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## PREFACE

The text of this edition is based on that of BaiterSauppe (Zürich, 1839), but advantage has been taken of the work of other, especially of later, editors. Minor changes have been made in the orthography. Important departures from the Baiter-Sauppe text are indicated in the foot-notes.

In the translation, the aim has been to produce a version at once faithful and readable. Occasionally the long sentences of Isocrates have been broken up into smaller units for the sake of clearness, but generally the sentence structure is deliberately preserved even in the face of the current English usage. It was not found possible, however, to carry over the Isocratean figures of language throughout without producing an effect in English so curious as to be un-Isocratean. It seemed more important to preserve the general tone and the rhythmical quality of the original.

I am under obligations to Mr. Floyd A. Spencer,
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Associate Professor of Greek in Ohio Wesleyan University, and to Miss Maud E. Craig, Assistant Professor of Classics in the University of Colorado, for valuable assistance in the preparation of the first volume.

GEORGE NORLIN.
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## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

At the age of ninety-seven, a year before his death, Isocrates published the Panathenaicus, one of the most ambitious of his discourses. He had been interrupted in the composition of it by a three years' illness, and it was only upon the urgency of his friends that he rose above his weakness and carried it through to completion. ${ }^{a}$ It is not up to the level of his earlier work; his powers have manifestly declined ; above all, the strong vanity of his artistic temperament, ${ }^{b}$ whose frank expression elsewhere often offends the modern reader, ${ }^{c}$ here falls into a senile querulousness as he sees the labours of his otherwise fortunate life failing of universal approval and acclaim. ${ }^{d}$

Yet the discourse is remarkable not so much for its senility as for its unflagging devotion to Athens. It is significant that the last discourse as well as the first great effort of his career, the Panegyricus, extols the noble history of the city of his fathers. Love of Athens is the one passion of his dispassionate
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nature ; and second only to this is his love of Hellas. Or rather, both of these feelings are blended into a single passion-a worship of Hellenism as a way of life, a saving religion ${ }^{a}$ of which he conceives Athens to be the central shrine ${ }^{b}$ and himself a prophet commissioned by the gods ${ }^{c}$ to reconcile the quarrels of the Greeks and unite them in a crusade against the barbarian world.

The course of events during the distressing period of history, through which he lived accorded badly with his dreams. His own writings as well as those of his contemporaries reflect the fatal incapacity of the Greek city-state either to surrender any degree of its autonomy in the interest of a national unity or to leave inviolate the autonomy of other states. Athens, Sparta, and Thebes, each in turn held for a time a place of supremacy only to provoke by aggression general hatred and rebellion. The several states came to feel more bitter against each other than against their common enemy, the Persian Empire, and did not scruple to court the favour and use the aid of the " Great King" in their selfish rivalries and wars. ${ }^{d}$ Indeed, the hope of a united Hellas became more and more the shadow of a shadow, until at last all Greece, exhausted and demoralized by mutual warfare, submitted herself perforce to the leadership of Philip of Macedon.

Yet Isocrates never to the end of his life gave up his purpose, ${ }^{e}$ and it was doubtless this disinterested enthusiasm for a great cause, together with unusual
${ }^{a}$ Croiset, op. cit. iv. p. 480 : "Une image idéale de la grandeur hellénique, une belle idole, à laquelle il rend un culte qui tient de la religion et de la poésie."

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { b Paneg. 50; Antid. 295-299. } & \text { e Philip } 149 . \\
\text { d Panath. 158-160. }
\end{array}
$$
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" health of body and soul" $a$ and a degree of philosophical detachment from the heat and dust of conflict, which extended the span of his life over a century of extraordinary vicissitudes and disenchantments.

Much of the tradition regarding his life must be received with caution. The formal biographies of him which have come down to us are late compilations ${ }^{b}$ in which gossip is so confused with fact that we can safely credit them only when their statements are confirmed by his contemporaries or by Isocrates himself. ${ }^{c}$

He was born in 436 в.c., five years before the beginning of the Peloponnesian War, and died in 338, after the battle of Chaeronea. He was one of five children-four boys and one girl. Of his mother we know only that her name was Heduto. His father, Theodorus, carried on a business in the manufacture of flutes, and was prosperous enough to perform expensive services for the state and to give his children a good education. ${ }^{d}$ Isocrates says in the Antidosis that he himself had such advantages in this regard as to give him greater prominence among his fellow-students than he later enjoyed among his fellow-citizens. ${ }^{e}$
This little is all we know with certainty about his

## ${ }^{a}$ Panath. 7.

${ }^{b}$ That of Dionysius of Halicarnassus prefixed to his essay on Isocrates; that of Photius; that attributed to Plutarch, in the Lives of the Ten Orators; and the anonymous Life, sometimes attributed to Zosimus; also the article by Suidas. See Westermann, Biographi Graeci, pp. 245-259.

- Some of his works are largely autobiographical, especially the Antidosis, the Panathenaicus, and the letters.
${ }^{〔}$ See Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. pp. 2, 3.
- Antid. 161.
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formal training. We have from his biographers the tradition that he profited not only by the established education of the Athenian youth of his time but also by the new learning which the sophists had introduced as a preparation for citizenship and practical success. ${ }^{a}$ Indeed, he is said to have gone to school to almost all of the professors of wisdom of his generation ${ }^{b}$--which can be true only in the sense that he made himself acquainted with all the intellectual forces which were stirring in his day and was stimulated by their influence.

He has, however, a rather clear relationship to two of the greatest teachers of this period. One of these was Gorgias of Leontini, the most renowned sophist of the rhetorical school, under whom it is likely that he was at one time a student. ${ }^{c}$ Gorgias had visited Athens as a special ambassador from Leontini in 427, when Isocrates was a boy, and had then carried the Athenians off their feet by the brilliance of his oratory ${ }^{d}$-an oratory that was hardly prose but akin to poetry : rhythmical, ornate, and making its appeal, not to the intellect alone, but to the senses and the imagination as well. Later he spent some time in Athens, where his lectures were immensely popular. ${ }^{e}$ Next we hear of him as the orator at the Olympic Festival of 408, pleading with the assembled Greeks to reconcile their quarrels and
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unite in a war against the barbarians. Afterwards he settled down in Thessaly, where Isocrates is said to have heard his lectures. ${ }^{a}$

Isocrates was without doubt greatly influenced by Gorgias. He probably owes to his teaching and example the idea which he later made peculiarly his own, namely, that the highest oratory should concern itself with broad, pan-Hellenic themes, and that the style of oratory should be as artistic as that of poetry and afford the same degree of pleasure. ${ }^{b}$

But when we attempt to estimate definitely what he took from Gorgias in the matter of style we are on uncertain ground. The speeches of Gorgias, which startled his contemporaries, are lost, and we owe the fragments of them which we possess to the accident of their having been quoted to illustrate the extreme qualities of his rhetoric. If we may judge by these alone, his oratory sought to depart as far as possible from the language of common speech: it was as artificial as poetry and even more bold in its diction, its imagery, its figures, and its constant effort to strike the grand note ; in fact, Gorgias attempted to be a Pindar or an Aeschylus in prose. His untamed rhetoric has its close analogue in the exuberant style of the Elizabethan Age, particularly that manifestation of it which is known as "Euphuism." c When Macbeth in Shakespeare says, "Our monuments shall be the maws of kites," he uses a daring phrase which might serve as a translation of a frag-
${ }^{a}$ Cicero, Or. 176.
${ }^{-}$Antid. 46, 47.
${ }^{c}$ This is pointed out by Gomperz, Greek Thinkers, i. p. 478. Other scholars have actually held Gorgias and Isocrates responsible for Euphuism. See Whipple, "Isocrates and Euphuism" in Mod. Lang. Rev. xi. p. 15.
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ment of Gorgias; ${ }^{a}$ and when Falstaff, primed with sack, harangues Prince Hal: "Now I do not speak to thee in drink but in tears; not in pleasure but in passion; not in words only but in woes also," his parody of "Euphues" is quite in the Gorgian manner, although it is, in fact, less extravagant than Gorgias himself could be. What, for example, could be more artificial than his "Shameful was your sowing, baneful was your reaping," ${ }^{b}$ in which we have not only poetic metaphor, alliteration, and balanced antithesis, but a close parallelism in sound-assonance-which is rare even in poetry?

Now Isocrates did not attempt the grand manner, and did, in fact, avoid the Gorgian excesses of style.c He uses the Gorgian antitheses both of language and of thought with better effect and with more concealing artifice ; and he employs alliteration and assonance with greater continence. ${ }^{d}$ He abstains even to excess from the language of metaphor, and he very seldom uses poetical or obsolete words or unusual compounds, confining himself rather to the words of current speech, using them with nice precision and combining them in a manner to produce an effect of dignity and of distinction. Blass quotes in illustration of this a sentence of the Evagoras: " He destroyed such numbers of the enemy in battle that many of the Persians, grieving for their own misfortunes, do not forget his valour," where the
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difference between the language of Isocrates and a bald statement that he killed many of the Persians is a difference not of diction but of imagination.

While Gorgias relies for his effect upon striking words and phrases, Isocrates subordinates the individual words and clauses to a larger unity. He is an architect, looking to the effect of the whole edifice, not to that of single bricks or stones, ${ }^{a}$ and taking infinite pains with composition-the smooth joining of part to part. He avoids studiously the clash of harsh consonants and all collocations of vowels at the end and the beginning of successive words-hiatus; and he has everywhere an ear sensitive to rhythms-not the exactly recurring rhythms of verse, but such as carry the voice buoyantly through the sentence upon wave after wave of sound without obtruding themselves upon the attention of the audience; for melody and rhythm are for Isocrates as important to artistic prose as to poetry.

The structural unit in Isocrates is the involved periodic sentence. This is extraordinarily long, sometimes occupying a page ; often a half page; but it is so skilfully built that the parts in relation to each other and to the whole are easily grasped; for Isocrates, no matter how often he balances clause against clause to round out his period, is always clear. The reader, however, even while marvelling at the architecture, is apt at times to weary of it, especially when Isocrates is so concerned about the symmetry of the sentence that he weakens the thought by padding, and, in straining for the effect of amplitude, becomes diffuse and tedious.

He is no less careful in the transitions from sen-
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tence to sentence and from division to division of the discourse : all is smooth and arranged according to plan. He does not dwell too long upon a single aspect of his subject, lest he fatigue the mind. He opens with a sort of prelude which is not too closely pertinent to the theme, and digresses judiciously for the sake of variety. But all the parts of the discourse are rigorously subordinated to the design of an organic whole. ${ }^{a}$

Thus Isocrates took from Gorgias a style which was extremely artificial and made it artistic. In so doing, he fixed the form of rhetorical prose for the Greek world, and, through the influence of Cicero, for modern times as well. ${ }^{b}$ And if the style of Gorgias lost something of its brilliance and its fire in being subdued by Isocrates to the restraints of art, perhaps the loss is compensated by the serenity and dignity of that eloquence which Dionysius urged all young orators to study who are ambitious to serve the state in a large way, ${ }^{c}$ and which Bossuet singled out as a model for the oratory of the Church. ${ }^{\text {d }}$

The other teacher who left his impress upon Isocrates was the philosopher Socrates. In the conversation at the close of Plato's Phaedrus, where Isocrates is mentioned as his "companion,"e Socrates speaks with warm admiration of his brilliant qualities, and prophesies a very distinguished future for him in the field of oratory, or in the field of philosophy should " some diviner impulse" lead him
${ }^{a}$ The Panathenaicus is an exception.
${ }^{5}$ See Jebb ii. pp. 68 ff.

- Critique on Isocrates, 4.
${ }^{d}$ See Havet, Introduction to Cartelier's translation of the Antidosis, p. lxxxvi. For the " noble tone" of Isocrates see Jebb ii. p. 42. ètraîpos. xvi


## INTRODUCTION

in that direction. The passage indicates that there was at one time a close relationship between the young Isocrates and his teacher. ${ }^{a}$ Nor is there any reason to doubt that Isocrates cherished throughout his life a warm feeling for the philosopher. ${ }^{b}$ The studied effort with which he echoes the striking features of Socrates' defence in his own apologia pro vita sua-the Antidosis-is evidence enough of his high regard.c Furthermore, certain characteristics of his life and work reflect the influence of Socrates: his aloofness from public life ; ${ }^{d}$ his critical attitude toward the excesses of the Athenian democracy, and his hatred of demagogues; ${ }^{e}$ his contempt for the sham pretensions of some of the sophists; ${ }^{f}$ his logical clearness and his insistence on the proper definition of objectives and terms; ${ }^{g}$ his prejudice against the speculations of philosophy on the origin of things as being fruitless; ${ }^{h}$ his feeling that ideas are
a This is, however, debated. See Karl Münscher's excursus "Die Abfassungszeit des Phaidros" in his revision of Rauchenstein's Ausgewählte Reden des Isocrates, p. 18\%.
${ }^{6}$ The statement in [Plutarch] Lives of the Ten Orators, 838 f, that Isocrates grieved deeply over the death of Socrates and put on mourning for him is doubted, mainly on the ground of Isocrates' colourless reference to Socrates in Busiris 4. But his reference to Gorgias in Antid. 155 ff . is also uncoloured by any personal feeling.
${ }^{\circ}$ See Antid. 21, 27, 33, 89, 93, 95, 100, 145, 154, 179, 240, 321.
${ }^{d}$ In Antid. 150 he says that, while he performed all the public services required of him by Athens, he held no office, shared no emolument, and abstained from the privileges of the courts, preferring a life of peace and tranquillity.
e See especially the Areopagiticus and the Peace.
${ }_{f}$ Panath. 18 ; Against the Sophists 3.
${ }^{g}$ Peace 18; Antid. 217 ; Epist. vi. 7-9.
${ }^{n}$ Antid. 261, 268.
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of value only as they can be translated into action, and that education should be practical and aim at right conduct in private and in public life ; ${ }^{a}$ his rationalism in religion combined with acquiescence in the forms of worship; ${ }^{b}$ his emphasis upon ethics and his earnest morality - now the prudential morality of the Socrates of Xenophon, again the idealistic morality of the Socrates of Plato ${ }^{\text {c }}$-, all these he has in common with his master. If Gorgias intoxicated him with the possibilities of style, Socrates was a sobering influence and touched his life more deeply.

If we may rely upon the essential truth of the half-playful words of Socrates in the Phaedrus, two careers beckoned to one who possessed the genius and the promise of Isocrates-that of the orator and that of the philosopher. Each, however, at once attracted and repelled him. The one tended to plunge him into the conflict of practical politics from which his sensitive nature shrank; the other led into the realm of pure ideas to which his practical sense attached no value. In the end he attempted to be a philosopher and a statesman in one, avoiding what he regarded as the extremes of both. He endeavoured to direct the affairs of Athens and of Greece without ever holding an office, and to mould public opinion without ever addressing a public assembly, by issuing from his study political pamphlets, or essays in oratorical form, in which he set forth the proper conduct of the Greeks in the light of broad ideas.
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The result of this dwelling on the " borderland between politics and philosophy " $a$ was not altogether happy for Isocrates. In the Panathenaicus we see a disappointed old man: he had been shut out from the fellowship of either camp; he had missed the zest of fighting, like Demosthenes, in the press of Athenian affairs, and he had been denied the consolation of retiring, like Plato, into a city of his dreams.

Isocrates usually gives as his excuse for remaining aloof from public life that he lacked the voice and the assurance which one had to possess in order to harangue the multitude and bandy words with the orators who haunt the rostrum. ${ }^{b}$ But deeper than these physical handicaps which he might perhaps have overcome, even as Demosthenes is said to have risen above similar disabilities, lay the obstacle of his temperament-his " love of peace and the quiet life."'c

Two activities were therefore open to his retiring nature-that of the writer and that of the teacher ; and since the former was not more lucrative then than it commonly is to-day, there were reasons why he embraced them both. He tells us in the Antidosis that he lost in the Peloponnesian War all the property which his father had left to him, and that in order to repair his fortune he took pupils for pay. ${ }^{d}$ In other words, he embarked on the career of a
a $\mu \epsilon \theta \delta \rho \iota \alpha$ фı入обóфоv $\tau \epsilon$ á $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota к о \hat{v}, ~ P l a t o, ~ E u t h y-~$ demus 305 c. The nameless critic here described is undoubtedly Isocrates. See Thompson's essay on "The Philosophy of Isocrates and his Relation to the Socratic Schools" in his edition of the Phaedrus, p. 181.

- Phil. 81 ; Panath. 10 ; Epist. i. 9, viii. 7.
${ }^{c}$ Antid. 151. ${ }^{d}$ 161, 162.
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sophist and opencd a school. This was probably in the year 392. ${ }^{a}$ Before this, however, must be placed the decade in his life ${ }^{b}$ during which he wrote speeches for others to deliver in the law courts. ${ }^{c}$ We cannot easily set aside the authority of Aristotle on this point and reject as spurious the six forensic speeches which are included in our manuscripts; ${ }^{d}$ and when Isocrates appears to discredit this phase of his activity ${ }^{e}$ and expresses repeatedly his contempt for this kind of writing, we must interpret his words to mean that he wishes this episode in his work to be forgotten, and that he dates his true career from the opening of his school.

Although Isocrates classes himself with the sophists, yet he sets himself sharply-and at times rancorously-apart from the other teachers of his age. He criticizes his rivals and praises his own system mainly in two of his essays: Against the Sophists, which he issued shortly after the opening of his school as an advertisement of his programme ; and the Antidosis, which he published near the end of his career, forty years later, as " an image of his life and work."

He denies a high place in education to tcachers

## ${ }^{a}$ Jebb ii. p. 8.

${ }^{b}$ The first of the forensic speeches is dated 403; the last, 393. See Jebb ii. p. 7. Jebb accepts the tradition of Isocrates' school in Chios and assigns it to the year 403; but this rests on the authority of a very careless statement of [Plutarch], and is regarded as very dubious by Blass, ii. p. 17.

- Every man was his own lawyer in the Athenian courts; and when he did not feel competent to prepare his own plea he paid a professional speech-writer, גoүoypáфos, to compose one for him.
${ }^{d}$ See Jebb ii. pp. 7, 8. ${ }^{\text {. Antid. } 36 .}$ xx
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of the definite sciences such as geometry and astronomy, on the ground that these subjects have no relation to practical life and are of value only for mental discipline-" a gymnastic of the soul." ${ }^{a}$ Students do well to spend some time on them but only in order to train the mind for education of a greater and a more serious sort. ${ }^{b}$ He attaches still less value to the speculative philosophers who concerned themselves with the nature of things; they disagree among themselves and prove the futility of searching for truth in such matters. Compare, for example, these contradictory views: "Anaxagoras maintained that the elements of being were infinite in number; Empedocles, that they were four ; Ion, that they were three; Alcmaeon, that they were two ; Parmenides, that they were one ; and Gorgias, that they were none at all." ${ }^{c}$ Such mental legerdemain may have its place, but it is barren of useful results, and no one should allow himself to be stranded on these subtleties. ${ }^{d}$

Isocrates is more severe in his strictures on the professors of a debased form of dialectic which he calls " eristic "-mere disputation for its own sake in the field of ethics." They are impostors who make impossible promises. They profess to be masters of an absolute science of ethics and to be able to teach their students for a price-and a ridiculously low price at that-how to act rightly and be happy under all circumstances; whereas, in
${ }^{a}$ Antid. 262-266.
b Antid. 265.
${ }^{\text {c Antid. 268, } 269 \text {; cf. Helen } 3 .}$
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Antid. 268; Panath. 26-28.

- Isocrates makes no distinction between dialectic and eristic, but he refers under the latter term to such quibblers as are shown up in Plato's Euthydemus. See Blass ii. p. 23. vOL. I
b
xxi
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fact, our human nature is incapable of attaining to a science by which we can anticipate all future contingencies and so order our lives with prescience. ${ }^{a}$ They pay no attention whatever to the practical virtues of private or of public life, but are mere quibblers who by their captious reasoning and sensational conclusions unsettle the minds of the young and undermine their characters. ${ }^{b}$ At the best their teaching is useful only as sharpening the faculties of their students. ${ }^{c}$

He condemns no less roundly the sophists of the rhetorical school. They, like the eristics, are impostors who bring all sophists into disrepute ; ${ }^{d}$ they promise great things for a small price ; ${ }^{e}$ they pretend to aim at the truth but strive for sensational effects, displaying their power in their epideictic oratory by speaking on mythical or paradoxical themes which have no relation to truth or to life. ${ }^{f}$ They profess, moreover, that they can make a good speaker of any one ; that the art of oratory is easily acquired by learning, largely from example, a number of elements or commonplaces which may be put together, like the letters of the alphabet, into speeches appropriate and effective for any occasion ; whereas, in fact, oratory is not something which may be learned by rote from a master, but is a creative art which requires of the student a vigorous and imaginative mind. ${ }^{g}$ But the strongest objection to the professors of rhetoric is that they devote themselves mainly to the least reputable branch of oratory-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{a} \text { Against the Sophists 1-3. }{ }^{\text {b }} \text { Helen 6, } 7 . \\
& { }^{c} \text { Antid. } 261 \text {; Panath. 26, } 27 . \\
& { }^{d} \text { Against the Sophists } 11 . \\
& \text { - Against the Sophists 9. }{ }^{f} \text { Helen 8-13; Panath. 1. } \\
& { }^{g} \text { Against the Sophists } 17 \text { ff. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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the forensic. This is practical ; but because it deals with petty controversies, not with large ideas, it is narrow ; and because it aims neither at truth nor at justice, it is both false and immoral. ${ }^{a}$

As to his own system of education, Isocrates contents himself largely with a broad sketch of his ideas, dropping only hints here and there as to the content or the method of his instruction. He commends the traditional elementary education of Athenian youth as a good gymnastic for the body and the mind. ${ }^{b}$ He admits also that exercise in other disciplines, such as eristic, is of value, if not carried too far, as a preparation for greater and more serious studies. ${ }^{c}$

What, then, is the nature of his higher education? It consists, says Isocrates, in the cultivation of the art of discourse, $\bar{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ тa $\delta \delta_{i} i a$. This is a disappointing answer after we have listened to his diatribes on the inadequacy of other disciplines. We must, however, remind ourselves constantly in reading Isocrates that discourse, lóyos, is both the outward and the inward thought: it is not merely the form of expression, but reason, feeling, and imagination as well; it is that by which we persuade others and by which we persuade ourselves; it is that by which we direct public affairs and by which we set our own house in order ; it is, in fine, that endowment of our human nature which raises us above mere animality and enables us to live the civilized life. ${ }^{d}$ The art of discourse may, therefore, be as broad as the whole life of civilized man ; and this is just what Isocrates
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insisted that it should be. He complains that it had been limited in its scope-confined to quarrels in the courts-and conceives it to be his business to deliver it from its narrow associations into the free atmosphere of great causes and large ideas. He himself chose, he says, to write discourses which were Hellenic in their breadth, dealing with the relations of states, and appropriate to be spoken at the panHellenic assemblies; akin more to the literature which is composed in rhythm and set to music than to forensic oratory ; setting forth facts in a style more imaginative and more ornate; employing thoughts which are more lofty and more novel; using figures of speech more freely and more boldly ; and giving the same degree of pleasure as is afforded by poetry-discourses which are, moreover, further distinguished from the oratory of the court-room, which has to do with issues that to-day only are remembered and to-morrow forgotten, in that they treat of subjects of permanent interest and have, therefore, a value for all time. ${ }^{a}$

And it is oratory on this high plane, distinguished by breadth of view and nobleness of tone, by literary finish and charm, and by permanence of interest and value, which he proposes to cultivate in his students. They are to be led by their desire for praise and honour not to support causes which are unjust or petty, but those which are great and honourable, devoted to the general good and the welfare of mankind; and the effort which they make to write and speak on such themes will tend to liberate their minds from mean and selfish interests and so to ennoble their moral natures. ${ }^{b}$

[^6]
## INTRODUCTION

Isocrates prides himself more upon the sound moral influence of his work and teaching than upon any other thing. The primary object of his instruction is right conduct in the man and in the citizen. ${ }^{a}$ Indeed, there are times when he seems to think of his influence as expressing itself more worthily in action than in speech. He says in the Panathenaicus that he took greater pleasure in those of his students who were respected for the character of their lives and deeds than in those who were reputed to be able speakers ; ${ }^{b}$ and it is significant that the student in whom he took the greatest pride was Timotheus, the general, to whose character and work he pays a fine tribute in the Antidosis. ${ }^{c}$

The " culture " which Isocrates professed to impart was in one sense more narrow and in another more broad than the disciplines of other teachers. It was more narrow in that he disparaged all knowledge, or seeking after knowledge, which is not directly fruitful in practical conduct. He attaches no value to the theoretical or speculative ethics of the teachers of disputation, who disagree among themselves. He himself is content with a workable morality which is acknowledged by all men. ${ }^{d}$ On the other hand, it was more broad in that he thought of it as embracing all of the relations of human existence. He criticizes the professors of the sciences and of the arts in general because they do not envisage the whole of life in their culture. Outside of the narrow fields of their specialties, they are less cultivated than their students; they are often lacking in self-discipline; they are boorish in their private relationships,
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and contemptuous of the opinion of their fellowcitizens.
" Whom," then, " do I call educated ?" he asks. " First, those who manage well the circumstances which they encounter day by day, and who possess a judgement which is accurate in meeting occasions as they arise and rarely misses the expedient course of action ; next, those who are decent and honourable in their intercourse with all with whom they associate, bearing easily and good-naturedly what is unpleasant or offensive in others and being themselves as agreeable and reasonable to their associates as it is possible to be ; furthermore, those who hold their pleasures always under control and are not unduly overcome by their misfortunes, bearing up under them bravely and in a manner worthy of our common nature ; finally, and most important of all, those who are not spoiled by successes and do not desert their true selves and become arrogant, but hold their ground steadfastly as intelligent men, rejoicing no more in the good things which have come to them through chance than in those which through their own nature and intelligence are theirs from their birth. Those who have a character which is in accord, not with one of these things, but with all of them-these, I contend, are wise and complete men, possessed of all the virtues." $a$

In the Antidosis, especially, Isocrates terms his culture a " philosophy" and himself a "philosopher." $b$ He does not disclaim the title of sophist, but seems to prefer the other as more descriptive of his work. The appropriation of this term has been imputed to him for arrogance, as if he wished to set himself up

[^8]xxvi
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as a Plato or an Aristotle. However, the word has at this time no definite association with speculative or abstract thought, signifying only a lover of wisdom or a seeker after the cultivated life, ${ }^{a}$ and is in fact more general and modest than the honourable title of sophist which the sham pretenders who called themselves sophists were only just beginning to make invidious. Indeed, the use of this term by Isocrates may be nothing more than a protest against the preposterous claims made by certain sophists for the omnipotence of their instruction. He himself, at any rate, admits that formal training plays a minor part in the making of a successful man : first and most important is native ability; next is practice or experience, and last is education; and no education amounts to anything which does not involve hard work on the part of the student himself. ${ }^{b}$ Furthermore, Isocrates, unlike those of the sophists whom he scorns, does not claim for his discipline that it is a science which will enable one to know exactly how to act in all the contingencies and crises of life. All that education can do is to develop imaginative insight, sound opinion, power to judge probabilities and to hit the right course of action as each emergency arises. "For since it is not in the nature of man to attain a science by the possession of which we can know positively what we should do or what we should say, in the next resort I hold that man to be wise who is able by his powers of conjecture to arrive generally at the best course, and I hold that man to be a philosopher who occupies himself with studies
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from which he will most quickly gain that kind of insight." ${ }^{a}$

The success of his school was very great. Notwithstanding that he charged a high tuition fee, ${ }^{b}$ Isocrates could boast that he had more students than all the other sophists put together and that he amassed from his teaching a considerable fortune, ${ }^{c}$ although he spent more on public services to Athens than upon his own household. ${ }^{\text {d }}$

His first students were Athenians; but after the publication of the Panegyricus, in 380, his reputation spread gradually throughout Greece and attracted students from abroad. About this time, also, Athens rose to a position of power and influence as the head of the new naval confederacy, and was, furthermore, acknowledged to be the intellectual capital of the Greek world. " Athens," says Isocrates, " is looked upon as having become a school for the education of all able orators and teachers of oratory. And naturally so ; for people observe that she holds forth the greatest prizes for those who have this ability and that she offers the greatest number and variety of fields of exercise to those who have chosen to enter contests of this character and want to train for them, and that, furthermore, everyone obtains here that practical experience which more than any other thing imparts ability to speak ; and in addition to these advantages, they consider that the catholicity and moderation of our speech, as well as our flexibility of mind and our love of letters, con-
${ }^{a}$ Antid. 271; cf. 184; also Panath. 28-30; Against the Sophists 16 ; Helen 5.
${ }^{\delta}$ He is said to have charged 1000 drachmas for his course, Blass ii. p. 22.
${ }^{c}$ Antid. 39-41. $\quad$ Antid. 158.
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tribute in no small degree to the education of the orator." ${ }^{a}$

Isocrates, says Dionysius, was the most illustrious teacher of his time and made his school the " image of Athens." The ablest young men of Athens and of Hellas came to study under him, and went out from his tutelage to become leaders in their various fields-oratory, history, and statesmanship. ${ }^{b}$ Among his students were the orators Isaeus, Lycurgus, and Hypereides; the historians Ephorus and Theopompus; the philosopher Speusippus; and the statesman and general Timotheus. And few if any of the literary men of his age, whether or not they were members of his school, were unaffected by his influence. ${ }^{c}$

Some of his students remained with him for three or four years, and seem to have retained for the master a strong feeling of affection as well as of high regard. ${ }^{d}$ One of them, Timotheus, who exemplified in his life the doctrines of Isocrates, $e$ set up a statue at Eleusis bearing the inscription: "Timotheus dedicates this statue of Isocrates . . . to the goddesses of the temple, in token of his affection for the man and of his respect for his wisdom." ${ }^{f}$

Isocrates must have been throughout his life much occupied with his school. He was, however, given to hard work, ${ }^{g}$ and found time and energy for a
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literary career. He called his writings orations, but they are such only in the sense that they are invested with the form and the atmosphere of oratory. He, himself, never delivered a speech, and few of his discourses were written for delivery. ${ }^{a}$ He was in reality a political pamphleteer, and has been called the first great publicist of all time. We must, however, guard against the implications of such modern terms. There was nothing about him of the facile journalist, nor was his writing ephemeral in its purpose or its character. He is said to have spent ten years in writing the Panegyricus-which is no doubt merely an exaggeration of the fact that he wrote slowly and with infinite pains. He believed that he was composing literature of permanent interest and value, and time has justified his faith.

His works will be described in some detail in the introductions to his several discourses, and it must suffice here to review them briefly. ${ }^{b}$ Twenty-one discourses and nine letters, most of them complete, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ are extant, and all of these are probably genuine. ${ }^{d}$ The excellent preservation of his text bears witness to the importance attached to him in antiquity, and we may be fairly sure that practically all of his writings are preserved to us, especially since we find in ancient literature reference to but one lost workhis Art of Rhetoric.
a The forensic speeches and possibly the Plataicus. See Jebb ii. p. 176.
${ }^{b}$ Jebb's treatment of them, ii. pp. 76 ff ., is excellent. His classification is followed here.
c We have only the introduction to the speech Against the Sophists, and the letters are most of them incomplete.
a To Demonicus and Against Euthynus are thought by some to be spurious.
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Six of his discourses are forensic speeches: Against Lochites, the Aegineticus, Against Euthynus, the Trapeziticus, the Span of Horses, and the Callimachus. They were his earliest efforts, which in later life he appears to disown as unworthy of him.

Three are "hortatory": To Demonicus, To Nicocles, and Nicocles or the Cyprians. These are treatises on ethics, and are interesting as reflecting the practical morality of the times as well as the more advanced ideas of Isocrates.

Three are encomia, belonging to the "epideictic" or display type of oratory : the Busiris, the Helen, and the Evagoras. Of these, the Busiris and the Helen are half-serious attempts to treat mythological themes-of which he generally disapproves-in a manner to convey some useful lesson. To the epideictic class is assigned also the Panathenaicus, although its contents are such as would justify our placing it quite as properly among his educational or his political works.

Two are essays on education: Against the Sophists and the Antidosis, in which he criticizes other disciplines and commends his own.

Six are distinctly political, having to do with governments and policies-mainly Athenian-in their external as well as internal relationships: the Panegyricus, the Philip, the Plataicus, the Peace, the Archidamus, and the Areopagiticus.

There are also nine letters : to Dionysius, two to Philip, to Antipater, to Alexander, to the Sons of Jason, to Timotheus, to the Rulers of Mytilene, and to Archidamus. These are for the most part less personal than general in tone and subject matter, and might be classed with his political writings.

## INTRODUCTION

It is in his political discourses that Isocrates finds the truest expression of himself, and it is upon them that he rests his fame-and rightly so. They are unquestionably distinguished among the political writings of his time for breadth of view and nobleness of tone. ${ }^{a}$ They transport the reader from the narrow circle of parochial existence into the generous atmosphere of a pan-Hellenic world; they are, as he says, "Hellenic and deal in a large way with the relations of states." Even when he seeks to persuade Athens to a sound policy in her domestic affairs, he does so in the hope that she may be strong to help the weaker states and play an honourable and saving rôle in the affairs of Greece. ${ }^{b}$ He is a loyal Athenian-and no one can doubt his patriot-ism-but his sympathies embrace all Hellas. In his letter to the Rulers of Mytilene he says: "While my lack of voice and of assurance have kept me out of public speaking and active politics, I have, nevertheless, not been altogether useless nor unknown to fame; you will find that I have counselled and supported by my own efforts the orators who have been minded to speak for your good and for the good of our other allies, and that I have myself composed more speeches in the cause of the freedom and autonomy of the Hellenes than all the ranters of the platform." ${ }^{\text {c }}$
" Freedom and Autonomy "-the catch-words of Greek politics-are as precious to Isocrates as to any other. He differs from his contemporaries only
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in cherishing these ideals for all the cities of Hellas. Aggression-the passion to dominate-he regards as the disease of Greek foreign policy, resulting soon or late in weakness or disaster. He accepts the recognized law of Greek ethics, that power begets folly, folly begets insolence, and insolence begets ruin, and shows that it operates even more surely in the history of states than in the lives of individuals ; ${ }^{a}$ for a man may offend and die before paying the penalty, but states, which live for ever, may not escape its workings. ${ }^{b}$ Irresponsible power is like the bait of a trap: those who are lured by it are caught in its toils ; ${ }^{c}$ or it is like a courtesan: those who are enamoured of it are led to their ruin. ${ }^{d}$ Imperialism has, in fact, been the curse of Athens, its only fruits being hatred, wars, and an empty treasury. ${ }^{e}$

Sophrosynē, self-control-the disposition to live and let live, to cherish freedom for oneself and respect freedom in others-is the saving virtue of states no less than of men in their relations to each other. ${ }^{f}$ The Athenians and the Spartans of old, before they lusted for empire, practised it and were the benefactors of Greece. "They treated the Hellenes with consideration and not with insolence, deeming it their right to take command in the field but not to tyrannize over them, desiring rather to be addressed as leaders than as masters, and rather to be greeted as saviours than to be reviled as destroyers; they won the Hellenic cities to themselves by doing kindness instead of subverting them by force, keeping their word more faithfully than
${ }^{a}$ Areop. 4.
${ }^{-}$Peace 120.

- Peace 29.
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men now keep their oaths, and considering it to be their duty to abide by their covenants as by the decrees of necessity ; they exulted less in the exercise of power than they gloried in living with selfcontrol, thinking it proper to feel toward the weaker as they expected the stronger to feel toward themselves; and while they regarded their home cities as their several places of abode, yet they considered Hellas to be their common fatherland." ${ }_{a}$

It was this spirit which Isocrates sought to call back into the life of his generation as a means of putting an end to the feuds which were tearing Hellas to pieces and exhausting her vitality. He had no thought of merging the individuality or the independence of the Greek states in the sovereignty of a Greek empire, but had rather in mind the Delian League in its early days before Athens had turned it into an empire maintained by force ; and what he dreamed of was a great confederacy of free states voluntarily united under a single leadership, in the cause of a final and decisive war against their common enemy, the Persian Empire-" the only war that is better than peace: more like a sacred mission than a military expedition." $b$

In advocating this crusade, he was not actuated alone by racial prejudice. In a very celebrated passage of the Panegyricus he seems to conceive of Hellenism as a brotherhood of culture, transcending the bounds of race. "So far has Athens distanced the rest of mankind ir thought and in speech that her pupils have become the teachers of the rest of the world; and she has brought it about that the name 'Hellenes' is applied rather to those who

[^13]${ }^{5}$ Paneg. 182.
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share our culture than to those who share a common blood." ${ }^{a}$ If, then, he thinks of a war of all Greeks against the barbarians as a sacred duty, it is because he believes that civilization in order to survive must be a militant force. Hellenism was an outpost of culture, a lamp to be kept burning amid the surrounding darkness ; ${ }^{b}$ and ever at the door of Greece was Asia-sinister, threatening. " Isocrates saw that the inevitable quarrel between Europe and Asia which had existed from the 'Trojan War' was the great abiding fact; he foresaw that it must soon come to an issue, and throughout the later period of his long life he was always watching for the inevitable day." $c$

The remarkable thing is, not that Isocrates should have conceived this idea, but that in spite of rebuffs and discouragements he should have clung to it with such tenacity. Others had held it before him: Gorgias had made it the theme of his oration at the Olympic Festival in 408, and Lysias in 384 ; moreover, the shame of the "King's Peace" ${ }^{d}$ was felt generally in Greece, and there was much irresponsible talk of a united campaign to deliver the Greeks in Europe from Persian interference and the Asiatic Greeks from Persian rule. ${ }^{e}$ With Isocrates, however, it was something more than an idea; it was, as we have seen, a religious principle, to which he dedicated his unremitting zeal. "I might justly be praised by
${ }^{a} 50$.
${ }^{b}$ See the contrast between civilization and barbarism drawn in Evagoras 47 ff .
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Bury, History of Greece, ii. p. 301.
${ }^{d}$ The Peace of Antalcidas, 387 , which had been dictated by the Persian King, surrendered the Greek cities on the Asiatic coast to Persian rule and conceded the right of the King to interfere in the relations of all Greek states.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Diodorus xv. 9, 19. See also Paneg. 15.

## INTRODUCTION

all," he says, " because throughout my whole life I have constantly employed such powers as I possess in warring on the barbarians, in condemning those who oppose my plan, and in striving to arouse to action whoever I think will best be able to benefit the Hellenes in any way or rob the barbarians of their prosperity." ${ }^{a}$

Two of his longer discourses are devoted entirely to this subject: the Panegyricus, published about 380, and the Address to Philip, about 346. To read them side by side apart from their historical setting is to be impressed by their disharmony. The Panegyricus draws a noble picture of Athens as the mother of civilization and of free institutions, and rests on this her claim to take the lead in a campaign against the barbarians. ${ }^{b}$ The Address to Philip calls upon the King of Macedon, an absolute ruler of an uncultivated race, whom Demosthenes denounced as a barbarian and an enemy of Greece, to undertake what Isocrates now conceives that neither Athens nor any other Greek state can do-to reconcile the quarrels of Greece and lead her against the common enemy. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Furthermore, the Panegyricus is an appeal to the mind of all Hellas. The title itself, which Isocrates chose, implies that he is following the tradition of Gorgias and Lysias by composing a speech suitable for a pan-Hellenic gathering. In the Address to Philip he has evidently lost confidence in such appeals. "Those who desire," he says, "to
${ }^{a}$ Phil. 130.
${ }^{b}$ In the Panegyricus Isocrates seems at first to be thinking of a dual leadership-a concession to the fact that Sparta was then the first power in Greece, but his real purpose is to prove the right of Athens to the hegemony, as he himself states in the Antidosis 57-58. $\quad$ Philip 41.
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further some practical purpose and those who think that they have hit upon some plan for the common good must leave it to others to harangue at the public festivals, but must themselves win over someone to champion their cause from among men who are capable not only of speech but of action, and who occupy a high position in the world." ${ }^{a}$ In other words, he rests his hope, no longer on the collective wisdom of free commonwealths, but on a strong man, unfettered by constitutional limitations. ${ }^{b}$

This is a change in the point of view of Isocrates which has prejudiced his reputation in modern times. ${ }^{c}$ He has been denounced as a traitor to Greece or pitied as a doddering old man. ${ }^{d}$ Even Havet, who in his admirable essay on Isocrates is most sympathetic, complains that the lofty tone which elsewhere permeates his writings is lacking in the Address to Philip: e

Perhaps the explanation of the change may be found in the thirty-four years of history which elapsed between the publication of the two discourses. Not long after the Panegyricus was published, the views of Isocrates seem to have borne fruit in the organization of the new naval league under the leadership of Athens, in the year 378.f This was a voluntary association of free states, and gave promise at the
${ }^{a}$ Phil. $13 . \quad{ }^{b}$ Phil. 14, 15.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The criticism begins with Niebuhr, Vorträge uber alte Geschichte, ii. p. 73, whose abuse of Isocrates is so extreme as to be almost amusing.
a " Great and melancholy indeed is the change which has come over the old age of Isocrates," Grote, History of Greece (new edition), xi. p. 241. (Isocrates is now ninety years old.)
e Introduction to Cartelier's Antidosis, pp. xlv, lix.
${ }^{f}$ Kessler, Isokrates und die panhellenische Idee, p. 24. voL. I ce xxxvii
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beginning of steering clear of the rocks of imperialism upon which the old confederacy of Delos had gone to pieces. "But," complains Isocrates, "Athens cared less for my advice than for the rantings of the platform orators" ${ }^{a}{ }^{a}$ the same mistakes were made as in the old confederacy; and the bright promise of the League ended in the wretched fiasco of the so-called Social War (357-355 в.c.)-a period of such demoralizing strife that Isocrates prefers to it the shameful Peace of Antalcidas. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

This is a disconcerting period for lovers of democracy, and Isocrates' writings during this time, especially the Peace, the Areopagiticus, and the letter to Archidamus, reveal the disenchantment which he himself experienced. He had been, unlike many of the intellectuals of his age, a pronounced believer in democracy, ${ }^{c}$ and as late as 359 he wrote in one of lis letters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ that " the life of a private man seemed to him better than that of a king, and the honours of a free state sweeter than those of a monarchy." But while he reaffirms his faith in a democratic ideal $e$ even in the discourses which belong to this period, it seems clear that he considers the Athenian state as it then was in practice, where, he complains, "insolence is regarded as democracy, lawlessness as liberty, impudence of speech as equality, and the licence to do whatever one likes as happiness," $f$ to be a caricature of what a democracy should be.

## ${ }^{a}$ Phil. 129.

## ${ }^{5}$ Peace 16.

- See a very full discussion of this subject by Havet, op. cit. pp. xxvii ff. and xl. ${ }^{d}$ Epist. vi. 11.
- He idealizes the democracy of Solon and Cleisthenes, in which a sovereign people chose and submitted themselves to the best leaders-an aristocracy in effect. Areop. 20-27.
${ }^{\prime}$ Areop. 20. Cf. Panath. 131.
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At any rate, such a state was, in his mind, in no position to adopt and carry out any sound principle of foreign policy. ${ }^{a}$ On the contrary, the Athenians were in this regard like freebooters, living from hand to mouth : now surfeited with plenty ; now in extremity of want; ${ }^{b}$ impoverished by war, yet conceiving war to be the only means of enriching themselves ; ${ }^{c}$ ready to listen to any demagogue who called them to arms, ${ }^{d}$ no matter against whom, ${ }^{e}$ yet unwilling themselves to train or make sacrifices for war, but hiring to do their fighting for them mercenaries who turned out to be worse than brigands in the atrocities they perpetrated upon friends and foes alike. ${ }^{f}$

These are harsh words, and unjust to Athens; ${ }^{g}$ but even when full allowance is made for rhetorical exaggeration, they show at least that Isocrates had been disillusioned as to the powers of a pure democracy to manage a great military undertaking, and that it was not without good reason that he turned elsewhere to get support for his idea. ${ }^{h}$

It was in the midst of the Social War, about 356, that he wrote his letter to the young Archidamus, who was shortly to succeed his father, Agesilaus, on
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the throne of Lacedaemon. ${ }^{a}$ He pictures to him with very strong feeling the universal wretchedness of Greece, in which " no region can be found which does not groan with wars and factions and slaughters and evils untold "; and he calls upon Archidamus, who had apparently inherited his father's dream of


#### Abstract

${ }^{a}$ It is generally believed that Isocrates' first overture to any person in this matter was to Dionysius, the elder, tyrant of Syracuse. So Jebb ii. p. 240, who says that Isocrates expressly states in his Address to Philip, 8 (Jebb has in mind 81 , not 8 , which is probably a misprint) that he had made the same appeal to Dionysius. But all that Isocrates states here is that he is repeating to Philip the reasons which he had given to Dionysius for not taking part in public life. The fragment of the letter to Dionysius shows only that Isocrates appealed to him to perform "some service" for the good of Greece. It is extremely unlikely that he should have appealed to Dionysius, who was so occupied with his own problems in the far west, to head the expedition against Persia. The only definite evidence on this point is that of the 30th " Socratic Letter," attributed to Speusippus, which states that the discourse which Isocrates sent to Philip had been written first for Agesilaus, then revised slightly and "sold" to Dionysius, the tyrant of Syracuse, and finally had been revised still further and palmed off on Alexander of Thessaly. (See Blass ii. pp. 89, 293.) If we are to treat this hopelessly inaccurate statement at all seriously, we must assume that it confuses Agesilaus with his son Archidamus, and Alexander with Jason of Pherae, whom Isocrates represents in his Address to Philip as "talking of" an expedition against Persia, although there is no evidence whatever that Isocrates ever addressed a formal discourse to Jason on this subject. But if we substitute Archidamus for Agesilaus, then the Dionysius to whom, according to Speusippus, Isocrates next turned cannot be Dionysius the elder to whom the letter of Isocrates, of which we possess the introduction, was addressed, for he was dead long before Isocrates wrote to Archidamus. Obviously, the letter is worthless as evidence on this point.
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carrying the war into Asia and setting Hellas free, to undertake this mission of deliverance. ${ }^{a}$

It is doubtful, however, whether this appeal was much more than the outpouring of a desperate mood to a sympathetic friend, since Archidamus, before and after he succeeded to the kingship, found himself fully occupied with pressing affairs at home. It was with greater hope that, ten years later, Isocrates turned to Philip of Macedon as a man capable of carrying out so great an enterprise. Philip had announced his ambition to be "captain-general of Hellas in a war against the Persians " ; ${ }^{b}$ he had by this time proved those qualities of leadership which made him one of the great figures of history; he had by his growing power induced Athens to conclude a ten years' state of war by the " Peace of Philocrates," and, shortly after the publication of Isocrates' address to him, he was elected a member of the Amphictyonic Council and given the presidency of the Pythian Games-a signal recognition of his paramount influence in Greek affairs. He was, in fact, the strongest man in Europe and commanded the greatest resources. ${ }^{c}$

It is clear that Isocrates had a great admiration for him. He believed that he was at heart friendly to Athens, and he had consistently urged Athens to cultivate friendly relations with him. ${ }^{d}$ He regarded him as a pure Hellene of the line of Heracles, e as a man of education and culture, ${ }^{f}$ and as a lover of Hellas with high ideas and broad vision ${ }^{g}$-a judge-
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ment in which Isocrates is, in the main, supported by those historians whose views of this period are not echoed from the orations of Demosthenes. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Furthermore, he thought that Philip was in an unique position to champion the cause of all Hellas ; other Greeks were too much identified with their own states ; they were restricted by local patriotism and by the bonds of local polities and laws: " you," he says to Philip, " are privileged, as one who has been blessed with untrammelled freedom, to consider all Hellas your fatherland, as did the father of your race, and to be ready to brave perils for her sake." ${ }^{b}$

It is true that the lofty tone of the Panegyricus is absent from the Address to Philip. Isocrates had dreamed that Athens, the author of Greek civilization, should be the leader in its militant triumph; and he could not with the same enthusiasm give to another the place which he had reserved for her. ${ }^{c}$ But he was giving up nothing more than his local sentiment and pride. Philip was to be conceded the hegemony only ; he was to be the leader of a confederacy of free states. There was not now in Isocrates' mind any more than when he wrote the Panegyricus any thought of surrendering the independence of Greek states to an imperial power. ${ }^{d}$

It turned out somewhat differently. Demosthenes and the war party in Athens prevailed, and forced the issue with Philip; the result was the battle of Chaeronea and the subjection by force of the
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Greek states to the overlordship of the Macedonian king. ${ }^{a}$

Isocrates was no doubt oppressed by what he must have regarded as the useless slaughter at Chaeronea. But the tradition that he committed suicide on hearing that Philip had won the battle, made familiar through Milton's lines:

> As that dishonest victory At Chaeronea, fatal to liberty, Killed with report that old man eloquent, ${ }^{b}$
is so improbable on the face of it and so in conflict with trustworthy evidence that it must be set down as fable. ${ }^{c}$ Isocrates did not look upon the battle as an unmixed evil, but as a final clash between the ambitions of individual states to be free to quarrel among themselves and the larger purpose of Philip to unite and lead them against Persia. ${ }^{d}$ Nor could he have felt that Chaeronea was in any peculiar sense " fatal to liberty"; for the downfall of "freedom and autonomy " dates, not from this event, but from the Peace of Antalcidas, which not only surrendered Greek territory to Persian rule but conceded the right of the Persian king to dictate the relations of the Greek states generally. ${ }^{e}$ Philip succeeded to
${ }^{a}$ See Holm iii. p. 280: "If the Greeks had honestly thrown in their lot with Philip and Alexander in the spirit of Isocrates, they would have reaped the advantage of victory over Asia without the disadvantage of Macedonian rule over Greece."
${ }^{6}$ 10th Sonnet.

- The third letter in our collection, written to Philip after the peace which followed the battle of Chaeronea, is now generally accepted as genuine. Epist. iii. 2.
e See Paneg., especially 115-121, 175-180; and Ferguson's admirable summary of the facts in his Hellenistic Athens, p. 6.
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the overlordship of a barbarian despot, and Philip was at least a Greek who purposed to champion Hellenism.

It would be interesting to know what Isocrates thought when the Athenians, in gratitude for the generous terms of peace which Philip made with them after his victory, elected him to citizenship and set up his statue in the market-place. ${ }^{a}$ Isocrates himself, in the letter which he then wrote to himthe last of his compositions-speaks with a dignified reserve. There is no longer need, he says, to talk of reconciling the Greek states; they must now perforce submit to your purpose. It remains for you not to neglect the great cause but to carry it out. I do not know whether I won you over to this purpose or whether you yourself conceived it and I merely supported you in your desires; although I am inclined to think (he says politely) that it was your idea from the first. But I am grateful to my old age for this one thing - that I have lived long enough to see the dreams which have been in my mind from my youth, and which I have tried to write down in the Panegyricus and in the discourse addressed to you, now coming true in part through your deeds and destined, I hope, to come entirely true.

The question of the practical effect of Isocrates upon the course of events in his time is a matter of controversy, the evidence being purely circumstantial. It has been almost a fashion since Niebuhr to divorce him entirely from history and to dismiss him as a sort of dreamer in the desert. He was undoubtedly an idealist who was far in advance of

$$
\text { \& Holm iii. p. } 282 .
$$
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his age ; ${ }^{a}$ but to deny that he had any shaping influence upon contemporary history is to impeach the judgement of antiquity. He exercised a strong influence through his school ; he was an outstanding publicist whose writings were widely read throughout Greece; he was on terms of friendship with many of the leading men of his time; he was the chief advocate of the pan-Hellenic idea, and as such was the spokesman for a considerable group of thinking men. It is true that in the last words which he wrote he gives Philip full credit for his resolution to captain the Greeks in a crusade against the barbarians, and assigns to himself the minor rôle of supporting Philip in his design; but if this statement is to be interpreted as anything more than a pleasant courtesy-if, that is to say, we take the view that the lifework of Isocrates has no real connexion with the enterprise which Philip undertook and Alexander carried out-then the close correspondence which exists between the rather definite programme which Isocrates lays down (first in the Panegyricus and later in the Address to Philip), and the articles of confederation which were adopted at the Congress of Corinth one year after the battle of Chaeronea, by which the Greek states bound themselves to unite in a war against Persia under
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the leadership of the king of Macedon, is the most remarkable coincidence of history. ${ }^{a}$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

## Manuscripts and Papyri

Ture most important are the following, according to Drerup, who has described one hundred and twenty-one mss. and ten papyri of Isocrates (Introduction to his critical edition, pp. iv-cxiv) :

Urbinas III. (Г), late 9 th or carly 10 th century a.D., contains all the orations except Against Callimachus and Against Euthynus; and all the letters. There are corrections by five hands ( $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$, etc.) in the text in minuscules, and by one hand ( $\Gamma$ marg.) in the margin in uncials.

Vaticanus $936(\Delta), 14$ th cent., contains all the orations except Against Callimachus, Against Euthynus, and On The Span of Horses; and all the letters.

Ambrosianus $O 144$ (E), 15 th cent., same contents as $\Delta$. This ms. was once greatly valued, before either $\Gamma$ or $\Delta$ had been worked over.

Laurentianus lxxxvii. 14 ( $\odot)$, 13th cent., contains eleven orations: Helen, Evag., Busir., Paneg., Areop., Plataic., Archid., Against Soph., Philip, Panath., Antid., but none of the letters.

Vaticanus 65 ( $\lambda$ ), 1063 A.d., contains all the orations, but none of the letters.

Parisinus 2932 ( $\Pi$ ), 15th cent., contains life of Isocrates and "hypotheses" to the orations; thirteen of the orations: To Dem., To Nicocl., Nicocl., Paneg., Helen, Evag., Busir.,
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the articles of the treaty see Grote, History of Greece, xi. p. 340 ; and for a detailed comparison of these articles with the programme of Isocrates see Kessler, Isokrates und die panhellenische Idee, pp. 73 ff .
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Against Soph., Plataic., Arcop., Philip, Peace, Archid.; but none of the letters.
Scaphusianus 43 (Z), 15 th cent., contains twelve orations : To Dem., To Nicocl., Nicocl., Paneg., Helen, Evag., Busir., Against Soph., Plataic., Areop., Philip, Peace. Valuable especially for the text of to Demonicus.
The Egyptian papyri also contribute something to the elucidation of the text, being helpful especially in enabling us to judge the comparative authority of the ass. There are ten of these papyri (Drerup, pp. iv-viii), but only three contain more than short fragments: Papyrus Londinensis, 1st cent. A.D., which contains the Peace from $\S 13$ to the close; Papyrus Massiliensis, 4th or 5th cent. a.d., which contains To Nicocles, 1-30; and Papyrus Berolinensis, 2nd cent. a.d., containing To Demonicus from $\S 18$ to the close.

All of the mss. fall into two main groups. The first group is composed of $\Gamma$ and its descendants, $\Delta$ and $E$. The second is made up of the many manuscripts of the vulgate line, of which there are two main branches. The first branch is represented by $\Theta$, which stands alone, having no progeny among surviving mss., save that the corrections in the fourth and fifth hands $\left(\Gamma_{4} \Gamma_{5}\right)$ of $\Gamma$ are drawn mainly from this source. The second branch of the vulgate line again divides into two: the earlier, which is represented by $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ and its numerous descendants; the later, which is represented best by $\Pi$, which appears to stem from a copy of the archetype of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

Of the vulgate tradition, $\lambda$, the earliest manuscript, is by far the best, and formed, mainly, the basis for the earlier editions of Isocrates, notably that of Coray. This tradition is, however, contaminated by interlinear and marginal notes which had been written upon some antecedent manuscript, and which crept into the body of the text itself (see Baiter and Sauppe's edition, Preface, p. 11).
The discovery of Urbinas $\Gamma$ by Bekker-a manuscript free from this contamination-enabled scholars to restore, with great probability, the original Isocrates. In fact, the publication of Bekker's Oratores Attici in 1822, in
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which his text of Isocrates follows very largely the authority of $\Gamma$, marks a new era in the text criticisms of Isocrates; for it is now generally agreed that Urbinas $\Gamma$ is the most trustworthy of all the mss. (Drerup, p. lxv).

Following Bekker, but relying even more than he on the authority of Г, Baiter and Sauppe published in 1839 their edition of Isocrates, which is to this day the most conservative text which we possess of all the works of Isocrates (Drerup, p. clxxxiii).

## Editions

The more important are :
Demetrius Chalcondylas, editio princeps, Milan, 1493, contains the lives of Isocrates by [Plutarch], Philostratus, and Dionysius of Halicarnassus, and the orations, but not the letters.

Aldus, epistles, Venice, 1499, contains eight letters of Isocrates, omitting the letter to Archidamus.

Aldus, the orations, Venice, 1513.
Jerome Wolf, complete works, Basel, 1570, with Latin translation, notes, and index. This edition has the first modern commentary on Isocrates. The notes are prolix but often good.
H. Stephanus, orations and letters, Paris, 1593, with Wolf's Latin translation, and seven "diatribae." The "Stephanus edition."
C. T. Matthaei, epistles, Moscow, 1776.

Athanasius Auger, complete works, Paris, Didot, 1782, with new Latin translation, and variant readings.

Wilhelm Lange, complete works, Halle, 1803, with critical notes and index.

Adamantius Coray, complete works, Paris, Didot, 1807, with critical notes and valuable commentary in modern Greek.

Andreas Mustoxydis, Antidosis, Milan, 1812. Mustoxydis was the first to discover mss. which gave the xlviii
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Antidosis in complete form and the first to publish a complete edition of this discourse. The next year appeared a translation into Latin with good notes by Angelo Maï, Milan, 1813. The edition of Mustoxydis was followed by that of Orelli, Zürich, 1814, and since then the Antidosis has been given complete in all the editions.

Immanuel Bekker, Oratores Attici (Isocrates, vol. ii.), Oxford, 1822 ; Berlin, 1823. Bekker discovered Urbinas $\Gamma$ in the Vatican and was the first editor to take advantage of this, the best of all the mss. of Isocrates.
W.S. Dobson, Attic Orators (Isocrates, vol. iii.), London, 1828. Useful variorum edition. Contains the Adversaria of Dobree.

George Baiter and Hermann Sauppe, Oratores Attici (Isocrates, part ii.), Zürich, 1839. The best critical edition of all the works of Isocrates.

George Baiter, Isocratis orationes et epistolae in Müller's Oratores Attici, Paris, Didot, 1846, with Wolf's Latin translation revised by Ahrens.

Gustav Eduard Benseler, Isocratis orationes, Leipzig, Teubner, 1851. Benseler discounts the ms. authority in favour of what he regards as the normal usage of Isocrates. He goes too far in removing hiatus everywhere and in tampering with the text to bring out more boldly the Isocratean " figures."

Benseler, Isokrates' Werke, Leipzig, 1854. Greek text with German translation of Panegyricus, Philip, Plataicus, Archidamus, and Peace, with explanatory notes. The text of this edition is more conservative than that of his first edition.

Auguste Cartelier and Ernest Havet, Antidosis, Paris, 1862, translated into French by Cartelier; with text, notes, and a most excellent introduction by Havet.

De Clermont-Tonnerre, complete works in Greek with translation into French, 3 vols., Paris, 1868.
J. E. Sandys, Ad Demonicum and Panegyricus, London, Oxford and Cambridge, Rivington's, 1872, with critical and explanatory notes in English.

Friederich Blass, Isocratis Orationes, Leipzig, Teubner,
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1878, last impression, vol. i., 1913 ; vol. ii., 1910. A revision of Benseler's first edition.

Otto Schneider, Isokrates' ausgewählte Reden: I. To Demonicus, Evagoras, Areopagiticus; II. Panegyricus and Philip. Third edition by Max Schncider, Leipzig, 18861888.

Richard C. Jebb, Selections from The Attic Orators, London, 1906.

Engelbert Drerup, Isocratis opera omnia, Leipzig, Teubner, 1906, with Latin introduction on the mss. and their relationships, on former editions, and on the dates and genuineness of the several works. The text is revised to embody the author's latest study of the mss., and there is a complete critical apparatus. This promises to be the definitive critical edition of Isocrates. So far only vol. i. has appeared. This contains, besides 199 pages of introductory material, the forensic speches, Against the Sophists, Helon, Busiris, To Demonicus, To Nicocles, Nicocles, Evagoras.

