her-Rhesaena 129; holding eagle-Rhesuena, 126 ; holding fruits, serpent before her-Edessa cvii, 101 ; holding. Nike-Seleucia ad Tigrim cxv, 142; holding palm-brauchSeleucia ad Tigrim 141 ; Sagittarius above her-Singara cxii, 135; holding temple-Edessa cvii ; holding temple, Aquarius alove her-Eclessa cvii, 109 f .

City-goddess, figure of, seated to front, river-god at feet, in templeTesili cix, 122-4.
figure of, standing: holding cornucopiae and rudderCharachmoba 27 ; foot on animal or ${ }^{*}$ crouching figure, holding cornucopiae and trophy-Bostra xxix, 16 ; do. holding spearBostra 17; do. in templeBostra 19 ; do. holding spear or standard and bust, in temple -Esbus xxxiii, 29; do. with ' Marsyas' at her feet-Bostra 26 ; do., flanked by bulls, in temple-Bostra 22 ; foot on prow, holding cormeopiae and bust-Medaba xxxvi, 33 ; foot on prow, holding comucopiae and sceptre- Philadelphia 38; foot on river-god, holding spear and bust-Rabbathmoba xliii ; holding palm-branch, r. raised-Nabataea xviii ; holding spear and cornucopiae, in temple-Moca (?) xxxvii; holding spear or sceptre and bust-Adraa xxiii ; sacrificing. eagle before her-Rhesuena 129, 132 ; sacrificing at altar, holding trophy-Petra xxxviii, 36.
two figures of, standing: grasping hands over tripodSeleucia ad Tigrim cxr; altar between them, Aquarius and Sagittarius at sides-Rikesaena cxi, 130.
figure of, standing: and Emperor-Gerusa xxxiv ; and seated king - Seleucic ad I'igrim cxvi, 143; Persis clxxiii; and reated Roma-Edessu Mac.
revii ; and Zeus Ammon-Bostra xxix, 25.
City-goddess: Athena as-seeA thenaTyche; Nike as-see Nike.
--- bust or head of, turreted: Adraa xxiii ; Bostra 16, 18, 25 ; Petra 35 ; Pliiladelrliia 38, 40 ; Anthemusia lxxxvii, 81; Carthae 85-9; Edessu 97-9, 102, 112 ; Mesopotamia xcviii, 139 ; Seleucia ad T'igrim exv ff., $140-$ 6; Demetrias ad Tigrim (?) cxix; Atusia (?) 147; SubCharacenian cexiii, 311-13; altar in front-Edessa 117 f.; Aquarius (?) in front-Carrhae xciii, 89 ; Edessa cri, 111 f. ; Aries aloove-Nesibi cix, 11921 ; cornucopiae in frontCarrhae xciii, 86 f.; Nesibi 119 ; cornucopiae over shoulderBostra 20-2 : crescent on globe in front-Carrlue 83; Sagittarius above-Singara cxii, 134, 136 ; serpent in front-Carrhae 87; two stars flanking-Carrhue 89. See also Artemis-Tyche: Goddess, bust of.
two busts of, confronted: temple between-Edessa cvii, 101 f .; eagle and altar between - lihesaena 131.

Column between two baetyls, figure seated before - Cliarachmoba xxxi.

Corn, ears of: three-Tingis lxxxviii ; five - Phitadelphia xl n .
Cornuacopiae, two-Nabataea xix, 8 f., 11, 13 ; and palm-brauchNabataea xviii.
Cornucopiae-Seleucia ad Tigrim 140.

Crab-Carrhae lxxxix.
Crescent: on base, star between horns-C'arrhae 84 ; on globe('arrhue 84; on globe, rosette or star between horms-Carrhae lxxxix, xcii, 82,84 ; ling befure -see King; anl pellet, in wreath -Elymais 288; star betwe en horns-C'arrlae lxxxix, xcii, 82. 84, 87, 90 ; Persis clxxv, 226 ; two stars between horns -C'arrhae xcii, 90.
Crescents, pattern of-Elymuis 276 , 279.

Cubic cult-object in temple seen in perspective-Edessu xcvi, 91 f.

## D

Dashes, field covered with-Elymais 250 f., 259 f., 262-6, 268-71, 274-6.
Demeter, bust of, veiled-Philadelphic xln., 37. See also Ceres.
Diadem, formal representation ofPersis 227, 237 f .; between crescents-Elymais 278 .
Dionysos seated, with cars of corn, grapes and thyisos-Carrhue 83.

Dionysos ('Bacchus'), head ofPhiladelphia xl.
Dioscuri, standing, resting on spears - Philadelphice xl, 39.

Dolphin-Seleucia ad Tigrim exvii.
Dusares, baetyl of, on basis-Adrad xxiii; bust of-Bostra xxvi, 23.

## E

Eagle: flying-Persis clxxvi, 231 ; standing, wings closed- Mabatua xviii, 3 f., 314 ; Selencia
ad Tigrim cxvii ; Elymais cxc, 278 ; standing, wings closed, in temple-liliescena cxi, 128, 133 ; standing, wings spreadElymais 277 f. ; on vexillumRihesaena 131 ; supporting bust -Plitippopolis xli, 42 ; Carrhue (?) cxi $11 . ;$ Tesibi cviii ; Rhesaena 125.
Elephant, walking-Alex. Emp. of the East 192.
———head of-Seleuciu ad Tigrim 145.

Elephant-rider attacked by horse-man-Alex. Emp. of the East 191.

Emperor: seated, receiving lingEdessa cvi, 113 ; standing, receiving king-Edessu cri, 114; standing with Tyche-Gerasa xxxiv.

- bust of, supported by eagle -Carrlue (?) cxi n.; Nesibi eviii.
Emperor. See also Index YI.
Eshmun-xxxiii n.


## F

Fecunditas, with four childrenMesopotamia xcix.
Figure, female, seated: on ground, with shield and standardMesopotamia xcix, 137; on rock (?)-Rhesaenu cxi, 125 ; at foot of vexillum-ibid.
__ female, standing: r. raisedNabutace xx, 9 ; holding globe or apple and cornucopiaeMesopotamia xcix, 137 f. ; holding phiale and cornucopiaeNicephorium(?) cix; resting on sceptre, r. extenderl-Nabataea
i; resting on sceptre or spear, in temple-Adraa 15; with sceptre and cornucopiaeMesopotamia xcix, 137; with sceptre and ears of corn over altar-Mesopotamia xcix, 138 ; with sceptre and pateraibid.
Figure, male, seated: holding cornear or palm-Seleucia ad Tigrim cxv; on altar-seat, lyre behind -Seleucia ad Tigrim 141; leefore altar-platform supporting baetyls-Cluarachmoba 27.
male, riding in four-horse chariot-N. E. Persia 194.
male, standing, nude, holding sword-Persis clxxxii, 242.
Fire-temple-Persis clxvii, clxix, 196-215.
Fortuna standing, with rudder and corrucopiae-Mesopotamiaxcix. See also City-goddess.
Founder ploughing with oxenBostra xxvi $1 ., 20$; Cncertain xxxviii; lihesaena cxi, 127, 132.

Fountain nymph (?)-Rhesaena cxi.

## G

Gazelle-bucranium. See Bucranium. God: in Phrygian cap, foot on bull's head (?), holding serpent-entwined spear and cone (?)Esbus xxxiii, 30 ; with sword, \&c., on basis-see War-god.
Goddess: armed, on basis, with round shield and axe-Laodicea ad Mare lxxxviii; to front in temple of four columns-Edessa cvii.

Goddess, bust of, in turreted crownAndragoras cliii f., 193-see ulso City-goddess. Veiled, star above-see Asteria.

## H

Hadad, cultus-figure between bulls, holding Nike and sceptreDizm xxxii, 28.
Head (or bust), female: diademedElymais 281 ; radiate, profile or facing - see Artemis; turreted - see Arabia; Citygoddess; veiled - Nabataea $5-7, \quad 11 \mathrm{f} . ;$ veiled, wearing kalathos-Seleucia ud Tigrim 145 ; veiled, star above-see Asteria.
_-_ janiform, beardless-A rabia 72.

- male, bearded: Arabia lii, 52 ; Tingis lxxxviii; Seleucia ad Tigrim cxvi; Elymais cxcii; Characene cevii, 303-9 ; wearing kyrbasia - I.E. Persia cliv, 194. See also King, head of.
male, beardless: Nabatapa xviii f., 1-7, 9-12; Arubia li-liii, 52 f.; diademed or filleted (laureate?)-Bostra xxvi, 23 ; helmeted-Philadelphia xl, 37, 40 ; resembling Augustus, in wreath-Arubia 60-3; ringleted and laureate-Arabia lv, lxvii, 54-60, 64-75; wearing lion-skin round neckArabia lxxxiv. See also King, head of.
Heads (or busts) of ling and queen, jugate-Nabataen xvi, xx 11 . $4,6,8,11,13$.

Helios: arms extended, in distyle temple-Philadelphia xl n. ; in quadriga to front-Medaba xxxvi; head of, with torchStectorium 1xxxviii.
Hera. See Juno.
Herakles: seated, with club: Adrac xxiv; Characene cxcvii, cciv, 289,291-302; Sub-Charucenian 310 ; standing, resting on club, holding lion-skin-Philadelphia xxxix 1.
head (or bust) of: beardless, wearing lion-skin-Arabia 1xxxii, 76 ; lion-skin round neck - Philadelplica 38-40; bearded, with likeness of Emperor-Philadelphia 38 f.; facing, club over shoulderPhiladelphia xl.
———car of-Philadelphia xl, 39, 41.

Horseman-Cassander xlix n.; fighting enemy on elephant-Alex. Emp. of the East 191.

## I

Inscription : in Estranghelo-Edesse c, 92 ; in Greek-Edess $u$ xcviii ; Seleucia ad Tiyrim 143-5; in Himyarite - Arabia lxxix. Surrounded by wreath—see Wreath.

J

Juno standing, with sceptre and peacock-Edessa 92 f.
Jupiter seated, holding VictoryMesopotamia xcix. See also Zeus.

## K

King, the Great, kueeling-running: with bow and dagger-Persia cxxii n., cxxvi, 163-72; Alex. Eimp. of the East 176; with bow and spear-Persia cxxvi, 148-62 ; Alex. Emp. of the Eust 176-9; shooting with bow-Persia cxxvi, 173 f .
the Great, half-figure of, with bow and arrows-Persia cxxvi, 175.
——_riding on horseback-Edessa 114.
——— seated: holding NikeSeleucia ad Tigrim cxvi, 143; holding sceptre, standard before him-Persis 195; receiving palm from City-Persis clxxiii; receiving wreath from CitySeleucia ad Tigrim exvi, 143.
—_ standing: before altar, holding sceptre-Persis 216-20, 222-4; before crescent-Persis 225 f. ; before Emperor-Edessa cvi, 113 f.
heard (or lust) of: Nabatuea 1-13, 314 ; Arabict 54-60, 6475 ; Edessa 91, 93-6, $111-17$; Persia cxxi 1. ; Persis 219-21, 229-36, 239, 241, 243; Elymuis 247-59, 281-8; Characene 289-95, 297-309; Sub-Characenian 310-13; wearing battlemented crown-Persis clxxxi. 222-7, 240, 244; with crescent on top-Persis 213-15; facing, with large side-tufts of hairElymais 266-71, 280; facing, wearing tiara-Elymais 2605, 277-9; in kyrbasia一N. E. Persia cliv, 194; Persis clxx,

195-203; in kyrbasia surmounted by crescent-Persis 209-12; in kyrbasia surmounted by eagle-Persis 2048; radiate-Persis 240 ; star in crescent before-Persisclxxv, 228 ; in tall head-dress-Persis 240 ; in tiara or helmet-Persis clxxi, 216-18, 228, 232-9, 241-3 ; ETymais 253-6, 2726; Sub-Characenian 311-13; wearing top-knot-Persis 243, 244.

King. See also Index V.
King and queen, busts of, jugateNabataea xvi, xx 1., 4, 6, 8, 11, 13.

## L

Lectisternium-Mesopotamia xcix. Legionary standards. See Standard.
Letter surrounded by radiating dashes-Persis 221.
Lion-Alex. Emp. of the East calicxliv, 180-91.

## M

Mars resting, with spear and shield — Edessa xcvi, 92.
Marsyas of the Forum. See Silenus.
Men. See God in Phrygian cap.
Minerva standing, with javelin and shield-Mesopotamia xcix.
Monogram: Himyarite lxix; Seleucia ad Tigrim xxvi.
Moon-god, bust of, crescent at shoulders-Carrhae 83.
Moon-goddess, bust of, on crescentCarrhate xiii.

## N

Nike: driving fonr-horse chariotSeleucus $I$ cliii; Andragorus

193 ; on globe, holding circletMesopotamia xcix, 137 ; holding palm-branch-Seleucia ad Tigrim 145 f. ; holding wreath -C'hraracene cxcrii, cxcix, 290 ; holding wreath and naval stan-dard-Alex. Emp. of the East $191 \mathrm{f} . ;$ holding wreath and palm-branch-Stratonicea Lye. cxiii ; Alex. Emp. of the East clvii; holding wreath and wand-N.E. Persia 194; furreted, holding wreath and palm-branch - Nabataea 1; wingless, holding wreath and palm-branch-Ebode xxxii.

## O

Owl: imitated from Attic coinsArabia xlvi, lxxxy f., 45-63, 77-80.

## P

Palm-branch and arrow-Atusice (!) cxviii, 147.
Platform supporting three baetylsBostra 26 ; Charachmoba 27.
Ploughing scene. See Founder.
Poseidon, foot on rock, with trident and dolphin - Rabbatlmoba xiii, 44.

## bust of--Seleucus I cliii.

Prow of ship-Persia cxxviii

Q
Quadriga. See Chariot.

## R

River-god reclining and Tyche seated -Adraa xxiv.
Roma seated, holding eagle supporting two figures-Phitippopolis xli, 42 f.; standing, with spear and shield, holding phialePhilippopolis 42; seated, crowned by City-goddessEdessa Muc. cvii.

## S

Sagittarius. See Centaur.
Satrap's head-Persia exxii n., 194.
Shrine. See Temple.
Signa. See Standard.
Silems with wine-skin ('Marsyas ') - Bostra 21.

Standard, legionary, in templeHieropolis Syr. xcii ; Carrhae xcii, 82. See also Vexillum.
Star: and crescent-Persis clxxv; in crescent-Persis 226; king's bust before-Persis clxxv, 228.
Symbol $\mathbf{Q}^{-E d e s s a}$ xcvi.

## T

Temple of two columns, containing: City-goddess holding bustAdraa xiii ; City-goddess seated, holding trophy - Petra 36; shrine of City-goddess-Nesibi 123 ; female figure resting on sceptre or spear-Adraa 15 ; Athena-Tyche - Bostra 17; Zens-Ammon-Bostra 20; figure with extended arms-Philicdelpluic xl n.; hexastyle shrine in antis-Edessa cvii.

Temple of four columns, containing: City-goddess, with trophy and cornucopiae-Bostra 19, 22; City-goddess with spear or standard and bust--Esbus xxxiii, 29; City-goddess-Nesibi cv, cix, 122-4 ; baetyl and signa-Carrhae-xcii, 82; goldess standing to frout-Edessa crii. of six columns in eintis, within a larger buildingEdessa cvii.

- seen in perspective, containing: culic cult objectEdessa xcvi, 91 f.; eaglelihescena cxi, 128, 133.
-_ small, between two busts of City-goddess-Edessa 101 f.
Temple. See also Fire-temple.
Tripod-Demetrias ad Tigrim (?) cxix; Seleucia ad Tigrim 140.
Triskeles-Persis clxxv, 229.
Tyche seated and river-god reclining -Adrac xxiv.
Tyche. See also Artemis-Tyche, Athena-Tyche, City-goddess, Fortuna. .


## V

Venus with apple and sceptreMesopotamia xcix, 138 f .
Vexillum-Rhesaena cxi, 125 ; female figure seated at foot of ilid.; surmonnted by eagleRhesaena 131.
Victory. See Nike.

## W

War-god on basis, with sword, spear, and shield, between altarsRabbathmoba xliii, 44.

Wreath containing: anchor-Elymais 280 ; crescent and pellet -Elymais 288; head-Arabia 54-63; inscription (agonistic, etc.)-Bostra 24 ; Petra 35 ; Philadelphia 37 ; sign of Aries —Nesibi 123.

## Z

Zeus seated: holding eagle-Arabia lxxxii, 76; Alex. Emp. of the East cxliii; holding flower-

Arabia lxxxii; holding NikeNicephorium (?) cix; Elymais 245-7; holding phiale-Esbus xxxiii, 29.
Zeus, head or bust of-Alex. Emp. of the East 192 ; Andragoras cl, 193.
Zeus-Ammon-Bostra xxix, 25 ; in temple-Bostra 20 ; with City-goddess-Bostra xxix, 25 ; bust of-Bostra 18, 21, 23.
Zeus. See also Jupiter.

## INDEX III

## SYMBOLS AND ADJUNCTS

A
Altar-Rhesuena 131. See also Index II, under City-goddess. Amulet-Persis 225, 235.
Auchor-Alex. Emp. of the East cxliii, cxlviii, 188-91; Elymais clxxxiii, 245 f., 248, 250-79, 281-3, 285-7.
Aquarius (?)-Carrluae xciii, 89 ; Edessa cvii, 109-12 ; Rhesaena 130.

Aries- Tesibi cix, 119-24.
Arrow-Atusia (?) exviii f., 147.

## B

Bee-Alex. Emp. of the East, 184, 187.

Bird: on rectangle-Persis 209-15; on standard-Persis 204-8.
Bust, helmeted, beardless-Philadelplica xl, 38 f .

## C

Club-Alex. Emp. of the East cxlviii, 178, 180.
Corn-ear-Arabia lxxi.
Cornucopiae-Carrhae, xciii, 86 f. ; Edessa 100 ; Nesibi 119.
Crescent-Arabia 45-51; Persis 222 ; Elymais 283, 286.

Crescent on Globe--C'arrhae 83. Crescent, pellet in-Persis 244.
Crescent, pellet in, above anchorElymais clxxxviii, 253 ff., 2569, 261-5, 267-79, 287.
Crescent and star-Elymais 280.
Crescent and star above anchorElymais 285 f.
Crescent, star in-Persis 235.
Crescent, star in, above anchorElymais clxxxviii, 250, 252, 260, 266.
Crux ansata-Persia cxxviii $n$.

## D

Diadem-Persis 233.

## E

Eagle-Rhesaena cx, 127, 129-32.

## F

Figure on pedestal, holding skin. See Aquarius.
Fork (?)-Alex. Emp. of the E'ust 187.

## G

Grapes-Alex. Emp. of the Eust cxlvii.

H
Hammer-Alex. Emp. of the East 187.

Head of animal(?)—Persian Empire 152.

Horse's head-Alex. Emp. of the East cxliii, cxlvi, cxlviii, 18992.

## L

Liou's head-Persiun Empire cxxxv, 163 f.
Lion's scalp-Persiun Empire cxxxv, 164 f.
Lyre-Seleucic ad Tigrim 141.

## O

Olive-spray-Arabia lxxxvi, 45-51, 77.

## P

Palm-branch-Characene 300; and river-god-Rhesuena 133.
Palm-branches, two-Rihesaena 129, 132; and river-god-Rhesaena 128; and wreath-Rhescena 127.

Pan, mask of-Persian Empire cxxx, 156.

Pellet beside anchor-Elymais 250.
Pellet in crescent-Persis 244; above anchor - Elymais clxxxviii, 253-79, 287.
Pentalpha-Alex. Emp. of the East cxliii, 187.

## R

River-god—Rhesaena 127 f., 132 f . See also Index II, under Citygoddess.

Rose in wreath-Alex. Emp. of the East cxlviii, 185.
Rosette above anchor-Elymais clexxviii.

## S

Sagittarius-Rhescena cxi, 130: Singara exii, 134-6.
Scorpion-Alex. Emp. of the East cxliii.

Serpent-Carrhae xciii, 87; Edessa 101.

Shell-Alex. Emep. of the East, cxlvii.
Spear-head-Alex. Emp. of the East 181-3, 192.
Standard-Persis clxxi, 193-208; bird on, clxxi, 204-8.
Star-Bostra 17; Philadelphia xl, 39-41 ; Edessa 112, 114-16; Nesibi 119 f. ; Persis 222, 231 ; Elymais 257, 259 ; Eharacene clxxxviii, ccii, 299 ; above an-chor-Elymais 248; above crescent-Elymais 280; and crescent aboveanchor-Elymais 285 f.; in crescent-Persis 235 ; in crescent above anchorElymais clxxxviii, 250, 252, 260, 266.
Stars, two--Carrhae 89; Edessa 105-8, 110; Nesibi 120.
Stars, four-Edessa 109 f .

## T

Temple containing cult-objectEdessa xcvi, cvii, 101.
Thunderbolt-Alex. E'mp. of the East 177.

Thymiaterion-Seleucia ad Tigrim 141.

Tiara, satrapal-Alex. Emp. of the $\mid I$ (various forms)-Arabia lvii f., 54-64.
I (various forms)-Arabia lxiii, 64-7.
$\infty$-Arabia 65.
(1)-Arabia lxx, 70 .
$\oint$ (various forms) -Arabia lxviii f., lxxvii ff., 68-74.
\$-Arabia lxxi, 71 .
Х—Édessa 95.
Y(various forms)-Arabia l, lxxix, 50, 53-6.
8 (various forms)-Arabia li, lvii f., 62, 64-7.

7 (fork?)-Alex. Emp. of the East 187.

* -Alex. L'mp). of the East cxliii, 187.


## INDEX IV

## COUNTERMARKS

For punch-marks on Persian coins, see Introduction, pp. cxxxvi f.

Bust (or head) : Edessa 100 ; bearded, laureate (Ant. Pius?)—Philadelphia 37 ; of Elagabalus (?)—Rabbathmoba 44; of City-goddess—Edessa 107. Uncertain-Characene 296.
$\Delta$-Petra xxxviii $n$.
$\epsilon-P e t r a$ xxxviii $n$.
AB-Characene cciii, 297, 300.
人-Chbaracene 296-8.
9—Arabia 74.

## INDEX V

KINGS AND RULERS<br>(Other than Roman)

## A

Abennerigos, cxcix f.
Algar (VIII), son of Waël (?), xcvi.
Algar VIII (IX) the Great, son of Mánu VIII, xcvi, c-cii, 93-6.
Abgar IX (X), Severus, xcvi, ci-ciii, cvi, 96.
Alggar, son of Abgar IX (X) ciii.
Abgar X (XI), Phrahates, xcvi, ciii, cv f., 113-17.
Abinnerglos (Abinerglos) excix ff., cciv.

Adinerglos (Adinergaos?), cxcix ff., 295.

Alexander the Great, cxiii, cxlv f., clvi f., exciv, 176, 181.
Alexander Jannaeus, xxxiv.
'Amdan, lxviii.
'Amdan Bajjân Juhakbbidh (?), lxix n.
'Amdan Bayyin (Yanaf), liii n., lxi, lxx-lxxii, lxxviii, 70-2.
'Amdan Yehuqbiḍ, lxix f., 69.
Andragoras, cxlviii-clx, 193.
Antigonus I, cxliii, clxxxiv, 181, 245 n.
Antiochns III of Syria, clxxxiii.
Autiochus IV of Syria, xxxiv, xciv, criii, clxxxiii, clxxxvii n.. cxciv, $91,119$.
Antiochus V of Syria, clxxxvii n.

Antoninus, son of Abgar, cii.
Anzaze, clxxxv-clxxxvii, 245.
Apodakos, cxcvii, 289.
Ardashir I, Sassanian, cxvii n., clxxiv, cxciii, cev.
Aretas III, Philhellen, xi f., xiv, lxxxv, $1,2$.
Aretas IV, Philopatris, xiii f., xviixix, xxi, 5-10.
Arsaces of Parthia, clix.
Arsakas, cxx.
Arses, cxx, cxxviii, 169, 171.
Artabanus II of Parthia, clxxii.
Artabazos of Characene, cc ff., ccix.
Artaxerxes I of Persia, cxx, cxxvii, cxxxi f., 153.
Artaxerxes II of Persia, cxx, cxxvii, exxxi f., 156.
Artaxerxes III of Persia, cxx, cxxviii, 173, 175.
Artaxerxes I of Persis, clxviii, 198 f., 202.

Artaxerxes II, son of Darius II, of Persis, clxxii f., clxxv, clxxxii, 222-4.
Artaxerxes III, son of Mithri, of Persis, clxxx, 240.
Artaxerxes IV, son of Manučithr, of Persis, clxxxi, 244.
Aryandes, cxxiii.
Aryu, xev.

Aspeisas, cxlvi, clxxxiv, 245 n.
Astab'ia\% (?), ccix, 304 ff .
Atambiaz, ccviii.
Athabelos, ccix.
Athambilos, ccix.
Attambelos I, cxcviii, 291-2.
Attambelos II, cxcviii ff., 293-4.
Attambelos III, clxxxvi, cci, cciii, cev n., 296-8.
Attambelos IV, clxxxvi, clxxxviii, cci f., 299.
Attambelos V, ccii f.
Autophradates I of Persis, clxviii f., 200-2.
Autophradates II of Persis, clxxi, 212-15.
Autophradates III of Persis, clxxix, 239.

## B

Baga'a (?), cciv.
Bagadates, clxiv-clxvi, 195-6, 202 (no. 3).
Bagakert, clxiv, clxvi n.
Bagarat, clxv.
Bagaraz, clxiv.
Bagawarat, clxv f.
Bahman, ccix.
Banaga (?), cciv, 302.
Bandū, cev, cex.
Biga'a (?), cciv.
Binaga (?), cciv f., 303.
Bīnegà (?), ccv, ccx.
Biurat, clxv f.

$$
\mathrm{C}(\text { see also } \mathrm{K})
$$

Charibael, lxiv. See Karib'il.
Chosroes of Parthia, clxxxvi, exccxciii.

