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## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

A sketch of the life of Demosthenes has already been presented in an earlier volume of this series, and adequate information has been given regarding his public activities and his work as statesman and orator. A few remarks will. however, be here in place regarding the speeches delivered in private law-suits, of which upwards of thirty have come down to us in the Demosthenic corpus.

These are of high interest not only to the student of ancient oratory, but to all who seek to know ancient Greek life. They deal, not with statecraft, nor with political affairs, but with business-contracts, bankers' loans, suits over inheritances, and other such matters ; and they throw a flood of light on the daily life of mercantile Athens in the fourth century в.с. Some of them are models of forensic pleading, clear and precise in their statements of fact and cogent in their reasoning ; and if they sometimes offend against modern canons of good taste by stooping to personal vituperation, this is explained by the circumstances attending their delivery. In ancient Athens the plaintiff appeared before the court to plead in person : he was not represented by counsel, though he was permitted to have friends to assist him. Personal feeling therefore sometimes ran high, and naturally found ready expression. Moreover the court was
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made up of people like the speaker, drawn by lot from the ranks of his fellow-citizens. They, as well as he, loved a sharp retort, a pointed argument,-even what may at times seem to us to be subtle quibbling ; and they were not averse to hearing appeals to their own interests. That the juries were so large-501 was a usual number for the jurymen-was an element tending naturally in the same direction.

The brief introduction prefixed to each oration is intended to give the reader sufficient information regarding the matters leading up to the suit in which the speech was delivered to make it easy for him to follow the argument. It has seemed unwise to enter into a detailed discussion of the vexed problems of authenticity, as these must in the nature of the case depend for their solution upon matters with which only specialists can deal, and with which the general reader has little concern. It is enough to state that most at least of the speeches may safely be accepted as genuine in the sense that they were composed for delivery in a court of law, whether or not they can be proved to have been written by Demosthenes ; and of not a few it may be affirmed with certainty that they come to us from his hand. References to the discussions of this question in the standard works of Schaefer and Blass are given as an aid to those who wish to study it more closely.

The text given in this edition is that of Blass (Teubner) with only minor changes. These have been noted under the text, save that words bracketed by Blass have often been omitted entirely, or else the brackets have been removed to avoid disfigurement of the page.

Brief notes have been added in explanation of viii
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points regarding which the reader may desire more help than is afforded by the text ; but it has seemed wiser not to burden the volume with an elaborate discussion of Athenian legal procedure. The manuals in which adequate information regarding this is readily available are mentioned in the brief bibliography.

The translator desires to express his sense of indebtedness to the translations of Kennedy and Dareste, and to the edition of Select Private Orations of Demosthenes by Paley and Sandys.

Palo Alto, California,
September 1935
A. T. M.
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## table of atilenian money

1 Talent $=60$ Minae<br>1 Mina $=100$ Drachmae<br>1 Draehma $=6$ Obols<br>1 Obol $=8$ Chalkoi

(The Talent and the Mina represent values, not coins)
In bullion value the Talent may be regarded as worth something more than two hundred Pounds Sterling, but its purchasing power was very much greater.

Besides the regular Attic coins there is mention in this volume also of the Stater of Cyzicus, the value of which is given as twenty-eight Attic Drachmae, and that of Phocaea, a somewhat heavier coin. These were both of electrum, an alloy of gold and silver.

## AGAINST APHOBUS <br> I

## INTRODCCTION

Demosthenes, the father of the orator, left at his death a considerable estate, which, as appears from this oration, included the following items:

Talents Minae


He left a widow and two children : a son (the orator) aged seven, and a daughter, aged five. By his will he appointed as guardians of his children and trustees of his estate Aphobus and Demophon, both nephews of his, and Therippides, an old friend. The terms of the will provided that Aphobus should marry the widow and receive with her eighty minae as her marriage-portion : that Demophon should receive a bequest of two talents on condition that he should marry the daughter when she came to marriageable
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age : and that 'Therippides was to receive the interest of seventy minae until the boy should come of age. The balance of the estate was to be invested, and both principal and accumulated profits were to become the property of the son, Demosthenes, when he should attain his majority.
We learn from this oration that the guardians showed the most reckless disregard of the instructions given them by the testator. They at once appropriated their own legacies-without, however, complying with the terms of the will--and instead of investing the residue of the estate in the interest of the heir, they appropriated it to their own use, and squandered it, so that when Demosthenes completed his seventeenth year he found that the residue of the estate amounted to less than one-tenth of the amount left by his father, whereas by careful management it might have doubled or even trebled in value. The property actually turned over to him consisted merely of the house, fourteen slaves, and thirty minae in money, representing, as he puts it, a total value of only seventy minae.

Under these circumstances Demosthenes sought the aid of the courts, and as Athenian law required him to appear in person, it was necessary for him to prepare himself for a task which must have seemed formidable, if not almost hopeless. He was but a youth, unversed in the technicalities of Attic law, and without experience in pleading, while his adversaries were men of wealth and experience, unscrupulous enough, as their past actions had shown, to avail themselves of every possible trick and artifice to prevent their being forced to disgorge their ill-gotten gains. Demosthenes, therefore, sought the aid of
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the orator Isaeus, whose wide knowledge of law and wide experience in dealing with inheritance cases, made him perhaps the best qualified man in Athens to give him the help he needed. He spent two years in study under Isaeus, and then, feeling himself in a position to deal adequately with the complicated problem before him, instituted three separate suits against the three guardians. This was in $36 \pm$ b.c. in the archonship of Timocrates. The suit against Aphobus was pressed immediately. Those against Demophon and Therippides were postponed to await the outcome of the first, and appear never to have been tried. They may well have been compromised after the judgement given against Aphobus.

It would appear that before the suit came to trial Aphobus agreed with Demosthenes to settle the matter by arbitration. The case was to be submitted to three private arbitrators; but, according to Demosthenes' statements, Aphobus, through fear that their decision would be against him, withdrew his consent.

When finally the case was brought before the archon it was referred to one of the official arbitrators, and his decision was given against Aphobus, who then appealed to a jury. Even after this he sought to quash the whole matter by a trick which the peculiar system of financing public projects in vogue at Athens made possible. He induced a certain friend of his, named Thrasylochus, to demand of Demosthenes that he assume the trierarchy or else exchange properties with him. ${ }^{a}$ In the expectation that it

[^0]
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would be impossible for Demosthenes to do anything but accept the exchange, he had prepared a release for the guardians from the impending actions. Demosthenes met this by raising sufficient funds ( 20 minae) and accepting the trierarchy (see the Oration against Meidias, $\$ \S 78 \mathrm{ff}$.).
'The trial was decided in Demosthenes' favour, and the damages were assessed at ten talents, the amount claimed by the plaintiff, which sum was one-third of the total loss suffered by him. For the other twothirds Demophon and Therippides were regarded as responsible.

The two following orations against Aphobus and the two against Onetor tell us of further difficulties which Demosthenes had to meet in seeking to collect the sum awarded him. What amount he actually recovered is not known, but we learn from Plutarch that it was but a small part of the entire estate. (Plut. Vit. Dem. 6.)

The first two orations against Aphobus are discussed in Schaefer, i. pp. 261 ff ., and Blass, iii. pp. 226 ff .
upon wealthy citizens. If the man in question claimed that another, regarded by him as more wealthy than himself, should with more justice be required to assume this burden, he had the right to demand of that other that he do so, or else exchange properties with him.

## $\Delta H M O \Sigma \Theta E N O \Upsilon \Sigma$

## XXVII

## КАТ' АФОВОХ ЕПІТРОПНГ

## A

 ठіккаца $\pi о \iota \epsilon i v, \ddot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ тоîs оiкєious






 $2 \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu \nu \tau \gamma \chi \alpha ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$. oî $\delta \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ổv,


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu,{ }^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta \iota \alpha ̀$

 $\tau \epsilon v \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu$, каi $\mu \epsilon \in \chi \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \tau о \hat{v} \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon-$
 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \mu \eta \tau^{\prime} \tau^{\alpha} \alpha \pi о \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ [814] $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \stackrel{\epsilon}{\prime \prime} \nu, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \gamma \nu o \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \psi \hat{\eta} \phi \circ \nu$ 6

## DEMOSTHENES

## XXVII

## AGAINST APHOBUS, IN REG.ARD TO HIs GUARDIANsHIP

## I

If Aphobus, men of the jury, had been willing to do what is fair, or to submit the matters in dispute between us to the arbitration of friends, there would be no occasion for a troublesome lawsuit; for I should have been satisfied to abide by their decision, and we should have had no controversy with him. Since, however, he has refused to let those well acquainted with our affairs give a decision, and has come before you, who have no accurate knowledge of them, it must be in your court that I try to win from him what is my due. I know well, men of the jury, that it is 2 a hard task to enter into a contest in which all my fortune is at stake with men who are able speakers and clever in preparing their case, while I because of my youth am wholly without experience in affairs. Yet nevertheless, although they have every advantage over me, I have strong hopes that I shall obtain justice in your court, and that, as far at least as relating the facts, I shall myself speak well enough to ensure that not a single detail shall escape you. and that you will not be in the dark regarding the matters concerning which you are to cast your vote. I beg 3


 סúvшuai $\delta i a ̀ ~ \beta \rho a \chi v \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega v ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s . ~ o ̈ ~ \theta \epsilon v ~ o u ̉ v ~$
 єं $\gamma \grave{\omega} \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha ́ \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ ov̇бíav $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̀ v ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu$ каi $\delta \epsilon ́ \kappa \alpha$

 $\mu \nu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ o i ̂ k o \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$. ßov入єvбá $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оs $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, ö ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon \prime} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \alpha \nu$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \chi \epsilon i \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ 'A $\phi o ́ \beta \omega \tau \epsilon \tau о v \tau \omega i$ каі $\Delta \eta \mu о \phi \hat{\omega \nu \tau \iota} \tau \hat{\omega}$












 є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \rho о \pi \epsilon v \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ оікєьо́тๆтоs $\pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \epsilon \nu о-$ $6 \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$. $\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon S$ S' ô̂̃ol $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ $\sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \iota \nu$


of you, men of the jury, to give me a favourable hearing, and, if you judge that I have been wronged, to render me the aid which is my due. I shall make my speech as brief as possible, and shall begin by endeavouring to inform you of the facts from which you will most readily understand the case.

Demosthenes, my father, men of the jury, left at 4 his death an estate of nearly fourteen talents, a son, myself, aged seven, and my sister, aged five, and his widow, our mother, who had brought him a fortune of fifty minae. He had taken thought for our welfare, and, when he was about to die, put all this property in the hands of the defendant, Aphobus, and Demophon, son of Demo, nephews of his, one by his brother, the other by his sister, and of Therippides of Paeania, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ who was not a relative, but had been his friend from boyhood. To Therippides he gave 5 the interest on seventy minae of my property, to be enjoyed by him until I should come of age, ${ }^{b}$ in order that avarice might not tempt him to mismanage my affairs. To Demophon he gave my sister with a dowry of two talents, to be paid at once, and to the defendant himself he gave our mother with a dowry of eighty minae, and the right to use my house and furniture. His thought was that, if he should unite these men to me by still closer ties, they would look after my interests the better because of this added bond of kinship. But these men, who took at once their 6 own legacies from the estate, and as my guardians administered all the remainder for ten years, have
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 $\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ каi єi้коб兀 $\mu \nu a ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о \sigma i ́ a s ~ \delta \rho а \chi \mu a ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \sigma-~$













 тupiav.

$$
\left.{ }^{1} \check{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta^{\prime}\right] \text { 刮 } \epsilon i \delta i \hat{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \text { Blass. }
$$
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robbed me of my entire fortune except the house, and fourteen slaves and thirty silver minae, which they have handed over to me-amounting in all to about seventy minae. This, men of the jury, to put it as 7 briefly as possible, is a summing up of the wrongs they have done me. But of the fact that the amount of property left by my father was as much as I have stated these men themselves have proved the most convincing witnesses, for in the tax-company ${ }^{a}$ they agreed on my behalf to a tax of five hundred drachmae on every twenty-five minae ${ }^{b}$-a tax equal to that paid by Timotheiis, son of Conon, ${ }^{c}$ and those possessing the largest fortunes. However, I had better inform you in detail what portions of the property were producing a profit and what were unproductive, and what were their respective values; for when you have accurate information regarding these matters, you will know that of all who have ever acted as trustees none have so shamelessly and so openly plundered an estate as these men have plundered ours. I shall produce witnesses to prove, first, that in 8 the tax-company they agreed on my behalf to be taxed to the amount which I have stated, and. next. that my father did not leave me a poor man, nor one possessing an estate of merely seventy minae. On the contrary, my estate was so considerable that these men were themselves unable to hide its value from the state.

Take, ${ }^{d}$ please, and read this deposition.
${ }^{b}$ This was a tax of 20 per cent of the man's entire property, and was the maximum.
c Timotheiis was one of the leading citizens of Athens. His father. Conon, was the famous general who in 395 had destroyed the Lacedemonian fleet at C nidos.
${ }^{d}$ These words were addressed to the clerk of the court.
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## MAPTrPIA

 [816] $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ov̉бías. $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ́ \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ~ \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho ~ \tau \rho i ́ a ~ \tau \alpha ́-~$








 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$, oî $\delta \omega \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \nu \hat{s} \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a u ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \rho o \nu$,



 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ àp $\chi a i o v ~ к \epsilon \phi a ́ \lambda \alpha \iota o v ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \tau \alpha \rho a ~ \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \epsilon \nu-$



 є́ $\beta \delta о \mu \eta^{\prime} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \mu \nu \omega \nu \epsilon^{\epsilon} \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \alpha$, ${ }^{\prime} \tau \iota \delta^{\prime}$ оiкíav $\tau \rho \iota \sigma-$


 11 боض'коขта $\mu \nu a ̂ s$. каi $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ оікоь катє́入ıтє


[^3]
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## Tile Deposition

From this evidence it is clear what the value of the 9 property was. Three talents is the tax on an estate of fifteen, and this tax they saw fit to pay. But you will see this more clearly if you hear what the property was. My father, men of the jury, left two factories, both doing a large business. One was a swordmanufactory, employing thirty-two or thirty-three slaves, most of them worth five or six minae each and none worth less than three minae. From these my father received a clear income of thirty minae each year. The other was a sofa-manufactory, employing twenty slaves, given to $m y$ father as security for a debt of forty minae. These brought him in a clear income of twelve minae. In money he left as much as a talent, loaned at the rate of a drachma a month. ${ }^{a}$ the interest of which amounted to more than seven minae a year. This was the amount of productive 10 capital which my father left, as these men will themselves admit, the principal amounting to four talents and five thousand drachmae, ${ }^{b}$ and the proceeds to fifty minae each year. Besides this, he left ivory and iron, used in the factory, and wood for sofas, worth about eighty minae ; and gall ${ }^{c}$ and copper, which he had bought for seventy minae ; furthermore, a house worth three thousand drachmae, and furniture and plate, and my mother's jewelry and apparel and ornaments, worth in all ten thousand drachmae, and in the house eighty minae in silver. To these sums 11 left by him at home we must add seventy minae,
${ }^{b}$ In mercantile affairs the Greeks often preferred to reckon in thousands of drachmae instead of tens of minae.
c 'This was obtained from the oak-apple and was used for staining wood or ivory.
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 $\pi \rho \circ i ̂ \kappa \alpha, \tau \dot{\alpha} s \dot{o} \gamma \delta o \eta \prime \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \mu \nu \hat{s}, \tau o \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \epsilon \in \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi \omega$,
 ठià $\beta \rho a \chi v \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
13 Ốzos $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ єv̀ $\theta \grave{v} s, \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \tau o ̂ v ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta a ́ v a \tau o v ~$
 ӫท́кทุ้, каi $\lambda a \mu \beta \alpha ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \chi \rho v \sigma i ́ a ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ к а i ~$


 каi $\Delta \eta \mu о \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \dot{\alpha} s ~ \tau \iota \mu a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu, ~ \epsilon ' \omega s ~ \alpha ̀ \nu-~$

[^4]a maritime loan to Xuthus; twenty-four hundred drachmae in the bank of Pasion, six hundred in that of Pylades, sixteen hundred in the hands of D (momeles, son of Demon, and about a talent loaned without interest in sums of two hundred or three hundred drachmae. The total of these lact sums amounts to more than eight talents and fifty minae. and the whole taken together you will find on examination to come to about fourteen talents. ${ }^{a}$

This, then, men of the jury, was the amount of 12 property left by my father. How much of it has been squandered, how much they have severally taken, and of how much they have jointly robbed me, it is impossible to tell in the time ${ }^{b}$ allotted to one plea. I must discuss each one of these questions separately. I pass over the question as to what property of mine Demophon or Therippides are holding. It will be time enough to discuss this when I bring in my accusations against them. I shall speak to you now of the defendant and shall state what his colleagues prove that he has in his hands, and what I know he has taken. In the first place I shall show that he has the marriage-portion, the eighty minae, and after that shall take up the other matters and discuss them with the utmost brevity.

Immediately after my father's death the defendant 13 came and dwelt in the house according to the terms of the will, and took possession of my mother's jewels and the plate. In these he received the equivalent of about fifty minae. Furthermore, he received from Therippides and Demophon the proceeds of the sale of the slaves until he had made up the full amount of

[^5]
## DEMOSTHENES








 $15 \pi$ то入入oì $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon s ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma o ́ v a \sigma \iota v$. ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ סı $\delta o ́ v \tau o s ~ \tau o v ́-~$
 oîkov $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ ồv $\epsilon^{\prime} \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda o \nu \tau o s, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o v i \tau \omega v$ ò $\Delta \eta \mu \circ \chi a ́ \rho \eta s$. ov่̂тos $\delta^{\prime}$ ảкоv́баs ov̈ ${ }^{\prime}$


 oûv $\delta \iota \epsilon \cup \kappa \rho \iota \nu \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s, \kappa \alpha i \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \hat{\eta} s \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$

 $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta$ ' $\dot{\mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta к \grave{s} \text { каi } \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o v s ~ o i ̂ ~} \pi \alpha \rho-$ $\hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \tau о \hat{v}, \Delta \eta \mu о \phi \hat{\omega \nu \tau o s ~ к \alpha i} \tau о \hat{v} \Theta \eta \rho-$

 $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi i \tau \rho o ́ \pi o v s$, оiкк $\nu \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$





[^6]
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the marriage-portion, eighty minae ; and after getting 14 this, when he was about to set sail for Corcyra as trierarch, ${ }^{a}$ he sent Therippides a written acknowledgement that he had these sums in his possession, and admitted that he had received the marriage-portion. Of these matters Demophon and Therippides, his cotrustees, are witnesses, and, besides this, his own acknowledgement of having received these moneys is attested by Demochares, of Leuconion, ${ }^{b}$ who is the husband of my aunt, and by many other witnesses. For when it proved that Aphobus, though he had her 15 fortune, would not maintain my mother, and refused to let the property, choosing rather to administer it himself in conjunction with the other guardians, Demochares remonstrated with him about the matter; and Aphobus, when he had heard him, neither denied that he had the money nor waxed indignant as one who had received nothing, but admitted the fact, and said that he was having a little dispute with my mother about her jewels, and that, when he had settled this matter, he would act regarding the maintenance and all else in such a way that I should have no ground for complaint. Yet, if it be shown that 16 he made these admissions before Demochares and the others who were present; that he received from Demophon and Therippides the money accruing from the sale of the slaves in part settlement of the marriage portion ; that he gave to his co-trustees a written acknowledgement that he had received the portion ; and that he occupied the house immediately after the death of my father ; will it not be clearthe matter being admitted by everybody-that he has received the portion, the eighty minae, and that

[^7]
## DEMOSTHENES

$\mu \nu \hat{\alpha}_{s}, \kappa \epsilon \kappa о \mu \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu о s, \kappa \alpha i$ خíav àvaı$\delta \hat{\omega} s \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ є＇$\xi a \rho \nu o v ́ \mu \in \nu o s ;$
17 ＇A $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\omega}_{s} \alpha \dot{\lambda} \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ，$\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} s \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a s$ каi à $\nu a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota$.

## MAPTヘPIAI






 таиิта $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ оข゙т

 $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ́ \sigma o \delta o \nu, \kappa \alpha i ~ \alpha ̀ \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau o ́ \tau \alpha \tau ’$ a $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$
 є́ $\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha \mu \nu \hat{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \prime$ av̇ $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ́ \sigma o \delta o \nu \cdot \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi-$
 $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu о \iota \mu \nu \hat{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ 入ó $\gamma о \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma-$
 $\pi o ́ \delta \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \quad \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i s \quad \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \quad \mu \nu a \hat{S} \tau \circ \hat{v} \epsilon ่ \nu \iota a v \tau o \hat{v}$

 $\delta v^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \not \epsilon \eta \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau ' \epsilon \in \pi \iota \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i s$ ov̉ $\delta$＇ơ $\tau \iota \hat{v} \nu$ ảmo－

 $\tau о$ v́т $\omega \nu$ ，ó $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi i \tau \rho o \pi o s ~ M ı \lambda v ́ a s, ~ o ~ a ́ ~ a \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \theta \epsilon \rho o s ~ o ́ ~$





$$
\text { a That is, at } 18 \text { per cent. }
$$

## AGAINST APHOBUS, I. 16 20

his denial of having received it is a picce of shameless impudence?

To prove that what I say is true, take and read 17 the depositions.

## The Depositions

The dowry, then. he got in this way, and kept. But in the event of his not marrying my mother the law declares that he owes me the amount of the dowry with interest at nine obols a month. ${ }^{a}$ However, I set it down at a drachma a month only. This comes, if one adds the principle and the interest for ten years, to about three talents. This money I have thus shown 18 you that he received and that he confessed in the presence of a host of witnesses that he had it. Then he has also in his possession thirty minae besides, which he received as the revenue from the factory, and of which he has tried to defraud me in the most shameless manner possible. My father left me a revenue of thirty minae accruing from the factory; and after the sale by these men of one-half of the slaves, I should receive the proportionate sum of fifteen minae. Therippides, however, who had charge of the slaves for seven years, has submitted an account of eleven minae a year, four minae a year less than it should have been ; and the defendant who had charge of the business at the first for two years shows no profit whatever, but says sometimes that the factory was idle, and sometimes that he was not himself the manager, but that the foreman, Milyas, a freedman of ours, had charge of it, and that I should look for an accounting from him. If he persists even now in making any of these statements he will easily be convicted of falsehood. If he declares that the 20

## DEMOSTHENES








 $\delta^{\prime} a \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \phi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha \sigma i a \nu$

 $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s . ~ \epsilon i ̉ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \pi о$ ó $\eta \kappa \epsilon, \pi \hat{\omega} s$ oủк






 тov̉vavtiov àv $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ к а i ~ M ı \lambda v ́ a s ~$
 $\lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota, \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ov̂̃os $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} v, \epsilon \iota ้ \quad \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ к а і ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ à $\nu \alpha i \delta ¢ \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 aủ̃oîs.

## MAPTYPIAI

23 Tav́тas $\tau$ oívvv ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \iota$ трıа́коขта $\mu \nu \hat{\alpha} s$ à $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v}$
 20

## AGAINST APHOBUS, I. 20-23

factory was idle, yet he has himself rendered an account of money expended, not on provisions for the men, but for their work-ivory for the trade, swordhandles, and other supplies-indicating that the workmen were busy. Furthermore, he charges me with money which he has paid to Therippides for the hire of three slaves of his who were in my factory. Yet if no work was being done, Therippides should have received no pay, nor should these expenditures have been charged to me. Again, if he alleges that the 21 work was done, but that there was no market for goods manufactured, he ought at any rate to show that he has delivered to me these goods, and to produce witnesses in whose presence he delivered them. Seeing that he has done neither of these things, how can you doubt that he is keeping thirty minae, the two years' income from the factory, since the business has so manifestly been carried on ? If, however, he 22 shall make none of these statements, but shall assert that Milyas had charge of everything, how can you believe him, when he alleges that he himself made the disbursements amounting to more than five hundred drachmae, but that any profits which accrued are in the hands of Milyas? For my part, I think it likely that the very opposite is the case, supposing that Milyas actually did have charge of the work,-that he made the disbursements, and that Aphobus received the profits, if we may draw any conclusion from the general character and the shamelessness of the man.

Take now and read these depositions to the jury.

## The Depositions

These thirty minae, then, he has received from the 23 factory, and the interest on them for eight years; and

## DEMOSTHENES

є̇лi $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota s \tau \iota \theta \hat{\eta} \mu o ́ v o \nu,{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha s^{1} \tau \rho \iota \alpha ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \mu \nu \hat{\alpha} s$
 $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о \hat{\kappa} \kappa \alpha$ $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \tau \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \tau \alpha ́-$

 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \grave{\eta} \mu \phi \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon, \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \bar{\imath} \nu$









 $\mu a \tau o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \phi a ́ \lambda a \iota o v ~ \epsilon i ̉ s ~ a u ̉ z o v ̀ s ~ o v ̂ \tau o s ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma o v ~ \delta \epsilon i v ~$

 $\phi \eta \sigma i \nu$, о $\delta \delta \alpha \mu о \hat{v} \mu о \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\omega} \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\kappa \in \nu o ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \nu$ 入ó रov $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu$, és ó vimoӨєis $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i$
 vovs $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda o \iota \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o v s$ каi viтє́ $\rho \chi \rho \epsilon \omega s$ үє́ $\gamma о \nu \epsilon$,
 $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s . \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \pi о \delta^{\prime}$ öбтıs є̀бтiv ò $\lambda a \beta \omega \nu \nu, \ddot{\eta}$


[^8][^9]
## AGAINST APHOBLS. 1. $23-25$

if one sets this down at the rate of a drachma only, ${ }^{a}$ it will make thirty minae more. These sums he has himself embezzled, and, if they be added to the marriage-portion, the total is about four talents, principal and interest combined. Now I shall go on to show you what sums he has embezzled in conjunction with his co-trustees. and what sums he asserts were never left by my father at all. First, regarding 24 the twenty sofa-makers, given to my father as security for a debt of forty minae, whom my father certainly left behind him at his death, but of whom these men show not a trace-let me prove to you with what utter shamelessness and how openly they are seeking to cheat me of these. That these slaves were left by my father in the house they all admit, and that they brought him in an income of twelve minae every year. Yet these men report no receipts as having come in to my credit from them in ten years, and Aphobus reckons up a total expenditure on them of nearly a thousand drachmae. To such a pitch of effrontery has he come! And these slaves themselves, upon 25 whom he alleges that he has expended the money, they have never handed over to me. On the contrary, they tell the idlest tale imaginable. to the effect that the man who pledged the slaves to my father is the vilest sort of a fellow, who has left many friendly loans ${ }^{b}$ unpaid, and who is overwhelmed with debt ; and to prove this against him they have called a large number of witnesses. But as for the slaves-who got them ; how they went out of the house; who took his due portion, came not unnaturally to mean a " club" to which each member contributed, and from which he could claim help, if need arose. Then it was also used, as here, of the "contribution" or better, the " loan," made to such members.

## DEMOSTHENES











Kai $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ 凶́s ả $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$, $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \mu o \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} s$ $\mu а \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a s ~ к а і ~ \grave{\alpha} \nu а \gamma i \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon$.

## MAPTYPIAI

 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i \quad \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda a \iota o v ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \alpha ̉ \nu \delta \rho a ́ \pi o \delta ' ~$




 є̇ $\pi i$ тov́тoıs $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho a \pi o ́ \delta o ı s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ Moıpıá $\eta \eta \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha-$



 oî $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta a ́ \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu, \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ סаvєíбаขть каi тобои́тب $\chi$ ро́vب $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \alpha \nu \tau \iota$, каi оi то́коь каі $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \hat{\imath}$ '̇є $\kappa$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \epsilon ́ \delta о \tau \alpha \iota$ каi ои̇ठ $\epsilon i$ ' àmорía $\gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma о \nu \epsilon \nu$;
24

## AGAINST APHOBUS, I. 25-28

them away ; or in what suit they lost them by judgement, they are unable to say. Yet, if there were any 26 truth in what they allege they would not be bringing forward witnesses to prove this man's vile character (with which I have no concern), but would be holding on to the slaves, or would show who took them, and would have left not one of them out of sight. But as it is, though they admit that the slaves were left by my father, and though they took possession of them and enjoyed the profits from them for ten years, they have in the most ruthless manner possible done away with the whole factory.

To prove that I am speaking the truth in this, take, please, and read the depositions.

## The Depositions

That, moreover, Moeriades was not without re- 27 sources and that my father did not act foolishly in making the contract with him about the slaves, I will show you by the clearest proof. For after Aphobus took into his own hands the factory as you have yourselves heard from the witnesses, when it was his duty as my guardian to prevent anyone else from advancing money on the same security, he himself loaned to Moeriades on the security of these same slaves the sum of five hundred drachmae, which he admits he has duly recovered from him in full. And yet is it 28 not outrageous that we who made the prior loan should, besides having received no profit from the slaves, have lost our security, while this fellow, who loaned money on security belonging to us, and whose loan was so long subsequent to ours, should from funds that were ours have recovered both principal and interest, and have suffered no loss whatever ?

## DEMOSTHENES

'A $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\omega}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega$, $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$ $\kappa \alpha i$ àvá $\gamma \nu \omega \theta$.

MAPTYPIA
 $\kappa \lambda \iota v o \pi о ь o ̀ ̀ s ~ к \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau о v \sigma \iota, ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \rho a ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \mu \nu a ̂ s ~$




 $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \eta \rho \pi \alpha ́ \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ; ~ \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \alpha \pi \epsilon \phi о \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \omega \nu \tau o ̀$
 $\kappa є к о \mu i \sigma \theta a \iota$.
30 Kai $\mu \eta{ }^{2} \nu$, $\hat{\omega}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ ठıкабтаi, каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ то仑 [823] є̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha \nu \tau о s ~ к \alpha i ~ \sigma \iota \delta \eta ́ \rho о v ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ ката入єıфӨ'́vтоs $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$



 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \prime \gamma \kappa \eta \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \tau i \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ äv ${ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma \alpha^{\prime}-$


 кגívas j̣adíws dúo $\mu \nu \hat{s}$ тô̂ $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \eta ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$





 26

To prove that what I say is true, take the deposition and read it.

## The Deposition

Consider now of how large a sum they are defraud- 29 ing me in the matter of these sofa-makers: the principal alone, forty minae, and interest upon it for ten years, two talents; for they obtained from the slaves a profit of twelve minae each year. Is this a trifling sum drawn from some obscure source, which might easily have been miscalculated, or have they not manifestly robbed me of nearly three talents ${ }^{a}$ ? Of this sum which they have jointly scattered to the winds, it is surely right that I should recover a third from the defendant.

Furthermore, men of the jury, they have dealt in 30 much the same way with the ivory and iron which were left me. They do not produce them. Yet it is impossible that one who possessed so many sofamakers and so many sword-makers should not also have left iron and ivory. These things must have been available, for what could the slaves have produced without these materials? Well then, though 31 my father possessed more than fifty slaves and conducted two factories, one of which easily consumed two minae worth of ivory per month for the sofas, while the sword-factory consumed as much more, and iron besides, these men declare that he left no ivory and no iron ; to such a pitch of shamelessness have they come! From these facts alone it is easy to see 32 that no credence is to be given to their statements: but that my father actually did leave such an amount of these materials as not only to suffice for his own
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Strictly, two talents and forty minae. See the table on p. xi.

## DE.MOSTHENES

oӨaı тoîs є́avтồ $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v p \gamma o i ̂ s, ~ \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ßovגо-





 $\chi \omega \rho i s$ vimò $\tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ є́ $\pi \iota \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu \pi \iota \pi \rho a \sigma \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s ; \quad \hat{\alpha} \rho ’$

 aủroîs.
maptrpiai
Toútov тoívuv $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ є̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu ~ \eta ̈ ~ \tau \alpha ́-~$
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ к а і ~ \tau о \hat{v} \tau о \nu ~ \alpha ’ \rho \delta \eta \nu ~ \alpha ́ \phi \alpha \nu i ' \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ o ̈ \lambda о \nu . ~$









 $\nu v ̂ \nu, ~ Ө \eta \rho \iota \pi \pi i \delta \partial \eta s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta$ v́o $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha, \Delta \eta \mu \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\pi \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$
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## AgAINST APHOBUS, I. 32-35

workmen to use in their trade, but also for sale to anyone else who wished to buy, is made clear by the fact that he himself during his lifetime used to sell these materials, and that after his death Demophon and the defendant continued to sell them from out my house to those wishing to buy. And yet how 33 large must one suppose the quantity left by my father to have been, when it is shown to have sufficed for such extensive factories, and to have been sold by the guardians besides? Was it a small amount, or not rather much more than I have charged?

Take now these depositions and read them to the jury.

## The Depositions

Of this ivory, you see, there is more than a talent's worth of which they make no report-neither of the raw material nor of the finished product. No ; this also they have utterly and absolutely made away with.

Furthermore, men of the jury, I shall prove to you 34 from the account which they render, and from the receipts admitted by themselves, that these three men have in their possession more than eight talents of my money, and that of this amount Aphobus has separately taken three talents and one thousand drachmae. I shall set down separately at a higher figure than they do themselves the moneys they have expended, and shall deduct all the sums they have paid me, that you may see the utter shamelessness of their attempts. They confess to have received from 35 my estate, Aphobus one hundred and eight minae (besides what I shall now show to be in his hands) ; Therippides two talents ; and Demophon eightyseven minae. This makes altogether five talents and

## DEMOSTHENES





























[^10]
## AGAINST APHOBLS, 1. $35-37$

fifteen minae. Of this sum there are nearly seventyseven minae, the income from the slaves, which were not received all at once, and a little less than four talents of which they got possession immediately. Now, if you add to this last sum the interest for ten years, reckoned at a drachma only, ${ }^{a}$ you will find that the whole, principal and interest, amounts to eight talents and four thousand drachmae. From the 36 seventy-seven minae, the profits of the factory, the cost of maintenance of the men must be deducted, for Therippides expended for this seven minae a year, and I admit having received thus much. Thus they expended on our behalf in the ten years seventy minae for maintenance; to this I add the balance. seven hundred drachmae, and thus credit them with a larger expenditure than they do themselves. There must also be deducted from the eight talents and more the sum they handed over to me when I came of age, and the taxes which they have paid to the state. The defendant and Therippides paid me 37 thirty-one minae, and they compute that they have paid eighteen minae in taxes. I will go beyond them and will make this sum thirty minae, that they may have not a word to say in protest. Well, then, if you take away one talent from the eight, seven are left, which, according to their own admissions of receipts, they must necessarily have in their possession. This sum, then, even if they rob me of everything else and deny that they have it, they ought at least to have paid me, seeing that they admit having total amount becomes eight talents and forty minae. From this there are deducted the moneys paid to him (thirty-one minae) and those paid in taxes (set down as thirty minae. instead of eighteen) and the balance (roughly, eight talents less one talent), is reckoned as seven talents.

## DEMOSTHENES








 тoívvข тò ка $\theta^{\prime}$ av̇тòv òктஸ̀ каi є́ккто̀v $\mu \nu a ̂ s ~ \lambda a \beta \epsilon i v ~$
 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \rho i ́ a ~ \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ к а i ~ \chi ı \lambda i ́ a s . ~$
 тoîs $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ є่ $\pi \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \hat{\eta} s$ тò $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \mu$ ' $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ ó $\mu о-$ [826] $\lambda о \gamma \omega ิ \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} v ~ a ̈ \pi \alpha \nu ~ \alpha ̀ \nu \eta \lambda \omega к \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \lambda о \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ رартvpías каi $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota$.

## MAPTMPIAI










 $\tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os ov $\beta$ ßov入ó $\mu \epsilon v o \iota ~ \kappa а \tau \alpha \phi а \nu \epsilon ̀ s ~ \pi o \imath \eta ิ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ 32

## AGAINST APHOBLS, I. $38+1$

received it from my estate. But what is it that they 38 do? They report no return in interest for this money, and tell me that they have expended the entire principal together with the seventy-seven minae ; and Demophon has, moreover, actually set me down as indebted to him. Is not this absolute and barefaced effrontery? Is it not the very excess of outrageous rapacity ? What is the meaning of outrageous, if matters pushed to this extreme are not to be so called? The defendant, then, for his own 39 part, since he admits having received one hundred and eight minae, has in his possession these and the interest on them for ten years, in all about three talents and one thousand drachmae.

In proof that what I say is true-that each one of them in the account of his guardianship admits that he has received the money, but claims to have spent it all-take the depositions and read them.

## The Depositions

I think, men of the jury, that you have now been 40 fully informed regarding the theft and wrongdoings of each of these men. You would, however, have had more exact knowledge of the matter, if they had been willing to give up to me the will which $m y$ father left ; for it contained (so my mother tells me) a statement of all the property that my father left, along with instructions regarding the funds from which these men were to take what had been given them, and regarding the letting of the property. But as 41 it is, on my demanding it, they admit that there was a will, but they do not produce it ; and they take this course because they do not want to make known

## DEMOSTHENES




 єкрі̀vадто, каi à $\nu \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta$.

## MAPTTPIAI

42 $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \Delta \eta \mu \circ \phi \hat{\omega \nu \tau \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ o ’ \gamma \delta о \eta} к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \mu \nu \hat{\alpha} s$ $\tau о v ं \tau \omega$ ठо日रेval $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \cdot \tau \dot{\alpha} s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \beta \delta о \mu \eta \prime \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \mu \nu \alpha \hat{s}$,
 oư $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oưбías $\tau \grave{~ o ̀ ~ к а \tau а \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \epsilon ́ \nu, ~ o u ̉ \delta є ̀ ~}$
 $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma о \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \hat{\sigma \alpha \iota} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$.


## MAPTYPIA














a "This man" appears to refer to Therippides.

## AGAINST APHOBLSA, I. $41-+t$

the amount of the property which was left, and which they have embezzled, and to the end that they may not appear to havereceived their legacies-as though they would not easily be convicted by the facts themselves.

Take now, and read them the evidence of those in whose presence they made their answers.

## The Depositions

This man ${ }^{7}$ declares that a will was made and testifies 42 that in it the two talents were given to Demophon, and the eighty minae to Aphobus ; but he declares there was no additional clause regarding the seventy minae which Therippides received, or regarding the amount of the property bequeathed, or instructions as to the letting of it ; for it was not to his interest to make these further admissions.

Now take the answer of the defendant.

## The Deposition

He also declares that the will was made, and that 43 the money accruing from the copper and the gall was duly paid to Therippides, which Therippides denies; and that the two talents were paid to Demophon ; but in regard to the money given to himself, while he admits that the clause was written in the will, he declares that he did not assent to it, in order that he may not appear to have received it. But as to the amount of the estate he, too, reveals absolutely nothing, nor as to letting the property. For it was not to his interest either to make these further admissions. The amount of the property that was 44 left is, however, none the less clear (though these men seek to conceal it) from the terms of the will, in accordance with which they state that such large sums were given to them severally. When a man

## DEMOSTHENES

ö $\sigma \tau \iota s ~ \gamma \grave{a ̀ \rho ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ \omega \nu}$












 [828] $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta s ~ \pi \alpha \rho ’$ v́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu o \iota \epsilon \nu, ~ \epsilon i \quad \tau о \sigma o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 тоívuv каi aúтòs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho о \kappa \kappa$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ $\theta \in \rho \alpha \pi \alpha i v a s$


 ठaбка́入ovs $\tau o \grave{s} \mu \iota \sigma \theta o \grave{s} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \prime \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon$, каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \sigma-$

 $\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta$ 。

## MAPTYPIAI

 $\delta \iota \eta \rho \pi \alpha \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha i ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \mu \iota \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ d̀ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu, \ddot{\eta}$
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## AGAINST APHOBCOS, I. Hf

out of four talents and three thousand drachmae has given to two of these men three talents and two thousand drachmae as marriage-portions, and to the third the interest on seventy minae, it is clear, I fancy, that he took these sums, not from a small estate, but from one bequeathed to me of more than double this amount. For, I take it, he would not 45 wish to leave me, his son, in poverty, and be eager further to enrich these men. who were already wealthy. No ; it was because of the size of the estate left to me that he gave to Therippides the interest on a sum so considerable, and to Demophon that on the two talents-though he was not yet to marry my sister-in order to accomplish one or the other of two ends : either he would by his gifts encourage them to act the more honourably in the guardianship, or, if they should prove dishonest, they would meet with no leniency at your hands, seeing that, after being so liberally treated, they sinned so grievously against us. Well now, the defendant, who in addition to my 46 mother's marriage-portion has taken the female servants, and has lived in the house, when it becomes necessary to render an account of these matters, says he is busy with his own affairs; and he has come to such a pitch of rapaciousness, that he has even cheated my instructors of their fees, and has left unpaid some of the taxes, although he charges me with the amounts.

Take these depositions too, and read them to the jury.

## Tine Depositions

How could one show more clearly that he has made 47 havoc of the whole estate, sparing nothing, however small, than by proving, as I have done by so many

## DEMOSTHENES




 $\delta^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} v \quad \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} s$ оن̉к

 $\tau о \sigma \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ к $\lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau о \nu \tau \alpha, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau о v ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota a \theta \eta ́ \kappa \eta \nu$


 $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.

 $\epsilon \not \epsilon о \hat{v} \Delta \eta \mu о \phi \hat{\omega \nu \tau \iota ~ к а i ~ \Theta \eta \rho \iota \pi \pi i ́ \delta \eta ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \nu є \pi \iota \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \iota s, ~}$











 тoîs ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma o \iota s$ тoîs $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o l s, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau ’ ~ a ̉ \pi-~$

[^11]
## AGAINST APHOBUS, I. 47-50

witnesses and proofs, that he admitted having received the marriage-portion, and that he acknowledged in writing to the guardians that he had it ; that he enjoyed the profits of the factory, but makes report of none; that of our other effects he has sold some 48 without paying to us the proceeds, while others he has taken to himself and hidden; that according to the account which he has himself rendered, he has embezzled large sums ; that in addition to all this he has made away with the will, sold the slaves, and in all other respects has administered the estate as not even the bitterest enemies would have done? I do not see how anyone could prove the matter more clearly.

He had the audacity to say before the arbitrator ${ }^{a} 49$ that he had paid many debts for me out of the estate to Demophon and Therippides, his fellow-guardians, and that they received a large part of my property, yet neither of these facts was he able to prove. He did not show by the books that my father left me in debt, nor has he brought forward as witnesses the men whom he says he paid ; nor, again, is the amount of money which he charged against his fellow-guardians equal to the amount which he is shown to have received himself. On the contrary, it is much less. When the arbitrator questioned him about each of 50 these matters, and asked him whether he had managed his own estate from the interest or had spent the principal, and whether, if he had been under guardianship, he would have accepted an account of this sort from his guardians or would have demanded that the money be duly paid to him with the accrued interest,
these men (selected by lot) the magistrate would refer civil cases before trial in hopes of bringing about a settlement of the points at issue out of court.

## DEMOSTHENES




 ova $\delta^{\prime}$ is oi $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi i \tau \rho \circ \pi o \iota \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \delta o \sigma \alpha \nu$. (ova $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ äv
 $\alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu, \pi \epsilon \rho i$ ท̂js $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.

 $52 \sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu a ́ \rho \tau v p a s ~ a ̉ \xi \iota o v ̂ \tau \epsilon . ~ \epsilon ’ a ̀ \nu ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon i v \nu a i ́ ~ \mu o \iota ~ \phi \hat{n}$
 $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu$, $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma i o \iota s ~ \epsilon ’ \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega \quad \phi а \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$



 ar $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ iss $\ddot{\eta}$ av̇тòs $\ddot{\eta}$ oi $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi i \tau \rho o \pi o \iota ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \delta o \sigma \alpha \nu . ~$




53 По入入̀̀ $\tau 0 i v v \nu$ ar $\pi о \rho \eta \theta \epsilon i s, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\delta \iota a \iota \tau \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i$
 $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \nu v \nu i \pi \alpha \rho '$ v $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu, \epsilon \in \tau o ́ \lambda \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \psi \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$
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## AGAINS' APHOBUS, I. 50-53

he made no answer to these questions, but tendered me a challenge ${ }^{a}$ to the effect that he was ready to show that my property was worth ten talcnts, and said that, if it fell short of this amount, he would himself make up the difference. When I bade him prove 51 this to the arbitrator, he did not do so, nor did he show that his fellow-guardians had paid me (for if he had, the arbitrator would not have given judgement againşt him) : but he put in a piece of evidence ${ }^{b}$ of a sort regarding which he will try to find something to say.

If even now he still tries to assert that I am in possession of property, ask him who handed it over to me, and demand that he produce witnesses to prove each statement. If he declares that it is my 52 possession in this sense, that he reckons up what is in the hands of either of the trustees, it will be clear that he accounts for only a third part, and still does not prove that I have possession of it. For as I have convicted the defendant of having in his possession the large amount I have stated, I shall also prove that each of them has not less than he. This statement, therefore, will not help him. No; he must show that either he or his fellow-trustees really handed the money over to me. If he fails to prove this, why should you pay any attention to his challenge? He still does not prove that I have the money.

Being sorely at a loss to explain any of these 53 matters before the arbitrator, and being convicted on each point, just as he is now before you, he had the audacity to make an outrageously false statement, to the effect that my father left me four talents buried in the ground, and that he had put my mother in

## DEMOSTHENES













 ả $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ oîóv $\tau$ ' av̇тóv, à à $\lambda^{\prime}$ ảdv́va óv $\tau \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in-$





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є่vє $\chi \epsilon i \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ oưk ä้ кvpiovs є̇ $\pi \circ$ í $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$. ov̉ ${ }^{\prime}$ àv $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon ̀ v \mu \eta \tau \rho i ́ ~ \mu o v ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \phi v \lambda a ́ \tau-~$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ каi aủ $\hat{\eta} s$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
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## AGAINST APHOBUS, I. 53-56

charge of them. He made this statement in order that, if I should assume that he would repeat it here, I might waste my time in refuting it, when I ought to be preferring the rest of my charges against him ; or if I should pass it over, not expecting him to repeat it, then he himself might now bring it up, in the hope that I, by seeming to be rich, might meet with less compassion from you. Yet he who dared to make 54 such a statement put in no evidence to prove it, but relied on his bare word, as though you would lightly give him credence. When one asks him upon what he has spent so much of my money, he says he has paid debts for me, and so represents me as poor ; yet, when it pleases him, he makes me rich. as it seems, seeing that my father left such a sum of money in the house. It is easy to see, however, from many considerations that he is lying, and that there is no basis of fact in this story. For if my father had no confidence 55 in these men, it is plain that he would neither have entrusted to them the rest of his property, nor, if he had left this money in the way alleged, would he have told them of it. It would have been the height of madness to tell them of hidden treasure, when he was not going to make them trustees even of his visible property. But if he had confidence in them, he would not, I take it, have given into their hands the bulk of his property, and not have put them in control of this. Nor would he have entrusted this remainder to my mother to keep, and then have given her herself in marriage to this man who was one of the guardians. For it is not reasonable that he should seek to secure the money through my mother, and yet to put one of the men whom he distrusted in control both of her and of it. Furthermore, if there were any truth in all this, 56

## DEMOSTHENES








 $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda о i$ ovvŋ́ $\delta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ ó' $\iota ~ к \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \phi \theta \eta, \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\chi \rho \eta ं \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime}$, ö оа катє́ $\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ ò $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \prime \rho, \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ тоv́тoıs





 тòv oîkov катà тovтovai tov̀s vó $\mu$ ovs.

Iaßè тov̀s vópovs каi ảvá $\gamma v \omega \theta$.

## NOMOI

Kavà $\tau o v ́ \tau o v s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ v o ́ \mu o v s ~ ' A \nu \tau \iota \delta \omega ́ p \omega ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ є́к




a Melite was a deme of the tribe Cecropis.
${ }^{b}$ Probalinthus was a deme of the tribe Pandionis.

## AGANS'T APHOBl'S. 1.26 is

do you suppose Aphobus would not have taken my mother to wife, bequeathed to him as she was by my father? He had already taken her marriage-portion -the eighty minae-as though he were going to marry her ; but he subsequently married the daughter of Philonides of Melite. ${ }^{a}$ But if there had been four talents in the house and in her custody, as he alleges, don't you imagine he would have raced to get possession both of her and of them : Would he have joined 57 with his co-trustees in so shamefully plundering my visible property, which many of you knew had been left me, and have refrained, when he had the chance, from seizing a fund to the evidence of which you would not be able to testify ? Who can believe this? It is impossible, men of the jury ; it is impossible. No; my father entrusted to these men all the property which he left, and the defendant will tell this story, that I may meet with less compassion from you.

I have many other charges to make against him, 58 but summing them all up in one, I will break down every defence of his. He could have avoided all this trouble, had he let the estate in accordance with these laws.

Take the laws and read them.

## The Laws

In the case of Antidorus, as a result of his property having been let in accordance with these laws, there was given over to him, at the end of six years, an estate of six talents and more from an original amount of three talents and three thousand drachmae; and this some of you have seen with your own eyes; for Theogenes of Probalinthus, ${ }^{b}$ who leased the estate, counted out that sum

## DEMOSTHENES





 $\beta$ 白 $\lambda \tau \iota o ́ v ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ o i ̂ \kappa o \nu, ~ \delta \epsilon \iota-$ $\xi \alpha ́ \tau \omega \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \alpha \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \rho \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \iota \alpha ́ \mu \circ \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu a$,
 є่к $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \omega \nu$ каі $\delta \epsilon \in \kappa \alpha ~ \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ' \mu о i^{1} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu \eta \delta '$

 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta$ ท́кє $\tau о \cup ́ \tau \omega \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$; oủ $\delta a \mu \hat{\omega} s \delta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi o v \theta \epsilon \nu$.
 є' $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ท̀коv́батє, каi $\tau о \hat{v}$ трíтov $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma-~$
 тoเs $\tau \circ i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau о \tau a ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, каi $\epsilon i \quad \mu \eta$ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ ồ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ oîkov $\epsilon$ ß’oú
 $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ каì $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ठьокєîv, каі ö o'





 [833] $\alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \iota \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi o ́ \delta \omega \nu, \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \alpha \nu i ́-$ $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ \epsilon ’ \mu о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ̀ v$ àvєìhov каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ v́ $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi o v \sigma \alpha \nu$

 оن́т $\omega \sigma \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha, \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \mu i \sigma \epsilon \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ к о \iota \nu \eta ̂ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$, ${ }^{1}$ द́ $\left.\mu 0 i\right]$ oi Blass.

## AGAINS'T APHOBUS, I. 59-62

in the market-place. But in my case, fourteen 59 talents in ten years, when consideration is given to the time and the terms of his lease, ought to have been more than trebled. Ask him why he did not do this. If he declares that it was better not to let the estate, let him show, not that it has been doubled or trebled, but that the mere principal has been paid back to me in full. But if out of fourteen talents they have handed over to me not even seventy minae, and one of them has actually recorded me as in his debt, how can it be right to accept any word they say? It is surely impossible.

Seeing that the fortune left me was of so great 60 value, as you heard at the beginning, the third part of it bringing in an income of fifty minae, these men, albeit insatiate in their greed, even if they refused to let the property, might out of this income and leaving the principal untouched, have maintained us, paid the taxes to the state, and saved the residue. The rest of the estate-an amount twice as large-61 they might have invested profitably, and, if greedy for money, have taken a reasonable amount for themselves, and have increased my estate from the income, besides keeping the principal intact. Yet they did nothing of the sort. Instead, by selling to one another the most valuable of the slaves and by absolutely doing away with the rest, they destroyed the existing source of my income and secured a considerable one for themselves at my cost. Having 62 taken all the rest thus shamefully, they unite in maintaining that more than half of my property was never left to me at all. They have rendered

## DEMOSTHENES
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 $\kappa \alpha \sigma \iota$, каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i ̂ \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ढ’к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \not \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
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## AGAINST APHOBCS, I. 62-65

an account as though the estate were one of five talents only ; they do not produce the principal, though reporting no income from it, but have the impudence to tell me that the capital itself has been expended. And for this audacity they feel no shame! What, pray, would have been my plight, if I had 63 continued longer as their ward? They would have hard work to tell. For when, after the lapse of ten years, I have recovered so little from two of these men, and by the third am even set down as a debtor, have I not good ground for indignation! Nay, it is wholly clear. If I had been left an orphan of a year old. and had been six years longer under their guardianship, I should never have recovered even the pitiful amounts I now have. For, if the expenditures they have made were justifiable, the sums they have handed over to me would not have lasted six years, but they would either have had to support me themselves or to have let me perish from hunger. Yet 64 is it not an outrage, if estates left to others of a value of one or two talents have as a result of letting been doubled or trebled, so that the owners have been called upon for state services, ${ }^{a}$ while mine. which has been wont to equip triremes and to make large contributions in taxes, will be unable to contribute even small sums thanks to the shameless acts of these men? What words are gross enough to describe their conduct: They have done away with the will, thinking to avoid discovery, their own estates they have administered from the income, and have greatly increased their capital by drawing upon my funds, while, as for my own estate, they have destroyed my entire capital, as if in requital for some grierous wrong we had done them. You, on your part, do not 65

## DEMOSTHENES

ن́ $\mu \hat{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ö $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \iota \nu \grave{s}$ катаభ ${ }^{\prime} \phi$ ía $\eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, ov
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 $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \varphi \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ є่ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o s, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀$ каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta ่ \tau \iota \mu \omega \epsilon ́ v o s, ~$

${ }^{1}$ үuvaîkas: $\gamma v v a i ̂ \kappa$ Blass.
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## AGAINST APHOBUS, I. 65-68

act thus even toward those who sin against you: when you give judgement against any of them, you do not take away all that they have, but in pity for their wives and children you leave something even to these. But these men are so different from you that, although they had received legacies from us to make them administer their trust faithfully, they have done us these outrageous wrongs. They felt no touch of shame for their ruthlessness toward my sister, who, though my father left two talents as the dowry due her, will now get no fitting portion. Nay, they have recked nothing of kinship, as though they had been left to us, not as friends and kinsfolk, but as bitterest enemies.

For myself, I am the most wretched of men. 66 I am helpless both to give my sister a portion and to maintain myself. Besides this, the state is pressing me hard, demanding taxes, and with right, for my father left me an estate large enough to pay them ; but these men have taken all the money left me. And now, in seeking to recover what is mine, 67 I have come into the greatest peril ; for if the defendant is acquitted (which heaven forbid!) I shall have to pay one-sixth of the damages, ${ }^{a}$ one hundred minae. The defendant, if you give judgement against him, will be liable for a sum to be determined, and will make payment, not out of his own funds, but out of mine ; while in my case the sum is fixed, so that I shall not only have been robbed of my inheritance, but shall also lose my civic rights, unless you now take pity on me. I beg you, therefore, men of the 68
the loss of civic rights. Compare the next oration § 18, end. In the case of Aphobus, the amount for which he would be held liable, if he lost the suit, would be fixed by the court.

## DEMOSTHENES

 $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha s$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö oк $\omega \nu$, ov̂s




 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \in \rho \circ \hat{v} v \tau \alpha s, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \grave{v} \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v ~ \omega ̂ \nu ~ o ́ ~$




 $\beta \epsilon \lambda i a s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a u ́ \tau o ̂ v ~ v i o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta v \nu \epsilon v ́ o v \tau \alpha, ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda o v s ~$ $\mu \epsilon \prime \nu \quad \tau \iota v a s{ }^{\eta \prime} \delta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \pi \circ \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov $\mu$ óvov $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$,


 $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \varphi$.

## AGAINST APHOBUS, I. 68-69

jury, I entreat, I implore you, to remember the laws and the oaths which you took as jurors, to render me the aid that is my due, and not to count the pleas of this man of higher worth than mine. It is your duty to show pity, not toward the guilty, but toward those in unmerited misfortune ; not upon those who so cruelly rob another of his goods, but upon me, who have for so long a time been deprived of my inheritance and treated with outrage by these men, and who am now in danger of losing my civic rights. Loudly methinks, would my father groan, should he 69 learn that I, his son, am in danger of being forced to pay the sixth part of the marriage-portions and legacies given by himself to these men ; and that, while others of our countrymen out of their own funds have dowered the daughters of impoverished kinsfolk and even friends, Aphobus refuses to pay back even the marriage-portion which he took, and that too in the tenth year.

## AGAINST APHOBUS

II

## INTRODUCTION

This second speech against Aphobus was delivered in reply to one which the latter had made. in which he had charged that Gylon, the maternal grandfather of Demosthenes. had been a debtor to the state. For that reason, he alleged. the father of Demosthenes had sought to conceal his fortune and had urged his executors to keep his will from coming to light.

Demosthenes' reply is the natural one that the question was not whether his grandfather had been thus indebted to the state, but whether the obligation still existed. That this was not the case. but that the indebtedness had been paid in full before Gylon's death he makes clear, and easily refutes the charge that his father sought to hide the fact of his wealth.

Precisely what the indebtedness was, and how it was incurred, are matters about which we lack definite information.

Gylon, we are told by Aeschines (Against Ctesiphon, $\$ 172 \mathrm{f}$.) in the closing years of the Peloponnesian war, had betrayed to the enemy the harbour of Nymphaeum in the Tauric Chersonesus, and for this crime had been impeached by the Athenians. Not daring to stand trial he had fled to the Bosporus, where, having received an estate as a reward for his treason, he had settled down. There he married a wealthy lady (Aeschines calls her a Scythian), who

## DEMOSTHENES

brought him a large dowry. By her he had two daughters, whom he subsequently sent with ample means to Athens. In Athens both of them found husbands. One wedded Demochares, and the other Demosthenes, the father of the orator, in defiance, Aeschines states, of the law whereby marriage with an alien entailed illegitimacy upon the children born of such a union. Aeschines therefore calls Demosthenes " a Scythian on his mother's side, a barbarian, Greek only in speech" ( $\tau \grave{a}$ dं $\pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \sum \kappa i ́ \theta \eta s$,


In weighing these statements we must remember the vicious habit of vilification so often indulged in by the Attic orators, and the glaring disregard of facts which often accompanied it. That Demosthenes was in truth an alien it is impossible to believe. Aeschines himself and the other enemies of the orator would surely have made capital out of such a charge, could it have been substantiated. It is easy to assume that Gylon wedded a Greek woman-the whole of the region about the Bosporus was studded with Greek settlements-or the orator's mother may have been born before 403 в.c., the date when the law to which Aeschines alludes was enacted.
The charge, too, that Gylon was condemned to death for treason may also be a distortion of the truth. As to it Plutarch (Demos. iv.) was as much in the dark as we. It seems clear that it would have in any case been impossible for Athens to maintain her control over outlying stations, such as Nymphaeum, and to surrender it, not to " the enemy" but to a friendly power before it was forcibly taken by the Peloponnesians, may have seemed a venial offence, if not a stroke of wise policy. For his act

## AGAINST APHOBUS, II

Gylon may have been fined, or, if the harsher sentence was imposed, it may have been commuted. Otherwise Gylon would hardly have sent his daughters to reside in Athens. Aphobus makes no more serious charge than that Gylon was, or had been, a statedebtor ; which suggests a fine.

## XXVIII

## KAT' АФОВОХ

## B








 тทрウ́баs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \alpha i \alpha \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \prime \rho \alpha \nu$, $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i s$ тòv





a The property would be let at a public hearing before the Archon, and its value could not be concealed. If, therefore, the elder Demosthenes, as the heir of Gylon, was indebted to the state, the property might be confiscated to satisfy the debt.
${ }^{5}$ All documents, citations of statutes, etc., pertaining to the case had to be submitted in written form before the suit was called. They were then sealed in a box ( $\epsilon x i \hat{\nu} \nu_{0}$ ), which 60

## XXVIII

## AGAINST APHOBUS

II
Of the many outrageous lies which Aphobus uttered in his address to you, I shall try to refute first, that one at which I felt greater indignation than at anything else he said. For he declared that my grandfather was a debtor to the state, and that for this reason my father would not have the property let, for fear of the risks he would run. ${ }^{a}$ This is the pretence he uses; but he brought forward no proof that my grandfather died indebted to the state. He did introduce evidence that he became a state-debtor, but he waited until the last day, ${ }^{b}$ and kept this evidence for his second speech, thinking that by it he would be able to give a malicious turn to the matter. So, if he reads it, give close heed. For you 2 will find that the evidence adduced proves not that my grandfather is a state-debtor, but that he was one. I shall undertake first to refute this charge of which he thinks to make so much, and which we
might not be opened until the documents in question were wanted in the trial. By waiting until the last day to file this particular bit of evidence Aphobus prevented Demosthenes from filing any documents to combat it. The latter was therefore "ensnared by lack of time" (§ 2).

## DEMOSTHENES






 o้v $\tau$ a.


 каì $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ ä $\lambda \lambda$ as $\lambda \eta \tau o v \rho \gamma i ́ a s ~ \lambda \eta \tau о v \rho \gamma \in \hat{\imath ̂}$ каì ov̉ס̀̀̀v $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{\circ} \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-$


 $\pi \epsilon \prime \nu \tau \epsilon к а і$ є"кооь $\mu \nu$ âs $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha к о \sigma i ́ a s ~ \epsilon i \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$. каїтоь

 каi ò $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ каi av่тоi ov̂̃oı фаívovтaı фаvєрà




${ }^{a}$ See the preceding oration, § 7, with the notes.

## AGAINST APHOBUS, II. $2-5$

declare to be false. If I had been able to do so, and had not been thus ensnared by lack of time, I should have brought forward witnesses to prove that the money was paid in full, and that everything was settled between my grandfather and the state; as it is, I shall show by strong proofs that he was not indebted at the time of his death, and that we incurred no risks in letting our wealth be known.

In the first place Demochares, who married my 3 mother's sister, a daughter of Gylon, has not concealed his property, but acts as choregus and as trierarch, and performs other public services, without any fear of such consequences. In the second place, my father voluntarily revealed the rest of his property, and in particular the four talents and three thousand drachmae, which these men by their accusations against one another admit to have been mentioned in the will, and to have been received by them. Furthermore, Aphobus himself in conjunction with 4 his co-trustees revealed to the state the amount of the property left me, when he appointed me leader of the tax-group and that at no low rating, but at one so high as to entail a payment of five hundred drachmae on each twenty-five minae. ${ }^{a}$ And yet, if there were any truth in what he says, he would not have acted thus, but would have taken every precaution. But, as it is, Demochares, and my father, and these men themselves have manifestly let their wealth be known ; they plainly feared no such risk as that of which he speaks.