Rudolph Rauchenstein, Ausgewählte Reden des Isolirates, Panegyrikos and Areopagitikos, with good introduction and explanatory notes in German, revised by Karl Münscher, Berlin, 1908.

Edward S. Forster, The Cyprian Orations of Isocrates, with introduction and notes, Oxford, 1912.
G. Mathieu, the Philip and the letters to Philip, Alexander, and Antipater, Paris, 1924. 'Text with introduction, notes, and translation in French.

## Translations

Apart from the translations contained in the above editions, there are the translations into German of the complete works by Adolph Heinrich Christian, Stuttgart, 1832-36, and of the Panegyricus and the Panathenaicus by Theodor Flathe, Berlin, 1858. With Flathe's translation is bound up that of the Areopagiticus by Wilhelm Binder.

In English there is available the translation of the first
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ten orations, begun by A. H. Dennis and completed by J. H. Freese, London, 1894, Bohn Library. Earlier translations (rave) are Elyot, Doctrinal of Princes (Nicocles), 1534 ; Dinsdale, complete works except for the parts of the Antidosis not then published, revised by Young, London, 1752 ; Gillies, Panegyricus, Archidamus, Peace, Areopagiticus, Plataicus, and The Span of Horses, in his Orations of Lysias and Isocrates, London, 1878.

## Subsidia

T. Mitchell, Index Graecitatis Isocraticae, Oxford, 1828.
S. Preuss, Index Isocrateus, Leipzig, 1904.

Albert Martin, Le Manuscrit d'Isocrate Urbinas CXI de la Vaticane, description et histoire, Paris, 1881 ; also, "Nouvelles études sur le manuscrit d'Isocrate du fonds d'Urbin," Revue de Phil., xix., 1895.

Heinrich Buermann, Die handschriftliche Überlieferung des Isokrates, Progr, Berlin, 1885-86.

Karl Münscher, Isokrates in Pauly-Wissowa, 1916.
Burk, Die Pädagogik des Isokrates, 1923.
Jebb, The Attic Orators, vol. ii., London, 1893.
Blass, Die attische Beredsamkeit, vol. ii., Leipzig, 1892.
Josef Kessler, Isokrates und die panhellenische Idee, 1912.
For more detailed bibliography the reader is referred to Blass, Die attische Beredsamkeit, vol. ii., footnotes, and Drerup's introduction to his edition. Drerup gives a bibliography for each oration.

## I. TO DEMONICUS

## INTRODUCTION

The author of the Greek " hypothesis" to this discourse, preserved in two of the mss., mentions a tradition that Hipponicus, the father of Demonicus and friend of Isocrates, was a Cyprian. ${ }^{a}$ If this is true, then To Demonicus, like To Nicocles, Nicocles or the Cyprians, and Evagoras, is a result of Isocrates' associations with the island of Cyprus, and may be grouped with his "Cyprian " orations. In any case it seems to belong to the same period as the discourses written for Nicocles, the young Cyprian king : $374-372$ в.с. ${ }^{b}$

The " hypothesis " dates, however, from the fourth century A.D., and its authority is, therefore, open to question ; all that we know with certainty is what we can infer from the discourse itself : that Demonicus lived in a monarchy, that he was young, and that his father, Hipponicus, recently dead, was a man of note, of exemplary character, and a friend of Isocrates.

The Address to Demonicus is classed with the two following discourses as a treatise on practical ethics, being made up of precepts on the proper conduct of life. ${ }^{c}$ These fall roughly into three main divisions : (1) man in his relation to the gods; (2) in his rela-
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tion to men, including society in general, especially parents and friends ; (3) in relation to himself-the harmonious development of his own character.

Yet the treatise lacks unity in that these precepts are rather loosely put together in the manner of the " gnomic " literature of the time, the body of the discourse being in fact a string of detached maxims ${ }^{a}$ in which the author's personal admonitions are mingled with maxims drawn from other sources, mainly from Theognis and the other gnomic poets, the sayings of the "Seven Sages," and the homely preachings of Socrates. ${ }^{b}$

But there is lacking not only unity of form but unity of spirit. Shrewd advice for getting on in this workaday world is imperfectly harmonized with an occasional note of exalted idealism-a discord which is characteristic of the "practical philosophy" of Isocrates. ${ }^{\text {c }}$

The authenticity of the discourse has been challenged, in modern times notably by Benseler, but on insufficient grounds. ${ }^{d}$
${ }^{a}$ This looseness of composition is found also in To Nicocles, as Isocrates himself points out in Antid. 68.
${ }^{b}$ In To Nicocles Isocrates acknowledges that his material has been drawn from many sources. See 40, 41.
${ }^{-}$See Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. p. 83.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ See Sandys' edition of To Demonicus and of the Panegyricus, Introduction, p. xxxi, and Blass, Die attische Beredsamkeit, ii. p. 279. Cf., however, Drerup, introd. to his edition, pp. cxxxiv-cxli.

## I ZOKPATOYミ

## חPOE $\triangle$ HMONIKON



 $\epsilon i \lambda \eta \prime \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$ є̇v $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta \prime \lambda o u s ~ \sigma v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ a \iota s \cdot$ oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ тov̀s ф＇̀خovs таро́vтаs $\mu$ о́vov $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ，оi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каi $\mu \alpha \kappa р \grave{\alpha} \nu$ á $\pi о ́ \nu \tau \alpha s$ à $\gamma \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \cdot$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \phi а v ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ бvข $\theta \epsilon$ ías ỏ $\lambda i ́ \gamma o s ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \lambda v \sigma \epsilon, ~ \tau \grave{a} s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma \pi o v-~$ $\delta a i ́ \omega \nu$ фı入ías ov＇ס＇à $\nu$ ó $\pi a ̂ S ~ a i c ̀ \nu \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \xi a \lambda \epsilon i \psi \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$. 2 ท̀ $\gamma o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ov̂v $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ тoùs $\delta o ́ \xi \eta s$ ơ $\rho \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s$
 $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фаv́̀ $\omega \nu$ єîvaı $\mu \iota \mu \eta \tau a ́ s, ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda к \alpha ́ ~ \sigma o \iota ~$ тóv $\delta \epsilon \tau$ òv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \delta \omega ि \rho o \nu, ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta ́ \rho \iota о \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$
 $\sigma v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i \alpha_{S}{ }^{\text {．}} \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тov̀s $\pi \alpha \hat{\delta} \delta \alpha_{S}, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \tau \eta{ }_{\eta}$

 $\beta a ́ \nu o v \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \alpha ~ к а \iota \rho o ̀ v ~ \sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \iota \zeta o ́-~$
 $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ ẳ入lous $\epsilon \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega}$ ，каi $\sigma o i \quad \mu \grave{v} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \eta$

${ }^{a}$ For the sentiment that bad men make poor friends $c f$ ． Theognis， 101 ff ．，and Socrates in Xenophon，Mem．ii．6． 19. 4

## THE ORATIONS OF ISOCRATES

## I. TO DEMONICUS

In many respects, Demonicus, we shall find that much disparity exists between the principles of good men and the notions of the base ; but most of all by far have they parted company in the quality of their friendships. ${ }^{a}$ The base honour their friends only when they are present; the good cherish theirs even when they are far away; and while it takes only a short time to break up the intimacies of the base, not all eternity can blot out the friendships of good men. So then, since I deem it fitting that those who strive for distinction and are ambitious for education should emulate the good and not the bad, I have dispatched to you this discourse as a gift, in proof of my good will toward you and in token of my friendship for Hipponicus ; for it is fitting that a son should inherit his father's friendships even as he inherits his estate. I see, moreover, that fortune is on our side and that the present circumstances are in league with us; for you are eager for education and I profess to educate ; you are ripe for philosophy ${ }^{b}$ and I direct students of philosophy.
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"Oбo兀 $\mu$ èv oûv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o \grave{S}$ モ́aut $\hat{\omega} \nu$ фílovs toùs






 oi $\mu \dot{\text { è } \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \pi а \rho а к а \lambda о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu, ~ o i ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~}$ $\alpha u ่ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \alpha \nu o \rho \theta \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota$.
5 Dıóтєр $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{S}$ ova $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \rho o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$
 $\hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta ̀$ тov̀s $\nu \in \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s$ ó $\rho \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ каi $\tau i v \omega \nu$ є" $\rho \gamma \omega \nu$












 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$, oîs ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \iota \beta \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega s$ $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} S$ Sıavoials

${ }^{a}$ Hermogenes, $\Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \mu \epsilon \theta \delta \delta o v \quad \delta \epsilon \omega \dot{\partial} \gamma \eta \tau o s, 25$, refers to this introduction as an example of inoffensive self-laudation in the orators.
${ }^{b}$ For Isocrates' insistence on right conduct as the end of education see Introduction, p. xxv. 6

## TO DEMONICUS, 3-7

Now those who compose hortatory discourses addressed to their own friends are, no doubt, engaged in a laudable employment; yet they do not occupy themselves with the most vital part of philosophy. Those, on the contrary, who point out to the young, not by what means they may cultivate skill in oratory, but how they may win repute as men of sound character, are rendering a greater service ${ }^{a}$ to their hearcrs in that, while the former exhort them to proficiency in speech, the latter improve their moral conduct. ${ }^{b}$

Therefore, I have not invented a hortatory ${ }^{\text {c }}$ exercise, but have written a moral treatise ; and I am going to counsel you on the objects to which young men should aspire and from what actions they should abstain, and with what sort of men they should associate and how they should regulate their own lives. For only those who have travelled this road in life have been able in the true sense to attain to virtue-that possession which is the grandest and the most enduring in the world. For beauty is spent by time or withered by disease ; wealth ministers to vice rather than to nobility of soul, affording means for indolent living and luring the young to pleasure ; strength, in company with wisdom, is, indeed, an advantage, but without wisdom it harms more than it helps its possessors, and while it sets off the bodies of those who cultivate it, yet it obscures the care of the soul. ${ }^{d}$ But virtue, when it grows up with
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 $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.



 $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ тoîs $\pi o ́ v o u s ~ \epsilon ́ \gamma v ́ \mu \nu a \zeta \epsilon, ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}$
 каíp $\boldsymbol{\sim}$






 ar $\nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \eta$.


$$
{ }^{1} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \Gamma: \dot{v} \phi і \sigma \tau a \tau o \mathrm{I}_{5} .
$$

${ }^{a}$ Cf. Bacchylides, iii. 78 (Neb): "As a mortal thou must nourish each of two forebodings ;-that to-morrow's sunlight will be the last that thou shalt see; or that for fifty 8

## TO DEMONICUS, 7-11

us in our hearts without alloy, is the one possession which abides with us in old age; it is better than riches and more serviceable than high birth; it makes possible what is for others impossible ; it supports with fortitude that which is fearful to the multitude ; and it considers sloth a disgrace and toil an honour. This it is easy to learn from the labours of Heracles and the exploits of Theseus, whose excellence of character has impressed upon their exploits so clear a stamp of glory that not even endless time can cast oblivion upon their achievements.

Nay, if you will but recall also your father's principles, you will have from your own house a noble illustration of what I am telling you. For he did not belittle virtue nor pass his life in indolence; on the contrary, he trained his body by toil, and by his spirit he withstood dangers. Nor did he love wealth inordinately; but, although he enjoyed the good things at his hand as became a mortal, yet he cared for his possessions as if he had been immortal. ${ }^{a}$ Neither did he order his existence sordidly, but was a lover of beauty, munificent in his manner of life, and generous to his friends; and he prized more those who were devoted to him than those who were his kin by blood; for he considered that in the matter of companionship nature is a much better guide than convention, character than kinship, and freedom of choice than compulsion.

But all time would fail us if we should try to
years thou wilt live out thy life in ample wealth;" and Lucian, Anthol. Pal. x. 26 :

## ISOCRATES

та̀s є̇кєívov $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ катарı $\theta \mu \eta \sigma \alpha i \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$. à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{o}$

 $\chi a \mu \epsilon \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̂ v ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ 兮 $\nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a$,



 $12 \sigma \pi o v \delta a i ́ o v s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ रové $\omega \nu$. $\quad \eta \gamma o \hat{v}$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu$ oủ $\delta v \nu a \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \mu \eta े ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega ิ \nu ~ к а i ~$ $\kappa а \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ àкоvб $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu . ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$




 $\epsilon$ ย่סокıцท̂баı.



 $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta$ ррьоv. тíца тò $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v \iota o \nu ~ a ́ \epsilon i ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v, ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~$
 $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ \theta u ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ~ к a i ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o ı s ~ \epsilon ́ ~ \mu \mu e ́ v є \iota v . ~$
14 Toıồzos yí $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ бєаvтòv $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon a v \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta a s . ~$
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recount all his activities. On another occasion I shall set them forth in detail; ${ }^{a}$ for the present, however, I have produced a sample of the nature of Hipponicus, after whom you should pattern your life as after an ensample, regarding his conduct as your law, and striving to imitate and emulate your father's virtue ; for it were a shame, when painters represent the beautiful among animals, for children not to imitate the noble among their ancestors. Nay, you must consider that no athlete is so in duty bound to train against his competitors as are you to take thought how you may vie with your father in his ways of life. But it is not possible for the mind to be so disposed unless one is fraught with many noble maxims ; for, as it is the nature of the body to be developed by appropriate exercises, it is the nature of the soul to be developed by moral precepts. Wherefore I shall endeavour to set before you concisely by what practices I think you can make the most progress toward virtue and win the highest repute in the eyes of all other men.

First of all, then, show devotion to the gods, ${ }^{b}$ not merely by doing sacrifice, but also by keeping your vows; for the former is but evidence of a material prosperity, whereas the latter is proof of a noble character. Do honour to the divine power at all times, but especially on occasions of public worship ; for thus you will have the reputation both of sacrificing to the gods and of abiding by the laws.

Conduct yourself toward your parents as you would have your children conduct themselves toward you. ${ }^{\text {c }}$
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"А $А \kappa \kappa \iota \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{o} \quad \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \quad \gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma i \omega \nu \quad \mu \eta ̀, \tau \grave{\alpha}$

 $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon v o s$.

 надıко́v.





 $\tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \theta 0 s$.



Toùs $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ $\theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ \phi o \beta o ̂, ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \gamma o v \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau i \mu a, ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~$



 $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda o i ̀ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ ả $\gamma \nu 00 \hat{v} \sigma \iota, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$


 фаivoıo таи̂та $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu, \hat{\alpha} \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda o u s ~ a ٌ \nu ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau-~$

${ }^{a}$ Cf. Lord Chesterfield, Letters, 144: "Frequent and loud laughter is characteristic of folly and ill manners."
${ }^{\circ}$ Cf. 46.
${ }^{c}$ Apparently borrowed from Thales. See Diog. Laert.
 and note.

## TO DEMONICUS, 14-17

Train your body, not by the exercises which conduce to strength, but by those which conduce to health. In this you will succeed if you cease your exertions while you still have energy to exert yourself.

Be not fond of violent mirth, nor harbour presumption of speech ; for the one is folly, the other madness. ${ }^{a}$

Whatever is shameful to do you must not consider it honourable even to mention. Accustom yourself to be, not of a stern, but of a thoughtful, mien ; for through the former you will be thought self-willed, through the latter, intelligent. Consider that no adornment so becomes you as modesty, justice, and self-control; for these are the virtues by which, as all men are agreed, the character of the young is held in restraint.

Never hope to conceal any shameful thing which you have done; for even if you do conceal it from others, your own heart will know.

Fear the gods, honour your parents, respect your friends, obey the laws.

Pursue the enjoyments which are of good repute; for pleasure attended by honour is the best thing in the world, but pleasure without honour is the worst. ${ }^{b}$

Guard yourself against accusations, even if they are false; for the multitude are ignorant of the truth and look only to reputation. In all things resolve to act as though the whole world would see what you do ; for even if you conceal your deeds for the moment, later you will be found out. But most of all will you have the respect of men, if you are seen to avoid doing things which you would blame others for doing. ${ }^{\text {c }}$
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 $\mu \dot{\eta}, \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha ́ \theta \eta \kappa \alpha s, \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \quad \tau \alpha i ̂ s, \epsilon \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \iota 5$.
 $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu a \theta \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ каi $\delta \iota \delta o ́ \mu \in \nu o ́ v$ ть ar $\alpha a \theta o ̀ v ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ rival $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ к $\rho \epsilon i \tau \tau \omega \cdot \tau \grave{\alpha}, \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \in \iota \cdot \sigma o \phi i ́ a ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \mu o ́ v o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \theta a ́ v a \tau o \nu . ~$





 Sıávolav.


 ס⿳亠


 тоîs aùтoîs, $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \alpha \kappa \rho a ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ a u ̀ \tau \omega ิ \nu \cdot \pi \lambda \eta-$ $\sigma \mu o \nu \grave{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
${ }^{a}$ Sandys quotes from $\Lambda$ sham's Scholemaster: "Isocrates did cause to be written at the entrie of his schole, in golden
 which excellentlie said in Greek, is thus rudelie in English, 'If thou lovest learning, thou shalt attayne to mosh learning.' "

## TO DEMONICUS, 18-20

If you love knowledge, you will be a master of knowledge. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ What you have come to know, preserve by exercise ; what you have not learned, seek to add to your knowledge ; for it is as reprehensible to hear a profitable saying and not grasp it as to be offered a good gift by one's friends and not accept it. Spend your leisure time in cultivating an ear attentive to discourse, for in this way you will find that you learn with ease what others have found out with difficulty. Believe that many precepts are better than much wealth; for wealth quickly fails us, but precepts abide through all time; for wisdom alone of all possessions is imperishable. Do not hesitate to travel a long road ${ }^{b}$ to those who profess to offer some useful instruction; for it were a shame, when merchants cross vast seas in order to increase their store of wealth, that the young should not endure even journeys by land to improve their understanding.

Be courteous in your manner, and cordial in your address. It is the part of courtesy to greet those whom you meet; and of cordiality to enter into friendly talk with them. Be pleasant to all, but cultivate the best; thus you will avoid the dislike of the former and have the friendship of the latter. Avoid frequent conversations with the same persons, and long conversations on the same subject; for there is satiety in all things. ${ }^{c}$
The words were in fact inscribed " in golden letters" over the portal to Shrewsbury School.
${ }^{5}$ Echoed unmistakably from Theog. 71-2:
${ }^{\bullet}$ Cf. Homer, Il. xiii. $636 \pi$ áv $\tau \omega \nu$ 白 $\nu$ кópos ध̇ $\sigma \tau i$; Aristoph.


## ISOCRATES












 $\theta \rho \omega \pi о s ~ \ddot{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi о \mu \iota \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta s$.







 $\pi \rho \circ \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s, \ddot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon a v \tau o ̀ v ~ a i \tau i a s ~ a i \sigma \chi \rho a \hat{s}$ àmo入v́ $\omega v, \ddot{\eta}$


 фıлохрпиа́т $\omega$ s ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \epsilon \nu$.



[^23]
## TO DEMONICUS, 21-24

Train yourself in self-imposed toils, that you may be able to endure those which others impose upon you. ${ }^{a}$ Practise self-control in all the things by which it is shameful for the soul to be controlled, ${ }^{b}$ namely, gain, temper, pleasure, and pain. You will attain such self-control if you regard as gainful those things which will increase your reputation and not those which will increase your wealth ; if you manage your temper towards those who offend against you as you would expect others to do if you offended against them; if you govern your pleasures on the principle that it is shameful to rule over one's servants and yet be a slave to one's desires ; and if, when you are in trouble, you contemplate the misfortunes of others and remind yourself that you are human.

Guard more faithfully the secret which is confided to you than the money which is entrusted to your care; for good men ought to show that they hold their honour more trustworthy than an oath. Consider that you owe it to yourself no less to mistrust bad men than to put your trust in the good. On matters which you would keep secret, speak to no one save when it is equally expedient for you who speak and for those who hear that the facts should not be published. Never allow yourself to be put under oath save for one of two reasons-in order to clear yourself of disgraceful charges or to save your friends from great dangers. In matters of money, swear by none of the gods, not even when you intend to swear a true oath; for you will be suspected on the one hand of perjury, on the other of greed.

Make no man your friend before inquiring how he has used his former friends $;^{c}$ for you must expect

## ISOCRATES

каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ а̀̀ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau о \iota o \hat{\tau} \tau o \nu$, oîos каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$


 $\lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu . \quad \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \alpha{ }^{\beta} \beta{ }^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \hat{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$,












 таîs $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma i ́ a ı s . ~ a ̉ \pi o \delta \epsilon ́ \chi o v ~ \tau \omega \hat{\nu}, \epsilon \in \tau \alpha i \rho \omega \nu, \mu \eta ̀$




 тои́т $\omega \nu$ ar $\pi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ob $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf. Solon, quoted in Biog. Laert. i. 60:
фíhous $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau a \chi \dot{v} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega}$. ours $\delta^{\prime} \partial \nu \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \eta$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ á $\pi \frac{\delta \delta o к \ell \mu a \zeta \epsilon . ~}{\text {. }}$
${ }^{6}$ For both the figure and the sentiment $c f$. Theog. 415.
"The "get even" standard of honour in popular thought. $C f$. Theognis, 869-72:
$\chi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \epsilon \sigma s, \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \quad \delta \in \hat{\epsilon} \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \mu a \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$,

## TO DEMONICUS, 24-26

him to treat you as he has treated them. Be slow ${ }^{a}$ to give your friendship, but when you have given it, strive to make it lasting; for it is as reprehensible to make many changes in one's associates as to have no friend at all. Neither test your friends to your own injury nor be willing to forgo a test of your companions. You can manage this if you pretend to be in want when really you lack nothing. Confide in them about matters which require no secrecy as if they were secrets; for if you fail you will not injure yourself, and if you succeed you will have a better knowledge of their character. Prove your friends by means of the misfortunes of life and of their fellowship in your perils; for as we try gold in the fire, so we come to know our friends when we are in misfortune. ${ }^{b}$ You will best serve your friends if you do not wait for them to ask your help, but go of your own accord at the crucial moment to lend them aid. Consider it equally disgraceful to be outdone by your enemies in doing injury and to be surpassed by your friends in doing kindness. ${ }^{c}$ Admit to your companionship, not those alone who show distress at your reverses, but those also who show no envy at your good fortune; for there are many who sympathize with their friends in adversity, but envy them in prosperity. ${ }^{d}$ Mention your absent friends to those who are with you, so that they may think you do not forget them, in their turn, when they are absent.

Even Socrates reflects this standard in Xenophon, Mem. ii. 6. 35. Not so Socrates in Plato: see Republic, 335 a.
${ }^{d}$ See Socrates' analysis of envy in Xenophon, Mem. iii. 9. 8.

## ISOCRATES




'А $\mathrm{A} \alpha^{\prime} \pi \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho-$














 каi тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ảópaтov.










[^24]In matters of dress, resolve to be a man of taste, but not a fop. The man of taste is marked by elegance, the fop by excess.

Set not your heart on the excessive acquisition of goods, but on a moderate enjoyment of what you have. Despise those who strain after riches, but are not able to use what they have; they are in like case with a man who, being but a wretched horseman, gets him a fine mount. Try to make of money a thing to use as well as to possess; it is a thing of use to those who understand how to enjoy it, and a mere possession to those who are able only to acquire it. Prize the substance you have for two reasons-that you may have the means to meet a heavy loss and that you may go to the aid of a worthy friend when he is in distress; but for your life in general, cherish your possessions not in excess but in moderation.

Be content with your present lot, but seek a better one.

Taunt no man with his misfortune; for fate is common to all and the future is a thing unseen.

Bestow your favours on the good; for a goodly treasury is a store of gratitude laid up in the heart of an honest man. If you benefit bad men, you will have the same reward as those who feed stray dogs ; for these snarl alike at those who give them food and at the passing stranger ; and just so base men wrong alike those who help and those who harm them. ${ }^{a}$

Abhor flatterers as you would deceivers; for both, if trusted, injure those who trust them. If you admit to your friendship men who seek your

## ISOCRATES

 тov̀s $\pi \rho$ òs тò $\beta$ é $\lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$ ả $\pi \epsilon \chi$ Өavo $\mu$ évovs.

Гíqvov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta o v \tau \alpha s ~ o ́ \mu \iota \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s, ~ a ̀ ~ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$



 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha s ~ \phi \iota \lambda o ́ v i \kappa o s, ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ т $\pi$ òs $\tau \alpha \dot{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$ ̧óvт $\omega \nu$ ó $\rho \gamma \alpha{ }_{s} \tau \rho \alpha \chi \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \omega s$ à $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ äv

 $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \tau \omega \nu \cdot \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \gamma \epsilon \lambda о i ̂ \alpha ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \nu, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\pi a \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ otovoaîa $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \lambda o i o \iota s ~ \chi \alpha i \rho \omega \nu$ ( $\tau \grave{o} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ äкаıроข $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \circ \hat{v} \lambda v \pi \eta \rho o ́ v) \cdot \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} s \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \tau \alpha s$

 [9] $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi \iota \lambda \alpha i ́ \tau \iota o s \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \nu, \beta \alpha \rho v ̀ ~ \gamma a ́ \rho, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \tau \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$, таро乡иvтıкòv $\gamma$ á $\rho$.

 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \theta \eta s$. ö $\frac{1}{\tau} \nu \gamma$ à $\rho$ ó vov̂s vimò oĭvov $\delta \iota a \phi \theta a \rho \hat{\eta}$,

 $\tau o ́ v \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \theta v \nu o u ́ v \tau \omega \nu, \eta{ }_{\eta} \tau \epsilon \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ठıaф0aןєíaŋs $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ סıavoias.


[^25]
## TO DEMONICUS, 30-32

favour for the lowest ends, your life will be lacking in friends who will risk your displeasure for the highest good.

Be affable in your relations with those who approach you, and never haughty; for the pride of the arrogant even slaves can hardly endure, whereas when men are affable all are glad to bear with their ways. But to be affable, you must not be quarrelsome, nor hard to please, nor always determined to have your way ; you must not oppose harshly the angry moods of your associates, even if they happen to be angry without reason, but rather give way to them when they are in the heat of passion and rebuke them when their anger has cooled; you must avoid being serious when the occasion is one for mirth, or taking pleasure in mirth when the occasion is serious (for what is unseasonable is always offensive); you must not bestow your favours ungraciously as do the majority who, when they must oblige their friends, do it offensively ; and you must not be given to fault-finding, which is irksome, nor be censorious, which is exasperating.

If possible avoid drinking-parties altogether, ${ }^{a}$ but if ever occasion arises when you must be present, rise and take your leave before you become intoxicated $;{ }^{b}$ for when the mind is impaired by wine it is like chariots which have lost their drivers; for just as these plunge along in wild disorder when they miss the hands which should guide them, so the soul stumbles again and again when the intellect is impaired. ${ }^{c}$

Cultivate the thoughts of an immortal by being
horses in Plato, Phaedr. 247 A, b, c. There is an exact parallel in Libanius, xii. 40.

## ISOCRATES

$\theta_{\nu \eta \tau \grave{\alpha}} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \omega s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ả $\pi o-$ $\lambda \alpha v ́ \epsilon \iota$ ．
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon v \sigma i ́ a s, o ̈ \sigma \varphi \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu о \chi \theta \eta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 $\epsilon \zeta \eta \mu i \omega \omega \sigma$ тov̀s $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi о \nu \tau \alpha{ }^{-}$то入入áкıs $\gamma \alpha ́ \rho, \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоîs
 є̌סoo $\alpha \nu$ ．



34 Bov入єvó $\mu \epsilon \nu \frac{}{} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ тоьô $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v$－




 $\alpha i \sigma \chi v ́ v \eta \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \beta o v i \lambda \eta \delta^{\prime} \tau \iota \sigma \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$

 $\gamma \nu \omega ิ \sigma \iota \nu$ aī $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ，каi $\sigma \epsilon \alpha v \tau o ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ф а \nu \hat{\eta} \pi о \iota \eta$－
 $\chi \rho \eta \hat{\sigma} \theta \alpha \iota$ ，бко́тєє $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \pi \hat{\omega} s \tau \grave{\alpha}$ є́avто仑 $\delta \iota \nLeftarrow \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$－





[^26]
## TO DEMONICUS, 32-35

lofty of soul, but of a mortal by enjoying in due measure the good things which you possess. ${ }^{a}$

Consider culture to be a good so far superior to the lack of culture that while in general everyone derives gain from the practice of vice, boorishness ${ }^{b}$ is the one vice which actually penalizes its possessors; for the latter are often punished in deed for the offences they give by their words.

When you desire to make a friend of anyone, say good things about him to those who are wont to report them ; for praise is the foundation of friendship, as blame is that of enmity.

In your deliberations, let the past be an exemplar for the future ${ }^{c}$ for the unknown may be soonest discerned by reference to the known. ${ }^{d}$ Be slow in deliberation, but be prompt to carry out your resolves. Consider that as the best thing which we have from the gods is good fortune, so the best thing which we have in ourselves is good judgement. When there is anything of which you are ashamed to speak openly, but about which you wish to confer with some of your friends, speak as though it were another's affair ; thus you will get at their opinion, and will not betray your own case. Whenever you purpose to consult with any one about your affairs, first observe how he has managed his own ; for he who has shown poor judgement in conducting his own business will never give wise counsel about the business of others. The greatest incentive you can have to deliberation is to observe the misfortunes which spring from the lack of it; for we pay the

- Cf. To Nicocles, 35.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The same idea is attributed to Cleobulus, Stob. Flor. iii. $31 \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \phi \alpha \nu \hat{\eta}$ тoîs $\phi$ алє $\rho o i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu a i \rho o u . ~$


## ISOCRATES

 $\tau$ às $\lambda u ̛ \pi a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̀ \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ a ́ p \rho \omega \sigma \tau i a s ~ a ̀ v a \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$.














 $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi$ alvos.

 oiá $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ä̀ $\tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o u s ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau o v a \iota ~ \beta o \eta \theta \hat{s} s$.


 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v \dot{a} \pi$ об́́ $\chi$ о⿱





${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isocrates' defence of his advice to the young Nicocles in Antid. 70 applies here. See To Nicocles, introd.

## TO DEMONICUS, 35-38

closest attention to our health when we recall the pains which spring from disease.

Pattern after the character of kings, and follow closely their ways. For you will thus be thought to approve them and emulate them, and as a result you will have greater esteem in the eyes of the multitude and a surer hold on the favour of royalty. Obey the laws which have been laid down by kings, but consider their manner of life your highest law. For just as one who is a citizen in a democracy must pay court to the multitude, so also one who lives under a monarchy should revere the king. ${ }^{\alpha}$

When you are placed in authority, do not employ any unworthy person in your administration; for people will blame you for any mistakes which he may make. Retire from your public trusts, not more wealthy, but more highly esteemed ; for the praise of a people is better than many possessions.

Never support or defend a bad cause, for people will suspect that you yourself do the things which you aid others in doing.

Put yourself in a position in which you have the power to take advantage, but refrain when you have your fair share, so that men may think that you strive for justice, not from weakness, but from a sense of equity. Prefer honest poverty to unjust wealth ; ${ }^{b}$ for justice is better than riches in that riches profit us only while we live, while justice provides us glory even after we are dead, and while riches are shared by bad men, justice is a thing in
${ }^{\circ} C f$. Theognis, 145-8 :
 ク̈ $\pi \lambda о v \tau \epsilon i v, ~ a ́ \delta i к \omega s ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau а ~ \pi а \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s . ~$



## ISOCRATES









[11] $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \grave{\nu} \sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \iota$ єîvaı фı入ómovos, $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$








42



a Cf. To Nicocles, 32 ; Theognis, 315-18:
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s$ тoúroıs out $\delta \iota a \mu \epsilon \iota \psi \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$


${ }^{6}$ This suggests the noble passage on just living in Isocrates' Peace, 34. Cf. Paneg. 28 and note. Life beyond this life is a "hope" in Isocrates; what he is sure of is the immortality of fame. See Phil. 134.
${ }^{c}$ From Periander. See Stob. Flor. iii. 56: Mteiand $\rho o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \rho \omega-~$
 ar $\nu \theta \rho \dot{\pi} \pi$ ow.
${ }^{a}$ From Chill. See Dig. Laert. i. 70: $\dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a ́ \sigma o v \mu \dot{\eta}$ $\pi \rho o \tau \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon \in \tau \omega$ rô̂ $\nu o \hat{v}$.

## TO DEMONICUS, 39-42

which the wicked can have no part. ${ }^{a}$ Never emulate those who seek to gain by injustice, but cleave rather to those who have suffered loss in the cause of justice ; for if the just have no other advantage over the unjust, at any rate they surpass them in their high hopes. ${ }^{b}$

Give careful heed to all that concerns your life, but above all train your own intellect; for the greatest thing in the smallest compass is a sound mind in a human body. ${ }^{c}$ Strive with your body to be a lover of toil, and with your soul to be a lover of wisdom, in order that with the one you may have the strength to carry out your resolves, and with the other the intelligence to foresee what is for your good.

Always when you are about to say anything, first weigh it in your mind ; for with many the tongue outruns the thought. ${ }^{d}$ Let there be but two occasions for speech-when the subject is one which you thoroughly know and when it is one on which you are compelled to speak. On these occasions alone is speech better than silence; on all others, it is better to be silent than to speak.

Consider that nothing in human life is stable; ${ }^{e}$ for then you will not exult overmuch in prosperity, nor grieve overmuch in adversity. ${ }^{f}$ Rejoice over the

- Cf. 29 ; Theognis, 585.
${ }^{f}$ Cf. To Nicocles, 39 ; Panath. 30; Theognis, $591 \mathrm{ff} .:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{a} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \dot{\prime}, \tau \grave{\alpha} \text { } \delta \iota \delta o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \text { өєoì } \theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \beta \rho о \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\tau \epsilon \rho \phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \pi i \nu \eta s, \pi \rho i \nu \tau \epsilon \in \lambda o s$ âкрод $i \delta \epsilon i \nu$.

and Kipling: " If you can meet with triumph and disaster and treat these two impostors just the same."

## ISOCRATES

$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu, \lambda v \pi o \hat{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \in \tau \rho i ́ \omega s$ є̇ $\pi i$ тoîs $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0-$




 фо $\beta \in \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ фaúdoıs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тov̂ ßiov $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \eta \prime \nu$,











 $\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \mu \alpha$ ката入ıтєiेv. $\tau \eta ̀ \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu, \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тоv́т $\omega \nu$





45 Пo $\lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu$ тoîs $\theta \in \circ i ̂ s ~ \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu ~ \sigma \chi o i ́ \eta \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$
 [12] $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ रov̀s $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau o v s \in \dot{v} \rho \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$,

 тoîs $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \xi a \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ v o v \sigma \iota ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta o v \tau \alpha s, \quad a ̉ \lambda \lambda$ ’ oủ

[^27]
## TO DEMONICUS, 42-45

good things which come to you, but grieve in moderation over the evils which befall you, and in either case do not expose your heart to others; ${ }^{a}$ for it were strange to hide away one's treasure in the house, and yet walk about laying bare one's feelings to the world.

Be more careful in guarding against censure than against danger ; for the wicked may well dread the end of life, but good men should dread ignominy during life. Strive by all means to live in security, ${ }^{b}$ but if ever it falls to your lot to face the dangers of battle, seek to preserve your life, but with honour and not with disgrace ; for death is the sentence which fate has passed on all mankind, but to die nobly is the special honour which nature has reserved for the good.

Do not be surprised that many things which I have said do not apply to you at your present age. For I also have not overlooked this fact, but I have deliberately chosen to employ this one treatise, not only to convey to you advice for your life now, but also to leave with you precepts for the years to come ; for you will then readily perceive the application of my precepts, but you will not easily find a man who will give you friendly counsel. In order, therefore, that you may not seek the rest from another source, but that you may draw from this as from a treasure-house, I thought that I ought not to omit any of the counsels which I have to give you.

And I shall be most grateful to the gods if I am not disappointed in the opinion which I have of you. For, while we find that the great majority of other men seek the society of those friends who join them in their follies and not of those who admonish them, just as they prefer the most pleasant to the most

## ISOCRATES

 є́ $\gamma \nu \omega \kappa \epsilon ́ v \alpha \iota, \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \rho i ́ \mu \quad \chi \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \frac{s}{} \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 $\kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \tau o v_{S} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ 46 ка入о仑̂vтаs àтоסє́ $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$＇ăv $\pi \alpha \rho-$


 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu o \nu \alpha{ }_{s}{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s$ ai $\lambda \hat{v} \pi \alpha a \iota ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \alpha i ̂ s$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \sigma \iota$ ， ò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ à $\rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ф $\lambda \lambda о \pi о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ каi $\sigma \omega \phi$ оóv $\omega$ s тòv aúzô̂ $\beta i \neq \nu$ оíкоуо $\mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \epsilon i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$





 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ тоьov̂ $\mu \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \beta \alpha \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \prime \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu \delta_{\iota} \alpha \pi o \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．


 oîóv $\tau \epsilon \quad \tau \hat{\eta} S \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ Sıà $\tau \grave{o}$ тo入入ov̀s ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$







## TO DEMONICUS, 45-49

wholesome food, ${ }^{a}$ you, I think, are minded otherwise, as I judge from the industry you display in your general education. For when one sets for himself the highest standard of conduct, it is probable that in his relation to others he will approve only of those who exhort him to virtue. But most of all would you be spurred on to strive for noble deeds if you should realize that it is from them most of all that we also derive pleasure in the true sense. For while the result of indolence and love of surfeit is that pain follows on the heels of pleasure, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ on the other hand, devoted toil in the pursuit of virtue, and self-control in the ordering of one's life always yield delights that are pure and more abiding. In the former case we experience pain following upon pleasure, in the latter we enjoy pleasure after pain. In all our tasks we are not so much mindful of the beginning as we are sensible of the end; for we do most things in life not for themselves; it is rather for the sake of what results from them that we carry on our labours.

Bear in mind that while the base may be pardoned for acting without principle, since it is on such a foundation that from the first their lives have been built, yet the good may not neglect virtue without subjecting themselves to rebukes from many quarters; for all men despise less those who do wrong than those who have claimed to be respectable and yet are in fact no better than the common run ; and rightly, too, for when we condemn those who deceive us in words alone, how, pray, can we deny the baseness of those who in their whole lives

> a Cf. To Nicocles, 42-45.
> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Cf. 16 ; Plato, Phaedo, 60 в.

## ISOCRATES

 $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu o ́ v o v ~ \epsilon i ̉ s ~ a u ̛ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ́ \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~$


 $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.
50 Ei $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \theta \nu \eta \tau o ̀ \nu$ ő $\nu \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} S \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau o \chi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$

 $\kappa \alpha i$ тov̀s $\sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha i o u s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$. Z $\epsilon \dot{v} s ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$




51 Oîs $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ i $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$ ò $\rho \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta \hat{S}$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota v, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \iota \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau \tau \sigma \tau \alpha$







 $\kappa \rho a \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \epsilon \nu$.

[^28]
## TO DEMONICUS, 49-52

belie their promise ? ${ }^{a}$ We should be right in judging that such men not only sin against themselves, but are traitors to fortune as well; for fortune places in their hands wealth and reputation and friends, but they, for their part, make themselves unworthy of the blessings which lie within their grasp.

And if a mortal may make conjecture of the thoughts of the gods, I think that they also have revealed very clearly in their treatment of their nearest kin how they are disposed to the good and base among men. For Zeus, who, as the myths relate and all men believe, was the father of Heracles and Tantalus, made the one immortal because of his virtue, and inflicted on the other the severest punishments because of his evil character.

With these examples before you, you should aspire to nobility of character, and not only abide by what I have said, but acquaint yourself with the best things in the poets as well, and learn from the other wise men also any useful lessons they have taught. ${ }^{b}$ For just as we see the bee settling on all the flowers, and sipping the best from each, so also those who aspire to culture ought not to leave anything untasted, but should. gather useful knowledge from every source. ${ }^{c}$ For hardly even with these pains can they overcome the defects of nature.

[^29].
II. TO NICOCLES

## INTRODUCTION

The " Cyprian" orations-To Nicocles, Nicocles or the Cyprians, and especially Evagoras-reveal a degree of familiarity with the state of affairs in the island of Cyprus under the reign of Evagoras which argues a first-hand knowledge and even a personal acquaintance with the royal house. It may well be that Isocrates was introduced to Evagoras and his family through the good offices of the Athenian general, Conon, or the latter's son, Timotheus, who had been an admiring student under Isocrates. ${ }^{a}$

The relations between Evagoras and Athens were close and cordial. He had joined forces with Conon at the battle of Cnidus, 394 в.c.; and, in recognition of his services, the Athenians elected him to citizenship and set up his statue in the Ceramicus side by side with that of Conon. Isocrates eulogized him warmly in his Evagoras and elsewhere, with obvious sincerity and probably with justice. Traditionally descended from Teucer, the Salaminian hero, Evagoras founded the kingdom of New Salamis in Cyprus and made it an outpost of Hellenism, which he held vigorously against the barbarians of the island and of the neighbouring continent, encouraging in the meantime the friendliest intercourse between his kingdom and the Hellenic world, especially Athens. ${ }^{b}$

> a See Blass, Die attische Beredsamkeit, ii. p. 970. b See Evagoras, 50.

## TO NICOCLES

He died in 374 в.c.; and was succeeded by his son, Nicocles. It was probably shortly after this that Isocrates presented to the young king this compendium of advice on how a ruler should conduct himself toward his subjects. The discourse is written in the somewhat formal tone of a master to a pupil, and it is probable that Nicocles had been a member of Isocrates' school. ${ }^{a}$ At any rate it is clear that Nicocles appreciated the orator and made him handsome presents. ${ }^{b}$

This discourse, like that addressed to Demonicus, is an ethical dissertation in which precepts of worldly wisdom are imperfectly blended with lofty sentiments, all somewhat loosely put together under different heads. ${ }^{c}$

Isocrates was no doubt criticized for the sympathy with monarchy which he here seems to betray; for in the Antidosis he defends himself by saying that he expressed himself to Nicocles " as a free man and an Athenian should, not paying court to his wealth nor to his power, but pleading the cause of his subjects." ${ }^{d}$

[^30]
## IPOD NIKOKAEA


 $\ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{ } \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, $\hat{\omega} \nu$ au $\tau \circ i$



 $\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ к а \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu \delta \omega \rho \epsilon \grave{\alpha} \nu \kappa \alpha i$ र $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \iota \mu \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$




 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ тò $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \rho v \phi \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i$








$$
{ }^{1} \tau i \nu \omega \nu \Gamma: \tau i \nu \omega \nu \check{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \text { vulg. }
$$

a Echoed from Homer, Odyssey, xvi. 231.

## II. TO NICOCLES

When men make it a habit, Nicocles, to bring to you who are rulers of kingdoms articles of dress or of bronze or of wrought gold, ${ }^{a}$ or other such valuables of which they themselves have need and you have plenty, it seems to me all too evident that they are not engaged in giving but in bargaining, and that they are much more skilful in disposing of their wares than those who are professedly in trade. For my part, I should think that this would be the finest and the most serviceable present and the most suitable for me to give and for you to receive-if I could prescribe what pursuits you should aspire to and from what you should abstain in order to govern to the best advantage your state and kingdom.

For when men are in private life, many things contribute to their education : first and foremost, the absence of luxury among them, and the necessity they are under to take thought each day for their livelihood; next, the laws by which in each case their civic life is governed ; furthermore, freedom of speech and the privilege which is openly granted to friends to rebuke and to enemies to attack each other's faults; besides, a number of the poets of earlier times ${ }^{b}$ have left precepts which direct them how to live ; so that, from all these influences, they

[^31]
## ISOCRATES





 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu$, oi ठє̀ $\sigma v v o ́ v \tau \epsilon S$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ~ o ́ \mu ı \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \iota . ~$
 $\sigma \tau \omega \nu$ каì $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$, $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{o} \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{s}$


 $5 \pi \rho \alpha \tau \tau o ́ v \tau \omega \nu, \ddot{\eta} \tau o ̀ \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \tau v \rho a \nu \nu \in v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. ö $\tau \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi о \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ єìs $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \tau \iota \mu \grave{s}$ каi $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \lambda o u ́ \tau o v s$ каi $\tau$ às $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a s, ~ i \sigma o \theta \epsilon ́ o v s ~ a ̈ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ v o \mu i \zeta o v \sigma \iota ~$
 $\theta v \mu \eta \theta \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \phi o ́ ß o v s ~ к а i ~ \tau о ̀ ̀ s ~ к ı \nu \delta u ́ v o v s, ~ к а i ~$
 $\epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s, \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon i ́ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ o i к \epsilon \iota o \tau a ́ \tau o v s ~ \epsilon ́ \xi a \mu \alpha \rho-~$
 $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha, \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ó $\pi \omega \sigma o v ̂ \nu$ ఢ̂̂̀ $\dot{\eta} \gamma о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$


 iєp $\omega \sigma u ̛ v \eta \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \imath ~ v o \mu i \zeta o v \sigma \iota v, ~ o ́ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\sigma \tau \eta s$ троvoías $\delta \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$.


[^32]
## TO NICOCLES, 3-6

may reasonably be expected to become better men. Kings, however, have no such help ; on the contrary, they, who more than other men should be thoroughly trained, live all their lives, from the time when they are placed in authority, without admonition; for the great majority of people do not come in contact with them, and those who are of their society consort with them to gain their favour. Indeed, although they are placed in authority over vast wealth and mighty affairs, they have brought it about because of their misuse of these advantages that many debate whether it were best to choose the life of men in private station who are reasonably prosperous, or the life of princes. For when men look at their honours, their wealth, and their powers, they all think that those who are in the position of kings are the equals of the gods; but when they reflect on their fears and their dangers, and when, as they review the history of monarchs, they see instances where they have been slain by those from whom they least deserved that fate, other instances where they have been constrained to sin against those nearest and dearest to them, and still others where they have experienced both of these calamities, then they reverse their judgement and conclude that it is better to live in any fashion whatsoever than, at the price of such misfortunes, to rule over all Asia. And the cause of this inconsistency and confusion is that men believe that the office of king is, like that of priest, ${ }^{a}$ one which any man can fill, whereas it is the most important of human functions and demands the greatest wisdom.

Now as to each particular course of action, it is

## ISOCRATES

$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \delta$ v́vaıто ката̀ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ \delta \iota о \iota к є i ̂ \nu ~ к а i ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~$








 $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к i a s ~ \pi а \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ б̀̀ каi $\tau о i ̂ s$


 $\nu о \mu о \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ̂ v$ тaîs $\mu о \nu a \rho \chi i ́ a \iota s$ oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ रà $\rho$ тov̀s



 $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ àv $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ à $\rho \chi \grave{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha s, \tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi$ тодıтєias $\pi \rho a o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \pi o \iota \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$.


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon S$ 爻 $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu о \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{i} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \circ \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$.
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## TO NICOCLES, 6-10

the business of those who are at the time associated with a king to advise him how he may handle it in the best way possible, and how he may both preserve what is good and prevent disaster ; but as regards a king's conduct in general, I shall attempt to set forth the objects at which he should aim and the pursuits to which he should devote himself. Whether the gift when finished shall be worthy of the design, it is hard to tell at the beginning; for many writings both in verse and in prose, while still in the minds of their composers, have aroused high expectations ; but when completed and shown to the world have won a repute far inferior to their promise. And yet the mere attempt is well worth while-to seek a field that has been neglected by others and lay down principles for monarchs ; for those who educate men in private stations benefit them alone, but if one can turn those who rule over the multitude toward a life of virtue, he will help both classes, both those who hold positions of authority and their subjects ; for he will give to kings a greater security in office and to the people a milder government.

First, then, we must consider what is the function of kings; for if we can properly encompass the essence of the whole matter in a general principle ${ }^{a}$ we shall, with this before us, speak to better purpose about its parts. I think that all would agree that it is a king's business to relieve the state when it is in distress, to maintain it in prosperity, and to make it great when it is small ; for it is with these ends in view that the other duties which present themselves day by day must be performed. And surely this
${ }^{a}$ For the habit of definition in Isocrates see General Introd. p. xvii.

## ISOCRATES





 $11 \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$. $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \nu i$ i $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov゙ $\tau \omega$




 őбov $\pi \epsilon \rho$ таîs тццаîs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho о \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota s$, тобо仑̂тоv 12 каi таîs à $\rho \epsilon \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ a u ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סıoíбєıs. каi $\mu \dot{\eta}$ vó $\mu \iota \zeta \epsilon$








 13 ov̋т $\delta \iota \alpha ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \sigma o$ т $̀ \nu ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu$, каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$


 $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon i \rho \omega_{s} \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \alpha \tau \eta े s \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סє̀ $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta \prime s, к \alpha i$ тарабкєv́aऍє $\sigma \epsilon \alpha v \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 ${ }^{1} \mu$ àdı $\sigma \tau a$ om. Bekker.

[^33]much is clear, that those who are able to do all this, and who pronounce on matters of so great moment, must not be indolent nor careless, but must see to it that they are superior to all others in intelligence; for it is evident that they will reign well or ill according to the manner in which they equip their own minds. ${ }^{a}$ 'Therefore, no athlete is so called upon to train his body as is a king to train his soul; ${ }^{b}$ for not all the public festivals in the world offer a prize comparable to those for which you who are kings strive every day of your lives.

This thought you must lay to heart, and see to it that in proportion as you are above the others in rank so shall you surpass them in virtue; and do not hold the view that while diligence is of use in all other matters it is of no avail to make us better and wiser ; and do not deem us, the human kind, so unfortunate that, although in dealing with wild beasts we have discovered arts by which we tame their spirits and increase their worth, yet in our own case we are powerless to help ourselves in the pursuit of virtue. ${ }^{c}$ On the contrary, be convinced that education and diligence are in the highest degree potent to improve our nature, and associate yourself with the wisest of those who are about you and send for the wisest men from abroad whenever this is possible. And do not imagine that you can afford to be ignorant of any one either of the famous poets or of the sages; rather you should listen to the poets and learn from the sages and so equip your mind to judge those who are inferior and to emulate those who are echoes from here in Antid. 209-214. Cf. the debate on whether virtue can be taught : Theognis, 429 ; Xen. Mem. i. 2. 19 ff ; Plato, Meno 95 е. A conservative opinion is expressed on the question by Isocrates in Antid. 209-214.

## ISOCRATES

 тоьồтos, oîov vi $\pi \epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \theta a$ $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ єival $\tau \grave{o} \nu$ ỏ $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$
 $14 \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \delta^{\prime}$ àv av̇тòs víò $\sigma a v \tau o \hat{v} \pi a \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i \eta s, \epsilon i$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \iota o ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \chi \epsilon i ́ p o v s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota o ́ v \omega \nu$ ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$











 каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ â̂̃a८ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \tau о \nu$ रрóvov Sıa $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu$, aî $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon s$ ä้ ă $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha-$




 є́ $\sigma \tau i v$.
$17 \mathrm{~T} \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \quad \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\kappa$ кі̀єє каі $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau i \theta \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$, каi $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} s \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu 0 v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau \omega \nu, \epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}$

## TO NICOCLES, 13-17

superior to yourself; for it is through this training that you can soonest become such a man as we have assumed that one must be who is to perform properly the duties of a king, and to govern the state as he should. But ${ }^{a}$ the strongest challenge to your task you will find in yourself, if only you consider it monstrous that the worse should rule the better, ${ }^{b}$ and that the more foolish should give orders to men of greater wisdom; for the more vigorously you condemn folly in others, the more diligently will you train your own understanding.

This, then, should be the starting-point for those who set out to do their duty. But, in addition, one must be a lover of men and a lover of his country ; for neither horses nor dogs nor men nor any other thing can be properly controlled except by one who takes pleasure in the objects for which it is his duty to care. You must care for the people and make it your first consideration to rule acceptably to them, knowing that all governments-oligarchies as well as the others-have the longest life when they best serve the masses. You will be a wise leader of the people if you do not allow the multitude either to do or to suffer outrage, but see to it that the best among them shall have the honours, ${ }^{c}$ while the rest shall suffer no impairment of their rights; for these are the first and most important elements of good government.

When public ordinances and institutions are not well founded, alter and change them. If possible, originate for yourself what is best for your country,

[^34]
## ISOCRATES

 ऍク́тєє vó $\mu$ ovs тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \sigma u ́ \mu \pi \alpha \nu ~ \delta \iota к а i o v s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu-~$




 $\alpha u ̛ \tau o i ̂ s ~ к а \forall i ́ \sigma \tau \eta ~ к \epsilon \rho \delta \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ a s, ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon i ́ a s$


 $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ '̇vavтias $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta} \lambda \alpha u s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i ̀ \tau \alpha v ̉ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu \grave{̀} \nu$ калабкєvaîs $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \hat{\omega} s$ каi $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s$,


 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ є้̈ $\tau \epsilon$ тоі̂s $\pi \rho о \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$ таîs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фí $\lambda \omega \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma i \alpha \iota$. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\tau o \iota \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \sigma o i \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$,
 $\pi \alpha \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \psi \epsilon \iota s$.

 каi $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon i a \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, à $\nu$ с́s $\beta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$ каі



## TO NICOCLES, 17-20

but, failing in this, imitate what is good in other countries. Seek laws that are altogether just and expedient and consistent with each other and, moreover, those which cause the fewest possible controversies and bring about the speediest possible settlements for your citizens; for all these qualities should be found in wise legislation. Make industry profitable for your people and lawsuits detrimental, in order that they may shun the latter and embrace the former with greater willingness. In pronouncing on matters about which there is mutual dispute, do not render decisions which exhibit favouritism or inconsistency, but let your verdicts on the same issues be always the same ; for it is both right and expedient that the judgements of kings on questions of justice should be invariable, like wisely ordained laws. Manage the city as you would your ancestral estate : in the matter of its appointments, splendidly and royally ; in the matter of its revenues, strictly, in order that you may possess the good opinion of your people and at the same time have sufficient means. Display magnificence, not in any of the extravagant outlays which straightway vanish, but in the ways which I have mentioned, and in the beauty of the objects which you possess, and in the benefits which you bestow upon your friends; for such expenditures will not be lost to you while you live, and you will leave to those who follow you a heritage worth more than what you have spent.

In the worship of the gods, follow the example of your ancestors, but believe that the noblest sacrifice and the greatest devotion is to show yourself in the highest degree a good and just man; for such men have greater hope of enjoying a blessing from

## ISOCRATES

$\pi \rho \alpha \xi_{\epsilon \iota \nu} \tau \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\gamma \alpha 0$ óv. $\tau i ́ \mu a ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ áp $\alpha a \hat{\imath} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фì $\lambda \omega \nu$ тoùs oiкєוотáтovs, $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \delta ' ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta-$
 $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ท̀ $\gamma o \hat{v}$ тồ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \tau \eta ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 каi $\sigma \omega ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \tau u \rho a \nu v i ́ \delta a s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau ’ a ้ \nu ~ \tau \iota s ~ \delta u ́ v a \iota \tau o . ~$


 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ оікои́vт $\omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi о$ о́д七 оікєі̂а $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ 22 ßaбı入єvóvт $\omega \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau i ́$. Sià $\pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ oṽ $\tau \omega$ фаívov $\pi \rho о \tau \iota \mu \omega \bar{\omega}, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \tau \epsilon ́-$ povs єîval $\tau o v ̀ s ~ o o v ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v ~ \eta ŋ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \pi \alpha ́ \rho \in \chi \in \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda a \iota a ~ \nu o ́ \mu \iota \mu о \nu$,
 бoì $\delta \omega \rho \in \grave{\alpha} s$ à $\gamma о \nu \tau \alpha s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ тov̀s $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma o v ̂ ~ \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha ́-~$ $\nu \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha s^{\cdot} \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ रà $\rho$ тov̀s $\tau o \iota o u ́ \tau o v s ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$





 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ v \quad \sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu \theta a ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma(\gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, \pi \rho \bar{a} o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \dot{\alpha} s \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a s ~ \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau o v s ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu 0-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.




$$
\text { a Cf. To Dem. } 39 \text { and note. }
$$

## TO NICOCLES, 20-24

the gods ${ }^{a}$ than those who slaughter many victims. Honour with office those of your friends who are nearest of kin, but honour in very truth those who are the most loyal. Believe that your staunchest body-guard lies in the virtue of your friends, the loyalty of your citizens and your own wisdom ; ${ }^{b}$ for it is through these that one can best acquire as well as keep the powers of royalty Watch over the estates of your citizens, and consider that the spenders are paying from your pocket, and the workers are adding to your wealth ; for all the property of those who live in the state belongs to kings who rule them well. Throughout all your life show that you value truth so highly that your word is more to be trusted than the oaths of other men. ${ }^{c}$ To all foreigners, see that the city offers security and good faith in its engagements; and in your treatment of those who come from abroad, make the most, not of those who bring you gifts, but of those who expect to receive gifts from you; for by honouring such men you will have greater esteem from the rest of the world. Deliver your citizens from their many fears, and be not willing that dread should beset men who have done no wrong ; for even as you dispose others toward you, so you will feel toward them. Do nothing in anger, but simulate anger when the occasion demands it. Show yourself stern by overlooking nothing which men do, but kind by making the punishment less than the offence.

Be not willing to show your authority by harshness or by undue severity in punishment, but by causing your subjects one and all to defer to your judgement and to believe that your plans for their welfare are

[^35]
## ISOCRATES







 $\dot{\eta} \gamma o \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta}$ тov̀s $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \omega S$ ì $\tau \tau \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o v_{S}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$
 фооvas vó $\mu \iota \zeta \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta}$ тov̀s $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \lambda o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \hat{\omega} \nu$







 каi $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \frac{\partial}{s} \tau о$ út $^{2} \nu$ à $\tau v \chi \hat{\eta} s$.
27 Фílovs ктف̂ $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s$ тov̀s $\beta$ ou入o $\mu$ évovs, à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau \circ v i s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \sigma \eta ̂ s ~ \phi u ̛ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ a ̉ \xi i o u s ~ o ̋ \nu \tau \alpha s, ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, єiठठ̀̀s ö ơ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ oi $\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma o \iota \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \alpha^{-}$
 тoเoúzovs є́фíaтך тoîs $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma o \hat{v}$


${ }^{a}$ Cf. Peace 136.
${ }^{b}$ For the golden rule in the relations of states compare Paneg. 81. Cf. To Demon. 14 and note.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cf. Nicocl. 34.

## TO NICOCLES, 24-28

better than their own. Be warlike in your knowledge of war and in your preparations for it, but peaceful in your avoidance of all unjust aggression. ${ }^{a}$ Deal with weaker states as you would expect stronger states to deal with you. ${ }^{b}$ Do not be contentious in all things, but only where it will profit you to have your own way. Do not think men weak who yield a point to their own advantage, but rather those who prevail to their own injury. Do not consider that the great souls are those who undertake more than they can achieve, but those who, having noble aims, are also able to accomplish whatever they attempt. Emulate, not those who have most widely extended their dominion, but those who have made best use of the power they already possess; ${ }^{c}$ and believe that you will enjoy the utmost happiness, not if you rule over the whole world at the price of fears and dangers and baseness, but rather if, being the man you should be, and continuing to act as at the present moment, you set your heart on moderate achievements and fail in none of them.

Do not give your friendship to everyone who desires it, but only to those who are worthy of you ; not to those whose society you will most enjoy, but to those with whose help you will best govern the state. Subject your associates to the most searching tests, knowing that all who are not in close touch with you will think that you are like those with whom you live. When you put men in charge of affairs which are not under your personal direction, be governed by the knowledge that you yourself will be held responsible for whatever they do. Regard as your most faithful friends, not those who praise

## ISOCRATES

 тоîs á $\mu \rho \tau \alpha \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau а s$. סídov $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ a \nu$
 тoùs $\sigma v \nu \delta о к \iota \mu a ́ \sigma o \nu \tau a s . ~ \delta \iota o ́ \rho a ~ к а i ~ \tau о v ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta ~$





 є' $\xi a \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \nu о \nu \tau а s . ~$





 є̇ $\pi \iota \delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ каi $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i ́ \omega \nu ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \delta o ́ g \epsilon \iota s . ~$


 роîs $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu . \nu o ́ \mu \iota \zeta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \alpha s$





31 M $\eta$ тov̀s $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ädlous áłiov коб $\mu i \omega_{s} \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ тoùs $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$


[^36]
## TO NICOCLES, 28-31

everything you say or do, but those who criticize your mistakes. Grant freedom of speech to those who have good judgement, in order that when you are in doubt you may have friends who will help you to decide. Distinguish between those who artfully flatter and those who loyally serve you, that the base may not fare better than the good. Listen to what men say about each other and try to discern at the same time the character of those who speak and of those about whom they speak. Visit the same punishment on false-accusers as on evil-doers.

