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## THEORY OF EQUATIONS

## CHAPTER I

## SOME ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES OF EQUATIONS

1. Functions. In the study of the theory of equations we shall employ a class of functions called alyebruic. An alyebraic function is one which involves only the operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, involution, and evolution in expressions with constant exponents. Thus, $x^{2}+a x+b$, $\sqrt{2 x^{2}}+\overline{1}, \bar{x}_{x}^{x}$ are examples of algebraic functions; while $\sin y, e^{x}, \log (1+x), \tan ^{-1} \approx$ are examples of functions which are not algebraic, but transceudental.

A rutional function of a quantity is one which involves only• the operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division upon that quantity. If root-extraction with respect to any operand containing that quantity is involved, then the function is irrational. An integral function of a quantity is one in which the quantity never appears in the denominator of a fraction. Thus, $a y^{2}+b y+c$ is a rational, $y^{\frac{1}{3}}+y^{\frac{1}{2}}+1$ is an irrational function of $y ; \frac{3}{4} x^{2}+\frac{1}{2} x$ is an integral function of $x$, while $\frac{1}{x}$ is not an integral function. The expression $f(x)$, defined thus,

$$
f(x) \equiv a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+a_{2} x^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n-1} x+a_{n}, \quad \mathbf{I}
$$

is a rational integral algelraic function of $x$ of the $n$th degree, $n$ being assumed to be a positive integer. The coefficients $a_{0}, a_{1}$,
$a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}$ are numbers independent of $x$. A variety of further assumptions relating to these coefficients may be made.

Thus, we may assume that they are variables, varying independently of each other. It will be seen that, in this case, the roots of the equation $f(x)=0$ are quantities independent of each other. We may also assume that the variable coefficients are rational functions of one or more other variables. Thus, in $t x^{2}+t^{2} x+\left(t^{2}+t\right)$, the coefficients are functions of the variable $t$.

Or, we may assume the coefficients to be constants - either particular algebraic numbers or letters which stand for such numbers.

The nature of the assumptions relating to the coefficients will be stated definitely as we proceed. In some theorems the coefficients are confined to real, rational, integral numbers; in others, the coefficients may be fractions or complex numbers; in the development of the Galois Theory of Equations, radical expressions will be almitted. But in no case are the coefficients supposed to be transcendental numbers, such as $\pi$ or $e=2.718 \cdots$.

Whenever, in the next ten chapters, the coefficients are represented by letters, they may be regarded either as independent variables or as constants. Not until we enter upon the Galois theory is it essential to discriminate between the two.
2. The equation oltained by putting the polynomial I in § 1 equal to zero is called an algebraic equation of the nth degree. We designate it briefly by $f(x)=0$. A value of $x$ which reduces this equation to an identity is called a root.

When all the coefficients are independent variables, the equation is the so-called general equation of the nth degree. Viewed from the standpoint of the Galois theory, it will be seen, § 111, that the so-called general equation is not the true general case, but really only a very special one.
3. Theorem. If $\alpha$ is a root of the equation $f(x)=0$, then the quantic $f(x)$ is divisible by $x-\alpha$, without a remainder.

Divide the polynomial $f(x)$ by $x-\mu$ until a remainder is obtained which does not involve $x$. Designate the quotient by $Q$, the remainder by $R$. Then

$$
f(x)=(x-x) Q+R
$$

By hypothesis, $\alpha$ is a root; hence, substituting $\alpha$ for $x$, we have

$$
f(\alpha)=(\alpha-\alpha) Q+R=0 .
$$

( $o n s$ sequently, $R=0$, and the theorem is proved. The following theorem is the converse of this.
4. Theorem. If the quantic $f(x)$ is divisible by $x-\alpha$ without a remainuler, then $\alpha$ is a root of $f(x)=0$.

By hypothesis, $\quad f(x)=(x-\pi) Q$.
The equation $f(x)=0$ may, therefore, be written $(x-\alpha) Q=0$, and the latter is seen to be satisfied when $\alpha$ is substituted for $x$. Hence $\alpha$ is a root of $f(x)=0$.
5. The preceding theorem is a special case of the following

Theorem. The value of the quuntic $f(x)$, uhen $h$ is substituted for $x$, is equal to the remainder which does not involve $x$, obtained in the operation of dividing $f(x)$ by $x-h$.

Let $R$ be the remainder which does not involve $x$; then

$$
f(x)=(x-h) Q+l k .
$$

Substitute $h$ for $x$ and we obtain $f^{\prime}(h)=\boldsymbol{R}$.
6. Divisions of polynomials by binomials, with numerical coefficients, may be performed expeditiously by the process called synthetic division. Suppose $x^{3}+5 x^{2}+4 x-23$ is to be divided by $x-3$. We exhibit the ordinary process, and alsu that of synthetic division.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x^{3}+5 x^{2}+4 x-23 \\
x^{3}-3 x^{2}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \frac{x-3}{x^{2}+8 x+28} & \begin{array}{l}
1+5+4-23 \mid 3 \\
+3+24+84
\end{array} \\
\frac{8 x^{2}-24 x}{28 x}+23 & \\
\frac{28 x-84}{61} &
\end{array}
$$

We notice that in synthetic division the coefficients are detached, the first term of each partial product is omitted, the second term of the divisor has its sign changed so that the second term of each partial product may be added to the corresponding term of the dividend. Moreover, the process is compressed so that the coefficients of the quotient and the remainder appear all in the same line.

The process is as follows:
Multiply 1 by 3 and add the product to 5 , giving 8.
Multiply 8 by 3 and add the product to 4 , giving 28.
Multiply 28 by 3 and add the proluct to - 23 , giving 61.
The quotient is $x^{2}+8 x+28$; the remander is 61.
If in the dividend any powers of $x$ are mssing, ther places are to be supplied by zero coefficients.

Divide $x^{5}-2 x^{3}+x-5$ by $x+5$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+0-2+0+1-5 \mid-5 \\
& \frac{-5+25-115+575-2880}{1-5+23-115+576-2885}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the quotient is $x^{4}-5 x^{3}+23 x^{2}-115 x+576$; the remainder is -2885 .

Ex. 1. Show that $x^{4}-5 x^{3}-3 x+15$ has 5 as a root.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1-5+0-3+15 \\
+5+0+0-15 \\
\hline 0+0-3+0
\end{array} \quad x-5
$$

The remainder is 0 ; hence, by $\$ 4.5$ is a root.
Ex. 2 Show that $x^{5}-x^{4}+10 x^{8}-9 x^{2}+8 x+699=0$ is satisfled by $x=-3$.

Ex. 3. Divide $x^{7}-101 x^{5}+x^{4}-60 x^{2}+x$ by $x+4$.
Ex. 4. If $f(x)=x^{5}-6 x^{4}+7 x^{3}+x^{2}+x+2$, find the value of $f(10)$.
Ex. 5. Determine the value of the quantic $x^{7}-3 x^{5}+4 x^{4}+5 x^{8}+11$, when $x=-6$.

Ex. 6. If -4 is a root of $2 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+7 x+60=0$, find the other roots.

Ex. 7. Show that, if $f^{\prime}(x)$ is divided by $x-h$, each successive remainder is equal to $f^{\prime}(h)$, when $h$ is substituted, throughout, for $x$.
7. Theorem. Every equalion $f(x)=0$ of the nth degree has $n$ roots, and no more.

We assume here that every such equation has at least one root. Let $\alpha_{1}$ be a root of $f(x)=0$. Then $f(x)$ is divisible by $x-\mu_{1}$ without remainder, $\S 3$; so that

$$
f(x)=\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right) \phi_{1}(x),
$$

where the quotient $\phi_{1}(x)$ is a rational integral algebraic function of $x$ of the $(n-1)$ th degree.

Again $\phi_{1}(x)=0$ has a roet. Denote it by $\alpha_{2}$, then $\phi_{1}(x)$ is divisible by $x-\alpha_{2}$ without res nder, so that
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1}(x) & =\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right) \phi_{1}\left(x^{2}\right) \\
f(x) & =\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right) \phi_{2}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\phi_{2}(x)$ is a rational integral algebraic function of $x$ of the $(n-2)$ th degree ; hence $\phi_{2}(x)=0$ has a root. By continuing in this way we shall obtain $n$ factors of $f(x)$, viz., $x-\alpha_{1}$, $x-\alpha_{2}, \cdots x-\alpha_{n}$, and the only other factor is $a_{0}$, which is the coetficient of $x^{n}$ in the quantic $f(x)$. Thus,

$$
f(x)=a_{0}\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha_{n}\right) .
$$

As the quantic $f(x)$ vanishes when we put for $x$ any one of the $n$ numbers $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots \alpha_{n}$, it follows that $f(x)=0$ has $n$ roots. If $x$ is assigned a value different from any one of these $n$ roots, then no factor of $f(x)$ can vanish and the equation is not satisfied. Hence $f(x)=0$ cannot have more than $n$ roots.

The $\pm$ denotes an ambiguity; that is, the sign of a term sc affected is here undetermined. We see that the dots which follow $\pm$ are ambiguities; that is, each permanence of sign in $f(x)$ is here replaced in $(x-\alpha) \cdot f(x)$ by an ambiguity. We see also that to every variation of sign in $f(x)$ there corresponds a variation in $(x-u) \cdot f(x)$. In the product there is, in addition, a variation introduced at the end. Hence the product contains at least one more variation than does $f^{\prime}(x)$. It may contain more; for, successive permanences like +++ or ———, occurring in $f(x)$ and replaced in $(x-\alpha) \cdot f(\cdot \cdot)$ by ambiguities, may in realnty be replaced by the signs +-+ or - - - But such changes in sign always increase the variations by an even number. Hence in $(x-\mu) \cdot f(x)$ the total number of varrations exceeds that in $f(x)$ by the odd number 1 or $1+2 h$.

The same conclusion is reached when the last term in $f(x)$ is negative.

Descartes' Rule follows now easily. Suppose the product of all the factors, corresponding to negative and complex roots of $f(x)=0$, to be already formed. Desiguate this product by $\boldsymbol{F}(x)$. Since $\boldsymbol{F}(x)=0$ has no positive roots, the first and last terms in $F^{\prime}(x)$ have like signs. Hence the number of variations in $F(x)$ is an even number, $2 k$, where $k$ is zero or a positive integer. Now, if $F(x)$ is multiplied by the factor $x-\alpha_{1}$, where $\alpha_{1}$ is a positive root, we get in the product $2 k_{1}+1$ variations, where $k_{1} \equiv k$. In the same way a second factor $x-\alpha_{2}$ gives rise to $2 k_{2}+2$ variations, and so on. Thus, the introduction of $v$ positive roots results in $2 k_{v}+v$ variations, where $k_{v}$ is zero or a positive integer. Hence, the theorem is established.
12. Negative Roots. To apply Descartes' Rule to negative roots of $f(x)=0$ we write down an equation whose roots are those of $f(x)=0$ with their signs changed. The new equation can be derived by substituting in $f(x)=0,-x$ for $x$. The
process merely alters the sigus of all the terms involving odd powers of $x$. It is readily seen that if $\alpha$ satisties the equation $f(x)=0$, then $-\mu$ satisfies the efutation $f(-x)=0$. Hence, each negative root of $f(x)=0$, with its sign changed, is a positive root of $f(-x)=0$. Descartes' Rule may now be applied to $f(-x)=0$.

## Ex. 1. Determine the nature of the roots of $x^{3}+3 x+7=0$.

There is no variation ; therefore, no positive root. Transtorm the equation by changing the signs of the terms containing odd powers of $x$. We get $x^{3}+3 x-7=0$. The new equation has one variation; hence, cannot have more than one positive root. Consequently, the origmal equation cannot have more than one negative root. The real root of the given cubic is thus seen to be negative; the other two roots must be complex.

Ex. 2. Apply Descartes' Rule to $f(x)=x^{4}-x^{8}+7 x+6=0$. Here $f(x)$ has two variations, and $f(-x)$ has two variations. Hence $f(x)=0$ cannot have more than two positive roots nor more than two negative roots.

Ex. 3. Apply Descartes' Rule to $x^{2 n}-1=0$. Since $x^{2 n}-1$ has one variation and $(-x)^{2 n}-1$ has one variation, the given equation cannot have more than one positive root nor more than one negative root. We readily see that +1 and -1 are roots. Hence there are $2 n-2$ complex roots.

Ex. 4. Prove that if the roots of a complete equation are all real, the number of positive roots is equal to the number of variations, and the number of negative roots is equal to the number of permanences.

Ex. 5. An equation with only positive terms cannot have a positive root. If the number of variations is ord, the equation has at least one positive root, but it cannot have an even number of positive roots.

## Ex. 6. A complete equation with alternating signs cannot have a negative root.

Ex. 7. If all the terms of an equation are positive and the equation involves no odd powers of $x$, then all its roots are complex.

Ex. 8. If all the terms of an equation are positive and all involve odd powers of $x$, then 0 is the only real root of the equation.

Ex. 9. Apply Descartes' Rule to

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
x^{3}-21 x+20 & =0 . & x^{6}+x^{5}+1=0 . \\
x^{3}-x^{2}+10 x-15 & =0 . & x^{6}-1=0 . \\
x^{4}+5 x^{3}-4 x^{2}-3 x+5 & =0 . & x^{8}-x^{4}+x^{2}+1=0 . \\
x^{4}+1 & =0 . & x^{n}+1=0 . \\
x^{5}+1 & =0 . & x^{n}-1=0 . \\
x^{5}-1 & =0 . &
\end{array}
$$

Ex. 10. The equation $x^{4}-4 x^{3}-7 x^{2}+22 x+24=0$ has no compiex roots. How many are positive? How many are negative?

Ex. 11. Show that $x^{5}-x^{4}+x^{3}-x^{2}-x-1=0$ cannot have just two positive roots nor just one negative root.

## 13. Relations between Roots and Coefficients.

If

$$
f(x) \equiv x^{n}+u_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+u_{n-1} x+a_{n}=0
$$

has the roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$, then, by § 7 , we have

$$
f(x) \equiv\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha_{n}\right)=0 .
$$

If $n$ be taken successively equal to 2,3 , or 4 , we obtain by ordinary multiplication,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x) \equiv\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)=x^{2}-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) x+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=0 \\
f(x) \equiv\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{3}\right)=x^{3}-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right) x^{2} \\
+\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\mu_{1} \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right) x-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}=0, \\
f(x) \equiv\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(x-\mu_{3}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{4}\right)=x^{4}-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right) x^{3} \\
+\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{1} \mu_{1}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{4}+\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}\right) x^{2}-\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right. \\
+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{4}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} \mu_{4}+\alpha_{2}\left(\mu_{3} \alpha_{4}\right) x+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \mu_{3} \alpha_{4}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

These relations are seen to obey the following laws:
In the equation $f(x)=0$, in which the coefficient of $x^{n}$ is unity, the coefficient $a_{1}$ of the second term, with its sigu changed, is equal to the sum of the roots.

The coefficient $\|_{2}$ of the third term is equal to the sum of the mrolucts of the roots taken two by two.

The coofficient $a_{3}$ of the founth term, with its sign changed, is equal to the sum of the products of the roots taken three by three; und so on, the signs of the coefficients being takien alternately uegative and positive, ancl the number of roots taken in each prorlact increasing by unity every time we adoance to a new coefficient, until finctl!y the lesist term in the equation is recurleel, which is mumerically equal to the protlurt of all the roots amal which is pmsitive or megatice according as $m$, the degree of the equation, is even or odd. In symbols, these laws may be expressed as follows:

When in the equation $f(x)=0$ the coefficient $a_{0}$ of the term $x^{n}$ is not unity, we must divide each term of the equation by $a_{0}$. The sum of the roots is then equal to $-{ }_{\mu_{1}}^{\mu_{0}}$, the sum of their products, two by two, is $\frac{U_{1}}{u_{0}}$, and so on.

The laws expressing the relations between the coefficients of an equation and the roots were obtained above by observing the relations existing in the three products obtained by actual multiplication. To remove any doubt which may be entertained as to the generality of these laws we proceed as follows. Suppose these laws to hold when $u$ factors are multiplied together; that is, suppose that

$$
\left(x-u_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha_{n}\right)=x^{n}+u_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}
$$

where

$$
a_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{n}
$$

have the values shown in I.

Multiply both sides of this identity by another factor $x-\mu_{n+1}$, and we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{n+1}\right)=x^{n+1}+\left(a_{1}-\alpha_{n+1}\right) x^{n} \\
+\left(\mu_{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{n+1}\right) x^{n 1}+\cdots-\alpha_{n} \alpha_{n+1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

But $\quad a_{1}-\alpha_{n+1}=-\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\cdots+\mu_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n+1}$,

$$
\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{n+1}=\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}+\cdots+\mu_{n-1} \mu_{n}\right)+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right.
$$

$$
+\cdots+\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n+1}\right.
$$

$$
a_{3}-u_{2} \alpha_{n+1}=-\left(\mu_{1} \alpha_{1} \mu_{3}+\cdots\right)-\left(\mu_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}+\cdots\right) \alpha_{n+1}
$$

$$
-\mu_{n} \alpha_{n+1}=(-1)^{n+1} \mu_{1} \alpha_{2} \mu_{3} \cdots \alpha_{n+1}
$$

Hence, if the laws hold for $n$ factors, they hold for $n+1$ factors. But from artual multiplocation we know that the laws hold when $"=4$, therefore they must hold when $n=5$. Holding for $n=5$, they must hold when $n=6$, and so on for any positive integral value of $n$.
14. It might appear that the $n$ distinct relations existing between the coefficients and roots of an equation of the uth degree should offer some alvantage in the general solution of the equation, that one of the 17 roots could be obtained by the elimination of the $(n-1)$ roots from the $u$ equations. But this process offers no advantage, for on performmg this elmmnation we merely reproduce the proposed equation. Take, for example, the cubic $x^{3}+a_{1} x_{0}^{2}+a_{2} x+a_{3}=0$.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3} \\
& a_{2}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \\
& \alpha_{3}=-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

To eliminate $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$, multiply both sides of the first equation by $\alpha_{1}^{2}$, both sides of the second by $\alpha_{1}$, and add the results to the third equation.

We obtain

$$
\alpha_{1}^{3}+a_{1} \alpha_{1}^{2}+a_{2} a_{1}+a_{3}=0
$$

which is simply the old equation with $\alpha_{1}$ in place of $x$ to repre sent the unknown quantity.

While the equations expressing the relations between roots and coefficients offer no advantage in the general solution of equations, they are of service in the solution of numerical equations when some special relation is known to exist among the roots. Moreover, in any algebraic equation they enable us to determine the relations between the coefficients which correspond to some given relations between the roots.

Ex. 1. The cubic $x^{3}+3 x^{2}-16 x-48=0$ has two roots whose sum is zero. Solve the equation.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{1}+\alpha_{2} & =0 \\
\iota_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3} & =-3
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\alpha_{3}=-3$. Dividing the cubic by $x+3$, we have

$$
x^{2}-16=0, x= \pm 4
$$

Ex. 2. The roots of the cubic $x^{3}-9 x^{2}+20 x-24=0$ are in arith. metical progression. Find them.

Let $a-d, a, a+d$ be the three roots.
Then $3 a=9,3 a^{2}-d^{2}=26$; therefore $a=3, \quad d=1, \quad a-d=2$, $a+d=4$. The roots are $2,3,4$.

Ex 3. Two ronts of the cubic $3 x^{3}+x^{2}-15 x-5=0$ have the sum zero. Find all three roots.

Ex. 4. The equation $2 x^{3}+7 x^{2}+4 x-3=0$ has two roots whose sum is -2 . Solve the equation.

Ex. 5. The equation $2 x^{3}+23 x^{2}+80 x+75=0$ has two equal roots. Solve.

Ex. 6. The brquadratic equation $9 x^{4}+42 x^{3}+13 x^{2}-84 x+36=0$ has two parrs of equal roots. Find them.

Ex. 7. If the equation $x^{4}+a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x+a_{4}=0$ has all its roots equal, what relation exists between its coefficients?

Ex. 8. Show that the sum of the $n$th roots of unity is zero
15. Symmetric Functions. If a function of two or more quantities is not altered when any two of the quantities are
interchanged, it is callerl a symmetric function. For example, the trinomial $a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}$ is a symmetric function of $a, b, c$, because, if any two quantities, say $a$ and $b$, ate interchanged, the expression is unaltered in value. We are concerned manly with symmetric functions of the roots of an equation. The simplest examples of such functions are those given in $\S 13$, viz..

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\mu_{3}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}, \\
\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1} \mu_{3}+\alpha_{12} u_{3}+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1} \mu_{n}, \\
\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \mu_{3}+\alpha_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{1}+\cdots+\mu_{n-2} \mu_{n-1} \alpha_{n}, \text { etc. }
\end{gathered}
$$

These are the simplest, because in no term does any one of the roots occur to a higher power than the first. Other examples of symmetric functions of the roots are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2}+u_{1}^{2} u_{3}^{2}+u_{2}^{2} u_{3}^{2}, \\
& \left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\mu_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{4}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha_{2}-\mu_{3}\right)^{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{4}\right)^{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{4}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall represent a symmetric function by the letter $\Sigma$, followed by one of the terms of the function. Given the roots and one of the terms of the symmetric function of these roots, it is usually not difficult to write down all the terms of the function. Thus, given the roots $, \beta, \gamma$ of a cubic equation, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{u} & \equiv \varkappa+\beta+\gamma \\
\Sigma_{u} \beta & \equiv u \beta+u \gamma+\beta \gamma, \\
\Sigma^{\prime} u^{2} \beta & \equiv u^{\prime} \beta+u^{\prime} \gamma+\beta^{2} u+\beta^{2} \gamma+\gamma^{2} u+\gamma^{2} \beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex. 1. If $x^{3}+\mu^{2}+1, r+c=0$ has the roots $r, \beta, \gamma$, express the value if $\Sigma \varepsilon^{2} \beta$ in terms of the coefficients.

Multiply
by
und we obtain
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota+\beta+\gamma & =-a \\
\alpha \beta+\iota \gamma+\beta \gamma & =b, \\
\Sigma \iota_{\iota^{2} \beta+3}+\boldsymbol{\iota \beta \gamma} & =-a b \\
\Sigma \iota^{2} \beta & =3 c-a b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex. 2. Find $\Sigma \iota^{2}$ for the same cubic.

[^0]Ex. 3. Find $\Sigma \ell^{3}$ for the same rubic.
Multuply the functions $\dot{y}$ (e and $\dot{\text { a }}$ ( $\ell^{2}$ together, and the product is


Ex. 4 For the same cublice, find $\dot{x} x^{2} \beta^{2}$.
Squarmg both ades of $e \beta+$ e $\gamma+\beta \gamma=h$, we obtain

$$
\ell^{2} \gamma^{2}+u^{2} \gamma^{2}+\beta^{2} \gamma^{2}+2 u \beta \gamma(u+\beta+\gamma)=b^{2}
$$

世x. D. F'or the name cubir, find $\dot{\Sigma} \varepsilon^{3} / \beta$.
Wow that $\operatorname{S}\left(\ell^{2} \beta\right.$. $\dot{L} \ell \ell=\Sigma\left(\ell^{3} \beta+2 \Sigma x^{2} \beta^{2}+2 r(\beta)(x+\beta+\gamma)\right.$.
Ex. 6. For the same cuble, find the value of $(\ell+\beta)(\beta+\gamma)(\gamma+\ell)$.
Ex. 7 If $r^{1}+a x^{3}+6 x^{2}+i x+d=0$ hat the roots $\ell, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, find the value of $\mathrm{cic}^{2}$.
'Ex. 8. For the same quartie, find the value of ${ }^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta$.
Ex. 9. For the same guartue, find the value of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}} \iota^{2} \beta^{2}$.
Ex 10. Find the valure, exprested in toms of the coceflieients, of the sum of the splanes of the toots $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \cdots, \ell_{n}$, of

$$
x^{n}+u_{1} x^{\prime \prime}{ }^{1}+u_{2} x^{\prime \prime} 2+\cdots+\mu_{4-1} x+\mu_{n}=0 .
$$




$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{n} 1_{\ell_{n-1}} & =\ell_{2} \ell_{;} \cdots \ell_{n}+\ell_{1} \ell_{1} \cdots \ell_{n}+\cdots+\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \cdots \ell_{n-1} \\
(-1)^{n} \ell_{n} & =\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \cdots \ell_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing the former by the latter we ohtain

$$
\frac{1}{\ell_{1}}+\frac{1}{u_{2}}+\frac{1}{\left.\ell_{i}\right)}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\ell_{1}}=-\frac{a_{n}-1}{u_{n}}=-\sum \frac{1}{u_{1}}
$$

Ex. 12. Find the sum of the recpurocals of the loots of the equation $x^{5}+r^{2}+10 x+10 i=0$. Find also $\sum \frac{1}{\ell_{1}\left(\ell_{2}\right.}$.
16. Graphic Representation of the Polynomial $f(x)$. The changes in value of the polynomial $f(x)=a_{n} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}$, as the varialle $x$ increases or decreases, can be seen most easi! $y$ by the aid of graphic represeutations.

Let $X X^{\prime}$ and $Y Y^{\prime}$ be two perpendicular lines, called axes of reference. Their intersection $O$ is called the origin. Let values
 of $r$ be measured off from the orign O) along the axis $\mathrm{XX}^{\prime}$ and values of $y$ be measured off from $O$ along the axis $\boldsymbol{Y} Y^{\prime}$. Positive values of $x$ are measured from $O$ toward the right; negative values, toward the left. Positive values of $y$ are measured from $O$ upward; negative values of $y$, downward.
The distances of a point $P$ from the axes of reference are called the coirdinates of the point. Thus, $P_{i n}$ and $P_{n}$ are the coordinates of the point $P$, both coordinates being positive; $Q_{5}$ and $Q$ r are the coordinates of the point $Q$, both being negative.

Let $y$ represent the value of the polynomial $f^{\prime}(x)$; that 1 s , let

$$
y=f(x)
$$

Suppose now that $y=P_{n}$ when $x=P^{\prime} m$, then the position of the point $P$ represents to the eye simultaneously the value of $x$ and the corresponding value of $f(x)$. If different values of $x$ be laid off on the axis $\mathrm{XX}^{\prime}$ and the corresponding values of $f(x)$ on whe axis $Y Y^{\prime}$, the points thus located will all lie on a line or surve, called the grath of the polynomial $f(x)$.
In the construction of the graphs of polynomials it is convenient to use "plotting" or "coordinate" paper, ruled in small squares.

Ex. 1. Construct the graph of $f(x)=x^{2}+x-2$.
Putting $y=x^{2}+x-2$, we readily compute the following sets of values:
If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0, \quad y=-2 . \\
& x= \pm \frac{1}{2}, y=-1 \frac{1}{2} \\
& \text { or }-2 \frac{1}{2} . \\
& x= \pm 1, y=0 \quad \text { or }-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Photing these fronts we get the adjomed curve Here unty is taken equal to $\frac{8}{5}$ of a side of a square.

From the shape of this curve we can see that when $x$ is negative and mereases, then $f(x)$ decreases and reaches a mimmum value when $x=-\frac{1}{2}$. From there on, as $x$ increases, the $f(x)$ mereases. The curve is a parabola It euts the axis $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ in two places; that is, there are two values of $x$, for wheh the value of $f^{\prime}(x)$ is zero. These two values of $x$ are 1 and -2 . Hence 1 and -2 are roots of the equation $f(x)=0$.


Ex. 2. Construct the graph of $f(x)=\frac{1}{3} x^{2}+x+3$.
If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0, \quad y=3 . \\
& x= \pm 1, y=4 \frac{1}{3} \\
& \text { or } 2 \frac{2}{3} . \\
& x= \pm 2, y=6 \frac{1}{3} \text { or } 2 \frac{1}{2} . \\
& x=-3, y=9 \\
& \text { or } 3 . \\
& x= \pm 4, y=12! \\
& \text { or } 43 .
\end{aligned}
$$



The curve does not cut the axis $\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{X}^{\prime}$; hence no real value of $x$ makes $f(x)$ zero, and the roots are both imaginary.

Ex. 3. Construct the graph $f(x)=x^{3}-x^{2}+2 x-3$.


$$
\text { f } \quad \begin{array}{ll}
x=0, \quad y=-3 . \\
x=1.5, y=-2.12 \text { or }-4.37 \\
x=11, y=-1 & \text { or }-7 \\
x= \pm 2, y=5 & \text { or }-19 \\
x= \pm: 3, y=21 & \text { or }-45 .
\end{array}
$$

The curve crosses the axis $X X^{\prime}$ only once; hence there is ouly one real root. The value of this root is seen from the figule to be about 1.3 .

Ex. 4. Find the graph of $x^{3}+x^{2}+2 x-4$.
Ex. 5. Find the graph of $x^{4}-2 x+1$.
17. In constructing the graph of a polynomial $f(x)$ we located a number of points and then drew a curve through them. The curve thus obtained was cossumed to represent the continnons variation of the value of $f(x)$, corresponding to the contmuths increase of r. But this assumption that the polynomial $f(x)$ never jumps from one value to another, when $x$ is made to vary antimuously from one value to another, regures proof. The proof will be given in $\$ 95$. It is facilitated by the use of derived functions and Taylor"s Theorem.
18. Derived Functions and Taylor's Theorem. In

$$
f(x)=u_{1} r^{n}+u_{1} \cdot x^{n-1}+a_{n} x^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n-1} x+a_{n}
$$

let $x$ receive an increment $h$ and write $x+h$ in place of $x$. We have

$$
f(x+h)=\left(u_{n}(x+k)^{n}+u_{1}(x+k)^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n-1}(x+k)+a_{n} .\right.
$$

Let each term be expamided by the binomial formula. Then collect the coefficients of like powers of $h$, and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x+h)=a_{n} r^{x^{n}}+a_{1} \cdot x^{n-1}+c_{2} \cdot x^{n-2}+\cdots+c_{n-1} \cdot x+a_{n} \\
& +h\left\{n \left(l_{1} x^{n-1}+(n-1)\left(l_{1} \cdot x^{n}{ }^{2}+(n-2)\left(\iota_{2} x^{n-3}+\cdots+a_{n-1}\right\}\right.\right.\right. \\
& +\frac{h^{2}}{1 \cdot 2}\left\{n(n-1){f_{n}}_{n} x^{n-2}+(n-1)(n-2)\left(1_{1} \cdot x^{n-3}+\cdots+21_{n}\right\}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& +\frac{h^{3}}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}\left\{n(n-1)(n-2) u_{n} u^{n-3}+(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)\left(u_{1} x^{n-4}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots+3 \cdot 2 u_{n-3}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1^{n}}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots n}\{n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots 2 \cdot 1\} a_{10} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first line in this expansion is obviously $f^{\prime}(x)$. We shall call the coeffichent of $h$ the first deriver fienction and denote it by $f^{\prime}(x)$. Simlarly we shall call the coefficient of $\frac{h^{2}}{1 \cdot 2}$ the second derived fiuntiom amd denote it by $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$; and so on The $r$ th derived function is desugnated by $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$. In the Differential Calculus these derived functions are called diffierential rocfficients. Using this new notation, the above result may be written as follows:
$f(x+h)=f(x)+h f^{\prime}(x)+\frac{h^{2}}{\underline{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{h^{3}}{\mid \underline{3}} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)+\cdots+\frac{h^{n}}{\mid \ddot{n}} f^{n}(x), \quad \mathrm{I}$
In the Differential Calculas this scries goes by the name of Taylor's Theorem. We have here established the truth of this theorem for rational integral functions of $x$, but the theorem has actually a much wider application.

The results of this paragraph are true of complex numbers, as well as of real numbers.
19. To arrive at a convenient rule for finding derived functions, compare the following expressions :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+a_{n} x^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n-1} x+a_{n}, \\
f^{\prime}(x) & =n a_{0} x^{n-1}+(n-1) a_{1} \cdot x^{n-2}+(\prime-2) a_{2} x^{n}{ }^{n}+\cdots+a_{n-1}, \\
f^{\prime \prime}(x) & =n(n-1) u_{0} x^{n-2}+(n-1)(n-2) a_{1} x^{n-3}+\cdots+2 a_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that $f^{\prime}(x)$ can be obtained from $f(x)$ in this manner : Multiply ench term in $f(x)$ by the expment of $x$ in thut term, and diminish the exponent of $x$ in the term by unity. By this rule $a_{0} x^{n}$ becomes $n a_{n} x^{n-1}$, etc. ; $a_{n}$, i.e. $a_{n} x^{n}$, becomes $0 \cdot a_{n} x^{-1}$, or 0 . Notice that $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ can be derived from $f^{\prime}(x)$ in the same way as $f^{\prime}(x)$ was derived from $f(x)$.
Ex. 1. If $f(x)=x^{5}+3 x^{4}+5 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+7 x+10$,
then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(x) & =5 x^{4}+12 x^{3}+15 x^{2}+12 x+7, \\
f^{\prime \prime}(x) & =20 x^{3}+36 x^{2}+30 x+12, \\
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) & =60 x^{2}+72 x+30, \\
f^{\prime \prime}(x) & =120 x+72, \\
f^{\prime}(x) & =120 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex. 2. Find all the derived functions of

$$
x^{6}+2 x^{5}+7 x^{3}+8 x^{2}+15
$$

20. Another Form of $f^{\prime}(x)$. By $\$ 7$,

$$
f(x)=\mu_{n}\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{3}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha_{n}\right) .
$$

Letting $x$ increase to $x+h$, we have

$$
f(x+h)=a_{n}\left(x+h-\mu_{1}\right)\left(x+h-\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x+h-\alpha_{n}\right) . \quad \mathrm{I}
$$

But, by 'Taylor's Theorem, § 18,

$$
f(x+h)=f^{\prime}(x)+h f^{\prime}(x)+\frac{h^{2}}{1 \cdot 2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)+\cdots
$$

Hence the coefficient of $h$ is $f^{\prime}(x)$, and $f^{\prime}(x)$ must, therefore, be equal to the coefficient of $h$ in the right member of $I$.
That is, $f^{\prime}(x)=\alpha_{0}\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(x-\mu_{3}\right) \cdots\left(x-\mu_{n}\right)+\mu_{0}\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{3}\right)$

$$
\cdots\left(x-\alpha_{n}\right)+\cdots=\frac{f(x)}{x-\mu_{1}}+\frac{f(x)}{x-\alpha_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{f(x)}{x-\alpha_{n}} . \quad \text { II }
$$

Formula II is still true if some of the roots are equal. Suppose $\alpha_{1}$ occurs as a root $s$ times and $\alpha_{2}$ occurs $t$ times, then

$$
f(x)=r_{0}\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)^{\prime} \cdot \cdots,
$$

and formula II becomes

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{s f(x)}{x-\alpha_{1}}+\frac{t f(x)}{x-\alpha_{2}}+\cdots
$$

22. Graphic Representation of Complex Numbers. In the contruction of graphs of polynomials $y=f(x)$ we assumed a orizontal and a vertioal axis, and from this pomet of intersection measured off values of $x$ parallel to the horizontal axis and values of $y$ parallel to the vertical axis. A similar plan is eommonly adopted for the representation of complex numbers or imaginaries. If $z=x+i y$, where $x$ andey are real numbers, either + or - , rational or irrational, then $x$ and $y$ are laid off parallel to the horizontal and vertical axis, respectively. If $x=O Q, y=Q P$, then $z$ is rejure-
 sented in magnitude and direction by OP. The lougth of OP is called the modulus of $z$, and is equal to $\sqrt{x^{2}}+y^{2}$.* The direction of $z$ is indicated by the angle $\theta$, which is called the amplitude or argument of $z$.

Since $x=\rho \cos \theta, y=\rho \sin \theta$, we have

$$
z=x+i y=\rho(\cos \theta+i \sin \theta) .
$$

This graphic representation of complex numbers is due to Crusprar Wessel (1797).
23. Addition and Subtraction of Complex Numbers. Lei $O P$ $=a+i b$ and $O P^{\prime}=a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime}$, then, $O P^{\prime}+O I^{\prime \prime}=\left(a+a^{\prime}\right)+i\left(b+b^{\prime}\right)$. Draw $P^{\prime} S$ parallel and equal to $O P$, then $O T=u+a^{\prime}, T S^{\prime}=b+b^{\prime}$, and $O S=O P+O I^{\prime \prime}$.
*This graphic representation is of great help to the mathematician. But attention should be called to the fact that the statement, that to every irrational number there corresponds a hime of detinite length, is no longer considered self-evident nor demonstrable; it involves the geometric postulate: "If all points of the line fall into two classes in such a manner that each point of the first class lies to the left of each point of the second class, then there exists one point, and ouly one, whirh brings about this separation." See the Eucyklopadie d. Muth. Wiss., I A 3, No. 4.

Using the notation $\rho=$ mod. $O P$, we readily see that, in this case,

$$
\bmod . O S^{\prime}<\bmod . O I^{\prime \prime}+\bmod . P^{\prime} S .
$$

This means simply that two sides of a triangle are, together, greater than the third side. If $O P$ and $O P$ had the same
 amplitude (that is, the same durection), then the modulus of their sum would be equal to the sum of then moduli. Extending these consulerations to three or more imaginaries, we readily arrive at the following theorem: The modulus of the sume of tuo or more comple. numbers is less than, or at most equal to, the sum of their moduli. In other words, a straight line joining two points is shorter than the sum of the parts of a broken line commecting the same two points.
24. Multiplication of Complex Numbers. The product of

$$
z=a+i b=\rho(\cos \theta+i \sin \theta)
$$

and

$$
z^{\prime}=a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime}=\rho^{\prime}\left(\cos \theta^{\prime}+i \sin \theta^{\prime}\right)
$$

may be defined as follows:

$$
z \cdot z^{\prime}=\rho \mu^{\prime}\left\{\cos \left(\theta+\theta^{\prime}\right)+i \sin \left(\theta+\theta^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

that is, the modulus of the protuct of $z$ and $z$ is equal to the product of their moduli; the amplitule of their protuct is equal to the sum of their amplitudes.

Ex. 1. To what power $n$ must $z=p\left(\cos 45^{\circ}+i \sin 45^{\circ}\right)$ be raised, in order that $z^{\prime \prime}$ may have the same direction as $z$ ? What are the conditions that $z^{\prime \prime}=z$ ?

Ex. 2. Prove De Moivre's Theorem: $(\cos \theta+i \sin \theta)^{m}=\cos m \theta$ $+i \sin m \theta$, for the case when $m$ is a positive integer.
25. Continuity of $f(z)$. We wish to prove that $f^{\prime}(z)$ varies continuously with $z$, that as the complex number $z$ changes gradually from $a+i b$ to $a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime}, f(z)$ changes gradually from $f(a+i b)$ to $f\left(a^{\prime}+i b^{\prime}\right)$.
Let $z$ vary from $z_{0}=a+i b$ to $z_{0}+h$, where $h$ is likewise a complex number. The corresponding increment of $f(z)$ is

$$
f\left(z_{0}+h\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right),
$$

and this, by Taylor's Theorem, \& 18, is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{1 \cdot 2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{3}}{1 \cdot \frac{2}{2} \cdot 3} f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\cdots+\frac{h^{n}}{\mid \underline{n}} f^{n}\left(z_{0}\right), \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right), f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right), \cdots, f^{n}\left(z_{0}\right)$ are each finite complex numbers. Now, expression I is

$$
=h\left\{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{h}{1 \cdot 2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{n}\right)+\cdots+\frac{h^{n} 1}{!n} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\} . \quad \text { II }
$$

Since each term within the parenthesis of II is a finite complex number, and the number of terms is also finite, it follows that the entire expression within the parenthesis has a finite value. For, by $\S 23$, the modulus of the sum of two or more complex numbers cannot exceed the sum of their moduli, and no complex number with a finite modulus can be infimite, no matter what its amplitude (direction) may be. Hence, by $\$ 24$, as the modulus of $h$ is allowed to approach the limit zero, the modnlus of the entire expression II approaches the limit zero. But when the modulus approaches the limit zero, the complex variable itself approaches zero, no matter what its amplitude may be. Hence the expression II approaches the limit zero when $h$ does.
Since expression II represents the difference between $f\left(z_{0}+h\right)$ and $f\left(z_{0}\right)$, it follows that an infinitely small variation of the complex variable $z$ corresponds to an infinitely small variation of the polynomial $f(z)$, and the continuity of $f(z)$ is established.

The above reasoning remains valid if we write the real variable $x$ in place of the complex variable $z$. For, real numbers are only special cases of complex numbers.

An examination of the graphs in $\S 16$ shows that when $x$ increases, $f(x)$ does not necessarily increase ; it may merease or decrease. What we have proved is that, whether increasing or diminishing, $f(x)$ passes from one value to another continuously, never per saltum.
26. Fundamental Theorem. We shall now demonstrate the important theorem which was assumed without proof in § 7, a theorem which has been called the fundamental proposition of algebra.*

Every rational integral equation with real or complex coefficients has at least one root.

If we can show that the theorem is true for the special case in which the coefficents of the given equation are all real, then the general case, m which some or all of the eoefticients are complex, easily follows. For, if $f_{1}(z)$ is a function of $z$, whose coefficients are, respectively, the conjugate imaginaries of the coefficients of a second function $f_{2}(z)$, then we may write $f_{1}(z) \equiv A+i B$ and $f_{1}(z) \equiv A-1 B$, and $f_{1}(z) \cdot f_{2}(z) \equiv A^{3}+B^{2}=f(z)$, where $t(z)$ has only real coefficients. Now, if $f(z)=0$ can be shown to have a root $\alpha_{1}$, then we must have pither $f_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=0$ or $f_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}\right)=0$. Suppose $f_{1}\left(\omega_{1}\right)=0$, then it follows that $f_{2}\left(\mu_{2}\right)=0$. where $\mu_{2}$ is the conjugate of $\alpha_{1}, \S 8$. Hence $f_{1}(z)=0$ and $f_{2}(z)=0$ have each at least one root.
Without loss of generality we may now assume that the

[^1]polynomial $f(z)$ of the $n$th degree has real coefficients only. We wish to prove that there exists always at least one value of $z$, either real or complex, which causes the polynomial $f^{\prime}(z)$ to vanish.

Let $z=x+i y$, then, by $\$ 22$, the variable represents points in a plane, and the function $f(z)$ has a definte value at each point in the plane. As in $\S 8$, we may write $f^{\prime}(z)=I+i(Q$, where $P$ and $Q$ are functions of $x$ and $y$ with real coefticients. To tind expressions for $P$ and $(Q$, let $x=r \cos \phi, y=r \sin \phi$ By De Moivre's Theorem,

$$
z^{m}=r^{m}(\cos \phi+i \sin \phi)^{m}=r^{m}(\cos m \phi+i \sin m \phi)
$$

Substituting for $z$ in $f(z)$, we gret,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=r^{n} \cos n \phi+a_{1} 1^{n-1} \cos (n-1) \phi+a_{2} n^{n-2} \cos (n-2) \phi+\cdots+a_{n}, \\
& Q=r^{n} \sin \pi \phi+\mu_{1} r^{n} \sin (n-1) \phi+u_{2} r^{n-2} \sin (n-2) \phi+\cdots \\
& +u_{n-1}{ }^{\prime} \sin \phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

A second expression for $P$ and $Q$ is olstained by letting $t=\tan _{2}^{\frac{1}{2} \phi} \phi$. We obtain,

$$
\cos \phi=\frac{1-t^{2}}{1+t^{2}}, \quad \sin \phi=\frac{2 t}{1+t^{2}}, \quad z=r \frac{(1+i t)^{2}}{1+t^{2}} .
$$

'This gives,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+t^{2}\right)^{n}(P+i Q)=r^{n}(1+i t)^{2 n}+t_{1} r^{n-1}(1+i t)^{2 n-2}\left(1+t^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\cdots+\left(\iota_{n}\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{n} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

If we expand the binomials by the binomial formula, and arrange the result according to the powers of $t$, we get,

$$
P=\frac{!(t)}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{n}}, \quad Q=\frac{h(t)}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{n}},
$$

where $g(t)$ and $h(t)$ are rational integral functions of $t$, the degrees of which do not exceed $2 \mu$.

All points in the plane having the same value for $r$ lie upon a circle of radius $r$, the centre of which is at the origin of
coorrdinates. 'To determine the points on this circle for which $\boldsymbol{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}$ vanish, we must solve the equations $g(t)=0$ and $h(t)=0$, for the given value of $r$. But we know by $\S 7$ that if $h(t)=0$ and $g(t)=0$ have roots at all, they cannot have more than $2 n$. From this it follows that neither $P$ nor $Q$ can be equal to zero at all points of an area in the plane, for in that event we could select $r$ such that the circle would pass through that area, and $P$ and $Q$ would vanish at an infinite number of points on this circle.

The value of $Q$ may be written,

$$
Q=r^{n}\left(\sin n \phi+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{r} \sin (n-1) \phi+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{r^{2}} \sin (n-2) \phi+\cdots\right)
$$

From this expression it is readily seen that $r$ may be taken so large that $Q$ has the same sign as $\sin u \phi$ on all points of the circle where sin $n \phi$ is numerically larger than some value $\epsilon$, which may be as small as we please, but not zero. Mark on the circle the points

$$
0, \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{2 \pi}{n}, \cdots, \frac{(2 n-1) \pi}{n}
$$

and designate them, respectively, by $0,1,2, \cdots, 2 n-1$. Thus, the circle is divided into $2 x$ ares, (01), (12), (23), $\cdots,(2 n-1,0)$,
 in which $\sin n \phi$ is alternately + and - . The figure shows the division for $n=5$. In passing from arc (01) to are (12), the function $Q$, for sufficiently large values of $r$, changes from + to - . Since by $\S 25, Q$ is a continuous function having real values, in going along the circle from + to - , it must at the point 1 pass through zero. Similarly, $Q$ must pass through
zero also at the points $2,3, \cdots,(2 n-1)$, but it does this at no other points of the circle.

Similar remarks apply to $P$. It is readily seen that, for sufficiently large values of $r, P$ and cos $n \phi$ have always equal signs; that $P$ is positive at the points $0,2, \cdots,(2 n-2)$, and in their vicinity, and negative at the points $1,3,5, \cdots,(2 n-1)$, and in their vicinity.

We have seen that $(\mathbb{C}$ camot vanish at all points of an area. Consequently the area within the circle can be divided into districts so that in some districts $Q$ is everywhere positive, while in others it is every where negative. These districts are marked off by boundary lines along which $Q$ vanishes. To aid the eye, the positive districts are shaded.

An arc $(2 h, 2 h+1)$ of the circle, along which $Q$ is positive, lies in a positive district. This district lies partly inside and partly outside the circle. Designate by $I$ the part of it that is inside. Several cases may arise. The area $I$ may terminate inside, as does $(2,12,3)$, in which case $(2 h, 2 h+1)$ is the only arc of the circle on its boundary. Or, the area $I$ may run into another positive arc $(2 k, 2 k+1)$, or it may divide into two or more branches, each of which terminates in a positive arc $(2 l, 2 l+1)$. If there could be within $I$ an area, like an island, in which $Q$ were negative, then the conclusions which we are about to draw would still follow.

Consider the boundary line within the circle, passing from $2 h+1$ to $2 k$. Along this line $Q=0$. But $P$ is negative at the point $2 h+1$ and positive at the point $2 k$. Since $P$ is continuous and represents real values, $P$ must pass through zero in at least one point along the boundary line connecting $2 h+1$ and $2 k$. Thus, at that point, we have not only $Q=0$ but also $P=0$; that is, $f(z)=P+i Q=0$. Thus the existence of at least one root of $f(z)=0$ is demonstrated.

The figure on the preceding page is taken from H. Weber and represents approximately the relations for the equation

$$
z^{5}-4 z-2=0
$$

Its roots are approximately
$\alpha=1.52, \beta=-.51, \gamma=-1.24, \epsilon=.12+i 1.44, \epsilon^{\prime}=.12-i 1.44$.
The root $\alpha$ lies on the boundary $(1,10,0)$. The root $\beta$ lies on the boundary ( $9,10,11,6$ ). The root $\gamma$ lies on the boundary ( $5,11,4$ ). The root $\epsilon$ lies on the boundary ( $3,12,2$ ).
The root $\epsilon$ ' lies on the boundary $(7,13,8)$.

## CHAPTER II

## ELEMENTARY TRANSFORMATIONS OF EQUATIONS

27. Frequently it becomes necessary to transform a given equation into a new one whose roots (or coefficients) bear a given relation to the roots (or coefficients) of the original equation. The discussion of the properties of an equation is often facilitated by such transformations.
28. Change of Signs of Roots. To change an equation into another whose roots are numerically the same as those of the given equation, but opposite in sign, it is only necessary to substitute in the given equation - $x$ for $x$. This transformation has been used alrealy in the application of Descartes' Rule of Signs to negative roots, $\S 12$. $\llcorner$ The signs of all the terms containing odd powers of $x$ are changed by it. The proof is as follows :

Let $\alpha$ be any root of the equation $f(\cdot r)=0$. Then we must have $f(\alpha)=0$. If, now, we substitute $-x$ for $x$, we get $f(-x)=0$. Of this equation - $r$ is a root, for when we take $x=-\alpha$, we have $f(-[-\alpha])=f(\kappa)$, and this we know to be equal to zero.
29. Roots multiplied by a Given Number. To transform an equation into another whose roots are $m$ times that of the first.
Put $y=m x$, and substitute $\frac{y}{m}$ for $x$ in the identity

$$
a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+\mu_{n} \equiv a_{n}\left(x-\mu_{1}\right)\left(x-\mu_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha_{n}\right)=0 ;
$$

we get

$$
a_{0} \frac{y^{n}}{m^{n}}+a_{1} \frac{y^{n-1}}{m^{n-1}}+\cdots+a_{n} \equiv a_{0}\left(\frac{y}{m}-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\frac{y}{m}-\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{y}{m}-\alpha_{n}\right)=0 .
$$

Multiplying by $m^{n}$, we have
$a_{n} y^{n}+m \alpha_{1} y^{n-1}+\cdots+m^{n} \mu_{n} \equiv a_{0}\left(y-m \alpha_{1}\right)\left(y-m \alpha_{2}\right) \cdots\left(y-m \alpha_{n}\right)=0$,
which is the required equation.
Hence, multiply the second term by m, the third by $m n^{2}$, and so on.

Ex. 1. Transform the equation $x^{3}+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3} x+\frac{1}{4}=0$ into an equa.on with integral coefficients and $a_{0}=1$.
Multiply the roots by $n$ and we get $x^{3}+\frac{m}{2} x^{2}+\frac{m^{2}}{3} x+\frac{m^{3}}{4}=0$. The fractions will disappear if we take $m=6$. The result is

$$
x^{3}+3 x^{2}+12 x+54=0
$$

Ex. 2. Find the equation whose roots are 5 times the roots of the equation $x^{4}-x^{3}+x^{2}-x+\frac{1}{5}=0$.

Ex. 3. Find the equation whose roots are $-\frac{1}{2}$ times the roots of

$$
x^{4}+4 x^{3}-4 x^{2}+8 x+32=0 .
$$

Ex. 4. Transform the equation $3 x^{3}+4 x^{2}-5 x+6=0$ into one in which the coefficient of $x^{3}$ is unity and all coefficients are integral.

Divide the left member of the given equation by 3 , then multiply the 'oots by $m$. We obtain $x^{3}+\frac{4 m}{3} x^{2}-\frac{5 m^{2}}{3} x+\frac{6 m^{3}}{3}=0$.

Taking $m=3$, we get the required equation, $x^{3}+4 x^{2}-15 x+54=0$.
Ex. 5. Change the signs of the roots of the equation

$$
x^{6}+5 x^{3}-6 x^{2}+x+5=0 .
$$

Ex. 6. Remove the fractional coefficients from the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{3}+\frac{1}{3} x-1 \frac{1}{25} & =0, \\
a_{0} & =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

reeping
Ex. 7. Transform the equation $10 x^{4}-6 x^{2}+7 x-\frac{1}{10}=0$ so that the roefficient of the highest term is unity.
Ex. 8. Remove fractional coefficients from $\frac{3}{3} x^{4}+\frac{1}{4} x^{3}-x+\frac{1}{8}=0$, ulso make the coefficient of the highest term unity, and change the signs of the roots.
30. Reciprocal Roots. To change an equation into a new one whose roots are the reciprorals of the roots of the first equation. In the equation

$$
a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}=a_{0}\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{12}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha_{n}\right)=0
$$

put $x=\frac{1}{y}$, and we have

$$
a_{0} \frac{1}{y^{n}}+a_{1} \frac{1}{y^{n-1}}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}=a_{0}\left(\frac{1}{y}-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{y}-\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{1}{y}-\alpha_{n}\right)=0
$$

Multiplying by $y^{n}$,
$a_{n} y y^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} y^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}=a_{0} a_{n}\left(y-\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}\right)\left(y-\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(y-\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}\right)=0$, the required equation.
31. Reciprocal Equations. If an equation is notaltered when $x$ is changed into its reciprocal, it is ealled a recigrocul equation. Comparing coefficients of the first and last equation in § 30, we see that the conditions for a reciprocal equation are

$$
\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}=\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n}}, \quad \frac{a_{2}}{a_{0}}=\frac{\|_{n-2}}{a_{n}}, \ldots \frac{a_{n-1}}{u_{0}}=\frac{\|_{1}}{a_{n}}, \quad \begin{aligned}
& a_{n}=\frac{a_{0}}{a_{0}} . \\
& a_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last condition gives $a_{n}{ }^{2}=u_{0}^{2}$ and $a_{n}= \pm u_{0}$. If $a_{n}=+a_{0}$, then the denominators in the equations of condition are all alike, and we see that the first, second, third coefficients, etc., taken from the beginning, are equal respectively to the first, second, and third coefficients, etc., taken from the end. If $a_{n}=-a_{0}$, then these relations are modified in this, that corresponding terms from the beginning and end have opposite signs.

If $\alpha$ is a root of a reciprocal equation, $\frac{1}{a}$ must be a root also. Hence the roots of a reciprocal equation occur in pairs $\alpha_{1}, \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}$; $\alpha_{2}, \frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}$; etc.

If the degree of the equation is odd, then one of the roots
must be its own reciprocal; that is, one of the roots must be either +1 or -1 . If the coefficients have all like signs, then -1 is a root; if the coefficients of the terms equidistant from the first and last have opposite signs, then +1 is a root. In either case the degree of the equation can be depressed by unity, if we divide $f\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ by $x+1$ or by $x-1$. The depressed equation is always a reciproral equation of eren degree with like signs for its coefficients.

If the degree of a given reciprocal equation is pren and if terms equidistant from the first and last have opposite signs, then the left member of the equation has $x^{2}-1$ as a factor. For, the equation may be written in the form

$$
\left(x^{2 n}-1\right)+a_{1} x\left(x^{2 n \cdots 2}-1\right)+a_{1} x^{2}\left(2^{2 n-4}-1\right)+\cdots=0
$$

Dividing by $x^{2}-1$ reduces this type of reciprocal eguation to one of even degree with all coefficients positice.

Since all reciprocal equations of odd degree and all reciprocal equations of even degree with half of the coefficients negative, are reducible to resiprocal equations of even degree with coefficients all positive, the latter kind is called the standard form of reciprocal equation.

Ex. 1. Under what conditions is the equation

$$
x^{4}+\iota_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+\iota_{3} x+a_{4}=0 \text { reciprocal? }
$$

Under what conditions is it in the standard form?
Ex. 2. Reduce the following reciprocal equation to the standard form.

$$
x^{6}+a_{1} x^{5}+a_{2} x^{4}-a_{2} x^{2}-a_{1} x-1=0 .
$$

We may write it thus: $\left(x^{6}-1\right)+a_{1} x\left(x^{4}-1\right)+a_{2} x^{2}\left(x^{2}-1\right)=0$.
Dividing by $x^{2}-1, x^{4}+a_{1} x^{3}+\left(1+a_{2}\right) x^{2}+a_{1} x+1=0$.
Ex. 3. For what value of $a_{m}$ will

$$
x^{2 m}+a_{1} x^{2 m-1}+a_{2} x^{2 m-2}+\cdots+a_{m} x^{m}-a_{m-1} x^{m-1}-\cdots-a_{1} x-1=0
$$

be a reciprocal equation?
Ex. 4. Solve the equation $x^{4}+3 x^{b}-3 x-1=0$.

Ex. 5. Solve the equation $3 x^{3}+2 x^{2}+2 x+3=0$.
Ex. 6. Given that $c$ is a root of

$$
a x^{5}+(b-a c) x^{4}-b c x^{3}-b x^{2}-(a-b c) x+a c=\psi
$$

find the other roots.
32. Roots diminished by a Given Number. If an equation is to be transformed into another whose roots are those of the first, diminished by $h$, then we take $y=x-h$, and substitute $x=y+h$ in the given equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} x^{n}+u_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+u_{n}=0 \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain $\left.a_{0}(!y+h)^{n}+a_{1}(!)+h\right)^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}=0$. II

If $\alpha$ is a root of equation I, then $\alpha-h$ is a root of equation II; for, substituting $u-h$ for $y$ in the latter, we get

$$
a_{0} \iota^{n}+u_{1} \varepsilon^{n-1}+\cdots+u_{n}
$$

which expression must vanish, since $\varepsilon$ is a root of I. Hence II is satisfied by $\eta=\ell-l$.

