

1/4

Hhaldy ultyle.



FROM THE LIBRARY OF TRINITY COLLEGE

TORONTO





## Cambridge Patristic Texts.

GENERAL EDITOR—A. J. MASON, D.D., MASTER OF PEMBROKE COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

THE

CATECHETICAL ORATION

OF

GREGORY OF NYSSA.

# LUMILA COTTEEL FIBEYBA

Hondon: C. J. CLAY AND SONS,

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE,

AVE MARIA LANE.

Glasgow: 50, WELLINGTON STREET.



Leipzig: F. A. BROCKHAUS. Pew York: THE MACMILLAN COMPANY. Bombay and Calcutta: MACMILLAN & CO., Ltd.

#### THE

## CATECHETICAL ORATION

OF

## GREGORY OF NYSSA

#### EDITED BY

### JAMES HERBERT SRAWLEY, M.A.,

LATE SCHOLAR OF GONVILLE AND CAIUS COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

THEOLOGICAL LECTURER AT SELWYN COLLEGE.

SOMETIME VICE-PRINCIPAL OF LICHFIELD THEOLOGICAL COLLEGE.

CAMBRIDGE:
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.
1903

Cambridge:

PRINTED BY J. AND C. F. CLAY, AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

#### PREFACE.

THE Oratio Catechetica exhibits perhaps better than any other single work the characteristic features of the mind and thought of its author. As such it serves as an introduction to the study of Gregory of Nyssa. The present edition is intended to render assistance to students in placing the treatise in its proper historical setting, and to supply such illustrative comment as seemed necessary.

While much has been written in recent times upon Gregory's teaching, the problems connected with the text and exegesis of his works have received scant attention. The labours of Krabinger, Forbes, and Oehler are the only serious contribution in modern times to the former, while the volume of translations in the Library of Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers is the first English contribution to the latter.

The text of the present edition is based upon a collation of the more important MSS of the treatise, the majority of which have not hitherto been used for the purposes of an edition. The editor is indebted to

the Managers of the Hort Fund for the grants which have made it possible for him to obtain collations or photographs of these MSS. He has also to acknowledge much kindness and personal assistance rendered to him by the authorities of the various libraries to which he has had access. In this connexion a special debt of gratitude is due to Dr Mercati of the Vatican Library, and to M. Omont of the National Library, Paris. To Mr C. H. Turner he is indebted for valuable information and suggestions in connexion with the textual problems of the treatise. His thanks are also due to Dr H. Jackson for useful references and suggestions, and to the Rev. J. F. Bethune-Baker for criticisms and discussions of particular passages. Above all he has been indebted throughout to the unfailing courtesy and kindness of the General Editor of the present series of Patristic Texts, Dr A. J. Mason, who has placed his advice unreservedly at the service of the present editor, and who has read through the whole work in manuscript and proof, and offered numerous suggestions and criticisms

The more important works to which reference has been made are mentioned in the Notes, and more fully in the List of Books given in the Introduction.

J. H. S.

Easter, 1903.

### CONTENTS.

			PAGE
Introduction			
§ 1. On the character, date, genuineness, and history of the <i>Oratio Catechetica</i> .			ix
§ 2. On some points in the teaching of Gr	egory	of	
Nyssa			xvii
§ 3. History of the Text			xliii
THE CATECHETICAL ORATION			1
Indices			
I. Subjects			165
II. Scripture Texts			170
III. Greek Words			172
Corrigenda			182



#### INTRODUCTION.

§ 1. ON THE CHARACTER, DATE, GENUINENESS, AND LITERARY HISTORY OF THE *ORATIO CATECHETICA*.

THE central period of the literary activity of Gregory of Nyssa falls within the years 379—394. Within those years must be placed nearly all his more important works. It was the death of Basil in 379 which brought him prominently forward, and placed him in the position of the champion of Catholicism in Cappadocia. The time was rich in opportunities. The year which preceded the death of Basil had witnessed the fall of Arianism and the triumph of the Nicene cause, for which Gregory had borne his witness not only in teaching, but by submitting to banishment at the hands of an Arian governor<sup>1</sup>.

In the stirring events of the years which followed Gregory played an important part. It was his own position as one of the foremost leaders of the Nicene cause in the East, rather than the importance of his see, which led to his being summoned to the Council of Constantinople in 381, and to his being named in the edict of the Emperor as one of the bishops with whom communion was required as a test of orthodoxy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Basil *Epp.* 237, 239. Cp. Greg. Naz. *Ep.* 72 (ed. Ben.).

At Constantinople he made the acquaintance of Jerome, who had been attracted thither by the fame of Gregory of Nazianzus, and it was on this occasion that Jerome heard Gregory recite his work against Eunomius<sup>1</sup>. The period which followed the Council of Constantinople was full of hope for the leaders of orthodoxy. Arianism, though still fairly strong in the East, had received its death-blow at Adrianople. The way was opened for the restoration of the Catholic faith. In that task Gregory of Nyssa played a leading part. But with the restoration of the faith a fresh presentation of it in the terms of a scientific theology became necessary. That was a conviction which had already begun to occupy the minds of Basil and Gregory of Nazianzus. They were both students of Origen, whose theological system, though not accepted in its entirety, was the only adequate form of Christian scientific thought known to that age. The compilation of the Philocalia2 is a testimony to their belief that Origen's thought contained the principles by means of which the faith might be presented as a rational theology. It is in their attempt to realize this dream of a 'league between Faith and Science<sup>3</sup>' that the importance of the Cappadocian Fathers largely consists. Gregory of Nyssa shared this belief<sup>4</sup>, and was more deeply imbued with the spirit of Origen than either Basil or Gregory of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Jerome *de Vir. Ill.* c. 128. The work which Jerome heard recited was probably an earlier draft of the work which we possess. See *infra*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On the *Philocalia* see the letter sent by Gregory of Nazianzus (about 382) to Theodosius, Bp of Tyana (*Ep.* 115). On the obligations of both Basil and Gregory of Nazianzus to Origen see Socrates *H. E.* iv 26.

<sup>3</sup> Cp. Harnack H. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See de Vita Moysis (written in old age), p. 336 (Migne). ἔστι γάρ τι καὶ τῆς ἔξω παιδεύσεως πρὸς συζυγίαν ἡμῶν εἰς τεκνογονίαν ἀρετῆς οὐκ ἀπό-βλητον. καὶ γὰρ ἡ ἡθική τε καὶ φυσικὴ φιλοσοφία γένοιτο ἄν ποτε τῷ ὑψηλοτέρω βίω συζυγός τε καὶ φίλη καὶ κοινωνὸς τῆς ζωῆς.

Nazianzus. The *Oratio Catechetica* approaches more nearly to the spirit of the *de Principiis* than any other work of the fourth century<sup>1</sup>.

The attempt to establish the doctrines of orthodoxy by rational thought was both opportune and necessary. In the first place current religious conceptions had been profoundly affected by the influence of Neoplatonism. It was rather as an attitude of mind than as a philosophical system that Neoplatonism played a part in the moral culture of the heathen world. It influenced men's way of looking at religious truth, by leading them to dwell upon the inner world, the life of thought and spirit, and to find in it the explanation of the universe. The result was a more spiritual conception of God. According to Plotinus the Divine Being is of the nature of thought and is indivisible<sup>2</sup>. This marked a great advance upon the materialistic conceptions of Deity which characterized Stoic teaching and popular thought, even within the Church<sup>3</sup>, and it rendered easier the task of those who had to state the Christian doctrine of the Trinity and defend it against the unitarian or tritheistic conclusions which so readily followed from a materialistic view of being. Again, the speculations of philosophers on the existence of hypostases within the Divine Being<sup>4</sup> had made it easier to present to men's minds the unity and co-eternity of the Persons of the Godhead<sup>5</sup>. Once

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Harnack H. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv 334.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See e.g. Ennead. v 1. 3 sq. Cp. Bigg Neoplatonism (S. P. C. K.), p. 166 sq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Tertullian is an example. We have a later illustration in the anthropomorphism of the Egyptian monks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> On the Trinity of Numenius see Bigg Bampton Lect. p. 251. On the Trinity of Plotinus see Ennead. v 1. 6 sq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> There is of course a wide gulf between the Trinity of Plotinus and the doctrine of the Church. The former taught the unity and co-eternity of

more, the widespread fatalism<sup>1</sup> of the age and the existence of Manichaeism<sup>2</sup> called for some adequate treatment of the Divine Providence<sup>3</sup>, the origin of evil, and the nature and destiny of man<sup>4</sup>. Lastly there was the task of justifying to current thought the Christian doctrines of the Incarnation and Atonement.

Such were the circumstances under which the Oratio Catechetica was produced. The purpose of the treatise is stated in the opening words of the Prologue. It is intended not for catechumens, but for catechists, in order to enable them to present in a rational form to those whom they taught the contents of the Christian revelation. Yet it does not profess to set forth a complete system of doctrine. While it abounds in philosophic thought, the aim throughout is practical. The object of the writer is to enable the catechist to remove objections and to win conviction. When he calls to his aid the speculations of philosophers, he does so, not so much because he regards them as the necessary form of truth, as because they provide a common ground for argument. The apologetic character, in fact, is strongly marked throughout.

the hypostases, but excluded the idea of their co-equality. The Intelligence is inferior to the One, and the Soul is inferior to the Intelligence. Both Intelligence and Soul are emanations from the One, which is infinitely raised above them both. Cp. Bright Age of Fathers i p. 93.

- $^{1}$  See Gregory's treatise  $\pi$ ερὶ εἰμαρμένης.
- $^2$  Cp. Or. Cat. frol. ή πρὸς τὸν Μανιχαΐον μάχη, c. 7 οἱ τοῖς Μανιχαικοῖς δόγμασι παρασυρέντες. Edicts were issued against them throughout this period. See reff. in Gieseler Eccl. Hist. (Eng. tr.) i 369 note 3.
  - <sup>3</sup> See further notes on cc. 5-8.
- <sup>4</sup> Gr.'s polemic against Manichaeism also throws light upon his language on the ἀποκατάστασις in c. 26 (see notes) and his defence of human generation in c. 28.

The work falls roughly into four divisions:

- I. Chs. 1—4, in which he expounds the doctrine of the Trinity.
- II. Chs. 5—8, in which he treats of the creation of man and the origin of evil.
- III. Chs. 9—32, which deal at length with the Incarnation, removing objections, and showing its consistency with the moral attributes of God. In the same section Gregory treats of the method of the Atonement.
- IV. Chs. 33—40, which treat of the Sacraments of Baptism and the Eucharist, and the moral conditions (faith and repentance) which are necessary for their right use.

The only indication supplied by the book itself as to its date is the reference in c. 38 (init.) to his earlier controversial treatises on the faith. This has generally been taken to refer, or at least to include a reference, to his work against Eunomius. That work had been taken in hand as a reply to Eunomius, who had answered Basil's refutation of his former apology by an 'Apologia Apologiae.' Eunomius' book had appeared either shortly before or shortly after the death of Basil<sup>1</sup>. The rough draft  $(\tau \dot{a} \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \dot{a} \rho \iota a)$  of Gregory's reply, as we gather from the prefatory letter to his brother Peter2, had already been made before Gregory's return from Armenia, where he had been towards the end of the year 380, probably, as Tillemont thinks, for the consecration of his brother Peter as bishop of Sebasteia<sup>3</sup>. It was only in response to the urgent requests of friends that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a discussion of the question see Heyns (p. 55, note 1) and Diekamp *Gotteslehre d. h. Gregor. v. Nyss.* p. 126, note 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> p. 237 (Migne).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Tillemont Mém. Eccl. ix 578.

Gregory was led to publish his book. How far it was advanced at the time when Jerome heard Gregory recite it at Constantinople<sup>1</sup> it is difficult to say, but it seems probable that the completed work, which is by far the longest of all Gregory's works, was not published before 382 or 3832. In 383 Gregory was present at a synod at Constantinople and delivered his oration de Deitate Filii et Spiritus Sancti, which also contains an attack upon the Anomœans3. These works fully satisfy the description which Gregory gives in c. 38 of his previous controversial and critical works on faith4. Thus the Or. Cat. would seem to be later than 383. But it is probably not much later. Though the danger from the Anomæan teaching does not occupy a prominent place in the book, it is still before his mind<sup>5</sup>. It is probable then that the Oratio Catechetica was written in one of the years immediately following 383.

The title is given in the best MSS as λόγος κατηχητικός. Similarly Photius (*Bibl. Cod.* 233) and Maximus, in his comment on Ps.-Dionys. *de Eccl. Hier.* iii. § 11, allude to it as δ κατηχητικός δ. But in some MSS and in the Paris editions the words δ μέγας have crept into the title δ.

<sup>2</sup> For a discussion of the relation of Gr.'s work to the apologies of Eunomius see Diekamp *op. cit.* p. 126, note 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> v. supra p. x. Rupp's suggestion (p. 134, note 4) that the last two books of Basil's Refutation, which are wrongly attributed to him, are the work which Gregory read to Jerome and Gregory Nazianzen, is devoid of any support. See Diekamp op. cit. p. 125, note 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> On the date of this work see Ceillier Auteurs sacrés viii 353.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> His shorter treatises de Fide, Quod non sint tres dii, and de S. Trinitate (which probably belongs to Gregory, rather than to Basil) were addressed to private individuals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See *prol.* and cc. 38, 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Similarly Euthymius and the 'Disputatio Theoriani.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The earliest MS which has the words  $\dot{o}$   $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha s$  is the Paris codex Gr. 1268 (Omont 294).

Its genuineness is well attested, as it is referred to by a succession of later writers. It is quoted by Theodoret<sup>1</sup> in his Dialogues, and by Leontius of Byzantium in his treatise against Nestorius and Eutyches. John of Damascus in the de Fide Orthodoxa borrows largely from its language on the Trinity and again on the Eucharist. Germanus, Patriarch of Constantinople (ob. 733), in a work which Photius had read (Bibl. Cod. 233), refers to it. There are also clear reminiscences of some of its language on the Trinity in Ps.-Cyril de S. Trinitate. Euthymius Zigabenus in the twelfth century incorporates large sections of it into his Panoplia Dogmatica. In another twelfth century work containing the account of a discussion held between Nerses or Noreses. the Catholicos of Armenia, and Theorianus, who had been sent by the Emperor Manuel Comnenus to win him over to the doctrines of Chalcedon, there is a reproduction of Gregory's chapter on the Eucharist. But though the work is frequently cited as belonging to Gregory, a careful perusal of its contents excited the suspicions of orthodox readers. The traces of Origenistic teaching, especially on the ἀποκατάστασις, in the writings of one who ranked amongst the three great Fathers of the Eastern Church, needed explanation. Accordingly an attempt was made to prove that Gregory's writings had been interpolated by the Origenists. This idea first appears in the book written by Germanus, to which Photius refers. The work was entitled 'Ανταποδοτικός  $\hat{\eta}$  'Aνοθευτός. In the first part of the book Germanus refuted the teaching of Origen on the purgation of wicked spirits. In the latter part he maintains that the works of Gregory of Nyssa had been falsified by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For fuller reffs. see *infra*.

the Origenists, who had inserted many passages from Origen's writings. The works to which he referred are, according to Photius, the *de Anima et Resurrectione*, the *Oratio Catechetica* and the *de Vita Perfecta*. But the idea of a universal restoration occurs too frequently in Gregory's writings¹ to be disposed of by a theory of interpolation, which further receives no support from any change of style.

An objection of a different character has been raised against the concluding chapter of the treatise by Aubertin², on the ground that Gregory, after treating of Baptism in cc. 34—36, and of the Eucharist in c. 37, again returns to Baptism in c. 40. But the objection is of little value, as the whole section, cc. 38—40, deals with the moral conditions which are essential to the life of grace, and as baptism marks the initiation into that life it is naturally chosen as the point of reference for his remarks.

The spurious addition to c. 40, found in the Paris editions and in some late manuscripts, is an extract from a work on the Incarnation by Theodore of Rhaithu, a monk of the seventh century, and its presence in the text is due to a blunder of transcription.

The *Oratio Catechetica* has received considerable attention in modern times as representing more adequately than any single treatise the characteristic features of Gregory's teaching. Ueberweg, who in his *History of Philosophy* (p. 326) speaks of Gregory as 'the first who sought to establish by rational considerations the whole

Other passages in which Gregory teaches an ἀποκατάστασις are de Hom. Opif. c. 21, in Psalmos i 9, Or. in illud Tunc ipse Filius (of doubtful genuineness) p. 1316 (Migne), de Mortuis pp. 524, f. (Migne), in Chr. Resurr. Or. i pp. 609, f. (Migne).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> de Sacram. Eucharist. ii 487 (quoted by Rupp p. 147).

complex of orthodox doctrines,' devotes a special section to this work.

## § 2. ON SOME POINTS IN THE TEACHING OF GREGORY OF NYSSA.

The purpose of the *Oratio Catechetica* is to set forth in a manner suited to the needs of those engaged in the instruction of converts the contents of the Baptismal Creed. Gregory starts from the religious beliefs of the Greek and the Jew, and maintains that the Christian doctrine of God is the mean between Greek polytheism and Judaism. The former recognised a distinction of hypostases, the latter the unity of nature, in the Divine Being. He refers to non-Christian conceptions of a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bibl. Cod. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See the letter of Gregory of Nazianzus (Εp. 11, ed. Ben.) written to Gr., reproving him for his excessive devotion to rhetorical studies, which had led him to resign his office of ἀναγνώστης.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The *Or. Cat.* abounds in similes. Especially characteristic are the following: the mixture of water with the oil of a lamp (c. 6), the mind of man wandering at will over the universe (c. 10), the flame of the wick (*ibid.*), the dog letting fall his food to catch at its reflection in the water (c. 21), the comparison of Satan to a ravenous fish who swallows both hook and bait (c. 24), the doctor waiting for the disease to come to a head (c. 29), the snake which has received its death-stroke, but still shows signs of life (c. 30).

Word of God, and further seeks to convince the Greek of the existence of a Word and Spirit of God by an appeal to 'general ideas,' based on the facts of human nature<sup>1</sup>. On the other hand he seeks to lead the Jew, from indications contained in the Old Testament<sup>2</sup>, to accept, as consonant with his earlier teaching, the Catholic faith. In dealing with the Greek his treatment is speculative. In dealing with the Jew he appeals to Scripture. In both cases he makes use of the facts of history. The miracles of Christ, the rise, growth, and extension of the Church<sup>3</sup>, all are adduced to confirm the impression of the truth of Christianity which has been gained from an examination of its contents. The argument from prophecy and Old Testament types, which played such an important part in earlier apologies, does not find a place in his treatment. But he states in the clearest way, when treating of the Incarnation, the moral argument. Again and again he appeals to the moral glory exhibited in God's plan of redemption4. The Incarnation was an exhibition of the Love of God and was consistent with, and worthy of, His moral nature. This he regards as the sole and sufficient answer to all objections. It is consistent with God's honour to succour the needy. Such a work supplied the most splendid occasion for the exercise of His power. That His power could condescend so low was a greater miracle than any of the wonders of Creation. That Gregory appeals to each of these three classes of arguments, speculative, historical, and moral, is, as Rupp says<sup>5</sup>, a proof of the impartiality of his judgment and of his theological acuteness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See prol. cc. 3, 1, 5. <sup>2</sup> See c. 4. <sup>3</sup> See cc. 12, 18.

<sup>4</sup> See cc. 8 (sub fin.), 9, 15, 17, 20, 24, 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Gregors Leben und Meinungen p. 246.

Gregory, as has been already remarked, does not attempt a complete scientific treatment of his subject. His aim is not to produce a *de Principiis* suited to the needs of the fourth century and based upon the Nicene Creed. He has in view the immediate, practical needs of Christian teachers. Yet there are at least the outlines of a theological system in the *Oratio Catechetica*, and it is to this fact that its resemblance to the work of Origen is due.

The influence of Origen upon Gregory's work is seen in three points.

- I. In the first place his general treatment of his subject shows how deeply he had imbibed the spirit of Origen. His attempt to illustrate and explain Christian truth with the help of the philosophical conceptions of Greek thought is inspired by Origen<sup>1</sup>. Like his great master he too would seek to claim the philosophy of the heathen world as a friend and partner in the pursuit of the higher life<sup>2</sup>.
- 2. Again, Gregory's exegesis of Scripture is derived, like that of Basil, from Origen. He expounds the principles of the allegorical method of interpretation in c. 32 of the *Or. Cat.*, where he is dealing with objections to the manner of Christ's death. All words and acts of the Gospel have, he declares, a higher and more Divine meaning<sup>3</sup> than that which lies upon the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Rupp, *l.c.* 'Origen is great by virtue of the single thought of bringing philosophy into union with religion, and producing thereby a theology. With Clement of Alexandria this was still a mere instinct. Origen gave it consciousness, and so Christianity began to have a science of its own.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. de Vit. Moysis, l.c. supra.

<sup>3</sup> κατὰ τὸν ὑψηλότερόν τε καὶ θειότερον λόγον.

surface. There is in all passages alike an admixture of the Divine element with the human. The voice or action proceeds after a human manner, while the hidden meaning<sup>1</sup> manifests the Divine element. So in the Death of Christ we can recognize the human element, the shame and weakness, while the outstretched arms of the Sufferer preach the Divine lesson of the all-embracing love of God. The early chapters of Genesis he treats, as Origen had done before him, as allegories. The stories of Paradise and the coats of skin2 contain doctrines written in the form of a narrative and after the manner of history3. The coats of skin do not refer to literal skins. The inner meaning of the story, expressed in veiled language4, is that physical death was appointed by God as a merciful provision for undoing the effects of man's fall. Once more Gregory accuses the Jews of having misunderstood all that the Law had expressed in veiled language for those who were able to understand the inner meaning<sup>5</sup>. Such a method of exegesis was common in the age of Gregory. Allegorism was practised by all parties alike, when it suited their purpose. Some of these mystical interpretations of particular passages had passed into the current tradition of the Church<sup>6</sup>. The allegorical method was, moreover, particularly suited to the work of the apologist. It enabled him to claim the Old Testament in support of Christian belief and to harmonize it with the doctrines of the Church. At the same time it afforded him a weapon

<sup>1</sup> τοῦ κατὰ τὸ κρυπτὸν νοουμένου.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> cc. 5, 8.

<sup>3</sup>  $i\sigma \tau \circ \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> δι' αἰνιγμάτων (c. 8).

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  ὄσα παρὰ τοῦ νόμου δι' αἰνιγμάτων τοῖς μυστικῶς ἐπαίειν ἐπισταμένοις διήρηται.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> E.g. in c. 32 Gr. claims to have received the interpretation which he gives of the Cross  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  παραδόσ $\epsilon\omega$ s.

wherewith to repel the counter-claims of Judaism. Behind Gregory's use of allegorism, however, there is often a profoundly spiritual conception of the meaning of Scripture<sup>1</sup>.

3. Once more it is in his whole conception of the Divine Providence that Gregory shows himself the disciple of Origen. To him, as to Origen, the history of the world represents a vast movement from a beginning to an end, embracing all created beings, and advancing towards a final unity in which God will be all in all2. To both alike it is God's goodness which is the cause of Creation<sup>3</sup>. In the system of Origen, however, man does not occupy quite the same central position in Creation as he does in the teaching of Gregory. According to Origen man is but one factor in the world of spirits. Gregory returns to the view of earlier Fathers and regards man as the sole cause and the end of Creation<sup>5</sup>. In him the two worlds of sense and spirit find a meeting-point<sup>6</sup>. Origen's view was necessitated by his belief in the pre-existence of souls

<sup>1</sup> See a fine passage in c. Eunom. vii p. 744 (Migne) διὰ τοῦτο πᾶσα γραφὴ θεόπνευστος λέγεται, διὰ τὸ τῆς θείας ἐμπνεύσεως εἶναι διδασκαλίαν. εἰ περιαιρεθείη τὸ σωματικὸν τοῦ λόγου προκάλυμμα, τὸ λειπόμενον Κύριός ἐστι καὶ ζωὴ καὶ πνεῦμα, κατά τε τὸν μέγαν Ηαῦλον, καὶ κατὰ τὴν τοῦ εὐαγγελίου φωνήν. For further passages illustrating Gr.'s principles of exegesis see in Cant. Cantic. p. 756 sq. (Migne), and (on the συγκατάβασις of Scripture) de Comm. Not. p. 181 (Migne).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> St Paul's words, 1 Cor. xv 28, are a favourite text with Gr. as with Origen. Cp. e.g. de An. et Res. p. 104 (Migne).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Or. Cat. c. 5. Cp. Origen de Princ. ii 9. 6.

<sup>4</sup> See c. Celsum iv 99 (Philocalia, c. 20, p. 150, ed. Rob.) οἷμαι δὴ ἀποδεδειχέναι ἐκ τῶν προειρημένων, πῶς ἀνθρώπῳ καὶ παντὶ λογικῷ τὰ πάντα πεποίηται.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Or. Cat. c. 5.

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Or. Cat. c. 6 τοῦ αἰσθητοῦ πρὸς τὸ νοητὸν γίνεταί τις κατὰ θείαν σοφίαν μίξις τε καὶ ἀνάκρασις.

and a pre-temporal fall, which Gregory rejects. But in his treatment of free-will and the origin of evil Gregory again shows himself the disciple of Origen<sup>1</sup>. The possession of free-will was necessary to the perfection of that 'image of God' in which man was made. The result of its possession was that the participation in good was made the reward of virtue. It is through this endowment of free-will that evil becomes possible. For evil springs from within and is due to the action of man's will in turning away from what is good. Evil has no substantive existence but arises from the absence of virtue. The insistence on man's free-will, which had characterized Origen's teaching when face to face with the predestinarian views of the Gnostics, was no less important at the time when Gregory wrote, in face of the fatalism which characterized heathen thought, and above all in view of the danger from Manichaeism. The conception of the negative character of evil Gregory shares with other teachers of his age. It appears in Athanasius and Basil, and is an indication of their common debt to Origen. At the same time it marks a point of contact with Platonism<sup>3</sup>, originating as it does in the identification of  $\tau \delta$   $\partial \gamma a \theta \delta \nu$  and  $\tau \delta$   $\delta \nu^4$ . But it is in the application of these two ideas of man's freewill and the negative character of evil to the larger question of the Providence of God that Gregory far outdistances his contemporaries and shows himself a thoroughgoing disciple of Origen. It is one of the merits of both teachers that they are able to assign a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For Origen's treatment of free-will see de Principiis Bk iii (Philocalia, c. 21).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the whole of what follows see Or. Cat. c. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See notes on c. 5.

<sup>4</sup> Cp. Archer Hind Timaeus of Plato pp. 31-33.

real importance to man's free-will in their system of thought. But man's free-will cannot defeat the final purpose of God, and evil, from its unsubstantial character, cannot be eternal. God must finally be 'all in all.' The purpose of God includes the redemption and restoration to God of all created spirits, Satan included. The purification of man is the work of grace. But those who have not passed through the gate of Baptism have none the less their own appropriate purification. The Divine Power in contact with evil acts as a refining fire. Satan himself will be purged by it and be led to acknowledge the justice and redemptive power of God. Then, when the purifying fire has done its work, there will arise from all Creation a chorus of praise<sup>1</sup>. This doctrine of  $a\pi o$ κατάστασις, which proved such a stumbling-block to later ages and led to the suggestion that Gregory's works had been interpolated, shows how completely Gregory had made his own the main outlines of Origen's system<sup>2</sup>. In their conception of a purifying discipline in the after-life both Origen and Gregory are re-echoing the thoughts of Plato in the Gorgias<sup>3</sup>, but the former certainly believed himself to be interpreting the language of Scripture<sup>4</sup>, while the great text of St Paul, already referred to, supplied them both with the Scriptural basis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See cc. 8, 26, 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For reff. to Origen see notes on the passages quoted above. For other passages in which Gr. adheres to traditional language on the subject of future punishment see notes on c. 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For reff. see notes on c. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> E.g. I Cor. iii 15. For other reff. see Bigg Bampton Lect. p. 230. Gr.'s teaching on the κάθαρσις applies to a different stage in the history of the soul from that of the Western doctrine of Purgatory. The former takes place after the resurrection, the latter between death and judgment. Again the former deals with the purification of the bad, the latter with the purification of the good. See Mason Purgatory pp. 18—20.

which they sought for their belief in the final restoration of all created spirits to God.

In his treatment of human nature in the *Or. Cat.* Gregory departs from Origen, who adopted St Paul's terminology of 'body,' 'soul,' and 'spirit.' It suited better the purpose of Gregory's apology to adopt the simpler division into 'intelligible' and 'sensible' or 'invisible' and 'visible,' in order that he might exhibit man as the centre of creation and the meeting-point of the two worlds of matter and spirit. At the same time his method enables him to assert the closeness of the union between the two!

Once more Gregory appears to emphasize more clearly than Origen the antithesis of God and the world. Thus when dealing with Creation in its relation to God he no longer uses the antithesis of  $\tau \delta$   $\nu o \eta \tau \delta \nu$  and  $\tau \delta$   $a l \sigma \theta \eta \tau \delta \nu$ , which would place all spiritual beings in the same category, but abandoning here Plato and Origen, he draws a contrast between 'created' and 'uncreated'.' This enabled him to assert the transcendence of God, an idea on which he is continually dwelling in his other works<sup>3</sup>.

It is a sign of Gregory's independence of thought and versatility of mind that, while he has shown himself a true disciple of Origen and has followed him in some

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> He uses the words μίξις, ἀνάκρασις, συνανάκρασις of this union. See c. 6. Gr.'s treatment of the union of soul and body, and the relation of his thought to that of Plotinus is discussed by Bergades de Universo et de Anima hominis doctr. Greg. Nyss. §§ 9—13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> c. 27.

³ Cp. de An. et Res. p. 92 sq. (Migne), esp. the words Ἐπεὶ δὲ οὖν παντὸς ἀγαθοῦ ἐπέκεινα ἡ θεία φύσις. See also c. Eunom. ii p. 473 (Migne), iii p. 601, de Hom. Op. c. 11. This feature, which Gr. shares with Athanasius and Gregory Nazianzen, marks a point of contact with the Neoplatonists. See, however, Diekamp op. cit. pp. 183, 184.

of his most daring speculations, he has also shown himself susceptible to influences from another teacher who led in his day a reaction from Origen, and even figured as one of his most determined opponents.

The influence of Methodius upon Gregory's thought in the Oratio Catechetica is unmistakeable, and extends not only to important conceptions, but even to similarity of literary expression. In the first place Gregory shares Methodius' conception of the place occupied by death in the Divine order. According to Gregory<sup>2</sup> death was a temporary institution<sup>3</sup>, not a necessity of man's nature. It affects only the physical or sensuous part of man, and the work which it fulfils in the remedial purpose of God<sup>4</sup> is to free man's physical nature from the evil implanted in it by sin, by dissolving it and refashioning it<sup>5</sup> in its original beauty. He illustrates this by the case of a potter, who, when he finds that some ill-disposed person has filled with molten lead the vessel which he has fashioned, breaks up the unbaked clay and remodels it. Methodius' account is similar. According to him 'God devised death that by this means we might be rendered

<sup>1</sup> The illustration of the potter in *Or. Cat.* c. 8 appears to be derived from Methodius *de Resurrectione* Lib. i c. 44 (ed. Bonwetsch, p. 146). Again the description of death in *Or. Cat.* cc. 16, 35 recalls the language of Method. *de Resurr.* Lib. i c. 38 (ed. Bon. p. 132) οὐδὲν γὰρ ἄλλο ὁ θάνατος ἢ διάκρισις καὶ χωρισμὸς ψυχῆς ἀπὸ σώματος. Gr.'s description of the 'angel of the earth' and the φθόνος of Satan (*Or. Cat.* c. 6) recalls the passage in Method. *de Resurr.* Lib. i c. 37 (ed. Bonw. p. 130). For the coats of skin (*Or. Cat.* c. 8) cp. Method. *de Resurr.* Lib. i c. 39 (ed Bonw. p. 136). The illustration derived from human generation (*Or. Cat.* c. 33) is found in Method. *de Resurr.* Lib. ii c. 20 (ed. Bonw. p. 235).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Or. Cat. c. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> οὐχ ὡς ἀεὶ παραμένειν and ibid. πρὸς καιρόν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> τὸν τὴν κακίαν ἡμῶν ἰατρεύοντα, ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> πρὸς τὸ ἐξ ἀρχῆς κάλλος ἀναστοιχειώσει.

altogether free from blemish and injury 1'; and he explains his meaning by the two illustrations of the worker in metal and the potter. Yet in adopting the point of view of Methodius with regard to the physical nature of man, Gregory shows his originality by combining with it the idea of the purification of the soul by the practice of virtue in this life and the purificatory discipline of the after-life<sup>2</sup>. Starting from this conception of the redemption of the body, we find that both Methodius and Gregory take the same view of the redemptive work of Christ. According to the somewhat confused language of Methodius, Adam represents the whole of humanity which was assumed by Christ3. When man went astray, Christ the Shepherd came to seek him and 'bare him up' and 'wrapped Himself around him' that he might not again be overwhelmed and swallowed up by the waves and deceits of pleasure. 'For in this way the Word assumed man, in order that, overcoming the serpent, He might through Himself destroy the condemnation which had followed upon man's ruin. For it was fitting that by no other should the Evil One be overcome, but by him whom he had deceived and over whom he was boasting that he had gained the mastery; for in no other way was it possible that sin and condemnation should be destroyed, unless that same man, on whose account it had been said, "Earth thou art and unto earth shalt thou return," should be refashioned 5 and

<sup>1</sup> de Resurr. Lib. i c. 42 sq. (ed. Bonw. pp. 142 sq.).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Or. Cat. c. 8 έν μὲν τῆ παρούση ζωῆ τὸ τῆς ἀρετῆς φάρμακον εἰς θεραπείαν τῶν τοιούτων προσετέθη τραυμάτων. εἰ δὲ ἀθεράπευτος μένοι, ἐν τῷ μετὰ ταῦτα βίφ τεταμίευται ἡ θεραπεία.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See *Conviv.* iii 6 οὔτω δὴ πάλιν καὶ ἐν τῷ ἀνειληφότι  $\mathbf{X}$ ριστῷ τὸν ᾿Αδὰμ πάντες ζωοποιηθῶσιν (ed. Jahn, p. 19). Cp. also iii 4, 7, 8.

<sup>4</sup> ibid. βαστάσαντος αὐτὸν τοῦ κυρίου καὶ ἀμφιεσαμένου.

<sup>5</sup> ἀναπλασθείς.

undo the sentence which on his account had issued forth upon all, that, as in Adam formerly all die, even so again in Christ, who assumed Adam, all should be made alive<sup>1</sup>.'

There are resemblances in this exposition to the earlier teaching of Irenaeus<sup>2</sup>, but the many points of contact with Methodius' conceptions and the form in which he illustrates them<sup>3</sup> seem to show fairly conclusively that Gregory chose the latter as his model. According to Gregory<sup>4</sup> Christ assumed humanity for the purpose of knitting together in an inseparable union the body and soul which had been severed in death, and recalling the primal grace<sup>5</sup> which had belonged to human nature. As the principle of death had passed throughout the whole of human nature, so the principle of life resulting from Christ's Resurrection passes to all. He first united the soul which He had assumed in an indissoluble union with His own body by His resurrection. Then on a larger scale<sup>6</sup> he inaugurated the same union for all humanity. Thus He becomes the 'meetingground7' of life and death, by arresting the process of dissolution in man's nature, and Himself becoming

<sup>1</sup> ibid. Cp. also the words in c. 7 ὅπως ὁ Κύριος, ἡ ἀφθαρσία νικήσασα τὸν θάνατον, εὐήχως τὴν ἀνάστασιν μελωδήση τῆ σαρκί, μὴ ἐάσας αὐτὴν κληρονομηθῆναι πάλιν ὑπὸ τῆς φθορᾶς. See also the mystical application to the Church of the story of the creation of Eve, ibid. c. 8. The reft. throughout are to Jahn's edition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.), vol. iii p. 105 (cp. ii 239 ff.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See supra, p. xxv, note 1.

<sup>4</sup> Or. Cat. c. 16.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  ώς ἃν ἡ πρώτη περὶ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον χάρις ἀνακληθείη. Cp. c.  $_35$  ὤστε τῆς κακίας ἐν τῆ διαλύσει τοῦ σώματος καὶ τῆς ψυχῆς ἐκρυείσης πάλιν διὰ τῆς ἀναστάσεως σῶον καὶ ἀπαθῆ καὶ ἀκέραιον καὶ πάσης τῆς κατὰ κακίαν ἐπιμιξίας ἀλλότριον ἀναστοιχειωθῆναι τὸν ἄνθρωπον.

<sup>6</sup> γενικωτέρω τινὶ λόγω.

<sup>7</sup> μεθόριον.

the originating principle of the union of the severed portions<sup>1</sup>.

In these somewhat realistic expositions of the work of redemption we find certain clearly marked conceptions which are held in common by Methodius and Gregory. There is the same idea of the purpose of death as a means of removing the evil which had entered man's physical nature through the Fall. There is the same idea of Christ's union with humanity as a whole. And lastly there is the same conception of the reconstitution of human nature through the Resurrection of Christ. These conceptions form the leading features of Gregory's doctrine of redemption.

Gregory's treatment of the Incarnation exhibits in detail many points of resemblance to that of Athanasius. As we have seen his general conceptions follow in the main those of Methodius. It is rather on the apologetic side that his expositions recall those of Athanasius. Both writers recognize the importance of history. They both appeal to the miracles of Christ², and to His Virgin-Birth and Resurrection; also to the witness of facts as exhibited in the rise and growth of the Church and in the decline of heathenism and Judaism³. They both deal with the question 'Why did not God restore man by a mere fiat?', though they answer it in different ways⁴. Both appeal to the immanence of God in Creation in order to justify the idea of an Incarnation⁵. Both treat

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See further the expositions in *Or. Cat.* cc. 32, 35, esp. the words in c. 32,  $\dot{\eta}$  τοῦ μέρους ἀνάστασις ἐπὶ τὸ πᾶν διεξέρχεται, κατὰ τὸ συνεχές τε καὶ  $\dot{\eta}$ νωμένον τῆς φύσεως ἐκ τοῦ μέρους ἐπὶ τὸ ὅλον συνεκδιδομένη.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Or. Cat. cc. 12, 13. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 18, 38, 49, 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Or. Cat. cc. 13, 18. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 40, 46, 55.

<sup>4</sup> Or. Cat. cc. 15, 17. Cp. Ath. de Inc. 44, Or. c. Ar. ii 68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Or. Cat. c. 25. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 41, 42.

of the necessity of the death of Christ<sup>1</sup>, but Gregory has emphasized more clearly than Athanasius the fact that death was necessary in order that Christ's assumption of human nature might be complete. The particular manner of the death, Crucifixion, is also discussed by both writers, though more fully by Athanasius<sup>2</sup>. Both see in the outstretched arms of Christ a manifestation of His purpose to unite all men to Himself<sup>3</sup>. While Athanasius asserts that man's ills could not be cured by any external remedy<sup>4</sup>, Gregory maintains that man needed to be touched in order to be cured. On the other hand Athanasius emphasizes far more clearly than Gregory the purpose of the Incarnation to restore in man the knowledge of God which had been blurred by sin<sup>6</sup>. In one or two respects Gregory added to the expositions given by Athanasius, as when he deals with the question why the Incarnation was delayed, and answers it by the analogy of the physician who waits till the disease has reached a climax before applying a cure<sup>7</sup>.

Gregory deals with the question, why sin has not ceased to exist since the Incarnation, by adducing the simile of a serpent<sup>8</sup> which has received its death-blow, though life continues for a time in its extremities. And again he answers the question why grace has not come to all by saying, in language that recalls Butler in later times, that God has left something to man's initiative and made him free to accept or refuse God's offer<sup>9</sup>. On

<sup>1</sup> Or. Cat. c. 32. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 21, 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Or. Cat. c. 32. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 23-25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Or. Cat. c. 32. Cp. Ath. de Inc. c. 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ath. de Inc. c. 44. <sup>5</sup> Or. Cat. c. 27. <sup>6</sup> de Inc. cc. 11—19.

<sup>7</sup> Or. Cat. c. 29. Cp. Ath. Or. c. Ar. i 29, ii 68.

<sup>8</sup> Or. Cat. c. 30. 9 ibid.

the whole, however, Gregory's treatment of the Incarnation lacks the completeness and profundity which is found in Athanasius<sup>1</sup>.

What has been said above of Gregory's relation to Origen has served also to bring into notice the debt which both Fathers owe to Plato. Gregory's other works exhibit his intimate acquaintance with Plato's Dialogues², and show how freely he employed Plato's thoughts in setting forth the doctrine of the Trinity³. Yet Gregory fully understood the limits within which Platonism might be of service to the theology of the Church. It was at best a useful ally, which might be enlisted to strengthen and illustrate his exposition of the faith. It is thus that he employs the Platonic psychology to illustrate the doctrine of the Trinity in the opening chapters of the *Oratio Catechetica*⁴.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In his treatment of the Divine Word in *Or. Cat.* c. 1 Gr. uses language which resembles that of Athanasius, e.g. his statement that God was never without a Word (cp. *Or. c. Ar.* i 19), and his contrast between the Divine Word and its transitory, human counterpart (cp. *Or. c. Ar.* ii 35).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See passages quoted by Diekamp Gotteslehre d. h. Gregor. v. N.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> E.g. in the treatises c. Eunomium, Quod non sint tres dii and de Comm. Notionibus. See Rupp Gregors Leben und Meinungen p. 136. Bardenhewer (Patrologie p. 278) speaks of him as 'anticipating the extreme Realism of the Middle Ages.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Of the influence of the later Platonists there is in the *Or. Cat.* apparently little trace. Similarly there is only a sparing use made of Aristotle. In his chapter on the Eucharist (c. 37) Gr. employs the Aristotleian antithesis of δύναμις and ἐνέργεια, and 'form' (εΐδος) and matter. But in this case he was probably only availing himself of terminology which had entered into the current eclectic philosophy of the day. His treatment of εΐδος in other works (e.g. de Hom. Op. c. 27) shows the influence of both Methodius and Origen. See Diekamp op. cit. p. 44, note 2. See further notes on ἀλλοιωτικῆς and reff. to Aristotle's doctrine of nutrition in c. 37.

We may now proceed to consider some points in the teaching of the Oratio Catechetica in which Gregory's independence of earlier Fathers is most apparent. First in order stands his presentation of the doctrine of the Trinity. His treatment of the subject is somewhat slight when compared with the length at which he discusses the Incarnation. There were two reasons for this. His earlier works had expounded at full length his ideas upon the subject1. And again it is assumed by him that in an apologetic work such as the Oratio Catechetica professes to be, there was less need to deal at length with objections to this doctrine than was the case in the doctrine of the Incarnation. The 'general ideas' of the Greek might be regarded as predisposing him to believe that there was a Word of God and a Spirit of God, while the indications in the Old Testament of hypostases within the Godhead might serve to convince the Jew2. But in what he does say his treatment is original and suggestive. He is the first Father to illustrate the doctrine of the Trinity from the psychology of human nature. Starting from the Platonic analysis of human consciousness as consisting of  $\nu o \hat{v}_{S}$ , λόγος,  $\psi v \chi \eta$ , he proceeds to argue that in the case of the Godhead this implies three distinct hypostases within the Divine Being. The Divine Logos and Spirit must correspond to the Divine Nature and be proportionately higher than their human counterparts. They must accordingly be living and have life in themselves. And in order to have life in the fullest sense they must be personal, possessing will and the power to perform what they will. Gregory's illustration is based upon the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. c. 38.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Cp. the opening words of c. 5, where he also states the difficulties likely to be felt about the Incarnation. Cp. also c. 9.

belief, which he exhibits in other works, that human nature is a mirror, which faithfully reflects the traits of its Divine archetype<sup>1</sup>. At the same time Gregory is conscious of the inadequacy of our faculties to explore the mode of the existence of Deity, and he acknowledges that we can only attain a moderate degree of apprehension of the Divine Being<sup>2</sup>.

Another contribution which Gregory makes to Christian thought in the *Oratio Catechetica* is his treatment of the relation of the work of redemption to the attributes of God<sup>3</sup>. These he represents as four, power, righteousness, goodness, and wisdom<sup>4</sup>. The goodness of God was shown in his desire to rescue man, His wisdom in the method chosen to carry into effect this desire<sup>5</sup>. The power of God, which is not in its exhibition divorced from love<sup>6</sup>, was shown in the surpassing wonder of God's condescension, which enabled Him to come down to the level of man. Such humiliation was a wonder no less than that a flame should stream downwards, instead of upwards<sup>7</sup>. The righteousness of God was displayed in His manner of dealing with the great adversary of man<sup>8</sup>. In treating of this question Gregory

¹ Cp. de An. et Res. p. 41 (Migne) οὕτως ἐν τῆ βραχύτητι τῆς ἡμετέρας φύσεως τῶν ἀφράστων ἐκείνων τῆς θεότητος ἰδιωμάτων αὶ εἰκόνες ἐκλάμπουσιν : de Mortuis p. 509 (Migne) ἐπὶ μὲν γὰρ τῆς ἐν τῷ κατόπτρῳ μορφῆς ἡ εἰκὼν πρὸς τὸ ἀρχέτυπον σχηματίζεται· ἐπὶ δὲ τοῦ τῆς ψυχῆς χαρακτῆρος, τὸ ἔμπαλιν νενοήκαμεν· κατὰ γὰρ τὸ θεῖον κάλλος τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς εἶδος ἀπεικονίζεται. οὐκοῦν ὅταν πρὸς τὸ ἀρχέτυπον ἐαυτῆς βλέπη ἡ ψυχὴ τότε δι' ἀκριβείας ἐαυτὴν καθορᾶ. There are many such passages. The passage in Quid sit ad imag. Dei p. 1333 (Migne), which recalls Gr.'s language in the Or. Cat., cannot be adduced in illustration, as the treatise is almost certainly a later work, probably by Anastasius Sinaita. See Ceillier viii 248.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> c. 3 init. 3

³ cc. 19—26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> c. 23 sub fin. Cp. c. 20.

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Cp. c. 24, τὰ ἐφεξῆς τοῦ μυστηρίου διασκοπήσωμεν, ἐν οἶς μάλιστα δείκνυται συγκεκραμένη τῆ φιλανθρωπία ἡ δύναμις.

<sup>7</sup> ibid.

<sup>8</sup> cc. 21-23, 26.

is led to discuss the nature of the Atonement, and in so doing he develops in a highly original manner the theory which earlier Fathers had framed upon the subject. His teaching finds a parallel in that of Ambrose and later writers, and the idea of a ransom paid to Satan and a deception practised upon him, though rejected by one or two important Fathers, became widely current in East and West until Anselm brought it to an edifying end<sup>2</sup>. His argument is as follows. As we had freely sold ourselves to evil, He who sought to restore us to liberty could not resort to arbitrary and tyrannical methods, but must proceed by methods of strict justice. This involved the payment to Satan, as owner of mankind, of such a ransom as he was willing to receive. The spectacle of Christ's miracles led the adversary to select Him as the ransom-price, while the veil of Christ's human nature, hiding the Godhead, removed all cause for fear, and led him to desire Christ as his prey. In reply to the argument that this involved an act of deception, since the Godhead of Christ was veiled from Satan, Gregory replies that it was an act of strict justice. Satan was requited according to his deserts, in that the deceiver was in turn deceived. But he adds a further answer. Behind the justice of God and this apparent act of deception there was a beneficent purpose. Just as a physician deceives

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For these earlier theories see reff. in notes on c. 23. It is a significant fact that in his exposition of the atonement Gregory does not treat of the ideas of propitiation or satisfaction, or of the relation of the sacrifice of Christ to the sacrifices of the Old Testament. In the passage in Chr. resurr. Or. i p. 612 (Migne) he speaks of the sacrifice of the lamb but only in connexion with the Eucharist. In c. Eunom. ii p. 473 (Migne) the shedding of the blood is the 'ransom price' by which we are delivered from death.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See notes on c. 23.

his patient by mixing a drug with his food, so the purpose of the deceit practised in the Incarnation was to benefit the adversary himself. Satan himself will be purged by the Divine power, acting as a refining fire, and will be led at last to acknowledge the saving power of Christ's work of redemption<sup>1</sup>. In this exposition, with its combination of the thoughts of his master Origen and his own ingenious fancies, Gregory's imagination attains its highest flight. In his whole treatment of the Atonement Gregory falls far short of the more profound and Scriptural teaching of Athanasius.

The concluding section of the *Oratio Catechetica* is devoted to an exposition of the doctrine of the Sacraments<sup>2</sup>. Gregory defends the principle involved in the Divine working through sacramental channels on general grounds by the same appeal to the Divine immanence which he had employed in dealing with the Incarnation<sup>3</sup>. But the assurance that God is present and works through such means is based upon His promise to be present in this particular way<sup>4</sup>. The validity of the sacramental rite accordingly depends upon the cooperation of our wills with the promise of God to act through these means. The prayers which are offered by us at baptism neither effect nor hinder the validity of the Sacrament, which depends upon the promise of God<sup>5</sup>.

Another feature in Gregory's treatment of the Sacraments is his insistence that through them there is a continuation of the process of the Incarnation. Thus

<sup>1</sup> c. 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> cc. 33-40.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  cc.  $_{34},$   $_{36},$  esp. the words in c.  $_{36},$  τίς γὰρ πάρεστι πόνος τ $\hat{\varphi}$  πράγματι, πιστεῦσαι πανταχοῦ τὸν θεὸν εἶναι;

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  c. 34, καὶ θεοῦ παρέσεσθαι τοῖς γινομένοις ἐπηγγελμένου καὶ τὴν παρ' ἐαυτοῦ δύναμιν ἐντεθεικότος τῷ ἔργ $\varphi$ , καθ' ἃ πεπιστεύκαμεν.

<sup>5</sup> ibid.

C

he says of Baptism1: 'Since the method of our salvation was made effectual, not so much by instruction in the way of teaching, as by the very acts of Him who has established a fellowship with man, and has effected life as an actual fact, in order that, by means of the flesh which He has assumed and at the same time deified, everything kindred and related to it may be saved along with it, it was necessary that some means should be devised by which there might be in the baptismal process a kind of affinity and likeness between him who follows and Him who leads the way.' Similarly, in dealing with the Eucharist', he says that the Incarnate Christ 'infused Himself into our perishable nature, that by communion with Deity mankind might at the same time be deified.' Then he proceeds: 'For this end it is that by dispensation of His grace He disseminates Himself in every believer through that flesh, whose substance is from bread and wine, blending Himself with the bodies of believers, that by this union with that which is immortal man too may be a sharer in incorruption.'

In both passages the idea is that the process of 'deification' which was consummated in the humanity of Christ by the hypostatic union of the Word with it, is continuously effected in mankind at large through union with Christ in the Sacraments. Gregory's language presents a fairly close parallel to the similar treatment of the question in the *de Trinitate* of St Hilary.

In his treatment of Baptism Gregory emphasizes the importance of a right faith for the practical needs of the Christian life. By his reference to his former controversial works on the Trinity<sup>3</sup> he clearly shows the inner

S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> c. 35. <sup>2</sup> c. 37 sub fin. <sup>3</sup> c. 38.

spiritual significance of the battle which the Church had been fighting with Arianism. It is of importance that he who is regenerate should know what is his spiritual parentage and into what manner of life he is born in baptism<sup>1</sup>. To believe that the Son and Spirit are created beings is to make a man's salvation dependent on something which is imperfect and which itself needs redemption<sup>2</sup>. His exposition of the inner significance of Baptism<sup>3</sup> recalls the language of Cyril's Catecheses and is based on St Paul's teaching. Baptism involves repentance and a dying with Christ unto sin. It is also the beginning of a resurrection unto a life of blessedness. But he realizes the incapacity4 of man at present for a complete reproduction in himself of the death and resurrection of Christ. Still baptism marks the first stage. It is a break with evil<sup>5</sup>, and a preliminary rehearsal<sup>6</sup> of that which will be completely accomplished hereafter. He insists strongly on the necessity of baptism for the resurrection to the life of blessedness. All will rise again, but there will be a difference. Each will go to his appropriate place. He who has been purified in the waters of baptism will pass to a passionless life of blessedness. For him who lacks such purification there waits the refiner's fire, which shall purge the nature through long ages and restore it at last pure to God7.

It is however in his treatment of the Eucharist that Gregory's teaching is most distinctive. His chapter on

<sup>1</sup> παρά τίνος γεννάται καὶ ποῖον γίνεται ζώον.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  c. 39, μήποτε λάθη τ $\hat{\eta}$  έλλιπε $\hat{\iota}$  φύσει καὶ δεομένη το $\hat{\iota}$  άγαθύνοντος έαυτὸν είσποι $\hat{\iota}$ ν.  $^3$  c. 35.

<sup>4</sup> τοσοῦτον μιμούμεθα τῆς ὑπερεχούσης δυνάμεως, ὅσον χωρεῖ ἡμῶν ἡ πτωχεία τῆς φύσεως, ibid.

<sup>5</sup> διακοπήν.

<sup>6</sup> προμελετήσαι.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> ibid. On the κάθαρσις of souls see antea.

the subject marks an epoch in the history of the doctrine of the Eucharist in the Eastern Church. The frequency of its occurrence among patristic selections in later manuscripts, and the use made of it by John of Damascus, Euthymius Zigabenus, and the author of the dialogue *Theoriani disputatio cum Nersete* shows the importance assigned to it.

Gregory begins<sup>1</sup> by stating the distinction between Baptism and the Eucharist. In Baptism the soul is knit to Christ through faith. But the body needs no less than the soul to be brought into union with its Saviour, and the Eucharist is specially intended for the body. This is the significance of the bodily participation of the Eucharistic food, which must be eaten, in order that the communicant's body may be transformed into the nature of the immortal Body of Christ, We notice here the same method of treatment which has characterized Gregory's doctrine of redemption<sup>2</sup>. In thus insisting on the effect of the Eucharist upon the body he is using language which undoubtedly finds parallels in earlier Fathers<sup>3</sup> and which asserts an important principle, i.e. that the whole man shares in the healing and life-giving work of grace. But his onesided treatment has the effect of seeming to lower the Eucharistic gift to a mere principle of life for the body. At the same time, however, he insists on the importance of faith in the recipient4.

A second feature of his teaching is his clear assertion of the fact that the consecration of the elements is effected by the prayer of consecration. It is 'by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> c. 37. <sup>2</sup> See antea, pp. xxvii, xxviii. <sup>3</sup> See reff. in notes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> E.g. the phrases:  $\tau$ αῖς  $\tau$ οσαύταις  $\tau$ ων  $\pi$ ιστών  $\mu$ υριάσι-έν ὅσοις ἡ  $\pi$ ίστις έστι-πασι  $\tau$ οῖς  $\pi$ επιστευκόσι  $\tau$ ῷ οἰκονομία  $\tau$ ῆς χάριτος-τοῖς σώμασι  $\tau$ ων  $\pi$ επιστευκότων κατακιρνάμενος.

power of the blessing<sup>1</sup> that the Word transforms the nature of the visible elements to the immortal Body of Christ. Thus the change effected is, according to Gregory's view, an objective change.

A more difficult question is the relation in which. according to Gregory's teaching, the consecrated elements of bread and wine stand towards the Body and Blood of Christ, and the exact nature of the change which he regards them as having undergone by consecration. From the days of the Paris editor, Fronto Ducaeus, Gregory's words have been used to support the Western doctrine of Transubstantiation2. The question which Gregory sets himself to answer in his chapter on the Eucharist is as follows. How can the one Body of Christ, while continually distributed to multitudes of believers, become in its entirety the possession of each through the portion received, and yet remain an undivided whole? In order to answer this question he makes use of an analogy derived from the process of nutrition. Bread and wine are potentially flesh and blood, since they become such by the process of digestion. In the case of Christ's earthly Body bread and wine became in this way His Body and His Blood, while that Body, whose substance was from bread and thus in a manner was bread, was consecrated by the inhabitation of God the Word. So now in the Eucharist the bread and wine, which are consecrated by the Word, become the Body of the Word, no longer by eating, as in His earthly life, but immediately. The purpose of Gregory's illustration is to compare the relation in

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  τ $\hat{\eta}$  τ $\hat{\eta}$ s εὐλογίας δυνάμει (c. 37 fin.). On the question of what is meant by the εὐλογία, and the use which Gr. makes of the words of institution, see notes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See reff. in notes.

which bread and wine stand to the person of Christ in the Eucharist with that which the bread eaten by Him while on earth had to His earthly Body. The change in both cases is a change of relation. His language suggests a real change<sup>1</sup>, but does not indicate the exact manner of the change. In view of the use which has been made of Gregory's language, and the ambiguity which attaches to such words as 'nature,' 'form,' 'change,' it is important to grasp clearly the conceptions which underlie the terms employed by him in his illustration from the process of digestion. Gregory is availing himself of ideas upon the body's flux and the relation of its 'elements' to its 'form,' which he has treated of at length elsewhere2. In the background of his thought there is a perfectly consistent theory of είδος and στοιχεία, and the terms which he employs are correctly used and implicitly involve such a theory, even though they do not explicitly state it. He is thinking of the change effected when the constituent elements (στοιγεία) of bread and wine are, in the process of digestion, rearranged under a new form (ellos), so that they acquire the properties of 'body.' With this idea his use of the words είδος, φύσις, μεταποιείσθαι<sup>3</sup> is perfectly consistent. The elements of bread and

<sup>1</sup> Gr.'s language goes beyond that of Theodoret Dial. i p. 25 (Schulze) οὐ τὴν φύσιν μεταβαλών, ἀλλὰ τὴν χάμιν τῆ φύσει προστεθεικώς. But the word φύσις is here used in a different sense from that in which Gr. uses it, as is shown by the same writer's statement in Dial. ii p. 126 (Schulze), Οὐδὲ γὰρ μετὰ τὸν ἀγιασμὸν τὰ μυστικὰ σύμβολα τῆς οἰκείας ἔξίσταται φύσεως μένει γὰρ ἐπὶ τῆς προτέρας οὐσίας καὶ τοῦ σχήματος καὶ τοῦ εἴδους, καὶ ὀρατά ἐστιν καὶ ἀπτὰ οἶα καὶ πρότερον ἦν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On the flux of the body see *Or. Cat.* c. 16 and the reff. in notes. On the relation of the  $\sigma \tau \omega \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \alpha$  of the body to its  $\epsilon \hat{\iota} \delta \omega$  see *de Hom. Op.* c. 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See notes on these words and on  $\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\sigma\tau\iota\chi\epsilon\iota\sigma\hat{\nu}$  in c. 37, and esp. the discussion of the relation of  $\epsilon\hat{\iota}\delta\sigma$ s,  $\sigma\tau\iota\chi\epsilon\hat{\iota}a$  and  $\phi\iota\sigma$ is in the note on  $\epsilon\hat{\iota}\delta\sigma$ s.

wine are brought into a new relation and acquire fresh qualities. Similarly in the Eucharist there is a change of the bread and wine, which acquire by their new relation to the person of Christ the properties of His Body and Blood. Harnack accordingly is right in his statement that Gregory teaches a qualitative unity between the bread and wine and the Body and Blood of Christ. Thus it is unjustifiable to argue that the words  $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi o \iota \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$  and  $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \iota o \hat{\nu} \nu$  involve the idea of a change of substance, or a change of the elements  $(\sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i a)$  or constituent parts of the bread and wine. Gregory's language points to a change of form' only. He does not teach, as do the later schoolmen, a change both of 'material' and 'form'.

The Western doctrine of Transubstantiation, to which Gregory's language has been supposed to approximate, moves in a completely different circle of ideas, and is an attempt to explain the manner of the change by the help of the scholastic distinction of 'substantia' and 'accidentia.'

On the other hand Gregory's language must not be minimized by comparing it with what he says in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv p. 296.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As is done e.g. by the writer in the *Dublin Review* quoted by Pusey Real Presence pp. 166, 167, and by Hilt des hl. Gr. von Nyssa Lehre vom Menschen p. 208. The latter says that Gr. teaches 'eine völlige und wirkliche direkte Umwandlung der Substanz des Brodes in den Leib,' and he maintains that expressions like μεταποιείσθαι and μεταστοιχειοῦν exclude any other supposition. He renders, quite unjustifiably, the concluding words of c. 37, 'durch die Kraft des Segens in jenen—seinen Leib—das Wesen der Gestalten verwandelt habe,' and says, 'Hier haben wir ganz klar die Wesensverwandlung des Brodes und Weines, wie auch die Hervorhebung, dass von Brod und Wein nur noch die Gestalten (τὰ φαινόμενα) übrig bleiben, da ihr Wesen jetzt der Leib Christi ist.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) vi p. 237.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> As Neander e.g. does, Ch. Hist. (ed. Bohn) iv 438.

in Baptismum Christi¹. In that work he is simply adducing instances in which natural things, when taken into sacred uses, acquire a heightened efficacy, like that of the water in baptism. He illustrates his meaning by reference to the consecration of stone to be an altar, of oil for the purpose of chrism, of a man to be a priest in ordination, and of bread to be the Body of Christ. But his argument does not require us to assume that he understood each of these changes to be identical in character.

In his assertion of the vital character of the change effected in the elements by consecration it may be doubted whether Gregory's language intentionally goes beyond that of Cyril of Jerusalem and Chrysostom<sup>2</sup>. It finds perhaps its closest parallel in the language of the *de Mysteriis* (ascribed to S. Ambrose).

Gregory's treatment of the question, however, gave a direction to the Eucharistic doctrine of the Eastern Church which finds its most complete expression in John of Damascus<sup>3</sup>. He starts from Gregory's language on the subject, and, like him, illustrates the change in the elements by the transformation of food in our bodies through digestion. But in several important respects he advances beyond Gregory's teaching. Thus he teaches the complete identity of the consecrated elements with the Body and Blood of Christ<sup>4</sup>. Gregory's illustration,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> p. 581 (Migne).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On the use of the words μεταποιεῖν, μεταπιθέναι, μεθιστάναι, μεταστοιχειοῦν see notes on c. 37. On the similar use by other patristic writers of μεταβάλλειν, μεταρρυθμίζειν, μετασκευάζειν, transfigurare, see Pusey Real Presence pp. 162 ff.

<sup>3</sup> de Fid. Orth. iv 13.

<sup>4</sup> ibid. Οὐκ ἔστι τύπος ὁ ἄρτος καὶ ὁ οἶνος τοῦ σώματος καὶ αἴματος τοῦ Χριστοῦ (μὴ γένοιτο), ἀλλ' αὐτὸ τὸ σῶμα τοῦ Κυρίου τεθεωμένον: ibid. καὶ οὐκ εἰσὶ δύο, ἀλλ' ἔν καὶ τὸ αὐτό.

on the other hand, is offered tentatively¹, and he has no intention of denying that the elements still exist in their natural substances after consecration. John of Damascus further goes beyond Gregory in asserting the identity of the Eucharistic with the historical body of Christ, a question which Gregory does not discuss. But the statement of the former that the Body of Christ 'does not descend from Heaven, but the bread and wine are changed into the Body and Blood of God²,' accords with Gregory's idea of an assumption of the elements into the Body of the Word. From the points of contact between the two writers it will be seen that Gregory's teaching has had considerable influence upon that of John of Damascus³.

The above discussion of the points handled in the *Oratio Catechetica*, while it serves the purpose of showing Gregory's indebtedness to earlier Fathers, also illustrates his individuality and independence. He is never a mere copyist, but while adopting the thoughts of others he makes them his own, and frequently gives to them an original turn. It is this originality which gives to the *Oratio Catechetica* its peculiar character, and makes it one of the most interesting treatises of the fourth century.

<sup>1</sup> τάχα τοίνυν έγγὺς τοῦ εἰκότος λόγου γινόμεθα.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  οὐχ ὅτι τὸ ἀναληφθὲν σῶμα έξ οὐρανοῦ κατέρχεται, ἀλλ' ὅτι αὐτὸς ὁ ἄρτος καὶ οῖνος μεταποιοῦνται εἰς σῶμα καὶ αῖμα θεοῦ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The doctrine of John of Damascus became the recognized doctrine of the Eastern Church, as expressed in the Second Council of Nicaea in 787. The term μετουσίωσι was first adopted under Roman influence in the seventeenth century, and does not appear to have gained universal acceptance in the East.

## § 3. HISTORY OF THE TEXT.

The earliest printed edition of the Greek text of Gregory's works was preceded by several Latin versions of separate or collected treatises. Among these there appeared a version of the Oratio Catechetica, made by P. Morel of Tours, and published at Paris in 1568. A few years later, in 1573, there appeared at Paris an edition of several treatises, including the Oratio Catechetica, in a Latin version made by Gentianus Hervetus, Canon of Rheims. The Greek text, accompanied by a Latin version, was printed for the first time in the Paris edition of 1615 under the editorship of the Jesuit, Fronto Ducaeus. The work was in two volumes and the Oratio Catechetica occupies pp. 475—542 of the second volume. In 1638 appeared a second edition, published at Paris by Morel. This latter work was a reprint of the edition of 1615 with the addition of Gretser's Appendix, which had been published in 1618. It consisted of three volumes, the Or. Cat. being found in vol. iii, pp. 43-110. The work was done in a careless and mechanical manner, as Dr Loofs has pointed out (Hauck Realencyklop, vii 147). The Latin version in these editions is based upon that of Gentianus Hervetus, but has been subjected to revision. In the notes of Fronto Ducaeus upon the Oratio Catechetica he mentions three MSS employed by him in his work as editor:

- I. A MS supplied by Dn J. Vulcobius.
- 2. A MS supplied by F. Morel, 'Regius Professor.'
- 3. A MS from the Royal Library.

The readings of the last two MSS, as quoted by Ducaeus, exhibit a superior character to those of the

first, but the text presented in these editions is very corrupt, and is disfigured by a series of lacunae (see below).

The text of the Oratio Catechetica contained in Migne (P. G. xlv) is a reprint of the edition of 1638. The only attempt that has been made since the days of the Paris editors to produce a critical text of the Oratio Catechetica is that of Krabinger, whose edition was published at Munich in 1838. He made use of three MSS, which, though of late date, exhibit a far purer text than that contained in the Paris editions. He also used the help afforded by some fragments of the Or. Cat. contained in three MSS of the Panoplia Dogmatica of Euthymius Zigabenus. With these resources he was able to fill up the lacunae exhibited in the common text and to remove many of the corruptions which had hitherto disfigured it. Krabinger's critical work was of great value, though a wider examination of MSS, and, above all, a study of their history, would have corrected many of his conclusions. Unfortunately his text is disfigured by some bad misprints.

The amount of material available for a reconstruction of the text of the *Or. Cat.* is considerable. The MSS which have been either collated in full or examined for the present edition are as follows:

α=Cod. Monac. 23. Royal Library, Munich. Saec. XVI. chart. 415 foll. It is a folio MS and the Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 107—145. See Hardt, Catalogus Codd. MSS. Graec. Bibl. Reg. Bavaricae tom. i p. 105. It is quoted by Krabinger as A, and by Forbes, in the preface to his text of the Apologia in Hexaemeron (tom. i fasc. i p. i), as a.

b=Cod. Monac. 84. Royal Library, Munich. Saec. XVI. chart. 476 foll. In folio size. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 138 vo—170. See Hardt, op. cit. tom. i p. 477. [Krab. B.]

c=Cod. Monac. 538. Royal Library, Munich. Saec. XVI. chart. 125 foll. In quarto size. The *Or. Cat.* is contained in foll. 1—26. The Ms was written for the use of David Hoeschel

by Maximus Margunius, Bishop of Cythera, about 1590, and the margin contains the conjectural emendations of the latter. See Hardt, op. cit. tom. v p. 348. It was formerly at Augsburg and appears in Reiser's catalogue (*Index MSS. Bibl. Augustanae*) as No. 77. [Krab. C.]

d=Trinity College, Cambridge, B. 9. 1. membr. 213 foll. In folio size ( $14\frac{3}{4} \times 10\frac{7}{8}$  in.), written in a beautiful hand. It consists of two parts, which are of various dates:

(i) A life of St Alexius, of the eleventh century.

(ii) Various works of St Gregory of Nyssa and Anastasius, of the twelfth century.

It is one of the MSS brought by Bentley from the monastery of Pantocrator, Mt Athos. The *Or. Cat.* is contained in foll. 130 vo—162 vo.

- e=Codex Regius. Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 1268 (Omont 294). Saec. XII. membr. 304 foll. Size of page  $7\frac{1}{5} \times 5\frac{1}{5}$  in. It contains works by Justin, Basil, Gregory of Nyssa, and others. M. Omont informs me that in the fifteenth or sixteenth century it belonged to a Greek monastery, from which also came several other volumes that are found in different libraries of the West. On fol. 6 there is the ex-libris: ή βίβλος αῦτη τοῦ Γαλησίου πέλει. The MS was acquired in the sixteenth century by Antonius Eparchus, who on one of the covering leaves has written with his own hand a table of contents, concluding with the following ex-libris, also by his hand: Κτημα 'Αντωνίου τοῦ Έπάρχου, δ δέδωκεν είς σημείον εθχαριστίας τῷ ἐπιφανεστάτῷ Φραγκίσκω τω κραταίω βασιλεί Κελτων. It has successively borne the numbers CIOCCCLXX, 1605, and 2879, in the catalogues of the King's Library, drawn up by Rigault, Dupuy and Clément in the seventeenth century. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 152 vo—188 vo. [Forbes g.]
- f=British Museum, Add. 22509. Saec. X. or XI. membr. 93 foll. It was presented to the Library by Sir G. C. Lewis in 1858. It contains various works of Gregory of Nyssa and the de Spiritu Sancto of Basil. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 1—51 vo. The opening sentences are missing, the first words being οὐ γὰρ δι' ὧν. There are also two leaves missing in c. 37.
- g=Cod. Cromw. IX. Bodleian Library, Oxford. 'Saec. XIII. et XII. ineuntis' (Coxe). membr. 342 foll. It is in quarto size. At the end there is the inscription in a later hand: τὸ παρὸν βιβλίον ἐμόν ἐστιν τοῦ Μανικαίτου Μιχαήλ, and in the margin of p. 682 there is a note stating that the owner was presented with the book παρὰ τοῦ...μου δεσπότου οἶκουμενικοῦ πατριάρχου Κυρίλλου. It contains various works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. I—71). It is mutilated at the beginning, the opening words being καὶ τὸ μὴ διαφέρειν, which occur in

- the latter part of c. I. There is another large gap in cc. 32-33. The MS contains many corrections made by the original hand, and taken from a MS whose readings frequently support the text of f. Another feature of this MS the peculiar system of chapters, which number 21 instead of 40 and have in consequence distinct headings from those found in other MSS.
- h=Imperial Library, Vienna. Gr. suppl. 10 (Kollarii suppl. xviii, Fabricius, IX. 112). Saec. XV. chart. 413 foll. It is in folio size, and was presented, as the inscription states, to the Emperor Charles VI. in 1723, by Apostolo Zeno, his court poet and historiographer. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 127—172.
- i=Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 587 (Omont 137). Saec. XV—XVI. chart. 280 foll. In folio size. The *Or. Cat.* is contained in foll. 1—40.
- k=Cod. Barocc. CCXII. Bodleian Library, Oxford. Saec. XVI. chart. 410 foll. In quarto size. The Or. Cat. begins fol. 336.
- I=British Museum, Royal 16 D I. Saec. XIII. membr. 479 foll. Size of page 9½ in. × 6½ in. The Ms contains the inscription ἐκ τῶν Μητροφάνους ἱερομουαχοῦ τοῦ Κριτοπούλου. Metrophanes Critopulus was sent to England by Cyril Lucar in 1616. It contains various works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. 283 vo—309). The original text has been subjected to many corrections and erasures by a later scribe, who had access to a Ms containing a much purer type of text. [Forbes c.]
- m= British Museum, Royal 16 D XI. Saec. XIV. chart. 372 foll. Size of page  $12\frac{1}{8}\times8\frac{1}{4}$  in. It contains various works of Gregory, including the *Or. Cat.* (foll. 40–95 vo). It is the only MS in the present list which contains the spurious addition to c. 40 found in the Paris editions.
- n=Vatican Library, Pii ii, cod. gr. 4. Saec. XI. membr. 316 foll. In folio. Stevenson says of it (Codices MSS. Gr. regin. Suec. et Pii P.P. ii Bibl. Valicanae, p. 134): 'In imo margine folii primi et ultimi legitur τοῦ τροπαιοφόρου, i.e. monasterii S. Georgii. Olim S. Silvestri.' It contains 31 works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. 151—197), and is written in a beautiful hand.
- p=Codex Venetus. Venice. Bibl. Marciana, Gr. 67. Saec. XI. (circiter, Zanetti, p. 45). membr. 432 foll. In quarto size. It contains various works of Gregory. The *Or. Cat.* is found foll. 338 vo—366. [Forbes h.]
- q=Codex Vaticanus. Rome. Vat. Gr. 423. Saec. X. A fragment of c. 10 is contained in foll. 36 vo—37.
- r=Codex Coislinianus. Paris. Coisl. CXX olim CCIX (Montfaucon, p. 193). Saec. X. Contains the same fragment as q in fol. 22—22 vo.

The following MSS of the *Panoplia Dogmatica* of Euthymius Zigabenus contain considerable fragments of the *Or. Cat.* 

I=Cod. Monac. 55. Munich. Saec. XVI. [Krab. Euth. 1.]

2=Cod. Monac. 367. Munich (formerly at Augsburg=Reiser No. 10). Saec. XIII. [Krab. Euth. 2.]

3=Cod. Monac. 551. Munich (formerly at Augsburg=Reiser No. 55). Saec. xv. [Krab. Euth.]

4=Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 1230 (Omont 171). Saec. XIII.

5=Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 1231 (Omont 170). Saec. XIII.

6=Imperial Library, Vienna, Gr. 76 (Nessel). Saec. XII.

7=Imperial Library, Vienna, Gr. 40 (Nessel). Saec. xv.

The above list does not contain all the extant MSS of the *Oratio Catechetica*, but it includes the earliest which are known. In addition to the above MSS the Vatican Library contains three MSS of the thirteenth century, one of the fourteenth, two of the fifteenth, and three of the sixteenth. The Laurentian Library at Florence contains a MS of the fourteenth century, and the National Library at Turin one of the fifteenth, and another of the sixteenth century. The treatise is also contained in one or more MSS of the fifteenth or sixteenth century in the National Library at Paris. But most of these are too late to be of much service.

The quotations of later patristic writers, with the exception of those found in Euthymius Zigabenus, do not add much to our knowledge of the text. There are a few brief quotations, extending only to a few lines, in the Dialogues of Theodoret<sup>1</sup>. The greater part of c. 37 is reproduced in *Theoriani disputatio cum Nersete*, printed in Mai *Script. Vett.* vi 366 ff., the text of which is much purer than that of the Paris editors. There is also a short extract from c. 10 in the treatise of Leontius

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See notes on cc. 10, 16, 32.

of Byzantium c. Nestor. et Eutych. Bk iii. See Galland Bibl. Vet. Patr. xiii p. 699. In the work de S. Trinitate, falsely ascribed to Cyril of Alexandria, and in the de Fide Orthodoxa of John of Damascus, there are reminiscences of the Prologue and of cc. I and 2, but they are of no value for critical purposes. There appear to be no extracts from this treatise in the Sacra Parallela of St John of Damascus.

The evidence as to the text afforded by a study of the MSS may be briefly summarized as follows<sup>1</sup>.

The MSS fall into two groups:

(I) a, d, g, h, n, p, and (as far as their readings have been observed) i and k.

# (2) c, f, l, m.

The two MSS e and b (which is dependent on e) contain a mixed text, deriving features from either group in turn.

I. The former of these two groups may be subdivided into two smaller groups containing respectively a, g, p and h, n.

The remaining MS d appears to incorporate elements from both these divisions.

In the group a, g, p, a is directly descended from p, while g exhibits a text closely allied to p.

With the text of the second group, comprising h, n, the text of the fragments of Gregory preserved in Euthymius presents a close affinity. The distinctive readings of this group, with one exception<sup>2</sup>, appear to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a fuller discussion of the text of the *Or. Cat.* see the present writer's article in the *Journal of Theological Studies* Vol. iii, No. 11, pp. 421 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I.e. the words in c. 23, καὶ τὴν τῶν καταδίκων ἀνάρρυσω, which have apparently fallen out of the other MSS and are preserved only in e h n.

be due to corruption or revision. The tendency to revision is still more marked in the distinctive readings of the text of Euthymius.

2. In the second of the two larger groups mentioned above, the text of c, l, m is closely allied to that of the Paris editions, with which it has in common a number of corrupt readings and the same series of lacunae. These MSS in fact present a late recension of the text, which f exhibits in its earlier and purer form. For the purposes of criticism the readings of f or f l are alone important, as c and m are only later and still more corrupt forms of the same original text.

We thus get as our primary authorities for the text: in Group 1, p and n; in Group 2, f and l, with which the readings of the corrector of g are frequently in agreement. Of these two groups the former exhibits traces of corruption at some early stage, while the readings of the latter show the influence of revision. But on the whole the readings of f l commend themselves as generally more likely to be genuine.

In the present edition it has not been thought necessary to give the readings of the late MSS a, b, c, i, k, m, as they possess no independent value. The MSS of Euthymius have been quoted collectively as Euth.; where they differ as Euth. 1, 2, 3 etc. An asterisk is used to denote the first hand of a MS, the figure 1 to denote the corrector's hand, e.g.  $g^*$ ,  $g^1$ ,  $l^*$ ,  $l^1$ . For convenience the readings of the Paris edition of 1638 have been cited as vulg. Sirmond's edition of Theodoret and the Roman edition have been quoted respectively as Thdrtsirm and Thdrtrom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For these lacunae see cc. 3, 4, 5, 8 (bis), 9, 29 (app. crit.).

The following books may be found useful for reference:

Select Writings and Letters of Gregory, Bishop of Nyssa, Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers. Ser. ii. vol. 5, 1893.

Dictionary of Christian Biography, vol. ii, Art. on Gregory of Nyssa.

Hauck, Realencyklopädie, vol. vii, 1899, Art. on Gregory of Nyssa by Dr Loofs.

Bardenhewer, Patrologie, pp. 272 ff.

Harnack, History of Dogma, Eng. Tr. vols. iii and iv.

Tillemont, Mémoires pour servir à l'Histoire Ecclésiastique, vol. ix.

Ceillier, Auteurs Sacrés et Ecclésiastiques, vol. viii.

Oudin, de Script. Eccl., vol. i diss. 4, pp. 584 sq.

St. P. Heyns, Disputatio historico-theologica de Gregorio Nysseno. Lugd. Bat. 1835.

J. Rupp, Gregors, des Bischofs von Nyssa, Leben und Meinungen.

Leipzig, 1834.

- E. G. Möller, Gregorii Nysseni doctrinam de hominis natura et illustravit et cum Origeniana comparavit E. G. M. Halae, 1854.
- I. C. Bergades, *De universo et de anima hominis doctrina Gregorii Nysseni*. Thessalonicae, 1876.

A. Krampf, Der Urzustand des Menschen nach der Lehre des hl. Greg. v. N. Würzburg, 1899.

F. Hilt, Des hl. Greg. v. N. Lehre vom Menschen. Köln, 1890.

F. Diekamp, Die Gotteslehre des hl. Gregor. von Nyssa. Münster, 1896.

W. Vollert, Die Lehre Gregors v. N. vom Guten und Bösen. Leipzig, 1897.

## ΛΟΓΟΣ ΚΑΤΗΧΗΤΙΚΟΣ.

### Πρόλογος.

Ο της κατηχήσεως λόγος ἀναγκαίος μέν ἐστι τοῖς προεστηκόσι τοῦ μυστηρίου της εὐσεβείας, ὡς ἂν πληθύνοιτο τῆ προσθήκη τῶν σωζομένων ἡ ἐκκλησία, τοῦ κατὰ τὴν διδαχὴν πιστοῦ λόγου τῆ ἀκοῆ τῶν ἀπίστων προσαγομένου. οὐ μὴν ὁ αὐτὸς της διδασκαλίας τρόπος 5 ἐπὶ πάντων ἀρμόσει τῶν προσιόντων τῷ λόγῳ, ἀλλὰ κατὰ

Πρ. 1 Verba ο της κατ. usque ad μυθοποιιας (p. 2, l. 15) dependita sunt in f. Desunt folia nonnulla in g  $\parallel$  2 μυστηριου] βιου vulg  $\parallel$  4 τη ακοη] την ακοην l

Prologue. The importance of catechetical teaching. Variety of method is necessary in dealing with the different standpoints of heresy and unbelief. Thus in dealing with atheism we shall adduce the art and wisdom shown in the ordering of the world as a proof of the existence of God. In dealing with polytheists we shall urge the perfection of God's attributes as a proof of the unity of God.

1. 'O  $\tau \hat{\eta} s$   $\kappa \alpha \tau$ .  $\lambda \delta \gamma o s$ ] 'the catechetical method of discourse.' The gen. defines the character of  $\lambda \delta \gamma o s$ . Ka $\tau \eta \chi \varepsilon \hat{\iota} v$  is used of Christian oral instruction in Lk. i 4, Acts xviii 25, 1 Cor. xiv 19, Gal. vi 6. An early example of a manual of Christian instruction is found in the Didache, of which Athanasius says (Fest. Ep. 39) that, though not included in the Canon, it was appointed for the instruction

of new converts. Under the influence of the School of Alexandria such instruction developed into a philosophical presentation of the faith to meet the needs of cultured heathen. The present *Or. Cat.* is a manual for catechists who are engaged in the instruction of educated converts.

2. προεστηκόσι] 'those who have charge of' or 'the ministers of.' Cp. Rom. xii 8, 1 Thess. v 2, 1 Tim. v 17. Similarly Justin M. speaks (Apol. i 67) of ο προεστώς in the Christian assembly.

*ib.* τοῦ μυστ. τ. εὐσ.] r Tim. iii 16. Τὸ μυστ. is often used alone to denote the Christian religion or creed.

3.  $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \tau$ .  $\sigma \omega \zeta$ .] a reminiscence of Acts ii 47.

ib. τοῦ κατὰ την δ. π. λ.] Tit. i 9.Ή διδαχή is the Apostolic deposit of faith.

τὰς τῶν θρησκειῶν διαφορὰς μεθαρμόζειν προσήκει καὶ την κατήγησιν, πρός τον αὐτον μέν ορώντας του λόγου σκοπόν, οὐχ ὁμοιοτρόπως δὲ ταῖς κατασκευαῖς ἐφ' ἐκάστου κεχρημένους. άλλαις γαρ ύπολήψεσιν ο ἰουδαίζων 5 προείληπται καὶ ὁ τῷ έλληνισμῷ συζῶν έτέραις, ὅ τε Ανόμοιος καὶ ὁ Μανιχαῖος καὶ οἱ κατὰ Μαρκίωνα καὶ Οὐαλεντίνον καὶ Βασιλείδην καὶ ὁ λοιπὸς κατάλογος των κατά τὰς αίρέσεις πλανωμένων ίδίαις ἕκαστος ύπολήψεσι προειλημμένοι άναγκαίαν ποιούσι την προς τάς 10 έκείνων ύπονοίας μάχην κατά γάρ το είδος της νόσου και τον τρόπον της θεραπείας προσαρμοστέον. οὐ τοῖς αὐτοῖς θεραπεύσεις τοῦ "Ελληνος τὴν πολυθείαν καὶ τοῦ 'Ιουδαίου τὴν περὶ τὸν μονογενη θεὸν ἀπιστίαν, οὐδὲ ἀπὸ τῶν αὐτῶν τοίς κατά τὰς αίρέσεις πεπλανημένοις ἀνατρέψεις τὰς τε ήπατημένας περί των δογμάτων μυθοποιίας ου γάρ δι'

ι om και l vulg | 3 om εφ εκαστου l vulg | 4 χρωμενους efl vulg | 5 ο τω ελλ.] om ο vulg  $\parallel$  ο προειλημμένος d  $\parallel$  11 θεραπείας  $\parallel$  ιατρείας  $\parallel$ 15 ov vapl incipit f

3. κατασκευαίς | Krabinger rightly gives the sense 'non eodem modo in singulis probando et confirmando.' Κατασκευή = 'a constructive argument, ' 'a proof.'

5. προείληπται] 'is pre-possessed with.'

ib. συζων] 'he that is born and bred in Hellenism.' Cp. de Bapt. p. 425 (Migne) οἱ ταῖς ἀκαθαρσίαις

συζώντες.

6. 'Ανόμοιος] i.e. the extreme Arian position, which Gregory attacks in his work against Eunomius. The starting-point of Eunomius' theology was the idea that the Divine Being is incapable of movement or self-communication, and that the being (ovola) must be distinguished from the energy  $(\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\epsilon\iota\alpha)$  of God. It was by the latter that all things were called into being.

ib. Marixalos] whose dualistic teaching Gr. has in view continually

throughout the present book. See esp. his treatment of the origin of evil in cc. 5-8, his defence of human generation in c. 28, and his teaching upon the ἀποκατάστασις in

13. μονογεν $\hat{\eta}$  θεόν] John i 18. See Hort's Dissertation on μονογενής θεόs in Scripture and tradition. The phrase  $\mu o \nu o \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta s$   $\theta \epsilon \delta s$  is found repeatedly in Athanasius, and was also used by Basil. Even Arius and Eunomius employed it, giving to it, of course, their own interpretation. For Gr.'s use of the phrase see c. 39, and cp. Quod non sint tres dii, pp. 129, 132 (Migne); de Fide, pp. 136, 137 (Migne).

ib. οὐδὲ ἀπὸ τ. αὐ.] 'Nor τωill

you, in the case of those who have gone astray among heresies, overthrow by the same arguments in each case their deluded romances concerning

their doctrines.'

ών ἄν τις ἐπανορθώσαιτο τὸν Σαβέλλιον, διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν ἀφελήσει καὶ τὸν Ἰονδαῖον, οὐδὲ ἡ πρὸς τὸν Μανιχαῖον μάχη καὶ τὸν Ἰονδαῖον ὀνίνησιν, ἀλλὰ χρή, καθὼς εἴρηται, πρὸς τὰς προλήψεις τῶν ἀνθρώπων βλέπειν καὶ κατὰ τὴν ἐγκειμένην ἑκάστω πλάνην ποιεῖσθαι 5 τὸν λόγον, ἀρχάς τινας καὶ προτάσεις εὐλόγους ἐφ' ἐκάστης διαλέξεως προβαλλόμενον, ὡς ἂν διὰ τῶν παρ' ἀμφοτέροις ὁμολογουμένων ἐκκαλυφθείη κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἡ ἀλήθεια. οὐκοῦν ὅταν πρός τινα τῶν ἑλληνιζόντων ἡ διάλεξις ἢ, καλῶς ἂν ἔχοι ταύτην ποιεῖσθαι τοῦ λόγου 10 τὴν ἀρχήν. πότερον εἶναι τὸ θεῖον ὑπείληφεν, ἢ τῷ τῶν ἀθέων συμφέρεται δόγματι; εἰ μὲν οὖν μὴ εἶναι λέγοι, ἐκ

Ι επανορθωσοιτο dnp  $\parallel$  4 υποληψεις 1 vulg  $\parallel$  9 αληθεια] διανοια vulg  $\parallel$  οταν] exstant seqq in euth 12456  $\parallel$  10 ταυτην αρχ.  $\pi$ .  $\tau$ .  $\lambda$ . dhnp\* euth 12 (ταυτην την 4) 56

 $\sum \alpha \beta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \iota o \nu$ ] used, as often, for an adjective, 'Sabellian.' Sabellius maintained that the three personal names, Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, represent mere phases and energies of One Divine Being. But, beyond the fact that he denied the essential Trinity and identified the Father and the Son, there is considerable obscurity about his teaching. Athanasius (Or. c. Ar. iv 25) attributes to him the statement: ωσπερ διαιρέσεις χαρισμάτων είσι, τὸ δὲ αὐτὸ πνεῦμα, οὕτω καὶ ό πατηρ ὁ αὐτὸς μέν ἐστι, πλατύνεται δὲ εἰς υἰὸν καὶ πνεῦμα, and he implies that Marcellus held the same view (Or. c. Ar. iv 13). But it is probable that Athanasius in attacking Marcellus and proving that his teaching led to Sabellianism has not carefully distinguished the views of the two teachers. See Zahn Marcellus, 1867, pp. 198 sq., Robertson N. and P. N. F. vol. iv, p. 431 sq.

4.  $\pi \rho o \lambda \dot{\eta} \psi \epsilon i s$ ] 'preconceptions,' almost 'prejudices,' answering to  $\pi \rho o \epsilon \dot{\iota} \lambda \eta \pi \tau a \iota$  above. The Stoics

distinguished between  $\pi\rho o\lambda \dot{\eta}\psi \epsilon \iota s$ , conceptions built on experience without elaborate reasoning, and  $\dot{\xi}\nu\nu o\iota a\iota$ , conceptions reached by the consciously applied reason.

6. ἀρχάς τινας] 'propounding in each discussion certain principles

and reasonable propositions.'
9. οὐκοῦν] In dealing with the representatives of Hellenic thought the first step is to make sure that they recognize the existence of God. The next step is to lead them to acknowledge the unity of God. The section which follows, as far as the end of c. 3, is found in Euthymius Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt i, tit. 1, pp. 33 sq. (Migne).

ib. ἐλληνιζόντων] "Ελλην practically = 'heathen' as opposed to Jew, as in the N.T., e.g. Gal. iii 28.

12.  $\dot{a}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ ] such as the later Academics. The Epicureans too were practical atheists, not denying the existence of the gods, but contending that they took no part in the government of the world.

τῶν τεχνικῶς καὶ σοφῶς κατὰ τὸν κόσμον οἰκονομουμένων προσαχθήσεται πρὸς τὸ διὰ τούτων εἶναί τινα δύναμιν την έν τούτοις διαδεικνυμένην καὶ τοῦ παντὸς ύπερκειμένην όμολογήσαι εί δὲ τὸ μὲν είναι μὴ ἀμφις βάλλοι, εἰς πλήθος δὲ θεῶν ταῖς ὑπονοίαις ἐκφέροιτο, τοιαύτη χρησώμεθα πρὸς αὐτὸν τῆ ἀκολουθία, πότερον τέλειον η έλλιπες ηγείται το θείον; του δε κατά το είκος την τελειότητα προσμαρτυρούντος τη θεία φύσει, το διά πάντων αὐτὸν τῶν ἐνθεωρουμένων τῆ θεότητι τέλειον 10 ἀπαιτήσωμεν, ώς ἂν μὴ σύμμικτον ἐκ τῶν ἐναντίων θεωροίτο τὸ θείου, έξ έλλιποῦς καὶ τελείου. ἀλλ' εἴτε κατὰ τὴν δύναμιν, εἴτε κατὰ τὴν τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ἐπίνοιαν, εἴτε κατὰ τὸ σοφόν τε καὶ ἄφθαρτον καὶ ἀίδιον καὶ εἴ τι άλλο θεοπρεπές νόημα τη θεωρία προσκείμενον τύχοι, 15 έν παντί τὴν τελειότητα θεωρείσθαι περί τὴν θείαν φύσιν

3 διαδεικ.] δεικνυμένην ehn euth  $\parallel 4$  αμφιβαλοι fh  $\parallel 5$  πληθυν d  $\theta \epsilon \omega \nu$ ]  $\theta \epsilon \sigma \eta \tau \omega \nu$  fl vulg || 6  $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$  p eath || 10  $\alpha \pi \alpha \iota \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$  p eath -ομαι 1 -ωμαι  $f \parallel 12$  επινοιαν] εννοια efl  $\parallel 14$  τυχη ef

1.  $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s \kappa$ .  $\sigma \circ \phi \hat{\omega} s$ ]  $T \epsilon \chi \nu$ . refers to the finished and artistic skill displayed in individual parts of Creation, while σοφωs refers to the wise adaptation of means to ends.

3. δύναμιν] 'a certain porver which is plainly manifested in created things and transcends the whole.'

5.  $\epsilon$  is  $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$  os] be led astray by his notions to believe in a plurality of gods?

6. ἀκολουθία] 'course of argument.

7.  $\tau \circ \hat{0} \delta \hat{\epsilon}$  'And if he, as is probable, testifies to the perfection of (lit. testifies perfection to) the Divine Nature, let us require him to grant that this perfection extends through everything that is observed in the Deity.'

12.  $\epsilon \pi [\nu o(a\nu)]$  In his answer to

Eunomius' second book Gr. discusses this word. Eunomius had disparaged ἐπίνοια on the ground that the faculty denoted by it was untrustworthy and created monstrosities. He thus appears to have used it in the sense of 'fancy.' Gr. however defends ἐπίνοια and defines it as έφοδος εύρετική των άγνοουμένων, διὰ τῶν προσεχῶν τε καὶ άκολούθων τη πρώτη περί τὸ σπουδαζόμενον νοήσει τὸ ἐφεξῆς ἐξευρίσκουσα. It is in his view an inventive faculty and at the same time it is more trustworthy than 'fancy.' It is best represented here by 'imagination' or 'conception.' See further on the word Wilson N. and P. N. Fathers, vol. v, p. 249.

13. εί τι άλλο] 'any other thought worthy of God that might happen to be connected with the subject of our

contemplation.'

κατὰ τὸ εὔλογον τῆς ἀκολουθίας ταύτης συγκαταθήσεται. τούτου δε δοθέντος οὐκέτ' αν είη χαλεπον το εσκεδασμένον της διανοίας είς πληθος θεών πρός μιας θεότητος περιαγαγείν όμολογίαν. εί γάρ τὸ τέλειον έν παντί δοίη περί τὸ ὑποκείμενον ὁμολογεῖσθαι, πολλὰ δὲ εἶναι τὰ τέλεια 5 διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν χαρακτηριζόμενα λέγοι, ἀνάγκη πᾶσα ἐπὶ τῶν μηδεμιὰ παραλλαγή διακρινομένων ἀλλ' ἐν τοῖς αὐτοῖς θεωρουμένων ἡ ἐπιδεῖξαι τὸ ἴδιον ή, εἰ μηδέν ίδιαζόντως καταλαμβάνοι ή έννοια έφ' ὧν τὸ διακρίνον οὐκ ἔστι, μὴ ὑπονοεῖν τὴν διάκρισιν. εἰ γὰρ μήτε παρὰ 10 τὸ πλέον καὶ ἔλαττον τὴν διαφορὰν ἐξευρίσκοι, διότι τὴν έλάττωσιν ο της τελειότητος οὐ παραδέχεται λόγος, μήτε την παρά τὸ χείρον καὶ προτιμότερον οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἔτι θεότητος ὑπόληψιν σχοίη οὖ ή τοῦ χείρονος οὐκ ἄπεστι προσηγορία μήτε κατά τὸ ἀρχαῖον καὶ πρόσφατον τὸ 15 γάρ μη ἀεὶ ὂν έξω της περὶ τὸ θεῖόν ἐστιν ὑπολήψεως.

2 δοθεντος]+ ημιν fl  $\parallel$  9 καταλαβοι fl vulg  $\parallel$  13 om την euth 126  $\parallel$  14 υποληψιν]+ τις f τις σχοιη υποληψιν l

2. τὸ ἐσκεδασμένον] 'the thought which he has dissipated over a

plurality of gods."

4.  $\epsilon l \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] The argument of Gr. in the following passage is as follows. The perfection of God's attributes prevents us from attributing to the Divine Nature any diversity or plurality. For such diversity could only arise from the differences of degree in which the separate entities possessed these attributes. Otherwise there would be no reason for maintaining their distinct and separate existence. But such differ-ences of degree, involving 'more' and 'less' are excluded by the very idea of 'perfection.' 'For if he were to admit that he acknowledges absolute perfection in the being of whom we speak, but were to maintain that there are many of these perfect entities, marked by the same characteristics.'

5.  $\tau \delta$   $\dot{\nu}\pi ο \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ ] here = 'the subject matter of our discourse.'

6.  $\epsilon \pi \ell \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \delta$ .] 'in the case of things which are distinguished by no variation, but are observed to possess the same attributes.'

8. εl μηδέν] 'if thought grasped nothing in the way of peculiarity in beings between whom no distinguishing mark exists, he should cease to

assume such distinction.'

14. ὑπόληψω σχοίη] Some MSS insert  $\tau$ is, but the subject is the antecedent of oὖ. 'For a being with reference to whom the term "worse" is not excluded, could no longer be supposed to be God.'

άλλ' είς καὶ ὁ αὐτὸς τῆς θεότητος λόγος, οὐδεμιᾶς ἰδιότητος ἐν οὐδενὶ κατὰ τὸ εὕλογον εὑρισκομένης, ἀνάγκη πᾶσα πρὸς μιᾶς θεότητος ὁμολογίαν συνθλιβῆναι τὴν πεπλανημένην περὶ τοῦ πλήθους τῶν θεῶν φαντασίαν. 5 εἰ γὰρ τὸ ἀγαθὸν καὶ τὸ δίκαιον, τό τε σοφὸν καὶ τὸ δυνατὸν ὡσαύτως λέγοιτο, ἥ τε ἀφθαρσία καὶ ἡ ἀιδιότης καὶ πᾶσα εὐσεβὴς διάνοια κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν ὁμολογοῖτο τρόπον, πάσης κατὰ πάντα λόγον διαφορᾶς ὑφαιρουμένης συνυφαιρεῖται κατ' ἀνάγκην τὸ τῶν θεῶν πλῆθος ἀπὸ τοῦ δόγματος, τῆς διὰ πάντων ταὐτότητος εἰς τὸ ἐν τὴν πίστιν περιαγούσης.