Govern yourself no less than your subjects, and consider that you are in the highest sense a king when you are a slave to no pleasure ${ }^{a}$ but rule over your desires more firmly than over your people. Do not contract any intimacy heedlessly or without reflection, but accustom yourself to take pleasure in that society which will contribute to your advancement and heighten your fame in the eyes of the world. Do not show yourself ambitious for those things which lie within the power of base men also to achieve, but show that you pride yourself on virtue, in which base men have no part. ${ }^{.}$Consider that the truest respect is shown you, not in the public demonstrations which are inspired by fear, but when people in the privacy of their homes speak with admiration of your wisdom rather than of your fortune. Let it not be known of men if perchance you take delight in things of small account, but let the world see that you are zealous about matters of the greatest moment.

Do not think that while all other people should live with sobriety, kings may live with licence; on the contrary, let your own self-control stand as an

## ISOCRATES





 $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ íovos $\pi о \iota o v ̂ ~ \delta o ́ \xi a \nu ~ к а \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~ \eta \ddot{\eta} \pi \lambda o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu ~ \tau о і ̂ \varsigma ~$
 каi $\delta o ́ \xi \eta \eta ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu а \tau а ~ к \tau \eta \tau \alpha ́, ~ \delta o ́ \xi \alpha ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu ~$





 $\nu о \mu i \zeta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ avvóv $\sigma \epsilon$ S $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$


 $\kappa \rho a ́ \tau \iota \sigma ் \tau o \nu ~ \mu \grave{̀} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S$ ăк $\mu \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$,






 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu v \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \psi v \chi \rho o u ̀ s ~ o ̂ v \tau a s, ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \beta$ ßovגo-
${ }^{a}$ Cf. Nicocl. 37; Cicero, Ep. ad Fam. i. 9. 12: "quales in republica principes essent, tales reliquos solere esse cives."
${ }^{5}$ Cf. Nicocl. 50.
${ }^{c}$ It is a commonplace of Greek ethics that "virtue" (wisdom, justice, temperance) and the good name which it ensures are enduring possessions in which the worthy only 58

## TO NICOCLES, 31-34

example to the rest, realizing that the manners of the whole state are copied from its rulers. ${ }^{a}$ Let it be a sign to you that you rule wisely if you see all your subjects growing more prosperous and more temperate because of your oversight. Consider it more important to leave to your children a good name than great riches; for riches endure for a day, a good name for all time; a good name may bring wealth, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ but wealth cannot buy a good name ; wealth comes even to men of no account, but a good name can only be acquired by men of superior merit. ${ }^{c}$ Be sumptuous in your dress and personal adornment, but simple and severe, as befits a king, in your other habits, that those who see you may judge from your appearance that you are worthy of your office, and that those who are intimate with you may form the same opinion from your strength of soul.

Keep watch always on your words and actions, that you may fall into as few mistakes as possible. For while it is best to grasp your opportunities at exactly the right moment, yet, since they are difficult to discern, choose to fall short rather than to overreach them; ${ }^{d}$ for the happy mean is to be found in defect rather than in excess. Try to combine courtesy with dignity ; for dignity is in keeping with the position of a king and courtesy is becoming in his social intercourse. Yet no admonition is so difficult to carry out as this; for you will find that for the most part those who affect dignity are cold, may share, as distinguished from such transitory goods as wealth, power, beauty, etc., which are shared even by the base. Cf. 30; To Dem. 6, 19, 38 ; To Nicocl. 32 ; Phil. 135 ff.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf. Arist. Eth. Nic. ii. 5; Cicero, Orat. xxii.: "etsi suus cuique rei modus est, tamen magis offendit nimium quam parum."

## ISOCRATES






 тò $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi$＇aù $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \gamma \nu \mu \nu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta u ́ v a \sigma \theta a i ́$ $\sigma \epsilon \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ тоîS $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota$ тоぃท＇$\sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．
［22］Өє ${ }^{\prime} \rho \in \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \sigma v \mu \pi i \pi \tau о \nu \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha i ~$




 $\delta \epsilon v ́ \mu a \sigma \iota ~ \tau о и ́ \tau o \iota s, ~ \epsilon ' \xi ~ \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon v ่ \delta о к \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma о v \sigma \iota \nu$.
 خ̈ $\tau о \hat{v} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau о s$ ката入ıтєîv．$\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega}$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ каi $\sigma \alpha v \tau \hat{\omega}$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ סıафu入áт－






 $38 \lambda_{\iota} \pi \epsilon i \nu . \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \prime \tau a \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \kappa а \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ， ìva，$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} s$ ö $\mu$ ога $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i ̣ \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~$



[^37]while those who desire to be courteous appear to lower themselves; yet you should cultivate both these qualities and try to avoid the danger that attaches to each. Whenever you desire to gain a thorough understanding of such things as it is fitting that kings should know, pursue them by practice as well as by study ; for study will show you the way, but training yourself in the actual doing of things will give you power to deal with affairs.

Reflect on the fortunes and accidents which befall both common men and kings, for if you are mindful of the past you will plan better for the future. Consider that where there are common men who are ready to lay down their lives ${ }^{a}$ that they may be praised after they are dead, it is shameful for kings not to have the courage to pursue a course of conduct from which they will gain renown during their lives. Prefer to leave behind you as a memorial images of your character rather than of your body. Put forth every effort to preserve your own and your state's security, but if you are compelled to risk your life, choose to die with honour rather than to live in shame. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ In all your actions remember that you are a king, and take care never to do anything which is beneath the dignity of your station.

Do not suffer your life to be at once wholly blotted out, but since you were allotted a perishable body, seek to leave behind an imperishable memorial of your soul.c Make it your practice to talk of things that are good and honourable, that your thoughts may through habit come to be like your words. Whatever seems to you upon careful thought to be the best course, put this into effect. If there are

[^38]
## ISOCRATES


 $\chi \rho \hat{\omega}$ тоîs єị $\mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ \ddot{\eta}$ らท̀́rєє $\beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega \omega$ тоúт $\omega \nu$ ．

 रovtas，$\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тoùs roîs $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ă ädooss єủdacuovía $\dot{v} \pi \iota \sigma \chi \nu \circ v \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s, ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda a i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \pi o p i ́ a ı s$ ${ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \alpha s, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \iota \alpha ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \alpha s$ ，




40 Kai $\mu \grave{\eta}$ Өav $\mu \alpha \sigma \eta{ }^{\prime},{ }^{1} \epsilon i \quad \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$



 тоьov̂v $\alpha$ s є́ $\omega \rho$ а́кабıv，oi $\delta^{\prime}$ av̉zoi $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu о v \sigma \iota \nu ~ є ่ \pi \iota-~$



 $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \nu$ ，ôs à้ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ढ̇v $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $a^{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ठıavoíaıs à $\theta \rho o i ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha \alpha$ $\delta v \nu \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ каi фра́баı ка́入入ıбта $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu . ~$



[^39]${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf．Paneg．188－189 and note．

## TO NICOCLES, 38-42

men whose reputations you envy, imitate their deeds. Whatever advice you would give to your children, consent to follow it yourself. Make use of the precepts which I have given you or else seek better counsel. Regard as wise men, not those who dispute subtly about trifling matters, but those who speak well on the great issues ; ${ }^{a}$ and not those who, being themselves in sorry straits, hold forth to others the promise of a prosperous fortune, but those who, while making modest claims for themselves, are able to deal with both affairs and men, and are not upset by the vicissitudes of existence, but have learned to bear moderately and bravely both the good and the evil chances of life. ${ }^{b}$

And do not be surprised that in what I have said there are many things which you know as well as I. This is not from inadvertence on my part, for I have realized all along that among so great a multitude both of mankind in general and of their rulers there are some who have uttered one or another of these precepts, some who have heard them, some who have observed other people put them into practice, and some who are carrying them out in their own lives. But the truth is that in discourses of this sort we should not seek novelties, for in these discourses it is not possible to say what is paradoxical or incredible or outside the circle of accepted belief; but, rather, we should regard that man as the most accomplished in this field who can collect the greatest number of ideas scattered among the thoughts of all the rest and present them in the best form.

Moreover, this has been clear to me from the first, that while all men think that those compositions,

[^40]
## ISOCRATES








 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\nu \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu, \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ठढ̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s$ aipoûv $\alpha a \iota \sigma \nu \nu-$



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau a s ~ \delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon i ̂ \epsilon \nu \cdot ~ \eta ้ \delta \iota o \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \alpha ٌ \nu ~ \kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta i ́ \alpha s$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa о$ и́ $\alpha \alpha \epsilon \nu$.

 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu, \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma o \mu \in \nu$ тò̀s $\pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu$

 $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ voîs $\beta \in \lambda \tau i ́ \sigma \tau o \iota s$ ov̋т $\epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \rho \epsilon \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu^{1}$ тоîs $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \iota \mu \omega \tau a ́ \tau o \iota s, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a \nu \tau a ́ \pi a \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ' \nu a \nu \tau i ́ a s ~$

 $46 \tau \omega \nu \tau \iota \pi o \iota o v ̂ \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma^{*} \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} s \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota s$ тoîs $\tau o \iota o u ́ \tau o \iota s$

$$
{ }^{1} \theta \rho \epsilon \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \Gamma: \mu a \theta \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \text { vulg. }
$$

[^41]
## TO NICOCLES, 42-46

whether in verse or prose, are the most useful which counsel us how to live, yet it is certainly not to them that they listen with greatest pleasure ; nay, they feel about these just as they feel about the people who admonish them ; for while they praise the latter, they choose for associates ${ }^{a}$ those who share in, and not those who would dissuade them from, their faults. As a case in point, one might cite the poetry of Hesiod and Theognis and Phocylides ; ${ }^{b}$ for these, they say, have proved the best counsellors for human conduct; but in spite of what they say, people prefer to occupy themselves with each other's follies rather than with the admonitions of these teachers. And, again, if one were to make a selection from the leading poets of their maxims, as we call them, into which they have put their best thought, men would show a similar attitude toward them also; for they would lend a readier ear to the cheapest comedy ${ }^{c}$ than to the creations of such finished art.

Yet why should I spend time in giving single instances? For if we are willing to survey human nature as a whole, we shall find that the majority of men do not take pleasure in the food ${ }^{d}$ that is the most wholesome, nor in the pursuits that are the most honourable, nor in the actions that are the noblest, nor in the crcatures that are the most useful, but that they have tastes which are in every way contrary to their best interests, while they view those who have some regard for their duty as men of austere and laborious lives. How, then, can one
them because in his epic The Works and Days are scattered many maxims.
c Isocrates had a poor opinion of comedy, himself having been subjected to its licence. Cf. Peace 14.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf. To Dem. 45.

## ISOCRATES

 á $\rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$ ；oî $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ s ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ \phi \theta o v o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~$









 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$ ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ єủ $\chi o \mu$ évovs．$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ ка $\theta^{\prime}$ à $\pi a ́ \nu-~$
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## TO NICOCLES, 46-49

advise or teach or say anything of profit and yet please such people? For, besides what I have said of them, they look upon men of wisdom with suspicion, while they regard men of no understanding as open and sincere; and they so shun the verities of life that they do not even know their own interests: nay, it irks them to take account of their own business and it delights them to discuss the business of others; and they would rather be ill in body than exert the soul and give thought to anything in the line of duty. Observe them when they are in each other's company, and you will find them giving and taking abuse; observe them when they are by themselves, and you will find them occupied, not with plans, but with idle dreams. I am, however, speaking now not of all, but of those only who are open to the charges I have made.

This much, however, is clear, that those who aim to write anything in verse or prose which will make a popular appeal should seek out, not the most profitable discourses, but those which most abound in fictions; for the ear delights in these just as the eye delights in games and contests. Wherefore we may well admire the poet Homer and the first inventors of tragedy, seeing that they, with true insight into human nature, have embodied both kinds of pleasure in their poetry ; for Homer has dressed the contests and battles of the demigods in myths, while the tragic poets have rendered the myths in the form of contests and action, so that they are presented, not to our ears alone, but to our eyes as well. With such models, then, before us, it is evident that those who desire to command the attention of their hearers must abstain from admoni-
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## TO NICOCLES, 49-54

tion and advice, and must say the kind of things which they see are most pleasing to the crowd.

I have dwelt on these matters because I think that you, who are not one of the multitude but a king over the multitude, ought not to be of the same mind as men at large; you ought not to judge what things are worthy or what men are wise by the standard of pleasure, but to appraise them in the light of conduct that is useful; especially, since the teachers of philosophy, however much they debate about the proper discipline of the soul (some contending that it is through disputation, ${ }^{,}$others that it is through political discussion, others that it is through other means that their disciples are to attain to greater wisdom), yet are all agreed on this, that the well-educated man must, as the result of his training in whatever discipline, show ability to deliberate and decide. You should, therefore, avoid what is in controversy and test men's value in the light of what is generally agreed upon, if possible taking careful note of them when they present their views on particular situations; or, if that is not possible, when they discuss general questions. And when they are altogether lacking in what they ought to know, reject them (for it is clear that if one is of no use in himself, neither can he make another man wise) ; but when they are intelligent and able to see farther than the rest, prize them and cherish them, knowing that a good counsellor is the most useful and the most princely of all possessions. And believe that those contribute most to the greatness of your reign who can contribute most to your understanding.

Now I, for my part, have offered you all the good counsels which I know, and I honour you with these
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## TO NICOCLES, 54

gifts which I have at my command ; and do you, recalling what I said in the beginning, desire that your other friends also shall bring you, not the usual presents, which you purchase at a much greater cost from those who give than from those who sell, but gifts of such a nature that, even though you make hard use of them every day without fail, you will never wear them out, but will, on the contrary, enlarge them and increase their worth.

## III. NICOCLES OR THE CYPRIANS

## INTRODUCTION

The circulation among the friends of Nicocles of the treatise directed to the young king on the duties of monarchs ${ }^{a}$ no doubt prompted the suggestion that a companion piece be written on the duties of a king's subjects. Perhaps the hint came from Nicocles himself, who may have thought " that the perception of a king's obligations which the former work may have quickened in some Salaminians might be usefully compensated by a sense of their own." ${ }^{b}$

The fact that the "companion" discourse is put in the mouth of Nicocles does not necessarily signify that it was intended to be published as Nicocles' own composition, but more probably that Isocrates desired, in this way, to put himself in the king's place and state the case for monarchy from the royalist point of view. ${ }^{c}$ We need not, however, regard his argument as a specious plea, " written to order." ${ }^{d}$ Isocrates was not blind to the advantages of monarchy, and there was in his mind as the years went on a drift of sympathy away from what he
${ }^{a}$ To Nicocles, II.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. p. 80.
${ }^{c}$ Just as Herodotus puts a plea for one-man rule in the mouth of Dareius, iii. 82. In Isocrates' Archidamus we have a similar attempt to see a situation through another's eyes.
${ }^{d}$ So Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. p. 86.

## NICOCLES

looked upon as the slackness and irresponsibility of contemporary democracy towards a more centralized and vigorous rule. ${ }^{a}$ His ideal of government was the limited democracy of Solon, the virtues of which he regarded as a legacy from the monarchy which it succeeded; ${ }^{b}$ and it is significant that the good points which he attributes to monarchy in Nicocles are elsewhere associated by him with the Athenian democracy as it was in the good old times before the " rule of the best" had given way to the " rule of the mob." ${ }^{c}$ Indeed it may well be that the idealization of monarchy in Nicocles has in part the same purpose as his idealization of the early democracy of Athens in Areopagiticus,Panegyricus, and Panathenaicus -that of pointing a contrast to the weaknesses of popular government in his own time.

The discourse was written after Nicocles had ruled for some time, ${ }^{d}$ probably between 372 and 365 в.с. ${ }^{e}$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. p. 21.
${ }^{-}$Panath. 138.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Isocrates' views of the Athenian government are set forth fully in the Areopagiticus and in the Panathenaicus. See also General Introd. p. xxxviii, and Havet's essay on Isocrates in Cartelier's Antidosis, xli ff.
${ }^{d}$ See 63.

- Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. p. 86.
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${ }^{a}$ For Isocrates' use of the word " philosophy" as covering what we mean by "culture" and his identification of "discourse" with the cultivated life see General Introduction, pp. xxiii ff.

## III. NICOCLES OR THE CYPRIANS

There are people who frown upon eloquence and censure men who study philosophy, ${ }^{a}$ asserting that those who engage in such occupations do so, not for the sake of virtue, but for their own advantage. Now, I should be glad if those who take this position would tell me why they blame men who are ambitious to speak well, but applaud men who desire to act rightly ; for if it is the pursuit of one's own advantage which gives them offence, we shall find that more and greater advantages are gained from actions than from speech. Moreover, it is passing strange if the fact has escaped them that we reverence the gods and practise justice, and cultivate the other virtues, not that we may be worse off than our fellows, but that we may pass our days in the enjoyment of as many good things as possible. They should not, therefore, condemn these means by which one may gain advantage ${ }^{b}$ without sacrifice of virtue, but rather those men who do wrong in their actions or who deceive by their speech and put their eloquence to unjust uses.

I ain astonished that those who hold the view to which I have just referred do not rail also against
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## NICOCLES, 3-6

wealth and strength and courage ; for if they are really hostile to eloquence because there are men who do wrong and speak falsehood, they ought to disparage as well all other good things; for there will be found also among men who possess these some who do wrong and use these advantages to the injury of many. ${ }^{a}$ Nevertheless, it is not fair to decry strength because there are persons who assault people whom they encounter, nor to traduce courage because there are those who slay men wantonly, nor in general to transfer to things the depravity of men, but rather to put the blame on the men themselves who misuse the good things, and who, by the very powers which might help their fellow-countrymen, endeavour to do them harm. ${ }^{b}$

But the fact is that since they have not taken the trouble to make distinctions after this manner in each instance, they are ill-disposed to all eloquence ; and they have gone so far astray as not to perceive that they are hostile to that power which of all the faculties that belong to the nature of man is the source of most of our blessings. For in the other powers which we possess we are in no respect superior to other living creatures; nay, we are inferior to many in swiftness and in strength and in other resources; but, because there has been implanted in us the power to persuade each other and to make clear to each other whatever we desire, not only have we escaped the life of wild beasts, but we have come together and founded cities and made laws and invented arts ; and, generally speaking, there is no institution devised by man
${ }^{6}$ The same argument is made at length in Antid. 251, 252 , also in defence of eloquence.

## ISOCRATES
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which the power of speech has not helped us to establish. ${ }^{a}$ For this it is which has laid down laws concerning things just and unjust, and things base and honourable ; and if it were not for these ordinances we should not be able to live with one another. It is by this also that we confute the bad and extol the good. Through this we educate the ignorant and appraise the wise ; for the power to speak well is taken as the surest index of a sound understanding, and discourse which is true and lawful and just is the outward image of a good and faithful soul. With this faculty we both contend against others on matters which are open to dispute and seek light for ourselves on things which are unknown; for the same arguments which we use in persuading others when we speak in public, we employ also when we deliberate in our own thoughts; and, while we call eloquent those who are able to speak before a crowd, we regard as sage those who most skilfully debate their problems in their own minds. And, if there is need to speak in brief summary of this power, we shall find that none of the things which are done with intelligence take place without the help of speech, but that in all our actions as well as in all our thoughts speech is our guide, and is most employed by those who have the most wisdom. Therefore, those who dare to speak with disrespect of educators and teachers of philosophy deserve our opprobrium no less than those who profane the sanctuaries of the gods.

I, myself, welcome all forms of discourse which are capable of benefiting us even in a small degree;

Isocrates refers to this passage in Antid. 253, and quotes exactly from sections 5-9.

## ISOCRATES
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however, I regard those as the best and most worthy of a king, and most appropriate to me, which give directions on good morals and good government ; ${ }^{a}$ and especially those which teach how men in power should deal with the people, and how the rank and file should be disposed to their rulers. For I observe that it is through such discourses that states attain the highest prosperity and greatness.

On the former topic, how a ruler should act, you have heard Isocrates speak ; on the following topic, what his subjects must do, I shall attempt to discourse, not with any thought of excelling him, but because this is the most fitting subject for me to discuss with you. For if I did not make clear what I desire you to do, I could not reasonably be angry with you if you were to mistake my purpose; but if, after I have announced my policy beforehand, none of my desires are carried out, then I should justly blame those who fail to obey me.

And I believe that I should most effectively exhort you and urge you to remember my words and heed them, not if I should confine myself to giving you advice and then, after counting out my precepts, make an end, but if, before doing this, I should prove to you, first, that you ought to be content with our present government, not only from necessity, nor because we have lived under it all our lives, but because it is the best of all governments ; and, second, that I hold this office, not illegally nor as a usurper, but with the just sanction of gods and men, and by virtue of my earliest ancestors, and of my
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father and of myself. For, once these claims have been established, who will not condemn himself to the severest punishment if he fails to heed my counsels and commands?

Speaking, then, of forms of government (for this was the subject I set out to lay before you), I imagine that we all believe that it is altogether monstrous ${ }^{a}$ that the good and the bad should be thought worthy of the same privileges, and that it is of the very essence of justice that distinctions should be made between them, and that those who are unlike should not be treated alike but should fare and be rewarded in each case according to their deserts. Now oligarchies and democracies seek equality for those who share in the administration of them ; and the doctrine is in high favour in those governments that one man should not have the power to get more than another-a principle which works in the interest of the worthless! Monarchies, on the other hand, make the highest award to the best man, the next highest to the next best, and in the same proportion to the third and the fourth and so on. Even if this practice does not obtain everywhere, such at least is the intention of the polity. And, mark you, monarchies more than other governments keep an appraising eye upon the characters and actions of men, as everyone will admit. Who, then, that is of sound mind would not prefer to share in a form of government under which his own worth shall not pass unnoticed, rather than be lost in the hurly-burly of the mob and not be recognized for what he is? Furthermore, we should be right in pronouncing monarchy also a milder government, in proportion as it is easier to give heed to the will of
 voíaıs 乌ŋтєîv ápє́ซкєєข.
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[^48]a single person than to seek to please many and manifold minds.

Now one might multiply arguments to prove that this form of government is more agreeable and mild and just than others ; yet, even from those I have advanced it is easy to see this at a glance. As for its other advantages, we can best appreciate how far monarchies excel other governments in planning and carrying out any course of action required of them if we place their most important practices side by side and try to review them. In the first place, then, men who enter upon office for an annual term are retired to private life before they have gained any insight into public affairs or any experience in handling them ; while men who are permanently in charge of the same duties, even though they fall short of the others in natural ability, at any rate have a great advantage over them in experience. In the next place, the former neglect many things, because each looks to the others to do them; while the latter neglect nothing, knowing that whatever is done depends upon their own efforts. Then again, men who live in oligarchies or democracies are led by their mutual rivalries to injure the commonwealth ; ${ }^{a}$ while those who live in monarchies, not having anyone to envy, do in all circumstances so far as possible what is best. Furthermore, the former are dilatory in action, ${ }^{b}$ for they spend most of their time over their private concerns; and when they do assemble in council, you will find them more often quarrelling ${ }^{c}$ with each other than deliberating and Philip's freedom to act and strike quickly, On the Crown, 294. Cf. Demosthenes' Philippic, i. 40-46.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ For the selfish bickerings of the platform orators see Panath. 12.

## ISOCRATES












 тòv vô̂̀, каi $\sigma v \mu \beta$ oúخoıs $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i$ aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oi


 $\nu a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тov̀s $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ тoîs $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon ่ \pi-$七七тацévovs.











${ }^{2} \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l$ Coray : $\delta \phi \theta \hat{\eta} v a l$ mss.
a But it was, he says elsewhere, the virtue of the old 88
together ; while the latter, for whom no councils or times of meeting are prescribed, but who apply themselves to the state's business both day and night, do not let opportunities pass them by, but act in each case at the right moment. Again, the former are ill-disposed toward each other and would rather have their predecessors and their successors in office administer the state as badly as possible, in order that they may win for themselves as much credit as possible ; while the latter, because they are in control of affairs throughout their lives, are at all times actuated by feelings of good will. But the greatest difference is this: men under other governments give attention to the affairs of state as if they were the concern of others ; monarchs, as if they were their own concern; ${ }^{a}$ and the former employ as their advisers on state affairs the most self-assertive of their citizens, while the latter single out and employ the most sagacious; and the former honour those who are skilful in haranguing the crowd, while the latter honour those who understand how to deal with affairs.

And not only in matters of ordinary routine and of daily occurrence do monarchies excel, but in war they have compassed every advantage; ${ }^{b}$ for in raising troops, and handling them so as to mislead and forestall the enemy, and in winning people over, now by persuasion, now by force, now by bribery, now by other means of conciliation, one-man rule is more efficient than the other forms of government.
democracy that they did not slight the commonwealth, but cared for it as their personal concern, Paneg. 76; Areop. 24, 25.
b The same point is made by Demosthenes, Olynthiac, i. 4.
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 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ ova $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ т $\grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ dj $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ф $о ́ v \eta \sigma \iota \nu$,
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 $\kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \delta \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ढ่ $\pi \sigma i ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ '







 $\tau о \iota o ̛ ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \tau o v ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \mu о \nu a \rho \chi i ́ a s$







${ }^{1}{ }^{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Bless : ' ${ }^{~} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ mss.
${ }^{2} \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu \mathrm{~T}: \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ vulg.

[^49]And of this one may be assured by facts no less than by words ; for, in the first place, we all know that the empire of the Persians attained its great magnitude, not because of the intelligence of the population, but because they more than other peoples respect the royal office ; secondly, that Dionysius, ${ }^{,}$the tyrant, taking charge of Sicily when the rest of it had been devastated by war and when his own country, Syracuse, was in a state of siege, not only delivered it from the dangers which then threatened, but also made it the greatest of Hellenic states ; and again, we know that while the Carthaginians and the Lacedaemonians, who are the best governed peoples of the world, ${ }^{b}$ are ruled by oligarchies at home, yet, when they take the field, they are ruled by kings. One might also point out that the state ${ }^{c}$ which more than any other abhors absolute rule meets with disaster when it sends out many generals, ${ }^{d}$ and with success when it wages war under a single leader.

And, indeed, how could any one show more convincingly than through these instances that monarchy is the most excellent of governments? For we see that those who are permanently ruled by kings have the greatest powers ; that those who live in wellconducted oligarchies, when it comes to matters about which they are most concerned, appoint one man, in some cases a general, in others a king, to have full powers over their armies in the field; and that those who abhor absolute rule, whenever they send out many leaders, fail to accomplish a single one of their designs. And, if there is need to Aristotle couples in his praise, as Isocrates here, the Spartans and the Carthaginians, Politics 1272 b 24 ff .
${ }^{c}$ Athens.
${ }^{d}$ As in the disasters at Syracuse and Aegospotami.
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27 Пєрi $\mu \epsilon \grave{\nu}$ oûv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ то $\lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$, ő $\sigma \circ \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta_{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$





 $\gamma \epsilon ́ v o v_{s} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\rho \chi \eta \gamma o ́ s, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \tau o \grave{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \gamma o ́ v o v s, \pi \lambda \epsilon$ v́бas $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho \circ$ каi т $\grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$

 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ àvé̀ $\alpha \beta \epsilon \nu$, ن́тобтàs $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau o v s ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta v^{-}$ vous, каi тобо仑̂тоע $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \iota$ Фоí-








${ }^{a}$ For this history see introd. to II ; Grote, History of Greece (new edition), ix. pp. 228 ff. ; Evagoras 29-35.

## NICOCLES, 26-29

speak also of things old in story, it is said that even the gods are ruled by Zeus as king. If the saying is true, it is clear that the gods also prefer this regime ; but if, on the other hand, no one knows the truth about this matter, and we by our own conjecture have simply supposed it to be so, it is a proof that we all hold monarchy in the highest esteem; for we should never have said that the gods live under it if we did not believe it to be far superior to all other governments.

Now as to polities, while it is not possible either to search out or declare every detail in which they differ from each other, yet for our present purpose, at least, enough has been said. But to show that I hold my office by natural right is a story much sooner told and less open to dispute. For who does not know how Teucer, the founder of our race, taking with him the ancestors of the rest of our people, came hither over seas and built for them a city and portioned out the land ; and that, after his other descendants had lost the throne, my father, Evagoras, won it back again by undergoing the greatest dangers, and wrought so great a change that Phoenicians no longer rule over Salaminians, while they, to whom it belonged in the beginning, are to-day in possession of the kingdom ? ${ }^{a}$

Now, of the matters which I proposed to discuss, it remains for me to speak to you about myself, in order that you may realize that I, who rule over you, am of such character that, not only on account of my ancestors, but of myself also, I might justly claim even greater honour than I now enjoy. For I think you would all agree that the most sovereign
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 ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega^{\prime} \pi \omega \nu \quad \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda o v ́ \sigma \alpha s . ~ \epsilon i \quad \delta \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \iota \nu \in s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o-$

 $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$.


 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ к а \tau \eta \nu а \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha, ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha ~ \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \hat{\eta} s$





 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu, \stackrel{\sim}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \quad \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \epsilon \in \lambda-$

 то入ítas $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тоьаи́т $\eta$ s $\pi \rho а о ́ \tau \eta \tau о s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \nu \epsilon ́ \chi \theta \eta \nu$,




a Almost the language of the Platonic doctrine of ideas.
${ }^{b}$ We may surmise that the death of the strong and 94

## NICOCLES, 30-33

of the virtues are temperance and justice, since not only do they benefit us in themselves, but, if we should be minded to look into the natures, powers, and uses of human relations, we would find that those which do not partake ${ }^{a}$ of these qualities are the causes of great evils, whereas those which are attended by temperance and justice are greatly beneficial to the life of man. If, then, any of my predecessors have gained renown for these virtues, I consider that it is also my right to enjoy the same renown.

As to my sense of justice, you can best observe it from these facts : ${ }^{b}$ When I was established in power I found the royal treasury empty, all the revenues squandered, the affairs of the state in utter disorder and calling for great care, watchfulness, and outlay of money ; and, although I knew that rulers of the other sort in similar straits resort to every shift in order to right their own affairs, and that they feel constrained to do many things which are against their nature, nevertheless I did not fall a victim to any of these temptations; nay, I attended so devotedly and honourably to my duties that I left nothing undone which could contribute to the greatness of the state and advance its prosperity; and toward the citizens of the state I behaved with such mildness that no one has suffered exile or death or confiscation of property or any such misfortune during my reign. And though Hellas was closed to us because of the war which had arisen, and though we
resourceful Evagoras plunged the affairs of Salamis and of Cyprus into a state of confusion which was with difficulty reduced to order by his successor, but we possess no further details of this history than those which are here set down.
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 ${ }_{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \quad \sigma \alpha s, \pi \lambda \epsilon i o v s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 є́катє́ $\rho o \iota s ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \grave{\omega} s \hat{\eta}$ оú $\mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ oi $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \mu о \hat{v}$


 $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \alpha u \dot{\tau} \omega \bar{\nu}$.
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## NICOCLES, 33-36

were being robbed on every side, I solved most of these difficulties, paying to some their claims in full, to others in part, asking some to postpone theirs, and satisfying others as to their complaints by whatever means I could. Furthermore, though the inhabitants of the island were hostile to me, and the Great King, while outwardly reconciled, was really in an ugly mood, I calmed and appeased both parties by assisting the King zealously and by treating the islanders justly. For I am so far from coveting what belongs to others that, while rulers of the other sort, when they are stronger than their neighbours by ever so little, cut off portions of their territory and seek to get the advantage of them, I did not think it right to take even the land which was offered to me, but prefer rather to hold through just means what is my own than to acquire through base means territory many times greater than that which I now possess. But why need I take the time to speak in detail, especially when I can make clear in a word the truth about myself? For it will be acknowledged that I have never wronged any man; that, on the contrary, I have been of service to many more of my own citizens and of the Hellenes at large and have bestowed upon them both greater gifts than all who have ruled before me put together. And surely those who pride themselves on justice and who profess to be above considerations of money ought to be able to speak in such high terms of their own conduct.

And now on the subject of temperance, also, I have still more important things to recount. For, since I realized that all men are most jealous for their wives and children, being above all quick to
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## NICOCLES, 36-40

resent offences against them, and that wantonness in these relations is responsible for the greatest evils-many ere now, of princely rank as well as of private station, having lost their lives because of it--, I so strictly avoided all these grounds of offence that, from the time when I became king, no one can charge me with having approached any woman but my own wife. I was not, of course, unaware that those kings also are highly thought of by the multitude who are just in their dealings with their citizens, even though they provide themselves with pleasures from outside their households; but I desired both to put myself as far above such suspicions as possible and at the same time to set up my conduct as a pattern to my people, knowing that the multitude are likely to spend their lives in practices in which they see their rulers occupied.

Then again, I considered that it is also the duty of kings to be as much better than private citizens as they are superior to them in rank; and that those kings act contrary to all reason who compel their subjects to live decently but are themselves less continent than those over whom they rule. Moreover, I saw that while the majority of people are masters of themselves in other matters, even the best are slaves to the passions whose objects are boys and women ; and therefore I wanted to show that I could be strong in those things in which I should be superior, not merely to people in general, but even to those who pride themselves on their virtue. Furthermore, I had no patience with the perversity of men who take women in marriage and make them partners in all the relations of life, and then are not satisfied with the compacts which they have
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a Aeacus, a descendant of Zeus, was father of Telamon. the father of Teucer.
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## NICOCLES, 40-43

made but by their own lawless pleasures bring pain to those whom they expect never to cause them pain; and who, though honest in all other partnerships, are without conscience in the partnership of marriage, when they ought to cherish this relationship the more faithfully inasmuch as it is more intimate and more precious than all others. More than that, they are unconsciously storing up for themselves feuds and factions at home in the royal palace. And yet, if kings are to rule well, they must try to preserve harmony, not only in the states over which they hold dominion, but also in their own households and in their places of abode; for all these things are the works of temperance and justice. Nor was I of the same mind as most kings in regard to the begetting of children. I did not think I should have some children by a woman of humbler station and others by one of higher degree, nor that I should leave after me bastard progeny, as well as progeny of legitimate birth; but that all my children should be able to trace their lineage back through the same father and the same mother to Evagoras, my father, among mortals, to the Aeacides among the demigods, and to Zeus ${ }^{a}$ among the gods, and that not one of the children sprung from my loins should be cheated of this noble origin.

Though many motives impelled me to abide by these principles, not the least incentive was that I saw that courage and cleverness and the other qualities which are held in high esteem are shared by many even among the base, whereas justice and temperance are the possessions of the good and noble alone. I conceived, therefore, that the noblest thing
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that I could do was to be able to excel my fellows in those virtues in which the bad have no share, and which are the truest and the most abiding and deserve the greatest praise. For these reasons, and with these thoughts in mind, I was more assiduous than anyone else in the practice of temperance, and I chose for my pleasures, not those which are found in acts which yield no honour, but those which are found in the good repute which rewards nobility of character. However, we ought not to test all the virtues in the same set of conditions, but should test justice when a man is in want, temperance when he is in power, continence when he is in the prime of youth. Now in all these situations no one will deny that I have given proof of my nature. When I was left by my father without means, I was so just in my dealings as to injure not one of my citizens ; but when I gained the power to do whatever I pleased, I proved myself more temperate than men in private station; and I showed my selfcontrol in both circumstances at an age in which we find that the great majority of men most frequently go morally astray.

I should probably hesitate to say all this before an audience of other people, not that I lack pride in what I have accomplished, but because I might fail to convince them on the evidence of my words alone; you, however, are yourselves my witnesses that all I have said is true. Now men who are moral by nature deserve our praise and admiration, but still more do those deserve it who are such in obedience to reason; for those who are temperate by chance and not by principle may perchance be persuaded to change, but those who,
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besides being so inclined by nature, have formed the conviction that virtue is the greatest good in the world, will, it is evident, stand firm in this position all their lives.
But the reason why I have spoken at some length both about myself and the other subjects which I have discussed is that I might leave you no excuse for not doing willingly and zealously whatever I counsel and command.

I declare it to be the duty of each one of you to perform whatever tasks you are assigned with diligence and justice ; for if you fall short in either of these qualities, your conduct must needs suffer by that defect. Do not belittle nor despise a single one of your appointed tasks, thinking that nothing depends uponit; but, knowing that the whole depends for its success or failure on each of the parts, be careful in everything. Display no less concern in my interests than in your own, and do not think that the honours enjoyed by those who successfully administer my affairs are a small reward. Keep your hands off the possessions of others in order that you may be more secure in the possession of your own estates. You should be such in your dealings with others as you expect me to be in my dealings with you. Do not strive to gain riches rather than a good name, knowing that both among the Hellenes and the barbarians as well those who have the highest reputation for virtue have at their command the greatest number of good things. Consider that the making of money unjustly will produce, not wealth, but danger. Do not think that getting is gain or spending is loss; for neither the one nor the other has the same significance at all times, but
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## NICOCLES, 50-54

either, when done in season and with honour, benefits the doer.

And do not regard any one of my orders as a hardship; for those of you who make themselves most serviceable to my interests will most advance the interests of their own households. Let none of you imagine that even what he secretly thinks in his own heart will be hidden from me; nay, let him believe that, though I may be absent in body, yet my thoughts are present at what goes on ; for, being of this opinion, you will be more restrained in your deliberations on all matters. Never conceal from me anything that you possess, or that you are doing, or that you intend to do, knowing that where there are things hidden, fears in great number must needs arise. Seek not to be artful nor underhand in your public life, but to be so honest and open that, even if anyone wants to slander you, it will not be easy to do so. Scrutinize your actions and believe that they are evil when you wish to hide from me what you do, and good when my knowledge of them will be likely to make me think better of you. Do not keep silent if you see any who are disloyal to my rule, but expose them ; and believe that those who aid in concealing crime deserve the same punishment as those who commit it. Consider fortunate, not those who escape detection when they do evil, but those who are innocent of all wrongdoing ; for it is probable that the former will suffer such ills as they themselves inflict, while the latter will receive the reward which they deserve. Do not form political

## ISOCRATES


 $\epsilon \kappa \tau о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$, ढ̀v $\delta$ ¢̀ $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu o \nu a \rho \chi i ́ a l s ~ к \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon u ́ o v \sigma \iota \nu . ~$ $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu o ́ \nu o \nu$ ả $\pi \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ каі




 тараұа̀s ảvaүкаîóv є́ $\sigma \tau \iota ~ к а i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ a ̀ m-~$

 тov $\chi$ ג $\lambda \epsilon \pi o v ̀ s ~ \eta \geqslant ~ \pi \rho a ́ o v s ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau v \rho a ́ v \nu o v s, ~$ à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каі̀ $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\pi о \lambda \lambda о \grave{ }$

 $56 \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$. $\theta \alpha \rho \rho \in i ̂ \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ठì̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \alpha o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$



 єivval $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu \tau \alpha s$


 таттонє́voıs.
 بóvov $\pi \alpha \rho a \iota \nu o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon S, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota S$
 тov̀s à $\gamma a \theta 0$ ús. $\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \epsilon-$


$$
{ }^{1} \pi \epsilon \iota \theta a \rho \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \Gamma \Gamma: \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \text { vulg. }
$$

## NICOCLES, 54-57

societies or unions ${ }^{a}$ without my sanction; for such associations may be an advantage in the other forms of government, but in monarchies they are a danger. Abstain not merely from wrongdoing, but also from such conduct as must needs arouse suspicion. Believe that my friendship is very sure and abiding. Preserve the present order and do not desire any change, knowing that revolutions inevitably destroy states and lay waste the homes of the people. Do not think that it is their natural dispositions alone which make rulers harsh or gentle, but the character of the citizens as well ; for many before now have been compelled by the depravity of their subjects to rule more harshly than they wished. Be confident, but less because of my mildness than because of your own goodness. Consider that in my safety lies your own security ; for while my fortunes are on a firm foundation, your own will be likewise. You should be self-effacing in your attitude toward my authority, abiding by our customs and preserving the royal laws, but conspicuous in your services on behalf of the state and in the other duties which are assigned to you by my command.

Exhort the young to virtue not only by your precepts but by exemplifying in your conduct what good men ought to be. Teach your children to be obedient, and habituate them to devote themselves
${ }^{a}$ Political clubs may have been patriotic in old Athens (Paneg. 79) but they had now degenerated into secret associations conspiring against popular government. See Paneg. 167; Thuc. viii. 54; Aristotle, Constitution of Athens, xxxiv.
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 $\tau \omega \nu$. $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$ रं $\gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ каi $\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \nu$ тоі̂s $\pi \alpha \iota \sigma i \quad \pi \lambda o v ̂ \tau o \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \nu, \dddot{\eta} \nu$ aủzoîs $\delta u ́ v \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$
 $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ каi $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \tau o v s$, öбои $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau o v ̀ s$



 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ тov̀s $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau \alpha$ кєкт $\eta \mu \epsilon$ 'vovs, à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ тov̀s $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\iota} \nu$




 $\tau \epsilon \tau \cup ́ \chi \eta \kappa \epsilon$, тоぃаúтаs $\hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota s$ av่ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ єîval.



 ǐva каi $\pi \alpha \rho$ ’ Є̇ $\mu \circ \hat{v} \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v \eta \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau о u ́ \tau \omega \nu$. oíá $\pi \epsilon \rho \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau o s ~ \mu o v ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon, ~ \tau о \swarrow \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~$

 â $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ن́ $\phi$ ' є́ $\tau \in \rho \omega \nu$ ó $\rho \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon, \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ тov̀s
 ${ }^{1} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu \Gamma$ : $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau$ тo兀aú $\tau \nu$ vulg.

## NICOCLES, 57-61

above all to the discipline which I have described; for if they learn to submit to authority they will be able to exercise authority over many ; and if they are faithful and just they will be given a share in my privileges; but if they turn out to be bad they will be in danger of losing all the privileges which they possess. Consider that you will pass on to your children the greatest and surest wealth if you can leave them my good will. Consider that the most miserable and unfortunate of men are those who have proved faithless to those who put their faith in them; for such men are doomed to despair and to fear of everything and to distrust of friends no less than of foes throughout the remainder of their lives. Emulate, not those who have most possessions, but those who in their hearts know no evil; for with such a conscience one can live out his life most happily. Do not imagine that vice can profit more than virtue, and that it is only its name which is uglier ; but consider that even as are the names which things have received, so, also, are their qualities. ${ }^{a}$

Do not be jealous of those who are highest in my favour, but emulate them, and by making yourselves serviceable try to rise to the level of those who are above you. Believe that you should love and honour those whom your king loves and honours, in order that you may win from me these same distinctions. Even as are the words which you speak about me in my presence, so let your thoughts of me be in my absence. Manifest your good will towards me in deeds rather than in words. Do not do to others that which angers you when they do it to you. ${ }^{b}$
$\quad{ }^{a}$ Cf. Phil. 16 ff.
${ }^{\circ}$ See To Demonicus 14 and note.
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 oĭous $\pi \epsilon \rho$ тov̀s $\dot{v} \phi$ ' $\dot{v} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ ả $\rho \chi o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ o u ̈ \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \dot{u} \mu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon i v a \iota, ~ \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau o v s ~ \chi \rho \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{a} s$ रi $\gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.

 тоьô̂тov Є́ $\mu a v \tau o ̀ \nu ~ o i ̂ o ́ v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \epsilon ’ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \iota ~$ [40] $\chi \rho o ́ v \omega$, каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho ’$ v́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ vi $\pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota, \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon ́ \omega S$






 $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.

## NICOCLES, 61-64

Practise nothing in your deeds for which you condemn others in your words. Expect to fare well or ill according as you are disposed well or ill toward me. Be not satisfied with praising good men, but imitate them as well. Regard my words as your law, and try to abide by them, knowing that those of you who most faithfully do what I desire will most quickly be able to live as they themselves desire. This is the conclusion of the whole matter : just as you think those who are ruled by you should conduct themselves toward you, so you also should conduct yourselves toward my rule.

And if you do this, why need I speak at length of what the results will be ? For if I continue to treat you as in time past, and you continue to give me your service and support, you will soon see your own life advanced, my empire increased, and the state made happy and prosperous. You could, therefore, well afford, for the sake of blessings so great, to spare no effort and even to undergo all manner of toil and peril ; and yet it lies in your power, without suffering any hardship, but merely by being loyal and true, to bring all these things to pass.
IV. PANEGYRICUS

## INTRODUCTION

The Panegyricus was published at a time ${ }^{a}$ when the power and influence of Athens were at a low ebb and when the Hellenic world generally was in a sorry state. The empire which Athens had built upon her acknowledged leadership in driving the Persians out of Greece had been shattered by the Peloponnesian War. The supremacy to which Sparta succeeded at the end of that war ${ }^{b}$ soon proved a selfish tyranny which so stirred up hatred among the Greek cities and factions within them as to destroy whatever of pan-Hellenic sentiment had been engendered by the Persian Wars and to invite the barbarian to interfere in the affairs of Hellas.

The crowning shame of this condition of affairs was the so-called Peace of Antalcidas (negotiated in 387 в.c., mainly by Sparta), under the terms of which the Greeks submitted themselves formally, for the first time in history, to the overlordship of the Persian king, accepting him as the arbiter of their disputes and as the guardian of the " autonomy " of the Greek states in their relations to each other.

But this sacrifice of their pride gained for the Hellenes neither the " autonomy " nor the "peace" which the treaty guaranteed. ${ }^{c}$ Sparta continued to
${ }^{a}$ About 380 b.c. See Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. p. 148.
${ }^{b}$ By the Battle of Aegospotami, 405 в.c.
${ }^{\circ}$ See Paneg. 115.

## PANEGYRICUS

wage war on Greek states ${ }^{a}$ and to stir up faction and bloodshed within them. ${ }^{b}$ The Athenian fleet no longer patrolled the sea, and pirates infested the Aegean. ${ }^{c}$ The several states, exhausted by war and civil strife, were unable to support their populations, and men sought to escape from poverty and want at home by enlisting in foreign service under any leader who could hold out to them a prospect of pay or pillage. These bands of mercenaries, growing in numbers and in recklessness, became a positive menace to the security of the home-staying population. ${ }^{d}$

It is from these intolerable circumstances that Isocrates in the Panegyricus seeks to persuade the Greeks to deliver themselves. They cannot, he insists, go on with their suicidal wars; the strife which is sapping their very life must be brought to an end. There is, however, but one way to establish concord among the several states and that is to enlist their mutual rivalries in a common cause-to carry the war from Hellas into Asia and to wrest from the barbarians the power and wealth which the Hellenes now seek to gain from each other. Concord among the Greeks, war upon the barbarians-the complete triumph of Hellenism by a final and thorough-going conquest of Persia-is the central theme of the discourse.

But there is the difficult question of leadership in this crusade. Sparta, now the leading state, has abused her power and involved Greece in her present weakness and humiliation. She must suffer a change of heart and join with Athens in the war of deliver-


## ISOCRATES

ance. But Athens must regain her lost supremacy ; ${ }^{a}$ she alone, by her past listory, has proved not only her right but her capacity to unite the Greeks in a common cause. The author sketches with sincere eloquence the glorious services of Athens to HellasAthens, the mother of civilization ; author of material prosperity no less than of the institutions and the arts of the cultivated life; beneficent in the exercise of the supremacy which of old was conceded to her, using her power, not to enslave, but to help the weaker states; risking her very existence in the Persian Wars for the freedom of all the Hellenes; and always without fail the champion of Hellenism against the menace of the Persian empire-and rests on this record her claim to take the lead in this great enterprise.

Isocrates insists that the enterprise is entirely practicable. The Persians are an effeminate people with no genius or strength for war. They have prospered only by the help of the Greeks or by playing one Greek power against another ; they have never succeeded and can never stand against a united Hellas. If only the Greeks can be persuaded to make common cause against them, their advance upon the barbarians " will be more like a sacred mission than a military expedition."

The Panegyricus is the first of the "political" $b$
a Isocrates, in tactful recognition of the present position of Sparta, speaks in one or two passages of the Panegyricus as if he had in mind a dual leadership; but the discourse as a whole (see especially 99) is both a challenge to Athens to lead in the expedition (compare Phil. 127) and to the rest of the Greeks to accept her leadership. Moreover in the Antidosis, 57, 58, Isocrates expressly states that this was the purpose of the Panegyricus.
${ }^{b}$ See General Introd. p. xxxi.
118

## PANEGYRICUS

discourses of Isocrates, and the first and foremost in which he devotes his eloquence to his dream of panHellenism. ${ }^{a}$ It betrays the great pains taken in its composition by the extreme finish of its style and the careful elaborateness of its structure ; but throughout its involved rhetorical periods there breathes a genuine feeling and a lofty tone. It established his fame in antiquity, and was recognized then as now as his masterpiece. ${ }^{b}$

The title was chosen by Isocrates himself, ${ }^{c}$ no doubt to signify its appropriateness to be delivered before a pan-Hellenic gathering at Olympia, where Gorgias and Lysias had actually spoken on the same theme before him. ${ }^{d}$ It is, however, certain that it was not delivered by Isocrates; ${ }^{e}$ and, although it may have been read aloud on such an occasion by another, it was probably written as a political pamphlet and circulated among a reading public.
${ }^{a}$ See General Introd. pp. ix, x.
${ }^{b}$ Compare the enthusiastic judgements pronounced upon it by Dionysius of Halicarnassus in his Critique on Isocrates 5,14 , and by Havet in his introduction to Cartelier's Antidosis, p. Iviii.
c Phil. 9 ; General Introd. p. xxxvi.
${ }^{d}$ General Introd. p. xxxv.
e General Introd. pp. xviii, xxx.

## ПАNНГॅРIKO玉

［41］По入入а́кıs $\dot{\epsilon} \theta a u ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \pi \alpha \nu \eta \gamma u ́ \rho \epsilon \iota s ~ \sigma v v a \gamma a-~$ үóvт $\omega \nu$ каì тоv̀s $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \iota \kappa о$ v̀s ả $\gamma \hat{\omega} \nu a s ~ к а \tau а \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, öт $\tau$ às $\mu \dot{̀} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon v ่ \tau v \chi i ́ a s ~ o v i \tau \omega ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$



 aùzò̀s $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \pi o \iota \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ v o \iota a \nu \cdot \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma$ रà $\rho$










${ }^{a}$ Pan－Hellenic gatherings at the Olympic，Pythian， Nemean and Isthmian games，including also the Pan－ athenaic festival at Athens．See Gardner and Jevons， Manual of Greek Antiquities，pp． 269 ff ．
${ }^{6}$ This is not quite exact（see Lysias，Olympiacus 2），nor consistent with $\S 45$ where he mentions contests of intellect 120

## IV. PANEGYRICUS

Many times have I wondered at those who first convoked the national assemblies and established the athletic games, ${ }^{a}$ amazed that they should have thought the prowess of men's bodies to be deserving of so great bounties, while to those who had toiled in private for the public good and trained their own minds so as to be able to help also their fellow-men they apportioned no reward whatsoever, ${ }^{b}$ when, in all reason, they ought rather to have made provision for the latter; for if all the athletes should acquire twice the strength which they now possess, the rest of the world would be no better off; but let a single man attain to wisdom, and all men will reap the benefit who are willing to share his insight.

Yet I have not on this account lost heart nor chosen to abate my labours; on the contrary, believing that I shall have a sufficient reward in the approbation which my discourse will itself command, I have come before you to give my counsels on the war against the barbarians and on concord among ourselves. I am, in truth, not unaware that many of
and prizes for them. But the mild interest which these evoked served but to emphasize the excess of enthusiasm for athletics against which Isocrates here and elsewhere protests. Cf. Antid. 250 and Epist. viii. 5. The complaint is older than Isocrates. See Xenophanes, Fr. 19.

## ISOCRATES



 סѐ $\pi \rho о к \rho i v a s ~ \tau o v ́ t o v s ~ к а \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau o v s ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$,


 ova' $\delta^{\prime}$ oi каıроí $\pi \omega \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v^{\prime} \theta a \sigma \iota \nu$, $\omega \sigma \tau^{\prime} \eta^{\prime \prime} \delta \eta{ }^{\mu} \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \nu$ $\epsilon i v a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta ิ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тоúт $\omega \nu$. то́тє $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ र $\rho \eta े$ $\pi \alpha \cup ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \alpha s$, on $\tau \alpha \nu$ そ̈ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta$ $\tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ к а i ~ \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \eta \eta ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu, ~ \tilde{\eta}$






 7 Moos $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тov́тoıs, $\epsilon i$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} s$ ar $\lambda \lambda \omega s$ oióv $\tau^{\prime}$



 $8 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu, \check{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ oióv $\nu$ ' $\epsilon \hat{\nu} v a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ au $\bar{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda-$


a For the meaning of the word "sophist" see General Introd. p. xii. The word is commonly translated " orator," since the sophists concerned themselves mainly with exemplifying and teaching oratory ; but the sophist speaks only on the lecture platform ; the political orator is called a " rhetor " in Isocrates.

Gorgias and Lysias in their Olympic orations had spoken 122

## PANEGYRICUS, 4-8

those who have claimed to be sophists ${ }^{a}$ have rushed upon this theme, but I hope to rise so far superior to them that it will seem as if no word had ever been spoken by my rivals upon this subject ; and, at the same time, I have singled out as the highest kind of oratory ${ }^{b}$ that which deals with the greatest affairs and, while best displaying the ability of those who speak, brings most profit to those who hear ; and this oration is of that character. In the next place, the moment for action has not yet gone by, and so made it now futile to bring up this question; for then, and only then, should we cease to speak, when the conditions have come to an end and there is no longer any need to deliberate about them, or when we see that the discussion of them is so complete that there is left to others no room to improve upon what has been said. But so long as conditions go on as before, and what has been said about them is inadequate, is it not our duty to scan and study this question, the right decision of which will deliver us from our mutual warfare, our present confusion, and our greatest ills ?

Furthermore, if it were possible to present the same subject matter in one form and in no other, one might have reason to think it gratuitous to weary one's hearers by speaking again in the same manner as his predecessors; but since oratory is of such a nature that it is possible to discourse on the same subject matter in many different ways-to represent the great as lowly or invest the little with grandeur,
on this theme, but it is hardly probable that Isocrates had them particularly in mind in this patronizing remark.
${ }^{6}$ Cf. Lysias, Olymp.3. For Isocrates' idea of the highest oratory see General Introd. p. xxiv.

## ISOCRATES

$\tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \delta^{\delta} \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ каì $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i$ $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ả $\rho \chi \alpha i \omega s$ єīтєîv, ov̉кє́ть фєvктє́ov

 $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ аi $\pi \rho о \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a \iota$ коьขаі $\pi \hat{\alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ кат$\epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \phi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu, \tau \grave{o} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \hat{\varphi} \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$



 тov̀s 入ó







 ßò̀̀̀ $\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ̂ \nu a s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~$




[^50]
## PANEGYRICUS, 8-11

to recount the things of old in a new manner or set forth events of recent date in an old fashion ${ }^{a}$-it follows that one must not shun the subjects upon which others have spoken before, but must try to speak better than they. For the deeds of the past are, indeed, an inheritance common to us all; but the ability to make proper use of them at the appropriate time, to conceive the right sentiments about them in each instance, and to set them forth in finished phrase, is the peculiar gift of the wise. And it is my opinion that the study ${ }^{b}$ of oratory as well as the other arts would make the greatest advance if we should admire and honour, not those who make the first beginnings in their crafts, but those who are the most finished craftsmen in each, and not those who seek to speak on subjects on which no one has spoken before, but those who know how to speak as no one else could.

Yet there are some who carp at discourses which are beyond the powers of ordinary men and have been elaborated with extreme care, and who have gone so far astray that they judge the most ambitious oratory by the standard of the pleas made in the petty actions of the courts ; ${ }^{c}$ as if both kinds should be alike and should not be distinguished, the one by plainness of style, the other by display ; or as if
are credited with " making small things appear great and great things small, and with presenting new things in an old way and old themes in a modern fashion through the power of speech." Cf. Busiris 4 and Panath. 36 ; also Julian, Oration, i. 2 c.
${ }^{b}$ Literally the "philosophy which has to do with oratory"-culture expressed in speech. For "philosophy " as used by Isocrates see General Introd. p. xxvi.

- For İsocrates' opinion of court oratory see General Introd. p. xxii.
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[^51]
## PANEGYRICUS, 11-15

they themselves saw clearly the happy mean, while the man who knows how to speak elegantly could not speak simply and plainly if he chose. Now these people deceive no one ; clearly they praise those who are near their own level. I, for my part, am not concerned with such men, but rather with those who will not tolerate, but will resent, any carelessness of phrase, and will seek to find in my speeches a quality which they will not discover in others. Addressing myself to these, I shall proceed with my theme, after first vaunting a little further my own powers. For I observe that the other orators in their introductions seek to conciliate their hearers and make excuses for the speeches which they are about to deliver, ${ }^{a}$ sometimes alleging that their preparation has been on the spur of the moment, sometimes urging that it is difficult to find words to match the greatness of their theme. But as for myself, if I do not speak in a manner worthy of my subject and of my reputation and of the time which I have spent ${ }^{b}$ -not merely the hours which have been devoted to my speech but also all the years which I have livedI bid you show me no indulgence but hold me up to ridicule and scorn ; for there is nothing of the sort which I do not deserve to suffer, if indeed, being no better than the others, I make promises so great. ${ }^{\text {c }}$

So much, by way of introduction, as to my personal claims. But as to our public interests, the speakers who no sooner come before us than they inform us that we must compose our enmities against each vanity. It is a conscious device to enhance the greatness of his theme. At the beginning he is exalted by its magnitude; at the end, 187, he is cast down by his failure to measure up to it. See Havet's interesting remarks in Cartelier's Antidosis, p. lxv.
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 то仑̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda o v s ~ \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a s$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon$ -


 $16 \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \epsilon \nu$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{2} \nu \omega \nu$ oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \hat{v} \phi$ ' $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ oi $\delta$ '



















 $\sigma \theta a i$ каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$

[^52]128

## PANEGYRICUS, 15-19

other and turn against the barbarian, ${ }^{a}$ rehearsing the misfortunes which have come upon us from our mutual warfare and the advantages which will result from a campaign against our natural enemy-these men do speak the truth, but they do not start at the point from which they could best bring these things to pass. For the Hellenes are subject, some to us, others to the Lacedaemonians, the polities ${ }^{b}$ by which they govern their states having thus divided most of them. If any man, therefore, thinks that before he brings the leading states into friendly relations, the rest will unite in doing any good thing, he is all too simple and out of touch with the actual conditions. No, the man who does not aim merely to make an oratorical display, but desires to accomplish something as well, must seek out such arguments as will persuade these two states to share and share alike with each other, to divide the supremacy between them, and to wrest from the barbarians the advantages which at the present time they desire to seize for themselves at the expense of the Hellenes. ${ }^{\text {c }}$

Now our own city could easily be induced to adopt this policy, but at present the Lacedaemonians are still hard to persuade; for they have inherited the false doctrine that leadership is theirs by ancestral right. If, however, one should prove to them that this honour belongs to us rather than to them, perhaps they might give up splitting hairs about this question and pursue their true interests.

So, then, the other speakers also should have made this their starting-point and should not have given advice on matters about which we agree before

[^53]
## ISOCRATES

$\sigma v \mu \beta о v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi \rho \grave{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$








 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ ovías.