Strangest of all is it that, though they allege that 5 my father would not permit them to let the property, they should never produce this will from which one could have learned the truth, and that having de-

## DEMOSTHENES

$\tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \in \lambda o ́ v \tau \alpha \alpha_{S} \mu a \rho \tau v p i a v$ oư $\tau \omega s$ oï $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$



 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ таиิт' $\epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$



 $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$





 ó $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \prime \rho . ~ \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \mu о \iota^{1} ; ~ \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ; ~ \phi а i \nu \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ та入áv $\tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ \omega \nu$ є $\epsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \beta \tau \epsilon$,


 $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$ каi $\delta \in \epsilon \kappa$ ' ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi o ́ \delta \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \alpha \prime \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ $\mu \nu \omega \bar{\omega}, \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \mu$ о८ $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \delta \omega \dot{\omega} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i \sigma \phi \circ \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$ ov̉ oióv


## AGAINST APHOBUS, II. 5-8

stroyed so important a piece of evidence, they should expect you to believe them on their mere word. It was their duty on the contrary, as soon as my father died, to call in a number of witnesses and to bid them seal the will, so that, in case any dispute should arise, it would have been possible to refer to the writing itself, and so learn the whole truth. But, as 6 it is, they thought proper to have some other papers sealed, in which many items of the property left were not inscribed-papers which were mere memoranda; but the will itself, which gave them possession of the papers to which they affixed their seals, and all the rest of the property, and which acquitted them of all responsibility for not letting the estate, they did not seal, nor yet produce. You ought presumably to believe them in anything they say about this matter.

I, for my part, cannot understand what it is they 7 mean. My father, they say, would not suffer them to let the estate, or to disclose the value of the property. To me, do you mean, or to the state? Quite the contrary : you have plainly disclosed it to the state, but have hidden it absolutely from me. You have not even revealed the fund which was the basis for your assessment in the payment of the propertytax. Show me this fund. What was it? Where did you deliver it over to me, and in whose presence? Of the four talents and three thousand drachmae, 8 you received the two talents and eighty minae, so that you did not include even these in the return you made on my behalf to the public treasury; for at that time they were your property. But the house and the fourteen slaves and the thirty minae which you gave over into my hands, could not have been

## DEMOSTHENES

 $9 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ оvرнорíav. $\alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota-$

 $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota ~ \tau o \iota \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau o \lambda \mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$. каi тотє̀ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ $\epsilon i s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda o v_{s}$ à $\nu a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon ́ \nu a \iota ~ к а \tau ’$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta$ й $\lambda \omega \nu \quad \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$. фа́бкоvтєS $\delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀$




 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
 $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s$ є́ $\phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$, ${ }^{i v} \nu \alpha \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon s$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \eta-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ àкръß'́ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \quad \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́-$ $\sigma \kappa \omega \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i$ av̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.

MAPTTPIAI


 $\Lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} s \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$.

MAPTYPIAI


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta є ́ \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$.


## AGAINST APHOBUS, II. 8-11

assessed at any such sum as that which you agreed to pay to the tax-group. Nay; it is absolutely certain 9 that the property left by my father was much more than this, and that it is all in your possession. It is because you are plainly proved to have made havoc of it that you have the audacity to make up such falsehoods. Sometimes you refer the responsibility to one another; again you mutually accuse one another of having received funds; you claim to have received but little, yet you have made reports of large expenditures. You have acted jointly as my 10 guardians, but thereafter you scheme each one for himself. The will from which we could have learned the truth about everything you have made to disappear ; and it appears that you are never in agreement when you speak of one another.

Take the depositions and read them all in turn to the jury, that they may bear in mind the testimony that has been brought and the statements that have been made, and so reach a more correct decision.

## The Depositions

There you have the assessment to which these men 11 consented in my name, placing my estate in the class of those possessing fifteen talents, whereas the property which the three together have handed over to me is not worth seventy minae.

Read the next.

## The Depositions

This dowry, his possession of which is proved by the testimony of the trustees and of others to whom he confessed that he had received it, he has never paid back, nor has he furnished maintenance.

Take the others and read them.

## DEMOSTHENES

## MAPTYPIAI





$\Lambda \alpha ́ \beta$ ' є́тє́ $\rho \alpha \nu$ каi $\alpha \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota$.

## MAPTTPIA





 Є̀vıautồ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon ́ \phi \in \rho o \nu$.
$\Lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu$.

## MAPTMPIA

13 Toûtov $\tau \grave{o} \nu$ є́ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ каì $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \sigma^{\prime} \delta \eta \rho о \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \omega ̀ s$
 $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon, \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau o \nu$.
$\Lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \sigma i$.

## MAPTYPIAI





$\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} S$ є̀ $\phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$.
MAPTMPIAI

 68

## AGAINST APHOBUS, II. 12-1t

## The Depositions

For two years he conducted the business of the 12 factory and paid to Therippides the hire of the slaves, but to me, though he took the profits for two years, amounting to thirty minae, he has turned over neither that sum nor the interest upon it.

Take and read the next.

## The Deposition

These slaves the defendant took to himself, together with all the other things given to us as surety with them. He has reckoned up so heary an outlay for their maintenance, but absolutely nothing as profit from them; and the men themselves he has made to vanish, though they brought in a clear profit of twelve minae each year.

Read the next.

## The Deposition

After selling this ivory and iron, he declares that 13 none had been left me. but tries to defraud me of the value of these articles also, about a talent.

Read these.

## The Depositions

These three talents and one thousand drachmae he has in his hands besides the rest-five talents of capital of which he has taken possession. Adding the interest, if one reckons it at a drachma a month only, he holds more than ten talents.

Read the next ones.

## The Depositions

That these items were written in the will, and 14 were received by them, is proved by their testimony

## DEMOSTHENES

 [840] $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ́ s, ~ к \alpha i ~ \epsilon ’ \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oiкíav, єi $\sigma \lambda \theta \epsilon i v \nu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉ $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ćs тòv $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$, oưठ' ó $\mu$ о入оү $\hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$





 бvүка入є́таs тoúzovs $\tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ o ̋ v \tau a s, ~ к а i ~ \sigma v \mu \pi a \rho a-~$

 оро $\mu a ́ \zeta \omega \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \grave{\eta} \nu, \Delta \eta \mu \circ \phi \hat{\omega \nu \tau \iota}$ каi $\delta$ v́o $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ i ̂ \kappa \alpha$ סıסoùs єv̉Өv́s, каi $\gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa ' ~ a v ่ \tau \hat{\omega}$







 $\mu \in \operatorname{vos} \epsilon \in \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o เ s, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ́ ~ \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{2} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau ’$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \kappa \grave{\omega} s \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu$, $\grave{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$






[^15]${ }^{a}$ See note $a$ on p. 4.

## AGAINST APHOBL'S, 11. 1t-17

against one another. But Aphobus, though admitting that he was sent for by my father. and though he came to the house, declares that he did not come into the presence of my father, who had sent for him, nor enter into any agreement in regard to these matters, but merely heard Demophon read a document and Therippides say that my father made these arrangements ; whereas in fact he was the first to go in and had agreed with my father to carry out in all respects precisely what he wrote in his will. For my father, men 15 of the jury, when he saw that he was not to recover from his sickness, called together these three men, and causing his brother Demon to sit with them by his side. placed our persons in their hands, calling us a sacred deposit. My sister he gave to Demophon with a dowry of two talents to be paid at once, and betrothed her to him in marriage; me, together with my property, he committed to the care of them all in common, charging them to let the property, and by their joint efforts to preserve the estate for me. At 16 the same time he gave to Therippides the seventy minae, and betrothed my mother to the defendant with her portion of eighty minae, and placed me on his knees. To all this Aphobus, the most impious of men, has paid no heed, although these were the terms upon which he became possessed of my estate. Nay, after joining with his co-trustees in robbing me of everything, he will now claim your compassion, although what he with the two others has paid back to me does not amount even to seventy minae, and even this he is plotting to get back again. For when I 17 was on the point of instituting this suit against them they attacked me by having an exchange of estates tendered me, ${ }^{a}$ in order that, if I accepted it, I might

## DEMOSTHENES

 [841] $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ à $\nu \tau \iota \delta o ́ v \tau o s ~ \gamma \ell \gamma v o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \nu \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$






 $\tau \alpha ̉ \mu \alpha v \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha, \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \epsilon i s ~ i ́ \mu \hat{\alpha} s ~ \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v$ тàs трòs тоvтоvбi ठiкаs.


 $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega s, \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$, óp $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \hat{\eta}$





 $\tau \circ i ̂ s ~ \delta a v \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota v ; \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i v . \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$

[^16]
## AGAINST APHOBUS, II. 17-18

not be allowed to pursue my action against them, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ since (they thought) this suit would then belong to the one tendering the exchange ; and if I did not do so, I might undertake the service with slender means, and so be absolutely ruined. In this matter Thrasylochus of Anagyrus ${ }^{\dot{b}}$ was their tool. I, with no thought of the consequences, accepted the exchange with him, but excluded him from the premises hoping to win a court decision, ${ }^{c}$ but, failing of this, and being hard pressed for time, rather than be forced to give up my suit, I mortgaged my house and all my property, and paid the cost of the service in question, ${ }^{d}$ being eager to bring before you my suit against these men.

Is not the wrong I have suffered from the beginning 18 great indeed, and great the harm they are striving to do me now, because I seek to obtain redress? Who of you would not rightly feel indignation against this man and pity for me, seeing that to the estate of more than ten talents which he inherited there has been added my own of such considerable size, while I have not only been defrauded of my inheritance, but am by the rascality of these men being robbed even of what they have now repaid me ? To what are we to turn, if you give a different decision regarding them? To the goods mortgaged to our creditors? But they belong to the holders of whom such cases were heard, as to whether or not his claim against his guardians would pass to Thrasylochus together with his visible property. From the oration against Meidias we learn that Meidias and Thrasylochus came jointly to Demosthenes' house, and with great violence forced themselves even into the women's apartments before they were finally ejected.
${ }^{a}$ The service was the trierarchy, and the cost entailed amounted to twenty minae.

## DEMOSTHENES





 $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \pi i \sigma \iota ~ к а \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ cis $\Delta \eta \mu \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota$




 каi $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau о \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau о s . ~$
 ov̉火 $\grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$. ais $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\Omega} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \phi \epsilon v^{\prime} \gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$. iкє $\tau \epsilon \cup \cup \omega$,

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota i ́ \delta \eta \tau \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \prime \rho a$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 21 avi $\hat{\eta} S ~ \tau \iota \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} v \cdot \hat{\eta} \nu \hat{v} v \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oi $\epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau v \chi o ́ v \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \delta \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \epsilon i \quad \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} S$ ar $\lambda \lambda o \quad \tau \iota \quad \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon, \quad \hat{o} \mu \dot{\eta}$

 каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \tau \iota \mu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \circ \nu, \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ar $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} s \quad \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$





[^17]the mortgage. To what is left after the creditors are paid? But that becomes the property of the defendant, if you condemn me to pay an obol on each drachma. ${ }^{a}$ Do not, men of the jury, be to us the 19 cause of such deep distress ; do not allow my mother, $m y$ sister and myself to suffer undeserved misfortunes. It was not to prospects such as these that my father left us. Nay, my sister was to be the wife of Demophon with a dowry of two talents, my mother the wife of this most ruthless of all men with a dowry of eighty minae, and I as my father's successor was to perform state services as he had done. Succour us, then, of succour us, for the sake of justice, for your own sakes, for ours, and for my dead father's sake. Save us; have compassion on us ; since these, our relatives, have felt no compassion. It is to you that we have fled for protection. I beseech you. I implore you by your children, by your wives, by all the good things you possess. So may heaven give you joy of them, do not look upon me with indifference; nor cause my mother, deprived of the hopes in life that are left her, to suffer a lot unworthy of her. She 21 now thinks that she is to welcome me home after I have won a just verdict from you, and that my sister will not be portionless. But, if you decide adversely (which may heaven forfend) what, think you, will be her anguish of soul when she sees me not only robbed of my patrimony, but disenfranchised as well, and has no hope that my sister will find an establishment that befits her station because of the poverty that will be ours? I have not deserved, men of the jury. to fail 22 of justice at your hands, nor has Aphobus deserved that he should retain all the money that he has wrongfully taken. Regarding myself, even though you have

## DEMOSTHENES




 ov̉ $\delta \grave{\iota} \nu \pi \epsilon \phi \iota \lambda о \tau i \mu \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{a} s$, à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\tau \alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda o ́ \tau \rho \iota '$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma o \delta \epsilon ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \alpha \iota .{ }^{1}$
23 Tâ̂т' oûv $\sigma \kappa о \pi о \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon$ к каì $\tau \alpha \not \lambda \lambda a \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon S, \hat{\eta}$


 ảข $\eta \lambda \omega \kappa \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \phi а \sigma i ̀ v ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̀ \nu \eta \lambda \omega \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon s, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau ' ~$ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \circ \nu \tau \epsilon s$.







 $\gamma$ є́val ठокй.

as yet had no experience to prove what manner of man I am in my relations to you, yet it is fair to expect that I shall not be worse than my father; but of this man you have had experience, and you know well that, though he inherited a large estate. he has shown no generosity toward you, but has been proven to be a defrauder of others.

Look, then, to this, and bear in mind the other facts; 23 and then cast your vote on the side of justice. You have evidence that is adequate, evidence from witnesses, from depositions, from probabilities, from the statements of these men themselves who acknowledge that they took possession of my entire estate. They say they have spent it, but they have not spent it ; they have it all in their own possession.

All these things should be in your minds, and you 24 should show some consideration for us, knowing that, if I recover my property through your aid, I shall naturally be ready to undertake public serrices, being grateful to you for rightfully restoring to me my estate ; while this fellow, if you make him master of my goods, will do nothing of the kind. Do not imagine that he will be ready to undertake public services for you on behalf of property which he denies having received. Nay; he will conceal it rather, that it may appear that he was justly acquitted.

AGAINST APHOBUS
III

## INTRODCCTION

This oration was delivered in defence of a certain Phanus, whom Aphobus had charged with giving false testimony, hoping doubtless for a reversal of the adverse judgement given against himself.

The facts lying behind the charge are these :
Aphobus, before the suit was decided against him, had called upon Demosthenes to surrender Milyas, who had been foreman of the sword-factory (see Oration XXVII. § 19), to be examined by torture. This Demosthenes refused to do, stating that Milyas was no longer a slave, having been set free by the elder Demosthenes on his death-bed. Moreover he called upon Phanus, Philip, and Aesius, brother of Aphobus, to prove that, when questioned by the official arbitrator, Aphobus had admitted that Milyas was a freeman. Aphobus then brought suit against Phanus and Philip for giving this testimony, alleging that it was false.

Demosthenes naturally took up the defence of Phanus, for a judgement against him might have seemed to invalidate the decision of the court in his own suit against Aphobus, and have necessitated a new trial. He argues, therefore, that the testimony of Phanus was true, and claims that, even if it had been false, the fact should not prejudice his own case, for any testimony Milyas might have given would

## AGAINST APHOBLS, III.

have had little bearing on the question of Aphobus's guilt or innocence.

On this speech see Schaefer, iii. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 82 ff., and Blass. iii. pp. 232 ff . It is also discussed by Professor G. M. Calhoun in the Transactions of the American Philological Association for 193 t , pp. 80 ff. This scholar shows how far from compelling are the arguments advanced against its authenticity.

## XXIX

## 世EI゚ $\triangle$ OMAPTYPI

## $\Gamma$

Ei $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v ~ \mu о \iota ~ \delta i к \eta s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ " A \phi o-~$


 Өavرaбíws àv ís $\eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \beta o u ́ \mu \eta \nu$, $\mu \grave{\eta}$ каi vv̂v ov̉









 тоขทрós.
 oủxi $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \nu$ ' aưтоv̂ катанє $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ v a \iota$
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## XXIX

## AGAINST APHOBUS IN DEFENCE OF PHANUS CHARGED WTTH GIVING FALSE TESTIMONY

## III

If I were not conscious, men of the jury, that in a former suit against Aphobus I had readily (so absolutely manifest were his wrongdoings) convicted him of lies greater and more outrageous than these which he now utters, I should have grave doubts of my ability to show how he seeks to lead you astray in regard to each one of them. As it is, however (be it said with the favour of heaven), if you prove fair and impartial hearers, I have strong hopes that you will become as fully aware of the shamelessness of this man as were the jurors in the former trial. If the case required eloquence or cleverness I should shrink through distrust of my youth ; but, as matters are, I need merely point out and rehearse to you what the plaintiff's conduct toward us has been. From this it will be easy, I think, for all of you to determine which of us is the villain.

I know that the plaintiff has instituted this suit, ${ }_{2}$ not because he believes he can convict anyone of having borne false witness against him, but because he thinks that the large amount of damages which he was condemned to pay will give rise to a feeling

## DEMOSTHENES








 3 ov̉のías $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s^{1}$ є́к $\beta \epsilon \in \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha$. vv̂v $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тоv̉vavтiov
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 $\sigma \kappa \epsilon u ́ \eta ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \alpha ̉ \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \pi о \delta ' ~ \epsilon ' \xi а \gamma а \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$





 каi $\mu \iota \alpha \rho i ́ a s ~ v ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v ~ \mu о \iota ~ \delta о к \epsilon i ̂ ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha}{ }^{\text {. }}$




[^18]
## AGAINST APHOBCS, III. $2-4$

of prejudice against me, and of compassion toward himself. For this reason he is now seeking to defend himself against charges made in a suit that has already been decided, regarding which he had at the time no reasonable defence to make. I, for my part. men of the jury, if I had proceeded to execute the judgement against him and had been unwilling to make any reasonable concession, should even so have done no wrong in exacting the damages awarded by your decision ; but for all that it might have been said that I had shown undue ruthlessness and enmity toward a man who is a relative in depriving him of all his property. But, as it is, the precise contrary 3 is the truth. This man with his co-trustees has robbed me of my entire patrimony, and, even after being clearly convicted in your court. he does not consider himself obliged to do anything reasonable. On the contrary he has dispersed his property, giving his farm-buildings to Aesius and his farm to Onetor, against whom he has forced me to engage in a troublesome lawsuit. He himself stripped the house of its furniture, took away the slaves, destroyed the wine-vat, tore off the doors, and all but set fire to the house itself ; then he made off to Megara, where he has settled and paid the alien's tax. You would, therefore, with better ground loathe this man for deeds like these, than judge me guilty of undue severity.

Regarding the rapacity and vile character of the 4 plaintiff I purpose to speak at length before you later on, though what you have even now heard gives you a fair idea of it. But I shall now undertake to show you, that the testimony which has been given, about which you are going to cast your votes, is true. But

## DEMOSTHENES




 јоркотє́раข $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \psi \hat{\eta} \phi о \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i$ aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\epsilon \pi \iota-$




 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta_{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu 0 v \rho \gamma o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ~ \psi \epsilon v \delta \epsilon i ̂ s$







 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \theta \epsilon i s \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{o}{\circ} \nu \tau \omega \nu$. $\gamma \in \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s \delta_{\epsilon} \mu \circ \iota$






 $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \circ v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega}^{s} \pi \alpha \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ коци弓онє́v$\varphi, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

[^19]
## AGAINST APHOBCS, III. 4-7

one request I make of you, men of the jury, and it is a reasonable one-that you will give us both a fair hearing. This is as much in your interest as in mine, for the more accurate your knowledge of the facts, the more just and in harmony with your oaths will be the vote you will cast regarding them. I shall show 5 that Aphobus has not only acknowledged Milyas to be a freeman, but has even proved it by his actions; that, furthermore, about this matter he has declined the absolutely sure test by torture, ${ }^{a}$ and does not wish to have the truth brought to light; that on the contrary he has recourse to trickery, brings forward false witnesses, and by his own words distorts the truth regarding what has taken place. So strong and so plain is the evidence by which I shall prove these statements that you will all see clearly that it is I who am speaking the truth, and that he has uttered not a word worthy of credence. I shall begin at a point which will make it easiest for you to learn the facts, and for me to instruct you regarding them in the briefest time.

I instituted suit, men of the jury, against Demo- 6 phon, Therippides and the plaintiff for breach of trust in their guardianship, for I had been defrauded by them of all my inheritance. When my suit against Aphobus in the first instance came up for trial, I proved clearly to the jury, as I shall prove to you, that he, in conjunction with the others, had robbed me of all the property that had been left me ; and I relied upon no false testimony. Here is a clear 7 proof of this. A host of depositions was read at the trial, some of the deponents declaring that they had given to the plaintiff property of mine, others that he had received such property in their presence, still

## DEMOSTHENES




 $8 \tau v \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$. каітоь тó $\gamma \epsilon$ тíц $\eta \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$,


 $\epsilon \sigma \kappa \eta ं \psi а \tau о . ~ o ̋ \theta \epsilon v$ oi то́т' áкоv́баขтєs ova $\mu$ óvov $\alpha v ่ \tau o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ่ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$

 $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota \omega \nu \nu$ ö $\sigma a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ่ \nu ~ a v ่ \tau o v ̂ ~ \chi ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu a \tau ', ~ Є ’ \chi \in \iota \nu ~ к а \tau-~$


 $\hat{\epsilon} \nu, \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \epsilon v \delta o \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa \rho i \sigma \epsilon \iota \cdot$ on $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ кат $\eta \gamma \circ \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu, \nu \hat{v} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ ~ v ̌ \delta \omega \rho$,





 $\mu \epsilon \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa$ тov̂ $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ vi $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$
 а’кои́ $\alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.
${ }^{1}$ qovít $\omega$ omitted by Class.

[^20]
## AGAINST APHOBLS, III. 7-10

others that they had purchased goods from him, and paid him the price ; yet he has charged not a single one of these with bearing false testimony. He has dared to attack this one piece of testimony, and it alone, although in it he cannot show that there was mention even of one single drachma. And yet for 8 the computation of the sums of which I had been robbed, I relied not so much on this man's testimony, for there was no mention of money in it, but on the several statements of the others, against whom the plaintiff has made no charges. Therefore the jurymen who at that time heard my plea, not only found him guilty, but fixed the damages at the full amount stated in my complaint. Why was it, then, that he passed over the other witnesses and sued the defendant alone? I will tell you. In regard to all 9 the witnesses who testified that he had received the money, he knew that the more discussion there should be over each separate point, the more convincingly would he be convicted of possessing it, and this was bound to be the case in a trial for false witness ; for the accusations which I then made along with all the others in a small part of the time allotted me, I should now discuss severally and in detail in the time of an entire speech; whereas, if 10 he attacked an answer given, he thought that as he had made an admission before, so now it would be in his power to make a denial. ${ }^{a}$ That is the reason why he attacks the testimony of this witness, the truth of whose testimony I mean to prove conclusively to you all, not on the basis of probabilities, or of arguments made up to fit the occasion, but by reasoning which, I am sure, will approve itself to you all as just and fair. Listen, and judge.

## DEMOSTHENES
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Kaí $\mu$ о九 ка́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau о ⿱ ́ \tau \epsilon \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s . ~$
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## AGAINST APHOBLS, III. 11-13

I knew, men of the jury, that I should find the 11 whole contest centring about the deposition inscrted in the record, and that it would be regarding the truth or falsehood of this that you would cast your votes, and I therefore determined that the first step for me to take was to offer Aphobus a challenge. What, then, did I do ? I offered to surrender to him for examination by torture a slave who knew how to read and write, and who had been present when Aphobus made the admission in question, and who wrote down the statement of the witness. This man had been ordered by me not to use any fraud or trickery, nor to write down some and suppress others of the statements made by the plaintiff regarding the matters at issue, but simply to write the absolute truth, and what Aphobus actually said. What better 1 ? opportunity could he have had of convicting us of falsehood than by putting my slave to torture ` But Aphobus knew better than anyone else that the slave had borne true testimony, and therefore he declined the test. And in truth it is not one or two only who know these facts; the challenge was not made in secret, but in the midst of the agora where many were present.

Call, please, the witnesses to these facts.

## The Witsesses

The fellow is so cunning, and so ready to pretend 13 ignorance of what is right, that, although he is pressing a suit for false witness, and although you are to cast your votes regarding this, and have sworn so to do, he refused the proffered examination by torture in regard to the testimony (the point to which he should have devoted his argument), and declares that he requires the slave to be given up for testing in

## DEMOSTHENES
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 $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s$ є̇ $\pi i ~ \tau o \hat{v} \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \rho i o v \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a s ~ a ं \nu a \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega-$
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regard to other matters. In this he is lying. Is it 14 not indeed monstrous that he should claim that he is being outrageously treated by my refusal of his demand to have delivered to him for torture a freeman (for such I shall conclusively prove Milyas to be), and should not consider that my witnesses are being outrageously treated, when I offer him one who is admittedly a slave, to be tested by torture regarding their testimony, and he refuses? For he surely cannot maintain this, that for some matters, which he himself desires, torture is a certain test, and for others not.

Furthermore, men of the jury, the first witness to 15 give this testimony was Aesius, the brother of the plaintiff. He now denies it, because he has allied himself in the suit with Aphobus; but at that time he gave this testimony along with the other witnesses, for he had no desire to perjure himself, or to suffer the penalty which would straightway follow. Surely now, if I had been getting up false testimony, I should not have put this man in my list of witnesses, seeing that he was more intimate with Aphobus than with anyone else in the world, and knowing that he was going to plead for him in the suit, and that he was an adversary of my own. It is not reasonable that one should call as witness to a false statement one who is an opponent of his own, and a brother of his adversary. I have many witnesses to these facts, 16 and circumstantial proofs no fewer in number than the witnesses. In the first place, if he did not in very truth give this testimony, he would not be denying it now, but would have done so at once in the courtroom, when the deposition was read, for it would have answered his purpose better then than now. In the

## DEMOSTHENES
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second place Aesius would not have kept quiet, but would have sued me for damages, if without cause I had made him liable to a charge of bearing false witness against his brother, a charge on which men run the risk both of damages in money and the loss of citizenship. Again, in seeking to bring the truth 17 of the matter to light, he would have demanded of me the slave who wrote the depositions, in order that, if I refused to give him up, I might seem to have no just ground for my statements. But, as it is, so far from doing anything of the sort, he refused to accept the slave for torture, when I, on his denial that he had given the evidence, offered him. So plain is it that regarding this matter too both he and Aphobus as well were alike unwilling to have recourse to torture.

To prove that my words are true, that after Aesius 18 had given his testimony with the other witnesses, he made no denial of the fact, when, standing by the plaintiff's side in the court-room, he heard the deposition read, and that, when I offered the slave to them to be questioned by torture regarding all these matters, he refused to accept the offer-regarding each of these points severally I shall produce witnesses. Please call them here.

## The Witnesses

I wish now to set forth to you, men of the jury, 19 what I consider a stronger proof than all those that have been mentioned, to show that the plaintiff did give this answer. When, despite the admissions which he is proved to have made, he demanded of me Milyas for torture, I was so eager to show on the spot that this, too, was a subterfuge on his part, that what do you

## DEMOSTHENES

$20 \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \alpha ́ \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha$ тí $\pi о \iota \hat{\omega}$; $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda о \hat{v} \mu \alpha \iota^{1} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \Delta \eta{ }_{\eta} \mu \omega-$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, каi $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a s ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau ’$ '่кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v o \nu$
 ov̂̃os $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тò $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ à $\pi \eta \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \dot{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota$, $\tau \circ \hat{v}$

 $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о$ каi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ трошнолó $\eta \tau о$ трòs $\tau о \hat{v} \delta^{\prime}$
 21 द́ $\xi \circ \mu o ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ a ̀ \pi \eta \eta \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \eta ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau o s ; ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} ~ \mu \grave{\eta} v$
 र $\rho \alpha ́ \phi o v \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$, ôs $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \dot{\alpha}$ є́avтov̂ каi $\tau \circ \hat{\tau} \tau о \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu o ́ v \epsilon v \epsilon \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \bar{\omega} s \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$. каi $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \eta^{\eta} \theta \epsilon \lambda о \nu$ oủxi $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \omega \nu$ à $\pi о \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ oî $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu \cdot \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \gamma \alpha ́ \rho \cdot a ̀ \lambda \lambda$ '




 є́ $\pi \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v v^{\prime} v \sigma \iota \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \nu$;
' $A \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\omega} \dot{s} \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega$, $\lambda a \beta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} v \pi \rho o ́ к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ каi $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$.

## ПРОKАНЕİ. MAPTYPIA

 тобои́т $\omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \cup \cup \mu \epsilon \nu$ оऽ őть бvко-

think I did ? I summoned Aphobus to give evidence 20 against Demo, his uncle and a partner in his crimes. I wrote out the testimony which he now attacks as false and ordered him to make a deposition to it. At first he brazenly refused, but when the arbitrator bade him depose, or deny the fact under oath, he deposed, sorely against his will. And yet if the man was a slave, and had not been already admitted by Aphobus here to be free, what in the world induced him to make this deposition? Why did he not deny it on oath, and so get free of the affair? Pray note that 21 in regard to this matter also I was ready to give over to him for torture the slave who had written the deposition, who would know his own handwriting, and who clearly remembered that Aphobus had made the deposition. I was ready to do this, not for want of witnesses who were present, for there were some; but in order that he might not accuse these men of giving false testimony, and that the result of the torture might support them. Yet it is not fair to condemn the witnesses on his account. They alone of men who have as yet stood trial before you can show that the plaintiff himself has borne witness to their testimony as to these matters.

To prove that I am speaking the truth, take the challenge and the deposition.

## The Challevge. The Deposition

Such are the legal tests which he has refused, and 22 so numerous the proofs by which he is shown to be acting with malice and insincerity ; yet he demands that you put credence in his own witnesses, and he

## DEMOS'THENES

$\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s, \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ’ \mu o v ̀ s ~ \delta \iota \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ к \alpha i ́ ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \tau a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \epsilon i v$.









 $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \sigma u ́ v \delta ı к о s, ~ Ф a ̂ v o s ~ \delta ' ~ Є ̇ \pi \iota \tau \eta ́ \delta \epsilon \iota o s ~ к а i ~$


 $\kappa \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau о \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ o v ̉ \sigma i ́ a \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \lambda \eta \tau о v \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \pi \rho о \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega s$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ тоьєîv.



 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu ; \quad \epsilon \quad \gamma \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov̉к oîठa.
 є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\mu} \epsilon \tau$ оs $\tau \alpha ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha$ avizov́s, ő $\mu \omega s$ бvкофаขтєî каí фךб८v ov̉ $\mu$ óvov ov̉к єỉাєîv $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha$,
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## AgAINST APHOBLS. III. 20-25

slanders mine, and declares that their testimony is false.

I wish now to speak of the matter on the basis of probabilities. I am certain that you would all agree that those who give false testimony are led to do so by bribes through stress of poverty, or by friend, hip. or else by enmity toward the opposite party in the suit. Now no one of these reasons would have led the 23 men to testify in my favour. Not friendship; how could that be, seeing that they are not engaged in the same pursuits, nor are they of like age, I will not say with me, but with one another: Not enmity against my adversary, that is plain : for one of them is his brother and pleads on his side ; Phanus is a close friend and a member of the same tribe: and Philip is neither friend nor enemy. so that this motive, too, cannot be justly charged against them. Further- 24 more, no one could say that porerty was the ground, for they all possess means so ample that they willingly assume the expense of public services, and discharge whatever duties are laid upon them. Besides all this, they are well known to you, and you know nothing to their discredit; for they are worthy citizens. Yet, if they are not poor, nor enemies of the plaintiff, nor friends of mine. how can it be right to suspect them of bearing false witness? I certainly do not know.

My opponent was aware of all this, and knew better 25 than anybody else that their testimony was true, but none the less he brings forward a malicious charge against them, and not only declares that he did not make the statement which I have proved in the most conclusive manner that he did make, but even asserts that the man, Milyas. is in fact a slave. I wish in a very few words to prove that in this, too, he is lying.

## DEMOSTHENES


 ßaбaví̧єıv $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ 日єрamaìvas, aî $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o s ~ \tau o \hat{v}$
 26 єival тóтє. каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о и ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \eta ं \tau \eta \rho ~ к а т ’ ~ \epsilon \epsilon \mu о \hat{v}$

 тарабт $\eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$, тòv ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o v$ тои̂тov ả $\phi \in i ̂ v a l ~ \tau \grave{o} \nu$




 тоьєîv, ка́入є тоv́т $\omega v$ тov̀s $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s . ~$

## MAPTYPE

27 Toбav̂та тоívvv סíкаıа $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ є’ $\chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ท̀ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, каi






 [853] $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu, ~ \sigma v \gamma \chi о \rho \eta \gamma o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ’ \chi \omega \nu ~ ' О \nu \eta ' т о \rho а ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ к \eta-~}$


 $\mu a ́ p \tau v p a s ~ o ̛ ̉ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a \nu v ̂ \nu$. ơ $\mu \omega s$ ठє̀ каímєр
a Timocrates: possibly the same as the Timocrates against whom Demosthenes delivered Oration XXIV.
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## AGAINST APHOBLS, III. 25-28

I was ready, men of the jury, regarding this point also to give over to him to be tested by torture my female slaves, who remember that my father on his death-bed set this man free. Besides this, my mother 20 was ready to call to her side my sister and myself, and swear, with imprecations on our heads if she spoke falsely-we were her only children, and it was for our sakes that she gave herself up to a life of widowhood-that $m y$ father when he was about to die had set this man free, and that Milyas was regarded by us as free thereafter. Let no one of you assume that she would have been willing to make this oath with imprecations on our heads if she had not known well that what she was to swear to was true.

Come now, to prove that I am speaking the truth and that we were ready to do these things, call the witnesses thereto.

## The Witnesses

So many were the just arguments we had to urge, 27 and so ready were we to have recourse to the most infallible tests regarding the testimony given; and yet the plaintiff evades all these, and fancies that by slandering me regarding the trial which has already taken place, and bringing accusations against me, he can induce you to convict the witness,--a piece of trickery the most unfair and the most rapacious imaginable. For he has himself suborned men 28 to bear false witness about these matters, having as co-workers his brother-in-law Onetor, and Timocrates ${ }^{a}$; we had no forewarning of this, and supposed that the contest would be regarding the deposition alone, and therefore have not come prepared with witnesses regarding the guardianship accounts.

## DEMOSTHENES

ov゙т $\frac{\tau o v ́ \tau o v ~ \sigma \epsilon \sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o v, ~ \tau a ̀ ~}{\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau ' ~ \alpha v ̇ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \iota-~}$



 $\sigma \alpha \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime \prime} о \tau \iota \pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \not \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \not \epsilon \eta \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \chi \theta \eta \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \prime \nu$,



 $\chi р \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \cdot \tau o ̀ v ~ M ı \lambda v ́ a \nu ~ \delta ' ~ o v ' \delta ' ~ o ̋ \sigma \tau \iota s ~ \epsilon ै \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ o v ่ \delta \epsilon i s ~$
 ou゙т $\omega$ є' $\chi o v \tau^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau i \nu$.







 коvта $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \mu \nu \hat{\alpha} s, \stackrel{\eta}{\nu}$ є’ $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \pi \rho о і ̈ к \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ к а \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$, $\hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma \theta a i ́ \phi \eta \mu \iota$. тоîs $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \sigma \iota v \tau i \quad \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota ; " \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o \hat{v \iota} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$


Nevertheless, despite the fellow's trickery, I think that, simply by reciting the facts, I shall easily convince you that no man was ever more justly convicted than he. It was not because I refused to allow Milyas $\because 9$ to be put to the torture, nor because he himself admitted the man to be a freeman, nor yet because these witnesses gave their testimony ; but because he was proved to have taken possession of large sums belonging to me, and because he did not let the estate, though the laws so ordered and my father had so directed in his will, as I shall plainly show you. For these were things that anyone could see, the laws, namely, and the amount of my property which these men had taken as plunder ; but as for Milyas, nobody knew even who he was. You will see from the charges brought against Aphobus that these things are so.

For, men of the jury, when I instituted my suit 30 against him concerning his guardianship, I did not fix the damages at a lump sum, as one bringing forward a baseless charge out of malice would have done, but specified each item, stating the source of each, the precise amount, and the person from whom it had been received. In no case did I add mention of Milyas as having knowledge of any of these matters. Hence 31 this is the beginning of the complaint: "Demosthenes makes the following charges against Aphobus. Aphobus has in his possession moneys of mine, received by him in his capacity as guardian, as follows: eighty minae, which he received as the marriageportion of my mother in accordance with the terms of my father's will." This is the first of the sums of which I claim to have been defrauded. Now what was the declaration of the witnesses? " That they were present before the arbitrator, Notharchus, when

## DEMOSTHENES

 32 тồ $\Delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \in v o v s ~ \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ́ s . " ~ \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon i ̂ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o i ̂ v v \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho ' ~$



 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ є́avто仑. каi $\tau i ́ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, $\hat{\omega}$ тоòs $\Delta$ เós; " $\dot{\omega} \mu о-$
 є’ $\chi \omega \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi \rho o i ̂ \kappa \alpha ; ~ o u ̉ \delta \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ a ̀ v ~ \delta \eta ́ \pi o v ~ \delta ı \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ~$ $33 \delta o ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu . \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \tau o \hat{\tau}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon i \chi \chi \eta ; \quad \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$

 oi $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, $\sigma i ̂ \tau o v ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho i \quad \delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \nu$


 $\epsilon ่ \pi \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} v a \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \mu о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha-$
 $34 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau о \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a \theta \eta ́ \kappa \eta \nu$. $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ ó $\gamma \delta o \eta \prime \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$
 ठıà $\tau o v \sigma \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ ò $\phi \lambda \epsilon i v \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ~ \dddot{\eta} \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon ; ~ \epsilon ’ \gamma \grave{\omega}$

 $\tau \epsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \kappa \omega ́ s, \delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о \nu \theta \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \phi \eta \sigma i$ каi $\delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau о v ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon ~$
 $\delta \epsilon i s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ' ~ Є \epsilon เ \nu ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \mu a \rho \tau u ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
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## AGAINST APHOBLS, III. 31-34

Aphobus admitted that Milyas was a freeman, having been emancipated by the father of Demosthenes.' Consider now for yourselves whether in your judge- 32 ment there could be an orator, or sophist or magician so wondrously clever in speaking as by means of this testimony to convince any man on earth that Aphobus is in possession of the marriage-portion of the speaker's mother. What in heaven's name would he say ? " Aphobus has admitted that Milyas is a freeman." And why on that account is he any the more in possession of the marriage-portion? The statement would surely not seem to prove it. But how was it proved? 33 In the first place, Therippides, his co-trustee, testified that he had given him the money. Secondly, Demo, his uncle, and the rest of the witnesses who were present, testified that he agreed to supply my mother with maintenance, as being in possession of her portion. Against these men he has lodged no charges, plainly because he knew that their testimony was true. Besides this, my mother was ready to call to her side my sister and myself, and swear with imprecations on our heads, if she spoke falsely, that Aphobus had received her marriage-portion according to the terms of my father's will. Shall we, then, say, or shall we 34 not, that he has possession of these eighty minae ? And was it on the evidence of these witnesses here or of those that he was convicted? I think it was on the evidence of truth. He has enjoyed the interest on this sum for ten years, and even though judgement has been given against him, cannot bring himself to pay it back. Despite this, he declares that he has been outrageously treated and that he lost the suit by reason of these witnesses. Yet not one of them testified that he had received the marriage-portion.

## DEMOSTHENES

 каi то̂ ката入єıфөє́vтоs $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu \tau о s ~ к а i ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ б८ঠŋ́pov каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ т


 $\nu \iota \sigma \tau$ ย́ov.





 $\kappa а \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$, каi $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ тà $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime}$,
 37 тоитоvбi таракрои́табӨає Ђŋтєіิтє. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тоívvv






 $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho \in s$.
38 'А $А \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau о \hat{v} \gamma ' ~ \epsilon ̀ \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi а \nu \tau о s ~ к а i ~ \tau о \hat{v}$




[^21]
## AGAINST APHOBL'S. III. 35-38

With regard to the maritime loan, ${ }^{a}$ the sofa-makers, 35 and the iron and the ivory that were left me, and my sister's marriage-portion, at the purloining of which Aphobus connived in order to secure for himself the right to take whatever he pleased of my goods. listen, and see how just was the verdict given against him, and how absurd it would have been to examine Milyas by torture regarding any of these matters.

For as regards the purloining of funds at which you 36 connived there is a law which expressly declares that you are responsible for them exactly as if you had them in your own possession. So what has the law to do with the testing of a slave by torture ? But in the matter of the maritime loan you made common cause with Suthus, ${ }^{b}$ divided the money with him, and destroyed the contract, and now that you have arranged everything to suit your wish, and have done away with the documentary evidence (as Demo testified against you). you have recourse to trickery, and endeavour to mislead these gentlemen. Regarding the sofa- 37 makers, if you took money, and made large profits for yourself by making loans on security that was mine-you. who should rather have prevented others from doing so-and finally made away with the slaves altogether, what, pray, can the witnesses do in your behalf? These men at any rate have not testified that you admitted lending money on the security of my slaves, and that you appropriated the slaves to yourself. On the contrary, it was you who acknowledged this in your account, and the witnesses testified to the fact against you.

Now look you, as to the ivory and iron, I have this 38 to say: all the slaves of the household know that the

## DEMOSTHENES

$\tau о \hat{\tau} \tau о \nu \pi \omega \lambda о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau$, каi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta о \hat{v} \nu \alpha \iota$ каi то́тє каi $\nu \hat{v} \nu$





 39 aiтías. à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov่ $\chi i$ тoıov̂тóv $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \sigma a \phi \hat{\omega} s$ äv




 $\gamma \in \iota \nu$.

Пєрi $\tau \circ i ́ \nu v \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu, \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu, \alpha, \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \omega \prime \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o v ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~ к а i ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a s ~ " \nu$ ' $\epsilon i \delta \eta \tau \tau$.

## NOMOI. MAPTYPIAI


 є́ $\mu о \hat{v} \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu, ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i$ тò $\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu ' \alpha v ้ \tau ' ~ \epsilon i ~ \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́-~$

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \sigma \kappa о \pi \omega \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon$. ov่ őт $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ov̉ ${ }^{\prime}$
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## AGAINST APHOBLS, III. 38-40

plaintiff used to sell these articles. I am ready now. as I was then, to give over to him any one of these slaves whom he may choose to be examined by torture. If, then, he alleges that I refuse to surrender the man who has knowledge of the facts, and offer him others who have no such knowledge, he will but show that he ought all the more to have accepted my offer. For if those whom I offered to him as having knowledge of the facts, declared that he had none of these articles in his possession, he would of course have been acquitted of the charge. But nothing of the 39 sort is the truth. It would have been proved past all question that he had sold the goods. and appropriated the profits. Therefore, he passed over those who were admittedly slaves, and demanded that a freeman be examined by torture, whom it would have been a crime for me to surrender; for it was not his purpose that he should sift out the matter. but that he might make a specious argument out of the fact that his demand was refused.

Regarding, therefore, all these facts, first the marriage-portion, then his connivance with fraud, then all the rest, there shall be read to you the laws and the depositions, that you may hare full knowledge.

## The Laws. The Depositions

Not only from the facts already adduced can you 40 see that Äphobus was not in any respect whatever prejudiced by my refusal to give the man up for torture, but also from a consideration of the matter itself. Let us suppose that Milyas is being racked upon the wheel, and consider what Aphobus would most wish him to say. Would it not be that he was not aware that the plaintiff had any of the property in his possession? Well, suppose he says so. Does

## DEMOSTHENES

 єiơóтаs каi סóvтаs каi тарóvтаs بá $\rho \tau v \rho a s ~ \pi а \rho-~$


 тобои́т $\omega \nu$ бov $\mu a \rho \tau \cup ́ \rho \omega \nu$, $\tau i v \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \epsilon v \delta o \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$
 каíтоь $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ov่ $\sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\omega} s$ ò̀ $\sigma \alpha v \tau o ̀ v ~ \grave{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota s$, öть $\psi \epsilon v ́ \delta \epsilon \iota$ סєıvà $\pi \epsilon \pi o \nu \theta \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \phi a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu ~ к а i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \delta i ́ к \eta \nu$
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## AGAINST APHOBLS, III. 10-13

that prove that the plaintiff has none? Far from it ; for I produced men who knew, men who paid him the money, men who were present in person, as witnesses. It is convincing proof, not if one is ignorant that a man has something in his possession (for there might be many such), but if one knows that he has it. But of the many witnesses who testified against you, 41 what one have you sued for false testimony? Tell us. But you cannot. Yet you plainly convict yourself, and prove that you lie when you declare that you have been outrageously treated, and that you lost the suit unjustly, because this man was not given up to you-you who made no charge of giving false testimony against the witnesses who testified that you received and had in your possession the property, concerning which you demanded Milyas for torture to prove that it was never left us. If you had really been wronged, it would have been more fitting to proceed against them. But you were not wronged, and are bringing a baseless suit out of malice.

There are many points from which one may see 42 your rascality, but most of all if one hears how you acted regarding the will. For although my father, men of the jury, wrote a will containing an inventory of all that he left, with instructions for letting the property, this will Aphobus never gave up to me, lest I should learn from it the value of the estate, and admitted possessing only those items which were so well known that he could not deny that he had them. The will, according to his statement, contained these 43 provisions: that Demophon should at once receive two talents, and should marry my sister when she should come of age (this would be in ten years); that Aphobus himself should have eighty minae with my

## DEMOSTHENES

 $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \beta \delta о \mu \eta \prime \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \mu \nu \hat{s}$ 入аßóvта картడ́бабӨal, тє́шs



 $\dot{v} \pi{ }^{\prime} \alpha u ̛ \tau o \hat{v} \tau o v ́ \tau o v,{ }^{1}$ тòv $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime} ’ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$











[858] каi $\Delta \eta \mu \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ठ́vo $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, ov̋ $\pi \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta}$




 $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \hat{\chi} \chi \in \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \nu$.
46 Пєрì $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota^{2} \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \eta \eta_{\mu} \alpha \tau^{\prime} \not ้ \nu \delta о \nu$,


 $\left.{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \alpha \tau a \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} v a l\right] ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ каталє申 $\phi \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ Blass.
a The following passage up to the middle of the section is repeated almost verbatim from Oration XXVII. § 45. 112

## AGAINST APHOBLS, III. 4. 46

mother, and the house to live in ; and that Therippides should enjoy the interest on seventy minae until I should reach manhood. All the rest of the property left to me apart from these items, and the clause regarding the letting of the estate, he suppressed from the will, not thinking that it was to his interest that these matters should be made known in your court. However, since it was admitted by 44 Aphobus himself that my father on his death-bed gave to each of these men such large sums of money, the jurymen at the former trial considered these admissions to be a proof of the size of the estate. For when a man gave out of his estate four talents and three thousand drachmae by way of marriageportion and legacy, it was plain that he took these sums, not from a small estate, but from one (bequeathed to me) of more than double this amount. ${ }^{a}$ For it cannot be supposed that he would wish to 45 leave me, his son, in poverty, and be eager further to enrich these men, who were already wealthy. No ; it was because of the size of the estate left to me that he gave to Therippides the interest on seventy minae. and to Demophon that on the two talents-though he was not yet to marry my sister. These moneys it has been proved that Aphobus never gave over to me, nor even an amount slightly less. Part of it he said he had spent, part he had never received, part he knew nothing about, part was in the hands of so-and-so, part was in the house, and of part he could say anything except when and where he had paid it over.

As to his story of money left in the house I shall 46 clearly prove to you that he is lying. This argument he speciously introduced, when it had become clear

## DEMOSTHENES

$\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \phi \eta \nu \epsilon \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \alpha$, ov’к $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \omega \dot{\omega}$






 $\sigma \epsilon \nu$, ov่ ${ }^{\prime}$ àv $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \mu \eta \tau \rho i ́ ~ \mu o v ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ фv入á $\tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$.














 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu$ ov̋т $\omega s$ aio $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} s \delta_{\imath \prime} \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu^{*} \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉к

[^22]that the property was large and was unable to show that he had paid it back, in order that it might appear a reasonable inference that I was wrongfully seeking to recover what was already in my possession. ${ }^{a}$ If my 47 father had no confidence in these men it is plain that he would neither have entrusted them with the rest of his property, nor, if he had left this money in the way alleged, would he have told them of it. How, then, do they know about it? But, if he had confidence in them, he would not, I take it, have given into their hands the bulk of his property, and not have put them in charge of the rest. Nor would he have entrusted this remainder to my mother to keep and then have pledged her herself in marriage to this man, who was one of the guardians. For it is not reasonable that he should seek to make the money secure through her, and yet put one of the men whom he distrusted in control both of her and of it. Furthermore, if there were any truth 48 in all this, do you suppose that Aphobus would not have taken my mother to wife, bequeathed to him as she was by my father? He had already taken her marriage-portion-the eighty minaeas though he were going to marry her: but he subsequently married the daughter of Philonides of Melite, from motives of avarice, in order that. in addition to what he had received from us, he might get from him other eighty minae. But, if there had been four talents in the house, and in her custody, as he alleges, don't you imagine he would have raced to get possession both of her and of them : Would 49 he have joined with his co-trustees in so shamefully plundering my visible property, which many of you knew had been left me, and have refrained, when he

## DEMOSTHENES







 $\pi \alpha \rho ' \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\lambda$ є́ov, тov́тols $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$ тoîs 入óyous.











 хрท́ната тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{o s, ~ к а \theta ’ ~ a ̂ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ M ı \lambda u ́ a v ~}^{\omega} s$ єiठóт’
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## AGAINST APHOBUS. III. 49-52

had the chance, from seizing a fund to the existence of which you would not be able to testify? Who can believe this? It is impossible, men of the jury ; it is impossible. No ; all the money which my father left was indeed buried on the day on which it came into the hands of these men ; and the defendant, not being able to tell when and where he paid back any of it, makes use of these arguments, hoping that I may seem to be a rich man, and so meet with no compassion from you.

I have many other charges to make against him, 50 but I have not the right to speak of the injuries I myself have suffered, when the witness is in danger of losing his civic rights. Still I wish to read to you a challenge, for you will know, when you have heard it, that the testimony was true, and that Aphobus, who now declares that he demands Milyas to be examined about all the matters involved in the suit, at first demanded him only in regard to a question of thirty minae ; and, furthermore. that he has been put to no disadrantage because of the testimony. For I, in my desire to refute him in every particular, 51 and in my attempt to make clear to you his tricks and his villainies, asked him how large the sum was regarding which he demanded to examine Milyas, as one who had knowledge of the facts. To this he replied falsely, that it was in regard to the whole amount. "Well then," said I, " as to this I will give up to you for examination by torture the slave who has the copy of your challenge to me. If, when I have 52 given oath that you acknowledged the man to be free. and that you so testified against Demo, you will swear to the contrary with imprecations upon your daughter, I release to you the entire sum, for which you shall

## DEMOSTHENES


 тòv Mıגv́av Є’ $\xi \eta \eta_{i} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha s$ ，iv $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} v$ گ $\eta \mu \iota \omega \theta \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ 53 тоข̀s $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s . " ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau ' ~ \epsilon ’ \mu о \hat{v} \pi \rho о к а \lambda є \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \pi о \lambda-~$

 тоv่s ó $\mu \omega \mu$ око́таs тоv́тب $\pi \iota \theta$ оцє́vovs катаүvิิขаı $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \omega \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$＇ov่ тov̂тov ảvaı$\sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau o ́ \tau \alpha \tau o \nu$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega^{\prime} \pi \omega \nu$ єîvai vo $\mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ；
＇$A \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ふ́s à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega, \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \in \iota ~ \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тoùs $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho \alpha s$.

## MAPTヘPE

 $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho \in s$ ov่ тìv av̉тท́v $\mu \circ \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta v \in \hat{i} \chi o v, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$
 є́ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup ́ \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu, \quad \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \theta \epsilon i v \alpha \iota \quad \eta \quad \theta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \tau ’$



 $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$.
\á $\beta^{\prime}$ oủv av̉тoîs каi $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta v ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ \alpha \nu$.

MAPTMPIA
 тоинヒ́vovs $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ каi катанє $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$
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## AGAINST APHOBLS, III. 52-55

be shown by the examination of the slave to have at the first demanded Milyas: and the damages which you were condemned to pay shall be lessened by thus much-that is, by the amount in regard to which you demanded Milyas, to the end that you may be found to have been put to no disadrantage by the witnesses." This challenge I made to him 53 in the presence of many witnesses; but he said he could not accept it. let. if a man refused to give this judgement in his own favour, how can it be right for you, who are upon your oaths, to give credence to his words and convict the witnesses, and not rather to regard this man as the most shameless of humankind?

To prove that my words are true, call the witnesses to these facts.

## The Witnesses

Do not suppose that while I was ready to take this 54 course, the witnesses did not hold the same opinion. No ; they too were ready to place their children by their side, and in confirmation of the testimony they had given, to take an oath with imprecations upon them, if they swore falsely. But Aphobus did not see fit to allow an oath to be given either to them or to me. Instead, he rests his case on arguments subtly planned and on witnesses accustomed to perjury, and thinks thereby easily to mislead you.

So take and read to the jury this deposition also.

## The Deposition

How could one prove more clearly than I have 55 proved that we are the object of a malicious charge ; that the evidence brought forward against my opponent is true; and that his condemnation was

## DEMOSTHENES



 $\alpha v ่ \tau o \hat{v} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha a \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha, ~ a ̈ ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota v ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta}$.








 $57 \psi \epsilon v \delta о \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \eta \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu . \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$ ov̉к
 $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \cdot \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ є̇ $\pi \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} v a \iota \pi \rho о о \mu \nu v ́ v \tau о s ~ \epsilon ’ \mu о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \omega \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v \chi \rho \eta-$




 каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta i \kappa \eta \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \omega s \dot{\omega} \phi \lambda \eta \kappa \kappa ́ s$, ö $\mu \omega s$ àvaı $\sigma \chi v \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.




[^23]
## AGAINST APHOBUS, III. 55-58

just? I have shown that he refused to examine by torture the slave who wrote the testimony regarding the very things to which he had testified ; that his brother, Aesius, has attested the facts which he on his part declares to be false ; that Aphobus himself 56 has, at my summons, given against Demo, ${ }^{a}$ his uncle and co-trustee, the same testimony as the witnesses whom he is suing ; that he refused to examine my women-servants as to the fact of Milyas being a freeman : that my own mother was ready to give an oath regarding these matters with imprecations upon us ; that he refused to accept for examination any one of my other slaves who knew all the circumstances better than Milyas did ; that he has not brought a charge of false witness against any one of those who testified that he had the moncy: that he did not 57 give over the will, nor let the house, although the laws so bade ; and finally that he did not see fit to give an oath, after the witnesses and I myself had sworn, whereby he could have secured release to the amount of the sums regarding which he had demanded Milyas for torture. By hearen, I certainly could think of no better way than this to establish these facts. Yet, plain as it is that he falsely attacks the witnesses ; that he suffers no damage from the facts adduced ; that he was justly condemned ; he still tries to brazen it out. If it were not that he 58 uses his present language after having at the outset been judged to be in the wrong by his own friends and by the arbitrator, there would be less reason to wonder

Another alternative suggestion is that Demosthenes may have instituted suit against Demo on quite other grounds of which we have no knowledge. This complicated problem is ably discussed by Calhoun, l.c. pp. 88 ff .

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\psi \in v \delta о \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota \omega \nu$, тov́тovs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ à $\phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$ ảкои́баs


 59 єं $\gamma \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, $\hat{\omega} \phi \lambda \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta_{i \alpha u} \tau \alpha \nu$. oi $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha i \delta^{\prime}$








 ó Kóvшvos каi oi $\tau$ à $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ к \epsilon к \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota ~ \tau \iota \mu \eta \prime \mu а \tau ’$
 $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \epsilon$ v́ $\alpha a s, ~ \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu$ av̀тòs $\epsilon i \sigma \phi о \rho a ̀ \nu$






 $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\tau i \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.

[^24]
## AGAINST APHOBL心, Ill, 28 60

at all this. But the fact is, that after peruading me to refer the matter to Archeneiis and Dracontides and Phanus the last of whom he is now suing on a charge of giving false witness). he rejected them (having heard them say that, if they decided on oath. they would condemn his conduct as guardian), and appeared before the official arbitrator, who, since Aphobus was unable to clear himself from the charges which I brought. gave judgement against him. The 59 jury, to whom he then appealed having heard the case, gave the same decision that his own friends and the arbitrator had given, and fixed the damages at ten talents. This was not, heaven knows, because he had admitted Milyas to be a freeman (for this was nothing to the point). but because, a fortune of fifteen talents having been left me, he had not let the property ; because further, he with his co-trustees had the management of the estate for ten years, and agreed on behalf of me. a child. to pay a propertytax at the rate of five minae. ${ }^{a}$ the same rate at which 60 Timotheüs, son of Conon. and those possessing the largest fortunes were assessed: and because, after administering for so long an estate. on which he voluntarily chose to pay so high a tax, he turned over to me, as the amount due from him, property not even of the value of twenty minae. having together with those others robbed me of my whole estate, principal as well as interest. The jurymen, therefore, although they allowed interest on the whole property at the lowest rate, and not that at which estates are ordinarily let. found that these men had robbed me of more than thirty talents, and accordingly fixed the damages against Aphobus at ten talents.

AGAINST ONETOR
I

## INTRODUC'CION

$W_{\text {E }}$ are told in the preceding speech (§) that Aphobus, after being condemned to pay Demosthenes damages in the amount of ten talents, proceeded to make it as difficult as possible for Demosthenes to collect that sum. or, indeed, anything at all. He disposed of his visible property, and himself took up residence at Megara. He had a farm, valued at a talent, of which Demosthenes sought to take possession, only to find that Onetor, the brother-in-law of Aphobus, was occupying it, claiming that it had been mortgaged to him as security for the amount of his sister's dowry. This dowry, alleged to have been paid to Aphobus at the time of the marriage, had become repayable, inasmuch as Aphobus had divorced his wife. On attempting to take possession of the land, as he had to do for himself, there being in Athens no officer corresponding to our sheriff, Demosthenes was driven off by Onetor ; hence the present suit-
 speaker's contention is that the marriage-portion had never been really paid, that the divorce was a fiction, and that the alleged mortgage was but a scheme devised by Aphobus and Onetor to prevent him (Demosthenes) from securing the property.

The speeches against Onetor are discussed in Schaefer, i. pp. 267 ff., and in Blass, iii. pp. 238 ff.

## XXX

## ПРОГ ONHTOPA E

## A

 $\mu \eta \dot{\tau \epsilon} \pi \rho o ̀ s$ "Афоßóv $\mu о \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \beta \hat{\eta} v a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$







 $\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime} \omega \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ Sıкабтท́v, ïva $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho ’$ v$\mu \hat{\imath} \nu \kappa \iota \nu-$



 $\sigma \nu \nu a \pi \circ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ є́ $\alpha v \tau o \hat{v} \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\eta}$, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v^{\prime} \omega v \tau^{\prime}$ єis $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda v \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ a \dot{v} \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$

 ä $\nu \delta \rho \in s$ ठıкабтаí, öть $\mu о \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi а \rho а \sigma к є v a ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$

## XXX

## AGAINST ONETOR, AN EJECTMEN'T SUIT

## I

I shocr.d have been most glad, men of the jury, had the difference which I have had with Aphobus, and also that in which I am now involved with this man Onetor, his brother-in-law. not come about. Accordingly, I made to them both many fair offers, but I have been unable to secure any reasonable action from either of them. On the contrary, I have found this man far harder to deal with, and more worthy of punishment than the other. In the case of Aphobus, I held 2 that his controversy with me should be settled among our friends, and not come to trial before you, but I could not persuade him. But this man, when I bade him act as judge in his own case, that he might not risk a trial before you, treated me with such contempt. that not only did he not think fit to give me a hearing. but I was even in the most outrageous mamer driven off the land, which belonged to Aphobus, when he lost his suit to me. Since, therefore, he joins with 3 his brother-in-law in seeking to deprive me of what is mine, and has come before you, trusting in the measures he has concocted, there is no other course open to me than to try in your court to get justice from him. I know well, men of the jury, that I have to con-

## DEMOSTHENES


 4 ठ८каьо́тєра тои́тоv $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, $̈ \omega \sigma \tau$ ' $\epsilon i$ каí тıS ن́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ то仑ิтov $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau ' \in \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \pi o \nu \eta \rho o ́ v, ~ \not ้ \epsilon ~ \gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ [865] $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon ' ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, ~ o ̋ \tau \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau о ̀ \nu ~$



 є́ $\pi \iota \beta$ оv $\lambda \epsilon$ v́ $\sigma \nu \tau \alpha$, каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о v ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa ' ~$
 $5 \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} S, \pi \rho \circ \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$ à $\pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\nu a s$, ov̈т $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o \iota s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \rho i ́ o \iota s ~ к а i ~ \phi а \nu \epsilon \rho o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̀ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma-~$
 ঠıкаíшs каi $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta к о ́ v \tau \omega s ~ о ข ̂ \tau o s ~ \phi \epsilon v ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ ن ́ \pi ' ~$

 $\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \in \iota \nu$.


 тобаиิтає $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon i ̂ \alpha ~ к \alpha i ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma о \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\iota}$

 фаvєро́v, öтє $\tau$ ' ả $\mu i ́ \sigma \theta \omega \tau o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ o i ̂ k o v ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi o i ́ o v v ~ o i ́ ~$
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tend against arguments craftily prepared, and against witnesses who are going to give false tertimony; nevertheless I think that I shall have such an advantage over him because of the justice of my cause, that, even if any one of you heretofore thought him 4 an honest man, he will learn from the defendant's acts toward me that even in time past he has been, without your knowing it, the basest and most unrighteous of men. I shall show, namely, that he has not only never paid the marriage-portion, to secure which he alleges that the land has been mortgaged, but from the very start has schemed to defraud me of my rights ; that, further, the lady, on whose behalf he drove me from the land in question, has not been divorced at all ; and that he is now screening a Aphobus, and standing this trial with the purpose of depriving me of what is mine. This I shall show by such strong and manifest proofs, that you will see how just and proper it is that I have instituted this action against him. I shall commence with matters which will best enable you to grasp the facts of the case.