If we expand the binomials in II and collect the coefficients of like powers of $y$, we obtain, let us suppose, the equation

$$
A_{0} y^{n}+\Lambda_{1} y^{n-1}+\Lambda_{2} y^{n-2}+\cdots+A_{n}=0
$$

Since $y=x-h$, this equation is equivalent to

$$
A_{0}(x-h)^{n}+A_{1}(x-h)^{n-1}+\cdots+\Lambda_{n-1}(x-h)+A_{n}=0
$$

The form of this last equation suggests an easy rule for carrying out the actual computation. Dividing the left member by $x-h$, the remainder obtained is'seen to be equal to $A_{n}$, the absolute term. If the quotient thus obtained is divided by $x-h$, the remainder is $A_{n-1}$, the coefficient of $x$. By continuing this process we can find all the coefficients of the transformed equation.

If, instead of diminishing the roots, we desire to increase them, we take $h$ negative.

Ex. 1. Transform $x^{4}-5 x^{3}+7 x^{2}-4 x+5=0$ into another equation whose roots are less by 2 .

By synthetic division the process is as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
1 & -5 & +7 & -4 \\
+2 & +5 \\
+2 & -6 & +2 & -4 \\
\hline-3 & +1 & -2 & +1 \\
+2 & -2 & -2 & \\
\hline-1 & -1 & -4 & \\
+2 & +2 & & \\
+1 & +1 & & \\
+2 & &
\end{array}
$$

The numbers in black type, $1,-4,+1,+3$, indicate, respectively, the first, second, third, and fourth remainder. Hence the required equation is $x^{4}+3 x^{3}+x^{2}-4 x+1=0$.

Ex. 2. Diminish the roots of $2 x^{5}-x^{3}+10 x-8=0$ by 5 .
Ex. 3. Transform the equation $r^{4} \cdots 8 x^{3}+x^{2}+x-6=0$ into another 11 which the second term is wantug.

The sum of the roots of the given equation, hy $\S 13$, is +8 . In the required equation the sum shall be zero. Hence the sum of the roots must be diminished by $x$; each sugle root by 2. Hence we get by synthetic division $\quad x^{4}-2: 3 x^{2}-59 x-48=0$.

Ex. 4. Remove the second term of $x^{2}+10 x^{4}+x^{2}+1=0$.
Ex. 5. Remove the second term of $4 x^{4}+8 x^{3}+x+12=0$.
33. Removal of Second Term in the Cubic. In the transformation of the general cubic

$$
b_{0} x^{3}+3 b_{1} x^{2}+3 b_{y_{2}} x+b_{3}=0
$$

into another, deprived of the second term, we notice that each root must be increased by ${b_{0}}_{b_{0}}^{l_{0}}$, the sum of the roots in the given cubic being $-\frac{3 b_{1}}{b_{0}}$. Put $y=x+\frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}}$, then $x=y-\frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}}$. Substituting, we obtain

$$
b_{0}\left(y-\frac{l_{1}}{b_{0}}\right)^{3}+3 b_{1}\left(y-\frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}}\right)^{2}+3 b_{2}\left(y-\frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}}\right)+b_{3}=0 .
$$

Expanding, and collecting the coefficients of the different powers of $y$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{0} y^{3}+3 B_{2} y+B_{3}=0 \\
b_{0} B_{2}=b_{0} b_{2}-b_{1}^{2} \equiv \mathrm{H} \\
\cdot b_{0}{ }^{2} B_{3}=b_{0}{ }^{2} b_{3}-3 b_{0} b_{1} b_{2}+2 b_{1}^{3} \equiv \mathrm{G}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

Accordingly, the transformed cubic, deprived of the second term, is

$$
y^{3}+\frac{3}{b_{0}^{2}}\left(b_{0} b_{2}-b_{1}^{2}\right) y+\frac{1}{b_{0}{ }^{3}}\left(b_{0}{ }^{2} b_{3}-3 b_{0} b_{1} b_{2}+2 b_{1}^{3}\right)=0 .
$$

If the roots of this equation are multiplied by $b_{0}$, by the process shown in $\$ 29$, and the letters $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{G}$, as defined above, are introduced for brevity, then the transformed cubic takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{3}+3 I I z+G=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z=b_{0} y$ and $y=x+\frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}}$, we have $z=b_{0} x+b_{1}$.
The reader will observe that by the use of the binomial coefficients, $1,3,3,1$, in the original cubic, the expressions arising in the process of transformation are simplified somewhat. The use of binomial coefficients is frequently found convenient.
34. Removal of Second Term in the Quartic. Write the quartic with binomial coefficients, thus,

$$
b_{0} x^{4}+4 b_{1} x^{3}+6 b_{2} x^{2}+4 b_{3} \cdot c+b_{4}=0
$$

The sum of the roots being $-\frac{4 h_{1}}{b_{0}}$, each root must be increased by $\frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}}$. Putting $y=x+\frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}}$, we have $x=y-\frac{b_{1}}{b_{10}}$. Substituting in the quartic and expanding the binomials, we obtain

$$
y^{4}+\frac{6}{b_{0}{ }^{2}} H y^{2}+\frac{4}{b_{0}{ }^{3}} G y+\frac{1}{b_{0}{ }^{4}}\left(b_{0}^{3} b_{4}-4 b_{0}{ }^{2} b_{1} b_{3}+6 b_{0} b_{1}{ }^{2} b_{2}-3 b_{1}^{4}\right)=0
$$

where $H$ and $G$ are defined in $\S 33$. The last term of the transformed quartic it is most convenient to consider as composed of $I I$ and of a new function $I$. Let $I \equiv b_{0} b_{4}-$ $4 b_{1} b_{3}+3 b_{2}^{2}$. Then we obtain the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{0}{ }^{3} b_{4}-4 b_{0}{ }^{2} b_{1} b_{3}+6 b_{0} b_{1}{ }^{2} b_{2}-3 b_{1}^{4} & =b_{0}{ }^{2}\left(b_{1} b_{4}-4 b_{1} b_{3}+3 b_{2}{ }^{2}\right) \\
-3\left(b_{0} b_{2}-b_{1}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} & =b_{0}{ }^{2} I-3 H^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The transformed quartic takes now the form
or, multiplying the roots by $t_{0}$, the form

$$
z^{4}+6 I z^{2}+4 G z+l_{0}{ }^{2} I-3 H^{2}=0 .
$$

Since $z=b_{0} y$, and $y=x+\frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}}$, we have $z=b_{11} x+b_{1}$.
Ex. 1. Compute $I I$ and $\left(\frac{y}{r}\right.$ for the cubic, obtained by transforming $x^{8}+3 x^{2}+4 x-10=0$, so that the second term will vanish.

Ex. 2. Compute $H, G$, and $I$ for the quartic with the second term wanting, obtained from $2 x^{4}-16 x^{3}-2 x^{2}+x-12=0$.

Ex. 3. Verify the results obtained in the last two exercises by transforming the cubic and quartic by the process of synthetic division, as in § 32 .
35. Equation of Squared Differences of Roots of Cubic. The formation of the equation whose roots are the squares of the differences of every two of the roots of a given cubic is of importance, because the equation thus formed leads with comparative ease to the criteria of the nature of the roots of the general cubic. Let the cubic be

$$
b_{0} x^{3}+3 b_{1} x^{2}+3 b_{2} x+b_{3}=0
$$

I
Transforming so as to remove the second term, we have, by § 33 ,
II
where

$$
y^{3}+\frac{3 \mu}{b_{0}^{2}} y+\frac{G}{b_{0}^{3}}=0
$$

Let the roots of equation II be $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$. Then the squares of the differences of every two of the roots are

$$
(\mu-\beta)^{2},(\mu-\gamma)^{2},(\beta-\gamma)^{2} . \quad \text { III }
$$

Since the roots of II are the roots of I, each increased by $\frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}}$, it follows that the differences of the roots, two by two, of equation II are the same as the differences of the roots of equation I. Hence the squares of the differences, given in III, are the squares of the differences of the roots of equation 1 , as well as of equation II. In other words, both equations lead to the same "equation of squared differences." This last equation is evidently

$$
\left\{z-(\alpha-\beta)^{2}\right\}\left\{z-(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}\right\}\left\{z-(\beta-\gamma)^{2}\right\}=0 .
$$

IV
The coefficients may be calculated as follows: Equation IV is satisfied by the equality

$$
z=(\alpha-\beta)^{2} .
$$

We obtain from this

$$
z=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}-\gamma^{2}-\frac{2 \mu \beta \gamma}{\gamma} .
$$

Now $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}$ was shown in § 15 , Ex. 2, to be equal to $a_{1}{ }^{2}-2 a_{2}$; in the case of equation II, $a_{1}=0, a_{2}=\frac{3 H}{b_{0}{ }^{2}}$. So,
while

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2} & =-\frac{6}{\dot{b}_{0}{ }^{2}}, \\
\alpha \beta \gamma & =-\frac{G}{b_{0}^{3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we may write

$$
z=-\frac{6 H}{b_{0}^{2}}-y^{2}+\frac{2 G}{b_{0}^{3} y},
$$

where $y^{2}$ and $y$ are written for $\gamma^{2}$ and $\gamma$. This is allowable, since $\gamma$ is one of the three possible values that $y$ can assume in equation II.

Multiplying the members of the last equation by $y$, we have

$$
y^{3}+\left(z+\frac{6 H}{b_{0}^{2}}\right) y-\frac{2 G}{b_{0}{ }^{3}}=0
$$

Subtracting equation II from this, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
y z+\frac{3 I}{b_{0}{ }^{2}} y-\frac{3( }{b_{0}{ }^{3}}=0, \\
y=\frac{3\left(\frac{y}{b_{0}{ }^{3} z}+\frac{3 H b_{0}}{3}\right.}{} .
\end{gathered}
$$

whence
We have here $y$ expressed as a lmear function of $z$. Substituting this expression of $y$ in equation II, we obtann, after some labor,

$$
z^{3}+\frac{18 I I}{b_{0}{ }^{2}} z^{2}+\frac{81 H^{2}}{b_{0}{ }^{4}} z+\frac{27}{b_{0}{ }^{6}}\left(त^{2}+4 I I^{3}\right)=0 .
$$

This is the "equation of squared differences" of the roots of equation 1 and of equation II, the roots of $V$ being

$$
(\alpha-\beta)^{2},(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}, \quad(\beta-\gamma)^{2}
$$

Multiplying the roots of equation V by $b_{0}{ }^{2}$, we obtain an equation free of fractions,

$$
z^{3}+18 H z^{2}+81 H^{2} z+27\left(G^{2}+4 I^{9}\right)=0, \quad \text { VI }
$$

whose roots are

$$
b_{0}^{2}(\alpha-\beta)^{2}, \quad b_{0}^{2}(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}, \quad b_{0}^{2}(\beta-\gamma)^{2}
$$

Here $\quad(\alpha-\beta)^{2}(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}(\beta-\gamma)^{2}=-\frac{27}{b_{0}{ }^{61}}\left(\gamma^{2}+4 I I^{3}\right) \equiv D$,
where $D$ is an important function, known as the discriminant of the cubic. Since, by $\$ 33$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G \equiv b_{0}^{2} b_{3}-3 b_{0} b_{1} b_{2}+2 b_{1}^{3}, \\
& H \equiv b_{0} b_{2}-b_{1}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
b_{0}{ }^{4} D=27\left(3 \dot{b}_{1}{ }^{2} b_{2}{ }^{2}+6 b_{0} b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}-b_{0}{ }^{2} b_{3}{ }^{2}-4 b_{0} b_{2}{ }^{3}-4 b_{1}{ }^{3} b_{3}\right)
$$

In the discussion of the cubic equation we shall frequently make use of the discriminant.

Ex. 1. Find the equation of squared differences of the roots of the cubic $x^{3}+3 x^{2}-3 x-1=0$.

Here $b_{0}=1, b_{1}=1, b_{2}=-1, b_{3}=-1$. Hence $(t=4$ and $M=-2$. The required equation is $z^{3}-33 z^{2}+324 z-432=0$.

Ex. 2. The cubic in the prevous example is a reciprocal equation. Solve it, find the values of the squared differences of the roots, and see whether they are really roots of the equation of squared differences.

The reciprocal equation of the standard form, obtamed from the above, is $x^{2}+4 x+1=0$. The roots of the given cubic are $1,-2 \pm \sqrt{3}$; their squared differences are $12,12 \pm 6 \sqrt{3}$. Dividing the left member of the transformed cubic by $z-12$, thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
1-36+324-432 \leq 12 \\
+12-288+432 \\
\hline-24+36+0
\end{gathered}
$$

we see, by $\S 4$, that 12 is a root. The depressed equation, $z^{2}-24 z+36=0$, is satisfied by $z=12 \pm 6 \sqrt{ } 3$.

Ex. 3. Find the equation of squared differences of the roots of the cubic $x^{3}+x^{2}-x-1=0$.

The required equation is $z^{3}-8 z^{2}+16 z=0 \quad$ What inference ran be drawn with respect to the roots of the given cubic from the fact that $z=0$ is a root of the transformed cubic?

Ex. 4. Find the equation of the squared differences of the roots of $x^{3}+3 x+2=0$.

Ans. $z^{3}+18 z^{2}+81 z+216=0$.
It is important to observe that, since the last term +216 is positive, and is equal to munus the product of the roots, at least one of the three values of $z$ must be negative. Now if the ronts of the given cubic are all real, then the squares of their differences must be positive, and all the values of $z$ must be positive. A negative value of $z$ can be obtained only when the given cubic has two imaginary roots. Hence $x^{3}+3 x+2=0$ has two imaginary roots. Verify this by l)escartes' Rule of Nigns.

Ex. 5. Find the equation of the squared differences of the roots of $x^{3}+6 x^{2}+5 x-16=0$.

The process is easier if we first transform the cubic to another whose second term is wanting.
36. Criteria of the Nature of the Roots of the Cubic. We proed to disenss the nature of the roots of the general cubic I in s 3n. with the help of the "equation of squared differences" V.

To begin with, observe that, since the absolute term in V is equal to minus the product of the three roots of V , at least one of the three roots must be negative when the absolute term is positive. But a negative root cannot occur in $V$, if all the roots in I are real. A negative result can be obtained only when the number that is being squared is imaginary. Hence, a negative root in V indicates the presence of two imaginary roots in I .

Again, when all the roots in V are positive, then I cannot have imaginary roots. For, the square of the difference of two conjugate imaginary roots is always real and negative, making the absolute term in V positive and one of its roots negative.

Recl Roots. Equation I has real roots when $G^{\prime 2}+4 H^{3}$ is negative. For, to make this negative, $H$ must be negative and $4 H^{3}$ must be numerically greater than $G^{2}$. That being the case, the signs of the coefficients in V are +-+- . Hence, by Descartes' Rule of Signs, V can have no negative roots Since all these roots are real, they must be positive. Consequently, equation I has all its roots real.

Complex IRoots. Equation I has two complex roots when $G^{2}+4 H^{3}$ is positive. For, when this is positive, one of the roots in V is negative.

Two Equal Roots. Equation I has two equal roots when $G^{2}+4 H^{3}=0$. For, in this case, $z=0$ is a root of $V$, showing that two of the roots in I have zero for their difference. Thus. the vanishing of the discriminant indicates equal roots.

Three Equal Roots. Equation I has three equal roots when $H=0$ and $G=0$. For, V reduces to $z^{3}=0$. Since all the roots of $V$ are zero, all the roots of $I$ must be equal to one another.

Ex. 1. Prove that equation V in $\S 35$ cannot have three equal roots different from zero.

Ex. 2. If two roots in $V$ are equal to each other, but not zero, what inference can be drawn about the roots of I?

Ex. 3. Compute the discriminant of $x^{3}-6 x^{2}+3 x-4=0$.
Ex. 4. Find the discrimmant of $4 x^{3}+8 x^{2}+5 x+1=0$. What inference can be drawn from ths value?

## CHAPTER III

## OOCATION OF THE ROOTS OF AN EQUATION

37. In this chapter we shall deduce theorems giving limits between which all the real roots of an equation with real coefficients lie. We shall also derive theorems which enable us to separate from each other all the distinct real roots, and to ascertam the exact number and location of the real roots.
38. An Upper Limit. If in the equation $f(x)=0$ the coefficient of $x^{n}$ is unity, then the numerically greatest megative coefficient, increased by one, is an ropper limit of the positive roots of the equation.

Any positive value of $x$ makes $f(x)>0$, if it makes
or,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{n}-p\left(x^{n-1}+x^{n-2}+\cdots+1\right)>0, \\
& x^{n}-p \cdot \frac{x^{n}-1}{x-1}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p$ is the numerical value of the greatest negative coefficient. All the more is $f(x)>0$, if a positive value of $x$ makes

$$
\left(x^{n}-1\right)-p \frac{x^{n}-1}{x-1}>0
$$

or,

$$
\left(x^{n}-1\right)\left(1-\frac{p}{x-1}\right)>0
$$

But this last expression is always $>0$, or positive, if $p<x-1$; that is, if $x>p+1$.

Since any real value of $x$, greater than $p+1$, makes $f(x)>0$, every real value of $x$ which makes $f(x)$ equal to zero must be equal to or less than $p+1$. Hence $p+1$ is an upper limit of the real positive roots of $f(x)=0$.
39. Another Upper Limit. If the numerical value of each negative coefficient is divided by the sum of all the positive coefficients which precede it, the greatest of the fractions thus formed, increased by one, is an upper limit of the positive roots of $f(x)=0$.

Let $f(x) \equiv u_{0} x^{n}+u_{1} x^{n-1}-u_{2} x^{n-2}+u_{5} x^{n-3}-a_{4} x^{n-4}+\cdots+a_{n}$, in which the coefficients of $x^{n-2}$ and $x^{n-4}$ are negative. Since

$$
\left(x^{m}-1\right)=(x-1)\left(x^{m} 1+x^{m-2}+\cdots+x+1\right),
$$

we have $x^{m}=(x-1)\left(x^{m}+x^{m-2}+\cdots+x+1\right)+1$.
If we transform all the positive terms in $f(x)$ by means of this formula, we obtain $f^{(x)}=$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{0}(x-1) x^{n-1}+a_{0}(x-1) x^{n}{ }^{2}+a_{0}(x-1) x^{n-3} \\
+a_{0}(x-1) x^{n-4}+\cdots+a_{0} \\
+a_{1}(x-1) x^{n-2}+a_{1}(x-1) x^{n-3} \\
+a_{2} x_{1}(x-1) x^{n-2}+\cdots+u_{1} \\
\\
+a_{3}(x-1) x^{n-4}+\cdots+a_{3} \\
\\
-a_{1} x^{n-4} \\
\end{array}
$$

If in this expression $x$ is assigned a positive value large enough to make the sum of the coefficients in each column of terms positive, then $f^{\prime}(x)$ will be positive for that value of $x$. The coefficients in the first and third column are positive, if $x>1$. The same is true of all other columns which are free of negative coefficients.

The sum of the coefficients in the second column, containing the negative coefficient $-a_{2}$, is positive if $x$ is large enough to make

$$
a_{0}(x-1)+a_{1}(x-1)-a_{2}>0 .
$$

Whence

$$
x>\underset{a_{0}+\overline{a_{1}}}{a_{2}}+1
$$

Similarly, we obtain from the fourth column, if

$$
a_{0}(x-1)+a_{1}(x-1)+a_{3}(x-1)-a_{4}>0,
$$

the inequality

$$
x>\frac{a_{4}}{u_{0}+a_{1}+a_{3}}+1
$$

The same reasoning applies to any column containing a negative coefficient. Hence, if we take $x$ equal to, or greater than, the greatest of the expressions thus obtained, then the polynomial $f(x)$ will be positive, and the greatest expression constitutes an upper limit of the positive roots.

Ex. 1. Find upper limits of the positive roots of

$$
x^{4}-8 x^{3}+18 x^{2}-16 x+5=0 .
$$

By $\S 38,17$ is an upper limit.
By $\S 39$, the fractional expressions are $\frac{8}{1}+1$ and $\frac{16}{1+18}+1$.
Hence 9 is an upper limit. The largest positive root is 5 . Thus § 39 gives here a closer limit than § 38. The lumit obtained from § 38 is never smaller than that obtained from $\S 39$, and usually not so small.

Ex. 2. Find superior limits, by $\S 38$ and by $\S 30$, of
(1) $x^{4}+45 x^{2}-40 x+84=0$.
(2) $3 x^{4}+6 x^{3}+12 x^{2}-4 x-10=0$.
(3) $2 x^{5}+10 x^{4}-72 x^{3}+5 x^{2}+15 x-39=0$.
(4) $2 x^{3}-5 x^{2}+x+10=0$.
40. Lower Limits. A number not greater than any of the positive roots of an equation constitutes a lower or inferior limit. Such a limit may be found by transforming the given equation into another whose roots are the resiprocals of the roots of the given equation. By § 30 , this can be done by writing $x=\frac{1}{y}$. In the transformed equation we find a superior limit of $y$; the reciprocal of $y$ will be an inferior limit of $x$.
41. Limits of Negative Roots. Substitute in the given equation - $!$ for $x$, and then find the superior and inferior limits of the positive roots of the transformed equation.

Ex. 1. Find limits of the positive and of the negative roots of $4-19 x^{2}-23 x-7=0$.
By § 38 and $\S 39$ the upper limits are 24 . Writing $\frac{1}{y}$ for $x$, we get
$7 y^{4}+23 y^{3}+19 y^{2}-1=0$. The upper limits of 43 roots of this equation are $\frac{8}{\frac{8}{7}}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$; hence the lower limits of the positive roots of the given equation are $\frac{7}{8}$ and 49 .

Writing $-y$ for $x$, we obtain $y^{4}-19 y^{2}+23 y-7=0$. We obtain 20 as a superior limit and $\frac{7}{30}$ as an inferior limit of the positive values of $y$. Hence the negative roots of the given equation lie between $-\frac{7}{30}$ and -20 , and all the roots lie between 24 and -20 .

To convey an idea of how the limits compare with the actual values of $x$, we give the roots : $4.8977 \cdots,-3.6331 \cdots,-.7124 \cdots,-.5522 \cdots$.

- Ex. 2. Between what limits do the real roots of $x^{5}+5 x^{4}+x^{3}-16 x^{2}$ $-20 x-16=0$ lie ?
By § 38 and $\S 41$, the roots lie between 21 and -21 . By § 39 and $\S 41$, the roots lie between ${ }^{27}$ and -6 . The roots are $2,-2,-4, \frac{1}{2}(-1 \pm \sqrt{-3})$.

Ex. 3. Between what limits are the real roots of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) } x^{4}+4 x^{3}-x^{2}-16 x-12=0, \\
& \text { 2) } x^{4}-3 x^{3}+3 x-1=0 \\
& \text { (3) } x^{5}-11 x^{4}+17 x^{3}+17 x^{2}-11 x+1=0 ?
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Change of Sign of $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$. If two real mumbers a curll b, when substituted for $x$ in $f(x)$, give to $f(x)$ contrary signs, ane odd number of roots of the equation $f(x)=0$ must lie between a cund $b$; if they give to $f(x)$ the same sign, either no root or un even uumber of roots must lie between $a$ and $b$.

Since $f(x)$ varies continuously with $x$ ( $\$ 25$ ), and $f(x)$ changes sign in going from $f(a)$ to $f(b)$, passing through all the intermediate values, it follows that $f(x)$ must pass through the value zero. That is, there is some real value of $x$, between $a$ and $b$, which causes $f(x)$ to vanish and is a root of the equation $f(x)=0$. But $f(x)$, in passing from $f(a)$ to $f(b)$, may go through zero nore than once. When $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ have opposite signs, $f(x)$ must pass through zero an odd number of times. Since a real root corresponds to a point where the graph of $f(x)$ crosses the axis of $x$, the statement just made simply means that, to pass from a point on one side of the axis to a point on the other side of it, we must cross the axis an odd number of times.

Similarly, if $f(a)^{\prime}$ bind $f(b)$ have like signs, they represent two points on the same stde of the axis. To pass from one pont to the other, the graph either does not cross the axis at all, or it crosses the axis an even number of times. Hencr, if $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ have like signs, there are either no roots or an even number of roots between $(t$ and $b$.

Ex. 1 Locate the roots of $x^{4}+4 x^{3}-x^{2}-10 x-11=0$.
From Descartes' Rule of Signs (§ 11) we see that there cannot be more than one positive root and not more than three negative roots. We find

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(0)=-11 . & f(-1)=+1 . \\
f(1)=-23 . & f(-2)=+1 . \\
f(2)=+1 . & f(-2.7)=-.6 \\
& f(-3)=+1 .
\end{array}
$$

We see that the positive root lies between 1 and 2 , that the negative roots lie respectively besween 0 and $-1,-2$ and $-2.7,-2.7$ and -3.

Ex. 2. Locate the roots of $x^{5}-5 x^{4}+9 x^{3}-9 x^{2}+5 x-1=0$.
By Descartes' Rule of Signs we see that there are no negative roots. We obtan 6 as a superior limit of the positive roots. We have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(0)=-1 . & f(2)=-3 . \\
f(.5)=+.09 . & f(3)=+14 . \\
f(1)=0 . & f(3)=+2045 .
\end{array}
$$

We see that 1 is a root; that there is a root between 0 and .5 , also between 2 and 3. Two roots are still maccounted for, they are imagmary, as can be ascertamed by sturm's Theorem, to be given later.

Ex. 3. Locate the real roots of
(1) $x^{3}-3 x^{2}-46 x-71=0$.
(2) $x^{4}+2 x^{3}-41 x^{2}-12 x+301=0$.
(3) $x^{4}-16 x^{3}+86 x^{2}-176 x+110=0$.
43. Maximum and Minimum Values of $f(x)$. Any value of $x$ which renders $f(x)$ a maximum or a minimum is a root of the derived function of $f^{\prime}(x)$.

First. Let $a$ be a value which makes $f(x)$ a minimum. Since $f(\alpha)$ is a minimum, it is less than both $f(a-l)$ and
$f(a+h)$, where $h$ is a small increment. By Taylor's Theorem (\$18) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(a-h)-f(a)=-f^{\prime}(a) \cdot h+f^{\prime \prime}(a) \cdot \frac{h^{2}}{2}-\cdots \\
& f(a+h)-f(a)=+f^{\prime}(a) \cdot h+f^{\prime \prime}(a) \cdot \frac{h^{2}}{2}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the left members of these equations are both positive, the right members must be positive too. Now $h$ may be taken so small that the sign of the right member of each equation is the same as the sign of the first term in the right member. Hence $-f^{\prime}(a) \cdot h$ and $+f^{\prime \prime}(a) \cdot h$ must both be of the same sign. But this is possible only when $f^{\prime}(a)=0$; that is, when. $a$ is a root of the first derivatice. Since in each equation the right member is positive, and the first term in that member is zero, it follows that $f^{\prime \prime}(14)$ is positive.

Second. Suppose that $x=a$ makes $f(x)$ a maximum. Then the left nembers of the above equations are both negative. That the right members may be both negative, for very small values of $h$, it is necessary not only that $f^{\prime}(a)$ should vanish as before, but that. $f^{\prime \prime}(\pi)$ be a megrative value.
44. Rule for Maxima and Minima. The proof of the preceding article suggests the following rule for finding maximum and minimum values of $f(x)$ : Solve the equation $f^{\prime}(x)=0$. Each of its roots renders $f(x)$ ( 1 maximum or minimum, according as it makes $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ megution or positive.

Ex. 1. Find the maxima and minima of $f(x)=2 x^{8}+15 x^{2}+36 x+5$.
Here

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=6 x^{2}+30 x+36
$$

and

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=12 x+30
$$

$f^{\prime}(x)=0$ gives $x=-2$, or -3 . We find that $f^{\prime \prime}(-2)$ is positive and $f^{\prime \prime}(-3)$ is negative. Hence $f(-2)$ is a minimum and $f(-3)$ is a maximum.

Ex. 2. Find the maximum and minimum values of $f(x)=2 x^{3}+3 x^{2}$ $-36 x+75$.
45. Rolle's Theorem. IBetueen turo successive real roots a ramb $b$ of the equation $f^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)=0$ (here lies at least one real root of the equation $f^{\prime}(x)=0$.

Let the curve in this figure be the graph of $f(x)=0$. The points $A, B, C, D, E, F, G$ represent maximum and minimum values of $f(x)$; the pomts $M /, N, I$ represent real roots of $f(x)=0$. Between the two roots. $M$ and $N$ the curve bends down and

then up. Between the real root at $N$ and the double root at $P$ the curve goes up, down, up, and finally down. Evidently, between each pair of distinct successive real roots there must be at least one maximun or minimum value of $f(x)$.

But each maximum or minimum point represents a value of $x$ which is a root of the equation $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ ( $\$ 44$ ). Hence Kolle's Theorem is proved.

From the examination of the figure we see that two successive roots of the derived function may not comprise between them any real root of $f(x)=0$, as in case of the roots represented by $D$ and $E$; they may comprise one distinct root, as in case of the roots at $A$ and $B, B$ and $C, F \in$ and $F$, but they can never comprise more than one root of $f(x)=0$.

Ex. 1. The equation $x^{4}-12 x^{3}+47 x^{2}-72 x+36=0$ has the roots $1,2,3,6$. Locate the roots of the equation $2 x^{3}-18 x^{2}+47 x-30=0$ by Rolle's 'Theorem.
46. The determination of the number of real roots and of complex roots of an equation is a problem which has engaged the attention of several great mathematicians. Researches on this subject have been made by Descartes, Newton, Waring, Budan, Fourier, Sylvester, Sturm, and some more recent mathematicians. Nearly all of the theorems and rules are defective in not giving the exact number of real roots or of imaginary roots, but of giving merely a superior limit to this number. Descartes' Rule of Sigus, for instance, gives only superior limits for the number of positive and negative roots.

The theorem of Sturm is free from this blemish. It tells always the exact number of real roots within a given interval and the exact number of imaginary roots of an equation. Because of this unfailing certainty we select Sturm's Theorem to the exclusion of the theorems of Newton, Sylvester, Budan, and Fourier, even though it is laborious in its application. In practice, the nature and situation of the roots are more usually found, when possible, by the theorem of $\$ 42$, combined with Descartes' Rule of Signs and the theorems on the superior and inferior limits of the roots ( $\S \S 38-41$ ), Sturm's Theorem being used only when the other theorems fail to give us the desired information.
47. Sturm's Functions. Let $f(x)=0$ be an equation which has no equal roots. Find the first derived function of $f(x)$, namely $f^{\prime}(x)$. Then proceed with the process of finding the highest common factor of $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$, with this modification, that the sign of euch remainder be changed before it is used as a divisor. Continue the process until a remainder is reached which does not contain $x$, and change the sign of that also. We designate the several remainders with their signs changed, by
$f_{2}(x), f_{3}(x), \cdots, f_{n}(x)$, and call them suxiliary functions. The functions $f(x), f^{\prime}(x), f_{2}(x), f_{3}(x), \cdots, f_{n}(x)$ are called Sturm's functions.
48. Sturm's Theorem. If $f(x)=0$ has no equal roots, let any two real quantities a and b be substituted for $x$ in Sturm's functions, then the difference between the mumber of variations of sign in the series when $a$ is substituted for $x$ and the number when $b$ is substituted for $x$ expresses the number of real roots of $f(x)=0$ between a and $b$.

When $f(x)=0$ has multiple roots, the difference between the number of variations of sigit when a aml $b$ are substituted for $x$ in the series, $f(x), f^{\prime}(x), f_{2}(x), \cdots, f_{i}(x)$, where $f_{r}(x)$ is the highest common fuctor of $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$, is equal to the number of real roots between a and b, euch multiple root counting only once.

First Case. No Equal Roots. In § 21 the operation of finding the highest common factor between $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$ was used for finding multiple roots of the equation $f(x)=0$. If there is no highest common factor involving $x$, there are no multiple roots, and we are able to find all of the $n+1$ Sturm's functions. The last function, $f_{n}(x)$, is numerical and not zero.

From the morle of formation of Sturm's functions we obtain the following equations, in which $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{n_{1}}$ are the successive quotients in the process:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
f(x) & =q_{1} f^{\prime}(x)-f_{2}(x), \\
f^{\prime}(x) & =q_{2} f_{2}(x)-f_{3}(x), \\
f_{2}(x) & =q_{3} f_{3}(x)-f_{4}(x), \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
f_{n-2}(x) & =q_{n \cdot 1} f_{n-1}(x)-f_{n}(x) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

I
(1) Two consecutive auxiliary functions cannot vanish for the same waltue of $x$. For, if $f_{2}(x)$ and $f_{3}(x)$ vanish together when $x=c$, each would contair the factor $x-c$. From the second equation it would follow that $x-c$ is a factor of $f^{\prime}(x)$,
and from the first equation that $x-c$ is a factor of $f(x)$. Hence $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$ would have a common factor and (§ 21 ) $f(x)$ would have equal roots, which is contrary to hypothesis.
(2) When any auxiliary function vanishes the two adjacent functions have opposite signs. Suppose, for example, that $f_{3}(x)$ is zero for $x=c$. By (1), $f_{2}(x)$ and $f_{4}(x)$ cannot be zero when $f_{3}(x)$ is zero. The third equation, above, then reduces to $f_{2}(x)=-f_{4}(x)$, showing that $f_{2}(x)$ and $f_{4}(x)$ have contrary signs.
(3) When $x$, in passing from the value $a$ to the value $l$, passes through a value which makes an auxiliary function vanish, Sturm's functions neither gain nor lose variations in sign. For, suppose that, for $x=c, f_{,}(x)=0$, then $f_{1}(c)$ and $f_{r+1}(c)$ have opposite signs. As $f_{1}(x)$ passes through zero, it changes its sign from + to - , or from - to + . Thus the three functions $f_{1-1}(x), f_{1}(x), f_{1+1}(x)$ will have one variation in sign just before $x=c$ and also just after $x=c$. In other words, no matter which sign is placed between two unlike signs, we have only one variation. Hence no variation is either gained or lost among Sturm's functions.
(4) When $x$, in passing from the value $a$ to the value $b$, assumes a value which is a root of the equation $f(x)=0$, then Sturm's functions lose one variation in sign. By Taylor's Theorem, § 18,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(c-h)-f(c)=-h f^{\prime}(c)+\frac{h^{2}}{\frac{2}{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(c)-\cdots, \\
& f(c+h)-f(c)=+h f^{\prime}(c)+\frac{\frac{h}{2}_{2}^{2}}{\underline{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(c)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

For very small values of $h$ the sign of the right member of each expansion will be the same as the sign of its first term. If $f(x)$ vanishes for $x=c$, so that $f(c)=0$, and if $f^{\prime}(c)$ is positive, $f(c-h)$ is negative and $f(c+h)$ is positive. That is, the signs of $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$ will be -+ just before $x=c$, and ++
or numerical factor, as is done in the process of finding the H. C. F., provided that the factor is positive. Particular care must be taken not to change any of the signs, except of course the sign of a remainder, just before it is used as a divisor in the next operation.

If we wish to ascertain simply the total number of real roots, without fixing their location, we need only substitute in the Sturmian functions the values $x=-\infty$ and $x=+\infty$ and observe the difference in the number of variations of sign.

Ex. 1. Apply Sturm's 'Theorem to $x^{3}-x^{2}-10 x+1=0$.
Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}-2 x-10, \\
& f_{2}(x)=62 x+1, \\
& f_{3}(x)=38313 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We give the signs of the Sturm's functions for the indicated values of $x$ :

| $x$ | $f(x)$ | $f^{\prime}(x)$ | $f_{2}(x)$ | $j_{3}(x)$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\infty$ | + | + | + | + |
| 4 | + | + | + | + |
| 3 | - | + | + | + |
| 2 | - | - | + | + |
| 1 | - | - | + | + |
| 0 | + | - | + | + |
| -2 | + | + | - | + |
| -3 | - | + | - | + |
| $-\infty$ | - | + | - | + |

Since $x=\infty$ gives no variations and $x=-\infty$ gives three variations, all three roots are real. The roots lie between 3 and 4,0 and $1,-2$ and -3 .

Ex. 2. Apply Sturm's Theorem to $x^{5}-5 x^{4}+9 x^{8}-9 x^{2}+5 x-1=0$, the equation given in Ex. 2, § 42.

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(x)=5 x^{4}-20 x^{3}+27 x^{2}-18 x+5 \\
& f_{2}(x)=x^{3}-x \\
& f_{8}(x)=-32 x^{2}+38 x-5 \\
& f_{4}(x)=-28 x+19 \\
& f_{b}(x)=-192
\end{aligned}
$$

When $x=\infty$, Sturm's functions give one variation; when $x=-\infty$; they give four. Hence there are three real and two imaginary roots.

Ex 3 Apply Nturm's Theorem to $2 x^{5}+7 x^{4}+8 x^{3}+2 x^{2}-2 x-1=0$.
We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(x)=10 x^{4}+28 x^{3}+21 x^{2}+4 x-2 \\
& f_{2}(x)=x^{3}+3 x^{2}+3 x+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $f_{2}(x)$ is found to be the II. C. F. of $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$; hence - 1 is a quadruple root. For $x=+\infty$, the functions $f^{\prime}(x), f^{\prime}(x), f_{2}(x)$ yield the signs +++ ; for $x=-\infty$ they yield -+- . Hence there are two distinct real roots, and all the roots are real.

Ex. 4. Show that all the roots of $x^{4}+x^{3}-x^{2}-2 x+4=0$ are imaginary.

Ex. 5. Required the number and situation of the real roots of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
2 x^{4}-11 x^{2}+8 x-16=0 \\
x^{3}+11 x^{2}-102 x+181=0 \\
x^{5}-36 x^{3}+72 x^{2}-37 x+72=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

50. Nature of the Roots of the Quartic. In the study of the nature of the roots of the cubic equation we began in $\$ 35$ by deducing the "equation of squared differences of the roots of the cubic." Then, in § 36 , we used this transformed equation in the discussion of the roots of the given cubic. The same mode of procedure might be adopted in the study of the roots of the quartic equation. But the formation of the "equation of squared differences of the roots" is laborious, and we prefer to begin the discussion by applying Sturm's Theorem to the quartic with its second term removed.

If we transform the general quartic

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0} x^{4}+4 b_{1} x^{3}+6 b_{2} x^{2}+4 b_{3} x+b_{4}=0 \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

into a new equation, deprived of its second term and with coefficients integyal in form, we obtain, as in \& 34,

$$
y^{4}+6 H y^{2}+4 G y+b_{0}^{2} I-3 H^{2}=0,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =b_{0} x+b_{1}, \\
H & \equiv b_{0} b_{2}-b_{1}^{2}, \\
G & \equiv b_{0}^{2} b_{3}-3 b_{0} b_{1} b_{2}+2 b_{1}^{\mathbf{s}}, \\
I & \equiv b_{0} b_{4}-4 l_{1} b_{3}+3 b_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Representing the left member of equation II by $f(y)$, we get

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(y)}{4}=y^{3}+3 H y+G
$$

and, by division,

$$
f_{2}(y)=-3 I y^{2}-3\left(Y_{!} y-b_{11}{ }^{2} I+3 H^{2} .\right.
$$

Before dividing $\frac{1}{4} f^{\prime}(y)$ by $f_{2}(y)$, multiply $\frac{1}{4} f^{\prime}(y)$ by the positive factor $3 H^{2}$. We obtain, after dividing the remainder by $b_{0}{ }^{2}$,

$$
f_{3}(y)=\left(b_{0}^{2} H I-3 G^{2}-12 I I^{\cdot}\right) \frac{y}{b_{0}^{2}}-G I .
$$

We find it convenient to let $b_{0}{ }^{2} I I I-G^{2}-4 I I^{3} \equiv b_{0}^{3} J$.
Then

$$
f_{3}(y)=\left(3 b_{0} J-2 I I I\right) y-(t I .
$$

Now multiply $f_{2}(y)$ by the positive factor ( $\left.3 b_{n} J-2 H I\right)^{2}$, and we obtain, after division, a remainler which, with its sign changed, is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(b_{0}{ }^{2} I-3 H^{2}\right)\left(3 b_{01} J-2 H I\right)^{2}+3 G^{2} I\left(3 b_{n \mid l} I-H I\right) \\
& =b_{n}{ }^{2} I I^{2} I^{3}-27 b_{0}^{2} I I^{2} J^{2}+T, \\
& T \equiv\left(9 b_{0}^{4} I J^{2}-12 l_{n}{ }^{4} I I I^{2} J+36 h_{n} I I^{2} I I+9 h_{0} G_{i}^{2} I J\right) \\
& +\left(3 b_{0}^{2} I^{2} I^{3}-3\left(\pi^{2} I^{2} I I-12 I I^{4} I^{3}\right)\right. \\
& =3 b_{0} I J\left(3 b_{0}^{3} J-4 b_{0}^{2} I I I+12 I I^{3}+3 G^{2}\right)+3 h_{0}{ }^{3} J^{2} H J \\
& =3 b_{0} I J\left(3 b_{0}^{3} J-3 b_{0}{ }^{2} H I+12 I I^{3}+3 G^{2}\right) \\
& =3 b_{0} I J\left(3 b_{0}{ }^{3} J-3 b_{0}{ }^{3} J\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

where

If the remainder is divided by the positive factor $b_{0}^{2} I^{2}$, we obtain

$$
f_{4}(y)=I^{3}-27 . J^{2} .
$$

We have now all of Sturn's functions of equation II.
(1) All roots real. If $\left(I^{3}-27 J^{2}\right)>0,\left(3 l_{0} I-2 I I I\right)>0$, and $H<0$; then, for $y=\infty$, the signs of Sturm's functions are +++++ ; for $y=-\infty$ the signs are +-+-+ . The excess of variations in the latter case is four; hence all the roots are real.
(2) All roots imaginury. If $I^{3}-27, J^{2}>0$, and if $H>0$ or else $\left(3 b_{0} J-2 H I\right)<0$, then the number of variations in signs for $y=-\infty$ is the same as for $y=\infty$; hence there are no real roots.
(3) Two real roots. If $I^{3}-27 J^{2}<0$, then, no matter what signs $H$ and ( $3 b_{0} J-2 I I I$ ) may have, we get always a difference of two variations for $y=\infty$ and $y=-\infty$; hence there are two real roots and two imaginary roots.
(4) Equal roots. When $I^{3}-27 \cdot J^{2}=0$, it is evident from the theory of the H.C.F. that there are equal roots. If $f_{4}(y)$ is the only one of Sturn's functions which vanishes identically, then $f_{3}(y)$ is the H.C. F. in $y$ and there are two roots equal to each other. If $f_{3}(y)$ is identically zero, which happens when $I=0$ and $J=0$, or when $G=0$ and $3 b_{0} J=2 I I I$, then three roots are equal to each other or there are two distinct pairs of double roots. That is, if $I=0$ and $J=0$, we get from the equation defining $J$ the relation $G^{2}+4 I^{3}=0$, which makes $f_{2}(y)$ a perfect square. Hence three roots are equal. When $G=0$ and $3 h_{0} J=2 H I$, it follows that $b_{10}^{2} I=12 H^{2}$ and $f_{2}(y)$ is readily seen to be composed of two unequal factors in $y$, indicating the existence of two distinct pairs of equal roots. If we have $I=0$, $J=0$, and $H=0$, then it follows that $\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}=0\right.$ and $f_{2}(y)=0$; hence $f^{\prime}(x)=y^{3}$ and all the roots are equal.
This discussion of equation II applies also to equation I, representing the general quartic ; for, since $y=b_{0} x+b_{1}$, the values of $x$ are real, imaginary, or multiple values, according as the values of $y$ are real, imaginary, or multiple values.

Ex. 1. Compute the values of $I I,(\underset{Y}{ }, I, J$ for the equation

$$
x^{4}-4 x^{3}+60 x^{2}-8 x+1=0 .
$$

Then discuss the nature of the roots.
Ex. 2. Show that in equation II a double root is equal to $G I$ ( $3 b_{0} J-2 H I$ ), a triple root is equal to $-i I^{\frac{1}{2}}$, a quadruple root is equal to 0 .

Ex. 3. $\Lambda$ pply Sturm's Theorem to the cubic $y^{8}+3 H y+G=0$, and verify the results of $\S 36$.
51. Discriminant of the Quartic. The expression $I^{3}-27 . J^{2}$ played an important rôle in the discussion of the nature of the roots of the quartic.' We shall prove that, when multiplied by the constant $256 b_{0}{ }^{-6}$, it is equal to the product of the squares of the differences of the roots. This product is called the discriminomt of the quartic.

Let $I^{3}-27 \cdot J^{2}=R$. When $R$ vanishes, the quartic was seen to have equal roots. Hence $\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)$ must be a factor of $\boldsymbol{R}$. Since $R$ is a constant for an equation with constant coefficients, it is unaltered when ( $\mu-\mu_{1}$ ) is changed to ( $\mu_{1}-\alpha$ ). Hence $\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}$ must be a factor of $R$. This reasoning holds for the difference of every two roots. Hence

$$
\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\mu-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2} \cdots\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2},
$$

is a factor of $R$. Remembering that $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}$ are symmetric functions of the roots, involving the roots to the degrees one, two, three, four, respectively, we see on examining the expression for $R$, that it cannot involve products of roots of higher degree than 12 . But 12 is also the degree of the terms in the product 1. IIence there are no other factors in $R$ which involve the roots. Therefore, $R$ differs from the product I by some numerical factor only. This factor can be easily found by using any simple quartic which has distinct roots, say $b_{0} x^{4}-1=0$. Here $R=-b_{0}{ }^{3}$, the product $I$ is $-256 b_{0}{ }^{-3}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{array}{ll}
\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}\left(\mu_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{256}{U_{0}^{6}}\left(I^{3}-27 J^{2}\right)=D, \\
\text { where } D \text { is the discriminuant. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER IV

## APPROXIMATION TO THE ROOTS OF NUMERICAL EQUATIONS

52. Solution by Radicals and by Approximation. The modern theory of equations is the outgrowth of attempts made during past centuries to solve equations arising in the consideration of problems in pure and applied mathematics. The subject of the solution of equations resolves itself meto two quite distinct parts: Firstly, the solution of numerical equations whose coefficients are given numbers, by some method of approximation to the true value of the roots; secondly, the solution of equations whose coefficients are either particular numbers or independent variables, in such a way as to yield accurate expressions for the values of the roots in terms of the coefficients such expressions to involve no other processes than addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and the extraction of roots of any orders. The latter process is called the algebraic solution of equations. The former is of importance to the practical computer, the latter is of special interest to the pure mathematician. In the former each root may be determined separately; in the latter a general expression must be found which represents all the roots indifferently.

In the algebraic solution of equations no great difficulty presents itself as long as the degree of the equation does not exceed four. But in spite of persistent attempts by many of the ablest mathematicians, no algebraic solution of the general equation of the fifth or a higher degree has ever been given. In fact, we shall be able to show ronclusively that no such solution is possible; that is, nos solution can be given in which
the roots are expressed in terms of the coefficients by means of radical signs or fractional exponents. In the quadratie $x^{2}+a x+b=0$ we know that $x=\frac{1}{2}\left(-a \pm \sqrt{ } i^{2-}-4 b\right)$. In the cubic we shall see that $x$ can be similarly expressed in terms of its coefficients by mdicating the extraction of certain square roots and cube roots. The same remark applies to the quartic. But in the general quintic $x$ refuses to submit itself to this mode of treatment. A general solution of the quintic has been given, but the solution involves elliptic integrals and is, therefore, not algebraic, but transcendental.

The problem of the solution of numerical equations by approximation to a certain number of decimal places is much easier. Not only are we able to determine, with comparative ease, the real roots of equations of lower degrees, but also of the quintic and of higher equations.

Methods of approximation to the ronts of numerical equations have been devised by several mathematicians - Newton, Lagrange, Budan, Fourier, and others. But the best practical methol is that given in 1819 by William (feorge Horner. We shall contine ourselves to the exposition of his method and that of Newton.
53. Commensurable and Incommensurable Roots. A real root of a numerical equation is said to be commensurchle when it is an integer or a rational fraction ; it is said to be incommensurable when it involves an intermmable decimal which is not a repeating decimal. Since a repeating decimal can be expressed as a rational fraction, a root in that form is commensurable.
54. Fractional Roots. A rational firuction cumot be a root of an equation with integral coefficients, the coefficient of $x^{n}$ being unity.

If possible, let $\frac{h}{k}, h$ and $k$ being integers and $\frac{h}{k}$ a fraction reduced to its lowest terms, be a root of the equation

$$
x^{n}+u_{1} \cdot x^{n-1}+a_{2} x^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n}=0 .
$$

Writing $\frac{h}{k}$ for $x$, we get

$$
\left(\frac{h}{k}\right)^{n}+a_{1}\left(\frac{h}{k}\right)^{n-1}+a_{2}\binom{h}{k}^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n}=0 .
$$

Multiplying by $k^{n-1}$ and transposing all integral terms,

$$
\frac{h^{n}}{k}=-a_{1} h^{n-1}-u_{2} h^{n-2} k-\cdots-u_{n} k^{n-1}
$$

This equation is impossible, since the fraction $\frac{h^{n}}{k}$, which is in its lowest terms, cannot be equal to an integral number.

Hence, $\frac{h}{k}$ cannot be a root of the given equation.
55. Integral Roots. Since the equation with integral coefficients, $\quad x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}=0$, cannot have rational fractional roots, and since $a_{n}$ is numerically equal to the product of all the roots (§ 13 ), it is evident that all commensurable roots are exact divisors of $\alpha_{n}$ and may be found by testing the factors of $a_{n}$. By $\S 4$ a factor $c$ is a root, if $f(x)$ is divisible by $x-c$ without a remainder.

If the coefficient of $x^{n}$ is not unity, but $a_{0}$, then we may divide through by $a_{0}$ and transform the equation into another whose roots are those of the given equation multiplied by $a_{0}$ (§29). In the new equation the coefficient of $x^{n}$ is unity and all the other coefficients are integral. Hence, all its commensurable roots are integral.

Ex. 1. Find the commensurable roots of $x^{3}-7 x-6=0$.
The commensurable roots must be found among the values $\pm 1, \pm 2$, $\pm 3, \pm 6$, which are all factors of -6. By Descartes' Rule of Signs we see that there is only one positive root. By substitution or by synthetic division we find that +1 is not a root, that -1 is a root. We may now either depress the degree of the equation by dividing by $x+1$ and then solve the resulting quadratic, or we may try the other factors. We obtain -2 and +3 as the values of the other roots.

Ex. 2. Find the commensurable roots of

$$
2 x^{3}-x^{2}-x-3=0
$$

Dividing the left member by 2 and multiplying the roots by 2 , we obtain

$$
x^{3}-x^{2}-2 x-12=0
$$

It is found that +3 is the only commensurable root of this equation. Hence, $+\frac{3}{2}$ is the only commensurable root of the given equation.

Ex. 3. Find all the commensurable roots of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{3}+4 x^{2}+6 x+3=0 . \\
& x^{4}-3 x^{3}-22 x^{2}-39 x-21=0 . \\
& x^{5}-10 x^{4}+17 x^{2}-x-7=0 . \\
& x^{5}-13 x^{4}+34 x^{3}-26 x^{2}-18 x+22=0 . \\
& 6 x^{3}-25 x^{2}+3 x+4=0 . \\
& 4 x^{3}+20 x^{2}-23 x+6=0
\end{aligned}
$$

56. Horner's Method. This method may be used advantageously for finding not only incommensurable roots, but also commensurable roots when the process of $\$ 55$ is inconvenient.

In the application of Horner's method we must know the first significant figure of the root, to start with. The first digit may be found by the process indicated in $\S 42$ or by Sturm's Theorem.

Horner's method consists of successive transformations of an equation. Each transformation diminishes the root by a certain amount. If the required root is 2.24004 , then the root is dıminished successively by $2, .2, .04, .00004$. The mode of effecting these transformations, by synthetic division, was explained in § 32 . The method will be readily understood by the study of the following example:

Ex. 1. The equation $x^{3}-x-9=0, \quad$ I has a root between 2 and 3 , for $f(2)=-3$ and $f(3)=+15$. The first figure of the root is therefore 2. Transforming the equation so that the roots of the new equation will be smaller by 2 , we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
1 & +0 & -1 & -9 \\
+2 \\
+2 & \pm 4 & +6 & \\
& +2 & +3 & -3 \\
& +2 & +8 & \\
& +4 & +11 & \\
& +2 & &
\end{array}
$$

Since the roots of the transformed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+6 x^{2}+11 x-3=0 \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

are equal to the roots of equation I less 2 , equation II has a root between 0 and 1. This root being less than unity, $x^{2}$ and $x^{3}$ are each less than $x$. Neglecting $x^{8}$ and $6 x^{2}$, we obtain an approximate value for $x$ from

$$
11 x-3=0, \text { or } x=.2
$$

Transforming II so as to diminish the roots by .2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{8}+6.6 x^{2}+13.52 x-.552=0 . \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neglecting $x^{3}+6.6 x^{2}$, we find an approximate value for $x$ in equation _II from

$$
13.52 x-.552=0, \text { or } x=.04 .
$$

Diminishing the roots of III by the value .04 , we have

$$
x^{3}+6.72 x^{2}+14.0528 x-.000576=0 .
$$

From $14.0528 x-.000576=0$, we get $x=.00004$.
The root of equation I whose first figure is 2 lias now been dimimshed by $2, .2, .04, .00004$. Ience the root is approximately 2.24004 The sulcessive transformations may be conveniently and compactly represented as follows:

| $1+0$ | -1 | -9 $9 \underline{29400 t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2}$ | 4 | 6 |
| 2 | 3 | -3 |
| 2 | 8 | 2.448 |
| 4 | 11 | -. 5.58 |
| 2 | 1.24 | . 551424 |
| 6 | $\overline{12.24}$ | -. 000576 |
| . 2 | 1.28 |  |
| 6.2 | 13.52 |  |
| . 2 | . 2656 |  |
| 6.4 | 13.7856 |  |
| . 2 | . 2872 |  |
| 6.6 | 14.0528 |  |
| . 04 |  |  |
| 6.64 |  |  |
| . 04 |  |  |
| 6.68 |  |  |
| . 04 |  |  |
| $\overline{6} .72$ |  |  |

The broken lines indicate the conclusion of the successive transformations. The numbers immediately below a broken line are the coefficients of the transformed equation. Thus, the second transformed equation is seen at once to be $x^{3}+6.6 x^{2}+13.52 x-.552=0$.

Ex. 2. In the equation $x^{3}-46.6 x^{2}-44.6 x-142.8=0$ we find that $f(40)=-, f(50)=+$. Hence there is a root between 40 and 50 . 'To find this root, diminish the roots by 40 , then find the first figure of the root in the transformed equation and proceed by Horner's method as already explained. 'The work is as follows:


In the first transformed equation $x^{3}+73.4 x^{2}+10274 x-12486.8=0$ we only know that the value of $x$ is less than 10 ; hence the method of Ex. 1, where we ignored the terms containing $x^{3}$ and $x^{2}$, is not applicable. Since in this transformed equation $f(7)=-$ and $f(8)=+$, we know that 7 is the desired digit.

In the second transformed equation we know that $x$ lies between 0 and 1. Hence we find the first digit of $x$ from the equation $2202 x-1355.4=0$.

Since in the third transformation there is no remainder, we know by $\S: 3$ that .6 is a root of $x^{3}+94.4 x^{2}+2202 x-1355.4=0$ and that 47.6 is a commensurable root of the given equation.

When the fractional part of the root is being found and the values of the coefficients $x^{2}, x^{3}$, etc., are sutficiently small, it will be noticed that the last two terms of earh transformed equation occurring in Horner's process have opposite signs. This is as it
should be; for if the two terms had like signs, the value of $x$ in the transformed equation would be negative, showing that the last digit in the root of the original equation had been taken too large. For instance, if in Ex. 1 the first decimal had, by mistake, been taken as 3 , instead of 2 , then the second transformed equation would have been $x^{3}+6.9 x^{2}+14.87 x+.867=0$. The approximate value of $x$ in this equation is -.05 , showing that in diminishing the roots by .3 we took away too much.

If, by mistake, a digit is taken too small, the error will show itself in the next step. Suppose that in Ex. 1 the first decimal had been taken to be .1 , then the second transformed equation would have been $x^{3}+6.3 x^{2}+12.23 x-1.839=0$. From $12.23 x-1.839=0$ we get approximately $x=.15$. This changes .1 into .25 , and thus discloses an error in the estimate of the first decimal.

To find the value of a negutive root by Horner's method, we need only transform the given equation by writing $-x$ for $x$ and then proceed as before.

Ex. 1. Find the real roots of :
(1) $4 x^{5}-3 x^{4}-2 x^{2}+4 x-10=0$.
(2) $3 x^{5}+3 x^{4}-x^{2}-4 x+5=0$.
(3) $7 x^{4}+3 x^{3}-5 x^{2}+4 x-6=0$.
(4) $x^{7}-x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}-10=0$.
(5) $x^{5}-4 x-2=0$.
57. Newton's Method of Approximation. This method is not as convenient in the solution of numerical equations involving algebraic functions as is the method of Horner, but it has the advantage of being applicable to numerical equations involving transcendental functions. For instance, Newton's method can be used in finding $x$ in $x-\sin x=2$.