 $\kappa \rho i \sigma \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} s$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta o \lambda \grave{\alpha} s$ ү' $\gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$

 $\tau \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s$ そ̀ тoùs $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau o v s ~ \tau v \chi o ́ v \tau \alpha s ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s ~ \tau \eta ̂ S$
 $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \iota$ oैv $\alpha a s$, ท̀ $\gamma о \hat{v} \mu \alpha \iota$ каi тоv́тovs єivaı $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$.
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## PANEGYRICUS, 19-23

instructing us on the points about which we disagree. I, at all events, am justified by a twofold motive in devoting most of my attention to these points : first and foremost, in order that some good may come of it, and that we may put an end to our mutual rivalries and unite in a war against the barbarian ; and, secondly, if this is impossible, in order that I may show who they are that stand in the way of the happiness of the Hellenes, and that all may be made to see that even as in times past Athens justly held the sovereignty of the sea, so now she not unjustly lays claim to the hegemony. ${ }^{a}$

For in the first place, if it is the most experienced and the most capable who in any field of action deserve to be honoured, it is without question our right to recover the hegemony which we formerly possessed ; for no one can point to another state which so far excels in warfare on land as our city is superior in fighting battles on the sea. But, in the next place, if there are any who do not regard this as a fair basis of judgement, since the reversals of fortune are frequent (for sovereignty never remains in the same hands), and who believe that the hegemony, like any other prize, should be held by those who first won this honour, or else by those who have rendered the most service to the Hellenes, I think that these also are on our side; for the farther back into the past we go in our examination of both these titles to leadership, the farther behind shall we leave those who dispute our claims. For it is admitted that our
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## ISOCRA'TES





















 $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \lambda \theta \circ \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$. $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ रà $\rho$ aù $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ov̉ $\mu$ óvov $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ v \omega \nu ~ \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \alpha ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta s$

 aiтíà ov̄ซav. à $\nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho о \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon i ̂ \theta \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon \dot{v}-$

[^55]
## PANEGYRICUS, 23-27

city is the oldest ${ }^{a}$ and the greatest ${ }^{b}$ in the world and in the eyes of all men the most renowned. But noble as is the foundation of our claims, the following grounds give us even a clearer title to distinction: for we did not become dwellers in this land by driving others out of it, ${ }^{c}$ nor by finding it uninhabited, nor by coming together here a motley horde composed of many races; but we are of a lineage so noble and so pure that throughout our history we have continued in possession of the very land which gave us birth, since we are sprung from its very soil ${ }^{d}$ and are able to address our city by the very names which we apply to our nearest kin; for we alone of all the Hellenes have the right to call our city at once nurse and fatherland and mother. And yet, if men are to have good groundfor pride and make just claims to leadership and frequently recall their ancestral glories, they must show that their race boasts an origin as noble as that which I have described. ${ }^{e}$

So great, then, are the gifts which were ours from the beginning and which fortune has bestowed upon us. But how many good things we have contributed to the rest of the world we could estimate to best advantage if we should recount the history of our city from the beginning and go through all her achievements in detail; for we should find that not only was she the leader in the hazards of war, but that the social order in general in which we dwell, with which we share the rights of citizenship and through which we are able to live, is almost wholly due to her. It is, however, necessary to single out from the number of her benefactions, not those which because of their

[^56]
## ISOCRATES


 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu$ каi $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu ~ к а i ~ \pi а \nu \tau \alpha \chi о \hat{v}$ каі $\lambda \in \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ к а і ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu є v o \mu \epsilon ́ ’ а я . ~$
28 Пр $\omega \tau о \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau o i ́ v v \nu$, ô̂ $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \dot{\eta}$ фúбıs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\kappa \alpha i$ vûv $\rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \prime \kappa \epsilon \iota$. $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho o s$ үà $\rho$ à $\phi$ -
 ¿ $\rho \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ \sigma \eta s$, каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o \gamma o ́ v o v s ~ \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{v}-$




 $\tau \epsilon S \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} S$ тov̂ $\beta i o v$ т $\tau \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\eta} S$ каi $\tau о \hat{v}$ ov́ $\mu-$ $29 \pi \alpha \nu \tau o s$ aîôvos $\dot{\eta} \delta i ́ o v s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \lambda \pi i \delta a s ~ \epsilon ̈ \chi o v \sigma \iota \nu, ~ o u ゙ \tau \omega s ~$




 $\tau \alpha{ }_{s} \tau \epsilon \chi \rho \epsilon i ́ a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma а \sigma i ́ a s ~ к а i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda i ́ a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$


a For the story of Demeter and Persephone (here called Korê, "the maiden") see the Homeric Hymn to Demeter; Ovid, Fasti iv. 393-620, and Metamorphoses v. 385 ff. ; Claudian, De raptu Proserpinae, and Walter Pater, " Demeter and Persephone" in his Greek Studies.
${ }^{b}$ Cf. Plato, Menex. 237 e ; Lucret. vi. 1 ff.
c For the Eleusinian Mysteries see Lobeck, Aglaophamus, vol. i.; Gardner and Jevons, Manual of Greek Antiqui134

## PANEGYRICUS, 27-30

slight importance have escaped attention and been passed over in silence, but those which because of their great importance have been and still are on the lips and in the memory of all men everywhere.

Now, first of all, that which was the first necessity of man's nature was provided by our city ; for even though the story ${ }^{a}$ has taken the form of a myth, yet it deserves to be told again. When Demeter came to our land, in her wandering after the rape of Korê, and, being moved to kindness towards our ancestors by services which may not be told save to her initiates, gave these two gifts, the greatest in the world-the fruits of the earth, ${ }^{b}$ which have enabled us to rise above the life of the beasts, and the holy rite ${ }^{c}$ which inspires in those who partake of it sweeter hopes ${ }^{d}$ regarding both the end of life and all eternity, -our city was not only so beloved of the gods but also so devoted to mankind that, having been endowed with these great blessings, she did not begrudge them to the rest of the world, but shared with all men what she had received. ${ }^{e}$ The mystic rite we continue even now, each year, ${ }^{f}$ to reveal to the initiates; and as for the fruits of the earth, our city has, in a word, instructed the world in their uses, their cultivation, and the benefits derived from them. This statement, when I have added a few further proofs, no one could venture to discredit.
ties, pp. 274 ff. ; Gardner's New Chapters in Greek History, xiii; Diehl, Excursions in Greece viii.
${ }^{a}$ Quoted in Peace 34. For the blessedness of the Mystics see Homeric Hymn to Demeter 480 ff.; Pindar, Fr. 102 ; Sophocles, Fr. 753 Nauck.
${ }^{6}$ So Plato, Menex. 238 A. Cf. Cicero, Flaccus 62," adsunt Athenienses unde humanitas, doctrina, religio, fruges, iura, leges ortae atque in omnes terras distributae putantur."
${ }_{f}$ In the month Boëdromion (August).

## ISOCRATES


 тоv́т $\omega \nu$ єiкó $\tau \omega s$ ar $\nu \kappa \alpha i{ }^{\circ} \tau$ às $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta ิ \sigma \theta a \iota$





 $31 \chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\alpha i \hat{i} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\kappa \alpha i \quad \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \prime \rho \alpha \nu$ $\tau \alpha ̀$ $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota a$. каíтоı $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau i v \omega \nu ~ \chi \rho \grave{̀} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$
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## PANEGYRICUS, 30-32

In the first place, the very ground on which we might disparage the story, namely that it is ancient, would naturally lead us to believe that the events actually came to pass ; for because many have told and all have heard the story which describes them, it is reasonable to regard this not, to be sure, as recent, yet withal as worthy of our faith. In the next place, we are not obliged to take refuge in the mere fact that we have received the account and the report from remote times; on the contrary, we are able to adduce even greater proofs than this regarding what took place. For most of the Hellenic cities, in memory of our ancient services, send us each year the first-fruits of the harvest, and those who neglect to do so have often been admonished by the Pythian priestess to pay us our due portion of their crops and to observe in relation to our city the customs of their fathers. ${ }^{a}$ And about what, I should like to know, can we more surely exercise our faith than about matters as to which the oracle of Apollo speaks with authority, many of the Hellenes are agreed, and the words spoken long ago confirm the practice of to-day, while present events tally with the statements which have come down from the men of old? But apart from these considerations, if we waive all this and carry our inquiry back to the beginning, we shall find that those who first appeared upon the earth did not at the outset find the kind of life which we enjoy to-day, but that they procured it little by little through their own joint efforts. ${ }^{b}$ Whom, then, must we think the most likely either to have received this better life as a gift from the gods
Frag. pp. 60, 236, 542, 771, 813, 931 ; and Lucretius's elaborate picture, v. 780 ff .

## ISOCRATES



 $\epsilon \dot{v} \phi \cup \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v s$ oैv $\tau \alpha s$ каi $\pi \rho \grave{S}_{S} \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{v}-$
 $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s \tau \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau$

 $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s$ є̇ $\sigma \tau i ́ \nu$.
34 Пєрi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \gamma$ íaтov $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$

 тov̀s $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ ßapßápovs тウ̀v $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha s$ кат-



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ тov̀s $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ §ìà $\tau o ̀ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ à $\pi-$

 $\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ тov̀s $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ßiov $\delta \epsilon o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$, oт $\rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i$








a For the traditional "Ionic migration," led by Athens, in the course of which settlements were made in Samos and Chios and in the islands of the Cyclades, in Asia Minor, 138

## PANEGYRICUS, 32-36

or to have hit upon it through their own search ? Would it not be those who are admitted by all men to have been the first to exist, to be endowed with the greatest capacity for the arts, and to be the most devoted in the worship of the gods? And surely it is superfluous to attempt to show how high is the honour which the authors of such great blessings deserve; for no one could find a reward great enough to match the magnitude of their achievements.

This much, then, I have to say about that service to humanity which is the greatest, the earliest, and the most universal in its benefits. But at about the same time, our city, seeing the barbarians in possession of most of the country, while the Hellenes were confined within a narrow space and, because of the scarcity of the land, were conspiring and making raids against each other, and were perishing, some through want of daily necessities, others through war,--our city, I say, was not content to let these things be as they were, but sent out leaders to the several states, who, enlisting the neediest of the people, and placing themselves at their head, overcame the barbarians in war, founded many cities on either continent, settled colonies in all the islands, and saved both those who followed them and those who remained behind ; for to the latter they left the home country-sufficient for their needs-and for the former they provided more land than they had owned since they embraced in their conquests all the territory which we Hellenes now possess. ${ }^{a}$
and on the shores of the Black Sea, see Panath. 43-44, 166, 190 ; Thuc. i. 2.6; Grote, History of Greece (new edition), ii. pp. 21 ff .

## ISOCRATES



 [48] $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\epsilon$ is $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{v} \phi ' ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảфорı$37 \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \nu, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ o i к \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ i o ́ v \tau a s . ~ к а i ́ \tau o \iota ~ \tau i ́ s ~ a ̆ \nu ~$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \delta \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu, \ddot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o v \sigma \alpha \nu, \tau \hat{\eta} S$








 óv $\tau \omega \nu$ тoîs $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma$ וs $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, ö $\sigma a \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \dot{a} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$
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## PANEGYRICUS, 36-40

And so they smoothed the way for those also who in a later time resolved to send out colonists and imitate our city; for these did not have to undergo the perils of war in acquiring territory, but could go into the country marked out by us and settle there. And yet who can show a leadership more ancestral than this, which had its origin before most of the cities of Hellas were founded, or more serviceable than this, which drove the barbarians from their homes and advanced the Hellenes to so great prosperity ?

Nor did our city, after she had played her part in bringing to pass the most important benefits, neglect what remained to be done; on the contrary she made it but the beginning of her benefactions to find for those who were in want that sustenance which men must have who are to provide well also for their other needs ; but considering that an existence limited to this alone was not enough to make men desire to live, she gave such careful thought to their remaining wants as well that of the good things which are now at the service of mankind-in so far as we do not have them from the gods butowe them to each other-there is not one in which our city has had no part, and most of them are due to her alone. For, finding the Hellenes living without laws and in scattered abodes, someoppressed by tyrannies, others perishing through anarchy, she delivered them from these evils by taking some under her protection and by setting to others her own example ; for she was the first to lay down laws and establish a polity. ${ }^{a}$ This is apparent from the fact that those who in the beginning brought

[^59]
## ISOCRATES



 $\kappa \alpha i$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ d̀̀ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a ́ s \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau a ̉ \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i ̂ a$

 тоîs ä入入oıs $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$.
 катєбкєváбато каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \stackrel{~}{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s$ oiкєíws, $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \theta v \mu о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$,












[^60]charges of homicide, and desired to settle their mutual differences by reason and not by violence, tried their cases under our laws. ${ }^{a}$ Yes, and the arts also, both those which are useful in producing the necessities of life and those which have been devised to give us pleasure, she has either invented or stamped with her approval, and has then presented them to the rest of the world to enjoy. ${ }^{b}$

Moreover, she has established her polity in general in such a spirit of welcome to strangers ${ }^{c}$ and friendliness ${ }^{d}$ to all men, that it adapts itself both to those who lack means and to those who wish to enjoy the means which they possess, and that it fails to be of service neither to those who are prosperous nor to those who are unfortunate in their own cities; nay, both classes find with us what they desire, the former the most delightful pastimes, the latter the securest refuge. Again, since the different populations did not in any case possess a country that was self-sufficing, each lacking in some things and producing others in excess of their needs, and since they were greatly at a loss where they should dispose of their surplus and whence they should import what they lacked, in these difficulties also our city came to the rescue; for she established the Piraeus as a market in the centre of Hellas-a market of such abundance that the articles which it is difficult to
iv. 240 : ", Athens the eye of Greece, mother of arts and eloquence."
${ }^{\text {c T Thucydides in Pericles' funeral oration emphasizes the }}$ open hospitality of Athens to foreigners and strangers, ii. 39. 1 .
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The word oikelws suggests $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau 0 \% \kappa \circ$, the foreign residents, who numbered about one-third of the free population of Athens.

## ISOCRATES







 коıvàs $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ a ̀ \nu \alpha \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma v \gamma$ $\gamma \in \nu \in i ́ a s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o v s ~ v i \pi a \rho \chi o v ́ \sigma \eta s, ~ \epsilon u ̉ \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon-$



 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma o ̀ \nu \epsilon i \nu \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta} \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \circ \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 avíĉv $\epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau v \chi i ́ a s, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta \epsilon ̇ ~ \theta \epsilon \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$
 $\delta \iota \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ є́катє́ $\rho o v_{s} \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ є̀ $\phi$ ' oîs $\phi \iota \lambda o-$ $\tau \iota \mu \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ö $\tau \alpha \nu$ í $\delta \omega \sigma \iota \tau o v ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\alpha} s$ avi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $45 \nu \omega \nu$ ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $\bar{\nu}$ тov́toıs $\dot{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ \phi \theta \eta$. каi $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \theta \epsilon \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ к \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \iota \tau \tau \alpha ~ к \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \eta \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$



a Thucydides states that all the products of the whole world found their way to Athens, ii. 38. 2.
${ }^{b}$ The armistice or " Peace of God "-the sacred month as it was called at Olympia-during which the states partici144

## PANEGYRICUS, 42-45

get, one here, one there, from the rest of the world, all these it is easy to procure from Athens. ${ }^{a}$

Now the founders of our great festivals are justly praised for handing down to us a custom by which, having proclaimed a truce ${ }^{b}$ and resolved our pending quarrels, we come together in one place, where, as we make our prayers and sacrifices in common, we are reminded of the kinship which exists among us and are made to feel more kindly towards each other for the future, reviving our old friendships and establishing new ties. ${ }^{c}$ And neither to common men nor to those of superior gifts is the time so spent idle and profitless, but in the concourse of the Hellenes the latter have the opportunity to display their prowess, the former to behold these contending against each other in the games; and no one lacks zest for the festival, but all find in it that which flatters their pride, the spectators when they see the athletes exert themselves for their benefit, the athletes when they reflect that all the world is come to gaze upon them. Since, then, the benefits which accrue to us from our assembling together are so great, here again our city has not been backward; for she affords the most numerous and the most admirable spectacles, some passing all bounds in outlay of money, some highly reputed for their artistic worth, and others excelling in both these regards; ${ }^{d}$ and the multitude of people who visit us
pating in the games ceased from war. See Gardner and Jevons, Manual of Greek Antiquities, p. 270.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Lysias, Olymp. 1, speaks of Heracles as having founded the Olympic festival out of good will for Hellas.
${ }^{d}$ Isocrates here refers to the sights and show-places of Athens, and to the Panathenaic and the Dionysiac festivals especially. See Tucker, Life in Ancient Athens, Chap. xii.
vOL. I
$L_{4}$
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## ISOCRATES


 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \phi \theta a \iota$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon ̀$ тоv́zoıs каi фı入ías єvipєîv $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \tau a ́ \tau \alpha s$ каi $\sigma v \nu o v \sigma i ́ a \iota s ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \tau v \chi \in i ̂ v, \pi a \nu \tau o \delta a \pi \omega \tau \alpha ́-~$
 [50] $\mu \grave{\eta}$ нóvov тáqovs каi $\rho \omega ́ \mu \eta s, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ каì $\lambda о ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ каì
 $46 \tau \omega \nu$ ar $\theta \lambda \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ oîs $\alpha v ̉ \tau \dot{\eta} \tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota$,
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ крөөє́vта тобаv́тךv $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu є \iota ~ \delta o ́ \xi \alpha \nu ~ ஸ ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~$
 $\tau \omega \nu$ ai $\mu \in ̀ v$ ar $\lambda \lambda a \iota ~ \pi a \nu \eta \gamma v ́ \rho \in \iota s ~ \delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \lambda o \hat{v}$ хрóvov $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \in \omega s \quad \delta_{l \epsilon \lambda} v^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, $\dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \quad \grave{\eta} \mu \in \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$
 ท́ $\gamma v \rho i ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.
47 Фi入обофíav тоívvv, $\hat{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \xi \in \hat{v} \rho \epsilon$ каi бvүкатєбкєv́aбє, каi тоós $\tau \epsilon$ тàs т $\quad \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ av $\mu \phi о \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ тás $\tau \epsilon \delta i{ }^{\prime}$ ar $\mu \alpha \theta i ́ a \nu$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \epsilon \in \xi$




${ }^{a}$ In Antid. 295 is a similar picture of the attractions and advantages of life in Athens.
$b$ The meaning may be that prize-winners in Athens are awarded similar prizes in competitions elsewhere.

- The Panathenaic and the Dionysiac festivals were held every year, whereas the Olympic and Pythian games came only once in four years, and the Nemean and Isthmian games once in two years. Festival followed upon festival in Athens, and Isocrates' statement is almost literally true. Thucydides says the same thing, ii. 38, and Xenophon 146


## PANEGYRICUS, 45-48

is so great that, whatever advantage there is in our associating together, this also has been compassed by our city, Athens. Besides, it is possible to find with us as nowhere else the most faithful friendships and to enjoy the most varied social intercourse ; and, furthermore, to see contests not alone of speed and strength, but of eloquence and wisdom and of all the other arts-and for these the greatest prizes ; ${ }^{a}$ since in addition to those which the city herself sets up, she prevails upon the rest of the world also to offer prizes ; ${ }^{b}$ for the judgements pronounced by us command such great approbation that all mankind accept them gladly. But apart from these considerations, while the assemblages at the other great festivals are brought together only at long intervals and are soon dispersed, our city throughout all time ${ }^{c}$ is a festival for those who visit her.

Philosophy, ${ }^{d}$ moreover, which has helped to discover and establish all these institutions, which has educated us for public affairs and made us gentle towards each other, which has distinguished between the misfortunes that are due to ignorance and those which spring from necessity, and taught us to guard against the former and to bear the latter noblyphilosophy, I say, was given to the world by our city. And Athens it is that has honoured eloquence, ${ }^{e}$ which all men crave and envy in its possessors; for
declares that the Athenians celebrate twice as many festivals as the other Greeks, Athenian Const. iii. 8.
${ }^{d}$ For "philosophy" in Isocrates see General Introd. p. xxvi, and Cicero's definition, De orat. iii. 16, "omnis rerum optimarum cognitio, atque in iis exercitatio, philosophia."
"Cf. Antid. 295, 296 ; Plato, Laws 641 e; and Milton : " mother of arts and eloquence."

## ISOCRATES









 49 каi тоv́s $\tau \epsilon$ бофоѝs каi тоѝs ả $\mu a \theta \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \delta о к о и ̂ \nu \tau а s ~$


 ov̉ $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s$, є่к $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ катафаขєîs үъүvoнє́vovs, каi тои̂то $\sigma u ́ \mu \beta о \lambda о \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$

 ढ̇v $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סuvauévovs, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ тоîs

 ä $\lambda \lambda$ lovs $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v s, ~ \check{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ oi $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s ~ \mu \alpha \nexists \eta \tau \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 Sıavoías סокєîv єival, каi $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu "$ "Е $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s ~ к а-~$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ коเขท̂s $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu \tau \alpha s$.
 ő $\lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ vं $\pi о \theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu o s \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa$ тоv́т $\omega \nu$

${ }^{a}$ For the power and function of $\lambda$ óros see Nicocles 5-9; Antid. 273 ; Xen. Mem. iv. 3.
148

## PANEGYRICUS, 48-51

she realized that this is the one endowment of our nature which singles us out from all living creatures, and that by using this advantage we have risen above them in all other respects as well; ${ }^{a}$ she saw that in other activities the fortunes of life are so capricious that in them often the wise fail and the foolish succeed, whereas beautiful and artistic speech is never allotted to ordinary men, but is the work of an intelligent mind, and that it is in this respect that those who are accounted wise and ignorant present the strongest contrast ; and she knew, furthermore, that whether men have been liberally educated from their earliest years is not to be determined by their courage or their wealth or such advantages, but is made manifest most of all by their speech, and that this has proved itself to be the surest sign of culture in every one of us, and that those who are skilled in speech are not only men of power in their own cities but are also held in honour in other states. And so far has our city distanced the rest of mankind in thought and in speech that her pupils have become the teachers ${ }^{b}$ of the rest of the world ; and she has brought it about that the name " Hellenes" suggests no longer a race but an intelligence, and that the title " Hellenes" is applied rather to those who share our culture than to those who share a common blood. ${ }^{c}$

But in order that I may not appear to be dwelling at length on the details when I have proposed to speak on the general subject nor to be extolling the city for these accomplishments because I lack ground

[^61]
## ISOCRATES


 $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тoîs $\pi \rho \circ \gamma o ́ v o \iota s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉ $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau о \nu$ є่к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ v \omega \nu$ $52 \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho o \sigma \eta \prime \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä̉ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \iota \omega ิ$. oủ $\gamma$ à $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o v ̀ s ~ o u ̉ \delta ’ ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma o v s ~ o v ̉ \delta ' ~ a ̉ \phi a \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ̂ \nu a s ~$ ن́лє́ $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu a \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o v ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o u s, ~$




 ßоидєvoнє́v $\omega \nu$, öть тоѝs à $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \epsilon i \theta i \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a$



 $\lambda \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \quad a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \grave{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \stackrel{a}{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$











[^62]
## PANEGYRICUS, 51-54

for praising her conduct in war, let what I have said suffice for those who glory in such services. But I think that honour is due to our ancestors no less for their wars than for their other benefactions; for not slight, nor few, nor obscure, but many and dread and great, were the struggles they sustained, some for their own territories, some for the freedom of the rest of the world ; for at all times, without ceasing, they have offered the city as a common refuge and as a champion to the Hellenes whenever oppressed. ${ }^{a}$ And it is for this very reason that we are sometimes charged with adopting a foolish policy in that we are accustomed to cultivate the weaker peoples ${ }^{b}$-as though such charges do not support those who desire to sing our praises. For it was not because we failed to appreciate how much more advantageous great alliances are in point of security that we pursued this policy in regard to the weak; no, although we realized much more exactly than our rivals the consequences of such a course, we nevertheless preferred to stand by the weaker even against our interests rather than to unite with the stronger in oppressing others for our own advantage.

The character and power of Athens may be judged from the appeals which sundry people have in times past made to us for our help. Those of recent occurrence or for insignificant ends I shall omit; but long before the Trojan War (for it is only fair that those who dispute about immemorial rights should draw their arguments from that early time)

[^63]
## ISOCRATES

 $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ " A \delta \rho a \sigma \tau o s ~ o ́ ~ T a \lambda \alpha o \hat{v}, \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \grave{s}$








 $\mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ \beta o \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau \tau ิ \nu ~ \sigma u \mu \phi o \rho a i ̂ s, ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \delta '$

 $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \in \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.









[^64]
## PANEGYRICUS, 54-58

there came to us the sons of Heracles ${ }^{a}$ and, a little before them, Adrastus, Talaus's son, king of Argos. Adrastus, on his return from the expedition against Thebes where he had met with disaster and had not by his own efforts been able to recover the bodies of those who had fallen under the Cadmean fortress, called upon our city to lend aid in a misfortune which was of universal concern, and not to suffer that men who die in battle be left unburied nor that ancient custom and immemorial law ${ }^{b}$ be brought to naught. The sons of Heracles, on the other hand, came fleeing the persecution of Eurystheus, ignoring the other states as not capable of succouring them in their distress, and looking upon our city as the only one great enough to make return for the benefits which their father had bestowed upon all mankind.

So from these facts it is easy to see that even at that time our city was in the position of a leader ; for who would venture an appeal for help to those who were weaker than themselves, or to those who were subject to others, passing by those who had greater power, especially in matters not of personal but of public interest which none would be likely to take in hand but those who claimed to stand first among the Hellenes? And, in the next place, the
Thebes." They were defeated by the Thebans and were not even allowed to recover their dead for burial. Adrastus fled to Athens and there was given refuge and aid to avenge himself on the Thebans. See Grote, Hist. i. p. 277.

Both of these episodes are commonplaces in panegyrics on Athens. Cf. Archidamus 42 ; Panath. 168-171; [Lysias], Epitaph. 7-16-a close parallel to Isocrates; Plato, Menex. 239 в ff.; [Demosthenes], Epitaph. 8, 27; Lycurgus, Against Leocr. 98 ; Xen. Hell. vi. 5. 46.
${ }^{6}$ The dead had a divine right to burial. See Panath. 169 and Sophocles, Antigone.

## ISOCRATES


 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu$ v́ $\pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s$
















 $\mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ä $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ тòv $\chi \rho o ́ v o \nu ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$

 є̇ $\pi о \nu \epsilon \iota \delta i ́ \sigma \tau \omega s$ тòv ßíov є̇тє $\tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
61 Пo $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \Lambda а к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu, \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s ~ \mu o ́ v \eta s ~ \mu о \iota ~$
 $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ aùzoîs $\gamma \in \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu$ oi $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma o \nu o \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$



## PANEGYRICUS, 58-61

suppliants were manifestly not disappointed in the hopes which caused them to take refuge with our ancestors; for the Athenians went to war against the Thebans in the cause of those who had fallen in the battle, and against the power of Eurystheus in the cause of the sons of Heracles. Taking the field against the Thebans, they compelled them to restore the dead to their kindred for burial ; and when the Peloponnesians, led by Eurystheus, had invaded our territory, they marched out against them, conquered them in battle, and put an end to their leader's insolence. And though they already commanded admiration for their other deeds, these exploits enhanced their fame still more; for they did not do things by halves, but so completely revolutionized the fortunes of either monarch that Adrastus, who had seen fit to throw himself on our mercy, went his way, having in despite of his foes won all that he had asked, while Eurystheus, who had expected to overpower us, was himself made captive and compelled to sue for mercy ; and, although he had throughout all his life inflicted his orders and indignities on one whose nature transcended that of man, and who, being the son of Zeus, possessed, while still a mortal, the strength of a god, yet, when Eurystheus offended against us, he suffered so complete a reverse that he fell into the power of Heracles' sons and came to a shameful end.

Many are the services which we have rendered to the state of the Lacedaemonians, but it has suited my purpose to speak of this one only ; for, starting with the advantage afforded by our succour of them, the descendants of Heracles-the progenitors of those who now reign in Lacedaemon-returned to the

## ISOCRATES






 $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 є́кєivov $\gamma \in \gamma o v o ́ \sigma \iota ~ \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ a \nu, ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ үє́vєl $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ow



 $\chi$ Өóv $\omega \nu$ ，oú $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon \hat{v} \pi \alpha \theta o ́ v \tau \alpha s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho \quad$＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i ́ \delta \omega \nu \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，$\chi \omega \rho i s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s, ~ " А р \gamma о s ~ к а i ~ \Theta \hat{\eta} \beta a \iota ~ к а i ~ \Lambda а к є \delta а i ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ к а i ~}$

 $\delta \iota \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$＇A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu \quad \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \eta-$
 $65 \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon s, \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \grave{\rho} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇Нрак入є́ovs ＇Apүєiovs каi тov̀s ä入入ovs $\Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma i o v s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta ~$
 тov̀s oikıoт̀̀s каi тov̀s ท̀ $\gamma \epsilon \mu$ óvas тoùs $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota-$ $\mu o \nu i ́ \omega \nu \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ．$\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i \not \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \grave{v} \nu \tau o i ̂ s$
a Aristodemus，the great－great－grandson of Heracles，had 156
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Peloponnese, took possession of Argos, Lacedaemon, and Messene, settled Sparta, and were established as the founders of all the blessings which the Lacedaemonians now enjoy. These benefits they should have held in grateful remembrance, and should never have invaded this land from which they set out and acquired so great prosperity, nor have placed in peril the city which had imperilled herself for the sons of Heracles, nor, while bestowing the kingship upon his posterity, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ have yet thought it right that the city which was the means of the deliverance of their race should be enslaved to their power. But if we have to leave out of account considerations of gratitude and fairness, and, returning to the main question, state the point which is most essential, assuredly it is not ancestral custom for immigrants to set themselves over the sons of the soil, or the recipients of benefits over their benefactors, or refugees over those who gave them asylum.

But I can make the matter clear in yet briefer terms. Of all the Hellenic states, excepting our own, Argos and Thebes and Lacedaemon were at that time the greatest, as they still are to this day. And yet our ancestors were manifestly so superior to them all that on behalf of the defeated Argives they dictated terms to the Thebans at the moment of their greatest pride, and on behalf of the sons of Heracles they conquered the Argives and the rest of the Peloponnesians in battle, and delivered the founders and leaders of Lacedaemon out of all danger from Eurystheus. Therefore, as to what state was
twin sons, Eurysthenes and Procles, who established the double line from which Sparta drew her two hereditary kings.

## ISOCRATES



 $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \eta^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \in i \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu,{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega s \tau^{\prime}$


 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ тòv av̀тòv $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu$ öv $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ỏ入í $\gamma \omega$ т $\pi \rho o ́-$
 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \Omega$







 ióvtes;

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \quad \tau o \hat{s} \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \rho i \omega \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o v ̂-$




a For these legendary wars against the Scythians, Amazons, and Thracians see Grote, Hist. i. pp. 201 ff. These stood out in the Athenian mind as their first great struggle against the barbarians, and generally found a 158
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the first power in Hellas, I do not see how anyone could produce more convincing evidence.

But it seems to me fitting that I should speak also of the city's achievements against the barbarians, the more so since the subject which I have undertaken is the question of who should take the lead against them. Now if I were to go through the list of all our wars, I should speak at undue length ; therefore I shall confine myself to the most important, endeavouring to deal with this topic also in the same manner in which I have just dealt with the other. Let us single out, then, the races which have the strongest instinct for domination and the greatest power of aggression-the Scythians and the Thracians and the Persians; it so happens that these have all had hostile designs upon us and that against all these our city has fought decisive wars. And yet what ground will be left for our opponents if it be shown that those among the Hellenes who are powerless to obtain their rights see fit to appeal to us for help, and that those among the barbarians who purpose to enslave the Hellenes make us the first object of their attacks ?

Now, while the most celebrated of our wars was the one against the Persians, yet certainly our deeds of old offer evidence no less strong for those who dispute over ancestral rights. For while Hellas was still insignificant, our territory was invaded by the Thracians, led by Eumolpus, son of Poseidon, and by the Scythians, led by the Amazons, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the daughters of Ares-not at the same time, but during
place beside the Persian Wars in pictures of their glorious past. Cf. Archid. 42; Areop. 75; Panath. 193; [Lysias] Epitaph. 4 ff. ; Plato, Men 2x. 239 в; Xen. Mem. iii. 5. 9.

## ISOCRATES




 $69 \delta v \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta^{\prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu a$ крат $\eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$. ои̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega ́ \rho \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$, ả $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ à $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu o ́ v o v s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о \gamma o ́ v o v s ~$ $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v_{S} \sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda o ́ v \tau \epsilon S$ ó $\mu \circ i \omega_{s} \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta$ á $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \epsilon i \pi \rho o ̀ s \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v_{S} \epsilon ̇ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.









 каi үє́vך $\pi \alpha \nu \tau о \delta a \pi \grave{a}$ каi $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a s ~ к а т-~$ оккь $\sigma \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$.
71 Ka入à $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv каі таи̂та, каi $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~$








${ }^{a}$ These complaints are stated in Panath. 193.
${ }^{b}$ At the decisive battles of Marathon, 490 в.c., and Salamis, 480 в.c.

## PANEGYRICUS, 68-71

the period when both races were trying to extend their dominion over Europe ; for though they hated the whole Hellenic race, they raised complaints ${ }^{a}$ against us in particular, thinking that in this way they would wage war against one state only, but would at the same time impose their power on all the states of Hellas. Of a truth they were not successful ; nay, in this conflict against our forefathers alone they were as utterly overwhelmed as if they had fought the whole world. How great were the disasters which befell them is evident; for the tradition respecting them would not have persisted for so long a time if what was then done had not been without parallel. At any rate, we are told regarding the Amazons that of all who came not one returned again, while those who had remained at home were expelled from power because of the disaster here; and we are told regarding the Thracians that, whereas at one time they dwelt beside us on our very borders, they withdrew so far from us in consequence of that expedition that in the spaces left between their land and ours many nations, races of every kind, and great cities have been established.

Noble indeed are these achievements-yea, and appropriate to those who dispute over the hegemony. But of the same breed as those which have been mentioned, and of such a kind as would naturally be expected of men descended from such ancestors, are the deeds of those who fought against Darius and Xerxes. ${ }^{b}$ For when that greatest of all wars broke out and a multitude of dangers presented themselves at one and the same time, when our enemies regarded themselves as irresistible because of their

## ISOCRATES


 $\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$, каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \pi \alpha \nu \tau a s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ к \iota \nu \delta u ́ v o u s ~ \delta \iota \in \nu \epsilon \gamma-$











 $\pi \rho о \gamma o ́ v \omega \nu$ db $\rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o v s ~$

 $\pi \rho о к а \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ̂̂̀ oi $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$



${ }^{a}$ This passage is closely imitated by Lycurgus, Against Lear. 70, and by Aristides, Panath. 217.
${ }^{b}$ By general acknowledgement. See 99 and Areop. 75, Peace 76.
c Athens obtained the supremacy as the head of the Confederacy of Delos 477 b.c. See Areop. 17 ; Panath. 67 ; Herod. ix. 106 ; Thus. i. 95 ; Yen. Hell. vi. 5. 34.
${ }^{d}$ The custom of delivering funeral orations for those who fell in battle seems to have originated in the Persian Wars. Of such orations the following are the most celebrated : the oration of Pericles in honour of those who died in the 162
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numbers and our allies thought themselves endowed with a courage which could not be excelled, we outdid them both, surpassing each in the way appropriate to each; ${ }^{a}$ and having proved our superiority in meeting all dangers, we were straightway awarded the meed of valour, ${ }^{b}$ and we obtained, not long after, the sovereignty of the sea ${ }^{c}$ by the willing grant of the Hellenes at large and without protest from those who now seek to wrest it from our hands.

And let no one think that I ignore the fact that during these critical times the Lacedaemonians also placed the Hellenes under obligations for many services ; nay, for this reason I am able the more to extol our city because, in competition with such rivals, she so far surpassed them. But I desire to speak a little more at length about these two states, and not to hasten too quickly by them, in order that we may have before us reminders both of the courage of our ancestors and of their hatred against the barbarians. And yet I have not failed to appreciate the fact that it is difficult to come forward last and speak upon a subject which has long been appropriated, and upon which the very ablest speakers among our citizens have many times addressed you at the public funerals; ${ }^{d}$ for, naturally, the most important topics have already been exhausted, while only unimportant topics have been left for later
first year of the Peloponnesian War (Thuc. ii. 35-46) ; the Epitaphios of Gorgias, published in Athens some time after 347 в.c., represented by fragments only; the Epitaphios attributed to Lysias on those who fell in the Corinthian War, 394 в.c. ; the Menexenus of Plato; the Epitaphios attributed to Demosthenes on those who were killed at Chaeronea; that of Hypereides on the heroes of the Lamian War.

## ISOCRATES
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 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon i \chi \chi \nu \tau \sigma \delta^{\prime} \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \rho \bar{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \kappa o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \cdot$
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 $\tau o \imath ̂ s ~ \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s$ aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.

## ${ }^{1} \delta^{\prime}$ ėtı Sandys : $\delta \hat{́ c} \tau \iota \Gamma$ : $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \iota \nu a$ vulg.
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## PANEGYRICUS, 74-77

speakers. Nevertheless, since they are apposite to the matter in hand, I must not shirk the duty of taking up the points which remain and of recalling them to your memory.
${ }^{a}$ Now the men who are responsible for our greatest blessings and deserve our highest praise are, I conceive, those who risked their bodies in defence of Hellas ; and yet we cannot in justice fail to recall also those who lived before this war and were the ruling power in each of the two states; for they it was who, in good time, trained the coming generation and turned the masses of the people toward virtue, and made of them stern foemen of the barbarians. For they did not slight the commonwealth, nor seek to profit by it as their own possession, nor yet neglect it as the concern of others; but were as careful of the public revenues as of their private property, yet abstained from them as men ought from that to which they have no right. ${ }^{b}$ Nor did they estimate well-being by the standard of money, but in their regard that man seemed to have laid up the securest fortune and the noblest who so ordered his life that he should win the highest repute for himself and leave to his children the greatest name; neither did they vie with one another in temerity, nor did they cultivate recklessness in themselves, but thought it a more dreadful thing to be charged with dishonour by their countrymen than to die honourably for their country; and they blushed more for the sins of the commonwealth than men do nowadays for their own.
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 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \in \hat{v}$ т $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \alpha \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu O \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$,






${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf. Areop. 41. This part of the Panegyricus has much in common with the pictures of the old democracy in Athens drawn in the Areopagiticus and the Panathenaicus.
${ }^{b}$ Political parties and clubs of that day are here no doubt idealized to point the contrast to the selfish intrigues of the present. Cf. Pane. 168 and Thucydides' picture of the evils of faction, iii. 82. These clubs, whatever they 166

## PANEGYRICUS, 78-81

The reason for this was that they gave heed to the laws to see that they should be exact and good-not so much the laws about private contracts as those which have to do with men's daily habits of life ; for they understood that for good and true men there would be no need of many written laws, ${ }^{,}$but that if they started with a few principles of agreement they would readily be of one mind as to both private and public affairs. So public-spirited were they that even in their party struggles they opposed one another, not to see which faction should destroy the other and rule over the remnant, but which should outstrip the other in doing something good for the state; and they organized their political clubs, not for personal advantage, but for the benefit of the people. ${ }^{b}$ In the same spirit they governed their relations with other states. They treated the Hellenes with consideration and not with insolence, regarding it as their duty to command them in the field but not to tyrannize over them, desiring rather to be addressed as leaders than as masters, and rather to be greeted as saviours than reviled as destroyers ; they won the Hellenic cities to themselves by doing kindness instead of subverting them by force, keeping their word more faithfully than men now keep their oaths, and thinking it right to abide by their covenants as by the decrees of necessity; they exulted less in the exercise of power than they gloried in living with self-control, thinking it their duty to feel toward the weaker as they expected the
may have been in the Golden Age, were later sworn enemies of popular government and the centres of oligarchical conspiracies. See Thuc. viii. 54; and Aristotle, Constitution of Athens, xxxiv.
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## PANEGYRICUS, 81-84

stronger to feel toward themselves; and, while they regarded their home cities as their several places of abode, yet they considered Hellas to be their common fatherland.

Because they were inspired by such sentiments, and educated the young in such habits of conduct, they produced in the persons of those who fought against the Asiatic hordes men of so great valour that no one, either of the poets or of the sophists, has ever been able to speak in a manner worthy of their achievements. And I can well excuse them, for it is quite as difficult to praise those who have excelled the exploits of the rest of the world as to praise those who have done no good thing at all ; for in the case of the latter the speaker has no support in deeds, and to describe the former there exist no fitting words. For what words can match the measure of such men, who so far surpassed the members of the expedition against Troy that, whereas the latter consumed ten years beleaguering a single city, ${ }^{,}$they, in a short space of time, completely defeated the forces that had been collected from all Asia, and not only saved their own countries but liberated the whole of Hellas as well? And from what deeds or hardships or dangers would they have shrunk so as to enjoy men's praise while living-these men who were so ready to lay down their lives for the sake of the glory they would have when dead? Methinks some god out of admiration for their valour brought about this war in order that men endowed by nature with such a spirit should not be lost in obscurity nor die without renown, but should be deemed worthy of the same honours as are given to those who have sprung from the gods and are called

## ISOCRATES




 Sal $\mu o ́ v \iota o \iota ~ ф \iota \lambda о \tau i \mu \omega s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o v s ~ \epsilon i ̂ \chi o v, ~ o u ̉ ~ \mu \eta े \nu ~$





 $\mu \in \nu o \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu$.
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## PANEGYRICUS, 84-87

demi-gods; for while the gods surrendered the bodies even of their own sons to the doom of nature, yet they have made immortal the memory of their valour.
${ }^{a}$ Now while our forefathers and the Lacedaemonians were always emulous of each other, yet during that time their rivalry was for the noblest ends ; they did not look upon each other as enemies but as competitors, nor did they court the favour of the barbarians for the enslavement of the Hellenes ${ }^{b}$; on the contrary, they were of one mind when the common safety was in question, and their rivalry with each other was solely to see which of them should bring this about.

They first displayed their valour when Darius sent his troops; for when the Persians landed in Attica the Athenians did not wait for their allies, but, making the common war their private cause, they marched out with their own forces alone to meet an enemy who looked with contempt upon the whole of Hellas -a mere handful against thousands upon thousands ${ }^{c}$ -as if they were about to risk the lives of others, not their own ; ${ }^{d}$ the Lacedaemonians, on the other hand, no sooner heard of the war in Attica than they put all else aside and came to our rescue, having made as great haste as if it had been their own country that was being laid waste. A proof of the swiftness and of the rivalry of both is that, according to the account, our ancestors on one and the same day ${ }^{e}$ learned of the landing of the barbarians, rushed to the defence of the borders of their land,
${ }^{c}$ The Athenians at Marathon were reckoned at ten thousand, the Persians at about two hundred thousand.
${ }^{d}$ Echoed from Thuc. i. 70.
" Isocrates makes greater " haste" than Herod. vi. 110.
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## PANEGYRICUS, 87-90

won the battle, and set up a trophy of victory over the enemy ; while the Lacedaemonians in three days and as many nights ${ }^{a}$ covered twelve hundred stadia in marching order: so strenuously did they both hasten, the Lacedaemonians to share in the dangers, the Athenians to engage the enemy before their helpers should arrive. Then came the later expedition, ${ }^{b}$ which was led by Xerxes in person; he had left his royal residence, boldly taken command as general in the field, and collected about him all the hosts of Asia. What orator, however eager to overshoot the mark, has not fallen short of the truth in speaking of this king, who rose to such a pitch of arrogance that, thinking it a small task to subjugate Hellas, and proposing to leave a memorial such as would mark a more than human power, did not stop until he had devised and compelled the execution of a plan whose fame is on the lips of all mankind-a plan by which, having bridged the Hellespont and channelled Athos, he sailed his ships across the mainland, and marched his troops across the main ? ${ }^{c}$

It was against a king who had grown so proud, who had carried through such mighty tasks, and who had made himself master of so many men, that our ancestors and the Lacedaemonians marched forth, first dividing the danger : the latter going to Thermopylae to oppose the land forces with a thousand ${ }^{d}$ picked soldiers of their own, supported by a few of their allies, with the purpose of checking the Persians in the narrow pass from advancing farther ; while
Athos for his ships (Herod. vii. 22-24) seems to have been conventional. Cf.[Lysias], Epitaph. 29 and Aesch.Persians, 745 ff .
${ }^{d}$ There were originally in all about four thousand, according to Herod. vii. 202.
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## PANEGYRICUS, 90-92

our ancestors sailed to Artemisium with sixty triremes ${ }^{a}$ which they had manned to oppose the whole armada of the enemy. And they dared to do these things, not so much in contempt of their foes as in keen rivalry against each other : the Lacedaemonians envying our city its victory at Marathon, and seeking to even the score, and fearing, furthermore, lest our city should twice in succession be the instrument of saving Hellas; while our ancestors, on the other hand, desired above all to maintain the reputation they had won, and to prove to the world that in their former battle they had conquered through valour and not through fortune, and in the next place to incite the Hellenes to carry on the war with their ships, by showing that in fighting on the sea no less than on the land valour prevails over numbers. ${ }^{b}$

But though they displayed equal courage, they did not meet with similar fortunes. The Lacedaemonians were utterly destroyed. Although in spirit they were victorious, in body they were outworn; for it were sacrilege to say that they were defeated, since not one of them deigned to leave his post. ${ }^{c}$ Our ancestors, on the other hand, met and conquered the advance squadron of the Persians; and when they heard that the enemy were masters of the pass, ${ }^{d}$ they sailed back home and adopted such measures for what remained to be done that, however many and however glorious had been their previous achievements, they outdid themselves still more in the final hazards of that war.
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## ISOCRATES
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## PANEGYRICUS, 93-95

For when all the allies were in a state of dejection, and the Peloponnesians were fortifying the Isthmus and selfishly seeking their own safety; when the other states had submitted to the barbarians and were fighting on the Persian side, save only those which were overlooked because of their insignificance ; when twelve hundred ships of war were bearing down upon them, and an innumerable army ${ }^{a}$ was on the point of invading Attica; when no light of deliverance could be glimpsed in any quarter, but, on the contrary, the Athenians had been abandoned by their allies and cheated of their every hope; and when it lay in their power not only to escape from their present dangers but also to enjoy the signal honours which the King held out to them, since he conceived that if he could get the support of the Athenian fleet he could at once become master of the Peloponnesus also, then our ancestors scorned to accept his gifts; ${ }^{b}$ nor did they give way to anger against the Hellenes for having betrayed them and rush gladly to make terms with the barbarians; nay, by themselves they made ready to battle for freedom, while they forgave the rest for choosing bondage. For they considered that while it was natural for the weaker states to seek their security by every means, it was not possible for those states which asserted their right to stand at the head of Hellas to avoid the perils of war ; on the contrary, they believed that just as it is preferable for men who are honourable to die nobly rather than to live in disgrace, so too it is better for cities which are illustrious to be blotted out from the sight of mankind rather than to be seen in a state of bondage.
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## PANEGYRICUS, 96-98

It is evident that they were of this mind ; for when they were not able to marshal themselves against both the land and the sea forces at once, they took with them the entire population, abandoned the city, and sailed to the neighbouring island, in order that they might encounter each force in turn. ${ }^{a}$

And yet how could men be shown to be braver or more devoted to Hellas than our ancestors, who, to avoid bringing slavery upon the rest of the Hellenes, endured to see their city made desolate, their land ravaged, their sanctuaries rifled, their temples burned, and all the forces of the enemy closing in upon their own country? But in truth even this did not satisfy them ; they were ready to give battle on the sea-they alone against twelve hundred ships of war. They were not, indeed, allowed to fight alone-; for the Peloponnesians, put to shame by our courage, and thinking, moreover, that if the Athenians should first be destroyed, they could not themselves be saved from destruction, and that if the Athenians should succeed, their own cities would be brought into disrepute, they were constrained to share the dangers. Now the clamours that arose during the action, and the shoutings and the cheers-things which are common to all those who fight on shipsI see no reason why I should take time to describe ; ${ }^{b}$ my task is to speak of those matters which are distinctive and give claim to leadership, and which confirm the arguments which I have already advanced. In short, our city was so far superior while she stood unharmed that even after she had been laid waste she contributed more ships to the battle for the deliverance of Hellas than all the others put
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 $\theta a \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \eta s \pi \alpha \rho \in \lambda \alpha ́ \beta о \mu \in \nu, \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ аïтьо $\tau о \hat{\iota}$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, каi тóv $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{M} \eta \lambda i ́ \omega \nu$ ảv $\delta \rho \alpha-$


[^74]together ${ }^{a}$ who fought in the engagement; and no one is so prejudiced against us that he would not acknowledge that it was by winning the sea fight that we conquered in the war, and that the credit for this is due to Athens. ${ }^{b}$

Who then should have the hegemony, when a campaign against the barbarians is in prospect? Should it not be they who distinguished themselves above all others in the former war? Should it not be they who many times bore, alone, the brunt of battle, and in the joint struggles of the Hellenes were awarded the prize of valour ? Should it not be they who abandoned their own country to save the rest of Hellas, who in ancient times founded most of the Hellenic cities, and who later delivered them from the greatest disasters? Would it not be an outrage upon us, if, having taken the largest share in the evils of war, we should be adjudged worthy of a lesser share in its honours, and if, having at that time been placed in the lead in the cause of all the Hellenes, we should now be compelled to follow the lead of others?

Now up to this point I am sure that all men would acknowledge that our city has been the author of the greatest number of blessings, and that she should in fairness be entitled to the hegemony. But from this point on some take us to task, urging that after we succeeded to the sovereignty of the sea we brought many evils upon the Hellenes; and, in these speeches of theirs, they cast it in our teeth that we enslaved the Melians and destroyed the
to Herod. viii. 44-48 the Athenians furnished 180, the others 198.
${ }^{\circ}$ Cf. Panath. 51.

## ISOCRATES







 $\epsilon i \quad \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda o \iota ~ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \grave{S} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ au $\tau \omega \nu, \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \alpha o ́-$

 $\tau \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os кратє iv, $\ddot{\eta} \nu \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \iota \varsigma \kappa о \lambda \alpha \zeta_{\eta}$


 $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \alpha S \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime}$ ' $\hat{\omega} \nu$ oi $\pi \epsilon i \theta$ -






${ }^{a}$ The Melian episode is dramatically told by Thucydides v. 84-116. Because the Melians refused to join the Delian Confederacy they were besieged and conquered by the Athenians, 416 в.c. The men of military age were put to the sword and the women and children sold into slavery. Five hundred Athenians were later settled there. Scone revolted from the Confederacy in 423 в.c. Reduced to subjection in 421 b.c., the people suffered the same fate as did the Melians later and their territory was occupied by Plataean refugees (Thus. iv. 120-130). These are blots on the record which Isocrates can at best condone. "Even 182

## PANEGYRICUS, 101-104

people of Scionc. ${ }^{a}$ I, however, take the view, in the first place, that it is no sign that we ruled badly if some of those who were at war with us are shown to have been severely disciplined, but that a much clearer proof that we administered the affairs of our allies wisely is seen in the fact that among the states which remained our loyal subjects not one experienced these disasters. In the second place, if other states had dealt more leniently with the same circumstances, they might reasonably censure us; but since that is not the case, and it is impossible to control so great a multitude of states without disciplining those who offend, does it not follow that we deserve praise because we acted harshly in the fewest possible cases and were yet able to hold our dominion for the greatest length of time?

But I believe that all men are of the opinion that those will prove the best leaders and champions of the Hellenes under whom in the past those who yielded obedience have fared the best. Well, then, it will be found that under our supremacy the private households grew most prosperous and that the commonwealths also became greatest. For we were not jealous of the growing states, ${ }^{b}$ nor did we engender confusion among them by setting up conflicting polities side by side, in order that faction the gods are not thought to be above reproach," he says in the Panathenaicus, 62-64, where he discusses frankly these sins of the Athenian democracy. Xenophon tells us that when the Athenians found themselves in like case with these conquered peoples after the disaster at Aegospotami they bitterly repented them of this injustice, Hell. ii. 3.
${ }^{\circ}$ In this and the following paragraphs we have a summing up of the spirit of the Athenian hegeniony in contrast to that of the Spartan supremacy described in 115 ff . $C f$. Panath. 59 ff.

## ISOCRATES

 ả $\mu$ о́т $\tau \rho \circ \iota \quad \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon$ v́o九 $\epsilon \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha ́ \chi \omega \nu$
 $\nu o ́ \mu o \iota s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \delta \iota \varphi \kappa о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi \iota \kappa \omega \bar{s}$
















 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o u s, ~ a ̀ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i a \sigma \tau o \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi a ̂ s ~$


${ }^{a}$ raîs juvaбreiaıs means simply "powers" in 81, but commonly powers not responsible to the people-oligarchies as here or tyrannies as in 39.
${ }^{b}$ A pan-Hellenic sentiment. Cf. 81.

- Citizens under oligarchies are without rights; they are like the metics in Athens-residents on sufferance.
"By фúois, nature. Cf. "All men are created equal." The contrast between nature and convention- $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota s$ and $\nu 0 \mu o s$ - was a favourite topic of discussion among the sophists. Cf. an echo of it in To Dem. 10.
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might be arrayed against faction and that both might court our favour. On the contrary, we regarded harmony among our allies as the common boon of all, and therefore we governed all the cities under the same laws, deliberating about them in the spirit of allies, not of masters ; guarding the interests of the whole confederacy but leaving each member of it free to direct its own affairs; supporting the people but making war on despotic powers, ${ }^{a}$ considering it an outrage that the many should be subject to the few, that those who were poorer in fortune but not inferior in other respects should be banished from the offices, that, furthermore, in a fatherland which belongs to all in common ${ }^{b}$ some should hold the place of masters, others of aliens, ${ }^{c}$ and that men who are citizens by birth ${ }^{d}$ should be robbed by law of their share in the government.

It was because we had these objections, and others besides, to oligarchies that we established the same polity ${ }^{e}$ in the other states as in Athens itself-a polity which I see no need to extol at greater length, since I can tell the truth about it in a word: They continued to live under this regime for seventy years, ${ }^{f}$ and, during this time, they experienced no tyrannies, they were free from the domination of the barbarians, they were untroubled by internal factions. and they were at peace with all the world.

On account of these services it becomes all think-

- A democratic government. Cf. Panath. 54 ff .
${ }^{5}$ A round number. So [Lysias], Epitaph. 55. Demosthenes reckons the period of supremacy more accurately at 73 years, 477-404. In Panath. 56 Isocrates reckons it at 65 years-roughly from the Confederacy of Delos to the Athenian disaster in Sicily, which was really the beginning of the end of the Athenian supremacy.
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \epsilon i \delta o ́ \tau \epsilon s ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ ' E \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
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ing men to be deeply grateful to us, much rather than to reproach us because of our system of colonization ; ${ }^{a}$ for we sent our colonies into the depopulated states for the protection of their territories and not for our own aggrandizement. And here is proof of this: We had in proportion to the number of our citizens a very small territory, ${ }^{b}$ but a very great empire; we possessed twice as many ships of war as all the rest combined, ${ }^{c}$ and these were strong enough to engage double their number ; at the very borders of Attica lay Euboea, which was not only fitted by her situation to command the sea, but also surpassed all the islands in her general resources, ${ }^{,}$and Euboea lent itself more readily to our control than did our own country ; besides, while we knew that both among the Hellenes and among the barbarians those are regarded most highly who have driven their neighbours from their homes ${ }^{e}$ and have so secured for themselves a life of affluence and ease, nevertheless, none of these considerations tempted us to wrong the people of the island ; on the contrary, we alone of those who have obtained great power suffered ourselves to live in more straitened circumstances than those who were reproached with being our slaves. ${ }^{f}$ And yet, had we been disposed to seek our own advantage, we should not, I imagine, have set our hearts on the territory of Scione (which, as all the world knows,
${ }^{\circ}$ See Thuc. ii. 13 and viii. 79.
${ }^{d}$ Herodotus characterizes Euboea as a "large and prosperous '" island, v. 31. Cf. Thuc. viii. 96.
${ }^{e}$ This cynical remark points to the Spartan conquest of Messene.
${ }^{f}$ Probably a taunt flung at the Euboeans and all who were under the protection and influence of Athens.
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110 Tooov́т $\omega \nu$ гоívvv $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$, каi тобav́$\tau \eta \nu \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \rho \tau o \hat{v} \mu \eta े \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ar $\lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \dot{\imath} \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \tau о \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ катךүорєîv oi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \delta \alpha \rho-$

 єîval $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho o \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ảdıкias, out $\delta \epsilon \mu i \alpha \nu$

 $\zeta_{\epsilon \iota \nu}, \tau \alpha \mathfrak{v a \nu \tau i a} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon i v o \iota s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon$ v́ovтєs, каi $\tau \alpha ̀ s$



 avo $\mu \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v s ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu o ́ \mu ル \zeta o \nu, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \pi \rho o-~$
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we gave over to our Plataean refugees), ${ }^{a}$ and passed over this great territory which would have enriched us all.

Now although we have shown ourselves to be of such character and have given so convincing proof that we do not covet the possessions of others, we are brazenly denounced by those who had a hand in the decarchies ${ }^{b}$--men who have befouled their own countries, who have made the crimes of the past seem insignificant, and have left the would-be scoundrels of the future no chance to exceed their villainy ; and who, for all that, profess to follow the ways of Lacedaemon, when they practise the very opposite, and bewail the disasters of the Melians, when they have shamelessly inflicted irreparable wrongs upon their own citizens. For what crime have they overlooked? What act of shame or outrage is wanting in their careers? They regarded the most lawless of men as the most loyal ; they courted traitors as if they were benefactors; they chose to be slaves to one of the Helots ${ }^{c}$ so that they might oppress their own countries; they honoured the assassins and murderers of their fellow-citizens more than their own parents; and to such a stage of brutishness did they bring us all that, whereas in downfall of Athens at the close of the Peloponnesian War, when Sparta became the supreme power in Greece, 404 в..., governing commissions of ten ("decarchies "), composed of these extremists, with a Spartan harmost and garrison to support them, were set up in most of these states by the Spartan general Lysander (Xen. Hell. iii. 4. 2). In Athens the "decarchy " succeeded the rule of the thirty tyrants. Compare what Isocrates says here about the decarchies with Phil. 95 and Panath. 54.

- The reference is to Lysander, who on his mother's side was of Helot blood. The Helots were serfs of the Spartans.
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 113 ax $\theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$. тívos $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oủk є́фíкоуто; $\ddot{\eta}$ тís оu゙т $\omega$




 à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu ~ к \alpha i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho ’ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$




 á $\rho \pi \alpha \gamma a ́ s, \tau i ́ s ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \delta u ́ v a i \tau o ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} v ; \pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu \tau \circ \sigma \circ \hat{\tau} \tau \circ \nu$


 ov̉סєis äv iáa $\alpha \sigma \theta$ al $\delta$ v́valтo.
$115 \mathrm{~K} \alpha i \quad \mu \eta ̀ \nu$ oú $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma \alpha \nu$ єi $\rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$, oủ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \eta े \nu$
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former times, because of the prosperity which prevailed, every one of us found many to sympathize with him even in trifling reverses, yet under the rule of these men, because of the multitude of our own calamities, we ceased feeling pity for each other, since there was no man to whom they allowed enough of respite so that he could share another's burdens. For what man dwelt beyond their reach ? What man was so far removed from public life that he was not forced into close touch with the disasters into which such creatures plunged us? But in the face of all this, these men, who brought their own cities to such a pitch of anarchy, do not blush to make unjust charges against our city ; nay, to crown their other effronteries, they even have the audacity to talk of the private and public suits which were once tried in Athens, when they themselves put to death without trial more men ${ }^{a}$ in the space of three months than Athens tried during the whole period of her supremacy. And of their banishments, their civil strife, their subversion of laws, their political revolutions, their atrocities upon children, their insults to women, their pillage of estates, who could tell the tale? I can only say this much of the whole business - the severities under our administration could have been readily brought to an end by a single vote of the people, ${ }^{b}$ while the murders and acts of violence under their regime are beyond any power to remedy.

And, furthermore, not even the present peace, nor yet that "autonomy" which is inscribed in the
from paying tribute and from the practice of trying their cases in Athens. These had been the causes of friction. See Panath. 63.