1. 'Αλλ' ἐπειδὴ καὶ ὁ τῆς εὐσεβείας λόγος οἶδέ τινα

1 ιδιστητος] αιδ- d  $\parallel$  3 μιαν θεοτητος dehnp euth  $\parallel$  6 η αιδιστης] om  $\eta$  d euth 5 vulg

 άλλ' εss] 'but the idea of Godhead is one and the same, no particularity, naturally enough, being discovered in any one respect.'

2. ἀνάγκη] 'the mistaken fancy of a plurality of gods cannot help being reduced to confess that Deity

is one.'

6. ὑσαύτως λέγοιτο] 'were ascribed to it in an equal degree.' The argument of this passage is reproduced, and the language closely followed in Ps.-Cyril de Trin. 4 and also in Io. Damasc. de Fid. Orth. i.5.

#### CHAPS. I-IV. THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE OF GOD.

1. In refuting polytheism, however, we must defend our argument against Judaism. It will be granted that Deity has a Logos; otherwise God would be without reason (aλογος). But this Logos corresponds to the nature of God, and as God's nature is infinitely higher than that of man, so must the Logos of God be proportionately higher. Man is mortal and his logos transitory. In God the Logos corresponds to His nature and is eternal and self-subsistent. At the same time the Logos is living and does not share life, but possesses it absolutely. This further involves the possession of will and the power to effect what He wills. The will of

the Logos is directed to good ends, for goodness is an attribute of God. The Universe exhibits the wisdom, power, and goodness of the Logos. The Logos, moreover, is distinct from Him Whose Logos He is. Thus is our position defined against the errors alike of Hellenism and Judaism. The Logos is living and active, and yet is one in nature and attributes with the Father from Whom He is derived.

derived.

12.  $\dot{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma$ .] 'the doctrine of

our religion.'

*ib.*  $ol\delta\epsilon$ ] Kr. renders 'solet,' but it may mean simply 'is able' to perceive.

διάκρισιν ύποστάσεων ἐν τῆ ἐνότητι τῆς φύσεως βλέπειν, ώς ἂν μὴ τῆ πρὸς τοὺς Ἑλληνας μάχη πρὸς τὸν Ἰουδαισμὸν ἡμῖν ὁ λόγος ὑπενεχθείη, πάλιν προσήκει διαστολῆ τινὶ τεχνικῆ καὶ τὴν περὶ τοῦτο πλάνην ἐπανορθώσασθαι. οὐδὲ γὰρ τοῖς ἔξω τοῦ καθ' ἡμᾶς δόγματος ἄλογον εἶναι τὸ 5 θεῖον ὑπείληπται· τοῦτο δὲ παρ' ἐκείνων ὁμολογούμενον ἱκανῶς διαρθρώσει τὸν ἡμέτερον λόγον. ὁ γὰρ ὁμολογῶν μὴ ἄλογον εἶναι τὸν θεὸν πάντως λόγον ἔχειν τὸν μὴ ἄλογον συγκαταθήσεται. ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ ὁ ἀνθρώπινος ὁμωνύμως λέγεται λόγος. οὐκοῦν εἰ λέγοι καθ' ὁμοιότητα 10

#### **1.** 3 υπενεχθησεται $e \parallel 7$ διορθωσει d

1. ὑποστάσεων] For a full discussion of the history of this word Bethune-Baker Texts and Studies, vol. vii, no. 1, p. 75. In its earlier sense it was synonymous with ovoía, as in the anathema of the Nicene Creed (ἐξ ἐτέρας ὑποστάσεως η οὐσίας). Both Basil and Gr. occasionally employ it in this earlier sense. Cp. infra c. 4 sub fin. λόγον έν οὐσία καὶ πνεῦμα έν ὑποστάσει. The later formula however to which currency was given by the teaching of the Cappadocians was μία οὐσία έν τρισίν ὑποστάσεσιν. For the distinction of ὑπόστασις and οὐσία according to this view cp. Basil Ep. ccxxxvi 6 οὐσία δὲ καὶ ὑπόστασις ταύτην έχει την διαφοράν ην έχει τὸ κοινὸν πρὸς τὸ καθ' ἔκαστον: and still more precisely in Ep. xxxviii 3 τοῦτο οδυ έστιν ή ὑπόστασις, οὐχ ή άδριστος της οὐσίας ἔννοια, μηδεμίαν έκ της κοινότητος τοῦ σημαινομένου στάσιν ευρίσκουσα, άλλ' ή τὸ κοινόν τε καὶ ἀπερίγραπτον ἐν τῷ τινὶ πράγματι διὰ τῶν ἐπιφαινομένων ίδιωμάτων παριστώσα καὶ περιγράφουσα. It thus denotes 'a particular centre of conscious being.' As Dr Moberly (Atonement and Personality, p. 158 sq.) has pointed out, the word is free from many of the associations which have gathered round the word 'person' in its later

Western sense.

ib.  $\phi \dot{\psi} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ] It is not necessary to assume that  $\phi \dot{\psi} \sigma \iota s$  is here used loosely in the sense of  $o \dot{v} \sigma \iota a$ . The latter word denotes 'being,' while  $\phi \dot{\psi} \sigma \iota s$  denotes the 'quality' of such 'being,' and has reference to the attributes. But in many cases where the writer might have used  $o \dot{\psi} \sigma \iota a$ , his thought is sufficiently expressed by  $\phi \dot{\psi} \sigma \iota s$ .

3. ὑπενεχθείη] 'our argument may not lapse into Judaism,' i.e. a barren monotheism, admitting of no distinction in the Divine Being.

ib. διαστολ $\hat{\eta}$  τ. τ.] 'a skilful distinction,' such as is involved in the διάκρισι  $\hat{\nu}\pi$ . referred to above.

5.  $\tau$  or  $\xi \xi \omega$ ] The most conspicuous example is Philo. But the belief in a Word as a mediating influence was not confined to Alexandria. In Palestine it affected the language of the Targums.

ib. ἄλογον] implies the absence of 'reason' as well as 'word.'

7. διαρθρώσει] 'will make our argument sufficiently clear.'

10. οὐκοῦν] 'If then he were to say that he formed his idea of the Word of God exactly on the analogy of our human counterparts, he will thus be led on to a higher notion.' With this illustration from the human λόγος cp. Tert. adv. Prax. c. 5.

τῶν παρ' ἡμῖν καὶ τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον ὑπονοεῖν, οὕτω μετανθήσεται πρὸς τὴν ὑψηλοτέραν ὑπόληψιν. ἀνάγκη γὰρ πᾶσα κατάλληλον εἶναι πιστεύειν τῆ φύσει τὸν λόγον, ώς καὶ τὰ ἄλλα πάντα. καὶ γὰρ δύναμίς τις καὶ ζωὴ καὶ 5 σοφία περὶ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον βλέπεται ἀλλ' οὐκ ἄν τις ἐκ της όμωνυμίας τοιαύτην και έπι του θεού την ζωήν ή την δύναμιν ή την σοφίαν υπονοήσειεν, άλλα προς το της φύσεως της ημετέρας μέτρον συνταπεινούνται καὶ αί τῶν τοιούτων ονομάτων εμφάσεις. επειδή γάρ φθαρτή καὶ 10 ἀσθενης ημών η φύσις, διὰ τοῦτο ἀκύμορος η ζωή, ἀνυπόστατος ή δύναμις, άπαγης ό λόγος. ἐπὶ δὲ τῆς ὑπερκειμένης φύσεως τῷ μεγαλείω τοῦ θεωρουμένου πᾶν τὸ περὶ αὐτῆς λεγόμενον συνεπαίρεται. οὐκοῦν κἂν λόγος θεοῦ λέγηται, οὐκ ἐν τῆ ὁρμῆ τοῦ φθεγγομένου καὶ τὴν ὑπόστασιν τις έχειν νομισθήσεται, καθ' δμοιότητα τοῦ ήμετέρου μεταχωρών είς ἀνύπαρκτον· άλλ' ώσπερ ή ήμετέρα φύσις έπίκηρος οὖσα καὶ ἐπίκηρον τὸν λόγον ἔχει, οὕτως ή άφθαρτος καὶ ἀεὶ έστῶσα φύσις ἀίδιον ἔχει καὶ ὑφεστῶτα τὸν λόγον. εἰ δὴ τοῦτο κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ὁμολογηθείη

5 τα ανθρωπινα d | 6 τοιαυτην]+τινα d | 13 αυτην vulg | 14 om και fh euth | 17  $\epsilon\pi\iota\kappa\eta\rho\sigma$  |  $\alpha\nu\nu\pi\alpha\rho\kappa\tau\sigma$  f

3. κατάλλ.] 'corresponding to.' 8. συνταπ.] Such words as 'power,' 'life,' 'wisdom' have a lower significance in the case of men than in that of God.

10. ωκύμορος] 'fleeting.'

ib. ἀνυπόστατος] 'unsubstantial.' i.e. having no separate existence of its own. Hence 'shadowy,' 'unreal.'

11. ἀπαγήs] lit. 'not fixed,' 'unstable.' Similarly Ath. c. Ar. ii 34, 35. Cp. Iren. c. Haer. ii 13. 8.

ib. ὑπερκειμένης] 'transcendent.' See antea, p. 4, l. 3 δύναμιν την... τοῦ παντὸς ὑπερκειμένην.

12. τω μεγαλείω] 'along with the greatness of the object of our contemplation.' For μεγαλείον = 'mag-

nitude' see c. 2, p. 14.

14. ὑπόστασιν] 'it will not be thought to have its subsistence in the expression of him who speaks.' Υπό- $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$  is here 'that in virtue of which a thing is what it is,' the essence or being of a thing. A human word is merely the expression of the speaker's mind. It has no ὑπόστασις apart from such expression, and in the utterance it passes out of existence (μεταχωρών είς ἀνύπαρκτον). The Divine Logos is αίδιος and ψφεστώς.

16.  $\dot{\alpha}$ λλ'  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ ] The argument of this chapter is partly reproduced in Ps.-Cyril Al. de Trin. c. 5, and in Io. Damasc. de Fid. Orth.

τὸ ὑφεστάναι τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον ἀιδίως, ἀνάγκη πᾶσα ἐν ζωή τοῦ λόγου τὴν ὑπόστασιν εἶναι ὁμολογεῖν. οὐ γὰρ καθ' δμοιότητα τῶν λίθων ἀψύχως ὑφεστάναι τὸν λόγον εὐαγές ἐστιν οἴεσθαι. ἀλλ' εἰ ὑφέστηκε νοερόν τι χρῆμα καὶ ἀσώματον ών, ζη πάντως εἰ δὲ τοῦ ζην κεγώρισται, 5 ούδε εν υποστάσει πάντως έστίν. άλλα μην άσεβες άπεδείχθη τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον ἀνυπόστατον εἶναι. οὐκοῦν συναπεδείνθη κατά τὸ ἀκόλουθον τὸ ἐν ζωῆ τοῦτον θεωρείσθαι τὸν λόγον. άπλης δὲ της τοῦ λόγου φύσεως κατὰ τὸ εἰκὸς εἶναι πεπιστευμένης καὶ οὐδεμίαν διπλόην καὶ 10 σύνθεσιν έν έαυτη δεικνυούσης, οὐκέτ' ἄν τις κατά μετουσίαν ζωής τὸν λόγον ἐν ζωή θεωροίη· οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἐκτὸς εἴη συνθέσεως ή τοιαύτη υπόληψις, τὸ έτερον εν ετέρω λέγειν είναι άλλ ανάγκη πασα, της απλότητος ομολογουμένης, αὐτοζωὴν εἶναι τὸν λόγον οἴεσθαι, οὐ ζωῆς μετουσίαν. εἰ 15 οθυ ζη ό λόγος ό ζωη ών, και προαιρετικήν πάντως δύναμιν

5 ων] ον  $1 \parallel 8$  ζωη] τη ζωη  $1 \parallel 12$  εν ζωη  $\theta$ . τ. λογον 1 vulg τη ζωη  $f \parallel 15$  οτη ειναι  $f \parallel 16$  ζη] ζωη  $e \parallel o$  ζωη] ως  $\xi$ , dehnp eith  $\parallel \pi \rho o \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu \rangle + \eta \tau o \iota \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu$  eith  $\iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$  δυναμιν εχει παντως 1 vulg

 ἐν ζωη̂...εἶναι] 'that the subsistence of the Word is living.' For the phrase  $\epsilon l \nu a i \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  see c. 24  $\delta \epsilon l \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$   $\delta l \dot{\alpha}$   $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$   $\tau \dot{\delta}$   $\theta \epsilon l \dot{\omega}$   $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ταίς πρεπούσαις ύπολήψεσιν είναι. Gr. is illustrating the doctrine of distinctions in the Divine Being by an analysis of human consciousness. But it might be urged that the human analogy does not suggest the idea of distinct hypostases. This further step Gr. attempts to prove by showing that all relationships within the Divine Being must be living, and in order to be living in the full sense they must be personal. Augustine approached the same question from the moral consciousness and the idea of Divine Love. See de Trin. vi 5, viii 10,

6. οὐδὲ ἐν ὑποστάσει] 'it does not possess any subsistence at all,' i.e.

it is  $d\nu\nu\pi\delta\sigma\tau\alpha\tau\sigma s$  like the human  $\lambda\delta\gamma\sigma s$ , which Gr. has declared to be  $\epsilon\pi\iota\kappa\eta\rho\sigma s$ .

10.  $\delta\iota\pi\lambda\delta\eta\nu$ ] 'doubleness.'  $\Delta\iota$ - $\pi\lambda\delta\eta$  is used of that which has a double character, e.g. the fold of a garment, or the overlapping of the bones in the skull. Here it is used of the combination of different elements.

11. κατὰ μετουσίαν] 'consider the Word as living by a participation in life.' This, acc. to Gr., would involve διπλόη and σύνθεσις, whereas he maintains that the Word is αὐτοζωή. The Word does not partake of life, as we do. Life is absolutely His. Cp. infra αὐτοζωὴν...οὐ ζωῆς μετουσίαν.

15.  $\epsilon l \ o \bar{\nu} l$ ] As a result of the possession of life we must postulate that the Word possesses will and the power to carry out what He wills.

προαίρεσιν ταύτην καὶ δυνατήν είναι κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον εὐσεβές ἐστι λογίζεσθαι. εἰ γὰρ μή τις τὸ δυνατὸν όμολογοίη, τὸ ἀδύνατον πάντως κατασκευάσει, ἀλλὰ 5 μην πόρρω της περί τὸ θείον ύπολήψεώς έστι τὸ άδύνατον, οὐδὲν γὰρ τῶν ἀπεμφαινόντων περὶ τὴν θείαν θεωρείται φύσιν, ανάγκη δὲ πᾶσα τοσαύτην είναι ομολογείν του λόγου την δύναμιν, όση έστι και ή πρόθεσις, ίνα μή τις μίξις των έναντίων καὶ συνδρομή περὶ 10 τὸ ἀπλοῦν θεωροῖτο, ἀδυναμίας τε καὶ δυνάμεως ἐν τῆ αὐτῆ προθέσει θεωρουμένων, εἴπερ τὸ μέν τι δύναιτο, πρὸς δέ τι άδυνάτως έχοι πάντα δὲ δυναμένην την τοῦ λόγου προαίρεσιν πρός οὐδὲν τῶν κακῶν τὴν ροπὴν ἔγειν. αλλότρια γάρ της θείας φύσεως ή προς κακίαν δρμή. 15 άλλα παν ο τι πέρ έστιν αγαθόν, τοῦτο καὶ βούλεσθαι, Βουλομένην δὲ πάντως καὶ δύνασθαι, δυναμένην δὲ μὴ ανενέργητον είναι, αλλά πάσαν αγαθού πρόθεσιν είς ένέργειαν ἄγειν. ἀγαθὸν δὲ ὁ κόσμος καὶ τὰ ἐν αὐτῶ πάντα σοφώς τε καὶ τεχνικώς θεωρούμενα. ἄρα τοῦ

ι ζωντων] οντων  $d \parallel 5$  εστιν υποληψεως  $e \parallel 6$  των απεμφ.] απεμφαινον των l vulg | 7 om δε fl vulg | 1 τι τι] τοι vulg | δυναται n enth 12  $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota$  dnp euth om  $\epsilon \chi o \iota$  vulg 15 o  $\tau \iota$   $\pi \epsilon \rho$  o  $\pi \epsilon \rho$  e 17  $\pi \rho o \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ προαιρεσιν vulg

4. κατασκευάσει] 'he will prove' or 'establish.' Cp. κατασκευαίs prol.

p. 2. 6. των ἀπεμφαινόντων] 'Απεμφαίνειν is 'to present a different appearance,' 'to be incongruous,' a common word in Gr. Cp. c. 10 οσον εὐπρεπές ἐστι...δεξάμενος, τὸ  $\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\mu\phi\hat{a}\hat{i}\nu\rho\nu$   $\dot{a}\pi\rho\pi\rho\hat{i}\epsilon l\sigma\theta\omega$ . Cp. also c. 15 ως αναρμοστά τε καὶ απεμφαίνοντα περί της θείας φύσεως δογματιζόντων.

8.  $\pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ] used as almost equivalent to προαίρεσις.

12.  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \delta \dot{\epsilon}$  A further step in the argument, postulating goodness as a necessary quality of the activity of the Word. 'Po $\pi \dot{\eta}$  = 'inclination,'

 $\dot{\delta}\rho\mu\dot{\eta} = \text{`impulse.'}$ 

17. ἀνενέργητον] 'inoperative,' inactive.' A common word in Gr. Cp. c. 4 ἀνενέργητά τε καὶ ἀνυπόστατα τὰ παρ' ἡμῖν ῥήματα. 18. ἀγαθόν] The outcome of

the activity of the Word is to be seen in the Universe, which is the expression of His character.

19. σοφως For this predicative force of adverbs and adverbial clauses with  $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$  cp. c. 2, p. 14 μετά πνεύματος θεωρουμένου, and esp. c. 16 τὸ δ' ὅσον ἐν τῆ φύσει... διεξοδικώς θεωρείται.

λόγου ἔργα τὰ πάντα τοῦ ζῶντος μὲν καὶ ὑφεστῶτος, ότι θεού λόγος έστί, προαιρουμένου δέ, ότι ζη· δυναμένου δὲ πᾶν ὅ τι περ ἂν ἕληται, αἰρουμένου δὲ τὸ ἀγαθόν τε καὶ σοφον πάντως, καὶ εἴ τι τῆς κρείττονος σημασίας έστίν. ἐπεὶ οὖν ἀγαθόν τι ὁ κόσμος ὁμολογεῖται, 5 ἀπεδείχθη δὲ διὰ τῶν εἰρημένων τοῦ λόγου ἔργον τὸν κόσμον είναι, τοῦ τὸ ἀγαθὸν καὶ αίρουμένου καὶ δυναμένου, δ δε λόγος οὖτος ετερός εστι παρὰ τὸν οὖ εστὶ λόγος: τρόπου γάρ τινα τῶν πρός τι λεγομένων καὶ τοῦτό έστιν, ἐπειδὴ χρὴ πάντως τῷ λόγῳ καὶ τὸν πατέρα τοῦ 10 λόγου συνυπακούεσθαι ού γαρ αν είη λόγος, μή τινος ων λόγος: εἰ οὖν διακρίνει τῶ σγετικῶ τῆς σημασίας ή τῶν ακουόντων διάνοια αὐτόν τε τὸν λόγον καὶ τὸν ὅθεν ἐστίν, οὐκέτ' αν ήμιν κινδυνεύοι το μυστήριον ταις Ελληνικαις μαγόμενον ύπολή ψεσι τοῖς τὰ τῶν Ἰουδαίων πρεσβεύουσι 15 συνενεχθήναι άλλ' έπ' ζσης έκατέρων την άτοπίαν έκφεύξεται, τόν τε ζώντα τοῦ θεοῦ λόγον καὶ ἐνεργὸν καὶ

3 παντα l vulg  $\parallel$  οπερ eh  $\parallel$  5 ωμολογηται hn euth ωμολογειται e ομολογειται ο κοσμος f  $\parallel$  6 το λογου εργον dehnp  $\parallel$  8 ο δε λ.] αρα ο λ. euth  $\parallel$  9 τουτο] ουτος euth  $\parallel$  12 διακρινη vulg  $\parallel$  14 κινδυνευει deflu vulg  $\parallel$  15 τοις τε vulg  $\parallel$  οm των hl vulg

κρείττονος σημασίας] 'and everything else that indicates excellence.'

9.  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \delta s \tau t$ ] 'a relative term.' We cannot understand the term 'Word' without reference to some other Being.

11. συνυπακούεσθαι] 'understood' or 'implied along with the Word.'

12.  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  σχετικ $\hat{\varphi}$  τ $\hat{\eta}$ s σημ.] 'owing to the relative character of the term.' Σχέσιs denotes 'relation.'

15. τὰ τῶν Ἱ. πρεσβεύουσι] 'those who pay honour to the beliefs of the fews.' Πρεσβεύειν here = σέβειν οι τιμᾶν. Cp. Plato Symp, 186 Β ἄρξομαι δὲ ἀπὸ τῆς 1ατρικῆς λέγων, ἵνα καὶ πρεσβεύωμεν τὴν τέχνην. Cp. Aesch. Cho. 488.

16.  $\sigma v \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha i$ ] 'agree with.'

The Christian doctrine of God is unique, but it presents points of contact with Hellenism and Judaism. Cp. the summary of Gr. in c. 3.

ib.  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\phi$ .] i.e. the personal subject implied in  $\tau a \hat{s} \dot{E}$ .  $\mu a \chi$ .  $\dot{\nu} \pi$ .

17. τόν τε ζωντα] The article belongs also to ἐνεργόν and ποιητικόν. 'Confessing the living and active and creative Word of God, a thing which the few does not admit.' He is referring to Heb. iv 12, which was understood by many of the Fathers to refer to the Personal Word. The Logos doctrine of Philo is the nearest approach to the belief of which Gr. is speaking. But in Philo the Logos is rather an abstraction than a personal power, and could not be said to be ζων.

ποιητικον όμολογῶν, ὅπερ ὁ Ἰονδαῖος οὐ δέχεται, καὶ τὸ μὴ διαφέρειν κατὰ τὴν φύσιν αὐτόν τε τὸν λόγον καὶ τὸν ὅθεν ἐστίν. ὥσπερ γὰρ ἐφ' ἡμῶν ἐκ τοῦ νοῦ φαμὲν εἶναι τὸν λόγον, οὕτε δι' ὅλου τὸν αὐτὸν ὄντα τῷ νῷ, οὕτε 5 παντάπασιν ἔτερον τῷ μὲν γὰρ ἐξ ἐκείνου εἶναι ἄλλο τι καὶ οὐκ ἐκεῖνό ἐστι' τῷ δὲ αὐτὸν τὸν νοῦν εἰς τὸ ἐμφανὲς ἄγειν οὐκέτ' ἂν ἕτερόν τι παρ' ἐκεῖνον ὑπονοοῖτο, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὴν φύσιν εν ὢν ἔτερον τῷ ὑποκειμένῳ ἐστίν' οὕτως καὶ ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος τῷ μὲν ὑφεστάναι καθ' ἑαυτὸν το διήρηται πρὸς ἐκεῖνον, παρ' οὖ τὴν ὑπόστασιν ἔχει' τῷ δὲ ταῦτα δεικνύειν ἐν ἑαυτῷ, ἃ περὶ τὸν θεὸν καθορᾶται, ὁ αὐτός ἐστι κατὰ τὴν φύσιν ἐκείνφ τῷ διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν γνωρισμάτων εὐρισκομένῳ εἴτε γὰρ ἀγαθότης, εἴτε δύναμις, εἴτε σοφία, εἴτε τὸ ἀιδίως εἶναι, εἴτε τὸ κακίας καὶ θανάτου

I om o eh  $\parallel$  και το  $\mu\eta$ ] incipit g  $\parallel$  2 διαφθειρειν vulg  $\parallel$  3 εκ τ. ν. εφ ημων l vulg  $\parallel$  4 οντα] ειναι dl vulg  $\parallel$  5–6 το  $\mu$ εν...το δε efn euth 45  $\parallel$  7 om τι l vulg  $\parallel$  εκεινο l vulg  $\parallel$  8 ων] ον l vulg  $\parallel$  13 αγαθοτητα—δυναμιν—σοφιαν euth  $\parallel$  14 κακιας κ. φ. αν. και θανατου g

1.  $\kappa \alpha l \ \tau \delta \ \mu \eta \ \delta \iota \alpha \phi$ .] Though distinct from the Father, the Word possesses the same nature. This is illustrated by the relation of the human word to the mind of him who utters it.

6.  $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \hat{\epsilon}$  'but owing to the fact that it wanifests the mind itself'

fact that it manifests the mind itself." 8. τῷ ὑποκειμένω] With Gr. τὸ ὑποκείμενον practically always is used in a sense approaching to οὐσία. See c. Eunom. i, p. 520 (Migne) ένὶ τῷ ὑποκειμένῳ τρεῖς ἐφαρμόζοντες προσηγορίας. Cp. c. 3 of this treatise διακέκριται τη ύποστάσει, καὶ οὐ διώρισται τῷ ὑποκειμένω (note). On account of Gr.'s strict use of terms, Rupp (Gregor von Nyssa, p. 168) thinks that the present passage contains a gloss, but there is no variation in the Mss, and the assumption is quite unnecessary, as τω υποκειμένω may have its common Aristotelic sense of 'subject.' The word

is one 'subject,' and the mind another. Cp. for this sense of  $\tau \delta$   $\dot{\nu} \pi o \kappa$ .  $\rho rol$ . p. 5  $\epsilon l$   $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$   $\tau \delta$   $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \Lambda \dot{\epsilon} \iota o \nu$  ...  $\delta o l \eta$   $\pi \epsilon \rho l$   $\tau \delta$   $\dot{\nu} \pi o \kappa \dot{\epsilon} l \mu \epsilon v \sigma$   $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \iota o \nu$  ...  $\delta o l \eta$   $\pi \epsilon \rho l$   $\tau \delta$   $\dot{\nu} \tau o \nu$   $\delta o l \eta$   $\delta$ 

13. εὐρισκομένω] 'τυho is known by' or 'who is discerned by the possession of' the same distinctive

characteristics.

i).  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\dot{\sigma}\eta s$ ] The construction is broken. The text of Euthymius (which reads  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\dot{\sigma}\eta\tau\alpha...\delta\dot{\nu}\gamma\alpha\mu\nu...$   $\sigma\sigma\dot{\phi}(a\nu$  against the unanimous verdict of the Mss of the Or. Cat.) represents an attempt to correct the grammar of the passage. Prob. Gr. intended to make the words the subject to a verb, but in completing the sentence he has given it a different turn. For a similar break of construction cp. c. 9.

καὶ φθορᾶς ἀνεπίδεκτον, εἴτε τὸ ἐν παντὶ τέλειον, εἴτε τι τοιούτον όλως σημείον τις ποιοίτο της τού πατρός καταλήψεως, διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν εύρήσει σημείων καὶ τὸν ἐξ ἐκείνου ύφεστώτα λόγον.

2. "Ωσπερ δὲ τὸν λόγον ἐκ τῶν καθ' ἡμᾶς ἀναγωγικῶς 5 έπὶ τῆς ὑπερκειμένης ἔγνωμεν φύσεως, κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον καὶ τῆ περὶ τοῦ πνεύματος ἐννοία προσαχθησόμεθα, σκιάς τινας καὶ μιμήματα τῆς ἀφράστου δυνάμεως ἐν τῆ καθ' ήμας θεωρούντες φύσει. άλλ' έφ' ήμων μεν το πνεύμα ή τοῦ ἀέρος ἐστὶν ὁλκή, ἀλλοτρίου πράγματος πρὸς τὴν τοῦ 10 σώματος σύστασιν άναγκαίως είσελκομένου τε καὶ προχεομένου, ὅπερ ἐν τῷ καιρῷ τῆς ἐκφωνήσεως τοῦ λόγου

2 om  $\tau$ is l vulg 3 ευρησεις vulg 5 αναλογικώς fl vulg 6 επί  $v\pi\epsilon\rho$  h

1.  $\epsilon \ddot{\iota} \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \tau \sigma \iota \sigma \dot{\sigma} \tau \sigma \nu$  ' $\tau \sigma hatsoever$ of this kind is made an indication of the way of apprehending the Father.'

3.  $\delta i \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] The unity of nature gives to the Word the same characteristic qualities as the Father possesses.

ih. έξ εκείνου ὑφεστῶτα] 'that

subsists from Him.'

2. Human nature supplies also an analogy to the existence of the Spirit in the Godhead. In man breath  $(\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \alpha)$  accompanies the utterance of the human word  $(\lambda \acute{o} \gamma os)$ . So in God the Spirit cannot be separated from the Word. But while the human breath is something foreign to man's nature, the Spirit of God is one with God in being, and at the same time, like the Word, is selfsubsistent, possessing will, activity, and power.

5. ἀναγωγικῶs] The alternative reading ἀναλογικῶs is a correction of the text. 'Αναγωγή or ἀναγωγή μυστική is a phrase which constantly occurs in Origen. See Philocalia (ed. Rob.), i 22, xxvi 4, 8. It is used by him to denote the process by which the reader of Scripture 'ascends' from the literal and moral meaning of Scripture to its spiritual significance. Here the phrase is used of 'ascending' from the experience of human nature (τὰ καθ' ἡμᾶs) to the nature of God. 'Just as, by an ascent from the facts of our own nature, we recognized in the transcendent nature the existence of the Word?

9.  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda' \dot{\epsilon}\phi' \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ ] This passage is adapted in the form of a paraphrase by Ps.-Cyr. Al. de Trin. c. 6 and Io. Damasc. F. O. i 7. In the case of our bodies the breath which we inhale and breathe out is something foreign to our nature. The Divine  $\Pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$ , however, is one

with God.

ὁλκή] 'drawing.'

ib. άλλοτρίου πράγματος] i.e. τοῦ ἀέρος, which is foreign to the nature of the body. Πρός is governed by άλλοτρίου. Cp. c. 5 άλλοτριωθείσα τῷ ἀνομοίῳ πρὸς τὸ ἀρχέτυπον (note).

becomes  $\phi\omega\nu\dot{\eta}$ , 'a voice' or 'utterance,' revealing the 'force' or

'meaning' of the word.

φωνή γίνεται, την τοῦ λόγου δύναμιν ἐν ἑαυτή φανεροῦσα. έπὶ δὲ τῆς θείας φύσεως τὸ μὲν εἶναι πνεῦμα θεοῦ εὐσεβὲς ένομίσθη, καθώς έδόθη καὶ λόγον είναι θεοῦ διὰ τὸ μὴ δείν έλλιπέστερον τοῦ ἡμετέρου λόγου τὸν τοῦ θεοῦ εἶναι λόγον, ς είπερ τούτου μετά πνεύματος θεωρουμένου έκείνος δίχα πνεύματος είναι πιστεύοιτο. οὐ μὴν ἀλλότριόν τι καθ' όμοιότητα του ήμετέρου πνεύματος έξωθεν επιρρείν τώ θεώ καὶ ἐν αὐτώ γίνεσθαι τὸ πνεῦμα θεοπρεπές ἐστιν οἴεσθαι άλλ' ώς θεοῦ λόγον ἀκούσαντες οὐκ ἀνυπόστατόν 10 τι πράγμα τον λόγον ωήθημεν, οὐδε έκ μαθήσεως έγγινόμενον, οὔτε διὰ φωνής προφαινόμενον, οὔτε μετὰ τὸ προενεχθήναι διαλυόμενον, οὐδὲ ἄλλο τι πάσχοντα τοιούτον, οία περί τὸν ἡμέτερον λόγον θεωρείται πάθη, ἀλλ' οὐσιωδώς ὑφεστώτα, προαιρετικόν τε καὶ ἐνεργὸν καὶ 15 παντοδύναμον· ούτως καὶ πνεῦμα μεμαθηκότες θεοῦ, τὸ συμπαρομαρτούν τῷ λόγω καὶ φανερούν αὐτού τὴν ἐνέργειαν, οὐ πνοὴν ἄσθματος ἐννοοῦμεν· ἢ γὰρ ἂν καθαιροῖτο πρὸς ταπεινότητα τὸ μεγαλείον τῆς θείας δυνάμεως, εἰ

3 λογος vulg | μη δειν] μηδεν f | 5 του πν. l vulg | 6 om ειναι e I om τι vulg | 7 επεισρείν fl vulg | 8 om το euth | 11 ουδε...ουδε fl vulg | 11 προφερομένον fg1l euth 245 | 15 το πν. f Ps-Cyr Al

5. είπερ τούτου] τούτου refers to the human word, the expression of which is always seen to be accompanied with breath (μετὰ πνεύματος θεωρουμένου). The Divine Word (ekelvos), which certainly does not fall short of its human counterpart, must similarly be accompanied by πνεθμα.

6.  $\dot{a}$ λλότριόν τι] We are not to suppose that in the case of the Divine  $\pi \nu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{v} \mu a$  something foreign has an influx from without  $(\xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \rho$  $\rho \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ ) into God, and that this becomes in Him the Spirit.

14.  $o\dot{v}\sigma\iota\omega\delta\hat{\omega}s$   $\dot{v}\phi\epsilon\sigma\tau\hat{\omega}\tau\alpha$ ] The Divine Word has no mere transitory existence. It does not come to exist in the Godhead as the result of instruction. It is not a mere utterance of the voice, passing away after it has been uttered. It 'exists after the manner of real being' (oùσιωδώς ύφεστώτα). Cp. c. 4 οὐσιωδώς ύφεστώσας δυνάμεις. In both places the phrase  $o\dot{v}\sigma$ .  $\dot{v}\phi$ . denotes that the existence is real and not merely relative or contingent.

15. μεμαθηκότες] 'having learnt that there is a Breath or Spirit of God.' Τὸ συμπαρομαρτοῦν is an explanatory clause, 'which accom-

panies' &c.

17. πνοην ἄσθ.] 'we do not conceive of it as an emission of breath.' The sentence is resumed by alla below, the clause  $\hat{\eta}$   $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho ... \hat{\nu} \pi o \nu o o \hat{\iota} \tau o$  being parenthetical.

18. ταπεινότητα] Cf. antea c. 1 πρὸς τὸ τῆς φύσεως τῆς ἡμετέρας μέτρον συνταπεινούνται.

ib.  $\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\lambda\epsilon\hat{\imath}$ ov] Cp. c. 1, p. 8.

καθ' όμοιότητα τοῦ ήμετέρου καὶ τὸ ἐν αὐτῷ πνεῦμα ύπονοοῖτο· ἀλλὰ δύναμιν οὐσιώδη αὐτὴν ἐφ' ἑαυτῆς ἐν ίδιαζούση ύποστάσει θεωρουμένην, οὔτε χωρισθήναι τοῦ θεοῦ, ἐν ὧ ἔστιν, ἡ τοῦ λόγου τοῦ θεοῦ, ὧ παρομαρτεῖ, δυναμένην ούτε προς το ανύπαρκτον αναχεομένην, αλλά 5 καθ' όμοιότητα τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου καθ' ὑπόστασιν οὖσαν, προαιρετικήν, αὐτοκίνητον, ἐνεργόν, πάντοτε τὸ ἀγαθὸν αίρουμένην καὶ πρὸς πᾶσαν πρόθεσιν σύνδρομον ἔχουσαν τη Βουλήσει την δύναμιν.

3. "Ωστε τὸν ἀκριβῶς τὰ βάθη τοῦ μυστηρίου διασκο- 10 πούμενον εν μεν τῆ ψυχῆ κατὰ τὸ ἀπόρρητον μετρίαν τινα κατανόησιν της κατά την θεογνωσίαν διδασκαλίας λαμβάνειν, μη μέντοι δύνασθαι λόγφ διασαφείν την ανέκφραστον ταύτην τοῦ μυστηρίου βαθύτητα πῶς τὸ αὐτὸ καὶ ἀριθμητόν ἐστι καὶ διαφεύγει τὴν ἐξαρίθμησιν, 15

#### 3. 13 λαβειν fl vulg | διασαφησαι fgll vulg

2. δύναμιν οὐσιώδη] 'as a power really in being, to be regarded as existing in its own right in a subsistence of its own.' For ὑπόστασις in this sense cp. c. ι init. διάκρισιν ύποστάσεων.

5. ἀναχεομένην] 'dissolving into non-existence.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 13 λόγον ... χεόμενον ; ὀκνῶ γάρ εἰπεῖν λυόμενον. 'Ανύπ. Cp. c. 1. p. 8 μεταχωρών είς ανύπαρκτον.

6. καθ' υπόστασιν] 'after the manner of an individual subsistence, almost = 'as a person.'

3. This doctrine of God is full of mystery. Yet it is the mean between the opposing doctrines of Judaism and Hellenism. With Judaism it preserves the unity of the Divine nature. With Hellenism it teaches the distinction of Persons. At the same time it is a safeguard against the errors of both.

11.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau$ .  $\psi$ .] The corresponding clause is μη μέντοι. It is possible to have an inner, secret

(κατὰ τὸ ἀπόρρητον) apprehension of the nature of Deity in the mind  $(\psi v \chi \dot{\eta})$ , without being able to express it in words.

ib.  $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta}$  used here as comm. in Plato for the organ of the νοῦς. Cp. Plat. Tim. 30 Β νοῦν δ' αῦ χωρὶς ψυχης άδύνατον παραγενέσθαι τω.

ib. μετρίαν τινά] 'a moderate degree of apprehension.' Gr. is conscious that the doctrine of the Trinity is a mystery. He does not claim that his argument is in any sense a 'proof' of it. His analysis of man's nature is only an illustration.

15.  $d\rho \iota \theta \mu \eta \tau \delta \nu$ ] 'admits of being numbered.' Cp. Just. Mart. Dial. 128, where the Son is spoken of as  $d\rho \iota \theta \mu \hat{\varphi}$  ἔτερόν τι, and *ibid*. 52, where he says that the God Who appeared to Moses έτερός έστι τοῦ τὰ πάντα ποιήσαντος θεοῦ, ἀριθμῷ λέγω ἀλλ' οὐ γνώμη. Such expressions are intended to mark the individual existence of the Persons in the Godhead.

καὶ διηρημένως όρᾶται καὶ ἐν μονάδι καταλαμβάνεται, καὶ διακέκριται τῷ ὑποστάσει καὶ οὐ διώρισται τῷ ὑποκειμένῳ. ἄλλο γάρ τι τῷ ὑποστάσει τὸ πνεῦμα, καὶ ἔτερον ὁ λόγος, καὶ ἄλλο πάλιν ἐκεῖνο, οὖ καὶ ὁ λόγος 5 ἐστὶ καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα ἀλλὶ ἐπειδὰν τὸ διακεκριμένον ἐν τούτοις κατανοήσης, πάλιν ἡ τῆς φύσεως ἐνότης τὸν διαμερισμὸν οὐ προσίεται, ὡς μήτε τὸ τῆς μοναρχίας σχίζεσθαι κράτος εἰς θεότητας διαφόρους κατατεμνόμενον, μήτε τῷ Ἰουδαικῷ δόγματι συμβαίνειν τὸν λόγον, ἀλλὰ 10 διὰ μέσου τῶν δύο ὑπολήψεων χωρεῖν τὴν ἀλήθειαν,

2 diwr.] μεμερισται fg<sup>1</sup>l vulg  $\parallel$  τω υποκειμενω] τη φυσει euth 16 τη ουσια euth 24  $\parallel$  3-4 allo γαρ...ετερον ο logos] desunt in  $1^*$  vulg  $\parallel$  7 ωs] ωστε 1 vulg  $\parallel$  10 μεσον vulg

1. διηρημένως] 'is perceived in a way that involves division.'

2. διακέκριται] 'it is distinct as regards person, and not divided as regards subject-matter.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxxi 14 (Mason, p. 163) ἀμέριστος ἐν μεμερισμένοις, εἰ δεῖ

συντόμως είπεῖν, ή θεότης.

ib. τω ύποκειμένω] Τὸ ύποκ. is used in Aristotle to denote 'subject' or 'subject-matter.' For instances of such use in the Or. Cat. cp. prol., c. 1 sub fin., and c. 5. The Stoic writers used the word to denote the 'substratum' of things, the real existence lying behind that which was perceived by the senses. Cp. Sextus A. M. 7, 346 sq. αἰσθήσεσι μὲν οὖν μόναις λαβεῖν τάληθὲς οὐ δύναται...συνέσεώς τε δεί και μνήμης πρὸς ἀντίληψιν τῶν ὑποκειμένων, οἷον ἀνθρώπου, φυτοῦ, τῶν ἐοικό- $\tau\omega\nu$ . Thus it comes to be a synonym for οὐσία. The glosses  $\tau \hat{\eta}$ οὐσία and  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  φύσει found in some MSS of Euthymius, where this passage is quoted, are attempts to interpret τὸ ὑποκείμενον.

7. οὐ προσίεται] 'does not admit of division,' in the sense of the polytheists, who are here in view, although the Arian opinion led to

the same result.

ib. τὸ τῆς μον.] 'the might of the Divine sovereignty is not split up by being divided into different kinds of Godhead.' Gr. has to be on his guard against the charge of tritheism, which was actually brought against his teaching. His two works, Quod non sint tres Dii and the de Communibus Notionibus, are intended to guard against misconceptions of the Tripersonality of the Godhead. On the μοναρχία see Ath. c. Ar. iv Ι ώστε δύο μέν είναι Πατέρα καὶ Υίόν, μονάδα δὲ θεότητος άδιαίρετον καὶ ἄσχιστον. λεχθείη δ' αν καὶ ούτω μία ἀρχὴ θεότητος, καὶ οὐ δύο ἀρχαί· ὅθεν κυρίως καὶ μον-αρχία ἐστίν. Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxix 2, where the μοναρχία is defined ας οὐχ ἡν ἕν περιγράφει πρόσωπον... άλλ' ήν φύσεως ομοτιμία συνίστησι, καί γνώμης σύμπνοια, καὶ ταὐτότης κινήσεως, καὶ πρὸς τὸ ἐν τῶν ἐξ αὐτοῦ σύννευσις.

9. συμβαίνειν] 'agree with.' For this use of the word see c. 17 τὴν λύσιν τοῖς προλαβοῦσι συμβαίνουσαν.

Cp. c. 27.

10. διὰ μέσου] Acc. to Gr. the Christian doctrine of God mediates between opposite errors. Its strength

έκατέραν τε τῶν αἰρέσεων καθαιροῦσαν καὶ ἀφ' ἐκατέρας παραδεχομένην τὸ χρήσιμον. τοῦ μὲν γὰρ Ἰουδαίου καθαιρεῖται τὸ δόγμα τῆ τε τοῦ λόγου παραδοχῆ καὶ τῆ πίστει τοῦ πνεύματος τῶν δὲ ἐλληνιζόντων ἡ πολύθεος ἐξαφανίζεται πλάνη, τῆς κατὰ φύσιν ἐνότητος 5 παραγραφομένης τὴν πληθυντικὴν φαντασίαν. πάλιν δὲ αὖ ἐκ μὲν τῆς Ἰουδαικῆς ὑπολήψεως ἡ τῆς φύσεως ἐνότης παραμενέτω ἐκ δὲ τοῦ Ἑλληνισμοῦ ἡ κατὰ τὰς ὑποστάσεις διάκρισις μόνη, θεραπευθείσης ἐκατέρωθεν καταλλήλως τῆς ἀσεβοῦς ὑπονοίας ἔστι γὰρ ώσπερ θεραπεία 10 τῶν μὲν περὶ τὸ ἐν πλανωμένων ὁ ἀριθμὸς τῆς τριάδος, τῶν δὲ εἰς πλῆθος ἐσκεδασμένων ὁ τῆς ἐνότητος λόγος.

4. Εἰ δὲ ἀντιλέγοι τούτοις ὁ Ἰουδαῖος, οὐκέτ' ἂν ἡμῖν

6 σημασιαν d || 10 ωσπερ] + τις l vulg || 12 λογος] desinit euth **4.** 13 αντιλεγει vulg

lies in presenting truth in its proper proportion, and in setting forth both sides of the antithesis exhibited by the Tripersonality and Unity of God. Cp. Bern. Tract. de Errore Abael. 3, 7 Novit pietas fidei... medium iter tenens, regia incedere via.

1.  $\alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ ] Alp. is here used in its earlier and non-ecclesiastical sense = 'a school of thought,' 'a sect of philosophy.'

sect of philosophy.'
3. καθαιρεῖται] 'is overthrown.'
This passage is reproduced by Io.
Damase. de Fid. Orth. i 7.

6. παραγραφομένηs] Παραγράφειν = 'to draw a line across,' 'cancel,' 'annul.'

ib.  $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ .  $\phi a \nu \tau$ .] 'fancy of a plurality.' Φαντασία, a term found both in Plato and Aristotle, is the process by which objects are presented to the mind, so that it may receive impressions from them. Then it is used of impressions received in this way. It is a favourite word with Stoic writers, who distinguish  $\phi a \nu \tau a \sigma t a$ , which may be fallible and have no real object behind it, from

καταληπτική φαντασία, which is infallible and the equivalent of κατά-ληψις. In the present passage the word suggests an unreal imagination.

 καταλλήλωs] 'an appropriate remedy being found for the wrong opinion entertained on either side.' For καταλλήλωs cp. c. 1 κατάλληλον ...τή φύσει τον λόγον.

12.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\epsilon\delta$ .] 'the doctrine of the unity is a remedy for those whose allegiance has been divided amongst a plurality,' lit. 'who have been scattered amongst a plurality.' The word  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\epsilon\delta$ . as compared with the preceding  $\pi\lambda\alpha\nu\omega\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega\nu$  may suggest the thought that polytheists had not merely 'wandered' from the truth, but had become 'scattered' by the very nature of their creed. There is possibly also the thought of a distraction of mind. Cp. prol. p. 5  $\tau\delta$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\kappa\epsilon\delta\alpha\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu$   $\tau\hat{\eta}s$   $\delta\alpha\nu\nu\dot{\alpha}as$   $\dot{\epsilon}is$   $\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}-\theta os$   $\theta\epsilon\omega\nu$ .

4. The Jew may be further convinced by an appeal to Scripture. To take one passage out of many, the words 'By the Word of the Lord

έκ τοῦ ἴσου δύσκολος ὁ πρὸς ἐκεῖνον γενήσεται λόγος. ἐκ γὰρ τῶν συντρόφων αὐτῷ διδαγμάτων ἡ τῆς ἀληθείας ἔσται φανέρωσις. τὸ γὰρ εἶναι λόγον θεοῦ καὶ πνεῦμα θεοῦ, οὐσιωδῶς ὑφεστώσας δυνάμεις, ποιητικάς τε τῶν 5 γεγενημένων καὶ περιεκτικὰς τῶν ὄντων, ἐκ τῶν θεοπνεύστων γραφῶν ἐναργέστερον δείκνυται. ἀρκεῖ δὲ μιᾶς μαρτυρίας ἐπιμνησθέντας τοῖς φιλοτιμοτέροις καταλιπεῖν τῶν πλειόνων τὴν εὕρεσιν. Τῷ λόγῷ τοῦ κυρίου, φησίν, οἱ οὐρανοὶ ἐστερεώθησαν καὶ τῷ πνεύματι τοῦ στόματος το αὐτοῦ πᾶσα ἡ δύναμις αὐτῶν. ποίῷ λόγῷ καὶ ποίῷ πνεύματι; οὕτε γὰρ ῥῆμα ὁ λόγος, οὕτε ἄσθμα τὸ πνεῦμα. ἢ γὰρ ἂν καθ' ὁμοιότητα τῆς ἡμετέρας φύσεως καὶ τὸ θεῖον ἐξανθρωπίζοιτο, εἰ τοιούτῷ κεχρῆσθαι λόγῷ καὶ τοιούτῷ πνεύματι τὸν τοῦ παντὸς ποιητὴν δογματίζοιεν.

Ι ισου] ιδιου vulg  $\parallel$  7 φιλοπονωτέροις fg\* vulg  $\parallel$  8 ευρέσιν  $\mid$  εφέσιν f  $\parallel$  13 ει] και vulg

were the heavens established, and all the power of them by the breath of His mouth' point to the existence of the Word and the Spirit as personal, self-subsistent powers.

γενήσεται] For this use of ἄν with Fut. Indic. see Goodwin Greek

Moods and Tenses, § 37.

4. οὐσιωδῶς ὑφ.] Cp. antea c. 2 [λόγον] οὐσιωδῶς ὑφεστῶτα with note.

5. περιεκτικὰς τῶν ὄντων] 'containing all things which exist.' The single quotation which Gr. gives only illustrates the existence of λόγος and πνεῦμα as ποιητικαὶ δινάμεις. But he is thinking of other passages of the O.T. which speak of the Divine Spirit as upholding and containing all things. Cp. Ps. civ (ciii) 29, 30, cxxxix (cxxxviii) 7, Job xxxiii 4, and esp. Wisd. i 7.

7. φιλοτιμοτέροιs] i.e. more ambitious for complete investigation. The Paris edd. read φιλοπονωτέροις which is a correction of the text.

8. Τφ λόγφ] from Ps. xxxiii (xxxii)
6. In the original passage there

is no reason to suppose that a reference to a personal Word is intended, though the passage may have influenced the Logos doctrine. The word for 'breath' is identical with that for 'spirit' in Gen. i 2, but the parallelism suggests that the 'breath of His mouth' is synonymous with 'word.' Gr. interprets the passage acc. to the methods of his time.

10. δύναμις] in the original passage means 'host' and refers to sun,

moon, and stars.

11. ῥημα] The Word is not mere 'utterance,' neither is the Spirit mere 'breath.' These ideas he has refuted in cc. 2 and 3.

13. ἐξανθρωπίζοιτο] further defined by καθ' όμ. τ. ἡ. φύσεως. Cp. infra τὸ θεῖον κατάγοντες. Translate 'would be lowered to the level of man.'

14.  $\delta o \gamma \mu \alpha r i \zeta o \epsilon v$ ] used freq. by Gr. with reference to the doctrines held by heretics. Cp. c. 9 (sub fin.), c. 15.

τίς δὲ καὶ δύναμις ἀπὸ ρημάτων καὶ ἄσθματος τηλικαύτη, ώς έξαρκείν πρὸς οὐρανῶν σύστασιν καὶ τῶν ἐν τούτοις δυνάμεων; εἰ γὰρ ὅμοιος τῷ ἡμετέρῳ ῥήματι καὶ ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα τῶ πνεύματι, ὁμοία πάντως ἐκ των ομοίων ή δύναμις καὶ όσην ο ήμέτερος, τοσαύτην καὶ ς ό τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος τὴν ἰσχὺν ἔχει. ἀλλὰ μὴν ἀνενέργητά τε καὶ ἀνυπόστατα τὰ παρ' ἡμῖν ἡήματα καὶ τὸ τοῖς ρήμασι συνδιεξερχόμενον πνεθμα. ἄπρακτα πάντως καὶ άνυπόστατα κάκεινα κατασκευάσουσιν οι προς την όμοιότητα τοῦ παρ' ἡμῖν λόγου τὸ θεῖον κατάγοντες. εἰ δέ, 10 καθώς λέγει Δαβίδ, ἐστερεώθησαν τῷ λόγφ τοῦ κυρίου οί οὐρανοὶ καὶ αἱ δυνάμεις αὐτῶν ἐν τῶ πνεύματι τοῦ θεοῦ τὴν σύστασιν ἔσχον, ἆρα συνέστηκε τὸ τῆς ἀληθείας μυστήριον, λόγον ἐν οὐσία καὶ πνεῦμα ἐν ὑποστάσει λέγειν ύφηγούμενον.

5. 'Αλλὰ τὸ μὲν εἶναι λόγον θεοῦ καὶ πνεῦμα διά τε

1  $\delta \epsilon$  kai]  $\delta \alpha i$  kai dp  $\delta$  oix $\epsilon \tau \alpha i$  vulg | 2 oupavou deghlnp | 7 om  $\pi \alpha \rho$   $\eta \mu i \nu$ ρηματα και το [\* vulg | 8 συνδιεξερχομενα πνευματα vulg | παντως]  $\pi$ αντα  $f \parallel g$  κατασκευαζουσιν eg<sup>1</sup>l vulg  $\parallel$  11 ο  $\Delta$ . e  $\parallel$  om του ep  $\parallel$  12  $\pi$ νευματι $\parallel$  $\lambda$ ογω  $g^* \parallel 13$  εχουσιν  $e \parallel 14$  πνευμα $\pi$  πνευματι e = 5. 16  $\lambda$ ογονom p = 1

1.  $\tau$  is  $\delta \epsilon$  Mere 'utterances' and 'breath' would not suffice for the framing (σύστασιν) of heaven and

the powers therein.

6. ἀλλὰ μήν] In our case the utterances and the breath which accompanies them are 'inoperative' and 'unsubstantial.' Cp. antea, c. 1, of the power of the Word, δυναμένην δὲ μὴ ἀνενέργητον είναι.

9. κάκεινα] i.e. the Divine λόγος and πνεθμα:- ' prove that the Divine Word and Spirit are absolutely inactive and unsubstantial.' For Kaτασκευάζειν cp. c. 1 κατασκευάσει (note) and κατασκευαι̂s (prol.).

13. συνέστηκε] 'is established.'
14. ὑποστάσει] used here as a synonym for οὐσία. Cp. the anathema of the Nicene Creed έξ έτέρας

ύποστάσεως η ούσίας. 'Teaching us to speak of a Word in actual being and a Spirit in subsistence.'

15. ὑφηγούμενον] Υφ. = 'to guide,' 'instruct.' Cp. Plat. Rep. 403 E ἡμειs δὲ όσον τοὺς τύπους ὑφηγησαίμεθα.

## CHAPS, V-VIII. THE CREATION OF MAN AND THE ORIGIN OF EVIL.

5. Our next step is to vindicate the Incarnation against the objections of Greeks and Jews. Man, like the

rest of Creation, owes his existence to the activity of the self-subsistent Word of God. The motive of man's creaτῶν κοινῶν ἐννοιῶν ὁ Ἑλλην καὶ διὰ τῶν γραφικῶν ὁ Ἰουδαῖος ἴσως οὐκ ἀντιλέξει· τὴν δὲ κατὰ ἄνθρωπον οἰκονομίαν τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου κατὰ τὸ ἴσον ἐκάτερος αὐτῶν ἀποδοκιμάσει ὡς ἀπίθανόν τε καὶ ἀπρεπῆ περὶ θεοῦ λέγεσθαι. οὐκοῦν ἐξ ἐτέρας ἀρχῆς καὶ εἰς τὴν περὶ τούτου πίστιν τοὺς ἀντιλέγοντας προσαξόμεθα. λόγφ τὰ πάντα γεγενῆσθαι καὶ σοφία παρὰ τοῦ τὸ πᾶν

Ι γραφικων] γραφων  $h^* \parallel 2$  τον ανθρωπον fl vulg  $\parallel 4$  απρεπες eg  $\parallel 5$  ετερας] εκατερας f  $\parallel 6$  προσαξωμεθα degnp

tion was not any necessity, but the superabundance of love, and the desire to impart the perfections of the Divine Being. Man's nature accordingly was fashioned in a way that fitted him to participate in those perfections. Thus man was equipped with various gifts and amongst them with immortality. The Scriptural expression 'the image of God' and the account of Creation indicate these truths, and show that man's nature was originally good and surrounded with good. To the objection that man's actual state is the reverse of this we reply that man's present evil condition is due to another cause than the will of God. Being in 'the image of God' involved the possession of free-will and self-determination, so that the participation in the Divine blessings should be the reward of virtue. Whence then did evil spring? Not from the Divine will, but from man's free choice and his withdrawal from good. For evil has no substantive existence, but is the absence of good.

1. κοινῶν ἐννοιῶν] 'general ideas.' The expression κοιναὶ ἔννοιαι occurs freq. in Origen in the sense of moral or religious notions which are common to mankind. Cp. Philocal. is 2 (p. 56 ed. Rob.) ὁ γὰρ γραπτὸς ἐν ταῖς καρδίαις νόμος καὶ ἐν ἐθνικοῖς φύσει τὰ τοῦ νόμου ποιοῦσιν οὐκ ἄλλος ἐστὶ τοῦ κατὰ τὰς κοινὰς ἐννοίας φύσει ἐγγεγραμμένου τῷ ἡγεμονικῷ ἡμῶν.

In the present passage Gr. is referring to the illustrations, derived from the facts of human nature, given in cc. 1—3. On the points of contact between Greek thought and Christian theology see Introd. pp. xi, xvii.

2. την δ. κ. ά. οἰκονομίαν] Οἰκ. is used commonly in patristic writers of the plan or 'dispensation' of God in the Incarnation. Hence Theodoret Dial. ii p. 129 (Migne) says την ένανθρώπησιν του Θεού Λόγου καλοῦμεν οἰκονομίαν. The source of the phrase is Eph. i 10. See Lightfoot Notes on Epp. p. 319, for a valuable note on the word. The fuller expression ή κατὰ ἄνθρωπον οίκονομία occurs also twice in c. 20 and in Ep. ad Eustathiam, p. 1020 (Migne). The expression κατὰ ἄν- $\theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$  refers to the form which the οlκονομία took. It was a dispensation  $\kappa \alpha \tau$   $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$  'after a human manner' i.e. in the form of an Incarnation. Other expressions to denote the Incarnation found in patristic writers are ή κατά σάρκα οἰκονομία (Greg. Nyss.), ἡ ἔνσαρκος οἰκονομία (Chrys., Ath., Thdrt.), ἡ ἀνθρωπίνη οἰκονομία (Chrys.). The gen, in τοῦ Θεοῦ Λόγου is subjective, and the whole expression is equivalent to 'the Incarnation of God the Word.'

5.  $\dot{a}\rho\chi\hat{\eta}s$ ] i.e. a starting point or basis of proof. Cp. prol.  $\dot{a}\rho\chi\acute{a}s$  τινας καὶ προτάσεις.

6.  $\lambda \delta \gamma \omega$ ] here = 'reason.'

συστησαμένου πιστεύουσιν, ἢ καὶ πρὸς ταύτην δυσπειθως έχουσι την υπόληψιν. άλλ' εί μη δοίεν λόγον καθηγείσθαι καὶ σοφίαν τῆς τῶν ὄντων συστάσεως. άλογίαν τε καὶ ἀτεχνίαν τῆ ἀρχῆ τοῦ παντὸς ἐπιστήσουσιν. εὶ δὲ τοῦτο ἄτοπόν τε καὶ ἀσεβές, ὁμολογεῖται ς πάντως ὅτι λόγον τε καὶ σοφίαν ἡγεμονεύειν τῶν ὄντων όμολογήσουσιν. άλλὰ μὴν ἐν τοῖς φθάσασιν ἀποδέδεικται μη αὐτὸ τοῦτο ρημα ὢν ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος, ἢ έξις ἐπιστήμης τινὸς η σοφίας, άλλα κατ' οὐσίαν τις ύφεστωσα δύναμις, προαιρετική τε παντὸς ἀγαθοῦ καὶ ἐν ἰσχύι πᾶν τὸ κατὰ 10 προαίρεσιν έχουσα · άγαθοῦ δὲ ὄντος τοῦ κόσμου τὴν τῶν άγαθων προεκτικήν τε καὶ ποιητικήν δύναμιν αἰτίαν εἶναι. εὶ δὲ τοῦ κόσμου παντὸς ἡ ὑπόστασις τῆς τοῦ λόγου δυνάμεως εξήπται, καθώς ή ἀκολουθία παρέδειξεν, ἀνάγκη πᾶσα καὶ τῶν τοῦ κόσμου μερῶν μὴ ἄλλην ἐπινοεῖν αἰτίαν 15 τινὰ τῆς συστάσεως, ἀλλ' ἢ τὸν λόγον αὐτόν, δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα την είς το γενέσθαι πάροδον έσχε. τοῦτον δὲ εἴτε λόγον, εἴτε σοφίαν, εἴτε δύναμιν, εἴτε θεόν, εἴτε ἄλλο τι τῶν ὑψηλῶν τε καὶ τιμίων ὀνομάζειν τις ἐθέλοι, οὐ διοισόμεθα. ὅ τι γὰρ ἂν εύρεθη δεικτικὸν τοῦ ὑπο- 20

4 του  $\pi$ . τ. αρχη f  $\parallel$  8 εξ επιστημης efl vulg  $\parallel$  12 προεκτικην $\mid$  ορεκτικην fl ορεκτην vulg  $\parallel$  15 τινα αιτιαν g  $\parallel$  17 τουτο vulg

4. ἐπιστήσουσιν] 'they will set up unreason and unskilfulness to rule over the beginning of the Universe.'

φθάσασιν] i.e. in c. 1. Αὐτὸ
 ρ. i.e. 'just this—an utterance,'

and nothing more.

8.  $\xi\xi\iota s$ ] 'possession.' Cp. c. 6, where blindness is called  $\pi\rho o\lambda \alpha \beta o\nu \sigma \eta s \xi\xi\epsilon \omega s \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ . The exact phrase  $\xi\xi\iota s \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta s$  in the sense of 'having possession of knowledge' occurs in Plat. Theaet. 197 A (cp. Arist. Eth. vii 3. 7) where  $\xi\xi\iota s$  is contrasted with  $\kappa\tau \dot{\eta} \sigma\iota s$ .

11. ἀγαθοῦ δέ] 'and it has been shown that, since the world is good, its cause is the power which offers and creates all good things.' The MSS are divided between δρεκτικήν

and προεκτικήν. The former is obviously a correction. Hesychius (i 376) and Suidas (ed. Gaisford, p. 3091) regard the form προεκτικόs as a corruption of προετικόs. But here it may quite well be derived from προέχειν or προέχεσθαι in the sense of 'hold forth,' 'offer.' Its sense would thus be similar to προετικήν. So it appears to have been understood by P. Morel, who in his Latin version has 'vim illam, quae bona largitur et efficit.'

14. ἐξῆπται] 'depends on.' Cp.
 c. 25 τοῦ γὰρ ὄντος ἐξῆπται τὰ ὄντα.

19. οὐ διοισόμεθα] in pass. sense, 'we shall not differ' or 'quarrel.'

20. ὅ τι γάρ κτλ.] i.e. whatever 'word' or 'name' we use to express.

κειμένου ρημα η ονομα, εν έστι το δια των φωνών σημαινόμενον, ή ἀίδιος τοῦ θεοῦ δύναμις, ή ποιητική τῶν ὄντων, ἡ εύρετικὴ τῶν μὴ ὄντων, ἡ συνεκτικὴ τῶν γεγονότων, ή προορατική των μελλόντων. οὖτος τοίνυν 5 ὁ θεὸς λόγος, ή σοφία, ή δύναμις, ἀπεδείχθη κατὰ τὸ ακόλουθον της ανθρωπίνης φύσεως ποιητής, ουκ ανάγκη τινὶ πρὸς τὴν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου κατασκευὴν ἐναγθείς, ἀλλ' άγάπης περιουσία τοῦ τοιούτου ζώου δημιουργήσας την γένεσιν. έδει γὰρ μήτε τὸ φῶς ἀθέατον, μήτε τὴν δόξαν 10 ἀμάρτυρον, μήτε ἀναπόλαυστον εἶναι τὴν ἀγαθότητα, μήτε τὰ ἄλλα πάντα, ὅσα περὶ τὴν θείαν καθορᾶται Φύσιν, άργὰ κεῖσθαι, μὴ ὄντος τοῦ μετέχοντός τε καὶ ἀπολαύοντος. εὶ τοίνυν ἐπὶ τούτοις ὁ ἄνθρωπος εἰς γένεσιν ἔρχεται, ἐφ' ῷ τε μέτοχος τῶν θείων ἀγαθῶν γενέσθαι, ἀναγκαίως 15 τοιούτος κατασκευάζεται, ώς ἐπιτηδείως πρὸς τὴν τῶν άγαθων μετουσίαν έχειν. καθάπερ γὰρ ὁ ὀφθαλμὸς διὰ

5 o logos 1 vulg  $\parallel$  7 emechers  $g^1 \parallel$  9 abeaton] asustaton d  $\parallel$  10 anatol.]+auton f1 vulg

the idea of God. For  $\tau \delta$   $\dot{\nu}\pi$ .=
'subject' cp.  $\rho rol$ .  $\epsilon l$   $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$   $\tau \delta$   $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota o \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\pi \alpha \nu \tau l$   $\delta o l \eta$   $\pi \epsilon \rho l$   $\tau \delta$   $\dot{\nu} \pi o \kappa \dot{\epsilon} l \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$   $\dot{\nu} \omega \partial \lambda o \gamma \dot{\epsilon} l \sigma d a \iota$ .

3. συνέκτική] fr. συνέχειν, 'to hold together,' 'maintain.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 6, with

Dr Mason's note.

5.  $\delta$   $\theta$ eòs  $\lambda\delta\gamma$ os] This is the correct reading. The variant  $\delta$   $\lambda\delta\gamma$ os arose from a desire to bring the passage into closer harmony with the preceding words  $\tau \circ \tilde{\nu}\tau \circ \tilde{\nu} \circ \tilde$ 

6. οὐκ ἀνάγκη] The world and man are not parts of some necessary evolution from the Divine Being, as in the Gnostic theories of emana-

tions.

8. ἀγάπης περιουσία] The love of God is with Gr. not only the cause of man's creation, but it also renders possible the self-humiliation of the Word in the Incarnation, in which there was exhibited συγκεκραμένη τῆ φιλαυθρωπία ἡ δύναμις (c. 24). Cp. also cc. 19, 20. The same standpoint is taken by Athanasius de Inc. cc. 4, 6.

13.  $\epsilon \pi i \tau o \nu \tau o \iota s$ ] 'for these ends,' 'for this purpose.' Cp.  $infra \epsilon \pi i$ 

τῆ...ἀπολαὐσεί.

15. ἐπιτηδείως...ἔχειν] In what follows Gr. shews that man is fitted to enjoy Divine blessings by his possession of reason, wisdom, and, above all, immortality. He illustrates this from the way in which the natural organs and the life of animals are adapted to their surroundings.

16. καθάπερ γάρ] 'For just as the eye by means of the bright beam which is planted by nature in it

της έγκειμένης αὐτῶ φυσικῶς αὐγης ἐν κοινωνία τοῦ φωτὸς γίνεται, διὰ τῆς ἐμφύτου δυνάμεως τὸ συγγενὲς έφελκόμενος, ούτως άναγκαῖον ἦν ἐγκραθῆναί τι τῆ ανθρωπίνη φύσει συγγενες προς το θείον, ως αν δια τοῦ καταλλήλου πρὸς τὸ οἰκεῖον τὴν ἔφεσιν ἔχοι. καὶ γὰρ 5 καὶ ἐν τῆ τῶν ἀλόγων φύσει, ὅσα τὸν ἔνυδρον καὶ ἐναέριον έλαγε βίον, καταλλήλως έκαστον τω της ζωής είδει κατεσκεύασται, ώς οἰκεῖον έκατέρου καὶ ὁμόφυλον διὰ τῆς ποιᾶς τοῦ σώματος διαπλάσεως τῶ μεν τὸν ἀέρα, τῶ δὲ τὸ ὕδωρ εἶναι. οὕτως οὖν καὶ τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἐπὶ τῆ τῶν 10 θείων άγαθων άπολαύσει γενόμενον έδει τι συγγενές έν τῆ φύσει πρὸς τὸ μετεχόμενον έχειν. διὰ τοῦτο καὶ ζωῆ καὶ λόγω καὶ σοφία καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς θεοπρεπέσιν ἀγαθοῖς κατεκοσμήθη, ως αν δι' εκάστου τούτων προς το οἰκείον την έπιθυμίαν έχοι. ἐπεὶ οὖν εν τῶν περὶ τὴν θείαν Φύσιν 15 άγαθων καὶ ή ἀιδιότης ἐστίν, ἔδει πάντως μηδὲ τούτου την κατασκευην είναι της φύσεως ημών απόκληρον, αλλ' έχειν εν εαυτή το άθάνατον, ως αν δια της εγκειμένης

6 και εν.] η τον αεριον  $fg^1 \parallel 7$  κατεσκευασθη fl vulg  $\parallel 8$  εκατερον  $f \parallel$  10 το  $v\delta\omega\rho$ ] om το  $n\parallel$  ουτω γουν f

comes to partake of the light, attracting by its innate capacity that which is akin to it.' Gr. conceives of the eye as possessing a light within itself, by which it is enabled to attract light from without. The idea is found in Plato Tim. 45 B—D, and is commented on by Aristotle de Sensu c. ii. Dr H. Jackson, to whom I am indebted for the above references, has also called my attention to a passage in a fragment of Theophrastus de Sensibus § 5 (see Diels Doxographi Graeci, p. 500) where Plato's view is set forth.

4. διὰ τ. κατ.] 'in order that by means of this corresponding gift it might have a desire for that which is akin to it.' For καταλλ. cp. c. I κατάλληλον...τῆ φύσει ὁ λόγος. The possession of the higher faculties leads man to seek after communion with God and the divine life.

6. ἀλόγων] In irrational animals we find the same adaptation to their intended environment. Each is constituted in a way that corresponds with ( $\kappa a \tau a \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega s$ ) its manner of life.

8. ωs οικείον] 'so that in consequence of the particular formation of the body, each finds its own proper and kindred element, the one in the air, the other in the vater.' For this use of the indefinite adj. ποιός cp. de Hom. Op. c. 27 αί ποιαι τῆς κράσεως παραλλαγαί.

ib. ὁμόφυλον] 'kindred' i.e. corresponding with its manner of life.

10.  $\epsilon \pi \tilde{\iota} \tau \tilde{\eta}$ ] Cp. supra  $\epsilon \pi \tilde{\iota} \tau \upsilon \tilde{\iota} \tau \upsilon$ 

18. τὸ ἀθάνατον] is that part of man which is immortal, while ἀιδιότης denotes the actual possession of immortality which belongs to God.

ib. ωs αν] Man's innate capacity

δυνάμεως γνωρίζοι τε τὸ ὑπερκείμενον καὶ ἐν ἐπιθυμία τῆς θείας ἀιδιότητος εἴη. ταῦτά τοι περιληπτικῆ φωνῆ δι' ἐνὸς ῥήματος ὁ τῆς κοσμογονίας ἐνεδείξατο λόγος, κατ' εἰκόνα θεοῦ τὸν ἄνθρωπον γεγενῆσθαι λέγων· ἐν γὰρ τῆ 5 ὁμοιώσει τῆ κατὰ τὴν εἰκόνα πάντων ἐστὶ τῶν τὸ θεῖον χαρακτηριζόντων ἡ ἀπαρίθμησις, καὶ ὅσα περὶ τούτων ἱστορικώτερον ὁ Μωσῆς διεξέρχεται, ἐν διηγήσεως εἴδει δόγματα ἡμῖν παρατιθέμενος, τῆς αὐτῆς ἔχεται διδασκαλίας. ὁ γὰρ παράδεισος ἐκεῖνος καὶ ἡ τῶν καρπῶν 10 ἰδιότης, ὧν ἡ βρῶσις οὐ γαστρὸς πλησμονήν, ἀλλὰ γνῶσιν καὶ ἀιδιότητα ζωῆς τοῖς γευσαμένοις δίδωσι, πάντα ταῦτα συνάδει τοῖς προτεθεωρημένοις περὶ τὸν ἄνθρωπον, ὡς

Ι γνωριζοιτο f vulg  $\parallel$  2 τοι  $\rfloor$  τη gl $^{1}$  vulg  $\parallel$  3 κοσμογενείας degl\*np  $\parallel$  4 γεγ. τ. ανθρ. f  $\parallel$  5 παντως vulg  $\parallel$  om των  $1^*$   $\parallel$  7-8 ιστορικ....παρατιθεμένος desunt in  $1^*$  vulg  $\parallel$  8 αντης  $\rfloor$  τοιαντης  $1^*$  vulg  $\parallel$  10 αιδιοτης ef  $^{1}$  h  $\parallel$  πλησμονην  $\rfloor$  ηδονην f  $\parallel$  11 ταυτα παντα d

(ἐγκειμένης δυνάμεως) for immortality was intended to enable him to recognize that which transcended his nature (τὸ ὑπερκείμενον), and lead him to desire the immortal life of God. For τὸ ὑπερκείμενον cp. prol. δύναμιν...τοῦ παντὸς ὑπερκειμένην.

2.  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\lambda\eta\pi\tau\iota\kappa\hat{\eta}$ ] 'comprehensive.' The phrase  $\kappa\alpha\tau$ '  $\epsilon\iota\kappa\delta\nu\alpha$  θεού (Gen. i 27) sums up all that Gr. has been

saying.

5. ομοιώσει...είκονα] 'in the likeness according to the image there is the enumeration of all that characterizes the Divine Being.' Gr. does not appear to observe the clear distinction between  $\epsilon i \kappa \omega \nu$ , the natural image of God in man, and ouolwois, the supernatural likeness resulting from grace, which is found in Origen. This distinction is, indeed, attributed to Gr. by Hilt (Des hl. Greg. v. Nyssa Lehre von Menschen, pp. 77 sq.), but the only passage which can be quoted in support of it is In verba Faciamus, p. 273 (Migne), where the writer says κατ' εἰκονα γὰρ ἔχω τὸ λογικὸς είναι, καθ' ὁμοίωσιν δὲ γίνομαι έν τῷ Χριστιανὸς γενέσθαι. But this work, though quoted as

Gr.'s by Harnack (*Hist. of Dogma*, Eng. Tr. iii 277, note 2), is of very doubtful authorship, and Bardenhewer (*Patrol.* p. 260 f.) thinks that it cannot be assigned either to Basil or to Gregory. In c. 21 *infra*, Gr. certainly uses ὁμοίωσις with reference to the natural endowments of man, and especially free-will, without any necessary reference to moral likeness.

6.  $d\pi\alpha\rho l\theta\mu$ .] almost = 'the sum total.'

8. δόγματα] 'setting before us doctrines in the form of narrative.' This is an instance of the application of ἀναγωγή to the narrative of the O.T. See note on ἀναγωγικῶs c. 2 init. For a similar treatment of the narratives in Genesis see Origen de Princ. iv 16 (Philocal., ed. Rob. p. 24).

ib. ἔχεται] ' belongs to the same

teaching.

9.  $\dot{\delta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \delta$ .] The story of Paradise is a representation of the truth that man's nature as created was good and surrounded by good  $(\dot{\epsilon}\nu \ \dot{\alpha}\gamma \alpha \theta o \hat{\epsilon}s)$ .

άγαθης τε καὶ ἐν ἀγαθοῖς οἴσης κατ' ἀρχὰς ἡμῖν τῆς φύσεως. άλλ' άντιλέγει τυχὸν τοῖς εἰρημένοις ὁ πρὸς τὰ παρόντα βλέπων καὶ οἴεται διελέγχειν τὸν λόγον οὐκ άληθεύουτα τῶ μὴ ἐν ἐκείνοις νῦν, ἀλλ' ἐν πᾶσι σχεδὸν τοίς ύπεναντίοις, όρασθαι τὸν ἄνθρωπον. ποῦ γὰρ τῆς ς ψυχής τὸ θεοειδές; ποῦ δὲ ἡ ἀπάθεια τοῦ σώματος; ποῦ της ζωής τὸ ἀίδιον; ἀκύμορον, ἐμπαθές, ἐπίκηρον, πρὸς πασαν παθημάτων ίδέαν κατά τε σώμα καὶ ψυχὴν ἐπιτήδειον, ταῦτα καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα λέγων καὶ κατατρέγων της φύσεως, ανατρέπειν τον αποδοθέντα περί του αν- 10 θρώπου λόγον οἰήσεται. ἀλλ' ώς ἂν μηδαμοῦ τῆς ακολουθίας ο λόγος παρατραπείη, καὶ περὶ τούτων έν ολίγοις διαληψόμεθα. το νῦν ἐν ἀτόποις εἶναι τὴν ανθρωπίνην ζωήν οὐχ ίκανός ἐστιν ἔλεγχος τοῦ μηδέποτε τον άνθρωπον εν αγαθοίς γεγενήσθαι επειδή γαρ θεού 15 έργον ὁ ἄνθρωπος, τοῦ δι' ἀγαθότητα τὸ ζώον τοῦτο παραγαγόντος είς γένεσιν, οὐκ ἄν τις εὐλόγως, οὖ ή αίτια της συστάσεως άγαθότης έστι, τοῦτον έν κακοῖς γεγενήσθαι παρά τοῦ πεποιηκότος καθυποπτεύσειεν άλλ' έτερον έστιν αἴτιον τοῦ ταῦτά τε νῦν περὶ ἡμᾶς εἶναι καὶ 20

2 αντιλέγοι dh  $\parallel 8$  ψυχην και σωμα  $\parallel$  vulg  $\parallel$  10 λογον  $\pi$ ,  $\tau$ , ανθρωπου deghnp  $\parallel$  11 ποιησεται  $\parallel$   $\parallel$  του ακολουθου vulg  $\parallel$  13 το νυν $\parallel$  exstant seqq in eath 12456  $\parallel$  ατοποις $\parallel$  κακοις eath 16  $\parallel$  18–19 τουτον... $\pi$ εποιηκοτος desunt in  $\parallel$  vulg  $\parallel$  20 αιτ. εστιν ετ.  $\parallel$ 

6.  $d\pi d\theta \epsilon a$ ] i.e. freedom from 'passions' rather than from 'suffer-

ing.'

7. ωκύμορον] 'Man is a fleeting being, subject to passions, a prey to death, exposed to every form of suffering in body and soul.' The adjs. are neuter, and the subject has to be supplied from the preceding τὸν ἄν-θρωπον. For ὡκύμορον cp. c. I ὡκύμορος ἡ ζωή.

9. κατατρέχων] 'inveighing against.' Cp. c. 15 κατατρέχειν τ $\hat{\eta}$ s

πίστεως.

13. τὸ νῦν κτλ.] The remainder of this chap, is quoted by Euthym. Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt i, tit. 6.

17. ουκ αν τις] 'one could not with any good reason suspect that he, whose constitution has its source in goodness, was created by his Maker in a state of evil.'

19.  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$ ] The fact that

τών προτιμοτέρων έρημωθηναι. άρχη δε πάλιν και προς τοῦτον ήμεν τὸν λόγον οὐκ έξω τῆς τῶν ἀντιλεγόντων ἐστὶ συγκαταθέσεως. ό γὰρ ἐπὶ μετουσία τῶν ἰδίων ἀγαθῶν ποιήσας του άνθρωπον καὶ πάντων αὐτῶ τῶν καλῶν τὰς ς άφορμας εγκατασκευάσας τη φύσει, ώς αν δι' εκάστου καταλλήλως πρός τὸ ὅμοιον ἡ ὅρεξις Φέροιτο, οὐκ αν τοῦ καλλίστου τε καὶ τιμιωτάτου τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀπεστέρησε, λέγω δή της κατά το άδεσποτον καὶ αὐτεξούσιον χάριτος. εί γάρ τις ἀνάγκη τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης ἐπεστάτει ζωῆς, το διεψεύσθη ἂν ή εἰκὼν κατ' ἐκεῖνο τὸ μέρος, ἀλλοτριωθεῖσα τω ανομοίω πρός το αρχέτυπον της γαρ βασιλευούσης φύσεως ή ανάγκαις τισίν ύπεζευγμένη τε καί δουλεύουσα πως αν είκων ονομάζοιτο; οὐκοῦν τὸ διὰ πάντων προς τὸ

 $1 \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$  l<sup>1</sup> euth || 2 εξωθεν fl vulg || 4 om ταs euth || 6 om  $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega s$  fl vulg  $\parallel q \tau \eta \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \nu \eta ... \zeta \omega \eta$  l vulg

man is in his present condition (ταθτα νθν περί ἡμᾶς είναι), and that he has lost his more desirable estate  $(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho o \tau \iota \mu o \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota)$  is due to a different cause than the creative action of God.

3. συγκαταθέσεως] 'assent.' The argument starts from a principle with which Gr.'s opponents will find

themselves in agreement.

5. ἀφορμάς] Krab. translates 'occasiones.' 'Αφορμή is freq. used by St Paul in the sense of 'occasion,' 'opportunity.' Cp. Rom. vii 8, 2 Cor. xii 12, Gal. v 13, 1 Tim. v 14. In the military sense it = 'a base of operations.' In the present passage Gr. is referring to man's possession of the higher faculties, which become the 'starting-point' or 'means' of acquiring all forms of excellence. For its use in this passage cp. c. 6 sub fin. της άρχης έκείνης τοῦ τοιούτου τέλους τὰς ἀφορμὰς παρασχούσης.

ib. δι' ἐκάστου] i.e. through each endowment of his nature which is an ἀφορμη των καλών. The following word καταλλήλωs is omitted by one

important group of Mss. But its presence here is in accordance with the language of Gr. in this same chapter. Cp. antea διὰ τοῦ καταλλήλου πρός τὸ οἰκεῖον τὴν ἔφεσιν ἔχοι. That passage determines the meaning here. It is not 'vicissim' as Krab. renders, but rather 'through a corresponding movement. Το δμοιον, i.e. that attribute of God which corresponds to the human endowment.

q.  $\epsilon i \gamma \alpha \rho$  The possession of freewill is a necessary part of the εἰκών in which man was made, and which would have been falsified  $(\delta\iota\epsilon\psi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma\theta\eta)$ , had it not resembled its archetype in this respect. Cf. de Mortuis, p. 524 (Migne) ισόθεον γάρ έστι τὸ αὐτεξούσιον.

11. ἀνομοίω πρός] Cp. c. 2, p. 13

άλλοτρίου...πρός (note).

13. οὐκοῦν] The effect of the gift of free-will is to make man's participation in good the reward of virtue. How then, it is asked, did man come to exchange good for evil? This leads Gr. to a discussion of the origin of evil, which he maintains θείον ώμοιωμένον ἔδει πάντως ἔχειν ἐν τῷ φύσει τὸ αὐτοκρατὲς καὶ ἀδέσποτον, ὥστε ἄθλον ἀρετῆς εἶναι τὴν τῶν ἀγαθῶν μετουσίαν. πόθεν οὖν, ἐρεῖς, ὁ διὰ πάντων τοῖς καλλίστοις τετιμημένος τὰ χείρω τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀντηλλάξατο; σαφὴς καὶ ὁ περὶ τούτου λόγος. οὐδεμία κακοῦ 5 γένεσις ἐκ τοῦ θείου βουλήματος τὴν ἀρχὴν ἔσχεν· ἢ γὰρ ὰν ἔξω μέμψεως ἦν ἡ κακία, θεὸν ἑαυτῆς ἐπιγραφομένη ποιητὴν καὶ πατέρα· ἀλλ' ἐμφύεταί πως τὸ κακὸν ἔνδοθεν, τῷ προαιρέσει τότε συνιστάμενον, ὅταν τις ἀπὸ τοῦ καλοῦ γένηται τῆς ψυχῆς ἀναχώρησις. καθάπερ γὰρ ἡ ὅρασις 10 φύσεώς ἐστιν ἐνέργεια, ἡ δὲ πήρωσις στέρησίς ἐστι τῆς φυσικῆς ἐνεργείας, οὕτω καὶ ἡ ἀρετὴ πρὸς τὴν κακίαν

5 σαφης]+δε el\*vid vulg || 6 om του fl vulg || 8 εκφυεται vulg

has no substantive existence but is άπὸ τοῦ καλοῦ τῆς ψυχῆς ἀναχώρησις.

5. οὐδεμία] In the margin of MSS b and e, opposite the passage which follows, are written the words κατὰ Μανιχαίων. All through the Or. Cat. Gr. has the Manichaeans in view. For his treatment of the problem of evil see further a fine passage in de Virg. c. 12. Cp. also de Anima et Res. p. 93 (Migne), de Infant. p. 176 (Migne). The idea is emphasized still further in c. 6 of the Or. Cat. Cp. also Plato Rep. ii 379 C, x 617 E.

7. ἐπιγραφομένη] 'if it could claim God as its creator and father.' Ἐπιγρ. is used here as in the phrase προστάτην ἐπιγράφεσθαι 'to choose a patron' by enrolling under his name on the register. The idea is that evil might shelter itself under the name of God, if it could be ascribed to Him. With the application of the words ποιητήν καὶ πατέρα to God cp.

Plato Tim. 28 C.

8.  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\phi\dot{\epsilon}\tau\alpha\iota$ ] For the idea cp. James i 13—15.

9. συνιστάμενον] 'arising in the will whenever.'

ib. τοῦ καλοῦ] 'the good.' Tò

καλόν is the Greek term for moral beauty or virtue, translated by Cicero 'honestum.'

10. ἀναχώρησις] For the idea of evil as the negation of good (ἀρετῆς ἀπουσίαν infra) cf. Basil Hom. ii in Hex. § 4 τὸ κακὸν οὐχὶ οὐσία ζῶσα καὶ ἔμψυχος άλλα διάθεσις ἐν ψυχῆ έναντίως έχουσα πρός αρετήν. Similarly Aug. Ench. xi 'cum omnino mali nomen non sit nisi privationis boni.' Cp. de Civ. Dei xii 6 seq. Cp. also Ath. contra Gentes 5-7, de Inc. 4. The conception is a piece of Platonism and has its roots in the teaching of the Timaeus which profoundly influenced the later Platonists. Cp. Plotinus Enn. iii 2. 5 όλως δέ τὸ κακὸν ἔλλειψιν τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ θετέον. Its adoption by later fathers is due to the influence of Origen. Cp. de Princ. ii 9. 2 'Recedere a bono non aliud est quam effici in malo. Certum namque est, malum esse bono carere.' Cp. also in Ioann. ii 7, c. Celsum iv 65-66.

11.  $\pi \eta \rho \omega \sigma \iota s$ ] here = 'blindness.'

11. πήρωσις] here = 'blindness.' Πηρόω is used of anything which incapacitates man's faculties. See J. A. Robinson Journal of Theol. Studies iii 9 p. 90 ff. In cc. 6 and

αντικαθέστηκεν. οὐ γὰρ ἔστιν ἄλλως κακίας γένεσιν έννοησαι, η άρετης απουσίαν. ώσπερ γάρ του φωτός ύφαιρεθέντος ο ζόφος επηκολούθησε, παρόντος δε οὐκ έστιν, ούτως, έως αν παρη το αγαθον έν τη φύσει. ς ανύπαρκτόν τί ἐστι καθ' ἑαυτὴν ή κακία ή δὲ τοῦ κρείττονος αναχώρησις τοῦ εναντίου γίνεται γένεσις. έπει οὖν τοῦτο τῆς αὐτεξουσιότητός ἐστι τὸ ἰδίωμα, τὸ κατ' έξουσίαν αίρεισθαι τὸ καταθύμιον, οὐχ ὁ θεός σοι τῶν παρόντων ἐστὶν αἴτιος κακῶν, ἀδέσποτόν τε καὶ 10 ἄνετόν σοι κατασκευάσας την φύσιν, άλλ' ή άβουλία τὸ γείρον αντί του κρείττονος προελομένη.

6. Ζητείς δὲ καὶ τὴν αἰτίαν τυχον τῆς κατὰ τὴν βουλὴν διαμαρτίας: εἰς τοῦτο γὰρ ἡ ἀκολουθία τὸν λόγον φέρει. οὐκοῦν πάλιν ἀρχή τις ἡμῖν κατὰ τὸ εὔλογον εὑρεθήσεται, 15 ή καὶ τούτο σαφηνίσει τὸ ζήτημα, τοιούτον τινα λόγον παρὰ τῶν πατέρων διεδεξάμεθα ἔστι δὲ ὁ λόγος οὐ

ι ανθεστηκέν l vulg || αλλην fl vulg || 2 απουσία n euth  $[-4, \pi \alpha \rho \eta]$ η fl vulg | 5 ανυπ. τι] om τι l euth 456 vulg | καθ εαυτο gl vulg | 6 γιν. τ. ε. γενεσις fl vulg | 8 αναιρεισθαι vulg | om σοι e euth 45 | 11 απο του κρείττ. euth  $16 \parallel \pi \rho o \epsilon \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ] ελομένη f: desinit euth 6. 12 om  $\delta \epsilon$  dehn |  $\beta o \nu \lambda \eta \nu$  |  $\beta o \nu \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$   $g^{1}l^{1}$  | 13  $\tau \omega \nu$   $\lambda o \gamma \omega \nu$  |  $v u l \sigma$ 

7 Gr. uses the illustration of a man shutting his eyes to the sunlight, to show that evil is the refusal of good.

5. ἀνύπαρκτον] Cp. c. 1, p. 8, and c. 2, p. 15.

8. καταθύμιον] a common word

in Gr. = το ἡδύ here. Cp. c. 8.
10. ἄνετον] 'free,' 'uncontrolled.'
ib. ἡ ἀβουλία] It is not God, but man's folly in preferring evil to good, which is the cause of man's present misery.

6. We must now consider the eauses which led man's judgment astray. The natural creation comprises two worlds, the world of intelligence and the world of sense. But though these are opposite to each other, yet, just as Nature exhibits a general harmony embracing indi-

vidual differences, so the Divine wisdom has ordained a means of blending the intelligent and sensible elements by creating man. Man's nature excited the envy of one of the created spirits, for in a nature that was created and subject to change, like Satan's, such a passion was possible, involving the turning away from his Creator and the inclination to evil. Thus the rebellious spirit sank lower and lower in evil, and finally beguiled man to turn away from God, mingling evil with his will.

12.  $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau$ .  $\beta o v \lambda$ .] referring to  $\dot{\eta}$ άβουλία at the close of c. 5.

14.  $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$ ] used as in prol. p. 3. and c. 5, p. 20 (see note).

16. πατέρων] Among earlier writ-

μυθώδης διήγησις, ἀλλ' ἐξ αὐτῆς τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν τὸ πιστὸν ἐπαγόμενος. διπλῆ τίς ἐστιν ἐν τοῖς οὖσιν ἡ κατανόησις, εἰς τὸ νοητόν τε καὶ αἰσθητὸν τῆς θεωρίας διηρημένης. καὶ οὐδὲν ἂν παρὰ ταῦτα καταληφθείη ἐν τῆ τῶν ὄντων φύσει τῆς διαιρέσεως ταύτης ἔξω φερόμενον. 5 διήρηται δὲ ταῦτα πρὸς ἄλληλα πολλῷ τῷ μέσῳ, ὡς μήτε τὴν αἰσθητὴν ἐν τοῖς νοητοῖς εἶναι γνωρίσμασι, μήτε ἐν τοῖς αἰσθητοῖς ἐκείνην, ἀλλ' ἀπὸ τῶν ἐναντίων ἑκατέραν χαρακτηρίζεσθαι. ἡ μὲν γὰρ νοητὴ φύσις ἀσώματόν τι χρῆμά ἐστι καὶ ἀναφὲς καὶ ἀνείδεον' ἡ δὲ αἰσθητηρίων κατανοήσεως. ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἐν αὐτῷ τῷ αἰσθητῷ κόσμῳ, πολλῆς πρὸς ἄλληλα τῶν στοιχείων οὔσης ἐναντιώσεως,

2 η καταν.] om η vulg  $\parallel$  4 ληφθείη dgnp  $\parallel$  5 φερομένον] φερομένης εφαινομένον fg¹  $\parallel$  6 διαιρείται f  $\parallel$  10 ανείδεον] αίδιον g

ers, teaching to the same effect is found in Justin *Dial*. c. 119, p. 205, Iren. v 22. 2. Cp. also Ath. contra Gentes 3, de Inc. 4, 25. In spite of the influence of Origen's thought on Gr., the latter does not adopt Origen's idea of a pre-temporal fall of souls. Cp. Origen de Princ. iii 5.

 μυθώδης διήγησις] 'a mythical account,' i.e. an account given in some such form as the  $\mu \hat{\nu} \theta o i$  of Plato, which present truth in the form of poetic fiction. Gr. claims that his account of the origin of sin is one which invites credence (τὸ πιστὸν έπαγόμενος), owing to the very constitution of our nature ( $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$   $\alpha\dot{v}\tau\hat{\eta}s$   $\tau\hat{\eta}s$  $\phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \omega s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). It is possible that in μυθώδης διήγησις Gr. is thinking of the account in Genesis, which, like Origen, he interpreted (cp. cc. 5, 8) by ἀναγωγή. In accordance with the plan of the Or. Cat. he illustrates the origin of sin from human experience, i.e. the existence in man of τὸ νοητόν and τὸ αἰσθητόν, whose harmony has been disturbed. In the same way he has illustrated the doctrine of the Trinity from human consciousness.

 διπλῆ] 'a twofold classification may be discerned.' For διπλῆ κατανόησις cp. Plat. Tim. 82 C δευτέρα κατανόησις.

3. νοητὸν...αἰσθητὸν] 'the world of intelligence' (i.e. apprehended by intelligence), 'the world of sense' (i.e. that falls under the observation of the senses). For the idea of νοῦς see note on διανοητικὴν δύναμιν infra. In what follows Gr. adopts a twofold division of human nature, and so departs from Origen, who retained St Paul's division of σῶμα, ψυχή, πνεῦμα (I Thess. v 23).

ψυχή,  $\pi$ νεῦμα (1 Thess. v 23). 6.  $\pi$ ολλ $\hat{\varphi}$  τ $\hat{\varphi}$  μέσ $\psi$ ] 'by a considerable distance' or 'interval.'

7.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  τ.  $\nu$ .  $\epsilon$  $\hat{\iota}\nu$ αι] For  $\epsilon$  $\hat{\iota}\nu$ αι  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  cp. c.  $\hat{\iota}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $(\omega\hat{\eta}...\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\iota}\nu$ αι.

8. ἀπο τῶν ϵν.] 'Aπο denotes here the source. 'But each of them receives its particular character from qualities opposite to those of the other.'

12.  $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\lambda}\dot{\lambda}'$   $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ ] Gr. illustrates the unity of man's nature, in spite of its combination of the opposites  $\tau\dot{\delta}$  νοητόν and  $\tau\dot{\delta}$  α $l\sigma\theta\eta\tau\dot{\delta}\nu$ , from the

ἐπινενόηταί τις άρμονία διὰ τῶν ἐναντίων άρμοζομένη παρὰ τῆς τοῦ παντὸς ἐπιστατούσης σοφίας, καὶ οὕτως πάσης γίνεται πρὸς ἑαυτὴν συμφωνία τῆς κτίσεως, οὐδαμοῦ τῆς φυσικῆς ἐναντιότητος τὸν τῆς συμπνοίας 5 εἰρμὸν διαλυούσης κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον καὶ τοῦ αἰσθητοῦ πρὸς τὸ νοητὸν γίνεταί τις κατὰ θείαν σοφίαν μίξις τε καὶ ἀνάκρασις, ὡς ἂν πάντα τοῦ καλοῦ κατὰ τὸ ἴσον μετέχοι καὶ μηδὲν τῶν ὄντων ἀμοιροίη τῆς τοῦ κρείττονος φύσεως. διὰ τοῦτο τὸ μὲν κατάλληλον τῷ 10 νοητῆ φύσει χωρίον ἡ λεπτὴ καὶ εὐκίνητός ἐστιν οὐσία, κατὰ τὴν ὑπερκόσμιον λῆξιν πολλὴν ἔχουσα τῷ ἰδιάζοντι τῆς φύσεως πρὸς τὸ νοητὸν τὴν συγγένειαν, προμηθεία δὲ κρείττονι πρὸς τὴν αἰσθητὴν κτίσιν γίνεταί τις τοῦ

8 om του fl vulg  $\parallel$  10 λεπτη] λευκη 1+λογικη vulg  $\parallel$  13 κτισιν] φυσιν 1 vulg

harmony in diversity exhibited in the visible Universe.

 ἐπινενόηται] 'a certain harmony has been devised by the wisdom which presides over the Universe, a harmony effected by means of opposites.'

5. εἰρμόν]= seriem. 'A chain of agreement,' which is not broken by the individual differences of the con-

stituent parts.

7. μίξις τε καὶ ἀνάκρασις] 'mixture and blending.' For this idea of the interpenetration of spirit and matter, which is a characteristic feature in Gr. cp. de Orat. Dom. iv p. 1165 (Migne).

ib.  $\dot{\omega}s \, \dot{\alpha}v \, \pi \dot{\alpha}v\tau a$ ] Acc. to Gr. it is in and through man, whose nature is the meeting point of the worlds of spirit and of sense, that Creation

reaches its final goal.

8.  $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{s} \tau$ ,  $\kappa$ ,  $\phi \hat{\psi} \hat{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \hat{s}$ ] Krab. takes this as equiv. to 'ejus quod natura praestantius est.' Cp. Mayor's note on James iii 7. The whole phrase is synonymous with  $\tau \hat{o} \hat{s} \kappa \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{o} \hat{s}$  above.

τὸ μὲν κατάλλ.] 'though the proper sphere for the intelligent na-

ture is the realm of subtle and mobile essence, having, by virtue of its dwelling above the Universe, considerable affinity with the intelligible element, in consequence of the peculiarity of its nature, yet &c.' The clause introduced by  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  is best taken as concessive. H  $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \dot{\eta}$ ... $o \dot{\nu} \sigma l a$  is the subject, and  $\chi \omega \rho l \sigma$  the predicate. The idea is that  $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa a l \epsilon \nu \dot{\kappa} \nu \eta \tau \sigma s$  ovais is the natural abode for  $\dot{\eta} \nu \sigma \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota s$ , but that God accomplished His purpose of bringing all things into union with Himself by a blending of the intelligent and sensible elements in man.