Cyrus the Younger, cxx, cxxv, cxxvii, cxxix f., cxxxiii, 156.

## D

Darius I of Persia, cxx f., cxxv, cxxvii, cxxix, cxxxi, 148.
Darius II of Persia, cxx, cxxvii, cxxxii, $155,157$.
Darius III of Persia, cxx, cxxviii, cxxx, 159, 171.
Darius I of Persis, clxxi, 204-11.
Darius II, son of Autophradates, of Persis, clxxii ff., 216-18.
Demetrius III of Syria, xi f., 1, 2.
Dhamar'ali Bayyin, lxiv n., lxviii.
Dhamarali Yuhabir, lxxii, lxxviii.

## E

Euagoras II, cliii.
Euthydemus I of Bactria, cxcvii.

## F

Fara' Yauhub, lxv.

## G

Gamilath, xx, 12 f .
Gosithres of Persis, clxxii.
Gotarzes of Parthia, clxxiv.

## H

Hagiru, xvii $n$.
Hodda, wife of Abgar, cri.
Huldu, xvii, 5 f.
Huvishka, excvi.
Hyspaosines, exciv, excvi f.

## I

Iabina (?), cciv.
Ibia'na (?), cciv.
Ibignai, ccxv.
Ibilna (?), cciv.
'Ib'inga'i (?), cciv.
Ilasaros, lxv.
Ilsharh Yaḥḍib, lxv.
Ilsharḥ Yahm . . ., lxvi n.
$J$ (see also Y)
Jaḥmal, lxvi n.

$$
\mathrm{K}(\text { see also } \mathrm{C})
$$

Kamuaskires dynasty, clxxxiv ff.
Kamnaskires I Nikephoros, clxxxvi, 245.

Kamnaskires II, clexxxvii, 245.
Kammaskires III, clxxxvii, 247 ff.
Kamnaskires V, cxciv.
Kammaskires VI, clxxxix, cxci.
Kammaskires-Orodes, cxci f., 26071.

Kanishka, cxevi.
Kapāt, son of Namōpat, clxxviiclxxix, 232.
Karib'il Yehun'im Wattar, lxiv-lxvi, lxviii f., lxxviii f., 68.
Kodomannos, cxx.
Kumaskires. See KamnaskiresOrodes.

## M

Ma'ga, son of Athabiaos (?), ccviii f., 304 ff.
Malichus I, xii-xv, 3.
Malichus II, xix-xxi, 11.
Mán, ccviii.
Mani, cexv.
Mannos. See Mannu.
Mánu VIII Philoromaios, xevif., xcix f., 92.
Mánu IX, son of Abgar VIII (IX), xcvi, ci-ciii, 96.
Mainu Antoninus, son of Abgar IX ( X ), ciii.
Manučithr I, clxxx.
Mannčithr II, son of Manučitlir I, clxxix f., 241.
Mannčith• IIT, son of Manučithr II, clexx f., 243.

Manušči日ra. See Mauučithr.
Mazaeus, cxli f., 180 f.
Meredates, son of Phobas, ccxi ff., 311 ff .
Minutscheher. See Manučithr.
Mithradates the Great of Pontus, clxxxiii.

Mithradates I of Parthia, cxiv, clxxif.
Mithradates II of Parthia, exvi, clxxi f.
Mithradates (?) of Persis, clexix 1., claxx.
Mithri, clxxx.
Monobazos of Adiabene, cc.

## N

Namēpat, son of Artaxerxes, clxxivf., clxxvii f., 225.
Namōpat, father of Kapāt, clxxvii f.
Napāt (?), son of Namōpat, clxxvii.
Narseh, clxx.
Nemōpat. See Namopat.
Nicocreon of Salamis, cliii.

## O

Obadas (?), cciii, ccx.
Obadias (?), cex.
Obodas II, xii f., xv, 3, 314.
Obodas III, xii, xiv-xvii, xxi, lvi, 4.
Ohorzos, clxvii, 197, 202.
Ochos, Artaxerxes, cxx.
Ochos, Darius, cxx.
Orab(a)zes (?), cciii, ccv n., cca.
Orodes I of Parthia, clxxii f., clxxxiii, clxxxvi.

Orodes I of Elymais, clxxxix-cxci, 253 ff .
Orodes II of Elymais, cxc-cxcii, 260-71, 279 n .

Orodes III of Elymais, cxciii f., 280 f.

Orodes IV of Elymais, cxciii f., 282 f.
Oxathres, son of Darius II, of Persis, clxxii ff., clxxvi, 219 f .
Oxyares, clix.

## P

Pakur, son of Oxathres, clxxv f., 229.

Peithon, cxliii, 181.
Philip III, cxliii, 181.
Phobas, ccxiii, 311 ff .
Phraates, son of Orodes, of Elymais, cxc-cxciii, 272-9.
Phrates III of Parthia, clxxxiii.
Phraates IV of Parthia, cxvi f.
Phrataphernes, clviii, clx.
Piruz (?) of Persis, clxxvi.
Pnytagoras, cliii.
Ptolemy II Philadelphus, xxxix.

## R

Rabbel II, xix-xxi, 12 f.

## S

Samah'ali, liii.
Seleucus I, xciv, cxliii, cxliv n., clii, 181, 187-92.
Severus Abgar, xcvi, cii f.
Shahar (Shahir) Hilal Yuhargib, lxxvi.

Shamdar Yehunim. See Shamnar Yehun'im.
Shammar Yuharish, lx.
Shamnar Yehunim, lxxiii, lxxviii, 74.

Shaqilath I, xviii, 6-8.

Sharilath IJ, xix f., 11 f .
Stamenes, cxliv 1., 181.
Stasanor, satrap of Bactria, cxliv $n$.

## T

Tha'ran Y'ánb, lxxii f., lxxviii, 73.
Theonesios I, cxcviii.
Theonesios II, cc f.
Theonesios III, clxxxvi, cci, cciii, cev n., 300.
Theonneses. See Theonesios.
Thionesios. See Theonesios.
Tiraios I, cxevii.
Tiraios II, exevii, 290.
Tiridates (?) of Persis, clxxxi.

## U

Ulfan, cxciii, 281.
${ }^{\prime}$ Umdan. See 'Amdan.

## V

Vahaman, ccix.
Vaḥshuvar, clix, 194.
Vahuberz, clxvii, 197.
Vardanes of Parthia, cxvii.
Tatafradat. See Autophradates.
Vima Kadphises, cxcvi.
Volagases II of Parthia, cxciv.
Volagases III of Parthia, xcvi, cxvi, 91.

## W

Waël, son of Sahru, xevi, 91 f .
Waraw'il Ghailan, lxxvi.
Wattar, lxviii, lxxix.

## X

Serxes I of Persia, cxx, cxxv, cxxvii, cxxix, cxxxi, 150.
Serxes II of Persia, cxx.

> I (see also J)

Yabilana (?), cciv.
Yadacil, lx.
Yakina (?), cciv.
Yarim Aiman, lxxiii.
Yasar Yuhaṣdiq, lxxii.
Yatha'amar Wattar, lxxix $n$. Yáub, lxxviii.
Yedáab Bayyin, lxxiv. Yedáab Dhubayyin, lxxiv.

Yedáab Ghailan, lxxiv.
Yedáab Yanaf, lxxiv f., lxxviii f., 75.

Yehun'im, lxxviii.
Yehuqbiḍ, lxxviii.
Yerim Aiman, lxxiii.
Tuha'min, lxxix n.

Z
Zaturdat, clxvii.

## INDEX VI

## ROMAN EMIPERORS

and their relatives.

Augustus: iv f.; Arabia 60-3.
Agrippina Junior: Philadelphia Lyd. xli.
Nero: Eboda xxxii.
Titus: Philadelphia 37.
Domitian: Anemurium lxxxvii n.; Philadelphia 37.
Trajan: ccii ; Bostra xxiv, xxvi; Edessa ci ; Nesibi criii ; Stratonicea Lyd. cxiii.
Hadrian: Arabia xxiv, 14; Gerasa xxxiv f., 31 ; Petra xxxvii, $34 \mathrm{f}$. ; Philadelphia xl, 38; Édessa ci.
Antoninus Pius: Bostra xxiv, 16 f.; Moca(?) xxxvif.; Petra xxxviii, $35 \mathrm{f} . ;$ Philadelphia xl, 37-9; Rabbathonoba xliii; Carrhae lxxxriii $n$.
Faustina I: Bostra 17 f.
MarcusAurelius: Adracexxiii; Bostra 18; Gerasa xxxiv; Philadelphia xl, 39 f. ; Carrhae lxxxviii-xc, xciii, 82 ; Edessa xct, xcrii-xcix, civ n., 92 ; Singara cxii; Mesopotamia 137.

Faustiua II: Edessa xcrii f., 92; Mesopotamia 138.
Lucius Verus: Gerasa xxsiv ; Philadelphia xl, 40 ; Edessa xcrii f.,
c f.; Singara cxii; Mesopotania 138.
Lucilla : Adraa xxiii; Edessa xcvii f., 93; Mesopotamia 139.
Commodus: Adraa xxiii f.; Bostrie xxv-xxvii, 18 f. ; Gerasa xxxiv, 32 ; Philudelphia 40 f.; Carrhaelxxxix f., 82 ; Edessa xcriii, ci, 93 f.; Mesopotamia 139.
Crispina: Gerasa 32.
Septimius Severus: Bostra xxy, xxvi n., 19; Dium xxxi; Medaba xxxvi ; Moca (?) xxxvi ; Petra xxxviii $1 .$, xxxix, 36; Rabbathmoba xliii, 44 ; Carrhae lxxxix-xcii, 82 f.; Edessa xcrii $11 .$, c-cii, 94-6; lesibi cviii; Rhesaena cx; Singara cxii.

Julia Domna: Bostra xxv, 19; Petra 36; Rabbathmoba 44.
Caracalla : Bostra xxv, xxviii ; Dium xxxi; Medaba xxxy f., 33; Philadelphia xxxix n.; Rabbathmobet xliii, 44; Anthemusia lxxxvii, 81; Carrhue xc f., xciii, 33-7; Edessa ci-cv, 96-9; Nesibi criii ; Rhesaena cx, cxin.
Plautilla : Carrhae xci.
Geta : Dium xxxi, 28; Petra xxxviii. 36; Carrlae 87.

Macrimus: Edessa ciii f., 98 ; Nesıbi cviii f., 119.
Diadumenian : Edessa ciiif., 99-103.
Elagabalus: Adreea or Bostra xxiiin.; Bostra xxv-xxix, 20 ; Charachmoba xxx, 27; Eslus xxxiii, 29 f. ; Medaba xxxv f., 33 ; Uncertain xxxviii ; Philadelphia xxxix n., xli, 41 ; labbathoba xliii ; C'arrhae lxxxix 11., xcin.; Edessa ciii-cr, 97, 99 ; Rhesatua cx f., 125.
Severus Alexancler: Bostra xxv f., 20-2 ; Gerasa xxxiv; Philadelphia xli ; Carrhae xc f., 88 ; Edessa ciii-cr, cvii, 103-10; Nesibi cix, 119 f.; Rhesaenu cx n., cxi, 126 ; Singara cxii.
Julia Mamaea: Bostra xxix, 22 f.; Edessa ciii, 109 f.; Nesibi 120.
Maximinus: Thessalonica xxv n.; Carrhae xcii ; Edessa cv.
Gordiau III: cxiii; Rabbathmoba xliii ; Carrhae lxxxviii, xcii f., 89 f. ; Edessa ciii, cv-cvii n., 111-17; Nesibi cix, 121 ; Nice-
phorium (?) cix ; Singara cxii, 134 f .
Tranquillina: Carihae lxxxviii ; Edessa ciii, 112 ; Nesibi 121 ; Singara 135 f.
Philip Senior: Bostra xxv f., 23 ; Philippopolis xli f., 42 f.; Eidessa cv, crii ; Mesibi cv, cix, 122 f. : Singara cxii $n$.
Otacilia Severa: Plitippopotis xli, 43 ; Nesibi 123 f.
Philip Junior: Bostra 24 ; Philippopotis 43 ; Nesibi 122 n.
Julius Marinus: Pleitippopolis xli, 42.

Trajan Decius: Bostra xxvi f., 25 f.; Edessa cvi, 117 f. ; Rhesaena cx f., 127-32.
Heremia Etruscilla : lihescaena cxii, 132 f .
Hereminus Etruscus: Bostra 26; Rhesaena 132.
Trebonianus Gallus : Bostra xxvi.
Valerian : Adrua xxiii, 15.
Gallienus: Adraa xxiii, 15 ; Nicephorium (?) cix.

## INDEX VII

## INSCRIPTIONS

（A）GREEK
ABATPOC BACIへEYC Edessa 115.
АВГАРОС ВАС $\wedge \in Y C$ Eidessa 115.
ABГAPOC BACI＾EYC（various forms）Eilessa ci，93－6，113－17．
A $\triangle$ PIANH TETPA MHTPOTTONIC Petra 35 f ．
AӨE Arabia xlvi，lxix，45－56，77－80．
AITPWCKI＾＾A Rhesaena cxii．
AKTIA $\triangle$ OYCAPIA Bostra 24.
AN $\triangle$ PAГOPOY N．E．Persiu，cxlviii ff．， 192.
ANTIOXE $\Omega N$ T $\Omega N$ EN THI MYT $\triangle$ ONIAI Nesibi criii．
ANTIOXE $\Omega$ N T $\Omega$ N EПI KAヘヘIPOH Edessa 91.
$\mathrm{AN} T \Omega \Pi \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \boldsymbol{T} \Omega \Pi \mathrm{P} \Gamma \in$ Gerusa xxxiv．
AP Nabataea xi， 1 f ．
APABIA Arabia 14.
APM（ （via）Mesopotamia xcix， 137.
APTEMIC TYXH rEPACWN Gerasa 31 f ．
APTEMI TY $\Gamma \in P A$ Gerasa 31.
APTEMI TYXH rEPACWN Gerasa 31.
APTE TY $\Gamma \in P A C W N$ Gerasa 31.
APT TY $\Gamma \in$ Gerasa 32.
ATOYM：I゚E 2 N T．TPPO［ T．KATPPON Atusia（？），exviii， 147.
ATOY乏IE $\Omega N$ Atusia（？），cxviii．
AY GCBOYC Esbus 29.
AYP AN $\in \triangle \in C C A$ Edessa civ， 97.
AYP ECBOYC Esturs 29 f ．
AYPHNIA Carrhae 1xxxix．
AYPH＾IO KAPHNW Carrhae xcii．
AYP CET KO＾CINГAPA Singara 134－6．
BACI＾EYC ABTAPOC（various forms）Edessa 95 f．
BACI＾EYC AI＾．AYPH＾．CЄП．ABГAPOC Edessu ci．
BACI＾EYC MANNOC $\Phi \ \wedge O P \omega M A I O C$ Edessa 92 f.
BALI＾E $\Omega$ A $\triangle$ INHPГ＾О［ $\Omega$ THPOL Characene 295.

BAIヘE $\Sigma$ АПО $\triangle$ AKOY Characene 289.
BA乏I＾E $\Sigma \Sigma$ ATTAMBH＾OY $\Sigma \Omega T H P O \Sigma ~ K A I ~ E Y E P \Gamma E T O Y ~$ Claracene 291－4，296－9．
BACI＾E $\Omega$ C $\Theta \in O N H C I O Y ~ C W T H P O C ~ C h a r u c e n e ~ 300 . ~$
BA［IME $[$ KAMNALKIPOY KAI BA［INIC［H［ ANZAZH［ Elymais 245 f ．
BA乏IヘE KAMNAEKIPOY Elymais clxxxvii．
BA $\Sigma I \wedge E \Omega \Sigma$ KAMNI $\Sigma K I P O Y$ NIKHФOPOY Elymais clxxxvii．
BA $\Sigma I \wedge E \Omega \Sigma$ TIPAIOY $\Sigma \Omega$ THPO $\Sigma$ Characene 290.
BAC $\wedge$ AI＾C $\in \Pi$ AB「APOC Edessa ci．
BAC＾EY XOCPOI cxcii
BOY＾H Seleucia ad Tigrim exvii．
BOY＾HC Selencia ad Tigrim cxvii．
$\Gamma=[$ Bostra xxvin．
ГOPTIAIOY Seleuciu al Tiyrim cxvi， 145.
$\triangle H M H T P[I] E \Omega N$ T $\Omega N$ חPPO［ $T \Omega$ TIГPEI Demetrias ad Tigrim cxix．
$\Delta K \Sigma \Delta I O Y$ A Seleucia ad Tigrim cxvi，143－5．
$\triangle O Y C A P H C$ Adrau xxiv ；Bostru xxvi．
$\triangle O Y C A P H C \quad \Theta \in O C$ Adraa xxiii f．；Bostra xxiii n．（？），xxvii n．
$\triangle$ OYCAPIA（？）Adraa xxiv．
$\leftarrow=$ ëtous Bostra xxy， 16.
$\in \triangle \in C C$ KO＾Edessa civ．
EPENNIAN WTPACKA＾＾Rhesuene cxii． 133.
EC $\triangle \in C C A$（MAP AYP ANT）Edesst 104.
5KT ГOPПIAIOY Seleucia al Tigrim cxvi， 145.
ZAY $\odot H C$ NIAC（false reading）cxiii．
H＾I Medaba xxxri．
H NEIKH P $\Omega$ MAI $\Omega$ N Mesopotamia xcix， 137.
HPAK＾EION APMA Philadelphia sl， 39.
HPAK＾HC Philadelphia sl．
HPAKAION［APMA］Philadelplica 41.
Ө $\in A$ ACTEPIA Philadelphicu 39 f ．
$\Theta \in A$ ФAYCTEINA Bostra 17 f．
ӨGOKANI（？）Bostra xxviii．
$\Theta \in \Omega$ MAPIN $\Omega$ Philippopolis xli， 42.
$\Theta \in \omega$ C $\in \bigcirc Y H P \omega \Pi А \Pi \Pi \omega$ Carrhae xci．
IANAA $\Phi$ Aethiopia liii．
IEP．ACY＾．AYTO Moca（？）xxxvi f．

IK［ Veblatuea xiii f．， 3.
10＾CEП KO＾NECIBI MHT Nesibi cix， 123.
IOY CET KO＾$\Omega$ NECIBI MHT Vesibi cix，122－4．
IOY $C \in \Pi K O \wedge \Omega N$ CINГAPA Singara cxii $n$ ．

KAIKO＾WNEIAC Laodicea ad Mare Ixxxviii．
KA KO＾MH MECO Carrhae xc， 88.
KAP KO MHTPOTOOAIC（various forms）Currhae 83 f ．
KAPWN Carihae lxxxix．
K＾WNEIA MHTPOTO KAPP Carrae 87.
KOIへHC CYPIAC（ $\Phi$ IへA $\triangle \in \wedge \Phi \in W N$ ）Philadelphia xxxix，38－40．
KO＾ANT AYP E $\triangle \in C C A$ Édessa civ， 100.
KO＾Є $\triangle \in C C A$ Edessa 117 f ．
KO＾M $\in \triangle \in C C A$ E＇dessa 101 f ．
KO＾NECIBI Nesibi cviii， 119.
KO＾W MAP $\in \triangle \in C C A$ Edessa civ， 99 f．， 102.
KO＾WNIAC ．．．MH KAPWN Carrhae xc， 83.
KO＾$\Omega$ NIAC（ФIへIППOПOへIT $\Omega N$ ）Philippopolis xlii， 42 f ．
KOMMO $\triangle$ IANWN（？）Adrace xxiv．
ヘOYKIA C＇arrhae xc．
M A ANTW E $\triangle \in C C A$ Edessa 98.
MA $\triangle A B \Omega N$ Medaba xxxvi．
MAK AYP $\in \triangle \in C C(A) E d e s s a$ civ f．， 103 f ．
MAK AYP KO $\in \triangle \in C C A$ Edessa civ． 101.
MANNOC Edessuci， 96.
MANNOC TAIC Edessa ci．
MAP AYP ANT $\in \triangle \in C C A$ Edessu cr， 104.
MAP AYP ANTW KO＾$\in \triangle \in C C A$, sc．Edessa civ， 100.
MEPE $\triangle A T H C ~ B A C I \Lambda E Y C ~ Y I O C ~ Ф O B A ~ B A C I \Lambda E \Omega C ~ S u b-~$
Characenian 311 f ．
MHT KO AY C CE CINTAPA Singara cxii n．
MHT KO＾$\in \triangle \in C C H N W N$ Edesse civ f．，103，105－12．
MHTP KO＾KAPPHNWN（various forms）Carrhae xc，88－90．
MHTPOПOヘIC（ПЕTPA）Petra 34 f ．
MYI $\triangle O N I A I, A N T I O X E \Omega N$ T $\Omega$ EN THI Nesibi cviii．
NE TP BOCTPA Bostru 18 f．
NIKHФOPI $\Omega \mathbf{N}$（？）Nicephorium cix．
OEOKANI（？）liostra xxviii．
○MANOФIへ Sub－Characenian cexi．
－$M \in \Delta \in C C A$ Edessa civ， 98 f．

ПO＾İ Selencia ad T＇igrim exv f．， 142.
TPA Elymais 272－4．
ПРААТНС BACI＾EYC Elymais 272 f．， 277.
$\Sigma E \wedge E Y K E \Omega N$ T $\Omega N$ TPPO $T \Omega$ TIIPEI Seleucia cxivf．， 140 f ．
［E＾EYKIA［C］TH［［TPO［ T $\Omega$ I TITPEI］Seleucia cxv．
CEOYH ABFAPOC Edessa ciii n．
$C \in \Pi$ KO＾NECIBI MHTP．，\＆c．Nesibi cix，119－21．
C $\in \Pi$ KO＾PHCAINHCIUN L III P Rhesuena 127，129－32．
C $\in \Pi$ PHCAINHCIWN L III P Rhesuena 128 f．， 133.
$\Sigma \Sigma T A$ MNA Alex．Emp．of the East cxliv n．， 179.
$\Sigma \Omega$ THPO $\Sigma$ KAI EYEPTETOY Characene cxevii n．
TH［ ПРО T $\Omega$ I TITPEI Seleucia cxv．
TYXH Adraa xxiii f．
TYXH BOCTPWN Bostra 20.
TYXH（MH $\triangle$ ABUN）Medaba xxxvi， 33.
TYXH NEAC TPAIANHC BOCTPAC Bostra 16 f．
TYXHC $\in \triangle \in C C$ KO．．Edessa cvi， 102.
TYXH Ф｜＾A $\triangle \in \wedge \Phi \in I A C$ Philadelphia xl， 38.
TYXH ФI＾A $\triangle \in \wedge \Phi \in \Omega \mathbf{N}$ K C Philadelphia 38.
TYX NE TPAI BOC Bostra 18.
T $\Omega$ N EN THI MYT $\triangle$ ONIAI，ANTIOXE $\Omega N$ Nesibi cviii．
T $\Omega$ N ETII KAヘヘIPOH，ANTIOXE $\Omega$ N E＇dessa 91.
$T \Omega N$ TPPO $T \Omega I$ TIIPEI，see $\triangle H M H T P I E \Omega N, ~ \Sigma E \wedge E Y K E \Omega N$ ．
$T \Omega \Pi P \times P \mathrm{~T} \Omega \Pi \mathrm{P} \Gamma \in$ ，AN Gerasa xxxiv．
Y $\triangle$ PI＾NH ПЄTP＾MHTPOTOTO＾IC Petra 36.
YІФОВА BACI＾Sub－Characenian cexi．
$Y \Pi \in P B \in P \in T A I O Y$ Seleucia ad Tigrim exvi．
$Y \Pi \in P$ NIKHC $P \Omega M A I \Omega N$ Mesopotamiu xcviii f．，137－9．
Y$\Pi \in P$ NIKHC T $\Omega$ N KYPI $\Omega$ N（CEB）Mesopotamia xcix， 138
Y$\Pi \in P$ NIKHC $T \Omega N$ C $B$ BAC Mesopotamia xcix．
YP $\omega \triangle H C$ BACI＾EYC Elymais， 253 ff ．
Ф｜へA KOI CYPIAC Philadelphia 37， 41.
ФIへA K C Pliladelphia 40.
ФIへ KOI CYPIAC Philadelplia 37，39， 41.
ФIへ K C Philadelphia 40 f ．
ФI＾OPWMEWN，KAPHNWN Carrhue lxxxix， 82.
ФPAATHC BACI＾EYC Elymais， 277.
X○CPOI，BAC＾EY excii．
WTPACKAヘヘA Rhesaena exii．

## （B）SEMITIC

Edessa c ．
A rabia lxxxii
Édessa xcvi， 91 f．
Persis 198
Persis clxv，195， 200 f ．
Edessa xcvi， 91 f．
Characene ceviii
Characene ccviii
Persis 240
Persis 244
Persis 222－4
Persis 198
Persis clxxxi
Persis 202
Persis clxiv ff．， 195
Persis clxiv ff．， 196
Persis clxiv ff．， 196
Characene cciv， 302
Alex．Emp．of the East 180， 182
T＇ersis clxxx， 243
Persis 244
Nabataec 12
Persis clxxi， 209
Persis clxxii，216－8．
Edessa 91 f．
Persis clxvii， 197
Persis clxxii， 219 f．
Characene ccix
Characene ccix
N．E．Persia 194 גמלת אחתה מלבת נבטו

 －．．．Nコンカ לx
 וּ וּ

והתק

J．E．Persia clv， 194
Elymais 281


Characene ccriii，304－9
מאג ז אתמביאו（אסתביאוֹ）מלבא（ו）
Characene ceviii
Characene ccviii
Mãaeus 180
Nabataea 3， 11
Nabataea 11
Persis clxxxi
Persis clxxix，241， 243
מלדו מלכא מלך נבטו מכבו שקילת

מנבָּר

Tabataea xxi， 6
Edessa 92
מנjָתרי מלבא

Churacene covi n．
מעעה משק＂

Characene covi ．
Persis clxxx， 240
Persis clxxiv f．， 225
נמופת מלכא ברה ארתה מעה
Arabia lxxvii f．
נעם
Nabataea 4， 314
Aralia lxx，70－2
Arabia 1xix， 69
N．E．Persia clv
Persis clxxv f．， 229 f ．
Persis clxxvi， 229
Nabataea xviii

פבור מלבא ברה והוחש

Persis clxvii， 197 f．．
Elymais 274

פרכתר
Persis 201


Arabia Ixxi

| רבצאל גמלתה מלך נבטו רבאר שקילת אמה |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Arabia lxxvi ..... שהר הלר
Tabataea xviiiשים］
Nabataea xviii， 8 ..... שלם
Nabataea xviii ..... ロ
Arabia lxxiii ..... שמדר（！）＇הנעם
Arabia lxxiii， 74 ..... שמנר יהנעם（ו）ישם
Nabataea 4－7，9， 11 （followed by number）תコヒ
Nabataea 4， 314 ..... שנת תלת
Nabataea xxNubataea 11Nabataea 6 f．שקילת אחתה מלבת נבטושעיקת מרכת נבטו
Persis clxxxi ..... ת＇רדת
Arabia lxxii， 73
Arabia liii f．，lxix，53－5spoush

ACTIA DVSARIA Bostra 26.
BOSTRON Bostra xxx．
COL AVR METROPOLI ANTONINI Carrhue 86.
COL MET ANTONINIANA AVR ALEX C＇arrlate xxv， 85.
COL METR BOSTRENORVM Bostra 26.
COL METROPOL BOSTRON Bostra 25.
COL METROPOLI ANTONINIAN Carriae 86.
COL METROPOLIS BOSTRA Bostra 23－5．
COLONIA BOSTRA Bostra 21－3．
COLONI PET $\wedge \wedge$ \＆c．Uncertain of Palestine，xxxviii．
CONCORDIA BOSTREN（O）RVM Bostra 25.
LEG III P Rhesaena 131.
LEG III P 2 Rhesuena cx n．， 126.
L III GAL．（？）Rhesaena ex 11 ．
L III P Rhesaena ex 11．127－133．
L III PIA lihesaena ex n．
N TRA BOSTRA Bostra xxvi n．
N TR ALEXANDRIANAE COL BOSTR Bostra 20,22 ．
S C Philippopolis xlii， 42 f ．

## INDEX VIII

## ERAS

330 B. c. (Alexander the Great) cxciv.
31211 в. c. (Seleucid)-Seleucia ad Tiyrim cxv f.; Elymais cxciv ; Characene excvi n., cexii.
63 в. с., Oct. (Pompeian)-Dium xxxi ; Gerasa xxxiv ; Philadelphia xxxix. 106 A. D., 22 Mar. (Arabian)-Bostra xxiv; Medaba xxxvi ; líubathmolia xliv.