Let $f(x)=0$ be the given equation. Suppose that we know a quantity $a$ which differs from one of the values of $x$ by the small
quantity $h$. Then we have $x=a+h$. By Taylor's Theorem

$$
f(x)=f(a+h)=f(\iota)+h f^{\prime}(a)+\frac{h^{2}}{L^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(a)+\cdots
$$

Since $h$ is small, we get, by neglecting higher powers of $h$, an approximate value of $h$ from the equation $f(a)+h f^{\prime}(u)=0$, namely, $h=-\frac{f(a)}{f^{\prime}(a)}$. We have approximately $x=a-\frac{f^{\prime}(a)}{f^{\prime}(\alpha)}$. Letting this new approximation to the value of $x$ be represented by $b$, we may repeat the above process and secure a still closer approximation, and so on.

Ex. 1. Solve $x-\sin x=2$.
The angle $x$, measured m ralians, must lie between 2 and 3 . Take $a=2.5$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(a)=.5-\sin 2.5=.5-\sin 143^{\circ} 14^{\prime}=-.097 . \\
& f^{\prime}(a)=1-\cos 2.5=1.801 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
h=.0530, b=a+h=2.5539 .
$$

A second approximation gives us

$$
f(b)=-.00054, f^{\prime}(b)=1.8322, h=.0002947
$$

Hence

$$
x=b+h=2.554105 .
$$

58. Complex Roots of Numerical Equations. Recently methods for approximating to the complex as well as the real roots of numerical equations have been perfected.* The exposition of these methods is too long for a work like this.
[^2]
## CHAPTER V

## THE ALGEBRAIC SOLUTION OF THE CUBIC AND QUARTIC

59. Solution of the Cubic. There are many different solutions of the general cubic equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{6} x^{3}+3 b_{1} x^{2}+3 b_{2} x+b_{3}=0 \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

The one which we shall give is due to the Italian mathematician Tartaglia and was first published in 1545 by ('ardan. Equation I is first transformed into another whose second term is wanting. Putting, as in $\S 33, x=\frac{z-h_{1}}{l_{10}}$, we get

$$
z^{3}+3 H z+G=0
$$

where $H=b_{0} b_{2}-b_{1}{ }^{2}$ and $G=b_{10}{ }^{2} b_{3}-3 l_{1} b_{1} b_{2}+2 b_{1}{ }^{3}$. To solve equation II, let $z=u+v$. Substituting in II, we get

$$
u^{3}+v^{3}+3(u v+H)(u+v)+G=0 .
$$

We are permitted to subject the quantities $u$ and $v$ to a second condition. The most convenient assumption will be

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
u v+I I & =0 . & \text { III } \\
u^{3}+v^{3} & =-G . & \text { IV }
\end{array}
$$

This yields
Eliminating $v$ between III and IV, we g

$$
u^{3}-\frac{H^{3}}{u^{3}}=-G, \text { or } u^{6}+G u^{3}=H^{3}
$$

The last equation is a quadratic in form. Solving it, we have

$$
u^{3}=-\frac{G}{2}+\sqrt{G_{4}^{2}+I^{3}}
$$

Then by IV, $\quad v^{3}=-G-u^{3}=-\frac{G}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{G^{2}}{4}+H^{8}}$.
Since $u=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{G}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{G^{2}}{4}+H^{3}}}, v=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{G}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{G^{2}}{4}+H^{3}}}, \quad \mathrm{~V}$ and $z=u+v$, we have

$$
z=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{G}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{G^{2}}{4}}+I^{3}}+\sqrt[3]{-\frac{G}{2}}-\sqrt{\frac{G^{2}}{4}}+I I^{3}
$$

The expression for the root of the cubie, given in formula VI is known as Carden's formule.
Since a number has three cube roots, it is evident from $V$ that $u$ and $v$ have each three values. It may seem as if with each value of $u$ we might be able to associate any one of the three values of $v$, thus obtaming all together nine values for $u+v$, or $z$. As the cubic has only three roots, this camnot be. Of the nine values, six are excluded by equation III, which $u$ and $v$ must satisfy. Eliminating $v$ between $z=u+v$ and equation III, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=u-\frac{H}{u} \tag{VII}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ has the form given in V. Since in expression VII there is only one number, $u$, which has triple values, this expression does not involve the difficulties of Cardan's formnla. Let the three values of $u$ be $u, \mu \omega, u \omega^{2}$, where $\omega$ stands for one of the two complex cube roots of unity, $-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-3}$. Then the three roots of the cubic II are

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-\frac{I I}{u}, u \omega-\frac{I \omega^{2}}{u}, u \omega^{2}-\frac{I I \omega}{u} . \tag{VIII}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z=b_{0} x+b_{1}$, we obtain the roots of the general cubic I by subtracting $b_{1}$ from each of the three expressions in VIII, and then dividing the three results by $b_{v}$.
60. Irreducible Case. - The general expression for the roots of a quadratic equation with literad coefficients may be used
conveniently in solving numerical quadratic equations. For each letter we substitute its numerical value, then carry out the indicated operations. It is an interesting fact that, in case of the rubic, this mode of procedure is not always possible and that the algebraic solution of the cubic is of little practical use in finding the numerical values of the roots.

In $\S 36$ we found that the roots of the cubic are all real when $G^{2}+4 H^{3}$ is negative. In the attempt to compute these real roots of the cubic by substituting the values of $I$ and $G$ in the general formula, we encounter the problem, to extract the cube root of a complex number. But there exists no convenient arithmetical process of doing this. Nor is there any way of avoiding the complex radicals and of expressing the values of the real roots by real radicals. This fact will be proved in Ex. 8, § 183. By the older mathematicians this case, when $G^{2}+4 I^{3}$ is negative, was called the "irreducible case" in the solution of the cubic, the word "irreducible" having here a meaning different from that now assigned to it in algebra. See § 123.
61. Solution by Trigonometry. The "irreducible case" may be disposed of by expanding the two terms in Cardan's formula into two converging series with the aid of the binomial theorem. The imaginary terms will disappear in the addition of the two series. But it is better to use the following trigonometric method (which is itself inferior, for the purpose of arithmetical computation, to Horner's method, § 56) :

Let $\quad-\frac{G}{2}=r \cos \theta, \sqrt{\frac{G^{2}}{4}+H^{3}}=i r \sin \theta$.
We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{3} & =r(\cos \theta+i \sin \theta) \\
v^{3} & =r(\cos \theta-i \sin \theta) \\
r & =\sqrt{-H^{3}} ; \cos \theta=\frac{-G}{2 \sqrt{-H^{3}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where

Hence,

$$
u=\sqrt{-H}\left(\cos \frac{2 n \pi}{3}+\theta+i \sin \frac{2 n \pi+\theta}{3}\right),
$$

$$
v=\sqrt{-\Pi I}\left(\cos \frac{2 n \pi+\theta}{3}-i \sin ^{2}-\frac{2 \pi+\theta}{3}\right),
$$

and

$$
z=n+c=2 \sqrt{-I I} \cos \frac{2 n \pi+\theta}{3}+
$$

where $n$ takes the values $0,1,2$.
62. Euler's Solution of Quartic. Removing the second term of the quartic

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0} x^{4}+4 b_{1} x^{3}+6 b_{2} x^{2}+4 b_{y} x+b_{4}=0, \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get as in § 34 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{4}+6 I I z^{2}+4 G z+v_{0}^{2} I-3 I I^{2}=0, \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=b_{11} x+l_{1}, I I=b_{0} b_{2}-l_{1}^{2}, \quad I=l_{0} l_{4}-4 l_{1} l_{3}+3 b_{2}^{2}$,

$$
G=b_{0}{ }^{2} l_{3}-3 b_{0} b_{1} b_{1} b_{2}+2 b_{1}^{3} .
$$

Euler assumes the general expression for a root of equation II to be

$$
z=\sqrt{u}+\sqrt{v}+\sqrt{w} .
$$

Squaring, $z^{2}-u-v-v=2 \sqrt{u} \sqrt{v}+2 \sqrt{u} \sqrt{w}+2 \sqrt{v} \sqrt{v}$.
Squaring again and simplifying,

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{4}-2 z^{2}(u+v+w) & -8 z \sqrt{u} \sqrt{w} \sqrt{w}+(u+v+w)^{2} \\
& -4(u v+w v+w)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating coefficients of this and equation II, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
-3 H=u+v+w, G=-2 \sqrt{u} \sqrt{v} \sqrt{u}, \\
(u+v+w)^{2}-4(u v+u w+v w)=b_{0}^{2} I-3 H^{2}, \\
u v+u v+v w=3 H^{2}-\frac{b_{n} v_{0}^{2} T .}{4} .
\end{gathered}
$$

or
But $-(u+v+w),(u v+u w+v w),-u v v$ are the coefficients of a cubic whose roots are $u, v, v$. This cubic, called "Euler's cubic," is

$$
y^{3}+3 H y^{2}+\left(3 I I^{2}-\frac{l_{10}{ }^{2} I}{4}\right) y-\frac{G^{2}}{4}=0 .
$$

Let $y=b_{0}{ }^{2} x-H$, and we obtain

$$
4 b_{0}^{3} x^{3}-l_{0} I x+J=0, \quad \text { IV }
$$

where

$$
b_{0}{ }^{3} J=b_{0}{ }^{2} I I I-4 I^{3}-l_{f^{2}} .
$$

Equation IV is called the reduciuty cullier of the quartic. Since $u, v, v$ are the three values of $!/ 11 \mathrm{II}$, we have $u=b_{1}^{2}-b_{0} b_{2}+b_{0}{ }^{2} x_{1}, \quad v=b_{1}^{2}-b_{0} b_{2}+b_{0}{ }^{2} x_{2}^{2}, \quad w=b_{1}^{2}-b_{0} b_{2}+b_{0}^{2} x_{2}$

Hence,
$z=\sqrt{b_{1}^{2}-} \overline{b_{0}} b_{2}+\bar{b}_{0}^{2} x_{1}+\sqrt{b_{1}^{2}-b_{1}} \overline{b_{1}} \overline{b_{0}}{ }^{2} x_{2}+\sqrt{b_{1}^{2}} \overline{b_{0}} b_{2}+\overline{b_{0}^{2}} \overline{x_{3}} . \quad \mathrm{V}$
Or, since $G=-2 \sqrt{u} \sqrt{v} \sqrt{w}$, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\sqrt{u}+\sqrt{v}-\frac{G}{2 \sqrt{u} \sqrt{v}} \tag{VI}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the expression for $z$ in VI each of the radicals may be either + or - . Hence $z$ has four values - the four roots of equation II. In equation $V$ there are apparently eight values of $z$, but four of them are ruled out by the relation $2 \sqrt{u} \sqrt{v} \sqrt{w}=-G$.

From the above we see that the roots of the quartic are expressed in terms of $u, v, w$. The values of the latter are given in terms of the coefficients of the quartic; and the three roots $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ of the cubic IV. To solve the quartic by the present method we must, therefore, first solve the reducing cubic. There are many other algebraic solutions of the general quartic, but every one of them calls for the solution of an auxiliary equation of the third degree. These cubics are called resolvents.

Ex. 1. Under what conditions can a quartic be solved algebraically without the extraction of cube roots:?

It is only necessary that the reduciny cubic have a rational root, so that the other two roots can be expressed in terms of square roots. Euler's cubic answers equally well.

Fx. 2. Show that the reducing cubic of $x^{4}+2 r^{3}+x^{2}-2=0$ has a ratootal root. Nolve the quartic by square roots.

Ex. 3. Show that, in general, the values of $x$ and ! in $x^{2}+y=a$. $y^{2}+x=b$ cannot be found algebraically without the extraction of cube roots.

Ex. 4. Can all the values of $x$ and $y$ in $x^{2}+y=11, y^{2}+x=7$ be found without the extraction of cube roots? For solutions, see the dm Math. Monthly, Vol. VI., p. 13, Vol. VII., p. 169 ; see also Vol. X., 1) 192.

## CIIAPTER VI

## SOLUTION OF BINOMIAL EQUATIONS AND RECIPROCAL EQUATIONS

## 63. The Binomial Equation.

$$
x^{n}-a=0
$$

where a is either real or complex, may be solved trigonometrically as follows. Let

$$
x^{n}=a=r\{\cos (2 k \pi+\theta)+i \sin (2 k \pi+\theta)\}
$$

where $k$ may assume any integral value. Then, by De Monvre's Theorem,

$$
x=\sqrt[n]{r}\left\{\cos \frac{2 k \pi+\theta}{n}+i \sin -\frac{2 k \pi+\theta}{n}\right\}
$$

By assigning to $k$ any $n$ consecutive integral values we obtain $n$ values for $x$ and no more than $n$, since the $n$ values recur in periods.

It is readily seen that the roots are all complex when $a$ is a complex number. For, to obtain a real root, $\frac{2 k \pi+\theta}{n}$ must be zero or a multiple of $\pi$; that is, $2 k \pi+\theta$ will be zero or a multiple of $\pi$; hence $a$ itself must be real, which is contrary to supposition.

When

$$
a=+1,
$$

then

$$
x^{n}=1=\cos 2 k \pi+i \sin 2 k \pi
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\cos \frac{2 k \pi}{n}+i \sin \frac{2 k \pi}{n} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ may be assigned the values $0,1, \cdots,(n-1)$. If $n$ is orld, then $k=0$ is the only value of $k$ which yields a real root, viz. $x=1$. If $n$ is even, then only the values $k=0$ and $k=\frac{n}{2}$ yield real roots, viz. $x=1$ and $x=-1$.

When

$$
a=-1,
$$

then

$$
x^{n}=-1=\cos (2 k+1) \pi+i \sin (2 k+1) \pi,
$$

where $k$ may take the values $0,1, \cdots,(n-1)$.
Then

$$
x=\cos \frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{n}+i \sin \frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{n} .
$$

There can be no real roots, umless $\frac{2 k+1}{n}$ is an integer, and therefore $n$ an old number. If $n=2 k+1$, that is $k=\frac{n-1}{2}$, we obtain the real root $x=-1$.
64. Geometrical Interpretation of the Roots of $\boldsymbol{x}^{n}=\boldsymbol{a}$. The $n$ roots may be represented graphically in the Wessel's Diagram ( $\$ 22$ ) by $n$ lines drawn from the centre of a circle of radius $\sqrt[n]{r}$ to points on its circumference and dividing the perigon at the centre into equal angles of $\frac{2 \pi}{n}$ radians. Thus, let $n=3$ and $r=1$. The three cube roots of unity are seen from $I, \S 63$, to be $1,-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-3}$, $-\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ }-3$. They are represented, respectively, by the lines OA, OB, OC. These lines make with each other angles of $\frac{2}{3} \pi$
 radians or $120^{\circ}$. The circumference is divided into three equal parts. In the general case the circumference is divided into $n$ equal parts. Hence the theory of the roots of unity is closely allied with the problem of inscribing regular polygons in a circle or the theory of the Division of the Circle. This
subject has been worked out mainly by C. F. Gauss, 1801, and will be treated more fully in Chapter XVII under the head of Cyclotomic Equations.
65. Roots of Unity. We give a few general properties of the $u$ th roots of unity, some of which are evident from previous considerations.
I. The equation $x^{n}=1$ has no multiple roots.

Here $f(x)=x^{n}-1, f^{\prime}(x)=n x^{n-1}$. Since $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$ have no common factor involving $x$, there are no multiple roots (§ 21).
II. If $\alpha$ is a root of $x^{n}-1=0$, then $\alpha^{k}$ is also a root, $k$ being any integer.

Since $\alpha^{n}=1$, it follows that $\alpha^{n k}=1$ or $\left(\mu^{h}\right)^{n}=1$, where $h$ is zero or any integer, positive or negative. Hence $\mu^{k}$ is a ront of unity. As there are only $u$ roots, it is evident that the powers of $\alpha$ are not all distinct from each other, and $\mu^{k}$ is a periodic function.
III. If $m$ and $n$ are prime to each other, the equations $x^{m}-1=0$ and $x^{n}-1=0$ have no common root except 1 .

First we prove the theorem: If $m$ and $n$ are prime to euch other, then it is always possible to find inteyers a and $b$ such that $m b-n a= \pm 1$. The fraction $\frac{m}{n}$ may be expanded into a terminatiug continued fraction, say

$$
\frac{m}{n}=p+\frac{1}{q+\frac{1}{r}}
$$

The successive convergents are $p, \frac{p q+1}{q}, \frac{p(q r+1)+r}{q r+1}$. Sub tracting the last but one convergent from the last, we obtain a fraction whose numerator, $p q(q r+1)+q r-(p q+1)(q r+1)$, is seen to be equal to -1 . (By mathematical induction it may
be shown that if $\frac{u_{n-1}}{v_{n-1}}$ and $\frac{v_{n}}{v_{n}}$ are any two successive convergents, then $u_{n}{ }^{n_{n-1}}-u_{n} 1^{v_{n}}= \pm 1$.) But

$$
m=p(q r+1)+r, n=q r+1 ;
$$

hence, if we take $a=p q+1, b=q$, we have

$$
m b-a n= \pm 1
$$

Q.E.D.

Now, if possible, let $\alpha$ be a root common to $x^{m}-1=0$ and $x^{n}-1=0$. Then $\alpha^{m}=1, \alpha^{n}=1$ and $\kappa^{m b}=1$, $\alpha^{n a}=1$, where $a$ and $b$ are numbers which satisfy the relation $m b-m u= \pm 1$. Hence, $\alpha^{m b-n a}=1$, $\alpha^{+1}=1$, or $a=1$. That is, 1 is the only root common to the two equations.
IV. If $h$ is the highest common factor of $m$ and $n$, then roots of $x^{n}-1=0$ are common roots of $x^{m}-1=0$ (and $x^{n}-1=0$.

We have $m=h m^{\prime}, n=h n^{\prime}$, where $m^{\prime}$ and $n^{\prime}$ are prime to each other. Hence it is possible to find integers $\alpha$ and $b$, such that $m^{\prime} b-n^{\prime}(a= \pm 1$. Multiplying by $h$, we get $m b-m u= \pm h$.

Now, if $\ell^{\prime}$ is a common root, we have $\mu^{m}=1, u^{\prime \prime}=1, x^{m b-n a}=1$, or $\varepsilon^{t h}=1$. This means that $e$ is a root of $x^{h}--1=0$.
V. If a is " complex root of $x^{n}-1=0$, 1 leing prime, then the roots are 1 , $\alpha$, $\varepsilon^{2}, u^{3}, \cdots, u^{n-1}$.

By 1I, $1, x, x^{2}, \cdots, u^{n-1}$, are all roots of the equation. They are all different; for suppose $\alpha^{p}=r^{q}$, then $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}=1$. But by III, $x^{n}-1=0$ and $x^{p-q}-1=0$ camot have a root in common, since $n$ and $(p-q)$ are prime to each other. Hence the equation $\alpha^{p-q}=1$ is $m p o s s i b l e$, and all the roots are included in the series $1, \varkappa, \cdots, \mu^{n-1}$.
VI. The roots of the equations

$$
x^{p}-1=0, x^{q}-1=0, x^{r}-1=0, \cdots
$$

all satisfy the equation $x^{p q r} \cdot \cdot-1=0$.
For if $\alpha$ is a root of $x^{p}-1=0$, then $\mu^{p}=1$ and $\left(\alpha^{p}\right)^{q \cdots}=1$, or $u^{p p \cdots}=1$. That is, $u$ is a root of $x^{p q \cdots}-1=0$.
66. Primitive Roots of Unity. A root of $x^{n}-1=0$ is called a primitive root of that equation, if it is not at the same time a root of unity of lower degree.
Take $x^{6}-1=0$. 13y VI, § 65, the roots of $x^{2}-1=0$ and $x^{3}-1=0$ are roots of $x^{6}-1=0$. These common roots are 1 , $-1,-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-3}$. The other two roots are found by solving $x^{3}+1=0$; they are $+\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-3}$, and are seen to be primitive roots of $x^{5}-1=0$.
I. We proceed to show that primitive roots of unity exist for every degree $n$.

If $n$ is prime, then, by III, $\S 65, x^{n}-1=0$ has no root in common with a similar equation of lower degree, except the root 1. Hence all the roots of $x^{n}-1=0$, except the root 1 , are primitive roots.

If $n=p^{m}$, where $p$ is a prime, every exact divisor of $p^{m}$, except $p^{m}$ itself, is an exact divisor of $p^{n-1}$. Hence, by VI, § 65 , every $n$th root of unity which is at the same time a root of unity of lower degree, must be a root of $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{m}^{m-1}}-1=0$. Since $\rho^{m-1}$ is a factor of $p^{m}$, it follows, moreover, that every root of $x^{m r^{m-1}}-1=0$ is a root of $: p^{m}-1=0$. Thus, there are $p^{m}{ }^{1}$ roots which are not primitive, and the number of primitive roots is $\prime^{m}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)$.
If $n=p^{m} \cdot q^{*}$, where $p$ and $q$ are prime, then there are $p^{m}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)$ primitive roots of $x^{p^{m}}-1=0$ and $q\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)$ primitive roots of $x^{q^{8}}-1=0$. Now, if $\kappa$ and $\beta$ are two primitive roots of these equations, respectively, then $\alpha \beta$ is a primitive root of $x^{n}-1=0$. For suppose $(\alpha \beta)^{\prime}=1$, where $r<n$, then $\alpha^{\prime}=\beta^{-r}$. By II, $\S 65, \alpha^{r}$ is a root of $x^{r^{m}}-1=0$ and $\beta^{-1}$ is a root of $x^{x^{4}}-1=0$. But the two equations can have no root in common, except unity, since $p^{m}$ and $q^{*}$ are prime to each other, by III, § 65. Hence $r$ caunot be less than $n$. Since, by II, § $65, u^{n}=1$
and $\beta^{n}=1$, it follows that $(\alpha \beta)^{n}=1$, and $\alpha \beta$ is a primitive root of $x^{n}-1=0$. Since there are

$$
p^{m}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) q^{r}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)
$$

such products $\alpha \cdot \beta$, this expression gives also the number of primitive ath roots of unity.

It is easy to extend this proof to the case where $n=p^{m} q^{n} r^{\prime} \cdots$.
II. We give, without proof, the theorem that if co is a primitive uth root of unity, then $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is a mimitive whe root of unity clways and omly when $r$ and $n$ are prime to each other. This theorem enables one to find all the primitive ath roots from one of them.*
III. The roots of the equation $x^{n}-1=0$, where $n=\gamma^{n} q^{b} \cdots r^{6}$ and $p, q, \cdots r$ are the prime fictors of $n$, are the $n$ products of the form $\beta \gamma \cdots \delta$, where $\beta$ is a root of $. x^{p^{a}}=1, \gamma$ a root of $x^{x^{b}}=1, \cdots$, $\delta$ is a root of $x^{c}=1$.

Let

$$
«=\beta \gamma \cdots \delta .
$$

Here $\beta$ represents any one of $\nu^{n}$ values; similarly, $\gamma, \cdots, \delta$ represent, respectively, $q^{b}, \ldots, r^{r}$ values. From this it may be shown that $\alpha$ has $n$ values, which are the $n$ roots of $x^{n} \ldots 1=0$.

For, in the first place, we have $\beta^{r^{a}}=1, \gamma^{\prime^{b}}=1, \cdots, \gamma^{r c}=1$; hence, also, $\beta^{n}=1, \gamma^{n}=1, \cdots, \delta^{n}=1$, amd, therefore, $\kappa^{n}=1$.

In the next place, we show that the $n$ values of $\alpha$ are distinct. If possible, let two values of $\boldsymbol{c}$ be equal, say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \cdots \delta^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime \prime} \gamma^{\prime \prime} \cdots \delta^{\prime \prime} . \tag{l}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since not all the roots in the left member of I can be equal, respectively, to the roots in the right member, let $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ be distinct.

[^3]From I we get
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\beta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \cdots \delta^{\prime}\right)^{q^{b} \cdots r} & =\left(\beta^{\prime \prime} \gamma^{\prime \prime} \cdots \delta^{\prime \prime}\right)^{b} \cdots r^{b,} \\
\left(\gamma^{\prime} \cdots \delta^{\prime}\right)^{q^{b}, c} & =\left(\gamma^{\prime \prime \cdots} \delta^{\prime \prime}\right)^{q, c}=1 . \\
\beta^{q^{b}, c} & =\beta^{\prime 1 q^{b}} \quad, r
\end{aligned}
$$

We have
Since $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ are distinct roots of $x^{p^{\prime \prime}}=1$, they are equal to two different powers of one and the same prinitive root $\beta$, and we may write

$$
\beta^{\prime}=\beta^{m+n}, \quad \beta^{\prime \prime}=\beta^{m^{\prime}},
$$

where $m^{\prime}$ and $m+m^{\prime}$ are each less than $\eta^{n}$. We get
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{\left(m+m^{\prime}\right) q^{b} \cdot r} & =\beta^{n^{\prime} q^{b} \cdot m} \\
\beta^{m q^{b}}, r & =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\beta$ is a root of both $x^{p^{n}}=1$ and $x^{m^{b}}{ }^{n}=1$, and also of $x^{\prime \prime}=1$, where $s$ is the highest common factor of $\eta^{a}$ and $m q^{b} \cdots r^{r}$. (Theorem IV, \& 65.) But we have $s$ 天 $\quad$ m, hence, $s<p^{r}$. Thas, $\beta$ must be a root of an equation of lower degree than $\mu^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\beta$ is primitive, this cannot be, and equation I is impossible.
IV. The roots of rpa $^{p^{a}}-1=0$, where $p$ is prime, can be found from the roots of equations of the form,$^{1 p}=\mathrm{A}$.

Let $w_{1}$ be any root of $x^{p}=1, w_{2}$ any root of $x^{p}=w_{1}, w_{s}$ any root of $x^{p}=w_{n}$, and so on, and finally $u_{a}$ any root of $a^{\prime \prime}=w_{a-1}$. Then the product $\alpha=w_{1} u_{2} \cdots w_{a}$ represents $p^{\text {d }}$ distinct roots of $x^{p^{a}}=1$.

For, since $w_{1}^{p}=1, w_{2}^{p}=w_{1}$, etc., we obtain successively the relations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{p} & =w_{1}^{p} w_{2}^{p} \cdots w_{a}^{p}=1 w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{a-1} \\
\alpha^{p^{2}} & =w_{1}^{p} w_{2}^{p} \cdots w_{a-1}^{p}=1 w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{\alpha-2} \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
\alpha^{p a-1} & =w_{1}, \alpha^{p^{n}}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

V. The solution of $x^{\prime \prime}-1=0$, where ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ is cuy composite number, is relluced to the solution of binomial equations in which $n$ is a prime number.

This important result, of which further use will be made in a later chapter, follows readily from the theorems III and IV of this paragraph.
67. Depression of Reciprocal Equations. A reciprocal equation of the standard form (\$ 31) can always be denressed to one of half the dimensions.

Divide both sides of the given reciprocal equation

$$
a_{0} x^{2 m}+u_{1} x^{2 m-1}+\cdots+u_{1} \cdot x+u_{0}=0
$$

by $x^{m}$, and we get, on collecting in pairs the terms which are equidistant from the begimning and end,

$$
a_{0}\left(x^{m}+\frac{1}{x^{m}}\right)+a_{1}\left(x^{m-1}+\frac{1}{x^{m-1}}\right)+\cdots+u_{m-1}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)+a_{m}=0
$$

Assuming $y=x+\frac{1}{x}$, we oltain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{2}+\frac{1}{x^{3}}=y^{2}-2 \\
& x^{3}+\frac{1}{x^{3}}=\left(x^{2}+\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)-y=y^{3}-3 y \\
& x^{4}+\frac{1}{x^{4}}=\left(x^{3}+\frac{1}{x^{3}}\right)\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)-y^{2}+2=y^{4}-4 y^{2}+2
\end{aligned}
$$

and generally

$$
x^{p}+\frac{1}{x^{p}}=\left(x^{p-1}+\frac{1}{x^{p-1}}\right)\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)-\left(x^{p-2}+\frac{1}{x^{p-2}}\right) .
$$

By substitution in the above equation we obtain an equation of the $m$ th degree in $y$. From the relation $x+\frac{1}{x}=y$ we see that two values of $x$ may be deduced from each value of $y$.

Ex. 1. Find the primitive roots of $x^{2}-1=0, x^{3}-1=0, x^{4}-1=0$.
Ex. 2. Find the rocts of $x^{5}-1=0$.
Dividing by $x-1$, we get $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1=0$.
Dividing this reciprocal equation by $x^{2}$ and taking $x+\frac{1}{x}=y$, we obtain $y^{2}+y=1$ and $y=\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Solving $x^{2}-x y+1=0$, we arrive at the following four roots:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=-\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{ } 5+i \sqrt{ } 10-2 \sqrt{ } 5), x_{2}=-\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{5}-i \sqrt{10+2 \sqrt{5}}), \\
& x_{3}=-\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{ } 5+i \sqrt{ } 10+2 \sqrt{5}), x_{4}=-1(1+\sqrt{ } 5-i \sqrt{10-2 \sqrt{5}}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These four are primitive fifth roots of unity. The other root is 1 . Show that $x_{2}=x_{1}{ }^{2}$.

Ex. 3. Find the roots of $x^{6}-1=0$.
Ex. 4. Find the roots of $x^{7}-1=0$.
Dividing by $x-1$, we get a deciprocal equation in the standard form which can be depressed to the cubic $y^{2}+y^{2}-2 y-1=0$.

Writing $z=y+\frac{1}{3}$, we have $z^{3}-{ }_{3}^{7}:-\frac{7}{27}=0$. By $\S 59$ we obtain for $y$ three values, $\iota, \iota_{1}, \ell_{2}$, where

$$
u=-\frac{1}{3}+1 \sqrt[3]{2 x}+8+\sqrt{ }-3+1 \sqrt[3]{28-84} \sqrt{=3}
$$

From $x^{2}-x y+1=0$ we get the six values

$$
\frac{\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^{2}-4}}{2}, \frac{\mu_{1} \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_{1}^{2}-4}}{2}, \frac{\alpha_{2} \pm \sqrt{\mu_{2}^{2}-4}}{2}
$$

which, together with unity, are the seventh roots of unity.
Ex. 5. Find the roots of $x^{8}-1$. Which are primitive roots?
Ex. 6. Find the roots of $x^{9}-1=0$.
Extracting the cule root, we get $x^{3}=1$ or $w$ or $w^{2}$ and $x=1, w, v^{2}$, $\sqrt[3]{w i}, w \sqrt[3]{w}, w^{2} \sqrt[3]{ } w, \sqrt[3]{w^{2}}, w \sqrt[3]{w^{2}}, w^{2} \sqrt[3]{ } w^{2}$, where $w$ and $w^{2}$ are the prmitive cube roots of unity. Give the primitive roots of $x^{9}-1=0$.

Ex. 7. Give a trigonometric solution of $x^{10}-1=0$ and state which roots are primitive.

Ex. 8. Find the primitive roots of $x^{12}-1=0$.
Ex. 9. How many primitive roots has $x^{181}-1=0$ ?
Ex. 10. Find the sum of the primitive roots of $x^{14}-1=0$.
71. By the aid of the theorem of $\S 70$ we can calculate the value, in terms of the coefficients, of any rational symmetric function. But this method is laborious, and usually other methods are preferable. For convenience of reference we state here some of the results obtained in $\S 15$, viz.,

For the cubic $x^{3}+a x^{2}+b x+c=0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{\Sigma} \alpha^{2} \beta=3 c-a b, \\
\mathbf{\Sigma} \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}=b^{2}-2 a c, \\
\mathbf{\Sigma} \alpha^{3} \beta=u^{2} b-b^{2}-\boldsymbol{\beta} a c, \\
(\alpha+\beta)(\beta+\gamma)(\gamma+\alpha)=c-a b .
\end{gathered}
$$

For the quartic $x^{4}+a x^{3}+b x^{2}+c x+d=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{\Sigma} \alpha^{2} \beta=3 c-a b, \\
& \Sigma \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}=b^{2}-2 a c+2 d .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex. 1. For the cubic find the value, expressed in terms of the coefficients, of $\frac{\Sigma \alpha^{2} \beta-\Sigma \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}}{\Sigma \mu^{3} \beta-\Sigma \alpha^{2}}$.

Ex. 2. For the quartic find the value of the irrational symmetric function $\sqrt{\bar{\Sigma} \alpha^{3}} \bar{\beta}$.

Ex. 3. For $f(x)=0$ calculate $\Sigma \alpha_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}$, where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ are the roots.

Multiply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma \alpha_{1} & =-a_{1} \\
\Sigma \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} & =-a_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and
In the product the term $\alpha_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}$ occurs only once, the term $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}$ occurs 4 times. Hence,
and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma \alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}+4 \Sigma \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{4}=a_{1} a_{3}, \\
\Sigma \alpha_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}=a_{1} a_{3}-4 a_{4} .
\end{gathered}
$$

If the calculation is carried on by $\S 70$, II , we have, since $p=q=1$ and $m=2$,

$$
2 \Sigma \alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2} \mu_{3}=s_{2} s_{1}^{2}-2 s_{3} s_{1}-s_{2}^{2}+2 s_{4}
$$

Substituting for $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}$ their values, $\S 68$, and carrying out the indicated operations, we get the same answer.

Ex. 4. Show that for the general equation $f(x)=0$, the general form, in terms of the coefficients, obtained for $\Sigma \ell_{1}{ }^{2} \ell_{2}{ }^{2}$ is the same as for the quartic equation.

Ex. 5. Calculate $\Sigma \alpha_{1}{ }^{3} \chi_{2}$ for $f(x)=0$ and from the result derive the special value it assumes for the cubic.

Ex. 6. Calculate $\Sigma \alpha_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{2}{ }^{2} \ell_{3}$ for the quintic equation. Is the result the same for the general equation?

Ex. 7. Find the value of the symmetric function $\left..^{\ell}-\beta\right)^{2}+(\beta-\gamma)^{2}+\left(\gamma-(\ell)^{2}\right.$ for the cubic $b_{0} x^{3}+3 b_{1} x^{2}+3 b_{2} x+b_{3}=0$. Deduce the same result from $\mathrm{V}, \S: 35$.

Ex. 8. By aid of $\S 35$ compute the value of $(\ell-\beta)^{2}(\iota-\gamma)^{2}(\beta-\gamma)^{2}$ for the cubic $x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1=0$. What relation has this symmetric function to the discriminant of the cubic? How many values does the function $(\ell-\beta)(\ell-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)$ assume when the roots are interchanged? Why is this function not symmetric?

Ex. 9. Show that for the quartic

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{4}+a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x+a_{4}=0 \\
\left(\ell_{1} \ell_{2}+\ell_{3}\left(\ell_{4}\right)\left(\ell _ { 1 } \left(\ell_{3}+\ell_{2}\left(\ell_{4}\right)\left(\ell_{1} \ell_{4}+\ell_{2}\left(\ell_{3}\right)=a_{3}^{2}+a_{1}^{2} a_{4}-4 a_{2} a_{4}\right.\right.\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Ex. 10. Show that for this quartic

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\alpha \beta+\gamma \delta)(\gamma \alpha+\beta \delta)+(\alpha \beta+\gamma \delta)(\beta \gamma+\kappa \delta)+(\beta \gamma+\alpha \delta)(\gamma \kappa \alpha+\beta \delta) \\
=a_{1} a_{3}-4 a_{4} .
\end{gathered}
$$

* Ex. 11. Form the cubic equation laving for its roots

$$
\alpha \beta+\gamma \delta, \ll \gamma+\beta \delta, \beta \gamma+\alpha \delta .
$$

Ex. 12. Show how the general quartic may be solved with the aid of the roots of the cubic in Ex. 11 and the relation $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta=a_{4}$.

Ex. 13. How many different values will the function $\alpha \beta+\gamma \delta$ assume, as the roots are interchanged in every possible way?

* Ex. 14. Find the equation whose roots are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\rho=\sqrt{2}+\sqrt[3]{5}, & \rho_{1}=\sqrt{2}+\omega \sqrt[3]{5}, & \rho_{2}=\sqrt{2}+\omega^{2} \sqrt[3]{5} \\
\rho_{3}=-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt[3]{5}, & \rho_{4}=-\sqrt{2}+\omega \sqrt[3]{5}, & \rho_{5}=-\sqrt{2}+\omega^{2} \sqrt[3]{5}
\end{array}
$$

Let the required equation be

$$
x^{6}+a_{1} x^{5}+a_{2} x^{4}+a_{8} x^{8}+a_{4} x^{2}+a_{5} x+a_{6}=0
$$

We have $a_{1}=0$, and therefore $a_{2}=\Sigma_{\rho \rho_{1}}=-\frac{1}{2} \searrow \rho^{2}=-6$. Multiplying $\Sigma \rho \rho_{1}$ by $\Sigma \rho$, we have ${ }^{3} \Sigma \Sigma_{\rho} \rho_{1} \rho_{2}+\Sigma \rho_{\rho}{ }_{1}^{2}=0$, hence

$$
a_{3}=-\Sigma \Sigma_{\rho \rho_{1} \rho_{2}}=\frac{1}{3} \Sigma \rho \rho_{1}{ }^{2}=-\frac{1}{3} \Sigma \rho^{3}=-10 .
$$

Multiplying $\Sigma \rho_{\rho} \rho_{1} \rho_{2}$ by $\Sigma \rho_{\text {, we obtain }}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
4 \Sigma \Sigma_{\rho} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}
\end{array}\right) \Sigma_{\rho \rho \rho_{1} \rho_{2}{ }^{2}=0 ; \Sigma \rho_{1} \rho_{2}{ }^{2}}=\Sigma \Sigma \rho_{2}{ }^{2} \cdot \Sigma \Sigma_{\rho \rho_{1}}-\Sigma \rho_{\rho_{2}}{ }^{3} \cdot \Sigma \rho^{2}+\Sigma \rho_{2}{ }^{4},
$$

hence $a_{4}=12$.
Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
5 \Sigma \Sigma_{\rho \rho_{1} \rho_{4} \rho_{3} \rho_{4}}+\Sigma_{\rho \rho \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}{ }^{2}}= & 0, \Sigma \rho \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}{ }^{2}=\Sigma_{\rho_{3}}{ }^{2} \cdot \Sigma_{\rho \rho_{1} \rho_{2}}-\Sigma_{\rho_{3}}{ }^{3} \cdot \Sigma \Sigma_{\rho \rho_{1}} \\
& -\Sigma \rho_{3}{ }^{3}=-300,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $a_{5}=-60$. We have $u_{0}=17$.

* Ex. 15. Find the value, in terms of the coefticients of the cubic, of ( $\ell+\omega\left(\ell_{1}+\omega^{2} \ell_{2}\right)^{3}+\left(\alpha+\omega^{2} \ell_{1}+\omega\left(\ell_{2}\right)^{8}\right.$, where $\omega$ is a complex cube root of umty.
* Ex. 16. Show that for the quartic

$$
x^{4}+4 b_{1} x^{3}+6 b_{2} x^{2}+4 b_{3} x+b_{4}=0
$$

the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma\left(t^{5} \ell_{1}=15: 36 b_{1}{ }^{4} b_{2}-2304 b_{1}{ }^{2} b_{2}{ }^{2}+432 b_{2}{ }^{3}-256 b_{1}{ }^{3} b_{3}+672 b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right. \\
& -48 h_{3}{ }^{2}+16 b_{1}{ }^{2} b_{4}-36 b_{2} b_{4} . \\
& \Sigma\left(c^{4} \ell_{1} \ell_{2}=250 b_{1}^{3} b_{3}-288 b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}+48 b_{3}^{2}-16 b_{1}^{2} b_{4}+12 b_{2} b_{4} .\right. \\
& \Sigma \iota^{3} \ell_{1}^{2} u_{2}=96 b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}-48 l_{3}^{2}-48 b_{1}^{2} b_{4}+24 l_{2} b_{4} \text {. } \\
& \Sigma x^{3} c_{1}{ }^{3}=216 b_{2}{ }^{3}-288 b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}+48 b_{3}{ }^{2}+48 b_{1}{ }^{2} b_{4}-18 b_{2} b_{4} . \\
& \Sigma \Sigma \alpha^{2} \alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}=6 b_{2} b_{4} \text {. } \\
& \Sigma \alpha^{2}=16 b_{1}{ }^{2}-12 b_{2} \text {. } \\
& \Sigma \alpha^{2} \mu_{1} c_{2}=16 b_{1} b_{3}-4 b_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

* Ex. 17. Find the cubic whose roots are

$$
\left(u-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{3}\right),\left(u-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-u_{1}\right),\left(u-\mu_{3}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right) .
$$

* Ex. 18. Show that, for the quartic $x^{4}+a_{1} x^{3}+u_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x+a_{4}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mu+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\mu_{3}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-\mu_{8}\right) \\
=-\left(a_{1}^{8}-4 a_{1} a_{2}+8 a_{3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## CHAPTER VIII

## ELIMINATION

72. Resultants or Eliminants. Let us determine the condition that the two equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x) \equiv a_{0} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{2}=0 \\
& F(x) \equiv c_{0} x^{2}+c_{1} x+c_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

shall have a root in common. Desiguate the roots of the second equation by $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$. The necessary and sufficient condition that $\beta_{1}$ or $\beta_{2}$ shall satisfy the equation $f(x)=0$ is that $f\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ or $f\left(\beta_{2}\right)$ shall vanish; in other words, that the product $f\left(\beta_{1}\right) \cdot f\left(\beta_{2}\right)$ shall be zero. Multiplying together

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\beta_{1}\right) \equiv a_{1} \beta_{1}^{2}+a_{1} \beta_{1}+a_{2} \\
& f\left(\beta_{2}\right) \equiv a_{0} \beta_{2}^{2}+a_{1} \beta_{2}+a_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{0}^{2} \beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}^{2}+a_{0} a_{1}\left(\beta_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}\right. & \left.+\beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}\right)+\iota_{0} \iota_{2}\left(\beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}\right)+a_{1}^{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2} \\
& +\iota_{1} a_{2}\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)+a_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying by $c_{0}{ }^{2}$ and substituting for the symmetric functions of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ their values in terms of the coefficients of $F(x)=0$, we have

$$
a_{0}^{2} c_{0}^{2}-a_{0} a_{1} c_{1} c_{2}+a_{0} a_{2} c_{1}^{2}-2 a_{0} a_{2} c_{0} c_{2}+a_{1}^{2} c_{0} c_{2}-a_{1} a_{2} c_{0} c_{1}+a_{2}^{2} c_{0}^{2}
$$

This expression is called the eliminant or resultant. Its vanishing is the condition that the given equations shall have a root in common.

If from $n$ equations involving $n-1$ variables we eliminate the variables and obtain an equation $R=0$ involving only the
coefficients of the equations, the expression $R$ is called the eliminant or resultant of the given equations.

In the above exainple the elimination was performed with the aid of symmetric functions. This method generalized is as follows:
73. Elimination by Symmetric Functions. To find the conditions that the two equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x) \equiv a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+a_{2} x^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n}=0, \\
& F(x) \equiv c_{0} x^{m}+c_{1} x^{m-1}+c_{2} x^{m-2}+\cdots+c_{m}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

shall have a common root. For this purpose it is necessary and sufficient that some one of the roots $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{m}$ of $F(x)=0$ shall satisfy $f(x)=0$, in which case the product
must vanish.

$$
f\left(\beta_{1}\right) \cdot f\left(\beta_{2}\right) \cdots f\left(\beta_{m}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { We have } f\left(\beta_{1}\right) & \equiv a_{0} \beta_{1}{ }^{n}+a_{1} \beta_{1}^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n} \\
f\left(\beta_{2}\right) & \equiv a_{0} \beta_{2}{ }^{n}+a_{1} \beta_{2}^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n} \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
f\left(\beta_{m}\right) & \equiv a_{0} \beta_{m}{ }^{n}+a_{1} \beta_{m}{ }^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying these together, we obtain, after substituting for the symmetric functions of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{m}$ which occur in the product their values in terms of $c_{0}, c_{1}, \cdots, c_{m}$, and after clearing of fractions,

$$
R=c_{0}^{m} f\left(\beta_{1}\right) \cdot f\left(\beta_{2}\right) \cdots f\left(\beta_{m}\right)
$$

Here $R$ is the eliminant and is a rational integral function of the coefficients of $f(x)$ and $F(x)$. Its vanishing is the condition that the two given equations have a root in common. The degree of the resultant in the coefficients of the given equations is in general $m+n$.

It is easy to see that we obtain the same eliminant by substituting the roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ of $f(x)=0$, in succession, for $x$ in the polynomial $F(x)$.
74. Euler's Method of Elimination. If $f(x)=0$ and $F(x)=0$, as defined in $\S 73$, have a root $\alpha$ in common, we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x) \equiv(x-\alpha) f_{1}(x) \\
& F(x) \equiv(x-\alpha) F_{1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x) \equiv A_{1} x^{n-1}+A_{2} x^{n-2}+\cdots+A_{n} \\
& F_{1}(x) \equiv C_{1} x^{m-1}+C_{4} x^{m-2}+\cdots+C_{m},
\end{aligned}
$$

the coefficients $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}$ and $C_{1}, \cdots, C_{n}$, being undetermined quantities.

We obtain easily the identical equation of the $(m+n-1)$ th degree $f(x) \cdot H_{1}(x) \equiv F(x) \cdot f_{1}(x)$.

Performing the indicated multiplications and equating coefficients of like powers of $x$, we obtain $m+n$ homogeneous equations. Eliminating the undetermined coefficients, we obtain the required resultant.

Thus, find the resultant of

$$
a_{0} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{2}=0, c_{0} x^{2}+c_{1} x+c_{2}=0
$$

If they have a root in common, we obtain the identity

$$
\left(C_{1} x+C_{2}\right)\left(c_{1} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{2}\right) \equiv\left(\Lambda_{1} x+\Lambda_{2}\right)\left(c_{1} x^{2}+c_{1} x+c_{2}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(C_{1} a_{0}-\Lambda_{1} r_{0}\right) x^{3}+\left(C_{1} a_{1}+C_{2}^{\prime}\left(_{0}-A_{1} c_{1}-A_{2} c_{0}\right) x^{2}\right. \\
+ & \left(C_{1} a_{2}+\left(C_{2} a_{1}-A_{1} c_{2}-A_{2} r_{1}\right) x+C_{2} a_{2}-A_{2} c_{2} \equiv 0 .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating coefficients,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rr}
C_{1} a_{0}-A_{1} c_{0} & =0 \\
C_{1} a_{1}+C_{2} a_{0}-A_{1} r_{1}-A_{2} c_{0}=0 \\
C_{1} a_{2}+C_{2} a_{1}-A_{1} c_{2}-A_{2} c_{1}=0 \\
C_{2} a_{2}-A_{2} c_{2}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In order that the four homogeneous equations I may be consistent with each other it is necessary that

$$
\left|\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha_{0} & 0 & c_{0} & 0 \\
a_{1} & a_{0} & c_{1} & c_{0} \\
a_{2} & a_{1} & c_{2} & c_{1} \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 & c_{2}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

This vanishing determinant is the resultant.
[To recall the reason for this, observe that if each member of the four equations I is divided by $A_{2}$, we have really only three unknown quantities, viz. $\frac{C_{1}}{A_{2}}, \frac{C_{2}}{A_{2}}, \frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}$. If their values, which may be obtained from the first three equations, are substituted in the fourth equation, then we obtain a relation between the coefficients of the two given equations which is the same as that expressed by the above determinant.]
75. Sylvester's Dialytic Method of Elimination. To eliminate $x$ between $f(x)=0$ and $F(x)=0$, equations of the degrees $n$ and $m$, defined as in $\$ 73$, multiply the first successively by $x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}, \cdots, x^{m-1}$, and the second successively by $x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}, \cdots, x^{n-1}$.

We obtain thus the $m+n$ equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=0, x f(x)=0, x^{2} f(x)=0, \cdots, x^{m-1} f(x)=0, \\
& F(x)=0, x F(x)=0, x^{2} F(x)=0, \cdots, x^{n-1} F(x)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The highest power of $x$ is $m+n-1$. If $f(x)=0$ and $F^{\prime}(x)=0$ have a common root, it will satisfy all the $m+n$ equations. If the different powers of $x$, viz. $x, x^{2}, x^{3}, \cdots, x^{m+n-1}$, be taken as $m+n-1$ unknown quantities satisfying $m+n$ linear equations, it is evident that a relation must exist between the coefficients of the equations. This condition of consistency is the vanishing of the resultant.*

[^4]Thus, to find the resultant of

| and we have | $f(x) \equiv$ | $a_{0} x^{3}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $F(x) \equiv$ | $c_{0} x^{2}+c_{1}{ }^{2}$ |
|  | $f(x) \equiv$ | $a_{0} x^{3}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2}$ |
|  | $x f(x) \equiv$ | $a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x$ |
|  | $F(x) \equiv$ | $+c_{0} x^{2}+c_{1} x$ |
|  | $x F(x) \equiv$ | $c_{0} x^{3}+c_{1} x^{2}+c_{2} x$ |
|  | $x^{2} F(x) \equiv$ | $c_{1} x^{3}+c_{2} x^{2}$ |

That the four unknowns $x, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}$, may satisfy the five equations, it is necessary that

$$
R \equiv\left|\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} \\
0 & c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & 0 \\
c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right|=0 .
$$

$R$ is the resultant.
76. Discriminant of $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})=\mathbf{0}$. It was proved in $\S 21$ that if $f(x)=0$ has a multiple root, that root satisfies $f^{\prime}(x)=0$. The condition that $f(x)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ have a root in common is expressed by the vanishing of their resultant. The resultant of $f(x)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ is called the discriminant of $f(x)=0$. The discriminant of an equation $f(x)=0$ may be otherwise defined as the simplest function of the coefficients, or of the roots, whose vanishing signifies that the equation hus equal roots.
If $f(x)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ have a common root, this root will satisfy also $n f(x)-f^{\prime}(x)=0$. Instead of finding the resultant of $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$, we may therefore find the resultant of $n f(x)-f^{\prime}(x)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=0$. The latter mode of procedure is preferable, because it gives us the resultant clear of an extraneous factor.

The discriminants of the general quadratic, cubic, and quartic are, respectively, as follows:

Quadratic disc. $=\frac{4}{b_{0}{ }^{2}}\left(b_{1}{ }^{2}-b_{0} b_{2}\right) ;$
Cubic disc., $\S 35,=-\frac{27}{b_{0} 0}\left(G^{2}+4 H^{3}\right)$;
Quartic disc., $\S 51,=\frac{256}{b_{0}{ }^{6}}\left(I^{3}-27 J^{2}\right)$.
77. Discriminant expressed as a Symmetric Function of the Roots. Since the discriminant of the equation $f(x)=0$ vanishes always when at least two roots are equal, but uuder no other conditions, it follows that $\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}$ must be a factor of the discriminant. For if $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are the equal roots, $\alpha_{1}-\mu_{2}$ is the only simple factor which will vanish because of this equality. But an interchange of ciny two roots, say $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$, must not alter the numerical value or the sign of the discriminant, since the discriminant is a constant when the coefficients of the equation are constants. Hence the lowest positive power to which the factor $\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}$ can occur in the discriminant is the second power. In other words, $\left(\alpha_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}$ is a factor of the discriminant.

Since this reasoning applies to any two roots whatever, $\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}$ is a factor ; also $\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{4}\right)^{2}$; and so on.

Hence the product

$$
D \equiv \Pi\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2} \equiv\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2} \cdots\left(\alpha_{m-n}-\alpha_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

is a factor of the discriminant. If the multiplications indicated in this product were carried out, each term would be of the $n(n-1)$ th degree in the roots.

The resultant of $f(x)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ may be expressed by § 73 as

$$
a_{0}{ }^{n} \cdot f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}\right),
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ are the roots of $f(x)=0$. One term of this productis $\left(n a_{0}{ }^{2}\right)^{n}\left(\mu_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdots \alpha_{n}\right)^{n-1}$; the degree of this term in the roots
is $n(n-1)$. This product is homogeneous, for if in any other term, say $(n-1)^{n} c_{1}{ }^{n}\left(c_{1}{ }^{n}\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \cdots \alpha_{n}\right)^{n-2}\right.$, we substitute for the coefficients their equivalents in terms of the roots, by the relations of $\S 13$, say $\alpha_{1}+\mu_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$ for $-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}$, we see that this term likewise is of the degree $n(n-1)$ in the roots. Hence the product $\Pi\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}$ is of the same degree in the roots as the resultant of $f(x)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=0$, and, therefore, as the discriminant of $f(x)=0$. Consequently, this product can differ from the discriminant by a numerical factor only.

Ex. 1. Show that the resultant of $x^{2}-x-42=0$ and $x^{2}+4 x-77=0$ is zero, proving that the left members of the equations have a common factor.

Ex. 2. Find the resultant of $a_{0} x^{8}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2} x+a_{3}=0$ and $c_{0} x^{3}+c_{1} x^{2}+c_{2} x+c_{3}=0$ by Euler's method.

Ex. 3. For what value of $a$ will the two equations $x^{3}+a x^{2}+x-1=0$ and $x^{2}+3 x+7=0$ have a root in common?

Ex. 4. Using Sylvester's method of elimination, find the discriminant of $b_{0} x^{8}+3 l_{1} x^{2}+3 b_{2} x+b_{3}=0$.

Ex. 5. Find the discriminant of $x^{n}-1=0$. Has the equation equal roots?

Ex. 6. Find the discriminant of $x^{n+1}-x^{n}-x+1=0$.

## CHAPTER IX

## THE HOMOGRAPHIC AND THE TSCHIRNHAUSEN TRANSFORMATIONS

78. Homographic Transformation. All the transformations of equations explained in $\$ \S 27-34$ are special cases of the homographic transformation, in which $\boldsymbol{x}$ is connected with the new variable $y$ by the relation

$$
y=\frac{\lambda_{x} x+\mu}{\lambda^{\prime} x+\mu^{\prime}}
$$

where $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}, \mu, \mu^{\prime}$ are constants. Thus, if $\lambda=-\mu^{\prime}=1, \lambda^{\prime}=\mu=0$, then $y=-x$, as in $\S 28$; if $\lambda=\mu^{\prime}=1$ and $\lambda^{\prime}=0$, then $y=x+\mu$, as in § 32.

By solving for $x$ we readily get

$$
x=\frac{\mu-\mu^{\prime} y}{\bar{\lambda}^{\prime} y-\bar{\lambda}}
$$

If this value of $x$ is substituted in a given equation of the $n$th degree, we obtain a new equation of the $n$th degree in $y$.

If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$ are the roots of the original equation and $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$, $\gamma^{\prime}, \ldots$ the corresponding roots of the transformed equation, then we have

$$
\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{\lambda \kappa+\mu}{\lambda^{\prime} \alpha+\mu^{\prime}}, \beta^{\prime}=\frac{\lambda \beta+\mu}{\lambda^{\prime} \beta+\mu^{\prime}}, \text { etc. }
$$

Subtracting, we get $\alpha^{\prime}-\beta^{\prime}=\frac{(\alpha-\beta)\left(\lambda \mu^{\prime}-\lambda^{\prime} \mu\right)}{\left(\lambda^{\prime} \beta+\mu^{\prime}\right)\left(\lambda^{\prime} \alpha+\mu^{\prime}\right)}$. We obtain similar expressions for $\alpha^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}-\beta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime}$, etc. If now we take any four roots $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and the corresponding roots $\iota^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}$, we obtain by means of these expressions the following relation:

$$
\frac{\left(\mu^{\prime}-\beta^{\prime}\right)\left(\delta^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{\left(\mu^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime}\right)\left(\delta^{\prime}-\beta^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{(\alpha-\beta)(\delta-\gamma)}{(\kappa-\gamma)(\delta-\beta)}
$$

The geometrical significance of each of these fractions becomes apparent, if taking $O$ as origin, we put $\alpha=O C, \beta=O A$,
 $\gamma=O B, \delta=O 1$. Then

$$
\alpha-\beta=1 C, \alpha-\gamma=B C,
$$

$$
\delta-\beta=A I), \delta-\gamma=B I),
$$

and the fraction on the right-hand side is equal to $\frac{-1 C}{B C} \div \frac{A D}{B D}$. This is the cross-ratio (anharmonic ratio) of the points $C$ and $D$ with respect to the points $A$ and B. See Ex. 10, § 113.
Similarly, the left-hand fraction expresses the cross-ratio of points $C^{\prime \prime}$ and $D^{\prime}$ with respect to points $A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$. Hence, if the roots $a, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ represent distances on a line, measured from an origin $O$, then the cross-ratio of the four points thus determined is the same as the cross-ratio, similarly formed, of the points, determined in the same manner by the corresponding roots $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}$, of the transformed equation.

Thus, we have on the sime line two ranges of points, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \cdots$ and $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, \cdots$ such that the cross-ratio of any four points of one range is equal to the cross-ratio of the corresponding four points on the other. Such ranges are called homographic; hence the name, homofrraphic trousformation. To a point in one range corresponds one, and only one, point in the other. In other words, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the two ranges of points. The homographic trausformation is the most general transformation in which this correspondence holds. We proceed to consider transformations which are not usually homographic.
79. The Most General Transformation. The most general rational alyebraic tronsformation of the roots of an equation $f(x)=0$ of the uth deypree cran be reluced to an integral transformation of a deyree not higher than the $(n-1)$ th.

