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## PREFACE.

THE Oratio Catechetica exhibits perhaps better than any other single work the characteristic features of the mind and thought of its author. As such it serves as an introduction to the study of Gregory of Nyssa. The present edition is intended to render assistance to students in placing the treatise in its proper historical setting, and to supply such illustrative comment as seemed necessary.

While much has been written in recent times upon Gregory's teaching, the problems connected with the text and exegesis of his works have received scant attention. The labours of Krabinger, Forbes, and Oehler are the only serious contribution in modern times to the former, while the volume of translations in the Library of Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers is the first English contribution to the latter.

The text of the present edition is based upon a collation of the more important MSS of the treatise, the majority of which have not hitherto been used for the purposes of an edition. The editor is indebted to
the Managers of the Hort Fund for the grants which have made it possible for him to obtain collations or photographs of these Mss. He has also to acknowledge much kindness and personal assistance rendered to him by the authorities of the various libraries to which he has had access. In this connexion a special debt of gratitude is due to Dr Mercati of the Vatican Library, and to M. Omont of the National Library, Paris. To Mr C. H. Turner he is indebted for valuable information and suggestions in connexion with the textual problems of the treatise. His thanks are also due to Dr H. Jackson for useful references and suggestions, and to the Rev. J. F. Bethune-Baker for criticisms and discussions of particular passages. Above all he has been indebted throughout to the unfailing courtesy and kindness of the General Editor of the present series of Patristic Texts, Dr A. J. Mason, who has placed his advice unreservedly at the service of the present editor, and who has read through the whole work in manuscript and proof, and offered numerous suggestions and criticisms.

The more important works to which reference has been made are mentioned in the Notes, and more fully in the List of Books given in the Introduction.

> J. H. S.

Easter, 1903.
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## INTRODUCTION.

§ 1 . ON THE CHARACTER, DATE, GENUINENESS, AND LITERARY HISTORY OF THE ORATIO CATECHETICA.

The central period of the literary activity of Gregory of Nyssa falls within the years 379-394. Within those years must be placed nearly all his more important works. It was the death of Basil in 379 which brought him prominently forward, and placed him in the position of the champion of Catholicism in Cappadocia. The time was rich in opportunities. The year which preceded the death of Basil had witnessed the fall of Arianism and the triumph of the Nicene cause, for which Gregory had borne his witness not only in teaching, but by submitting to banishment at the hands of an Arian governor ${ }^{1}$.

In the stirring events of the years which followed Gregory played an important part. It was his own position as one of the foremost leaders of the Nicene cause in the East, rather than the importance of his see, which led to his being summoned to the Council of Constantinople in 38I, and to his being named in the edict of the Emperor as one of the bishops with whom communion was required as a test of orthodoxy.

[^0]At Constantinople he made the acquaintance of Jerome, who had been attracted thither by the fame of Gregory of Nazianzus, and it was on this occasion that Jerome heard Gregory recite his work against Eunomius ${ }^{1}$. The period which followed the Council of Constantinople was full of hope for the leaders of orthodoxy. Arianism, though still fairly strong in the East, had received its death-blow at Adrianople. The way was opened for the restoration of the Catholic faith. In that task Gregory of Nyssa played a leading part. But with the restoration of the faith a fresh presentation of it in the terms of a scientific theology became necessary. That was a conviction which had already begun to occupy the minds of Basil and Gregory of Nazianzus. They were both students of Origen, whose theological system, though not accepted in its entirety, was the only adequate form of Christian scientific thought known to that age. The compilation of the Philocalia ${ }^{2}$ is a testimony to their belief that Origen's thought contained the principles by means of which the faith might be presented as a rational theology. It is in their attempt to realize this dream of a 'league between Faith and Science" that the importance of the Cappadocian Fathers largely consists. Gregory of Nyssa shared this belief ${ }^{4}$, and was more deeply imbued with the spirit of Origen than either Basil or Gregory of

[^1]Nazianzus. The Oratio Catechetica approaches more nearly to the spirit of the de Principiis than any other work of the fourth century ${ }^{1}$.

The attempt to establish the doctrines of orthodoxy by rational thought was both opportune and necessary. In the first place current religious conceptions had been profoundly affected by the influence of Neoplatonism. It was rather as an attitude of mind than as a philosophical system that Neoplatonism played a part in the moral culture of the heathen world. It influenced men's way of looking at religious truth, by leading them to dwell upon the inner world, the life of thought and spirit, and to find in it the explanation of the universe. The result was a more spiritual conception of God. According to Plotinus the Divine Being is of the nature of thought and is indivisible ${ }^{2}$. This marked a great advance upon the materialistic conceptions of Deity which characterized Stoic teaching and popular thought, even within the Church ${ }^{3}$, and it rendered easier the task of those who had to state the Christian doctrine of the Trinity and defend it against the unitarian or tritheistic conclusions which so readily followed from a materialistic view of being. Again, the speculations of philosophers on the existence of hypostases within the Divine Being ${ }^{4}$ had made it easier to present to men's minds the unity and co-eternity of the Persons of the Godhead ${ }^{5}$. Once

[^2]more, the widespread fatalism ${ }^{1}$ of the age and the existence of Manichaeism² called for some adequate treatment of the Divine Providence ${ }^{3}$, the origin of evil, and the nature and destiny of man*. Lastly there was the task of justifying to current thought the Christian doctrines of the Incarnation and Atonement.

Such were the circumstances under which the Oratio Catechetica was produced. The purpose of the treatise is stated in the opening words of the Prologue. It is intended not for catechumens, but for catechists, in order to enable them to present in a rational form to those whom they taught the contents of the Christian revelation. Yet it does not profess to set forth a complete system of doctrine. While it abounds in philosophic thought, the aim throughout is practical. The object of the writer is to enable the catechist to remove objections and to win conviction. When he calls to his aid the speculations of philosophers, he does so, not so much because he regards them as the necessary form of truth, as because they provide a common ground for argument. The apologetic character, in fact, is strongly marked throughout.
the hypostases, but excluded the idea of their co-equality. The Intelligence is inferior to the One, and the Soul is inferior to the Intelligence. Both Intelligence and Soul are emanations from the One, which is infinitely raised above them both. Cp. Bright Ase of Fathers i p. 93.
${ }^{1}$ See Gregory's treatise $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ єi $\mu \alpha \rho \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \eta s$.

 this periol. See reff. in Gieseler Eicl. Hist. (Eng. tr.) i 369 note 3.
${ }^{3}$ See further notes on cc. $5-8$.
${ }^{4}$ Gr.'s polemic against Manichaeism also throws light upon his language on the $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$ in c .26 (see notes) and his defence of human generation in c. 28.

The work falls roughly into four divisions:
I. Chs. I-4, in which he expounds the doctrine of the Trinity.
II. Chs. 5-8, in which he treats of the creation of man and the origin of evil.
III. Chs. 9-32, which deal at length with the Incarnation, removing objections, and showing its consistency with the moral attributes of God. In the same section Gregory treats of the method of the Atonement.
IV. Chs. 33-40, which treat of the Sacraments of Baptism and the Eucharist, and the moral conditions (faith and repentance) which are necessary for their right use.

The only indication supplied by the book itself as to its date is the reference in c. 38 (init.) to his earlier controversial treatises on the faith. This has generally been taken to refer, or at least to include a reference, to his work against Eunomius. That work had been taken in hand as a reply to Eunomius, who had answered Basil's refutation of his former apology by an 'Apologia Apologiae.' Eunomius' book had appeared either shortly before or shortly after the death of Basil ${ }^{1}$. The rough draft ( $\tau \grave{a} \quad \sigma \chi \in \delta \dot{a} \dot{\rho} \iota a$ ) of Gregory's reply, as we gather from the prefatory letter to his brother Peter ${ }^{2}$, had already been made before Gregory's return from Armenia, where he had been towards the end of the year 380, probably, as Tillemont thinks, for the consecration of his brother Peter as bishop of Sebasteia ${ }^{3}$. It was only in response to the urgent requests of friends that

[^3]Gregory was led to publish his book. How far it was advanced at the time when Jerome heard Gregory recite it at Constantinople ${ }^{1}$ it is difficult to say, but it seems probable that the completed work, which is by far the longest of all Gregory's works, was not published before 382 or $383^{2}$. In 383 Gregory was present at a synod at Constantinople and delivered his oration de Deitate Filii et Spirituts Sancti, which also contains an attack upon the Anomœans ${ }^{3}$. These works fully satisfy the description which Gregory gives in c. 38 of his previous controversial and critical works on faith ${ }^{4}$. Thus the Or. Cat. would seem to be later than 383. But it is probably not much later. Though the danger from the Anomœan teaching does not occupy a prominent place in the book, it is still before his mind ${ }^{5}$. It is probable then that the Oratio Catechetica was written in one of the years immediately following 383 .

The title is given in the best MSS as $\lambda$ ó $\gamma$ os кат $\eta \chi \eta$ тєкós. Similarly Photius (Bibl. Cod. 233) and Maximus, in his comment on Ps.-Dionys. de Eccl. Hier. iii. § i I, allude to it as $\dot{o} \kappa а \tau \eta \chi \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s ~ ' ~ B u t ~ i n ~ s o m e ~ M S S ~ a n d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ P a r i s ~$ editions the words ó $\mu$ é $\gamma a s$ have crept into the title ${ }^{7}$.
${ }^{1}$ v. supra p. x. Rupp's suggestion (p. I34, note 4) that the last two books of Basil's Refutution, which are wrongly attributed to him, are the work which Gregory read to Jerome and Gregory Nazianzen, is devoid of any support. See Diekamp op. cit. p. 12 , note + .
${ }^{2}$ For a discussion of the relation of Gr.'s work to the apologies of Eunomius see Diekamp op. cit. p. 126, note 3.
${ }^{3}$ On the date of this work see Ceillier Autcurs sacrés viii 353.
${ }^{4}$ His shorter treatises de Fide, Quod non sint tres dii, and de S. Trinitate (which probably belongs to Gregory, rather than to Basil) were addressed to private individuals.
${ }^{5}$ See prol. and cc. 38, 39 .
${ }^{6}$ Similarly Euthymius and the 'Disputatio Theoriani.'
7 The earliest ms which has the words $\dot{o} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha \mathrm{~s}$ is the Paris codex Gr. i268 (Omont 294).

Its genuineness is well attested, as it is referred to by a succession of later writers. It is quoted by Theodoret ${ }^{1}$ in his Dialogues, and by Leontius of Byzantium in his treatise against Nestorius and Eutyches. John of Damascus in the de Fide Orthodoxa borrows largely from its language on the Trinity and again on the Eucharist. Germanus, Patriarch of Constantinople (ob. 733), in a work which Photius had read (Bibl. Cod. 233), refers to it. There are also clear reminiscences of some of its language on the Trinity in Ps.-Cyril de $S$. Trinitate. Euthymius Zigabenus in the twelfth century incorporates large sections of it into his Panoplia Dogmatica. In another twelfth century work containing the account of a discussion held between Nerses or Noreses, the Catholicos of Armenia, and Theorianus, who had been sent by the Emperor Manuel Comnenus to win him over to the doctrines of Chalcedon, there is a reproduction of Gregory's chapter on the Eucharist. But though the work is frequently cited as belonging to Gregory, a careful perusal of its contents excited the suspicions of orthodox readers. The traces of Origenistic teaching, especially on the ¿ґтокати́бтабья, in the writings of one who ranked amongst the three great Fathers of the Eastern Church, needed explanation. Accordingly an attempt was made to prove that Gregory's writings had been interpolated by the Origenists. This idea first appears in the book written by Germanus, to which Photius refers. The work was entitled 'Аขтатоботько̀s
 refuted the teaching of Origen on the purgation of wicked spirits. In the latter part he maintains that the works of Gregory of Nyssa had been falsified by
the Origenists, who had inserted many passages from Origen's writings. The works to which he referred are, according to Photius, the de Anima et Resurrectione, the Oratio Catechetica and the de Vita Perfecta. But the idea of a universal restoration occurs too frequently in Gregory's writings ${ }^{1}$ to be disposed of by a theory of interpolation, which further receives no support from any change of style.

An objection of a different character has been raised against the concluding chapter of the treatise by Aubertin $^{2}$, on the ground that Gregory, after treating of Baptism in cc. 34-36, and of the Eucharist in c. 37 , again returns to Baptism in c. 40 . But the objection is of little value, as the whole section, cc. 38-40, deals with the moral conditions which are essential to the life of grace, and as baptism marks the initiation into that life it is naturally chosen as the point of reference for his remarks.

The spurious addition to c. 40 , found in the Paris editions and in some late manuscripts, is an extract from a work on the Incarnation by Theodore of Rhaithu, a monk of the seventh century, and its presence in the text is due to a blunder of transcription.

The Oratio Catechetica has received considerable attention in modern times as representing more adequately than any single treatise the characteristic features of Gregory's teaching. Ueberweg, who in his History of Philosophy' (p. 326) speaks of Gregory as 'the first who sought to establish by rational considerations the whole

[^4]complex of orthodox doctrines,' devotes a special section to this work.

Gregory's style has been frequently praised for its excellence. Photius speaks of it ${ }^{1}$ as $\gamma \lambda$ кки́татоs каi
 training ${ }^{2}$ is manifested in the elaboration of his periods, his frequent use of digressions, and above all his love of similes ${ }^{3}$. At the same time these features combine to make his language often obscure and difficult of interpretation.

## § 2. ON SOME POINTS IN THE TEACHING OF GREGORY OF NYSSA.

The purpose of the Oratio Catechetica is to set forth in a manner suited to the needs of those engaged in the instruction of converts the contents of the Baptismal Creed. Gregory starts from the religious beliefs of the Greek and the Jew, and maintains that the Christian doctrine of God is the mean between Greek polytheism and Judaism. The former recognised a distinction of hypostases, the latter the unity of nature, in the Divine Being. He refers to non-Christian conceptions of a
${ }^{1}$ Bibl. Cod. 6.
${ }^{2}$ See the letter of Gregory of Nazianzus (Ep. ir, ed. Ben.) written to Gr., reproving him for his excessive devotion to rhetorical studies, which had led him to resign his office of $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \eta s$.
${ }^{3}$ The Or. Cat. abounds in similes. Especially characteristic are the following : the mixture of water with the oil of a lamp (c. 6), the mind of man wandering at will over the universe (c. © (o), the flame of the wick (ibid.), the dog letting fall his food to eatch at its reflection in the water (c. 2 I ), the comparison of satan to a ravenous fish who swallows both hook and bait (c. ${ }^{2}$ ) , the doctor waiting for the disease to come to a head (c. 29), the snake which has received its death-stroke, but still shows signs of life (c. 30 ).

Word of God, and further seeks to convince the Greek of the existence of a Word and Spirit of God by an appeal to 'general ideas,' based on the facts of human nature ${ }^{1}$. On the other hand he seeks to lead the Jew, from indications contained in the Old Testament ${ }^{2}$, to accept, as consonant with his earlier teaching, the Catholic faith. In dealing with the Greek his treatment is speculative. In dealing with the Jew he appeals to Scripture. In both cases he makes use of the facts of history. The miracles of Christ, the rise, growth, and extension of the Church ${ }^{3}$, all are adduced to confirm the impression of the truth of Christianity which has been gained from an examination of its contents. The argument from prophecy and Old Testament types, which played such an important part in earlier apologies, does not find a place in his treatment. But he states in the clearest way, when treating of the Incarnation, the moral argument. Again and again he appeals to the moral glory exhibited in God's plan of redemption ${ }^{4}$. The Incarnation was an exhibition of the Love of God and was consistent with, and worthy of, His moral nature. This he regards as the sole and sufficient answer to all objections. It is consistent with God's honour to succour the needy. Such a work supplied the most splendid occasion for the exercise of His power. That His power could condescend so low was a greater miracle than any of the wonders of Creation. That Gregory appeals to each of these three classes of arguments, speculative, historical, and moral, is, as Rupp says ${ }^{5}$, a proof of the impartiality of his judgment and of his theological acuteness.


Gregory, as has been already remarked, does not attempt a complete scientific treatment of his subject. His aim is not to produce a de Principiis suited to the needs of the fourth century and based upon the Nicene Creed. He has in view the immediate, practical needs of Christian teachers. Yet there are at least the outlines of a theological system in the Oratio Catechetica, and it is to this fact that its resemblance to the work of Origen is due.

The influence of Origen upon Gregory's work is seen in three points.
I. In the first place his general treatment of his subject shows how deeply he had imbibed the spirit of Origen. His attempt to illustrate and explain Christian truth with the help of the philosophical conceptions of Greek thought is inspired by Origen ${ }^{1}$. Like his great master he too would seek to claim the philosophy of the heathen world as a friend and partner in the pursuit of the higher life ${ }^{2}$.
2. Again, Gregory's exegesis of Scripture is derived, like that of Basil, from Origen. He expounds the principles of the allegorical method of interpretation in c. 32 of the Or. Cat., where he is dealing with objections to the manner of Christ's death. All words and acts of the Gospel have, he declares, a higher and more Divine meaning ${ }^{3}$ than that which lies upon the

[^5]surface. There is in all passages alike an admixture of the Divine element with the human. The voice or action proceeds after a human manner, while the hidden meaning ${ }^{1}$ manifests the Divine element. So in the Death of Christ we can recognize the human element, the shame and weakness, while the outstretched arms of the Sufferer preach the Divine lesson of the all-embracing love of God. The early chapters of Genesis he treats, as Origen had done before him, as allegories. The stories of Paradise and the coats of skin ${ }^{2}$ contain doctrines written in the form of a narrative and after the manner of history ${ }^{3}$. The coats of skin do not refer to literal skins. The inner meaning of the story, expressed in veiled language ${ }^{4}$, is that physical death was appointed by God as a merciful provision for undoing the effects of man's fall. Once more Gregory accuses the Jews of having misunderstood all that the Law had expressed in veiled language for those who were able to understand the inner meaning ${ }^{5}$. Such a method of exegesis was common in the age of Gregory. Allegorism was practised by all parties alike, when it suited their purpose. Some of these mystical interpretations of particular passages had passed into the current tradition of the Church ${ }^{6}$. The allegorical method was, moreover, particularly suited to the work of the apologist. It enabled him to claim the Old Testament in support of Christian belief and to harmonize it with the doctrines of the Church. At the same time it afforded him a weapon




``` \(\delta \iota \grave{p} \rho \tau \tau a \iota\).
\({ }^{6}\) E.g. in c. \(3_{2}\) Gr. claims to have received the interpretation which he gives of the Cross \(\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \alpha \rho a \delta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega s\).
```

wherewith to repel the counter-claims of Judaism. Behind Gregory's use of allegorism, however, there is often a profoundly spiritual conception of the meaning of Scripture ${ }^{1}$.
3. Once more it is in his whole conception of the Divine Providence that Gregory shows himself the disciple of Origen. To him, as to Origen, the history of the world represents a vast movement from a beginning to an end, embracing all created beings, and advancing towards a final unity in which God will be all in all ${ }^{2}$. To both alike it is God's goodness which is the cause of Creation ${ }^{3}$. In the system of Origen, however, man does not occupy quite the same central position in Creation as he does in the teaching of Gregory. According to Origen man is but one factor in the world of spirits ${ }^{4}$. Gregory returns to the view of earlicr Fathers and regards man as the sole cause and the end of Creation ${ }^{5}$. In him the two worlds of sense and spirit find a meeting-point ${ }^{6}$. Origen's view was necessitated by his belief in the pre-existence of souls

[^6]and a pre-temporal fall, which Gregory rejects. But in his treatment of free-will and the origin of evil Gregory again shows himself the disciple of Origen ${ }^{1}$. The possession of free-will was necessary to the perfection of that 'image of God"' in which man was made. The result of its possession was that the participation in good was made the reward of virtue. It is through this endowment of free-will that evil becomes possible. For evil springs from within and is due to the action of man's will in turning away from what is good. Evil has no substantive existence but arises from the absence of virtue. The insistence on man's free-will, which had characterized Origen's teaching when face to face with the predestinarian views of the Gnostics, was no less important at the time when Gregory wrote, in face of the fatalism which characterized heathen thought, and above all in view of the danger from Manichaeism. The conception of the negative character of evil Gregory shares with other teachers of his age. It appears in Athanasius and Basil, and is an indication of their common debt to Origen. At the same time it marks a point of contact with Platonism ${ }^{3}$, originating as it does in the identification of $\tau \grave{o} \dot{a} \gamma a \theta o ́ v$ and $\tau \grave{o}{ }_{o b} \nu^{4}$. But it is in the application of these two ideas of man's freewill and the negative character of evil to the larger question of the Providence of God that Gregory far outdistances his contemporaries and shows himself a thoroughgoing disciple of Origen. It is one of the merits of both teachers that they are able to assign a

[^7]real importance to man's free-will in their system of thought. But man's free-will cannot defeat the final purpose of God, and evil, from its unsubstantial character, cannot be eternal. God must finally be 'all in all.' The purpose of God includes the redemption and restoration to God of all created spirits, Satan included. The purification of man is the work of grace. But those who have not passed through the gate of Baptism have none the less their own appropriate purification. The Divine Power in contact with evil acts as a refining fire. Satan himself will be purged by it and be led to acknowledge the justice and redemptive power of God. Then, when the purifying fire has done its work, there will arise from all Creation a chorus of praise ${ }^{1}$. This doctrine of $\dot{a} \pi o-$ кат $\dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha \sigma \iota$, which proved such a stumbling-block to later ages and led to the suggestion that Gregory's works had been interpolated, shows how completely Gregory had made his own the main outlines of Origen's system ${ }^{2}$. In their conception of a purifying discipline in the after-life both Origen and Gregory are re-echoing the thoughts of Plato in the Gorgias ${ }^{3}$, but the former certainly believed himself to be interpreting the language of Scripture ${ }^{4}$, while the great text of St Paul, already referred to, supplied them both with the Scriptural basis

[^8]which they sought for their belief in the final restoration of all created spirits to God.

In his treatment of human nature in the Or. Cat. Gregory departs from Origen, who adopted St Paul's terminology of 'body,' 'soul,' and 'spirit.' It suited better the purpose of Gregory's apology to adopt the simpler division into 'intelligible' and 'sensible' or 'invisible' and 'visible,' in order that he might exhibit man as the centre of creation and the meeting-point of the two worlds of matter and spirit. At the same time his method enables him to assert the closeness of the union between the two ${ }^{1}$.

Once more Gregory appears to emphasize more clearly than Origen the antithesis of God and the world. Thus when dealing with Creation in its relation to God he no longer uses the antithesis of tò vontóv and rò aio $\theta \eta \tau o \dot{ } \nu$, which would place all spiritual beings in the same category, but abandoning here Plato and Origen, he draws a contrast between 'created' and 'uncreated ${ }^{2}$.' This enabled him to assert the transcendence of God, an idea on which he is continually dwelling in his other works ${ }^{3}$.

It is a sign of Gregory's independence of thought and versatility of mind that, while he has shown himself a true disciple of Origen and has followed him in some

[^9]of his most daring speculations, he has also shown himself susceptible to influences from another teacher who led in his day a reaction from Origen, and even figured as one of his most determined opponents.

The influence of Methodius upon Gregory's thought in the Oratio Catechetica is unmistakeable, and extends not only to important conceptions, but even to similarity of literary expression ${ }^{1}$. In the first place Gregory shares Methodius' conception of the place occupied by death in the Divine order. According to Gregory ${ }^{2}$ death was a temporary institution ${ }^{3}$, not a necessity of man's nature. It affects only the physical or sensuous part of man, and the work which it fulfils in the remedial purpose of God ${ }^{4}$ is to free man's physical nature from the evil implanted in it by sin, by dissolving it and refashioning it ${ }^{5}$ in its original beauty. He illustrates this by the case of a potter, who, when he finds that some ill-disposed person has filled with molten lead the vessel which he has fashioned, breaks up the unbaked clay and remodels it. Methodius' account is similar. According to him 'God devised death that by this means we might be rendered

[^10]altogether free from blemish and injury ${ }^{1 \prime}$; and he explains his meaning by the two illustrations of the worker in metal and the potter. Yet in adopting the point of view of Methodius with regard to the physical nature of man, Gregory shows his originality by combining with it the idea of the purification of the soul by the practice of virtue in this life and the purificatory discipline of the after-life ${ }^{2}$. Starting from this conception of the redemption of the body, we find that both Methodius and Gregory take the same view of the redemptive work of Christ. According to the somewhat confused language of Methodius, Adam represents the whole of humanity which was assumed by Christ ${ }^{3}$. When man went astray, Christ the Shepherd came to seek him and 'bare him up' and 'wrapped Himself around him ${ }^{4}$ ' that he might not again be overwhelmed and swallowed up by the waves and deceits of pleasure. 'For in this way the Word assumed man, in order that, overcoming the serpent, He might through Himself destroy the condemnation which had followed upon man's ruin. For it was fitting that by no other should the Evil One be overcome, but by him whom he had deceived and over whom he was boasting that he had gained the mastery ; for in no other way was it possible that sin and condemnation should be destroyed, unless that same man, on whose account it had been said, "Earth thou art and unto earth shalt thou return," should be refashioned ${ }^{5}$ and
${ }^{1}$ de Resurr. Lil). ic. $4^{2}$ sq. (ed. Bonw. pp. $\mathrm{I}_{4} 2$ sq.).

 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \beta i \varphi$ тєтанiєvтal $\dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon i a$.
 'A $\delta \grave{\alpha} \mu$ बávtєs $\zeta \omega 0 \pi o \iota \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ (ed. Jahn, p. 19). Cp. also iii 4. 7, 8.

${ }^{5}$ à $\nu a \pi \lambda a \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$.
undo the sentence which on his account had issued forth upon all, that, as in Adam formerly all die, even so again in Christ, who assumed Adam, all should be made alive ${ }^{1}$.'

There are resemblances in this exposition to the earlier teaching of Irenaeus $^{2}$, but the many points of contact with Methodius' conceptions and the form in which he illustrates them ${ }^{3}$ seem to show fairly conclusively that Gregory chose the latter as his model. According to Gregory ${ }^{4}$ Christ assumed humanity for the purpose of knitting together in an inseparable union the body and soul which had been severed in death, and recalling the primal grace ${ }^{5}$ which had belonged to human nature. As the principle of death had passed throughout the whole of human nature, so the principle of life resulting from Christ's Resurrection passes to all. He first united the soul which He had assumed in an indissoluble union with His own body by His resurrection. Then on a larger scale ${ }^{6}$ he inaugurated the same union for all humanity. Thus He becomes the 'meetingground ${ }^{7}$ ' of life and death, by arresting the process of dissolution in man's nature, and Himself becoming
${ }^{1}$ ibicl. Cp. also the words in c. 7 ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s} \dot{\dot{o}} \mathrm{~K}$ úpıos, $\dot{\eta} \dot{a} \phi \theta a \rho \sigma i a$
 $\alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̀ \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu \dot{v} \pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \theta o \rho a ̂ s$. See also the mystical application to the Church of the story of the creation of Eve, ibid. c. 8. The reff. throughout are to Jahn's edition.
${ }^{2}$ See Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.), vol. iii p. 105 (cp. ii 239 ff.).
${ }^{3}$ See supra, p. xxv, note 1 .
${ }^{4}$ Or. Cat. c. 16.
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the originating principle of the union of the severed portions ${ }^{1}$.

In these somewhat realistic expositions of the work of redemption we find certain clearly marked conceptions which are held in common by Methodius and Gregory. There is the same idea of the purpose of death as a means of removing the evil which had entered man's physical nature through the Fall. There is the same idea of Christ's union with humanity as a whole. And lastly there is the same conception of the reconstitution of human nature through the Resurrection of Christ. These conceptions form the leading features of Gregory's doctrine of redemption.

Gregory's treatment of the Incarnation exhibits in detail many points of resemblance to that of Athanasius. As we have seen his general conceptions follow in the main those of Methodius. It is rather on the apologetic side that his expositions recall those of Athanasius. Both writers recognize the importance of history. They both appeal to the miracles of Christ ${ }^{2}$, and to His VirginBirth and Resurrection ; also to the witness of facts as exhibited in the rise and growth of the Church and in the decline of heathenism and Judaism ${ }^{3}$. They both deal with the question 'Why did not God restore man by a mere fiat?', though they answer it in different ways ${ }^{4}$. Both appeal to the immanence of God in Creation in order to justify the idea of an Incarnation ${ }^{5}$. Both treat

[^11]of the necessity of the death of Christ ${ }^{1}$, but Gregory has emphasized more clearly than Athanasius the fact that death was necessary in order that Christ's assumption of human nature might be complete. The particular manner of the death, Crucifixion, is also discussed by both writers, though more fully by Athanasius ${ }^{2}$. Both see in the outstretched arms of Christ a manifestation of His purpose to unite all men to Himself ${ }^{3}$. While Athanasius asserts that man's ills could not be cured by any external remedy ${ }^{4}$, Gregory maintains that man needed to be touched in order to be cured ${ }^{5}$. On the other hand Athanasius emphasizes far more clearly than Gregory the purpose of the Incarnation to restore in man the knowledge of God which had been blurred by $\sin ^{6}$. In one or two respects Gregory added to the expositions given by Athanasius, as when he deals with the question why the Incarnation was delayed, and answers it by the analogy of the physician who waits till the disease has reached a climax before applying a cure ${ }^{7}$.

Gregory deals with the question, why sin has not ceased to exist since the Incarnation, by adducing the simile of a serpent ${ }^{8}$ which has received its death-blow, though life continues for a time in its extremities. And again he answers the question why grace has not come to all by saying, in language that recalls Butler in later times, that God has left something to man's initiative and made him free to accept or refuse God's offer ${ }^{9}$. On

```
\({ }^{1}\) Or. Cat. c. 32. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. \(21,22\).
\({ }^{2}\) Or. Cat. c. 32. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 23-25.
\({ }^{3}\) Or. Cat. c. 32. Cp. Ath. de Inc. c. 25.
\({ }^{4}\) Ath. de Inc. c. 44. \({ }^{5}\) Or. Cat. c. 27. \({ }^{6}\) de Inc. cc. if-19.
\({ }^{7}\) Or. Cat. c. 29. Cp. Ath. Or. c. Ar. i 29, ii 68.
8 Or. Cat. c. \(30 . \quad 9\) ibid.
```

the whole, however, Gregory's treatment of the Incarnation lacks the completeness and profundity which is found in Athanasius ${ }^{1}$.

What has been said above of Gregory's relation to Origen has served also to bring into notice the debt which both Fathers owe to Plato. Gregory's other works exhibit his intimate acquaintance with Plato's Dialogues ${ }^{2}$, and show how freely he employed Plato's thoughts in setting forth the doctrine of the Trinity ${ }^{3}$. Yet Gregory fully understood the limits within which Platonism might be of service to the theology of the Church. It was at best a useful ally, which might be enlisted to strengthen and illustrate his exposition of the faith. It is thus that he employs the Platonic psychology to illustrate the doctrine of the Trinity in the opening chapters of the Oratio Catechetica4.

[^12]We may now proceed to consider some points in the teaching of the Oratio Catechetica in which Gregory's independence of earlier Fathers is most apparent. First in order stands his presentation of the doctrine of the Trinity. His treatment of the subject is somewhat slight when compared with the length at which he discusses the Incarnation. There were two reasons for this. His earlier works had expounded at full length his ideas upon the subject ${ }^{1}$. And again it is assumed by him that in an apologetic work such as the Oratio Catechetica professes to be, there was less need to deal at length with objections to this doctrine than was the case in the doctrine of the Incarnation. The 'general ideas' of the Greek might be regarded as predisposing him to believe that there was a Word of God and a Spirit of God, while the indications in the Old Testament of hypostases within the Godhead might serve to convince the Jew ${ }^{2}$. But in what he does say his treatment is original and suggestive. He is the first Father to illustrate the doctrine of the Trinity from the psychology of human nature. Starting from the Platonic analysis of human consciousness as consisting of vov̂s, $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s, \psi v \chi \eta$, he proceeds to argue that in the case of the Godhead this implies three distinct hypostases within the Divine Being. The Divine Logos and Spirit must correspond to the Divine Nature and be proportionately higher than their human counterparts. They must accordingly be living and have life in themselves. And in order to have life in the fullest sense they must be personal, possessing will and the power to perform what they will. Gregory's illustration is based upon the

[^13]belief, which he exhibits in other works, that human nature is a mirror, which faithfully reflects the traits of its Divine archetype ${ }^{1}$. At the same time Gregory is conscious of the inadequacy of our faculties to explore the mode of the existence of Deity, and he acknowledges that we can only attain a moderate degree of apprehension of the Divine Being ${ }^{2}$.

Another contribution which Gregory makes to Christian thought in the Oratio Catechetica is his treatment of the relation of the work of redemption to the attributes of God ${ }^{3}$. These he represents as four, power, righteousness, goodness, and wisdom ${ }^{4}$. The goodness of God was shown in his desire to rescue man, His wisdom in the method chosen to carry into effect this desire ${ }^{5}$. The power of God, which is not in its exhibition divorced from love ${ }^{6}$, was shown in the surpassing wonder of God's condescension, which enabled Him to come down to the level of man. Such humiliation was a wonder no less than that a flame should stream downwards, instead of upwards ${ }^{7}$. The righteousness of God was displayed in His manner of dealing with the great adversary of man ${ }^{8}$. In treating of this question Gregory

[^14]is led to discuss the nature of the Atonement, and in so doing he develops in a highly original manner the theory which earlier Fathers had framed upon the subject ${ }^{1}$. His teaching finds a parallel in that of Ambrose and later writers, and the idea of a ransom paid to Satan and a deception practised upon him, though rejected by one or two important Fathers, became widely current in East and West until Anselm brought it to an edifying end ${ }^{2}$. His argument is as follows. As we had freely sold ourselves to evil, He who sought to restore us to liberty could not resort to arbitrary and tyrannical methods, but must proceed by methods of strict justice. This involved the payment to Satan, as owner of mankind, of such a ransom as he was willing to receive. The spectacle of Christ's miracles led the adversary to select Him as the ransom-price, while the veil of Christ's human nature, hiding the Godhead, removed all cause for fear, and led him to desire Christ as his prey. In reply to the argument that this involved an act of deception, since the Godhead of Christ was veiled from Satan, Gregory replies that it was an act of strict justice. Satan was requited according to his deserts, in that the deceiver was in turn deceived. But he adds a further answer. Behind the justice of God and this apparent act of deception there was a beneficent purpose. Just as a physician deceives

[^15]his patient by mixing a drug with his food, so the purpose of the deceit practised in the Incarnation was to benefit the adversary himself. Satan himself will be purged by the Divine power, acting as a refining fire, and will be led at last to acknowledge the saving power of Christ's work of redemption ${ }^{1}$. In this exposition, with its combination of the thoughts of his master Origen and his own ingenious fancies, Gregory's imagination attains its highest flight. In his whole treatment of the Atonement Gregory falls far short of the more profound and Scriptural teaching of Athanasius.

The concluding section of the Oratio Catechetica is devoted to an exposition of the doctrine of the Sacraments ${ }^{2}$. Gregory defends the principle involved in the Divine working through sacramental channels on general grounds by the same appeal to the Divine immanence which he had employed in dealing with the Incarnation ${ }^{3}$. But the assurance that God is present and works through such means is based upon His promise to be present in this particular way ${ }^{4}$. The validity of the sacramental rite accordingly depends upon the cooperation of our wills with the promise of God to act through these means. The prayers which are offered by us at baptism neither effect nor hinder the validity of the Sacrament, which depends upon the promise of God ${ }^{5}$.

Another feature in Gregory's treatment of the Sacraments is his insistence that through them there is a continuation of the process of the Incarnation. Thus

[^16]he says of Baptism': 'Since the method of our salvation was made effectual, not so much by instruction in the way of teaching, as by the very acts of Him who has established a fellowship with man, and has effected life as an actual fact, in order that, by means of the flesh which He has assumed and at the same time deified, everything kindred and related to it may be saved along with it, it was necessary that some means should be devised by which there might be in the baptismal process a kind of affinity and likeness between him who follows and Him who leads the way.' Similarly, in dealing with the Eucharist ${ }^{2}$, he says that the Incarnate Christ 'infused Himself into our perishable nature, that by communion with Deity mankind might at the same time be deified.' Then he proceeds: 'For this end it is that by dispensation of His grace He disseminates Himself in every believer through that flesh, whose substance is from bread and wine, blending Himself with the bodies of believers, that by this union with that which is immortal man too may be a sharer in incorruption.'

In both passages the idea is that the process of 'deification' which was consummated in the humanity of Christ by the hypostatic union of the Word with it, is continuously effected in mankind at large through union with Christ in the Sacraments. Gregory's language presents a fairly close parallel to the similar treatment of the question in the de Trinitate of St Hilary.

In his treatment of Baptism Gregory emphasizes the importance of a right faith for the practical needs of the Christian life. By his reference to his former controversial works on the Trinity ${ }^{3}$ he clearly shows the inner

[^17]S.
spiritual significance of the battle which the Church had been fighting with Arianism. It is of importance that he who is regenerate should know what is his spiritual parentage and into what manner of life he is born in baptism ${ }^{1}$. To believe that the Son and Spirit are created beings is to make a man's salvation dependent on something which is imperfect and which itself needs redemption ${ }^{2}$. His exposition of the inner significance of Baptism ${ }^{3}$ recalls the language of Cyril's Catecheses and is based on St Paul's teaching. Baptism involves repentance and a dying with Christ unto sin. It is also the beginning of a resurrection unto a life of blessedness. But he realizes the incapacity ${ }^{4}$ of man at present for a complete reproduction in himself of the death and resurrection of Christ. Still baptism marks the first stage. It is a break with evil ${ }^{5}$, and a preliminary rehearsal ${ }^{6}$ of that which will be completely accomplished hereafter. He insists strongly on the necessity of baptism for the resurrection to the life of blessedness. All will rise again, but there will be a difference. Each will go to his appropriate place. He who has been purified in the waters of baptism will pass to a passionless life of blessedness. For him who lacks such purification there waits the refiner's fire, which shall purge the nature through long ages and restore it at last pure to God ${ }^{7}$.

It is however in his treatment of the Eucharist that Gregory's teaching is most distinctive. His chapter on

[^18]the subject marks an epoch in the history of the doctrine of the Eucharist in the Eastern Church. The frequency of its occurrence among patristic selections in later manuscripts, and the use made of it by John of Damascus, Euthymius Zigabenus, and the author of the dialogue Theoriani disputatio cum Nersete shows the importance assigned to it.

Gregory begins ${ }^{1}$ by stating the distinction between Baptism and the Eucharist. In Baptism the soul is knit to Christ through faith. But the body needs no less than the soul to be brought into union with its Saviour, and the Eucharist is specially intended for the body. This is the significance of the bodily participation of the Eucharistic food, which must be eaten, in order that the communicant's body may be transformed into the nature of the immortal Body of Christ. We notice here the same method of treatment which has characterized Gregory's doctrine of redemption ${ }^{2}$. In thus insisting on the effect of the Eucharist upon the body he is using language which undoubtedly finds parallels in earlier Fathers ${ }^{3}$ and which asserts an important principle, i.e. that the whole man shares in the healing and life-giving work of grace. But his onesided treatment has the effect of seeming to lower the Eucharistic gift to a mere principle of life for the body. At the same time, however, he insists on the importance of faith in the recipient ${ }^{4}$.

A second feature of his teaching is his clear assertion of the fact that the consecration of the elements is effected by the prayer of consecration. It is 'by the

[^19]power of the blessing ${ }^{1}$ that the Word transforms the nature of the visible elements to the immortal Body of Christ. Thus the change effected is, according to Gregory's view, an objective change.

A more difficult question is the relation in which, according to Gregory's teaching, the consecrated elements of bread and wine stand towards the Body and Blood of Christ, and the exact nature of the change which he regards them as having undergone by consecration. From the days of the Paris editor, Fronto Ducaeus, Gregory's words have been used to support the Western doctrine of Transubstantiation". The question which Gregory sets himself to answer in his chapter on the Eucharist is as follows. How can the one Body of Christ, while continually distributed to multitudes of believers, become in its entirety the possession of each through the portion received, and yet remain an undivided whole? In order to answer this question he makes use of an analogy derived from the process of nutrition. Bread and wine are potentially flesh and blood, since they become such by the process of digestion. In the case of Christ's earthly Body bread and wine became in this way His Body and His Blood, while that Body, whose substance was from bread and thus in a manner was bread, was consecrated by the inhabitation of God the Word. So now in the Eucharist the bread and wine, which are consecrated by the Word, become the Body of the Word, no longer by eating, as in His earthly life, but immediately. The purpose of Gregory's illustration is to compare the relation in

[^20]which bread and wine stand to the person of Christ in the Eucharist with that which the bread eaten by Him while on earth had to His earthly Body. The change in both cases is a change of relation. His language suggests a real change ${ }^{1}$, but does not indicate the exact manner of the change. In view of the use which has been made of Gregory's language, and the ambiguity which attaches to such words as 'nature,' 'form,' 'change,' it is important to grasp clearly the conceptions which underlie the terms employed by him in his illustration from the process of digestion. Gregory is availing himself of ideas upon the body's flux and the relation of its 'elements' to its 'form,' which he has treated of at length elsewhere ${ }^{2}$. In the background of his thought there is a perfectly consistent theory of єíios and $\sigma$ тo七хєia, and the terms which he employs are correctly used and implicitly involve such a theory, even though they do not explicitly state it. He is thinking of the change effected when the constituent elements ( $\sigma$ тогұєia) of bread and wine are, in the process of digestion, rearranged under a new form ( $\epsilon i \delta o s$ ), so that they acquire the properties of 'body.' With this idea his use of the words $\epsilon \hat{i} \delta o s, \phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \iota \varsigma, \mu \epsilon \tau a \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota^{3}$ is perfectly consistent. The elements of bread and

[^21]wine are brought into a new relation and acquire fresh qualities. Similarly in the Eucharist there is a change of the bread and wine, which acquire by their new relation to the person of Christ the properties of His Body and Blood. Harnack accordingly is right in his statement ${ }^{1}$ that Gregory teaches 'a qualitative unity' between the bread and wine and the Body and Blood of Christ. Thus it is unjustifiable to argue ${ }^{2}$ that the words $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi o \iota \epsilon i ิ \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \circ \hat{\nu}$ involve the idea of a change of substance, or a change of the elements ( $\sigma \tau 0 \iota \chi \in i \hat{\imath} a$ ) or constituent parts of the bread and wine. Gregory's language points to a change of 'form' only. He does not teach, as do the later schoolmen, a change both of 'material' and 'form'3.

The Western doctrine of Transubstantiation, to which Gregory's language has been supposed to approximate, moves in a completely different circle of ideas, and is an attempt to explain the manner of the change by the help of the scholastic distinction of ' substantia' and 'accidentia.'

On the other hand Gregory's language must not be minimized ${ }^{4}$ by comparing it with what he says in the

[^22]in Baptismum Christi ${ }^{1}$. In that work he is simply adducing instances in which natural things, when taken into sacred uses, acquire a heightened efficacy, like that of the water in baptism. He illustrates his meaning by reference to the consecration of stone to be an altar, of oil for the purpose of chrism, of a man to be a priest in ordination, and of bread to be the Body of Christ. But his argument does not require us to assume that he understood each of these changes to be identical in character.

In his assertion of the vital character of the change effected in the elements by consecration it may be doubted whether Gregory's language intentionally goes beyond that of Cyril of Jerusalem and Chrysostom ${ }^{2}$. It finds perhaps its closest parallel in the language of the de Mysteriis (ascribed to S. Ambrose).

Gregory's treatment of the question, however, gave a direction to the Eucharistic doctrine of the Eastern Church which finds its most complete expression in John of Damascus ${ }^{3}$. He starts from Gregory's language on the subject, and, like him, illustrates the change in the elements by the transformation of food in our bodies through digestion. But in several important respects he advances beyond Gregory's teaching. Thus he teaches the complete identity of the consecrated elements with the Body and Blood of Christ ${ }^{4}$. Gregory's illustration,
${ }^{1}$ p. 581 (Migne).
${ }^{2}$ On the use of the words $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau \iota \theta \in ́ v a \iota, \mu \in \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \alpha \iota, \mu \epsilon \tau a-$ $\sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \circ \circ \hat{\nu}$ see notes on c. 37. On the similar use by other patristic writers of $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu, \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \rho \rho v \theta \mu i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu, \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$, transfigurare, see Pusey Real Presence pp. 162 ff.
${ }^{3}$ de Fid. Orth. iv 13.



on the other hand, is offered tentatively ${ }^{1}$, and he has no intention of denying that the elements still exist in their natural substances after consecration. John of Damascus further goes beyond Gregory in asserting the identity of the Eucharistic with the historical body of Christ, a question which Gregory does not discuss. But the statement of the former that the Body of Christ 'does not descend from Heaven, but the bread and wine are changed into the Body and Blood of God ${ }^{2}$,' accords with Gregory's idea of an assumption of the elements into the Body of the Word. From the points of contact between the two writers it will be seen that Gregory's teaching has had considerable influence upon that of John of Damascus ${ }^{3}$.

The above discussion of the points handled in the Oratio Catcchetica, while it serves the purpose of showing Gregory's indebtedness to earlier Fathers, also illustrates his individuality and independence. He is never a mere copyist, but while adopting the thoughts of others he makes them his own, and frequently gives to them an original turn. It is this originality which gives to the Oratio Catechetica its peculiar character, and makes it one of the most interesting treatises of the fourth century.

[^23]
## § 3. HISTORY OF THE TEXT.

The earliest printed edition of the Greek text of Gregory's works was preceded by several Latin versions of separate or collected treatises. Among these there appeared a version of the Oratio Catechetica, made by P. Morel of Tours, and published at Paris in 1568. A few years later, in 1573 , there appeared at Paris an edition of several treatises, including the Oratio Catechetica, in a Latin version made by Gentianus Hervetus, Canon of Rheims. The Greek text, accompanied by a Latin version, was printed for the first time in the Paris edition of 1615 under the editorship of the Jesuit, Fronto Ducaeus. The work was in two volumes and the Oratio Catechetica occupies pp. 475-542 of the second volume. In 1638 appeared a second edition, published at Paris by Morel. This latter work was a reprint of the edition of 1615 with the addition of Gretser's Appendix, which had been published in 1618. It consisted of three volumes, the Or. Cat. being found in vol. iii, pp. 43-iro. The work was done in a careless and mechanical manner, as Dr Loofs has pointed out (Hauck Realencyklop. vii 147). The Latin version in these editions is based upon that of Gentianus Hervetus, but has been subjected to revision. In the notes of Fronto Ducaeus upon the Oratio Catecletica he mentions three mss employed by him in his work as editor:
I. A ms supplied by Dn J. Vulcobius.
2. A ms supplied by F. Morel, ' Regius Professor.'
3. A ms from the Royal Library.

The readings of the last two MSS, as quoted by Ducaeus, exhibit a superior character to those of the
first, but the text presented in these editions is very corrupt, and is disfigured by a series of lacunae (see below).

The text of the Oratio Catechetica contained in Migne ( $P . G$. xlv) is a reprint of the edition of 1638. The only attempt that has been made since the days of the Paris editors to produce a critical text of the Oratio Catechetica is that of Krabinger, whose edition was published at Munich in 1838. He made use of three Mss, which, though of late date, exhibit a far purer text than that contained in the Paris editions. He also used the help afforded by some fragments of the Or. Cat. contained in three Mss of the Panoplia Dogmatica of Euthymius Zigabenus. With these resources he was able to fill up the lacunae exhibited in the common text and to remove many of the corruptions which had hitherto disfigured it. Krabinger's critical work was of great value, though a wider examination of mss, and, above all, a study of their history, would have corrected many of his conclusions. Unfortunately his text is disfigured by some bad misprints.

The amount of material available for a reconstruction of the text of the Or. Cat. is considerable. The mss which have been either collated in full or examined for the present edition are as follows :
$a=$ Cod. Monac. 23. Royal Library, Munich. Saec. xvi. chart. 415 foll. It is a folio MS and the Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 107-145. See Hardt, Catalogres Codd. MSS. Graec. Bibl. Reg. Bavaricae tom. i p. Ioj. It is quoted by Krabinger as A, and by Forbes, in the preface to his text of the Apologia in Hexaemeron (tom. i fasc. i p. i), as $\alpha$.
$b=$ Cod. Monac. 84. Royal Library, Munich. Saec. xvi. chart. 476 foll. In folio size. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 138 vo-170. See Hardt, op. cit. tom. i p. 477. [Krab. B.]
$c=$ Cod. Monac. 538. Royal Library, Munich. Saec. xvi. chart. 125 foll. In quarto size. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 1-26. The MS was written for the use of David Hoeschel
by Maximus Margunius, Bishop of Cythera, about I590, and the margin contains the conjectural emendations of the latter. See Hardt, op, cit. tom. v p. 348. It was formerly at Augsburg and appears in Reiser's catalogue (Index MSS. Bibl. Augrustanae) as No. 77. [Krab. C.]
$d=$ Trinity College, Cambridge, B. 9. I. membr. 213 foll. In folio size ( $144{ }_{4}^{3} \times 10_{8}^{7}$ in.), written in a beautiful hand. It consists of two parts, which are of various dates:
(i) A life of St Alexius, of the eleventh century.
(ii) Various works of St Gregory of Nyssa and Anastasius, of the twelfth century.

It is one of the mss brought by Bentley from the monastery of Pantocrator, Mt Athos. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. I 30 vo- 162 vo.
$e=$ Codex Regius. Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 1268 (Omont 294). Saec. xil. membr. 304 foll. Size of page $7 \frac{1}{8} \times 5 \frac{7}{8} \mathrm{in}$. It contains works by Justin, Basil, Gregory of Nyssa, and others. M. Omont informs me that in the fifteenth or sixteenth century it belonged to a Greek monastery, from which also came several other volumes that are found in different libraries of

 by Antonius Eparchus, who on one of the covering leaves has written with his own hand a table of contents, concluding with the following ex-libris, also by his hand: Кт $\bar{\eta} \mu a$ 'Avт $\omega \nu$ iov $\tau о \hat{v}$

 borne the numbers ciycccclxx, 1605 , and 2879, in the catalogues of the King's Library, drawn up by Rigault, Dupuy and Clément in the seventeenth century. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 152 vo- 188 vo. [Forbes $s$. ]
$f=$ British Museum, Add. 22509. Saec. X. or XI. membr. 93 foll. It was presented to the Library by Sir G. C. Lewis in 1858. It contains various works of Gregory of Nyssa and the de Spiritu Sancto of Basil. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. $\mathrm{I}-5 \mathrm{I}$ vo. The opening sentences are missing, the first words being oc̀ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \delta i \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}$. There are also two leaves missing in c. 37 .
$g=$ Cod. Cromw. IX. Bodleian Library, Oxford. 'Saec. XIII. et xil. ineuntis' (Coxe). membr. 342 foll. It is in quarto size. At the end there is the inscription in a later hand: iò $\pi a \rho o ̀ v$
 of p .682 there is a note stating that the owner was presented
 Kupìdov. It contains various works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. 1-7I). It is mutilated at the beginning, the opening words being кaì $\tau \grave{̀} \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$, which occur in
the latter part of c. I. There is another large gap in cc. 32-33. The MS contains many corrections made by the original hand, and taken from a MS whose readings frequently support the text of $f$. Another feature of this mS is the peculiar system of chapters, which number 21 instead of 40 and have in consequence distinct headings from those found in other MSS.
$h=$ Imperial Library, Vienna. Gr. suppl. io (Kollarii suppl. xviii, Fabricius, IX. II2). Saec. Xv. chart. 413 foll. It is in folio size, and was presented, as the inscription states, to the Emperor Charles VI. in 1723, by Apostolo Zeno, his court poet and historiographer. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. 127-172.
$i=$ Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 587 (Omont 137). Saec. xv-xvi. chart. 280 foll. In folio size. The Or. Cat. is contained in foll. I-40.
$k=$ Cod. Barocc. ccxil. Bodleian Library, Oxford. Saec. xvi. chart. 41o foll. In quarto size. The Or. Cat. begins fol. 336.
$l=$ British Museum, Royal 16 D 1. Saec. xiri. membr. 479 foll. Size of page $9 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{in} . \times 6 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{in}$. The MS contains the inscription
 Critopulus was sent to England by Cyril Lucar in 1616. It contains various works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. 283 vo-309). The original text has been subjected to many corrections and erasures by a later scribe, who had access to a MS containing a much purer type of text. [Forbes c.]
$m=$ British Museum, Royal I6 D xi. Saec. xiv. chart. 372 foll. Size of page $12 \frac{1}{8} \times 8 \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{in}$. It contains various works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. $40-95$ vo). It is the only MS in the present list which contains the spurious addition to c. 40 found in the Paris editions.
$n=$ Vatican Library, P'ii ii, cod. gr. 4. Saec. xi. membr. 316 foll. In folio. Stevenson says of it (Codices MSS. Gr. regin. Suec. et Pii P.P. ii Bibl. Vaticanae, p. 134): 'In imo margine folii primi et ultimi legitur $\tau o \hat{v}$ т $\quad$ onaıoфó $\rho o v$, i.e. monasterii S. Georgii. Olim S. Silvestri.' It contains 31 works of Gregory, including the Or. Cat. (foll. 151-197), and is written in a beautiful hand.
$p=$ Codex Venetus. Venice. Bibl. Marciana, Gr. 67. Saec. xı. (circiter, Zanetti, p. 45). membr. 432 foll. In quarto size. It contains various works of Gregory. The Or. Cat. is found foll. 338 vo-366. [Forbes h.]
$q=$ Codex Vaticanus. Rome. Vat. Gr. 423. Saec. X. A fragment of c. Io is contained in foll. 36 vo - 37 .
$r=$ Codex Coislinianus. Paris. Coisl. cxx olim ccix (Montfaucon, p. 193). Saec. x. Contains the same fragment as $q$ in fol. 22-22 vo.

The following mss of the Panoplia Dogmatica of Euthymius Zigabenus contain considerable fragments of the Or. Cat.
$\mathrm{I}=$ Cod. Monac. 55. Munich. Saec. xvi. [Krab. Euth. I.]
$2=$ Cod. Monac. 367. Munich (formerly at Augsburg $=$ Reiser No. Io). Saec. Xini. [Krab. Euth. 2.]
$3=$ Cod. Monac. 55i. Munich (formerly at Augsburg $=$ Reiser No. 55). Saec. Xv. [Krab. Euth.]
$4=$ Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 1230 (Omont I7I). Saec. Xili.
$5=$ Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. I23I (Omont i70). Saec. Xili.
$6=$ Imperial Library, Vienna, Gr. 76 (Nessel). Saec. Xir.
$7=$ Imperial Library, Vienna, Gr. 40 (Nessel). Saec. xv.
The above list does not contain all the extant mss of the Oratio Catcchetica, but it includes the earliest which are known. In addition to the above mss the Vatican Library contains three MSS of the thirteenth century, one of the fourteenth, two of the fifteenth, and three of the sixteenth. The Laurentian Library at Florence contains a MS of the fourteenth century, and the National Library at Turin one of the fifteenth, and another of the sixteenth century. The treatise is also contained in one or more mss of the fifteenth or sixteenth century in the National Library at Paris. But most of these are too late to be of much service.

The quotations of later patristic writers, with the exception of those found in Euthymius Zigabenus, do not add much to our knowledge of the text. There are a few brief quotations, extending only to a few lines, in the Dialogues of Theodoret ${ }^{1}$. The greater part of c. 37 is reproduced in Theoriani disputatio cum Nersete, printed in Mai Script. Vett. vi 366 ff ., the text of which is much purer than that of the Paris editors. There is also a short extract from c. Io in the treatise of Leontius

[^24]of Byzantium c. Nestor. et Eutych. Bk iii. See Galland Bibl. Vet. Patr. xiii p. 699. In the work de S. Trinitate, falsely ascribed to Cyril of Alexandria, and in the de Fide Orthodoxa of John of Damascus, there are reminiscences of the Prologue and of cc. I and 2, but they are of no value for critical purposes. There appear to be no extracts from this treatise in the Sacra Parallela of St John of Damascus.

The evidence as to the text afforded by a study of the MSS may be briefly summarized as follows ${ }^{1}$.

The mass fall into two groups:
(I) $a, d, g, h, n, p$, and (as far as their readings have been observed) $i$ and $k$.
(2) $c, f, l, m$.

The two MSS $e$ and $b$ (which is dependent on $e$ ) contain a mixed text, deriving features from either group in turn.
I. The former of these two groups may be subdivided into two smaller groups containing respectively $a, g, p$ and $h, n$.

The remaining MS $d$ appears to incorporate elements from both these divisions.

In the group $a, g, p, a$ is directly descended from $p$, while $g$ exhibits a text closely allied to $p$.

With the text of the second group, comprising $h, n$, the text of the fragments of Gregory preserved in Euthymius presents a close affinity. The distinctive readings of this group, with one exception ${ }^{2}$, appear to

[^25]be due to corruption or revision. The tendency to revision is still more marked in the distinctive readings of the text of Euthymius.
2. In the second of the two larger groups mentioned above, the text of $c, l, m$ is closely allied to that of the Paris editions, with which it has in common a number of corrupt readings and the same series of lacunae ${ }^{1}$. These mss in fact present a late recension of the text, which $f$ exhibits in its earlier and purer form. For the purposes of criticism the readings of $f$ or $f l$ are alone important, as $c$ and $m$ are only later and still more corrupt forms of the same original text.

We thus get as our primary authorities for the text: in Group I, $p$ and $u$; in Group $2, f$ and $l$, with which the readings of the corrector of $g$ are frequently in agreement. Of these two groups the former exhibits traces of corruption at some early stage, while the readings of the latter show the influence of revision. But on the whole the readings of $f l$ commend themselves as generally more likely to be genuine.

In the present edition it has not been thought necessary to give the readings of the late MSS $a, b, c$, $i, k, m$, as they possess no independent value. The MSS of Euthymius have been quoted collectively as Euth.; where they differ as Euth. I, 2, 3 etc. An asterisk is used to denote the first hand of a mS, the figure I to denote the corrector's hand, e.g. $g^{*}, g^{-1}, l^{*}, l^{1}$. For convenience the readings of the Paris edition of 1638 have been cited as vulg. Sirmond's edition of Theodoret and the Roman edition have been quoted respectively as Thdrt ${ }^{\text {sirm }}$ and Thdrtrom.

[^26]The following books may be found useful for reference:

Select Writings and Letters of Gregory, Bishop of Nyssa, Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers. Ser. ii. vol. 5, 1893.

Dictionary of Christian Biography, vol. ii, Art. on Gregory of Nyssa.

Hauck, Realencyklopädie, vol. vii, 1899, Art. on Gregory of Nyssa by Dr Loofs.

Bardenhewer, Patrologie, pp. 272 ff.
Harnack, History of Dogma, Eng. Tr. vols. iii and iv.
Tillemont, Mémoires pour servir à l'Histoire Ecclésiastique, vol. ix.

Ceillier, Auteurs Sacrés et Ecclésiastiques, vol. viii.
Oudin, de Script. Eccl., vol. i diss. 4, pp. 584 sq.
St. P. Heyns, Disputatio historico-theologica de Gregorio Nysseno. Lugd. Bat. 1835.
J. Rupp, Gregors, des Bischofs von Nyssa, Leben und Meinungen. Leipzig, I834.
E. G. Möller, Gregorii Nysseni doctrinam de hominis natura et illustravit et cum Origeniana comparavit E. G. M. Halae, 1854.
I. C. Bergades, De universo et de anima hominis doctrina Gregorii Nysseni. Thessalonicae, 1876.
A. Krampf, Der Urzustand des Menschen nach der Lehre des hl. Greg. v. N. Würzburg, 1899.
F. Hilt, Des hl. Greg. v. N. Lehre vom Menschen. Köln, 1890.
F. Diekamp, Die Gotteslehre des hl. Gregor. von Nyssa. Münster, 1896.
W. Vollert, Die Lehre Gregors v. N. vom Guten und Bösen. Leipzig, 1897.

## МOГO乏 KATHXHTIKO乏．

II ó̀дo os．

 $\pi \rho о є \sigma \tau \eta \kappa о ́ \sigma \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ o v ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a \varsigma, \ldots \varrho s$ àv $\pi \lambda \eta-$ Өर́voıто $\tau \hat{\eta}$ т $\pi о \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma \omega \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \epsilon \in \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ a, ~ \tau о \hat{~}$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma a \gamma o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v . ~ o u ̉ ~ \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ o ́ ~ a u ̛ \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i ́ a s ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o s ~ 5 ~$ $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{i} \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ áp $\mu o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \iota o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$, ar $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ катà

Пр．I Verbs o $\tau \eta s$ кат．usque ad $\mu \nu \theta$ otouas（p．2，1．15）deperdita suns in f．Desunt folia nounulla in $\mathrm{g} \|$｜ $2 \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ$ av］$\beta \iota o v$ vulg $|\mid 4 \tau \eta$ aкоך］ $\tau \eta \nu$ акопь 1

Prologue．The importance of cate－ chetical teaching．Variety of method is necessary in dealing with the different standpoints of heresy and unbelief．Thus in dealings width atheism zee shall adduce the art and wisdom shown in the ordering of the world as a proof of the existence of God．In dealing with polytheists we shall urge the perfection of God＇s attributes as a proof of the unity of God．

I．＇O тท̂s кат．入óros］＇the catechetical method of discourse．＇ The gen．defines the character of oj os．Karqueiv is used of Chris－ tan oral instruction in Le．i 4 ， Acts xviii 25，I Cor．xiv 19，Gal． vi 6 ．An early example of a manual of Christian instruction is found in the Didache，of which Athanasius says（Fest．Ep．39）that， though not included in the Canon， it was appointed for the instruction
of new converts．Under the in－ fluence of the School of Alexandria such instruction developed into a philosophical presentation of the faith to meet the needs of cultured heathen．The present Or．Cat．is a manual for catechists who are engaged in the instruction of du－ cate converts．

2．$\pi \rho о є \sigma \tau \eta к \delta \sigma \tau]$＇those who have charge of＇or＇the ministers of．＇ Cp．Rom．xii 8， 1 Thess．v 2， 1 Tim．v 17．Similarly Justin M． speaks（Apol．i $6_{7}$ ）of $\dot{o}$ t $\pi \rho o \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \dot{s}$ in the Christian assembly．
ib．$\tau o \hat{y} \mu \nu \sigma \tau, \tau, \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma$ ．］I Tim．iii 16 ． To $\mu \nu \sigma \tau$ ．is often used alone to de－ note the Christian religion or creed．

3．$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \quad \tau$ ．$\sigma \omega \zeta$ ．］a remini－ scence of Acts ii 47.
 ＇H $\delta \delta \delta a \chi \eta$＇is the Apostolic deposit of faith．




 'Avópoıоя каi ó Mavıұаîos каi оi катà Маркíшуа каì










I om кає 1 vulg 3 om $\epsilon \phi$ єкабтои 1 vulg \| $+\chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ous efl vulg
 15 ov rap] incipit f
3. катабкєvaîs] Krabinger rightly gives the sense 'non eodem modo in singulis probando et confirmando.' Kaтабкєv' = 'a constructive argument,' 'a proof.'
5. $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon i \lambda \eta \pi \tau a l]$ 'is pre-possessed with.'
ib. $\sigma v \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ]. 'he that is born and bred in Hellenism.' Сp. de Bapt. p. 425 (Migne) oi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta a \rho \sigma i a l s ~$ ovうढ̂んтєs.
6. 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ ouolos] i.e. the extreme Arian position, which Gregory attacks in his work against Eunomius. The starting-point of Eunomius' theology was the idea that the Divine Being is incapable of movement or self-communication, and that the being (ov́oia) must be distinguished from the energy ( $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a$ ) of God. It was by the latter that all things were called into being.
ib. Mavıरaîos] whose dualistic teaching Gr. has in view continually
throughout the present book. See esp. his treatment of the origin of evil in cc. 5-8, his defence of human generation in c. 28, and his teaching upon the $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к а \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota$ in c. 26 .
13. $\mu$ oעo $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon$ óv ] John i 18. See Hort's Dissertation on $\mu 0 \nu 0 \gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta} s$ Aés in Soripture and tradition. The phrase $\mu o \nu 0 \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} s$ $\theta$ єós is found repeatedly in Athanasius, and was also used by Basil. Even Arius and Eunomius employed it, giving to it, of course, their own interpretation. For Gr.'s use of the phrase see c. 39, and cp. Quod non sint tres dii, pp. 129, 132 (Migne); de Fïde, pp. 136, 137 (Migne).
ib. ov́dè à $\pi \grave{o} \tau$. à́.] 'Nor will you, in the case of those who have gone astray among heresies, overthrow by the same arguments in each case their deluded romances concerning their doctrines.'