In common with many others of the Athenians, 6 men of the jury, this man was well aware that my guardians were proving false to their trust. Indeed. it became clear very early that I was being wronged, so many were the discussions and arguments regarding my affairs held before the archon and before other officials. For the value of the property left me was well known, and it was pretty clear that the administrators were leaving it unlet in order that they might have the use of the money themselves. There was not a single one, therefore, among those who realized what was going on, who did not expect that I should obtain a judgement for damages from these men, as

## DEMOSTHENES

$\pi \alpha \rho ’$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ \in ̇ \pi \epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta} ~ \tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau ’ ~ a ̉ \nu \eta ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \delta о к \iota \mu а-~$





 $\sigma \tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu, \stackrel{\check{\omega}}{ } \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \pi \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \epsilon v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu a \tau$ '








 $\sigma \theta a \iota \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \in \nu o s, ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \phi \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu$ кат-


 $\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau о \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ a v ̀ \tau o ̀ v ~ Т \iota \mu о к р а ́ т \eta \nu, ~ \grave{s ~} \dot{\omega} \mu о$ о́ $\gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ò $\phi \epsilon i \lambda \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о і ̂ \kappa \alpha, \kappa \alpha i$ тòv $\tau о ́ \kappa о \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \delta o v ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$

a The remark is sarcastic. Demosthenes represents Onetor as fearing lest the suit of Demosthenes against Aphobus 132

## AGAINST ONETOR, I. 7-9

soon as I should attain my majority. Among those 7 who from first to last held this opinion were Timocrates and Onetor. Of this I can give you the strongest of proofs. For the defendant wished to give his sister in marriage to Aphobus, seeing that he had got into his hands his own patrimony and mine (which was not inconsiderable) as well ; but he had not confidence enough in him to abandon her marriageportion. It was as if he felt, forsooth, that the property of guardians was a security for their wards. ${ }^{a}$ He did, however, give him his sister, but the portion, Timocrates, who had been her former husband, agreed to keep as a loan with interest at the rate of five obols. ${ }^{b}$ When I had won my suit against Aphobus in the 8 matter of the guardianship and he still refused to make any just settlement, Onetor did not even try to settle our dispute, but, alleging that his sister had been divorced, and that he was unable to get back her marriage-portion, which he had paid (although he had not paid it, and it was even then in his possession), declared that he had taken a mortgage on the land, and had the effrontery to expel me from it; such was his contempt for me, and for you, and for the laws which were in force. These, men 9 of the jury, are the facts because of which he is defendant in the present suit, and regarding which you are to cast your vote. I shall bring forward witnesses, and in the first instance Timocrates himself, who will testify that he agreed to hold the dowry as a loan, and that he continued to pay interest on it to Aphobus according to the agreement ; also that might make it questionable whether the latter would be in a position to repay the marriage-portion, if called upon to do so.
${ }^{b}$ That is, at 10 per cent, instead of the ordinary 18 per cent.

## DEMOSTHENES

 тарà Tıрокра́тоvs.

Kaí poı $\lambda a \beta \grave{\epsilon}$ тàs $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a s . ~$

## MAPTTPIAI

 $\pi \rho о i ̂ \kappa \alpha ~ \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \kappa v ́ p \iota o v$ av̀т $\hat{s}$ "Афоßov. $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o v$








11 ov̉к $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon s^{\cdot}$ ov゙ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ 予 $\nu$ av̉ $\tau o i ̂ s$,


 oủk ỏ $\lambda i ́ \gamma o v, ~ \sigma v \nu o \iota к о \hat{v} \sigma a ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau а u ́ \tau \eta \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ o v ̉ ~ \chi \eta-~$


 ородоүท́баıтє, öт८ тоьоиิто $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ $\sigma v \nu a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$
 $\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о i ̂ \kappa \alpha \mu \dot{\eta}$ àmoঠov̂val. $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota a \lambda v \sigma a ́-$
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## AGANST ONETOR, I. 9 1

Aphobus himself acknowledged that he received the interest from Timocrates.

Take the depositions.
The Depositions
From the very first, you see, it is admitted that the 10 dowry was not paid to Aphobus, and that he did not get it under his control. And it seems very probable that on account of the facts which I have mentioned, they chose to continue as debtors for the dowry, rather than to have it involved in the estate of Aphobus which was sure to be so seriously endangered. For it is impossible for them to claim that poverty prevented their paying it over at once, since Timocrates has an estate of more than ten talents, and Onetor one of more than thirty ; so this cannot have been the reason why they have not made an immediate payment. Nor can they claim that they had property 11 indeed, but no ready money, or that the lady was a widow, and that they therefore hastened to conclude matters without at once paying her portion. For these men are in the habit of lending considerable sums to others, and moreover, the lady was not a widow, but when they gave her in marriage, it was from the house of Timocrates, where she was living with him as his wife ; so that there is no reasonable ground why one should accept this excuse either. Further, men of the jury, I think you would all agree 12 to this, that, in arranging a matter of this sort, anyone would choose to borrow money of another, rather than fail to pay the dowry to his sister's husband. For if a man does not settle this matter he becomes a debtor, regarding whom it is uncertain whether he will meet his just obligations or not ; but if together with the lady he gives also what is hers, he becomes a

## DEMOSTHENES




 $\beta o v \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \prime \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ă $\nu$, ov̉к $\epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu \delta \iota '$ $\hat{\eta} \nu$ оv’к a’ $\pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \sigma \alpha \nu, ~ \alpha ’ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \alpha ’ \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \epsilon i ้ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$







 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v}$ тov̂ бvvoıкฑ̂баí тє тウ̀v $\gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \alpha ~ к \alpha i ~ \phi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$





[^25]kinsman and a brother-in-law, for he is not under any 13 suspicion, since he has done all that justice demanded. Seeing that the matter stands thus, and that they were not forced by a single one of the causes which I have mentioned to let this debt stand, and could not have desired to do so, it is not possible to suggest any other excuse for non-payment. It must be for the reason which I have mentioned-that they did not trust Aphobus enough to pay him the dowry. ${ }^{a}$

I have established this point, then, in this way 14 beyond all controversy ; and I think I shall easily demonstrate from the facts themselves that they did not pay the portion subsequently either; so that it will be clear to you that even if they withheld the money, not for the reasons I have mentioned, but with the intention of speedy payment, they would never actually have paid it, or let it slip out of their hands ; with such urgency did the case press upon them. There was an interval of two years between the 15 marriage of the woman and their declaration that the divorce had taken place. She was married in the archonship of Polyzelus, in the month of Scirophorion, ${ }^{b}$ and the divorce was registered in the month of Poseidon, ${ }^{c}$ in the archonship of Timocrates. I, on my part, was admitted to citizenship ${ }^{d}$ immedi-
at 18 per cent until it was paid. His action in sending away his wife was technically called $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \iota s$.

On the other hand the wife might leave her husband with his consent, or for cause. If the husband's consent could not be obtained, the woman presented herself before the archon and stated her case. The act, taken on her initiative, was termed $\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon} \psi \iota s$, and in this case, too, her portion went with her.

[^26]
## DEMOSTHENES



 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ o ́ \mu o \lambda o \gamma i ́ a s, ~ a ̉ \pi o \delta o v ̂ v a \iota ~ \delta ' ~$

 $\pi \rho o i \xi \mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta s$ ov̉aias, $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ oû̃os äv à $\pi$ -







 таúтаs тàs $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i a s$.

## MAPTTPIA




$\Lambda a \beta \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu$ т $̀ \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$.

## MAPTYPIA

18 'Avá $\gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota$ §є̀ каi $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a \nu . ~$

MAPTケPIA
$\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau о i ́ v \nu \nu$ каi $\epsilon \in \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$,
 138

## AGAINST ONETOR, I. 15-18

ately after the marriage, laid my charges, and demanded an accounting ; and, finding that I was being robbed of all my property, instituted my suit under the last-mentioned archon. The shortness of 16 the time makes the continuance of the debt in accordance with the agreement not unlikely, but it is incredible that it should have been paid. For do you suppose that the defendant here, a man who at the first chose to owe the money and to pay interest on it, in order that his sister's dowry might not be jeopardized along with the rest of her husband's property, would have paid it when suit had already been instituted against that husband? Why, even if he had at the first trusted him with the money, he would then at once have sought to recover it. No, men of the jury ; the supposition is, I presume, impossible.

To prove that the woman married at the time I 17 mention ; that in the interim Aphobus and I had already gone to law ; and that those men did not register the divorce with the archon until after I had instituted my suit, take, please, these depositions regarding each point.

## The Depositions

After this archon came Cephisodorus and then Chion. During their term of office, having been admitted to citizenship, I continued to press my charges, and in the archonship of Timocrates I began my suit.

Take this deposition, please.
The Deposition
Read also this deposition.

## The Deposition

It is clear, then, from the evidence adduced that it is not because they have paid the dowry, but because

## DEMOSTHENES

'Aфóß $\omega$ т̀̀ $\nu$ ov̉ $\sigma i ́ \alpha \nu ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \tau o \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$. oî

 [569] $\sigma \theta a \iota$ каi тò $\chi \omega \rho i ́ o \nu ~ a ̀ \pi о \tau \iota \mu \eta ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a i ́ ~ \phi a \sigma \iota, ~ \pi \hat{\omega} s$ ov фаvєрòv öт८ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ $\tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \nu \omega-$







 $20 \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu$. каí $\mu о \iota \quad \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \epsilon S$ à $\pi \epsilon \kappa \rho i v a \nu \tau о$ ка $\theta^{\prime}$





 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu, \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \omega \nu$ à $\pi o \delta \iota \delta o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \kappa \hat{\eta}$ тıs ăv





 $\kappa \alpha \lambda о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$, öт $\iota$ ov̉ $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \rho \gamma о \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi

[^27]
## AGAINST ONETOR, I. 18-21

they wish to save his property for Aphobus, that they have had the audacity to act as they have done. For when in so short a time they allege that they owed the money; that they paid it; that the woman was divorced and could not recover the dowry; and that they took a mortgage on the land; how can it be other than clear that they are acting in collusion in their attempt to defraud me of the damages awarded me by you? I shall now endeavour to prove to you 19 from the answers given by the defendant himself, and by Timocrates, and Aphobus, that it is impossible that the dowry should have been paid. For, men of the jury, I questioned each of these men in the presence of many witnesses. I asked Onetor and Timocrates whether any witnesses were present when they paid the dowry, and Aphobus himself whether any were present when he received it ; and they all answered 20 severally that no witness was present, but that Aphobus got it from them by instalments, in such sums as he needed from time to time. And yet can any one of you believe this, that, when the dowry was a talent, Onetor and Timocrates put so large a sum into the hands of Aphobus without witnesses? Why, in paying him money, I will not say in this manner, but even in the presence of many witnesses, one would have taken every possible precaution ${ }^{a}$ in order, if a dispute should arise, to be able readily to recover in your court what was due. No man, in con- 21 cluding a transaction of such importance, I will not say with such a man as Aphobus, but with anybody whatever, would have acted without a witness. This is the reason why we celebrate marriage-feasts and call together our closest friends and relations, because we are dealing with no light affair, but are entrusting

## DEMOSTHENES

 $22 \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \sigma к о \pi о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$. єiкòs тоìvv каі тоv̂тоv,



 öخov $\tau \circ \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s ~ a ̉ \pi \eta \lambda \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \tau о$, $\mu$ óvos $\mu o ́ v \varphi$ $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \iota \delta o v^{\prime}, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ o ́ \mu o \lambda o \gamma i a \iota s ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu o-~$


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon ́ v a \imath ~ \sigma \phi \hat{s} \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \in i ̂ v$, є́ $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s \delta^{\prime} \in i \quad \pi a \rho-$











 $\xi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$.
 єкрі́vаขто, каі а̉ $\nu \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \omega \omega \theta$.

## AGAINST ONETOR, I. 21-2t

to the care of others the lives of our sisters and daughters, for whom we seek the greatest possible security. The presumption is, then, that the de- 2 fendant made the settlement in the presence of the same witnesses before whom he had admitted the indebtedness and promised to pay the interest, if he really did pay the dowry to Aphobus. For, if he had acted in this way, he would have cleared himself of the whole matter ; but by paying him when they were alone, he would have left those in whose presence he had made the agreement as witnesses that he was still a debtor. As it was, they could not induce their 23 friends, who were more honest men than themselves, to bear witness to the payment of the money, and they thought that, if they produced other witnesses, not related to them, you would not believe them. Again, if they said the payment had been made all at once, they knew that we should demand for examination by torture the slaves who had brought the money. These, if the payment had not been made, they would have refused to give up, and so they would have been convicted of fraud. But if they maintained that they had paid the money without witnesses in the manner alleged, they thought to escape detection. For this reason they were driven through stress 24 of necessity to make up this false story. By such tricks and pieces of villainy, while hoping themselves to pass for simple folk, they think they will easily deceive you ; whereas in the slightest matter affecting their interest they acted, not with simplicity, but with every possible precaution.

Take now the depositions of the persons in whose presence they gave their answers, and read them to the jury.

## DEMOSTHENES

## MAPTケPIAI

25 Фє́ $\rho \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}, \hat{\omega} \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ ठıкабтаí, каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma v \nu a i ̂ \chi ’$

 $\beta \hat{\omega} s \mu \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon, \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{a} s$ тoú $\tau o \iota s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$,
 $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} v$ v́ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \in \dot{\xi} о \mu a \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi \omega \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda a$

$$
26
$$

 ठıкабтаí, $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тò $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ă $\rho \chi о \nu \tau \iota$
 'Оขи́тор' à $\nu \tau i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$ тооькòs à $\pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~$







 'Aфóßov. ovĩos $\delta$ ' є́ $\mu \circ \hat{v} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$ ' $\mathfrak{\alpha} \xi \iota \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о s, \pi \epsilon \rho i$
 $\beta \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu o \nu . ~ i ́ s ~ \delta ' ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa \epsilon i v o s ~ \epsilon ่ ~ \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon ' \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$,





 ${ }^{1}$ ค̣́̆́òov] omitted by Blass.

## The Depositions

Now, men of the jury. I shall prove to you that the 2.5 woman made a merely nominal divorce, but was in reality living with Aphobus as his wife. I think that, if you are thoroughly convinced of this, you will be more inclined to distrust these men, and to give me the aid that is my due. Of some of the facts I shall produce witnesses : others I shall establish by strong presumptions and by adequate proofs. When I saw, 26 men of the jury, that after the woman's divorce had been registered with the archon. and after the defendant's declaration that he had taken a mortgage on the farm to secure her marriage-portion, Aphobus continued to hold and till the land just as before. and to dwell with his wife, I knew well that all this was fiction and a pretence to cover up the facts. And wishing to make this clear to you all, I deemed 27 it right to convict him in the presence of witnesses, in case he should deny that matters are as I have stated ; and I offered to him for torture a slave who knew well all the facts-one whom I had taken from among those of Aphobus, since he had not paid the damages within the time fixed by law. When I made this demand, Onetor declined to put the slave to torture as to the question of his sister's living with Aphobus ; and, as to Aphobus's tilling the land, the fact was too plain to be denied, so he confessed it. Nor are these the only proofs which make it easy to 28 see that Aphobus continued to live with his wife and to possess the land up to the time when the suit was begun; it is plain also from the way in which he dealt with the land after judgement was given against him. For, as though the property had not been mortgaged, but was to belong to me according to

## DEMOSTHENES

 тoùs картоùs каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon u ́ \eta ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \not \kappa \alpha ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \alpha$



 иүко́та фаìvєбӨaı $\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma о \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$, каі фа́бкєєข $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$
 фаvєpòv єîval фєú






 $\lambda о \gamma i \zeta o \iota \tau$ ’ o’ $\theta \hat{\omega} s$ "̈ккабт' $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.


 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta a ́ \sigma \alpha \nu o v, \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i ́ a \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ' \xi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \theta \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 ảvá $\gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota$.

MAPTYPIAI

 $\theta \iota \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ є̇тоюŋ́бато $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \psi \iota \nu$. 仓̣̂ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$

[^28]the court's decision. he made off with everything that could be carried away-the produce. and all the farm implements, except the storage-tanks. ${ }^{a}$ What he could not take away he necessarily left behind, so that Onetor was now at liberty to lay claim merely to the bare land. It is an outrage, though, that one of them 29 should say that the land was mortgaged to him. while the mortgagor is to be seen cultivating it; that he should claim that his sister has left her husband. when he is shown to have refused to accept the test by torture regarding this very point: and that the one who is not living with his wife (as Onetor claims) should carry off all the produce and implements from the farm, while the man acting as guardian for the divorced woman, to secure whose portion he claims to have taken a mortgage on the land, plainly shows no anger at a single one of these acts, but takes everything quietly. Is the whole thing not abso- 30 lutely clear? Is it not confessedly a scheme to protect Aphobus : One certainly would so declare, if he duly considered each one of the facts.

Now, to prove that the defendant acknowledged that Aphobus farmed the land up to the time of the commencement of $m y$ action against him ; that he refused the inquiry by torture as to his sister's continuing to live with Aphobus; and that the farm was stripped after the court's decision of everything save what was attached to the soil ; take these depositions, and read them.

## The Depositions

Although I have so many proofs ready to hand it is 31 Onetor himself who most convincingly showed that the divorce was not a genuine one. He, who should

## DEMOSTHENES

$\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} s \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota v, \epsilon i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \circ \hat{\imath} \kappa \alpha$ סoús，${ }_{\omega}^{\omega} s \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau{ }^{\prime}$ ápүvpíov $\chi \omega \rho i o v ~ a ́ \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v \quad \alpha \quad \alpha \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu$ ， ov̂̃os ov̉ $\dot{\omega}$ s $\delta \iota a ́ \phi o \rho o s ~ o v ̉ \delta ' ~ \omega ́ s ~ a ́ \delta \iota к о v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ' ~$ ふ́s оікєьо́татоs $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тท̀v $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta i ́ к \eta \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega}$


 ＇Афо́ßب $\delta$＇，ôv ả入入óтрıоv єîvaı $\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu о \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \epsilon i ̈$






 $\lambda a \chi o ́ \theta \in \nu$ ．ої $\tau \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ є่v $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ठıкабтךрí $\omega$ то́тє $\delta \iota к \alpha ́-$ ऍоvтєs каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇$\epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda о i$ $\sigma v \nu i \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$.


Kaí ноь $\lambda \alpha \beta \notin \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ \alpha \nu . ~$

## MAPTYPIA

33
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## AGAINST ONETOR, 1. 31-33

have felt outraged, when, after paying the dowry, as he claims, he got back, not the money, but a farm whose title was under dispute,-this very man, as though he had had no quarrel, and were in no way being wronged, but as though he were on the most intimate terms possible with Aphobus. pleaded for the latter in the suit which I brought against him! As for myself, though I had done him no conceivable injury, he leagued with Aphobus, and sought by every means in his power to join in robbing me of my patrimony, while for Aphobus, whom he should have regarded as a stranger, if there is any truth in their present story, he sought to acquire possession of my property in addition to what he already had. Nor 32 was it only at the trial that he acted thus, but after judgement had been rendered against Aphobus, he got up before the court and begged the jurymen, beseeching and imploring them on behalf of iphobus with tears in his eyes, to fix the damages at a talent, and offered himself as surety for this amount. These facts are admitted on all hands. Those who were then serving on the jury in the court-room and many of the bystanders know them well. Nevertheless I will produce witnesses.

Take, and read this deposition.

## The Deposition

Besides all this, men of the jury, there is strong 33 evidence from which it is easy to see that the woman in reality continued to live with Aphobus and even up to the present day has not separated from him. In fact, this woman, before she came to Aphobus, was not unwedded for one single day, but left her living husband, Timocrates, to come and live with

## DEMOSTHENES



 $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime}, \epsilon \ddot{\prime} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ஸ́s à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ à $\pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda o \iota \pi \epsilon$, ${ }^{1}$ тобov̂тov



入ó $о \iota ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i \sigma i$, , $v \nu о \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \gamma v \nu \grave{\eta} \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} s$ каi
 Пaбıфஸ̂vтos $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ \alpha \nu$, ôs ả $\rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu$ av̉тท̀v $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \cup ́ \omega \nu$ є́ćpa таракаӨ $\quad \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ " А ф о \beta о \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ \tau о v ́-~$ тov тov̂ ä $\rho \chi o v \tau o s, ~ \eta ’ \delta \eta ~ \tau о v ́ \tau \omega ~ \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma i ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~ \delta i ́ к \eta s$ єì $\eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$.


## MAPTYPIA









 $\pi \rho о к \alpha \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$, каі $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$

 $\tau \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ढ’ $\lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma-$

[^29]Aphobus ; and now during the space of three years she has manifestly married no one else. Can anyone believe that she then went directly from husband to husband, in order to avoid living as a widow, but that now. supposing she has really left her husband, she would have endured to remain a widow for so long when she might have married someone else, seeing that her brother possessed so large a fortune, and she herself was so young : There is no truth in it, 34 men of the jury ; you cannot believe it. It is a pure fiction. No; the woman is living openly with Aphobus, and makes no secret of the matter. I shall bring before you the evidence of Pasiphon, who cared for her when she was ill, and who saw Aphobus sitting by her side in this very year, when my suit against the defendant had already been instituted.

Take Pasiphon's deposition.

## The Deposition

I knew, men of the jury, that the defendant, im- 35 mediately on the conclusion of the suit, had received the goods from the house of Aphobus, and had come into control of his property and all my estate as well, and I knew, further. that beyond all doubt the woman was living with Aphobus. I therefore demanded of Onetor three female slaves, who knew that the woman was living with Aphobus and that the effects were in the hands of these men, in order that we might not have mere statements but that the matters might be established by proof from the torture. But 36 Onetor, when I made this challenge to him, and all those present declared that my proposal was just, refused to have recourse to this certain test, but, as though there were other and surer proofs regarding

## DEMOSTHENES

$\chi \omega \nu$ ク̈ $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ v \omega \nu$ каi $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu$ ，ov̌тє $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s$
 ßácavov є̀к $\kappa \iota \delta o v ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \delta v i a s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau o \hat{v} ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~$




 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta$ ．

ПPOKAhsiz


 $\theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \zeta \eta \tau o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v$ ，ov $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon ’ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i a \iota s, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta o u ́ h o v s ~ \beta a \sigma \alpha v i \zeta o v \tau \epsilon s, ~ o u ̛ \tau \omega$ $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i ̂ \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ є́v $\rho \in i v$ ．єiкóт $\omega s, \hat{\omega}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in s$ $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha i ́ \cdot \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \eta$ グठ $\eta \tau \iota \nu \grave{s}$ ov̉



 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \iota \pi \omega ́ v$ ，＂Афоßоv $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о$ о $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho \alpha$ каi

 $\dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu, \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho o v ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v s ~ \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}-$
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AGAINST ONETOR, I. 36-39
such matters than torture and testimony. he produced no witnesses to prose that he had paid the dowry. nor would he give up for torture the female slaves who knew the fact, to prove that his sister was not living with Aphobus; and, because I made this demand of him, he in an outrageous and insulting manner refused to let me talk to him. Could there be a man more impossible to deal with than he, or more ready to pretend ignorance of what is right? Take the challenge itself and read it.

## The Challenge

You on your part hold that in both private and 37 public matters the torture is the most certain of all methods of proof, and when slaves and freemen are both available, and the truth of a matter is to be sought out, you make no use of the testimony of the freemen, but seek to ascertain the truth by torturing the slaves; and very properly, men of the jury. For of witnesses who have given testimony there have been some ere now who have been thought not to tell the truth ; but of slaves put to the torture no one has ever been convicted of giving false testimony. Yet Onetor, after refusing a test so fair, and 3 s rejecting proofs so clear and so convincing, will produce Aphobus and Timocrates as witnesses, the one that he has paid the dowry, and the other that he has received it, and will demand that you believe him, when he pretends that his transactions with them were without witnesses. For such simpletons does he take you. But that their words are neither true 39 nor like the truth I think I have-by the fact that at the first they confessed that they had not paid the

## DEMOSTHENES

$\tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \stackrel{้ \nu}{\nu \epsilon v} \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \omega \nu$ à $\pi о \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \phi \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\kappa \alpha i$ ढ̇к то̂̂ $\tau o ̀ v ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ є่ $\gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta$ -

 vоці舁ш.

## AGALNST ONETOR. I. 99

dowry, that again they pretended to have paid it without witnesses. that the dates do not admit of their having paid the money, seeing that the property was already in litigation, and finally by all the other evidences adduced I have, as I think, conclusively proved.

## AGAINST ONETOR

 II
## INTRODUCTION

Turs second speech is in reply to one delivered by Onetor in the case brought against him by Demosthenes. It reasserts the plaintiff's contention that the marriage-portion had not been paid, and that the alleged mortgage is merely a scheme to protect the property for Aphobus.

## XXXI

## ПРОГ ONHTOPA EЕOĨ H H

## B




 $\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ av̉тòv $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$. ov̂ $\tau o s ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho, \hat{\omega}^{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha}^{\nu} \nu \delta \rho \in s$ $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha i$, $\tau \grave{o} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$ ò $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Aфóßov $\delta \iota \in \nu о є i \tau$ '
 $\nu \hat{v}$, à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ò $\gamma \delta о \eta^{\prime} к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \mu \nu а ̂ s ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \omega к \epsilon ́ v a \iota, ~ к а i ~ \tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~$
 $\chi \omega$ ióov $\tau \alpha \lambda a ́ v \tau o v, ~ \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ нóvov тov̂тo,








[^30]
## XXXI

## AGAINST ONETOR, AN EJECTMENT SUI'

## II

There is one proof which I omitted in my former speech, quite as important as any of those which were brought forward, to prove that these men did not pay the marriage-portion to Aphobus. This I shall speak of first, and shall then undertake to refute the falsehoods which the defendant has uttered before you. For the fellow, men of the jury, when he first determined to lay claim to the property of Aphobus. declared that he had paid as the marriage-portion, not a talent, as he now alleges, but eighty minae: and he set up pillars ${ }^{a}$ on the house for two thousand drachmae, and on the land for a talent, wishing to preserve both the one and the other for Aphobus. When, however, the trial against him had been de- 2 cided. and he saw what your attitude was toward those who were too brazen in their wrongdoings, he came to his senses, and concluded that I should appear to be suffering outrageous treatment, if, after being robbed of such large sums, I should be unable to recover anything whatever from Aphobus. who had my property in his possession, but it should become clear that I was prevented by the defendant from recovering anything. What, then, does he do? 3
voL. I
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## DEMOSTHENES

тov̀s őpovs àmò $\tau \hat{\eta}$ оiкías ảфаı $\epsilon \hat{\imath}$, каi $\tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \nu \tau о \nu$






 av̉兀òs oن̂̃os $\delta \iota \epsilon \pi \rho a ́ \xi a \tau o \cdot$ ov' $\delta^{\prime}$ v́ $\phi^{\prime}$ єvòs $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ảvaүка-

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$, тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \chi \omega \rho i ́ o v ~ к \alpha i ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ o v ̂ \tau o ́ s ~ ф \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \iota-~$

 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta_{i}^{\prime} \kappa \eta s, \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ' \delta o ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \xi o \mu a \iota . ~$ Kaí $\mu$ оє $\lambda \alpha \beta є ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \mu а \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu . ~$

## MAPTYPIA






 тov́тov $\mu \in i ̂ \zeta o v ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$.
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## AgAINST ONETOR, H. 3-6

He removes the pillars from the house, and declares that the marriage-portion was a talent only, which sum was guaranteed by a mortgage on the land. Yet, if the inscription on the house was set up by him in fairness and sincerity, it is plain that the one on the land was also. But if he set up a false inscription in the former case with the intent to commit fraud, it is probable that the latter one was false also. This matter you should consider, not in the 4 light of the proofs which I have advanced, but from the conduct of Onetor himself. No man on earth compelled him ; he took down the pillars himself; and thus by his own act he makes clear that he is a liar. To prove that these statements of mine are true, that he even now declares that the land is mortgaged for a talent, but that he laid claim to two thousand drachmae more on the house, and took the pillars down after the suit was decided, I shall bring forward witnesses who know the facts.

Now take the deposition.

## The Deposition

It is plain. then, that Onetor having put up pillars 5 on the house for two thousand drachmae, and on the land for a talent, intended to push his claim as though he had paid eighty minae. Could you have stronger proof that there is not a word of truth in what he now says, than the fact that his present story is different from the one he told at first about the same matters? To me it seems that no stronger proof than this could be found.

Now note the shamelessness of the man. He had 6 the audacity to say before you that he is not depriving me of what the land is worth beyond a talent, and that, too, when he has himself fixed its value

## DEMOSTHENES








 бо८ $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \eta, \tau \alpha ̉ \nu \alpha \nu \tau i ́ a ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ o i к i ́ a ~ \tau \alpha \lambda a ́ \nu-~$
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## AGAINST ONETOR. II. 6-9

as nothing more. With what end in view, Onetor, did you fix your pillars on the house for the two thousand extra drachmac, when you were demanding eighty minae, if the land was really worth more. instead of securing the two thousand drachmae also by a mortgage on the land? Or, when it suits 7 your purpose to save all of the property of Aphobus, is the land to be worth a talent only, and are you to hold the house on a mortgage of two thousand drachmae more ; and the marriage-portion being eighty minae, will you claim the right to hold both the land and the house: or again, when this is not to your interest, is all to be different: the house is to be worth a talent. because now it is I that hold it, and what is left of the farm is to be worth not less than two talents, in order that it may seem that I am wronging Aphobus, not myself being robbed? Do you see that, while you pretend to have 8 paid the dowry, you are shown not to have paid it in any way whatsoever? For that line of conduct is sincere and free from guile, which remains throughout such as it was at the first. but you are proven to have followed the contrary course, so as to fulfil your service as an underling to $m y$ detriment.

It is worth while to consider in the light of these 9 facts what sort of an oath he would have sworn, if an oath had been tendered him. For, when he declared that the dowry was eighty minae, if one had granted that he should recover that sum on condition of his swearing that this statement of his was true, what would he have done? Is it not plain that he would have taken the oath? What can he say to deny that he would have sworn it under those circumstances, when he demands the right to do so now: Well

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda a \nu \tau o v ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \in ́ v a \imath ~ \phi \eta \sigma i v$. $\tau i \quad \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v$ äv ô̂v







 ov̉ بóvov тov̂ тìv $\gamma$ vvaîка бvvoเкєîv 'Афó $\beta \omega$ каi






 ov̉ס̀̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu ' ~ \epsilon ' \chi o v ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau i ́, ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ a v i \tau \hat{\varphi}$
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## AGAINST ONETOR, II. 9-1~

then, his own word prove that he would have perjured himself : for he now claims that he paid, not eighty minac, but a talent. What reason is there why one should believe that he is forswearing himelf in one statement rather than in the other? Or what opinion should one rightly hold of a man who thus lightly convicts himself of perjury ?

But perhaps not all of his acts have been of this 10 nature, nor is he proven in every instance to be a trickster. Yet it has been shown that he sought in Aphobus's interest to have the damages fixed at a talent, and himself offered to act as bail for the payment to me of that sum. Yet observe that this is a proof not only that his wife was living with Aphobus and that Onetor was on intimate terms with him. but also that he had not paid the dowry. For what man 11 would be so foolish as, first, to pay out so large a sum, then to take as security a single piece of property, the title to which was under dispute, and finally, not satisfied with his previous losses and assuming that the one who had wronged him was now going to act juctly, to become his bail for the damages assessed by the court? Nobody would, to my thinking. The assumption is not even rational. that a man unable to recover a talent for himself, should promise to pay that sum to another. and further to give bail for it. No : from these facts alone it is clear that he has never paid the dowry, but as a close friend of Aphobus he took this mortgage in return for my large property, wishing to make his sister jointly with Aphobus an inheritor of my estate. Then he seeks now to deceive 12 and beguile you by claiming that he set up the pillars before judgement was given against Aphobus. Aye, Onetor; but not before it was given by you, if what

## DEMOSTHENES

 катаү










 'Aфóßov, $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho о і ̂ к а ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \omega к о ́ \tau о s ~ \sigma o \hat{v}, \mu \eta \delta$ '

 őpovs, $\sigma o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a l ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi \omega \rho i ́ o \nu ; ~ \epsilon ’ \gamma \grave{\omega} ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ o v ̉ \delta a \mu \omega ̂ s ~$

 $14 \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ن́ $\mu \epsilon i ̂ s . \quad \nLeftarrow \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ тò $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \nu . ~ \epsilon i$ каi $\delta \epsilon-$


 $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \chi \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{i} \nu O S \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \hat{\omega} \phi \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta_{i ́ \kappa}^{\prime} \eta \nu, \ddot{\eta}$

 $\grave{\eta} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v о \nu$ каі $\pi \rho о \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \eta$ § $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu$, 168

## AGAINST ONETOR, II. $121+$

you now say is true. lor it is clear that you acted as you did because you were convinced of his guilt. Again, this language of yours is absurd, as thoush you, men of the jury, did not know that all those who commit frauds of this sort determine what they are going to say, and that no one ever lost a suit through keeping quiet, or admitting that he was in the wrong: but it is, I think, when he has been convicted of making a false statement, that men know what manner of man he is. And this is what appears to me to be 13 exactly the plight of Onetor. For tell me, how can it be just, if you set up pillars for eighty minae, that the dowry should be eighty minae ; and, if for more, more; and, if for less, less? Or how is it just, when your sister up to this present day has never lived with any other man, or been separated from Aphobus, when you have neither paid the dowry, nor been willing to have recourse to the torture, or to any other fair means of determining the matters at issue, that because you claim to have set up pillars, the farm shall belong to you? I certainly do not see how it can be. It is 14 the truth to which we must look, not to arguments which a man has contrived (as you are doing) in order to seem to speak with some plausibility. Then-the most outrageous thing of all-suppose you had in reality paid the marriage-portion (which you have not paid), whose fault was it? Was it not yours? For you paid it on the security of my property. Was it not ten full years before he became your brother-in-law that Aphobus took possession of my estate for which judgement has been rendered against him? And was it right for you to recover the whole amount, while I, who had been awarded damages against him, I, an orphan who had been wronged and robbed of a

## DEMOSTHENES





${ }^{a}$ See note $a$ on p. 50.
${ }^{b}$ The pronoun is in the plural and refers to Onetor and Aphobus.

## AGAINST ONETOR. II. $1+$

marriage-portion that was genuine. I who with better right than any other man should have been exempted from the risk of having to pay costs, ${ }^{a}$ should be forced to suffer thus, and should have recovered nothing whatever, though ready to meet any of your ${ }^{b}$ proposals, had you been willing to do anything that justice required?

## AGAINST ZENOTHEMIS

## INTRODUCTION

This speech was written by Demosthenes for his uncle Demo (the father of Demophon, who was one of the writer's faithless guardians; see Oration XXVII. \& 4), who had been sued by a certain Zenothemis regarding a cargo of grain. Demo had entered a special plea (тирияриф', that the action was not admissible, and this speech is in reply to one by the plaintiff, of the contents of which we have no knowledge, save in so far as they can be inferred from this reply. This speech, however, gives us much information in regard to the circumstances leading up to the suit. These were in brief as follows:

Demo had lent a sum of money to a certain Protus, a grain-merchant, who was to purchase a cargo of grain in Sicily and bring it to Athens. Such ventures were frequent on the Athenian exchange, and, while the risks were great (for in the event of the loss of the ship the lender had no redress). the rate of interest on the loan was high. Similar transactions form the subjects of Orations XXXIV. and XXXV. Protus, having obtained the money, set out for Sicily in a ship belonging to a man named Hegestratus, Zenothemis also being one of the passengers on board. On reaching Syracuse, Protus purchased a quantity of grain and had it put on board the vessel. Meanwhile Hegestratus and Zenothemis borrowed funds,

## DEMOSTHENES

each vouching for the other's financial standing, and telling of a rich cargo already on board. In this way they secured a considerable amount of money, which they promptly shipped to Massalia (Marseille), of which city both were natives.

As the repayment of the loans secured was conditional upon the safe return of the vessel to Syracuse, the two rascals laid a nefarious scheme to sink the ship, planning themselves to escape in the ship's boat, and thus be free from any obligation to their creditors.

When the ressel, therefore, was three days out of Syracuse, Hegestratus went down into the hold in the night, and began cutting a hole in the ship's bottom. Caught in the act by the passengers, who had heard the noise, he barely escaped suffering violence at their hands by leaping overboard. In the dark he missed the boat and was drowned. Zenothemis then sought to induce the crew to abandon the ship, declaring that she must presently sink, but Protus persuaded them by the offer of large rewards to seek to repair the damage and continue their royage. This was done, and they succeeded in reaching Cephallenia, ${ }^{a}$ where repairs were made. Zenothemis then wanted them to make for Massalia, but, when the matter was submitted to the authorities, it was determined that the vessel should continue her royage to Athens.

When the ship reached the harbour of Peiraeus, Protus announced to Demo and his associates that the grain was safely in port, and they came at once to take possession of it, in order to satisfy their claim
${ }^{a}$ A large island opposite the west entrance to the Corinthian Gulf.

## AGAINST ZENOTHEMIS

as lenders of the purchase money. They found, however, that Zenothemis, alleging that the grain had been bought by Hegestratus with moner advanced by himself, had laid claim to the cargo, and was about to unload it. He was forcibly prevented from doing this by Protus and Demo, and then proceeded to seek redress by bringing separate suits against them. In this speech Demo claims that the action of Zenothemis is not maintainable, as there had been no contract whatever between them, but he deals at greatest length with the enormity of the plaintiff's conduct.

The difficulty of arriving at a just conclusion regarding the merits of the case is necessarily great, as we have the presentation of one side only : and it is further enhanced by the fact that Protus (who would naturally, as purchaser of the grain, have been Demo's chief witness) appears to have come to some sort of an agreement with Zenothemis. He not only allowed judgement to be given against him by default in Zenothemis's suit against him, but left Athens in order not to have to testify in the present suit.

The speech is mutilated at the end, and our text breaks off in the middle of a sentence. This speech is discussed in Schaefer, iii. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 292 ff ., and in Blass, iii. pp. 492 ff .

## XXXII

## ПРОУ ZНNOӨЕМIN ПАРАГРАФН


 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o v \in i \pi \epsilon i \hat{\nu}, ~ \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ oűs $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \gamma \rho a \psi a ́ \mu \eta \nu$. oi vó $\mu$ o七


 $\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi a i \cdot \epsilon \epsilon \grave{\alpha} \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \iota s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \delta \iota к a ́ \zeta \eta \tau \alpha \iota, ~$












 $\alpha v ̉ \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ к \iota s ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \delta v \nu \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$. oî $\mu a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ́$.
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## XXXII

## PLEA OF DEMO AGAINST ZENOTHEAIS, A sPECLAL PLEA

Men of the jury, having entered a plea that the action is not admissible, I wish first to speak concerning the laws in accordance with which the plea was entered. The laws, men of the jury, ordain that actions for shipowners and merchants shall be upon loans for shipments to or from Athens, concerning which there shall be written agreements ; and if anyone brings suit in violation of this provision, the action shall not be maintainable. Now between this man 2 Zenothemis and myself there has been no contract or agreement in writing, as he himself acknowledges in his complaint. He states that he made a loan to Hegestratus, a shipowner, and that after the latter was lost at sea, we appropriated the cargo. This is his charge in the complaint. The same speech will suffice to prove to you that his action is not maintainable, and to make you see the whole of his plot and his rascality. I beg of you all, men of the jury, if you ever attended 3 closely to any matter, to attend to this. You will hear of a man's audacity and villainy that go beyond all bounds, provided I am able, as I hope to be, to tell you the whole tale of what he has done.

Zenothemis, who is here before you, being an 4 underling of Hegestratus, the shipowner, who he

DEMOSTHENES

 тоvi $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ є́кєìvov $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \alpha \tau о . ~ \chi \rho \eta \prime \mu a \tau ' ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~$
 ס' Є่кєîvos $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau о v ́ \tau ب ~ \delta \alpha \nu \epsilon i \zeta o \nu \tau \alpha s, ~ \epsilon ̈ ̆ ~ \tau \iota s ~$




 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{Ma} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{\lambda í} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{\nu} ,\mathrm{к} \mathrm{\alpha i} \mathrm{ov่} \mathrm{\delta} \mathrm{\grave{v} v} \mathrm{\epsilon is} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\grave{ } \mathrm{\eta} \nu ~ v a \hat{v} v ~ \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \rho o v . ~}$

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu$ тoùs $\delta \alpha \nu \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \alpha \hat{v} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha \nu$ ठvoîv $\ddot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda o \hat{v} \nu, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ vvктòs $\epsilon i S$ коíג $\eta \nu$ vav̂v, ठเє́ко $\pi \tau \epsilon$ то仑 $\pi \lambda o i o v ~$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v, ~ a i \sigma \theta a ́ \nu o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ oi є́v $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda о i \not \omega$, òтє какóv $\tau \iota \epsilon \in \nu$
 $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ o " $\mathrm{H} \gamma \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau о$ каі $\delta i ́ \kappa \eta \nu \quad \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ vi $\pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon$,


[884] єîval, ả $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu i \gamma \eta$. Є’кєîvos $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ ov̂v ov゙т $\omega s, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$
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## AGAINST ZENOTHEMIS, 1 i

himself in his complaint states to have been lost at sea (how, he does not add, but I will tell you), concocted with him the following fraud. Both of them borrowed money in Syracuse. Hegestratus admitted to those lending money to Zenothemis, if inquiries were made, that there was on board the ship a large amount of grain belonging to the latter; and the plaintiff admitted to those lending money to Hegestratus that the cargo of the ship was his. As one was the shipowner and the other a passenger, they were naturally believed in what they said of one another. But immediately on getting the money, 5 they sent it home to Massalia, and put nothing on board the ship. The agreement being, as is usual in all such cases, that the money was to be paid back if the ship reached port safely, they laid a plot to sink the ship, that so they might defraud their creditors. Hegestratus, accordingly, when they were two or three days' voyage from land, went down by night into the hold of the ressel, and began to cut a hole in the ship's bottom, while Zenothemis, as though knowing nothing about it, remained on deck with the rest of the passengers. When the noise was heard, those on the vessel saw that something wrong was going on in the hold, and rushed down to bear aid. Hegestratus, being caught in the act, and ex- 6 pecting to pay the penalty, took to flight, and, hotly pursued by the others, flung himself into the sea. It was dark, and he missed the ship's boat, and so was drowned. Thus, miserable as he was, he met a miserable end as he deserved, suffering the fate which he purposed to bring about for others. As for this 7 fellow, his associate and accomplice, at the first on board the ship immediately after the attempted

## DEMOSTHENES










 $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon, ~ \epsilon i ̄ \tau a ~ к а i ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\nu a v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu, \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Ma $\alpha \sigma \alpha \lambda \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ áp $\rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є’v $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{K} \epsilon \phi a \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i ́ a ~ \gamma \nu o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ 'A $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha \zeta \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} v \nu \alpha \hat{v} v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} v$, ö $\theta \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ ảv ${ }^{\prime} \chi \theta \eta$, ôv




 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon i \lambda \eta \eta \chi \in \nu$.
10
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## AGAINST ZENOTHEMIS. T-10

crime, just as though he knew nothing of it but was himself in utter consternation, he sought to induce the sailing-master and the seamen to embark in the boat and abandon the vessel with all speed, declaring that there was no hope of safety and that the ship would presently sink : thinking that thus their design might be accomplished, the ship be lost, and the creditors thus be robbed of their money. In this he 8 failed, for our agent. ${ }^{a}$ who was on board, opposed the plan, and promised the sailors large rewards if they should bring the ship safe into port. The ship was safely brought to Cephallenia. thanks chiefly to the gods, and after them to the bravery of the seamen. Again after this he schemed together with the Massaliotes, the fellow-countrymen of Hegestratus, to prevent the ressel from completing her royage to Athens, saying that he himself was from Massalia; that the money came from thence; and that the shipowner and the lenders were Massaliotes. In this, too, he 9 failed ; for the magistrates in Cephallenia decided that the ressel should return to Athens, from which port she had set sail. Then the man, whom no one would have thought audacious enough to come here, after having plotted and wrought such deeds-this man, Athenians, has so surpassed all in shamelessness and audacity, that he has not only come, but has actually laid claim to my grain, and has brought suit against me!

What. then, is the reason for this? and what can 10 have induced the fellow to come here and commence this suit? I will tell you, men of the jury, though Heaven knows it gives me pain to do so ; but I must.

[^31]
## DEMOSTHENES










 $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta \kappa \in \nu$ av̉тòs каi катєпウ' $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \tau \alpha \iota$ тоvт $\omega i$, каi



 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi o \iota \epsilon i \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \prime \rho \omega \nu$, каí $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \tau \hat{\omega}$ ' $\mathrm{H} \gamma \epsilon$ -







 є́avт $\hat{\nu} \nu$.
13 Tò $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂v $\pi \rho \bar{a} \gamma \mu$ ', vi $\pi \epsilon ่ \rho$ of $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu$ on" $\sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$,


[^32]There exists in the Peiracus a gang of scoundrels " closely leagued with one another. You would know 11 them at once, should you see them. When this man Zenothemis was scheming to prevent the ressel from completing her voyage to Athens we chose one of these men after consulting with one another ${ }^{b}$ as our representative. He was known to us after a fashion, but we had no idea of his real character. This was in fact a piece of misfortune for us as great, if so much may be said, as our having to deal with rascals at the start. This man who was sent out by us-his name was Aristophon, and he is the same one. as we now hear, who managed the business of Miccalion-has entered into an agreement with the plaintiff, and has sold him his services. In a word he is the one who is managing the whole affair, and Zenothemis has been glad to accept this help. For when he failed in his 12 scheme to destroy the vessel, not being able to pay back their money to his creditors-how could he pay, when at the start he had put nothing on board :-he lays claim to my goods, and declares that he has lent money to Hegestratus on the security of the grain which our agent sailing with him had purchased. The creditors, who had been deceived in the first instance, seeing that instead of receiving their money, they have a scoundrel as their debtor and nothing more, and hoping that, if you are imposed upon by Zenothemis, they may recover their own out of my property, are forced to make common cause with him in order to protect their own interests, although they know him to be making these false charges against me.

Such, to speak briefly, is the matter on which you 13 are to cast your votes. But I wish first to bring before

## DEMOSTHENES

тov̀s $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ~ \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda ' \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \chi o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$,



## MAPTイPIAI

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{K} \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ àv $\tau \iota \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \sigma \nu \tau o s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v,{ }^{\prime \prime} \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \xi-$











 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, où oủv $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$ ảкоv́шv av̉тòs ä้
 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau ’ \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$," $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \iota s \quad \dot{v} \pi-$




 то仑े ठ८акóттєєv Є̇ $\pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \alpha \hat{v} \nu, \tau i \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho o ́ s$
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## AGAINST ZENOTHEMIS, 18-16

you the witnesses to what I am saying, and then to instruct you regarding other anpects of the case.

Please read the depositions.

## The Depositions

When the vessel arrived here - for the Cephallen- 14 ians ordered, despite the plaintiff's machinations, that it should put into the port from which it first sailed-those who had lent money on the ship immediately took possession of her. and the man who had bought the grain took possession of it ; he was the one who had borrowed the money of us. After this the plaintiff came, having with him Aristophon, the man sent out as our representative, and laid claim to the grain, saying that he had lent money to Hegestratus. "What are you saying, fellow :" 15 exclaimed Protus immediately: (This was the name of the man who imported the grain, and who owed us the money.) Is it you who have given money to Hegestratus, you who aided him to deceive the others, that he might borrow of them : Would you who often heard him say that those who rentured their money would lose it, would you. I say, hearing this, have rentured yours !" "" Yes," said he impudently. "Well, then." interrupted one of those present, " if what you say is never so true, your partner and fellow-countryman, Hegectratus, has taken you in, it appears, and for that has passed sentence of death upon himself, and is dead." "Yes," 16 said another of the bystanders, " and that this fellow has co-operated with Hegestratus in the whole matter, I will give you a proof. For before the attempt was made to cut through the ship's bottom, this man and Hegestratus deposited with one of the ship's com-

## DEMOSTHENES

$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \eta \eta_{\nu}$ каíтоь $\epsilon i \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \epsilon i s \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu \epsilon ้ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha s, \tau i$




 При̂тоs каi ó коиขшvòs то̂ При́тоv, Фє́ртатоs.



 тòv бîтov $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i ̂ v o s ~ \phi а i ́ v \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta ~ к \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu ’$

 тà Sıá申ор' àтодаßєiv каi тá入аvтоv тробла $\beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, каi


 $19 \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \alpha$ т̀̀ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$ ' $\alpha v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. ó $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \alpha \hat{v}$





 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ov $\gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \tau \sigma, \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i a s$.

[^33]
## AGAINST ZENOTHEMIS, 16;-19

pany a written agreement. Yet, if you had confidence in him when you gave the money, why should you have sought some security for yourself before the crime? But if you distrusted him, why did you not, like the others, get a legal acknowledgement before sailing?" But why relate all that was said: We 17 made no progress by all this talking; he held on to the grain. Protus tried to put him out, and so did Phertatus, Protus's partner ; but he wouldn't budge, declaring point-blank that he would not be put out of possession by anyone, unless I myself should put him out. ${ }^{a}$ After this Protus and I challenged him to go 18 before the Syracusan authorities, and, if it should be shown that Protus had bought the grain, that the customs duties were recorded in his name, and that it was he who had paid the price, we demanded that Zenothemis be punished as a rascal : if this were not proved, we agreed that he should receive back all he had expended and a talent in addition and that we would relinquish our claim to the grain. Despite this challenge and all that Protus and I could say, we made no progress, but I had to choose either to put Zenothemis out, or to lose my property which had been brought safe to port and was there before my eyes. Protus on his part adjured us by the gods to 19 put him out, declaring himself ready to sail back to Sicily ; but if, despite this willingness of his, I should give up the grain to Zenothemis, he said it made no difference to him. To prove that I am telling the truth in this-that the plaintiff refused to be put out of possession except by me, that he refused the challenge to sail back to Sicily, and that he deposited the agreement in the course of the voyage-read the depositions.

## DEMOSTHENES

## MAPTTPIAI




 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \alpha \iota o v, \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi o ́ \sigma \iota v ~ \delta є ̀ ~$















 $23 \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \alpha \hat{v} \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \pi \rho a \tau \tau \epsilon \nu$. $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ रà $\rho$ ov̉к aio $\sigma \rho o ̀ v$ каi $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ v ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v o \iota \tau о, ~ \epsilon i ~ K \epsilon \phi a \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$, ö $\pi \omega s$
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## AGAMNST ZENOTHEMIS, 20-23

## The Depositions

When, therefore he refused to be put out of 20 possession by Protus, or to sail back to Sicily for an equitable settlement, and when it was proved that he was an accomplice in all the villainy of Hegestratus, the only course left for us, who had lent our money here at Athens and had taken over the grain from the man who had honestly purchased it there in Sicily, was to dispossess the plaintiff. What else 21 could we have done? Not one of us partners had as yet any idea that you would ever declare the grain to be this man's property-grain which he tried to induce the sailors to abandon, that it might be lost by the sinking of the ship. This fact is the strongest proof that none of it belonged to him ; for who would have tried to induce those who were attempting to save it to abandon grain which belonged to himself: Or who would not have accepted the challenge and have sailed to Sicily, where these matters might have been clearly proved? And $\because 2$ surely I was not going to have so poor an opinion of you as to imagine that you would vote to allow this man to enter a suit regarding these goods, whose entry into your port he had sought by every means to prevent,--first when he tried to induce the sailors to abandon them, and again when in Cephallenia he strove to prevent the ship from sailing here. Would 23 it not be a shameful and outrageous thing. if Cephallenians, in order to save property for Athenians, ordered the ship to be brought here, but you, who are Athenians, should order the property of your citizens to be given up to those who wished to throw it into the sea, and should allow this fellow to enter

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \iota$.

ПАРАГРАФН



## NOMOE


 $\chi \forall \alpha \iota \cdot \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \nu \eta \nu \delta^{\prime}$ áкоv́ $\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau о \hat{v} \sigma o \nless \hat{v} \tau о \hat{v} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau o s, \tau o v{ }^{\prime} A \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \phi \hat{\omega \nu \tau o s . ~ ふ ́ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho}$















 тòv бîтov, каi бvкофа́vт $\eta \nu$ àv $i \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ аiтı$\omega^{\prime}-$ 192

## AGAINST ZENOTHEMIS, 23-26

an action for goods which he schemed to prevent from being brought here at all ? Do not do that, I implore you by Zeus and the Gods. Now read, please, the special plea which I entered.

## The Plea

Now please read the law.

## The Law

That my plea that the action is not admissible 24 is in harmony with the laws, has, I think, been sufficiently proved ; but you must hear the trick of this clever fellow Aristophon, who has concocted the whole scheme. When they saw that, in the light of the facts, they had absolutely no basis of right, they made overtures to Protus, and induced him to leave the matter wholly in their hands. From the first, as has now become plain to us, they had been working to this end, but had been unable to carry their point. For 25 Protus, so long as he thought to get a profit for himself from the grain by going, clung to it, and chose rather to make his profit, and to render to us what was our due, than to make common cause with these men, sharing with them the advantage gained and doing us an injury. But when, after he had come back here and was negotiating about these matters, grain fell in price, he straightway changed his mind. At the same time (for, men of 26 Athens, the whole truth shall be told you), we on our part, who had made the loan, came to a quarrel and felt bitter against him (for the loss on the grain was falling on us), and charged that he had secured for us this pettifogging scoundrel instead of our

## DEMOSTHENES











 $\epsilon i \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ є’̀ какоîs каì $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \iota$ тобои̂тov oîvov $\notin \pi \iota \nu \epsilon \nu$,





 $\nu \hat{v}$ тараıтєîтаı. єi $\sigma \epsilon \sigma v к о ф а ́ v \tau \eta к а s, ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha-$



 ä $\mu$ ’ äv av̇тòv $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ к а \tau \eta \gamma \gamma v ́ a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$
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## AGAINST ZENOTHEMIS, 26-29

money. After this, being manifestly none too honest by nature, he went over to their side, and agreed to let judgement go by default in the suit which Zenothemis had brought against him before they had come to an agreement with one another. For, if he 27 had dropped his suit against Protus, it would have been made clear at once that his action against us was a malicious one, and Protus would not consent to have judgement given against him while he was here present, in order that, if they should do for him what they had agreed-well and good; but, if not, he might have the judgement by default set aside. But why speak of all this? If Protus really did what Zenothemis here has written in his complaint, he justly deserves. as it seems to me at least, not merely to have judgement given against him, but to be put to death. For if in danger and tempest he drank so much wine as to be like a madman, what punishment does he not deserve to suffer? Or, if he $\because 8$ stole documents, or secretly broke the seals? However, the facts regarding all these things you will determine in your own minds; but, Zenothemis. do not mix up that action with mine. If Protus has wronged you in word or deed, you have, it seems, had satisfaction. No one of us sought to hinder you, or now begs for leniency for him. If you have brought a baseless charge against him, that is no affair of ours. Ah, but the fellow has disappeared. Yes : thanks to 29 you, who wished to deprive us of his testimony, and to be able yourselves to say against him whatever you please. For if the judgement by default had not been of your own contriving, you would at the same time have called him before the Polemarch, and have had him put under bail ; and. if he had appointed sureties,

## DEMOSTHENES



 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma o \hat{v} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \sigma \nu v i ̂ \alpha \nu$ ढैк $\delta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ ov̉к $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$

 $\alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ к \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma \omega$, $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime}$ ov゙ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \gamma v ́ \eta \sigma \alpha s$ oű $\tau \epsilon \nu v ิ \nu$ $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \in$ v́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$.











 $\alpha \phi^{\prime}$ ô̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ коוv $\omega \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \eta \rho \xi \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$


(Desunt quaedam.)

[^34]he would have been forced to remain, or you would have had persons from whom you could recover damages; if he had not given bail, he would have gone to prison. ${ }^{a}$ But, as it is. you have made common :" cause ; he thinks that through your help he will escape paying us the deficiency that has come about: and you, through accusing him, hope to get control of my property. Here is a proof of this. I shall summon him as a witness; you. Zenothemis. did not have him put under bail, nor do you now summon him.

There is yet another way in which they hope to 31 deceive and trick you. They will accuse Demosthenes, and will say that I relied upon his help when I put Zenothemis out of possession of the grain. assuming that this charge will be credited because he is an orator and a well-known personage. Demosthenes, men of Athens, is indeed my bloodrelation (I swear to you by all the gods that I shall speak the truth), but when I approached 32 him, and entreated him to be present and to aid me in any way he could, he said to me, " Demo, I will do as you bid me; it would be cruel to refuse you. You must, however, consider both your own circumstances and mine. My own position is this: from the time when I first began to speak on public affairs I have not come forward to plead in a single private case, but . . . ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^35]AGAINST APATURIUS

## INTRODUCTION

The defendant in this suit, whose name is not mentioned, was sued for twenty minae by Apaturius. Again, as in the preceding case, a special plea is made that the suit is not maintainable, and again the speech deals largely with the circumstances leading up to the suit. These were substantially as follows.

Apaturius, a merchant of Byzantium, found himself unable to meet a debt of forty minae, secured by his vessel, which lay in the harbour of Peiraeus. The period for which the loan had been made had expired, and his creditors were pressing him. He therefore approached an exiled compatriot of his, a certain Parmeno, who promised him ten minae, giving him three at the time. They then jointly approached the defendant in this suit, who on his own security induced a banker, Heracleides, to advance the thirty minae needed. He took this sum in addition to the ten minae secured from Parmeno, paid off the creditors, and protected himself by taking a mortgage of forty minae on the ship of Apaturius and its crew of slaves.

At this juncture the bank of Heracleides failed, and demand was made upon the defendant for the forty minae, the liability for which he had assumed. At the same time Apaturius tried to remove the slaves and get his ship secretly out of the harbour. The defendant at once transferred his mortgage to the

## AGAINST APATURIUS

creditors of the bank, who in turn gave him a relcase from his obligation, and he filed a lien on the ship for ten minae to protect Parmeno. The ressel was then sold for forty minae, all claims were settled, and mutual releases were given in the presence of witnesses by those involved in the transaction.

After this suits were instituted against one another by Apaturius and Parmeno on account of violence used when the latter had prevented Apaturius from taking his ship out of the harbour. It was agreed that the matter should be settled by arbitration, but the articles of agreement disappeared (that they were purposely made away with is claimed by the writer of this speech), and it was a matter of dispute whether the reference was to a single arbitrator or to a board of three. Meanwhile Parmeno was obliged to leave Athens. An earthquake occurred in the Chersonese, where he was making his home on account of his exile from Byzantium, and in the disaster his house was destroyed and his wife and children perished. In his absence judgement was given against him by default, and the damages were assessed at twenty minae.

Apaturius then filed suit against the unnamed defendant, alleging that he was surety for Parmeno. This the defendant denies, and he charges further that the award was a fraudulent one.

On this speech see Schaefer, iii. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 297 ff., and Blass, iii. pp. 572 ff .

## XXXIII

## ПРОГ АПАТОプРІОN ПАРАГРАФН












 $\grave{\epsilon} \mu \pi о р \iota к а і ̂ s, \pi \alpha \rho а \gamma \rho a \psi \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \nu o ́ \mu о \nu ~ \tau о v-~$

 3 тồ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi о \rho \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma v \kappa o \phi a \nu \tau o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha s$ ．of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂v $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 катєбкєvакш́s，троїо́vтоs $\tau о \hat{v}$ 入óyov катафаvخ̀s
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Thesmothetae were the six archon（other than the Evonymus，the Basileus，and the Polemarch），and were empowered to administer justice in cases not specifically within the province of any other magistrate．

## XXXIII

## AN UNKNOWN PLEADER AGAINST APATURIUS, A SPECIAL PLEA

The law, men of Athens, ordains that actions for merchants and shipowners shall be before the Thesmothetae ${ }^{a}$ if they have been in any way wronged in the market either in connexion with a voyage from Athens to any point, or from some other port to Athens ; and it fixes imprisonment as the penalty for wrongdoers until such time as they shall have paid the amount adjudged against them, so that no one may lightly do wrong to any merchant. To those, 2 however, who are brought into court in cases where no contract has been made, the law gives the right to have recourse to a special plea, that no one may bring a baseless or malicious suit, but that actions may be confined to those among the merchants and shipowners who are really wronged. Many defendants in mercantile suits have before now entered special pleas in accordance with this law, and have come before you and proved that their adversaries were making unjust charges and bringing baseless suits under pretence of being engaged in commerce. Who it is that has conspired with this fellow against 3 me and who has concocted this suit, will become clear to you as my speech goes on. Since, however,

## DEMOSTHENES



 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s, a ̈ \lambda \lambda$ оv $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma v \mu-$
 oü ${ }^{\prime}$＇$่ \gamma \gamma \epsilon$＇iov，$\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi a ́ \mu \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta i ́ \kappa \eta \nu, \mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega ́-$


## NOMOI

4 ＇$\Omega_{S}$ тoívvv тарà тov̀s vópovs $\tau 0$ úтovs єỉ $\lambda \eta \chi \epsilon$ є $\mu$ о七 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ठíкпv＇А

 $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ 的 $\lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \nu, \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v ~ \tau \iota \nu o s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa \iota \nu-~$



 є’ $\mu \pi о ́ \rho ь o \nu, \gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu \omega s$ є＇$\chi \omega$ тоîs $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \circ \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon o ́ v-$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu v$ оікєíws хр⿳⺈⿴\zh11⿰丿㇄
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Apaturius has made a false charge against me, and is suing me contrary to law, secing that there had been a release and discharge from all contracts made between him and me, and there exists no other contract made with him by me whether for business by sea or on land, I have entered the special plea that the action is not maintainable, according to the following laws.

The Laws

That Apaturius, then, has instituted suit against 4 me contrary to these laws and that his charges are false, I shall show you by many proofs. I, men of the jury, have by now been for a long time engaged in foreign trade, and up to a certain time risked the sea in my own person; it is not quite seven years since I gave up royaging, and, having a moderate capital, I try to put it to work by making loans on adventures overseas. As I have visited many places 5 and spend my time in your exchange, I know most of those who are seafarers, and with these men from Byzantium I am on intimate terms through having myself spent much time there. My position, then, was such as I have described, when this fellow put into our port with a fellow-countryman of his, named Parmeno, a Byzantine by birth, who was an exile from his country. The plaintiff and Parmeno came up to me 6 on the exchange and spoke about money. It happened that the plaintiff owed forty minae on his ship, and his creditors were pressing him hard with demands for their money, and were about to board the ship and take possession of it, as his note was overdue. While he was in this embarrassment, Parmeno agreed to give him ten minae, and the plaintiff asked me to

## DEMOSTHENES


 є’ $\mu \pi о \rho i ́ \varphi$, ìva ката́ $\sigma \chi \omega \sigma \iota \tau \grave{\tau} \nu \nu \alpha \hat{v} \nu$ єis à $\pi о \rho i ́ a \nu ~ к а \tau \alpha-$



 коута $\mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \pi о \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, '̈ $\tau v \chi \epsilon \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \epsilon \kappa \rho о \nu \kappa \omega ́ s$ $\tau \iota ~ \tau о и ́ \tau \omega ~ o ́ ~ П а р \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu ~ ผ ́ \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta к \grave{\omega s ~} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \pi о \rho \eta \eta^{-}$

 8 кaì тò 入oı $\pi o ̀ v ~ \delta i \delta o ́ v a l$. aủ $o \grave{s} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau ' ~ o u ̉ k ~$










## MAPTYPIAI
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## AGAINST APATURIUS, 6-9

contribute thirty minae, charging that the creditors in their eagerness to sceure the ship had slandered him on the exchange, that they might seize the ship by putting him in a position where he could not pay. I 7 happened to have no ready money in hand, but being acquainted with Heraeleides, the banker, I persuaded him to lend the money, and to take me as surety. But when now the thirty minae had been procured, Parmeno happened to fall out with the plaintiff. However, seeing that he had agreed to furnish him with ten minae and had already given him three of them, he was compelled on account of the money he had given to pay the remainder as well. Not wishing, 8 however, for the reason given, to make the loan in his own name, he bade me to arrange it so that things should be as safe as possible for him. So I took over the seven minae from Parmeno, and having had transferred to myself the obligation for the three, which the plaintiff had already received from him, caused a bill of sale to be executed on the ship and the slaves until such time as he should repay me the ten minae, which he had received through me, and also the thirty for which he had made me his surety with the banker. In proof that my words are true, hear the depositions.