## ISOCRATES

 $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \ddot{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu$. $\tau i s$


 nov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau o ̀ s ~$ $\tau \epsilon i ́ \chi o v s$ oi $\pi о \lambda i ̄ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o v s ~ \mu \alpha ́ \chi o \nu \tau \alpha \iota, ~$
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} S \pi о \iota \eta \quad \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ ठ $\grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi v \kappa \nu o ́-$

 oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma$ à $\rho$ тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \delta \epsilon \delta i \alpha a \sigma \iota \nu$, oi $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i$ катเє́val


 бтатоь $\gamma є \gamma o ́ v a \sigma \iota, ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \delta ' ~ o i ~ \beta a ́ p \beta a \rho o \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \pi o ́ \tau \alpha \iota ~$





 $\tau \eta \nu \quad \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ катєбтŋ́$\sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \quad \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \grave{\nu}$
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treaties ${ }^{a}$ but is not found in our governments, is preferable to the rule of Athens. For who would desire a condition of things where pirates command the seas ${ }^{b}$ and mercenaries occupy our cities; ${ }^{c}$ where fellow-countrymen, instead of waging war in defence of their territories against strangers, are fighting within their own walls ${ }^{d}$ against each other ; where more cities have been captured in war ${ }^{e}$ than before we made the peace; and where revolutions follow so thickly upon each other that those who are at home in their own countries are more dejected than those who have been punished with exile? For the former are in dread of what is to come, while the latter live ever in the hope of their return. And so far are the states removed from "freedom" and " autonomy" $f$ that some of them are ruled by tyrants, some are controlled by alien governors, some have been sacked and razed, ${ }^{g}$ and some have become slaves to the barbarians-the same barbarians whom we once so chastened for their temerity in crossing over into Europe, and for their overweening pride, that they not only ceased from making expeditions against us, but even endured to see their own territory laid waste; ${ }^{h}$ and we brought their power so low, for all that they had once sailed the sea with twelve hundred ships, that they launched no ship of (Trans. by Grote, Hist. ix. p. 212). See General Introd. p. xliii, and introduction to Panegyricus.
${ }^{\circ}$ In the absence of the Athenian fleet.

- See 168, and introduction.
${ }^{\text {a C Cf. Xen. Hell. v. 2. } 1 . ~ © ~ C f . ~ P a n a t h . ~} 97$.
${ }^{t}$ Freedom and autonomy-a single idea; see General Introd. p. xxxii; Plataicus, 24 ; Epist. viii. 7.
${ }^{s}$ See 126.
${ }^{n}$ Allusion is to the victory of Conon at the Eurymedon, 466 в.с.
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 $\mu \eta े ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \circ$ ó $\eta \eta \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon!\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \cup \epsilon \tau \nu$.
$119 \mathrm{Kai} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ on $\tau \iota \delta_{\grave{\alpha}} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \gamma o ́ v \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon-$

 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \hat{S} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \cup \cup \mu \epsilon \theta a$ каi $\tau о \hat{\iota}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 ठє̀ ката̀ кра́тоs єî̉ov, ä $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi o ́ \nu-$


 $\gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v a s$ каi $\tau a ̀ s \nu v ̂ \nu$ ảva $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v a s$. тóтє $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$




 121 év $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ к \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s . ~ \pi \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v ~ \tau i ́$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{ } \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ vi $\pi o ́ \lambda o \iota \pi o ́ v ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ; ~ o v ̉ ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau о \hat{u} ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v ~$
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war this side of Phaselis ${ }^{a}$ but remained inactive and waited on more favourable times rather than trust in the forces which they then possessed.

And that this state of affairs was due to the valour of our ancestors has been clearly shown in the fortunes of our city ; for the very moment when we were deprived of our dominion marked the beginning of a dominion ${ }^{b}$ of ills for the Hellenes. In fact, after the disaster which befell us in the Hellespont, ${ }^{c}$ when our rivals took our place as leaders, the barbarians won a naval victory, ${ }^{\bar{d}}$ became rulers of the sea, occupied most of the islands, ${ }^{e}$ made a landing in Laconia, took Cythera by storm, and sailed around the whole Peloponnesus, inflicting damage as they went.

One may best comprehend how great is the reversal in our circumstances if he will read side by side the treaties ${ }^{f}$ which were made during our leadership and those which have been published recently ; for he will find that in those days we were constantly setting limits to the empire of the King, ${ }^{g}$ levying tribute on some of his subjects, and barring him from the sea; now, however, it is he who controls the destinies of the Hellenes, who dictates ${ }^{h}$ what they must each do, and who all but sets up his viceroys in their cities. For with this one exception, what else is lacking ? Was it not he who decided the issue of the war, was it not he who directed the terms of peace, and is it not he who now presides over our affairs? Do we not sail off to him as to a master, when we have
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complaints against each other ? Do we not address him as " The Great King " as though we were the captives of his spear ? Do we not in our wars against each other rest our hopes of salvation on him, who would gladly destroy both Athens and Lacedaemon ?

Reflecting on these things, we may well be indignant at the present state of affairs, and yearn for our lost supremacy ; and we may well blame the Lacedaemonians because, although in the beginning they entered upon the war ${ }^{a}$ with the avowed intention ${ }^{b}$ of freeing the Hellenes, in the end they delivered so many of them into bondage, and because they induced the Ionians to revolt from Athens, the mother city from which the Ionians emigrated and by whose influence they were often preserved from destruction, and then betrayed them ${ }^{c}$ to the barbarians-those barbarians in despite of whom they possess their lands and against whom they have never ceased to war.

At that time the Lacedaemonians were indignant because we thought it right by legitimate means to extend our dominion over certain peoples. ${ }^{d}$ Now, however, they feel no concern, when these peoples are reduced to such abject servitude that it is not enough that they should be forced to pay tribute and see their citadels occupied by their foes, but, in addition to these public calamities, must also in their own persons submit to greater indignities than those which are suffered in our world by purchased slaves ${ }^{e}$; for none of us is so cruel to his servants as are the barbarians in punishing free men. But the crowning misery is that they are compelled to take the field
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with the enemy ${ }^{a}$ in the very cause of slavery and to fight against men who assert their right to freedom, and to submit to hazards of war on such terms that in case of defeat they will be destroyed at once, and in case of victory they will strengthen the chains of their bondage for all time to come.

For these evils, who else, can we think, is to blame but the Lacedaemonians, seeing that they have so great power, yet look on with indifference while those who have placed themselves under the Lacedaemonian alliance are visited with such outrages, and while the barbarian builds up his own empire by means of the strength of the Hellenes? In former days, it is true, they used to expel tyrants and bring succour to the people, but now they have so far reversed their policy that they make war on responsible governments and aid in establishing absolute monarchies; they sacked and razed the city of Mantinea, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ after peace had been concluded; they seized the Cadmea ${ }^{c}$ in Thebes; and now ${ }^{d}$ they are laying siege to Olynthus and Phlius: ${ }^{e}$ on the other hand, they are assisting Amyntas, king of the Macedonians, ${ }^{f}$ and Dionysius, ${ }^{g}$ the tyrant of Sicily, and the barbarian king who rules over Asia, ${ }^{h}$ to extend their dominions far and wide. And yet is it not extraordinary that those who stand at the head of the Hellenes should set up one man as master over a host of human beings so great that it is not easy to ascertain even their numbers, while
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[^85]they do not permit the very greatest of our cities to govern even themselves, but try to compel them to submit to slavery or else involve them in the greatest disasters? But most monstrous of all it is to see a people who arrogate to themselves the right of leadership making war every day upon the Hellenes and committed for all time to an alliance with the barbarians.

And let no one suppose that I am ill-natured, because I have recalled these facts to you in rather harsh terms, after having stated at the outset that I intended to speak on conciliation; for it is not with the intention of stigmatizing the city of the Lacedaemonians in the eyes of others that I have spoken as I have about them, but that I may induce the Lacedaemonians themselves, so far as it lies in the power of words to do so, to make an end of such a policy. It is not, however, possible to turn men from their errors, or to inspire in them the desire for a different course of action without first roundly condemning their present conduct; and a distinction must be made between accusation, when one denounces with intent to injure, and admonition, ${ }^{a}$ when one uses like words with intent to benefit; for the same words are not to be interpreted in the same way unless they are spoken in the same spirit. For we have reason to reproach the Lacedaemonians for this also, that in the interest of their own city they compel their neighbours to live in serfdom, ${ }^{b}$ but for the common advantage of their allies they refuse to bring about a similar condition, although it lies in their power to make up their quarrel with us and reduce all the barbarians to a state of subjection to the whole of Hellas. And yet
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${ }^{a}$ For tribute levied by Sparta see Xen. Hell. vi. 2. 16.
${ }^{6}$ The Cyclades, hilly and comparatively barren.
c The "mainlanders"--Persian subjects in Asia Minor.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reference is to the ten years' war between Artaxerxes and Evagoras, king of Salamis. For Evagoras see introduction to the Address to Nicocles, and for the war see Evagoras 64 ff .
e The armament of Tiribazus, composed largely of an army of Greek mercenaries and a navy drawn from Ionian Greeks. 202

## PANEGYRICUS, 132-135

it is the duty of men who are proud because of natural gifts and not merely because of fortune to undertake such deeds much rather than to levy tribute ${ }^{a}$ on the islanders, ${ }^{b}$ who are deserving of their pity, seeing that because of the scarcity of land they are compelled to till mountains, while the people of the mainland, ${ }^{c}$ because of the abundance of their territory, allow most of it to lie waste, and have, nevertheless, from that part of it which they do harvest, grown immensely rich.

It is my opinion that if anyone should come here from another part of the world and behold the spectacle of the present state of our affairs, he would charge both the Athenians and the Lacedaemonians with utter madness, not only because we risk our lives fighting as we do over trifles when we might enjoy in security a wealth of possessions, but also because we continually impoverish our own territory while neglecting to exploit that of Asia. As for the barbarian, nothing is more to his purpose than to take measures to prevent us from ever ceasing to make war upon each other ; while we, on the contrary, are so far from doing anything to embroil his interests or foment rebellion among his subjects that when, thanks to fortune, dissensions do break out in his empire we actually lend him a hand in putting them down. Even now, when the two armies are fighting in Cyprus, ${ }^{d}$ we permit him to make use of the one ${ }^{e}$ and to besiege the other, ${ }^{f}$ although both of them belong to Hellas ; for the Cyprians, who are in revolt against him, are not only on friendly terms with us ${ }^{g}$ but are also seeking the protection of the Lacedaemonians; and as to the forces which are led
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## PANEGYRICUS, 135-138

by Tiribazus, the most effective troops of his infantry have been levied from these parts, ${ }^{a}$ and most of his fleet has been brought together from Ionia; and all these would much more gladly make common cause and plunder Asia than risk their lives fighting against each other over trifling issues. But these things we take no thought to prevent; instead, we wrangle about the islands of the Cyclades, when we have so recklessly given over so many cities and such great forces to the barbarians. And therefore some of our possessions are now his, some will soon be his, and others are threatened by his treacherous designs. And he has rightly conceived an utter contempt for us all; for he has attained what no one of his ancestors ever did: Asia has been conceded both by us and by the Lacedaemonians to belong to the King ; and as for the cities of the Hellenes, he has taken them so absolutely under his control that he either razes them to the ground or builds his fortresses within them. And all this has come about by reason of our own folly, not because of his power.

And yet there are those who stand in awe of the greatness of the King's power and maintain that he is a dangerous enemy, ${ }^{b}$ dwelling at length on the many reversals which he has brought about in the affairs of the Hellenes. In my judgement, however, those who express such sentiments do not discourage but urge on the expedition ; for if he is going to be hard to make war against when we have composed our differences and while he, himself, is still beset by dissensions, then verily we should be in utmost dread of that time when the conflicting interests of the barbarians are settled and are governed by a single purpose, while we continue to be, as now, hostile to
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${ }^{a}$ Cf. Dem. Olynth. ii. 14.
${ }^{6}$ Chios revolted from Athens and joined Sparta after the Sicilian expedition ('Thuc. viii. 7). After the battle of Cnidus she joined Athens again (Diod. xiv. 84, 94). 906

## PANEGYRICUS, 139-141

each other. But even though these objectors do in fact lend support to my contention, yet, for all that, they are mistaken in their views about the power of the King ; for if they could show that he had ever in the past prevailed over both Athens and Lacedaemon at once, they would have reason for attempting to alarm us now. But if this is not the case, and the truth is that when we and the Lacedaemonians have been in conflict he has but given support to one of the two sides and so rendered the achievements of that one side more brilliant, this is no evidence of his own power. For in such times of crisis small forces have often played a great part in turning the scale ; ${ }^{a}$ for example, even for the people of Chios ${ }^{b}$ I might make the claim that whichever side they have been inclined to support, that side has proved stronger on the sea. Nay, it is obviously not fair to estimate the power of the King from those exploits in which he has joined forces with the one or the other of us, but rather from the wars which he, unaided, has fought on his own behalf.

Take, first, the case of Egypt : since its revolt from the King, what progress has he made against its inhabitants? Did he not dispatch to this war ${ }^{c}$ the most renowned of the Persians, Abrocomas and Tithraustes and Pharnabazus, and did not they, after remaining there three years and suffering more disasters than they inflicted, finally withdraw in such disgrace that the rebels are no longer content with their freedom, but are already trying to extend their dominion over the neighbouring peoples as well ? Next, there is his campaign against Evagoras. Evagoras is ruler over but a single city ${ }^{d}$; he is
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${ }^{a}$ See terms of Treaty of Antalcidas given in note on 115.
${ }^{b}$ The war between Persia and Sparta which ended with the battle of Cnidus, 394 bic. Conon, after the battle of Aegospotami in which he had been one of the generals, took service with the Persians, and was the captain of the fleet in this battle.

- Conn.


## PANEGYRICUS, 141-143

given over to the Persians by the terms of the Treaty ${ }^{a}$; his is an insular power and he has already sustained a disaster to his fleet; he has, at present, for the defence of his territory only three thousand light-armed troops ; yet, humble as is the power of Evagoras, the King has not the power to conquer it in war, but has already frittered away six years in the attempt ; and, if we may conjecture the future by the past, there is much more likelihood that someone else will rise in revolt before Evagoras is reduced by the siege-so slothful is the King in his enterprises. Again, in the Rhodian War, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ the King had the good will of the allies of Lacedaemon because of the harshness with which they were governed, he availed himself of the help of our seamen ; and at the head of his forces was Conon, who was the most competent of our generals, who possessed more than any other the confidence of the Hellenes, and who was the most experienced in the hazards of war ; yet, although the King had such a champion to help him in the war, he suffered the fleet which bore the brunt of the defence of Asia to be bottled up for three years by only an hundred ships, and for fifteen months he deprived the soldiers of their pay; and the result would have been, had it depended upon the King alone, that they would have been disbanded more than once; but, thanks to their commander ${ }^{c}$ and to the alliance which was formed at Corinth, ${ }^{d}$ they barely succeeded in winning a naval victory. And these were the most royal and the most imposing of his achievements, and these are the deeds about which people are never weary
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${ }^{\text {a }}$ Succeeded Thimbron as commander of the Spartan fleet, 399 b.c. He is said to have taken nine cities in eight days (Xen. Hell. iii. 2. 1).
${ }^{6}$ Appointed harmost of Atarneus by Dercylidas, 398 в.с. (Xen. Hell. iii. 2. 11).
${ }^{c}$ Admiral of Spartan fleet 400 b.c. (Xen. Hell. iii. 1. 4).
${ }^{d}$ The campaign of Agesilaus occurred in 395 b.c. (Xen. Hell. iii. 4. 20).

- Contemptuous, recalling Arist. Acharnians 81.
* The famous "ten thousand" led by Clearchus, a Spartan, were employed by Cyrus, the younger son of Dareius, against his brother Artaxerxes, the Persian king, 401-399. The death of Cyrus, due to his rashness in the very moment of victory, deprived the rebellion of its leader and left the 210


## PANEGYRICUS, $143-145$

of speaking who are fain to exalt the power of the barbarians!

So no one can say that I am not fair in my use of instances, nor that I dwell upon the minor undertakings of the King and pass over the most important; for I have striven to forestall just such a complaint, and have recounted the most glorious of his exploits. I do not, however, forget his minor campaigns; I do not forget that Dercylidas, ${ }^{,}$with a thousand heavy-armed troops, extended his power over Aeolis; that Draco ${ }^{b}$ took possession of Atarneus, and afterwards collected an army of three thousand lightarmed men, and devastated the plains of Mysia ; that Thimbron, ${ }^{c}$ with a force only a little larger, crossed over into Lydia and plundered the whole country ; and that Agesilaus, with the help of the army of Cyrus, conquered almost all the territory this side of the Halys river. ${ }^{d}$

And assuredly we have no greater reason to fear the army which wanders about ${ }^{e}$ with the King nor the valour of the Persians themselves; for they were clearly shown by the troops who marched inland ${ }^{f}$ with Cyrus to be no better than the King's soldiers who live on the coast. I refrain from speaking of all the other battles in which the Persians were worsted, and I am willing to grant that they were split with

Greek army stranded in the heart of Asia. Xenophon, who has described this expedition in the Anabasis, led the remnant of this army after many months of hardship back to the shore of the Black Sea. See Grote, Hist. viii. pp. 303 ff . The expedition, though unsuccessful in its purpose, was regarded as a triumph of courage and a demonstration of the superiority of the Greeks over the Persians in warfare. The episode is used in Phil. $90-93$ with the same point as here.
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## PANEGYRICUS, 145-148

factions, and so were not inclined to throw themselves wholeheartedly into the struggle against the King's brother. But after Cyrus had been killed, and all the people of Asia had joined forces, even under these favourable conditions they made such a disgraceful failure of the war as to leave for those who are in the habit of vaunting Persian valour not a word to say. For they had to deal with only six thousand Hellenes ${ }^{a}$-not picked troops, but men who, owing to stress of circumstances, were unable to live in their own cities. ${ }^{b}$ These were, moreover, unfamiliar with the country; they had been deserted by their allies; they had been betrayed by those who made the expedition with them; they had been deprived of the general whom they had followed; and yet the Persians were so inferior to these men that the King, finding himself in difficult straits and having no confidence in the force which was under his own command, did not scruple to arrest the captains of the auxiliaries in violation of the truce, ${ }^{c}$ hoping by this lawless act to throw their army into confusion, and preferring to offend against the gods rather than join issue openly with these soldiers. But when he failed in this plot-for the soldiers not only stood together but bore their misfortune nobly, -then, as they set out on their journey home, he sent with them Tissaphernes and the Persian cavalry. But although these kept plotting against them throughout the entire journey, ${ }^{d}$ the Hellenes continued their march to the end as confidently as if they had been under friendly escort, dreading most
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of all the uninhabited regions of that country, and deeming it the best possible fortune to fall in with as many of the enemy as possible. Let me sum up the whole matter: These men did not set out to get plunder or to capture a town, but took the field against the King himself, and yet they returned in greater security than ambassadors who go to him on a friendly mission. Therefore it seems to me that in every quarter the Persians have clearly - exposed their degeneracy; for along the coast of Asia they have been defeated in many battles, and when they crossed to Europe they were duly punished, either perishing miserably or saving their lives with dishonour ; and to crown all, they made themselves objects of derision under the very walls of their King's palace. ${ }^{a}$

And none of these things has happened by accident, but all of them have been due to natural causes ; for it is not possible for people who are reared and governed as are the Persians, either to have a part in any other form of virtue or to set up on the field of battle trophies of victory over their foes. ${ }^{b}$ For how could either an able general or a good soldier be produced amid such ways of life as theirs? Most of their population is a mob without discipline or experience of dangers, which has lost all stamina for war and has been trained more effectively for servitude than are the slaves in our country. Those, on the other hand, who stand highest in repute among them have never governed their lives by dictates of equality or of common interest or of loyalty to the state ; on the contrary, their whole existence consists of insolence toward some, and servility towards others-a manner of life than which nothing could be

## ISOCRATES

 $\tau \grave{\alpha} S ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \psi v \chi a ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \mu о \nu а \rho \chi i ́ a s ~ \tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ s ~ к а i ~$
 ßабı入єío七s каі тоока入ьขסоv́ $\mu \in \nu о \iota ~ к а і ~ \pi а ́ \nu \tau а ~ \tau о о ́-~$

 $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \quad \stackrel{o}{o} \iota \gamma \omega \rho \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$.

 $\pi a i ́ \delta \epsilon v \sigma \iota \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu$ тoîs ${ }^{\eta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ тoîs aùroîs $\delta \iota a-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v \sigma \iota, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o u s ~ a ̉ \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \omega s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{́ c} \tau o u ̀ s$



 avíĉ̀ $\delta a \pi \alpha ́ v a \imath s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \theta \rho \epsilon \psi a \nu$ ，$\tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ a v i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$










${ }^{a}$ Viceroys of the king－provincial governors．
${ }^{6}$ See Xen．Hell．iii．4． 26 ；Grote，Hist．ix．p． 92.
－Cisthene was probably a town in Asia Minor captured by Agesilaus in the campaign．
${ }^{d}$ Conon was one of the Athenian generals at the battle of Aegospotami．After that disaster he left Greece and took service with the Persians against Sparta，and was 216

## PANEGYRICUS, 151-154

more demoralizing to human nature. Because they are rich, they pamper their bodies; but because they are subject to one man's power, they keep their souls in a state of abject and cringing fear, parading themselves at the door of the royal palace, prostrating themselves, and in every way schooling themselves to humility of spirit, falling on their knees before a mortal man, addressing him as a divinity, and thinking more lightly of the gods than of men. So it is that those of the Persians who come down to the sea, whom they term satraps, ${ }^{a}$ do not dishonour the training which they receive at home, but cling steadfastly to the same habits: they are faithless to their friends and cowardly to their foes; their lives are divided between servility on the one hand and arrogance on the other; they treat their allies with contempt and pay court to their enemies. For example, they maintained the army under Agesilaus at their own expense for eight months, ${ }^{b}$ but they deprived the soldiers who were fighting in the Persian cause of their pay for double that length of time ; they distributed an hundred talents among the captors of Cisthene, ${ }^{c}$ but treated more outrageously than their prisoners of war the troops who supported them in the campaign against Cyprus. To put it brieflyand not to speak in detail but in general terms,who of those that have fought against them has not come off with success, and who of those that have fallen under their power has not perished from their atrocities? Take the case of Conon, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ who, as commander in the service of Asia, brought an end to the
instrumental in the defeat of the Spartan fleet at the battle of Cnidus. For the treachery referred to here see Grote, Hist. ix. p. 187.
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## 157






a Themistocles，commander of the Athenian fleet at Salamis，was later ostracized and took refuge at the Persian court．See Grote，Hist $\boldsymbol{r}$ v．p． 138.
${ }^{b}$ When they captured Athens．See 96 ；Herod．viii． 53 ；Asch．Persians， 809.
${ }^{c}$ There is no other authority for this oath of the Ionians． A similar oath is，however，attributed by Lycurgus，Against 218
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power of the Laccdaemonians: did they not shamelessly seize him for punishment by death? Take, on the other hand, the case of Themistocles, ${ }^{a}$ who in the service of Hellas defeated them at Salamis : did they not think him worthy of the greatest gifts ? Then why should we cherish the friendship of men who punish their benefactors and so openly flatter those who do them injury? Who is there among us whom they have not wronged? When have they given the Hellenes a moment's respite from their treacherous plots? What in our world is not hateful to them who did not shrink in the earlier war from rifling even the images and temples of the gods, and burning them to the ground ? $b$ Therefore, the Ionians deserve to be commended because, when their sanctuaries had been burned, they invoked the wrath of Heaven upon any who should disturb the ruins or should desire to restore their shrines as they were of old ; ${ }^{c}$ and they did this, not because they lacked the means to rebuild them, but in order that there might be left a memorial to future generations of the impiety of the barbarians, and that none might put their trust in men who do not scruple to commit such sins against our holy temples, but that all might be on their guard against them and fear them, seeing that they waged that war not against our persons only, but even against our votive offerings to the gods.

Of my own countrymen also I have a similar tale to tell. For towards all other pcoples with whom they have been at war, they forget their past enmities the moment they have concluded peace, but toward the Asiatics they feel no gratitude even

Leocr. 81, to the collective Greeks before the battle of Plataea.
 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \circ v \sigma \iota \nu$. каi $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oi $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon s \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ ठıà $\tau \grave{o}$ тоv́ $\tau \omega v$ иĩoos каi тоîs





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o v s ~ v ̈ \mu \nu o v s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o \imath \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s, ~ \epsilon ̇ к ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тov̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha s ~ \theta \rho \eta ́ \nu o v s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu \quad \gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta$ -
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi i$ tais av $\mu \phi$ opaîs $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$.






${ }^{a}$ See Plato, Repub. 470 c ; Livy xxxi. 29, "cum barbaris omnibus Graecis bellum est eritque."
${ }^{\text {b }}$ See Herod. ix. 5; Lycurgus, Against Lear. 122; Demosthenes, False Legation, 270.
c The custom is attributed to Aristeides by Plutarch, Life of Aristides, 10.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The priests at Eleusis belonged to families traditionally descended from Eumolpus and Keryx.

- See Herod. viii. 65 ; Lobeck, Aglaophamus, i. p. 15.
${ }^{f}$ Cf. Evag. 6.
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when they receive favours from them ; so eternal is the wrath which they cherish against the barbarians. ${ }^{a}$ Again, our fathers condemned many to death ${ }^{b}$ for defection to the Medes; in our public assemblies even to this day, before any other business is transacted, the Athenians call down curses ${ }^{c}$ upon any citizen who proposes friendly overtures to the Persians ; and, at the celebration of the Mysteries, the Eumolpidae and the Kerykes, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ because of our hatred of the Persians, give solemn warning to the other barbarians also, even as to men guilty of murder, that they are for ever banned from the sacred rites.e So ingrained in our nature is our hostility to them that even in the matter of our stories we linger most fondly over those which tell of the Trojan and the Persian wars, ${ }^{f}$ because through them we learn of our enemies' misfortunes; and you will find thatour warfare against the barbarians hasinspiredour hymns, while that against the Hellenes has brought forth our dirges; ${ }^{g}$ and that the former are sung at our festivals, while we recall the latter on occasions of sorrow. Moreover, I think that even the poetry of Homer has won a greater renown because he has nobly glorified the men who fought against the barbarians, and that on this account our ancestors determined to give his art a place of honour in our musical contests and in the education of our youth, ${ }^{h}$ in order that we, hearing his verses over and over
o "Victories over the barbarians call for hymns, but victories over the Hellenes for dirges," said Gorgias in his Epitaphios, and Isocrates may have had his words in mind. The Gorgias fragment is quoted by Philostr. Lives of the Sophists, 493.
${ }^{n}$ See Plato, Hipparchus 228 в; Repub. 606 e, and Aristoph. Frogs, 1035.
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 $\mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ aùтoîs, $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ o $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\omega} \nu$ ка८ $\rho o ́ s$,
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 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma o ́ v a \sigma \iota$, Túpos $\delta^{\prime}$, є’ $\phi^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, vitò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon ่ \chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon i v o v$ катєì $\lambda \eta \pi \tau \alpha \iota$; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \nu$ Kı $\lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s, \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau a s$ oi $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$




 $\sum_{\iota \nu \omega ́ \pi \eta S}{ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon S$ т̀̀ $\nu$ 'Aбía $\pi \alpha \rho о \iota \kappa о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$, ov̂S
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again, may learn by heart the enmity which stands from of old between us and them, and that we, admiring the valour of those who were in the war against Troy, may conceive a passion for like deeds.

So it seems to me that the motives which summon us to enter upon a war against them are many indeed; but chief among them is the present opportunity, which we must not throw away ; for it is disgraceful to neglect a chance when it is present and regret it when it is past. Indeed, what further advantage could we desire to have on our side when contemplating a war against the King beyond those which are now at hand ? Are not Egypt ${ }^{a}$ and Cyprus ${ }^{b}$ in revolt against him? Have not Phoenicia and Syria ${ }^{c}$ been devastated because of the war? Has not Tyre, on which he set great store, been seized by his foes? Of the cities in Cilicia, the greater number are held by those who side with us and the rest are not difficult to acquire. Lycia ${ }^{d}$ no Persian has ever subdued. Hecatomnus, the viceroy of Caria, has in reality been disaffected for a long time now, ${ }^{e}$ and will openly declare himself whenever we wish. From Cnidus to Sinope ${ }^{f}$ the coast of Asia is settled by Hellenes, and these we need not to persuade to go to war-all we have to do is not to restrain them. With such bases at our command for the operation of our forces, and with so widespread a war threatening Asia on every side, why, then, need we examine too closely what the outcome will be? For since the barbarians are unequal to

Black Sea; a line drawn from Cnidus to Sinope cuts off Asia Minor from Asia. The expression "from Cnidus to Sinope" was a catch-phrase.
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 $\nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s$ катá $\sigma \chi \eta$ $\tau a ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \eta, ~$

 'Pódos каi इá $\mu$ оs каi Xíos, є́тì тàs є̇кєívov $\tau v ́ \chi a s$



 каi $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i \alpha \nu$ тоьєîo $\theta \alpha \iota ~ \delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \iota \beta \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$, ìva $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \rho \beta \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu$ каi $\pi \rho о є ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$
 є́乡òv aủ $\tau o \imath ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s ~ \delta \iota a \beta a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \eta ้ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu$

 ö $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \iota s \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma \nu s ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ́ \pi \omega \nu$



 à $\gamma \hat{\omega} \nu a s^{\cdot} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{s} \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\nu \sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu, \epsilon \in \xi$ á $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ фv$\lambda a \xi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, каі $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ф $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \eta ̀ \nu$

 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \omega$ ס́́v$\nu \mu \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$
${ }^{a}$ In the Persian Wars.
${ }^{6}$ The Ionians in Asia Minor. See Herod. v. 103.
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small divisions of the Hellenes, it is not hard to foresee what would be their plight if they should be forced into a war against our united forces.

But this is how the matter stands: If the barbarian strengthens his hold on the cities of the coast by stationing in them larger garrisons than he has there now, perhaps those of the islands which lie near the mainland, as, for example, Rhodes and Samos and Chios, might incline to his side ; but if we get possession of them first, we may expect that the populations of Lydia and Phrygia and of the rest of the up-country will be in the power of our forces operating from those positions. Therefore we must be quick and not waste time, in order that we may not repeat the experience of our fathers. ${ }^{a}$ For they, because they took the field later than the barbarians and had to abandon some of their allies, ${ }^{b}$ were compelled to encounter great numbers with a small force; whereas, if they had crossed over to the continent in time to be first on the ground, having with them the whole strength of Hellas, they could have subdued each of the nations there in turn. For experience has shown that when you go to war with people who are gathered together from many places, you must not wait until they are upon you, but must strike while they are still scattered. Now our fathers, having made this mistake at the outset, entirely retrieved it only after engaging in the most perilous of struggles ; but we, if we are wise, shall guard against it from the beginning, and endeavour to be the first to quarter an army in the region of Lydia and Ionia, knowing that the King holds sway over the people of the continent, not because they are his willing subjects, but because
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 ßacı入єías $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i v, \ddot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ огías á $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \in i ̂ v$.




 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ как $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta}, \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \eta ु \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$
 $\epsilon \xi \epsilon v \rho \eta \eta_{\kappa} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$, то入є́ $\mu$ оvs каi $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu$ av̉тоîs





 $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau a i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \mu \phi o \rho a i ̂ s ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ пoı $\tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \gamma$ -




 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i$ катаү $\epsilon \lambda a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota a v, ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi i ́ a s ~ a ̀ v \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ódvpoi-
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he has surrounded himself with a force which is greater than any of those which they severally possess. So whenever we transport thither a force stronger than his, which we can easily do if we so will, we shall enjoy in security the resources of all Asia. Moreover, it is much more glorious to fight against the King for his empire than to contend against each other for the hegemony.

It were well to make the expedition in the present generation, in order that those who have shared in our misfortunes may also benefit by our advantages and not continue all their days in wretchedness. For sufficient is the time that is past, filled as it has been with every form of horror ; ${ }^{a}$ for many as are the ills which are incident to the nature of man, we have ourselves invented more than those which necessity lays upon us, by engendering wars and factions among ourselves; and, in consequence, some are being put to death contrary to law in their own countries, others are wandering with their women and children in strange lands, and many, compelled through lack of the necessities of life to enlist in foreign armies, ${ }^{b}$ are being slain, fighting for their foes against their friends.

Against these ills no one has ever protested ; and people are not ashamed to weep over the calamities which have been fabricated by the poets, while they view complacently the real sufferings, the many terrible sufferings, which result from our state of war ; and they are so far from feeling pity that they even rejoice more in each other's sorrows than in their own blessings. But perhaps many might even laugh at my simplicity if I should lament the misfortunes of individual men, in times like these, when
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 $\kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu o \iota s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i v$.
Єav $\mu a ́ \zeta \omega \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ є̇v $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$,



 à $\phi \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \circ v s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \circ v$ то̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s$






 $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \epsilon \lambda o i ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \nu \nu$.





 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v o \mu \epsilon \nu$ тov̀s каєроѝs є̇v oîs àขท́кєбтóv $\tau \iota$


${ }^{a}$ By Dionysius I. See Diodor. xiv. 106 ff .
${ }^{5}$ The Sicilian cities, Selinus, Agrigentum, and Himera, were surrendered to the Carthaginians by Dionysius. See Diodor. xiii. 114.
${ }^{c}$ By the Treaty of Antalcidas.
${ }^{d}$ The same complaint against the leading statesmen is made in Epist. ix. 8.
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Italy has been laid waste, ${ }^{a}$ when Sicily has been enslaved, ${ }^{b}$ when such mighty cities have been given over to the barbarians, ${ }^{c}$ and when the remaining portions of the Hellenic race are in the gravest peril.

I am amazed at those who hold power in our states, ${ }^{d}$ if they think that they have occasion to be proud when they have never been able either to propose or to conceive a remedy for a situation so momentous; for they ought, if they had been worthy of their present reputation, to have dropped all else, and have proposed measures and given counsel about our war against the barbarians. Perhaps they might have helped us to get something done; but even if they had given up before gaining their object, they would, at any rate, have left to us their words as oracles for the future. But as things are, those who are held in highest honour are intent on matters of little consequence, and have left it to us, who stand aloof from public life, ${ }^{e}$ to advise on matters of so great moment.

Nevertheless, the more faint-hearted our leading men happen to be, the more vigorously must the rest of us look to the means by which we shall deliver ourselves from our present discord. For as matters now stand, it is in vain that we make our treaties of peace ; for we do not settle our wars, but only postpone them and wait for the opportune moment when we shall have the power to inflict some irreparable disaster upon each other.

We must clear from our path these treacherous
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 [77] каi $\rho$ ádıos ó $\lambda a ́ \gamma o s ~ o ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu . ~ o u ̈ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$


 каi $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta v ́ v o v s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a u ̀ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \omega ́ \mu ~ \mu \epsilon a . ~$
 то̀v ßíov $\mathfrak{\eta \mu} \mu \nu \quad$ à $\alpha \iota \rho \in \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta=, \hat{\eta}$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ є́таıрías
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 $\kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ́ s, ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i ́ a \iota s$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota} \delta^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$.


 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu$ "ै $\sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$, $\dot{\omega} \delta \iota \iota$ ' $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu о \nu \tau \nu \chi o v ̂-$ $\sigma a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a u ̀ \tau o \nu o \mu i ́ a s ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta s, ~ a i ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ́ к \delta \epsilon \delta о \mu ' ́ v a \iota ~$
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designs and pursue that course of action which will enable us to dwell in our several cities with greater security and to feel greater confidence in each other. What I have to say on these points is simple and easy : It is not possible for us to cement an enduring peace unless we join together in a war against the barbarians, nor for the Hellenes to attain to concord until we wrest our material advantages from one and the same source and wage our wars against one and the same enemy. ${ }^{a}$ When these conditions have been realized, and when we have been freed from the poverty which afflicts our lives-a thing that breaks up friendships, perverts the affections of kindred into enmity, and plunges the whole world into war and strife ${ }^{b}$-then surely we shall enjoy a spirit of concord, and the good will which we shall feel towards each other will be genuine. For all these reasons, we must make it our paramount duty to transfer the war with all speed from our boundaries to the continent, since the only benefit which we can reap from the wars which we have waged against each other is by resolving that the experience which we have gained from them shall be employed against the barbarians.

But is it not well, you may perhaps ask, on account of the Treaty, ${ }^{c}$ to curb ourselves and not be over-hasty or make the expedition too soon, seeing that the states which have gained their freedom through the Treaty feel grateful toward the King, because they believe that it was through him that they gained their independence, while those states which have been delivered over to the barbarians complain very bitterly of the Lacedaemonians and only less bitterly of the other Hellenes who entered
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into the peace, because, in their view, they were forced by them into slavery? But, I reply, is it not our duty to annul this agreement, which has given birth to such a sentiment-the sentiment that the barbarian cares tenderly for Hellas, and stands guard over her peace, while among ourselves are to be found those who outrage and evilly entreat her? The crowning absurdity of all, however, is the fact that among the articles which are written in the agreement it is only the worst which we guard and observe. For those which guarantee the independence of the islands and of the cities in Europe have long since been broken and are dead letters on the pillars, ${ }^{a}$ while those which bring shame upon us and by which many of our allies have been given over to the enemy-these remain intact, and we all regard them as binding upon us, though we ought to have expunged them and not allowed them to stand a single day, looking upon them as commands, and not as compacts ; for who does not know that a compact is something which is fair and impartial to both parties, while a command is something which puts one side at a disadvantage unjustly? On this ground we may justly complain of our envoys who negotiated this peace, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ because, although dispatched by the Hellenes, they made the Treaty in the interest of the barbarians. For they ought, no matter whether they took the view that each of the states concerned should retain its original territory, or that each should extend its sovereignty over all that it had acquired by conquest, or that we should each retain control over what we held when peace was declared-they ought, I say, to have adopted definitely some one of these views, applying the principle impartially to all,
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 $\tau \hat{\eta}{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s ~ \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta ́ к а \sigma \iota \nu . ~$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ бíкך $\lambda \eta \psi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha}$
 $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ тồs $\beta$ арßápoıs oiкє́таıs ả乡ıồv $\chi \rho \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\delta \eta \mu о \sigma i a ̨ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тoбov́тovs $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho เ o \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$

> a Compare the boast of Xerxes in Herod. vii. 8. $b$ See Panath. 107.
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and on this basis to have drafted the articles of the Treaty. But instead of that, they assigned no honour whatsoever to our city or to Lacedaemon, while they set up the barbarian as lord of all Asia; as if we had gone to war for his sake, or as if the rule of the Persians had been long established, and we were only just now founding our cities-whereas in fact it is they who have only recently attained this place of honour, while Athens and Lacedaemon have been throughout their entire history a power among the Hellenes.

I think, however, that I shall show still more clearly both the dishonour which we have suffered, and the advantage which the King has gained by putting the matter in this way: All the world which lies beneath the firmament being divided into two parts, the one called Asia, the other Europe, he has taken half of it by the Treaty, as if he were apportioning the earth with Zeus, ${ }^{a}$ and not making compacts with men. Yes, and he has compelled us to engrave this Treaty on pillars of stone and place it in our public temples ${ }^{b}$ a trophy far more glorious for him than those which are set up on fields of battle; for the latter are for minor deeds and a single success, but this treaty stands as a memorial of the entire war and of the humiliation of the whole of Hellas.

These things may well rouse our indignation and make us look to the means by which we shall take vengeance for the past and set the future right. For verily it is shameful for us, who in our private life think the barbarians are fit only to be used as household slaves, to permit by our public policy so many of our allies to be enslaved by them; and it is disgraceful
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 $\pi о \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta \iota$, то̂̂s $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho i \omega \nu \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda o v s$ $\pi \lambda o v ́ \tau o v s$ кат $\kappa \tau \eta$ そ́ $\alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.

 $\gamma \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau i ́ v a s ~ \chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ тoùs $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \iota \hat{\alpha} S$
 бкотойעтаs; ои̉ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ к а к \hat{\omega s ~}$



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota ~ \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ; ~ o v ̀ ~ \tau o \imath ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon i \zeta o v s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~$



 фє́ $\rho о \nu \tau о s ~ \epsilon ̇ v \theta \nu \mu o v \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ; ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~$ 236
for us, when our fathers who engaged in the Trojan expedition because of the rape of one woman, all shared so deeply in the indignation of the wronged that they did not stop waging war until they had laid in ruins the city of him who had dared to commit the crime,-it is disgraceful for us, I say, now that all Hellas is being continually outraged, to take not a single step to wreak a common vengeance, although we have it in our power to accomplish deeds as lofty as our dreams. For this war is the only war which is better than peace ; it will be more like a sacred mission than a military expedition ; and it will profit equally both those who crave the quiet life and those who are eager for war ; for it will enable the former to reap the fruits of their own possessions in security and the latter to win great wealth from the possessions of our foes.

You will find, if you weigh the matter carefully, that this undertaking is most desirable for us from many points of view. For against whom, pray, ought men to wage war who crave no aggrandizement, but look to the claims of justice alone? Is it not against those who in the past have injured Hellas, and are now plotting against her, and have always been so disposed towards us? And against whom should we expect men to direct their envy who, while not wholly lacking in courage, yet curb this feeling with prudence? Is it not against those who have compassed powers that are too great for man, and yet are less deserving than those who are unfortunate among us? And against whom should those take the field who both desire to serve their gods and are at the same time intent on their own advantage? Is it not against those who are both their natural
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 ката入ıтє $\bar{v}$;
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 $\tau \hat{\oplus} \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \iota ~ \kappa а i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a ̀ s ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ 入óyov. тóт $\epsilon$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{้}{\omega} \mu \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \xi i \omega_{s} \delta v \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$


## PANEGYRICUS, 184-187

enemies and their hereditary foes, who have acquired the greatest possessions and are yet, of all men, the least able to defend them? Do not the Persians, then, fulfil all these conditions?

Furthermore, we shall not even trouble the several states by levying soldiers from them-a practice which now in our warfare against each other they find most burdensome. For it is my belief that those who will be inclined to remain at home will be far fewer than those who will be eager to join this army. For who, be he young or old, is so indolent that he will not desire to have a part in this expedition-an expedition led by the Athenians and the Lacedaemonians, gathered together in the cause of the liberty of our allies, dispatched by all Greece, and faring forth to wreak vengeance on the barbarians? And how great must we think will be the name and the fame and the glory which they will enjoy during their lives, or, if they die in battle, will leave behind them-they who will have won the meed of honour in such an enterprise? For if those who made war against an Alexander ${ }^{a}$ and took a single city were accounted worthy of such praise, what encomiums should we expect these men to win who have conquered the whole of Asia? For who that is skilled to sing or trained to speak will not labour and study in his desire to leave behind a memorial both of his own genius and of their valour, for all time to come?

I am not at the present moment of the same mind as I was at the beginning of my speech. For then I thought that I should be able to speak in a manner worthy of my theme; now, however, I have not risen to its grandeur, and many of the thoughts which I had
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 à $\alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ aïтıoı $\delta o ́ \xi o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota . ~$
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## PANEGYRICUS, 187-189

in mind to utter have escaped me. Therefore you must come to my aid and try to picture to yourselves what vast prosperity we should attain if we should turn the war which now involves ourselves against the peoples of the continent, and bring the prosperity of Asia across to Europe. And you must not depart to your homes as men who have merely listened to an oration; nay, those among you who are men of action must exhort one another to try to reconcile our city with Lacedaemon; and those among you who make claims to eloquence must stop composing orations on "deposits," $a$ or on the other trivial themes ${ }^{b}$ which now engage your efforts, and centre your rivalry on this subject and study how you may surpass me in speaking on the same question, bearing ever in mind that it does not become men who promise great things to waste their time on little things, ${ }^{c}$ nor yet to make the kind of speeches which will improve no whit the lives of those whom they convince, but rather the kind which, if carried out in action, will both deliver the authors themselves from their present distress ${ }^{d}$ and win for them the credit of bringing to pass great blessings for the rest of the world. ${ }^{e}$
neglect of worthy themes. In general, he seems here to be thinking of such rhetorical tours de force as Lucian caricatures in his Encomium on the House Fly.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ This very complaint he makes of his rival sophists. See Against the Sophists, 1, 10.
${ }^{d}$ Not too urbanely he dwells upon the poverty of his rivals. Cf. Against the Sophists, 4, 7.
e The kind of discourse to which Isocrates himself devoted his serious efforts. See Panath. 11 and General Introd. p. xxiv.
v. TO PHILIP

## INTRODUCTION

The Address to Philip was written in 346 b.c., shortly after the ten years' war between Athens and Philip over the possession of Amphipolis was concluded by the Peace of Philocrates. ${ }^{a}$ Isocrates hailed the peace with enthusiasm. He had been actively opposed to the war-party in Athens, ${ }^{b}$ believing that the true interests of Athens and of Philip lay in mutual friendship. He was, in fact, engaged in the composition of a discourse urging this point of view and arguing, somcwhat paradoxically, that the possession of Amphipolis was equally detrimental to both parties to the quarrel, when the declaration of peace interrupted his labours. This discourse was never finished; and, save for the brief digest of it with which Isocrates opens his Address to Philip, was never published. The circumstances which inspired it having been happily brought to an end, he turned his thoughts to the greater question of how the state of peace might be made permanent and the curse of mutual warfare removed once for all from Hellas. He was free to indulge again his dream of panHellenism.

The years which had passed since he wrote the
${ }^{a}$ On this peace see Grote, Hist. of Greece (new edition), xi. p. 199.
${ }^{\circ}$ See General Introd. p. xli.
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## TO PHILIP

Panegyricus had disabused his mind of the illusion that any Greek state could reconcile the Greeks and unite them in any cause. ${ }^{a}$ But the career of Philip -his personal force, his proved military genius, his Hellenic sympathies, and his declared ambition to be captain-general of the Greeks in a war against Persia ${ }^{b}$ -awakened in Isocrates the hope that the powerful king of Macedonia might accomplish this union and lead the Greeks into the promised land. That hope had remained unvoiced during the war with Philip; but now that hostilities had ceased, Isocrates addressed to him a call to action in this greater cause.

The Address to Philip is the plea of the Panegyricus over again, but with this great difference-Athens, urged to crown her glorious past with the greater glory of leadership in this crusade, is no longer in the picture. ${ }^{c}$
$a$ See General Introd. p. xxxvii.
e Ibid. p. xlii.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Ibid. p. xli.

## ФІКІППОะ

[82] M̀̀ $\theta a v \mu a ́ \sigma \eta s, ~ \hat{\omega}$ Фì $\lambda \iota \pi \pi \epsilon, \delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \pi o \iota \eta ́-~$ бона兀 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta ̀ \nu$ ova $\tau о \hat{v} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \grave{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\rho} \eta \theta \eta \sigma o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ к \alpha i$
 по́дєшs үрафє́vтоs. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ о仑̂ $\mu \iota к р а ̀ ~ \beta о и ́ \lambda о \mu а \iota ~ \pi \rho о-~$

 $\nu v ิ \nu \mu о \iota \pi \alpha \rho о v ́ \sigma \eta s$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \eta \nu$ र $\rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ тòv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \grave{\epsilon}$

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad$ 'А $\mu \phi \iota \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ как $\omega \bar{\nu}$ аїтıо $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$, '̇ $\pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon i \rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$


 $3 \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau o \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \quad \tau \hat{\eta} S$ тoú $\tau \omega \nu$ סıavoías. oû̃o८ $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \rho \omega ́ \xi v \nu o \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu, ~ \sigma v \nu а \gamma o-$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ar $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ out $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ar $\pi \epsilon \phi a \iota \nu o ́ \mu \eta \nu$, ôv $\delta^{\prime}$


a Amphipolis, a city in Macedonia near the mouth of the Strymon river, conquered and colonized by Athenians in 437 b.c. It was taken by Philip in 358 b.c., but the war with Athens was delayed until Philip seized Potidaea, 356 в.c.
${ }^{b}$ Isocrates had now passed his ninetieth birthday. 246

## V. TO PHILIP

Do not be surprised, Philip, that I am going to begin, not with the discourse which is to be addressed to you and which is presently to be brought to your attention, but with that which I have written about Amphipolis. ${ }^{a}$ For I desire to say a few words, by way of preface, about this question, in order that I may make it clear to you as well as to the rest of the world that it was not in a moment of folly that I undertook to write my address to you, nor because I am under any misapprehension as to the infirmity ${ }^{b}$ which now besets me, but that I was led advisedly and deliberately to this resolution.

For when I saw that the war in which you and our city had become involved over Amphipolis was proving the source of many evils, I endeavoured to express opinions regarding this city and territory which, so far from being the same as those entertained by your friends, or by the orators among us, were as far as possible removed from their point of view. For they were spurring you on to the war by seconding your covetousness, while I, on the contrary, expressed no opinion whatever on the points in controversy, but occupied myself with a plea which I conceived to be more than all others conducive to peace, maintaining that both you and the Athenians were mistaken about the real state of affairs, and

## ISOCRATES
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 av่тท̂s, каi $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \epsilon ข ้ v o \iota a \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$

 $\delta \nu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i a \nu \quad a \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \nu)$, тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
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## TO PHILIP, 3-6

that you were fighting in support of our interests, and our city in support of your power ; for it was to your advantage, I urged, that we should possess the territory of Amphipolis, while it was in no possible way to our advantage to acquire it. Yes, and I so impressed my hearers by my statement of the case that not one of them thought of applauding my oratory or the finish and the purity of my style, as some are wont to do, but instead they marvelled at the truth of my arguments, and were convinced that only on certain conditions could you and the Athenians be made to cease from your contentious rivalry. In the first place, you, for your part, will have to be persuaded that the friendship of our city would be worth more to you than the revenues which you derive from Amphipolis, while Athens will have to learn, if she can, the lesson that she should avoid planting the kind of colonies ${ }^{a}$ which have been the ruin, four or five times over, of those domiciled in them, and should seek out for colonization the regions which are far distant from peoples which have a capacity for dominion and near those which have been habituated to subjection-such a region as, for example, that in which the Lacedaemonians established the colony of Cyrene. ${ }^{b}$ In the next place, you will have to realize that by formally surrendering this territory to us you would in fact still hold it in your power, and would, besides, gain our good will, for you would then have as many hostages of ours to guarantee our friendship as we should send out settlers into the region of your influence; while someone will have to make our own people see that, if we got possession of Amphipolis, we should be compelled to maintain the same

## ISOCRATES
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## TO PHILIP, 6-9

friendly attitude toward your policy, because of our colonists there, as we did for the elder Amadocus ${ }^{a}$ because of our landholders in the Chersonese.

As I continued to say many things of this tenor, those who heard me were inspired with the hope that when my discourse should be published you and the Athenians would bring the war to an end, and, having conquered your pride, would adopt some policy for your mutual good. Whether indeed they were foolish or sensible in taking this view is a question for which they, and not I, may fairly be held to account ; but in any case, while I was still occupied with this endeavour, you and Athens anticipated me by making peace before I had completed my discourse; and you were wise in doing so, for to conclude the peace, no matter how, was better than to continue to be oppressed by the evils engendered by the war. But although I was in joyful accord with the resolutions which were adopted regarding the peace, and was convinced that they would be beneficial, not only to us, but also to you and all the other Hellenes, I could not divorce my thought from the possibilities connected with this step, but found myself in a state of mind where I began at once to consider how the results which had been achieved might be made permanent for us, and how our city could be prevented from setting her heart upon further wars, after a short interval of peace. ${ }^{b}$ As I kept going over these questions in my own thoughts, I found that on no other condition could Athens remain at peace, unless the greatest states of Hellas should resolve to put an end to their mutual quarrels and carry the war beyond our borders into Asia, and should determine to wrest
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## TO PHILIP, 9-13

from the barbarians the advantages which they now think it proper to get for themselves at the expense of the Hellenes. This was, in fact, the course which I had already advocated in the Panegyric discourse. ${ }^{a}$

Having pondered on these matters and come to the conclusion that there could never be found a subject nobler than this, of more general appeal, or of greater profit to us all, I was moved to write upon it a second time. Yet I did not fail to appreciate my own deficiencies; I knew that this theme called for a man, not of my years, but in the full bloom of his vigour and with natural endowments far above those of other men; and I realized also that it is difficult to deliver two discourses with tolerable success upon the same subject, especially when the one which was first published was so written that even my detractors imitate and admire it more than do those who praise it to excess. Nevertheless, disregarding all these difficulties, I have become so ambitious in my old age that I have determined by addressing my discourse to you at the same time to set an example to my disciples and make it evident to them that to burden our national assemblies with oratory and to address all the people who there throng together is, in reality, to address no one at all ; ${ }^{b}$ that such speeches are quite as ineffectual as the legal codes and constitutions ${ }^{c}$ drawn up by the sophists ; and, finally, that those who desire, not to chatter empty nonsense, but to further some practical purpose, and those who think they have hit upon
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 $\sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ סvva $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$
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 $\mu o ́ v a ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu$ öv $\tau \omega \nu$ каi $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi $\beta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon ́-$ $\phi \nu \kappa \epsilon \nu$. $\hat{\omega} \nu$ оípą каi $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ р $\eta \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \delta \epsilon \eta \eta^{\prime}-$ $16 \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ रáp $\sigma o \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon$ v́єє $\nu$ т $\pi \rho \sigma \tau \tau \eta ิ \nu a \iota$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \omega \nu$ ó $\mu о \nu o i ́ a s ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~$
 тov̀s "E ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta \nu a s ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o v, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \beta ı a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$



${ }^{\text {a }}$ See 127 and General Introd. p. xlii.

## TO PHILIP, 13-17

some plan for the common good, must leave it to others to harangue at the public festivals, but must themselves win over someone to champion their cause from among men who are capable not only of speech but of action and who occupy a high position in the world-if, that is to say, they are to command any attention.

It was with this mind that I chose to address to you what I have to say-not that I singled you out to curry your favour, although in truth I would give much to speak acceptably to you. It was not, however, with this in view that I came to my decision, but rather because I saw that all the other men of high repute were living under the control of polities and laws, ${ }^{a}$ with no power to do anything save what was prescribed, and that, furthermore, they were sadly unequal to the enterprise which I shall propose ; while you and you alone had been granted by fortune free scope both to send ambassadors to whomsoever you desire and to receive them from whomsoever you please, and to say whatever you think expedient ; and that, besides, you, beyond any of the Hellenes, were possessed of both wealth and power, which are the only things in the world that are adapted at once to persuade and to compel; and these aids, I think, even the cause which I shall propose to you will need to have on its side. For I am going to advise you to champion the cause of concord among the Hellenes and of a campaign against the barbarian; and as persuasion will be helpful in dealing with the Hellenes, so compulsion will be useful in dealing with the barbarians. This, then, is the general scope of my discourse.

But I must not shrink from telling you plainly of
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## TO PHILIP, 17-20

the discouragements I met with from some of my associates; for I think the tale will be somewhat to my purpose. When I disclosed to them my intention of sending you an address whose aim was, not to make a display, nor to extol the wars which you have carried on-for others will do this-but to attempt to urge you to a course of action which is more in keeping with your nature, and more noble and more profitable than any which you have hitherto elected to follow, they were so dismayed, fearing that because of my old age I had parted with my wits, that they ventured to take me to task-a thing which up to that time they had not been wont to do-insisting that I was applying myself to an absurd and exceedingly senseless undertaking. "Think of it!" they said. "You are about to send an address which is intended to offer advice to Philip, a man who, even if in the past he regarded himself as second to anyone in prudence, cannot now fail, because of the magnitude of his fortunes, to think that he is better able than all others to advise himself! More than that, he has about him the ablest men in Macedonia, who, however inexperienced they may be in other matters, are likely to know better than you do what is expedient for him. Furthermore, you will find that there are many Hellenes living in his country, who are not unknown to fame or lacking in intelligence, but men by sharing whose counsel he has not diminished his kingdom but has, on the contrary, accomplished deeds which match his dreams. For what is lacking to complete his success? Has he not converted the Thessalians, whose power formerly extended over Macedonia, into an attitude so friendly to him that every Thessalian has more confidence in him than in

## ISOCRATES

$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ グ $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \mu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ; ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma$ íaıs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a र ् v \tau o ̂ ~ \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \chi i ́ a \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \alpha \iota, ~$
 21 тоїкєข; Ма́ ${ }^{2} \nu \eta \tau \alpha s$ бє̀ каі Пєрраъßо̀̀s каі Паіоvas катє́бтраттаl, каi тávтаs úтŋкóovs aủzoùs






 $22 \mu \epsilon \omega s$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ aíтô̂ $\delta \iota a \nu o i a s ; " ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$ ’̉коv́баs $\omega_{s} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ тò $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о \nu$ є $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \eta \nu$, каi $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \omega ́ s$



 $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \xi \alpha{ }^{i} \mu \circ \iota \tau о \lambda \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha, \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ i $\tau \pi \epsilon \sigma \chi o ́ \mu \eta \nu$






 сiтávт $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, $\omega \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma о v \nu ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~$


[^104]
## TO PHILIP, 20-23

his own fellow-countrymen? And as to the cities which are in that region, has he not drawn some of them by his benefactions into an alliance with him ; and others, which sorely tried him, has he not razed to the ground? Has he not overthrown the Magnesians and the Perrhaebians and the Paeonians, and taken them all under his yoke? Has he not made himself lord and ruler of most of the Illyriansall save those who dwell along the Adriatic? Has he not set over all Thrace such masters as he pleased ? ${ }^{a}$ Do you not, then, think that the man who has achieved such great things will pronounce the sender of this pamphlet a great simpleton, and will consider that he was utterly deluded both as to the power of his words and his own insight?" Now, how on hearing these words I was at first dumbfounded, and how later, after I had recovered myself, I replied to each of their objections, I will forbear to relate, lest I should appear in the eyes of some to be too wellsatisfied with the clever manner in which I met their attack. But, at any rate, after I had first rebuked with moderation, as I persuaded myself, those who had made bold to criticize me, I finally assured them that I would show the speech to no one else in the city but them, and that I would do nothing regarding it other than what they should approve. On hearing this they went their way, I know not in what state of mind. I only know that when, not many days later, the speech was completed and presented to them, they so completely reversed their attitude that they were ashamed of their former presumption and repented of all they had said, acknowledging that they had never been so mistaken about anything in all their lives. They were, in fact, more insistent than I

## ISOCRATES

Sє̀ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \ddot{\eta}$ ' $\gamma \grave{\omega} \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a i ́ ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o \nu, ~$

 тov̀s "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha s$ ä ${ }^{\circ} \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s$.
24 Tov́tov $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \in \kappa \alpha ́$ бo८ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \delta \iota \hat{\imath} \lambda \theta o v$, ¿v’ ä้ $\tau i$
 $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ठvvaт兀̀v $\ddot{\eta} \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \sigma \nu$ бo८ $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \mu \grave{\eta} \delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \alpha ́-$


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$. oî $\mu \iota \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ढ’ $\rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $25 \delta \epsilon o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ боі $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho о ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. каїтоє $\mu$ ’ ои̉



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \iota \nu ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \rho \gamma о \lambda a \beta i a \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \theta a \iota . ~$





 $\pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ єै $\rho \eta \mu$ оs $\gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ каi $\gamma \nu \mu \nu o ́ s$,
 $\hat{\eta} \theta o s \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \eta \mu a \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s \quad \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \quad \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \iota \theta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$,
 $\stackrel{a}{a} \pi \epsilon \rho$ каi $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \cup ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu \quad \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \quad a ̈ \nu$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf. Epist. i. 2-3. See Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. p. 67. 260

## TO PHILIP, 23-27

that this speech should be sent to you, and prophesied that not only would you and Athens be grateful to me for what I had said but all Hellas as well.