11. λῆξω] 'Lot,' 'appointed place' (λαγχάνω). Moeller (Greg. Nyss. doctr. de hominis natura, p. 19) has a long discussion of this expression, in which he says 'a nonnullis vertitur sors, a nonnullis locus sive regio. Et revera utrumque inest. Significat enim certam vitae sortem atque consuetudinem certo loco inhaerentem.' Other examples of Gr.'s use of the word are de Orat. Dom. iv p. 1165 (Migne) ἡ μὲν οὖν νοητὴ [sc. φύσις] τὴν ἄνω λῆξω ἐπτορεύεται, where he

νοητοῦ συνανάκρασις, ὡς αν μηδεν ἀπόβλητον εἴη τῆς κτίσεως, καθώς φησιν ὁ ἀπόστολος, μηδε τῆς θείας κοινωνίας ἀπόκληρον. τούτου χάριν ἐκ νοητοῦ τε καὶ αἰσθητοῦ τὸ κατὰ τὸν ἄνθρωπον μίγμα παρὰ τῆς θείας ἀναδείκνυται φύσεως, καθως διδάσκει τῆς κοσμογονίας ὁ 5 λόγος· Λαβων γὰρ ὁ θεός, φησιν, χοῦν ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἔπλασε καὶ διὰ τῆς ίδίας ἐμπνεύσεως τῷ πλάσματι τὴν ζωὴν ἐνεφύτευσεν, ὡς αν συνεπαρθείη τῷ θείω τὸ γήινον καὶ μία τις κατὰ τὸ ὁμότιμον διὰ πάσης τῆς κτίσεως ἡ χάρις διήκοι, τῆς κάτω φύσεως πρὸς τὴν ὑπερ- 10 κόσμιον συγκιρναμένης. ἐπεὶ οὖν τῆς νοητῆς κτίσεως προυποστάσης, καὶ ἐκάστη τῶν ἀγγελικῶν δυνάμεων πρὸς

5 κοσμογενείαs elp vulg  $\parallel$  6 φησιν ο θ. dl vulg  $\parallel$  8 ενεφυσησεν d vulg  $\parallel$  9 om της f  $\parallel$  10 διηκεί p διηκη dgn

is speaking of the angels. In contra Eunom. v p. 681 (Migne) he speaks of τήν τε γῆν καὶ τήν θάλασαν καὶ τὴν ὑποχθόνιον λῆξιν. Cp. de Hom. Op. c. 17; contr. Eunom. xii p. 1004 (Migne). Moeller concludes 'Patet etiam ex hoc vocabulo, Gr. sicut reliquos patres fere omnes nullum creaturarum licet intelligibilium vitae statum loci finibus prorsus exemptum docuisse.'

I. συνανάκρασις] 'commingling.' In de Hom. Op. c. 12 Gr. discusses the relations of mind and matter in man and denies that the former can be limited to any particular part of the body. 'Ο νοῦς δι' ὅλου τοῦ ὀργάνου διήκων, καὶ καταλλήλως ταῖς νοητικαῖς ένεργείαις, καθὸ πέφυκεν, ἐκάστῳ τῶν μερών προσαπτόμενος, έπὶ μὲν τών κατὰ φύσιν διακειμένων τὸ οἰκεῖον ἐνήργησεν. Cp. de An. et Resurr. p. 69 (Migne) ψυχήν δὲ ἀσώματον οὖσαν μηδεμίαν ἀνάγκην ἔχειν ἐκ φύσεως τόποις τισὶν έγκατέχεσθαι. The nearest approach to these views is to be found in Plotinus. See Enn. iv 3. 20 sq.

ib.  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\delta\beta\lambda\eta\tau\sigma\nu$ ] I Tim. iv 4 'that no part of creation might be rejected.'

There is a similar passage in the de Infant. qui praem. pp. 172 sq. (Migne).

5. ἀναδείκνυται] in the sense of appoint, 'constitute,' 'make.'

6. χοῦν] Gen. ii 7.

8. ἐνεφύτευσεν] 'planted.' Cp. Plat. Tim. 42 Λ ὅποτε δὴ σώμασιν ἐμφυτευθεῖεν (sc. al ψυχαί). The reading ἐνεφύσησεν is due to a desire to bring the passage into closer accord with Gen. ii 7, where ἐνεφύσησεν is found in the LXX.

ib.  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\sigma\nu\nu\epsilon\pi$ .] The object in creating such a being as man was that the earthly nature might be carried up by union with ( $\sigma\nu\nu\epsilon\pi a\rho$ - $\theta\epsilon i\eta$ ) the Divine, so that the Divine grace in one even course as it were ( $\mu(a\tau)s$ ) might equally extend through the whole creation.

11.  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l \ o \tilde{l} \nu l$  The protasis begun in the clause  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l \dots \tilde{l} \nu \tau \iota s$  is continued by the following clause  $\epsilon l \tau a \kappa a \tau \epsilon - \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \sigma \theta \eta$ , and again resumed, after the parenthesis  $\tau o \tilde{l} \tau o \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ , in the clause  $\kappa a l \tilde{l} \nu$ . The apodosis begins with  $\delta \epsilon \nu \dot{l} \nu \tau o \epsilon \dot{l} \tau a \iota d$ .

ib. νοητης κτίσεως] refers to των ἀγγελικων δυνάμεων which follows.

την του παντός σύστασιν ένεργείας τινός παρά της των πάντων ἐπιστατούσης ἐξουσίας προσνεμηθείσης, ἦν τις δύναμις καὶ ή τὸν περίγειον τόπον συνέγειν τε καὶ περικρατείν τεταγμένη, είς αὐτὸ τοῦτο δυναμωθείσα παρὰ τῆς ς τὸ πᾶν οἰκονομούσης δυνάμεως εἶτα κατεσκευάσθη τὸ γήινον πλάσμα, της ἄνω δυνάμεως ἀπεικόνισμα τοῦτο δὲ τὸ ζώον ὁ ἄνθρωπος καὶ ἦν ἐν αὐτῷ τὸ θεοειδὲς τῆς νοητής φύσεως κάλλος, άρρήτω τινί δυνάμει συγκεκραμένον δεινον ποιείται καὶ οὐκ ἀνεκτον ὁ τὴν περίγειον 10 οἰκονομίαν λαχών, εἰ ἐκ τῆς ὑποχειρίου αὐτῶ φύσεως αναδειχθήσεται τις οὐσία πρὸς τὴν ὑπερέχουσαν αξιαν ωμοιωμένη. τὸ δ' ὅπως ἐπὶ τὸ πάθος κατερρύη τοῦ φθόνου ὁ ἐπὶ μηδενὶ κακῷ κτισθεὶς παρὰ τοῦ τὸ πᾶν ἐν άγαθότητι συστησαμένου, τὸ μὲν δι' ἀκριβείας ἐπεξιέναι

3 om. και efl vulg | 12 ομοιουμένη g | οπως οπέρ vulg | 14 επέξ.] διεξ. 1 vulg

2.  $\pi \rho o \sigma \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$  The angelic powers are represented as having each been assigned some activity in the constitution of the Universe. The idea is found in Methodius de Resurr. i 37 (ed. Bonw. p. 130), and still earlier in Origen c. Celsum v 30-31. Its source is to be found in the later Judaism. Cp. Rev. xiv 18, xvi 5. For σύστασις cp. c. 4 πρὸς οὐρανῶν σύστασιν.

3.  $\pi\epsilon\rho i\gamma\epsilon\iota o\nu \tau i\sigma o\nu$ ] One such angel was appointed to maintain and govern the region about the earth. For this epic notion cp. Methodius I. c.; also Rufin. in Symb. Ap. art. 4; Basil Hom. ix 8 sq.; Io. Damasc. de Fid. Orth. ii 4. See further Schwane Dogmengesch. ii p. 218; Godet Bibl. Studies: Old Testament (ed. Lyttleton), p. 16 ff. For συνέχειν see note on συνεκτική c. 5.

4. δυναμωθείσα] 'empowered.' Cp. infra διὰ τῆς θείας εὐλογίας δυναμωθείς ὁ ἄνθρωπος.

7. καὶ  $\hat{\eta}\nu$ ] The clause  $\hat{a}\rho\rho\hat{\eta}\tau\psi$ τινὶ δύναμει συγκεκραμένον is an explanatory addition to the main

clause. 'In him there was the godlike beauty of the intelligent nature, blended with a certain ineffable potency.' Δύναμις refers to the latent capacity of man as having been made κατ' εἰκόνα θεοῦ.

9. δεινόν ποιείται] The angel of the earth takes it amiss that out of the nature subject to him  $(\tau \hat{\eta} s \ \dot{v} \pi o \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota o \upsilon \phi \upsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s = \tau \hat{\eta} s \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \upsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ there should be produced any being resembling in dignity the Supreme Being.

άναδειχθήσεται] Cp. supra ἀναδείκνυται (note).

ib. οὐσία] The οὐσία of man is that which constitutes him  $\delta \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi os$ , and which he shares with every other member of the race. Similarly Gr. argues in the de Comm. Not. that the word  $\theta \epsilon \delta s$  is  $\delta \nu o \mu a$ οὐσίας σημαντικόν and not ὄνομα προσώπων δηλωτικόν.

13.  $\epsilon \pi i \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega}$  'created for no evil end.' Cp. c. 5, p. 22  $\epsilon \pi i$  τούτοις, and ibid. p. 23  $\epsilon \pi i$   $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ... $\epsilon \pi o$ λαύσει.

οὐ τῆς παρούσης πραγματείας ἐστί, δυνατὸν δ' αν εἴη καὶ δι' ὀλίγου τοῖς εὐπειθεστέροις παραθέσθαι τὸν λόγον. τῆς γὰρ ἀρετῆς καὶ τῆς κακίας οὐχ ὡς δύο τινῶν καθ' ὑπόστασιν φαινομένων ἡ ἀντιδιαστολὴ θεωρεῖται· ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἀντιδιαιρεῖται τῷ ὄντι τὸ μὴ ον καὶ οὐκ ἔστι καθ' 5 ὑπόστασιν εἰπεῖν τὸ μὴ ον ἀντιδιαστέλλεσθαι πρὸς τὸ ὄν, ἀλλὰ τὴν ἀνυπαρξίαν ἀντιδιαιρεῖσθαι λέγομεν πρὸς τὴν ὕπαρξιν, κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον καὶ ἡ κακία τῷ τῆς ἀρετῆς ἀντικαθέστηκε λόγω, οὐ καθ' ἐαυτήν τις οὖσα, ἀλλὰ τῆ ἀπουσία νοουμένη τοῦ κρείττονος· καὶ ὥσπερ 10 φαμέν ἀντιδιαιρεῖσθαι τῆ ὁράσει τὴν πήρωσιν, οὐ καθ' ἐαυτὴν οὖσαν τῆ φύσει τὴν πήρωσιν, ἀλλὰ προλαβούσης ἔξεως στέρησιν, οὕτω καὶ τὴν κακίαν ἐν τῆ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ στερήσει θεωρεῖσθαι λέγομεν, οἶόν τινα σκιὰν τῆ ἀναχωρήσει τῆς ἀκτῖνος ἐπισυμβαίνουσαν. ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν ἡ 15

2 απειθεστεροις  $l^*$  vulg  $\parallel$  12 εν τη φυσει  $fg^1l$  vulg  $\parallel$  12–13 om αλλα προλεξ, στερησιν  $f \parallel$  14 διαχωρησει  $l^*$ vid vulg

 πραγματείαs] used here of a literary treatise = 'our present work.' 3-4. καθ' ὑπόστασιν φαιν.] 'two things which appear as actually existing.'

4-14. ἀλλ'  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ ] 'but just as the non-existent is logically opposed to that which exists, and it is not possible to say that the non-existent is distinguished, so far as actual subsistence is concerned, from that which exists, but we say that non-existence is logically opposed to existence; in the same way also "vice" is distinguished from "virtue," not because it has some independent existence, but because it is conceived of as arising from the absence of that which is good; and just as we say that blindness is logically opposed to sight, not because blindness belongs to nature as something having an existence of its own, but because it is the deprivation of a former possession, so also we say that vice is found where there is a

deprivation of good.' The argument is that the distinction which we make between the non-existent and the existent is a logical distinction only. They are not to be conceived of as two things on the same plane or possessing the same reality, any more than sight and blindness, the latter being merely the defect of the former. For dvrtθaupeiσθau in the sense of 'logical division' cp. c. 15.

14. σκιάν] Evil is like some shadow which follows upon the withdrawal

of the sun's ray.

15.  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\dot{\eta}$ ] In what follows Gr. proceeds to show how it was possible for a created spirit to be subject to such a passion as envy. It arose out of the possibility of change involved in possessing a created nature. Only an uncreated being is free from the movement expressed in such words as 'variation,' 'alteration' or 'change.'

ἄκτιστος φύσις τῆς κινήσεως τῆς κατὰ τροπὴν καὶ μεταβολὴν καὶ ἀλλοίωσίν ἐστιν ἀνεπίδεκτος, πᾶν δὲ τὸ διὰ κτίσεως ὑποστὰν συγγενῶς πρὸς τὴν ἀλλοίωσιν ἔχει, διότι καὶ αὐτὴ τῆς κτίσεως ἡ ὑπόστασις ἀπὸ ἀλλοιώσεως 5 ἤρξατο, τοῦ μὴ ὄντος εἰς τὸ εἶναι θεία δυνάμει μετατεθέντος κτιστὴ δὲ ἦν καὶ ἡ μνημονευθεῖσα δύναμις, αὐτεξουσίφ κινήματι τὸ δοκοῦν αἰρουμένη ἐπειδὴ πρὸς τὸ ἀγαθόν τε καὶ ἄφθονον ἐπέμυσεν ὅμμα, ὥσπερ ὁ ἐν ἡλίφ τοῖς βλεφάροις τὰς ὄψεις ὑποβαλὼν σκότος ὁρᾶ, 10 οὕτω κἀκεῖνος αὐτῷ τῷ μὴ θελῆσαι τὸ ἀγαθὸν νοῆσαι τὸ ἐναντίον τῷ ἀγαθῷ κατενόησε. τοῦτο δέ ἐστιν ὁ φθόνος. ὁμολογεῖται δὲ παντὸς πράγματος ἀρχὴν τῶν

8 ομμα] νοημα fg¹l vulg  $\parallel$  9 επιβαλων τ. οψ. l vulg τ. οψ. επιβαλων efg¹ αποβαλων dhn  $\parallel$  10 αυτο f  $\parallel$  το fp  $\parallel$  κατανοησαι deghip  $\parallel$  12 ομολογει l vulg  $\parallel$  αρχη f

2.  $\pi \hat{a} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ] 'Whereas everything which came into being through an act of creation has a natural tendency to such change, because even the very subsistence of creation owed its beginning to change.' This  $\kappa \tau i \sigma \epsilon \omega s$  is of course 'the created Universe.' The idea of creation, Gr. maintains, carries with it the idea of mutability.

7.  $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \in \xi o v \sigma(\psi)$  'choosing whatever it thinks fit by a movement of

free-will.

8.  $\alpha\phi\theta\sigma\nu\nu$ ] 'free from envy,' 'ungrudging,' as opposed to the  $\phi\theta\delta\nu$ os with which the evil spirit viewed man's participation in Divine blessings.

ib. έπέμυσεν όμμα] The reading

νόημα is plainly a corruption.

g. vποβαλών] There is a considerable variation of reading here.  $^{\prime}λποβαλών$  is plainly a corruption of  $^{\prime}νποβαλών$ . It is not so easy to decide between vποβαλών and  $^{\prime}ϵπε$   $^{\prime}με$   $^{\prime}ωε$   $^{\prime}$ 

τὰ βλέφαρα ἐπιβάλλειν in the sense of 'closing the eyelids' occurs in Arist. Physiog. 6. 813 a, and also in Adamant. Soph. Physiog. i 23 where it is found in the phrase of ίλλώπτοντες καὶ τὰ βλέφαρα ἐπιβάλλοντες, but these instances do not justify such an expression as  $\epsilon \pi \iota$  $\beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{a} s \, \ddot{o} \psi \epsilon \iota s$ . On the other hand the occurrence of such an expression as τὰ βλέφαρα ἐπιβάλλειν may have led to the alteration of ὑποβαλών into ἐπιβαλών. There is exactly the same variation of reading in c. 7 sub fin. in the expression ὑποβάλοι τοις βλεφάροις την ορασιν.

11.  $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu} \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ] 'came to apprehend,' 'learned to know,' stronger

than νοησαι.

11–12. ὁ φθόνος] For the idea cp. infra τ $\hat{\eta}$  ἀποστροφ $\hat{\eta}$  τ $\hat{\eta}$ ς ἀγαθότητος ἐν ἐαυτ $\hat{\phi}$  γεννήσας τὸν φθόνον. There is similar language on φθόνος in de Vita Moysis p. 400 (Migne). The whole passage is doubtless traceable to Wisdom ii 23, 24.

12. ὁμολογ.] The first cause of anything is responsible for all the consequences flowing from it. So

μετ' αὐτὴν κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἐπισυμβαινόντων αἰτίαν είναι, οίον τη ύγεία τὸ εὐεκτείν, τὸ ἐργάζεσθαι, τὸ καθ' ήδονην βιοτεύειν· τη δε νόσω το ασθενείν, το ανενέργητον είναι, τὸ ἐν ἀηδία τὴν ζωὴν ἔχειν. οὕτω καὶ τὰ ἄλλα πάντα ταις οἰκείαις ἀρχαις κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἕπεται. 5 ώσπερ οὖν ή ἀπάθεια τῆς κατ' ἀρετὴν ζωῆς ἀρχὴ καὶ ύπόθεσις γίνεται, ούτως ή διὰ τοῦ φθόνου γενομένη πρὸς κακίαν ροπη των μετ' αὐτην πάντων ἀναδειχθεντων κακών όδὸς κατέστη. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ἄπαξ πρὸς τὸ κακὸν τὴν ροπην ἔσγεν ὁ τῆ ἀποστροφη της ἀγαθότητος ἐν ἑαυτώ το γεννήσας του Φθόνου, ώσπερ λίθος ακρωρείας απορραγείς ύπὸ τοῦ ἰδίου βάρους πρὸς τὸ πρανές συνελαύνεται, οὕτω κάκείνος, της πρός τὸ άγαθὸν συμφυίας άποσπασθείς καὶ πρός κακίαν βρίσας, αὐτομάτως οἶόν τινι βάρει πρὸς τὸν έσχατον της πονηρίας όρον συνωσθείς απηνέχθη, καὶ την 15 διανοητικήν δύναμιν, ήν είς συνέργειαν της τοῦ κρείττονος μετουσίας ἔσγε παρὰ τοῦ κτίσαντος, ταύτην εἰς εὕρεσιν των κατά κακίαν έπινοουμένων συνεργόν ποιησάμενος,

[ μετ αυτην κατα] μεθ εαυτην l vulg μετα (om αυτην κατα) d <math>[ 3-4 το ]ανέν...ζωην έχειν desunt in h | 7-8 ουτως...κακιαν ροπη desunt in h | γινομενη 1 γεγενημενη f || 8 ροπη] ορμη f || <math>μεθ εαυτην 1 vulg || κακων] των κακων f | 14 βρισας | βαρησας και κατανευσας l vulg | 16 εις συνεργειαν] om εις fh την συνεργείαν el vulg συνεργίαν dnp | του κρείττονος] om του f

the inclination to vice resulting from the envy displayed by the devil was responsible for the train of evils which followed.

2.  $\tau \hat{y} \ \dot{v} \gamma \epsilon i \alpha$ ] We must supply some such word as  $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$  which occurs in the following sentence. As on health there follows a good bodily condition (τὸ εὐεκτεῖν), activity, and an enjoyable life, while disease is followed by weakness, inactivity, and lack of enjoyment, so other results follow in natural sequence their own proper causes.

6. ἀπάθεια] 'freedom from passion.' Cp. antea c. 5.
11. ὥσπερ λίθοs] 'just as a rock,

breaking away from the ridge of a mountain, is carried headlong by its own weight.'

13. συμφυίας 'torn away from his natural affinity to good.'

15-16. την διανοητικήν δύναμιν] 'the faculty of mind.' Διάνοια, as distinguished from vovs, is 'the process of rational thought,' while vovs is the intuitive and speculative reason. See Westcott on 1 John v 20. 'The faculty of mind, which he received from the Creator in order that he might cooperate with Him in imparting good (i.e. to the creatures under him), he employed to assist him in discovering evil devices.'

εύμηγάνως περιέργεται δι' άπάτης του άνθρωπου, αὐτον έαυτοῦ γενέσθαι πείσας φονέα τε καὶ αὐτόχειρα. ἐπειδή γάρ διὰ τῆς θείας εὐλογίας δυναμωθείς ὁ ἄνθρωπος ύψηλὸς μέν ήν τω άξιωματι. βασιλεύειν γαρ ετάχθη της γης τε ς καὶ τῶν ἐπ' αὐτῆς πάντων καλὸς δὲ τὸ εἶδος ἀπεικόνισμα γὰρ τοῦ ἀρχετύπου ἐγεγόνει κάλλους: ἀπαθης δὲ την φύσιν τοῦ γαρ απαθοῦς μίμημα ην ανάπλεως δὲ παρρησίας, αὐτῆς κατὰ πρόσωπον τῆς θείας ἐμφανείας κατατρυφων ταῦτα δὲ τω ἀντικειμένω τοῦ κατὰ τὸν 10 φθόνον πάθους ύπεκκαύματα ήν ισχύι δέ τινι καὶ βία δυνάμεως κατεργάσασθαι το κατά γνώμην ούχ οδός τε ην ύπερίσχυε γαρ ή της ευλογίας του θεου δύναμις της τούτου βίας: διὰ τοῦτο ἀποστῆσαι τῆς ἐνισχυούσης αὐτὸν δυνάμεως μηχανάται, ώς αν εὐάλωτος αὐτῷ πρὸς την 15 έπιβουλήν κατασταίη. καὶ ώσπερ ἐπὶ λύχνου τοῦ πυρὸς της θρυαλλίδος περιδεδραγμένου, εἴ τις ἀδυνατῶν τῶ φυσήματι σβέσαι την φλόγα ύδωρ έμμίξειε τω ελαίω

ι διερχεται l vulg || 2 πεισας γενεσθαι l vulg || 5 αυτη f || 16 επιδεδραγμενου  $f \parallel 17$  εμφυσηματι  $d \parallel 17$ —p. 37. 1 υδωρ...φλογα om  $h \parallel$  ενμιξειεν fεμμιξει εν 1 vulg εμμιξει g εμμιξας e | ελαιω] + και fln

 περιέρχεται] 'circumvents,' 'overreaches.

2-3.  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] The clause introduced by  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta}$  is broken up by a series of parentheses. The apodosis of the sentence begins with διὰ τοῦτο ἀποστησαι. In what follows Gr. shows how the capacities and privileges bestowed upon man by God excited the envy of the adversary and led him to plot for man's ruin.

4. ἀξιώματι] 'rank,' 'position.' ib. βασιλεύειν] Gen. i 28—30.

6. ἀπαθής] See note on ἀπάθεια c. 5.

8. mappyolas | Happ, denotes the 'giving utterance to every thought and feeling and wish.' Cp. Heb. iv 16 (with Westcott's note), x 19, 35.

ib.  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \theta \epsilon i \alpha s \epsilon \mu \phi$ .] based on the

narrative of Gen. iii.

9. κατατρυφων revelling in the manifestation of Deity even face to

ib. ταθτα δέ] 'and since this served as fuel.' The sentence forms part of the protasis begun by ἐπειδή.

10-11. βία δυνάμεως] 'violent exercise of power,' 'main force.'
14. εὐάλωτος] 'an easy prey to his

15. καὶ ὤσπερ] What the adversary could not accomplish by force he attempted by craft, mingling evil with man's will. "And as in the case of a lamp, when the flame has caught the wick, if any one, being unable to blow out the flame, mixes water with the oil, he will by this device render the flame dull.'

16. περιδεδραγμ.] 'grasped,' 'laid

hold of.'

διὰ τῆς ἐπινοίας ταύτης ἀμαυρώσει τὴν φλόγα, οὕτως δι ἀπάτης τῆ προαιρέσει τοῦ ἀνθρώπου τὴν κακίαν ἐμμίξας ὁ ἀντικείμενος σβέσιν τινὰ καὶ ἀμαύρωσιν τῆς εὐλογίας ἐποίησεν, ἦς ἐπιλειπούσης ἐξ ἀνάγκης τὸ ἀντικείμενον ἀντεισέρχεται. ἀντίκειται δὲ τῆ ζωῆ μὲν ὁ 5 θάνατος, ἡ ἀσθένεια δὲ τῆ δυνάμει, τῆ εὐλογία δὲ ἡ κατάρα, τῆ παρρησία δὲ ἡ αἰσχύνη καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς τὰ κατὰ τὸ ἐναντίον νοούμενα. διὰ τοῦτο ἐν τοῖς παροῦσι κακοῖς ἐστὶ νῦν τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, τῆς ἀρχῆς ἐκείνης τοῦ τοιούτου τέλους τὰς ἀφορμὰς παρασχούσης.

7. Καὶ μηδεὶς ἐρωτάτω, εἰ προειδως τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην συμφορὰν ὁ θεὸς τὴν ἐκ τῆς ἀβουλίας αὐτῷ συμβησομένην ἤλθεν εἰς τὸ κτίσαι τὸν ἄνθρωπον, ῷ τὸ μὴ γενέσθαι μᾶλλον ἴσως ἢ τὸ ἐν κακοῖς εἶναι λυσιτελέστερον ἦν. ταῦτα γὰρ οἱ τοῖς Μανιχαικοῖς δόγμασι δι' ἀπάτης 15 παρασυρέντες εἰς σύστασιν τῆς ἑαυτῶν πλάνης προβάλ-

5 επεισερχεται  $g^1 \parallel 9$  om του l vulg **7.** 14 ειναι] γενεσθαι  $f \parallel 15-16$  δι  $a\pi...$ συστασυν om f

3. σβέσω] 'succeeded in extinguishing and obscuring in a way (τωά) the Divine blessing.'

4-5. τὸ ἀντικείμενον] sc. τ $\hat{\eta}$  εὐ-λογία. The term is explained in the following sentence.

9.  $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{s} \, \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$ ] i.e. the  $\phi \theta \dot{b} \nu o s$  of the Devil which he has been describing. 10.  $\tau \dot{a} \hat{s} \, \dot{a} \phi o \rho \mu \dot{a} \hat{s}$ ] Cp. c. 5 p. 26

7. In this and in the following chapter Gr. deals at length with the objection 'Why did God create man, if He foresaw the evils which would result from his fall? How was such an act consistent with goodness?' In answering this objection Gr. first of all draws a distinction between the two senses in which the word evil is used with reference to man. There is physical evil i.e. the pain resulting from suffering and sickness, and there is moral evil i.e. wickedness. The latter alone deserves the name of

evil. Moral evil consists in the loss of good, and has no substantive existence. Neither can God be its author, for He is the source of positive good, and it is only man's self-will in withdrawing from good, which constitutes evil.

11. εί προειδώs] The emphasis of the sentence rests on the participle. 'Whether God foresaw the calamity...when He came to create man.'

12. ἀβουλίας] cf. 6 init. τῆς κατὰ τὴν βουλὴν διαμαρτίας. Αὐτῷ anticipates τὸν ἄνθρωπον.

15. oi τοῖs Maνιχαικοῖs] they who have been deceived and led away by the doctrines of the Manichees.' The evils of man's present condition might be urged to prove that the Author of man's being was not good. Acc. to the Manichaeans the creation of man was due to the prince of darkness. See Harnack Hist. of Dogma, Eng. Tr. iii 325.

λουσιν, ώς διὰ τούτου πονηρον είναι τον της ἀνθρωπίνης φύσεως κτίστην ἀποδεικνύοντες. εί γὰρ ἀγνοεῖ μὲν τῶν όντων οὐδεν ο θεός, εν κακοῖς δε ο ἄνθρωπος, οὐκετ' αν ό της αγαθότητος του θεού διασώζοιτο λόγος, είπερ έν 5 κακοῖς μέλλοντα τὸν ἄνθρωπον ζήσεσθαι πρὸς τὸν βίον παρήγαγεν. εἰ γὰρ ἀγαθῆς φύσεως ἡ κατὰ τὸ ἀγαθὸν ένέργεια πάντως έστίν, ο λυπηρος ούτος και επίκηρος βίος οὐκετ' ἄν, φησίν, εἰς τὴν τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ δημιουργίαν ἀνάγοιτο, άλλ' έτερον χρη της τοιαύτης ζωης αἴτιον οἴεσθαι, ὧ πρὸς 10 πονηρίαν ή φύσις έπιρρεπως έχει. ταῦτα γὰρ πάντα καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα τοῖς μὲν ἐν βάθει καθάπερ τινὰ δευσοποιὸν βαφήν την αίρετικήν παραδεδεγμένοις απάτην ίσχύν τινα διὰ τῆς ἐπιπολαίου πιθανότητος ἔχειν δοκεῖ· τοῖς δὲ διορατικωτέροις της άληθείας σαθρά όντα καὶ πρόχειρον 15 την της ἀπάτης ἀπόδειξιν ἔχοντα σαφῶς καθορᾶται. καί μοι δοκεί καλώς έχειν τον ἀπόστολον έν τούτοις συνήγορον της κατ' αὐτῶν κατηγορίας προστήσασθαι. διαιρεί γὰρ έν τῶ πρὸς Κορινθίους λόγω τάς τε σαρκώδεις καὶ τὰς πνευματικάς των ψυγων καταστάσεις, δεικνύς, οίμαι, διά

1 τουτων fl τουτο vulg || 3 ο θεος ουδεν l vulg || 6 om το f vulg || 7  $\lambda \nu \pi \eta \rho o s$ ] oduv $\eta \rho o s$  f  $\parallel g \omega \parallel o \nu$  ehn o p  $\parallel$  10  $\pi a \nu \tau a \gamma a \rho \tau a \nu \tau a$  e  $\parallel$  11  $\delta \epsilon \nu \sigma o \pi$ .] ψευδοποιον  $l^*$  vulg || 12 αναιρετικήν h || 13 επιπολού f || 17 κατ αυτον lvulg || παρηγοριας  $l^{*vid}$  vulg || συστησασθαι f || διαιρειται f || 18-19 και τας πν.] και τας ψυχικας καταστασεις e

4. λόγος] 'the doctrine of the

goodness of God.'

6. εὶ γὰρ ἀγαθῆs] 'For if activity in good is the characteristic of a nature that is good.'

8. ἀνάγοιτο] 'traced back,' 'referred.

9. ἔτερον] e.g. such as the Mani-

chaean evil principle.

11. τοι̂s μέν] 'to those who are deeply tinged with the deceit of heresy, as with some indelible dye.' For èν βάθει cp. c. 8 την έν βάθει... γενομένην πρός τὸ κακὸν οἰκειότητα.  $\Delta \epsilon v \sigma$ . is found in Plat. Rep. 429 E —430 A, a passage which Gr. may have had in mind, as it contains a similar comparison to that of the present passage and concludes ΐνα δευσοποιός αὐτῶν ἡ δόξα γίγνοιτο.

14. σαθρά] lit. 'rotten,' 'unsound.' Hence 'ineffective,' 'weak.' Πρόχειρον, 'afford a ready proof of

their fallacious character?

17. προστήσασθαι] 'to put forward the Apostle in these matters as supporting us in our condemnation of them. The gen, κατηγορίαs is governed by συνήγορον.

18. πρòs Κορ.] 1 Cor. ii 14-15. 19. καταστάσεις] 'dispositions' or 'conditions.'

τῶν λεγομένων, ὅτι οὐ δι' αἰσθήσεως τὸ καλὸν ἢ τὸ κακὸν κρίνειν προσήκει, ἀλλ' ἔξω τῶν κατὰ τὸ σῶμα φαινομένων τὸν νοῦν ἀποστήσαντας, αὐτὴν ἐφ' ἑαυτῆς τοῦ καλοῦ τε καὶ τοῦ ἐναντίου διακρίνειν τὴν φύσιν. ὁ γὰρ πνευματικός, φησίν, ἀνακρίνει τὰ πάντα. ταύτην οἶμαι τὴν 5 αἰτίαν τῆς τῶν δογμάτων τούτων μυθοποιίας τοῖς τὰ τοιαῦτα προφέρουσιν ἐγγεγενῆσθαι, ὅτι πρὸς τὸ ἡδὺ τῆς σωματικῆς ἀπολαύσεως τὸ ἀγαθὸν ὁριζόμενοι διὰ τὸ πάθεσι καὶ ἀρρωστήμασιν ὑποκεῖσθαι κατ' ἀνάγκην τὴν τοῦ σώματος φύσιν σύνθετον οὖσαν καὶ εἰς διάλυσιν 10 ρεοῦσαν, ἐπακολουθεῖν δέ πως τοῖς τοιούτοις παθήμασιν ἀλγεινήν τινα αἴσθησιν, πονηροῦ θεοῦ τὴν ἀνθρωποποιίαν ἔργον εἶναι νομίζουσιν. ὡς εἴγε πρὸς τὸ ὑψηλότερον ἔβλεπεν αὐτοῖς ἡ διάνοια, καὶ τῆς περὶ τὰς ἡδονὰς διαθέσεως τὸν νοῦν ἀποικίσαντες ἀπαθῶς ἐπεσκόπουν 15

τ. δι' αἰσθήσεως] It is not by 'sense perception' that good and evil are judged. The intelligence (νοῦς) must be withdrawn from bodily phenomena (νοῦν κατὰ τὸ σῶμα φαιν.) and discern in its own distinctive character (αὐτὴν ἐφ' ἔαντῆς) the nature of good and the opposite.

6. μυθοποιίας] Cp. prol. τὰς ἡπατημένας περὶ τῶν δογμάτων μυ-

θοποιίας.

ἐγγεγενῆσθαι] 'This, Isuppose, was the cause of the fabrication of these fabulous doctrines in the case of these who put forward such views.' Ἐγγίγνεσθαι is used in the sense of inesse or innasci. Cf. Xen. Comm.
 2. 21 τοῦς ἀμελοῦσι λήθην ἐγγινομένην.

ib. ὅτι πρὸς τό] Krab.'s punctuation is bad here. "Οτι governs νομίζουσιν, while ἐπακολ. is closely

attached to  $\dot{v}\pi o \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}\sigma \theta a \iota$  and belongs to  $\delta \iota \dot{a} \tau \dot{o}$ .

ib. πρὸς τὸ ἡδύ] 'defining good with reference to the pleasure of bodily enjoyment.'  $\Sigma \omega \mu$ . is contrasted with the πνευματικός in the quotation.

9. πάθεσι καὶ ἀρρωστήμασιν] Here both words are used of bodily ailments. In c. 8 Gr. speaks of τὰ τῆς ψυχῆς ἀρρωστήματα. In this latter sense the word ἀρρώστημα was employed by the Stoics and is defined by Cicero *Tusc.* iv 10.

13. ὑs ϵἴγϵ] 'Since if their thought had turned its gaze in a loftier direction, and if, separating the intelligence from the disposition to care about pleasures, they had contemplated, free from the influence of the passions, the nature of existing things.' On the words διάνοια and νοῦs see antea c. 6 p. 35.

τὴν τῶν ὄντων φύσιν, οὐκ ἂν ἄλλο τι κακὸν εἶναι παρὰ τὴν ποιηρίαν ὦήθησαν. πονηρία δὲ πᾶσα ἐν τῆ τοῦ άγαθοῦ στερήσει χαρακτηρίζεται, οὐ καθ' έαυτὴν οὖσα, οὐδὲ καθ' ὑπόστασιν θεωρουμένη· κακὸν γὰρ οὐδὲν έξω ς προαιρέσεως έφ' έαυτοῦ κείται, άλλὰ τῶ μὴ εἶναι τὸ άγαθὸν οὕτω κατονομάζεται. τὸ δὲ μὴ ὂν οὐχ ὑφέστηκε, τοῦ δὲ μὴ ὑφεστῶτος δημιουργὸς ὁ τῶν ὑφεστώτων δημιουργός οὐκ ἔστιν. οὐκοῦν ἔξω τῆς τῶν κακῶν αἰτίας ὁ θεὸς ὁ τῶν ὄντων, οὐχ ὁ τῶν μὴ ὄντων ποιητὴς ὤν · ὁ τὴν 10 δρασιν, οὐ τὴν πήρωσιν δημιουργήσας · ὁ τὴν ἀρετήν, οὐ την στέρησιν αυτης αναδείξας ο άθλον της προαιρέσεως τὸ τῶν ἀγαθῶν γέρας τοῖς κατ' ἀρετὴν πολιτευομένοις προθείς, οὐκ ἀνάγκη τινὶ βιαία πρὸς τὸ ἐαυτῷ δοκοῦν ύποζεύξας την ανθρωπίνην φύσιν, καθάπερ τι σκεύος 15 ἄψυχον ἀκουσίως πρὸς τὸ καλὸν ἐφελκόμενος. εἰ δὲ τοῦ φωτός έξ αίθρίας καθαρώς περιλάμποντος έκουσίως τις

5  $v\phi$  eautov  $g^*p$   $\eta$   $a\phi$   $\epsilon$ .  $f \parallel \tau o \mu \eta$   $\epsilon i \nu a i p \parallel 5-6$   $\tau o$   $a \gamma a \theta o \nu \mid o m$   $\tau o$   $f \parallel$ II o a $\theta$  $\lambda$ o $\nu$ ] om o fl vulg | 12  $\gamma$  $\epsilon$  $\rho$ as |  $\pi$  $\epsilon$  $\rho$ as | \*vid vulg | 13  $\pi$  $\rho$ o $\sigma$  $\theta$  $\epsilon$  $\epsilon$ s deghnp | 15 εμψυχον vulg | 16 επιλαμποντος e

1. οὐκ ἂν ἄλλο] The principle that moral evil is alone κακόν is a leading thought of Gr. throughout the present treatise. Cp. c. 9 where he argues that the Incarnation was no degradation to God, for only moral evil can bring degradation. Similarly in cc. 15 and 16 he says that it was physical, not moral weakness which Christ took upon Him. The Divine goodness was unchanged by the Incarnation, for the only thing that could have changed it would have been the participation in vice. The conception, which is also found in Origen, has its roots in the importance assigned by both Origen and Gr. to the will, as the seat of evil. So in the present chapter Gr. says κακὸν γὰρ οὐδὲν ἔξω προαιρέσεως έφ' έαυτοῦ κείται.

3. χαρακτηρίζεται] 'The characteristic feature of all wickedness is to be found in the deprivation of good.

5. τω μη είναι 'but it receives its name from the non-existence of the good.'

6. τὸ δὲ μὴ ὄν] In what follows Gr. urges that God is the Creator of that which exists positively. That which is a mere negation of existence, such as evil has been defined to be, cannot be attributed to Him.

11.  $\dot{o}$   $\tilde{a}\theta\lambda o\nu$ ] The effect of this view of the relation of evil to man's free-will is to make the enjoyment of Divine blessings the reward of virtue.

ύποβάλοι τοῖς βλεφάροις τὴν ὅρασιν, ἔξω τῆς τοῦ μὴ βλέποντος αἰτίας ὁ ἥλιος.

8. ᾿Αλλ᾽ ἀγανακτεῖ πάντως ὁ πρὸς τὴν διάλυσιν βλέπων τοῦ σώματος, καὶ χαλεπὸν ποιεῖται τῷ θανάτῷ τὴν
ζωὴν ἡμῶν διαλύεσθαι, καὶ τοῦτό φησι τῶν κακῶν ἔσχατον 5
εἶναι, τὸ τὸν βίον ἡμῶν τῆ νεκρότητι σβέννυσθαι. οὐκοῦν
ἐπισκεψάσθω διὰ τοῦ σκυθρωποῦ τούτου τὴν ὑπερβολὴν
τῆς θείας εὐεργεσίαςς τάχα γὰρ ἂν μᾶλλον διὰ τούτων
προσαχθείη θαυμάσαι τὴν χάριν τῆς περὶ τὸν ἄνθρωπον
τοῦ θεοῦ κηδεμονίας. τὸ ζῆν διὰ τὴν τῶν καταθυμίων 10

Ι υποβαλλοι ρ επιβαλοι (-βαλλ- 1 vulg) efg¹ αποβαλοι (-βαλλ- hn) d  $\parallel$  **8.** 3 διαλυσιν] αναλ.  $1^*$  vid vulg  $\parallel$  5–6 και τουτο...σβεννυσθαι om  $1^*$  vulg  $\parallel$  8 μαλλον αν deghnp  $\parallel$  τουτου  $1^*$  vulg  $\parallel$  9 προσενεχθειη e προαχθειη  $g^2l^1$   $\parallel$  10 om των vulg

ύποβάλοι] cp. antea c. 6 p. 34 (note).

1-2.  $\xi \xi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ...  $ai\tau ias]$  'is free from blame on the part of him who fails to see.' For  $\xi \xi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s$   $ai\tau ias$  cp. c. 8.

8. Gr. is still discussing the objection stated in the previous chapter. One of the evils of man's present condition, it is urged, is the prevalence of physical death, In reply Gr. maintains that the dissolution of the body was really a merciful provision made by God after man's fall in order to undo its effects. The coats of skin in Genesis indicate mystically this truth. As it was into the sentient (i.e. bodily) part of man that the poison of evil was received, so it was fitting that that part should be dissolved in order that it might be remoulded by the resurrection. Gr. illustrates his meaning by the simile of an earthen vessel, which some illdisposed person renders useless by filling it with molten lead, but which the potter, in order to remove the lead, breaks up with a view to remodelling it.

The dissolution of the body, however, does not affect the soul. That too has been stained by sin, and it too has its appointed remedies, the practice of virtue in this life, the sifting judgment and painful discipline of purification in the after-life. Thus God not only foresaw man's fall, but provided for its remedy. It was better to restore man by penitence and suffering than never to have created him at all. The work of restoration was a task possible and fitting for Him alone who had created man. And so He who was man's Creator became also his Deliverer.

5–6.  $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta} \nu \dots \beta lo\nu$ ] By the 'dissolution' of the  $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$  Gr. refers to the dissolution in death of the component parts of man's nature. By the extinction of the  $\beta los$  he means the cessation of the outward activities of the life.

7. τοῦ σκυθρωποῦ] 'this dismallooking necessity.'

8. εὐεργεσίαs] Death, as Gr. proceeds to show, was a beneficent provision made by God, after man's fall, to enable the body to escape from the consequences of the Fall.

10. καταθυμίων] = 'acceptable,' 'pleasing.' Cp. c. 5.

ἀπόλαυσιν αίρετόν ἐστι τοῖς τοῦ βίου μετέχουσιν. ὡς εἴ γέ τις ἐν ὀδύναις διαβιώη, παρὰ πολὺ τῷ τοιούτῳ τὸ μὴ εἶναι τοῦ ἀλγεινῶς εἶναι προτιμότερον κρίνεται. οὐκοῦν ἐξετάσωμεν εἰ ὁ τῆς ζωῆς χορηγὸς πρὸς ἄλλο τι βλέπει, 5 καὶ οὐχ ὅπως ἂν ἐν τοῖς καλλίστοις βιώημεν. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ τῷ αὐτεξουσίῳ κινήματι τοῦ κακοῦ τὴν κοινωνίαν ἐπεσπασάμεθα, διά τινος ἡδονῆς οἶόν τι δηλητήριον μέλιτι παραρτυθέν τῆ φύσει τὸ κακὸν καταμίξαντες, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο τῆς κατὰ τὸ ἀπαθὲς νοουμένης μακαριότητος ἐκ-10 πεσόντες, πρὸς τὴν κακίαν μετεμορφώθημεν, τούτου ἕνεκεν οἴόν τι σκεῦος ὀστράκινον πάλιν ὁ ἄνθρωπος εἰς γῆν ἀναλύεται, ὅπως ἂν τῆς νῦν ἐναπειλημμένης αὐτῷ ῥυπαρίας ἀποκριθείσης εἰς τὸ ἐξ ἀρχῆς σχῆμα διὰ τῆς ἀναστάσεως ἀναπλασθείη. τὸ δὲ τοιοῦτον δόγμα ἰστορικώτερον μὲν

4 βλεπη vulg || 7 εξεσπασαμεθα l\*vid vulg || 8 παραμίξαντες d || 12 απειλημμ. dghnp απειλημ. e || 14 αναπλασθειη] + ει γε το κατ εικονα εν τη παρουση ζωη διεσωσατο deghl¹np

 ἐπεσπασάμεθα] Used here in the sense of 'acquire,' 'contract.'
 We brought upon ourselves.'

ib. διά τινος] 'by some indulgence in pleasure mingling evil with our nature like some deadly potion sweetened with honey.'

9. κατὰ τὸ ἀπαθès νοουμένης] 'the blessedness uhich the mind associates

with freedom from passion.'

 istic interpretation of the passage.

14. iotopik.] 'after the manner of history and in veiled language.' For αἴνιγμα cp. Numb. xii 8 (LXX) στόμα κατά στόμα λαλήσω αὐτῶ, ἐν είδει καὶ οὐ δι' αἰνιγμάτων. Cp. also 1 Cor. xiii 12. This passage is another instance of Gr.'s use of the allegorical interpretation of Scripture. Cp. antea c. 2 (note on ἀναγωγικῶs) and c. 5. The ref. is to Gen. iii 21. This interpretation of the coats of skin is found in Methodius de Resurr. i. 37 (ed. Bonw. p. 130) and still earlier in Clement of Alexandria (Strom. iii 14) and Origen (c. Cels. iv 40, in Lev. Hom. vi 2). It appears to have been derived from the Gnostics. See Iren. c. Haer. 1. 5. 5; Tert. de Res. Carnis 7. Its ultimate source was Rabbinic. Cp. Bigg Christian Platonists, p. 204 note. Gregory of Nazianzus (Or. xxxviii p. 670 D) also makes use of it.

καὶ δι' αἰνιγμάτων ὁ Μωσῆς ἡμῖν ἐκτίθεται. πλὴν ἔκδηλον καὶ τὰ αἰνίγματα τὴν διδασκαλίαν ἔγει. ἐπειδὴ γάρ, φησίν, έν τοις απηγορευμένοις έγενοντο οι πρώτοι άνθρωποι καὶ τῆς μακαριότητος ἐκείνης ἀπεγυμνώθησαν, δερματίνους ἐπιβάλλει χιτῶνας τοῖς πρωτοπλάστοις ὁ 5 κύριος οὔ μοι δοκεῖ πρὸς τὰ τοιαῦτα δέρματα τοῦ λόγου την διάνοιαν φέρων ποίων γαρ αποσφαγέντων τε καὶ δαρέντων ζώων ἐπινοεῖται αὐτοῖς ἡ περιβολή; ἀλλ, έπειδή πᾶν δέρμα χωρισθέν τοῦ ζώου νεκρόν ἐστι, πάντως οἶμαι τὴν πρὸς τὸ νεκροῦσθαι δύναμιν, ἡ τῆς ἀλόγου 10 φύσεως έξαίρετος ήν, έκ προμηθείας μετά ταῦτα τοῖς ανθρώποις επιβεβληκέναι τὸν τὴν κακίαν ἡμῶν ἰατρεύοντα, οὐχ ώς εἰς ἀεὶ παραμένειν ὁ γὰρ χιτὼν τῶν ἔξωθεν ήμιν ἐπιβαλλομένων ἐστί, πρὸς καιρὸν τὴν ἑαυτοῦ χρῆσιν παρέγων τῶ σώματι, οὐ συμπεφυκώς τῆ φύσει. οὐκοῦν 15 έκ της των αλόγων φύσεως ή νεκρότης οἰκονομικώς περι-

1 ημιν] υμιν vulg  $\parallel$  7 φερων] φερειν gp  $\parallel$  om τε el  $\parallel$  12 om τον e  $\parallel$  13 εις αει] αει h  $\parallel$  15 του σωματος vulg  $\parallel$  16 οικονομ. η νεκ. g

3.  $\dot{\epsilon}v$   $\tau o \hat{i}s$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi$ .] 'became involved in what was forbidden.'

ἀπεγυμν.] The δερμάτινος χιτών takes the place of the ἀπάθεια.
 πρωτοπλάστοις] a word applied to the first man in Wisd. vii 1, x 1.

6. οὔ μοι δοκεῖ] 'not, I think, intending to apply the sense of the word to these literal skins.' Φέρων belongs to the subject of φησίν, i.e. Μῶσης, δοκεῖ being parenthetical.

8-9. ἀλλ', ἐπειδή] The skins, accto Gr., represent that capacity of dying, which was the peculiar characteristic of the irrational nature. In de An. et Resurr. p. 148 (Migne) Gr. explains δέρμα as  $\tau \delta$  σχήμα τής άλόγου φύσεως, ῷ πρὸς τὸ πάθος οίκειωθέντες περιεβλήθημεν. In de Virg. c. 12 the coats of skin are  $\tau \delta$  φρόνημα τής σαρκός. Cp. de Mortuis

iii p. 524 (Migne).

13. εls åεl παραμένειν] Acc. to Gr. the first man had received the blessing of immortality and incorruption. Cp. c. 5. Cp. also de Hom. Op. c. 4, de Vita Moysis p. 397 (Migne). Hence he says below of the χιτών that it was οὐ συμπεφυκών τἢ φύσει. Death is a temporary provision, a 'coat' with which man is invested for a time.

16. οἰκονομικῶς] here opposed to that which naturally belongs to man. Death was assigned to man by way of 'accommodation' to his circumstances. Cp. for this use of οἰκονομικῶς in Christi Resurr. Or. ii p. 649 (Migne) ἃ γὰρ εἶχε φυσικῶς ὡς θεός, ταῦτα λέγεται λαμβάνειν ὡς γενόμενος ἄνθρωπος οἰκονομικῶς. See further Suicer Thesaurus.

ετέθη τη είς αθανασίαν κτισθείση φύσει, τὸ έξωθεν αὐτης περικαλύπτουσα, οὐ τὸ ἔσωθεν, τὸ αἰσθητὸν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου μέρος διαλαμβάνουσα, αὐτης δὲ της θείας εἰκόνος οὐ προσαπτομένη. λύεται δὲ τὸ αἰσθητόν, οὐκ ἀφανίζεται. ς άφανισμός μέν γάρ έστιν ή είς τὸ μὴ ὂν μεταχώρησις. λύσις δὲ ή εἰς τὰ τοῦ κόσμου στοιχεῖα πάλιν, ἀφ' ὧν τὴν σύστασιν έσχε, διάχυσις. το δε έν τούτοις γενόμενον ούκ απόλωλε, καν εκφεύνη την κατάληψιν της ημετέρας αίσθήσεως. ή δὲ αἰτία τῆς λύσεως δήλη διὰ τοῦ ρηθέντος το ήμεν ύποδείγματος. ἐπειδή γὰρ ή αἴσθησις πρὸς τὸ παχύ τε καὶ γήινον οἰκείως ἔχει, κρείττων δὲ καὶ ύψηλοτέρα τῶν κατ' αἴσθησιν κινημάτων ή νοερά φύσις, διὰ τοῦτο τῆς περὶ τὸ καλὸν κρίσεως ἐν τῆ δοκιμασία τῶν αίσθήσεων άμαρτηθείσης, της δὲ τοῦ καλοῦ διαμαρτίας 15 την της έναντίας έξεως υπόστασιν ένεργησάσης, το άχρειωθεν ήμων μέρος τη παραδοχή του εναντίου λύεται. ό δε τοῦ ὑποδείγματος λόγος τοιοῦτός ἐστι. δεδόσθω τι σκεῦος έκ πηλού συνεστηκέναι, τούτο δὲ πλήρες ἔκ τινος ἐπιβουλής γεγενήσθαι τετηκότος μολίβδου, τον δε μόλιβδον

2 και το αισθ. l vulg  $\parallel$  2-3 μερος τ. ανθρ. f  $\parallel$  7 διαλυσις efglhl  $\parallel$  10 om 12-13 κατ αισθησιν...δια τουτο της om l\* vulg | 19 μολυβδου...μολυβδον dehn

1. τὸ ἔξωθεν] This garment of 'mortality' enfolded only the outward and sentient part of man. It did not affect the higher life which constituted the Divine image in

4. λύεται] The physical, sentient part of man only suffers dissolution. It does not cease to exist.

 διάχυσις] 'diffusion.' word has been altered by some MSS. 10.  $\dot{v}\pi o\delta\epsilon i\gamma\mu\alpha\tau os$ ] i.e. the  $\sigma\kappa\epsilon\hat{v}os$ 

όστράκινον spoken of above, an illustration which he develops in what follows.

10-11. τὸ παχύ] 'the gross and earthy element.'

13. δοκιμασία] 'as it was by the arbitrament of the senses that our judgment about that which is good went astray.

14. διαμαρτίας 'deviation from the good.'

15. ἕξεως] 'state,' 'condition.'
ib. ἀχρειωθέν] 'disabled,' 'ren-

dered useless.'

17. λόγος] 'the point of our illustration.' The same illustration occurs in Methodius de Resurr. i 44 (ed. Bonw. p. 146).

18.  $\xi \kappa \tau \nu \sigma s \epsilon \pi \iota \beta \sigma \nu \lambda \eta s$  The filling of the vessel with lead is

represented as an act of spite.

ἐγχεθέντα παγῆναι καὶ μένειν ἀπρόχυτον, ἀντιποιεῖσθαι δὲ τοῦ σκεύους τὸν κεκτημένον, ἔχοντα δὲ τοῦ κεραμεύειν τὴν ἐπιστήμην περιθρύψαι τῷ μολίβδῷ τὸ ὅστρακον εἶθ' οὕτως πάλιν κατὰ τὸ πρότερον σχῆμα πρὸς τὴν ἰδίαν ἑαυτοῦ χρῆσιν ἀναπλάσαι τὸ σκεῦος, κενὸν τῆς ἐμμιχ- 5 θείσης ὕλης γενόμενον. οὕτως οὖν καὶ ὁ τοῦ ἡμετέρου σκεύους πλάστης, τῷ αἰσθητικῷ μέρει, τῷ κατὰ τὸ σῶμά φημι, τῆς κακίας καταμιχθείσης, διαλύσας τὴν παραδεξαμένην τὸ κακὸν ὕλην, πάλιν ἀμιγὲς τοῦ ἐναντίου διὰ τῆς ἀναστάσεως ἀναπλάσας, πρὸς τὸ ἐξ ἀρχῆς κάλλος το ἀναστοιχειώσει τὸ σκεῦος. ἐπειδὴ δὲ σύνδεσίς τις καὶ κοινωνία τῶν κατὰ ἁμαρτίαν παθημάτων γίνεται τῆ τε ψυχῆ καὶ τῷ σώματι, καί τις ἀναλογία τοῦ σωματικοῦ θανάτου πρὸς τὸν ψυχικόν ἐστι θάνατον ὅσπερ γὰρ ἐν σαρκὶ τὸ τῆς αἰσθητῆς χωρισθῆναι ζωῆς προσαγορεύομεν 15

1 εγχυθεντα ehl¹ vulg εκχεθεντα f  $\parallel$  απροχυτον $\parallel$  απροσχυτον  $\parallel$  vulg  $\parallel$  I-2 αντιποιεισθαι δε $\parallel$  om δε e  $\parallel$  3 μολυβδω dehn  $\parallel$  7 αισθητω  $\parallel$  vulg  $\parallel$  το κατα vulg  $\parallel$  12 αμαρτ. $\parallel$  την αμ. f

1.  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\rho\dot{\rho}\chi\nu\tau\sigma\nu$ ] a word not found in the Lexicons. The Paris editions read  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\rho\dot{\rho}\sigma\chi\nu\tau\sigma\nu$ , but all the best MSS support the text. 'So that it

cannot be poured out.'

ib. ἀντιποιεῖσθαι] 'lay claim to.' The owner claims the vessel and, as he has some knowledge of the potter's art, breaks it up and remodels it. The vessel is represented as unbaked. It is still  $\pi\eta\lambda\delta s$  and can be broken up.

κενόν] In Or. in funere Pulch.
 876 (Migne) Gr. says οὐδὲ γὰρ ἄλλο τί ἐστιν ἐπ' ἀνθρώπων ὁ θάνατος,

εί μη κακίας καθάρσιον.

11. ἀναστοιχειώσει] lit. 're-combine the elements of,' 're-form,' fashion afresh.' Cp. Or. in funere Pulch. p. 877 (Migne) τοῦτο γάρ ἐστιν ἡ ἀνάστασις, ἡ εἰς τὸ ἀρχαῖον τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἀναστοιχείωσις. Τhe words ἀναμόρφωσις, μεταμόρφωσις, μετάθεσις, μεταβολή, μεταποίησις, μεταστοιχείωσις and ἀναποίησις, μεταστοιχείωσις and ἀναποίησις, μεταστοιχείωσις and ἀναποίησις, μεταστοιχείωσις

στοιχείωσις are all used by Gr. to denote the restoration of human nature by Christ. Elsewhere Gr. applies the term  $d\pi \theta \delta \epsilon \omega \sigma t$  to this restoration. See Or. Cat. c. 37 συναποθεωθ $\hat{\eta}$  τὸ  $d\nu \theta \rho \omega \omega \tau \nu \sigma t$ .

ib.  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda$ .] The sentence is broken by a parenthesis  $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$   $\gamma \delta \rho$  and resumed by  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \circ \delta \nu$ . The apodosis begins with  $\delta \iota \hat{\alpha} \tau \circ \hat{\nu} \tau o$ .

ib. σύνδεσις] For the idea cp. de Ησιν. Opif. c. 15 ή δὲ τοῦ νοῦ πρὸς τὸ σωματικὸν κοινωνία ἄφραστόν τε καὶ ἀνεπινόητον τὴν συνάφειαν ἔχει... πλὴν ὅτι κατὰ τὸν ἴδιον αὐτῆς εἰρμὸν εὐοδουμένης τῆς φύσεως, καὶ ὁ νοῦς ἐνεργὸς γίνεται. εἰ δέ τι πλημμέλημα περὶ ταὐτην συμπέσοι, σκάζει κατ' ἐκεῖνο καὶ τῆς διανοίας ἡ κίνησις. See also note on ἀνάκρασις c. δ.

13.  $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta}$ ] not used here in its N.T. sense, but as a general term for the higher faculties included in  $\tau \delta \nu o \eta \tau \delta v$ 

and opposed to  $\tau \delta$  alogn $\tau \delta \nu$ .

θάνατον, ούτως καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς ψυχῆς τὸν τῆς ἀληθοῦς ζωῆς χωρισμον θάνατον ονομάζομεν έπει οθν μία τίς έστιν ή τοῦ κακοῦ κοινωνία, καθώς προείρηται, ἐν ψυχή τε θεωρουμένη και σώματι δι' αμφοτέρων γάρ πρόεισιν τὸ 5 πονηρον είς ενέργειαν δια τούτο ο μεν της διαλύσεως θάνατος έκ της των νεκρων δερμάτων έπιβολης της ψυχης ούν άπτεται. πώς γαρ αν διαλυθείη το μη συγκείμενον; έπει δε χρεία του κάκείνης τὰς ἐμφυείσας ἐξ άμαρτιῶν κηλίδας διά τινος ιατρείας έξαιρεθήναι, τούτου ένεκεν έν το μέν τη παρούση ζωή τὸ της ἀρετης φάρμακον εἰς θεραπείαν τῶν τοιούτων προσετέθη τραυμάτων. εἰ δὲ ἀθεράπευτος μένοι, έν τῶ μετὰ ταῦτα βίω τεταμίευται ἡ θεραπεία. άλλ' ὥσπερ εἰσί τινες κατά τὸ σῶμα τῶν παθημάτων διαφοραί, ὧν αί μὲν ράον, αί δὲ δυσκολώτερον τὴν θερα-15 πείαν προσίενται, έφ' ὧν καὶ τομαὶ καὶ καυτήρια καὶ

3 om η el vulg  $\parallel 4-5$  εις εν. τ. πονηρον l vulg  $\parallel 8$  επειδη δε  $g^1$  om δε l vulg | 11  $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \tau \in \theta \eta$  dghnp | 11-12  $\alpha \theta \in \rho \circ \alpha \pi \in \epsilon \cup \tau \circ s$   $\mu \in \nu \circ \iota$   $\alpha \theta \in \rho \circ \alpha \pi \in \epsilon \cup \tau \circ \iota$ μεινοιέν f || 12 ταμιευέται l vulg (in d deletum) || 13 των παθ. κ. τ. σωμα e | 15 καυτηριαι degh

5. διαλύσεως] The gen. defines θάνατος, 'death consisting in dissolution.'

6.  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa = \tau \hat{\eta} s \dots \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$ .] 'resulting from.'

7.  $\tau \delta \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \gamma \kappa$ .] The soul is not composite like the body, and cannot be dissolved by death. Other remedies must therefore be provided for it.

10. τὸ τῆς ἀρετῆς φάρμακον] i.e. the influence of a virtuous life in remedying the disorder produced in man's nature by sin. The language of this and the following passages shows marked traces of the Platonic teaching on κάθαρσις. Gr. discusses the question of the purification of souls without any reference to the Christian doctrine of redemption, and, in this passage at least, seems to teach a purely moral improvement effected during the present life from within by the practice of virtue, and in the after-life by a purificatory discipline. Such teaching must of course be modified by his subsequent language in this book on the effects of the Incarnation and their application through Sacraments. For a further treatment of the κάθαρσις see cc. 26 and 35 (sub fin.). The influence of Origen may be traced throughout. See especially Orig. de Princ. ii 10. 4-6, iii 1. 14-17. For Plato's teaching see especially Gorg. 477—8, 525, Prot. 324 B, Rep. ii 380, ix 591 etc.

12. τεταμίευται] The reading ταμιεύεται is a correction found in inferior MSS. Ταμιεύειν here='to lay up in store.' The perf. indicates that God has laid it up already, though it is to be applied after

judgment.

15. τομαὶ κτλ.] 'applications of

πικραὶ φαρμακοποσίαι πρὸς τὴν ἀναίρεσιν τοῦ ἐνσκήψαντος τῷ σώματι πάθους παραλαμβάνονται, τοιοῦτόν
τι καὶ ἡ μετὰ ταῦτα κρίσις εἰς θεραπείαν τῶν τῆς ψυχῆς ἀρρωστημάτων κατεπαγγέλλεται, ὁ τοῖς μὲν χαυνοτέροις ἀπειλὴ καὶ σκυθρωπῶν ἐστὶν ἐπανόρθωσις, ὡς 5
ἄν φόβῳ τῆς τῶν ἀλγεινῶν ἀντιδόσεως πρὸς τὴν φυγὴν
τῆς κακίας σωφρονισθείημεν· τοῖς δὲ συνετωτέροις ἰατρεία
καὶ θεραπεία παρὰ τοῦ θεοῦ τὸ ἴδιον πλάσμα πρὸς τὴν
ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἐπανάγοντος χάριν εἶναι πιστεύεται. ὡς γὰρ οἱ
τοὺς ἥλους τε καὶ τὰς ἀκροχορδόνας παρὰ φύσιν ἐπιγενομένας τῷ σώματι διὰ τομῆς ἡ καύσεως ἀποξύοντες οὐκ
ἀνώδυνον ἐπάγουσι τῷ εὐεργετουμένῳ τὴν ἴασιν, πλὴν οὐκ
ἐπὶ βλάβῃ τοῦ ὑπομένοντος τὴν τομὴν ἄγουσιν, οὕτως καὶ
ὅσα ταῖς ψυχαῖς ἡμῶν διὰ τῆς τῶν παθημάτων κοινωνίας

2 παθους τ. σωματι l vulg  $\parallel$  4 αρρωστ.] αμαρτηματων f  $\parallel$  5 σκυθρ.] των σκ. e  $\parallel$  επανορθ.] επαναστασις fl vulg  $\parallel$  10 om τε vulg  $\parallel$  τας ακροχ.] τους el vulg  $\parallel$  10-11 επιγενομένους el vulg επιγινομένας dg\*hnp  $\parallel$  13 επαγουσιν fg¹

the knife and caustics, and bitter

draughts of medicine?

3-4. ἡ μετὰ τ. κρίσις...κατεπ.] This shews that Gr. is not thinking of a purgatory between death and the judgment, but of one which follows upon that judgment.

4. ἀρρωστημάτων] See note c. 7

p. 39.

ib. τοις μèν χαυνοτέροις] The thought of the painful discipline of the future acts as a deterrent to the 'more thoughtless.' By those who are 'more discerning' such discipline is believed to be remedial and restorative. Χαῦνος, 'porous,' 'spongy,' hence 'empty,' 'frivolous.' 5. σκυθρωπῶν 'έπ.] The gen. is

5. σκυθρωπῶν ἐπ.] The gen. is subjective, 'a correction consisting in stern methods.' Similarly Origen (c. Cels. vii 70) speaks of οἱ δήμιοι ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι καὶ οἱ τεταγμένοι ἐπὶ τῶν σκυθρωπῶν μέν, ἀναγκαίων δὲ ἐν ταῖς πολιτείαις πραγμάτων.

7.  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho$ oνι $\sigma\theta$ .] 'we might be

brought to our senses and induced to flee from vice.'

9. ὡς γάρ] Such pains, like those inflicted by physicians, are remedial and beneficent in their aim. 'For just as those who remove by the knife or caustics moles and warts, which have come unnaturally upon the body, do not apply to him whom they benefit a method of healing that is painless.' Similarly Origen says (c. Cels. v 15) "Οτι δὲ οὐχ ώς μάγειρον φαμεν τὸ πῦρ ἐπιφέρειν τὸν Θεόν, ἀλλὶ ὡς Θεὸν εὐεργέτην τῶν χρηζόντων πόνου καὶ πυρὸς μαρτυρήσει καὶ ὁ προφήτης 'Ησαίας.

14.  $\delta\sigma a$ ] 'whatever material excressences become encrusted upon our souls when they have been rendered carnal through participation in the body's sufferings.' For this sense of  $\delta\pi\sigma\sigma\sigma\rho\kappa\sigma\dot{\nu}$  cf. Theophanes Hom, viii p. 269 (Migne)  $\epsilon \tilde{t}$   $\tau is$   $\delta \lambda os$   $\delta i$  ' $\delta \lambda ov$   $\delta m\sigma\sigma\sigma\rho\kappa\omega\theta e \eta$   $\tau \eta$   $\delta n \omega o i$ . On the words  $\pi\omega\rho\sigma v$  see J. A.

ἀποσαρκωθείσαις ύλώδη περιττώματα ἐπιπωροῦται, ἐν τῷ καιρώ της κρίσεως τέμνεταί τε καὶ ἀποξύεται τη ἀρρήτω έκείνη σοφία καὶ δυνάμει τοῦ, καθώς λέγει τὸ εὐαγγέλιον, τούς κακούς ιατρεύοντος. ου χρείαν γάρ έχουσι, φησίν, 5 οἱ ὑγιαίνοντες ἰατροῦ, ἀλλ' οἱ κακῶς ἔχοντες. διὰ δὲ τὸ πολλην γεγενησθαι τη ψυχή πρὸς τὸ κακὸν συμφυίαν ώσπερ ή της μυρμηκίας τομή δριμύσσει την επιφάνειαν. τὸ γὰρ παρὰ φύσιν ἐμφυὲν τῆ φύσει διά τινος συμπαθείας τῷ ὑποκειμένω προσίσχεται, καί τις γίνεται τοῦ το άλλοτρίου πρὸς τὸ ἡμέτερον παράλογος συνανάκρασις. ώς λυπείσθαι καὶ δάκνεσθαι τοῦ παρὰ φύσιν χωριζομένην την αἴσθησιν οὕτω καὶ τῆς ψυχῆς ἀπολεπτυνομένης τε καὶ ἐκτηκομένης ἐν τοῖς ὑπὲρ τῆς άμαρτίας ἐλεγμοῖς.

2 περιτεμνεται d || om τε l vulg || 3 καθως λ. τ. ευαγγ. του l vulg || 4 κακους] κακως εχοντας fl vulg  $\parallel$  om  $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$  f  $\parallel$  5 υγιαινοντες] ισχυοντες l vulg | 6 γενεσθαι d | 13 ελεγχοις vulg

Robinson Journal of Theol. Studies, iii 9 p. 81 ff.

ib. παθημ.] Cp. supra κοινωνία τῶν κατὰ ἀμαρτίαν παθημάτων.

1-2.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\omega} \kappa \cdot \tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \rho$ .] Probably Gr. based this teaching, as Origen did before him, on 1 Cor. iii 13.

3. λέγει] Luke v 31. words are also found with the variation lσχύοντες (see v.l. here) in Mk ii 17, Mt ix 12.

6. συμφυίαν] Cp. antea c. 6. 7. μυρμηκίαs] There is the following scholium on this word in the margin of the MSS b and e. ΙΙάθος τι περί τὸ δέρμα τοῦ σώματος ήμων γίνεται, δ μυρμηκία καλείται. σαρκώδης γάρ τις έπίφυσις μικρά έπανίσταται τῶ δέρματι, ήτις δοκεῖ μέν μέρος είναι τοῦ δέρματος, ώς προσπεφυκυία αὐτῶ, οὐ μὴν κατὰ ἀλήθειαν οὕτως ἔχει, ὅθεν καὶ τὴν ἄρσιν πρὸς την θεραπείαν έπιζητεί. τούτω ύποδείγματι έχρήσατο πρὸς τὸ προκείμενον

σφόδρα καταλλήλω. The word μυρμηκία occurs also in de An. et Res. p. 56 (Migne), where the same scholium is found. 'The excision of a wart gives a sharp sensation to the surface (of the body).

ib. ἐπιφάνειαν] 'surface.' Cp.

C. 23.

8. τὸ γὰρ παρὰ φύσιν] An explanation of the pain experienced at the amputation of such bodily excrescences. The means by which such an unnatural growth affects the subject, to which it is attached, is a kind of sympathy. The man feels for the alien growth as if it were really part of himself. While  $\epsilon\mu$ φυέν denotes the physical attachment, προσίσχεται denotes the relation which it bears to the personality.

12. ἀπολεπτ.] a paraphrase of Ps. xxxviii [xxxix] 12 LXX, where the phrases έξέτηξας and έν έλεγμοις

ύπερ άνομίας occur.

καθώς πού φησιν ή προφητεία, διὰ τὴν ἐν βάθει γενομένην πρὸς τὸ κακὸν οἰκειότητα κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐπακολουθοῦσιν άρρητοί τινες καὶ ανέκφραστοι αλγηδόνες, ων ή διήγησις έκ τοῦ ἴσου τὸ ἄφραστον ἔχει τῆ τῶν ἐλπιζομένων ἀγαθῶν φύσει, οὔτε γὰρ ταῦτα, οὔτε ἐκεῖνα τῆ δυνάμει τῶν 5 λόγων ή τῷ στοχασμῷ τῆς διανοίας ὑπάγεται. οὐκοῦν πρὸς τὸ πέρας τις ἀποσκοπῶν τῆς σοφίας τοῦ τὸ πᾶν οἰκονομοῦντος οὐκέτ' ἂν εὐλόγως κακῶν αἴτιον τὸν τῶν ανθρώπων δημιουργον ύπο μικροψυχίας κατονομάζοι, η αγνοείν αὐτὸν τὸ ἐσόμενον λέγων, η εἰδότα καὶ πεποιη- 10 κότα μη έξω της προς το πονηρον όρμης είναι. καὶ γαρ ήδει τὸ ἐσόμενον καὶ τὴν πρὸς τὸ γινόμενον ὁρμὴν οὐκ έκωλυσεν ότι γαρ έκτραπήσεται του άγαθου το άνθρωπινον, οὺκ ἡγνόησεν ὁ πάντα ἐμπερικρατῶν τῆ γνωστικῆ δύναμει καὶ τὸ ἐφεξῆς τῷ παρωχηκότι κατὰ τὸ ἴσον 15 βλέπων. ἀλλ' ὥσπερ τὴν παρατροπὴν ἐθεάσατο, οὕτω καὶ τὴν ἀνάκλησιν αὐτοῦ πάλιν τὴν πρὸς τὸ ἀγαθὸν κατενόησε. τι οὖν ἄμεινον ἦν, καθ' ὅλου μὴ ἀγαγεῖν τὴν φύσιν ήμων είς γένεσιν, έπειδή τοῦ καλοῦ διαμαρτήσεσθαι προεώρα τὸν γενησόμενον, ἢ ἀγαγόντα καὶ νενοσηκότα 20

Ι φησιν που dfg  $\parallel$  6 των στοχασμων hnp τον στοχασμον  $l^1$  vulg  $\parallel$  7 om της σοφιας  $f \parallel$  11 προς το πονηρον] πονηρας  $e \parallel$  13 οτι γαρ] exstant seqq in euth 12456  $\parallel$  14 τα παντα περικρατων  $f \parallel$  προορατικη l vulg  $\parallel$  15–16 βλεπων κ. τ. ισον l vulg  $\parallel$  20 τον γενησ.] το γενησ. l vulg  $\parallel$  νενοσηκυιαν euth

1-2. τὴν ἐν βάθει...οἰκ.] ' our deeply rooted connection with ενίιλ.' For ἐν βάθει cp. c. 7 τοῖς...ἐν βάθει καθάπερ τινὰ δευσοποιὸν βαφὴν τὴν αἰρετικὴν παραδεδεγμένοις ἀπάτην.

4-5.  $\tau \hat{\eta} ... \phi \hat{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ] is governed by  $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa$   $\tau \hat{\nu} \hat{\nu} \ell \sigma \nu$ . It is as impossible to describe the pains of the future purification as it is to describe the future blessings which man hopes for.

9. μικροψυχίαs] Such accusations against the Creator show 'a little mind.' Cp. c. 9 τῶν μικροψυχοτέρων

την πίστιν.

11.  $\xi \xi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \dots \hat{o}$ .] Cp. c.  $7 \xi \xi \omega$ 

της airlas (his).

13. ὅτι γάρ] The following passage as far as χώραν οὐκ ἔχει, p. 50, is quoted in Euthymius Zigabenus Pan. Dogm. pt i tit. vi pp. 201 sq. (Migne).

20. ἀγαγ...νενοσ.] ἀγ. refers to God, νενοσ. to man (τὸν γενησόμενον). The text of Euth., in order to make the sense clearer, reads νενοσηκύλαν (sc. τὴν φύσιν ἡμῶν). Καὶ νενοσ., 'eren unen he vas diseased.'

πάλιν πρὸς τὴν έξ ἀρχῆς χάριν διὰ μετανοίας ἀνακαλέσασθαι: τὸ δὲ διὰ τὰς σωματικὰς ἀλγηδόνας, αὶ τῶ ρευστώ της φύσεως κατ' ανάγκην έπισυμβαίνουσι, κακών ποιητήν τὸν θεὸν ὀνομάζειν, ἡ μηδὲ ὅλως ἀνθρώπου ς κτίστην αὐτὸν οἴεσθαι, ώς ἂν μὴ καὶ τῶν ἀλγυνόντων ήμας αἴτιος ὑπονοοῖτο, τοῦτο της ἐσχάτης μικροψυχίας έστὶ τῶν τῆ αἰσθήσει τὸ καλὸν καὶ τὸ κακὸν διακρινόντων, οι οὐκ ἴσασιν ὅτι ἐκείνο τῆ φύσει μόνον ἐστὶν ἀγαθόν, οὖ ή αἴσθησις οὐκ ἐφάπτεται, καὶ μόνον ἐκεῖνο κακὸν ή τοῦ 10 άληθινοῦ άγαθοῦ άλλοτρίωσις. πόνοις δὲ καὶ ήδοναῖς τὸ καλον καὶ τὸ μὴ καλον κρίνειν τῆς ἀλόγου φύσεως ἴδιόν έστιν, έφ' ὧν τοῦ ἀληθῶς καλοῦ ἡ κατανόησις διὰ τὸ μὴ μετέχειν αὐτὰ νοῦ καὶ διανοίας χώραν οὐκ έχει. ἀλλ' ότι μεν θεοῦ ἔργον ὁ ἄνθρωπος, καλόν τε καὶ ἐπὶ καλ-15 λίστοις γενόμενον, οὐ μόνον ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων δῆλόν ἐστιν, άλλα καὶ ἐκ μυρίων ἐτέρων, ὧν τὸ πληθος διὰ τὴν ἀμετρίαν παραδραμούμεθα. Θεον δε άνθρώπου ποιητην όνομάσαντες οὐκ ἐπιλελήσμεθα τῶν ἐν τῷ προοιμίω πρὸς τοὺς "Ελληνας ήμεν διευκρινηθέντων, εν οίς απεδείκνυτο ο τοῦ 20 θεοῦ λόγος οὐσιώδης τις καὶ ἐνυπόστατος ὢν αὐτὸς εἶναι καὶ θεὸς καὶ λόγος, πάσαν δύναμιν ποιητικήν έμπεριειληφώς, μᾶλλον δὲ αὐτοδύναμις ὢν καὶ πρὸς πᾶν ἀγαθὸν την όρμην έχων καὶ παν ο τι περ αν θελήση κατεργαζόμενος τῶ σύνδρομον ἔχειν τῆ βουλήσει τὴν δύναμιν, οὖ καὶ 25 θέλημα καὶ ἔργον ἐστὶν ἡ τῶν ὄντων ζωή, παρ' οἱ καὶ ὁ

1 om δια μετανοίας  $fl^*$  vulg  $\parallel g \eta$  αισθ. ] om  $\eta$  deghnp euth 1246  $\parallel$  10 αληθ. αγαθου] om αληθινου  $g^{1}$   $[*n^{1}]$  αληθ. καλου  $\deg^{*}$  hp euth [\*i] μη καλον[\*κακον] κακον vulg || διακρινείν euth 245 || 12 αληθίνου καλού d αληθούς καλού ef || 13 αυτό e ||  $\epsilon \chi \epsilon i$  desinit euth || 21  $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \omega s$  hap || 22  $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o \nu$  ]  $\epsilon \rho \gamma o \nu$   $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta o \nu$  1 vulg || 23 om την l vulg || 24 το συνδρ. fp || βουλησει  $\theta$  θελησει dn

<sup>2-3.</sup>  $\tau\hat{\varphi}$   $\dot{\rho}\epsilon v\sigma\tau\hat{\varphi}$ ] Bodily pain is the result of the unstable character of man's nature.

<sup>7.</sup>  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  al $\sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ] Cp. antea c. 7 πρὸς τὸ ἡδὺ τῆς σωματικῆς ἀπολαύσεως

τὸ ἀγαθὸν ὁριζόμενοι.

<sup>14.</sup>  $\epsilon \pi i \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda$ .] Cp. c. 5  $\epsilon \pi i$ τούτοις (note).

<sup>16.</sup> ἀμετρίαν] 'countless number.'

<sup>18.</sup> προοιμ.] i.e. in c. 1.

άνθρωπος είς τὸ ζην παρήχθη, πασι τοῖς καλλίστοις θεοειδώς κεκοσμημένος. ἐπειδή δὲ μόνον ἀναλλοίωτον ἐστι κατά την φύσιν τὸ μη διά κτίσεως έχον την γένεσιν, τά δ' όσα παρά της ακτίστου φύσεως έκ τοῦ μη όντος ὑπέστη, εὐθὺς ἀπὸ τροπῆς τοῦ εἶναι ἀρξάμενα, πάντοτε δι' ἀλ- 5 λοιώσεως πρόεισιν, εί μεν κατά φύσιν πράττοι, πρός τὸ κρείττον αυτοίς της αλλοιώσεως είς αεί γιγνομένης, εί δέ παρατραπείη της εὐθείας, της πρός τὸ έναντίον αὐτὰ διαδεχομένης κινήσεως έπει οθν έν τούτοις και ό άνθρωπος ην, ὧ τὸ τρεπτὸν τῆς φύσεως πρὸς τὸ ἐναντίον παρώ- 10 λισθεν, άπαξ δὲ τῆς τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀναχωρήσεως δι' ἀκολούθου πᾶσαν ιδέαν κακῶν ἀντεισαγούσης, ὡς τῆ μὲν αποστροφή τής ζωής αντεισαχθήναι τὸν θάνατον, τή δὲ στερήσει τοῦ φωτὸς ἐπιγενέσθαι τὸ σκότος, τῆ δὲ τῆς άρετης άπουσία την κακίαν άντεισαγθήναι και πάση τη 15 τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἰδέα τὸν τῶν ἐναντίων ἀνταριθμηθῆναι κατάλογον, τον έν τούτοις καὶ τοῖς τοιούτοις έξ άβουλίας έμπεπτωκότα οὐδε γὰρ ἦν δυνατὸν ἐν Φρονήσει εἶναι τὸν απεστραμμένον την φρόνησιν και σοφόν τι βουλεύσασθαι τον της σοφίας αναχωρήσαντα δια τίνος έδει πάλιν 20 πρὸς τὴν ἐξ ἀρχῆς χάριν ἀνακληθῆναι; τίνι διέφερεν ή τοῦ πεπτωκότος ἀνόρθωσις, ή τοῦ ἀπολωλότος ἀνά-

4 om του vulg  $\parallel$  6 προεισιν]+και  $\parallel$  vulg  $\parallel$  7 γενομένης ef γιν- vulg  $\parallel$  11 του αγαθου d  $\parallel$  12 πασαν κακ. ιδ. e  $\parallel$  16 om ιδέα  $l^*$  vulg  $\parallel$  καταριθμηθηναι  $g^{l}$ l vulg  $\parallel$  20 δια τινος] exstant seqq in euth 12456  $\parallel$  παλιν] τον ανθρωπον euth 12  $\parallel$  21 τινι δε διεφέρεν f τινι δε επρέπεν l vulg

5. ἀπὸ τροπῆs] Cp. c, 6 ἀπὸ ἀλλοιώσεως ἥρξατο.

6. εὶ μὲν κατὰ φύσω] The natural development of man would have been δι' ἀλλοιώσεως in the direction of improvement. By his departure from good it became a progressive deterioration.

8. της εὐθείας] sc. όδοῦ.

16. ἀνταριθμηθηναι] 'over against every kind of good there was set down the list of opposite evils.'

17-18. τον...έμπεπτ.] Here begins the apodosis. The acc is the subject of the inf. ἀνακληθῆναι below.

18. φρονήσει] 'practical wisdom,' 'prudence.' On φρόνησιs and σοφία

see Lightfoot on Col. i 10.

20. διὰ τίνος] The following passage, as far as the words τό τε σῶμα τῆς ψυχῆς διακρίνεται in c. 16, is reproduced in Euth. Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt i tit. vii pp. 213 sq. (Migne).

κλησις, ή τοῦ πεπλανημένου χειραγωγία; τίνι ἄλλφ ἢ τῷ κυρίφ πάντως τῆς φύσεως; τῷ γὰρ ἐξ ἀρχῆς τὴν ζωὴν δεδωκότι μόνφ δυνατὸν ἦν καὶ πρέπον ἄμα καὶ ἀπολομένην ἀνακαλέσασθαι. ὁ παρὰ τοῦ μυστηρίου τῆς ἀλη-5 θείας ἀκούομεν, θεὸν πεποιηκέναι κατ' ἀρχὰς τὸν ἄνθρωπον καὶ σεσωκέναι διαπεπτωκότα μανθάνοντες.

9. ᾿Αλλὰ μέχρι μὲν τούτων συνθήσεται τυχὸν τῷ λόγῷ ὁ πρὸς τὸ ἀκόλουθον βλέπων διὰ τὸ μὴ δοκεῖν ἔξω τι τῆς θεοπρεποῦς ἐννοίας τῶν εἰρημένων εἶναι πρὸς δὲ τὰ το ἐφεξῆς οὐχ ὁμοίως ἔξει, δι᾽ ὧν μάλιστα τὸ μυστήριον τῆς ἀληθείας κρατύνεται γένεσις ἀνθρωπίνη καὶ ἡ ἐκ νηπίου πρὸς τελείωσιν αὔξησις, βρῶσίς τε καὶ πόσις, καὶ κόπος, καὶ ὕπνος, καὶ λύπη, καὶ δάκρυον, συκοφαντία τε καὶ δικα-

3 απολλυμένην l vulg  $\parallel$  5 εξ αρχης l vulg  $\parallel$  6 μανθανομέν e **9.** 8 om o l vulg  $\parallel$  9-10 το εφεξ. ghnp euth  $\parallel$  11 αληθείας] ευσεβείας f οικονομίας euth 1456  $\parallel$  γεννησιν ανθρωπίνην λέγω euth  $\parallel$  11-12 την...αυξησίν, βρωσίν... ποσίν...κοπον euth  $\parallel$  13 υπνον...λυπην...συκοφαντίαν euth

## CHAPS. IX—XXXII. ON THE INCARNATION AND REDEMPTION.

9. In this and the two following chapters Gr. discusses some of the objections urged against the method employed by God in the Incarnation. One such objection arises out of the alleged degradation to the Divine Nature involved in it. The submission to the processes of birth and growth, the acceptance of the conditions of human life, and, finally, the dishonour attaching to the trial, death and burial of Christ, these, it is urged, were unworthy of God. In reply Gr. maintains that vice is the only degradation. The Incarnation, in that it was marked by absolute freedom from contact with vice, was an exhibition of moral perfection (καλόν).

9.  $\theta \epsilon o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi o \hat{v} s$   $\epsilon \nu \nu o l a s$ ] 'a conception which is worthy of God.'  $T \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon l \rho \eta \mu$ . depends on  $\tau \iota$ .

9-10.  $\pi \rho \delta s \delta \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \epsilon \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$ ] The

sentence which follows is difficult. The text of Euth. is the result of an attempt to simplify the construction. The antecedent to  $\delta\iota^{\gamma}$   $\delta\nu$  is  $\tau \grave{\alpha}$   $\epsilon \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\gamma} s$ , which refers to  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma s$   $\delta \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \nu \eta$   $\kappa \tau \lambda$ , these latter words being in loose apposition to  $\tau \grave{\alpha}$   $\epsilon \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\gamma} s$ . For a similar instance of a broken construction see c. 1 sub fin. with note.

10. οὐχ ὁμοίως ἔξει] The subject is either the same as that of συνθήσεται, 'he will not think the same' or impersonal 'the case will not be the

same.'

10–11. τὸ μυστήριον τ. ἀ.] i.e. the doctrine of the Incarnation, which rests upon and is established by  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$ ., although these latter are likely at first to be a stumbling-block to the catechumen.

13.  $\sigma \nu \kappa \sigma \phi \alpha \nu \tau \sigma = false accusation.$   $\Delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau$ . false of judgment.

στήριον, καὶ σταυρός, καὶ θάνατος, καὶ ἡ ἐν μνημείω θέσις. ταθτα γάρ συμπαραλαμβανόμενα τῶ μυστηρίω ἀμβλύνει πως των μικροψυχοτέρων την πίστιν, ώς μηδε το έφεξης τῶν λεγομένων διὰ τὰ προειρημένα συμπαραδέγεσθαι. τὸ γὰρ θεοπρεπές της ἐκ νεκρῶν ἀναστάσεως διὰ τὸ περὶ 5 τον θάνατον άπρεπες ου προσίενται. έγω δε πρότερον οίμαι δείν μικρον της σαρκικής παχύτητος τον λογισμον αποστήσαντας, αὐτὸ τὸ καλὸν ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ καὶ τὸ μὴ τοιούτον κατανοήσαι, ποίοις γνωρίσμασιν έκάτερον τούτων καταλαμβάνεται. οὐδένα γὰρ ἀντερεῖν οἶμαι τῶν λελο- 10 γισμένων, ὅτι ἐν κατὰ φύσιν μόνον τῶν πάντων ἐστὶν αίσχρον το κατά κακίαν πάθος, το δε κακίας εκτός παντός αίσχους έστιν άλλότριον ο δε μηδεν αίσχρον καταμέμικται, τοῦτο πάντως ἐν τῆ τοῦ καλοῦ μοίρα καταλαμβάνεται, τὸ δὲ ἀληθῶς καλὸν ἀμιγές ἐστι τοῦ ἐναντίου. 15 πρέπει δὲ θεῷ πᾶν ὅ τι περ ἐν τῆ τοῦ καλοῦ θεωρεῖται γώρα, ἢ τοίνυν δειξάτωσαν κακίαν εἶναι τὴν γέννησιν. την ανατροφήν, την αύξησιν, την προς το τέλειον της

ι και σταυρος] σταυρον (om και) euth  $\parallel$  θανατον euth  $\parallel$  την... θεσιν euth  $\parallel$  3 τα εφεξης en euth  $\parallel$  6 προσιεται g¹l vulg  $\parallel$  7 δειν]+αυτους g¹l¹  $\parallel$  10 παραλαμβανεται d  $\parallel$  12–13 το κατα κακιαν...αισχρον om l\*  $\parallel$  13–15 εστιν αλλοτρ...αμιγες εστ. τ. εναντιου om euth 1 et (exceptis εστ. αλλοτρ.) 2  $\parallel$  13 αισχρον] εναντιου vulg  $\parallel$  μεμικται vulg  $\parallel$  14 παραλαμβ. ehn  $\parallel$  16 τω θεω  $\parallel$ \* vid vulg  $\parallel$  ο τι περ]+αν deghnp  $\parallel$  θεωρηται en euth 25  $\parallel$  17 γενεσιν fg¹

2. συμπαραλαμβ.] 'when taken along with the revelation,'

αὐη τοι πενεσειατοπ.
 ἀν ἀμβλύνει] 'blunt,' 'weaken.'
 Μικροψυχ. cp. c. 8 ὑπὸ μικροψυχίας.
 τὸ ἐφεξῆς] i.e. the Resurrec-

tion. 7-8. τὸν λογ. ἀποστ.] Cp. c. 7 τὸν νοῦν ἀποστήσαντας.

8. αὐτὸ τὸ καλόν] See note c. 5 p. 27.

10.  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \lambda o \gamma$ .] The perf. is intensive, 'no one who has carefully

pondered the matter.'

12.  $\tau \delta$   $\delta \epsilon$   $\kappa \alpha \kappa (\alpha s \epsilon \kappa \tau \delta s)$  'that which is free from moral evil.'

14.  $\mu$ olpa] For this periphrastic use of  $\mu$ olpa (= in numero...esse) cp. Plat. Phileb. 54 C en  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ  $\mu$ olpa ἐκεῦνὁ ἐστι. Καταλ. is a mere variation of phrase for the usual  $\theta$ εωρεῖσθαι which follows, while  $\mu$ olpa is followed by the equivalent χώρα. This is assuredly found to be good.

φύσεως πρόοδον, την τοῦ θανάτου πεῖραν, την ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου ἐπάνοδον· ἡ εἰ ἔξω κακίας εἶναι τὰ εἰρημένα συντίθενται, οὐδὲν αἰσχρὸν εἶναι τὸ κακίας ἀλλότριον ἐξ ἀνάγκης ὁμολογήσουσι. καλοῦ δὲ πάντως ἀναδεικνυμένου 5 τοῦ πάσης αἰσχρότητος καὶ κακίας ἀπηλλαγμένου, πῶς οὐκ ἐλεεινοὶ τῆς ἀλογίας οἱ τὸ καλὸν μὴ πρέπειν ἐπὶ θεοῦ δογματίζοντες;

10. 'Αλλὰ μικρόν, φησί, καὶ εὐπερίγραπτον ἡ ἀνθρωπίνη φύσις, ἄπειρον δὲ ἡ θεότης, καὶ πῶς ἂν περιελήφθη το τῷ ἀτόμῳ τὸ ἄπειρον; καὶ τίς τοῦτό φησιν, ὅτι τῷ περιγραφῷ τῆς σαρκὸς καθάπερ ἀγγείω τινὶ ἡ ἀπειρία τῆς θεότητος περιελήφθη; οὐδὲ γὰρ ἐπὶ τῆς ἡμετέρας ζωῆς ἐντὸς κατακλείεται τῶν τῆς σαρκὸς ὅρων ἡ νοερὰ φύσις.

I om tou vulg  $\parallel$  2  $\eta$   $\epsilon_l$  om  $\epsilon_l$  n euth 25  $\parallel$   $\tau\omega\nu$   $\epsilon_l\rho\eta\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$  e om ta  $\epsilon_l\rho$ , p  $\parallel$  3  $\sigma\nu\nu\tau_l\theta\epsilon\tau_{\alpha l}$  l euth 1  $\parallel$   $\sigma\nu\delta\epsilon\nu$ ] kal  $\sigma\nu\delta\epsilon_{\nu}$  euth 25  $\parallel$  4 apodelk, euth 25  $\parallel$  5 tou...aphlag. om 1\* vulg 10. 8 aperiyrapt. 1\* vid euth 16 peryrapt. 1\* vid euth 16

6.  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\epsilon\iota\nu$ οὶ  $\tau\hat{\eta}s$   $\dot{a}\lambda$ .] 'to be pitied

for their folly.'

10. A second objection is 'How can the finite contain the infinite? How can the Divine Nature be contained within the limits of human nature?' Gr. replies that a fallacy underlies such questions. The Divine Nature is not confined within human nature as though the latter were a vessel. Even the soul of man, where engaged in the movements of thought, ranges at will far beyond the limits of the body. The relations of the human and Divine natures may be illustrated by those of the flame and the wick.

8. 'Αλλὰ κτλ.] The passage which follows is quoted by Leontius of Byzantium c. Nest. et Eutych. bk iii. See Galland Bibl. Vet. Patr. xii p. 699.

ib. εὐπερίγραπτον] The com-

mon text has περιγραπτόν, 'circumscribed.' Εὐπερίγραπτον means 'easily circumscribed,' 'narrow,' 'small.' Gr. uses the word in Hex., proem p. 64 (Migne) ἐν δλίγοις τε καὶ εὐπεριγράπτοις τοῖς ῥήμασιν.

10. ἀτόμω] 'how the infinite could have been contained in the

atom.'

ib. τls τοῦτό φ.] This passage is quoted by Theodoret Dial. ii (Inconfusus) p. 194 (Migne), to prove the two natures in Christ, although Gr.'s purpose is quite different, and the words are intended to correct a false conception of the union of the two natures.

13.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\dot{\delta}s$ ] For the separation of the prep. from the noun cp. c. 11  $\dot{\epsilon}\iota\tau\dot{\delta}s$   $\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$   $\tau\eta\dot{s}s$   $\sigma\eta\dot{s}$   $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\lambda\dot{\eta}\psi\epsilon\omega s$ . For the relations of soul and body see an interesting passage in Plotinus Enn. 4. 3. 20 sq., which Gr.

αλλ' ὁ μὲν ὅγκος τοῦ σώματος τοῖς οἰκείοις μέρεσι περιγράφεται, ἡ δὲ ψυχὴ τοῖς τῆς διανοίας κινήμασι πάση κατ' ἐξουσίαν ἐφαπλοῦται τῆ κτίσει, καὶ μέχρις οὐρανῶν ἀνιοῦσα, καὶ τῶν ἀβύσσων ἐπιβατεύουσα, καὶ τῷ πλάτει τῆς οἰκουμένης ἐπερχομένη, καὶ πρὸς τὰ καταχθόνια διὰ 5 τῆς πολυπραγμοσύνης εἰσδύνουσα, πολλάκις δὲ καὶ τῶν οὐρανίων θαυμάτων ἐν περινοία γίνεται, οὐδὲν βαρυνομένη τῷ ἐφολκίῳ τοῦ σώματος. εἰ δὲ ἀνθρώπου ψυχὴ κατὰ τὴν τῆς φύσεως ἀνάγκην συγκεκραμένη τῷ σώματι πανταχοῦ κατ' ἐξουσίαν γίνεται, τίς ἀνάγκη τῆ φύσει τῆς 10 σαρκὸς τὴν θεότητα λέγειν ἐμπεριείργεσθαι καὶ μὴ διὰ τῶν χωρητῶν ἡμῖν ὑποδειγμάτων στοχασμόν τινα πρέ-

4 ανιουσα] φθανουσα qr || τα πλατη euth 45 || 5 επερχομένη] περιπολευουσα qr || 7 υπερουρ. dgnp euth επουρ. eh || 10 η αναγκη vulg || 12 χωρητικών q χωριτικών r || στοχασμον]+ημιν l vulg

may have had in his mind, as the illustration of the  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}0\nu$ , which Gr. has employed, occurs in it.

1.  $\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\ddot{\delta}\gamma\kappa\sigmas$ ] The 'bulk' of

1. δ μέν δγκος] The 'bulk' of the body is limited by its own particular parts and confined to them.

3. ἐφαπλοῦται] 'but the soul by the movements of its thought deploys over the whole creation at will.' Gr. has probably in mind a passage of the Phaedrus of Plato (246 B): ἡ ψυχὴ πᾶσα παντὸς ἐπιμελεῖται τοῦ ἀψύχου, πάντα τε οὐρανὸν περιπολεῖ, ἄλλοτε ἐν ἄλλοις εἴδεσι γιγνομένη. τελέα μὲν οὖν οὖσα καὶ ἐπτερωμένη μετεωροπορεῖ τε καὶ ἄπαντα τὸν κόσμον διοικεῖ.

4. ἐπιβατεύουσα] lit. 'setting foot on,' 'entering.' Cf. c. Ειμποπ. i p. 292 (Migne) ὑπερορισμός οὐ φοβήσει τὸν πάσης τῆς γῆς μετὰ τῆς αὐτῆς γνώμης ἐπιβατεύοντα.

νοήμασιν. The text of Euth. has the correction  $\pi\lambda$ άτη.

6. πολυπραγμοσύνης] 'in its unwearying pursuit of truth.' For πολυπραγμονεῦν in this sense cp. Cyril Al. de Adorat. i p. 145 (Migne) πολυπραγμονῶμεν εὖ μάλα τῆς ἀληθείας τὸ κάλλος.