 $\kappa а \theta \grave{\omega} \varsigma ~ \epsilon i ้ \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{a} \varsigma \pi \rho o \lambda \eta \dot{\psi} \epsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{-}$








 otav] exstant seqq in euth $12+56$ if 10 $\tau \alpha v \tau \eta \nu$ a $\rho \chi$. $\pi . r$. $\lambda$. dhnp* euth I2 ( $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu+$ ) 56

1. इaßè $\lambda \iota o \nu]$ used, as often, for an adjective, 'Sabellian.' Sabellius maintained that the three personal names, Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, represent mere phases and energies of One Divine Being. But, beyond the fact that he denied the essential Trinity and identified the Father and the Son, there is considerable obscurity about his teaching. Athanasius (Or. c. Ar. iv 25) attributes to him the statement: $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ठıa८р $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota s \quad \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu a \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ $\epsilon i \sigma i$, тò $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ aủtò $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$, оüт $\omega$ каi
 $\nu \epsilon \tau a l ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ єis viò̀ каi $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$, and he implies that Marcellus held the same view (Or. c. Ar. iv 13). But it is probable that Athanasius in attacking Marcellus and proving that his teaching led to Sabellianism has not carefully distinguished the views of the two teachers. See Zahn Marcellues, 1867, pp. 198 sq., Robertson $N$. and P.N.F. vol. iv, p. +3 I sq.
2. $\pi \rho 0 \lambda \dot{\eta} \psi \epsilon i s]$ 'preconceptions,' almost 'prejudices,' answering to $\pi \rho о є i \lambda \eta \pi \tau a l$ above. The Stoics
distinguished between $\pi \rho o \lambda \eta \dot{\psi} \epsilon \epsilon s$, conceptions built on experience without elaborate reasoning, and évporal, conceptions reached by the consciously applied reason.
3. àp ás tivas] 'propounding' in each discussion certan principles and reasonable propositions.'
4. ои์ко仑̂̀] In dealing with the representatives of Hellenic thought the first step is to make sure that they recognize the existence of God. The next step is to lead them to acknowledge the unity of God. The section which follows, as far as the end of c. 3, is found in Euthymius Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt i, tit. I, pp. 33 sq. (Migne).
ib. є $\dagger \lambda \eta \nu<\langle ̧ o \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ] "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ practically $=$ 'heathen' as opposed to Jew, as in the N.T., e.g. (ial. iii 28.

I2. $\dot{a} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu]$ such as the later Academics. The Epicureans too were practical atheists, not denying the existence of the gods, but contending that they took no part in the government of the world.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \varsigma \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma о \phi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \kappa а \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa o ́ \sigma \mu о \nu ~ о i к о \nu о \mu о и \mu \epsilon ́-~$ $\nu \omega \nu \pi \rho o \sigma a \chi \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a ~$














3 סıa $\epsilon \epsilon \kappa$ ．］$\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ elnn euth｜｜ 4 a $\alpha \phi \iota \beta a \lambda o \iota$ fh｜｜ $5 \pi \lambda \eta \theta v \nu$ d｜｜ $\theta \epsilon \omega \nu$ ］$\theta \epsilon \circ \tau \eta \tau \omega \nu$ fl vulg｜｜ 6 र $\rho \eta \sigma о \mu \epsilon \theta a$ p euth｜｜ 10 a $a \alpha \iota \tau \eta \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ p euth


1．$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$ к．$\sigma 0 \phi \hat{\omega} s] \mathrm{T} \epsilon \chi \nu$ ． refers to the finished and artistic skill displayed in individual parts of Creation，while $\sigma o \phi \hat{\omega} s$ refers to the wise adaptation of means to ends．

3．ס仑́vautv］＇a certain pozver which is plainly manifested in creatid things and transcends the whole．＇．

5．tis $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$ ］be led astray by his notions to beiveve in a plarality of gods．＇

6．áко入ovAica ］＇course of argu－ ment．＇

7．тố ס ＇］＇And if he，as is probable，testifies to the perfection of （lit．testifies perfiction to）the Divine Nature，let us require him to grant that this perfection extends through everything that is observed in the Deity．＇

12．$\epsilon \pi$ ivolay］In his answer to

Eunomius＇second book Gr．dis－ cusses this word．Eunomius had disparaged $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i v o l a$ on the ground that the faculty denoted by it was untrustworthy and created monstro－ sities．He thus appears to have used it in the sense of＇fancy．＇（ir． however defends $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \nu o \iota a$ and defines
 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ，ठì̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ aंко入oú $\theta \omega \nu$ т $\hat{\eta} \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \pi o u-~$
 oкovga．It is in his view an in－ ventive faculty and at the same time it is more trustworthy than＇fancy．＇ It is best represented here by ＇imagination＇or＇conception．＇See further on the word Wilson $N$ ．and P．N．Fathers，vol．v，p．${ }^{2} 49$.

13．$\epsilon i$＇$\tau(a d \lambda \lambda o$ ］＇any other thought worthy of God that might happen to be conmected with the subject of our contemplation．＇

















[^27] which he has dissifated over a plutality of grods．＇
＋．$\epsilon i \quad \gamma \alpha \rho$ ］The argument of Gr．in the following passage is as follows．The perfection of God＇s at－ tributes prevents us from attriluting to the Divine Nature any diversity or plurality．For such diversity could only arise from the differences of degree in which the separate entities possessed these attributes．Other－ wise there would be no reason for maintaining their distinct and separate existence．But such differ－ ences of degree，involving＇more＇ and＇less＇are excluded by the very idea of＇perfection．＇＇For if he were to admit that he acknowuledges absolute perfection in the being of whom we speak，but were to maintain that there are many＇of these perfect entities，marked by the same charac－
teristics．＇
5．тò $\dot{v} \pi о к є i \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu]$ here $=$＇the subject matter of our discourse．＇

6．$\epsilon \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \eta \delta$.$] ＇in the case$ of things which are distinguished by no zariation，but are observed to possess the same attributes．＇

8．$\epsilon i \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu]$＇if thoustht grasped nothing in the way of peculiarity in beings between whom no distinguish－ ing mark exists，he should cease to assume such distinction．＇

13．oú $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ］a parenthesis．The main sentence is resumed after $\pi \rho o \sigma$－ $\eta \gamma o p i a$ ，and again broken by an－ other parenthesis $\tau \dot{\partial}$ 六 $\rho \ldots \dot{v} \pi 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$－ $\psi \epsilon \omega$ s．

14．ínó入 $\eta \psi \iota \nu$ $\sigma \chi$ oí $]$ Some Mss insert $\tau \iota s$ ，but the subject is the an－ tecedent of ồ．＇For a beins，with reference to whom the term＂ziorse＂ is not excluded，could no longer be supposed to be God．＇

 $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a$ тоòs $\mu \iota a ̂ \varsigma ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ т \eta т о \varsigma ~ o ́ \mu о \lambda о \gamma i ́ a \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \theta \lambda \iota \beta \hat{\nu} \nu a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$





 ı סóy $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a \gamma o v ́ \sigma \eta$.

 $\eta$ d euth 5 vulg

1. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\epsilon i s]$ 'but the idea of is one.' Godhead is one and the same, no particularity, natucrally enough, being discovered in any one respect.'
2. à $\nu \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} \kappa$ ] 'the mistaken fancy' of a plurality of gods cannot help being reduced to confess that Deity
 ascribed to it in an equal degree.' The argument of this passage is reproduced, and the language closely followed in Ps.-Cyril de Tirin. + and also in Io. Damasc. de Fïd. Orth. i 5.

Chaps. I-IV. THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE OF GOD.

1. In refuting polytheism, however, we must defend our argument against 7 udaism. It will be granted that Deity has a Logos; othervise God would be without reason (ädo oos). But this Logos corresponds to the nature of God, and as God's nature is infinitely higher than that of man, so must the Logos of God be proportionately higher. Man is mortal and his logos transitory. In God the Logos corresponds to His nature and is eternal and self-subsistent. At the same time the Logos is living and does not share life, but possesses it absulutely. This further involves the possession of will and the power to effect what He wills. The will of
the Logros is direcled to good ends, for groodness is an attribute of God. The Universe exhilits the wisdom, power, and goodness of the Logros. The Logos, moreover, is distinct from Ilim Whose Logos He is. Thus is our position defined asainst the errors alike of Hellenism and Judaism. The Logos is living and active, and yet is one in nature and attributes with the Father from Whom IIe is derived.

I2. $\dot{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \in \hat{v} \sigma$.] 'the doctrine of our religion.'
ib. oi $\delta \epsilon$ ] Kr. renders 'solet,' but it may mean simply 'is able' to perceive.











## 1． 3 vтєขє $\chi \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ e $|\mid \boldsymbol{\gamma} \delta \iota o \rho \theta \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \mathrm{~d}$

I．í $\pi 0 \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ ］For a full dis－ cussion of the history of this word see Bethune－Baker Texts and Studies，vol．vii，no．I，p．75．In its earlier sense it was synonymous with ovoia，as in the anathema of the Nicene Creed（ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s ~ \dot{v} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha ́-$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s \hat{\eta}$ ov́oias）．Both Basil and Gr． occasionally employ it in this earlier sense．Cp．infra c．+ suth fin．入úzov
 The later formula however to which currency was given by the teaching of the Cappadocians was $\mu i a$ oú $\sigma$ ia $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ трıбiv $\dot{\dot{u}} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota$ ．For the distinction of $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$ and oủ $\dot{i}$ a according to this view cp ．Basil $\mathrm{E} p$ ． ccxsxvi 6 ои́бia dè каi úmóбтабıs
 тò коєдо̀ $\pi \rho$ о̀s тò каӨ є̈кабтор：and still more precisely in Ep．xxxviii 3 тoûto oî̀ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ úó⿱宀八九абıs，où $\chi$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \rho i ́ \sigma \kappa о v \sigma a, ~ \dot{\alpha} \backslash \lambda ’ \dot{\eta}$ тò
 $\tau \iota \nu \grave{~} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota$ ठıà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ย̇ $\pi \iota \phi \alpha \iota \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ $i \delta \iota \omega \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma a$ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \rho \alpha{ }^{-}$ фovoa．It thus denotes＇a par－ ticular centre of conscious being．＇ As Dr Moberly（Atonement and Personality，p． $1 \overline{5} 8 \mathrm{sq}$ ．）has pointed out，the word is free from many of the associations which have gathered round the word＇person＇in its later

Western sense．
ib．$\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ］It is not necessary to assume that $\phi$ vors is here used loosely in the sense of ovoria．The latter word denotes＇being，＇while $\phi$ v́os denotes the＇quality＇of such ＇being，＇and has reference to the attributes．But in many cases where the writer might have used ovoia， his thought is sufficiently expressed by ф́́⿱宀⿰夕㔾丿．

3．int $\epsilon \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i \eta]$＇our argument may not lapse into Judaism，＇i．e． a barren monotheism，admitting of no distinction in the Divine Being．
ib．ठıa⿱тол $\hat{\eta}$ т．$\quad$ r．］＇a skilful distinction，＇such as is involved in the $\delta$ iáкрьбьs $\dot{v} \pi$ ．referred to above．

5．roîs $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \omega$ ］The most conspicuous example is Philo．But the belief in a Word as a mediating influence was not confined to Alexandria．In Palestine it affected the language of the Targums．
ib．ädorov］implies the absence of＇reason＇as well as＇word．＇

7．$\delta \iota a \rho \theta \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota]$＇will make our argument sufficiently clear．＇

10．oưкой̀ ］＇If then he zoere to say that he formed his idea of the Word of God exactly on the analogy of our human counterparts，he will thus be led on to a hisher notion．＇ With this illustration from the hu－ man $\lambda$ óros cp．Tert．adv．Prax．c． 5.
 $\mu \epsilon \tau а \chi \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ i \psi \psi \lambda о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu ~ i ́ \pi o ́ \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu . ~ \dot{~} \nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta$

 5 бофía $\pi \epsilon \rho i ~ т o ̀ ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \iota \nu o \nu ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \tau а \iota \cdot ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~$














 euth || 17 єтıкпроs] avı ${ }^{2}$ арктos f
3. катá入入.] 'corresponding to.'
8. ovvтar.] Such words as 'power,' 'life,' 'wisdom' have a lower significance in the case of men than in that of God.
10. ஸ்ки́mopos] 'fleeting.'
ib. àveróvтatos] 'unsubstuntial,' i.e. having no separate existence of its own. Hence 'shadowy,' 'unreal.'
II. àmarńs] lit. 'not fixed,' 'unstahle.' Similarly Ath. c. Ar. ii 3t, 35. Cp. Iren. c. Hacr. ii 13.8.

 то̂̂ $\pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \nu$.
12. Tஸ $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda \epsilon(\omega]$ ] 'along with the greatness of the object of our contemplation.' For $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \epsilon i=\nu=$ 'mag-
nitude' see c. 2, p. It:
14. inó $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'it will not be thought to have its subsistence in the expression of him who speaks.' 'Yró$\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$ is here 'that in virtue of which a thing is what it is,' the essence or being of a thing. A human word is merely the expression of the speaker's mind. It has 110 vimó $\sigma \tau \sigma \iota s$ apart from such expression, and in the utterance it passes out of existence ( $\mu \epsilon \tau a \chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$
 is $\dot{\alpha} i ́ \delta \iota o s$ and $\dot{v} \phi \in \sigma \tau \omega ́ s$.
16. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho]$ The argument of this chapter is partly reproduced in Ps.-Cyril Al. de Trin. c. $̄$, and in Io. Damasc. de Fid. Orth. i 6.

тò $\dot{v} \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \nu a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o v ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̂ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ a ̉ \iota \delta i ́ \omega \varsigma, ~ d ̉ \nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~$
















5 $\omega \nu$ ］ov $1 \| 8 \zeta \omega \eta] \tau \eta \zeta \omega \eta 1 \mid 12 \epsilon \nu \zeta \omega \eta \theta$ ．$\tau$ ．入ovov 1 vulg $\tau \eta \zeta \omega \eta \mathrm{f}$



I．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ §wŷ．．．$\epsilon i v a l]$＇that the sub－ sistence of the Word is living．＇ For the phrase $\epsilon i \nu a l ~ \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ see c．${ }^{2}+$
 тaîs $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi$ oú $\sigma a \iota s$ úro入ク́ $\psi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ єìval． Gr．is illustrating the doctrine of distinctions in the Divine leing ly an analysis of human consciousness． Bnt it might be urged that the human analogy does not suggest the idea of distinct hypostases． This further step Gr．attempts to prove by showing that all relation－ ships within the Divine Being must be living，and in order to be living in the full sense they must be per－ sonal．Augustine approached the same question from the moral con－ sciousness and the idea of Divine Love．See de Trin．vi 5 ，viii 10 ， ix 2.

6．oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{v} \pi \pi o \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \ell]$＇it does not possess any subsistence at all，＇i．e．
入óros，which Gr．has declared to be єтіклроя．

10．$\delta \iota \pi \lambda o \neq \nu$ ］＇doubleness．＇$\Delta \iota-$ $\pi \lambda o ́ \eta$ is used of that which has a double character，e．g．the fold of a garment，or the overlapping of the bones in the skull．Here it is used of the combination of different ele－ ments．

11．катà $\mu \in \tau \sigma \sigma \sigma i a \nu]$＇consider the Word as living by a participation in life．＇This，acc．to Gr．，would involve $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o ́ \eta$ and $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ，whereas he maintains that the Word is av－ $\tau 0 \zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$ ．The Word does not partake of life，as we do．Life is absolutely
 $\mu \in \tau o v \sigma i a \nu$ ．

15．$\epsilon i$ ô̂ $\nu$ ］As a result of the possession of life we must postulate that the Word possesses will and the power to carry out what He wills．




















I $\zeta \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu] \quad o \nu \tau \omega \nu$ d $\mid=5 \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu v \pi o \lambda \eta \psi \epsilon \omega s$ e $6 \tau \omega \nu \quad a \pi \epsilon \mu \phi]. a \pi \epsilon \mu$ -

 $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ vulg
4. катабкєvai $\epsilon \iota$ ] 'he will prove' or 'establish.' Ср.катабкєvaîs prot. p. 2.
6. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \alpha \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu]{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \pi \epsilon \mu$ $\phi$ aivelv is 'to present a different appearance,' 'to be incongruous,' a common word in Gr. Cp. c. 10 ö $\sigma o \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{u} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \ldots \delta \epsilon \xi a \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$, $\tau \delta$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \mu \phi а \hat{\imath} \nu \circ \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi о \iota \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega$. Cp. also c. $15 \dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \nu a \rho \mu \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \alpha i-$
 ऍóvт $\omega \nu$.
8. $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ] used as almost equivalent to $\pi \rho o \alpha i \rho \in \sigma \iota s$.
12. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon ́]$ A further step in the argument, postulating goodness as a necessary quality of the activity
of the Word. 'Pom $\dot{\eta}=$ 'inclination,' $\dot{\delta} \rho \mu \eta$ = 'impulse.'
17. ar $\alpha \nu \epsilon$ ย $\gamma \eta \tau o \nu]$ 'inoperative,' 'inactive.' A common word in Gr. Cp. с. $4 \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \eta \tau \alpha ́$ тє каi ar $\nu v \pi$ о́$\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho ’ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \dot{\rho} \eta \mu a \tau \alpha$.
18. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta$ obs] The outcome of the activity of the Word is to be seen in the Universe, which is the expression of H is character.
19. $\sigma 0 \phi \hat{\omega} s$ ] For this predicative force of adverbs and adverbial clauses with $\theta \in \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu$ cp. c. 2, p. If $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau o s \theta \epsilon \omega \rho o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v$, and esp. c. 16 тò $\delta$ ' on $\sigma o \nu \quad \epsilon ้ \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota .$.




















 ${ }^{\|}{ }^{15}$ roıs $\tau \epsilon$ vulg $|\mid$ om $\tau \omega \nu$ hl vulg
+. креіттодоs onuaбías] 'ana' corvythins else that indicates excellence.'
9. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ́ s \tau \iota]$ 'a relative term.' We cannot understand the term 'Word' without reference to some other Being.
11. $\sigma \nu \nu u \pi a \kappa о и ́ \epsilon \sigma A a l] ~ ' u n d e r s t o o d ' ~ ' ~$ or 'implied along zith the Word.'
12. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \eta \mu$.] 'owing to the relative character of the term.' シХ $\epsilon \sigma \iota s$ denotes 'relation.'
15. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ 'I. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon$ ย́oval] 'those who pay honour to the beliefs of the Jecus.' II $\rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon$ v́єє here $=\sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$ or тєцаิ้. Cp. Plato Symp. 186 в áp.
 каi $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \hat{v}^{\prime} \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ т $\eta \nu \tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta \nu$. Ср. Aesch. Cho. 488.
16. $\sigma u v \in \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota]$ 'agree zoith.'

The Christian doctrine of God is unique, but it presents points of contact with Hellenism and Judaism. Cp. the summary of Gr. in c. 3 .
ib. є́кф.] i.e. the personal sub)ject implied in raîs 'E. $\mu a \chi$. $\dot{v} \pi$.
17. Tóv $\tau \in \zeta \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha]$ The article belongs also to $\epsilon \nu \in \rho \gamma \delta \nu$ and $\pi o \iota \eta$ rikóv. 'Confessing the lizing. and active and creative Word of God, a thing which the Jew does not admit.' He is referring to Heb. iv 12, which was understood by many of the Fathers to refer to the Personal Word. The Logos doctrine of Philo is the nearest approach to the belief of which Gr. is speaking. But in Philo the Logos is rather an abstraction than a personal power, and could not be said to be $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\pi о \imath \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ o ́ \mu о \lambda о \gamma \omega ิ \nu$, öт $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ò 'Iovסaîos ov̉ $\delta \in ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, каì тò














I om o eh || кає $\tau 0 \mu \eta$ ] incipit $g$ |: $2 \delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ vulg || $3 \epsilon \kappa \tau . \nu . \epsilon \phi \eta \mu \omega \nu$ l vulg || $\left.+{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \alpha\right]$ є८vaı dl vulg $\| 5^{-6} \tau \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \ldots \tau o \delta \epsilon$ efn euth $45 \|$ om $\tau \iota$
 euth || 14 какıаs к. $\phi$. а⿱. кає Өavazov g

1. каi тò $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ठıaф.] Though distinct from the Father, the Word possesses the same nature. This is illustrated by the relation of the human word to the mind of him who utters it.
2. $\tau \hat{\omega} \quad \delta \hat{\epsilon}]$ 'but owing to the fact that it manifests the mind itself.'
3. $\tau \hat{\omega}$ vंтокєє $\mu \in \dot{\nu} \nu$ ] With Gr. тò $\dot{i} \pi о к \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ practically always is used in a sense approaching to ouvia. See $c$. Eunom. i, p. 520 (Migne)
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \gamma o \rho i a s . \mathrm{Cp}_{\text {.c. }} 3$ of this treatise бıакє́ккьтаı $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ù $\pi$ обта́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$, каi ои̉ $\delta \iota \omega$ -
 account of Gr.'s strict use of terms, Rupp (Gregor von Nyssa, p. 168) thinks that the present passage contains a gloss, but there is no variation in the MSs, and the assumption is quite unnecessary, as $\tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\text { v }} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ окєь $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$ may have its common Aristotelic sense of 'subject.' The word
is one 'subject,' and the mind another. Cp. for this sense of $\tau \grave{o}$

 $\gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a l$. For a similar use of the word cp. Basil Ep. ix 2, and see Bethune-Baker Texts and Studics, rol. vii, no. 1, p. 82.
 by' or 'who is discerned by the possession of the same distinctive characteristics.
ib. á $\gamma a \theta$ ór $\eta$ s] The construction is broken. The text of Euthymius (which reads áraӨót $\quad \tau \quad \ldots \delta \dot{\nu} \nu a \mu \iota \nu \ldots$ бoфia against the unanimous verdict of the MSS of the Or . Cat.) represents an attempt to correct the grammar of the passage. Prob. Gr. intended to make the words the subject to a verb, but in completing the sentence he has given it a different turn. For a similar break of construction cp. c. 9 .













 $v \pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{~h}$

1. єïтє $\tau \iota$ тocoûtov] 'whatsocier of this kind is made an indication of the way of appritumting the Father.'
2. $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ a $\tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ The unity of nature gives to the Word the same characteristic qualities as the Father possesses.
ih. $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i v o v ~ \dot{v} \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha]$ 'that subsists from Him.'
3. Human nature supplies also an analogy to the existence of the Spirit in the Godhead. In man breath ( $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a)$ accomponies the utterance of the human word (入ójos). So in God the Spirit cannot be separated from the Word. But while the human breath is somethines foreign to man's nature, the Spirit of God is one with God in being, and at the same time, like the Word, is selfsubsistent, possessing will, activity, and power.
4. $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s]$ The alternative reading $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \lambda o \gamma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$ is a correction of the text. 'A $\nu a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ' or $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta} \mu_{v \sigma \tau \kappa \kappa}^{\eta}$ is a phrase which constantly occurs in Origen. See Philocalia (ed. Rob.), i 22, xxvi 4,8 . It is used by him to denote the process by which the reader of

Scripture 'ascends' from the literal and moral meaning of Scripture to its spiritual significance. Here the phrase is used of 'ascending' from the experience of human nature ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ ) to the nature of God. 'Just as, by' an ascent from the facts of our own nature, we recognized in the transcendent nature the existence of the Word.'
9. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\dot{\epsilon} \phi$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'This passage is adapted in the form of a paraphrase by Ps.-Cyr. Al. de Trin. c. 6 and Io. Damasc. F. $O$. i 7 . In the case of our bodies the breath which we inhale and breathe out is something foreign to our nature. The Divine II $\nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$, however, is one with God.
10. $\dot{\lambda} \kappa$ ки] 'drazining.'
ib. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ 入oтрiov $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s] ~ i . e . ~$ тô $\dot{\alpha} \hat{\epsilon} \rho o s$, which is foreign to the nature of the body. II $\rho$ ós is governed by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho i o v . ~ C p . ~ с . ~ 5 ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \iota \omega-$
 (note).
12. ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ] i.e. $\tau \grave{o} ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\jmath} \mu a$, which becomes $\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$, 'a voice' or 'utterance,' revealing the 'force' or 'meaning' of the word.













 ${ }_{15} \pi a \nu \tau o \delta u ́ v a \mu o \nu$ ．oṽт $\omega \varsigma$ каì $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \quad \mu \epsilon \mu a \forall \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ，тò




3 入oyos vulg $\| \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \iota \nu] \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu$ f $\leq$ tov $\pi \nu .1$ vulg 6 om $\epsilon \iota \nu a \imath$ e



5．єi゙т $\epsilon \rho$ тои́тои］тои́тои refers to the human word，the expression of which is always seen to be ac－ companied with breath（ $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ à $\pi \nu$ ย́－ matos $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o v \mu e ́ v o l y$ ．The Divine Word（ékeivos），which certainly does not fall short of its human counter－ part，must similarly be accompanied by $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\mu} \mu a$ ．

6．à入入ótpóóv $\tau \iota]$ We are not to suppose that in the case of the Divine $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$ something foreign has an influx from without（ $\epsilon \xi \omega \theta \in \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \rho$－ $\rho \in i v)$ into God，and that this be－ comes in Him the Spirit．

I4．ovi $\sigma t \omega \delta \hat{\omega} s$ vं $\phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha]$ The Divine Word has no mere transi－ tory existence．It does not come to exist in the Godhead as the result of instruction．It is not a mere utterance of the voice，passing away
after it has been uttered．It＇exists after the manner of real being＇（ou＇－ $\sigma \iota \omega \delta \hat{\omega} s \dot{v} \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha)$ ．C＂p．c．+ ovं $\sigma \iota \delta \bar{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ iфє $\sigma \tau \omega \dot{\sigma} \alpha{ }^{\text {s }} \delta$ vvá $\mu \epsilon \iota s$ ．In both places the phrase ovi $\sigma . \dot{v} \phi$ ．denotes that the existence is real and not merely relative or contingent．

15．$\mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \theta$ ทко́тєs］＇having learnt that there is a Breath or Spirit of God．＇T＇ò $\sigma \nu \mu \pi a \rho o \mu a \rho \tau o i ̂ \nu ~ i s ~ a n ~$ explanatory clause，＇which aciom－ panies＇\＆c．

17．$\pi \nu o \eta ̀ \nu a ̈ \sigma \theta$ ．］＇we do not conceize of it as an emission of breath．＇＇The sentence is resumed by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ below， the clanse $\hat{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ldots \dot{v} \pi o \nu o o i \tau o ~ b e i n g ~$ parenthetical．

18．$\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\partial} \tau \eta \tau \alpha]$ Cf．antea c．I $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega S ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o \nu ~ \sigma \nu \nu \tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu о 仑 ̂ \nu \tau a \iota$ ．
il．$\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \mathrm{D}$ ］CP．с．I，p． 8.







 $\tau \hat{\eta} \beta o u \lambda \eta \eta^{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ ס́́va $\mu \iota \nu$.







## I om $\tau \boldsymbol{0}$ d 3. iз $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{fl}$ vulg $\left|\mid \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \phi \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \mathrm{fg}^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{vulg}\right.$

2. $\delta$ v́va $\mu \iota \nu$ ov่ $\sigma \omega$ có $\eta$ ] 'as a power really in beins, to be regarded as existing in its own right in a subsistence of its oün.' For vimóбтaбıs in this sense cp. c. I init. סıaкрıбıд $\dot{v} \pi o \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$.
3. ג̀ $\nu a \chi \epsilon \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ ] 'dissolving into non-existence.' ('p. Greg. Naz. Or.

 c. I, 1. $8 \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i s$ à $\nu \pi \alpha \rho \kappa \tau о \nu$.
4. ка日' $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'after the manner of an individual subsistence,' almost $=$ ' as a person.'
5. This doctrine of God is full of mystery. Yet it is the mean between the opposing doctrines of Fuduism and Hellenism. With Fudaism it preserves the unity of the Dizine nature. With Hellimism it teaches the distinction of Persons. At the same time it is a safegzard against the errors of both.
II. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \quad \tau$. $\psi$.] The corresponding clause is $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \iota$. It is possible to have an inner, secret
(ката́ тò áтó $\rho \rho \eta \tau о \nu)$ apprehension of the nature of Deity in the mind $(\psi \vee \chi \eta)$, without being able to express it in words.
ib. $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta}]$ used here as comm. in Plato for the organ of the $\nu o u$ s. Cp. Plat. Tim. „○ В $\nu \frac{0}{\nu} \delta^{\prime}$ av̉ $\chi \omega \rho$ is

ib. $\mu \in \tau \rho i a \nu \quad \tau \iota \nu a ́]$ 'a moderate degree of apprehension.' Gr. is conscious that the doctrine of the Trinity is a mystery. He does not claim that his argument is in any sense a 'proof' of it. His analysis of man's nature is only an illustration.
6. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \eta \tau o ́ \nu]$ 'admits of being numbered.' Cp. Just. Mart. Dial. 128, where the son is spoken of as $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \hat{\omega}$ є' $\tau \epsilon \rho \delta \nu \nu \tau \iota$, and ibid. 52 , where he says that the God Who appeared to Moses $\notin \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \tau o \hat{v} \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a$ $\pi о \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \tau o s ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}, \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \hat{\varphi} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$. Such expressions are intended to mark the individual existence of the Persons in the Godhead.


 є̈тєроу ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \varsigma, ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ є ́ к є i ̂ \nu o, ~ o ̂ ̉ ~ к а i ~ o ́ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~$


 $\sigma \chi i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к р а ́ т о \varsigma ~ є i s ~ \theta є о ́ т \eta т а \varsigma ~ \delta \iota а ф о ́ р о и я ~ к а т а т є \mu \nu о ́ \mu є \nu о \nu$,
 $10 \delta \iota a ̀ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סv́o ن́тo入$\eta^{\psi} \psi \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \lambda \eta ́ \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$,
$2 \delta \iota \omega \rho$.] $\left.\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{fg}^{1}\right] \mathrm{vulg} \| \tau \omega$ vтокє $\left.\mu \epsilon \nu \omega\right] \tau \eta \phi v \sigma \epsilon \iota$ euth $\mathrm{I} 6 \tau \eta$ ov $\tau \iota \alpha$ euth $24\left|\mid 3^{-4} \alpha \lambda \lambda o \quad \gamma a \rho \ldots \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu\right.$ o $\lambda$ ovos] desunt in $1^{*}$ vulg || $7 \omega s$ ] $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ 1 vulg $\|$ เо $\mu \epsilon \sigma \circ \nu$ vulg
7. $\delta(\eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega s$ ] 'is perceived in a way that involves division.'
8. ठьаккккрıтац] 'it is distinct as regards person, and not divided as regards subject-matter.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxxi if (Mason, p. i63)
 $\sigma \nu \nu \tau o ́ \mu \omega s$ єĩєє̂̀, $\dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \tau \eta s$.
 used in Aristotle to denote 'subject' or 'subject-matter.' For instances of such use in the Or. Cat. cp. prol., c. 1 sub fir., and c. 5. The Stoic writers used the word to denote the 'substratum' of things, the real existence lying behind that which was perceived by the senses. Cp. Sextus A. M. $7,34^{6} \mathrm{sq}$. ai $\sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ồ̀ $\mu$ óvaıs $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \dot{\partial} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} s$ oủ
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{a} \nu \tau i \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi о \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$,
 $\tau \omega \nu$. Thus it comes to be a synonym for ovoia. The glosses $\tau \hat{n}$ ovoía and $\tau \hat{\eta} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ found in some mss of Euthymius, where this passage is quoted, are attempts to interpret то̀ итокєі́цє $\nu$ о .
9. ou $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ iєтal] 'does not admit of division,' in the sense of the polytheists, who are here in view, although the Arian opinion led to
the same result.
ib. To $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu o \nu$. ] 'the might of the Divine sovereignty is not split up by being divided into different kinds of Godhead.' Gr. has to be on his guard against the charge of tritheism, which was actually brought against his teaching. His two works, Quod non sint tres Dii and the de Communibus Notionibus, are intended to guard against misconceptions of the Tripersonality of the Godhead. On the povapxia see Ath. c. Ar. iv I $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ dúo $\mu \epsilon \grave{\nu}$ єìval



 apxía éбтiv. Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxix 2 , where the $\mu o \nu a \rho \chi i a$ is defined


 $\kappa \iota \nu \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \omega s, \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~} \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi$ aú $\tau o \hat{v}$ oúv $\nu \in v \sigma \iota$.
10. $\sigma v \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu]$ 'agree with.' For this use of the word see c. 17 тì $\lambda u ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ тois $\pi \rho o \lambda a \beta o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \sigma \nu \mu \beta a i \nu o v \sigma \alpha \nu$. Ср. с. 27.
11. $\delta \iota \dot{a} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma o v]$ Acc. to Gr. the Christian doctrine of God mediates between opposite errors. Its strength
 $\pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ тò $\chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \iota \mu o \nu$ ．тồ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ ' I o v \delta a i o v ~$


 $\pi а \rho а \gamma \rho а ф о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta ร ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \pi \lambda \eta \theta \nu \nu \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \phi а \nu \tau a \sigma i a \nu$ ．$\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}$



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau o ̀$ ề $\pi \lambda a \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ó $\quad$ ápı $\theta \mu o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ई \varsigma ~ \tau \rho \iota a ́ \delta o s, ~$


 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \mathrm{vulg}$
lies in presenting truth in its proper proportion，and in setting forth both sides of the antithesis exhibited by the Tripersonality and Unity of God．Cp．Bern．Tract．de Errore Albuel．3． 7 Novit pietas fidei．．． medium iter tenens，regia incedere via．
1．aipé $\sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ ］$A^{i} \rho$ ．is here used in its earlier and non－ecclesiastical sense $=$＇$a$ school of thought，＇＇$a$ sect of philosophy．＇

3．каӨalpeital］＇is overthrown．＇ This passage is reproduced by Io． Damasc．de Fïd．Orth．i 7 ．
6．тараүрафонé̀ךŋs］IIaparрá－ $\phi \epsilon \iota \nu=$＇to draw a line across，＇ ‘cancel，＇＇amnul．＇
ib．$\pi \backslash \eta \theta$ ．фavt．］＇fancy of a plu－ rality．＇Фavaafia，a term found both in Plato and Aristotle，is the process by which objects are presented to the mind，so that it may receive impressions from them．Then it is used of impressions received in this way．It is a favourite word with Stoic writers，who distinguish $\phi$ av－ rafia，which may be fallible and have no real object behind it，from
$\kappa а \tau а \lambda \eta \pi \tau \varkappa \grave{\eta}$ фаута⿱㇒木＇a，which is in－ fallible and the equivalent of кazá－ $\lambda \eta \psi i s$ ．In the present passage the word suggests an unreal imagina－ tion．

9．ката入入ض่ $\lambda \omega s$ ］＇an appropriate remedy being found for the wrong opinion entertained on either side．＇ For ката入入 $\lambda^{\lambda} \lambda \omega$ ср．с． 1 кат $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ о


12．$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \delta$ ．］＇the doctrine of the unity＇ is a remedy for those whose allegiance has been divided amongst a plural－ ity，＇lit．＇zuho have been seattered amonsst a plurality．＇The word $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \delta$ ．as compared with the pre－ ceding $\pi \lambda a \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ may suggest the thought that polytheists had not merely＇wandered＇from the truth， but had become＇scattered＇by the very nature of their creed．There is possibly also the thought of a distraction of mind．Cp．prol．p． 5
 $\theta$ os $\theta \varepsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．

4．The few may be further con－ vinced by an appeal to Siripture．To take one passage out of many，the words＇By the Word of the Lord

є̇к то̂́ íбov $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa о \lambda о \varsigma ~ o ́ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu o \nu ~ \gamma є \nu \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau а \iota ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s . ~$

 $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}, ~ o \cup ̉ \sigma \iota \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \dot{v} \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega ́ \sigma a \varsigma ~ \delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \pi о \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $5 \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каі тєрьєктька̀ऽ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö $\tau \tau \omega \nu$, є́к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon о-$









 $13 \epsilon \iota]$ кає vulg
were the heavens established, and all the pozeer of them by the breath of His mouth' point to the existence of the Word and the Spirit as personal, self-subsistent powers.

1. $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota]$ For this use of $\ddot{a}^{\nu} \nu$ with Fut. Indic. see Goodwin Greek Moods and Tenses, § 37.
2. oủ $\sigma \omega \delta \omega \hat{\omega}$ vi .] Cp. antea c. 2 [ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu]$ ov̇ $\iota \iota \delta \delta \hat{\omega} s \dot{\dot{~} \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha}$ with note.
3. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ ] 'containing all things which exist.' The single quotation which Gr. gives only illustrates the existence of $\lambda \dot{o} \gamma o s$ and $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ as $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa a i{ }^{2} \delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota s$. But he is thinking of other passages of the O.T. which speak of the Divine Spirit as upholding and containing all things. Cp. Ps. civ (ciii) 29,30, cxxxix (cxxxviii) 7, Job xxxiii 4 , and esp. Wisd. i 7 .
4. $\phi \iota \lambda о \tau \iota \mu о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s]$ i.e. more ambitious for complete investigation. The Paris edd. read $\phi \iota \lambda o \pi o \nu \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho o \iota s$ which is a correction of the text.
5. T $\hat{\varphi} \lambda \hat{\lambda} \gamma(\omega]$ from Ps. xxxiii (xxxii) 6. In the original passage there
is no reason to suppose that a reference to a personal Word is intended, though the passage may have influenced the Logos doctrine. The word for 'breath' is identical with that for 'spirit' in Gen. i 2, but the parallelism suggests that the 'breath of His mouth' is synonymous with 'word.' Gr. interprets the passage acc. to the methods of his time.
6. $\delta i{ }^{\prime}$ aucs] in the original passage means 'host' and refers to sun, moon, and stars.
II. $\dot{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a]$ The Word is not mere 'utterance,' neither is the Spirit mere 'breath.' These ideas he has refuted in cc. 2 and 3 .
7. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \zeta o \iota \tau o]$ further defined by $\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \dot{o} \mu . \tau$. $\dot{\eta}$. $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega$. Cp. infra тò $\theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ катá $\gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$. Translate 'rould be lowered to the level of man.'

I4. $\delta o \gamma \mu a \tau i \zeta 0 t \in \nu]$ used freq. by Gr. with reference to the doctrines held by heretics. Cp. c. 9 (sub fin.), c. ${ }^{15}$.













 íф $\eta \gamma о$ и́ $\mu є \nu о \nu$.
5. 'A $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ єîvaı $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̂ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a ~ \delta \iota a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~$




I. Tis $\delta \epsilon$ ] Mere 'utterances' and 'breath' would not suffice for the framing ( $\sigma i \sigma \tau a \sigma \omega$ ) of heaven and the powers therein.
6. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu]$ In our case the utterances and the breath which accompanies them are 'inoperative' and 'unsubstantial.' ©p. antea, c. I, of the power of the Word, $\delta v v a-$

9. ка̇кєìva] i.e. the Divine 入óros and $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a:-$ 'prove that the Divine Word and Spirit are absolutely in-
active and unsubstantial.' For катабкєvá乌є८้ ср. с. і катабкєvа́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ (note) and катабкєvaîs (prol.).
13. $\sigma_{v \nu \dot{\prime}} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ ] 'is established.'
14. únoorá $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ ] used here as a synonym for ovoia. Cp. the anathema of the Nicene Creed $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s$
 to speak of a Word in actual being and a Spirit in subsistence.'
$15 . \dot{\cup} \phi \eta \gamma \circ$ и́ $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ ] ' $\Upsilon \phi$. = 'to guide,' 'instruct.' Cp. Plat. Rep. q03 E $\dot{\eta} \mu \in i$ is $\delta$ è ö $\sigma o \nu$ тoùs rúmous $\dot{v} \phi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma a i \mu \epsilon \theta a$.

## Chaps. V-VIII. THE CREATION OF MAN AND THE ORIGIN OF EVIL.

5. Our next step is to vindicate the Incarnation asainst the objections of Greeks and Jews. Man, like the
rest of Creation, owes his existence to the activity of the self-subsistent Word of God. The motive of man's crea-





 $\tau \grave{a} \pi a ́ \nu \tau a \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ каi бофía $\pi a \rho \grave{a}$ то仑 тò $\pi \hat{a} \nu$
 5 єтєраs］єкатєраs f $\| 6 \pi \rho \circ \sigma a \xi \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a \operatorname{degnp}$
timon was not any necessity，but the superabundance of love，and the desire to impart the perfections of the Divine Being．Mun＇s nature accordingly＇ was fashioned in a way that fitted him to participate in those perfections． Thus man was equipped rintlo various gifts and amongst them with immor－ tality．The Scriptural expression ＇the image of God＇and the account of Creation indicate these truths，and show that man＇s nature was origin－ ally good and surrounded with good． To the objection that man＇s actual state is the reverse of this we reply that man＇s present coil condition is due to another cause than the will of God．Being in＇the image of God＇ involved the possession of freewill and self－determination，so that the participation in the Divine blessings should be the reward of virtue．Whence then did evil spring？Not from the Divine will，but from man＇s free choice and his withdrawal from grow． For coil has no substantive existence， but is the absence of good．

I．коьข $\hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu o t \omega \nu$ ］＇general ideas．＇ The expression kotvai＇̇vpotal occurs freq．in Origen in the sense of moral or religious notions which are com－ mon to mankind．Cp．Philocal．ix 2 （p． $5^{66} \mathrm{ed}$ ．Rob．）$\dot{o} \gamma \dot{a} \rho \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu$ таîs карঠials vópos каi è̀ ètvıкоîs

 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 v \quad \tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\varphi} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．

In the present passage Gr．is referring to the illustrations，derived from the facts of human nature，given in cc． $1-3$ ．On the points of contact be－ tween Greek thought and Christian theology see Introd．pp．xi，xvii．

2．т $\grave{\nu} \delta$ ．к．ä．оікороміад］Оік． is used commonly in patristic writers of the plan or＇dispensation＇of God in the Incarnation．Hence Theo－ dort Dial．ii p． 129 （Migne）says т $̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu a \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi ~ \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau o u ̀ ~ Ө \epsilon o u ̂ ~ L u ́ \gamma o v ~$ $\kappa а \lambda о \ddot{\imath} \mu \epsilon \nu$ оікоуодіа⿱亠䒑 ．The source of the phrase is Eph．i io．See Lightfoot Notes on Eph．p．319，for a valuable note on the word．The fuller expression $\dot{\eta}$ катà ar $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi{ }^{2}$ oikovouia occurs also twice in c． 20 and in Ep．ad Eustathiam，p． 1020 （Migne）．The expression кат $\dot{a} \not \partial \nu$－ $\theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$ refers to the form which the oiкоуодia took．It was a dispense－ timon $\kappa a r$＇adv $\theta \rho \omega \pi \pi_{0 \nu}$＇after a human manner＇i．e．in the form of an Incar－ nation．Other expressions to denote the Incarnation found in patristic writers are $\dot{\eta}$ катà ба́рка оікогодіа
 （Chrys．，Acth．，Thdrt．），$\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \eta$ oiкovoдia（Chrys．）．The gen．in too Өєô hórou is subjective，and the whole expression is equivalent to the Incarnation of God the Word．＇

5．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ］i．e．a starting point or basis of proof．Cp．prot．da $\rho \chi$ ás rivas каі $\pi$ оота́бєıs．

6．$\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega]$ here $=$＇reason．＇























4．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma r \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu]$ they will set up unreason and unskilfulness to rule over the beginning of the Universe．＇

7．$\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ］i．e．in c．I．Aúrò $\tau$ ．$\dot{\rho}$ ．i．e．＇just this－an utterance，＇ and nothing more．

8．＂゙̈̌s］＇possession．＇Cp．с．6， where blindness is called $\pi \rho o \lambda a \beta o u$－ $\sigma \eta s \ddot{\epsilon}_{\xi} \xi \epsilon \omega s \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \eta \sigma \nu$ ．The exact phrase є́ॄぃᄂ є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ s in the sense of＇having possession of knowledge＇occurs in Plat．Theaet． 197 A（cp．Arist．Eth． vii 3．7）where ésccs is contrasted with $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma$.

II．àra日ô̂ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}]$＇and it has been shown that，since the world is good， its canse is the power which offers and creates all grood things．＇The MSS are divided between о $\rho \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu$
and $\pi \rho о \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．The former is ob－ viously a correction．Hesychius（i 376 ）and Suidas（ed．Gaisford， p．3०91）regard the form $\pi \rho о є к \tau \iota к$ ós as a corruption of $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \tau<\kappa o ́ s$ ．But here it may quite well be derived from $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ or $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ in the sense of＇hold forth，＇＇offer．＇Its sense would thus be similar to $\pi \rho o-$ $\epsilon \tau \kappa \kappa \eta \nu$ ．So it appears to have been understood by P．Morel，who in his Latin version has＇vim illam，quae bona largitur et efficit．＇



19．oú $\delta \iota o \sigma \dot{\jmath} \mu \in \theta a]$ in pass．sense， ＇we shall not differ＇or＇quarrel．＇

20．ö $\tau \iota \gamma$ á $\rho \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］i．e．whatever ＇worl＇or＇name＇we use to express．

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ öעт $\tau \nu, \dot{\eta}$ єن́ $\rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\eta}$ ö ő $\tau \omega \nu, \dot{\eta} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$













 ro avamo入．］＋avtov fl vulg
the idea of God．For rò $\dot{u} \pi=$ ＇subject＇cp．prol．$\epsilon i$ रà $\rho$ rò $\tau \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi a \nu \tau i \quad \delta о i \eta \eta \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\dot{\nu} \pi о к \epsilon i ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ $\dot{\text { ó }} \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon i \sigma} \theta a \iota$ ．

3．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \eta$＇］fr．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，＇to hold together，＇＇maintain．＇Cp． Greg．Naz．Or．xxviii 6，with Dr Mason＇s note．

5．ó $\theta$ tòs 入óros］This is the cor－ rect reading．The variant $\dot{o}$ 入óros arose from a desire to bring the passage into closer harmony with the preceding words rồtov dè ciltє
 pression $\dot{o}$ $\theta$ єòs $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o s$ is common in Athanasius，e．g．de Inc．cc．18， 19. Cp．also oiкovoцià tô $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ 入órov in this chapter．＇So then this Being， who is God the Word，Wisdm， I＇ower．＇

6．ои́к ḋvá $\gamma \kappa \eta$ ］The world and man are not parts of some necessary evolution from the Divine Being，as in the Gnostic theories of emana－ tions．

8．$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta s$ s $\pi \epsilon \iota \circ v \sigma i q]$ The love of God is with Gr．not only the cause of man＇s creation，but it also renders possible the self－humiliation of the Word in the Incarnation，in which there was exhibited $\sigma v \gamma \kappa є \kappa \rho a$－ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \phi i \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \underline{q} \dot{\eta}$ סúvamis（c．${ }^{2}+$ ）． Cp．also cc．19，20．The same standpoint is taken by Athanasius． de Inc．cc．4， 6.

13．$\grave{\epsilon} \pi i$ toúroıs］＇for these ends，＇ ＇for this purpose．＇Cp．infra $\begin{gathered}\text { ei }\end{gathered}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \ldots \dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{2} \lambda a ⿱ ⺌ 兀 \sigma \epsilon \iota$.

15．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon i \omega s . . . \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \iota \nu]$ In what follows（ir．shews that man is fitted to enjoy Divine blessings by his possession of reason，wisdom，and， above all，immortality．He illus－ trates this from the way in which the natural organs and the life of animals are adapted to their sur－ roundings．

16．каӨá $\pi \epsilon \rho$ रáp］＇For just as the eve by means of the bright beam which is planted by nature in it


















 Io $\tau 0$ v $\delta \omega \rho$ ] om $\tau 0 \mathrm{n} \|$ ovec रouv f
comes to partake of the light, attracting by its innate capacity that which is akin to it.' (ir. conceives of the eye as possessing a light within itself, by which it is enabled to attract light from without. The idea is found in Plato Tim. +5 B-1, and is commented on by Aristotle de Sensu c. ii. Dr H. Jackson, to whom I am indebted for the above references, has also called my attention to a passage in a fragment of Theophrastus de Sensibus § 5 (see Diels Doxosrathi Graeci, p. 500) where I'lato's view is set forth.
4. $\delta i a ̀ r$. кат.] 'in order that by means of this corresponding gift it might have a desire for that welich is akin to it.' For ката入入. ср. с. I
 possession of the higher faculties leads man to seek after communion with God and the divine life.
6. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ] In irrational animals we find the same adaptation to their intended environment. Each is constituted in a way that corresponds with ( $\kappa a \tau a \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega s$ ) its manner of life.
8. ís oiкєiov] 'so that in consequence of the particular formation of the body, cach finds its own proper and kindred element, the one in the air, the other in the water.' For this use of the indefinite adj. moons cp. de Hom. Op. c. 27 ai molai $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ кра́бєढs $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \lambda a \gamma a i$.
ib. ó $\mu \dot{\phi} \phi$ \} \backslash \frac { 1 } { } ] 'kindred' i.e. cor- responding with its manner of life.
10. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\eta}]$ Cp. supra $̇ \pi i ̀$ tov́rous.
13. $\theta \epsilon o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi$.] 'appropriate to God.'

I8. $\left.\tau \dot{o} \dot{a} \theta \dot{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2} \alpha \tau o \nu\right]$ is that part of man which is immortal, while a $\iota \delta$ ó $\tau \eta$ s denotes the actual possession of immortality which belongs to God.
ib. is å $\nu$ ] Man's innate capacity










 бvvádєє тоîs $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ้ \nu ~ \nu \rho \omega \pi о \nu, ~ \grave{~} \varsigma$


 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \circ \nu \eta \nu] \quad \eta \delta o \nu \eta \nu \mathrm{f} \|$ II $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi a \nu \tau \alpha \mathrm{~d}$
( $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \epsilon \iota \epsilon \in \nu \eta s \delta_{\nu \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s) ~ f o r ~ i m m o r t a l i t y ~}^{\text {in }}$ was intended to enable him to recognize that which transcended his nature ( $\tau \grave{o} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \epsilon і \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$ ), and lead him to desire the immortal life of God. For тò ímєркєінє ठ́v́ а $\mu \iota \nu$... $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \grave{s} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$.
2. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \eta \pi \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}]$ 'comprehensive.' The phrase кат' єіко́va $\theta$ оô (Gen. i ${ }_{27}$ ) sums up all that Gr. has been saying.
 ness aciording to the image there is the enumeration of all that characterizes the Divine Bing.' Gr. does not appear to observe the clear distinction between $\epsilon i \kappa \omega \nu$, the natural image of God in man, and $\dot{o} \mu o i \omega \sigma$, , the supernatural likeness resulting from grace, which is found in Origen. This distinction is, indeed, attributed to Gr. by Hilt (Des hl. Greg. v. Ny'ssa Lehre aon Menschen, pp. 77 sq.), but the only passage which can be quoted in support of it is $I n$ verba Faciamus, p. 273 (Migne), where the writer says кат єiкора $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ' $\ell \chi \omega$

 But this work, though quoted as

Gr.'s by Harnack (Hist. of Dogma, Eng. Tr. iii 277, note 2), is of very doubtful authorship, and Bardenhewer (Patrol. p. 260 f.) thinks that it cannot be ansigned either to Basil or to Gregory. In c. 2 I infia, Gr. certainly uses $\dot{o} \mu o i \omega \sigma \iota s$ with reference to the natural endowments of man, and especially free-will, without any necessary reference to moral likeness.
6. $\dot{a} \pi \alpha \rho i \theta \mu$.] almost $=$ 'the sum total.'
8. סó $\gamma \mu a \tau a]$ 'setting before us doctrines in the form of narrative.' This is an instance of the application of $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ to the narrative of the O.T. See note on $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \iota \kappa \omega \hat{s}$ c. 2 init. For a similar treatment of the narratives in Genesis see Origen $d e$ Princ. iv 16 (Philocal., ed. Rob. p. ${ }^{24}$ ).
ib. '̇ $\chi \epsilon \tau a \mathrm{l}]$ ' belongs to the same teaching.'
9. ó $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi a \rho \alpha \dot{\delta}$.] The story of Paradise is a representation of the truth that man's nature as created was good and surrounded by good ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \theta o i ̂ s)$.
 фи́бєढऽ．à $\lambda \lambda ’$ à $\nu \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau v \chi o ̀ \nu ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ є i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ o ́ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$

 тоîs viтtvavтío七s，о́рâб $\theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o v . ~ \pi o ̂ v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau \hat{\eta} 5$





亢̇ко入ovӨías ó 入óyos таратратєі́ך，каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu ~$ ỏ入íroıs $\delta \iota a \lambda \eta \psi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．тò vv̂v є̇v àтóтoıs єîvaı тウ̀ $\nu$



 aitía тŋ̂ऽ $\sigma v \sigma \tau \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o ́ t \eta \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i, ~ \tau о и ิ \tau о \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ к а к о i ̂ s ~$ $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \pi a \rho \grave{\imath} \tau о \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa о ́ \tau о \varsigma ~ \kappa а \theta v \pi о \pi \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu \cdot a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’$



 in $1^{*}$ vulg $\left|\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}20 \\ \text { atc．} \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \\ \epsilon \tau .1\end{array}\right.\right.$

2．$\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota\right]$ It may be objected that man＇s present condi－ tion is the reverse of good．In what follows Gr．argues that man＇s actual state is due to another cause than the creative agency of God．The evil in man springs from within（ $\epsilon \mu-$ $\left.\phi \dot{v} \epsilon \tau a l{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \delta \theta \epsilon \nu\right)$ ，and is the result of his possession of free－will．

6．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \iota a]$ i．e．freedom from ＇passions＇rather than from＇suffer－ ing．＇

7．ف́ки́норор］＇Man is a fleeting beins，subject to passions，a prey to death，exposed to every＇form of suffir－ ing in body and soul．＇The adjs．
are neuter，and the subject has to be supplied from the preceding $\tau \partial \nu \quad \not \partial \nu$ ．
 ف่ки́ $\mu$ ороs $\dot{\eta}$ 广े $\omega \dot{\eta}$ ．

9．катат $\overline{\text { é }} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \nu$ ］＇inveighing a－
 $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ．

13．$\tau \grave{o} \nu \hat{v} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］The remainder of this chap．is quoted by Euthym． Zig．Pan．Dogm．pt i，tit． 6.

17．ои์к ảv $\tau$ ts］＇one could not with any＇good reason suspect that he， whose constitution has its source in goodness，was created by his Maker in a state of evil．＇

19．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’$＂̈ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu]$ The fact that














1 $\pi \rho \circ \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu l^{1}$ euth $\| 2 \in \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ fl vulg $\|+$ om tas euth $\| 6$ om $\kappa a \tau a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \mathrm{fl}$ vulg $\| 9 \tau \eta \quad a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \tau \nu \eta \ldots \zeta \omega \eta 1$ vulg
man is in his present condition
 he has lost his more desirable estate （ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho о \tau \iota \mu о \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ）is due to a different cause than the creative action of God．
3．$\sigma v \gamma к а т a \theta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \omega s$ ］＇assent．＇The argument starts from a principle with which Gr．＇s opponents will find themselves in agreement．

5．גффор $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ s］K rab．translates＇oc－ casiones．＇＇Aфори＇is freq．used by St Paul in the sense of＇occasion，＇ ＇opportunity．＇Cp．Rom．vii 8， 2 Cor．xii 12，Gal．v 13， 1 Tim．v r．In the military sense it $=$＇$a$ base of operations．＇In the present pas－ sage Gr．is referring to man＇s pos－ session of the higher faculties，which become the＇starting－point＇or ＇ineans＇of acquiring all forms of excellence．For its use in this pas－ sage cp．c． 6 sub fin．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ éкeivךs toû tooótou té̉ous tàs àфop－ $\mu$ às $\pi$ apar Xoúr $\eta$ s．
ib．$\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\operatorname{k} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau 0 v] ~ i . e . ~ t h r o u g h ~ e a c h ~}$ endowment of his nature which is an $\dot{\alpha} \phi о \rho \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \lambda \omega \nu$ ．The following word кaтa入入 $\hat{\eta} \omega \mathrm{s}$ is omitted by one
important group of Mss．But its presence here is in accordance with the language of Gr．in this same chapter．Cp．antea סıà tov̂ ката入－
 That passage determines the meaning here．It is not＇vicissim＇as Kral． renders，but rather＇through a cor－
 i．e．that attribute of God which corresponds to the human endow－ ment．
9．$\left.\epsilon i \gamma \dot{\alpha}_{\rho}\right]$ The possession of free－ will is a necessary part of the $\epsilon i \kappa \omega \omega^{v}$ in which man was made，and which would have been falsified（ $\delta \iota \epsilon \psi \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \theta \eta$ ）， had it not resembled its archetype in this respect．Cf．de Mortuis，p． 524
 oúctov．

ㅍ．àvoноі́ $\pi$ то́s］C．p．c．2，p． 13 à $\lambda$ 入otpiov．．．．tpós（note）．

13．oúкої $]$ The effect of the gift of free－will is to make man＇s participation in good the reward of virtue．How then，it is asked，did man come to exchange good for evil ？ This leads Gr．to a discussion of the origin of evil，which he maintains













$$
5 \sigma a \phi \eta s]+\delta \epsilon \text { el }{ }^{* v i d} \text { vulg } \| 6 \text { om } \tau 0 v \mathrm{fl} \text { vulg } \| 8 \text { єкфиєтає vulg }
$$

has no substantive existence but is aं $\pi \grave{o} \tau 0 \hat{\kappa} \kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi u \chi \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \nu a \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma t s$.
5. ov́סє $\mu i a$ ] In the margin of mss $b$ and $c$, opposite the passage which follows, are written the words катà Mavıхaíw . All through the Or. Cat. Gr.has the Manichaeans in view. For his treatment of the problem of evil see further a fine passage in de Virg. с. 12. Cp. also de Anima et Res. p. 93 (Migne), de Infant. p. 176 (Migne). The idea is emphasized still further in c. 6 of the Or. Cat. Cp. also Plato Kep. ii 379 C, x 617 E .
7. єं $\pi \imath \gamma \rho a \phi о \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \eta$ ] 'if it conld claim God as its reator and father.' ' $\mathrm{E} \pi \iota \gamma \rho$. is used here as in the phrase $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \rho \dot{a} \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ 'to choose a patron' by enrolling under his name on the register. The idea is that evil might shelter itself under the name of God, if it could be ascribed to IIim. With the application of the words $\pi \circ \neq \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ кai $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$ to Godl cp. Plato Tim. 28 c.
8. $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \alpha_{1}\right]$ For the idea cp.

9. GuviбTauєvov] 'arising in the zill whenever.'
ib. tov ка入ov̂] 'the good.' Tò

ка入óv is the Greek term for moral beauty or virtue, translated by Cicero 'honestum.'
10. àvađ $\rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$ ] For the idea of evil as the negation of good ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ȧтovalav infra) cf. Basil Hom. ii in


 larly Aug. Ench. xi 'cum omnino mali nomen non sit nisi privationis boni.' Cp. de Cizr. Dei xii 6 seq. Cp. also Ath. contra Gentes $5-7$, de Inc. 4. The conception is a piece of Platonism and has its roots in the teaching of the Timaezs which profoundly influenced the later Platonist. Cp. P'lotinus Enn. iii 2.5 ä $\lambda \omega$ os $\delta \dot{\text { è }}$
 Its adoption by later fathers is due to the influence of Origen. Cp. de Princ. ii 9. 2 - Recedere a bono non aliud est quam effici in malo. Certum namque est, malum esse bono carere.' Cp. also in Ioann. ii 7 , c. Celsum iv 6 5-66.
II. $\pi \dot{n} \rho \omega \sigma \iota$ s $]$ here $=$ 'blindness.' ח $\eta$ pó $\omega$ is used of anything which incapacitates man's faculties. See J. A. Robinson Journal of Theol. Stucties iii 9 p. 90 ff. In cc. 6 and
















 $\eta \mathrm{fl}$ vulg $|\mid 5$ avvr. $\tau \tau$ ] om $\tau \iota 1$ euth +56 vulg || kat eauvo gl vulg || $6 \gamma \nu$.