My purpose in recounting all this is that if, in what I say at the beginning, anything strikes you as incredible, or impracticable, or unsuitable for you to carry out, you may not be prejudiced and turn away from the rest of my discourse, and that you may not repeat the experience of my friends, but may wait with an open mind until you hear to the end all that I have to say. For I think that I shall propose something which is in line with both your duty and your advantage. And yet I do not fail to realize what a great difference there is in persuasiveness between discourses which are spoken and those which are to be read, and that all men have assumed that the former are delivered on subjects which are important and urgent, while the latter are composed for display and personal gain. ${ }^{a}$ And this is a natural conclusion; for when a discourse is robbed of the prestige of the speaker, the tones of his voice, the variations which are made in the delivery, and, besides, of the advantages of timeliness and keen interest in the subject matter; when it has not a single accessory to support its contentions and enforce its plea, but is deserted and stripped of all the aids which I have mentioned; and when someone reads it aloud without persuasiveness and without putting any personal feeling into it, but as though he were repeating a table of figures,-in these circumstances it is natural, I think, that it should make an indifferent impression upon its hearers. And these are the very circumstances which may detract most seriously also from the discourse which is now presented to you

## ISOCRATES


 токкı入íaıs кєкобнйканєн aủzóv，aîs av̇тós $\tau \epsilon$
 $\delta \iota^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o v ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ \tilde{\eta} \delta i o u s ~ a ̈ \nu ~ a ̈ \mu a ~ к а i ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau о т \epsilon ́ \rho o u s ~$
 ar $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ar $\pi o ́ \chi \rho \eta ~ \mu o \iota ~ \tau о \sigma o v ̂ \tau o v, ~ そ ̆ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota S ~$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \eta_{\kappa} \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ar $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \tau \iota \tau \alpha v^{-}$ pals $\mu o ́ v a l s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ v o ̂ v \nu . ~ о u ̛ \tau \omega ~ \delta ' ~ a ̈ \nu ~ a ̉ \kappa \rho \iota-~$ Вє́бтата каi ка́入入ıота $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \prime \sigma \epsilon \iota a s ~ \epsilon і ̈ ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau v \gamma-$







 $\pi \epsilon \rho i \alpha v ่ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 є́वтiv．$\quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \delta^{\prime}$ ave $\frac{\hat{\omega} \nu}{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \eta ้ \delta \eta$

$\Phi_{\eta \mu i} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ र $\rho \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha i \quad \sigma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \bar{\epsilon} \nu \quad i \delta i ́ \omega \nu \quad \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s$

 $\Theta \eta \beta a i ́ \omega \nu$ каі $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ ．پ̈ $\nu$ रà $\rho$ таúтаs

[^105]
## TO PHILIP, 27-30

and cause it to impress you as a very indifferent performance ; the more so since I have not adorned it with the rhythmic flow and manifold graces of style which I myself employed when I was younger ${ }^{a}$ and taught by example to others as a means by which they might make their oratory more pleasing and at the same time more convincing. For I have now no longer any capacity for these things because of my years; it is enough for me if I can only set before you in a simple manner the actual facts. And I think it becomes you also to ignore all else and give your attention to the facts alone. But you will be in the best position to discover with accuracy whether there is any truth in what I say if you put aside the prejudices ${ }^{b}$ which are held against the sophists and against speeches which are composed to be read, and take them up one by one in your thought and scrutinize them, not making it a casual task, nor one to be attacked in a spirit of indifference, but with the close reasoning and love of knowledge which it is common report that you also share. ${ }^{c}$ For if you will conduct your inquiry with these aids instead of relying upon the opinion of the masses, you will form a sounder judgement about such discourses.

This, then, completes what I wanted to say by way of introduction. I shall now proceed with the subject in hand.

I affirm that, without neglecting any of your own interests, you ought to make an effort to reconcile Argos and Lacedaemon and Thebes and Athens; ${ }^{d}$
c " Isocrates addressed him (Philip) as a friend of letters and philosophy: a reputation which his choice of Aristotle as an instructor of his son, Alexander, tends to bear out" (Grote, Hist. xi. p. 325).
${ }^{d}$ The leading states. Cf. Paneg. 64.

## ISOCRATES

$\sigma v \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \delta v \nu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} s$, ov $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} s$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} s{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{s}$



 $\phi \rho о \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma \eta s, \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \alpha s ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ как $\bar{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$.

 тov̀s $\sigma o v ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o \gamma o ́ v o v s \cdot ~ \epsilon र ् v \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ є́кá $\sigma \tau \eta \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$
 aן $\chi o v ́ \sigma a s . ~ " A \rho \gamma o s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon v ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau i ́ ~ \sigma o \imath ~ \pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ s, ~} \hat{\eta} s$

 $\tau \circ \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu o v s$ v́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ каi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma o ́ \delta o \iota s ~ к а i ~$ та̂̂s $\theta v \sigma i ́ a \iota s ~ \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v ~ \ddot{\eta}$ тoùs $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \lambda o u s . ~$
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu$ каi тìv $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu i ́ \alpha \nu \in i S$ á ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \tau \alpha$ то̀ $\nu$ [89] $\chi \rho o ́ v o \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \omega ́ \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \cdot \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon ̀ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu \phi \alpha \sigma i \nu$,


 ov̉ каıро́s), тоîS $\delta \in ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \iota \sigma i ̀ ~ \tau о i ̂ S ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa \epsilon i ́ v o v ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s . ~ . ~$ $34 \mu o ́ v \eta$ үà $\rho$ vi $\pi о \sigma \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$ тoùs $\mu \in \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau o v s ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ v o v s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$


[^106]
## TO PHILIP, 30-34

for if you can bring these cities together, you will not find it hard to unite the others as well ; for all the rest are under the protection of the aforesaid cities, and fly for refuge, when they are alarmed, to one or other of these powers, and they all draw upon them for succour. So that if you can persuade four cities only to take a sane view of things, you will deliver the others also from many evils.

Now you will realize that it is not becoming in you to disregard any of these cities if you will review their conduct in relation to your ancestors ; for you will find that each one of them is to be credited with great friendship and important services to your house: Argos is the land of your fathers, ${ }^{a}$ and is entitled to as much consideration at your hands as are your own ancestors; the Thebans honour the founder ${ }^{b}$ of your race, both by processionals and by sacrifices, ${ }^{c}$ beyond all the other gods ; the Lacedaemonians have conferred upon his descendants the kingship and the power of command ${ }^{d}$ for all time ; and as for our city, we are informed by those whom we credit in matters of ancient history that she aided Heracles to win his immortality ${ }^{e}$ (in what way you can easily learn at another time ; it would be unseasonable for me to relate it now), and that she aided his children to preserve their lives. ${ }^{f}$ Yes, Athens single-handed sustained the greatest dangers against the power of Eurystheus, put an end to his

[^107]
## ISOCRATES






 $\pi a \rho a ́ \pi \alpha \nu \nu$ vi $\pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \in \nu$ av่тoîs.
35 Toıoú $\omega \nu \nu$ oủv $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$


 $\pi \rho o ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ коьขà $\theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \delta i ́ \kappa \alpha \iota o ́ v ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu . ~$


 $\tau о \hat{v}$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇кєívaıs $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \pi о \imath \eta \kappa \omega ́ s$.

 $\tau \circ \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v} \pi \rho \circ \ddot{u} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$. ка入òv




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \epsilon i s{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda o v s \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$.



[^108]
## TO PHILIP, 34-37

insolence, and freed Heracles' sons from the fears by which they were continually beset. Because of these services we deserve the gratitude, not only of those who then were preserved from destruction, but also of those who are now living; for to us it is due both that they are alive and that they enjoy the blessings which are now theirs, since they never could have seen the light of day at all had not the sons of Heracles been preserved from death.

Therefore, seeing that these cities have each and all shown such a spirit, no quarrel should ever have arisen between you and any one of them. But unfortunately we are all prone by nature to do wrong more often than right ; and so it is fair to charge the mistakes of the past to our common weakness. Yet for the future you must be on your guard to prevent a like occurrence, and must consider what service you can render them which will make it manifest that you have acted in a manner worthy both of yourself and of what these cities have done. And the opportunity now serves you; for you would only be repaying the debt of gratitude which you owed them, but, because so much time has tlapsed, they will credit you with being first in friendly offices. And it is a good thing to have the appearance of conferring benefits upon the greatest states of Hellas and at the same time to profit yourself no less than them. But apart from this, if anything unpleasant has arisen between you and any of them, you will wipe it out completely; for friendly acts in the present crisis will make you forget the wrongs which you have done each other in the past. Yes, and this also is beyond question, that all men hold in fondest memory those benefits which they receive
 $\pi \omega ́ \rho \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ठià $\tau o ̀ v ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$, каi $\dot{\omega} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \omega s$



 $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \vec{\eta} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \grave{v} \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu a v ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} s$.
39 'Táx' ov̂v ă้ $\tau \iota s$ є̇v $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ \tau o \lambda-~$










 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov $\mu \phi \circ \rho \hat{\omega} \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ خं $\gamma \circ \hat{v} \mu \alpha \iota \pi o \lambda \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ à̀ $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \delta \mu о \lambda о \gamma \hat{\omega} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ äv $\delta v \nu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$

 ä $\lambda \lambda$ оıs $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \pi i \prime \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ סокоv́v $\tau \omega \nu$ єivaı каi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o ́ \xi \omega \nu$

[^109]in times of trouble. And you see how utterly wretched these states have become because of their warfare, and how like they are to men engaged in a personal encounter ; for no one can reconcile the parties to a quarrel while their wrath is rising; but after they have punished each other badly, they need no mediator, but separate of their own accord. And that is just what I think these states also will do unless you first take them in hand.

Now perhaps someone will venture to object to what I have proposed, saying that I am trying to persuade you to set yourself to an impossible task, since the Argives could never be friendly to the Lacedaemonians, nor the Lacedaemonians to the Thebans, and since, in general, those who have been accustomed throughout their whole existence to press their own selfish interests can never share and share alike with each other. Well, I myself do not believe that at the time when our city was the first power in Hellas, or again when Lacedaemon occupied that position, any such result could have been accomplished, ${ }^{a}$ since the one or the other of these two cities could easily have blocked the attempt ; but as things are now, I am not of the same mind regarding them. For I know that they have all been brought down to the same level by their misfortunes, and so I think that they would much prefer the mutual advantages which would come from a unity of purpose to the selfish gains which accrued from their policy in those days. ${ }^{b}$ Furthermore, while I grant that no one else in the world could reconcile these cities, yet nothing of the sort is difficult for you; for I see that you have carried through to a successful end many undertakings which the rest of the world looked upon as

## ISOCRATES

 таиิта $\mu o ́ v o s ~ \sigma v \sigma \tau \eta ิ \sigma a \iota ~ \delta v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta s . ~ \chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~$



 $\kappa \alpha i$ т $̀ \nu$ रv́vauıv є’ $\chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$.
 $\pi \rho a \chi \theta \hat{\eta} v a i ́ \tau \iota ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu, \epsilon i \quad \mu \eta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ av̉тoi $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu$












 ßaiovs, каi $\beta$ оидо $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \lambda \nu \mu \eta^{\prime} v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v$ Boı $\omega \tau i a \nu$



a The expression is loose. He means that the hatred for Persia under Xerxes changed to friendship under Artaxerxes when the Peace of Antalcidas was made. Cf. Sparta's " love" for Persia mentioned in Panath. 102, 103.
${ }^{\circ}$ Especially at the close of the Peloponnesian War. See Plataicus 31 ; Xen. Hell. iii. 5. 8.

## TO PHILIP, 41-44

hopeless and unthinkable, and therefore it would be nothing strange if you should be able single-handed to effect this union. In fact, men of high purposes and exceptional gifts ought not to undertake enterprises which any of the common run might carry out with success, but rather those which no one would attempt save men with endowments and power such as you possess.

But I marvel that those who think that none of these proposals could possibly be carried out are not aware, either by their own knowledge or by tradition, that there have been many terrible wars after which the participants have come to an understanding and rendered great services to one another. For what could exceed the enmity which the Hellenes felt toward Xerxes? Yet everyone knows that we and the Lacedaemonians came to prize his friendship ${ }^{a}$ more than that of those who helped us to establish our respective empires. But why speak of ancient history, or of our dealings with the barbarians? If one should scan and review the misfortunes of the Hellenes in general, these will appear as nothing in comparison with those which we Athenians have experienced through the Thebans and the Lacedaemonians. ${ }^{b}$ Nevertheless, when the Lacedaemonians took the field against the Thebans and were minded to humiliate Boeotia and break up the league of her cities, we sent a relief expedition ${ }^{c}$ and thwarted the desires of the Lacedaemonians. And again, when fortune shifted her favour ${ }^{d}$ and the Thebans and the

[^110]
## ISOCRATES

$\pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma i ́ \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \in \iota \rho \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \nu$
 $\mu$ óvo九 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ тою $\sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \chi i \alpha \nu ~ \sigma v \nu-$







 $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a \tau o u ̂ \nu \tau o s ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \alpha i ̂ s, ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu-$
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## TO PHILIP, 44-47

Peloponnesians were one and all trying to devastate Lacedaemon, we alone among the Hellenes formed an alliance ${ }^{a}$ with the Lacedaemonians and helped to save them from destruction. ${ }^{b}$ So then, seeing that such great reversals are wont to occur, and that our states care nothing about their former enmities or about their oaths or about anything else save what they conceive to be expedient for themselves, and that expediency is the sole object to which they give their affections and devote all their zeal, no man, unless obsessed by utter folly, could fail to believe that now also they will show the same disposition, especially if you take the lead in their reconciliation, while selfish interests urge and present ills constrain them to this course. I, for my part, believe that, with these influences fighting on your side, everything will turn out as it should.
But I think that you can get most light on the question whether these cities are inclined toward peace with each other or toward war, if I review, not merely in general terms nor yet with excessive detail, the principal facts in their present situation. And first of all, let us consider the condition of the Lacedaemonians.

The Lacedaemonians were the leaders of the Hellenes, ${ }^{c}$ not long ago, on both land and sea, and yet they suffered so great a reversal of fortune when they met defeat at Leuctra that they were deprived of their power over the Hellenes, and lost such of their warriors as chose to die rather than survive
twelve thousand to the rescue. See Peace 105; Xen. Hell. vi. 5 . 23 ff. ; Grote, Hist. x. pp. 89 ff.
c The hegemony of Sparta lasted from the battle of Aegospotami, 405 в.c., to the battle of Leuctra, 371 в.c.

## ISOCRATES
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## TO PHILIP, 48-50

defeat at the hands of those over whom they had once been masters. Furthermore, they were obliged to look on while all the Peloponnesians, who formerly had followed the lead of Lacedaemon against the rest of the world, united with the Thebans and invaded their territory; and against these the Lacedaemonians were compelled to risk battle, not in the country to save the crops, but in the heart of the city, ${ }^{a}$ before the very seat of their government, to save their wives and children-a crisis in which defeat meant instant destruction, and victory has none the more delivered them from their ills; nay, they are now warred upon by their neighbours ${ }^{b}$; they are distrusted by all the Peloponnesians ${ }^{c}$; they are hated by most of the Hellenes ${ }^{d}$; they are harried and plundered day and night by their own serfs ${ }^{e}$; and not a day passes that they do not have to take the field or fight against some force or other, or march to the rescue of their perishing comrades. But the worst of their afflictions is that they live in continual fear that the Thebans may patch up their quarrel with the Phocians ${ }^{f}$ and, returning again, ${ }^{g}$ ring them about with still greater calamities than have befallen them in the past. How, then, can we refuse to believe that people so hard pressed would gladly see at the head of a movement for peace a man who commands confidence and has the power to put an end to the wars in which they are involved ?
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## ISOCRATES
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 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \iota s, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{i} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \iota \nu о \nu$ ßov $\lambda \epsilon$ ย́є $\sigma \theta a \iota$ тоוท' $\sigma \epsilon \iota s$.



 $\dot{\eta} \tau \tau \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ каì $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \eta \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$. oủ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ єै $\phi \theta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ [93] $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, каі $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime}-$
${ }^{a}$ The Spartans.
${ }^{6}$ This was done by the Spartans six years before this. Diod. xvi. 39.
c The conflict between democracy and oligarchy, which raged with varying intensity in most of the Greek cities, in Argos was most bitter. In 371 b.c. occurred a massacre in which twelve hundred of the leading men were slain by the mob. Diod. xv. 57, 58 ; Grote, Hist. ix. p. 417. 276

## TO PHILIP, 51-53

Now as to the Argives, you will see that in some respects they are no better off than the Lacedaemonians, while in others their condition is worse; for they have been in a state of war with their neighbours ${ }^{a}$ from the day they founded their city, just as have the Lacedaemonians; but there is this difference, that the neighbours of the Lacedaemonians are weaker than they, while those of the Argives are stronger-a condition which all would admit to be the greatest of misfortunes. And so unsuccessful are they in their warfare that hardly a year passes that they are not compelled to witness their own territory being ravaged and laid waste. ${ }^{b}$ But what is most deplorable of all is that, during the intervals when their enemies cease from harrying them, they themselves put to death the most eminent and wealthy of their citizens; ${ }^{c}$ and they have more pleasure in doing this than any other people have in slaying their foes. The cause of their living in such disorder is none other than the state of war; and if you can put a stop to this, you will not only deliver them from these evils but you will cause them to adopt a better policy with respect to their other interests as well.

And as for the condition of the Thebans, surely you have not failed to note that also. They won a splendid victory ${ }^{d}$ and covered themselves with glory, but because they did not make good use of their success they are now in no better case than those who have suffered defeat and failure. For no sooner had they triumphed over their foes than, neglecting everything else, they began to annoy
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## ISOCRATES
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## TO PHILIP, 53-55

the cities of the Peloponnese; ${ }^{a}$ they made bold to reduce Thessaly to subjection; ${ }^{b}$ they threatened their neighbours, the Megarians ; ${ }^{c}$ they robbed our city of a portion of its territory; ${ }^{d}$ they ravaged Euboea; ${ }^{e}$ they sent men-of-war to Byzantium, ${ }^{f}$ as if they purposed to rule both land and sea; and, finally, they began war upon the Phocians, ${ }^{g}$ expecting that in a short time they would conquer their cities, occupy all the surrounding territory, and prevail over all the treasures at Delphi ${ }^{h}$ by the outlay of their own funds. But none of these hopes has been realized; instead of seizing the cities of the Phocians they have lost cities of their own ; ${ }^{i}$ and now when they invade the enemy's territory they inflict less damage upon them than they suffer when they are retreating to their own country; for while they are in Phocian territory they succeed in killing a few hireling ${ }^{j}$ soldiers who are better off dead than alive, but when they retreat they lose of their own citizens those who are most esteemed and most ready to die for their fatherland. And so completely have their fortunes shifted, that whereas they once hoped that all Hellas would be subject to them, now they rest upon you ${ }^{k}$ the hopes of their own deliverance. Therefore I think that the Thebans also will do with alacrity whatever you command or advise.
${ }^{f}$ One hundred ships under Epaminondas, 364 b.c. Diod. xv. 78, 79.
${ }^{g}$ Ten years. $356-346$ в.c. See 50 .
${ }^{n}$ The Phocians met their expenses in the war from the rich treasures in the Temple of Apollo at Delphi.
${ }^{i}$ Orchomenus, Coroneia, Corsiae. Diod. xvi. 33-58.
${ }^{3}$ The Phocian forces were composed mainly of mercenaries.
${ }^{k}$ The war was concluded shortly after this by the intervention of Philip against the Phocians.

## ISOCRATES

56 Sou
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## TO PHILIP, 56-59

It would still remain for me to speak about our city, had she not come to her senses before the others and made peace ; but now I need only say this: I think that she will join forces with you in carrying out your policy, especially if she can be made to see that your object is to prepare for the campaign against the barbarians.

That it is not, therefore, impossible for you to bring these cities together, I think has become evident to you from what I have said. But more than that, I believe I can convince you by many examples that it will also be easy for you to do this. For if it can be shown that other men in the past have undertaken enterprises which were not, indeed, more noble or more righteous than that which I have advised, but of greater magnitude and difficulty, and have actually brought them to pass, what ground will be left to my opponents to argue that you will not accomplish the easier task more quickly than other men the harder?

Consider first the exploits of Alcibiades. ${ }^{a}$ Although he was exiled from Athens ${ }^{b}$ and observed that the others who had before laboured under this misfortune had been cowed ${ }^{c}$ because of the greatness of the city, yet he did not show the same submissive spirit as they; on the contrary, convinced that he must attempt to bring about his return by force, he deliberately chose to make war upon her. ${ }^{d}$ Now if one should attempt to speak in detail of the events of that time, he would find it impossible to recount them all exactly, and for the present occasion the recital would perhaps prove wearisome. But so
influence and his sagacity, all the enemies of Athens in the Peloponnesian War.

## ISOCRATES
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${ }^{\text {a }}$ The defeat at Aegospotami, and after that the rule of the " thirty tyrants," and later the " decarchy."
${ }^{6}$ Under the rule of the decarchies described in Paneg. 111 ff.

- Isocrates does not much exaggerate the mischief he wrought in Greek affairs generally.
"For this play of words - a $\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ "beginning," $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta$ ' "dominion "-cf. Paneg. 119, Nicocl. 28, Peace 101.
' At length Alcibiades fell out with Athens' enemies, and began to intrigue in her favour ; and so effectively did 282


## TO PHILIP, 59-62

great was the confusion into which he plunged not only Athens but Lacedaemon and all the rest of Hellas as well, that we, the Athenians, suffered what all the world knows ; ${ }^{a}$ that the rest of the Hellenes fell upon such evil days that even now the calamities engendered in the several states by reason of that war are not yet forgotten; ${ }^{b}$ and that the Lacedaemonians, who then appeared to be at the height of their fortune, are reduced to their present state of misfortune,-all on account of Alcibiades. ${ }^{c}$ For because they were persuaded by him to covet the sovereignty of the sea, they lost even their leadership on land; so that if one were to assert that they became subject to the dominion of their present ills ${ }^{d}$ when they attempted to seize the dominion of the sea, he could not be convicted of falsehood. Alcibiades, however, after having caused these great calamities, was restored to his city, having won a great reputation, though not, indeed, enjoying the commendation of all. $e$

The career of Conon, ${ }^{f}$ not many years later, is a counterpart to that of Alcibiades. After his defeat in the naval engagement in the Hellespont, ${ }^{g}$ for which not he but his fellow-commanders were responsible, he was too chagrined to return home; instead he sailed to Cyprus, where he spent some time attending to his private interests. ${ }^{h}$ But learning that Agesilaus had crossed over into Asia with
he work that his services were recognized at home and he was welcomed back to take again a leading part in the life of Athens, 408 b.c. There appears to have been no open opposition to his return. The many who distrusted him probably thought him less dangerous at home than in exile.

- The battle of Aegospotami. ${ }^{f}$ See Paneg. 142 ff.
${ }^{n}$ See Evag. 52 ff .
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[^117]a large force ${ }^{a}$ and was ravaging the country, he was so dauntless of spirit that, although he possessed no resource whatever save his body and his wits, he was yet confident that he could conquer the Lacedaemonians, albeit they were the first power in Hellas on both land and sea; and, sending word to the generals of the Persian king, he promised that he would do this. What need is there to tell more of the story? For he collected a naval force off Rhodes, won a victory over the Lacedaemonians in a sea-fight, ${ }^{b}$ deposed them from their sovereignty, and set the Hellenes free. ${ }^{c}$ And not only did he rebuild the walls of his country, ${ }^{d}$ but he restored Athens to the same high repute from which she had fallen. And yet who could have expected that a man whose own fortunes had fallen so low would completely reverse the fortunes of Hellas, degrading some of the Hellenic states from places of honour and raising others into prominence?

Again, there is the case of Dionysius ${ }^{e}$ (for I desire you to be convinced by many instances that the course of action to which I am urging you is an easy one). He was a person of small account among the Syracusans in birth, ${ }^{f}$ in reputation, and in all other respects; ${ }^{g}$ yet, being inspired by a mad and unreasoning passion for monarchy, and having the hardihood to do anything which advanced him to this goal, he made himself master of Syracuse, conquered all the states in Sicily which were of Hellenic origin, and surrounded himself with a power
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## ISOCRATES
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[^119]on both land and sea ${ }^{a}$ greater than any man before his time had possessed.

Then again, Cyrus ${ }^{b}$ (that we may take account of the barbarians also), notwithstanding the fact that as a child he was exposed by his mother on the public highway ${ }^{c}$ and was picked up by a Persian woman, ${ }^{,}$so completely reversed his fortunes that he became master of all Asia.
Now if Alcibiades in exile, and Conon after a disastrous defeat, and Dionysius, a man of no repute, and Cyrus, with his pitiable start in life, advanced so far and achieved such mighty deeds, how can we fail to expect that you, who are sprung from such ancestors, who are king of Macedonia and master of so many peoples, will effect with ease this union which we have discussed ?

Consider how worthy a thing it is to undertake, above all, deeds of such a character that if you succeed you will cause your own reputation to rival that of the foremost men of history, while if you fall short of your expectations you will at any rate win the good will of all the Hellenes-which is a better thing to gain than to take by force many Hellenic cities; ${ }^{e}$ for achievements of the latter kind entail envy and hostility and much opprobrium, but that which I have urged entails none of these things. Nay, if some god were to give you the choice of the interests and the occupations in which you would wish to spend your life, you could not, at least if you took my advice, choose any in preference to this ; for you will not only be envied of others,
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${ }^{a}$ Demosthenes and his party. On Isocrates and Demosthenes see Havet, Introd. to Cartelier's Antid. pp. xlviii ff. 288

## TO PHILIP, 69-73

but you will also count yourself a happy man. For what good fortune could then surpass your own? Men of the highest renown will come as ambassadors from the greatest states to your court ; you will advise with them about the general welfare, for which no other man will be found to have shown a like concern; you will see all Hellas on tiptoe with interest in whatever you happen to propose ; and no one will be indifferent to the measures which are being decided in your councils, but, on the contrary, some will seek news of how matters stand, some will pray that you will not be thwarted in your aims, and others will fear lest something befall you before your efforts are crowned with success. If all this should come to pass, would you not have good reason to be proud? Would you not rejoice throughout your life in the knowledge that you had been a leader in such great affairs? And what man that is even moderately endowed with reason would not exhort you to fix your choice above all upon that course of action which is capable of bearing at one and the same time the twofold fruits, if I may so speak, of surpassing joys and of imperishable honours?

Now I should content myself with what I have already said on this topic, had I not passed over a certain matter-not that it slipped my memory, but because I hesitated to speak of it-which I am now resolved to disclose to you. For I think that it is profitable for you to hear about it, and that it is becoming in me to speak, as I am wont to do, without reserve.

I observe that you are being painted in false colours by men who are jealous of you, ${ }^{a}$ for one thing, and are, besides, in the habit of stirring up
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${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Messenians were at war with Sparta and in alliance with Philip. Paus. iv. 28. 2.
c The Amphictyony was an association of states for the protection of the worship of Apollo at Delphi (Grote, Hist. ii. pp. 248 ff .). The members of the Amphictyony, among whom the Thebans and the Thessalians were prominent, were now engaged in the Sacred War against the Phocians, seeking to wrest from the latter the control of the Temple. 290

## TO PHILIP, 73-75

trouble in their own cities-men who look upon a state of peace which is for the good of all as a state of war upon their selfish interests. Heedless of all other considerations, they keep talking about your power, representing that it is being built up, not in behalf of Hellas, but against her, that you have for a long time been plotting against us all, and that, while you are giving it out that you intend to go to the rescue of the Messenians, ${ }^{a}$ if you can settle the Phocian question, you really design to subdue the Peloponnesus to your rule. The Thessalians, ${ }^{b}$ they say, and the Thebans, and all those who belong to the Amphictyony, ${ }^{c}$ stand ready to follow your lead; while the Argives, the Messenians, the Megalopolitans, ${ }^{d}$ and many of the others are prepared to join forces with you and wipe out the Lacedaemonians; and if you succeed in doing this, you will easily be master of the rest of Hellas. By speaking this rubbish, by pretending to have exact knowledge and by speedily effecting in words the overthrow of the whole world, they are convincing many people. They convince, most of all, those who hunger for the same calamities as do the speechmakers; next, those who exercise no judgement about their common welfare, but, utterly obtuse in their own perceptions, are very grateful to men who pretend to feel alarm and fear in their behalf; and lastly, those who do not deny that you appear to be plotting against the Hellenes, but are of the opinion that the purpose with which you are charged is a worthy ambition.

In 338 в.c. Philip had been invited by the Amphictyony to join them against the Phocians.
${ }^{d}$ See 49 ff .

## ISOCRATES


 $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu \beta \lambda \alpha ́ \psi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau o u ̀ s \delta^{\prime} \omega \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$. oîo $\nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \nu \hat{v} \nu, \epsilon i$




 $\pi \epsilon \phi \cup \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$, ôs $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta s$ катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s$





 $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\varphi} \tau \sigma \quad \delta \iota \alpha \phi \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha s$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тoúт $\omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi о \nu \epsilon \iota \delta i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\pi \iota \theta v \mu \circ i ́ \eta ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi о \nu \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu ;$



 бoו $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ढ่ $\nu \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́-~$ $\mu \alpha \iota s \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \not \grave{\alpha} \nu$ катiठoıs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$.
 [98] $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu о v ́ v \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \phi \lambda v a \rho о ⿱ ⺈ v \tau \omega \nu ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \iota-$

 292

## TO PHILIP, 76-79

For these latter are so far divorced from intelligence that they do not realize that one may apply the same words in some cases to a man's injury, in others to his advantage. For example, if at the present moment one were to say that the King of Asia was plotting against the Hellenes, and had made preparations to send an expedition against us, he would not be saying anything disparaging of him ; nay, he would, on the contrary, make us think more highly of his courage and his worth. But if, on the other hand, one should bring this charge against one of the descendants of Heracles, who made himself the benefactor of all Hellas, he would bring upon him the greatest opprobrium. For who would not feel indignation and loathing if a man should be found to be plotting against those in whose behalf his ancestor elected to live a life of perils, and if he made no effort to preserve the good will which the latter had bequeathed as a legacy to his posterity, but, heedless of these examples, set his heart on reprehensible and wicked deeds?

You ought to give these matters careful thought, and not look on with indifference while rumours are springing up around you of the sort which your enemies seek to fasten upon you, but which your friends, to a man, would not hesitate to deny. And yet it is in the feelings of both these parties that you can best see the truth as to your own interests.

Perhaps, however, you conceive that it argues a mean spirit to pay attention to the drivellers who heap abuse upon you and to those who are influenced by what they say, especially when your own conscience is free from any sense of guilt. But you

## ISOCRATES





 Иакє $\delta a \iota \mu o \nu i ́ o v s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon ́ a s ~$


 $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ оікєí $\omega s$ є $\epsilon \chi \chi \omega \nu$, т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ à $\lambda \lambda o-$

 тoîs סè $\beta$ ap $\beta$ ápoıs фо $\beta \epsilon \rho o ́ s$.
 $\Delta \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau v \rho a \nu \nu i ́ \delta \alpha ~ к \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$, $\epsilon i \not \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$





 82 vo九s), $\tau о \hat{v} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ф $\rho о \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu ~ \epsilon \hat{v}$ каі $\pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к а \lambda \omega ิ s$,
 à $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \hat{\omega}$, каі $\theta \epsilon i \eta \nu$ ä $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu a v \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ ov̉к $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ тоîs


 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоîs $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu \delta o \xi \circ \tau a ́ \tau o \iota s$.

[^121]
## TO PHILIP, 79-82

ought not to despise the multitude nor count it a little thing to have the respect of the whole world ; on the contrary, you ought then, and only then, to be satisfied that you enjoy a reputation which is good and great and worthy of yourself and of your forefathers and of the achievements of your line, when you have brought the Hellenes to feel toward you as you see the Lacedaemonians feel toward their kings, ${ }^{,}$and as your companions feel toward yourself. And it is not difficult for you to attain this if you determine to show yourself equally friendly to all, and cease treating some of the cities as friends and others as strangers, and if, furthermore, you fix your choice upon the kind of policy by which you can make yourself trusted by the Hellenes and feared by the barbarians.

And do not be surprised (as I said in my letter to Dionysius after he had made himself master of Sicily) that I, who am not a general nor a public orator nor in any other position of authority, have expressed myself to you more boldly than the others. The fact is that nature has placed me more at a disadvantage than any of my fellow-citizens for a public career : ${ }^{b}$ I was not given a strong enough voice nor sufficient assurance to enable me to deal with the mob, to take abuse, and bandy words with the men who haunt the rostrum ; but, though some will condemn my taste in saying so, I do lay claim to sane judgement and good education, and I would count myself in comparison with others not among the last, but among the foremost. And that is why I endeavour in this way, for which my nature and powers are suited, to give advice to Athens and to the Hellenes at large and to the most distinguished among men.

## ISOCRATES

83 Пєрi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\hat{\omega} \nu$ боє $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \epsilon \in о \nu$

 ăs $\epsilon \not \phi \eta \nu \chi \rho \eta \hat{\nu} \alpha i ̂$ ' $\sigma \epsilon \delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, тó $\tau \epsilon \sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon$ v́co-

 $\mu a \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s, ~ o u ̉ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a u ̀ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \chi \chi \omega \nu ~ \delta ı a ́ v o l a \nu ~ к а i ~$

 $\mu \eta \nu$ тоі̂s áкоvбо $\mu$ '́voıs катаүє $\lambda \hat{a} \nu$ роv каі ката-



 $\tau \cup ́ \chi \omega$ dıa入є $\chi \theta \epsilon i$ is. каi $\gamma$ à $\rho$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~ o ́ ~ \lambda o ́-~$









 $\epsilon \in \xi \in \rho \gamma a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ каi $\delta \iota a \pi о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ סvva $\mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s$.
86 Tク̀v $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ тov̂ $\lambda o ́ j o v ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ oú $\mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau o s$

a Paneg. 14.
${ }^{b}$ Not an empty boast. See Havet, Introduction to Cartelier's Antid. pp. lxxv ff. 296

## TO PHILIP, 83-86

Now regarding myself, and regarding the course which you should take toward the Hellenes, perhaps no more need be said. But as to the expedition against Asia, we shall urge upon the cities which I have called upon yon to reconcile that it is their duty to go to war with the barbarians, only when we see that they have ceased from discord. For the present, I shall address myself to you, not, however, with the same confidence as I had at that period of my life when I was writing on this same subject. For then I challenged my audience to visit their ridicule and contempt upon me if I did not manifestly treat the question in a way which was worthy of the matter in hand and of my reputation and of the time which I had devoted to the discourse. ${ }^{a}$ But now I dread lest what I say may fall far short of every claim I then made ; for, apart from the other disabilities under which I labour, my Panegyricus, which has enriched the other men who make philosophy their business, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ has left me quite impoverished, because I am neither willing to repeat what I have written in that discourse nor am I at my age able to cast about for new things. However, I must not shirk my task, but must say in support of the enterprise which I have proposed whatever occurs to me as likely to persuade you to undertake it. For even if I fall short in any degree, and am not able to write in the style of my former publications, I think that I shall at any rate present an attractive sketch for those who have the energy to elaborate the details and carry the work to completion.

The point of departure, then, which I have taken for my whole discussion is, I believe, the one which is proper for those who urge an expedition against

## ISOCRATES

 $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi \rho i ̀ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\nu} \nu \lambda a ́ \beta \eta \tau \iota s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha s$
 voıav Є̈Хоข



 $\delta v \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v a s$. $\pi \rho о \eta \rho \epsilon i \tau o ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$,
 aүаүєî̀ каi кvрíovs $\pi о \imath \eta$ $\sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.






 [100] $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu, \pi \rho i \nu \not ้ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \xi \eta \eta ~ \tau \iota s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha s ~ \kappa \alpha i$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho$ каì боі $\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta$ оидєvкóтєs тv $\chi^{\prime} \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu$.









$$
{ }^{a} \text { Cf. Paneg. } 15 .
$$

## TO PHILIP, 86-89

Asia. ${ }^{a}$ For one must undertake nothing until he finds the Hellenes doing one of two things : either actually supporting the undertaking or according it their entire approval. It was this which Agesilaus, for all that he was looked upon as the most sagacious of the Lacedaemonians, disregarded, not because of incapacity but because of ambition. For he had two aims, which, though laudable, were not consistent, and could not be carried out at the same time, since he was resolved both to make war against the King and to restore his friends to their cities and put them in control of affairs. ${ }^{b}$ Naturally the result of his efforts in behalf of his friends was that the Hellenes were involved in troubles and perils, and, owing to the confusion which arose at home, had neither the time nor the power to make war upon the barbarians. So from the mistakes of inadvertence at that time it is easy to draw the lesson that those who would take sane counsel must not begin a war against the King until someone has composed the quarrels of the Hellenes and has cured them of the madness which now afflicts them. And this is just what I have advised you to do.

On these points no man of intelligence would venture to contradict me. But I think that if any of the others should be prompted to advise you in favour of the expedition against Asia, they would resort to a plea of this kind: that it has been the fortune of all who have undertaken a war against the King, without exception, to rise from obscurity to brilliant distinction, from poverty to wealth, and from low estate to be masters of many lands and

[^122]
## ISOCRATES


 $\mu \epsilon \tau$ à Kúpov каì K $\lambda \epsilon \alpha ́ \rho \chi o v ~ \sigma v \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$.

 ö́oov $\pi \epsilon \rho$ äv $\epsilon i$ iaîs $\gamma v \nu a \iota \xi i v ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda o v, ~$

 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ av̀тòv őv $\tau \alpha$ каi $\delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ тодѝ $\pi \rho \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$








 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}{ }_{s} \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \alpha s, \sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ av̀ $\tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon$,



 $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon^{\alpha} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega_{S} \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu, \epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$






${ }^{a}$ See Paneg. 145-149, where the same episode is used to the same point in similar language.

## TO PHILIP, 90-92

cities. I, however, am not going to urge you on such grounds, but by the example of men who were looked upon as failures: I mean those who took the field with Cyrus and Clearchus. ${ }^{a}$

Every one agrees that these won as complete a victory in battle over all the forces of the King as if they had come to blows with their womenfolk, but that at the very moment when they seemed to be masters of the field they failed of success, owing to the impetuosity of Cyrus. For he in his exultation rushed in pursuit far in advance of the others; and, being caught in the midst of the enemy, was killed. But the King, notwithstanding that his foes had suffered so severe a loss, felt so thorough a contempt for his own forces that he invited Clearchus and the other captains to a parley, promising to give them great gifts and to pay their soldiers their wages in full and to give them-safe convoy home; then, having lured them by such prospects, and having assured them by the most solemn pledges known to the Persians, he seized them and put them to death, deliberately choosing to outrage the gods rather than risk a clash with our soldiers, bereft though they now were of Cyrus's aid. And what challenge could be nobler or more convincing than this? For it is evident that, if it had not been for Cyrus, even that army would have overthrown the power of the King. But for you it is easy both to guard against the disaster which befell at that time and to equip yourself with an armament much stronger than that which defeated the forces of the King. How, then, since you possess both these advantages, can you fail to undertake this expedition with all confidence?

## ISOCRATES

 тои́т $\omega \nu$ є̈vıa $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi \rho a \kappa a$ т̀̀v av̇тòv $\tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu$ öv $\pi \epsilon \rho$ $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$. $\epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \tau \grave{a} s ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ '̇ $\pi i$ ions au $\tau \grave{a} s$ סıavoías








 $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \eta \eta, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ о ${ }^{\prime} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ adv $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha i \mu \eta \nu$,







 то $\gamma$ à $\rho \mathrm{K}$ и́pov $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ каі $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \alpha ́ \rho \chi о v$ катор $\theta \omega \sigma \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. ${ }^{\circ}$


a This apology is curious, since Greek orators habitually repeated identical passages in dealing with the same situatons. Cf. Antid. 74.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Cf. Antid. 55. An "epideictic" speech was a lecture whose aim was to display the rhetorical powers of the speaker.
${ }^{-}$See Paneg. 110 ff .
30 ~

## TO PHILIP, 93-96

And let no one suppose that I desire to conceal the fact that I have in some instances expressed myself in the same manner as upon a former occasion. For, coming to the same thoughts, I have preferred not to go through the effort of striving to phrase differently what has already been well expressed. ${ }^{a}$ It is true that if I were making an epideictic speech ${ }^{b}$ I should try to avoid scrupulously all such repetitions; but now that I am urging my views upon you, I should have been foolish if I had spent more time on the style than on the subject matter, and if, furthermore, seeing that the other orators make free with my writings, I alone had abstained from what I have said in the past. So, then, I may perhaps be allowed to use what is my own, if at any time I am greatly pressed and find it suitable, although I would not now any more than in times past appropriate anything from the writings of other men.

We may, then, regard these points as settled. But next in order I think that I should speak of the war-strength which will be available to you as compared with that which Clearchus and his followers had. First and most important of all, you will have the good will of the Hellenes if you choose to abide by the advice which I have given you concerning them ; they, on the other hand, found the Hellenes intensely hostile because of the decarchies ${ }^{\text {c }}$ which the Lacedaemonians had set up; for the Hellenes thought that, if Cyrus and Clearchus should succeed, their yoke would be heavier still, but that if the King conquered they would be delivered from their present hardships ; and this is just what did happen to them. Besides, you will find as many soldiers at your service as you wish, for such is now the state of

## ISOCRATES





 $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \rho \nu$ àv


 $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ ámávт $\omega \nu$ каi $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon ́ a \rho \chi о \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota-~$ $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu, \quad \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$



 каі тทл七каи̂та тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ оs $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu . \pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \quad \mu \grave{\iota} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \lambda a ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \pi o ぃ o u ́ \mu \eta \nu$,



＂А $\xi \iota \circ \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ к а і ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ á $\mu \phi о-$



 $\Lambda а к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ катєто入є́ $\mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，ô̂тоs $\delta^{\prime}$ ov̀ $\delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s$

[^123]
## TO PHILIP, 96-99

affairs in Hellas that it is easier to get together a greater and stronger army from among those who wander in exile than from those who live under their own polities. ${ }^{a}$ But in those days there was no body of professional soldiers, and so, being compelled to collect mercenaries from the several states, they had to spend more money on bounties ${ }^{b}$ for their recruiting agents than on pay for the troops. And, lastly, if we should be inclined to make a careful review of the two cases and institute a comparison between you, who are to be at the head of the present expedition and to decide on every measure, and Clearchus, who was in charge of the enterprise of that day, we should find that he had never before been in command of any force whatever on either land or sea and yet attained renown from the misfortune which befell him on the continent of Asia ; while you, on the contrary, have succeeded in so many and such mighty achievements that if I were making them the subject of a speech before another audience, I should do well to recount them, but, since I am addressing myself to you, you would rightly think it senseless and gratuitous in me to tell you the story of your own deeds.

It is well for me to speak to you also about the two Kings, the one against whom I am advising you to take the field, and the one against whom Clearchus made war, in order that you may know the temper and the power of each. In the first place, the father ${ }^{c}$ of the present King once defeated our city ${ }^{d}$ and later the city of the Lacedaemonians, ${ }^{e}$ while this King ${ }^{f}$ had the assistance of Persia; but Artaxerxes II. came to the throne in the year of the battle of Aegospotami.

- At the battle of Cnidus with the help of Conon, 394 b.c.
${ }^{f}$ Artaxerxes III., 359-339 в.с.


## ISOCRATES

$\pi \dot{\pi} \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \hat{\omega} \rho \alpha \nu \alpha v \dot{\tau} \sigma o \hat{v}$










 тòv $\chi \rho o ́ v o \nu, ~ o v ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ ~ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \epsilon ̀ \phi o ß o v ̂ \nu \tau o ~ \mu \dot{\eta} ~ \pi о \tau \epsilon ~$



 $\gamma$ à $\delta v^{\prime} \nu \alpha \mu \iota \nu$ ö $\sigma \eta \nu$ oîós $\tau^{\prime} \eta \hat{\eta} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, каi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v^{\prime}-$
 $\tau \eta \theta \epsilon i ' s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi катаүє $\lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ каi $\delta o ́ \xi \alpha$ о оüтє


 $\hat{\eta} \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega_{S}, \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon, \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu$

 $\delta^{\prime}, \ddot{\eta} \nu \quad \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha \dot{v} \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \beta o v \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} s, \sigma v \mu \phi o ́ \rho \omega s$


[^124]$$
\text { d Paneg. } 162 .
$$

## TO PHILIP, 99-103

has never overcome anyone of the armies which have been violating his territory. Secondly, the former took the whole of Asia from the Hellenes by the terms of the Treaty ${ }^{a}$; while this King is so far from exercising dominion over others that he is not in control even of the cities which were surrendered to him ; and such is the state of affairs that there is no one who is not in doubt what to believe-whether he has given them up because of his cowardice, or whether they have learned to despise and contemn the power of the barbarians.

Consider, again, the state of affairs in his empire. Who could hear the facts and not be spurred to war against him? Egypt was, it is true, in revolt ${ }^{b}$ even when Cyrus made his expedition; but her people nevertheless were living in continual fear lest the King might some day lead an army in person and overcome the natural obstacles which, thanks to the Nile, their country presents, and all their military defences as well. But now this King has delivered them from that dread; for after he had brought together and fitted out the largest force he could possibly raise and marched against them, he retired from Egypt not only defeated, but laughed at and scorned as unfit either to be a king or to command an army. Furthermore, Cyprus and Phoenicia and Cilicia, ${ }^{c}$ and that region from which the barbarians used to recruit their fleet, belonged at that time to the King, but now they have either revolted from him or are so involved in war and its attendant ills that none of these peoples is of any use to him ; while to you, if you desire to make war upon him, they will be serviceable. And mark also that Idrieus, ${ }^{d}$ who is the most prosperous of the present rulers of the

## ISOCRATES



 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \lambda$ vo $\theta a \iota ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ аiкı $\sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ́ d \epsilon \lambda \phi o ́ v, ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta ́ \sigma a \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ v, ~$
 no $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \nu \tau o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau о s$ ave $\tau \circ \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$








 $\mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ ả $\rho \chi \eta ̀ \nu$ катє́ $\lambda v \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau$ ’ adv $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ v o \iota o ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ тô $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \omega s$
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu, \epsilon i \quad \mu \eta \delta \grave{\iota} \nu \pi \omega ́ \pi \pi о \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu O s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$ $\tau \eta \gamma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \tau 0 \lambda \mu \omega{ }_{\varphi} \eta \nu$ oi $\pi \alpha \rho a \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau \alpha$



Пєрi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\lambda \omega \nu$, $\dot{\eta} \gamma o \hat{v} \mu \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \sigma o v ~$


${ }^{a}$ Mausolus.
b "Freedom" of the Greeks from Athenian tyranny was the avowed object of the Spartans in the Peloponnesian War, Thus. iv. 86. Cf. Pane. 122.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Amyntas II. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Perdiccas I. See 32, note. 308

## TO PHILIP, 103-105

mainland, must in the nature of things be more hostile to the interests of the King than are those who are making open war against him; verily he would be of all men the most perverse if he did not desire the dissolution of that empire which outrages his brother, ${ }^{a}$ which made war upon himself, and which at all times has never ceased to plot against him in its desire to be master of his person and of all his wealth. It is through fear of these things that he is now constrained to pay court to the King and to send him much tribute every year ; but if you should cross over to the mainland with an army, he would greet you with joy, in the belief that you were come to his relief; and you will also induce many of the other satraps to throw off the King's power if you promise them " freedom" and scatter broadcast over Asiathat word which, when sown among the Hellenes, has broken up both our empire and that of the Lacedaemonians. ${ }^{b}$

I might go on and endeavour to speak at greater length on how you could carry on the war so as to triumph most quickly over the power of the King; but as things are, I fear that I might lay myself open to criticism if, having had no part in a soldier's life, I should now venture to advise you, whose achievements in war are without parallel in number and magnitude. Therefore on this subject I think I need say nothing more.

But to proceed with the rest of my discourse, I believe that both your own father ${ }^{c}$ and the founder of your kingdom, ${ }^{d}$ and also the progenitor of your race ${ }^{e}$-were it lawful for Heracles and possible for

[^125]
## ISOCRATES

$\nu \alpha \mu \iota \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \beta o \iota \epsilon \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ av̀ $\frac{\omega}{\omega} \nu$ äv $\tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$
 є’ $\xi \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \iota ~ \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$. oo $\tau \epsilon \gamma$ à $\rho$ $\pi \alpha \tau \eta{ }_{\eta}$ oov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \tau a u ́ \tau a s, ~ a i ̂ s ~ \sigma o i ̀ ~$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau o ̀ \nu \nu \frac{v}{\nu} \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ́ \pi \alpha ́ \sigma a s ~ o i ́ \kappa \epsilon i ́ \omega s$
 баs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aívô̂ $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i ~ \mu о \nu а \rho \chi i a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \eta ́ \sigma \alpha s, ~$

 тódєб九 $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ каi тараұàs каi $\sigma \phi а \gamma \grave{s} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu$ -



 $\dot{v} \pi о \mu \epsilon ́ v \in \iota \nu$ тàs $\mu о \nu a \rho \chi i a s, ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o u s ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta v v a-~$

 Sıà тò $\gamma \nu \omega \hat{\nu} \alpha \iota \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тоv́т $\omega \nu$ av̀тòv i ioíws каi $\tau \grave{\nu}$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ • $\mu o ́ v o s ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ oủ $\chi$ ó $\mu \circ \phi u ́ \lambda o v ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v o v s ~$
 $\kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ v o v s$ тoùs $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тàs $\mu$ огархías $\gamma \iota \gamma v o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$.

 $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s, a \grave{\alpha} \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каì тò $\gamma \in ́ v o s ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \xi$
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## TO PHILIP, 105-108

the others to appear as your counsellors-would advise the very things which I have urged. I draw my inference from their actions while they lived. For your father, in dealing with those states which I am urging you to cultivate, kept on friendly terms ${ }^{a}$ with them all. And the founder of your empire, although he aspired higher than did his fellowcitizens ${ }^{b}$ and set his heart on a king's power, was not minded to take the same road as others who set out to attain a like ambition. For they endeavoured to win this honour by engendering factions, disorder, and bloodshed in their own cities; he, on the other hand, held entirely aloof from Hellenic territory, and set his heart upon occupying the throne of Macedon. For he knew full well that the Hellenes were not accustomed to submit to the rule of one man, while the other races were incapable of ordering their lives without the control of some such power. And so it came about, owing to his unique insight in this regard, that his kingship has proved to be quite set apart from that of the generality of kings : for, because he alone among the Hellenes did not claim the right to rule over a people of kindred race, he alone was able to escape the perils incident to one-man power. For history discovers to us the fact that those among the Hellenes who have managed to acquire such authority have not only been destroyed themselves but have been blotted, root and branch, from the face of the earth ; ${ }^{c}$ while he, on the contrary, lived a long and happy life and left his seed in possession of the same honours which he himself had enjoyed.
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## ISOCRATES

 Spià v́p $\theta \mu \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma \iota, \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$


 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha a \iota \nu$ à $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \epsilon \in \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$, ov̉ $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ v$ ov̉ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \nu o ́ v$,





 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ そ̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} \rho \dot{\rho} \omega \mu \eta$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau о \hat{v} \sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau о \varsigma^{\cdot} \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime}$


 àv $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ тồ $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ à $\nu a \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v . ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s$.
 $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ каi $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma \alpha \nu$,

 каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o v ̋ s ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ \pi о เ \eta \sigma \alpha ́-~$


a See Diod. iv. 17.
${ }^{6}$ Evag. 16.

## TO PHILIP, 109-111

Coming now to Heracles, all others who praise him harp endlessly on his valour or recount his labours ; and not one, either of the poets or of the historians, will be found to have commemorated his other excellences-I mean those which pertain to the spirit. I, on the other hand, see here a field set apart and entirely unworked-a field not small nor barren, but teeming with many a theme for praise and with glorious deeds, yet demanding a speaker with ability to do them justice. If this subject had claimed my attention when I was younger, I should have found it easy to prove that it was more by his wisdom, his lofty ambition, and his justice than by his strength of body that your ancestor surpassed all who lived before his day. But approaching the subject at my present age, and seeing what a wealth of material there is in it to discuss, I have felt that my present powers were unequal to the task, and I have also realized that my discourse would run on to twice the length of that which is now before you to be read. For these reasons, then, I have refrained from touching upon his other exploits and have singled out one only-a story which is pertinent and in keeping with what I have said before, while being of a length best proportioned to the subject now in hand.

When Heracles saw that Hellas was rife with wars and factions and many other afflictions, he first brought these troubles to an end and reconciled the cities with each other, ${ }^{a}$ and then showed by his example to coming generations with whom and against whom it was their duty to go to war. For he made an expedition against Troy, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ which was in those days the strongest power in Asia, and

## ISOCRATES

$\delta_{\iota \eta} \nu \in \gamma \kappa \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma i ́ a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha u ̉ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu$


 тобаv́таıs каi $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\lambda i \not \gamma \omega \nu$ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon$ v́a人s $\dot{\rho} \alpha \delta i ́ \omega s$







 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta_{\eta} \nu \omega \nu \quad \chi \omega \dot{\omega} \alpha s$.




 $\dot{v} \pi о \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \nu o v s ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \hat{\sigma} \theta \alpha \iota \quad \gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ тoเov́тovs,





 (ov̉ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \notin \nu \iota o \iota \quad \delta \nu \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \nu$ ). $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \quad \gamma \epsilon \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi u \chi \hat{\eta} s \hat{\eta} \theta o s ~ \kappa \alpha i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi i \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \alpha \nu$


[^128]314

## TO PHILIP, 111-114

so far did he exccl in generalship those who at a later time waged war against this same city, that, while they with the combined strength of Hellas found it difficult to take Troy after a siege which lasted ten years, he, on the other hand, in less than as many days, and with a small expedition, easily took the city by storm. After this, he put to death to a man all the princes ${ }^{a}$ of the tribes who dwelt along the shores of both continents ${ }^{b}$; and these he could never have destroyed had he not first conquered their armies. When he had done these things, he set up the Pillars of Heracles, as they are called, to be a trophy of victory over the barbarians, a monument to his own valour and the perils he had surmounted, and to mark the bounds of the territory of the Hellenes.

My purpose in relating all this is that you may see that by my words I am exhorting you to a course of action which, in the light of their deeds, it is manifest that your ancestors chose as the noblest of all. Now, while all who are blessed with understanding ought to set before themselves the greatest of men as their model, and strive to become like him, it behoves you above all to do so. For since you have no need to follow alien examples but have before you one from your own house, have we not then the right to expect that you will be spurred on by this and inspired by the ambition to make yourself like the ancestor of your race? I do not mean that you will be able to imitate Heracles in all his exploits; for even among the gods there are some who could not do that; but in the qualities of the spirit, in devotion to humanity, and in the good will which he cherished toward the Hellenes,

## ISOCRATES



 $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \kappa \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \nu, \eta \geqslant$

 $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \iota \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} s \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon i \alpha s$ ov̉ $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \alpha \beta \beta \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$

 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ o v s ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu o ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ каi $\pi \rho \alpha o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ каi $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \alpha \nu$ '

 $\pi \rho \alpha o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha s$ ov $\mu o ́ \nu o \nu$ є̇ $\pi i \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ тov̀s $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\gamma \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$ aitiovs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ôv $\tau \alpha$,
 бvرфораîs каi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a l s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \delta v \sigma-~$

 $\beta \omega \mu o v ̀ s ~ i \delta \rho u \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ o v ै \tau ' ~ \epsilon ̉ \nu ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \chi a i ̂ s ~$
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## TO PHILIP, 114-118

you can come close to his purposes. And it lies in your power, if you will heed my words, to attain whatever glory you yourself desire ; for it is easier for you to rise from your present station and win the noblest fame than it has been to advance from the station which you inherited to the fame which is now yours. ${ }^{a}$ And mark that I am summoning you to an undertaking in which you will make expeditions, not with the barbarians against men who have given you no just cause, but with the Hellenes against those upon whom it is fitting that the descendants of Heracles should wage war.

And do not be surprised if throughout my speech I am trying to incline you to a policy of kindness to the Hellenes and of gentleness and humanity. For harshness is, I observe, grievous both to those who exercise it and to those upon whom it falls, while gentleness, whether in man or in the other animals, bears a good name; nay, in the case of the gods also we invoke as the "Heavenly Ones" those who bless us with good things, while to those who are agents of calamities and punishments we apply more hateful epithets; in honour of the former, both private persons and states erect temples and altars, whereas we honour the latter neither in our prayers nor in our sacrifices, but practise rites to drive away their evil presence. ${ }^{b}$ Bearing ever in mind these truths, you should habitually act and strive to the end that all men shall cherish even more than they do now such an opinion of your character. Indeed, those who crave a greater fame than that of other men must map out in their thoughts a course of action which, while practicable,

## ISOCRATES







 тov̀s $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ c i s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \eta " \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu ~ \delta ı а \beta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ к а i ~$


 таvิта $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \eta ŋ s, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} s ~ o ̋ \lambda \eta \nu$







 $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s, \underset{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \delta \dot{̀} \nu$ ท̂̀ $\tau \tau o \nu$ av̇ $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \phi o \beta \epsilon \rho o v ̀ s ~$ [107] тoîs ${ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda_{\eta \sigma \iota \nu} \ddot{\eta}$ тoîs $\beta a \rho \beta \alpha ́ \rho o \iota s " ~ \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu$



 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \chi \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ s ~ \tau o \iota o u ́ t o ı s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \beta a \rho-~$
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## TO PHILIP, 118-122

is at the same time close to the ideal, and scek to carry it into effect as opportunity presents a way.

From many considerations you may realize that you ought to act in this way, but especially from the experiences of Jason. ${ }^{a}$ For he, without having achieved anything comparable to what you have done, won the lighest renown, not from what he did, but from what he said ; for he kept talking as if he intended to cross over to the continent and make war upon the King. Now since Jason by use of words alone advanced himself so far, what opinion must we expect the world will have of you if you actually do this thing; above all, if you undertake to conquer the whole empire of the King, or, at any rate, to wrest from it a vast extent of territory and sever from it-to use a current phrase- " Asia from Cilicia to Sinope " ${ }^{b}$; and if, furthermore, you undertake to establish cities in this region, and to settle in permanent abodes those who now, for lack of the daily necessities of life, are wandering from place to place and committing outrages upon whomsoever they encounter ? ${ }^{c}$ If we do not stop these men from banding together, by providing sufficient livelihood for them, they will grow before we know it into so great a multitude as to be a terror no less to the Hellenes than to the barbarians. But we pay no heed to them; nay, we shut our eyes to the fact that a terrible menace which threatens us all alike is waxing day by day. It is therefore the duty of a man who is high-minded, who is a lover of Hellas, who has a broader vision than the rest of the world, to employ these bands in a war against
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## ISOCRATES






 $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi a s ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu o ́ v o v ~ \epsilon ̇ к \epsilon i ́ v o v s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \delta a i ́ \mu o v a s ~ \pi o เ \eta ŋ \sigma \epsilon \iota s, ~$


 $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$.






 єîval каi то入є́ $\mu \omega \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon i \rho о v s$ каi $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$
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## TO PHILIP, 122-125

the barbarians, to strip from that empire all the territory which I defined a moment ago, to deliver these homeless wanderers from the ills by which they are afflicted and which they inflict upon others, to collect them into cities, and with these cities to fix the boundary of Hellas, making of them buffer states to shield us all. For by doing this, you will not only make them prosperous, but you will put us all on a footing of security. If, however, you do not succeed in these objects, this much you will at any rate easily accomplish,--the liberation of the cities which are on the coast of Asia.

But no matter what part of this undertaking you are able to carry out, or only attempt to carry out, you cannot fail to attain distinguished glory ; and it will be well deserved if only you will make this the goal of your own efforts and urge on the Hellenes in the same course. For as things now are, who would not have reason to be amazed ${ }^{a}$ at the turn events have taken and to feel contempt for us, when among the barbarians, whom we have come to look upon as effeminate and unversed in war and utterly degenerate from luxurious living, ${ }^{b}$ men have arisen ${ }^{c}$ who thought themselves worthy to rule over Hellas, while among the Hellenes no one has aspired so high as to attempt to make us masters of Asia? Nay, we have dropped so far behind the barbarians that, while they did not hesitate even to begin hostilities against the Hellenes, we do not even have the spirit to pay them back for the injuries we have suffered at their hands. On the contrary, although they admit that in all their wars they have no soldiers of their own nor generals nor any of the things which are
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## ISOCRATES

$126 \epsilon$ is $\tau o u ̀ s ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ v o v s ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma i ́ \mu \omega \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~$ $\pi \alpha \rho ' \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \mu \pi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ，$\epsilon$ is $\tau \circ \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ خ̈ко $\mu \epsilon \nu$
 ढ’乡òv $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \hat{\omega} s \quad \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \tau^{\prime}$

 $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ，каi $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta a \mu \epsilon \nu$ í $\mu a ̂ s$ av̉zov̀s $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu i ́ o \tau \epsilon$
［108］$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu} \quad \epsilon \chi \chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \circ \grave{v} s \tau \hat{\eta} S$ aù $\bar{\eta} s \quad \sigma v \gamma-$

 ठıакєє $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ a̋ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v$


 $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\eta} \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v o v \sigma \iota$ катоькоиิvтєऽ，бє่ $\delta^{\prime}$ $\stackrel{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \tau о \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \circ \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad$＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \delta \alpha$

 $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \pi о v \delta \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota s$.