7.  $\pi\epsilon\rho\nu o(a)$  'is engaged in comprehending the wonders of the heavens.' Heplvoia occurs in Plat. Ax. 370 C, where it is similarly used of the comprehension of the wonders of the heavens and the processes of nature. For the phrase  $\epsilon\nu$   $\pi$ .  $\gamma\nu$ . cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 6 with Dr Mason's note.

8.  $\tau\hat{\varphi}$  è $\phi$ 0 $\kappa$ 1 $\omega$ ] 'burdened by the appendage of the body.' ' $E\phi$ 0 $\kappa$ 0. lit. 'a ship that is taken in tow.' Plotinus (de Pulchr. 54 E) similarly uses  $\delta \lambda \kappa \hat{\gamma}$  of that which drags down the soul.

12. χωρητῶν] 'illustrations which we can comprehend.'

ib.  $\sigma \tau ο \chi α \sigma \mu b \nu$ ] 'conjecture.' Cp. c.  $8 \tau \hat{\phi} \sigma \tau ο \chi \alpha \sigma \mu \hat{\phi} \tau \hat{\eta} s$  διανοίαs. For οίκονομ. see antea c. 5 init. note.

ποντα περὶ τῆς θείας οἰκονομίας λαβεῖν; ὡς γὰρ τὸ πῦρ ἐπὶ τῆς λαμπάδος ὁρᾶται τῆς ὑποκειμένης περιδεδραγμένον ὕλης, καὶ λόγος μὲν διακρίνει τό τε ἐπὶ τῆς ὕλης πῦρ καὶ τὴν τὸ πῦρ ἐξάπτουσαν ὕλην, ἔργῳ δὲ οὐκ ἔστιν ἀπὰ 5 ἀλλήλων ταῦτα διατεμόντας, ἐφὰ ἑαυτῆς δεῖξαι τὴν φλόγα διεζευγμένην τῆς ὕλης, ἀλλὰ ἐν τὰ συναμφότερα γίνεται, οὕτω καὶ ἐπὶ τούτου καί μοι μηδεὶς τὸ φθαρτικὸν τοῦ πυρὸς συμπαραλαμβανέτω τῷ ὑποδείγματι, ἀλλὰ ὅσον εὐπρεπές ἐστι μόνον ἐν τῆ εἰκόνι δεξάμενος, τὸ ἀπεμφαῖνον ἀποποιτο είσθω τὸν αὐτὸν οὖν τρόπον, ὡς ὁρῶμεν καὶ ἐξημμένην τοῦ

- 2 lamphodous e  $\parallel$  5 ef eauths] ef eauthv vulg  $\parallel$  7 outw] om e euth  $\parallel$  kai epi toutov] om deghlip vulg  $\parallel$  kai moi] om moi fl vulg  $\parallel$  9 to apoikeiov kai apemp. l vulg  $\parallel$  10 om ouv gl euth 12
- 1.  $\dot{\omega}s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] The illustration of the flame and the wick which follows has been criticized as a touch of unconscious Eutychianism. But the purpose of Gr. in using the illustration is simply to show that the flame is inseparably connected with the wick and yet is not enclosed in it. Any further parallelism is foreign to his intention.

2. ὑποκειμένης] 'the material supplied to it' i.e. for the flame to

feed upon. *ib.* περιδεδρ.] Cp. c. 6 p. 36.

3. λόγος διακρ.] The distinction between the flame and the wick, which reason (λόγος) makes, does

not exist practically  $(\ell\rho\gamma\omega)$ , as it is not possible to exhibit the flame

separate from the wick.

7. ° οῦτω καὶ ἐπὶ τούτου ] The text is in some confusion here. The reading adopted most easily explains the origin of the variations. Gr. begins to apply the comparison in the words οῦτω καὶ ἐπὶ τούτου, and then introduces a parenthesis καὶ μοι.....ἀποποιείσθω to safeguard his illustration from being misconceived, afterwards resuming the main sentence with τὸν αὐτὸν οὖν τρόπου.

ib. τὸ φθαρτικόν] Gr. guards against any material conceptions

which may be associated with his illustration, such as may arise from the perishable character of the flame. His readers are to reject what is incongruous in the illustration. For  $\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t}$  in (note),  $\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t}$  is found in Job viii 20 (LXX) and in other passages of the same book.

10. έξημμένην] 'τυε see the flame clinging to that which is supplied to it and not included in the material." 'Εξημμ, is variously rendered in the different versions. Zinus, the Latin translator of Euthymius, renders 'flammam attingere subjectam materiam.' Similarly Hervetus 'quae subjectum attingit et apprehendit.' Fronto Ducaeus suggests two renderings: (1) conjungi et dependere, which is adopted by Krab.; (2) accendi, which yields the sense 'quae ex subjecta materia accensa est.' The justification for this second rendering is the preceding phrase τὴν τὸ πῦρ ἐξάπτουσαν ὕλην. But the absence of a preposition with τοῦ ὑποκειμένου is against it. The rendering given above suits the context and the general sense of έξάπτεσθαι. Το ύποκείμ. is the wick, which Gr. has referred to supra as η ύποκειμένη ύλη.

ύποκειμένου την φλόγα καὶ οὐκ έναποκλειομένην τη ΰλη, τί κωλύει θείας φύσεως ένωσίν τινα καὶ προσεγγισμον κατανοήσαντας πρός τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, τὴν θεοπρεπη διάνοιαν καὶ ἐν τῶ προσεγγισμῶ διασώσασθαι, πάσης περιγραφῆς έκτὸς είναι τὸ θείον πιστεύοντας, κὰν εν ἀνθρώπω ή:

11. Εί δὲ ζητεῖς πῶς κατακιρνᾶται θεότης πρὸς τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, ώρα σοι πρὸ τούτου ζητεῖν τί πρὸς τὴν σάρκα τῆς ψυχης ή συμφυία. εἰ δὲ της σης άγνοεῖται ψυχης ὁ τρόπος, καθ' ον ένουται τω σωματι, μηδε εκείνο πάντως οίου δείν έντὸς γενέσθαι τῆς σῆς καταλήψεως ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἐνταῦθα 10 καὶ ἔτερον εἶναί τι παρὰ τὸ σῶμα τὴν ψυχὴν πεπιστεύκαμεν έκ τοῦ μονωθεῖσαν τῆς ψυχῆς τὴν σάρκα νεκράν τε καὶ ἀνενέργητον γίνεσθαι, καὶ τὸν τῆς ἐνώσεως οὐκ ἐπιγινωσκομέν τρόπον, ούτω κάκει διαφέρειν μέν έπι τὸ μεγαλοπρεπέστερον την θείαν φύσιν πρὸς την θνητην καὶ 15 έπίκηρον όμολογούμεν, τὸν δὲ τῆς ἀνακράσεως τρόπον τοῦ θείου πρὸς τὸν ἄνθρωπον συνιδείν οὐ χωροῦμεν. ἀλλά τὸ μὲν γεγενησθαι θεὸν ἐν ἀνθρώπου φύσει διὰ τῶν ίστορουμένων θαυμάτων οὐκ ἀμφιβάλλομεν, τὸ δ' ὅπως, ώς

2 της  $\theta$ ειας euth 12 || κατανοησαντας  $\gamma$ νωρισαντας Th $drt^{sirm}$  || 4 εν τη ενωσει  $f \parallel \delta$ ιασωζεσθαι I vulg Thdrtrom  $\parallel 5$  θειον οσιον  $f \parallel \epsilon \nu$  ανθρωποις Thart και εν τω λαβειν δουλου μορφην f | η] ην vulg 11. 6 ζητειν προ τουτου l vulg  $\parallel 8$  συναφεία  $g^1 \parallel$  13 γενεσθαί l vulg  $\parallel$  16–17 του θ. τρ. f  $\parallel$ 17 τον ανθρ.] το ανθρωπινον fg1 l vulg || 19 το δ οπως το δε πως el vulg

2. θείας φύσεως] The absence of the article emphasizes the force of the adj. 'a nature which is Divine.'

3.  $\theta \epsilon o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta} \delta i \dot{a} \nu o i a \nu$  'the right and proper thought of God.'

11. To the objection 'In what manner is the Godhead united to the manhood?' Gr. replies that man does not know how in his own nature the soul is united to the flesh. The fact of the union of the Godhead and the manhood in Christ is attested by miracles, but the manner is inscrutable.

10. ἐντός] For the separation from the noun cp. c. 10 p. 54, note.

14-15.  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \delta \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \sigma \pi$ .] lit. 'in the direction of greater majesty,' 'as possessing greater majesty.'

16. ἀνακράσεωs] Cp. supra κατακιρνάται and c. 16 ανεκφράστου συνανακράσεως. On the use of such terms with reference to the Incarnation see Petavius de Inc. iii 2, and cp. Mason Five Or. of Greg. Naz. pp. 103, 112.

17. où  $\chi \omega \rho o \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ] 'we are not capable of perceiving.'

18. διὰ τῶν ἰστορ.] Gr. rests his argument for the union of the Godhead and manhood in Christ on facts. It is attested by the miracles recorded.

μείζον ή κατά λογισμών ἔφοδον, διερευνάν παραιτούμεθα. ούδε γαρ πάσαν την σωματικήν τε και νοητην κτίσιν παρά της ασωμάτου τε καὶ ακτίστου φύσεως ύποστηναι πιστεύοντες, τὸ πόθεν ἢ τὸ πῶς τῆ περὶ τούτων πίστει 5 συνεξετάζομεν. αλλά τὸ γεγενήσθαι παραδεγόμενοι. άπολυπραγμόνητον τὸν τρόπον τῆς τοῦ παντὸς συστάσεως καταλείπομεν, ώς ἄρρητον παντάπασιν όντα καὶ άνερμήνευτον.

12. Τοῦ δὲ θεὸν ἐν σαρκὶ πεφανερῶσθαι ἡμῖν ὁ τὰς 10 ἀποδείξεις ἐπιζητών πρὸς τὰς ἐνεργείας βλεπέτω. καὶ γὰρ τοῦ όλως εἶναι θεὸν οὐκ ἄν τις έτέραν ἀπόδειξιν ἔχοι, πλήν της δι' αὐτῶν τῶν ἐνεργειῶν μαρτυρίας. ἄσπερ τοίνυν είς τὸ πῶν ἀφορῶντες, καὶ τὰς κατὰ τὸν κόσμον οἰκονομίας έπισκοπούντες καὶ τὰς εὐεργεσίας τὰς θεόθεν κατὰ τὴν 15 ζωὴν ἡμῶν ἐνεργουμένας, ὑπερκεῖσθαί τινα δύναμιν ποιητικήν τῶν γιγνομένων καὶ συντηρητικήν τῶν ὄντων καταλαμβάνομεν, ούτως καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ διὰ σαρκὸς ἡμῖν φανερωθέντος θεοῦ ἱκανὴν ἀπόδειξιν τῆς ἐπιφανείας τῆς

2 κτισιν ] γεννησιν vulg | 4 πως ] οπως vulg | 6 om τον vulg  $\theta\eta\nu$ ai euth 12 || 11  $\pi\lambda\eta\nu$ ] om vulg || 12  $\delta$ ia  $\tau\eta$ s  $\tau\omega\nu$   $\epsilon\nu$ . fl vulg || 14  $\alpha\pi$ o- $\sigma \kappa o \pi$ . d

1. έφοδον] = 'method.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 7 εἴπερ ὅλαις ταις λογικαις πιστεύεις έφόδοις.

5. συνεξετάζ.] 'along with our faith in these truths we do not combine an enquiry into the source and

manner.

6. ἀπολυπραγμόνητον] For πολυπραγμονείν see antea c. 10 πολυπραγμοσύνης (note). Here the word has a somewhat more unfavourable sense. 'Accepting the fact that it was created, we put aside all curious enquiry into the manner in which the universe was framed.' Cp. Cyr. Al. in Isai. xlv p. 964 (Migne) άπολυπραγμόνητα δὲ τὰ παρ' αὐτοῦ τεχνουργούμενα.

12. The fact that God has become man is attested by the evidence of Divine power shown in the earthly

life of Christ. His activities reveal wonders no less than those which in Creation point to the creative and

9. θεδν έν σαρκὶ πεφ.] 1 Tim.

13-14. οἰκονομίας ... εὐεργεσίας] Οίκονομίαι are 'the orderly dispositions' made by God in the Universe. Εὐεργεσίαι are 'the exhibitions of beneficence' shown in the provision for the needs of His creatures. The θαύματα to which Gr. appeals exhibit the moral character and goodness of God. They are σημεία in the sense of St John.

15. ὑπερκεῖσθαι] Cp. antea, prol. δύναμιν...τοῦ παντός ὑπερκειμένην.

17-18.  $\epsilon \pi l \tau o \hat{v} ... \phi a \nu$ .] 'in the case of God manifested to us by means of the flesh.' Another possible

θεότητος τὰ κατὰ τὰς ἐνεργείας θαύματα πεποιήμεθα, πάντα τοις ιστορηθείσιν έργοις, δι' ών ή θεία χαρακτηρίζεται φύσις, κατανοήσαντες. θεοῦ τὸ ζωοποιεῖν τοὺς ἀνθρώπους, θεοῦ τὸ συντηρεῖν διὰ προνοίας τὰ ὄντα, θεοῦ τὸ βρωσιν καὶ πόσιν τοῖς διὰ σαρκὸς τὴν ζωὴν εἰληχόσι 5 γαρίζεσθαι, θεοῦ τὸ εὐεργετεῖν τὸν δεόμενον, θεοῦ τὸ παρατραπείσαν έξ ἀσθενείας την φύσιν πάλιν δι' ύγείας πρός έαυτην έπανάνειν, θεού το πάσης έπιστατείν ομοιοτρόπως της κτίσεως, γης, θαλάσσης, άέρος, καὶ τῶν ὑπὲρ τὸν ἀέρα τόπων, θεοῦ τὸ πρὸς πάντα διαρκή τὴν δύναμιν ἔχειν καὶ 10 πρό γε πάντων τὸ θανάτου καὶ Φθορᾶς εἶναι κρείττονα. εὶ μὲν οὖν τινὸς τούτων καὶ τῶν τοιούτων ἐλλιπὴς ἦν ἡ περὶ αὐτὸν ίστορία, εἰκότως τὸ μυστήριον ἡμῶν οἱ ἔξω τῆς πίστεως παρεγράφοντο εί δὲ δι' ὧν νοεῖται θεός, πάντα έν τοις περί αὐτοῦ διηγήμασι καθοράται, τί τὸ έμποδίζον 15 τη πίστει:

13. 'Αλλά, φησί, γέννησίς τε καὶ θάνατος ἴδιον τῆς

4 τα παντα  $d \parallel 5$  om δια vulg  $\parallel 6$  το ευεργ.] om το vulg  $\parallel 8$  επαναγαγειν  $e \parallel \epsilon \pi \iota \beta$ ατευειν  $fg^{1}$  επικρατευειν vulg  $\parallel ομ$ .  $\epsilon \pi$ .  $f \parallel 9$  και των] om και  $f \parallel 11$  φθοραs] διαφθοραs  $g^{1} \parallel 12$  om τουτων και  $e \parallel 0$  οm και των τοιουτων vulg  $\parallel 1_{4}$  πιστεως] + ημων vulg  $\parallel 1_{4}$  -15 παντα... καθοραται om euth  $16 \parallel 1_{5}$  καθοραται] κατορθουται d 13. 17 γενεσις  $g^{1}$ 

rendering is to take διὰ σαρκόs in the sense in which it occurs below τοῖς διὰ σαρκός τὴν ζωὴν είληχόσι. The language is intended to recall the words of St Paul with which the chapter begins.

2. τοις ιστορηθ.] 'marking by means of His recorded works all the characteristic qualities of the Divine

Nature.

...τὴν φύσιν...ἐπανάγειν) and especially emphasizes the mastery over death and corruption.

14. παρεγράφοντο] Παραγραφή = fraescriptio, 'a legal exception,' demurrer.' 'Would have taken ex-

ception to.

13. If it be objected that His birth and death show that He was limited by the conditions of human nature, we may reply that, while Christ was subject to the conditions of human nature, He also transcended them. He was born, but His birth was of a Virgin; He died, but His death was followed by His Resurrection. These facts show that He was more than man.

17. γέννησις] The purpose of the objector is to show that Christ was

σαρκικής ἐστὶ φύσεως. φημὶ κάγω. ἀλλὰ τὸ πρὸ τῆς γεννήσεως καὶ τὸ μετὰ τὸν θάνατον τὴν τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἐκφεύγει κοινότητα. εἰς γὰρ ἑκάτερα τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης ζωῆς τὰ πέρατα βλέποντες, ἴσμεν καὶ ὅθεν ἀρχόμεθα καὶ εἰς τὶ καταλήγομεν. ἐκ πάθους γὰρ ἀρξάμενος τοῦ εἶναι ὁ ἄνθρωπος πάθει συναπαρτίζεται. ἐκεῖ δὲ οὕτε ἡ γέννησις ἀπὸ πάθους ἤρξατο, οὕτε ὁ θάνατος εἰς πάθος κατέληξεν· οὕτε γὰρ τῆς γεννήσεως ἡδονὴ καθηγήσατο, οὕτε τὸν θάνατον φθορὰ διεδέξατο. ἀπιστεῖς τῷ θαύτο ματι; χαίρω σου τῆ ἀπιστία· ὁμολογεῖς γὰρ πάντως δι ὧν ὑπὲρ πίστιν ἡγὴ τὸ λεγόμενον, ὑπὲρ τὴν φύσιν εἶναι τὰ θαύματα. αὐτὸ οὖν τοῦτο τῆς θεότητος ἔστω σοι τοῦ φανέντος ἀπόδειξις, τὸ μὴ διὰ τῶν κατὰ φύσιν προιέναι

Ι γενεσεωs dghnp euth  $\parallel$  6 γενεσις dghnp  $\parallel$  8 γενεσεως dghnp  $\parallel$  9 απεδεξατο f  $\parallel$  10 om σου l<sup>1</sup> vulg  $\parallel$  om παντως e  $\parallel$  11 om την f  $\parallel$  12 τα θαυμ.] το πραγμα f  $\parallel$  13 το μη] + δι ολου euth  $\parallel$  φυσιν] quae sequentur desunt in euth 5

merely man, because He shared in the characteristic limitations of our nature, i.e. birth and death.

3. κοινότητα] There were circumstances accompanying the birth and death of Christ, which could not be brought within the common experiences of mankind, i.e. the Virgin-birth and the Resurrection.

3-4. ἐκάτερα...πέρατα] 'looking to either extremity of our human life.' For similar language cp. c. 27 της ζωης ημών δύο πέρασιν ἐκατέρωθεν διειλημμένης, τὸ κατὰ τὴν ἀρχήν φημι καὶ τὸ τέλος.

5.  $\pi \acute{a}\theta ovs$ ] In this passage  $\pi \acute{a}\theta os$  is used in two distinct senses, and it is not until c. 16 that Gr. clears up the ambiguity involved in the word. As applied to birth, the  $\pi \acute{a}\theta os$  to which he refers is properly the  $\pi \acute{a}\theta os$  of the parent (see  $\mathring{\eta} \delta ov \mathring{\eta}$  below) and denotes 'passion.' As applied to death it implies imperfection, frailty, weakness, exhibited in the submission to  $\theta \theta op \acute{a}$ .

6. συναπαρτίζεται] 'brings his

life to a close' i.e. by the  $\pi \acute{a}\theta os$  of death involving  $\phi\theta o\rho \acute{a}$  (see infra).

ib.  $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota}$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ] In Christ each of the  $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \alpha$  (which in human life are attended by a  $\pi \alpha \theta o s$ ) presented a display of Divine power. For they were free from any exhibition of  $\pi \alpha \theta o s$ . His birth was not preceded by  $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \dot{\eta}$ , nor was His death accompanied by  $\phi \theta o \rho \dot{\alpha}$ .

9. φθορά] Cf. Ps. xv 10, Acts ii 10. The word διαφθορά which is found in both those passages occurs infra.

10.  $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ ] The incredulity of his hearers Gr. regards as a testimony to the supernatural character of the events. And it is this which he is seeking to prove.

ib. ὁμολογεῖς γάρ] 'For you acknowledge that these wonderful events are above nature, by the very reasons which lead you to consider that the account surpasses belief.'

12-13. τοῦ φανέντος] i.e. Χριστοῦ.
13. διὰ τῶν] 'that the Gospel
message does not proceed in a way

τὸ κήρυγμα. εἰ γὰρ ἐντὸς ἦν τῶν τῆς Φύσεως ὅρων τὰ περὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ διηγήματα, ποῦ τὸ θεῖον; εἰ δὲ ὑπερβαίνει την φύσιν ο λόγος, έν οίς απιστείς, έν τούτοις έστιν ή ἀπόδειξις τοῦ θεὸν είναι τὸν κηρυσσόμενον. ἄνθρωπος μεν γάρ έκ συνδυασμού τίκτεται καὶ μετὰ θάνατον έν 5 διαφθορά γίνεται. εἰ ταῦτα περιεῖχε τὸ κήρυγμα, οὐκ αν θεον είναι πάντως ωήθης τον έν τοις ιδιώμασι της φύσεως ήμῶν μαρτυρούμενον. ἐπεὶ δὲ γεγενησθαι μὲν αὐτὸν ἀκούεις, ἐκβεβηκέναι δὲ τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν τὴν κοινότητα τῶ τε τῆς γενέσεως τρόπω καὶ τῶ ἀνεπιδέκτω τῆς 10 είς φθοράν άλλοιώσεως, καλώς αν έχοι κατά το ακόλουθον έπὶ τὸ ἔτερον τῆ ἀπιστία χρήσασθαι, εἰς τὸ μὴ ἄνθρωπον αὐτὸν ἕνα τῶν ἐν τῆ Φύσει δεικνυμένων οἴεσθαι. ἀνάγκη γὰρ πᾶσα τὸν μὴ πιστεύοντα τὸν τοιοῦτον ἄνθρωπον εἶναι είς την περί του θεόν αὐτόν είναι πίστιν έναχθηναι. ό γάρ 15 γεγεννησθαι αὐτὸν ίστορήσας καὶ τὸ ἐκ παρθένου γεγεννησθαι συνδιηγήσατο. εί οῦν πιστόν έστι διὰ τῶν είρημένων τὸ γεγεννησθαι αὐτόν, διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν τούτων πάντως οὐδὲ τὸ ούτως αὐτὸν γεγεννησθαι ἀπίθανον. ὁ

Ι ορων] + παντα euth || 2 om του f || 3 φυσιν] + εν πολλοις euth || 4 θεον] και θεον euth || 8 επει δε] επειδη e || γεγενν. dehn euth 245 || 9 ακουεις αυτον l vulg || 10 γεννησεως ef euth 24 || 12 τη απιστ.] τη ατοπια euth 16 || 15 περι το θ.  $1^*$  vid vulg || αναχθηναι euth 2 || 16 γεγενησθαι p euth 1 || 17 συνδιηγησεται e || δια των] το δ. τ. e || 18 γεγενησθαι lp || 19 γεγενησθαι fp

that follows the order of nature.' Τὸ κήρυγμα is here used to denote the facts which formed the substance of the preaching. Cp. I Cor. ii 4.

10. γενέσεως] in the manner of his origin and in the fact that he was incapable of a change to corruption.' Gr. appears to use γένεσις and γέννησις almost as interchangeable terms. In the present passage γένεσις is certainly correct, as the grouping of the MSS shows. It

occurs again, without any variations,

in cc. 16, 27.

12.  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ ] 'it would be well, consistently with these facts (κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον), to exhibit incredutity in the opposite direction and refuse to think that He was an ordinary man like the other men who are produced in the course of nature.'  $\Delta \epsilon \iota \kappa$ , is used here like ἀποδεικ.

15-16. ό...ίστ.] Mt i, Lk ii.

γὰρ τὴν γέννησιν εἰπὼν καὶ τὸ ἐκ παρθενίας προσέθηκεν καὶ ὁ τοῦ θανάτου μνησθεὶς καὶ τὴν ἀνάστασιν τῷ θανάτῷ προσεμαρτύρησεν. εἰ οὖν ἀφ' ὧν ἀκούεις καὶ τεθνάναι καὶ γεγεννῆσθαι δίδως, ἐκ τῶν αὐτῶν δώσεις πάντως καὶ τὸ ἔξω πάθους εἶναι καὶ τὴν γέννησιν αὐτοῦ καὶ τὸν θάνατον. ἀλλὰ μὴν ταῦτα μείζω τῆς φύσεως. οὐκοῦν οὐδὲ ἐκεῦνος πάντως ἐντὸς τῆς φύσεως ὁ ἐν τοῖς ὑπὲρ τὴν φύσιν γεγενῆσθαι ἀποδεικνύμενος.

14. Τίς οἶν αἰτία, φησί, τοῦ πρὸς τὴν ταπεινότητα 10 ταύτην καταβῆναι τὸ θεῖον, ὡς ἀμφίβολον εἶναι τὴν πίστιν, εἰ θεός, τὸ ἀχώρητον καὶ ἀκατανόητον καὶ ἀνεκλάλητον πρᾶγμα, τὸ ὑπὲρ πᾶσαν δόξαν καὶ πᾶσαν μεγαλειότητα, τῷ λύθρῳ τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης φύσεως καταμίγνυται, ὡς καὶ τὰς ὑψηλὰς ἐνεργείας αὐτοῦ τῆ πρὸς τὸ ταπεινὸν ἐπιμιξία 15 συνευτελίζεσθαι.

Ι παρθενου l vulg  $\parallel$  4 το γεγενν. και το τεθ. vulg τεθνα διδωs (cm το γεγενν.) l γεγενησθαι n\*p  $\parallel$  εκ των αυτ. om d  $\parallel$  5 γενεσιν dghnp  $\parallel$  6 τον θαν.] την αναστασιν e  $\parallel$  7 εντοs]+παντη euth  $\parallel$  om εν τοιs e  $\parallel$  8 om την vulg  $\parallel$  γεγενν. degh **14.** 9 η αιτια euth  $\parallel$  13 λυθρω] εντελει ελυτρω l vulg

1. παρθενίας] 'a state of virginity.' Cp. c. Eunom. iv p. 628 (Migne) Πῶς οὖν ἐφανερώθη ἐν σαρκὶ ὁ θεός; Διὰ τόκου, πάντως ἐρεῖς. Ποίου οὖν τούτου μνησθείς; ἢ δῆλον ὅτι τῆς παρθενίας, καὶ ὅτι τὸ ἐν αὐτῆ γεννηθὲν ἐκ πνεύματος ἀγίου ἦν;

7. oὐδὲ...ἐντόs] In this way Gr. sums up his answer not only to the objection stated at the beginning of this chapter, but also to that put forward at the beginning of c. 10, i.e. that the Incarnation involved an 'inclusion' of the Godhead in human

**14.** For what purpose, it is asked, did God submit to the humiliation involved in becoming man?

10–11.  $d\mu\phi$ i $\beta$ o $\lambda$ o $\nu$   $\epsilon$  $l\nu\alpha$ i $\ldots$   $\epsilon$ ll 'Faith wavers at the thought that.'

13.  $\lambda \psi \theta \rho \phi$ ] The reading  $\epsilon \psi \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \psi \tau \rho \phi$ , 'the mean covering,' is a gloss which first appears in the thirteenth century Ms l. It arose from the desire to soften the harsh expression  $\lambda \psi \theta \rho \phi$ , 'the defilement' of human nature. The word  $\epsilon \psi \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\iota}$  was probably suggested by the following  $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\iota} \hat{\iota} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ .  $\lambda \psi \theta \rho \sigma \nu \tau \lambda \psi \theta \rho \sigma \delta \hat{\iota}$  is used in Homer of mingled blood and dust. Here the term is probably used by the objector with a disparaging reference to the human birth, and recalls the 'Non horruisti' of the Te Deum. For  $\kappa \tau \tau a \mu \iota \gamma \nu \nu \tau a \iota$  and  $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota \mu \iota \psi \iota \hat{\iota} \hat{\iota}$  as cp. supra c. 11  $\dot{a} \nu a \kappa \rho \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$  (note).

ib. ωs καί] 'So that His sublime activities are degraded by His association with that which is base?

- 15. Οὐκ ἀποροῦμεν καὶ πρὸς τοῦτο θεοπρεποῦς αποκρίσεως. ζητεῖς τὴν αἰτίαν τοῦ γενέσθαι θεὸν ἐν ανθρώποις; εαν αφέλης τοῦ βίου τὰς θεόθεν γινομένας εὐεργεσίας, ἐκ ποίων ἐπιγνώση τὸ θεῖον οὐκ ἂν εἰπεῖν έγοις, ἀφ' ὧν γὰρ εὖ πασγομεν, ἀπὸ τούτων τὸν εὐ- 5 εργέτην ἐπιγινώσκομεν πρὸς γὰρ τὰ γινόμενα βλέποντες. διὰ τούτων τὴν τοῦ ἐνεργοῦντος ἀναλογιζόμεθα φύσιν. εἰ οὖν ἴδιον γνώρισμα τῆς θείας φύσεως ἡ φιλανθρωπία, ἔγεις ου επεζήτησας λόγου, έχεις την αιτίαν της εν ανθρώποις τοῦ θεοῦ παρουσίας. ἐδεῖτο γὰρ τοῦ ἐατρεύοντος ἡ φύσις 10 ήμων ἀσθενήσασα, εδείτο τοῦ ἀνορθοῦντος ὁ εν τω πτώματι ἄνθρωπος, έδεῖτο τοῦ ζωοποιοῦντος ὁ ἀφαμαρτών τῆς ζωής, έδειτο του πρὸς το αγαθὸν ἐπανάγοντος ὁ ἀπορρυείς της του άγαθου μετουσίας, έχρηζε της του φωτός παρουσίας ὁ καθειρημένος τῷ σκότῳ, ἐπεζήτει τὸν λυτρωτήν ὁ 15 αίχμάλωτος, τὸν συναγωνιστὴν ὁ δεσμώτης, τὸν ἐλευθερωτην ό τῶ ζυγῶ της δουλείας κατεχόμενος. ἄρα μικρὰ
- **15.** I om kai f vulg | 2  $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \theta ai$  vulg | 3  $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu as$  fl euth 146  $a \pi o$  $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu a s \text{ vulg } \parallel 4 \epsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu. \rceil + \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \omega \nu \text{ f } \parallel 4-5 \text{ ou} \kappa ... \epsilon \chi o \iota s \rceil \text{ om } h \parallel 5 \text{ om } \epsilon \nu$ l vulg | 6 om γαρ h | 7 ευεργετουντος n | 10 ιατρευσοντος dehn euth | 12  $\alpha \phi \alpha \mu$ .  $] \epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega \nu$   $f \epsilon \phi \alpha \mu$ .  $I^* \text{vid} \text{ vulg} \parallel 1_4 \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \zeta \epsilon \dots \pi \alpha \rho \sigma \sigma \alpha s$  om  $h \parallel \pi \alpha \rho \sigma \sigma$ σιας] μετουσιας  $f \parallel 15$  εν σκοτω  $e \parallel εζητει l vulg \parallel 17$  κατεχ.] εγκατεχ.  $g^1$
- 15. The cause of the Incarnation was God's love for man. Man's wretched condition was a sufficient justification for the Divine con-descension. 'But,' it is objected, 'why not restore man by a mere fiat?' This last question Gr. does not properly deal with till c. 17, but meanwhile he affirms that there was nothing contrary to the character of God in the method chosen, nor anything inconsistent with the Divine Nature in the nature which He

4. εὐεργεσίας] Cp. c. 12 τὰς εὐεργεσίας τὰς θεόθεν κατὰ τὴν ζωὴν ήμων ένεργουμένας.

ib. ἐκ ποίων] Gr. claims that nothing short of a revelation of God

in His goodness is adequate, if man is to know the essential nature of God. In c. 20 he maintains the necessity of the co-existence of justice, wisdom, and power in God in order that His goodness may be perfect.

8. ἡ φιλανθρωπία] Tit. iii 4. Cp. adv. Apollinar. xlii Λείπεται δὲ όπερ αν τῷ σκόπῳ τῆς φιλανθρωπίας συμβαίνη, τοῦτο εὐλογώτερον περὶ τὸν Θεόν οἴεσθαι. Cp. infra c. 36.

12. ζωοποιούντος] Cp. antea c. 12. 13. ὁ ἀπορρυείς] 'Απορρύειν = 'fall away from,' 'desert.'

15.  $\kappa\alpha\theta\epsilon\iota\rho\gamma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma$  For the use of  $\kappa\alpha\theta$ . without a prep. cp. de An. et Res. p. 21 (Migne) ὁ οἰκίσκω τινὶ καθειργμένος.

ταῦτα καὶ ἀνάξια τὸν θεὸν δυσωπῆσαι πρὸς ἐπίσκεψιν της ανθρωπίνης φύσεως καταβήναι, ούτως έλεεινως καὶ άθλίως της άνθρωπότητος διακειμένης; άλλ' έξην, φησί, καὶ εὐεργετηθηναι τὸν ἄνθρωπον καὶ ἐν ἀπαθεία τὸν θεὸν ς διαμείναι. ό γάρ τώ βουλήματι τὸ πᾶν συστησάμενος καὶ τὸ μὴ ὂν ὑποστήσας ἐν μόνη τῆ ὁρμῆ τοῦ θελήματος, τί ούχὶ καὶ τὸν ἄνθρωπον δι' αὐθεντικής τινὸς καὶ θεικής έξουσίας της έναντίας δυνάμεως άποσπάσας πρός την έξ άργης άγει κατάστασιν, εί τοῦτο φίλον αὐτῶ· άλλὰ μακρὰς 10 περιέρχεται περιόδους, σώματος ύπερχόμενος φύσιν, καὶ διὰ γεννήσεως παριών είς τὸν βίον, καὶ πᾶσαν ἀκολούθως ηλικίαν διεξιών, είτα θανάτου γευόμενος, και ούτως δια της τοῦ ίδίου σώματος αναστάσεως τον σκόπον ανύων, ώς οὐκ έξον αὐτῶ μένοντι ἐπὶ τοῦ ὕψους τῆς θεικῆς δόξης, διὰ 15 προστάγματος σωσαι τον άνθρωπον, τὰς δὲ τοιαύτας περιόδους χαίρειν έασαι; οὐκοῦν ἀνάγκη καὶ ταῖς τοιαύταις

1 avaξ. του  $\theta$ εον  $f \parallel 3$  διακ. της αν $\theta$ ρωπ.  $f \parallel 5$  το  $\pi$ αν $\mid \tau$ α  $\pi$ αντα  $e \parallel 1$ 11 περιων dp

1. ἀνάξια] Cp. c. 36 "Ιδιον δέ της θείας ένεργείας ή τῶν δεομένων έστὶ σωτηρία.

ib. δυσωπησαι] depends on ἀνάξια, 'unworthy to importune.'  $\Delta \nu \sigma \omega \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ lit. means 'to put a man out of

countenance.'

*ib.*  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi$ ίσκ $\epsilon$ ψ $\iota\nu$ ] used in the Bible sense of a 'visitation of mercy or redemption.' Cf. Lk i 68, vii 16, Acts' xv 14. The use of the expression  $\pi\rho\delta$ s  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i\sigma\kappa\epsilon\psi\nu\dots\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\beta\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$ here is probably a reminiscence of the account of the Exodus. See

Ex. iii 8; iv 31 (LXX). 3.  $\alpha\lambda\lambda' \epsilon\xi\hat{\eta}\nu$ ] The objector asks 'why did not God restore man by a mere fiat, instead of choosing a method which involved the submission to  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$  and the long delay required for passing through the stages of human birth, growth, death, and resurrection?' In reply Gr. first of all deals with the charge that God was involved in  $\pi \acute{a}\theta \eta$  by the Incarnation. This he treats of in the present chapter and in c. 16. The question why God did not choose a different method he deals with in

7. αὐθεντικῆs] 'authoritative.' Cf. Clem. Alex. Strom. i c. 7 Έλν τὴν βασιλικήν τε καὶ αὐθεντικὴν είσοδον ζητης άκούση. Athanasius similarly deals with the question why God did not restore man νεύματι μόνω de Inc. 44. Origen also discusses the objection in c. Cels.

8. ἐναντίαs] i.e. the Devil.
10. περιόδουs] 'circuitous routes' rather than 'long periods of time.' For other instances of this use see cc. 17, 26.

12. θανάτου γευόμενος] Heb. ii

των αντιθέσεων αντικαταστήναι παρ' ήμων την αλήθειαν. ώς αν δια μηδενός ή πίστις κωλύοιτο των έξεταστικώς ζητούντων τοῦ μυστηρίου τὸν λόγον. πρῶτον μὲν οὖν, όπερ καὶ ἐν τοῖς φθάσασιν ἤδη μετρίως ἐξήτασται, τί τῆ άρετη κατά τὸ ἐναντίον ἀντικαθέστηκεν, ἐπισκεψώμεθα, 5 ώς φωτὶ σκότος καὶ θάνατος τῆ ζωῆ, οὕτω τῆ ἀρετῆ ἡ κακία δήλον ὅτι, καὶ οὐδὲν παρὰ ταύτην ἕτερον. καθάπερ γαρ πολλων όντων των έν τη κτίσει θεωρουμένων οὐδὲν άλλο πρὸς τὸ φῶς ἢ τὴν ζωὴν τὴν ἀντιδιαίρεσιν ἔχει, οὐ λίθος, οὐ ξύλον, οὐχ ὕδωρ, οὐκ ἄνθρωπος, οὐκ ἄλλο τι τῶν 10 ουτων οὐδέν, πλην ιδίως τὰ κατὰ τὸ ἐναντίον νοούμενα, οἷον σκότος καὶ θάνατος ούτω καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς ἀρετῆς οὐκ ἄν τις κτίσιν τινά κατά τὸ ἐναντίον αὐτῆ νοεῖσθαι λέγοι, πλήν τὸ κατά κακίαν νόημα. οὐκοῦν εἰ μὲν ἐν κακία γεγενῆσθαι τὸ θείον ὁ ἡμέτερος ἐπρέσβευε λόγος, καιρὸν είχεν ὁ ἀντι- 15 λέγων κατατρέχειν ήμῶν τῆς πίστεως, ὡς ἀνάρμοστά τε καὶ ἀπεμφαίνοντα περὶ τῆς θείας φύσεως δογματιζόντων: οὐ γὰρ δὴ θεμιτὸν ἦν αὐτοσοφίαν καὶ ἀγαθότητα καὶ άφθαρσίαν, καὶ εἴ τι ὑψηλόν ἐστι νόημά τε καὶ ὄνομα, πρὸς

4 οπερ...εξητασται om euth  $\parallel$  5 καθεστηκεν 1 vulg  $\parallel$  6-7 και τη κακια  $\eta$  αρετη 1 vulg | 8 κτισει] φυσει f | 9 αντιδιαιρ.] αντιθεσιν euth | 13 om αυτη enth  $I \parallel I_4 νοημα \mid κινημα$  enth  $4 \parallel I_5 καιρον αν ειχεν l vulg <math>\parallel I_7 φυσεωs \mid$ πιστεως h || δοξαζοντων fg<sup>1</sup>l vulg || 18 αυτοσοφ.] την αυτ. vulg την αυτου σ. f || 19  $\epsilon \iota \tau \iota$ ] o  $\tau \iota$  g<sup>1</sup> ||  $\nu \psi \eta \lambda$ .  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ] om  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$  f ||  $\kappa \alpha \iota$  ovo $\mu \alpha$ ] hic rursus incipit eath 5

2. ἐξεταστικῶs] 'by exact enquiry.' Careful students of the Christian revelation (μυστηρίου) will need 'a rational account' (λόγον) of its method. Μυστήριον is used as elsewhere in its N.T. sense = 'a mystery revealed,' and is a synonym for the Christian revelation.

4.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  τοῖς  $\phi\theta$ άσασιν] Gr. has already drawn in cc. 5-8 the distinction which he proceeds to lay down. But he is probably thinking here of c. 9 where he has laid down the lines of his answer to the objection raised against the Incarnation as involving a degradation to God. In that chapter he maintained that τὸ κατὰ κακίαν  $\pi \acute{a} \theta$ os is the only real degradation. It is this point which he now takes up. Vice, and nothing but vice, is the opposite of virtue.

11. lõlus] 'but properly those things which are perceived to be their exact opposites.'

15.  $\epsilon \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \epsilon$ ] 'set forth.' Cp. Lucian Pisc. 23 μη τὰ σεαυτοῦ μόνον πρεσβεύειν ἐν τῆ κατηγορία. For κατατρέχειν cf. antea c. 5.

17. ἀπεμφαίνοντα] See above c. 1

p. 10 (note).

τὸ ἐναντίον μεταπεπτωκέναι λέγειν. εἰ οὖν θεὸς μὲν ή άληθης άρετη, φύσις δέ τις οὐκ ἀντιδιαιρεῖται τῆ άρετῆ, άλλα κακία, θεὸς δὲ οὐκ ἐν κακία, ἀλλ' ἐν ἀνθρώπου γίνεται φύσει, μόνον δὲ ἀπρεπὲς καὶ αἰσχρὸν τὸ κατά 5 κακίαν πάθος, εν δ ούτε γέγονεν θεός, ούτε γενέσθαι φύσιν έχει, τί ἐπαισχύνονται τῆ ὁμολογία τοῦ θεὸν ἀνθρωπίνης άψασθαι φύσεως, οὐδεμιᾶς ἐναντιότητος ώς πρὸς τὸν τῆς αρετής λόγον εν τη κατασκευή του ανθρώπου θεωρουμένης; οὔτε γὰρ τὸ λογικόν, οὔτε τὸ διανοητικόν, οὔτε τὸ ἐπιστήμης 10 δεκτικόν, ούτε άλλο τι τοιούτον, δ της ανθρωπίνης ίδιον οὐσίας ἐστί, τῶ λόγω τῆς ἀρετῆς ἡναντίωται.

16. 'Αλλ' αὐτή, φησίν, ή τροπή τοῦ ήμετέρου σώματος

5 ο θεος deghnp euth | του γενεσθαι l vulg | 6 την ομολογιαν vulg

2. φύσις] used here as the equivalent to κτίσιs, which occurs above. Cf. antea c. 6 της τοῦ κρείττονος φύσεως (note). 'And no existing thing of any kind is logically opposed to virtue, but only vice.' For antiδιαιρείσθαι cf. c. 6 p. 33 (note).

5.  $\phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \dot{\nu} \ \ddot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota] = \pi \dot{\epsilon} \phi \nu \kappa \epsilon$ . 'It is not His nature (or 'it is not possible for Him') to be born.'

7. ἄψασθαι] 'laid hold of' or 'assumed human nature.' Cp. c. 16

τίνος... ήφθαι τὸ θεῖον:

ib. οὐδεμιᾶs] 'sceing that in the constitution of man there is nothing which is contradictory to the conception of virtue.' There is nothing in the constitution of human nature which is inconsistent with the idea of virtue, and which is therefore ἀπρεπές and αισχρόν so that God could not assume it.

 τὸ λογικόν] 'rational thought, nor the faculty of understanding, nor the capacity for exact knowledge.' For το διανοητικόν cp. antea c. 6.

11. ovolas] For this use of the

word cp. antea c. 6 p. 32 (note). 16. 'But,' it is objected, 'the change involved in human birth is a πάθος.' Gr. in reply draws a distinction between a right and a

wrong use of the word πάθος. Properly the word can only be used of moral declension, not of natural processes. The contact of God with human nature no more involved submission to real πάθος than does the contact of a physician with the ailments of his patients. The birth of Christ was free from that element of passion which attends human birth, just as His life was free from that vicious impulse which we find in man. The dissolution of body and soul was no more a mados than was their first combination. Christ's Resurrection was the re-combination in an indissoluble and eternal union of the intelligible and sensible elements separated in death. In virtue of this He becomes the originating principle for all mankind of the same eternal union of the elements of human nature, freed from the admixture of evil.

12.  $\tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$ ] 'change experienced by our body.'  $T \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$  is the mutability attaching to all created things as contrasted with the immutability of the Creator. The process of birth implies 'change.' In a secondary sense  $\tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$  often has the meaning of moral change or frailty.

πάθος ἐστίν. ὁ δὲ ἐν τούτῳ γεγονὼς ἐν πάθει γίνεται·
ἀπαθὲς δὲ τὸ θεῖον. οὐκοῦν ἀλλοτρία περὶ θεοῦ ἡ ὑπόληψις, εἴπερ τὸν ἀπαθῆ κατὰ τὴν φύσιν πρὸς κοινωνίαν
πάθους ἐλθεῖν διορίζονται. ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὸς ταῦτα πάλιν
τῷ αὐτῷ λόγῳ χρησόμεθα, ὅτι τὸ πάθος τὸ μὲν κυρίως, τὸ 5
δὲ ἐκ καταχρήσεως λέγεται. τὸ μὲν οὖν προαιρέσεως
ἀπτόμενον καὶ πρὸς κακίαν ἀπὸ τῆς ἀρετῆς μεταστρέφον
ἀληθῶς πάθος ἐστί, τὸ δ᾽ ὅσον ἐν τῆ φύσει κατὰ τὸν ἴδιον
εἰρμὸν πορευομένη διεξοδικῶς θεωρεῖται, τοῦτο κυριώτερον

**16.** 4 διορίζ.] το κηρυγμα διορίζεται enth  $\parallel$  5 χρησωμεθα dl vulg  $\parallel$  το παθοs] om το enth  $\parallel$  κυριως] + λεγεται h  $\parallel$  9 πορευομένης fl πορευομένον eh

1.  $\pi \acute{a}\theta$ os] The word has several distinct shades of meaning. It is thus defined by Aristotle, Metaphys. 4. 21: Πάθος λέγεται ένα μέν τρόπον ποιότης καθ' ήν άλλοιοῦσθαι ένδέχεται, οΐον τὸ λευκὸν καὶ τὸ μέλαν, καὶ γλυκὺ καὶ πικρόν, καὶ βαρύτης καὶ κουφότης καὶ ὄσα ἄλλα τοιαῦτα. ἔνα δὲ αί τούτων ένέργειαι καὶ ἀλλοιώσεις ήδη. έτι τούτων μαλλον αί βλαβεραί άλλοιώσεις καὶ κινήσεις, καὶ μάλιστα αἰ λυπηραί βλάβαι. ἔτι τὰ μεγέθη τῶν συμφορών καὶ λυπηρών πάθη λέγεται. It is this ambiguity upon which Gr. lays hold. He distinguishes between a 'proper' (κυρίως) and a 'misapplied' (ἐκ καταχρήσεως) use of the word. In the 'proper sense' i.e. moral declension, the Incarnation, he holds, cannot have involved  $\pi \acute{a}\theta os$ , because Christ had no contact with sin, either in the circumstances of His birth or in His own life. The question arises, how does Gr. face the objection arising from the other sense of  $\pi \acute{a}\theta os$ , which is plainly implied in the argument that  $\hat{\eta} \tau \rho o \pi \hat{\eta}$ τοῦ ἡμετέρου σώματος is a πάθος? It would seem that Gr.'s illustration of the physician is intended to meet this objection. The Divine Nature, though brought into touch with human nature, was no more subject to a  $\pi \alpha \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$   $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$  than is the physician who handles the infirmity of his patient. Gr. has the same discussion on  $\pi \acute{a} \theta o s$  and the same illustration of the physician in c. Eunom. vi 721 B, C, 724 B (Migne). It is difficult to render  $\pi \acute{a} \acute{b} o s$  in English, as there is no one term which conveys the different senses of the word. The translation in N. and P. N. F. has 'weakness.' Another rendering is 'passion,' which is used in a moral sense and also of the physical sufferings of Christ, though it does not suit the description of the process of birth. See further note c. 13 p. 60.

4. διορίζονται] is part of the language of the objector and refers to the upholders of the Christian faith.

6-7. το...προαιρ. απτόμενον] 'that which lays hold of the will.' Cp. αψασθαι c. 15.

9. πορενομένη] The reading πορενομένης is plainly a corruption, while πορενόμενον is a correction due to the failure to understand the construction of the passage. The word διεξοδικώς goes with θεωρείται. For the cast of the whole sentence όσον έν τἢ φύσει...διεξοδικώς θεωρείται cp. c. 1 τὰ ἐν αὐτῷ [i.e. τῷ κόσμῳ] πάντα σοφώς τε καὶ τεχνικώς θεωρούμενα. In such passages θεωρείσθαι (like καταλαμβάνεσθαι) is a mere

ἔργον ἃν μᾶλλον ἢ πάθος προσαγορεύοιτο, οἷον ἡ γέννησις, ἡ αὔξησις, ἡ διὰ τοῦ ἐπιρρύτου τε καὶ ἀπορρύτου τῆς τροφῆς τοῦ ὑποκειμένου διαμονή, ἡ τῶν στοιχείων περὶ τὸ σῶμα συνδρομή, ἡ τοῦ συντεθέντος πάλιν διάλυσίς τε καὶ 5 πρὸς τὰ συγγενῆ μεταχώρησις. τίνος οὖν λέγει τὸ μυστήριον ἡμῶν ἡφθαι τὸ θεῖον; τοῦ κυρίως λεγομένου πάθους, ὅπερ κακία ἐστίν, ἢ τοῦ κατὰ τὴν φύσιν κινήματος; εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἐν τοῖς ἀπηγορευμένοις γεγενῆσθαι τὸ θεῖον ὁ λόγος διισχυρίζετο, φεύγειν ἔδει τὴν ὰτοπίαν τοῦ δόγματος, ὡς 10 οὐδὲν ὑγιὲς περὶ τῆς θείας φύσεως διεξιόντος εἰ δὲ τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν αὐτὸν ἐφῆφθαι λέγει, ἦς καὶ ἡ πρώτη γένεσίς τε καὶ ὑπόστασις παρ' αὐτοῦ τὴν ἀρχὴν ἔσχε, ποῦ τῆς θεῷ

Ι γενεσι $s dg^*hnp \parallel 2$  της επιρρυτου codd omn: του e conjectura restitui  $\parallel$  om της ante τροφης f euth  $\parallel 7$  om την l euth vulg  $\parallel 8$  απηγ.] απαγορευομενοις euth  $\parallel 9$  δογματος] πραγματος f  $\parallel 10$  της  $\theta$ , φυσεως] του θειου δογματος e  $\parallel$  εξιοντος f

synonym for εἶναι. The words κατὰ τὸν ίδιον εἰρμὸν πορευρμένη are an explanatory clause attached to τῆ φύσει. For similarly constructed clauses see c. 24 ἡ δὲ...κάθοδος περιουσία τίς ἐστι τῆς δυνάμεως οὐδὲν ἐν τοῖς παρὰ φύσιν κωλυυμένης, and c. 37 ὡς γὰρ τῷ φθοροποιῷ πρὸς τὸ ὑγιαῖνον ἀναμιχθέντι ἀπαν τὸ ἀνακραθὲν συνηχρείωται. Gr. has the same idea in c. Είπιοπ. vi p. 721 (Migne) οὐδὲ κυρίως ἄν τις τὸν ἀναγκαῖον τῆς φύσεως εἰρμὸν πάθος λέγοι, βλέπων ὁδῷ προιοῦσαν ἐν τάξει τινὶ καὶ ἀκολουθία τὴν σύνθετον φύσιν.

ib, διεξοδικῶs] lit. 'in successive detail.' Everything that successively occurs in nature, as the latter proceeds in its own proper sequence, would more strictly be called an action than a "passion".'

2-3.  $\tau \circ \hat{v} \in \tau \iota \rho \rho \ldots \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$ ] The emendation adopted in the text best explains the readings of the MSS. The omission of  $\tau \hat{\eta} s$  before  $\tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$  in f and the text of Euthymius is plainly a correction. For the whole expression cp. de An. et Res. p. 141

(Migne)  $\tau \delta$   $\gamma \delta \rho$   $\epsilon \pi i \rho \rho \nu \tau \sigma \nu$   $\tau \hat{\gamma} \hat{s}$   $\phi \nu \sigma \epsilon \omega s$   $\dot{\gamma} \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ ,  $\kappa a i$   $\tau \delta$   $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \rho \rho \rho \nu \tau \sigma \nu$   $\delta i \dot{\alpha}$   $\tau \hat{\gamma} \hat{s}$   $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \delta i \omega \tau i \kappa \hat{\gamma} \hat{s}$   $\kappa \omega \nu \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \epsilon \omega s$   $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \pi \sigma \rho \epsilon \nu \delta \omega \epsilon \nu \sigma$ ,  $\tau \delta \tau \hat{s}$   $\kappa \omega \delta i \kappa \hat{\gamma} \hat{s}$ ,  $\tau \delta \tau \hat{s}$   $\delta \pi \delta \lambda \dot{\gamma} \hat{s} \hat{g}$ , 'The permanence of the subject through the influx and efflux of nourishment.' Gr. again refers to the process of nutrition and growth in c. 37 (see notes). See further the interesting discussion in de Hom. Opif. c. 27.

6.  $\hat{\eta} \phi \theta \alpha i$ ] Cp. c. 15 p. 66 αψ-

ασθαι (note).

7.  $\kappa \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau o s$ ] i.e. what he has previously defined as  $\xi \rho \gamma o \nu$ , including the natural processes of birth,

growth, &c.

8. τοιs ἀπηγορ.] Cp. c. 8 ἐν τοιs ἀπηγορευμένοις ἐγένοντο οἰ πρῶτοι ἄνθρωποι. Gr. explains his meaning below, when he shows that as Christ's birth was free from τὸ καθ' ἡδονὴν πάθος, so His life was free from ἡ πρὸς κακίαν ὁρμή.

10. διεξιόντος] 'relating,' 're-

counting.'

11.  $\bar{\epsilon}\phi\hat{\eta}\phi\theta\alpha\iota$ ] a somewhat stronger form of  $\hat{\eta}\phi\theta\alpha\iota$  above.

πρεπούσης έννοίας διαμαρτάνει τὸ κήρυγμα, μηδεμιᾶς παθητικής διαθέσεως έν ταις περί θεοῦ ὑπολήψεσι τη πίστει συνεισιούσης; οὐδὲ γάρ τὸν ἰατρὸν ἐν πάθει γίνεσθαι λέγομεν, όταν θεραπεύη τον έν πάθει γινόμενον άλλα καν προσάψηται τοῦ ἀρρωστήματος, ἔξω πάθους ὁ θεραπευτής 5 διαμένει. εὶ ἡ γένεσις αὐτὴ καθ' έαυτὴν πάθος οὐκ ἔστιν. οὐδ' ἀν τὴν ζωήν τις πάθος προσαγορεύσειεν, ἀλλὰ τὸ καθ' ήδονην πάθος της ανθρωπίνης καθηγείται γενέσεως. καὶ ή πρὸς κακίαν τῶν ζώντων ὁρμή, τοῦτο τῆς φύσεως ήμων έστιν αρρώστημα· αλλά μην αμφοτέρων αυτόν 10 καθαρεύειν φησί τὸ μυστήριον εἰ οὖν ήδονης μὲν ή γένεσις ηλλοτρίωται, κακίας δὲ ή ζωή, ποίον υπολείπεται πάθος, οὖ τὸν θεὸν κεκοινωνηκέναι φησὶ τὸ τῆς εὐσεβείας μυστήριου; εἰ δὲ τὴν τοῦ σώματος καὶ τῆς ψυχής διάζευξιν πάθος προσαγορεύοι, πολύ πρότερον δι- 15 καίος αν είη την συνδρομην αμφοτέρων ούτω κατονομάσαι. εί γάρ ο χωρισμός των συνημμένων πάθος έστί, και ή συνάφεια των διεστώτων πάθος αν είη κίνησις γάρ τίς

1. μηδεμιᾶs] 'Since in our conceptions of God no disposition to ''passion'' enters along with our belief.' When we say that God became man, we do not imply that the Godhead was subject to the vicissitudes of birth, growth, death. Gr. illustrates this by the case of the physician.

3. τὸν ἰατρόν] Cp. the passage referred to on p. 67 from c. Eunom.

vi p. 724 (Migne).

 $\dot{\delta}$ .  $\epsilon \dot{i}$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ] The protasis is resumed in the clauses beginning  $\dot{\delta}$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\epsilon}$  (for  $\mu \eta \dot{\delta}$   $\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\epsilon}$ )— $\dot{\epsilon}$ λλὰ  $\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\epsilon}$ .  $\dot{\eta}$ .

 $\pi$ .—ἀλλὰ μήν (unless we assume that this is a parenthesis)— $\epsilon l$  οὖν. The apodosis begins with  $\pi o \hat{\iota} o \nu$ .

13–14.  $\tau \delta \tau \eta s \epsilon \psi \sigma. \mu.$ ] 1 Tim. iii 16. 14.  $\epsilon l \delta \epsilon$ ] In what follows Gr. maintains that the arguments which make the term  $\pi \delta \theta \sigma$  inapplicable to the human birth, also make it inapplicable to the dissolution of the body and soul in death.

 $[5, \pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma o \rho \epsilon \psi o \epsilon]$  The subject is the imaginary objector. The text has been corrected by the insertion of  $\tau \iota s$ , in some Mss before  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ , in

others before διάζευξιν.

έστιν έν τε τη συγκρίσει των διεστώτων καὶ έν τη διακρίσει τῶν συμπεπλεγμένων ἢ ἡνωμένων. ὅπερ τοίνυν ἡ τελευταία κίνησις ονομάζεται, τοῦτο προσήκει καλεῖσθαι καὶ τὴν προάγουσαν. εἰ δὲ ἡ πρώτη κίνησις, ἡν γένεσιν ς ονομάζομεν, πάθος οὐκ ἔστιν, οὐδ' ἂν ή δευτέρα κίνησις, ἡν θάνατον ονομάζομεν, πάθος αν κατά το ακόλουθον λέγοιτο. καθ' ην η συνδρομή του σώματος και της ψυχης διακρίνεται. τὸν δὲ θεον φαμεν ἐν ἐκατέρα γεγενησθαι τῆ τῆς φύσεως ήμων κινήσει, δι' ής ή τε ψυχή πρός τὸ σωμα 10 συντρέγει, τό τε σώμα της ψυχης διακρίνεται καταμιχθέντα δὲ πρὸς ἐκατερον τούτων, πρός τε τὸ αἰσθητόν φημι καὶ τὸ νοερὸν τοῦ ἀνθρωπίνου συγκρίματος, διὰ τῆς άρρήτου έκείνης καὶ ἀνεκφράστου συνανακράσεως τοῦτο οἰκονομήσασθαι, τὸ τῶν ἄπαξ ἐνωθέντων, ψυχῆς λέγω καὶ ις σώματος, καὶ εἰς ἀεὶ διαμεῖναι τὴν ἕνωσιν. τῆς γὰρ φύσεως ήμων διὰ της ίδίας ἀκολουθίας καὶ ἐν ἐκείνω πρὸς διάκρισιν τοῦ σώματος καὶ τῆς ψυχῆς κινηθείσης, πάλιν

2. η ηνωμένων] The text, which is a conjecture of Krabinger, explains the origin of the various corruptions found in all the MSS. See app. crit.

10-11. καταμιχθέντα] sc. τὸν θεόν. The common text (as also Krab.) reads καταμιχθέντος and inserts a comma after νοερόν.

12. τοῦ ἀ. συγκρίματος] depends on τὸ αἰσθητὸν...καὶ τὸ νοερόν, 'the sensible and the intelligible element belonging to concrete human nature.'

13. συνανακράσεως] Cp. antea c. 11 p. 57 ἀνάκρασις (note).

ib. τοῦτο] refers to τό...καὶ εἰς ἀεὶ διαμεῖναι τὴν ἔνωσιν, i.e. that the union once formed should also (καὶ) be eternal. The subj. of οἰκονομήσασθαι is τὸν θεόν, already referred

to in  $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \chi \gamma \phi \ell \nu \tau \alpha$ . The purpose of the union of God and man in the Incarnation was to effect the eternal union of body and soul in mankind. That union had been disturbed by the occurrence of death. The Divine Power, acting as a kind of cement  $(\kappa \alpha \beta \delta \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \nu i \kappa \delta \lambda \lambda \gamma)$ , recombined the severed elements and restored to man his original grace of immortality.

16. διὰ τῆς ἰδίας ἀκολουθίας] It might seem from these words as though Gr. held that death was natural to man. But as he has already stated in c. 8 that death was a later feature of human existence, he must be thinking of human nature as it now exists.

συνηψε τὰ διακριθέντα, καθάπερ τινὶ κόλλη, τη θεία λέγω δυνάμει, πρὸς τὴν ἄρρηκτον Ένωσιν τὸ διασχισθέν συναρμόσας. καὶ τοῦτό ἐστιν ἡ ἀνάστασις, ἡ τῶν συνεζευγμένων μετά την διαλυσιν επάνοδος είς άδιάλυτον ένωσιν, άλληλοις συμφυομένων, ώς αν ή πρώτη περί τὸ ἀνθρώπινον γάρις 5 ανακληθείη, καὶ πάλιν ἐπὶ τὴν ἀίδιον ἐπανέλθοιμεν ζωήν. της έμμιχθείσης τη φύσει κακίας διά της διαλύσεως ήμων έκρυείσης, οἷον ἐπὶ τοῦ ὑγροῦ συμβαίνει, περιτρυφθέντος αὐτῶ τοῦ ἀγγείου, σκεδαννυμένου τε καὶ ἀφανιζομένου. μηδενὸς όντος τοῦ περιστέγοντος. καθάπερ δὲ ἡ ἀργὴ τοῦ 10 θανάτου εν ενί γενομένη πάση συνδιεξηλθε τη άνθρωπίνη φύσει, κατά τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον καὶ ἡ ἀρχὴ τῆς ἀναστάσεως δι' ένὸς ἐπὶ πᾶσαν διατείνει τὴν ἀνθρωπότητα. ό γὰρ τὴν αναληφθείσαν παρ' έαυτοῦ ψυχήν πάλιν ένώσας τω οἰκείω σώματι διὰ τῆς δυνάμεως έαυτοῦ τῆς έκατέρω τούτων παρὰ 15 την πρώτην σύστασιν έμμιχθείσης ούτω γενικωτέρω

1-2 θεια δυναμει λεγω f  $\parallel$  2 αρρητον deghnp  $\parallel$  6 επανελθωμεν d  $\parallel$ 8  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \theta \rho \nu \phi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \sigma s$  1 vulg  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \sigma s$  defgling | 10  $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho$   $\delta \epsilon$  |  $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \rho$ γαρ eg1h | 15 εαυτου] αυτου el vulg | 16 ουτω] ουτος f vulg

4-5. άλλ. συμφυομένων] an additional clause agreeing with  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ συνεζευγμένων and having a predicative force. 'The return, after dissolution, of elements that had been united together, to an indissoluble union, so that they are knit together.'

8.  $\pi \epsilon \mu \tau \rho \nu \phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \sigma s$ ] The almost unanimous verdict of the MSS is in favour of  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau\rho\iota\phi\theta\epsilon\nu\tau$ os. As Gr. however in c. 8 has already used  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \theta \rho \psi \psi \alpha \iota$  in reference to the same illustration, Krabinger's conjecture περιτρυφθέντοs is probably right. The reading of l and the Paris editors is a less correct way of spelling the word.

10. καθάπερ δέ] Cp. Rom. v 15,

1 Cor. xv 21.

15. ἐκατέρω] The Divine Power was united alike to the human soul

and the body of Christ at their first framing, i.e. from the moment of conception. It was the action of this same Divine Power which effected the reunion of His body and soul in

the resurrection.

16 f. γενικωτέρω τινὶ λόγω Γενικός is that which belongs to the yevos, 'generic,' as opposed to είδικός 'specific.' The contrast is between the particular instance of a reunion of soul and body effected by Christ's Divine Power, i.e. His own resurrection, and the reunion upon 'a more universal scale' of the intelligible and sensible elements exhibited in the resurrection of all mankind. Krabinger translates γεν. λόγω 'generaliori quadam ratione,' following the Latin version of the Paris edition.

τινὶ λόγφ τὴν νοερὰν οὐσίαν τῷ αἰσθητῷ συγκατέμιξεν, τῆς ἀρχῆς κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἐπὶ τὸ πέρας εὐοδουμένης. ἐν γὰρ τῷ ἀναληφθέντι παρ' αὐτοῦ ἀνθρώπῳ πάλιν μετὰ τὴν διάλυσιν πρὸς τὸ σῶμα τῆς ψυχῆς ἐπανελ-5 θούσης, οἴον ἀπό τινος ἀρχῆς εἰς πᾶσαν τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην φύσιν τῷ δυνάμει κατὰ τὸ ἴσον ἡ τοῦ διακριθέντος ἕνωσις διαβαίνει. καὶ τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ μυστήριον τῆς τοῦ θεοῦ περὶ τὸν θάνατον οἰκονομίας καὶ τῆς ἐκ νεκρῶν ἀναστάσεως, τὸ διαλυθῆναι μὲν τῷ θανάτῳ τοῦ σώματος τὴν ψυχὴν 10 κατὰ τὴν ἀναγκαίαν τῆς φύσεως ἀκολουθίαν μὴ κωλῦσαι, εἰς ἄλληλα δὲ πάλιν ἐπαναγαγεῖν διὰ τῆς ἀναστάσεως, ὡς ἃν αὐτὸς γένοιτο μεθόριον ἀμφοτέρων, θανάτου τε καὶ ζωῆς, ἐν ἑαυτῷ μὲν στήσας διαιρουμένην τῷ θανάτφ τὴν φύσιν, αὐτὸς δὲ γενόμενος ἀρχὴ τῆς τῶν διηρημένων ἑνώσεως.

ι λογω τινι d τινι τροπω vulg  $\parallel$  την νοεραν $\parallel$  om την vulg  $\parallel$  3 ανθρωπω $\parallel$  ανθρωπινω συγκριματι  $\parallel$  vulg  $\parallel$  8 θανατον $\parallel$  ανθρωπον vulg Thdrtsirm  $\parallel$  10 και την αναγκ.  $\parallel$  vulg  $\parallel$  13 διαιρεθεισαν deghnp

1. οὐσίαν] For this sense of οὐσία

cp. antea c. 6.

t-2. τη̂s ἀρχη̂s] 'as the principle successfully makes its way in due sequence to the extremity.' 'Η ἀρχη̂ is the new principle of life originated by Christ in His resurrection. This new principle pervades the whole of humanity to its furthest limits. For the relation of Gr.'s teaching to that of Methodius see Introd. pp. xxv—xxviii.

sages and in Introd. pp. xvii-xviii.

5. olov the union of what was disunited, as it were by some new principle, extends potentially in an equal degree to the whole of human nature. For τη δυνάμει cp. c. 37 ἐκεῖνο τὸ σῶμα ἄρτος τη δυνάμει ην.

7. καὶ τοῦτο] These words as far as ἐπαναγαγεῖν διὰ τῆς ἀναστάσως are quoted by Theodoret Dial.

iii p. 300 (Migne).

12. μεθόριον]lit.: 'border country,' Lat. confinium. Hence used of a common ground or meeting-point, as here. In Christ life and death meet. He identified Himself with a nature liable to death and dissolution, and He became the source of life to it.

13.  $\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\alpha$ s] 'staying our nature,' in the sense of arresting the process of dissolution ( $\delta\iota\alpha\iota\rho\sigma\nu\mu\epsilon\nu\eta\nu$ ). Human nature is conceived of as something which was in danger of melting away. With the v.l.  $\delta\iota\alpha\iota\rho\epsilon\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\alpha\nu$  we might translate, 'having set up' or 'reestablished' it when it had been dissolved.

- 17. 'Αλλ' οὔπω φήσει τις λελύσθαι τὴν ὑπενεχθεῖσαν ήμιν αντίθεσιν, ισχυροποιείσθαι δὲ μᾶλλον ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων τὸ παρὰ τῶν ἀπίστων ἡμῖν προφερόμενον. εἰ γὰρ τοσαύτη δύναμίς έστιν έν αὐτώ, όσην ὁ λόγος ἐπέδειξεν, ώς θανάτου τε καθαίρεσιν καὶ ζωῆς εἴσοδον ἐπ' αὐτῷ εἶναι, τί οὐχὶ ς θελήματι μόνω τὸ κατὰ γνώμην ποιεῖ, ἀλλ' ἐκ περιόδου τὴν σωτηρίαν ήμῶν κατεργάζεται, τικτόμενος τε καὶ τρεφόμενος, καὶ τῆ τοῦ θανάτου πείρα σώζων τὸν ἄνθρωπον, έξὸν μήτε έν τούτοις γενέσθαι καὶ ήμᾶς περισώσασθαι; πρὸς δὲ τὸν τοιοῦτον λόγον ίκανὸν μὲν ἦν πρὸς τοὺς εὐγνώμονας τοσοῦ- 10 τον εἰπεῖν, ὅτι καὶ τοῖς ἰατροῖς οὐ νομοθετοῦσι τὸν τρόπον της επιμελείας οι κάμνοντες, οὐδε περί τοῦ της θεραπείας είδους πρός τους εὐεργέτας ἀμφισβητοῦσι, διὰ τί προσήψατο τοῦ πονοῦντος μέρους ὁ θεραπεύων καὶ τόδε τι πρὸς την του κακου λύσιν ἐπενόησεν, ἔτερον δέον, ἀλλὰ πρὸς 15 τὸ πέρας ὁρῶντες τῆς εὐεργεσίας ἐν εὐχαριστία τὴν εὐποιίαν εδέξαντο. άλλ' επειδή, καθώς φησιν ή προφητεία, τὸ πλήθος της χρηστότητος τοῦ θεοῦ κεκρυμμένην έγει την ωφέλειαν και ούπω δια του παρόντος βίου τηλαυγώς
- 17. I  $\upsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta$ .]  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta$ . h  $\parallel$  4  $\alpha \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \epsilon \nu$  el vulg  $\parallel$  5 om  $\tau \epsilon$  f  $\parallel$   $\epsilon m$  autw  $\delta$   $\parallel$  9  $\tau \iota \upsilon \tau \omega$  l vulg  $\parallel$  15  $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \iota \upsilon \iota \upsilon \iota \upsilon \iota \upsilon \iota$  l vulg  $\parallel$  17  $\eta$   $\pi \rho \iota \iota \varphi$ .] o  $\pi \rho \iota \iota \iota \upsilon \iota \upsilon \iota \upsilon \iota \upsilon \iota$  l vulg
- 17. Gr. now returns to the objection stated in c. 15. 'Why did not God restore man by a mere fiat?' It is a sufficient reply, he answers, to those who are reasonable, to say that just as a patient does not dictate to his physician the treatment to be applied, or criticize the method of his curr, but, looking to the object in view, thankfully receives his attention, so we must look to the beneficent purpose of the Incarnation and await fuller light than we can receive in this life.

  1. ὑπενεχθεῖσων] in the sense of

'submit,' 'suggest.'
5. ἐπ' αὐτῷ] 'in his power.'

 έκ περιόδου] 'by a roundabout way.' Cp. c. 15 μακράς περιέρχεται περιόδους (note), and c. 26. Gr. is thinking of the length of the process involved in  $\tau$ ίκτεσθαί τε καὶ τρέφεσθαι.

8.  $\pi \epsilon i \rho a$ ] 'the experience of death.'

10. εὐγνώμ.] 'well-disposed,' reasonable.'

καθοράται· ή γὰρ ἂν περιήρητο πάσα τῶν ἀπίστων ἀντίρρησις, εἰ τὸ προσδοκώμενον ἐν ὀφθαλμοῖς ἦν· νυνὶ δὲ ἀναμένει τοὺς ἐπερχομένους αἰῶνας, ὥστε ἐν αὐτοῖς ἀποκαλυφθῆναι τὰ νῦν διὰ τῆς πίστεως μόνης ὁρώμενα· ἀναγς καῖον ἂν εἴη λογισμοῖς τισὶ κατὰ τὸ ἐγχωροῦν καὶ τῶν ἐπιζητουμένων ἐξευρεῖν τὴν λύσιν τοῖς προλαβοῦσι συμβαίνουσαν.

18. Καί τοι περιττὸν ἴσως ἐστὶ θεὸν ἐπιδεδημηκέναι τῷ βίῳ πιστεύσαντας διαβάλλειν τὴν παρουσίαν, ὡς οὐκ ἐν το σοφία τινὶ καὶ λόγῳ γενομένην τῷ κρείττονι. τοῖς γὰρ μὴ λίαν ἀντιμαχομένοις πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν οὐ μικρὰ τῆς θείας ἐπιδημίας ἀπόδειξις ἡ καὶ πρὸ τῆς μελλούσης ζωῆς ἐν τῷ παρόντι βίῳ φανερωθεῖσα, ἡ διὰ τῶν πραγμάτων αὐτῶν

Ι αντιρρησις] αντιθέσις  $f \parallel 3$  επέρχ.] υπέρχ. vulg  $\parallel 6$  προλαμβανουσι  $f \parallel 3$  vulg  $f \parallel 6$  προλαμβανουσι  $f \parallel 6$  νυμς  $f \parallel 6$  προλαμβανουσι  $f \parallel 6$  νυμς  $f \parallel 6$  αυτων πραγμ. deglinp

3. ἀναμένει] The subj. is τὸ προσδοκώμενον, the whole passage ἡ γὰρ ἄν...μόνης ὁρώμενα being a

parenthesis.

 $\xi$ -6. καὶ τῶν ἐπιζητ.] 'to find for the questions before us a solution that is in accord with what has preceded.' The καὶ coordinates τὰ ἐπιζ. with τὰ προλαβ. Instead of discussing any further the manner of the Incarnation Gr. proposes to show the beneficence of the end aimed at. In what follows he shows first of all its results as testified by facts, and then its harmony with current conceptions of God's attributes.

18. It is superfluous to criticize the manner of Christ's appearance, when we have the testimony of facts as to its effects. The cessation of heathen worship, oracles, and sacrifices, the disappearance of heathen altars, temples, and idols, the rising throughout the world of temples and altars to the name of Christ, the witness of Christian worship, and

the lives of Christian martyrs are evidences of the power of Christ's appearing. The Jews, too, have a sign in the disappearance of their temple and its worship, and the desolation of Jerusalem.

9. τὴν παρουσίαν] Cp. c. 19 θείας παρουσίας. Other terms used by Gr. to denote the Incarnation are ἐπιδημία (infra), θεοφάνεια (infra), συγκατάβασις (c. 24) and οἰκονομία

which occurs repeatedly.

ib. ws ove ['on the ground that it was not effected in the way of what we think to be wisdom and of superior reason.' There is irony both in τω and in τω κρείττον.

12.  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \delta \phi \sigma \eta s$ ] an allusion to the words of the preceding chapter:  $\hat{\alpha} \nu a \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon$  του  $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma$  δια  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$  δια  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$  δια  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$   $\mu \delta \tau \delta \tau$  δια  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$   $\mu \delta \tau \delta \tau \delta \tau$  Here Gr. maintains that even in the present life we can see from the evidence of facts the results of Christ's Incarnation.

φημί μαρτυρία. τίς γάρ οὐκ οἶδεν ὅπως πεπλήρωτο κατά παν μέρος της οἰκουμένης ή των δαιμόνων ἀπάτη, διὰ της είδωλομανίας της ζωής των ανθρώπων κατακρατήσασα. όπως τοῦτο νόμιμον πᾶσι τοῖς κατὰ τὸν κόσμον ἔθνεσιν ἦν, τὸ θεραπεύειν διὰ τῶν εἰδώλων τοὺς δαίμονας ἐν ταῖς ς ζωοθυσίαις καὶ τοῖς ἐπιβωμίοις μιάσμασιν; ἀφ' οὖ δέ, καθώς φησιν ο απόστολος, επεφάνη ή χάρις του θεου ή σωτήριος πασιν ανθρώποις, δια της ανθρωπίνης επιδημήσασα φύσεως, πάντα καπνοῦ δίκην εἰς τὸ μὴ ὂν μετεγώρησεν, ώστε παύσασθαι μεν τὰς τῶν χρηστηρίων τε καὶ 10 μαντειών μανίας, αναιρεθήναι δε τας έτησίους πομπάς καὶ τὰ δι' αίμάτων ἐν ταῖς ἐκατόμβαις μολύσματα, ἐν δὲ τοῖς πολλοίς των έθνων άφανισθήναι καθ' όλου βωμούς καὶ προπύλαια καὶ τεμένη καὶ ἀφιδρύματα καὶ οσα ἄλλα τοῖς θεραπευταίς των δαιμόνων έπι ἀπάτη σφων αὐτων καὶ 15 των έντυγχανόντων έπετηδεύετο, ως έν πολλοίς των τόπων μηδέ, εἰ γέγονε ταῦτά ποτε, μνημονεύεσθαι, ἀντεγερθηναι δὲ κατὰ πᾶσαν τὴν οἰκουμένην ἐπὶ τῶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ ονόματι ναούς τε καὶ θυσιαστήρια καὶ τὴν σεμνήν τε καὶ

6 μιασμασιν | αιμασιν  $d \parallel ov \mid \omega \nu \in \parallel 13 \beta \omega \mu ov s \mid \tau ov s \beta$ ,  $f + \tau \epsilon vulg \parallel 15 \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta s$ vulg | 16 επιτετηδευτο g'l vulg | 18 om του vulg | 19 ναους και θ. deghno

1.  $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \tau o$ ] 'had fully prevailed.' Athanasius (de Inc. 13, 14) has a similar passage on the influence of evil spirits in the pagan world. The prevalent practice of idolatry enabled the evil spirits to 'get the mastery' (κατακρατήσασα) over human life. For the absence of the augment in  $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \tau o$  see Blass Gramm. of N. T. Greek (Eng. Tr.) P. 37.

5. θεραπεύειν...δαίμονας] Cp.

I Cor. x 20.

 7. ὁ ἀπόστολος] Tit. ii 11.
 8. διὰ τῆς ἀνθρ.] For this use ος διά cp. c. 12 τοῦ διὰ σαρκὸς ἡμῖν φανερωθέντος θεοῦ (note).

10. παύσασθαι] The whole of this passage recalls the similar treatment of the decay of paganism in Ath. de Inc. 46 foll.

11. πομπάs] For these processions see Lightfoot's note on Ign.

Eph. g.

13. βωμούς] contrasted with θυσιαστήρια below. In the LXX βωμός is used almost exclusively with reference to heathen worship. Ovo. is 'a place of sacrifice,' 'the altar and its precincts.' See Westcott Hebrews p. 453.

14. προπύλαια κ.τ.λ.] 'porticoes,

sacred precincts, shrines.

19.  $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon$  'the revered and unbloody priesthood.' The Latin translation in the Paris edition has venerandum et incruentum sacrificium,' but there is no variation

ἀναίμακτον ἱερωσύνην καὶ τὴν ὑψηλὴν φιλοσοφίαν, ἔργφ μᾶλλον ἢ λόγφ κατορθουμένην, καὶ τῆς σωματικῆς ζωῆς τὴν ὑπεροψίαν καὶ τοῦ θανάτου τὴν καταφρόνησιν, ἢν οἱ μεταστῆναι τῆς πίστεως παρὰ τῶν τυράννων ἀναγκαζό-5 μενοι φανερῶς ἐπεδείξαντο, ἀντ' οὐδενὸς δεξάμενοι τὰς τοῦ σώματος αἰκίας, καὶ τὴν ἐπὶ θανάτφ ψῆφον, οὐκ ἂν ὑποστάντες δηλαδὴ ταῦτα, μὴ σαφῆ τε καὶ ἀναμφίβολον τῆς θείας ἐπιδημίας ἔχοντες τὴν ἀπόδειξιν. τὸ δὲ αὐτὸ τοῦτο καὶ πρὸς τοὺς Ἰουδαίους ἱκανόν ἐστι σημεῖον εἰπεῖν τοῦ το παρεῖναι τὸν παρ' αὐτῶν ἀπιστούμενον. μέχρι μὲν γὰρ τῆς τοῦ Χριστοῦ θεοφανείας λαμπρὰ παρ' αὐτοῖς ἦν τὰ ἐν Ἱεροσολύμοις βασίλεια, ὁ διώνυμος ἐκεῖνος ναός, αἱ νενο-

θυσίαν in the MSS. The expression ἀναίμακτος ἱερωσύνη arises out of the phrase ἡ ἀναίμακτος θυσία, commonly applied by the Fathers to the Eucharist. The earliest example is Athenag. Suppl. pro Chr. 13 καίτοι προσφέρειν δέον ἀναίμακτον θυσίαν καὶ τὴν λογικὴν προσάγειν λατρείαν. Cp. Cyr. Hier. Cat. xxiii 8 τὴν πνευματικὴν θυσίαν, τὴν ἀναίμακτον λατρείαν. Fronto Ducaeus also quotes Greg. Naz. Carm. xi 1 and xii 1  $^{2}\Omega$  θυσίας πέμποντες ἀναιμάκτους leoñes.

1. ὑψηλὴν φιλοσοφίαν] Krabinger understands this as='vitam asceticam et monasticam' and refers to Greg. Naz. Or. xxv p. 1204 (Migne). The word is certainly found very commonly after the time of Eusebius in this technical sense (see Hort Jud. Christianity p. 121, and Suicer sub voce), but it is also used quite generally of the pursuit of a holy life and the practice of the Christian religion. For Gr.'s use of the word in this sense cp. de Baptismo p. 420 (Migne) πολλούς χρόνους έχαρίσω τη ήδονη · δὸς καὶ τη φιλοσοφία σχολήν. απόδυσαι τὸν παλαιὸν ἄνθρωπον

κ.τ.λ. Similarly Chrysostom, Hom. lxxxiii in Joh. p. 447 (Migne), says φρικτὸν ὁ θάνατος...ἀλλ' οὐ παρὰ τοῖς τὴν ἄνω φιλοσοφίαν εἰδόσι.

2. κατορθουμένην] 'the pursuit of which consists in action more than in speech.' For κατορθοῦν ecolere, alicui rei studere, cp. Chrys. Hom. x in Ep. ad Philipp. c. 4 εὐκολώτερον μᾶλλον διὰ τῆς πενίας ἡ ἀρετὴ κατορθοῦται. For the sentiment cp. Min. Felix Octav. c. 38, non eloquimur magna, sed vivimus.

3. ὑπεροψίαν] Cp. the similar language of Athanasius de Inc. c. 48, and for the contempt of death ibid. c. 27.

9. σημεῖον] There is a similar passage, in which the fate of the Jews is regarded as a sign that they have been punished for their rejection of Christ, in Origen c. Celsum iv 22.

11–12. τὰ βασίλεια] Gr. introduces this mention of the 'palaces' to show the outward splendour of the city. He is thinking, doubtless, of Herod's palace, which surpassed even the Temple in magnificence.

12. διώνυμος] 'far-famed.'

μισμέναι δι' έτους θυσίαι, πάντα όσα παρά τοῦ νόμου δι' αίνιγμάτων τοῖς μυστικῶς ἐπαίειν ἐπισταμένοις διήρηται, μέγρι τότε κατά την έξ άργης νομισθείσαν αὐτοίς της. εὐσεβείας θρησκείαν ἀκώλυτα ἦν. ἐπεὶ δὲ εἶδον τὸν προσδοκώμενον, ον διὰ τῶν προφητῶν τε καὶ τοῦ νόμου 5 προεδιδάχθησαν, καὶ προτιμοτέραν ἐποιήσαντο τῆς εἰς τὸν φανέντα πίστεως την λοιπον εσφαλμένην εκείνην δεισιδαιμονίαν, ην κακώς έκλαβόντες, τὰ τοῦ νόμου ρήματα διεφύλασσον, συνηθεία μᾶλλον η διανοία δουλεύοντες, οὔτε την έπιφανείσαν έδέξαντο χάριν, και τὰ σεμνὰ της παρ' 10 αὐτοῖς θρησκείας ἐν διηγήμασι Φιλοῖς ὑπολείπεται, τοῦ ναοῦ μὲν οὐδὲ ἐξ ἰχνῶν ἔτι γινωσκομένου, τῆς δὲ λαμπρᾶς έκείνης πόλεως εν ερειπίοις ύπολειφθείσης, μείναι δε τοίς Ἰουδαίοις τῶν κατὰ τὸ ἀρχαῖον νενομισμένων μηδέν, ἀλλὰ καὶ αὐτὸν τὸν σεβάσμιον αὐτοῖς ἐν Ἱεροσολύμοις τόπον 15 άβατον προστάγματι των δυναστευόντων γενέσθαι.

2 μυστικοις vulg  $\parallel$  δυναμένοις vulg  $\parallel$  3 μέχρις f  $\parallel$  5 και ον l vulg  $\parallel$  7 λοιπην h om λοιπον fl vulg  $\parallel$  om εκεινην f  $\parallel$  8 εκβαλοντές l\* vulg  $\parallel$  11 υπολελειπται l vulg  $\parallel$  12 ετι γιν.] επιγιν. l vulg  $\parallel$  13 δε] τε vulg  $\parallel$  15 τον εν ιερ. fg

1-2. δι' αἰνιγμάτων] 'all that the Law had marked out in veiled language for those who were able to understand the inner meaning.' For δι' αἰνιγμάτων cf. c. 8. 'Επαίευ, a somewhat poetical word, used by Plato. Cp. Legg. 701 A. Διήρηται, 'defined,' 'expressed.'

3. κατὰ τήν] 'according to the ritual of their religion which had been enjoined upon them from the

beginning.

7-8. δεισιδαιμονίαν] 'that which was henceforth a mistaken superstition.' The clinging to Judaism after the coming of Christ turned their religion into a superstition.

8. ἐκλαβόντες] in the sense of 'interpret.' The Jews had failed to interpret the meaning of their own religion which was intended to prepare them to welcome Christ.

9-10. οὔτε την ἐπιφ.] These words introduce the apodosis of the sentence which began with ἐπεί. The Jews failed to accept the new religion of grace, and the practice of their former religion became a mere matter of history. Krabinger, however, makes the apodosis begin with καὶ τὰ σεωνὰ κ.τ.λ.

11.  $\epsilon \nu \delta \iota \eta \gamma$ .  $\psi \iota \lambda$ .] 'in mere narratives,' i.e. in narratives and nothing more. For  $\delta \iota \eta \gamma$ . cp. 2 Macc. ii 24

τοις της Ιστορίας διηγήμασιν.

13.  $\mu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \alpha \delta \hat{\epsilon}$  The grammar is in some confusion. The clauses following  $\dot{\nu}\pi o \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha$  are explanatory, and the infinitive is used as though  $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$  had followed  $\dot{\nu}\pi o \lambda \epsilon i \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha$ .

16. δυναστευόντων] After the Jewish revolt in A.D. 134 Hadrian decreed that the Jews were to be

- 19. 'Αλλ' ὅμως, ἐπειδὴ μήτε τοῖς ἐλληνίζουσι μήτε τοῖς τῶν Ἰουδαικῶν προεστῶσι δογμάτων δοκεῖ ταῦτα θείας παρουσίας ποιεῖσθαι τεκμήρια, καλῶς ἃν ἔχοι περὶ τῶν ἀνθυπενεχθέντων ἡμῖν ἰδία τὸν λόγον διαλαβεῖν, ὅτου χάριν 5 ἡ θεία φύσις πρὸς τὴν ἡμετέραν συμπλέκεται, δι' ἐαυτῆς σώζουσα τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, οὐ διὰ προστάγματος κατεργαζομένη τὸ κατὰ πρόθεσιν. τίς οὖν ἂν γένοιτο ἡμῖν ἀρχὴ πρὸς τὸν προκείμενον σκοπὸν ἀκολούθως χειραγωγοῦσα τὸν λόγον; τίς ἄλλη ἢ τὸ τὰς εὐσεβεῖς περὶ τοῦ θεοῦ 10 ὑπολήψεις ἐπὶ κεφαλαίων διεξελθεῖν;
- 20. Οὐκοῦν ὁμολογεῖται παρὰ πᾶσι μὴ μόνον δυνατὸν εἶναι δεῖν πιστεύειν τὸ θεῖον, ἀλλὰ καὶ δίκαιον καὶ ἀγαθὸν καὶ σοφὸν καὶ πᾶν ὕ τι πρὸς τὸ κρεῖττον τὴν διάνοιαν φέρει. ἀκόλουθον τοίνυν ἐπὶ τῆς παρούσης οἰκονομίας μὴ 15 τὸ μέν τι βούλεσθαι τῶν τῷ θεῷ πρεπόντων ἐπιφαίνεσθαι
  - **19.** 3 ποιεισθαι] ειναι f || 4 om ημιν l vulg || 8 προκειμ.]+ημιν e || 9 om του df **20.** 13 η διανοια l vulg

excluded from Jerusalem. The decree was still in existence in the time of Constantine (Eus. H. E. iv 6), but later on the Jews were allowed to visit the city. See Hastings' Dict. of Bible, art. Jerusalem.

19. But as neither Greeks nor Jews will listen to the preceding arguments, we must pursue further our enquiry into the causes and method of the Incarnation. We will begin by showing its relation to current conceptions of God.

2. δοκεί] 'think fit to make these things proofs of a Divine

4. τον λόγον διαλ.] Τον λόγον is the subject.  $\Delta \iota \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu =$  'to state clearly,' 'to discuss.'

5.  $\delta t^2 \epsilon a \nu r \eta s^2$ ] i.e.  $\tau \eta s$   $\theta \epsilon l a s$   $\phi \psi - \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ . The phrase is somewhat elliptical. The personal presence of God is contrasted with the external command.

8. χειραγωγοῦσα] 'conducting our

argument by a proper chain of reasoning to the conclusion which we have set before us.'

20. The general conception of God includes the ideas of His power, justice, goodness, and wisdom. The absence of any one of these is destructive to the perfection of the Oivine Being. In the Incarnation there is an exhibition of all these attributes. His goodness was shown in His desire to save us, His wisdom in the order and sequence of events by which His purpose was carried out. In what follows Gr. proposes to discuss more fully the wisdom and justice of the Incarnation.

14. παρούσης οἰκονομίας] i.e. the Incarnation which is 'present' as being under present consideration, corresponding to  $\dot{\eta}$  κατὰ ἄνθρωπον οἰκονομία below.

15. το μέν τι βούλ.] 'it is not reasonable that one or another of the attributes of God should tend to be

τοίς γεγενημένοις, τὸ δὲ μὴ παρείναι καθ' όλου γὰρ οὐδὲν έφ' έαυτοῦ τῶν ὑψηλῶν τούτων ὀνομάτων διεζευγμένον των ἄλλων άρετη κατά μόνας έστίν· οὔτε τὸ άγαθὸν άληθῶς ἐστὶν ἀγαθόν, μὴ μετὰ τοῦ δικαίου τε καὶ σοφοῦ καὶ τοῦ δυνατοῦ τεταγμένον: τὸ γὰρ ἄδικον ἢ ἄσοφον ἢ 5 άδύνατον άγαθὸν οὐκ ἔστιν' οὔτε ἡ δύναμις τοῦ δικαίου τε καὶ σοφοῦ κεγωρισμένη ἐν ἀρετῆ θεωρεῖται · θηριώδες γάρ έστι τὸ τοιοῦτον καὶ τυραννικὸν τῆς δυνάμεως εἶδος. ώσαύτως δὲ καὶ τὰ λοιπά, εἰ έξω τοῦ δικαίου τὸ σοφὸν φέροιτο, ἢ τὸ δίκαιον, εἰ μὴ μετὰ τοῦ δυνατοῦ τε καὶ τοῦ 10 άγαθοῦ θεωροῖτο, κακίαν ἄν τις μᾶλλον κυρίως τὰ τοιαῦτα κατονομάσειεν το γάρ έλλιπες τοῦ κρείττονος πως ἄν τις έν ἀγαθοῖς ἀριθμήσειεν; εἰ δὲ πάντα προσήκει συνδραμεῖν έν ταις περί θεού δόξαις, σκοπήσωμεν εί τινος ή κατά άνθρωπον οἰκονομία λείπεται τῶν θεοπρεπῶν ὑπολήψεων. 15 ζητοῦμεν πάντως ἐπὶ τοῦ θεοῦ τῆς ἀγαθότητος τὰ σημεῖα. καὶ τίς ἂν γένοιτο φανερωτέρα τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ μαρτυρία ἢ τὸ μεταποιηθήναι αὐτὸν τοῦ πρὸς τὸ ἐναντίον αὐτομολήσαντος, μηδέ συνδιατεθήναι τῶ εὐμεταβλήτω τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης προαιρέσεως την παγίαν έν τῷ ἀγαθῷ καὶ ἀμετάβλητον φύσιν; 20 οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἦλθεν εἰς τὸ σῶσαι ἡμᾶς, καθώς φησιν ὁ Δαβίδ,

9 δικαιου] + και dehn || 10 δυνατου] σοφου f || 10–11 του αγαθ.] om του f || 11 τα τοι. κυριως l vulg || 13 δε] + και f || 14 om τινος vulg || 15 ανθρωπου] + του θεου l vulg || λειπεται] + τι vulg

manifested in the history, while another is absent.' For this use of βούλεσθαι cp. Arist. Pol. 2. 6. 18 μάλλον δ' ἐγκλίνευν βούλεται πρὸς τὴν ὀλιγαρχίαν.

1.  $\kappa a\theta^{\dagger} \delta \lambda ov \gamma d\rho$ ] No one of the 'lofty titles' applied to God constitutes by itself a virtue. It needs to be perfected by association with other qualities. We cannot conceive of 'unjust,' 'unwise,' or 'impotent' goodness. Similarly power, when divorced from justice and wisdom, is brutal and tyrannical.

14-15.  $\dot{\eta}$  κατὰ ἄνθρ. οἰκ.] Cp.

c. 5 init. (note).

18. μεταποιηθηναι] 'lay claim to.' Cp. Thucyd. i 40 της ξυνέσεως μεταποιείσθαι.

19. μηδέ συνδ.] 'and that the nature which is fixed in govalness and unchanging should not be affected by the changeable will of man.' The idea is that God did not permit man's changed attitude towards Him to alter His fixed purpose of goodness.

21.  $\dot{o} \Delta \alpha \beta l \delta$ ] Krabinger refers to such passages as Ps. cv [cvi] 4—5; cxviii [cxix] 65, 66, 68 (LXX). The

μη άγαθότητος την τοιαύτην πρόθεσιν έμποιούσης. άλλ' οὐδὲν ἀν ώνησε τὸ ἀγαθὸν τῆς προθέσεως, μὴ σοφίας ένεργον την φιλανθρωπίαν ποιούσης. καὶ γάρ ἐπὶ τῶν αρρώστως διακειμένων πολλοί μεν ίσως οί βουλόμενοι μή 5 έν κακοῖς εἶναι τὸν κείμενον, μόνοι δὲ τὴν ἀγαθὴν ὑπὲρ των καμνόντων προαίρεσιν είς πέρας άγουσιν, οίς τεχνική τις δύναμις ένεργεί πρὸς την τοῦ κάμνοντος ἴασιν. οὐκοῦν την σοφίαν δεί συνεζεύχθαι πάντως τη άγαθότητι. πώς τοίνυν ἐν τοῖς γεγενημένοις τὸ σοφὸν τῷ ἀγαθῷ συνθεω-10 ρείται; ὅτι οὐ γυμνὸν τὸ κατὰ πρόθεσιν ἀγαθὸν ἔστιν ἰδείν. πῶς γὰρ ἂν φανείη ἡ πρόθεσις, μὴ διὰ τῶν γιγνομένων φανερουμένη; τὰ δὲ πεπραγμένα είρμῷ τινὶ καὶ τάξει δι' άκολούθου προιόντα τὸ σοφόν τε καὶ τεχνικὸν τῆς οἰκονομίας του θεου διαδείκνυσιν. ἐπεὶ δέ, καθώς ἐν τοῖς 15 φθάσασιν είρηται, πάντως τῷ δικαίω τὸ σοφὸν συνεζευγμένον άρετη γίγνεται, εί δὲ χωρισθείη, μη αν ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ κατὰ μόνας ἀγαθὸν εἶναι, καλῶς ἂν ἔχοι καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ λόγου

7 συνεργει fl vulg || 15 τω σοφω το δικ. e || 17 κατα μονας] καταμένη vulg

first passage speaks of God's εὐδοκία. The remaining passages dwell upon

His χρηστότης.

1-2. ἀλλ' οὐδέν] Gr. proceeds to show that the Incarnation was an exhibition of wisdom as well as goodness. This wisdom was displayed in the connexion and orderly sequence of the events of the Incarnation. But as perfect wisdom is associated with justice, the two must be considered together in treating of the Incarnation. Accordingly the whole of cc. 21 and 22, and the greater part of c. 23, deal with the question of justice, and it is only at the close of c. 23 that Gr. resumes the reference to wisdom.

10. ὅτι οὐ γυμνόν] 'For it is not possible to discern that which is good in turpose apart by itself,' i.e. apart from its realization in action, as explained in the following words  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ διά των γιγνομένων φανερουμένη.

12.  $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$  i.e. the events of the Incarnation, which proceeded in due sequence in a certain orderly chain.

 σοφόν τε καὶ τεχν.] In prol. Gr. uses the phrase τῶν τεχνικῶς καὶ σοφῶς...οἰκονομουμένων of creation. Here the words are used of the Divine olkovoula in the Incarnation. Gr. gives an illustration of his meaning in c. 23 sub fin. τὸ δὲ χωρητὸν δι' ἐπινοίας ποιῆσαι τῷ ἐχθρῷ τὸ ἀχώρητον τῆς ἀνωτάτω σοφίας τὴν απόδειξιν έχει, where the meaning of χωρητόν has been previously defined by the words διὰ τῆς τοῦ σώματος περιβολής χωρητήν την θείαν δύναμιν.