Every rational function of a root $\alpha_{m}$ (an be expressed in the form of a fraction whose numerator and denominator are each rational integral functions of the root, viz.

$$
\frac{g\left(\alpha_{m}\right) .}{h\left(\alpha_{m}\right)}
$$

Multiplying both numerator and denominator of $\frac{1}{h\left(\alpha_{m}\right)}$ by the same quantity, we may write

$$
\frac{1}{h\left(\alpha_{m}\right)}=\frac{h\left(\alpha_{1}\right) . \cdots h\left(\mu_{m}\right) \cdot h\left(\alpha_{m+1}\right) \cdots h\left(\alpha_{n}\right) .}{h\left(\iota_{1}\right) \cdot h\left(\mu_{2}\right) \cdots h\left(\alpha_{n}\right)} .
$$

We see that the deuminator $h\left(\mu_{1}\right) \cdot h\left(\mu_{2}\right) \cdots h\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ is a symmetric function of the roots $\mu_{1}, \mu_{n}, \cdots, \mu_{n}$ of the equation $f(x)=0$. By $\$ 70$ this function can be expressed rationally in terms of the coefficients. Hence $\alpha_{n}$ can be made to disappear from the denominator of the fraction representing the value of $\frac{1}{h\left(\alpha_{m}\right)}$. In other words, $\frac{1}{h\left(\alpha_{m}\right)}$ is reduced to an integral function of $\mu_{m}$.

Again, the numerator of this fraction, viz.

$$
h\left(\mu_{1}\right) \cdots h\left(\alpha_{m-1}\right) \cdot h\left(\mu_{m+1}\right) \cdots h\left(\alpha_{n}\right),
$$

is a symmetric function of the roots $\alpha_{1}, \cdots \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_{m+1}, \cdots \alpha_{n}$ of the equation $\frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{x-u_{m}}=0$. Hence it can be expressell as a rational function of the coefficients of this equation. These coefficients are rational integral functions of $u_{m}$ and the coefticients of $f^{\prime}(x)=0$, as may be seen by performmen the indicated division. Hence $\frac{1}{h\left(\mu_{m}\right)}$ and also $\frac{g\left(\mu_{m}\right)}{h\left(\mu_{m}\right)}$ can be expressed as an integral rational function of $\mu_{m}$. Let the integral function $G\left(\mu_{m}\right)=\frac{g\left(\mu_{m}\right)}{h\left(\mu_{m}\right)}$.

If $G\left(\alpha_{m}\right)$ is of a degree higher than the $n$ th, divide $G(x)$ by $f(x)$, and we obtain

$$
G(x)=Q \cdot f(x)+H(x),
$$

where the degree of the function $H(x)$ does not exceed $n-1$. Now write $\alpha_{m}$ for $x$. Since $f\left(\mu_{m}\right)=0$, we have $G\left(\mu_{m}\right)=I I\left(\alpha_{m}\right)$, and the theorem is proved.
80. The Tschirnhausen Transformation. The most general rational algebraic transformation of a root of the equation $f(x)=0$ can therefore be represented by the integral functions of the $(n-1)$ th degree

$$
y=d_{1}+d_{2} x+d_{3} x^{2}+\cdots+d_{n} x^{n-1}
$$

This is known as the Tschiruhausen transformation.
By its aid Tschirnhausen succeeded in reducing the general cubic and quartic equations to the form of binomial equations. We shall do this for the cubic,

$$
b_{1} x^{3}+3 b_{1} x^{2}+3 b_{2} x+b_{3}=0
$$

We assume $y=d_{1}+d_{2} x+x^{2}$, where $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are coefficients whose values must be determined.

Let the roots of the given equation be $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$, and the corresponding roots of the required equation $\eta^{3}-c=0$ be $\beta, \omega \beta, \omega^{2} \beta$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{2}$ are the complex cube roots of unity. Then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
\beta=l_{1}+l_{2} \alpha_{1}+\mu_{1}^{2} \\
\omega \beta=l_{1}+l_{2} \mu_{0}+{\varkappa_{2}}_{2}^{2} \\
\omega^{2} \beta=d_{1}+l_{1} \mu_{3}+{\alpha_{3}}_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

I

Adding, we obtain $3 d_{1}+l_{2} s_{1}+s_{2}=0$.
Multiplying the second equation by $\omega$, and the third by $\omega^{2}$, and adding, we have $\left(\alpha_{1}+\omega \alpha_{2}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{3}\right) d_{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}+\omega \alpha_{2}^{2}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}=0$.

Whence

$$
d_{2}+s_{1}=d_{2}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\mu_{3}=-\frac{\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}+\omega \alpha_{1} \mu_{3}+\omega^{2} \mu_{1} \alpha_{2}}{\omega \alpha_{1}+} .
$$

Since $\omega$ may represent either one of the two complex cube roots of unity, there are two possible values for this fraction.

By a somewhat laborious operation, these values may be shown to be roots of the quadratic

$$
\left(b_{0} b_{2}+b_{1}^{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(b_{0} b_{3}-b_{1} b_{2}\right) x+\left(b_{1} b_{3}-b_{2^{2}}^{2}\right)=0
$$

The coefficients of this quadratic being known, we can find its two roots, hence also the required values of $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$. Then, multiplying together the members of equation $I$, and substituting for the symmetric functions of $\mu_{1}, \iota_{2}, \mu_{3}$ their values, we arrive at the value of $c$ in $?^{3}-c=0$.

After reducing the cubic and quadratic to the binomial form, Tschurnhausen hoped to be able to transform the general quintic to the form $y^{j}-c=0$. Since this form admits of algebraic solution, he hoped to find the much-songht-for general algebraic solution of the quintic. But in the determination of the coefficients $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}, d_{3}$, unlooked-for difficulties presented themselves, calling for the solution of an equation of the 24th degree. While the Tschirnhausen transformation is worthless for the general solution of the quintic, it enables one to remove the second, third, and fourth term of the quintic and of equations of higher degrees.

Ex. 1. Reduce $x^{2}+a x+b=0$ to the binomial form by the Tschirnhausen transformation.

Ex. 2. Find the integral transformation of a degree not higher than the second, which is equivalent to the transformation $y=\frac{x+1}{x^{2}+1}$ for the
cubic $x^{8}+x^{2}+x+2=0$. cubic $x^{3}+x^{2}+x+2=0$.
Here

$$
\frac{f(x)}{x-\alpha_{2}}=x^{2}+\left(\alpha_{2}+1\right) x+\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2}+1\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}^{2}+1} & =\frac{\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+1\right)\left(\alpha_{3}^{2}+1\right)}{\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+1\right)\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}+1\right)\left(\alpha_{3}^{2}+1\right)}=\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2}+1}{\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\Sigma \alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\Sigma \alpha_{1}^{2}+1} \\
& =\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2}+1\right)^{2}-\alpha_{2}^{2}, y=-(x+1)^{2} . \quad A n s .
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER X

## ON SUBSTITUTIONS

81. Notation. In the arrangement or permutation of four letters, $u_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}$, let each letter be replaced by one of the others; put, for instance, $a_{4}$ for $a_{1}, a_{3}$ for $a_{n}, a_{1}$ for $a_{3}$, and $a_{2}$ for $a_{4}$, then this operation, called a substitution, may be designated by the notation

$$
\binom{u_{1} u_{2}\left(u_{1} u_{4}\right.}{u_{4}\left(u_{3} \cdot u_{1} u_{2}\right.},
$$

where each letter is replaced by the one beneath, or by the notation ( $a_{1} a_{4} a_{2} r_{3}$ ), where each letter is replaced by the one immediately following, the last letter, $a_{3}$, being replaced by the first, $a_{1}$. We shall use more frequently the second notation.

Observe that $\quad\binom{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}}{x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}} \equiv\left(x_{1} x_{3} x_{2}\right)$,
and that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
2 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 5
\end{array}\right)
$$

Just as the substitution ( $a_{1}\left(a_{4} a_{2} a_{3}\right)$, effected upon the arrangement $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}$, gives the new arrangement $a_{4} a_{3} a_{1} a_{2}$, so when effected upon $a_{4} a_{3} \tau_{1} c_{2}$, , it gives $u_{2} a_{1} a_{4} a_{3}$.

We shall agree that in a substitution a letter may be replaced by itself, but that no two letters can be replaced by the same letter. Accordingly

$$
\binom{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}}{a_{1} a_{3} a_{4} a_{2}}
$$

is a substitution, but ( $\left.a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{2} l_{4}\right)$ is not, because in the latter $a_{1}$ and $a_{3}$ are both replaced by $a_{3}$.

Ex. 1. Show that ( $x y z w$ ) is the same substitution as (wxyz).
Ex. 2. Show that ( $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}$ ) is equal to

$$
\left(a_{n-m} a_{n-m+1} \cdots a_{n} a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n-m}\right) \text { ) ; }
$$

that, therefore, the same substitution may be represented in several ways and that its form is consequently not unique.
82. Product of Substitutions. By the notation ( $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}$ ), $\left(b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{m}\right)$ we mean that the substitution $\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right)$ is performed first; then, upon the result thus obtained, the substitution

$$
\left(b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{m}\right)
$$

is performed. We call the two substitutions, placed in juxtaposition, their product in the given sequence.

If the product $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}4 & 5 & 3\end{array}\right)$ be applied to the digits 12345 , taken in their natural order, the substitution (123) yields the arrangement 23145 . The substitution (453) applied to this result gives the arrangement 341 in 3. But this last arrangement may be obtained from the first by the substitution ( 12453 ). Hence the product of ( 1233$)$ and ( $\begin{array}{l}4 \\ 5\end{array} 53$ ) is equivalent to the single substitution ( $\left.\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 3\end{array}\right)$.

The indicated product $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}4 & 5 \\ 3\end{array}\right)$ may be carried out conveniently as follows: 1 is replaced by 2 in the first substitution, and 2 is not replaced in the second substitution; hence 1 is replaced by 2 in the product Again, 2 is replaced by 3 in the first substitution, 3 is replaced by 4 in the second substitution; hence 2 is replaced by 4 in the product. Likewise, 4 is replaced by 5 in the second substitution and also in the product; 5 is replaced by 3 in the second substitution and in the product. Hence the result of the multiplication is the substitution ( $\left.\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 3\end{array}\right)$.

Ex. 1. Show that ( $\left.\begin{array}{lllll}4 & 5 & 3\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4\end{array}\right)$.
Ex. 2. Show that $(a b c d)(a c d e)=(a b d c e)$.
83. Commutative and Associative Law. Notice that the product of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 3\end{array}\right)\binom{45}{5}$ is not the same as the product of $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}4 & 5 & 3\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right)$. On the other hand. we see that $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}4 & 5\end{array}\right)$ $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}4 & 5\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right)$ and that $(x y)(z w)(x z)(y w)=(x z)(y w)(x y)(z w)$.

Hence it follows that in the multiplication of substitutions the commutative law is not, in general, obeyed. However, we shall find that the associative law is clways obeyed.

Ex. 1. Show that if $s_{a}, s_{b}, s_{c}$ are substitutions,

$$
\left(s_{a} s_{b}\right) s_{r}=s_{a}\left(s_{b} s_{r}\right)=s^{a} s_{b} s_{c} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Assume that } s_{a} \text { replaces an element } p \text { by } q, \\
& \text { that } s_{b} \text { replaces an element } q \text { by } r, \\
& \text { that } s_{c} \text { replaces an element } r \text { by } s, \\
& \text { then } s_{a} s_{b} \text { replaces an element } p \text { by } r, \\
& \text { and } s_{b} s_{c} \text { replaces an element } q \text { by } s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $s_{a} s_{b} s_{\mathrm{c}},\left(s_{a} s_{b}\right) s_{c}, s^{a}\left(s_{b} s_{c}\right)$ each replace $p$ by $s$.
84. Identical Substitution. A substitution which replaces every symbol by that symbol itself is an identicul substitution. Example: $\binom{a_{1} a_{2}\left(a_{3}\right.}{a_{1} a_{2}\left(a_{3}\right.}$, which may also be written $\left(a_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}\right)\left(a_{3}\right)$. In $\left(a_{1}\right)$ the letter $a_{1}$, is at the same time the first and the last letter, hence it is replaced by itself. As the identical substitution plays a rôle analogous to that of unity in the product of numbers, it is usually represented by 1.
85. Inverse Substitutions. The iuverse of a given substitution is one which restores the original arrangement, so that a given substitution and its inverse constitute together an identical substitution. Thus, the inverse of the substitution

$$
s=\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} a_{2} a_{2} \cdots \\
b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}
\end{array} \cdots a_{n}\right) \text { is the substitution }\left(\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}
\end{array} \cdots b_{n}\right) .
$$

Let the inverse of the substitution $s$ be designated by $s^{-1}$. Then the inverse of $s^{-1}$ is $s$. The fact that any substitution, followed by its inverse, gives us the original arrangement may be expressed by the symbolism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s \cdot s^{-1}=s^{0} \\
& s^{-1} \cdot s=s^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have also
where $s^{0}$ signifies an identical substitution, i.e. $s^{0}=1$.

The repetition of a substitution $s$ or $s^{-1}, r$ times, is denoted by $s^{r}$ or $s^{-r}$. Hence exponents are used here in much the same way as are integral exponents in algebra.
86. Cyclic Substitutions. If we suppose the letters of the substitution $\left(a_{1} l_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right)$ to be placed in the given order on the circumference of a circle at equal intervals of $\frac{360^{\circ}}{n}$, the given substitution is equivalent to a positive rotation of the circle through $\frac{360^{\circ}}{n}$. Hence such a substitution is called a cycle, or a cyclic substitution, or a circular substitution. The product ( $u b c \cdots l)(x y z \cdots w)$ is called a substitution of two cycles. Similarly we have substitutions of three or more cycles. The substitution $\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 1 & 2 & 6\end{array}\right)$ consists of the two cycles, (135)(2476); for 1 is replaced by 3,3 by 5,5 by 1 , and we have one cycle; again, 2 is replaced by 4,4 by 7,7 by 6 , 6 by 2 , and we have the second cycle.

In this manner any substitution can be resolved into cycles so that no two cycles have a digit in common. This resolution can be effected in only one way.

A cycle may consist of a single element, say (5). The substitution $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 5\end{array}\right)$ may also be written (1 344$)(2)(5)$, or (134)25, or (134).

Ex. 1. Find the cycles of the substitution $\binom{a b c d \rho f g h}{$ clafybhe } .
Ex. 2. Verify the relations $(a c b)(a b c)=1,(a b c)(a b c)=(a c b),(a b)(a c$, $=(a b c),(b c)(a c b)=(a c),(b c)(b c)=1,(a b c)(a c b)=1$.

Ex. 3. In which of the following products is the commutative law obeyed: $(a b c)(a c),(b c)(a c b),(b c a)(b a c)$ ?

Ex. 4. Write the inverse of (abcde).
87. Finite Number of Distinct Substitutions. The number of distinct substitutions which can be performed upon a finute number of elements $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}$ is finite, for the number of substitutions cannot exceed the number of permutations, and this is known to be finite. Hence, if upon $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}$ we perform an unlimited series of substitutions $s, s^{2}, s^{3}, s^{4}, \cdots$, the results of those substitutions camnot all be distinct. There will be certain powers of $s$ which give the same result as does $s$ itself. Let $m+1$ be the lowest power of this kind, then $s^{m+1}=s$. This may be written $s^{\prime \prime} \cdot s=s$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{m} s s^{-1} \\
&=s s^{-1}=s^{0}=1, \\
& s^{m}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

and
We call $n$ the order of the substitution.
The order of " sulsstitution is the least power of the substitution which is equivalent to the identucal substitution.

If

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
s=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & : & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 1
\end{array}\right), \text { then } s^{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & : & 4 \\
3 & 1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right), s^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
4 & 1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right), \\
s^{4} & =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4
\end{array}\right), s^{s}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array} 4\right. \\
2 & 3
\end{array} 4
$$

Hence $m+1=5, m=4$, and $s^{4}=s^{0}=1, s^{6}=s^{2}$, and generally, $s^{4 n+r}=s^{r}$.

This substitution $s$ is cyclic. It is evident, that the order of " 1 cyclic or circular substitution is equal to the unmber of its elements (digits).

If $s=(123)(45)$, then $s^{2}=(132), s^{3}=(45), s^{4}=(12: 8)$, $s^{5}=(132)(45), s^{6}=1$. Hence the order is 6 .

If $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, \cdots$ denote the number of elements in the successive cycles of a substitution, then its order is a number exactly divisible by each of the numbers $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, \cdots$; that is, its order is the least common multiple of $\mu_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, \cdots$.

Ex. 1. Show by actual substitution that the order of $s=(12)(345)$. (6789) is 12 or the L. C. M. of $2,3,4$.
88. Theorem. The product $t^{-1}$ st may be conveniently obtained from the substitutions $s$ and $t$ by performing upon each cycle of $s$ the substitution $t$.

Let

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
s & =(a b c \cdots)\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime} c^{\prime} \cdots\right) \cdots \\
t & =\left(\begin{array}{l}
a b c \cdots a^{\prime} b^{\prime} c^{\prime} \cdots \\
a \beta \gamma
\end{array} \cdots \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \cdots\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Take any one of the letters $\mu, \beta, \gamma, \cdots, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}, \cdots$, say $\beta$. Sy $t^{-1}, \beta$ is replaced by $b$; by $s, b$ is replaced by $c$; by $t, c$ is replaced by $\gamma$. Hence by $t^{-1} s t, \beta$ is replaced by $\gamma$.

Now, if by $t$ we substitute $\beta$ for $b$ and $\gamma$ for $c$ in the cycles of $s$, then, instead of the sequence $b c$, we have in $s$ the sequence $\beta \gamma$, which replaces $\beta$ by $\gamma$, as before. $\Lambda$ s this consideration applies not to $\beta$ alone, but to any letter, the theorem is establishecl.

In the operation $t^{-1} s t, t$ is said to $t r a n s f o r m s$; the operation is called a tiansformation.

Ex. 1. If $s=(123)(4567), t=(5723)$, then $t^{-1}=(3275)$. To illustrate the theorem just proved, apply $t^{-1}$ to the arrangement 1234567 and we get $172436 \%$. To this result apply the substitution $s$, and we have 243517 6. To this last arrangement apply $t$, and we obtain finally 3457126 .

This same final arrangement is obtained more eassly, if im plare of performing the three substitutions, we perform upon the arrangement 1234567 only one substitution, numely $s^{\prime}=(135)(472) \quad$ Now $s^{\prime}$ is gotten from $s$ by performug upon each cycle of $s$ the substitation $t$.

Ex. 2. If $s=(12: 3)(4567)$ and $t=(2437)$, find $t^{-1} s t$ by theorem


Ex. 3 If $s=(n b)(c l) . t=(n h r)$, determine the result of operating with $t^{-1}$ st upon the arrangement $a b c a$.
89. Transpositions. A transposition is a cyclic substitution containing two elements. Thus, (ab), (bc), (12) are fanspositions.

Ex 1 Show that the square of any transposition is the idention与ubatults.in, ie. 1.
90. Theorem. A substitution may be expressed as the product of transpositions in con unlimited number of ways.

We can easily verify that

$$
(123 \cdots n)=(12)(13) \cdots(1 n)
$$

and that $(123)(4567) \cdots=(12)(13)(45)(46)(47) \cdots$.
From this it appears that every substitution can be expressed as the product of transpositions.

The number of ways of doing this is unlimited, for between any two transpositions just found we may interpolate the indicated square of any transposition without modifying the substitution; or we may prefix or amnex the square of any transposition, and we may continue this cel libitum. Thus,

$$
a b c=(a b)(c w)=(c \alpha)(c a)(c b)(b c)(b c)(a c) .
$$

91. Theorem. The mumber of transpositions into which a substitution is resolvable is either always even or aluays odd.

The effect of any transposition, say ( $\ell_{1}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)$ upon the square root of the discriminant, $\sqrt{ } I$, is to change its sign. To show this write (§ 77 )

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sqrt{ } \bar{D}=\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{4}\right) \cdots\left(\mu_{1}-\alpha_{n}\right), \\
\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{4}\right) \cdots\left(\mu_{2}-\alpha_{n}\right), \\
\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}\right) \cdots\left(\mu_{3}-\alpha_{n}\right), \\
\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\
\left(\mu_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

The transposition $\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)$ alters the sign of the factor $\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)$ and interchanges the remaining factors of the first row with the factors of the second row. The factors in the remaining rows remain unaltered. Hence the sign of $\sqrt{\bar{D}}$ is reversed by a single transposition.

Since any substitution can be expressed as the product of transpositions, the effect of any substitution on $\sqrt{D}$ must be
either to alter or not to alter its sign. If the sign of $\sqrt{D}$ remains unchanged, the substitution must contain an even number of transpositions; if the sign of $\sqrt{D}$ is changed, the number of transpositions must be orld. Hence no substitution is capable of being expressed both by an even and by an odd number of transpositions.
92. Even and Odd Substitutions. A substitution expressible as the product of an even number of transpositions is called an eren substitution ; one expressible by an odd number of transpositions is called an odd sulstitution. Identical substitutions are classified as even.

Ex. 1. Are the following substitutions odd or even ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 6 & 4
\end{array}\right), s^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
4 & 6 & 7 & 5
\end{array}\right), \\
& s^{\prime \prime}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
4 & 5 & 6
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right), s^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4
\end{array}\right)^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

* Ex. 2. Show that any substitution transforms an even substitution into an even substitution. Nee $\S 88$.

93. Theorem. Ill even substitutions can be expressed as the prorluct of cyrlic substitutions of ihee elements.

If two transpostions have one element in common, we have an equality like the following:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

If two transpositions have no element in common, we have the following relation :

$$
(12)(34)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 & 4
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus, since any two pairs of transpositions are expressible in terms of cyclic substitutions of three elements each, it follows that any even substitution can be thus expressed.

Ex. 1. Express the even substitution ( 1234 ) ( 2456 ) as the product of cyclic substitutions of three elements.

## CHAPTER XI

## SUBSTITUTION-GROUPS

94. Example of a Group. The substitutions

$$
1,\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

are distinct and possess the property that the product of any two of them, in whichever sequence they are taken, is equal to one of the three. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right)=1 \\
& 1\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right) 1=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right) \\
& 1\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right) 1=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the square of any substitution gives a substitution in the set. For, $(123)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 3\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 3\end{array}\right)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right), 1^{2}=1$. The three substitutions $J$, possessing these properties, are said to form a group.
95. Definition of Substitution-group. A set of distinct sul)stitutions, the product of any two and the square of any one of which belong to the set, is called a group of substitutions, or a substitution-group.

When using the term group we shall always mean a substi-tution-group.

The substitutions (1 2 2 ), ( $\left.\begin{array}{ll}1 & 3\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right)$ do not form a group; for, while each substitution is distinct and while some of the products yield substitutions in the set, others do not. Thus, $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 3\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ yields ( $\left.1: 32\right)$, which does not belong to the set.

Ex. 1. Prove that the product of three or more substitutions of a group is a substitution belonging to the group.
96. Degree and Order of a Group. The number of elements (letters or digits) operated on by the substitutions of a group is called the degree of the group. The number of substitutions in a group is called the order of a group. Thus, the group
1, (abc), (acb), (ab), (ac), (bc)
involves the three elements $a, b, c$ and has six substitutions. Hence it is of the third degree and sixth order.

Ex. 1. Tell the degree and order of the group 1 , (ur) (bll).
Ex. 2. Prove that the identical substitution satisfies the conditions of a group.

Ex. 3. Show that any positive integral power of a substitution of a group is a substitution of that group.

Ex. 4. Prove that the identical substitution belongs to every group.

* Ex. 5. Prove that the inverse of any substitution in a group belongs to the group.

Ex. 6. Every substitution $s$ in a group is equal to the product of two substitutions of the group.
97. Theorem. Upon the distinct letter's $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}$ there can be querformed $11!$ substitutions which form a group.

From elementary algenra we know that the total number of permutations of $n$ distinct letters, taken all at a time, is

$$
u(n-1)(n-2) \cdots 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1=u!.
$$

Take any one permutation $P$. We may change it into any one of the other permutations by performing a substitution. But for no two of these other $n!-1$ permutations is the substitution the same. Hence there must be one less than $u$ ! such substitutions. Counting in the identical substitution, we have in all $n$ ! substitutions.

These $u$ ! substitutions form a group. For with any one of them operate upon the permutation $P$, then upon the result thus obtained operate with the same or any other substitution. The second result will, of course, be some one of the $n$ ! permu-
tations which can be obtained from the permutation $P$ directly by performing one of the given substitutions. Thus it follows that the product of any two substitutions or the square of any substitution is equivalent to one of the given substitutions.

Ex. 1. The letters $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ admit of the six permatations, $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}, a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}$, $a_{2} a_{1} a_{3}, a_{2} a_{3} f_{1}, a_{3} a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3} a_{2} a_{1}$. Show that these six permutations are obtained, respectively, from $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ by performing the substitutions 1 , ( $\left.a_{1}\right)\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right),\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)\left(a_{3}\right),\left(a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\right),\left(a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}\right),\left(a_{1} a_{3}\right)\left(a_{2}\right)$. Show that these substitutions form a group.
98. Symmetric Functions and Symmetric Group. A symmetric function of $n$ letters $a_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{n}$, bemg unaltered in value when any two of the letters are interchanged, undergoes no change in value when it is operated on by a substitution belonging to the group given in the preceding theorem. Because of this invariance the symmetric function is said to belong to that group, and the group bear's the name of symmetric group.

Ex. 1. By applying each of the substitutions of the symmetric group $1,\left(a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\right),\left(a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}\right),\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right),\left(a_{1} \mu_{3}\right),\left(a_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)$, show the invariance of the symmetric function, $a_{1} a_{2}+a_{1} a_{3}+a_{2} a_{3}$.
99. Theorem. All even sulstitutions of $n$ letters form together a group.

Even substitutions are each resolvable into the product of an even number of transpositions, $\S 92$. Hence the product of any two of them and the square of any one of them yield even substitutions.

Ex. 1. With the letters $a, b, c$ we can form three transpositions ( $a b$ ), (ac), (bc). Taking the products of every two of these in either sequence and the square of every transposition, we obtain the following distinct substitutions, all even, which form a group:

$$
1,(a b c),(a c b) .
$$

Ex. 2. Show that the odd substitutions of $n$ letters do not form a group.
100. Alternating Functions and Alternating Groups. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}$ be $n$ magnitudes, all different. A function of these, such that an interchange of any two of them changes the sign of the function, is called an alternating function.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Example: } \begin{array}{r}
\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)\left(a_{1}-a_{4}\right) \\
\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right)\left(a_{2}-a_{4}\right) \\
\cdot
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
\left(a_{1}-a_{n}\right) \\
\left.\cdot \cdot \cdot a_{2}-a_{n}\right) \\
\cdot
\end{array} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\
\left(a_{n-1}-a_{n}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

An even substitution performed upon this function will not alter its value. For, an even substitution, which consists of an even number of transpositions, will reverse the sign of the function an even number of times, and will, therefore, restore the function to the original sign.

Since the even substitutions of $n$ letters leave an alternating function unaltered in value while all the odd substitutions reverse its sign, the group comprising all these even substitutions is called the alternating gromp of the $n$th degree. Because of this invariance for all the even substitutions, but for no others, the alternating function is said to belong to the alternating group.

[^5]101. Cyclic Functions and Cyclic Groups. The powers of any substitution form a group. The number of distinct substitutions $s, s^{2}, s^{3}, \cdots$, resulting from taking the different powers of the substitution $s$, cannot exceed the order of the substitution (§ 87). If this order is $m$, then $s^{n}=1$. If, therefore, we square any one of the $m$ distinct substitutions, or multiply any two of them together, the result is always one of the $m$ distinct substitutions. Heuce the $m$ distinct substitutions $s, s^{2}, s^{3}, \cdots, s^{m}$ are a group.

The powers of the cyclic substitution of $n$ letters ( $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}$ ) constitute the cyclic group of the degree $n$.

A function of $n$ letters which is unchanged in value by all the substitutions of the cyclic group, but by no others, is called a cyclic function. The simplest cyclic function belonging to the cyclic group of the degree $n$ is

$$
a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{2}+a_{2} a_{3}{ }^{2}+\cdots+a_{n-1} a_{n}{ }^{2}+a_{n} a_{1}^{2} .
$$

Ex. 1. Show that the function $a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{2}+a_{2} a_{3}{ }^{2}+a_{3} a_{1}{ }^{2}$ belongs to the cyclic group $1,\left(a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\right),\left(a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}\right)$.
Ex. 2. Show that $\left(a_{1}+a_{2} \omega+a_{3} \omega^{2}\right)^{3}$ belongs to the cyclic group of degree $3, \omega$ being a complex cube root of unty.
Ex. 3. By raising ( $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}$ ) to powers find the cyclic group of the degree 4.
102. Transitive and Intransitive Groups. In the group

$$
1,\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)(34),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
2
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 4
\end{array}\right)(23)
$$

tne second substitution replaces 1 by 2 , the third replaces 1 by 3 , the fourth replaces 1 by 4 . Similarly, by means of these substitutions the digits 2 , 3 , or 4 can be changed into every other digit operated on by the substitutions in the group. This group is said to be transitive.

A substitution group is called transitive when it permits any element to be replaced by every other.

A group that is not transitive is called intransitive. As an example of the latter we give the following group,
1, (13), (2 4), (13)(2 4).

Here neither 1 nor 3 can ever be replaced by either 2 or 4 .
103. Primitive and Imprimitive Groups. If in the transitive group consisting of the six substitutions

$$
\begin{gathered}
1,(123456),(135)(246),(14)(25)(36),(153)(264), \\
(16542)
\end{gathered}
$$

the digits are divided into the two sets $1,3,5$ and $2,4,6$, then we notice that each of the three substitutions ( 123456 ), (14)(25)(36), and (165432) replaces the digits of one set by the digits of the other set, while each of the two substitutions (135)(246), (153)(264) simply interchanges the digits of one set anong themselves. This group is called inprimitive.
A transitive group is called imprimitive when its elements can be divided into sets of an equal number of distinct elements, so that every substitution either replaces all the elements of one set by all the elements of another, or simply interchanges the elements of one set among themselves. Otherwise it is primitive. Example of a primitive group:
1, (123), (132).

There are three imprimitive groups of degree four, twelve of degree six, and no mprimitive groups of degree two, three, and five.

Ex. 1. Show that no group whose degree is a prime number can be imprimitive.
104. List of Groups of Degree Two, Three, Four, and Five. We give here a list of the groups of the first five degrees, omitting only the group 1. By $G_{q}{ }^{(p)}$ we mean a group of the degree $p$ and order $q$. We give also the notation for groups used by Cayley and others. In their notation the symmetric group of degree four is designated by (abcd) all ; cyc means "cyclic" substitution; pos means "positive" or even substitution. For a list of all groups whose degree does not exceed eight, see Am. Jour. of Math., Vol. 21 (1899), p. 326. In the list of groups of degree $n$, we give only those which actually involve $n$ letters. But it must be understood that any group involving less than $n$ letters may be taken as an intransitive group of the $n$th degree. For instance, $G_{2}{ }^{(2)}=1,(a b)$ may be written as a group of the third degree, this: $1,(a b)(c)$.

Degref Two.

$$
G_{2}^{(2)}=(a b) \text { all } \equiv 1,(a b) .
$$

Degree Three.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{6}^{(3)}=(a b c) a l l \equiv 1,(a b c),(a c b),(a b),(a c),(b c) . \\
& G_{\mathrm{a}}^{(i)}=(a b c) \cdot y c . \equiv 1,(a b c),(a c b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Degree Four.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{24}{ }^{(4)}=(u b c d) \text { all } \equiv(u b c d) \text { pos. }+(u b),(c d),(u c b d),(u l b c), \\
& \text { (bc), (ad), (accll), (abdc), (ac), (bd), (abrcl), (adcb). } \\
& G_{12}{ }^{(4)}=(u b c d) \text { pos. } \equiv 1,(a b)(c d), \quad(u c)(b d),(u l)(b c), \quad(a b c), \\
& \text { (acll), (blct), (adb), (acb), (bcd), (abd), } \\
& \text { (rulc). } \\
& G_{s}^{(4)}=(a b c l)_{8} \equiv 1, \quad(a c)(l d l), \quad(a c), \quad(b d), \quad(a b)(c d), \quad(a d)(b c), \\
& \text { ( } u b c d \text { ), ( ( } u l c b) \text {. } \\
& G_{4}{ }_{4}^{(4)} \mathrm{I}=(a b c d) \mathrm{cyc} . \equiv 1,(a c)(b d),(a b c l),(u d c b) . \\
& G_{4}^{u_{4}^{(4)} \mathrm{II}}=\left(a b c()_{4} \equiv 1,(a b)(c d),(a c)(b t),(a d)(b c) .\right. \\
& G_{t}^{(4)} \mathrm{II}=(a l) \cdot c(l) \equiv 1,(a l)(c d),(a b),(c l) . \\
& G_{v}^{(t)}=(a c \cdot b r l) \equiv 1,(\omega c)(b l l) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Degref Five.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (abec), (ubde), (abed), (arbd), (acdb), } \\
& \text { (acbe), (acel), (acle), (aced), (adbc), } \\
& \text { (alch), (allbe), (adleb), (adce), (adec), } \\
& \text { (aebc), (uecl), (uebl), (apılb), (uecl), } \\
& \text { (ctelc), (becle), (bdce), (brell), (bdec), } \\
& \text { (bect), (belc), (abc)(de), (cub)(de), } \\
& \text { (abll) (ce), (culb)(ce), (able)(cl), (ceb). } \\
& \text { (cd), (ccd )(be), (adc)(be), (ace) (bd), } \\
& (a e c)(b d),(a d \rho)(b c),(a e d)(b c),(b c d) \text {. } \\
& \text { (ae), (budc)(cee), (bce)(ud), (bec)(ad), } \\
& \text { (bele)(uc), (bed)(ac), (cde)(ab), (cel). } \\
& \text { (ab), (ab), (ac), (ad), (ae), (bc), (bd), } \\
& \text { (be), (cl), (ce), (de). }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{G}_{60}{ }^{(5)}=(a b c(l e) p o s . \equiv 1, \quad(a b c d e), \quad(a b c e d), \quad(c b d e c), \quad(a b d c e), \\
& \text { (abecd), (aberlr), (acble), (ucbed), } \\
& \text { (acdbe), (acdeb), (acebd), (acedb), } \\
& \text { (adceb), (ulcbe), (udecb), ( aulebc), } \\
& \text { (adbec), (adbce), (clebrd), (upbrdc), } \\
& \text { (aecbd), (aecdl)), (aedcb), (uedbc), } \\
& \text { (abc), (acb), (acd), (udc), (cule), (aed), } \\
& \text { (abd), (adb), (abe), (aeb), (ace), (aec), } \\
& \text { (bcd), (bdc), (bde), (bud), (bee), (bec), } \\
& \text { (cde), (cedl), (ab)(cd), (cub)(ce), (ctb)(de), } \\
& \text { (ac)(bd), (ac)(be), (ac)(de), ( (te)(bd), } \\
& \text { (ae) }(b c),(a e)(c d),(r d)(b c),(a d)(b e), \\
& (a d)(c e),(b r)(d e),(b d)(c e),(b e)(c d) . \\
& G_{: 20^{(5)}}=(a b c d e)_{20} \equiv 1, \quad(a b c d e), \quad(a c e b d), \quad(a d b e c), \quad(a e d c b), \\
& \text { (bcerl), (acbe), (aecd), (abrlc), (cdeb), } \\
& \text { (bdec), (cdce), (abel), (aebc), (acdb), } \\
& \text { (be)(cd), (ue)(bd), (ud)(bc), (ac)(de), } \\
& \text { ( } c l \text { ) }(c e) \text {. } \\
& G_{12}{ }^{(s)}=(a b c) \text { all }(d e) \equiv 1,(a b c),(a c b),(a b c)(d e),(a c b)(d e), \\
& \text { (ab)(de), (ac)(de), (bc)(de), (ab), } \\
& \text { (ccc), (bc), (cle). } \\
& G_{10}{ }^{(5)}=(a b c d e)_{10} \equiv 1, \quad(a b c d e), \quad(a c e b d), \quad(a d b e c), \quad(a e r l c b), \\
& (b e)(c d),(u e)(b d),(a d)(b c),(a c)(d e), \\
& \text { ( } u b \text { )(ce). }
\end{aligned}
$$

$G_{e}{ }^{s} \mathrm{I}=\{(a b c)$ all $(d e)\} \quad \operatorname{pos} \equiv 1, \quad(a b c), \quad(a c b), \quad(a b)(d e)$, (ac)(de), (bc)(de).
$G_{e}{ }^{5} \mathrm{II}=(a b c)$ cyc. $(d e) \equiv 1, \quad(d e), \quad(a b c), \quad(a b c)(d e), \quad(a c b)$, (acb)(de).
$G_{s}{ }^{(3)}=(a b c d e) c y c . \equiv 1,(a b c d e),(a c e b d),(a d b e c),(a e d c b)$.

Ex. 1. Show that the order of any alternating group is $\frac{n!}{2}$, where $n$ is
the degree of the group. the degree of the group.

Ex. 2. Tell by the orders of the groups which of the groups of the first five degrees are the symmetric, which are the alternating gronps.

Ex. 3. By inspection, find which of the groups of the degrees two, three, and four are transitive, intranstive, primitive, imprmitive.

Ex. 4. Show that the mprimitive group in § $10: 3$ may have its elements divided into the three sets 1,$4 ; 2,5 ; 3,6$, and that it is imprimitive with respect to these sets.

Ex. 5. Show that, of the gloups of the fifth degree, three are intransitive, viz. $G_{12}{ }^{(5)}, G_{6}{ }^{(5)} I, G_{6}{ }^{(5)}$ II
 plying every substitution of the group 1 , (al) by every substitution of the group 1, (cd).

* Ex. 7. Show that the intransitive group $\boldsymbol{G}_{6}^{(5)} I I$ is obtained by multiplying the substitutions of the group 1, (abe), (acb) by the substitutions of the group $1,(d e)$; that $\theta_{8}{ }^{(5)} I$ is the product of the group 1 , (aloc), (arb) and the group 1, $(a b)(d e)$; that $G_{12}{ }^{(5)}$ is the product of $G_{6}{ }^{(3)}$ and the group 1, (de).

Ex. 8. Show that a group of the third degree may be regarded as an intransitive group of a higher degree.
105. Sub-groups. The alternating group of degree 4 is (§ 104)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\cdot 1,(12)(34),(13)(24),(14)(23),(123),(132),(134) \\
(142),(124),(143),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 4 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We observe that, of the 12 substitutions, the following four make up a smaller group of their own :

$$
1,(12)(34),(13)(24),(14)(23) .
$$

Thus we may have groups within groups. If from the substitutions of a group we can pick a set which form a group all by themselves, this second group is called a sub-group of the first. The terms group and sub-group are only relative. A sub-group considered by itself is called a group, and a group may, in turn, be a sub-group of another of still higher order.

Ex. 1. By inspection, find sub-groups of

$$
1,(x y)(z w),(x z)(y w),(x w)(y z) .
$$

Ex. 2. How many sub-groups has ( $\mathbf{f}_{24}{ }^{(4)}$ ? See $\S 104$.
Ex. 3. How many sub-groups has $\left(7_{12} 2^{(4)}\right.$ ?
Ex. 4. What sub-groups has (abcle $)_{10}$ ? (abc) all (de)? (abcde) all?
106. Theorem. The order of a sub-group is a factor of the order of the group to which it belongs.

Let the substitutions of the sub-group be $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, \cdots, s_{n}$, and let $t$ be any substitution of the group which does not occur in the sub-group. Then, by the definition of a group, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1} t, s_{2} t, s_{n} t, \cdots, s_{n} t \tag{T}
\end{equation*}
$$

are all substitutions belonging to the group, but none of them belong to the sub-group; for suppose $s_{1} t=s_{r}$, then

$$
s_{1}^{-1} s_{1}=s_{1}^{-1} s_{1} t=t
$$

Since $s_{1}{ }^{-1}$ is a substitution of the sub-group (see Ex. $5, \S 96$ ), it follows that its product with $s_{r}$, namely $t$, belongs to the subgroup - which is contrary to supposition.

Moreover, the new substitutions in I are all distinct; for suppose $s_{2} t=s_{5} t$, then it would follow that $s_{2}=s_{5}$.

If the substitutions in I do not exhaust the substitutions in the group not belonging to the sub-group, then suppose the substitution $t_{1}$ is among those left over. Then

$$
s_{1} t_{1}, s_{2} t_{1}, s_{3} t_{1}, \cdots, s_{n} t_{1},
$$II

are distinct substitutions of the group not found in the list $s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{n}$ for reasons just mentioned; nor are they found in I; for suppose $s_{1} t=s_{2} t_{1}$, then $t_{1}=s_{2}{ }^{-1} s_{1} t=s_{1} t$, which is some substitution in I, a conclusion contrary to the assumption concerning $t_{1}$. Continuing in this way, the substitutions of the group are divided into sets of $n$ substitutions each. As the number
of substitutions is assumed to be finite, this process must come to an end, aud we have the sets

| $s_{1}$, | $s_{2}$, | $s_{3}$, | $\cdots$, | $s_{n}$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s_{1} t_{1}$ | $s_{2} t$, | $s_{3} t$, | $\cdots$, | $s_{n} t_{n}$, |
| $s_{1} t_{1}$, | $s_{2} t_{1}$, | $s_{3} t_{1}$, | $\cdots$, | $s_{n} t_{1}$, |
| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| $s_{1} t_{m}$, | $s_{2} t_{m}$, | $s_{3} t_{m}$, | $\cdots$, | $\cdot$ |

The total number of substitutions in the group is therefore $n$ times the number of sets, or $(m+2) n$. But $(m+2) n$ is the order of the group, and $n$, the order of the sub-group. Hence the order of the sub-group is a factor of the order of the group.
107. Index of a Sub-group. If $n$ is the order of a group $G$ and $m$ the order of a sub-group $G_{1}$, the quotient $\frac{n}{m}$ is called the index of $G_{1}$ under $G$. Thus the index of an alternating group under the symmetric group of the same degree is $n!\div \frac{n!}{2}=2$.

Ex. 1. Give the index of every group of the fifth degree under the symmetric group.

Ex. 2. Show that a group whose order is prime can have no sub-group (except the substitution 1).
108. Normal Sub-groups. - If $G_{1}$ is a sub-group of $G$, and $s$ any substitution of $G$ which does not occur in $G_{1}$, the groups $G_{1}$ and $s^{-1} G_{1} s$ are called comjugate sub-groups of $G$. By the transformation $s^{-1} G_{1} s$, we mean the result obtained by subjecting every substitution $s_{1}$ of the sub-group $G_{1}$ to the transformation $s^{-1} s_{1} s$.

If $G_{1}$ and $s^{-1} G_{1} s$ are identical to each other, whatever substitution $s$ is of $G, G_{1}$ is called a normal sub-group, or a self-conjugate sub-group, or an invariant sub-group of $G$.
109. Simple Groups. - A simple group is one which has no normal sub-groups, other than the group consisting of the identical substitution.

It can be shown that the alternating group of every degree above four is simple ( $\$ 198$ ). It is readhly seen that all groups whose order is a prime number are simple. There are only six groups whose orders are not prime numbers and do not exceed 1092, which are simple, viz., the groups of the orders 60,168 , $360,504,660,1092$. Those of order 60 and 360 are alternating groups of the degrees five and six, respectively.

A group which is not simple is called composite.
Ex. 1. Find the groups conjugate to $G_{2}^{(4)}$ under $G_{12}{ }^{(4)}$.
If we transform $s_{1}=(a c)(b c)$ by $s=(a b c)$, we get $s^{-1} s_{1} s=(a b)(c d)$.
In the same way transforming $s_{1}=1$, we get 1 . Hence a group) conjugate to $G_{2}{ }^{(4)}$ is 1 , $(a b)(c d)$. We obtain the same conjugate group by taking for $s$ the substitutions (acd) and ( (udb).

The transformation of $s^{-1 /\left(y^{4}\right)} s$, where $s=$ (bar), yields the conjugate sub-group $(a d)(b c), 1$. The same result is obtained if we take $s=(a c b)$, (bed), (abd), or ( addc).
'laking $s=(a c)(b d)$ or $(a d)(b r)$, the conjugate groups obtained are rdentical with $G_{2}{ }^{(4)}$. The distinct conjugate sub-groups of $\boldsymbol{G}_{2}{ }^{(4)}$ under $\boldsymbol{G}_{12}{ }^{(4)}$ are, therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1,(a c)(b d), \\
& 1,(a l)(c d), \\
& 1,(a d)(b c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $G_{2}{ }^{(4)}$ is not a normal sub-group of $G_{12}{ }^{(4)}$.
Ex. 2. Find the conjugate groups of ( $\boldsymbol{r}_{2}{ }^{(4)}$ under $\boldsymbol{f}_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ I.
Ex. 3. Find the conjugrate groups of $G_{6}{ }^{(5)}$ II under $\left(r_{12}{ }^{(5)}\right.$.
Ex. 4. Find the conjugate groups of $G_{6^{(5)}}$ I under $G_{12}{ }^{(5)}$.
Ex. 5. By actual trial show that $G_{3}{ }^{(3)}$ is a normal sub-group of $G_{6}{ }^{(3)}$; that $G_{2}{ }^{(4)}$ is a normal sub-group of $G_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ II ; that $G_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ II is a normal subgroup of $G_{8}^{(4)}$; that $G_{4}^{(4)}$ I is a normal sul)-group of $G_{8}^{\prime}{ }^{(4)}$.

Ex. 6. Show that every group has identity as a normal sub-group.
Ex. 7. Prove that the alternating group $\mathcal{T}^{(n)} \frac{1}{2} n!$ is a normal sub-group of the symmetric group $G^{(n)}{ }^{(n)}$ See Ex. 2, §92.

Ex. 8. Prove that a cyclic group of prime degree is simple.
Ex. 9. Prove that the alternating gronp embraces all circular substitutions of odd order, but none of even order.

Ex. 10. The substitutions common to two groups constitute a group by themselves, the order of which is a factor of the orders of the two given groups.
110. Normal Sub-groups of Prime Index. Of special interest in the theory of equations are the series of groups

$$
P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots, P_{v}, P_{v+1}, \cdots, 1
$$

so related to each other that each group $P_{i+1}$ is a normal subgroup of the preceding group $P_{i}$, the index of $P_{\imath+1}$ under $P_{i}$ being a prime number. Such an assemblage of groups is called a principal series of composition. If the restriction of a prime index is removed, then the assemblage is called simply a series of comprosition.

Ex. 1. Show that a principal series of composition is (a) for groups of the third degree, $G_{6}{ }^{(3)},\left(t_{3}^{(3)}, 1,(b)\right.$ for groups of the fourth degree, $\left(C_{24}{ }^{(4)}\right.$, ${ }^{\left(7_{12}\right.}{ }^{(4)}, G_{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{II}, G_{2}{ }^{(4)}, 1$.

Ex. 2. Show that, for the group of the fifth degree $C_{20}{ }_{20}^{(5)}$, a principal series of composition is $T_{20}{ }^{(5)}, G_{10}{ }^{(5)}, G_{5}{ }^{(5)}, 1$.

Ex. 3. Show that $G_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ II is a normal sub-group of $G_{8}{ }^{(4)}$, $G_{12}(4)$, and $\mathrm{G}_{24}{ }^{(4)}$.
111. Functions which belong to a Group. When $G_{1}$ is a subgroup of $G$, a rational function of $n$ letters $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ is said to belong to $G_{1}$, if the function is unaltered in value by the substitutions of $G_{1}$, but is altered by all other substitutions of $G$.*

[^6]$$
\alpha_{0}^{2} \alpha_{2}=\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=\ell_{1}=\alpha_{0}^{2}
$$

We have seen that the alternating group, regarded as a sub group of the symmetric group, has the alternating function which belongs to it ( $\$ 100$ ). Sumilarly the ryelic group, re garded as a sul-group of the symmetric: group, has the cychc function whech belomys to it (\$ 101). The cyelic function stil belongs to the eyclie group when the latter is considered as a sub-group of a sulb-group of the symmetric group.

The function $x_{1}+x_{3}-x_{2}-x_{4}$ belongs to the group 1, (13) (2 4) when this group is taken as a sub-group of $1,(13)(24)$ (12)(34), (14)(23), but the function no longer belongs to that group when considered as a sub-group of the symmetric group; for the substitution (13) orcurs in the symmetric group but not in the given sulb-group, and yet ( $1: 3$ ) leaves the func tion unchanged. When we say that a function belongs to a group, but do not mention of what other group the given group is a sub-group, we shall understand that it is under the symmetrie
112. To find Functions which belong to a Group. Let $G_{1}$ be a sub-group of $G, G$ being of the degree $n$, and let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha$, be distinct quantities. Let also

$$
\rho=f\left(\mu_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)
$$

be a rational function which may have rational coefficients and which will assume a different value for every substitution of the group ( ${ }^{\prime}$. If the order of the sub-group ( $x_{1}$ is $m$, we obtain on operating upon $\rho$ with the substitutions in $G_{1}, m$ distinct values,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2!}, \cdots, \rho_{m-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If now we operate upon the functions I by any substitution in $G_{1}$, these quautities are merely permuted among themselves: for, any value $\rho^{\prime}$ thus obtained as the result of two substitutions, $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, of the sub-group $G_{1}^{\prime}$, is the same as that obtained from $\rho$ by the simple substitution, $s_{3}=s_{1} \cdot s_{2}$, of this sub-group.

These facts point to the unexpected conclusion that, in the theory muder development, the equation $f(x)=0$ may represent a more general case when the coefticients are particular numbers than when they are variables. See § $\Omega$.

If, however, we apply to the functions I a substitution of $G$ which does not oncur in $G_{1}$, we obtain a series of functions

$$
\rho^{\prime}, \rho_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \rho_{m-1}^{\prime}
$$

of which at least $\rho^{\prime}$ does not occur in I. For, if $\rho^{\prime}$ did occur in I, we would have two identical functions, distinct from $\rho$, resulting from the appheation to $\rho$ of two different substitutions. This is impossible.
If now we form a new function $\psi$ thus,

$$
\psi \equiv(t-\rho)\left(t-\rho_{1}\right) \cdots\left(t-\rho_{m-1}\right),
$$

where $t$ is a variable, it is evident that $\psi$ remains invariant when operated ou by the substitutions of the sul)-group $G_{1}$, but varies for any substitution in $G_{i}$ which does not occur in $G_{1}$. Hence $\psi$ is a function which belongs to $G_{1}$, takeu as a sulb-group of $G$.
We are at liberty to assign to $t$ any rational value which will keep $\psi$ distinct from any value obtained for it by application to $\psi$ of a substitution in $G$ that is not in $G_{1}$. One such value is $t=0$.
113. This method of findug functions belonging to a group does not usually furnish simple results directly, as will be seen from the following example.

Ex. 1. Form a function of $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \mu_{4}$, which belongs to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{2}^{2} 2^{4}\right) & \equiv 1,(13)(24), \text { taken as a sub-group of } \\
\left(f_{4}^{(4)} I I\right. & \equiv 1,(13)(24),(12)(34),(14)(23) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $\rho=c_{1} \ell_{1}+c_{2} \ell_{2}+c_{3} \ell_{3}+c_{4} \ell_{4}$, such that $\rho$ assumes four distinct values for the substitutions of $\left({ }_{14}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{II}\right.$. The substitutions of $G_{2}{ }^{(\boldsymbol{(})}$ applied to $\rho$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =c_{1} \ell_{1}+c_{2} \ell_{2}+c_{3} \ell_{3}+c_{4} \alpha_{4}, \\
\rho_{1} & =c_{1} \ell_{3}+c_{2} \ell_{4}+c_{3} \ell_{1}+c_{4} \ell_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi=(t-\rho)\left(t-\rho_{1}\right)=t^{2}-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)\left(t c_{1}+t c_{3}\right)-\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right)\left(t c_{2}+t c_{4}\right) \\
& +\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2}\right) c_{1} 1_{3}+\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) c_{2} r_{4} \\
& +\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}\right)+\mu_{2} \alpha_{4}\left(c_{2}^{2}+c_{4}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}\right)\left(c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3} c_{4}\right)+\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}\right)\left(c_{1} c_{4}+c_{2} c_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\psi$ is a required function. By inspection we see that $\psi$ is composed of parts which are themselves tunctions of the kind sought tor. These parts are

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \left(\mu_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)\left(t c_{1}+t c_{3}\right)-\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right)\left(t c_{2}+t c_{4}\right), \\
& \left(\kappa_{1}^{2}+\mu_{6}^{2}\right) r_{1} c_{3}+\left(\kappa_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) c_{2} c_{4}, \\
& \alpha_{1} \epsilon_{3}\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}\right)+\alpha_{2} \ell_{4}\left(c_{2}^{2}+c_{4}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t=1, c_{1}=c_{3}=-1$ and $c_{2}=r_{4}=+1$ we obtain the smpler form

$$
\alpha_{1}+u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{4} .
$$

For $t=0, c_{1}=c_{3}=1, c_{2}=c_{1}=i$, we obtain the simpler forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}^{2}+\mu_{3}^{2}-\mu_{2}^{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}, \\
& \alpha_{1} \mu_{3}-\mu_{2} \mu_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex. 2. Assuming $\rho=\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}+i \ell_{3}$, derve functions which belong to ( ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{(3)}{ }^{(3)}$ as a sub-group of ${ }^{\left(x_{6}\right.} 6^{(3)}$.

Takmg $t=0$, we get $(i-2)\left(\mu_{1} \ell_{3}^{3}+\ell_{3} \ell_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+(i+2)\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}\right.$ $\left.+\mu_{3} \ell_{1}^{2}+\mu_{1} \ell_{2}^{2}\right)$. Then show that $\mu_{1} \mu_{3}^{2}+\mu_{3} \ell_{2}^{2}+\mu_{2} \ell_{1}^{2}$ and $\mu_{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}$ $+\alpha_{3} \ell_{1}^{2}+\mu_{1} \mu_{2}^{2}$ each belong to $\epsilon_{3}^{(3)}$.

* Ex. 3. Find the group to which $\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{3}\right)\left(\mu_{2}+\mu_{4}\right)$ belongs.

We find, by trial, which of the substitutious of the symmetric group of the fourth degrec leave the function ualtered. These substitutions are
 ( $\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{4}\left(\alpha_{i} ; \alpha_{2}\right)\right.$. These substitutions constitute the regured group. From $\S 104$ th is seen to be $\left({ }_{r}{ }_{8}^{(4)}\right.$. From the behavior of this group toward the given function, show that the group is nmprmitive.

Ex. 4. Find the group to which $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\mu_{3}\left(\ell_{4}-\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{3}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{1}\right)\right.$ belongs.
Ex. 5. Find the group to which $\left(\alpha-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{3}\right)$ belongs.
Ex. 6. Find the group to which $\left(\alpha_{1} \mu_{2}-\mu_{3} \mu_{4}\right)^{2}\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{3}+\mu_{2} \mu_{4}\right)^{2}$ belongs.
Ex. 7. Prove that the substitutions which leave unaltered a function of $n$ distinct letters, form together a group of the $n$th degree.

* Ex. 8. Show that $\alpha_{1}{ }^{\eta} \alpha_{2}{ }^{q}+\alpha_{2}{ }^{p} \ell_{3}{ }^{q}+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1}{ }^{\eta}{ }_{\kappa_{l}}{ }^{q}+\alpha_{n}{ }^{p} \ell_{1} q$, where 1 and $q$ are distinct positive integers, is a cyclic function.

Ex. 9 By inspection show that $\left\{\left(\mu-\mu_{2}\right)+i\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{3}\right)\right\}^{2}$ belongs ts $\mathrm{Ci}_{2}{ }^{(+)}$as a sub-group of ( $\boldsymbol{r}_{24}{ }^{(4)}$. Compare with Ex. 1.

Ex. 10. Show that the cross-ratio of four points (§ 78) $\left\{=\frac{A C}{B C}-\frac{A D}{B D}\right.$. when $k$ is not equal to -1 or to $\omega$. is a function which belongs to $G_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ II
that it has then six distinct conjugate values ; that when $k=-1$ or $k=\omega$, the conjugate values are formally different ; that the numerical values coincide in pairs when $k=-1$, and in triplets when $k=\omega, \omega$ being a complex cube root of - 1 . See $\S 111$.

Ex. 11. Find the values of the roots of $x^{4}-x^{3}-x+1=0$, and show that, for these values, the function $\alpha^{2} \ell_{1}+\ell_{1}^{2} \ell_{2}+\ell_{2}^{2} \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{3}^{2}{ }^{2} \ell$ does not belong to the cyclic group, although this function is formally altered by all substitutions in $\boldsymbol{G}_{24^{(4)}}{ }^{(4)}$ which do not occur in $\boldsymbol{G}_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ I.