7 Gr. uses the illustration of a man shutting his eyes to the sunlight, to show that evil is the refusal of grood.
 and c. 2, p. 15 .
8. ката日v́щıov] a common word in Gr. $\doteq \tau o ̀ ~ \grave{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ here. Cp. c. 8.
10. ävєто⿱] 'free,' 'uncontrolled.'
ib. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \beta$ ounia] It is not God, but man's folly in preferring evil to good, which is the cause of man's present misery.
6. We must now consider the causes which led man's judsoment astray. The natural creation comprises two worlds, the world of intelligence and the world of sense. But though these are opposite to each other, yet, just as Nature exhibits a general harmony embracing indi-
vidual differences, so the Divine wisdom has ordained a means of blending the intelligent and sensible elements by creating man. Man's nature excitcd the enzy of one of the created spirits, for in a nature that wions created and subject to change, like Satan's, such a passion was possible, involving the turning away from his Creator and the inclination to evil. Thus the rebellious spirit sank lower and lower in evil, and finally beguiled man to turn away from God, mingling evil with his will.
12. $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau$. $\beta$ ov $\lambda$.] referring to $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \beta o v \lambda i a$ at the close of $c$. 5 .
14. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}]$ used as in prol. p. ., and c. 5, P. 20 (see note).
16. $\pi a \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ ] Among earlier writ-











 $\pi o \lambda \lambda \eta ิ \varsigma \pi \rho \grave{\varsigma}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda a \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma \eta \varsigma$ є่va $\nu \tau \iota \omega \prime \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$,
$2 \eta$ ката⿱.] om $\eta$ vulg $\|+\lambda \eta \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \eta$ dgnp $\| 5$ $\phi \epsilon \rho о \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu] \phi \epsilon \rho о \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ s e

ers, teaching to the same effect is found in Justin Dial. c. in 9, p. 205, Iren. v 22. 2. Cp. also Ath. contra Gentes 3, de Inc. 4, 25 . In spite of the influence of Origen's thought on (ir., the latter does not adopt Origen's idea of a pre-temporal fall of souls. Cp. Origen de Princ. iii 5 .
I. $\mu \nu \theta \dot{\omega} \delta \eta=\delta \iota \eta \eta \eta \sigma \iota s$ ] 'a mythical account,' i.e. an account given in some such form as the $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ or of Plato, which present truth in the form of poetic fiction. Gr. claims that his account of the origin of $\sin$ is one which invites credence ( $\tau$ ò $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\nu}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu o s)$, owing to the very constitution of our nature ( $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \operatorname{aú} \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$. It is possible that in $\mu v \theta \dot{\omega} \delta \eta s \delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \iota s$ Grr. is thinking of the account in Genesis, which, like Origen, he interpreted (ср. сс. 5, 8) by $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$. In accordance with the plan of the Or. Cat. he illustrates the origin of $\sin$ from human experience, i.e. the existence in man of $\tau \grave{o} \nu 0 \eta \tau o ́ \nu$ and $\tau o ̀ ~ a i \sigma \theta \eta \tau o \partial$, whose harmony has been disturbed. In the same way he has illustrated the
doctrine of the Trinity from human consciousness.
2. $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}]$ ' a twofold classification may' be discerned.' For $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$


 of intelligence' (i.e. apprehended by, intelligence), 'the world of sense' (i.e. that falls under the observation of the senses). For the illea of
 infra. In what follows Gr. adopts a twofold division of human nature, and so departs from Origen, who retained St Paul's division of $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$, $\psi{ }^{\prime} \chi \dot{\eta}, \pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a(\mathrm{I}$ Thess. v 2.3).
6. $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \in \sigma \omega]$ by a considerable distance' or 'interval.'
7. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau . \nu . \epsilon i \nu a \iota]$ For $\epsilon i \nu a \iota ~ \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{p}$. c. I $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} \ldots \epsilon i v a l$.
8. ذ் $\pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu$.$] 'A \pi o ́$ denotes here the source. 'But each of them receives its particular character from qualities opposite to those of the other.'
12. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’$ ©̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ] Gr. illustrates the unity of man's nature, in spite of its combination of the opposites $\tau \dot{o}$ $\nu 0 \eta \tau o ́ v$ and tò aiotiróv, from the













 1 vulg
harmony in diversity exhibited in the visible Universe．

1．̇̇тเvevóntal］＇a certain lar－ mong has been devised by the wisdom which presides over the Universe，a harmony＇effected by means of oppo－ sites．＇

5．$\epsilon$ ip $\mu \dot{\rho} \mathrm{v}]=$ seriem．＇$A$ chain of agreement，＇which is not broken by the individual differences of the con－ stituent parts．

7．$\mu i \xi \iota \iota s \tau \epsilon$ каì д⿱亠乂র́крабıs］＇mix tare and blending．＇For this idea of the interpenetration of spirit and mat－ ter，which is a characteristic feature in Gr．cp．de Orat．Dom．iv p． 1165 （Mine）．
ib．$\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s} a ̈ \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a]$ Acc．to Gr．it is in and through man，whose nature is the meeting point of the worlds of spirit and of sense，that Creation reaches its final goal．

8．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau$ ．к．фй $\sigma \epsilon \omega s]$ Kab．takes this as equiv．to＂ejus quod natura praestantius est．＇Cp．Mayor＇s note on James iii 7 ．The whole phrase is synonymous with $\tau o \hat{v}$ кa入ồ above．
9．тò $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ катá入入．］＇though the proper sphere for the intelligent na－
tare is the realm of subtle and mobile essence，having，by virtue of its dwell－ ing above the Universe，considerable affinity with the intelligible element， in consequence of the peculiarity of its nature，yet \＆ic：，The clause introduced by $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is best taken as concessive．＂H $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \grave{\eta}$ ．．．olivia is the subject，and $\chi \omega$ pion the predicate． The idea is that $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ к ai єúкivntos oúria is the natural abode for $\dot{\eta} \nu 0 \eta \tau \grave{\eta}$ фíres，but that God accomplished His purpose of bringing all things into union with Himself by a blend－ ing of the intelligent and sensible elements in man．
11．$\lambda \hat{\eta} \xi \nu \nu]$＇Lot，＇＇appointed place＇ （入arđáv $\omega$ ）．Moeller（Greg．Ny ss． doctr．de hominis natura，p．19）has a long discussion of this expression， in which he says＇a nonnullis vertitur sori a nonnullis locus sieve region． Et retvera utrumque inst．Significant nim ceram vitae sorter atque con－ suetudinem cento loco inhaerentem．＇ Other examples of Gr．＇s use of the word are de Orat．Dom．iv p． 1165
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \lambda \hat{\eta} \xi \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \sigma \rho \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau a l$ ，where he




入óyos．$\Lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ үà $\rho$ ó $\theta \epsilon o ́ s, ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \chi o v ̂ \nu ~ d ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \gamma \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$




 $\pi \rho о v \pi о \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma, \kappa а і \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{a} \sigma \tau \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s$

5 коб $\mu \sigma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon a s$ elp vulg \｜｜ 6 ф $\eta \sigma \iota \nu$ o $\theta$ ．dl vulg \｜ 8 є $\nu \epsilon \phi \nu \sigma \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ d vulg 9 om $\tau \eta \mathrm{s}\|\| 10 \delta \iota \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota \mathrm{p} \delta \iota \eta \kappa \eta \mathrm{dgn}$
is speaking of the angels．In contra Eunom．v p． 681 （Migne）he speaks of $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta}^{\nu} \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$
 Hom．Op．c．17；contr．Eunom．xii p． $100+$（Migne）．Moeller concludes ＇Patet etian ex hoc vocabulo，Gr． sicut reliquos patres fere omnes nul－ lum creaturarum licet intelligibilium vitae statum loci finibus prorsus ex－ emptum docuisse．＇
1．бuга⿱亠乂крабьs］＇commingling．＇ In de Hom．Op．c． 12 Gr．discusses the relations of mind and matter in man and denies that the former can be limited to any particular part of


 $\mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho о \sigma a \pi \tau \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma, \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 ѐv $\dot{\eta} \rho \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．Ср．de An．et Resurr．


 The nearest approach to these views is to be found in Plotinus．See Enn．iv 3.20 sq ．
 no part of creation might be rejected．＇

There is a similar passage in the de Infant．qui praem．pp． 172 sq． （Migne）．
5．$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \delta \epsilon i \kappa \nu u \tau a l]$ in the sense of ＇appoint，＇＇constitute，＇＇make．＇

6．$\chi$ oûv］Gen．ii 7 ．

 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \nu \tau \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$（sc．ai $\psi{ }^{\prime \prime} \chi a i$ ）．The reading $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \phi \dot{v} \sigma \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is due to a desire to bring the passage into closer accord with Gen．ii 7 ，where $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon$－ ф＇$\sigma \eta \sigma \in \nu$ is found in the LXX．
ib．ís àv $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi$ ．］The olject in creating such a being as man was that the earthly nature might be carried up by union with（ $\sigma v v \in \pi a \rho-$ $\theta \epsilon i \eta$ ）the Divine，so that the Divine grace in one even course as it were （uia $\tau \iota s$ ）might equally extend through the whole creation．

11．$\dot{\epsilon \pi \epsilon i}$ oî $\nu$ ］The protasis begun in the clause $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \ldots \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \iota s$ is continued by the following clause $\epsilon i \tau \alpha$ катє－ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$ ，and again resumed，after the parenthesis тoи̂то $\delta \epsilon$ ，in the clause кai $\hat{\eta} \nu$ ．The apodosis begins with $\delta \epsilon \omega \partial \nu \nu \pi o \epsilon \epsilon i \tau \alpha l$.
ib．$\left.\nu 0 \eta \tau \eta \hat{\eta}_{s} \kappa \tau i \sigma \epsilon \omega s\right]$ refers to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{a}^{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu} \delta \nu \nu \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \omega \nu$ which follows．














 $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi_{.} 1 \mathrm{vulg}$
2. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s]$ The angelic powers are represented as having each been assigned some activity in the constitution of the Universe. The idea is found in Methodius de Resurr. i 37 (ed. Bonw. p. 130), and still earlier in Origen c. Celsumn v $30-3 \mathrm{I}$. Its source is to be found in the later Judaism. Cp. Rev. xiv 18, xvi 5. For $\sigma$ ví $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o u ̉ \rho a \nu \omega ิ \nu ~ \sigma \dot{v} \sigma \tau a \sigma \nu$.
3. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \gamma \epsilon \iota o \nu ~ \tau o ́ \pi o \nu] ~ O n e ~ s u c h ~$ angel was appointed to maintain and govern the region about the earth. For this epic notion cp. Methodius l. c.; also Rufin. in Symb. Ah. art. 4; Basil Hom. ix 8 sq.; Io. Damasc. de Fid. Orth. ii 4. See further Schwane Dogmengesch. ii p. 218; Godet Bibl. Studies: Old Testament (ed. Lyttleton), p. 16 ff . For $\sigma v v_{-}$ є́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ see note on $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ c. 5.
4. $\delta \nu \nu a \mu \omega \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \sigma a]$ 'empowered.'
 $\nu a \mu \omega \theta \in i s \dot{\partial}$ ö $\partial \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$.
7. каi $\dot{\eta} \nu$ ] The clause $\dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$
 explanatory addition to the main
clause. 'In him there wias the sodcike beauty of the intelligent nature, blended, with a certain ineffable potincy.' $\Delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu s$ refers to the latent capacity of man as having been made кат’ єiкóva $\theta$ єov̂.
 the earth takes it amiss that out of the nature subject to him ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{v} \pi 0_{0}$ $\chi \epsilon i \rho i o v$ фи́ $\sigma \omega s=\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ai $\sigma \theta \eta \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ф́v $\sigma \epsilon \omega s)$ there should lee produced any being resembling in dignity the Supreme Being.
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \delta \epsilon i \kappa \nu u \tau a l$ (note).
ib. oỉaia] The ouvia of man is that which constitutes him $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi s$, and which he shares with every other member of the race. Similarly Gr. argues in the de Comm. Not. that the word $\theta$ és is övona oйбias $\sigma \eta \mu a \nu \tau \iota к \dot{\nu}$ and not öroна $\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \quad \delta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \kappa \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu$.
13. '̇тi $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \iota$ как $\hat{\omega}$ ] 'created for no evil end.' Cp. c. 5, p. $22 \epsilon \epsilon \pi i$ тoútos, and ibid. p. $23 \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \backslash \hat{\eta}$... $\dot{a} \pi o-$ $\lambda a v ́ \sigma \epsilon$.












 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota$ Өє $\omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ 入є́ $\gamma о \mu \epsilon \nu$, oîóv тıva $\sigma \kappa \grave{a} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ à $\nu a \chi \omega$ -

$2 a \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota 1^{*}$ vulg $\left|\mid 12 \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \phi \cup \sigma \epsilon \iota \mathrm{fg}^{1} 1 \mathrm{vulg} \|\right.$ 12-13 om $\alpha \lambda \lambda a \pi \rho 0 \lambda$. $\epsilon \xi . \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{f} \| \mathrm{I} 4 \delta \iota a \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota l^{* \text { vid }}$ vulg

1. $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a r \epsilon i a s]$ used here of a literary treatise = 'our present work.'

3-4. ка日' ن́тббтабเข фаเข.] ' two things which appear as actually existing.'

4-1 4. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ] 'but just as the non-existent is logically opposed to that which exists, and it is not possible to say that the non-existent is distinguished, so far as actual subsistence is concerned, from that which exists, but we say that non-existence is logically opposed to existence; in the same way also "rice" is distinguished from "virtue," not because it has some independent existence, but because it is concuized of as arising from the absence of that which is good; and just as we say that blindness is logically opposed to sight, not because blindness belongs to nature as something having an existence of its own, but because it is the deprivation of a former possession, so also we say that vice is found where there is a
deprivation of good.' The argument is that the distinction which we make between the non-existent and the existent is a logical distinction only. They are not to be conceived of as two things on the same plane or possessing the same reality, any more than sight and blindness, the latter being merely the defect of the former. For $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \delta \iota \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ in the sense of 'logical division' cp. с. 15 .
14. $\sigma \kappa \iota a ́ \nu]$ Evil is likesome shadow which follows upon the withdrawal of the sun's ray.
15. єं $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}]$ In what follows Gr. proceeds to show how it was possible for a created spirit to be subject to such a passion as envy. It arose out of the possibility of change involved in possessing a created nature. Only an uncreated being is free from the movement expressed in such words as 'variation,' 'alteration' or 'change.'













 1 vulg $\| a \rho \chi \eta$ f
${ }^{2}$ : $\pi \hat{a} \nu \delta \epsilon$ ] ' Whereas everything which came into being through an act of creation has a natural tendency to such change, because even the very subsistence of creation owed its beginning to change.' T $\hat{\eta} s$ ктi $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ s is of course 'the created Universe.' The idea of creation, Gr. maintains, carries with it the idea of mutability.
7. aútє $\xi$ ovoi $i \varphi]$ 'choosing whatever it thinks fit by a movement of free-zill.'
8. ä $\phi \theta 0 \nu o \nu$ ] 'free from envy,' 'ungrudging,' as opposed to the $\phi \theta$ óvos with which the evil spirit viewed man's participation in Divine blessings.
ib. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ö $\mu \mu a]$ The reading vó $\quad \mu a$ is plainly a corruption.
9. $\dot{v} \pi o \beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu]$ There is a considerable variation of reading here. 'A $\pi \circ \beta a \lambda \omega{ }^{\prime} \nu$ is plainly a corruption of $\dot{v} \pi o \beta a \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$. It is not so easy to decide between $\dot{\psi} \pi o \beta a \lambda \omega \nu$ and $\epsilon \pi \iota$ $\beta a \lambda \omega$, as there appear to be no exact parallels to the use of either word in such a comnexion as the present passage affords. The phrase
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ in the sense of 'closing the eyelids' occurs in Arist. Physiog. 6. 8iza, and also in Adamant. Soph. Physiog. i 23 where it is found in the phrase oi
入ovtєs, but these instances do not justify such an expression as $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-$ $\beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} s{ }^{\circ} \psi \psi \epsilon \iota s$. On the other hand the occurrence of such an expression as $\tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ may have led to the alteration of $\dot{v} \pi o \beta a \lambda \omega \omega^{\nu}$ into $\dot{\epsilon} \pi<\beta \alpha \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \nu$. There is exactly the same variation of reading in c. 7 sub fin. in the expression $\dot{v} \pi о \beta$ àdo тoîs $\beta \lambda \epsilon \phi$ ápoıs т ̀̀ $\nu$ ö $\rho a \sigma \iota \nu$.
11. катєעó $\eta \sigma \epsilon$ ] 'came to apprehend,' 'learned to know,' stronger than $\nu o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$.

1I-I2. $\dot{\text { I }} \phi \theta$ óvos] For the idea cp. infra $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{a} \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta$ ót $\eta \tau$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau \hat{\omega} \gamma \in \nu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s \tau o ̀ \nu \phi \theta o ́ \nu o \nu$. There is similar language on $\phi \theta$ óvos in de Vita Moysis p. +o9 (Migne). The whole passage is doubtless traceable to Wisdom ii 23, 24 -
12. $\dot{o} \mu 0 \lambda o \gamma$.] The first cause of anything is responsible for all the consequences flowing from it. So







 ódòs катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta$. єтєєঠウ̀ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ä $\pi a \xi$ тро̀s тò какòv тウ̀ $\nu$

 úmò тov̂ íiou ßápous $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho a \nu \epsilon ̀ s ~ \sigma v \nu є \lambda a v ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, ~ o u ̈ \tau \omega ~$









 $\epsilon i s \mathrm{fh} \tau \eta \nu \quad \sigma \geqslant \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ el vulg $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma / a \nu$ dnp $\| \tau o v \kappa \rho \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau o \nu o s]$ om $\tau o v \mathrm{f}$
the inclination to vice resulting from the envy displayed by the devil was responsible for the train of evils which followed.
2. $\tau \hat{y}$ i $\gamma \epsilon i \alpha]$ We must supply some such word as $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau a l$ which occurs in the following sentence. As on health there follows a good bodily condition ( $\tau \grave{o}$ єט̉єктєîv), activity, and an enjoyable life, while disease is followed by weakness, inactivity, and lack of enjoyment, so other results follow in natural sequence their own proper causes.
6. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha$ ' freedom from passion.' Cp. antea c. 5 .
II. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \lambda i \theta o s]$ 'just as a rock,
breaking azuay from the ridre of a mountain, is carried headlong by its own weight.'
 his natural affinity to good.'
 'the faculty of mind.' $\Delta$ เávoıa, as distinguished from voûs, is 'the process of rational thought,' while $\nu$ ous is the intuitive and speculative reason. See Westcott on 1 John v 20. 'The faculty of mind', which he received from the Creator in order that he might cooperate with Him in imparting good (i.e. to the creatures under him), he employed to assist him in discovering evil devices.'
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 $\epsilon \mu \mu \iota \xi \epsilon \iota \iota \nu 1$ vulg $\epsilon \mu \mu \iota \xi \epsilon \epsilon \quad$ g $\epsilon \mu \mu \iota \xi \alpha$ e $\| \epsilon \lambda \alpha \omega \omega]+\kappa a \iota$ fln

```
    1. \pi\epsilon\rhoté\rho\chi\epsilon\taual] 'circumvecnts,'
' overreaches.'
```

$2-3$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ The clause introduced by $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$ is broken up by a series of parentheses. The apodosis of the sentence begins with סià $\tau 0 \hat{1} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \pi \sigma \tau \tau \bar{\eta} \sigma a$. In what follows Gr. shows how the capacities and privileges bestowed upon man by God excited the envy of the adversary and led him to plot for man's ruin.
4. $\dot{a} \xi \iota(\omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota]$ 'rank,' 'position.'
ib. $\beta$ aбıлєíє $\nu$ ] Gen. i 28-30.
6. $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \theta \dot{\eta} s]$ See note on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \iota a$
c. 5 .
8. $\pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma i a s]$ Ma 1 . denotes the 'giving utterance to every thought and feeling and wish.' Cp. Heb. iv r6 (with Westcott's note), x 19, 35.
ib. $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta \epsilon i a s \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi$.] based on the narrative of Gen. iii.
9. Karat $\rho u \phi \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'revelling in the manifestation of Deity evon face to face.'
ih. rav̂za $\delta \dot{\epsilon}]$ 'and since this served as fuel.' The sentence forms part of the protasis begun by $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$.

10-1. 及ía $\delta v \nu \alpha \dot{\mu} \epsilon \omega s$ ] 'violent exercise of power,' 'main force.'
14. єúá入 $\omega$ tos] 'an easy prey to his plotting.'

I5. каi $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho]$ What the adversary could not accomplish by force he attempted by craft, mingling evil with man's will. 'And as in the case of a lamp, when the flame has caught the wick, if any one, being. unable to blow out the flame, mixes water with the oil, he will, by this deaice render the flame dull.'
16. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \epsilon \delta \rho a \gamma \mu$.] 'grasped,' 'laid hold of.'

















 $\alpha \pi . . . \sigma v \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \nu$ om f

3. $\sigma \beta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma[\nu]$ 'succeeded in extinguishing and obscuriner in a way ( $\tau$ ivá) the Divine blessing.'
$4^{-5}$. тò $\left.\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu\right]$ sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon \in \dot{\jmath}-$入oria. The term is explained in the following sentence.
4. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s]$ i.e. the $\phi \theta$ óvos of the Devil which he has been describing.
5. тàs áфop $\mu a ́ s]$ Ср. с. 5 p. 26 (note).
6. In this and in the following chapter Gr. deals at length with the objection' Why did God create man, if He foresaze the evils which would result from his fall? How was such an act consistent with grodness?' In answering this objection Gr. first of all drazes a distinction between the two senses in which the word evil is used with reference to man. There is physical evil i.e. the pain resulting from suffiring and sickness, and there is moral evil i.e. wickedness. The latter alone deserves the name of
evil. Moral evil consists in the loss of good, and has no substantive existence. Neither can God be its author, for $H e$ is the source of positive good, and it is only man's self-will in withdrazwing from grod, which constitutes evil.
II. $\epsilon i \pi \rho o \epsilon \iota \delta \omega s$ ] The emphasis of the sentence rests on the participle. 'Whether God foresaze the calamity...when He came to create man.'
7. áßou入ías] cf. 6 init. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta o u \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \iota a \mu a \rho \tau i a s . ~ A u ́ \tau \hat{̣}$ anticipates $\tau o ̀ \nu \not{ }^{2} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$.

I5. oi tois Mavıरackois]' they who have been deceived and led away by the doctrines of the Manichees.' The evils of man's present condition might be urged to prove that the Author of man's being was not good. Acc. to the Manichaeans the creation of man was due to the prince of darkness. See Harnack Hist. of Dogma, Eng. Tr. iii 325.

















 $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \grave{a} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \cup \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а \tau а \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v{ }^{\prime}$, oî $\mu a \iota$, $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$
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 $\pi \nu$.] каı тas $\psi \cup \chi \iota \kappa a s$ катабтабєเs е
4. $\lambda$ óros] 'the doctrine of the goodness of God.'
6. $\epsilon i$ jà $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \hat{\eta} s]$ 'For if activity in grood is the characteristic of a nature that is grood.'
8. à ${ }^{2}$ árotro] 'traced back,' 'referred.'
9. Ё $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ] e.g. such as the Manichaean evil principle.
11. Toìs $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ] 'to those who are deeply tinged with the deceit of heresy, as with some indelible dye.' For

 $\Delta \epsilon v \sigma$. is found in Plat. Rep, 429 E -430 A , a passage which Gr. may
have had in mind, as it contains a similar comparison to that of the present passage and concludes iva

14. $\sigma \alpha \theta \rho \alpha]$ lit. 'rotten,' 'unsound.' Hence 'ineffective,' 'werk.' 1Ipózetpov, 'affurd a ready proof of their fallacious character.'

I7. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \eta$ ' $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota]$ 'to put forward the Apostle in these matters as supporting us in our condemmation of them.' 'The sen. кат $\quad \gamma$ opias is governed by $\sigma u \nu \eta$ خु opov.

I8. $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ Kop.] I Cor. ii $14-15$.
19. катaбтa' $\epsilon \epsilon \iota$ ] 'dispositions' or 'conditions.'
















 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \theta a \iota$ e a $\nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \theta a \iota$ vulg $\|$ II om $\pi \omega s$ d $\| 12$ om $\theta \epsilon o v$ l $^{*}$ vulg

1. $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ai $\sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ] It is not by 'sense perception' that good and evil are judged. The intelligence ( $\nu$ oûs) must be withdrawn from bodily phenomena ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{o} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ фaıv.) and discern in its own distinctive character (aúrìv '̇ $\phi$ ' $\dot{\theta} \alpha u \tau \eta s$ ) the nature of good and the opposite.
2. $\mu v \theta 0 \pi o 九 i a s]$ Cp. prol. $\tau$ às $\dot{\eta} \pi a \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta о \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu v-$ $\theta$ otooías.
3. $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta$ च $\sigma a l$ ] 'This, Isuppose, was the cause of the fabrication of these fabulous doctrines in the case of those who put forward such viewus.' 'Erri ${ }^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma \theta$ al is used in the sense of inesse or innasci. Cf. Xen. Comm. I. 2. 21 тoîs $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ є่ $\gamma \gamma \iota \nu 0$ $\mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$.
ib. ö $\tau \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \delta$ ] Krab.'s punctuation is bad here. "Otı governs voui-乡ov $\iota \nu$, while $\dot{\epsilon} \pi а к о \lambda$. is closely
attached to $\dot{v} \pi о к є \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ and belongs to $\delta \iota a ̀ \tau o ́$.
ib. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \dot{\eta} \delta u ́] ~ ' d e f i n i n g ~ g o o d ~$ with reference to the pleasure of bodily enjoyment.' $\Sigma \omega \mu$. is contrasted with the $\pi \nu \epsilon v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa$ s in the quotation.
4. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \quad \kappa \alpha i \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu]$ Here both words are used of bodily ailments. In c. 8 Gr. speaks of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$. In this latter sense the word $\dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \omega \sigma \sigma \tau \eta a$ was employed by the Stoics and is defined by Cicero Tusc. iv 10.
5. ís $\epsilon$ i' 6 ]' Since if their thought had turned its gaze in a loftier direction, and if, separating the intelligence from the disposition to care about pleasures, they had contemplated, free from the influence of the passions, the nature of existing things.' On the words $\delta$ iápora and $\nu$ ous see antea c. 6 p. 35 .
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 II o a $\theta \lambda \frac{\nu}{}$ ] om of vulg || $\left.12 \gamma \epsilon \rho a s\right] \pi \epsilon \rho a s 1^{* v i d}$ vulg || $13 \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon \iota s$ deghnp ${ }^{15} \epsilon \mu \psi v \chi o \nu$ vulg || $16 \epsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \pi o \nu \tau o s e$
6. ov̉к $\stackrel{\pi}{\alpha} \nu a \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda$ o] The principle that moral evil is alone како́v is a leading thought of Gr. throughout the present treatise. Cp. c. 9 where he argues that the Incarnation was no degradation to God, for only moral evil can bring degradation. Similarly in cc. 15 and 16 he says that it was physical, not moral weakness which Christ took upon Him. The Divine goodness was unchanged by the Incarnation, for the only thing that could have changed it would have been the participation in vice. The conception, which is also found in Origen, has its roots in the importance assigned by both Origen and Gr. to the will, as the seat of evil. So in the present chapter Gr.
 є̀ф’ є́avtồ кєīтal.
7. रарактпрiโєтat] 'The characteristic feature of all wickedness is to be found in the deprivation of good.
8. Tஸ̂ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ rival] 'but it receives its name from the nonexistence of the good.'
9. Tò $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$ ob $\nu$ ] In what follows Gr. urges that God is the Creator of that which exists positively. That which is a mere negation of existence, such as evil has been defined to be, cannot be attributed to Him.
II. $\left.\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda_{o \nu}\right]$ The effect of this view of the relation of evil to man's free-will is to make the enjoyment of Divine blessings the reward of virtue.
 $\beta \lambda$ е́тоутоя aiтías ó ï $\lambda \iota o s$.




 $\tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \theta \epsilon i a s ~ \epsilon \dot{u} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma i a s . ~ \tau a ́ \chi a ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~$



I vioßa入入oc p $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta a \lambda o c\left(-\beta a \lambda \lambda-1\right.$ vulg）efg ${ }^{1} a \pi o \beta a \lambda o c(-\beta a \lambda \lambda-\mathrm{hn}) d \mid$ 8． $3 \delta<a \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \nu] a \nu a \lambda$ ． $1^{*}$ vid vulg $\| 5^{-6} \kappa \alpha \iota \tau o u \tau о \ldots \sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \nu v \sigma \theta a \iota$ om $1^{*}$ vulg $\|$ $8 \mu a \lambda \lambda o \nu$ a deghnp $\|$ routov l $^{*}$ vulg $\left|\mid 9 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \eta\right.$ e $\pi \rho \circ a \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \eta g^{2} l^{1} \|$ 10 om $\tau \omega \nu$ vulg

I．ن́moßá入or］cp．antea c． 6 p． $3+$ （note）．
1－2．$\quad \epsilon \xi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \ldots$ aitias］＇is free from blame on the part of him who fails to see．＇For $\epsilon \dot{\xi} \xi \omega$ т $\hat{\prime}$ saitias cp．c． 8.

8．Gr．is still discussing the ob－ jection stated in the previous chapter． One of the coils of man＇s present con－ dition，it is urged，is the preialence of physical death．In reply Gr． maintains that the dissolution of the body was really a merciful provision made by God after man＇s fall in order to undo its effects．The coats of skin in Genesis indicate mystically this truth．As it wous into the sentient（i．e．bodily）part of man that the poison of evil ivas recived，so it was fitting that that part should be dissolved in order that it might be remoulded by the resurrection．Gr． illustrates his meaning by the simile of an carthen vessel，which some ill－ disposed person renders useless by filling it with molten lead，but which the potter，in order to remove the lead，breaks up with a view to re－ modelling it．

The dissolution of the body，how－ ever，does not affect the soul．That
too has been stained by sin，and it too has its appointed remedies，the practice of virtue in this life，the sift－ ing judgment and painful discipline of purification in the after－life．Thus God not only foresaw man＇s fall，but provided for its remedy．It was better to restore man by ponitence and suffering than never to have created him at all．The work of restoration was a task possible and fitting for Him alone who had created man． And so He who was man＇s Creator became also his Deliverer．

5－6．$\zeta \omega \dot{\eta} \nu . . \beta i o \nu]$ By the＇dissolu－ tion＇of the $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$ Gr．refers to the dissolution in death of the component parts of man＇s nature．By the ex－ tinction of the 及ios he means the cessation of the outward activities of the life．

7．то仑 $\sigma \kappa \cup \theta \rho \omega \pi о \hat{v}]$＇this dismal－ looking necessity＇．

8．єن́єpरєбias］Death，as Gr．pro－ ceeds to show，was a beneficent provision made by God，after man＇s fall，to enable the body to escape from the consequences of the Fall．

10．катаөv $\omega \omega \nu]=$＇acceptable，＇ ＇pleasing．＇Cp．c． 5.
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7. $\left.\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a\right]$ Used here in the sense of 'acquire,' 'contract.' ' We brought upon ourselves.'
ib. $\delta$ ad $\tau \iota \nu o s]$ ' by some indulgence in pleasure mingling evil with our nature like some deadly potion sweetened with honey.'
9. катà $\tau \grave{o} \dot{\alpha} \pi a \theta$ ès $\nu 00 v \mu e ́ \nu \eta s]$ 'the blessedness which the mind associates with freedom from passion.'
12. ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \nu$ ] 'in order that the foulness which is nowi included in his nature may be separated out, and he may be restored by the resurrection to his original form.' After these words one group of mss insert the words єí $\gamma \epsilon$ тò кат' єiкóva ধ̇v $\tau \hat{\eta}$ mapoúбך̣ $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} \delta_{\iota \epsilon \sigma \omega} \sigma a \tau o$, and Krabinger has given them a place in the text. But the worls are not found in the mss $f, l$, and they have a suspicious ring, introducing an idea which is not appropriate to the context. They are probably a later addition to the text, their object being to guard against an Universal-
istic interpretation of the passage.
I4. iбторьк.]' after the manner of history and in veiled language.' For aivırرa cp. Numb. xii 8 (LXX) $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a$ катà $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a ~ \lambda a \lambda \eta \prime \sigma \omega$ aút $\hat{\varphi}, \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ $\epsilon i \delta \in \iota$ каi oủ $\delta \iota$ ' aiv $\gamma \mu a \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$. Cp. also r Cor. xiii 12 . This passage is another instance of Gr.'s use of the allegorical interpretation of Scripture. Cp. antea c. 2 (note on $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \iota \omega \hat{s}$ ) and c. 5. The ref. is to Gen. iii 2 r . This interpretation of the coats of skin is found in Methodius de Resurr. i. 37 (ed. Bonw. p. I 30 ) and still earlier in Clement of Alexandria (Strom. iii 14) and Origen (c. Cels. iv 40 , in Lez. Hom. vi 2). It appears to have been derived from the Gnostics. See Iren. c. Haer. 1. 5. 5; Tert. de Res. Carnis 7. Its ultimate source was Rabbinic. Cp. Bigg Christian Platonists, p. $20+$ note. Gregory of Nazianzus (Or. xxxviii p. 670 D ) also makes use of it.













 $\pi a \rho \in ́ \chi \omega \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$, ov่ $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \phi v \kappa \grave{\omega} \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta}$ фv́ $\sigma \epsilon$. ov̉кои̂v 15



3. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ roîs àm.] 'became inzolved in what was forbidden.'
4. á $\pi \epsilon \gamma v \mu \nu$.] The $\delta \epsilon \rho \mu a ́ \tau \iota \nu o s$ $\chi \iota \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ takes the place of the $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha$.
5. $\pi \rho \omega \tau \pi \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o t s]$ a word applied to the first man in Wisd. vii 1 , x I.
6. ой ноь бокєî] 'not, I think, intending to apply the sense of the word to these literal skins.' Ф'ि $\rho \omega$ belongs to the subject of $\phi \eta \sigma i \nu$, i.e. M $\omega \sigma \eta s, \delta о к \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ being parenthetical.

8-9. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}\right]$ The skins, acc. to Gr., represent that capacity of dying, which was the peculiar characteristic of the irrational nature. In de. An. at Resurr. p. $1+8$ (Migne) Gr. explains $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu a$ as $\tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 $\kappa \epsilon \iota \omega \theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon s \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$. In de Virg.c. 12 the coats of skin are $\tau$ o

iii p. $52+$ (Migne).
I3. $\epsilon$ is $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \quad \pi a \rho a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu]$ Acc. to Gr. the first man had received the blessing of immortality and incorruption. Cp. с. 5. Cp. also de Hom. Op. c. 4, de Vita Moysis p. 397 (Migne). Hence he says below of the $\chi \iota \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ that it was ov $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \phi \cup \kappa \grave{\omega} s \tau \grave{\eta} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota$. Death is a temporary provision, a 'coat' with which man is invested for a time.
16. оікороцєкаิs] here opposed to that which naturally belongs to man. Death was assigned to man by way of 'accommodation' to his circumstances. C. for this use of оікоעоцикйs in Christi Resurr. Or. ii p. 649 (Migne) $\mathfrak{a} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ єīxє $\phi \cup \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{s}$ ผ̀s $\theta \epsilon$ ós, тâ̂ta $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ìs
 further Suicer Thesaurus.

















及ou入ท̂s $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \kappa о ́ \tau о \varsigma ~ \mu о \lambda i ́ \beta \delta o v, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \mu o ́ \lambda \iota \beta \delta o \nu ~$




I．$\tau \grave{\text { è }}{ }^{\epsilon} \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ ］This garment of ＇mortality＇enfolded only the out－ ward and sentient part of man．It did not affect the higher life which constituted the Divine image in man．

4．入éєral］The physical，sentient part of man only suffers dissolution． It cloes not cease to exist．

7．סıá $\chi$ vaıs］＇diffusion．＇The word has been altered by some MSS．
 ठ бт $\rho a ́ \kappa \iota \nu$ оу spoken of above，an illus－ tration which he develops in what follows．

IO－II．Toे maұú］＇the grooss and earthy element．＇

13．סокıца⿱㇒木；］＇as it was by the arbitrament of the senses that our judyment about that which is good went astray．＇

14．Sıapaptias］＇deviation from the grood．＇

15．$\left.\epsilon_{\xi} \epsilon \epsilon \omega s\right]$＇state，＇＇condition．＇
ih．à $\rho \in \epsilon \omega \theta \in \nu$ ］＇disabled，＇＇ren－ dered useless．＇

17．入óros］＇the point of our illus－ tration．＇＇The same illustration oc－ curs in Methodius de Resurr．i＋4 （ed．Bonw．p．if（6）．

18．Є＇к $\tau \iota \nu 0 s \epsilon \in \pi \iota \beta o v \lambda \hat{\eta} s]$ The filling of the vessel with lead is represented as an act of spite．
















 vulg $|\mid$ I2 $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau$.] $\tau \eta \nu \quad a \mu$. f

1. àmpóxutov] a word not found in the Lexicons. The Paris editions read $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \dot{j} \sigma \chi u \tau o \nu$, but all the best mss support the text. 'So that it cannot be poured out.'
ib. ávтıtoเєîotal] 'lay claim to.' The owner claims the vessel and, as he has some knowledge of the potter's art, breaks it up and remodels it. The vessel is represented as unbaked. It is still $\pi \eta \lambda$ ós and can be broken up.
2. Kєvóv] In Or- in funere Pulch. p. 876 (Migne) Gr. says ơờ $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o ~ \tau i ́ \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \nu \dot{o} \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau o s$, єi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ какіаз каӨд́роьо⿱.
3. ג̀ $\nu a \sigma \tau o \ \chi \epsilon \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon l]$ lit. 're-combine the elements of,' 're-form,' 'fashion afresh.' Cp. Or. in funere Pulch. p. 877 (Migne) тô̂тo $\gamma \dot{\text { á }}$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ фט́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s \dot{\eta}_{\mu} \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \alpha \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i \omega \sigma \iota s$.
 $\phi \omega \sigma \iota s, \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{d} \theta \in \sigma \iota s, \mu \epsilon \tau a \beta o \lambda \dot{\eta}, \mu \in \tau \alpha-$

$\sigma$ tolरeicots are all used by Gr. to denote the restoration of human nature by Christ. Elsewhere Gr. applies the term $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \sigma$ os to this restoration. See Or. Cat. c. 37

ib. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\delta} \dot{\gamma} \kappa \lambda$.] The sentence is broken by a parenthesis $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ and resumed by $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ oîv. The apodosis begins with סià тồto.
ib. $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \iota s]$ For the idea cp . de






 ย̇кєìvo каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ dıavoías $\dot{\eta} \kappa i \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$. See also note on ג̀ д́́крабіs c. 6.
4. $\psi v \chi \hat{n}]$ not used here in its N.T. sense, but as a general term for the higher faculties included in $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu 0 \eta \tau \delta \nu$





 Өávaтos є̇к т $\hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \rho \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є่ $\pi \iota \beta о \lambda \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma$











 $\sigma \omega \mu a$ е $\|$ I5 каитทрьаı degh
5. $\delta \iota a \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ] The gen. defines Oávazos, 'death consisting in dissolution.'
6. $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \ldots \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$.] 'resulting from.'
7. $\tau \grave{o} \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \gamma \kappa$.] The soul is not composite like the body, and cannot be dissolved by death. Other remedies must therefore be provided for it.

Io. $\tau$ ò $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ фа́ $\rho \mu \alpha к о \nu]$ i.e. the influence of a virtuous life in remedying the disorder produced in man's nature by sin. The language of this and the following passages shows marked traces of the Platonic teaching on ка́ $\theta a \rho \sigma \iota s$. Gr. discusses the question of the purification of souls without any reference to the Christian doctrine of redemption, and, in this passage at least, seems to teach a purely moral improvement effected during the present life from
within by the practice of virtue, and in the after-life by a purificatory discipline. Such teaching must of course be modified by his subsequent language in this book on the effects of the Incarnation and their application through Sacraments. For a further treatment of the ка́ $\theta a \rho \sigma \iota s$ see cc. 26 and 35 (sub fins.). The influence of Origen may be traced throughout. See especially Orig. de Princ. ii $10.4-6$, iii $1.14-17$. For Plato's teaching see especially Gorg. 477-8, $5^{25}$, Prot. $3^{2}+\mathrm{B}$, Rep. ii 380, ix 59r etc.
12. $\tau \in \tau \alpha \mu i \epsilon v \tau \alpha l]$ The reading $\tau \alpha-$ $\mu \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau a l$ is a correction found in inferior mss. Tautev́єı here $=$ 'to lay up in store.' The perf. indicates that God has laid it up already, though it is to be applied after judgment.
15. тонаi ктл.] 'applications of


 $\chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma \quad$ àpp $\omega \sigma \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, ò тoîs $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \chi a v \nu o-$

 т $\bar{\varsigma} \kappa а к і ́ a \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i \not \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \cdot$ тоîs $\delta є ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о \iota \varsigma ~ i a \tau \rho \epsilon i ́ a ~$







$2 \pi \alpha \theta$ ovs $\tau . \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota 1$ vulg $\|+a \rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau$.] $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{f} \|$ § $\sigma \kappa v \theta \rho.] \tau \omega \nu$ $\sigma \kappa$. е \|| $\epsilon \pi \alpha \nu о \rho \theta$.] $\epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s \mathrm{fl}$ vulg $\|$ Io om $\tau \epsilon$ vulg $|\mid$ tas aкрох.] tovs

the knife and caustics, and bitter draughts of medicine.'
 This shews that Gr. is not thinking of a purgatory between death and the judgment, but of one which follows upon that judgment.
4. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ] See note c. 7 p. 39 .
ii. toîs $\mu$ èv ұauvotéposs] The thought of the painful discipline of the future acts as a deterrent to the 'more thoughtless.' By those who are 'more discerning' such discipline is believed to be remedial and restorative. Xâ̂vos, 'porous,' 'spongy,' hence 'empty,' 'frivolous.'
5. $\sigma \kappa v \theta \rho \omega \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \pi$.] The gen. is subjective, 'a correction consisting in stern methods.' Similarly Origen (c. Cels. vii 70) speaks of oi $\delta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu$ o $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ raîs $\pi \delta ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota$ кai oi $\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \iota \epsilon ̇ \pi i$
 таîs $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \iota s ~ \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
7. $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \omega \sigma \theta$.] 'we might be
brought to our senses and induced to flee from vice.'
9. ís $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] Such pains, like those inflicted by physicians, are remedial and beneficent in their aim. 'For just as those who remove by the intife or caustics moles and warts, which have come unnaturally upon the body, do not apply to him zehom they benefit a method of healing that is painless.' Similarly Origen says (c. Cels. v 15) "Otı $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oủ $\chi$ ès $\mu a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ v ~ \phi a \mu \epsilon \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{v} \rho ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-$




1+. ö $\sigma a]$ ' zohatever material exorescences become encrusted upon our souls wishen they have been rondered carnal throush participation in the body's sufferings.' For this sense of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \alpha \rho к о и ̀ \nu ~ c f . ~ T h e o p h a n e s ~ H o m . ~$ viii p. 269 (Migne) $\epsilon l$ tıs ö ${ }^{\prime}$ (Mos $\delta \iota$ ' ö ôov á $\pi о \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \omega \theta \epsilon i \eta ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ סıavoíq. On the words $\pi \hat{\omega} \rho o s, \pi \omega \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu$ see J. A.














 vulg || $6 \gamma_{\epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota d \|}{ }^{13} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi o i s$ vulg

Robinson Journal of Theol. Studies, iii 9 p. 8I ff.
ib. $\pi a \theta \eta \mu$.] Cp. supra коьн $\omega$ ía $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ката̀ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.

1-2. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \kappa . \tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \rho$.] Probably Gr. based this teaching, as Origen did before him, on I Cor. iii 13 .
3. $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon$ ] Luke v 3i. The words are also found with the variation ioqúovtes (see v.l. here) in Mk ii ${ }^{7} 7$, Mt ix 12.
6. $\sigma u \mu \phi$ vial ] Cp. antea c. 6.
7. $\mu \nu \rho \mu \eta \kappa i a s]$ 'There is the following scholium on this word in the margin of the mss $b$ and $e$. Iíá $\theta$ os $\tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\delta \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \operatorname{\tau ov} \sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau o s$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ रірєтац, ő $\mu \nu \rho \mu \eta к і а$ калєiтаи.


 $\pi \epsilon ф$ икvía à̉ $\tau \hat{\text {, }}$, о่ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ ойт


$\sigma \phi \dot{\delta} \delta \rho a \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta$ й $\lambda$. The word $\mu \nu \rho$ $\mu \eta к і a$ occurs also in de An. et Res. p. 56 (Migne), where the same scholium is found. 'The excision of a wart gizes a sharp sensation to the surface (of the body).'
ib. Є̇ாıфа́vєเà] 'surface.' Cp. c. 23 .
8. тò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \nu]$ An explanation of the pain experienced at the amputation of such bodily excrescences. The means by which such an unnatural growth affects the subject, to which it is attached, is a kind of sympathy. The man feels for the alien growth as if it were really part of himself. While $\epsilon \mu$ фuév denotes the physical attachment, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma i \sigma \chi \in \tau a \iota$ denotes the relation which it bears to the personality.
12. $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau$.] a paraphrase of Ps. xxaviii [xxxix] 12 LXX, where the phrases $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \xi$ as and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu 0 i \bar{s}$ $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \dot{a} \nu o \mu i a s$ occur.




 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ т $\hat{\varrho}$ бтохабر̣̂ $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \delta \iota a \nu o i ́ a s ~ v i \pi a ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau а \iota . ~ o v ̉ \kappa о v ̂ \nu ~$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ a т о \sigma к о т \omega ि \nu ~ \tau \eta ̄ \varsigma ~ \sigma o \phi i ́ a s ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{a} \nu$










 $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \iota \varsigma \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \circ \hat{v} \kappa a \lambda o \hat{v} \delta \iota a \mu a \rho \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$


I $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \pi o v$ dfg || $6 \tau \omega \nu \sigma \tau o \chi a \sigma \mu \omega \nu \mathrm{hnp} \tau 0 \nu \quad \sigma \tau o \chi a \sigma \mu o \nu \mathrm{l}^{1}$ vulg ||

 ${ }_{15-16} \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \nu \kappa . \tau . \iota \sigma \circ \nu \mathrm{l}$ vulg $\| 20$ тоע $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma$.] то $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma .1$ vulg $\| \nu \epsilon \nu \circ \sigma \eta-$ кvıау euth
 rooted connection with evil.' For $\epsilon \nu$ $\beta \dot{a} \theta \epsilon \iota$ ср. с. 7 тоís...̀̀ $\nu \beta \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \iota ~ к а \theta \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho$
 $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \iota s \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{a} \tau \eta \nu$.

4-5. $\tau \hat{\eta} \ldots \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ] is governed by $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ rov̂ ľou. It is as impossible to describe the pains of the future purification as it is to describe the future blessings which man hopes for.
9. $\mu$ ккро廿vхias] Such accusations against the Creator show 'a little mind.' Cp. с. $9 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \kappa \kappa о \psi \cup \chi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.
 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ aitias (bis).
13. öтı $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] The following passage as far as $\chi$ ढ́pav oủк є $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota$, p. 50 , is quoted in Euthymius Zigabenus P'an. Dogm. pt i tit. vi pp. 201 sq. (Migne).
20. $\dot{a} \gamma a \gamma . \ldots \nu \in \nu o \sigma.] \quad \dot{a} \gamma$. refers to God, $\nu \epsilon \nu 0 \sigma$. to man ( $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu)$. The text of Euth., in order to make the sense clearer, reads $\nu \in \nu о \sigma \eta к v i \alpha a \nu$ (sc. ті̀ $\nu \quad \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$. Kai $\nu \in \nu 0 \sigma .$, 'even when he was diseased.'
 бaб才aı; тò סè $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa a ̀ s ~ d ̀ \lambda \gamma \gamma \eta \delta o ́ v a s, ~ a i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{c}$


















 $\lambda \eta \phi \omega ́ s, \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ Sè aùtoסúva $\mu \iota \varsigma$ êv каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~ a ̀ \gamma a \theta o ̀ v ~$




I om $\delta \iota a \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu o \iota a s f l^{*}$ vulg $|\mid 9 \eta$ aı $\sigma \theta$.] om $\eta$ deghnp euth $1246 \|$ Iо $a \lambda \eta \theta$.

 $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota]$ desinit euth $\| 2$ I $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi \omega$ s hnp $\| 22$ a $\gamma a \theta o \nu$ ] $\epsilon \rho \gamma \sigma \nu$ a $\alpha a \theta o \nu$ I vulg $\|$ ${ }^{2} 3$ om $\tau \eta \nu$ l vulg $\| 2_{4}+\tau 0 \sigma v \nu \delta \rho$. fp $\| \beta$