15.  $\pi \acute{a} \nu \tau \omega s$ ] goes with  $\tau \acute{\omega} \delta$ . συνεζευγμένον, 'only on condition of

being joined with justice.'

17. εἶναι] The inf. is probably due to the influence of the preceding εἴρηται.

της κατά ἄνθρωπον οἰκονομίας τὰ δύο μετ' ἀλλήλων κατανοῆσαι, τὸ σοφόν φημι καὶ τὸ δίκαιον.

- 21. Τίς οὖν ή δικαιοσύνη; μεμνήμεθα πάντως τῶν κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἐν τοῖς πρώτοις τοῦ λόγου διηρημένων ότι μίμημα της θείας φύσεως κατεσκευάσθη ο άνθρωπος, 5 τοίς τε λοιποίς των άγαθων καὶ τω αὐτεξουσίω τῆς προαιρέσεως την πρός το θείον διασώζων όμοίωσιν, τρεπτῆς δὲ φύσεως ὢν κατ' ἀνάγκην οὐ γὰρ ἐνεδέχετο τὸν έξ ἀλλοιώσεως την ἀρχην τοῦ είναι σχόντα μη τρεπτον είναι πάντως ή γαρ έκ του μη όντος είς το είναι πάροδος το άλλοίωσίς τίς έστι, της άνυπαρξίας κατά θείαν δύναμιν είς οὐσίαν μεθισταμένης, καὶ ἄλλως δὲ τῆς τροπῆς
- 21. 4 om πρωτοις f | διηρημ.] ειρημ. l vulg συντεταγμ. f | ο εχοντα df vulg  $\parallel$  10  $\eta$   $\gamma \alpha \rho$   $\mid$   $\epsilon \iota$   $\gamma \alpha \rho$  h  $\parallel$  12  $\kappa \alpha \iota$   $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s ... \theta \epsilon \omega \rho o \upsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s$  om e
- 21. Gr. proceeds to show that the Incarnation was an exhibition of justice. Man was made in the likeness of God, but as he was a creature, his nature, unlike that of God, was subject to change. This tendency to change involved movement in the direction of good or of evil. Man's intelligence, further, was liable to illusions as to what was really good. It was by such an illusion that Satan deceived man and enticed him into evil. Thus the two factors in the problem of redemption were, on the one hand, the voluntary bondage of man to Satan, and, on the other, the nature and character of God, including goodness, wisdom, justice, power, immortality &c. God's goodness excited His pity for fallen man, His wisdom supplied the method of recalling him. With wisdom justice was necessarily associated.

In no part of the Or. Cat. is the division of chapters adopted in the Paris edition so arbitrary and unfortunate as in the section which includes the present and the two following chapters. The long and involved sentence in the present chapter, which begins έν τούτω τοίνυν της έτερότητος, and which is not finally resumed until the words πάντα μοι κ.τ.λ. towards the close of the chapter, is broken up by the Paris editors, who begin c. 22 with the words έπεὶ οὖν τῆς πρὸς τὸ ὄντως. The same division is found in MSS b, e, f. To c. 21 (20 in the enumeration of these MSS) they prefix the colophon: ὅτι μίμημα τῆς θείας φύσεως κατασκευασθείς ὁ ἄνθρωπος τρεπτής έστι και άλλοιωτής φύσεως. Opposite the words  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$   $\delta \tilde{v} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ προς το όντως they mark the beginning of a new chapter (21) with θρωπον οὐ τυραννικῶς ἀλλὰ δικαιολόγως έλυτρώσατο. The division of chapters adopted here is that of Krabinger.

4. ἐν τοῖς πρώτοις] i.e. c. 5. 7. διασώζων ομοίωσιν] On Gr.'s use of the words εἰκών and ὁμοίωσις see antea c. 5 p. 24 (note).

ib.  $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \hat{\eta} s$   $\delta \epsilon$ ] The  $\delta \epsilon$  has an adversative force. 'Yet possessing

a changeable nature.'

12. καὶ ἄλλως There is another reason why 'change' is necessarily ἀναγκαίως ἐν τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ θεωρουμένης, ἐπειδὴ μίμημα τῆς θείας φύσεως ὁ ἄνθρωπος ἦν τὸ δὲ μιμούμενον, εἰ μὴ ἐν ἑτερότητι τύχοι τινί, ταὐτὸν ἂν εἴη πάντως ἐκείνῳ, ῷ ἀφωμοίωται. ἐν τούτῳ τοίνυν τῆς ἑτερότητος τοῦ 5 κατ' εἰκόνα γενομένου πρὸς τὸ ἀρχέτυπον οὕσης, ἐν τῷ τὸ μὲν ἄτρεπτον εἶναι τῆ φύσει, τὸ δὲ μὴ οὕτως ἔχειν, ἀλλὰ δι ἀλλοιώσεως μὲν ὑποστῆναι κατὰ τὸν ἀποδοθέντα λόγον, ἀλλοιούμενον δὲ μὴ πάντως ἐν τῷ εἶναι μένειν ἡ δὲ ἀλλοίωσις κίνησίς τίς ἐστιν εἰς ἕτερον ἀπὸ τοῦ κινήσεως τὸ μὲν πρὸς τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἀεὶ γιγνόμενον, ἐν ῷ ἡ πρόοδος στάσιν οὐκ ἔχει, διότι πέρας οὐδὲν τοῦ διεξο-

3 τυχη fg  $\parallel$  6 om τη φυσει d  $\parallel$  8 om μη fhl\* vulg  $\parallel$  9 μενειν] μιμημα l\* vulg  $\parallel$  om τις l vulg  $\parallel$  εις ετερον...ω εστιν om f  $\parallel$  10 δυο δε] δυο λεγει l\*vid vulg  $\parallel$  12 διεξοδευμενου df

part of human nature. It serves to mark the distinction between God the archetype and man the copy. The word  $\&\lambda \& s$  is explained by the clause  $\& \epsilon \pi \epsilon \& \delta \gamma$ ...  $\& d \phi \omega \mu o lor a u$ .

4. ἐν τούτω τοίνυν] Here begins a long and involved sentence which occupies the rest of the chapter. Gr. begins with a gen. absolute  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \delta \tau \eta \tau o s ... o \tilde{v} \sigma \eta s$ , but the main sentence is broken by a long parenthesis on the meaning of άλλοίωσις and κίνησις. The sentence is again taken up by the words ἐπειδη τοίνυν κατά την τρεπτήν, and again broken by the parenthesis καλον δέ  $\tau \delta \mu \epsilon \nu$ . A fresh beginning is made with the words  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon \dot{\iota}$   $\delta v$   $\tau \hat{\eta} s$   $\pi \rho \hat{o} s$   $\tau \hat{o}$ οντως, but a parenthesis οὐ γὰρ αν  $...\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi\lambda\alpha\sigma\theta\epsilon\iota\sigma\eta s$  again intervenes. After a fresh start, έν ταύτη τοίνυν γεγονότος, the apodosis finally begins with the words πάντα μοι κατά ταὐτόν.

7. ἀλλὰ δι' ἀλλ.] 'but as it vas by a change (δι ἀλλοιώσεως μέν) that it came into existence, so being subject to change (ἀλλοιόψενον δε) it does not and cannot remain in its

state of existence.' In what follows Gr. explains  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  πάντως  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  τ $\dot{\varphi}$  ε $\dot{\nu}$ ναι  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\nu$ . By άλλοίωσις he means 'a certain movement continually advancing to a different state from that in which a thing is.'

9.  $\dot{\eta}$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\delta i\omega\sigma\iota s$ ] Here begins the first parenthesis extending to the words  $\tau \hat{\eta}$   $\dot{a}\nu\nu\pi\alpha\rho\xi\iota a$   $\tau \dot{\eta}\nu$   $\dot{\nu}\pi\alpha\rho\xi\iota \nu$ .

12. στάσιν] The advance in the direction of good cannot be arrested, 'because there is no boundary to that which is explored,' i.e. there is no limit to the progress in good.  $\Delta \iota \epsilon \xi \circ \delta$ . is passive, 'that which is traversed.' The Latin version of the Paris edd. translates 'ejus quod transit,' which gives no meaning. Krab. translates 'ejus quod evolvitur.' Glauber renders 'weil selbst dasjenige ohne Ende ist, in dem man thätig ist,' and sees here the influence of the Platonic idea that αὐτὸ τὸ καλόν, αὐτὸ τὸ ἀγαθόν is eternal. He refers to the Phaedo of Plato. Καταλ. 'is perceived.' The word καταλαμβάνεσθαι is a mere variant, like θεωρείσθαι elsewhere in this treatise, for  $\epsilon l \nu \alpha \iota$ .

δευομένου καταλαμβάνεται τὸ δὲ πρὸς τὸ ἐναντίον, οὖ ἡ ύπόστασις έν τῶ μὴ ὑφεστάναι ἐστίν· ἡ γὰρ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ έναντίωσις, καθώς έν τοῖς ἔμπροσθεν εἴρηται, τοιοῦτόν τινα νοῦν κατὰ τὴν ἀντιδιαστολὴν ἔγει, καθάπερ φαμὲν τω μη όντι το ον άντιδιαιρείσθαι καὶ τη άνυπαρξία την 5 ύπαρξιν έπειδη τοίνυν κατά την τρεπτήν τε καὶ άλλοιώτην όρμην τε καὶ κίνησιν οὐκ ἐνδέχεται τὴν φύσιν ἐφ' έαυτης μένειν ἀκίνητον, ἀλλ' ἐπί τι πάντως ή προαίρεσις ίεται, της πρός το καλον ἐπιθυμίας αὐτην φυσικώς ἐφελκομένης εἰς κίνησιν καλὸν δὲ τὸ μέν τι ἀληθῶς κατὰ 10 την φύσιν έστί, το δε οὐ τοιοῦτον, ἀλλ' ἐπηνθισμένον τινὶ καλοῦ φαντασία κριτήριον δὲ τούτων ἐστὶν ὁ νούς, ένδοθεν ήμιν ενιδρυμένος, εν ώ κινδυνεύεται ή τὸ έπιτυχείν τοῦ ὄντως καλοῦ, ἢ τὸ παρατραπέντας αὐτοῦ διά τινος της κατά τὸ φαινόμενον ἀπάτης ἐπὶ τὸ ἐναντίον 15 ήμας απορρυήναι, οδόν τι παθείν ο έξωθεν μυθός φησιν ἀπιδοῦσαν ἐν τῶ ὕδατι τὴν κύνα πρὸς τὴν σκιὰν οῦ διὰ στόματος έφερε, μεθείναι μεν την άληθη τροφήν, περιγανοῦσαν δὲ τὸ τῆς τροφῆς εἴδωλον ἐν λιμώ γενέσθαι:

Ι ου] ω f  $\parallel$  om  $\eta$  vulg  $\parallel$  3 om  $\epsilon \nu$  τοις vulg  $\parallel$  4 τ $\nu$ α] τι e  $\parallel$  διαστολη $\nu$  vulg  $\parallel$  7 α $\phi$  εαυτης vulg  $\parallel$  9 αυτη f εαυτη $\nu$  deg\*hnp  $\parallel$  ε $\phi$ ελ $\kappa$ .  $\phi$ υσικως l\* vulg  $\parallel$  11 om τη $\nu$  f  $\parallel$  13 ε $\nu$  ω] + και f  $\parallel$  14 οντως] οντος dhln vulg  $\parallel$  16 εξωθε $\nu$ ] εξω  $\mu$ ε $\nu$  vulg  $\parallel$  17 αποδιδουσα $\nu$  vulg  $\parallel$  19 τ $\omega$ ...ειδωλω g\*p π $\rho$ ος το... ειδωλο $\nu$  n

 τὸ ἐναντίον] i.e. τὸ κακόν, which, as Gr. has shown previously,

is equivalent to τὸ μὴ ὄν.

2. ἡ...ἐναντίωσις] 'When we contrast the opposite of good with good, we mean much the same as when we say that the existent is logically opposed to the non-existent, and subsistence to non-subsistence.' Cp. antea cc. 6, 15 with notes.

6.  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$ ] resumes the sentence begun in  $\epsilon \nu \tau o \dot{\nu} \tau \phi \tau o l \nu \nu \nu$ . Όρμ $\dot{\eta} \nu$ , 'the impulse and movement towards

alteration and change.'

9. φυσικῶs] Gr. implies that man's natural state is one in which he is impelled to the pursuit of good.

In the parenthesis, which begins  $\kappa a \lambda \delta \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ , he shews how in the pursuit of good man is liable to be misled by illusions.

11. ἐπηνθισμένον] 'arrayed in

a certain semblance of good.'

13.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\phi}$ ] The antecedent is prob.  $\nu o \hat{v} s$ . As the  $\nu o \hat{v} s$  is liable to err, there is the chance of either success or failure in the pursuit of good.

16. ἀπορρυῆναι] Cp. c. 15. Ό  $\xi\xi$ .  $\mu \hat{v}\theta os$  = 'the heathen fable.' Krab. quotes from St Basil the similar expressions  $\mu a\theta \dot{\eta} \mu a\tau \alpha$  τὰ  $\xi\xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\theta}\dot{\nu} g d\epsilon \nu$  σοφία.

18. περιχανοῦσαν] 'opening his mouth to swallow.' Cp. Lucian

(22) έπεὶ οὖν τῆς πρὸς τὸ ὄντως ἀγαθὸν ἐπιθυμίας διαψευσθείς ο νους πρός το μη ον παρηνέχθη, δι' άπάτης τοῦ τῆς κακίας συμβούλου τε καὶ εύρετοῦ καλὸν ἀναπεισθεὶς εἶναι τὸ τῷ καλῷ ἐναντίον οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἐνήργησεν ς ή ἀπάτη, μη δελέατος δίκην τῶ τῆς κακίας ἀγκίστρω της του καλού φαντασίας περιπλασθείσης έν ταύτη τοίνυν γεγονότος έκουσίως τη συμφορά του άνθρώπου τοῦ έαυτὸν δι' ήδονης τῷ ἐχθρῷ τῆς ζωῆς ὑποζεύξαντος, πάντα μοι κατὰ ταὐτὸν ἀναζήτει τὰ ταῖς θείαις ὑπολή-10 ψεσι πρέποντα, τὸ ἀγαθόν, τὸ σοφόν, τὸ δίκαιον, τὸ δυνατόν, τὸ ἄφθαρτον καὶ εἴ τι τῆς τοῦ κρείττονος σημασίας έστίν. οὐκοῦν ώς ἀγαθὸς οἶκτον λαμβάνει τοῦ διαπεπτωκότος, ώς σοφὸς οὐκ ἀγνοεῖ τὸν τρόπον τῆς άνακλήσεως. σοφίας δ' αν είη και ή του δικαίου κρίσις ου 15 γάρ ἄν τις άφροσύνη την άληθη δικαιοσύνην προσάψειεν.

22. Τί οὖν ἐν τούτοις τὸ δίκαιον; τὸ μὴ τυραννικῆ

8 om του vulg  $\parallel \epsilon \chi \theta \rho \omega \rfloor \chi \rho$ ονω f  $\parallel g$  κατα ταυτον  $\parallel \epsilon \chi \theta \rho \omega \rfloor \chi \rho$ ονω f  $\parallel g$  κατα τον αυτον l vulg | 10 om το σοφον l vulg | om το δικαιον το δυνατον n και δικαιον και δυνατον l vulg | 11 om του fl vulg | 13 και ως σοφος l vulg

Merc. Cond. 3 καθάπερ ὁ λάρος ὅλον περιχανών τὸ δέλεαρ.

1. διαψευσθείς] 'being cheated of its desire for that which is really good."

τὸ μὴ ὄν] i.e. τὸ κακόν.

 οὐ γάρ] A fresh parenthesis, the main sentence being again resumed with the words έν ταύτη τοίvvv. 'For his guile would not have been effectual, had not the semblance of good been spread upon the hook of evil like a bait.'

7. ἐκουσίωs] This word plays an important part in Gr.'s argument. Though man was deceived, his lapse into evil was the result of his own decision, and this fact influenced the

manner of his redemption.

9. πάντα μοι] These words introduce the apodosis. Over against the circumstances of man's fall, Gr. sets the other factor in the problem, i.e. the nature and character of God. In the following clauses: οὐκοῦν ὡς άγαθός-σοφίας δ' άν είη-τί οθν έν τούτοις τὸ δίκαιον;—he passes in review the main attributes of God. God's action was limited by His justice, which must necessarily accompany the exhibition of His goodness in desiring man's salvation and His wisdom in discovering a means to effect it.

ib. κατὰ ταὐτόν]=simul.
22. How then was God's justice exhibited? In abstaining from a tyrannical exercise of force against Satan. As justice requires that those who have bartered away their own liberty should be restored by the payment of a ransom to their lawful owners, so in the case of man a method of redemption was needed that was consistent with justice. This involved the payment of such a ransom as the owner was willing to receive.

16. μη τυραννική] Krab. quotes

τινὶ χρήσασθαι κατὰ τοῦ κατέχοντος ήμᾶς αὐθεντία, μηδε τῶ περιόντι τῆς δυνάμεως ἀποσπάσαντα τοῦ κρατούντος καταλιπείν τινά δικαιολογίας άφορμην τω δί ήδουης καταδουλωσαμένω τὸν ἄνθρωπον, καθάπερ γὰρ οί χρημάτων την έαυτών έλευθερίαν αποδόμενοι δούλοι 5 τῶν ωνησαμένων εἰσίν, αὐτοὶ πρατήρες έαυτῶν καταστάντες, καὶ οὔτε αὐτοῖς οὔτε ἄλλω τινὶ ὑπὲρ ἐκείνων έξεστι την έλευθερίαν επιβοήσασθαι, καν ευπατρίδαι τινές ὦσιν οί πρὸς τὴν συμφορὰν ταύτην αὐτομολήσαντες: εὶ δέ τις κηδόμενος τοῦ ἀπεμποληθέντος βία 10 κατὰ τοῦ ώνησαμένου χρώτο, ἄδικος εἶναι δόξει τὸν νόμω κτηθέντα τυραννικώς έξαιρούμενος έξωνείσθαι δὲ πάλιν εί βούλοιτο τὸν τοιοῦτον, οὐδεὶς ὁ κωλύων νόμος ἐστί· (23) κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον έκουσίως ἡμῶν έαυτοὺς ἀπεμπολησάντων έδει παρὰ τοῦ δι' ἀγαθότητα πάλιν 15 ήμᾶς εἰς ἐλευθερίαν ἐξαιρουμένου μὴ τὸν τυραννικόν, άλλα του δίκαιου τρόπου επινοηθήναι της ανακλήσεως. ούτος δέ έστι τις το έπι τω κρατούντι ποιήσασθαι πάν όπερ αν εθέλοι λύτρον αντί του κατεχομένου λαβείν.

23. Τί τοίνυν εἰκὸς ἦν μᾶλλον τὸν κρατοῦντα λαβεῖν 20

**22.** 8 ευπατριδές l vulg | 18 τις τω επικρατουντί l\*n vulg

Dionys. Areop. de eccl. Hier. c.  $\mathfrak{Z}$   $\S$  11 τ $\mathfrak{I}$ s...ἀποστατικ $\mathfrak{I}$ s πληθύος, ώς  $\mathfrak{I}$  κρυφία παράδοσις έχει, τὸ καθ'  $\mathfrak{I}$ μων καταλύσασα κράτος, οὐ κατὰ δύναμυ, ώς ὑπερισχύουσα, κατὰ δὲ τὸ μυστικώς  $\mathfrak{I}$ μῶν παραδοθὲν λόγιον, ἐν κρίσει καὶ δικαιοσύν $\mathfrak{I}$ . Το this Maximus has appended a note in which he refers to this passage of Gr.

3. δικαιολογ.] 'a just plea in his

own defence.

5. χρημάτων 'for money.'

έπιβοήσασθαί] 'To claim liberty on their behalf.' For this sense of ἐπιβοᾶσθαι cp. Basil de Spir. S. c. x (25) τὰs ἐκ τῶν ἐγγράφων ἀποδείξεις ἐπιβοῶνται.

14. κατὰ τὸν αὐτόν] The Paris

edition and MSS b and e mark the beginning of a new chapter at this point. In MS f the division is made before the words oùtos  $\delta \ell$   $\ell \sigma \tau \ell$   $\tau \iota s$ . In all three MSS the new chapter has the following colophon: Oti  $\sigma \upsilon \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \nu \alpha$   $\tau \iota \alpha$   $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$   $\tau \iota$ 

23. What then was the ransom which Satan was likely to choose? His pride led him to seek something which was higher and better than that which he held, in order to make a gain in the bargain. The spectacle of pewer displayed in Christ's miracles led Satan to select Him as the ransom-price, while the veil of Christ's humanity, hiding the Godhead, made

έλέσθαι; δυνατόν έστι δι' ἀκολούθου στοχασμόν τινα της επιθυμίας αὐτοῦ λαβεῖν, εἰ τὰ πρόδηλα γένοιτο ήμῖν των ζητουμένων τεκμήρια, ο τοίνυν κατά τον έν άρχη τοῦ συγγράμματος προαποδοθέντα λόγον τῷ πρὸς τὸν ς εὐημεροῦντα φθόνω πρὸς μὲν τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἐπιμύσας, τὸν δὲ τῆς κακίας ζόφον εν έαυτῷ γεννήσας, ἀρχὴν δὲ τῆς πρὸς τὰ χείρω ροπῆς καὶ ὑπόθεσιν καὶ οίονεὶ μητέρα της λοιπης κακίας την φιλαρχίαν νοσήσας, τίνος αν αντηλλάξατο τὸν κατεχόμενον, εἰ μὴ δηλαδή τοῦ ύψηλο-10 τέρου καὶ μείζονος ἀνταλλάγματος, ώς ἂν μᾶλλον έαυτοῦ τὸ κατὰ τὸν τῦφον θρέψειεν πάθος, τὰ μείζω τῶν ἐλαττόνων διαμειβόμενος; αλλά μην έν τοις απ' αίωνος ίστορουμένοις, έν οὐδενὶ συνεγνώκει τοιοῦτον οὐδέν, οἶα καθεώρα περί τὸν τότε φαινόμενον, κυοφορίαν ασυν-15 δύαστον, καὶ γέννησιν ἄφθορον, καὶ θήλην έκ παρθενίας,

23. 2 ειτα προδ. vulg | 5 om μεν fl vulg | 8 om αν f | 13 om ιστορουμενοις h | 14 την τ. φαινομενην vulg | ασυντριαστον l vulg

Him an object of desire rather than of dread to the adversary. In the wish to save man we see exhibited the goodness, in the ransom by bargain the justice, in the manner by which the ransom was effected, the wisdom

 δι' ἀκολούθου] 'reasonably,' a variant for the more usual κατά τὸ

2. εἰ τὰ πρόδ.] 'if the evident facts of the case were taken as proofs to us of that which we are seeking.

3.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \ d\rho \chi \hat{\eta}$ ] i.e. c. 6. 6.  $d\rho \chi \hat{\eta}\nu \ \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ] Opposite these words in MSS b and e occurs the marginal note ὅτι ἀπὸ φιλαρχίας έτραχηλίασεν ὁ διάβολος. Gr. describes the love of power as 'the originating cause of the tendency to evil in Satan, and the foundation and as it were mother of other wickedness.'

7. ὑπόθεσιν] 'base,' 'founda-tion.' Cp. Arist. Pol. vii 1. 6 ὑπό-

θεσις ... της δημοκρατικής πολιτείας

έλευθερία.

11.  $\tau \hat{v} \phi o \nu$  Satan wished to satisfy his pride by making a gain in the exchange and getting more than he gave (τὰ μείζω τῶν ἐλαττόνων διαμειβόμενος). The whole conception is crude and repellent.

14. τ ον τ ότ ϵ φ.] i.e. Jesus Christ. ib. κυοφ. ἀσινδ.] Acc. to the earlier belief 'the virginity of Mary and her child bearing' were hidden from Satan. Cf. Ign. Eph. xix.

15. γέννησιν ἄφθορον Τ Cp. c. Ειιnom. iv p. 625 (Migne) ἔτεκε, καὶ οὐδέν ήττον ή άφθαρσία συνδιεφυλά- $\chi\theta\eta$   $\tau\hat{\omega}$   $\tau\delta\kappa\omega$ . The belief indicated here in a Virgin-birth, as distinct from a Virgin-conception, was a corollary upon the παρθενία widely current among the Fathers. See Schwane Dogmengeschichte i 186, 233 ff.

ib. θήλην] 'giving suck.'

καὶ ἄνωθεν ἐπιμαρτυρούσας τῷ ὑπερφυεῖ τῆς ἀξίας ἐκ τῶν ἀοράτων φωνάς, καὶ τῶν τῆς φύσεως ἀρρωστημάτων διόρθωσιν ἀπραγμάτευτόν τινα καὶ ψιλήν, ἐν ῥήματι μόνῷ καὶ ὁρμῆ τοῦ θελήματος παρ' αὐτοῦ γινομένην, τήν τε τῶν τεθνηκότων ἐπὶ τὸν βίον ἀνάλυσιν, καὶ τὴν τῶν 5 καταδίκων ἀνάρρυσιν, καὶ τὸν κατὰ τῶν δαιμόνων φόβον, καὶ τῶν κατὰ τὸν ἀέρα παθῶν τὴν ἐξουσίαν, καὶ τὴν διὰ θαλάσσης πορείαν, οὐ διαχωροῦντος ἐφ' ἐκάτερα τοῦ

 $_3$  τινα και] τε και e  $\parallel$  4 γενομενην ef  $\parallel$  5 om και την...αναρρυσιν dfglp  $\parallel$  6 αναρρησιν h

2. φωνάs] 'Voices from the unseen world, testifying from above to surpassing worth.' The reference is to the song of the angels at the Birth.

3.  $\delta i\delta \rho \theta \omega \sigma v$ ] 'His command of a mode of healing natural infirmities without trouble or the use of means, by a mere fiat and effort of the will.'  $\Lambda \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon v \tau \sigma v$ , lit. 'not highly wrought' or 'laboured.' The adv.  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} v \omega v$  in the sense of 'without trouble.'  $\Psi \lambda \dot{\gamma} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$  implies that it was by the simple exercise of power, unaccompanied by any employment of human skill, that the cures were effected. The reading of Krab.  $\dot{\nu} \psi \gamma \lambda \dot{\gamma} \dot{\nu}$  is only found in the late MS b.

ἀνάλυσιν] 'the return of the dead to life.' For this sense of ἀνάλυσιs cp. c. 39 πρὸς ἐαυτὸν ἀναλύων.
 Cp. also Luke xii 36. Krab. conjectures ἀνάκλησιν, but this is unjectures ἀνάκλησιν, but

necessary.

ib. καὶ τὴν τ. κ. ἀνάρρνσω?] These words are only found in the Mss b, e, h, n. They occur in the Latin translation of Morel, who renders 'damnatorum absolutio.' Krab. gives the same rendering, and thinks that there is an allusion to Origen's teaching upon the final restoration of all sinners. See below, c. 26. But from the context it is obvious that the words, if genuine, must

refer to something before the Crucifixion. If the words are not genuine, it is difficult to account for their insertion in the text, whereas their omission might be explained as per homæoteleuton, owing to the resemblance of ἀνάλυσιν and ἀνάρρυσιν. 'The rescue of those under condemnation' might mean (1) the absolution of sinners during our Lord's earthly life (Moore N. and P. N. Fathers vol. v p. 493); (2) the deliverance of those who had deadly diseases (e.g. the nobleman's son); (3) the deliverance of those already possessed with devils, thus leading on to  $\tau$ .  $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta$ .  $\phi$ . Of these interpretations (2) or (3) is preferable to (1), and accords better with the class of wonders adduced in the context.

6. κατὰ τῶν δαιμόνων] 'fear in-

spired in devils.'

7.  $\pi\alpha\theta\hat{\omega}\nu$ ] Krab., following Hervetus, translates 'potestatem in aeris affectiones.' Glauber has '(dass er) über Stürme Gewalt hatte.' For this use of  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\theta\sigma$ s cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 30 (Mason, p. 69)  $\sigma\dot{\psi}$  δè ἔγνως σελήνης φύσιν, καὶ  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\theta\eta$ . The ref. is to the stilling of the tempest. See Mt. viii 27 &c.

8. διὰ θαλάσσης πορ.] In Mk vi 48—9 (Mt. xiv 25—6), and Jn vi 19 the expressions used are  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \eta \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$  and  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \dot{\eta} s \theta \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \gamma s$ ,

πελάγους, καὶ τὸν πυθμένα γυμνοῦντος τοῖς παροδεύουσι κατὰ τὴν ἐπὶ Μωσέως θαυματουργίαν, ἀλλ' ἄνω τῆς έπιφανείας του ύδατος υποχερσουμένης τη βάσει, καὶ διά τινος ασφαλούς αντιτυπίας ύπερειδούσης τὸ ἴχνος, 5 τήν τε της τροφης ύπεροψίαν ἐφ' ὅσον βούλοιτο, καὶ τὰς ἐν ἐρημία δαψιλεῖς ἐστιάσεις τῶν ἐν πολλαῖς χιλιάσιν εὐωχουμένων, οἶς οὔτε οὐρανὸς ἐπέρρει τὸ μάννα, ούτε ή γη κατά την ίδιαν αυτής φύσιν σιτοποιούσα την χρείαν ἐπλήρου, ἀλλ' ἐκ τῶν ἀρρήτων ταμείων τῆς 10 θείας δυνάμεως ή φιλοτιμία προήει, ετοιμος άρτος ταίς χερσὶ τῶν διακονούντων ἐγγεωργούμενος καὶ διὰ τοῦ κόρου τῶν ἐσθιόντων πλείων γιγνόμενος, ή τε διὰ τῶν ίχθύων ὀψοφαγία, οὐ θαλάσσης αὐτοῖς πρὸς τὴν χρείαν συνεισφερούσης, άλλα του και τη θαλάσση το γένος 15 των ιχθύων εγκατασπείραντος. και πως άν τις τὸ καθ' έκαστον των ευαγγελικών διεξίοι θαυμάτων; ταύτην τοίνυν την δύναμιν καθορών, ο έχθρος έν έκείνω πλείον

2 om  $\epsilon \pi \iota$  l vulg  $\parallel$  θανματουργ.]  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \iota a \upsilon$  f  $\parallel$  4  $\tau \iota \nu o s$ ]  $\tau \eta s$  l vulg  $\parallel$ υπερειδοντος του ίχνους f  $\parallel$  7 ουρανοθεν f  $\parallel$  μαννα $\parallel$  ναμα  $g^1$   $\parallel$  9 ταμιειων dghnp | 11 διακονουμένων  $g \parallel 15$  κατασπείρ, vulg  $\parallel \tau$ ο καθ $\mid \tau$ α κ.  $f \tau \omega \nu$ κ. hp | 17 om τοινυν d

not διὰ θαλάσσης, as here, but in Mk vi 53 (Mt. xiv 34) διαπεράσαντες occurs.

2. ἀλλ' ἄνω] The sea did not part and lay bare the bottom, as in the miracle of Moses, but in this case the surface of its waters presented a solid ground (ὑποχερσουμένης), and supported (ὑπερειδούσης) His steps by a kind of firm resistance (διά τινος ἀσφ. ἀντιτυπ.). For έπιφ. cp. c. 8. For αντιτυπίας cp. Gr. Naz. Or. xxxi 32 (p. 189, Mason) σχεθείσα τ $\hat{\omega}$  ἀντιτύπ $\hat{\omega}$ . 5. ὑπεροψίαν] 'contempt,' 'dis-

regard.

9. ταμείων] On this form see Deissmann Bible Studies p. 182.

10. φιλοτιμία] 'munificence.' 11.  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\omega\rho\gamma$ . The Latin transl.

of the Paris ed. gives 'panis paratus, eorum qui impartiebantur tanquam agricolarum manibus elaboratus,' taking ται χερσί with έγγεωργούμενος. The bread was multiplied by the very act of distribution, and so may be said to have been 'produced' in the hands of those who distributed it. The word  $\epsilon \gamma$ - $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$  is not found in the Lexicons.

12. κόρου] i.e. the bread increased the more they were filled. A somewhat rhetorical way of expressing the fact narrated in Mt. xiv 20, Mk vi 42, 43 &c.

13. δψοφαγία] 'banquet,' used of a dainty repast. Here it refers to the feeding of the multitude with

the fishes.

τοῦ κατεχομένου τὸ προκείμενον εἶδεν ἐν τῷ συναλλάγματι. τούτου χάριν αὐτὸν αίρεῖται λύτρον τῶν ἐν τη του θανάτου φρουρά καθειργμένων γενέσθαι. άλλά μην αμήχανον ην αυτον γυμνη προσβλέψαι τη του θεού φαντασία, μη σαρκός τινα μοίραν έν αὐτῶ θεωρήσαντα, 5 ήν ήδη διὰ της άμαρτίας κεχείρωτο. διὰ τοῦτο περικαλύπτεται τη σαρκὶ ή θεότης, ώς ἄν, πρὸς τὸ σύντροφόν τε καὶ συγγενες αὐτῶ βλέπων, μὴ πτοηθείη τὸν

## 4 om αυτον l vulg | 6 περικεκαλυπται vulg

1. τὸ προκείμενον] 'sare that rehat was proposed in the bargain was a gain upon what he held? By τδ προκείμ. Gr. means Christ, who represented a type of humanity superior to that which Satan held in bondage (τοῦ κατεχ.). He was therefore not only an equivalent for it, but would leave a margin of gain to Satan.

2. αὐτὸν αἰρεῖται] The idea that Christ's death (or blood) was a ransom to Satan appears in Iren. c. Haer. v 1. 1. It was adopted by Origen, who speaks of the blood of Christ as the price demanded by Satan (in Rom. ii 13) and elsewhere (in Matt. xvi 8) says that Christ gave His ψυχή as a λύτρον to Satan. The idea was still further worked out by succeeding writers. It occurs in more or less developed form in Ambrose, Augustine, Leo I, and Gregory I, in the last of whom it reaches its most repulsive expression. See esp. Ambr. Ep. lxxii 8; Aug. de Trin. xiii 14; Leo M. Sermo xxii 3; Greg. M. Mor. xxxiii 7. Athanasius does not recognize the theory, while Gregory of Nazianzus (Or. xlv 22), and in later times John of Damascus (de Fid. Orth. iii 1, 27) reject it. Still it was widely current until Anselm in his Cur Deus homo guided thought in a different direction.

ib. των ἐν τῆ τ. θ. φρ. καθ.] Gr.refers here to the harrowing of hell. He does not apply the 'ransom' to those yet living, or to generations to come.

3. ἀλλὰ μήν] The idea contained in this passage that the humanity of Christ served to veil His Godhead from the eves of Satan is more fully expressed in c. 24  $\tau\hat{\omega}$ προκαλύμματι της φύσεως ημών ένεκρύφθη τὸ θείον, ϊνα...συγκατασπασθή τὸ ἄγκιστρον της θεότητος, and in c. 26 άπατᾶται γὰρ καὶ αὐτὸς τῷ τοῦ άνθρώπου προβλήματι ὁ προαπατήσας τὸν ἄνθρωπον. Cp. Greg. Naz. Ον. ΧΧΧΙΧ 13 έπειδη γάρ ψετο άήττητος είναι της κακίας ο σοφιστής, θεότητος έλπίδι δελεάσας ήμας, σαρκός προβλήματι δελεάζεται, ϊν' ώς τῷ 'Αδαμ προσβαλών, τω θεώ περιπέση. See further Mason Five Theol. Orations of Greg. Naz. p. 117. The earliest trace of this idea of a deception of Satan by the reserve shown in the Incarnation is in Ignatius Eph. xix. It may have been suggested by I Cor. ii 8.

4. προσβλέψαι τη̂...φ.] 'to gaze on the unveiled appearance of God? For the use of the dat, with  $\pi \rho o \sigma$ βλέπειν see Plut. Cato Mi. 65 Απολλωνίδη τῷ Στοικῷ...προσβλέ-

ψας ὁ Κάτων.

7. σύντροφον] 'looking at that which was well-known and familiar.'

προσεγγισμον της ύπερεχούσης δυνάμεως καὶ την ήρέμα διὰ τῶν θαυμάτων ἐπὶ τὸ μεῖζον διαλάμπουσαν δύναμιν κατανοήσας, ἐπιθυμητὸν μᾶλλον ἢ φοβερὸν τὸ φανὲν εἶναι νομίση. ὁρᾶς ὅπως τὸ ἀγαθὸν τῷ δικαίῳ συνές ζευκται καὶ τὸ σοφὸν τούτων οὐκ ἀποκέκριται. τὸ γὰρ διὰ τῆς τοῦ σώματος περιβολῆς χωρητὴν τὴν θείαν δύναμιν ἐπινοῆσαι γενέσθαι, ώς ἂν ἡ ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν οἰκονομία μὴ παραποδισθείη τῷ φόβῳ τῆς θεικῆς ἐπιφανείας, πάντων κατὰ ταὐτὸν τὴν ἀπόδειξιν ἔχει, τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ, τοῦ σοφοῦ, τοῦ δικαίου. τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἐλέσθαι σῶσαι τῆς ἀγαθότητός ἐστι μαρτυρία· τὸ δὲ συναλλαγματικὴν ποιήσασθαι τὴν τοῦ κρατουμένου λύτρωσιν τὸ δίκαιον δείκνυσι· τὸ δὲ χωρητὸν δι' ἐπινοίας ποιῆσαι τῷ ἐχθρῷ τὸ ἀχώρητον τῆς ἀνωτάτω σοφίας τὴν ἀπόδειξιν ἔχει.

15 **24**. 'Αλλ' ἐπιζητεῖν εἰκὸς τὸν τῆ ἀκολουθία τῶν εἰρημένων προσέχοντα, ποῦ τὸ δυνατὸν τῆς θεότητος, ποῦ ἡ

8 παρεμποδισθειη f  $\parallel$  θεικης επιφ.] υψηλης εμφ. fl vulg  $\parallel$  9 παντως vulg  $\parallel$  10 σοφου]+του δυνατου f  $\parallel$  το μεν...σωσαι desunt in e  $\parallel$  13 ποιησαι δι επ. e

1. την ηρέμα] 'perceiving the power which shone out quietly more and more in His miracles.'

3.  $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \eta \tau \delta \nu$ ] See the passage

quoted above from c. 24.

6. χωρητήν] Cp. infra χωρητόν ...τ $\hat{\omega}$  έχθρ $\hat{\omega}$ . For the idea cp. c. 26 έντὸς τοῦ κρατοῦντος γενέσθαι. The Divine power became χωρητή to Satan by being inseparably united with the humanity, which the adversary had chosen as his λύτρον, and which served to veil the Godhead.

7. ἐπινοῆσαι] used, like δι' ἐπινοίας below, of a 'device' or 'in-

vention.

24. The question, however, may be asked, 'How was the Dreine power displayed in the Incarnation?' This can only be answered by considering the sequel of the Gospel story in which

we find power conjoined with love. In the first place Gr. maintains that God's condescension to the weakness of human nature in the Incarnation was a greater proof of omnipotence than any wonders of the natural creation. For it showed that His power is not limited by the bounds of nature, but can pass beyond them, just as our wonder would be excited if we saw a flame stream downward instead of upward. In order that Satan might be led to accept the ransom offered on our behalf, Christ concealed His Godhead in the veil of our humanity and thus introduced . life and light into our nature. There was nothing unreasonable in a plan which brought cleansing to those defiled with sin, life to the dead, and guidance to those who had gone astray.

άφθαρσία της θείας δυνάμεως έν τοις ειρημένοις οράται. ίνα τοίνυν καὶ ταῦτα γένηται καταφανή, τὰ ἐφεξής τοῦ μυστηρίου διασκοπήσωμεν, έν οίς μάλιστα δείκνυται συγκεκραμένη τη φιλανθρωπία ή δύναμις. πρώτον μέν οὖν τὸ τὴν παντοδύναμον φύσιν καὶ πρὸς τὸ ταπεινὸν 5 της ανθρωπότητος καταβήναι ισχύσαι πλείονα της δυνάμεως την ἀπόδειξιν έχει η τὰ μέγαλά τε καὶ ὑπερφυή τῶν θαυμάτων. τὸ μὲν γὰρ μέγα τι καὶ ὑψηλὸν έξεργασθήναι παρά της θείας δυνάμεως κατά φύσιν πώς έστι καὶ ἀκόλουθον. καὶ οὐκ ἄν τινα ξενισμὸν ἐπάγοι 10 τη ἀκοη τὸ λέγειν πάσαν την ἐν τῶ κόσμω κτίσιν καὶ πᾶν ὅ τι περ ἔξω τῶν φαινομένων καταλαμβάνεται, ἐν τη δυνάμει τοῦ θεοῦ συστήναι, αὐτοῦ τοῦ θελήματος πρὸς τὸ δοκοῦν οὐσιωθέντος. ἡ δὲ πρὸς τὸ ταπεινὸν κάθοδος περιουσία τίς έστι της δυνάμεως οὐδὲν ἐν τοῖς 15

**24.** 1 om  $\theta$ ειας  $e \parallel 4$  συγκεκραμένη] νυν κεκραμμ. f συγκεκραμμ.  $gl \parallel$ 5 om και lvid | 6-7 την απ. της δυν. l vulg | 8 θαυμ.] δογματων deghnp 10 ακολουθιαν  $e \parallel 12$  ο τι  $\pi\epsilon\rho + a\nu$  vulg  $\ell \epsilon \xi \omega + a\nu \tau \omega \nu e \parallel 13$  τη θεου δυν. l vulg 1 15 ως ουδεν f

2. τοῦ μυστηρίου] here practically = 'the Gospel story,' i.e. the revelation contained in the life of Christ.

4. συγκεκραμένη] Gr. maintains that the power of God can only be considered in conjunction with the purpose of love to which it was directed. The love of God for man provided the most splendid occasion for the exercise of His omnipotence. All through this treatise Gr. emphasizes the moral glory exhibited in the creation and redemption of man. With the present passage may be compared the language of the collect for the xith Sunday after Trinity, 'Deus, qui omnipotentiam tuam parcendo maxime et miserando manifestas' (Gelasian).

8. θαυμάτων] Gr. is thinking especially of the wonders in Creation, not only of miracles in the more special sense of the word. This is shown by his reference to Creation in the succeeding passages, esp. that beginning οὕτως καὶ τὴν  $\theta\epsilon$ ίαν. In place of  $\theta$ αυμάτων one group of MSS reads δογμάτων which is evidently a corruption.

12.  $\xi \xi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \alpha \iota \nu$ .] The invisible creation includes the parts of creation beyond our ken, and also the

world of created spirits.

14.  $o\dot{v}\sigma\iota\omega\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\sigma$ s]  $O\dot{v}\sigma\iota\sigma\hat{v}\nu$  = 'to give being or ovoía to anything.' The idea is that the thing which was brought into being was but the expression of His will and pleasure.

15.  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\upsilon\upsilon\sigma\iota\alpha$  The humiliation of the Son of God is a surpassing display of power, because it exhibits a power which is not limited even by what seems opposed to nature. For the explanatory clause κωλυομένης attached to δυνάμεως without an

παρά φύσιν κωλυομένης. ώς γάρ ἴδιόν έστι της τοῦ πυρὸς οὐσίας ή ἐπὶ τὸ ἄνω φορά, καὶ οὐκ ἄν τις θαύματος άξιον ἐπὶ τῆς Φλογὸς ἡγήσαιτο τὸ Φυσικῶς ἐνεργούμενον· εί δὲ ρέουσαν ἐπὶ τὸ κάτω καθ' ὁμοιότητα τῶν 5 έμβριθων σωμάτων ίδοι την φλόγα, το τοιούτον έν θαύματι ποιείται, πώς τὸ πύρ καὶ διαμένει πύρ ὂν καὶ ἐν τῷ τρόπῳ τῆς κινήσεως ἐκβαίνει τὴν φύσιν, ἐπὶ τὸ κάτω Φερόμενον· ούτως καὶ τὴν θείαν τε καὶ ὑπερέχουσαν δύναμιν οὐκ οὐρανῶν μεγέθη καὶ φωστήρων 10 αὐγαὶ καὶ ή τοῦ παντὸς διακόσμησις καὶ ή διηνεκής τῶν ὄντων οἰκονομία τοσοῦτον ὅσον ἡ ἐπὶ τὸ ἀσθενὲς τῆς φύσεως ήμῶν συγκατάβασις δείκνυσι, πῶς τὸ ὑψηλόν, έν τῶ ταπεινῶ γενόμενον, καὶ ἐν τῶ ταπεινῶ καθορᾶται καὶ οὐ καταβαίνει τοῦ ὕψους, πῶς θεότης ἀνθρωπίνη 15 συμπλακείσα φύσει καὶ τοῦτο γίνεται καὶ ἐκεῖνό ἐστιν. έπειδή γάρ, καθώς έν τοις έμπροσθεν είρηται, φύσιν οὐκ είχεν ή έναντία δύναμις ἀκράτω προσμίξαι τη τοῦ θεοῦ παρουσία καὶ γυμνην ύποστηναι αὐτοῦ την ἐμφάνειαν. ώς ἂν εὔληπτον γένοιτο τῷ ἐπιζητοῦντι ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν τὸ

2  $\tau a \text{ and } d \parallel 3 \text{ hyhsolto ehn hyoits } fg^1 \text{ hyseits vulg} \parallel 6 \text{ om kai ante } \delta \text{iamenet I vulg} \parallel 12-13 \text{ en } \tau. \tau a \pi. \tau o \text{ uhhos } f \parallel 13 \text{ heromenou...} \tau a \pi \text{ eights om vulg} \parallel 14 \text{ to uhos I vulg} \parallel 16 \text{ prosher dehnp} \parallel 17 \text{ akrotatw deghnp}$ 

article cp. c. 16  $\tau \delta$  δ' σσον  $\epsilon \nu$   $\tau \hat{\eta}$   $\phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \iota \dots \tau \sigma \rho \epsilon \nu \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$  (note). The expression  $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$   $\phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \nu$  is contrasted with  $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$   $\phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \nu$  above.

8. οὐτως καί] The wonders of Creation do not present such a display of Divine power as does the condescension of God in the Incarnation.

11. οἰκονομία] For this use of οἰκονομία cp. απέσα c. 12 τὰς κατὰ τὸν κόσμον οἰκονομίας ἐπισκοποῦντες.

12. συγκατάβασις] 'condescension,' a term constantly used of the Incarnation.

*ib.*  $\pi \hat{\omega} s \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ ] The sentence is modelled upon the parallel sentence above,  $\pi \hat{\omega} s \tau \delta \pi \hat{v} \rho \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ , where the

πῶs is preceded by  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \theta a \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau \iota ποι \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau a \iota$ .

14. οὐ καταβαίνει] The 'condescension' of God does not involve any loss of His transcendent dignity. He becomes man, and is God.

15.  $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o \gamma i \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ] An inexact expression. Though the eternal Son became man, it is incorrect to say that His Godhead became  $\dot{a}\nu\theta\rho$ .  $\phi\dot{\nu}\sigma\iota s$ .

16. ἐν τοῖς ἔμπρ.] Cp. c. 23. ib. φύσιν οὐκ εἶχεν] Cp. c. 15 and in the present chapter infra.

19.  $\dot{\omega}_s \dot{\alpha}_{\nu} \epsilon \dot{\nu}_s \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \iota \tau \dot{o}] sc. \dot{\tau} \dot{o} \theta \epsilon \hat{c} o \nu$ . For the idea of Gr. that our Lord's humanity concealed His Divine nature from the eyes of Satan see cc. 23, 26 with notes.

ἀντάλλαγμα, τῷ προκαλύμματι τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἐνεκρύφθη τὸ θεῖον, ἵνα κατὰ τοὺς λίχνους τῶν ἰχθύων τῷ δελέατι τῆς σαρκὸς συγκατασπασθῆ τὸ ἄγκιστρον τῆς θεότητος, καὶ οὕτω τῆς ζωῆς τῷ θανάτῳ εἰσοικισθείσης καὶ τῷ σκότῳ τοῦ φωτὸς ἐπιφανέντος ἐξαφανισθῆ τὸ 5 τῷ φωτὶ καὶ τῆ ζωῆ κατὰ τὸ ἐναντίον νοούμενον οὐ γὰρ ἔχει φύσιν οὕτε σκότος διαμένειν ἐν φωτὸς παρουσία, οὕτε θάνατον εἶναι ζωῆς ἐνεργούσης. οὐκοῦν ἐπὶ κεφαλαίων τοῦ μυστηρίου τὴν ἀκολουθίαν ἀναλαβόντες ἐντελῆ ποιησώμεθα τὴν ἀπολογίαν πρὸς τοὺς κατηγοροῦντας το τῆς θείας οἰκονομίας, ὅτου χάριν δὶ ἑαυτῆς ἡ θεότης τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην κατεργάζεται σωτηρίαν. δεῖ γὰρ διὰ πάντων τὸ θεῖον ἐν ταῖς πρεπούσαις ὑπολήψεσιν εἶναι καὶ μὴ τὸ μὲν ὑψηλῶς ἐπὶ αὐτοῦ νοεῖσθαι, τὸ δὲ τῆς θεοπρεποῦς ἀξίας ἐκβάλλεσθαι ἀλλὰ πᾶν ὑψηλόν τε καὶ 15

3 δελεαματι g\*hn || συναποσπασθη l vulg || 5 σκοτει vulg || εμφανεντος fl vulg || εξαφανισθητω vulg εξαφανισθειη fl<sup>vid</sup> || 6 ζωη]+το f vulg || 8 κεφαλαιω f vulg || 9 την οικονομιαν και ακολ. f || 10 ποιησομεθα dglp vulg || 12 ου κατεργ. vulg || 13 om και f vulg || 14 υπ αυτου e || 15 αξιας] διανοιας f || οm τε l vulg

2. τοὺς λίχνους] The same comparison is found in Rufinus Comm. in Symb. Ap. 16. Similarly Gregory the Great says (Mor. xxxiii 7), in commenting on Job xl 10, 'in hamo ergo eius incarnationis captus est, quia dum in illo appetit escam corporis, transfixus est aculeo divinitatis.' Jo. Damasc. (de Fid. Orth. iii 27) uses the same comparison of death: πρόσεισι τοιγαροῦν ὁ θάνατος καὶ καταπιών τὸ σώματος δέλεαρ τῶ της θεότητος άγκίστρω περιπείρεται. For a discussion of patristic teaching on the relations of the Incarnate Son to Satan see Oxenham Cath. Doctr. of Atonement (2nd ed.) pp. 125-140.

4. εἰσοικισθείσης] 'And so when life had been domiciled with death, and light had shone upon darkness, that which is the opposite of light and life might vanish away.'

8.  $o\dot{v}\kappa o\hat{v}\nu$ ] Gr. proposes in what follows to repeat in brief summary  $(\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{t} \kappa \epsilon \phi a) a (\dot{t} \omega \nu)$  the course of the argument for the Christian religion. In what follows he gives a résumé of the argument from c. 20 onwards.

9.  $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ] 'complete,' 'full,' and

so 'effective.'

11.  $\delta\iota'$   $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau\hat{\eta}s$ ] i.e. 'without using

any agency inferior to itself.'

12. δεί γάρ] 'For Godhead can never part with any of its befitting attributes.' For είναι èν see c. 1 p. 9 (note). Gr. is recapitulating the argument of c. 20 init. The διὰ πάντων is emphatic.

14.  $\tau \delta \ \mu \dot{\epsilon \nu}$ ] 'one part,' e.g. not

'power' without 'goodness.'

ib.  $\tau \delta \delta \delta$  'while another characteristic of the proper dignity of God is parted with.'

συνηρτήσθαι δι' ἀκολουθίας τῷ ἐτέρῳ τὸ ἔτερον. δέδεικται τοίνυν τὸ ἀγαθόν, τὸ σοφόν, τὸ δίκαιον, τὸ δυνατόν. τὸ φθορᾶς ἀνεπίδεκτον, πάντα τῷ λόγῳ τῆς καθ' ἡμᾶς 5 οἰκονομίας ἐπιδεικνύμενα. ἡ ἀγαθότης ἐν τῷ προελέσθαι σῶσαι τὸν ἀπολωλότα καταλαμβάνεται, ἡ σοφία καὶ ἡ δικαιοσύνη εν τώ τρόπω της σωτηρίας ημών διεδείχθη, ή δύναμις έν τῶ γενέσθαι μὲν αὐτὸν ἐν ὁμοιώματι ἀνθρώπου καὶ σχήματι κατὰ τὸ ταπεινὸν τῆς Φύσεως ἡμῶν 10 καὶ ἐλπισθῆναι δύνασθαι αὐτὸν καθ' ὁμοιότητα τῶν ανθρώπων τῶ θανάτω ἐγκρατηθῆναι, γενόμενον δὲ τὸ οἰκεῖον έαυτῶ καὶ κατὰ φύσιν ἐργάσασθαι. οἰκεῖον δὲ φωτὶ μὲν ὁ ἀφανισμὸς τοῦ σκότους, ζωῆ δὲ ἡ τοῦ θανάτου καθαίρεσις. ἐπεὶ οὖν τῆς εὐθείας ὁδοῦ παρενεγ-15 θέντες τὸ κατ' ἀρχὰς τῆς ζωῆς ἐξετράπημεν καὶ τῷ θανάτω έγκατηνέχθημεν, τί τοῦ εἰκότος ἔξω παρὰ τοῦ μυστηρίου μανθάνομεν, εὶ ἡ καθαρότης τῶν ἐξ άμαρτίας μολυν-

όδηγία των πεπλανημένων, ως αν ο τε μολυσμός καθαρ-20 θείη, καὶ ή πλάνη θεραπευθείη, καὶ εἰς τὴν ζωὴν τὸ τεθνηκός έπανέλθοι:

θέντων εφάπτεται, καὶ ή ζωὴ τῶν τεθνηκότων, καὶ ή

ι καν συνηρτ. vulg | 4 καθ ημων h | 6 του σωσαι f | ιι κρατηθηναι h || 12 om και vulg || 14 κατενεχθεντες l vulg || 20 και η πλ. θερα- $\pi \epsilon v \theta \epsilon i \eta$  desunt in g\*p

2.  $\sigma \upsilon \nu \eta \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha i$ ] 'and that the one should be duly connected with the other.' Gr. is again referring to the argument of c. 20. Cp. ibid. εί δὲ πάντα προσήκει συνδραμεῖν ἐν ταις περί θεού δόξαις.

4.  $τ \hat{\psi}$  λόγ $\psi$ ] Cp. c. 20  $\epsilon \pi i$  τοῦ λόγου τ $\hat{\eta}$ s κατ' ἄνθρωπον οἰκονομίαs. That passage illustrates the meaning of  $\kappa \alpha \theta$ ,  $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$  here.

6. σωσαι τὸν ἀπ.] Lk. xix 10. 8. ἐν ὁμ. ἀνθρ. κ. σχήματι] Phil. ii 7. This  $\phi$ , depends upon  $\tau \delta \tau \alpha \pi$ . not on σχήματι.

10.  $\epsilon \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$  refers to the hope entertained by Satan of getting Christ into his power. Gr. is referring to the argument of c. 23. The subject of  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\iota\sigma\theta\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$  is the preceding αὐτόν.

12. κατὰ φύσιν] explained by what follows. It is the nature of light to expel darkness, and of life

to destroy death.

18. ἐφάπτεται] Cp. c. 16 εἰ δὲ της φύσεως ημών αὐτὸν ἐφηφθαι λέγει.

- 25. Το δε εν τῆ φύσει γενέσθαι ἡμῶν τὴν θεότητα τοῖς μὴ λίαν μικροψύχως κατανοοῦσι τὰ ὄντα οὐδένα ἂν ἐκ τοῦ εὐλόγου ξενισμὸν ἐπαγάγοι. τίς γὰρ οὕτω νήπιος τὴν ψυχὴν ὡς εἰς τὸ πᾶν βλέπων μὴ ἐν παντὶ πιστεύειν εἶναι τὸ θεῖον, καὶ ἐνδυόμενον καὶ ἐμπεριέχον καὶ ἐγ- 5 καθήμενον; τοῦ γὰρ ὄντος ἐξῆπται τὰ ὄντα, καὶ οὐκ ἔνεστιν εἶναί τι μὴ ἐν τῷ ὄντι τὸ εἶναι ἔχον. εἰ οῦν ἐν αὐτῷ τὰ πάντα καὶ ἐν πᾶσιν ἐκεῖνο, τί ἐπαισχύνονται τῆ οἰκονομία τοῦ μυστηρίου τοῦ θεὸν ἐν ἀνθρώπω γεγενῆσθαι διδάσκοντος τὸν οὐδὲ νῦν ἔξω τοῦ ἀνθρώπου 10 εἶναι πεπιστευμένον; εἰ γὰρ καὶ ὁ τρόπος τῆς ἐν ἡμῖν
- **25.** Ι ημων γενεσθαι l vulg (ημων γεγενησθαι f)  $\parallel$  2 μικροψυχοις vulg  $\parallel$  4 αποβλεπων l vulg  $\parallel$  5 ενδυομεν l ενδυον μεν vulg  $\parallel$  περιεχον l vulg  $\parallel$  6 οντως f  $\parallel$  τα παντα l vulg  $\parallel$  7 μη...εχον] ει μη...εχοι f  $\parallel$  9 εν τη οικονομ. l vulg  $\parallel$  τον θεον l vulg  $\parallel$  ανθρωποις fl vulg  $\parallel$  10 γενεσθαι f  $\parallel$  των ανθρωπων l vulg
- 25. That God should come to be in human nature ought not to seem strange to us. For He penetrates, embraces and resides in all things, and all things depend upon Him, so that even now He is not external to man. Though the manner of His presence in Nature is different from that in the Incarnation, yet He is present in man in either instance. In the one case, as the containing and upholding principle of Nature, He permeates our being. In the other case He infused Himself into our nature that He might deliver it from death and make it divine.

3. τis γάρ] Similarly Athanasius (de Inc. 41—42) appeals to those philosophers who maintained the immanence of God in Creation. The germ of the idea is found in the Timaeus of Plato. In Stoicism it appears as the Anima mundi. Cp. Verg. Aen. vi 724. For Jewish and Christian thought see esp. Wisdom i 7, Eph. iv 6. Both Athand Gr. undoubtedly have the Neo-platonist teaching in view in

their use of such an argument. For a discussion of the present passage, and the relation of Gr. to Christian pantheistic thought, see Harnack *Hist. of Dogma* Eng. tr. iii 290 ff.

5. ἐνδυόμενον] lit. 'clothing Himself with it.' The Latin transl. of the Paris ed. has 'induentem.' For the idea cp. Ps. civ [ciii] 1, 2.

ib. ἐμπεριέχον] 'embracing it.' Cp. Ps. cxxxix [cxxxviii] 7; Jer. xxiii 4; Amos ix 2, 3.

ib. ἐγκαθήμενον] 'residing in it.'
Cp. Is. xl 22; Ps. civ [ciii] 3.
6. τοῦ...ὄντος] Εχ. iii 14.

 ib. ἐξῆπται] Cp. c. 5 τοῦ κόσμου παντὸς ἡ ὑπόστασις τῆς τοῦ λόγου δυνάμεως ἐξῆπται.

9.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{a}\nu\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\dot{\omega}$ ] The reading  $\dot{a}\nu\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\omega$ s is plainly a correction, due to the idea that  $\dot{a}\nu\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\dot{\omega}$  might suggest that Christ assumed 'a man,' instead of human nature. Cp. c. 16 (note). Here it evidently means 'in man.'

10. οὐδὲ νῦν ἔξω] explained in what follows νῦν μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ. There is of course a wide difference

τοῦ θεοῦ παρουσίας οὐχ ὁ αὐτὸς οὖτος ἐκείνω, ἀλλ' οὖν τὸ ἐν ἡμῖν εἶναι καὶ νῦν καὶ τότε κατὰ τὸ ἴσον διωμολόγηται. νῦν μὲν οὖν ἐγκέκραται ἡμῖν ώς συνέχων ἐν τῶ εἶναι τὴν φύσιν· τότε δὲ κατεμίχθη πρὸς τὸ ἡμές τερον, ίνα τὸ ἡμέτερον τῆ πρὸς τὸ θεῖον ἐπιμιξία γένηται θείον. έξαιρεθεν τοῦ θανάτου καὶ της τοῦ ἀντικειμένου τυραννίδος έξω γενόμενον ή γάρ εκείνου άπὸ τοῦ θανάτου επανοδος άρχη τω θνητώ γένει της είς την αθάνατον ζωην επανόδου γίγνεται.

26. 'Αλλ' ἴσως τις ἐν τῆ τῆς δικαιοσύνης τε καὶ TO σοφίας έξετασει της κατά την οικονομίαν ταύτην θεωρουμένης ενάγεται πρός το νομίσαι απάτην τινά την τοιαύτην μέθοδον ἐπινενοῆσθαι ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν τῷ θεῶ· τὸ γὰρ μὴ γυμνη τη θεότητι, άλλ' ύπὸ της άνθρωπίνης φύσεως

1 om o vulg | 3 ο συνεχ. l vulg | 5 ινα το ημ.] om το ημ. vulg 6 της αντικειμένης τυρ. e **26.** 10–11 δικ. εξετασει και σοφιας l vulg 12 om The vulg | 13 om un l\* ou vulg

between the 'hypostatic' or personal union of God with man in Christ, and the union of God with creation through the indwelling of the Word. This is not clearly brought out by Gr., although the contrast which he proceeds to draw in the next clause involves some such idea.

1.  $\dot{a}$ λλ'  $ο \ddot{v} v \kappa.\tau.λ.$ ] a clause answering to  $\epsilon i \gamma \delta \rho$ . 'Though... yet anyhow.' Nov refers to the presence of God in man in the course of nature, τότε to His presence in man through the Incarnation.

4. την φύσιν] 'nature' (not

specially human nature). **26.** The fact that the Godhead was veiled from Satan may be thought to involve an act of deception which is inconsistent with iustice and wisdom. To this Gr. replies that the justice of God was shown by requiting Satan according to his deserts, in that the deceiver was in turn deceived. God's wisdom was displayed in combining with a just recompense a purpose of love.

The conspirator and the physician both mix a drug with food, but the aim of the one is destructive, while that of the other is beneficent. The purpose of the deceit practised upon Satan was to benefit not merely the victim of Satan's deception, but also the deceiver himself. The Divine power in its contact with evil acts as a refining fire. Satan himself shall be purged by it and be led to acknowledge the justice and saving efficacy of the Incarnation. Then, when the purifying discipline has done its work, all Creation shall send up to God a chorus of praise.

12. ἀπάτην τινά] The text has the support of all the MSS. The words must be regarded as forming a secondary predicate, 'that this method devised for us by God is a kind of trick.' The reading of Krab. is ἀπάτη τινί, which appears in the margin of the late Ms c, being undoubtedly, as he shows, a conjectural emendation of Max. Margunius, who wrote the Ms.

κεκαλυμμένη, άγνοηθέντα παρά τοῦ έγθροῦ, τὸν θεὸν έντὸς τοῦ κρατοῦντος γενέσθαι ἀπάτη τίς έστι τρόπον τινά καὶ παραλογισμός, ἐπείπερ ἴδιον τῶν ἀπατώντων έστι τὸ πρὸς ἔτερον τὰς τῶν ἐπιβουλευομένων ἐλπίδας τρέπειν καὶ ἄλλο παρὰ τὸ ἐλπισθὲν κατεργάζεσθαι. 5 άλλ' ό πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν βλέπων πάντων μάλιστα καὶ τούτο της δικαιοσύνης τε καὶ της σοφίας είναι συνθήσεται. δικαίου μεν γάρ έστι τὸ κατ ἀξίαν εκάστω νέμειν, σοφού δὲ τὸ μήτε παρατρέπειν τὸ δίκαιον, μήτε τον αγαθον της φιλανθρωπίας σκοπον αποχωρίζειν της 10 κατά τὸ δίκαιον κρίσεως, ἀλλά συνάπτειν ἀλλήλοις εθμηγάνως αμφότερα, τη μέν δικαιοσύνη το κατ' αξίαν αντιδιδόντα, τη δε αγαθότητι του σκοπου της φιλανθρωπίας οὐκ έξιστάμενον. σκοπήσωμεν τοίνυν εἰ μὴ τὰ δύο ταῦτα τοῖς γεγονόσιν ἐνθεωρεῖται. ἡ μὲν γὰρ 15 τοῦ κατ' ἀξίαν ἀντίδοσις, δι' ής ὁ ἀπατεων ἀνταπατᾶται, τὸ δίκαιον δείκνυσιν, ὁ δὲ σκοπὸς τοῦ γιγνομένου μαρτυρία της του ενεργούντος αγαθότητος γίγνεται. ἴδιον μεν γαρ της δικαιοσύνης το εκείνα νέμειν εκάστω, ών τις τὰς ἀργὰς καὶ τὰς αἰτίας προκατεβάλετο, ὥσπερ ή γῆ 20 κατὰ τὰ γένη τῶν καταβληθέντων σπερμάτων καὶ τοὺς καρπούς ἀντιδίδωσιν· σοφίας δὲ τὸ ἐν τῷ τρόπῳ τῆς τῶν

 $3 \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$  |  $\alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$  |  $1^*$  | 6 om  $\tau \eta \nu$  h | 17  $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$  h | 18 om γιγνεται f || 20 -εβαλλετο l || 22 αναδιδωσιν l vulg

 άγνοηθέντα] Cp. antea c. 24. Behind this conception of an act of deceit practised on Satan there lies the more profound idea that Satan's cunning was outwitted by God's wisdom. The 'ars ut artem falleret' of Venantius (in the hymn 'Pange lingua') is applied to a different point in the history of redemption. 5.  $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ] The victim

of a trick is taken by surprise and finds his expectations disappointed. 7.  $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \circ \hat{v}$  i.e. the outwitting of Satan.

12. τη μέν δικαιοσύνη] Krab.

regards δικ. as governed by ἀντιδιδόντα, leaving  $\dot{a}\gamma a\theta \dot{o}\tau \eta \tau \iota$  to be regarded as a *dat*. of circumstance or respect. The Latin rendering of the Paris edd. is similar. It is better, however, with Moore, to regard both datives as similar in construction. 'In justice, making a proper recompense; in goodness, not departing from the purpose of love to man.'
16. ἀπατεών] 'deceiver.' The

word commonly denotes a 'quack'

or 'impostor.'

20.  $ωσπερ <math>
\dot{η}$  γ $\dot{η}$ ] an application of St Paul's maxim (Gal. vi 7) δ γάρ

ομοίων αντιδόσεως μη έκπεσειν του βελτίονος. ώσπερ γὰρ τῶ ἐδέσματι ὁμοίως παραμίγνυσι τὸ Φάρμακον καὶ ό ἐπιβουλεύων καὶ ὁ τὸν ἐπιβουλευθέντα ἰώμενος ἀλλ' ό μεν το δηλητήριον, ό δε τοῦ δηλητηρίου ἀλεξητήριον, 5 καὶ οὐδὲν ὁ τρόπος τῆς θεραπείας τὸν σκοπὸν τῆς εὐεργεσίας διελυμήνατο εί γὰρ καὶ παρ' ἀμφοτέρων φαρμάκου μίξις ἐν τροφη γίγνεται, ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὸν σκοπὸν ἀποβλέψαντες τὸν μὲν ἐπαινοῦμεν, τῷ δὲ χαλεπαίνομεν ούτω καὶ ἐνταῦθα τῷ μὲν κατὰ τὸ δίκαιον λόγω ἐκεῖνα 10 ο άπατεων αντιλαμβάνει, ών τὰ σπέρματα διὰ τῆς ίδίας προαιρέσεως κατεβάλετο άπατᾶται γὰρ καὶ αὐτὸς τῶ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου προβλήματι ὁ προαπατήσας τὸν ἄνθρωπον τῶ τῆς ήδονῆς δελεάσματι · ὁ δὲ σκοπὸς τῶν γιγνομένων έπὶ τὸ κρεῖττον τὴν παραλλαγὴν ἔχει. ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἐπὶ 15 διαφθορά της φύσεως την απάτην ενήργησεν, ο δε δίκαιος άμα καὶ ἀγαθὸς καὶ σοφὸς ἐπὶ σωτηρία τοῦ καταφθαρέντος τη ἐπινοία της ἀπάτης ἐχρήσατο, οὐ μόνον τὸν ἀπολωλότα διὰ τούτων εὐεργετῶν, ἀλλὰ καὶ αὐτὸν τὸν τὴν ἀπώλειαν καθ' ἡμῶν ἐνεργήσαντα. ἐκ γὰρ

 $3 \epsilon \pi \iota \beta$ ουλευθεντα] ε $\pi \iota \beta$ ουλευοντα e  $\parallel$  4 αλεξίτηρ. vulg αλξίτηρ. eh  $\parallel$ 7 εν τη τροφη f || 8 τω δε] τον δε vulg || 10 αντιλαμβανεται d || 10-11 της προ. της ιδιας f | 14 παραλλαγην] μεταβολην f | 16 αμα και αγ.] και αγ. αμα e | 16-17 των καταφθαρέντων f | 19 την απωλ.] om την vulg

έὰν σπείρη ἄνθρωπος, τοῦτο καὶ θερίσει.

1. τοῦ βελτίονος] i.e. τοῦ σκοποῦ  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \phi i \lambda a \nu \hat{\theta} \rho \omega \pi i a s$ , which he has

mentioned above.

ib. ωσπερ γάρ] The method ofthe cure in the case of the physician is the same as that of the poisoner, but that does not interfere with the beneficence of its intention.

9. τŵ μèν...λόγω] 'on the prin-

ciple of justice.'

11. ἀπατᾶται γάρ] a parenthesis. The main sentence is resumed with ο δὲ σκοπός.

12.  $\pi \rho o \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$  'the screen' of the human nature, which concealed

His Divinity. See note c. 23 p. 89 with references. For  $\tau \circ \hat{v}$  $\partial \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma \sigma$  see note c. 16 p. 72.

13. δελεάσματι] Cp. antea c. 21 δελέατος δίκην τῷ τῆς κακίας ἀγκίστρω της του καλού φαντασίας περιπλασθείσης.

ib. ὁ δὲ σκοπός] The purpose of the deception changes the nature of the action and makes it good.

17. ἐπινοία] Cp. antea c. 23  $\delta\iota'$   $\epsilon\pi\iota\nu$ olas with note.

19. αὐτὸν τὸν τ. ἀπ....ένεργ.] For the idea that Satan himself shall be purged and finally saved, see Orig. de Princ. iii 6 (cp. i 6).

ib. έκ γὰρ τοῦ προσ.] The

τοῦ προσεγγίσαι τῆ ζωῆ μὲν τὸν θάνατον, τῷ φωτὶ δὲ τὸ σκότος, τῆ ἀφθαρσία δὲ τὴν φθοράν, ἀφανισμὸς μὲν τοῦ χείρονος γίγνεται καὶ εἰς τὸ μὴ ον μεταχώρησις, ωφέλεια δὲ τοῦ ἀπὸ τούτων καθαιρομένου. καθάπερ γάρ, ἀτιμοτέρας ὕλης τῷ χρυσῷ καταμιχθείσης, τῆ διὰ τοῦς πυρὸς δαπάνη τὸ ἀλλότριον τε καὶ ἀπόβλητον οί θεραπευταί του χρυσίου καταναλώσαντες πάλιν έπανάγουσι πρὸς τὴν κατὰ Φύσιν λαμπηδόνα τὴν προτιμοτέραν ὕλην: οὐκ ἄπονος μέντοι γίνεται ή διάκρισις, χρόνω τοῦ πυρὸς τη ἀναλωτική δυνάμει τὸ νόθον ἐξαφανίζοντος, πλην 10 άλλα θεραπεία τίς έστι του χρυσίου το έκτακηναι αὐτο τὸ ἐπὶ λύμη τοῦ κάλλους ἐγκείμενον· κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον, θανάτου καὶ φθορᾶς καὶ σκότους καὶ εἴ τι κακίας ἔκγονον τῷ εὐρετῆ τοῦ κακοῦ περιφυέντων, ὁ προσεγγισμός της θείας δυνάμεως πυρός δίκην άφανι- 15 σμον του παρά φύσιν κατεργασάμενος εὐεργετεί τῆ καθάρσει την φύσιν, καν επίπονος ή διάκρισις ή. οὐκοῦν οὐδ' ἂν παρ' αὐτοῦ τοῦ ἀντικειμένου μὴ εἶναι δίκαιόν τε καὶ σωτήριον τὸ γεγονὸς ἀμφιβάλοιτο, εἴπερ εἰς αἴσθησιν τῆς εὐεργεσίας ἔλθοι. νυνὶ γὰρ καθάπερ οἱ ἐπὶ θερα- 20 πεία τεμνόμενοί τε καὶ καιόμενοι γαλεπαίνουσι τοῖς

5 της ατιμ. υλ. fl vulg || 7 χρυσου dehnp || 8 προτιμοτεραν | προτεραν  $fg^{1}l^{1}p^{*}$  || 11 αυτο] αυτω dgp παρ αυτω l vulg || 12 καλλους] καλου lvulg | 14  $\epsilon \gamma \gamma$ 0000 deglvid hnp | 17 καθαρσεί] αφθαρσία vulg +  $\epsilon \xi$ αιρ $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu \tau$ 05 του αυτη καταμιχθέντος ου καλου  $g^1 \parallel 10$  -βαλλοιτο l vulg  $\parallel$  20 έλθοι νυν και γαρ gp ελθοι νυν' ει γαρ d (om γαρ e) l'n ελθοι νυν' οι γαρ h || θερα- $\pi\epsilon\iota\alpha s$  e

contact of sinful creatures with God must result in the final disappearance of evil, and the purification of those affected by it. The Divine power acts as a refiner's fire, which shall purge even Satan himself. For the teaching of Gr. on the κάθαρσις of souls see cc. 8, 35 (notes). The allusion to the refiner's fire occurs in Orig. c. Cels. vi 44. 9. οὐκ ἄπ. μέντοι] The μέντοι

is answered by πλην άλλά below.

19. τὸ γεγονός] i.e. the ἀπάτη practised.

ib.  $\epsilon \ddot{\iota} \pi \epsilon \rho ... \ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta o \iota$  The form of expression suggests uncertainty. Gr. is venturing a conjecture of what

might possibly be.

20. νυνί γάρ] Similarly Origen urges (c. Cels. vi 56) that, as men do not blame physicians for the pain which they inflict, neither must men blame God for the pain of remedial punishments.

θεραπεύουσι, τῆ ὀδύνη τῆς τομῆς δριμυσσόμενοι, εἰ δὲ τὸ ὑγιαίνειν διὰ τούτων προσγένοιτο καὶ ἡ τῆς καύσεως ἀλγηδὼν παρέλθοι, χάριν εἴσονται τοῖς τὴν θεραπείαν ἐπ' αὐτῶν ἐνεργήσασι· κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ταῖς 5 μακραῖς περιόδοις ἐξαιρεθέντος τοῦ κακοῦ τῆς φύσεως, τοῦ νῦν αὐτῆ καταμιχθέντος καὶ συμφυέντος, ἐπειδὰν ἡ εἰς τὸ ἀρχαῖον ἀποκατάστασις τῶν νῦν ἐν κακία κειμένων γένηται, ὁμόφωνος ἡ εὐχαριστία παρὰ πάσης ἔσται τῆς κτίσεως, καὶ τῶν ἐν τῆ καθάρσει κεκολασμένων καὶ το τῶν μηδὲ τὴν ἀρχὴν ἐπιδεηθέντων καθάρσεως.

2 τουτου l vulg  $\parallel$  4 επ αυτον e επ αυτους vulg  $\parallel$  6 αυτοις καταμ. vulg  $\parallel$  om  $\eta$  e  $\parallel$  7 κειμενων  $\parallel$  γενομενων  $\parallel$  8 om  $\eta$  l vulg

7.  $\epsilon ls \ \tau \delta \ d\rho \chi$ .] Gr. conceives of the primal condition of man and of his tempter, as it existed ideally, and as it would have been realized

had not sin intervened.

ib. ἀποκατάστασις] The source of the phrase is Acts iii 21. For Gr.'s further treatment of the question see esp. de An. et Res. pp. 104, 152, 157-60 (Migne); Or. de Mortuis pp. 524, 525 (Migne); de Hom. Opif. c. 21, and c. 35 of this treatise. Germanus, Bp of Constantinople (obiit 733 A.D.), acc. to Photius (Bibl. Cod. 233), maintained that Gr.'s works had been falsified by the Origenists, who had inserted many passages from Origen's writings. But such language occurs too frequently in Gr.'s writings to admit of this supposition, which is not borne out by any indications of a change of style. Vincenzi (in S. Greg. Nyss. et Origenis scripta et doctrinam) has attempted to weaken the force of this universalistic teaching, by pointing to other passages

where Gr. appears to assert the eternity of punishment. Thus in Or. c. Usurarios pp. 436, 452 (Migne) he has alwios  $\lambda \psi \pi \eta$  and ή αίώνιος κόλασις. Gr. in fact does not exhibit perfect consistency of language on the subject. In numerous passages he asserts the αποκατάστασις. In others (e.g. c. 40) he repeats the language of Scripture about 'the unquenchable fire' and 'the undying worm.' There is similar inconsistency in his treatment of human generation. See c. 28 p. 105 (note). In the present treatise his polemic against the Manichaeans and his idea of the negative character of evil would incline him to emphasize the restoration of all things. In de An. et Res. p. 104 (Migne) he makes use of 1 Cor. xv 28. See further Introd. p. xxiii, and on the subsequent history of the doctrine of αποκατάστασις see Schwane Dogmengeschichte ii pp. 240 f., 604 f., 611 f.

8. όμόφωνος] Gr. uses similar language in de An. et Res. p. 72 (Migne) άλλὰ καὶ παρ' ἐκείνων ὁμοφώνως ἡ ὁμολογία τῆς τοῦ Χριστοῦ

κυριότητος έσται.

9-10.  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dots \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] See c. 35 where the two classes are more exactly defined.

καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα παραδίδωσι τὸ μέγα μυστήριον τῆς θείας ἐνανθρωπήσεως. δι' ὧν γὰρ κατεμίχθη τῆ ἀνθρωπότητι, διὰ πάντων τῶν τῆς φύσεως ἰδιωμάτων γενόμενος, γενέσεώς τε καὶ ἀνατροφῆς καὶ αὐξήσεως, καὶ μέχρι τῆς τοῦ θανάτου πείρας διεξελθών, τὰ προειρη-5 μένα πάντα κατείργασται, τόν τε ἄνθρωπον τῆς κακίας ἐλευθερῶν καὶ αὐτὸν τὸν τῆς κακίας εύρετὴν ἰώμενος. ἴασις γάρ ἐστιν ἀρρωστίας ἡ τοῦ νοσήματος κάθαρσις, κὰν ἐπίπονος ἦ.

27. ᾿Ακόλουθον δὲ πάντως τὸν πρὸς τὴν φύσιν ἡμῶν 10 ἀνακιρνάμενον διὰ πάντων δέξασθαι τῶν ἰδιωμάτων αὐτῆς τὴν πρὸς ἡμᾶς συνανάκρασιν. καθάπερ γὰρ οἱ τὸν ρύπον τῶν ἱματίων ἐκπλύνοντες οὐ τὰ μὲν ἐῶσι τῶν μολυσμάτων, τὰ δὲ ἀπορρύπτουσιν, ἀλλ' ἀπ' ἀρχῆς ἄχρι τέλους ἐκκαθαίρουσι τῶν κηλίδων ἄπαν τὸ ὕφασμα, ὡς 15 ἂν ὁμότιμον ἑαυτῷ δι' ὅλου τὸ ἱμάτιον γένοιτο, κατὰ τὸ

8 νοσηματος] σωματος gp  $27. \ 12 \ om \ \gamma a\rho \ deg^*hnp \parallel 14 \ a\pi ορριπτουσιν \\ 1 \ vulg \parallel 16 \ \epsilon a\upsilon \tau \omega] \ \epsilon \nu \ a\upsilon \tau \omega \ f \parallel \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau a\iota \ e \parallel \tau o \ \kappa a\tau a \ \tau. \ \iota \sigma. \ l^* \ vulg$ 

3. ἰδιωμάτων] 'the properties' or 'distinguishing characteristics' of human nature. Cp. c. 27 *init*, and p. 104.

7. αὐτὸν τὸν...εὑρετήν] In this passage Gr. definitely connects the healing of Satan with the Incarnation. In what way its benefits were applied to the adversary he does not tell us, nor does he discuss the relation of the κάθαρσις to the historical work of Christ or show the relation of his idea to the language of Scripture.

27. It was needful that He Who assumed our nature should assume it in all its distinctive features. That nature needed to be cleansed in every part. Corresponding to this the Power which restores human nature nust embrace it in its whole extent from beginning to end. This could only be effected by a human birth. It might be urged that a heavenly body

could have been assumed. But humanity was not in heaven, and there can be no cure which does not touch the ailing part. Again there is no more dishonour in assuming a human than a heavenly body. Everything created, whether in heaven or on earth, is equally below the dignity of God. But if all things are equally below God, the one thing that is consistent with His honour is to succour the needy. And it is this which we acknowledge Him to have done.

11. ἀνακιρνάμενον] 'infusing Himself into.' Cp. c. 11 κατακιρνᾶται.

*ib.* ἰδιωμάτων] Cp. c. 26 supra (note).

12. συνανάκρασιν] Cp. c. 16 p. 70, and see note c. 11 p. 57.

15. υφασμα] 'the whole texture of the garment.'

16. ὁμότιμον] 'uniform in charac-

ίσον λαμπρυνθέν έκ της πλύσεως ούτως, μολυνθείσης τη άμαρτία της ανθρωπίνης ζωής εν αρχή τε καὶ τελευτή καὶ τοῖς διὰ μέσου πᾶσιν, ἔδει διὰ πάντων γενέσθαι τὴν έκπλύνουσαν δύναμιν, καὶ μὴ τὸ μέν τι θεραπεῦσαι τῷ 5 καθαρσίω, τὸ δὲ περιιδεῖν ἀθεράπευτον. τούτου γάριν της ζωης ημών δύο πέρασιν έκατέρωθεν διειλημμένης, τὸ κατὰ τὴν ἀρχήν φημι καὶ τὸ τέλος, καθ' ἐκάτερον ευρίσκεται πέρας ή διορθωτική της φύσεως δύναμις, καὶ της αρχης άψαμένη καὶ μέχρι τοῦ τέλους έαυτην έπεκ-10 τείνασα καὶ τὰ διὰ μέσου τούτων πάντα διαλαβοῦσα. μιας δὲ πασιν ανθρώποις της είς την ζωην ούσης παρόδου, πόθεν έδει τὸν εἰσιόντα πρὸς ἡμᾶς εἰσοικισθῆναι τῷ βίω; ἐξ οὐρανοῦ, φησὶ τυχὸν ὁ διαπτύων ὡς αἰσχρόν τε καὶ άδοξον τὸ εἶδος τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης γενέσεως. ἀλλ' 15 οὐκ ἦν ἐν οὐρανῶ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, οὐδέ τις ἐν τῆ ὑπερκοσμίω ζωή κακίας νόσος ἐπεχωρίαζεν, ὁ δὲ τῶ ἀνθρώπω καταμιγνύμενος τω σκοπώ της ώφελείας εποιείτο την συνανάκρασιν, ένθα τοίνυν το κακον ούκ ην, ούδε ο αιθρώπινος επολιτεύετο βίος, πως επιζητεί τις εκείθεν

4 om τι deghnp  $\parallel$  7 τω κατα dehu  $\parallel$  9 om του e  $\parallel$  επέκτεινουσα e  $\parallel$  10 το δια p  $\parallel$  11 μιας δε] exstant seqq in euth 1456  $\parallel$  12 οικισθηναι l vulg  $\parallel$  13 φησει el\* vid η φυσει h euth 16  $\parallel$  16 επέχωριασεν dglp  $\parallel$  16–18 ο δε...συνανακρασιν om euth 16  $\parallel$  17 προς τω σκοπω f  $\parallel$  18 ανακρασιν d και συνανακ. f  $\parallel$  19 om o fl vulg

4-5.  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  καθαρσί $\varphi$ ] On the word καθάρσιον see Suicer. It is here used in its primary sense of a 'purification.'

6. διειλημμένης] 'embraced within two limits, one on either side.' Cp.

διαλαβοῦσα below.

10. τὰ διὰ μέσου] Gr. assigns a place in the work of redemption to the whole of Christ's earthly life and not merely to the death. Similarly Iren. ii 33. 2 says: Omnes enim venit per semetipsum salvare ...infantes, et parvulos, et pueros, et iuvenes, et seniores. Ideo per omnem venit aetatem.

11.  $\mu \hat{u}$ s  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ] The section which follows as far as the end of ch. 28 is reproduced in Euthymius Zig, *Pan. Dogm.* pt i tit, vii pp. 224 f. (Migne).

12.  $\epsilon i \sigma o i \kappa i \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha i$ ] Cp. c. 24

τῆς ζωῆς τῷ θανάτῷ εἰσοικισθείσης.

13. ἐξ οὐρανοῦ] The belief that the flesh of Christ descended from Heaven was actually attributed to Apollinaris (cp. Vincent Lir. Common. xii (17)), though apparently without sufficient reason. The idea however seems to have been current and was refuted by Greg. Naz. in his first Epistle to Cledonius. This may have suggested the idea here to Gr.

τω θεώ περιπλακηναι τὸν ἄνθρωπον, μᾶλλον δὲ οὐχὶ ἄνθρωπον, ἀλλὰ ἀνθρώπου τι εἴδωλον καὶ ὁμοίωμα; τίς δ' ἃν ἐγένετο της φύσεως ήμῶν ή διόρθωσις, εἰ τοῦ ἐπιγείου ζώου νενοσηκότος ἕτερόν τι τῶν οὐρανίων τὴν θείαν ἐπιμιξίαν ἐδέξατο; οὐκ ἔστι γὰρ θεραπευθῆναι 5 τὸν κάμνοντα, μὴ τοῦ πονοῦντος μέρους ἰδιαζόντως δέξαμένου τὴν ἴασιν. εἰ οῦν τὸ μὲν κάμνον ἐπὶ γῆς ην, ή δὲ θεία δύναμις τοῦ κάμνοντος μὴ ἐφήψατο, πρὸς τὸ ἑαυτῆς βλέπουσα πρέπον, ἄχρηστος ην τῷ ἀνθρώπω ἡ περὶ τὰ μηδὲν ἡμῖν ἐπικοινωνοῦντα τῆς θείας δυνάμεως ἀσχο-10 λία. τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἀπρεπὲς ἐπὶ τῆς θεότητος ἴσον, εἴπερ ὅλως θεμιτόν ἐστιν ἄλλο τι παρὰ τὴν κακίαν ἀπρεπὲς ἐννοεῖν. πλὴν τῷ μικροψύχως ἐν τούτω κρίνοντι τὴν θείαν μεγαλειότητα, ἐν τῷ μὴ δέξασθαι τῶν τῆς φύσεως

3 om η euth 456 || 5 επιμιξ.] επιδημιαν l vulg || 8 εαυτη l¹ euth

2.  $\epsilon i \delta \omega \lambda o \nu$ ] A body taken from any other source than human nature would be nothing else than an imi-

tation of a man.

3. τίς δ' ἄν] Another argument against the suggestion contained in ἐξ οὐρανοῦ above. Human nature would not benefit from the commingling of the Divine with anything else but itself. The remedy must be

applied to the ailing part.

8-9.  $\pi p \delta s \tau \delta ... \pi p \dot{\epsilon} \pi o v$ ] A causal clause attached closely to the hypothetical statement  $\epsilon l... \mu \dot{\gamma} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\phi} \dot{\gamma} \psi a \tau o$ . Eav $\tau \dot{\gamma} \dot{s}$  is governed by  $\pi p \dot{\epsilon} \pi o v$  which is found in a few cases with the gen. Cp. Soph. Ajax 534 with Jebb's note. Here the gen. may be due to the substantival notion contained in  $\tau \delta \pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi o v$ .

9-10.  $\dot{\eta}$ ... $\dot{a}\sigma\chi$ o $\lambda$ (a) 'The occupa-

tion of the Divine Power with objects which have nothing in common with us would have been of no profit to man. In τὰ μ. ἡ. ἐπικοινωνοῦντα he is of course referring to τὰ οὐράνια above.

11. τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἀπρεπές] Gr. now passes on to another point. The indignity to God is no greater in the case of the assumption of an earthly, than of a heavenly body. The real line of demarcation is not between earthly and heavenly, but between created and uncreated.

ib.  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho$ ] Gr. contests in his usual manner the appropriateness of the use of the word  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \dot{\epsilon} s$  with reference to anything but moral evil.

reference to anything but moral evil. 13.  $\pi\lambda\eta\nu \kappa.\pi.\lambda$ .] 'But for him who in a narrow spirit judges that the Divine majesty consists in this, that it does not admit of participation in the peculiarities of our nature, the dishonour is not lessened by the fact that the Divine Being is conformed to a heavenly rather than to an earthly body.'

ήμων ιδιωμάτων την κοινωνίαν, ούδεν μαλλον παραμυθείται τὸ ἄδοξον οὐρανίω σώματι ἢ ἐπιγείω συσχηματισθήναι τὸ θείον. τοῦ γὰρ ὑψίστου καὶ ἀπροσίτου κατὰ τὸ ὕψος τῆς Φύσεως ἡ κτίσις πᾶσα κατὰ τὸ ἴσον ἐπὶ τὸ 5 κάτω ἀφέστηκε, καὶ ὁμοτίμως αὐτῷ τὸ πᾶν ὑποβέβηκε. τὸ γὰρ καθ' ὅλου ἀπρόσιτον οἴ τινι μέν ἐστι προσιτόν, τῶ δὲ ἀπροσπέλαστον, ἀλλ' ἐπ' ἴσης πάντων τῶν ὄντων ύπερανέστηκεν. οὔτε οὖν ή γη πορρωτέρω της άξίας έστίν, ούτε ο ούρανος πλησιαίτερος, ούτε τὰ ἐν ἐκατέρω 10 των στοιχείων ενδιαιτώμενα διαφέρει τι άλλήλων εν τω μέρει τούτω, ώς τὰ μὲν ἐφάπτεσθαι τῆς ἀπροσίτου φύσεως, τὰ δὲ ἀποκρίνεσθαι, ἢ ούτω γ' ἂν μὴ διὰ πάντων έπ' ζσης διήκειν την τὸ πῶν ἐπικρατοῦσαν δύναμιν ὑπονοήσαιμεν, άλλ' έν τισι πλεονάζουσαν, έν έτέροις ένδε-15 εστέραν είναι, καὶ τῆ πρὸς τὸ ἔλαττόν τε καὶ πλέον καὶ μάλλον καὶ ήττον διαφορά σύνθετον ἐκ τοῦ ἀκολούθου τὸ θεῖον ἀναφανήσεται, αὐτὸ πρὸς έαυτὸ μὴ συμβαῖνον, εἴπερ ήμων πόρρωθεν ύπονοοιτο εἶναι τω λόγω τῆς φύσεως, έτέρω δέ τινι γειτνιών καὶ εὔληπτον ἐκ τοῦ

4–5 αφ. επι τ. κ. f  $\parallel$  7 τινι δε απ.  $g^{1}l^{1}$  το δε απ.  $vulg \parallel$  om των οντων  $p \parallel 8$  υπερανεστηκεν $\rceil$  desunt seqq in euth  $\parallel$  9 πλησιεστεροs l  $vulg \parallel$  10 απ αλληλων  $p \parallel$  12 ουτω $\rceil$  ουτε  $vulg \parallel \mu\eta \rceil$  μητε del vulg ει  $\mu\eta$   $n \parallel$  13 διακρατουσαν  $g^{1} \parallel$  16 το  $\mu$ αλλον και το ηττον  $d \parallel$  18  $\mu\eta$  πορρωθεν  $dghnp \parallel$  επινοοιτο  $g^{*}p \parallel$  19 γειτνιωη  $dghnp \parallel$  ενληπτος  $fl^{*}$  vulg

1.  $l\delta \iota \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ] slightly diff. from the use supra and in c. 26. Here it is rather 'peculiarities.'

ib. παραμνθεῖται] 'pacify,' 'relieve,' 'soften.' The subj. of the verb is συσχ. τὸ θεῖον.

6. καθ' ὅλου] God transcends creation absolutely and not merely relatively.

12.  $\hat{\eta}$   $o \bar{v} \tau \omega \gamma'$   $\hat{u} \nu$ ] To assume that some parts of Creation are nearer than others to the Divine Nature impairs belief in the completeness of the Divine immanence.

16. σύνθετον] 'on account of the difference of measure and degree, the Divine Being will in consequence appear to be composite, and incongruous with itself, if it be conceived to be remote from us, so far as its nature is concerned, but be adjacent to some other created thing and from its nearness easily apprehended.'

18.  $\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \delta \gamma \hat{\psi} \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{s} \phi \delta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ] is explained by  $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{s} d\pi \rho \sigma \delta \tau \phi \phi \delta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$  above. Gr. is referring to the false deductions drawn from the greatness

of God.

σύνεγγυς γίγνοιτο. ἀλλ' ὁ ἀληθὴς λόγος ἐπὶ τῆς ὑψηλῆς ἀξίας οὔτε κάτω βλέπει διὰ συγκρίσεως, οὔτε ἄνω·
πάντα γὰρ κατὰ τὸ ἴσον τὴν τοῦ παντὸς ἐπιστατοῦσαν
δύναμιν ὑποβέβηκεν, ὥστε, εἰ τὴν ἐπίγειον φύσιν ἀναξίαν τῆς πρὸς τὸ θεῖον οἰήσονται συμπλοκῆς, οὐδ' αν 5
ἄλλη τις εὐρεθείη τὸ ἄξιον ἔχουσα. εἰ δὲ ἐπ' ἴσης πάντα
τῆς ἀξίας ἀπολιμπάνεται, εν πρέπον ἐστὶ τῷ θεῷ τὸ
εὐεργετεῖν τὸν δεόμενον. ὅπου τοίνυν ἦν ἡ νόσος, ἐκεῖ
φοιτῆσαι τὴν ἰωμένην δύναμιν ὁμολογοῦντες, τί ἔξω τῆς
θεοπρεποῦς ὑπολήψεως πεπιστεύκαμεν;

28. ᾿Αλλὰ κωμφδοῦσι τὴν φύσιν ἡμῶν, καὶ τὸν τῆς γεννήσεως τρόπον διαθρυλλοῦσι, καὶ οἴονται διὰ τούτων ἐπιγέλαστον ποιεῖν τὸ μυστήριον, ὡς ἀπρεπὲς ὃν θεῷ διὰ τοιαύτης εἰσόδου τῆς τοῦ ἀνθρωπίνου βίου κοινωνίας ἐφάψασθαι. ἀλλ᾽ ἤδη περὶ τούτου καὶ ἐν 15 τοῦς ἔμπροσθεν εἴρηται λόγοις, ὅτι μόνον αἰσχρὸν τῆ ἑαυτοῦ φύσει τὸ κακόν ἐστι καὶ εἴ τι πρὸς τὴν κακίαν οἰκείως ἔχει. ἡ δὲ τῆς φύσεως ἀκολουθία, θείφ βουλή-

Ι της υψηλης] της ισης  $g^1$  om της  $h \parallel 3-4$  της...επιστατουσης δυναμεως ehn  $\parallel 7$  εν πρεπ.] εμπρεπον  $l^*$  vid vulg  $\parallel$  om τω  $\theta$ εω d om τω fl **28.** 12 γεννησεως]+ημων l vulg  $\parallel$  διαθρυλουσι degp  $\parallel$  13 ονlων f euth l6  $\parallel$  14 τω  $\theta$ εω l vulg  $\parallel$  17 εαυτου] εφ εαυτου  $g^*$ ρ  $\parallel$  17–18 και ει...εχειl καν...εχη l vulg

I.  $\dot{\delta}$  ἀληθής λόγος] 'The true account' in dealing with the transcendent dignity of God does not compare what is 'above' with what is 'below' in creation. The true comparison is between creation and the Creator. All created things are equally beneath the Divine Power.

7.  $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$  πρέπον] The real justifi-

7. εν πρέπον] The real justification of the assumption by God of human nature is to be found in the moral character of God. It is consistent with His character to succour

the needy.

28. Gr. vindicates the manner of human birth against the objection that it was unbecoming that God should enter human life in this way. The only thing which is inconsistent with the character of God is moral

evil. There is nothing evil or dishonourable in the bodily constitution of man. The organs of human birth are worthy of not less, but more honour than our other organs, for through them is secured the immortality of the race.

11. κωμφδοῦσι] 'they ridicule our nature, and harp upon the manner of our hirth.' Διαθρυλλεῖν = 'to keep

on talking about.'

12. διὰ τούτων] 'by these means,' i.e. by what they say in derogation of nature and its processes.

15. ἐφάψασθαι] Cp. c. 16 της φύσεως ημών αὐτὸν ἐφηφθαι.

ib. ἤδη...εἴρ.] i.e. cc. 9, 16. 18. ἀκολουθία] 'sequence' or 'course of nature.' Contrast Gr.'s language in de Virg. c. 12.