* Ex. 12. Show that, for the general quartic, the following functions belong to the cyclic group:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\alpha^{2}+2 \ell_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}+2 \chi_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{3}+2 \alpha\right), \\
& \ell^{3} \ell_{1}\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \mu_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1}{ }^{3} \chi_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+2 \alpha_{2}\right)+\alpha_{2}{ }^{3} \ell_{3}\left(\mu_{2}^{2}+2 \alpha_{3}\right)+\alpha_{3}{ }^{3} \alpha\left(\alpha_{3}^{2}+2(\chi) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER XII

## RESOLVENTS OF LAGRANGE

114. Resolvents. Expressions, known as "resolvents of Lagrange," are of great importance in researches on the algebraic solution of equations. The term resolvent is used in two different senses: first, to represent certain auxiliary equations used in the resolution of given equations; second, to represent certain functions used in the resolution of equations. The Lagrangian resolvents are of the latter kind; they are functions of roots of unity and the roots of the given equation.
115. Definition. Let $f(x)=0$ be an equation having the roots $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$. Let $\omega$ be any one of the $\mu$ th roots of unity, and let the function $[\omega, \alpha]$ be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\omega, \ell] \equiv \iota+\omega \ell_{1}+\omega^{2} \ell_{2}+\cdots+\omega^{n-1} \alpha_{n-1} . \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression I is a Lagrangian resolvent.
116. Roots expressed in Terms of Resolvents. If we write the Lagrangian resolvents,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\omega, \alpha] \equiv \kappa+\omega \mu_{1}+\omega^{2} \mu_{2}+\cdots+\omega^{n-1} \alpha_{n-1},} \\
& {\left[\omega_{1}, \alpha\right] \equiv \ell+\omega_{1} \ell_{1}+\omega_{1}{ }^{2} \mu_{2}+\cdots+\omega_{1}{ }^{n-1} \ell_{n},}  \tag{I}\\
& {\left[\omega_{n-1}, \alpha\right] \equiv \ell+\omega_{n-1} \ell_{1}+\omega_{n-1}^{2} \ell_{1}+\cdots+\omega_{n} 1^{n 1} \alpha_{n-1}, 1}
\end{align*}
$$

and add them, we get $\quad \stackrel{\omega}{\Sigma}[\omega, \kappa]=u \kappa$, II where $\stackrel{\omega}{\Sigma}$ signifies the sum of all the [ $\omega, \iota \overline{]}$, vbtained by writing in succession $\omega, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots, \omega_{n-1}$ in place of $\omega$.

If we multiply the equations in I by $\omega^{-k}, \omega_{1}{ }^{-k}, \cdots, \omega_{n 1}{ }^{k}$, respectively, and then add, we have the more general result,

$$
\sum^{\omega} \omega^{-k}[\omega, \alpha]=n \alpha_{k} .
$$

III
Hence, if we are given the values of the Lagrangian resolvents of an equation $f(x)=0$ of the nth degree and the $n$th roots of unity, the equation $f(x)=0$ is solved.
117. Theorem. If we operate upon the subscripts of $\alpha$ in $[\omega, \alpha]$ with the cyclic substitution (0 1 2 3 $\cdots(n-1)$ ), [ $\omega$, $\alpha]$ becomes $\omega^{-1}[\omega, \alpha]$; if we opercte with $(012 \cdots(n-1))^{k},[\omega, \alpha]$ becomes $\omega^{-h}[\omega, \kappa]$.

If we operate upon

$$
[\omega, \alpha] \equiv \mu+\omega \ell_{1}+\cdots+\omega^{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}
$$

with the substitution ( $012 \ldots(11-1)$ ) and observe that $\omega^{n-1}=\omega^{-1}$, etc., we gret

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{-1}[\omega, 火] & \equiv \ell_{1}+\omega \ell_{2}+\omega^{2} \ell_{3}+\cdots+\omega^{n-1}(\ell, \\
& \equiv \omega^{-1}\left(\nless \omega+\omega \ell_{1}+\omega^{2}\left(\ell_{2}+\cdots+\omega^{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Operating in this manner $k$ times, we can easily establish the truth of the second part of the theorem.
118. Theorem. If with the cyclic substitution

$$
(012 \cdots(n-1))
$$

we operate upon the subscripts of $\alpha$ in $[\omega, \alpha]$, the subscript of the coefficient of each power of $\omega$ in $\left[\omega,{ }^{\prime}\right]^{\nu}$ undergoes the cyclic substitution (012 $\cdots(n-1))^{\nu}$, v being any positive integer.

By the Polynomial Formula expand

$$
[\omega, \alpha]^{\nu} \equiv\left(\mu+\omega \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\omega^{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}\right)^{\nu}
$$

and by the relation $\omega^{n}=1$ reduce all exponents of $\omega$ to exponents less than $n$. Then combine all terms having like powers of $\omega$. We get

$$
[\omega, \alpha]^{v}=A_{0}+\omega A_{1}+\omega^{2} A_{2}+\cdots+\omega^{n-1} A_{n-1}, \quad \text { I }
$$

where $A_{0}, A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n-1}$ are expressions of the degree $\nu$ with respect to $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$, and have integral numerical coefficients.

If in formula I we replace $\omega$ by $\omega, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots, \omega_{n-1}$ in succession, we get the following $n$ formule:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\omega, \kappa]^{\nu} \equiv \Lambda_{0}+\omega 1_{1}+\omega^{2} \Lambda_{2}+\cdots+\omega^{n-1} A_{n},} \\
& {\left[\omega_{1}, «\right]^{\nu} \equiv A_{0}+\omega_{1} A_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2} A_{2}+\cdots+\omega_{1}{ }^{n-1} A_{n-1},}  \tag{In}\\
& {\left[\omega_{n-1}, u\right]^{\nu} \equiv A_{0}+\omega_{n-1} \Lambda_{1}+\omega_{n-1}{ }^{2} \Lambda_{2}+\cdots+\omega_{n-1}{ }^{n-1} \Lambda_{n 1} .}
\end{align*}
$$

It was shown in § 69, Ex. 5, that the sum of the $p$ th power of the $n$th roots of unity is $n$ or 0 , according as $p$ is divisible or not divisible by $n$. Remembering this and multiplying the $n$ expressions in II by $\omega^{-k}$, $\omega_{1}^{-k}, \ldots$, $\omega_{n-1}{ }^{-k}$, respectively ( $k$ being any integer), we get, after alding the $n$ resulting expressions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.m \cdot \mathbf{1}_{k}=\sum_{\omega}^{\omega} \omega\right)^{-k} \cdot\left[\omega,(x]^{\nu},\right. \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{\Sigma}_{\Sigma}^{\omega}$ indicates the sum of all the expressions obtained by writing in succession $\omega, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots, \omega_{n}$ in place of $\omega$. If now we operate upon the subscripts of a, oceurring in each of the $\nu$ factors [ $\omega, a$ ] in the right member of III with the cyelic substitution (0 $1 \underset{2}{ } \cdots n-1$ ), we get, § 117,

$$
\sum_{\Sigma}^{\omega} \omega^{-k-\nu} \cdot[\omega, a]^{\nu} .
$$

IV
Now, by writing $k+v$ for $k$ in formula III, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{\Xi}_{\omega} \omega^{-k} \nu[\omega, a]^{v}=n A_{k+\nu} .
$$

In other words, the substitution (012 $\cdots(n-1)$ ), applied to the subscripts of $\alpha$ in the right member of III causes $\Lambda_{k}$ to be replaced loy $\Lambda_{k+v}$. But $\Lambda_{k}$ is transformed directly into $A_{k+\nu}$ by the application to its subscript of the substitution $(012 \cdots(n-1))^{\nu}$. Hence the theorem is established.

Ex. 1. Illustrate this theorem by the roots $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ of the cubic, taking $\nu=2$.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\omega, \alpha_{0}\right] } & =\alpha_{0}+\omega \ell_{1}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{2} \\
{\left[\omega, \alpha_{0}\right]^{2} } & =A_{0}+A_{1} \omega+A_{2} \omega^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad A_{0}=\iota_{0}^{2}+2 \mu_{1} \alpha_{2}, A_{1}=\mu_{2}^{2}+2 \alpha_{0} \alpha_{1}, A_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{2}+2 \mu_{0} \alpha_{2}$.

Operating upon the subscripts of $\alpha$ in $[\omega, \alpha]$ by ( 012 ), we get
and $\quad\left(\alpha_{1}+\omega\left({c_{2}}_{2}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{0}\right)^{2}=A_{2}+A_{0} \omega+A_{1} \omega^{2}\right.$.
We see that $A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}$, when operated on by ( 012$)^{2}$, become respectively $\boldsymbol{A}_{2}, \boldsymbol{A}_{0}, \boldsymbol{A}_{1}$.

Ex. 2. Illustrate this theorem by taking $\nu=3$ in Ex. 1, and show that the function belongs to the cyclic group.

Ex. 3. Show that ( 012 ), applied to the subscripts of $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, in $\left[\omega^{2}, \alpha\right]^{2}=\left(\alpha_{0}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{1}+\omega^{4} \alpha_{2}\right)^{2}=A_{0}+A_{1} \omega+A_{2} \omega^{2}$, produces the same effect as ( $\left.\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)^{4}$ applied to the subscripts of $A_{0}, A_{1}, \Lambda_{2}$.

Ex. 4. Show that ( $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1\end{aligned} 2$ 3) applied to the subscripts of $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$, in $\left[\omega^{8}, \alpha\right]^{2} \equiv\left(\alpha_{0}+\omega^{3} \alpha_{1}+\omega^{6} \alpha_{2}+\omega^{9} \alpha_{3}\right)^{2}=A_{0}+A_{1} \omega+A_{2} \omega^{2}+A_{3} \omega^{3}$, where $\omega=-i$, produces the same effect as $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)^{6}$ apphed to the subscripts of $A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$.
119. Theorem. If with the cyclic substitution

$$
(012 \cdots(n-1))
$$

we operate upon the subscripts of $u$, the subscript of the coefficient of each power of $\omega$ in the product of $[\omega, \alpha]^{\nu} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda_{1}}, \alpha\right]^{\nu_{1}} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda_{2}}, \alpha\right]^{\nu_{2}}$
 $v_{1} \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \cdots$ ure positive integers and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots p$ positive or negative integers.

This theorem is a generalization of the preceding and is proved in the same way. The product yields the equality

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[\omega, \alpha]^{\nu} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda_{1}}, \alpha\right]^{\nu_{1}} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda_{2}}, u\right]^{\nu_{2}} \cdots=B_{0}+\omega B_{1}+\omega^{2} B_{2}+} \\
\cdots+\omega^{n-1} B_{n-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n-1}$ are functions of the roots $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$. Replacing $\omega$ successively by $\omega, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots, \omega_{n-1}$, we have all together $n$ expressions. Multiply them by $\omega^{k}, \omega_{1}{ }^{-k}, \omega_{2}{ }^{-k}, \ldots$ respectively, then ard the resulting proclucts, and we get

$$
\left.n B_{k}=\sum^{\omega} \omega^{-\lambda}[\omega,<]\right]^{r} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda_{1}}, \alpha\right]^{\nu_{1}} \cdots
$$

To the subscripts of $\alpha$ in the right member of I apply the substitution ( $012 \cdots(n-1)$ ), and we get

$$
\sum_{\omega^{-k-\nu} \omega^{-k} \nu_{1} \lambda_{1}} \cdots[\omega, \alpha]^{\nu} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda_{1}}, \alpha\right]^{\nu_{1}} \cdots,
$$

which expression is recognized by I to be equal to $n B_{1+v+\nu_{1} \lambda_{1}+\ldots}$.
 the substitution $(012 \cdots(n-1))^{\nu+\nu_{1} \lambda_{1}+\cdots}$. Hence the theorem is established.

* Ex. 1. Show that the function $[\omega, \ell]^{n}$ belongs to the cyclic group of the degree $n$.

If we operate upon [ $\omega$, , c ] with any such substitution ( $012 \cdots(n-1)$ ) of the cyclic group, the effect is the same upon the coetfictents $B_{k}$ of $[\omega, \alpha]^{n}$ as if the substitution $\left.(0) 2 \ldots(n-1)\right)^{n}$ were applied to the subscripts of $B_{h}$ directly, $\$ 118$ But $(012 \cdots(n-1))^{\prime \prime}$ is the identical substitution; hence it brings about no change. Consequently $[\omega, \mu]^{n}$ is invariant for the cyclic group. This mvariance holds for no substitution of the symmetric group of degree $n$, except the substitutions which occur also in the cyclic group. Hence $[\omega, a]^{n}$ belongs to the cyche group.

* Ex. 2. Show that the product $[\omega, \alpha]^{n-\lambda} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda}, \alpha\right]$ belongs to the cyclic group of degree $n$.

By $\S 118$, IV, the cyclic substitution ( $012 \cdots n-1$ ), effected upon the subscripts of $\alpha$ in $[\omega, \alpha]^{n-\lambda}$ gives $\omega^{-n+\lambda}[\omega, \alpha]^{n-\lambda}$. When operated upon those in $\left[\omega^{\lambda}, x\right]$ it gives $\omega^{-\lambda}\left[\omega^{\lambda}, x\right]$. Hence, when operated upon the product of the two, we get $\omega^{n+\lambda-\lambda}[\omega, \alpha]^{n-\lambda} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda}, \alpha\right]$, where

$$
\omega^{n+\lambda-\lambda}=\omega^{-n}=1 .
$$

Ex. 3. Show that $\left(\alpha-i \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+i \alpha_{3}\right)^{4}$ belongs to the cyclic group of degree four.

For convenience, let $-i=\omega$, and we have $\left(\ell+\omega \ell_{1}+\omega^{2} \ell_{2}+\omega^{3}\left(\ell_{3}\right)^{4}\right.$, which, by $\S 118$, IV, becomes $\omega^{-4}\left(\alpha+\omega \alpha_{1}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{2}+\omega^{8} \ell_{3}\right)^{4}$ when operated upon by (0123).
Ex. 4. Notice if the following functions belong to the cyclic group of degree four:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\alpha+i \ell_{1}-\ell_{2}-i \ell_{3}\right)^{4} \\
& \left(\alpha-i \ell_{1}-\ell_{2}+i\left(\ell_{3}\right)\left(\ell+i \alpha_{1}-\iota_{2}-i \alpha_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left(\alpha-\ell_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\iota-i \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+i \alpha_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& \left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER XIII*

## THE GALOIS THEORY OF ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS. REDUCIBILITY

120. Definition of Domain. A set of numbers is called a domain of rationality or smply a domain, when the sums, differences, products, and quotrents of any numbers in the set (excluding only the quotients obtained through division by 0 ) always yield as results mumbers belonging to the set.

All rational numbers (integers and rational fractions, taken both positively and negatively) constitute such a domain, for this system of magnitudes is complete in itself in the sense that any of the four operations involving any of these numbers never yields as a result a number which does not belong to the set.

The integers by themselves do not constitute a domain, for the quotient of two integers may be fractional.

All the numbers of one doman $\$ 2$ may be contained in a sebond and larger domain $\Omega^{\prime}$. In this event the smaller domain $\Omega$ is called a divisor of the other $\Omega^{\prime}$, and $\Omega^{\prime}$ is called a domain over $\Omega$.

For example, the complex numbers of the form $a+i b$, where $i=V-1$ and $c$ and $b$ signify rational numbers, are a domain of which the domain of rational numbers is a divisor.

Another example of domains of numbers is the one embracing all real numbers, whether rational or irrational. Still another is the domain consisting of all numbers, $a+i b$, where $a$ and $b$ are rational or irrational.

[^7]121. The Domain $\Omega_{(1)}$. The domain of rational numbers is a divisor of all domans, for cach domam contans at least one number $u$ different from 0 ; hence it comtains also $n \div n$ or 1 . But if unity belongs to the domain, then it embraces all numbers obtained by addition and subtraction of mits, that 1 ss , all positive and negative integers; from the latter we can by division derive all rational frations. Hence the rational numbers oceur in every doman. Hereafter we shall indeate the domain of rational numbers by $\Omega_{(1)}$.
122. Adjunction. Let $\Omega$ signty any rlomain. If we add to it any number a which does not already belong to it, then the new system of numbers does not comstitute a domain unless we add also all numbers arising from a finite number of additions, subtractions, multiplications, and divisions involving $\alpha$ and all numbers in the domain $\Omega$ Let us designate the new domain thus obtained by $\Omega_{(a)}$. It is evident that $\Omega$ is a div ${ }^{\circ}$ or of $\Omega_{(a)}$.

This process of obtaining the domain $\Omega_{(a)}$ fromi $\Omega$ is called culjenction. We say that we culjoin $\alpha$ to $\Omega$ and obtain $\Omega_{(a)}$. By the adjunction of $i$ to the domain of rational numbers $\Omega_{(1)}$ we obtain the domain of complex numbers $\Omega_{(1,1)}$. This embraces all numbers of the kind $a+i b$, where $a$ and $b$ have rational values. In general, if we adjoin $\alpha$ to $\Omega_{(1)}$, we get $\Omega_{(1, \alpha)}$.

Ex. 1. Show that the rational (proper) fractions do not constitute a dimauin.

Ex. 2. Show that 0 satisties the definition of a domain.
123. Reducibility Defined. Let the integral function

$$
f(x) \equiv u_{0} x^{n}+u_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+u_{n-1} x^{x}+u_{n}
$$

have coefficients $u_{0}, u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}$, all of which belong to some domain $\Omega$. Then we shall say that $f(x)$ is a function in $\Omega$ and $f(x)=0$ is an equation in $\Omega$. If the function $f(x)$, in which $n$ is some integer $>1$, can be decomposed into factors of lower
degree with respect to $x$, such that the coefficients of the factors are numbers belonging to the domain $\Omega$, then the function $f(x)$ is called reducible in $\Omega$; otherwise it is called irreducible in $\Omega$.

Thus, if $\Omega$ designates the domain of rational numbers, then $x^{2}-y^{2}$ is reducible in $\Omega$, becaluse it yields the factors $(x+y)(x-y)$. On the other hand, $x^{2}-3 y^{2}$ is irreducible in $\Omega$, because some of the coefficients of its factors

$$
(x+\sqrt{ } 3 y)(x-\sqrt{3} y)
$$

are not rational.
If, however, we form a new domain by the adjunction of $u=\sqrt{3}$ to the domain of rational numbers, we obtam $\Omega_{(1, u)}$, embracing numbers of the kind $a+\sqrt{ } 3 b$, where $a$ and $b$ are rational. With respect to thas larger domain the functions $x^{2}-y^{2}$ and $x^{2}-3 y^{2}$ are on an egual footing, for both are reducible in $\Omega_{(1, a)}$, since the coefficients of the two factors of each function are numbers belonging to the same domain $\Omega_{(1, a)}$.

Ex. 1. Find out which of the following functions are reducible in the domain of rational numbers $\Omega_{(1)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (a) } x^{2}+2 x+1, \\
& \text { (b) } x^{4}+x^{2}+1, \\
& \text { (c) } x^{2}+x-1, \\
& \text { (d) } x^{2}+x+1, \\
& \text { (e) } x^{2}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex. 2. For each of the above functions which are irreducible in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{(1)}$, find by adjunction the smallest new domain in which the function is reducible.

Ex. 3. Find a domain such that all the functions of Ex. 1 will be reducible in it.
124. Algebraic Numbers. All numbers which are roots of an algebraic equation

$$
f(x) \equiv a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n-1} x+a_{n}=0
$$

with integral coefficients are called algebraic mumbers. Numbers which camot oceur as roots of an algebraic equation are called transcendental. It was first proved by Hermite (1873) that $e$, the base of the natural system of logarthms, is a transeendental number. In 1882 Lindemann first demonstrated that $\pi$, the ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter, is also transcendental. If to the doman of rational numbers $\Omega_{(1)}$ we adjoin $\pi$, we obtain a transcendental domain. If the number adjoined to $\Omega_{(1)}$ is algebrace, the new domain is called an ulgebraic domain.
125. Irreducible Equations. An equation, $f(x)=0$ is said to be reducible or irrerlucible in a domain $\Omega$, according as the function $f(x)$ is reducille or irreducible in $\Omega$.

If we adjoin to the domain $\Omega$ one of the roots $\alpha$ of the efluation $f(x)=0$, then if $\alpha$ does not belong to the domain $\Omega$, we obtain a new domain $\Omega_{(a)}$ which is an algebraic domain orer $\Omega$.
126. Theorem. If $f(x)=0$ und $F(x)=0$ are both equations in the domain $\Omega$, ant if $f(x)=0$ is irreducible in $\Omega$ and has one root which satisfies $F(x)=0$, then cll its roots satisfy $F(x)=0$.

Since the two equations have at least one root in common, the two functions $f(x)$ and $F(x)$ have a common factor involving $x$. But we know that the highest common factor is found by ordinary division, i.e. by a process which nowhere introduces numbers not found in the given domam of rationality. The highest common factor is therefore a furction in $\Omega$. But $f(x)$, being irreducible, has no factor in $\Omega$ involving $x$, "vrept itself. Hence the highest common factor must be either $f(x)$ or a quantity differing from $f(x)$ by a constant number. In other words, we must have either $F(x)=c \cdot f(x)$ or $F(x)=g(x) \cdot f^{\prime}(x)$, where $g(x)$ is a function in $\Omega$.

Ex. 1. The cubic $x^{3}-2 x^{2}-x+1=0$ has three incommensurable roots and is therefore irreducible in the domain $\Omega_{(1)}$. It has one root in
common with $x^{4}-3 x^{3}+x^{2}+2 x-1=0$. Find the II. C. F. of the two functions and show that all the roots of the first equation satisfy the second.

Ex 2. The function $x^{2}+6 x+7$ is irreducible in $S 2_{(1)}$, and it is not a divisor of $x^{3}+3 x^{2}+3 x+1$. From these data show that the two functions cannot have a common factor.

Ex. 3. The equation $a x^{2}+h x+r=0$ m $\Omega_{(1)}$ has a root in common with $x^{3}+5 x^{2}+10 x+1=0$. Nhow that $a=b=c=0$.

Ex. 4. Prove that two functons in $s \Omega, \phi(r)$ and $f(r)$, cannot have a common factor which is a tunction of $x \mathrm{~m} \Omega 2$, it $f(x)$ is irreducible and not a divisor of $\phi(x)$.

Ex. 5. If a root of the irreducoble equation $f(r)=0$ in $\Omega$ satisfies the equation $\phi(x)=0$ in $\Omega$, and it $f(r)$ is of higher degrec than $\phi(x)$, then all the coefticients of $\phi(x)$ must be zero.
127. Gauss's Lemma. If f(.r') has integrul coefficients and can be resolved into rational fictors, it can be resolved into rational factors with integral roefficients.

Consider the two functions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(x) \equiv \frac{a_{1}+\mu_{1} x^{2}+\mu_{n} r^{2}+\cdots,}{m} \\
& H(x) \equiv \frac{r_{11}+b_{1} x+b_{1} x^{2}+\cdots}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $k$ be the H. (\%. F. of the integers $c_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots$; and let $/$ be the H. (.. F. of the integers $b_{1}, b_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots$.

Also let $k$ he relatively prime to $m$, and let $l$ be relatively prime to $n$.

We may now write

$$
G(\cdot x) \equiv k \cdot!(x), \quad I I(x) \equiv l \cdot h(x)
$$

where $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are functions whose denominators are, respectively, $m$ and $n$. The numerator of $!(r)$ is an integral function of $x$ with integral coefficents which have no common factor, except 1. The same is true of the numerator of $h(x)$. Hence the smallest denominator of the product $g(x) \cdot h(x)$ is $m n$.

Consider the case when the product $G(x) \cdot I I(x)$ has only integral coefficients. Then it is evident that $k \cdot l$ must be divisible by $m \cdot \dot{n}$. Smee $k$ is relatively prime to $m$, and $l$ to $n$, it follows that

$$
k=q^{m}, \quad l=q m,
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are integers. We may now write

$$
G(x) \equiv \frac{q}{m}=\frac{m}{m} y_{1}(\cdot x), \quad I I(x) \equiv \frac{q m}{n} h_{1}(x),
$$

where the functions $\left(f_{1}(x)\right.$ and $h_{1}(x)$ have only integral coefficients. Consequently, if $f\left({ }^{\prime}(r)\right.$ is resolvable minto two rational factors $G\left(\cdot x^{\prime}\right)$ and $H(\cdot x)$, which have fractional coefficients, so that we have

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cdot I I\left(. x^{\prime}\right),
$$

then we have also $\quad f^{\prime}(\cdot x)=\mu^{\prime \prime} \cdot \cdot!l_{1}\left(x^{*}\right) \cdot h_{1}\left(. x^{\prime}\right)$,
where the eocfficients are integral throughont. Hence, if $f(x)$ is resolvable into rational factors, it is resolvable into such factors with inteyrul coefficients.
128. Reducibility of $f(x)$. Whether the function $f(x)$, in which the coefficients are integers and the degree $m$ does not exceed 4 or 5 , is reducible or not in the domain $\Omega_{(1)}$, can readily be ascertained by the aid of Gauss's lemma and ordinary algebra.

We assume that, in $f(x)$, the coefficient $\omega_{0}$ of $x^{\prime \prime}$ is unity. If $a_{0}$ is not unity, we can change the function so that it will be unity by taking $a_{r}=\frac{y}{u_{0}}$, and multiplying by $\mu_{0^{\prime \prime}}{ }^{-1}$.

For every integral value $\alpha$ of $x$, which canses $f(x)$ to vanish, we have a factor $x-\alpha$ of $f(x)$, § 3 . Here $\alpha$ must be a factor of $\boldsymbol{c}_{n}$. This consideration cmables us always to determine the reducibility or irreducbility of functions $f^{\prime}\left(x^{x}\right)$ of the second or third degree.

If $f(x)$ is of the fourth degree, then, if there is no linear rational factor, there can be no cubic rational factor. To test
for quadratic rational factors, divide $x^{1}+a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x+a_{4}$ by $x^{2}+\alpha x+\beta$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are integers to be determined, if possible. That there may be no remainder, we must have

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
a_{3}-a_{1} \beta+\alpha \beta & =\alpha\left(a_{2}-\beta-a_{1} \alpha+\alpha^{2}\right), \\
a_{4} & =\beta\left(a_{2}-\beta-a_{1} \alpha+\alpha^{2}\right) . \\
\alpha & =\frac{a_{3} \beta-a_{1} \beta^{2}}{a_{4}-\beta^{2} .} & 1 \\ \tag{II}
\end{array}
$$

Hence
We have the rule: See whether any fuctor $\beta$ of $a_{4}$ makes $\alpha$ an integer in II. If a and $\beta$ are such integers, which also satisfy I, then $x^{2}+\alpha x+\beta$ is a rutional fuctor sought.

Similarly, if $f(x)$ is of the fifth degree. First search for linear rational factors $x-c$. If none are present, there is no quartic rational factor. Look for a quadratie rational factor $x^{2}+\alpha x+\beta$. If quadratic factors are likewise absent, there can be no cubic rational factor, and the function is irreducible.

Dividing $x^{5}+u_{1} x^{4}+u_{1} x^{3}+u_{5} x^{2}+u_{4} x+u_{5}$ by $x^{2}+\alpha x+\beta$, we get as the conditions for zero remainder,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{4}-u_{2} \beta+\beta^{2}+u_{1} u \beta-\mu^{2} \beta \\
& =\alpha\left(u_{3}-u_{1} \beta+2 u \beta-u_{2} u^{2}+\mu_{1} \alpha^{2}-\alpha^{\prime}\right), \\
& a_{5}=\beta\left(u_{3}-a_{1} \beta+2 \alpha \beta-u_{1}\left(\alpha+u_{1} c^{2}-\alpha_{3}^{3}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

III
Whence

$$
\alpha=\frac{-c_{1} \pm \sqrt{c_{1}^{2}}-4 c_{0} c_{2}^{2}}{2 c_{0}},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{0}=\beta^{2}, \\
& c_{1}=a_{5}-a_{1} \beta^{2}, \\
& c_{2}=a_{2} \beta^{2}-a_{4} \beta-\beta^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\beta$ is a factor of $a_{5}$, if $\alpha$ is an integer, and III is satisfied, then $x^{2}+\alpha x+\beta$ is a factor sought.

Ex. 1. Is $f(x) \equiv x^{5}+4 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+9 x^{2}+8 x+2$ reducible in $\Omega_{(1)}$ ?
Since $f(x)$ does not vanish for $x= \pm 1$ or $\pm 2$, there are no linear nor quartic factors in $\Omega_{(1)}$. Take $\beta=2$, then $c_{1}=4, c_{1}=-14, c_{2}=-8, \alpha=4$. Condition III is satisfied. Hence $x^{2}+4 x+2$ is a factor.

Ex. 2. Are the following reducible in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{(1)}$ ?
(1) $x^{3}+2 x^{2}+3 x-6$.
(5) $x^{4}+10 x^{3}-100 x^{2}-x+1$.
(2) $x^{3}+3 x^{2}+8 x-2$.
(6) $x^{5}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+7$.
(3) $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x-4$.
(7) $x^{5}+2 x^{4}+3 x^{3}+4 x^{2}+3 x+2$.
(4) $x^{4}+9 x^{3}+25 x^{2}+22 x+6$.
(8) $x^{5}+x+1$.
129. Eisenstein's Theorem. If $p$ is a prime mumber, aml $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}$ integers, all (except $a_{0}$ ) divisible b! $p$, but $a_{n}$ not divisible by $p^{2}$, then is $f(x) \equiv a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}$ irrerlucible.

For, if $f(x)$ could be resolved into factors, the coefficients of the factors could be integers. We could have

$$
f(x) \equiv\left(c_{0} x^{n}+c_{1} x^{h-1}+\cdots+c_{h}\right)\left(d_{0} x^{k}+d_{1} x^{k-1}+\cdots+d_{k}\right)
$$

where

$$
h+k=n .
$$

Since $a_{n}$ is divisible by $p$, but not by $p^{2}$, and $a_{n}=r_{n} \cdot d_{k}$, it follows that one of the factors $c_{n}, d_{k}$, is divisible by $p$, but not the other. Let $r_{h}$ be the factor divisible by $p$. Then not all the coefficients $c$ are divisible ly 1 , else $a_{0}$ would be divisible by $p$. Let $c_{v}$ be a coefficient not divisible by $\mu$, while $c_{v+1}, c_{v+2}$, $\cdots r_{h}$, are each divisible by $p$. The coeflicent of $x^{n-v}$, in the product of the two fartors of $f(x)$, is then

$$
d_{k} r_{\imath}+d_{k-1} r_{n+1}+d_{k-2} r_{1+2}+\cdots
$$

Since every term in this polynomial is livisible by $p$, except the first term, the polynomial is not divisible by $p$. But, by assumption, the only coefficient of $f(x)$ which is not divisible by $p$ is $a_{0}$. Hence $x^{h-r}=x^{n}$, which is impossible, since $h$ must be less than $n$.

Ex. 1. Show by $\S 129$ the irreducibility of

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 x^{3}+9 x^{2}+6 x+12 \\
4 x^{5}+14 x^{4}+21 x+35
\end{gathered}
$$

130. Irreducibility of $\frac{x^{p}-1}{x-1}$. When $p$ is a prime number, the equation $\frac{x^{\prime \prime}-1}{x-1}=0$ is irreducible.

If in $\frac{x^{p}-1}{x-1}=0$, we put $x=z+1$, then expand the binomials and simphfy, we get

$$
z^{p-1}+1^{\prime \prime} z^{2}+\frac{p(p-1)}{1 \cdot 2} 2^{p-3}+\cdots+\frac{p(p-1)^{\prime}}{1 \cdot 2} z+p=0 .
$$

Since this equatron is irreducible by $\S 129$, the given equation is areducible.
131. Exclusion of Multiple Roots. Unless the contrary is specifically asserted we shall assume in what follows that the equation $f(x)=0$ has no multrple roots. This can be done without loss of generality. For, if $f(x)=0$ has multiple roots, we can chivide $f(x)$ by the H. ( $\because$. . of $f^{\prime}(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$, as in $\S 21$, and obtain a quotient $g(x)$. Then $g(x)=0$ is an equation in $\Omega$, having all its roots distinct, and the theorems which will be given apply to $!(r)=0$.

Ex. 1. Show that $f(x)=0$ is reducible if it has multiple roots.
132. Definition of Degree of a Domain and of Normal Domain. If the irreducible equation $f(x)=0$, having $\&$ for one of its roots, is of the $n$th degree, the domain $\Omega_{(a)}$ is said to be of the uth degree.

Since $f(x)=0$ is irreducible in $\Omega$, it follows that none of its roots belong to the domain $\Omega$. For, if the root $\alpha$ were a number in the domain $\Omega, x$ - $u$ would be a factor in $\Omega$, and $f(x)$ would be reducible. It is evident that each root of $f(x)=0$, when adjoined to $\Omega$, gives rise to a domain over $\Omega, \S 120$. Thus, if $\alpha, \mu_{1}, \varkappa_{2}, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}$ are the ronts of $f(x)=0$, we obtain the $n$ domains,

$$
\Omega_{(a)}, \Omega_{\left(a_{1}\right)}, \Omega_{\left(a_{2}\right)}, \cdots, \Omega_{\left(a_{n-1}\right)} .
$$

The domains I are said to be rompugate to the $\Omega_{(a)}$. These domans may be all different from each other; some, or all of them, may be alike.

A domain which is illentical with all its conjugate domains is called a normul domain. The laws of normal domains are far simpler than those of others. The great advances in algebra made by Galois rest mainly on the reduction of any given domain to a normal domain.
133. Theorem. duy number in 1 domuin $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{(a)}$ can be expressed as "function of a in $\Omega$.

By defintion of a domain ( $\$ 120$ ) any two numbers in it, combined by addition, subtraction, multiplication, or division, yield a number oecurring in the domain; also any number added to or subtracted from itself, multiphed or divided by itself, yields a number belonging to the domain.

The domain $\Omega_{(a)}$ was obtained by adjunction of $\alpha$ to $\Omega$. Hence the numbers in $\Omega_{(a)}$, whether occurning in $\Omega$ or not, were obtained by carrying out the four operations of addition, sub)traction, multipheation, and division upon $\alpha$ and the numbers in $\Omega$. This means that every number in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{(a)}$ is expressible as a function of $\alpha$ in $\Omega$.

Ex. 1. Show that the roots of $x^{4}-10 x^{2}+1=0$ define a normal domain.

The roots are $\alpha=\sqrt{ } 2+\sqrt{ } 3, \alpha_{1}=-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}, \alpha_{2}=-\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{3}$, $\mu_{3}=\sqrt{ } 2-\sqrt{ } 3$ We have $\ell=-\alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}=-\frac{1}{\alpha_{3}}$. Hence it follows that $\Omega_{(1, a)}=\Omega_{\left(1, a_{1}\right)}=\Omega_{\left(1, a_{2}\right)}=\Omega_{\left(1, a_{3}\right)}$.

Ex. 2. Show that the domain defined by the roots of the irreducible equation $x^{3}+x+1=0$ is not normal.

By Descartes' Rule we see that the equation has only one real root. No complex root can be a rational function of a real root. Hence the three domains $\Omega_{(1, a)}, \Omega_{\left(1, a_{1}\right)}, \Omega_{\left(1, \sigma_{2}\right)}$ ramnot be identical and therefore not normal. But the two domains defined by the complex roots are the same; for, if $\beta+i \gamma$ and $\beta-i \gamma$ are the complex roots, $\beta-i \gamma=\frac{\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}}{\beta+i \gamma}$. Hence $\beta-i \gamma$ is a number in the domain obtained by adjoining $\beta+i \gamma$.

Ex 3. Show that the roots of $x^{4}-22 x^{2}+1=0$ yield a normal domain.
Ex. 4. Show that the roots of an irreducible quadratic determine a normal ilomain.

Ex 5. Show that any three roots of $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1=0$ are powers of the fourth and that the domain $\Omega_{(1, a)}$ is normal. See Ex. 2, § 67 .

Ex. 6. Express as a function of $\sqrt{5}$ in $s_{(1,1)}$ the following numbers of the domain $s_{\left(1, V_{i}\right)}: 1,10 i, 3+4 \sqrt{-5}$.

Ex. 7. Define the domain $\Omega 2$ which includes the number

$$
(\sqrt{2}+i \sqrt{3}-\sqrt{6})^{-3}
$$

134. Conjugate Numbers, Primitive Numbers. Suppose a number $N=\phi(u)$, where $\phi$ inducates a function in $\Omega$. If $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ are the roots of an irreducible equation $f(x)=0$, then $\quad N=\phi(\mu), \quad N_{1}=\phi\left(\mu_{1}\right), \cdots, \quad N_{n-1}=\phi\left(\mu_{n-1}\right), \quad$ I represent $n$ numbers, one from each of the conjugate domains,

$$
\Omega_{(a)}, \quad \Omega_{\left(a_{1}\right)}, \cdots, \quad \Omega_{\left(a_{n-1}\right)} .
$$

The numbers I are said to be numbers coujugute to $N$.
Some or all of these numbers conjugate to $N$ may be equal to each other.

A number $N$ in the domain $\Omega_{(a)}$, which is different from all its' conjugate numbers, is called a primitive number of the domain. Otherwise it is called imprimitice.
135. Primitive Domains. A domann $\Omega_{(a)}$ is called primitive when it contains no imprimitive numbers except the numbers in the domain $\Omega$; it is called imprimitive when it contains other imprimitive numbers besides.

Ex. 1. The equation $f(x)=x^{2}+1=0$ has the roots $\pm i$. Here $\alpha=i$ and $\alpha_{1}=-i$. Let us assume $\phi(\alpha) \equiv \frac{\alpha^{2}+\alpha+2}{\alpha}, \operatorname{then} \phi(\alpha)=-i+1=N$, and $N_{1}=i+1$. Hence $N$, being mulike $N_{1}$, is a primitive number in $\Omega_{(1, i)}$.

Next, let us assume $\phi(\kappa) \equiv \alpha-\alpha=\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{1}=0$. Hence 0 is an imprimitive number in $\Omega_{(1,1)}$.

More generally, if $\phi(\imath) \equiv a+b b$, where $a$ and $b$ are rational numbers, then $\phi(-\imath)=u-i b$, if $\phi(\imath) \equiv \frac{l^{\prime \prime}}{\iota^{\prime \prime}}=\iota$, thell $\phi(-\imath) \equiv a$. Hence the im. primitive numbers are in this example contined to those that are rational, and the domain $\Omega_{(1,1)}$ is primituve. Since both $\Omega_{(1,2)}$ and $\Omega_{(1,-2)}$ are domans contaming numbers $a+c b$, where $a$ and $b$ are rational, and may be positive or negative, it follows that the two conjugate domains are identical. Hence $\Omega_{(1, \imath)}$ is a normal domuin.

Ex. 2. The roots of the irreducible equation $x^{2}-2=0$ are $\pm \sqrt{2}$. Show that $\frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{\sqrt{ } 2}$ is a primitive number of $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{2})}$, that 10 is imprimitive, that the domain $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{2})}$ is primitive and normal.

Ex. 3. If $\alpha$ is a root of $x^{2}+10 x+1=0$, define the functions of $\alpha$ such that $N$ will be the mprimitive number 5 .

Ex. 4. Show that the number $N=\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}$, belongmg to the normal domain $\Omega_{(1, a)}$, in Ex. 2, $\$ 67$, is imprimitive and that the domain $\Omega_{(1, a)}$ is imprimitive.

Ex. 5. If $N=\alpha^{2}$, where $\alpha$ is a root ot $x^{4}+1=0$, show that $N$ is imprimitive, that $N_{1}=\alpha^{2}-\alpha$ is prmitive, that the domain $\Omega_{(1, a)}$ is normal and imprimitıve.

Ex. 6. If $N=\alpha^{16}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is a root of $x^{8}+1=0$, prove that $N$ is imprimitive, that $\Omega_{(1, a)}$ is normal and mprimitive.

Ex. 7. If $\alpha$ is a root of $x^{7}-1=0$, prove that $\Omega_{(1, a)}$ is imprimitive.
136. Theorem. Ever? mumber V in the domain $\Omega_{(a)}$ of the nth degree is the root of some equation of the uth degree in $\Omega$, the other roots of which are the remuining numbers conjugate to $N$, viz. $N_{1}, N_{2}, \cdots, N_{n-1}$.

Take the product

$$
(y-N)\left(y-N_{1}\right) \cdots\left(y-N_{n 1}\right)=y^{n}+p_{1} y^{n-1}+\cdots+p_{n} \equiv \Phi(y)
$$

in which $\quad-p_{1}=N+N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{2} & =N N_{1}+N N_{2}+\cdots+N_{n-2} N_{n-1} \\
\pm \mu_{n} & =N V_{1} \cdots N_{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that all the coefficients $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{n}$ are rational symmetric functions of the numbers $N, N_{1}, \cdots, N_{n-1}$. Since $N=\phi(\kappa)$, $N_{1}=\phi\left(\ell_{1}\right), \cdots, V_{n-1}=\phi\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ (§ 134), where $\phi$ is a function in L
$\Omega$, it is evident that $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{n}$ are also symmetric functions in $\Omega$ of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \mu_{n}$; for, an interchange of, say $\mu$ and $\iota_{1}$, brings about simply an interchange of $N$ and $N_{1}$. Nince the interchange of $N$ and $N_{1}$ does not alter these functions, the interchange of $\varepsilon$ and $\ell_{1}$ does not.

Now $\alpha_{1}, \mu_{n}, \cdots, u_{n}$ are the roots of the equation $f(x)=0$; hence the coefficients $\mu_{1}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}$ of $\Phi(!\prime)=0$, being symmetric functions in $\Omega 2$ of $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \iota_{n}$, may be expressed as functions in $\Omega$ of the coeffic lents of $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ (§70).

But by hypothesis the coefficients of $f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$ are numbers belonging to the clomain $\Omega$, hence the same thming is true of $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}$. Thus $\Phi(!)=0$ is an equation of the uth degree in $\Omega$, having the roots $N, N_{1}, \cdots, N_{n 1}$.

Ex. 1. As an illustration, let $f(x)=x^{t}+1=0$, then $\Omega=\Omega_{(1)}$ and the roots are $\pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ } 2(1+i), \pm \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{2}(1-i)$. If $\kappa=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} 2(1+i)$, the domain $\Omega_{(1, a)}$ consists of numbers $\left.a+\iota\right\}$, where $a$ and $l$ may be rational, or irrational involving $\sqrt{2}$. Let $N=u^{3}+u^{2}+u+1$, then $N=1+(1+\sqrt{2}) i$, and the numbers conjugate to it are,

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
N=1+(1+\sqrt{ } 2) \iota, & N_{2}=1-(1+\sqrt{2}) i, \\
N_{1}=1+\left(1-v^{\prime} 2\right) i, & N_{3}=1-(1-\sqrt{ } 2) i, \\
\Phi(y)=(11-N)\left(y-N_{1}\right)\left(y-N_{2}\right)\left(y-N_{3}\right) \\
& =y^{4}-4 y^{3}+12 y^{2}-16 y+8=0
\end{array}
$$

and
'Thus, $N$ and the numbers conjugate to it are roots of an algebraic equation of the fourth degree in $\Omega_{(1)}$, that is, $\Phi(y)=0$ is an equation in the same domain as $f(x)=0$, and both are of the same degree.

Ex 2. Show that $5, \iota, \sqrt{2}$ are each numbers lying in the domain $\Omega_{(\alpha)}$ of Ex. 1, and that each is a root of some reducible equation of the fourth degree.
137. Theorem. Ever!y number of the domain $\Omega_{(a)}$ can be expresserl us a function in $\Omega$ of any primitive number $N$ of the clomuin $\Omega_{(a)}$.

Let $V^{\prime}$ be any number in $\Omega_{(a)}$ and $N^{\prime}, N_{1}^{\prime}, N^{\prime}, \cdots, N_{n-1}^{\prime}$ the numbers conjugate to it. Let

$$
\Phi(x) \equiv(x-N)\left(x-N_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-N_{n-1}\right)
$$

where $N, N_{1}, \cdots N_{n-1}$ are conjugates to the primitice number V. We now construct a new function, $\psi(\cdot x)$, as follows:

$$
\psi(x) \equiv \frac{N^{\prime} \Phi(\cdot x)}{x-N}+\frac{N_{1}^{\prime} \Phi(x)}{x-N_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{N_{n-1}^{\prime} \Phi(x)}{x-\frac{N_{n-1}}{N_{n-1}} .}
$$

This is a function of $x$ of the $(n-1)$ the degree,
since

$$
N=\phi(\kappa), \quad V_{1}=\phi\left(\mu_{1}\right), \cdots
$$

and

$$
N^{\prime}=\phi_{1}(\iota), \quad V_{1}^{\prime}=\phi_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \cdots,
$$

it follows that an meterchange of, say, $\alpha$ and $\mu_{1}$ interchanges not only $N$ and $N_{1}$, but also $V^{\prime}$ and $N_{1}^{\prime}$, and also the first two fractions in the expression for $\psi(x)$.
But $\Phi(x)$ is not affected by such an interchange. Hence $\psi(x)$ is not affected, no matter what two a's replace each other. From this it follows that $\psi\left(r^{r}\right)$ is a symmetric function of $\mu, \iota_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}$ in $\Omega$ and the coefficients of $\psi(x)$ are numbers in $\Omega$.

If now we put $x=N$, then $\Phi(N)=0 . \Lambda s N$ is primituve and ronsequently different from $N_{1}, N_{i}, \cdots$, it follows that each fraction in $\psi\left(x^{*}\right)$, except the first, is zero when $x=N$; for, it has a numerator that is zero and a denommator that is finite. The first fraction give's us $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0\end{aligned}$ By $\leqslant 20$ we have, for this indeterminate, the relation ${ }^{V^{\prime} \Phi(\bar{N})}{ }^{V}-\bar{N}=V^{\prime} \Phi^{\prime}(N)$, where $\Phi^{\prime}$ means the differential coefficient of $\Phi$ with respect to $x$. This relation yields $\psi(N)=N^{\prime} \Phi^{\prime}(N)$ or $N^{\prime}=\psi(N) / \Phi^{\prime}(N)$, where $\Phi^{\prime}(N)$ is not zero, because $\Phi(x)$ has no multiple roots. Since $\psi(N)$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(N)$ are both functions of $N$ in $\Omega$, it follows that any number $N^{\prime}$ can be expressed as a function in $\Omega$ of any primitive number $N$.

Ex. 1. Prove that the domain $\Omega_{(N)}$ is iientical with the domain $\Omega_{(\alpha)}$, $N$ being primitive in $\Omega_{(a)}$.
Ex. 2. It was shown in Ex. $2, \S 135$, that $N=\frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{\sqrt{2}}$ is a primitive number of $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{2} 2}$, where $\pm \sqrt{ } 2$ are the roots of the irreducible equation $x^{2}-2=0$. Express $5+3 \sqrt{2}, 5$ and $\sqrt{2}$, as functions of $N$ in $\Omega_{(1)}$.

Ex. 3. Express $5, i, \sqrt{2}$ in Ex. $2, \S 136$, each as a function in $\Omega$ of $\alpha$.
138. Theorem. If $N$ is primitine in $\Omega_{(a)}$, then the ummbers $N, N_{1}, \cdots, N_{n-1}$ are roots of an irreducible equettion $\Phi(x)=0$ of ${ }^{\circ}$ the nth degree; if $N$ is imprimitine, then these unmbers maty be divided into $n_{1}$ sets of $n_{2}$ equal numbers in euch set, and $\Phi(x)=0$ is the $n_{2}$ th power of an irreducible equation of the $n_{1}$ th degree.

$$
\text { If } \quad \Phi(x) \equiv(x-N)\left(x-N_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-N_{n-1}\right)=0
$$

is reducible, decompose it into its irreducible factors. Take one of these wreducible factors, say $\theta(x)$. Then $\theta(x)=0$ must have as a root at least one of the numbers $N, N_{1}, \cdots, N_{n-1}$. Let $N_{1}$ be such a root. Then $\theta\left(N_{1}\right)=0$, and sunce $N_{1}=\phi\left(u_{1}\right)$, $\S 13 \mathrm{f}$, we have $\theta\left[\phi\left(\mu_{1}\right)\right]=0$; that is, $\theta[\phi(. x)]=0$ has $\mu_{1}$ for one of its roots. Thus $\theta[\phi(x)]=0$ and $f(x)=0$ are two algebrate equations having a common root, namely $\mu_{1}$. $\quad$ is $f^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=0$ was assumed to be urreducible, it follows by $\$ 126$ that each of the roots $u^{2}, u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n-1}$ of the equation $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ must satisfy $\theta[\phi(x)]=0$. Remembering that $N,=\phi\left(u_{t}\right)$, we see that each of the numbers $N, N_{1}, \cdots, N_{n-1}$ must satisfy the equation $\theta(x)=0$.

Now if $N, N_{1}, \cdots, N_{n-1}$ are all distmet, then $\theta(x)=0$ must be of the $n$th degree, and $\Phi(x)=0$ and $\theta(x)=0$ are identical; since, by hypothesis, $\theta(x)=0$ is irreducible, $\Phi(x)=0$ must be irreducible.

If, on the other hand, some of the roots $N, N_{1}, \cdots, N_{n-1}$ are alike; let $N, N_{1}, \cdots, N_{n_{1}-1}$ represent the distinet roots, then the irreducible equation $\theta\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$ is of the degree $n_{1}$. dny other irrelucible equation, $\theta_{1}(x)=0$, obtained by factoring $\Phi(x)=0$, must be satisfied by at least one of the set of roots $N, V_{1}, \cdots, N_{n_{1}-1}$, for, every multiple root in $\Phi(x)=0$ has one representative in the list of distinct roots; hence $\theta_{1}(x)=0$ must be satisfied by each soot in the set and is identical with the equation $\theta(x)=0$, the two having all their $n_{1}$ roots in common.

It thus appears that of $\Phi(x)=0$ is reducible and is resolved into its irreducible factors, these fartors are identical to each other. Thas, $\Phi\left(x^{x}\right)=0$ is a power of $\theta\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$. Since $\Phi(x)=0$
is of the $n$th degree and $\theta(x)=0$ of the $n_{1}$ th degree, $n$ must be a multiple of $n_{1}$, that is, $n=\mu_{1} n_{2}$.

Ex. 1. $\Lambda s$ an illustratom, take the orreducible equation $f(x) \equiv x^{4}+1=0$. It has the roots $\alpha=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ } 2(1+i), \alpha_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ } 2(1+1), \alpha_{2}=+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\prime}^{\prime} 2(1-i)$, $u_{3}=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ } 2(1-i)$. Let $N=\phi(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{2}$, then $N=N_{1}=i$ and $\lambda_{2}=N_{3}=-i$. Hence, $\Phi(x)=(x+1)^{2}(x-i)^{2}=\left(x^{2}+1\right)^{2}-0$. We have $\theta(x) \equiv x^{2}+1=0$,
 is satistied by $\ell_{,}, \ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}$, the toots of $f(, .4)=-0$

Ex 2. From the roots of the equation in Ex f, § 133 , find $N_{,} N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$, when $V \equiv \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}$. Determme whether the equatom $\Phi(x)=0$ is in this case reducible; if it is, find $n_{1}$ :und $n_{2}$ and show that $\theta[\phi(\pi)]=0$ is satisfied by the roots of the ghell erquation $f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$

Ex 3. From the roots of the equation in Ex $. \pi, \$ 1$, $\$ 3$, find $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$, when $N=4 \alpha \quad$ Is $\Phi(x)=0$ reducible"

Ex. 4. In Ex. ${ }^{\text {s }}$, § 13.5, form $\Phi(y)=0$ and examine its reducibility, when $N=\kappa^{2}$.
139. Normal Equations. A normal equation is an irreducible eguation in which each root can be expressed as a function in $\Omega$ of one of the roots.

Ex. 1. The roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ell_{1}$, of $x^{4}+1=0$, Ex $1, \S 138$, may be expressed in terms of $\alpha$ thus. $\alpha_{1}=--\alpha, \ell_{2}=-\alpha^{3}, \alpha_{3}=+\alpha^{3}$. Hence $x^{4}+1=0$, beng irreducible, is normal.

Ex. 2. Show that $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1=0$ is a normal equation.
Ex. 3. Show that $x^{4}-2 x^{2}+9=0$ is normal.

## CHAP'TER XIV

## NORMAL DOMAINS

140. Theorem. 1 primitive mumber of a normal domain of the whil degree is a root of $a$ normal equation of the nth degree.

If a number $\rho$ be adjoined to $\Omega$, makning $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ a domain of the $n$th degree, every number $N$ in the doman $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ is a root of an equation $F^{\prime}(x)=0$ of the $n$th degree $m \Omega$, the other roots of which are, by $\$ 136$, the remaining numbers conjugate to $N$, viz.

$$
N_{1}, N_{2}, \cdots, N_{n-1} .
$$

Since $N$ is assumed to be primitive, $F(x)=0$ is irreducible (§ 138).

Any number $N_{t}$, being defined by $\phi\left(\rho_{t}\right)$, belongs to the domain $\Omega_{\left(\rho_{i}\right)}$. Since $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ is normal, we have $\Omega_{(\rho)}=\Omega_{\left(\rho_{1}\right)}=\cdots=\Omega_{\left(\rho_{n-1}\right)}$ (§ 132). Hence all the numbers $N, V_{1}, \cdots, N_{n-1}$ belong to the tlomain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$, and can be expressed as functions in $\Omega$ of the primitive number $N(\$ 13 i)$. From this it follows that $F\left(r^{r}\right)=0$ is a normal equation.
141. Theorem. Concersely, if $\rho$ is a root of a normal equation, then $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ is a normal domain of the same degree as that of the equation.

Let $\rho_{0}$ be the root, of which the other roots are functions in $\Omega$; that is, let $\rho_{\nu}=\phi_{\nu}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$, where $\nu$ may be $1,2, \cdots$, or $(n-1)$. Since $\rho_{0}$ is a root of the given irreducible equation of the $n$th degree, the domain $\Omega_{\left(\rho_{0}\right)}$ and all the domains conjugate to it are of the $n$th degree (§ 132 ).

Any number in the domain $\Omega_{\left(\rho_{\nu}\right)}$, i.e. in the domain $\Omega_{\left[\phi_{\nu}\left(\rho_{n}\right)\right]}$ is a function m $\Omega$ of $\left[\phi_{\nu}\left(\rho_{0}\right)\right]$, and, therefore, also a function in $\Omega$ of $\rho_{0}$ itself ; that is, any number in the domain $\Omega_{\left[D_{1},\left(\rho_{0}\right)\right]}$ ocreurs also in $\Omega_{\left(\rho_{0}\right)}$. The converse is true also. Hence the conjugate domains are identical, and $\Omega_{\left(\rho_{0}\right)}$ is a normal domam.

Corollary. Since the domain $\Omega_{\left[\phi_{v}\left(\rho_{0}\right)\right]}$ contains all the roots of the given normal equation, each of these roots can be expressed as a function in $\Omega$ of the root $\phi_{i}\left(\rho_{0}\right)$, where $\phi_{r}\left(\rho_{01}\right)$ may represent any one of the roots. Thus, in a mormal equation ever!y root cem be expressecl not ouly as "fiuntion in $\$ 2$ of' some one root, but us a function in $\Omega$ of any one of the roots.

Ex. 1. Show that the equation $\frac{r^{7}-1}{x-1}=0$ is normal.
Ex. 2. Show that $x^{4}+10 x^{2}+40 x+20 \overline{0}=0$ is normal.
142. Adjunction of Several Magnitudes. The adjunction of several maynitudes maty be repluced by the anljunction of a single magnitude.

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots$ be numbers adjoined to the domain $\Omega$, giving the enlarged domain $\Omega_{(a, \beta, \gamma, \ldots)}$. To prove that a number $\rho$ can be found, such that the domains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{(a, \beta, \gamma, \cdot)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{(\rho)}$ are identical.

Let $\alpha$ be one of the roots $\alpha, \mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n+1}$ of an algebraic equation in $\Omega, f_{1}(x)=0$. Similarly, let $\beta$ be one of the roots $\beta, \beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n-1}$ of $f_{2}(x)=0, \gamma$ one of the roots $\gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{0-1}$ of $f_{3}(x)=0$, and so on. Without loss of generality we may assume that none of these equations have multiple roots. Now assume for $\rho$ the following linear function of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots$, viz.

$$
\rho=u \iota+b \beta+c \gamma+\cdots, \quad \mathrm{I}
$$

where $a, b, c$ are indeterminate coefficients to which in special cases any convenient nunerical value in $\Omega$ may be assigned. It is evident that $\rho$ is a magnitude in $\Omega_{(a, \beta, \gamma, \ldots)}$, for it is a rational function of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots$. The expression for $\rho$ involves one root from each of the equations $f_{1}(x)=0, f_{2}(x)=0, \cdots$.

N at, replace the roots $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots$ by any other combination $\alpha_{1}, \mu_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \cdots$ of the roots, one root being taken from each equation. We get

$$
\rho_{1}=a \alpha_{1}+b \beta_{1}+c \gamma_{1}+\cdots
$$

Similarly we obtain $\rho_{2 y}, \rho_{3}, \cdots$. The total number of $\rho^{\prime}$ 's is equal to the total number of possible combinations, which is $m \cdot n \cdot o \cdots$, where $m, n, o$ are respectively the degrees of the pquations. By assigning appropriate values to $a, b, c, \cdots$, all the $\rho$ 's will be distinct from each other.
Now construct the function $F(t)$, thus:

$$
\boldsymbol{F}(t) \equiv(t-\rho)\left(t-\rho_{1}\right)\left(t-\rho_{2}\right) \cdots .
$$

$F(t)$ is not altered if $\kappa$ is replaced by $\alpha_{t}$, or $\beta$ bs $\beta_{c}$. Hence the coefficients of II, obtained by performing the indicated multipheations, are symmetric functions of the roots of each one of the equations $f_{1}(x)=0, f_{2}(x)=0, \cdots$; therefore, the coefficients are numbers in $\Omega$, and $F^{\prime}(t)$ is a function in $\Omega$.