[^28]```
\(\tau \grave{o}\) á \(\gamma a \theta\) ò \(\nu \dot{o} \rho \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota\).
    It. є่ \(\pi i \quad \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda\).] Cp. с. \(5 \dot{\epsilon} \pi i\)
roútors (note).
    16. \(\dot{a} \mu \in \tau \rho i \alpha \nu]\) 'countless number.'
    I8. \(\pi \rho \circ o \iota \mu\).] i.e. in c. I .
```













 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \phi \omega \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{o}$ бко́тоs，$\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$



 aंтє $\tau \tau \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ ф \rho o ́ \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma о ф o ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon ヒ ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau i ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \xi ~ a ̀ \rho \chi \eta ̂ s ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \nu а к \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ; ~ \tau i \nu \iota ~ \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$



 $\pi$ ov euth $12 \| 21{ }^{2} \tau \iota \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$ f $\tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu 1$ vulg

5．ảmò $\tau \rho \circ \pi \hat{\eta} s]$ Cp．c． 6 ảmò


6．$\epsilon i \mu \grave{\imath} \nu \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu]$ The natural development of man would have been $\delta i^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ in the direction of improvement．By his departure from good it became a progressive deterioration．

16．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a p \iota \theta \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l]$＇over against every kind of good there was set down the list of opposite cvils．＇

17－18．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu . . \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \tau$ ．］Here begins the apodosis．The acc．is the sub－ ject of the inf．$\dot{a} \nu \alpha_{\kappa} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ below．

18．фро⿱亠䒑𧰨 $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ ］＇practical wisdom，＇ ＇prudence．＇On ф $о$ óv $\sigma \iota s$ and $\sigma o \phi i ́ a$ see Lightfoot on Col．i so．

20．סià tivos］The following pas－ sage，as far as the words $\tau$ ó $\tau \epsilon \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \psi^{2} \chi \hat{\eta} s$ дсакрivetal in c． 16 ，is reproduced in Euth．Zig．P＇an． Dogm．pt i tit．vii pp．${ }^{21} 3 \mathrm{sq}$ ． （Migne）．














3 a $\pi о \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu 1$ vulg $\| \leqslant \epsilon \xi a \rho \chi \eta s$ I vulg $\| 6 \mu a \nu \theta a \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{e} \quad$ 9. 8 om
 ${ }^{1} 456| | \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \nu \eta \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ euth $\|$ II-12 $\tau \eta \nu \ldots a \nu \xi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu .$.


## Chaps. IX-XXXII. ON THE INCARNATION AND REDEMPTION.

9. In this and the two followint chapters Gr. discusses some of the objections urged against the method employed by God in the Incarnation. One such objection arises out of the alleged degradation to the Divine Nature involved in it. The submission to the processes of birth and growuth, the acceptance of the conditions of human life, and, finally, the dishonour attaching to the trial, death and burial of Christ, these, it is urged, were unworthy of God. In reply Gr. maintains that vice is the only deyradution. The Incarnation, in that it was marked by absolute freedom from contact with vice, was an exhibition of moral perfection (ка入óv).
 ception which is worthy of God.' $\mathrm{T} \hat{\omega} \nu \in i \rho \eta \mu$. depends on $\tau \iota$.

9-10. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s]$ The
sentence which follows is difficult. The text of Euth. is the result of an attempt to simplify the construction. The antecedent to $\delta \iota^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu$ is $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$, which refers to $\gamma \in \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \sigma \iota s$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \eta \kappa \tau \lambda$., these latter words being in loose apposition to $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\epsilon \in \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$. For a similar instance of a broken construction see c. I sub fin. with note.
10. oúx $\dot{o} \mu o i \omega s$ s $\ddot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon$ ] The subject is either the same as that of $\sigma v \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, 'he will not think the same' or impersonal 'the case will not be the same.'

10-1 I. $\left.\quad \tau \grave{\partial} \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho^{\prime} \neq \nu \tau . \dot{a}.\right]$ i.e. the doctrine of the Incarnation, which rests upon and is established by $\gamma \epsilon \in \nu \in \sigma \iota s \kappa \tau \lambda$., although these latter are likely at first to be a stumblingblock to the catechumen.
13. бикофалтia] $=$ 'false actusation.' $\Delta \iota к a \sigma \tau . ~ ' p l a c e ~ o f ~ j u d g m e n t . ' ~$
 $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau a \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \sigma \nu \mu \pi a \rho a \lambda a \mu \beta a \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ т $\hat{\omega} \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \not \omega$ d’ $\mu \beta \lambda v^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ठıà $\tau a ̀ \pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi a \rho a \delta^{\prime} \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．















I кає $\sigma \tau a v \rho o s]$ $\sigma \tau a v \rho o \nu($（om кaı）euth \｜$\theta a \nu a \tau o \nu$ euth \｜$\tau \eta \nu \ldots$

 ${ }^{13^{-1} 5} \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad a \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho \ldots \ldots \mu \iota \gamma \epsilon s \epsilon \sigma \tau$ ．$\tau$ ． є $\nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota o v$ om euth 1 et（exceptis $\epsilon \sigma \tau$ ．
 ehn $\|$ 1 $6 \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \omega 1^{*}$ vid vulg｜｜o $\tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho$ ］＋a ${ }^{2}$ deghnp $\| \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau a \iota$ en euth $25 \|$ ${ }_{17} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{fg}^{1}$

2．$\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta$ ．］＇when taken along with the revelation．＇
ib．á $\mu \beta \lambda$ и́vєt］＇blunt，＇＇zeveaken．＇ Мєкро廿их．ср．с． 8 и́ло̀ цикро廿ихіая．

3．Tò $\epsilon \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$ ］i．e．the Resurrec－ tion．

7－8．тòv 入oү．$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau$ ．］Ср．с． 7


8．aúrò тò ка入óv］See note c． 5 p .27 ．

10．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda \in \lambda o \gamma$ ．］The perf．is intensive，＇no one who has carefully
pondered the matter．＇
12．тò $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ какías $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau$ ós］＇that which is free from moral evil．＇

14．$\mu o i p a]$ For this periphrastic use of $\mu \hat{0} \rho \alpha$（ $=$ in numero．．．esse）cp．
 $\mu o i \rho q$ є́єєivó $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ ．Kara入．is a mere variation of phrase for the usual $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \sigma t a \iota$ which follows，while $\mu o i \rho q$ is followed by the equivalent $\chi$＇̀ $\rho q$ ．＇This is assuredly found to be good．＇
$\phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o ́ o \delta o \nu, ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ Өavátov $\pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho a \nu, \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa ~ \tau o \hat{v}$




 סоүнаті乡оутєs；

10．＇А $А \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ v, ~ ф \eta \sigma i, \kappa а \grave{\iota} \epsilon \dot{U} \pi \epsilon \rho i \gamma \rho a \pi \tau o \nu ~ \dot{\eta}$ à $\nu \theta \rho-$






I om $\tau 0 v$ vulg $\| 2 \eta \epsilon \iota$ ］om $\epsilon \iota$ n euth $25 \| \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ e om $\tau \alpha$
 $25 \| 5$ Tov．．．$\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \lambda a \gamma$ ．om $1^{*}$ vulg $\quad$ 10． $8 a \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \rho a \pi \tau$ ． $1^{* \text { vid }}$ euth $16 \pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$ $\gamma \rho a \pi \tau$ ．vulg｜｜ $10 \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ q $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \epsilon \nu$ r｜｜II $a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \omega] \epsilon \nu a \gamma \gamma$ ． $\mathrm{g}^{1} \epsilon \nu a \gamma \gamma \iota \omega$


6．$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon เ \nu o \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ ．］＇to be pitied for their folly．＇

10．A second objection is＇How can the finite contain the infinite？ How can the Divine Nature be contained within the limits of human nature？＇Gr．replies that a fallacy underlies such questions．The Divine Nature is not confined within human nature as though the latter were a vessel．Even the soul of man，whe． engaged in the movements of thought， ranges at will far beyond the limits of the body．The relations of the human and Divine natures may be illustrated by those of the flame and the wick．

8．＇A入入̀̀ $\kappa \tau \lambda$.$] The passage$ which follows is quoted by Leontius of Byzantium c．Nest．et Eutych． bk iii．See Galland Bibl．Vet． Patr．xii p． 699.
ib．$\epsilon \dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho i \gamma \rho a \pi \tau o \nu]$ The com－
mon text has $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \rho a \pi \tau o ́ v$ ，＇cir－ cumscribed．＇Eủnєрǐpantov means ＇easily circumscribed，＇＇narrow，＇ ＇small．＇Gr．uses the word in Hex．， proem p． $6_{+}$（Migne） $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \nu \\ \nu \\ \text { òizous } \tau \epsilon\end{gathered}$ каi єv̇тєрıүра́ттоıs тоіs $\dot{\rho} \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ．

IO．$\dot{a} \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \omega]$＇hoze the infinite could have been contained in the atom．＇
ib．tis roûtó $\phi$ ．］This passage is quoted by Theodoret Dial．ii（In－ confusus）p． 194 （Migne），to prove the two natures in Christ，although （ir．＇s purpose is quite different，and the words are intended to correct a false conception of the union of the two natures．

13．Ėvós $]$ For the separation of the prep．from the noun cp．c．II
 For the relations of soul and body see an interesting passage in Plo－ tinus Enn．4．3． 20 sq．，which Gr．













4 a ${ }^{2}$ ov $\left.\sigma a\right] \phi \theta a \nu o v \sigma a$ qr $\| \tau a \pi \lambda a \tau \eta$ euth 45 || $\left.5 \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \chi о \mu \epsilon \nu \eta\right] \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o-$
 $12 \chi \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \omega \nu$ q $\chi \omega \rho \iota \tau \iota \kappa \omega \nu \mathrm{r} \| \sigma \tau o \chi \alpha \sigma \mu \circ \nu]+\eta \mu \iota \nu \mathrm{l}$ vulg
may have had in his mind, as the illustration of the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \in \hat{\imath} o \nu$, which Gr. has employed, occurs in it.

1. ó $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ö $\gamma \kappa о$ ] $]$ The 'bulk' of the body is limited by its own particular parts and confined to them.
2. '̇фanतoîtal] 'but the soul by the movements of its thought deploys over the whole creation at will.' Gr. has probably in mind a passage of the Phaedrass of Plato $(2+6 \mathrm{~B}): \dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon$ -
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \quad \ddot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda о \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad a ̈ \lambda \lambda o l s \epsilon^{i} \delta \epsilon \sigma \iota$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho о \pi о \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \quad \tau \epsilon \quad \kappa \alpha i$

+. ̇̇ாィßaтє'vova] lit. 'setting foot on,' 'entering.' Cf. c. Eunom. i p. 292 (Migne) ínt $\rho \circ \rho \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ o u ̉$ $\phi 0 \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \dot{\partial} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta S \tau \hat{\eta} S \gamma \hat{\eta} S \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} S$

ib. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon]$ 'traversing the wide expanse of the world.' The nearest approach to this use of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ with the dat. is in a passage of the in Psalmos (ii + init.) where (ir. says roú ous $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ roís
$\nu o \eta \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$. The text of Euth. has the correction $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \eta$.
3. $\pi о \lambda v \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \sigma \sigma$ viv $\eta$ ] ' in its unwearsing purstuit of truth.' For $\pi o \lambda v \pi \rho a \gamma \mu o \nu \epsilon i \nu$ in this sense cp. Cyril Al. de Adorat. i p. 145
 $\tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ́ \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к a ́ \lambda \lambda o s . ~$
4. $\pi \in p \iota \nu o i q]$ 'is engraged in comprehending the wonders of the heavens.' IItpivola occurs in Plat. $A x$. 370 C, where it is similarly used of the comprehension of the wonders of the heavens and the processes of nature. For the phrase $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi$. $\gamma$ iv. cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 6 with Dr Mason's note.
 the appendage of the body.' 'Ефо入к. lit. 'a ship that is taken in tow.' Plotinus (de Pulchr. 54 E) similarly uses $\dot{\delta} \lambda \kappa \dot{\eta}$ of that which drags down the soul.

[^29]
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I．$\left.\dot{\omega} s \gamma^{\alpha} \rho\right]$ The illustration of the flame and the wick which follows has been criticized as a touch of un－ conscious Eutychianism．But the purpose of Gr．in using the illustra－ tion is simply to show that the flame is inseparably connected with the wick and yet is not enclosed in it． Any further parallelism is foreign to his intention．
2．ن́moкє $\mu \mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ］＇the material supplied to it＇i．e．for the flame to feed upon．
ib．$\pi \epsilon \rho \delta \delta \varepsilon \delta \rho$ ．］Ср．с． 6 p． 36.
3．入ózos $\delta$ lak $\rho$ ．］The distinction between the flame and the wick， which reason（ $\lambda$ 人 $\gamma o s$ ）makes，does not exist practically（ $\left.{ }^{( } \rho \gamma \gamma \varphi\right)$ ，as it is not possible to exhibit the flame separate from the wick．
7．｀оӥтш каі є̇тi тoútov］The text is in some confusion here．The reading adopted most easily explains the origin of the variations．Gr． begins to apply the comparison in the words oüт $\kappa$ каi $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ i $\tau$ ótov，and then introduces a parenthesis kai $\mu o \iota \ldots . . . \dot{d} \pi о \pi о$ оєi $\sigma \theta \omega$ to safeguard his illustration from being misconceived， afterwards resuming the main sen－

ib．Tò $\phi \theta a \rho \tau \iota \kappa \dot{b} y$ ］Gr．guards against any material conceptions
which may be associated with his illustration，such as may arise from the perishable character of the flame． His readers are to reject what is incongruous in the illustration．For $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \mu \phi$ aìvo see c．I p．io（note）． ＇Aтотоєї $\sigma \theta a \iota=$＇reject＇ is found in Job viii 20 （LXX）and in other passages of the same book．
 clinging to that which is supplied to it and not included in the material．＇ ＇$巨 \xi \eta \mu \mu$ ．is variously rendered in the different versions．Zinus，the Latin translator of Euthymius，renders ＇flammam attingere subjectam ma－ teriam．＇Similarly Hervetus＇quae subjectum attingit et apprehendit．＇ Fronto Ducaeus suggests two ren－ derings：（I）conjungi et dependere， which is adopted by Krab．；（2）ac－ cendi，which yields the sense＇quae ex subjecta materia accensa est．＇ The justification for this second rendering is the preceding phrase $\tau \eta \grave{\nu} \tau o ̀ \pi \hat{\nu} \rho \epsilon \xi \bar{\xi} \alpha \pi \tau o v \sigma a \nu$ ü $\lambda \eta \nu$ ．But the absence of a preposition with тov̂ úmoкєєнѐvov is against it．The rendering given aloove suits the context and the general sense of
 which Gr．has referred to supra as
















 $\theta \epsilon i ́ o u ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu ~ \sigma v \nu i \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \chi \omega \rho o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu$. ì $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$







2. $\theta \in i a s$ ф $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega s]$ The absence of the article emphasizes the force of the adj. 'a nature which is Divine.'
3. $\theta \in o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta}$ ס'ávotav] 'the right ant proper thought of Goot.'
11. To the objection' In what manner is the Godhead wnitei to the manhood?' Gr. replies that man does not knozi how in his own nature the soul is united to the flesh. The fact of the union of the Godhead and the manhood in Clhrist is attested by miracles, but the manner. is inscrutable.
10. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o ́ s]$ For the separation from the noun cp. c. 10 p. 54 , note.
 the direction of greater majesty,' ' as possessing sreater majesty.'
16. àvакра́бєढs] Cp.supraката-
 бıvavaкра́бєсs. On the use of such terms with reference to the Incarnation see Petavius de Inc. iii 2 , and cp. Mason Fize Or. of Greg. Naz. pp. IO3, 112.
17. ou' $\chi \omega \rho o \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ] 'we are not capable of perciaining.'
18. $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ i $\sigma \tau 0 \rho$.] (ir. rests his argument for the union of the Godhead and manhood in Christ on facts. It is attested by the miracles recorded.
 ov̉ס̀̀ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \eta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \kappa а \grave{~ \nu о \eta \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \kappa \tau i ́ \sigma \iota \nu}$




 à $\nu \in \rho \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \cup \tau о \nu$.










$2 \kappa \tau \tau \sigma \nu]] \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ vulg $\|+\pi \omega s]$ o $\pi \omega s$ vulg $\| 6$ om $\tau o \nu$ vulg 12. 9 фave-
 бкол. d

1. ' ' $\phi 0 \delta o \nu]=$ 'method.' $\quad$ Cp. life of Christ. His activities reveal Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 7 єi̋mep ö入als

2. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \xi \in \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta$.] 'along with our faith in these truths we do not combine an enquiry into the source and manner.'

 morivis (note). Here the word has a somewhat more unfavourable sense. 'Accopting the fact that it zulds created, wed put aside all curious enquiry into the manner in which the universe wals framed.' 'Cp. Cyr. Al. in Isai. xiv p. $96+$ (Migne)
 $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu$ оирбои́ $\mu є \nu$ а.
3. The fact that God has become man is attested by the evidence of Divine power shown in the earthly
wonders no less than those which in Creation point to the creative and upholding power of God.
4. $\theta \epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu \quad \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma a \rho \kappa i \quad \pi \epsilon \phi$.$] I Tim.$ iii 16 .
 Oiкоуоиiar are 'the orderly dispositions' made by God in the Universe. Evं $\in \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma i a l$ are 'the exhibitions of beneficence' shown in the provision for the needs of His creatures. The $\theta a v ́ \mu a \tau a$ to which Gr. appeals exhibit the moral character and goodness of God. They are $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ in the sense of St John.
${ }^{15}$. $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa є і$ í大al] Cp. antea, prol.


17-18. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ тoû...фav.] 'in the case of God manifested to us by means of the flesh.' Another possible














 $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ ；

13．＇А入入র́，$\phi \eta \sigma i ́, ~ \gamma e ́ v \nu \eta \sigma i ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а і ̈ ~ Ө a ́ v a t o s ~ i ̌ \delta ı o \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$
 $\gamma a \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ e $\| \epsilon \pi \iota \beta a \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ fg ${ }^{1} 1$ є $\epsilon \iota \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon v \epsilon \iota \nu$ vulg $\| ~ o \mu . \epsilon \pi$ ．f \｜ 9 кає $\tau \omega \nu$ ］ om kal 1 vulg $\| \tau o \nu a \epsilon \rho a]$ om $\tau o \nu \mathrm{f} \|$ II $\phi \theta$ opas］$\delta \iota a \phi \theta o \rho a s \mathrm{~g}^{1} \| 12$ om $\tau 0 v \tau \omega \nu$


rendering is to take $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \sigma а \rho \kappa$ ós in the sense in which it occurs below $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \delta i \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \zeta \omega \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon i \lambda \eta \chi \partial \sigma \iota$. The language is intended to recall the words of St Paul with which the chapter begins．

2．toîs iotopn $\theta$ ．］＇marking by means of His recorded works all the charaiteristic qualities of the Divine Nature．＇
 тoû $\zeta \omega o \pi o \iota o \hat{\nu} \tau o s \dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \phi a \mu a \rho \tau \grave{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$ jwク̂s．Here it is used with a more general reference．The illus－ trations chosen by Gir．are intended to show that in the Incarnation there was exhibited a creative，sustaining activity like that to which Creation witnesses．He also hints at its redemptive purpose（ $\pi \alpha \rho a \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon i \sigma a \nu$
$\ldots \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \dot{v} \sigma(\nu \ldots \epsilon \dot{\pi} a \nu \alpha \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \iota \nu)$ and especi－ ally emphasizes the mastery over death and corruption．

I． 4 ．$\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o \nu \tau 0]$ Пapaर $\rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ ， $=$ praescriptio，＇a legal exception，＇ ＇demurrer．＇＇Would have taken ex－ ception to．＇

13．If it be objected that His birth and death show that IIe was limited by the conditions of human nature，we may reply that，while Christ was subject to the conditions of human nature，He also transcended them．He was born，but Mis birth zas of a Virgin；He died，but His acath was followed by His Resurrec－ tion．These facts show that He was more than man．
 objector is to show that Christ was














I $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ dghnp euth $\| 6 \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma$ ts dghnp $\| 8 \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ dghnp $\mid$｜ 9 a $a \epsilon$－
 то $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \mathrm{f} \|$ I 3 то $\mu \eta$ ］$+\delta \iota$ o o ov euth $|\mid \phi v \sigma \nu$ ］quae sequuntur desunt in euth 5
merely man，because He shared in the characteristic limitations of our nature，i．e．birth and death．
3．коид́тทтa］There were cir－ cumstances accompanying the birth and death of Christ，which could not be brought within the common experiences of mankind，i．e．the Virgin－birth and the Resurrection．

3－4．غ̀к人́ $\tau \epsilon \rho a \ldots \pi \epsilon$ ．．．$\rho a \tau \alpha]$＇looking to either extremity of our human life．＇For similar language cp．c． 27 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \dot{o} 0 \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \omega$－ $\theta \epsilon \nu \quad \delta \iota \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \mathrm{~s}$ ，тò катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\phi \eta \mu \iota$ каі то̀ тє $\overline{\text { 人 }}$ os．

5．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta$ ous］In this passage $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta$ os is used in two distinct senses，and it is not until c．i6 that Gr．clears up the ambiguity involved in the word． As applied to birth，the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta o s$ to which he refers is properly the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta$ os of the parent（see $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \dot{\eta}$ below）and denotes＇passion．＇As applied to death it implies imperfection，frailty， weakness，exhibited in the submis－ sion to $\phi \theta o \rho a ́$ ．

6．$\sigma v \nu a \pi a \rho \tau i \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota]$＇brings his
life to a close＇i．e．by the $\pi \dot{d} \theta$ os of death involving $\phi \theta$ opá（see infra）．
ib．Є̇кє̂ $\delta \dot{\iota}]$ In Christ each of the $\pi \epsilon$ fara（which in human life are attended by a $\pi \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta o s$ ）presented a display of Divine power．For they were free from any exhibition of $\pi \alpha ́ \theta o s$. His birth was not preceded by $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \dot{\eta}$, nor was $H i s$ death ac－ companied by $\phi \theta$ opá．

9．$\phi$ Oopá］Cf．P＇s．xv ro，Acts ii 10．The word $\delta \iota a \phi \theta 0 \rho \alpha$ which is found in both those passages occurs infra．

10．$\chi \alpha i \rho \omega]$ The incredulity of his hearers Gr．regards as a testimony to the supernatural character of the events．And it is this which he is seeking to prove．
ih．óro入oүєis $\gamma$ á $\rho$ ］＇For you acknowledge that these wonderful czents are aboue nature，by the very reasons which lead you to consider． that the aciount surpasses belief．＇

12－13．тô̂ фадévtos］i．e．X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o u ̂$.
13．$\delta i \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$＇that the Gospel message does not proceed in a way















 $\nu \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta ́ \sigma a \tau o . ~ \epsilon i$ oर̂v $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ v ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ тò $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ v, ~ \delta u \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủt $\hat{\omega} \nu$ тoút $\omega \nu$ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \varsigma$ oủ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тò oút $\omega \varsigma$ aủ $\frac{1}{\nu} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a ̉ \pi i ́ \theta a \nu o \nu . ~ o ́ ~$





that follows the order of nature．＇Tò $\kappa \dot{\eta} \rho v \gamma \mu a$ is here used to denote the facts which formed the substance of the preaching．Cp．I Cor．ii 4 ．

10．$\gamma \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ ］＇in the manner of his origin and in the fact that he was incapable of a change to corrup－ tion．＇Gr．appears to use $\gamma \in \dot{\nu} \in \sigma \iota$ and $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ almost as interchange－ able terms．In the present passage $\gamma^{\in \nu \in \sigma \iota s}$ is certainly correct，as the grouping of the MSS shows．It
occurs again，without any variations， in cc． $16,27$.

12．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ tò $\begin{gathered}\text { éT } \tau \rho \circ \mathrm{L}] \text {＇it would be }\end{gathered}$ well，consistently with these facts （кат⿳亠口冋 тd $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o ́ \lambda o v \theta o \nu)$ ，to exhibit in－ credulity in the opposite direction and refuse to think that He was an ordinary man like the other men who are produced in the course of nature．＇$\Delta \epsilon \iota \kappa$ ．is used here like а̇тобєєк．

15－16．ó．．．iбт．］Mt i，Lk ii．








14. 'Tís oîv aitía, $\phi \eta \sigma i$, то̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau i ̀ \nu ~ \tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \tau \eta \tau а ~$

 $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a, \tau o ̀ ~ \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ бóछav каi $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau a$,

 ${ }^{15} \sigma v \nu \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.

I $\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \nu o v 1$ vulg $\|+\tau о \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu$. кає то $\tau \epsilon \theta$. vulg $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega s$ (om $\tau о$
 $\theta a \nu.] \tau \eta \nu$ a $\nu a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ e $\| 7 \epsilon \nu \tau o s]+\pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta$ euth $\|$ om $\epsilon \nu \tau o \iota s$ e $\| 8$ om $\tau \eta \nu$ vulg $\| \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu$. degh 14. $9 \eta$ aıт८a euth $\| \mathrm{I} 3 \lambda v \theta \rho \omega] \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \epsilon \lambda v \tau \rho \omega$ l vulg
I. $\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon v i a s]$ ' $a$ state of virginity.' Cp. c. Eunom. iv p. 628 (Migne) II $\hat{s}$ s oû̀ $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\theta} \eta \eta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \sigma a \rho \kappa i$ $\dot{o}$ $\theta$ єós; $\Delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o ́ к о и, ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega s ~ \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \epsilon i ̂ s . ~$ Hoiov oû $\frac{\text { coúrov } \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon i s ; ~ \eta}{\eta} \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ öт८ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \nu i a s, \kappa \alpha i$ öть $\tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a u ̛ r \hat{\eta}$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ є́к $\pi \nu \epsilon \cup ́ \mu a \tau o s$ áriov $\hat{\eta} \nu$;
7. oú $\left.\delta \grave{\epsilon} . . . \epsilon_{\nu}^{\nu} \tau o ́ s\right]$ In this way Gr. sums up his answer not only to the objection stated at the beginning of this chapter, but also to that put forward at the beginning of c. Io, i.e. that the Incarnation involved an 'inclusion' of the Godhead in human nature.
14. For what purpose, it is asked, did God submit to the humiluation involved in becoming man?

10-1I. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i \beta o \lambda o \nu ~ \epsilon i \nu a \iota . . . \epsilon i]$ ' Faith wavers at the thought that.'
13. $\lambda \dot{v} \theta \rho \omega]$ The reading $\epsilon \dot{u} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\prime} \tau \rho \varphi$, 'the mean covering,' is a gloss which first appears in the thirteenth century ms $l$. It arose from the desire to soften the harsh expression $\lambda \dot{v} \theta \rho \varphi$, 'the defilement' of human nature. The word $\epsilon \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ was probably suggested by the following $\sigma v \nu \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \lambda i j \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$. Aú $\theta \rho o \nu$ or $\lambda \dot{v} \theta \rho o s$ is used in Homer of mingled blood and dust. Here the term is probably used by the objector with a disparaging reference to the human birth, and recalls the 'Non horruisti' of the $T e$
 $\xi i a \mathrm{cp} . \operatorname{supra}$ c. $11 \dot{\alpha} \nu a \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ (note).
ih. is каi] 'So that His sublime activities are degraded by His association with that which is base.'

















15. I om кає f vulg || $2 \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \theta a \iota$ vulg || .\} $\gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu a s \mathrm{fl}$ euth 146 $\alpha \pi о$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu a s$ vulg $\|+\epsilon \pi \imath \gamma \nu$.] $+\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{f} \| 4^{-5}$ ovк...єХоcs] om h $\| 5$ om $\epsilon v$
 12 aфa $\mu$.] $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega \nu \mathrm{f} \epsilon \phi a \mu .1^{*}{ }^{\text {vil }}$ vulg $\| \mathrm{I}+\epsilon \chi \rho \eta \zeta \epsilon \ldots \pi a \rho o v \sigma \iota a s$ om $\mathrm{h} \| \pi a \rho o v$ -

15. The cause of the Incarnation zuas God's loze for man. Man's zuretched condition was a sufficient justification for the Divine condescinsion. 'But,' it is objected, 'why not restore man by a mere fuat?' 7his last question Gr. does not properly deal with till $c$. I $_{7}$, but meanwhile he affirms that there was nothing contrary to the character of God in the method chosen, nor anything inconsistent with the Divine Nature in the nature which He assumed.
+. $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma i a s]$ Cp. c. 12 tàs $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma i a s$ тàs $\theta \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \nu$ катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \zeta \omega \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \quad 0 \mu \notin \nu a s$.
ib. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi o i \omega \nu]$ Gr. claims that nothing short of a revelation of God
in His goodness is adequate, if man is to know the essential nature of God. In c. 20 he maintains the necessity of the co-existence of justice, wisdom, and power in God in order that His goodness may be perfect.
8. $\dot{\eta} \phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \rho \omega \pi i a]$ Tit. iii + . Cp. adv. Apollinar. xlii $\Lambda$ єimєтal $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ö $\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{ٌ}{\alpha} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \omega \pi i a s$
 Өєò̀ oíєбӨal. Cp. infra c. $3^{\text {б́. }}$

 away from,' 'desert.'
15. ка $\kappa \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ os] For the use of ка日. without a prep. ср. de An. et Kes. p. 21 (Migne) $\dot{\text { ó оікібкц } \tau \iota \nu \grave{l}) ~}$ $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma \mu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \circ$ о.



 5 Sıaرє̂̂vaı. ó $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \tau \hat{\varrho} \beta$ ßov $\eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota \tau o ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~ \sigma v \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \kappa a \grave{~}$











 II $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \omega \nu \mathrm{dp}$

1. ảvá $\iota \iota a]$ Cp. c. $36^{\text {"I } I \delta \iota \nu} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$.
ib. $\delta v \sigma \omega \pi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota]$ depends on $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \xi \iota a$, 'unworthy to importune.' $\Delta v \sigma \omega \pi \epsilon i \nu$ lit. means 'to put a man out of countenance.'
ib. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \iota \nu]$ used in the Bible sense of a 'visitation of mercy or redemption.' Cf. Lk i 68, vii 16 , Acts' $x$ v if. The use of the expression $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\epsilon \pi i \sigma к є \psi \iota \nu . . . к а \tau а \beta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~}$ here is probably a reminiscence of the account of the Exodus. See Ex. iii 8; iv 31 (LXX).
2. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \nu\right]$ The objector asks ' why did not God restore man by a mere fiat, instead of choosing a method which involved the submission to $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$ and the long delay required for passing through the stages of human birth, growth, death, and resurrection?' In reply Gr. first
of all deals with the charge that God was involved in $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$ by the Incarnation. This he treats of in the present chapter and in c. 16. The question why God did not choose a different method he deals with in c. 17 .
3. aủ $\theta \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s]$ 'authoritative.' Cf. Clem. Alex. Strom. i c. 7 'Eà $\nu$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon$ каi $\alpha \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$
 similarly deals with the question why God did not restore man $\nu \in \cup ́ \mu a \tau \iota ~ \mu o ́ \nu \varphi ~ d e ~ I n c . ~+4 . ~ O r i g e n ~ a l s o ~$ discusses the objection in c. Cels. iv 3,4 .
4. évavtias] i.e. the Devil.
5. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ obovs] 'circuitous routes' rather than 'long periods of time.' For other instances of this use see cc. $17,26$.
6. Gavátov $\gamma \in v o ́ \mu \in \nu o s]$ Heb. ii 9 .

 そŋтоv́vт $\omega \nu$ тov̂ $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ o v ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu . ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o u ̂ \nu, ~$











 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ кататрє́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ท̀ $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ т $\bar{\varsigma} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma, ~ \grave{\omega} \varsigma$ à $\nu a ́ \rho \mu о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~$






 19 $\epsilon \iota \tau \iota] \circ \tau \iota g^{1} \| v \psi \eta \lambda$. $\left.\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu\right]$ om $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \mathrm{f} \|$ каı ovoua] hic rursus incipit euth 5
7. $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{s}]$ 'by exact en- Incarnation as involving a degradaquiry.' Careful students of the Christian revelation ( $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho i o v$ ) will need 'a rational account' ( $\lambda 6$ bov) of its methorl. Muvaípiov is used as elsewhere in its N.T. sense $=$ 'a mystery revealed,' and is a synonym for the Christian revelation.
8. ̇̇̀ roîs $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \sigma \nu \nu]$ Gr. has already drawn in cc. 5-8 the distinction which he proceeds to lay down. But he is probably thinking here of c. 9 where he has laid down the lines of his answer to the objection raised against the tion to God. In that chapter he maintained that тò кат̀̀ какіа $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta o s$ is the only real degradation. It is this point which he now takes up. Vice, and nothing but vice, is the opposite of virtue.
9. idiws] 'but properly those things which are perceived to be their exact opposites.'
10. غ̇ $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \in \epsilon \epsilon]$ 'set forth.' Cp. Lucian Pisc. 23 市 $\tau \grave{a}$ бєautồ
 For катatр $\bar{\chi} \epsilon t \nu$ cf. antea c. 5.
 p. 10 (note).

тò èvavtion $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa$ évaı $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$. єi oử $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ ̀ ~ \nu ~$












2. $\phi \dot{v} \sigma$ ss] used here as the equivalent to $\kappa \tau i \sigma \iota s$, which occurs above. Cf. antea c. 6 т $\hat{s}$ s тồ крєítrodos $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ (note). 'And no existing thing of any kind is logically opposed to virtue, but only vice.' For àvtıбıaıреî $\theta$ aı cf. c. 6 p. 33 (note).
 not His nature (or 'it is not possible for Him') to be born.'
7. a' $\psi a \sigma \theta a l]$ 'laid hold of' or 'assumed human nature.' Ср. с. 16 テivos... $\grave{\eta} \phi \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \theta \in i ̂ o \nu ;$
ib. ouv $\delta \epsilon \mu \mathrm{a} s$ s] 'seeing that in the constitution of man there is nothing which is contradictory to the conception of virtue.' There is nothing in the constitution of human nature which is inconsistent with the idea of virtue, and which is therefore
 could not assume it.
9. rò 入oүıкóv] 'rational thought, nor the faculty of understanding, nor the capacity for exact knowledge.' For

II. ovoias] For this use of the word cp. antea c. 6 p. 32 (note).
16. 'But,' it is objected, 'the change involved in human birth is a $\pi \dot{\alpha}$ Oos.' Gr. in reply draws a distinction between a right and a
wrong use of the zuord $\pi \dot{d} \theta$ os. Properly the word can only be used of moral declension, not of natural processes. The contact of God with human nature no more involved submission to real $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta$ os than does the contact of a physician with the ailments of his patients. The birth of Christ was frce from that element of passion which attends human birth, just as His life was free from that vicious impulse which we find in man. The dissolution of body and soul zuas no more a $\pi \dot{\alpha}$ Oos than was their first combination. Christ's liesurrection was the re-combination in an indissoluble and eternal union of the intelligible and sensible elements separated in death. In virtue of this He becomes the originating principle for all mankind of the same cternal union of the elements of human nature, freed from the admixture of evil.
12. $\tau \rho 0 \pi \dot{\eta}]$ 'change experienced by our body.' 'T $\rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$ is the mutability attaching to all created things as contrasted with the immutability of the Creator. The process of birth implies 'change.' In a secondary sense $\tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$ often has the meaning of moral change or frailty.


 $\pi a ́ \theta o v s ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \delta \iota o \rho i \zeta o \nu \tau a \iota . ~ \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \rho o ̀ \rho ~ \tau а \hat{v} \tau a ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$








1．$\pi a \dot{a} \theta o s]$ The word has several distinct shades of meaning．It is thus defined by Aristotle，Metapleys．


 каї $\pi \iota к \rho о ́ \nu, ~ к а і ~ \beta а р и ́ т \eta s ~ к а і ~ к о и ф о ́ т \eta s ~$

 è $\tau \iota \tau$ тoút $\omega \nu$ мâ入入ò ai $\beta \lambda a \beta \epsilon p a i \dot{a} \lambda$－
 $\lambda v \pi \eta \rho a i \quad \beta \lambda \alpha ́ \beta a \iota$ ．$\epsilon \tau \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \alpha a i \lambda v \pi \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \theta \eta$ 入є́ $\epsilon \epsilon \tau a \iota$. It is this ambiguity upon which Gr． lays hold．He distinguishes between a＇proper＇（кupiшs）and a＇mis－ applied＇（ $\dot{\kappa \kappa к а т а \chi \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega s) ~ u s e ~ o f ~ t h e ~}$ word．In the＇proper sense＇i．e． moral declension，the Incarnation， he holds，cannot have involved $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta o s$ ， because Christ had no contact with sin，either in the circumstances of His birth or in His own life．The question arises，how does Gr．face the objection arising from the other sense of $\pi \dot{a} \theta o s$ ，which is plainly implied in the argument that $\dot{\eta} \tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$ тoù $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho o v \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$ is a $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta$ os？It would seem that Gr．＇s illustration of the physician is intended to meet this objection．The Divine Nature， though brought into touch with human nature，was no more subject to a $\pi a \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \delta \dot{L}^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ than is the physician who handles the infirmity
of his patient．Gr．has the same discussion on má $\theta o s$ and the same illustration of the physician in c．Eunom．vi 72 I B，C， $72+\mathrm{B}$ （Migne）．It is difficult to render $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta$ os in English，as there is no one term which conveys the different senses of the word．The trans－ lation in $N$ ．and $P . N . F$ ．has ＇weakness．＇Another rendering is＇passion，＇which is used in a moral sense and also of the physical sufferings of Christ，though it does not suit the description of the process of birth．See further note c． 13 p． 60.
＋．$\delta$ oopi Sovital］$^{2}$ is part of the language of the objector and refers to the upholders of the Christian faith．

6－7．тò．．．$\pi \rho \circ \alpha \iota \rho . \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \dot{o} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu]$＇that which lays hold of the will．＇Сp． äభaб $\theta$ at c． 15 ．
 mopєvouє́vŋs is plainly a corruption， while $\pi$ торє $\quad \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ is a correction due to the failure to understand the construction of the passage．The word $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi ু \delta \iota \kappa \omega ิ s$ goes with $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \tau a l$ ． For the cast of the whole sentence ö $\sigma o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \phi \dot{\gamma} \sigma \epsilon l \ldots \delta \iota \epsilon \xi ু \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}-$ $\tau \alpha \iota$ cp．с．ı $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha$ ár $\varphi$［i．e．$\tau \hat{\omega} \kappa \kappa ́ \sigma \mu \varphi$ ］
 $\mu \epsilon \nu a$ ．In such passages $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \sigma \theta \theta a$ （like ката入а $\mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota)$ is a mere






 бàp èv тoîs àmŋ






 $\epsilon \xi$ ૬̆ovtos f
synonym for $\epsilon i v a l$. The words $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{a}$
 planatory clause attached to $\tau \hat{\eta} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota$. For similarly constructed clauses see c. ${ }^{2}+\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots \ldots \alpha \dot{\theta}$ обоs $\pi \epsilon \rho เ o v \sigma i a$
 $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ к \omega \lambda v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$, and c. 37

 кра $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ бv $\quad \eta \chi \rho \epsilon i \omega \tau a l$. Grr. has the same idea in c. Eutnom. vi p. 72I (Migne) oủdè кupíws ä̀ $\tau \iota s ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$



ib. $\left.\delta \iota \epsilon \xi^{\circ} \circ \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s\right]$ lit. 'in successive detail.' 'Everything that successively occurs in nature, as the latter procieds in its own proper sequence, would more strictly be called an action than a "passion"."

2-3. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \rho \rho \ldots \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s]$ The emendation adopted in the text best explains the readings of the mss. The omission of $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ before $\tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$ in $f$ and the text of Euthymius is plainly a correction. For the whole expression cp. de An. et R'es. p. 1+1



 $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ a $\pi$ od $\dot{\eta} \xi \bar{\eta}$. 'The permanence of the subject through the influx and effux of nourishment.' Gr. again refers to the process of nutrition and growth in c. 37 (see notes). See further the interesting discussion in de Hom. Opif. c. 27.
6. $\grave{\eta} \phi \theta a \iota$ ] Ср. с. I $_{5}$ p. 66 ä $\psi$ $a \sigma \theta a \iota$ (note).
7. кıข $\dot{\mu} \mu a \tau o s]$ i.e. what he has previously defined as ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \gamma^{\circ}$, including the natural processes of birth, growth, ©ic.
8. тoîs à $\pi \eta \gamma \circ \rho$.] Cp. c. $8 \underset{\epsilon}{v}$
 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \iota$ ă $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$. (ir. explains his meaning below, when he shows that as Christ's birth was free from $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta}$ $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \theta o s$, so His life was free from $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ к а к i a \nu ~ \dot{o} \rho \mu \dot{\eta}$.
10. $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi$ گ́óvtos] 'relating',' 'recounting.'
11. $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \hat{\eta} \phi \theta a l]$ a somewhat stronger form of $\dot{\eta} \phi \theta a l$ above.
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1. $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu(a ̂ s]$ 'Since in our conceptions of God no disposition to "passion" enters alons with our belief.' When we say that (iod became man, we do not imply that the Godhead was subject to the vicissitudes of birth, growth, death. Gr. illustrates this by the case of the physician.
2. Tòv iat oóv] Cp . the passage referred to on p. 67 from c. Eitnom. vi p. $72+$ (Migne).
3. $\epsilon i \quad \dot{\eta} \quad \gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma(s)$ The protasis is resumed in the clauses beginning ơ $\delta^{\prime}$ ă $\nu\left(\right.$ for $\left.\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} a^{a} \nu\right)-\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau o ̀ \kappa$ к. $\dot{\eta}$.
$\pi$. $-\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$ (unless we assume that this is a parenthesis)- $\epsilon i$ oviv. The apodosis begins with $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} o v$.
[3-14. $\tau \grave{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma . \mu$.] । Tim. iii 16 .
4. $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon$ ] In what follows Gr. maintains that the arguments which make the term $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta$ os inapplicable to the human birth, also make it inapplicable to the dissolution of the body and soul in death.
 the imaginary objector. The text has been corrected by the insertion of $\tau \iota s$, in some mss before $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, in others before $\delta \iota a ́ \xi \epsilon u \xi \iota \nu$.







 $\phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{S} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota, \delta i$ ìs $\eta_{\eta} \tau \epsilon \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$









1 $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho a \sigma \epsilon \iota$ fgl ${ }^{1} \| 2{ }^{2} \eta \eta^{2} \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ ] e Krab. conjectura textum restitui




2. $\ddot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ] The text, which is a conjecture of Krabinger, explains the origin of the various corruptions found in all the mss. See app. crit.
 The common text (as also Krab.) reads катанiх $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau о s$ and inserts a comma after $\nu 0 \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu$.
12. $\tau о \hat{v}$ à. $\sigma \cup \gamma к \rho i \mu a \tau o s] ~ d e p e n d s$ on тò aíबӨךтòv...каi тò voєpóv, 'the sensible and the intelligible element belonging to concrete human nature.'

I3. бuvavaк, $\alpha \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ] Cp. antea c. II p. 57 áváкрабıs (note).
ib. тov̂̃o] refers to тò...каi $\epsilon i s$ á $\epsilon i$ $\delta \iota a \mu \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu{ }^{\text {en }} \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, i.e. that the union once formed should also ( $\kappa a i$ ) be eternal. The subj. of oiкого$\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ is $\tau \dot{o} \nu \theta \epsilon \delta \nu$, already referred
to in катацсхөє́vтa. The purpose of the union of God and man in the Incarnation was to effect the eternal union of body and soul in mankind. That umion had been disturberl by the occurrence of death. The Divine Power, acting as a kind of cement ( каA $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \nu \nu \dot{i} \kappa o ́ \lambda \lambda \eta$ ), recombined the severed elements and restored to man his original grace of immortality.
16. ठıà $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ iठías $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \lambda о v \theta i a s] ~ I t ~$ might seem from these words as though Gr. held that death was natural to man. But as he has already stated in c. 8 that death was a later feature of human existence, he must be thinking of human nature as it now exists.
 $\delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ä $\rho \rho \eta \kappa \tau о \nu$ є́ $\nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \iota a \sigma \chi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ̀ \nu \sigma v \nu a \rho-$
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota a ̀ \lambda v \sigma \iota \nu$ émávo












 $8 \pi \epsilon \rho \ell \theta \rho \cup \phi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau=s 1$ vulg $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau 0 s$ defghnp $\|$ оо ка $\theta a \pi \epsilon \rho \delta \epsilon]$ ка $\theta$. $\gamma^{\alpha a} \operatorname{eg}^{1 \mathrm{~h}}| |{ }^{15}$ єavtou] avtov el vulg || 16 ovt $\omega$ ] ovtos f vulg

4-5. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda . \sigma v \mu \phi v^{\prime} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ] an additional clause agreeing with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon j^{〔} \epsilon v \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ and having a predicative force. 'The return, after dissolution, of eloments that had been united together, to an indissoluble union, so that they are knit tosether.'
8. $\pi \epsilon \mu \iota \rho \cup \phi \theta \dot{\prime} \nu \tau o s]$ The almost unanimous verdict of the Mss is in favour of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho \iota \phi \theta \in ́ \nu \tau o s$. As Gr. however in c. 8 has already used $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \theta \rho \dot{\psi} \psi a \iota$ in reference to the same illustration, Krabinger's conjecture $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho \cup \phi \theta \in ́ \nu \tau o s$ is probably right. The reading of $l$ and the Paris editors is a less correct way of spelling the word.
10. каӨámєр $\delta \epsilon \in$ ] Cp. Rom. v 15 , 1 Cor. xv 21 .
15. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a \tau \epsilon \rho \varphi]$ The Divine Power was united alike to the human soul
and the body of Christ at their first framing, i.e. from the moment of conception. It was the action of this same Divine I'ower which effected the reunion of His body and soul in the resurrection.

16 f. $\gamma \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \varphi \tau \tau \nu i \lambda \grave{\lambda} \gamma \varphi]$ Г $\epsilon \nu \iota \kappa$ ós is that which belongs to the $\gamma \in \nu o s$, 'generic,' as opposed to $\epsilon i \delta \kappa \kappa o ́ s$ 'specific.' The contrast is between the particular instance of a reunion of soul and body effected by Christ's Divine Power, i.e. His own resurrection, and the remion upon 'a more universal scale' of the intelligible and sensible elements exhibited in the resurrection of all mankind. Krabinger translates $\gamma \epsilon \nu$. $\lambda o \gamma \omega \omega^{\prime}$ generaliori quadam ratione,' following the Latin version of the Paris edition.

















r. ov́oial] For this sense of oúaia cp. antea c. 6.
r-2. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ] 'as the principle successfully makes its way in due sequence to the extremity.' 'H $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta$ ' is the new principle of life originated by Christ in His resurrection. This new principle pervades the whole of humanity to its furthest limits. For the relation of Gr.'s teaching to that of Methodius see Introd. pp. xxvxxviii.
3. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega]$ here used loosely for human nature. Strictly speaking the Son of God assumed not ' $a$ man' but human nature. The inaccuracy of the expression has led to the gloss $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \omega$ $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho i \mu a \tau \iota$. For óă $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ os used in this sense cp . Greg. Naz. Or. xxx 7 tò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ò̀
 $\nu 0 o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u ~ \mu \epsilon i j \omega \nu$, à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\epsilon} s \quad \mu \epsilon \nu$, oủ


 $\tau o \hat{u} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi$ ou $\tau \cup \pi o u ̂ \sigma \theta a l ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu . ~$ See Dr Mason's notes on both pas-
sages and in Introd. pp. xvii-xviii.
5. oiov] 'the union of what zoas disunited, as it were by some new principle, extends potentially in an equal degree to the whole of human
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu 0$ тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ápros $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \nu \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \iota \hat{\eta} \nu$.
7. кai тoûto] These words as
 $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ are quoted by Thendoret Dial. iii p. 300 (Migne).
12. $\mu \in \theta$ ó $\rho \iota o \nu]$ lit.: 'border country,' Lat. confinium. Hence used of a common ground or meeting-point, as here. In Christ life and death meet. He identified Himself with a nature liable to death and dissolution, and He became the source of life to it.
13. $\sigma \tau \eta$ 家as] 'staying our nature,' in the sense of arresting the process of dissolution ( $\delta \iota a \iota \rho o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu)$. Iluman nature is conceived of as something which was in danger of melting away. With the v.l. $\delta \iota a \iota \rho \in \theta \epsilon i \sigma a \nu$ we might translate, 'having set up' or 'reestablished' it when it had been dissolved.





 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu$ $\dot{\eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а т є \rho \gamma и ̆ \zeta є \tau а \iota, ~ т \iota к т о ́ \mu є \nu o ́ s ~ \tau є ~ к а і ̀ ~ т \rho є ф о ́ \mu є \nu о \varsigma, ~}$












 $\epsilon \nu$ avtc f \| 9 тovic 1 vulg $\| \mathrm{I}_{5} \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \quad \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{fl}$ vulg $\| 17 \eta \pi \rho \circ \phi$.] o $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ 1 vulg
17. Gr. noze returns to the objection stated in c. 15. 'Whyy did not God restore man by a mere fiat?' It is a sufficient reply, he anszvers, to those who are reasonable, to say that just as a patient does not dictate to his physician the treatment to be applied, or criticize the method of his curr, but, looking to the object in view, thankfully receives his attention, so we must look to the beneficent purpose of the Incarnation and azvait fuller light than we can receive in this life.

1. $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \nu]$ in the sense of 'submit,' 'suggest.'
2. є่ $\pi$ ' aut $\hat{\omega}]$ 'in his power.'
3. є́к $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ \delta o v]$ 'by a roundabout way.' Ср. с. I5 цакра̀s
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ \delta o u s$ (note), and c. 26. Gr. is thinking of the length of the process involved in tiктєб $\theta$ ai $\tau \epsilon \wedge a i \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$.
4. $\pi \epsilon i \rho a]$ 'the experience of death.'
5. $\epsilon \dot{\gamma} \nu \dot{\mu} \mu$.] 'zuell-disposed,' 'reasonable.'
6. $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta$ тєía] For the use of $\pi \rho \circ \phi$. in reference to the Psalms ср. c. 8. The reference is to Ps. xxx [xxxi] 20 (LXX) d̀s mo入̀̀

 'Since, as says the prophecy', the plentifulness of God's goodness benefits us in a hidden manner.'





及aìvouбav.







I $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota s] a \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ f $\| 3 \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \chi$.] $v \pi \epsilon \rho \chi$. vulg $\| 6 \pi \rho 0 \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a \nu 0 v \sigma \iota 1$
 $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu$. deghnp
3. ávau't $\epsilon t$ ] The subj. is $\tau \dot{\prime}$ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta о \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$, the whole passage $\hat{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ddot{\alpha}^{\nu} \ldots \mu o ́ \nu \eta s$ ó $\rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu a$ being a parenthesis.

5-6. каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \grave{\zeta \eta \tau}$.] 'to find for the questions before us a solution that is in accord with what has preceded.' The каi coordinates $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \dot{\zeta}$. with $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\pi \rho o \lambda \alpha \beta$. Instead of discussing any further the manner of the Incarnation Gr. proposes to show the beneficence of the end aimed at. In what follows he shows first of all its results as testified by facts, and then its harmony with current conceptions of God's attributes.
18. It is superfluous to criticise the manner of Christ's appearance, when we have the testimony of facts as to its effects. The cessation of heathen worship, oracles, and sacrifices, the disappearance of heathen altars, temples, and idols, the rising throughout the world of temples and altars to the name of Christ, the witness of Christion worship, and
the lives of Christian martyrs are evidences of the pozver of Christ's appearing. The Jewus, too, have a sign in the disappearance of their temple and its worship, and the desolation of Jernsalem.
9. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тapovoial] Cp. с. ig $\theta$ єías mapovaias. Other terms used by Gr. to denote the Incarnation are $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \delta \delta \eta$ uia (infra), $\theta \epsilon o \phi a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota a$ (infra), $\sigma v \gamma$ ката́ßабьs (с. 24) and оікороміа which occurs repeatedly.
il. $\dot{\omega}$ ovik] 'on the ground that it was not effected in the zory of what we think to be wisdom and of superior reason.' There is irony both in $\tau \iota \nu i$ and in $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ креiттov.
12. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o v ́ \sigma \eta s$ ] an allusion to the words of the preceding chapter:
 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ aủroîs $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к а \lambda \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu$ $\delta i a ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s \mu^{\prime} \nu \eta s \dot{o} \rho \omega{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu a$. Here Gr. maintains that even in the present life we can see from the evidence of facts the results of Christ's Incarnation.








 $\rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi a v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \grave{̀} \nu \tau \grave{\varrho} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa а \grave{\iota}$ го




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau v \gamma \chi a \nu o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ є่ $\pi \epsilon \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \tau \circ, \dot{\omega} \varsigma \epsilon \in \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ́ \pi \omega \nu$



 vulg || $\mathbf{1} 6 \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v \tau 0$ gll vulg $|\mid 18$ om $\tau 0 v$ vulg || 19 עaous кai $\theta$. deghnp
I. $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \tau 0$ ] 'had fully prevailed.' Athanasius (de Inc. 13, 14) has a similar passage on the influence of evil spirits in the pagan world. The prevalent practice of idolatry enabled the evil spirits to 'get the mastery' (катакратйбаба) over human life. For the absence of the augment in $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\rho} \omega \omega \tau 0$ see Blass Gramm. of N. T. Greek (Eng. Tr.) p. 37 .
5. Өєратєи́єц ... סaiцораs] Cp. I Cor. x 20.
7. $\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta} \sigma \tau o \lambda o s] ~ T i t . ~ i i ~ I I . ~$
8. $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho$.] For this use


 this passage recalls the similar treat-
ment of the decay of paganism in Ath. de Inc. +6 foll.
II. $\pi o \mu \pi \alpha \dot{s}]$ For these processions see Lightfoot's note on Ign. Eph. 9.

I3. $\beta \omega \mu$ oús] contrasted with $\theta v \sigma \iota$ a $\tau \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \mathrm{ca}$ below. In the LXX $\beta \omega \mu$ ós is used almost exclusively with reference to heathen worship. $\Theta v \sigma$. is 'a place of sacrifice,' 'the altar and its precincts.' See Westcott Hebreaus p. +53.

I+. $\pi \rho о \pi$ ú $\lambda a \iota a$ к.т.入.] 'porticoes, sacred precincts, shrines.'
19. $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon$ ] 'the rezered and unbloody priesthood.' The Latin translation in the I'aris edition has 'venerandum et incruentum sacrificium,' but there is no variation







 каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ o u s ~ i к а \nu o ́ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \epsilon i \pi \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ Іо тарєîvaı тòv $\pi a \rho ’$ aủt $\hat{\nu} \nu$ àтı $\sigma \tau o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu . ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$



$\theta v \sigma i a v$ in the mss．The expression $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha i \mu \alpha к \tau o s ~ i \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$ arises out of the phrase $\dot{\eta}$ ávaiцактоs $\theta v \sigma i a$ ，commonly applied by the Fathers to the Eu－ charist．The earliest example is Athenag．Suppl．pro Chr． 13 каiтo

 Cp．Cyr．Hier．Cat．xxiii 8 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\pi \nu \in v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \theta v \sigma i \alpha \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ à $\nu \alpha i \mu a \kappa \tau о \nu$入aтрєial．Fronto Ducaeus also quotes Greg．Naz．Carm．xi i and
 $i \in \rho \hat{\jmath} \epsilon s$ ．

1．$\dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu \phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi i \alpha \nu]$ Krabinger understands this as $=$＇vitam asceti－ cam et monasticam＇and refers to Greg．Naz．Or．xxv p． 1204 （Migne）． The word is certainly found very commonly after the time of Eusebius in this technical sense（see Hort Jud．Christianity p．121，and Suicer sub voce），but it is also used quite generally of the pursuit of a holy life and the practice of the Christian religion．For Gr．＇s use of the word in this sense cp．de liaptismo p．+20 （Migne）$\pi$（M入oùs $\chi$ póvous $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \alpha \rho i \sigma \omega \tau \hat{n}$
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \delta v \sigma \alpha l ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i o ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu ~$

к．т．入．Similarly Chrysostom，Hom． lxxxiii in Joh．p． 447 （Migne），says фрıктòv ó Өávaros．．．à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ oú $\pi$ a $\rho a ̀$ тoîs


2．катор $\theta$ ov $\mu$ év $\eta \nu$ ］＇the pursuit of which consists in action more than in speech．＇For катор日о仑ิ＝colere， alicui rei studere，cp．Chrys．Hom．x in Ep．ad Philipp．c． 4 єи́ко入ы́тєро⿱ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ סıà $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \nu i a s \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau о \rho-$ $\theta$ oûcal．For the sentiment cp．Min． Felix Octav．c． $3^{8}$ ，non eloquimur magna，sed vivimus．

3．$\dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \psi i \alpha \nu]$ Cp．the similar language of Athanasius de Inc． c． $4^{8}$ ，and for the contempt of death ibid．c． 27.