## The Depositions

In this way, then, Apaturius here got rid of his 9 creditors. Not long after this, the bank having failed, and Heracleides for a time having gone into hiding, the plaintiff schemed to send the slaves from Athens, and to remove the ship from the harbour. This was the cause of my first quarrel with him. For Parmeno, learning of the fact, laid hands on the slaves as they

## DEMOSTHENES







 $\epsilon ่ \gamma \gamma v \eta \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon ' \zeta \eta s \tau \eta े \nu \pi \rho \hat{\jmath} \xi \iota \nu$, каi $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$








 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu a \hat{v} \nu$ катє $\gamma \gamma v \hat{\omega}$ каi $\tau о$ v̀s $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s, ~ к \alpha i$





 $\theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota s$ ท̂̀v. à $\pi o \delta o \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठє $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \rho \iota \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$
 є̀vavtiov $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ дартúp $\omega \nu$ тás $\tau \epsilon$ $\sigma v \gamma \rho a \phi a ̀ s$

a The foreigner is, of course, Parmeno.

## AGAINST APATURIUS, 9-12

were being taken away, and prevented the sailing of the ship; then he sent for me, and told me of the affair. When 1 heard him, thinking this fellow a most 10 impious wretch because of his attempt, I set about considering how I might myself get free from my guaranty to the bank, and how the foreigner ${ }^{a}$ might avoid the loss of the money he had lent this fellow through me. After stationing men to guard the ship I told the whole story to the sureties of the bank and turned the security over to them, telling them that the foreigner had a lien of ten minae on the ship. Having arranged this, I attached the slaves, in order that, if any shortage occurred, the deficiency might be made up by the proceeds of their sale. In this way, when 11 I found that Apaturius was a rascal, I set matters right in my own interest and in the interest of the foreigner. But Apaturius, as though the wrong was on my side, and not on his, made complaint to me, and asked if it were not enough for me to be released from my guaranty to the bank, without also attaching the ship and the slaves to secure his money for Parmeno, and thus making an enemy of himself in the interest of one who was an exile. I replied that, 12 when a man had put his trust in me, I was all the less inclined to leave him in the lurch, because, while he was an exile and in misfortune, he was being wronged by the plaintiff; and after I had done everything possible, and had incurred the utmost enmity on the part of this fellow, I with difficulty secured the money, the ship being sold for forty minae, the precise amount for which she was mortgaged. The thirty minae then having been paid back to the bank, and the ten minae to Parmeno, in the presence of many witnesses, we cancelled the bond in accordance with which the

## DEMOS'THENES

$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon i ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \kappa \alpha i \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau о \nu \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \mu$ ' єîvaı $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \in \nu$. каi $\dot{\omega}$ à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \varphi$, àкоv́б $\alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$.

## MAFTMPIAI








 оцо́бך то̀v ӧрког.


## MAPTTPIA




 [897] $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu, \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ vimò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \in i s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota-$






a A deme of the tribe Oeneïs.

## AGADNST APATTRHOS. 12-15

money had been lent, and mutually released and discharged one another from our engagements : so that the plaintiff had nothing more to do with me, nor I with him. In proof that my words are true, hear the depositions.

## The Depositions

Since then I have had no business transaction with 1,3 the fellow, whether great or small, but Parmeno sued him for damages for the blows which he received from him when he laid hands on the slaves as they were being carried off. and because he had been prevented by him from making the royage to Sicily. When the action had been instituted. Parmeno tendered an oath to Apaturius regarding some of his charges. and he accepted it, and furthermore made a deposit to be forfeited if he did not swear the oath.

In proof that my words are true, take the deposition.

## The Deposition

Having accepted the oath, since he was aware that 14 many would know that he had perjured himself, he did not present himself for the swearing, but, as though he could get free of the oath by an action. he summoned Parmeno into court. When both actions had been instituted, on the advice of persons present they proceeded to an arbitration, and after drawing up an agreement they submitted the matter to one common arbitrator, Phocritus, a fellow-countryman of theirs ; and each one appointed one man to sit with Phocritus, Apaturius choosing Aristocles of Oea, ${ }^{a}$ and Parmeno choosing me. They agreed in 15 the articles that, if we three were of one mind, our decision should be binding on them, but, if not,

## DEMOSTHENES






 Факрíтov $\pi \alpha \rho ’{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \grave{\lambda} \lambda \omega \tau \tau \iota \nu \grave{\theta} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota, \tau i \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ 'Арьбток $\lambda \in \hat{\imath}$.


## MAPTYPIAI





















[^36]
## AGAINST APATURIUS, 15-18

then they should be bound to abide by what the two should determine. Having made this agreement, they appointed sureties for one another to guarantee its fulfilment. Apaturius appointed Aristocles, and Parmeno Archippus of Myrrhinus. ${ }^{a}$ At the outset they deposited their agreement with Phocritus, but upon his bidding them to deposit it with someone else. they deposited it with Aristocles.

In proof that $m y$ words are true, hear the depositions.

## The Depositions

That the agreements were deposited with 16 Aristocles, and that the arbitration was left with Phocritus, Aristocles and myself. has been tectified to you by witnesses who know the facts. And now, men of the jury. I beg of you to hear from me what happened after this; for from this it will be clear to you that this man Apaturius is making a claim upon me which is baseless and malicious. For when he saw that Phocritus and I were of one mind. and realized that we should give judgement against him, wishing to break down the arbitration, he sought, in collusion with the man who held them, to destroy the articles of agreement. and he proceeded to con- 17 tend that Aristocles was his arbitrator, and declared that Phocritus and I were empowered to do nothing else than seek to bring about a reconciliation. Angered at this statement, Parmeno demanded of Aristocles that he produce the agreement, adding that if there had been any criminal meddling with the papers, proof of the fact would not be far to seek. for his own slave had written them. Aristocles 18 promised that he would produce the articles, but up to this day has not brought them to light. He did

## DEMOSTHENES










## MAPTヘPIAI

 $\eta$ خोф



 $\phi \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \theta \eta \kappa \omega ิ \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \xi \quad \alpha \rho \chi \eta ิ s$







## mAPTYPIA





[^37]
## AGAINS'I APATURIUS. 18-20

meet us on the appointed day at the Hephaesteum, ${ }^{a}$ but made the excuse that his slave while waiting for him had fallen asleep and lost the document. The man who concocted this plot was Eryxias, the physician from Peiraeus, an intimate friend of Aristocles, the same man who out of enmity toward me has also got up this action against me.

Now in proof that Aristocles pretended that he had lost the document, hear the depositions.

## The Depositions

After this the arbitration was done away with, the 19 articles of agreement having disappeared and the authority of the arbitrators being questioned. They did endeavour to draw up new articles about these matters, but could come to no agreement, as the plaintiff insisted on having Aristocles, and Parmeno the three to whom in the first instance the arbitration had been referred. Nevertheless, although no new articles had been drawn, and those originally drawn had been made away with, the man who had made away with them came to such a pitch of shamelessness that he declared he would in his own single person pronounce the award. Parmeno called witnesses to be present, and forbade Aristocles to pronounce an award against him. without his co-arbitrators, in defiance of the articles of agreement.

Hear the deposition of those in whose presence he thus forbade him.

## The Deposition

After this there befell Parmeno. men of the jury, a 20 dire misfortune. He was dwelling in Ophrynium ${ }^{b}$ because of his being an exile from home, when the

## DEMOSTHENES

$\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \grave{~ \epsilon} \gamma \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \tau о$ ò $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ X $\epsilon \rho \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma o v$, $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma o v ́ \sigma \eta s$



 $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \circ \hat{v}$ aै $\nu \epsilon v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu \delta \iota \alpha \iota \tau \tau \bar{\omega} \nu, \dot{a} \pi o \delta \eta \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o s$



 є'фv́ $\quad 0 \mu \epsilon \nu$ тò $\delta \iota \alpha \iota \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \cdot$ ò $\delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\mu$ óvov ả $\mu \phi \iota \beta \eta \tau \eta$ -
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ є̇ $\pi o \iota \eta \quad \sigma \alpha \tau o$. ô ov̉ $\theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̌ $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$


















## AGAINST APATLRICS. $20-23$

earthquake in the Chersonese occurred : and in the collapse of his house his wife and children perished. Immediately on hearing of the disaster he departed by ship from Athens. Aristocles, although the man had adjured him in the presence of witnesses not to pronounce judgement against him without his co-arbitrators, when Parmeno had left the country because of the disaster. pronounced an award against him by default. Phocritus and I, who were named 21 in the same articles, refused to participate in the award, because the plaintiff denied that in his view we were arbitrators: but Aristocles, whose authority was not only disputed. but who had expressly been forbidden to act, nevertheless made the declarationa thing which not one of you and not one of all the other Athenians could have been induced to do.

For all that Apaturius and the arbitrator did in 22 connexion with the disappearance of the articles and the pronouncing of the award, the man wronged, if ever he comes safely back to Athens. will obtain satisfaction from them. But since Apaturius has come to such a pitch of shamelessness as to bring suit against me also, charging that I undertook to pay any sum that might be awarded against Parmeno, and since he declares that my name was entered in the articles as surety. I shall free myself from such a charge in the proper way ; I shall first bring forward witnesses to prove that it was not I who became surety for Parmeno, but Archippus of Myrrhinus: and I shall then undertake, men of the jury, to make my defence by circumstantial proofs.

In the first place, I hold that the time is a witness 23 for me to prove that the charge is groundless. For the agreement to arbitrate made by this fellow and

## DEMOSTHENES



 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ т $\hat{\nu}$ ठıкаíшv $\tau v \chi o ́ v \tau \epsilon S$ àvá $\gamma \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota . \epsilon i$
 $\tau i ́ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ v$ ov̉к $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta S$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho a \nu$ тàs $\chi \iota \lambda i ́ a s ~ \delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \tau o \hat{v} ~ П \alpha \rho-~$
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \rho \hat{v} \nu \alpha \iota$ каі $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau \rho \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \zeta \alpha \nu$







 $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau i \quad \pi \epsilon ́ \rho v \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \eta \mu \hat{\omega \nu} \nu, \mu \grave{\eta}$ ö $\tau \iota \delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha-$






${ }^{a}$ Roughly, from September to April, the period when the seas were closed, and the ships laid up in port.

## AGAINST APATLRICS, 只只

Parmeno and the award of Aristocles took place two years ago; but merchants may bring action every month from Boëdromion to Munichion. ${ }^{2}$ in order that they may obtain their rights without delay and put to sea. So, if I was in truth a surety for Parmeno, why did not Apaturius immediately after the award proceed to collect the sum guaranteed ? It is not open to him to say that because of 24 his friendship for me he was loth to incur my enmity, for he had himself in utter unfriendliness been forced by me to pay the one thousand drachmae due to Parmeno; and when he was trying to get his ship out of the port in his plot to sneak away and to defraud the bank of what was due, it was I who prevented him. So, if I had become a surety for Parmeno, he would not have waited until two years afterward to exact the sum guaranteed. but would have proceeded to do so at once.

Ah, but he was well provided with funds, so that it 25 was open to him to proceed against me later on, and at the moment he had no time, as he was about to put to sea! On the contrary. he was in such straits that he had lost all his effects, and had sold his ship. And, if there really had been anything to prevent his immediately bringing suit against me, why, when he was in town last year, did he not dare, I will not say to bring suit, but even to make a demand? It was surely the proper course for him, if judgement had been given against Parmeno in his favour, and if I was the latter's surety, to come to me himself accompanied by witnesses, and to demand the amount guaranteed, if not the year before last, at any rate in the year just past ; and then, if I proffered payment. to take his money, and, if I did not, to bring suit. For 26

## DEMOSTHENES

${ }^{26} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тoıov́ $\tau \omega \nu$ є’ $\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ v \tau \dot{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota-$




 $\mu о \iota \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$.

MAPTYPIA


 à入入à $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a ́ ~ \mu o i ́ ~ ф \eta \mu \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ v o ́ \mu o v ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \tau o v ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~$

 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega$.

## NOME













[^38] of a year.

## AGAINST APATURIUS, $26-28$

in claims of this sort everyone makes demand before he brings suit. Well, there isn't a person living who will testify that he was present either last year or the year before, when this man either instituted proceedings against me or made any mention to me whatever of the claims for which he is now suing me.

To prove that he was in town last year when the courts were open, please take the deposition.

## The Deposition

Now, please take the law which declares that ${ }_{27}$ guaranties shall be for a year only. ${ }^{a}$ I do not lay stress on the law to show that I should not pay what is due. if I actually became a surety, but I declare that the law is a witness that I did not become one, and so is the fellow himself ; for otherwise he would have brought suit against me within the time specified by the law.

## The Law

Let this, then, be another proof to you that 28 Apaturius is lying. If I had become surety to him for Parmeno, it is inconceivable that I should have made the plaintiff my enemy for Parmeno's sake, taking every care that the latter should not lose what he had lent the plaintiff through me, and yet have allowed myself to be left in the lurch by him as his surety to the plaintiff. For what ground had I to hope that leniency would be shown me by the man whom I had compelled to do justice to Parmeno? And when I had made him my enemy by exacting from him what was guaranteed to the bank, what treatment could I myself have expected to receive at his hands?

## DEMOSTHENES












 ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ каi $\tau о \hat{\tau} \tau о$ $\mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ vi $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$, ö $\tau \iota$
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## AGAINST APATURIUS, 29-30

It is worth while also for you to bear this in mind, 29 men of the jury, that, if I had been surety, I should never have denied it. For my argument was much stronger, if I admitted the guaranty and appealed to the agreement in accordance with which the arbitration was to be held. 'That the matter was referred to three arbitrators has been shown by testimony. When, then, there had been no decision by the three, why in the world should I have denied the guaranty? For, if judgement had not been given in accordance with the agreement, neither should I have been open to action for my guaranty. Therefore, men of the jury, if I had really become a surety, I should not have given up a defence which was at hand, and have proceeded to deny the fact.

Again, the following fact has been testified to you 30 by witnesses, that, after the articles of agreement had been made away with by these men, the plaintiff and Parmeno sought to have new articles drawn up, thus admitting that their former agreement was without force. Yet, when they sought to have other articles drawn in regard to the judgement that was to be given, since the existing ones had been lost, how was it possible that, if other articles were not drawn, there could be either arbitration or guaranty? It was the fact that they disagreed upon this very point that prevented their writing new articles, Apaturius demanding that there should be one arbitrator, and Parmeno that there should be three. But, since the original articles were made away with, in accordance with which he alleges that I became a surety, and other articles were not written, what right has he to bring suit against me, against whom he is able to produce no agreement?

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\sigma v \nu \delta \iota a \iota \tau \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \quad \dot{v} \mu i v$. ö $\tau \alpha \nu$ $\delta \grave{\eta} \delta$ av̇тòs ク่фаvıк凶̀s фаív $\tau \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}$ रрá $\mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha, \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \hat{a}$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu \phi \hat{\eta} \delta \in \delta \iota \eta \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ v \alpha \iota, \pi \hat{\omega} s \not \approx \nu$


 'A $\pi a \tau o v ́ p ı o s ~ o v i \tau o \sigma i, ~ \epsilon i \sigma \pi ~ \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} S ~ \epsilon i ้ к о \sigma \iota ~ \mu \nu a ̂ S, ~$
 Пар $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \quad \pi а \rho \grave{\omega} \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \lambda о \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \tau o ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ каi $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho \alpha s$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu \delta \iota \alpha \iota \tau \tau \hat{\omega} v \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \circ \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta}$ à $\pi \circ \phi \alpha i v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, каi









 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \pi \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ סıaíтךs

## AGAINST APATURIUS, 31-34

Further, it has been testified to you by witnesses 31 that Parmeno forbade Aristocles to give judgement against him without the concurrence of his co-arbitrators. When, therefore, it is shown that the same person has made away with the document in accordance with the terms of which the arbitration was to be made, and declares that he has made the decision without his co-arbitrators, and in defiance of the notice forbidding him to do so, how can you with any fairness credit the fellow and condemn me? Consider this. men of the jury : suppose it was not 32 against me, but against Parmeno, that this man Apaturius were now taking action, seeking to recover the twenty minae in reliance upon the judgement of Aristocles ; and that Parmeno was present and making his defence, calling witnesses to prove that he had turned the matter over to Aristocles, not as a single arbitrator, but as one of three; that he had 33 forbidden him to announce a decision against him without his co-arbitrators ; and that, after his wife and children had perished in the earthquake, and he in the face of a disaster so appalling had sailed for home, the man who had made away with the articles of agreement announced a judgement against him by default in his absence, is there a single one of you who, when Parmeno had brought out these facts in his defence, would have considered an award so unjustly made to be valid: More than this ; sup- 34 pose that not every point was under dispute; that there were in existence articles of agreement : that Aristocles was admittedly an arbitrator having sole authority ; that Parmeno had not forbidden him to make the award ; but that the calamity had befallen the man before the announcement of the award;

## DEMOSTHENES








 $\pi \epsilon \pi о$ í $\mu \mu \iota$ ，＇А $\pi \alpha \tau о$ v́pıos $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha} \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta}$ є’үкє́к $\downarrow \eta \kappa \epsilon$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ тov̀s vópovs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} \xi \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ ，є́к

 $\chi \in \iota \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \iota$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \iota \nu$＇A
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \Pi \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu \tau а ~ \sigma v \nu Ө \eta ́ к \alpha \iota s ~ \epsilon ’ \nu \epsilon \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \eta \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \gamma-$
 $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$ ，ö $\tau \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S$ aै $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota$ ，ö $\tau \alpha \nu$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta \prime \lambda o v s ~ \pi о \iota \omega ิ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \alpha ́ s, ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v ~ \epsilon ’ \nu \in к \alpha$ $\sigma \eta \mu \eta \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \tau i \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \iota \quad \pi \alpha \rho ’$ oîs äv $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v$ v$\sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ，

 то仑 a’ $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v o v . ~ o ̋ \tau \alpha \nu ~ \delta ' ~ a ̉ \phi a \nu i ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ \tau \iota s ~$ $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha \rho \iota \beta \epsilon ́ s, ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega ~ \epsilon ’ \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \hat{\alpha} v ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota, \pi \hat{\omega} s \text { äv } \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega s, ~}$ $37 \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ v́oוтo；$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \nu \eta$ गía（тò $\rho \mathfrak{Q} \sigma \tau о \nu$ тоîS ảdıкє̂̂v каi бvкофаขтєîv $\pi \rho о \eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s) ~ \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \iota s$
 $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ тウ̀ $\nu$ a่ $\pi o ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \iota \nu ~ \pi o \iota \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ ả入 $\eta \theta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho-$ тvрєîv；$\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \eta \eta \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu ; ~ \tau о ข ิ \tau o ~ \tau о \iota ́ \nu v \nu ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$



## AGAINST APATURICS. 34-8i

what adversary or what arbitrator would have been so cruel as not to postpone the case until the man returned to the country? Then, if Parmeno, coming to plead before you, should be judged in every point to speak with more justice than the plaintiff. how can you justly give judgement against me, who have absolutely no contract with this man :

That I, on my part, have made my special plea 35 with good right, and that Apaturius has lodged against me a claim that is baseless, and instituted a suit contrary to law, has, I think, been shown to you, men of the jury, by many proofs. The main point is this: Apaturius will not even attempt to say that he has any articles showing an agreement between us. When he falsely states that my name was written in as surety in the agreement made with Parmeno, demand of him the articles. Neet him on this ground : 36 that all men, when they make agreements with one another, seal the articles and deposit them with persons whom they can trust, for this very purpose, that, if a dispute arises between them, they may refer to the document and so settle the point at issue. But when a man, after doing away with the source of accurate knowledge, undertakes to deceive you with words, how can you with justice put any confidence in him: But perhaps some witness (for this 37 is the easiest course for those who have chosen to do wrong and to bring baseless charges) will testify for him against me. If, then, I take action against the witness, how will he prove that his testimony is true? By the articles of agreement? Well, then, let there be no delay about this ; let the one who has them bring forward the articles at once. But if he says they have been lost, how, then. shall I find means of

## DEMOSTHENES








 $\hat{\hat{\varphi}} \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ò $\rho \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \epsilon \prime \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тои́тоv є’какотє́ $\chi \nu \in \iota$;



## AGANST APATURILS, $37-38$

refuting the false testimony brought against me ? If the document had been deposited with me, it would have been open to Apaturius to charge that I had made away with it because of my guaranty ; but, 38 if it was deposited with Aristocles, why is it, if the agreement has been lost without the plaintiff's knowledge, that instead of bringing suit against the man who received the agreement but does not produce it, he makes charges against me, bringing forward as a witness against me the man who made away with the agreement, against whom he ought to feel resentment, if it were not that they are leagued together in their evil scheming:

I have made a just plea to the best of my ability. Do you now give a just decision in accordance with the laws.

## AGAINST PHORMIO

## INTRODUCTION

The circumstances giving rise to the suit in which this oration was delivered were as follows. Chrysippus, apparently an alien residing at Athens, had lent to Phormio, a merchant-trader, the sum of twenty minae on what is called a bottomry contract. The terms were that Phormio should convey a shipload of goods to Bosporus, in the Crimea, and, after disposing of his cargo there, should bring back a return cargo to Athens. From the profits of the venture he was to repay the loan with interest at 30 per cent. In the event of his failing to ship a return cargo he was to pay a fine of fifty minae ; though it would seem that he had the option of paying the twenty-six minae (the amount of the loan and interest) to the shipowner, Lampis, in which case he was to be freed from the obligation to ship a return cargo. The rate of interest in such cases was always high, because in case of the loss of the vessel the lender could recover nothing; yet on account of the large importations of grain such contracts were very common on the Athenian exchange. (Compare Orations XXXII., XXXV., and LVI.)

It proved, however, that Phormio on his arrival at Bosporus found it impossible to dispose of his cargo, and he ordered Lampis to return to Athens without him, stating that he would himself follow shortly.

## DEMOSTHENES

Lampis set out, but the vessel was wrecked ; and Phormio after his own return to Athens claimed that this relieved him of all responsibility. Subsequently, however, he shifted his ground and claimed that he had paid to Lampis, before the latter left Pontus, the full amount due under the contract.

Lampis plays a sorry part in the whole affair. On his return to Athens he had told his story of the shipwreck, and had denied receiving the money from Phormio ; but later on, when he appeared as a witness before the arbitrator, he had reversed his story and claimed that he must have been out of his mind when he made his previous statements, asserting now that Phormio had indeed paid him the monev in Pontus, and that it had been lost with the wrecked ship.

Chrysippus, then, and his partner brought suit against Phormio to recover the amount due, and Phormio countered by entering a special plea ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ $\left.\gamma \rho a \quad \phi \eta^{\prime}\right)$, asserting the action was not admissible, inasmuch as he (Phormio) had in no way violated the terms of the contract.

This matter was brought before the court. Phormio made his argument, and the present oration is a reply, delivered by the two plaintiffs, speaking in turn. Their contention is that the special plea in bar of action is inadmissible in this case, since the law expressly stated that all disputes regarding contracts made in connexion with shipments to or from Athens should be settled in the Athenian courts. They hold that Phormio's claim that the loss of the vessel freed him from his liability is invalid, since he had shipped no return cargo ; and that his subsequent 234

## AGAINST PII()RMIC)

claim that he had paid the money to Lampis in Bosporus is to be ruled out as wholly unworthy of credence.

This speech is discussed in Schaefer, iii. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 300 ff ., and in Blass, iii. pp. 576 ff .

## XXXIV

## ПРОУ ФОРМIתNA ПEPI $\triangle$ ANEIO〕

[907] Díкаıа $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in s$ ठıкабтаí, $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu o i ́ a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$,











 ov̉ $\sigma v \nu \alpha \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ र $\rho \eta \prime \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon ’ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \eta i ́, \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ v$
 $\mu$ évoıs v́mò тov́tov.


a Others, less probably, render, " as we take our turns in addressing you."

## XXXIV

## THE PLEA OF CHRYSIPPUS AND HIS PARTNER AGAINST PHORMIO IN THE MATTER OF A LOAN

The request that I shall make of you, men of the jury, is a fair one, that you should hear us with goodwill as we speak in our turn, ${ }^{a}$ knowing well that we are wholly without experience in the art of speaking ; and long as we have been frequenting your mart, and many as are the merchants to whom we have made loans, we have never until now appeared in any suit before you either as plaintiffs or as defendants. And 2 you may be sure, men of Athens, that we should not even now have brought this action against Phormio, if we believed that the money which we lent him had been lost on the ship that was wrecked ; we are not so shameless nor so unaccustomed to losses. But as many have kept taunting us, and especially those who were in Bosporus with Phormio, who knew that he had not lost the money together with the ship, we thought it a dreadful thing not to seek redress after being wronged as we had been by this man.

With reference to the special plea my argument is 3 a brief one. For even the defendants do not ab-

## DEMOSTHENES



 4 $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$. oi $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \nu o ́ \mu o \iota, ~ \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ov̂s $\dot{v} \mu \in i ̂ s ~ \delta \iota к а \sigma \tau \alpha i ~ к \alpha ́ \theta \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, ova $\chi$ oṽт $\omega$ 白 $\gamma \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu$, ar $\lambda \lambda$ '



 $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda о \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ v́ovaıv $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta v \delta \iota \kappa i \alpha \nu$










 ai $\eta \gamma \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ vuîv.




 фортia ar $\xi \iota a, \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu \alpha$ $\pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \tau \alpha \tau o \nu . ~$

[^39]
## AGAINST PHORMIO, 3-6

solutely deny that a contract was made on your exchange ${ }^{a}$; but they claim that there exists no longer any obligation on their part due to the contract, for they have done nothing that contravenes the terms of the agreement. The laws, however, in accordance 4 with which you sit as jurors, do not use this language. They do indeed allow the production of a special plea when there has been no contract at all at Athens or for the Athenian market ; but if a man admits that a contract was made, yet contends that he has done everything that the contract requires, they bid him to make a defence on the merits of the case. and not to make the plaintiff a defendant. ${ }^{b}$ Not but that I hope to prove from the facts of the case itself that this suit of mine is admissible. And I beg you, men of 5 Athens, to consider what is admitted by these men, and what is disputed ; for in this way you will best sift the question. They admit that they borrowed the money, and that they had contracts made to secure the loan ; but they claim that they have paid the money to Lampis, the servant of Dio, in Bosporus. We, on our part, shall prove, not only that Phormio did not pay it, but that it was actually impossible for him to pay it. But I must recount to you a few of the things that happened at the outset.

I, men of Athens, lent to this man, Phormio, twenty 6 minae for the double voyage to Pontus and back, on the security of goods of twice that value, ${ }^{c}$ and deposited a contract with Cittus the banker. But, although the contract required him to put on board the ship goods to the value of four thousand drachmae, he did the most outrageous thing possible. For while still
${ }^{c}$ Such seems the most probable meaning of the disputed phrase.

## DEMOSTHENES

$\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\jmath}{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \Pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \iota \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \iota \delta \alpha \nu \epsilon i \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \alpha \dot{̣} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о \sigma i \alpha a s ~ \delta \rho а \chi \mu a ́ s, ~ \pi а \rho a ̀ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ vavк入ท́роv
 ［909］фортía＇А $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́катòv $\delta \epsilon \in \kappa \alpha ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon,{ }^{1} \quad \epsilon i$ $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \delta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota ~ \pi o \iota \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \epsilon ’ \nu ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~$ бv$\gamma \gamma \rho a \phi a i ̂ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ ，ov кат $\gamma \gamma o ́ \rho a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ŋ̈ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \lambda i{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ каі $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa о \sigma i \omega \nu \nu \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，$\sigma \grave{v} \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$






Kaí $\mu$ о九 $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} v$ бv$\gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．

## 玉гГГРАфн

Ааßє̀ $\delta \grave{\eta}$ каі $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau о \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ à $\pi о-$ रрафウ̀v каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ иартvрías．

## апогРафн．Maptrpiai

$$
8
$$




 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \pi \circ \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$ ，каi $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \alpha \Omega, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau$ ’ $\epsilon \xi \xi-$ $\alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ र $\rho \eta \eta_{\mu \alpha \tau \alpha, ~}^{\epsilon} \xi \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к о \lambda о v \theta \epsilon \imath \nu$ ，

${ }^{1}$ ठ́єка $\left.\pi \epsilon ́ v \tau \epsilon\right] \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ \kappa о \nu \tau a$ Blass.
${ }^{2}$ ov̀tos] av̇тoîs Blass.
${ }^{a}$ If the loans were all made on the same basis（i．e．on the security of goods of a value twice as great as the loan） we should have to read one hundred and fifty instead of one hundred and fifteen，as the combined loans amounted to 240

## AGAINS' PHORMIO, 6-8

in the Peiraeus he, without our knowledge, secured an additional loan of four thousand five hundrede drachmae from Theodorus the Phoenician, and one of one thousand drachmae from Lampis the shipowner. And, whereas he was bound to purchase at 7 Athens a cargo worth one hundred and fifteen minae, ${ }^{a}$ if he was to perform for all his creditors what was written in their agreements, he purchased only a cargo worth five thousand five hundred drachmae. including the provisions; while his debts were seventy-five minae. This was the beginning of his fraud, men of Athens ; he neither furnished security, nor put the goods on board the ship, although the agreement absolutely bade him do so.
'Take the agreement, please.

## 'The Agreement

Now take also the entry made by the customsofficers and the depositions.

## The Evtry of the Customs. The Depositions

When he came, then, to Bosporus, having letters 8 from me, which I had given him to deliver to my slave, who was spending the winter there, and to a partner of mine, -in which letter I had stated the sum which I had lent and the security, and bade them, as soon as the goods should be unshipped, to inspect them and keep an eye on them,-the fellow did not deliver to them the letters which he had
seventy-five minae. It is possible, however, that Theodorus and Lampis, whose loans were for the outward voyage only, and who sailed with Phormio, accepted a lower rate than that demanded by Chrysippus and his partner, who remained in Athens.

## DEMOSTHENES



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \sum \kappa v i \theta \eta v ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v$ фортíшv $\hat{\dot{\omega} \nu} \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$


 $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma о \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta-$




 $\pi \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.

Kaí $\mu$ оь $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu . ~$

## MAPTYPIA






 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \beta \eta$. каi av̉ $\tau \grave{s} \mu \dot{\epsilon} v \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \theta \eta{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu \beta \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$





[^40]received from me, in order that they might know nothing of what he was doing : and. finding that business in Bosporus was bad owing to the war which had broken out between Paerisades ${ }^{a}$ and the Scythian, and that there was no market for the goods which he had brought, he was in great perplexity ; for his creditors, who had lent him money for the outward royage, were pressing him for payment. When, therefore, the shipowner bade him put on 9 board according to the agreement the goods bought with my money, this fellow. who now alleges that he has paid the debt in full, said that he could not ship the goods because his trash was unsalable: and he bade him put to sea, saying that he himself would sail in another ship as soon as he should dispose of the cargo.

Please take this deposition.

## The Deposition

After this, men of Athens, the defendant was left 10 in Bosporus, while Lampis put to sea, and was shipwrecked not far from the port ; for although his ship was already overloaded, as we learn, he took on an additional deck-load of one thousand hides, which proved the cause of the loss of the ressel. He himself made his escape in the boat with the rest of Dio's servants, but he lost more than thirty ${ }^{b}$ lives besides the cargo. There was much mourning in Bosporus when they learned of the loss of the ship, and everybody deemed this Phormio lucky in that that there were so many persons on board, unless this was a slave ship. Such an assumption, however, seems improbable, and does not accord well with the statement that there was much mourning in Bosporus over the disaster.

## DEMOSTHENES

Фориicuva $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ тovтovi, öтı ov̋тє $\sigma v v \alpha \nu \eta ́ \chi \theta \eta$ ov̋ ${ }^{\prime}$
 $\tau \omega \nu \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ каi $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v ~ o ́ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s . ~$

Kaí $\mu$ oı àvá $\gamma v \omega \theta \iota ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau a s ~ \tau \grave{s ~} \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a s$.

## MAPTTPPIAI








 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$.



 тòv $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \circ v$ тov̂тov ôv vvvi $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota, ~ \dot{a} \lambda \lambda ’$ à $\epsilon i$ $\dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ àvєкоוv$\dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \tau о$ тоîs $\nu \hat{v} \nu \pi \alpha \rho-$





 є́ $\alpha v \tau \hat{\varrho}, \kappa \alpha i$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \mu v \rho о \pi \omega \lambda i ́ o \iota s ~$
${ }^{1}$ тóтє omitted by Blass.

## AGAINST PHORMIO, 10-13

he had not sailed with the others, nor put any goods on board the ship. The same story was told by the others and by Phormio himself.

Read me, please, these depositions.

## The Depositions

Lampis himself, to whom Phormio declares he 11 had paid the gold (pray note this carefully), when I approached him as soon as he had returned to Athens after the shipwreck and asked him about these matters, said that Phormio did not put the goods on board the ship according to our agreement, nor had he himself received the gold from him at that time in Bosporus.

Read, please, the deposition of those who were present.

## The Deposition

Now, men of Athens, when this man Phormio 12 reached Athens, after completing his royage in safety on another ship, I approached him and demanded payment of the loan. And at the first, men of Athens, he did not in any instance make the statement which he now makes, but always agreed that he would pay; but after he had entered into an agreement with those who are now at his side and are advocates with him, he was then and there different and not at all the same man. When I saw 13 that he was trying to cheat me, I went to Lampis and told him that Phormio was not doing what was right nor paying back the loan: and at the same time I asked him if he knew where Phormio was. in order that I might summon him. He bade me follow him, and we found the fellow at the perfumery shops;

## DEMOSTHENES




 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ v$ " Х $\rho v ́ \sigma \iota \pi \pi \epsilon, \mu a i v \epsilon \imath$. $\tau i$ то仑̂тov $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa а \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$;




 $\pi \rho о \sigma к \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath},{ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon ; \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тоv́ $\tau \omega \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \tau \eta к о ́ \tau \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi \rho v \sigma i o v, " ~ к а i ~ व " \mu \alpha ~ o ́ \mu о \lambda о \gamma о \hat{\nu \tau \alpha ~}$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \rho \nu \nu$.

MAPTYPIA


 хрибiov $\Lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \iota \delta \iota$.

ЕГК $\triangle H M A$

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S \Lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \iota \delta o s$, ôs oủk ${ }^{\epsilon \prime \phi} \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ov̋ $\tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$
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## AGAINST PHORMIO, 13-16

and I, having witnesses with me, served the summons. Lampis, men of Athens, was close at hand when I did 1 t this, yet he never ventured to say that he had received the money from Phormio, nor did he say, as he naturally would have done supposing his story to be true, "Chrysippus, you are mad. Why do you summon this man? He has paid me the money." And not only did Lampis not say a word, but neither did Phormio himself venture to say anything, although Lampis was standing by his side, to whom he now declares he had paid the money. Yet, men 15 of Athens, it would surely have been natural for him to say, "Why do you summon me, fellow? I have paid the money to this man who is standing here " -and at the same time to call upon Lampis to corroborate his words. As it was, however, neither of them uttered a syllable on an occasion so opportune.

In proof that my words are true, take, please, the deposition of those who witnessed the summons.

## The Deposition

Now take the complaint in the action which I 16 commenced against him last year, for this is the strongest possible proof that up to that time Phormio had never stated that he had paid the money to Lampis.

## The Complaint

This action I commenced, men of Athens, basing my complaint upon nothing else than the report of Lampis, who denied that Phormio had put the goods on board the ship or that he himself had received the moner. Do not imagine that I am so senseless. so absolutely crazy, as to have drawn up a complaint

## DEMOSTHENES





 $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \dot{\kappa \alpha \sigma \iota ~ \Lambda a ́ \mu \pi i \delta \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi \rho v \sigma i o v . ~}$

Kaí poı $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\epsilon} \alpha v ̉ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \rho a \phi \eta_{\nu}$.
ПАРАГРАФН






 $\tau 0$ úт $\omega \nu$ Є' $\chi \eta \tau \epsilon$;



 [913] єîval $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a \iota \tau \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon i ̂ v$ ö $\tau \iota$ ßov́خo九тo, $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota-$
 19 є́ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \alpha ̉ v a \nu \tau i ́ a ~ o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o v ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \iota$. ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha$ द’ $\mu \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \tau \alpha$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon i ̂ v$ каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s$
 $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a ~ v ́ \pi o ́ к \in \iota \tau a \iota ~ \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \tau \dot{\alpha} \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota, \pi \rho o ̀ s$



[^41]
## AGAINST PHORMIO, 16-19

like this, if Lampis (whose words would prove my contention false) admitted that he had received the money.

More than this, men of Athens, note another fact. 17 These very men entered a special plea last year, but dared not assert in their plea that they had paid the money to Lampis.

Now, pray take the plea itself.

## Tile Special Plea

You hear, men of Athens. Nowhere in the plea is it stated that Phormio had paid the money to Lampis, though I had expressly written in the complaint, which you heard a moment ago, that Phormio had not put the goods on board the ship nor paid the money. For what other witness, then, should you wait, when you have so significant a piece of evidence from these men themselves?

When the suit was about to come into court, they 18 begged us to refer it to an arbitrator : and we referred it by agreement to Theodotus, a privileged alien. ${ }^{a}$ Lampis after that, thinking that it would now, before an arbitrator, be safe for him to testify just as he pleased, divided my money with this fellow Phormio, and then gave testimony the very opposite of what he had stated before. For it is not the same 19 thing, men of Athens, to give false testimony while face to face with you and to do so before an arbitrator. With you heavy indignation and severe penalty await those who bear false witness ; but before an arbitrator they give what testimony they please without risk and without shame. When I expostulated and the taxes paid by citizens without the addition of the special tax on aliens.

## DEMOSTHENES

$\kappa \alpha i \quad \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda \iota \alpha ́ \zeta ̧ o v \tau o s, \hat{\omega}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s{ }^{\prime} A \theta \eta v a i ̂ o \iota, ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$

 $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \xi$ à $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ave $\bar{\varphi}$

 $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ vav̂v, ova $\tau \omega s$ oo $\Lambda a ́ \mu \pi \iota s$ катà крáтоs $\grave{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma-$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o l ~ \epsilon ̀ v \tau o ́ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \ddot{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ aữov.


## MAPTYPIA

 Өєóסотоs то入入а́кıs, каi vоцібаs тòv $\Lambda а ́ \mu \pi \iota \nu ~ \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta}$










 є́ $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon$, каi то̀̀s $\tau \alpha$ є́ $\tau \epsilon \rho o ́ \pi \lambda о \alpha$ סаvєíбаvтаs $\mu o ́ \lambda \iota s$

[^42]expressed strong indignation, men of Athens, at the effrontery of Lampis, and produced before the arbi-20 trator the same testimony as I now produce before you-that, namely, of the persons who at the first went to him with me, when he stated that he had not received the money from Phormio, and that Phormio had not put the goods on board the shipLampis, being so plainly conricted of bearing false witness and of playing the rogue. admitted that he had made the statement to $m y$ partner here, ${ }^{a}$ but declared that he was out of his mind when he made it.

Now read me this deposition.

## The Deposition

## [The partner of Chrysippus now speaks.]

${ }^{b}$ Theodotus, men of Athens, after hearing us several 21 times, and being conrinced that Lampis was giving false testimony, did not dismiss the suit, but referred us to the court. He was loth to give an adverse decision because he was a friend of this man Phormio, as we afterwards learned, yet he hesitated to dismiss the suit lest he should himself commit perjury.

Now, in the light of the facts themselves, consider 22 in your own minds, men of the jury, what means the man was likely to have for discharging the debt. He sailed from this port without having put the goods on board the ship, and having no adequate security ; on the contrary, he had made additional loans on the credit of the money lent by me. In Bosporus he found no market for his wares, and had difficulty in getting rid of those who had lent money for the outward
with this paragraph. In \& 23 Chrysippus is referred to as outos, so the fact of a change of speakers is patent.

## DEMOSTHENES

 $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ \pi \lambda o v v, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i v \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \sigma \iota$ סıбхı入ías є́ $\xi а к о \sigma i \alpha s ~ \delta р а \chi \mu a ́ s . ~ Ф о р \mu i \omega \nu ~ \delta є ́ ~ ф \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~$








 $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha к о ́ \sigma \iota \alpha \iota ~ \delta р а \chi \mu а i ~ к \alpha i ~ \epsilon ́ \xi \eta ' к о \nu \tau \alpha \cdot ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \sigma v ́ \mu \pi \alpha \nu ~$











[^43]voyage. My partner here had lent him two thousand ${ }^{2} 3$ drachmae for the double voyage on terms that he should receive at Athens two thousand six hundred drachmae ; but Phormio declares that he paid Lampis in Bosporus one hundred and twenty Cyzicene staters ${ }^{a}$ (note this carefully) which he borrowed at the interest paid on loans secured by real property. Now interest on real security was sixteen and two-thirds, per cent, and the Cyzicene stater was worth there twenty-eight Attic drachmae. It is necessary that 24 you should understand how large a sum he claims to have paid. A hundred and twenty staters amount to three thousand three hundred and sixty drachmae, and the interest at the land rate of sixteen and two-thirds per cent on thirty-three minae and sixty drachmae is five hundred and sixty drachmae, and the total amount comes to so much. ${ }^{b}$ Now, 25 men of the jury, is there a man, or will the man ever be born, who, instead of twenty-six hundred drachmae would prefer to pay thirty minae and three hundred and sixty drachmae, and as interest five hundred and sixty drachmae by virtue of his loan, both which sums Phormio says he has paid Lampis, in all three thousand nine hundred and twenty drachmae? And when he might have paid the money in Athens, seeing that it had been lent for the double royage, has he paid it in Bosporus, and too much by thirteen minae ? And to the creditors who 26 lent money for the outward voyage you had difficulty not mentioned here, as it is given in the lines immediately following. Note that the speaker inexactly speaks as if the whole sum (including the interest) had been paid to Lampis (according to Phormio's claim). The argument is, however, valid, as the sum represents the cost to Phormio of paying off the loan.

## DEMOSTHENES

$\mu o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i ̂ a ~ a ̀ \pi o \delta \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha s, ~ o i ̂ ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha ́ v ~ \sigma о \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~$














 $\sigma \kappa \eta ं \pi \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho \iota ~ a u ̀ \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma v \nu \alpha \delta \iota \kappa о \hat{v} \tau \iota, \kappa \alpha i$ оư $\tau \epsilon$ тòv $\pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \delta \alpha$ тòv $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon S$ ढ̇v Booтóp $\omega$

 29 रрапто таракодоv $\theta \in i ̂ \nu$ боь оîs ä̀ $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \eta s ; ~ к а i ́ \tau о \iota, ~$




[^44]in paying the principal, though they sailed with you and kept pressing you for payment ; yet to this man who was not present, you not only returned both principal and interest, but also paid the penalties arising from the agreement ${ }^{a}$ though you were under no necessity of doing so ? And you had no fear of 27 those men, to whom their agreements gave the right of exacting payment in Bosporus, but declare that you had regard for the claims of my partner, though you wronged him at the outset by not putting on board the goods according to your agreement in setting out from Athens? And now that you have come back to the port where the loan was made, you do not hesitate to defraud the lender, though you claim to have done more than justice required in Bosporus, where you were not likely to be punished? All other 28 men who borrow for the outward and homeward voyage, when they are about to set sail from their several ports, take care to have many witnesses present, and call upon them to attest that the lender's risk begins from that moment ${ }^{b}$; but you rely upon the single testimony of the very man who is your partner in the fraud. You did not bring as a witness my slave who was in Bosporus or my partner, nor did you deliver to them the letters which we gave into your charge, and in which were written instructions that they should keep close watch on you in whatever you might do! Why, men of Athens, what is there 29 which a man of this stamp is not capable of doing, who, after receiving letters, did not deliver them in due and proper course? Or how can you fail to see be paid if Phormio neither shipped the goods nor paid Lampis, and the lesser sum if payment was made to Lampis without the shipment of a return cargo.
${ }^{b}$ That is, from the moment of sailing.

## DEMOSTHENES

 каì $\theta \epsilon \circ i ́, \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau о \sigma о \hat{\tau} \tau о$ र $\rho v \sigma i ́ o v ~ a ̉ \pi о \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \alpha$,
 $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ є́ $\mu \pi о р i ́ \varphi$, каі $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о v s$,



 [916] $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{a} \sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \alpha \iota a . ~ \sigma o i ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ a ̉ \pi o \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \iota ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \delta \alpha ́ v \epsilon \iota o \nu ~$



 $31 \pi \lambda \epsilon o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ '̀ $\theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau o . ~ \sigma v ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ a ̀ \nu \tau i ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~$ $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s ~ \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \alpha s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \dot{́} \pi о v s$





 $\nu \eta \sigma \iota ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \mu \epsilon ́, ~ к а і ~ \grave{̣}$ тò х $\rho v \sigma i o v ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \delta o v s ~$ o้ขтos $\theta \nu \eta \tau o v ̂ ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a \gamma o s ~ \tau о \sigma o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau o s ~$




[^45]
## AGAINST PHORMIO, 29-32

that his own acts prove his guilt ? Surely (O Earth and the Gods) when he was paying back so large a sum, and more than the amount of his loan, it was fitting that he should make it a much talked of event on the exchange and to invite all men to be present ; but especially the servant and partner of Chrysippus. For you all know, I fancy, that men borrow with few 30 witnesses, but, when they pay, they take care to have many witnesses present, that they may win a reputation for honesty in business dealings. But in your case, when you were paying back both the debt and the interest on both voyages, though you had used the money for the outward voyage only, and were adding thirteen minae besides, should you not have caused many witnesses to be present? Had you done so, there is not a single merchant who would have been held in higher esteem than you. But, as it was, 31 instead of securing many witnesses to these acts you did everything you could that none should know, as though you were committing some crime! Again, had you been making payment to me, your creditor, in person, there would have been no need of witnesses, for you would have taken back the agreement and so got rid of the obligation ; whereas in making payment, not to me, but to another on my behalf, and not at Athens but in Bosporus, when your agreement was deposited at Athens and with me, and when the man to whom you paid the money was mortal and about to undertake a voyage over such a stretch of sea, you called no one as a witness, whether slave or freeman. Yes, he says, for the agreement bade me pay the cash 32 to the shipowner. ${ }^{a}$ But it did not prevent you from

Phormio the right to pay the money to Lampis in Bosporus, if he did not ship a return cargo to Athens.

## DEMOSTHENES













 $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta}$, каi тоиิт’ àvnр $\rho \kappa \omega ̀ s ~ a u ̀ \tau o ́ s . ~ o ́ \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~$




 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \psi \iota \nu$, cis $\grave{\epsilon} v \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v o s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \eta \eta_{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \psi \epsilon v \delta \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s,{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \in$







[^46] 2.58

## AGAINST PHORMIO, 32-35

summoning witnesses, or from delivering the letters! The parties here present ${ }^{a}$ drew up two agreements with you in the matter of the loan, showing that they greatly distrusted you, but you assert that without a single witness you paid the gold to the shipowner, although you well know that an agreement against yourself was deposited at $A$ thens with my colleague here!

He says that the agreement bids him pay back the 33 money, "when the ship reaches port in safety." Yes, and it bids you also to put on board the ship the goods purchased, or else to pay a fine of five thousand drachmae. You ignore this clause in the agreement, but after having from the first violated its provisions by failing to put the goods on board, you raise a dispute about a single phrase in it, though you have by your own act rendered it null and void. For when you state that you did not put the goods on board in Bosporus, but paid the cash to the shipowner, why do you still go on talking about the ship? For you have had no share in the risk, since you put nothing on board. At first, men of Athens, he seized upon 34 this excuse, pretending that he had shipped the goods ; but when he saw that the falsity of this claim was likely to be exposed in many ways,-by the entry filed with the harbour-masters in Bosporus, and by the testimony of those who were staying in the port at the same time-then he changes his tack, enters into a conspiracy with Lampis, and declares that he has paid him the money in cash, finding a support for 35 his plea in the fact that the agreement so ordered, and thinking that we should not find it easy to get
than Chrysippus and his partner had contributed to the sum lent to Phormio.

## DEMOSTHENES

 \á $\mu \pi \iota s$, ő $\sigma \alpha ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \pi \epsilon ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \rho i v ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v ~$




 $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ ठє८vóтєра тои́тоv $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \iota ~ a v ่ \tau \hat{\psi} \pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\pi a ́ v \tau \alpha s ~ ن ́ \mu a ̂ s . ~ к \eta ́ \rho v \gamma \mu a ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ тоı $\sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \Pi a \iota \rho \iota-~$
 тò ' $A \tau \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ’ \mu \pi o ́ p \iota o v ~ \sigma \iota \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~ a ̀ \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma i ̂ \tau o v$

 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ тó入єผs ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota, \gamma \epsilon \mu i \sigma a s$ ठє vav̂v $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta \nu$




 оікผิv 'А $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ar $\lambda \lambda о \sigma \epsilon ́ ~ \pi о \iota ~ \sigma \iota \tau \eta \gamma \eta$ ' $\sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} \epsilon i S ~ \tau o ̀$





[^47]
## AGAINST PHORMIO, 35-37

at the truth regarding all that they did by themselves alone. And Lampis declares that all that he said to me ${ }^{a}$ before he was corrupted by this Phormio was spoken when he was out of his head; but as soon as he got a share of my money, he declares that he is in his right mind and remembers everything perfectly !

Now, men of the jury, if it were toward myself only 36 that Lampis were showing contempt, it would be nothing to cause surprise ; but in reality he has acted far more outrageously than Phormio toward you all. For when Paerisades had published a decree in Bosporus that whoever wished to transport grain to Athens for the Athenian market might export it free of duty, Lampis, who was at the time in Bosporus, obtained permission to export grain and the exemption from duty in the name of the state: and having loaded a large vessel with grain, carried it to Acanthus ${ }^{b}$ and there disposed of it,--he, who had made himself the partner of Phormio here with our money. And he did this. men of the jury, 37 though he was resident at Athens, and had a wife and children here, and although the laws have prescribed the severest penalties if anyone resident at Athens should transport grain to any other place than to the Athenian market; besides. he did this at a critical time, when those of you who dwelt in the city were having their barley-meal measured out to them in the Odeum, ${ }^{c}$ and those who dwelt in Peiraeus were receiving their loaves at an obol each in the dockyard and in the long-porch, ${ }^{d}$ having

Compare Oration LIX. $\S 52$. It is easy, therefore, to assume that distribution of grain may have been made there.
${ }^{d}$ The long-porch was a warehouse for grain in the Peiraeus.

## DEMOSTHENES

$\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$ цакра̂s $\sigma \tau о \hat{\alpha} s \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ä $\lambda \phi \iota \tau \alpha, \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i \epsilon \kappa \tau \tau \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \circ$ и́ $\mu \in \mathcal{V}$ о каі катататои́ $\mu \in \nu \circ \iota$.

Kai o̊ ơ $\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega, \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \in \mu о \iota \tau \eta \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$ каi тòv vópov.

MAPTYPIA. NOMOE













 $\epsilon \delta \dot{\omega} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ є่ $\gamma \omega \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ ó à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ ós.

Kaí $\mu о \iota \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \omega \theta \iota ~ \tau o v i \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} s \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i a s$.

## MAPTMPIAI

40 ' $A \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i \prime \gamma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каi $\tau$ оv́тoıs $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$,




[^48]262

## AGAINST PHORMIO, 37-10

their meal measured out to them a gallon ${ }^{a}$ at a time, and being nearly trampled to death.

In proof that my words are true, take, please, the deposition and the law.

## The Deposition. The Law

Phormio, then, with the help of this fellow as his 34 accomplice and witness, thinks proper to rob $u s$ of our money-us, who have continually brought grain to your market, and who in three crises which have come upon the state, during which you put to the test those who were of service to the people, have not once been found wanting. Nay, when Alexander entered Thebes, ${ }^{b}$ we made you a free gift of a talent in cash ; and when grain earlier advanced in price 39 and reached sixteen drachmae, we imported more than ten thousand medimni of wheat, and measured it out to you at the normal price of five drachmae a medimnus, and you all know that you had this measured out to you in the Pompeium. ${ }^{c}$ And last year my brother and I made a free gift of a talent to buy grain for the people.

Read, please, the depositions which establish these facts.

## The Depositions

Surely, if any inference may be based upon these 40 facts, it is not likely that we should freely give such large sums in order to win a good name among you, and then should bring a false accusation against Phormio in order to throw away even the reputation for honourable dealing which we had won. It is

[^49]
## DEMOSTHENES




















 vaらє.

ムaßè $\delta \eta$ ' $\mu$ on тov̀s vópovs.

## NOMOI




[^50]right, therefore, that you should come to our aid, men of the jury. I have shown you that Phormio in the first place did not put on board the vessel goods to the value of all the loans which he had secured at Athens, and that with the proceeds from the goods sold in Bosporus he with difficulty satisfied his creditors who had lent money for the outward voyage ; further, that he was not well off, and not so 41 foolish as to pay thirty-nine minae instead of twentysix hundred drachmae ; and besides all this, that when, as he says, he paid the money to Lampis he summoned neither my slave nor my partner, who was at the time in Bosporus, as a witness. Again, Lampis himself is shown to have testified to me, before he was corrupted by Phormio, that he had not received the money. Yet, ${ }^{a}$ if Phormio were thus to 42 prove his case point by point, I do not see what better defence he could have made. But that the action is admissible the law itself solemnly declares, when it maintains that mercantile actions are those for contracts made at Athens or for the Athenian market, and not only those made at Athens, but all that are made for the purpose of a voyage to Athens.

Please take the laws.

## The Laws

That the contract has been entered into between 43 Phormio and myself at Athens even our opponents themselves do not deny, but they enter a special plea
could not be brought into court. This, however, is inadmissible, and his course in entering it proves that he had no defence.

## DEMOSTHENES
















 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \grave{\eta} \nu$ є' $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i$ ó $\Theta \epsilon o ́ \delta o \tau o s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \gamma \nu \omega ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$


 $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} о \iota \mu \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v \tau \ddot{\alpha} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha, \epsilon i$ oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ vó $\mu$ о九 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu o \theta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha s, \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} s \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \gamma \nu o i ́ \eta \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 46 Tô̂ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ô̂v $\delta \alpha \nu \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \chi \rho \eta$ भ$\mu a \tau \alpha$ aí $\tau \epsilon$
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## AGAINST' PHORMIO, 1:3 16

alleging that the action is not admissible. But to what tribunal shall we come, men of the jury, if not to you, since it was here in Athens that we made our contract? It would be hard indeed that, if a wrong had been done me in connexion with a royage to Athens, I should be able to get satisfaction from Phormio in your court, but, when the contract has been made in your market, these men should say that they will not be tried before you. When we referred the case to Theodotus for 44 arbitration, they admitted that my action against them was admissible; but now they say what is the direct opposite of what they have themselves before admitted ; as if, forsooth, it were proper that they should be tried before Theodotus, the privileged alien, without a special plea, but, when we enter the Athenian court, the action should no longer be admissible. I for my part am trying to conceive what 45 in the world he would have written in the special plea, if Theodotus had dismissed the suit, when now, after Theodotus has decreed that we should go into court, he declares that the action is not one that can be brought before you, to whom Theodotus bade us go. ${ }^{a}$ Surely I should suffer most cruel treatment if, when the laws declare that suits growing out of contracts made at Athens shall be brought before the Thesmothetae, you, who have sworn to decide according to the laws, should dismiss the suit.

That we lent the money is attested by the agrec- 46 ment, and by Phormio himself; that it has been repaid is attested by no one except Lampis, who

[^51]
## DEMOSTHENES







 $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho о \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$ à $\pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi \rho v \sigma i ́ o \nu . ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ $\dot{\eta} \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a ~ \dot{\eta} \tau o \hat{v} \Lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi i \delta o s ~ к а \tau \epsilon \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau о ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$,


 $47 \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu$ ஸ̂v $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota$ ن́ $\mu \hat{a} s$ 廿 $\eta \phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$. $\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta^{\prime}$


 $\pi о \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon$, тò $\delta$ ' á $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ кúpıov $\psi \eta$ í- $^{\prime}$



 $48 \mu a \tau о s ;$ каi ö $\sigma \alpha \mu \grave{\epsilon} v \epsilon \hat{i} \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ảd $\eta \theta \epsilon i a s, \mu \grave{\eta}$


a When the arbitrator determined that the case before him should be tried in court, he sealed in two jars, or boxes ( $\epsilon$ モivoı), 268

## AGAINST PHORMIO, $46-48$

is an accomplice in the crime. Phormio claims to prove the payment on the testimony of Lampis alone, but I adduce Lampis and those who heard him declare that he had not received the money. Further, Phormio is in a position to bring my witnesses to trial, if he maintains that their testimony is false, but I have no means of dealing with his witnesses, who say they know that Lampis testified that he had received the money. If Lampis's own deposition had been put into court, ${ }^{a}$ these men would perhaps have said that I ought to prosecute him for giving false testimony ; but, as it is, I have not this deposition, and Phormio thinks he should get off unscathed, since he has left no valid security for the verdict which he urges you to pronounce. ${ }^{b}$ Would it 47 not indeed be absurd if, when Phormio admits that he borrowed, but alleges that he has made payment, you should make of none effect that which he himself admits and by your vote give effect to what is under dispute? And if, when Lampis, on whose testimony my opponent relies, after at first denying that he had received the money, now testifies to the contrary, you should determine that he has received it, although there are no witnesses to support the fact: And if you refuse to admit as proofs all that he fs truthfully stated, and should count more worthy of belief the lies which he told after he had been cor-
all documents bearing upon the case. One of these was assigned to either party in the suit, and only such depositions, citations of laws, or challenges, as were contained in them, might be introduced at the trial.
${ }^{6}$ Phormio relies upon the testimony of Lampis. Lnder the circumstances it is impossible for me to sue Lampis for perjury, in which case Phormio might be prosecuted for collusion. He therefore hopes to get off scot-free.

## DE．MOSTHENES
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## AGAINST PHORMIO, 48-5:

rupted ? Verily, men of Athens, it is far more just to draw conclusions from statements made in the first instance than from those subsequently fabricated ; for the former he made truthfully, and not with ulterior purpose, while the later ones are lies designed to further his interests.

Remember, men of Athens, that even Lampis him- 49 self never denied saying that he had not received the money; he admitted that he so stated, but declared he was not in his right mind at the time. But would it not be absurd for you to accept as worthy of credit that part of his testimony which favours the defrauding party, and to discredit that which favours the party defrauded: Nay, men of 50 the jury, I beg you, do not do this. You are the same persons who punished with death, when he had been impeached before the assembly, a man who obtained large additional loans on your exchange, and did not deliver to his creditors their securities, though he was a citizen and the son of a man who had been general. For you hold that such people 51 not only wrong those who do business with them, but also do a public injury to your mart ; and you are right in holding this view. For the resources required by those who engage in trade come not from those who borrow, but from those who lend; and neither ship nor shipowner nor passenger can put to sea, if you take away the part contributed by those who lend. In the laws there are many excellent 52 provisions for their protection. It is your duty to show that you aid the laws in righting abuses, and that you make no concession to wrongdoers, in order that you may derive the greatest possible benefit from your market. You will do so, if you

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\theta \eta \rho i \omega v$.



## AGAINST PHORMIO, 52

protect those who risk their money, and do not allow them to be defrauded by monsters such as these.

I have said all that it was in my power to say. But I am ready to call another of my friends, if you so bid.

## AGAINST LACRITUS

## INTRODUCTION

The present speech is, like the preceding one, an answer to one delivered by the opposing party in support of the special plea in bar of action which he had entered ; and, also as in the preceding case, it deals more largely with the facts of the suit itself than with the grounds for holding the special plea to be inadmissible.

Androcles, an Athenian, and Nausicrates, of Carystus in Euboea, had lent thirty minae to Artemo and Apollodorus, both of Phaselis in Bithynia. The terms on which the loan was made were that the borrowers should sail from Athens to Mendê or Scionê (towns in the peninsula of Pallenê in Chalcidicê) and there purchase and put on board the ship a cargo of three thousand jars of Mendaean wine, which they were to transport to the Pontus. Then, after disposing of the wine and shipping a return cargo, they were to sail back to Athens, and from the proceeds of the double royage were to discharge the debt with interest. (The agreement is given in full in $\S \S 11-15$ of the oration, although the genuineness of all such inserted documents is open to question.)

The speaker, Androcles, charges that the borrowers violated the terms of the agreement in that they shipped less than the prescribed quantity of wine ; that they secured additional loans upon the security
already pledged to himself and his partner ; and that they failed to ship an adequate return caron. Finally, when payment was demanded of them, they falsely asserted that the vessel had been wrecked.

Suit is therefore brought against Lacritus, the brother of Androcles, the latter himself having died in the interim. It is claimed that he being the inheritor of his brother's estate, should also meet that brother's obligations : and it is further claimed that Lacritus had, at least verbally, guaranteed the performance of the agreement.

Lacritus enters a special plea on the ground that no contract had been made between Androcles and himself; and further declares that, having relinquished his claim to his brother's property, he eannot be held liable for his debts.

Lacritus was a pupil of Isocrates, and $\S 41$ of the speech shows that the speaker sought to make capital out of the general unpopularity of the sophists as a class; for in the popular mind a teacher of rhetoric would be regarded as belonging to that class.

Consult further Schaefer, iii. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 286 ff., and Blass. iii. pp. 562 ff .