ματι καὶ νόμφ διαταχθεῖσα, πόρρω τῆς κατὰ κακίαν έστὶ διαβολής, η ούτω γ' αν έπὶ τὸν δημιουργὸν ή κατηγορία της φύσεως ἐπανίοι, εἴ τι τῶν περὶ αὐτὴν ώς αίσχρόν τε καὶ άπρεπες διαβάλλοιτο. εἰ οῦν μόνης ς κακίας τὸ θεῖον κεχώρισται, φύσις δὲ κακία οὐκ ἔστι, τὸ δὲ μυστήριον ἐν ἀνθρώπω γενέσθαι τὸν θεόν, οὐκ ἐν κακία λέγει, ή δὲ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου ἐπὶ τὸν βίον εἴσοδος μία έστί, δι' ής παράγεται έπὶ την ζωην το γεννώμενον, τίνα νομοθετοῦσιν έτερον τρόπον τῷ θεῷ τῆς εἰς τὸν 10 βίον παρόδου οἱ ἐπισκεφθῆναι μὲν παρὰ τῆς θείας δυνάμεως ἀσθενήσασαν ἐν κακία τὴν φύσιν εὔλογον κρίνοντες, πρὸς δὲ τὸν τῆς ἐπισκέψεως τρόπον δυσαρεστούμενοι, οὐκ εἰδότες ὅτι πᾶσα πρὸς ἑαυτὴν ἡ κατασκευή τοῦ σώματος ομοτίμως ἔχει, καὶ οὐδὲν ἐν ταύτη 15 των πρὸς τὴν σύστασιν τῆς ζωῆς συντελούντων ώς ἄτιμόν τι ἢ πονηρὸν διαβάλλεται; πρὸς ένα γὰρ σκοπὸν ή των ὀργανικών μελών διασκευή πάσα συντέτακται. ό δὲ σκοπός ἐστι τὸ διαμένειν ἐν τῆ ζωῆ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον. τὰ μὲν οὖν λοιπὰ τῶν ὀργάνων τὴν παροῦσαν

2  $\gamma$  αν]  $\gamma$ αρ f || 4 om  $\tau$ ε vulg || διαβαλοιτο f διαβαλλεται e || om μονης euth || 5  $\eta$  φυσις p φυσει euth 16 || κακιας fl vulg || 7 ει δε el\* vulg || 8 παραγινεται l vulg || ζωην] desunt reliqua in euth 4 ||  $\gamma$ ενομενον h || 9 τινα] νομον μεν τινα fl\*vid vulg || 12 κριναντες f || 18 το διαμενειν] om το l vulg || τον ανθρωπον l vulg

1.  $\pi \delta \rho \rho \omega \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$ ] 'is unassailable on the ground of moral evil.'

2.  $\delta \eta \mu \omega v \rho \gamma \delta \nu I$  'the Maker,' a sense of  $\delta \eta \mu$ . found in Plato (cp. e.g. Rep. 530 A) and esp. in the Neo-Platonic writers.

4.  $\epsilon l$  o l v] The apodosis begins with  $\tau l v \alpha \kappa, \tau, \lambda$ ., the clauses  $\tau \delta$   $\delta \delta$   $\mu v \sigma \tau$ . and  $\dot{\eta}$   $\delta \delta$   $\tau o v$   $\dot{u} v \theta \rho$ . forming part of the protasis.

10.  $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ] For this word and  $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \epsilon \omega s$  below cp. note on

*ἐπίσκεψιν* c. 15.

11.  $\dot{a}\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu....\tau\eta\nu$  φύσιν] For the construction of this clause cp. c. 16  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  τ  $\dot{\eta}$  φύσει...πορευομένη and c. 37 τ  $\dot{\varphi}$  φθοροποι $\dot{\varphi}$ ... $\dot{a}$ ναμιχθέντι.

14. ὁμοτίμως ἔχει] 'is of uniform value,' as contrasted with ἄτιμον below. Cp. ὁμότιμον c. 27.

17. δργανικῶν μελῶν] 'the whole organic structure of the body.' The phrase τὰ δργανικὰ μέλη comes from Aristotle. See Eth. N. 3. 1. 16.
19. τὰ μὲν οἶν] The other bodily

19. τὰ μὲν οὖν] The other bodily organs have as their aim the maintenance of the already existing life of man. By them 'the power of perceiving and acting' (ἡ αἰσθητική τε καὶ ἐνεργητική δύναμις) is exercised. The generative organs have in view the future, and secure, by the propagation of the species, the continuance of the race.

συνέχει τῶν ἀνθρώπων ζωήν, ἄλλα πρὸς ἄλλην ἐνέργειαν μεμερισμένα, δι' ών ή αισθητική τε και ένεργητική δύναμις οἰκονομεῖται τὰ δὲ γεννητικὰ τοῦ μέλλοντος ἔχει τὴν πρόνοιαν, δι' έαυτων τη φύσει την διαδοχην αντεισάγοντα. εἰ οὖν πρὸς τὸ χρειῶδες βλέποις, τίνος ἂν εἴη ς των τιμίων είναι νομιζομένων έκεινα δεύτερα; τίνος δέ οὐκ ἂν προτιμότερα κατὰ τὸ εὔλογον κρίνοιτο; οὐ γὰρ όφθαλμῶ καὶ ἀκοῦ καὶ γλώσση, ἡ ἄλλω τινὶ τῶν αἰσθητηρίων πρὸς τὸ διηνεκὲς τὸ γένος ήμῶν διεξάγεται ταῦτα γάρ, καθώς εἴρηται, της παρούσης ἐστὶν ἀπολαύσεως το άλλ' εν εκείνοις ή άθανασία συντηρείται τη άνθρωπότητι, ώς ἀεὶ καθ' ἡμῶν ἐνεργοῦντα τὸν θάνατον ἄπρακτον εἶναι τρόπου τινὰ καὶ ἀνήνυτον, πάντοτε πρὸς τὸ λεῖπον διὰ των επιγινομένων εαυτήν αντεισαγούσης της φύσεως. τί οὖν ἀπρεπὲς περιέχει ἡμῶν τὸ μυστήριον, εἰ διὰ τούτων 15 κατεμίχθη ο θεός τω ανθρωπίνω βίω, δι' ών ή φύσις πρός τὸν θάνατον μάχεται;

29. 'Αλλά μεταβάντες ἀπὸ τούτου δι' έτέρων πάλιν

1 τω ανθρωπω vulg  $\parallel$  2 η ενεργητικη dhlnp vulg  $\parallel$  4 om τη vulg  $\parallel$ 6 om νομιζομένων εκείνα e | 13-14 δια των] δι αυτών vulg | 14 αντείσαγαγουσης vulg  $\parallel$  15 δια τουτων  $+\epsilon \kappa$  παρθένου καθαράς euth  $\parallel$  17 μαχεταί] δεχεται e: desinit euth

 συνέχει 'hold together,' ' maintain in being.' Cp. συνεκτική c. 5. For this use of ζωή cp. c. 8 την ζωην...διαλύεσθαι.

 τὸ χρειῶδες] 'utility.'
 τίνος ἂν εἴη] Greg. has in mind the passage 1 Cor. xii 14-24.

9. διεξάγεται] 'conducted,' 'carried on, ' 'maintained.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 16 καθ' δν τὸ πᾶν

φέρεταί τε καὶ διεξάγεται.

10.  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi ... \hat{a} \pi o \lambda$ .] The senses mentioned 'are concerned with present enjoyment.' The gen. is possessive. With  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \rho$ .  $\dot{\alpha} \pi o$ λαύσεως cp. την παροθσαν ζωήν above.

11. ἐκείνοις] refers to τὰ γεννητικά.

12. ως...εἶναι] The clause is consecutive. 'So that death, though continually operating against us, is rendered, in a way, ineffectual and fruitless.

14. αντεισαγούσης] 'Since, by means of the succeeding generations, nature is ever introducing herself

to fill up the gap.'

29. 'Why,' it may be asked, 'was the Incarnation so long delayed?' To this Gr. replies by adducing the illustration of the physician who allows a disease to come to the surface before he applies his remedy.

κακίζειν έπιχειροῦσι τὸν λόγον καί φασιν, εἰ καλὸν καὶ πρέπου τῶ θεῶ τὸ γενόμενου, τί ἀνεβάλετο τὴν εὐεργεσίαν; τί δὲ οὐκ ἐν ἀρχαῖς οὕσης τῆς κακίας τὴν ἐπὶ τὸ πλέον αὐτῆς πρόοδον ὑπετέμετο; πρὸς δὲ τοῦτο 5 σύντομος ό παρ' ήμων έστὶ λόγος, ὅτι σοφία γέγονε καὶ τοῦ λυσιτελοῦντος τῆ φύσει προμηθεία ή πρὸς τὴν εὐεργεσίαν ήμῶν ἀναβολή. καὶ γὰρ ἐπὶ τῶν σωματικῶν νοσημάτων, όταν τις διεφθορώς χυμός ύφέρπη τοὺς πόρους, πρίν ἄπαν ἐπὶ τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν ἐκκαλυφθῆναι 10 τὸ παρὰ φύσιν ἐγκείμενον, οὐ καταφαρμακεύεται τοῖς πυκνοῦσι τὸ σῶμα παρὰ τῶν τεχνικῶς μεθοδευόντων τὰ πάθη, ἀλλ' ἀναμένουσι τὸ ἐνδομυχοῦν ἄπαν ἔξω γενέσθαι, καὶ ούτω γυμνῶ τῷ πάθει τὴν ἰατρείαν προσάγουσιν. ἐπειδή τοίνυν ἄπαξ ἐνέσκηψε τῆ φύσει τῆς 15 ανθρωπότητος ή της κακίας νόσος, ανέμεινεν ο του παντὸς θεραπευτής μηδέν ὑπολειφθήναι της πονηρίας εἶδος έγκεκρυμμένον τη φύσει. διὰ τοῦτο οὐκ εὐθὺς μετὰ

**29.** 1  $\phi \eta \sigma \iota p \parallel 2$  om  $\tau \omega$  gnp  $\parallel 3 \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \rceil$  exstant segg in eath 156  $\parallel$ 4 πλειον l vulg || αυτης | εαυτης e αυτου  $g^*$  || παροδον d || υπετεμνετο vulg || τουτους  $f \parallel 5 \pi \alpha \rho \eta \mu \nu e \parallel \text{ om } \kappa \alpha \iota e \parallel 8-9 \text{ τους } \pi \text{ opous } 1^* \text{ om } \tau \text{ ous}$ f vulg || 9 εμφανείαν vulg || εκκαλυφθ.] ελκυσθηναι euth || 12 μενουσί 1\* vulg || 15 ανεμενεν vulg

 κακίζειν] 'to find fault with.' Τὸν λόγον, 'our teaching.'

2. τί ἀνεβάλετο] This question is also dealt with by Athanasius Or. c. Ar. i 29, ii 68. In the Or. in diem nat. Christi (a spurious work, printed in the edd. of Gregory) there is a passage dealing with the same question, which is plainly modelled on the present passage. See Migne, pp. 1130-1.

3. τί δὲ οὐκ] The following passage as far as διοχλοῦσαν τὸν βίον in c. 30 is quoted in Euth. Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt i tit. vii (pp.

228, 229, Migne). 4.  $\dot{v}\pi\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\tau o$ ] 'intercept,' 'cut off' its further advance. Cp. Ar. Εη. 201 ύποτεμοθμαι τὰς όδούς σου.

7.  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu$ .] The same illustration occurs in Origen de Princ. iii 13, with reference to God's dealing with sinners. It is reproduced in the Or. in diem nat. Christi p. 1132 (Migne).

8. διεφθορώς] intrans. Χυμός 'humour,' used of the bodily juices. 'When some corrupt humour steals

beneath the pores.

10. οὐ καταφ.] 'it is not treated with drugs which close up the body.' The object of the physician in such cases is to open the pores of the body and bring out the disease.

12. τὸ ἐνδομυχοῦν] 'lurking within,' 'hidden.' 'Αναμένειν takes here, and below, the acc. and inf., as often in class. Greek.

τὸν φθόνον καὶ τὴν ἀδελφοκτονίαν τοῦ Κάιν προσάγει τῷ ἀνθρώπῷ τὴν θεραπείαν· οὔπω γὰρ τῶν ἐπὶ Νῶε καταφθαρέντων ἡ κακία ἐξέλαμψεν, οὐδὲ τῆς Σοδομιτικῆς παρανομίας ἡ χαλεπὴ νόσος ἀνεκαλύφθη, οὐδὲ ἡ τῶν Λιγυπτίων θεομαχία, οὐδὲ ἡ τῶν ᾿Λσσυρίων ὑπερη- 5 φανία, οὐδὲ ἡ τῶν Ἰουδαίων κατὰ τῶν ἀγίων τοῦ θεοῦ μιαιφονία, οὐδὲ ἡ τοῦ Ἡρῷδου παράνομος παιδοφονία, οὐδὲ τὰ ἄλλα πάντα ὅσα τε μνημονεύεται καὶ ὅσα ἔξω τῆς ἱστορίας ἐν ταῖς καθεξῆς γενεαῖς κατεπράχθη, πολυτρόπως τῆς τοῦ κακοῦ ῥίζης ἐν ταῖς τῶν ἀνθρώπων 10 προαιρέσεσι βλαστανούσης. ἐπεὶ οὖν πρὸς τὸ ἀκρότατον ἔφθασε μέτρον ἡ κακία, καὶ οὐδὲν ἔτι πονηρίας εἶδος ἐν τοῖς ἀνθρώποις ἀτόλμητον ἡν, ὡς ἂν διὰ πάσης τῆς ἀρρωστίας προχωρήσειεν ἡ θεραπεία, τούτου χάριν οὐκ ἀρχομένην, ἀλλὰ τελειωθεῖσαν θεραπεύει τὴν 15 νόσον.

30. Εἰ δέ τις ἐλέγχειν οἴεται τὸν ἡμέτερον λόγον, ὅτι καὶ μετὰ τὸ προσαχθῆναι τὴν θεραπείαν ἔτι πλημ-

5.  $\theta$ εομαχία] 'τουν against God,' with reference, of course, to Pharaoh. Se Or. in d. n. Christi l.c.  $\pi$ οῦ γὰρ  $\delta$   $\theta$ εομάχος Φαρα $\delta$ ; The word  $\theta$ εομάχος occurs in Acts v 39. Similarly Chrysostom, in his panegyric on the Egyptian martyrs (ii 699, ed. Montf.), speaks of  $\Delta$ ίγύ $\pi$ τον τ $\hat{\eta}$ ς  $\theta$ εομάχον καὶ μανικωτάτης.

ib. ὑπερηφανία] 'pride,' 'arrogance.' The word is used by the fathers to denote contempt of God and insolence to men. See exx. cited by Suicer. The author of the Or. in diem nat. Christi l.c. has a similar passage: τὴν 'Ασσυρίων βασιλείαν, καὶ τοῦ Ναβουχοδονόσορ

τὴν ὑπερηφανίαν. Cp. Is. xxxvii 23, 24. Possibly, however, Gr. was for the moment confusing the Assyrians with the Chaldaeans. Cp. Hab. ii 4, 5.

6. Ἰουδαίων] Cp. Mt. xxiii 34,

Τουδαίων] Cp. Mt. xxiii 34,
 Μιαιφονία, 'blood-guiltiness.'
 παιδοφονία] Mt. ii 16—18.
 έξω τῆς ἰστ.] i.e. unrecorded.

30. 'Why,' it may be asked, 'has not sin ceased now that the remedy has been applied?' To this Gr. answers by an illustration. When a serpent has received a deadly blow on the head, the blow does not immediately deprive the extremities of life. In like manner sin has received its

μελεῖται διὰ τῶν ἀμαρτημάτων ὁ ἀνθρώπινος βίος, ὑποδείγματί τινι τῶν γνωρίμων ὁδηγηθήτω πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν. ὥσπερ γὰρ ἐπὶ τοῦ ὄφεως, εἰ κατὰ κεφαλῆς τὴν καιρίαν λάβοι, οὐκ εὐθὺς συννεκροῦται τῆ κεφαλῆς 5 καὶ ὁ κατόπιν ὁλκός, ἀλλ' ἡ μὲν τέθνηκε, τὸ δὲ οὐραῖον ἔτι ἐψύχωται τῷ ἰδίῳ θυμῷ καὶ τῆς ζωτικῆς κινήσεως οὐκ ἐστέρηται, οὕτως ἔστι καὶ τὴν κακίαν ἰδεῖν τῷ μὲν καιρίῳ πληγεῖσαν, ἐν δὲ τοῖς λειψάνοις ἑαυτῆς ἔτι διοχλοῦσαν τὸν βίον. ἀλλ' ἀφέντες καὶ τὸ περὶ τούτων 10 τὸν λόγον τοῦ μυστηρίου μέμφεσθαι, τὸ μὴ διὰ πάντων διήκειν τῶν ἀνθρώπων τὴν πίστιν ἐν αἰτίᾳ ποιοῦνται. καὶ τί δήποτε, φασίν, οὐκ ἐπὶ πάντας ἦλθεν ἡ χάρις, ἀλλὰ

**30.** I δι αμαρτηματων d  $\parallel$  3 της κεφαλης deghnp  $\parallel$  5 ο κατ. ολκος] του σωματος ολκος f  $\parallel$  ουρεον vulg  $\parallel$  6 εμψυχωται f  $\parallel$  κινησεως] δυναμεως l vulg  $\parallel$  7 εστερηται]+τω ιδιω θυμω d  $\parallel$  9 τον βιον] τω βιω l vulg: desinit euth  $\parallel$  το] τον hl\* vulg  $\parallel$  10 μεμφονται του μυστ. και το f  $\parallel$  12 φησιν e

despatch, but though moribund it is not yet wholly dead. A further objection arises out of the fact that grace has not come to all. This, it is argued, shews either a want of will or a want of power on God's part. Gr. replies that the objection might have weight if all had not had the chance of accepting God's offer. But the Christian faith has been proclaimed in all languages. Again, God has left something to man's initiative. He is free to accept or refuse, and if he refuses the grace which is offered, it is not God who is to be blamed for such refusal, but man.

3.  $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \Delta \rho$ ] The simile is reproduced in *Or. in diem nat. Christi* p. 1133 (Migne).

4. την καιρίαν] sc. πληγήν.
5. δ κατόπιν δλκόs] 'the coil behind,' i.e. all that follows the head. 'Ολκόs is used of the trail of a serpent. Here = 'coil.'

6. ἐψύχωται] 'kept alive,' 'ani-

mated.'

ib.  $\theta v \mu \hat{\varphi}$ ] 'spirit,' here used of the animal life, as in Plato's division of the animal part of the soul into  $\theta v \mu \hat{\varphi}$ s and  $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \hat{\iota} \alpha$ . See Rep. 439 E and cp. 410 D.

ib. της ζωτικης κινήσεως] 'vital

motion.'

8. λειψάνοις] 'still in its remnants harassing the life of man.' Blov is used absolutely for 'human life.' For this sense cp. c. 8 τὸν βίον ἡμῶν τῆ νεκρότητι σβέννυσθαι (note).

9. ἀλλ' ἀφέντες] Gr. now passes on to another objection, the want of universality in the spread of Christianity. 'But abandoning their complaint against the teaching of our religion on this point also, they make it a matter of accusation that.'

12.  $\dot{\eta} \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota s$ ] A synonym for the Gospel, as commonly in St Paul's epistles. Cp. Acts xx 24, 2 Cor. vi 1, viii 9, Col. i 6 (with Lightfoot's poto)

note).

τινών προσθεμένων τω λόγω οὐ μικρόν ἐστι τὸ ὑπολειπόμενον μέρος, η μη βουληθέντος τοῦ θεοῦ πᾶσιν ἀφθόνως την εὐεργεσίαν νείμαι, η μη δυνηθέντος πάντως; ὧν οὐθέτερον καθαρεύει της μέμψεως. οὔτε γὰρ ἀβούλητον είναι τὸ ἀγαθὸν προσήκει τῶ θεῶ, οὕτε ἀδύνατον. εἰ οὖν 5 άγαθόν τι ή πίστις, διὰ τί, φασίν, οὐκ ἐπὶ πάντας ή χάρις; εἰ μὲν οὖν ταῦτα καὶ παρ' ἡμῶν ἐν τῷ λόγῷ κατεσκευάζετο, τὸ παρὰ τοῦ θείου βουλήματος ἀποκληροῦσθαι τοῖς ἀνθρώποις την πίστιν, των μέν καλουμένων, των δε λοιπων άμοιρούντων της κλήσεως, καιρον είχεν το τοιούτον έγ- 10

ι λειπομένον f || 2 πασαν l vulg || 3 νειμαί] είναι l vulg || 3-4 ων ουθ ετέρον dp vulg παντως ουν ου θατέρον  $f \parallel 5$  προσηκεί τ. α.  $f \parallel 6$  φησίν  $e \parallel$ 8 om  $\tau o$  f vulg  $\parallel q \tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \mid \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \mid \parallel 10 \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \chi \epsilon deghnp$ 

1.  $\pi ροσθεμένων$  'τυhile some attached themselves to the word? Λόγος is used as commonly in the N.T. of the preaching of the Gospel.

Cp. e.g. Acts iv 4.

2. η μη βουλ.] Such want of universality, it is urged, shewed either a lack of will, or a lack of power, on God's part. Compare with this whole section Butler's Analogy ii 6 'Of the want of Universality in Revelation.'

4. ἀβούλητον] 'not according to His will,' i.e. that God does not

will to do what is good.

7. ταῦτα] i.e. τὸ...ἀποκληροῦσθαι which follows. 'If, therefore, in our argument, we had taken up this position.' For κατασκευάζειν, used of the structure of an argument, cp. c. ι κατασκευάσει (note).

8.  $d\pi \circ \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\upsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$  'That faith is dealt out at haphazard, some being called and the rest having no part in the call.  $\Lambda \pi \circ \lambda \eta \circ \partial \nu = to$  assign by lot,' with the further thought of distribution at random, and not on a rational principle. Thus ἀποκληρωτικώs is contrasted by Origen with  $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega s$  and  $\dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega s$ , and co-ordinated with κατά συντυχίαν. See Philocal. (ed. Rob.) p. 210, and esp. p. 242 where he says φρονοῦσιν ώς ἄρα κατὰ ἀποκλήρωσιν ὁ θεὸς δν θέλει έλεει, δν δὲ θέλει σκληρύνει. This rendering is preferable to that of Hervetus (in the Latin version of 1573), who translates 'abdicaretur fides,' taking ἀποκληροῦν in the sense of 'exhaeredes facere.' Cp. Ar. Pol. vii 11.8 ἀποκληροῦν τοὺς πλείους, and the cognate word ἀπόκληρος. The idea of Gr. is that there is no exclusive or arbitrary bestowal of grace on particular classes. It is offered by God freely to all. In this denial of an un-scriptural form of the doctrine of election, and in his insistence on the freedom of the will, Gregory's language recalls that of Justin Martyr Apol. i 43 el yap είμαρται τόνδε τινά άγαθον είναι καί τόνδε φαθλον, οὐθ' οὐτος ἀπόδεκτος οὐδὲ ἐκεῖνος μεμπτέος. καὶ αὖ εἰ μὴ προαιρέσει έλευθέρα πρὸς τὸ φεύγειν τὰ αἰσχρὰ καὶ αἰρεῖσθαι τὰ καλὰ δύναμιν έχει τὸ ἀνθρώπειον γένος, ἀναίτιόν έστι τῶν ὁπωσδήποτε πραττομένων. For Gr.'s insistence on freewill see c. 7 (notes).

 καλουμένων] used in its N.T. sense, like  $\kappa\lambda\hat{\eta}\sigma\iota s$ , which follows, of the call to the knowledge of the

Gospel.

10.  $\epsilon l \chi \epsilon \nu$ ] The omission of  $\tilde{a}\nu$ (acc. to the best MSS) marks the

έπὶ πάντας ἡ κλησις, οὔτε ἀξίας, οὔτε ἡλικίας, οὔτε τὰς κατὰ τὰ ἔθνη διαφοράς διακρίνουσα διὰ τοῦτο γὰρ παρὰ την πρώτην άρχην τοῦ κηρύγματος ομόγλωσσοι πᾶσι ς τοις έθνεσιν οι διακονούντες τον λόγον έκ θείας έπιπνοίας άθρόως έγένοντο, ώς αν μηδείς της διδαχής των άγαθων αμοιρήσειεν πως αν ούν τις κατά τὸ εὔλογον έτι τὸν θεὸν αἰτιῶτο τοῦ μὴ πάντων ἐπικρατῆσαι τὸν λόγον; ό γὰρ τοῦ παντὸς τὴν έξουσίαν ἔχων δι' ὑπερβολὴν τῆς 10 είς τὸν ἄνθρωπον τιμής ἀφηκέ τι καὶ ὑπὸ τὴν ἡμετέραν έξουσίαν είναι, οὖ μόνος ἕκαστός ἐστι κύριος. τοῦτο δέ έστιν ή προαίρεσις, άδούλωτόν τι χρήμα καὶ αὐτεξούσιον, έν τη έλευθερία της διανοίας κείμενον. οὐκοῦν ἐπὶ τοὺς μη προσαχθέντας τη πίστει δικαιότερον αν το τοιούτον 15 έγκλημα μετατεθείη, οὐκ ἐπὶ τὸν κεκληκότα πρὸς συγκατάθεσιν. οὐδὲ γὰρ ἐπὶ τοῦ Πέτρου κατ' ἀρχὰς τὸν λόγον έν πολυανθρώπω των Ἰουδαίων έκκλησία κηρύξαντος, τρισχιλίων κατά ταὐτὸν παραδεξαμένων τὴν

4 om πρωτην e om του vulg 6 om αθροως d om της διδαχης vulg | 7 om ουν deg\*hlnp | 7-8 αιτιωτο τις l om τις deg\*hnp vulg |  $\epsilon \tau \iota \kappa$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $f \parallel \tau o \theta \epsilon \iota o \nu f \parallel \alpha \iota \tau \iota \omega \nu \tau o \text{ vulg} \parallel 15 \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \theta \epsilon \iota \eta e \parallel \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ 1\*vid vulg | 18 κατ αυτον d κατ αυτο f

certainty of the conclusion stated in the apodosis.

 ὁμότιμος See antea cc. 27, 28 (notes).

2.  $\mathring{a}\xi [as]$  'worth,' 'rank.' 3.  $\delta i\mathring{a}$   $\tau o\hat{v}\tau o]$  a parenthesis. The apodosis begins with  $\pi \hat{\omega}s$   $\mathring{a}v$ οὖν τις.

 4. ὁμόγλωσσοι] Acts ii 8—11.
 6. ἀθρόωs] 'all at once,' as contrasted with the gradual acquirement of a language.

ib. της διδαχ.] The gen. is dependent on τῶν ἀγαθῶν.

7.  $\pi \hat{\omega} s \hat{a} \nu o \hat{v} \nu \tau \iota s$  The text is in some confusion, as Tis has fallen out of several MSS, while f alone preserves oûv.

9.  $\dot{o} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau$ .  $\pi$ .] Another argu-

ment is now adduced to account for the want of universality in the spread of the Gospel. God respects man's free-will and leaves him free to accept or refuse grace.

10. τιμη̂s] 'by reason of the exceeding honour in which He held

12. ἀδούλωτόν τι χρ.] Cp. c. 5 της κατά τὸ ἀδέσποτον καὶ αὐτεξούσιον χάριτος.

15. συγκατάθεσιν Cp. c. 5 οὐκ έξω της των άντιλεγόντων συγκατα- $\theta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$  (note).

16. ἐπὶ τοῦ ΙΙ.] Acts ii 41.

17. ἐκκλησία] used here quite generally of a gathering of people. Cp. Acts xix 32.

πίστιν, πλείους ὄντες τῶν πεπιστευκότων οἱ ἀπειθήσαντες ἐμέμψαντο τὸν ἀπόστολον ἐφ' οἶς οὐκ ἐπείσθησαν. οὐδὲ γὰρ ἦν εἰκός, ἐν κοινῷ προτεθείσης τῆς χάριτος, τὸν ἑκουσίως ἀποφοιτήσαντα μὴ ἑαυτόν, ἀλλ' ἔτερον τῆς δυσκληρίας ἐπαιτιᾶσθαι.

31. 'Αλλ' οὐκ ἀποροῦσιν οὐδὲ πρὸς τὰ τοιαῦτα τῆς ἐριστικῆς ἀντιλογίας. λέγουσι γὰρ δύνασθαι τὸν θεόν, εἴπερ ἐβούλετο, καὶ τοὺς ἀντιτύπως ἔχοντας ἀναγκαστικῶς ἐφελκύσασθαι πρὸς τὴν παραδοχὴν τοῦ κηρύγματος. ποῦ τοίνυν ἐν τούτοις τὸ αὐτεξούσιον; ποῦ δὲ ἡ ἀρετή; ποῦ 10 δὲ τῶν κατορθούντων ὁ ἔπαινος; μόνων γὰρ τῶν ἀψύχων ἡ τῶν ἀλόγων ἐστὶ τῷ ἀλλοτρίῳ βουλήματι πρὸς τὸ δοκοῦν περιάγεσθαι. ἡ δὲ λογική τε καὶ νοερὰ φύσις, ἐὰν τὸ κατὶ ἐξουσίαν ἀπόθηται, καὶ τὴν χάριν τοῦ νοεροῦ συναπώλεσεν. εἰς τί γὰρ χρήσεται τῆ διανοία, τῆς τοῦ 15 προαιρεῖσθαί τι τῶν κατὰ γνώμην ἐξουσίας ἐφ' ἑτέρῳ κειμένης; εἰ δὲ ἄπρακτος ἡ προαίρεσις μείνειεν, ἡφάνισται

3 om  $\eta \nu$  d  $\parallel$   $\pi \rho \sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \eta s$  vulg 31.  $7 \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta s$  a are  $\tau \iota \kappa \eta s$  f  $\parallel$  8  $\epsilon \beta \sigma \upsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \sigma$  sic codd  $\parallel$  10  $\pi \sigma \upsilon$  d $\epsilon \eta$  are  $\tau \eta$  om vulg  $\parallel$  12  $\eta$ ]  $\kappa \alpha \iota$  f  $\parallel$  17  $\mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \iota \epsilon \nu$  l  $\mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \iota$  vulg

5. δυσκληρίαs] lit. 'ill luck.' The word is opposed to  $\lambda \hat{\eta} \xi is$ .

31. 'But,' it may be urged, 'why did not God compel belief?' This, Gr. replies, would have been to destroy free-will, and with free-will, virtue. Praise or blame in such a case would no longer be applicable to human actions. It is not God's goodness, then, but the disposition of the hearers, which is responsible for the fact that all have not received the faith.

6-7. τῆς ἐριστ. ἀντ.] Τῆς would be represented in English by the indefinite article, 'a captious reply.' Ἐριστικῆς denotes that the opponents reply from a mere love of disputing, without having any serious arguments to put forward. See Plato's definition of τὸ ἐριστικόν Soph. 225

C sq. There is also a disparaging reference in ἀντιλογίας.

8. ἀναγκαστικῶs] opp. to συμβουλευτικῶs. Cp. Plato Legg. 930 Β συμβουλευτικὸs ἂν εἴη νόμος...οὐκ ἀναγκαστικόs.

11. κατορθούντων] intransitive, 'those who succeed.' Gr. is thinking, of course, of moral success, a sense of the word which Stoicism had brought into common use.

13. ή δὲ λογ.] Cp. antea c. 8 ή

νοερά φύσις.

14.  $\alpha\pi b\theta\eta\tau\alpha\iota$ ] 'if it puts aside its freedom, it loses at the same time its privilege of belonging to the intellectual order.' Cp. Gr.'s treatment of free-will in cc. 5, 7.

15.  $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a \nu o l a$ ] See note on  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ 

διανοητικήν δύναμιν с. 6.

κατ' ἀνάγκην ή ἀρετή, τῆ ἀκινησία τῆς προαιρέσεως ἐμπεδηθεῖσα· ἀρετῆς δὲ μὴ οὕσης, ὁ βίος ἠτίμωται, ἀφήρηται τῶν κατορθούντων ὁ ἔπαινος, ἀκίνδυνος ἡ ἁμαρτία, ἄκριτος ἡ κατὰ τὸν βίον διαφορά. τίς γὰρ ἂν ἔτι κατὰ τὸ εὔλογον 5 ἢ διαβάλλοι τὸν ἀκόλαστον ἢ ἐπαινοίη τὸν σώφρονα; ταύτης κατὰ τὸ πρόχειρον οὕσης ἐκάστω τῆς ἀποκρίσεως, τὸ μηδὲν ἐφ' ἡμῖν τῶν κατὰ γνώμην εἶναι, δυναστεία δὲ κρείττονι τὰς ἀνθρωπίνας προαιρέσεις πρὸς τὸ τῷ κρατοῦντι δοκοῦν περιάγεσθαι. οὐκοῦν οὐ τῆς ἀγαθότητος 10 τοῦ θεοῦ τὸ ἔγκλημα, τὸ μὴ πᾶσιν ἐγγενέσθαι τὴν πίστιν, ἀλλὰ τῆς διαθέσεως τῶν δεχομένων τὸ κήρυγμα.

32. Τί πρὸς τούτοις ἔτι παρὰ τῶν ἀντιλεγόντων προφέρεται; τὸ μάλιστα μὲν μηδὲ ὅλως δεῖν εἰς θανάτου

1 εμποδισθείσα vulg  $\parallel$  2 ητιμωταί]+ και καθ ειμαρμένην χωρεί ο λογος l vulg  $\parallel$  3 ακινδυνός] ανικητός vulg  $\parallel$  5 διαβαλοί hp 32. 13 om το f  $\parallel$  μη vulg

2.  $\delta$   $\beta$ los] 'life there and then loses its honour.' The perfects  $\dot{\eta}\phi\dot{d}$ - $\nu$ ista,  $\dot{\eta}\tau\dot{l}\nu\omega\tau a$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\phi\dot{\eta}\rho\eta\tau a$  imply that the result follows immediately. For this use of  $\beta$ los cp. antea cc. 8, 30 (notes). After  $\dot{\eta}\tau\dot{l}\nu\omega\tau a$ ! And the Paris edd. have the gloss  $\kappa a$ !  $\kappa a\theta$ ' elmapué $\nu\eta\nu$   $\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\hat{l}$   $\dot{o}$   $\lambda\dot{o}\gamma\sigma$ s, 'reason moves in accordance with fate.'

3. ἀκίνδυνος] 'sin may be in-

dulged in with impunity.'

ib. ἄκριτος] 'and all difference with regard to the manner of life becomes no longer discernible,' i.e. the distinctions between a good and a bad life no longer exist.

10. ἔγκλημα] It is not God's goodness, but the disposition of the hearers, which is responsible for the fact that all have not received the

Gospel.

32. Another ground of objection is the death of Christ, or, if not the death, at least the shame attending it. But without such death our Lord's assumption of human nature would have been incomplete. The death again was necessary in order

that man might be delivered from death. By dying Christ stretched out His hand to fallen man to raise him up to life. The union which Christ has effected with mankind enables us to share in His resurrection. The death upon the Cross has a mystic meaning, and reveals His Divine nature no less than His humanity. The projecting arms of the Cross witness openly to our eyes the fact that Christ binds all Creation to Himself, and brings all things into harmony. His Death was followed by His Resurrection and Ascension, which bore unmistakeable testimony to His Divine power.

13. τὸ μάλιστα μέν] The corresponding clause is introduced by εἰ δὲ καί below. The objection is first stated in a more sweeping form. Gr.'s opponent protests against the introduction of death in any form into the plan of redemption. The clause τ ο....μηδὲ...δεῖν answers to τℓ, and is paralleled below by το μ̂μ...

καθυβρίσθηναι.

πείραν έλθειν την ύπερέχουσαν φύσιν, άλλα και δίγα τούτου τη περιουσία της δυνάμεως δύνασθαι αν μετά ραστώνης τὸ δοκοῦν κατεργάσασθαι, εἰ δὲ καὶ πάντως έδει τοῦτο γενέσθαι κατά τινα λόγον ἀπόρρητον, ἀλλ' οὖν τὸ μὴ τῶ ἀτίμω τρόπω τοῦ θανάτου καθυβρισθῆναι, τίς 5 γὰρ ἂν γένοιτο, φησί, τοῦ διὰ σταυροῦ θάνατος ἀτιμότερος; τί οὖν καὶ πρὸς ταῦτά φαμεν; ὅτι τὸν θάνατον μὲν ἀναγκαΐον ή γένεσις ἀπεργάζεται. τὸν γὰρ ἄπαξ μετασχεῖν έγνωκότα της ανθρωπότητος δια πάντων έδει γενέσθαι των ίδιωμάτων της φύσεως. εί τοίνυν δύο πέρασι της άνθρω- 10 πίνης ζωής διειλημμένης έν τω ένὶ γενόμενος τοῦ ἐφεξής μη προσήψατο, ημιτελής αν η πρόθεσις ἔμεινε τοῦ έτέρου τῶν τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἰδιωμάτων οὐχ άψαμένου. τάχα δ' ἄν τις δι' ἀκριβείας καταμαθών τὸ μυστήριον εὐλογώτερον είποι μή διὰ τὴν γένεσιν συμβεβηκέναι τὸν θάνατον, 15 άλλα το έμπαλιν του θανάτου χάριν παραληφθήναι την

 $_4$  om  $_{\gamma}$ ενεσθαι  $_1$ \* vulg  $_\parallel$  5 τω μη ατιμω  $_2$  μη τω ατιμω vulg  $_\parallel$ 6 om γαρ e  $\parallel$  8 κατεργαζεται l vulg  $\parallel$  12 εμενε dg\*hnp γεγονε e  $\parallel$  13 om των 1 vulg || ιδιωματος 1 vulg || 14 μαθων f

2. μετὰ ραστώνης] 'with ease,' i.e. without submission to suffering.

3.  $\epsilon i \ \delta \epsilon \ \kappa \alpha i$ ] A modified form of the preceding objection. If for some inscrutable reason (κατά τινα λόγον ἀπόρρητον) it was necessary that Christ should die, the shameful manner of His death might in any

case have been avoided.

7. τὸν θάνατον μέν] Gr. gives two answers to the objection against the introduction of death into the Divine plan. The first is introduced by  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  in the present clause. The second follows in the clause beginning τάχα δ' ἄν τις. The complete assumption of human nature rendered the death necessary. A still stronger necessity for it was to be found in man's need of deliverance from death. The particular manner of the death, i.e. Crucifixion, he justifies below in the passage beginning ὁ δὲ σταυρός. With Gregory's treatment of the whole question cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 21-25.

10. *ἰδιωμάτων*] Cp. c. 26 p. 101

(note) and c. 27 init.

ib. δύο πέρασι] Cp. c. 27. 11.  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  ένί] i.e. birth. Τοῦ έφ. i.e. death.

ημιτελής] 'but half complete.' 12 With άψαμένου we must supply αὐτοῦ.

13. τάχα δ' ἄν τις A still stronger argument  $(\epsilon \vec{v} \lambda o \gamma \acute{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu)$ . The death was necessary to deliver man from death.

14.  $\delta\iota'$  åκριβείας] A more exact knowledge of the revelation shews a deeper significance in the Death of Christ. Τὸ μυστήριον is here used in a general sense for the Christian revelation.

γένεσιν· οὐ γὰρ τοῦ ζῆσαι δεόμενος ὁ ἀεὶ ὢν τὴν σωματικὴν ὑποδύεται γένεσιν, ἀλλ' ἡμᾶς ἐπὶ τὴν ζωὴν ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου ἀνακαλούμενος. ἐπεὶ οὖν ὅλης ἔδει γενέσθαι τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν τὴν ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου πάλιν ἐπάνοδον, οἰονεὶ 5 χεῖρα τῷ κειμένῳ ὀρέγων διὰ τοῦτο πρὸς τὸ ἡμέτερον ἐπικύψας πτῶμα, τοσοῦτον τῷ θανάτῳ προσήγγισεν, ὅσον τῆς νεκρότητος ἄψασθαι καὶ ἀρχὴν δοῦναι τῆ φύσει τῆς ἀναστάσεως τῷ ἰδίφ σώματι, ὅλον τῆ δυνάμει συναναστήσας τὸν ἄνθρωπον. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ οὐκ ἄλλοθεν, ἀλλ' ἐκ

Ι ων] ζων fl vulg  $\parallel$  2 γεννησιν fl vulg  $\parallel$  3 ολως fl¹  $\parallel$  εδει γενεσθαι ολης  $1^*$  vid vulg  $\parallel$  4 οπ την vulg  $\parallel$  5 ορεγων]+και  $e1^*$  vid vulg  $\parallel$  7 απτεσθαι dghnp τη νεκροτητι προσαψασθαι  $e \parallel$  την φυσιν f vulg  $\parallel$  8-9 συναν. τ. αν. τ. δυναμει 1 vulg  $\parallel$  9 αλλαχοθεν f  $\parallel$  10 ο...ανθρωπος] η...σαρξ  $1^*$  vid vulg Thdrtsirm  $\parallel$  η...συνεπαρθεισα  $1^*$  vid vulg Thdrtsirm

1.  $\zeta \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ ] The force of the agrist is 'to enter into life.' Cp. Ign. Rom. 6  $\mu \dot{\eta} = \epsilon \mu \pi c \delta l \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon' \mu a l \zeta \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ .

ið. ὁ ἀεὶ ἄν] Cp. c. 25 τοῦ γὰρ ὅντος ἐξῆπται τὰ ὅντα. The Mss, however, are divided in the present passage between ζῶν and ἄν. The reading ζῶν may be due to the tendency of the group fl to paraphrase the text. Fronto Ducaeus thinks that the phrase ὁ ἀεὶ ζῶν may contain a reference to Heb. vii 25, but this is very improbable. Krab., following the Latin Version of the Paris edd., renders 'aeternus,' though he retains ζῶν in his text. For the phrase ὁ ἀεὶ ἄν cp. Ex. iii 14, Ps. lxxxix (xc) 2, Rev. i. 8.

πτῶμα] Krab. rightly translates 'cadaver,' following Hervetus.
 Fronto Ducaeus, however, suggests 'lapsum,' in view of κύπτει πρὸς τὸν

πεπτωκότα below.

7. νεκρότητοs] Cp. c. 8 init. τὸ τὸν βίον ἡμῶν τῆ νεκρότητι σβέννυσθαι and ibid. ἡ νεκρότης...περιετέθη τῆ εἰs ἀθανασίαν κτισθείση φύσει. 'Our state of death.'

ib. ἄψασθαι] Cp. c. 15 θεὸν ἀνθρωπίνης ἄψασθαι φύσεως (note). The inf. is consecutive after τοσοῦτον.

8-9. ὅλον...τὸν ἄνθ.] 'the whole man,' i.e. body as well as soul.

9. ἐπειδὴ γάρ] The passage which follows as far as ἀνορθῶσαι τὸν κείμενον is reproduced in Theodoret Dial. iii (Impatibilis), p. 300 (Migne).

10. φυράματος] The use of this word, which lit. means 'a lump of clay' or 'dough,' is derived from such passages as Rom. ix 21, xi 16, I Cor. v 7. Cp. Numb. xv 19, 20. It is used by patristic writers to denote 'the human body,' 'human nature,' 'the lump of humanity.' Cp. Gen. ii 7.

ib. ὁ θεοδόχος ἄνθρωπος] This inexact language might seem to indicate that the humanity of Christ was itself a personal subject. In later times, when Nestorianism had arisen, such language would have been avoided. The expression has been altered in the text of l and the Paris edd. into  $\dot{\eta}$  θεοδόχος σάρξ. For simi-

της ἀναστάσεως συνεπαρθεὶς τῆ θεότητι, ὅσπερ ἐπὶ τοῦ καθ' ἡμᾶς σώματος ἡ τοῦ ἑνὸς τῶν αἰσθητηρίων ἐνέργεια πρὸς ἄπαν τὴν συναίσθησιν ἄγει τὸ ἡνωμένον τῷ μέρει, οὕτως, καθάπερ ἑνός τινος ὄντος ζώου πάσης τῆς φύσεως, ἡ τοῦ μέρους ἀνάστασις ἐπὶ τὸ πᾶν διεξέρχεται, κατὰ τὸ 5 συνεχές τε καὶ ἡνωμένον τῆς φύσεως ἐκ τοῦ μέρους ἐπὶ τὸ ὅλον συνεκδιδομένη. τί οὖν ἔξω τοῦ εἰκότος ἐν τῷ μυστηρίῳ μανθάνομεν, εἰ κύπτει πρὸς τὸν πεπτωκότα ὁ ἐστὼς ἐπὶ τὸ ἀνορθῶσαι τὸν κείμενον; ὁ δὲ σταυρὸς εἰ μέν τινα καὶ ἔτερον περιέχει λόγον βαθύτερον, εἰδεῖεν 10 ἂν οἱ τῶν κρυπτῶν ἐπιίστορες. ὁ δὸ οὖν εἰς ἡμᾶς ἐκ

3 απαν] πασαν deghnp Thdrtrom  $\parallel$  αισθησιν f  $\parallel$  το] τον Thdrt  $\parallel$  4 τινος ενος l om ενος vulg  $\parallel$  5 του] εκ του Thdrtrom: desunt folia nonnulla in g  $\parallel$  om κατα Thdrtrom  $\parallel$  7 συνδιδομενης f συνδιδομενη l vulg συνδιδομενου Thdrt  $\parallel$  7–8 εκ του μυστηριου d μυστηριου Thdrtrom  $\parallel$  9 επι το] επι τω ef  $\parallel$  ανορθ.] αναστησαι l vulg  $\parallel$  10 ει μεν] ημιν l ει μη vulg  $\parallel$  ειδοιεν f vulg  $\parallel$  11 κρυπτομενων l vulg  $\parallel$  επιστορες l\*vid vulg

lar language see Greg. Naz. Or. xxix 19, xxx 2, with Dr Mason's notes.

1. ωσπερ επὶ τ.] 'just as the action of one of the organs of sense communicates a common sensation to the whole which is united with the particular member.' The Mss are divided between απαν and πασαν. The latter reading is found in the Roman edition of Theodoret. But the alteration of απαν into πασαν before συναlσθησων is a natural one for scribes to make. For the idea cp. 1 Cor. xii 26, 27.

4. καθάπερ ένδς τινος] 'as though the whole of human nature were one living being.' Τῆς φύσεως here refers specially to human nature, but the whole idea is based on the Platonic view of the Universe as ζῶρν ἔμψυχου. See Plato Tim. 30, and esp. 69 C πῶν τόδε ξυνεστήσατο, ζῶρον ἔν ζῷα ἔχον ἄπαντα ἐν αὐτῷ θνητὰ ἀθάνατά τε. Similarly Synes. Calv. Enc. p. 71 D ἔδει γάρ, οἶμαι, εἶναι τὸν.

κόσμον ζφον ἐκ ζφων συγκείμενον. For Plotinus' view see Ritter and Preller Hist. of A. Philosophy, vol. iv p. 581 ff., and, for the Stoic conception of συμπάθεια with regard to the Universe, Zeller Stoics, Epicureans and Sceptics pp. 183 ff.

5. τοῦ μέρους] 'the particular member' i.e. Christ, whose Resurcection, by virtue of the συμπάθεια of humanity, becomes a principle of life for the race.

7. συνεκδιδομένη] 'being imparted from the member to the whole, by reason of the continuity and unity of the race'.

 δ δὲ σταυρός] Gr. now passes on to give a second reason for the manner of the death of Christ.

10. εἰ μέν τινα καὶ ἔτερον] Gr. does not profess to exhaust the mystical teaching of the Cross in what he is about to say. It may have some other deeper meaning, for those who are 'versed in mystical

παραδόσεως ήκει, τοιοῦτόν ἐστιν. ἐπειδὴ πάντα κατὰ τον ύψηλότερον τε καὶ θειότερον λόγον έν τῶ εὐαγγελίω καὶ εἴρηται καὶ γεγένηται, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν ὅ τι μὴ τοιοῦτόν έστιν, δ ούχὶ πάντως μίξις τις έμφαίνεται τοῦ θείου ς πρὸς τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, τῆς μὲν φωνῆς ἢ τῆς πράξεως ἀνθρωπικώς διεξαγομένης, τοῦ δὲ κατὰ τὸ κρυπτὸν νοουμένου τὸ θεῖον ἐμφαίνοντος, ἀκόλουθον ἂν εἴη καὶ ἐν τῷ μέρει τούτω μη το μεν βλέπειν, παροράν δε το έτερον, άλλ' έν μεν τῷ θανάτῷ καθορᾶν τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, ἐν δὲ τῷ τρόπω 10 πολυπραγμονείν τὸ θειότερον. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ἴδιόν ἐστι τῆς θεότητος τὸ διὰ πάντων ήκειν καὶ τῆ φύσει τῶν ὄντων κατὰ πᾶν μέρος συμπαρεκτείνεσθαι οὐ γὰρ ἄν τι διαμένοι

2  $\lambda \circ \gamma \circ \nu$  |  $\beta \circ \nu$  |  $1^* \circ \iota$  vulg ||  $\epsilon \nu \tau \omega \epsilon \nu \alpha \gamma \gamma$ .] om f || 3  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau \iota$  || p || o  $\mu \eta$  $\mathbf{p}$  ουδεν τοιουτον εστιν vulg  $\parallel$  4 ο] ω defhl $^{1}$ np εν ω vulg  $\parallel$  φαινεται e  $\parallel$ 8  $\delta\epsilon$ ]  $\delta\iota\alpha$  1\* || 0  $\theta\alpha\nu\alpha\tau\omega$ ]  $\alpha\theta\alpha\nu\alpha\tau\omega$  Therefore ||  $\tau\rho\sigma\pi\omega$ ]  $\alpha\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\omega$  vulg Thdrtsirm σκοπω Thdrtrom

teaching.'  $K\rho\nu\pi\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$  i.e. the hidden sense of Scripture. He is referring to the allegorical method of interpretation, which was so marked a feature of the school of Origen. Gr. claims that his own exposition in the following passage is έκ παραδόσεως, i.e. an interpretation which had become traditional among the churches. See notes below.  $E\pi i (\sigma \tau \omega \rho - a)$ poetical word, 'conversant with,' 'practised in.'

 κατὰ τ. ὑψ.] Gr. here expounds the principle on which the allegorical interpretation rests. All words and events (καὶ εἴρηται καὶ γεγένηται) in the Gospel have 'a higher and more divine meaning' than that which lies upon the surface. There is a mixture of the 'human' and 'divine element' in Scripture. Cp. Origen in Lev. Hom. v, and see Bigg Christian Platonists pp. 136 foll.

6. διεξαγομένης] Cp. διεξάγεται c. 28 (note).

ib.  $\tau \circ \hat{v} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \kappa$ .  $\tau$ .  $\kappa \rho v \pi \tau \delta v$ ] ' $\tau v hile$ the mystical sense manifests the divine element.

7. ἀκόλουθον κ.τ.λ.] These words as far as πολυπραγμονείν το θειότερον are quoted by Theodoret Dial. iii (Impatibilis) p. 300 (Migne) with reference to the two natures in Christ. There are however considerable variations in the text of the passage as it appears in the edd. of Theodoret, Sirmond reading άθανάτω for θανάτω, and άνθρώπω for τρόπω.

ib.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\varphi}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon\iota$   $\tau o\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\varphi}$ ] 'in this part also,' i.e. in regard to the death of Christ, no less than the other events of His life.

10.  $\pi \circ \lambda \upsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \circ \nu \in \widehat{\iota} \nu$  Cp. c. 10 πολυπραγμοσύνης (note).

ib.  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$  The apodosis begins with τοῦτο διὰ τοῦ σταυροῦ.

12. συμπαρεκτείνεσθαι] throughout the nature of existing things in every part.' Διαμονή, 'duration,' 'continuance.'

έν τῶ εἶναι, μὴ ἐν τῷ ὄντι μένον· τὸ δὲ κυρίως καὶ πρώτως ον ή θεία φύσις έστίν, ην έξ ανάγκης πιστεύειν έν πασιν είναι τοις οὐσιν ή διαμονή των όντων καταναγκάζει τουτο διὰ τοῦ σταυροῦ διδασκόμεθα, τετραχη τοῦ κατ' αὐτὸν σχήματος διηρημένου, ώς έκ τοῦ μέσου, καθ' ὁ πρὸς έαυτὸν 5 συνάπτεται, τέσσαρας άριθμεῖσθαι τὰς προβολάς, ὅτι ὁ έπὶ τούτου ἐν τῷ καιρῷ τῆς κατὰ τὸν θάνατον οἰκονομίας διαταθείς ό τὸ πᾶν πρὸς έαυτὸν συνδέων τε καὶ συναρμόζων ἐστί, τὰς διαφόρους τῶν ὄντων φύσεις πρὸς μίαν σύμπνοιάν τε καὶ άρμονίαν δι' έαυτοῦ συνάγων. ἐν γὰρ 10 τοίς οὖσιν ἢ ἄνω τι νοείται, ἢ κάτω, ἢ πρὸς τὰ κατὰ τὸ πλάγιον πέρατα διαβαίνει ή έννοια. αν τοίνυν λογίση

6 προσβολας h | 7 τουτω f | om τω d | 8 εαυτω  $l^{*vid}$  vulg | συνδεων] συνδεσμων df || 0 om εστι vulg || 12 τα πλαγια e vulg προς τα πλαγια περατα

3. τοῦτο διὰ τοῦ σταυροῦ] Similarly Ath. de Inc. c. 25 says that Christ spread out His hands upon the Cross to draw to Him, and unite in one, both Jew and Gentile. Cp. Lactantius Divin, Instit. iv 26 (Migne, p. 507) Extendit ergo in passione manus suas orbemque dimensus est ut iam tunc ostenderet ab ortu solis usque ad occasum magnum populum ex omnibus linguis et tribubus congregatum sub alas suas venturum. Gr. presents the same thought in a fine passage in Christi Resurr. Or. i pp. 621 ff. (Migne). Cp. also contra Eunom. v p. 696 (Migne). 4.  $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \chi \hat{\eta}$ ] 'seeing that its

figure is divided into four parts.'

5. ωs έκ τοῦ μέσου] 'so that from the centre, where the whole converges, the projections are four in number.' Hpo3odás refers of course to the four divisions of the Cross diverging from the centre.

6.  $[6\tau\iota]$  resumes the  $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \circ$ .

7.  $\tau \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\omega}$ ] i.e. the hour when he carried out the plan of redemption by the death on the Cross.

ib. της κ. τ. θάνατον οίκ.] Cp. c. Eunom. v p. 708 (Migne), where the same phrase occurs. Similarly Gr. speaks of h κατά τὸ πάθος οίκ. and ή κατά τὸν σταυρὸν οίκ. 'The dispensation of His death.' Οἰκονομία is here used of the 'plan' of redemption. See c. 5 την κατ' ἄνθρωπον οἰκονομίαν (note).

11. τὰ κατὰ τὸ π. πέρατα] 'the

boundaries on either side.

12. διαβαίνει ή έννοια] 'thought passes over to.' For the idea cp. in Chr. Resurr. Or. i l.c. 'Aνάβλεψον γὰρ εἰς τὸν οὐρανόν, καὶ τὰ κάτω βάθη τῷ λογισμῷ κατανόησον, έκτεινον έπὶ τὰ πλάγια καὶ τὰ ἄκρα της του παντός συστάσεως την διάνοιαν, καὶ λόγισαι τίς ἐστιν ἡ ταῦτα συνέχουσα δύναμις, οξόν τις σύνδεσμος τοῦ παντὸς γινομένη. καὶ ὅψει ὡς αὐτομάτως ἐν τῆ διανοία ἡ περὶ τῆς θείας δυνάμεως έννοια τὸ σχημα τοῦ σταυροῦ ἐγχαράσσεται. For the attempt to find the symbolism of the Cross in nature see Methodius adv. Porphyrium c. 1 (ed. Bonw. p. 346).

τῶν ἐπουρανίων ἢ τῶν ὑποχθονίων ἢ τῶν καθ' ἐκάτερον τοῦ παντὸς περάτων τὴν σύστασιν, πανταχοῦ τῷ λογισμῷ σου προαπαντά ή θεότης, μόνη κατά πάν μέρος τοῖς οὖσιν ένθεωρουμένη καὶ έν τῶ εἶναι τὰ πάντα συνέχουσα. εἴτε

- 5 δη θεότητα την φύσιν ταύτην ονομάζεσθαι χρη είτε λόγον εἴτε δύναμιν εἴτε σοφίαν εἴτε ἄλλο τι τῶν ὑψηλῶν τε καὶ μάλλον ενδείξασθαι δυναμένων το υπερκείμενον, ουδεν ο λόγος ήμων περί φωνής η ονόματος η τύπου ρημάτων διαφέρεται. ἐπεὶ οὖν πᾶσα πρὸς αὐτὸν ή κτίσις βλέπει,
- 10 καὶ περὶ αὐτόν ἐστι, καὶ δι' ἐκείνου πρὸς ἑαυτὴν συμφυὴς γίνεται, των ἄνω τοῖς κάτω και των πλαγίων προς ἄλληλα δι' ἐκείνου συμφυομένων, ἔδει μὴ μόνον δι' ἀκοῆς ήμᾶς πρὸς την της θεότητος κατανόησιν χειραγωγείσθαι, άλλά καί την όψιν γενέσθαι των ύψηλοτέρων νοημάτων διδάσκαλον,
- 15 όθεν καὶ ὁ μέγας ὁρμηθεὶς Παῦλος μυσταγωγεῖ τὸν ἐν Εφέσω λαόν, δύναμιν αὐτοῖς ἐντιθεὶς διὰ τῆς διδασκαλίας πρὸς τὸ γνῶναι τί ἐστι τὸ βάθος καὶ τὸ ὕψος, τό τε πλάτος καὶ τὸ μῆκος. έκάστην γὰρ τοῦ σταυροῦ προβολὴν ἰδίω

4 θεωρουμενη f  $[5, \delta\eta]$  δε dehnp [6, 6] om  $\tau \iota e [7, \tau ον υπερκ. el*vid]$ vulg | 9 προς αυτον πασα l vulg | 17 το υψος om το dhnp | 18 το μηκος] om το f | προσβολην f συμπροβολην 1\* vid vulg

3. προαπαντά] 'everywhere your thought is preceded and met by the presence of Deity.' Cp. Ps. cxxxviii [cxxxix] 8-10.

7. τὸ ὑπερκ.] Cp. prol. εἶναί τινα δύναμιν την...τοῦ παντὸς ὑπερκειμένην.

8. φωνης κ.τ.λ.] 'expression or

title or form of phrase. 10. περὶ αὐτόν] 'about Him,' i.e. by reason of the Divine immanence.

ib. συμφυήs] lit. 'grown together with.' 'And through Him acquires union throughout, the parts above being, through Him, connected with those below, and the opposite sides with one another.'

13. άλλὰ καὶ τὴν ὅψιν] The Cross teaches 'sublime thoughts' by the spectacle which it offers to the eves.

15. őθεν] St Paul 'starts from ' the spectacle of the Cross with its four projections. Eph. iii 18. Gr. has the same application in in Chr. Resurr. Or. i p. 624 (Migne). Cp. Iren. v 17. 4; Rufinus Comm. in Symb. Apost. § 14; Aug. de Doctr. Chr. ii 41 (62).

ib. μυσταγωγεί] 'initiates.' Cp. Ign. Eph. 12 Παύλου συμμύσται τοῦ ηγιασμένου. For the Christian application of terms which were used of the heathen mysteries see Phil. iv 12, 1 Cor. ii 7 &c. Cp. also Wisd. viii 4. See further note on  $\mu\nu\eta\theta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\sigma\iota$  c. 33.

ρήματι κατονομάζει, ύψος μεν το ύπερέχον, βάθος δε το ύποκείμενον, πλάτος τε καὶ μῆκος τὰς πλαγίας ἐκτάσεις λέγων. καὶ σαφέστερον έτέρωθι τὸ τοιοῦτον νόημα πρὸς Φιλιππησίους, οἶμαι, ποιεί οἷς φησὶν ὅτι Ἐν τῷ ὀνόματι 'Ιησοῦ Χριστοῦ πᾶν γόνυ κάμψει ἐπουρανίων καὶ ἐπιγείων 5 καὶ καταχθονίων. ἐνταῦθα τὴν μέσην κεραίαν μιᾶ προσηγορία διαλαμβάνει, πᾶν τὸ διὰ μέσου τῶν ἐπουρανίων καὶ ὑπογθονίων ὀνομάσας ἐπίγειον. τοῦτο μεμαθήκαμεν περὶ τοῦ σταυροῦ τὸ μυστήριον. τὰ δὲ ἀπὸ τούτου τοιαῦτα κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον περιέχει ὁ λόγος, ὡς ὁμολογεῖσθαι 10 καὶ παρὰ τῶν ἀπίστων μηδὲν ἀλλότριον εἶναι τῆς θεοπρεπους υπολήψεως, τὸ γὰρ μὴ ἐμμεῖναι τῷ θανάτω, καὶ τὰς διὰ τοῦ σιδήρου κατὰ τοῦ σώματος γενομένας πληγάς μηδεν έμπόδιον προς το είναι ποιήσασθαι, κατ' έξουσίαν τε φαίνεσθαι μετά την ανάστασιν τοῖς μαθηταῖς, ὅτε 15 βούλοιτο παρείναι τε αὐτοῖς μη ὁρώμενον καὶ ἐν μέσω

6 κεραιαν] καιρεαν  $1^{*vid}$  και γαιαν vulg  $\parallel$  7 καταλαμβανει  $1^{*vid}$  vulg λαμβανει  $h \parallel$  14 ειναι] αναστηναι 1 vulg  $\parallel$  15 τοις μαθ. μ. τ. α. 1 vulg

2. τὰς πλαγίας ἐκτάσεις] 'the extensions on each side,' i.e. the two horizontal arms of the Cross.

3. ἐτέρωθι] Phil. ii 10.

6. τὴν μέσην κεραίαν] 'the central cross-beam.' Κεραία is used of the 'yard-arm' of a ship. Here it refers to the transverse beam which divides the upright beam of the Cross; hence it is called ἡ μέση κεραία. Cp. πᾶν τὸ διὰ μέσου τῶν επουρανίων καὶ ὑποχθονίων below. Gr. uses language closely resembling this in the passage already cited from c. Ευπονη. v p. 696 (Migne) where he says τὴν δὲ ἐγκάρσιον καθ' ἐκάτερον κεραίαν τῷ τοῦ μήκους τε καὶ πλάτους ὀνόματι διασημαίνων.

ib.  $\mu$ ι $\hat{\alpha}$  προσηγορί $\alpha$ ] refers to  $\hat{\epsilon}$ πιγείων, which includes acc. to Gr. both πλάτος and  $\mu$  $\hat{\eta}$ κος.

τὰ δὲ ἀπὸ τούτου] The words
 τοιαῦτα κατὰ τὸ ἀκόλουθον form a

kind of secondary predicate. 'The events which follow, as contained in the account, are so consistent in character, that even unbelievers &c.' Κατὰ τὸ ἀκ. i.e. consistent with the character of a Divine Being.

10. ώs] depends upon  $\tau$ οιαθτα.

11. θεοπρεποθε ύπολ.] Cp. c. 10

τὴν θεοπρεπή διάνοιαν and c. 24 δεί  $\gamma$ άρ διὰ πάντων τὸ θείον ἐν ταῖς πρεπούσαις ὑπολήψεσιν είναι,

13. σιδήρου] Jn xix 34 [Mt.

xxvii 49].

14. πρὸς τὸ εἴναι] 'offered no impediment to his existence.' Gr. is thinking of the Resurrection life. The best MSS read εἶναι, while l and the Paris edd. have ἀναστῆναι, which is obviously a conjectural emendation.

16.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ὁρώμενον] prob. a reference to Lk. xxiv 36, where the phrase  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\phi}$   $\alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\dot{\omega}\nu$  is also found.

γίγνεσθαι, μηδέν της εἰσόδου της διὰ τῶν θυρῶν προσδεόμενον, ενισχύειν τε τους μαθητάς τη προσφυσήσει του πνεύματος, ἐπαγγέλλεσθαί τε καὶ τὸ μετ' αὐτῶν εἶναι, καὶ μηδενὶ μέσω διατειχίζεσθαι, καὶ τῷ μὲν φαινομένω πρὸς 5 τον οὐρανον ἀνιέναι, τῷ δὲ νοουμένω πανταχοῦ εἶναι, καὶ όσα τοιαθτα περιέχει ή ίστορία, οὐδεν τῆς ἐκ τῶν λογισμῶν συμμαχίας προσδέεται πρὸς τὸ θεῖά τε εἶναι καὶ τῆς ὑψηλης καὶ ὑπερεχούσης δυνάμεως. περὶ ὧν οὐδεν οἶμαι δεῖν καθ΄ έκαστον διεξιέναι, αὐτόθεν τοῦ λόγου τὸ ὑπὲρ τὴν 10 φύσιν εμφαίνοντος. άλλ' επειδή μέρος τι τῶν μυστικῶν διδαγμάτων καὶ ή κατά τὸ λουτρόν ἐστιν οἰκονομία, ὁ εἴτε βάπτισμα είτε φώτισμα είτε παλιγγενεσίαν βούλοιτό τις ονομάζειν, οὐδεν πρὸς την ονομασίαν διαφερόμεθα, καλῶς αν έχοι καὶ περὶ τούτου βραχέα διεξελθεῖν.

1 μηδεν μηδε fl vulg  $\parallel$  6 λογισμων λογων d  $\parallel$  8 om δειν e  $\parallel$ l vulg || 12 ειτε φωτισμα om e\*

εἰσόδου] Jn xx 19.

 προσφυσήσει] Jn xx 22.
 τὸ μετ' αὐτῶν εἶναι] Mt. xxviii
 The present inf. εἶναι reproduces the eiui of the Evangelist.

4. μηδενί μέσω] Cp. c. 6 πολλώ

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \text{ (note)}.$ 

4-5.  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$   $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$   $\phi \alpha i \nu o \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \psi ... \tau \hat{\varphi}$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ νοουμένω] 'to the eye...to the mind.'

5. ἀνιέναι] Acts i Q.

10. άλλ' ἐπειδή] Here Gr. passes on to the subject of the next section of his treatise, in which he deals with baptism. The whole of the following passage, as far as  $\tau \delta \epsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ μένον οὐκ ἀμφιβάλλοντες in c. 34, is quoted in Euth. Zig. Pan. Dogm. tit. xxv pp. 1252 ff. (Migne).

ib. μυστικών δ.] 'a part of the doctrines of revelation.' Μυστικός refers to the earlier sense of μυστήριον, 'a revealed truth of the Christian religion.' Cp. c. 9  $\tau \delta$   $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \rho \nu \tau \dot{\eta} s$   $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon l a s$ . There is no reference here to the other sense of  $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\eta\rho\iota\sigma\nu = 'a$  sacramental rite,' as sacraments have not yet been mentioned, and the καί before ή κατά τὸ λουτρόν κ.τ.λ. definitely connects what Gr. says with what precedes, i.e. the μυστήρια of the Incarnation and the Cross.

11. ἡ κατὰ τὸ λ....οἰκ.] 'the dispensation of the washing.' Cp. Eph. v 26, Tit. iii 5, the latter of which passages supplies also the word

παλιγγενεσία.

12. φώτισμα] Cp. Heb. vi 4, x 32. Cp. Justin Αρ. i 61 καλείται δὲ τοῦτο το λουτρον φωτισμός, ώς φωτιζομένων την διάνοιαν τῶν ταῦτα μανθανόντων. Similarly Gr. says, in Bapt. Christi p. 502 (Migne) ὅπου ὕδωρ τὸ μυστικόν, ἐκεῖ καὶ πνεῦμα τὸ ζωπυροῦν, τὸ θερμόν, τὸ πυροειδές, τὸ τοὺς ἀσεβεῖς καῖον καὶ τούς πιστούς φωτίζον. For alternative titles of Baptism see Clem. Al. Paed. i 6 (p. 113, Potter), Greg. Naz. Or. xl p. 698.

- 33. Ἐπειδὰν γὰρ παρ' ἡμῶν τὸ τοιοῦτον ἀκούσωσιν, ὅτι, τοῦ θνητοῦ πρὸς τὴν ζωὴν μεταβαίνοντος, ἀκόλουθον ἢν τῆς πρώτης γενέσεως ἐπὶ τὸν θνητὸν παραγούσης βίον ἑτέραν γένεσιν ἐξευρεθῆναι, μήτε ἀπὸ φθορᾶς ἀρχομένην, μήτε εἰς φθορὰν καταλήγουσαν, ἀλλ' εἰς ἀθάνατον ζωὴν 5 τὸν γεγεννημένον παράγουσαν, ἴν', ὥσπερ ἐκ θνητῆς γενέσεως θνητὸν ἐξ ἀνάγκης τὸ γεγεννημένον ὑπέστη, οὕτως ἐκ τῆς μὴ παραδεχομένης φθορὰν τὸ γεννώμενον κρεῖττον γένηται τῆς ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου φθορᾶς: ἐπειδὰν οὖν τούτων καὶ τῶν τοιούτων ἀκούσωσιν καὶ προδιδαχθῶσι τὸν τρόπον, 10 ὅτι εὐχὴ πρὸς θεὸν καὶ χάριτος οὐρανίας ἐπίκλησις καὶ
- **33.** 4 genuhgin euth 7 vulg  $\parallel$  6 gegenhenon dehp to  $\gamma$ . 1  $\parallel$  in wsper worker var 1 vulg  $\parallel$  genuhgews 1 vulg  $\parallel$  7 gegenhh. hnp  $\parallel$  8 frogen  $\mid$  + genuhgews fl vulg  $\parallel$  9 genhtai  $\mid$  gegenhham ound vulg  $\parallel$  10 prosondalbase euth 45

## CHAPS. XXXIII-XL. THE SACRAMENTS.

## i. Chaps. XXXIII—XXXVI. On Baptism.

33. Just as the natural birth is our introduction to this mortal life, so the new birth of baptism is our introduction to eternal life. The means by which this regeneration is effected is prayer to God, the invocation of Divine grace, water, and faith. If it be asked how these effect the beginning of a new life, it may be sufficient to reply that we do not understand how the natural process of generation effects the production of a human being. In either case the answer is the same. The result is due to the presence and working of the Divine Power, using natural means to effect some higher end.

For Gr.'s treatment of Baptism see further his treatise in Baptismum Christi, esp. pp. 581, 584, 585 (Migne).

1. τὸ τοιοῦτον] explained by ὅτι.

The protasis is resumed by  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $o\delta\nu$   $\tau o\dot{\tau}\tau\omega\nu$ , and the apodosis begins at  $\delta\nu\sigma\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\hat{\omega}s$   $\check{\epsilon}\chi o\nu\sigma\iota$ .

2.  $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \zeta \omega \dot{\eta} \nu$ ] i.e. to eternal life.

11. εὐχὴ π. θ.] Cp. Justin Ap. i 61 εὐχεσθαί τε και αἰτεῖν νηστεύοντες παρὰ τοῦ θεοῦ τῶν προημαρτημένων ἄφεσιν διδάσκονται, ἡμῶν συνευχομένων και συννηστευόντων αὐτοῖς.

ib. χάριτος οὐρ. ἐπίκλησις] Cp. in Bapt. Christi p. 584 (Migne) τὸ τοῦωρ οὐδὲν ἄλλο τυγχάνον ἢ τοῦμο, ἀνακαινίζει τὸν ἄνθρωπον εἰς τὴν νοητὴν ἀναγέννησιν, τῆς ἄνωθεν χάριτος εὐλογούσης αὐτό: de Baptismo p. 421 (Migne) πῶν τοῦωρ ἐπιτήδειον εἰς τὴν τοῦ βαπτίσματος χρείαν, μόνον ἐὰν εὕρη πίστιν τοῦ λαμβάνοντος, καὶ εὐλογίαν τοῦ ἀγιάζοντος ἱερέως.

ύδωρ καὶ πίστις ἐστὶ δι' ὧν τὸ τῆς ἀναγεννήσεως πληροῦται μυστήριον, δυσπειθῶς ἔχουσι πρὸς τὸ φαινόμενον βλέποντες, ὡς οὐ συμβαῖνον τῆ ἐπαγγελία τὸ σωματικῶς ἐνεργούμενον. πῶς γάρ, φασίν, εὐχὴ καὶ δυνάμεως θείας 5 ἐπίκλησις ἐπὶ τοῦ ὕδατος γινομένη ζωῆς ἀρχηγὸς τοῖς μυηθεῖσι γίνεται; πρὸς οὕς, εἴπερ μὴ λίαν ἔχοιεν ἀντιτύπως, ἀπλοῦς ἐξαρκεῖ λόγος πρὸς τὴν τοῦ δόγματος ἀγαγεῖν συγκατάθεσιν. ἀντερωτήσωμεν γάρ, τοῦ τρόπου τῆς κατὰ σάρκα γεννήσεως πᾶσιν ὄντος προδήλου, πῶς ι ἄνθρωπος ἐκεῖνο γίνεται τὸ εἰς ἀφορμὴν τῆς συστάσεως τοῦ ζώου καταβαλλόμενον. ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐδεὶς ἐπ' ἐκείνου λόγος ἐστὶν ὁ λογισμῷ τινὶ τὸ πιθανὸν ἐφευρίσκων. τί γὰρ κοινὸν ἔχει ὅρος ἀνθρώπου πρὸς τὴν ἐν ἐκείνω θεωρουμένην

3 σωματικον e  $\parallel$  4 φησιν en  $\parallel$  5 γενομενη e  $\parallel$  6 μυουμενοιs fl vulg  $\parallel$  om μη vulg  $\parallel$  7 απλωs e  $\parallel$  8 αντερωτησω μεν vulg  $\parallel$  περι του τροπου p  $\parallel$  9 γεννησεωs]+του dnp  $\parallel$  12 εξευρισκων l vulg euth 45  $\parallel$  13 om εχει vulg  $\parallel$  ορος] σπερμα l σπερματι vulg ορασιs f  $\parallel$  om θεωρουμενην d

2. μυστήριον] here approaches the sense of 'sacrament.' Cp. c. 34 της μυστικής ταύτης οίκονομίας.

ib. πρὸς τὸ φαιν.] i.e. τὸ σωματικῶς ἐνεργούμενον, which includes the ritual action, the material employed, and the formula of Baptism.

3.  $\tau \hat{\eta}$   $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma$ .] The grace promised in Baptism is a spiritual gift. How can the bestowal of such a gift be said to 'coincide with' the performance of an outward, bodily act? In  $\hat{i}n$  Bapt. Christi p. 581 (Migne) Gr. defends the sacramental principle by a different argument from that of the present chapter.

5.  $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} \hat{s} \ \hat{d} \rho \chi \eta \gamma \hat{\phi} \hat{s}$ ] 'A $\rho \chi$ . is here used as an adjective. 'A source

of life.'

 μνηθεῖσι] Cp. c. 32 μυσταγωγεῖ (note). On the use of the words μύειν and μυστήριον with reference to the Christian Sacraments see Lightfoot's note on Ign. Eph. 12. 8. συγκατάθεσιν] Cp. c. 5 οὐκ ἔξω τῆς τῶν ἀντιλεγόντων ἐστὶ συγκαταθέσεως (note).

9-10.  $\pi$ ῶs ἄ. ἐκεῖνο γίν.] Cp. in Bapt. Christi p. 584 (Migne)  $\pi$ ῶs ἡ ὑγρὰ καὶ ἄμορφοs οὐσία ἄνθρωπο sylveται; The same illustration is used by Justin (Ap. i 19) in treating of the resurrection of the body, and also by Methodius de Resurr. ii 20 (ed. Bonw. p. 235).

10. ἀφορμήν] Cp. c. 5 πάντων αὐτῷ τῶν καλῶν τὰς ἀφορμὰς ἐγκατα-

σκεύασας (note).

11. καταβαλλ.]used of the sowing of seed in Plat. *Theaet*. 149. Cp. Heb. xi 11.

ib. ἀλλὰ μήν] 'Yet surely in that case there is no principle which discovers by any process of calculation the probable cause.'

13. δροs] 'the definition' of a man. This is a common sense of the word in philosophical writers.

ib. ἐν ἐκείνω] i.e τῷ σπέρματι.

ποιότητα συγκρινόμενος; ἄνθρωπος λογικόν τι χρήμα καὶ διανοητικόν έστι, νου καὶ ἐπιστήμης δεκτικόν ἐκείνο δὲ ύγρα τινὶ ἐνθεωρεῖται ποιότητι, καὶ πλεῖον οὐδὲν τοῦ κατ' αἴσθησιν ὁρωμένου καταλαμβάνει ἡ ἔννοια. ἡν τοίνυν εἰκός ἐστιν ἀπόκρισιν ἡμῖν γενέσθαι παρὰ τῶν ἐρωτηθέν- ς των ὅτι πῶς ἐστὶ πιστὸν ἐξ ἐκείνου συστῆναι ἄνθρωπον, τούτο καὶ περὶ τῆς διὰ τοῦ ὕδατος γινομένης ἀναγεννήσεως έρωτηθέντες αποκρινούμεθα. Εκεί τε γαρ πρόγειρον έστιν έκάστω τῶν ἡρωτημένων εἰπεῖν ὅτι θεία δυνάμει ἐκεῖνο ἄνθρωπος γίνεται, ής μη παρούσης ἀκίνητόν ἐστιν ἐκεῖνο το καὶ ἀνενέργητον. εἰ οὖν ἐκεῖ οὐ τὸ ὑποκείμενον ποιεῖ τὸν ἄνθρωπον, ἀλλ' ἡ θεία δύναμις πρὸς ἀνθρώπου φύσιν μεταποιεί τὸ φαινόμενον, της ἐσχάτης ἂν εἴη ἀγνωμοσύνης έκει τοσαύτην τω θεώ προσμαρτυρούντας δύναμιν ατονείν έν τω μέρει τούτω τὸ θείον οἴεσθαι πρὸς τὴν ἐκπλήρωσιν 15 τοῦ θελήματος. τί κοινόν, φασίν, ὕδατι καὶ ζωῆ; τί δὲ κοινόν, πρὸς αὐτοὺς ἐροῦμεν, ὑγρότητι καὶ εἰκόνι θεοῦ;

Ι ποιοτητα; συγκρινομένος vulg συγκρινομένην  $f \parallel o$  ανθρωπος vulg  $\parallel$  2 εκείνα  $e \parallel 3$  θεωρείται dehnp euth  $\parallel \pi \lambda$ εον dehnp euth  $\parallel 5$  γενεσθαι ημίν l vulg ημών e γινεσθαι dhnp euth  $\parallel 6$  εξ εκείνου πίστον dehnp euth  $\parallel 7$  om δια  $f \parallel \upsilon$  υδατος l και πνευματος euth  $\parallel \gamma$  ενομένης  $f \parallel 8$  om τε ehn  $\parallel \pi$  προχείρον l προτέρον l vulg: rursus incipit  $g \parallel g$  ερωτωμένων  $f \parallel$  εκείνος l vulg  $\parallel 10$  εκείνο l . ε. e om εκείνο l vulg  $\parallel 12$  προς l είς l vulg  $\parallel 16$  φησίν l l δε l δαι l l αυτον l vulg

1. ποιότητα] 'quality.'

2. διανοητικόν] Cp. c. 6 την διανοητικήν δύναμιν (note). The definition of man as ζώον λογικόν..., νοῦ καὶ ἐπιστήμης δεκτικόν was a common one. See Reid's note on Cic. Aead. ii 21.

3. ποιότητι] a dat. of attendant circumstances. For  $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho$ , with an adv. or adverbial clause, see c. 16 τὸ δ' ὅσον ... διεξοδικῶς  $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \tilde{\tau} \alpha t$  (note). 'Is seen to possess a quality of moistness.'

4. ην τοίνυν] 'The reply then which, it is probable, may be given by those whom we asked how it is credible.' The öτι is recitative, and

merely introduces the question  $\pi \hat{\omega}_s$   $\kappa, \tau, \lambda$ .

11. τὸ ὑποκείμενον] used here in its Aristotelian sense of 'matter' as opposed to 'form' = ΰλη. Cp. Arist. Pol. i 8. 2 λέγω δὲ ὑλην τὸ ὑποκείμενον ἐξ οὖ τι ἀποτελεῖται ἔργων, οἶον ὑφάντη μὲν ἔρια, ἀνδριαντοποιῷ δὲ χαλκόν. 'The matter does not produce the man, but the Divine power changes the visible thing into a man's nature.'

14. ἀτονεῖν] fr. ἄτονος, 'slack,' 'relaxed'; hence 'to be powerless.'
15. ἐν τῷ μέρει] 'in this respect.'

See Lightfoot's note on Col. ii 16.

άλλ' οὐδὲν ἐκεῖ τὸ παράδοξον, εἰ θεοῦ βουλομένου πρὸς τὸ τιμιώτατον ζῷον τὸ ὑγρὸν μεταβαίνει. τὸ ἴσον καὶ ἐπὶ τούτου φαμὲν μηδὲν εἶναι θαυμαστὸν εἰ θείας δυνάμεως παρουσία πρὸς ἀφθαρσίαν μετασκευάζει τὸ ἐν τῆ φθαρτῆ 5 φύσει γενόμενον.

34. 'Αλλά ζητοῦσιν ἀπόδειξιν τοῦ παρεῖναι τὸ θεῖον ἐπὶ άγιασμῷ τῶν γινομένων καλούμενον. ὁ δὲ τοῦτο ζητῶν ἀναγνώτω πάλιν τὰ κατόπιν ἐξητασμένα. ἡ γὰρ κατασκευὴ τοῦ τὴν διὰ σαρκὸς ἡμῖν ἐπιφανεῖσαν δύναμιν 10 ἀληθῶς θείαν εἶναι τοῦ παρόντος λόγου συνηγορία γίνεται. δειχθέντος γὰρ τοῦ θεὸν εἶναι τὸν ἐν σαρκὶ φανερωθέντα,

4  $\phi\theta$ αρτη]  $\phi\theta$ ορα  $e\parallel 5$  γεννωμενον d **34.** 7 τω αγιασμω p αγιασμων  $vulg\parallel \gamma$ ινομενων] τελουμενων f

2. τιμιώτατον ζώον] a Platonic expression. Krab. quotes Plat. Legg. p. 766 Α ἄνθρωπος δέ, ὥς φαμεν, ἤμερον, ὅμως μὴν παιδείας μὲν δρθῶς τυχοῦς καὶ φύσεως εὐτυχοῦς θειότατον ἡμερώτατόν τε ζῷον γίγνεσθαι φιλεῖ.

 τὸ ἐν τ. φ.] 'transforms what is born in a nature subject to corruption into a state of incorruption,' i.e. by the regeneration of baptism.

34. What proof, it may be asked, have we of the presence of the Divine Being, when invoked in Baptism? To this Gr. replies that the miracles of the incarnate Christ shew that the Divine power has been present among men. Moreover God is a God of truth, and He has promised to be present with believers who call upon Him. Add to this the fact that the baptismal rite is preceded by the invocation of God through prayer, and we thus have more than sufficient proof of His operation in the sacrament. In human generation the Divine power acts through the human parents without any such invocation. In baptism there is the action of God's power, in accordance with His promise to be present in

this way, cooperating with the human will, and at the same time there is the help which comes through prayer. Such prayer, even if it does not effect an addition to the grace received, does not hinder its operation. The operation of grace then in baptism is due to the fact that He Who promised it is Divine, while His Divinity is shewn by miracles.

7. ἐπὶ ἀγιασμῷ τ. γ.] 'for the sanctification of the rite.' For ἐπὶ to denote purpose see c. 5 ἐπὶ τούτοις (note).

8.  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau$ .  $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ .] 'our preceding investigations,' i.e. the argument by which Gr. has established the Divine nature of Christ in cc. 11, 12.

 κατασκευή] 'proof,' cp. prol. κατασκευαι̂s (note).

*ib.* τὴν διὰ σαρκός] Cp. c. 12  $\dot{\epsilon}$ πὶ τοῦ διὰ σαρκὸς ἡμῖν φανερωθέντος  $\theta$ εοῦ (note).

11.  $\delta\epsilon i \chi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau o s$ ] agrees with the substantival clause  $\tau o \vartheta$   $\theta \epsilon \delta \nu$   $\epsilon \vartheta \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$ , the whole forming a  $g \epsilon n \iota$  absolute.  $\Delta \epsilon i \xi a \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \lambda$  forms a subordinate clause, dependent on  $\tau \delta \nu \ldots \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ . The reading of  $\epsilon h n$  and Euth. is an attempt to simplify the construction. 'For when it

τοις διὰ τῶν γινομένων θαύμασι τὴν φύσιν ἐαυτοῦ δείξαντα, συναπεδείγθη τὸ παρείναι τοῖς γινομένοις αὐτὸν κατά πάντα καιρον επικλήσεως. ώσπερ γάρ εκάστου των όντων έστι τις ίδιότης ή την φύσιν γνωρίζουσα, ούτως ϊδιον της θείας φύσεως έστιν η αλήθεια. αλλά μην αεί 5 παρέσεσθαι τοῖς ἐπικαλουμένοις ἐπήγγελται, καὶ ἐν μέσω των πιστευόντων είναι, καὶ έν πάσι μένειν καὶ έκάστω συνείναι, οὐκέτ' οὖν ἂν έτέρας εἰς τὸ παρείναι τὸ θείον τοῖς γινομένοις ἀποδείξεως προσδεοίμεθα, τὸ μὲν θεὸν είναι διὰ τῶν θαυμάτων αὐτῶν πεπιστευκότες, ἴδιον δὲ 10 της θεότητος τὸ ἀμίκτως πρὸς τὸ ψεῦδος ἔχειν εἰδότες, έν δὲ τῶ ἀψευδεῖ τῆς ὑποσχέσεως παρείναι τὸ ἐπηγγελμένον οὐκ ἀμφιβάλλοντες. τὸ δὲ προηγεῖσθαι τὴν διὰ

ι και δια τ. γ. θαυματων ehn euth θαυμασιων f | 4 ιδιοτης τις f | 5 ιδιον  $\epsilon$ .  $\tau$ .  $\theta$ .  $\phi$ . 1 vulg | 8 om ουν fl vulg και ουκετ 1 vulg om αν deglinp enth  $\parallel q \pi \rho o \sigma \delta \epsilon o \mu \epsilon \theta a d \parallel \tau o \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \text{ vulg} \parallel 10 a \upsilon \tau o \nu dhip \parallel \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \upsilon$ οντες  $f \parallel 10-11$  ιδιον...ειδοτες om  $l^*$  vulg  $\parallel 13$  ουκ $\mid μη f \mid αμφιβαλλοντες <math>\mid$ desinit euth

was sheren that He Who was manifested in the flesh is God, seeing that He revealed His own nature by means of the wonders exhibited in

the events of His life.'
2.  $\sigma uva\pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon (\chi \theta \eta)$  The two arguments on which Gr. rests his proof of the operation of God through sacraments are: (1) the Incarnation has shewn that God's power has been at work among men. (2) Christ's promise to be present with the faithful and have special intercourse with them can be relied upon, because God is a God of truth. The present passage deals with the former of these. The latter is the subject of the following sentences.

ib. τοι̂ς γινομένοις] Cp. supra των γινομένων. 'It was sheren that He is present at what is done every

time that He is invoked." 4. lδιότης] 'peculiarity.'

5. ἀλλὰ μήν 'roell, then.'

ib. ἀεὶ παρέσεσθαι] Mt. vii 7; Jn xiv 13, xv 7, 16, xvi 23.

6. ἐν μέσω] Mt. xviii 20, xxviii

έν πᾶσι μένειν] Jn xv 4 foll.
 συνείναι] 'have intercourse with' Jn xiv 23.
 έν δὲ τῷ ἀψ.] 'that the thing

promised is there in virtue of the unfailing truth of the promise.

13. To de moony.] ' ruhile the fact that the invocation by prayer pre-cedes the Divine dispensation constitutes as it were a superabundance of proof.' Gr. means that the facts already cited are sufficient to prove the working of Divine grace, apart from the argument derived from the invocation of God by prayer. He illustrates his meaning by the analogy of human generation in which Divine power cooperates with human effort irrespective of such invocation.

της εὐχης κλησιν της θείας οἰκονομίας περιουσία τίς έστι της αποδείξεως του κατά θεον επιτελείσθαι το ενεργούμενον. εί γὰρ ἐπὶ τοῦ ἐτέρου τῆς ἀνθρωποποιίας εἴδους αί τῶν γεννώντων όρμαί, κὰν μὴ ἐπικληθῆ παρ' αὐτῶν 5 δι' εὐχῆς τὸ θεῖον, τῆ τοῦ θεοῦ δυνάμει, καθώς έν τοῖς έμπροσθεν είρηται, διαπλάσσουσι το γεννώμενον, ής χωρισθείσης ἄπρακτός έστιν ή σπουδή καὶ ἀνόνητος, πόσω μάλλον έν τῷ πνευματικῷ τῆς γεννήσεως τρόπω, καὶ θεοῦ παρέσεσθαι τοῖς γινομένοις ἐπηγγελμένου καὶ 10 την παρ' έαυτοῦ δύναμιν εντεθεικότος τῶ έργω, καθὰ πεπιστεύκαμεν, και της ήμετέρας προαιρέσεως πρός τὸ σπουδαζόμενον την όρμην έχούσης, εί συμπαραληφθείη καθηκόντως ή διὰ τῆς εὐχῆς συμμαχία, μᾶλλον ἐπιτελὲς έσται τὸ σπουδαζόμενον; καθάπερ γὰρ οἱ ἐπιφαῦσαι 15 τον ήλιον αὐτοῖς εὐχόμενοι τῶ θεῶ οὐδὲν ἀμβλύνουσι τὸ πάντως γινόμενον, οὐδὲ μὴν ἄχρηστον εἶναί τις φήσει τὴν τῶν προσευχομένων σπουδήν, εἰ περὶ τοῦ πάντως ἐσομένου τὸν θεὸν ίκετεύουσιν, οὕτως οἱ πεπεισμένοι κατὰ τὴν

4 par autois f  $\parallel$  5 to  $\theta$ . di euchs e om di euchs f  $\parallel$  8 geregews deghnp  $\parallel$  9 girometois] boulometois f gerometois l vulg  $\parallel$  10 kabws vulg  $\parallel$  14 gar] om d de l vulg  $\parallel$  epigarai vulg  $\parallel$  15 eautois e  $\parallel$  16 girometor  $\parallel$  esometor fg $^1$   $\parallel$  18 perecup.] perioteumetoi fl\*vid vulg

1. οἰκονομίας] here used of that part of the Divine 'plan' which relates to the use of sacramental means. Cp. infra της μυστικής ταύτης οἰκονομίας.

5.  $\vec{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\sigma} \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \hat{\sigma} \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ] in c. 33.

7. ἄπρακτος] 'their effort is inoperative and fruitless.'

10.  $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau$ .] on the strength of such passages e.g. as Eph. v 25,

12. el συμπ.] 'if, at the same time, the help which comes through prayer be duly invited.'

14.  $\kappa\alpha\theta\acute{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\rho$   $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ .] The purpose of this illustration appears to be to shew that, even apart from any invocation to God to bestow His grace,

His Divine power and His promise to come in this way constitute sufficient proof of His presence in the rite of baptism.

ib. ἐπιφαῦσαι] a word found in

Eph. v 14.

15.  $\alpha \dot{v} \tau o \hat{s} = \dot{\epsilon} \alpha v \tau o \hat{s}$ . For this reflexive use of the oblique cases of  $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o} s$  see Lightfoot's note on Col. i 20.

16. πάντωs] 'that which happens

in any case.'

18. οὖτως οἱ πεπεισμένοι] Gr. has not fully expressed his thought in this sentence. We have to supply, with Glauber (quoted by Krab.), some such words as 'and make request to God.'

ἀψευδη τοῦ ἐπαγγειλαμένου ὑπόσχεσιν πάντως παρείναι τὴν χάριν τοῖς διὰ της μυστικης ταύτης οἰκονομίας ἀναγευνωμένοις ἢ προσθήκην τινὰ ποιοῦνται της χάριτος, ἢ τὴν οὖσαν οὐκ ἀποστρέφουσιν. τὸ γὰρ πάντως συνεῖναι διὰ τὸ θεὸν εἶναι τὸν ἐπαγγειλάμενον πεπίστευται· ἡ δὲ 5 της θεότητος μαρτυρία διὰ τῶν θαυμάτων ἐστίν. ὥστε διὰ πάντων τὸ παρεῖναι τὸ θεῖον οὐδεμίαν ἀμφιβολίαν ἔχει.

35. Ἡ δὲ εἰς τὸ ὕδωρ κάθοδος καὶ τὸ εἰς τρὶς ἐν αὐτῷ γενέσθαι τὸν ἄνθρωπον, ἔτερον ἐμπεριέχει μυστήριον. 10 ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ὁ τῆς σωτηρίας ἡμῶν τρόπος οὐ τοσοῦτον ἐκ

4 συνειναι] om f ειναι dg\*hnp παρειναι  $g^{1}l^{1}\parallel 5$  το] τον fh **35.** 9 εις τρις] om εις fg<sup>1</sup>l vulg τρεις defg\*hnp

2.  $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \kappa \hat{\eta}_s$ ...οίκ.] 'through this sacramental dispensation.' For this use of  $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\phi}_s$  with reference to the sacraments cp.  $\epsilon$ . Eunom. xi p. 880 (Migne)  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  των  $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}_s$   $\hat{\epsilon}\theta \hat{\omega}_r$   $\tau \epsilon \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega}_s$   $\sigma \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\sigma} \hat{\omega}_s$  κοινωνία την  $\sigma \sigma \tau \tau \eta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\omega}_s$  κρατύνεσθαι. Similarly in in Bapt. Christi p.  $\xi 81$  (Migne) Gr. speaks of  $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$   $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$   $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \epsilon \omega s$ .

3.  $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$ ] i.e. by their prayers.

4. συνείναι] cp. supra έκάστω συνείναι.

τυνείναι. 5. ἡ δὲ τῆς θ. μαρτυρία] See

supra and cc. 11, 12.

35. Gr. now unfolds the inner significance of the rite of baptism. The redemptive acts of Christ, His Death and Resurrection, rather than His teaching, are the means by which man's salvation is effected. These must be reproduced or copied by His

Death and Resurrection, rather than His teaching, are the means by which man's salvation is effected. These must be reproduced or copied by His disciples. Baptism is the means by which we imitate what Christ did. The threefold immersion and rising again from the water represent the three days' burial and resurrection. But in our case the process of the resurrection is only accomplished in

stages, of which Baptism is the first. The imitation of Christ consists in the break with sin begun in baptism. The two things which contribute to the overthrow of sin are repentance and the copying of the death of Christ, so far as the poverty of our nature allows. Our rising again out of the water corresponds to Christ's rising from the tomb, and shews the ease with which Christ first, and mankind after Him, pass to their resurrection. The humble beginning made in baptism is a necessary prelude to our rising again to a blessed and divine life. For those who lack the purifying grace of baptism there will also be a resurrection, but in their case, in place of the washing of baptism, there is prepared the refining fire.

9. εls τρls] Cp. επί τρls Acts x 16, xi 10. 'And the threefold immersion of the person in it.'

10. μυστήριον] The μυστήριον here is the inner teaching contained in the rite of baptism, which he expounds in this chapter.

της κατά την διδαχην ύφηγήσεως ένεργος γέγονεν όσον δι' αὐτῶν ὧν ἐποίησεν ὁ τὴν πρὸς τὸν ἄνθρωπον ὑποστὰς κοινωνίαν, έργω την ζωην ένεργήσας, ίνα διὰ της ἀναληφθείσης παρ' αὐτοῦ καὶ συναποθεωθείσης σαρκὸς ἄπαν 5 συνδιασωθή τὸ συγγενες αὐτή καὶ ὁμόφυλον, ἀναγκαῖον

I κατα διαδοχην  $f^*$  vulg | 5 διασωθη f συνδιασωθηναι vulg

1. κατά τ. διδ. ύφ.] 'did not owe its effectiveness so much to instruction by way of teaching.' For υφήγησις, which is a Platonic word, see note on ὑφηγούμενον c. 4 sub fin. The words κατά τ. διδ. define the character of such 'instruction.' The essence of the Gospel lies, according to Gr., not so much in the verbal teaching of Christ, as in the redemptive acts of His life.

2. ὑποστὰς κοιν.] For the idea that the Death and Resurrection of Christ were representative and corporate acts, in which He unites mankind with Himself, according to the teaching of St Paul (Rom. vi 3-11), cp. Ign. Magn. 5 δι' οδ έὰν μὴ αὖθαιρέτως ἔχωμεν τὸ ἀποθανείν είς τὸ αὐτοῦ πάθος, τὸ ζην αύτου ούκ έστιν έν ήμιν: Σπγιη. 5 μέχρις οὖ μετανοήσωσιν είς τὸ πάθος, ő ἐστιν ἡμῶν ἀνάστασις. It is a special feature of the treatment of the Atonement in Athanasius. See e.g. de Inc. 8, 37; c. Ar. ii 7.

3. ἔργω... ἐνεργήσας] explanatory of εποίησεν. 'Seeing that He has made life an accomplished fact.' "Εργφ opposed to λόγφ. Christ did not merely teach men how life could be found. He actually effected it in them through His assumption

of human nature.