9．oquєiov］There is a similar passage，in which the fate of the Jews is regarded as a sign that they have been punished for their rejec－ tion of Christ，in Origen c．Celsum iv 22 ．

11－12．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha]$ Gr．introduces this mention of the＇palaces＇to show the outward splendour of the city． He is thinking，doubtless，of Herod＇s palace，which surpassed even the Temple in magnificence．

12．$\delta \iota \omega$ v $v \mu o s]$＇far－famed．＇


















#### Abstract

 7 入o८т $\eta \nu$ h om $\lambda o \iota \pi o \nu \mathrm{fl}$ vulg $\|$ om $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \eta \nu$ f $\| 8$ $\epsilon \kappa \beta a \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon s 1^{*}$ vulg $\|$  $\epsilon \nu \iota \epsilon \rho . \mathrm{fg}$


1-2. $\delta \iota$ ' aivc $\gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ] 'all that the Laze had marked out in veiled language for those who were able to understand the inner meaning.' For $\delta \iota$ ' aivı $\gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ cf. c. 8. 'E $\pi a i \epsilon \iota \nu$, a somewhat poetical word, used by Plato. Cp. Legg. 701 A. $\Delta$ ińp 'defined,' ' expressed.'
3. кaтà $\tau \dot{\eta} \mathrm{\nu}]$ 'according to the ritual of their religion which had been enjoined upon them from the beginning.'

7-8. $\delta \in \iota \sigma \iota \delta a \iota \mu \mathrm{via} \mathrm{\nu}]$ ' that which was henceforth a mistaken superstition.' 'The clinging to Judaism after the coming of Christ turned their religion into a superstition.
8. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{o} \nu \tau \epsilon$ ] in the sense of 'interpret.' The Jews had failed to interpret the meaning of their own religion which was intended to prepare them to welcome Christ.

9-Іо. ойтє $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi$.] These words introduce the apodosis of the sentence which began with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$. The Jews failed to accept the new religion of grace, and the practice of their former religion became a mere matter of history. Kralinger, however, makes the apodosis begin with каï $r \grave{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\alpha}$ к.т.入.
II. $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \delta \iota \eta \gamma . \psi(\lambda$.$] ' in mere narra-$ tives,' i.e. in narratives and nothing more. For $\delta \iota \eta \gamma$. cp. 2 Macc. ii $2_{4}$ тoîs $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ i $\sigma \tau о \rho i a s$ $\delta \iota \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$.
13. $\mu \in \hat{i v a l} \delta \dot{\epsilon}]$ The grammar is in some confusion. The clauses following $\dot{v} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ are explanatory, and the infinitive is used as though $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ had followed $\dot{u} \pi о \lambda \epsilon i-$ $\pi \epsilon \tau \alpha$.

I6. $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu]$ After the Jewish revolt in A.D. I34 Hadrian decreed that the Jews were to be




 $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \zeta о v \sigma a$ тò ả̀ $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \iota \nu o \nu$, oủ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \tau a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau о s ~ к а \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma а \zeta о-~$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ тò катà $\pi \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$. $\tau$ '́s oûv àv 耳'́voıтo $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi р о к є i ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ \sigma к о т o ̀ \nu ~ a ́ к о \lambda о и ́ \theta \omega \varsigma ~ \chi є \iota \rho а \gamma \omega \gamma о v َ \sigma a ~$ тòv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ; ~ \tau i ́ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta ~ \eta ̄ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon o ̂ ̂ ~$

 єỉvaı $\delta \epsilon i ̄ \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta i ́ к а \iota о \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̉ \gamma а \theta o ̀ \nu ~$



19. $3 \pi \pi \iota \epsilon \iota \theta \theta a \iota] \epsilon \iota \nu a \iota$ f $\| 4$ om $\eta \mu \iota \nu 1$ vulg || $8 \pi \rho о к \epsilon \iota \mu].+\eta \mu \iota \nu$ e $\|$ 9 om rov df 20. 13 $\eta$ dıavola 1 vulg
excluded from Jerusalem. The decree was still in existence in the time of Constantine (Eus. H. E. iv 6), but later on the Jews were allowed to visit the city. See Hastings' Dict. of Bible, art. Jerusalem.
19. But as neither Greeks nor Jews will listen to the preceding arguments, we must pursue further our enquiry into the causes and method of the Incarnation. We will begin by showing its relation to current conceptions of God.
2. ठокєi] 'think fit to make these things proofs of a 'Divine presence.'
4. тò̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \delta \iota a \lambda] ~ T o ̀ v. ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~$ is the subject. $\Delta \iota a \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu=$ 'to state clearly,' 'to discuss.'
5. $\left.\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} a v \tau \hat{\eta} s\right]$ i.e. $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta \epsilon i a s ~ \phi u ́-$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$. The phrase is somewhat elliptical. The personal presence of God is contrasted with the external command.
8. $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma o v ิ \sigma a$ ] 'conducting our
argument by a proper chain of reasoning to the conchusion which we have set before us.'
20. The general conception of God includes the ideas of His power, justice, goodness, and wisdom. The absence of any one of these is destructive to the perfection of the others and to the perfection of the Divine Being. In the Incarnation there is an exhibition of all these attributes. His goodness was shown in His desire to save us, His wisdom in the order and scquence of events by which His purpose was carried out. In what follows Gr. proposes to discuss more fully the wisdom and justice of the Incarnation.
 Incarnation which is 'present' as being under present consideration, corresponding to $\dot{\eta}$ кavà $\not \approx \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ оікороціа below.
 reasonable that one or another of the attributes of God should tend to be


 ả $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o ́ v, ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{i} \tau о \hat{v}$ ठєкаíov тє каì бофо̂






 каторо $\mu \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu \cdot \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ є̇ $\lambda \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau о \hat{v} \kappa \rho \epsilon i ́ \tau \tau о \nu о \varsigma ~ \pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~$





 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma v \nu \delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \eta \dot{\tau} \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \eta \varsigma \pi \rho o-$



 + rov $\theta \epsilon o v 1$ vulg $\| \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota]+\tau \iota$ vulg
manifested in the history, while another is absent.' For this use of ßoúरोє $\theta$ al cp. Arist. Pol. 2. 6. 18
 ò $\lambda$ ırapұià.
I. ка $\theta^{\prime}$ ö $\lambda$ ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] No one of the 'lofty titles' applied to God constitutes by itself a virtue. It needs to be perfected by association with other qualities. We cannot conceive of 'unjust,' 'unwise,' or 'impotent' goodness. Similarly power, when divorced from justice and wisdom, is brutal and tyrannical.

14-15. $\dot{\eta}$ катà á $\nu \theta \rho$. оiк.] Ср.
c. 5 init. (note).
18. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi o \iota \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l]$ 'lay claim to.' Cp. Thucyd. i $40 \tau \hat{\eta} s \xi v \nu \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \omega s \mu_{\epsilon \tau \alpha-}$

19. $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\sigma v \nu \delta$.$] 'and that the$ nature which is fixed in goodness and unchansing should not be affected by the changeable will of man.' The idea is that God did not permit man's changed attitude towards Him to alter His fixed purpose of goodness.
21. $\dot{o} \Delta a \beta l \delta]$ Krabinger refers to such passages as Ps. cv [cvi] +-5; cxviii [cxix] 65, 66, 68 (LXX). The






 тウ̀̀ $\sigma о \phi i ́ a \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \zeta \epsilon \hat{v} \chi \theta a \iota ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\imath} \eta$ ảみаӨóт $\eta \tau \iota$. $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$










first passage speaks of God's eivokia. The remaining passages dwell upon His $\chi \rho \eta \sigma$ ót $\eta$ s.

1-2. $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oid $\left.\delta \dot{\ell} \hat{\nu}\right\rangle$ Gr. proceeds to show that the Incarnation was an exhibition of wisdom as well as goodness. This wisdom was displayed in the comnexion and orderly sequence of the events of the Incarnation. But as perfect wisdom is associated with justice, the two must be considered together in treating of the Incarnation. Accordingly the whole of cc. 21 and 22 , and the greater part of c. 2.3, deal with the question of justice, and it is only at the close of c. 23 that (ir. resumes the reference to wisdom.
10. öт८ oú $\gamma v \mu \nu o ́ v$ ] ' For it is not possible to discern that which is good in furpose apart by itself,' i.e. apart from its realization in action, as explained in the following words $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ठià $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \iota \gamma \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ф $\alpha \nu \epsilon \rho о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.
12. $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a]$ i.e. the events of the Incarnation, which proceeded in due sequence in a certain orderly chain.
13. $\sigma о \phi o ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \tau \epsilon \chi \nu$.] In prol. Cr. uses the phrase $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \iota-$
 creation. Here the words are used of the Divine oiкододla in the Incarnation. Gr. gives an illustration of his meaning in' c. 23 sub fur, tò $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 тò ả $\chi \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \tau o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ бoфías $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \iota \iota \nu \bar{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota$, where the meaning of $\chi \omega \rho \eta \tau \delta \nu$ has been previously defined by the words $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} s \chi \omega \rho \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon i \alpha \nu$ dúvauı $\nu$.
15. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega s$ ] goes with $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta$. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \zeta \epsilon v \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$, 'only on condition of being joined with justice.'
17. єival] The inf. is probably due to the influence of the preceding єíp $\quad$ тає.
$\tau \eta ̂ \varsigma \kappa и \tau a ̀ ~ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \nu ~ о i \kappa о \nu о \mu i ́ a s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta v ́ o ~ \mu \epsilon \tau ’ ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda \omega \nu \kappa а \tau а-~$




 $\pi \rho о а \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ трòs тò $\theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \delta \iota а \sigma \omega ́ \zeta \omega \nu ~ o ́ \mu о i ́ \omega \sigma \iota \nu, ~ т \rho \epsilon \pi-$





 vulg｜｜ $10 \eta \gamma \alpha \rho] \epsilon \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \mathrm{h}|\mid 12$ кає $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s . . . \theta \epsilon \omega \rho 0 v \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ s om e

21．Gr．procieds to showe that the Incarnatione was an exhitition of justice．Man was made in the like－ ness of God，but as he was a creature， his nature，unlike that of God，reas subject to change．This tendency to chanse inzolved movement in the direction of good or of czil．Man＇s intelligence，further，wias liable to illusions as to what was really good． It wars by such an illusion that Satan deceived man and enticed him into evil．Thus the two factors in the problem of redemption were，on the one hand，the voluntary bondage of man to Satan，and，on the other， the nature and character of God，in－ cluding goodness，wisdom，justice， pozier，immortality \＆${ }^{-} \subset$ ．God＇s good－ ness excited His pity for fallen man， His wisdom supplied the method of recalling him．With wisdom justice was necessarily associated．

In no part of the Or．Cat．is the division of chapters adopted in the Paris edition so arbitrary and unfortunate as in the section which includes the present and the two following chapters．The long and involved sentence in the present
chapter，which begins è $\nu$ тoúte $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ тó－
 finally resumed until the words $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ роє к．т．入．towards the close of the chapter，is broken up by the Paris editors，who begin c． 22 with
 The same division is found in Mss $b, c, f$ ．To c． 2 I （ 20 in the enume－ ration of these MSS）they prefix the colophon ：öть ціцпиа т $\hat{s}$ өєías фи́－

 Opposite the words $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ oî $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ b ̋ \nu \tau \omega s ~ t h e y ~ m a r k ~ t h e ~ b e-~$ gimning of a new chapter（2I）with
 $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ ò oủ $\tau \cup \rho a \nu \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ठぃкаıo入ó－ $\gamma \omega s \dot{\epsilon} \lambda u \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma a r o$ ．The division of chapters adopted here is that of Krabinger．

4．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ тoîs $\pi \rho \dot{\prime} \tau o \iota s]$ i．e．c． 5 ．
7．$\delta \iota a \sigma \omega \grave{\zeta} \omega \nu \dot{o} \mu \boldsymbol{i} \omega \sigma \kappa \nu$ ］On Gr．＇s use of the words $\epsilon i \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\dot{o} \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} i \omega \sigma \iota s$ see antea c． 5 p． 24 （note）．
ib．$\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ．$\delta \hat{\epsilon}]$ The $\delta \epsilon$ has an adversative force．＇Yet possessing a changeable nature．＇

12．каi ä入入 $\lambda \mathrm{s}$ ］There is another reason why＇change＇is necessarily















part of human nature．It serves to mark the distinction between God the archetype and man the copy． The word $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega s$ is explained by the clause $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta} . . . \dot{a} \phi \omega \mu \mathbf{i} \omega \tau a \iota$ ．
 gins a long and involved sentence which occupies the rest of the chapter．Gr．begins with a gen． absolute $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\rho} \tau \eta \tau o s . . .0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \eta s$ ，but the main sentence is broken by a long parenthesis on the meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o i \omega \sigma \iota s$ and $\kappa i \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ ．The sentence is again taken up by the words $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$ тoivv катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ ，and again broken by the parenthesis $\kappa a \lambda \grave{\partial \nu} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ tò $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ．A fresh beginning is made with the words $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ oìv $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ s $\pi$ poेs $\tau \grave{o}$ öv $\tau \omega s$ ，but a parenthesis ó $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \not \partial \alpha \nu$ $\ldots \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda a \sigma \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$ again intervenes． After a fresh start，$̇ \downarrow$ qaúty $\begin{gathered}\text { oívov }\end{gathered}$ $\gamma$ foodoros，the apodosis finally be－ gins with the words $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a$ poi катà тaùtóv．

7．$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \quad \delta \quad$＇$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ．］＇but as it was by a chanye（ $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o t \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ） that it came into existence，so being subject to change（ $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda o o o^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{evo} \mathrm{\nu} \delta \bar{\delta}$ ）it does not and cannot remain in its
state of existence．＇In what follows Gr．explains $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi a^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ є $i v a \iota$ $\mu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ ．By $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda o i \omega \sigma \iota s$ he means＇a certain movement continually ad－ vancing to a different state from that in which a thing is．＇
9．$\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ doi $\omega \sigma$ oss］Here begins the first parenthesis extending to the words $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu v \pi \alpha \rho \xi(a, ~ \tau \eta \nu \nu u ̋ \pi \alpha \rho \xi \iota \nu$ ．

12．$\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \nu \nu$ ］The advance in the direction of good cannot be arrested， ＇because there is no boundary to that which is explored，＇i．e．there is no limit to the progress in good． $\Delta \epsilon \epsilon \xi_{0} \delta$ ．is passive，＇that which is traversed．＇The Latin version of the Paris edd．translates＂ejus quod transit，＇which gives no meaning． Krab．translates＇ejus quod evolvi－ tur．＇Glauber renders＇weil selbst dasjenige ohne Ende ist，in dem man thätig ist，＇and sees here the influence of the Platonic idea that aủtò тò ка入óv，aủtò тò à $\gamma$ a $\theta$ ov is eternal．He refers to the Phaedo of Plato．Kaza入．＇is perceived．＇The word ката入a $\mu \alpha \dot{\nu} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is a mere variant，like $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{i} \theta \theta a \iota$ elsewhere in this treatise，for $\epsilon i v a u$ ．























 which, as Gr. has shown previously, is equivalent to $\tau \dot{\partial} \mu \bar{\eta}{ }_{\delta} \nu$.
2. ウ...èvavti $\omega \sigma \iota s$ ] 'When wee contrast the opposite of good with good, we mean much the same as zohen we say that the existent is logically opposed to the non-existent, and subsistence to non-subsistence.' Cp. antea cc. 6,15 with notes.
6. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon i \grave{\eta}]$ resumes the sentence
 'the impulse and movement towards alteration and change.'
9. фиб兀кढิs] Gr. implies that man's natural state is one in which he is impelled to the pursuit of good.

In the parenthesis, which begins $\kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{o} \nu \delta \epsilon$, he shews how in the pursuit of good man is liable to be misled by illusions.
II. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \eta \nu \theta \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu]$ 'arrayed in a certain semblance of good.'
13. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \dot{\psi}]$ The antecedent is prob. עoûs. As the voûs is liable to err, there is the chance of either success or failure in the pursuit of good.
16. ȧторриท̂̀al] Ср. с. 15. 'O '̇' $\xi$. $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os $=$ ' the heathen fable.' Krab. quotes from St Basil the similar expressions maө́nuaza $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ${ }_{\epsilon} \xi \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu, \dot{\eta} \theta \dot{v} \rho a \theta \in \nu \quad \sigma 0 \phi i ́ a$.
18. $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath \chi \alpha \nu o v \sigma \alpha \nu]$ 'opening his mouth to swallow.' Cp. Lucian
















8 om $\tau 0 u$ vulg｜｜$\epsilon \chi \theta \rho \omega]$ Х $\rho о \nu \omega$ f 9 ката таvто⿱］кат аитоу g ката



Merc．Cond． 3 каӨáтєן ó 入ápos ö\ov $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi a \nu \grave{\omega} \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon a \rho$.

I．סıa廿evo日eis］＇heing cheated of its desire for that which is really sood．＇

2．$\tau \grave{\prime} \mu \grave{\eta}$ ö̀ ${ }^{\text {］}}$ i．e．то̀ какóv．
4．oú $\gamma$ á $\rho$ ］A fresh parenthesis， the main sentence being again re－ sumed with the words èv raúty roi－ puv．＇For his gruile would not have been effectual，had not the sem－ blance of grood been spread upon the hook of evil like a bait．＇

7．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa o v \sigma i \omega s$ ］This word plays an important part in Gr．＇s argument． Though man was deceived，his lapse into evil was the result of his own decision，and this fact influenced the manner of his redemption．

9．$\pi$ ávza $\mu o t$ ］These words in－ troduce the apodosis．Over against the circumstances of man＇s fall，Gr． sets the other factor in the problem， i．e．the nature and character of God． In the following clauses：ои́коиิ̀ $\dot{\omega} s$
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta o ́ s-\sigma o \phi i a s \delta^{\prime} a ̈ \nu$ єỉ－$\tau i$ ờv $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ тои́тols тò diкасо⿱；；－he passes in review the main attributes of God． God＇s action was limited by His justice，which must necessarily ac－ company the exhibition of His good－ ness in desiring man＇s salvation and His wisdom in discovering a means． to effect it．
i6．катà тaútóv］＝simul．
22．How then was God＇s justice exhilited？In abstaining from a tyramical exercise of force asainst Satan．As justice reyuires that those who have bartered away their own liberty should be restored by the pay． ment of a ransom to thair laajul owners，so in the case of man a method of redemption weas needed that was consistent with justice．This involved the payment of such a ran－ som as the owner was willing to rectize．

16．$\mu \grave{\eta} \tau v \rho a \nu \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}]$ Krab．quotes
 $\mu \eta \delta є ̀ ~ \tau \hat{̣} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ \nu \tau \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \grave{a} \pi о \sigma \pi a ́ \sigma а \nu \tau а ~ \tau о \hat{v} \kappa \rho а-$





 тıvès $\omega^{\beta} \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \phi o \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau о \mu о \lambda \eta ́-~$



 (23) катà тòv aủtòv т о́тоу є́коvбíws $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́autoùs







$$
\text { 22. } 8 \text { єimaг } \iota \delta \epsilon s 1 \text { vulg }\left|\mid \text { I } 8 \tau \iota \tau \omega \epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \rho a \tau o u \nu \tau \iota 1^{*} n\right. \text { vulg }
$$

Dionys. Areop. de eccl. Hier. c. 3 § il $\tau \hat{\eta} s \ldots \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi \lambda \eta \theta$ v́os, $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\eta}$ крифіа $\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \delta о \sigma \iota s ~ е ̈ \chi \epsilon \iota, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а \theta ’ ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$


 סıкalooúvŋn. To this Maximus has appended a note in which he refers to this passage of Gr.
3. Suкaco入o .] 'a just plea in his own defence.'
5. रр $\quad$ нár $\omega \nu$ ] 'for money'.'
8. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta o \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota]$ 'Toclaim liberty on their behalf.' For this sense of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta o a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ c p$. Basil de Spir. S. c. x
 є̇ $\pi \iota \beta$ о̂̀та兀.
14. катà тòv aútóv] The Paris
edition and MSS $b$ and $e$ mark the beginning of a new chapter at this point. In ms $f$ the division is made before the words oúros $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \quad \tau \iota s$. In all three mss the new chapter has the following colophon: "O $\tau \iota$
 $\lambda ย ́ \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota$.
23. What then was the ransom which Satan was likely to choose? His pride led him to seek something which was higher and better than that which he held, in order to make a sain in the barrain. The spectacle of power displayed in Christ's miracles led Satan to select IIim as the ran-som-price, while the veil of Christ's humanity, hiding the Godhead, made







 à $\tau \eta \lambda \lambda a ́ \xi a \tau o ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa а т \epsilon \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \eta \lambda a \delta \grave{\eta} \tau о \hat{v}$ ن́ $\psi \eta \lambda o-$ rо т́́pov каi $\mu \epsilon i \zeta o v o s ~ a ̉ \nu \tau а \lambda \lambda a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s, ~ \omega ́ s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ є ́ a v t o v ̂ ~$ тò катà тò̀ тv̂фov $\theta \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \theta o s, ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda a \tau-~$

 каӨєढ́ра $\pi \epsilon р i ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ т о ́ т \epsilon ~ ф а \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu, ~ к v о ф о р i ́ a \nu ~ d ̇ \sigma \nu \nu-~$


23． 2 єเт $\pi \rho \circ \delta$ ．vulg $\| 5$ om $\mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{fl}$ vulg $\| 8$ om $\alpha \nu \mathrm{f}$ ． 13 om เซтopov－


Him an orject of desire rather than of dread to the adversary．In the wish to save man we see exhibited the goodness，in the ransom by bargain the justice，in the manner by which the ransom wous effected，the wisdom of God．

I．$\delta \iota^{\prime}$ áко入ov́ $\theta$ ov］＇reasonably，＇a variant for the more usual kurà tò $\dot{\alpha}$ ．

2．$\epsilon i$ rà $\pi \rho o \dot{0}$ ．］＇if the cvident facts of the case were taken as proofs to us of that which we are seeking．＇

3． $\left.\begin{array}{c}\epsilon \\ \nu \\ \alpha\end{array} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}\right]$ i．e．c． 6.
6．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon}]$ Opposite these words in MSS $b$ and $e$ occuls the

 scribes the love of power as＇the originating cause of the tendency to evil in Satan，and the foundation and as it were mother of other wickedness．＇
$7 ., \dot{u} \pi \delta \theta \in \sigma \leftarrow \nu]$＇base，＇＇founda－

$\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma \ldots \tau \hat{\eta} s$ б $\quad$ нократьк $\hat{\eta} s \quad \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a$ ．

I I．$\tau \hat{v} \phi o \nu]$ Satan wished to satisfy his pride by making a gain in the exchange and getting more than he gave（ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ 抗ije $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \tau \tau o ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \delta \iota a-$ $\mu \epsilon \iota \beta \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s)$ ．＇The whole conception is crude and repellent．

14．тò $\nu \tau \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon \phi$.$] i．e．Jesus Christ．$
ib．киоф．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \nu \nu \delta$ ．］Acc．to the earlier belief＇the virginity of Mary and her child bearing＇were hidden from Satan．Cf．Ign．Eph．xix．

15．$\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu \alpha ̈ \phi \theta \circ \rho o \nu]$ Cp．c．Eu－ nom．iv p．62末（Migne）єौтєкє，каi ov่ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau о \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta a \rho \sigma i a \quad \sigma v \nu \delta \iota \epsilon\langle\lambda \alpha$－ $\chi \theta \eta \tau \hat{\psi} \tau$ ток $\omega$ ．The belief indicated here in a Virgin－birth，as distinct from a Virgin－conception，was a corollary upon the map $\theta$ eria widely current among the Fathers．See schwane Dogmingeschichte i 186 ， 233 ff ．
ib．$\theta \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \nu]$＇sivings suck．＇
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ dंора́т $\omega \nu$ ф $\omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \varsigma, \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ á $\rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$






 dfylp || 6 a a a $\rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{h}$
2. $\phi \omega \nu$ ds] ' Voices from the unseen zuorld, testifying from above to surpassing worth.' The reference is to the song of the angels at the Birth.
3. ס九ópA $\omega \sigma \tau \nu$ ] 'His command of a mode of healing natural infirmities without trouble or the use of means, by a mere fint and effort of the will.' 'Aтраүнáтєvтov, lit. 'not highly wrought ' or 'laboured.' The adv. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}$ is used by Synesius in the sense of 'without trouble.' $\Psi i \lambda \eta_{n}$ implies that it was by the simple exercise of power, unaccompanied by any employment of human skill, that the cures were effected. The reading of Krab. $\dot{v} \psi \eta \backslash \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ is ouly found in the late ms $b$.
5. à $\dot{1} \lambda \nu \sigma$ cı] 'the return of the dead to life.' For this sense of àá-
 Cp. also Luke xii ${ }^{66 \text {. Krab. con- }}$ jectures дддáк $\eta \sigma \iota \nu$, but this is unnecessary.
ib. каі ті̀̀ т. к. ápá $\rho \rho \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ These words are only found in the MSS $b, e, h, h$. They occur in the Latin translation of Morel, who renders 'damnatorum absolutio.' Kirab. gives the same rendering, and thinks that there is an allusion to Origen's teaching upon the fimal restoration of all simners. See below, c. 26. But from the context it is obvious that the words, if genuine, must
refer to something before the Crucifixion. If the words are not genuine, it is difficult to account for their insertion in the text, whereas their omission might be explained as per homaotelenton, owing to the resemblance of àváduo兀v and à áappvoıv. - The rescue of those under condemnation' might mean (I) the absolution of sinners during our Lord's earthly life (Moore N. and P. N. Fathers vol. v p. 493); (2) the deliverance of those who had deadly diseases (e.g. the nobleman's son) ; (3) the deliverance of those already possessed with devils, thus leading on to $\tau$. кaтà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta$. $\phi$. Of these interpretations (2) or (3) is preferable to ( I ), and accords better with the class of wonders adduced in the context.
6. катà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta a \iota \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu]$ ] fear inspired in devils.'
7. $\pi \alpha \theta \omega \bar{\omega}$ ] Kral., following Hervetus, translates 'potestatem in aeris affectiones.' Glauber has '(dass er) iuber Stürme (jewalt hatte.' For this use of $\pi \dot{d} \theta$ os cp . Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii 30 (Mason,
 $\pi \dot{a} \theta \eta$. The ref. is to the stilling of the tempest. See Mt. viii 27 \&c.
8. ठià $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s ~ \pi o \rho$.] In Mk vi 48 - 9 (Mt. xiv $25-6$ ), and Jn vi 19 the expressions used are $\epsilon \pi i$ $\tau \eta \nu_{\nu} \theta \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \theta a \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \eta s$,
$\pi \epsilon \lambda$ á




 á $\sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \chi o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, oìs oưтє oủpavòs є̇ $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \rho \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \nu \nu a, ~$












 к. $\mathrm{hp} \|{ }^{17}$ om tolvev d
not $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \theta a \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$, as here, but in Mk vi 53 (Mt. xiv 34) $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ occurs.
2. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \omega]$ The sea did not part and lay bare the bottom, as in the , miracle of Moses, but in this case the surface of its waters presented a solid ground ( $\dot{v} \pi o \chi \in \rho \sigma o v$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta s$ ), and supported (ím $\epsilon \rho \epsilon$ © $\delta o \dot{v} \sigma \eta s$ ) His steps by a kind of firm resistance ( $\delta$ เá $\tau เ \nu 0 s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi$. $\dot{\nu} \nu \tau \iota v \pi$.). For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi . \quad$ ср. с. 8 . For d̀ $\nu \tau \iota \tau v \pi i a s$ cp. Gr. Naz. Or. xxxi 32 (p. 189, Mason) $\sigma \chi \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \tau \dot{\prime} \pi \varphi$.
5. ijtє $\rho \circ \psi i a \nu]$ 'contempt,' 'disresard.'
9. тauti $\omega \nu$ ] On this form see Deissmann Fible Studies p. 182.
10. $\phi \iota \lambda о \tau \iota \mu i a]$ 'muenificence.'
II. $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$.] The Latin transl.
of the Paris ed. gives 'panis paratus, eorum qui impartiebantur tanquam agricolarum manibus elaboratus,' taking rais $\chi \in \rho \sigma i$ with $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \epsilon$ $\omega \rho \gamma o^{\prime} \mu \in \nu$ os. The bread was multiplied by the very act of distribution, and so may be said to have been 'produced' in the hands of those who distributed it. The word $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma$ $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ is not found in the Lexicons.
12. кóoov] i.e. the bread increased the more they were filled. A somewhat rhetorical way of expressing the fact narrated in Mt. xiv $20, \mathrm{Mk}$ vi $4^{2}, 43 \mathbb{d c}$.
13. $\delta \psi o \phi a \gamma i a]$ 'banquet,' used of a dainty repast. Here it refers to the feeding of the multitude with the fishes.









## 

1. тò $\pi \rho о к \epsilon i \mu \in \nu \circ \nu]$ 'saze that riblat avas froposed in the bargain was a sain upon what he held.' By tò $\pi \rho о к є i \mu$. (ir. means Christ, who represented a type of humanity superior to that which Satan held in loondage ( $\tau$ ôi $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \chi$.). He was therefore not only an equivalent for it, but would leave a margin of gain to Satan.
2. aútò $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ aipeital] The idea that Christ's death (or blood) was a ransom to Satan appears in Iren. c. Haer. v i. 1. It was adopted by Origen, who speaks of the blood of Christ as the price demanded by Satan (in Rom. ii 13) and elsewhere (in Matt. xvi 8) says that Christ gave His $\psi \prime \chi \chi \dot{\eta}$ as a $\lambda$ ít $\rho o \nu$ to Satan. The idea was still further worked out by succeeding writers. It occurs in more or less developed form in Ambrose, Augustine, Leo I, and Gregory I, in the last of whom it reaches its most repulsive expression. See esp. Ambr. Ep. Ixxii 8; Aug. de Trin. xiii 14 ; Leo M. Sermo xxii 3; Greg. M. Mor. xxxiii 7. Athanasius does not recognize the theory, while Gregory of Nazianzus (Or. xlv 22), and in later times John of IDamascus (de Fïd. Orth. iii I, 27) reject it. Still it was widely current until Anselm in his Cur Deus homo guided thought in a different direction.
ib. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau . \theta$. ф.$\kappa \alpha \theta$.] Gr. refers here to the harrowing of hell. He does not apply the 'ransom' to those yet living, or to generations to come.
3. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu]$ The idea contained in this passage that the humanity of Christ served to veil His Godhead from the eyes of Satan is more fully expressed in c. $2+\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \rho о к а \lambda \dot{u} \mu \mu а \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ фर́vє $\omega s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon$ -


 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi о и ~ \pi \rho о \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \iota \dot{\delta} \pi \rho о \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$. $\sigma \alpha s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̆ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \tau \nu . ~ C p . ~ G r e g . ~ N a z . ~$ Or. xxxix $13 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\varphi}{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau 0$ á $\dot{\eta} \tau \tau \eta$ тоs $\epsilon i v a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s$ какias $\dot{o}$ бофıбт $\eta$ s, $\theta \epsilon$ ó-

 ' $А \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \rho о \sigma \beta \alpha \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \eta$. see further Mason Five Theol. Orations of Greg. Naz. p. inf. The earliest trace of this idea of a deception of Satan by the reserve shown in the Incarnation is in Ignatius Eph. xix. It may have been suggested by a Cor. ii 8.
+. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta \lambda \epsilon \in \psi a l ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \ldots \phi$.] 'to gaze on the unveiled appearance of God.' For the use of the dat. with $\pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ see Plut. Cato Mi. 65
廿as ó Kát $\omega \nu$.
4. $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \tau \rho o \phi o \nu]$ 'looking at that whichwas zeell-known and fumiliar.'














15 24．＇A入入＇Є่ $\pi \iota \zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i \kappa o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ àко入ovӨía $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta-$

$8 \pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \pi \sigma \delta \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \iota \eta$ f \｜｜$\theta \epsilon \iota \kappa \eta s \in \pi \iota \phi$ ．］$v \psi \eta \lambda \eta s \in \mu \phi$ ．fl vulg \｜｜ $9 \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega s$
 $\delta \iota \epsilon \pi$ ．e

1．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ й $\rho \in$＇$\mu a]$＇perceiving the pozver which shone out guietly more and more in His miracles．＇

3．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \eta \tau o ́ v]$ See the passage quoted above from c．${ }_{2} 4$ ．

6．$\chi \omega \rho \eta r \dot{\eta} \nu]$ C．p．infra $\chi \omega \rho \eta \tau \grave{\nu}$ $\ldots \tau \hat{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \hat{\varphi}$ ．For the idea cp．c． 26
 Divine power became $\chi \omega \rho \eta \tau \eta$ to Satan by being inseparably united with the humanity，which the ad－ versary had chosen as his 入útpov， and which served to veil the God－ head．
 voías below，of a＇device or＇in－ vention．＇

24．The question，hozverer，may＇ be asked，＇How was the Divine power＇ displayed in the Incarnation？＇This can only be answered by considering the sequel of the Gospel ，story in which．
we find power conjoined with love． In the first place Gir．maintains that God＇s condescension to the weakness of human nature in the Incarnation was a sreater proof of ommipotince than any wonders of the matural creation．For it showed that His power is not limited by the bounds of nature，but can pass beyond them， just as our wonder would be cxited if we saw a flame stream downzord instead of upward．In order that Satan might be lid to accept the ransom offired on our behalf，Cherist concealed His Godhead in the reil of our Intmanity and thus introduced life and lisht into our mature．There was nothing unreasonable in a plan which brought cleansing to those defiled with sin，life to the dead， and suidance to those who had srone astray．












 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta o \kappa o v ̂ \nu ~ o v ̉ \sigma \iota \omega \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o s . ~ \grave{\eta}$ ठє̀ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau а \pi \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~$ $\kappa a ́ \theta o \delta o \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v \sigma i ́ a ~ \tau i ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ o u ̉ \delta e ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ \varsigma ~ i 5 ~$
24. I om $\theta \epsilon \tau a \mathrm{e}$ е $4 \sigma v \gamma к \epsilon к \rho а \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ] $\nu v \nu к є к \rho а \mu \mu$. f $\sigma v \gamma к \epsilon к \rho а \mu \mu$. gl

 1 vulg $1 弓 \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ov $\delta \epsilon \nu$ f
2. тồ uvaтnpiou] here practically = 'the Gospel story,' i.e. the revelation contained in the life of Christ.
4. $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \kappa \rho а \mu \notin \nu \eta$ ] (ir. maintains that the power of God can only be considered in conjunction with the purpose of love to which it was directed. The love of God for man provided the most splendid occasion for the exercise of H is ommipotence. All through this treatise Gr. emphasizes the moral glory exhibited in the creation and redemption of man. With the present passage may be compared the language of the collect for the xith Sunday after Trinity, 'Dens, qui omnipotentiam tuam parcendo maxime et miserando manifestas’ (Gelasian).
8. Өav $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ] Gr. is thinking especially of the wonders in Creation, not only of miracles in the
more special sense of the word. This is shown by his reference to Creation in the succeeding passages, esp. that beginning oüтшs каi т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ Aciav. In place of $\theta a \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ one group of MSS reads $\delta o \gamma \mu a \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ which is evidently a corruption.
12. $\epsilon \xi \omega \tau \omega ิ \nu$ фacv.] The invisible creation includes the parts of creation beyond our ken, and also the world of created spirits.
 being or ovioia to anything.' The idea is that the thing which was brought into being was but the expression of His will and pleasure.
15. $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell o v \sigma i a]$ The humiliation of the Son of God is a surpassing display of power, because it exlibits a power which is not limited even by what seems opposed to nature. For the explanatory clause $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu o \mu \dot{e} \nu \eta$ ns attached to $\delta v \nu \alpha \dot{\mu} \omega \omega$ s without an










 $\phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ бvүката́ßaбıऽ $\delta \epsilon i ́ \kappa \nu v \sigma \iota, \pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda o ́ \nu$,








 vulg || $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ тo v $\psi$ os 1 vulg $|\mid 16$ т $\rho \rho \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ dehnp $| 17$ акротate deghnp
article cp. c. 16 тò $\delta^{\prime}$ ö $\sigma o \nu ~ \epsilon \nu \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \ldots \pi о \rho \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \eta$ (note). The expression $\pi a \rho a ̀$ фv́aıv is contrasted with кatà фúбıv above.
8. оӥтшs каi] The wonders of Creation do not present such a display of Divine power as does the condescension of God in the Incarnation.
II. оікороціа] For this use of oiко⿱онia cp. antea c. I2 ràs кatà

12. $\sigma \cup \gamma к а \tau \alpha ́ \beta a \sigma ı s] ~ ' c o n d e s c e n-~$ sion,' a term constantly used of the Incarnation.
ih. $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s к.т.入.] The sentence is modelled upon the parallel sentence above, $\pi \hat{\omega} s \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{v} \rho$ к.т. $\lambda$., where the
$\pi \hat{\omega} s$ is preceded by $\dot{\nu} \nu$ Өav́ $\mu a \tau \iota \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau a \iota$.
I. . ov катаßaívet] The 'condescension' of God does not involve any loss of His transcendent dignity. He becomes man, and is God.
15. тои̂тo $\gamma \mathbf{i \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha l}$ ] An inexact expression. Though the eternal Son became man, it is incorrect to say that IIis Godhead became $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho$. фи́бוs.
16. ̇̇̀ roîs ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho$.] Cp. c. 23.
 and in the present chapter infra.
 For the idea of Gr. that our Lord's humanity concealed His Divine nature from the eyes of Satan see cc. 23, 26 with notes.










 $\grave{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu$. $\delta \in i ̂ \imath ̀ a ̀ \rho ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu-~$






 om $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{l}$ vulg
2. Tois $\lambda i \chi$ pours] The same comparison is found in Rufinus Comm. in Symb. Ap. r6. Similarly Gregory the Great says (Mor. xxxiii 7), in commenting on Job xl 19 , 'in hamo ergo eius incarnationis captus est, quia dum in illo appetit escam corporis, transfixus est aculeo divinitatis.' Jo. Damasc. (de Fïd. Orth. iii 27) uses the same comparison of death: $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$ roı $\gamma$ a $\rho o \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\text { ó }}$ Өávaтos $\kappa а i ̀ ~ к а \tau а \pi \iota \grave{̀ \nu} \tau \grave{̀} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \mu \tau о s ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon a \rho ~ \tau \hat{\psi}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$ Өєóтทтоs à $\gamma \kappa i \sigma \tau \rho \varphi \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. For a discussion of patristic teaching on the relations of the Incarnate Son to Satan see Oxenham Cath. Doctr. of Atonement (2nd ed.) pp. $125-140$.
4. єiбockı $\sigma \theta$ єínŋs] 'And so when life had been domiciled with death, and light had shone upon darkness, that which is the opposite of light and
life might wanish azeay.'
8. oúkoûv] Gr. proposes in what follows to repeat in brief summary ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \kappa є \phi \alpha \lambda a i \omega \nu)$ the course of the argument for the Christian religion. In what follows he gives a rísumes of the argument from c. 20 onwards.
9. $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ] 'complete,' 'full,' and so 'effective.'
II. ס८' єavt $\hat{s}$ ] i.e. 'without using any agency inferior to itself.'
12. $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \gamma \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho]$ 'For Godhcad can never part with any of its befitting attributes.' For $\epsilon \tau \nu a \iota ~ \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ see c. I p. 9 (note). Gr. is recapitulating the argument of c. 20 init. The $\delta i \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ is emphatic.

I4. Tò $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ] 'one part,' e.g. not 'power' without 'goodness.'
ib. Toे $\delta \epsilon$ '] 'while another characteristic of the proper dignity of God is parted with.'








 Іо каì є่ $\lambda \pi \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \delta u ́ v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к а \theta ’ ~ o ́ \mu о ь о ́ т \eta \tau а ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$








 $20 \theta \epsilon i \grave{\eta}$, каi $\grave{\eta} \pi \lambda a ́ \nu \eta$ $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v \theta \epsilon i \eta, \kappa a i ~ \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \zeta \omega \eta े \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~$ $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa o ̀ \varsigma ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \tau а \nu$ é $\lambda \theta o \iota$;
 $\theta \eta \nu a \iota h|\mid 12$ om кal vulg $\|$ I $+\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{S} 1$ vulg $\| 20$ кає $\eta \pi \lambda$. $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha-$ $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \eta$ desunt in $\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{p}$

[^30]not on $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota$.
Io. $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota]$ refers to the hope entertained by Satan of getting Christ into his power. Gr. is referring to the argument of c. 23 . The subject of $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ is the preceding aủróv.
12. кaтà фúбıv] explained by what follows. It is the nature of light to expel darkness, and of life to destroy death.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ фи́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{S} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủtò̀ $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \hat{\eta} \phi \theta a \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota$.
 $\mu \grave{~ \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ \mu \iota \kappa \rho о \psi ن ́ \chi \omega s ~ к а т а \nu о o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ o ้ \nu \tau а ~ o u ́ \delta є ́ v a ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~}$










 1 vulg 6 ov $\tau \omega \mathrm{f} \| \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ l vulg $7 \mu \eta \ldots \epsilon \chi \circ \nu] \epsilon \ell \mu \eta \ldots \epsilon \chi \circ \mathrm{f} \| 9 \epsilon \nu \tau \eta$
 $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu 1$ vulg
25. That God should come to be in human nature ought not to seems strange to us. For He pinetrates, embraces and resides in all things, and all thingss depend upon Him, so that even nozi, He is not external to man. Thousth the manner of His presence in Nature is different from that in the Incarnation, yet He is prescht in man in either instance. In the one case, as the containing and upholdings principle of Nature, He permeates our being. In the other case He infused Himself into our nature that He might deliver it from death and make it divine.
3. $\tau i$ is $\gamma$ á $\rho$ ] Similarly Athanasius (de Inc. +1-42) appeals to those philosophers who maintained the immanence of God in Creation. The germ of the idea is found in the Timazeus of Plato. In Stoicism it appears as the Anima mundi. Cp. Verg. Aen. vi 724 . For Jewish and Christian thought see esp. Wisdom i 7, Eph. iv 6. Both Ath. and Gr. undoubtedly have the Neo-platonist teaching in view in
their use of such an argument. For a discussion of the present passage, and the relation of Gr. to Christian pantheistic thought, see Harnack Hist. of Dogma Eng. tr. iii 299 ff.
5. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta v \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ ] lit. 'clothing Himself with it.' The Latin transl. of the Paris ed. has 'induentem.' For the idea cp. Ps. civ [ciii] I, 2 .
ib. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \in \chi o \nu]$ 'embracing it.' Cp. Ps. cxxxix [cxxxviii] 7; Jer. xxiii + ; Amos ix 2, 3 .
 Cp. Is. xl 22 ; Ps. civ [ciii] 3 .
6. тồ...òvtos] Ex. iii ${ }_{1+}$.

 $\delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s$ е̇ $\check{\xi} \eta \pi \tau a l$.
9. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \epsilon \varphi]$ The reading $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi o \iota s$ is plainly a correction, due to the idea that $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega$ might suggest that Christ assumed 'a man,' instead of human nature. Ср. с. ${ }^{16}$ (note). Here it evidently means 'in man.'
10. oưò̀ $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ そ $\xi \zeta \omega]$ explained in what follows $\nu \hat{v} \nu \quad \mu e ̀ v$ oivv к.т. $\lambda$. There is of course a wide difference






 тov є́т $\zeta \omega \grave{\eta} \nu$ є่ $\pi a \nu o ́ \delta o v ~ \gamma i ́ \gamma \nu є \tau a \iota . ~$






I om o vulg $\| 3$ o $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \chi$. 1 vulg $\|$ § $\nu a$ то $\eta \mu$.] om $\tau 0 ~ \eta \mu$. vulg
 12 om $\tau \eta \nu$ vulg $\|$ I 3 om $\mu \eta 1^{*}$ ov vulg
between the 'hypostatic' or personal union of God with man in Christ, and the union of God with creation through the indwelling of the Word. This is not clearly brought out by Gr., although the contrast which he proceeds to draw in the next clause involves some such idea.
I. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ’ oỉv к.т.入.] a clause answering to $\epsilon i$ rá $\rho$. 'Thougch ... yet anylhaw.' Nôv refers to the presence of God in man in the course of nature, тóтє to lis presence in man through the Incarnation.
4. Tク̀̀ фúa ${ }^{2}$ ] 'nature' (not specially human nature).
26. The fact that the Godhcad was veiled from Satan may be thought to involve an act of deception which is inconsistent with iustice and zaissdom. To this Gr. replies that the justice of God was showen by requiting Satan according to his deserts, in that the dectiver was in turn deceived. God's wisdom was displayed in combining zuith a just recompense a purpose of love.

The conspirator and the physician both mix a drus with food, but the aim of the one is destructive, wohile that of the other is beneficent. The purpose of the deccit practised upon Satan wuas to benefit not merely the victim of Satan's deception, but also the deceiver himself. The Diaine power in its contact wioth cail acts as a refining fire. Satan himsclf shall be purged by it and be led to acknowededse the justice and saving efficacy of the Incarnation. Then, when the purifying discipline has done its work, all Creation shall send up to God a chorus of praise.
12. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{a} \tau \eta \nu \tau \tau \dot{d}]$ The text has the support of all the mss. The words must be regarded as forming a secondary predicate, 'that this method clevised for us by God is a kind of trick.' The reading of Krab. is ȧ $\pi \dot{a} \tau \eta \tau \nu \dot{\prime}$, which appears in the margin of the late ms $c$, being undoultedly, as he shows, a conjectural emendation of Max. Margunius, who wrote the MS.






















$3 a \pi a \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu] a \pi a \nu \tau \omega \nu 1^{*}| | 6$ om $\tau \eta \nu$ h $\|$ if $\gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu 0 v$ h || is om $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota f \quad 20-\epsilon \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau 01| | 22 a \nu \alpha \delta \iota \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu 1$ vulg
I. ả $\gamma \nu o \eta \theta$ év $\tau$ a] Cp. antea c. $2_{2}$. Behind this conception of an act of deceit practised on Satan there lies the more profound idea that Satan's cumning was outwitted by God's wisdom. The 'ars ut artem falleret' of Venantius (in the hymn ' Pange lingua') is applied to a different point in the history of redemption.
5. $\pi a \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{̀} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu]$ The victim of a trick is taken by surprise and finds his expectations disappointed.
7. $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau 0$ ] i.e. the outwitting of Satan.

regards $\delta \iota \kappa$. as governed by dं $\nu \tau \iota-$ סьסóvta, leaving aj $\gamma \boldsymbol{\theta}$ ór $\eta \tau \iota$ to be regarded as a dat. of circumstance or respect. The Latin rendering of the Paris edd. is similar. It is better, however, with Moore, to regard both datives as similar in construction. - In justice, making a proper recolnpense; in sroodness, not departing from the purpose of lowe to man.'
16. $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \tau \epsilon \omega \nu$ ] 'decciver.' The word commonly denotes a 'quack' or 'impostor.'
20. $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}]$ an application of St Paul's maxim (Gal. vi 7 ) $\delta$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$



















$3 \epsilon \pi \iota \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \nu \tau a] \epsilon \pi \iota \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v o \nu \tau a$ e $\| \quad 4 \lambda \epsilon \xi \iota \tau \eta \rho$. vulg $a \lambda \xi \iota \tau \eta \rho$. eh $\|$



$\notin \dot{\alpha} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon i ́ \rho \eta$ ả $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ оs, тои̂то каì $\theta \epsilon \rho i ́-$ $\sigma \epsilon$.

1. $\tau 0 \hat{u} \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i o n o s]$ i.e. $\tau o \hat{v} \sigma \kappa о \pi о \hat{v}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \phi i \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a s$, which he has mentioned above.
ib. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ The method of the cure in the case of the physician is the same as that of the poisoner, but that does not interfere with the beneficence of its intention.
2. $\left.\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \lambda o{ }^{\prime} \gamma \varphi\right]$ 'on the principle of justice.'
3. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi a \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha{ }^{\circ} \rho\right]$ a parenthesis. The main sentence is resumed with $\dot{\text { ó }} \delta \dot{\text { è }} \sigma \kappa о \pi o ́ s$.
4. $\pi \rho о \beta \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \tau]$ 'the screen' of the human nature, which concealed

His Divinity. See note c. ${ }^{23}$ p. 89 with references. For $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ${ }_{\alpha}^{2} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi$ ou see note c. 16 p. 72.
13. $\delta \in \lambda \epsilon \alpha ́ \sigma \mu a \tau i]$ Cp. anter c. 2 I

 $\theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta$ s.
ib. $\dot{o}$ ठè $\sigma \kappa 0 \pi o ́ s$ ] The purpose of the deception changes the nature of the action and makes it good.
${ }_{17}$. $\left.̇ \pi / \nu o i a\right]$ Cp. antea c. 23 $\delta \iota$ ' $̇ \pi \iota \nu o i a s$ with note.
 the idea that Satan himself shall be purged and finally saved, see Orig. de Princ. iii 6 (cp. i 6).
ib. $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa$ रà $\rho$ тô̂ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$.] The

 тоv $\chi є i ́ \rho o \nu o s ~ \gamma i \gamma \nu є \tau а \iota ~ \kappa а i ~ є i \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ o ̂ \nu ~ \mu є \tau а \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma, ~$



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \kappa$ ката̀ фט́б८v $\lambda a \mu \pi \eta \delta o ́ v a ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о \tau \iota \mu о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$ v̋ $\lambda \eta \nu$ •



 тро́тоу，Өavátov каi фӨорâs каi бкóтоvs каi єỉ тє






 $\pi \epsilon i ́ a ~ \tau \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ к а \iota o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \chi а \lambda є \pi а і ̀ \nu о v \sigma \iota ~ \tau о і ̂ \varsigma ~$
 $\mathrm{fg}^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{p}^{*}$｜｜ 1 I avto］avt $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{gp} \pi \alpha \rho$ avt 1 vulg i｜ 12 ка入入ovs］ка入ov 1


 $\pi \epsilon$ las e
contact of sinful creatures with God must result in the final disappear－ ance of evil，and the purification of those affected by it．The Divine power acts as a refiner＇s fire，which shall purge even Satan himself．For the teaching of Gr．on the ка́ $\theta a \rho \sigma \iota s$ of souls see cc．8， 35 （notes）． The allusion to the refiner＇s fire occurs in Orig．c．Cels．vi 44 ．
 is answered by $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́$ below．
 practised．
ib．$\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \ldots \epsilon \lambda \theta o l]$ The form of expression suggests uncertainty．Gr． is venturing a conjecture of what might possibly be．

20．puvi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ］Similarly Origen urges（c．Cels．vi s6）that，as men do not blame physicians for the pain which they inflict，neither must men blame God for the pain of remedial punishments．

 ả $\lambda \eta \delta \grave{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda \theta o \iota, \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i ้ \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~$








2 rovtov 1 vulg $\|+\epsilon \pi$ avtov e $\epsilon \pi$ avious vulg $\| \sigma$ avtoss ката $\mu$ ．vulg om $\eta$ e $\| 7$ кє $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \omega \omega \nu] \gamma_{\epsilon \nu}{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \mathrm{g}^{1} \| 8$ om $\eta \mathrm{l}$ vulg

4．$\tau$ ais $\mu . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ \delta o \iota s]$ For $\pi \epsilon \rho i o \delta o s$ in the sense of a＇circuitous route＇ ср．c． 15 p． 64 and c． 17 p． 73. In de An．et Res．pp．152，${ }^{157}$ （Migne）Gr．uses the expressions
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ ódors．See further note on àmo－ ката́ $\tau \tau \alpha \sigma \iota$ below．

7．$\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{c} \quad$ a $\rho \chi$ ．］Gr．conceives of the primal condition of man and of his tempter，as it existed ideally， and as it would have been realized had not $\sin$ interrened．
ib．$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa а \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s]$ The source of the phrase is Acts iii 21．For Gr．＇s further treatment of the ques－ tion see esp．de An．et Res．pp．1o4， 152，157－60（Migne）；Or．de Mor－ tuis pp．524， 525 （Migne）；de Hom． Opif．c． 21 ，and c． 35 of this treatise． Germanus， Bp of Constantinople （obiit 733 A．D．），acc．to Photius （Bibl．Cod．233），maintained that Gr．＇s works had been falsified by the Origenists，who had inserted many passages from Origen＇s writ－ ings．But such language occurs too frequently in Cir．＇s writings to admit of this supposition，which is not borne out by any indications of a change of style．Vincenzi（in $S$ ． Greg．Nyss．et Origenis scripta et doctrinam）has attempted to weaken the force of this universalistic teach－ ing，by pointing to other passages
where Gr．appears to assert the eternity of punishment．Thus in Or．c．Usurarios pp．436， 452 （Migne）he has aióvoos $\lambda u ́ \pi \eta$ and $\dot{\eta}$ aíbvlos кó入a⿱⺌兀s．Gr．in fact does not exhibit perfect consistency of language on the subject．In numer－ ous passages he asserts the $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \alpha-$ $\tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota$ ．In others（e．g．c．4o）he repeats the language of scripture about＇the unquenchable fire＇and ＇the undying worm．＇There is similar inconsistency in his treat－ ment of human generation．See c． 28 p． 105 （note）．In the present treatise his polemic against the Manichaeans and his idea of the negative character of evil would incline him to emphasize the restor－ ation of all things．In de An．ct Kes．p． $1 \mathrm{o}_{4}$（Migne）he makes use of I Cor．xv 28．See further Introd． p．xxiii，and on the subsequent history of the doctrine of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к а \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma$ ss see Schwane Dogmengeschichte ii pp． $240 \mathrm{f} ., 60+\mathrm{f} ., 6 \mathrm{Iff}$ ．

8．j́ $\mu$ ó $\phi \omega \nu o s$ ］Gr．uses similar language in de An．et Res．p． $7^{2}$ （Migne）$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho$＇$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa i \nu \omega \nu$


$\left.9^{-10 .} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ See c． 35 where the two classes are more exactly de－ fined．

каì тà то九аиิта тара
 $\pi o ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota$ ，$\delta \iota \grave{a} ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ i \delta \iota \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́-~$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ Өavátov $\pi \epsilon \iota ́ \rho a \varsigma ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \xi є \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，т̀̀ $\pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta-5$


 кà้ є́тítтороs ทֶ̉．



 $\mu о \lambda v \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ àтор $\frac{1}{\pi \tau} \tau$





3．iò $\omega \mu$ á $\tau \omega \nu$ ］＇the properties＇ or＇distinguishing characteristics＇of human nature．Cp．c．${ }^{2} 7$ init．and p．10＋．
 passage Gr．definitely connects the healing of Satan with the Incar－ nation．In what way its benefits were applied to the adversary he does not tell us，nor does he discuss the relation of the $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \theta a \rho \sigma$ ss to the historical work of Christ or show the relation of his idea to the language of Scripture．

27．It quas needful that He Who assumed our nature should assume it in all its distinctive features．That nature needed to be cleansed in evory part．Corresponding to this the Power which restores human nature must embrace it in its whole extent from beginning to end．This could only be effected by a human birth．It might be urged that a heavenly body
could have been assumed．But humanity was not in heaven，and there can be no cure which does not touch the ailing part．Again there is no more dishonour in assuming a human than a heavenly body．Every． thing created，whether in heaven or on earth，is equally below the dignity of God．But if all things are equally helow God，the one thing． that is consistent with His honour is to succour the needy．And it is this which we acknowledge Him to have done．

I I．àvaкıруá $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ ］＇infusing Him－ self into．＇Ср．с．і І катакıрьаิтаı．
ib．iסt $\omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ Ср．с． 26 supra （note）．

12．бuvaváкрабเข］Ср．с． 16 р． 70 ，and see note c．II p． 57.

15．Úфа⿱㇒日⿱一土儿，＇the whole texture of the garment．＇

16．ó $\dot{\delta} \tau \iota \mu \nu$ ］＇uniform in charac． ter．＇












 $\tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̈ \delta o \xi o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̂ \delta o \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\jmath} \varsigma ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \eta s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ’








 f \| 19 om ofl vulg

4-5. $\tau \hat{\psi} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \sigma i \varphi]$ On the word кад́ápolov see suicer. It is here used in its primary sense of a 'purification.'
6. Sıєi入 $\eta \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ ] 'embraced with. in trio limits, one on either side.' Cp. ठıa入aßoûбa below.
 place in the work of redemption to the whole of Christ's earthly life and not merely to the death. Similarly Iren. ii 33. 2 says: Omnes enim venit per semetipsum salvare ...infantes, et parvulos, et pueros, et iuvenes, et seniores. Ideo per omnem venit aetatem.
II. $\left.\mu l a ̂ s \delta^{\prime}\right]$ The section which follows as far as the end of ch. 28 is reproduced in Euthymius Zig. Pan. Dogm. pt itit. vii pp. $22+$ f. (Migne).
12. єiбоккь $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ] Cp. c. ${ }^{2}+$

13. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ ovं pavồ] The belief that the flesh of Christ descended from Heaven was actually attributed to Apollinaris (cp. Vincent Lir. Common. xii ( 17 )), though apparently without sufficient reason. The idea however seems to have been current and was refuted by Greg. Naz. in his first Epistle to Cledonius. This may have suggested the idea here to Gr.












 Өєíà $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau a, \grave{\iota} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \dot{\eta} \delta_{\epsilon} \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \quad \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$

## 3 om $\eta$ euth $\mathbf{4 5}^{6} \| 5 \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \iota \xi$.] $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \iota a \nu 1$ vulg $\left|\mid 8\right.$ єavт $\eta 1^{1}$ euth

I. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda a \kappa \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota]$ lit. 'that the man should be enfolded around the God.' 'The humanity is conceived of as a vesture with which the Godhead is clothed. For $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu a \check{\nu} \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma^{\nu}$ cp. с. i6 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \dot{a} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \nu a \lambda \eta \phi{ }^{\text {Hét }} \nu \tau \iota$ $\pi \alpha \rho '$ aúrô $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \varphi$ (note).