224 A. D. circa (Philippopolis)-Philippopolis xlii. Regnal Years: Kings of Nabatata xii ff.

## INDEX IX

## GENERAL

## A

Aarra, god of Bostra, xxx
Abinnericus, M. Valerius, excix n.
Alexandrine coins imitated, lxxxii f. cliv, 76, 194; restruck, 200 n.
Allât, xxiii n., xxx, xxxvii.
Allul, constellation Cancer, xcvii.
Anchor, 'Selencid', clxxxiii.
Aphrodite, cult of, in Elymais, clexxiii.
Aramaic script in Parthia, clviii ; in Persis, clxi ; in Elymais, cxc f. ; in Characene, cciii ff.
Ariel, god, xlii : altar-hearth, ibid.
Artemis, cult of, in Elymais, clxxxiii.
Astarte, supposed name of Philadelphia, xxxix.
Asteria, goddess, xxxix f.
Athena, cult of, in Elymais, clxxxiii.
Athenian coins imitated, xlvi, lii f., liv f., Ixxxiv ff., 45-63, 77-80.
'Athtar, A rab deity, l, lviii, lxiii.
Attic standard in Nabataea, xv f., xxi ; in S. Arabia, lexx.
Aumon, Nabataean sun-god, lxix.
Avidius Cassius destroys Seleucia ad Tigrim, exvii.

## B

Babylonian: standard in S. Arabia, Ixxix; twin-serpent sceptre, 1 ; curved weapon, 1.

Baetyls, Arahian, xxiii, xxviif., xxxi, xxxviii; Mesopotamian, xcii. See also Stone.
Barbarous: imitations, see Alexandrine, Athenian; style in Persian sigloi, cxxvii, 154 f., 168 f
Battlemented crown, cliii, clxxiv.
Battlements, form of Persian, cliii, clxix, clxxiv.
Bel (Belos) at Edessa, xcv ; in Elymais, clxxxiii.
Blanks of lion-coins, shape of, cxlii.
Border: of amphorae, lvi, 54-63 : of penannular torc, 70 .
Bucranium with gazelle's horns. lxiii.

## C

Camels sacred to Dusares, xxviii.
Canal of Xerxes, find in, cxxix
Cast coins, $x x x, 101 \mathrm{n}$.
Chaamou, virgin-mother of Dusares, xxx.

Chalkous, weight of, xxi.
Chariot, treatment of, in Oriental art, cl, cliii f.
Colonial foundations in Arabia, xxv f., xlii ; in Mesopotamia, xc, civ, cviii, ex, cxii.
Corrections in dies, 122 n .
Crown: see Walled, Battlemented crown.

D
Danake, Persian coin, cxxiv.
Daric: origin of the name, cxx f.; fineness, exxii ; standard, exxi.
Decadrachm of Alexander the Great, cxliii ; of post-Alexandrine mint (Babylon ?), cxlv.
Dusares, Arabian god, xxiii f., xxvixxx, xxxi 1. , xxxv, xxxviii.

## E

Edges, hammered, of double darics, cxlii.

Elephantine papyri, cxxiii, clxv.

## F

False coins: Alexandrine, cxlv, clvií ; Andragoras, cli ff. ; Oxus hoard, cxlix ; Persian siglos by Becker, cxxii ; Satrap of N.E. Persia, cliv ; Persis, clxi n., clxiv.

## G

Gallus, Aelius, expedition of, lv, lxv, lxxv.

Genethliac signs of Mesopotamian cities, xciv, cix, exif.
Gold : Himyaritic, lvii f. See also Patio.

## H

Hadad, Syrian god, xxxii.
Half of silver, Nabataean bronze coin, xxi.
Hallur $=\frac{1}{10}$ drachm, cxxiv.
Heads, two, on Nabataean and S. Arabian coins, xvi f., lxvii ; on coins of Persis, clxxvii f., clxxx.
Hemidanakion, Persian coin, cxxiv.
Hera worshipped at Gerasa, xxxv.
Himyarite relations with Mesopotamia, liv, ccv.

Ilmaqah (Ilmuqah), Arab deity, lviii, lxix.

India, relations between Persian Gulf and, excri.
Ishtar, xcii.
Isis at Gerasa, xxxiv.
Izates, son of Monobazos of Adiabene, cc.
J

Jugate busts on Nabataean coins, xvif.

## K

Ka'bah, xxiii, xxviii.
Kan, Nabataean god, xxix.
Karsha, Persian denomination, cxxiv. Kemosh, god, xlii.
Keresh $=10$ shekels, cxxiv.
Kersa, Kersaion, coin name, cxxiv n.
Khalluru, Assyrian denomination, exxiv.
Korsipion, coin-name, cxxiv $n$.
Kushan coins from Characene, cxcvi, Kyrbasia, clix.

$$
\mathrm{L}
$$

Lamination, 188 n.
Legions: III Cyrenaica, xxix; III Parthica, cx.

## II

Madhuw, Arab deity, lxxiii.
Marduk, spear of, lxiii $n$.
Memnon the Rhodian, cxxix.
Milkom, Ammonite god, xxxix.
Molech-Melqarth-Milkom, xxxix.
Month-names on coins of Seleucia ad Tigrim, cxvi.
Môtab, xxiii, xxviii.

## N

Nanaia, cult of, in Elymais, clxxxiii.
Nebo worshipped at Edessa, xcv.

Neotera (Nephthys) at Gerasa, Rich, C. J., find of coins from, xxxy.
Nephthys at Gerasa, xxxv.
Neronian denarius standard in S . Arabia, lxxxii.

## 0

Obol of silver, Nabataean bronze coin, xxi.
Oneishu, brother of Shaqilath, xx .

## P

Parthian: coinage at Edessa, xcvi ; at Seleucia and Ctesiphon, cxiv ff.; influence in Persis, clxx-clxxii; in Elymais, cxcii.
Pehlvi script in Persis, clxi.
Periplus Maris Erythraei, date of, lxiv.

Persian influence in S. Arabia, lxxxiv.

Pillar-idols in Arabia, xxxi n., xxxviii.

Portraiture on Persian coins, cxxv ff.
Pseudo-Attic standard in Nabataea, xxi ; in S. Arabia, lxxx.
Ptolemaic influence: in Nabataea, xv ff., xix-xxi, lvi ; in S. Arabia, li.

Punch-marks on Persian sigloi, cxxxiv-cxl; on Indian coins, cxl.

## Q

Qatsiu, god of, xxx.

## R

Ratio of gold and silver in Persian Empire, cxxiii.
Restruck coins, clxx, 43 n., 126 n., 197 n., 200 n., 201 n., 202 n., $30+$ п., $310,312,313$.
cxev.
Ring, gold seal, from Oxus treasure, clvi.

Roman denarius standard in S . Arabia, lxxxii; in Mesopotamia, xevii f.; types on coins of Edessa, xcvii f.

## S

Sagdodonakos, father of Hyspaosines, cxevi.
Saḥr, Arab deity, lviii.
Sassamian empire, begimning of, clxxiv, cxciii.
Satrapal head-dress, cliv, clix.
Sceptre, Babylonian twin-serpent, l.
Scyphate fabric, lxvi, lxxi.
Selain of Aretas, xvii, xxi.
Seleucid coins: imitated byAretas III, xi ; by Arabs, lvii ; restruck, 202 n.
Shamash, xcii.
Sharait, goddess, xxx 1 .
Siglos, Persian : staudard, cxxii ; relation to daric, exxiii.
Silver, quality of, in coins of Attambelos II, excviii.
Sin, moon-god, lxxxviii, xcii.
Standard, monetary: in Nabataea, xv , xx ff. ; in Arabia, xlvii, lvii, lxxii, lxxix-lxxxii; iu Persia, cxxif.; in Alex. Emp. of the East, cxlv; in Persis, clxi.
Standard, royal, bearing a bird, clxxi.

Stone, sacred: of Edessa, xcri ; see also Baetyls.
Striking of lion-coins, technique of, exlii.
Sun-god worshipped at Edessa, xev.
Tello, find of Characenian coins at,
clxxxix.

Otòs 'Apaßıкós at Gerasa, xxxv.
Tyrian Herakles, xxxix $f$.
Tyrian standard in Nabataea, xxi.
U
al-'Uzza, goddess, $x x x 1$.
V
Victory coins, Greek, cxlvi.

## W

Walled crown, earliest appearance of, cliii.

Weapon, Babylonian curved, 1 .
Wine-press, supposed, xxiii, xxvii.

## Y

Yanaf, Arab title, liii.

## Z

Zeus Helios Sarapis, worshipped at Gerasa, xxxv.
Zeus Olympios, worshipped at Gerasa, xxxv.
Zodiacal signs on Mesopotamian coins, xciv, cix, cxif.

## TABLE OF ERAS USED ON COINS IN THIS VOLUME

| в. c. | SEleucid. | в. c. | seleucid. | є. c. | seleucin. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170-169 | 143 | 135-134 | 178 | 100-99 | 213 |
| 169-168 | 144 | 134-133 | 179 | 99-98 | 214 |
| 168-157 | 145 | 133-132 | 180 | 98-97 | 215 |
| 167-166 | 146 | 132-131 | 181 | 97-96 | 216 |
| 166-165 | 147 | 131-130 | 182 | 96-95 | 217 |
| 165-164 | 148 | 130-129 | 183 | 95-94 | 218 |
| 164-163 | 149 | 129-128 | 184 | 94-93 | 219 |
| 163-162 | 150 | 128-127 | 185 | 93-92 | 220 |
| 162-161 | 151 | 127-126 | 186 | 92-91 | 221 |
| 161-160 | 152 | 126-125 | 187 | 91-90 | 222 |
| 160-159 | 153 | 125-124 | 188 | 90-89 | 223 |
| 159-158 | 154 | 124-123 | 189 | 89-88 | 224 |
| 158-157 | 155 | 123-122 | 190 | 88-87 | 225 |
| 157-156 | 156 | 122-121 | 191 | 87-86 | 226 |
| $1506-155$ | 157 | 121-120 | 192 | 86-85 | 227 |
| 155-154 | 158 | 120-119 | 193 | 85-84 | 228 |
| 154-153 | 159 | 119-118 | 194 | 81-83 | 229 |
| 153-152 | 160 | 118-117 | 195 | 83-82 | 230 |
| 152-151 | 161 | 117-116 | 196 | 82-81 | 231 |
| 151-150 | 162 | 116-115 | 197 | 81-80 | 232 |
| 150-149 | 163 | 115-114 | 198 | 80-79 | 233 |
| 149-148 | 164 | 114-113 | 199 | 70-78 | 234 |
| 148-147 | 165 | 113-112 | 200 | 78-77 | 235 |
| 147-146 | 166 | 112-111 | 201 | 77-76 | 236 |
| 146-145 | 167 | 111-110 | 202 | 76-75 | 237 |
| 145-144 | 168 | 110-109 | 203 | 75-74 | 238 |
| 144-143 | 169 | 109-108 | 204 | 74-73 | 23.9 |
| 143-142 | 170 | 108-107 | 205 | 73-72 | 240 |
| 142-141 | 171 | 107-106 | 206 | 72-71 | 241 |
| 141-140 | 172 | 106-105 | 207 | 71-70 | 242 |
| 140-139 | 173 | 105-104 | 208 | 70-69 | 243 |
| 139-138 | 174 | 104-103 | 209 | 69-68 | 244 |
| 138-137 | 175 | 103-102 | 210 | 68-67 | 245 |
| 137-136 | 176 | 102-101 | 211 | 67-66 | 246 |
| 136-135 | 177 | 101-100 | 212 | 66-65 | 247 |


| в. c. | seleuctio. | pompeian. | в. c. | seleucid. | pompeian. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65-64 | 248 |  | 22-21 | 291 | 42 |
| 64-63 | 249 |  | 21-20 | 292 | 43 |
| 63-62 | 250 | 1 | 20-19 | 293 | 44 |
| 62-61 | 251 | 2 | 19-18 | 294 | 45 |
| 61-60 | 252 | 3 | 18-17 | 295 | 46 |
| 60-59 | 253 | 4 | 17-16 | 296 | 47 |
| 59-58 | 254 | 5 | 16-15 | 297 | 48 |
| 58-57 | 255 | 6 | 15-14 | 298 | 49 |
| 57-56 | 256 | 7 | 14-13 | 299 | 50 |
| 56-55 | 257 | 8 | 13-12 | 300 | 51 |
| 55-54 | 258 | 9 | 12-11 | 301 | 52 |
| 54-53 | 259 | 10 | 11-10 | 302 | 53 |
| 53-52 | 260 | 11 | 10-9 | 303 | 54 |
| 52-51 | 261 | 12 | 9-8 | 304 | 55 |
| 51-50 | 262 | 13 | 8-7 | 305 | 56 |
| 50-49 | 263 | 14 | 7-6 | 306 | 57 |
| 49-48 | 264 | 15 | 6-5 | 307 | 58 |
| 48-47 | 265 | 16 | 5-4 | 308 | 59 |
| 47-46 | 266 | 17 | 4-3 | 309 | 60 |
| 46-45 | 267 | 18 | 3-2 | 310 | 61 |
| 45-44 | 268 | 19 | 2-1 | 311 | 62 |
| 44-43 | 269 | 20 | в. c. A. d. |  |  |
| 43-42 | 270 | 21 | 1-1 | 312 | 63 |
| $42-41$ | 271 | 22 | A. D. |  |  |
| 41-40 | 272 | 23 | 1-2 | 313 | 64 |
| 40-39 | 273 | 24 | 2-3 | 314 | 65 |
| 39-38 | 274 | 25 | 3-4 | 315 | 66 |
| 38-37 | 275 | 26 | 4-5 | 316 | 67 |
| 37-36 | 276 | 27 | 5-6 | 317 | 68 |
| 36-35 | 277 | 28 | 6-7 | 318 | 69 |
| 35-34 | 278 | 29 | 7-8 | 319 | 70 |
| 34-33 | 279 | 30 | 8-9 | 320 | 71 |
| 33-32 | 280 | 31 | 9-10 | 321 | 72 |
| 32-31 | 281 | 32 | 10-11 | 322 | 73 |
| 31-30 | 282 | 33 | 11-12 | 323 | 74 |
| 30-29 | 283 | 34 | 12-13 | 324 | 75 |
| 29-28 | 284 | 35 | 13-14 | 325 | 76 |
| 28-27 | 285 | 36 | 14-15 | 326 | 77 |
| 27-26 | 286 | 37 | 15-16 | 327 | 78 |
| 26-25 | 287 | 38 | 16-17 | 328 | 79 |
| 25-24 | 288 | 39 | 17-18 | 329 | 80 |
| 24-23 | 289 | 40 | 18-19 | 330 | 81 |
| 23-22 | 290 | 41 | 19-20 | 331 | 82 |


| A. D. | seleucid. | pompeian. | A. D. | seleucid. | pomplian. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-21 | 332 | 83 | 63-64 | 375 | 126 |
| 21-22 | 333 | 84 | 64-65 | 376 | 127 |
| 22-23 | 334 | 85 | 65-66 | 377 | 128 |
| 23-24 | 335 | 86 | 66-67 | 378 | 129 |
| 24-25 | 336 | 87 | 67-68 | 379 | 130 |
| 25-26 | 337 | 88 | 68-69 | 380 | 131 |
| 26-27 | 338 | 89 | 69-70 | 381 | 132 |
| 27-28 | 339 | 90 | 70-71 | 382 | 133 |
| 28-29 | 340 | 91 | 71-72 | 383 | 134 |
| 29-30 | 341 | 92 | 72-73 | 384 | 135 |
| 30-31 | 342 | 93 | 73-74 | 385 | 136 |
| 31-32 | 343 | 94 | 74-75 | 386 | 137 |
| 32-33 | 344 | 95 | 75-76 | 387 | 138 |
| 33-34 | 345 | 96 | 76-77 | 388 | 139 |
| 34-35 | 346 | 97 | 77-78 | 389 | 140 |
| 35-36 | 347 | 98 | 78-79 | 390 | 141 |
| 36-37 | 348 | 99 | 79-80 | 391 | 142 |
| 37-38 | 349 | 100 | 80-81 | 392 | 143 |
| 38-39 | 350 | 101 | 81-82 | 393 | 144 |
| 39-40 | 351 | 102 | S2-83 | 394 | 145 |
| 40-41 | 352 | 103 | 83-84 | 395 | 146 |
| 41-42 | 353 | 104 | 84-85 | 396 | 147 |
| 42-43 | 354 | 105 | 85-86 | 397 | 148 |
| 43-44 | 355 | 106 | 86-87 | 398 | 149 |
| 44-45 | 356 | 107 | 87-88 | 399 | 150 |
| 45-46 | 357 | 108 | 88-89 | 400 | 151 |
| 46-47 | 358 | 109 | 89-90 | 401 | 152 |
| 47-48 | 359 | 110 | 90-91 | 402 | 153 |
| 48-49 | 360 | 111 | 91-92 | 403 | 154 |
| 49-50 | 361 | 112 | 92-93 | 404 | 155 |
| 50-51 | 362 | 113 | 93-94 | 405 | 156 |
| 51-52 | 363 | 114 | 94-95 | 406 | 157 |
| 52-53 | 364 | 115 | 95-96 | 407 | 158 |
| 53-54 | 365 | 116 | 96-97 | 408 | 159 |
| 54-55 | 366 | 117 | 97-98 | 409 | 160 |
| 55-56 | 367 | 118 | 98-99 | 410 | 161 |
| 56-57 | 368 | 119 | 99-100 | 411 | 162 |
| 57-58 | 369 | 120 | 100-101 | 412 | 163 |
| 58-59 | 370 | 121 | 101-102 | 413 | 164 |
| 59-60 | 371 | 122 | 102-103 | 414 | 165 |
| 60-61 | 372 | 123 | 103-104 | 415 | 166 |
| 61-62 | 373 | 124 | 104-105 | 416 | 167 |
| 62-63 | 374 | 125 | 105-106 | 417 | 168 |


| A. D. | seleucid. | pompeian | arablax. | A. D. | seleucid. | pompeian. | arabian. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106-107 | 418 | 169 | 1 | 149-150 | 461 | 212 | 44 |
| 107-108 | 419 | 170 | 2 | 150-151 | 462 | 213 | 45 |
| 108-109 | 420 | 171 | 3 | 151-152 | 463 | 214 | 46 |
| 109-110 | 421 | 172 | 4 | 152-153 | 464 | 215 | 47 |
| 110-111 | 422 | 173 | 5 | 153-154 | 465 | 216 | 48 |
| 111-112 | 423 | 174 | 6 | 154-155 | 466 | 217 | 49 |
| 112-113 | 424 | 175 | 7 | 155-156 | 467 | 218 | 50 |
| 113-114 | 425 | 176 | 8 | 156-157 | 468 | 219 | 51 |
| 114-115 | 426 | 177 | 9 | 157-158 | 469 | 220 | 52 |
| 115-116 | 427 | 178 | 10 | 158-159 | 470 | 221 | 53 |
| 116-117 | 428 | 179 | 11 | 159-160 | 471 | 222 | 54 |
| 117-118 | 429 | 180 | 12 | 160-161 | 472 | 223 | 55 |
| 118-119 | 430 | 181 | 13 | 161-162 | 473 | 224 | 56 |
| 119-120 | 431 | 182 | 14 | 162-163 | 474 | 225 | 57 |
| 120-121 | 432 | 183 | 15 | 163-164 | 475 | 226 | 58 |
| 121-122 | 433 | 184 | 16 | 164-165 | 476 | 227 | 59 |
| 122-123 | 434 | 185 | 17 | 165-166 | 477 | 228 | 60 |
| 123-124 | 435 | 186 | 18 | 166-167 | 478 | 229 | 61 |
| 124-125 | 436 | 187 | 19 | 167-168 | 479 | 230 | 62 |
| 125-126 | 437 | 188 | 20 | 168-169 | 480 | 231 | 63 |
| 126-127 | 438 | 189 | 21 | 169-170 | 481 | 232 | 64 |
| 127-128 | 439 | 190 | 22 | 170-171 | 482 | 233 | 65 |
| 128-129 | 440 | 191 | 23 | 171-172 | 483 | 234 | 66 |
| 129-130 | 441 | 192 | 24 | 172-173 | 484 | 235 | 67 |
| 130-131 | 442 | 193 | 25 | 173-17.4 | 485 | 236 | 68 |
| 131-132 | 443 | 194 | 26 | 174-175 | 486 | 237 | 69 |
| 132-133 | 444 | 195 | 27 | 175-176 | 487 | 238 | 70 |
| 133-134 | 445 | 196 | 28 | 176-177 | 488 | 239 | 71 |
| 134-135 | 446 | 197 | 29 | 177-178 | 489 | 240 | 72 |
| 135-136 | 447 | 198 | 30 | 178-179 | 490 | 241 | 73 |
| 136-137 | 448 | 199 | 31 | 179-180 | 491 | 242 | 74 |
| 137-138 | 449 | 200 | 32 | 180-181 | 492 | 243 | 75 |
| 138-139 | 450 | 201 | 33 | 181-182 | 493 | 244 | 76 |
| 139-140 | 451 | 202 | 34 | 182-183 | 494 | 245 | 77 |
| 140-141 | 452 | 203 | 35 | 183-184 | 495 | 246 | 78 |
| 141-142 | 453 | 204 | 36 | 184-185 | 496 | 247 | 79 |
| 142-143 | 454 | 205 | 37 | 185-186 | 497 | 248 | 80 |
| 143-144 | 455 | 206 | 38 | 186-187 | 498 | 249 | 81 |
| 144-145 | 456 | 207 | 39 | 187-188 | 499 | 250 | 82 |
| 145-146 | 457 | 208 | 40 | 188-189 | 500 | 251 | 83 |
| 146-147 | 458 | 209 | 41 | 189-190 | 501 | 252 | 84 |
| 147-148 | 459 | 210 | 42 | 190-191 | 502 | 253 | 85 |
| 148-149 | 460 | 211 | 43 | 191-192 | 503 | 254 | 86 |


| A. D. | selevcid. | pompliax. | ar.bian. | 1. D. | SEleucid. | pompeian. | arabian. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 192-193 | 504 | 255 | 87 | 219-220 | 531 | 282 | 114 |
| 193-194 | 505 | 256 | 88 | 220-221 | 532 | 283 | 115 |
| 194-195 | 506 | 257 | 89 | 221-222 | 533 | 284 | 116 |
| 195-196 | 507 | 258 | 90 | 222-223 | 534 | 285 | 117 |
| 196-197 | 508 | 259 | 91 | 223-224 | 535 | 286 | 118 |
| 197-198 | 509 | 260 | 92 | 224-225 | 536 | 287 | 119 |
| 198-199 | 510 | 261 | 93 | 225-226 | 537 | 288 | 120 |
| 199-200 | 511 | 262 | 94 | 226-227 | 538 | 289 | 121 |
| 200-201 | 512 | 263 | 95 | 227-228 | 539 | 290 | 122 |
| 201-202 | 513 | 264 | 96 | 228-229 | 540 | 291 | 123 |
| 202-203 | 514 | 265 | 97 | 229-230 | 541 | 292 | 124 |
| 203-204 | 515 | 266 | 98 | 230-231 | 542 | 293 | 125 |
| 204-205 | 516 | 267 | 99 | 231-232 | 543 | 294 | 126 |
| 205-206 | 517 | 268 | 100 | 232-233 | 544 | 295 | 127 |
| 206-207 | 518 | 269 | 101 | 233-234 | 545 | 296 | 128 |
| 207-208 | 519 | 270 | 102 | 234-235 | 546 | 297 | 129 |
| 208-209 | 520 | 271 | 103 | 235-236 | 547 | 298 | 130 |
| 209-210 | 521 | 272 | 104 | 236-237 | 548 | 299 | 131 |
| 210-211 | 522 | 273 | 105 | 237-238 | 549 | 300 | 132 |
| 211-212 | 523 | 274 | 106 | 238-239 | 550 | 301 | 133 |
| 212-213 | 524 | 275 | 107 | 239-240 | 551 | 302 | 134 |
| 213-214 | 525 | 276 | 108 | 240-241 | 552 | 303 | 135 |
| 214-215 | 526 | 277 | 109 | 241-242 | 553 | 304 | 136 |
| 215-216 | 527 | 278 | 110 | 242-243 | 554 | 305 | 137 |
| 216-217 | 528 | 279 | 111 | 243-244 | 555 | 306 | 138 |
| 217-218 | 529 | 280 | 112 | 244-245 | 556 | 307 | 139 |
| 218-219 | 530 | 281 | 113 | 245-246 | 557 | 308 | 140 |
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PERSIS.
PI. XXX.