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu \omega \nu, \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Є̇ $\mu \alpha \nu \tau o \hat{v}$ тó $\lambda \iota \nu$.
 $\epsilon \chi \epsilon i \rho o v \nu$ ठıa入є́ $\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тov́т $\omega \nu$ そ̈ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\theta \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，ठis $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha \dot{\rho} \omega \nu, a ̈ \pi \alpha \xi \delta^{\prime}$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf．Paneg． 135.
c Cf．Paneg． 134.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Cf．Paneg．133， 136.
${ }^{d}$ Paneg． 157.

## TO PHILIP, 126-129

serviceable in times of danger, but have to send and get all these from us, ${ }^{a}$ we have gone so far in our passion to injure ourselves that, whereas it lies in our power to possess the wealth of the barbarians in security and peace, we continue to wage war upon each other over trifles, ${ }^{b}$ and we actually help to reduce to subjection those who revolt c from the authority of the King, and sometimes, unwittingly, we ally ourselves with our hereditary foes ${ }^{d}$ and seek to destroy those who are of our own race.

Therefore, since the others are so lacking in spirit, I think it is opportune for you to head the war against the King ; and, while it is only natural for the other descendants of Heracles, and for men who are under the bonds of their polities and laws, to cleave fondly to that state in which they happen to dwell, it is your privilege, as one who has been blessed with untrammelled freedom, ${ }^{e}$ to consider all Hellas your fatherland, ${ }^{f}$ as did the founder of your race, and to be as ready to brave perils for her sake as for the things about which you are personally most concerned.

Perhaps there are those-men capable of nothing else but criticism-who will venture to rebuke me because I have chosen to clallenge you to the task of leading the expedition against the barbarians and of taking Hellas under your care, while I have passed over my own city. Well, if I were trying to present this matter to any others before having broached it to my own country, which has thrice ${ }^{g}$ freed Hellastwice from the barbarians and once from the Lacedae-
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## ISOCRATES


 $\tau \eta \nu$ ढ́ $\pi i \quad \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho o \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu$ ©́s ${ }^{\prime} \delta \nu \nu \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$
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## TO PHILIP, 129-132

monian yoke-I should confess my error. In truth, however, it will be found that I turned to Athens first of all and endeavoured to win her over to this cause with all the earnestness of which my nature is capable, ${ }^{a}$ but when I perceived that she cared less for what I said than for the ravings of the platform orators, ${ }^{b}$ I gave her up, although I did not abandon my efforts. Wherefore I might justly be praised on every hand, because throughout my whole life I have constantly employed such powers as I possess in warring on the barbarians, in condemning those who opposed my plan, and in striving to arouse to action whoever I think will best be able to benefit the Hellenes in any way or to rob the barbarians of their present prosperity. Consequently, I am now addressing myself to you, although I am not unaware that when I am proposing this course many will look at it askance, but that when you are actually carrying it out all will rejoice in it; for no one has had any part in what I have proposed, but when the benefits from it shall have been realized in fact, everyone without fail will look to have his portion.
Consider also what a disgrace it is to sit idly by and see Asia flourishing more than Europe and the barbarians enjoying a greater prosperity ${ }^{c}$ than the Hellenes; and, what is more, to see those who derive their power from Cyrus, who as a child was cast out by his mother on the public highway, addressed by the title of " The Great King," while the descendants of Heracles, who because of his virtue was exalted by his father to the rank of a god, ${ }^{d}$ are addressed by meaner titles ${ }^{e}$ than they. We must not allow this
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## TO PHILIP, 132-136

state of affairs to go on ; no, we must change and reverse it entirely.

Rest assured that I should never have attempted to persuade you to undertake this at all had power and wealth been the only things which I saw would come of it ; for I think that you already have more than enough of such things, and that any man is beyond measure insatiable who deliberately chooses the extreme hazard of either winning these prizes or losing his life. No, it is not with a view to the acquisition of wealth and power that I urge this course, but in the belief that by means of these you will win a name of surpassing greatness and glory. Bear in mind that while we all possess bodies that are mortal, yet by virtue of good will and praise and good report and memory which keeps pace with the passage of time we partake of immortality ${ }^{a}$ a boon for which we may well strive with all our might and suffer any hardship whatsoever. You may observe that even common citizens of the best sort, who would exchange their lives for nothing else, are willing for the sake of winning glory to lay them down in battle; ${ }^{b}$ and, in general, that those who crave always an honour greater than they already possess are praised by all men, while those who are insatiable with regard to any other thing under the sun are looked upon as intemperate and mean. ${ }^{c}$ But more important than all that I have said is the truth that wealth and positions of power often fall into the hands of our foes, whereas the good will of our fellow-countrymen and the other rewards which I have mentioned are possessions to which none can fall heir but our own children, and they alone. I
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## 138
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## TO PHILIP, 136-139

could not, therefore, respect myself if I failed to advance these motives in urging you to make this expedition and wage war and brave its perils.

You will best resolve upon this question if you feel that you are summoned to this task, not by my words only, but by your forefathers, by the cowardice of the Persians, and by all who have won great fame and attained the rank of demigods because of their campaigns against the barbarians, and, most of all, by the present opportunity, which finds you in the possession of greater power than has any of those who dwell in Europe, and finds him against whom you are to make war more cordially hated and despised by the world at large than was ever any king before him.

I should have given much to be able to blend into one all the speeches I have delivered on this question; for the present discourse would then appear more worthy of its theme. But, as things are, it devolves upon you to search out and consider, from all my speeches, the arguments which bear upon and urge you to this war ; for so you will best resolve upon the matter.

Now I am not unaware that many of the Hellenes look upon the King's power as invincible. ${ }^{a}$ Yet one may well marvel at them if they really believe that the power which was subdued to the will of a mere barbarian-an ill-bred ${ }^{b}$ barbarian at that-and collected in the cause of slavery, could not be scattered by a man of the blood of Hellas, of ripe experience in warfare, in the cause of freedom-and that too although they know that while it is in all cases difficult to construct a thing, to destroy it is, comparatively, an easy task.

## ISOCRATES
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## TO PHILIP, 140-143

Bear in mind that the men whom the world most admires and lonours are those who unite in themselves the abilities of the statesman and the general. When, therefore, you see the renown which even in a single city is bestowed on men who possess these gifts, what manner of eulogies must you expect to hear spoken of you, when among all the Hellenes you shall stand forth as a statesman who has worked for the good of Hellas, and as a general who has overthrown the barbarians? I, for my part, think that this will set a limit to human endeavour ; for no other man will ever be able to do deeds greater than these, because among the Hellenes there will never be again so great an enterprise as that of leading us forward out of our innumerable wars into a spirit of concord; nor, among the barbarians, is it likely that so great a power will ever be built up again if once you shatter that which they now possess. Therefore, in generations yet to come, no one, no matter how surpassing his genius, will ever be in a position to do so great a thing. Yes, and speaking of those who lived before your time, I could show that their deeds are excelled by the things which you have even now accomplished, in no specious sense but in very truth; for since you have overthrown more nations than any of the Hellenes has ever taken cities, it would not be hard for me to prove, comparing you with each of them in turn, that you have accomplished greater things than they. But I have deliberately abstained from this mode of comparison, and for two reasons: because some writers employ it in season and out of season, and also because I am unwilling to represent those whom
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## TO PHILIP, 143-147

the world regards as demigods as of less worth than men who are now living.

Ponder well the fact (to touch upon examples from the distant past) that while no man, whether poet or writer of prose, would applaud the wealth of Tantalus, or the rule of Pelops, or the power of Eurystheus, all the world, with one accord, would praisenext to the unrivalled excellence of Heracles and the goodness of Theseus-the men who marched against Troy and all others who have proved to be like them. And yet we know that the bravest and most famous of them held their sway in little villages and petty islands; nevertheless they left behind them a name which rivals that of the gods and is renowned throughout the world. For all the world loves, not those who have acquired the greatest power for themselves alone, but those who have shown themselves to be the greatest benefactors of Hellas.

And you will observe that this is the opinion which men hold, not of these heroes only, but of all mankind. Thus, no one would praise our city either because she was once mistress of the sea, or because she extorted such huge sums of money from her allies and carried them up into the Acropolis, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ nor yet, surely, because she obtained power over many cities-power to devastate them, or aggrandize them, or manage them according to her pleasure (for all these things it was possible for her to do) ; no, all these things have been the source of many complaints against her, while because of the battle of Marathon, the naval battle at Salamis, and most of all because her citizens abandoned their own
ally in the island of Delos; later it was transferred to the Parthenon at Athens.

## ISOCRATES
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## TO PHILIP, 147-151

homes to insure the deliverance of Hellas, ${ }^{a}$ she enjoys the encomiums of all mankind. The same opinion is held regarding the Lacedaemonians also ; their defeat at Thermopylae is more admired than their many victories; the trophy ${ }^{b}$ which was erected by the barbarians over the Lacedaemonians is an object of affectionate regard and of pilgrimages, while the trophies erected by the Lacedaemonians over their enemies call forth, not praise, but odium ; for the former is regarded as a proof of valour, the latter of selfish greed.

Now if, after examining and reviewing all these admonitions in your own mind, you feel that my discourse is in any part rather weak and inadequate, ${ }^{c}$ set it down to my age, which might well claim the indulgence of all; but if it is up to the standard of my former publications, I would have you believe that it was not my old age that conceived it but the divine will that prompted it, not out of solicitude for me, but because of its concern for Hellas, and because of its desire to deliver her out of her present distress and to crown you with a glory far greater than you now possess. I think that you are not unaware in what manner the gods order the affairs of mortals: for not with their own hands do they deal out the blessings and curses that befall us; rather they inspire in each of us such a state of mind that good or ill, as the case may be, is visited upon us through one another. For example, it may be that even now the gods have assigned to me the task of speech while to you they allot the task of action, ${ }^{,}$considering that you will be the best master in that province, while ${ }^{d}$ Cf. Epist. ix. 17.

## ISOCRATES
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a The indigenous Macedonians are regarded as half barbarians.
336

## TO PHILIP, 151-154

in the field of speech I might prove least irksome to my hearers. Indeed, I believe that even your past achievements would never have reached such magnitude had not one of the gods helped you to succeed; and I believe he did so, not that you might spend your whole life warring upon the barbarians in Europe alone, but that, having been trained and having gained experience and come to know your own powers in these campaigns, you might set your heart upon the course which I have urged upon you. It were therefore shameful, now that fortune nobly leads the way, to lag behind and refuse to follow whither she desires to lead you forward.

It is my belief that, while you ought to honour everyone who has any praise for your past accomplishments, you ought to consider that those laud you in the noblest terms who judge your nature capable of even greater triumphs, and not those whose discourse has gratified you for the moment only, but those who will cause future generations to admire your achievements beyond the deeds of any man of the generations that are past. I would like to say many things in this strain, but I am not able; the reason why, I have stated more often than I ought.

It remains, then, to summarize what I have said in this discourse, in order that you may see in the smallest compass the substance of my counsels. I assert that it is incumbent upon you to work for the good of the Hellenes, to reign as king over the Macedonians, ${ }^{,}$and to extend your power over the greatest possible number of the barbarians. For if you do these things, all men will be grateful to
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## TO PHILIP, 154-155

you : the Hellenes for your kindness to them; the Macedonians if you reign over them, not like a tyrant, but like a king ; and the rest of the nations, if by your hands they are delivered from barbaric despotism and are brought under the protection of Hellas

How well this discourse has been composed with respect to appropriateness and finish of style is a question which it is fair to ask my hearers to answer ; but that no one could give you better advice than this, or advice more suited to the present situation -of this I believe that I am well assured.
VI. ARCHIDAMUS

## INTRODUCTION

The disastrous battle of Leuctra in 371 b.c., followed up by three Theban invasions of the Peloponnesus, had reduced Sparta to a state of extreme anxiety. In 369 в.c., on the first of these invasions, Epaminondas had actually entered Sparta itself. ${ }^{a}$ In addition he had called back the fugitive Helots and Messenians, and with them had founded the new city of Messene. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

During the course of the later invasions, Corinth and some of the lesser allies of Sparta became restive. They bore the brunt of the Theban inroads, and got nothing for their pains. Therefore in 366 b.c. they made overtures of peace to Thebes. One of the conditions which Thebes imposed was that the newly colonized city of Messene be recognized as independent. ${ }^{c}$ Disposed to comply with this demand, the allied states, headed by the Corinthians, met in congress at Sparta to urge this course. ${ }^{d}$
a See note on Philip 48.
${ }^{6}$ The Messenians and the Helots had revolted against Sparta during the earthquake of 464 b.c. Messene was destroyed and the Messenians who remained on the ground were enslaved. See Diodorus xv. 66.
${ }^{c}$ This was in reality one of the terms of the peace of Pelopidas, secured by that Theban statesman in 367 p.c. See Xenophon, Hell. vii. 1. 36.
${ }^{d}$ See the speech of the Corinthians in Xenophon, Hell. vii. 4. 6-11.

## ISOCRATES

Isocrates has chosen for the setting of this speech the dramatic moment when Corinth has just presented the views of the allies. Archidamus III., son of the ruling king Agesilaus, rises in the assembly which had met to debate the question of war or peace with 'Thebes, and exhorts the Spartans to die rather than abandon Messene, their rightful and hereditary possession.

This stirring plea, admirably simulating the martial ardour of Spartan youth, was a remarkable performance for Isocrates in his ninetieth year. Among the ancient critics it excited general admiration as a masterpiece of finished composition, and animated style, ${ }^{a}$ and as a model of patriotic sentiment for citizens the world over. ${ }^{b}$

The purpose for which it was composed is a matter of conjecture. The out-and-out pro-Spartan sentiment which runs through it does not accord with the severe strictures upon Spartan policy which Isocrates elsewhere makes. The speech has, therefore, been explained both in ancient and modern times as a rhetorical exercise. This it probably was, in the sense that the author sought to enter sympathetically into Archidamus's point of view. He liked and admired the young prince, as appears from his letter to Archidamus, written some years later, as well as from the attractive portrait which the oration itself presents. It was no doubt written partly as a personal compliment and sent to Archidamus to make such use of as he saw fit, although it is improbable, if not impossible, that it was composed for him to deliver. But it was also intended,
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## ARCHIDAMUS

certainly, as a political document, setting forth in round terms, not only the feeling of the Spartans at this time, but also the Athenian hatred of Thebes and sympathy with Sparta which were accentuated during the Theban supremacy.

In view of the allusions to the peace congress of that year, the oration may probably be dated about 366 в.с. ${ }^{a}$
${ }^{a}$ See Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. p. 194.
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## VI. ARCHIDAMUS

Doubtless some of you are astonished that $I$, who heretofore have observed the customs ${ }^{a}$ of the state more faithfully, I dare say, than any other of my generation, have now so completely changed that I have come forward, in spite of my youth, to offer counsel regarding a subject which even our elders hesitate to discuss. The fact is that if any of those who are accustomed to address you had spoken in a manner worthy of the state, I should strictly have held my peace ; but now, since I see that they are either seconding the demands of the enemy, or opposing them but feebly, or have kept silent altogether, I have risen to set forth my own views on this subject, feeling that it would be disgraceful if by keeping the place appropriate to my years I should allow the state to pass measures unworthy of itself.

Moreover, I think that although on other matters it may be proper for men of my age to keep silent, yet on the question of war it is fitting that they most of all should give counsel who will also have the greatest part in the dangers, especially since the power to judge of what ought to be done is an endowment common to all of us. For if it were established that older men always know what is
not allowed even in the market-place until after they were thirty years old.

## ISOCRATES

$\beta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu, \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ є́vòs ỏ $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi \rho o v \epsilon i v ~ \epsilon \hat{v} \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta}$





 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a ́ \lambda o \iota \mu \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ้ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ â $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa о \mu \epsilon \nu$







 $\dot{v} \mu \hat{a} s ~ \pi \rho о т \rho \epsilon ́ \psi а \iota ~ \mu \eta \delta є \mu i ́ a \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta о к \iota \mu а ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota-$










[^144]
## ARCHIDAMUS, 4-7

best, while the younger are never correct in their views, it would be right to exclude us from giving counsel; but since it is not by the number of our years that we differ in wisdom from one another, but by our natural endowments and by our cultivation of them, why should you not make trial of both the young and the old, in order that you may be in a position to choose from all courses which are proposed that which is the most expedient? I am amazed at those who think that we are fit to command ships of war and to lead armies in the field, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ where bad judgement on our part would involve the state in many grave disasters, and yet do not think that we ought to express our views on matters which you are about to decide, wherein if we proved to be right we should benefit you all, while if, on the other hand, we failed of your assent we should ourselves perhaps suffer in reputation, but should not in any way impair the commonwealth.

It is not, I assure you, because I am ambitious to be an orator, nor because I am prepared to change my former mode of life that I have spoken as I have about these things, but because I want to urge you not to reject any time of life, but to seek among all ages for the man who can offer good advice on the problems which now confront us; for never since we have dwelt in Sparta has any war or any peril come upon us in which so much has been at stake as in this question which we are now assembled to discuss. For while in times past we fought that we might have dominion over the other states, now we must fight that we ourselves may not be forced to do their bidding-which is proof of a free spirit, to preserve which no hardship on earth is too great to endure,
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 $\kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} v o v s \pi \rho о \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha ́ \quad \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ av̉ $\frac{\hat{\omega}}{} \nu$,




 $\nu \alpha u s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \epsilon i v$.
11 Kaíтo九 $\lambda_{i} \alpha \nu \quad \pi \rho o \theta v ́ \mu \omega s$ oi $\sigma v ́ \mu \mu \alpha \chi o \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \beta \in \beta o v-$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 7-11

not for us alone, but for all others as well who have not renounced every claim to manhood but still make even slight pretensions to courage.

As for myself, at any rate, if I may speak my own mind, I had rather die this moment for not complying with the dictates of the foe than live many times my allotted span of life at the price of voting what the Thebans demand. For I should feel disgraced, I who am descended from Heracles, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ who am the son of the ruling king and likely myself to attain to this honour, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ if I did not strive with all the strength that is in me to prevent this territory, which our fathers left to us, from becoming the possession of our slaves. And I expect you also to share my feelings when you reflect that, while until the present day we seem to have been unfortunate in our contest with the Thebans, ${ }^{c}$ and to have been overcome in body because of the mistakes of our leader, ${ }^{,}$yet up to this moment we possess our spirits unconquered; but that if through fear of the dangers which now threaten us we relinquish anything that is ours, we shall justify the boasts of the Thebans, and erect against ourselves a trophy far more imposing and conspicuous than that which was raised at Leuctra; for the one will stand as a memorial of our illfortune ; the other, of our abject spirit. Let no man, therefore, persuade you to fasten such a disgrace upon the state.

And yet our allies ${ }^{e}$ have been only too zealous in advising you that you must give up Messene and make peacc. Because of this they merit your
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 oí $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma o v o \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ v \omega \nu$ є̀v є̀ $\pi \tau \alpha \kappa о \sigma i o \iota s$




 $\kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho i ́ a \nu, \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \xi \iota \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$

 $\rho \alpha \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ карт $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota, \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota \delta \alpha ́-$






 $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ av่ $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \sigma \omega^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
${ }^{a}$ The Arcadians had joined the Thebans in invading Sparta. The Argives, Eleans, and Achaeans had also forsaken Sparta and gone over partly or wholly to the Thebans.
${ }^{b}$ Such disturbances and changes of government took place about this time in Arcadia, Argos, Sicyon, Elis, and Phlius. See Xenophon, Hell. vii. 1-4. By vicious forms of government Archidamus probably refers to the democracies 352

## ARCHIDAMUS, 11-14

indignation far more than those who revolted ${ }^{a}$ from you at the beginning. For the latter, when they had forsaken your friendship, destroyed their own cities, plunging them into civil strife and massacres and vicious forms of government. ${ }^{b}$ These men, on the other hand, come here to inflict injury upon us; for they are trying to persuade us to throw away in one brief hour the glory which our forefathers amid manifold dangers during the course of seven hundred years ${ }^{c}$ acquired and bequeathed to us-a disaster more humiliating to Lacedaemon and more terrible than any other they could ever have devised. So far do they go in their selfish greed, so great is the cowardice which they impute to us, that they, who have time and again called upon us to make war in defence of their own territory, ${ }^{d}$ think we ought not to risk battle for Messene, but, in order that they may themselves cultivate their lands in security, seek to convince us that we ought to yield to the enemy a portion of our own ; and, besides all that, they threaten that if we do not comply with these terms, they will make a separate peace. For my part, I do not think that our risk without their alliance will be as much more serious for us as it will be more glorious and splendid and notable in the eyes of all mankind; for to endeavour to preserve ourselves and to prevail over our enemies, not through the aid of others, but through our own
which in various places had been set up instead of the earlier oligarchies.
© A round number for the period between 1104 b.c., the traditional date when the sons of Heracles took Sparta, and the date of the present oration, 366 в.с.
${ }^{d}$ Especially Corinth and Phlius. See Xenophon, Hell. iv. 4.7 and 15.

## ISOCRATES

каі $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ’ $\chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma о v ́ \mu \epsilon v o \nu$ тоîs

 [119] 乌 $\omega \nu$ тov̀s $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тои̂то ठıатрíßovтаs àpүoтє́fovs єîvaı $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ä $\nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \lambda \epsilon$ íovos $\pi 0 \iota \eta-$


 16 Прஸ̂тov $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv oîual $\delta \in \imath ̂ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s$

 тò $\pi \alpha \lambda a \iota o ̀ \nu ~ o ̋ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \delta \grave{~} \pi \rho \circ \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \psi \circ \mu a \iota \pi о \rho-$

 $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau о \nu \eta \geqslant \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ á $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ Иакє


 voıs каi кıvסv́voıs ท̂, $\sigma \alpha \nu, \tau \in \lambda \in v \tau \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau o s ~ \delta ' ~ E u ̉ \rho v-~$
 $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \hat{a} s \dot{\alpha} \phi i к о \nu \tau о$ єi's $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi о v{ }^{\prime}, \chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \alpha \nu-$



 $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon v o v$ ( $\mathrm{E} \dot{v} \rho v \sigma \theta \in \epsilon \in \omega s$ रà $\rho$ ả $\pi \circ \theta \alpha \nu o ́ v \tau o s$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ An allusion to the traditional Spartan fondness for brevity and distrust of eloquence.
${ }^{b}$ For the return of the sons of Heracles and details connected therewith see Apollodorus ii. 8. 2-4, and Frazer's notes on this passage (Loeb Classical Library, Vol. I.). Cf. Paneg. 54-58 and notes.
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 14-18

powers, is in keeping with the past achievements of our state.

Although I have never been fond of oratory, having in fact always thought that those who cultivate the power of speech are somewhat lacking in capacity for action, ${ }^{,}$yet at the moment there is nothing I should value more than the ability to speak as I desire about the question now before us; for in the present crisis I am confident that with this aid I could render a very great service to the state.

First, I think that I ought to explain to you in what way we acquired Messene, and for what reasons you settled in the Peloponnesus-you who from of old are Dorians. And the reason why I shall go back to remote times is that you may understand why your enemies are trying to rob you of this country, which you acquired, no less than Lacedaemon itself, with a just title.

When Heracles had put off this life and from being mortal became a god, his sons at first went on divers wanderings and faced many perils because of the power of their enemies ; ${ }^{b}$ but after the death of Eurystheus they fixed their habitation among the Dorians. In the third generation thereafter they came to Delphi, desiring to consult the oracle about certain matters. Apollo, however, made them no answer to the questions which they asked, but merely bade them seek the country of their fathers. Searching into the meaning of the oracle, they found, first, that Argos belonged to them by right of their being next of kin, for after the death of Eurystheus they were the sole survivors of Perseus' line ; ${ }^{c}$ next, that
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## ISOCRATES





 $19 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha a s), ~ М \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta ́ \nu \eta \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ סopıád $1 \omega \tau o \nu \lambda \eta$ -

 $\dot{v \pi o ̀ ~ N \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau о \rho o s, ~} \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ av̀ $\eta \grave{\nu} \nu ~ a i \chi \mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \nu ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu ~$
 $\tau i \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu, ~ v o \mu i ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon \hat{v}$ ф $\rho o v \in i ̂ \nu ~ o ̋ \tau \iota$

 $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu \quad \sigma v \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ iठíav $\chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu$



 торєía $\gamma \epsilon \nu$ о伯 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho o ̀ v ~ \phi \epsilon \rho o v ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau i ́ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \alpha ~ \delta \iota a \tau \rho i ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \nu ;$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 18-21

Lacedaemon was theirs by right of gift, for when Tyndareus, having been driven from his throne, ${ }^{a}$ was restored to it by Heracles, ${ }^{b}$ after Castor and Polydeuces had vanished from among men, ${ }^{c}$ he gave the land to Heracles because of this act of kindness and also because of the kinship of Heracles and his own sons ; and lastly, they found that Messene was theirs as a prize taken in war, for Heracles, when he had been robbed of the cattle from Erytheia ${ }^{d}$ by Neleus and all his sons except Nestor, had taken the country captive and slain the offenders, but had committed the city to Nestor's charge, believing him to be prudent, because, although the youngest of his brethren, he had taken no part in their iniquity.

Assuming this to be the purport of the oracle, they joined forces with your forefathers and organized an army, sharing meantime their own country with their followers, ${ }^{e}$ but receiving from them the kingship as the prize reserved for themselves alone ; then having confirmed these covenants by mutual pledges, they set out upon the expedition. The perils which befell them on the march, and the other incidents ${ }^{f}$ which have no bearing on the present theme, I need not take the time to describe. Let it suffice that, having conquered in war those who among men. According to others, both were sons of Zeus. Hence Isocrates can refer to their kinship with Heracles, the son of Zeus and Alcmene. See Apollodorus iii. 11.2 and Isocrates, Helen 61.
${ }^{d}$ To fetch the cattle of Geryon from Erytheia, an island off the coast of Spain, was the tenth labour imposed on Heracles by Eurystheus. See Apollodorus ii. 5. 10 .
${ }^{e}$ That is, the common folk of the Dorians as distinguished from the descendants of Heracles, the ancestors of Archidamus.
${ }^{1}$ Such as are told in Apollodorus ii. 8. 3.

## ISOCRATES

 $\lambda \epsilon i \alpha s$.


















 $\sigma \alpha \phi \in ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i$ av̀ $\frac{\omega}{\nu} \nu$, ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ каi $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ o i ̂ \mu a \iota ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \phi a v \epsilon \rho o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$. таv́т $\eta \nu \tau \epsilon \gamma$ à $\rho$ оікои̂ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ סóvт $\omega \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha-$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 21-24

dwelt in the regions which I have mentioned, they divided their kingdom into three parts. ${ }^{a}$

Now you men of Sparta have until this day remained faithful to the oaths and to the covenants which you made with my forefathers; therefore in time past you have fared better than the rest of the world, and in time to come you may reasonably hope, if you continue as you have been, to fare better than at present. But the Messenians went so far in their wickedness that they plotted against and slew Cresphontes, albeit he was the founder of their state, the sovereign of their land, a descendant of Heracles, and once the leader of their armies. His sons, however, escaped the perils which confronted them and threw themselves upon the mercy of Sparta, beseeching us to come to the aid of their dead father ${ }^{b}$ and offering us their land. And you, after inquiring of Apollo, and being directed by him to accept this gift and avenge the wronged, thereupon beleaguered the Messenians, forced them to surrender, and thus gained possession of their territory.

I have not, it is true, recounted in detail our original titles to this land (for the present occasion does not permit me to go into legendary history, and I have had to set them forth with too great brevity for clearness); yet I am sure that even this brief statement makes it evident to all that there is no difference whatever between the way in which we acquired the land which is acknowledged to be ours and the land to which our claim is disputed. For we inhabit Lacedaemon because the sons of Heracles gave it to us, because Apollo directed us to do so, of Cresphontes, who avenged the death of his father. Apollodorus ii. 8. 5 .

## ISOCRATES










 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$.


 rival vouíhovatv. 升 $\mu \in i=1$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ท̀ $\pi \epsilon i \rho о v, ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho i \nu ~ о і к \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 24-27

and because we fought and conquered those who held it ; and Messene we received from the same people, in the same way, and by taking the advice of the same oracle. To be sure, if we are in a mood not to defend our title to anything, not even if they demand that we abandon Sparta itself, it is idle to be concerned about Messene ; but if not one of you would consent to live if torn from the fatherland, then you ought to be of the same mind about that country; for in both cases we can advance the same justifications and the same reasons for our claim.

Then again you are doubtless well aware that possessions, whether private or public, when they have remained for a long time in the hands of their owner, are by all men acknowledged to be hereditary and incontestable. Now we took Messene before the Persians acquired their kingdom ${ }^{a}$ and became masters of the continent, in fact before a number of the Hellenic cities were even founded. And yet notwithstanding that we hold these titles, the Thebans would on the one hand restore Asia as his ancestral right to the barbarian, ${ }^{b}$ who has not yet held sway over it for two hundred years, while on the other hand they would rob us of Messene, which we have held for more than twice that length of time ; ${ }^{c}$ and although it was only the other day that they razed both Thespiae and Plataea to the ground, ${ }^{d}$ yet now, after a lapse of four hundred years, they propose to settle their colonists in Messene-acting refers to this or a similar event. See Pausanias iv. 4. 3. Dinarchus (Against Demosthenes 73) gives the same figure as Isocrates.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Plataea was destroyed about 372 b.c., and Thespiae shortly after. See Diodorus xv. 46. 4 and Xenophon, Hell. vi. 3. 1. Others give the date as 374 в.с.

## ISOCRATES






 ठíкаıov, $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\epsilon i$ тoùs $\delta o v ́ \lambda o v s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~$




 $\kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ тод̀े $\chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho о \nu \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu$.










Tò тoívvv $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} o v, ~ o ̂ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ a ̈ \nu ~ o ́ \mu о \lambda o \gamma \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu$

 öт $\tau \epsilon \delta_{i \delta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu} \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ v$ av่ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ K $\rho \epsilon \sigma \phi o ́ v \tau o v \pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu$
 àठıкоч $\mu \in ́ v o \iota s, ~ \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi тоv̂ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v ~ \mu а к \rho о \hat{v} \gamma \iota-$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 27-31

in both cases contrary to the oaths and covenants. ${ }^{a}$ Were they restoring those who are truly Messenians, they would still be acting unjustly, but at least they would have a more plausible pretext for wronging us; but as the case stands, it is the Helots whom they are trying to settle on our frontier, ${ }^{b}$ so that the worst fate which threatens us is not that we shall be robbed of our land contrary to justice, but that we shall see our slaves made masters of it.

You will perceive still more clearly from what follows both that we are now dealt with most unfairly and that in the past we held Messene justly. For in the many wars which have befallen us we have before this at times been forced to make peace when we were in much worse case than our foes. ${ }^{c}$ But, although our treaties were concluded under circumstances in which it was impossible for us to seek any advantage, yet, while there were other matters about which differences arose, neither the Great King nor the city of Athens ever charged us with having acquired Messene unjustly. And yet how could we find a more thoroughgoing judgement on the justice of our case than this, which was rendered by our enemies and made at a time when we were beset with misfortunes ?

That oracle, moreover, which all would acknowledge to be the most ancient and the most widely accepted and the most trustworthy in existence, recognized Messene as ours, not only at the time when it commanded us to receive the country as a gift from the sons of Cresphontes and to go to the aid of the wronged, but also later, when the war
the Peace of Antalcidas, and the separate peace between Athens and Sparta (Xenophon, Hell. vi. 2. 1).

## ISOCRATES


 $\epsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ ö $\tau \omega$ тро́т $\omega$ $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta{ }_{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$
 $\pi о \iota o u \mu$ évoıs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aï $\tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu, \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ' \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$.

 $\tau o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к v \rho i ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu ~ \lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$





 vin $\epsilon \rho \omega \rho i ́ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тоúтoıs каi $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota$ то仑̂ $\chi \rho o ́ v o v ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{n} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \chi \theta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa \rho i ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau о \hat{v}$



 $\epsilon ่ \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \epsilon \in \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ M \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \nu i ́ o u s . ~$

 $\epsilon i \rho \eta ิ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \nu о \mu i \zeta \omega$.

 $\alpha u ̛ \tau \eta \grave{\nu} \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \quad$ Єै $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{i} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{v}-$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 31-34

dragged on and both sides sent delegations to Delphi, the Messenians appealing for deliverance and we inquiring how we could most speedily make ourselves masters of their city, the god gave them no answer, thus showing that their appeal was unjust, while to us he revealed both what sacrifices we should perform and to whom we should send for aid. ${ }^{a}$

And yet how could anyone furnish testimony more significant or clearer than this? For it has been shown, first of all (since nothing prevents our restating these points briefly), that we received the country from its rightful owners; secondly, that we took it by war, precisely as most of the cities in those days were founded; further, that we drove out those who had grievously sinned against the children of Heracles-men who by right should have been banished from the sight of all mankind; and, finally, it has been shown that the length of our tenure, the judgement of our enemies, and the oracles of Apollo all confirm our right to the possession of Messene. Anyone of these facts is enough to refute the assertions of those who presume to allege against us either that we now refuse to conclude peace because of a desire for aggrandizement, or that we then made war on the Messenians because we coveted what was not our own. I might perhaps say more than this about our acquisition of Messene, but I consider what I have already said to be sufficient.

Those who advise us to make peace declare that prudent men ought not to take the same view of things in fortunate as in unfortunate circumstances,
Athenians are said to have sent Tyrtaeus, the lame schoolmaster, to the aid of the Spartans. See Pausanias iv. 15.

## ISOCRATES

$\tau v \chi \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha s$ каì $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \circ \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha s$, à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho o ̀ v ~$




 [123] $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon i S$ ä $\nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \omega \nu \pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$. ó $\rho \hat{\omega} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ каі̀

 $\tau \iota \mu о v \mu$ ย́vovs, каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \in \hat{v} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu$ évas $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i$
 Movs $\tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$ ov̉ катà $\tau \dot{\alpha} s ~ \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \iota S$



 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o v \tau \alpha s, a ̀ \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \grave{v} \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o v ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ v i \beta \rho i \zeta o v \tau \alpha s ~ к а i ~$


 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ठè $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau o s ~ a ̉ \nu \tau i \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu$. ठvoîv $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \iota v o \mu$ évoıv à $\gamma \alpha$ Өoîv, каì то̂̂ $\mu$ ѝv ővтоs $\pi \rho o-$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 34-38

but rather that they should always consult their immediate situation and accommodate themselves to their fortunes, and should never entertain ambitions beyond their power, but should at such times seek, not their just rights but their best interests.

In all else I agree with them, but no man could ever persuade me that one should ever deem anything to be of greater consequence than justice ; ${ }^{a}$ for I see that our laws have been made to secure it, that men of character and reputation pride themselves upon practising it, and that it constitutes the chief concern of all well-regulated states; further, I observe that the wars of the past have in the end been decided, not in accordance with the strongest forces, but in accordance with justice; and that, in general, the life of man is destroyed by vice and preserved by virtue. Therefore those should not lack courage who are about to take up arms in a just cause, but far more those who are insolent and do not know how to bear their good fortune with moderation. ${ }^{b}$

Then, too, there is this point to consider : At present we are all agreed as to what is just, while we differ as to what is expedient. But now that two good things are set before us, the one evident, the other doubtful, how ridiculous you would make yourselves if you should reject that course which is acknowledged to be good and decide to take that which is debatable, especially when your choice is a matter of such importance! For according to my proposal you would not relinquish a single one of your possessions nor fasten any disgrace upon the state ; nay, on the contrary, you would have good hope that taking up arms in a just cause you would

## ISOCRATES







 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ єíádaoı $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \mu v \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~$
 $\pi о \circ \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ тò $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ á $\epsilon i \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \omega \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \pi \iota-$


 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$.





 $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ \kappa \iota s \gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma о v \in \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ каi $\tau о v_{s} \mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega$ бv́va $\mu \iota \nu$

 $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota, \tau i \quad \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \grave{o} \nu ~ \epsilon i$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu v \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \theta-$ $\epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \lambda{ }_{\eta} \psi \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ \quad \tau \iota \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$;





a That is, before the Theban invasion of 369 в.c.

## ARCHIDAMUS, 38-41

fight better than your foes. According to their proposal, on the other hand, you would withdraw at once from Messene, and, having first committed this wrong against yourselves, you would perhaps fail to secure both what is expedient and what is just - and everything else which you expect to gain. For as yet it is by no means evident that if we do as we are bidden we shall henceforth enjoy a lasting peace. For I think you are not unaware that all men are wont to discuss just terms with those who defend their rights, while in the case of those who are over-ready to do what they are commanded they keep adding more and more to the conditions which at first they intended to impose ; and thus it happens that men of a warlike temper obtain a more satisfactory peace than those who too readily come to terms.

But lest I should seem to dwell too long on this point, I shall abandon all such considerations and turn at once to the simplest of my proofs. If no people, after meeting with misfortune, ever recovered themselves or mastered their enemies, then we cannot reasonably hope to win victory in battle ; but if on many occasions it has happened that the stronger power has been vanquished by the weaker, and that the besiegers have been destroyed by those confined within the walls, what wonder if our own circumstances likewise should undergo a change ?

Now in the case of Sparta I can cite no instance of this kind, for in times past no nation stronger than ourselves ever invaded our territory ; ${ }^{a}$ but in the case of other states there are many such examples which one might use, and especially is this true of

## ISOCRATES

$42 \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu \alpha i \omega \nu$. тoúтovs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \dot{v} \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$,

 $\eta^{\eta} \mu v ́ v \alpha \nu \tau о, \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \grave{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о \iota s ~ \epsilon v ̉ \delta о к \iota \mu \eta \prime \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s$. тov̀s $\mu \epsilon ̇ \nu$ oûv $\pi a \lambda a \iota o v ̀ s ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ̛ v o v s ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \xi \iota o i \eta \nu$, ov̂s
 $\pi o \nu \nu \eta \sigma i o v s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau ' ~ E u ̀ \rho v \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho \rho \alpha ~$ $\alpha u ̀ \tau \omega ิ \nu$ єíqßa入óvтas, ’" $\sigma \omega s$ ả $\rho \chi \alpha i ̂ a ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi o ́ \rho \rho \omega ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta ́ \sigma o v$ катоєкои́vт $\omega \nu$, о́ $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu \quad \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ク̆



 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \alpha \iota$ катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.
 $\delta \epsilon i \xi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o \lambda \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu v v^{\nu} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \chi \theta \rho o u ́ s, ~ i ́ s ~ \pi o \lambda-~$
 раขvos катабта̀s єis тодьоркía víò $\mathrm{K} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \delta о \nu i \omega \nu$,
 [125] $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega$ катє $\chi^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta v \sigma \kappa o ́-~$


[^154]
## ARCHIDAMUS, 42-44

the city of the Athenians. For we shall find that as a result of dictating to others they lost repute with the Hellenes, while by defending themselves against insolent invaders they won fame among all mankind. Now if I were to recount the wars of old which they fought against the Amazons or the Thracians or the Peloponnesians ${ }^{a}$ who under the leadership of Eurystheus invaded Attica, no doubt I should be thought to speak on matters ancient and remote from the present situation ; but in their war against the Persians, ${ }^{b}$ who does not know from what hardships they arose to great good-fortune? For they alone of those who dwelt outside of the Peloponnesus, although they saw that the strength of the barbarians was irresistible, did not think it honourable to consider the terms imposed upon them, ${ }^{c}$ but straightway chose to see their city ravaged rather than enslaved. Leaving their own country, ${ }^{d}$ and adopting Freedom as their fatherland, they shared the dangers of war with us, and wrought such a change in their fortunes that, after being deprived of their own possessions for but a few days, they became for many years masters of the rest of the world. ${ }^{e}$

Athens, however, is not the only instance by which one might show how great are the advantages of daring to resist one's enemies. There is also the case of the tyrant Dionysius, who, when he was besieged by the Carthaginians, seeing not a glimmer of hope for deliverance, but being hard pressed both by the war and by the disaffection of his citizens, was, for his part, on the point of sailing away, when one of
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## ISOCRATES



 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \mu v \rho \iota a ́ \delta a s ~ K a \rho \chi \eta \delta o \nu i ́ \omega \nu ~ \delta \iota-~$




 $\alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu, \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$.

 $\beta \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma о \iota к о и ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha ́ \chi \eta$ каi та́бךs Макє-




 $\mu \epsilon ̇ \nu \quad \tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu \mu \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ катє́ $\sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ ä $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ Макє
 $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

 $\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ Өńßas $\pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \omega \nu \in i \quad \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon i \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\epsilon \pi i$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 44-47

his companions made bold to declare that "royalty is a glorious shroud." a Ashamed of what he had planned to do, and taking up the war afresh, he destroyed countless hosts of the Carthaginians, ${ }^{b}$ strengthened his authority over his subjects, acquired far greater dominion than he had possessed before, ruled with absolute power until his death, ${ }^{c}$ and left his son in possession of the same honours and powers as he himself had enjoyed.

Similar to this was the career of Amyntas, king of the Macedonians. Worsted in battle by the neighbouring barbarians, and robbed of all Macedonia, he at first proposed to quit the country and save his life, but hearing someone praise the remark made to Dionysius, and, like Dionysius, repenting of his decision, Amyntas seized a small fortified post, sent out thence for reinforcements, recovered the whole of Macedonia within three months, spent the remainder of his days on the throne, and finally died of old age. ${ }^{d}$

But we should both grow weary, you with listening and I with speaking, if we were to examine every incident of this sort ; nay, if we were to recall also our experience with Thebes, while we should be grieved over past events, we should gain better hopes for the future. For when they ventured to withstand our inroads and our threats, e fortune so completely reversed their situation that they, who
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## ISOCRATES







 $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta}$ каi $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} s \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тòv $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ द́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i \alpha \iota s . ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i$




 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.

 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \chi \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \eta ं \mu a ̂ s ~ \gamma \epsilon-~$
 каi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o ́ \lambda \omega \quad \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \pi \iota \pi \iota \sigma \tau \in v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ ả $\xi \iota \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$.







 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon і ̂ \sigma \tau о \nu$ ă้ $\tau \iota$ र $\chi o ́ v o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \alpha$

 374

## ARCHIDAMUS, 47-50

at all other times have been in our power, now assert their right to dictate to us.

Seeing, then, that such great reversals have taken place, he is a very foolish person who thinks that they will fail to occur in our case ; nay, we must endure for the present and be of good courage with regard to the future, knowing that states repair such disasters by the aid of good government and experience in warfare; and on this point no one would dare contradict me when I say that we have greater experience in military matters than any other people, and that government as it ought to be exists among us alone. With these two advantages on our side, we cannot fail to prove more successful in our undertakings than those who have paid but slight attention to either government or war.

There are those who condemn war and dwell on its precariousness, employing many other proofs, but particularly our own experiences, and express surprise that men should see fit to rely on an expedient so difficult and hazardous.

But I know of many who through war have acquired great prosperity, and many who have been robbed of all they possessed through keeping the peace; for nothing of this kind is in itself absolutely either good or bad, but rather it is the use we make of circumstances and opportunities which in either case must determine the result. Those who are prosperous should set their hearts on peace, for in a state of peace they can preserve their present condition for the greatest length of time; those, however, who are unfortunate should give their minds to war, for out of the confusion and innovation resulting from it they can more quickly secure a

## ISOCRATES








 סov入єías ov̈ras, $\pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \dot{s} \delta_{\imath} \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha{ }^{\prime}$, ö $\tau \alpha \nu$


 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v$ тоьท́ $\sigma \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda v \sigma \iota \nu$.



 ӧтє тòv $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta о ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ \pi о \lambda \iota о \rho к о \nu \mu е ́ v \eta ~ \tau \iota \nu i ~$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi i \delta \omega \nu$ єîs $\mu o ́ v o s ~ \Lambda а к \epsilon \delta a \iota-~$ $\mu o \nu i \omega \nu$ ßoŋ $\theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, víò $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ à $\nu \dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda о \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \tau o$
 [127] $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o v s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \grave{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho \grave{\alpha}$

 $\gamma \grave{\rho} \rho$ єis Xíov єíandєv́$\sigma \alpha s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \iota-$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ av́то̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota о \rho к о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ бvข $\tau \alpha \xi \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s, \pi о \lambda-$


[^158]
## ARCHIDAMUS, 50-53

change in their fortunes. But we, I fear, will be seen to have pursued exactly the opposite course ; for when we might have lived at ease, we made more wars than were necessary, but now, when we have no choice but to risk battle, we desire tranquillity and deliberate about our own security. And yet those who wish to be free ought to shun a peace whose terms are dictated by the enemy as being not far removed from slavery, and should make treaties only when they have defeated their adversaries, or when they have made their forces equal to those of the enemy ; for the kind of peace which each side will obtain will be decided by the manner in which they conclude the war.

Bearing these facts in mind, you must not rashly commit yourselves to shameful terms, nor let it appear that you are more remiss in your deliberations about your country than about the rest of the world. Let me recall to your minds that formerly, if a single Lacedaemonian gave aid to one of our allied cities when it was pressed by siege, all men would concede that its deliverance was due to him. Now the older among you could name the greater number of these men, but I, too, can recount the most illustrious of them : Pedaritus, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ sailing to Chios, saved that city ; Brasidas entered Amphipolis and, having rallied about him a few of those who were under siege, defeated the besiegers ${ }^{b}$ in battle in spite of their numbers; Gylippus, by
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## ISOCRATES

Гúdımтos ठѐ $\Sigma v \rho a \kappa o \sigma i o o s ~ \beta o \eta \theta \eta ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ o u ̉ ~ \mu o ́ v o v ~$

 $\alpha_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha i \chi \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \nu$ é $\lambda \alpha \beta \in \nu$.

 $\nu v \nu i \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \quad \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$











 ő $\nu \tau a s$, ov゙ $\tau \omega$ тoเєî$\theta \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$;
"O $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda \iota \omega \dot{\tau} \alpha \tau о \nu, \epsilon i \quad \phi \iota \lambda о \pi о \nu \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \iota$
 ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ßov $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тov́т $\omega \nu$. тivas $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ï $\sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$,




${ }^{a}$ In the memorable Sicilian expedition of 414 в.c. Gylippus defeated the Athenian general Nicias and took his entire forces captive.
${ }^{b}$ That is, since the battle of Leuctra. In addition to others mentioned above, Agesilaus, father of Archidamus, had won many victories in Asia Minor (396-394 в.c.).

## ARCHIDAMUS, 53-56

bringing aid to the Syracusans, not only saved them from destruction, but also captured the entire armament of the enemy, which dominated them both by land and by sea. ${ }^{a}$

And yet is it not shameful that in those days single men among us were strong enough to protect the cities of others, but now all of us together are not able, nor do we attempt, to save our own city? Is it not shameful that, when we fought for others, we filled Europe and Asia with trophies, but now, when our own country is so openly outraged, we cannot show that we have fought in her behalf a single battle worthy of note ? ${ }^{b}$ Is it not shameful, finally, that other cities have endured the last extremities of siege to preserve our empire, ${ }^{c}$ while we ourselves see no reason why we should bear even slight hardships to prevent our being forced to do anything contrary to our just rights, but are to be seen even at this moment feeding teams of ravenous horses, ${ }^{d}$ although, like men reduced to the direst extremities and in want of their daily bread, we sue for peace in this fashion?

But it would be of all things the most outrageous if we who are accounted the most energetic of the Hellenes should be more slack than the rest in our deliberations upon this question. What people do we know, worth mentioning at all, who after a single defeat and a single invasion of their country have in so cowardly a fashion agreed to do everything demanded of them ? How could such men hold out

- For example, Thespiae. See Xenophon, Hell. vi. 3. For other examples see Pausanias ix. 14, and Diodorus xv. 57 and 69.
${ }_{d}$ Horses were kept for racing, and were regarded as an expensive luxury.


## ISOCRATES



 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \nu \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha s$ av̇ $\bar{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha i \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, каi $\mu \eta \delta \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$







 $\tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\rho} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ä $\sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$

 $\eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \xi \in \iota \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \epsilon \lambda \in v o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ то $\lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$.


 $\chi \rho \eta$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda c ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \tau \circ \hat{\imath} s{ }^{\prime} \delta \eta \eta$





 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \rho เ \alpha^{\delta} \omega \nu \cdot$ oîठa $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ каi $\tau$ ov̀s $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau o v s$
 $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \in \nu o v s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon-$


[^160]
## ARCHIDAMUS, 57-60

against a long season of misfortune? Who would not censure us if, while the Messenians withstood siege for twenty years in order to retain Messene, ${ }^{a}$ we should so quickly withdraw from it under a treaty and should take no thought of our forefathers, but should allow ourselves to be persuaded by words to throw away this territory which they acquired by dint of struggles and wars ?

There are those, however, who care for none of these things, but, overlooking all considerations of shame, counsel you to follow a course which will bring disgrace upon the state. And so anxious are they to persuade you to give up Messene that they have dared to dwell on the weakness of Sparta and the strength of the enemy, and now they challenge us who oppose them to say from what quarter we expect reinforcements to come, seeing that we exhort you to make war.

For my part, I consider that the strongest and surest ally we can have is just dealing, for it is probable that the favour of the gods will be with those who deal justly-that is, if we may judge the future by the past; and in addition to this ally are good government and sober habits of life, and a willingness to battle to the death against the enemy, and the conviction that nothing is so much to be dreaded as the reproaches of our fellow-citizens-qualities which we possess in larger measure than any other people in existence. With these allies I would far rather go to war than with multitudes of soldiers, for I know that those of our people who first came to this country did not prevail over their adversaries through numbers, but through the virtues which I

## ISOCRATES






 $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu \tau \alpha s$ oîs $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ ar $\rho \chi \eta \hat{\eta}_{S} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тàs $\epsilon \dot{u} \tau v \chi i a s ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \delta v \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$,

 тои́тoıs $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \alpha s$, ar $\lambda \lambda$ lows $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$




 [129]




 $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \sigma v \mu \phi \in \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ avi $\alpha i \hat{s}$

${ }^{a}$ That is, those of the Theban league. Isocrates is here describing Thebes and especially her allies in the Peloponnesus.
${ }^{b}$ See note $a$, p. 352. Xenophon, Hell. vii. 1.32, says that the Thebans and Eleans were no less pleased at the defeat of their allies, the Arcadians, in the "tearless" battle of 367 в.с. than were the Lacedaemonians.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ For Athens see Peace 105 and Philip 44. Among the states in Peloponnesus, Phlius, Heraea, and Orchomenus in Arcadia were still true to Sparta. (Xenophon, Hell. vii. 382

## ARCHIDAMUS, 60-63

have just set forth. Therefore we ought not to stand in fear of our enemies because they are many, but should much rather take courage when we see that we ourselves have borne up under our misfortunes as no other people have ever done, and that we still remain faithful to the customs and ways of life which we established here in the very beginning, while the rest of the Hellenes are not able to stand even their good fortune, but have become completely demoralized, some of them seizing the cities of their allies, ${ }^{a}$ others opposing them in this; some disputing with their neighbours about territory, others, again, indulging their envy of one another ${ }^{b}$ rather than making war against us. Therefore I wonder at those who look for a stronger ally than is found in the blundering of our enemies.

But if I must also speak of aid from the outside, I think that many will be disposed to assist us. ${ }^{c}$ For I know, in the first place, that the Athenians, although they may not hold with us in everything, yet if our existence were at stake would go to any length to save us; in the second place, that some of the other states would consult our interest as if it were their very own; again, that the tyrant Dionysius, and the
2.1, vi. 5. 22, and vi. 5.11.) The reference is to Dionysius the younger, who began to reign 367-366 в.c. His father had given aid to Sparta on various occasions. See Underhill's note on Xenophon, Hell. v. 1. 28 (Oxford edition). Nectanebos ( $378-364$ в.c.) was king of Egypt at this time. Egypt generally supported those who fought against the Persians, and now the Theban enemies of Sparta were in league with Persia. As to the dynasts of Asia see Paneg. 162 and Philip 103. Probably such powerful rulers as Mausolus of Caria, who revolted from Persia in 362 b.c., are here meant, as well as the rulers of Cyprus, See Philip 102 and Paneg. 134.

## ISOCRATES



 тоьs каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ тov̀s $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ o v ̉ \sigma i a ı s ~ \pi \rho o-~$ є́ $\chi$ оитаs каi таîs סókals $\pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon$ vovovas каi $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i-$
 бvvєбт $\eta^{\prime} \kappa a \sigma \iota v, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} v o i ́ a \iota s ~ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \alpha$ s, द̀ $\nu$ oîs $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ єiкó $\tau \omega s$ à $\nu$

 $\pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma \omega$ каi $\tau \grave{o} \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$, ôv ơớ $\epsilon \in \theta a \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \epsilon-$



 бтovs є́̇i $\tau 0$ ôs $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho i ́ \sigma \tau o \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \gamma o ́ v a \sigma \iota \nu)$,



 $\tau \grave{s} \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$, às єं $\pi v \nu \theta$ ávovтo $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \quad \pi a \rho{ }^{\prime}$

 $\sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \sigma u \mu \phi o \rho a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i ้ \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \delta \in ́ v a$ סıa-


 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}{ }_{S} \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a s, \pi \epsilon \pi o \rho \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$

[^161]
## ARCHIDAMUS, 63-66

king of Egypt, and the various dynasts throughout Asia, each so far as he has the power, would willingly lend us aid ; and, furthermore, that the Hellenes who rank first in wealth and stand foremost in reputation and who desire the best of governments, ${ }^{a}$ even though they have not yet allied themselves with us, are with us at least to the extent of wishing us well, and that upon them we have good reason to rest great hopes for the future.

Also I think that not only the people of the Peloponnesus in general but even the adherents of democracy, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ whom we consider to be especially unfriendly to us, are already yearning for our protection. For by revolting from us they have gained nothing of what they anticipated ; on the contrary, they have got just the opposite of freedom ; for having slain the best of their citizens, they are now in the power of the worst ; instead of securing self-government, they have been plunged into misgovernment of many terrible kinds ; accustomed as they have been in the past to march with us against others, they now behold the rest taking the field against themselves ; and the war of factions, of whose existence in other territories they used to know only by report, they now see waged almost every day in their own states. They have been so levelled by their misfortunes that no man can discern who among them are the most wretched ; for not one of their states is unscathed, not one but has neighbours ready to do it injury; in consequence, their fields have been government. Cf. Xenophon, Hell. v. 2. 6. Such people might be expected to form a conspiracy to set up an oligarchy favourable to Sparta.
${ }^{\circ}$ Those in Peloponnesus who are not definitely committed to an oligarchic government.
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## ISOCRATES

$\tau \dot{\alpha} s \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s, \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau o v s$ ठè $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \tau o v ̀ s$



 $\sigma \iota \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ тov̀s $\pi o \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \ddot{\eta}$ тov̀s $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s$




 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau o \nu \tau \epsilon S$ ova $\delta^{\prime}$ adv $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \xi \alpha \iota \nu-$





 on $\tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \eta \ddot{\eta}^{\chi} \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉к $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta a \quad \sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \rho \alpha \alpha^{-}$

 $\tau \iota v \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta_{\nu} \nu$.



 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \circ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \epsilon i \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, on $\psi \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ aù $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda \lambda o-$
${ }^{a}$ The Achaeans (Polybius ii. 38. 6) and the Mantineans (Aelian, V.H. ii. 22) were famed for their excellent laws.
${ }^{b}$ Possibly Isocrates may have in mind the massacre at Corinth in 392 b.c. (Xenophon, Hell. iv. 4. 3), the murder 386

## ARCHIDAMUS, 66-69

laid waste, their cities sacked, their people driven from their homes, their constitutions overturned, and the laws abolished under which they were once the most fortunate among the Hellenes. ${ }^{a}$ They feel such distrust and such hatred of one another that they fear their fellow-citizens more than the enemy; instead of preserving the spirit of accord and mutual helpfulness which they enjoyed under our rule, they have become so unsocial that those who own property had rather throw their possessions into the sea than lend aid to the needy, while those who are in poorer circumstances would less gladly find a treasure than seize the possessions of the rich; having ceased sacrificing victims at the altars they slaughter one another ${ }^{b}$ there instead; and more people are in exile now from a single city than before from the whole of the Peloponnesus. But although the miseries which I have recounted are so many, those which remain unmentioned far outnumber them; for all the distress and all the horror in the world have come together in this one region. With these miseries some states are already replete; others too will shortly have their fill, and then they will seek to find some relief for the troubles which now beset them. For do not imagine that they will continue to put up with these conditions; for how could men who grew weary even of prosperity endure for a long time the pressure of adversity? And so not only if we fight and conquer, but even if we keep quiet and bide our time, you will see them veer
of certain Achaean suppliants, who took refuge in the temple of Heliconian Poseidon (Pausanias vii. 25), or the slaughter of 1200 prominent citizens in Argos in 371 b.c. (Diodorus xv. 58). Cf. Phil. 52.

## ISOCRA'TES

$\mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ оvциахíav $\sigma \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu$
 тоцаúтаs.


 $\nu \omega \nu$ oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ả $\delta \iota \kappa \circ \hat{\imath} \epsilon \nu$ ทं $\mu \hat{\alpha} S$ oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \epsilon \nu$, ov่ ${ }^{\prime}$

 $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ ó $о \lambda о \gamma i ́ \alpha s ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha s . ~ \alpha i \sigma \chi v \nu \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta \nu ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$

 $\chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu, \epsilon \ddot{\iota} \tau$ ' є́кєì $\omega \nu$ o’ $\rho \theta \hat{\omega}_{s} \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каі $\pi \rho о \sigma-$ $\eta \kappa o ́ \nu \tau \omega S$ ท̀ $\mu \in i ̂ S ~ \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ тò $\delta i ́ \kappa \alpha \iota о \nu ~ \sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~$

 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \theta \iota \iota \sigma \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~ \epsilon ́ \gamma к \omega \mu \iota \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$

 $\tau \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vi $\pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ єiрךкє́vaı $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\grave{\eta} \mu \omega ิ \nu$.
 [131] $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \chi \theta \rho o u ̀ s ~ \tau o \iota \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ~$ $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ каi $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu, \epsilon ่ \xi \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇ $\pi \alpha \nu o \rho \theta \omega ́ \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$

 $\delta v \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ ठıaфv入áт $\tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \in \nu$ є’ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ â $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, ö $\mu \omega s \delta^{\prime}$ ои’к о́кขท́ $\sigma \omega \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. каi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ є' $\xi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ тоîs ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ ка入-




## ARCHIDAMUS, 69-72

round and come to regard alliance with us as their only safety. Such, then, are the hopes which I entertain.

However, so far am I from complying with the enemy's demands that, if none of these hopes should be realized and we should fail to obtain help from any quarter, but on the contrary some of the Hellenes should wrong us and the rest should look on with indifference-even so I should not alter my opinion; but I would undergo all the hazards which spring from war before I would agree to these terms. For I should be equally chagrined in either case-if we charged our forefathers with having deprived the Messenians of their land unjustly, or if, although insisting that they acquired it rightly and honourably, we made any concession regarding this territory contrary to our just rights. Nay, we must follow neither course, but must consider how we may carry on the war in a manner worthy of Spartans, and not prove those who are wont to eulogize our state to be liars, but so acquit ourselves that they shall seem to have told less than the truth about us.

Now I certainly believe that nothing worse will befall us in the future than what we endure at present, but that, on the contrary, our enemies will plan and act in such a way that they themselves will right our fortuncs; but if we should after all be disappointed in our hopes, and should find ourselves hemmed in on every side and be no longer able to hold our city, then, hard as may be the step which I am about to propose, yet I shall not hesitate to proclaim it boldly; for that which I shall propose to you is a nobler course to be heralded abroad among the Hellenes, and more in keeping with our own pride, than that which is urged by some among you.