## XXXV

## ПРОЕ THN ААКРITO〕 ПАРАГРАФНN
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[^52]
## XXXV

## ANDROCLES AGAINST LACRITLS IN゙ REPLY TO THE LATTER`SPECLAL PLEA

The Phaselites, ${ }^{a}$ men of the jury, are up to no new tricks; they are merely doing what it is their wont to do. For they are the cleverest people at borrowing money on your exchange ; but, as soon as they get it and have drawn up a maritime contract, they straightway forget the contract and the laws, and that they are under obligation to pay back what they hare received. They consider that. if they pay 2 their debts, it is like having lost something of their own private property, and, instead of paring, they invent sophisms, and special pleas, and pretexts; and are the most unprincipled and dishonest of men. Here is a proof of this. Out of the hosts of people, both Greeks and barbarians, who frequent your exchange, the Phaselites alone have more lawsuits, whenever the courts sit, ${ }^{b}$ than all others put together. That is the sort of people they are. But I, men of the 3 jury, lent money to Artemo, this fellow's brother, in accordance with the commercial laws for a vorage to Pontus and back. As he died before having repaid me the money I have brought this suit against Lacritus here in accordance with the same laws September to April, the period when the sea was closed to navigation. See Oration XXXIII. \& 33.

## DEMOSTHENES
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## AGAINS'T LACRITUS, $1-8$

under which I made the contract, since he is the 4 brother of Artemo and has possession of all his property, both all that he left here and all that he had at Phaselis, and is the heir to his whole estate ; and since he can show no law which gives him the right to hold his brother's property and to have administered it as he pleased. and yet to refuse to pay back money which belongs to others and to say now that he is not the heir, but has nothing to do with the dead man's affairs. Such is the rascality of is this fellow, Lacritus; but I beg of you, men of the jury, to give me a favourable hearing in regard to this matter and, if I prove to you that he has wronged us, who lent the money, and you as well, to render us the aid that is our due.

I myself, men of the jury, had not the slightest 6 acquaintance with these men ; but Thrasymedes the son of Diophantus, that well-known Sphettian, ${ }^{,}$and Melanopus, his brother, are friends of mine, and we are on the most intimate terms possible. These men came up to me with Lacritus here, whose acquaintance they had made in some way or otherhow, I do not know,-and asked me to lend money 7 to Artemo, this man's brother, and to Apollodorus for a voyage to Pontus, that they might be engaged in a trading enterprise. Thrasymedes like myself knew nothing of the rascality of these people, but supposed them to be honourable men and such as they pretended and declared themselves to be : and that they would do all that they promised and that this fellow Laeritus undertook that they should do. He was utterly deceived, and had no idea what 8 monsters these men were with whom he was associat-
${ }^{a}$ Sphettus was a deme of the tribe Acamantis.

## DEMOSTHENES




 9 бтíov трıáкоvта $\mu \nu$ âs áp $\gamma v \rho i o v . ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda o \mu a \iota ~ o u ̂ v, ~ \grave{\omega}$
 $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \grave{\eta} \nu$ є' $\delta a \nu \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \eta \eta_{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$, каí $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \alpha \nu \epsilon i \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \cdot$
 $\rho u ́ \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ оѝтoı $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\delta^{\alpha} \nu \in \iota \circ \nu$.


## умгграфн


















[^53]282
ing. I allowed myself to be persuaded by Thrasymedes and his brother, and upon the assurance given me by this Laeritus, that his brothers would do everything that was right, I, with the help of a Carystian, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ who was a friend of mine. lent thirty minae in silver. I wish you first, men of the jury, to hear the agree- 9 ment in accordance with which we lent the money, and the witnesses who were present when the loan was made: after that I shall take up the remaining features of the ease, and show you how like burglars they acted in the matter of this loan.

Read the agreement, and then the depositions.

## The Airfemest

Androcles of Sphettus and Nausicrates of Carystus lent to 10 Artemo and Apollodorus, both of Phaselis, three thousand drachmae in silver for a voyage from Athens to Mendê or Scionê, ${ }^{b}$ and thence to Bosporus-or if they so choose, for a voyage to the left parts of the Pontus as far as the Borysthenes, ${ }^{c}$ and thence back to Athens, on interest at the rate of two hundred and twenty-five drachmae on the thousand: but, if they should sail out from Pontus to Hieron ${ }^{d}$ after the rising of Arcturus, ${ }^{e}$ at three hundred on the thousand, on the security of three thousand jars of wine of Mendê, which shall be convered from Mendê or Scionê in the twenty-oared ship of which Hyblesius is owner. They give these goods as 11 security, owing no money upon them to any other person, nor will they make any additional loan upon this security ; and they agree to bring back to Athens in the same ressel all the goods put on board in Pontus as a return cargo : and, if the goods are brought safe to Athens, the borrowers are to pay to the lenders the money due in accordance with the
${ }^{c}$ The modern Dnieper.
${ }^{d}$ This was a place. called Hieron from a temple of Zeus, at the entrance to the Thracian Bosporus on the Asiatic side.
${ }^{e}$ About the middle of september. This was considered a perilous season for navigation : hence the higher rate of interest.

## DEMOSTHENES

























Ма́ $\rho \tau v \rho \epsilon s$ Фориі́шv Пєєраıєі's, K $\eta \phi \iota \sigma o ́ \delta o \tau o s ~ B o \iota \omega ́ т \iota o s, ~$ 'Н入ıóסшроя Пı $\theta$ єís.
$14 \Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i$ та̀s $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a s$.

## MAPTYPIA


 ${ }^{1}$ ô] ov̂ Blass.

[^54] 28.

## AGAINST LACRITCS. 11-14

agreement within twenty days after they shall have arrived at Athens, without deduction save for such jettison as the passengers shall have made by common agreement, or for money paid to enemies : but without deduction for any other loss. And they shall deliver to the lenders in their entirety the goods offered as security to be under their absolute control until such time as they shall themselves have paid the money due in accordance with the agreement. And, if they shall not pay it within the time agreed upon, it shall be lawful for the lenders to pledge the goods or even to sell them for such price as they can get: and if the proceeds fall short of the sum which the lenders should receive in accordance with the agreement, it shall be lawful for the lenders, whether severally or jointly, to collect the amount by proceeding against Artemo and Apollodorus, and against all their property whether on land or sea, wheresoever it may be, precisely as if judgement had been rendered against them and they had defaulted in payment. And, if they do not 13 enter Pontus, but remain in the Hellespont ten days after the rising of the dog-star. ${ }^{a}$ and disembark their goods at a port where the Athenians have no right of reprisals, ${ }^{b}$ and from thence complete their royage to Athens, let them pay the interest written into the contract the year before. ${ }^{c}$ And if the vessel in which the goods shall be convered suffers aught beyond repair, but the security is saved, let whatever is saved be the joint property of the lenders. And in regard to these matters nothing shall have greater effect than the agreement. ${ }^{d}$

Witnesses: Phormio of Peiraeus, Cephisodotus of Boeotia, Heliodorus of Pitthus.e

Now read the depositions.

## The Deposition

Archenomides, son of Archedamas, of Anagyrus, deposes that Androcles of Sphettus. Nausicrates of Carystus, and

[^55]- Pitthus (Pithus) was a deme of the tribe Cecropis.


## DEMOSTHENES
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## MAPTYPIA
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## AgAINST LACRITUS. $1 \neq 17$

Artemo and Apollodorus, both of Phaselis, deposited articles of agreement with him, and that the agreement is still in custody in his hands.

Read also the deposition of those who were present.

> The Diposition

Theodotus, privileged alien, Charinus, son of Epichares, of Leuconium, Phormio, son of Ctephisophon, of Peiraeus, Cephisodotus of Boeotia and Meliodorus of Pitthus depose that they were present when Androcles lent to Artemo three thousand drachmae in silver, and that they know they deposited the agreement with Archenomides of Anagyrus.

In accordance with this agreement, men of the 15 jury, I lent the money to Artemo, this man's brother, at the request of Lacritus, and upon his engaging that I should receive everything that was my due in accordance with the agreement under which the loan was made. Lacritus himself drew up the agreement and joined in sealing it after it was written : for his brothers were still youngish. in fact mere boys, but he was Lacritus, of Phaselis, a personage of note, a pupil of Isocrates. ${ }^{a}$ It was he who managed 16 the whole matter, and he bade me look to him : for he declared that he would himself do everything that was right for me, and that he would stay in Athens, while his brother Artemo would sail in charge of the goods. At that time, men of the jury, when he wanted to get the money from us, he declared that he was both the brother and the partner of Artemo, and spoke with wondrous persuasiveness: but, as 17 soon as they got possession of the money, they divided it, and used it as they pleased ; while as for the maritime agreement on the terms of which they

[^56]
## DEMOSTHENES
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## MAPTYPIA
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## AGAINST LACRITUS, $1 \underset{1-20}{ }$

secured the money, in no matter great or small did they carry out its provisions, as the facts themselves make clear. And in all these things this fellow Lacritus was the prime mover. I shall take up the clauses of the contract one by one, and shall show that in no single instance have these men done what was right.

In the first place it stands written that they 18 borrowed from us thirty minae on three thousand jars ${ }^{a}$ of wine, giving out that they possessed security for thirty minae more, so that the price of the wine would amount to a talent of money, including the expenses to be incurred in the stowage of the wine: and that these three thousand jars were to be conreyed to Pontus in the twenty-oared ship, of which Hyblesius was owner. These provisions, men of the 19 jury, stand written in the agreement which you have heard. But instead of three thousand jars, these men did not put even five hundred on board the boat ; and instead of having bought the quantity of wine which they should have, they used the money in whatever way they pleased; as for those three thousand jars which the agreement called for, they never meant nor intended to put them on board.

To prove that these statements of mine are true, take the deposition of those who sailed with them in the same ship.

## The Deposition

Erasicles deposes that he was pilot of the ship of which 20 Hyblesius was owner, and that to his knowledge Apollodorus ${ }^{b}$ was conveying in the ship four hundred and fifty
of this spurious deposition. In $\S 16$ we are told that it was Artemo who was to sail with the cargo.

## DEMOSTHENES
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 бофітрата \акрі́тоv тоvтоví.

[^58]jars of Mendacan wine, and no more; and that Apollodorus conseyed no other eargo in the ship to Pontus.

Hippias, son of Ithenippur, of llalicarnassus, deposes that he too sailed in the ship of Hyblecins as supercargo of the vessel and that to his knowledge Apollodorns of thaselis was convering in the ship from Nende to Pontur four hundred and fifty jars of Mendatan wine, and no other cargo.

In addition to these, written affidavits: ${ }^{a}$ were submitted by Archiades, son of Mnesonidas, of Acharnae, Sostratus, son of Philip, of Histiaea, Eumarichus, son of Euboens, of Histiaea, Philtiades, son of ('tesias, of Xypete, and I)ionysius, son of Democratides, of Chollcidae. ${ }^{b}$

In regard, then, to the quantity of wine which it 21 was their duty to put on board the ship that was what they contrived to do : and from this point they began from its very first clause to violate the agreement and to fail to perform what it required. The next clause that stands written in the agreement states that they pledge these goods free from all encumbrances; that they owe nothing to anyone upon them ; and that they will not secure further loans upon them from anyone. This is expressly stated. 22 men of the jury. But what have these men done? Disregarding the terms of the agreement they borrow money from a certain youth, whom they deceived by, stating that they owed nothing to anybody. Thus they cheated us, and without our knowledge borrowed money upon our security, and they also deceived that young man who lent them the money by alleging that the goods upon which they borrowed from him were unencumbered. Such are the rascalities of these men, and they are all clever devisings of this man Lacritus.

[^59]
## DEMOSTHENES
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## MAPTYPIA
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${ }^{a}$ Certified, that is, as to weight and fineness. Tampering with gold and silver coins seems not to be a merely modern device.

## AGANS'T LACRITUS, 22-25

To prove that I am speaking the truth and that they did borrow additional sums contrary to the agreement, the clerk shall read you the deposition of the man himself who made the additional loan. Read the deposition.

## The Deposition

Aratus of Halicarnassus deposes that he lent to Apollodorus eleven minae in silver on the merchandise which he was conveying in the ship of Hyblesius to Pontus, and on the goods purchased there as a return cargo : and that he was unaware that the defendant had borrowed money from Androcles; for otherwise he would not himself have lent the money to Apollodorus.

Such are the rascalities of these men. But after $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ this it stands written in the agreement, men of the jury, that when they should have sold in Pontus the goods which they brought thither, they should purchase with the proceeds other goods as a return cargo, and should bring this return cargo back to Athens; and that when they should have reached Athens, they should within twenty days repay us in certified $\operatorname{coin}^{a}$; and that pending the payment we should have control of the goods, and that they should deliver them to us in their entirety until we should get back our money. These terms stand 25 written thus precisely in the agreement. But these people, men of the jury, have here shown most strikingly their own insolence and shamelessness, and that they paid not the slightest heed to the terms written in the agreement; but regarded the agreement as mere trash and nonsense. For they neither purchased any other goods in Pontus nor took on board any return cargo to be conveyed to Athens; and we who had lent the money, when

## DEMOSTHENES
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[^60]
## AGAINST LACRITLS, 25-28

these men themselves returned from Pontus, had nothing which we could lay hold of or keep in possession until we should recover our money; for these men brought nothing whatsoever into your harbour. Nay, we have suffered the most unheard-of treatment, men of the jury. In our own city, without 26 ourselves having committed any wrong, or having had judgement rendered against us in their favour, we have been robbed of our own possessions by these men who are Phaselites, just as if rights of reprisal had been given to Phaselites against Athenians." For when they refuse to pay back what they received, what other name can one give to such people, than that they take by force the goods of others: For my own part, I have never heard of a more abominable act than that which these men have committed in relation to us, and that, too, while admitting that they received the money from us. For whereas all 27 clauses in contracts which are open to dispute require a judicial decision, men of the jury, those on the contrary which are admitted by both the contracting parties, and concerning which there exist maritime agreements, are held by all men to be final ; and the parties are bound to abide by what is written. That these men, however, have fulfilled not a single one of the provisions of the agreement. but that from the very first they meditated frand and purposed dishonest action has been thus clearly proven against them by the depositions of witnesses and by themselves.

You must now hear the most outrageous thing 28 which this fellow Lacritus has done; for it was he who managed the whole affair. When they arrived here they did not put into your port, but came to

## DEMOSTHENES
















 [933] $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \epsilon v \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau 0 v i \tau \omega \nu$,




 $31 \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu$. каi $\dot{\eta} \mu \in i ̂ s, \hat{\omega} \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \nu \delta \rho \in S$ Sıкабтаí,





${ }^{\text {a }}$ Some small inlet, which cannot be identified with certainty, used by thieves and smugglers. See Judeich, Topographie von Athen, p. 450.
${ }^{\circ}$ A place in the market where samples of goods could be displayed. Compare Oration L. § 24.
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anchor in 'Thieves' Harbour, ${ }^{a}$ which is outside of the signs marking your port : and to anchor in 'Thieves' Harbour is the same as if one were to anchor in Aegina or Megara; for anyone can sail forth from that harbour to whatever point he wishes and at any moment he pleases. Well, their vessel lay at anchor 29 there for more than twentr-five days, and these men walked about in your sample-market. ${ }^{b}$ We on our part talked to them and bade them see to it that we received our money back as soon as possible: and they agreed, and said they were trying to arrange that very thing. While we thus approached them. we at the same time kept an eye on them to see whether they disembarked anything from the ship. or paid any harbour-dues. ${ }^{c}$ But when they had been in 30 town a good many days, and we found that nothing had been disembarked from the ship, nor had any harbour-dues been paid in their name. we began from then on to press them more and more with our demands. And when we made ourselves burdensome to them, this fellow Lacritus, the brother of Artemo, answered that they would be unable to pay us, for all their goods were lost; and Lacritus declared he could make out a good case in the matter. ${ }^{d}$ We, men 31 of the jury, were indignant at these words, but we gained nothing by our indignation, for these men cared not a fig for it. Nevertheless we asked them in what way the goods had been lost. This man, Lacritus, said that the ship had been wrecked while
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 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \tau \tau \iota \nu \grave{\imath} \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa о \mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau о \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \lambda о i \not \omega$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i a \nu \quad \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$. $\tau i$ ov̂v $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s$

Kaí $\mu$ оь $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu, \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o v ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 vavaүias, 光 $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'Ерабıк入є́ovs каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{I} \pi \pi i o v$,
 $\pi$ лоíe.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Panticapaeum is the modern Kertsch, and Theodosia the modern Kaffa in the Crimea.
${ }^{6}$ The speaker's contention is that even if the ship was wrecked, that fact does not release Lacritus from his obliga298

## AGAINST LACRITLE. 31-33

sailing along the coast from Panticapaeum to Theodosia, ${ }^{a}$ and that in the wreck of the vessel the groods of his brothers which were at the time on board were lost : there was on board salt fish, Coan wine, and sundry other things ; this, they said, had been put on board as a return cargo, and they had intended to bring it to Athens, had it not been lost in the ship. That is what he said; but it is worth your while to 32 learn the abominable wickedness of these men, and their mendacity. Concerning the vessel which was wrecked they had no contract, ${ }^{b}$ but it was another man who had lent from Athens upon the freight to Pontus, and on the vessel itself. (Antipater was the lender's name ; he was a Citian ${ }^{c}$ by birth.) The Coan wine (eighty jars of wine that had turned sour) and the salt fish were being transported in the ressel for a certain farmer from Panticapaeum to Theodosia for the use of the labourers on his farm. Why, then, do they keep alleging these exeuses? It is in no wise fitting.

Now please take the depositions ; first that of 33 Apollonides, showing that it was Antipater who lent money upon the ressel, and that these men were in no wise affected by the shipwreck ; and then that of Erasicles and that of Hippias, showing that only eighty jars were being transported in the vessel.
tion ; for the loan made by Androcles was secured not by the ship, which appears to have been mortgaged to Antipater, but upon the cargo of Mendaean wine and the return cargo which was to have been brought from Pontus. The wares lost (by jettison when the ship was damaged) were not, the speaker holds, the return cargo. That the ship was not actually lost seems a necessary inference from $\S こ \sim$, where it is stated that she returned to $I$ thens.
${ }^{c}$ Citium is a port in Cyprus.
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${ }^{a}$ No full cargo, that is ; merely the salt fish and the Coan wine mentioned above.

## AGAINST LACRITUS. 33-35

## The Depositions

Apollonides of Halicarnassus deposes that to his knowledge Antipater, a Citian by birth, lent money to Hyblesius for a voyage to Pontus on the ship of which Hyblesin, was in command, and on the freight to Pontus, and that he was himself part-owner of the ship with Hyblesius ; that slaves of his own were passengers on the ship ; and that, when the ship was wrecked, his servants were present and reported the fact to him, and also the further fact that the ship, having no cargo, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ was wrecked while sailing along the coast to Theodosia from Panticapaeum.

Erasicles deposes that he sailed with Hyblesius as pilot of 34 the ship to Pontus, and when the ship was sailing along the coast to Theodosia from Panticapaeum he knows that the ship had no cargo : and that Apollodorus, the very man who is now defendant in this suit, ${ }^{b}$ had no wine on board the vessel, but that about eighty jars of Coan wine were being conveyed for a certain man of Theodosia.

Hippias, son of Athenippus, of Halicarnassus, deposes that he sailed with Hyblesius as supercargo of the ship, and that when the ship was sailing along the coast to Theodosia from Panticapaeum, Apollodorus put on board the ship one or two hampers of wool, eleven or twelve jars of salt fish, and goat-skins-two or three bundles-and nothing else.

In addition to these, written affidavits ${ }^{c}$ were submitted by Euphiletus, son of Damotimus, of Aphidnae, Hippias, son of Timoxenus, of Thymaetadae, Sostratus, son of Philip, of Histiaea, Archenomides, son of Strato, of Thria, and Philtiades, son of Ctesicles, of Xypetê. ${ }^{\text {d }}$

Such is the shamelessness of these men. Now, men 35 of the jury, take thought in your own minds, whether
${ }^{b}$ If this clause is not an interpolation, we must assume that Apollodorus was being sued as co-defendant with Lacritus. But this whole inserted document may well be spurious.
${ }^{c}$ See note $a$ above, p. 290 .
${ }^{d}$ Aphidnae was a deme of the tribe Acantis: Thymaetadae, a deme of the tribe Hippothontis: Thria, a deme of the tribe Oeneïs; and Xypetê, a deme of the tribe Cecropis.
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## AGANNST LACRITUS, :55-39

you ever knew or hard of any people importing wine by way of trade from Pontus to Athens, and especially Coan wine. The rory opposite is, of course, the case. Wine is carried to Pontus from places around us, from Peparethus, and Cos, and Thasos ${ }^{a}$ and Mendê, and from all sorts of other places; whereas the things imported here from Pontus are quite different.

When we refused to let them off, and questioned 36 them as to whether any of the goods were saved in Pontus, the defendant, Lacritus, answered that one hundred Cyzicene staters ${ }^{b}$ were saved ; and that his brother had lent this sum in gold in Pontus to a certain shipowner of Phaselis, a fellow-countryman and friend of his ; and that he was umable to get it back, so that this also was as good as lost. This is 37 what was said by this fellow, Lacritus ; but the agreement, men of the jury, does not say this. It bids these men to take on board a return cargo, and bring it back to Athens; not to lend our property without our consent to whomsoever in Pontus they pleased, but to deliver it in its entirety to us at Athens, until we should recover all the money which we had lent.

Now, please read the agreement again.

## The Agreement is read again

Does the agreement, men of the jury, bid these 38 men lend our money, and that to a man whom we do not know, and have never seen ? or does it bid them put on board their ship a return cargo and convey it to Athens, and there display it to us, and deliver it to us in its entirety? The agreement does 39 not permit anything to have greater effect than the
${ }^{a}$ Peparethus, Cos, and Thasos were all islands in the Aegean.
${ }^{b}$ See note a on Oration XXXIV. p. 25).
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## AGAINS'T LACRI'TUS, 39-42

terms contained in it, nor that anyone should bring forward any law or decree or anything else whatever to contravene its provisions; yet these men from the very outset paid no heed to this agreement, but made use of our money as if it had been their very own; so rascally are they as sophists and dishonest as men. For my own part, I swear by Zeus the king and by 4" all the gods, I never made it a matter of reproach to anyone, men of the jury, nor blamed him, if he chose to be a sophist and to pay money to Isocrates; I should be mad if I concerned myself about anything of that sort. But, by Zeus, I do not think it right that men, because they look down on people and think themselves clever, should covet the property of others and seek to defraud them, trusting in their power of speech. That is the part of a rascally sophist, who should be made to suffer for it. This fellow Lacritus, 4! men of the jury, has not come into court relying on the justice of his case, but realizing perfectly what he and his brothers have done in the matter of this loan; and because he considers that he is clever and will easily provide arguments to defend evil practices, ${ }^{a}$ he thinks he will lead you astray just as he pleases. For it is precisely in these matters that he professes himself to be clever, and he asks money, and collects pupils, promising to instruct them in these very things. In the first place, he instructed 42 his own brothers in this art, which you, men of the jury, see to be evil and unjust-the art of borrowing on your exchange money for a maritime adventure, and then defrauding the lenders, and refusing to pay them. How could there be men baser than of the " school" of Socrates in the Clouds of Aristophanes will hardly escape the reader.

## DEMOSTHENES
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the one who teaches such an art, or than those who learn of him? Since, then. he is so clever, and trusts in his power of spoaking and in the one thousand drachmae which he has paid to his teacher, bid him show you. either that they did not borrow 43 the money from us, or that, having borrowed it. they have paid it back; or that agreements for overseas trade ought not to be binding : or that it is right for people to use money for some other purpose than that for which they received it under agreement. Let him prove to you whatever one of these propositions he chooses. If he can so prove it to you who sit to decide cases of mercantile contracts. I certainly concede that he is the cleverest of men. But I know well that he would not be able to prove it to you or induce you to believe any one of them.

But apart from all this, suppose, by heaven, men 44 of the jury, that the ease were reversed,-that it was not this man's dead brother who owed me the money, but that I owed his brother a talent, or eighty minae. or more or less; do you fancy that this fellow, Lacritus, would employ the same language that he now so lavishly uses : or would say that he is not the heir and has nothing to do with his brother's affairs? or that he would not exact payment from me mercilessly, as he has from the others who owed anything to the deceased, whether in Phaselis or anywhere else? And, if any one of us, being defendant 45 in a suit brought by him, had dared to enter a special plea declaring that the action was not one that could be brought into court. I know well that he would have waxed indignant, and would have protested to you, declaring that he was suffering treatment that was outrageous and contrary to law, if anyone voted
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## AGAINST LACRITUS, 45-1.8

that his action, being a mercantile one. was not one that could be brought. 'Then, Lacritus, if you consider this just for yourself. why should it not be just for me? Do not the same laws stand written for us all? and have we not all the same rights in regard to mercantile suits? But he is a man 46 so vile, so surpassing all human kind in baseness, that he seeks to induce you to vote that this mercantile action cannot be brought when you are now sitting to judge mercantile suits.

What is it you would have, Lacritus? Is it not enough that we should be robbed of the money we lent you but should we also be given over to prison by you, if we do not pay the costs adjudged against us? Would it not be outrageous, and cruel, and shameful. 17 for you, men of the jury, if those who have lent money in your port for an adrenture overseas, and have been defrauded of it, should be led off to prison by those who borrowed and are seeking to evade payment ? Is it this, Lacritus, that you would have these gentlemen sanction? But, men of the jury, where are we to obtain justice in the matter of commercial contracts? before what magistrates, or at what time? Before the Eleven ${ }^{a}$ : But they bring into court burglars and thieves and other evil-doers who are charged with capital crimes. Before the Archon ${ }^{b}$ : But it is for heiresses, and orphans, and parents that 48 the Archon is appointed to care. Then before the King-archon ${ }^{c}$ ? But we are not gymnesiarchs, nor upon complaints of parents, orphans, and ummarried girls who had inherited property. See Aristotle, Constitution of Athens, 56. 6-7.
c The functions of the King-archon were largely religious, and the gymnesiarchs, or superintendents of the festal games, were under his control. See Aristotle, ibid. 57.
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## AGAIN゙ST LACRITUS, 18-51

are we indicting anyone for impiety. Or will the Polemarch ${ }^{a}$ bring us into court ? Yes, for disregard of a patron, or for having no patron. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Well then, the Generals ${ }^{c}$ are left. But they appoint the trierarchs ; they bring no mercantile suits into court. I, 49 however, am a merchant, and you are the brother and heir of a merchant, who got from me money for a mercantile venture. Before whom, then. should this suit be entered? Tell me, Lacritus; only say what is just and according to law. But there lives no man clever enough to be able to say any thing that is just in connexion with a case like yours.

It is not in these matters only, men of the jury, 50 that I have suffered outrageous wrongs at the hands of this man Lacritus ; for, besides being defrauded of my money, I should have been brought into the gravest danger, so far as his power went, if the agreement made with these men had not come to my aid by bearing witness that I lent the money for a vorage to Pontus and back to Athens. For you know, men of the jury, how severe the law is, if any Athenian transports corn to any other port than the port of Athens, or lends money for use in any market save that of Athens: you know what penalties there are in such cases, and how severe and to be dreaded they are.

However, read them the law itself, that they may 51 have more exact information.

The Law
It shall be unlawful for any Athenian or any alien residing at Athens or for any person over whom they have control,
${ }^{b}$ A resident alien was required to be enrolled under some citizen as patron.
c Ten Generals were appointed annually, one of whom had judicial functions connected with the appointment of trierarchs. See Aristotle. Comstitution of Athens, 61. 1.
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## AGANST LACRITUS, 51-5ヶ

to lend money on any ressel which is not going to bring to Athens grain or the other articles specifically mentioned. ${ }^{2}$ And if any man lends out money contrary to this decree. information and an account of the moner shall be laid before the harbour-masters in the same manner as is provided in regard to the ship and the grain. And he shall have no right to bring action for the money which he has lent for a voyage to any other place than to Ithens, and no magistrate shall bring any such suit to trial.

The law. men of the jurv. is thus severe. But these 5 men, the most abominable of humankind. although it stands expressly written in the agreement that the money should come back to Athens, allowed what they borrowed from us at Athens to be conveyed to Chios. For when the Phaselite shipowner wanted to borrow other money in Pontus from a certain Chian, and the Chian declared he would not lend it unless he should receive as security all the goods which the shipowner had on board or in his keeping, and unless those who had made the former loan should consent to this, these men nevertheless permitted these goods of ours to become security for the Chian, and put them all into his control. On 53 these terms they sailed back from Pontus with the Phaselite shipowner and the Chian who had made the loan, and put into 'Thieves' Harbour, without anchoring in your port. And now, men of the jury, money which was lent for a rovage from Athens to Pontus and back again from Pontus to Athens has been brought to Chios by these men. It is, therefore, just 54 as I assumed at the begimning of my speech-you are wronged no less than we who lent the moner. Consider, men of the jury, how the wrong touches
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## 

you also. When a man seeks to set himself above your laws, and makes of no effect nautical agreements, but does away with them, and has sent away to Chios money lent here on our exchange. is it not clear that such a man wrongs you as well as us?

My words, men of the jury. are addressed to these 55 people only, for it was to them that I lent the money. It will remain for them to deal with that Phaselite shipowner, their own countryman, to whom they say they lent the money unknown to us and contrary to the agreement. For we do not know what transactions were entered into by them with their countryman ; but they know themselves. This we hold to be a just 56 course : and we beg you. men of the jury, to come to the aid of us who are being wronged. and to punish those who devise evil and resort to sophistries, as these men do. If you do this, you will be found to have decided in accordance with your own interests, and will rid yourselves of all the ravcalities of unprincipled men, which certain ones of them are employing in regard to maritime contracts.

FOR PHORMIO

## INTRODUCTION

Ties Phormio for whom this speech was writtenquite a different person from the Phormio of Oration XXXIV.-had been a slave in the employ of Pasio, the banker, who was one of the notable figures in the business world of Athens in the early part of the fourth century в.c. Pasio had himself been originally a slave, but had been given his freedom by his employer, and had later on been granted the rights of citizenship because of his services to the state.

Phormio had long managed Pasio's business, a bank in Peiraeus and a shield-factory, and had in turn been rewarded by receiving his freedom. At the time of Pasio's death, Phormio was operating both establishments on lease from Pasio.

Pasio left behind him at his death a widow, Archippê, and two sons, the elder, Apollodorus, twenty-four years old, and the young Pasicles, a minor of ten. By his will Pasio directed that Phormio should marry the widow and become one of the guardians of the minor son. The estate was to remain undivided, and Phormio was to continue as lessee of his bank and factory, until Pasicles should come of age : but we learn from the present speech, § 8, that, owing to the large drafts made by Apollodorus upon the property held in common, the guardians determined to protect the interests of his

## DEMOSTHENES

minor brother by making a distribution of the property. This was accordingly done, Phormio retaining the bank and the shield-factory, on which he paid the rental as lessee.

When Pasicles came of age, a final settlement was made. Phormio's lease was terminated, and he received a discharge from all liabilities. Apollodorus took charge of the factory and Pasicles of the bank.

At the death of Archippê, Apollodorus put in a claim for three thousand drachmae for property, alleged to be in Phormio's possession, and the matter was referred to private arbitrators. These decided in farour of the claimant, and Phormio paid the amount, receiving from Apollodorus a second release from all demands.

Nevertheless, some eighteen or twenty years after Pasio's death Apollodorus instituted the action with which the present speech is concerned. He brought suit against Phormio for twenty talents, alleging that banking stock left by Pasio had been fraudulently appropriated by Phormio. The latter denied the charge, and entered a special plea in bar of action. The plea is based upon the fact that Apollodorus had given him a release from all claims, and on the further fact that the statute of limitations forbade the bringing of the suit after the lapse of so many years. As in other similar cases, however, the speaker devotes more time to the discussion of the main issue than to arguments supporting the special plea.

This oration, universally accepted as a genuine work of Demosthenes, is also universally recognized as a masterpiece of forensic art. We learn from the speech of Apollodorus against Stephanus (Oration XLV.) that the court not only upheld the plea, but

## FOR PHORMIO

would not listen to him when he attempted to reply. He did not receive even a fifth part of the votes cast, ${ }^{a}$ and was therefore condemned to pay the $\epsilon \pi \omega \beta$ 位in, or one-sixth of the amount claimed.

The interesting question of the relation of this oration to those of Apollodorus against Stephanus (whom he charged with giving false witness in the present trial), and the ethical problems involved, if those orations also come from Demosthenes, will be discussed in the introduction to Oration XLV.

This speech is discussed in Schaefer, iii. ${ }^{2}$ pp. $16+\mathrm{ff}$., and in Blass, iii. pp. 461 ff .
${ }^{a}$ See note $a$ on p. 50.

## XXXVI

## ПАРАГРАФН ТПЕР ФОРМІЛNO天




 $\lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi $\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ vt $\mu a ̂ s$, 'iv' $\epsilon i \delta o ́ \tau \epsilon s$ каi $\mu \epsilon \mu a \theta \eta$ -









 öб $\omega \nu$ ки́pıos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоv́тоv катє $\lambda \epsilon i \phi \theta \eta$, ठ८a入v́баs каi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o u ̀ s ~ \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \omega s, \kappa \alpha i ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \tau \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \theta \epsilon i s \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$
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## XXXVI

## A FRIEND, PLEADING FOR PHORMIO, A SPECLAL PLEA

Phormio's inexperience in speaking, ${ }^{a}$ and his utter helplessness, you all see for yourselves, men of Athens. It is necessary for us, his friends, to state and set forth for you the facts, which we know full well from having heard him often relate them; in order that, when you have duly learned from us and have come to know the rights of the case, you may give a verdict that is both just and in harmony with your oaths. We have put in a special plea in bar of action, 2 not that we may evade the issue and waste time, but that, if the defendant ${ }^{b}$ shows that he has committed no wrong whatsoever, he may win in your court an acquittal which will be final. For all that in the minds of other people brings about a firm and lasting settlement without engaging in a trial before you -all this Phormio here has done; he has done many 3 kindnesses to this man Apollodorus ; he has duly paid and delivered up to the plaintiff everything belonging to him of which he had been left in control, and has since received a discharge from all further claims;

[^68]
## DEMOSTHENES




 'A $\pi \frac{\lambda \lambda o ́ \delta \omega \rho o v ~ \dot{\omega}}{\alpha}$ àv $\delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \mu a \iota$ бıà ß $\rho a \chi v \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 бvкофаvтia фаvєpà $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l$, каi $\dot{\omega}$ s оvंк ai-
 , $\theta^{\prime}$ ' $\mu$,



## इNNOHKAI. MPOK $\Lambda H \Sigma I \Sigma$. MAPTYPIAI






 $\check{\omega} \phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta i \dot{\alpha} \phi i \lambda \epsilon \rho \gamma i ́ a \nu$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \gamma^{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \in \iota O S$
 $\gamma$ v́pıov $\delta$ è $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ " ' \delta ı o v ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu ~$
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## FOR PHORMIO. 3-6

nevertheless, as you see, because Phormio can no longer submit to his demands. Apollodorus has instituted this vexatious and baseless suit for twenty talents. From the beginning, therefore, I shall try to set forth for you as briefly as possible all the transactions Phormio has had with Pasio and Apollodorus. From these, I am sure, the malicious conduct of the plaintiff will become clear to you, and at the same time, having heard this recital, you will determine that the action is not maintainable.

First the clerk shall read to you the articles of 4 agreement, in accordance with which Pasio leased to the defendant the bank and the shield-factory. Take, please, the articles of agreement, the challenge, ${ }^{a}$ and these depositions.
The Articles of Agreement. The Challenge.

## The Depositions

These, men of Athens, are the articles of agreement in accordance with which Pasio leased the bank and the shield-factory to the defendant, after the latter had now become his own master. ${ }^{b}$ But you must hear and understand how it was that Pasio came to owe the eleven talents to the bank. He owed that 5 amount, not because of poverty, but because of his thrift. ${ }^{c}$ For the real property of Pasio was about twenty talents, but in addition to this he had more than fifty talents in money of his own ${ }^{\text {d }}$ lent out at interest. Among these were eleven talents of the bank's deposits, profitably invested. When, therefore, 6 my client leased the business of the bank and took implies that the debt was due to mismanagement on the part of Phormio.
${ }^{d}$ As eleven talents of this money belonged to the bank, this phrase is open to question.

## DEMOSTHENES





 $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ र $\rho \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu, \ddot{\eta} \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o v s ~ \chi \rho \eta \prime \sigma \tau \alpha s, ~ o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o-~$

 $\mu \epsilon \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ v́ $\mu \hat{v}$.






$\triangle I A \Theta H K H . \quad П P O K \Lambda H \Sigma I \Sigma$. MAPTYPIAI

 $\beta \alpha^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \theta \eta \prime \kappa \eta \nu$, $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \rho o ́ \pi \epsilon v \epsilon \nu$.

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau o v ̀ s ~ o i ́ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i \tau \rho о \pi o \iota, ~ o ̛ \tau \iota, ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta \epsilon \eta \prime \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} s$
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## FOR PHORMIO, 6-9

over the deposits, realizing that, if he had not yet obtained the right of citizenship with you, he would be unable to recover the moneys which Pasio had lent on the security of land and lodging-houses, he chose to have Pasio himself as debtor for these sums, rather than the others to whom he had lent them. It was for this reason that Pasio was set down as owing eleven talents, as has been stated to you in the depositions.

In what manner the lease was made, you know 7 from the deposition of the manager of the bank himself. After this, Pasio became ill : and observe how he disposed of his estate. Take the copy of the will, and this challenge, and these depositions made by those in whose custody the will is deposited.

The Will. The Challenge. The Depositions
When Pasio had died, after making this will. s Phormio, the defendant, took his widow to wife in accordance with the terms of the will and undertook the guardianship of his son. ${ }^{a}$ Inasmuch, however, as the plaintiff was rapacious, and seemed to think it right that he should spend large sums out of the fund which was as yet undivided, the guardians, calculating in their own minds that, if it should be necessary under the terms of the will to deduct from the undivided fund, share for share, an equivalent of what the plaintiff spent, and then distribute the remainder, there would be nothing left to distribute, determined in the interest of the boy to divide the property. And they did distribute all the estate 9

In Oration XLV. Apollodorus denies that he had been challenged to produce the will, or that such a will had been left by his father.

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oủv $\tau 0$ útov $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ र $\alpha v ่ \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$; ở $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \hat{v} \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ тó $\tau$ ' $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s$


 $\sigma \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau o s ~ П a \sigma \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon$ 'ovs $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \tau о ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \mu \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$

 $\omega \dot{\omega} \phi \in \iota \lambda \in \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̀ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta ' ~ o ้ v \tau \alpha, ~ к а і ~ a ̀ \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ є่ $\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, $\lambda a \beta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha v \tau \eta \nu i ̀ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$.

## MAPTYPIA












[^71]
## FOR PHORMIO, 9-11

except the property on which the defendant had taken a lease: and of the revenue accruing from this they duly paid one-half to the plaintiff. Up to that time, then, how is it possible for him to make complaint regarding the lease? For it is not now that he should show his indignation; he should at once have done so then. Moreover. he cannot say that he has not received the rents which became due subsequently. For in that case, when Pasicles came of age and 10 Phormio relinquished the lease, you ${ }^{a}$ would never have freed him from all claims, but would then instantly have demanded payment, if he had owed you anything.

To prove that I speak the truth in this and that the plaintiff did divide the property with his brother, who was still a minor, and that they released Phormio from his liability under the lease and from all other charges, take this deposition.

## The Deposition

As soon, then, as they had released the defendant 11 from the lease, men of Athens, they at once divided between them the bank and the shield-factory, and Apollodorus, having the choice, ${ }^{b}$ chose the shieldfactory in preference to the bank. Yet, if the plaintiff had any private capital in the bank, why in the world should he have chosen the factory by preference? The income was not greater; nay, it was less (the factory produced a talent, and the bank, one hundred minae) ; nor was the property more agreeable, ${ }^{c}$ assuming that he had private capital in the bank. But he had no such capital. So the plaintiff was wise

[^72]
## DEMOSTHENES


 є̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \iota \nu \delta$ úvovs $^{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda о \tau \rho i ́ \omega \nu$.

 oî $\mu \alpha \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \prime \rho \iota o \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v}$




 $\tau \alpha u ̉ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ ïซov ảpरvpíov, ov̉ фavŋ́ $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$
 $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon$, vi $\pi \grave{o} \tau 0 v \hat{\delta}$ ’ à $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ̂ \tau o$, aủzòv $\nu \hat{v} \nu$




 $\tau о v ं \tau \omega \nu$ є́p $\rho \alpha \sigma i \alpha \nu \alpha v ๋ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o, \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon ́ \mu о \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu$
 $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \bar{\lambda} \tau \tau \circ$.

## MAPTYPIA

14 Мє $\epsilon \alpha \rho \tau v \dot{\rho} \eta \tau \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau o i ́ v v \nu \quad \dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu, \hat{\omega}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta$ vaîoı, ö̀兀 каì тои́тoıs є̇ $\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ каi ov̉ $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \nu$
${ }^{1}$ ékeivors omitted by Blass.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ If it were true that A pollodorus had been defrauded by Phormio of capital which Pasio had invested in the bank, then, when the bank was let to new lessees on the same terms as before, A pollodorus would have had to make up the missing capital from some other source.
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## FOR PHORMIO, 11-1F

in choosing the factory. For that is a property which involves no risk, while the bank is a busines yielding a hazardous revenue from money which belonge to others.

Many proofs might one advance and set forth to 12 show that the plaintiff's claim to a sum of banking capital is malicious and baseless. But the strongest proof of all that Phormio received nocapital is, I think, this: that Pasio is set down in the lease as debtor to the bank, not as having given banking capital to the defendant. The second proof is that the plaintiff is shown to have made no demands at the time of the distribution of the property. The third is that when he subsequently leased the same business to others for the same sum, he will be shown not to have leased any private capital of his own along with it. And yet, 13 if he had been defrauded by the defendant of capital which his father left. he would himself on that assumption have had to provide it from some other source and given it to the new lessees. ${ }^{a}$

To prove that I speak the truth in this, and that Apollodorus subsequently leased the bank to Xeno and Euphraeus, and Euphro, and Callistratus, and that he delivered no private capital to them either, but that they leased only the deposits and the right to the profits accruing from them, take, please, the deposition which proves these matters, and proves also that he chose the shield-factory.

## The Deposition

Evidence has been submitted to you, men of 14 Athens, that they ${ }^{b}$ granted a lease to these men also,
${ }^{b}$ The plural denotes the two brothers, Apollodorus and Pasicles.

## DEMOSTHENES







 є̇кєìv $\delta \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ a \iota s ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \tau о и ́ \tau о v ~ \pi a ı \delta i o ı s, ~ к а i ~ \chi ı \tau \omega-~$ 15 víбкоข тıvà каì $\theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \nu, ~ Є ̇ \sigma v к о ф \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \iota . ~ к а i ~ o v ̉ \delta ’ ~ ' ~$

 $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i$ каi $\tau \hat{\varphi} \cdot \sigma v \gamma \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ aviто仑 каi $\Lambda v \sigma i v \omega$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ ' А \nu \delta \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota, \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Фор ${ }^{\prime} \omega \nu \alpha$ тоv-

 єivaı, $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega \nu} \tau$ т̀ $\sigma v ́ \mu \pi \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ a s, ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$
 16 'A $\theta \eta \nu \hat{\alpha} s \grave{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \omega \dot{\omega} \nu, \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$, $\omega$ s ópâtє, $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s$ aiтias $\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ к \alpha i ~ \epsilon ’ \gamma к \lambda \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau ' ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ र $\rho o ́ v o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o v ~(\tau о \hat{\tau ̃ o ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o v ~}$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$, ő $\tau^{\prime}$ ク̀ $\phi i \epsilon \iota \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

$$
{ }^{1} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \text { omitted by Blass. }
$$
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## FOR PHORMIO, $11-16$

and gave over to them no private banking-capital ; and that they gave them their freedom. ${ }^{a}$ as if having received great benefits from them; and at that time they went to law neither with them nor with Phormio. Indeed, as long as his mother was living, who had an accurate knowledge of all these matters, Apollodorus never made any complaint against Phormio, the defendant; but after her death he brought a malicious and baseless suit claiming three thousand drachmae in money, in addition to two thousand drachmae which she had given to Phormio's children, ${ }^{b}$ and a bit of underwear and a serving-girl. Yet even here he will be shown to have said nothing 15 of the claims which he now makes. He referred the matter for arbitration to the father of his own wife, and the husband of his wife's sister, and to Lysinus and Andromenes, ${ }^{c}$ and they induced Phormio to make him a present of the three thousand drachmae and the additional items, and thus to have him as a friend rather than as an enemy because of this. So the plaintiff received in all five thousand drachmae, and going to the temple of Athena, ${ }^{d}$ gave Phormio for the second time a release from all demands. Yet, 16 as you see, he is suing him again, having trumped up all sorts of accusations, and gathered from all past time charges (and this is the most outrageous thing of all) which he had never made before.

To prove that I am speaking the truth in this, take, please, the award that was made in the Acropolis, and the deposition of those who were present, when
${ }^{b}$ Children, that is, whom she had borne to Phormio.
${ }^{c}$ The two first named represented Apollodorus: the latter two, Phormio.
${ }^{d}$ The Parthenon, as it is stated below to have been on the Acropolis.
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## DEMOSTHENES

 ả $\rho \gamma$ úpıov．

## ГN』ミIミ．MAPTYPIA




 $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \ddot{\eta} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega \nu \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} S$


18 Tà $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ oưv $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ каi $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ Фор－
 ［950］кóar＇，$\hat{\omega} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in S$＇A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha \hat{\imath} o \iota . ~ o i ̂ \mu \alpha \iota ~ \delta ' ~ ' A \pi o \lambda \lambda o ́ \delta \omega \rho o \nu ~$
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \alpha^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \iota \alpha \iota \tau \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ є́ $\tau o ́ \lambda \mu \alpha, \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$＇
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Apollodorus, on receiving this money, gave a release from all claims.

## The Award. The Deporition

You hear the award, men of the jury, which was 17 rendered by Deinias, whose daughter the plaintiff has married, and Nicias, who is husband to her sister. However, even though he has received this money, and has given a release from all claims, he has the audacity to bring suit for so many talents, just as if all these people were dead, or as if the truth would not be brought to light.

All the dealings, then, and transactions which 18 Phormio has had with Apollodorus you hare heard, men of Athens, from the beginning. But I fancy that Apollodorus, the plaintiff, being unable to advance any just grounds in support of his claim, will repeat what he had the audacity to say before the arbitrator, that his mother made away with the papers at Phormio's instigation, and that, owing to the loss of these, he has no way of proving his claim strictly. But in regard 19 to these statements and this accusation, observe what convincing proofs one could adrance to show that he is lying. In the first place, men of Athens, what man would have accepted a distribution of his inheritance, if he had not papers from which he could determine the amount of estate left him ? No man, assuredly. Yet it is eighteen years, Apollodorus, since you accepted the distribution, and you cannot show that you at any time made any complaint about the papers. In the second place, when Pasicles had come 20 of age, and was receiving the report of his guardians' administration, what man, even though he shrank from accusing his mother with his own lips of having

## DEMOSTHENES















## MAPTYPIAI



 $\sigma \theta a \iota \quad \phi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \in \nu \stackrel{\text { al }}{ } \nu$.





 $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha$, каi о仑̂ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ кv́pıos
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## FOR PHORMIO, 2022

destroyed the papers, would have failed to reveal the fact to his brother, so that through him it might have been thoroughly investigated? In the third place, what were the papers upon which you based the action which you brought? For the plaintiff has brought suits against many citizens, and has recovered large sums of money, charging in his complaints, " So and so has injured me by not paying back to me the money which my father's papers show he owed the latter at his death." But, if the papers 21 had been made away with, on the basis of what papers did he commence his suits?

In proof that I am speaking the truth in this, you have heard the distribution which he accepted, and the evidence in proof of it has been presented to you. The clerk will now read you the depositions having to do with these actions. Please take the depositions.

## The Depositions

In these complaints, then, he has admitted that he had received his father's papers ; for he surely would not say that he was bringing baseless charges, or that he was suing these men for what they did not owe.

There are many strong proofs from which one can 22 see that the defendant Phormio is not in the wrong ; but the strongest of all, in my opinion, is this : that Pasicles, though he is the brother of Apollodorus, the plaintiff, has neither entered suit nor made any of the charges which the plaintiff makes. But surely the defendant would not have abstained from wronging one who had been left a minor by his father, and over whose property he had control, since he had been left as his guardian, yet would have wronged

## DEMOSTHENES



 ' $\Omega_{s} \tau о \grave{\imath} \nu v \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \omega$ каi ó Пабıк $\lambda \hat{\eta} s$


## MAPTYPIA



 'AӨŋvaîoı, $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \delta ı a \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu о \hat{v} \kappa а i ~ a ̀ \phi-$
 $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega ́ \omega \epsilon \omega s, \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ ठ̀̀ $\delta \iota a i \tau \eta s$ каi $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$

 тои́тоv каi $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ v o ́ \mu о и s ~ \delta ı к а \zeta о \mu e ́ v o v, ~ \pi a \rho-~$


 [952] $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, ő $\tau$ ' ク’ $\phi i \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ ả $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

$\Lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon ́ \mu о \imath \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a s ~ \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \sigma i ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ v o ́ \mu o v . ~$

MAPTYPIAI. NOMOE
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## FOR PHORMIO, 22-25

you, who at your father's death were left a man of four and twenty, and who on your own behalf would easily and immediately have obtained justice, if any wrong had been done you. 'That is impossible.

To prove that I am speaking the truth in this, and that Pasicles makes no complaint, take, please, the deposition regarding the matter.

## The Deposition

The points which you should now consider in regard 23 to my plea that the action is not admissible, I beg you to recall from what has already been said. We, men of Athens, inasmuch as an accounting had been made and a discharge given from the lease of the bank and of the shield-factory ; inasmuch as there had been an arbitrator's award and again a discharge from all claims; inasmuch also as the laws do not allow suits to be brought in cases where a discharge has once been given; and inasmuch as the plaintiff 24 makes a baseless and malicious claim, and brings suit contrary to the laws; we have put in a special plea as allowed by the laws that his suit is not admissible. In order, then, that you may understand the matter regarding which you are going to vote, he shall read you this law and the depositions in sequence of those who were present when Apollodorus discharged Phormio from the lease and from all other claims.

Take these depositions, please, and the law.

> The Depositions. The Law

You hear the law, men of Athens, stating other ${ }_{-5}$ cases in which suit may not be brought, and in particular those in which anyone has given a release or

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi о \lambda \dot{v} \tau \omega \nu \quad \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ठıкаьо́тєроv $\mu \grave{\eta}$







 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega, \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\prime} \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu, \hat{\omega} \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon S$ ' $A \theta \eta$ vaîol.


## nomos




 $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ov̋s o’ $\mu \omega \mu$ коо́тєs $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.


 $\theta \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ v, ~ \dddot{\eta} \tau o \hat{v} \mu \eta ̀ ~ \sigma v \kappa o \phi a v \tau \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ ن ̂ \mu a ̂ s . ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$
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## FOR PHORMI(). 25 2\%

discharge. ${ }^{a}$ And with good reason. For if it is just that suit may not be brought again for cases which have once been tried, it is far more just that suit be not allowed for claims in which a discharge has been given. For a man who has lost his suit in your court might perhaps say that you had been deceived; but when a man has plainly decided against himself. by giving a release and discharge, what complaint can he bring against himself that will give him the right to bring suit again regarding the same matters? None whatever, of course. Therefore the man who framed this law placed first among cases in which suit may not be brought all those in which a man has given a release or discharge. Both of these have been given by the plaintiff; for he has released and discharged the defendant. 'That I am speaking the truth, men of Athens, has been proved to you by the evidence presented.

Take now, please, the statute of limitations.

## The Law

The law, men of Athens, has thus clearly defined the time. But this man Apollodorus, when more than twenty years have gone by, demands that you pay more heed to his malicious charges than to the laws in aceordance with which you have sworn to give judgement. You should have regard to all the laws, but to this one, men of Athens, abore all others. For, in my judgement, Solon ${ }^{b}$ framed it for 27 no other purpose than to prevent your having to be subjected to malicious and baseless actions. For in
of a given law by attributing its enactment to the great lawgiver, Solon. So, in Sparta, laws were conventionally assumed to have been enacted by Lycurgus.

## DEMOSTHENES







 $\tau i \quad \pi о \tau$ ' $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu$ à $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \quad \epsilon \pi \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \iota \quad \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$

 $\kappa \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$, on $\rho \gamma \iota \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ on on $\tau \grave{\eta} v \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ave $\tau o \hat{v}$


 ó тоv́тov $\pi \alpha \tau \eta ́ \rho, ~ \epsilon ̋ \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu ~ \Sigma \alpha \tau v ́ \rho \omega ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau o ̂ ~ \gamma v-~$











 $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ク̈ $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \omega \omega \bar{v} \nu \alpha \beta o \hat{v} \sigma \iota, \tau \hat{\eta} \tau v ́ \chi \eta$
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## FOR PHORMIIO, $27-30$

the case of those who were wronged, he thought that a period of five years was enough to enable them to recover what was their due: while the lapee of time would best serve to convict those who advanced false claims. At the same time, since he realized that neither the contracting parties nor the witnesses would live for ever, he put the law in their place, that it might be a witness of truth for those who had no other defence.

I, for my part, am wondering. men of the jury, $\geq 8$ what in the world the plaintiff, Apollodorus, will try to say in reply to these arguments. For he can hardly have made this assumption that you, although seeing that he has suffered no wrong financially, will be indignant because Phormio has married his mother. For he is not unaware of this-it is no secret to him or to many of you-that Socrates, the well-known banker, having been set free by his masters just as the plaintiff's father had been, gave his wife in marriage to Satyrus who had been his slave. Another. $\imath 9$ Socles, who had been in the banking business, gave his wife in marriage to Timodemus, who is still in being and alive, who had been his slave. And it is not here only, men of Athens, that those engaged in this line of business so act : but in Aegina Strymodorus gave his wife in marriage to Hermaeus, his own slave, and again, after her death, gave him his own daughter. ${ }^{a}$ And one could mention many other such cases ; and 30 no wonder. For although to you. men of Athens, who are citizens by birth, it would be a disgrace to esteem any conceivable amount of wealth above your honourable descent, yet those who obtain citizenship as a gift either from you or from others, and who in

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\tau \alpha \hat{v}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \in \sigma \tau i \quad \phi v \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \epsilon ́ \alpha$. ठıó $\pi \epsilon \rho$ Пабícv ó $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ ó [954] oòs ov่ $\pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o s ~ o v ่ \delta ' є ~ \mu o ́ v o s, ~ o v ' \delta ' ~ a v i \tau o ̀ v ~ v i ß \rho i \zeta \omega v ~$




 ঠ̀̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \delta o ́ \xi a v ~ a ̉ v a i ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ Ф о р \mu i ́ \omega \nu а ~ к \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta ' \nu, ~$
 є’ро८тó $\sigma \epsilon$, $\pi o ̂ ̂ o v ~ \tau \iota \nu ’ ~ \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тòv $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \sigma \epsilon \alpha v \tau o v ̂ ~$







 $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v s$ av̇тòs $\gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma o v a s$. óтє $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \tau \rho \hat{\varphi} \alpha a$ трòs $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \eta ’ \xi i o v s ~ \nu ' \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, o้ $\nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu \quad \epsilon ่ \kappa \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$


 $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \delta o ́ v \tau o s, ~ o v ่ к ~ ク ̉ \sigma \alpha \nu ~ o i ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s ~ к \lambda \eta \rho о \nu o ́ \mu о \iota, ~$ ${ }^{1} \epsilon \dot{v}$ oid' ơ õt] $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \hat{v}$ oî $\delta^{\prime}$ ö $\tau \iota$ Blass.

## FOR PHORMIO, 30-32

the first instance, thanks to this good fortune, were counted worthy of the same privileges, because of their success in money-making, and their possession of more wealth than others, must hold fast to these advantages. So your father Pasio-and he was neither the first nor the last to do this-without bringing disgrace upon himself or upon you, his sons, but seeing that the only protection for his business was that he should bind the defendant to you by a family tie, for this reason gave to him in marriage his own wife, your mother. If, then. you examine his conduct 31 in the light of practical utility you will find that he determined wisely; but if from family pride you scorn Phormio as stepfather, see if it be not absurd for you to speak thus. For, if one were to ask you what sort of a man you deem your father to have been, I am sure that you would say, " an honourable man." Now, then, which of you two do you think more resembles Pasio in character and in manner of life, yourself or Phormio? I know well that you think Phormio does. Then do you scorn this man who is more like your father than you are yourself, just because he has married your mother ः But that 32 this arrangement was made by your father's grant and solemn injunction may not only be seen from the will, men of Athens, but you yourself, Apollodorus. are a witness to the fact. For when you claimed the right to distribute your mother's estate share by share-and she had left children by the defendant. Phormio-you then acknowledged that your father had given her with full right, and that she had been married in accordance with the laws. For if Phormio had taken her to wife wrongfully, and no one had given her-then the children were not heirs, and if

## DEMOSTHENES

 oैv $\tau \omega \nu$.
'А $\lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ öть $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau ' ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega, \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ тò $\tau \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \rho \tau о \nu$ нє́ $\rho o s ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ каi à $\phi \in \hat{\imath} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ '́ $\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta$ $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.



 $\delta \iota \alpha \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ' ~ \epsilon i v \alpha \iota ~ \tau о ข ิ \tau о ~ \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \alpha ~ к \alpha i ~ \sigma \kappa є v \omega ́ \rho \eta \mu '$
 $\chi \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath} v$ тòv $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ х









 نimò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \delta^{\prime}$ viтo $\sigma \chi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ vi $\pi \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \phi \hat{\eta}, \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ öт $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho \alpha s$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \chi \eta \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \theta \alpha$, oî $\chi \rho o ́ v o v ~ \pi o \lambda u ̀ \nu ~$
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## FOR PHORMIO, 92.35

they were not heirs they had no right of sharing in the property. ${ }^{a}$

To prove that 1 am speaking the truth in this evidence has been submitted showing that he received a fourth share ${ }^{b}$ and gave a release from all claims.

Having, then, on no single point, men of Athens, 33 any just claim to advance, he had the audacity to make before the arbitrator the most shameless assertions which it is best that you should hear in advance: first that no will was made at all, but that this is a fiction and forgery from beginning to end : and, secondly, that the reason why he had made all these concessions up to now, and had abstained from going to law, was because Phormio was willing to pay him a large rent, and promised that he would do so. But since he does not do this, now, he says, I go to law. But that both of these statements, if he makes 34 them, will be false and inconsistent with his own conduct, pray observe from the following considerations. When he denies the will, ask him this, how it came that he received the lodging-house under the will as being the elder. ${ }^{c}$ He surely will not claim that all the clauses which his father wrote in the will in his favour are valid, and the others invalid. And 35 when he says that he was misled by the defendant's promises, remember that we have brought before you as witnesses those who for a long time, after Phormio had given it up, became lessees under the two brothers of the bank and the shield-factory. And yet it was when he granted the lease to these men, that he should at once have made his charges
${ }^{c}$ A right not often recognized in Attic law. Compare Oration XXXIX. s 29.

## DEMOSTHENES



' $\Omega_{s} \tau о i v v \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$, каi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon i \alpha ́ \alpha ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 нартvpiav.

## MAPTMPTA





 $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ஸ̂̀ on $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \grave{\rho} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$, каi $\tau о u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є' $\chi \in \iota$




 каi $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \rho \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \mu \nu \alpha \hat{\imath} . ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \kappa \alpha ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$,





 $\mu i ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu, \pi \lambda \epsilon \in о \nu$ グ $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau ’ \in i \lambda \eta \phi \grave{\omega} s$
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## FOR PHORM1O, 35-38

against the defendant if there were any truth in the claims, for which he then gave a release, but for which he now brings suit against him.

To prove that I am speaking the truth that he took the lodging-house under the terms of the will as being the elder, and that he not only thought it right to make no claims against the defendant, but on the contrary praised his conduct, take the deposition.

## The Deposition

That you may know, men of Athens, what large 36 sums he has received from the rents and from the debts ${ }^{a}$-he, who will presently wail as though he were destitute and had lost everything-hear a brief aecount from me. This man has collected twenty talents in all owing to debts he has recovered from the papers which his father left, and of these sums more than half he keeps in his possession ; for in many instances he is defrauding his brother of his share. From 37 the lessee, for the eight years during which Phormio had the bank, he received eighty minae a year, half of the whole rent. These items make ten talents and forty minae. ${ }^{b}$ For ten years after that, during which they subsequently leased the bank to Xeno and Euphraeus and Euphro and Callistratus, he received a talent every year. ${ }^{c}$ Besides this he has had for about 38 twenty years the income of the property originally divided, of which he himself had charge, more than thirty minae. If you add all these sums together,what he got from the distribution, what he recovered from the debts, and what he has collected as rent, it will be plain that he has received more than forty before, but Apollodorus received only that from the shieldfactory, or a talent annually.

## DEMOSTHENES







 $\mu \grave{~ o ̀ ~ o ̛ \tau \iota ~ \delta v o i ̂ v ~ \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ v \tau o \iota v ~ \pi \rho o \sigma o ́ \delta o v, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ' ~ o v ̉ \delta ' ~ \epsilon і ̈ к о \sigma \iota ~}$ $\mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu$ o $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ai c $\iota \hat{\omega}, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ar $\sigma \grave{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon$.






## BIBAION. ПPOKAHミİ. MAPTYPIAI


 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \sigma \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota, \hat{a} \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega S$

 $\phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ovitol, каi тобâ̂т' ar $\nu \eta \lambda \omega \kappa \grave{\omega} s$ ot $^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ن $\mu \in i ̂ s$ そ̆коv́батє, оvंठ̀̀ $\pi о \lambda \lambda о \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma o ́ \delta \omega \nu$,



a As a matter of fact Apollodorus had served as trierarch with distinction. and had been most liberal in his expenditures. See Oration L. ss 11 ff ., and Oration XLV. § 78. 350

## FOR PHORMIO, 38-12

talents, to say nothing of the present Phormio made him, and his inheritance from his mother, and what he has had from the bank and does not pay backtwo and one-half talents and six hundred drachmae. Ah, but, you will tell us, the state has received these 39 sums, and you have been outrageously treated, having used up your fortune in public services! No ; what you expended in public service out of the undivided funds, you and your brother expended jointly ; and what you gave after that does not amount to the interest, I will not say on two talents, but even on twenty minae. Do not, then, accuse the state, nor say that the state has received that portion of your patrimony which you have shamefully and wickedly squandered.