4. συναποθεωθείσης] Cp. c. 37 συναποθεωθη τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, and for the idea see c. 25  $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \mu i \chi \theta \eta \pi \rho \hat{o} s \tau \hat{o}$ ημέτερον, ΐνα τὸ ημέτερον τῆ πρὸς τὸ θεῖον ἐπιμιξία γένηται θεῖον. The idea of a  $\theta \in \omega \sigma is$  of human nature resulting from the Incarnation is

found as early as Irenaeus (iv praef. 3 fin., 38. 4). It appears in Clement and Origen, and is of common occurrence in Athanasius and later fathers. The scriptural starting point of the phrase is to be found in the two passages Ps. Ixxxi (lxxxii) 6 and 2 Pet. i 4. Cp. also Eph. iv 17-24. By Athanasius it is frequently associated with the idea of immortality. He also has the expressions 'renew' and 'deify' c. Ar. ii 47, 'hallow' and 'deify' c. Ar. iii 39, 'adopt' and 'deify' c. Ar. i 39. In using such language, however, these writers carefully distinguish the Sonship of Christ from the adoption of Christians. See further on the history of the terms θέωσις and θεοποίησις Harnack Hist. of Dogm. (Eng. tr.) vol. iii 164 note 2; Inge Bampton Lectures p. 13 and App. C. pp. 356 ff. On the θέωσις of the Lord's humanity Origen says in c. Cels. iii 474 (Philocal. ed. Rob. p. 124) to δè θνητόν αὐτοῦ σῶμα καὶ τὴν ἀνθρωπίνην έν αὐτῷ ψυχὴν τῆ πρὸς έκεῖνον οὐ μόνον κοινωνία ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐνώσει καὶ ἀνακράσει τὰ μέγιστά φαμεν προσειληφέναι, καὶ τῆς ἐκείνου θειότητος κεκοινωνηκότα είς θεὸν μετα- $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ : and again *ibid*.  $\tau \ell$ θαυμαστὸν τὴν ποιότητα τοῦ θνητοῦ κατὰ τὸ τοῦ Ἰησοῦ σῶμα προνοίς θεοῦ βουληθέντος μεταβαλεῖν εls αίθέριον καὶ θείαν ποιότητα;

5. ομόφυλον] Cp. c. 5 ώς οἰκεῖον έκατέρου καὶ ὁμόφυλον...τῷ μὲν τὸν άέρα, τω δὲ τὸ ὕδωρ είναι (note).

ην επινοηθηναί τινα τρόπον, εν ώ τις ην συγγένειά τε καί όμοιότης έν τοις γινομένοις παρά του έπομένου πρός τὸν ήγούμενον. χρή τοίνυν ίδειν έν τίσιν ο τής ζωής ήμων καθηγησάμενος έθεωρήθη, ἵνα, καθώς φησιν ὁ ἀπόστολος, κατὰ τὸν ἀρχηγὸν τῆς σωτηρίας ἡμῶν κατορθωθῆ τοῖς ς έπομένοις ή μίμησις. ώσπερ γαρ παρά των πεπαιδευμένων τὰ τακτικὰ πρὸς τὴν ὁπλιτικὴν ἐμπειρίαν ἀνάγονται οί δι' ὧν βλέπουσιν πρὸς τὴν εὔρυθμόν τε καὶ ἐνόπλιον κίνησιν παιδευόμενοι, ό δὲ μὴ πράττων τὸ προδεικνύμενον αμέτοχος της τοιαύτης έμπειρίας μένει, κατά τον αὐτον 10 τρόπον τῷ πρὸς τὴν σωτηρίαν ἡμῶν ἐξηγουμένω πάντως οίς ίση πρός τὸ ἀγαθόν ἐστιν ή σπουδή ὁμοίως ἐπάναγκες διὰ μιμήσεως έπεσθαι, τὸ παρ' αὐτοῦ προδειχθὲν εἰς έργον άγοντας, οὐ γὰρ ἔστι πρὸς τὸ ἴσον καταντῆσαι πέρας, μή διὰ τῶν ὁμοίων ὁδεύσαντας. καθάπερ γὰρ οἱ τὰς τῶν 15 λαβυρίνθων πλάνας διεξελθείν αμηχανοῦντες, εἴ τινος

3 καθιδείν  $f^* \parallel$  4 εθεωρηθη] επενοηθη  $e \parallel 6$  παιδευομένων  $g^*p \parallel$  7 εναγονται  $efg^1 \parallel 8$  δι ων βλεπουσιν οι  $l^1$  vulg om οι  $l^*g \parallel$  11 παντας  $h \parallel$  14 αγαγοντας  $l^{*vid}$  vulg  $\parallel$  καταρτισαι  $l^*$  vulg

1.  $\epsilon \pi ινοηθ ηναι$ ] Cp. c. 22 τὸν δίκαιον τρόπον  $\epsilon \pi ινοηθ ηναι$ .

ib. συγγένεια] used here as little more than a synonym for ὁμοιότης.

2. έν τ. γιν.] 'in what is done by him who follows.' The reference is, of course, to the rite of baptism. Πρὸς τὸν ἡγ. depends on ὁμοιότης.

3-4. ἐν τίσιν ...ἐθεωρήθη] Θεωρεισθαι as usual is a mere synonym for εἶναι or γίγνεσθαι. For the expression εἶναι ἐν ορ .c. I ἐν ζωῆ,...εἶναι.

ib. ὁτῆς ζωῆς...καθηγ.] 'the Author

of life."

4. ὁ ἀπόστολος] Heb. ii 10. Gr., like Athanasius and Gregory of Nazianzus, includes the Epistle among those of St Paul.

 κατορθωθή] lit. 'may be set right,' 'may be directed in accordance with.' Cp. Aesch. Cho. 512 δράν κατώρθωσαι φρενί. Τοῖς ἐπ. dat. of interest.

6. ωσπερ γάρ] 'For as they who are trained by what they see into rhythmical and orderly movement are led on to skill in arms by trained tacticians.' Ένόπλιος is used of the metrical time adapted to the tune of a march. Cp. Ar. Nub. 651 έπαίονθ' όποῖός έστι τῶν ῥυθμῶν κατ' ένδπλιον. Hence it is used of a rhythmical movement.

11. τῷ... ἔξηγουμένω] i.e. Jesus Christ. Τῷ ἐξ. is governed by ἔπεσθαι. They who have an equal zeal for what is good must in like manner follow by way of careful imitation Him who conducts us to

our salvation.'

16. λαβυρίνθων πλάνας] 'the windings of mazes.' Τῶν οἴκων shows that Gr. has in view some such building as the labyrinth of Minos of Crete.

έμπείρως έχουτος έπιτύχοιεν, κατόπιν έπόμενοι τας ποικίλας τε καὶ ἀπατηλάς τῶν οἴκων ἀναστροφάς διεξέρχονται, οὐκ ἂν διεξελθόντες, μὴ κατ' ἴχνος ἐπόμενοι τῶ προάγοντι, ούτω μοι νόησον καὶ τὸν τοῦ βίου τούτου λαβύρινθον ς άδιεξίτητον είναι τη άνθρωπίνη φύσει, εί μή τις της αὐτης όδοῦ λάβοιτο δι' ἦς ὁ ἐν αὐτῶ γενόμενος ἔξω κατέστη τοῦ περιέχουτος. λαβύρινθου δέ φημι τροπικώς την άδιέξοδου τοῦ θανάτου φρουράν, ή τὸ δείλαιον τοῦ ἀνθρώπου γένος περιεσχέθη. τί οὖν περὶ τὸν ἀρχηγὸν τῆς σωτηρίας ἡμῶν 10 έθεασάμεθα; τριήμερον νέκρωσιν καὶ πάλιν ζωήν. οὐκοῦν χρή τι τοιοῦτον καὶ ἐν ἡμῖν ἐπινοηθῆναι ὁμοίωμα. τίς οὖν ἐστὶν ἡ ἐπίνοια δι' ἦς καὶ ἐν ἡμῖν πληροῦται τοῦ παρ' ἐκείνου γεγονότος ἡ μίμησις; ἄπαν τὸ νεκρωθὲν οἰκεῖόν τινα καὶ κατὰ φύσιν ἔχει χῶρον, τὴν γῆν, ἐν ἦ 15 κλίνεται τε καὶ κατακρύπτεται. πολλήν δὲ πρὸς ἄλληλα την συγγένειαν έχει γη τε καὶ ὕδωρ, μόνα τῶν στοιχείων Βαρέα τε όντα καὶ κατωφερή, καὶ ἐν ἀλλήλοις μένοντα καὶ δι' ἀλλήλων κρατούμενα. ἐπεὶ οὖν τοῦ καθηγουμένου της ζωης ημών ο θάνατος υπόγειος κατά την κοινήν γέγονε 20 φύσιν, ή τοῦ θανάτου μίμησις ή παρ' ήμῶν γινομένη ἐν τῷ γείτονι διατυποῦται στοιχείω. καὶ ώς ἐκεῖνος ὁ ἄνωθεν ἄνθρωπος ἀναλαβών τὴν νεκρότητα μετὰ τὴν ὑπόγειον

3 μη] ει μη l¹ om l\* || 6 om o dhn || 7 αδιεξοδευτον en || 8 φρουραν] φθοραν vulg || 9 om ημων l\* vulg || 11 om τι deg\*hnp || 15 κλινεται τε] και αναλυεται f om τε l vulg || 19 υπογειος]+και ehl vulg || 21 αποτυπ- vulg

 όδοθ] 'take to the same path.' For this use of the gen. with λαμβάνεσθαι cp. Thucyd. iii 24 λαβόμενοι των όρων.

των δρών.

ib. δι' ήs] 'by which He who entered it succeeded in passing out-

side His environment.

8. φρουράν] Cp. c. 23 ἐν τῆ τοῦ θανάτου φρουρᾶ. Krab. compares Plato Phaed. 62 Β ἔν τινι φρουρᾶ ἐσμὲν οἱ ἄνθρωποι.

*ib.*  $\tau o \hat{v}$   $\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi o \dot{v}$ ] here used of

'mankind' as a whole.

10. νέκρωσιν] 'a state of death.'

17. κατωφερη]=κάτω φερόμενα. 18. δι' άλλ. κρατ.] 'held by one

another.'

21. διατυποῦται] 'is represented in the neighbouring element.' Gr. has the same idea in in Bapt. Christi p. 585 (Migne) έπὶ δὲ τὸ συγγενὲς τῆς γῆς στοιχεῖον, τὸ ὕδωρ, ἐρχόμενοι, ἐκείνψ ἐαντοὺς ἐγκρύπτομεν, ὡς ὁ σωτὴρ τῆ γῆ.

ib. ὁ ἄνωθεν ἄνθ.] In iii 31,

I Cor. xv 47.

22. ἀναλαβών] 'after He had assumed a state of death.'

θέσιν τριταίος ἐπὶ τὴν ζωὴν πάλιν ἀνέδραμεν, οὕτω πᾶς ὁ συνημμένος κατὰ τὴν τοῦ σώματος φύσιν ἐκείνω πρὸς τὸ αὐτὸ κατόρθωμα βλέπων, τὸ κατὰ τὴν ζωὴν λέγω πέρας, ἀντὶ γῆς τὸ ὕδωρ ἐπιχεάμενος καὶ ὑποδὺς τὸ στοιχείον ἐν τρισὶ περιόδοις τὴν τριήμερον τῆς ἀνα- 5 στάσεως χάριν ἀπεμιμήσατο. εἴρηται δὲ τὸ τοιοῦτον καὶ ἐν τοῖς φθάσασιν, ὅτι κατ' οἰκονομίαν ἐπῆκται τῆ ἀνθρωπίνη φύσει παρὰ τῆς θείας προνοίας ὁ θάνατος, ὅστε τῆς κακίας ἐν τῆ διαλύσει τοῦ σώματος καὶ τῆς ψυχῆς ἐκρυείσης πάλιν διὰ τῆς ἀναστάσεως σῶον καὶ το ἀπαθῆ καὶ ἀκέραιον καὶ πάσης τῆς κατὰ κακίαν ἐπιμιξίας ἀλλότριον ἀναστοιχειωθῆναι τὸν ἄνθρωπον. ἀλλ' ἐπὶ μὲν τοῦ καθηγουμένου τῆς σωτηρίας ἡμῶν τὸ τέλειον ἡ κατὰ τὸν θάνατον ἔσχεν οἰκονομία, κατὰ τὸν ἴδιον

3 βλεπει e || 4 επιχεομενος dp || 6 om το vulg || 9 ωστε] ως f vulg

3. κατόρθωμα] a philosophical term denoting 'right conduct' or 'duty.' On the patristic use of the word='a right action,' 'a good work,' see Suicer Thesaurus. So Krab. (following the Paris editors) translates here 'ad idem, quod ab ipso recte gestum est, intuens.' The word, however, seems here to be used in the simpler sense of 'a successful accomplishment.' Looking to the same successful issue.' So Oehler, 'in Aussicht auf das gleiche glückliche Werk.' Cp. c. 36 τη εὐκολία τοῦ κατορθώματος.

ib.  $\tau \circ \kappa$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\zeta \dots \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha s$ ] 'the goal, which is life.' For this use of  $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$  with the acc. as a circumlocution for the gen. see Blass N.T. Gramm.

(Eng. tr.) p. 133.

4.  $\epsilon \pi \chi \epsilon \alpha \mu \nu \sigma s$ ] 'having water poured upon him.' This is the force of the mid. Cp. infra τὸ ὕδωρ τρὶs  $\epsilon \pi \chi \kappa \epsilon \alpha \mu \nu \sigma \sigma$ . The word suggests affusion, rather than immersion. But  $\epsilon \pi \sigma \delta \nu s$  which follows implies immersion.

5.  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \delta o \iota s$ ] Gr. is thinking of the 'three separate times' that the

convert is immersed and rises again from the baptismal waters.

ib. τριήμερον] 'attained after three days.' Cp. in Bapt. Chr. p. 585 (Migne) την τριήμερον εαυτοίς της αναστάσεως χάριν έξεικονίζομεν.

7.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau o \hat{i} \hat{s}$   $\phi \theta \dot{a} \sigma$ .] i.e. in c. 8.

See also c. 16.

ib.  $\kappa \alpha \tau'$  olkopomiar] 'by way of accommodation,' i.e. in view of the circumstances of man's Fall. ' $E\pi\hat{\eta}$ - $\kappa\tau\alpha$ , 'introduced.'

10. έκρυείσης] Cp. c. 16 της έμμιχθείσης τη φύσει κακίας διὰ της

διαλύσεως ημών έκρυείσης.

11. ἀπαθη] 'free from passion.' 'Ακέραιον, 'pure.' Cp. Rom. xvi 19.

12. ἀναστοιχειωθῆναι] Cp. c. 8 πρὸς τὸ ἐξ ἀρχῆς κάλλος ἀναστοι-

χειώσει τὸ σκεῦος.

14.  $\dot{\eta}$  κ. τ. θ....οίκ.] Cp. c. 32  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  τ $\dot{\varphi}$  καιρ $\hat{q}$  τ $\dot{\eta}$ s κατὰ τὸν θάνατον οἰκονομίαs. Gr. means that in Christ's death the purpose for which death was appointed was fully attained (τὸ τέλειον ἔσχεν). This is further explained in the following sentence διεστάλη τε γὰρ κ. τ.λ.

ib. κατά τ. ίδ. σκοπόν] 'being

σκοπον έντελως πληρωθείσα. διεστάλη τε γάρ διὰ τοῦ θανάτου τὰ ἡνωμένα καὶ πάλιν συνήχθη τὰ διακεκριμένα, ώς ἃν καθαρθείσης τῆς φύσεως ἐν τῆ τῶν συμφυῶν διαλύσει, ψυχής τε λέγω καὶ σώματος, πάλιν ή τῶν ς κεγωρισμένων έπάνοδος της άλλοτρίας έπιμιξίας καθαρεύουσα γένοιτο· έπὶ δὲ τῶν ἀκολουθούντων τῷ καθηγουμένω οὐ γωρεί την ακριβή μίμησιν δι' όλων ή φύσις, αλλ' όσον δυνατώς έγει, τοσούτον νύν παραδεξαμένη, τὸ λείπον τῶ μετὰ ταῦτα ταμιεύεται χρόνω. τί οὖν ἔστιν ὁ μιμεῖται; 10 τὸ τῆς ἐμμιχθείσης κακίας ἐν τῆ τῆς νεκρώσεως εἰκονι τῆ γενομένη διά τοῦ ὕδατος τὸν ἀφανισμὸν ἐμποιῆσαι, οὐ μὴν τελείως άφανισμόν, άλλά τινα διακοπήν τής του κακού συνεγείας, συνδραμόντων δύο πρός την της κακίας άναίρεσιν, της τε του πλημμελήσαντος μεταμελείας και της 15 τοῦ θανάτου μιμήσεως, δι' ὧν ἐκλύεταί πως ὁ ἄνθρωπος της πρός τὸ κακὸν συμφυίας, τη μεταμελεία μὲν εἰς μῖσός

4 om λεγω f | 5-6 γενοιτο καθαρευουσα l om γενοιτο h | 8 νυν] + δυνατως f || ο εν τω μετα 1 vulg || τεταμιευται e || το επιμιχθεισης d || ττ εμφανισμον e || 13 δυοίν f vulg

completely fulfilled in accordance with its special aim.' For èντελως see note on  $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$  c. 24.

1. διεστάλη] For the argument see c. 16, upon which the language of the present passage is largely modelled.

3. συμφυών] Cp. c. 16 άλλήλοις συμφυομένων.

7. οὐ χωρεί] 'our nature does not admit of.'

9. ταμιεύεται] 'what is lacking it stores up for (lit. 'in') the time to come.' For ταμιεύειν cp. c. 8 έν

τῷ μετὰ ταῦτα βίω τεταμίευται ἡ  $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon i\alpha$ .

10–11. τδ...ϵμποιη̂σαι] The action here described is the answer to the preceding question τί...ἔστιν δ μιμείται; 'The effecting of the destruction of the evil mingled in our nature, represented in the image of mortification conveyed by the water.' Διὰ τοῦ ὕδατος goes with γενομένη. The passing beneath the water is an εlκών of mortification, just as the rising again is a type of the resurrection. Cp. infra την σωτήριον ταφην καὶ ἀνάστασιν...ὑποκρινόμεθα.

12. διακοπήν] It is not so much a complete destruction of sin, as 'a kind of break in the continuity of sin.'  $\Delta \iota \alpha \kappa o \pi \dot{\eta}$  is lit. 'a gash' or 'cleft.'

14. μεταμελείας] 'repentance,' used here in the same sense as μετάνοια.

14-15.  $\tau$ .  $\tau$ .  $\theta$ .  $\mu$ ιμήσεως Gr. means by this expression the baptismal imitation of the death of Christ. The complete 'death unto sin' is only ideally and not actually realized in baptism.

16. συμφυίαs] Cp. συμφυών supra and c. 8 πολλήν γεγενήσθαι τη ψυχή πρός τὸ κακὸν συμφυίαν.

τε καὶ ἀλλοτρίωσιν τῆς κακίας χωρῶν, τῷ δὲ θανάτω τοῦ κακοῦ τὸν ἀφανισμὸν ἐργαζόμενος. ἀλλ' εἰ μὲν ἦν δυνατὸν έν τελείω τω θανάτω γενέσθαι τον μιμούμενον, οὐδ' αν μίμησις, άλλα ταὐτότης τὸ γινόμενον ἦν, καὶ εἰς τὸ παντελές τὸ κακὸν ἐκ τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν ἡφανίζετο, ὥστε, ς καθώς φησιν ὁ ἀπόστολος, ἐφάπαξ ἀποθανεῖν τῆ ἁμαρτία. έπει δέ, καθώς είρηται, τοσούτον μιμούμεθα της ύπερεγούσης δυνάμεως όσον γωρεί ήμων ή πτωγεία της φύσεως. τὸ ὕδωρ τρὶς ἐπιχεάμενοι καὶ πάλιν ἀναβάντες ἀπὸ τοῦ ύδατος, την σωτήριον ταφην και ανάστασιν την έν τριημέρω 10 γενομένην τῶ γρόνω ὑποκρινόμεθα, τοῦτο λαβόντες κατὰ διάνοιαν ότι, ώς ήμεν εν εξουσία το ύδωρ εστί, και εν αὐτῶ γενέσθαι καὶ έξ αὐτοῦ πάλιν ἀναδῦναι, κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ἐπ' ἐξουσίας ἢν ὁ τοῦ παντὸς ἔγων τὴν δεσποτείαν, ώς ήμεις εν τω ύδατι, ούτως εκείνος εν τω 15 θανάτω καταδυείς, πάλιν επί την ιδίαν αναλύειν μακαριότητα. εἰ οὖν τις πρὸς τὸ εἰκὸς βλέποι καὶ κατὰ τὴν ἐν έκατέρω δύναμιν τὰ γινόμενα κρίνοι, οὐδεμίαν ἐν τοῖς

Ι om τε f  $\parallel$  3 om τω h  $\parallel$  5 της φυσεως ημών το κακον l vulg  $\parallel$  6 αποθανη vulg  $\parallel$  9 αναβαινοντες f  $\parallel$  11 υποτυπουμεθα fg $^1$ l αποκρινομεθα vulg (l\* rasuram habet)  $\parallel$  12 το υδωρ εν εξουσια l vulg  $\parallel$  14 τω...επεχοντι f $^1$   $\parallel$  15–16 εν τω θανατω] αθανατω e  $\parallel$  16 καταδυναι f $^1$   $\parallel$  αναλυσαι f $^1$ g $^1$   $\parallel$  17 om εν l vulg  $\parallel$  18 τα γιν. κρινοι δυναμιν f

1. ἀλλοτρ.] 'putting away of vice.'

3.  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ ] 'a death that was complete.' The adj. is used as a predicate.

6.  $\delta \ a\pi \delta \sigma \tau o \lambda o s$  Rom. vi 10.

10. ταφ, καὶ ἀνάσταστν Similarly Cyril of Jerusalem says C. M. ii  $_4$ ,  $_5$  κατεδύετε τρίτον εἰς τὸ ΰδωρ καὶ ἀνεδύετε πάλιν, καὶ ἐνταῦθα διὰ συμβόλου τὴν τριήμερον τοῦ Χριστοῦ αἰνιττόμενοι ταφήν...οὐκ ἀληθῶς ἀπεθάνομεν, οἰδ' ἀληθῶς ἐτάφημεν, οἰδ' ἀληθῶς σταψρωθέντες ἀνέστημεν, ἀλὶ ἐν εἰκὸνι ἡ μίμησις, ἐν ἀληθεία δὲ ἡ σωτηρία. The basis of this exposi-

tion of baptism is of course Rom. vi

11.  $\tau$ οῦτο] refers to what follows ὅτι κ.τ.λ.

ib. λαβ. κ. διάνοιαν] 'thus interpreting it with the mind.'

12. έν έξουσία] It was in the power of Christ to rise from the dead, just as it is in the power of man to rise out of the waters of baptism.

16. ἀναλύειν] 'return.' Cp. c. 23 τήν τε τῶν τεθνηκότων ἐπὶ τὸν βίον ἀνάλυσιν (note) and c. 39 πρὸς ἐαυτὸν ἀναλύων.

γινομένοις εύρήσει διαφοράν, έκατέρου κατὰ τὸ τῆς φύσεως μέτρον ἐξεργαζομένου τὰ κατὰ δύναμιν. ὡς γὰρ ἔστιν ἀνθρώπφ τὸ ὕδωρ πρὸς τὸ ἀκινδύνως ἐπιθιγγάνειν, εἰ βούλοιτο, ἀπειροπλασίως τῆ θεία δυνάμει κατ' εὐκολίαν 5 ὁ θάνατος πρόκειται, καὶ ἐν αὐτῷ γενέσθαι καὶ μὴ τραπήναι πρὸς πάθος. διὰ τοῦτο τοίνυν ἀναγκαῖον ἡμῖν τὸ ἐν τῷ ὕδατι προμελετῆσαι τὴν τῆς ἀναστάσεως χάριν, ὡς ὰν εἰδείημεν ὅτι τὸ ἴσον ἡμῖν εἰς εὐκολίαν ἐστὶν ὕδατί τε βαπτισθῆναι καὶ ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου πάλιν ἀναδῦναι. 10 ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἐν τοῖς κατὰ τὸν βίον γινομένοις τινὰ τινῶν ἐστὶν ἀρχηγικώτερα, ὧν ἄνευ οὐκ ὰν τὸ γινόμενον κατορ-

Ι διαφωνιαν  $1^{*\text{vid}}$  vulg  $\parallel$  κατα $\parallel$  προς  $f \parallel 2$  επεργαζ. n εξεργασαμ. fl vulg  $\parallel$  4–5 ο θανατος κ. ε.  $f \parallel 5$  om ο vulg  $\parallel$  6 το παθος  $en \parallel 6$ –7 το προ. εν υδατι αναγκαιον ημιν  $f \parallel 7$  om το  $e \parallel$  10 γενομενοις fl vulg  $\parallel$  11 αρχικωτερα en deghnp  $\parallel$  om ουκ vulg  $\parallel$  αν vulg

I. διαφοράν] The idea of Gr. is that in each case the result is in proportion to the capacity of each. Christ by His Passion and Resurrection effected a result proportionate to His supernatural character. Man by submitting to Baptism equally effects that which is within his capacity, i.e. such a death unto sin as he is capable of.

2.  $\xi \sigma \tau \iota \nu = \xi \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ .

3.  $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \iota \gamma \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ] A marginal note in  $\epsilon$  explains this as equivalent to  $\psi \alpha \dot{\iota} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ , while the margin of  $\beta$  has  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \dot{\iota} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ . As used here it is a somewhat colourless term. 'Come in contact with.'

4. ἀπειροπλασίωs] 'infinitely more,' strengthens κατ' εὐκολίαν.

ib. κατ' εὐκολίαν] 'with facility' or 'ease.' Εὐκολία is used by Plato (Legg. 942 D) of bodily agility. Logically ἀπειροπλασίως and κατ' εὐκολίαν are connected with  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$  από μπ τραπῆναι, rather than with πρόκειται.

5. πρόκειται] 'is set before.' The

following infinitives  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$  and  $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \alpha \pi \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$  are explanatory of  $\pi \rho \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota$ .

ib. τραπηναι πρὸς πάθος] 'to suffer any change involving weakness.' Cp. the argument of c. 16.

7.  $\pi\rho o\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon r\hat{\eta}\sigma\alpha$ ] Baptism is a representation in act or 'preparatory rehearsal' of the resurrection.

8. τὸ ἴσον...εἰς εὐκ.] Τὸ ἴσον modifies εἰς εὐκ. Cp. ἀπειροπλασίως κατ' εὐκολίαν supra.

9. ἀναδῦναι] suggested by the rising from the immersion of bap-

tism

10.  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$   $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho]$  Baptism, Gr. says, may seem a humble beginning of a process which finds its climax in a resurrection to a life of blessedness. Yet it is a necessary beginning, if that final state is to be attained, just as the humble beginnings of human life are a necessary stage in the production of a man.

11. ἀρχηγικώτερα] 'there are some things which are primary in com-

parison with others.

θωθείη, καίτοι, εἰ πρὸς τὸ πέρας ἡ ἀρχὴ κρίνοιτο, ἀντ' ούδενος είναι δόξει τοῦ πράγματος ή άρχη συγκρινομένη τῶ τέλει· τί γὰρ ἴσον ἄνθρωπος καὶ τὸ πρὸς τὴν σύστασιν τοῦ ζώου καταβαλλόμενον; αλλ' ὅμως, εἰ μὴ ἐκεῖνο εἴη, ούδ' ἂν τοῦτο γένοιτο· οὕτως καὶ τὸ κατὰ τὴν μεγάλην 5 ανάστασιν, μείζον ον τη φύσει, τας αρχάς έντευθεν καὶ τὰς αἰτίας ἔχει οὐ γάρ ἐστι δυνατὸν ἐκεῖνο γενέσθαι, εί μη τούτο προκαθηγήσαιτο. μη δύνασθαι δέ φημι δίχα της κατά το λουτρον αναγεννήσεως εν αναστάσει γενέσθαι τὸν ἄνθρωπον, οὐ πρὸς τὴν τοῦ συγκρίματος ἡμῶν ἀνά- 10 πλασίν τε καὶ ἀναστοιγείωσιν βλέπων πρὸς τοῦτο γὰρ δεί πάντως πορευθήναι την φύσιν οἰκείαις ἀνάγκαις κατά

2 τα πραγματα d | 11 αναβλεποντες vulg | 12 δη παντως δει dgl\*vid δει παντως δει hnp

 πρὸς τὸ πέρας] 'ruith reference to (or 'by comparison with') the end.'

ib. ἀντ' οὐδενός] 'as good as

10. as overlained as nothing, 'of no account.'
3. τί γάρ κ.τ.λ.] Cp. c. 33. 'What equality is there between a man and' etc.

4.  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu o$  refers to  $\tau \dot{o} \dots \kappa a \tau a$ βαλλόμενον, τοῦτο to ἄνθρωπος.

5. την μεγάλην αν. The words μεγάλην and μείζον contrast the resurrection with its symbolic beginning in baptism.

6.  $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu$  i.e. from baptism. 9. κατά τὸ λουτρόν] Cp. c. 32 fin. ή κατά το λουτρον οίκονομία.

10–11.  $ο\dot{v}...βλέπων$ ] By the resurrection Gr. does not mean the general resurrection of all men resulting from the mere 'refashioning' and 'renewal' of the composite elements of man's being, which were dissolved in death. He means the restoration to the blessed and divine life (την ἐπὶ τὸ μακάριόν τε καὶ θεῖον ... ἀποκατάστασιν).

ib. συγκρίματος Cp. c. 16 τοῦ

άνθρωπίνου συγκρίματος.

11. ἀναστοιχείωσιν] On the words άναστοιχειούν and άναστοιχείωσις see c. 8 (notes). They are used commonly by Gr. to denote the renewal of humanity through the Incarnation. Here, however, Gr. uses ἀναστοιχείωσις in a more general sense of the recombination of the elements of human nature, after the dissolution of death.

ib.  $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \sigma \partial \tau \sigma$  This clause, as far as  $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\epsilon\omega s$ , is a parenthesis, explaining what he means by the

general resurrection.

12. oik. aváykais for to this our nature must in any case attain, impelled by its own fixed laws, in accordance with the plan of Him who so designed it.' Gr. means that the resurrection of mankind is due to the operation of natural laws, and distinct from the resurrection to a life of blessedness, which is the work of grace. Gr. assumes here, what he has already stated (cc. 5, 8), that man is by nature immortal. See esp. c. 8 ή νεκρότης οἰκονομικῶς περιετέθη τη είς αθανασίαν κτισθείση φύσει.....τὸ αἰσθητὸν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου μέρος διαλαμβάνουσα, αὐτῆς δὲ τῆς θείας εἰκόνος οὐ προσαπτομένη.

την του τάξαντος οἰκονομίαν συνωθουμένην, κἂν προσλάβη την έκ τοῦ λουτροῦ χάριν, καν ἄμοιρος μείνη της τοιαύτης μυήσεως άλλα την έπι το μακάριον τε και θείον και πάσης κατηφείας κεχωρισμένον αποκατάστασιν. οὐ γάρ 5 όσα δι' αναστάσεως την έπι το είναι πάλιν επάνοδον δέχεται, πρὸς τὸν αὐτὸν ἐπάνεισι βίον, ἀλλά πολύ τὸ μέσον τῶν τε κεκαθαρμένων καὶ τῶν τοῦ καθαρσίου προσδεομένων εστίν. εφ' ὧν γὰρ κατὰ τὸν βίον τοῦτον ή διὰ τοῦ λουτροῦ προκαθηγήσατο κάθαρσις, πρὸς τὸ 10 συγγενὲς τούτοις ἡ ἀναχώρησις ἔσται· τῷ δὲ καθαρῷ τὸ ἀπαθές προσωκείωται, ἐν δὲ τῆ ἀπαθεία τὸ μακάριον είναι οὐκ ἀμφιβάλλεται. οἷς δὲ προσεπωρώθη τὰ πάθη καὶ οὐδὲν προσήχθη τῆς κηλίδος καθάρσιον, οὐχ ὕδωρ μυστικόν, οὐκ ἐπίκλησις θείας δυνάμεως, οὐχ ή ἐκ μετα-15 μελείας διόρθωσις, ανάγκη πᾶσα καὶ τούτους ἐν τῶ καταλλήλω γενέσθαι. κατάλληλον δὲ τῷ κεκιβδηλευ-

2 την] τινα  $\mathbf{f} \parallel \mathbf{g}$  μιμησεως  $\mathbf{d} \parallel \mathbf{g}$  κεχωρισμένον] απηλλαγμένην  $\mathbf{f}^1 \parallel$ 9 om  $\tau$ ov f || 10  $\sigma$ v $\gamma$ e $\nu$ es] +  $\eta$  $\delta\eta$  f || om  $\eta$  1\* vulg || 13  $\pi$  $\rho$ o $\eta$  $\chi$  $\theta\eta$  1\* vulg || 15 ορθωσις l\* vulg | 16 το κεκιβδηλευμένον χρυσιον f\*

3.  $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\epsilon\omega s$ ] Cp. antea c. 33  $\mu\nu\eta\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\iota$  (note).

4. κατηφείας] Cp. James iv 9 (with Mayor's note).

5.  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \delta \epsilon i \nu \alpha i$  For this use of είναι cp. c. 32 μηδέν έμπόδιον πρός τὸ είναι ποιήσασθαι.

6.  $\tau \delta \ \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$ ] Cp. c. 6  $\pi \delta \lambda \hat{\omega}$ τώ μέσω, and c. 32 μηδενὶ μέσω. 7. καθαρσίου] Cp. antea c. 27

τῷ καθαρσίῳ (note).

9. προς το συγγενές] explained in what follows as τὸ ἀπαθές. Those who have been purified by baptism enter upon a life congenial (συγ- $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon s$ ) to their state. The appropriate state ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \kappa \epsilon i \omega \tau \alpha \iota$ ) for the pure is freedom from passion. In c. 6 Gr. speaks of man as originally ἀπαθὴς τὴν φύσιν. Man's nature first became  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\alpha\theta\dot{\eta}s$  through the Fall. Cp. de An. et Res. p. 148 (Migne), where he also says  $\pi \rho \delta s$ δὲ τὴν ἀπαθῆ μακαριότητα πάλιν άναδραμοῦσα οὐκέτι τοῖς ἐπακολουθοῦσι τῆς κακίας συνενεχθήσεται.

12.  $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \pi \omega \rho \omega \theta \eta$ ] Cp. c.  $8 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \omega \rho o \hat{v} \tau a \iota$  (note). But those whose natures have become crusted over with their passions.' The idea is that the passions have formed a covering or crust upon the heart. Cp. Rom. xi 7 (with Sanday and Headlam's note) and 2 Cor. iii 14.

13. ὕδωρ μυστικόν] 'sacramental water.' Cp. c. 34 της μυστικής ταύτης

οίκονομίας (note).

15. διόρθωσις] 'amendment,' 'reform' of life, resulting from penitence.

ib. ἐν τῷ καταλλήλῳ γεν.] 'should be in their appropriate place."

16. κατάλληλον] The appropriate place for gold which is adulterated μένω χρυσίω το χωνευτήριον, ώς της εμμιχθείσης αὐτοῖς κακίας ἀποτακείσης μακροῖς ὕστερον αἰῶσι καθαρὰν ἀποσωθῆναι τῷ θεῷ τὴν φύσιν. ἐπεὶ οὖν ῥυπτική τίς ἐστι δύναμις ἐν τῷ πυρὶ καὶ τῷ ὕδατι, οἱ διὰ τοῦ ὕδατος τοῦ μυστικοῦ τὸν τῆς κακίας ῥύπον ἀποκλυσάμενοι τοῦ 5 ἐτέρου τῶν καθαρσίων εἰδους οὐκ ἐπιδέονται· οἱ δὲ ταύτης ἀμύητοι τῆς καθάρσεως ἀναγκαίως τῷ πυρὶ καθαρίζονται.

36. Μη γαρ είναι δυνατον ὅ τε κοινος δείκνυσι λόγος καὶ ἡ τῶν γραφῶν διδασκαλία ἐντος τοῦ θείου γενέσθαι 10 χοροῦ τον μη καθαρῶς πάντας τοὺς ἐκ κακίας σπίλους ἀπορρυψάμενον. τοῦτό ἐστιν ο μικρον ον καθ' ἑαυτο μεγάλων ἀγαθῶν ἀρχή τε καὶ ὑπόθεσις γίνεται. μικρον

ι ως] ωστε gl¹  $\parallel$  2 om καθαραν d  $\parallel$  8 καθαριζονται] παραδιδονται f **36.** 11 τον] το n  $\parallel$  εκ της κακιας f

is the refiner's furnace. For the idea see c. 26 (notes). Gr. is speaking of a purification which follows the resurrection, not of a purgatory in some intermediate state between death and the resurrection. The κάθαρσις of which he speaks refers only to those who have not passed through the waters of baptism. He nowhere states that the baptized person has to enter the χωνευτήριον. For the source of the idea see the passage of Origen quoted in the notes on c. 26. Other passages in Gr. dealing with the subject are de An. et Res. pp. 100, 152, 157, 160

(Migne), de Mortuis p. 524 (Migne).

1. χωνευτήριον] 'a smelting furnace.' The word is used of the refiner's furnace in Malachi iii 2 (LXX), a passage which was probably in Gr.'s mind.

2. μακροῖς ὕστ. αἰῶσι] Cp. c. 26

ταις μακραις περιόδοις (note).

3. ἀποσωθῆναι] Cp. c. 26. See further *Or. in illud Tunc ipse filius* (of doubtful authorship) p. 1316

(Migne) and passages quoted supra.

36. A complete purification from the stains of sin is necessary before man can enter the company of the blessed. The means of effecting this provided by baptism may seem insignificant and easy of performance. The efficacy of baptism however depends on the immanence of God, His special presence when invoked. and His activity in succouring the needy. The means by which baptism is effected is faith and water. one is within the power of our wills, the other is an element closely con-nected with human life. The blessing which results from baptism is nothing less than kinship with God.

κοινὸς ... λόγος] 'the general reason' of mankind, 'common sense.'
 Cp. c. 5 τῶν κοινῶν ἐννοιῶν.

10-11.  $\theta \epsilon iov...\chi o \rho o \hat{v}$ ] i.e. the com-

pany of the blessed.

13. ἀρχή τ. κ. ὑπόθεσις] Cp. c. 6 ἡ ἀπάθεια τῆς κατ' ἀρετὴν ζωῆς ἀρχὴ καὶ ὑπόθεσις γίνεται (note).

δέ φημι τῆ εὐκολία τοῦ κατορθώματος. τίς γὰρ πάρεστι πόνος τῷ πράγματι, πιστεῦσαι πανταχοῦ τὸν θεὸν εἶναι, εὐν πᾶσι δὲ ὄντα, παρεῖναι καὶ τοῖς ἐπικαλουμένοις τὴν ζωτικὴν αὐτοῦ δύναμιν, παρόντα δὲ τὸ οἰκεῖον ποιεῖν; 5 ἴδιον δὲ τῆς θείας ἐνεργείας ἡ τῶν δεομένων ἐστὶ σωτηρία. αὕτη δὲ διὰ τῆς ἐν ὕδατι καθάρσεως ἐνεργὸς γίνεται. ὁ δὲ καθαρθεὶς ἐν μετουσία τῆς καθαρότητος ἔσται, τὸ δὲ ἀληθῶς καθαρὸν ἡ θεότης ἐστίν. ὁρᾶς ὅπως μικρόν τι τὸ κατὰ τὴν ἀρχήν ἐστι καὶ εὐκατόρθωτον, πίστις καὶ 10 ὕδωρ, ἡ μὲν ἐντὸς τῆς προαιρέσεως ἡμῶν ἀποκειμένη, τὸ δὲ σύντροφον τῆ ἀνθρωπίνη ζωῆ. ἀλλὰ τὸ ἐκ τούτων ἀναφυόμενον ἀγαθὸν ὅσον καὶ οἷον, ὡς πρὸς αὐτὸ τὸ θεῖον ἔχειν τὴν οἰκειότητα.

## 3 εν τοις επι. f | 7 καθαρισθεις l vulg

1. κατορθώματος] Cp. c. 35 κατόρθωμα (note). 'I call it small owing to the ease with which it is successfully done.' Cp. εὐκατόρθωτον infra.

ib. τls γάρ] There can be no difficulty, Gr. maintains, for the baptized person in believing that God is present in the baptismal rite. In what follows he summarizes the arguments of cc. 33, 34.

4. τὸ οἰκεῖον] 'that τυhich befits His character,' explained in what follows as ἡ τῶν δεομένων σωτηρία. Gr. has used the same argument before. Cp. c. 27 ἔν πρέπον ἐστὶ τῷ θεῷ τὸ εὐεργετεῖν τὸν δεόμενον.

6.  $α \rlap/ v τ \eta$ ] sc.  $\dot \eta$   $σ ω τ τ ρ \iota \alpha$ . The purification effected in Baptism is the means by which the convert enters upon a state of  $σ ω τ τ \rho \iota \alpha$ . This  $σ ω τ \tau \rho \iota \alpha$  is defined below as  $π \rho \delta s$ 

αὐτὸ τὸ θεῖον ἔχειν τὴν οἰκειότητα. The process is of course only ideally complete in baptism. Hence Gr. uses ἔσται, not ἐστίν, in the next sentence.

9. εὐκατόρθωτον] 'easily effected.' Cp. supra τῆ εὐκολία τοῦ κατορθώματος.

10. τὸ δὲ σύντροφον] 'while the other is intimately associated with man's life.' For σύντροφος cp. c. 23 πρὸς τὸ σύντροφόν τε καὶ συγγενὲς αὐτῷ βλέπων. For the idea cp. in Bapt. Christi p. 581 B (Migne) σύνθετος ὁ ἄνθρωπος, καὶ οὐχ ἀπλοῦς ...καὶ διὰ τοῦτο τῷ διπλῷ καὶ συνεξευγμένῳ τὰ συγγενῆ καὶ ὅμοια φάρμακα πρὸς θεραπείαν ἀπεκληρώθη 'σώματι μὲν τῷ φαινομένῳ, ὕδωρ τὸ αἰσθητόν 'ψυχῆ δὲ τῆ ἀρομάτῳ, Πνεῦμα τὸ ἀφανές, πίστει καλούμενον, ἀρρήτως παραγινόμενον.

37. 'Αλλ' ἐπειδὴ διπλοῦν τὸ ἀνθρώπινον, ψυχῆ τε καὶ σώματι συγκεκραμένον, δι' ἀμφοτέρων ἀνάγκη τοῦ πρὸς τὴν ζωὴν καθηγουμένου τοὺς σωζομένους ἐφάπτεσθαι. οὐκοῦν ἡ ψυχὴ μὲν διὰ πίστεως πρὸς αὐτὸν ἀνακραθεῖσα

**37.** 2-3 αναγκη...δι αμφοτερων τους σωζ. vulg  $\parallel$  τω...καθηγουμενω l vulg  $\parallel$  3 εφεπεσθαι  $fl^*$  vulg

## ii. Chap. XXXVII. On the Eucharist.

37. In Baptism the soul is knit to God by faith. But the body too needs grace. The means by which the body is brought into union with the Author of salvation is the Eucharist. The antidote to the poison which has corrupted the body must, like the poison which it counteracts, be distributed through the body. Thus it is that the Body of Christ which was made immortal by God enters into our body and wholly transforms it. The means by which this is effected is eating and drinking. But how can the one body be given whole to thousands of believers? A study of the nature of the body supplies an answer. The human body retains its bulk by the continual influx of nourishment, Food and drink become the 'body' and 'blood' of man. The Word of God Himself, when on earth, re-ceived nourishment from bread and wine, while His Body also by its union with the Word was raised to the dignity of Godhead. In like manner the bread which is consecrated by the Word of God is transformed, no longer by eating, but immediately, into His Body by the Word. In the same way we may explain how the wine becomes the Blood of Christ. Thus He plants Himself in the bodies of the faithful that they may partake of incorruption. Gr.'s treatment of the Eucharist should be compared with the contemporary language of Ambrose de Myst, cc. viii-ix, and with the later teaching of John of Damascus de Fid. Orth. iv 13, which shews clear traces of the influence of this chapter. For a discussion of the language of the present chapter see Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) vol. iv pp. 294 ff. Cp. also Schwane Dog-mengesch. vol. ii pp. 780 ff., Neander Ch. Hist. (Bohn) iv 438 ff. Other passages in which Gr. refers to the Eucharist are in Bapt. Christi p. 581 (Migne), in Chr. resurr. Or. ip. 612 (Migne), de Vita Moysis p. 368 (Migne), de perf. Christi p. 268 (Migne).

1.  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\lambda$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\eta$   $\delta\iota\pi\lambda$ .] In the preceding chapters Gr. has shewn the efficacy of baptism as a cleansing of the soul from sin. He now proceeds to discuss the provision made for the redemption of the body.

3. ἐφάπτεσθαι] 'lay hold of.' This reading is preferable to the reading  $\tau \hat{\varphi} \dots \kappa a \theta \eta \gamma o \nu \mu \epsilon \psi \dots \epsilon \dot{\varphi} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \theta a \iota$  which is found in f. Fronto Ducaeus cites Chrys. Hom. 82 (83) in Matt. T. vii p. 787 D (ed. Montfaucon) εὶ μὲν γὰρ ἀσώματος εἶ, γυμνὰ ἀν αὐτά σοι τὰ ἀσώματα παρέδωκε δῶρα ἐπεὶ δὲ σώματι συμπέπλεκται ἡ ψυχή, ἐν αἰσθητοῖς τὰ νοητά σοι παραδίδωσι.

4. ἀνακραθείσα] Cp. c. 11 κατακιρνᾶται and ibid. ἀνακράσεως (notes).

τὰς ἀφορμὰς ἐντεῦθεν τῆς σωτηρίας ἔχει· ἡ γὰρ πρὸς τὴν ζωήν ένωσις την της ζωής κοινωνίαν έχει το δε σώμα έτερον τρόπον εν μετουσία τε καὶ ἀνακράσει τοῦ σώζοντος γίνεται. ώσπερ γάρ οί δηλητήριον δι' επιβουλής λαβόντες 5 ἄλλω φαρμάκω την φθοροποιον δύναμιν ἔσβεσαν, χρη δὲ καθ' ομοιότητα τοῦ ολεθρίου καὶ τὸ ἀλεξητήριον ἐντὸς τῶν ανθρωπίνων γενέσθαι σπλάγχνων, ώς αν δι' έκείνων έφ' άπαν καταμερισθείη τὸ σῶμα ή τοῦ βοηθοῦντος δύναμις. ούτω τοῦ διαλύοντος τὴν φύσιν ἡμῶν ἀπογευσάμενοι το πάλιν άναγκαίως και τοῦ συνάγοντος τὸ διαλελυμένον έπεδεήθημεν, ώς αν έν ήμιν γενόμενον το τοιούτον αλεξητήριον την προεντεθείσαν τω σώματι του δηλητηρίου βλάβην διὰ τῆς οἰκείας ἀντιπαθείας ἀπώσοιτο, τί οὖν έστι τοῦτο; οὐδὲν ἔτερον ἢ ἐκείνο τὸ σῶμα ὁ τοῦ τε

2 ενωσις γνωσις f 4 ωσπερ] exstant segg in euth 3457 6 om και f αλεξιτηριον euth 7 vulg αλξιτηριον e  $\parallel$  6-7 εν τοις των ανθρωπων γενεσθαι σπλαγχνοις f | 8 μερισθειη d | 10 αναγκαιον ως  $1^{*vid}$  vulg | 11 αλεξιτηριον hl euth 7 vulg αλξιφαρμακον e

2. τὸ δὲ σῶμα] The antithesis suggests that the Eucharist is regarded mainly as a principle of life for the body. For the view held as to the effects of the Eucharist on the body see Iren. adv. Haer. iv 18. 4, Cyril of Jer. C. M. iv 1, 3, v 9, 15. A similar view may possibly be implied in Ign. Eph. 20 Eva άρτον κλώντες, ὅ ἐστιν φάρμακον ἀθανασίας, ἀντίδοτος τοῦ μὴ ἀπο- $\theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda$ . The starting point of such language is Jn vi 54, 58.

4.  $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \delta \rho$  The remainder of the chapter is reproduced in Euthym. Zig. Pan. Dogm. Tit. xxv pp. 1262 ff. (Migne), and in Theoriani disputatio cum Nersete (Mai Script. Vett. vi 366 sq.).

ib. δηλητήριον] Gr. has used the same illustration in a different connexion in c. 26.

ib. δι'  $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta o \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ ] Cp.  $\delta \epsilon \pi \iota$ -Βουλεύων с. 26.

5.  $\xi\sigma\beta\epsilon\sigma\alpha\nu$ ] a gnomic agrist.

7. σπλάγχνων 'the vital organs'

of the body of man.

ib. δι' ἐκείνων] i.e. τῶν σπλάγχνων. The antidote is distributed by means of the vital organs throughout the whole body.

9. τοῦ διαλύοντος τὴν φύσιν i.e. τοῦ θανάτου. Cp. de Hom. Opif. c. 20 ἡ δὲ βρῶσις ἐκείνη θανάτου μήτηρ τοις άνθρώποις γέγονεν. The ref. in απογευσάμενοι is to the story in Genesis iii. On the dissolution of human nature resulting from the Fall see c. 8.

11-13. ώς...ἀπώσοιτο] For this use of the fut. opt. in a final clause see Goodwin Greek Moods p. 39.

12. προεντεθείσαν] 'the mischief already introduced into the body by the deadly drug.'

13. αντιπαθείας] 'the reaction' of

the antidote upon the poison.

θανάτου κρεῖττον ἐδείχθη καὶ τῆς ζωῆς ἡμῖν κατήρξατο. καθάπερ γὰρ μικρὰ ζύμη, καθώς φησιν ὁ ἀπόστολος, ὅλον τὸ φύραμα πρὸς ἐαυτὴν ἐξομοιοῖ, οὕτως τὸ ἀθανατισθὲν ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ σῶμα ἐν τῷ ἡμετέρῳ γενόμενον ὅλον πρὸς ἑαυτὸ μεταποιεῖ καὶ μετατίθησιν. ὡς γὰρ τῷ φθοροποιῷ 5 πρὸς τὸ ὑγιαῖνον ἀναμιχθέντι ἄπαν τὸ ἀνακραθὲν συνηχρείωται, οὕτως καὶ τὸ ἀθάνατον σῶμα ἐν τῷ ἀναλαβόντι αὐτὸ γενόμενον πρὸς τὴν ἑαυτοῦ φύσιν καὶ τὸ πᾶν μετεποίησεν. ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐκ ἔστιν ἄλλως ἐντός τι γενέσθαι

ι ημων ehl euth 457 vulg  $\parallel$  προκατηρξατο euth 345  $\parallel$  3 αυτην e  $\parallel$  συνέξομοιοι eghlp  $\parallel$  θανατισθέν  $1^*$  vulg αποθανατισθέν f  $\parallel$  4 om του f  $\parallel$  5–6 του φθοροποιου...αναμιχθέντος 1 vulg  $\parallel$  6 συνηχρειωθη  $fg^1$   $\parallel$  8 om και euth  $\parallel$  9 γινέσθαι f1 γιγν- vulg

1. κατήρξατο] 'became the source of life.'

2. ὁ ἀπόστολος] ι Cor. v 6. For ζυμοῦ Gr. substitutes πρὸς ἐαυτὴν ἐξομοιοῦ, 'assimilates to itself.'

3. ἀθανατισθέν] For the idea cp. infra ὁ δὲ φανερωθεὶς θεὸς διὰ τοῦτο κατέμιξεν ἐαυτὸν τἢ ἐπικήρῳ φύσει, ἴνα τἢ τῆς θεότητος κοινωνία συναποθεωθἢ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον. Prob. in both passages the main benefit which Gr. connects with the Eucharist is that of immortality. Cp. Ign. Eph. 20 (quoted above). See further note on συναποθεωθείσης c. 35.

5. μεταποιεί 'transmutes and translates.' On Gr.'s use in the present chapter of the words μεταποιείν, μετατιθέναι, μεθιστάναι, and μεταστοιχειούν see Pusey Doctr. of Real Presence from the Fathers p. 162 ff. Μεταποιείν is used (1) in the present passage and in the following sentence of the transformation of our bodies by union with the immortal Body of Christ: (2) of the transformation of the Lord's human Body to a Divine dignity by the indwelling of the Word. See infra τὸ δὲ σῶμα τῆ ἐνοικήσει τοῦ θέοῦ λόγου πρὸς τὴν  $\theta \epsilon \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$   $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi o i \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ : (3) of the assimilation of bread by our Lord to His human Body, in/ra ò έν ἐκείνω τῷ σώματι μεταποιηθεὶs αρτος: (4) of the sacramental change of the elements, in/ra τὸν τῷ λόγω του θεου άγιαζόμενον άρτον είς σῶμα τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου μεταποιεῖσθαι πιστεύομεν, and again ὁ ἄρτος...εὐθὺς πρὸς τὸ σῶμα διὰ τοῦ λόγου μεταποιούμενος: (5) of the transmutation of the wine in our bodies into heat. infra: (6) of the change effected by baptism in the regenerate. Cp. c. 40. This variety of usage forbids us to attach to the word any particular idea of the kind of change denoted. The context alone must decide its force in each case.

*ib.* μετατίθησιν] used here as practically a synonym of μεταποιείν.

ib.  $\dot{\omega}s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$  for as when a deadly drug is mixed with a healthy body, the whole of what is mingled with it becomes as worthless as the drug. Gr. returns to his illustration. The dat. is governed by the  $\sigma(\nu)$  in  $\sigma \nu \nu \gamma \chi \rho$ . To  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \rho$ , is the body which has assimilated the drug. Gr.'s point is that as the deadly drug affects the whole body into which it is infused, so the immortal Body affects the whole body of him who receives it.

9. ἀλλὰ μήν] The remedy for

τοῦ σώματος, μὴ διὰ βρώσεως καὶ πόσεως τοῖς σπλάγχνοις καταμιγνύμενον. οὐκοῦν ἐπάναγκες κατὰ τὸν δυνατὸν τῷ φύσει τρόπον τὴν ζωοποιὸν δύναμιν τῷ σώματι δέξασθαι. μόνου δὲ τοῦ θεοδόχου σώματος ἐκείνου ταύτην δεξαμένου 5 τὴν χάριν, ἄλλως δὲ δειχθέντος μὴ εἶναι δυνατὸν ἐν ἀθανασίᾳ γενέσθαι τὸ ἡμέτερον σῶμα, μὴ διὰ τῆς πρὸς τὸ ἀθάνατον κοινωνίας ἐν μετουσίᾳ τῆς ἀφθαρσίας γινόμενον, σκοπῆσαι προσήκει, πῶς ἐγένετο δυνατὸν τὸ ἐν ἐκείνο σῶμα ταῖς τοσαύταις τῶν πιστῶν μυριάσι κατὰ πᾶσαν τοῦ μέρους γίνεσθαι καὶ αὐτὸ μένειν ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ ὅλον. οὐκοῦν ὡς ἂν πρὸς τὸ ἀκόλουθον ἡμῖν ἡ πίστις βλέπουσα

Ι και] η deghnp euth 35  $\parallel$  3 τω σωματί] του πνευματος l vulg  $\parallel$  4 μονον vulg  $\parallel$  6 ει μη l vulg  $\parallel$  7 γενομενον egll  $\parallel$  8 εν εκεινω gl  $\parallel$  10 οικουμενην] desunt seqq in f  $\parallel$  μεριζομενον g\*  $\parallel$  εν εκαστω gll vulg  $\parallel$  11 γενεσθαι l euth 5 vulg  $\parallel$  εαυτω euth εαυτο g\*p

the body can only be applied to it, Gr. argues, through the processes of eating and drinking.

2. κατὰ τ. δ....τρόπον] i.e. διὰ

βρώσεως καὶ πόσεως.

3.  $τ\hat{\omega}$  σώματι] dependent on  $\epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \epsilon s$ , 'necessary for the body.'

 ταύτην...τ. χάριν] i.e. τὴν ζ. δύναμιν. Cp. supra ἐκεῖνο τὸ σῶμα, δ τοῦ θανάτου κρεῖττον ἐδείχθη καὶ

της ζωης ημίν κατήρξατο.

8.  $\pi \hat{\omega} s$   $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau o$ ] Gr. asks how it was possible for the one Body of Christ to become in its entirety the possession of multitudes of believers through the portion received by each, and yet remain an undivided whole. His subsequent treatment of the Eucharist is intended as an answer to this question, and is accordingly limited in its scope.

10.  $\epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \sigma v$ ] The Mss are divided between  $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \dot{\kappa} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \dot{\omega}$  and  $\epsilon \dot{\kappa} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \sigma v$ . The former is probably a correction. With  $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \dot{\kappa} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \dot{\omega}$  translate 'enters whole into each recipient through the part given.' For the phrase  $\gamma \dot{\ell} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ 

 $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$  cp. antea c. 1 p. 9 (note). With έκάστου translate becomes in its entirety the possession of each recipient through the portion received.' Similarly Zinus, the Latin interpreter of Euthymius (ed. 1555), translates 'totum cuiusque per partem evadat.' Gr.'s idea appears to be that, as the Body of Christ is one and undivided, the recipient, although he receives only a portion, becomes through that portion possessor of the whole. There is no idea, as in some later discussions, that 'totus Christus' is present under each species, and under each particle of the species of bread and wine. See Franzelin de SS. Eucharistia pp. 155 sq.

11. μένειν έφ' έαυτοῦ] For the constr. μένειν έπί τινος cp. c. 39 έπὶ τῆς τελειότητος τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ μένον

åεί.

12. πρὸς τὸ ἀκόλουθον] 'with a view to logical consistency.' The question which Gr. has just been propounding seems to involve a contradiction in terms.

μηδεμίαν αμφιβολίαν περί τοῦ προκειμένου νοήματος έχοι, μικρόν τι προσήκει παρασχολήσαι τον λόγον είς την φυσιολογίαν τοῦ σώματος. τίς γὰρ οὐκ οἶδεν ὅτι ἡ τοῦ σώματος ήμων φύσις αὐτή καθ' έαυτην ἐν ἰδία τινὶ ὑποστάσει ζωὴν οὐκ ἔγει, ἀλλὰ διὰ τῆς ἐπιρρεούσης αὐτῆς δυνάμεως συνέχει τε έαυτην καὶ έν τῷ εἶναι μένει, ἀπαύστω κινήσει τό τε λείπον πρὸς έαυτην έφελκομένη καὶ τὸ περιττεύον ἀπωθουμένη; καὶ ὥσπερ τις ἀσκὸς ὑγροῦ τινός πλήρης ών, εί κατά τον πυθμένα το έγκείμενον ύπεξίοι, οὐκ ἂν φυλάσσοι τὸ περὶ τὸν ὄγκον ξαυτοῦ 10 σχημα, μη αντεισιόντος άνωθεν έτέρου πρός το κενούμενον, ώστε τὸν ὁρῶντα τὴν ὀγκώδη τοῦ ἀγγείου τούτου περιοχήν είδέναι μη ίδίαν είναι του φαινομένου, άλλα τὸ είσρέον εν αυτώ γινόμενον σχηματίζειν τὸ περιέχον τὸν όγκον· ούτω καὶ ή τοῦ σώματος ήμῶν κατασκευὴ ἴδιον 15

6 αυτην e euth 3 | 8 απωθουμενη] desunt seqq in euth 3457 | 10 φυλασσει do φυλασσοιτο h

1. τοῦ πρ. νοήματος] 'the subject

proposed for our thought.'

2. παρασχολήσαι] lit. 'to busy oneself by the way.' 'It is fitting that our argument should turn aside for a moment to discuss the

physiology of the body.'

ib. την φυσιολογίαν | Cp. Arist. de Sens. c. 4 έν τη φυσιολογία τη  $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ . For Aristotle's doctrine of nutrition and growth see de Anima ii 4, de Gen. et Corr. i 5, de Part. Animalium ii 3. The importance of the following illustration for Gr.'s argument consists in the idea that bread and wine are potentially flesh and blood, and become so actually by the processes of eating and drinking and digestion.

4. ὑποστάσει] Cp. c. I οὐδὲ ἐν ὑποστάσει πάντως ἐστίν (note).

5. ἐπιρρεούσηs] Cp. antea c. 16 ή διὰ τοῦ ἐπιρρύτου τε καὶ ἀπορρύτου της τροφης του υποκειμένου διαμονή.

6. συνέχει...έαυτήν maintains

itself.' Cp. c. 5 p. 22 συνεκτική (note).

8.  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ ] 'just as a leathern bottle full of some liquid, if its contents were to leak out at the bottom, would not preserve its own shape around the mass, unless there entered into it other liquid from above to fill up the void, so that he who sees the rounded circumference of this vessel knows that it does not belong to what he sees, but that it is the liquid flowing into it and occupying it which gives shape to the thing containing the mass.

15. ἴδιον] is a tertiary predicate. 'Has nothing that we can recognize of its own to maintain itself by.' That which maintains the body, coming as it does from without, cannot be said to belong to ("διον) the body. Γνώριμον refers to that which the senses perceive. He is thinking specially of the shape and bulk referred to in his illustration.

μέν πρὸς τὴν έαυτης σύστασιν οὐδὲν ἡμῖν γνώριμον ἔχει, διὰ δὲ της ἐπεισαγομένης δυνάμεως ἐν τῶ εἶναι μένει. ή δὲ δύναμις αΰτη τροφή καὶ ἔστι καὶ λέγεται. ἔστι δὲ ούχ ή αὐτὴ πᾶσι τοῖς τρεφομένοις σώμασιν, ἀλλά τις 5 έκάστω κατάλληλος παρά τοῦ τὴν φύσιν οἰκονομοῦντος άποκεκλήρωται. τὰ μὲν γὰρ τῶν ζώων ριζωρυχοῦντα τρέφεται, έτέροις έστιν ή πόα τρόφιμος, τινῶν δὲ ή τροφή σάρκες εἰσίν, ἀνθρώπω δὲ κατὰ τὸ προηγούμενον ἄρτος. καὶ εἰς τὴν τοῦ ὑγροῦ διαμονὴν καὶ συντήρησιν πότον 10 γίνεται οὐκ αὐτὸ μονον τὸ ὕδωρ, ἀλλ' οἴνω πολλάκις έφηδυνόμενον, πρὸς τὴν τοῦ θερμοῦ τοῦ ἐν ἡμῖν συμμαχίαν. οὐκοῦν ὁ πρὸς ταῦτα βλέπων δυνάμει πρὸς τὸν ὄγκον τοῦ ήμετέρου σώματος βλέπει εν εμοί γαρ εκείνα γενόμενα αξμα καὶ σῶμα γίνεται, καταλλήλως διὰ τῆς ἀλλοιω-15 τικής δυνάμεως πρός τὸ τοῦ σώματος εἶδος τής τροφής

3 η δε δυν.] rursus incipit euth  $\| \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \| \epsilon \tau \iota \delta \epsilon$  vulg  $\| \delta \rho \iota \zeta \rho \rho \iota \chi \rho \rho \nu \tau \alpha$  $dg^*lp$  ριζωορυχουντα  $g^l \parallel 12$  ουκουν] ουκ αν  $dgp \parallel 14$  αιμα και σωμα]  $\epsilon \gamma \omega l$ 

5. κατάλληλος] Cp. c. 5 καταλλήλως έκαστον τῷ τῆς ζωῆς εἴδει κατεσκεύασται.

6. ριζωρυχοῦντα] 'by digging up roots.'

7.  $\tau \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon}$  The fragment of this chapter preserved in Mai Script. Vett. vi 366 reads kurós for τινών.

q.  $\tau \circ \hat{v} \quad \dot{v} \gamma \rho \circ \hat{v}$  i.e. the element of moisture in the human body.

11.  $\tau$ .  $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu o \hat{v}$  Wine is a means of supplementing the natural heat of

the body. See infra.

12. δυνάμει] 'virtually.' Used here in its Aristotelian sense as opposed to ἐνεργεία. Similarly below Gr. says of the human Body of Christ ἐκεῖνο τὸ σῶμα ἄρτος τῆ δυνάμει ην.

14. καταλλήλως] 'respectively,' i.e. solid food becoming flesh, while

liquid passes into blood.

ib. άλλοιωτικής δ.] the body's 'power of assimilating or digesting'

food. Cp. Theodoret in Ionam c. 2 καὶ ἡ ἀλλοιωτικὴ δύναμις τῆς γαστρός ένεργείν έκωλύετο. 'Αλλοίωσιs freq. denotes 'change of quality or affection.' See Arist. de Gen. et Corr. i 4, where it is defined in the words ὅταν ὑπομένοντος τοῦ ὑποκειμένου, αἰσθητοῦ ὄντος, μεταβάλλη έν τοις αὐτοῦ πάθεσιν.

15.  $\pi \rho \hat{o}s \tau. \tau. \sigma. \epsilon \hat{\iota} \delta os$  'the food being changed into the form of the body.' In using είδος here Gr. appears to have in mind the distinction between the 'form' of matter and its 'substance.' There is a passage in the de Hom. Opif. c. 27, which throws light upon the ideas which lie in the background of this passage. In that chapter Gr. is discussing the resurrection of the body. In spite of the continual flux going on in the body, its  $\epsilon l \delta o s$ remains unchanged, των απαξ έπιβληθέντων αὐτῷ παρὰ τῆς φύσεως σημείων οὐκ έξιστάμενον, άλλὰ πάμεθισταμένης. τούτων ήμιν τοῦτον διευκρινηθέντων τὸν τρόπον ἐπανακτέον πάλιν πρὸς τὰ προκείμενα τὴν διανοίαν. ἐζητεῖτο γὰρ πῶς τὸ εν ἐκεῖνο σῶμα τοῦ Χριστοῦ πᾶσαν ζωοποιεῖ τὴν τῶν ἀνθρώπων φύσιν, ἐν ὅσοις ἡ πίστις ἐστί, πρὸς πάντας μεριζόμενον καὶ αὐτὸ οὐ μειούμενον. τάχα 5 τοίνυν ἐγγὺς τοῦ εἰκότος λόγου γινόμεθα. εἰ γὰρ παντὸς σώματος ἡ ὑπόστασις ἐκ τῆς τροφῆς γίνεται, αὕτη δὲ

ι καθισταμένης l\*vid vulg  $\parallel$  3 εν εκείνω l¹ vulg

σαις ταις κατά τὸ σῶμα τροπαις μετά των ιδίων έμφαινόμενον γνωρισμάτων. In the same chapter Gr. discusses the relation of the  $\epsilon \hat{\iota} \delta os$  to the στοιχεία, and after stating that τάς κατά τὸ είδος διαφοράς αἱ ποιαὶ τῆς κράσεως παραλλαγαί μεταμορφούσιν, he proceeds: ή δὲ κρᾶσις οὐκ ἄλλη τις παρά τὴν των στοιχείων μίξιν ἐστί, στοιχεία δέ φαμεν τὰ τῆ κατασκευῆ τοῦ παντὸς ὑποκείμενα, δι' ὧν καὶ τὸ άνθρώπινον συνέστηκε σώμα, άναγκαίως τοῦ εἴδους οἶον ἐκμαγείω σφραγίδος τη ψυχή παραμείναντος, οὐδέ τὰ ἐναπομαξάμενα τῆ σφραγίδι τὸν τύπον ὑπ' αὐτῆς ἀγνοείται, ἀλλ' ἐν τῷ καιρῷ τῆς ἀναστοιχειώσεως ἐκεῖνα δέχεται πάλιν πρός έαυτήν, απερ αν έναρμόση τῷ τύπω τοῦ εἴδους ἐναρμόσειε δὲ πάντως ἐκεῖνα, ὅσα κατ' ἀρχῆς  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau \upsilon \pi \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \quad \tau \dot{\omega} \quad \epsilon \dot{\imath} \delta \epsilon \iota$ . The whole passage is important as throwing light upon Gr.'s language throughout the present chapter. In the parallel passage below (τη̂s τροφη̂s... πρός την τ. σ. φύσιν μεθισταμένης) Gr. describes the change of the elements of food as a change of φύσις, where φύσις denotes the sum of the qualities, which are the γνωρίσματα of the είδος. Ambrose, in his discussion of the change of the Eucharistic elements uses both 'species' and 'natura.' See de Myst. ix § 52 non valebit Christi sermo ut species mutet elementorum?...non enim minus est novas rebus dare quam mutare naturas.

Thus the idea of the whole clause is that the  $\sigma \tau \omega \chi \epsilon i \alpha$  of the food have a new 'form' imposed upon them so that they become the body.

1. μεθύσταμένης] Like the preceding word μεταποιεῦν, μεθιστάναι is used by Gr. in a variety of senses.
(1) Here and ἐη/τα p. 148 it is used of the transformation of food into body.
(2) In the words ἐη/τα ὁ ἐν ἐκείνω τῷ σώματι μεταποιηθείς ἄρτος εἰς θείαν μετέστη δίναμιν it is used of the change of bread in the Lord's human body to Divine power.
(3) In cc. 39, 40 μετάστασις is used of the moral change effected in the regenerate.

ib. διευκρυ.] 'after this thorough analysis.' Τὰ  $\pi \rho \sigma \kappa$  'the subject of our enquiry,' which he re-states in the next sentence.

3-4.  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \dots \tau \cdot \tau \cdot \hat{a} \nu \theta \cdot \phi \hat{v} \sigma \omega$ ] i.e. 'all mankind,' rather than 'the whole nature of man,' body as well as soul, since acc. to Gr. the Eucharist is specially intended for the body, and he would scarcely introduce a further thought at this point.

6.  $\tau$ .  $\epsilon i\kappa$ .  $\lambda \delta \gamma o \nu$ ] 'the probable account of the matter.' Gr. is conscious of the tentative character of his explanation  $(\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi a)$ .

ib. εi γ $\alpha \rho$ ] The protasis is continued through the following series of clauses, and again taken up by  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$  τοίνυν. The apodosis begins with ουτω κἀκει.

βρώσις καὶ πόσις ἐστίν, ἔστι δὲ ἐν τῆ βρώσει ἄρτος, έν δὲ τῆ πόσει τὸ ὕδωρ ἐφηδυσμένον τῶ οἴνω, ὁ δὲ τοῦ θεοῦ λόγος, καθώς ἐν τοῖς πρώτοις διήρηται, ὁ καὶ θεὸς ὧν καὶ λόγος, τη ἀνθρωπίνη συνανεκράθη φύσει καὶ έν τῶ ς σώματι τῶ ἡμετέρω γενόμενος οὐκ ἄλλην τινὰ παρεκαινοτόμησε τῆ φύσει τὴν σύστασιν, ἀλλὰ διὰ τῶν συνήθων τε καὶ καταλλήλων έδωκε τω καθ' έαυτον σώματι την διαμονήν, βρώσει καὶ πόσει περικρατῶν τὴν ὑπόστασιν, ή δὲ βρῶσις ἄρτος ἦν : ὥσπερ τοίνυν ἐφ' ἡμῶν, καθὼς 10 ήδη πολλάκις είρηται, ο τον άρτον ίδων τρόπον τινά τὸ σώμα τὸ ἀνθρώπινον βλέπει, ὅτι ἐν τούτω ἐκεῖνο γινόμενον τούτο γίνεται, ούτω κάκει το θεοδόχον σώμα την τροφην τοῦ ἄρτου παραδεξάμενον λόγω τινὶ ταὐτὸν ἦν ἐκείνω, τῆς τροφής, καθώς εἴρηται, πρὸς τὴν τοῦ σώματος φύσιν 15 μεθισταμένης: τὸ γὰρ πάντων ἴδιον καὶ ἐπ' ἐκείνης τῆς σαρκὸς ώμολογήθη, ὅτι ἄρτω κάκεῖνο τὸ σῶμα διεκρατεῖτο,

[ev] + μεν enth [ev] = εφηδυνομένον <math>[ev] [ev] = [ev] + μεν enth [ev] [ev] enth [ev] [ev]ανέκραθη euth 4 || 6 ανθρωπινη φυσει l vulg || 9 om τοινυν euth || 10 om πολλακις euth | 11 ανθρωπινον βλεπει σωμα 1: rursus incipit f | εκεινος euth 345 1 γενομένος euth 345 γενομένον dghnp euth 7

1.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \beta$ .] lit. 'included under the head of food is bread.'

4. συνανεκράθη] Cp. c. 11

ἀνακράσεως (note).

5.  $\pi$ αρεκαινοτόμησε] The idea of καινοτομείν is that of opening up fresh ground, e.g. the cutting into fresh veins in a mine. Thus it comes to be used of any innovation. 'Did not invent some different composition for human nature.'

6. συν. τ. κ. καταλλ.] 'by the usual and appropriate means.' The thought and much of the language of the following passage is reproduced in John Damasc. F. O. iv 13.

8. περικρατών τ. ὑπ.] 'maintaining' (or 'holding fast') its substance.' Περικρατών is used like διεκρατείτο below.

11. ἐν τούτω] refers, as also does the following  $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \circ$ , to  $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ . 'E $\kappa \in \hat{v}$ -

13. λόγω τινί] 'in a manner.'

ib. της τροφης κ.τ.λ.] Cp. supra, where, however, Gr. uses είδος instead of φύσιν, which here refers to the natural qualities or properties of body. The change effected by the rearrangement of the στοχεία of the food, so as to form 'body,' resulted in the acquisition of new properties or qualities. Cf. supra, notes on άλλοιωτικής and είδος.

15.  $\tau \delta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$  'for that which is characteristic of all men was admitted in the case of that flesh also, that that body too was main-

tained by bread.'

τὸ δὲ σῶμα τῆ ἐνοικήσει τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου πρὸς τὴν θεικὴν άξίαν μετεποιήθη. καλώς οὖν καὶ νῦν τὸν τῷ λόγω τοῦ θεοῦ ἀγιαζόμενον ἄρτον εἰς σῶμα τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου μεταποιείσθαι πιστεύομεν. καὶ γὰρ ἐκείνο τὸ σῶμα ἄρτος τῆ δυνάμει ην, ηγιάσθη δὲ τῆ ἐπισκηνώσει τοῦ λόγου τοῦ 5 σκηνώσαντος εν τη σαρκί. οὐκοῦν ὅθεν ὁ εν εκείνω τῶ σώματι μεταποιηθείς άρτος είς θείαν μετέστη δύναμιν, διὰ τοῦ αὐτοῦ καὶ νῦν τὸ ἴσον γίνεται. ἐκεῖ τε γὰρ ή τοῦ λόγου χάρις ἄγιον ἐποίει τὸ σῶμα ὧ ἐκ τοῦ ἄρτου ή σύστασις ήν, καὶ τρόπον τινὰ καὶ αὐτὸ ἄρτος ήν - ἐν- 10 ταῦθά τε ώσαύτως ὁ ἄρτος, καθώς φησιν ὁ ἀπόστολος,

3 αγιάζομενον] μεταποιουμενον f || om λογου f || 4 πιστευομαι vulg || 5 σκηνωσει d  $\parallel$  6 om  $\theta \epsilon \nu$  df  $\parallel$  9 εποιείτο σωμα  $l^{*vid}$  vulg  $\parallel$  10 autos f

1.  $\tau \delta \delta \delta \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ] A further step in the argument. Not only did bread become the Lord's Body, but that Body itself was transmuted by the indwelling of the Word to the dignity of Godhead. This additional thought is further developed below in the words οὐκοῦν ὅθεν ὁ ἐν ἐκείνω κ.τ.λ.

ib. θεικὴν ἀξ.] Cp. c. <math>35 τῆς άναληφθείσης παρ' αὐτοῦ καὶ συνα-

ποθεωθείσης σαρκός (note).

2.  $\tau \hat{\omega} \lambda \delta \gamma \omega$ ] Gr. has in mind the passage 1 Tim. iv 5, which he quotes below. In  $\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \delta \gamma \psi \tau$ .  $\theta$ . here, as also in διὰ λόγου θεοῦ in the quotation from St Paul, Gr. intends his readers to see a reference to the personal Word, to which he also refers below in the words διὰ τοῦ λόγου μεταποιούμενος. This is shown by the parallel which he draws with the action of the Word in the Incarnation. In the present passage Gr. is referring to Christ's institution of the Eucharist, when He consecrated bread and wine to the purposes of the Sacrament. It is in virtue of that original consecration by Christ that each succeeding particular consecration is effected. Cp. Ambrose de Myst. ix §\$ 52, 54; Chrys. de Prod. Judae hom. i 6 (ed. Montf. ii 384); Jo. Damasc. F. O. iv 13. Cp. also Justin Martyr's reference (A pol. i 66) το την δι' εύχης λόγου τοῦ παρ' αὐτοῦ εύχαριστηθείσαν τροφήν. See Scudamore Notit. Eucharist. (2nd ed.) pp. 572 sq.

4. τη δυνάμει] Cp. supra ταθτα βλέπων δυνάμει πρὸς τὸν ὅγκον τοῦ ήμετέρου σώματος βλέπει (note). In that passage bread is said to be 'virtually' the body, because it was capable of being converted into it. Here Christ's Body is spoken of as virtually bread, because bread had been converted into it.

5. ϵπισκηνώσϵι] 'indwelling.'  $\Sigma \kappa \eta \nu$ . In i 14.

6.  $\ddot{\theta} \epsilon \nu$ ] i.e. through the in-

dwelling or operation of the Logos. 11. καθώς φησιν] The words are similarly applied to the Eucharist by Origen Comm. in Matt. tom. xi (Migne p. 948). On their application in this sense see Scudamore l.c. p. 578. In ἐντεύξεως there is a reference to the prayer of consecration.

άγιάζεται διὰ λόγου θεοῦ καὶ ἐντεύξεως, οὐ διὰ βρώσεως προιών είς τὸ σῶμα γενέσθαι τοῦ λόγου, ἀλλ' εὐθὺς πρὸς τὸ σῶμα διὰ τοῦ λόγου μεταποιούμενος, καθώς εἴρηται

1 βρωσεως] + και ποσεως l vulg || 2 om γενεσθαι vulg || 3 om δια l vulg

1. οὐ διὰ βρώσεως] Gr. is thinking of the analogy of the Lord's earthly life. Then bread and wine became His Body and Blood mediately through the natural processes of eating and drinking. Now they become such immediately (εὐθύς) through the power of the Word and by means of the prayer of consecration.

2-3. εὐθὺς...μεταποιούμενος] Cp. infra τη της εύλογίας δυνάμει πρὸς έκείνο μεταστοιχειώσας των φαινομένων την φύσιν. Gr.'s language in these passages has been generally regarded as teaching a doctrine of the transformation of the elements, resembling in idea, though not in form, the later Western doctrine of transubstantiation. His language is interpreted in this sense by Fronto Ducaeus, and in more modern times by Franzelin de SS. Euch. Sacr. p. 232 f., Hilt Des hl. Greg. von Nyssa Lehre vom Menschen pp. 207 f., and Schwane Dogmengesch. (2e Aufl.) ii p. 780 f. Neander (Ch. Hist. iv p. 438, Bohn) thinks that some such view underlies the present chapter, but he qualifies it by reference to Gr.'s language in in Baptismum Christi p. 581 (Migne), where Gr. compares the effects of consecration in the case of the baptismal water, the anointing oil, ordination, and the bread and wine, as though they were changes of the same class. Pusey Real Presence from the Fathers pp. 180 ff., by an examination of the terms employed by Gr., contests the view that any transubstantiation is implied. His argument is conclusive so far as the terms go, but he scarcely does justice to Gr.'s treatment as a whole. For a discussion of the whole question see Introd. pp. xxxvi foll. In the present passage no argument can be drawn from the word μεταποιούμενον alone. The crucial point of the passage is the statement that bread and wine become actually and immediately (in contrast with διὰ βρώσεως κ.τ.λ.) the Body and Blood of the Lord in the Eucharist. Gr.'s language certainly implies much more than a change of use, such as takes place in the water of baptism. The illustration which he employs points to a change of properties or qualities due to the new relation into which the elements of bread and wine have been brought. suggests, however, a change of 'form' only, not a change of 'substance.' See notes supra on άλλοιωτικής and είδος, and on μεταστοιχειώσας τῶν φαινομένων τὴν φύσιν infra. Hence Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv p. 296 rightly says that Gr. teaches 'a qualitative unity' between the bread and the Body of Christ, rather than a complete identity, such as is stated by John of Damascus F. O. iv 13.

3. καθώς είρ.] Mk xiv 22 [Mt. xxvi 26; Lk. xxii. 19]. The change of the elements, following upon the act of consecration, depends upon the original institution of Christ, and the promise implied in the words τοῦτδ ἐστι τὸ σῶμά Franzelin (de SS. Euch. Sacr. p. 76) sees in Gr.'s reference to these words a proof that he regarded the consecration as effected by the recital of the words of institution. But such a deduction is not justified, as Gr. merely quotes the words to show that the bread is

Christ's Body.

ύπὸ τοῦ λόγου ὅτι Τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ σῶμά μου. πάσης δὲ σαρκὸς καὶ διὰ τοῦ ὑγροῦ τρεφομένης οὐ γὰρ ἃν δίχα τῆς πρὸς τοῦτο συζυγίας τὸ ἐν ἡμῖν γεῶδες ἐν τῷ ζῆν διαμένοι ὅσπερ διὰ τῆς στερρᾶς τε καὶ ἀντιτύπου τροφῆς τὸ στερρὸν τοῦ σώματος ὑποστηρίζομεν, τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον 5 καὶ τῷ ὑγρῷ τὴν προσθήκην ἐκ τῆς ὁμογενοῦς ποιούμεθα φύσεως, ὅπερ ἐν ἡμῖν γενόμενον διὰ τῆς ἀλλοιωτικῆς δυνάμεως ἐξαιματοῦται καὶ μάλιστά γε εἰ διὰ τοῦ οἴνου λάβοι τὴν δύναμιν πρὸς τὴν εἰς τὸ θερμὸν μεταποίησιν. ἐπεὶ οὖν καὶ τοῦτο τὸ μέρος ἡ θεοδόχος ἐκείνη σὰρξ πρὸς 10 τὴν σύστασιν ἑαυτῆς παρεδέξατο, ὁ δὲ φανερωθεὶς θεὸς διὰ τοῦτο κατέμιξεν ἑαυτὸν τῆ ἐπικήρφ φύσει, ἵνα τῆ τῆς

3 pros to zhe eith 7  $\parallel$  4 wsher] + gar g¹  $\parallel$  om te 1 vulg  $\parallel$  5 om tropour e  $\parallel$  6 tw ugrw] to udwr dg\*np  $\parallel$  8 ge] de f $\parallel$  om ei p $\parallel$  10 om to 1 vulg  $\parallel$  ekeinou vulg  $\parallel$  11  $\theta$ eos] logos 1\* vulg  $\parallel$  12 epikhrw andrww 1 vulg

2. διὰ τ. ὑγροῦ] For the need of τὸ ὑγρόν in nutrition cp. Arist. de Gen. Anim. iii 2.  $753^{h}$  25 δεῖ γὰρ τὴν τροφὴν σωματώδη οὖσαν ὑγρὰν εἶναι καθάπερ τοῖς ψυτοῖς. The clause οὐ γὰρ ἄν δίχα...διαμένοι is a parenthesis. The main clause is resumed with ὥσπερ κ.τ.λ., and completed in the sentence beginning τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον.

4. ἀντιτύπου] 'solid,' 'firm.'

Cp. ἀντιτυπίαs, c. 23.

7. ἀλλ. δυν.] Cp. supra p. 146 note.

8. ἐξαιματοῦται] 'is changed into blood.' The word is similarly used in Arist. de Somn. et Vigil. c. 3.

in Arist. de Somn. et Vigil. c. 3.

9. δύναμω] 'the power of being changed into heat.' The addition of wine enables the water to become heat in the body.

10. τοῦτο τὸ μέρος] 'this part also,' i.e. wine for the nourishment of the blood.

HOOG.

12. τη ἐπικήρω φ.] Cp. c. 1 ἡ ἡμετέρα φύσις ἐπίκηρος οὖσα.

ib.  $"iva...\sigma uva\pi o\theta \epsilon \omega \theta \hat{\eta}]$  Cp. supra

τὸ δὲ σῶμα τῆ ἐνοικήσει τοῦ θεοῦ λόγου πρός την θεικήν άξίαν μετεποιήθη. According to Gr. the object of the Incarnation was to effect the θέωσις of humanity. This was effected in the case of the Lord's human nature by the indwelling of the Word. It is continuously being effected in mankind as a whole by the dissemination (ἐνσπείρει) in believers of that Body which was exalted to Divine dignity. The Eucharist is the 'extension' of the process of the Incarnation. For the idea compare the language of Hilary de Trin. viii 13 Si enim vere Verbum caro factum est, et vere nos Verbum carnem cibo dominico sumimus; quomodo non naturaliter manere in nobis existimandus est, qui et naturam carnis nostrae iam inseparabilem sibi homo natus assumpsit, et naturam carnis suae ad naturam aeternitatis sub sacramento nobis communicandae carnis admiscuit? See further ibid. § 14.

θεότητος κοινωνία συναποθεωθη το ανθρώπινον, τούτου χάριν πασι τοις πεπιστευκόσι τη οικονομία της χάριτος έαυτον ενσπείρει δια της σαρκός, ης η σύστασις εξ οινου τε και άρτου εστί, τοις σώμασι των πεπιστευκότων κατα-5 κιρνάμενος, ως αν τη προς το αθάνατον ενώσει και ο άνθρωπος της αφθαρσίας μέτοχος γένοιτο. ταῦτα δε δίδωσι τη της εὐλογίας δυνάμει προς εκείνο μεταστοιχειώσας των φαινομένων την φύσιν.

1 συναποθεωρηθη l\*vid vulg  $\parallel$  3 ηs] ois vulg  $\parallel$  5 om o vulg  $\parallel$  6 γενηται f euth 7  $\parallel$  8 φυσιν] desinit euth

3.  $\epsilon \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon [\rho \epsilon i]$  'sows or plants Himself in,' as a seed or principle of life.

ib. διὰ τῆς σαρκός] by means of that Flesh, composed of wine and bread. The Paris edd. read ois, thus introducing a fresh and irrelevant thought.

5. ὁ ἄνθρ.] 'man,' corresponding to τὸ ἀνθρώπινον above.

7. τῆς εὐλογίας] i.e. the prayer of consecration, the μυστική εὐχή, on which see Suicer sub νοσε. Εὐχή, Σύναξις. The use of the word in this connexion is derived from Mk xiv 22; Mt. xxvi 26; I Cor. x 16. On its relation to the word εὐχαριστία compare with the passages just cited Mk xiv 23; Mt. xxvi 27; Lk. xxii 19; I Cor. xi 24, and see Scudamore Le. p. 573 sq. From its use in this sense εὐλογία came to denote the elements, whether before or after consecration. See Brightman Liturgies E. and W., Index.

ib. μεταστοιχειώσας] 'transforming the nature of the visible objects to that thing.' 'Εκεῖνο refers to τὸ ἀθάνατον i.e. the Lord's Body. Μεταστοιχειοῦν is used by Philo de Somniis p. 674 (Mangey) of the change of earth into water by Xerkes; also in de Migr. Abrah. i 449 (Mangey) of the change of rods into serpents. But more usu-

ally it appears to be used not so much of the substitution of one element for another, as of the rearrangement of the same elements, and the imposition upon them of a new form. Cp. the similar words άναστοιχειώσει c. 8 (note), and άναστοιχείωσιν c. 35. Gr. uses the word elsewhere: (1) of the change of the body after the Resurrection. Cp. Hom. i in Cant. p. 777 (Migne) τὸ μέν σῶμα μεταστοιχειωθέν πρὸς τὸ ἄφθαρτον, (2) of the Lord's Body being made impassible after the Resurrection. Cp. de Vit. Moysis p. 336 (Migne) τὸ τρεπτόν τε καὶ έμπαθές είς ἀπάθειαν μετεστοιχείωσεν. Βυτ he also uses it in a much more general sense of moral and spiritual changes. Cp. Ep. ad Eustathiam p. 1021 (Migne) ὁ οὖν τὴν φύσιν ἡμῶν πρὸς τὴν  $\theta \epsilon i \alpha \nu \delta i \nu \alpha \mu i \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma i \chi \epsilon i \omega \sigma \alpha s$ , and Ep. Can. ad Letoium p. 22 (Migne) τούς έκ παλιγγενεσίας μεταστοιχειουμένους. For further reff. to the patristic use of the word see Pusey Real Presence pp. 198 ff. Thus the word does not alter the conclusion already drawn that Gr. indicates in this chapter a change of 'form' rather than a change of 'substance.' For the latter idea see Hilt op. cit. p. 208. For φύσις see notes supra on  $\epsilon l \delta os$  and on the words  $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ τοῦ σώματος φύσιν μεθισταμένης.

- 38. Οὐδὲν οἶμαι τοῖς εἰρημένοις ἐνδεῖν τῶν περὶ τὸ μυστήριον ζητουμένων, πλὴν τοῦ κατὰ τὴν πίστιν λόγου, ὂν δι' ὀλίγου μὲν καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς παρούσης ἐκθησόμεθα πραγματείας. τοῖς δὲ τὸν τελεώτερον ἐπιζητοῦσι λόγον ἤδη προεξεθέμεθα ἐν ἑτέροις πόνοις, διὰ τῆς δυνατῆς ἡμῖν 5 σπουδῆς ἐν ἀκριβεία τὸν λόγον ἀπλώσαντες, ἐν οἶς πρός τε τοὺς ἐναντίους ἀγωνιστικῶς συνεπλάκημεν καὶ καθ' ἑαυτοὺς περὶ τῶν προσφερομένων ἡμῖν ζητημάτων ἐπεσκεψάμεθα. τῷ δὲ παρόντι λόγω τοσοῦτον εἰπεῖν περὶ τῆς πίστεως καλῶς ἔχειν ῷἡθημεν ὅσον ἡ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου το περιέχει φωνή, τὸ τὸν γεννώμενον κατὰ τὴν πνευματικὴν ἀναγέννησιν εἰδέναι παρὰ τίνος γεννᾶται καὶ ποῖον γίνεται
- **38.** I του μυστηριου l vulg  $\parallel$  4 τελειωτερον f τελειοτερον l vulg  $\parallel$  5 πονοιs] λογοις d  $\parallel$  ημων de  $\parallel$  8 προφερομενων g<sup>1</sup>  $\parallel$  11 και τον γενν. vulg

## iii. Chaps. XXXVIII-XL. On Faith and Repentance.

**38.** Our remaining task is to speak of the importance of faith. This has been dealt with more fully in other treatises. Here it suffices to show the importance for him who is regenerate of a right knowledge of the Author of his new birth, and of the nature of the life into which he is admitted.

The three remaining chapters of the treatise are intended to deal with the moral conditions required for a right use of Sacraments. Gr. refers only to Baptism, because that is the initiation of the new life, and the moral conditions of which he speaks begin with Baptism. Moreover this is a 'catechetical oration' designed to help in the preparation of candidates for baptism. Hence there is no need to assume, as has been done by Aubertin de Sacr. Euch. ii 487 (quoted by Rupp p. 147), that c. 40 is an interpolation, because it returns to the discussion of Baptism.

1. τὸ μυστ.] here, as elsewhere,

means the Christian religion.

4. πραγματείαs] Cp. note c. 6

p. 33.

5. ἐτέροις πόνοις] Gr.'s larger work, contra Ennomium, and also the de Dcitate Filii et Spiritus Sancti, both written before this time, deal with this question. See Introd. p. xiv.

ib. διὰ τῆς δ. ἡ. σπουδῆς] 'unfolding the subject with as great pains

as lay in our power.'

6.  $\pi\rho\delta s \ \tau\bar{e} \ \tau \cdot \dot{e}\nu$ .] The purpose of these works was twofold (1) controversial, (2) critical and constructive. Gr. claims not only to have replied to opponents, but to have given an independent  $(\kappa\alpha\theta)$   $\dot{e}\alpha\nu\tau\delta\dot{v}s$ ) consideration of the questions involved.

10. ὅσον] Gr. is prob. thinking of such passages as Jn i 13, iii 6, 7, the latter of which he quotes in c. 39. The clause τὸ τὸν γενν.... ζῶρν is in apposition to τοσοῦτον, viz. 'that he who is begotten with the spiritual generation knows' etc.

ζώον· μόνον γὰρ τοῦτο τὸ τῆς γεννήσεως εἶδος κατ' ἐξουσίαν ἔχει, ὅ τι περ ἂν ἕληται, τοῦτο γενέσθαι.

39. Τὰ μὲν γὰρ λοιπὰ τῶν τικτομένων τῆ ὁρμῆ τῶν ἀπογεννώντων ὑφίσταται, ὁ δὲ πνευματικὸς τόκος τῆς 5 ἐξουσίας ἤρτηται τοῦ τικτομένου. ἐπειδὴ τοίνυν ἐν τούτῷ ἐστὶν ὁ κίνδυνος, ἐν τῷ μὴ διαμαρτεῖν τοῦ συμφέροντος, κατ' ἐξουσίαν προκειμένης παντὶ τῆς αἰρέσεως, καλῶς ἔχειν φημὶ τὸν πρὸς τὴν γέννησιν τὴν ἰδίαν ὁρμῶντα προδιαγνῶναι τῷ λογισμῷ, τίς αὐτῷ λυσιτελήσει πατὴρ 10 καὶ ἐκ τίνος ἄμεινον αὐτῷ συστῆναι τὴν φύσιν εἰρηται γὰρ ὅτι κατ' ἐξουσίαν τοὺς γεννήτορας ὁ τοιοῦτος αἰρεῖται τόκος: διχῆ τοίνυν τῶν ὄντων μεμερισμένων εἰς τὸ κτιστὸν

1 τουτο...το είδος l vulg τουτο...είδος f **39.** 4 αυτο γεννωντων dp αυτα γενν. ehn  $\parallel$  om τοκος vulg  $\parallel$  8 γενεσιν ehnp  $\parallel$  10 om και f  $\parallel$  αυτω αμείνον e αυτου αμ. vulg  $\parallel$  11 του γεννητορος f

1. μόνον γάρ] 'for this form of generation alone has it in its power to become whatsoever it chooses.' By γενν. είδος Gr. of course means more exactly the person who is regenerated. Cp. infra c. 39 ò δè πνευματικός τόκος της έξουσίας ήρτηται τοῦ τικτομένου. For the special form of expression cp. ibid. κατ' έξουσίαν τοὺς γεννήτορας αίρεῖται ὁ τόκος. Gr.'s statement is not of course exact. A man cannot really choose to be born again of a created Son and Spirit. But as the moral condition of the recipient affects the value of baptism, his imperfect faith may deprive him of the full benefits of the rite. Gr. is here asserting the moral value of a right faith. See Introd. pp. xxxv fol.

**39.** The spiritual birth, unlike natural birth, depends upon the will of him who is being born. It is important that such an one should know what kind of parent he needs for the development of his nature, seeing that it is in his power to choose his parents. It is possible for

him to be the child of a nature which is uncreated and so unchanging, or of a nature which is created and subject to change. The Gospel sets before us a Trinity of Persons by Whom regeneration is effected. To believe that the Holy Trinity belongs to the uncreated world is to enter upon a stedfast, unchangeable life. To believe in a created Son and Spirit is to trust to an imperfect nature, which itself needs redemption. This is to be born, not from above, but from below.

3-4. τ. ὁρμ $\hat{\eta}$ ...ὑφ.] 'attain existence by the impulse of,' i.e. as contrasted with τ $\hat{\eta}$ s ἐξουσίας ἤρτηται τοῦ τικτομένου, which follows.

6. o kirðuros] The fact that the spiritual birth depends upon the will of him who is born involves the danger of his failing to choose that which is advantageous to him.

12.  $\delta i \chi \hat{\eta} \tau o i \nu \nu \nu$ ] Gr. now explains the nature of the choice which he has indicated as belonging to the catechumen. It is the choice between a spiritual birth into an

καὶ τὸ ἄκτιστον, καὶ τῆς μὲν ἀκτίστου φύσεως τὸ ἄτρεπτόν τε καὶ άμετάθετον ἐν ἐαυτῆ κεκτημένης, τῆς δὲ κτίσεως πρὸς τροπὴν ἀλλοιουμένης, ὁ κατὰ λογισμὸν τὸ λυσιτελοῦν προαιρούμενος τίνος αίρήσεται μάλλον γενέσθαι τέκνον, της έν τροπη θεωρουμένης η της αμετάστατόν τε καὶ ς παγίαν καὶ ἀεὶ ὡσαύτως ἔχουσαν ἐν τῷ ἀγαθῷ κεκτημένης την φύσιν; ἐπεὶ οὖν ἐν τῶ εὐαγγελίω τὰ τρία παραδέδοται πρόσωπά τε καὶ ονόματα δι' ὧν ή γέννησις τοῖς πιστεύουσι γίνεται, γεννάται δὲ κατὰ τὸ ἴσον ὁ ἐν τῆ τριάδι γεννώμενος παρά πατρός τε καὶ υίοῦ καὶ πνεύματος το άγίου ούτω γάρ φησι περί τοῦ πνεύματος τὸ εὐαγγέλιον ότι Τὸ γεγεννημένον ἐκ τοῦ πνεύματος πνεῦμά ἐστι, καὶ ό Παῦλος ἐν Χριστῶ γεννᾶ, καὶ Ὁ πατὴρ πάντων ἐστὶ πατήρ· ἐνταῦθά μοι νηφέτω τοῦ ἀκροατοῦ ή διάνοια.

8 yevesis deghip  $\parallel q$  om  $\tau \eta$  df  $\parallel 10$   $\pi$ apa  $\tau \epsilon$   $\pi$ a $\tau$ pos kai viou d  $\tau$ ov πατρος egp vulg του νιου...του πνευματος vulg | 11 om το vulg

eternal and uncreated nature or into a nature which is created and sub-

ject to change.