2. $\epsilon i \delta \omega \lambda o \nu]$ A body taken from any other source than human nature would be nothing else than an imitation of a man.
3. Tis $\delta$ ' ă $\nu$ ] Another argument against the suggestion contained in $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ oủpavoû above. Human nature would not benefit from the commingling of the Divine with anything else but itself. The remedy must be applied to the ailing part.
 clanse attached closely to the hypothetical statement $\epsilon i \ldots \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\eta} \psi a \tau o$. 'Eavt $\hat{s} s$ is governed by $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi o \nu$ which is found in a few cases with the gen. Cp. Soph. Ajax $53+$ with Jebb's note. Here the gen. may be due to the substantival notion contained in $\tau \grave{o े} \pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi o \nu$.

9-10. $\dot{\eta} \ldots \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi$ о入ía] 'The occupa-
tion of the Divine Power with objects which have nothing in common reith us would have been of no profit to
 he is of course referring to $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ oúpávıa above.
II. $\left.\tau \grave{o} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in{ }^{\prime}\right]_{\text {] }}$ Gr. now passes on to another point. The indignity to God is no greater in the case of the assumption of an earthly, than of a heavenly body. The real line of demarcation is not between earthly and heavenly, but between created and uncreated.
ib. $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi \pi \epsilon \rho$ ] Gr. contests in his usual manner the appropriateness of the use of the word $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in s$ with reference to anything but moral evil.
13. $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ к.т.入.] ' But for himt who in a narrow spirit judses that the Divine majesty consists in this, that it does not admit of participation in the peculiarities of our nature, the dishonour is not lessened by the fact that the Divine Being is conformed to a heavenly rather than to an earthly body.'
 $\theta \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̉ \delta o \xi o v ~ o u ̉ p a \nu i ́ \omega ~ \sigma ' \omega \mu a \tau \iota ~ \grave{\eta} \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \gamma \epsilon i ́ \omega ~ \sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a-$


 тò $\gamma$ à к каӨ’ ö ő









 тò $\theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \phi a \nu \eta \prime \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ є ́ a v t o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \sigma u \mu \beta a i ̂ \nu o \nu$,


$4^{-5} \alpha \phi . \epsilon \pi \iota \tau$. к. f \|| 7 $\tau \iota \nu \iota \delta \epsilon a \pi$. $\mathrm{g}^{1} \mathrm{I}^{l} \tau о \delta \epsilon a \pi$. vulg || om $\tau \omega \nu$
 IO $a \pi$ a $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu \mathrm{p} \| \mathrm{I}_{2}$ ovt $\omega$ ] ovtє vulg $\| \mu \eta$ ] $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon$ del vulg $\epsilon \iota \mu \eta \mathrm{n} \|$



1. $i \delta \iota \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ] slightly diff. from the use supra and in c. 26. Here it is rather 'peculiarities.'
ib. $\pi \alpha \rho a \mu v \theta \epsilon i ̄ \tau \alpha l] ~ ' p a c i f y, ' ~ ' r e-~$ lieve,' 'soften.' The subj. of the verb is $\sigma v \sigma \chi$. tò $\theta \in \hat{\imath} \hat{o} \nu$.
2. ка日' ö̀ $\lambda o v$ ] God transcends creation absolutely and not merely relatively.
3. $\hat{\eta}$ oür $\omega \quad \gamma^{\prime} \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu$ ] To assume that some parts of Creation are nearer than others to the Divine Nature impairs belief in the completeness of the Divine immanence.
4. $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \theta \epsilon \tau o \nu]$ 'on account of the difference of measure and degree, the Divine Being zwill in consequence appear to be composite, and incongruous with itself, if it be conceived to be remote from us, so far as its nature is concerned, but be adjacent to some other created thing and from its nearness easily apprehended.'
5. $\tau \hat{\omega}$ 入ó $\gamma \varphi$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ] is explained by $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho o \sigma i ́ \tau o v ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ above. Gr. is referring to the false deductions drawn from the greatness of God.








 $\theta \epsilon o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi o \hat{\varsigma} \varsigma \dot{v} \pi o \lambda \eta ' \psi \epsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \cup \kappa a \mu \epsilon \nu$;
 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau \rho o ́ т о \nu ~ \delta \iota a \theta \rho v \lambda \lambda о \hat{v} \sigma \iota, ~ к а i ~ о ı ้ о \tau а \iota ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau о и ́-~}$







I $\tau \eta s \quad v \psi \eta \lambda \eta s$ ] $\tau \eta s \iota \sigma \eta s g^{1}$ om $\tau \eta s \mathrm{~h} \| 3^{-4} \tau \eta s \ldots \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \sigma v \sigma \eta s \delta v \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \omega s$
 $\nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s]+\eta \mu \omega \nu 1$ vulg $\|\delta \iota \alpha \theta \rho u \lambda o v \sigma \iota \operatorname{degp}\| 130 \nu] \omega \nu \mathrm{f}$ euth $16{ }^{\|} \mathrm{I}_{4} \tau \omega$

r. ó $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ خ̀s $\lambda$ ó $o s$ s] 'The true account' in dealing with the transcendent dignity of God does not compare what is 'above' with what is 'below' in creation. The true comparison is between creation and the Creator. All created things are equally beneath the Divine Power.
7. ধ̀v $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \pi \nu$ ] The real justification of the assumption by God of human nature is to be found in the moral character of God. It is consistent with His character to succour the needy.
28. Gr. vindicates the manner of human birth against the objection that it was unbecoming that God should enter human life in this way. The only thing which is inconsistent with the character of God is moral
evil. There is nothing evil or dishonourable in the bodily constitution of man. The organs of human birth are worthy of not less, but more honour than our other organs, for through them is secured the immortality of the race.
II. $\kappa \omega \mu \psi \delta o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ ]'they ridicule our nature, and harp upon the manner of our birth.' $\Delta \iota a \theta \rho \cup \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \nu=$ 'to keep on talking about.'
52. $\delta \dot{\iota}$ toú $\tau \omega \nu$ ] 'by these means,' i.e. by what they say in derogation of nature and its processes.
 $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{S} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ av́тòv $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \hat{\eta} \phi \theta a \iota$.
ib. $\ddot{\eta} \delta \eta \ldots \epsilon \neq$. $]$ i.е. сс. 9, 16.
18. גко入ои日ía] 'sequence' or ' course of nature.' Contrast Gr.'s language in de Virg. c. 12.













 ${ }^{1} 5 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma v ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \zeta \omega \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda o v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \dot{~} \varsigma$




 euth || $5 \eta$ фибıs p фибєь euth 16 || какıas fl vulg || 7 єı $\delta \epsilon$ el* vulg 8 тaparıvєтai 1 vulg $\| \zeta \omega \eta \nu$ ] desunt reliqua in euth $4 \| \gamma_{\epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~} \mathrm{~h}$



1. $\pi$ ó $\rho \rho \omega$ к. т. 入.] 'is unassailable on the ground of moral evil.'
2.' $\delta \eta \mu$ ovo $\left.\gamma \dot{\circ}{ }^{2}\right]$ 'the Maker,' a sense of $\delta \eta \mu$. found in Plato (cp. e.g. Rep. 530 A ) and esp. in the Neo-Platonic writers.
2. $\epsilon i$ ofv ] The apodosis begins with tiva к.т.入., the clauses rò $\delta \dot{\xi}$ $\mu v \sigma \tau$. and $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ rov̂ à $\nu \theta \rho$. forming part of the protasis.

Io. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \phi \theta \grave{\eta} \nu a l]$ For this word and $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \not \psi \epsilon \omega s$ below cp. note on єтібкє $\downarrow \iota \nu$ с. 15.
II. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \ldots . . \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \dot{v} \sigma \nu \nu]$ For the construction of this clause cp. с. 16 $\epsilon \in \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \ldots \pi o \rho \in v o \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$ and c. 37 $\tau \hat{\omega} \phi \theta 0 \rho \circ \pi o \iota \hat{\varphi} \ldots \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \iota \chi \theta^{\prime} \nu \nu \tau \iota$.
14. ذ $\mu о \tau i \mu \omega s$ ё $\chi \in \epsilon$ ]' is of uniform valut', as contrasted with äтцuov below. Cp. ф́до́тєиод с. 27.
17. ó $\rho \gamma \alpha \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu]$ ' the whole orginic structure of the body.' 'The phrase $\tau \dot{a}$ ó $\rho \gamma \alpha \nu \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta$ comes from Aristotle. See Eth. N. 3. ı. ı 6.
19. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ oivv] 'The other bodily organs have as their aim the maintenance of the already existing life of man. By them 'the power of perceiving and acting' ( $\dot{\eta}$ ai $\sigma \theta \eta$ тьк $\eta$
 cised. The generative organs have in view the future, and secure, by the propagation of the species, the continuance of the race.
$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \quad \zeta \omega \eta ้ \nu$, ä $\lambda \lambda a \pi \rho o ̀ s$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ Є̉vé $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a \nu$


 yovta. єì ò̂̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi \rho \epsilon \iota \omega ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi о \iota \varsigma, ~ \tau i v o s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̋ \eta ~ 5 ~$










 тòv Өávaтоข $\mu$ á $\chi є \tau а \iota ;$

$1 \quad \tau \omega \operatorname{a\nu } \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega$ vulg $\| 2 \geqslant \epsilon \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ dhlnp vulg $\|+$ om $\tau \eta$ vulg

 $\delta \epsilon \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ e: desinit euth

1. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon t$ ] 'hold together,' ' maintain in beings.' Ср. бuvєктькท' c. 5. For this use of $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$ ср. с. 8 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \zeta \omega \grave{\nu} \ldots \delta \iota a \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
2. тò $\chi \rho \epsilon \iota \omega \delta \epsilon s$ ] 'utility.'
ib. tivos $\ddot{\alpha}^{2} \nu \epsilon \notin \eta$ ] Greg. has in mind the passage 1 Cor. xii $\mathbf{I}_{4}-24$.
 ried on,' 'maintained.' Cp. Greg. Naz. Or. xxviii $16 \kappa \alpha \theta$ ' $\delta \nu \nu$ тò $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$

3. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \ldots \dot{a} \pi o \lambda$.] The senses mentioned 'are concerned with present enjoyment.' The gen. is possessive. With $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \rho$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0^{-}$
 above.
II. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu o \iota s]$ refers to $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́$.
4. $\dot{\omega}$...eival] The clause is consecutive. 'So that death, though continually operating against us, is rendered, in a way, ineffictual and fruitless.'
5. àvtєıбaरoú $\eta$ s] 'Since, by means of the succeeding generations, nature is ever introducing herself to fill up the sap.'
6. 'Why', it may' be asked, 'zuas the Incarnation so long delayed?' To this Gr. replies by adducing the illustration of the physician who allows a disease to come to the surface before he applies his remedy.





 $\gamma \epsilon \sigma i a \nu$ ì $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{c} \nu a \beta о \lambda \eta$. каi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ є̇тi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa(\hat{\omega} \nu$


 тикขov̂б८ тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ тарà т $\omega \nu$ тє $\chi \nu \iota \omega ิ \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon \theta о \delta є v o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$






7. $1 \phi \eta \sigma \iota \mathrm{p}|\mid 2$ om $\tau \omega \operatorname{gnp}| \mid 3 \tau \iota \delta \epsilon$ ] exstant secq in euth $1_{5}{ }^{6}| |$

 f vulg || 9 є $\mu \phi a \nu \epsilon \iota a \nu$ vulg $\| \epsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \lambda v \phi \theta$.] $\epsilon \lambda \kappa v \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota$ euth $\left|\mid 12 \mu \epsilon \nu 0 v \sigma \iota 1^{*}\right.$ vulg || ${ }_{15} a \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu$ vulg
8. какі广єเข]' to find fault with.' Tò̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu, ~ ' o u r ~ t e a c h i n g . ' ~ ' ~$
9. $\tau i \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \tau \circ$ ] This question is also dealt with by Athanasius Or. c. Ar. i 29, ii 68. In the Or. in diem nat. Christi (a spurious work, printed in the edd. of Gregory) there is a passage dealing with the same question, which is plainly modelled on the present passage. See Migne, pp. ${ }^{1130-1 .}$
10. Ti $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ oúk] The following passage as far as $\delta \iota o \chi \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \tau \grave{\nu}$ Bion in c. 30 is quoted in Euth. Zig. Pan. Dogmn. pt i tit. vii (pp. 228, 229, Migne).
11. in $\pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \tau 0$ ] 'intercept,' 'cut off' its further advance. Cp. Ar.

12. $\dot{\epsilon \pi i} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu$.] The same illustration occurs in Origen de Princ. iii 13 , with reference to God's dealing with simners. It is reproduced in the Or. in dicm nat. Christi p. 1132 (Migne).
13. Sieф日o $0 \hat{\prime} s$ ] intrans. Xupós 'humour,' used of the bodily juices. 'When some corrupt humour steals beneath the pores.'
14. ou катаф.]'it is not treated with drugrs which close up the body.' The object of the physician in such cases is to open the pores of the body and bring out the disease.
 in,' 'hidden.' 'Avauèvetv takes here, and below, the acc. and inf., as often in class. (ireek.




 $\phi a \nu i ́ a, ~ o v ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ \omega \nu ~ \kappa а \tau \grave{a} ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ́ \gamma i ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$



 $\pi \rho о a \iota \rho \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \quad \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \alpha \nu o v ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ．Є̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota$ ô̂v $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̀ \kappa \rho o ́-~$

 $\pi a ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ̀ \rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau i ́ a s ~ \pi \rho о \chi \omega \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu ~ i f ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i ́ a, ~ т о и ́ т о и ~$
 ขórov．


 （haber in marg $\tau \alpha$ रонорра $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \mathrm{p}) \|+\alpha \pi \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \phi \theta \eta$ eflhn eth $\| \eta$ oo $\delta \epsilon$




5．$\theta$ єomaxia］＇var against God，＇ with reference，of course，to Pharaoh． See Or．in it．n．Christi l．c．mow $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ od $\theta \epsilon o \mu a \chi o s ~ \Phi a \rho a \omega ́$ ；The word $\theta є o-$ rá o os occurs in Acts v 39．Similarly Chrysostom，in his panegyric on the Egyptian martyrs（ii 699，ed． Mont．），speaks of Aizúntou $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s $\theta \epsilon о \mu a ́ \chi o v ~ к а i ~ \mu а \nu \iota к \omega т а ́ \tau \eta s . ~$
ib．in $\rho \eta \phi \alpha \nu i a]$＇pride，＇＇arro－ gance．＇The word is used by the fathers to denote contempt of God and insolence to men．See exp． cited by Suicer．The author of the Or．in diem nat．Christi 1．c． has a similar passage ：$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{A} \sigma \sigma v \rho i \omega \nu$阝aбıлєiav，каi то仑̂ Ňaßovхобovóбор
 ${ }^{2}$ 4．Possibly，however，Gr．was for the moment confusing the As－ syrians with the Chaldaeans．Cp． Hab．ii +5.

6．Iovoai $\omega \nu$ ］Cp．Mt．xxiii 3， 35．Mıaıфovia，＇blood－gziltiness．＇

7．$\pi \alpha / \delta o \phi o \nu i a] ~ M t . ~ i i ~ 16-18 . ~$
9．䉥 $\omega \tau \hat{\eta} s i \sigma \tau$ ．］i．e．unrecorded．
30．＇Why，＇it may be asked，＇has not sin ceased now that the remedy has been applied？＇To this Gr．an－ sowers by an illustration．When a serpent has received a deadly blow on the head，the blow does not imme－ diately deprive the extremities of life． In like manner sin has received its








 10 тòv خóyov тô $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta p i ́ o v ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~$


30. I $\delta \iota ~ а \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu a \tau \omega \nu$ d $\| 3$ $\tau \eta$ к кєфа入ךs deghnp || 5 о кат. олкоs]


 $12 \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{e}$
despatch, but though moribund it is not yet wholly dead. A further objection arises out of the fact that grace has not come to all. This, it is argued, shewos either a want of will or a want of power on God's part. Gr. replies that the objection might have weight if all had not had the chance of accepting God's offer. But the Christian faith has been proclaimed in all languages. Again, God has left something to man's initiative. He is free to accept or refuse, and if he refuses the grace which is offered, it is not God who is to be blamed for such refusal, but man.
3. $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ The simile is reproduced in Or. in diem nat. Christi p. 1133 (Migne).
4. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \rho i a \nu]$ sc. $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta \eta^{2}$.
5. ó катótı ó ócos] ' the coil behind,' i.e. all that follows the head. 'Олко́s is used of the trail of a serpent. Here $=$ 'coil.'
6. ̇ं $\psi \dot{\prime} \chi \omega \tau \alpha l]$ 'kept alive,' 'animated.'
ib. $\theta \imath \mu \hat{\varphi}]$ 'spirit,' here used of the animal life, as in Plato's division of the animal part of the soul into
 and cp .4 IO D .
ib. $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \zeta \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ кıขท́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ ] ' चital motion.'
8. 入єเభávors] 'still in its remnants harassing the life of man.' Biov is used absolutely for 'human life.' For this sense cp. c. 8 тò $\beta$ iò $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho о ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota ~ \sigma \beta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu v \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ (note).
9. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' á $\phi \in ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ ] Grr. now passes on to another objection, the want of universality in the spread of Christianity. 'But abandoning their complaint against the taching of our religion on this point also, they make it a matter of accusation that.'
12. $\dot{\eta} \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota s$ ] A synonym for the Gospel, as commonly in St Paul's epistles. Cp. Acts xx 24, 2 Cor. vi r, viii 9, Col. i 6 (with Lightfoot's note).










 $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \mathrm{dp}$ vulg $\pi a \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ouv ov $\theta a \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \mathrm{f}\|5 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota \quad \tau . a . \mathrm{f}\| 6 \phi \eta \sigma \iota \mathrm{e}$ \｜


I．$\left.\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu\right]$＇Tuhile some attached themselves to the word．＇ Aobos is used as commonly in the N．T．of the preaching of the Gospel． Cp．e．g．Acts iv 4.
2．$\hat{\eta} \mu \grave{\eta} \beta o u \lambda$ ．］Such want of universality，it is urged，shewed either a lack of will，or a lack of power，on God＇s part．Compare with this whole section Butler＇s Analogy ii 6 ＇Of the want of Universality in Revelation．＇

4．$\dot{\alpha} \beta$ óv $\eta$ nтоv］＇not according to His will，＇i．e．that God does not will to do what is good．
 which follows．＇If，therefore，in our arginnent，we had taken up this position．＇For катабкєшásèv，used of the structure of an argument， cp．с．І катабкєна́бє（note）．

8．àток入ทроӥ $\theta a=]$＇T＇hat faith is dealt out at haphazard，some being called and the rest having no part in the call．＇＇Aток入ทюoìv＝＇to assign by lot，＇with the further thought of distribution at random，and not on a rational principle．Thus $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o}^{-}$ $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$ is contrasted by Origen with $\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \dot{v} \nu \omega s$ and $\dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，and co－ordinated with кãà $\sigma v \nu \tau v \chi i a \nu$ ． See Philocal．（ed．Rob．）p．210，and esp．p．${ }^{2}+2$ where he says $\phi$ poovỗ $\nu$

 This rendering is preferable to that of Hervetus（in the Latin version of 1573），who translates＇abdicaretur fides，＇taking ȧток入クрои̂̀ in the sense of＇exhaeredes facere．＇ Cp ．Ar．
 and the cognate word $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\delta} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o s$. The idea of Gr．is that there is no exclusive or arbitrary bestowal of grace on particular classes．It is offered by God freely to all．In this denial of an un－scriptural form of the doctrine of election，and in his insistence on the freedom of the will， Gregory＇s language recalls that of Justin Martyr Apol．i th $\epsilon i$ dà $\rho$






 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ．For Gr．＇s insistence on free－ will see c． 7 （notes）．
9．ка入ov $\left.{ }^{2} \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu\right]$ used in its N．T． sense，like $\kappa \lambda \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma$ ，which follows，of the call to the knowledge of the Gospel．

10．$\epsilon i \chi \in \nu]$ The omission of ă $\nu$ （acc．to the best mss）marks the






 Өєòv aít८̣̂тo тov̂ $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \kappa \rho а \tau \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ; ~$


 Є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho о а i ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma, a ̉ \delta o u ́ \lambda \omega \tau o ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a \kappa а i ̀ ~ a u ̉ \tau \epsilon \xi о v ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$,







4 om $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \nu$ e $|\mid$ om tov vulg || 6 om atpows d || om $\tau \eta$ s $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta$ s

 $1^{* v i d}$ vulg || 18 кат avtov d кат auto f
certainty of the conclusion stated in the apodosis.
I. ذ́нóтıцоs] See antea cc. 27, 28 (notes).
2. á̧ias] 'worth,' 'rank.'
3. סià rov̂ro] a parenthesis. The apodosis begins with $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ä $\nu$ ô̂ข $\tau$ เร.
4. $\dot{o} \mu \dot{o} \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o c]$ Acts ii 8-1 I.
6. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \theta \rho o \omega_{s}\right]$ 'all at once,' as contrasted with the gradual acquirement of a language.
ib. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta \delta \delta a \chi$.] The gen. is dependent on $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$.
7. $\pi \hat{\omega} s a \ddot{\alpha} \nu$ oîv $\tau \iota s$ ] The text is in some confusion, as $\tau$ is has fallen out of several mss, while $f$ alone preserves oû $\nu$.
9. $\dot{o} \gamma \dot{a} \rho \tau . \pi$.] Another argu-
ment is now adduced to account for the want of universality in the spread of the Gospel. God respects man's free-will and leaves him free to accept or refuse grace.
10. $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s]$ 'by reason of the exceeding honour in which He held man.'
12. $\dot{\alpha} \delta o u ́ \lambda \omega \tau o ́ v ~ \tau \iota ~ \chi \rho.] ~ С р . ~ с . ~ 5 ~$
 oıov $\chi$ ápıтos.
15. бvүката́ $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu]$ Ср. с. 5 ои̉к
 $\theta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega$ (note).
16. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i$ रô̂ II.] Acts ii 4 I .
17. $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma^{\prime} \alpha\right]$ used here quite generally of a gathering of people. Cp. Acts xix 32.
$\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu, \pi \lambda \epsilon i o v s$ ỏעтєऽ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ oi à $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$


 $\delta v \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta$ рías є̇таıтıâनӨaı．

## 5

31．＇А $\lambda \lambda$＇оủk àmo є’ $\rho \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta ิ \varsigma ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \iota \lambda о \gamma i ́ a s . ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta v ́ v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ \nu, ~$










3 om $\eta \nu$ d $\| \pi \rho o \tau a \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \eta$ vulg

 $1 \mu \in \nu o t$ vulg

5．$\delta v \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho i a s] ~ l i t . ~ ' i l l ~ l u c k . ' ~$ The word is opposed to $\lambda \hat{\eta} \xi \iota s$ ．

31．＇But，＇it may be urged， ＇why did not God compel belief？＇ This，Gr．replies，would have been to destroy free－will，and with free－ will，virtue．Praise or blame in such a case would no longer be appli－ cable to human actions．It is not God＇s grodness，then，but the disposi－ tion of the hearers，which is re－ sponsible for the fact that all have not received the faith．

6－7．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \sigma \tau . \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau$ ．］T $\hat{\eta} s$ would be represented in English by the indefinite article，＇a captious reply．＇ ＇E $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ denotes that the opponents reply from a mere love of disputing， without having any serious argu－ ments to put forward．See Plato＇s definition of тò є́pıбтєкóv Soph． 225
c sq．There is also a disparaging reference in ávti入ozias．

8．д̀ $\nu a \gamma к а \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ ］opp．to $\sigma \nu \mu$－ ßou入єutıкйs．Cp．Plato Lesg． 930 B



II．каторӨои́vт $\omega \nu$ ］intransitive， ＇those who succeed．＇Gr．is thinking， of course，of moral success，a sense of the word which Stoicism had brought into common use．
${ }_{13}$ ．$\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ 入o．$] \quad$ Cp．antea c． $8 \dot{\eta}$ noєpà фú́rls．

I 4．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{b} \theta \eta r \alpha l]$＇if it puts aside its freedom，it loses at the same time its privilege of belonging to the intel－ lectual order．＇Cp．Gr．＇s treatment of free－will in cc．5， 7 ．

15．$\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a \nu o i a]$ See note on $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$






 тò $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ є́ $\phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ катà $\gamma \nu \dot{\varrho} \mu \eta \nu$ єî̀ $\nu a, \delta \nu \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i{ }_{\imath} \delta_{\epsilon}$ крєітто⿱⺌兀 тàs à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i v a s ~ \pi \rho о а \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau 仑 ̂ ~ к \rho а-~$






 $\mu \eta$ vulg

2．it pios］＇life there and then loses its honour．＇The perfects $\dot{\eta} \phi \alpha^{-}-$ $\nu \iota \sigma \tau a \iota, \dot{\eta} \tau \dot{\iota} \mu \omega \tau \alpha \iota, \dot{a} \phi \dot{\eta} \rho \eta \tau a \iota$ imply that the result follows immediately．For this use of 及ios cp．antea cc．8， 30 （notes）．After $\dot{\eta}$ riucwraı $l$ and the Paris edd．have the gloss каi ка日＇ єіцар $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \quad \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \imath$ ò $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$, ＇reason moves in accordance with fate．＇

3．áкivovvos］＇sin may be in－ dulged in with impunity．＇
ib．áкрьтоs］＇and all difference with regard to the manner of life be－ comes no longer discernible，＇i．e．the distinctions between a good and a bad life no longer exist．

1о．${ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a$ ］It is not God＇s goodness，but the disposition of the hearers，which is responsible for the fact that all have not received the Gospel．

32．Another ground of oljection is the death of C＇hrist，or，if not the death，at least the shame attending it．But without such death our Lord＇s assumption of human nature zeould have been incomplete．The death again was necessary in order
that man misht be delivered from death．By dying Christ stretched out His hand to fallen man to raise him up to life．The umion which Christ has effected with mankind enables us to share in His resurrec－ tion．The death upon the Cross has a mystic meaning，and reveals Mis Divine nature no less than His humanity．The projecting arms of the Cross witness openly to our cyes the fact that Christ binds all Crea－ tion to Himself，and brings all things into harmony．His Death was fol－ lowad by llis Resurrection and As－ cension，which bore unmistakeable testimony to His Divine power．

13．Tò $\mu$ á $\iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \notin \nu]$ The corre－ sponding clause is introduced by $\epsilon i$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ кaí below．The objection is first stated in a more sweeping form． Gr．＇s opponent protests against the introduction of death in any form into the plan of redemption．The clause $\tau o ̀ \ldots \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ answers to $\tau i ́$ ， and is paralleled below by ro $\mu \grave{\eta} . .$. $\kappa \alpha \theta v \beta \rho \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$.

















4 om $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1^{*}$ vulg $\| 5 \quad \tau \omega \mu \eta \alpha \tau \iota \mu \omega$ e $\mu \eta \tau \omega$ a $\tau \iota \mu \omega$ vulg $\|$
 $\tau \omega \nu 1$ vulg $\||\delta \delta \omega \mu a \tau o s|$ vulg $\| I_{4} \mu a \theta \omega \nu$ f
2. $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \not \subset \sigma \tau \omega \dot{\prime} \eta s]$ 'with ease,' i.e. without submission to suffering.
3. $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i]$ A modified form of the preceding objection. If for some inscrutable reason ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \nu a$
 that Christ should die, the shameful manner of 1 lis death might in any case have been avoided.
7. tò̀ $\theta$ ávatov $\mu e ́ v]$ Gr. gives two answers to the objection against the introduction of death into the Divine plan. The first is introduced by $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in the present clause. The second follows in the clause beginning $\tau a ́ \chi \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \tau$ ss. The complete assumption of human nature rendered the death necessary. A still stronger necessity for it was to be found in man's need of deliverance from death. The particular manner of the death, i.e. Crucifixion, he
justifies below in the passage beginning ó $\delta$ è $\sigma \tau a v \rho o ́ s$. With Gregory's treatment of the whole question cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. $21-25$.
10. iठı $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\tau} \omega \nu$ ] Ср. с. 26 р. гог (note) and c. 27 init.
ib. Súo $\pi$ ย́ $\rho a \sigma \iota]$ Ср. с. 27.
II. $\left.\tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\in} \nu^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}$ i.e. birth. Tồ $\dot{\epsilon} \phi$. i.e. death.
$12 \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \tau \lambda \lambda$ n's] 'but half complete.' With $\dot{a} \psi a \mu$ évov we must supply aủtoû.
13. $\left.\tau a ́ \chi a \delta^{\prime} \not a ́ \nu \tau \iota s\right]$ A still stronger argument ( $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda o \gamma \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ). The death was necessary to deliver man from death.
 knowledge of the revelation shews a deeper significance in the Death of Christ. Tò $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \dot{n} \rho o \nu$ is here used in a general sense for the Christian revelation.










 1* vid vulg \| + om $\tau \eta \nu$ vulg \| 5 o $\rho \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu]+\kappa \alpha \iota \mathrm{el}^{*}$ vid vulg $\| \quad 7$ a $\quad \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ dghnp $\tau \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho о \tau \eta \tau \iota \pi \rho о \sigma a \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ e $\| ~ \tau \eta \nu \phi v \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{f}$ vulg \| 8-9 $\sigma v \nu a \nu . \tau . a \nu$.
 Thdrt ${ }^{\text {sirm }!~} \eta \ldots \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma a \quad 1^{*}$ vid vulg Thdrt ${ }^{\text {sirm }}$

1. そŋ̂бal] The force of the aorist is 'to enter into life.' Cp. Ign. Rom. $6 \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi o \delta i \sigma \eta \tau$ ' $\mu o \iota$

i6. óảєi $\omega ้ \nu$ ] Cp.c. 25 rov̂ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 however, are divided in the present passage between $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\omega^{\prime} \nu$. The reading $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ may be due to the tendency of the group $f l$ to paraphrase the text. Fronto Ducaeus thinks that the phrase ó $\dot{\alpha} \in i \quad \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ may contain a reference to Heb. vii 25 , but this is very improbable. Krab., following the Latin Version of the Parisedd., renders 'aeternus,' though he retains $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ in his text. For the phrase $\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \in i \notin \mathscr{U} \nu \mathrm{cp}$. Ex. iii $\mathrm{I}_{4}$, Ps. lxxxix (xc) 2, Rev. i. 8.
2. $\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a]$ Krab. rightly translates 'cadaver,' following Hervetus. Fronto Ducaeus, however, suggests ' lapsum,' in view of кú $\pi \tau \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa$ '́та below.
3. ขєкро́т $\eta \tau$ со $]$ Cp.c. 8 init. тò
 $\sigma \theta a \iota$ and ibid. $\dot{\eta} \nu \in \kappa \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \eta s . . . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \eta$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ єis à $\theta a \nu a \sigma i ́ a \nu ~ к \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta$ фú $\sigma \epsilon$. 'Our state of death.'
ib. ä $\psi a \sigma \theta a \iota]$ Cp.c. 15 $\theta \epsilon \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{a} \nu-$ $\theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \eta s \quad a ̈ \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ (note). The inf. is consecutive after rooov$\tau 0 \nu$.

8-9. ö $\lambda_{0 \nu} \ldots$ тòv ä ${ }^{2} \theta$.] 'the whole man,' i.e. body as well as soul.
9. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \quad \gamma \dot{a} \rho]$ The passage which follows as far as $\dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma a l$ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ is reproduced in Theodoret Dial. iii (Impatibilis), p. 300 (Migne).

Io. фира́цатоs] The use of this word, which lit. means 'a lump of clay' or 'dough,' is derived from such passages as Rom. ix 21 , xi 16 , ${ }^{1}$ Cor. v 7. Cp. Numb. xv 19, 20. It is used by patristic writers to denote 'the human body,' 'human nature,' 'the lump of humanity.' Cp. Gen. ii 7.
ib. ó $\theta \epsilon 0 \delta o ́ \chi o s \quad a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ ] This inexact language might seem to indicate that the humanity of Christ was itself a personal subject. In later times, when Nestorianism had arisen, such language would have been avoided. The expression has been altered in the text of $l$ and the Paris edd. into $\dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon o \delta \dot{o} \chi o s \sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \xi$. For simi-





 то̀ ő ő $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega ~ \mu a \nu \theta$ á $\nu о \mu \epsilon \nu$, єi ки́ттєє трòs тòv $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa o ́ т а ~$




3 a $\pi \alpha \nu$ ] $\pi a \sigma a \nu$ deghnp Thdrtrom $\|a \iota \sigma \eta \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{f}\| \tau 0$ ] $\tau 0 \nu$ Thdrt $\|$ + $\tau \iota \nu o s$ $\epsilon \nu o s 1$ om $\epsilon \nu o s$ vulg $|\mid 5$ Tov] $\epsilon \kappa$ rov Thdrtrom : desunt folia nonnulla
 $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ Thdrt $\| 7^{-8}$ єк тоv $\left.\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota o v ~ d ~ \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota o v ~ T h d r t r o m ~|\mid ~ 9 ~ \epsilon \pi \iota ~ \tau o] ~\right] ~$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega$ ef $\| a \nu o \rho \theta$.] a $\nu a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \iota l$ vulg $\| 10 \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu] \eta \mu \iota \nu$ l $\epsilon \iota \mu \eta$ vulg $|\mid \epsilon \iota \delta \iota \iota \epsilon \nu$

lar language see Greg. Naz. Or. xxix 19, xxx 2, with Dr Mason's notes.
I. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau$.] 'just as the action of one of the organs of sense communicates a common sensation to the whole which is united with the particular member.' The mss are divided between $\ddot{a}^{\pi} \pi \alpha \nu$ and $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \nu$. The latter reading is found in the Roman edition of Theodoret. But the alteration of $\alpha^{\prime \pi} \pi \alpha \nu$ into $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ before $\sigma v v_{\text {ai }} \theta \eta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ is a natural one for scribes to make. For the idea cp. I Cor. xii $26,27$.
4. каӨáтєि غ̀vós $\tau \iota \nu$ os] 'as though the whole of human nature were one living being.' T $\hat{\eta} s \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ here refers specially to human nature, but the whole idea is based on the Platonic view of the Universe as $\zeta \hat{\psi} o \nu \bar{\epsilon} \mu \psi v$ Xov. See Plato Tim. 30 , and esp.
广个̂a Єै $\chi o \nu$ äтa á $\theta$ ávatá $\tau \epsilon$. Similarly Synes. Calv.

 For Plotinus' view see Ritter and Preller Mist. of A. Philosophy, vol. iv p. 581 ff ., and, for the Stoic conception of $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon a$ with regard to the Universe, Zeller Stoics, Epicureans and Sceptics pp. 183 ff .
5. $\tau o \hat{v}$ رé $\rho o u s]$ 'the particular member' i.e. Christ, whose Resurrection, by virtue of the $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \iota a$ of humanity, becomes a principle of life for the race.
7. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \kappa \delta \iota \delta о \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$ ] 'being imparted from the member to the whole, by reason of the contimuty and unity of the ruce.'
9. $\dot{o}$ ò̀ oravpós] Gr. now passes on to give a second reason for the manner of the death of Christ.
 does not profess to exhaust the mystical teaching of the Cross in what he is about to say. It may have some other deeper meaning, for those who are 'versed in mystical




$5 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega i \pi \iota \nu o \nu, \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \varsigma \grave{\eta} \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \varsigma \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega-$





 $\kappa а \tau a ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ l ı ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ \sigma v \mu \pi а р є к \tau \epsilon i ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̆ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ \delta \iota a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota ~$

2 入o ${ }^{2} \nu$ ］$\beta \iota o \nu 1^{* v i d}$ vulg $\| \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \epsilon v a \gamma \gamma$ ．］om $\mathrm{f}\left\|3 \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau \iota 1^{1} \mathrm{p}\right\|$｜｜$\mu \eta$

 Thdrt ${ }^{\text {sirm }} \sigma_{\kappa} \pi \omega$ Thdrt ${ }^{\text {rom }}$
teaching．＇ $\mathrm{K} \rho u \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ i．e．the hidden sense of Scripture．He is referring to the allegorical method of interpreta－ tion，which was so marked a feature of the school of Origen．Gr．claims that his own exposition in the following passage is $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi a \rho a \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ， i．e．an interpretation which had be－ come traditional among the churches． See notes below．＇Enciotw－a poetical word，＇conversant with，＇ ＇practised in．＇

1．катà $\tau$ ．$\dot{v} \psi$ ．］Gr．here ex－ pounds the principle on which the allegorical interpretation rests．All words and events（каi єïpntaı каi $\gamma \epsilon$－ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau a l$ ）in the Gospel have＇a higher and more divine meaning＇than that which lies upon the surface．There is a mixture of the＇human＇and ＇divine element＇in Scripture．Cp． Origen in Lev．Hom．v，and see Bigg Christian Platonists pp． 136 foll．

6．$\left.\delta \iota \epsilon \xi{ }_{\xi} \alpha \gamma \mu \notin \nu \eta s\right]$ Cp．$\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ c． 28 （note）．
ib．то̂̂ ठè к．т．крилто́v］＇đi／hile the mystical sonse manifests the divine element．＇

7．வ்ко́入ov $\theta$ орк．т．入．］These words as far as $\pi o \lambda u \pi \rho a \gamma \mu o \nu \epsilon i \nu$ dò $\theta \epsilon \epsilon o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ are quoted by Theodoret Dial．iii （Impatibilis）p． 300 （Migne）with reference to the two natures in Christ．There are however con－ siderable variations in the text of the passage as it appears in the edd．of Theodoret，Sirmond reading $\dot{\alpha} \theta a \nu a ́ \tau \varphi$ for $\theta a \nu a ́ \tau \psi$ ，and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \psi$ for $\tau \rho o ́ \pi \varphi$ ．
ib．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \iota$ тои́т $\omega]$＇in this part also，＇i．e．in regard to the death of Christ，no less than the other events of His life．

10．$\pi 0 \lambda v \pi \rho a \gamma \mu o \nu \epsilon i \nu]$ Cp．c． 10 $\pi о \lambda \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu o \sigma u ́ v \eta s$（note）．
ib．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta}]$ The apodosis begins with toûto סià rov̂ $\sigma \tau a v \rho o u ̂$.

12．$\sigma \nu \mu \pi а \rho \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon[\nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l]$＇extend throughout the nature of existing things in ciery part．＇$\Delta$ a省呅， ＇duration，＇＇continuance．＇




 бvขи́ттєтаı，тє́ $\sigma \sigma a \rho a \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \beta о \lambda a ́ \varsigma, ~ o ̈ т \iota ~ o ́ ~$
 Sıaта日єiऽ ó тò $\pi a ̂ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ є ́ a v \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \delta ́ \epsilon \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma \nu \nu а \rho-~$




 $\sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \nu$ df $\| 9$ om $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ vulg $\|$ I $2 \tau \alpha \pi \lambda a \gamma \iota a$ e vulg $\pi \rho o s \tau \alpha \pi \lambda a \gamma \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho a \tau \alpha$ $1^{*}$ vid

3．Toûto סıà $\tau o u ̂ ~ \sigma \tau a v \rho o u ̂] ~ S i m i-~$ larly Ath．de Inc．c． 25 says that Christ spread out His hands upon the Cross to draw to Him，and unite in one，both Jew and Gentile． Cp．Lactantius Divin．Instit．iv 26 （Migne，p．507）Extendit ergo in passione manus suas orbemque di－ mensus est ut iam tunc ostenderet $a b$ ortu solis usque ad occasum magnum populum ex omnibus lin－ guis et tribubus congregatum sub alas suas venturum．（ir．presents the same thought in a fine passage in Christi Resurr．Or．i pp． 621 ff ． （Migne）．Cp．also contra Eunom． v p． 696 （Migne）．

4．$\tau \in \tau \rho a \chi \hat{\eta}]$＇seeing that its figure is divided into four parts．＇

5．它 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ тô $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o v$ ］＇so that from the centre，where the whole converges， the projections are four in number．＇ II $\rho o 30 \lambda$ ás refers of course to the four divisions of the Cross diverg－ ing from the centre．

6．öт८］resumes the $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ оиิто．
7．$\tau \hat{\psi} \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\psi}]$ i．e．the hour when he carried out the plan of redemption by the death on the Cross．
 c．Eunom．v p． 708 （Migne）， where the same phrase occurs． Similarly Gr．speaks of $\dot{\eta}$ кат $\dot{\alpha}$ тò $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta o s$ оiк．and $\dot{\eta}$ ката̀ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \rho \grave{\nu}$ oik．＇7＇he dispensation of His death．＇ Oiкоромia is here used of the＇plan＇ of redemption．See c． 5 т $\nu \nu \kappa \alpha \tau$


II．$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ кат̀̀ $\tau \grave{\prime} \pi$ ．$\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \tau \alpha]$＇the boundaries on either side．＇

12．$\delta$ caßaì $\epsilon \iota \dot{\eta}$ t̀vvola］＇thought passes over to．＇For the idea cp． in Chr．Resurr．Or．i l．c．＇A $\nu \alpha$＇－


 $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ тov̂ $\pi a \nu \tau \grave{s} \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ т $\eta \nu \delta \iota^{\prime}-$ $\nu o \iota a \nu$ ，каi 入óyıбal тís $\grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ таи̂тa


 $\theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s$ c̈̀ $\nu \nu o \iota a ~ \tau \dot{\partial}$ $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ то仑̂ oтavpô̂ ̇ं $\gamma \chi a \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ．For the attempt to find the symbolism of the Cross in nature see Methodius adz．Porphyrium c．I（ed．Bonw． p． 346 ）．
 то̂ $\pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma v ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \nu, \pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\imath} \lambda$ доүı $\sigma \mu \hat{\iota}$
















$4 \theta \epsilon \omega \rho o v \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ f || $5 \delta \eta$ ] $\delta \epsilon$ dehnp || 6 om $\tau \iota$ e || 7 тоע vit $\rho \kappa$. el ${ }^{* \text { vid }}$
 om $\tau$ o f $\| \pi \rho o \sigma \beta o \lambda \eta \nu$ f $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho o \beta o \lambda \eta \nu 1^{*}$ vid vulg
3. mpoamantâ] 'everyzwhere your thought is preceded and met by the presence of Deity'. 'P. Ps. cxxxviii [cxxxix] 8-ı.
7. тò ú $\pi \epsilon \rho \kappa$.] Cp. prol. єivaí $\tau \iota \nu a, \delta i ́ \nu a \mu \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \ldots \tau o \hat{v} \pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho-$ $\kappa \epsilon \iota \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$.
8. $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ к.т. $\lambda$.$] 'expression or$ title or form of phrase.'
10. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ av̉róv] 'about Him,' i.e. by reason of the IVivine immanence.
ib. ovলфu's] lit. 'grown together with.' 'And through Him acquires union throughout, the parts above being, through Him, connected with those below, and the opposite sides with one another.'
${ }^{13} 3$. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ каi $\left.\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta \nLeftarrow \iota \nu\right]$ The

Cross teaches 'sublime thoughts' by the spectacle which it offers to the eyes.
15. ö $\theta \in \nu$ ] St Paul 'starts from' the spectacle of the Cross with its four projections. Eph. iii 18 . Gr. has the same application in in Chr. Resurr. Or. i p. $62+$ (Migne). Cp. Iren. v 17. 4 ; Rufinus Comm. in Symb. Apost. § $\mathrm{I}+$; Aug. de Doctr. Cher. ii +1 (62).
ib. $\mu v \sigma \tau a \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon i]$ 'initiates.' Cp. Ign. Ëhh. 12 IIaú入ov $\sigma v \mu \mu v ́ \sigma \tau a \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ~$ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \iota a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u$. For the Christian application of terms which were used of the heathen mysteries see Phil. iv 12, I Cor. ii 7 \& c. Cp. also Wisd. viii + . See further note on $\mu \nu \eta \theta \in i \sigma \iota$ c. 33 .
















$6 \kappa \epsilon \rho \alpha \iota \alpha \nu] \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \alpha \nu 1^{* v i d} \kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \iota \alpha \nu$ vulg $\| 7$ ката入а $\beta \beta a \nu \epsilon \iota l^{* v i d}$ vulg $\lambda \alpha \mu$－


2．$\tau \dot{\alpha} s \quad \pi \lambda a \gamma i a s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota]$＇the extensions on each side，＇i．e．the two horizontal arms of the Cross．

3．є่ $\left.\tau \epsilon \rho \theta_{\iota}\right]$ l＇hil．ii 10.
6．т $\eta \nu \mu \epsilon \in \sigma \eta \nu$ кєраiav］＇the central cross－beam．＇Kepaia is used of the ＇yard－arm＇of a ship．Here it refers to the transverse beam which divides the upright beam of the Cross； hence it is called $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \eta$ кєраía． Cp．$\pi \hat{a} \nu$ тò $\delta \iota \dot{a} \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi o v \rho \alpha \nu i \omega \nu$
 language elosely resembling this in the passage already cited from c．Eunom．v p． $69^{6}$（Migne）where he says т $\eta \nu$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \sigma \iota о \nu ~ к а \theta '$, є́ка́тєрод кєраіа⿱ $\tau \hat{\psi}$ то仑 $\mu \eta ́ к о и я ~$ $\tau \epsilon к а i \quad \pi \lambda a ́ \tau o u s$ ò $\nu о \mu a \tau \iota ~ \delta \iota a \sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \omega \nu$ ．
ib．$\mu i \hat{\alpha} \pi \rho o \sigma \eta \gamma o \rho i a]$ refers to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ，which includes acc．to Gr．both $\pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} o s$ and $\mu \hat{\eta} \kappa o s$ ．
 то८аи̂та ката̀ то̀ áкó入ovӨо⿱ form a
kind of secondary predicate．＇The events which follow，as contained in the acconnt，are so consistent in character，that iven unbelievers 今心c．＇ Kavà тó áк．i．e．consistent with the character of a Divine Being．

Io．$\dot{\omega}$ ］depends upon roıaûta．
II．$\theta \epsilon \circ \pi \rho \in \pi o u ̂ s \dot{v} \pi о \lambda$ ．］Cр．с．ıо $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon 0 \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a ́ \nu o l a \nu$ and c． $2+\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ үà $\rho$ ठià $\pi a ́ \nu t \omega \nu$ тò $\theta \in i ̂ o \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~$ $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi$ oú $\sigma \alpha \iota s$ ن́ $\pi o \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \psi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \in โ \nu \alpha \iota$ ．

I3．$\sigma \iota \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \circ \mathrm{l}] \mathrm{J} \mathrm{n}$ xix 34 ［Mt． xxvii 49］．

14．$\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ to＇$\left.\epsilon i \bar{\nu} a l\right]$＇offered no impediment to his existence．＇Gr． is thinking of the Resurrection life． The best Mss read $\epsilon i v a \iota$ ，while $l$ and the P＇aris edd．have $\alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ ，which is obviously a conjectural emenda－ tion．

16．$\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{o} \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ ］prob．a refer－ ence to Lk．xxiv 36，where the phrase $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ av่ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is also found．

 $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau о \varsigma, \epsilon \in \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ тє каì тò $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ à̇т $\hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \nu a \iota$, каì












I $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu] \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mathrm{fl}$ vulg || 6 入o $\gamma \iota \sigma \mu \omega \nu$ ] $\lambda o \gamma \omega \nu \mathrm{~d} \| 8$ om $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ e ||
 1 vulg || 12 є $\iota \tau \epsilon \phi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu a$ om $\mathrm{e}^{*}$

1. єíซódou] Jn xx ig.
2. $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \phi v \sigma \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon] \quad \mathrm{Jn} \times \mathrm{x} 22$.
 20. The present inf. єival reproduces the $\epsilon i \mu i$ of the Evangelist.
3. $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i \mu \epsilon \prime \sigma \omega]$ Ср. с. $6 \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon \in \sigma \varphi$ (note).
 $\nu \operatorname{oov} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\left({ }^{\prime}\right]$ ' to the eye...to the mind.'
4. à $\left.l^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \nu a l\right]$ Acts i 9 .
5. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}\right]$ Here Gr. passes on to the subject of the next section of his treatise, in which he deals with baptism. The whole of the following passage, as far as $\tau \grave{\prime} \epsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ov oúк $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{\lambda} \tau \epsilon$ in c. 34 , is quoted in Euth. Zig. Pan. Dogm. tit. xxv pp. $125^{2} \mathrm{ff}$. (Migne).
ib. $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$.] ' a part of the doctrines of revelation.' Muatıkós refers to the earlier sense of $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta$ ' $\rho o \nu$, 'a revealed truth of the Christian religion.' Cp. c. 9 тò
 no reference here to the other
sense of $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota o \nu=$ 'a sacramental rite,' as sacraments have not yet been mentioned, and the kai before $\dot{\eta}$ катà тò 入ovт $\rho o ́ v$ к.т. $\lambda$. definitely connects what Gr. says with what precedes, i.e. the $\mu v \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho i a$ of the Incarnation and the Cross.

II . $\dot{\eta} \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тò $\lambda$....оік.] the dispensation of the washing.' Cp. Eph. v 26, Tit. iii 5 , the latter of which passages supplies also the word $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma i a$.
12. $\phi \dot{\omega} \tau \iota \sigma \mu a]$ Cp. Heb. vi 4 , x 32. Cp. Justin $A p$. i 6 к калєiтal

 $\mu a \nu \theta a \nu \delta \delta \tau \omega \nu$. Similarly Gr. says, in Bapt. Christi p. 592 (Migne) ö $\pi 0 \cup$ ن̈ $\delta \omega \rho$ тò $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \delta \partial \nu, ~ \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каі $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ т̀े $\zeta \omega \pi v \rho o \hat{v} \nu$, тò $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \dot{o} \nu$, тò $\pi$ ироєь $\delta$ '́s, тò тоùs $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i ̂ s ~ к а i ̂ o \nu ~ к а i ~$ toùs $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o u ̀ s ~ \phi \omega \tau i \zeta o \nu . ~ F o r ~ a l t e r n a-~$ tive titles of Baptism see Clem. Al. Paed. i 6 (p. is 3 , Potter), Greg. Naz. Or. xl p. 698.

33．＇E $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta a ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho \pi a \rho ’$＇$\mu \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o \iota o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ a ̀ \kappa o v ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu, ~$











33． $4 \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ euth 7 vulg $\| 6 \gamma_{\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu}$ dehp $\tau 0 \gamma$ ． $\left.1 \| \iota \omega \omega \pi \pi \rho\right]$ $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma^{\alpha \rho} 1$ vulg $\| \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega$ l 1 vulg｜｜ $7 \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu$ ．hnp $|\mid 8 \phi \theta \circ \rho a \nu]+\gamma \epsilon \nu$－
 $\delta a \chi \theta \omega \sigma$ euth +5

## Chaps．XXXIII－XL．THE SACRAMENTS．

i．Chaps．XXXIII－XXXVI．On Baptism．

33．Just as the natural birth is our introduction to this mortal life， so the new birth of baptism is our introduction to eternal life．The means by which this regeneration is effectid is prayer to God，the invo－ cation of Divine srace，water，and faith．If it be asked how these effect the beginning of a new life， it may be sufficient to reply that zue do not understand how the natural process of generation effects the pro－ duction of a human being．In either case the answer is the same．The result is due to the presence and working of the Divine Power，using natural means to effect some higher end．

For Gr．＇s treatment of Baptism see further his treatise in Bap－ tismum Christi，esp．pp．581， $5^{84}$ ， 585 （Migne）．

1．$\tau \grave{\text { oे } \tau 0 \iota o ̂ ̂ \tau o \nu] ~ e x p l a i n e d ~ b y ~ o ̈ т \iota . ~}$

The protasis is resumed by $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \grave{\alpha} \nu$ oü $\tau$ oút $\omega \nu$ ，and the apodosis begins at $\delta v \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \theta$ ज̂s ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi o v \sigma \iota$ ．

2．$\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ} \tau i \eta \nu \zeta \omega \eta \dot{\nu}$ ］i．e．to eternal life．
ir．$\epsilon \dot{u} \chi \grave{\eta} \pi$ ．$\theta$ ．］Cp．Justin $A p$ ．
 oע $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{s} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \eta \mu \alpha \rho-$
 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \nu \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каi $\sigma v \nu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v \partial ้ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ aủ oîs．
ib．$\chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \tau o s ~ o ̛ ́ \rho . ~ є ̇ \pi i \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s] ~ C p . ~$ in Bapt．Christi p． $5^{8}+$（Migne）$\tau \grave{̀}$ v̈ $\delta \omega \rho$ oúdèv ä入入o $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ v o \nu$ $\ddot{\eta}$ v̈ $\delta \omega \rho$ ，
 $\nu o \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \epsilon \in \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu, \tau \hat{\eta} s \not a ̈ \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota-$ тos єù入oरov́aŋs aủtó：de Baptismo p． 42 I （Migne）$\pi \hat{a} \nu$ vi $\delta \omega \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \dot{\delta \epsilon} \delta \iota \nu$ єis т $\eta \nu$ тô̂ $\beta a \pi \tau i \sigma \mu a \tau o s ~ \chi \rho \epsilon i a \nu$,
 עovtos，кaì єủ入oरíà toû à $\gamma$ เáSovtos $i \in \rho \epsilon \omega \omega$ ．














 $9 \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s]+$ тov dnp || 12 є $\epsilon \in \nu \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ l vulg euth 45 || 13 om $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota$ vulg || ороs] $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a \operatorname{l}$ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a \tau \iota$ vulg oрaбıs $\mathrm{f} \|$ om $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho о \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \mathrm{~d}$
2. $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \dot{p} \rho o \nu]$ here approaches the sense of 'sacrament.' 'p.c. 34

ib. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t o ̀ ~ \phi a u \nu.] ~ i . e . ~ т o ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \mu a-~$
 the ritual action, the material employed, and the formula of Baptism.
3. $T \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma$.] The grace promised in Baptism is a spiritual gift. How can the bestowal of such a gift be said to 'coincide with' the performance of an outward, bodily act?. In in Bapt. Christi p. 58r (Migne) Gr. defends the sacramental principle by a different argument from that of the present chapter.
 used as an adjective. ' $A$ source of life.'
6. $\mu \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \tau$ Ср. c. $3^{2} \mu \nu \sigma \tau \alpha-$ $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ (note). On the use of the words $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \ell \nu$ and $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \neq \nu$ with reference to the Christian Sacraments see Lightfoot's note on Ign. Eph. 12.
8. $\sigma v \gamma к а \tau a ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ Ср. с. $ร$ оง่к
 ката日'́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ (note).
 Bapt. Christi p. $58+$ (Migne) $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$
 riveral; The same illustration is used by Justin (Ap. i i9) in treating of the resurrection of the body, and also by Methodius de Resurr. ii 20 (ed. Bonw. p. 235).

1о. áфор $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ Ср. с. $5 \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \phi о \rho \mu \dot{\alpha} s$ є่ $\gamma к а \tau \alpha-$ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v ́ a \sigma a s$ (note).
II. $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda$.] used of the sowing of seed in Plat. Theaet. r49. Сp. Heb. xi if.
ib. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu]$ 'Yet surely in that case there is no principle which discovers by any' process of calculation the probable cause.'

I3. őpos] 'the definition' of a man. This is a common sense of the word in philosophical writers. ib. $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu \varphi]$ i.e $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau \iota$.

















 2 єкєเva e \｜｜ 3 $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ d e h n p ~ e u t h ~||~ \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu ~ d e h n p ~ e u t h ~|| ~ 5 ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \eta \mu \iota \nu$



 ${ }_{17}$ autov f vulg

1．тоьо́т $\tau \tau]$＇quality．＇
2．$\delta<\alpha \nu о \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu]$ Ср．с． $6 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


 common one．See Reid＇s note on Cic．Acad．ii 2 I．

3．$\pi ⿰ ㇒ 未 幺 大 亍 \tau \tau \iota]$ a dat．of attendant circumstances．For $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho$ ．with an adv．or adverbial clause，see c． 16
 （note）．＇Is secn to possess a quality of moistness．＇

4．$\ddot{\eta} \nu$ roinu $]$＇The reply then which，it is probable，may be given by those whom we asked how it is credible．＇The öt८ is recitative，and
merely introduces the question $\pi$ ôs $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．

II．то́ ن́локєінєขоע］used here in its Aristotelian sense of＇matter＇as opposed to＇form＇$=u ̈ \lambda \eta$ ．Cp．Arist． Pol．i 8． $2 \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ dè v̋ $\lambda \eta \nu$ тò v̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ окє $і$－

 סє̀ $\chi$ a入кóv．＇The matter does not produce the man，but the Divine power changes the visible thing into a man＇s nature．＇

I4．à $\tau$ орєiv］fr．ätovos，＇slack，＇ ＇relaxed＇；hence＇to be powerless．＇

15． $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \mu \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon 1]$＇in this respect．＇ See Lightfoot＇s note on Col．ii 16 ．



 5 фи́бє८ үєıó $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$.






$4 \phi \theta \alpha \rho \tau \eta] \phi \theta o \rho \alpha$ e $|\mid 5 \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \mathrm{~d}$ vulg \｜$\left.\gamma^{\iota \nu} \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu\right] \tau \epsilon \lambda о v \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \mathrm{f}$

2．$\tau \iota \mu \omega \dot{\tau} a \tau o \nu$ j乡̂ov］a Platonic expression．Krab．quotes Plat． Lego．p． 766 A å̀ $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ os $\delta є ́$, c̈s $\phi a \mu \epsilon \nu, \ddot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu$ ，ö $\mu \omega s$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \iota \epsilon \epsilon i a s$
 $\theta \epsilon \iota o ́ \tau a \tau o \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \omega ́ \tau a \tau o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ §乡̣ov $\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma$－ $\theta \alpha \iota \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ．

4．$\tau \grave{o} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau . \phi$.$] ＇transforms what$ is born in a nature subject to corrup－ tion into a state of incormption，＇ i．e．by the regeneration of baptism．

34．What proof，it may be asked， have we of the presence of the Divine Being，when invoked in liaptrsm？ To this Gr．replies that the miracles of the incarnate Choist shew that the Divine power has been present among men．Moreoarer God is a God of bruth，and He has promised to be present with belicvers zeho call upon Him．Add to this the fact that the baptismal rite is preceded by the innocation of God through prayer， and we thus have more than sufficiont proof of IIis operation in the sacra－ ment．In human generation the Divine power acts through the human parents without any such invocation．In baptism there is the action of God＇s power，in accordance with His promise to be present in
this way，cooperating with the human will，and at the same time there is the help which comes through prayer． Such prayer，even if it does not effect an addition to the grace received，does not hinder its operation．The opera－ tion of grace then in baptism is due to the fact that He Who promised it is Divine，while His Divinity is shewn by miracles．

7．$\epsilon \pi i \dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota a \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi} \tau . \quad \gamma$.$] ＇for the$ sanctification of the rite．＇For è $\pi i$ to denote purpose see c． $5 \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ i тoúrous （note）．

8．$\tau \grave{a}$ кат．$\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ ．］＇our preceding investigations，＇i．e．the argument by which Gr．has established the Divine nature of Christ in cc．11， 12.

9．катабкєvท＇］＇proof，＇ср．prol． $\kappa а т а \sigma к є v a i s ~(n o t e) . ~$
ib．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ठià $\sigma a \rho \kappa o ́ s] ~ С р . ~ с . ~ I 2 ~$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ то̂̂ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \sigma a \rho \kappa o ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu i ̀ \nu ~ ф а \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o s ~$ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$（note）．

II．$\delta \in \iota \chi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 s$ ］agrees with the substantival clause $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\theta \epsilon \grave{\nu} \nu$ єiva к．т．入．，the whole forming a gen． absolute．$\Delta \epsilon і \zeta \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ к．т．入．forms a subordinate clause，dependent on $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \ldots \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ ．The reading of ehn and Euth．is an attempt to sim－ plify the construction．＇For when it