That you may know, men of Athens, the amount 40 of property which he has received, and the public services which he has assumed, the clerk shall read to you the items one by one.

Please take this list and this challenge and these depositions.

## The List. The Challenge. The Depositions

All these moneys he has received; he has debts 41 due him to the value of many talents, which he is collecting, some by voluntary payments, some by bringing action. These debts were owing to Pasioquite apart from the rent of the bank and the other property which he left ;-and these the two brothers have recovered. He has expended upon public services merely what you have heard, the smallest fraction of his income, not to say of his capital ; and yet he will assume a bragging air, and will talk about his expenditures for trierarchal and choregic services. ${ }^{a}$ I have shown you that these assertions of his will be 4-

## DEMOSTHENES

oîนaı $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota, ~ к a ̈ \nu ~ \epsilon i ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \pi a ́ v \tau ' ~ a ̀ \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \iota$,
 $\lambda_{\eta} \tau о v \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ v́ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu, \dddot{\eta} \tau о v ́ \tau \omega$ ठóvтаs $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau о \hat{\delta} \delta \epsilon, \mu \iota \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ av่тоข̀s $\mu \in \tau \alpha \sigma \chi o ́ v \tau \alpha s$, тóv $\delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ่ \nu$ є่v $\tau \alpha i ̂ s$


43 ' $А \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} v \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon u ̛ \pi o \rho i \alpha s, ~ \omega ́ s ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тov̂ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma o v ̂ ~ к \epsilon ́ к \tau \eta \tau \alpha \iota, ~ к \alpha i ~ \hat{\omega \nu}$ Є' $\rho \omega \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$



 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a v i \tau o v ~ к v \rho i o u s ~ ' A \nu \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ v \in \iota ~ к а i ~ ' A \rho \chi \epsilon-~$


 §ógaı каi $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~$

 [958] $\sigma o ̀ s, \pi \alpha \tau \eta ́ \rho \cdot \sigma \epsilon ่ ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \stackrel{\alpha}{,}$, $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu, \tau o v ̂ \delta \epsilon, \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu$


 $\tau \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\tau \hat{\varphi}, \sigma \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i \quad \kappa \alpha i$ боi каi ö $\quad \pi \omega s$ тоîs $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о \iota s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota ~ Ф о \rho \mu i ́ \omega \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma о \nu \in \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta_{-}$ бıцоs. à $\lambda \lambda$ ' oî $\mu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \sigma \hat{\eta} s ~ a ̉ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau i a s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau o \hat{v} \sigma o \hat{v}$ $45 \tau \rho о ́ \pi о v$ тis äv $\delta v v^{\nu} \alpha \iota \tau$ ' єфєкє́бӨаı; каi $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ $\theta \alpha v$ -
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## FOR PHORMIO, $12+4$

false; however, even if they should all prove to be true, I think it more honourable and more just that he should continue to render public service from his own funds, than that you should give him the defendant's property, and while receiving yourselves but a small portion of the whole, should see the defendant reduced to extreme poverty, and the plaintiff in wanton insolence and spending his money in the manner that has been his wont. ${ }^{a}$

With regard now to Phormio's wealth and his 43 having got it from your father's estate, and the questions you said you were going to ask as to how Phormio acquired his fortune, you have the least right of any man in the world to speak thus. For Pasio, your father, did not acquire his fortune, any more than Phormio did, by good luck or by inheritance from his father, but he gave proof to the bankers, Antisthenes and Archestratus, who were his masters, that he was a good man and an honest. and so won their confidence. It is remarkable what a striking 44 thing it is in the eyes of people who are active in commercial life and in banking, when the same man is accounted industrious and is honest. ${ }^{b}$ Well; this quality was not imparted to Pasio by his masters; he was himself honest by nature ; nor did your father impart it to Phormio. It was yourself, rather than Phormio, whom he would have made honest, if he had had the power. If you do not know that for money-making the best capital of all is trustworthiness, you do not know anything at all. But, apart from all this, Phormio has in many ways shown himself useful to your father and to you, and in general to your affairs. But your insatiate greed and your character, I take it, no one could adequately express.

## DEMOSTHENES


 viòs $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \theta a ́ \delta$ ', 'Avтípaxos, $\pi \rho \dot{a} \tau \tau \omega \nu$ ov̉ кат' ả乡iav,


 тоєєîs, каì трєîs таîðas àкодоútovs $\pi \epsilon \rho ı \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$, каi

 тòv Фориi'шv' є́ккєivos oủx ópă. каiтои єi катà
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## FOR PHORMIO, 45-48

I am surprised that you do not of yourself make this 45 reflection, that Archestratus, to whom your father formerly belonged, has a son here, Antimachus, who fares not at all as he deserves, and who does not go to law with you and say that he is outrageously treated, because you wear a soft mantle, and have redeemed one mistress, and have given another in marriage (all this, while you have a wife of your own), and take three attendant slaves about with you, and live so licentiously that even those who meet you on the street perceive it, while he himself is in great destitution. Nor does he fail to 46 see Phormio's condition. And yet if on this ground you think you have a claim on Phormio's property, because he once belonged to your father, Antimachus has a stronger claim than you have. For your father in his turn belonged to those men, so that both you and Phormio by this argument belong to Antimachus. But you are so lost to all proper feeling, that you yourself compel people to say things which you ought to hate anyone for saying. You disgrace yourself and your dead parents, and 47 you cast reproach upon the state, and instead of adorning and cherishing this good fortune ${ }^{a}$ which your father, and afterward Phormio have come to enjoy through the kindness of these men, so that it might have appeared as the highest of honours for those who gave it and for you who obtained it, you drag it into public view, you point the finger of scorn at it, you criticize it ; you all but taunt the Athenians for admitting to citizenship a person like yourself. Indeed you have come to such a pitch of insanity- 48 what other name can one find for it ?--as not to see that at this moment ve, who claim that, since Phormio

## DEMOSTHENES






 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$.


 Пабíшv 'А $\rho \chi \in \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau о v$.

## MAPTifPIAI

 каi $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \iota \mu о \nu ~ a v i \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \chi o ́ v \tau а ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi a \tau \rho i$





$50 \mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \epsilon ́ v o \iota \tau$ ', Є’ $\xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ oữol. ópâs тòv 'A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́-$
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## FOR PHORMIO, 48-50

has received his freedom, it should not be remembered against him that he once belonged to your father, are speaking in your interest; while you, in insisting that he should never be on a footing of equality with yourself, are speaking against yourself ; for the same rule, which you lay down as just for yourself against Phormio, will be adranced against you by those who at the first were the masters of your father.

To prove that Pasio also was somebody's slave, and that he afterwards won his freedom in the same manner in which Phormio won his from you, take, please, these depositions, which show that Pasio belonged to Archestratus.

## The Depositions

The man, then, who at the first saved the family 49 fortune, and rendered himself useful in many ways to this man's father, the man who has conferred upon Apollodorus himself all the benefits of which you have heard, he it is against whom the plaintiff seeks a judgement with such heary damages, and thinks proper to cast out in ruin contrary to all right. For that, Apollodorus, is all that you could possibly accomplish. For, if you look closely at the property, you will see to whom it belongs, in case-which heaven forbid !these jurymen are misled by you. ${ }^{a}$ Do you see 50 Aristolochus, son of Charidemus? Once he possessed some land; now many people own it ; for he acquired it while he was in debt to many. And Sosinomus and Timodemus and the other bankers, who, when they had to settle with their creditors, had to give up all their property. But you think it unnecessary to have regard even for the precautions

## DEMOSTHENES



 боi каi є́ $\alpha v \tau \hat{̣}$ каi $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau$ ' ả $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ o ै \nu \tau o s ~ \sigma o \hat{v} \tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o \nu$, ov̉ $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu i \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ кат-



 ठѐ $\mu \prime \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ф'́ $\rho \omega \nu$ ठv́o $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ каi $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \rho a ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$




 $\pi a v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$, каi $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ öт $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тò $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau \iota ; ~ \sigma o i ~ \gamma o u ̂ \nu$,



53 ' $А \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\alpha}$ тòv $\Delta i ́ a ~ к а i ~ \theta \epsilon о v ̀ s ~ \pi a \nu \tau \alpha \chi \hat{\eta}$



 тòv र $\rho o ́ v o v ; ~ к а i ~ \tau i s ~ o u ̉ k ~ o i ̂ \delta ’ ~ o ̋ \sigma \alpha ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu а \tau а ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau-~$ $\tau \omega \nu$ ov $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi a v \sigma a \iota$, ov $\mu$ óvov ঠíкаs iठías $\delta \iota \omega ́ к \omega \nu$ ои̉к

## FOR PHORMII ( $50-53$

which your father, a far better man than you and a wiser, took to meet all contingencies. He-O Zeus al and the gods-esteemed Phormio to be so much more valuable than you both to yourself and to him and to your business, that. although you were a man grown, it was to Phormio, not to you, that he left the control of the leases, and gave him his wife in marriage and honoured him as long as he lived. And justly too, men of Athens. For other bankers, who had no rent to pay, but earried on their business on their own account, have all come to ruin : while Phormio, who paid a rent of two talents and forty minae, saved the bank for you. For this Pasio was grateful to him, 52 but you make no account of it. Nay, in defiance of the will and the imprecations written in it by your father, you harass him, you prosecute him, you calumniate him. My good sir-if you ean be addressed by this term-will you not desist, and know this-that to be honest profits more than great wealth? In your own case, at any rate, although, if your words are true, you received all this money, it has all been lost, as you say. But, if you had been a man of character, you would not have squandered it.

For my own part, by Zeus and the gods, though 53 I look at the matter from every side, I can see no reason why the jury should be induced by you to give a verdict against the defendant. Why should they ? Because you make your charges so soon after the offence: But you make them years and ages later. Ah, but you avoided the trouble of lawsuits all this time? But who does not know of all the cases in which you have been engaged without ceasing, not only prosecuting private suits of no less import-

## DEMOSTHENES

 каi крìvข тıvás; ov̉хi Тıцонáхоv катךүóрєıs;
 [961] Mévข






 $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu$ ’ єívaı тоv́т $\omega \nu$ ца́ $\rho \tau v \rho a s ~ \pi a \rho a \sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$

 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \theta$ ' ő $\sigma \alpha$ тô $\tau \rho o ́ \pi о v ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ Фор $\mu i \omega v o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a ~$
 $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau ' ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu$ ' $\epsilon \hat{v} v a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v i \mu a ̂ S ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v . ~ o ́ ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$

 $\epsilon \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \pi о \imath \eta \kappa \grave{\omega}$ є́кс́v, є’к тivos єiкóтшs äv тоóтоv то仑̂тоv $\mu$ óvov $\eta^{\delta} \delta i \kappa \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu ;$
 $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ є́катє́ $\rho о v$ т $\rho о ́ \pi о \nu$.
a Timomachus, Meno, and Autocles (see Oration L.) were successive commanders of the Athenian fleet in Thracian waters, where Apollodorus served as trierarch. Callippus is all but certainly to be identified with the trierarch of that name, who at the bidding of Timomachus, and after Apollo-

## FOR PHORMIO, $53-55$

ance than the present one, but maliciously trumping up public charges, and bringing men to trial? Did you not accuse 'Yimomachus? Did you not accuse Callippus, who is now in Sicily? Or. again, Meno? or Autocles? or 'Timotheus? or hosts of others? ${ }^{a}$ But 54 is it reasonable to believe that you, who are Apollodorus, would deem it your duty to seek satisfaction for public wrongs, which touched you only in part, sooner than for the private wrongs, concerning which you now bring charges, especially when they were as grave as you now claim? Why, then, did you accuse those men, and leave Phormio alone? You were suffering no wrong, but methinks the charges which you are now bringing are baseless and malicious. I think. then, men of Athens, that nothing could be 55 more to the purpose than to bring forward witnesses to these facts. For if one is continually making baseless charges, what can one expect him to do now? In truth, men of Athens, I think that whatever serves as an index of Phormio's character, and of his uprightness and his generosity, I may rightly bring before you as something quite to the purpose. For one who is dishonest in all matters might perhaps have wronged the plaintiff among others ; but a man who has never wronged anybody in anything, but, on the contrary, has voluntarily done good to many, how could he reasonably be thought to have wronged Apollodorus alone of all men ?

When you have heard these depositions, you will know the character of either.
dorus's own refusal to do so, had transported the exile Callistratus from Macedonia to Thasos. Timotheus was the well-known Athenian general, against whom Apollodorus brought also a private suit to recover funds (Oration XLIX.).

## DEMOSTHENES

## MAPTiPIAI

 pias.

MAPTTPPIAI
'A $\rho$ ' oûv ö $\mu$ olos oviтoбi'; бкотєîтє. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon$.

## maptrpiai




## MAPT~PIAI

 хрท́бчноs $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu \omega ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda о i ̂ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, каi


 $\delta \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ oi $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \tau \eta^{\eta} \delta \epsilon \iota \circ \iota \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon \hat{i} v o \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} S$
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## FOR PHORMIO, 56-58

## The Depositions

Now read those which bear upon the basencss of 56 Apollodorus.

The Depositions

Is this fellow of like stamp ? Consider. Read on.

## The Depositions

Now read all the services which Phormio has rendered to the state.

## The Depositions

Phormio, then, men of Athens, who has in so many 57 ways proved himself of service to the state and to many of you, and has never done harm to anyone either in public or in private, and who is guilty of no wrong toward this man Apollodorus, begs and implores and claims your protection, and we, his friends, join in the same plea to you. Of another fact, too, you should be informed. Depositions have been read to you, men of Athens, showing that the defendant has supplied you with funds in excess of the whole amount that he or anybody else possesses ; but Phormio has credit with those who know him for so great an amount and for far larger sums, and through this he is of service both to himself and to you. ${ }^{a}$ Do not throw this away, 58 nor suffer this abominable fellow to destroy it ; do not establish a shameful precedent, that it is permitted by you that rascals and sycophants should take the property of those who are active in business and who lead well-ordered lives. Far greater advantage

## DEMOSTHENES

 $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota$ ．о́ра̂тє $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ av̀тоi каì àкоv́єтє $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \rho-$

 $\pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ фı入avӨрんтía каi $\tau \rho o ́ \pi о v ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota-$
 тоюขิтov a้ $\nu \delta \rho \alpha ~ \pi \rho о \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega, ~ o v ่ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \tau \eta \nu \iota \kappa \alpha \hat{v} \tau '$


 ov̂v $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda$＇$\hat{\omega} \nu$＇$A \pi o \lambda \lambda o ́ \delta \omega \rho o s ~ \epsilon ’ \rho \epsilon \hat{i}, ~ v o \mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau ' ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \imath ~$入óरov каi бvкофаvтias，кє $\kappa \epsilon$ v́єтє $\delta^{\prime}$ av̉тòv ن́û̀v




 $\zeta_{\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov́ $\tau \omega \pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon \in \tau \tau \omega \nu, \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \iota$





 äта⿱亠乂⿰丿丿⿱二小欠
 тupias табi．
accrues to you from this wealth while it remains in the possession of the defendant. For you sce for yourselves, and you hear from the witnesses, what a friend he shows himself to be to those in need. And 59 not one of these acts has he done with a view to pecuniary advantage, but from generosity and kindliness of disposition. So it is not right, men of Athens, that you should give up such a man to be the prey of Apollodorus. Do not show Phormio pity at a time when it will be of no profit to him. but now when it is in your power to save him ; for I see no time in which one could more fittingly come to his aid than now. Most of what Apollodorus will say you must regard 60 as mere talk and baseless calumny. Bid him demonstrate to you, either that his father did not make this will, or that there is another lease than the one which we produce ; or that he himself after going over the reckoning did not give Phormio a release from all the claims regarding which his father-in-law made the award with the plaintiff's own concurrence ; or that the laws permit one to bring action regarding matters thus decided. Or bid him try to show any thing of that sort. But if, for want of proofs, he goes on 61 uttering charges and calumnies and abuse, do not heed him, nor let his noisy talk and shamelessness lead you astray. Nay, keep in mind, and remember all that you have heard. If you do this you will be faithful to your oaths, and will save the defendant, as justice bids. By Zeus and all the gods he deserves it.

Take, and read them the law and these deposi- 62 tions.

## DEMOSTHENES

NOMOE. MAPTTPIAI


${ }^{a}$ The speaker concludes without having exhausted the time allowed him ; there is, therefore, water left in the waterclock. This he effectively bids the attendant to pour out.

## FOR PHORMIO, 62

## The Law. The Depositions

I do not know what reason there is why I should say more; for I believe that nothing that I have said has escaped you. Pour out the water. ${ }^{a}$
Oration XXXVIII, closes with these same words. In Oration LIV., while depositions are being read, the attendant is bidden to check the flow of the water.

## AGAINST PANTAENETUS

## INTRODUCTION

This somewhat difficult speech deals with a complicated situation growing out of successive transfers of a mining property in the district of Maroneia, in Attica. A certain Telemachus had originally purchased ${ }^{a}$ the property from the state, and Pantaenetus bought it from him. Since, however, he lacked capital-whether to pay the purchase price or to carry on the work does not appear-he borrowed money from Mnesicles and others. After this transaction had been completed, and the title to the property had consequently passed to Mnesicles, Pantaenetus, finding himself unable to pay what was due when Mnesicles called for his money, was again forced to seek financial help, this time from Nicobulus and Evergus. These men agreed to purchase the mine from Mnesicles, and to lease it to Pantaenetus, receiving their interest in the form of rent from the profits of the mine. Pantaenetus, on his part, was by the terms of the lease given the right to buy back the mine within a given time.

After this matter had been arranged, Nicobulus went away on a trip to Pontus, and in his absence, Pantaenetus having failed to pay the stipulated rent, Evergus took possession of the property-the mine,

[^82]
## DEMOSTHENES

the slaves, and the accumulated silver ore. Whether he was within his rights in taking this action or whether he exceeded them is not made wholly clear (the word $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu{ }^{a}$ is applied to his act in $\S 26$ ); but Pantaenetus subsequently brought suit against him and recovered the large sum of two talents as damages.

When Nicobulus returned to Athens, he found that Evergus had taken over the mine. However, some new creditors of Pantaenetus had presented themselves adrancing claims against the property ; and Evergus and Nicobulus were glad to arrange a settlement whereby they became vendors of the mine to these claimants and themselves received the money which they had adsanced. Nicobulus wisely exacted from Pantaenetus a release and discharge from all claims.

After Pantaenetus had won his suit against Erergus, he brought a similar suit against Nicobulus, alleging that the latter had abetted Evergus in the violent acts by which he had taken possession of the property. Nicobulus entered a special plea that the action was not admissible primarily because of the release and discharge given him by Pantaenetus, but also because the action for damages was one which could not properly be brought before a court sitting to decide mining cases.

It was in support of this plea in bar of action that the present speech was delivered. It is of high interest on account of the light which it throws on the question of the control and operation of the silver

[^83]372

## AGAINST PANTAENETUS

mines in the region of Laurium. Regarding these, the English reader can find much information in Boeckh's "Dissertation on the Silver Mines of Laurion," in his Public Economy of Athens, pp. 615 ff. (Ed. 2, translated by Lewis), and in the standard manuals on Greek antiquities. The Introduction prefixed to Kennedy's translation of this oration in his Demosthenes, vol. iv. pp. 219-224 (Bohn), is most helpful.

The oration is discussed in Schaefer, iii. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 200 ff ., and in Blass, iii. pp. 477 ff.

## XXXVII

## ПАРАГРАФН ПРОГ ПАNТАINETON

$\Delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa о ́ \tau \omega v, \hat{\omega} \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \delta \delta \rho \epsilon s$ ठıкабтаí, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ $\pi а \rho a \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \psi \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi \epsilon \rho i \hat{\omega} \nu$ adv $\tau \iota s \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon i s$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \pi-$









 $\eta$ ク̇ठікпка тои̂тоv, каi cis $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ v o ́ \mu о v ~ \mu о \iota ~ \delta \iota к \alpha ́-~$


 ßólaıov $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \lambda o v s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \tau o$, ova $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$


${ }^{a}$ That is, within a month from the time of filing the suit; "the object being that the mine-proprietor might not be too long detained from his business." (Boeckh, quoted by Sandys.)

## XXXVII

## NICOBULUS AGAINST PANTAENETUS, A sPECLAL PLEA

Inasmuch as the laws, men of the jury, have granted that a special plea be entered in cases where a man, after having given a release and discharge, nevertheless brings suit, and as both of these hare been given me by Pantaenetus here, I have pleaded, as you have just now heard, that his suit is not admissible. I did not think that I should forgo this right, or that, after I had proved among other things that the plaintiff had released me, and that I had got rid of him, it should be open to him to declare that I was uttering a falsehood and to try to employ the argument that, if any such release had been granted me, I should have put in a special plea to bar his suit. No, I determined to come before you relying on this plea and to prove both points-that I have never done the plaintiff any wrong, and that he is suing me contrary to law. If Pantaenetus had suffered any of the wrongs 2 with which he now charges me, he would be found to have brought suit against me at the time when the contract between us was made, for these actions must be decided within the month, ${ }^{a}$ and both Evergus and I were in town; since all men are wont to be most indig-

## DEMOSTHENES








$3 \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \sigma \varphi \varphi_{\zeta}^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu$ є́ $\mu \alpha v \tau o ́ v . ~ \delta \epsilon \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каі

 ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ} \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \tau o ̀ v \nu o \hat{v} v \cdot \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} v \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \delta \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in$




 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{s}$.







5 П入єíбторı тє́vтє каi $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \rho a ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \mu \nu a ̂ s . ~ \pi \rho а \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$
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## AGAINST PANTAENETUS,

nant at the very time of their wrongs, and not after a period has intervened. Since, however, the plaintiff, though he has suffered no wrong, as I know well you will yourselves agree when you have heard the facts, elated by the success of his suit against Evergus, ${ }^{a}$ brings a malicious and baseless action, there is no other course left me, men of the jury, than to prove in your court that I am guilty of no wrong whatever, to produce witnesses in support of what I say, and to endeavour to save myself. I shall make a reasonable 3 and fair request of you all, that you hear with goodwill what I have to say regarding my special plea, and that you give your attention to every aspect of the case. For, while hosts of cases have been tried in Athens, I think it will be shown that no man has ever brought before you one so marked by shamelessness and malice as this, which this fellow has had the audacity to bring into your court. I shall with all possible brevity set before you all the facts of the case.

Evergus and I, men of the jury, lent to this man 4 Pantaenetus one hundred and five minae on the security of a mining property in Maroneia ${ }^{b}$ and of thirty slaves. Of this loan forty-five minae belonged to me, and a talent to Evergus. It happened that the plaintiff also owed a talent to Mnesicles of Collytus ${ }^{c}$ and forty-five minae to Phileas of Eleusis and Pleistor. The vendor 5 to us of the mining property and the slaves was Mnesicles, for he had purchased them for the plaintiff
a payment due to the state. Because of this Pantaenetus became a debtor to the state and was required to pay double the amount due. He then sued Evergus, and won a verdict of two talents damages.
${ }^{b}$ Maroneia was a small district in the mining area of Laurium in Attica.
${ }^{c}$ Collytus was a deme of the tribe Aegeils.

## DEMOSTHENES

av̉ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ тov́тب $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ T \eta \lambda \epsilon \mu a ́ \chi o v ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ к \epsilon-~$

 $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\nu}$ то仑 $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o v . ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \theta a ~ \sigma v \nu-$









 aن́т仑̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} s$,
 oü $\tau \epsilon \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau o ́ к о v s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi т o \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ovै $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$




 $\sigma \theta \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \tau о$, $\epsilon i$ тоьoíך $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$. тоv́т $\omega \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$



${ }^{\text {a }}$ That is, in March 347 в.с.
${ }^{b}$ See note $a$, p. 376, and the Introduction.
from Telemachus, the former owner ; and the plaintiff leased them from us at a rent equal to the interest accruing on the moner, a hundred and five drachmae a month. We drew up an agreement in which the terms of the lease were stated, and the right was given the plaintiff of redeeming these things from us within a given time. When these transactions had 6 been completed in the month of Elaphebolion in the archonship of Theophilus, ${ }^{a}$ I at once sailed away for Pontus, but the plaintiff and Evergus remained here. What transactions they had with one another while I was away, I cannot state, for they do not tell the same story, nor is the plaintiff always consistent with himself; sometimes he says that he was forciblyousted from his leasehold by Évergus in violation of the agreement; sometimes that Evergus was the cause of his being inscribed as a debtor to the state ${ }^{b}$ sometimes anything else that he chooses to say. But 7 Evergus tells a plain and consistent story, that since he was not receiving his interest, and the plaintiff was not performing any of the other things stipulated in the agreement, he went and took from the plaintiff, with the latter's consent, what was his own, and kept it ; that after this the plaintiff went away, but came back bringing men to make claim to the property ; that he on his own part did not give way in their favour, but made no objection to the plaintiff's holding that for which he had given a lease, prorided he should observe the terms of the agreement. From these men, then, I hear stories of this sort. This, 8 however, I know well, that, if the plaintiff speaks the truth, and has been outrageously treated, as he says, by Evergus, he has had satisfaction to the amount at which he himself assessed his damages ; for he came

## DEMOSTHENES







' $\Omega_{\varsigma}$ oûv $\tau \alpha \hat{u} \tau \alpha \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$, $\tau o v i \tau \omega \nu \tau o u ̀ s$ $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s$ vi $\mu \imath v \pi \alpha \rho \rho^{\prime} \xi \circ \mu a \iota$.

## MAPTiPES



 бтท́рюоv каi $\tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \delta \rho \alpha ́ \pi о \delta \alpha$, каi оиैтє $\pi \alpha \rho \eta ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \grave{\omega}$ тоîs $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \pi \rho \grave{s} \mathrm{Ev} \epsilon \rho \gamma \sigma \nu \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \quad \pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \iota \nu$ ov̋ $\tau$
 ov่ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \dot{\omega} \pi о \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ '̀ $\nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$, ảкоv́є $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v$ v-

 ảкои́баs каі ката入аßふ̀̀ тоиิтоv $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \phi є \sigma \tau \eta к о ́ \tau \alpha, ~$




 є́кєîvov $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \mu i ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ к а i ~ \sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \alpha \iota o \nu ~$ 380
into your court and won his suit against him ; and surely he has no right to obtain damages for the same wrongs both from the one who committed them and from me, who was not even in $\Lambda$ thens. But, if it is Evergus who speaks the truth, he has been made the object, it appears, of a baseless and malicious charge ; but even so there is no ground for my being sued on the same charge.

To prove, in the first place, that I am speaking the truth in this, I shall bring before you the witnesses to establish these facts.

## The Witnesses

That, therefore, the man who sold us the property 9 was the man who had been the original purchaser ; that under the agreement the plaintiff rented the mining establishment and the slaves, recognizing them as belonging to us; that I was not present at the transactions which subsequently took place between the plaintiff and Evergus, and indeed was not even in Athens; that he brought suit against Evergus, and never made any charge against me,-all this, men of the jury, you hear from the witnesses. Well, then, when I came back, having lost practically 10 everything I had when I sailed, I heard, and found it was true, that the plaintiff had given up the property and that Evergus was in possession and control of what we had purchased. I was distressed beyond words, seeing that the matter had got into an awkward predicament; for it was nownecessary for me either to enter into partnership with Evergus for the working and management of the property, or have him for a debtor instead of Pantaenctus, and draw up a new lease and enter into a contract with him ; and I liked

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\pi \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a \quad \tau о \cup ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ทं $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \circ \nu, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$


 $\sigma \beta \eta \tau о v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ к $\alpha \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda a, \sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\epsilon \phi \eta$ тov́тovs $\beta$ ov́$\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$, каi

 $\tau i ́ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$; र̂̀коข $\delta^{\prime}$ oi $\delta \epsilon \delta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \kappa \epsilon ́ v a l ~ \phi а ́ \sigma к о \nu \tau \epsilon s ~$

 ám $\pi$ ov̂v ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ v́ $\gamma \iota \epsilon \in s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$. $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ' \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$
 [970] $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu, \pi \rho о к а \lambda о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} S$ $\omega$ s ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \xi$ о-





 хрŋ́ $\mu \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ خ̀ $\mu \hat{s}$ à $\pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi тò $\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu$ ' єis тои̂тo $\pi \rho о \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau о$, ov̉к ${ }^{\prime \prime} \phi а \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ oi $\tau o ́ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ v ’ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \iota, ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho a \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s$



## AGAINST PANTAENETUS, 11-13

neither of these alternatives. Being vexed at the 11 matters of which I am telling you, and happening to see Mnesicles, who had sold us the property, I came up to him, and reproached him, telling what sort of a man he had recommended to me, and I questioned him regarding the claimants, asking what this was all about. On hearing this. he laughed at the claimants, but stated that they wished to have a conference with us. He declared that he would bring us together, and that he would urge the plaintiff to do all that was right in my regard, and he thought he would persuade him to do so. When we had our meeting-what need 12 is there to tell you all the details?-the men came who claimed to have made loans to the plaintiff on the security of the mining property and the slaves, which we bought from Mnesicles; and there was nothing straightforward or honest about them. Then, when they were convicted of falsehood in all their statements and Mnesicles confirmed our haring bought the property, they offered us a challenge, assuming that we should not accept it, either to take all our money from them and withdraw, or to settle with them by paying their claims; for the security which we held was, they claimed, worth far more than the sums we had lent. When I heard this, on 13 the spur of the moment and without even taking thought, I agreed to take my money, and I persuaded Evergus to adopt the same course. But when the time came for us to receive our money, the matter having been brought to this conclusion, the people who had previously made the offer declared then that they would not pay us unless we became vendors to them of the property, and in this point anyway, men of Athens, they were prudent; for they saw in what

## DEMOSTHENES

 тov́тov.
' $\Omega_{s}$ ổv каi $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$, $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon$ ' $\mu$ оı каi таútas тàs $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a s$.

## MAPTYPIAI






$1 \bar{\tau} \tau i$ ov $\pi о \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o s ; ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau o v ̂ \theta ’ ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota v a$. ó $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$



 є่ $\gamma \grave{\omega} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \alpha$, ä $\sigma \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ фа́бкоข $\theta^{\prime}$ єоракє́val $\mu \epsilon$,


 є́ $\chi$ Өòv каi ठıá申o
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## AGAINST PANTAENETCS, 13-16

baseless and malicious charges we were involved by this fellow.

To prove that I am speaking the truth in this, take, please, these depositions also.

## The Depositions

When the matter stood thus, and the people whom $1 t$ the plaintiff had introduced to us would not give up the money, and it was clear that we were rightfully in possession of what we had purchased, he begged, and implored, and besought us to sell the property. As he made this demand and begged me most earnestly-there is nothing he did not do-I gave way in this matter also. I saw, however, men of 15 Athens, that he was a man of evil disposition, that at the outset he had made charges to us against Mnesicles, and then had quarrelled with Evergus, with whom he was on terms of closest friendship ; that at the first, when I returned from my royage, he pretended that he was glad to see me, but when the time eame for him to do what was right, he became surly with me; that he was a friend to all men until he got some advantage and attained what he wanted, and thereafter became their foe and was at variance with them ; I therefore thought it best, if 16 I withdrew and assumed the position of vendor in this man's interest, that I should obtain a full release and discharge from all elaims, and thus make a final settlement with him. This was agreed to, and he gave me a release in full, while I, as he begged me to do, assumed the position of vendor of the property, exactly as I had myself bought it from Mnesicles. Having, then, reeovered my money, and having done the plaintiff no wrong whatsoever, I imagined, by
vol. I
2 c
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## DEMOSTHENES



$17 \mathrm{~T} \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{\epsilon} v \quad \delta \grave{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a$, каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$ ӧб $\sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$




 $\delta_{i}^{\prime} \kappa \eta, \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \prime ~ \epsilon ’ \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi \omega$.

Kaí $\mu$ оı $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$.

## MAPTYPIA


 oن̂тos Є̇кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

MAPTYPIA
 àфєíرєӨa каi vv̂v бvкофаvтоv́ $\mu \epsilon \theta a, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi Паv-






 [972] $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} v$ єimóvтоs є’ $\mu о \hat{v} \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa є \iota \nu$.
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## AGAINST PANTAENETLS, 16-18

the gods, that. no matter what should happen, he would never bring a suit against me.

These, men of the jury, are the facts regarding 17 which you are to cast your votes, these are the grounds upon which I have entered the special plea that this baseless and malicious suit is not maintainable. I shall bring forward witnesses who were present when I was given a release and discharge by the plaintiff, and shall then proceed to prove that under the law the suit is not maintainable.

Please read this deposition.

## The Deposition

Now, please, read the deposition of the purchasers, that you may be assured that I sold the property at the bidding of the plaintiff and to the persons to whom he bade me sell it.

## The Deposition

Not only have I these witnesses to prove that I 18 have been released and am now the object of a baseless and malicious charge, but Pantaenetus himself is a witness also. For when, in bringing suit against Evergus, he left me out of the question, he himself bore witness that he had no further claim against me. For surely, assuming that he had the same charge to bring against both for the same wrongdoing, he would not, when both were at hand, have passed over the one and brought suit against the other. However, that the laws do not allow a fresh suit to be brought regarding matters that have been thus settled you know, I presume, even without my telling you. Nevertheless, read them this law also.

## DEMOSTHENES

## nomos

 үovтos ảvтıкрvs, $\hat{\omega} \nu$ àv $\alpha \phi \hat{\eta}$ каi $\alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \xi \eta ~ \tau \iota s, ~$

 $\sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \omega \nu . \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau o i ́ v v \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \nu$



















廿єиठо́ $\mu \in \nu \circ \nu$.
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## AGAINST PANTAENETUS, $19-21$

## The Law

You hear the law, men of Athens, expressly stating 19 that in cases where anyone has given a release and discharge, there shall be no further action. And that both these have been effected between the plaintiff and nyself, you have heard from the witnesses. One should not, of course. bring suit in any case when the law forbids it, but least of all ought one in a case like this. For in regard to sales made by the state, one might claim that it had made the sale unjustly, or had sold what was not its own ; and in regard to 20 court decisions it might be claimed that the decision had been rendered through error; and in all other cases where the law forbids action exception might plausibly be taken to each one. But when anyone has himself yielded to argument and given a release, he cannot in the very nature of the case charge himself with having acted unjustly. Those who bring suit in defiance of any other of these provisions fail to abide by what others have determined to be just: but he who again brings suit in matters regarding which he has given a release fails to abide by his own decision. Therefore, against all such your anger should be particularly severe.

Well then, that he released me from all claims, 21 when I sold the slaves to him, I have proved to you ; and that the laws do not allow suits to be brought in such cases you have heard from the law which has just been read. However, that no one of you, men of Athens, may suppose that it is because I am at a disadvantage regarding the rights of the matters at issue that I have recourse to this special plea, I propose to show you that in every one of his charges against me his statements are false.

## DEMOSTHENES

22


## EГKлHMA














 $\tau \alpha \xi \alpha \iota \quad \phi \eta \sigma i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \iota \delta i \quad \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \psi \in v \delta o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$.


 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ Є’ $\sigma \chi \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \xi \alpha \alpha$, оiккє́тך $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$




 є่ $\pi o^{\prime} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

[^85]
## AGANST PANTAENETLS, 22-2t

Read the complaint itself. which he brings against $2 \cdot$ me.

The Complint
Nicobulus has harmed me by laying a plot against me and against my property, having ordered Antigenes, his slave, to take away from my lave the silver which he was bringing to be paid to the state for the mining property which I bought for ninety minae, ${ }^{a}$ and having also caused me to be inscribed as debtor to the treasury for double that amount.

Stop reading. All these charges which he has 23 now lodged against me he previously made against Evergus, and won his suit. Now evidence has been brought before you in the opening of my speech that I was not in the country when these men quarrelled with one another ; but the fact is clear from the complaint itself. For he nowhere stated that I have done any of these things, but, suggesting that I laid a plot against him and against his property, he declares that I ordered my slave to commit these acts; and in this he lies. For how could I have given this order, seeing that at the time I set sail I could by no possibility have had knowledge of what was going to happen here? And then how absurd when he says that I plotted 24 to disenfranchise him and bring him to utter ruin, to have written in the charge that I ordered a slave to do this,--a thing which even a citizen could not do to another citizen. ${ }^{b}$ What, then, is the meaning of this? I suppose that, being unable to refer to me the doing of any of these acts, but wishing to go on with his malicious suit, he wrote in the complaint that I had given the order. There was no sense in his charge, if he had not done this.

[^86]
## DEMOSTHENES


ЕГКАНMA


 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu о \hat{o}$.






 то́т’ oi $\mu \alpha \iota \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon$ ठiкк $\nu \nu$, $\sigma v \nu_{\imath}^{\prime} \theta \omega s$
 оїко $\theta \epsilon \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega \nu} \pi \alpha \rho ’$ є’ $\mu о \hat{v}$ фu入áттєє $\dot{\omega}$ аи̃тóv. $\epsilon i$




$\Lambda \epsilon \in \mathcal{\epsilon} \tau o v \phi_{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} s$.

## ЕГКАН MA



 Hóvov є’к то̂ $\pi \rho о к а \lambda \epsilon і ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o v ́ т o v s ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \delta o v ̂ v a l, ~$

a A site in Maroneia, so called from a monument of Thrasvilus which stood there.
${ }^{\circ}$ A euphemism for the violence and lawlessness with which Evergus had been charged by Pantaenetus. 392

## AGAINST PANTAENETUS, 25-27

Read what follows.

The Complant

And after I had become a debtor to the state, having 25 stationed his slave Antigenes in my mining property at Thrasyllus, ${ }^{a}$ in full control of my works, although I forbade him . . .

Stop reading. In all this he will again be convicted of falsehood by the facts themselves; for he has written in the complaint that I stationed the slave and that he forbade me. But this was impossible in the case of one who was not in the country. Neither did I station anyone, seeing that I was in Pontus, nor did he forbid a man who was not in Athens. How could 26 he ? What was it, then, that forced him to make this statement? I fancy that Evergus, at the time he made the mistakes ${ }^{h}$ for which he has paid the penalty, being on friendly terms with me and well known, took the slave from my house and stationed him at his own works to keep guard. If, then, he had written the truth, it would have been ridiculous. For, if Evergus stationed the slave there, wherein do I wrong you? It was to avoid this absurdity that he was compelied to write as he did, that his charge might be directed against me.

Read what follows.

## The Complaint

And then having persuaded my slaves to sit in the foundry ${ }^{\text {c }}$ to my prejudice.

This is out-and-out impudence. Not only from my 27 challenging him to give up these slaves for torture

[^87]
## DEMOSTHENES




 бабӨaı，каì таvิта $\mu \in \mu \alpha \rho \tau \hat{\rho} \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ ．
$\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ́ к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \stackrel{\circ}{\rho} \mu \omega s$.

## ПРоклнミİ

28 Tav́т $\eta v$ тoívvv oủxi $\delta \epsilon \xi$ द́ $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ́ к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ，
 єं $\gamma \kappa а \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ．
$\Lambda \epsilon ' \gamma \epsilon \tau о ⿱ 亠 \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$.

## EГK $\triangle H M A$




 $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \iota, ~ к а і ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \hat{\omega} \nu ~ E v ̇ є ́ \rho \gamma о v ~ к а т \epsilon \delta \iota к \alpha ́ \sigma \omega ;$


## еГКлнMA
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## 

and from his refusing to do so, but from every circumstance of the case its falsehood is manifest. Why, pray, should I have induced them to do this ? 'That, forsooth, I might get possession of them. But when the option was given me either to keep the property or to recover my money, I chose to recover my money; and of this you have heard the evidence.

Nevertheless, read the challenge.

## The Cifallenge

Although he did not accept the challenge, but de-2clined it, see what a charge he makes immediately thereafter.

Read what comes next.

## The Complant

And having reduced the silver-ore which my slaves had dug, and keeping the silver smelted from that ore.

Again, how could this have been done by me when I was not here :-things, too, for which you won a judgement against Evergus ?

Read the further charges.

## The Complaint

And having sold my mining property and the slaves, 29 contrary to the agreement which he had made with me.

Stop reading. This far outdoes all the rest. For in the first place he says, " contrary to the agreement which he had made with me." What agreement is this? We leased our own property to this man, at a rent equal to the interest on the loan : that was all. It was Mnesicles who sold it to us, in the presence of the plaintiff and at his request. Afterwards in the 30 same way we sold the property to others on the same

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \quad \delta \epsilon ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau o \hat{\tau} \tau o \nu \pi \rho a \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha$. $\tau i$ ov̂v ai $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ढ̀v $\alpha \hat{v} \theta a \quad \sigma v \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \iota ; ~ \tau i ́ \tau o v ̂ \tau ', ~ \hat{\omega}$ фаv-




## MAPTTPIA


 $\tau \omega \nu$ каi $\delta \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ \omega \nu$ каi є́ $\xi \alpha к о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu ~ a \pi \epsilon ́ \delta о v ~ \sigma v ́ ~ к а i ́ \tau о \iota ~$ $\tau i ́ s ~ a ̈ \nu ~ к \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \xi ~ \pi \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha ́ ~ \sigma ’ ~ Є ’ \chi \omega \nu ~ \sigma o i ̀ ~ \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \eta ̀ \nu$ є́ $\delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \alpha \nu$;
' $А \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ öт $\iota ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$ ' $\alpha \lambda \eta \eta \hat{\eta} \quad \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \omega$, ка́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \mu о \iota$ тоข́т $\omega \nu$ тov̀s $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s$.

## MAPTTPE

32 " $\mathrm{E} \chi \omega \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau o i \nu v \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ avizô $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, $\delta \epsilon \eta \theta \epsilon i s \delta^{\prime}$ є’ $\mu о \hat{v}$ тò $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho a \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ô $\sigma v \nu-$ [976] є́ßa入ov ảp $\gamma v$ úpıov, aúтòs $\delta v o i ̂ v ~ \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ v \tau o \iota v ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta \iota к \alpha ́-~$
 $\delta \in \iota \nu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$.

EГK $\Lambda H M A$




${ }^{\text {a }}$ The title wa, not vested in Pantaenctus, but in the other claimants to whom it had been transferred at his request.
${ }^{b}$ See $\S 45$; and compare the oration against Meidias (XXI.) § 79.
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## AGAINST PANTAENETCS, 30-33

terms upon which we had ourselves bought it, and the plaintiff not only urged but actually implored us to do so ; for no one was willing to accept him as the rendor. What, then, does the agreement to lease it have to do with the matter: Why, most worthless of men, did you insert that elause

However, to prove that we resold the property at your request, and on the same terms as those upon which we ourselves bought it, read the deposition.

## The Deposition

You are yourself also a witness to this ; for what we 31 purchased for one hundred and five minae, this you afterward sold for three talents and twenty-six hundred drachmae. And yet who, if he had you as one to complete a final sale, would have given a single drachma?

To prove that I speak the truth in this, call, please, the witnesses who establish the facts.

## The Witvesses

He has, then, received the sum which he agreed to 32 take for his property,-he even begged me that I should assume the position of vendor for the sum which I had advanced-ret this same man sues me for two talents more. And the rest of the charges are even more outrageous.

Read, please, the remainder of the complaint.

## The Complatint

Here he brings against me in one mass a host of 33 dreadful charges; for he accuses me of assault and battery, outrage, and of violent wrongs even against heiresses. ${ }^{b}$ But for each of these wrongdoings actions

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \beta \iota \alpha i ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \rho a ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha, ~ a i ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ üß $\rho \epsilon \omega s$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu o \theta \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha s, ~ o ̋ \sigma \alpha ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon ’ \pi \iota-~$

 ov̉k єioiv єi $\sigma \alpha \gamma \omega \in i ̂ s$.
\є́ $\gamma$ ' av่тоîs тоvтovi тòv vó $\mu$ оv.

## nomos

 «$\lambda \lambda_{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \hat{\eta}$, каi оv’к oैv $\tau \omega \nu$ єi $\sigma \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\lambda \iota \pi \tau \alpha \iota$ каi оv่ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ тара $\rho \alpha \phi \hat{\eta}$. тò $\delta^{\prime}$ ő $\pi \omega s$


 $\epsilon ’ \xi \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \psi \alpha \iota \delta v \nu \eta \prime \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.


 фаvтєîन $\theta a \iota$.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in$.

## NOMOE

О $\hat{v} \tau$ оs $\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega}$ s ó vó $\mu$ оs $\delta \iota \epsilon i \rho \eta к \epsilon \nu$, $\hat{\omega} v$ єîval $\delta_{\imath}^{\prime} к а s$




> a "The Forty" were circuit judges. b That is, the chief archon.

## AGAINST PANTAENETCS, 33-95

are separate: they do not come before the same magistrates and they are not for the recovery of the same penalties. Assault and battery and crimes of violence come before the Forty ${ }^{a}$ : cases of outrage before the Thesmothetae; and all crimes against heiresses before the Archon. ${ }^{b}$ And the laws grant the filing of pleas to bar action also in case of charges brought before magistrates who have not due competeney.

Read them this law.

## The Law

Although I had entered this exception in bar of 34 action in addition to the other, and although the Thesmothetae have not competency in the matters concerning which Pantaenetus is bringing his suit, it has been erased. and is not found in the plea as written. How this has come about it is for you to consider. ${ }^{c}$ To me, so long as I am able to produce the law itself, it makes not the slightest difference: for he will not be able to erase from your minds your power to know and understand the right.

Take also the mining law. For I think I ean show 35 you from this, too, that the action is not maintainable, and that I deserve thanks rather than to be made the object of a baseless and malicious charge.

Read.

## The Law

This law has clearly defined in what eases mining actions may properly be brought. Observe-the law makes a man liable if he eject another from his workings ; but I, far from ejecting the plaintiff, gave over
${ }^{c}$ He hints that the omission of the exception filed by him was not an accident.

## DEMOSTHENES

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \prime \rho \epsilon \iota, \tau о \mathcal{v}^{\tau} \omega \nu$ є́ $\gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\eta}$ катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho-$
 $36 \nu \alpha i, \phi \eta \sigma i v . ~ \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \ddot{\alpha} \nu \quad$ ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ 人̀ $\delta \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$, каi тои́т $\omega \nu$ єiбi ठiкаи. o’ $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \gamma^{\prime}$, $\hat{\omega}$




 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o i ̈ \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma i ́ ~$
 ő $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \grave{\alpha} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ $\pi \rho i \eta \tau \alpha \iota$, $\tau o v ̀ s$ коıvov̀s $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ vó $\mu$ ovs, ка $\theta^{\prime}$ ovis кai $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i$





 $\sigma v \nu \tau \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ єis $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ каi ő $\lambda \omega s$ тоîs

 à $\pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi o ́ \tau \iota ~ \gamma \lambda i ́ \sigma \chi \rho \omega s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau о и ́ т o v, ~ о ن ̉ к ~$




## AGALNST PANTAENETLS, 35-39

to him and put him in possession of that of which another was seeking to deprive him ; and I became the vendor of it at his request. Yes, says he, but if 36 one commit other wrongs concerning mines, for these, too, actions may be brought. Certainly. Pantaenetus: but what are these? If one smokes out another. if one makes an armed attack, if one makes cuttings which encroach upon another's working.. 'These are the other cases ; but I. of course have done nothing of this sort to you. unless you hold that people who seek to recover what they had risked in a loan to you are making an armed attack. If you hold that view, you have mining suits against all those who risk their own money. But there is no justice in that. For 37 consider-if a man purchases a mine from the state, shall he disregard the general laws in accordance with which all men are bound to render and obtain justice, and bring suit in a mining court, if he borrows from another ?-if he be evil spoken of ?-if he be beaten ?-if he charge one with theft :- if he fail to recover money advanced for another's tax ?--if, in short, he has any other ground for action? I think not. Mining suits, in my judgement, are to be brought 38 against those sharing in the business of mining and those who have bored through into another's property, and. in short, against those engaged in mining who do any of the things mentioned in the law. But a man who has lent money to Pantaenetus, and by persistently sticking to him has with difficulty got it back, is not also to be made defendant in a mining suit ; I should say not!

That I have, therefore, done no wrong to the de- 39 fendant and that the suit is not admissible under the laws one may easily determine from a considera-

## DEMOSTHENES


 [9i8] каi $\psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\omega s} \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ้ \gamma к \lambda \eta \mu \alpha ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu, \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i$
 $40 \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \nu, \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha$ $\pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \alpha ́ v \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \circ \nu \cdot \alpha ̉ \nu \alpha \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́$ -



 єîval $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \mu \hat{\eta} s$ тô $\pi \alpha \iota \delta o ́ s . ~ \lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \not \epsilon \gamma v \eta \tau \alpha ̀ s$




 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \omega ́ \rho о \nu \nu$. каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ тробка入єî $\alpha \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v ~ \mu \epsilon$



 $\delta \epsilon i \xi a \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \alpha$ каi кат̀̀ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ö $\tau \iota$ סógaı ( $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \theta o ́ \rho v \beta o v ~ \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota v ~$

[^88]tion of these points. So, as he had not a single valid argument to advance in support of his charges. but had even ineorporated false statements in his complaint, and was bringing suit regarding claims for which he had given a release, last month, men of Athens, when I was on the point of entering the court, and the court-rooms had already been allotted to the jurymen, he came up to me and surrounded me with his minions (that gang of his fellow-conspirators), and did a most outrageous thing. He read me a long 40 challenge, demanding that a slave who, he claimed. was acquainted with the facts, should be put to the torture ; and that. if the facts as alleged by him were true, I should have to pay him the damages charged without adjustment by the jury ; but if they were false, Mnesicles, the torturer, should determine the value of the slave. When he had received sureties to this agreement from me and I had sealed the challenge (not that I thought it fair ; for how could it be fair 41 that it should depend upon the body and life of a slave, whether I should be condemned to pay two talents, or the bringer of this malicious suit get off scot-free? But I, wishing to prevail by a preponderance of fair play, made this concession)-after this he again summoned me in the suit, as soon as he had taken back his deposits ; ${ }^{a}$ so clear did he make it at once that he would not abide by the conditions which he had himself laid down. But when we had come 42 before the torturer, instead of opening the challenge, showing its contents, and proceeding in accordance with its terms to do what seemed right (for on account of the turmoil at that time and the fact that
sum deposited (as court-fees $\pi \rho v \tau a v \epsilon i a ?$ ) when the suit was brought.

## DEMOSTHENES

 $\sigma \epsilon \tau а v \tau i \cdot \delta \epsilon ́ \chi о \mu a \iota \cdot \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon$ тòv $\delta а к \tau v ́ \lambda \iota o v \cdot \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \cdot \tau i s$


 $\pi \rho о ́ к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu, a ̉ \xi \iota \omega \nu$ av̇тòs $\beta a \sigma a \nu i ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ тòv ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \nu \rho \omega \pi \pi \nu$,







 $\kappa \alpha i$ ö́ть $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega$, $\lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$.

## mpokahisi


 $\dot{v} \mu \hat{s}, \theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega$. iva $\delta^{\prime} \in i \delta \bar{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \phi^{\prime}$ o oi $\phi \eta \sigma i$ cai $\tau \dot{a}$

 фì入 $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Пavтаıvéтov каì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu$. ova $\gamma$ à $\rho$
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## AGAINST PANTAENETCS, $4 \mathcal{L}$

the case was about to be called, it was like this: I offer you this challenge.-I accept it.-Let me have your ring.-Take it.-Who is your surety ?-This man here.-and I had taken no copy or anything else of that sort) : instead of acting in the way of which I speak, he had brought with him a different challenge. insisting that he should himself torture the man, and he laid hold of him, dragged him this way and that, and went beyond all bounds in blackguardly action. On my part, men of the jury, I was led to reflect what 43 gain there is in a life moulded to serve one's ends. ${ }^{a}$ For it seemed to me that I was suffering this treatment because I was despised as one who lived a simple and natural life, and that I was paying a heavy penalty in having to submit to this.

However, to prove that I was compelled to give a counter-challenge contrary to what I thought was right, that I offered to give up the slave, and that I am speaking the truth in this, read the challenge.

## The Challenge

Since he refused this, and refused the challenge 44 which he himself gave at the first, I wonder what in the world he will have to say to you. But that you may know who it is at whose hands he claims to have suffered these indignities-behold him ! ${ }^{b}$ This is the man who dispossessed Pantaenetus; this is the man who was stronger than the friends of Pantaenetus, and stronger than the laws. For I myself was not in Athens; even he does not make that charge.

I wish to tell you also the means by which he misled 45 the former jury, and convicted Evergus, that you
${ }^{b}$ Here the speaker effectively brings before the jury the slave, Antigenes-a feeble, old man.

## DEMOSTHENES

 бӨaı $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \epsilon i ́ \psi \epsilon \iota$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau о$ úroıs каì $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \hat{\omega} \nu$ є’ $\mu о i$






${ }^{46} \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \lambda \eta \prime \rho \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \iota к а \sigma \tau \eta ́ \rho \iota o v . ~ к а і ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon v}$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota, \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \alpha \rho ’ \hat{\dot{\varphi}} \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \iota \kappa i ้ \nu-$
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## AGAINST PANTAENE゚イLS. $55-18$

may realize that in this trial also there will be no limit to his impudence and that he will shrink from no falsehoods. More than this: in regard to his present suit against me, you will find my means of defence ${ }^{a}$ are the same as those of Evergus, which is the most convincing proof that Evergus has been the victim of a malicious and baseless charge. For in addition to all the other accusations the plaintiff charged that Evergus came to his home in the country, and made his way into the apartments of his daughters, who were heiresses, and of his mother ; and he brought with him into court the laws concerning heiresses. And yet up to this day he has never 46 had the case examined before the Archon, whom the law appoints to have charge of such matters, and before whom the wrongdoer runs the risk of having punishment or fine adjudged against him, while by the prosecutor redress is sought without risk ; ${ }^{b}$ nor has he impeached either me or Evergus as wrongdoers, but he made these charges in the court-room, and secured a rerdict for two talents. For, I take it, 47 it would have been an easy matter for Evergus, if he had known in advance (as under the laws he should have known) the charge on which he was being tried. to set forth the truth of the matter and the justice of his cause, and so win acquittal ; but in a mining suit regarding matters concerning which he could never have imagined that he would be accused, it was hard to find, offhand, means to free himself from the false charges ; and the indignation ${ }^{c}$ of the jurymen, who were misled by the plaintiff, found him guilty in the matter upon which they sat in judgement. And yet 45 do you think that the man who deceived those jurymen will hesitate to try to deceive you ?-or that he

## DEMOSTHENES

 $\tau \circ \hat{\varsigma} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ aviтov̂ $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \sigma \iota, \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau^{\prime}$ àкаӨа́ $\rho \tau \omega$ каі $\mu \iota \alpha \rho \hat{\varphi}$ Проклєi, $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega$ тои́т $\omega, \kappa \alpha i$






 $\gamma \in \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ a i \tau i ́ o v s ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठíкаıа $\pi \circ \imath \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ s$
 $\sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda a \iota ' \eta ’ \delta i к \epsilon \iota s$, каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i ̂ s . ~ к а i$



 бas, סvoîv $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ v \tau o u v ~ \tau \alpha v \tau \eta v i ̀ ~ \phi \epsilon র ́ \gamma \omega ~ \delta i ́ к \eta \nu . ~ к а i ~$



 оiкє́тоv vウ̀ Día тоủpov̂. тis $\delta^{\prime}$ äv оiкє́ $\tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$
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## AGAINST PANTAENETCS, $18-51$

comes into court with his confidence fixed upon the facts, and not rather upon assertions and upon the witnesses who are in league with him (that foul blackguard Procles, the tall fellow there, and Stratocles. the smoothest-tongued of men and the basest). and in his readiness to weep and wail without disguise or shame? But you are so far from deserving pity, 49 that more than any man in the world you should rightly be detested for the deeds you have wrought -you who, owing one hundred and five minae and not being able to satisfy your creditors, and then finding men who helped you to raise the money and enabled you to do what was right by those who originally made the loan, are seeking, quite apart from the wrongs you committed against them in regard to the loan itself, also to deprive them of their civic rights. In the case of other men one may see borrowers having to give up their property. but in your case it is the lender who has come to this plight, and, having lent a talent, has been forced to pay two talents as the victim of a baseless charge ; and I, who lent forty minae, am defendant 50 in this suit for two talents. Again, on property on which you were never able to borrow more than one hundred minae, and which you sold outright for three talents and two thousand drachmae. ${ }^{a}$ you have, as it seems, sustained damages to the amount of four talents! From whom? From my slave, you will say. But what eitizen would let himself be ousted from his own property by a slave? Or who would say that it is right that my slave be held responsible for acts, for which the plaintiff has brought action against Evergus and obtained a verdict? Be-51 sides all this, the plaintiff has himself given him a

## DEMOSTHENES





 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta ~ \lambda a \chi \omega ́ v, ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ סov́dov $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu a \theta^{\prime}, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ кขрíov, катךүо́р $\eta \sigma \epsilon$;

 'AӨทvaîo тoùs $\delta \alpha \nu \epsilon i \zeta o v \tau a s . ~ N ı к o ́ \beta o v \lambda o s ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i-~$

 $\phi \eta \sigma i \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \mu о \hat{v}$. каi таv̂т' оv̉к ai $\sigma \chi v ́ v \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, оข่ठє́ тоѝs ảкоv́оvтаs оїєтаı $\mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, о̋ть бvко-

 [982] $\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \tau \iota v \alpha ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ́ к o ́ \tau \omega s ~ a ̈ \nu ~ ن ́ \phi ’ ~ ن ́ \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, oî тє́ $\chi \nu \eta \nu$ тò $\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha$ $\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \circ \iota, \mu \eta ́ \tau \epsilon$ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta s$





 $\nu \in \iota \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha, \kappa \alpha i$ харíбaбӨaı ßоv入ó $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оs каi $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\lambda a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ סıappvèv aư $o ̀ v ~ \tau \alpha ̉ \rho \gamma u ́ p ı o v, ~ \tau i ́ ~ \tau \iota s ~ a ̆ \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ \tau o v ~$ єis є́кєílovs $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon$ '̀ך; $\epsilon i \mu \eta$ тоर̂тo $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$, wis ôs äv боi $\delta \alpha \nu \epsilon i ́ \sigma \eta, ~ \tau о ข ̂ \tau о \nu ~ \delta \eta \mu о \sigma i ́ a ~ \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ к є \iota . ~$
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## AGANST PAN゙TAENETLS, 5月5\%

release from all charges of this kind. He ought not to be stating these charges now, nor to have inserted them in the challenge in which he demanded the slave for torture, but to have instituted suit against him, and to have prosecuted me as his owner. As it is, he has instituted suit against me, but accuses him. This the laws do not permit. For whoever instituted suit against the master, and charged the facts against his slave-as though the slave had any authority of hisown:

When anyone asks him, " What valid charges will 52 you be able to make against Nicobulus?" he says, " The Athenians hate moner-lenders; Nicobulus is an odious fellow: he walks fast. ${ }^{a}$ he talks loud, and he carries a cane : and (he says) all these things count in my favour." He is not ashamed to talk in this way. and also fancies that his hearers do not understand that this is the reasoning. not of one who has suffered wrong, but of a malicious pettifogger. I. for my s3 part, do not regard a money-lender as a wrongdoer, although certain of the class may justly be detested by you, seeing that they make a trade of it, and have no thought of pity or of anything else, except gain. Since I have myself often borrowed money, and not merely lent it to the plaintiff, I know these people well ; and I do nat like them. either: but, by Zeus, I do not defraud them, nor bring malicious charges against them. But if a man has done business as I 54 have, going to sea on perilous journeys, and from his small profits has made these loans, wishing not only to confer favours, but to prevent his money from slipping through his fingers without his knowing it, why should one set him down in that class:-umless you mean this, that anyone who lends money to you ought to be detested by the public.

## DEMOSTHENES


 єiцí.

MAPTMPIAI
55 Toıồos, $\hat{\omega}$ Пavтаivє $\tau^{\prime}, \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega^{\prime}$, ó $\tau \alpha \chi \grave{v} \beta \alpha \delta_{i}^{\prime} \omega \nu$,

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s, \hat{\omega}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ ठıкабтаi, $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ a s$.
 $\epsilon \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \phi v \kappa \frac{́}{\tau} \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \check{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\prime} \pi \omega \nu$, ov̉ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\lambda o \hat{\mu} \mu a \iota \pi o \iota \hat{\omega} \nu, \lambda v \pi \hat{\omega} \tau \iota v a ́ s, \pi \hat{\omega} s$ ov̉火 ả $\tau v \chi \hat{\omega}$ катà








 Паעтаivєтє; $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ к \alpha i ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \theta a s ; ~ о и ̉ к о 仑 ̂ \nu ~$
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## AGAINST PANTAENETLS, 51-5\%

Read me, please, the depositions, to show what manner of man I am to those who lend money, and to those who need my help.

## The Depositions

Such am I, Pantaenetus, the fast walker, and such 55 are you, who walk slowly. However, regarding my gait and my manner of speech, I will tell you the whole truth, men of the jury, with all frankness. I am perfectly aware-I am not blind to the factthat I am not one of those favoured by nature in these respects, nor of those who are an advantage to themselves. For if in matters in which I reap no profit, I annoy others, surely I am to this extent unfortunate. But what is to come of it? If I lend money to so- 56 and-so, am I for this reason also to lose my suit? Surely not. The plaintiff cannot point out any baseness or villainy attaching to me, nor does a single one among you, many as you are, know any such thing against me. As to these other qualities, each one of us, I take it, is as nature happened to make him ; and to fight against nature, when one has these characteristics, is no easy task (for otherwise we should not differ from one another) ; though to recognize them in looking on another and to criticize them is easy. But which one of these qualities has any 57 bearing on my dispute with you, Pantaenetus? You have suffered many grievous wrongs? Well, you have had satisfaction. Not from me? No; for you were not wronged in any way by me. Otherwise you would never have given me the release, nor, when you were making up your mind to bring suit against Evergus, would you have passed me by ; nor would you have demanded that one who had done you many grievous wrongs should undertake to be vendor

## DEMOSTHENES

каi тó入入’ єipүaтнévov. єîта каi $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ äv ó $\mu \eta ̀$


 oîmal $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha s ~ a ̈ v ~ ن ́ \mu \hat{a s ~ o ́ \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta ̄ \sigma a l, ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~}$











 $\phi \in u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, äv $\dot{\alpha} \lambda i ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$, каi $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \alpha ́ v a \iota ~ \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau о v \sigma \iota \nu$ oi



 äкvроv $\neq \sigma \tau \alpha \iota ; ~ \mu \eta \delta a \mu \hat{\omega}$. ov $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \epsilon i, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 ${ }^{2}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \phi$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ omitted by Blass.
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## AGAINST PANTAENETLS, $54-60$

of the property. Besides. how could I have wronged you, when I was not present or even in the country ? Well then, suppose ${ }^{a}$ one should grant that Pantae- is netus has suffered the greatest possible wrongs, and that everything which he will now allege about these matters is true, this, at least, I presume, you would all admit: that it has happened to others ere now to have suffered many wrongs more serious than pecuniary wrongs. For involuntary homicides, outrages on what is sacred, and many other such crimes are committed; yet in all these cases the fact that they have yielded to persuasion and given a release is appointed for the parties wronged as a limit and settlement of the dispute. And this just principle 59 is so binding among all men, that if anyone having convicted another of involuntary homicide, and clearly shown him to be polluted, ${ }^{b}$ subsequently takes pity on him and releases him, he has no longer the right to have the same person driven into exile. Again, if the victim himself before his death releases the murderer from bloodguiltiness, it is not lawful for any of the remaining kinsmen to prosecute; but those whom the laws sentence to banishment and exile and death, upon conviction, if they are once released, are by that word freed from all evil consequences. If, then, when life and all that 60 is most precious are at stake, a release has this power and validity, shall it be without effect when money is at stake, or claims of lesser importance? Surely not. For the thing most to be feared is, not that I should fail to obtain justice in your court, but that you should now in our day do away with a just practice, established from the beginning of time.