PERSIS.
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PERSIS, SILVER, SECOND SERIES:-1-11, C. 12-21 AUTOPHRADATES II.



PERSIS, SILVER, SECOND SERIES:-1-4 AUTOPHRADATES 11. THIRD SERIES:-5-20 DARIUS 11. 21, 22 OXATHRES.
,
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PERSIS, SILVER, THIRD SERIES:-1-7 OXATHRES. 8 UNCERTAIN. 9-21 ARTAXERXES II.
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PERSIS, SILVER, THIRD SERIES:-1-5 ARTAXERXES II. FOURTH SERIES:-6-17 NAMOPAT. 18-21 UNCERTAIN.



PERSIS, FOURTH SERIES:-1-8 PAKUR. 9 UNCERTAIN. 1025 KAPAT.


PERSIS, FOURTH SERIES: 1-11 KAPAT. 12-20 UNCERTAIN.
21.23 AUTOPHRADATES III? 24-26 ARTAXERXES III. SON OF MITHRI.
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PERSIS, SILVER, FOURTH SERIES:-1, 2 ARTAXERXES III. 3-9 MANUCITHR II. 10, 11 UNCERTAIN. 12-14 MANUČITHR III. 15-19 ARTAXERXES IV.
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## PUBLICATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COINS AND MEDALS

## CATALOGUES OF COINS AND MEDALS

Catalogue of Greek Conns in the British Museun:
Italy, by R. S. Poole. 1873, 8vo. Numerous woodcuts. Out of Print.
Sicily, by R. S. Poole, B. V. Head, and P. Gardner. 1876, Svo. Numerous woodcuts. Out of Print.
Thrace, by B. V. Head and P. Gardner. 1877, Svo. Numerous woodents. Out of Print.
Seleucid Kings of Syria. by P. Gardner. 1878, Svo. 28 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Macedonia, by B. V. Head. 1879, 8vo. Numerous woodcuts and a Map. Out of Print.
Thessaly to Aetolia, by P. Gardner. 1883, 8vo. 1l. 32 Collotype Plates.
Ptolemaic Kings of Egypt, by R. S. Poole. 1883, 8vo. 32 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Central Greece, by B. V. Head. 1884, Svo. 24 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Crete and the Aegean Islands, by W. W. Wroth. 1886, Svo. 29 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Peloponnesus, by P. Gardner. 1887. 8vo. 17. 1s. 37 Collotype Plates.
Attica, Megaris, Aegina, by B. V. Head. 1888, Svo. 26 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Corinth, \&c., by B. V. Head. 1859, Svo. 17. 39 Collotype Plates.
Pontus, Paphlagonia, \&c., by W. W. Wroth. 1859, 8vo. 1l. 1 s. 39 Collotype Plates.
Mysia, by WV. W. Wroth. 1892, 8vo. 1l. 35 Collotype Plates.
Alexandria, \&c., by R. S. Poole. 1892, 8vo. 11. 5 s. 32 Collotype Plates.
Ionia, by B. V. Head. 1892, Svo. 17. Ss. With Map and 39 Collotype Plates.
Troas, Aeolis and Lesbos, by W. W. Wroth. 1894, Svo. 11. 5s. With Map and 43 Collotype Plates.
Caria and the Islands, by B. V. Head. 1897, Svo. 11. Ss. With Map and to Collotype Plates.
Lycia. Pamphylia and Pisidia, by G. F. Hill. 1897, Svo. 1l. 10s. With Map and 44 Collotype Plates.
Galatia, Cappadocia and Syria, by W. W. Wroth. 1899, Svo. 1l. Ss. With Map and 38 Collotype Plates.
Lycaonia, Isauria and Cilicia, by G.F. Hill. 1900, 8vo. 1l. 8s. With Map and 40 Collotype Plates.

[^193]Lydia, by B. V. Head. 1902, 8vo. 1l. 15s. With Map and 45 Collotype Plates.
Parthia, by W. W. Wroth. 1903, 8vo. 1l. 5s. With Map and 36 Collotype Plates.
Cyprus, by G. F. Hill. 1904, Svo. 15s. With Map and 26 Collotype Plates.
Phrygia, by B. V. Head. 1906, 8vo. 2l. With Map and 53 Collotype Plates.
Phoenicia, by G. F. Hill. 1910, 8vo. 1l. 15s. With Map and 45 Collotype Plates.
Palestine, by G. F. Hill. 1914, Svo. 1l. 10s. With Map and 42 Collotype Plates.
Arabia, Mesopotamia, Persia, \&c., by G. F. Hill. 1922, 8vo. With Map and 55 Collotype Plates.

Catalogue of Roman Coins in the British Museun:
Coins of the Roman Republic, by H. A. Grueber. 3 vols. 1910, 8vo. 7l. 10s. With 123 Collotype Plates.
Roman Medallions, by H. A. Grueber. 1874, 8vo. 66 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Imperial Byzantine Coins, by W. W. Wroth. 2 vols. 1908. 8vo. 2l. 15s. With 79 Collotype Plates.
Coins of the Vandals, Ostrogoths, \&c., by W. W. Wroth. 1911, Svo. 1l. 2s. 6d. With 43 Collotype Plates.

Catalogue of English Coins and Medals in the British Museum :

Catalogue of the Anglo-Gallic Coins, by E. Hawkins. 1826, 4to. 3 Engraved Plates. Out of Print.
Catalogue of English Coins. Anglo-Saxon Series, by C. F. Keary, Vol. I. 1887, 8vo. 17. 10s. 30 Collotype Plates.
Vol. II, by H. A. Grueber and C. F. Keary. 1893, 8vo. 2l. 2s. With Map and 32 Collotype Plates.
——The Norman Kings, by G. C. Brooke. 1916, 8vo, 2 vols. 21. 62 Collotype Plates.

Medallic Illustrations of the History of Great Britain and Ireland to the Death of George II, by E. Hawkins, edited by Sir A. W. Franks, K.C.B., and H. A. Grueber. 1885, 8vo. 2 vols. Numerous woodcuts. Out of Print.

- Illustrated Edition, by H. A. Grueber. In XIX Portfolios. 183 Collotype Plates. 1904-1911, fol. 67.


## Catalogue of Oriental Coins in the British Museum:

Vol. I. The Coins of the Eastern Khaleefehs, by S. Lane-Poole. 1875, 8vo. 8 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Vol. II. The Coins of the Muhammadan Dynasties, Classes III-X, by S. Lane-Poole. 1876, Svo. S Collotype Plates. Out of Print.

Vol. III. The Coins of the Turkuman Houses of Seljook, Urtuk, Zengee, \&c., Classes X-XIV, by S. Lane-Poole. 1877, 8vo. 12 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Vol. IV. The Coinage of Egypt, by S. Lane-Poole. 1879, 8vo. 8 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Vol. V. The Coins of the Moors, by S. Lane-Poole. 1880, 8 vo. 7 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Vol. VI. The Coins of the Mongols, by S. Lane-Poole. 1881, 8vo. 9 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Vol. VII. The Coinage of Bukhará, by S. Lane-Poole. 1882, 8vo. 5 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Vol. VIII. The Coins of the Turks, by S. Lane-Poole. 1883, 8vo. 12 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
Vol. IX. (Additions to Vols. I-IV), by S. Lane-Poole. 1889, 8vo. 1l. 1s. 20 Collotype Plates.
Vol. X. (Additions to Vols. V-VIII), by S. Lane-Poole. 1891, Svo. 1l. 5s. 33 Collotype Plates.
Catalogue of Arabic Glass Weights, by S. Lane-Poole. 1891, Svo. 12s. 9 Collotype Plates.

Catalogue of Persian Coins in the British Museun:
Sháhs of Persia, by R. S. Poole. 1887, 8vo. 11. 5s. 24 Collotype Plates.

Catalogue of Indian Coins in the British Museum:
The Coins of the Sultáns of Delhi, by S. Lane-Poole. 1884, 8vo. With Map and 9 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
The Coins of the Muhammadan States of India, by S. LanePoole. 12 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
The Coins of the Greek and Scythic Kings of Bactria and India, by P. Gardner. 1886, 8vo. 32 Collotype Plates. Out of Print.
The Coins of the Moghul Emperors, by S. Lane-Poole. 1892, 8vo. 11. 16s. 33 Collotype Plates.
The Coins of the Andhra Dynasty, the Western Ksatrapas, the Traikūtaka Dynasty, and the 'Bodhi' Dynasty, by E. J. Rapson. 1908, 8vo. 11. 5s. With Map and 21 Collotype Plates.
The Coins of the Gupta Dynasties, and of Saśȧnka, King of Gauda, by J. Allan. 1914, 8vo. 1l. 5s. With 24 Collotype Plates.

Catalogue of Chinese Coins in the British Museun:
Catalogue of Chinese Coins from the Seventh Century b.c. to A.D. 621, including the Series in the British Museum, by Terrien de Lacouperie. 1892, 8vo. 27. With numerous illustrations.

Italian Medals in the British Museum:
Select Italian Medals of the Renaissance in the British Museum. Illustrated on 50 Collotype Plates. 1915, portfolio. 8s. 68.

Grains and Grammes. A Table of Equivalents for the use of Numismatists. 1920, 8vo. 3 s .

## GUIDES AND HANDBOOKS TO THE COINS <br> AND MEDALS EXHIBITED IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM

Coins of the Ancients: ${ }^{1}$
Guide to the Coins of the Ancients, by B. V. Head, with 70 Collotype Plates. 4th edition, 1895. 8ro. 11. 5s. (cloth). Also with 7 Collotype Plates. 5th edition, 1909, 8vo. $2 s .6 d$. (boards). Also 4th edition, 1895. Svo. 1s.

Italian Medals: ${ }^{2}$
Guide to the Exhibition of Italian Medals, by C. F. Keary. 1881, Svo. 6d. Also Illustrated (7 Collotype Plates). Ind edition, 1893, Svo. 2s. 6 d.

Ekglish Historical Medals: ${ }^{2}$
Guide to the Exhibition of English Medals, by H. A. Grueber. 1881, Sro. 6d. Also illustrated (7 Collotype Plates). 2nd edition, 1891, Svo. 2s. 6d.

Coins of Great Britain and Ireland:
Handbook of the Coins of Great Britain and Ireland, by H. A. Grueber. 1899, Svo. 17. 1s. With 64 Collotype Plates.

Guide to the Departmext of Coins and Medals. 3rd edition, 1922, Svo. 1s. 6d. With 8 Collotype Plates.

[^194]
## PICTORIAL POSTCARDS

## Price 1d. each.

No.
121. Early Greek coins, $700-480$ в. с.
122. Greek Coins, Fine Period, 480-400 в.c.
123. Historical Greek Coins.
124. Roman Republican Coins.
125. Coins of the twelve Caesars.
126. Anglo-Saxon Coins.
127. English Silver Coins.
128. English Gold Coins.
129. Medals of Queen Elizabeth and of Mary, Queen of Scots.
130. Royalist Badges.
187. Gold naval reward medal, 1652. by Thomas Simon.
188. Gold sovereign of Henry VII (1495).
189. 'Petition crown' of Charles II (1663), by Thomas Simon.
190. Silver medal of Marie de Médicis (1573-1642), wife of Henry IV of France. By Guillaume Dupré.
191. Silver coin of Sybrita in Crete.
192. Silver coin of Syracuse, by Kimon.
193. Bronze medallion of Marcus Aurelins, struck in A. D. 159.
194. Lead medal of Sigismondo Malatesta, by Pisanello.
195. Bronze Medal of Giovanna Tornabuoni. by Niccolò Spinelli of Florence.
196. Bronze Medal of Giulia Astallia.
197. Coins of Ludovico il Moro and Giangaleazzo Maria Sforza.
198. Bronze Medal of Cardinal Bemlo, by Benvenuto Cellini.
199. Lead Medal of Erasmus.

200 . The 'Juxon Nedal' of Charles I.

Price 1s. the Set.
Set IXIII. MEDALLIC WORKS OF ABRAHAM AND THOMAS SIMON.

1. Wax model for portrait of Abraham Simon by himself.
2. (a) Sir John Hotham, Governor of Hull. (b) John de Reede, Ambassador of the States-General of Holland, 1645.
3. (a) James Campbell, Earl of Loudoun. 1645. (b) Murrough O'Brien, Lord Inchiquin, 1646.

No.
t. (a) William Pope, 1645. (b) Charles, second Earl of Dunfermline, 1646.
5. (a) Albert Joachim (1646), Ambassador of the United Provinces. (b) Sir Sidenham Pointz, 1646.
6. (a) M. de Martinay. (b) Unknown.
7. (a) John Cleypole (?). (b) Elizabeth Cleypole, his wife, daughter of Oliver Cromwell.
8. (a) Colonel Fleetwood (?), Lieutenant-General of the Forces under Cromwell. (b) Unknown.
9. Oliver Cromwell, Protector, 1653.
10. (a) George Monk, Duke of Albemarle, 1660. (b) Edward Hyde, Earl of Clarendon, 1662.
11. (a) John Thurloe, Secretary of State to Cromwell. (b) Dorcas Brabazon, Lady Lane, 1662.
12. Thomas Wriothesley, Earl of Southampton, 1664 (2 portraits).
13. 'Petition Crown' of Charles II (1663) by Thomas Simon.
14. The Assertion of the Dominion of the Sea by Charles II, 1665.
15. Great Seal of Richard Cromwell as Protector (1659-60).

Set XXIV. COINS OF SYRACUSE.

1. Four silver coins, about $485-478$ в.с.
2. Silver 'Medallion', struck to commemorate the defeat of the Carthaginians by Gelo in 480 в. с.
3. Silver coins with heads of Arethusa, about 478-450 в. с.
4. Silver coins with heads of Arethusa, about 466-430 в. с.
5. Silver coins with head of Arethusa and victorious chariot, about 430-413 в.с.
6. Silver coins with heads of Nymphs, 5th and 4th cent. в.c.
7. Silver 'Medallion' by Kimon, Issued after the defeat of the Athenians, 413 в.с.
8. Silver coins by Kimon, end of 5th cent. в. c.
9. Silver 'Medallion' by Euaenetus, issued after the defeat of the Athenians, 413 в.c.
10. Silver coin with four-horse chariot and head of Athena, end of 5 th cent. в.c.
11. Electrum and gold coins of period of Dion and Timoleon, 357-317 в. с.
12. Silver coin of Agathocles, tyrant of Syracuse, 317-289 в. c., and gold coin struck by Pyrrhus in Sicily, 278-275 в. с.
13. Silver coin of Hiero II, King of Syracuse, 274-216 в. с.
14. Silver coin of Philistis, wife of Hiero II.
15. Silver coin of Hieronymus, King of Syracuse, 216-215 в. с.

Set XIV. MEDALS ILLUSTRATING ENGLISH HISTORY.
No.

1. Gold medal of Henry VIII, struck in 1545.
2. Bronze medal of Pope Julius III, commemorating the return of England to Roman obedience under Mary, 1553.
3. Engraved silver map of the voyage of Sir Francis Drake, 1580.
4. Silver medal commemorating Leicester's return from the Low Countries, 1587.
5. Gold naval reward for the defeat of the Spanish Armada, 1588.
6. Silver medal commemorating the defeat of the Spanish Armada, 1588.
7. Silver medal of the Declaration of Parliament, 1642.
8. Silver medal commemorating the death of Charles I, 1648.
9. Silver medal of the Battle of Dunbar, 1650.
10. Gold naval reward medal for victories over the Dutch, 1653.
11. Gold coronation medal of Charles II, 1661.
12. Silver medal of the Battle of Lowestoft, 1665.
13. Silver medal of the Peace of Breda, 1667.
14. Silver medal commemorating the execution of the Duke of Monmouth, 1685.
15. Silver medal of the Trial of the Seven Bishops, 1688.

Set XXVI. MEDALS BY PISANELLO AND PASTI.

> 1-12. By Pisanello.

1. John VIII Palaeologus, Emperor of Byzantium.
2. Gianfrancesco Gonzaga, first Marquis of Mantua.
3. Niccolò Piccinino, condottiere.
4. Leonello d'Este, Marquis of Ferrara.
5. The same, reverses of two medals.
6. The same, reverse of Marriage medal.
7. Sigismondo Pandolfo Malatesta, Lord of Rimini.
8. Ludovico LII Gonzaga, second Marquis of Mantua.
9. Pier Candido Decembrio, secretary of the Milanese Republic.
10. Alfonso V, King of Naples (reverse, boar hunt).
11. The same (reverse, eagle).
12. Don Iñigo d'Avalos.

> 13-15. By Matteo de' Pasti.
13. Guarino of Verona, humanist.
14. Sigismondo Pandolfo Malatesta, Lord of Rimini.
15. Isotta degli Atti, wife of Sigismondo Malatesta.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Journal Asiatique, Mars-Avril 1904, pp. 189-238. The admirable summary of Nabataean history in E. Schürer, Gesch. des jüdischen Volkes ${ }^{4}$, I, pp. 726 fi., should also be consulted. For the dedication at Miletus by Syllaeus, the minister of Obodas III, see Kawerau u. Rehm, Das Delphinion in Milet, pp. 387 ff . (K. Mus. Berlin, Wilet, Bd. III). Many Nabataean inscriptions, old and new, are dealt with by RR. PP. Jaussen and Savignac, Mission archéologique en Arabie (Paris, 1909 and 1914 [1920]).
    ${ }^{2}$ P. von Rohden, de Paluestince et Arabia, \&c. (Berlin Diss. 1885), p. 7, attempts to give these coins to Aretas IV ; his view has not been accepted, s1) far as I know, by any one else.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Various writers cite an autonomous coin with the Seleucid date $243=$ $70-69$ в. с. as proof of the independence of Damascus; but the only authority for this coin is Sestini.
    ${ }^{2}$ The existence of a king 'Obodas son of Aretas' is proved by the Petra inscription, Dalman, Neue Petio-Forschungen (1912), p. 99.
    ${ }^{3}$ Pl. XLIX. 2 is from Mr. E. T. Newell's Collection ( $\sigma \mathrm{t} .6 .25 \mathrm{gm}$.), and appears to be of year 2, as Dussaud, p. 209, no. 6. P1. XLIX. 3, formerly in the Windischgrätz Collection, was acquired by the British Museum after the Nabataean section of this Catalogue was printed off (see p. 314).

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Florilegium Melchior de Vogüé (1909), pp. 210 ff.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Paris specimen of this year is figured here on FI. XLIX. 5.
    ${ }^{3}$ On these weights, see below, p. xxf.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a still more inconvenient double standard in Crete, see G. Macdonald, Silver Coinage of Crete (Proc. Brit. Acad., Dec. 10, 1919), where other double standards are mentioned.
    ${ }^{2}$ In addition to nos. 2 and 3 in this Catalogue, a good specimen (unfortunately the date is off the flan) is figured in Pl. XLIX. 6; it is in the Berlin Cabinet, and weighs 4.44 gm .
    ${ }^{3}$ Floril. Melchior de Vogüé, p. 213.
    ${ }^{4}$ It should, however, be noted that on the coins of Rabbel II during his minority (Dussaud, Num. des Rois de Nabatène, Pl. IV. 6) the queen-mother's head does not seem to be placed in the first plane.
    ${ }^{5}$ C. R. Morey (Rev. Num., 1911, p. 79 ; Bostra, p. 5) suggests that the single bust may represent Dusares; but it is quite indistinguishable in details from the regal portraits, and the king's name is inscribed against it. Kubitschek,

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ C.I.S., II, 354 ; Dalman, Neue Petra-Forschungen, p. 107.

[^6]:    ${ }^{3}$ Dussaud, no. 65, Pl. IV. 6: Obr. Two laureate heads, jugate ; Rev. Two
     mother). Here Pl. XLIX. 10 (from M. de Vogüés Collection, now in the Paris Collection).
    ${ }^{2}$ So Clermont-Ganneau, quoting Strabo XVI. 4, 21, p. 779: the king has as
     Provincia Arabia, i, p. 402.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dussaud's weights corrected by the material available in the British Museum. The data are hardly sufficient to allow of making a 'curve of frequency', but so far as this can be done, the top of the curve practically coincides with the arerage, and while it rises suddenly from 4.15 gm ., it descends very slowly to 4.79 gm .; in other words, the mass of the coins is above the average.
    ${ }^{2}$ Only two coins of Malichus II exceed this weight ( $3.98 \mathrm{gm} ., 3.95 \mathrm{gm}$. ) ; Dussaud's statement (p. 201) that his drachms are of a weight notably higher than that of the denarius, assumes that the Neronian denarius ( 3.41 gm .) had made itself known in Nabataea as early as the time of Malichus II.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Dussaud, p. 222.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Traité, I, 462.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. M. C., Palestine, p. 247, no. 62.
    ${ }^{3}$ The substance of this section has already appeared in the Journal of Roman Studies, vol. vi (1916).
    ${ }^{4}$ On the boundaries at various periods see Brünnow-Domaszewski, Provincia Arabia, iii, pp. 264 ff .
    ${ }^{5}$ Under which head their coins, so far as represented in the British Museum up to 1899 , have been catalogued by Wroth, B. M. C., Galatia, \&cc. (1899).
    ${ }^{6}$ See Wroth, op. cit., p. 302.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ See especially Dussaud, Notes de Mythologie Syrienne, pp. 167 ff . and below, under Bostra. It is supposed that the panegyriarchs of Adraa whose dedicatory inscriptions appear at Petra (Brünnow, i, p. 220, no. 60, 2-4) represented Adraa at the annual festival of Dusares; and one of the inscriptions accompanies the figure of an omphalos-shaped baetyl like that shown on the coins of Adraa. It is, however, noticeable that the neighbouring dedications of panegyriarchs are to $\theta \epsilon a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta$, presumably Allât, who may be the paredros of Dusares.
    ${ }^{2}$ Brünnow-Domaszewski, iii, p. 265 ; Dussaud, Notes, p. 117.
    ${ }^{3}$ See especially de Saulcy, Terve Sainte, pp. 373 ff., and Dussaud, Toc. cit.
    ${ }^{4}$ Dalman, Petra u.s. Felsheiligtumer; p. 50, thinks they are the remains of pillars supporting an arch over the baetyl.
    ${ }^{5}$ The coin of Elagabalus representing three baetyls on a platform approached by steps, with $\triangle O Y C \ldots . . \Theta \in O C$, which Dussaud (Notes, p. 170) ascribes to Adraa, is more probably of Bostra.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ De Saulcy, p. 374, note on no. 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ See de Saulcy, p. 375, under Caracalla.
    ${ }^{3}$ Not upon the table, as de Saulcy says.
    ${ }^{4}$ For the remains see especially Brinnow-Domaszewski, iii, pp. 1-84. C. R. Morey has made a useful list of the known coins of Bostra in the appendix to Div. ii, sect. A, part 4 of Publications of the Princeton Univ. Archueol. Exped. to Syria in 1904-5 and 1909 ; this appendix is hereafter cited as Morey, Bostra. It supersedes the same author's article in the Rerue Numismatique for 1911. The latest contribution to the subject is the article on Bostra by Kubitschek in the Numismatische Zeitschrift in 1916.
    ${ }^{5}$ Brünnow-Domaszewski, iii, p. 303.
    ${ }^{6}$ Possibly personifying the Auranitis and Arabia Petraea. The type is not included by M. Jatta in his Rappresentanze figurate delle Prorincie Romane (1908).

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the form of $\leqslant$ for "̈tous compare the coins of Olba in Cilicia, B. M. C., Lycaonia, \&c., pp. 119 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ Doctr., iii, 500. Kubitschek, op. cit., p. 189, argues that the authority of Damascius on such a point is worthless.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mus. Sanclem., iii, pp. 8, 9 ; Mionnet, Suppl. viii, p. 385, nos. 9-14; Morey in Rer. Num., 1911, p. 81 f . The reading BOSTRA is given in Mus. Sanclem. on the authority of Cousinéry. Morey, who had previously (Rev. Num., loc. cit.) accepted the attribution of these coins to Bostra, now recognizes its improbability.
    ${ }^{4}$ Terre Sainte, p. 366.
    ${ }^{5}$ Another coin of Caracalla that has probably been misread seems to give the name ANT $\Omega$ NI . . . to Bostra (de Saulcy, p. 365, no. 2). As regards an alleged later coin with Greek inscription, see Kubitschek, op. cit., p. 186 (Maximinus, Thessalonica).