Now, any number $N$ in $S_{\left(a, \beta_{1}, \ldots\right)}$ is a rational function of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots$ Let $N$ go over into $N_{1}, N_{2}, \cdots$ for the substitutions which convert $\rho$ into $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \cdots$. With these construct the new function $G(t)$, defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t) \equiv F(t)\left\{\frac{N}{\bar{t}-\rho}+\frac{N_{1}}{\bar{t}-\rho_{1}}+\frac{N_{2}}{t-\rho_{2}}+\cdots\right\} . \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sim(t)$ is symmetrical with respect to the roots of $f_{1}(x)=0$, $f_{2}(x)=0, \cdots$. Hence its coethicients lie in $\Omega$. For $t=\rho, F(t)$ vanishes, as appears from II. But the denominator $t-\rho$ vanishes also.

Hence for $t=\rho$, we have by $\S 20$

$$
G(\rho)=\frac{N F(\rho)}{\rho-\rho}=N F^{\prime}(\rho),
$$

where $\boldsymbol{F}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is the first differential coefficient of $\boldsymbol{F}(t)$.
Hence,

$$
N=\frac{G(\rho)}{F^{\prime}(\rho)} .
$$

This means that $N$ is a rational function of $\rho$; that is, any number in $\Omega_{(a, \beta, r,)}$ is a rational function of $\rho$, and lies, therefore, in the domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$. Conversely, any number in $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ lies in $\Omega_{(a, \beta, \gamma, \ldots)}$ since every number in $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ is a rational function of $\rho$, and, therefore, of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots$. This shows that $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ and $\Omega_{(a, \beta, y, \ldots)}$ are coextensive domains, and the adjunction of $\alpha, \beta$, $\gamma, \cdots$ to $\Omega$ may be replaced by the adjunction of $\rho$.

Ex. 1. Go over the above proof for the special case where

$$
\alpha=\sqrt{2}, \beta=\sqrt{\bar{b}}, \gamma=\delta=\cdots=0, u=b=1, N=3 \sqrt{2} \sqrt[3]{5} .
$$

Here $f_{1}(x)=x^{2}-2=0, f_{2}(x)=x^{3}-5=0$. Then $\rho=\sqrt{2}+\sqrt[3]{5}$. There are six different $\rho$ 's, and II is of the sixth degree in $t$. Of what degree is III?

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
G(t)=N\left(t-\rho_{1}\right)\left(t-\rho_{2}\right) \cdots\left(t-\rho_{5}\right)+N_{1}(t-\rho)\left(t-\rho_{2}\right) \cdots\left(t-\rho_{5}\right)+\cdots \\
(r)=N\left(\rho-\rho_{1}\right)\left(\rho-\rho_{2}\right) \cdots\left(\rho-\rho_{5}\right)=540 \rho^{2}+3(10), \text { where } \\
\rho=\sqrt{2}+\sqrt[4]{5}, & \rho_{3}=-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt[3]{5}, \\
\rho_{1}=\sqrt{2}+\omega \sqrt[3]{5}, & \rho_{4}=-\sqrt{2}+\omega \sqrt[3]{5}, \\
\rho_{2}=\sqrt{2}+\omega^{2} \sqrt[3]{5}, & \rho_{5}=-\sqrt{2}+\omega^{2} \sqrt[3]{5} .
\end{array}
$$

By Ex. 14, $\S 71$, the equation whose roots are $\rho, \rho_{1}, \cdots, \rho_{5}$, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(t) & =t^{6}-6 t^{4}-10 t^{3}+12 t^{2}-60 t+17=0 . \\
\therefore F^{\prime}(\rho) & =6 \rho^{5}-24 \rho^{3}-30 \rho^{2}+24 \rho-60 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $F(\rho)-F^{\prime}(\rho)=N$.
Ex. 2. Is the adjunction of $\sqrt{-2}$ to $\Omega_{(1)}$ equivalent to the adjunction of $i+\sqrt{2}$ ?

Ex. 3. Are the two domains $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})}$ and $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{6})}$ coextensive ? If not, is one a divisor of the other?
143. The Galois Domain. If $f(x)=0$ is an equation of the $n$th degree with distinct roots $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$, then the domain $\Omega_{\left(a, a_{1}, \ldots a_{n-1}\right)}$, obtained by the adjunction of all its roots to $\Omega$, is called the Galois domuin of the equation $f(x)=0$. Thus the roots of the cubic $x^{3}+3 x^{2}-2 x-6=0$ are $-3, \pm \sqrt{2}$; hence its Galois domain is $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{2})}$.

Ex. 1. Find the Galois domain of $x^{4}+6 x^{2}+5=0$.
Ex. 2. Find the Galois domain of the equation in Ex. 5, § 133. Show that, in this case, $\Omega_{\left(a, a_{1}, \ldots a_{n-1}\right)}=\Omega_{(a)}=\Omega_{\left(a_{1}\right)}=\Omega_{\left(a_{2}\right)}=\Omega_{\left(a_{2}\right)}$.
144. Theorem. The Galois domain of any ulgebraic equation is a normal domain.

The degree of the Galois domain $\Omega_{\left(a, a_{1} \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)}$ is not usually the same as that of the equation $f(x)=0$; let it be $m$. Let $\rho$ be a primitive number of the Galois domain, then

$$
\Omega_{\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-1}\right)}=\Omega_{(\rho)} .
$$

It follows that $\rho$ is a root of an irreducible equation of the degree $m$ (§ 138), viz. the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y)=0 \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

The root $\rho$, being a number in the Galois domain, can be expressed as a function of $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n 1}$, in $\Omega$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=f_{1}\left(\mu_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right) \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider all the permutations which can be performed with the $n$ subscripts of the letters $u$, taken all at a time. The number of these permutations is 11 ! They correspond to the symmetric group of substitutions ( $\$ 98$ ).

If we operate upon the subscripts in II with each substitution of the symmetric group of the order $n$ !, in turn, we obtain values for $\rho$ which we indicate, respectively, by

$$
\rho, \rho_{1}, \cdots, \rho_{n^{\prime} \cdots-1}
$$

Next, if we operate with any substitution of the symmetric group upon the $\rho$ 's in III, we get the same set of $\rho$ 's over again, only in a different order; for, any number resulting from this second operation is obtained from II by two substitutions, the product of which, by definition of a group, is identical with one of the substitutions in the group. Hence, if we form the equation

$$
H(y) \equiv(y-\rho)\left(y-\rho_{1}\right) \cdots\left(y-\rho_{n^{\prime}-1}\right)=0, \quad \text { IV }
$$

this equation is invariant under any of the substitutions of the symmetric group; hence, the coefficients of $y$, obtained by performing the indicated multiplications in IV, are invariant.

But these coefficients are functions in $\Omega$ of the roots $\rho, \rho_{1}, \cdots$, and by relation II, also functions in $\Omega$ of $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$.

Because of the invariance of the coefficents of IV under the symmetric group, they are symmetric functions in $\Omega 2$ of $\alpha_{0}$, $\alpha_{1}$, $\cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$, i.e. symmetric functions in $\Omega$ of the roots of $f(x)=0$. Hence IV is an equation in $\Omega$ ( $\$ 123$ ), and its roots are numbers in $\Omega_{\left(a, \cdots, a_{n-1}\right)}$.

But $\rho$ is a root of both $I(y)=0$ and $g(y)=0$. Since $g(y)=0$ is irreducible, all its roots must be roots of $H(y)=0(\$ 12(i)$. But all the roots of $H(y)=0$ are numbers in $\Omega_{\left(a, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)}$; hence all the roots of $g(!)=0$ (viz. the conjugate numbers $\left.\rho, \rho_{1}, \cdots, \rho_{m-1}\right)$ are numbers in $\Omega_{\left(a, \cdots, a_{n-1)}\right.}$. But

$$
\Omega_{(\rho)}=\Omega_{\left(a, \cdots, a_{n}\right)}
$$

hence we have

$$
\Omega_{(\rho)}=\Omega_{\left(\rho_{1}\right)}=\cdots=\Omega_{\left(\rho_{m-1}\right)} .
$$

That is, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\left(a, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right.}$ is a normal domann.
145. Galois Resolvent. The equation $g(y)=0$ of $\S 144$ is called the Gualois resolvent of the given equation $f(x)=0$ in the domain $\Omega$, defined by the coefficients of the equation $f(x)=0$. This resolvent possesses the following properties:
(1) $g(y)=0$ is irreducible.
(2) Euch root of $f(x)=0$ can be expressed as a function in $\Omega$ of one root $\rho$ of the equation $g(y)=0$. That is, each of the roots $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ occurs in $\Omega_{\left(a, \cdots, a_{n-1}\right)}$, a domain equivalent to $\Omega_{(\rho)}$.
(3) One root $\rho$ of $g(y)=0$ can be expressed as a function in $\Omega$ of the $n$ roots of $f(x)=0$. That is, by II, § 144, we have

$$
\rho=f_{1}\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)
$$

Ex. 1. The cubic $x^{3}+3 x^{2}+x-1=0$ has the roots

$$
\alpha=-1, \alpha_{1}=-1+\sqrt{2}, \alpha_{2}=-1-\sqrt{2} .
$$

Hence the Galois domain is $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{2})}$. Also, $\rho=\sqrt{2}$ is a root of the irreducible equation $g(y)=x^{2}-2=0$ and is a primitive number of the

Galois domain. The equation $x^{2}-2=0$ is a Galois resolvent, because (1) it is irreducible ; (2) the root $\alpha=-\sqrt{2} / \sqrt{2}$ and the roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are each functions in $\Omega_{(1)}$ of $\sqrt{ } 2$; (3) we may express $\rho$ as a function of $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, thus, $\rho=\sqrt{ } 2=\alpha_{2}^{2}-\alpha_{1}+4 \alpha$.

Ex. 2. Show that in Ex. 1, $\rho=a+b \sqrt{2}$, which is a root of the equation $x^{2}-2 a x+a^{2}-2 b^{2}=0, a$ and $b$ being rational, is a primitive number in the domain $\Omega_{(\sqrt{2})}$, and that this quadratic is a Galois resolvent of the given cubic.

Ex. 3. Show that the degree of the Galois resolvent of an equation of the $n$th degree cannot exceed $n!$. See § 144 .

Ex. 4. Construct the equation $H(y)=0$ of $\S 144$ for the general cubic $x^{8}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2} x+a_{3}=0$, whose roots are $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$.

As in § 142 select appropriate values in $\Omega$ for the coefficients $c, c_{1}, c_{2}$, so that distinct values for $\rho$ are obtained for every permutation of the roots $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ in the relation $\rho \equiv c \kappa+c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}$.

Performing upon this the six substitutions of the symmetric group of the third degree, § 104, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & \equiv c \alpha+c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \alpha_{2}, \\
\rho_{1} & \equiv c \alpha_{1}+c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \alpha, \\
\rho_{2} & \equiv c \alpha_{2}+c_{1} \alpha+c_{2} \alpha_{1}, \\
\rho^{\prime} & \equiv c \alpha_{\alpha}+c_{1} \alpha_{2}+c_{2} \alpha_{1}, \\
\rho_{1}^{\prime} & \equiv c \alpha_{1}+c_{1}\left(\ell+c_{2} \alpha_{2},\right. \\
\rho_{2}^{\prime} & \equiv c \alpha_{2}+c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2} \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

We first form the cubic whose roots are $\rho, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma \rho & =\Sigma \Sigma \Sigma \ell=-a_{1} \Sigma c \\
\Sigma \rho \rho_{1} & =\Sigma c^{2} \cdot \Sigma\left(\ell \ell \ell_{1}+\Sigma \alpha^{2} \cdot \Sigma c c_{1}+\Sigma c c_{1} \cdot \Sigma \alpha \alpha_{1}\right. \\
& =a_{2} \Sigma c^{2}+\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{2}\right) \Sigma c c_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain the product $\rho \rho_{1} \rho_{2}$, observe that the terms $c c_{1} c_{2} \alpha^{8}, c c_{1} c_{2} \alpha_{1}{ }^{8}$, $c c_{1} c_{2} \alpha_{2}{ }^{8}$ occur in the product; their sum is $c c_{1} c_{2} \Sigma \alpha^{3}$. Since $c, c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are similarly involved, the expression $\alpha \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \Sigma c^{3}$, also occurs in the product. The term $c c_{1} c_{2} t \ell \alpha_{1} \varkappa_{2}$ occurs three times; hence we have $3 c c_{1} c_{2} \alpha \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$.

Observe that $\alpha^{2} \alpha_{1}$ has in the product the coefficient $p_{c} \equiv c^{2} c_{1}+c_{1}{ }^{2} c_{2}+c_{2}{ }^{2} c$ and that $\alpha_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{2}{ }^{2} \alpha$ have each this same coefficient. Hence $p_{c} p_{a}$ is part of the product, where $p_{a} \equiv \alpha^{2} \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{2}{ }^{2} \alpha$. Similarly $\alpha \alpha_{1}{ }^{2}$, $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{2}, \alpha_{2} \alpha^{2}$ have each the coefficient $p^{\prime}{ }_{c} \equiv c c_{1}^{2}+c_{1} c_{2}^{2}+c_{2} c^{2}$. Therefore,
$p^{\prime}{ }_{c} p^{\prime}{ }_{a}$ occurs in the product, where $p^{\prime}{ }_{a} \equiv \alpha \alpha_{1}{ }^{2}+r_{1} \alpha_{2}{ }^{2}+\alpha_{2} \alpha^{2}$. We have now found all together 27 terms which belong to the product $\rho \rho_{1} \rho_{2}$; they constitute the entire product. That is,

$$
\rho \rho_{1} \rho_{2}=c c_{1} c_{2} \Sigma \alpha_{3}+r c \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \Sigma c^{3}+3 c c_{1} c_{2} \alpha \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+p_{r} p_{a}+p_{r}^{\prime} p_{a}^{\prime} .
$$

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{a}+1^{\prime}{ }_{a}=\Sigma \alpha \cdot \Sigma \alpha \alpha_{1}-3 \alpha \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=3 a_{3}-a_{1} \alpha_{2} \equiv q \alpha, \\
& p_{a}-\eta^{\prime}{ }_{a}=\mu \mu_{1}\left(\ell-\mu_{1}\right)+\alpha_{2}^{2}\left(\mu-\kappa_{1}\right)-\alpha_{2}\left(\mu^{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}\right), \\
& =\left(\mu-\ell_{1}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) \equiv \sqrt{ } I_{t},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{a}$ is the discriminant of the given cubic, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 p_{a} & =q_{a}+\sqrt{D_{a}} \\
21^{\prime}{ }_{a} & =q_{a}-\sqrt{D_{a}} \\
2 p_{c} & =q_{c}+\sqrt{D_{c}}, \\
2 p_{c}^{\prime} & =q_{c}^{\prime}-\sqrt{\overline{D_{c}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Smilarly we have
Hence
$\rho \rho_{1} \rho_{2}=c c_{1} c_{2}\left(3 a_{1} a_{2}-a_{1}^{3}-3 a_{3}\right)-a_{3} \Sigma c^{3}-3 c c_{1} c_{2} a_{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{c} q_{a}+\sqrt{D_{c} D_{a}}\right)$.
We have now found the coefficients of the cubic whose roots are $\rho, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$, expressed in terms of the coefficients of the given cubic.

In finding the coefficients of the cubic whose roots are $\rho^{\prime}, \rho_{1}^{\prime}, \rho_{2}^{\prime}$ we notice that $\Sigma \rho^{\prime}=\Sigma \rho$, and $\Sigma \rho^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}{ }_{1}=\Sigma \Sigma \rho_{1}$. 'The product $\rho^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}{ }_{1} \rho^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ differs from $\rho \rho_{1} \rho_{2}$ only in the sign before the radical. Consequently, on multiplying the left members of the two cubics, the radical disappears and we obtain a sextic, whose coefficients are numbers in $\delta$. This sextic is the required equation $H(y)=0$, whose roots are $\rho, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \rho_{2}^{\prime}$.

Ex. 5. Show that when in the sextic of Ex. 4 the value of $D_{a}$ is a perfect square, the sextic becomes reducible into two cubic equations in $\Omega$. Hence $g(y)=0$ is a cubic in this instance.

Ex. 6. Of what degree is the Galois resolvent of the general quartic? The general quintic?

Ex. 7. Find the roots of the equation $x^{5}+x^{4}-x^{3}-x^{2}-2 x-2=0$. From the roots determine the Galois domain. Prove that $x^{4}-2 x^{2}+9=0$ is a Galois resolvent.
146. Theorem. The Galois resolvent is a normal equation, and any normal equation is its own Galois resolvent.

The resolvent is a normal equation because (1) it is irreducible and (2) all its roots occur in the Galois domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$,
where $\rho$ is a root of the resolvent (§ 144 ), and are, therefore, functions in $\$ 2$ of the one root $\rho(\$ 138)$.

To prove the second part, let $f(x)=0$ be a normal equation, having the roots $\ell, \ell_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}$. Then $\Omega_{(\alpha)}$ is a normal domain ( $\$ 1+1$ ) ; $f(x)=0$ is its own Gंaloss resolvent, becanse being irreducible it satisfies property (1) in $\$ 145$, and all its roots being in the domain $\Omega_{(a)}$, and, therefore, functions of $\alpha$ in $\Omega$, it satisties also properties (2) and (3).

Ex. 1. Show that the equation in Ex. 5 (§ 1:3) is its own Galois resolvent.

Ex. 2. Show that the Galois resolvent in Lx. 2 (§ 145) satisfies the defintion of a normal equation.

Ex. 3. Find the Galos doman for the equation in Ex. 3 (§133). Find the irreducible equation in $\Omega_{(1)}$ having the prmitive number $\sqrt{6}+\sqrt{5}$ as a root. Show that this equation is its own Galois resolvent and that the Galuis domann is normal.
147. Theorem. If $f(x)=0$ is a normal equation of the nth degree with a root $\rho$ as a primitive number in the normal domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$, then the transposition $\left(\rho \rho_{h}\right)$ canses each of the numbers ronjugrate to $\rho$ to be replaced by some other of their own set, but no two numbers are repliced by the same one.

Let the numbers conjugate to $\rho$ be $\rho, \rho_{1}, \cdots, \rho_{n-1}$. They are all roots of the equation $f(x)=0$ ( $\$ 138$ ). Since $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ is assumed to be normal, they are contamed in it. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\phi_{11}(\rho), \rho_{1}=\phi_{1}(\rho), \cdots, \rho_{n-1}=\phi_{n-1}(\rho) \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \cdots$ are functions in $\Omega$. If in $\phi_{k}(\rho)$, which is a root of $f(x)=0$, we replace $\rho$ by $\rho_{h}$, we get as a result $\phi_{h}\left(\rho_{h}\right)$, which, being conjugate to $\phi_{h}(\rho)$, is another root of $f(x)=0$ (§136). Hence the numbers in the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}\left(\rho_{h}\right), \phi_{1}\left(\rho_{h}\right), \cdots, \phi_{n-1}\left(\rho_{h}\right) \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

are identical with numbers in $I$, except in the order in which they are written. Now, if we can show that the roots II are all distinct, our theorem is proved.

None of the roots II are alike, for suppose $\phi_{1}\left(\rho_{n}\right)=\phi_{k}\left(\rho_{n}\right)$, that is,

$$
\phi_{l}\left(\rho_{h}\right)-\phi_{k}\left(\rho_{n}\right)=0, \quad \text { III }
$$

then III is an equation having $\rho_{h}$ as a root. But the irreducible equation $f(x)=0$ has also $\rho_{h}$ as a root. Hence III must be satisfied by all the roots of $f(. v)=0$; for iustance, by $\rho$. Consequently,

$$
\phi_{i}(\rho)-\phi_{k}(\rho)=0 .
$$

This equation by I may be written $\rho_{s}-\rho_{k}=0$, which cannot be true, since $\rho$ is a prumtive number.

Ex. 1. In Ex. $5, \S 133$, we have given an irreducible equation with the roots $\rho, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}$, conjugate to $\rho$ in the normal domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$. We have $\rho_{1}=\rho^{2}, \rho_{2}=\rho^{3}, \rho_{3}=\rho^{4}$. Hence the roots may be represented by the series

$$
\rho, \rho^{2}, \rho^{3}, \rho^{4}
$$

If in I we write $\rho_{3}$ for $\rho$, we get

$$
\rho_{3}, \rho_{3}{ }^{2}, \rho_{3}{ }^{3}, \rho_{3}{ }^{4}
$$

where $\rho_{3}{ }^{2}=\rho_{2}, \rho_{3}{ }^{3}=\rho_{1}, \rho_{3}{ }^{4}=\rho$. Hence the transposition ( $\rho \rho_{3}$ ) only changed the order of the roots.

Ex. 2. What is the order of the roots, if in Ex. 1, we apply the transposition ( $\rho \rho_{2}$ ) ?
148. Theorem. Enery transposition $\left(\rho_{h} \rho_{k}\right)$ in the normal domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ is equal to some one of the trunspositions ( $\rho \rho_{1}$ ), ( $\rho \rho_{2}$ ) $, \cdots,\left(\rho \rho_{n-1}\right)$.

We have

$$
\rho_{h}=\phi_{h}(\rho)
$$

$$
\mathbf{I}
$$

where $\phi_{h}(\rho)$ is a root of the normal equation $f(x)=0$. Upon $\phi_{h}(\rho)$ perform the transposition $\left(\rho \rho_{t}\right)$, and we get $\phi_{h}\left(\rho_{l}\right)$. This is a number conjugate to $\phi_{h}(\rho)$, and is, therefore, one of the other roots of $f(x)=0$, say $\rho_{k}(\S 138)$, so that

$$
\rho_{k}=\phi_{h}\left(\rho_{i}\right) .
$$

Since the transposition $\left(\rho_{h} \rho_{k}\right)$ changes $\rho_{h}$ to $\rho_{k}$, and the transposition ( $\rho \rho_{l}$ ) changes $\phi_{h}(\rho)$ to $\phi_{h}\left(\rho_{t}\right)$, we have from equations I and II that $\left(\rho_{n} \rho_{k}\right)=\left(\rho \rho_{\imath}\right)$.

Ex. 1. In Ex. 5, § 133, the four roots satisfy the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho=\rho_{2}^{2}, \\
& \rho^{2}=\rho_{2}{ }^{4}, \\
& \rho^{3}=\rho_{2}, \\
& \rho^{4}=\rho_{2}{ }^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Operate upon the left members of these equalities with the transposition ( $\rho \rho_{2}$ ), and upon the right members with ( $\rho_{2} \rho_{3}$ ), and show that $\left(\rho \rho_{2}\right)=\left(\rho_{2} \rho_{3}\right)$.

Ex. 2. In Ex. 1 find the transposition ( $\rho \rho_{2}$ ) which is equal to ( $\rho_{1} \rho_{3}$ ).
Ex. 3. In Ex. $1, \S 136$, find $i$ so that $\left(\mu \alpha_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)$.
149. Substitutions of the Domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$. Since any transposition $\left(\rho_{h} \rho_{k}\right)=\left(\rho \rho_{1}\right)$, where $i$ is some one of the numbers $0,1,2$, $\cdots(n-1)$, it follows that there are not more than $n$ distinct transpositions in the given normal domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$, which number agrees with the degree of the domain and the degree of the equation $f(x)=0$, whose roots define this domain. Since every number in $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ can be expressed as a function of $\rho$ in $\Omega$, since every number operated upon by ( $\rho \rho_{i}$ ) passes into some other number in the domain conjugate to it, since, moreover, no two numbers pass into the same number ( $\$ 147$ ), it follows that each such substitution applied to all the numbers in the normal domain leaves the domain as a whole unchanged.

The substitutions ( $\rho \rho_{2}$ ), where $i$ takes successively the values $0,1, \cdots(n-1)$, are called the substitutions of the domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$.

If $N=\phi(\rho)$ is invariant under $\left(\rho \rho_{i}\right)$ so that $N=\phi(\rho)=\phi\left(\rho_{i}\right)$, then we say that $N$ admits of the substitution ( $\rho \rho_{i}$ ). Observe the difference between the expressions admits and belongs to ( $\$ 111$ ). In both the function must be unaltered under the substitutions of a certain group $G_{1}$, but in the latter expression we have the additional condition that the function must be altered by every substitution of $G$ which does not occur in $G_{1}$, $G_{1}$ being regarded as a sub-group of $G$.
' If $N=\phi(\rho)$ is a primitive number, then it is distinct from each of its other conjugates $\phi\left(\rho_{1}\right), \phi\left(\rho_{2}\right), \cdots, \phi\left(\rho_{n-1}\right)$. Hence $N$ admits of none of the substitutions ( $\rho \rho_{2}$ ), except, of course, the identical substitution 1.
150. Theorem. The substitutions of the normal domain $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{(\rho)}$ constitute a group of the order $u$.

Remembering the definition of a substitution group (§95), we need only show that in the $n$ distinct transpositions the product of any two, say of $\left(\rho \rho_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ and ( $\left.\rho \rho_{h}\right)$, is equal to some one of the transpositions in the set, say $\left(\rho \rho_{k}\right)$.

By § 148 we know that $\left(\rho \rho_{i}\right)=\left(\rho_{h} \rho_{k}\right)$. Multiply both sides by ( $\rho \rho_{h}$ ), and we get

$$
\left(\rho \rho_{h}\right)\left(\rho \rho_{\imath}\right)=\left(\rho \rho_{h}\right)\left(\rho_{l} \rho_{k}\right)=\left(\rho \rho_{k}\right) ;
$$

that is, the product of any two substitutions $\left(\rho \rho_{h}\right)$ and $\left(\rho \rho_{\imath}\right)$ is a substitution belonging to the set.
151. Theorem. If the equation $f(x)=0$ yields the Gulois domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$, then there corvesponds to the !froup of substitutions ( $\rho \rho_{1}$ ) of that domain a gronf, of substitutions ss, of the same order. amony the roots of the equation, surlh that the product of an!! two substitut ions ( $\rho \rho_{\imath}$ ), ( $\rho \rho_{1}$ ) of the domain correspomels to the product of the two corvesponding substitutions su, s, of the roots of $f(x)=0$.

Let $f(x)=0$ have the roots $, \mu_{1}, \cdots, u_{n-1}$, all of them distinct. Since these roots are numbers in the Galois domain $\Omega_{\left(a, \cdots a_{n-1)}\right.} \equiv \Omega_{(\rho)}$ of the degree $m$, it follows that

$$
\rho=\Phi\left[\alpha, \cdots, \ell_{s}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right]
$$

I
and that $\mu_{,}=\phi_{s}(\rho)$ where $s$ has any value $0,1, \cdots(n-1)$. Substituting for the $\alpha$ 's their values, we get from I,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\Phi\left[\phi(\rho), \cdots, \phi_{s}(\rho), \cdots, \phi_{n-1}(\rho)\right] . \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\rho$ is a primitive number in the (ialois domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}(\S 144)$, and is, therefore, a root of the Galois resolvent $g(y)=0$, whose other roots are the remaining numbers conjugate to it, viz. $\rho_{1}, \cdots, \rho_{m} 1$. Consider II an equation having a root $\rho$, then the irreducible equation $g(y)=0$ and the equation II have $\rho$ as a common root; hence the conjugates of $\rho$ are roots common to
both equatious (\$ 126). Replacing $\rho$ by any of its conjugates $\rho_{i}$, we have, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\imath}=\Phi\left[\phi\left(\rho_{\imath}\right), \cdots, \phi_{s}\left(\rho_{\imath}\right), \cdots, \phi_{n-1}\left(\rho_{\imath}\right)\right] . \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing in II $\rho$ by $\rho$, where $i$ and $j$ are distinct, we get

$$
\rho_{j}=\Phi\left[\phi\left(\rho_{j}\right), \cdots, \phi_{s}\left(\rho_{j}\right), \cdots, \phi_{n-1}\left(\rho_{j}\right)\right] . \quad \text { IV }
$$

Since $\alpha_{s}$ is a root of $f(x)=0$ and $\mu_{s}=\phi_{s}(\rho)$, we have the equation $f\left[\phi_{0}(\rho)\right]=0$, which has $\rho$ as one of its roots. But $\rho$ is also a root of the irreducible equation $g(l)=0$; hence (§ 126) we have $f\left[\phi_{s}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right]=0$; that is, $\phi_{v}\left(\rho_{\imath}\right)$ is some one of the roots $\alpha_{2}$ of the equation $f(x)=0$. For the same reason $\phi_{s}\left(\rho_{J}\right)$ is some one of these roots.

Since $\phi_{s}\left(\rho_{i}\right)$ and $\phi_{s}\left(\rho_{J}\right)$ represent earch some root of $f(x)=0$, we see that in each bracket of III and IV we have some arrangement of the roots $\mu, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$.

The two arrangements are not identical; for if they were, we would have $\phi_{s}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=\phi_{s}\left(\rho_{j}\right)$ for all values of $s$; the right members of ILI and IV being equal, the left members would be; that is, $\rho_{i}=\rho$. But this is impossible, since they are roots of the irreducible equation $g(y)=0$, and can, therefore, not be equal. Hence it follows that to cun! tuo distinct substitutions $\left(\rho \rho_{1}\right),\left(\rho \rho_{j}\right)$ there correspond two distinct substitutions among the a's.

From this we draw the further conclusion that since the $\alpha$ 's belong to the domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$, and the entire domain has only $m$ distinct substitutions, there are just $m$ distinct substitutions among the $\alpha$ 's. There exists, therefore, a one-to-one correspondence between the substitutions ( $\rho \rho_{1}$ ) and the substitutions $s_{1}$ of the roots $\alpha$.

Now the product $\left(\rho \rho_{2}\right)\left(\rho \rho_{j}\right)$ is equal to some other substitution in the group, say $\left(\rho \rho_{k}\right)$. If to $\left(\rho \rho_{\mathrm{r}}\right),\left(\rho \rho_{\jmath}\right),\left(\rho \rho_{k}\right)$ there correspond, respectively, $s_{i}, s_{j}, s_{k}$ among the roots, and if

$$
\left(\rho \rho_{i}\right)\left(\rho \rho_{j}\right)=\left(\rho \rho_{k}\right),
$$

we have also $s_{i} \cdot s_{j}=s_{k}$.

Ex. 1. The quartic equation $x^{4}-12 x^{3}+12 x^{2}+176 x-96=0$ has the roots

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha=2+2 \sqrt{ } 7, & \alpha_{1}=2-2 \sqrt{\prime}^{\prime}, \\
\alpha_{2}=4+2 \sqrt{ } 3, & \ell_{3}=4-2 \sqrt{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Galois domann $\Omega_{2}(\rho)$ is obtamed by adjoining $\sqrt{7}+\sqrt{ }{ }^{3}$ to $\Omega_{(1)}$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\rho=\sqrt{7}+\sqrt{3}, & \rho_{1}=\sqrt{7}-\sqrt{3}, \\
\rho_{2}=-\sqrt{\overline{7}}+\sqrt{3}, & \rho_{3}=-\sqrt{7}-\sqrt{3} .
\end{array}
$$

By inspection, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell^{\prime}=\phi(\rho) \equiv 2+\frac{1}{4}\left(24 \rho-\rho^{3}\right), \\
& \ell_{1}=\phi_{1}(\rho) \equiv 2-\frac{1}{1}\left(24 \rho-\rho^{3}\right), \\
& \ell_{2}=\phi_{2}(\rho) \equiv 4-\frac{1}{4}\left(16 \rho-\rho^{3}\right), \\
& \ell_{3}=\phi_{3}(\rho) \equiv 4+\frac{1}{1}\left(16 \rho-\rho^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting for $\rho$, in succession, $\rho, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}$, we oltain the following table :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(\rho)=\ell^{\prime}, \quad \phi_{1}(\rho)=\ell_{1}, \quad \phi_{2}(\rho)=\ell_{2}, \quad \phi_{;}(\rho)=\ell_{3} . \quad I \\
& \phi\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\ell_{\ell}, \quad \phi_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\ell_{1}, \quad \phi_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\ell_{3}, \quad \phi_{i}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\ell_{2} . \quad \text { II } \\
& \phi\left(\rho_{2}\right)=\ell_{1}, \quad \phi_{1}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=u_{1}, \quad \phi_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=u_{2}, \quad \phi_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=u_{3} . \quad \text { III } \\
& \phi\left(\rho_{3}\right)=\mu_{1}, \quad \phi_{1}\left(\rho_{3}\right)=u_{1}, \quad \phi_{2}\left(\rho_{i}\right)-\ell_{3}, \quad \phi_{3}\left(\rho_{3}\right)=\mu_{2} . \quad \text { IV }
\end{aligned}
$$

Operating upon $\phi(\rho), \phi_{1}(\rho), \phi_{2}(\rho), \phi_{3}(\rho)$ in lue 1 with the transposition ( $\rho \rho_{1}$ ) gives us line II. The anangenent $\iota_{0}, \mu_{1}, \iota_{2}, \ell_{3}$ m line I has changed to the arrangement $\alpha, \iota_{1}, \iota_{3}, \iota_{2}$ in line II. Hence ( $\rho \rho_{1}$ ) conresponds to ( $\ell_{2} \ell_{3}$ ). Thus, to the substitutions of the domain, viz.,

$$
1, \quad\left(\rho \rho_{1}\right),\left(\rho \rho_{2}\right), \quad\left(\rho \rho_{3}\right),
$$ v

there correspond, respectively, the substitutions among the roots

$$
1, \quad\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right), \quad\left(\alpha \alpha_{1}\right), \quad\left(\mu\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{2} \alpha_{3}\right) .\right.
$$

VI
The latter are readily seen to constitute a group. Groups related to each other, as are these two, are called isomorphic. Group VI is called the Galois group of the given quartic equation.

Ex. 2. Find in the list of groups enumerated in § 104 the group VI of Ex. 1.

Ex. 3. In Ex. 1, $\phi_{2}(\rho)=\alpha_{2}$ and $\phi_{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\phi_{3}(\rho)=\phi_{3}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(\rho_{3}\right)=\alpha_{2}$. Show that, in the set of substitutions $\mathrm{V},\left(\rho \rho_{1}\right)\left(\rho \rho_{2}\right)=\left(\rho \rho_{3}\right)$. Forming all possible products of two transpositions, show that V is actually a group.

Ex. 4. The cubic $x^{3}+3 x^{2}+x-1=0$ has the ronts $u=-1$, $\mu_{1}=-1+\sqrt{2}, \alpha_{2}=-1-\sqrt{ } 2$ and the Galois domain $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{2})}$, where $\rho=\sqrt{2}$ and $\rho_{1}=-\sqrt{2}$. Find the Galois group in both forms.
152. Galois Group of $f(x)=0$ in $\Omega$. The group of substitutions among the roots $\mu_{,} \mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}$ of the equation $f(x)=0$ correspouding to (isomorphic with) the group of the Galois domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ of that equation is called the Galois group of the equation. The term Gelois group is really applicable to the two isomorphic groups indifferently. For two (simply) isomorphic groups are identical, abstractly considered, since to every substitution of one there corresponds a single substitution of the other, and vice versa, and since to the product of any two substitutions in the one there corresponds the product of the two corresponding substitutions in the other. For convenience we shall restrict the term Galois group to the group of substitutions having the roots as elements.

Ex. 1. Show that ${ }_{\left(\gamma_{2}\right.}^{(1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{T}_{2}{ }^{(2)}$ are isomorphic; also $G_{8}{ }^{(5)} \mathrm{I}$ and $G_{6}{ }^{(3)}$.
Ex. 2. Show that $G_{6}{ }^{(3)}$ is simply isomorphic with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G \equiv 1,\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{3} \alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}\right), \\
&\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{4} \alpha_{6}\right), \\
&\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{3} \alpha_{5}\right), \\
&\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}\right)\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}\right),\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{5}\right)\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{8}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

153. Theorem. Every function in $\Omega, f\left(\kappa, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)$, which equals a number $N$ in $\Omega$, arlmits every substitution of the Galois group of $f(x)=0$.

Since $\Omega_{\left(a, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)}=\Omega_{(\rho)}$, each $\alpha_{v}$, where $i=0,1, \cdots,(n-1)$, is a function in $\Omega$ of $\rho$. Hence we have

$$
f\left(\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)=\theta(\rho)=N, \quad I
$$

where $f$ and $\theta$ are functions in $\Omega$. We have $\theta(\rho)-N=0$, and this equation in $\Omega$ is satisfied by one root $\rho$, and therefore by all the roots which belong to the Galois resolvent $g(y)=0$ (§126). That is, $\theta\left(\rho_{\imath}\right)=N$. But by I the transposition ( $\rho \rho_{\imath}$ ), performed upon $\theta(\rho)$, produces the same result as the corresponding substitution of the Galois group, performed upon $f\left(\alpha, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)$. As $\theta(\rho)$ remains unaltered, so $f\left(\alpha, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)$ remains unaltered.
154. Theorem. Every function in $\Omega, f\left(\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)$, which admits all the substitutions of the Gulois grout, is a number in $\Omega$

In the equation $f\left(\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)=\theta(\rho)$, given in § $153, f\left(\alpha_{,}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, u_{n-1}\right)$ admits by hypnthesis of the substitutions of the Galois group; consequently, $\theta(\rho)$ admits of the corresponding transpositions of the (ialois domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$. That is, $\theta(\rho)$, being invariant, is equal to all its conjugates $\theta\left(\rho_{\imath}\right)$.

But $\theta(\rho)$ is a number in the domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$ and is a root of an equation of the uth degree in $\Omega$, whose other roots are the remaining numbers conjugate to it ( $\$ 136$ ). All these roots being equal, that equation is $\{x-\theta(\rho)\}^{n}=0$. Hence $x-\theta(\rho)=0$ is an equation in $\Omega$. Therefore $\theta(\rho)$ is a number in $\Omega$, as is also its equal, $f\left(\mu, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}\right)$.

Ex. 1. In Ex. $1, \S$ 151. the Galois group is $1,\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right),\left(\alpha \alpha_{1}\right),\left(\mu\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right.$. ( $\alpha_{2}\left(\ell_{3}\right)$. The roots of $f(x)=0$ are $\ell_{,}, \mu_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}$ Then $\alpha^{2}+4 \alpha_{1}+10$ is a function in $\Omega_{(1)}$ of two roots of $f(x)=0$. The value of this function is 50, a number in $\Omega_{(1)}$; that is, belonging to the domain $\Omega_{(1)}$. Performing the substitutions ( $\alpha\left(\ell_{1}\right)$, we get $\kappa_{1}{ }^{2}+4 \alpha+10$, which still equals 50 . The other substitutions do not affect the function. This illustrates § 153.

Ex. 2. Using the group and roots of Ex. 1, illustrate $\S 153$ by the equation $\left(\alpha^{2}+4 \alpha_{1}-24\right)^{2}\left(\kappa_{2}^{2}+8 \alpha_{3}-60\right)^{3}=0$. Here the left member of the equation is our function, and the number in $\Omega$ is 0 .

Ex. 3. $f(x) \equiv x^{4}-x^{2}-2=0$ has the Galois domain $\Omega_{(\rho)}$, where $\rho=\sqrt{2}+i, \rho_{1}=\sqrt{2}-\imath, \rho_{2}=-\sqrt{2}+i, \rho_{3}=-\sqrt{2}-i$. (1) Express each of the roots of $f(x)=0$ as a function of $\rho$. (2) Find the group of the domain. (3) Fund the Galois group of $f(x)=0$.

Ex. 4. In Ex. 3 show that $f\left(\mu, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right) \equiv \ell^{3}+\ell_{1}{ }^{3}+\alpha_{2}{ }^{3}+\mu_{3}{ }^{3}$ admits all the substitutions of the Galois group; then show by actual substitution that $f\left(\alpha, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)$ is a number in $\Omega_{(1)}$. This illustrates $\S 154$.
155. Theorem. A group $G$ is a Gulois group of the equation $f(x)=0$ for the domuin $\Omega$ whenever
(A) Every function in $\Omega$ of the roots $\alpha_{\imath}$, which is a number in $\Omega$, admits the substitutions of $G$, and
(B) Every function in $\Omega$ of the roots $\alpha_{i}$, which admits the sub. stitutions of $G$, is a number in $\Omega$.

Firstly, we prove that every substitution of $G$ belongs to the Galois group.

As in $\$ 142$, select appropriate values in $\Omega$ for the coefficients $c, c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n-1}$ so that distinct values for $\rho$ are obtained for every permutation of the roots $\alpha, \mu_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ in the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \ell+c_{1} \alpha_{1}+\cdots+c_{n-1} \mu_{n-1}=\rho . \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\rho$ is a root of the Galois resolvent $g(y)=0$. In $g(\rho)=0$ substitute for $\rho$ its value in I and we get a function in $\Omega$ of $\alpha$, $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$, which equals the number zero. If this function satisfies hypothesis (A), it admits any substitution $s$ of the given group $G$. But by $I$ this substitution changes $\rho$ into some distinct value $\rho_{a}$. Hence $g\left(\rho_{a}\right)=0$, and $\rho_{a}$ is a conjugate of $\rho$. But the substitution $\left(\rho \rho_{a}\right)$, which corresponds to $s$, is a. transposition of the Galois domain; hence $s$ belongs to the Galois group, and $G$ is either the Galois group or one of its sub-groups.

Secondly, we prove that the Galois group is $G$ itself. Suppose $G$ embraces $j$ substitutions, namely,

$$
s, \cdots, s_{k}, s_{1-1}, \quad \text { II }
$$

then the application of each of these to the function $\rho$ in I yields the values

$$
\rho, \cdots, \rho_{\imath}, \rho_{j-1} \cdot \quad \text { III }
$$

If we operate with any substitution $s_{k}$ in II upon any value $\rho_{i}$ in III, the result $\rho_{i}^{\prime}$ must be the same as if we had operated upon $\rho$ directly with $s_{\imath} s_{k}$. But $s_{\imath} s_{k}$ must, by the definition of a group, be one of the substitutions in II ; hence $\rho^{\prime}$, must be one of the values in III. Thus it is evident that the operation with $s_{k}$ upon every value of [II causes simply a permntation of the values in III. Hence a function $g^{\prime}(y)$, defined by the relation

$$
g^{\prime}(y) \equiv(y-\rho)\left(. y-\rho_{1}\right) \cdots\left(y-\rho_{J-1}\right)
$$

has coefficients of $y$ that are each invariant under the substitutions of $G$. If we apply to each of these coefficients the
hypothesis (B), each of them is a number in $\Omega$. Consequently $g^{\prime}(y)$ is a function of $y$ in $\Omega$.

Now $g^{\prime}(y)=0$ and the Galois resolvent $g(y)=0$ have the root $\rho$ in common, hence ( $\$ 126$ ) the degree of $g^{\prime}(y)=0$ cannot be less than that of $g(y)=0$; that is, $j$, which is the order of $G$, cannot be less than the order of the (ialois group. Hence the two groups are the same.
156. Theorem. An equation is reducible or irreducible according us its Galois groun, is intransitice or transitive.

$$
\text { Let } \quad f(x)=F(x) \cdot h(x)=0 \text {, }
$$

where $f(x)=0$ is reducible and $f(x), F(x), h(x)$ are functions in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. Let the roots of $\boldsymbol{F}(x)=0$ be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu, \mu_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{v}, \cdots, \alpha_{\nu-1} . \tag{l}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are also roots of $f(x)=0$, which has the following additional roots:

$$
\alpha_{\nu}, \cdots, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{n-1} .
$$

Now it is evident that no root $\alpha_{2}$ of set I can be replaced in the equation $F(x)=0$ by a root $\alpha_{\rho}$ of set II, for $\alpha_{\rho}$ is not a root of $F^{\prime}(x)=0$. Yet we know that the coefficients of $x$ of $F(x)=0$ admit all the substitutions of the Galois group of $f(x)=0$ (§ 153). Hence this group can have no substitution which replaces $\alpha_{2}$ by $\alpha_{y}$, and the group is intransitive ( $\$ 102$ ).

Conversely, if the group $P$ is intransitive and permutes the roots in set I among themselves only, so that $\alpha_{t}$ will not be replaced by $\alpha_{,}$, then the product

$$
F(x) \equiv(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha_{\nu-1}\right)
$$

admits of all the substitutions of $P$, and is, therefore, a function of $x$ in $\Omega$. Hence $\boldsymbol{F}(x)$ is a factor in $\Omega$ of $f(x)$, and $f(x)=0$ is reducible.

Ex. 1. Illustrate this theorem by showing that the Galois groups of Exs. 1 and 4 in § 151 are intransitive.
157. Theorem. An imprimitive domain has an imprimitive group.

Let $f(x)=0$, having the roots $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$, be irreducible. Then its Galois group $P$ is transitive ( $\S 156$ ). Let the do$\operatorname{main} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{(a)}$ be imprimitive; that is, let it possess imprimitive numbers which are not all numbers in $\Omega$ (§ 135). If $N=\phi(\alpha)$ is an imprimative number, then its conjugates may be divided into $n_{1}$ sets of $n_{2}$ equal numbers in each set, so that $n=n_{1} \cdot n_{2}$ (§138). We have then the following $n_{1}$ sets of roots of $f(x)=0$ with $n_{2}$ roots in each :
so that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
N=\phi(\kappa)=\phi\left(\ell_{1}\right)=\cdots=\phi\left(\alpha_{n_{2}-1}\right), \\
N_{1}=\phi(\beta)=\phi\left(\beta_{1}\right)=\cdots=\phi\left(\beta_{n_{2}-1}\right), \\
\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\
N_{n_{1}-1}=\phi(\sigma)=\phi\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=\cdots=\phi\left(\sigma_{n_{2}-1}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

II
are numbers conjugate to $N$.
From II we see that the Galois group $P$ of $f(x)=0$ must be so constituted that the roots of each set $A, B, \cdots, S$ are interchanged among themselves and that the sets $A, B, \cdots, S$ are interchanged bodily, but never can two roots of the same set be replaced by two roots belonging to different sets. Hence $\boldsymbol{P}$ is an imprimitive group ( $\$ 103$ ).

Ex. 1. Show that the group composed of the powers of (0123) is an imprimitive group.

Ex. 2. Show that any cyclic group whose order is not prime is an imprimitive group.
158. Theorem. The symmetric group of the nth degree is the Galois group of the general equation $f(x)=0$ of the nth degree in the domain $\Omega$, defined by the coefficients of $f(x)$.

In the general equation $f(x)=0$ no relation is assumed to exist between the roots; that is, the roots are taken to be independent variables.

In all cases a symmetric function in $\Omega$ of the roots equals a number in $\Omega$ (§70). If it be granted that, for the general equation, this is the only function in $\Omega$ having this property, condition A of $\$ 155$ demands simply that

Every symmetric function of the roots shall admit the substitutions of the symmetric group,
and condition B demands that
Every such symmetric function shall equal some number in $\Omega$.
Both statements are true. Hence the symmetric group is the Galois group of the general equation.
159. Actual Determination of the Galois Group. In Exs. 1 and 4 of $\S 151$ we determined the Galois groups of easy equations, for the domain defined by the coefficients of the equation, by the aid of the roots of the equations. When the roots are not known, $P$ might be obtained by the construction of the Galois resolvent, from which $P_{1 s}$ obtainable. But the Galois resolvent is not easily constructed. Practically the Galois group can be ascertained more readily from the theorem about to be deduced. It is well to remember that, when $f(x)=0$ is irreducible, the degree of the Galois group is equal to the degree of the equation. When $f(x)=0$ is reducible and the factors are known, it is easiest to consider the equations resulting from the irreducible factors of $f(x)$. We proceed to prove the following theorem, in which $M$ is any function in $\Omega$ of the roots $\alpha, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$, which belongs to $Q$ as a sub-group of the symmetric group:

If a function $M$ is a number in $\Omega$, the Galois group for the domain $\Omega$ is either $Q$ or one of its sub-groups.

Since, by hypothesis, $M$ is a function in $\Omega$ of the roots $\mu, \mu_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$, which is a number in $\Omega$, it follows by $\S 153$ that
$M$ admits of every substitution of the (xalois group. By definition, $M$ belongs to $Q$; that is, there are no substitutions of the roots, except the substitutions in $Q$, which leave $M$ unaltered in value. Hence the (Galois group is cither $Q$ or one of its sub-groups.

Ex. 1. For the domain $\Omega_{\left(a, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)}$ the Galois group of $f(x)=0$ is 1 .
Let $Q=1$ and $M=c \alpha+\cdots+c_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}$ be a function in $\Omega$ of the roots, such that it is altered in value for every interchange of the roots. Then $M$ belongs to $Q$, and is a number in the given domain. Hence, by the above theorem, $P=1$ for $\Omega_{\left(a, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)}$.

Ex. 2. Find the Galois group of the cubic $x^{3}+3 x^{2}-6 x+1=0$.
The discrminaut ( $\S 35$ ) is found to be $27{ }^{2}$. By § 77 the alternating function of $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ equals the square root of the discriminant. This function admits the alternating group. See Ex. 1, $\S 100$. Take $M=\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}-\left(\ell_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)=27, Q=\left(\mathcal{r}_{3},{ }^{(3)}\right.\right.$, and $\Omega=\Omega_{(1)}$. We see that $M$ is unaltered in value by the substitutions of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{3}{ }^{(3)}$, but that its algebraic sign is altered by the remaining substitutions of ( $_{6}{ }^{(3)}$. Hence $M$ belongs to $\left(y_{3}{ }^{(3)} ; M\right.$ is a number in $\Omega_{(1)}$. Therefore the required group is either $G_{3}{ }^{(3)}$ or the group 1 . By $\S 54$ we see that the equation has arrational roots; hence $P$ cannot be 1 , it must be $G_{3}{ }^{(3)}$ for the domann $\Omega_{(1)}$.

Ex. 3. Find the Galois group of Newton's cubic

$$
x^{3}-2 x-5=0 .
$$

The discriminant is not a perfect square ; hence $P=G_{6}{ }^{(3)}$ for $\Omega_{(1)}$.
Ex. 4. Show that $P=\left(G_{3}{ }^{(3)}\right.$ for the cubic

$$
x^{3}-3\left(c^{2}+c+1\right) x+\left(c^{2}+c+1\right)(2 c+1)=0
$$

and the domain $\Omega_{(1, c)}$.
Ex. 5. Show that $G_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ II is the Galois group of $x^{4}+1=0$ for the domain $\Omega_{(1)}$.

The discriminant, §51, is 256, a perfect square. Hence the alternating function which belongs to $G_{12^{(4)}}$ is a number in $\Omega_{(1)}$. The required group is either $G_{12}{ }^{(4)}$ or one of its sub-groups. It cannot be the identical group, because the roots are not rational ; it cannot be $G_{2}{ }^{(4)}$, because this is intransitive, while $x^{4}+1$ is irreducible (§ 156 ). Hence the group is either $G_{12}{ }^{(4)}$ or $G_{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{II}$. We see that $y \equiv\left(\ell-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)$ is unaltered by $G_{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{II}$, but is altered in form by all substitutions not in $\boldsymbol{q}_{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{II}$. The resolvent cubic, having $y$ as a root, is $y^{s}-12 y+16=0$ (Ex. 17, §71). Since the
roots of this resolvent are rational, $y$ is a number in $\Omega_{(1)}$. Sirce these roots are distinct, $y$ is altered not only in form, but also in value by sub)stitutions not in $G_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ II. Hence $y$ belongs to $G_{4}^{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{II}$, and we may take $y=M$. Hence $G_{4}{ }^{(4)} I I$ is the required group.

Ex. 6. Find $P$ for the equation $\left(x^{2}+2\right)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)=0, \Omega_{(1)}$.
The Galois group of $x^{2}+2=0$ for $\Omega_{(1)}$ is $P=1$, ( $\left(e\left(x_{1}\right)\right.$. The equation $x^{2}+x+1=0$ gives, for $\Omega_{(1)}, P^{\prime}=1,\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right)$. If we multiply the substitutions of $P$ by those of $P^{\prime}$, we obtain the intransitive group $1,\left(\alpha \alpha_{1}\right),\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right)$, $\left(\mu \alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right) \equiv\left(\gamma_{4}{ }^{4}\right)$ III as the required group for the domam $\Omega_{(1)}$. See Ex. 6, § 104.

Ex. 7. For the domain $\Omega_{(1)}, x^{3}-2 x-5=0$ has $P=\left({ }_{6}{ }_{6}{ }^{(3)}\right.$. Show that for the domann $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{D})}, P=\left(i_{3}{ }_{3}^{(3)}\right.$.

Ex. 8 For the given domains find the Galois groups of
(a) $x^{2}+5 x+6=0, \Omega_{(1)}$.
(b) $x^{2}+5 x+5=0, \Omega_{(1)}$.
(c) $x^{4}+10=0, \Omega_{1}, v_{\overline{10})}$.
(d) $(x+1)^{3}=0, \Omega_{(1)}$.
(e) $x^{3}-21 x+35=0, \Omega_{(1)}$.
(f) $x^{3}-3(3+\sqrt{2}) x+7(1+\sqrt{2})=0, \Omega_{(1, \sqrt{2})}$.
(I) $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1=0, \Omega_{(1)}$.
(h) $\left(x^{2}+5\right)\left(x^{3}-21 x+35\right)=0, \Omega_{(1)}$, also $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{5})}$. See Ex. 7, § 104.
(i) $x^{6}-1=(x+1)(x-1)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)\left(x^{2}-x+1\right)=0, \Omega_{(1)}$.
(k) $x^{12}-1=0, \Omega_{(1)}$.
(l) $x^{4}+(a+b) x^{2}+a b=0, \Omega_{(1, a, b)}$.
(m) $x^{s}-2=0, \Omega_{(1)}$.
(n) $x^{4}+4 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+4 x+2=0$ for $\Omega_{(1)}$.

Ex. 9. Find a general expression for the equation of the fourth degree whose Galois group is $G_{8}^{\left({ }_{8}\right.}{ }^{(4)}$. Assume

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\ell-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}=8 c, \\
{\left[\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}=64 b,} \\
{\left[\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}\right]\left[\alpha-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right]=8 \sqrt{b} \cdot 4 a \sqrt{b},}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $b, c, d$ are rational numbers and $b$ is not a perfect square. These
assumptions are justified by the fact that the left member of each equation is a function wheh belongs to $\int_{8}{ }_{8}^{(4)}, \S 154$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2} & =4(c+\sqrt{ } \bar{b}),\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}=4(c-\sqrt{ }) \\
& \alpha-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}=4 d \sqrt{ } \bar{b} \\
& \alpha+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=4 b_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\alpha=l_{1}+d \sqrt{b}+\sqrt{c+\sqrt{b}}, \alpha_{2}=l_{1}+d \sqrt{ } \bar{b}-\sqrt{c+\sqrt{ } \bar{b}}$,

$$
\alpha_{1}=b_{1}-d \sqrt{b}+\sqrt{c-\sqrt{ } b}, a_{3}=b_{1}-d \sqrt{ } \bar{b}-\sqrt{c}-\overline{\sqrt{ } b}
$$

Diminishing each root by $b_{1}$ and forming the quartic, we obtain the result

$$
y^{4}-2\left(b t^{2}+c\right) y^{2}-4 b d y+\left(b d^{2}-r\right)^{2}-b=0 .
$$

Ex. 10. The quartic whose Galois group is $G_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ III is the reducible equation,

$$
x^{4}-2\left(c^{2}+d\right) x^{2}-4 c e x+\left(c^{2}-a+e\right)\left(c^{2}-a-e\right)=0
$$

where $(d+e)$ and $(d-\rho)$ are not perfect squares.
Derive this by assuming

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}+\ell_{2}-\mu_{3}-\mu_{4} & =4 c \\
\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{4}\right)^{2} & =8 d, \\
\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{4}\right)^{2} & =8 e .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex. 11. Find a general expression for equations of the fourth degree


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\alpha_{1}-i \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}+i \alpha_{4}\right)^{4}, \\
& \left(\alpha_{1}+i \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}-i \alpha_{4}\right)^{4}, \\
& \left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}\right)^{2}, \\
& \left(\alpha_{1}-i \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}+i \alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+i \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}-i \alpha_{4}\right), \\
& \left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}-i \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}+i \alpha_{4}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and impose upon the letters which appear in the expressions for the coefficients of the quartic no other conditions than that they shall be rational and one of them shall not be a perfect fourth power. See Ex. 3, § 176.

Ex. 12. Show that, if the roots of the cubic in Ex. 11, § 71, are all rational, the Galois group of the quartic having the roots $a, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ is either $G_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ II or one of its sub-groups.