## AGAINST NAUSIMACHUS

## INTRODUCTION

Nadsimachus and Xenopeithes were sons of a rich merchant and banker, named Nausicrates. At his death they became the wards of Aristaechmus, against whom, on attaining their majority, they brought suit for damages in the amount of eighty talents, charging him with breach of trust in the conduct of the guardianship. The suit was compromised, and upon paying the claimants three talents Aristaechmus received a release in full. Shortly after this he died.

Years afterwards-fourteen years after the release had been given and twenty-two years after the conclusion of the guardianship-Nausimachus and Xenopeithes separately brought suit against each of his four children (making eight actions in all), claiming damages in the sum of four talents, or thirty minae in each suit. The claimant in the present action maintained that Aristaechmus, after the release given him, had collected a debt of one hundred staters due to Nausicrates from an individual in Bosporus. This amounted to two thousand eight hundred drachmae (see Oration XXXIV. § 23), or, in round numbers with some allowance for interest, about thirty minae.

The defendant for whom this speech was written interposed a special plea in bar of action, based upon the full release given to Aristaechmus, and upon the statute of limitations, which precluded wards, 418

## AGAINST N゙AUSIMACHES

after the lapse of five years. from bringing suit to establish claims growing out of matters connected with guardianship, He makes also the oft-repeated charge that the suit was baseless and malicious.

See further Schaefer, iii. $^{2}$ pp. 207 ff ., and Blass, iii. pp. 48 ₹ ff.

## XXXVIII

## ПАРАГРАФН ПРОЕ NA工̌IMAXON KAT ヨENOПEIOHN

$\Delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa о ́ \tau \omega \nu, \hat{\omega}$ ar $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon S$ Sıкабтаí，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \eta \tau \alpha \iota, \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \prime v \omega \nu$ ar $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i$
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} v, \pi \alpha \rho \in \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}, \stackrel{\ddot{\omega}}{ } \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \kappa о ⿱ ⺌ 兀 寸 \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau i \omega s, \mu \grave{\eta}$
 ठікаıа каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho i ' ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$



 $\hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \dot{v} \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, \tau \epsilon \in \tau \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ．on $\nu \tau \epsilon S$
 $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s, \tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i \not \omega \nu$ є́ка́ $\sigma \tau \eta \nu, \beta \lambda \alpha \beta^{\beta}{ }^{\prime} \cdot \kappa \alpha i$
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## XXXVIII

## ONE OF THE SONS OF ARISTAECHMUS AG.IINST NAUSIMACHUS AND XENOPEITHES, A SPECLAL PLEA

Inasmuch as the laws, men of the jury, have granted that a special plea may be entered in cases where a man, after giving a release and discharge, ${ }^{a}$ nevertheless brings suit, and as both of these have been given to our father by Nausimachus and Nenopeithes who have commenced suit against us, we have pleaded, as you have just now heard, that their suit is not admissible. I shall make of you all a just 2 and reasonable request: first, that you listen to my words with goodwill, and, secondly, that if you think that I am being wronged and made defendant in a suit which has no valid basis, you render me the succour which is my due. The damages claimed in the action are, as you have heard, thirty minae; but the sum for which we are really being sued is four talents. For there are two of them, and they have entered four suits against us, all for a like amount, each for three thousand drachmae damages ${ }^{b}$ and now on a complaint for thirty minae we are brought to trial for so large a sum. The malicious actions of 3
This makes eight suits for thirty minae each, so that the total amount is four talents.

## DEMOSTHENES



 $\tau \grave{a} s \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a s, ~ \omega ́ s ~ a ̉ \phi \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho ’ ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ف̂v




## MAPTYPIAI







 $\gamma \nu \omega ̂ \nu a \iota$.
$\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau o ̀ v$ vó $\mu о \nu$.



 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$ à $\phi \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu v i a s, ~ к \alpha i$
 6 є́̀ $\eta \lambda \hat{v} \theta \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ảvaı $\sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau i ́ a s ~ o \hat{v} \tau о \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ́ \lambda \mu \eta s, ~ \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$
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## AGAINST NALSMACHLS.: -6

these men, and the guile with which they have proceeded against us, you will come to know from the facts themselves. But first the clerk shall read to you the depositions which show that they released our father from the charges which they made in the matter of his guardianship; for it is on this, ground that we entered our plea that the action is not maintainable.

Please read these depositions.

## The Depositions

That they entered suit, men of the jury, regarding 4 the guardianship; that they dropped those actions; and that they have in their possession the sums of money agreed upon, you hear from the witnesses. That the laws do not allow suit to be entered afresh regarding matters which have been thus settled, I presume you know, even if I say nothing about the matter ; nevertheless I want to read you the law itself.

Read the law.

## The Law

You hear the law, men of the jury, expressly stat- 5 ing the several cases in which there shall be no actions. One of them (and it is as binding as any of the others) is that suit may not be brought in matters for which anyone has given a release and discharge. Yet, although the release was thus given in the presence of numerous witnesses, and although the law manifestly absolves us, these men have come to such a pitch of shamelessness and audacity, that. when 6 fourteen years have elapsed from the time when the $y$ gave my father a release, and twenty-two years after

## DEMOSTHENES

ô̂ $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v o v \sigma \iota v \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota,{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau o s$. $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ каi $\tau \circ \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ ท̀ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̂ v ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~$













 $\epsilon i \sigma \pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta \epsilon \prime \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ठ' $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ каí $\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu \quad \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho \alpha \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu,{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \rho \alpha \pi o ́ \delta \omega \nu$, каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \omega \rho i \alpha$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ бvvoıкías є́ $\pi \rho i ́ a \nu \theta^{\prime}$ oi є́ $\pi i \tau \rho о \pi о \iota, ~ a ̂ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \beta о \nu ~$





$\left.{ }^{1} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota\right]$ є' $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota$ Blass.

[^95]
## AGAINST NAUSIMACHUS, 6-8

they had first indicted him, ${ }^{a}$ when my father was now dead, with whom the settlement had been made and also the guardians who after his death had charge of our property, when their own mother, too, was dead, who was well-informed regarding all these matters, and the arbitrators, the witnesses, and almost everybody else, if I may so say, counting our inexperience and necessary ignorance a boon to themselves, they have instituted these suits against us, and have the audacity to make statements which are neither just nor reasonable. They declare that 7 they did not sell their father's estate for the money which they received, nor did they give up the property, but that all that was left them-credits, furniture, and even money-still belongs to them. I, for my part, know by hearsay that Xenopeithes and Nausicrates ${ }^{b}$ left their entire property in outstanding debts, and possessed very little tangible property ; and that when the debts had been collected and some furniture and slaves had been sold, their guardians purchased the farms and lodging-houses, which our opponents received from them. If there had been 8 no dispute about these matters before, and no suit had been entered charging maladministration of the property, it would have been another story ; but since these men brought suit against our father in the matter of his general conduct as guardian and recovered damages, all these matters were at that time

If with мs. A we read é $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon \in v o t$, and render, " after they had been enrolled as citizens,"' we still have to ask why they should have waited eight years after attaining their majority before seeking an accounting from their guardians.
${ }^{\circ}$ The former was the uncle, the latter the father of the plaintiff.

## DEMOSTHENES

oü $\tau \epsilon$ रà $\rho$ ov̂̃oı $\tau 0 \cup ้ v o ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \delta \eta ́ \pi o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota-~$

 $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.

 $\epsilon ่ \pi \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \hat{\eta} s$, oư $\delta \epsilon \nu$ ós $\epsilon i \sigma \iota$ тov́тoıs ка $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\pi-$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ( $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \circ$ रà $\frac{\pi \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \tau v \sigma \iota \nu ~ o v ̂ \tau о \iota ~ к а i ~}{\text { 人 }}$

 $\tau \rho \iota \sigma i \quad \mu \eta \sigma i v$ v̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ ク̈ $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda$ v́ $\sigma a \tau o$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v s ~$

 тои̂тov ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \rho a \psi \alpha \nu$ єis тò $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ ), каi то̂̂т' є’ $\pi \iota-$


 $\sigma \kappa о \pi \omega ิ \nu ~ к а i ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \omega ิ \nu ~ i ́ \delta o \iota, ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu o ́ v o v ~ o v ̉ \chi i ~ \lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \alpha, ~$







[^96]
## AGAINST NAUSIMACHUS, 8-1』

released. For our opponents, I take it, did not bring suit for the mere name " mal-administration in guardianship," but for the money; nor did the guardians buy off this name with the money which they paid, but they bought off the claims.

That, therefore, these men have no right of action 9 against us for the debts which our father collected before the settlement, or, in general, for moneys which he received by virtue of his guardianship, seeing that they have given a release for their claims, I think you have all adequately learned from the laws themselves and from the release. Moreover, that it is impossible that the collection of these funds should have been made subsequently (this is the story they are making up to lead you astray), I wish to prove. As for my father, they cannot charge that he 10 received them; for he died three or four months after the settlement was made with them ; and that Demaretus, whom our father left as our guardian, could not have received them either (for they have written his name also in their complaint), this, too, I shall show. These men are themselves our strongest 11 witnesses; for they will be shown never to have brought suit against Demaretus in his lifetime ; but, more than that. anyone who examines and studies the case itself will see, not only that he did not receive the money, but that it was impossible that he should have received it. For the debt was in Bosporus. a place which Demaretus never visited; how, then. could he have collected it: Ah. but. they will say, he sent someone to get the money. But 12 look at the matter in this way. Hermonax owed these men one hundred staters, ${ }^{a}$ which he had received from Nausicrates. Aristaechmus was for

## DEMOSTHENES

 є' $\tau \eta$. оv่коv̂v á $\gamma \epsilon \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ảv $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu o ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta_{\imath}{ }^{\prime}$ є́avтov̂ $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \lambda v \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \prime \mu \alpha \theta$ ' o ' $\mathrm{E} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \xi$, ои้к $\alpha \pi \epsilon \in \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ő $\tau^{\prime} \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \delta \epsilon S^{\prime}$ ov่ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ סís $\gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha v ่ \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau i ́ \theta \epsilon \iota$.




13 , 'A $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ஸ́s $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$, каi ó $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ єं $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \in \omega s \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тàs $\delta \iota a \lambda v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s, \tau \hat{u} \quad \Delta \eta$ $\mu \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \delta^{\prime}$ ov่ $\delta \epsilon \pi \omega ́ \pi o \theta^{\prime}$ ô่̂ $\frac{\iota}{\tau \hat{\omega} \nu} \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$



## MAPTMPIAI

$14{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{O} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o i ́ \nu v \nu$ ovै $\theta^{\prime}$ ó $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ä $\phi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}$



 ő $\lambda \omega s$ 廿 $\epsilon v \delta o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ o ̋ \lambda o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu ' ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi \xi a \iota . ~$ ov̂̃oı $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon i s$ ô $\nu v ิ \nu$ є’ $\gamma к \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa о v \sigma \iota \nu$,

 є่ $\pi \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \hat{\eta} s$ o’ $\phi \epsilon \iota \lambda$ ó $\mu \in \nu о \nu$.

K $\alpha i$ ноı $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma$ ' av̇тò тò $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \prime \nu$.

ЕГК $\Lambda$ HMA
15 'Акоv́єтє $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ є’v $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ є’ $\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta$ ท' $\mu \alpha \tau \iota$ " $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$
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## AGAINST NAUSIMACHUS, 12-15

sixteen years the guardian and caretaker of these men. Therefore, the money which Hermonax paid in his own person after these men had come of age, he had not paid when they were minors; for he certainly did not pay the same debt twice. Now is there any man so silly as voluntarily to pay money to one not entitled to it, who demanded it by letter, when he had for so long a time evaded payment to the rightful owners? For my part, I think there is not.

However, to prove that I am speaking the truth, 13 -that our father died immediately after the settlement, that these men never brought suit against Demaretus for this money, and that he absolutely never went to sea, nor visited Bosporus, take the depositions.

## The Depositions

Well then, that our father did not collect the money 14 after the release ; that no one would voluntarily have paid the money, if Demaretus had sent someone to get it ; and that he himself neither put out to sea nor visited Bosporus, has been made clear to you from the dates and the depositions. I wish, then, to show you that their whole statement too of the case is absolute falsehood. They have written in the complaint which they are now prosecuting, that we owe the money, inasmuch as our father received it in payment, and passed it over to them as a debt due and payable in his account of his guardianship.

Take, and read me, please, the complaint itself.

## The Complaint

You hear it stated in the complaint, " inasmuch as 15 Aristaechmus passed the debt over to me in his

## DEMOSTHENES






## ЕГКлНMA






 $\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota$ каі трітоу $\tau \iota$ бкотє̂̀v $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ таv̂та, őтоv

 $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ бікая.
 $\mu o ́ v o \nu$ ои̉к $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa о \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \nu v ̂ \nu, ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ каі $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha s$


 o’ $\rho$ 人 $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$.

Kai $\dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \nu o ́ \mu o \nu . ~$

## NOMOE

18
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## AGAINST NALSIMACHLS, 15-18

account of his guardianship." But, when they brought suit against my father in the matter of his guardianship, they wrote the very opposite of this; for they plainly charged him with not rendering an account.

Read, please, the complaint itself, which they then brought against my father.

## The Complaint

In what account, pray, Xenopeithes and Nausi- 16 machus, do you now charge that he passed the debt over to you $\vdots$ For at one time you brought suit and demanded money on the ground that he rendered no account. But if it is to be permitted you to bring your malicious charge on both grounds, and at one time you collected money because he did not hand something over to you, and at another are suing him on the ground that he did hand it over, there is nothing to prevent your looking for some third ground after this, so as to commence proceedings afresh. But that is not what the laws state: they declare that suit may be brought once only against the same person for the same acts.

Now, men of the jury, that you may know that 17 they not only have suffered no wrong in the present case, but that they are bringing suit in defiance of all your laws, I wish to cite to you this statute also, which expressly states that, if five years have elapsed and they have brought no suit, it is no longer permitted to orphans to bring suit regarding claims connected with guardianship.

The clerk will read you this law.

## The Law

You hear the law, men of the jury, flatly stating 18 that if they do not bring suit within five years, they

## DEMOSTHENES

$\epsilon \hat{i v a l ~ \delta i ́ \kappa \eta \nu . ~ o u ̉ k o v ̂ v ~ \epsilon ’ \lambda a ́ \chi o \mu \epsilon \nu, ~ \phi а i ̂ \epsilon \nu ~ a ̆ ้ \nu . ~ к а i ~}$








 $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \phi \theta \eta$ каi $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, каi $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \rho i \omega$ $\chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \iota \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठıк $\hat{\omega} \nu$ âs '́ $^{\prime} \xi$

 каi тoıav̂Ө' оîs $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ к а \sigma \iota ~ к а i ~ \delta \iota ~ \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu a ̂ S$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тóтє $\lambda \eta \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu, \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \zeta$ ov $\dot{\eta} \gamma \circ \hat{v} \mu \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon \kappa-$





 тои́тоьs $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \eta_{\mu} \theta^{\prime}$ vi $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi о \nu \tau \alpha$. каi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ o’ $\rho-$
 $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ठє $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \epsilon S$ фабiv $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ठıкаí $\omega v$ i $\sigma \chi v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu \pi \alpha \rho ’ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$.

## AGAINST NAUSIMACHES, $18-20$

have no longer the right to suc. But we did bring suit, they may say. Yes, and you made a settlement, too ; so you have no right to bring a fresh suit. Else it would be an outrageous thing, if for original wrongdoings the law does not allow suit to be brought by orphans after five years against guardians who have not been released, but now in the twentieth year you are to maintain an action against us, the children of your guardians, for matters concerning which you did give them a release.

But I hear that they are going to shun arguments 19 based upon the facts of the case and upon the laws, and are prepared to assert that a large estate was left them and that they were defrauded of it ; and that they will advance as a proof of this the large sum asked as damages in their original suit, and they will wail over their orphanhood, and will go through the guardianship accounts. These and such-like points are the ones upon which they have fixed their trust, and by which they hope to beguile you. For my own 20 part, I think that the large sum asked as damages in the suits then brought is a stronger proof for us, that our father was the victim of a malicious action, than for them, that they were being defrauded of a large estate. For if he could prove his claims for eighty talents, no man in the world would have accepted three talents in settlement; whereas anyone, being defendant in a guardianship suit involving such large sums, would have paid three talents to buy off the risk and the advantages with which at that time nature supplied these men. They were orphans and young, and you were ignorant of their real characters; and everyone says that in your courts these things have more weight than strong arguments.

## DEMOSTHENES




 $\gamma$ ’ oî $\mu \alpha \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s$ àv $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \iota$, öт८ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$



 $\lambda u ́ \sigma \iota s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \alpha \theta o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \tau ' ́ \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha s ~ a ̀ \phi \epsilon i v v a l . ~$
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## AGAINST NAUSLMACHES, 21-23

Moreover, I think I can also prove that you might 21 with good reason refuse to hear a word from them in regard to the guardianship. ${ }^{a}$ For suppose one should grant that they have suffered the greatest possible wrongs, and that everything which they will now allege about these matters is true, this, at least, I presume you would all admit : that it has happened to others ere now to have suffered many wrongs more serious than pecuniary wrongs. For involuntary homicides, outrages on what is sacred, and many other such crimes are committed; yet in all these cases the fact they have yielded to persuasion and given a release is appointed for the parties wronged as a limit and settlement of the dispute. And this just principle 22 is so binding among all men, that, if one, having convicted another of involuntary homicide, and clearly shown him to be polluted, subsequently takes pity upon him, and releases him, he has no longer the right to have the same person driven into exile. If, then, when life and all that is most precious are at stake, a release has this power and validity, shall it be without effect, when money is at stake, or claims of lesser importance ? Surely not. For the thing most to be feared is, not that I should fail to obtain justice in your court, but that a just practice, established from the beginning of time. should now be done away with.
" They did not let our property," they will perhaps 23 say. No ; for your uncle Xenopeithes did not want it let, but, after Nicidas had denounced him for this, ${ }^{b}$ induced the jurors to allow him to administer it ; and this everybody knows. "They robbed us of huge sums." Well, for this you have received from them the damages upon which you agreed ; and, I take it,

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi a \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \delta \iota a \lambda v \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov่к єiठó-
 $\mu \alpha \chi \epsilon, \epsilon i \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda ’$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ каi $\theta \alpha \nu \mu a ́ \sigma \tau ’$ єîvaı $\tau \dot{\alpha}$

 тобаvิта хрท' $\mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon, \pi \rho i \nu$ äv $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\pi о-$









 ô $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu$ хрท́бıцоv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ тó $\lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau \iota ~$
 26 oैvєıठos $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu$. oi $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu$ тoívvv $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau 0 \hat{v} \lambda_{\eta} \eta \tau о v \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ тà $\sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho '$ av่ $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \alpha \rho \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon S$, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \not \eta \mu i \alpha \nu \quad \alpha \nu \tau i$ $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ хрєías $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ката-

 oi $\delta^{\prime}$ ö $\sigma \alpha$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ن́ $\mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} S$ $\pi o \iota o \hat{\nu \tau \epsilon S ~ \pi \rho o-~}$
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## AGAINST NAUSIMACHUS, 2126

you are not entitled to recover it again from me. But, 24 that you may not think there is anything in all this it is of course not fair (how could it be ?) after having come to a settlement with the guilty parties, to accuse persons who know nothing about the case-none the less, Xenopeithes and Nausimachus, if you have the idea that your claims are so marvellously valid, pay back three talents, and go on with your suit. After having exacted so large a sum for not pressing your charges, you are bound to keep silent until you have paid this back-not to make the charges and keep the money; that is the very extreme of unfair dealing.

Now it is likely that they will talk about their 25 trierarchies, and say that they have expended their property upon you. That their statements will be false ; that they have squandered much of their property upon themselves, while the state has received but a small share ; and that they will deem it right to reap from you a gratitude that is not deserved nor due-all this I shall pass over. I myself, men of the jury, deem it right that somewhat of gratitude should be accorded by you to all who bear the public burdens. But to whom should you accord most gratitude ? To those who, while in their actions doing what is of service to the state, do not bring to pass what all would call a shame and a reproach. But 26 those who while performing public services have squandered their own property, bring the state into disrepute instead of rendering her service. For no man ever yet blamed himself ; on the contrary, he declares that the state has taken away his property. But those who with ready hearts perform all the duties you lay upon them, and who by the soberness

## DEMOSTHENES




 фavov́ $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ o้v $\tau \epsilon s^{\cdot}$ тov́тovs $\delta^{\prime}$ є'á $\sigma \omega, \mu \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \phi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ิ s ~ a v ่ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 є́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ a u \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \grave{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \alpha \xi \iota \omega$

 ${ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \alpha$ катєбӨiovтаs каi $\pi \alpha \rho о \iota \nu o v ̂ \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau$ ' 'A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о-$ кра́тоvs каi $\Delta \iota о \gamma \nu \dot{\tau} \tau о v$ каi тоьои́т $\omega \nu$ є́тє́ $\rho \omega \nu$










 $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \eta \kappa о ́ \sigma \iota \nu, \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \alpha \rho ’$ є́ко́vт $\omega \nu$ Є’ $\lambda \alpha \beta о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\pi \iota-$ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu, \hat{\eta} \nu$ vimè $\rho$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठıк $\omega \nu$ єio $\epsilon \pi \rho a ́ \xi \alpha \nu \tau o, \hat{\eta} \nu$
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of their lives in other matters preserve their property, rightly have the better of the others in this respect, that they both have been and will be of service, and also because this service accrues to you from them without reproach. We shall be found to be men of this type in our relations to you; as for them, I shall pass them by, that they may not charge that I am speaking evil of them.

I should not be surprised if they try to shed tears 27 and make themselves seem worthy of pity. But I deem that, in view of this. you should all remember that it is the part of shameless men, or rather of men with no sense of right, after having squandered their fortune in gluttony and wine-bibbing along with Aristocrates and Diognetus and others of that stamp in shameful and evil fashion, to weep and wail now in the hope of getting what belongs to others. You would have good cause to weep over your former doings. Yet it is not now a time to weep. but to prove that you did not give a release, or that action may be had afresh for the matters released, or that it is legal to bring an action after the lapse of twenty years, when the law has fixed five years as the limit. 'These are the questions which these gentlemen are to decide. If they are unable to prove these things, as they 28 will be unable, we beg of you all, men of the jury, not to deliver us up as prey to these men, nor to give yet a fourth fortune to those who have mismanaged three others-that which they received from their guardians without compulsion, that which they exacted by compromising their suits, and that which the other day they took from Aesius by a judgement-but to allow us, as is right, to retain what is our own. It is of greater service to you in our hands than in theirs.

## DEMOSTHENES

$\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau о и ́ \tau o \iota s, ~ к а i ~ \delta \iota к а \iota o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \delta \eta ́ \pi о v ~ \tau \grave{\alpha ~} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$

Oùk oî $\delta^{\prime}$ ó $\tau \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$ - oîpal $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ú $\mu a ̂ s$

${ }^{a}$ The speaker closes with a brief paragraph which occurs also at the end of Oration XXXVI.

## AGAINST NAUSIMACHES, 28

And surely it is more just that we should have what is our own than that they should have it.

I do not know what reason there is why I should say more ${ }^{a}$ : for I believe that nothing that I have said has eseaped you. Pour out the water.

## AGAINST BOEOTUS I

## INTRODUCTION

In approaching this oration the reader should bear in mind the fact that in ancient Athens a man had no other name than his given name. This, in connexion with the name of his father and that of his deme, was a clear and definite designation ; but if two individuals, haring the same father and the same deme, had also the same given name, infinite confusion was bound to result, as this speech makes abundantly clear.

In the present instance a certain Mantitheus, son of Mantias, of Thoricus, brings suit against his halfbrother, Boeotus, to prevent him from calling himself Mantitheus.

Mantias, an Athenian citizen, had legally married a daughter of Polyaratus, and had by her a son to whom he gave the name Mantitheus. This son was formally recognized by the father at the festival held on the tenth day after his birth ; was duly entered in the lists of the clan; and upon reaching the age of eighteen was regularly inscribed by Mantias on the register of the deme under the name of Mantitheus (see $\$ 29$ of this speech). Further, while the father was still living, and at his wish, Mantitheus had in due and legal form married an Athenian girl (Oration XL. § 12). The speaker's right to the name Mantitheus would therefore seem to be clear and indisputable.

## AGALNST BOEOTCS, I

Mantias, however, had at some time (precisely when, is not made wholly clear) formed a connexion with another woman of Athenian birth, named Plangon. To her two sons were born, and, as they grew up, they claimed that Mantias was their father. Mantias himself is represented in this and in the following speech as being unconvinced of the fact, and as unwilling to recognize the youths as his sons. At the last, when a suit was threatened to compel him to recognize them, he took the following course. For political reasons he did not wish the suit to come to trial, so he made an arrangement with Plangon whereby he was to place in the hands of a third party a sum of money for her, and then challenge her to declare under oath that he was the father of her sons. She, on her part, agreed that she would refuse the oath, and after that all matters between them would be at an end. These steps were duly taken, but Plangon, in violation of the agreement, accepted the oath and swore that Mantias was the father of both her sons. After this, no other course being open to him, Mantias acknowledged the boys as his, and had them entered on the lists of the clan, giving the elder the name Boeotus and the younger the name Pamphilus. The plaintiff in this suit declared that he had himself already been entered under the name of Mantitheus, a name borne by his paternal grandfather, whose name was regularly given to the eldest son in a family.

Mantias died before the sons of Plangon were entered on the register of the deme, and Boeotus proceeded to have himself entered under the name of Mantitheus, claiming, it would seem, to be older than his half-brother, and so entitled to bear the

## DEMOSTHENES

grandfather's name. He also alleged that Mantias had given him the name Boeotus as an insult (for the Boeotians were looked down upon by the Athenians), although it was in fact the name of his maternal uncle.

Against this alleged usurpation of the name which was properly his own the plaintiff protests vigorously, emphasizing the confusion which had resulted, and which was bound to result, if he and his half-brother were to have identical names. He had, after his father's death, acknowledged the two sons of Plangon as co-heirs with himself of his father's estate, and had divided the property with them ; but he brings suit to have Boeotus estopped from calling himself Mantitheus.

If we accept the statements of the speaker at their face value (always a rash procedure in the case of one pleading in an Athenian court), Mantitheus would appear to have a clear case. Yet it is all but certain that judgement was given against him. In Oration XL. \& 18 (a speech again delivered by this same Mantitheus against the same defendant in a suit to recover his mother's marriage-portion) we are told that, when the arbitrator had given a decision against him, Boeotus allowed the matter to go by default, claiming that the case did not concern him, as his name was Mantitheus, not Boeotus, a course which he would hardly have dared to take, if the court in the present suit had decided that he had no right to the name. Again, in the same passage, the plaintiff declares that, under the circumstances, he was forced to bring suit against the defendant under the name of Mantitheus. Proof that is virtually conclusive is also afforded by the fact that in an inscription which dates from a period subsequent to the trial, the two

## AGAINST BOEOTCS, I

Mantitheuses are mentioned together with Pamphilus. as heirs of Mantias (see Schaefer. iii. ${ }^{2}$ p. D20) : and Dionysius of Halicarnassus, in his treatment of the orator Deinarchus, cites the second of the two orations as Mpos Marтitधov $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ apockós, whereas he


Various views have been held : that Plangon was the legal wife of Mantias (a very dubious conclusion, although it receives some support from the claim made in Oration XL. that she brought Mantias a dowry) ; or that Mantias married her after the adoption of her sons (but this seems disproved by the language of Oration XL. 9 ) ; and finally, and most probably, that Boeotus was really the elder of the two. In that case, as he had been entered on the register of the deme (however irregularly) under the name of Mantitheus, the court may have held that he was entitled to bear it.

This speech is discussed in Schaefer, iii. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 214 ff ., and in Blass, iii. pp. 473 ff .

## XXXIX

## חPO® BOIQTON ПEPI TO刁 ONOMATO乏

A








 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i \quad \tau \omega ่ \mu \hat{\varphi}$ каi $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ є́avто仑े катабкєváбаs



 $3 \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} S \pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ．ó $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$
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## XXXIX

## MANTITHEUS AGAINST BOEOTUS IN REGARD TO THE NAME

## I

It was not from any love of litigation I protest by the gods, men of the jury, that I brought this suit against Boeotus, nor was I unaware that it will seem strange to many people that I should bring suit because somebody thought right to have the same name as myself : but it was necessary to have the matter decided in your court, in view of the consequences that must result if I do not get this matter righted. If the defendant declared himself the son of another ${ }^{2}$ father and not of my own, I should naturally have seemed meddlesome in caring by what name he chose to call himself : but, as it is, he brought suit against my father, and haring got up a gang of blackmailers ${ }^{a}$ to support him-Mnesicles, whom you all probably know, and that Menecles who secured the conviction of Ninus, ${ }^{b}$ and others of the same sort-he went into court, alleging that he was my father's son by the daughter of Pamphilus, and that he was being outrageously treated, and robbed of his civic rights. My 3 father (for the whole truth shall be told you, men of the jury) feared to come into court lest someone, on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { VOL. I } \quad 2 \mathrm{G} \tag{449}
\end{equation*}
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## DEMOSTHENES










 àvá $\gamma \kappa \eta$ тои́тovs каi $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ o u ̉ \delta \epsilon i s ~ v i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon i \pi \pi \epsilon \tau o . ~$







 $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s, \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \omega \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \sigma \chi \omega \mu a \iota$ $\mu \alpha ́ \rho т v \rho a s$.

## MAPTrPEE




[^99]the ground of having elsewhere received some injury from him in his public life, should confront him here; and at the same time he was deceived by this man's mother. For she had sworn that if he should tender her an oath in this matter, she would refuse it, and that, when this had been done, all relations between them would be at an end : and she had also had money deposited in the hands of a third party on her behalf ${ }^{a}$ :--on these conditions. then. my father tendered her the oath. But she accepted it, + and swore that not only the defendant, but his brother too, her other son. was my father's child. Whenshe had done this it was necessary to enter them among the clansmen, ${ }^{b}$ and there was no excuse left. My father did enter them : he adopted them as his children : and (to cut short the intervening matters) he enrolled the defendant at the Apaturia ${ }^{c}$ as Boeotus on the list of the clansmen, and the other as Pamphilus. But I had already been enrolled as Mantitheus. My father's 5 death happened before the entries were made on the register of the demesmen, ${ }^{d}$ but the defendant went and enrolled himself on the register as Mantitheus, instead of Boeotus. How great a wrong he did in this-to me, in the first place, but also to you-I shall show, as soon as I have brought forward witnesses to prove my assertions.

## The Witnesses

You have heard from the witnesses the manner in ${ }^{6}$ which our father enrolled us; I shall now show to when children were regularly registered in the lists of clanmembers.
${ }^{d}$ Enrolment on the register of the deme marked the beginning of a young man's political life. It took place when he reached the age of eighteen.

## DEMOSTHENES





 $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o v \nu \in i ́ \mu a \sigma \theta a l ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ к а i ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau о v ́ \tau \omega, ~$


 ảठ́v́vaтov $\hat{\eta} \nu$.








 акоv́o $\mu \epsilon \nu$, ov̉ $\lambda \eta \tau о v \rho \gamma \circ \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$. $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ s $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

${ }^{a}$ Literally, " to strive with one under the same yoke." Such metaphors were very common in Greek antiquity, when horses as well as oxen were driven under the yoke.
" The appointment of citizens to undertake the various "liturgies" (such as, e.g., the trierarch) was made from taxgroups chosen by the several tribes.
r Thoricus was a deme of the tribe Acamantis.
${ }^{d}$ The choregus had for his duties the equipment and training of a chorus for the dramatic contests at one of the great festivals. For this purpose the tribe chose one of its richest members.
e The gymnasiarch was appointed by the tribe to maintain a team to represent it in the torch-races, which formed a feature of certain Athenian festivals.
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## AGANST BOEOTUS, I. 6-8

you that, as the defendant did not choose to abide by this enrolment, it was both just and necessary for me to bring suit. For I am surely not so stupid nor unreasonable a person as to have agreed to take only a third of my father's estate (though the whole of it was coming to me), seeing that my father had adopted these men, and to be content with that, and then to engage in a quarrel with $m y \operatorname{kin}^{a}$ about a name. were it not that for me to change mine would bring great dishonour and a reputation for cowardice, while for $m y$ opponent to have the same name as myself was on many accounts impossible.

To begin with (assuming that it is best to mention 7 public matters before private), in what way will the state give its command to us, if any duty is to be performed? The members of the tribe will, of course, ${ }^{b}$ nominate us in the same way as they nominate other people. Well then; they will bring forward the name of Mantitheus, son of Mantias, of Thoricus, ${ }^{c}$ if they are nominating one for choregus ${ }^{d}$ or gymnasiarch ${ }^{e}$ or feaster of the tribe $f^{f}$ or for any other office. By what. then, will it be made clear whether they are nominating you or me? You will say it is I; I shall say it is you. ${ }^{g}$ Well, suppose that after this 8 the Arehon summons us, or any other magistrate, before whom the ease is called. We do not obey the summons; we do not undertake the service. Which of us is liable to the penalties provided by law? And in what manner will the generals enter our names,

[^100]
## DEMOSTHENES

$\tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \psi o v \sigma \iota \nu, ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \sigma v \mu \mu о \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$,







 خ̈ ä $\lambda \lambda о$ о $\tau \iota \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \kappa \alpha i$ ò $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu о$; $\hat{\omega} \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu$












 $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda o v s \delta_{\epsilon} \pi \lambda v \nu o v \hat{\mu} \mu \nu$,
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## AGAINST BOEOTUS, I. 8-11

if they are listing names for a tax-company? or if they are appointing a trierarch: Or, if there be a military expedition, how will it be made clear which of us is on the muster-roll? Or again, if any other 9 magistrate, the Archon, the King-Archon, the Stewards of the Games, makes an appointment for some public service, what sign will there be to indicate which one of us they are appointing? Are they in hearen's name to add the designation "son of Plangon," if they are entering your name, or add the name of my mother if they are entering mine? But who ever heard of such a thing? or by what law could this special designation be appended, or anything else, except the name of the father and the deme? And seeing that both of these are the same great confusion must result. Again, suppose Mantitheus, son 10 of Mantias, of Thoricus should be summoned as judge, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ what should we do? Should we go, both of us? For how is it to be clear whether he has summoned you or me? Or, by Zeus, suppose the state is appointing to any office by lot, for example that of Senator, ${ }^{b}$ that of Thesmothet, ${ }^{c}$ or any of the rest ; how will it be clear which one of us has been appointed?-unless some mark shall be attached to the tablet, ${ }^{d}$ as there might be to anything else : and even then people will not know to which of us two it belongs. Well then, he will say that he has been appointed, and I shall say that I have. The only 11 course left is for us to go into court. So the city will order a court to be set up for each of the cases; and we shall be cheated of the fair and equal right, that the one chosen by lot shall hold office. Then we shall
father, and the name of his deme), and this was placed in the urn for drawing.

## DEMOSTHENES

 $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i o v s ~ \epsilon i ̋ \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vimap

 $12 \tau \iota v o s$ ä $\lambda \lambda$ lou $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{s}$ aưzov̀s $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$. $\tau i$

 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \eta_{\nu}$, ои̃т $\omega$ к $\lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \iota$, 兀ò $\delta v o \iota ̂ \nu \pi \iota \nu \alpha \kappa i o u v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$





 iठía $\tau i ; \quad \theta \epsilon \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \lambda i \kappa \alpha$, каi бкотєîт' ${ }^{\prime} \nu \nu \tau \iota$ бок $\hat{\omega}$





 ióvtos $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ रoóvov $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aùt $\hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \iota \pi o l \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$ тov́tols


a Possibly, " an eloquent speaker."
berate each other, and he who shall prevail by his words will hold office. And in which case should we be better off-by trying to rid ourselves of our existing resentments, or by arousing fresh animosities and recriminations? For these must of necessity result. when we wrangle with one another about an office or anything else. But suppose again (for we must 12 examine every phase of the matter). one or the other of us persuades the other, in case he is chosen, to yield the office to him, and so obtains the appointment? What is this but one man drawing lots with two tablets? Shall it, then, be permitted us to do with impunity a thing for which the law appoints the penalty of death? "Why, certainly, for we should not do it," you may say. I know that. at least so far as I am concerned ; but it is not right that some persons should even be liable to this penalty, when they need not be.

Very well; but in these cases it is the state that is $1: 3$ injured: what harm does it do me individually: Observe in what serious ways I am harmed, and consider if there be anything in what I say. Indeed the wrong done to me is far more grievous than what you have heard. You all know, for instance. that he was intimate with Menecles during his lifetime, and with his crowd, and that he now associates with others no better than Menecles, and that he has cherished the same ambitions, and desires to be thought a clever fellow ${ }^{a}$; and, by Zeus, I dare say he is. Now, if, as time goes on, he undertakes to 14 set on foot any of the same practices as these men (these are indictments, presentments for contraband, informations, arrests) and on the basis of one of these he is condemned to pay a fine to the state (for there

## DEMOSTHENES














 $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ s ~ \pi o \theta^{\prime}$ ov̂̃ós є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota$, ठvoîv Mavzı日є́ouv тaủzov̂ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̋ \nu \tau o \iota \nu ; ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho ', ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \delta i ́ к \eta \nu ~ a ̀ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~$




 $\tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \lambda_{\iota} \pi о \tau \alpha \xi i o v \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$, ка̉ $\bar{\omega}$ т $\tau \alpha \xi \iota \alpha \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$
 є’ $\mu \alpha \nu \tau о \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \delta \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \lambda \hat{\eta} \xi \iota \nu$ каi $\epsilon i$

[^102]are many vicissitudes in mortal affairs, and you know well how to keep in due bounds even the most clever people on any occasion when they overreach themselves), why will his name be entered on the record any more than mine? "Because," it may be said, " everybody will know which of us two was fined.' Very good; but suppose (what might very well 15 happen) that time passes and the debt is not paid; why is there any greater likelihood that the defendant's children will be entered on the list of state debtors any more than my own, when the name of the father and the tribe, and all else are identical? Suppose, now, somebody should bring a suit for ejectment against him, and shouldstate that he had nothing to do with me, but, having had the writ registered, should enter the name, why will the name he has entered be that of my opponent any more than my own? What if he fails to pay any of the property- 16 taxes? What if the name be involved in the filing of any other suit, or, in general, in any unpleasant seandal? Who, among people at large, will know which of the two it is, when there are two Mantitheuses having the same father? Suppose, again, that he should be prosecuted for evasion of military service, and should be serving as chorister when he ought to be abroad with the army-as, a while ago, when the rest went over to Tamynae, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ he was left behind here keeping the feast of Pitchers. ${ }^{b}$ and remained here and served in the chorus at the Dionysia, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ as all of you who were at home saw; then, after the 17 soldiers had come back from Euboea, he was summoned on a charge of desertion, and 1, as taxiarch of our tribe, ${ }^{d}$ was compelled to receive the summons, since it was against my name, that of my father being

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ xiv $\nu \nu, \kappa \ddot{\nu} \mu \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \tau \nu \rho \alpha$ vi $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \chi o ́ \mu \eta \nu$.

 on $\pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ au̇тóv, out $\lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \nu$. $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} S \delta^{\prime}$, on $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$







 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ rival;








## MAPTMPE




[^103]
## A(MINST BOEOTCS, 1. 17-20

added ; and if pay had been available for the juries, ${ }^{a}$ I should certainly have had to bring the case into court. If this had not occurred after the boses ${ }^{b}$ had already been sealed, I should have brought you witnesses to prove it. Well then ; suppose he were 18 summoned on the charge of being an alien. And he does make himself obnoxious to many, and the way in which $m y$ father was compelled to adopt him is no secret. You, on your part, while my father was refusing to acknowledge him, believed that his mother was telling the truth : but when, with his parentage thus established, he makes himself odious, you will some day on the contrary conclude that my father's story was true. Again, what if my opponent, in the expectation of being convicted of perjury for the services ${ }^{c}$ which he freely grants his associates, should allow the suit to go by default? Do you think it would be a slight injury that I should be my whole life long a sharer of his reputation and his doings?

Pray observe that my fear regarding the things I 19 have set forth to you is not a vain one. He has already, men of Athens, been defendant in certain suits, in which, although I have been wholly innocent. odium has attached to my name as well as his; and he has laid claim to the office to which you had elected me; and many unpleasant things have happened to me because of the name; regarding each one of which I will produce witnesses to inform you fully.

## The Witnesses

You see, men of Athens, what keeps happening 20 and the annoyance resulting from the matter. But

[^104]
## DEMOSTHENES





 каi ov̀ $\delta$ ' vi $\phi$ ' єvòs $\beta \iota a \sigma \theta \epsilon i s{ }^{\prime \prime} \theta \epsilon \tau о$. ov̀к $\epsilon \not \epsilon \omega \gamma$ ' ฑ̀ $\gamma o v ̂ \mu a \iota$.
"Iva $\tau \circ i ́ v v \nu ~ \epsilon i \delta \eta ิ \tau \epsilon$, öтı ov̀ $\mu o ́ v o \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~$ $\phi \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a s$ ov゙ $\tau \omega s, \dot{\omega} s \mu \in \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$, ó $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ тウ̀v

 $\tau \eta ̀ \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$.

## MAPTYPIA

 тov่vó $\mu \alpha \tau o s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v, ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o v ~ \delta \epsilon ่ ~$


 $\pi о \tau$ ' є̇тоієєь $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о і ̂ s ~ \delta \eta \mu o ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ; ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \epsilon і ̈ \alpha s ~$


 [1001] óт $\epsilon \rho$ єis тоv̀s фрáтєраs. ov̉кои̂v $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ v, \hat{\omega} \gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i$ $\theta \epsilon o i ́, ~ \phi a ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ є́кєîvov є́ $\alpha v \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho ' ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a l, ~$ $\tau о \lambda \mu a ̂ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ äкvра тоьєiv â кєîvos ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \rho \alpha \xi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\nu} \nu$.


> a The child was formally named at a ceremony held on the tenth day after birth, and attended by members of the family and close friends.

462
even if there were no annoring results, and if it were not absolutely imposible for us both to have the same name, it surely is not fair for him to have his share of my property by virtue of the adoption which my father made under compulsion, and for me to be robbed of the name which that father gave me of his own free will and under constraint from no one. I, certainly, think it is not.

Now, to show you that my father not only made the entry in the list of the clansmen in the manner which has been testified to you, but that he gave me this name when he kept the tenth day after my birth, ${ }^{a}$ please take this deposition.

## The Deposition

You hear then, men of Athens, that I have always 21 been in possession of the name Mantitheus; but that my father, when he was compelled to enter him, entered the defendant in the list of clansmen as Boeotus. I should be glad. then, to ask him in your presence, " If my father had not died, what would you have done in the presence of your demesman? Would you not have allowed yourself to be registered as Boeotus?" But it would have been absurd to bring suit to force this and then afterwards to seek to prevent it. And yet, if you had allowed him, my father would have enrolled you in the register of demesmen by the same name as he did in that of the clansmen. Then, O Earth and the Gods, it is monstrous for him to claim that Mantias is his father, and yet to have the audacity to try to make of none effect what Mantias did in his lifetime.

He had the effrontery, moreover, to make before $: 2$ the arbitrator the most audacious assertions, that

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a ́ s ~ \tau i v a s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon i ́ \chi \epsilon \tau o$, oîs є́єєîvos ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \pi \omega \pi \pi о \tau^{\prime}$







 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \ddot{\eta} \delta \iota \imath^{\prime}$ âv ả $\delta \iota \kappa \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ vi ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, тov̀s кouvov̀s $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha$ s $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i ̂ v . ~ o v ̀ ~ \tau o i ́ v v \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ к ~$



 $\epsilon i s ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi u \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu, \delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ \mu \epsilon \prime \nu$, ढ̈s $\phi \eta \sigma^{\prime}$, vinò $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o \nu \theta v i ̂ a \nu, ~ \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon i ̉ v i ̂ a \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa o ́ \tau ' ~$


 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\theta$ Є́єєє тov̉vó $\mu a \tau o s$.
$\Omega_{s} \tau o i v v \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau ’$ à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$, $\tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ нá $\rho \tau v \rho a s$
 є́धорал.
a This passage is repeated with slight changes in the following oration $\$ 29$.
${ }^{6}$ That is, to the tribe to which his mother belonged, not to that of Mantias, which was the Acamantis. The speaker would have this indicate that the mother was conscious that the boy was not the son of Mantias.
my father kept the tenth day after birth for him, just as for me, and gave him the name Mantitheus; and he brought forward as witnesses persons with whom my father was never known to be intimate. But I think that not one of you is unaware that no man would have kept the tenth day for a child which he did not believe was rightly his own ; nor, if he had kept the day and shown the affection one would feel for a son, would afterward have dared to deny him. For even if he might have got into some quarrel 23 with the mother of these children, he would not have hated them, if he believed them to be his own. ${ }^{a}$ For man and wife are much more apt, in cases where they are at variance with one another, to become reconciled for the sake of their children, than, on the ground of the injuries which they have done one to the other, to hate their common children also. However, it is not from these facts alone that you may see that he will be lying, if he makes these statements ; but, before he claimed to be a kinsman of ours, he used to go to the tribe Hippothontis to dance in the chorus of boys. ${ }^{b}$ And yet, who among you imagines 24 that his mother would have sent him to this tribe, if, as she alleges, she had been cruelly treated by my father, and knew that he had kept the tenth day, and afterward denied it? Not one, I am sure. For it would have been just as much your right to go to school to the tribe Acamantis, and then the tribe would have been in manifest agreement with the giving of the name.

To prove that I am speaking the truth in this, I shall bring before you as witnesses those who went to school with him, and know the facts.

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau v \chi \eta \kappa \grave{\omega} s$ каi $\alpha \nu \theta$ ' ' $\mathrm{I} \pi \pi о \theta \omega \nu \tau i ́ \delta o s ~ \epsilon ่ \nu$
 ои́тобi, à $\lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ к \alpha i ~ \delta i ́ к а s ~ \epsilon ' \mu о i ~ \delta v ’ ~ \dddot{\eta ~} \tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i ̉ \lambda \eta \chi \epsilon \nu$







 $\tau о \iota \circ \hat{\tau} \tau о s \ddot{\omega} \nu \kappa а \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$;
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## AGAINST BOEOTLS, 1. 25 28

## The Wityesqes

Nevertheless, although it is so plain that by his 25 mother's oath and the simplicity of him who tendered the oath to her. he has obtained a father and established his birth in the tribe Acamantis, instead of Hippothontis, the defendant Boeotus is not content with this, but has actually entered two or three suit, against me for moner. in addition to the malicious and baseless actions which he brought against me before. And yet I think you all know what sort of a man of business my father was. ${ }^{a}$ I will say nothing $\mathscr{D}^{6}$ about this: but if the mother of these men has sworn truly, it absolutely proves that the fellow is acting as a malicious pettifogger in these suits. For if my father was so extravagant that, after having married my mother in lawful wedlock, he kept another woman, whose children you are, and maintained two establishments, how pray, if he were a man of this sort, could he have left any money ?

I am well aware, men of Athens, that the defendant, Boeotus, will have no valid argument to advance, but will have recourse to the statements he is always making, that my father was induced by me to treat him with despite ; and he claims the right, alleging that he is older than I, to bear the name of his paternal grandfather. As to this, it is better for you to listen to a few statements. I remember seeing him, before he became a relative of mine, casually, as one might see anyone else. and thought him younger than I, and to judge by appearances, much younger ; but I will not insist upon this, for it would be silly to do so. However, suppose one should ask 28
${ }^{\text {a }}$ He was so poor a man of business that after his death his heirs had to pay off indebtedness incurred by him.

## DEMOSTHENES


















 $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \eta \not \mu \omega \nu$ Єорі́кьos каi viòs Mavтiov, каi то̀


 $\mu a \rho \tau$ v́pıóv є̇สтí $\sigma o \iota ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o v ; ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \phi \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a ́ s ~ \mu ' ~$



 468

## 

this Boeotus the following questions: "When you thought it right to join the chorus in the tribe Uippothontis before you claimed to be the son of my father. what name would you have set down as rightly belonging to you? For if you should say, Mantitheus. you could not do so on the plea that you are older than I, for since at that time you did not suppose you had any connexion eren with my tribe, how could you claim to be related to $m y$ grandfather? Besides. 2!; men of Athens, not one of you knows the number of the years. for I shall say that 1 am the elder, and he will say that he is, but you all understand the just way of reckoning. And what is this? That these men should be considered children of $m y$ father from the date when he adopted them. Wंell then. he entered me on the register of the demesmen as Mantitheus, before he introduced this man to the clansmen. Therefore not by virtue of time only, but also by virtue of justice I have the right to bear this name as a mark of seniority. Very well. Now, sup- 30 pose one should ask you this question: "Tell me, Boeotus, how is it that you have now become a member of the tribe Acamantis, and of the deme Thoricus, and a son of Mantias, and have your share in the property left by him:" You could give no other answer than, " Mantias while living acknowledged me, too, as his son." If one should ask you what proof you had of this or what evidence, you would say, "He introduced me to the clansmen." But if one asked under what name he enrolled you, you would say, "Boeotus." for that is the name by which you were introduced. It is, then, an outrage 31 that whereas thanks to that name you have a chare in the right of citizenship and in the estate left by

## DEMOSTHENES





 $\ddot{\eta} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda o v ~ \sigma \alpha v \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ \ddot{\eta}$ тоv̋vo $\mu$,


 ov̉ס̀̀v x $\epsilon i ́ \rho o v s ~ \epsilon i \sigma i v ~ o i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \tau o v i ́ o v ~ \sigma v \gamma-~$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̛ \mu o v$. . ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ó Bo九 $\omega \tau$ òs








 $\pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, oùk $\hat{\eta} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ oîov $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тòv $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa о \nu \tau^{\prime}$ єìval $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath}$




 $\gamma \in \nu \eta ́ \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.



[^105]
## AGAINST BOEOTUS, I. 31-31

my father, you should see fit to fling it aside and take another name. Come; suppose my father were to rise from the grave and demand of you either to abide by the name under which he adopted you, or to declare yourself the son of some other father, would his demand not be thought a reasonable one? Well then. I make this same demand of you, either to add to your name that of another father, or to keep the name which Mantias gave you. Ah, you may say, but that 32 name was given you by way of derision or insult. No ; very often, during the time when my father refused to acknowledge them, these men used to say that the kinsfolk of the defendant's mother were quite as good as those of my father. Boeotus is the name of his mother's brother ; and when my father was compelled to bring them into the clan, when I had already been introduced as Mantitheus, he introduced the defendant as Boeotus, and his brother as Pamphilus. For I challenge you to show me any Athenian who ever gave the same name to two of his sons. If you can, I will grant that my father gave you this name by way of insult. And yet, if your character was such 33 that you could force him to adopt you, but not study how you might please him, you were not what a true son ought to be toward his parents ; and, if you were not, you would have deserved. not only to be treated with indignity, but even to be put to death. It would indeed be an outrageous thing, if the laws concerning parents are to be binding upon children whom the father recognizes as his own, but are to be of no effect against those who have forced themselves in ${ }^{a}$ and compelled an unwilling adoption.

You unconscionable Boeotus, do, pray, give up 34 your present ways; but, if indeed you are unwilling

## DEMOSTHENES

є́кєivó $\gamma \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta ı o ̀ s ~ \pi \iota \theta o \hat{v}$. $\pi \alpha \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \sigma \alpha v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha, \pi a v ิ \sigma \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ бvкофаvтิิv,



 $\beta$ оv $\lambda \epsilon$ v́ns, $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \zeta_{\eta} \eta, \phi \theta \circ v \hat{\eta} s, \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu \hat{\eta} s, \delta o ́ \xi \in \iota s \in i s$






 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тウ̀v $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$. $i \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, ó $\sigma i \not \omega \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota$. ả $\pi a ́ \gamma \in \iota \sigma$ ’ oủ $\delta \epsilon i s ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu . ~ \tau i ́ ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ; ~$ äv $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \phi \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi к $\lambda \alpha{ }_{\eta} \eta$ каi o’ঠv́p $\eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ к а i$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o \rho \hat{\eta}$ нov, â $\mu \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$ äv $\lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \eta, \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ (ov





to. do, in Hearen's name, accept advice in this at least ; cease to make trouble for yourself, and ceasc bringing malicious and baseless charges against me: and be content that you have gained citizenship, an estate, a father. No one is trying to dispossess you of these things ; certainly not I. Nay; if. as you claim to be a brother, you also act as a brother. people will believe that you are of our blood; but if you go on plotting against me, suing me, evincing malice toward me, slandering me, you will be thought to have intruded yourself into what belonged to others, and then to be treating it as though it were not rightly yours. I certainly am doing you no wrong, even if it 3.5 were never so true that $m y$ father refused to recognize you, though you were really his son. It was not my part to know who were his sons, but it was his to show me whom I must regard as a brother. Therefore, during the time in which he refused to recognize you, I also counted you as no relative; but ever since he adopted you. I too regard you as a kinsman. What is the proof of this? You possess your portion of my father's estate after his death ; you share in the religious rites, and civic privileges. No one seeks to exclude you from these. What is it that you would have ? But if he says that he is being outrageously treated, if he weeps and wails, and makes charges against me, do not beliere what he says. It is not right that you should, since our argument is not now about these matters. But take this attitude-that he can just as well get satisfaction under the name of Boeotus. Why are you then. so fond of wrangling? Desist, I beg you; do not be so ready to cherish 36 enmity against me. I am not so minded toward you. For even now-lest the fact escape your notice-I

## DEMOSTHENES

$\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau о \hat{v} \tau o \lambda \alpha ́ \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon, \dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma o \hat{v} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v, \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \omega \nu$


 $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota, \sigma \grave{\epsilon}$ äv $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \eta,{ }_{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. $\tau i$ oủv $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ;$

 o้voц' ${ }^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \tau \tau$.

MAPTYPIAI













 $\nu \circ \nu \tau \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \grave{\eta}$ ov̂ं $\alpha \nu$. ôs oûv av̉тòs avíô̂ катє́ $\gamma \nu \omega$ סıкаíws äv єîval Boıcutós, $\tau i \mathfrak{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ à $\xi \iota \omega ́ \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s$ онниоко́таs $\psi \eta \phi і \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ;$
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## AGALNST BOEOTUS, I. 36-38

am speaking rather in your interest than in my own. in insisting that we should not have the same name. If there were no other reason, at least anyone hearing it must ask which of us is meant if there are two Mantitheuses, sons of Mantias. Then he will cay, "The one whom he was compelled to adopt." if he means you. How can you desire this?

Now take, please, and read these two depositions, proving that my father gave me the name Mantitheus, and him the name Boeotus.

## The Depositions

It remains, I think, to show yon, men of Athens, 37 that not only will you be fulfilling your oaths. if you give the verdict for which I ask, but also that the defendant has given judgement against himself, that he should rightly bear the name of Boeotus, and not Mantitheus. For when I had entered this suit against Boeotus, son of Mantias, of Thoricus, at the first he accepted service of the suit, and put in an oath for delay, as being Boeotus; but finally, when there was no longer room for evasion, he allowed the arbitrators to give judgement against him by default, and then. in Hearen's name, see what he did-he got this 35 judgement for non-appearanceset aside, entitling himself Boeotus. And yet he ought in the first place to have allowed me to get my suit finished as against Boeotus, if that name did not. in fact, pertain to him at all, and not subsequently be found getting the judgement for non-appearance set aside under this name. When a man has thus given judgement against himself that he is properly Boeotus, what verdict can he demand that you sworn jurors shall give?

## DEMOSTHENES

＇$\Omega_{s} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau$＇${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$ ，$\lambda \alpha \beta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu о \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \lambda \eta \xi \iota \nu$ каі тò＂ै $\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ тоиті．

## ANTMAHミIさ．EГKAHMA

 кupíovs $\epsilon \hat{i} \nu a \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta \alpha s ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ỏvó $\mu a \tau o s, ~ \hat{a}$


 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \kappa \ddot{\alpha} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \xi \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \psi \alpha \iota \beta o u ́ \lambda \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha} \pi о-$


 $40 \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \omega \quad \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ; \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ äv $\mu \grave{\eta} \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$








 кат⿳亠 $\tau о$ о̀s ö $\rho к о и s ~ к а i ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тои́тоv $\pi \rho о \sigma-$




To prove that I am speaking the truth in this, take the decision setting aside the judgement for nonappearance and this complaint.

## The Decision. The Complaint

If, now, my opponent can point out a law which gives 39 children the right to choose their own names, you would rightly give the verdict for which he asks. But if the law, which you all know as well as I, gives parents the right not only to give the name in the first place, but also to cancel it and renounce it by public declaration, if they please ; and if I have shown that my father, who had this authority under the law, gave to the defendant the name Boeotus, and to me the name Mantitheus, how can you render any other verdict than that for which I ask? Nay, more, 40 in cases which are not covered by the laws, you have sworn that you will decide as in your judgement is most just, so that even if there were no law concerning these matters, you would have been bound to cast your votes in my favour. For who is there among you who has given the same name to two of his children ? Who, that is as yet childless, will do so? No one, assuredly. Well then, what in your minds you have 41 decided to be right for your own children, it is your sacred duty to decide also in our case. Therefore on the basis of what you deem most just, on the basis of the laws, your oaths, and the admissions this man has made, my request of you, men of Athens, is reasonable, and my claims just; while my opponent asks what is not only unreasonable, but contrary to established usage.

## AGAINST BOEOTUS

II

## INTRODUCTION

This speech was delivered by the same Mantitheus in a second suit against Boeotus (to whom after his apparent victory in the preceding suit we should properly give the name Mantitheus) to recover the marriage-portion of his mother. He states that, after striving for eleven years to reach a settlement, he has found it necessary to bring the case into court.

It appears that after his father's death he had recognized his two half-brothers as entitled each to a one-third share in his father's estate, but had claimed for himself a talent over and above his own share, as due to him from his mother's dowry. The older Mantitheus(Boeotus) met this action by a counter-claim that a like sum was due to him from his mother, Plangon. (It is stated in $\S 20$ of this speech that she had brought Mantias a portion of more than one hundred minae.) At the first an arrangement was made whereby the estate of Mantias was divided between the three, the family house and the slaves alone being reserved pending the settlement of the conflicting claims.

Much bitterness, however, continued to exist between the two Mantitheuses, and the wrangling went on for years. The plaintiff in this suit claims that, although he had accepted " Boeotus " and Pamphilus as his father's sons, and had shared the estate with them, their licentious manner of life made it im-

## AGAINST BOEOTUS, II

possible for him and his daughter-a young woman of marriageable age - to live with them, so that he had been virtually ousted from his home. He even states that they might go so far as to seck to take his life by poison (§57).

The case came before the arbitrator, Solon, but, owing-the speaker claims-to the evasions and delays of the defendant, it was prolonged, and Solon died before an award was made. Both parties then reopened their suits each against the other, and when at last judgement was given against Boeotus he ignored it, claiming-perhaps with good reasonthat his name was Mantitheus. Accordingly in the eleventh year suit was again brought against him under that name. He again met this with a counterclaim, not in this instance for his mother's portion, but for some other property which is not specified (§ 17).

The writer of this speech is familiar with the preceding one, and repeats some of its arguments, but his work bears the marks of a different hand, so that this oration, while undoubtedly a genuine piece of Greek forensic oratory, seems not to be by Demosthenes.

See further Schaefer, iii. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 220 ff ., and Blass, iii. pp. 509 ff .

## XL

## ПРОऽ BOI TON חEPI ПPOIKO乏 MHTPSIAE

## B

 ö $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \iota s$ o’vó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma \circ \rho \epsilon \cup \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$,



 тоv́т $\omega \nu \mu \eta \prime \tau \eta \rho$ є' $\xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \sigma \alpha$ тòv $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \prime \rho a ~ \mu о v ~ к а i ~$
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## XL

## MANTITHEUS AGAINST BOEOTUS REGARDING HIS MOTHER'S MARRIAGEPORTION

## II

Nothing is more painful, men of the jury, than when a man is addressed by name as " brother " of certain persons, whom in fact he regards as enemies, and when he is compelled. on account of the many cruel wrongs which he has suffered at their hands, to come into court; as is my case now. For instance. I have 2 not only had the misfortune in the beginning that Plangon, the mother of these men, by deceit and manifest perjury, compelled my father to bring himself to acknowledge them, and that consequently I was robbed of two-thirds of my inheritance ; but, in addition to this, I have been driven by these men out of the house of $m y$ fathers, in which I was born and brought up, and into which they were admitted, not by my father, but by myself after his death ; and I 3 am being robbed of my mother's dowry, for which I am now bringing suit, although I have myself given them satisfaction in all the matters in which they made claims upon me. except some trifling crossdemands which they have maliciously brought against me on account of this action, as will be perfectly

## DEMOSTHENES








 ỏктшкаıঠєкє́ $\tau \eta$, $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \iota$, каi $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{a} \tau о ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a i ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~$









 $\pi \rho \alpha \chi \theta$ év $\tau \alpha$.



 [1010] víє̂̂, каì $\pi \rho о i ̂ \kappa \alpha ~ \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \delta o ́ v \tau o s, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o \nu$
 $\theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$, viov̂ $\delta^{\prime}$ є́vòs $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega v o s$, каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau a \hat{v} \tau a$


${ }^{a}$ Cholargus was a deme of the tribe Acamantis.