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. the coin of Etruscilla, de Saulcy, 1. 370, where the type is also described as Dionysos (cf. Morey, Bostra, p. 16, no. 51).
    ${ }^{2}$ Num. Zeit., 1908, p. 131. He still adheres to his view in Num. Keit., 1916, p. 192.
    ${ }^{3}$ He publishes an interesting variation of the type, his fig. 20, on which the base looks rather like a throne (here Pl. XLIX. 14). On the left, upwards, is $\triangle O Y$; in the exergue $O C$ (which is probably for $\Theta \in[O C]$ as in Dussaud's reading of the Rouvier specimen).
    ${ }^{4}$ Since the above was written, the technical objections to the wine-press theory have been put with convincing force in Rev. Num., 1916, p. 184. All the constructional parts of a press (the two summers, the two posts) are lacking; so also are all the essential elements of the screw (such as transverse lever, hole therefor in the head of the screw, inclination of the thread, \&c.); and the base, instead of being solid, as is essential, is a platform.
    ${ }^{5}$ Dussaud's suggestion of shewbread does not seem very probable.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. M. C., Cyprus, p. cxxxii.
    ${ }^{2}$ C.I.S., ii (i), p. 183, no. 157.
    ${ }^{3}$ B. M. C., Phoenicia, p. lxxvii, plates XYI. 1 and XLI. 16.
    ${ }^{4}$ De Saulcy, p. 366, no. 3. Cf. Kubitschek, op. cit., pp. 191-2. One of the gods of the Nabataeans seems to have been called $\boldsymbol{\square}$ (Baethgen, Beit): z. semit. Religionsgesch., p. 107 f.), and this may be represented by the KANI of the Greek inscription.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Drexler in Zeit.f. Num., xiii (1885), p. 281 ; C. R. Morey, Bostra, p. 8. The cuirass worn by the god is best seen on a coin at Paris with his bust. On the pre-colonial coin of Elagabalus, mentioned above, his garb is not military.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 365. As Kubitschek remarks (p. 193), it is probable that the object on which Tyche rests her foot is always the same, not a lion on one coin, a prow on another, a human figure on a third. He describes (ibid.) a coin of Otacilia Severa with rec. bust of Tyche, veiled and turreted, holding a sceptre ending in a flower-shaped or cornucopiae-shaped head. Is not this the ordinary type with the cornucopiae as seen on many earlier coins?
    ${ }^{3}$ Notes, p. 180. It is strange, at the same time, that he has mistaken the goddess for a male deity.
    ${ }^{4}$ Brünnow-Domaszewski, i, p. 220, fig. 252. Dalman, Petra u. s. Felsheiligtümer, p. 145, says the goddess stands between two panthers; he cannot see the cornucopiae, ancl adds that the modius is conjectural.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Baethgen，Beitr：z．semit．Religionsyesch．（1888），p．107．Littmann （Princeton Univ．Arch．Exped．，div．iv，sect．A，p．57）is inclined to regard ภ＇ブツ（Sharait）as the name of the consort of Dusares at Bostra；but his interpretation is admittedly very uncertain．Another Nabataean goddess at Petra and Bostra is al－Uzza（ibid．，p．58），but she is only a hypostasis of Allât （Dussaud，Les Arabes en Syrie arant l＇Islam，p．132）．
    ${ }^{2}$ Baethgen，Beitr．，p．97；Dussaud，Les Arabes en Syrie arant l＇Islam（1907）， p． 129.
    ${ }^{3}$ Littmann，Princeton Univ．Arch．Exped．，div．iv，sect．A，p． 13.
    ${ }^{4}$ Jaussen et Savignac，Mission en Arubie，i，p． 205.
    ${ }^{5}$ Benzinger in Pauly－Wissowa，iii， 2120 ；Babelon in Rer．Num．，1899，

[^16]:    p. 274 f. ; art. Kir (of Moab) in Hastings's Dict. of the Bible; A. Musil, Arabia Petraer. i (1907), pp. 45-62.
     Arch. Expect., div. iv, sect. A, p. 34). For Nabataean pillar-idols generally, see Dalman, Petra u. s. Felsheiligtümer; p. 70.
    ${ }^{2}$ Benzinger in Pauly-Wissowa, v, 834 ; de Saulcy, pp. 378 ff.
    ${ }^{3}$ Brünnow-Domaszewski, iii, p. 264 f . The coin discussed at such length by de Saulcy, with $\triangle K \Sigma \triangle I O Y$, belongs to Seleucia on the Tigris.
    ${ }^{4}$ De Saulcy, loc.cit.; Brünnow-Domaszewski, loc.cit. and p. 361 ; Bleekmann in Zeitsch: d. deutschen Palastina-Veieins, xxxvi (1913), p. 234.
    ${ }^{5}$ Brünnow-Domaszewski, op. cit., iii, p. 304.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dussaud in Pauly-Wissowa-Kroll, vii, 2161.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Imhoof-Blumer, Monn. grecques, p. 450 ; Benzinger in Pauly-Wissowa, v, 1896 ; Brünnow-Domaszewski, iii, p. 268 ; Anz. Akud. Wiss. Wien, Phil.-hist. Kl., xliv (1907), p. 140. For the site see Rer. Bibl., 1904, pp. 403 ff., 1905, pp. 74 ff .; A. Musil, Arabia Petraea, ii (1908), pp. 106-51. I have to thank the late Dr. Imlioof-Blumer for a cast of the coin here illustrated (PI. XLIX. 17).

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ De Saulcy, p. 393 ; Benzinger in Pauly-Wissowa, vi, 613 ; A. Musil, Arabia Petraea, i (1907), pp. 383 ff .
    ${ }^{2}$ B. M. C., Palestine, p. xix.
    ${ }^{3}$ Eckhel, iii, p. 503.
    ${ }^{4}$ Drexler in Roscher, ii, 2728 f., where it is suggested that this is the Semitic god Sin.
    ${ }^{5}$ B. M. C., Palestine, p. 78.
    ${ }^{6}$ This suggests another possibility: the god may be the Phoenician Eshmun with his sacred serpent, whose worship, on account of the assonance, might well have been considered in place at ! Ieshbôn.
    ${ }^{7}$ Brünnow-Domaszewski, iii, p. 265.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ibid., p. 303 ; Schürer, Gesch. d. jüdischen Volkes, $\mathrm{ii}^{4}$, pp. 182 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ For the history of the place, see G. Schumacher in Zeitschr. d. dentschen Palüstina-Vereins, xxv (1902), pp. 119 ff. ; Schürer, op. cit., pp. 177 ff.
    ${ }^{3}$ Perdrizet, Lettre au R. P. Séjourné in Rev. Biblique, p. 441 (pp. 13 ff . of reprint), shows (1) that Imhoof-Blumer's interpretation of the latter part of the coin-legend as $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \dot{s}$ Г $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \rho$ ároıs must be corrected as in the text; (2) that therefore Antiochia ad Chrysorrhoam and Gerasa were identical, not neighbouring places; and (3) that the Chrysorrhoas is not the river of Damascus and Leucas, but another stream on which Jerash lies, called the Wady Jerash. The most recently found inscription is a Latin one of Hadrian mentioning the place under the title 'Antiochia ad Chrysorhoan quae et Gerasa Hiera et Asylo(s) et Autonomos' (Cheesman in Journ. Rom. Stut., iv (1914), p. 13).
    ${ }^{4}$ Rev. Suisse, viii (1898), p. 47 f. Specimens in the market. I have to thank the late Dr. Imhoof-Blumer for casts of these coins, and of a third in the Gotha cabinet on which the inscription is incomplete (M. Aurelius, Tyche seated as on the coin of Verus).
    ${ }^{5}$ De Saulcy, Teire Sainte, pp. 385 ff . The coin of Severus Alexander, with a figure of Artemis as huntress, depends on Sestini's authority only. The coinage probably began on the occasion of Hadrian's visit to Palestine in

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ R. P. Achille Decloedt, Rev. Num., 1910, p. 532. He mentions a second specimen in the collection of the German Benedictines at Jerusalem.
    : B. M. C., Palestine, p. xix.
    ; Mitth. d. k. k. geog. Gesellsch. in Wien, 1900, p. 369.

    * Terve Sainte, p. 402.
    ${ }^{5}$ Num. Imp., pp. 44 and 84.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ De Saulcy, pp. 386 ff.; Wroth, B. M. C., Gelutia, \&c., pp. Ixxxix, 306 ; Schürer, ii ${ }^{4}$, pp. 189 ff. ; Princeton Unir. Arch. Experl., div. ii, sect. A, part 1, pp. 34 ff. ; div. iii, sect. A, pp. 8 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ Comm. ad Hom. Iliad., 332, 19.
    ${ }^{3}$ Müller's attribution of Alexandrine coins with $\boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{I}$ (nos. 1473 ff .) to this mint cannot be accepted.
    ${ }^{4}$ Brünnow-Domaszewski, iii, p. 265 ; Schürer, ii ${ }^{4}$, p. 192.
    ${ }^{5}$ According to the IXX, 2 Sam. xii. 30.
    ${ }^{6}$ De Saulcy, p. 391 (Caracalla, or rather Elagabalus); Herakles standing, resting on club, holding lion-skin.
    ${ }^{7}$ Cf. the bust on Pl. VI. 5 with the coins of Tyre, B. M.C., Phoenicia, pl. XXXVI.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cicero, de nat. deor., iii, xvi, 42 ; Athenaeus, ix, 392 d.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the other hand. the reiled goddess on certain quasi-autonomous coins. without a star above her head, is Demeter; for the reverse types associated with her bust are a wicker basket containing two ears of corn between two serpents (Pl. VI. 2) and five ears of corn (Mionnet, r, p. 330. no. 61).
    ${ }^{2}$ Variously misread by older authorities.
    ${ }^{3}$ Journ. Hellen. Stud., xxxi, pp. 61 ff., pl. III, 17-19; IT, 25, 34 ; cf. also the well-known car of the sun-god of Emesa. A temple of Herakles, and possibly also a procession in his honour, at Philadelphia are mentioned in an inscription : Clermont-Ganneau, Rer. Arch., vi (1905', pp. 209 ff .
    ${ }^{4}$ Perhaps they are coins of the Lydian Philadelphia. Lydian also may be the coin of M . Aurelius and L. Verus showing a figure with extended arms in a distrle temple (Mionnet, r, p. 333, 79) ; possibly Helios, cf. B. M. C., Lydia, p. 199, no. 73.
    ${ }^{5}$ De Saulcy, pl. XXII, 7. To judge from a cast, it would seem that the surface of the coin has been worked on.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ A similar specimen from the Hamburger collection shows that the letters on the reverse are KIH TWN.
    ${ }^{2}$ Imhoof-Blumer, Lyd. Stadtmünzen, p. 121, no. 29.
    ${ }^{3}$ Brünnow-Domaszewski, iii, pp. 145 ff. ; Publications of an Amer. Airchueol. Exped. to Syria in 1899-1900, part ii (1904), pp. $376 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ iii, pp. 307 ff. ; Kubitschek, Sitzb. Akad. Wien, Bd. 177, Abh. 4 (1916), pp. 40 ff. Kubitschek's suggestion that the ancient name may have been Chababa is, he says, rejected by philologists, so far as equation with the modern name is concerned. It is to be presumed that Philip was born in the place where he founded the city (Dessau, Prosopogr., ii, p. 205).
    ${ }^{4}$ Brünnow-Domaszewski, ibid., p. 167.
    ${ }^{5}$ See especially Waddington, Mél. de Num., ii, p. 61 f.
    ${ }^{6}$ De Saulcy takes the two figures to be the two Philips; but the eagle shows that the figures are divinized. Mowat (Rer. Nıım., 1912, p. 200) is certain!y wrong in calling them the Dioscuri.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brünnow－Domaszewski，iii，p．305，give 248 or，more exactly，between 247 and aut．249）．But Kubitschek，loc．cit．，shows that Philip founded the colony before he went to Rome，where he arrived about summer 244.
    ${ }^{2}$ Brünnow－Domaszewski，i，pp．54－9．A．Musil，Arabia Petraea，i（1907）， pp．370－2， 381.
    ＊．See especially F．Dietrich in Merx，Archiv f．wiss．E．forsch．desA．T．，i（IS69）， $1!1$ ． 320 ff ．，and further references in articles $A$ r and Kerioth in Hastings＇s lict．of the Bible．
    
    
    

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ See G．A．Cooke，North－Semitic Inscriptions，p．11，quoting Robertson Smith＇s suggestion that the לォフォ was a pillar surmounted by a cresset，which exactly describes the objects on the coins．
    ${ }^{2}$ Cooke，op．cit．，no．80，note on 1． 4.
    ${ }^{3}$ Beiträge zur semit．Religionsyeschichte，p． 14.
    ＋Cf．F．de Saulcy，\＆－c．，Mél．de Num．，i（1875），p． 338.
    ${ }^{5}$ De Saulcy，p． 355 f．，nos．4－6．
    ＂St．Jerome，Comm．in Jos．，c． 15.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ xvi. 768.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mordtmann's conjecture for the usual reading Kápvava. The Minaean inscriptions give Qarna'u. The place is the modern Ma'in.
    ${ }^{3}$ The literature of South Arabian archaeology is widely scattered. The following is a selection of the more important works and articles on the numismatics, history, and geography of the district. There is a vast literature

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since the great majority, if not all, of the known specimens in silver seem to have come from the great hoard discovered there and described by Schlumberger, Le Trésor de San'áa (Paris, 1880). The place-name, correctly written, is $\operatorname{San}^{\circ} \hat{a}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ D. H. Müller and J. W. Knbitschek, Süddarabische Altertümer (Vienna), 1889, p. 76, I, nos. 474 and 183-7. All these coins were brought from South Arabia by Glaser or Mordtmann. It may be mentioned here that the imitation, in a different style, of an Attic coin bearing the name of Mazaens, which is attributed by Babelon (Traité, ii, p. 679, no. 1095) to Yemen, has nothing to do with that district; the supposed kaph which is read on it is not a Himyarite letter, whaterer it may be. Cf. Newell in Amer: Journ. Num., 1915, p. 70.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ The standard is discussed below (pp. lxxix ff.).
    ${ }^{2}$ Except one published by Mordtmann, Num. Zeit., 1880. p. 293, Taf. V, no. ii, which appears to have $\mathbf{O}$ ( $\boldsymbol{y})$.
    ${ }^{3}$ For $\mathfrak{j}$, the city of ${ }^{\text {² }}$, associated in an inscription with Nejran, has been suggested (see C.I.S., iv, 7).
    ${ }^{4}$ It must le remembered that the Sabaean $\boldsymbol{g}$ is hardly distinguishable from the same letter in some other Semitic scripts.
    ${ }^{5}$ M. u. K., p. 76, no. 474.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Num. Chron., 1880, p. 310.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hist. Num. ${ }^{2}$, p. 374.
    : I do not speak of the 'tetradrachms', having seen none of those at Berlin, but of the units and smaller denominations.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Num. Zeit., 1880, p. 299 f.
    ${ }^{2}$ On the coins, in this connexion, the form is never $\boldsymbol{4}$ or $\boldsymbol{\psi}$; and probably also never in the lapidary instances (see O. Weber in Hilprecht Anniversary Volume, 1909, p. 271).
    ${ }^{3}$ Trésor de San'â, p. 20.
    ${ }^{4}$ Rev. Num., 1893, p. 181. I may note here that the supposed Himyarite signs which he finds on some early Arab coius of Syria appear to me to be, without the slightest doubt, misread Arabic inscriptions.
    ${ }^{5}$ This suggestion is not new ; see C.I.S., iv, no. 366, p. 12.
    ${ }^{6}$ I owe what follows to my late colleague, Professor L. W. King.
    ${ }^{7}$ L. Heuzey, Catal. des Antiquités chaldéennes, 1902, p. 280; the same, Découvertes en Chaldée par E. de Sarzec, vol. ii. 1912, Pj. 44.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hilprecht Amiversary Volume, p. 274, Fig. 7.
    ${ }^{2}$ M. u. K., p. 63, II, nos. 1, 2.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Mr}$. Robinson sees another trace of Ptolemaic influence in the similarity between the head on the Himyarite coins of the Bucranium class (Pl. X. 12 ff .) and the bewigged head of Libya on late Cyrenaic copper with a Ptolemy's title; but I should regard this as a coincidence, since the ringlets are a characteristic Arabian coiffure. See below on the head of the San'a coins.

[^32]:    1 The apparent lower part of the monogram on the Tiennese coin, Taf. xiv, 13 (Babelon, Traité, Pl. CXXVI. 21), is evidently only due to double striking of the monogram. The 4 doubtless has the same significance here as when it occurs on the cheek of Athena.
    ${ }^{2}$ M. u. K., p. 68, no. 1, omitting the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ which, from the photograph, seems to me to be very doubtful.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ For convenience I call this henceforward the Aramaic inscription. M. u. K., p. 67 , no. 14, give an additional letter on the right, which is, however, the remains of the $A$ of the $A \odot E$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Num. Zeit., 1880, p. 296 f. ; Z.D.M. G., xxxi, p. 90.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'Amdan Bayyin, who struck coins at Sapphar (Raidan), was also called Yanaf (see below, p. lxx) ; may his then be the missing name?

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the alphabet given by Drouin，Rev．Num．，1889，Pl．VII．
    ${ }^{2}$ Col．Allotte de la Fuye has been kind enough to give me his views on this subject in a letter．He regards the inscription as Aramaic，and the last two letters as more probably p than $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$（ ；the first letter may possibly be $\mathbb{N}$ （since it sometimes approaches the Estranghelo form for that sound）．He adds that Schlumberger＇s attempt to recognize Sabaean letters in this inscrip－ tion must not，however，be lightly set aside；it may be a cursive form of Sabaean writing．From this point of view he suggests $99 \Pi 4 \Pi \Pi^{h}=9$ リルゴ， noting that for $\boldsymbol{W}$ the form $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is actually found in some Sabaean inscriptions， and V in Abyssinian．But it would be odd to find a cursive form like this side ly side with monograms showing the ordinary monumental forms．

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Num. Chron., 1880, p. 310.
    ${ }^{2}$ Le Trésor de San'â (Paris, 1880).
    ${ }^{3}$ Head, Hist. Num. ${ }^{2}$, pp. 380 ff.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Glaser, Shizze der Gesch. Avabiens, i, as quoted by Mordtmann in Z. D.M.G., xliv (1890), p. 175.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cp. the coins of Demetrius I (162-150 B. c.) ; B. M. C., Selencid Kings, Pl. XIV. 2.
    ${ }^{3}$ The coins are sometimes so badly double-struck as to give the appearance of two heads jugate. This is seen, for instance, in Pl. VIII. 10 and IX. 5, and doubtless the coin in the E. F. Weber Collection (Hirsch, Katal., xxi, 4331) was similar.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare the coins of Carrhae (Pl. XII. 3, \&c.). The globe in a crescent is found on various inscribed Himyaritic stones, as C.I.S., iv, 226, $285,362$.
    ${ }^{2}$ I have been obliged to omit some of the varieties described by Müller and Kubitschek, owing to their not being illustrated.
    ${ }^{3}$ p. 78 , no. 216 . Wt. 0.31 gm., i. e. $\frac{1}{8}$ of our coin.
    ${ }^{4}$ I regard all the forms, whether voided (ribbon-like) or solid, as variations of the same sign; for both voided and solid forms occur in precisely the same relation to the other details of monogram, \&c. (e.g. p. $62 \mathrm{f} .$, nos. 44-8). Otherwise, since in one series we find the solid form on one side of the coin and the voided form on the other, it might have seemed that they represent two different signs.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ e.g., M. u. K., Taf. IX, 23 ; Birch, Pl. III (in the margin); 36, Pl. XVII ; C.I.S., iv, 2. Tab. IV, no. 393.
    ${ }^{2}$ Commentary on iv, no. 366, p. 11 f .
    ${ }^{3}$ On this deity see D. Nielsen, Mitt. d. Vorderas. Ges., 1909, 4.
    ${ }^{4}$ (.. I. S., iv, 458. This is in the Marshall Hole Collection at Bulawayo.
    ${ }^{5}$ Hitprecht Amiversary Volume, p. 276 f.
    ${ }^{6}$ L. Heuzey, C. R. de l'Acad. d. Inscr., 1908, p. 418, fig. B; Catal. des Antiquités chaldéennes, pp. 102 ff. ; Décourertes en Chaldée, vol. ii, 1912, Pl. 3 bis.
    ${ }^{7}$ Capt. W. H. Lee-Warner, however, assures me that he has seen some Himyaritic gold coins in the possession of a dealer at Aden.
    ${ }^{8}$ N'um. Zeit., 1880, p. 289.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ M．u．K．，p．69，nos． 10 and 8，Taf．XIV． 22 and 19.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ But according to Glaser（Die Abessinier，p．31）a dated inscription shows that he reigned as late as A．D．281，and was also the first who was king of Hadramaut and Yemanat as well as of Saba and Raidan．The monogram on the coins cannot therefore be his．
    ${ }^{2}$ Burgen u．Schlösser；as above，p．995，note．
    ${ }^{3}$ See Müller，Burgen u．Schlösser；ilid．

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Num．Zeit．．1880，p． 304.
    ${ }^{2}$ Müller，Burgen u．Schlösser，as above，p． 983.
    ${ }^{3}$ M．u．K．，Taf．XIV．53，has these monograms，but the 7 attached to the left－ hand one has not been noticed by the editors．
    ${ }^{4}$ Müller，Burgen u．Schlösser，as abore，p．995，note；Mordtmann，Num．Zeit．， 1880，p． 306.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ C．I．S．，iv，289，v 15；308，v． 13 ；cp．Mordtmann，Z．D．M．G．．xxxi，p． 65.
    ${ }^{2}$ Coins of this class were first published by Schlumberger in Rer．Num．， 1886，p． 370 f．；then（the same specimens）by Casanova，Rer．Num．，1893， p． 183.
    ${ }^{3}$ A specimen of this variety at Paris shows also a on the obverse below the head（here Pl．L．3）．A 9 also occurs in the same place on a Paris specimen，otherwise similar to nos． 8 ff ．（except that the monogram on the reverse is on the right）．

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of the five kings called Karib'il mentioned in inscriptions, it is the son of Dhamarali Bayyin to whom the coins must be attributed (Prideaux and Müller, Burgen u. Schlösser in Sitzber. Wiener Akad., 97, p. 994).
    ${ }^{2}$ W. Christ, Gesch. d. gr. Litt. ${ }^{3}$, 672. Glaser (Die Abessinier, p. 140) claims to have fixed the date between A.D. 56 and 67. The latest discussion of the date of the Periplus is by Tkač, art. Saba above cited, who concludes (1465) that it was written about A.D. 40-5. The Periplus describes Charibael as reigning over the Homeritae and Sabaeans in his metropolis Sapphar, and being in constant diplomatic relations with Rome.
    ${ }^{3}$ Op. cit., p. 37.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is no resemblance to the Characenian coinage in fabric.
    ${ }^{2}$ Some authorities, as Redhouse (The Pearl-Strings, vol. iii, 1908, p. 7), place it in the time of the Achaemenidae; Sale, soon after the time of Alexander the Great; Caussin de Perceval, about A. D. 120; de Sacy about A. D. 150-170; and Glaser (who reckons three breaches) from A. D. 447-540! Of course there may have been more than one breaking of the dam; but that which caused the dispersion of the Arabs was the one that mattered.
    ${ }^{3}$ This is the answer to Glaser's question (Die Abessimier, p. 33) : 'Können wir nach dem olen Entwickelten übrigens auch nur annehmen, dass alle Münzkönige in so späte Zeit gehören?'
    ${ }^{4}$ The references to Mordtmann are to his useful article in Num. Zeit., 1880, where (pp. 307-16) he classifies this coinage under seven heads. To avoid confusion, it may be remarked that he calls the concave side obverse, the convex side reverse; but the convex side was obviously the anvil side, and therefore the obverse, of the coin. Glaser (Die Abessinier; pp. 32, note, 37) speaks of coins bearing the name 'Jaḥmal', who may possibly be the Ilsharh Yahm (?) . . . of the inscription Glaser 686. I have not been able to trace any specimens of this coin.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mordtmann, p. 308, says that the head on the rev. (his obv.) wears a wreath ; but the distinction certainly does not hold in most cases. Longpérier (Rer. Num., 1868, p. 173) takes the two heads to represent the reigning king and a subordinate prince.
    ${ }^{2}$ A somewhat similar problem arises in regard to the two heads on Axumite coins, and is discussed by Littmann (Deutsche Aksum-Expedition, i, p. 46). But there the two heads differ in their dress, one being crowned.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ C.I. S., iv, 373, cp. 37 ; Müller, Burgen, ii, p. 994.
    ${ }^{2}$ Müller, loc. cit.; Prideaux, J. A.S. B., vol. l, 1881, p. 98. Others are published by Mordtmann, p. 307 ; Müller u. Kubitschek, p. 72, nos. 16, 17; p. 77. nos. 224, 483, and 481 (bnt the last two are Mordtmann's specimens).
    ${ }^{3}$ This solution of the monogram was found independently by Mordtmann, p. 308.
    ${ }^{4}$ TOY PAEI $\triangle \mathrm{AN}$ in the famous inscription of Acizanas. C. I. G., iii. 5128. Hommel, in the Enzyhlopädie des Islam, i, 395, says that the kings took their territorial title from 'the mountain Raidan near the Kattabanian capital Tamna' to the S.E. of Ma'rib'. Jut see M. Hartmann, Der Islamische Orient, ii, p. 168 f . There seems no reason to reject the statement of Hamdana that Raidan was the castle of Sapphar.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mordtmann, p. 309.
    ${ }^{2}$ An exception may be Mordtmann's no. 9, Taf. V. 9 ; but may not the marks be due to accident?
    ${ }^{3}$ An inscription (Glaser 567 ; Die Abeswinier, p. 32, note) contains a mutilated name which he reads '-..-n Bajjân Juhaḳb - ', and this king. he says, is probably, though not certainly, the same as the "Amdân Bajjân Juhakbidh' of the coins. I know of no coins of any 'Amdan who combines the names Bajjân (Bayyin) with Juhakbidh (Yehuqbị̣), and suspect that Glaser is confusing the coins now under discussion with the next group ( $\gamma$ ).
    ${ }^{4}$ p. 310.
    s J. A.S. B., 1881, p. 99, Pl. X. 3, 4, 5. See also M. u. K., p. 7T, nos. 487 and 477 (Mordtmann's specimens).