тоîs $\delta \iota \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \gamma \iota \nu o \mu e ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \theta a u ́ \mu a \sigma \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \phi v ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ e ́ a v \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta є i ́-~$ छаעтa, $\sigma v \nu a \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon i ́ \chi \theta \eta$ тò тарєîขaı тoîs $\gamma \iota \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ \nu ~$









 $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ оưк à $\mu 申 \iota \beta$ á $\lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$. тò $\delta є ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \eta \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~$
 5 เठเov є. т. $\theta . \phi .1$ vulg $\| 8$ om ouv fl vulg кає оขкєт 1 vulg om à

 desinit euth
was shewn that He Who was manifisted in the flesh is God, seeing that He revealed His oim nature by means of the wonders exhibited in the events of His life.'
2. $\sigma v \nu a \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon i \chi \theta \eta]$ The two arguments on which Gr. rests his proof of the operation of (iod through sacraments are: (i) the Incarmation has shewn that God's power has been at work among men. (2) Christ's promise to be present with the faithful and have special intercourse with them can be relied upon, because God is a God of truth. The present passage deals with the former of these. The latter is the subject of the following sentences.
ib. toìs jıvout̀voss] CP. supra $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \iota \nu 0 \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$. 'It was shewn that He is present at what is done every time that He is inzoled.'
4. iסıótचs] 'peculiarity.'
5. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu]$ 'rvell, then.'
ib. $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \pi \alpha \rho \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l]$ Mt. vii 7 ; Jn xiv 13 , xv 7,16 , xvi 23.
6. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega]$ Mt. xviii 20 , xxviii 20.
7. $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \epsilon \iota \nu] \quad \mathrm{Jn} \mathrm{xv}+$ foll.
8. ovveival] 'have intercourse with.' Jn xiv 23 .
12. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{a} \psi$.] 'that the thing promised is there in virtue of the unfiriling truth of the promise.'
13. Tò $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \circ \eta \gamma$.] ' while the fact that the invocation by prayer precedes the Divine dispensation constitutes as it were a superabundance of proof.' (Gr. means that the facts already cited are sufficient to prove the working of Divine grace, apart from the argument derived from the invocation of God by prayer. He illustrates his meaning by the analogy of human generation in which Divine power cooperates with human effort irrespective of such invocation.
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 каi $\theta \epsilon о \hat{v} \pi а \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau о \imath ̂ \varsigma ~ \gamma \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~ \grave{~} \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о v ~ к а і ̈$

 $\sigma \pi o v \delta a \zeta \zeta_{o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu}$ óp $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ є’ $\chi o v ́ \sigma \eta s, ~ \epsilon i ~ \sigma v \mu \pi a \rho a \lambda \eta \phi \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta$


 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \varsigma ~ \gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu, o v ̉ \delta є ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ aै $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \nu \epsilon i ̉ \nu a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \phi \dot{\eta} \sigma є \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$




 $18 \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu$.] $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu 0<\mathrm{fl}^{* v i d}$ vulg
I. oiкоромias] here used of that part of the Divine 'plan' which relates to the use of sacramental means. Cp. infra $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \mu v \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$ таи́тŋs oiкороиias.
5. Є̇ $\nu$ тoîs $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \in \nu\right]$ in c. 33 .
7. áтрактоs] 'their effort is inoperative and fruitless.'
10. каө̊ $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau$.] on the strength of such passages e.g. as Eph. v 25, 26.
12. $\epsilon i$ ovur.] 'if, at the same time, the help which comes through prayer be duly invited.'

I4. каөа́тєр к.т.入.] The purpose of this illustration appears to be to shew that, even apart from any invocation to God to bestow His grace,

His Divine power and His promise to come in this way constitute sufficient proof of His presence in the rite of baptism.
ib. $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi \alpha \hat{v} \sigma \alpha l]$ a word found in Eph. v 14 .
15. aữois $]=$ éavtois. For this reflexive use of the oblique cases of aútós see Lightfoot's note on Col. i 20.
16. $\pi a \dot{v} v \omega \mathrm{c}$ ]' that which happens in any case.'
18. oüt $\omega$ s oi $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu \notin \nu o l]$ Gr. has not fully expressed his thought in this sentence. We have to supply, with Glauber (quoted by Krab.), some such words as 'and make request to God.'





 סıà $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тò $\pi a \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu a l ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ o u ̉ \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu ~ a ̀ ~ \mu \phi ı \beta o \lambda i ́ a \nu ~$ єौ $\chi$ є.



$+\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu a \iota]$ om $\mathrm{f} \epsilon \iota \nu a \iota \mathrm{dg}^{*} \mathrm{hnp} \pi a \rho \epsilon \iota \nu a \iota \mathrm{~g}^{1} \mathrm{l}^{1}$ || $5 \tau 0$ ] $\tau o \nu$ fh 35. $9 \epsilon \iota \mathrm{~s}$ $\tau \rho \iota s]$ om $\epsilon \iota s \mathrm{fg}^{1} \mathrm{l}$ vulg $\tau \rho \epsilon \iota \mathrm{s}$ defg*hnp
 sacramental dispensation.' For this use of $\mu v \sigma \tau \iota \kappa$ ós with reference to the sacraments cp. c. Eunom. xi p. 880 (Migne) $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \dot{\beta} \lambda \omega \nu \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu$ т ті̀ $\nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha \nu \kappa \rho \alpha-$ $\tau \dot{v} \downarrow \in \sigma$ ac. Similarly in in Bapt. Christi p. 58r (Migne) Gr. speaks of $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \dot{\zeta} \epsilon \omega s$.
3. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \nu$ ] i.e. by their prayers.
4. $\sigma v \boldsymbol{\nu} \hat{\nu} a l]$ cp. supra $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \psi$ $\sigma v \nu \in i ̂ \nu a u$.
5. $\dot{\eta} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} s$. $\quad$. $\alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a]$ See supra and cc. II, 12.
35. Gr. now unfolds the inner signifucance of the rite of baptism. The redemptive acts of Christ, His Death and Resurrection, rather than His teaching, are the means by which man's salvation is effected. These must be reproduced or copied by II is disciples. Baptism is the means by which we imitate what Christ did. The threefold immersion and rising again from the water represent the three days' burial and resurreition. But in our case the process of the resurrection is only accomplished in
stages, of which Baptism is the first. The imitation of Christ consists in the break with sin begun in baptism. The two things which contribute to the overthrow of sin are repentanice and the copying of the death of Christ, so far as the poverty of our nature allows. Our rising again out of the water corresponds to Christ's rising from the tomb, and shews the ease with which Christ first, and mankind after Him, pass to their resurrection. The humble beginning made in baptism is a necessary prelude to our rising again to a blossed and divine life. For those who lack the purifying srace of baptism there will also be a resurrection, but in their case, in place of the washing of baptism, there is prepared the refining fire.
9. єis трís] Cр. є́ $\pi i$ т $\quad$ is Acts x 16, xi 10. 'And the threefold immersion of the person in it.'

Io. $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \rho \circ \frac{1}{}$ ] The $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota о \nu$ here is the inner teaching contained in the rite of baptism, which he expounds in this chapter.






1 ката $\delta \iota \alpha \delta o \chi \eta \nu \mathrm{f}^{*}$ vulg $\| 5 \delta^{2} \delta a \sigma \omega \theta \eta \mathrm{f} \sigma \nu \nu \delta \iota a \sigma \omega \theta \eta \nu a \iota$ vulg

1. катà $\tau$. $\delta \iota \delta . \dot{v} \phi$.] 'did not owe its effectiveness so much to instruction by way of teaching.' For $\dot{v} \phi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \iota s$, which is a l'latonic word, see note on $\dot{v} \phi \eta \gamma o v \in \in \nu o \nu$ c. $+\operatorname{sub}$ fin. The words кат $\tau, \delta \iota \delta$. define the character of such 'instruction.' The essence of the Gospel lies, according to Gr., not so much in the verbal teaching of Christ, as in the redemptive acts of His life.
2. ímoбтàs когр.] For the idea that the Death and Resurrection of Christ were representative and corporate acts, in which He unites mankind with Himself, according to the teaching of St Paul (Rom. vi 3-1I), cp. Ign. Magı. 5 $\delta i$ ov̂


 $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho i s$ oũ $\mu \in \tau \alpha \nu \circ \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \theta o s$, ö $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$. It is a special feature of the treatment of the Atonement in Athanasius. See e.g. de Inc. 8,37 ; c. Ar. ii 7 .
3. $\left.{ }^{\text {E }} \rho \gamma \varphi \ldots \in{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \in \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a s\right]$ explanatory of $\epsilon \pi \frac{1}{} \eta \sigma \in \nu$. 'Seeing that He has made life an accomplished fact.' ${ }^{\prime} E \rho \gamma \omega$ opposed to $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$. Christ did not merely teach men how life could be found. He actually effecterl it in them through His assumption of human nature.
4. $\sigma v \nu a \pi o \theta \epsilon \omega \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s]$ Cр. c. 37 - $\sigma v \nu a \pi \circ \theta \epsilon \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \iota \nu 0 \nu$, and for the idea see c. 25 кат $\epsilon \mu \chi \chi \eta$ т $\rho$ oेs $\tau \grave{̀}$
 $\tau \grave{o} \theta \epsilon \hat{i} 0 \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \iota \xi \iota a \quad \gamma \epsilon \dot{\nu} \eta \tau a \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \circ \nu$. The idea of a $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \sigma \iota s$ of human nature resulting from the Incarnation is
found as early as Irenaeus (iv praef. 3 fin., 38. 4). It appears in Clement and Origen, and is of common occurrence in Athanasius and later fathers. The scriptural starting point of the phrase is to be found in the two passages Ps. lxxxi (lxxxii) 6 and 2 Pet. i + . Cp. also Eph. iv ${ }_{17}-\mathbf{2}_{4}$. By Athanasius it is frequently associated with the idea of immortality. IIe also has the expressions 'renew' and 'deify', c. Ar. ii 47, 'hallow' and 'deify' c. Ar. iii 39, 'adopt' and 'deify' c. Ar. i 39. In using such language, however, these writers carefully distinguish the Sonship of Christ from the adoption of Christians. See further on the history of the terms $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \sigma \iota s$ and $\theta \epsilon$ отоínots Harnack Hist. of Dogm. (Eng. tr.) vol. iii ${ }^{1} 6_{4}$ note 2 ; Inge Bampton Lectures p. ${ }^{1} 3$ and App. C. pp. 356 ff . On the $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \iota$ of the Lord's humanity Origen says in c. Cels. iii $47+$ (Philocal. ed. Rob. p. 124) tò $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\theta \nu \eta \tau \grave{\nu} \nu a \cup \dot{\tau} \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega$ $\pi i \nu \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ aủt $\hat{\omega} \psi v \chi \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu o \nu$
 каi àvакра́бє८ т̀̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ ~ ф а \mu \epsilon \nu ~$

 $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \nu a \iota:$ and again ibid. $\tau i$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ ' I ~ \eta \sigma o ̂ v ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \quad \pi \rho o \nu o i ́ a ~$



 $\dot{a} \epsilon ́ \rho a, \tau \hat{\psi} \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ тò v̈ठ $\omega \rho$ єîval (note).














 $\lambda a \beta \nu \rho i \nu \theta \omega \nu$ т入ávas $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̀ \nu$ ả $\mu \eta \chi a \nu o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, єl̆ тıvos

 14 a $\quad$ arovтas I＊vid vulg｜｜ката ${ }^{*} \tau \iota \sigma a \iota$ I $^{*}$ vulg


ib．$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in ́ v \in \iota a]$ used here as little more than a synonym for ó $\mu o t o ́ \tau \eta s$ ．

2．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau . \gamma \iota \nu$.$] ＇in what is done$ by him who follows．＇The reference is，of course，to the rite of baptism． II $\rho o ̀ s \tau o ̀ \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma$ ．depends on $\dot{o} \mu o \iota o t \eta s$ ．
$\left.3^{-4 .} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau i \sigma \iota \nu . . \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta\right]$ Өє $\omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$－ $\sigma \theta a \iota$ as usual is a mere synonym for єival or $\gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a i$ ．For the expres－ sion $\epsilon \hat{i} \nu a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ or $\gamma \dot{i} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ cp．c．I $\epsilon \nu \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} \ldots \epsilon \hat{\nu} a l$.
 of life．＇

4．$\dot{\alpha} \dot{a} \pi o ́ \sigma t o \lambda o s]$ Heb．ii 10. Gr．，like Athanasius and Gregory of Nazianzus，includes the Epistle among those of St Paul．

5．като $\rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta}]$ lit．＇may be set right，＇＇may＇be directed in accord－ ance with．＇＇p．Aesch．Cho． 512 $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \omega ́ \rho \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \phi \rho \in \nu i$ ．Toís $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ ．
dat．of interest．
6．$\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$＇For as they who are trained by wohat they see into rhythmical and orderly move－ ment are led on to skill in arms by trainel tacticians．＇＇Е⿱亠䒑o斤入ıos is used of the metrical time adapted to the tune of a march．Cp．Ar．Nub． 651
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \sigma^{\pi} \lambda \iota \nu \nu$ ．Hence it is used of a rhythmical movement．

II．$\tau \hat{\omega} \ldots \dot{\xi} \xi \eta \gamma o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \varphi]$ i．e．Jesus Christ．T $\hat{\omega}$ є＇$\zeta$ ．is governed by є̈ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．＇They who have an equal zeal for what is good must in like manner follow by way of careful imitation Kim who conducts us to our salvation．＇

16．$\lambda \alpha \beta v \rho i \nu \theta \omega \nu \pi \lambda \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \alpha$ ］＇the wivind－ ings of mazes．＇T $\hat{\omega} \nu$ olk $\omega \nu$ shows that Gr．has in view some such building as the labyrinth of Minos of Crete．







 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \theta \eta$. тí o乞̂v $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \rho \chi \eta \gamma o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$




 $15 \kappa \lambda i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau a i ́ \tau \epsilon \kappa а і$ катакри́ттєта८. $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ סє̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ै \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda a$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma v \gamma \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \iota a \nu$ є́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \gamma \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa a \grave{\imath}$ v̋ $\delta \omega \rho$, $\mu$ óva $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau о \iota \chi \epsilon i \omega \nu$
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6. ódồ] 'take to the same path.' For this use of the gen. with $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha}-$ $\nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{cp}$. Thucyd. iii $24 \lambda a \beta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \partial \rho \omega \nu$.
ib. סi' iss] 'by which He who entered it succeeded in passing outside His environment.'
8. фрovpáv] Cp. c. ${ }^{23}$ द̀v $\tau \hat{n}$ тô̂ tavátov ф $\rho$ vupầ. Kral). compares Plato Phaed. 62 в ẗv $\tau$ th

 'mankind' as a whole.
10. $\nu \epsilon \kappa \kappa \omega \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'a state of death.'
17. $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \phi \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta}]=\kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega \phi \epsilon \rho о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$.
18. $\delta \iota$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$. крат.] 'held by one another.'

2 I . $\delta \iota a \tau v \pi o \hat{\tau}$ al] 'is represented in the neigthbouring element.' (ir. has the same idea in in Bapt. Christi p. 585 (Migne) $\epsilon \pi i \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{o}$

 $\dot{\omega} \dot{o} \dot{o} \sigma \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}$.
ib. $\dot{o} \quad d \nu \omega \theta \theta \nu \quad \nsim \nu \theta$.] Jn iii 31 , 1 Cor. xv 47 .
22. ג̀ $\nu \lambda a \beta \omega \nu$ ]' after Me had assumed a state of death.'











 $\mu$ èv то̂ каӨ $\quad \gamma \quad 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota o \nu ~$



3．катঠ́p $\theta \omega \mu a$ a a philosophical term denoting＇right conduct＇or ＇duty．＇On the patristic use of the word＝＇a right action，＇＇a good work，＇see Suicer Thesaurus．So Kab．（following the Paris editors） translates here＇ad idem，quod ab ipso recto gestum est，intuens．＇The word，however，seems here to be used in the simpler sense of＇a sac－ cessful accomplishment．＇＇Looking． to the same successful issue．＇So Oehler，＇in Anssicht auf dis gleiche gliuckliche Werk．＇Cp．с． 36 т єن̇ко入ía то仑̂ каторө＇்цатоs．
ib．to к．т．Қ．．．．tépas］＇the grab， which is life．＇For this use of катá with the acc．as a circumlocution for the gen．see Bless N．T．Gramme． （Eng．tr．）p．I33．
4．$\grave{\epsilon \pi} \pi \chi \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{vos}]$ having water poured upon him．＇＇This is the force of the mid．Cp．infra to $\ddot{\delta} \delta \omega \rho$ т $\rho i$ s $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \in \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ ．The word suggests affusion，rather than immersion． But únoóús which follows implies immersion．

5．$\pi \in \rho \iota$ ódoss］Gr．is thinking of the＇three separate times＇that the
convert is immersed and rises again from the baptismal waters．
ib．$\tau \rho \stackrel{\eta}{\mu \epsilon \rho \rho o v] \text {＇attained after three }}$ days．＇Cp．in Rapt．Chr．p． 585



7．$\grave{\epsilon} \nu$ roîs $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma$ ．］i．e．in c． 8. See also c． 16 ．
ib．кат＇oiкоромiav］＇by quay of accommodation，＇ie．in view of the circumstances of man＇s Fall．＇E $\pi \hat{\eta}-$ ктat，＇introduced．＇



II．$\dot{\alpha} \pi a \theta \hat{\eta}]$＇free from passion．＇ ＇Aке́раиоу，＇pure．＇Cp．Rom．xvi 19.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{~} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ кá入入入os àaбтol－ $\chi \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ тò $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \hat{v} o s$.
14．$\dot{\eta} \kappa$ к．т．$\theta \ldots$ ．．．oik．］Cp．с． $32 \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 оікоуоиіая．Gr．means that in Christ＇s death the purpose for which death was appointed was fully at－ trained（ $\tau \grave{o} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \circ \nu$ er $\sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ ）．This is further explained in the following sentence $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta} \tau \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ к．т．入．


 $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ àv ка $\theta a \rho \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \phi v \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta \iota a \lambda v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota, \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$ каi $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau о \varsigma, \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
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completely fulfilled in accordance zuith its special aim.' For èveє入ف̄s see note on $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ c. $2_{4}^{4}$.

1. $\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ ] For the argument see c. 16 , upon which the language of the present passage is largely modelled.
2. $\sigma v \mu \phi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] Ср. с. 16 ä $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o$ os $\sigma v \mu \phi v o \mu \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$.
3. oủ $\chi \omega \rho \rho \bar{\epsilon}]$ 'our nature does not admit of.'
4. тautéverau] 'what is lacking it stores up for (lit. 'in') the time to
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ таî̀a $\beta i \varphi$ тєтацiєvтai $\dot{\eta}$ $\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon$ éa.

10-11. тò ...̇̇ $\mu \pi o \imath \hat{\eta} \sigma a l]$ The action here described is the answer to the preceding question $\tau i \ldots, \chi^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ $\delta$ meitital; 'The effecting of the destruction of the evil mingled in our nuture, represented in the image of mortification conveyed by the

 water is an $\epsilon i k \dot{\omega} \nu$ of mortification, just as the rising again is a type of the resurrection. Cp. infra $\tau \eta \nu$
 крьго́цє $\theta$.
12. $\left.\delta<a \kappa о \pi \eta^{\nu}\right]$ It is not so much a complete destruction of sin, as 'a kind of break in the continuity of sin.' $\Delta$ сакот $\dot{\eta}$ is lit. 'a gash' or 'cleft.'
14. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a s]$ 'repentance,'used here in the same sense as $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{d} \nu o c a$.
 means by this expression the baptismal imitation of the death of Christ. The complete 'death unto sin' is only ideally and not actually realized in baptism.
16. $\sigma v \mu$ фиías] Cp. $\sigma \nu \mu \phi v \hat{\omega} \nu$ supra and c. $8 \pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a l \tau \hat{\eta} \psi u \chi \hat{\eta}$ $\pi \rho \grave{s}$ тò какòv $\sigma v \mu \phi v i ́ a \nu$.





















 $\epsilon \nu$ I vulg $\| 18 \tau a \gamma \iota \nu$. крıvoı $\delta \nu \nu a \mu \nu \nu$ f
1., à $\lambda \operatorname{lo\tau \rho }$.$] 'putting away of$
vice.'
3. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon[\omega]$ ' $a$ death that was omplete.' 'The adj. is used as a predicate.
6. $\dot{o}$ árór $\tau o \lambda o s]$ Rom. vi 10 .
10. $\tau \alpha \phi$. каì à $\alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ] Similarly Cyril of Jerusalem says C. M. ii 4 , 5 катєби́єтє трітоу єis тò v̈ $\omega \rho$ каi

 aivıтт́́цє $\theta \dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu$, oì $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \backslash \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ є̇ $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, oúd' $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s \sigma \tau \alpha u \rho \omega \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ $\epsilon \in \nu \epsilon i \kappa \delta \nu \iota \dot{\eta} \mu i \mu \eta \sigma \iota s, \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i \underline{q} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$. The basis of this exposi-
tion of baptism is of course Rom. vi 3-1I.
if. Toûto] refers to what follows ӧть к.т.入.
ib. 入aß. к. סıávolav]'thus interpreting it with the mind.'
12. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \operatorname{covolq}]$ It was in the power of Christ to rise from the dead, just as it is in the power of man to rise out of the waters of baptism.
16. à $\nu \alpha \lambda v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ ] 'return.' Cp. c. 23 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \dot{\delta} \tau \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{i} \tau \dot{o} \nu$ ßioo $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \lambda v \sigma \iota \nu$ (note) and c. 39 т $\rho o ̀ s ~ є ́ a v t o ̀ \nu ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$.
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I．$\delta \iota a \phi o \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ］The idea of Gr． is that in each case the result is in proportion to the capacity of each． Christ by His Passion and Resur－ rection effected a result proportionate to His supernatural character．Man by submitting to Baptism equally effects that which is within his capacity，i．e．such a death unto sin as he is capable of．

2．$\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \tau \iota \nu\right]=\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ．
3．$\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \iota \gamma \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu]$ A marginal note in $e$ explains this as equivalent to $\psi a \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，while the margin of $p$ has $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．As used here it is a somewhat colourless term． ＇Come in contact with．＇

4．á $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \pi \lambda a \sigma i \omega s$ ］＇infinitely more，＇strengthens кат’ єủко\íà．
ib．кат＇єіко入iav］＇with facility＇ or＇ease．＇Eüкo入ía is used by Plato （Legg． $94^{2} \mathrm{~L}$ ）of bodily agility． Logically ámєьюот入aбios and $\kappa \alpha \tau$ ， єن́ко入ià are connected with $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon$＇́ $\theta a \iota$ and $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho a \pi \hat{\eta} \nu a l$ ，rather than with $\pi \rho о ́ к є \iota \tau а \iota$.

5．тро́кєเтац］＇is set before．＇The
following infinitives $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\tau \rho a \pi \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ are explanatory of $\pi \rho o ́-$ $\kappa є \iota \tau \alpha \iota$ ．
ib．т $\rho a \pi \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s \pi a ́ \theta o s]$＇to suffer any change involving weak－ ness．＇Cp．the argument of c． 16.

7．$\pi \rho \rho \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a l]$ Baptism is a representation in act or＇preparatory rehearsal＇of the resurrection．

8．тò i̋ov．．．єis єỉk．］Tò i̛бov modifies $\epsilon i s \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \kappa$ ．C＇p．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \pi \lambda a \sigma i \omega s$ кат’ єủко入íà supra．

9．$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \delta i ̂ v a l] ~ s u g g e s t e d ~ b y ~ t h e ~$ rising from the immersion of bap－ tism．

10．$\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\right]$ l Baptism，Gr． says，may seem a humble beginning of a process which finds its climax in a resurrection to a life of blessed－ ness．l＇et it is a necessary begin－ ning，if that final state is to be attained，just as the humble begin－ nings of human life are a necessary stage in the production of a man．

II．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \gamma \iota \kappa \omega \dot{\tau} \epsilon \rho a]$＇there are some things which are primary in com－ parison with otherr．＇
 ov̉סєעòs єîvaı $\delta o ́ \xi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s ~ \grave{\eta}$ à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho \iota \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$





 т $\hat{\eta} \varsigma \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda o u \tau \rho o ̀ \nu ~ d i \nu a \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ a ̀ \nu a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~$
 $\pi \lambda a \sigma i ้ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ c ̀ \nu а \sigma \tau о \iota \chi \epsilon i ́ \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu . ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о и ̂ \tau о ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$

$2 \tau a \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ d｜｜II $a \nu a \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ vulg $|\mid$ I2 $\delta \eta \pi a \nu \tau \omega s \delta \epsilon \iota$ dgl＊vid $\delta \epsilon \iota$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega s$ $\delta \epsilon \iota$ hnp
 to（or＇by＇comparison with＇）the end．＇
ib．à $\nu \tau$＇oúd $\epsilon \nu$＇s＇s］＇as good as nothing，＇＇of $n o$ account．＇

3．тi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ к．т．入．］Ср．с． 33. ＇What equality is there between a man and＇etc．

4．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \in i \nu \nu 0]$ refers to $\tau \dot{o} . . . \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-$ $\beta a \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ ，тои̂тo to ä $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ os．

5．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \backslash \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu$ ．］The words $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \nu$ and $\mu \in \hat{\imath}\} o \nu$ contrast the resurrection with it，symbolic be－ ginning in baptism．

6．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ］i．e．from baptism．
9．катà тò 入outpóv］СР．с． 32

 surrection（ir．does not mean the general resurrection of all men re－ sulting from the mere＇refashion－ ing＇and＇renewal＇of the composite elements of man＇s being，which were dissolved in death．He means the restoration to the blessed and divine life（ т̀̀̀ є́mi тò цака́ptóv $\tau \epsilon$ каi $\theta \in \hat{\imath} о \nu \ldots \dot{a} \pi о к а \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \nu \nu)$ ．
ib．$\sigma v \gamma к \rho i \mu a \tau o s] ~ С р . ~ с . ~ І 6 ~ т о ̂ ́ ~$ à $\nu \rho \omega \pi$ ivou $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho i \mu a \tau o s$.

11．$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \in i \omega \sigma \iota \nu]$ On the words $\dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \iota o u ̂ \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i \omega \sigma \iota s$ see
c． 8 （notes）．They are used com－ monly by Gr．to denote the renewal of humanity through the Incarnation． Here，however，Gr．uses à áarou－ $\chi \in i \omega \sigma \iota s$ in a more general sense of the recombination of the elements of human nature，after the dissolution of death．
ib．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau o] ~ T h i s ~ c l a u s e, ~ a s ~$ far as $\mu u \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$, is a parenthesis， explaining what he means by the general resurrection．

12．оiк．d̀⿰亻́ $\gamma \kappa$ кals］＇for to this our nature must in any case attain，im－ pelled by its ozon fixed law＇s，in ac－ cordance with the plan of Him who so designed it．＇Gr．means that the resurrection of mankind is due to the operation of natural laws，and distinct from the resurrection to a life of blessedness，which is the work of grace．（ir．assumes here， what he has already stated（cc．5，8）， that man is by nature immortal．
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon i s \dot{s} \dot{\theta} \theta a \nu a \sigma i a \nu \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta$

 $\theta$ єías єiкóvos oú $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \pi \tau о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ ．




 סє́ $\chi \epsilon \tau a \iota, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi a ́ v \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota ~ \beta i ́ o \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda i ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \grave{v} \tau o ̀$
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3. $\mu v \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \omega s]$ Cp. antea c. 33 $\mu \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma \iota$ (note).
4. катךфєias] Cp. James iv 9 (with Mayor's note).
5. Є̇ாi тo $\epsilon i \nu a l]$ For this use of єival cp. c., $32 \mu \eta \delta \dot{\iota} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi o ́ \delta \iota o \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s$ тò єîval $\pi o \iota \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$.
6. $\tau \grave{o}$ 䴔 $\sigma o \nu$ ] Cp. с. $6 \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \in \sigma \omega$, and c. $3^{2} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i \quad \mu \epsilon \in \sigma \omega$.
7. каӨapoiov] Cp. antea c. 27 $\tau \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \sigma i \varphi($ note $)$.
9. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ s] ~ e x p l a i n e d ~$ in what follows as $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \pi a \theta \theta$ 's. Those who have been purified by baptism enter upon a life congenial ( $\sigma v \gamma$ $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon$ s) to their state. The appropriate state ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \varphi \kappa \epsilon i \omega \tau a l)$ for the pure is freedom from passion. In c. 6 Gr. speaks of man as originally $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \theta \grave{\eta} s \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu$. Man's nature first became $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} s$ through the Fall. Cp. de An. et Res. p. 148
(Migne), where he also says $\pi$ poos $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\eta}$ цакарьóт $\eta \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\lambda} \iota \nu$
 $\theta 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ т $\hat{s}$ какías $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$.
12. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \pi \omega \rho \omega \dot{\theta} \eta$ ] Ср. с. 8 є $\pi \iota-$ $\pi \omega \rho o u ̀ r a \iota ~(n o t e) . ~ ' B u t ~ t h o s e ~ w h o s e ~$ natures have become crusted over with their passions.' The idea is that the passions have formed a covering or crust upon the heart. Cp. Rom. xi 7 (with Sanday and Headlam's note) and 2 Cor. iii 14 .
13. ví $\omega \rho \mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu]$ 'sacramental water.' Ср. с. $3+\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{s}$ тaú $\tau \eta$ s oiкovopias (note).
15. $\delta \iota o ́ \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota s]$ 'amendment,' 'reform' of life, resulting from penitence.
ib. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \gamma \in \nu$.] 'should be in their appropriate place.'
16. $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \lambda o \nu$ ] The appropriate place for gold which is adulterated






 каӨаріگоутає．

36．Мウ̀ 犭àp єî̀aı סvvaтòv ő тє коьขòs $\delta \in i \kappa \nu v \sigma \iota ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~$





[^31]36．II $\tau о \nu] \tau о \mathrm{n} \| \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta$ s какцаs f
is the refiner＇s furnace．For the idea see c． 26 （notes）．Gr．is speaking of a purification which follows the resurrection，not of a purgatory in some intermediate state between death and the resurrection．The $\kappa_{\alpha} \theta a \rho \sigma$ ts of which he speaks refers only to those who have not passed through the waters of baptism．He nowhere states that the baptized person has to enter the $\chi \omega \nu \epsilon \tau \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota o \nu$ ． For the source of the idea see the passage of Origen quoted in the notes on c．26．Other passages in Gr．dealing with the subject are $d e$ An．et Res．pp．100， $152,157,160$ （Migne），de Mortuis p． $5^{2+}$（Migne）．

I．$\chi \omega \nu \epsilon \cup \tau \eta \dot{p} \rho o \nu]$＇a smelting fur－ nace．＇The word is used of the refiner＇s furnace in Malachi iii 2 （LXX），a passage which was pro－ bably in Gr．＇s mind．

2．$\mu а к р о i ̂ s ~ v ̈ \sigma \tau . ~ \alpha i \omega ̄ \sigma \iota] ~ C p . c . ~ 26 ~$ таîs $\mu$ акраîs $\pi \epsilon \rho$ oboots（note）．
3．$\dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \omega \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota]$ Cp．с． 26 ．See further Or．in illud Tiunc ipse filius （of doubtful authorship）p．${ }^{1316}$
（Migne）and passages quoted supra．
36．A complete purification from the stains of sin is necessary before man can enter the company of the blessed．The means of effecting this provided by baptism may seem in－ significant and easy of performance． The cfficacy of baptism however de－ pends on the immanence of God， His special presence when invoked， and His activity in succouring the needy．The means by which baptism is effected is faith and water．The one is within the power of our wills， the other is an elcment closely con－ nected with human life．The blessing which results from baptism is nothing less than kinshi力 with God．
9．Kolvòs．．．入ó oos］＇the general reason＇of mankind，＇common sense．＇ Cp．c． $5 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu o t \omega \hat{\omega}$ ．
ro－ri．$\theta \in i=u \ldots$ ．．．$\chi$ opoи̂］i．e．the com－ pany of the blessed．

13．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \dot{\tau}$ r．к．$\dot{\text { v̈ }} \dot{\delta} \theta \in \sigma \tau s]$ Cp．с．$\sigma$ $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\kappa} \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \bar{\zeta} \omega \hat{\eta} \bar{s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$















$$
3 \epsilon \nu \tau o \iota s \epsilon \pi \iota \text {. f } \| \text { кадapı } \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon s 1 \text { vulg }
$$

 катóp $\theta \omega \mu$ (note). 'I call it small owing to the ease with which it is
 infra.
ib. tis ráp] There can be no difficulty, Gr. maintains, for the baptized person in believing that God is present in the baptismal rite. In what follows he summarizes the arguments of $\mathrm{cc} .33,34$.
4. тò oiкєîov] 'that which befits His character,' explained in what follows as $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon o \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu \quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho_{i}$. Gr. has used the same argument befóre. Cp. c. $27 \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \grave{\imath}$ $\tau \hat{\omega}$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ тò $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ रò̀ $\delta \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$.
6. aúv $\eta$ ] sc. $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$. The purification effected in Baptism is the means by which the convert enters upon a state of $\sigma \omega r \eta p i a$. This $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$ is defined below as $\pi \rho o ̀ s$
 The process is of course only ideally complete in baptism. Hence Gr. uses $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \iota$, not $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$, in the next sentence.
9. єن́катóp $\theta \omega \tau o v]$ 'easily effected.' Cp. supra т $\hat{\eta}$ єúко入ía той катор $\theta$ мaтos.
10. Tò $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ oúvtpoфov] 'while the other is intimately associated with man's life.' For $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \tau \rho o \phi$ os cp. с. 23 т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma u ́ v \tau \rho о ф o ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ̀ s ~$ áv $\hat{\omega} \beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \omega \nu$. For the idea cp. in Bapt. Christi p. 581 B (Migne) $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \theta \epsilon \tau o s \dot{o}$ ä̀ $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ os, каi oủx $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda o u ̂ s$ ...каi $\delta \iota a ̀$ тои̂то $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\omega}$ каi бvขє-
 цака тоòs өєратєі́a⿱ à $\pi \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \eta^{\circ}$
 aiซ $\sigma \eta \tau o ́ \nu \cdot \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \delta \dot{\delta} \tau \hat{\eta}$ ảo $\alpha a ́ \tau \varphi$, II $\nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$
 $\tau \omega s \pi a \rho a \gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$.




37. $2-3$ a $\alpha \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta \ldots \delta \iota \alpha \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ тous $\sigma \omega \zeta$. vulg $\| \tau \omega \ldots \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \gamma \sigma \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$ l vulg \| $3 \epsilon \phi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mathrm{fl}^{*}$ vulg

## ii. Chap. XXXVII. On the Eucharist.

37. In Baptism the soul is knit to God by faith. But the body too needs grace. The means by which the body is brought into union with the Author of salvation is the Eucharist. The antidote to the poison which has corrupted the body must, like the poison which it counteracts, be distributed throush the body. Thus it is that the Body of Christ which was made immortal by God enters into our body and zuholly transforms it. The means by which this is effected is eating and drinking. But how can the one body be siven whole to thousands of believers? A study' of the nature of the body supplies an answer. The human body retains its bulk by the continual influx of nourishment. Food and drink become the 'body', and 'blood' of man. The Word of God Himself, when on carth, received nourishment from bread and winc, while His liody also by its union with the Word was raised to the dignity of Godhead. In like manner the bread which is consecrated by the Word of God is transformed, no longer by eating, but immediately, into His Body by the Word. In the same way we may explain howe the wine becomes the Blood of Christ. Thus He plants Himself in the bodies of the faithful that they may partake of incorruption. Gr.'s treatment of the Eucharist should be compared with
the contemporary language of Ambrose de Myst. cc. viii-ix, and with the later teaching of John of Damascus de Fid. Orth. iv 13 , which shews clear traces of the influence of this chapter. For a discussion of the language of the present chapter see Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) vol. iv pp. $29+\mathrm{ff}$. Cp. also Schwane I)ogmengesch. vol. ii pp. 780 ff., Neander Ch. Hist. (Bohn) iv +38 ff . Other passages in which Gr. refers to the Eucharist are in Bapt. Christi p. 581 (Migne), in Chr. resurr. Or. i p. 612 (Migne), we Vita Moysis p. 368 (Migne), de perf. Christi p. 268 (Migne).
38. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \quad \delta \iota \pi \lambda$.] In the preceding chapters (ir. has shewn the efficacy of baptism as a cleansing of the soul from sin. He now proceeds to discuss the provision made for the redemption of the body.
39. ̇ंфа́ $\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ] 'lay hold of.' This reading is preferable to the reading $\tau \hat{\varphi} \ldots \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \gamma o \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \varphi \ldots \epsilon \dot{\phi} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon$ $\sigma \theta$ at which is found in $f$. Fronto Ducaeus cites Chrys. Hom. 82 (83) in Matt. T. vii p. ${ }^{7} 87$ D (ed. Montfaucon) $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{a} \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s \epsilon \bar{l}, \gamma^{v} \mu \nu \dot{\alpha}$ d̀ $\nu$ aùtá $\sigma o \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon$ $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a \cdot \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \dot{i} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \iota$
 $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota$.
40. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha к \rho \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \alpha]$ Ср. с. ІІката$\kappa \iota \rho \nu a ̂ \tau a \iota$ and $i b i d . \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ (notes).














$2 \epsilon \nu \omega \sigma \iota \_\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \mathrm{f} \|+\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ] exstant seqq in euth 3457 || 6 om кає f || $a \lambda \epsilon \xi \iota \tau \eta \rho \iota \sim$ euth 7 vulg $a \lambda \xi \iota \tau \eta \rho \iota o \nu$ e $\| 6-7 \epsilon \nu \tau 0 \iota s \tau \omega \nu a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$
 hl euth 7 vulg $\alpha \lambda \xi_{\varsigma}$ ф арлакор е
41. Tò $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a]$ The antithesis suggests that the Eucharist is regarded mainly as a principle of life for the body. For the view held as to the effects of the Eucharist on the body see Iren. adv. Haer. iv 18. 4, Cyril of Jer. C. M. iv 1, 3, v 9,15 . A similar view may possibly be implied in Ign. Eph. 20 ëva
 áӨavarias, ávтíootos rô̂ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ aंтo-
 such language is Jn vi 54,58 .
42. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ The remainder of the chapter is reproduced in Euthym. Zig. Pan. Dogm. Tit. xxv pp. 1262 ff . (Migne), and in Theoriani disputatio cum Nersete (Mai Script. Vett. vi 366 sq.$)$.
ib. $\delta \eta \lambda \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \circ \rho 1$ Gr. has used the same illustration in a different connexion in c. 26.
ib. $\delta \iota \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$ ov $\lambda \hat{\eta} s]$ Cp. ó $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota-$ $\beta$ ou入єúv̀ c. 26.

43. $\sigma \pi \lambda a \dot{\gamma} \chi \nu \omega \nu$ ] 'the vital organs' of the body of man.
ib. $\delta \iota$ ' $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu]$ i.e. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu$. The antidote is distributed by means of the vital organs throughout the whole body.
 тô̂ $\theta a \nu a ́ r o v . ~ С р . ~ d e ~ H o m . ~ O p i f . ~$

 ref. in $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \in \cup \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu 0<$ is to the story in Genesis iii. On the dissolution of human nature resulting from the Fall see c. 8 .

11-13. $\dot{\omega}$ s...á $\pi \dot{\omega} \sigma o l \tau 0]$ For this use of the fut. opt. in a final clause see Goodwin Greck Moods p. 39.
12. $\pi \rho o t \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \in i \sigma \alpha \nu]$ 'the mischief already introduced into the body by the deadly drug.'
13. àv七тateias] 'the reaction' of the antidote upon the poison.




 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ v ́ \gamma \iota a i ̂ \nu o \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \mu ı \chi \theta є ́ \nu \tau \iota ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu а к р а \theta є ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \nu \nu \eta$－




1 $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ ehl euth 457 vulg｜｜$\pi \rho о к а т \eta \rho \xi a \tau o ~ e u t h ~ 345$｜｜ 3 avт $\nu \nu$ e｜｜
 5－6 тov $\phi \theta о \rho о \pi o \iota o v . . . a \nu \alpha \mu \iota \chi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau o s ~ 1 ~ v u l g ~\left\|~ 6 ~ \sigma v \nu \eta \chi \rho \epsilon \iota \omega \theta \eta \mathrm{fg}^{1}\right\| 8$ от кає euth｜｜ $9 \gamma \iota \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota f 1 \gamma \iota \gamma \nu$－vulg

1．катйр乡ато］＇became the sourice of life．＇
 ̧̌u $\quad \hat{\imath}$ Gr．substitutes $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ a v t \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \circ \mu 0 เ o \hat{\imath}$, ＇assimilates to itself．＇

3．$\dot{\alpha} \theta a \nu a t / \sigma \theta \epsilon \downarrow$ ］For the idea


 $\sigma \nu \nu a \pi o \theta \epsilon \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$ тò $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \nu \nu \nu$ ．Prob． in both passages the main benefit which Gr．connects with the Eu－ charist is that of immortality．（¢p． Ign．Eph． 20 （quoted above）．See further note on $\sigma v \nu a \pi o \theta \epsilon \omega \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta$ s c． 35 ．

5．$\mu \in \tau a \pi \sigma t \epsilon \hat{i}]$＇transmutes and translates．＇On Gr．＇s use in the present chapter of the words $\mu \epsilon \tau a-$ $\pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \nu, \mu \epsilon \tau a \tau \iota \theta \in ́ v a \iota, \mu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \frac{1}{} \iota$ ，and $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \circ$ ồ see Pusey Doctr．of Real Iresence from the Fathers p． 162 ff ．Metamoteiv is used（r）in the present passage and in the following sentence of the trans－ formation of our bodies by union with the immortal Body of Christ： （2）of the transformation of the Lord＇s human Body to a Divine dignity by the indwelling of the Word．See infra $\tau \grave{o}$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \operatorname{r\eta }$
 $\theta \epsilon \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \xi \dot{\zeta} \alpha \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \quad \theta \eta$ ：（3）of the
assimilation of bread by our Lord to His human Body，infra ó $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu \varphi$ т $\hat{\omega}$ б白 $\mu a \tau \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a \pi о \iota \eta \theta \epsilon i s$ a $\rho$ oos：$(+)$ of the sacramental change of the elements，infra tò $\tau \hat{\varphi}$
 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ тồ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{u}$ 入ó $\gamma o v$ цєтатоьєîo $\theta a \iota$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime}{ }^{\mu} \mu \epsilon$ ，and again $\dot{o}$ äpтos．．．$\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v}$ s $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t o ̀ ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ dià roû hóyou $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$－ $\pi o t o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu=s:(5)$ of the transmutation of the wine in our bodies into heat， infra：（6）of the change effected by baptism in the regenerate． Cp ． c．to．This variety of usage for－ bids us to attach to the word any particular idea of the kind of change denoted．The context alone must decide its force in each case．
ib．$\mu \epsilon \tau a \tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ］used here as practically a synonym of $\mu \in \tau a \pi o c t i v$ ． ib．is $\gamma$ á $\rho$ ］• for as when a deadly drug is mixed with a healthy body， the whole of what is mingled with it becomes as worthless as the drug．＇ Gr．returns to his illustration．The dat．is governed by the $\sigma i^{\prime} \nu$ in $\sigma_{\nu \nu \eta \chi \rho}$ ．Tò $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \rho$ ．is the body which has assimilated the drug．Gr．＇s point is that as the deadly drug affects the whole body into which it is infused， so the immortal Body affects the whole body of him who receives it．

9．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu]$ The remedy for

то仑 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau о \varsigma, \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \beta \rho \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi о ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu o \iota s ~$



 à $\theta a \nu a \sigma i ́ a ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a, \mu \grave{\jmath}$ ठıà т $\bar{\varsigma} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~$








 5 vulg \| єavt $\omega$ euth єavto $\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{p}$
the body can only be applied to it, Gr. argues, through the processes of eating and drinking.
2. катд̀ $\tau$. $\left.\delta \ldots . \ldots \tau \rho \dot{\pi} \pi 0^{\nu}\right]$ i.e. $\delta \dot{\alpha}$ $\beta \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ каi $\pi \dot{\delta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$.
3. $\tau \hat{\omega}$ $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota]$ dependent on $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa \epsilon s$, 'necessary for the body.'
4. $\tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \nu \ldots \tau$. $\chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu]$ i.e. $\tau \eta \nu \nu$ §. रóvauıv. Ср. supra ̇̇кєìv тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$,


8. $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ 玄 $\gamma \dot{\ell} \ell \epsilon \tau 0]$ Gr. asks how it was possible for the one Body of Christ to become in its entirety the poşsession of multitudes of believers through the portion received by each, and yet remain an undivided whole. His subsequent treatment of the Eucharist is intended as an answer to this question, and is accordingly limited in its scope.
ro. є́к $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o v]$ The MSS are divided between $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\jmath} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \omega$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o v$. The former is probably a correction. With
 into each recipient through the part given.' For the phrase $\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$
ì cp. antea c. I p. 9 (note). With е́ка́ $\sigma$ тov translate "becomes in its entirety the possession of each recipient through the portion received.' Similarly Zinus, the Latin interpreter of Euthymins (ed. 1555), translates 'totum cuiusque per partem evadat.' Gr.'s idea appears to be that, as the Body of Christ is one and undivided, the recipient, although he receives only a portion, becomes through that portion possessor of the whole. There is no idea, as in some later discussions, that 'totus Christus' is present under each species, and under each particle of the species of bread and wine. See Franzelin de SS. Eucharistia pp. 155 sq .
II. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in I \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi ’$ '̇avtov̂] For the constr. $\mu \dot{e ́ v e ́ v ~ e ́ t i ~} \tau \not \nu 0$ ch cp. c. 39
 d $\epsilon$ l.
 view to logical consistency.' The question which Gr. has just been propounding seems to involve a contradiction in terms.
















6 avi $\eta \nu$ e euth 3 || 8 a $a \omega \theta 0 v \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ] desunt seqq in euth 3457 \|

I. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho$. עon'भãos] 'the sutject proposed for our thought.'
2. $\pi a \rho a \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a l]$ lit. 'to busy oneself by the way.' 'It is fitting that our argument should turn aside for a moment to discuss the physiology of the body.'
 de Sens. c. $+\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ фuбıo入o $\begin{aligned} & \text { ia } \\ & \tau \hat{\eta}\end{aligned}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi u \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$. For Aristotle's doctrine of nutrition and growth see de Anima ii 4 , de Gen. ct Corr. i 5, de Part. Animalium ii 3. The importance of the following illustration for Gr.'s argument consists in the idea that bread and wine are potentially flesh and blood, and become so actually by the processes of eating and drinking and digestion.
4. ن́ $\pi o \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon]$ Cp. с. I oủ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ è $\nu$ $\dot{u} \pi o \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{~s} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$ (note).
5. Є̇ $\pi \iota \rho \rho \epsilon \in$ óv $\eta$ s] Cp. antea c. 16


6. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ่ \chi \epsilon \iota . . \dot{\epsilon} \alpha v \tau \dot{\eta} \nu]$ 'maintains
itself. $\mathrm{Cp} . \mathrm{c} .5$ p. 22 бטขєктєкク́ (note).
 full of some liquid, if its contents were to leak out at the bottom, zeould not preserve its ouen shape around the mass, unless there entered into it other liquid from above to fill up the void, so that he who sees the rounded circumference of this vessel knows that it does not belong to what he sees, but that it is the liquid flowing into it and occupying it which gives sluape to the thing containing the mass.'
15. $\langle\delta \iota o \nu]$ is a tertiary predicate. 'Has nothing that we can recognize of its own to maintain itself by.' That which maintains the body, coming as it does from without, cannot be said to belong to ( $\delta \delta \delta o \nu$ ) the body. Г $\boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\rho} \rho \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \nu$ refers to that which the senses perceive. He is thinking specially of the shape and bulk referred to in his illustration.


















5．катá入入ך入os］Ср．c． 5 кат－
 катєбкєย́aбта．
 roots．＇

7．$\tau \iota \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \overline{\}}$ The fragment of this chapter preserved in Mai Script．Vett．vi 366 reads kvvós for $\tau \nu \omega \omega$ ．

9．$\tau o \hat{u}$ ú $\gamma \rho o \hat{\imath}]$ i．e．the element of moisture in the human body．

II．$\tau . \theta \in \rho \mu o \hat{v}]$ Wine is a means of supplementing the natural heat of the body．See infra．
12．$\delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \epsilon]$＇virtually．＇Used here in its Aristotelian sense as opposed to èvє $\boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ íq．Similarly be－ low Gr．says of the human Body of Christ $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{L} \nu o ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a ~ a ̈ p \tau o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\delta \nu \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota \eta{ }^{2} \nu$ ．

14．ката入入ク่入 $\omega$ ］＇respectively，＇ i．e．solid food becoming flesh，while liquid passes into blood．
ib．á $\lambda \lambda o \omega \omega \tau \tau \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ ．］the body＇s ＇power of assimilating or digesting＇
food．Cp．Theodoret in Ionam c． 2 каi $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ ठи́vaцเs $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\gamma a \sigma \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ є̇к $\omega \lambda$ и́єто．＇А入入оí $\omega$－ $\sigma \iota s$ freq．denotes＇change of quality or affection．＇See Arist．de Gen．et Corr．i 4，where it is defined in the words ötav ن́mouévovtos $\tau о \hat{v}$ vimo－
 є่ $\nu$ тoís aủтô̂ $\pi \alpha \dot{a} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ．

15．$\pi \rho$ òs $\tau . \tau . \sigma . \epsilon i \delta o s]$＇the food being changed into the form of the body．＇In using eifos here Gr． appears to have in mind the dis－ tinction between the＇form＇of matter and its＇substance．＇There is a passage in the de Hom．Opif． c． 27 ，which throws light upon the ideas which lie in the background of this passage．In that chapter Gr．is discussing the resurrection of the body．In spite of the continual flux going on in the body，its ciidos remains unchanged，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{a} \pi a \xi \notin \epsilon \tau-$ $\beta \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\ell} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ aủtē $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ фv́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$

$\mu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ s．тои́т $\omega \nu$ ท̀ $\mu i ̂ \nu$ тоиิтоע $\delta \iota \epsilon \cup \kappa \rho \iota \nu \eta \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тòv
 є’弓ทтєîтo $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ e ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu o ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ тov̂ $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu$





1 каӨ $\sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \nu \eta$ s $1^{* v i d}$ vulg \｜ $3 \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \omega 1^{1}$ vulg

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{i} \delta i \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \alpha \iota \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu \gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ． In the same chapter Gr．discusses the relation of the $\epsilon i \delta o s$ to the $\sigma \tau o l \chi \in i a$ ，and after stating that $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$
 $\kappa \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \pi а \rho a \lambda \lambda a \gamma a i \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu о \rho \phi о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$, he proceeds：$\dot{\eta}$ б̇ к кра̂бıs oủk ä入入 $\eta$ $\tau \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i \omega \nu \mu i \xi \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$,

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \iota \nu 0 \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \quad \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a, \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma-$
 रîठos $\tau \hat{\eta} \psi \cup \chi \hat{\eta}$ тapauєivavtos，ov̉סè
 тútov $\dot{v} \pi ’$ aút $\hat{s} \mathrm{a}$ à $\nu 0 \epsilon i \tau a l, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’ \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \nu a \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$ ס́́ $\chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \alpha u \tau \eta \dot{\prime} \nu, a ̈ \pi \epsilon \rho$ ä $\nu$

 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau v \pi \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \quad \tau \hat{\omega}$ єíd $\epsilon \iota$ ．The whole passage is important as throwing light upon Gr．＇s language through－ out the present chapter．In the parallel passage below（ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s \ldots$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau . \sigma$ ．фи́ $\sigma \iota \nu \mu \in \theta \iota \sigma \tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s)$ Cir．describes the change of the elements of food as a change of $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ s，where $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ s denotes the sum of the qualities，which are the $\gamma \nu \omega$－ piopara of the eidos．Ambrose，in his discussion of the change of the Eucharistic elements uses both ＇species＇and＇natura．＇See de Myst．ix $\$ 52$ non valebit Christi sermo ut species mutet elemento－ rum？．．．non enim minus est novas rebus dare quam mutare naturas．

Thus the idea of the whole clause is that the $\sigma$ roı $\chi$ eia of the food have a new＇form＇imposed upon them so that they become the body．

I．$\mu \in \theta_{\iota} \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\iota} \nu \eta s$ ］Like the pre－ ceding word $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ v, \mu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu a l$ is used by Gr．in a variety of senses． （1）Here and infra p． 148 it is used of the transformation of food into body．（2）In the words infra
 áptos єis $\theta$ єial $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta ~ \delta \dot{\prime} \nu a \mu l \nu$ it is used of the change of bread in the Lord＇s human body to Divine power． （3）In cc．39， $40 \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha \sigma \iota$ is used of the moral change effected in the regenerate．
ih．$\delta$ เєuкрıр．］＇after this thorough analysis．＇T＇à тюoк．＇the subject of our enquiry，＇which he re－states in the next sentence．

3－4．$\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \nu \ldots \tau . \tau . \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta . \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu]$ i．e． ＇all mankind，＇rather than＇the whole nature of man，＇body as well as soul，since acc．to Gr．the Eu－ charist is specially intended for the body，and he would scarcely intro－ duce a further thought at this point．

6．т．єiк．入órou］＇the probable account of the matter．＇Gr．is con－ scious of the tentative character of his explanation（ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha$ ）．
ib．$\epsilon i$ rá $\rho$ ］The protasis is con－ tinued through the following series of clauses，and again taken up by $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau o i \nu v \nu$ ．The apodosis begins with oüт $\omega$ кảкє̂́．

















I $\epsilon \nu]+\mu \epsilon \nu$ euth $\left\|2 \in \phi \eta \delta v \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu g^{11} l^{1}\right\|+\sigma v \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho a \theta \eta 1$ euth 5 vulg
 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota s$ euth || II $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \nu 0 \nu \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ I: rursus incipit f \|| $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu 0$ euth $345 \| \gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu$ os euth $3+5 \gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ dghnp euth 7

1. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \beta$.$] \quad lit. 'included$ under the head of food is bread.' 4. $\sigma v \nu \alpha \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho a ́ \theta \eta$ ] Cp. c. II $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ (note).
2. тарєкаєขото́ $\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon$ ] The idea of $\kappa \alpha / \nu о \tau о \mu \epsilon i \nu$ is that of opening up fresh ground, e.g. the cutting into fresh veins in a mine. Thus it comes to be used of any innovation. 'Did not invent some different composition for human nature.'
3. $\sigma v \nu . \tau . \kappa . к а \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda$.] 'by the usual and appropriate means.' The thought and much of the language of the following passage is reproduced in John Damasc. F. O. iv 13.
4. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota к \rho a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau$. $\dot{v} \pi$.] 'maintaining' (or 'holding fast') its substance.' $\Pi є \rho \iota к \rho а \tau \omega ิ \nu$ is used like б८єкратєîтo below.

1ı. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 u ́ \tau \omega]$ refers, as also does the following roû $\tau$, to $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$. ' $\mathrm{E}_{\kappa \in \hat{\imath}-}$ $\nu 0=\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau о \mathrm{~s}$.
13. $\mathrm{No}^{\gamma} \varphi$ тıvi] 'in a manner.'
ib. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \rho о ф \hat{\eta} s$ к.т.入.] Cp. sutpra, where, however, Gr. uses $\epsilon i \delta o s$ instead of $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \nu$, which here refers to the natural qualities or properties of body. The change effected by the rearrangement of the $\sigma \tau 0 \chi \epsilon i a$ of the food, so as to form 'body,' resulted in the acquisition of new properties or qualities. Cf. supra, notes on $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ and $\epsilon i \delta o s$.
15. Tò $\left.\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu\right]$ 'for that which is characteristic of all men was admitted in the case of that flesh also, that that body too was maintained by bread.'









 таи̂Өá $\tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \sigma a v ́ \tau \omega \varsigma ~ o ́ ~ a ้ \rho \tau о \varsigma, ~ \kappa а Ө \grave{\varrho} \varsigma ~ ф \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ o ́ ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ \sigma \tau о \lambda о \varsigma, ~$