## AGAINST BOEOTUS, II. 3.7

clear to you also : yet in the course of eleven years I have been unable to obtain from them a reasonable settlement, and so at length I have had recourse to you for help. I beg you all, men of the jury, to listen 4 to me with goodwill, while I speak as best İ can ; and if I seem to you to have suffered cruel wrongs, to pardon me for seeking to recover what is my own, especially as it is for a marriage-portion for my daughter. For it so happened that I married at my father's request when I was only eighteen, and that I have a daughter who is already of marriageable age. It is, therefore, just on many accounts that you should 5 aid me who am being wronged, and fitting that you should feel indignation against the men, who-O Earth and the Gods-when they need not have come into court at all had they done what is fair, are not ashamed to remind you of any improper acts of my father, or of wrongs which they committed against him, but even force me to go to law with them. To make you understand clearly that it is they, not I, who are to blame for this, I will set forth to you the facts of the case from the beginning with the utmost possible brevity.

My mother, men of the jury, was the daughter of 6 Polyaratus, of Cholargus, ${ }^{,}$and sister of Menexenus, and Bathyllus and Periander. Her father gave her in marriage to Cleomedon, son of Cleon, ${ }^{b}$ adding a talent as her marriage-portion; and at the first she dwelt with him as his wife, and bore him three daughters and one son, Cleon. After this her husband died, and she left his family, receiving back her marriage-portion. Her brothers, Menexenus and 7

[^106]
## DEMOSTHENES


 ठóv $\tau \omega v$, $\sigma v \nu \grave{\prime} \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i$. каi $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu о \mu \alpha \iota$


' $\Omega_{S} \delta^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\lambda} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \omega, \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$
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 $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ vं $\pi \epsilon \grave{\rho}$ тои́т $\omega \nu$, каi $\tau о \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̈ \nu ~$
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Bathyllus (for Periander was still a boy) then gave her again in marriage with the talent for her dowry, and she dwelt with my father as his wife. There were born to them myself and another brother, younger than I, who died while still a child.

To prove that I am speaking the truth, I will first bring forward witnesses to establish these facts.

## The Witnesses

My father, then, having thus married my mother, 8 maintained her as his wife in his own house ; and he brought me up and showed me a father's affection such as you also all show to your children. But with Plangon, the mother of these men, he formed a connexion of some sort or other (it is not for me to say what it was) ; howerer, he was not so wholly the 9 slave of his passion as to deem it right even after my mother's death to receive the woman into his own house, or to admit that the defendants were his children. No, for all the rest of the time they lived as not being sons of my father, as most of you know; but after Boeotus had grown up and had associated with himself a gang of blackmailers, ${ }^{a}$ whose leaders were Mnesicles and that Menecles who secured the conviction of Ninus, in connexion with these men he brought suit against my father, claiming that he was his son. Many meetings took place 10 about these matters, and my father declared that he would never be convinced that these men were his children, and finally Plangon, men of the jury (for the whole truth shall be told you), having in conjunction with Menecles laid a snare for my father,

[^107]
## DEMOSTHENES

$\sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ ö $\rho \kappa \omega$, ôs $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau о s$ סокєî каì $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \tau \alpha \tau о s$ тарà

 $\epsilon i \sigma \pi o \circ \eta \in \sigma \iota \nu$ víî̀s, aù $\bar{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$, äv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ठıai $\tau \eta \tau \hat{\eta}$


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s, \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \tau \epsilon \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \mu o v$ ov̉кє́ть $\delta v \nu \eta \prime \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ aủтov̀s $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $11 \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ่ \tau \omega ิ \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \in \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta s$ тòv ő őкоv. $\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \eta-$
 $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \delta \iota \alpha \iota \eta \tau \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a \dot{\eta} \Pi \lambda a \gamma \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$, $\delta \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \eta ̀ \nu$
 Є̇vavтiov $\tau \hat{\omega}$ тротє́ $\rho \varphi$, $\dot{\omega}$ каi $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oi $\pi о \lambda \lambda о i$













[^108]and deceived him by an oath that among all mankind is held to be the greatest and most awful, ${ }^{a}$ agreed that, if she were paid thirty minae, she would get her brothers to adopt these men, and that, on her own part, if my father should challenge her before the arbitrator to swear that the children were in very truth his sons, she would decline the challenge. For if this were done, she said, the defendants would not be deprived of their civic rights, ${ }^{b}$ but they would no longer be able to make trouble for my father, seeing that their mother had refused the oath. When these 11 terms had been accepted-for why should I make my story a long one ?- he went to meet her before the arbitrator, and Plangon, contrary to all that she had agreed to do, accepted the challenge, and swore in the Delphinium ${ }^{c}$ an oath which was the very opposite of her former one, as most of you know well ; for the transaction became a notorious one. Thus, my father was compelled on account of his own challenge to abide by the arbitrator's award, but he was indignant at what had been done, and took the matter heavily to heart, and did not even so consent to admit these men into his house ; but he was compelled to introduce them to the clansmen. The defendant he enrolled as Boectus, and the other as Pamphilus. As for me, he forthwith persuaded me, 12 for I was about eighteen years of age, to marry the daughter of Euphemus, wishing to live to see children born to me. I, men of the jury, as before, so especially then, when these men were beginning to annoy him with lawsuits and were proving troublesome, thought

[^109]
## DEMOSTHENES







 $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon i ้ \nu o v ~ \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \xi$ á $\mu \eta \nu$ $\tau \epsilon$ тov́тovs $\epsilon i s$ тウ̀v oikíav $\kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$, ov̉ $\dot{\omega} s a \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda-$ фoîs ov̂ซıv (ov̉ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ vi $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тov̀s $\pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta a \sigma \iota$





 $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha i$ т $\hat{\eta} \alpha \dot{\operatorname{vi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu} \mu \eta \tau \rho i \quad \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ '̈ $\sigma \eta \nu \pi \rho о i ̂ \kappa \alpha$. $\sigma v \mu-$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ є’vєı $\mu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ оiкíav каi тоѝs $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s$ тov̀s $\delta \iota a \kappa o ́ v o v s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \xi \alpha \iota \rho \in ́ \tau o v s ~ \epsilon ’ \pi о \iota \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$,

 $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ коוv $\hat{\omega} \nu$ oैv $\tau \omega \nu$, $\epsilon$ 'áv $\tau \iota$ ov̂̃o८ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \stackrel{\jmath}{\iota} \omega \nu$ є่ $\pi \iota \zeta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota, \pi v \nu \theta \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota, ~ к \alpha i \quad \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu i-$
 โŋ $\eta \tau 0 \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon S$.
 $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \iota \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

[^110]that I, on the contrary, ought to strive to gladden him by doing everything whereby 1 could give him pleasure, and so obeyed him. When I had married 13 in this way, and he had lived to see my little daughter born, not many years later he fell sick and died. 'Then, although during my father's lifetime, men of the jury, I had thought it my duty to oppose him in nothing, yet after his death 1 received these men into the house. and gave them a share of all the property, not as being really my brothers (for most of you are well aware of the manner in which they beeame such), but thinking that, as my father had been beguiled. it was my duty to obey your laws. And when they had thus been receised by me into 14 the house, we proceeded to divide the inheritance: and upon my demanding that my mother's marriageportion be repaid to me, these men put in a counterclaim, and alleged that a portion of like amount was owing to their mother. ${ }^{a}$ On the advice of friends who were present we divided all the rest of the property but kept apart the house and the domestic servants of my father, in order that whichever party 15 of us might establish his claim to the dowry should recover it from the value of the house; and from the slaves, who were common property, the defendants, should they wish to search out ${ }^{b}$ any of my father's effeets, might make inquiry by torturing them, or by prosecuting their search in any other way they might please.

That I am speaking the truth in this also you will know from these depositions.

[^111]
## DEMOSTHENES

## MAPTYPIAI





 à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ є́фvүоסíкоиv каi $\chi \rho o ́ v o s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ \beta \epsilon \tau о ~ \sigma v \chi \nu o ́ s, ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota v ~ \epsilon ’ \xi ~ v i \pi a \rho \chi \eta ̂ s ~ \lambda a \gamma \chi a ́ v o v \sigma i ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta i ́ к а s, ~ к а i ~$



















[^112]
## The Depositions

After this these men brought action against me to 16 establish their claims, and I sued them for the marriageportion. At the first we had Solon, of Erchia. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ registered as arbitrator, and submitted to him for decision the claims we adranced against each other. These men, however, did not appear, but avoided the hearing ; and thus considerable time was wasted, and it came about that Solon died. These men then instituted their suit against me afresh, and I my suit against the defendant, summoning him under the name of Boeotus, and inscribing that name on the complaint: for that was the name my father gave him. In the suit which these men brought against 17 me , Boeotus appeared and fought the case, but, since he was unable to establish any of their claims, the arbitrator decided in my favour ; and Boeotus, conscious that he was making charges without any just basis, did not appeal to a jury, and has not now entered any suit against me in regard to these matters, but in regard to some others. thinking to break down this suit of mine by these countercharges. ${ }^{b}$ In the suit which at that time I was carrying on against Boeotus in regard to the marriageportion, since he was here in Athens and did not appear before the arbitrator, the latter gave judgement against him by default. And Boeotus. men of 18 the jury, though he was here at the time would not contest the suit, but declared that I had not received the arbitrator's verdict against him. for his name was not Boeotus, but Mantitheus ; and thus, by quibbling about a name, he is in fact depriving me of my mother's portion. As I was at a loss to know how

## DEMOSTHENES


 $\dot{v} \mu \hat{S}$ кататє́ $\phi \in \cup \gamma a$.
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тоv́т $\omega v$ нартvрías.

## MAPTYPIAI

 $\mu o v \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau o \nu$ є́ $\pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \eta \pi \rho o i ̂ \kappa \alpha, \epsilon ’ \kappa \delta o \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha$ vimò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\epsilon \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ avi $\hat{\eta} s, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \pi \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi vó $\mu$ о८ $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ v́ov $\sigma \iota$,
 $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \xi \xi^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ 'is $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ оiкíav $\tau о \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \eta^{-}$
 Є่vєка́入оvv, $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha i \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ $\kappa \alpha i \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \delta \in ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \alpha \iota$.
 точтоví.

## NOMOE


 $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma о \rho є$ vó $\mu \epsilon \nu о$, ठıкаíav $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu$ àmодоүíav каі


 каi iठía $\pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \epsilon i ้ \omega \theta \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \omega \nu$ és $\delta \eta \mu \epsilon v \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$ т $\eta s$





[^113]one should deal with a matter like this, I instituted the same suit afresh against him as Mantitheus, and now in the eleventh year I have come to you for help.

To prove that I am speaking the truth in this also, the clerk will read the depositions dealing with these matters.

## The Depositions

That my mother, therefore, men of the jury, bring- 19 ing a talent as her dowry, and given in marriage by her brothers, as the laws command, lived with my father as his wife : the manner, too, in which I received these men into the house after my father's death : and the fact that I obtained a verdiet in the suits which they brought against me :-all this has been established for you by proofs and by testimony.

Come now, take also this law concerning the marriage-portion.

## The Law

Such being the law, I faney that this man-call him 20 Boeotus or Mantitheus, or any other name by which he likes to be addressed-will have no valid or genuine defence to offer, but, relying upon his own audaciousness and effrontery, will endeavour to attach to me the misfortunes of his own family, as he is wont to do also in private life; and will allege that when the property of Pamphilus, who was the father of Plangon, was confiscated, my father took from out the councilchamber ${ }^{a}$ the surplus proceeds ${ }^{b}$; and he will thus try to show that his own mother brought a dowry of
slope of the "Theseum" hill, overlooking the Agora. See Vanderpool, Hesperia, iv. pp. 4 fo ff.
${ }^{\circ}$ 'The amount, that is, over and above the debt to the treasury.

## DEMOSTHENES


 ov̋ $\tau \epsilon \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu$ oủ $\delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o s$ vi $\pi \epsilon ̀ \rho$ тov́-

 [1015] $\pi \omega$ à $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi v \gamma \epsilon, \psi \epsilon v \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каì $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma$ às $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$







 є́кєivov $\pi \alpha \iota \sigma i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} S$ ov̉бías $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho a \phi \in i \sigma \eta s$ каi $\delta \eta$ $\mu \epsilon v \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau$ ' ov̉ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тò ő $\phi \lambda \eta \mu a \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ vitè $\rho$ av่ $\tau o \hat{v}$


 ov̉𧰨ias, $\hat{\eta}$ ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ aủтò тò ő $\phi \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ тó $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota}$ iкаv̀े



 Boıштòs каi 'Hסúdos каi Ev̉ $\theta u ́ \delta \eta \mu о s$, оî оưк ä้

 $\tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o ̀ v ~ \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon i ̂ \delta o v ~ к о \mu \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$. 496

## AGAINS'T BOEOTUS, II. $20-23$

more than one hundred minae, while my mother (he will claim) brought my father no portion whatever. These things he will state at length to you, men of 21 the jury, although he has not put a single deposition in the box to substantiate them, and knows very well that there is not a word of truth in what he says; for he is fully conscious that in your court no man who confessed his guilt was ever acquitted, whereas by lying and advancing arguments to lead you astray many a man ere now has avoided paying the penalty for his deeds. In order, then, that you may not be deceived by him, I think it is better to speak to you briefly about this matter also. For if he shall say 22 that my mother did not bring with her a marriageportion, while their mother did, bear in mind that he is manifestly lying. To begin with, Pamphilus, the father of this man's mother, died owing five talents to the public treasury, and so far from there being any surplus proceeds for his children after his property had been scheduled and confiscated, even his indebtedness has not been paid in full, but to this day Pamphilus stands inscribed as a debtor to the treasury. How, then, can it be that my father received money from the estate of Pamphilus, which proved inadequate to pay in full even the debt due to the city? Furthermore, men of the jury, bear this 23 in mind, that were it never so true that this surplus money did accrue as these men pretend. it was not my father who would have received it, but the sons of Pamphilus, Boeotus and Hedylus and Euthydemus; and, I fancy, they are not men who would go to all lengths to get hold of the property of others, as you all know, and yet at the same time quietly have allowed my father to take possession of what was theirs.

## DEMOSTHENES










 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ v́ $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \gamma o ́ v \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$, Макєठаи-



 ov̉𧰨ià $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu$ кєктך $\mu$ ย́vovs каi $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon о \mu \epsilon ́ \delta o v \tau о s$ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau о s$ концбанє́vovs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \rho о \hat{\kappa} к \alpha$, àто$\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \alpha u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha s$








a The famous Athenian general, whose victories over the Lacedaemonians made him one of the most notable figures in Athenian military history during the first half of the fourth century b.c.
${ }^{b}$ A striking instance of the Greek preference for the spoken rather than the written word.

## 498

## AGAINST BOEOTLS, II. 21-27

That the mother of these men did not bring with 24 her a marriage-portion, but that they are lying in regard to this, I think has been proved to you quite adequately; but that my mother did bring one. I shall easily show. In the first place, she was the daughter of Polyaratus, who was both honoured by you citizens, and had aequired a large estate. Secondly, it has been proved to you by witnesses that her sister brought a dowry of the like amount when she married Eryximachus, the brother-in-law of Chabrias. ${ }^{a}$ Besides all this, my mother is shown 25 to have been first given in marriage to Cleomedon, whose father Cleon, we are told, ${ }^{b}$ commanded troops among whom were your ancestors, and captured alive a large number of Lacedemonians in Pylos, ${ }^{c}$ and won greater renown than any other man in the state; so it was not fitting that the son of that famous man should wed my mother without a dowry, nor is it likely that Menexenus and Bathyllus, who had large fortunes themselves, and who, after Cleomedon's death, received back the dowry, defrauded their own sister ; rather, they would themselves have added to her portion, when they gave her in marriage to my father, as they themselves and the others have testified before you. And besides this, just consider why 26 in the world, if my mother had not been a lawfully espoused wife, and had brought no dowry, while the mother of these men did, should my father have denied that they were his sons, and have acknowledged me, and brought me up? Because, forsooth, as these men will claim, he dishonoured them in order to show favour to me and my mother. But my mother 27

[^114]
## DEMOSTHENES


 П入аү $\dot{\omega} \nu$ каі $\pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ «аі $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \grave{\eta} s$





 1017] $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ \mu o v ~ \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta v ~ v i \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$ aviтov̂ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota$. каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тov́тov $\mu$ óvov Tıрокрáтоvs каi Прона́ұоv

 $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} s \tau \dot{\alpha} \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \sigma \nu \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ ôv


 29 тov́тov $\grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \hat{\alpha} \sigma a \iota$. oîs $\tau$ ís äv $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ v́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$;



 äv aviтoîs $\delta \iota \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota ~ \gamma v \nu \eta$ к каi àvク́p, $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon-$
 тov̀s кouvoùs $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~ \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau ’ ~ \epsilon \grave{\alpha} \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon ' \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$.

[^115]died, leaving me still a little boy, whereas the mother of these men, Plangon, who was a handsome woman, maintained her connexion with him both before and after that ; so that it was much more likely that for the sake of the living woman. with whom he was in love all this time, he would dishonour the son of her who was dead, than that for $m y$ sake and my dead mother's he would refuse to acknowledge the children of her who was living and maintaining her connexion with him. My opponent, however. has come to such 28 a pitch of audacity as to declare that my father made a feast for him on the tenth day. ${ }^{a}$ And in regard to this he has put in depositions of Timocrates ${ }^{b}$ and Promachus alone, who are in no way related to my father, and were not friends of his. The testimony they have borne is so patently false, that, whereas you all know that Boeotus by instituting proceedings forced my father against his will to acknowledge him these men, like witnesses to a summons-and only two of them-depose that he made a feast for this fellow on the tenth day ! Is there anyone of you 29 who can believe that? And assuredly it is not open to him to say this, either-that when he was a little child my father acknowledged him, but that when he was grown he scorned him because of some quarrel with the mother of these men; ${ }^{c}$ for surely man and wife are much more apt, in cases where they are at variance with one another, to become reconciled for the sake of their children than, because of their enmity toward each other, to hate their common children as well. If, therefore, he attempts to say this, do not permit him to brazen it out. And should he go on to talk 30
${ }^{c}$ Compare the parallel passage in the preceding oration, § 23.

## DEMOSTHENES











 $\epsilon i ̂ v a \iota, ~ \epsilon i$ oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda о \iota$, öтаv oì $\omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ a ̉ \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ к а i ~$



 фı入óठıкоs. $\epsilon$ є́

 $\kappa о \nu \tau a$ víєîs $\phi v \gamma a \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ \grave{\eta} \xi \iota \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon$.
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## AGAINST BOEOTLS, H1. 30-33

about the actions brought by them, which the arbitrator decided in my favour, and claim that he was caught by me unprepared, remember, first, that it was not a short time that he had in which to prepare himself, but a great many years, and secondly, that it was he who brought the suit, so that it was much more likely that I should be taken umprepared by him than he by me. And further, all those who were present 31 before the arbitrator have given testimony that Boeotus was present when the arbitrator gave his decision in my favour and that he did not appeal to the court, but acquiesced in the decision. And yet it seems to me a strange thing that, whereas other men, who consider that they are being wronged. ${ }^{a}$ bring before you on appeal cases even of the slightest import, this fellow, who had brought suit against me to recover a talent as themarriage-portion, and had this suit decided against him by the arbitrator, unjustly, as he claims, should acquiesce in the decision. Ah, but it may be said that he is a man who loves 32 peace and hates litigation. I could indeed wish, men of the jury, that he were a man of that type. But here is the truth : you are so generous and so kind toward your fellow-men that you did not deem it right to banish from the city even the sons of the Thirty Tyrants ${ }^{b}$; but Boeotus, plotting against me with Menecles. who is the prime mover in all these schemes, having managed to get up a quarrel that from disputes and revilings should come to blows, cut his own head, and summoned me before the Areopagus on a charge of murderous assault, with the intention of driving me into exile from the city. And if Euthydicus, the physician,-to whom these 33

[^116]
## DEMOSTHENES





 $\pi o r \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$.
 $\mu o \imath \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \mu a \rho \tau v p i ́ a s . ~$

## MAPTYPIAI

34 Tov̂тov $\mu \epsilon ̇ v ~ \tau o i ́ v v v ~ o u ̛ \tau \omega ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu ~ к а i ~ ф о \beta \epsilon \rho o ̀ v ~$
 $\kappa \alpha i$ какойр os катєбкєv́a $\sigma \epsilon$. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ àv $\tau i$





 $\kappa \alpha i \quad \chi \in \iota \rho о \tau о \nu \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ́ $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ aa $\tau i \alpha \rho \chi \circ \nu, \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$





 $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$, оט̂тоs ка入ทิтає


[^117]men had gone in the first instance, asking him to make a cut on the head of Boeotus had not told to the court of the Areopagus the whole truth, this man would have taken such rengeance upon me. who was guilty of no wrong toward him. as you would not try to inflict on those who were guilty of the greatest wrongs toward you.

That I may not be thought to be slandering him. read, please, the depositions.

The Depositions
This great and formidable contest, then. he got up $3 t$ against me. not as a simple-minded fellow, but as a conspirator and a villain. But after this, instead of the name, Boeotus, which my father had given him, as has been proved to you by witnesses, after my father's death he had his name inscribed on the list of the demesmen as Mantitheus, and being further addressed by the name of the same father and the same deme as I myself, he not only forced a retrial of the case in which I am now suing him, ${ }^{a}$ but when you had elected me taxiarch, he came in person to the court to pass the probationary test ${ }^{b}$; and when judgement had been given against him in an ejectment suit, he declared that it was not against him but against me that the judgement had been given. And to sum up the matter for you, he gave me so 35 much trouble that he compelled me to bring suit against him regarding the name. not in order to get money from him, men of the jury, but that, if it should appear to you that I am being outrageously treated and am suffering grievous wrongs, he may go on being called Boeotus, as my father named him.
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## DEMOSTHENES

 каi $\tau$ às $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тov́ $\tau \omega v$ нартvрías.

## MAPTYPIAI

 каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ 'A $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu i o v$ $\xi \epsilon v o \lambda o \gamma \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma a s,{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \circ \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \rho \eta^{\prime}-$











 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ ф'̀ $\lambda \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \xi \circ \mu a \iota \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i a \nu$.

## MAPTYPIA






[^119]To prove that 1 am speaking the truth in this also, take, please, the depositions bearing on these matters.

## The Depositiors

In addition to all this, on the charge that, when I 36 was on military service and had collected mercenaries with Ameinias ${ }^{a}$ (seeing that I was well-provided with funds from other sources, and had collected from Mytilene from your proxenus ${ }^{b}$ Apollonides and the friends of our city three hundred Phocaic staters. ${ }^{c}$ and had spent that sum upon these troops, in order that a matter might be prosecuted which was of advantage to you and to them alike)-for this he 37 brings suit against me, alleging that I had collected a debt due to my father from the city of the Mytileneans. In this he was seeking to serve Cammys, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ tyrant of Mytilene, who is an enemy of Athens and a private enemy of mine.

But to prove that my father at the time received in person the reward which the people of Mytilene roted him, and that no debt was owing to him in Mytilene, I will produce a deposition of your friends.

## The Deposition

I could mention many other outrageous acts of 38 which Boeotus has been guilty, men of the jury, both against myself and against you ; but I am compelled to pass them by as but little water is left me in the clepsydra. ${ }^{e}$ I think, however, that, even as it is, you a gold coin somewhat heavier than the stater of Cyzicus (Oration XXXIV. \& 23).
${ }^{d}$ A tyrant of Mytilene, otherwise unknown.
e The water-clock.

## DEMOSTHENES

$\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ iк $\alpha \nu \bar{\omega} s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon i ̂ \chi \theta a \iota$, $\dot{\omega}$ oủ $\tau o \hat{v}$ aủ $\tau o \hat{v}$

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o ̀ v \delta \iota \alpha \iota \eta \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon v o \nu$. $\quad \omega \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau o v i \tau \omega \nu$ ä $\nu \epsilon \pi \iota \iota \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, ov̉k oípaı $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} S$







 $\mu o v$, ô̂̃os $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тoîs $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu$ є่ $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \nu$, ws каi

 тô $\delta \iota a \lambda v \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l, ~ \epsilon i ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o v ̀ s ~ v o ́ \mu o v s ~ \delta ı a \iota \tau \eta \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau a ~$











$$
{ }^{1} \text { is : } \hat{\eta} \text { Blass. }
$$
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## AGAINST BOEOTLS, II. 88-12

have been shown conclucively that the same man who got up against me a suit incolving the risk of bani-hment, and sued me on charges which concerned me not at all, is not one who would have come before the arbitrator unprepared: so that if he trice to say anything about this, I imagine that you will not tolerate it. If, however, he declares that he offered 39 to turn over all matters at issue between us to Conon, ${ }^{a}$ son of Timotheus, for arbitration, and that I refused to submit them, be sure that he will be trying to mislead you. I, for my part, was ready to submit all matters upon which a decision had not yet been rendered. either to Conon or to any other impartial arbitrator whom Boeotus might choose ; but matters regarding which the arbitrator had given a decision in my favour, after Boeotus had thrice appeared before him and contested the case.-a decision in which Boeotus acquiesced. as witnesses have testified to you,--these matters, I thought, could not justly be reopened. For to what final settlement could we ever 40 have come. if I had made invalid a decision given by an arbitrator in accordance with the laws, and had referred the same charge to the decision of another arbitrator? --especially as I knew full well that, even though in relation to other men it is not proper to insist overmuch on the decisions of arbitrators, yet it is peculiarly fair to deal thus with Boeotus. For 41 come, suppose someone should indict him for the usurpation of the rights of citizenship, declaring that my father denied on oath that this man was his son ; could he rely on anything else to meet this charge than that. because of their mother's oath and the decision of the arbitrators, my father was forced to abide by the award? It would, then, be an out- 42

## DEMOSTHENES

入íтךs $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o s ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \epsilon \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \sigma i ́ a \nu ~ v \epsilon \iota \mu a ́-~$ 1021］$\mu \epsilon v$ оs каi $\tau v \chi \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ \omega v$ á $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ，âs $\grave{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$


 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，öт $\tau \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ тои́тب $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ ，ठє́оv єîvaı кvрías









 $\pi \rho о$ v̉ка入ои́ $\mu \eta \nu$ аи̉тóv，$\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \Xi \epsilon \nu i \pi \pi \omega$ ，ôv

 ठıaı兀ิิ้．
 $\kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho о \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega s \in$ є $\quad \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．

## MAPTヘPIA．ПPOKAHEI工
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## AGAINST BOEOTLS, I1. 42-45

rageous thing, if this man, after having become a citizen of your city through an arbitrator's decision, and having secured a share of my inheritance, and obtained all that was fair, should be thought by you to have any justice in his claim, when he demanded the reopening of the suits in which I won my acquittal, when he was present and argued aqainst it, and acquiesced in the verdict : just as though, when it is to his interest, awards ought to be valid, but, when it is not to his interest, his opinion should have more weight than decisions rendered in accordance with your laws. He is such a crafty schemer that his 43 purpose even in this proposal of arbitration was not made that he might be rid of his disputes with me, but that, as he had for eleven years previously carried on his knavery, so now, by rendering invalid the decisions given in my favour by the arbitrator, he might afresh institute his malicious proceedings against me, and elude the present suit. Here is a 44 convincing proof of this. He would not accept the challenge which I gave him according to the laws; and when I had previously referred the suit about the name to Xenippus, whom he had proposed as arbitrator, he forbade him to render any decision.

That I am speaking the truth on these matters also you will learn from the deposition and the challenge.

## The Deposition. The Challevge

This challenge. then, he did not accept, wishing 45 rather to lay a snare for me and to delay the suit as long as he possibly could ; and now, as I learn, he will accuse not only me, but my father as well, alleging that my father wronged him in many ways in order to

## DEMOSTHENES




 $\epsilon i$ av̉тoi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ o ̉ \lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi i a s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~$



 $\epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \iota, \nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ '̇ $\pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \in \psi \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ как $\hat{\omega} s$



 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$. oí $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ фv́бєє $\pi \alpha \hat{\delta} \delta \epsilon s$ oै $\nu \tau \epsilon S$, кä $\nu$
 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} s \quad \gamma \epsilon$ av̉zov̀s ढ่ $\pi \alpha \iota \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 ¢ádícs $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ av̉




 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ov̉oías ảфaı $\rho \in \epsilon \epsilon i s$, ő $\mu \omega s$ vi $\mu \hat{a} s$ aio $\chi$ v́vo $\mu a \iota$
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## AGAINST BOEOTUS, II. 45-19

show favour to me. But I beg you, men of the jury, as you would yourselves deem it an unseemly thing to be evil spoken of by your own children, not to allow this man either to speak evil of his father. For it would 43 indeed be an outrageous thing when you yourselves, after having come to terms with those who in the time of the oligarchy put to death without trial numbers of your countrymen, abide by your compact with them, ${ }^{a}$ as men of honour should do, that you should allow this man, who was reconciled with my father while he lived, and won many advantages to which he had no right, now to renew the quarrel and to speak evil of that father when he is no more. Do not suffer this, men of the jury. If it be possible, 47 prevent him from acting in this way ; but if he persists in defying you and in speaking evil of my father, remember that he is bearing witness against himself that he is no son of his. For those who are true-born children, even though they may quarrel with their fathers while they are alive, yet speak well of them when they are dead; whereas those who are accounted sons, but are not in truth children of their supposed fathers, quarrel with them without scruple while they are alive, and think nothing of slandering them when they are dead. And, besides, think how 48 absurd it is that this fellow should abuse my father for his failings toward him, when it was thanks to this father's failings ${ }^{b}$ that he became a citizen of your state. I, on my part, have, thanks to the mother of these men, been deprived of two-thirds of my property, but for all that I have too much respect for you to speak disparagingly of her. But Boeotus 49
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## DEMOS'IHENES



 $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon ่$ тov̀s $\tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ a̋ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ татє́раs как $\hat{\omega}$ s $\lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon-$

 $\pi \in \rho i$ av่тồ à $\alpha \nu \alpha \kappa \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$.







$51 \pi \rho о \iota к о ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\mu \eta \prime \tau \eta \rho$ П $\lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma \omega \prime \nu, \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi о v \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ тov́тovs каi $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \alpha i v a s ~ \sigma v \chi v a ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ~ a v ̇ \tau \eta^{1} \pi о \lambda v \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}_{s} \zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha$, каi єis $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ тòv $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ’ \mu o ̀ v ~ \chi о \rho \eta \gamma o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ́ \alpha v \tau \hat{\eta}$ ن́тò

 à $\nu \grave{\eta} \lambda \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \dot{v} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda$ оv $\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$ є’ $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ тоv́тоьs
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~ \epsilon i ้ к о \sigma \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu \nu a ̂ s ~ \delta \alpha \nu є \iota \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ B \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha i ́ o v ~ \tau o v ~ \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \zeta ̆ i ́ o v ~ \epsilon i s ~$


 татрòs тафウ̀v тарà \vбıбтра́тov Oopıкiov סаvєь$\sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, i'íą є́ктє́тєєка.
' $\Omega_{S} \delta^{\prime}$ à $\lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\eta}$ каi $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$, є́к $\tau о$ о́т $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\mu a \rho \tau \cup \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu \epsilon \ddot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.
${ }^{1}$ av̉ $\mathfrak{r} \eta$ omitted by Blass.

## AGAINST BOEOTUS, II. 19-52

feels no shame in disparaging before you the man whom he compelled to become his father, and has even come to such a pitch of vulgarity that, although the laws forbid speaking ill even of other men's fathers after they are dead, he will slander the man whose son he claims to be; whereas it would be proper for him to show resentment if anyone else spoke evil of him.

I fancy, men of the jury, that, when he is at a loss 50 for anything else to say, he will undertake to speak evil of me, and will try to bring me into disrepute, rehearsing at length how I was reared and educated and married in my father's house, while he had no share in any of these advantages. But I bid you bear in mind that my mother died leaving me a child, so that the interest of her marriage-portion was sufficient to rear and educate me ; whereas Plangon, the 51 mother of these men, maintained them and a host of female servants in her own house, and herself lived lavishly, having $m y$ father ready, because of his passion for her, to supply the funds for all this, and forcing him to heavy expenditures. She therefore spent far more of his property than I did, so that I might with far better reason bring charges against them than they against me. For, besides all the 52 rest, in connexion with my father I borrowed twenty minae from Blepaeus the banker, for the purchase of some mining properties, and after my father's death I shared the mines with these men, but had to pay the loan myself. I also borrowed another thousand drachmae from Lysistratus of 'Thoricus for my father's funeral, and have personally paid the debt.

That I am speaking the truth on these matters also you will learn from these depositions.

## DEMOSTHENES

## MAPTTPIAI
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## AGAINST BOEOTUS, II. 53-56

## The Depositions

When I am thus so clearly at a disadvantage in 53 so many respects, shall this man now by making a great to-do and outcry about his wrongs, rob me also of my mother's marriage-portion ? But do not, men of the jury, I beg you by Zeus and the Gods, do not be overwhelmed by the noise he makes. He is a violent fellow, violent and ready to go to all lengths; and he is so unscrupulous that, if he has no witnesses to prove a fact, he will say that it is well known to you, men of the jury,--a trick to which all those have recourse who have no just argument to advance. If 54 he shall try any such trick, do not tolerate it ; expose him. What anyone of you does not know, let him deem that his neighbour does not know either. Let him demand that Boeotus prove clearly whatever statements he may make, and not shirk the truth by declaring that you know things about which he will have no just argument to advance ; since I, on my part, men of the jury, although you all know the way in which my father was compelled to adopt these men, am none the less suing them at law, and have brought forward witnesses responsible for their testimony. ${ }^{a}$ And yet the risk is not the same for both of 55 us. On my part, if you are now led astray by these men, it will not be open to me to bring suit again for the marriage-portion ; but they, if they claim that the arbitrator was wrong in giving his decision in my favour, as at that time they had the right to appeal to your court, so now again will be permitted, if they so wish, to recover their rights from me in your court. I, if you leave me in the lurch, which I 56 pray may not happen, shall have no means of giving

## DEMOSTHENES









 بóvov av่тоi $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \gamma \hat{\omega} s ~ \zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi ó $\mu о i o v s$ aúтois $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ o i к i a \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma a ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu, ~$


 $\kappa а т \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup ́ a \sigma \alpha \nu, ~ \tau i v o s ~ o u ̂ ̃ o \iota ~ \ddot{\eta}$ фар $\mu \alpha \kappa \epsilon i a s ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \ddot{\eta}$
 $\gamma \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ı s ~(\alpha ̉ \rho \tau i \omega s ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ каi тоv̂тo ảv-





 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ àva-

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu$ ô̂̃o८, oîs $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s, \pi \epsilon \rho i$
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## AGAINST BOEOTLS. H. $26-5 \mathrm{~B}$

a dowry to my daughter, whose own father I am, although, if you see her size. you would deem she was not my daughter but my sister: ${ }^{a}$ but these men, if you come to my aid, will pay nothing out of their own property, but will restore to me what is my own from the house which by common agreement we reserved for the settlement of the marriage-portion. but in which these men have been living by themselves. For it is not fitting that I, having a daughter 5 of marriageable age, should dwell with men of their sort, who are not only themselves living licentious lives, but who also bring into the house a host of others of like stamp with themselves: nay, by Zeus. I do not deem it safe to live in the same house with them myself. When they have thus openly laid a plot, and got up a charge against me before the Areopagus, do you suppose there is any poisoning or any other such villainy from which they would abstain? Besides all the rest (for this has occurred 5 s to me just now), they have come to such a pitch of audacity as to have put in a deposition of Crito, alleging that he has purchased from me my one-third share in the house. Now that this is false you will easily perceive; for in the first place Crito does not live so economically as to be able to purchase a house from someone else, but so extravagantly and licentiously that he spends the property of others as well as his own. Again, he is not now this man's witness, but rather my adversary. For who among you is ignorant that witnesses are those who have no interest in the matter at issue in the suit; while adversaries are those who are involved in the matters in regard to which one goes to law with them: The latter is
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## DEMOSTHENES


 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, ä $\lambda \lambda$ os $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon i s$ aù $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$



 $\tau о \iota s$ $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota, \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ठ̀̀ vvvi $\mu o ́ v o s \mathrm{~K} \rho i \tau \omega \nu$








 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ оiккiav $\epsilon i$ is $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$, ov̋ $\omega \omega \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon$





 каi $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda c a ́ \zeta \eta ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} v$ т $\quad$ òs $\tau$ ò $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a$, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta ı o ̀ s ~$

a The deus or machina of the tragic stage.

## AGAINST BOEOTUS, II. 59-61

the case with Crito. And furthermore, out of all 59 your number, men of the jury, out of all the host of the rest of the Athenians, not a single other person has testified that he was present at this sale ; Timocrates alone, like a god from the machine, ${ }^{a}$ testified that my father gave a feast to Boeotus on the tenth day (and Timocrates is of the same age as the present defendant!). Timocrates declares that he has perfect knowledge of all that is for the adrantage of these men ; and now on his own sole authority he testifies that he was present with Crito when he bought the house from me. Who among you will believe this? Not one, of course ; especially since I am not now suing about the house to determine whether Crito bought it or not, but about the marriage-portion which, seeing that my mother brought it with her, the laws declare that I should recover. Therefore, as I have proved to you by an 60 abundance of testimony and of circumstantial evidence that my mother did bring a talent as her dowry ; that I have not recovered it from my father's estate; and that the house was set apart by us to secure its payment ; so do you demand of Boeotus that he prove to you, either that I am not speaking the truth, or that it is not right that I should recover the marriage-portion; for these are the questions regarding which you are now going to cast your votes. But if, having no trustworthy witnesses, nor 61 any other proofs regarding the matters upon which he is being sued, he shall try unscrupulously to introduce irrelevant arguments, and if he indulges in outcries and protestations which have nothing to do with the matter, I adjure you by Zeus and the Gods, do not tolerate it ; nay, render me the help that is my

## DEMOSTHENES








## AGAINST BOEOTUS, II. 61

due, remembering in the light of all that I have urged that it is far more just that you should by your verdict give my mother's portion to my daughter for her dowry, than that Plangon and these men, in addition to all the rest that they have done, should in utter defiance of justice, rob me also of my house, which was set apart to secure the payment of the marriage-portion.
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DESCRIPTIVE PROSPECTUS ON APPLICATION

[^126]
# PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE CARDS OR SLIPS FROM THIS POCKET 
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[^0]:    a 'This process, called $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \delta o \sigma \iota s$, was open to any Athenian citizen chosen by the tax-company ( $\sigma \nu \mu \mu o \rho i a$ ) to perform one of the public services (trierarchy, choregia, etc.) imposed 4

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Paeania was a deme of the tribe Pandionis.
    ${ }^{6}$ At Athens a youth, on reaching the age of eighteen, was, after an official examination ( $\delta о к \iota \mu \sigma \sigma a)$ ), duly entered on the list of the members of his tribe, and assumed the status and the duties of a citizen.

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Each of the ten Athenian tribes selected one hundred and twenty men as their richest members. These twelve hundred men were divided into twenty groups of sixty each (called оv $\mu \boldsymbol{\rho}(\dot{a}()$, and from them certain men were designated to bear the burdens of public service (the trierarchy, choregia, etc.) and of the special property-tax imposed in time of need. 10

[^3]:    a A drachma, that is, on each mina. This (12 per cent) was the normal rate of interest on well-secured loans.

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ Strictly, thirteen talents and forty-six minae; see the Introduction.

[^5]:    ${ }^{b}$ Literally, " water," the time allotted to each speaker being measured by a water-clock.

[^6]:    ${ }^{a}$ That is, in command of a trireme which he had himself equipped for service.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Leuconion, or Leuconoë, was a deme of the tribe Leontis. vol. I

    C
    17

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ äд入as: ä̀ $\lambda \lambda a s$ ó $\mu o \hat{v}$ Blass.

[^9]:    ${ }^{a}$ That is, at 12 per cent, instead of 18 per cent, which was normal in the case of marriage-portions.
    ${ }^{b}$ The ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho a v o s$, originally a meal to which each contributed

[^10]:    ${ }^{a}$ That is, at 12 per cent, as above. Demosthenes is liberal indeed in his allowances. The entire sum of seventy-seven minae is crossed off as balanced by expenditures: the interest on four talents for ten years is set down as four talents forty minae, instead of four talents forty-eight minae, so that the 30

[^11]:    a The public arbitrators at Athens were chosen from a body of citizens of advanced age. To one or another of 38

[^12]:    ${ }^{a}$ The challenge was often used in Athenian lawsuits. Here Aphobus virtually offers Demosthenes a compromise, fixing the value of the estate at ten talents instead of thirty. Sometimes the challenger "dares" his opponent to give an oath. or to offer a slave for torture.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The speaker would have the jury think that the bit of evidence in question is unworthy of further notice.

[^13]:    ${ }^{a}$ That is, they have been classed among the wealthy citizens. See note a on p. 10, above.

[^14]:    ${ }^{a}$ The plaintiff in a private suit who was so far from being able to prove his case that he did not receive a fifth part of the votes, was subject to a fine of one-sixth of the damages claimed (an obol for each drachma). Failure to pay entailed

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ oủסéva] oưסєvòs Blass. $\quad{ }^{2} \mu \epsilon$ omitted by Blass.

[^16]:    ${ }^{a}$ That is, they hoped that the exchange of properties, if carried out, would transfer to Thrasylochus also the claims of Demosthenes against them, and so debar the latter from taking further action.
    ${ }^{b}$ Thrasylochus was the brother of the Meidias against whom Demosthenes brought action for assault (see Oration XXI.). Anagyrus was a deme of the tribe Erectheis.
    ${ }^{c}$ If the exchange of properties was accepted, either party had the right to enter and search the house and land of the other. Demosthenes denies this right to Thrasylochus, hoping that he might win a decision from the generals, before 72

[^17]:    ${ }^{a}$ See note $a$ on the preceding oration, p. 50. The entire property of the plaintiff would be exhausted in payment of the damages imposed.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ ámáaŋs omitted by Blass.

[^19]:    ${ }^{a}$ On the high value attributed by the Greeks to evidence extracted from a slave by torture see Oration XXX. § 37, and Aristotle, Rhet. i. 15.

[^20]:    ${ }^{a}$ That is, in attacking the testimony of the deponent he would deny his own previous admissions.

[^21]:    ${ }^{a}$ With reference to these items see Oration XXVII.
    ${ }^{6}$ In the inventory of the estate of the father of Demosthenes, given in Oration XXVII., there is mention of a hottomry loan to Nuthus, amounting to seventy minae.
    106

[^22]:    ${ }^{a}$ This passage repeats rery closely the language of Oration XXVII. §§ 55-57.

[^23]:    ${ }^{a}$ Demo was not actually a trustee, but in Oration XXVIII. $\S 15$ it is stated that the elder Demosthenes had called him to be present, when, on his death-bed, he had entrusted his affairs and the guardianship of his children to the three named as trustees. He was the father of Demophon, and had very possibly taken part in the management of the trust.
    120

[^24]:    ${ }^{a}$ See notes $b$ and $c$ on Oration XXVII. p. 11.

[^25]:    ${ }^{a}$ To understand the argument of the speech the reader should bear in mind certain facts regarding the Athenian laws concerning marriage and divorce.

    To make a marriage legal at Athens it was necessary that both bride and bridegroom be of pure Athenian stock. and that the bride be given away by her father, or, if she had no father living. by her nearest male relative (her guardian or кv́pos). The marriage-contract was between the bridegroom and this guardian, and the marriage-portion was paid by the guardian to the bridegroom. In the case of Onetor's sister Demosthenes asserts that the portion was not paid outright to Aphobus, but was retained by her former husband, Timocrates. who was to pay interest on it at 10 per cent.

    The hushand might divorce his wife, but he was required to send her hack to her guardian with her personal effects and her portion, or to pay interest on the portion, normally 136

[^26]:    ${ }^{b}$ That is, in June 366. $\quad{ }^{c}$ That is, in December 364.
    ${ }^{a}$ See note $b$, on p. 9, and Aristotle, Constitution of Athens, 42. 2.

[^27]:    a More literally, "one would have been rash to have trusted him."

[^28]:    a These were underground, as appears from the phrase $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ in $\S 30$. 146

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ ả $\left.\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda o \iota \pi \epsilon\right]$ à $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda о \stackrel{\imath}{\pi \epsilon \iota}$ Blass.

[^30]:    ${ }^{a}$ To signify that the property was mortgaged.

[^31]:    ${ }^{a}$ Presumably Protus, who seems to have sailed as supercargo.

[^32]:    ${ }^{a}$ For the Greek phrase compare Orations XXXVII. § 39, XXXIX. sc. and XL. §9.
    ${ }^{b}$ The precise meaning of the phrase $\epsilon \in \kappa$ nov $\lambda \hat{\eta} s$ is disputed. Others take it as meaning that the man in question was a member of the Athenian $\beta o u \lambda \eta$, or Senate. $18+$

[^33]:    ${ }^{a}$ The meaning appears to be that Zenothemis considered Demo. rather than Protus, a person from whom he might hope to win damages for ejectment.

[^34]:    a The word oïк $\eta \mu a$, " lodging," is used as a euphemism for $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\rho} \rho \iota v, "$ prison." $C f$. Oration LVI. § 4.

[^35]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ The speech is mutilated at the end, and the concluding words yield no satisfactory sense.

[^36]:    ${ }^{a}$ A deme of the tribe Pandionis.

[^37]:    a The temple of Hephaestus: perhaps the well-preserved Doric structure commonly called the Thescum.
    ${ }^{b}$ A city in the Troad.

[^38]:    ${ }^{a}$ That is, they become invalid, if not renewed at the end

[^39]:    ${ }^{a}$ The word rendered " exchange " or " market," may well designate merely the Peiraeus, which was in a very real sense the $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma^{\prime} \rho \circ \frac{1}{}$ of Athens.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ As happened, of course, when a plea in bar of action was introduced.

[^40]:    a The King of Pontus.
    b The ms. reading is $\tau \rho \iota а к о ́ \sigma \iota a ~(300), ~ b u t ~ i t ~ i s ~ m o s t ~ u n l i k e l y ~$ 242

[^41]:    a The word is used of one who, though an alien, paid only 248

[^42]:    ${ }^{a}$ I take the phrase $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v i \tau o v ~ w i t h ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ v a l, ~ a s s u m i n g ~$ that the reference is to the partner of Chrysippus, who apparently takes the latter's place as speaker at the beginning of the next paragraph.
    ${ }^{b}$ It is commonly assumed that the second speaker begins 250

[^43]:    ${ }^{a}$ The stater of Cyzicus (a town on the south shore of the Propontis, or sea of Marmora) was a coin made of electrum, an alloy of approximately three-quarters gold and onequarter silver. It was nearly twice as heavy as the ordinary gold stater, which was worth twenty drachmae, and had a value (as stated in the text) of twenty-eight drachmae. The addition of the word "there" indicates that the value differed in different places according to the rate of exchange.
    ${ }^{b}$ That is, of course, the sum of the two items, or three thousand nine hundred and twenty drachmae. The total is 252

[^44]:    a We learn from $\S 33$ that the contract entailed a penalty of five thousand drachmae in case a return cargo was not shipped, but of course payment could not have been exacted in Bosporus. The speaker seems to identify the overpayment of one thousand three hundred and twenty drachmae with this penalty; but the "overpayment" represents almost exactly the amount of the money Lampis had loaned to Phormio, plus the thirty per cent interest. It is, of course, possible that the penalty of five thousand drachmae was to 254

[^45]:    a This is best explained by assuming that the contract gave 256

[^46]:    a The reference is not wholly clear. It may be that others

[^47]:    ${ }^{a}$ Either the speaker was with Chrysippus at the time Lampis made this statement, or else Chrysippus is now again the speaker.
    ${ }^{6}$ A town in Chalcidicê.
    c We learn from Aristophanes, Vespae, 1109, that the Odeum, built by Pericles as a music school, near the great theatre, was sometimes used as a law-court, and Pollux, viii. 33, states that suits concerning grain were decided there.

[^48]:    ${ }^{a}$ Literally a half-sixth (i.e one-twelfth) of a medimnus, a measure containing about twelve gallons.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ In 335 в.c.

[^49]:    ${ }^{c}$ This was a hall near the Dipylon, in which the dresses and other properties used in the Panathenaic procession ( $\pi o \mu \pi \eta$ ) were kept.

[^50]:    a The speaker is about to return to the argument that the special plea was inadmissible. He says, in effect, I have shown that Phormio is guilty. If he had been able to prove his case as clearly (ie. in the suit as instituted) it would have been his best defence. He could not do so, and therefore had recourse to a special plea, arguing that my suit 264

[^51]:    a If, under the present circumstances, Phormio's insolence is so great, who can say what it would have been, had the arbitrator decided in his favour:

[^52]:    ${ }^{a}$ Phaselis was a town in Bithynia, on the southern coast of Asia Minor.
    ${ }^{b}$ The courts for the settlement of maritime cases sat from 278

[^53]:    ${ }^{a}$ Carystus was a town in Euboea.
    ${ }^{b}$ Towns in the peninsula of Pallenê, in Chalcidicê. Weather conditions would determine which port should be entered.

[^54]:    a The ten days following the rising of Sirius-July 25 to August 5-were, it was thought, apt to be stormy.
    ${ }^{b}$ In such ports Athenian ships would be safe.

[^55]:    ${ }^{c}$ If the return royage is delayed until the legal year has expired (at the summer solstice) the rate of interest is to remain unchanged.
    ${ }^{d}$ That is, the terms of the contract shall be absolute compare § 39 .

[^56]:    ${ }^{a}$ The noted orator, essayist, and teacher of rhetoric.

[^57]:    ${ }^{a}$ The $\kappa є р a ́ \mu \iota o \nu$ held about six gallons.
    ${ }^{6}$ Perhaps a bit of carelessness on the part of the writer 288

[^58]:    ${ }^{a}$ Affidavits, taken down in writing in the presence of witnesses appointed for the purpose, and verified by them under oath, were accepted as evidence when the individuals could not be present in person.
    290

[^59]:    ${ }^{b}$ Acharnae was a deme of the tribe Oeneïs, Dypetê a deme of the tribe Cecropis, and Cholleidae a deme of the tribe Aegeïs.

[^60]:    a On the right of reprisal, rf. $\leqslant 13$ above, and see Smith, Dictionary of Antiquities, art." Sylae." 294

[^61]:    c The books of the harbour-masters would show whether the tax of 2 per cent had been collected, and thus whether any goods had been landed.
    ${ }^{\text {E }}$ A sharp thrust at the sophist, ever ready " to make the" worse the better reason."

[^62]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The close parallel between this passage and the portrayal 304

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ тоv́тov] $\tau о$ v́т $\omega v$ Blass.

[^64]:    a A board of police commissioners, having jurisdiction in the case of capital crimes, and charged with the custody of those convicted.
    ${ }^{b}$ The archon ( $\left.\epsilon \pi \dot{\omega} v v \mu o s\right)$ had the duty of passing judgement 308

[^65]:    ${ }^{a}$ The third archon. originally minister of war, presided over the court in which cases regarding the rights of aliens were settled. See Aristotle, Constitution of Athens, 58 .
    310

[^66]:    a The reader does not quote the law in full, but abridges it, and adds this clause as a sort of " et cetera."

[^67]:    ${ }^{a}$ This is not merely the conventional plea of inexperience (compare XXXIV. 1) ; Phormio was by now an old man, and further, since he was a manumitted slave, he can have had no training which would equip him for the task, and furthermore, he was, of course, of barbarian birth. His friends, therefore, came to his aid, and one of them speaks in his behalf.

[^68]:    b 'The terms " plaintiff" and "defendant," as used in the translation of this oration, apply to the suit brought against Phormio.

[^69]:    ${ }^{a}$ It is not stated precisely what this challenge was; but it may well have been a demand made to Apollodorus to produce the articles in question.
    ${ }^{b}$ He had been given his freedom by Pasio.
    c The word naturally denotes industry, but the clause might possibly be rendered " because he did not wish capital to lie idle": so Dareste. In Oration XLV. § 33, Apollodorus 324

[^70]:    ${ }^{a}$ That is, of Pasicles, who was a minor. That the guardian should marry the widow was a common provision (so in the case of Demosthenes' own mother ; see Oration XXXII.). 326

[^71]:    a Addressed to the two brothers, Apollodorus and Pasicles. ${ }^{b}$ By right of seniority.

[^72]:    ${ }^{c}$ That is, the conduct of a manufacturing business entailed more labour and trouble than the management of a bank.

[^73]:    a These men would appear to have been slaves originally, and, like Phormio himself, were rewarded with emancipation. The alternative rendering, "freed them from all claims," seems less probable.

[^74]:    a The two verbs áфıévaı and áma入入átтєıv seem at times to be virtual synonyms, used freely with the redundancy of legal usage. In some cases, however, ádı́́vą refers clearly to the creditor`s act, and $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \nu$ to the effect on the debtor. Parallel passages are Orations XXXVII. $\S \S 1$ and 19 ; and XXXVIII. \& 1.
    ${ }^{b}$ It was the custom at Athens to emphasize the sanctity 340

[^75]:    a It is probable that the word "gave" refers to provisions in the will of Strymodorus. We must then assume that the wife died after the will was made, but before the death of Strymodorus. So Sandy.

[^76]:    ${ }^{a}$ Illegitimate children could not inherit: and the fact that Apollodorus recognized the children of Phormio and Archippê as heirs, proves that he admitted the legality of the marriage.
    ${ }^{b}$ There were four children: Apollodorus and Pasicles, and the two born of Phormio and Archippê.
    346

[^77]:    a The debts, that is, due to his father.
    ${ }^{b}$ The rent of the factory was a talent a year, and that of the bank a talent and forty mine, making a total of one hundred and sixty minae annually, or eighty mine apiece for each of the two brothers, or ten talents and forty mine for the eight-year period.
    ${ }^{c}$ The rents under the new lease remained the same as

[^78]:    ${ }^{a}$ Contrast with this passage the statements of Apollodorus himself regarding his manner of life in Oration XLV. § $\pi /$
    ${ }^{b}$ The order of the words suggests a slight contrast between סógaı and tival.

[^79]:    ${ }^{a}$ That is, of course, the right of citizenship.

[^80]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The property of Phormio consisted chiefly in the money of the depositors which he had invested in divers ways. If heavy damages were assessed against him, the depositors would at once demand their money, and such a run on the bank would be ruinous.

[^81]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ I follow Sandys in the interpretation of this passage. 362

[^82]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The "purchase" amounted to a perpetual lease, the title remaining vested in the state.

[^83]:    a This word is borrowed from the field of music, but it came to be freely used to gloss over "mistakes" in the realm of morals.

[^84]:    ${ }^{a}$ Evergus and Nicobulus, as stated in the Introduction, had leased the mining property to Pantaenetus. As the lessee failed to pay the interest, Erergus, in the absence of Nicobulus, who had gone abroad, took possession of the property and even seized some silver which a slave of Pantaenetus was bringing to his master that he might make 376

[^85]:    ${ }^{a}$ Presumably the amount due to the state for the purchase of the mine, though the sum differs from the amount secured by the mortgage.
    390

[^86]:    ${ }^{b}$ That is, disenfranchisement could come only by act of the state itself.

[^87]:    ${ }^{c}$ The precise meaning of $\kappa \epsilon \gamma \chi \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu$ cannot be determined. It seems to have denoted either the pit into which the silver was run when melted, or the furnace in which it was refined.

[^88]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ There is much obscurity here. The acceptance of the challenge by Nicobulus should have put an end to the action. The plaintiff had then the right to take back the 402

[^89]:    ${ }^{a}$ His own desire to live simply and naturally had caused him to be imposed upon, and to be despised as one lacking in spirit. The passage is variously interpreted, and the text is uncertain. The mss. have кaтaлє $\lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \chi \theta a \iota$, which yields no satisfactory sense, unless with Wolf we insert the negative $\mu \eta^{\prime}$. The meaning in that case would be that a life of ruthless self-assertion is a "great gain."

[^90]:    ${ }^{a}$ Possibly, " the charges against me."
    ${ }^{b}$ Whereas in court the plaintiff ran the risk of having to pay the heary penalty of the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \beta$; $\lambda i a$, if he failed to make good his case. See note $a$. p. 5 .
    ${ }^{c}$ Due to the alleged intrusion into the women's apartments.
    406

[^91]:    ${ }^{a}$ That is, in round numbers. In $\S 31$ the sum is given as three talents, twentr-six hundred drachmae. 408

[^92]:    a Compare Oration XLV. § $\%$.

[^93]:    ${ }^{a}$ The following passage is repeated almost verbatim in the next oration, $\$ 821$ and $2 ?$.
    ${ }^{b}$ Homicide, even if accidental, entailed pollution, which required expiation.

[^94]:    a The opening sentences of this speech repeat almost verbatim those of the preceding oration．
    ${ }^{b}$ From this it is plain that each of the two claimants brought suit against each of the four sons of Aristaechmus． 420

[^95]:    ${ }^{a}$ This passage offers difficulties. The best established text can be rendered only as above; but the question at once arises: why the long lapse of time between the filing of the suit and the settlement? Again, the use of $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime}$ o of a civil suit is surprising, although this difficulty might be met by assuming (with Kennedy) that a public prosecution is meant; but even so the eight-year period remains unexplained.
    424

[^96]:    ${ }^{a}$ The Athenian stater was a gold coin worth twenty drachmae.

[^97]:    a The following passage is repeated almost verbatim from the preceding oration. $\S s 58 \mathrm{ff}$.
    ${ }^{b}$ If a guardian did not fufil the duties imposed by his position, any citizen might charge him before the archon with breach of trust.
    434

[^98]:    ${ }^{a}$ This strong phrase occurs also in Oration XL．§ 9.
    ${ }^{b}$ Ninus was a priestess who was put to death，as the scholiast on Demosthenes XIX．$\S 281$ tells us，for supplying love－potions to young men．The case seems to have been a notorious one，and reflected little credit on Menecles．

[^99]:    a This money was evidently to be paid to her for fulfilling her promise to refuse the oath.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Admission to the clan was necessary, if full family rights were to be secured.
    c The Apaturia was a family festival occurring in the month l'yanepsion (October-November), and was the time 1.50

[^100]:    ${ }^{f}$ This third form of public service entailed the duty of giving the annual dinner (in the Prytaneum (?)) to the members of the tribe.
    g That is, each of them would seek to shift the burden of the required service, so that the other would have to bear it.

[^101]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The word $\kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta$ 's does not signify a judge in a court of law, but apparently a judge in some festival contest.
    ${ }^{b}$ In Athens the members of the senate ( $\beta o u \lambda \eta^{\prime}$ ) of five hundred -fifty from each of the ten tribes-were chosen by lot.
    ${ }^{c}$ The six minor archons bore this name; see note $a$ on p. 20\%.
    ${ }^{d}$ Every candidate had an identification tablet inscribed with his full name (that is, his given name, the name of his $+54$

[^102]:    ${ }^{a}$ A town in Euboea.
    ${ }^{b}$ This name was given to the second day of the festival Anthesteria, held in February-March.
    ${ }^{c}$ Service in the chorus at the Dionysiac festival would entitle the individual to exemption from military service for the time being-an easy way out for the " slacker."
    ${ }^{d}$ The taxiarchs were military officers, each in command of his tribe's contingent of hoplites.

[^103]:    ${ }^{a}$ Evidently shortage of funds might prevent the courts from sitting; and the Euboean campaign had depleted the treasury.
    ${ }^{b}$ The exivo were receptacles in which documents, etc., pertaining to the case were put under seal, to be opened only when the case was called. See note $a$ on Oration XXXIV. p. 26 s .

[^104]:    " The " service" at which the speaker hints is presumably the bearing of false testimony.

[^105]:    ${ }^{a}$ The word chosen is the one properly used of aliens who seek to arrogate to themselves the rights of citizenship. 470

[^106]:    ${ }^{5}$ The famous demagogue, known to us from Thucydides and Aristophanes.

[^107]:    ${ }^{a}$ On this whole passage compare the preceding oration, $\$ 2$.

[^108]:    ${ }^{a}$ A quotation from lliad, xv. 37 f .
    ${ }^{b}$ These would be ensured to them by the fact of their being enrolled in the clan register; but if they were enrolled as sons of the brothers of Plangon, they could no longer " make trouble" for Mantias by claiming to be sons of his.

[^109]:    c The temple of Apollo Delphinius, situated somewhere near the ancient entrance to the Acropolis.

[^110]:    ${ }^{a}$ Below ( $£ \subseteq 0$, end) the amount is set at more than 100 minae, not a talent merely. 490

[^111]:    ${ }^{b}$ The precise meaning of this phrase is open to question. It may imply a claim that some property had been omitted from the inventory or in some way concealed.

[^112]:    ${ }^{a}$ Erchia was a deme of the tribe Aeantis.
    ${ }^{b}$ Boeotus evidently hoped that making claims on his own behalf he could offset the claim of Mantitheus for the dowry of his mother.

[^113]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Bouleuterion, the meeting-place of the Council of 500 , has been identified with a building found on the east 494

[^114]:    ${ }^{c}$ This was in 425 b.c. The account is given in Thucydides, iv. 3 ff .

[^115]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ See the Introduction to the preceding oration, p. 444.
    ${ }^{b}$ Perhaps to be identified with the Timocrates against whom Demosthenes delivered Oration XXIV.
    500

[^116]:    a That is, by the arbitrator's award.
    ${ }^{5}$ In 403 в.с.

[^117]:    a By claiming that his name was Mantitheus, not Boeotus, he made of no effect the judgement rendered against him under the latter name.
    504

[^118]:    ${ }^{b}$ Every Athenian elected to public office had to pass a scrutiny ( $\delta о к с \mu \sigma \sigma$ ) and prove his full citizenship.

[^119]:    a Apparently an otherwise unknown commander of mercenary troops, under whom Mantitheus served as taxiarch.
    ${ }^{b}$ A state representative in a foreign land, somewhat analogous to our consul.
    c The stater of Phocaea (a city on the coast of Ionia) was 506

[^120]:    ${ }^{a}$ The grandson of the famous Athenian general of this name.

[^121]:    a The allusion is to the amnesty declared after the expulsion of the Thirty Tyrants. For this "gentleness" of the democracy see Aristotle, Constitution of Athens, 22. 4. 512

[^122]:    $b$ "There is a play on the double sense of $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \in a$, which is often used as a euphemism for the frailties of love."-Paley. vol. I

    2 L
    51.3

[^123]:    ${ }^{a}$ Liable, that is, to prosecution for perjury, if their testimony be proved false.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ тoьov́т $\omega \nu$ ] $\tau о$ v́т $\omega \nu$ Blass.

[^125]:    a See above. § 1 ?
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