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kubitschek's drawing of the monogram, p. 78, note 1, fig. 16, does not entirely bear this out.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Mordtmann, pp. 310, 311; M. u. K., p. 71, nos. 8-15, Taf. X1V. 32-5; p. 77 , nos. 194, 192, 225-31. It is a curious fact that one of the British Museum specimens was acquired by Dr. Buresch in the Hermos plain near Sardes.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cp. M. u. K., Taf. XIV. 33 with the specimens in this Catalogue, Pl. XI, 6-9. Col. Allotte de la Fuÿe suggests that the border is meant for a serpent, but, although one end is pointed, the other shows no resemblance to a serpent's head.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ M．u．K．，p．71，no． 13.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid．．p．77，no．194．Probably the monogram on the British Museum coin，p．71，no． 4 ，is the same．
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid．，p．71，no． $8(+7$ ，cp．C．I．S．，iv，37）；p．73，at top，no．1：also a doubtful one on the reverse of a coin，p．77，no． 192.
    ${ }^{4}$ Vienna，M．u．K．，p．73，no． 1.
    ${ }_{5}$ The coin catalogued by M．u．K．，p．73，no．11，as uncertain，is similar to this；they describe the object as＇unklares Ding，einem Cohorten－Insigne ähnlich＇．

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ This find is said to have been made in a grave in Abyssinia; I have examined 133 of the specimens, and understand that a large number of others were acquired by the Paris cabinet. The coins acquired from Mr. Bakewell (p. 70, nos. 1-3, p. 71, no. 2) are said to have come from a large find made at Marib; the fourteen coins in his possession were all of the two groups under consideration.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 314, no. 10.
    ${ }^{3}$ C.I.S., iv, 457.
    ${ }^{4}$ Rev. Num., 1868, p. 169 : Nium. Zeit., 1880, p. 312. See also M. u. K., p. 71, nos. 1-7.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ C.I.S., iv, 401.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mordtmann u. Müller, Sabäische Denkmäler; pp. 80, 102.
    ${ }^{3}$ No. 3 in this Catalogue, correcting the descriptions in M. u. K., p. 71, nos. 1-3.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ This, and not the simple letter $\bar{\Pi}$, seems to occur on Mordtmann's specimen as on ours; on his, the head on the obverse appears to be turned to l., not to r.
    ${ }^{2}$ M. u. K., p. 72, no. 18. I have already noted that Glaser (Die Abessinier; pp. 32, note, 37) speaks of coins with the name 'Jahmal', and that I have not been able to trace these coins, of which he gives no details.
    ${ }^{3}$ C.I.S., iv, 155, 308 ; cp. Mordtmann in Z. D. M. G., lii, p. 399.
    ${ }^{4}$ Halévy, 630, 631, 632, 635 ; Z.D. M. G., xxx, p. 291, no. 5.
    ${ }^{5}$ See his Abessinier, p. 112.
    ${ }^{6}$ For Glaser's earlier identification of Tamna with Dumnat Djaba or Dumnat Khadir see his Skizze, ii, p. 18 f.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ See, for the latest discussion of Katabania and its capital, Tkač in Pauly-Wissowa-Kroll-Witte, IA 2, 1326 f .
    ${ }^{2}$ Col. Allotte de la Fuyje notes that on a specimen in his collection the middle letter of the mint-name appears to be $\underset{\mathcal{X}}{ }$ or $\boldsymbol{X}$ rather than $)$; but the reading is very obscure, to judge from an impression before me, and the letter is clearly ) on other specimens.
    ${ }^{3}$ Glaser (Skizze, ii, p. 58) is inclined to identify Pliny's Caripeta with Kharibat Sirwah (a long day's journey west of Marib). In the same work he distinguishes Strabo's Marsyabae from Mariaba, and thinks that Gallus never reached the latter; but in his Abessinier, p. 35, note, he seems not disinclined to admit that Marsyabae is Marib. Other views on the whole vexed question in Tkač, art. Saba above cited, 1353 ff .
    ${ }^{4}$ Mordtmann describes two specimens as having a helmeted head on the obverse, but from his illustrations the appearance of the helmet seems to be deceptive. The head on the obverse is to the left on his no. 11 and on the Berlin coin, M. u. K., p. 78, nо. 193.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ M. u. K., p. 73, $\beta$, nos. 1, 2, Taf. XlV. 43. If no. 3 has no king's name following the letter $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$, should it not have been catalogued after no. 4? As regards the Berlin specimens (p. 78 , nos. $479,193,484,480$ ), all but the second were acquired from Mordtmann, and are doubtless identical with three of the four described by him on p. 315, but it is not quite clear which is which.
    ${ }^{2}$ D. Nielsen, Neue Katabanische Inschriften, in Mitt. Vorderas. Gesellsch. 1906, 4, p. 17 ; O. Weber, Studien zur südarab. Altertumskunde, ibid.. 1907, 2, pl. 12 ff.
    ${ }^{3}$ M. u. K., p. $73 \beta$, no. 4.
    ${ }^{4}$ M. u. K., p. 78, no. 191. Berlin. Wt. 1.52 gr.
    ${ }^{5}$ D. Nielsen and 0 . Weber, as above.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ Die Abessinier, pp. 77, 114 f.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Mordtmann u. Müller, Sabüische Ienkmüler, p. 100 ; C.I.S.. iv, 345.
    ${ }^{2}$ C.I.S., iv, 154 ; cp. iv. 21 and 1 Chron. iv. 15 . The name is a place or clan name (C.I.S., iv. 37, v. $4 ; 74$, v. 18; 117, v. 1; Z. D. M. G., xxix. p. 227 ; cp. Hartmann, Der islam. Orient, ii, p. 291).
    ${ }^{3}$ Tha'ran Yaiub, whose coins are described above, and Dhamarali Yuhabir, C. I. S., iv. 365 and 457.
    ${ }^{4}$ C. I.S., iv, 154.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ M. u. K., p. 72, nos. 24, 25, Trif. XIV. 38, 39. On the second coin there is another sign to the left of the monogram, but it is half obliterated; if Kubitschek reads it right, it is the $\mathcal{Y}$ of the earlier coins.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 74, vii. 1, Taf. XIV. 50. A. plated ; wt. 0.24 gm.
    ${ }^{3}$ As Yathae 'amar Wattar (C.I.S., iv. 490) and Wattar Yuha'min (C.I.S., iv. 10 and 258).
    ${ }^{4}$ M. u. K., p. 66.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid., p. 76, no. 217.
    ${ }^{6}$ p. 54, no. 2.

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ M. u. K., p. 69, no. 12, and p. 76, no. 218.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 58, no. 18.
    ${ }^{3}$ M. u. K., p. 69, nos. 8, 9.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid., p. 76, nos. 474 and 183-7. One of these is the countermarked coin already mentioned.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ In a table of frequency we get the highest number (ten coins) at $3 \cdot 10 \mathrm{gm}$.; eight at 2.90 gm .; four at 3.20 gm . and 2.50 gm . But the material is inadequate for this method.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is unfortunate that Mordtmann's weighings of his coins are hard to reconcile with those given by Kubitschek for the coins acquired from Mordtmann by the Berlin Museum.
    ${ }^{3}$ Calculated from the coins in this Catalogue and in Müller und Kubitschek, and from others which have passed through my hands.

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ Num. Chron., 1880, pp. 303 ff.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ Z. D. M. G., xliv (1890), p. 183.
     Chrestomathie, p. 119.
    ${ }^{3}$ Burgen u. Schlüsser; as above, p. 1012. Müller's arrangement is disputed in certain details by Mordtmann, Z.D.M. G., xlvii, pp. 407 ff . See further M. Hartmann, Der islamische Orient, ii, pp. 126 ff.
    ${ }^{4}$ The Minaean dynasty was still flourishing in the third century B. c., by the evidence of Eratosthenes (see Mordtmann in Z.D.M. G., xliv, p. 184). Hartmann, op. cit., p. 132, thinks it came to an end about 230 в. с.
    ${ }^{5}$ M. u. K., p. 70, Taf. XIV. 23. Wt. 0.41 gm . ( ${ }_{10}^{10}$ of the Alexander drachm).

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ J. Halévy, Rapport sur une mission archéologique dans le Iémen, 1872, p. 75.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Mordtmann in Z.D.M.G., xlvii, p. 408; Ma'in = Qarmau, Beraqish = Yathil.
    ${ }^{3}$ Glaser accordingly (Abessinier; p. 111) supposes that Eratosthenes cannot mean the Minaeans of the period of the Minaean kingdom, known from inscriptions, since these inhabited the Jauf; and that at most he could mean the Minaeans whom Pliny describes as living in the immediate neighbourhood of the frankincense country.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ Num. Chron., 1878, pp. 274, 283, Pl. XIII. 17-22. These coins are the property of the Camberwell Public Library, but are deposited in the British Museum on indefinite loan, so that it has been possible to include them in this Catalogue (pp. 78 ff ., Pl. LV. 2-9). I have not been able to identify among the pieces that I have seen all those described by Head, e.g. nos. 18, 19 on his plate; doubtless these have gone astray between 1878 and 1920.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Photiades coin (Froehner's Catalogue, lot 785) seems to be something of the same kind.
    ${ }^{3}$ For the last words read 'Aretas IV, 9 в. c. to A. D. 40 '.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kiepert, Formar Orbis Antiqui; Mommsen, Provinces, ii, 68 ff. The details in Marquardt, Röm. Staatsreve., $\mathrm{i}^{2}, 435 \mathrm{ff}$, are for the most part worthless, owing to his uncritical use of the numismatic evidence.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ Seleucia is usually included by numismatists under Mesopotamia; but its nearness to Babylon and the unlikeness of its coinage to the otherwise homogeneous Roman coinages of Mesopotamian cities make it desirable to transfer it to Babylonia.
    ${ }^{2}$ In Lehmann's Beitrïge zur alten Gesch., i, pp. 450-6.
    ${ }^{3}$ Sestini (Mus. Hed., iii, p. 123. n. 1, 'Tab. XXXII.3) gives a coin of Maximinus, which is apparently like our no. 2 (Caracalla). The reverse inscription on no. 1 is probably to be completed as $A N \Theta \in M O V[I A E$. A coin of Domitian which has often been published is of Anemurium in Cilicia (see B. M. C., Lycaonia, \&cc., p. xli, note 2).
    ${ }^{4}$ Regling in Lehmann's Beitr. z. alt. Gesch., i, map at p. 445; E. Sachau, Reise in Syrien u. Mesopotamien, 1883, pp. 217 ff ; D. Chwolsohn, Die Ssabier und der Ssalismus (1856), i, 303 ff.

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Chwolsohn, op. cit., i, pp. 399 ff ., and the article Sin by Jeremias in Roscher's Lexicon, 890 f.
    ${ }^{2}$ Unless Iurent. Waddington, 7287, is rightly read, in which case the coinage begins with Antoninus Pius.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cabinet Allier de Hauteroche, 1829, p. 114.
    ${ }^{4}$ Sylloge, p. 82, Pl. IV. 63.
    ${ }^{5}$ L. Müller, Numism. de l'Afrique anc., iii, p. 146.
    ${ }^{6}$ Sestini, Catal. Num. vet. Mus. Arig. (1805), p. 89. The type is common in Phrygia; see the coins of Eucarpeia, Hieropolis, and Peltae, B. M. C., Phrygia, Pl. XXVI. 7 ; XXXII. 5 ; XLI. 5.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ Wroth, B. M. C., Galatia, \&c., p. 263, no. 113, Pl. XXXI. 5.
    ${ }_{2}$ Wroth, op. cit., Pl. XXVII. 12 ; cf. B. M. C., Palestine, p. xxxii.
    ${ }^{3}$ Classes generales, 1821, p. 156.

    + Macdonald, Hunter. Catal., iii, p. 301, 3-5.
    ${ }^{5}$ Grave doubt attaches to Sestini's description of a word in oriental script on a coin of Elagabalus (Mus. Heclerv., iii, p. 124, 8; cf. Chwolsohn, Die Ssabier, p. 413).

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ I observe that, as at Edessa, it is easy to confuse the portrait of Septimius Severus on these poor coins with those of some of the Antonines.
    ${ }^{2}$ Chwolsohn, Die Ssabier, i, p. 394, sees the difficulty and attempts to explain it away. Prof. J. S. Reid also suggests to me that the title may have been taken by the Carrhenes to declare their loyalty to Rome, before the foundation of the colony, and retained afterwards. But the title remains otiose in the case of colonists, however unreal their Roman character may have been.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rev. Suisse, 1908, p. 131, Taf. V (1X), 3 and 4. The coins were obtained from Aleppo.
    ${ }_{2}^{2}$ Mionnet, Suppl. viii, 394, 26. Cf. Pl. XII. 24 (Sev. Alexander) and the type of Edessa, Pl. XV. 4 and 6 (Elagabalus).
    ${ }^{3}$ Herodian, iv, 8, 1.

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jeremias in Roscher, art. Schamasch, col. 535, and Sin, col. 921 ; cf. the types at Phrygian cities mentioned above.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is the origin of Pellerin's 'fly with spread wings' on his coin reading AYPHへIO. KAPHNW; the streamers have suggested the wings. See Hirsch, Katal. xxi, 4332.
    ${ }^{3}$ Macdonald, Hunter. Catal., iii, p. 301, 2.
    ${ }^{4}$ Mionnet, v, 520, 24 (he attributed the coin to Aelia Capitolina, following Lajard) ; Chwolsoln, Die Ssctier; i, p. 401.
    ${ }^{5}$ H. A. Strong and J. Garstang, The Syrian Goddess, frontispiece, no. 1, and p. 70 ; A. B. Cook, Zeus, p. 586. Six and Imhoof-Blumer, Gr. Münzen, p. 759, recognize the legionary standard.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Daremberg et Saglio, Dict. s.v. Signa, p. 1324.* A. L. Frothingham, on the other hand, writes (Amer. Journ. Arch., xx, 1916, p. 208): 'Numismatics (sic) have more or less half-heartedly accepted the opinion of Six that this is a Roman standard or legionary eagle. No archaeologist can agree to this after reflecting for a moment on the absolute impossibility of supposing a Roman standard to have been substituted for a god in the sanctum sanctorum

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ Doctr., iii, p. 517.
    ${ }^{2}$ E. Sachau, Reise, pp. 189-210; Ed. Meyer in Pauly-Wissowa, Real-Encycl., v, 1933 ff .; A. von Gutschmid, L'ntersuch. über die Gesch. des Künigreichs Osroene, in Mém. de l’Acad. Imp. des Sciences de St. Pétersbou'g, viie sér.. t. xxxv, no. 1 (1887); Rubens.Duval, Hist. d'Édesse, in Journal Asiatique. 18, 1891 ; 19, 1892.

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ The modern name is also given as Nahr el Qût and Qaira Qoyun.
    ${ }^{2}$ J. S. Buckingham, Travels in Mesopotamia (1827), i, p. 111 ; Rubens-Duval, p. 92 ; Sachau, p. 196 f.
    ${ }^{3}$ Orat., iv, pp. 150 and 154 ; cf. Dussaud, Notes de Mythologie Syrieme (1903), p. 10.
    ${ }^{4}$ Dussaud, op. cit., p. 75.
    ${ }^{5}$ Mélanges Numismatiques, ii, 1893, pp. 209-96.
    ${ }^{6}$ In the following discussion I retain Babelon's notation, adding the number according to that of A. von Gutschmid in brackets. Thus by Abgar VIII (IX) I mean the son of Manu who reigned from A. D. 179 to 214 . Babelon gives no number to the Ma'nu whom he assumes to have reigned only with Algar VIII (IX), and, to avoid confusion, I have followed his example.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the name, see G. A. Cooke, North-Semitic Inscriptions, pp. 106-7.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ The late Prof. L. W. King, to whom I owe this suggestion, remarks that Allul probably represents the pronunciation of the name in Semitic as well as in Sumerian, being taken over in the same way as Enlil (the chief god of the Babylonian Pantheon), whose name in the later form is Ellit, written YフN in Aramaic dockets of the Achaemenian period (cf. Clay, Amer: Joum. of Semit. Lan!. and Lit., xxiii, pp. 269 ft .).
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, p. lxxxix.
    ${ }^{3}$ C. H. Dodd, Num. Chion., 1911, pp. 253, 259.

    + The coins supposed to associate an Abgar with M. Aurelius and L. Verus are really of Septimius Severus. As Babelon remarks, some of the heads which are intended for Severus are more like Verus and other emperors.
    ${ }^{5}$ This campaign had come to a successful end in the eally autumn : Dodd, op. cit., p. 235.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. Dodd, op. cit., p. 225.

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ Babelon, p. 234, Pl. IlI. 7; Mactonald, Hunter. Catal., iii, p. 305, no. 3, Pl. LXXVIII. 32.
    ${ }^{2}$ iii, 508 and 520.
    ${ }^{3}$ Nél. Num., ii, p. 233.
    ${ }^{4}$ A specimen with this type, on which the reverse inscription is eutirely illegible, is in the British Museum trays under Carrhae; but it may well be one of the coins with $Y \Pi \in P$ NIKHC $P \Omega M A I \Omega N$ which we are discussing.

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ C. H. Dodd, op. cit., p. 218.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ Babelon, p. 240, no. 10, Pl. 111. 10. Babelon says that the portrait is similar to that on the coins with the tiara; but in his engraving the greater resemblance to Verus is manifest. Note particularly the treatment of the beard.
    ${ }^{2}$ This coin does not seem to have come to the Bibliothèque Nationale with the rest of the Marquis de Vogués collection. and its present possessor is unknown to me.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lf. Hirsch, Katal. xxi, 4336 (E. F. Weber).

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dio Cassius, 77, 12.
    ${ }^{2}$ C.I. G., 6196.
    ${ }^{3}$ In Pauly-Wissowa, Real-Enc., i, col. 95

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here Babelon's descriptions (p. 261, nos. $36 \mathrm{ft} ., \mathrm{Pl} . \mathrm{V} .10-12$ ) must be supplemented by von Gutschmid's (pp. 40-1). The obverses of the two Leake specimens read ANTWN... (retrograde), the reverses CEOYH ABTAPOC. in one case retrograde (Pl. L. 15). Babelon's no. 36, which he reads •JYI - 1 A 87 , is, judging from his engraving, to be read [J]Э०YH Ag7, i.e. Séounpos "Aßrapos.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ As by Eckhel and by Zumpt, Comm. Epigr:, 433. Marquardt. Rüm. Staatscerwaltung, $\mathrm{i}^{2}$ (1881), p. 437, n. 12, accepting the reading of Mionnet, Suppl. viii, 399, 1 (which is a quotation from Sestini), would attribute the foundation of the colony to M. Aurelius!
    ${ }^{2}$ The argument is, of course, not conclusive, seeing that, as is observed below, the title of Colony is omitted on the coins of Severus Alexander Caesar. But where the distinction of the emperors Caracalla and Elagabalus is so uncertain, we are justified in adopting the classification which assumes continuity in the use of the title of Colony.
    ${ }^{3}$ A certain number of coins which give the emperor the name $C \in Y$. ANT $\omega N \in I N O C$ would naturally have been assigned to Caracalla. But there is clear evidence that Elagabalus bore the name Severus; e.g. the coin of Perinthus $\triangle I C N \in \Omega K O P \Omega N$, Num. Zeit., xvi, 234.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ N'um. Hellen., As. Gr., p. 54.
    ${ }^{2}$ C. I. L., vi, 1797.

[^83]:    ' A small temple occurs in the same way below the confronted busts of Emperor and Empress (Pl. XV. 8).
    ${ }^{2}$ Eckhel, Cat. Mus. Caes. Vind., i, p. 259, no. 10.
    ${ }^{3}$ They also occur beside the bust of Tyche on some coins of Gordian III (Babelon, Pl. VIII. 2).
    ${ }^{4}$ Babelon, Pl. VII. 7.
    ${ }^{5}$ As by myself in J. R. S., vol. vi, p. 164.

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Mionnet, Suppl. viii, 414.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. M. C., Cilicia, p. 101, nos. 30, 31.
    ${ }^{3}$ Macdonald, Hunter: Catal., iii, p. 315, no. 1 (Pl. LXXIX. 3), gives the only known coin which agrees with the literary form. Stephanus, s.v. Niotßss, quotes Uranius for the spelling Néo $\beta \iota s$; cf. also Plin. N. H., vi, 13 (42).
    ${ }^{4}$ The modern name is Nesibin. See J. S. Buckingham, Travels in Mesopotamia (1827), i, pp. 442-6; Max von Oppenheim, Vom Nittelmeer aum Persischen Golf (1900), ii, pp. 29-36.
    ${ }^{5}$ B. M. C., Selencid Kings, p. 42, nos. 86-8.
    ${ }^{6}$ Marquardt, Röm. Stactsverucaltung, i${ }^{2}$ (1881), p. 437, n. 13.

[^85]:    ${ }^{1} 10 \wedge$. on certain specimens (cf. Macdonald, Henter. Catat., iii, p. 316, no. 8) seems to be a mere mistake for 10 V .
    ${ }^{2}$ It must be admitted that Mionnet's reading is confirmed by Chaix, no. 967.
    ${ }^{3}$ In Hirsch, hatal. xxv (Philipsen), 3079, apparently mistaken for an eagle.
    ${ }^{4}$ Num. Gi., pp. 154 and 182.
    ${ }^{5}$ Regling iu Lehmann's Beitrïge, i, p. 467.

[^86]:     evidently unaware of the true ethnic ' $\mathrm{P} \eta \sigma a \iota \nu \eta_{\eta} \sigma \iota s$, and guesses either ' ${ }^{\mathrm{P} \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \dot{a} \tau \eta s}$ or 'Peqıvaîos. Ptolemy (v. 17, 7) mentions the place thrice as 'Piotva and 'Pé́ralva.
    ${ }^{2}$ The titles $C \in \Pi$. KO $\wedge$ do not, however, occur with certainty before the time of Severus Alexander; and even later the title KOへ is not infrequently omitted.
    ${ }^{3}$ The reading L. III. GAL on certain coins is discredited; on the other hand, L. III. PIA is supported by several writers. On all coins that I have been able to verify, the name of the legion is III. P; once, III. P 己 , whatever that may mean. M. Dieudonnés examination of the coins in the Paris cabinet confirms my results. Since we know from Dio Cassius (lv, 24) that the Tertia Parthica was established by Severus in Mesopotamia, we must interpret $P$ accordingly as Parthica.
    ${ }^{4}$ The reading (in Num. Zeit., xxxiii, p. 48, no. 101) of Scholz's coin of Elagabalus (obv. AYTKAIMAYPANTONINOC, rev. CEY. RESAIN - - COLO, priest ploughing, with labarum inscribed LE behind) is to be regarded with the utmost suspicion.
    ${ }^{5}$ Macdonald, Hunter. Cutcl., iii, Pl. LXXIX. 19.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Nionnet, r. 629, 184.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sestini (Mus. Hederv., iii, p. 24, no. 4, tab. XXXH, 4, has published a coin of Caracalla which he gives to Carrhae, and describes as reading COL CAR on the rev. The type: Centaur r. with vexillum in background, is suspiciously appropriate to Rhesaena; and its obv. (head of emperor supported on eagle) should be compared with the coin of Rhesaena (PI. XVIII. 2), on which the bust of Caracalla or Elagabalus has a similar support.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Eckhel, Doctrina, iii, pp. 518-19, for a discussion of the question.
    ${ }^{4}$ Hunter. Catal., iii, p. 319, no. 19.

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Mionnet, v, 633, uos. 205-11.
    ${ }^{2}$ Niem. Col., p. 124.
    ${ }^{3}$ I cannot verify the statements, which 1 allowed to survive in the second edition of Head. Mist. Num., p. 816, that there are coins of Philip with the inscription IOY. C $\in \Pi$. KO $\wedge \Omega$. CIN厂APA, and that the inscr. MHT. KO. AY. C. CE. CINTAPA occurs on coins of Gordian's time.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pellerin, Rec., iii, p. 252, Pl. CXXXVI, no. 1; Caylus, Rec., vi. pp. 207-8, Pl. LXV. iii.
    ${ }^{2}$ Since the above was written, M. Dieudonné kindly informs me that the coin is indeed of Stratonicea in Lydia, PATONE INDE having been misread as ZAYOHC NIAC!
    ${ }^{3}$ Sestini, Mus. Hedervar., iii, p. 132, tab. xxxii, 6.

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bevan, House of Seleucus, i, pp. 253-5; Oppenheim, Vom Nittelmeer aum Persischen Golf, ii, pp. 285-7; M. Streck, Seleucia und Litesiphon, in Der Alte Orient, xvi (1917), Heft 3/4.
    ${ }^{2}$ Momn. gr., p. 451, nos. 60 ff.

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ Seleucia and Ctesiphon？
    ${ }^{2}$ So too AO［ on a specimen at Paris（Pl．LII．3）．

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. M.C., Purthia, Pl. XXIII. 7. See below under group 7. We are reminded of the phrase of Pliny (N. H., vi. 122): 'ferunt ei plebis urbanae $\overline{\mathrm{DC}}$ esse, situm vero moenium aquilae pandentis alas'; although on the coin the eagle's wings are not fully displayed.
    ${ }^{2}$ Wroth, B. M.C., Parthia, p. xlvii, note. The era кarà Xadóaious began a year later than the true Seleucid; but the choice is between some other local era and the Seleucid.

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ De Saulcy. Terre Sainte, p. 287, no. 1, Pl. XIV. 8 (French Collection).
    ${ }^{2}$ See Wroth, B. M. C., Parthia, p. xlvi.
    ${ }^{3}$ A new city was founded on the site by the Sassanian ruler Ardashir I (226-41). On its history see Streck, op. cit., pp. 27 ff .

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Pauly-Wissowa, ii, s.v., and the authorities cited on p. 147.