## ISOCRATES






 $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta i o v ~ \epsilon v ̀ \pi o \rho i ́ \alpha u s, ~ o i ́ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \chi a ́ \rho ı \nu ~ a ̀ \pi o-~$


 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ à $\phi \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$, $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ö $\sigma \alpha$ ä $\nu$ oîoí $\tau^{\prime}$ 今̂ $\mu \in \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha u ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ката入аßóvтаs $\delta \dot{\text { è }} \chi \omega \rho i ́ o \nu$, ö $\tau \iota ~ a ̈ \nu$




 $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau a s ~ i \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon v ́ o \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \delta \epsilon о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{M} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \eta_{-}^{-}$








 Dionysius, Isocr. 9 .
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 73-76

For I declare that we must send our parents and our wives and children and the mass of the people away from Sparta, some to Sicily, some to Cyrene, others to the mainland of Asia, ${ }^{a}$ where the inhabitants will all gladly welcome them with gifts of ample lands and of the other means of livelihood as well, partly in gratitude for favours which they have received and partly in expectation of the return of favours which they first bestow. Those of us, on the other hand, who are willing and able to fight must remain behind, abandon the city and all our possessions except what we can carry with us, and having seized some stronghold which will be the most secure and the most advantageous for carrying on the war, harry and plunder our enemies both by land and by sea until they cease from laying claim to what is ours. If we have the courage for such a course and never falter in it, you will see those who now issue commands imploring and beseeching us to take back Messene and make peace.

For what state in the Peloponnesus could withstand a war such as would in all likelihood be waged if we so willed ? What people would not be stricken with dismay and terror at the assembling of an army which had carried out such measures, which had been roused to just wrath against those who had driven it to these extremes, and which had been rendered desperate and reckless of life-an army which, in its freedom from ordinary cares and in ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Greek emigration from the home country was commonly towards the far west (Sicily), the east (coast of Asia Minor), or the south (Cyrene). Moreover, Dionysius the tyrant of Syracuse and the "dynasts" in Asia were friendly to the Spartans (see § 63), and Cyrene was a Spartan settlement (see Phil. 5).

## ISOCRATES







 тóтоиs änavтаs тoùs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ \sigma \nu \mu-~$














 79 тov̀s $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \delta \iota a \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu ;$ ô $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$






 àvтаркєîv oioí $\tau^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \nu$. 392

## ARCHIDAMUS, 76-79

having no other duty but that of war, would resemble a mercenary force, but in point of native valour and of disciplined habits would be like no army that could be levied in all the world-an army, moreover, which would have no fixed government, but would be able to bivouac in the open fields and to range the country at will, readily making itself neighbour to any people at its pleasure, and regarding every place which offered advantages for waging war as its fatherland ? For my part, I believe that if this proposal were merely put in words and scattered broadcast among the Hellenes, our enemies would be thrown into utter confusion; and still more would this be so if we were put to the necessity of carrying it into effect. For what must we suppose their feelings will be when they themselves suffer injury, but are powerless to inflict injury upon us; when they see their own cities reduced to a state of siege, while we shall have taken such measures that our own city cannot henceforth experience a like calamity ; and when, furthermore, they perceive that it is easy for us to procure food both from our existing stores and from the spoils of war, but difficult for them, inasmuch as it is one thing to provide for an army such as ours and another to feed the crowds in cities? But bitterest of all will it be for them when they learn that the members of our households have all along been living in comfort and plenty, whereas they will see their own people destitute every day of the necessities of life, and will not be able even to alleviate their distress, but if they till the soil, they will lose both crop and seed, and if they allow it to lie unworked, they will be unable to hold out any time at all.

## ISOCRATES


 бovaıv $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ какल̂s тoเєîv av̀zov́s. каi тí äv


 каi $\mu \iota \gamma a ́ \delta a s ~ к а i ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda о i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \rho \chi о v \sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ; ~$


 кıvסúvous.

 $\sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$. є̇кєі̂vo $\delta^{\prime}$ oûv $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota ~ \phi а \nu \epsilon \rho o ́ v, ~ o ̋ \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ ס $\iota \epsilon \nu \eta \nu o ́ \chi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ ov̉ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} S \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$






 $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$, ö $\tau \iota \mu \kappa \rho \circ \grave{\nu} \mu \epsilon \grave{v} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ є̇кра́т $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$. ка入òv oûv $\mu \iota \mu \eta_{-}^{-}$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 80-82

But perhaps, you will object, they will join forces and with their united armies will follow us up and prevent us from doing them harm. Yet what better thing could we wish than to find close at hand, drawn up in line of battle and encamped against us face to face on the same difficult ground, an undisciplined and motley rabble, serving under many leaders? For there would be need of no great effort on our part ; no, we should quickly force them to give battle, choosing the moment propitious for ourselves and not for them.

But the remainder of the day would fail me if I undertook to set forth the advantages we should gain by such a course. This much, at any rate, is clear to all-that we have been superior to all the Hellenes, not because of the size of our city or the number of its inhabitants, ${ }^{a}$ but because the government which we have established is like a military camp, well administered and rendering willing obedience to its officers. ${ }^{b}$ If, then, we shall create in reality that which it has profited us to imitate, there can be no doubt that we shall easily overcome our foes.

We know, moreover, that those who became the founders of this city entered the Peloponnesus with but a small army and yet made themselves masters of many powerful states. ${ }^{c}$ It were fitting, then, to imitate our forefathers and, by retracing our steps,

[^162]
## ISOCRATES

 $\tau \alpha \dot{s} \tau \iota \mu a ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ a ̉ v a \lambda a \beta \epsilon i v, ~ a ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ́-~$

 $\lambda_{\iota} \pi \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aú $\bar{\omega} \nu \chi \omega \prime \rho \alpha \nu \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{`} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$
 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s$ àф'́ $\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ \tau о \lambda \mu \eta ́ \gamma \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$





 тобоиิтоv $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о \psi v \chi i a s ~ \epsilon ̈ \lambda \lambda \theta о \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \gamma-$
 $\chi \rho o ́ v o \nu \delta \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$.







 $\delta^{\prime}$ oư $\tau \omega s$. є’y⿳亠




[^163]
## ARCHIDAMUS, 82-86

now that we have stumbled in our course, try to win back the honours and the dominions which were formerly ours. But, monstrous above all things would be our conduct if, knowing well that the Athenians abandoned their country to preserve the freedom of the Hellenes, ${ }^{a}$ we should lack the courage to give up our city even to preserve our own lives, and should refuse, when it behoves us to set the example for others in such dceds, even to imitate the conduct of the Athenians. Even more should we deserve the ridicule of men if, having before us the example of the Phocaeans who, to escape the tyranny of the Great King, left Asia and founded a new settlement at Massilia, ${ }^{b}$ we should sink into such abjectness of spirit as to submit to the dictates of those whose masters we have always been throughout our history.

But.we must not let our minds dwell on the day when we shall have to send away from us those who are nearest and dearest to us ; no, we must at once begin to look forward to that good time when, victorious over our foes, we shall restore our city, bring back our own people, and prove to the world that while we now have experienced reverses unjustly, in times past we justly claimed precedence over all others. This, then, is how matters stand : I have made this proposal, not with the thought that we must put it into effect forthwith, nor that there is in our circumstances no other means of deliverance, but because I wish to urge your minds
return to their city until the iron which they had cast into the sea should rise and float on the water. See Horace, Epode xvi., and Herodotus i. 165. A second group came to Marseilles later. See Pausanias x. 8. 4.

## ISOCRATES

$\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \alpha s$, ès каi $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha s$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ бvرфорàs каi $\pi о \lambda \grave{v}$

 ì $\mu \hat{a} s$.

 $\dot{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ є́ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ ка入ウ̀̀े каi $\beta \epsilon \beta a i ́ a \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$,


 $\tau \alpha s$ каi тท̀̀ $\pi$ ódıv $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota i ́ \delta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ aù $\eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \nu$,
 $\kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu o \iota s \quad \delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \not ้ \nu \tau \epsilon S ; \quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \dot{\alpha}-$





 каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ хผ́pas ảтотє́ $\mu \nu \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ каi тоv̀s оікє́таs

 $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu$ óvov $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\circ}{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ ả $\pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s$
 $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma$ à $\rho$ v́ $\pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$ тoút $\omega \nu$ oủ $\mu o ́ v o \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ́ ~$







$$
{ }^{1} \epsilon i \delta \grave{\epsilon} \text { vulg. : } \quad 0 \mu \omega s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \Gamma \text {. }
$$

## ARCHIDAMUS, 86-89

to the conviction that we must endure, not only these, but even much worse misfortunes before conceding such terms regarding Messene as are being urged upon us.

I should not so earnestly exhort you to carry on the war if I did not see that the peace resulting from my proposals will be honourable and enduring, while that which would result from the counsel of certain men among you will not only be disgraceful, but will last no time at all. For if we permit the Helots to settle on our borders and allow Messene to flourish undisturbed, who does not know that we shall be involved in constant turmoils and dangers all our lives? Therefore, those who talk about "security" are blind to the fact that they are providing us with peace for a few days only, while contriving a state of war which will never end.

I should like to ask these men in what cause they think we ought to fight and die. Is it not cause enough when the enemy make demands that are contrary to justice, when they cut off a portion of our territory, when they free our slaves and settle them in the land which our fathers bequeathed to us, yes, and not only rob us of our possessions but in addition to all our other miseries involve us in disgrace? For my part, I think that in such a cause as this we ought to endure, not only war, but even exile and death ; for it is far better to end our lives in the possession of the high reputation which we now enjoy than to go on living with the infamy which we shall bring upon ourselves if we do what we are commanded to do. In a word, if I may speak without reserve, it is preferable for us

## ISOCRATES



 тoîs "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \dddot{\eta} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \eta \rho \eta ̂ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, ~ \mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ $\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \iota \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \xi a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$ à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ка入ウ̀ $\nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v$ $\tau \grave{̀} \nu$ тô $\beta$ íov $\pi o \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s . ~$
90 "A $\chi \rho \eta$ خ $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$, $\phi \iota \lambda о \psi v \chi \epsilon i v, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ є่такодоvӨєîv $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha ́ \chi \omega \nu ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \alpha \iota s, ~ \hat{\omega} \nu$

















 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \cup \cup \chi \eta ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu \theta \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon, \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon ̀ \nu$


 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu$.

## ARCHIDAMUS, 89-92

to suffer annihilation, rather than derision, at the hands of our foes. For men who have lived in such high repute and in such pride of spirit must do one of two things-either be first among the Hellenes, or perish utterly, having done no ignominious deed but having brought their lives to an honourable close.

Reflecting upon these things, we must not be faint of heart, nor follow the judgements of our allies, whom in former times we claimed the right to lead, but, having duly weighed the matter for ourselves, we should choose, not what is easiest for them, but what will be in keeping with Lacedaemon and with our achievements in the past. For not every people can adopt the same measures in the same situation, but each must follow the principles which from the very first they have made the foundation of their lives. No one, for example, would reproach Epidaurians or Corinthians or Phliasians if they thought of nothing else than to escape destruction and save their own lives; we men of Lacedaemon, however, cannot seek our deliverance at all costs, but if to "safety" we cannot add " with honour," then for us death with good repute is preferable; for those who lay claim to valour must make it the supreme object of their lives never to be found doing a shameful thing. But the cowardice of states is made manifest in deliberations like these no less than in the perils of war ; for the greatest part of what takes place on the battle-field is due to fortune, but what is resolved upon here is a token of our very spirit. Wherefore we should strive for success in the measures to be adopted here with an emulation no less keen than we show in the lists of war.

## ISOCRATES



 $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ar $\xi \iota \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \chi v ิ \nu a \iota ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$,
 $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon s$ катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ av̉ $\bar{\eta} \nu . \quad \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho a \gamma-$





 $\tau \circ \nu \dot{a} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \gamma o ́ v \omega \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ тov̀s $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$,







 $\mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к а \tau а ф \rho о \nu \eta \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s, ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тồ $\pi \epsilon \rho i-$



 $\theta v \sigma i a s ~ \mu \epsilon i \zeta o v s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \omega ิ \nu \pi о \iota o v \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s, \dot{\alpha} \kappa о v \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s \delta^{\prime}$ aùт $\hat{\nu}$ тotaútaıs $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i ́ a \iota s ~ \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$, oïaıs
a Spartan supremacy lasted, theoretically, more than thirty years, from the end of the Peloponnesian War (404 в.c.) to the battle of Leuctra. Meantime, however, the Athenians secured for a short period their second naval empire (378 в.c.).
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 93-96

I marvel at those who are willing to die for their personal glory, but have not the same feeling for the glory of the state, for which we may well suffer anything whatsoever to avoid bringing shame upon our city, nor should we permit it to abandon the post in which it was established by our forefathers. It is true that many difficulties and dangers beset us; these we must avoid, but first and foremost we should be careful that we are never found doing any cowardly deed or making any unjust concessions to the foe; for it would be shameful if we, who once ${ }^{a}$ were thought worthy to rule the Hellenes, should be seen carrying out their commands, and should fall so far below our forefathers that, while they were willing to die in order that they might dictate to others, ${ }^{b}$ we would not dare to hazard a battle in order that we might prevent others from dictating to us.

We may well be ashamed when we think of the Olympian and the other national assemblies, where every one of us used to be more envied and more admired than the athletes who carry off victories in the games. But who would dare attend them now, when instead of being honoured he would be scorned, when instead of being sought out by all because of his valour, he would be conspicuous among all for his cowardice, and when, more than all this, he would see our slaves bringing from the land which our fathers bequeathed to us first-fruits of the harvest and sacrifices greater than our own, and would hear from their lips such taunts as you would expect from
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 $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \delta \eta \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.






 каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ фv́бıv on $\mu o \iota o \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ \epsilon i v a l, ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~$ $\delta^{\prime}$ aù $\theta a \delta \epsilon i a ı s ~ к а i ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ o u ̉ k ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \iota v a i ̂ s ~$ ar $\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v a \iota s ~ \kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$. $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv $\epsilon \in \nu-$




 $\tau \alpha \xi ̆ a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi \alpha \iota o v ~ \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \mu \nu \rho \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu$,

 100 ais $\Theta \epsilon \rho \mu о \pi u ́ \lambda \alpha a s$ à $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, oi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ є ́ \beta \delta o \mu \eta ́-~$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 96-100

men who once were subjected to the strictest bondage but now have made a treaty with their masters on terms of equality? How keenly every one of us would smart under these insults no man alive could set forth in words.

These are the things about which we must take counsel, and we must not wait to indulge our resentment until that will no longer avail us, but must consider now how we may prevent such a disaster. For it is disgraceful that we, who in former times would not allow even free men the right of equal speech, are now openly tolerating licence of speech on the part of slaves. ${ }^{a}$ For thus we shall give ground for the suspicion that in time past we have been nothing at all but idle boasters, that by nature we are no different from the rest of mankind, and that the sternness and dignity of manner which we cultivate is not natural, but a mere pose. Let us, therefore, give no such occasion to those who are wont to speak ill of us, but let us endeavour to confute their words by patterning our actions after those of our forefathers.

Remember the men who at Dipaea ${ }^{b}$ fought against the Arcadians, of whom we are told that, albeit they stood arrayed with but a single line of soldiery, they raised a trophy over thousands upon thousands; remember the three hundred who at Thyrea ${ }^{c}$ defeated the whole Argive force in battle; remember the thousand who went to meet the foe at Thermopylae, who, although they engaged seven hundred thousand of
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 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \chi o ́ v \tau \epsilon S ~ \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ тov̀s $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тє́ $\chi \nu \eta S$ є́ $\gamma \kappa \omega \mu \iota \alpha \alpha^{-}$
 є́кє＇iv $\omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha i ̂ s$.
 $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ à $\nu \tau \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ то仑 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v, \kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \dot{\eta}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{\omega} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \tau \iota \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} s \pi \alpha \rho o v ́ \sigma \alpha s \quad \dot{\alpha}-$

［137］עабı

 тuхiaı каi тоîs фаú入o七s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi \omega \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} s$ какias


 ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \mu \epsilon \iota \nu о \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \theta \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \epsilon \theta a$ каї $\pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ वं $\rho \in \tau \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ．





 $104 \dot{a} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ aíтías $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a s$ ．каì $\tau i ́ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha ̀$ пó $\rho \rho \omega$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ; \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \quad \nu \hat{v} \nu$ тàs $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota S$ тás $\gamma \epsilon \pi \rho \omega$－





## ARCHIDAMUS, 100-104

the barbarians, did not flee nor suffer defeat, but laid down their lives on the spot where they were stationed, ${ }^{a}$ acquitting themselves so nobly that even those who eulogize them with all the resources of art can find no praises equal to their valour.

Let us, then, remembering all these things, take up the war with greater vigour, and let us not delay in the expectation that others will remedy our present misfortunes, but since these have occurred in our own time, let us ourselves endeavour also to end them. It is just in such emergencies as these that men of worth must show their superiority ; for prosperity helps to hide the baseness even of inferior men, but adversity speedily reveals every man as he really is ; and in adversity we of Sparta must show whether we have been in any wise better nurtured and trained to valour than the rest of mankind.

But indeed we are in no wise without hope that out of our present misfortunes may come a happy issue. For you are, I am sure, not unaware that ere now many events have occurred of such a nature that, at first, all regarded them as calamities and sympathized with those on whom they had fallen, while later everyone came to see that these same reverses had brought about the greatest blessings. But why need I mention remote instances? Even now we should find that those states which are foremost-Athens and Thebes, I mean-have not derived their great progress from peace, but that, on the contrary, it was in consequence of their recovery from previous reverses in

[^167]${ }^{b}$ For the thought compare Demosthenes, second Olynthiac 20.
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 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \eta_{\kappa} \epsilon \iota, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} S \psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta} S$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \gamma \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \omega \nu$ на̂入

 $\epsilon v ̉ \lambda o \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu \quad \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \pi o \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，ő $\quad \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 тоv̂тo $\lambda \alpha \nu \theta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ v́ $\mu a ̂ s$ ，öт $\tau ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ бv入入ó $\% \omega$ тои́т $\omega$ каi тоîs $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \eta \sigma о \mu$ ย́voıs v́ $\phi$＇$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma-$




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Sıкаi$\omega \nu$ ，ov̉ $\mu o ́ v o \nu ~ \epsilon v ่ \delta о к \iota \mu \eta \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ к \alpha i ~$



 $\kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota i ́ \delta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Иакєठаípova каі катафро $\eta \theta \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \alpha \nu, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \psi \epsilon v \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$
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## ARCHIDAMUS, 104-108

war that one of them was made leader of the Hellenes, ${ }^{a}$ while the other has at the present time become a greater state than anyone ever expected she would be. Indeed, honours and distinctions are wont to be gained, not by repose, but by struggle, and these we should strive to win, sparing neither our bodies nor our lives nor anything else which we possess. For if we succeed, and are able to raise our city again to the eminence from which she has fallen, we shall be more admired than our ancestors, and shall not only leave to our descendants no opportunity to surpass our valour, but shall make those who wish to sing our praise despair of saying anything equal to our achievements. Nor must you forget that the attention of the whole world is fixed upon this assembly and on the decision which you shall reach here. Let each one of you, therefore, govern his thoughts as one who is giving an account of his own character in a public theatre, as it were, before the assembled Hellenes.

Now it is a simple matter to reach a wise decision on this question. For if we are willing to die for our just rights, not only shall we gain renown, but in time to come we shall be able to live securely; but if we show that we are afraid of danger, we shall plunge ourselves into endless confusion. Let us, therefore, challenge one another to pay back to our fatherland the price of our nurture, and not suffer Lacedaemon to be outraged and contemned, nor cause those who are friendly to us to be cheated of their hopes, nor let it appear that we value life more highly than the esteem of all the world, always
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 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota o ́ v ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \tau i ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau o s ~ a ̀ \theta \alpha ́ v a \tau o \nu ~$







 $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta a s$ тò̀s $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o{ }_{s}$ av̀ $\hat{\omega} \nu$, $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$













 $\kappa \alpha \tau о \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, тоúzoьs каi $\pi \in \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$
 $\pi \epsilon_{i} \theta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta \alpha$.

## ARCHIDAMUS, 109-111

remembering that it is a nobler thing to exchange a mortal body for immortal glory, and to purchase with a life which at best we shall retain for only a few years a fame which will abide with our descendants throughout all the ages ${ }^{a}$-a far nobler course than to cling greedily to a little span of life and cover ourselves with great disgrace!

But I think that you would most of all be aroused to prosecute the war if in imagination you could see your parents and your children standing, as it were, beside you, the former exhorting you not to disgrace the name of Sparta, nor the laws under which we were reared, nor the memory of the battles fought in their time ; the latter demanding the restoration of the country which their forefathers bequeathed to them, together with the dominion and the leadership among the Hellenes which we ourselves received from our fathers. Not a word could we say in answer ; never could we deny the justice of either plea.

I do not know what more I need to add, save only this much-that while numberless wars and dangers have fallen to the lot of Sparta, the enemy have never yet raised a trophy over us when a king from my house was our leader. And prudent men, when they have leaders under whom they win success in their battles, should also give heed to them, in preference to all others, when they give counsel regarding impending wars.
${ }^{a}$ For the language cf. [Demosthenes], Epitaphios 27, and Hypereides, Epitaphios 24.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Panath. 267 ff.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Croiset, Hist. de la Litt. Grecque, iv. p. 466: "Avec l'esprit d'un artiste, il en a le caractère," etc.
    ${ }^{c}$ The ancients were tolerant of self-laudation. See Hermogenes, Пєрi $\mu \epsilon \theta o ́ \delta o v ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ t \eta t o s, ~ 25 . ~$
    ${ }^{d}$ Panath. 7 ff .

[^1]:    a The term sophist had not until later times any invidious associations. It was applied indiscriminately to all professors of the new learning-lecturers on literature, science, philosophy, and particularly oratory, for which there was great demand in the democratic states.
    ${ }^{6}$ Jebb ii. p. 4.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Blass, Die attische Beredsamkeit, ii. p. 14.
    ${ }^{d}$ Diodorus xii. 53. e Plato, Hippias major 282 b.

[^2]:    ${ }^{a} \gamma \hat{u} \pi \epsilon \mathrm{~s} \stackrel{\mu}{\mu} \psi \psi v \chi o \iota \tau a ́ \phi o \iota$.
    
    c For the style of Isocrates see Blass, Die attische Beredsamkeit, ii. p. 130 ff.; and Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. p. 51 ff .
    ${ }^{d} \mathrm{He}$ is most Gorgian in his encomia (Blass ii. p. 132) but less rhetorical in his later speeches.
    xiv

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ Demetrius, Пє $\rho \mathfrak{\epsilon} \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \eta \epsilon$ ías 13.

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ Antid. 285.
    ${ }^{5}$ Busiris 24-27; To Nicocles 20; Areop. 29 ff.

    - Compare To Demonicus and To Nicocles in general with To Nicocles 20 ; Nicocles 59 ; Peace 31-34; and Antid. 281, 282.
    xviii

[^5]:    a Against the Sophists 19, 20.
    ${ }^{5}$ Panath. 26.
    ${ }^{6}$ Panath. 26 ; Antid. 265 ; Epist. v. 3. ${ }^{4}$ Antid. 253-255.

[^6]:    ${ }^{a}$ Antid. 46 ff. ; cf. Panath. 2, 136, 271. ${ }^{6}$ Antid. 270 ff . xxiv

[^7]:    ${ }^{a}$ Antid. 284.
    c 103 ff .
    b 87.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Antid. 84.

[^8]:    ${ }^{a}$ Panath. 28-32.
    ${ }^{\circ} 270$.

[^9]:    a See Plato, Phaedrus 278 D, and Thompson's note for the history of the words $\phi i \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma o \phi o s$ and $\sigma \circ \phi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\prime} s$.
    b Against the Sophists 14, 15 ; Antid. 186-188.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Antid. 295-296; cf. 299, and Paneg. 50.
    ${ }^{5}$ Critique on Isocrates i.; cf. Cicero, De orat. ii. 94: " ecce tibi exortus est Isocrates . . . cuius e ludo tamquam ex equo Troiano meri principes exierunt." $C f$. the similar claim made by Isocrates himself, Epist. iv. 2.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Jebb ii. p. $13 . \quad$ Antid. $87,88 . \quad e$ Blass ii. p. 52.
    ${ }^{f}$ [Plutarch], Lives of the Orators, 838 D. ${ }^{g}$ Panath. 267.

[^11]:    ${ }^{a}$ See Dionysius, Critique on Isocrates 3: Aavpaotò̀ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ס $\grave{\eta}$
     $\eta{ }^{\eta} \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \eta s$ фи́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ oiкє $i o \nu$.
    ${ }^{b}$ See Jebb ii. p. 41.

    $$
    \text { c Epist. viii. } 7
    $$

[^12]:    - Peace 34.
    ${ }_{f}$ Peace 119 ff .

[^13]:    ${ }^{a}$ Paneg. 80, 81.

[^14]:    a Areop. 12.
    ${ }^{5}$ Peace 90.

    - Areop. 54 ; Peace 46.
    ${ }^{6}$ Peace 1-6.
    - Peace 44. $\quad f$ Peace 44; Epist. ix. 9, 10.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Holm, in his history of this period, warns us against taking at their face value the pictures painted by Isocrates and Demosthenes of the degeneration of the Athenian democracy in the fourth century. See History of Greece, iii. chap. 13 and notes.
    ${ }^{n}$ For the general trend of opinion at this time in favour of monarchy see Jebb ii. pp. 21 ff ., who emphasizes the fact that Isocrates and Aristotle were of one mind regarding Macedonian leadership.

[^15]:    ${ }^{a}$ Epist. ix. 8 ff.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Holm, Hist. of Greece, iii. p. 245 ; Hogarth, Philip and Alexander of Macedon, p. 97 ; Diodorus xvi. 60.
    ${ }^{\text {c Philip } 137 \text {; cf. 15. }}{ }^{\text {d Peace } 22 .}$
    e Philip 76; 32-34; 105. f Philip $29 . \quad$ g Philip 139.

[^16]:    ${ }^{a}$ Holm, Hist. of Greece, iii. chap. 19 ; Bury, Hist. of Greece, ii. chap. 6; Hogarth, Philip and Alexander of

    Macedon.
    ${ }^{c}$ See the tone of Philip 129.
    © Philip 127.
    ${ }^{d}$ See Jebb ii. pp. 21 ff. xlii

[^17]:    ${ }^{a}$ See Bury, Hist. of Greece, ii. p. 300 : " Neither Demosthenes, the eloquent orator, nor Eubulus, the able financier, saw far into the future. The only man of the day, perhaps, who grasped the situation in its ecumenical aspect, who descried, as it were from without, the place of Macedonia in Greece and the place of Greece in the world, was the nonagenarian Isocrates." See also Holm, Hist. of Greece, iii. p. 159.

[^18]:     ${ }^{6}$ See Jebb, Attic Orators, ii. 80.
    ${ }^{c}$ See General Introduction, p. xxxi.

[^19]:    ${ }^{b}$ For the meaning of " philosophy" in Isocrates see Introduction, pp. xxv ff.

[^20]:    c This discourse is really hortatory in the general sense of that word, but Isocrates distinguishes it from hortatory ("protreptic") discourses of the sophists, which were lectures to stimulate interest in whatever kind of learning they professed to teach, commonly oratory.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Cf. Paneg. 1.

[^21]:    a This intention was not, so far as we know, carried out.
    

[^22]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Isocrates anticipates the golden rule. Cf. Nicocles, 61; To Nicocles, 24, 38 ; Paneg. 81.

[^23]:    ${ }^{a}$ So also Democritus, Stobaeus, Flor. xxix. 63.
    ${ }^{6}$ The Greek ideal of freedom through self-control. See Socrates in Yen. Mem. iv. 5. Cf. To Nicocles, 29.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cf. Yen. Mem. ii. 6. 6.

[^24]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The same cynicism is expressed in Theognis, 105-106: $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda$ ous $\epsilon \hat{u} \notin \rho \delta о \nu \tau \iota \mu a \tau \alpha \iota o \tau a ́ \tau \eta ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i \nu$.
    

[^25]:    a For drinking-parties in Athens see Isocrates' picture in Antid. 286-7.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Theognis gives the same advice, 484 ff .

    - This recalls the figure of the charioteer and the two 22

[^26]:    ${ }^{a} C f .9$.
    ${ }^{b}$ The translation of this perplexing sentence takes aür $\eta$ to refer to $\dot{a} \pi a\llcorner\delta \epsilon v \sigma l a$ ，following Sandys．

[^27]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. Theognis, 1162.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. To Nicocles, 13.

[^28]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. Panath. 243.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Cf. To Nicocles, 13.

[^29]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The figure is used by Lucretius in the same sense, $D_{e}$ rerum natura, iii. 11-12:
    floriferis ut apes in saltibus omnia libant, omnia nos itidem depascimur aurea dicta.

[^30]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Blass, Die attische Beredsamkeit, ii. p. 270; Evag. 78 and Antid. 30.
    ${ }^{5}$ Antid. 40.
    c Isocrates quotes at length from this discourse in the Antidosis and comments frankly on the loose manner in which the detached precepts are grouped (Antid. 68).
    ${ }^{d}$ Antid. 70.

[^31]:    ${ }^{6}$ Especially the " gnomic " poets mentioned in 43.

[^32]:    ${ }^{a}$ The priestly office in Greece demanded care in the administration of ritual, but, apart from this, no special competence; it was often hereditary and sometimes filled by lot.

[^33]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf. Evag. 41. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Cf. To Dem. 12.
    c This thought and comparison is elaborated with verbal 46

[^34]:    ${ }^{a}$ The passage from here to 39 is quoted in a somewhat abbreviated form in Antidosis 73.
    ${ }^{b}$ Cf. Nicocl. 14 ff .

[^35]:    ${ }^{-}$Cf. Helen 37.
    c Cf. Paneg. 81.

[^36]:    a Cf. To Dem. 21.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. To Dem. 38.

[^37]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf．Phil． 135.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Cf．To Dem． 43.

[^38]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cf. Phil. 134; To Dem. 39 and note.

[^39]:    
     $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ vulg．

[^40]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ Cf. To Dem. 42 and note.

[^41]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. To Dem. 45.
    ${ }^{6}$ Theognis and Phocylides (middle of sixth century) were the leading gnomic poets. Theognis was used in the schools, and we have over a thousand of his verses. Phocylides survives in but a few fragments. Hesiod is classed with 64

[^42]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Panath. 26; Antid. 261 ; General Introduction, p.xxi. 68

[^43]:    ${ }^{b}$ Advantage (in the good sense) which works no disadvantage to others. Cf. Antid. 275.

[^44]:    a Good things are bad if badly used. See Arist. Nic. Eth. 1094 b 17. Cf. Seneca, Ep. i. 5. 9 : " multa bona nostra nobis nocent."

[^45]:    ${ }^{a}$ For power of speech as the faculty which has raised us from the life of beasts to that of civilized man see Yen. Mem. iv. 3. 11 ff . $C f$. Antid. 273, Paneg. 48 ff ., and Shelley: "He gave man speech and speech created thought." 80

[^46]:    ${ }^{a}$ The aim of worthy oratory is proper conduct in private and in public life. See Paneg. 4, and General Introduction, pp. xxiv ff.

[^47]:    a A protest against the new " equality." Cf. To Nicocles, 14. In Areop. 21, 22 Isocrates praises the old democracy of Athens for recognizing ability and worth.

[^48]:    a Party rivalry in the old Athenian democracy was carried on for the good of the state according to Paneg. 79. Not so in contemporary Athens, Paneg. 167.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ See Demosthenes' contrast between the checks and delays which were put upon him as leader of the Athenians 86

[^49]:    a Dionysius, the elder, became tyrant of Syracuse in 406 в.с.
    ${ }^{b}$ Socrates and his followers idealized, in contrast to the slackness of Athens, the rigorous rule of such states as Sparta and Crete. See, for example, Plato, Crito 52 e. 90

[^50]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The author of the treatise On the Sublime, xxxviii, quotes this passage and condemns Isocrates" "puerility" in thus dwelling on the power of rhetoric when leading up to his praise of Athens, and so arousing distrust of his sincerity. But the objection loses its force if Isocrates is here using what had become a conventionalized statement of the power of oratory. This it probably was. [Plutarch], Lives of the Orators 838 F, attributes to Isocrates the definition of rhetoric as the means of making "small things great and great things small." A similar view is attributed to the rhetoricians Tisias and Gorgias in Plato, Phaedr. 267 a, who 124

[^51]:    a This is done by [Lysias], Epitaph. 1, by Hypereides, Epitaph 2, and by Isocrates himself, Panath. 36-38.
    ${ }^{b}$ See General Introd. p. xxx.
    ${ }^{c}$ This self-confidence is something more than Isocratean 126

[^52]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Artaxerxes Il., king of Persia, 404-359 в.c.
    ${ }^{b}$ The Greek states which were under the influence of Athens were democratic ; those under Sparta's influence, oligarchic.

[^53]:    - Almost the same language is used in Phil. 9.

[^54]:    ${ }^{a}$ This claim was made good two years later when the new naval confederacy was formed. See General Introd. p. xxxvii. The Greek word "hegemony "-leadership, supremacyis often used in the particular sense of acknowledged headship of confederated states, as here.

[^55]:    ${ }^{a}$ See Panath. 124 and Herod. vii. 161.
    ${ }^{b}$ The same boast is made in Helen 35 and Antid. 299.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ In contrast particularly to the ancestors of the Spartans when they established themselves in the Peloponnesus.
    ${ }^{d}$ The " autochthony" of the Athenians was a common theme of Athenian orators and poets : Peace 49, Panath. 124125; Thuc. i. 2. 5 ; Eurip. Ion 589 ff. ; Aristoph. Wasps 1076. 132

[^56]:    - A challenge to Spartan pride and pretensions.

[^57]:    a This custom is attested by inscriptions. See full discussion of it in Preller, Griech. Mythol. i. p. 773.
    ${ }^{b}$ For this view of the gradual progress of civilization see Xenophanes, Fr. 18 Diets; Asch. Prometheus Bound, 447 ff.; Eur. Suppliants 201 ff.; Nauck, Trag. Graec. 136

[^58]:    a The tradition is probably correct that Athens was the first city to set her own house in order and so extended her influence over Greece. The creation of a civilized state out of scattered villages is attributed to King Theseus. 140

[^59]:    See Helen 35 ; Panath. 128 ff . In Panath. 151-4 Isocrates maintains that certain features of the Spartan constitution were borrowed from Athens.

[^60]:    ${ }^{a}$ There is no evidence to bear out a literal interpretation of this statement, but the tradition is probably right which regarded the Areopagus in Athens as the first court set up in Greece for the trial of cases of homicide. It was believed that this court was first convened to try the case of Orestes, an alien. See Aesch. Eum. 684; Demosth. Against Aristocr. 65 ff.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ So Panath. 202. Pliny, Nat. Hist. vii. 194, catalogues many Athenian discoveries in art. Cf. Milton, Par. Reg. 142

[^61]:    ${ }^{b}$ For Athens as the School of Greece see General Introd. p. xxviii; Antid. 296; Thuc. ii. 41. 1.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ See General Introd. p. xxxiv and Evagoras 47 ff. $C f$. the inscription on the Gennadeion in Athens: "E入入ques
    

[^62]:    a On Athens as a refuge for the oppressed see the words of Procles in Xen. Hell. vi. 5. 45. Cf. Peace 138.
    150

[^63]:    ${ }^{6}$ Andocides, On the Peace 28, speaks of the " habitual bane" of Athens-that of throwing away her stronger friends and choosing the weaker. Cf. Plato, Menex. 244e, and Demosthenes, Against Leptines 3.

[^64]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Heracles had been during his life a slave to the commands of Eurystheus, king of Mycenae. After the death of Heracles and his apotheosis, his sons were driven by Eurystheus out of the Peloponnesus. In the course of their wanderings they found refuge in Athens, where Theseus, the king, championed their cause against their oppressor. Eurystheus was killed in battle by Hyllus, one of the sons of Heracles. See Grote, Hist. i. p. 94.

    Adrastus, king of Argos, was the leader of the expedition known in story as that of the "Seven (chiefs) against 152

[^65]:    ${ }^{a}$ Dionysius of Halicarnassus, Isocrates 5, gives a digest of 75-81 and remarks with unction that no one can read it without being stirred to patriotism and devoted citizenship. However, later (14) he quotes extensively from the same division of the speech to illustrate the author's excessive artifices of style.

[^66]:    ${ }^{b}$ This artificial paragraph is closely paralleled in Areop. 24 and in Nicocles 21.

[^67]:    ${ }^{a}$ A favourite comparison. Cf. 186, Phil. 111-112, Evag. 65. 168

[^68]:    a Sections 85-87 are closely paralleled in [Lysias], Epitaph. 23-26.
    ${ }^{b}$ As was done by the Peace of Antalcidas. See 115, note. 170

[^69]:    ${ }^{a}$ This agrees with Herod. vi. 120.
    ${ }^{6}$ The second campaign is described by Herod. vii.-is.
    ${ }^{c}$ A like artificiality of rhetoric to describe the aresumption of Xerxes in building a bridge across the Hellespons for his troops and a canal through the promontory of 172

[^70]:    ${ }^{a}$ An understatement of the number. Cf. Herod. viii. 1.
    ${ }^{b}$ Paralleled in Plato, Menex. 240 D ; [Lysias] Epitaph. 23; Lycurg. Against Leocr. 108.

[^71]:    c This paragraph is closely paralleled in [Lysias] Epitaph. 31 ; Hypereides, Epitaph. 27 ; and Lycurg. Against Leocr. 48.
    ${ }^{d}$ Thermopylae.

[^72]:    ${ }^{a}$ An army of $2,640,000$, acc. to Herod. vii. 185.
    ${ }^{b}$ The attempt to bribe the Athenians was, according to Herod. viii. 136, made after the battle of Salamis.

[^73]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. [Lysias], Epitaph. 33 ff .
    ${ }^{6}$ Unlike Gorgias, Fr. 18, and [Lysias], Epitaph. 37, who do go into such details. 178

[^74]:    a So Panath. 50 and [Lysias], Epitaph. 42. But according 180

[^75]:    ${ }^{a}$ Allotments of lands to Athenian colonists in Greek territory, as in Scione and Melos. See note on 101. For these "cleruchies," as they were called, see Gardner and Jevons, Manual of Greek Antiquities, pp. 602 ff.
    ${ }^{b}$ The total population including foreign residents and slaves is reckoned at about 500,000 ; the total area is about 700 square miles.

[^76]:    ${ }^{a}$ When their city was destroyed in the Peloponnesian War, 427 b.c., the Plataeans took refuge in Athens and were later settled in Scione. At the close of the war they were forced to leave Scions and again found refuge in Athens. By the Peace of Antalcidas they were restored to their own territory only to be driven from their homes by the Thebans in 372 bic. Once more Athens became their refuge. See Plataicus 13 ff .
    ${ }^{b}$ In Athens and in other states under her influence there was in the oligarchical party a group of Spartan sympathizers who out-Spartaned the Spartans. After the 188

[^77]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ In Athens 1500, according to Areop. 67; Against Lochites, 11.
    ${ }^{b}$ Such a decree of the Ecclesia as was passed in 378 r.c., when the new confederacy was formed, absolving the allies 190

[^78]:    a Above all, the Treaty or Peace of Antalcidas, 387 bic. Cf. 120 ff . Xenophon, Hell. v. 1. 31, quotes from this treaty : "King Artaxerxes thinks it just that the cities in Asia, and the islands of Clazomene and Cyprus, shall belong to him. He thinks it just also to leave all the other cities autonomous, both small and great-except Lemnos, Imbros, and Scyros, which are to belong to Athens, as they did originally. Should any parties refuse to accept this peace, I will make war upon them, along with those who are of the same mind, by land as well as by sea, with ships and with money" 192

[^79]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. Areop. 80. There appears to have been a definite treaty setting bounds beyond which neither the sea nor land forces of Persia might go : see 120 and Panath. 59-61; also Dem. False Legation 273 ; Lycurgus, Against Leocr. 73. This was the so-called Treaty of Callias: see Grote, Mist. v. pp. 192 ff .

[^80]:    ${ }^{b}$ For this play of words- $\dot{a} \rho \chi \eta \dot{\eta}$, "beginning," and $\dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$, "dominion "-cf. Nicocles 28, Peace 101, Phil. 61.
    ${ }^{c}$ Battle of Aegospotami 405 b.c.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ At the battle of Cnidus, but with the help of Conon.
    ${ }^{e}$ See Xen. Mell. iv. 8.7. ${ }^{\circ} f$ See 115 and note.
    ${ }^{9}$ Cf. 118 and note. $\quad{ }^{n}$ Cff. 175 ; Xen. Hell. vi. 3. 9.

[^81]:    ${ }^{a}$ The Peloponnesian War.
    ${ }^{b}$ See words of Brasidas in Thus. iv. 85.
    ${ }^{c}$ By the Treaty of Antalcidas, negotiated by Sparta, the Ionian cities of Asia Minor and the neighbouring islands were given over to Persia (Yen. Hell. v. 1. 31).
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ As, for example, over the Ionian cities. 196

[^82]:    e Slaves by purchase were in worse case than slaves by capture in battle.

[^83]:    a The Ionian cities were forced to fight with the Persians against Cyprus. See 134.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ In 383 в.c. Cf. Peace 100 ; Xen. Hell. v. 2. 7.
    ${ }^{c}$ In the same year. See Xen. Mell.v. 2.25. The Cadmea was the citadel of Thebes.
    ${ }^{d}$ This helps in dating the Panegyricus.

    * The siege of Olynthus was begun in 382 b.c. See Xen. Mell. v. 2. 11. The siege of Phlius was begun in 380 в.c. See Xen. Hell. v. 9. 8.
    198

[^84]:    ' Amyntas, the father of Philip, was aided by the Spartans against Olynthus 383 b.c. See Archid. 46 and Phil. 106.
    gor the sympathy between Sparta and Dionysius see Peace 99, Archid. 63.
    ${ }^{n}$ By the Peace of Antalcidas.

[^85]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf．Peace 72.
    ${ }^{b}$ In his second letter to Philip，5，Isocrates urges him to make all the barbarians，excepting those who join forces with him，serfs of the Hellenes．
    200

[^86]:    ${ }^{g}$ See Evag. 53-54; Xen. Hell. iv. 8. 24.

[^87]:    a Greeks who sold their services as mercenary troops because of poverty at home. See 168 and note.
    ${ }^{b}$ Cf. Dem. Olynth. ii. 22. 204

[^88]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Isocrates alone is authority for this war. ${ }^{d}$ Salamis.

[^89]:    ${ }^{d}$ The alliance of Argos, 'Thebes, Athens, Euboea, Corinth, and Sparta, formed at Corinth (Xen. Hell. iv. 4. 1).

[^90]:    a Ken. Anab. v. 3.3 gives the survivors of the battle of Cunaxa as 8600 .
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Cf. 168 ; Phil. 96, 120, 191 ; Epist. ix. 9.
    c Clearchus and four other captains were invited to a parley, under a truce, and treacherously slain (Men. Anal. ii. 5. 31). Cf. Phil. 91, where Isocrates uses the same language as here.

[^91]:    d Tissaphernes, one of the four generals of Artaxerxes, engaged to furnish safe escort to the Greeks but, in fact, beset their march with treachery (Xen. Anab. ii. 4. 9).

[^92]:    ${ }^{a}$ See Xen. Anab. ii. 4. 4. Cf. Evag. 58.
    ${ }^{b}$ For effeminacy of the I'ersians see 1'hil. 124.

[^93]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Phil. 101 ; Paneg. 140.
    ${ }^{b}$ See 141 and note.
    ${ }^{c}$ Evagoras had ravaged Phoenicia and Syria, stormed Tyre, and made Cilicia revolt from Persia. See Erag. 62.
    ${ }^{d}$ Lycia was subjected to Persia by Harpagus (llerod. i. 176), but never tamed.

    - See Diodorus xv. 2.
    ${ }^{f}$ From Cnidus in S.W. Asia Minor to Sinope on the 222

[^94]:    ${ }^{a} C f$. the picture of distress in Epist. ix. 8-10.
    ${ }^{b}$ The hireling soldiers in Greece were becoming a serious problem. See Phil. 96, 120, 121 ; Epist. ix. 9. 226

[^95]:    - For Isocrates' aloofness from public life see Phil. 81 ; Panath. 9-10; Epist. i. 9; Epist. viii. 7; and General Introd. p. xix.

[^96]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ That is, instead of warring among themselves and plundering each other, the Greeks must wage their wars against, and seek their plunder from, the barbarians. $C f$. 15 and 187; Phil. 9.
    ${ }^{b}$ Cf. Theognis, 386 ff .
    c The Treaty of Antalcidas. See 115-120 and notes.

[^97]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Articles of treaties were commonly inscribed on pillars of stone, set up either within a public temple or near it.
    ${ }^{b}$ Chiefly Antalcidas of Sparta and Tiribazus, the Persian satrap, negotiated the peace. Isocrates complains that the treaty was arbitrary-not based on any principle whatsoever. 232

[^98]:    ${ }^{a}$ Another name for Paris.

[^99]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The translation is influenced by Professor Bonner＇s note on $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi а \rho a \kappa a \tau a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$ in Classical Philology，xv． p．385．He argues convincingly that ヶ̀̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ тараката日ं $\dot{\eta} \eta \nu$ is not a particular deposit but that the article is＂generic， not specific．＂Deposits entrusted by one man with another were rather common transactions before the days of banks and caused frequent lawsuits．Hence＂the deposit theme＂ became a hackneyed exercise in the schools of rhetoric． It is，in the opinion of Isocrates，too commonplace and trivial for serious oratory．
    ＂Humble bees and salt＂are mentioned in Helen 12 as subjects on which speakers show off their powers to the

[^100]:    a Such as Amphipolis, surrounded by warlike tribes.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cyrene, in northern Africa. See Grote, Hist. iii. p. 445. 248

[^101]:    ${ }^{a}$ An alliance was entered into between Athens and Amadocus, the powerful Thracian king, 390 b.c. (Xen. Hell. iv. 8. 26).
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Cf. Paneg. 172-174.
    250

[^102]:    ${ }^{a}$ See Paneg. 17, where almost the same words are used.
    ${ }^{6}$ The same sentiment is expressed in Epist. i. 6-7. See General Introd. pp. xxxvi ff.
    252

[^103]:    c Possibly a disparagement of Plato's Republic and Laws (see Blass, Die attische Beredsamkeit, ii. p. 4), but more probably of Isocrates' unfriendly rival, Antisthenes, who, according to Diog. Laert. vi. 1. 16, wrote a work On Law, or the Constitution of a State.

[^104]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For these early conquests of Philip see Grote, Hist. xi. p. 18.

    258

[^105]:    ${ }^{a}$ See Panath．I， 2.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ai $\delta v \sigma \chi$＇́ $\rho \in \iota a l$ may mean difficulties or disadvantages under which speeches labour which are composed for a reading public，or the prejudices against them caused by these disadvantages．The latter seems to be the sense here．See Benseler＇s note．

[^106]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Perdiccas I., the founder of the Argive dynasty in Macedonia, was, according to Herod. viii. 137, a descendant of the Argive hero Temenus. See also Herod. v. 22 and Grote, Hist. iii. p. 432.
    ${ }^{b}$ Heracles. See General Introd. p. xli. 264

[^107]:    c At the "Festival of Heracles." Xen. Hell vi. 4. 7; Diodor. xv. 53.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ See Paneg. 62 and note.
    ${ }^{e}$ According to Diodor. iv. 39 the Athenians were the first to offer sacrifices to Heracles as a god.
    ${ }^{f}$ For this statement and the following paragraph see Paneg. 56-62.

[^108]:    ${ }^{1} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma t o \hat{\sigma} \tau$ Mss.

[^109]:    a The following paragraphs betray a cynicism which is foreign to the Paneg. See General Introd. p. xxxvi.
    ${ }^{b}$ Cf. 8 and Paneg. 17. 268

[^110]:    e Under Chabrias, against Agesilaus, 378 b.c. Xen. Hell. v. 4 ; Grote, Hist. ix. p. 343.
    d Thebes became the supreme power in Greece by the battle of Leuctra, 371 в.с.

[^111]:    a 361 в.c.
    ${ }^{6}$ In 362 b.c., when Epaminondas, at the head of the Thebans and their allies, including the Argives, Arcadians, Messenians, and the Eleans, marched on Sparta to destroy her, the Athenians dispatched Iphicrates with an army of 272

[^112]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Epaminondas (see 44 and note) actually entered Sparta. Xen. Hell. vii. 5. 11.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ The Argives and the Messenians were allied with Philip against Sparta. See Dem. Philippic II. 9, 15.
    c Besides the Argives and Messenians, also the Arcadians, the Megalopolitans, the Eleans, and the Sicyonians. Diod, xvi. 39.

    274

[^113]:    ${ }^{d}$ Especially by the Athenians and the Thebans. Dem. Megal. 22, $23 . \quad$ e The Helots.
    $f$ Thebes was the principal enemy of the Phocians in the Sacred War, which was now drawing to a close. For this war see Grote, Hist. xi. p. 45.
    ${ }^{g}$ As in the campaign referred to in 44, which ended with the battle of Mantinea.

[^114]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Battle of Leuctra, 371 в.с.

[^115]:    a Epaminondas invaded the Peloponnese in 369，368， 366，362，stirring up the cities there against Sparta．Diod． xv．62－75．
    ${ }^{5}$ By conquering Alexander of Pherae．Diod．xv． 67.
    c The Megarians sided with Sparta when Agesilaus invaded Boeotia in 378．Xen．Hell．v．4． 41.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The border town of Oropus， 366 в．c．Xen．Hell．vii．4．1．
    －See Dem．On the Crown 99.

[^116]:    ${ }^{a}$ For the career of the brilliant, unscrupulous Alcibiades see Grote, Hist. vi. pp. 301 ff., vii. 49 ff., and Plutarch, Life of Alcibiades.
    ${ }^{b}$ He was exiled on the charge of having profaned the Eleusinian Mysteries.
    c For example, Themistocles.
    ${ }^{d}$ By stirring up and aiding, through his great personal 280

[^117]:    ${ }^{a}$ See 86, 87, and Epist. ix. 13, 14.
    ${ }^{6}$ Battle of Cnidus, 394 в.c. There is a dramatic significance in the fact that Conon fought in the battle of Aegospotami which gave Sparta the supremacy and in the battle of Cnidus which took it from her.

    - From Spartan rule.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ He restored the walls which had been torn down as one of the terms imposed upon Athens after the battle of Aegospotami. Xen. Hell. iv. 8. 9 ff.

[^118]:    e Dionysius, the Elder, tyrant of Syracuse, 406-367 b.c.
    ${ }^{f}$ See Diod. xiii. 96.
    $g$ Yet Isocrates once wrote to him a most respectful letter (Epist. i.).

[^119]:    ${ }^{a}$ A navy of three hundred and ten ships, Diod. xiv. 42, and an army of a hundred thousand men more or less, Diod. ii. 5.
    ${ }^{b}$ Cyrus the Great, founder of the Persian Empire, Evag. 37. 286

[^120]:    ${ }^{c}$ See 132 and Herod. i. $108 . \quad{ }^{d}$ Herod. i. 112 ff.
    e Cf. Epist. ii. 21: "It is a much greater glory to capture the good will of states than their fortifications."

[^121]:    ${ }^{a}$ See Epist. ii. 6.
    ${ }^{6}$ Isocrates dwells on his disabilities repeatedly. $C f$. Epist. i. 9 ; Epist. viii. 7; and Panath. 9, 10. See General Introd. p. xix.

[^122]:    ${ }^{b}$ 'The same explanation of Agesilaus's failure is given in Epist. ix. 13.

[^123]:    a See Paneg． 168 and note．
    ${ }^{b}$ Cyrus gave Clearchus about ten thousand pounds with which to levy mercenaries．Xen．Anab．i．1．9．
    c Artaxerxes II．，405－359 в．с．
    ${ }^{a}$ This is inexact．He is probably thinking of the defeat of the Athenians in the Peloponnesian War in which Sparta 304

[^124]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Treaty of Antalcidas. See Paneg. 115 ff ., 175 ff . ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Paneg. 140, 161. ${ }^{c}$ Paneg. 161.

[^125]:    ${ }^{6}$ Heracles. The latter was precluded by his divinity; Amyntas and Perdiccas by their death.

[^126]:    ${ }^{a}$ With Athens, Aeschines, On the Embassy, 26; with Sparta, Xen. Hell. v. 2. 38.
    ${ }^{b}$ Of Argos.
    310

[^127]:    c The Pisistratidae of Athens. A recent case in point was the murder of Alexander of Pherae. Cf. To Nicocles, 5.

[^128]:    ${ }^{a}$ Chiefs of barbarian tribes, such as Diomedes, Mygdon, Sarpedon, Busiris, Antaeus.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Europe and Asia. Cf. Paneg. 35.

[^129]:    ${ }^{a}$ Repeated in Epist. iii. 5.
    ${ }^{5}$ The contrast is between Zeus, Apollo, Athena, etc., and the under-world deities Mades, Persephone, the Furies, etc. 316

[^130]:    a Jason, tyrant of Pherae, in Thessaly. His "talked-of" expedition against Persia is mentioned also by Xen. Hell. vi, 1. 12. See General Introd. p. xl, footnote.
    ${ }^{b}$ A catch phrase for the territory of Asia Minor. Cf. "Asia from Cnidus to Sinope" in Paneg. 162.

[^131]:    ' See Epist. ix. 9. Cf. 96; Paneg. 168 ; Peace 24.

[^132]:    ${ }^{a}$ For this and what follows cf. Paneg. 133-136.
    ${ }^{b}$ Persian effeminacy is described at length in Paneg. 150 ff .

[^133]:    ${ }^{-}$Dareius, Xerxes.

[^134]:    e Cf. 14, $15 . \quad{ }^{\prime}$ Cf. Paneg. 81.

    - Twice from the barbarians-at Marathon and Salamis; once from the Spartans at the battle of Cnidus, where the navy under Conon put an end to the Spartan hegemony.

[^135]:    ${ }^{a}$ In the Panegyricus. $\quad{ }^{b}$ See General Introd. p. xxxviii.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ See Paneg. 132, 184, $187 . \quad$ a See To Dem. 50.

[^136]:    e The Spartan kings are merely "kings," while the Persian king is " The Great King."

[^137]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. To Nicocles 37. b Cf. Evag. 3; Archidamus 109. 326

[^138]:    c The same sentiment is in Epist. iii. 4.

[^139]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. Paneg. 138 ff.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cyrus. See 66.

[^140]:    a The treasury of the Confederacy of Delos was origin332

[^141]:    ${ }^{a}$ For these services see Paned. 9t-96.

    - He means the spot where the trophy was raised, marked later by the column erected by the Greeks. Herod. vii. 228.
    c For like apologies see Antid. 9 ; Panath. 4 ; Epist. vi. 6. 334

[^142]:    ${ }^{a}$ Philostratus, Lives of the Sophists, 505.
    ${ }^{b}$ Dionysius of Halicarnassus, Isocrates, ix.

[^143]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ In Sparta the young were not supposed to appear in public places. Plutarch states (Lycurgus 25) that men were 346

[^144]:    ${ }^{a}$ Archidamus had commanded Spartan armies in 371 and 367. See Xen. Hell. vi. 4. 17 and vii. 1. 28. 348

[^145]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Spartan kings claimed descent from Heracles. Paneg. 62.
    ${ }^{b}$ Archidamus became king after the death of Agesilaus in 361 в.с.

[^146]:    c Since the battle of Leuctra.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cleombrotus the king was partly blamed for the Spartan defeat at Leuctra.

    - Especially the Corinthians. See Introduction.

[^147]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Sthenelus, father of Eurystheus, was a son of Perseus. For the manner of Eurystheus' death see Paneg. 60.

[^148]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Tyndareus, son of Perieres and of Perseus' daughter, Gorgophone, was king of Lacedaemon until driven out by his half-brother Hippocoön and by Hippocoön's sons. See Apollodorus iii. 10. 5.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Heracles slew Hippocoön and his twenty sons and restored Tyndareus.

    - Castor was slain during a foray in Messene. His brother, Polydeuces, who according to most accounts was a son of Zeus by Leda, while Castor was a son of Tyndareus by Leda, refused to accept immortality unless it was shared by his brother. Zeus, therefore, granted that the two brothers dwell, on alternate days, among the gods and 356

[^149]:    a Procles and Eurysthenes, twin sons of Aristodemus, along with Temenos and Cresphontes, sons of Aristomachus, drew lots for Argos, Lacedaemon, and Messene.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ According to the usual account, it was Aepytus, a son 358

[^150]:    ${ }^{a}$ In 559 b.c., when Cyrus became ruler of Persia.
    ${ }^{b}$ By the peace terms of Pelopidas. See introduction to this oration.
    ${ }^{c}$ Messene was not actually subdued until 724-723 bic. Perhaps Isocrates is speaking loosely, or perhaps he follows another source than Pausanias, who is almost our sole authority for this period. However, the conquests of Alcamenes took place about 786 b.c., and Isocrates perhaps 360

[^151]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} C f$. the Peace of Antalcidas. See Paneg. 115 ff . and note.
    ${ }^{b}$ See introduction.
    ${ }^{c}$ Such were the Peace of Nicias (421 b.c., Thucyd. v. 18), 362

[^152]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ In the second Messenian War, 685-668 b.c., the 364

[^153]:    ${ }^{a}$ For this Isocratean idealism $c f$. Peace 31-35. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Cf. To Demonicus 42 and Panath. 31, 32.

[^154]:    ${ }^{a}$ See Paneg. 56 and 70.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Paneg. 71-98.
    c These terms were to give earth and water, in token of 370

[^155]:    submission, to the heralds of the Great King. Herod. vii. 133. a Cf. Paneg. 96. ${ }^{\circ}$ Cf. Paneg. 72.

[^156]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ That is, it is a glorious thing to die a king. For the event, 396 в.c., see Diodorus xiv. 58, and for the anecdote, Diodorus xiv. 8. 5 and Aelian, Variae Historiae, jv. 8.
    ${ }^{6}$ Diodorus, xiv. 72. 6, says the shore was strewn with corpses.
    372

[^157]:    ${ }^{c}$ Dionysius died in the spring of 367 b.c.
    ${ }^{d}$ Amyntas, defeated by the Illyrians, won such a victory in 393 в.c. See Diodorus xiv. 92. 3. Amyntas was father of Philip, and reigned from 394 to 370 в.c.

    - Of Agesilaus in 394, 378, and 377 в.c.; of Phoebidas in 382 , and of Cleombrotusi n 378 and 376 в.с.

[^158]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Harmost of Chios in 412 b.c., who was successful against the Athenians for a time, but was defeated and slain in 412 b.c. See Thucyd. viii. 55. 3.

[^159]:    ${ }^{6}$ Brasidas entered Amphipolis in 422 b.c., and in command of 150 hoplites sallied out against Cleon, the Athenian general. The greater part of the besieged forces was commanded by Clearidas. Brasidas lost his life in the engagement which followed, and became after his death a local hero at Amphipolis. .See Thucyd. v. 8-11.

[^160]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ In the first Messenian war, 743-724 b.c. Pausanias iv. 13. 4.

[^161]:    a Those who sympathize with an oligarchy such as the Spartan government. oi $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o t$ is almost technical for "the aristocratic party," as $\tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \tau \sigma \tau \alpha$ for an aristocratic 384

[^162]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sparta was about six miles in circumference. The number of pure Spartan inhabitants never exceeded 10,000 .
    ${ }^{b}$ The whole life of a Spartan youth was supervised by military officers of one sort or another. Those over twenty years of age ate at a common table, or military mess. War was the first and only duty of a Spartan citizen, and obedience more important even than life.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ For example, of Corinth, Sicyon, and Megara.

[^163]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. Paneg. 96.
    ${ }^{6}$ The first party of Phocaeans left Asia about 524 b.c. Besieged by Harpalus, they swore that never would they 396

[^164]:    ${ }^{b}$ Thucydides, i. 140, puts in the mouth of Pericles the assertion that the Spartans prefer to resolve their complaints by war and not by words, dictating terms instead of bringing charges.

[^165]:    ${ }^{a}$ Others translate iarropias as political equality and understand $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ to refer to the allies of Sparta. But the passage is probably better taken as referring to the military harshness of the Spartans toward any and all with whom they came in contact, as, for instance, when Astyochus started to beat a free man for speaking too freely (see Thucyd. viii. 84).
    ${ }^{b}$ In 471 b.c. See Herodotus ix. 35, and Pausanias viii, 8. 4.

    404

[^166]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ In 542 в.c. See Herodotus i. 82, and Pausanias ii. 38. 5. Isocrates confuses two contests, one earlier, where three hundred Argives fought against three hundred Spartans, one later, where both sides matched their full forces.

[^167]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. Paneg. 90-92.

[^168]:    ${ }^{a}$ The Athenians won their second naval supremacy after the reverses of the Peloponnesian War.