Consider

$$
(a \beta+\gamma \delta)-(a \gamma+\beta \delta) .
$$

Ex. 13. The product

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\mu_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right)
$$

is a symmetric function of $\ell_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}$. The square of the product of the first two factors belongs to $G_{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{II}$. To find the general quartic having $\boldsymbol{G}_{4}{ }^{4}{ }^{4} \mathrm{II}$ as its Galois group, we may therefore assume the factors to equal, respectively, $\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{c}, d \sqrt{ } b \bar{c}$, where $b, c, d$ are rational, but where $b c$ is not a perfect square.

The required equation, deprived of its second term, is

$$
y^{4}-2\left(b+c+b c c^{2}\right) y^{2}-8 b c d y+\left(b-c-b c d^{2}\right)^{2}-4 b c^{2} d^{2}=0 .
$$

Ex. 14. Show that $x^{4}+2 b x^{2}+c=0$ has the group $\left(18_{(4)}{ }^{(4)}\right.$ when $b$ and $c$ are subject only to the condition that $b^{2}-c$ is not the square of a number in $\Omega_{(1, b, c)}$.

Ex. 15. Show that $x^{4}+2 b x^{2}+c=0$ has the group $G_{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{II}$ when $c$, but not $b^{2}-c$, is the square of a number in $\Omega_{(1, b)}$.

Ex. 16. Show that $x^{4}-8 S x^{2}+8 S^{2}-8 S^{4}=2$, where $S$ is any number in $\Omega_{(1)}$, has the group $\boldsymbol{G}_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ I. See Ex. 11 .

## CHAPTER XV

## REDUCTION OF THE GALOIS RESOLVENT BY ADJUNCTION

160. Definition of $M$. Let the Galois group $P$ (of the order $p$ ) of the equation $f(x)=0$, having the roots $\mu, \mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}$, possess a sub-group $Q$ of the order $q$, where $p=q . j, j$ being the index of $Q$ under $P$. For the purposes of the theorems in succeeding chapters, we define $M$ nearly as in $\$ 1 \% 9$.

Let $M$ be any function in $\Omega 2$ of the roots $\alpha, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ which belongs to $Q$ as a sub-group of $P(\$ 111)$.
161. Theorem. By operating upon $M$ with the substitutions of $P$ we obtain.$j$ distimet values of $M$ which are roots of an irreducible equation of the jth rlegree in $\Omega$.

If $t$ is a substitution of the Galois group $P$ which does not occur in the sub-group $\left(Q\right.$, and if $s, s_{1}, \cdots, s_{q-1}$ be the substitutions of $Q$, then by the definition of a group,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t, s_{1} t, \cdots, s_{q-1} t \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

are all substitutions of $P$. But the substitutions $s_{r} t$ in $I$, when applied to $M$, all produce the same effect, for in any case we may operate with the product $s_{r} t$ by first operating with $s$, and then upon the result with $t$. By hypothesis, operating with $s_{r}$ upon $M$ produces no change whatever, hence $s, t$ produces always only the result due to $t$ alone.

By hypothesis it follows that, as $t$ does not occur in the subgroup $Q, t$ operated upon $M$ gives us a new value $M_{1}$.

From § 106 we see that there are as many sets of substitutions I in the group $P$ as $q$ is contained in $p$; namely,,$j$ sets. The substitutions of any one set applied to $M$ all give the same value for $M$, but no two sets yield the same value.

For suppose $s, t_{2}$ and $s, t_{k}$ yielded the same value for $\boldsymbol{M}$; that is, suppose
and

$$
M_{i}=M \text { operated upm by } s, t_{t}
$$

$$
M_{\imath}=M \text { operated upon ly } s, t_{k},
$$

then, operating with $\left(s, t_{2}\right)^{1}$ upon $\boldsymbol{M}_{2}$ would give $\boldsymbol{M}=\boldsymbol{M}$ operated upon by $\left(s, t_{k}\right)\left(s, t_{r}\right)^{-1}$.

That is, $\left(s, l_{k}\right)\left(s, t_{1}\right)^{-1}$ is a substitution contained in the group $Q$ and is equal to, say $s_{m}$. If $s_{m} \equiv\left(s, t_{k}\right)\left(s, t_{t}\right)^{-1}$, then, operating with $s, t$, we get

$$
s_{l} t_{k}=s_{m} \cdot s_{r} t_{t}=s_{m}{ }^{\prime} t_{v},
$$

where $s_{m}{ }^{\prime}$ is a substitution in $Q$. Since the effects of $s_{1} t_{k}$ and $s_{m}{ }^{\prime} t_{2}$ upon $M$ are the effects of $t_{k}$ and $t_{\text {, }}$ alone, it follows that $t_{k}=t_{\text {, }}$, which is contrary to supposition. Hence s, $t_{t}$ and $s_{1} t_{L}$ must yıeld different values when applied to $M$.
The function $\phi(y) \equiv(!y-M)\left(y-M_{1}\right) \cdots\left(y-M_{j-1}\right)$ is now seen to be invariant under any substitution of $P$.

The cofficients of $y$ in $\phi(!)$, obtaned by performing the indicated multipluations, are symuetric functions of $\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{M}_{1}, \cdots$, $M_{j-1}$ and, therefore, by the definition of $M$, functions in $\Omega$ of the roots of $f(x, x)=0$, functions which admit of the substitutions of the (ialois group $P$. Hence these coefficients are numbers in $\Omega(\$ 154)$.

To prove the irreducibility of $\phi(y)$, assume that $\theta(y)$ is any function of $!$ in $\Omega$, which vanishes for $y=M$. Then $\theta(\boldsymbol{M})=0$. Since $\theta(M)$ must admit all the substitutions of the Galois group (§ 153 ), we must have $\theta\left(M_{i}\right)=0$, where $i$ has any value $0,1,2, \cdots,(. j-1)$. Hence $\theta(y)$ cannot be of lower degree than the $j$ th. As all the roots $M, M_{1}, \cdots, M_{j}$ of $\phi(y)=0$ satisfy $\theta(y)=0, \theta(y)$ is divisible by $\phi(!!)$.

Now, if $\phi(y)$ were reducible, one of its factors would vanish for $y=M$. Since $\theta(y)$ may be a $n y$ algebraic function in $\Omega$ which vanishes for $y=M$, let $\theta(y)$ represent this factor. Then it would follow that this factor would be divisible by the whole product $\phi(y)$, which is impossible. Hence $\boldsymbol{\phi}(y)$ is irreducible.
162. Theorem of Lagrange as generalized by Galois. Any number in the Galois domuin which admits the substitutions of the gromp $Q$ is contained in the domain $\Omega_{(\mu)}$.

In $\S 161$ we saw that $M$, a function which belongs to $Q$, assumed the following distinct values, when operated on by the substitutions of $P$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M, M_{1}, \cdots, M_{\jmath-1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M^{\prime}$ be any function in $\Omega$ of the roots $\alpha, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}$ which admits the substitutions of $Q$. Let any substitution of $P$ which changes $\boldsymbol{M}$ into $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{v}}$, change $\boldsymbol{M}^{\prime}$ into $M^{\prime}$, , then we get the following values, corresponding to those in I,

$$
M^{\prime}, M_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, M_{j_{-1}}^{\prime} .
$$

II
These are not necessarily distinct.
Accordingly when upon the series of numbers I and II we operate with a substitution of $P$, there occurs a permutation in each series, but such that if $M_{2}$ changes to $M_{1}$, then $M_{1}^{\prime}$, changes to $\boldsymbol{M}_{r \text {. }}^{\prime}$

Defining $\phi(y)$ as in § 161, consider the function

$$
\Phi(y) \equiv \phi(y)\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{y-M}+\frac{M_{1}^{\prime}}{y-M_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{M_{j-1}^{\prime}}{y-M_{j-1}}\right),
$$

which is an integral function of $y$ of the $(j-1)$ th degree. This function is invariant under all substitutions of $P$. Hence it is a function in $\Omega$. Take $y=M$. Remenbering that $\phi(y)$ has no equal roots, we have (reasoning as in § 142)

$$
M^{\prime}=\frac{\Phi(M)}{\phi^{\prime}(M)},
$$

where $\phi^{\prime}$ indicates the first differential coefficient of $\phi$ with respect to $y$. Thus $M^{\prime}$ is a number in the domain $\Omega_{(\boldsymbol{x})}$.

Ex. 1. Find the value of a root $\alpha$ of the equation $x^{2}+2=0$ in terms of $\alpha-\alpha_{1}$, it being given that $P=1,\left(\alpha \alpha_{1}\right)$.

If we take $Q=1$, we see that $M \equiv \alpha-\alpha_{1}$ is a function which belongs to $Q$ and that $M^{\prime} \equiv \alpha$ is a function which admits $Q$. We find $M_{1} \equiv \alpha_{1}-\alpha$,
$\phi(y) \equiv(y-M)\left(y-M_{1}\right)=y^{2}-\left(\alpha-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}, \Phi(y) \equiv y\left(\alpha+\alpha_{1}\right)+\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}{ }^{2}$ $-2 \alpha \alpha_{1}=-8, \phi^{\prime}(y)=2 y . \quad$ Hence $\alpha=\Phi(M) / \phi^{\prime}(M)=-4 / M$. The correctness of this result is easily shown.

Ex. 2. For the equation $x^{2}+a x+b=0$, having the group $P=1$, ( $火\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$, find $\alpha^{3}-\alpha_{1}^{2}$ as a function of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ in $\Omega_{(1)}$.

Take $Q=1, M=\alpha, M^{\prime}=u^{3}-\alpha_{1}^{2}$, then $\Phi(y)=\left(3 a b+2 b-a^{2}-\left(l^{3}\right) y\right.$ $+3 a b+2 b^{2}-a^{2} b-u^{3}, \phi^{\prime}(!!)=2 y+a$. Hence

$$
M^{\prime}=\left[\left(3 a b+2 b-a^{2}-a^{3}\right) M+3 a b+2 b^{2}-a^{2} b-a^{3}\right] \cdot(2 M+a) .
$$

Ex. 3 Find the value of [ $\omega, \alpha]^{3}$ for the cubic $x^{3}+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2} x+a_{3}=0$ in terms of the alternating function $\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)=\sqrt{D}$.
Let

$$
M=\sqrt{ } D \text {. then }{ }_{1} M=-\sqrt{D} .
$$

We have $M^{\prime} \equiv[\omega, \alpha]^{3}, M_{1} \equiv\left[\omega^{2}, \alpha\right]^{3}, \phi(y) \equiv y^{2}-D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(y) & =y\left(M^{\prime}+M_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\sqrt{ } D\left(M^{\prime}-M_{1}^{\prime}\right) \text {. By § 71, Ex. } 15, \\
M^{\prime}+M_{1}^{\prime} & =-2 a_{1}^{3}+9 a_{1} t_{2}-27 a_{3} . \quad W^{\prime} \text { find } M^{\prime}-M_{1}=-3 i \sqrt{3 D}, \\
\Phi(M) & \equiv \sqrt{ } D\left(-2 a_{1}^{9}+9 a_{1} a_{2}-27 a_{3}-3 i \sqrt{ }: 3 \bar{D}\right), \\
\phi^{\prime}(M) & \equiv 2 \sqrt{ } \bar{D}, M^{\prime}=\sum_{2}^{1}\left(-2 a_{1}^{3}+9 a_{1} a_{2}-27 a_{8}-3 i \sqrt{3} \bar{D}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

See also the solution in § 173.
Ex 4 For the (quartic $x^{4}+4 l_{1} x^{3}+6 b_{2} x^{2}+4 b_{3} x+b_{4}=0$, find the value of $M^{\prime} \equiv\left(\mu+\mu_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{s}\right)$ in terms of $M$, where

$$
1 ; M_{1} \equiv\left(\alpha-\alpha+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}
$$

Both $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ belong to the group $C_{y_{8}(\mathbf{t})}$. Notice that $M$ is a root of the cubic III, § 62. See also § 169. Hence that cubic is $\phi(y)=0$. We find $16^{2} \Phi(y) \equiv 16^{2}\left(M^{\prime}+M_{1}^{\prime}+M M_{11}\right) y^{2}-16\left(\left\{M_{1}+M_{11}\right\} M^{\prime}+\left\{M+M_{11}\right\} M_{1}^{\prime}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\left\{M+M_{1}\right\}^{3} M^{\prime}{ }_{11}\right) y+M M_{1} M_{11} M^{\prime}+M M M_{11} M_{1}+M M_{1} M^{\prime}{ }_{11} \\
& \quad=16^{2} \cdot 2 \Sigma \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdot y^{2}-16\left(4 \Sigma \alpha \alpha_{1} \cdot \Sigma \alpha^{2}-8 \Sigma \alpha^{2} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right) y \\
& +\left(2 \Sigma \alpha^{5} \alpha_{1}-6 \Sigma\left(\alpha^{4} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+4 \Sigma \alpha^{3} \alpha_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{2}-4 \Sigma \alpha^{3} \alpha_{1}{ }^{8}-4 \Sigma \alpha^{2} \alpha_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In Ex. 10, § 71 , the values of the symmetric functions occurring here are given.

Ex. 5. Complete the computation in Ex. 4 for the special quartic $x^{4}+6 x^{2}+4 x+1=0$. We obtain $\Phi(y) \equiv 12 y^{2}-16 y-3$,

$$
\phi(y) \equiv y^{8}+3 y^{2}+2 y-\frac{1}{4}, M^{\prime}=\begin{gathered}
\Phi(M) \\
\phi^{\prime}(M)
\end{gathered}=4-\begin{gathered}
40 M+11 \\
3 M^{2}+6 M+2
\end{gathered}
$$

163. Reduction of Galois Group. If we alljuin to $\Omega$ a finnction $M$, the Galois group reduces to $Q$.

Firstly, each function in $\Omega_{(M)}$ of the roots $\left(\ell, \ell_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{n-1}\right.$ of the original equation $f(x)=0$, which equals a number in $\Omega_{(v)}$, admits the substitutions of $Q$; for, this number in $\Omega_{(M)}$ is a function of $\boldsymbol{M}$, and $\boldsymbol{M}$ admits all the substitutions of $\mathcal{Q}$.

Secondly, each function in $\Omega_{(M)}$ of the roots $\left(\iota, \cdots, \ell_{n-1}\right.$, which admits the substitutions of $Q$ is by $\$ 16 \%$ a number in $\Omega_{(M)}$.

But these are the two charaternstic properties of the Galois group in the domain $\Omega_{(M)}(\$ 155)$. Hence $Q$ is the Galois group of $f(x)=0$ in the new domain $\Omega_{(u)}$.

This reduction of the order of the (ralois group from 1 to $q$ ( $\S 160$ ) was effected by the adjunction of $M$, the root of an auxiliary equation of degree $j$ ( $\$ 161$ ).

[^8]| M | 1 | $\phi(y)=0, \S 161$ | Domuin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Ci}_{1.4}{ }^{(4)}$ |  | $\Omega_{(1)}$ |
| $\sqrt{D}$ | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{(4)}\right.$ | $n=229$ | $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{2} 219}$ |
| $y=\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)$ | $\left(r_{4}{ }^{(t)}\right.$ II | $!^{3}-12 y+\sqrt{229}=0, \S 71$, Ex. 17 | $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{1}, y)}$ |
| $z=\alpha-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}$ | $G_{2}{ }^{(t)}$ | $9 z^{2}=137+18 y-16 y^{2}-2 y \sqrt{D}$ | $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{D}, y, z)}$ |
| $w=\alpha-\alpha_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{A l}_{1}{ }^{(4)}$ | $w^{2}-z w+y=0$ | $\Omega_{(1, \sqrt{D}, y, z, w)}$ |

Show that $y$ involves the irrational $\sqrt[3]{12 \sqrt{ }-3-4 \sqrt{2} 24}$.
Ex. 2. Show that the roots of the quartic in Ex. 1 can be expressed rationally in terms of the roots of the quadratics in $z$ and $w$.

* Ex. 3. Apply the process of Ex. 1 to the quartic

$$
x^{4}+a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x+a_{4}=0
$$

and deduce the successive resolvent equations $\phi(y)=0$; viz.,

$$
\begin{gathered}
D=250\left(I^{3}-27 J^{2}\right)(\S 51), y^{8}-12 I+\sqrt{D}=0 \\
72 J z^{2}=72 a_{1^{2} \cdot T}-102 a_{2} J+144 y \cdot T+8 I y^{2}+y \sqrt{D}-64 I^{2} \\
w^{2}-z w+y=0
\end{gathered}
$$

164. A Resolution of the Galois Resolvent. Leet the Galois resolvent $g(y)=0$ have a root $\rho$. If we effect upon $\rho$ the substitutions $s_{2}$ of the sub-group) $Q$, one at a time, we get the values

$$
\rho, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \cdots, \rho_{q-1},
$$

where $\rho_{1}$ is gotten by operating upon $\rho$ with the substitution $s_{r}$.
If upon the $\rho$ 's in I we effect any substitution of the group $Q$, the $\rho_{2}$ in I simply undergo a permutation; for, each result thus obtained, being derived from $\rho$ by effecting two substitutions in succession, is equivalent to $\rho$, operated upon by that substitution of $(Q$ which is the product of those two substitutions. Hence,

$$
g(y, M) \equiv(y-\rho)\left(y-\rho_{1}\right) \cdots\left(y-\rho_{q-1}\right),
$$

is invariant under $Q$, and the coefficients of $y$ in expression II are numbers in $\Omega_{(m,}$, $\$ 162$. By the notation $g(y, M)$ we mean here a function of $y$, 11 which the coefficients of $y$ are numbers in $\Omega_{(, n)}$.

Now $g(y, \boldsymbol{M})$ is a divisor of $g(y)$ in the domain $\Omega_{(M)}$, for the former is of degree $q$, the latter of $\eta$, and $p=i q, \& 160$.

If upon II we effect a substitution / which occurs in $P$, but not in $Q$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(!, l, M_{t}\right) \equiv\left(!/-\rho^{(t)}\right)\left(y-\rho_{1}^{(t)}\right) \cdots\left(!/-\rho_{q-1}^{(t)}\right) . \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values $\rho^{(t)}, \rho_{1}^{(t)}, \cdots, \rho_{q-1}^{(t)}$ are roots of $g(y)=0$, hence III is also a divisor of $g(y)$.
Two sets of roots $\rho^{(t)}, \cdots, \rho^{q-1(t)}$ obtained from two distinct substitutions $t$, are either indentical or they have no root in common. Consequently, two distinct functions $g\left(y, M_{t}\right)$ have no common factor, and we have the resolution into distinct factors

$$
g(y)=g(y, M) \cdot g\left(y, M_{1}\right) \cdots g\left(y, M_{j-1}\right) .
$$

IV
It is to be noticed that in this resolution the factors $g\left(y, M_{1}\right)$ do not usually belong to the same domain ; they belong respectively to the domains $\Omega_{(M)}, \Omega_{\left(\mu_{1}\right)}, \cdots, \Omega_{\left(M_{i}\right)}$. Another resolution of $g(y)$ is possible, in which all the factors belong to the same domain $\Omega_{(\mathbb{N})}$.
165. Adjunction of Any Irrationality. If by the adjunction of any irrational $\mathbf{X}$ to $\Omega$ we obtain a domain $\Omega_{(X)}$ in which the Galois resolvent $g(y)=0$ becomes a reducible equation, so that

$$
g_{1}(y, X) \equiv(y-\rho)\left(y-\rho_{1}\right) \cdots\left(y-\rho_{q-1}\right)
$$

is an irreducible factor of $g(y)$ in $\Omega_{(x)}$ of the degree $q$, then in this new domain the Galois group is reduced to the sub-group

$$
1,\left(\rho \rho_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(\rho \rho_{q-1}\right)
$$

Adjoin $X$. Since $g(y)=0$ is a normal equation in $\Omega, \S 146$, we have $\rho_{2}=\phi_{2}(\rho)$. In

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(y, \mathbf{X}) \equiv(!y-\rho)\left(!y-\rho_{1}\right) \cdots\left(y-\rho_{q-1}\right)=0 \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

write $\phi_{\mathbf{1}}(y)$ in place of $!$; we ohtain a new equation in $y$, viz.,

$$
g_{1}\left(\phi_{1}(y), X\right) \equiv\left(\phi_{1}(!!)-\rho\right)\left(\phi_{2}(!)-\rho_{1}\right) \cdots\left(\phi_{2}(!)-\rho_{q-1}\right)=0 . \quad \text { II }
$$

As I is irreducible in $\Omega$ and I and II have a root $\rho$ in common, all the roots of I satisfy II. Let $\rho_{h}$ be any root of I; then putting $y=\rho_{h}$, one of the factors in II must vanish; say, the factor $\phi_{i}\left(\rho_{h}\right)-\rho_{k}$.

We have now the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{t}=\phi_{\mathbf{l}}(\rho), \\
& \rho_{k}=\phi_{i}\left(\rho_{h}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the equality of the substitutions

$$
\left(\rho_{\imath} o_{k}\right)=\left(\rho \rho_{h}\right)
$$

Multiplying by ( $\rho \rho_{\imath}$ ), we have
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\rho \rho_{i}\right)\left(\rho, \rho_{k}\right) & =\left(\rho \rho_{\imath}\right)\left(\rho \rho_{h}\right), \\
\left(\rho \rho_{k}\right) & =\left(\rho \rho_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\left(\rho \rho_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, the product of any two substitutions in the set $1,\left(\rho \rho_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(\rho \rho_{q-1}\right)$ is equal to one of the substitutions in the set. Hence they form a group, § 95 . Call this sub-group $Q$.

Equation I is the Galois resolvent of $f(x)=0$ for the domain $\Omega_{(X)}$; for this equation is by hypothesis irreducible in $\Omega_{(X)}$, and the two other conditions are satisfied, because of the relation $\Omega_{\left(a, \cdots, a_{n-1}\right)}=\Omega_{(\rho)}=\Omega_{\left(\rho_{2}\right)}$ § 145 .

Hence $Q$ is the Galois group of $f(x)=0$ in the domain $\Omega_{(x)}$.
166. $M$ a Function of $X$. M cien be expressed as a function in $\Omega$ of any irrational $\mathbf{X}$ which reduces the Gulvis group to $Q$.

We have seen that $g_{1}\left(y, X^{\Sigma}\right)$ is a function in $\Omega_{(x)}$ of $y$, whose coefficients admit the substitutions of the sul-group $\mathcal{Q}$. Since $M$ belongs to $Q$ and these coefficients almit $Q$, the coefficients are numbers in $\Omega_{(\mu)}, \S 162$. Hence we may express the product

$$
(y-\rho)\left(y-\rho_{1}\right) \cdots\left(y-\rho_{y-1}\right)
$$

as a function of $y$ and $X$ and designate it, as above, by $g_{1}(y, X)$, or we may express it as a function of $y$ and $M$ and designate it by $g(y, M)$. We have then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y, M)=g_{1}(y, X) . \tag{l}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $M$ is the root of an irreducible equation in $\Omega$ of degree $j$, § 161; namely, the equation

$$
\phi(z)=0, \quad \text { II }
$$

of which the other roots are $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots, M_{j-1}$. By $\S 164$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(y)=g(y, M) \cdot g\left(y, M_{1}\right) \cdots g\left(y, M_{J-1}\right) . \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation $I$ is not satisfied when in the left member we substitute for $M$ one of its other conjugates; for, supposing it were, it would follow that $g(y, M)$ is equal to one of the other factors in the right member of III, a conclusion at variance with the fact that $g(y)$, being irreducible in $\Omega$, can have no equal roots.

It is, therefore, possible to assign to $y$ such a rational value that the equation

$$
g(. y, z)-y_{1}(y, X)=0, \quad \text { IV }
$$

in which $z$ is regarded as the unknown quantity, has only one root in common with equation II; namely, $z=\boldsymbol{M}$.

The II. C. F. of II and IV is consequently a binomial, linear with respect to $z$. Sime the coefficients of $z \mathrm{~m}$ both II and IV are numbers m $\Omega_{(r 1}$, and the process of finding the II. C.F. includes only operations of subtraction, multiplication, and division, and thereby never introluces new irrationals, it follows that the H. C. F., $z-M$, is a function in $\Omega_{(X)}$. In other words, $\boldsymbol{M}$ is a number in $\Omega_{(x)}$, and therefore a function in $\Omega$ of $\boldsymbol{X}$.

Corollary I. The domuin $\Omega_{(u)}$ of Iegree $j$ is a divisor of the clomain $\Omega_{(X)}$, since every number in $\Omega_{(M)}$ is a function in $\Omega$ of $\boldsymbol{X}$.

Corollary II. The number $X$ is a root of the irreducible equation $h(y)=0$ of the same degree as that of the domain $\Omega_{(x)}$, $\S 138$. Hence the dryree of $h(y)=0$ is a multiple of $j$, the degree of equction II.

Corombary IIT. If X is taken as a fuction in $\Omega$ of $M$, then $\Omega_{(x)}$ end $\Omega_{(, n)}$ we indentical.

Corollary IV. The reduction of the Galois group, caused by any irrational $I$ which is not a number in the Galons domain, can be effected equally well by some number $M$ which is in the Galois domain. That is, erer!y possible relluction of the Galois (froup) may be effected by the adjunction of some mumber belonging to the Galois domain.

The numbers in the Galois domain of the equation $f(x)=0$ are called by Kronecker the "natural irrationalities" of $f(x)=0$. The corollary may now be stated thus: Euer! possible reduction of the Galois group maly be effected by the udjunction of a natural irrationality.

Ex. 1. In Ex. $1, \S 163$, adjoin to $\Omega_{(1)}, X=\sqrt[n]{\sqrt{ } D}$. Here $X$ admits the substitutions of the alternating group, and the Galois group is reduced
to $G_{12}{ }^{(4)}$. Now $X$ does not occur in the Galois domain $\Omega_{\left(a, a_{1} \cdot a_{2}, a_{1}\right)}$ $\equiv \Omega_{(1, \sqrt{D}, y, z, w)}$ and is, therefore, not a natural irrationality. The reduction brought about by $\boldsymbol{X}$ can be effected by $\sqrt{D}$, which is a number in the Galois domain, hence is a natural irrationality. This illustrates Corollary IV.

The relation $\sqrt{ } D=X^{n}$ illustrates the theorem itself. We have

$$
\left.g(y) \equiv(y-\sqrt{D})(y+\sqrt{D})=0, \text { or } y^{2}=1\right)
$$

Let $y_{1}=\sqrt[n]{\sqrt{ } D}, y_{2}=\sqrt[n]{ }-\sqrt{ } \bar{D}$, and we get $h(y) \equiv\left(y^{n}-\sqrt{D}\right)\left(y^{n}+\sqrt{D}\right)$ $=0$, or $y^{2 n}=D$. This illustrates Corollaries II and I.

Ex. 2. If the group $P$ of an equation is $\boldsymbol{T r s}_{s}(\mathbf{4})$, illustrate the above theorem and corollaries by taking $\boldsymbol{X}=\sqrt[3]{( }\left(\mu \alpha_{1}-\left(\mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu\left(\mu_{2}+\mu_{1} \alpha_{3}\right)^{\overline{2}}\right.\right.$. See Ex. 6, § 113.

## CHAPTER XVI

## THE SOLUTION OF EQUATIONS VIEWED FROM THE STANDPOINT OF THE GALOIS THEORY

167. General Plan. Quadratic Equation. The problem, to solve an algebraic equation, is replaced in the Galois theory by another problem, to bring about a reduction of the Galors group and a lowering of the degree of the Galois resolvent by the successive adjunction of simple algebraic numbers. If a function $M$ is adjoined to $\Omega$, the Galois group is reduced to $Q$. It becomes necessary to determine the numerical value of $M$ for the given equation $f(x)=0$. This we endeavor to do by the construction and solution of an auxiliary equation of the degree $j$, where $j$ is the index of $Q$ under $P$. The roots of this auxiliary equation, or resolvent, are the required values of the conjugates of $M$. This same process is repeated upon the reduced Galois group until this group finally becomes 1. Then the enlarged domain contains the roots of the given equation, and the values of the roots may be found in terms of the numbers $M, M^{\prime}, \cdots$ which have been adjoined to the original domain.

Quadrutic Equation. The Galois group of $x^{2}+a_{2} x+a_{2}=0$ is the symmetric group $G_{2}^{(2)}$, § 158 . Its only sub-group is 1 , § 104, whose index $j=2$. Take $M=\alpha-\alpha_{1}$ Its other con jugate value is $M_{1}=\alpha_{1}-\alpha . \quad M$ and $M_{1}$ are roots of the equation $y^{2}=\alpha^{2}-2 \mu x_{1}+\alpha_{1}^{2}=a_{1}^{2}-4 a_{2}, \S$ 161. We get $y= \pm \sqrt{a_{1}{ }^{2}-4 a_{1}}$ as the values of $M$ and $M_{1}$. After adjoining $M$, the Galois group is 1 ; the enlarged domain is $\Omega_{\left(1, a_{1}, a_{2}, ~\right.}^{\left.a_{a_{1}-4 a_{2}}\right)}$. We know that $a+\alpha_{1}=-a_{1}$ and $\alpha-\alpha_{1}=\sqrt{a_{1}^{2}-4 a_{2}}$. Hence

$$
2 \alpha=-a_{1}+\sqrt{a_{1}^{2}-4 a_{2}} \text { and } 2 \alpha_{1}=-a_{1}-\sqrt{a_{1}^{2}-4 a_{2}} .
$$

Theoretieally there is an infinite number of ways of solving the cquadratic, because there is an infinite number of functions $M$ to choose from. Thus we may take $M=N\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2 n+1}$, where $n$ may be any value which gives $M$ and $M_{1}$ distinct values, and $S^{\prime}$ is any symmetric function of $\alpha, \alpha_{1}$.
168. Cubic Equation. From the point of view of the Galois theory the solution given in $\$ 69$ may be outlined as follows: The change from $x$ to $z$ is an operation which does not alter the domain. The same is true of the change from $z$ to $x$, after $z$ has been found; also of the substitution of $1+v$ for $z$, and its inverse, and of the climination of $r$. The solution of the cubic may be exhibited thus (where $\sqrt{D_{1}}=\sqrt{-3} \sqrt{D}$ ) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(\jmath)=0, \S 161 \\
& \text { M } \\
& P \quad \Omega \\
& \boldsymbol{( X}_{6}^{\prime}{ }^{(3)} \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)} \equiv \mathbf{\Omega}^{\prime} \\
& u^{6}+G u^{3}-I^{3}=0 \quad u^{3}=\frac{-G}{2}+\frac{\eta_{10}{ }^{3}}{18} \sqrt{I D_{1}} \quad G_{3}^{\prime}(i) \quad \Omega^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{n_{1}}\right) \\
& u^{3}=\frac{-G}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{G^{2}}{4}+H^{3}} \quad u=\frac{1}{3}\left(u+\omega\left(x_{1}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{2}\right) \quad\left(X_{1}^{\prime}(3) \quad \Omega^{\prime}{ }_{\left(\sqrt{n_{1}}, u\right)} .\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The numbers adjoined to $\Omega^{\prime}$ are determined by the roots of two resolvent equations $\phi(!/)=0$, the first a quadratic, the second a pure cubic equation.
169. Quartic Equation. We give here those steps in the solution given in $\S 62$ which involve an extension of the domain. We let $16 u \equiv\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}, 16 v \equiv\left(\alpha+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}$, $16 w \equiv\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(y)=0 \quad M \quad P \\
& 4 b_{0}{ }^{3} x^{3}-b_{0} I x+J=0 \quad b_{0}{ }^{2} x_{1} \equiv b_{0} b_{2}-b_{1}{ }^{2}+u \quad\left({ }_{8}{ }_{8}{ }^{(4)} \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\prime}(u)\right. \\
& v=b_{1}{ }^{2}-b_{0} b_{2}+b_{0}{ }^{2} x_{2} \quad \sqrt{ } v \quad \boldsymbol{f}_{4}{ }^{(4)} \text { III } \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\prime}\left(u, v_{\bar{v}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ u = b _ { 1 } { } ^ { 2 } - b _ { 0 } b _ { 2 } + b _ { 0 } { } ^ { 2 } x _ { 1 } } \\
{ w = b _ { 1 } { } ^ { 2 } - b _ { 0 } b _ { 2 } + b _ { 0 } { } ^ { 2 } x _ { 3 } }
\end{array} \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \sqrt { u } } \\
{ \sqrt { w } }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ 1 } \\
{ 1 }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Omega^{\prime}(\sqrt{\bar{u}}, \sqrt{\hat{v}}) \\
\Omega^{\prime}(\sqrt{\vec{w}}, \sqrt{v}) .
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ III is an minansitive group, the quartic can be factored in the domain $\Omega_{\left(u, V_{n}\right)}^{\prime}$. The two quadratic equations thereby obtained have as (falons groups $1,(a b)$, and 1 , (cd), respectively. From VI, § 62, we see that $\Omega^{\prime}{ }_{\left(V_{\bar{u}}, V_{v}\right)}=\Omega_{\Omega^{\prime}\left(V_{v}, V_{v}\right)}$. Hence it is not necessary to adjoin more than one of the two irrationals $\sqrt{ } u, \sqrt{ } \bar{w}$.

The quartic offers a better exhibit of the Galois theory than did the quadratic and cubic equations, because not only may we select a great variety of different functions $M$ at each adjunction, but we may select different groups. In the above solution the series of groups taken is $G_{24}{ }^{(4)}, G_{8}{ }^{(4)}, G_{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{III}$, $G=(1,(c b)), G=1$, but another series may be chosen, viz. $G_{24}{ }^{(4)}, G_{12} \gamma^{(4)}, G_{4}^{(4)} \mathrm{II}, G_{2}^{(4)}, 1$. In Exs. 1 and 3, § 16;3, a solution of the quartic is outhned, in which this series of groups is used.

Again, we may effect a solution by first adjoining a function that belongs to the cyclic group ${ }_{G_{4}^{(4)}}{ }^{(4)}$; say,

$$
y=u \ell_{1}^{2}+\ell_{1} \mu_{2}^{2}+u_{1} \ell_{3}^{2}+\ell_{3} u^{2} .
$$

To be sure, the first resolvent equation $\phi(y)=0$ will be of the sixth degree, but it can be treated as an equation of the third degree and a quadratic.

The number of different solutions of cubic and quartic equations which have been given since the time of Tartaglia and Cardan is enormous. For information on different solutions consult L. Matthiessen, Grumlzïge rler Antiken u. Modernen Algebra.

It would seem that the above mode of procedure should lead to solutions of the general quintic equation. But an unexpected difficulty arises in our inability to solve all the resolvent equations. There arise resolvents of higher than the fourth degree. The Galois theory will furnish proof that the solution by radicals of the general quintic and of general equations of higher degrees is not possible. In the remaining chapters we shall demonstrate this impossibility and discuss the theory of special types of equations of higher degree which can be solved algebraically.

## CHAPTER XVII

## CYCLIC EQUATIONS

170. Definition. A cyclic equation is one whose (ialois group is the cychc group, § 101. Kronecker called such equations " einfache Abel'sche Gleichungen."

A quadratic equation is cyclic; for the (ialons group is the symmetric group $G_{2}^{(2)}$, which is at the same time the cyclic group of the second degree.

The general cubic is not a cyrlic equation in the domain defined by its coefficients; for its (ialois group is $G_{6}^{(3)}$, which is not a cyclic group. However, if we adjoin

$$
\sqrt{D} \equiv\left(\alpha-u_{1}\right)\left(u-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)
$$

the Galois group becomes ( $\$ 163$ ) $C_{i}^{(s)}$, which is cyclic. Hence the general cubic is cyrlic in the domain $\Omega_{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{4}, v m\right)}$.

The general quartic is not a ryrlir pquation in the domain defined by its coefficients, but if we adjoin a function which belongs to the cyclic group $G_{4}{ }^{(t)} \mathrm{I}$, the equation is cyclic in the new domain. One such function that may be adjoined is

$$
M=\mu \alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\alpha_{5} \alpha^{2}
$$

If $n$ is a prime number,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n-1}+x^{n-2}+\cdots+x+1=0 \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a cyclir equation in the domain $\Omega_{(1)}$. For, § 130, this equation is irreducible. The cyclic function

$$
\omega_{1}{ }^{2} \omega_{2}+\omega_{2}^{2} \omega_{3}+\cdots+\omega_{n-1}{ }^{2} \omega_{1}
$$

is seen by the relations $\omega_{2}=\omega_{1}{ }^{2}, \omega_{3}=\omega_{1}^{3}$, etc., to be equal to the sum of the roots, which is -1 . Therefore the Galois
gromp is either the cyclic group of the degree $n-1$ or one of uts sulb-groups, § $160^{2}$. Since 1 is a normal equation, it is its own Galois resolvent; the Galois doman is of the degree $n-1$ and the (aalois group of the order $n-1$. Hence the Galois group of $I$ is the cyclic group of the $(n-1)$ th order.

Ex. 1. If $n$ is prome, show that $x^{n}-1=0$ is a cyclic equation in the doman $\Omega_{(1)}$. In what follows we shall exclude from our consideration cyclic equations whose roots are not all irrational.
171. Theorem. Euch root of a cyrlic equation can be expressed as a function in $\Omega$ of sen? other root.

If $u, \iota_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ are the roots of the cyche equation $f(x)=0$, then the function in $\Omega$ of $x$ of the $(n-1)$ th degree,

$$
\Phi(x) \equiv f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\mu_{1}}{\hdashline x-\alpha}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{x-\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{x-\mu_{n-1}}\right),
$$

admits the permutations of the cyclic group and is, therefore, a number in $\Omega, \$ 154$. If we put in succession $x=\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$, and if we use the notation $\frac{\Phi(x)}{f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}=\boldsymbol{\phi}(x)$, we get, § 142, $\quad \mu_{1}=\phi(\kappa), \mu_{2}=\phi\left(\mu_{1}\right), \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}=\phi\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right), \chi=\phi\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right)$.

This holds even when $f(x)=0$ is a reducible equation, provided that it has no multiple roots.

Ex. 1. When are cyclic equations normal?
Ex. 2 Show that one root of a quadratic equation can be expressed as a function in $\Omega_{\left(u_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)}$ of the other root.

Ex. 3. Show that any root of a cubic can be expressed as a function in $\Omega_{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, ~ V \bar{D}\right)}$ of one of the others.

Ex. 4. Show that $\alpha_{3}=\phi^{2}(\alpha), \alpha_{3}=\phi^{3}(\kappa)$, ete, where the superscript is not an exponent, but moncates that the functional operation $\phi$ is to be repeated. Thus, $\phi^{2}(\kappa) \equiv \phi(\phi(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))$.

Ex. 5. Prove that $\ell_{1}=\phi^{n+1}(\ell), \mu_{2}=\phi^{n+2}(\ell)$, etc.

Ex. 6. If $\phi(\alpha) \equiv \frac{a \alpha+b}{c(\alpha+d} \cdots \alpha_{1}, \quad \phi^{2}(\alpha)=\begin{aligned} & a \alpha_{1}+b \\ & c\left(\alpha_{1}+d\right.\end{aligned}=\alpha_{2}$, etc., then it may be shown that $\phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha)=u$, when $a+l=2 \cos \frac{k \pi}{m}$ and $a l-b r=1$, where $k$ and $m$ are relatively prime. (Sce Cole's transl. of Netto's Theory of Substatutions, pp. 20t-207.) Show that when $a=0,-b=c$ $=d=1, k=1, m=3$, we have $\mu_{1}=-\frac{1}{u}-1, u_{2}=-1-\frac{1}{u}$, where $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are roots of the cyclic equation $x^{3}+x^{2}-2 x-1=0$.

Ex. 7. Show that If, in Ex. $6, a=0, b=-r=d=k=1, m=3$, then $\alpha, \iota_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are roots of $x^{3}+a x^{2}-(a+3) x+1=0$.
172. Solution of Cyclic Equations. The general solution of cyche equations can be easily obtained by the aid of the Lagrangian resolvents, § 115 .

By the theorem in § 118 the expression represented by $[\omega, \alpha]^{n}$, in which the $\alpha, \iota_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ are the roots of $f^{\prime}(x)=0$, and $\omega$ is a primitive uth root of unity, $\S(66$, is such that the coefficient of each power of $\omega$ is a cyclic function of the roots of $f(x)=0$. See Ex. 1, § 119. Thus $[\omega, \nless]^{n}$ is a function in $\Omega_{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}, \omega\right)}$ which belongs to the cyelic group. This function is a number in $\Omega_{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}, \omega\right)}$, $\$ 15 t$. Let the coefficients of different powers of $\omega$ m $\left[\omega^{\lambda}, \alpha\right]^{n}$ be $r_{n}, r_{1}, \cdots, r_{n-1}$. Write

$$
\left[\omega^{\lambda}, \alpha\right]^{n} \equiv c_{0}+c_{1}\left(\omega^{\lambda}+c_{2} \omega^{2 \lambda}+\cdots+c_{n-11^{(1)}}^{(n-1) \lambda} \equiv T_{\lambda} .\right.
$$

The cyclic function $T_{\lambda}$ can be computed. Regarding it as known, we get

$$
\left[\omega^{\lambda}, \alpha\right]=\ddot{V}^{\prime}{ }_{\lambda}^{\prime}
$$

Assign to $\lambda$ the successive values $1,2, \cdots,(n-1)$, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha+\omega \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\omega^{n-1} \mu_{n-1}=\sqrt[n]{T_{1}} \\
& \alpha+\omega^{2} \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\omega^{2(n}{ }^{1)} \mu_{n-1}=\sqrt[n]{T_{2}}, \\
& \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\
& \alpha+\omega^{n-1} \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\omega^{(n)^{2}} \alpha_{n-1}=\sqrt[n]{T_{n-1}} \\
& \alpha+\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1}=-a_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}$ is known. Adding, we get

$$
n \alpha=-\alpha_{1}+\sqrt[n]{T_{1}}+\sqrt[n]{T_{2}}+\cdots+\sqrt[n]{T_{n}}
$$

Thus the root $\alpha$ is expressed in terms of radicals of the $n$th order, where the $T_{\lambda}$ are made up of numbers in $\Omega_{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1)}\right.}$ and the $u$ th roots of unity. Each of the radicals in $I$ has $n$ values which differ from each other by a factor that is a root of unity.

Our expression I involves a difficulty which demands our attention. Since each radical has $n$ values, it follows that the $(n-1)$ radicals represent $n^{n-1}$ values. Hence there are in I, besides the $n$ roots of the given equation, $u^{n-1}-n$ foreign values, and no method is assigned for telling which of the values represent the roots of the given equation.
To remove this difficulty, H. Weber proceeds as follows: If we effect the substitution ( $012 \cdots n-1$ ) upon $[\omega, \alpha]^{n-\lambda} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda}, \alpha\right]$, then by $\S 119$ the indices of the coefficients of this product undergo the substitution ( $012 \cdots(n-1))^{n-\lambda+\lambda}$. As this is the identical substitution, the coefficients are unaltered.
Let $[\omega, \alpha]^{n-\lambda} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda}, \alpha\right] \equiv E_{\lambda} \equiv \epsilon_{1}^{(\lambda)}+\epsilon_{1}^{(\lambda)} \omega+\cdots+\epsilon_{n-1}^{(\lambda)} \omega^{n}{ }^{1}$, then $E_{\lambda}$ is a cyclic function in $\Omega_{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n-1}, \omega\right)}$ and may be considered as known. We have

$$
[\omega, \mu]^{n-\lambda} \cdot\left[\omega^{\lambda}, \alpha\right]=\left(\sqrt[n]{T_{1}}\right)^{n} \lambda \cdot \sqrt[n]{T_{\lambda}}=E_{\lambda} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt[n]{T_{\lambda}^{\prime}}=\frac{E_{\lambda}}{\left(\sqrt[n]{ } T_{1}\right)^{n-\lambda}}=\frac{\left(\sqrt[n]{ } T_{1}\right)^{\lambda} E_{\lambda} .}{T_{1}^{-}} \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

From II it appears that, for a fixed primitive value of $\omega$, each of the radicals which appear in our value for $n \alpha$ in I may be expressed as a function in $\Omega$ of one of them. If that one radical be given all its $n$ values, the expression for $n \alpha$ has $n$ values which are the $n$ roots of the given equation.
173. Computation of $\boldsymbol{T}_{\lambda}$. In most cases the computation of this quantity is extremely involved and special devices must be resorted to. An idea of such devices will be given in the discussion of cyclotomic equations, where the solution is divided up into the simplest component operations. We give here the computation of $T_{1}=\left(\alpha+\alpha_{1} \omega+\alpha_{2} \omega^{2}\right)^{3}$.

Let

$$
A \equiv \alpha^{2} \ell_{1}+\ell_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{2}+\ell_{2}^{2} \iota^{2},
$$

$$
A^{\prime} \equiv \alpha_{1}^{2}{ }^{2} \ell+\alpha_{2}^{2} \ell_{1}+\alpha^{2} \alpha_{2},
$$

then
where $N \equiv 9\left(a_{1} a_{2}-2 a_{1}^{3}-27 a_{3}\right.$. We have now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt[3]{T_{1}}=\alpha+\omega \alpha_{1}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}}(S+3 \sqrt{-3 D)} \\
& \sqrt[3]{T_{2}}=\alpha+\omega^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\omega \alpha_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}}(S-3 \sqrt{-3 D)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Having thus evaluated the Lagrangian resolvents for the cubic, we can realily obtain an expression for the roots of the general cubic by adding the values of $\sqrt[3]{T_{1}}$ and $\sqrt[3]{T_{2}}$ to $\alpha^{2}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=-u_{1}$. See solution of Ex. 3, § $16{ }^{2}$.

Ex. 1. For the quartic $x^{4}+a_{1} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x+a_{4}=0$ compute

$$
T \equiv\left(\alpha+\omega \ell_{1}+\omega^{2}\left(\ell_{2}+\omega^{3} \alpha_{3}\right)^{4},\right.
$$

where $\omega=i$ or $-i$.
Letting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1} \equiv\left(\alpha+i \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-i \alpha_{3}\right)^{4}, \\
& T_{2} \equiv\left(\kappa-i \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+i \alpha_{3}\right)^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $T_{1}+T_{2}=2\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}\right)^{4}-12\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}+2\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =4\left\{\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}\right\}^{2}-2\left\{\left(\alpha_{l}-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}\right\}^{2} \\
& =4 \rho_{2} \rho_{3}-2\left(\pi_{1}^{2}-2 \pi_{2}-2 \phi_{1}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{1}=\alpha \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}$ is a root of the cubic in Ex. 11, $\S 71$,
and where $\quad \rho_{2}=\left(\alpha+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}, \rho_{3}=\left(\mu-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}$.
Let

$$
\rho_{1}=\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2},
$$

then $\quad \rho_{1}=a_{1}^{2}-4 a_{2}+4 \phi_{1}, \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}=\left(a_{1}^{3}-4 a_{1} a_{2}+8 a_{3}\right)^{2}$,
Ex. 18, § 71. Hence the value of $\rho_{2} \rho_{3}$ is known. We have also

$$
T_{1} T_{2}=\left(a_{1}^{2}-2 a_{2}-2 \phi_{1}\right)^{4}
$$

Hence $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are roots of the known quadratic

$$
y^{2}-\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)!y+T_{1} T_{2}=0 .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A+A^{\prime}=3 a_{3}-u_{1} a_{2} \text {, } \\
& A-\mathbf{A}^{\prime}=\sqrt{\bar{L}}, \\
& T_{1}=\alpha^{3}+\mu_{1}^{3}+\mu_{2}^{3}+6 c u u_{1} \iota_{2}+3 \omega A+3 \omega^{2} A^{\prime} \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{2}\left(9 a_{1} a_{2}-2 a_{1}^{3}-27 a_{3}\right)+\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{-3} I\right)=\frac{1}{2}(S+3 \sqrt{-3 D}), \\
& T_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(9 a_{1} a_{2}-2 a_{1}^{3}-27 a_{3}\right)-\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{ }-3 D=\frac{1}{2}(S-3 \sqrt{-3 D}),
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex. 2. Carry out the computation in Ex. 1 hy taking

$$
a_{1}=a_{2}=0, a_{3}=a_{4}=5
$$

and show that $T$ will have the values $60 \perp 80 i$, which lie in the domain $\Omega_{(1,2)}$.

Ex. 3. Find $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ when in the quartic $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{4}=0, a_{3}=1$. In this case, is the cyclic group the Galois group in $\Omega_{(1, i)}$ ?

Ex. 4. Taking $\quad \alpha-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}=\sqrt{\rho_{1}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}=\sqrt{\rho_{2}}, \\
& \alpha-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=\sqrt{\rho_{3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

give a solution of the general quartic, $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}$, being roots of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{8}+\left(8 a_{2}-3 a_{1}^{2}\right) \rho^{2}+\left(3 a_{1}^{4}-16 a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+16 a_{1} a_{3}+16 a_{2}^{2}-64 a_{4}\right) \rho \\
&-\left(a_{1}^{3}-4 a_{1} a_{2}+8 a_{3}\right)^{2}=0 . \quad \text { See Ex. } 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex. 5. Find a solution of the general quartic by taking
where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha+i \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-i \alpha_{3}=\sqrt[4]{T_{1}^{5}}, \\
\alpha-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{3}=\Lambda\left(\sqrt[4]{T_{1}}\right)^{2}, \\
\alpha-i \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+i \alpha_{3}=B\left(\sqrt[4]{T_{1}}\right)^{3}, \\
A=\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha+i \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-i \alpha_{3}\right)^{-2} \\
=\frac{\rho_{1}\left[T_{1}+\left(\mu_{1}^{2}-2 a_{2}-2 \phi_{1}\right)^{2}\right] .}{2 T_{1}\left(4 a_{1} \alpha_{2}-a_{1}^{3}-8 a_{3}\right)} . \\
B=\left(\alpha-i \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+i \alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha+i \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-i \alpha_{3}\right)^{-8} \\
= \\
=\frac{a_{1}^{2}-2 a_{2}-2 \phi_{1}}{T_{1}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

174. Cyclic Equations of Prime Degree. The solution of any cyclic equation can be mude to depend upon the solution of cyclic equations whose degrees are prime.

The solution in $\S 172$ applies to cyclic equations of any degree and is perfectly general. Nevertheless it is of importance, for subsequent developments, to prove the present theorem. We give the proof for the degree $12=3 \cdot 4$. The generalization to the case $n=e \cdot f$ is obvious.

Let $s=\left(\alpha \alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{11}\right)$, where $\alpha_{1}=\phi(\alpha), \alpha_{2}=\phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \alpha_{3}=\phi\left(x_{2}\right), \cdots$, then $s^{3}$ can be resolved into three cycles, $c, c_{1}, c_{2}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =\left(\alpha \alpha_{3} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{9}\right), \\
c_{1} & =\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{7} \alpha_{10}\right), \\
c_{2} & =\left(\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{5} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{11}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $y$ be a function $\psi$ in $\Omega$ of the roots $\alpha, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{6}, \alpha_{9}$, which leelongs to the cycle $c$. The substitutions of the dalois group $P=\left\{1, s, s^{2}, \cdots s^{n-1}\right\}$ of $f(x)=0$, applied to $y$, give three distinct values,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =\psi\left(\alpha \alpha_{3} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{9}\right), \\
y_{1} & =\psi\left(\mu_{1} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{7} \alpha_{10}\right), \\
y_{2} & =\psi\left(\mu_{2} \alpha_{5} \alpha_{8} \alpha_{11}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which are roots of a cubic equation,

$$
(t-y)\left(t-y_{1}\right)\left(t-y_{2}\right)=0
$$

The coefficients of $t$ in I are symmetric functions in $\Omega$ of $y$, $y_{1}, y_{2}$, and are, therefore, unaltered by the substitutions of $P$. Hence these coefficients are numbers in $\Omega$, § 154.

We proceed to show that I is a cyclic equation whose group is $P_{1}=\left\{1,\left(y y_{1} y_{2}\right),\left(y y_{2} y_{1}\right)\right\}$. Remembering that the substitutions of the group $P$ interchange $y, y_{1}, y_{2}$ cyclically, we see, firstly, that any function of $y, y_{1}, y_{2}$ which admits of the substitution of $P_{1}$ is a function of $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{n-1}$ which admits of the substitutions of $P$ (the Galois group of $f(x)=0$ ), and such a function is a number in $\Omega$, § 154 ; secondly, any function of $y, y_{1}, y_{2}$, which is a number in $\Omega$, is a function of the roots $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$. which is a number in $\Omega$ and hence admits of the (talois group $P$, $\S 153$, thus showing that the function of $y, y_{1}, y_{2}$ admits of the substitutions of $P_{1}$. Consequently $P_{1}$ is the Galois group of equation I , § 155.

We can now prove that $f(x)$ can be broken up into three factors of the fourth degree each, thus,

$$
f(x)=F(x, y) \cdot F\left(x, y_{1}\right) \cdot F\left(x, y_{2}\right), \quad \text { II }
$$

where $F(x, y)=0$ is a quartic cyclic equation, in which the coefficients of $x$ are numbers in the domain $\Omega_{(y)}$. For, let

$$
F_{1}(x)=(x-u)\left(x-\alpha_{s}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{6}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{9}\right),
$$

III
then each coefficient of $x$ in III admits the circular substitution $c$; hence it admits also the substitutions of what becomes the Galois group of $f(x)=0$ after the adjunction of $y$. This group must consist only of powers of $c, c_{1}, c_{2}$. Therefore, these coefficients of $x$ are functions of $y, \S 162$, and we have $F_{1}\left(x^{x}\right)$ $=F(x, y)$. Moreover, $F(x, y)=0$ is a cyelic equation in $\Omega_{(y)}$, since the cyclic functions of its roots lie in this domain.

If in $u=e \cdot f$, e or $f$ are composite numbers, then we repeat the process upon the new cyclic equations until all the factor equations are of prime degree.
Thereby the solution of cyclic equations of any degree $n$ is made to rest on the solution of cyclic equations whose degrees are prime numbers.

Ex. 1. As an illustration, take $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1=0$, where $\alpha=\omega$, $\alpha_{1}=\omega^{2}, \alpha_{2}=\omega^{4}, \alpha_{3}=\omega^{8}=\omega^{3}$. Hence $s=\left(\alpha \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right)=\left(\omega \omega^{2} \omega^{4} \omega^{3}\right), c=\left(\omega \omega^{4}\right)$, $c_{1}=\left(\omega^{2} \omega^{3}\right)$. Take $y=\mu \mu_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2} \alpha^{2}=\omega^{4}+\omega$, then $y_{1}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\mu_{3} \alpha_{1}^{2}$ $=\omega^{3}+\omega^{2}, y+y_{1}=-1, y y_{1}=-1,(t-y)\left(t-y_{1}\right)=t^{2}+t-1=0$, $2 t=-1 \pm \sqrt{5}, \quad f(x)=\left(t^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}\right) t+1\right)\left(t^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}\right) t+1\right)=$ $\boldsymbol{F}(x, y) \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^{\prime}\left(x, y_{1}\right)$. Nach quadratic factor, equated to zero, is a cyclic equation.

Ex. 2. Given that $f(x) \equiv x^{6}+x^{5}-5 x^{4}-4 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+3 x-1=0$ is a cyclic equation in which $\alpha=2 \cos a, \alpha_{1}=2 \cos n a, \alpha_{2}=2 \cos n^{2} a, \cdots$, $\alpha_{5}=2 \cos n^{5} a$, where $n=2$ and $a=\frac{2 \pi}{13}$. In illustration of the theorem, we have $s=\left(\alpha \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}\right), c=\left(\alpha \alpha_{2} \alpha_{4}\right), \rho_{1}=\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{6}\right)$. Take $y=\alpha \alpha_{2}^{2}$ $+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{4}{ }^{2}+\alpha_{4} \alpha^{2}, y_{1}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}{ }^{2}+\alpha_{3} \alpha_{5}{ }^{2}+\alpha_{5} \alpha_{1}{ }^{2}$. With some effort we find $y+y_{1}=-5, y y_{1}=3$. Hence $(t-y)\left(t-y_{1}\right)=t^{2}+5 t+3=0,2 t=-5 \pm \sqrt{1} \overline{3}$. We get $f(x)=\left(t^{3}-d t^{2}-t+d-1\right)\left(t^{8}+(d+1) t^{2}-t-d-2\right)=0$, where $2 d=-1 \pm \sqrt{13}$.

The cubic factors yield cyclic equations of prime degree. The expression for $y$, selected in this example, is somewhat unwieldy. A better choice is made in the periods of $\S 180$.

Ex. 3. If $m$ is odd, and equal to $2 n+1$, show that $\frac{\left(z^{m}-1\right)}{z-1}=0$, when $z+\frac{1}{z}=x$, yields the cyclic equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=x^{n}+x^{n-1}-(n-1) x^{n-2}-(n-2) x^{n-3} & +\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{1 \cdot 2} x^{n-4} \\
& +\frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{1 \cdot 2} x^{n-5}-\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

which has the roots $x=2 \cos k a$, where $a=\frac{2 \pi}{2 n+1}$, and where $k$ takes successively the values $1,2,3, \cdots, n$. When $2 n+1$ is prime, the equation is irreducible.
175. Theorem. Every function in $\Omega$ of the roots of an irreducible cyclic equation is itself the root of a cyche rquation.