[^95]:     quoted by M. A. Stein in Babylonian and Oriental Record, i (1887), p. 160.
    ${ }^{2}$ xvi. 738. I do not know what is Millingen's reason for identifying the place with Ptolemy's Kópкovpa.
    ${ }^{3}$ Millingen, Sylloge, p. 84, Pl. IV. 65 ; Mionnet, Suppl. viii, 398. 47.
    ${ }^{4}$ Sotheby's Sale Catalogue, 'A well-known Collector', April 5, 1853. I take this opportunity of saying that in the Museum registers for this period it is not always possible to distinguish between the two Steuarts, and some of the Museum coins attributed to one may have been bought from the other.
    ${ }^{5}$ The late Dr. Imhoof-Blumer informed me that he was of the same opinion.

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. M. C., Lycaonia, \&xc., p. lviii f.; Kubitschek, Num. Zeit., xxxiv (1902), pp. 1-27.
    ${ }^{2}$ The substance of this section was published in the Journal of Hellenic Studies, vol. xxxix (1919), pp. 116-29.
    ${ }^{3}$ References to recent authorities in Babelon, Traité. II. ii. 44. See also the genealogical tree in Pauly-TVissowa, R.E., i, s.v. 'Achaimenidai'.

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Plut., Cim., x.
    ${ }^{2}$ It has long been known that there was a word dariku used in contracts of the reigns of Nabonidus and the false Smerdis, before the reign of Darius I, as in the phrase 'he gave in payment two talents of dry dates and a dariku'. The meaning of the word, however, remains quite uncertain, and it is not clear that it is the name even of a weight, as Babelon (Traité, II, ii, p. 39) now maintains.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hill, Hist. Greek Coins, p. 27.
    ${ }^{4}$ Herodotus, iv. 166 ; Harpocration, s.v. $\Delta a p \epsilon$ ккós (cp. Schol. Aristoph. Eccl. 602 ), says that it was named after some older king.
    ${ }^{5}$ Klio, xiv, 1914, pp. 91 ff ., with full tables of revised weights.
    ${ }^{6}$ Borrell (Num. Chron., vi, 1843, p. 153) reports that the average weight of 125 gold darics from the Canal Find was 129.4 gn., and that darics found in Asia Minor are always lighter, however well preserved, by from 2 to $2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{gn}$., than the lightest of those in the Canal Find.
    ${ }^{7}$ Klio, loc. cit., p. 106.
    ${ }^{8}$ Z.f. N., xxiv, 1904, p. 87, Taf. IV. 5.
    ${ }^{9}$ Ibid., Taf. IV. 6. Obr. head of king r., bearded ; rer. incuse.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ Num. Chron., 1916, p. 258.
    ${ }^{2}$ Macdonald, Hunterian Cataloyne, iii, p. 354, no. 4; obe. King with bow and dagger; rev. head of a satrap; therefore not a normal Imperial coin. Sir Hermann Weber possessed a quarter-siglos of 1.20 gm . ( 18.6 gn .) similar to that in the British Museum weighing 1.10 gm . (Pl. XXVI. 27). To Regling's list of sixths, add that in the Prowe Coll. (Egger Katal., xlvi, 2678, Taf, XLI. 0.71 gm .) which is of Type I (king with spear).
    ${ }^{3}$ Babelon, Perses Ach., no. 106.
    ${ }^{4}$ J.A.S.B., 1881, p. 170, no. 14, Pl. XII. 4; J.H.S., 1919, Pl. V. 5.
    ${ }^{*}$ Regling, loc. cit., p. 100.

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ Forschungen zur Metrol. des Altertums (h. Süch.s. Ges., Abh. Phil.-hist. Kl., Bd. xxxiv. iii. 1917), pp. 100-1.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hammer in Z.f. N.. xxvi (1907-8). p. $84:$ (1) 0.940 , (2) 0.930 , (3) 0.884 with 0.0035 gold, (4) 0.901 with 0.0028 goll.

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ See especially A. H. Sayce and A. E. Cowley, Aramaic Papy,yri discovered at Assuun (1906), pp. 22-3; Clermont-Ganneau, Recueil d'Arch. Orient., vi, pp. 153 ff .; also (too late for consideration here) Segré in Rir. It., 1920, pp. 60-62.
    ${ }^{2}$ See P.S.B.A., 1888, pp. 464-6; Lehmann in Verhandl. Bert. Ges. für
    
     in India of a standard coin may also be related (see E. J. Rapson, B. M. Catalogue of the Coins of the Andhra Dynasty, \&c., pp. clsxviii ff.).
    ${ }^{3}$ P.S. B. A., xxv (1903), p. 206. What precisely khallur" means, however, whether it is a small denomination of weight or coin, seems to me not to be quite made out.
    ${ }^{4}$ The Helurew shekel which Josephus (Ant. Iud., iii, 8. 2) equates to four Attic drachms is the Tyrian shekel of his time which the Romans tariffed at four denarii (see Hultsch, Metr. Script., Index, s.v. бíkлos, 3).
    ${ }^{5}$ Hultsch, in Pauly-Wissowa, R. E., iv, 2, 2092-3.
    ${ }^{6}$ B. M. C., Phoenicia, 1. cii.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ See especially Babelon: Les Perses Achéménides (1893). pp. xi-xviii; ${ }^{6}$ L'iconographie et ses origines dans les types monétaires grecs ' (hev. Num., 1908, and Mélanges Numismatiques, iv, pp. 254-69; Traité des Momaies grecques et romaines, Part II. i (1907), 257-64; ii (1910), 37-71. J. P. Six was for a time working at the problem, and communicated his views to Babelon (Perses Achém., p. xiii, note) and Head (letters in 1891).
    ${ }^{2}$ Trésor de Numism., Rois grecs, p. 135 (1849), quoted by Babelon, Perses Achém., p. xiii.
    ${ }^{3}$ Coinage of Lydia and Persia (1877), p. 28.
    ${ }^{4}$ Hist. Num. ${ }^{2}$, p. 828.
    ${ }^{5}$ P. Gardner, Hist. of Ancient Coinage (1918), p. 90.
    ${ }^{6}$ These are: (1) Several of the Persian kings came to the throne young. [But none of them was so closely in touch with the Greeks, and therefore so likely to depart from the conventional bearded type; and the little mask of Pan on the reverse of the coin in question is purely Greek in style.] (2) 'The extreme rarity of the coin is a strong reason against supposing that it was issued by Cyrus, who must have used gold coins in great quantities to pay his Greek mercenaries, who received a daric or more a month.' [But there is no reason to suppose that Cyrus wanted more coins for his Greek mercenaries than other Persian kings for their vast armies. The rarity of ancient coins is also too much a matter of chance to serve as au argument.] (3) 'The weight of the example in Paris ( $8 \cdot 46 \mathrm{gm} ., 130 \cdot 5 \mathrm{gn}$.) seems to point to the period of Alexander the Great.' [The darics, on the contrary, which are shown by the style of their reverses (see below) to belong to the end of the Persian period, are not distinguished by high weights; and Regling (Klio,

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ Babelon, Perses Achém., p. 8, no. $64=$ Traité, Pl. LXXXVI. 10, describes one. Another was in the E. F. Weber Collection (Hirsch, Katal., xxi, 4407, Taf. LVIII, where it is described as having a crux ansuta as symbol in field of obverse).
    ${ }^{2}$ Babelon, Perses Achém., p. 15, no. 124, Pl. II. 22 ; Traité, II. ii, 36, Pl. LXXXVII. 24. For other views see P. Gardner, Hist. of Aucient Coinage, 1. 334 .

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ H. P. Borrell, Num. Chron., vi, 1843, p. 153, note 56.
    ${ }^{2}$ In H. P. Borrell's sale (Sotheby's, 1852, July 12-21) there were only six darics (lots 426-31), all from the Canal Hoard, and none of these was acquired by the British Museum. It is of course quite possible that certain specimens afterwards acquired from M. J. Borrell and Woodhouse and Sabatier may hare originally come from H. P. Borrell.
    ${ }^{3}$ The only two specimens extant appear to be those in Paris and London, which are from the same dies on both sides. Babelon groups with them a siglos (Traité, Pl. LXXXVI. 18) which is, to judge by his reproduction, so badly worn that the beardlessness of the figure can hardly be assured.

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ Perses Achém., p. xv. On the objections which have been raised to this identification, see above, p. cxxv, note 6.
    ${ }^{2}$ Babelon's contradiction of Head's perfectly correct description of this head is perhaps due to his having looked at the coin sideways; although even so it is difficult to see a boar's head in the object.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Miss Baldwin in Zeit. für. Num., xxxii, 1915, pp. 4-6, on the two hoards. It is supposed that what was by Löbbecke taken for a single hoard, deposited about 320 в. c., was really made up of two, the earlier of which, containing the gold coins, was buried about 360 в. с. One of the darics in question (there were four) is illustrated by Löbbecke in Zeit. für Num., xvii, 1890, Taf. VI (wrongly numbered X), 1. Recently this find has been discussed by P. Orsi in Atti e Mem. dell' Ist. Ital. di Num., iii (1917), pp. 6 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ This reverse die, apart from its distinctive markings, is recognizable by the granulation at one end of the incuse. Sir Hermann Weber possessed. another daric from the same reverse die, and one was sold at Sotheby's sale, Dec. 7, 1915, lot 1.
    ${ }^{3}$ B. V. Head, Num. Chron., 1876, p. 286, Pl. VIII. 1.
    ${ }^{4}$ Nomisma, vii.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nium. Chron., loc. cit., Pl. VIII. 6; von Fritze, loc. cit., Taf. VI. 32.
    ${ }^{2}$ Atti e Mem. dell' Ist. Ital. di Num., iii (1917), pp. 1-30.
    ${ }^{3}$ He assumes Babelon's classification to be substantially correct.

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ Num. Chron., 1914, pp. 1 ft.

[^108]:    coins still available for examination passed into the possession of Mr. E. S. G. Robinson, who presented two of them to the British Museum. Only one of the eight is without a punch-mark, and on no less than six of the others we find the same mark, no. $53 a$ in the Table, p. cxxxvii. It would appear therefore that this mark was impressed by the person who had the coins not long before they were buried.
    ${ }^{\text {i }}$ In a letter of July 25, 1919, in correction of my statement in J.H.S., xxxix, p. 124 ad fin.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is also Babelon's view : Perses Achém., p. xi.

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ With the exception of the daric attributed to Cyrus and, possibly, of one siglos. Mr. Newell informs me that one of the coins from Mr. Milne's hoard, now in his possession, though it shows the lion's head on the reverse, is of Series II $B$, thus apparently providing a link between II $A(\alpha)$ and II $B$.

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ Which must be strictly distinguished from the incuse symbols mentioned above, which form part of the reverse dies. For convenience of reference, the punch-marks which occur on coins which I have been able to examine, together with a few others drawn from casts, are collected in the accompanying table opposite. It must be remembered that these marks are usually very imperfectly impressed, and it is consequently often impossible to recognize with certainty the design, or to draw it correctly. The drawings here given, though not by a professional draughtsman, are made with a view to showing no more than is visible on the original or can be reasonably inferred by comparison with other specimens. The drawings of nos. 112 and 189 are due to Mrs. Stanley Robinson.
    ${ }^{2}$ Peises Achém., pp. xi and 7, no. 58.

[^111]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jownal of the R. Asiatic Society (1895), pp. 865 ff . I understand that he no longer maintains this view, at least in its entirety.
    ${ }^{2}$ N'um. Chron., 1914, p. 27 f. I have drawn those which are included in our table from casts of his coins. It should be said that the little table illustrating Mr. Newell's article in Num. Chron. was re-drawn in England for purposes of reproduction, and may not always do justice to his intention. He informs me that the drawings of nos. 131, 138, 139, 141 in the accompanying table may be regarded as substantially correct, and that he has quite discarded the theory of an Indian origin.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'Siebzehn Tafeln zur Ind. Paläographie' (Grundriss der Indo-Arischen Philoloyie und Altertumskunde, 1896).

[^112]:    ${ }^{1}$ He agrees (letter of July 25,1919 ) that the identification as an elephant is highly conjectural, and hardly to be accepted now.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mrs. Maunder refers, in this connexion, to the Cypro-Mycenaean cylinder, J. H.S., xxi (1901), p. 169, fig. 147. This is an example of the orb surmounted by a crescent, which is doubtless the origin of the symbol; and this crescent resting on a globe seems to be of Babylonian or Mesopotamian origin. The punch-mark with the two crescents back to back (no. 42) is also probably a lunar symbol: see Roscher's Lex. s.v. Sin, 909.
    ${ }^{3}$ As a matter of fact, I do not find on the Indian punch-marked coins in the British Museum anything corresponding exactly to the form on the sigloi except in the case of no. 22; Rapson appears, from his remark on p. 806, to have met with the same difficulty.

[^113]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the other hand the alleged Lycian $\hat{\mathbf{1}}$ (Fellows, Coins of Ancient Lycia, Pl. VIII. 2 ; Babelon, Perses Achém., p. xi) is really no. 172 in our table.

[^114]:    ${ }^{1}$ Z.f. N., xxvii, 1895, pp. 1-18, with references to Babelon (to whom the identification of Babylon as the mint of this coinage is in the first instance due) and other authorities. See also Babelon, Traité, II. ii, cols. 475-9.5, and add Amer. Journ. Num., 1915, p. 71, no. 33, a lion-coin with monogram $H^{P}$ and symbol torch, confirming Imhoof-Blumer, Monn. gr., p. 377, no. 18 (here P1. LI. 11). The attribution was attacked by Sir Henry Howorth (Num. Chron., 1904, pp. 1-38), but the theory of an Eastern origin is unshaken; for Imhoof-Blumer's reply to his critic see Z.f. N., xxxvii, 1905, pp. 1-8 = Num. Chron., 1906, pp. 17-25. It may perhaps be admitted that some of the coins were issued at other mints in the Eastern portion of Alexander's conquests; and in the remarks which follow the use of the word Babylon must be taken merely as a convenience, not excluding the possibility that some of the coins were struck elsewhere.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hill, Coins of Ancient Sicily, pp. 3, 4.
    ${ }^{2}$ Babelon, Traité, loc. cit., col. 480, says that, although they look as if they had been punched out of a sheet, the blank was really rounded (arrondi cylin(driquement) with the hammer on the anvil, and then struck cold.
    ${ }^{3}$ The curious way in which the symbols on the coins of Mazaeus are sometimes placed actually on the exergual line is to be observed; e.g. the club on no. 1, Pl. XX. 14, the pecten-shell on a coin in the McClean Collection at Cambridge, and the serpent on the Vienna coin, Num. Zeit., 1895, Taf. I. 1; Babelon, Traité, Pl. CXIY. 22.
    ${ }^{4}$ Six, Ňum. Chron., 1898, pp. 219-22, adopts a quite different distribution

[^116]:    for many of the coins, and endeavours to distinguish a group which he attributes to the years ( $317-311$ B. c.) when Antigonus was recognized as king by the Babylonians. He recognizes in the monogram $\mathcal{A P}, \mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ \pi o \lambda \iota s$, as a designation of Babylon, which is hardly plausible; and in the monogram the name of Antigonus. But why should only one group of the many coins which he ascribes to Antigonus bear this monogram? Babelon in his Tiraté follows generally Imhoof-Blumer's arrangement as modified by Six, wisely refraining from formulating a new hypothesis.
    ${ }^{1}$ Imhoof-Blumer, loc. cit., Taf. I. 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, p. cxlii, note 4 , on the coins which Six attributes to this period.

[^117]:    ${ }^{1}$ Imhoof-Blumer gives the coins with the letter $\Delta$ to the time of Seleucus. The two in this Catalogue, however, seem to mark the transition in the curve of the lion's tail from an S-shaped curve waving out behind him to the characteristic later position between his legs; they would therefore seem to come rather earlier in the series. In favour of the attribution of the scorpion coin to Seleucus is the fact that on it the seat of Ba'al has two cross-bars, a feature which is characteristic of a small group of the coins with the anchor (also of the Alexandrine tetradrachm, Müller, no. 806).
    ${ }^{2}$ The specimen at Paris, Babelon, Traité, Pl. CXV. 26, is similar (from same rev., and perhaps same obv. die).
    ${ }^{3}$ Traité, Pl. CXV. 27.
    ${ }^{4}$ Hist. Num. ${ }^{2}$, p. 829. He is inclined (cp. Num. Chron., 1906, p. 5) to regard a large proportion of the double-darics as of Eastern origin, and includes in that category no. 5 on Pl. XX, as well as the $\Sigma \Sigma T A$ MNA coin. For the latter he suggests a connexion with Stasanor, satrap of Bactria. The explanation of the mysterious word or words as the name of Stamenes is now generally discarded (the coin indeed seems later than his time), and Head himself gave up his theory that they meant ' 2 staters $=1$ mina'. A double and single daric of this type, obviously false, were in the Jenkins Collection (wts. 14.72 and 8.06 gm .; casts in the British Museum).

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Head's remarks (Num. Chron., 1906, p. 9. note 7) on the mistake in the rendering of the elephant's hind legs.

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ Imhoof-Blumer, Num. 'Zeit., xxvii (1895), p. 16.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Imhoof-Blumer, as above, pp. 11 ff.; Six, Num. Chron., 1898, pp. 22233 ; Imhoof-Blumer, Num. Zeit., 1913, pp. 171 ff.
    ${ }^{3}$ Robinson in Num. Chron., 1921, p. 37.
    ${ }^{4}$ e. g. no. 25, and one with rev. letter 「 in Mr. Newell's Collection (here Pl. LI. 16).

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ Babelon, Perses Achém., p. 15, no. 123. It is possible that this is merely one of the $K$ darics (see p. cxxvii).
    ${ }^{2}$ From the Guzman Collection (Sotheby's Sale, 1914, Pl. V. 87) ; formerly in the O'Hagan Coll. (Sotheby's Sale, 1908, Pl. X, 726).
    ${ }^{3}$ Babelon, Perses Achém., p. 14, no. 120 ; Traité, Pl. CXV. 9 ; Imhoof-Blumer, Num. Zeit., 1895, Taf. I. 10 ; here Pl. LI. 6.
    ${ }^{4}$ Egger Sale, Dec. 10, 1906, lot 407; Philipsen Collection (Hirsch, Katal. xxv , lot 3131 , Taf. XXXV). A specimen formerly in the Jenkins Collection is an obvious forgery (a cast is in the British Museum).

[^121]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. M. C., Puthia, p. xxx, note.
    ${ }^{2}$ The arguments which follow have been published in Italian in the Atti e Memorie dell' Istituto Italiano di Numismatica, vol. iii (1919), pp. 23-33. In that article I doubted the authenticity of the Cunningham stater; I have since been persuaded that my doubts were not justified.
    ${ }^{3}$ Num. Chron., 1879, p. 1.
    ${ }^{4}$ Num. Chron., 1881, p. 8. Cp. J. A. S. B., 1881, p. 173, no. 27.
    ${ }^{5}$ J. A.S. B., 1881, p. 173, nos. 26 and 27.

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dressel, Z.f. N.. xxi, p. 231 (not figured).
    ${ }^{2}$ Inedited Aisacid Coins, in Memoirs of the Oriental Section of the Imperial Russian Archaeological Society, vi, Pl. III. 1 (in Russian). K. N. Dikshit, in Indian Antiquary, xlviii (1919), p. 120, describes a bronze coin with the head of Alexander the Great (as on the coins of Ptolemy I of Egypt) on the obverse, and on the reverse a horseman $r$. with hand extended ; between horse's feet, monogram of $K$ and $E$, and. below, Greek legend $A N \Delta P$. This he expands into AN $\triangle \mathbf{P}[$ ArOP - - $]$. Thanks to the kindness of Mr. Banerji and Mr. Acharya I have obtained a cast of this piece, which appears to be an ordinary bronze coin of Cassander.
    ${ }^{3}$ J. A. S. B., 1881, pp. 169-82, 186.
    ${ }^{4}$ The Treasure of the Oxus (1905), p. 5.
    ${ }^{5}$ Sir Henry Howorth, Num. Chuon.. 1905, pp. 210 ff . There were undoubtedly a number of forgeries mingled with the genuine objects in the hoard; and each object has to be considered on its own merits.

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ For instance, the Apollo on the early regal coins of Macedon (B.M.C., Macedon, pp. 164 ff.), or at Mytilene (B. M. C., Troas, \&c., Pl. XXXVII. 10, 11) ; the Zeus of Messene (B. M.C., Pelop., Pl. XXII. 8) ; and many others, as at Cyrene (see next note).
    ${ }^{2}$ Head, Coins of the Ancients, Plate 34. 18 and 26. The resemblance to the Metapontine type was pointed out to me by Mr. A. B. Cook. Compare too the 'Ammon' types of Tenos (ibid., Pl. 32. 27) and Cyrene (Pl. 47.44, with the taenia).

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ E. S., Pl. XX. 15.
    ${ }^{2}$ The third specimen at Berlin is unfortunately not illustrated by Dressel, Z. f. N., xxi, p. 231. In my article in the Atti e Mem. dell Ist. Ital. the reverses of nos. 3 and 5 in the plate have unfortunately been interchanged.
    ${ }^{3}$ The late Mr. Jenkins of Brighton also had a specimen, similar in details to Gen. Pearse's, but from different dies and of still worse workmanship (wt. 7.74 gm .).

[^125]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Robinson called my attention to this piece of evidence.
    ${ }^{2}$ The third specimen, which is illustrated by Markoff, is apparently from the same dies.

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. M. C., Lycia, \&c., Pl. XXVI. 6.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. M.C., Pontus, Pl. XXIX. 20. I consider, however, that the objects which appear above the decorated stephane are not meant to represent city walls, but are some kind of ornament, like the points on the crown of the Hera of Argos (see J. H. S., xxi, p. 41, note 1).

[^127]:    ${ }^{1}$ Num. Chron., 1890, p. 38.
    ${ }^{2}$ Howorth, Num. Chron., 1905, p. 213. There exists a very clever forgery of the piece, shown at the British Museum in Nov. 1919. It weighs 8.35 gm ., and appears to be struck from a die copied extremely closely from our specimen; so closely that in a photograph the differences would not be discernible. But every detail which is solid in the Museum specimen is weaker, sometimes to the point of being absent, in the other; an instance is the clecoration of the seam of the garment outlining the shoulder. Yet the false coin is not in any degree worn. Generally speaking, the design has gone to pieces, as usually happens in copying. The false dies were possibly based on a mechanical reproduction of the original coin.
    ${ }^{3}$ The knot and ends are similarly omitted on the well-known satrapal coin, Head, Coins of the Aucients, III, A 27 ; and as the coin of Mallus, B. II. C., Lycconia, \&c., Pl. XVII. 9, shows, even when the knot is represented the tie does not always have loose ends.

[^128]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dalton, op. cit., p. 103, no. 105, and Pl. XV.
    ${ }^{2}$ (1p. cit., p. 172, no. 23.

[^129]:    ${ }^{3}$ Specimens of these double staters and staters have been forged by the Rawal Pindi craftsmen. In the late Mr. Jenkins's collection were false specimens of both denominations, weighing 15.9 .5 and 8.11 gm . respectively (casts are in the British Museum). See above, pexlv.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Rapson in Num. Chron., 1893, pp. 204-6.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Justi, İan. Namenbuch, p. 104, who gives the ancient authorities.

[^131]:    ${ }^{1}$ Oxyartes was the name of the satrap who received Paropamisus and India after the death of Alexander, who had married his daughter Roxane (Arrian 6, 15, 3).
    ${ }^{2}$ Chronicle, transl. by V. Langlois, Venice, 1869, p. 79.
    ${ }^{3}$ On p. 194, no. 2, obverse, ㄱำ is a misprint for ㄱำ.

    + See Dalton, pp. 48-50.

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the discussion in Dalton, p. 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ In Corolla Numismatica (1906), pp. 63-97. Since this introduction was written, and as it is on the point of going to the printer, M. Jacques de Morgan has published (C. K. de l'Acud. des Inscr., 1920, pp. 132 ff.) a brief summary of his views on the reading of the legends, classification, and chronology of the coins of Persis. I have not, at this late stage, attempted to modify the present section in the light of his views; on all the main questions we are in substantial agreement.
    ${ }^{3}$ Drouin in Serrure's Bulletin de Numismatique, 1900, p. 93. Marquart (according to Holwerda, Levensbericht can J. P. Six, p. 46) professed to decipher on some coins the mint-name Patrsa-stachra = Persepolis; but no details are given.

[^133]:    ${ }^{1}$ An interesting forgery in gold, of the types of Namopat, with attempts at Greek as well as Pehlvi lettering, was presented to the British Museum in 1908 by A. J. Kharaman Khan.
    ${ }^{2}$ Allotte de la Fuye, no. 18.
    ${ }^{3}$ ibid., no. $1^{2}$.

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ N'um. Chron., 1856, p. 145, fig. 6; Levy, Z. D. M. G., 1867, p. 49.5. Taf. I. 1; Mordtmann, Z.f. N., iv, p. 157, no. 5; Allotte de la Fuÿe, Cor: Num., p. 80, no. $3^{2}$. Gardner had already suspected its genuineness.
    ${ }^{2}$ e. g. Egger, Kutul., xli (1912), Taf. XXI. 786.