1. τὸ ἄτρεπτον] For the unchangeableness of the Divine Nature cp. Mal. iii 6, where the LXX has οὖκ ήλλοίωμαι: and for a discussion of the word ἄτρεπτος see W. R. Churton *Theological Papers* pp. 22 ff. For Greek thought cp. Plato Rep. ii 381 C άδύνατον άρα, έφην, καὶ θεῶ ἐθέλειν αὐτὸν ἀλλοιοῦν : Arist. de Caelo (p. 279 a) πολλάκις προφαίνεται τοις λόγοις ότι το θείον άμετάβλητον άναγκαῖον είναι πᾶν τὸ πρώτον καὶ ἀκρότατον. Cp. Philo de Nom. Mut. (p. 582, ed. Mangey) άτρεπτον γάρ καὶ ἀμετάβλητον, χρήζον ετέρου το παράπαν οὐδενός.

3. προς τροπην άλλ.] 'subject to alteration and change,' lit. 'in the direction of change.' Cp. c. 21 ή γὰρ ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὄντος εἰς τὸ εἶναι

πάροδος άλλοίωσίς τίς έστι.

5.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau \rho$ .  $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho$ .] For this use of θεωρείσθαι cp. prol. έν τοίς αὐτοίς θεωρουμένων.

7.  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\hat{\iota}$   $o\hat{\upsilon}\nu$ ] The sentence is

finally resumed in the words ἐνταῦθά μοι κ.τ.λ. 'Εν τ. εὐ.] i.e. Mt. xxviii

 $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \pi \alpha$  On the history of this word see Bethune-Baker Texts and Studies vii 1 pp. 72 ff. 'Ovóματα seems to refer to the words είς τὸ ὄνομα in Mt. xxviii 19. The phrase έν τη τρ. γεννώμενος is probably due to the influence of the passage 1 Cor. iv 15 which Gr. subsequently quotes.

11. οὕτω γάρ] Gr. gives three quotations to prove his contention that the grace of baptism proceeds equally from all three Persons of the Trinity.

 ib. τὸ ϵὐαγγ.] Jn iii 6.
 ia. ὁ Παθλος] 1 Cor. iv 15.
 ib. Ὁ πατήρ] The source of this third quotation is possibly Eph. iv 6, where the words  $\epsilon is \theta \epsilon \delta s \kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ πάντων follow immediately upon the mention of the ξν βάπτισμα.

14. νηφέτω] used here, as often in the N.T., of 'a mental state free from all perturbations or stupefactions' (Hort on 1 Pet. i 13).

μη της αστατούσης φύσεως έαυτην έκγονον ποιήση, έξον την άτρεπτόν τε καὶ ἀναλλοίωτον ἀρχηγον ποιήσασθαι της ίδίας ζωής. κατά γάρ την διάθεσιν της καρδίας τοῦ προσιόντος τη οἰκονομία καὶ τὸ γινόμενον την δύναμιν ς έχει, ώστε τὸν μεν ἄκτιστον ὁμολογοῦντα τὴν άγίαν τριάδα είς την άτρεπτόν τε και αναλλοίωτον είσελθείν ζωήν, τον δὲ τὴν κτιστὴν φύσιν ἐν τῆ τριάδι διὰ τῆς ἡπατημένης ύπολήψεως βλέποντα, έπειτα έν αὐτη βαπτιζόμενον, πάλιν τῶ τρεπτῶ τε καὶ ἀλλοιουμένω ἐγγεννηθῆναι βίω· τῆ γὰρ το των γεννώντων φύσει κατ' ανάγκην ομογενές έστι καὶ τὸ τικτόμενον. τί οὖν ἂν εἴη λυσιτελέστερον, εἰς τὴν ἄτρεπτον ζωήν είσελθεῖν ή πάλιν τῷ ἀστατοῦντι καὶ ἀλλοιουμένω έγκυματοῦσθαι βίω; έπει οὖν παντὶ δηλόν έστι τῶ καὶ ὁπωσοῦν διανοίας μετέχοντι, ὅτι τὸ ἐστὼς τοῦ 15 μη έστωτος παρά πολύ τιμιώτερου, καὶ τοῦ έλλιποῦς τὸ τέλειον, καὶ τοῦ δεομένου τὸ μὴ δεόμενον, καὶ τοῦ διὰ προκοπής ἀνιόντος τὸ μὴ ἔχον εἰς ὅ τι προέλθη, ἀλλ' έπὶ τῆς τελειότητος τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ μένον ἀεί, ἐπάναγκες ἂν εἴη

ι εαυτον eh | 2 την τον f | 3 om ζωης vulg | 6 τον δε την την δε vulg | 9-12 εγγεννηθηναι...αλλοιουμενω om 1 | 16 του μη δεομενου το δ. d\*ehn | 17  $\pi \rho \circ \kappa$ ,  $\alpha \nu$ .  $\tau \rho \circ \pi \eta s$   $\alpha \nu \in \nu \tau \circ s$   $f \parallel 18 \in \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \in s$   $\alpha \nu \in \iota \eta$  om d om  $\alpha \nu \in \iota \eta$  1\*

2. ἀρχηγόν] Cp. antea c. 33  $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s \stackrel{?}{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \gamma \delta s \text{ (note)}.$ 

3. τ. διάθ. τ. καρδ. ] may possibly contain a reference to Ps. lxxii (lxxiii) 7 (LXX).

4. οἰκονομία] Cp. c. 34 τη̂s

μυστικής ταύτης οἰκονομίας.

ib. τὸ γινόμενον] 'that which takes place' i.e. the birth which results from Baptism. Two of the MSS used by Fronto Ducaeus read τὸ γεννώμενον.

6. τὸν δὲ τ. κτιστήν] sc. the Anomœan, to whose teaching he refers more fully below in the clause beginning ή, εί έξω της τοῦ πρώτου κ.τ.λ.  $T\dot{\eta}\nu$  is used in a generic sense.

9.  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\nu\nu\eta\theta\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$ ] 'is again born into an existence which is subject to

variation and change.' Gr. will not call such a life ζωή. It is merely βίος, 'existence.' Cp. c. 8 τὸ τὸν βίον ήμων τη νεκρότητι σβέννυσθαι.

12-13. πάλιν...έγκυμ.] The new birth into a created Son and Spirit carries a man no higher than he was before. It still leaves him in an existence which is unstable. Έγκυματ. 'to be tossed about in.'

 διὰ προκοπη̂s] 'by a gradual advance.' So Athanasius de Syn. 4 represents Paul of Samosata as teaching concerning Christ ὕστερον αὐτὸν μετὰ τὴν ἐνανθρώπησιν ἐκ προκοπής τεθεοποιήσθαι,

18.  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$   $\tau$ .  $\tau$ .... $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu o\nu$  Cp. c. 37

μένειν έφ' έαυτοῦ (note).

έν έξ άμφοτέρων αίρεισθαι πάντως τόν γε νοῦν ἔχοντα, η της ακτίστου φύσεως είναι πιστεύειν την άγιαν τριάδα καὶ ούτως άρχηγον διὰ τῆς πνευματικῆς γεννήσεως ποιείσθαι της ίδίας ζωής, ή, εί έξω της του πρώτου καὶ ἀληθινοῦ καὶ ἀγαθοῦ θεοῦ φύσεως, τῆς τοῦ πατρὸς 5 λέγω, νομίζοι είναι τὸν υίὸν ἢ τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον, μὴ συμπαραλαμβάνειν την είς ταῦτα πίστιν ἐν τῶ καιρῶ της γεννήσεως, μήποτε λάθη τη έλλιπει φύσει και δεομένη τοῦ ἀγαθύνοντος ξαυτὸν εἰσποιῶν καὶ τρόπον τινὰ πάλιν είς τὸ ὁμογενὲς εαυτὸν εἰσαγάγη, τῆς ὑπερεχούσης Φύσεως 10 άποστήσας την πίστιν. ο γάρ τινι τῶν κτιστῶν ξαυτὸν ύποζεύξας λέληθεν είς αὐτὸ καὶ οὐκ είς τὸ θεῖον τὴν

I om  $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega_s$  hn vulg | 2  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \upsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$   $\epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \iota$  | 3  $\alpha \rho \chi$ .  $\pi \iota \iota \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$   $\delta \iota \alpha \tau$ .  $\pi \nu$ .  $\gamma$ . fl ||  $\gamma$ ενεσεως degline vulg || 4 om ει fl || 6 λε $\gamma$ ων h || 7 συμπεριλαμβανείν f συμπαραλαμβανων vulg | ταυτα] ταυτην την 1\*vid | φυσιν ητοί  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu f \parallel 8 \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$  deghnp vulg  $\parallel 9 \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \nu \nu \alpha \nu \tau \sigma s f \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \sigma \nu \sigma \sigma s (0 \nu \tau \omega s d)$ gp || om και p || 10 εισαγη f || 12 εις αυτο] om εις deghnp vulg εαυτον defghlnp vulg: txt e conject Krab restitui | om και ehn vulg om ουκ h

4. πρώτου] For a summary of Eunomius' teaching see c. Eunom. Or. i pp. 297, 324 (Migne). In the latter passage Gr. speaks of Eunomius' definitions  $\pi\epsilon\rho l \ \tau\hat{\eta}s \ \pi\rho\dot{\omega}$ της τε καὶ δευτέρας καὶ τρίτης οὐσίας.

6.  $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma v \mu \pi$ .] dependent like πιστεύειν and ποιείσθαι upon αίρεισθαι. 'Not to include the belief in these Persons in the faith which he adopts at the time of his birth.' Gr. argues that, in order to be consistent, the Anomæans should not include the Son and the Holy Spirit in their baptismal confession. For on their own principles baptism into the Son and the Spirit involves birth into a created life of the same kind (ὁμογενές) as their own. For the use of the neuter ταῦτα cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxxi 5 οι τρία μέν είναι καθ' ήμας όμολογοῦσι τὰ νοούμενα with Dr Mason's note.

8.  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\iota\pi\epsilon\hat{\iota}$ ] i.e. imperfect, because belonging to the created order. Cp. the argument in prol., where Gr.

adduces the perfection of the Divine Nature as an argument for the unity of God.

9. ἀγαθύνοντος] 'someone to make it good.' 'Αγαθύνεω, 'to make good' or 'do good to,' occurs frequently in the LXX. Cp. 3 Reg. i 47; Pss. 1 (li) 20, cxxiv (cxxv) 4; Jer. li (xliv) 27.

ib.  $\epsilon l\sigma\pi o(\hat{\omega}v]$  'cause himself to be adopted into.' The exact phrase είσποιείν τινά τινι occurs in Plato

Legg. ix 878.

11. ἀποστήσας τ. π.] 'withdrawing his faith from the transcendent nature, 'i.e. by resting it in a created Son and Spirit who are, according to the Anomean view, external to the Supreme Being.

12.  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ .] The MSS are here corrupt. Krabinger's conjecture είς αὐτὸ for ἐαυτὸν or είς ἐαυτὸν has been adopted, as it explains the corruption and supplies the necessary sense.  $A\dot{v}\tau\dot{o} = \tau\dot{o}$   $\kappa\tau\iota\sigma\tau\dot{o}\nu$  referred to in τινὶ τῶν κτιστῶν.

έλπίδα τῆς σωτηρίας ἔχων. πᾶσα γὰρ ἡ κτίσις τῷ κατὰ τὸ ἴσον ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὄντος εἰς τὸ εἶναι προήκειν οἰκείως πρὸς ἑαυτὴν ἔχει· καὶ ὥσπερ ἐπὶ τῆς τῶν σωμάτων κατασκευῆς πάντα τὰ μέλη πρὸς ἑαυτὰ συμφυῶς ἔχει,

5 κἂν τὰ μὲν ὑποβεβηκότα, τὰ δὲ ὑπερανεστῶτα τύχη, οὕτως ἡ κτιστὴ φύσις ἥνωται πρὸς ἐαυτὴν κατὰ τὸν λόγον τῆς κτίσεως καὶ οὐδὲν ἡ κατὰ τὸ ὑπερέχον καὶ ἐνδέον ἐν ἡμῖν διαφορὰ διίστησιν αὐτὴν τῆς πρὸς ἑαυτὴν συμφυίας τὧν γὰρ ἐπ' ἴσης προεπινοεῖται ἡ ἀνυπαρξία, κἂν ἐν τοῖς

10 ἄλλοις τὸ διάφορον ἢ, οὐδεμίαν κατὰ τὸ μέρος τοῦτο τῆς φύσεως παραλλαγὴν ἐξευρίσκομεν. εἰ οὖν κτιστὸς μὲν ὁ ἄνθρωπος, κτιστὸν δὲ καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα καὶ τὸν μονογενῆ θεὸν εἶναι νομίζοι, μάταιος ἂν εἴη ἐν ἐλπίδι τῆς ἐπὶ τὸ κρεῖττον μεταστάσεως, πρὸς ἑαυτὸν ἀναλύων. ὅμοιον γὰρ

15 ταις τοῦ Νικοδήμου ὑπολήψεσίν ἐστι τὸ γινόμενον, ὃς περὶ τοῦ δειν ἄνωθεν γεννηθῆναι παρὰ τοῦ κυρίου μαθὼν διὰ τὸ μήπω χωρῆσαι τοῦ μυστηρίου τὸν λόγον ἐπὶ τὸν

ι τω] το efh  $\parallel$  2 προσηκειν f  $\parallel$  5 υπερανεστηκοτα fg $^1$   $\parallel$  9 επινοειται f  $\parallel$  11 κτιστος μεν] + ων vulg  $\parallel$  13 θεον] υιον 1  $\parallel$  οτι ειναι 1  $\parallel$  επ ελπιδι 1 ανελπιδι vulg

1.  $\tau \hat{\varphi}...\pi po\acute{\eta}\kappa \epsilon w$ ] The dat. is causal, 'because it proceeds in an equal degree from non-existence into existence.'

3.  $\tau$ .  $\tau$ .  $\sigma$ . κατασκευής] Cp. c. 28 πᾶσα πρὸς ἐαυτὴν ἡ κατασκευὴ τοῦ σώματος ὁμοτίμως ἔχει. For συμφυώς cp. c. 32 συμφυής and *ibid*. συμφυφώνων.

5.  $\dot{v}\pi o\beta \epsilon \beta$ ...  $\dot{v}\pi \epsilon \rho a \nu$ .] i.e. the 'lower' and 'upper' parts of the body.

7. καὶ οὐδέν] 'and the difference between what is superior and inferior in us makes no division in the cohesion of all its parts.'

cohesion of all its parts.'
9. ὧν γάρ] 'for if things alike are thought of as coming out of a previous nothingness.'

11. παραλλαγήν] 'variation.' Cp. James i 17 (Mayor's note).

ib. κτιστὸς μὲν...κτιστὸν δέ] The two clauses are logically correlative.

With the former  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\omega$  must be supplied.

12. μονογενη θεόν] Cp. prol. p. 2 (note).

τ. ἐπὶ τ. κ. μεταστάσεως] Cp.
 40 ἐπὶ ἀνακαινισμῷ καὶ μεταβολŷ τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν τὴν σωτήριον παραλαμβάνεσθαι γέννησιν.

14. πρὸς ἐαυτὸν ἀναλύων] 'seeing that he returns to himself,' i.e. to one who is like himself a created being, and cannot bring him to perfection. For this sense of ἀναλύεω cp. c. 23 τήν τε τῶν τεθνηκότων ἐπὶ τὸν βίον ἀνάλυσω, and c. 35 ἀναλύεω.

15.  $\tau$ . Νικοδήμου] In iii 4. ib.  $\tau$ ο  $\gamma \nu$ . ]i. e. that which happens when a man is baptized into that faith.

17.  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \mu \nu \sigma \tau$ .] used here of the revelation made by Christ about the new birth.

μητρώον κόλπον τοῖς λογισμοῖς κατεσύρετο. ὅστε εἰ μὴ πρὸς τὴν ἄκτιστον φύσιν, ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὴν συγγενῆ καὶ ὁμό-δουλον κτίσιν ἑαυτὸν ἀπάγοι, τῆς κάτωθεν, οὐ τῆς ἄνωθέν ἐστι γεννήσεως. φησὶ δὲ τὸ εὐαγγέλιον ἄνωθεν εἶναι τῶν σωζομένων τὴν γέννησιν.

40. 'Αλλ' οὔ μοι δοκεῖ μέχρι τῶν εἰρημένων αὐτάρκη τὴν διδασκαλίαν ἡ κατήχησις ἔχειν. δεῖ γάρ, οἶμαι, καὶ τὸ μετὰ τοῦτο σκοπεῖν, ὁ πολλοὶ τῶν προσιόντων τῆ τοῦ βαπτίσματος χάριτι παρορῶσι, δι' ἀπάτης ἑαυτοὺς παράγοντες, καὶ τῷ δοκεῖν μόνον, οὐχὶ τῷ ὄντι γεννώμενοι. 10 ἡ γὰρ διὰ τῆς ἀναγεννήσεως γινομένη τῆς ζωῆς ἡμῶν μεταποίησις οὐκ ἂν εἴη μεταποίησις, εἰ ἐν ῷ ἐσμεν διαμένοιμεν. τὸν γὰρ ἐν τοῖς αὐτοῖς ὅντα οὐκ οἶδα πῶς ἔστιν ἄλλον τινὰ γεγενῆσθαι νομίσαι, ἐφ' οῦ μηδὲν τῶν γνωρισμάτων μετεποιήθη. τὸ γὰρ ἐπὶ ἀνακαινισμῷ καὶ μεταβολῆ 15 τῆς φύσεως ἡμῶν τὴν σωτήριον παραλαμβάνεσθαι γέννησιν παντὶ δῆλόν ἐστιν. ἀλλὰ μὴν ἡ ἀνθρωπότης αὐτὴ καθ'

2 om την ante ακτιστον vulg  $\parallel$  3 επαγοι  $1^*$  απαγαγοι  $g^{1}1^1$  **40.** 7 εχει  $1\parallel$  10 το δοκειν lnp του δ. h  $\parallel$  15 τη μεταβολη e

 κατεσύρετο] 'whose thoughts drew him back to.'

2. ὁμόδουλον] 'τυhich shares his own bondage.' Cp. Rom. viii 21.

3.  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \ \tilde{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ ] In iii 3. The contrast with the preceding  $\kappa \hat{\alpha} \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$  is decisive as to Gr.'s interpretation

of that passage.

40. The regeneration which comes through Baptism only becomes effectual in those who exhibit a change of life and wholly abandon evil. Otherwise the water is but water, and the gift of the Holy Spirit in no wise appears in what takes place. God rewards godliness and punishes sin in ways that surpass anything of which we have experience in this life. This being so, it is our duty to lay the foundations of blessedness in this fleeting life and put away evil from us.

6. μέχρι τ. είρ.] 'so far as our

remarks have gone (or 'with these remarks') our instruction does not seem to me to be complete in its teaching.' On this inclusive sense of μέχρι see Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 9 οὐδὲ ἐκεῖ στήσεται μέχρι τοῦ εἰπεῖν (with Dr Mason's note). Οn κατήχησις see prol. ὁ τῆς κατηχήσεως λόγος (note).

9. δι' ἀπάτης] Cp. c. 7 δι'

άπατης παρασυρέντες.

12. μεταποίησις] See note on

μεταποιεί, с. 37.

14. γνωρισμάτων] 'characteristic features,' i.e. the traits of character by which a man is recognized. Gr. has of course in view the bad traits of character. Cp. infra τῶν πονηρῶν γνωρισμάτων.

15.  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \dot{\iota}$  ἀνακ.] For this use of  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \dot{\iota}$ 

cp. c. 5 ἐπὶ τŷ...ἀπολαύσει.

17.  $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\dot{\sigma}\tau\eta s$ ] 'humanity in itself,' i.e. the abstract conception

έαυτην μεταβολην έκ του βαπτίσματος ου προσίεται. ούτε τὸ λογικὸν ούτε τὸ διανοητικὸν ούτε τὸ ἐπιστήμης δεκτικον οὐδε ἄλλο τι των χαρακτηριζόντων ίδίως την άνθρωπίνην φύσιν έν μεταποιήσει γίνεται. ή γάρ αν προς 5 τὸ γείρον ή μεταποίησις είη, εί τι τούτων ύπαμειφθείη τῶν ίδίων της φύσεως. εί οθν ή άνωθεν γεννησις άναστοιχείωσίς τις τοῦ ἀνθρώπου γίνεται, ταῦτα δὲ τὴν μεταβολὴν οὐ προσίεται, σκεπτέον τίνος μεταποιηθέντος έντελής τῆς άναγεννήσεως ή χάρις έστί. δήλον ὅτι τῶν πονηρῶν 10 γνωρισμάτων έξαλειφθέντων της φύσεως ήμων ή προς τὸ κρείττον μετάστασις γίνεται. οὐκοῦν εἰ, καθώς φησιν ό προφήτης, λουσάμενοι τῷ μυστικῷ τούτω λουτρῶ καθαροί τὰς προαιρέσεις γενοίμεθα, τὰς πονηρίας τῶν ψυχῶν ἀποκλύσαντες, κρείττους γεγόναμεν καὶ πρὸς τὸ 15 κρείττον μετεποιήθημεν. εί δε το μεν λουτρον επαχθείη τῶ σώματι, ή δὲ ψυχὴ τὰς ἐμπαθεῖς κηλίδας μὴ ἀπορρύ-Ψαιτο, άλλ' ὁ μετὰ τὴν μύησιν βίος συμβαίνοι τῶ ἀμυήτω βίω, καν τολμηρον είπειν ή, λέξω και ουκ αποτραπήσομαι, ότι έπὶ τούτων τὸ ὕδωρ ὕδωρ ἐστίν, οὐδαμοῦ τῆς δωρεᾶς

3 ουδε] ουτε  $f \parallel \chi$ αρακτηριζομενων ητοι των χαρακτηριζοντων  $f \parallel 7$  τις] τε vulg  $\parallel$  10 γνωρισματων] βουλευματων  $f \parallel 13$  γενωμεθα d γινομεθα d γινομεθα vulg d 15 το λουτρον μεν d vulg d 16 απορριψοιτο d vulg απορυψοιτο d 17 συμβαινει d vulg συμβαινη d

of man. Grace does not alter any of the essential properties of human nature.

2.  $\vec{ov}\tau\epsilon$   $\vec{\tau}\delta$   $\lambda \vec{o\gamma}$ .] For this description of man's faculties see c. 15 sub fin. and c. 33 (note).

6. ἡ ἄν. γένν.] Cp. c. 39 sub fin.

(note).

ib. ἀναστοιχείωσις] Cp. c. 8 ἀναστοιχειώσει (note).

8. ἐντελήs] Cp. antea c. 24 p. 93 (note).

11. μετάστασις] See note on

μεθισταμένης с. 37.

12. ὁ προφήτης] Isaiah i 16 (LXX) λούσασθε, καθαροί γένεσθε, ἀφέλετε τὰς πονηρίας ἀπὸ τῶν ψυχῶν ὑμῶν.

13.  $\kappa\alpha\theta\alpha\rho$ οὶ  $\tau$ .  $\pi$ .] 'clean in our wills.'

15.  $\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\pi\omega\dot{\eta}\theta\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$ ] For this use of the aorist cp.  $\epsilon\sigma\beta\epsilon\sigma\alpha\nu$  c. 37 p. 142 (note).

16.  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\alpha\theta\epsilon\hat{i}s$   $\kappa\eta\lambda$ .] 'the stains caused by its passions.' For  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\alpha\theta\epsilon\hat{i}s$  cp.  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\alpha\theta\epsilon\hat{s}$  c. 5.

17. μύησιν] Cp. c. 35 της τοιαύτης μυήσεως.

ib. συμβαίνοι] 'accord with,' 'be

of the same character as.'

19.  $\epsilon \pi i \tau o \psi \tau \omega v$  in their case the water is water, and the gift of the Holy Spirit is nowhere manifested in what takes place.' The margin of  $\epsilon$  has  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$ , which is a con-

τοῦ αγίου πνεύματος ἐπιφανείσης τῷ γιγνομένω, ὅταν μὴ μόνον τὸ κατὰ τὸν θυμὸν αἶσχος ὑβρίζη τὴν θείαν μορφὴν η τὸ κατὰ πλεονεξίαν πάθος καὶ ή ἀκόλαστος καὶ ἀσχήμων διάνοια καὶ τῦφος καὶ φθόνος καὶ ὑπερηφανία, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ έξ ἀδικίας κέρδη παραμένη αὐτῷ καὶ ἡ ἐκ μοιγείας ς αὐτῶ κτηθεῖσα γυνη ταῖς ήδοναῖς αὐτοῦ καὶ μετὰ τοῦτο ύπηρετήται, έὰν ταῦτα καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα ὁμοίως πρότερόν τε καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα περὶ τὸν βίον τοῦ βαπτισθέντος ἢ, τί μεταπεποίηται ίδειν οὐκ ἔχω, τὸν αὐτὸν βλέπων ὅνπερ καὶ πρότερου. ὁ ἡδικημένος, ὁ σεσυκοφαντημένος, ὁ τῶν 10 ίδίων άπωσθείς οὐδεμίαν όρωσιν ἐφ' έαυτων τὴν τοῦ λελουμένου μεταβολήν, οὐκ ἤκουσαν καὶ παρὰ τούτου την του Ζακγαίου φωνην ότι Εί τινά τι ἐσυκοφάντησα, άποδίδωμι τετραπλασίονα. ἃ πρὸ τοῦ βαπτίσματος έλεγον, τὰ αὐτὰ καὶ νῦν περὶ αὐτοῦ διεξέρχονται, ἐκ τῶν 15 αὐτῶν ὀνομάτων κατονομάζουσι πλεονέκτην, τῶν ἀλλοτρίων ἐπιθυμητήν, ἀπὸ συμφορῶν ἀνθρωπίνων τρυφῶντα. ό τοίνυν εν τοίς αὐτοίς ὤν, ἔπειτα ἐπιθρυλῶν ἑαυτῶ διὰ

ι γινομένω ef vulg 3 η ασχημών el vulg 4 διανοία ηδονη ( 5 παραμένει  $f \parallel 6 \text{ om } \kappa \alpha \iota 1 \parallel 7 \upsilon \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota \text{ vulg } \upsilon \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \text{ fl } \parallel \text{ om } \tau \alpha \text{ vulg } \parallel Q \epsilon \chi \omega \rceil$ ετι vulg | 10 εσυκοφ- e | 12 λελουμενου] λεγομενου eh vulg ελουσμενου f | 13 om του deghn vulg | τινος en vulg | 14 a] αλλ a g αλλ απερ 11 || 15 περι του αυτου vulg 117 ανθρωπινων] αλλοτριων f

jecture of Maximus Margunius. But no alteration is necessary. Gr. means that the grace of Baptism is not given when men do not fulfil its conditions. In  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta \omega \rho$ .  $\tau$ .  $\dot{\alpha}$ .  $\pi$ . Gr. appears to be thinking of 'unction,' which would be included by him in baptism.

2.  $\tau \delta$   $\kappa$ .  $\tau$ .  $\theta$ .  $\alpha l \sigma \chi o s$ ] 'the deformity of anger.' Alo  $\chi o s$  is used of a deformity of mind or body. Cp. Plat. Symp. 201 A ἄλλο τι ὁ Ερως κάλλους αν είη έρως, αισχους δ' ου;

ib. μορφήν] rightly used here of that which is a permanent characteristic of man in virtue of his having been made κατ' είκονα θεοῦ (c. 5).

10. ο σεσυκ.] Cp. συκοφαντίαν

11.  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi$   $\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\nu\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ ] 'in their own case,' 'to take themselves as an instance.'

12. καὶ παρὰ τούτου] i.e. from him as well as from Zacchaeus,

 φωνήν] Lk. xix 8.
 διεξέρχονται] 'recount in full,' because such sins remain undiminished.

17. ἀπὸ συμφ.] 'τυho lives luxuri-ously on other men's misfortunes.'

18.  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\theta\rho\nu\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$ ] Cp. c. 28  $\delta\iota\alpha$ θρυλοῦσι.

τοῦ βαπτίσματος τὴν πρὸς τὸ κρεῖττον μεταβολήν, ἀκουσάτω της Παύλου φωνης ότι Εί τις δοκεί είναι τι, μηδέν ών, φρεναπατά έαυτόν. δ γάρ μη γέγονας, οὐκ εί. "Οσοι «λαβον αὐτόν, φησὶ περὶ τῶν ἀναγεννηθέντων τὸ εὐαγγέλιον, 5 έδωκεν αὐτοῖς έξουσίαν τέκνα θεοῦ γενέσθαι. τὸ τέκνον γενόμενόν τινος όμογενες πάντως έστὶ τῶ γεννήσαντι. εἰ οὖν ἔλαβες τὸν θεὸν καὶ τέκνον ἐγένου θεοῦ, δείξον διὰ τῆς προαιρέσεως καὶ τὸν ἐν σοὶ ὄντα θεόν, δείξον ἐν σεαυτῶ τον γεννήσαντα. έξ ών τον θεον γνωρίζομεν, δι' εκείνων 10 προσήκει δειχθήναι τοῦ γενομένου υίοῦ θεοῦ τὴν πρὸς τὸν θεον οἰκειότητα. ἐκεῖνος ἀνοίγει τὴν χεῖρα καὶ ἐμπιπλά παν ζώον εὐδοκίας, ὑπερβαίνει ἀνομίας, μετανοεί ἐπὶ κακίας · χρηστός κύριος τοίς σύμπασι, μη όργην ἐπάγων καθ' έκάστην ήμέραν εὐθης κύριος ὁ θεός, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν 15 άδικία έν αὐτῶ, καὶ ὅσα τοιαῦτα σποράδην παρὰ τῆς γραφης διδασκόμεθα. έὰν ἐν τούτοις ης, ἀληθῶς ἐγένου τέκνον θεοῦ: εἰ δὲ τοῖς τῆς κακίας ἐπιμένεις γνωρίσμασι, μάτην ἐπιθρυλεῖς σεαυτῶ τὴν ἄνωθεν γέννησιν. ἐρεῖ πρὸς σὲ ή προφητεία ὅτι Υίὸς ἀνθρώπου εἶ, οὐχὶ υίὸς ὑψίστου. 20 άγαπᾶς ματαιότητα, ζητεῖς ψεῦδος. οὐκ ἔγνως πῶς θαυμαστούται ἄνθρωπος, ὅτι οὐκ ἄλλως, εἰ μὴ ὅσιος

2 του Παυλου l || om τι vulg || 3 μη] ου gp || 5 το]+δε egh vulg + γαρ n || 6 γινομενον f || 13 om κυριος l\* || 14 ευθυς vulg || 15 παρα τ. γ. σποραδην f || 18 εαυτω f || ερει]+ γαρ f || 19 om οτι d || 20 ματαιοτητα]+ και l || 20–1 πως θαυμαστουται... αλλως] οτι ανθρωπος ουκ αλλως νιος θεου γινεται vulg

2. Παύλου] Gal. vi 3.

3.  $\mu\dot{\eta} \gamma\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\sigma\alpha$ s] i.e. 'which you have not really become.' It is explained by  $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\alpha$   $\theta$ .  $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\alpha$ .

ib. "Οσοι κ.τ.λ.] Jn i 12.

6. ὁμογενές] Gr. seems to draw no distinction here between similarity of moral character and identity of nature.

8. προαιρέσεως] The 'purpose'

or 'aim' of the life.

11. ἀνοίγει] Ps. exliv (exlv) 16.

12.  $\delta\pi\epsilon\rho\beta\alpha\dot{\nu}\epsilon\dot{\nu}$  à  $\dot{\nu}$ .] 'passes over iniquities.' Mic. vii 18 (LXX)  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\alpha\dot{\epsilon}$ 

ρων ἀνομίας καὶ ὑπερβαίνων ἀσεβείας.

ib. μετανοεῖ] Joel ii 13 (LXX).
 13. χρηστός] Ps. cxliv (cxlv) 9 (LXX).

ib. μλη δργλην κ.τ.λ.] Ps. vii 12 (LXX).

14. εὐθήs] Ps. xci (xcii) 16.

19.  $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \epsilon l a$ ] The passage which follows is an adaptation of Ps. iv 3, 4 (LXX). The words  $o\dot{v}\chi l$   $v\dot{l}o\dot{s}\dot{v}\psi$ , are a comment of Gr., with perhaps a reminiscence of Ps. lxxxi (lxxxi) 6, 7.

21. θαυμαστοῦται] 'how man is

γένηται. ἀναγκαῖον ἂν εἴη τούτοις προσθεῖναι καὶ τὸ λειπόμενον, ότι ούτε τὰ ἀγαθὰ τὰ ἐν ἐπαγγελίαις τοῖς εὖ βεβιωκόσι προκείμενα τοιαῦτά ἐστιν ώς εἰς ὑπογραφὴν λόγου έλθεῖν. πῶς γὰρ ὰ οὕτε ὀφθαλμὸς εἶδεν, οὕτε οὖς ήκουσεν, ούτε έπὶ καρδίαν ἀνθρώπου ἀνέβη; ούτε μὴν 5 ή άλγεινη των πεπλημμεληκότων ζωή πρός τι των τήδε λυπούντων την αἴσθησιν όμοτίμως ἔχει. ἀλλὰ κἂν ἐπονομασθή τι των έκει κολαστηρίων τοις ώδε γνωριζομένοις ονόμασιν, οὐκ ἐν ολίγω τὴν παραλλαγὴν ἔχει. πῦρ γὰρ ακούων άλλο τι παρά τοῦτο νοεῖν εδιδάχθης εκ τοῦ 10 προσκείσθαί τι τῶ πυρὶ ἐκείνω ὃ ἐν τούτω οὐκ ἔστι· τὸ μὲν γὰρ οὐ σβέννυται, τούτου δὲ πολλὰ παρὰ τῆς πείρας έξευρηται τὰ σβεστήρια, πολλή δὲ τοῦ σβεννυμένου πρὸς τὸ μὴ παραδεχόμενον σβέσιν ἡ διαφορά. οὐκοῦν άλλο τι, καὶ οὐχὶ τοῦτό ἐστι. πάλιν σκώληκά τις ἀκούσας 15 μή διὰ τῆς όμωνυμίας πρὸς τὸ ἐπίγειον τοῦτο θηρίον αποφερέσθω τη διανοία· ή γαρ προσθήκη του ατελεύτητον είναι άλλην τινά φύσιν παρά την γινωσκομένην νοείν ύποτίθεται. ἐπεὶ οὖν ταῦτα πρόκειται τῆ ἐλπίδι τοῦ μετὰ ταῦτα βίου, καταλλήλως ἐκ τῆς ἑκάστου προαιρέσεως 20

1 γενοιτο  $f \parallel 2$  λειπομενον] επομενον  $f \parallel$  om οτι  $l \parallel 4$ -6 ους...ζωη προς desunt in  $l^* \parallel 7$  ομωνυμως  $fl^*vil \parallel 9$  ονομασιν] νοημασιν  $l \parallel 11$  προκεισθαι  $l^*vil$  vulg  $\parallel 16$  εγγιον  $f \parallel του$  θηριου  $h \parallel 18$  γινομενην  $g^1$ 

magnified.' The LXX of Ps. iv 4 has καὶ γνώτε ὅτι ἐθαυμάστωσεν Κύριος τὸν ὅσιον αὐτοῦ.

1. ἀναγκαῖον] Gr. now passes on to speak of the rewards and punishments with which God visits men.

3. ὑπογραφήν] 'outline sketch.' 'Such as cannot be indicated in any account.'

4.  $\hat{\alpha}$   $o\check{v}\tau\epsilon$   $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ .] I Cor. ii 9. Cp. Is. lxiv 4 (3) (LXX).

7. ομοτίμως έχει] 'has no equal in any of the things which in this life give pain to the sense.' For ομοτίμως cp. antea c. 28 p. 106.

οὐκ ἐν ὀλίγω] 'it exhibits no

slight variation in meaning."

*ib.* πῦρ γὰρ ἀκούων] Cp. Isaiah lxvi 24 (LXX), Mk ix 48, Mt. iii 10, Lk. iii 9.

10. έκ τοῦ προσκ.] 'because something is added to that fire which is not in this.'

20. καταλλ.] 'being the natural and suitable outcome in the life of each man's bent of character, and expressing the righteous judgment of God.' God's rewards accompany and are the natural result of a man's character. There is nothing arbitrary about them.

κατὰ τὴν δικαίαν τοῦ θεοῦ κρίσιν ἀναφυόμενα τῷ βίῳ, σωφρονούντων ἂν εἴη μὴ πρὸς τὸ παρὸν ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὸ μετὰ τοῦτο βλέπειν, καὶ τῆς ἀφράστου μακαριότητος ἐν τῆ ὀλίγη ταύτη καὶ προσκαίρῳ ζωῆ τὰς ἀφορμὰς καταβάλ-5 λεσθαι καὶ τῆς τῶν κακῶν πείρας δι' ἀγαθῆς προαιρέσεως ἀλλοτριοῦσθαι, νῦν μὲν κατὰ τὸν βίον, μετὰ ταῦτα δὲ κατὰ τὴν αἰωνίαν ἀντίδοσιν.

ι om του θεου l | 7 τ. α. αντιδοσιν] Desinunt in haec verba codd pler. In m et edd. Paris. haec quoque exstant: ο Χριστος βουλεται θεωρείν . δυο φυσεις ουσιωδως ηνωμένας ομολογούσα κακ τουτού παριστώσα το μεγαλείον του ελεους και των οικτιρμων του θεου περι ημας καταδεξαμενου δια την προς ημας στοργην συνειναι τε και συναριθμεισθαι τη εαυτου φυσει την ημετεραν· και χαρις τω  $\theta$ εω επι τη ανεκδιηγητω αυτου δωρεα· και ταυτα  $\mu$ εν εις τοσουτον: επειδη δε ο Σευηρος ψιλαις προκαθεζεται φωναις εν ρημασι τε μονοις και ηχοις την ευσεβειαν υποτιθεται καιτοι γε του αποστολου λεγοντος. ου γαρ εν λογω η βασιλεια του θεου αλλ εν δυναμει και αληθεια ουτος δε παρ αυτω Σευηρω κρατιστος θεολογος γνωριζεται ος αν τας κατηγοριας Αριστοτελους και τα λοιπα των εξω φιλοσοφων κομψα ησκημενος τυγχανοι αναγκαιον ημας τα σημαινομένα εκαστης λέξεως των εις τα προς αυτον Σευηρον λεγομένα χρειωδως λαμβανομενων επι καιρου σαφηνισαι κατα τον νουν των εκκλησιαστικών διδασκαλων καθ ον ταυτα εξειληφασιν: ινα εχοιεν οι εντυγχανοντες τοις υπογεγραμμενοις λογοις εκ πρωτης εντευξεως νοειν των λεγομενων δυναμιν. και μη δια την αγνοιαν του σημαινομένου των λέξεων προς την καταληψιν των εν αυτοις θεωρηματων παραποδιζωνται

7. την αλωνίαν ἀντίδοσιν] i.e. the future life, conceived of as the reward of a man's conduct in this life.

Here the treatise ends according to the bulk of the MSS. But in m and in the Codex Vulcobianus, used by Fronto Ducaeus, there follows a long additional section beginning δ Χριστὸς βούλεται and ending τῶν ἐν αὐτοῖς θεωρημάτων παραποδίζωνται. The section appears in the Latin translation of Hervetus and in the Paris editions. It deals with the heresy of Severus, the head of the sect of the Acephali, who was con-

demned at the Council of Constantinople in A.D. 536. The whole section forms the conclusion of a work on the Incarnation, in reply to the Manichaean, Paulianist, Apollinarian, Nestorian, and Eutychian heresies, by Theodore, a priest and monk of Rhaithu or Raythu circa A.D. 650. Theodore's work is printed in Migne P. G. xci p. 1479 sq., and in Galland Vet. Patr. Bibl. xiii. The passage has crept into the text of Gr. owing to some scribe's blunder in transcription.

# INDEX L

# SUBJECTS.

A

Ambrose, on the Atonement, xxxiii, 89; on the Eucharist, xli, 147,

Angel of the Earth, 32 Anomoeans, attacked by Gregory, xiv; their teaching, 2; referred to, 153, 156 foll.

Anselm, his Cur Deus homo, xxxiii, 89

Apollinaris, his teaching on the

Lord's human body, 102 Aristotle, use made of, by Gregory, xxx; his doctrine of 'form' and 'matter,' 125; quoted or referred to, 21, 23, 67, 106, 145, 146, 151

Assyrians, 109

Athanasius, his teaching compared with that of Gregory, xxviii foll., xxxiv; quoted or referred to, xxii, 1, 2, 3, 16, 22, 27, 29, 64, 75 (bis), 95, 108, 115, 119, 130 (bis), 131, 156

Atheism, 3

Atonement, see Ransom, Redemp-

Aubertin, referred to, xvi, 153 Augustine, on the Trinity, quoted or referred to, 27, 89, 120

Baptism, Gregory's teaching on, xxxiv, 123 foll.; its purpose distinguished from that of the Eucharist, xxxvii, 141 foll.; analogy of human birth to, 124 foll.; grounds of the efficacy of, 126

foll., 139; inner significance of, 120 foll.; necessity of, 137; effects of, 138, 140; moral conditions of, 153 foll., 159 foll. Bardenhewer, Dr, referred to, xxx,

Basil, referred to, xxii, 7, 12, 27, 32 Basilides, 2

Bentley, referred to, xlv

Bergades, I. C., referred to, xxiv Bernard, quoted, 17

Bethune-Baker, J. F., referred to. 7, 12, 155

Bigg, Dr C., referred to, xi, xxiii, 42, 118

Butler, his Analogy, referred to, xxix, 111

Cain, 100 Cappadocian Fathers, their import-

Catechetical instruction, r Christ, union of Godhead and manhood in, 54 foll., 57 foll.; Virgin-Birth of, 60 foll., 86; why a human birth was necessary, 101 foll.; His humanity complete, 101 foll.; His Godhead veiled from Satan by the 'screen' of the. human nature, 89, 93, 98; why His death was necessary, 114 foll.; His resurrection and its effects, 60 foll., 70 foll.; representative character of His death and resurrection, 130; altars in the name of, 75. See also Incarnation, Miracles.

Chrysostom, his teaching on the Eucharist, xli, 149; on the sacramental principle, 141

Churton, W. R., referred to, 155 Clement of Alexandria, referred to, 42, 122, 130

Coats of Skin, Gregory's interpretation of, 42 foll.

Constantinople, Council of, ix; synod at, in 383, xiv

Cross, reasons for death upon, 114 foll.; symbolism of, 119 foll.

Cyril of Jerusalem, on baptism, xxxvi, 135; referred to, 76, 142

de Principiis, of Origen, compared with the Or. Cat., xi Deification of man, through Christ,

45, 130, 151 Demons, connexion of, with idolatry,

Didache, referred to, 1

Diekamp, F., referred to, xiii (bis), xiv (bis), xxiv, xxx, l

Dionysius the Areopagite, quoted, 85

Election, unscriptural form of, denied by Gregory, 111 Eparchus, Antonius, xlv

Epicureans, 3

Eucharist, Gregory's doctrine of, xxxvi foll.; 141 foll., 150; a principle of life for the body, xxxvii, 142 foll.; how Christ's Body and Blood are given in, 144 foll.; an extension of the Incarnation, xxxiv foll., 151; institution of, by Christ, 150; the prayer of consecration in, 152

Eunomius, orations of Gregory against, x, xiii foll.; his reply to Basil's Refutation, xiii; teaching

of, 2, 4, 157 Euthymius Zigabenus, his quotations of the Or. Cat., xv, xlvii, 3, 25, 49, 51, 102, 122, 142

Eutychianism, Gregory accused of,

Evil, negative character of, xxii, 27 foll., 83; its seat in the will, 40

Fatalism, of heathen world, xii Franzelin, Cardinal, on the Eucharist, referred to, 144, 150 (bis)

Free-will, of man, insisted on by Gregory, xxii foll., 111 foll., 113 foll.

Fronto Ducaeus, quoted or referred to, xxxviii, xliii, 56, 150, 164

# G

Gelasian Sacramentary, quoted, 91 Generation, human, Gregory's vindication of, xii (note), 105 foll.

Germanus, bp of Constantinople, referred to, xv, 100

God, His existence, 3; perfection, 5; unity, 5; unchangeableness, 155; transcendence, xxiv; immanence, xxviii, xxxiv, 95, 104; attributes, xxxii, 78 foll.; goodness, 22 foll., 79 foll.; wisdom, 80 foll.; justice, 81 foll.; power, go foll.

Godet, referred to, 32

Gregory the Great, quoted or re-

ferred to, 89, 93

Gregory of Nazianzus, his Origenism, x; his relations with Gregory of Nyssa, xvii (note); on the μοναρχία, 16; his rejection of the theory of a ransom to Satan, 89

Gregory of Nyssa, his banishment, ix; summoned to Council of Constantinople, ix; his books against Eunomius, xiii foll.; his journey to Armenia, xiii; present at synod at Constantinople in 383, xiv; his de Deitate Filii et Sp. Sancti, xiv; his style, xvii; his rhetorical studies, xvii

## H

Harnack, Dr, referred to, x, xi, xxvii, xl, 24, 37, 95, 130, 141, 150

Hebrews, Epistle to, attributed to St Paul by Gregory, 131 Hell, harrowing of, allusion to, 89

Herod, 109

Hervetus, Gentianus, his Latin version of the Or. Cat., xliii, 87

Heyns, S. P., referred to, xiii Hilary of Poitiers, resemblance of his teaching on the Sacraments to that of Gregory, xxxv, 151 Hilt, F., referred to, xl, 24, 150,

Hoeschel, D., referred to, xliv Holy Spirit, existence of in the Godhead, illustrated from the analogy of human nature, 13; inseparable from God and the Word of God, 15; self-subsistent, possessing will, activity, and power, 15; not a creature, 156 foll.

Hort, Dr F. J. A., referred to, 2

# I

Ignatius, quoted or referred to, 89,

120, 130, 142, 143

Image of God, in Man, 24 Incarnation, Gr.'s teaching on, xxviii foll.; delay of, xxix, 107 foll.; a stumbling-block to nonbelievers, 52; proved by miracles, 58 foll.; involved no degradation or weakness on God's part, 65 foll.; beneficent purpose of, 73; effects of, 74 foll.; exhibits God's goodness, 79 foll.; wisdom, 80 foll.; justice, 81 foll.; power, 91 foll.; Satan deceived by, 89, 93, 98

Inge, W. R., referred to, 130 Irenaeus, relation of his teaching to that of Methodius and Gregory, xxvii; quoted or referred to, 29, 42, 102, 130, 142

acquaintance with Jerome, his Gregory at Constantinople, x Jerusalem, destruction of, 77 foll. Jews, disappearance of their worship

and temple, 76 foll.

John of Damascus, his indebtedness to the Or. Cat., xv, xxxvii, xli foll., xlviii, 8, 13, 17; his Eucharistic doctrine, xli foll.; his rejection of the theory of a ransom

to Satan, 89; quoted or referred to, 32, 93, 149, 150 Justin Martyr, quoted or referred to,

1, 15, 29, 111, 122, 123, 124, 149

Krabinger, his edition of the Or. Cat., xliv.

Labyrinth, of Minos, 131 Lactantius, on the Cross, quoted, Leontius of Byzantium, quotation of Or. Cat. in, xv, xlvii, 54 Lightfoot, Bp, referred to, 20, 51, 75, 125 Logos, see Word Loofs, Dr, referred to, xliii Love of God, conjoined with power,

## M

Man, his creation due to God's goodness, 22; his possession of reason, 23; immortality, 23; freewill, 26; his nature the meetingpoint of the worlds of sense and spirit, xxiv, 30 foll.; envied by Satan, 32 foll.; his fall, 36 foll.; originally free from passion, 25, 35, 138

Manichaeans, xii, 2, 27, 37 Marcion, 2

Mason, Dr A. J., referred to, xxiii, 22, 55, 57, 72, 89

Maximus, his comment on Ps.-Dionys. de Eccl. Hier., xiv, 85 Maximus Margunius, xlv

Mayor, Dr J. B., referred to, 30, 138

Methodius, indebtedness of Gregory to, xxv foll., xxx; referred to, 32,

42, 44, 119, 124 Metrophanes Critopulus, xlvi Mind and matter, relations of, according to Gregory, 31

Minucius Felix, quoted, 76 Miracles of Christ, 58 foll., 86 foll., 127 foll.

Moberly, Dr, referred to, 7

Moeller, E. G., quoted, 30 Moore, W., referred to, 87 Morel, P., his Latin version of the Or. Cat., xliii, 21 Moses, 43, 88

# N

Neander, referred to, xl, 141, 150 Neoplatonism, influence of, on heathen world, xi; points of contact with, in Gregory's teaching, xxiv, xxx, 27, 31, 95

Nestorianism, tendency towards in Gr.'s language, 72, 103, 116

Noah, 109

## 0

Oehler, Fr., quoted, 133 Oratio Catechetica, purpose of, xii; date of, xiii foll.; teaching of, xvii foll.

Origen, his allegorical interpretation of Scripture, xix, 13, 24, 118; on the union of philosophy and religion, xix; on the free-will of man, xxii; on the negative character of evil, xxii, 27; on the purification of souls, xxiii, 46, 99 (bis); on the universal restoration of spirits, xxiii, 98; his threefold division of human nature, xxiv, 29; on the ransom to Satan, xxxiv, 89; on the 'deification' of the Lord's humanity, 130; quoted or referred to, 29, 32, 42, 47 (bis), 64, 76, 111, 130, 149

Origenism, of Basil and Gregory Nazianzen, x; of Gregory of Nyssa, x, xv, xix foll., 100 Oxenham, H. N., referred to, 93

## P

Pantheism, Christian, 95
Persons in the Trinity, 7, 155; illustrations of, from human consciousness, 9; individual existence of, 15
Petavius, referred to, 57
Philo, Logos doctrine of, 7, 11; quoted, 155
Philocalia, of Origen, x
Philosophy and religion, xix

Photius, quoted, xv foll., xvii Plato, indebtedness of Gregory and Origen to, xxii, xxiii, xxx; psychology of, xxxi; on negative character of evil, xxii, 27; on purification of souls, xxiii, 46; his division of the Universe, xxiv; referred to or quoted, 23, 27, 31, 55, 82, 95, 117, 155

55, 82, 95, 117, 155 Plotinus, on the Divine Being, xi; Trinity of, xi; Gregory's points of contact with, xxiv; referred to, 27, 54, 117

Polytheism, 2, 4 foll.

Prayer, its place in the baptismal rite, xxxiv, 123, 127 foll.; prayer of consecration in the Eucharist, xxxviii

Priesthood, Christian, referred to, 75

Providence, the Divine, Gregory's treatment of, xxi

Pseudo-Cyril, his indebtedness to the *Or. Cat.*, xv, xlviii, 8, 13 Punishment, remedial character of, xxiii, 47, 00: future character of

xxiii, 47, 99; future, character of, 163

Purification of souls, Gregory's doctrine of, xxiii, xxxvi, 46, 99, 138 foll.; contrasted with Western doctrine of Purgatory, xxiii, 47, 139

Pusey, Dr, referred to, xli, 143, 150, 152

## R

Ransom, to Satan, Gregory's idea of, xxxiii, 84 foll.

Redemption, Gregory's teaching upon, xxvii foll.; relation of, to attributes of God, xxxii foll.

Refining fire, xxxvi, 139
Restoration, final, of all created spirits, xv, xxiii, 100

Resurrection, two kinds of, distinguished, 137; see *Christ* 

Ritter and Preller, referred to, 117 Robertson, Dr A., referred to, 3 Robinson, Dr J. A., referred to, 27,

Rufinus, referred to, 32, 120 Rupp, J., referred to, xiv, xvi, xviii, xix, xxx, 1, 12

Sabellius, teaching of, 3 Sacraments, Gregory's teaching upon, xxxiv foll.; continuation of process of Incarnation in, xxxiv; moral conditions of right use of, 153 foll.

Sacrifices, cessation of heathen and Jewish, 75, 77

Sanday and Headlam, referred to, 138

Satan, envy of, 34 foll.; his love of rule, 86; deceived by Incarnation, 89 foll., 97; ransom paid to, xxxiii, 84 foll.; purgation and future salvation of, 99 foll., 101 Schwane, Dr J., referred to, 32, 86,

100, 141, 150

Scripture, Gregory's interpretation of, xix foll., 42, 118 Scudamore, W. E., referred to, 149

(bis), 152,

Severus, bishop of Antioch, 164 Sextus, quoted, 16 Sight, Gr.'s theory of, 23

Similes in the Or. Cat., xvii Sin, continuance of, since Incarnation, 109 foll.

Sodom, 109 Soul, relations of, with body, 54 Spirit, see Holy Spirit Stoicism, referred to, 95, 117 Synesius, quoted, 117

Tertullian, materialism of, xi; referred to, 7, 42 Theodore of Rhaithu, on the In-

carnation, xvi, 164

Theodoret, his quotations from the Or. Cat., xv, xlvii, 54, 72, 116, 118; his language on the Eucharist, xxxix

Theophanes, quoted, 47 Theophrastus, referred to, 23 Theorianus, his Disputatio cum Nersete, xv, xlvii, 142

Transubstantiation, Gregory's teaching on the Eucharist distinguished from, xxxviii foll., 150

Trinity, illustration of, from psychology, xxxi, 9; doctrine of, mysterious, 15; mediates between opposing doctrines of Judaism and Hellenism, 16 foll.; importance of right belief in, 155 foll; baptism into, 155 foll.; uncreated, 157

Tritheism, Gr.'s doctrine of the Trinity guarded against, 16

Ueberweg, his judgment on Gregory,

Universalism, of Gregory, xv, xxiii Universality, want of, in the spread of Christianity, 110 foll.

# V

Valentinus, 2 Venantius, Fortunatus, his hymn 'Pange lingua,' 97 Vincent of Lerins, quoted, 102 Vincenzi, A., referred to, 100 Virgin-Birth of Christ, 60 foll., 86 Vulcobius, Dn J., referred to, xliii

Westcott, Bp. referred to, 35, 75 Wilson, H. A., referred to, 4 Word of God, existence of, illustrated from human word, 7; possesses life absolutely, 9; possesses will and power to do what He wills, 9; one with God in nature, 12 foll.; inseparable from the Holy Spirit, 15

## Z

Zahn, Dr, referred to, 3 Zeller, referred to, 117 Zeno, Apostolo, xlvi Zinus, his Latin version of Euthymius Zig., 56, 144

# INDEX II.

# SCRIPTURE TEXTS.

GENESIS	AMOS
i. 27 24, 4 28-30 36, 4	ix. 2, 3 95, 5
ii. 7 31, 6	MICAH
EXODUS	vii. 18 162, 16
iii. 8 64, 1	
iv. 31	HABAKKUK 
PSALMS	ii. 4, 5 109, 5
iv. 3, 4	MALACHI
vii. 12 162, 17	iii. 2 139, 1
xv. (xvi.) 10	6 155, 1
xxxii. (xxxii.) 6	WISDOM
lxxii. (lxxiii.) 7	i. 7
lxxxi. (lxxxii.) 6, 7 162, 19 lxxxix. (xc.) 2 116, 1	vii. 1 43, 5
xci. (xcii.) 16	MATTHEW
3 95, 5	ii. 16–18 109, 7
cv. (cvi.) 4, 5	iii. 10
cxxxviii. (cxxxix.) 7 95, 5 8-10 120, 3	xviii. 20 127, 6
exliv. (exlv.) 9 162, 13	xxiii. 34, 35
16 162, 11	xxviii. 20 122, 3; 127, 6
ISAIAH	MARK
i. 16	vi. 48-9 87, 8
xl. 22 95, 5 Ixiv. 4 (3) 163, 4	ix. 48 163, 9 xiv. 22 151, 1
lxvi. 24	151, 1
JEREMIAH	LUKE
xxiii. 4	iii. 9 163, 9 v. 31 48, 3
JOEL	xix. 8 161, 13
ii. 13 162, 12	10 94, 6 xxiv. 36 121, 16

JOHN	GALATIANS
i. 12	vi. 3 162, 2 7 97, 20
iii. 3 159, 3 4 158, 15	EPHESIANS
6	i. 10
xv. 4 sq. 127, 7 7 sq. 127, 5 xvi. 23 127, 5 xix. 34 121, 13	PHILIPPIANS ii. 10
XX. 19	I TIMOTHY
ACTS i. 9	iii. 16
10 60, 9 41 112, 16 47 1, 3	TITUS
ROMANS	ii. 11
vi. 10	5
I CORINTHIANS	HEBREWS
ii. 8	ii. 9
iv. 15	REVELATION i. 8 116, 1

# INDEX III.

# GREEK WORDS.

άβατος 77, 16 άβούλητος ΙΙΙ, 4 άβουλία 28, 10; 37, 12 άβυσσος 55, 4 άγαθύνειν 157, 9 άγγείον 54, 11 άγιάζειν 149, 35; 150, 1 άγιασμός 126, 7 άγκιστρον 84, 5 άγνωμοσύνη 125, 13 άγωνιστικώς 153, 7 άδελφοκτονία 100, Ι άδέσποτος 26, 8; 27, 2; 28, 9 άδιεξίτητος 132, 5 αδιέξοδος 132, 7 άδοξος 102, 14; 104, 2 άδούλωτος 112, 12 άδυναμία 10, 10 άηδία 35, 4 άθανατίζειν 143, 3  $\dot{a}\theta \dot{\epsilon}a\tau os 22, 9$  $\ddot{a}\theta\epsilon$ os 3, 12 άθεράπευτος 46, 11 άθρόως 112, 6 άιδιότης 6, 6; 23, 16; 24, 2, 11 αίνιγμα 43, 1, 2; 77, 2 αίρεσις 2, 8, 14; 17, 1 αίρετικός 38, 12 αίσθητήρια, τά 117, 2 αίσθητικός 45, 7; 107, 2  $ai\sigma\theta\eta\tau$  os 29, 3, 7, 8, 10, 12; 30, 6, 13; 31, 4; 70, 11; 72, 1 άκατανόητος 62, 11 άκέραιος 133, 11 άκίνδυνος 114, 3 άκινδύνως 136, 3 άκινησία 114, Ι άκόλαστος 161, 7 ἄκρατος 92, 17 ἄκριτος 114, 3 άκροχορδών 47, 10 άκρώρεια 35, 11

aktis 33, 15 άκτιστος 34, 1; 155, 1 (bis); 156, 5; 157, 2 άλγηδών 49, 3; 50, 2; 103, 3 åλεξητήριον 98, 4; 142, 6, 10άλλοιοῦν 82, 8; 155, 3; 156, 9, 12 άλλοίωσις 34, 2, 3, 4; 51, 5, 7; 81, 9 foll. άλλοιωτικός 146, 14; 151, 7 άλλοιωτός 83, 6 άλλοτριούν 164, 6 άλλοτρίωσις 50, 10; 135, 1 άλογία 21, 4; 54, 6 άλογος 7, 5 foll.; 43, 10, 15 άμαυροῦν 37, 1 άμαύρωσις 37, 3 άμετάβλητος 79, 20 άμετάθετος 155, 2 άμετάστατος 135, 5 *ἀμετρία* 50, 16 άμιγής 45, 9 αμοιρείν 111, 10; 112, 7 αμοιρος 138, 2 άμύητος 139, 7; 160, 17 άμφίβολος 62, 10 άναβολή 108, 7 άναγενναν 129, 2; 162, 4 άναγέννησις 124, 1; 125, 7; 137, 9; 153, 12; 159, 11; 160, 9 άναγκαστικώς 113, 8 άναγωγικώς 13, 5 άναδεικνύναι 31, 5; 32, 11; 35, 8; 40, 11 άναδύειν 135, 13 άναίμακτος 76, ι άνακαινισμός 159, 15 άνακεραννύναι 141, 4; 143, 6 άνακίρνασθαι 101, 11 άνάκλησις 49, 17; 85, 17 ἀνάκρασις 30, 7; 57, 16; 142, 3 αναλλοίωτος 156, 3, 7 άναλύειν 135, 16

άνάλυσις 87, 5 άναλωτικός 99, 10 αναμφίβολος 76, 7 άνάπλασις 137, 10 άναπόλαυστος 22, 10 άνάρρυσις 87, 6 άναστοιχειοῦν 45, 11; 133, 12 άναστοιχείωσις 137, 11; 160, 6 άναστροφή 132, 2 άνατρέχειν 133, 1 άναφής 20, 10 αναφύειν 140, 12; 164, 1 άναχέειν 15, 5 άνείδεος 29, 10  $\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\kappa\lambda\dot{a}\lambda\eta\tau$ os 62, 11 άνέκφραστος 49, 3 άνενέργητος 10, 17; 19, 6; 35, 3; 57, 13; 125, 11  $\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\pi\dot{b}\delta\epsilon\kappa\tau$  os 13, 1; 61, 10 ανερμήνευτος 58, 8 άνετος 28, 10 άνήνυτος 107, 13 άνθρωποποιία 39, 12; 128, 3 ανόνητος 128, άντάλλαγμα 86, 10; 93, 1 άνταπαντᾶν 97, 16 άνταριθμείν 51, 16 άντεισάγειν 107, 4, 14 άντεισιέναι 145, ΙΙ άντερωτάν 124, 8 άντιδιαιρεῖσθαι 33, 5, 7, 11; 66, 2; 83, 5 άντιδιαίρεσις 65, 9 αντιδιαστέλλεσθαι 33, 6 άντιδιαστολή 33, 4; 83, 4 άντίδοσις 07, 16; 164, 7  $\dot{a}\nu\tau\iota\pi\dot{a}\theta\epsilon\iota\alpha$  142, 13 άντιποιείσθαι 45, Ι άντίρρησις 74, Ι άντιτυπία 88, 4 άντίτυπος 151, 4 άντιτύπως 124, 6 άνύπαρκτος 8, 16; 15, 5; 28, 5 άνυπαρξία 81, 11; 83, 5; 158, 9 άνυπόστατος 8, 10; 9, 7; 14, 9; 19, 7, 9 à\$la 87, 1 άξίωμα 36, 4 άπαγής 8, 11 άπάθεια 35, 6; 64, 4; 138, 11 άπαθής 36, 6, 7; 42, 9; 138, 11 άπαθῶς 39, 15 άπαρίθμησις 24, 6 άπατεών 97, 16

άπατηλός 132, 2 άπεικόνισμα 32, 6; 36, 5 άπειρία 54, ΙΙ απειροπλασίως 136, 4  $a\pi\epsilon\mu\pi\sigma\lambda\hat{a}\nu$  85, 10, 15 απεμφαίνειν 10, 6; 65, 17 άπλοῦν 153, 6  $\dot{a}\pi\dot{b}\beta\lambda\eta\tau$ os 31, 1; 99, 6 άπογεννῶν 154, 4 απογεύεσθαι 142, 9 αποικίζειν 39, 15 άποκατάστασις 100, 7; 138, 4 ἀπόκληρος 23, 17; 31, 3 ἀποκληροῦν 111, 8; 146, 6 ἀποκλύζειν 139, 5; 160, 14 άποκρίνειν 104, 12 άπολεπτύνειν 48, 12 απολιμπάνειν 105, 7 άπολυπραγμόνητος 58, 6 άπομιμεῖσθαι 133, 6 άποξύειν 47, 11; 48, 2  $\dot{a}\pi o\rho\rho\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$  63, 13; 83, 16 άπόρρητος 115, 4 άπορρύπτειν 101, 14; 139, 12; 160, 16 άπόρρυτος 68, 2 άποσαρκοῦν 48, Ι άποσώζειν 139, 3 άποτήκειν 139, 2 άποφέρειν 163, 17 άποφοιτᾶν 113, 4 άπραγμάτευτος 87, 3 άπρακτος 19, 8; 107, 12; 113, 17; 128, 7 άπροαίρετος 10, I άπρόσιτος 104, 6, 11 άπροσπέλαστος 104, 7 άπρόχυτος 45, 1 ἄπτεσθαι 66, 7; 68, 6; 116, 7  $\dot{a}\pi\omega\theta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$  145, 8; 161, 11 άριθμητός 15, 15 άρμονία 30, Ι άρρώστημα 39, 9; 47, 4; 69, 5, 10; 87, 2 άρχέτυπος 26, 11; 36, 6; 82, 5 άρχηγικός 136, 11  $\dot{a}\rho\chi\eta\gamma$  os 124, 5; 131, 5; 132, 9; 157, 3 ἀσθμα 14, 17; 18, 11; 19, 1 ἀστατεῖν 156, 1, 12 άσυνδύαστος 86, 14 ασχήμων 161, 3 άσχολία 103, 10 άτελεύτητος 163, 17

άτεχνία 19, 4 δεισιδαιμονία 77, 7 δέλεαρ 93, 3 άτιμοῦν 114, 2 δελέασμα 98, 13 άτόλμητος 100, 13 ἄτομος 54, 10 δέρειν 43, 8 δέρμα 43, 6, 9; 46, 6 άτονείν 125, 14 άτρεπτος 82, 6; 155, 1; 156, 2, 6 δερμάτινος 43, 5 αὐθεντία 85, Ι δευσοποιός 38, 11 δηλητήριον 42, 7; 98, 4 (bis); 142, αὐθεντικός 64, 7 αὐτεξούσιος 26, 8; 34, 7; 42, 6; 4, 12 81, 6; 112, 12; 113, 10 δημιουργία 38, 8 αὐτεξουσιότης 28, 7 δημιουργός 40, 7 (bis); 49, 9; 106, 2 αὐτοδύναμις 50, 22 διάθεσις 39, 15; 156, 3 αὐτοζωή 9, 15 διαιρείν 72, 13; 77, 2; 115, 11 αὐτοκρατής 27, Ι διακόσμησις 92, 10 αὐτομάτως 35, 14 αὐτομολεῖν 85, 9 διακρατείν 148, 16 διακρίνειν 134, 2 αὐτοσοφία 65, 18 διαλαμβάνειν 78, 4; 102, 10; 121, 7 αὐτόχειρ 36, 2 διάλεξις 3, 7, 9 άφθαρσία 6, 6; 144, 7; 152, 6 διαλύειν 41, 5; 142, 9, 10 ἄφθονος 34, 8 διαλυμαίνεσθαι 98, 6 άφθορος 86, 15 διάλυσις 39, 10; 46, 5; 133, 9; άφίδρυμα 75, 14 134, 4 άφορμή 26, 5; 37, 10; 85, 3; 124, 10; 142, 1; 164, 4 διαμαρτία 44, 14 διαμερισμός 16, 7 ἄφραστος 49, 4 διαμονή 119, 3; 146, 9; 148, 8 διανοητικός 35, 16; 66, 9; 125, 2;  $\dot{a}\chi\rho\epsilon\iota o\hat{v}\nu$  44, 15 άχώρητος 62, 11; 90, 14 160, 2 άψευδής 129, Ι διάνοια 5, 3; 6, 7; 39, 14; 43, 7  $\dot{a}\psi\dot{\nu}\chi\omega s$  9, 3 διαπλάσσειν 128, 6 διαπτύειν 102, 13 βαπτίζειν 156, 8 διαρθρούν 7, 7 βάπτισμα 122, 12; 160, 1; 161, 14 διαρκής 50, 10 βασίλειον 76, 12 διασαφείν 15, 13 βία 36, 10, 13 διασκευή 106, 17 Blos 41, 6; 110, 9; 114, 2; 156, διαστέλλειν 134, Ι διαστολή 7, 3 9, 13 βιοῦν 163, 3 βλαστάνειν 109, 11 διατειχίζειν 122, 4 διατυποθν 132, 21 βλέφαρον 34, 9; 41, 1 διαφέρειν 21, 20διαφθορά 61, 6  $\beta \rho i \theta \epsilon i \nu$  35, 14 διάχυσις 44, 7 γειτνιᾶν 104, 19 διαχωρείν 87, 8 διαψεύδειν 84, Ι γενικός 71, 16 γέννησις and γένεσις 61, 10 δίδαγμα 18, 2 γεννήτωρ 154, 11 διδαχή 1, 4γήινος 31, 9; 32, 6; 44, 11 διεξάγειν 107, 9; 118, 6 γνωρίσμα 12, 13; 159, 14; 160, 10; διεξιέναι 68, 10 διεξοδεύειν 82, 12 162, 17 γνωστικός 49, 14 διεξοδικώς 67, 9 διευκρινείν 50, 19; 147, 1 γραφικός 20, 1 διήγημα 59, 15; 61, 2; 77, 11 δαψιλής 88, 6 διηρημένως 16, 1 δεικνύναι 61, 13 δικαιολογία 85, 3 δεικτικός 21, 20 δικαστήριον 52, 13

διορατικός 38, 14 διόρθωσις 87, 3; 103, 3; 138, 15 διορθωτικός 102, 8 διοχλείν 110, 9 διπλόη (), 10 διώνυμος 76, 12 δόγμα 2, 15; 3, 12; 6, 10; 7, 5; 16, 9; 24, 8; 37, 15; 39, 6; 124, 7 δογματίζειν 18, 14 δοκιμασία 44, 13 δριμύσσειν 48, 7; 101, Ι δυσαρεστείν 106, 12 δυσκληρία 113, 5 δύσκολος 18, 1; 46, 14 δυσωπείν 64, 1 έγγεωργείν 88, 11

 $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  39, 7; 156, 9 έγκαθησθαι 95, 5 έγκατασπείρειν 88, 15 έγκαταφέρειν 94, 16 έγκρατείν 04, ΙΙ έγκυματοῦν 156, 13 έδεσμα 98, 2 είδος 146, 15 είδωλομανία 75, 3 είδωλον 83, 19; 103, 2 εἰκών 24, 4, 5; 26, 13; 125, 17 είρμός 30, 5; 67, 9; 80, 12 εἰσοικίζειν 93, 4; 102, 12 είσρεῖν 145, 14 έκατόμβη 75, 12 έκκαθαίρειν 101, 15 έκκλησία 112, 17 έκλαμβάνειν 77, 8 έκλύειν 134, 15  $\epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma \iota s$  125, 15 έκπλύνειν 102, 4 έκρεῖν 133, 10 ἔκτασις 121, 2 έκτήκειν 48, 13; 99, 11 έλεγμός 48, 13 έλευθερωτής 63, 16 έλληνίζειν 3, 9; 17, 4 έλληνισμός 2, 5; 17, 8 έμπαθής 25, 7 έμπεδαν 114, Ι  $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon \nu 95, 5; 129, 10$ έμπερικρατείν 49, 14 έμπεριλαμβάνειν 50, 21  $\epsilon \mu \pi \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a} \nu$  162, 11 έμπνευσις 31, 7 έμφαίνειν 118, 4

ξμφασις 8, 9  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\phi\dot{\nu}\epsilon\nu$  27, 8; 48, 8 έμφυτεύειν 31, 8 ξμφυτος 23, 2 έναέριος 23, 6 ένανθρώπησις 101, 2  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\iota$ αιτᾶσθαι 104, 10 ένδομυχείν 108, 12 ένδύειν 95, 5  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\epsilon\omega\rho\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$  4, 9; 125, 3 ένισχύειν 122, 2 έννοιαι, κοιναί 20, 1 ένόπλιος 131, 8 ένσκήπτειν 108, 14 ένσπείρειν 152, 3 έντελής 93, 9 έντελως 134, Ι έντευξις 150, 1 έντυγχάνειν 75, 16 ενυδρος 23, 6 ένυπόστατος 50, 20 έξαιματοῦν 151, 8 έξαιρείσθαι 85, 12, 16 έξαίρετος 43, 11 έξανθρωπίζειν 18, 13 έξάπτειν 56, 4 έξάπτεσθαι 21, 14; 56, 10; 95, 6 έξαρίθμησις 15, 15 έξέτασις 96, 11 έξεταστικώς 65, 2 έξηγείσθαι 131, 11 έξις 21, 8; 33, 13; 44, 15 έξομοιοῦν 143, 3  $\epsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$  127, 6; 128, 9; 129, 1, 5 έπάγειν 133. 7 έπαίειν 77, 2 έπαιτιᾶσθαι 113, 5 έπανθίζειν 83, 11 έπανορθοῦν 7, 4 έπανόρθωσις 47, 5 έπεισάγειν 146, 2 έπέρχεσθαι 55, 5 έπιβατεύειν 55, 4  $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \circ \hat{a} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 85, 8$ έπιβολή 46, 6 έπιβουλεύειν 97, 4; 98, 3 (bis) έπιβουλή 44, 18; 142, 4 έπιγράφεσθαι 27, 7 έπιθιγγάνειν 136, 3  $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \rho \nu \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$  161, 18; 162, 18 έπιίστωρ 117, 11 έπικαλείν 127, 6; 140, 3

 $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i\kappa\eta\rho\sigma s \ 8, \ 17 \ (bis); \ 25, \ 7; \ 57, \ 16;$ ζύμη 143, 2 151, 12  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma\iota$ s 123, 11; 124, 5; 127, 3; 138, 14 έπικύπτειν 116, 6 έπιμιξία 62, 14; 96, 5; 103, 5 έπιμύειν 34, 8; 86, 5  $\epsilon \pi \nu 0 \epsilon \hat{\nu} 21, 15; 43, 8; 85, 17; 131,$ 1; 132, 11  $\epsilon \pi i \nu o i \alpha$  4, 12; 37, 1; 98, 17; 132, 12 έπίπνοια 112, 5 έπιπόλαιος 38, 13 έπιπωρούν 48, 2 έπιρρείν 14, 7; 88, 7; 145, 5 έπιρρεπώς 38, 10 έπίρρυτος 68, 2 έπίσκεψις 64, 1; 106, 2 έπισκήνωσις 149, 5 έπισπαν 42, 7 έπιστατείν 30, 2; 59, 8  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$  21, 8; 125, 2; 160, 6 έπιτελής 128, 13  $\dot{\epsilon}$ πιφάνεια 48, 7; 88, 3; 108, 9  $\dot{\epsilon}$ πιφαύειν 128, 14  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\chi\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\iota\nu$  135, 9 έπιχωριάζειν 102, 16 έριστικός 113, 7 έστίασις 88, 6 εὐαγγελικός 88, 16 εὐαγής 9, 4 εὐάλωτος 36, 14 εὐδοκία 162, 12  $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \hat{v}$  35, 2 εὐεργεσία 58, 14; 63, 4 εύημεροῦν 86, 5  $\epsilon \vartheta \theta \eta s$  162, 18 εὐκατόρθωτος 140, 9 εὐκίνητος 30, 10 εὐκολία 136, 8; 140, 1  $\epsilon \ddot{\upsilon} \lambda \eta \pi \tau os$  104, 10 εὐλογία 36, 3, 12; 37, 4; 152, 7 εύμηχάνως 36, 1; 97, 12 εὐοδοῦν 72, 2 εὐπατρίδης 85, 8 εὐπερίγραπτος 54, 8 εύρυθμος 131, 8 εὐωχείν 88, 7 έφαπλοῦν 55, 3 έφάπτεσθαι 68, 11; 94, 18; 103, 8; 104, 11; 105, 15; 141, 3 έφεσις 23, 5 έφηδύνειν 146, 11; 148, 2 ξφοδος 58, 1 ίστορικῶς 24, 7; 42, 13 έφόλκιον 55, 8

ζωοθυσία 75, 6 ζωοποιείν 50, 3; 63, 12; 147, 4 ζωοποιός 144, 3 ζωτικός 110, 6: 110, 1 ήλος 47, 10 ήμιτελής 115, 12 θαθμα 57, 10; 59, 1; 88, 16; 90, 2; 91, 8; 127, 1, 10; 129, 6 θαυμαστούν 162, 21 θαυματουργία 88, 2 θεικός 90, 8; 149, 1  $\theta \epsilon \hat{i} o \nu$ ,  $\tau \acute{o}$  3, 11; 4, 6, 11; 5, 15; 7, 6; 10, 5; 19, 10; 93, 2; 125, 15; 126, 6; 128, 5; 129, 7 θεογνωσία 15, 12 θεοδόχος 116, 10; 144, 4; 148, 12; 151, 10 θεοειδής 32, 7  $\theta \epsilon o \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\omega} s = 1, 1$ θεομαχία 109, 5 θεόπνευστος 18, 5  $\theta \epsilon o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} s$  4, 14; 14, 8; 23, 13; 52, 9; 53, 5; 57, 3; 63, 1; 93, 14; 105, 10; 121, 11  $\theta \epsilon \delta \tau \eta s$  4, 9; 6, 1, 3; 16, 8; 89, 7; 90, 15; 92, 15; 95, 1; 117, 1; 118, 11; 120, 5, 13; 129, 6; 140, 8; 152, 1 θεοφάνεια 76, 11 θεραπευτής 99, 6  $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i a$  4, 14  $\theta \eta \lambda \dot{\eta}$  86, 15 θηριώδης 79, 7 θρησκεία 2, 1; 77, 4, 11 θρυαλλίς 36, 16 θυμός 110, 6 θυσιαστήριον 75, 10 *lδιάζειν* 15, 3; 30, 11 ίδιαζόντως 5, 9; 103, 6 ίδιότης 6, 1 ίδίωμα 61, 7; 101, 3, 11; 104, 1; 115, 10, 13 ίερωσύνη 76, ι ίκετεύειν 128, 18 Ιουδαίζειν 2, 4 Ίουδαικός 16, 9; 17, 7 ίστορείν 57, 18; 59, 2; 61, 16; 86, ίστορία 59, 13; 109, 9; 122, 6

καθαίρειν 134, 3; 138, 7; 140, 7 καθαρεύειν 134, 5 καθαρίζειν 139, 8 καθαρότης 140, 7 καθάρσιον 102, 5; 138, 7, 13; 130, κάθαρσις 100, 9; 101, 8; 139, 7; 110, 6 καθείργειν 63, 15; 89, 3 καθηγείσθαι 21, 3; 131, 4; 132, 18; 133, 13; 141, 3 κάθοδος 91, 15 καθυβρίζειν 115, 5 καίριος 110, 4 καταβάλλειν 97, 21; 98, 11; 124, 11; 137, 4; 164, 4 κατάδικος 87, 6 καταδύειν 135, 16 καταθύμιος 28, 8; 41, 10 κατακιρνᾶσθαι 57, 6; 152, 4 κατακρύπτειν 132, 15 καταλαμβάνειν 53, 14; 82, 12 καταλήγειν 123, 5 κατάληψις 13, 3 κατάλληλος 23, 5; 30, 9; 138, 16 (bis); 146, 5; 148, 7 καταλλήλως 23, 7; 146, 15; 163, 20 κατάλογος 2, 7 καταμανθάνειν 115, 14 καταμερίζειν 142, 8; 144, 10 καταμιγνύναι 62, 13; 101, 2; 102, 17; 107, 15; 144, 2; 151, 12 καταναλίσκειν 99, 7 κατανοείν 34, 11 κατανόησις 15, 12; 29, 3; 120, 13 καταντάν 131, 14 κατάρα 37, 7 κατάρχειν 143, 1 κατασκευάζειν 10, 4; 19, 9 κατασκευή 2, 3 κατάστασις 38, 19 κατασύρειν 159, 4 κατατρέχειν 25, 9; 65, 16 καταφαρμακεύειν 108, 10 καταχθόνιος 121, 6 κατάχρησις 67, 6 κατήφεια 138, 4 κατήχησις Ι, Ι; 2, 2; 159, 7 κατορθούν 76, 2; 113, 11; 131, 5 κατόρθωμα 133, 3; 140, 1 κατωφερής 122, 17 καῦσις 100, 2, 3 καυτήριον 46, 15 κεραία 121, 6

κεραμεύειν 45, 2 κηδεμονία 41, 10 κηλίς 46, 9; 101, 15; 138, 13; 160, κήρυγμα 61, 1, 6 κιβδηλεύειν 138, 16 κίνησις 69, 18; 82, 9 foll.; 110, 6 κλήσις ΙΙΙ. 10 κολαστήριον 163, 8 κόρος 88, 12 κοσμογονία 24, 3; 31, 5 κριτήριον 83, 12 κρυπτός 117, 11; 118, 6 κύπτειν 117, 8 λαβύρινθος 131, 16; 133, 4, 7 λαμπηδών 99, 8 λείψανον 110, 8

λείψανον 110, 8 λεπτός, 30, 10 λῆξις 30, 11 λίχνος 93, 2 λοντικός 66, 9; 125, 1; 160, 2 λουτρόν 122, 11; 137, 9; 138, 2; 160, 12, 15 λύθρος οι λύθρον 62, 13 λυσιτελεῖν 154, 9; 155, 3 λύτρον 85, 19 λύτροσις 90, 12 λυτρωτής 63, 15 λύχνος 36, 15

μακαριότης 42, 9; 135, 16 μάννα 88, 7 μαντεία 75, 11 ματαιότης 163, 1  $\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}o\nu$  8, 12; 14, 18 μεθιστάναι 147, 1; 148, 15; 149, 7 μεθοδεύειν 108, 11  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta o \delta o s Q \delta$ , 13 μεθόριον 72, 12  $\mu\epsilon\iota o\hat{\upsilon}\nu$  147, 5 μερίζειν 147, 5 μεταβολή 34, 2; 160, 5; 161, 12; 162, 1 μεταμέλεια 138, 14, 16 μετάνοια 50, Ι μεταποιείν 125, 13; 143, 5, 8; 149, 2, 3, 7; 150, 3; 159, 15; 160, 8, 15; 161, 9 μεταποιείσθαι 79, 18 μεταποίησις 151, 9; 159, 12 (bis); 160, 4, 5 μετασκευάζειν 126, 4 μετάστασις 160, 11

μεταστοιχειοῦν 152, 7 μετατιθέναι 143, 5 μεταχώρησις 99, 3 μετουσία 9, 11, 15 μιαιφονία 100, 7 μίγμα 31, 4 μικροψυχία 49, 9; 50, 6 μικρόψυχος 53, 3 μικροψύχως 95, 2; 103, 13 μίξις 30, 7 μοίρα 53, 14; 89, μόλιβδος 44, 19 (bis); 45, 3 μοναρχία 16, 7 μονάς 16, 1  $\mu o \nu o \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} s$  2, 13; 158, 12 μορφή 161, 2 μύειν 124, 6 μύησις 138, 3; 160, 17  $\mu\nu\theta$ οποιία 2, 15; 39, 6 μυθώδης 29, Ι μυρμηκία 48, 7 μυσταγωγείν 120, 15 μυστήριον Ι, 2; ΙΙ, Ι4; Ι5, ΙΟ; 52, 10; 53, 2; 65, 3; 115, 14; 117, 8; 124, 2; 129, 10; 153, 2 μυστικός 122, 10; 129, 2; 138, 14; 139, 5; 160, 12 μυστικώς 77, 2 vabs 75, 19 νεκρότης 41, 6; 43, 16; 116, 7; 132, 22  $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu + 3$ , 10; 132, 13 νέκρωσις 134, 10 νήφειν 156, 1  $\nu o \epsilon \rho \delta s 0$ , 4; 44, 12; 54, 13; 70, 12; 72, 1; 113, 13 νοητός 29, 3, 7, 9; 30, 6, 10, 12; 31, 1, 3, 11; 32, 8  $\nu b\theta$ os 99, 10 όγκος 145, 10, 15; 146, 12 όγκώδης 145, 12 δδεύειν 131, 15 οἰκονομεῖν 4, 2; 32, 5; 70, 14; 107, 3; 146, 5 οίκονομία 20, 3; 32, 10; 56, 1; 58, 13; 72, 8; 78, 14; 79, 15; 80, 13; 92, 11; 94, 5; 95, 9; 119, 7; 128, 1; 129, 2; 133, 7, 14; 138, 1 οίκονομικώς 43, 16 δλέθριος 142, 6 δλκή 13, 10 δλκός 110, <u>5</u>

όμογενής 157, 10; 162, 10 όμόγλωσσος 112, 4 δμόδουλος 159, 5 όμοιοτρόπως 2, 3; 59, 8 όμοίωσις 24, 5; 81, 7 δμότιμος 31, 9; 101, 16 δμοτίμως 104, 5; 106, 14 δμόφυλος 23, 8 ομόφωνος 100, 8 όμωνυμία 8, 6 όμωνύμως 7, 10 όνομασία 122, 13 όπλιτικός 131, 7 δργανικός 106, 17 ὄργανον 106, 10 όρμή 10, 14 öρος 124, 13 όστράκινος 42, 11 ὄστρακον 45, 3 οὐραῖον 110, 5 οὐσία 19, 14; 21, 9; 30, 10; 66, 11; 72, 1; 92, 2 ούσιοῦν 91, 14 οὐσιώδης 15, 2; 50, 20 οὐσιωδῶς 18, 4 öψις, 34, 9 όψοφαγία 88, 13

πάθημα 25, 8 παθητικός 60, Ι πάθος 67, 1 foll.; 87, 7 παιδοφονία 109, 7 παλιγγενεσία 122, 12 παντοδύναμος 14, 15; 91, 5 παραγράφειν 17, 6; 59, 14 παράδεισος 24, 9 παράδοξος 126, 1 παράδοσις 118, 1 παρακαινοτομείν 148, 5 παραλογισμός 97, 3  $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 104, 1$ παρανομία 109, 4 παραρτύειν 42, 8 παρασύρειν 37, 16 παρασχολείν 145, 2 παρατρέπειν 59, 6; 97, 9 παρατροπή 49, 16 $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu i \alpha 62$ , I παρομαρτείν 15, 4 παρρησία 36, 8; 37, 7  $\pi \alpha \chi \dot{\nu}$ s 44, II  $\pi \epsilon \hat{i} \rho \alpha$  54, 1; 115, 1; 163, 13  $\pi\epsilon\rho i\gamma\epsilon ios$  32, 3, 9 περιγράφειν 55, Ι

```
περιγραφή 54, 10; 57, 4
                                                  προίστασθαι ι, 2
                                                  προκαθηγείσθαι 137, 8; 138, 9
περιδράσσειν 36, 16
περιεκτικός 18, 5
                                                  προκάλυμμα 93, Ι
περιέρχεσθαι 36, Ι
                                                  προκαταβάλλειν ()7, 20
\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \chi \epsilon i \nu 122, 6; 132, 7, 9; 145,
                                                  προκοπή 156, 17
   14; 153, 11
                                                  προλαμβάνειν 2, 5, 0
                                                  πρόληψις 3, 4
περιθρύπτειν 45, 3; 71, 8
περικρατείν 32, 3; 148, 8
                                                  προορατικός 22, 4
                                                  προπύλαια 75, 14
περιληπτικός 24, 2
                                                  προσάγειν 138, 13
περίνοια 55, 7
                                                  προσάπτειν 115, 12
\pi \epsilon \rho lo \delta o s 64, 10, 15; 73, 6; 133, 5
                                                  προσδεῖσθαι 122, 1, 7; 127, 9
\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o \nu \sigma l \alpha Q I, I 5; I I 5, 2; I 28, I
περιοχή 145, 13
                                                  \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \gamma \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 99, 1; 116, 6
περιπλάσσειν 84, 6
                                                  προσεγγισμός 57, 2, 4; 90, 1; 99,
περιπλέκειν 103, Ι
\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu 71, 10
                                                  προσηγορία 5, 15
περίττωμα 48, Ι
                                                  προσίεσθαι 53, 6
περιφύεσθαι 99, 14
                                                  \pi \rho o \sigma l \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu 48, 9
                                                  πρόσκαιρος 164, 4
περιχάσκειν 83, 16
πήρωσις 27, 11; 33, 11, 12; 40, 10
                                                  προσκεῖσθαι 163, +1
πλάγιος 119, 12; 120, 11; 121, 2
                                                   προσμαρτυρείν 125, 14
πλάσμα 32, 6
                                                  προσοικειοῦν 138, 11
\piληθυντικός 17, 6
                                                  προσπωρούν 138, 12
\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu 109, 18; 134, 14; 163, 6
                                                  πρόσφατος 5, 15
                                                  προσφύσησις 122, 2
ποικίλος 132, 1
ποιός, ο 23, 0
                                                  \pi \rho \delta \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o s 114, 6; 125, 8
ποιότης 125, Ι
                                                   πρόσωπον 155, 8
                                                   \pi \rho \delta \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s 3, 6
πολυάνθρωπος 112, 17
                                                  πρωτόπλαστος 43, 5
\piολυθεία 2, 12
\pi \circ \lambda \circ \theta \in \mathfrak{os} 17, 5
                                                  \pi \tau o \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu 89, 8
πολυπραγμονείν 118, 10
                                                  \pi\tau\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha 116, 6
                                                   πυθμήν 88, 1; 145, 9
πολυπραγμοσύνη 55, 6
                                                  πυκνοῦν 108, II
πολυτρόπως 100, 10
πομπή 75, 11
\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu 11, 15; 65, 15
                                                   βαστώνη 115, 3
                                                   ρευστός 50, 3
προάγειν 132, 3
                                                   ρημα 18, 11; 19, 1 foll.; 21, 8
\pi \rho o \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 113, 16
προαίρεσις 10, 13; 27, 9; 37, 2;
                                                   ριζωρυχείν 146, 6
                                                   ροπή 10, 13; 35, 8, 10; 86, 7
   40, 5, 11; 113, 17; 114, 1, 8;
                                                   ρυπαρία 42, 12
   128, 11; 140, 10; 162, 8; 163,
                                                   ρύπος 139, 5
   20; 164, 5
                                                   ρυπτικός 139, 3
προαιρετικός 9, 16; 14, 14; 15, 7;
   21, 10
 προαπαντάν 120, 3
                                                   σαθρός 38, 14
                                                   σαρκώδης 38, 18
 πρόβλημα 98, 12
 προβολή 119, 6; 120, 18
                                                   σβεστήριον 163, 13
 προδεικνύναι 131, 9, 13
                                                   σεβάσμιος 77, 15
                                                   σεμνός 75, 19
 προδιαγιγνώσκειν 154, 0
 προεκτιθέναι 153, 5
                                                   σημασία 11, 4, 12
σιτοποιείν 88, 8
 προεκτικός 21, 12
 προεντιθέναι 142, 12
                                                   σκηνοῦν 149, 6
 \piροηγεῖσθαι 127, 13
                                                   \sigma \kappa \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \delta s 41, 7; 47, 5
                                                   σκώληξ 163, 15
 \pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s 10, 8, 11, 17; 15, 8; 115,
    12
                                                   σπίλος 139, 11
```

σπουδάζειν 128, 12, 14 στάσις 82, 12 στερεοῦν 18, 0; 19, 11 στοιχείον 132, 16, 21; 133, 5 στοχασμός 40 6; 55, 12; 86, 1 συγγένεια 131, 1; 132, 6 συγγενής 89, 8; 130, 5; 138, 10; 150, 2 συγκατάβασις 92, 12 συγκατάθεσις 26, 3; 112, 15; 124, 8 συγκεραννύναι 141, 2 συγκιρνᾶσθαι 31, 11 σύγκριμα 70, 12; 137, 10 συγκρίνειν 125, 1; 137, 2 σύγκρισις 105, 2 συζην 2, 5 συζυγία 151, 3 συκοφαντείν 161, 10, 13 συκοφαντία 52, 13 σύμμικτος 4, 10 συμπάθεια 48, 8 συμπαραλαμβάνειν 128, 12; 157, 7 συμπαρεκτείνειν 118, 12  $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \rho o \mu \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$  14, 16 συμπλέκειν 92, 15; 153, 7 συμπλοκή 105, 5 σύμπνοια 30, 4; 119, 10 συμφύειν 71, 5; 100, 6; 120, 12 συμφυής 120, 10; 134, 3 συμφυία 35, 13; 48, 6; 57, 8; 134, 16; 158, 8 συμφυώς 158, 4 συμφωνία 30, 3 συνάγειν 119, 10; 142, 10 συναγωνιστής 63, 16 συναίσθησις 117, 3 συνάλλαγμα 80, 1 συναλλαγματικός 90, 11 συνανακεραννύναι 148, 4 συνανάκρασις 31, 1; 48, 10; 70, 13; 101, 12; 102, 18 συνανιστάναι 116, 8 συναπαρτίζειν 60, 6 συναποδεικνύναι 127, 2 συναποθεοῦν 130, 4; 152, Ι συναπολλύναι 113, 15 συνάπτειν 97, 11; 119, 6 συναρμόζειν 119, 8 συναρτάν 94, 2 συνάφεια 69, 18 συναχρειούν 143, 6 συνδείν 119, 8 σύνδεσις 45, 11 συνδιασώζειν 130, 5

σύνδρομος 15, 8; 50, 24 συνδυασμός 61, 5 συνείναι 127, 8; 129, 4 συνεκδιδόναι 117, 7 συνεκτικός 22, 3 συνεπαίρειν 31, 8; 117, 1 συνευτελίζειν 62, 15 συνέχεια 134, 13 συνέχειν 32, 3; 96, 3; 107, 1; 145, 6 συνεχής 117, 6 συνηγορία 126, 10 συνήγορος 38, 16 σύνθεσις 9, 11, 13 σύνθετος 30, 10; 104, 16 συνθλίβειν 6, 3 συννεκροῦν 110, 4 συνταπεινούν 8, 8  $\sigma \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$  106, 15 συντηρείν 59, 4 συντηρητικός 58, 16 συντρέχειν 134, 13 σύντροφος 18, 2; 89, 7; 140, 11 συνωθείν 138, Ι σύστασις 13, 11; 19, 13; 21, 3; 37, 16; 120, 2; 124, 10; 151, 11; 152, 3 συσχηματίζειν 104, 2 σχετικός ΙΙ, Ι2 σχηματίζειν 145, 14 σχίζειν 16, 8 τακτικά, τά 131, 7 ταμείον 88, 9 ταμιεύειν 46, 12; 134, 9 τέμενος 75, 14 τεχνικός 7, 4; 80, 13  $\tau \in \chi \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ s 4, 1; 10, 10 τομή 46, 15; 48, 7 τρεπτός 83, 6 τριήμερος 132, 10; 133, 5; 135, 10  $\tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$  34, 1; 51, 5; 66, 12; 81, 12 τροπικώς 132, 7 τρυφάν 161, 17 τύπος 120, 8 τυραννικός 79, 8; 84, 16; 85, 16

ύγεία 35, 2 ύγρότης 125, 17 ύλώδης 48, 1 ύπαμείβειν 160, 5

τυραννικώς 85, 12

 $\tau \hat{v} \phi os 86$ , 11; 161, 4

τυραννίς 96, 7

φιλαρχία 86, 8

ύπέκκαυμα 36, 10 ύπερείδειν 88, 4 ύπερηφανία 109, 5 ύπερκείσθαι 4, 4; 8, 11; 13, 6; 24, 1: 58, 15; 120, 7 ύπερκόσμιος 30, 11; 31, 10; 102, 15 ύπεροψία 88, 5 ύπηρετείν 161, 7 ύποβάλλειν 34, 9; 41, 1 ύπόγειος 132, 22 ύποδύειν 133, 4  $\dot{v}\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma v 86, 7; 139, 13$ ύποκείμενον, τό 5, 5; 12, 8; 16, 2; 21, 20; 48, 9; 68, 3; 125, 11 ύποκρίνεσθαι 135, 11 ὑπονοεῖν 8, 1, 7ύπόνοια 2, 10; 4, 5 ύπόστασις 7, 1; 8, 14; 9, 2; 15, 6; 16, 2, 3; 17, 8; 19, 14; 21, 13; 40, 4; 44, 15; 147, 7 ύποτιθέναι 163, 19 ύποχείριος 32, 10 ύποχερσοῦσθαι 88, 3 ύποχθόνιος 120, Ι ύφασμα 101, 15 ύφηγείσθαι 10, 15 ύφήγησις 130, 1

φαντασία 6, 4; 17, 6; 83, 12; 89, 5 φαρμακοποσία 47, 1 φθόνος 34, 12; 35, 11; 36, 10 φθορά 60, 9 φθοροποίος 142, 5; 143, 5 φιλανθρωπία 63, 8; 91, 4; 97, 10 φιλοσοφία 76, 1 φιλοτιμία 88, 10 φιλότιμος 18, 7 φρόνησις 51, 18 φρουρά 89, 3 φύραμα 116, 10 φύστημα 36, 16 φυσικός 27, 12; 30, 4 φυσικώς 23, 1 φυσιολογία 145, 3 φύσις 7, 1; 12, 2; 16, 6; 30, 8; 96, 4; 117, 4 φωστήρ 92, 9 χαρακτηρίζειν 5, 6; 24, 6; 29, 9; 40, 3; 59, 2 χαῦνος 47, 4

χαρακτηρίζειν 5, 6; 24, 6; 29, 6 40, 3; 59, 2 χαῦνος 47, 4 χειραγωγία 52, 1 χειροῦν 89, 6 χιτών 43, 5, 13 χορηγός 42, 4 χοῦς 31, 6 χρηστήριον 75, 10 χυμός 108, 8 χωρυτήριον 139, 1 χωρητός 55, 12; 90, 6, 13 χωρίον 30, 10

ψιλός 87, 3 ψυχοῦν 110, 6

ώκύμορος 8, 10; 25, 7

# CORRIGENDA.

PAGE

28 col. 2 for intelligent and sensible read intelligible and sensible.

30 col. 1 for the intelligent nature read the intelligible nature.

ibid. col. 2 for intelligent and sensible read intelligible and sensible.

37 line 7 for  $\dot{\eta}$  alscáinh kai read  $\dot{\eta}$  alscáinh, kai.

63 col. 2 for 'Απορρύειν read 'Απορρείν.

141 col. 2 for the reading τῷ...καθηγουμένφ...ἐφέπεσθαι read the reading ἐφέπεσθαι.







# **DATE DUE**

TRINTIY COLLEGE LIBIARY		
278-5851		