```
    3 a\gamma\iota\alpha\zetaо\mu\epsilon\nuо\nu] \mu\epsilon\tauа\piо\iotaо\nu\mu\epsilon\nuо\nu f || om \lambdao\gammaov f || 4 \pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilonvo\mua\iota vulg |
\xi \sigma\kappa\eta\nu\iota\sigma\sigma\epsilon d || 6 om o0\epsilon\nu df || 9 \epsilon\pio\iota\epsilon\iota\tauо \sigma\omega\mua l*vid vulg || 10 av\tauos f
```

1．$\tau \grave{o ̀} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ］A further step in the argument．Not only did bread become the Lord＇s Body，but that Body itself was transmuted by the indwelling of the Word to the dignity of Godhead．This additional thought is further developed below in the words oúкои̂ $\partial \ddot{\partial} \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{o} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu \varphi$ к．т．入．
ib．$\theta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$ ả ．］Cp．c． $35 \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 $\pi о \theta \epsilon \omega \theta \in i \sigma \eta s \quad \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa o ́ s$（note）．

2．$\tau \hat{\psi}$ خó $\gamma \omega]$ Gr．has in mind the passage i Tim．iv 5 ，which he quotes below．In $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ 入ó $\gamma \omega$ r．$\theta$ ． here，as also in סıà 入ó $o v=\theta \epsilon o \hat{u}$ in the quotation from st Paul，Gr．in－ tends his readers to see a reference to the personal Word，to which he also refers below in the words סıà $\tau o u ̂ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \mu \epsilon \tau а \pi о ь o i ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s . ~ T h i s ~$ is shown by the parallel which he draws with the action of the Word in the Incarnation．In the present passage Gr．is referring to Christ＇s institution of the Eucharist，when He consecrated bread and wine to the purposes of the Sacrament．It is in virtue of that original conse－ cration by Christ that each succeed－ ing particular consecration is ef－
fected．Cp．Ambrose de Myst．ix §s 52， 54 ；Chrys．de Prod．Fudae hom．i 6 （ed．Montf．ii $3^{8}$ ）；Jo． Damasc．F．O．iv 13．Cp．also Justin Martyr＇s reference（Apol．i 66） to $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \hat{\eta} s$ तó $\gamma o v$ тoû $\pi a \rho$＇aủ $\tau 0 \hat{u}$ $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \theta \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \nu$ т $\rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$. See Scu－ damore Notit．Eucharist．（2nd ed．） pp． 572 sq．

4．$\tau \hat{\eta}$ 万uvá $\mu \epsilon \iota]$ Cp．supra tav̂ta
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho o v \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$（note）．In that passage bread is said to be ＇virtually＇the body，because it was capable of being converted into it． Here Christ＇s Body is spoken of as virtually bread，because bread had been converted into it．

5．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon l] \quad$＇indzvelling．＇ ごк $\quad \nu$ ．Jnify．

6． $0 \theta \theta \epsilon$ ］i．e．through the in－ dwelling or operation of the Logos．
 are similarly applied to the Eu－ charist by Origen Comm．in Matt． tom．xi（Migne p．948）．On their application in this sense see Scuda－ more l．c．p．578．In $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \xi \in \omega s$ there is a reference to the prayer of consecration．




I $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}]+\kappa \alpha \iota \pi o \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ l vulg $|\mid 2$ om $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ vulg $| \mid 3$ om $\delta \iota \alpha$ l vulg
I. oủ $\delta i \dot{a} \beta \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ] Gr. is thinking of the analogy of the Lord's earthly life. Then bread and wine became His Body and Blood mediately through the natural processes of eating and drinking. Now they become such immediately ( $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v}$ s) through the power of the Word and by means of the prayer of consecration.

2-3. єv่ $\theta \dot{v}$.... $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi o เ o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s] ~ C p . ~$ infra $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ єủ̉orias $\delta u \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu$. Gr.'s language in these passages has been generally regarded as teaching a doctrine of the transformation of the elements, resembling in idea, though not in form, the later Western doctrine of transubstantiation. His language is interpreted in this sense by Fronto Ducaeus, and in more modern times by Franzelin de SS. Euch. Sacr. p. 232 f., Hilt Des hl. Greg. von Nyssa Lehre aom Menschen pp. $2_{207}$ f., and Schwane Dogmengesch. ( $2^{e}$ Aufl.) ii p. 780 f. Neander (Ch. Hist. iv p. $43^{8, ~ B o h n) ~ t h i n k s ~ t h a t ~}$ some such view underlies the present chapter, but he qualifies it by reference to Gr.'s language in in Baptismum Christi p. 5 SI (Migne), where Gr. compares the effects of consecration in the case of the baptismal water, the anointing oil, ordination, and the bread and wine, as though they were changes of the same class. Pusey Real Presence from the Fathers pp. iso ff., by an examination of the terms employed by Gr., contests the view that any transubstantiation is implied. His argument is conclusive so far as the terms go, but he scarcely does justice to Gr.'s treatment as a whole. For a discussion of the whole ques-
tion see Introd. pp. xxxvi foll. In the present passage no argument can be drawn from the word $\mu \in \tau a \pi o \iota o$ $\mu \in \nu=1$ alone. The crucial point of the passage is the statement that bread and wine become actually and immediately (in contrast with $\delta i \grave{a}$ $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \sigma \omega$ s к.т.入.) the Body and Blood of the Lord in the Eucharist. Gr.'s language certainly implies much more than a change of use, such as takes place in the water of baptism. The illustration which he employs points to a change of properties or qualities due to the new relation into which the elements of bread and wine have been brought. It suggests, however, a change of 'form' only, not a change of ‘substance.' See notes suptra on $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ $\lambda о \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$ and $\epsilon i \delta o s$, and on $\mu \in \tau \alpha \sigma \tau o \iota-$
 infro. Hence Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv p. 296 rightly say's that Gr. teaches 'a qualitative unity' between the bread and the Body of Christ, rather than a complete identity, such as is stated by John of Damascus $F$. $O$. iv is.
3. канїs $\epsilon^{i \prime} \rho$.] Mk xiv 22 [Mt. xxvi 26; Lk. xxii. 19]. The change of the elements, following upon the act of consecration, depends upon the original institution of Christ, and the promise implied in the words $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \dot{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ̂ \mu a ́ ~$ uou. Franzelin (de SS. Euch. Sacr. p. 76) sees in Gr.'s reference to these words a proof that he regarled the consecration as effected by the recital of the words of institution. But such a deduction is not justified, as Gr. merely quotes the words to show that the bread is Christ's Body.












$3 \pi \rho o s \tau o \zeta \eta \nu$ euth $7 \|+\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho]+\gamma \alpha \rho \mathrm{g}^{1} \|$ om $\tau \epsilon$ l vulg $\| 5$ om
 $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu 0 v$ vulg || II $\theta \epsilon o s]$ तoरos 1* vulg \| $12 \epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \eta \rho \omega]+\tau \omega \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$ l vulg
2. $\delta i a ̀$. . ن́ $\gamma \rho o \hat{u}]$ For the need of tò v́roóv in nutrition cp. Arist. de Gen. Anim. iii 2. $753^{\mathrm{b}} 25 \delta \in \hat{\imath}$ रà $\rho$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \dot{\omega} \delta \eta$ oủ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ v่ $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$ єivaı каӨát $\epsilon \rho$ тoîs фитoîs. The
 a parenthesis. The main clause is resumed with $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa . \tau . \lambda .$, and completed in the sentence beginning $\tau o ̀ \nu$ aùrò̀ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu$.
+. ג̀ $\nu \tau \iota \tau \cup ́ \pi o v] ~ ' s o l i t, ' ~ ' f i r m . ' ~$ Cp. àvтıтитías, c. 23.
7. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$. $\delta u v$.$] Cp. supra p. iq6$ note.
8. '̇ंछaluaroûtal] 'is changed into blood.' 'The word is similarly used in Arist. de Somn. et Vigil. c. 3.
9. סovaulv] 'the pozver of beins' changed into heat.' The addition of wine enables the water to become heat in the body.
10. roûto тò $\mu \hat{f} \rho o s]$ 'this part also,' i.e. wine for the nourishment of the blood.
12. $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \kappa \kappa \eta \not \rho \varphi$ ф.] Ср. с. 1

ib. ìva... $\sigma v \nu a \pi o \theta \epsilon \omega \theta \hat{\eta}]$ Cp. supra
入ózou $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \xi ̌ i a \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ $\pi o \imath^{\prime} \theta \eta$. According to Gr. the object of the Incarnation was to effect the $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota$ of humanity. This was effected in the case of the Lord's human nature by the indwelling of the Word. It is continuously being effected in mankind as a whole by the dissemination ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota)$ in believers of that Body which was exalted to Divine dignity. The Eucharist is the 'extension' of the process of the Incarnation. For the idea compare the language of Hilary de Trin. viii 13 si enim vere Verbum caro factum est, et vere nos Verbum carnem cibo dominico sumimus; quomodo non naturaliter manere in nobis existimandus est, qui et naturam carnis nostrae iam inseparabilem sibi homo natus assumpsit, et naturam carnis suae ad naturam aeternitatis sub sacramento nobis communicandae carnis admiscuit? See further ibid. § It.








 euth 7 || 8 фvo $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ] desinit euth
3. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \epsilon]$ 'sowis or plants Himself in, as a seed or principle of life.
ib. סià $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oapkobs] 'by means of that Flesh, composed of wine and bread.' 'The Paris edd. read ois, thus introducing a fresh and irrelevant thought.
5. $\quad \dot{o} \quad \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho$.] 'man,' corresponding to $\tau \grave{o} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \tau \nu o \nu$ above.
7. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \in \dot{\jmath}$ रोorias] i.e. the prayer of consecration, the $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \epsilon \dot{\chi} \dot{\eta}$, on which see Suicer sub zocc. Eux $x \dot{\eta}$, Zivuaçs. The use of the word in this comnexion is derived from Mk xiv 22; Mt. xxvi 26; I Cor. x 16 . On its relation to the word túxapıotia compare with the passages just cited Mk xiv 23; Mt. xxvi 27 ; Lk. xxii 19 ; 1 Cor. xi ${ }_{2} 4$, and see Scudamore l.c. p. 573 sq . From its use in this sense $\epsilon \dot{v} \lambda o \gamma i a$ came to denote the elements, whether before or after consecration. See Brightman Liturgies E. and W., Index.
i). $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau о \iota \epsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma a s]$ 'transforml. ing the nature of the visible objects to that thing.' 'Eкєìvo refers to тò áAávatov i.e. the Lord's Borly. Metagtoxetooì is used by Philo de Somniis p. $6_{74}$ (Mangey) of the change of earth into water by Xerxes; also in de Migr. Abrah. i $4+9$ (Mangey) of the change of rods into serpents. But more usu-
ally it appears to be used not so much of the substitution of one element for another, as of the rearrangement of the same elements, and the imposition upon them of a new form. Cp. the similar words $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ c. 8 (note), and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha-$ बтo兀хєíwotv c. 35. Gr. uses the word elsewhere: (1) of the change of the body after the Resurrection. Cp. Hom. i in Cant. p. 777 (Migne)
 тò $\alpha{ }^{\prime \prime} \phi \theta a \rho \tau o \nu,(2)$ of the Lord's Body being made impassible after the Resurrection. Cp. de Vit. Moysis p. 336 (Migne) тò $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ є $\mu \pi a-$ $\theta$ ès $\epsilon$ is ámád $\theta \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$. But he also uses it in a much more general sense of moral and spiritual changes. Cp. Ep. ad Eustathian p. 1021 (Migne) $\dot{o}$ oủv $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 Lip. Can. ad Letoium p. 22 (.Migne)
 $\mu$ évous. For further reff. to the patristic use of the word see Pusey Rical lresence pp. igsff. Thus the word does not alter the conclusion already drawn that Gr. indicates in this chapter a change of 'form' rather than a change of 'substance.' For the latter idea see IIilt op. cit. p. 208. For фírus see notes supra on $\epsilon i \delta o s$ and on the words $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тov̂ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau a \mu \notin \nu \eta s$.









 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \phi \omega \nu \eta$, тò тòv $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ к катà т $\bar{\nu} \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$



iii. Chaps. XXXVIII-XL. On Faith and Repentance.
38. Our remaining task is to speak of the importance of faith. This has been dealt with more fully in other treatises. Here it suffices to show the importance for him who is regencrate of a right knowledge of the Author of his new birth, and of the nature of the life into which he is admitted.

The three remaining chapters of the treatise are intended to deal with the moral conditions required for a right use of Sacraments. Gr. refers only to Baptism, because that is the initiation of the new life, and the moral conditions of which he speaks begin with Baptism. Moreover this is a 'catechetical oration' designed to help in the preparation of candidates for baptism. Hence there is no need to assume, as has been done ly Aubertin de Sacr. Euch. ii $4^{87}$ (quoted by Rupp p. 147 ), that c. 40 is an interpolation, because it returns to the discussion of Baptism.
I. $\tau$ ò $\mu v \sigma \tau$.] here, as elsewhere,
means the Christian religion.
 p. 33 .
5. ét'́pots $\pi$ óvols $]$ Gr.'s larger work, contra Enuomium, and also the de Distate Filii ot Spiritus Sancti, both written before this time, deal with this question. See Introd. p. xiv.
ib. $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta$. $\dot{\eta}$. $\sigma \pi o v \delta \delta \hat{\eta} s$ ' $u n f o l d-$ ing the subject with as great pains as lay in our power.'
6. $\pi$ рós $\tau \epsilon \tau . \grave{\epsilon} \nu$.] The purpose of these works was twofold (i) controversial, (2) critical and constructive. Gr. claims not only to have replied to opponents, but to have given an independent (ка易 éavzoús) consideration of the questions involved.
ro. ö orov] Gr. is prob. thinking of such passages as Jn i 13 , iii 6 , 7 , the latter of which he quotes in c. 39. The clause $\tau \grave{\partial}$ tò $\nu \gamma \in \nu \nu \ldots$ j个̂ov is in apposition to roбov̂тov, viz. 'that he who is begotten with the spiritual generation knows' etc.







 $\pi \rho o \delta \iota a \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega}$ ，тis av̉т $\hat{\varrho} \hat{\imath} \lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$

 то́коя：$\delta \iota \chi \hat{\eta}$ тоí̀vע $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oैขт $\omega \nu \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ єis тò кт兀бтò
 avta $\gamma \in \nu \nu$ ．ehn｜｜om токоs vulg｜｜ 8 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ehnp｜｜ 10 om кає f｜｜avt $\omega$ a $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu 0 \nu$ e autov $a \mu$ ．vulg $\|$ II $\tau 0 v \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \tau o \rho o s \mathrm{f}$

I．Móvov ráp］＇for this form of generation alone has it in its power to become whatsoever it chooses．＇By $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu$ ．єîdos Gir．of course means more exactly the person who is re－ generated．Cp．infra c． 39 ó $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\pi \nu \epsilon v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa$ òs тókos $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \xi$ ovoias ${ }^{\prime} \rho \tau \eta$－ tal tô̂ тıктодévou．For the special form of expression cp．ibid．кал＇ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ ovoial roùs $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau o \rho a s$ aipєìtal $\dot{o}$ тóкоs．Gr．＇s statement is not of course exact．A man cannot really choose to be born again of a created Son and Spirit．But as the moral condition of the recipient affects the value of baptism，his imperfect faith may deprive him of the full benefits of the rite．（ir．is here asserting the moral value of a right faith．See Introd．pp．xxxv fol．

39．The spiritual birth，anlike natural birth，depends upon the will of hime who is beins born．It is important that such an one should know what kind of parent he needs for the development of his nature， seeing that it is in his power to choose his parents．It is possible for
him to be the child of a nature which is uncreated and so unchanging， or of a nature which is created and subject to change．The Gospel sets before us a Trinity of lersons by Whom regeneration is effected．To believe that the Holy Trinity belonss to the uncreated woorld is to enter upon a stedfast，unchangeable life． To believe in a ireated Son and Spirit is to trust to an imperfect nature，which itself needs redemp－ tion．This is to be born，not from above，but from below．

3－4．$\tau$ ．$\dot{\rho} \mu \hat{\eta} \ldots \dot{v} \phi$ ．］＇attain exist－ ence by the impulse of，＇i．e．as con－ trasted with $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi}$ ovoias $\eta$ グp $\quad \eta \tau a \iota$ тоû tiктонє́vou，which follows．

6．$\dot{o}$ кiv $\delta u \nu 0 s$ ］The fact that the spiritual birth depends upon the will of him who is born involves the danger of his failing to choose that which is advantageous to him．

12．$\delta \iota \chi \hat{\eta}$ roivve］Gr．now ex－ plains the nature of the choice which he has indicated as belonging to the catechumen．It is the choice be－ tween a spiritual birth into an


 троаьоои́ $\epsilon \epsilon \nu о \varsigma ~ \tau i ́ \nu o s ~ а і р \eta ́ \sigma є т а \iota ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ \gamma є \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ́ к \nu о \nu, ~$











8 rєvєбıs deghnp || 9 om $\tau \eta$ df \| 10 rapa $\tau \epsilon$ тaтpos кat viou d rov

eternal and uncreated nature or into a nature which is created and subject to change.

1. $\left.\tau \grave{o}{ }^{\circ} \alpha \tau \epsilon \pi \tau 0 \nu\right]$ For the unchangeableness of the Divine Nature cp. Mal. iii 6, where the LXX has oúk $\dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda o i \omega \mu a \iota$ : and for a discussion of the word $\dot{a} \tau \rho \in \pi \tau o s$ see W. R. Churton Theological Papers pp. 22 ff . For Greek thought cp. Plato Kep. ii $3^{81 \mathrm{c}}$ ádóvatov ápa, '́ $\phi \eta \nu$, каi $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\theta} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ á̇тò $\nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ oooûv: Arist. de Caelo (p. 279a) $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa$ кs $\pi \rho o \phi a i-$ $\nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ тoîs 入óroıs öt८ tò $\theta \epsilon i o \nu$ ả $\mu \epsilon \tau$ á-
 тоу каі аккро́татоу. Cp. Philo de Nom. Mut. (p. 582, ed. Mangey) áт $\rho \epsilon \pi \tau о \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \beta \lambda \eta \tau о \nu$,

2. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \rho o \pi \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$.] 'subject to alteration and chonse,' lit. 'in the direction of change.' Ср. c. $21 \dot{\eta}$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \rho o \delta o s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o i ́ \omega \sigma i s ~ \tau i s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota$.
3. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \rho$. $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho$.] For this use of $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota ~ c p$. prol. $̇ ่ \nu$ toîs aủtoîs $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho 0 \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \omega$.
4. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ of $\nu$ ] The sentence is
finally resumed in the words $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta \dot{a}$ $\mu o \iota \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. 'E $\nu \tau, \epsilon \dot{u}$.] i.e. Mt. xxviii 19.
5. $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha]$ On the history of this word see Bethune-Baker Texts and Studies vii I pp. 72 ff . 'Ovómata seems to refer to the words tis $\tau \grave{o}$ ôvoua in Mt. xxviii 19. The phrase $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \tau \rho . \quad \gamma \in \nu \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ os is probably due to the influence of the passage I Cor. iv 15 which Gr. subsequently quotes.
II. oütc $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] Gr. gives three quotations to prove his contention that the grace of baptism proceeds equally from all three Persons of the Trinity.
ib. тò $\epsilon \dot{v} a \gamma \gamma$.] Jn iii 6.
6. ó Ilaû̀os] 1 Cor. iv 15.
ib. 'O $\pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \rho]$ The source of this third quotation is possibly Eph. iv 6, where the words $\epsilon$ is $\theta$ єòs кai $\pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ follow immediately upon the mention of the $\varepsilon \in \nu \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu a$.
7. $\nu \eta \phi \hat{\epsilon} \tau \omega]$ used here, as often in the N.T., of ' a mental state free from all perturbations or stupefactions' (Hort on I Pet. i i 3 ).


 тробıóvтоя т $\hat{\eta}$ оiкороцía каi тò $\gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta u ́ v a \mu \iota \nu ~$















[^32]2. á $\rho \chi \eta \gamma o ́ \nu$ ] Cp. antea c. 33 $\zeta \omega \eta ̂ s ~ a ̊ \rho \chi \eta \gamma o ́ s ~(n o t e)$.
3. $\tau$. $\delta \iota \alpha ́ \theta . \tau$. кар $\delta$.] may possibly contain a reference to Ps. Ixxii (1xxiii) 7 (LXX).
4. оікоромiq] Cp. с. 34 т $\hat{\eta} s$ $\mu v \sigma \tau i \kappa \eta ิ s$ таи́т $\eta$ s оікороніаs.
ib. тò $\gamma(\nu \dot{o} \mu \in \nu o \nu]$ 'that which takes place' i.e. the birth which results from Baptism. Two of the MSS used by Fronto Ducacus read тò $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \epsilon \nu о \nu$.
6. $\tau \grave{o} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau . \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu]$ sc. the Anomoean, to whose teaching he refers more fully below in the clause beginning $\ddot{\eta}, \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \xi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau o v ~ к . \tau . \lambda$. T $\eta \nu \nu$ is used in a generic sense.
9. $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ ] 'is again born into an existence which is subject to
variation and change.' Gr. will not call such a life $j \omega \dot{\eta}$. It is merely ßios, 'existence.' C¿p. c. 8 тò тòv $\beta i \not o \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho o ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota \sigma \beta \epsilon \in \nu \nu v \sigma \theta a \iota$.

12-13. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu \ldots \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa v \mu$.] The new birth into a created Son and Spirit carries a man no higher than he was before. It still leaves him in an existence which is unstable. 'E $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa v$ mat. 'to be tossed about in.'
16. Sıà $\pi \rho о к о \pi \hat{\eta} s$ ] 'by' a gradual advance.' So Athanasius de Syn. 4 represents I'aul of Samosata as teaching concerning Christ v̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ aủтòv $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ т $\grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \kappa$ $\pi \rho о к о \pi \hat{\eta} s \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon о \pi о \iota \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$.
18. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i ̀ \tau . \tau \ldots \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \nu]$ Ср. с. 37 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi '$ '́avtồ (note).


 $\pi о \iota \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ i \delta i ́ a s ~ \zeta \omega \eta ̂ s, ~ そ ้, ~ \epsilon i ~ \epsilon ้ \xi \omega ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau о v$






 ن́тоఢєúgas $\lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \nu$ єis aủтò каi оن̉к єis тò $\theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu}$

I om $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega s$ hn vulg $\| 2 \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \nu a \iota| | \mid 3 a \rho \chi$ ．$\pi о \iota \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta a \iota \delta \iota a \tau, \pi \nu$ ．
 $\beta a \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{f} \sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega \nu$ vulg｜｜$\tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha] \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu 1^{* v i d}| | ~ \phi v \sigma \iota \nu \quad \eta \tau o \iota$
 gp｜｜om кає p｜｜ $\mathbf{1 0} \epsilon \iota \sigma a \gamma \eta \mathrm{f} \| \mathbf{I}_{2}$ єıs avto］om $\epsilon \iota$ deghnp vulg єavtov defghlnp vulg：txt e conject Krab restitui \｜｜om кає ehn vulg om ovк h

4．$\pi \rho \omega$ útov］For a summary of Eunomius＇teaching see c．Exunom． Or．i pp．297， 324 （Migne）．In the latter passage Gr．speaks of Eunomius＇definitions $\pi \in \rho i \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \omega$－


6．$\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \mu \pi$ ．］dependent like $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \epsilon \nu$ and $\pi o t \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \theta a \iota$ upon aipeî tal．＇Not to include the belief in these Persons in the faith which he allopts at the time of his birth．＇ Gr．argues that，in order to be con－ sistent，the Anomoeans should not include the Son and the Holy Spirit in their baptismal confession．For on their own principles baptism into the Son and the Spirit involves birth into a created life of the same kind （ $\dot{o} \mu o \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$ ）as their own．For the use of the neuter rav̂̃a cp．Greg． Naz．Or．xxxi 5 oî tpía $\mu$ èv $\epsilon i ̂ \nu a l$
 with Dr Mason＇s note．

8．$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \grave{\imath}]$ i．e．imperfect，because belonging to the created order． Cp ． the argument in prol．，where Gr．
adduces the perfection of the Divine Nature as an argument for the unity of God．

9．á $\gamma$ a月́vovios］＇someone to make it good．＇＇A $\alpha$ a日ív $\epsilon \iota \nu$ ，＇to make good＇ or＇do good to，＇occurs frequently in the LXX．Cp． 3 Reg．i 47 ；Pss． 1 （li）20，cxxiv（cxxv）＋；Jer．li（xliv） 27.
ib．єionoồv］＇cause himself to be adopter into．＇The exact phrase tionoteîl tıvá tivl occurs in Plato Leg．s．ix 878.
 ing his faith from the transcendent nature，＇i．e．by resting it in a created Son and Spirit who are，according to the Anomœan view，external to the Supreme Being．

12．$\lambda \epsilon \in \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \nu$ к．т．$\lambda$.$] The MSS are$ here corrupt．Krabinger＇s conjecture єis aúrò for éautòv or єis éautò̀ has been adopted，as it explains the cor－ ruption and supplies the necessary sense．Aúró $=\tau \grave{c} \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ referred to in $\tau \iota \nu \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.













 ${ }^{1} 5$ таîs то̂ $\mathrm{N} \iota \kappa о \delta \eta ́ \mu о v ~ \dot{v} \pi о \lambda \eta ́ \psi \epsilon \sigma i \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu, ~ o ̂ s ~$





1. $\left.\tau \hat{\varphi} \ldots \pi \rho \circ \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \nu\right]$ The dat. is causal, 'because it proceeds in an equal degree from non-existence into existence.'
2. т. т. б.катабкєиŋิs] Cp. с. 28

 cp. c. 32 ovuमu's and ibid. $\sigma v \mu$ $\phi \quad{ }^{\prime} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$.
3. $\dot{v} \pi о \beta \epsilon \beta$. ...vitє $\rho a \nu$.] i.e. the 'lower' and 'upper' parts of the bódy.
4. кai ovidev] 'and the difference between what is superior and inferior in us makes no division in the cohesion of all its parts.'
5. $\left.\dot{\omega}_{\nu} \gamma^{\alpha} \mathrm{\alpha} p\right]$ 'for if things alike are thought of as coming out of a previous nothingness.'
6. $\left.\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \lambda a \gamma^{\prime} \nu\right]$ 'variation.' Cp . James i 17 (Mayor's note).
ib. кrıбтòs $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu \ldots \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \tau \partial े \nu \delta \epsilon]$ The two clauses are logically correlative.

With the former $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ must be supplied.
12. $\mu$ оуоүє $\hat{\eta} \theta \in \dot{\partial} \nu$ ] Cp. prol. p. 2 (note).

І3. $\tau . \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau . \kappa$ к. $\mu \in \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega s]$ Cp.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ф $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \iota o \nu \pi a \rho a-$

 that he returns to himself;' i.e. to one who is like himself a created being, and cannot bring him to perfection. For this sense of $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ср. c. ${ }_{2} 3$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$

15. т. Nєкодй $\mu$ v ] Jn iii 4.
ib. rò $\gamma \nu \nu$.]i.e. that which happens when a man is baptized into that faith.
17. $\tau o \hat{v} \mu v \sigma \tau$.] used here of the revelation made by Christ about the new birth.



 $\sigma \omega \zeta$ о $\mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ т $\eta \nu \nu$ خє́ $\nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ．

40．＇А入入’ ov̉ $\mu о \iota ~ \delta о к є i ̂ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu є ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ a v ̉ т a ́ \rho к \eta ~}$






 ä $\lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \iota \nu \grave{a} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \nu о \mu i ́ \sigma a \iota$, є́ $\phi^{\prime}$ ổ $\mu \eta \delta \in ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu a ́-$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \stackrel{\imath}{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta \prime \rho \iota о \nu \pi a \rho a \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$

2 om $\tau \eta \nu$ ante aктьбто⿱ vulg｜｜ $3 \epsilon \pi a \gamma o \iota 1^{*}$ a $\pi a \gamma a \gamma o \iota g^{11}{ }^{1}$
40． $7 \in \chi \epsilon \iota$


I．катєбט́pєто］＇whose thoughts drew him back to．＇

2．juódov入ov］＇which shares his own bondage．＇Cp．Kom．viii 21 ．

3．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \ddot{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu]$ Jn iii 3．The contrast with the preceding кá $\tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ is decisive as to Gr．＇s interpretation of that passage．

40．The regeneration which comes through Buptism only becomes effectual in those who exhibit a change of life and wholly abandon evil．Otherwise the water is but water，and the gift of the Holy Spirit in no wise appears in what takes place．God rezvards godliness and punishes sin in ways that sur－ pass anything of which we have experience in this life．This being so，it is our duty to lay the found a－ tions of blessedness in this fleeting life and put away evil from us．

remarks have gone（or＇with these remarks＇）our instruction does not seem to me to be complete in its teaching．＇On this inclusive sense of $\mu \epsilon \in \chi \rho \iota$ see Greg．Naz．Or．xxviii 9
 （with Dr Mason＇s note）．On кarخ＇－ $\chi \eta \sigma \iota s$ see prol．o $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ кат $\eta \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mathrm{s}$入ózos（note）．

9．$\delta i{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \dot{a} \tau \eta s$ ］Cp．c． $7 \quad \delta i$ $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \uparrow \hat{\eta} s$ тарабupévтєs．

12．$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi o i \eta \sigma \iota s]$ See note on $\mu \in \tau \alpha \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂, ~ c . ~ 37$.

I＋．$\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu a ́ r \omega \nu]$＇characteristic features，＇i．e．the traits of character by which a man is recognized．Gr． has of course in view the bad traits of character．Cp．infra $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi о \nu \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ．

15．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa$ ．］For this use of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ cp．c． $5 \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \eta \hat{\eta} \ldots \alpha \pi o \lambda a v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．

17．$\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o ́ r \eta s]$＇humanity in itself，＇i．e．the abstract conception







 ảขaүє $\nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ì $\chi a ́ \rho \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ́ . ~ \delta \eta ̄ \lambda o \nu ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi о \nu \eta \rho \hat{\omega \nu}$





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota, \grave{\eta}$ ठ̀̀ $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{a} \varsigma ~ \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \varsigma ~ \kappa \eta \lambda i ̂ \delta a \varsigma ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ àтор $v^{-}$





 Worvo f || 17 $\sigma v \mu \beta a \iota \nu \epsilon \iota$ el vulg $\sigma v \mu \beta a \iota \nu \eta \mathrm{f}$
of man. Grace does not alter any of the essential properties of human nature.
2. oữ $\tau$ ò̀ 入or.] For this description of man's faculties see c. I5 sub fin. and c. 33 (note).
6. $\left.\dot{\eta} \not{ }^{\alpha} \nu . \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \nu.\right]$ Cp. c. $39 \operatorname{sub}$ fin. (note).
ib. àvaбтoıхєíwoıs] Cp. c. 8 à $\nu a-$ $\sigma \tau о \iota \chi \epsilon \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota$ (note).
8. '̇v $\nu \epsilon \lambda \eta_{n}$ ] Cp. antea c. 24 p. 93 (note).
II. $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s]$ See note on $\mu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \notin \nu \eta s$ c. 37 .

I2. $\dot{o} \pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$ ] Isaiah i 16 (LXX)
 $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ пovppias aंтò $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \psi v \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
13. кaӨapoì $\tau . \pi$.] 'clean in our wills.'
15. $\left.\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi o \iota^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu\right]$ For this use of the aorist cp. $\epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \quad$ c. 37 p. 142 (note).
16. $\epsilon \mu \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i$ is $\kappa \eta \lambda$.$] 'the stains$ caused by its passions.' For $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi a \theta \epsilon i$ s ср. $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi a \theta \epsilon \in s$ с. 5.
17. $\mu \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ] Cp.c. 35 тท̂s roıaút ${ }^{2}$ s $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$ s.
ib. $\sigma v \mu \beta a i v o l]$ 'accord with,' 'he of the same character as.'
19. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ rovi $\tau \omega \nu$ ] 'in their case the water is water, and the gift of the Holy Spirit is nowhere manifested in what takes place.' The margin of $c$ has $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \varphi$, which is a con-












 $\dot{a} \pi o \delta i ́ \delta \omega \mu \iota \quad \tau \in \tau \rho a \pi \lambda a \sigma i o v a . ~ \grave{a} \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v} \quad \beta a \pi \tau i \sigma \mu a \tau о \varsigma$

 $\tau \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ є̇тı$\theta \nu \mu \eta \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$, ஷ̀ $\frac{\grave{o}}{} \sigma v \mu \phi о р \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \omega \nu \tau \rho v \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a$.


I $\gamma(\nu \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$ ef vulg . $\quad \eta \alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu \omega \nu$ el vulg $+\delta \iota a \nu o \iota a] \eta \delta o \nu \eta f$ f $\pi \alpha \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota$


 15 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ रov avtov vulg 17 a $\theta \rho \rho \omega \pi \iota \nu \omega \nu] a \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho \iota \omega \nu \mathrm{f}$
jecture of Maximus Margunius. But no alteration is necessary. Gr. means that the grace of Baptism is not given when men do not fulfil its conditions. In $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta \omega \rho . \tau$. $\dot{\alpha} . \pi$. Gr. appears to be thinking of ' unction,' which would be included by him in baptism.
2. тò к. т. Ө. aioqos] 'the deformity of anger.' Alo $\chi$ os is used of a deformity of mind or body. Cp. Plat. Symp. 201 a $a^{2} \lambda \lambda_{0} \tau \iota \dot{o}{ }^{n} E \rho \omega$ s

ib. $\mu \circ \rho \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$ ] rightly used here of that which is a permanent characteristic of man in virtue of his having been made кат' єiкóva $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$
(c. 5).

1о. ó бєбvк.] Ср. бикофалтian c. 9 .
11. ' $\dot{\phi}$ ' $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] 'in their own case,' 'to take themselves as an instance.'
12. каi mapà toúrov] i.e. from him as well as from Zacchaens.
13. $\left.\phi \omega \nu \eta \eta^{\nu}\right]$ Lk. xix 8.
15. $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \epsilon \rho \chi$ о $\left.{ }^{\xi} \tau \alpha l\right]$ 'recount in full,' because such sins remain undiminished.
17. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \sigma \iota \mu \phi$.] 'who lizes luxuriously on other men's misfortunes.'
18. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \rho \nu \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}]$ Ср. c. $2 \delta \delta \iota \alpha$. $\theta \rho$ ѝえỗor.











 какías• ұрךбтòs ки́рıоs тоîs $\sigma v ́ \mu \pi a \sigma \iota, \mu \grave{\eta}$ ò $\rho \gamma \grave{\nu}$ є̇ $\pi a ́ \gamma \omega \nu$









2 тov Mav入ov $1|\mid$ om $\tau \iota$ vulg｜｜ $3 \mu \eta$ ］ov gp｜｜ $5 \tau 0]+\delta \epsilon$ egh vulg $+\gamma \alpha \rho$ n｜｜ 6 rivouevov f｜｜ 13 om кvplos $1^{*}| | 1+$ evtus vulg｜｜ 15 тapa $\tau$ ．$\gamma$ ．



2．חaú入ov］Gal．vi 3 ．
3．$\mu \dot{\eta}$ रє́ $\gamma o v a s$ ］i．e．＇which you have not really become．＇It is explained by $\tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu a$ ．$\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
ib．＂Oбоь к．т．入．］Jn i 12.
6．$\dot{o} \mu \sigma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon$ s］（ir．seems to draw no distinction here between simi－ larity of moral character and identity of nature．

8．$\pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \omega \overline{\text { ］}] ~ T h e ~ ' p u r p o s e ' ~}$ or＇aim＇of the life．

11．ג $\nu 0$ ó $\gamma \in \iota]$ Ps．cxliv（cxlv） 16 ．
12．ن́ $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \dot{a} \nu$.$] ＇passes over$ iniquities．＇Mic．vii 18 （LXX）єॄai－
$\rho \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu о \mu i \alpha$ каі $\dot{\text { ú }} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a i \nu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a s$. ib．$\mu \in \tau \alpha \nu \circ \in i$ i］Joel ii 13 （LXX）．
13．Хр 3 бтós］Ps．cxliv（cxlv） 9 （Lぶ）
iל．$\mu \grave{\eta}$ ó $\rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ к．т．入．］Ps．vii 12 （LXN）．

14．$\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \dot{n} s]$ P＇s．xci（xcii） 16.
19．$\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i a]$ The passage which follows is an adaptation of Ps．iv 3，＋（LNX）．The words oux viòs $\dot{v} \psi$ ．are a comment of Gr．，with perhaps a reminiscence of I＇s．lxxxi （lxxxii） 6,7 ．

21．Oavцaбтô̂tal］＇how man is


 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \epsilon ̀ \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ．$\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ i ̀ ~ o u ̈ \tau \epsilon ~ o ̉ \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̉ \delta \epsilon \tau \nu, ~ o u ̛ \tau \epsilon ~ o \hat{u ̂ s ~}$
 $\dot{\eta}$ c̀ $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ گんウ̀ $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\imath} \delta \epsilon$

















magnificd．＇The LNX of Ps．iv＋ has каі $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon$ öть $\epsilon \theta a ⿱ ⺌ \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ Kúpıos тòv öб८ov aútồ．

1．à $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i \hat{o} \nu$ ］（ir．now passes on to speak of the rewards and punish－ ments with which God visits men．

3．imo $\quad$ раф $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\nu}$ ］＇outline sketch．＇ －Such as cannot be indicated in aniy＇ account．＇

4．ä ойтє к．т．入．］I Cor．ii 9. Cp．Is．lxiv + （3）（LハN）．

7．亠䒑oтiцнs é $\chi \in 1$ ］＇has no equal in any of the things which in this life gize pain to the sense．＇For ípotipus cp．antea c． 28 p． 106.

slight zariution in meaning．＇
ih．$\left.\pi \hat{u} \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \kappa о{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu\right] \quad$ Cp．Isaiah lxvi $2+$（LXX），Mk ix 4 8 ，Mt．iii 10 ， Lk．iii 9 ．

10．Є̇к то仑 $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa$ ．］＇licause some－ thing is added to that fire which is not in this．＇

20．кara入入．］＇beins the matural and suitable outiome in the life of each man＇s bent of character，and expressing the righteous judgment of God．＇God＇s rewards accompany and are the natural result of a man＇s character．There is nothing arbitrary about them．












 тобоитov $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta$ $\delta \epsilon$ o ป̇єи






 v $\pi о \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon \nu o l s$ 入o
 $\tau \omega \nu \epsilon \nu$ avtols $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \mu a \tau \omega \nu$ таратоס८j $\omega \nu \tau \alpha l$
7. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aicuial $\left.{ }^{2} \nu \tau i \delta o \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ i.e. the future life, conceived of as the reward of a man's conduct in this life.

Here the treatise ends according to the bulk of the mss. But in $m$ and in the Codex Vulcobianus, used by. Fronto Ducaeus, there follows a long additional section begimning $\dot{o}$

 The section appears in the Latin translation of Hervetus and in the Paris editions. It deals with the heresy of Severus, the head of the sect of the Acephali, who was con-
demned at the Council of Constantinople in A.D. 536 . The whole section forms the conclusion of a work on the Incarnation, in reply to the Manichaean, Paulianist, Apollinarian, Nestorian, and Eutychian heresies, by Theodore, a priest and monk of Rhaithu or Raythu circa A.D. 650. Theodore's work is printed in Migne $P$. G. xci p. $1+79$ sq., and in Galland Vet. P'atr. Bibl. xiii. The passage has crept into the text of Gr. owing to some scribe's blunder in transcription.

## INDEX I.

## SUBJECTS.

## A

Ambrose, on the Atonement, xxxiii, $\mathrm{S}_{9}$; on the Eucharist, xli, 147 , 149
Angel of the Earth, 32
Anomoeans, attacked by Gregory, xiv; their teaching, 2 ; referred to, $15,3,156$ foll.
Anselm, his Cur Deus homo, xxxiii, 89
Apollinaris, his teaching on the Lord's human body, 102
Aristotle, use made of, ly Gregory, axx; his doctrine of 'form' and 'matter,' 125 ; quoted or referred to, $21,23,67,106,145,1+6,151$
Assyrians, 109
Athanasius, his teaching compared with that of Gregory, xxviii foll., xxxiv ; quoted or referred to, xxii, 1, 2, 3, 16, 22, 27, 29, 64, 75 (lis), 95,108 , $115,119,130(h i s)$, 13 r , 156
Atheism, 3
Atonement, sic Ransom, Redemption
Aubertin, referred to, xvi, 153
Augustine, on the Trinity, 9 ; quoted or referred to, $27,89,120$

## B

Baptism, (iregory's teaching on, xxxiv, ${ }_{2} 23$ foll.; its purpose distinguished from that of the Eucharist, exxvii, iti foll.: analogy of human birth to, $12+$ foll.; grounds of the efficacy of, 126
foll., I 39 ; inner significance of, 129 foll.; necessity of, 137 ; effects of, I38, r+o; moral conditions of, 153 foll., 159 foll.
Bardenhewer, Dr, referred to, xxx , ${ }^{2}+$
Basil, referred to, xxii, 7, 12, 27, 32
Basilides, 2
Bentley, referred to, xlv
Bergades, I. C., referred to, xxiv
Bernard, quoted, $I_{7}$
Bethune-Baker, J. F., referred to, 7, 12, 155
Bigg, Dr C.., referred to, xi, xxiii, $+2,118$
Butler, his Analogy', referred to, xxix, 111

## C

Cain, 109
Cappadocian Fathers, their importance, x
Catechetical instruction, I
Christ, union of Godhead and manhood in, $\bar{i}+$ foll., $\overline{5}$ foll.; VirginBirth of, 60 foll., 86 ; why a human birth was necessary, ior foll.; His humanity complete, ror foll.; His Godhead veiled from satan by the 'screen' of the, human nature, 89, 93, 98 ; why 1 lis death was necessary, $1 I_{+}$foll.; His resurrection and its effects, 60 foll., 70 foll. ; representative character of His death and resurrection, 130 ; altars in the name of, 75 . See also Incarnation, Miracles.

Chrysostom, his teaching on the Eucharist, xli, 149 ; on the sacramental principle, 14
Churton, W. R., referred to, 155
Clement of Alexandria, referred to, 42, 122, 1.30
Coats of Skin, Gregory's interpretation of, 42 foll.
Constantinople, Council of, ix ; synod at, in 383 , xiv
Cross, reasons for death upon, il4 foll.; symbolism of, 119 foll.
Cyril of Jerusalem, on baptism, xxxvi, 135 ; referred to, 76,142

## D

de Principiis, of Origen, compared with the Or. Cat., xi
Deification of man, through Christ, 45, 130, 151
Demons, connexion of, with idolatry, 75
Didache', referred to, I
Diekamp, F., referred to, xiii (bis), xiv (bis), xxiv, xxx, 1
Dionysius the Areopagite, quoted, 85

## E

Election, unscriptural form of, denied by Gregory, 111
Eparchus, Antonius, xlv
Epicureans, 3
Eucharist, Gregory's doctrine of, xxxyi foll.; 141 foll., 130 ; a principle of life for the body, xxxvii, ${ }^{1}+2$ foll.; how Christ's Body and Blood are given in, 144 foll.; an extension of the $\ln$ carnation, xxxiv foll., ISI; institution of, by Christ, iso; the prayer of consecration in, 1.52
Eunomius, orations of (iregory against, $x$, xiii foll.; his reply to lasil's Refutation, xiii ; teaching of, 2, 4, 157
Euthymius Żigabenus, his quotations of the Or. Cat., xv, xlvii, ,., $25,49,51,102,122,142$
Eutychianism, Gregory accused of, 56
Evil, negative character of, xxii, ${ }_{2} 7$ foll., 83 ; its seat in the will, +0

## F

Fatalism, of heathen world, xii
Franzelin, Cardinal, on the Eucharist, referred to, 144, 1:0 (bis)
Free-will, of man, insisted on by Gregory, xxii foll., in foll., il3 foll.
Fronto Ducaeus, quoted or referred to, xxxviii, xliii, ${ }^{56,150, ~} \boldsymbol{I}_{4}$

## G

Gelasian Sacramentary, quoted, $9{ }^{1}$
Generation, human, Gregory's vindication of, xii (note), 10 g foll.
Germanus, bp of Constantinople, referred to, xv, ioo
GoD, His existence, 3 ; perfection, 5; unity, 5 ; unchangeableness, I5s; transcendence, xxiv; immanence, xxviii, xxxiv, $95,10+$; attributes, xxxii, 78 foll. ; goodness, 22 foll., 79 foll. ; wisdom, So foll. ; justice, 81 foll.; power, yo foll.
Godet, referred to, 32
Gregory the Great, quoted or referred to, 89, 93
Gregory of Nazianzus, his Origenism, $x$; his relations with Gregory of Nyssa, xvii (note); on the $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ apxia, 16 ; his rejection of the theory of a ransom to Satan, 89
Gregory of Nyssa, his banishment, ix; summoned to Council of Constantinople, ix ; his books against Eunomius, xiii foll.; his journey to Armenia, xiii ; present at synod at Constantinople in 383 , xiv; his de Deitate Filii et Sp. Sancli, xiv ; his style, xvii; his rhetorical studies, xvii

## H

Harnack, $\mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{r}}$, referred to, x , xi, xxvii, xl, 24, 37, 95, 130, 141, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{BO}$
Hebrews, Epistle to, attributed to St Paul by Gregory, $13{ }^{1}$
Hell, harrowing of, allusion to, 89
Herod, 109

Hervetus, Gentianus, his Latin version of the Or. Cat., xliii, $8_{7}$
Heyns, S. P'., referred to, xiii
Hilary of l'oitiers, resemblance of his teaching on the Sacraments to that of Gregory, xxxv, 151
lililt, F., referred to, xl, 24, 150 , $1: 2$
Hoeschel, D., referred to, xliv
Holy spirit, existence of in the Godhead, illustrated from the analogy of human nature, is; inseparable from God and the Word of God, 1 ; ; self-subsistent, possessing will, activity, and power, is; not a creature, 156 foll.
Hort, 1)r l. J. A., referred to, 2

## I

Ignatius, quoted or referred to, 89 , $120,130,142,143$
Image of God, in Man, $2+$
Incarnation, Gr.'s teaching on, xxviii foll.; delay of, xxix, 107 foll.; a stumbling-block to nonbelievers, 52 ; proved by miracles, ©8 foll. ; involved no degradation or weakness on God's part, 65 foll.; beneficent purpose of, 73 ; effects of, $7+$ foll. ; exhibits God's goodness, 79 foll. ; wisdom, 8o foll.; justice, $\mathrm{s}_{1}$ foll.; power, 91 foll.; Satan deceived by, 89, 93, 98
Inge, W. K., referred to, i 30
Irenaeus, relation of his teaching to that of Methodius and Gregory, xxvii ; quoted or referred to, 29, $+2,102,130,1+2$

## J

Je:ome, his acquaintance with (iregory at Constantinople, x
Jerusalem, destruction of, 77 foll.
Jews, disappearance of their worship and temple, 76 foll.
John of Damascus, his indebtedness to the Or. Cat., xr, xxxvii, xli foll., xlviii, 8, ${ }^{1} 3,17$; his Eucharistic doctrine, xli foll.; his rejection of the theory of a ransom
to Satan, 89 ; quoted or referred to, $3^{2}, 93,149,150$
Justin Martyr, quoted or referred to, 1, 15, 29, 111, 122, 123, 124, 149

## K

Krabinger, his edition of the $O r$. Cat., xliv.

## I.

Labyrinth, of Minos, I3I
Lactantius, on the Cross, quoted, 119
Leontius of Byzantium, quotation of Or. Cat. in, xr, xlvii, 54
Lightfoot, Bp, referred to, 20, 5 I , 75, 125
Logos, see Word
Loofs, Dr, referred to, xhii
Love of God, conjoined with power, 9「

## M

Man, his creation due to God's goodness, 22 ; his possession of reason, 23 ; immortality, 23 ; freewill, 26 ; his nature the meetingpoint of the wortds of sense and spirit, xxiv, 30 foll.; envied by Satan, 32 foli.; his fall, 36 foll.; originally free from passion, 25 , 35,138
Manichaeans, xii, 2, 27, 37
Marcion, 2
Mason, Dr A. J., referred to, xxiii, 22, 55, 57, 72, 89
Maximus, his comment on Ps.Dionys. de Eccl. Hier., xiv, 8 ;
Maximus Margunius, xly
Mayor, Dr J. B., referred to, 30, I 38
Methodius, indebtedness of Gregory to, xxy foll., xxx ; referred to, $3_{2}^{2}$, +2, +4, 119, $12+$
Detrophanes Critopulus, xlvi
Mind and matter, relations of, according to Gregory, 3 I
Minucius Felix, quoted, 76
Miracles of Christ, 58 foll., 86 foll., ${ }_{127}$ foll.
Moberly, Dr, referred to, 7

Moeller, E. G., quoted, 30
Moore, W., referred to, 87
Morel, P., his Latin version of the Or. Cat., xliii, 2 I
Moses, 43, 88

## N

Neander, referred to, xl, $1+1,150$
Neoplatonism, influence of, on heathen world, xi ; points of contact with, in Gregory's teaching, xxiv, xxx, 27, 3 I, 95
Nestorianism, tendency towards in
Gr.'s language, 72, 103, 116
Noah, 109

## O

Oehler, Fr., quoted, 133
Oratio Catechetica, purpose of, xii ; date of, xiii foll.; teaching of, xvii foll.
Origen, his allegorical interpretation of Scripture, xix, ${ }^{13}, 24,118$; on the union of philosophy and religion, xix ; on the free-will of man, xxii ; on the negative character of evil, xxii, 27 ; on the purification of souls, xxiii, 46, 99 (bis) ; on the universal restoration of spirits, xxiii, 98 ; his threefold division of human nature, xxiv, 29 ; on the ransom to Satan, xxxiv, 89 ; on the 'deification' of the Lord's humanity, 130 ; quoted or referred to, 29, 32, 42, 77 (bis), 64,76, 11 $^{2}$, I 30,149
Origenism, of Basil and Gregory Nazianzen, x ; of Gregory of Nyssa, x, xv, xix foll., 100
Oxenham, H. N., referred to, 93

## P

Pantheism, Christian, 95
Persons in the Trinity, 7,155 ; illustrations of, from human consciousness, 9 ; individual existence of, 15
Petavius, referred to, 57
Philo, Logos doctrine of, 7, 11; quoted, 155
Philocalia, of Origen, $x$
Philosophy and religion, xix

Photius, quoted, xv foll., svii
Plato, indebtedness of Gregory and Origen to, xxii, xxiii, xxx; psychology of, xxxi; on negative character of evil, xxii, 27; on purification of sonls, axiii, +6 ; his division of the Universe, xxiv; referred to or quoted, 2.3, 27, 31, $55,82,95,117,15$
Plotinus, on the Divine Being, xi; Trinity of, xi; Gregory's points of contact with, xxiv; referred to, $27,5+117$
Polytheism, $2,+$ foll.
Prayer, its place in the baptismal rite, xxxiv, 123,127 foll. ; prayer of consecration in the Eucharist, xxxviii
Priesthood, Christian, referred to, 75
Providence, the Divine, (iregory's treatment of, xxi
Pseudo-Cyril, his indebtedness to the Or. Cat., xv, xlviii, s, I3
lunishment, remedial character of, xxiii, 47,99 ; future, character of, 163
Purification of souls, Gregory's doctrine of, xxiii, xxxvi, $4^{6,99, ~ i, ~} 38$ foll.; contrasted with Western doctrine of Purgatory, saiii, +7 , 139
Pusey, Dr, referred to, xli, $1+3,1=0$, 152

## K

Ransom, to Satan, Gregory: idea of, xxxiii, $8+$ foll.
Kedemption, Gregory's teaching upon, xxvii foll.; relation of, to attributes of God, xxxii foll.
Kefining fire, xxxvi, 39
Restoration, final, of all created spirits, xv, xxiii, 100
Resurrection, two kinds of, distinguished, 1,37 ; see Christ
Ritter and P'reller, referred to, 117
Robertson, Dr A., referred to, 3
Robinson, Dr J. A., referred to, $2_{7}$, 48
Kufinus, referred to, 32, 120
Kupp, J., referred to, xiv, xvi, xviii, xix, $\mathrm{xxx}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{I} 2$

## S

Sabellins, teaching of, 3
Sacraments, Gregory's teaching upon, xxxiv foll.; continuation of process of Inearnation in, xxxiv; moral conditions of right use of, 153 foll.
Sacrifices, cessation of heathen and Jewish, 75,77
Sanday and Ifeadlam, referred to, 1.38
satan, envy of, $3+$ foll.; his love of rule, 86 ; deceived by Incarnation, 89 foll., 97 ; ransom paid to, xxxiii, st foll.; purgation and future salvation of, 99 foll., 101
Schwane, Dr J., referred to, 32, 86, 100, $1+1,150$
Scripture, Gregory's interpretation of, xix foll., t2, $^{2}$ if
Scudamore, W. E., referred to, 149 (bis), 152,
Severus, bishop of Antioch, ${ }^{16}+$
Sextus, quoterl, 16
Sight, Gr.'s theory of, 23
Similes in the Or. Cat., xvii
sin, continuance of, since Incarnation, roy foll.
Sodom, 109
Soul, relations of, with body, इ̄4
Spirit, see Holy Spirit
stoicism, referred to, 95, 117
Synesius, quoted, 117

## T

Tertullian, materialism of, xi: referred to, $\overline{7}, t^{2}$
Theodore of Khaithu, on the Incarnation, xvi, ${ }^{16} 4$.
Theodoret, his quotations from the Or. Cat., xv, xlvii, $\Sigma^{+}, 72,116,118$; his language on the Eucharist, xxxix
Theophanes, quoted, 47
Theophrastus, referred to, 23
Theorianus, his Disputatio cum . Versite, xv , xlvii, $1 \mathrm{t}^{2}$

Transubstantiation, Gregory's teaching on the Eucharist distinguished from, xxxviii foll., 150
Trinity, illustration of, from psychology, xxxi, 9 ; doctrine of, mysterions, 15 ; mediates between opposing doctrines of Judaism and Hellenism, 16 foll.; importance of right belief in, 155 foll.; baptism into, 155 foll.; uncreated, 157
Tritheism, Gr.'s doctrine of the Trinity guarded against, 16

## U

Ueberweg, his judgment on Gregory, xvi
Universalism, of Gregory, xy, xxiii
Universality, want of, in the spread of Christianity, 110 foll.

## V

Valentinus, 2
Venantius, Fortunatus, his hymn 'Pange lingua,' 97
Vincent of Lerins, quoted, 102
Vincenzi, A., referred to, 100
Virgin-Birth of Christ, 60 foll., 86
Vulcobius, Dn J., referred to, xliii

## W

Westcott, 13p. referred to, 35, 75
Wilson, H. A., referred to, 4
Word of God, existence of, illustrated from human word, 7 ; possesses life absolutely, 9 ; possesses will and power to do what He wills, 9 ; one with God in nature, 12 foll.; inseparable from the Holy Spirit, ${ }^{15}$

## Z

Kahn, Dr, referred to, 3
Zeller, referred to, 117
Zeno, Apostolo, xlvi
Zinus, his Latin version of Euthymius Zig., ${ }^{66}$, ${ }^{1}+4$
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$\dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \omega$ м I 2,6
á兀ঠเóтทs 6，6；23，16；24，2，11
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$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho i \omega \sigma \iota 5$ 50，10；15ミ，：
ả入oria 21，＋；54， 6
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$\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \pi i \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau \sin 13,1: 61,10$

ă $\nu \in \operatorname{tos} 28,10$
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$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \delta o \sigma \iota s 9_{7}, 16 ; 164,7$
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áтоката́бтабьs 100，7；13s， 4
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àтокрірє८้ IO4，I2
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á $\pi о \rho \rho \in \imath ้ \nu 63,13 ; 83,16$
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 160,16
àто́рритоs 68， 2
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \alpha \rho к о \hat{\nu} \nu+8$, I
аं $\pi 0 \sigma \omega \dot{\zeta} \epsilon \iota \nu 139,3$
ג்тот $\check{\kappa є \iota \nu ~ 139, ~} 2$
аं $\pi о ф є ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ I63，І 7
aं $\pi$ офоルर人̀ II3，＋
á $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \epsilon \nu \tau$ оs 87,3
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128， 7
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho o \alpha i \rho \in \tau o s ~ 10, ~ I ~$
àmpó $\sigma \iota$ тоs IO＋，6，II
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \epsilon$ ย $\lambda a \sigma \tau$ OS 104,7

ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 66,7 ; 68,6 ;$ 116， 7
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$\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \eta \tau$ ós 15,15
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$\dot{\alpha} \tau о ́ \lambda \mu \eta \tau o s ~ I O 9, ~ I 3 ~$
ătopos 54， 10
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ai＇тоща́т $\omega$ s 35 ，It
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$\beta \alpha \dot{\pi} \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ 122，12；160，I；16I，1＋
$\beta a \sigma i \lambda \in \iota o \nu$ 76， 12
阝ía 36，10，I3
Bios＋1，6；IIIO，9；1I4，2；156， 9， 13
ßıồn I6．3， 3
$\beta \backslash a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \in \iota \nu$ 109， 1 I
阝入є́фаро⿱ 34，9；41， 1
$\beta$ pit $\in \iota$ 35，I＋
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$\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ and $\gamma \epsilon \in \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ 61， 10
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ү $\nu \omega \rho i \sigma \mu \alpha 12,13 ; 1$ а9，14；160，10； I62， 17
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau$ кко́s 49， 14
үрафıко́s 20，1
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Sєוктוко́s $2 \mathrm{I}, 20$
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$\delta \epsilon \in \epsilon a \rho 93,3$
бє入є́aбна 98， 13
סє́ $\rho \in \iota \nu+3,8$
$\delta є ́ \rho \mu a+3,6,9 ; 46,6$
бєриа́тıроs 4．3，5
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סıакрірєь 134， 2
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Sıávola $=3 ; 6,7 ; 39,14 ; 43,7$
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бıаркй ミ9． 10
ঠıaбафєì 1 ミ， 13
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ঠıá $\cup v \prec \iota s++, 7$
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่̇ккатаф́є́ $є є \iota \nu ~ 94, ~ 16$
$\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ 9＋， 1 I
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є่ $\lambda \epsilon \cup, \theta \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta=6.3,16$
غ $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 3，9；I7，+
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$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa р \alpha т \epsilon$ ì +9 ，It
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota \nu$ 50， 2 I
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\alpha} \nu 162,11$
є́ $\mu \pi \nu \epsilon v \sigma \iota s 3 \mathrm{I}, 7$
غ́щфаívє८้ II8，＋

є́ $\mu \phi а \sigma \iota s$ 8， 9
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi$ йєь 27，8；48，8

є́ $\mu \phi$ итоs 23， 2
غ́vá́pıos 23， 6
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \eta \sigma \iota \leqslant 101,2$
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta: a \iota \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota 104,10$
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta o \mu v \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad 108,12$

$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ 4， $9 ; 125,3$
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \iota \sigma \chi$ и́ $є \iota \nu$ 122， 2
èvpolal，кoıvaí 20， 1
$\dot{\text { є́ } \nu \text { óт入ıos } 131, ~ S ~}$
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \epsilon \angle \nu$ 108，I +
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \in \iota \nu 152,3$
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$ 93， 9
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ I 34, I

є่ $\nu \tau \cup \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \in \iota \nu$ 75， 16
ย้ขuסoos 23， 6



є̇亏аipєтos＋3，I I
$\dot{\epsilon} \zeta \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ I $\$, 13$
$\dot{\epsilon}_{5}^{\circ} \alpha \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu 5_{5}^{6},+$
є்தaлтє
$\dot{\epsilon} \ddagger \alpha \rho i \theta \mu \eta \sigma \iota s$ 15， 15
єॅє́табıs 9б， 11
$\dot{\epsilon} \check{\epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \kappa \hat{\omega} \text { S 65，} 2 ~}$

＂゙゙̌ィ 21，8；33，13；＋4，15

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon ่ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 127,6 ; 128,9 ; 129$ ， 1，$\ddagger$
є $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \in \iota \nu$ I3， 7
єтаєєєン Т－， 2
є̇ாaıтıâ $\sigma \theta a \iota ~ 113,5$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \nu \theta i j \in \iota \nu$ § 3,11
є̇ாavoptô้̂ 7，＋
є่ $\pi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota s+7, ~=$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota \nu 1+\sigma, 2$
є̇ாє́ $\rho \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ इ̄，इ

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta o \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ §亏，$\delta$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}+6,6$

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$ or $\lambda \dot{\eta}++$ IS； $1+2,+$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ 27， 7
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ I 39,$6 ; 1+2$, I I
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \iota \gamma \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ I 36 ， 3
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \rho u \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu 16 \mathrm{I}, 18 ; 162$, I 8
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \omega \rho$ 117，II
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i \nu 127,6 ; 140,3$
 1ヵ1， 12
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota S$ 123，11 ；124，5；127，3； $138,1+$
$\dot{\text { є́ } \pi \iota \kappa и ̆ \pi \tau \epsilon є \nu ~ I I ~} 6.6$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \iota$ ぞィа 62， $1+; 96,5 ; 103$ ，ミ

 I；I32，II
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \nu_{0}+12 ; 37,1 ; 9^{8}, 17 ; 132,12$
єं $\pi i \pi \nu o \iota \alpha 112, ~ इ$
$\epsilon \in \iota \pi o ́ \lambda a<o s 3^{8}, ~ I 3$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \omega \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu 48,2$

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \rho \rho \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} s, 3^{8}, 10$
є̇тірритоs 68， 2
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa є \psi \iota \varsigma \sigma_{+}$, I ；106，2
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \nu \omega \sigma \iota$ 149，
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu+2,7$
ย̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \grave{\iota}$ 3०， 2 ；59， 8
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \mu \eta \quad 21,8 ; 12 \overline{2}, 2 ; 160,6$
є̇ $\pi \iota \epsilon \lambda \eta$＇s 128， 13


$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon ́ \epsilon \iota \nu \quad 13 \Xi, 9$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \omega \rho \iota a ́ \varsigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 102， 16
є́pıбтレќs 113， 7
$\dot{\text { ÉGтiáas } 8 \mathrm{~S}, 6}$
єủarүє入ıко́s 88,16
єủarウ＇s 9,4
$\epsilon$ U＇á $\lambda \omega \tau$ os $36,1+$
єíокіа 162， 12
$\epsilon \cup \cup \kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu \quad 3 \bar{\Sigma}, \quad 2$
$\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma i a=8$, I $+; 63,4$

єün＇s 162，is
єنُкато́ $\theta \omega \tau$ оs 1 чо， 9
єن́кірŋтоs 30， 10
єйко入ía 136，8；140， 1
$\epsilon u ̈ \lambda \eta \pi \tau$ os $10_{4}, 19$

$\epsilon \dot{v} \mu \eta \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega s$ 36，1；97，12
єنُ०סoû̀ 72， 2


єйри $\theta \mu$ оs IるI， 8
$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \omega \chi \epsilon i \nu 88,7$
غ́фаா入ô̂v 55,3
$\dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\pi} \tau \tau \sigma \theta a \iota 68$, I $1 ; 94,18 ; 103,8$ ；
IOt，II； 105,$15 ; ~ I+I, 3$
Є́ $\phi \in \sigma \angle S 23$ ，
$\dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \delta \dot{u} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ I 4 反， 1 I； 148,2


sín I43， 2
§wotvoia 75， 6

广worolós $14+$ ，

$\grave{\eta} \lambda 0 s+7,10$

 $2 ; 91,8 ; 127,1,10 ; 129,6$
Өavルaбтô̂v 162， 2 I
Oavرaтоир $і$ ía 88， 2
$\theta \epsilon$ ко́s 90，X；I 49，I
 7,$6 ; 10,5 ; 19,10 ; 93,2 ; 125$, 1玉；126，6；128，こ；129，7
$\theta \in o \gamma \nu \omega \sigma i a 15,12$
 IFI， 10


Өєонахia roy，ち．
$\theta \epsilon о ́ \pi \nu \in \cup \sigma$ тos IS，
 52， $9 ; 53,5 ; 57,3 ; 63$, ェ；93， It；105，10；121，II
Өєо́т $\eta \mathrm{s}$＋， $9 ; 6,1,3 ; 16,8 ; 89,7$ ； 90，15；92，15；95，1；117，1； 1I8，II；120，こ，13；129，6； 1＋0，8；152，I
$\theta \in o \phi a ́ \nu \in \imath \alpha-6$ ，I I
$\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \cup \tau$＇́s 99， 6
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i a+$ ，It
$\theta \eta \lambda \eta$ 86， 1 ：

Өрךбкєía 2，1；77，4，II
Opva入入is 36， 16
Ovuós iso， 6
Ovola⿱тท́pıov 75，19
iठıá̧єly I ミ，3；30，I I

ioıótךs 6，i
ioí $\omega \mu$ 6ı，7；101，3，II；104，I： $115,10,13$
i $\epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$ 76，
iкєт $\epsilon$ и́є兀 128 ，I8
iov $\delta \alpha i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \quad 2,4$
＇Iovбаико́s 16，9；17， 7
 I 3
iбторía 59．13；109，9；122， 6
iбторьк $\omega$ s 24， 7 ；42，13

каөaipєє 134，3；138，7；14о， 7
$\kappa \alpha \theta a \rho \in \cup ́ є \iota \nu$ I34，ミ
каӨapi̧є८้ 139，8
ка日аро́тךs I \＆о， 7
каӨápбьоข 102，5；13母，7，13；139， 6
ка́ $\theta a \rho \sigma \iota$ 100，9；101，8；139，7； I 40， 6
$\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon i \rho \gamma є \iota \nu$ 63，I5；8y，3
$\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ 2I，3；1．3I，＋；132，
18；I33，I3；If1，．
ка́Өoठos 91，1亏
$\kappa \alpha \theta v \beta \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \quad 1$ İ，
каíplos 110,4
катаßá入 $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 97，21；98，1ェ；124，
11；137，4；164， 4
ката́ঠıкоs 87,6
катабยєєь I 35, I 6
катаӨи́нוоs 28,$8 ; 4 \mathrm{I}$, Іо
катакьюขâбөa८ 57，6；152， 4
катакри́ттєєข 132， 15
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \in \iota \nu 53,14 ; 82$ ，I2
ката入ท́ $\gamma \in \iota \nu$ 123，इ
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \psi \iota s$ 13， 3
ката́入入 $\eta$ 入os 23,$5 ; 30,9 ; 138$ ， 16
（bis）； 146,$5 ; 148,7$
ката入入ท́入 $\omega$ s 23，7；146，15；163，20
катá入oүos 2， 7
катацаข $\theta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \quad 115,14$
катанєрі¡єєข 142，8；144， 10
катащเชขúval 62，13；101，2；102，
17；107，15；144，2；151，12
ката⿱а入їкєєь 99， 7
ката⿱оєì 34，II

кат $\alpha \tau \alpha \hat{\alpha} \nu$ I31，It
катápa 37， 7
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \rho \chi \in \iota \nu \quad 1+3,1$
катабкєvá̧єє८ 10，＋；19， 9
катабкєvض́ 2， 3
кат $\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s 3^{8,}$ I9
катабúpєı 159， 4
кататрє́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu 25,9 ; 65, ~ 16$
катафариакєи́єเข 108 ， 10
катах $\theta$ óvos 121， 6
ката́ $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$ 67， 6
катท́фє८а 138， 4
катท́ $\chi \eta \sigma \iota$ ． $1, ~ \mathbf{1} ; 2,2 ; 159,7$
каторӨоขิ้ 76，2；113，11；131，5
като́р $\theta \omega \mu$ 133，3；140，1
кат $\omega \phi \epsilon \rho \eta \eta_{s} 122, ~ 17$
каи̂бıs 100，2， 3
каитท́pıор 46，15
кєраіа І2ı， 6

кєрацєи́єเข 45， 2
$\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \mu о \nu i ́ a+1,10$
кク入ı́s 46，9；101，15；138，13；160， 16
кท́ $\rho \cup \gamma \mu a$ 6 1，1， 6
$\kappa \iota \beta \delta \eta \lambda \epsilon$ v́єル 138 ， 16

$\kappa \lambda \eta \hat{\eta} \iota s$ III， 10

ко́роя 88，і 2
кобноүорía 24，3；31，5
крıти́рıоу 83， 12
криттós 1 I7，1ェ；118， 6
ки́ $\pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ 1I7， 8
$\lambda a \beta v ́ p \iota \nu \theta$ оs 131，16；133，4， 7
$\lambda a \mu \pi \eta \delta \omega \nu$ 99， 8
入єí廿avò IIO， 8
$\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o ́ s, 30,10$

入í $\chi$ vos 93， 2
入оүıко́s 66，9；125，1；160， 2
入out 0 óv 122，11；137，9；138，2； I60，I2，I 5
$\lambda u ́ \theta \rho o s$ or $\lambda u ́ \theta \rho o \nu 62, ~ 13$
$\lambda v \sigma \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ Іे 154,$9 ; 155,3$
入úтроン 85，19
$\lambda и ́ \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota s$ 90， 12
入vтршт ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ 63， 15
$\lambda u ́ \chi \nu$ os 36, I 5
щакарь́т $\eta$ s 42， $9 ; 135,16$
ца́ $\nu \nu a 88,7$
мavтєía 75，II
мaтacóт $\eta$ s 163， 1

$\mu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} a \ell 1_{4} 7$, I； 148 ， 15 ；149， 7
$\mu \epsilon \theta 0 \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ IO8，I I
$\mu \epsilon \in \theta$ oठos 96，13
$\mu \epsilon \theta$ ó рıо⿱ 72， 12
$\mu \in \iota \circ$ и̂̀ 147， 5
$\mu \epsilon \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ I47， 5
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta 0 \lambda \dot{\eta} 34,2 ; 160,5 ; 161,12$ ； 162，I
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha$ I $3^{8,}$ I 4, I 6
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \nu 0 \iota a$ 50， 1
$\mu \in \tau a \pi o \iota \epsilon$ ใ้̂ 125,$13 ; 143,5,8 ; 149$ ， $2,3,7 ; 150,3 ; 159,15 ; 160,8$ ， 15；161， 9

$\mu \in \tau a \pi o i \eta \sigma \iota s$ I5I， 9 ；159， 12 （bis）；
160，4， 5
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 126， 4
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha \sigma \iota$ 160， 1 I
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau o<\chi \in 10 \hat{\nu}$ I．52，7
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau \iota \theta \in \nu a \iota$ I 43 ，
$\mu \epsilon \tau а \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \iota ร 99,3$
нєтоибia 9，ІІ，I5
цıаıфо⿱і́a IO9， 7
міүна 3 I， 4
мєкрочихіа＋9， 9 ；5о， 6
мккро́廿vхоs 53， 3
нккрочи́ $\chi \omega$ 95，2；103，13
$\mu$ ísis 30， 7
$\mu о і$ ра 53, I 4 ；89， 5
$\mu 0 \lambda_{\imath} \beta \delta$ os 44，I9（bis）；45， 3
морархia 16， 7
movás I6，I

$\mu о р \phi \eta_{\text {＇}}$ 6I， 2
$\mu \dot{v} \epsilon \ell \nu 124,6$
$\mu$ únoıs 138，3；160， 17
циӨотоні́a 2，I5；39， 6
$\mu \nu \theta \omega \dot{\delta} \eta \mathrm{s} 29$, I
мирипкіа 48， 7
$\mu \nu \sigma \tau a \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \hat{\nu}{ }^{\nu}$ I20， 15
$\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta ́ \rho เ \circ \nu \mathrm{I}, 2 ; 1 \mathrm{I}, 14 ; 15,10 ; 52$, 1о；53，2；65，3；115，I4；117， 8；124，2；129，10；153， 2
 I 39,5 ；160， 12
$\mu \nu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s 7^{7}, 2$
vaós 75， 19
$\nu \in \kappa \rho о ́ t \eta$ я $4 \mathrm{I}, 6 ; 43,16$ ；116， 7 ； 132， 22
ขєкро仑̂̀ +3 ，IO；I32，I3
$\nu$ е́кр $\omega \sigma \iota$ І 134 ， 10
$\nu$ ท́фєє 156 ， 1
Loє ós 9,$4 ; 44,12 ; 54,13 ; 70,12$ ； 72，I；1I3，I3
ขoŋtós $29,3,7,9 ; 30,6,10,12$ ： 31，1，3，11；32， 8
$\nu \delta$ óos 99，io
оै $\gamma \kappa 0$＇s $145,10,15 ; 146,12$
ò $\gamma \kappa \omega \dot{\delta} \eta$ S I45， 12

оікоронєiv 4,$2 ; 32,5 ; 70,14 ; 107$ ， 3 ； 146,5
оікороціа 20，3；32，1о；56，1；58， 13；72，8；78，14；79，15；80， 13；92，11；94，5；95，9；119，7； 128，І；129， 2 ；133， 7,14 ；I38，I
оікоромєкиิs 43， 16
ò $\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho \operatorname{los} 1+2,6$
òкй I3，Іо
ò $\lambda \kappa$ ќs IIO， 5


ó $\mu$ ódou入os 159 ， 5

о́ $\mu$ oí $\omega \sigma$ เs 24， $5 ; 81,7$
ддо́тıцоs 31，9；101，І6

$\dot{\text { ó } \mu ф ф и \lambda о s ~ 23, ~} 8$
о́ $\mu$ о́ф $\omega \nu$ оs гоо， 8
ó $\mu \omega \nu v \mu$ ia 8， 6
$\dot{\circ} \mu \omega \nu v \dot{\mu} \omega$ s 7， 10
ò $о \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ía 122， 13
ò $\pi \lambda \iota \tau \iota к$ ós 1 зі， 7
ópүадıко́s гоб， 17
öp $\quad$ avò 106， 19
о́ $\mu$ خ́ 10， $\mathrm{I}_{4}$
öpos 124，I3
ò $\sigma \tau \rho а ́ к \iota \nu 0 s+2$, II
ӧбтракоу 45， 3
oúpaío IIIO， 5
ov̛oía 19，I4；21，9；30，10；66，Іғ；
72，1；92， 2
oủбしô̂̀ 9I， $\mathrm{I}_{4}$
ở𧰨เส́ðクs 15,$2 ; 50,20$
ở兀 $\iota \omega \delta \hat{\omega}$ s I8， 4
ő $\psi$ เs，34， 9
ó $\psi o \phi a \gamma i a ~ 88, ~ I 3 ~$
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ 25， 8

$\pi a ́ \theta o s ~ 67, ~ I ~ f o l l . ; ~ 87, ~ 7 ~$
таıбофоvía ı0， 7
$\pi a \lambda \iota \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in \sigma i \alpha$ 122， 12

$\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 17，6；59， 14
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma o s ~ 24,9$
$\pi a \rho a ́ \delta o \xi o s ~ 126, ~ I ~$
$\pi a \rho a ́ \delta o \sigma \iota s ~ I I 8$ ，I
таракаьขотонєì 148,5
тара入оүเб $\mu$ ós 97， 3
$\pi \alpha \rho a \mu v \theta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ 104，I
тарароріа IO9， 4
тарарти́єเข 42， 8
тараби́рєь 37， 16
тарaб 0 人入єî̀ 145， 2
$\pi а \rho a \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 59，6；97， 9
таратрот $\dot{\eta}$ 49， 16
$\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \nu i a \operatorname{62,I}$
$\pi \alpha \rho о \mu \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ 15,4$
таррทбía 36，8；37， 7
тađús 44，II

$\pi \epsilon \rho i \gamma \epsilon \operatorname{los} 32,3,9$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu 5$ ，I
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ 54， $10 ; 57,4$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 36， 16
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon к т \iota к$ о́s 18， 5
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota 36$ ，I
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota \nu \quad$ 122，6；132，7，9；145，
I4；I53，II
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \theta \rho \cup ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu+5,3 ; 7 \mathrm{I}, 8$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu 3^{2}, 3 ; 148,8$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \eta \pi \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s 24,2$
$\pi є \rho i \nu o \iota a ~ 55,7$

$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota 0 v \sigma$ ía 91，I5；1I5，2；128，I
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \circ \chi \dot{\eta}$ I 45 ， 13
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu 8_{4}, 6$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ IO3，I
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 71， 10
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \tau \omega \mu \alpha$ 48，I
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota ф и ́ є \sigma \theta a \iota$ 99，I 4
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa є \iota \nu$ 83，І 6
$\pi \eta ́ \rho \omega \sigma \iota$ 27，1I；3．3，1г，12；40， 10

$\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \mu a 32,6$
$\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ ùtiкós ェ7， 6
$\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ IO9，I8；13．4，14；163， 6
тоскí入os 132，I
$\pi$ oóós，$\dot{0} 23,9$
тo九ótךs 125, I
$\pi o \lambda v a ́ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ os II2， 17
$\pi 0 \lambda \cup \theta$ єía 2，$\quad$ Ј 2
$\pi o \lambda u ́ \theta \epsilon o s$ I 7， 5
$\pi о \lambda v \pi \rho a \gamma \mu o \nu \epsilon i ้ \nu$ I 18 ， 10
$\pi о \lambda v \pi \rho a \gamma \mu o \sigma v ́ \nu \eta$ 55， 6
$\pi о \lambda v \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega s$ IO9，IO
$\pi о \mu \pi \eta^{75}$ ，ІІ
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \cup ์ \epsilon \iota \nu$ I 1,$15 ; 65$ ， 15
$\pi \rho о \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 132， 3
$\pi \rho о \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \stackrel{\iota}{\sigma} \theta \alpha \iota$ I 13,16
$\pi \rho o \alpha i \rho \in \sigma \iota$ Iо，13；27，9；37，2； 40，5，II ；II 3，17；114，1，8； 128，ІІ；I40，IO；162，8；163， 20；164， 5
$\pi \rho о \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa$ о́s 9,$16 ; 14,14 ; 15,7$ ； 2I，IO
$\pi \rho o a \pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ 120， 3
$\pi \rho о ́ \beta \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ 98， 12
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## CORRIGENDA.

## page

28 col. 2 for intelligent and sensible read intelligible and sensible.
30 col . I for the intelligent nature read the intelligible nature.
ibid. col. 2 for intelligent and sensible read intelligible and sensible.
37 line 7 for $\dot{\eta}$ aiб $\chi \dot{\nu} \eta \eta$ каi rad $\dot{\eta}$ aiб $\chi \dot{\nu} \eta$, каi.
63 col .2 for 'A $\pi o \rho \rho \dot{́} \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{read}$ 'A $\pi о \rho \rho \epsilon i ̀ \nu$.
${ }^{1}+\mathrm{I}$ col. 2 for the reading $\tau \hat{\omega} \ldots \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \gamma o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \varphi . . . \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ read the reading $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \in ́ \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.


DATE DUE
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Basil Epp. 237, 239. Cp. Greg. Naz. Ep. 72 (ed. Ben.).

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jerome de Vir. Ill. c. 128. 'The work which Jerome heard recited was probably an earlier draft of the work which we possess. See infra.
    ${ }^{2}$ On the Philocalia see the letter sent by Gregory of Nazianzus (about $3^{82}$ ) to Theodosius, Bp of Tyana (Ep. 115). On the obligations of both Basil and Gregory of Nazianzus to Origen see Socrates H. E. iv 26.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cp. Harnack $I$. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv 89.
    ${ }^{4}$ See de Vita Moysis (written in old age), p. $33^{6}$ (Migne). é $\sigma \tau \iota \gamma \alpha ́ \rho \tau \iota$
    
    
    

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Harnack H. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv 334.
    ${ }^{2}$ See e.g. Ennead. v I. 3 sq. Cp. Bigg Neoplatonism (S. P. C. K.), p. 166 sq.
    ${ }^{3}$ Tertullian is an example. We have a later illustration in the anthropomorphism of the Egyptian monks.
    ${ }^{4}$ On the Trinity of Numenius see Bigg Bampton Lect. p. 25 I . On the Trinity of Plotinus see Ennead. v 1. 6 sq.
    ${ }^{5}$ There is of course a wide gulf between the Trinity of Plotinus and the doctrine of the Church. The former taught the unity and co-eternity of

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a discussion of the question see Heyns (p. 55, note r) and Diekamp Gotteslehre d. h. Gregor. v. Nyss. p. 126, note 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ p. 237 (Migne).
    ${ }^{3}$ See Tillemont Mím. Eccl. ix $5-8$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Other passages in which Gregory teaches an $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к а \tau \dot{a} \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$ are de Hom. Opif. c. 21, in Psalmos i 9, Or. in illud Tumi ipse Fillius (of doubtful genuineness) p. 13i6 (Migne), de Mortuis pp. $\mathbf{5}^{2}$, f. (Migne), in Chr. Resurr. Or. i pp. 6o9, f. (Migne).
    ${ }^{2}$ de Sacram. Eucharist. ii $4_{7} 7$ (quoted by Rupp p. 147).

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Rupp, l.c. 'Origen is great by virtue of the single thought of bringing philosophy into union with religion, and producing thereby a theology. With Clement of Alexandria this was still a mere instinct. Origen gave it consciousness, and so Christianity began to have a science of its own.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Cp. de Vit. Moysis, l.c. supra.
    

[^6]:    1 See a fine passage in c. Eunom. vii p. 744 (Migne) $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ тôvo $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a$
    
    
    
     exegesis see in Cant. Cantic. p. 756 sq. (Migne), and (on the ourкaráßaбıs of Scripture) de Comm. Not. p. i8ı (Migne).

    2 St Paul's words, I Cor. xv 28, are a favourite text with Gr. as with Origen. Cp. e.g. de An. et Res. p. Iof (Migne).
    ${ }^{3}$ See Or. Cat. c. 5. Cp. Origen de Princ. ii 9. 6.

    * See c. Celsum iv 99 (Philocalia, c. 20, p. 150, ed. Rob.) oîmaı $\delta \dot{\eta}$
     $\pi \epsilon \pi$ ої $\eta \tau \alpha$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Or. Cat. c. 5.
    6 Or. Cat. c. 6 rô̂ aiб $\theta \eta \tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \nu о \eta \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma i \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \iota s ~ к а \tau \grave{a} \theta \epsilon i \alpha \nu ~ \sigma о ф i ́ \alpha \nu ~$ $\mu i \xi \iota s \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \kappa \rho \alpha \sigma \iota s$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ For Origen's treatment of free-will see de Principiis Bk iii (Philocalia, c. 2 I ).
    ${ }^{2}$ For the whole of what follows see Or. Cat. c. 5 .
    ${ }^{3}$ See notes on c. 5 .
    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{Cp}$. Archer Hind Timaeus of Plato pp. $3 \mathrm{I}-33$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ See cc. 8, 26, 35.
    ${ }^{2}$ For reff. to Origen see notes on the passages quoted above. For other passages in which Gr. adheres to traditional language on the subject of future punishment see notes on c. 26.
    ${ }^{3}$ For reff. see notes on c. 8.
    ${ }^{4}$ E.g. i Cor. iii 15 . For other reff. see Bigg Bampton Lect. p. 230. Gr.'s teaching on the $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \theta a \rho \sigma \iota s$ applies to a different stage in the history of the soul from that of the Western doctrine of Purgatory. The former takes place after the resurrection, the latter between death and judgment. Again the former deals with the purification of the bad, the latter with the purification of the good. See Mason Purgatory pp. 18-20.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ He uses the words $\mu i \xi \iota s, \dot{a} \nu \alpha ́ \kappa \rho a \sigma \iota s, \sigma v \nu a \nu a ́ \kappa \rho a \sigma \iota s$ of this union. See c. 6. Gr.'s treatment of the union of soul and body, and the relation of his thought to that of Plotinus is discussed by Bergades de Universo et de Anima hominis doctr. Greg. Nyss. \$s 9-13.
    ${ }^{2}$ c. 27.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cp. de An. et Res. p. 92 sq. (Migne), esp. the words 'E $\pi \epsilon i$ $\delta \dot{\text { è }}$ oiv
     iii p. 60I, de Hom. Op. c. ir. This feature, which Gr. shares with Athanasius and Gregory Nazianzen, marks a point of contact with the Neoplatonists. See, however, Diekamp op. cit. pp. i83, 184 .

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ The illustration of the potter in Or. Cat. c. 8 appears to be derived from Methodius de Resurrectione Lib. i c. $4+$ (ed. Bonwetsch, p. i46). Again the description of death in Or. Cat. cc. 16,35 recalls the language of Method. de Kesurr. Lib. i c. $3^{8}$ (ed. Bon. p. 132) oủdèv $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ä̀入o ó Өávatos $\hat{\eta}$ סıáкрıбıs каi $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \grave{s} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \pi$ ò $\sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau o s . \quad$ Gr.'s description of the 'angel of the earth' and the $\phi \theta$ óvos of Satan (Or. Cat. c. 6) recalls the passage in Method. de Resurr. Lib. i c. 37 (ed. Bonw. p. i30). For the coats of skin (Or. Cat. c. 8) cp. Method. de Resurr. Lib. i c. 39 (ed Bonw. p. 136). The illustration derived from human generation (Or. Cat. c. 33) is found in Methorl. de Resurr. Lib. ii c. 20 (ed. Bonw. p. 235).
    ${ }^{2}$ Or. Cat. c. 8.
    ${ }^{3}$ oủX $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \pi a \rho a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ and ibid. $\pi \rho \dot{s}$ s кat $\rho \delta \nu$.
    ${ }^{4} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ какíav $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ iaт $\rho \in \dot{\prime} о \nu \tau а, ~ i b i d . ~$
    ${ }^{5} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda o s ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ See further the expositions in Or. Cat. cc. 32, 35, esp. the words in
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Or. Cat. cc. 12, 13 . Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 18, 38, 49, 50.
    ${ }^{3}$ Or. Cat. cc. 13, 18. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 40, 46, 55.
    ${ }^{4}$ Or. Cat. cc. 15, 17. Cp. Ath. de Inc. 44, Or. c. Ar. ii 68.
    ${ }^{5}$ Or. Cat. c. 25. Cp. Ath. de Inc. cc. 4 I, 42.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ In his treatment of the Divine Word in Or. Cat. c. I Gr. uses language which resembles that of Athanasius, e.g. his statement that God was never without a Word (cp. Or. c. Ar. i 19), and his contrast between the Divine Word and its transitory, human counterpart (cp. Or. c. Ar. ii 35).
    ${ }^{2}$ See passages quoted by Diekamp Gotteslehre d. h. Gregor. v. N. p. 33 .
    ${ }^{3}$ E.g. in the treatises c. Eunomium, Quod non sint tres dii and de Comm. Notionibus. See Rupp Gregors Leben und Meinungen p. 136. Bardenhewer (Patrologie p. ${ }^{278}$ ) speaks of him as 'anticipating the extreme Realism of the Middle Ages.'
    ${ }^{4}$ Of the influence of the later Platonists there is in the Or. Cat. apparently little trace. Similarly there is only a sparing use made of Aristotle. In his chapter on the Eucharist (c. 37) Gr. employs the Aristotelian antithesis of ס'́vauls and '̀vé $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a$, and 'form' ( $\epsilon i \delta o s)$ and matter. But in this case he was probably only availing himself of terminology which had entered into the current eclectic philosophy of the day. His treatment of $\epsilon \overparen{\delta} \delta \mathrm{os}$ in other works (e.g. de Hom. Op. c. 27) shows the influence of both Methodius and Origen. See Diekamp op. cit. p. 44, note 2. See further notes on $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \omega \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ and reff. to Aristotle's doctrine of nutrition in c. 37 .

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. с. $3^{8 .}$
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Cp}$. the opening words of c. 5 , where he also states the difficulties likely to be felt about the Incarnation. Cp. also c. 9 .

[^14]:    
    
    
    
    
     $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha u \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ к $\alpha \theta$ opâ. There are many such passages. The passage in Quid sit ad imag. Dei p. I 333 (Migne), which recalls Gr.'s language in the Or. Cat., cannot be adduced in illustration, as the treatise is almost certainly a later work, probably by Anastasius Sinaita. See Ceillier viii $2 q^{4} 8$.
    ${ }^{2}$ c. 3 init. $\quad{ }^{3}$ cc. $19-26$.
    ${ }^{4}$ c. $20 . \quad{ }^{5}$ c. 23 sub fin. Cp. c. 20.
    
    

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ For these earlier theories see reff. in notes on c. 23. It is a significant fact that in his exposition of the atonement Gregory does not treat of the ideas of propitiation or satisfaction, or of the relation of the sacrifice of Christ to the sacrifices of the Old Testament. In the passage in Chr. resurr. Or. i p. 612 (Migne) he speaks of the sacrifice of the lamb but only in connexion with the Eucharist. In c. Eunom. ii p. 473 (Migne) the shedding of the blood is the 'ransom price' by which we are delivered from death.
    ${ }^{2}$ See notes on c. 23 .

[^16]:    1 c. 26.
    ${ }^{2}$ cc. 33 - 40 .
    ${ }^{3}$ cc. $34,3^{6}$, esp. the words in c. 36 , $\tau$ is $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \pi o ́ \nu o s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota$, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \theta \epsilon \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ โे $\alpha \iota ;$
    
    

    5 ibid.

[^17]:    1 c. 35 .
    ${ }^{2}$ c. 37 sub fin.
    ${ }^{3}$ c. $3^{8}$.

[^18]:    
     $\epsilon i \sigma \pi o \iota \omega ิ \nu$. ${ }^{3}$ c. 35 .
     $\pi \tau \omega \chi \epsilon i a ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega$, ibid.
    ${ }^{5}$ бıакот $\eta^{\prime} . \quad{ }^{6} \pi \rho о \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$.
    7 ibid. On the кá $\theta a \rho \sigma \iota s$ of souls see antea.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ c. $37 . \quad 2$ See anlea, pp. xxvii, xxviii. ${ }^{3}$ See reff. in notes.
    ${ }^{4}$ E.g. the phrases: $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} s \tau o \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \rho \iota \alpha \dot{\sigma}-\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ö $\sigma o \iota s \dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$
     $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \epsilon v \kappa \delta ́ \tau \omega \nu$ катакıр $\alpha \mu \epsilon \nu о$.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1} \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda o \gamma i a s v^{2} \nu a \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \iota$ (c. 37 fin.). On the question of what is meant by the cu่入oria, and the use which Gr. makes of the words of institution, see notes.
    ${ }^{2}$ See reff. in notes.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gr.'s language goes beyond that of Thendoret Dial. i p. 25 (Schulze)
     word $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota s$ is here used in a different sense from that in which Gr. uses it, as is shown by the same writer's statement in Dial. ii p. i 26 (Schulze),
    
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ On the flux of the body see Or. Cat. c. 16 and the reff. in notes. On the relation of the $\sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i \hat{\imath}$ of the body to its cỉos see de Hom. Op. c. 27 .
    ${ }^{3}$ See notes on these words and on $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ in c. 37 , and esp. the discussion of the relation of $\epsilon i \delta o s, \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i a$ and $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota s$ in the note on $\epsilon i \delta o s$.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) iv p. 296.
    ${ }^{2}$ As is done e.g. by the writer in the Dublin Reaiezw quoted by Pusey Real Presence pp. 166, 167, and by Hilt des hl. Gr. von Nyssa Lehre vom Menschen p. 208. The latter says that Gr. teaches 'eine völlige und wirkliche direkte Umwandlung der Substanz des Brodes in den Leib,' and he maintains that expressions like $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau о \iota \chi \epsilon\llcorner\hat{\nu} \nu$ exclude any other supposition. He renders, quite unjustifiably, the concluding words of c. 37, 'durch die Kraft des Segens in jenen-seinen Leib-das Wesen der Gestalten verwandelt habe,' and says, 'Hier haben wir ganz klar die Wesensverwandlung des Brodes und Weines, wie auch die Hervorhebung, dass von Brod und Wein nur noch die Gestalten (rà $\phi a \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a)$ iibrig bleiben, da ihr Wesen jetzt der Leib Christi ist.'
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Cp}$. Harnack Hist. of Dogma (Eng. tr.) vi p. ${ }^{2} 37$.
    ${ }^{4}$ As Neander e.g. does, Ch. Hist. (erl. Bohn) iv 438 .

[^23]:    
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ The doctrine of John of Damascus became the recognized doctrine of the Eastern Church, as expressed in the Second Council of Nicaea in 787 . The term $\mu \epsilon r o v \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota s$ was first adopted under Roman influence in the seventeenth century, and does not appear to have gained universal acceptance in the East.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ See notes on cc. 10, 16, 32.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a fuller discussion of the text of the Or. Cat. see the present writer's article in the Journal of Theological Studies Vol. iii, No. ir, pp. 42 Iff .
    ${ }^{2}$ I.e. the words in c. 23 , каì $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а \tau а \delta i \kappa \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \rho \sigma \iota \nu$, which have apparently fallen out of the other MSS and are preserved only in $e h n$.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ For these lacunae see cc. $3,4,5,8$ (bis), 9, 29 (app. crit.).

[^27]:    3 $\delta o \theta \epsilon \nu \tau 0 s]+\eta \mu \iota \nu$ fl 9 катa入aßo七 flvulg 13 om $\tau \eta \nu$ euth 126 11 $1+v \pi o \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu]+\tau \iota s \mathrm{f} \tau \iota \rho \sigma \chi o \iota \eta v \pi o \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu \mathrm{l}$

[^28]:    2-3. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\rho} \epsilon v \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}]$ Bodily pain is the result of the unstable character of man's nature.
    7. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ aiб $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota]$ Cp. antea c. 7 $\pi \rho \partial ̀ s \tau o ́ \eta \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \pi o \lambda a v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$

[^29]:    12. $\chi \omega \rho \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'illustrations which we can comprehend.'
    ib. $\left.\sigma \tau 0 \chi \alpha \sigma \mu \delta{ }^{2}\right]$ 'conjecture.' Cp. c. $8 \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau o \chi \alpha \sigma \mu \hat{\psi} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ dıavoias. For оікоуон. see antea c. 5 init. note.
[^30]:    2. $\sigma v \nu \eta \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}]$ 'and that the one should be duly connected with the other.' Gr. is again referring to the argument of c. 20. Cp. ibid. $\epsilon i$ ò̀ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \iota \quad \sigma v \nu \delta \rho a \mu \epsilon i ̀ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
    
    3. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \hat{\alpha} \gamma \varphi \mathrm{C}]$ Ср. с. $20 \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ тồ入ó रov $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ кат’ áv $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ од оікоуоціаs. That passage illustrates the meaning of $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu a \mathrm{~s}$ here.
    4. $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota \tau o ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi$.] Lk. xix 10 .
    5. $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\dot{j}} \mu . \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho$. к. $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \iota]$ Phil.
    ii 7. $\mathrm{T} \hat{\eta} s \phi$. depends upon $\tau$ ò $\tau \alpha \pi$.
[^31]:    

[^32]:    I $\epsilon$ aviov eh || $2 \tau \eta \nu$ ] $\tau o \nu$ f || 3 om $\zeta \omega \eta$ s vulg $|\mid ~ 6 \tau o \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \eta \nu] \tau \eta \nu \delta \epsilon$ vulg 9-12 $\epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \eta \nu a \iota \ldots a \lambda \lambda о \kappa о \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$ om $1 \| 16$ rov $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon о \mu \epsilon \nu$ оv то $\delta$. $\mathrm{d}^{*}$ ehn
    