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'The signs for $y$ and $i$ are often indistinguishable on these coins. But the Jameson specimen, which I saw after the text of this Catalogue was printed off, seems on the whole to support the reading Bagawarat, for the second letter is not like the $i$ in ZI or ALaHIA.
    ${ }^{2}$ Z. D. M. G., xlix, 1895, p. 684; Gesch. Irans, p. 487.
    ${ }^{3}$ Rev. Num., 1910, p. 233.
    ${ }^{4}$ No. 1, 1. 5; no. 2, 1.5 ; Sachau, Aram. Pap. u. Ostr. aus . . . Elephantine, 1911, p. 12. Sachau translates 'Oberer' : an official title from Iranian fratara with suffix $k$ :

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ Justi, Iran. Nomenb. : Biurat. son of Bagarat, and another Biurat, brother of Bagarat, both from Armenian sources. Col. Allotte de la Fuÿe's words are: 'Ce nom de Biurat, incomnu daus l'onomastique achéménide, qui fouruit presque tous les noms des premiers sonverains de la Perside, semble spécialement arménien, et quelque séduisant qu'il soit de comparer les noms de Biurat et Bagarat avec les lectures possibles' (des noms) 'associés sur nos monuaies, ce qui pourrait conduire ì reprendre l'hypothèse aujourd'hui condamnée de l'attribution des monnaies à l'Arménie, il faut peut-être hésiter it adopter ces lectures possibles mais douteuses et leur en préférer d’autres plus en rapport avec l'onomastique achéménide: la question est certes très embarrassante, et j'avoue qu'en rejetant la lecture Bagarat pour adopter Bagadat je n'ai pas eu d'autres raisons que d'éviter un nom arménien.' He even inclines to a return to the reading Bagakert, which is etymologically sound though epigraphically disputable.

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp., too, the towers represented on the dress of the archers of Susa, Perrot and Chipiez, v, p. 766.
    ${ }^{2}$ All the varieties collected by Allotte de la Fü̈e, Cor. Num., pp. 83-5.
    ${ }^{3}$ Z. D. M. G., xlix (1895), p. 685.

[^138]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the reading see Drouin in Serrure's Bull. de Ňum.. vii, 1900, p. 97.

[^139]:    ${ }^{1}$ Șometimes, as in the Luynes specimen, here Pl. LII. 11, the battlements are plain.

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ Allotte de la Fuyje, no. 23.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cor. Num., nos. 34-6.

[^141]:    ${ }^{1}$ Drouin (in Serrure's Bull. de Num., vii. 1900, p. 97) and Sarre (in Lehmann's Beitriige, iii (1903). pp. 349-50) take the bird on the standard for the cock, a solar symbol, like the triskeles. But, bad as the art of these coins is, it is impossible to admit that the engraver meant the bird for a cock. The older royal Persian standard in Xenophon's time bore a golden eagle.
    ${ }^{2}$ Wroth gives these coins to Mithradates II. Allotte de la Fuÿe (Cor. Num., p. 95) accepts Wroth's classification for the purpose of dating the Persid coins. I must confess that the high relief of these coins seems to me more in keeping with the earlier date.

[^142]:    ${ }^{1}$ A particularly fine drachm in Col. J. Biddulph's Collection ( 3.86 gm .) is illustrated in Pl. LIII. 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pseudo-Lucian. Macrobii, 15 (218).
    ${ }^{3}$ The Greek $\Gamma \omega \sigma i \theta_{p} \eta$ s is a regular transcription of the Avestic Gaoçithra.

[^143]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cor. Num., p. 94, Pl. 111. 77. The obverse shows the bust of the king in a tiara with a crescent on it, and a triskeles in the field behind. like the drachm of Darius in the present Catalogue (Pl. XXXII. 8).
    ${ }^{2}$ Blau (Num. Zeit., 1877, p. 88) had already attempted to read 'Hurahshatr' ' instead of 'Arthshatr' on some of the coins of the earlier Persepolitan series, but without any success.
    ${ }^{3}$ The inscription begins at the top left-hand comer. See A. de la Fuye. no. 69.
    ${ }^{4}$ Thomas, Num. Chron. 1850, p. 77.
    ${ }^{5}$ Levy. Z. D. M. G.. 1867, p. 462 ; Drouin in Serrure's Bull. de Num.. vii, 1900, p. 98 ; Allotte de la Fuye. Cor. Num.. p. 93.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Drouin, Rer. Arch.. 1884, iv, pp. 165. 231.

[^144]:    ${ }^{1}$ Col. Allotte de la Fuye possesses an musual drachm on which the king wears no crown, but is diademed.
    ${ }^{2}$ For it is obviously not composed of any signs found in the writing of the time. Something of the same kind appears on Col. Biddulph's drachm of Darius II (Pl. LIII. 1).
    ${ }^{3}$ Apart from that which has been generously afforded by Col. de la Fuye himself and M. de Morgan in correspondence with me.
    ${ }^{4}$ In his Lianisches Namenbuch and Gesch. Iians (pp. 487-8). Though following Mordtmann on the whole, he makes a number of useful corrections in the latter's reading\%.

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Justi, Iıan. Namenbuch, s. v. Nemōpat; Drouin in Serrure's Bull. de Nrmism., vii, 1900, p. 98. Mordtmann's reading of the name as 'Yezdekert ' (Z. $f$. N., iv, p. 176) is manifestly wrong.
    ${ }^{2}$ Justi (op. cit., p. 37) reads the inscription, on a coin illustrated by Mordtmann (loc. cit., Pl. II. 17), as 'Artanōbōčat (?)'; whether he is trusting entirely to Mordtmann's facsimile, he does not say. The coin is of the same type as our no. 10, Pl. XXXIV. 15, and the little piece on which Drouin (loc. cit.) reads Namōpat.
    ${ }^{3}$ Called 'Prince X' by M. de Morgan.
    ${ }^{4} Z . f . N .$, iv. p. 178.
    ${ }^{5}$ Iran. Nam., p. 239, no. 10.

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ Which suggests Vehvehnam (Justi, p. 360).
    ${ }^{2}$ It is true that there appears to be one minim too many on this piece for my reading.
    ${ }^{3}$ Z. f. N., iv. p. 179. nos. 119 ff .
    ${ }^{4}$ Iran. Nam., p. 159, no. 4. The reading Kawāt (Qobīd $)$ is in the first place due to Thomas (Num. Choon., 1850, p. 105).

[^147]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cor: N'tm.. p. 94, no. 78. Pl 111.
    ${ }^{2}$ pp. 179-80. no. 119.
    ${ }^{3}$ On the earlier coins I can find no evidence of 9 having taken this form, althougli Drouin and others record it in their tables as a Proto-Pehlvi form. and it is found on the Parthian coins with Pehlvi inscriptions. Col. de la Fuÿe confirms me in the identification of the P: 'c'est une des lettres qui conserve le mieux sa forme dans toute la numismatique de la Perside et qui se distingue nettement dans les légendes plus ou moins barbares.'
    ${ }^{4}$ Whether this form is found elsewhere I do not know, nor whether it may merely be a variety of Kavat (which is Kaßáöns, Qobād); but I suggest that it is, in view of the fact that Artapates may, through Artabates, become Ardoates (i. e. Ardavat) ; see Justi, Iran. Nam., p. 21, s. v. Ardoates. The reading Napāt is preferred by M. de Morgan.
    ${ }^{5}$ Iran. Nam.. p. 154.

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ ' Prince Y' according to M. de Morgan's nomenclature.
    ${ }^{2}$ This type already occurs under Namōpat (p. 227, nos. 11, 12, P1. XXXIV. 16,17 ).

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thomas (Num. Chron., 1872, p. 42) read Aturdat Malkī. Mordtmann ( $Z . f$. N., iv, p. 183, after no. 147) considered the piece false, but gave no $^{\text {n }}$ reasons. Before it was cleaned, it certainly had an unpleasant appearance, but there seems no ground for doubting its authenticity. Col. Allotte de la Fuÿe agrees that it is genuine, but inclines to read M(?)iT(?)RDaT as on the Arsacid coins of Mithradates VI (whose date, A. D. 116 according to Gutschmid, would agree fairly well with that of our coin).
    ${ }^{2}$ Iran. Nam., p. 192, no. 3.

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ Col. Allotte de la Fuÿe also reads MTR on a piece in his collection. He suggests a connexion with the reading MTRDT which he proposes for the coin which I have read VTPRDT (above, p. clxxix, note 1).
    ${ }^{2}$ A suggestion which he supposes to be confirmed by the large number of coins which he has ascribed to the first king of that name. But most of those coins are of Kapat, as has been shown above.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Col}$. Allotte de la Fuye thinks that the letters on the left of the head on the reverse of p. 243, no. 1, the source of Mordtmann's ' $\mathbf{~ J}$. come from an earlier partly effaced inscription, or from a countermark. They seem to me,
    

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ Num. Chron., 1872, Pl. II. 5.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mom. A)sac., Subursac., \&̌c. (1889), Pl. I. 686.
    ${ }^{3}$ İan. Nam., p. 327, no. 9.

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Mao and Mithro on coins of Kanishka, B. M. C., Greeh and Scythic Kings, Pl. XXVI. 9, 10.
    ${ }^{2}$ Weissbach in Pauly-Wissowa, r, 1908, cols. 2458-67, gives a convenient

[^153]:    summary of its geography and history, with full references, which need not here be repeated.
    ${ }^{1}$ Wagner in Roscher's Lexikon, s.v. Nana.
    ${ }^{2}$ Wroth, B. M. C., Parthia, Pl. XI. 14 and XVII. 7 ff., and p. lxxvi.

[^154]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sieveking's notion (Rer. Arch., 1903, i, p. 344 f.) that this is a portrait of

[^155]:    Antiochus IV as suzerain of Kamnaskires, and Blum's (B.C.H., 1915, p. 24) that, if it is a Seleucid, it would more probably be Antiochus V, need only be mentioned here.

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rev. Num., 1919, pp. 59-60, Pl. I. 1, 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ See his latest views: Rer. Num., 1919, p. 61 and pp. 71-2.
    ${ }^{3}$ Presumably the coin published by Babelon, Mélanges, iii, Pl. VII. 6.

[^157]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the nature of the Aramaic script of the coins of Elymais, and the differences as between the tetradrachons and the smaller coins, perhaps pointing to local influence, see Rev. Num., 1919, p. 69.
    ? Mission de Morgan, Pl. XIV, nos. 142-4.
    ${ }^{3}$ ibid., Pl. XIV, nos. 150-2. The tiara of the king on these coins is described as adorned with two crescents containing pellets.

[^158]:    ${ }^{1}$ Well seen on the Paris specimen, Pl. LIII. 14.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mission de Morgan, p. 52, nos. 70-116 and 145, here P1. XL. 20 ff . and Pl. LIII. 15 (Paris specimen).
    ${ }^{3}$ See especially the fine specimen from the Petrowicz Collection, Rev. Num., 1919, p. 68, and Pl. I. 10.

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ Discussed by Allotte de la Fuÿe, Rev. Num., 1919, p. 67 f. The lettering is somewhat peculiar, but there can be little doubt that the last two letters of the king's name ( $\boldsymbol{\sim} \mathbf{N}$ ) are legible in the first line of the inscription. The ת approximates to the Mandaean form, as shown in Allotte de la Fuÿes table on p. 43 of the Mission de Morgan, t. viii.
    ${ }^{2}$ None of the coins with these types bears any inscription ; Allotte de la Fuye's attribution of them depends on the resemblance of the bust to that on inscribed coins.
    ${ }^{3}$ Line engravings of four specimens in Mission de Morgan, viii, p. 31; photographic reproductions, ibid., Pl. XIII. 138; Petrowicz, Taf. XXI. 12; B. M. C., Pathia, Pl. XXXVII. 4 ; Rev. Num, 1919, Pl. II. 21. On p. 70 of the last-mentioned publication will be found Allotte de la Fuye's latest view of the question.

[^160]:    1 'Cette monnaie, unique dans la trouvaille de 1900 , était très rare dans les trouvailles précédentes' (Rev. Num., 1919, p. 70). It is not clear whether this means that some, though but few, specimens have been found in other hoards of coins of Elymais, or merely that the coin is rarely found at all. I assume the latter alternative, since no information confirming the former is given about the two specimens in the Petrowicz Collection or the one in the British Museum ; and no other specimens are known.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rev. Nuim., 1919, p. 72. De Morgan's classification is given on p. 76.
    ${ }^{3}$ Orodes IV according to de Morgan, who distinguishes Kamnaskires-Orodes (as Orodes III) from Orodes II.
    ${ }^{4}$ Mission de Morgan, viii, p. 52, no. 153.

[^161]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rev. Num., 1919, pp. 73 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ This objection does not apply if, as we have supposed above, the later coins are an instance of the immobilization of types.

[^162]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the elaborate account of the place by Andreas in Pauly-Wissowa, Real-Encycl., i, 1390-5. For Characene generally see also Weissbach's articles in the same work, iii. 2116 and 2122. Babelon's article on the coinage was not a vailable at the time when these articles were written; but the evidence of the coins is still,ignored in the Supplement i. 283.
    ${ }^{2}$ Journal int. l"urch. numism., i (1898), pp. 381-404 = Mélanyes Nunnism., iii, $221-50$; with references to previous writings, of which the most important is Waddington's article in Rev. Num., $1866=$ Mélanges de Numism., ii, 77-107.
    ${ }^{3}$ In this general series there are 14 coins from Attambelos II down to the end of the series with Greek inscriptions, and 38 of the series with Aramaic inscriptions, of the provenance of which no record has been preserved. That means, in all probability, that they were acquired before about 1838 , and they may well have come from Rich's collection. As regards the 38 Aramaic coins this probability of their common provenance is strongly supported by their general appearance.

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apparently an unpublished variety : obr. king standing l. at altar as usual, inscr. obscure ; rev. goddess Ardochsho (?) seated to front, nimbate, head r., holding in r. cornucopiae; on l. inscr. obscure, on r. monogram as Indian Mus. Catal., i, Pl. VII. 154.
    ${ }^{2}$ Plin. N. II., vi. 139. Detlefsen reads Saydodonacus; vv. 1l. are Saggodanacus, Saggonadacus, [S]aggodadacus. Though the analogy of Apodakos would point to one of the last two forms, that of A $\delta a \delta \nu a \delta \iota \nu a \chi \eta s$, found on bricks at Tello, confirms Detlefsen's reading, even if the two persons are not the same man. See Drouin, Rev. Num., 1889, p. 377, and Babelon, p. 244, on this question. Hyspaosines is also mentioned by Pseudo-Lucian in a passage which it is
    
    
    
     ảлò $\Pi a ́ p \theta \omega \nu$ є’ßaбìえєvбє.
    ${ }^{3}$ So far as I can ascertain, there is no absolutely certain evidence that the Seleucid era is that which is employed on the Characenian coins; but its assumption, in itself extremely probable, does not lead to any contradiction of such other evidence as we possess.
    ${ }^{4}$ The references to Babelon's article are to the reprint in his Mélanges.

[^164]:    ${ }^{1}$ The latter is a specimen, an electrotype of which has long been in the British Museum, and which has recently been acquired by Sir Charles Oman. In spite of the difference in the portraits on the two coins there can be no doubt of the genuineness of either this or the British Muscum specimen.
    ${ }^{2}$ Von Sallet, Z. f. N., iii, 1876, p. 249; viii, 1881, p. 213.
    ${ }^{3}$ The inscription is probably $\Sigma \Omega$ THPO乏 KAI EYEPTETOY, but of the conjunction small trace is left.
    ${ }^{4}$ As in such a phrase as $\tau \rho i \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho a=$ the day after next. This is the view of Winckler (Altoriental. Forschungen, ii, p. 79).

[^165]:    ${ }^{1} \Delta \equiv \Sigma$ is possible, but the top of the first letter seems to have been round rather than angular.
    ${ }^{2}$ I append an analysis which Dr. Alexander Scott has been good enough to make of a specimen of the coinage of Attambelos 1I:

    |  | per cent. | original composition. |
    | :--- | :---: | :---: |
    | Silver | 29.44 | 33.57 |
    | Copper | 57.92 | 66.05 |
    | Iron | 0.24 | 0.27 |
    | Lead and tin | 0.09 | 0.11 |
    | Oxygen | 6.84 |  |
    | Chlorine | 1.46 |  |
    | Lime | 1.84 |  |
    | Magnesia | 0.20 |  |
    | Water | 1.93 |  |
    |  | 99.96 | 100.00 |

[^166]:    ${ }^{1}$ V．Langlois，Numismatique des Arabes arant l＇Islamisme，Pl．II． 4 （Paris Collection）．
    ${ }^{2}$ The Attambelos mentioned by Dio（lxviii．28）is not，as Babelon supposes， this one，but the contemporary of Trajan．
    ${ }^{3}$ A forgery exists with the reading MINNI［AP，AD having been turned into M，and P「＾O to I［AP．See E．Corsini，de Minnisari aliorumque Armeniae regum nummis，\＆c．，Liburni， 1754.
    ${ }^{4}$ Aut．xx．2．2．His form is confirmed by the name of the merchant M．Valerius Abinnericus，known from Pompeian inscriptions：C．I．L．，iv． $5611-20$ ；cp．A．W．van Buren in Cluss．Journal，xv（1920），p． 406.

[^167]:    ${ }^{1}$ On which see Drouin in Rev. Num., 1889, p. 226 . If. M. de Morgan is right in his reading 'IB'INGA'I on the later coins (Num. Chron., 1920, p. 126), the form Adinergaos is perhaps to be preferred. On the other hand, the name Nergal is a likely element in a proper name of this district. I have therefore kept the more usual form in -los.
    ${ }^{2}$ A good specimen dated 372 is illustrated in Hirsch's hatalog xiii, Taf. LVII. 4544.
    ${ }^{3}$ If $\bar{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon$ is correct, we must emend $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \omega \\ \nu \\ \text { to } \\ \epsilon \ddot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \\ \text { (and a reign of } 86 \text { years }\end{gathered}$ would be something of a marvel); unless the age 86 is that at which he was restored, in which case the important point, the age at which he died, is omitted.

[^168]:    ${ }^{1}$ This suggestion must not be regarded as subscribing to Hugo Winckler's notion (Altoriental. Forschungen, ii, p. 79) that Artabazos is another real name for Attambelos.

[^169]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dio Cass. lxxviii. 28. The texts have ' $A \theta$ á $\mu \nless \lambda \lambda o s(a ̉ \theta a ́ \beta \eta \lambda o s, a ̉ \theta a ́ \beta ı \lambda o s$, ó $\sigma a ́ \mu(\beta \eta$ доs).
    ${ }^{2}$ The sign appears to consist of two circles supported on an upright placed on a horizontal base, and superficially resembles a Roman standard. It is this sign which is read as $\boldsymbol{\Pi} \mathbf{N}$ by M. de Morgan (see above, p. cci).
    ${ }^{3}$ Babelon, Pl. VII. 2.
    ${ }^{4}$ The script, as Drouin has shown, comes closest to the Mandaean form (Rev. Num., 1889, p. 225).

[^170]:    ${ }^{1}$ Monnaies araméennes de la Characène, in Rev. Num., 1889, pp. 211-54, 360-84. He gives full references to earlier writers. Recently M. J. de Morgan has reopened the whole question (Num. Chron., 1920, pp. 122-40).
    ${ }^{2}$ Drouin's statement (p. 200) that the coin (no. 2) in the British Museum is the identical piece seen by Saint-Martin in the collection of 'Tôchon d'Anneey, and that it was bought after the latter's death in 1820 by Curt, who presented it to the British Museum, is based on information for which I have been unable to discover any foundation. The British Museum coin appears to me to be different from the illustrations of the Tôchon piece. Scott's illustration in the Num. Chron. is male from our two specimens.
    ${ }^{3}$ Of three specimens at Berlin, all are without the point at the beginning, and two without that after the first letter.

[^171]:    ${ }^{1}$ With the help of a Berlin coin of the types of Group D (his Pl. V. 7), which he supposes to have only this shorter inscription, instead of the longer one characteristic of Group D. I doubt this very much. M. de Morgan (Num. Chron., 1920, p. 128) reads [M]ADABAZ ; but the letter which he takes for D is, I think, merely a $T$ reversed.
    ${ }^{2}$ On p. 378 f . he more or less gives up the coiffure as a criterion.

[^172]:    ${ }^{1}$ Drouin, p. 370.
    ${ }^{2}$ Num. Chron., 1920, p. 127 f.
    ${ }^{3}$ In some of M. de Morgan's drawings it assumes the ordinary form, but I have not noticed any such cases in the British Museum series.

[^173]:    ${ }^{1}$ Iran. Numenb., p. 33, no. 6.

[^174]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Drouin, p. 382, on this subject.
    ${ }^{2}$ For previous publications see Köhne in Berl. Mzbl., iii (1866), p. 262 ; Longpérier, E'uvres, i, pp. 309-15 ; A. von Sallet, Z.f. N., iii (1876), p. 250 f. and viii (1881), 215-16; Drouin, Rev. Num., 1889, pp. 375-6; Justi, Iran. Namenbuch, p. 105 ; Babelon, Mél. Num., 1ii, pp. 242-3.

[^175]:    ${ }^{1}$ The two coins in the British Museum do not come from Rich's hoard. Babelon does not state the provenance of the eight in the Paris Collection.
    ${ }^{2}$ The reading has been recently discussed (in J.R.A.S., 1912, 1913) by Kennedy and Thomas, the former reading the letter in question as $s h$, the latter as $r$. See also J. Kirste, Orabazes, in Sbr. Kais, Akad. IViss. in Wien., Phil. hist. Ǩlasse, 182.2 (1917).
    ${ }^{3}$ N.H. vi. 28. 145 'A Petra incoluere Omani ad Characen usque oppidis quondam claris ab Samiramide conditis Abaesanaide et Soractia. Nunc sunt solitudines. Deinde est oppidum quod Characenorum regi paret in Pasitigris ripa, Forat nomine, in quod a Petra conveniunt, Characenque inde XII p. secundo aestu navigant'. These Omani can of course have had no connexion with the ancient ports of Omana in S. Arabia and in Carmania, or with the modern land of Oman.

    * Euvres, i, pp. 200-6. Longpérier understands Pliny to mean that Forat was one of the cities of the Omani, whereas his words clearly imply the contrary. On Forat, which was the head of a caravan route to Palmyra as well as of that to Petra, see Le Bas-Waddington 2589 and I. G. R. R., iii, 1051 and 1052. These two inscriptions date from A.D. 210-11 and A. D. 142 respectively. It is a curious coincidence that the coins of Meredates belong

[^176]:    to the year A. D. 142-3. Forat is supposed to be the Ferath di Misan of the Syrian writers. Longpérier may be referred to for all the earlier literature on the subject.
    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Drouin in Rev. Num., 1889, p. 376, note 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cp. W. H. Scott in Num. Chron., xix (1858), p. 227.
    ${ }^{3}$ Longpérier, p. 202.
    ${ }^{4}$ The helmet or tiara with hooked appendages first appears on the Parthian coins of Volagases II (A. D. 77/8-146/7).

[^177]:    ${ }^{1}$ E. g. B. M. C., Parthia, Pl. XIX. 9.
    ${ }_{2}^{2}$ It had occurred to me before I found it in von Gutschmid, Gesch. Irans, p. 161; Justi, Gesch. Irans, p. 509 ; Iran. Namenb., p. 213, no. 42. These writers both accept the reading OMAN and regard Meredates as king of the Omani.

[^178]:    7. 1900. Reichardt, Sale Catal., 1899, lot 313 .
    1. 9. 190s. L. Hamburger.
[^179]:    1. 1908 . L. Hamburger.
    2. 1872. Edward Wigan. De Saulcy, p. 355. 1.
[^180]:    1-8. 1920. A. A. Hasanaly, Aden. From a find at Marib?

[^181]:    1-3. 1919. Mr. Oswald Bakewell.
    4. 1824. R. Payne-k̉night Bequest. Num. Vet.,

[^182]:    64. 1909. Lincoln. 65, 66. 1913. Lincoln. 67. 1919. Preeented by Mr. J. Copland. 68. 18!2. Chevalier d'Horta. Cast (?). 69. Same obv. die as Huntrian specimen (Macdonald, Pl. LXXIX. 4), and as three specimens at Paris.
[^183]:    160. See T. Combe, Vet. Pop. et Reg. Num.. p. 231, no. 3. Same obv. die as no. 159. 161. 1843. Dr. Milles, Dean of Exeter. 162. See Combe, luc. cit. 163. Same obv. die as no. 162. 164. 1877. Bank of England Gift. 165. 1824. R. Payne Knight Bequest. Num. Vet., p. 195, no. 3.
[^184]:    ${ }^{1}$ All coins have a border of dots on both sides and inseription arranged as usual.

[^185]:    7，8． 18 －8．Subhy Pacha Sale，lots $3939,3910 . \quad$ 9．B．M．C．Parthia，Pl．XXXVII． 10.

[^186]:    ${ }^{1}$ The four sections of the in-cription are divided, in the facsimiles, by small upright dashes.

    1. 1S91. Dr. Lulbicz. (from the Froehmer (ollection).

    2 bis. 1990. Spink
    3. 1856. Presented by J. C. Lumsden, Bombay Civil Service. 4. 1848. Lt.-Col. Claude Steuart. Num. Chron., 1850, p. 68, no. 5; p. 10 -. Z. D. M. G., 1867, Taf. II. 2. Z.f. N., IV, p. 169, no. 50. 5. 1917. Presented by G. F. Hill. Pierced. Glendining Sale, 15. iii. 1917. lot 23.

[^187]:    1，2．1903．Noury Bey．

[^188]:    3. 1878. Subhi Sale. Rev. Num., 1902, p. 103, no. 6, Pl. V. 6.
[^189]:    1, 2. 1900. Rollin and Feuardent. (found at Susa ?). 4. 1916. Presented by Rev. Edgar Rogers (from 'Teheran). 5. 1909. Presented by Lt. A. T. Wilson found at Susa).
    6. 1909. As no. 3.

[^190]:    2．1840．R．Steuart．Waddington，p．S9．4，Pl．VI． 9.
    3．Waddington，p．89． 5.

[^191]:    1. From C. J. Rich (?). Num. Chron., 1856, no. 7 (obv.) on Plate at p. 1.
[^192]:    1. 1891. Lubicz.
    1. 1901. W. T. Ready.
[^193]:    C'tutalogre of Arabia.

[^194]:    ${ }^{1}$ The section of the Exhibition to which this Guide refers has been slightly modified by the omission of some of the later coins and the addition of a supplement containing select bronze Greek coins and recent acquisitions of importance.
    ${ }^{2}$ The section of the Exhibition to which this Guide refers las been considerably modified.