Let $\alpha$ be a root of the given irreducible cyclic equation and $g(\alpha)$ the function. Then if the values

$$
g(\varkappa),!(\phi(\varkappa)), g\left(\phi^{2}(\kappa)\right), \cdots, g\left(\phi^{n-1}(\alpha)\right) \quad \mathbf{I}
$$

are not all distinct, let say $g(\alpha)=g\left(\phi^{k}(\iota)\right)$, and we have, $\S 138$, the rectangle

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
g(\alpha), & g(\phi(\alpha)), & \cdots, & g\left(\phi^{k-1}(\alpha)\right), \\
g\left(\phi^{k}(\alpha)\right), & g\left(\phi^{k+1}(\alpha)\right), & \cdots, & g\left(\phi^{2 k} 1(\alpha)\right),
\end{array}
$$

in which the values in each column are equal, while the values in each row are distinct, and are roots of an irreducible equar tion in $\Omega$, viz.,

$$
h(y) \equiv(y-g(\alpha))(y-g(\phi(\alpha))) \cdots\left(y-g\left(\phi^{k-1}(\alpha)\right)\right)=0 .
$$

The consideration, as in § 142, of the function

$$
\Phi(y) \equiv h(y)\left[\frac{g(\phi(\alpha))}{y-g(\alpha)}+\frac{g\left(\phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)}{y-g\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}+\cdots+\frac{g\left(\phi\left(\alpha_{k-2}\right)\right)}{y-g\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right]
$$

leads to the conclusion that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\phi(\mu)) & =\phi_{1}[g(\mu)], \\
g\left(\phi^{2}(\mu)\right) & =\phi_{1}[g(\phi(\kappa))], \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar conclusion is reached if all the values of I are distinct.

Ex. 1. If $\omega$ is a complex fifth root of unity, show that $1+\omega, 1+\omega^{2}$, $1+\omega^{3}, 1+\omega^{4}$ are roots of a cyclic equation.

Ex. 2. By $\S 175$ form the roots of a cycle equation of the sixth degrec.
Ex. 3. Show that in a domain made up of real numbers: (1) a cyclic equation has all its roots real, if one is real, (z) all the roots of a cyclic eguation of odd degree are real , (3) all the roots of a cyclic equation of even degree are complex when one of them is complex.
176. General Cyclic Cubic Equation. To determine the general irreducible cyclic equation of the third degree, let $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ be the roots of the required cubic, where $\mu_{1}=\phi(u), \mu_{2}=\phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$. From $\$ 80$, it follows that the most general algebraic function $\phi$ in $\Omega$ is

$$
\phi(\varkappa) \equiv a \kappa^{2}+b u+c .
$$

I
By $\S 175,1 \pi+e$ is also a root of a cyclic equation. Writing $d \alpha+e$ for $d$ in I and selecting for $d$ and $e$ values which cause the coefficient of $a$ to disappear and that of $a^{2}$ to be unity, we obtain a simpler, yet general function, $\phi(\alpha)=\alpha^{2}+c$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=\alpha^{2}+c, \\
& \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{2}+c, \\
& \alpha=\alpha_{2}^{2}+c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminating $\alpha_{1}$ and $\mu_{1}$, we have

$$
\left(u^{2}+c\right)^{4}+2 c\left(\alpha^{2}+c\right)^{2}-\alpha+c^{2}+c=0 .
$$

Since $\alpha_{1}$ cannot equal $\alpha$, the expression $\alpha_{1}-\alpha=\left(\alpha^{2}+c\right)-\alpha$ cannot be zero. Dividing by $\left(\alpha^{2}+c\right)-\alpha$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{6} & +\alpha^{5}+(3 c+1) u^{4}+(2 c+1) \alpha^{3}+\left(3 c^{2}+3 c+1\right) \alpha^{2} \\
& +\left(c^{2}+2 c+1\right) u+\left(c^{3}+2 c^{2}+c+1\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the required cubic is $x^{3}-a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2} x-a_{3}=0$, then
$u_{1}=u+u_{1}+u_{2}=u^{4}+(2 c+1) u^{2}+u+\left(c^{2}+2 c\right)$,
$u_{2}=u^{6}+u^{5}+3\left(\alpha^{4}+(2) c+1\right) u^{3}+\left(3 c^{2}+c\right) u^{2}+\left(c^{2}+2 c\right) \alpha+\left(c^{3}+c^{2}\right)$.
By II,

$$
=-a_{1}+(c-1) .
$$

$$
a_{3}=u^{7}+3 c c^{5}+\left(: 3 c^{2}+c\right) u^{3}+\left(c^{3}+c^{2}\right) u .
$$

By 1I, $\quad=c \mu_{1}+(c+1)$.
Equation II is satistied by the three roots $\mu_{,} \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ and also by three other roots $c^{\prime}, u_{1}^{\prime}$, $u^{\prime}$, whose sum we designate by $a^{\prime}{ }_{1}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}+u_{1}^{\prime} & =-1 \\
u_{1} u_{1}^{\prime} & =3 c+1+u_{1}+u_{1}^{\prime}-2(c-1), \\
& =c+2
\end{aligned}
$$

and $a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime}$ are roots of the quadratic

$$
z^{2}+z+c+2=0 .
$$

Since the sextic II is satisfied by the roots $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ of the irreducible cubic, II must be reducible into two cubnes. Hence $a_{1}$ and $a_{1}^{\prime}$ must be numbers in $\Omega$. Hence the discriminant $-(4 c+7)$ of the quadratic must be a perfect square; in other words,
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
-(4 c+7) & =(2 f+1)^{2}, \\
c & =-\left(f^{2}+f+2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The roots of the quadratic are $f$ and $-(f+1)$. Writing $a_{1}=f$; we get $a_{2}=-\left(f^{2}+2 f+3\right), a_{3}=\left(f^{3}+2 f^{2}+3 f+1\right)$. Thus the coefficients of the required cubic are obtained, where $t$ is any number in $\Omega$. To remove the second term of this cubic, take $f=\frac{3 m}{2}$ and $y=x-\frac{m}{2}$, and we get

$$
y^{3}-3\left(n^{2}+m+1\right) y+\left(m^{2}+m+1\right)(2 m+1)=0 . \quad \text { III }
$$

Every cyclic equation of the third degree can be reduced to III. See Ex. 4, § 159.

Ex. 1. Show that the discriminant of III is a perfect square,

$$
D=9^{2}\left(m^{2}+m+1\right)^{2} .
$$

Ex. 2. For the equation III determine the function $\phi$ in the relation $\alpha_{1}=\phi(\kappa)$.

Ex. 3. Any cyclic equation of the fourth degree can be reduced to the form $y^{4}-2 b\left(2 s+r^{2}\right) y^{2}-4 b r\left(1+b s^{2}\right) y+b^{2}\left(r^{2}-2 s\right)^{2}-b\left(1+b s^{2}\right)^{2}=0$, where $b, r, s$, are rational numbers and $b$ is not a perfect fourth power. See Ex. 11, § 159. Prove that this equation can be solved without the extraction of cube roots.

## CYCLOTOMIC EQUATIONS ; GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTIONS

177. Introduction. In $\S 63$ and $\S(i 4$ it was shown that the roots of $x^{n}-1=0$ may be represented thus,

$$
\alpha_{k}=\cos \frac{2 k \pi}{n}+i \sin \frac{2 k \pi}{n}
$$

where $k$ takes successively the values $0,1, \cdots, n-1$, and thas the solution of $x^{n}-1=0$ is geometrically equivalent to the division of the circumference of a circle into $n$ equal parts. The solution of $x^{n}-1=0$, given in $\S 63$, is trigonometric. We proceed to show that it is always possible to give an algebraic solution. We shall point out how this solution can be effected and shall consider the cases in which the division of the circle into equal parts can be effected with the aid of the ruler and compasses.
178. Cyclotomic Equations. If we remove the root 1 from $x^{n}-1=0$ by dividing by $x-1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n-1}+x^{n-2}+\cdots+x+1=0 \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n$ is a prime number, equation $I$ is called a cyclotomic equation. In the domain $\Omega_{(1)}$ the cyclotomic equation is irreducible, $\S 130$, and cyclic, § 170.

If $n$ is a composite number, we know from $\S 66$ that the solution of $x^{n}-1=0$ can be reduced to the solution of binomial
equations of the form $x^{m}-A=0$, in which the exponents $m$ are the prime factors of $n$. By taking $a \sqrt[m]{\bar{I}}=z$, the equation $x^{m}-A=0$ becomes $z^{m}-1=0$. Hence the general solutions of binomial equations can be given as soon as we are able to solve binomial equations of the form $z^{m}-1=0$ whose degrees are prime numbers. It is the latter equations which by division by $z-1$ give rise to the cyclotomic equations.

Since a cyclotomic equation is a cyclic equation, its solution is theoretically contained in \$ 172 . But, as a rule, the computation of $I_{\lambda}$ is extremely involved. We proceed to develop a scheme, due to (ianss, by which the solution of cyclotomic equations is divided into simpler component operations.

Ex. 1. Show that cyclotomic equations are reciprocal equations.
179. Primitive Congruence Roots. It is shown in the Theory of Numbers that, for every prime number $n$, there exist numbers $g$ (called primition congruence roots of $n$ ), such that, on dividing by $n$ each member in the series,

$$
g, g^{2}, y^{3}, \cdots,!^{n-1}
$$

the remainders obtained are (except in their sequence) the numbers in the series

$$
1,2,3, \cdots, n-1
$$

For instance, if $n=5$, we may take $!=2$. If $2,2^{2}, 2^{3}, 2^{4}$ are each divided by 5 , the remainders are respectively $2,4,3,1$. These remainders differ from the series $1,2,: 3,4$ only in the order in which they come. Illustrate the same by taking $n=7$ and $g=3$.

In view of these facts and of the relation $\omega^{n}=1$, the roots $\omega, \omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{n_{1}}$ of the cyclotomic equation I may be written thus: $\omega=\omega, \omega_{1}=\omega^{g}, \omega_{2}=\omega^{g^{2}}, \cdots, \omega_{n}=\omega^{g n-2}$. This notation will offer certain advantages. The roots of I may therefore be written :

$$
\omega, \omega^{\rho}, \omega^{92}, \cdots, \omega^{\rho^{n-2}} . \quad \text { II }
$$

Ex. 1. By trial find the smallest integer that may be taken as the value for $g$ when $n=11$, and show that $\omega, \omega^{g}, \omega^{g^{2}}, \cdots, \omega^{g^{10}}$ represent the same roots as $\omega, \omega^{2}, \omega^{3}, \cdots, \omega^{10}$. Show that, for $n=13$, ! may be 2 or 6 .
180. Solution of Cyclotomic Equations reduced to Equations of Prime Degree. As is evident from \$ 17.4 we can base the solution of equation I of $\$ 178$ upon cyclic equations whose degrees are prime factors of $n-1$. When $n$ is prime, $n-1$ is composite. Let $n-1=e \cdot f$, where $\rho$ is a prime factor. As before, let $\omega$ be a root of the cyclotomic equation I. Then construct expressions $\eta, \eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{\sigma_{e-1}}$, called periods, as follows:

III

In each period there are $f$ terms and the first term is the $g g^{e} t$ power of the last term, and each of the terms after the first is the $g^{2}$ th power of the term preceding it. Each of the periods is, therefore, a function that belongs to the eyche group

$$
G=\left\{1, s^{e}, s^{20}, \ldots, s^{(f-1) e}\right\},
$$

where the substitution $s=\left(\omega, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots, \omega_{n-2}\right)$. The periods III are special forms which the functions $y, y_{1}, y_{2}$ in $\$ 174$ may assume. From $\S 174$ it follows that the periods III are the roots of an irreducible cyclic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-\eta)\left(x-\eta_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-\eta_{o-1}\right)=0 . \tag{IV}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an equation in $\Omega$ and of the degree $e$. By the solution of this equation the periods become known quantities.
181. Product of Two Periods. In order to compute the coefficients of equation IV in $\$ 180$ we must multiply periods one by another. Take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{n} \equiv \omega^{\rho^{k}}+\omega^{j^{k+e}+\cdots}+\cdots+\omega^{g^{h+(~(f-1) e}}, \\
& \eta_{k} \equiv \omega^{\rho^{k}}+\omega^{\rho^{k+e}}+\cdots+\omega^{v^{k+( }(f-1) e} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observing that $\eta_{h}$ remains unaltered when $\omega^{\rho^{n}}$ is replaced by $\omega^{\boldsymbol{o}^{h+e}}$ or by any of the other roots in that period, we may write the product of the two periods as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{l} \eta_{k} \equiv \omega^{g^{k}}\left(\omega^{g^{h}}+\omega^{\rho^{k+0}}+\cdots+\omega^{g^{h+(f-1) g}}\right) \\
& +\omega^{\boldsymbol{y}^{k+e}}\left(\omega^{h^{h+e}}+\omega^{\rho^{h+2 e}}+\cdots+\omega^{\rho^{h+\rho}}\right) \\
& +\underset{+\omega^{g^{k+(f-1) e}}\left(\omega^{g^{h+(f-1) e}}+\omega^{g^{k}+f e}+\cdots+\omega^{g^{k+2(f-1) e}}\right) .}{\cdot}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this product the terms in the first column are,

$$
\omega^{\left(g^{k}+g^{h}\right)}+\omega^{\left(g^{k}+g^{h}\right) g^{e}}+\omega^{\left(g^{k}+g^{h}\right) g^{2 e}}+\cdots+\omega^{\left(g^{k}+g^{h}\right) g^{(f-1)} .}
$$

If $\left(g^{k}+y^{n}\right)$ is a multiple of $n$, then this column becomes equal to $f$. If $\left(g^{h}+g^{n}\right)$ is not a multiple of $n$, then this column is one of the periods in III, § 180.

The same conclusion is reached for every column in the product. Hence the product is a linear function of the periods, the coefficients in this function being numbers in the given domain $\Omega(1)$.
182. When $f$ is a Composite Number. When in the relation $n-1=e \cdot f$, both $e$ and $f$ are prime numbers, the solution of the eyclotomic equation is evidently made to depend on the solution of two equations whose degrees are prime, one equation being of the degree $e$, the other of the degree $f$.

When $f$ is a composite number, one or more additional steps are necessary to reduce the problem to the solution of equations of prime degree. If $f=e^{\prime} \cdot f^{\prime}$, where $e^{\prime}$ is prime, we may form $e e^{\prime}$ periods, with $f^{\prime}$ terms in each, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta^{\prime} \equiv \omega+\omega^{q^{r e e^{\prime}}}+\omega^{g^{2 e e^{\prime}}}+\cdots+\omega^{q^{(f-1) e e^{\prime}}}, \\
& \eta_{1}^{\prime} \equiv \omega^{g} \quad+\omega^{\rho e c+1}+\omega^{q^{2 e e^{\prime}+1}}+\cdots+\omega^{\left.\eta^{\prime} f^{\prime}-1\right) e e^{\prime}+1}, \\
& \eta^{\prime} \equiv \omega^{g^{e}}+\omega^{g^{c e^{\prime}}+\dot{e}}+\omega^{g^{2 c c^{\prime}+e}}+\cdots+\cdots+\omega^{g\left(f^{\prime}-1\right) c e^{\prime}+0}, \\
& \eta_{2 e}^{\prime} \equiv \omega^{g^{2 e}}+\omega^{g e e^{\prime}+2 e}+\omega^{g^{2 e e^{\prime}+2 e}}+\cdots+\omega^{g}{ }^{\left(f^{\prime}-1\right) e e^{\prime}+2 e},
\end{aligned}
$$

It is to be noticed that, if we select every eth period in this set, the sum of the periods thus selected is equal to one of the known periods III, § 180 . For instance,

$$
\eta=\eta^{\prime}+\eta_{e}^{\prime}+\cdots+\eta_{\left(e^{\prime}-1\right) e}^{\prime}
$$

These periods $\eta^{\prime}, \eta_{e}^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}{ }_{2}^{\prime}$, $\cdots$ are roots of an irreducible cyclic equation of the degree $e^{\prime}$, the coefficients of which are linear functions of the known periods III.

If $f^{4}$ is a composite number, repeat the above process by assuming $f^{\prime}=e^{\prime \prime} \cdot f^{\prime \prime}$. If $n=e \cdot e^{\prime} \cdot e^{\prime \prime} \cdot f^{\prime \prime}$, then the above process calls for the solution of one equation of each of the prime degrees $e, e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}, f^{\prime \prime}$. As soon as one root of a cyclotomic equation is found, the others can be obtaned by raising that one to the $2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, \cdots, n$th powers.
183. Constructions by Ruler and Compasses. The operation : of addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division can bs performed geometrically upon two lines of given length. For instance, in elementary geometry we learn how to construct the quotient of a line a inches long and another line $b$ mehes long, by the aid of the proportion $n: 1=u: b$. In elementary geometry we learn also how to construct, by means of ruler and compasses, the irrational $\sqrt{a b}$. The geometric construction of $\sqrt{c+\sqrt{a b}}$ is simply a more involved application of the processes just referred to. But we are not able to construct with ruler and compasses, irrationals like $\sqrt[3]{a b}$. Thus it is evident that all rational operations and those irrational operations which involve only square roots can be constructed geometrically by the aid of the ruler and compasses.
Couversely, any geometrical construction which involves the intersection of straight lines with each other or with circles, or the intersection of circles with one another, is equivalent to rational algebrac operations or the extraction of square roots. This is the more evident, if we remember that analytically each line and circle used in the construction is represented by
an equation of the first degree and second degree. Hence there is a one-to-one correspondence between constructions by ruler and compasses and algebraic operations which are purely rational or involve square roots.

Consequently, if we wish to show the impossibility of constructing a quantity by ruler and compasses, we need only show that the algebraic expression for that quantity in terms of the known quantities cannot be given by a finite number of spuare roots.

Applying these ideas to the problem of dividing the circle into $n$ equal parts by means of ruler and compasses, the problem is possible or impossible according as the roots of $x^{n}-1=0$ can be expressed by a finite number of scpuare roots or not.

If $n$ is a prime number of the form $2^{k}+1$, the degree $n-1$ of the cyclotomic equation is a power of 2 , and the operations called for in § 182 mvolve square roots only. Hence, when ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ is a prime of the form $2^{2}+1$, the dirision of the circle into $n$ equal parts by ruler und rompersses is always possible. This important result is due to Gauss.

Ex. 1. Solve $x^{5}-1=0$ by Gauss's method.
The cyclotomic equation is $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1=0$. Here $n-1=4=2 \cdot 2$; $e=2, f=2$. It is only necessary to solve two quadratics. By trial we get for $n=5, g=2$ the roots

$$
\omega, \omega^{g}, \omega^{g^{2}}, \omega^{g^{3}} ;
$$

these yield the two periods

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta=\omega+\omega^{g^{2}}=\omega+\omega^{4}, \\
& \eta_{1}=\omega^{7}+\omega^{g^{3}}=\omega^{2}+\omega^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence equation IV, § 180, becomes

But

$$
x^{2}-\left(\eta+\eta_{1}\right) x+\eta \eta_{1}=0 .
$$

and

$$
\eta+\eta_{1}=\omega+\omega^{2}+\omega^{3}+\omega^{4}=-1,
$$

$$
\eta \eta_{1}=\left(\omega+\omega^{4}\right)\left(\omega^{2}+\omega^{3}\right)=\omega^{3}+\omega^{2}+\omega+\omega^{4}=-1 .
$$

Hence the quadratic takes the form

$$
x^{2}+x-1=0, \text { and } x=-1 \pm \sqrt{5} .
$$

Take $\eta=\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. The quadratic whose roots are $\omega$ and $\omega^{4}$ is

$$
x^{2}-\left(\omega+\omega^{4}\right) x+\omega \cdot \omega^{4}=0,
$$

or

$$
x^{2}-\eta x+1=0 .
$$

Whence $x=\frac{\eta}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\eta^{2}}{4}-1}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}+i \sqrt{10+2 \sqrt{5}}}{4}$.
According to $\S 183$ the inscription of a regular pentagon into a circle can be effected with the aid of ruler and compasses.

Ex. 2. Solve $x^{13}-1=0$.
Here $n-1=3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$. Hence the solution of one cubic and two quadratics is called for, and the inscription of a regular polygon of thirteen sides into a curcle by ruler and compasses is impossible. Take $g=0$, then the roots of $\frac{x^{18}-1}{x-1}=0$ are

$$
\omega, \omega^{g}, \omega^{g L}, \cdots, \omega^{g^{11}},
$$

or

$$
\omega, \omega^{4}, \omega^{10}, \omega^{8}, \omega^{9}, \omega^{2}, \omega^{12}, \omega^{\top}, \omega^{3}, \omega^{5}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{11} .
$$

If we take $n-1=e \cdot f=12=3 \cdot 4$, where $\rho=3$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta \equiv \omega+\omega^{8}+\omega^{12}+\omega^{5}, \\
& \eta_{1} \equiv \omega^{1}+\omega^{9}+\omega^{7}+\omega^{4}, \\
& \eta_{2} \equiv \omega^{10}+\omega^{2}+\omega^{3}+\omega^{11} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To compute the cubic of which $\eta, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ are roots, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}=-1, \\
& \eta \eta_{1}=2 \eta+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}, \\
& \eta_{1} \eta_{2}=\eta+2 \eta_{1}+\eta_{2}, \\
& \eta \eta_{2}=\eta+\eta_{1}+2 \eta_{2}, \\
& \eta \eta=4+2 \eta_{1}+\eta_{2}, \\
& \eta \eta_{1} \eta_{2}=\eta \eta+2 \eta \eta_{1}+\eta \eta_{2}=-1, \\
& \eta \eta_{1}+\eta_{1} \eta_{2}+\eta \eta_{2}=4\left(\eta+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right)=-4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The cubic is $x^{3}+x^{2}-4 x+1=0$. Solving this, we obtain the values of $\eta, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$.

Take next $f=4=e^{\prime} f^{\prime}=2 \cdot 2$. We have $\eta^{\prime}=\omega+\omega^{12}, \eta_{3}^{\prime}=\omega^{8}+\omega^{5}$. Since $\eta^{\prime}+\eta_{s}^{\prime}=\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}{ }_{3}=\eta_{1}$, we find that $\eta^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}{ }_{3}$ are roots of the quadratic

$$
x^{2}-\eta x+\eta_{1}=0
$$

and are therefore known. Next form the guadratic whose roots are $\omega$ and $\omega^{12}$. Since $\omega+\omega^{12}=\eta^{\prime}$ and $\omega \cdot \omega^{12}=1$, this quadratic is

$$
x^{2}-\eta^{\prime} x+1=0 .
$$

Fither root of this quadratic is a primitive root of the cyclotomic equation, from which all the other roots may be found.

Ex. 3. Solve $x^{17}-1=0$.
One root is 1 . To find one of the primitive roots, form the cyclotomic equation of the 16 th degree and take $g=3$. Then the roots are represented by the followmg powers of $\omega$ :

$$
1, g, g^{2}, g^{3}, g^{4}, \cdots, g^{15}
$$

which are equivalent, respectively, to the powers

$$
1,3,9,10,13,5,15,11,16,14,8,7,4,12,2,6 .
$$

Take $n-1=16=e \cdot f=2 \cdot 8$, where $e=2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta=\omega+\omega^{9}+\omega^{13}+\omega^{15}+\omega^{16}+\omega^{8}+\omega^{4}+\omega^{2}, \\
& \eta_{1}=\omega^{3}+\omega^{10}+\omega^{5}+\omega^{11}+\omega^{14}+\omega^{7}+\omega^{12}+\omega^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We find that $\eta+\eta_{1}$ is equal to the sum of all the roots, or -1 , while $\eta \eta_{\mathrm{t}}=-4$. Hence $\eta$ and $\eta_{1}$ are roots of

$$
x^{2}+x-4=0 .
$$

Next we take $f=8=c^{\prime} f^{\prime}=2 \cdot 4$, where $e^{\prime}=2$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta^{\prime}=\omega+\omega^{13}+\omega^{16}+\omega^{4}, \\
& \eta_{1}^{\prime}=\omega^{3}+\omega^{5}+\omega^{14}+\omega^{12}, \\
& \eta_{2}^{\prime}=\omega^{9}+\omega^{15}+\omega^{8}+\omega^{2}, \\
& \eta_{3}^{\prime}=\omega^{10}+\omega^{11}+\omega^{7}+\omega^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The periods $\eta^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}{ }_{2}$, whose sum is $\eta$, are roots of

$$
x^{2}-\eta x-1=0,
$$

while $\eta_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\eta_{3}^{\prime}$, whose sum is $\eta_{1}$, are the roots of

$$
x^{2}-\eta_{1} x-1=0
$$

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta^{\prime} & =\frac{\eta}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\eta^{2}}{4}+1}, \quad \eta_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\eta}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{\eta^{2}}{4}+1} \\
\eta_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{\eta_{1}}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\eta_{1}^{2}}{4}+1}, \quad \eta_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{\eta_{1}}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{\eta_{1}^{2}}{4}+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the third step, $f^{\prime}=4=e^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime \prime}=2 \cdot 2$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\eta^{\prime}=\omega+\omega^{16}, & \eta^{\prime \prime}=\omega^{18}+\omega^{4}, \\
\eta_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\omega^{3}+\omega^{14}, & \eta_{5}^{\prime \prime}=\omega^{5}+\omega^{12}, \\
\eta_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\omega^{9}+\omega^{8}, & \eta_{6}^{\prime \prime}=\omega^{15}+\omega^{2}, \\
\eta_{3}^{\prime \prime}=\omega^{10}+\omega^{7}, & \eta_{7}^{\prime \prime}=\omega^{11}+\omega^{6} .
\end{array}
$$

Since $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}{ }_{4}$ have $\eta^{\prime}$ for therr sum and $\eta^{\prime}$, for their product, they are the roots of
and we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{2}-\eta^{\prime} x+\eta_{1}^{\prime}=0, \\
\eta^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\eta^{\prime}}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\eta^{\prime 2}}{4}-\eta_{1}^{\prime}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally we find that $\omega$ and $\omega^{16}$ are ronts of the quadratic
that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{2}-\eta^{\prime \prime} x+1=0 ; \\
& \omega=\frac{\eta^{\prime \prime}}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\eta^{\prime \prime 2}}{4}-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

a primitive root of the cyclotomic equation of degree 16.
After solving one of the quadratics given above, the question arises, which one of the two roots 1 epresents a given perrod? For instance, which of the roots of $x^{2}-\eta_{1} x-1=0$ represents $\eta_{1}^{\prime}$ ? 'To settle this, form the product

$$
\left(\eta^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}{ }_{3}\right)=2\left(\eta-\eta_{1}\right)=+\sqrt{ } 1 \overline{7}=\sqrt{\frac{\eta^{2}}{4}+1}\left(\eta^{\prime}{ }_{1}-\eta_{3}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Hence $\eta^{\prime}{ }_{1}-\eta_{3}^{\prime}$ is positive, and $\eta_{1}^{\prime}$ has the plus sign before its radical, $\eta^{\prime}{ }_{3}$ the negative sign.

It is readily seen that, since the equation $x^{17}-1=0$ involves in its solution no other irrationals than square roots, a regular polygon of seventeen sides can be inscribed in a circle by means of the ruler and compasses. Gauss discovered a method of inscribing this polygon when he was a youth of nineteen years. It was this discovery which induced him to pursue mathematics as his life-work rather than languages. For an explanation of the construction of the regular seventeen-sided polygon consult Bachmam, Lehre von der Kreistheilung, Leipzig, 1872, p.67, or Klein's Famous Problems of Elementary (feometry (ed. W. W. Beman and D. E. Smith), Boston, 1897, p. 41. We have followed Bachmann's exposition of the subject of the division of the circle.

Ex. 4. Show the impossibility of constructing, with ruler and compasses, the side of a cube, the volume of which is twice the volume of a given cube.
('To construct a cube whose volume shall be double that of a given cube is the problem known as the "Duplication of the Cube." It was one of three problems upon which Greek mathematicians expended much effort. Myth ascribes to it the following origin : The Delians wete suffering from a pestilence and were ordered by the oracle to double a certam cubical altar. Thoughtless workmen constructed a cube with edges twice as long. But brainless work like that did not pacify the gods. The error being discovered, Plato was consulted on this "Dehan problem." Through him it recelved the attention of mathematicians.)

Ex. 5. Show the impossibility of trisecting by the aid of ruler and compasses any given angle.

To trisect a given angle is the second of the three famous problems first studied by Greek mathematicians. The third was the "Quadrature of the Circle."

Let $x$ be a complex number $O \Lambda^{\prime}$ of unit length. Let

Then

$$
\underline{Q O B}=\phi, \underline{A O A^{\prime}}=\underline{A^{\prime} O A^{\prime \prime}}=\underline{A^{\prime \prime} O B}={ }_{3}^{\phi} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\cos \frac{\phi}{3}+i \sin \frac{\phi}{3}, \\
& x^{2}=\cos \frac{2 \phi}{3}+i \sin \frac{2 \phi}{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
x^{3}=\cos \phi+i \sin \phi
$$

Accordang to our problem we are given I, where $x^{3}=O B$, and we are to show the impossibility of constructing $O A^{\prime}$ by ruler and compasses.

We are going to prove that equation I, as a rule, is irreducible. It is sometimes reducible. For instance, when $\phi=90^{\circ}$, equation I gives $x^{3}=i$, which can be factored into $(x+1)\left(x^{2}-i x-1\right)$, which factors are functions in $\Omega_{(1,2)}$. In this case the construction can be effected.

When the right member of $I$ is an arbitrary number, that is, when $\phi$ is an arbitrary angle, then $I$ is irrelucible, else at least one of its roots couid he represented as a function of $\cos \phi$ and $\sin \phi$. By De Moivre's Theorem the roots of I are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\cos \frac{\phi}{3}+i \sin \frac{\phi}{3} \\
& x_{2}=\cos \frac{\phi+2 \pi}{3}+i \sin \frac{\phi+2 \pi}{3} \\
& x_{3}=\cos \frac{\phi+\frac{4 \pi}{3}+i \sin \frac{\phi+4 \pi}{3}}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

If in these expressions for $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ we substitute $\phi+2 \pi$ for $\phi$, the roots undergo a cyclic permutation ; that is, $x_{1}$ becomes $x_{2}, x_{2}$ becomes $x_{3}$, and $x_{3}$ becomes $x_{1}$. Because of these changes, no root can, in general, be a
 rational function of $\sin \phi$ and $\cos \phi ;$ for, $\sin \phi$ and $\cos \phi$ remaining unaltered in value when $\phi+2 \pi$ is substituted for $\phi$, the root could undergo no change. For an arbitrary angle the equation I is, therefore, irreducible. Its degree being 3 , which is not an integral power of 2 , its roots cannot be constructed with the and of the ruler and compasses, and the trisection is impossible.
Ex. 6. Show that, it we take $\cos \frac{\phi}{3}$ equal to a value $\alpha$, numerically $\overline{\text { < }} 1$ and rational or involving square roots only, we get $x^{3}=(\alpha+i \beta)^{d}$, where $\beta^{2}=1-\alpha^{2}$, and where $x=\alpha+i \beta$ is a root which can be constructed geometrically. Show that any number of trisectable angles $\phi$ may be obtained by this process. Taking $\alpha=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}-\sqrt{3}$, show that the angle of $45^{\circ}$ may be trisected. By assuming $\alpha$ to involve at least one radical whose order is not two nor a power of two, show how to obtain angles which cannot be trisected.

Ex. 7. Assuming $2 \cos \frac{\phi}{3}=x$, show that the trisection of the angle $\phi$ depends upon the equation $x^{3}-3 x=2 \cos \phi$. Letting $\cos \phi=m / n$ and $n x=y$, derive $y^{3}-3 n^{2} y=2 m n^{2}$, which has integral roots whenever the first cubic has rational roots. If the integers $m$ and $n$ are prime to each other, and $n$ is divisible by an odd prime $p$ but not by $p^{2}$, show that $\phi$ cannot be trisected. Prove that angles $120^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}, \cos ^{-1} \frac{1}{6}$ cannot be trisected.

Ex. 8. To show that an irreducible culic, whose coefficients are rational numbers and whose three roots are real, cannot be solved by real radicals.

This is the so-called "irreducible case," $\S 60$. We are required to prove that in the algebraic solution of the given cubic it is impossible to avoid the extraction of the cube root of a complex number. To this end observe, first ( $\S 171$, Ex. 3) that the cubic becomes a normal equation when $\sqrt{ } \dot{D}$ is adjoined to $\Omega$. Here $\sqrt{D}$ is real. The equation $x^{n}-a=0$, where $a$ is not a perfect $n$th power, and $n$ is prime, is irreducible. If it were possible for the normal cubic equation to become reducible on the adjunction of the real root $X \equiv \sqrt[n]{a}$, then by $\S 160$, Cor. II, the degree of $x^{n}-a=0$ would be a multiple of $j$, the index of the new Galois group $P=1$, under
$G_{3}{ }^{(3)}$. Here this index is 3. As $n$ is prime, $n=3$. This makes $\Omega_{(X)}=\Omega_{(\rho)}$, where $\rho$ is a root of the normal cubic. Hence the roots of $x^{n}-a=0$ are the conjugate values of $X, \S 136$, and all of them lie in the normal domain $s_{(\rho)}$. Now, if one root of a normal equation is real, all its roots are real. 'Therefore, all the roots of $x^{n}-\iota=0$, being functions in $\Omega$ of $\rho$ would have to be real. But this cannot be, when $n=3$. Thus, the assumption that our cubic can be solved by real radicals of prime order leads to an absurdity.

Nor would the solution be possible by real radicals of composite order, such as $\sqrt[n]{a}$, where $n=p q$, a composite number; for, in that case we can write $\sqrt[p]{\sqrt[4]{a}}$ and we can adjoin in succession the radicals of prime order $y \equiv \sqrt[q]{a}$ and $\sqrt[n]{y}$. But, as has just been shown, such adjunctions do not render the normal cubic reducible.

## CHAPTER XVIII

## ABELIAN EQUATIONS

184. Definition. An equation $f(x)=0$ of the $n$th degree, having the roots $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ is called Abelian, if each root can be expressed as a function in $\Omega 2$ of some one of its roots, thus,

$$
\mu_{1}=\phi_{1}(\alpha), \quad \mu_{2}=\phi_{2}(\alpha), \cdots, \mu_{n-1}=\phi_{n-1}(\alpha)
$$

and if, for any two of these roots, we have the commutative relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{k} \phi_{k}(\kappa)=\phi_{k} \phi_{k}(火) . \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\phi_{k} \phi_{k}(\alpha)$ we mean here $\phi_{h}\left[\phi_{k}(c)\right]$
The equation $x^{4}-1=0$ is Abelian, because, its roots being $\pm 1, \pm i$, we have $-1=i^{\prime \prime},-i=i^{3}, 1=i^{4},\left(i^{2}\right)^{3}=\left(i^{3}\right)^{2}$, etc.

Ex. 1. Show that cyclic equations are special cases of Abelian equations.
Ex. 2. Show that $x^{6}-1=0$ is Abelian, but not cyclic ; that $x^{3}-1=0$ is both Abelian and cyclic.

Ex 3. Prove that when Abelian equations are irreducible, they are normal.

Ex. 4. Show that $x^{n}-1=0$ is Abelian where $n$ is any positive integer.
Ex. 5. The equation $x^{5}+22 x^{4}-440 x^{3}-3520 x+11264 x+32768=0$ has as three of its roots $-2,4,-8$. Show that it is an Abelian equation.

Ex. 6. Is $x^{6}-5=0$ an Abelian equation in the domain $\Omega_{(1)}$ ? In the domain $\Omega_{(1, \omega)}$, where $\omega$ is a primitive sixth root of unity?
185. Abelian Groups. A group whose substitutions obey the commutative law in multiplication is called an Abelian group. For instance, $1,(a b)$ is such a group, because $1 \cdot(a b)=(a b) \cdot 1$.

Ex. 1 Every sub-group of an Abelian group is itself an Abelan group.
Ex. 2. If $f_{1}$ is not $A$ belian, and $\left(r_{1}\right.$ is a sub-group of $(\underset{r}{ }$, then $G$ is not Abelian.
 are Abelian groups.
186. Abelian Equations have Abelian Groups. If the roots of an Abelian equation are all distinct, its Gulois group is an Albelian group.

Let $f(x)=0$ be an Abelian equation, and let its roots be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa, \mu_{1}=\phi_{1}(\alpha), \alpha_{2}=\phi_{2}(\alpha), \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}=\phi_{n-1}(\kappa) . \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f(x)=0$ is reducible, let $g(x)$ be an irreducible factor, and let $g(. r)=0$ have the roots

$$
\begin{equation*}
u, u^{\prime}=\phi^{\prime}(u), u^{\prime \prime}=\phi^{\prime \prime}(u), \cdots \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the roots of II occur, of course, in the series I. Now $g(x)=0$ satisfies all the conditions of a (ialois resolvent of $f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0, \S 145$. Hence the group of $f(x)=0$ consists of the substitutions

$$
\rho \equiv(\alpha \kappa), \rho^{\prime} \equiv\left(\mu c^{\prime}\right), \cdots
$$

This group obeys the commutative law in multiplication, for we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime} & =\left(\kappa \ell^{\prime}\right)=\left(\kappa, \phi^{\prime}(\kappa)\right) \\
\rho^{\prime \prime} & =\left(\kappa \ell^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\kappa, \phi^{\prime \prime}(\kappa)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and, §148, $\rho^{\prime} \rho^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\alpha, \phi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right\}\left\{\phi^{\prime}(\alpha), \phi^{\prime} \phi^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)\right\}=\left\{\alpha, \phi^{\prime} \phi^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)\right\}$,

$$
\rho^{\prime \prime} \rho^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha, \phi^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)\right\}\left\{\phi^{\prime \prime}(\alpha), \phi^{\prime \prime} \phi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right\}=\left\{\alpha, \phi^{\prime \prime} \phi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right\} .
$$

Since the equation $f(x)=0$ is Abelian, we have

$$
\phi^{\prime \prime} \phi^{\prime}(\alpha)=\phi^{\prime} \phi^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) ;
$$

hence,

$$
\rho^{\prime} \rho^{\prime \prime}=\rho^{\prime \prime} \rho^{\prime}
$$

Consequently, the group of substitutions of the domain $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{(a)}$ is commutative, as is also the isomorphic group of the equation $f(x)=0$, § 151. Therefore, the Galois group of $f(x)=0$ is an Abelian group.
187. An Equation having an Abelian Group is Abelian. $A n$ irreducible equation $g(x)=0$, huving a commututice group is an Abelian equation.

Let $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ be the roots of $g(x)=0$ and let $G$ represent the group of this equation. As $g(x)=0$ is irreducible, $G$ is transitive, § 156.

Let $s$ be $a m y$ substitution in the group $G$ which does not change the digit 0 , and let $s_{t}$ be any substitution in $G$ which replaces 0 by $i$. Then $s_{1}^{-1} \cdot s \cdot s_{\mathrm{t}}$ is a substitution of $G$ which does not change $i$; for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}^{-1} \text { changes } i \text { to } 0, \\
& s \text { does not change } 0, \\
& s_{1} \text { changes } 0 \text { to } i \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the group $G$ is assumed to be commutative, we have

$$
s_{2}^{-1} \cdot s \cdot s_{1}=s_{2}^{-1} \cdot s_{2} \cdot s=s
$$

Hence $s$ leaves unchanged not only the digit 0 , but also the digit $i$. But the group $G$ is transitive ; therefore, the digit 0 must be capable of being replaced by each of the other digits $1,2,3, \cdots,(n-1)$. Yet, no matter which one of these digits is taken to be $i$, the substitution $s$ leaves $i$ unaltered. These relations can hold true only when $s$ is the identical substitution in the group $G$. Hence every substitution in $G$, except 1, replaces 0 by some other digit.

Applying to every other digit the same reasoning which we applied to 0 , it follows that every substitution in the group $G$, except the substitution 1, contains that digit among its elements; in other words, there is no substitution in $G$, except 1 , which leaves any digit unaltered.

Next, adjoin to the domain $\Omega$ the quantity $M=\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is one of the roots of $g(x)=0$. Since no substitution in the group $G$, except 1, leaves the index of $\alpha_{x}$ unaltered and since the identical substitution satisfies the definition of a group, 1 is the sub-group to which $M$ belongs. Thus, $Q=1$; and, by the
adjunction of $\alpha_{x}$, the group of the Galois domain is reduced to $1, \S 163$.

The Galois domain of $!\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0\right.$ is $\Omega_{\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)}$, § 143. Each of the roots $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ is a number in the (ralois domain and each of the roots admits of the substitutions of the sub-group $Q=1$; hence each root is contained in the domain $\Omega_{(a)}$, § 162 , and each root coun be expressed as a function in $\Omega$ of one of them. Therefore, $g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$ is a normal equation and the domain $\Omega_{(a)}$ is a normal domain, s 132. We have then

$$
\alpha_{h}=\phi_{h}(u),
$$

and the Galois group of $g\left(. x^{c}=0\right)$ consists of the substitutions, § 149 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{h} & =\left(\iota, \phi_{h}(\kappa)\right) . \\
\rho_{h} \rho_{h} & =\left(\iota, \phi_{h} \phi_{h}(火)\right), \\
\rho_{k} \rho_{h} & =\left(\iota, \phi_{k} \phi_{h}(火)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

W'e have, § 148, $\quad \rho_{h} \rho_{h}=\left(k, \phi_{h} \phi_{h}(k)\right)$,

As the group is assumed to be commutative, we must have,

$$
\phi_{h} \phi_{k}(\alpha)=\phi_{l} \phi_{h}(\alpha),
$$

i.e. $g(x)=0$ is an Abelian equation.
188. Theorem. In a substitution belonging to a transitive . Delian group all the cycles consist of the same number of elements.

Let the substitution $s$ be resolved into its cycles, and let $r$ be the least number of elements in any cycle. The substitution $\varsigma^{r}$, applied to the elements in that cycle, leaves the elements unchanged. Since, § 187 , in a transitive Abelian group no substitution, except the identical one, leaves an element unaltered, $s^{\prime}$ must be the identical substitution. But this can only be the case when all other cycles (if there are others) consist of $r$ elements.

Ex. 1. Name the Abelian group of degree five, in which the cycles in one and the same substitution do not have the same number of elements. Explain. See Ex. 3, § 185, also § 104.

Ex. 2. Show by $\$ \$ 187,188$ that there can be no transitive Abelian group of prime degree other than the cyche group, and that there is no irreducible Abelian equation of prime degree other than the cyclic equation.

Ex. 3. Show that no transitive Abehan group of degree $n$ can be of lower order than $n$.

Ex. 4. Show that a transitive Abelian group of degree $n$ is of the order $n$. Weber, Vol. I, p. 578.
189. Solution of Abelian Equations. The solution of Abelian equations may be reduced to the solution of cyclic equations.

In a transitive $\Lambda$ belian group every substitution, except the identical one, involves all the elements and has the same number of elements in each cycle. Hence, if $x$ is the total number of elements and $r$ is the number in one cycle, we must have $n=r \cdot t$, where $t$ is the number of cycles in the substitution.

Let $G$ be the group of an irreducible Abelian equation $f(x)=0$, and let $s$ be any substitution except 1 . If $c, c_{1}, \cdots, c_{t-1}$ are the cycles in $s$, we may write

$$
s=c c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{t-1}
$$

Each of these cycles has for its elements $r$ roots of the equation $f(x)=0$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c \equiv\left(\mu \ell_{1} \cdots \ell_{t-1}\right), \\
c_{1} \equiv\left(\beta \beta_{1} \cdots \beta_{,-1}\right), \\
\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\
c_{t-1} \equiv\left(\sigma \sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{t-1}\right),
\end{array}
$$

where the $\alpha$ 's, $\beta$ 's, $\cdots, \sigma$ 's are the roots of $f(x)=0$.
Let $s_{1}$ be any substitution in the group $G$. We have, § 187,

$$
s_{1}^{-1} \cdot s \cdot s_{1}=s
$$

The product $s_{1}{ }^{-1} s s_{1}$ is obtained by performing upon each cycle of $s$ the substitution $s_{1}, \S 88$. As this operation leaves $s$ as a
whole unchanged, it follows that, after the operation, each cycle still has the same letters occurring in it and in the same cyclic order, though the cycles may have interchanged positions. Since $s$ may be any substatution in the group $G$, except 1, we conclude that the group is imprimitive, whenever $t>1, \S 103$.

Let $M$ be a cyclic function of the roots $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{1}, M_{1}$ a cyclic function of the roots $\beta, \beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{r-1}$, and so on. We have then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \equiv \psi\left(\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{-1}\right) \\
& M_{1} \equiv \psi\left(\beta, \beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{r-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

There will be $t$ such conjugate cyclic functions, $M, M_{1}$, $M_{2}, \cdots, M_{t-1}$.

Let $Q$ represent the aggregate of all the substitutions in the group $G$ which do not replace a cycle by another, but simply interchange the elements in each cycle. This aggregate of substitutions is a group; the product of any two of them gives a substitution belonging to $G$, which does not intcrehange the cycles. Thus, $Q$ is a sub-group of $G$.

As no substitution in $Q$ can change $\alpha_{k}$ into any element not belonging to the cycle c, $Q$ is an intransitice aroup.

The function $M$ is readily seen to admit the substitutions in $Q$ and those only; hence, if we adjoin $M$ to the domain $\Omega$, the group of $f(x)=0$ reduces to $Q, \S 163$.

As $Q$ is intransitive, the equation $f(x)=0$ is reducible in the domain $\Omega_{(N)}, \$ 156$.

Let $f(x, M)$ be a function of $x$, defined thus:

$$
f(x, M) \equiv(x-\alpha)\left(x-\mu_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha_{1-1}\right)
$$

We proceed to show that this is one of the factors of $f(x)$ in the domain $\Omega_{(\mu)}$. Since $Q$ is intransitive and permutes the roots in each cycle among themselves only, the coefficients of $f(x, M)$ admit all the substitutions of $Q$. Therefore $f(x, M)$
is a function of $x$ in $\Omega_{(\mathcal{M})}$, § 154. Since all the roots of $f(x, M)=0$ are roots of $f(x)=0, f(x, M)$ is a factor of $f(x)$ in $\Omega_{(\boldsymbol{H})}$.

Similarly, we can show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x, M_{1}\right) \equiv(x-\beta)\left(x-\beta_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-\beta_{r-1}\right) \\
& f\left(x, M_{2}\right) \equiv(x-\gamma)\left(x-\gamma_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-\gamma_{r-1}\right), \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

are factors of $f(x)$. We have, therefore,

$$
f(x) \equiv f\left(x^{\prime}, M\right) \cdot f^{\prime}\left(x, M_{1}\right) \cdots f\left(x, M_{t-1}\right) .
$$

Since the coefficients of $f(x, M)=0$ are cyche functions of its roots, the gromp of this equation is the cyelic group, or one of its sub)-groups, § 159 . But a cyclie group can have no transitive sub-group, hence the irreducible equation $f^{\prime}(x, \boldsymbol{M})=0$ is a cyclic equation. Similarly for $f\left(x, M_{1}\right)=0$, etc.

It remains to explain how the values of $\boldsymbol{M}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{M}_{t-1}$ may be obtained. By § 161 they are roots of an irreducible equation $g(M)=0$ in $\Omega$ of the degree $t$. We proceed to prove that $g(M)=0$ is Abelian. Since $f(x, M)=0$ is cyclic, we get for the conjugates of $M$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
M=\psi\left[\kappa, \phi(\kappa), \cdots, \phi^{r-1}(\kappa)\right]=F(\alpha) \\
M_{1}=\psi\left[\beta, \phi(\beta), \cdots, \phi^{\prime-1}(\beta)\right]=F(\beta) \\
M_{2}=\psi\left[\gamma, \phi(\gamma), \cdots, \phi^{\prime-1}(\gamma)\right]=F^{\prime}(\gamma) \\
\text {. . . . . . . . . . . . }
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

By assumption, we have $\beta=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\kappa), \gamma=\Phi_{1}(\kappa)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1} & =\psi\left[\Phi(\ell), \phi \Phi(\kappa), \cdots, \phi^{-1} \Phi(\kappa)\right] \\
& =\psi\left[\Phi(\kappa), \Phi \phi(\iota), \cdots, \Phi \phi^{\prime-1}(\alpha)\right] \\
& =\psi_{1}\left[\kappa, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, u_{2-1}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi_{1}$ admits the substitutions of the cyclic group. Hence, by $\S 162, M_{1}$ is a function in $\Omega$ of $M$. Similarly for $M_{\boldsymbol{v}}$.

From I we see that replacing $\alpha$ by $\beta$ or $\gamma$ changes $M$ into $M_{1}$ or $\boldsymbol{M}_{2}$. Hence, if

$$
M_{1}=\lambda(M)=F \Phi(x), \quad M_{2}=\lambda_{1}(M)=F \Phi_{1}(\alpha)
$$

we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left(M_{2}\right)=F \Phi(\gamma)=\lambda \lambda_{1}(M)=F^{\prime} \Phi \Phi_{1}(\alpha) \\
& \lambda_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)=F \Phi_{1}(\beta)=\lambda_{1} \lambda(M)=F^{\prime} \Phi_{1} \Phi(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, by assumption, $\Phi \Phi_{1}(\alpha)=\Phi_{1} \Phi(\alpha)$, we have also $\lambda \lambda_{1}(M)$ $=\lambda_{1} \lambda(M)$. Simularly for other conjugates of $M$. ${ }^{\bullet}$ We have now proved that $y(\boldsymbol{M})=0$ is an Abelan equation.

Hence we have shown that the solution of the given Abelian equation $f(x)=0$ can be reduced to the solution of cyclic equations and of another Abelian equation of lower degree. The latter Abelan equation can be treated in the same manner as was $f(x)=0$; hence, eventually, the solution of $f(x)=0$ is reduced to that of cyclic equations only.

Ex. 1. Ahel gave the following example of an Abelian equation. Let $a \equiv \frac{2 \pi}{n}$; then $\cos a, \cos 2 a, \cdots, \cos n a$ can be shown to the the roots of the equation

$$
x^{n}-\frac{n}{4} x^{n-2}+\frac{1}{16} \frac{n(n-3)}{1 \cdot 2} x^{n-4}+\cdots=0 .
$$

I
For the derivation of this equation see Serret's Algebra (Ed. G. Wertheim), 1878 , Vol. I, pp. 190-199. Expandug the right member of De Moivre's formula, $\cos m a+i \sin m a=\left({ }^{\prime} \cos a+i \sin a\right)^{m}$, by the binomial theorem, we can express cos $m a$ as a function in $\Omega_{(1)}$ of $\cos a$. We may, therefore, write $\cos m a=\theta(\cos \pi)$, where $\theta$ is the function. Similarly, $\cos m_{1} \iota=\theta_{1}(\cos \pi)$. Writing $m_{1} a$ tor $a$ in the former equation, we get

$$
\cos \left(m m_{1} a\right)=\theta\left(\cos m_{1} a\right)=\theta \theta_{1}(\cos a)
$$

If in $\theta_{1}(\cos a)=\cos m_{1} a$ we replace $a$ by $m a$, we have

$$
\cos \left(m_{1} m a\right)=\theta_{1}(\cos m a)=\theta_{1} \theta(\cos a)
$$

Hence every root of I can be expressed as a function in $\Omega$ of one of them, and we have in addition

$$
\theta \theta_{1}(\cos a)=\theta_{1} \theta(\cos a) .
$$

Therefore I is an Abelian efuation.

Ex. 2. Show that I in Ex. 1 is a reducible equation in the domain $\Omega$ defined by its coefficients.

Consider the value of the root $\cos n a$.
Ex. 3. The equation $x^{4}+1=0$ has the group $P=\left(i_{4}{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{II}, \S 159\right.$, Ex. 5 . Its roots are $\alpha=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}(1+i), \alpha_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}(1-i), \alpha_{2}=-\alpha, \alpha_{3}=-\alpha_{1}$. Illustrate the reduction of the solution of Abelian equations to that of cyclic equations.

Let $s=\left(\alpha \alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right), c=\left(\alpha \alpha_{1}\right), c_{1}=\left(\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), M=\alpha \alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha^{2}, M_{1}=\alpha_{3} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right.$ $+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}{ }^{2}, Q=1,\left(\alpha \alpha_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right)$. Here $M$ and $M_{1}$ are the roots of $t^{2}+2=0$; i.e. $M=i \sqrt{2}, M_{1}=-i \sqrt{2}$. Then $f(x, \imath) \equiv x^{2}+i=0, f(x,-i) \equiv x^{2}$ $-i=0$ are both cyclic equations.

Ex. 4. The equation $x^{4}-8 x^{8}+20 x^{2}-16 x+1=0$ has the Galois group $G_{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{II}$; hence, is irreducible and $\Lambda$ belian. We have here $\alpha_{1}=-\alpha+4$, $\alpha_{2}=-\alpha^{3}+6 \alpha^{2}-8 \alpha+2, \mu_{3}=\alpha^{3}-6 \alpha^{2}+8 u+2$. Illustrate the reduction, as in Ex. 1. Netto, Algebra, Vol. II, p. 234.

## CHAPTER XIX

## THE ALGEBRAIC SOLUTION OF EQUATIONS

190. Adjunction of Roots of Binomial Equations. In this chapter it is proposed to develop the necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of algebraic equations of any degree. To this end we shall assume in this paragraph that $f(x)=0$ is an equation which admits of being solved by algebra; that is, we shall assume that all the roots of the given equation $f(x)=0$ can be obtained from its coefticients by a finite number of additions, subtractions, multiplications, divisions, and extractions of roots of any index.

Let $\sqrt[m]{c}$, where $c$ is an algebraic number, be nny one of the radicals which enter into the expressions for the roots of $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ of the equation $f(x)=0$. Thus, if $c=\frac{G^{2}}{4}+I^{3}$ and $m=2$, then $\sqrt[m]{c}$ is one of the radicals appearing in the solution of the cubic, $\S 59$. If $c=-\frac{G}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{{C^{2}}^{2}}{2}+H^{3}}, m=3$, we have another radical entering the expression of the roots of a cubic. Now the $m$ th power of any radical $\sqrt[m]{c}$ is a number in the domain $\Omega_{(c)}$. In other words, every radical is a root of a binomial equation of the form $x^{m}-u=0$. Thus it is evident that all the radicals which go to make up a root of $f(\dot{x})=0$ are roots of binomial equations.

If $f(x)=0$ is reducible in the domain $\Omega$, defined by its coefficients, we may apply to its irredncible factors the argument which follows. If $f(x)=0$ is irreducible in that domain, it is
clear that by the successive adjunction of some or all the radicals which enter into the expressions for its roots, the equation will becone reducible in the enlarged domain. That is, $f(x)=0$ becomes reducible upon the adjunction of certain roots of binomial equations.

As an illustration, observe that in § 167 the solution of the quadratic equation was made to depend upon the adjunction of $y$, the root of the binomial equation $y^{2}=a_{1}{ }^{2}-4 a_{2}$.

In the ease of the cubic, § 168 , we first adjoined $\sqrt{\bar{D}}$, which is the root of a binomial equation obtained by removing the second term from the quadratic $u^{6}+G u^{3}-H^{3}=0$. Next we adjoined $u$, which is a cube root of a binomial.

In the case of the quartic, § 169 , we first adjoined $u$, which differs ouly by a rational constant from $x_{1}$. Here $x_{1}$ is the root of a cubic equation, the solution of which may itself be explained by the adjunction of roots of binomial equations, as we have just seen. Next we adjoined $\sqrt{v}, \sqrt{u}, \sqrt{w}$, all roots of binomial equations.
191. Dependence upon Cyclic Equations. All binomial equations are known to be Abelian equations, § 184, Exs. 4, 6, and Abelian equations may be solved algebraically by the aid of a series of cyclic equations whose degrees are prime, § 189. Consequently, when $f(x)=0$ is a solvable equation, its solution may be made to depend upon that of cyclic equations of prime degree.
192. Restatement of the Problem. Suppose now that $f(x)=0$ is any algebraic equation. The question, whether it is solvable by radicals, may be replaced by the question of equal scope, whether it is solvable by roots of cyclic equations of prime degree. We have thus arrived at the following query: Under what conditions is the group $G$ of an equation of the nth degree, $f(x)=0$, reduced by the adjunction of a root of a cyclic equation whose degree is prime?
193. Theorem. If the groun $G$ of an equation $f(x)=0$ is reduced by the adjunction of a root of a cyclic equation of the mime deyree $m$, then the groun $G$ has a normal sub-yroun whose index is the prime mumber $m$.

Let $f(x)=0$ be reducible or irreducible, but free of multiple roots. Let $h(x)=0$ be a cyclic equation of the $m$ th degree, where $m$ is a prime number. We assume that the adjunction of one of the roots of $h(x)=0$ does reduce the group $G$ to one of its sub-groups $Q$.

Let the roots of $h(x)=0$ be $X, X_{1}, \cdots, X_{m}$. Since $h(x)=0$ is eyclic, all its roots can be expressed as functions in $\Omega$ of one of them. If $G$ is the group of $f(x)=0$ in $\Omega$, then $Q$ is the group of the same equation in the domain $\Omega_{(x)}$, or in the coextensive domains $\Omega_{\left(X_{1}\right)}, \cdots, \Omega_{\left(X_{m-1}\right)}$.

According to $\$ 165$, ( $o r$. II, the degree $m$ of $h(x)=0$ is a multiple of $j$, the index of the group $Q$ under $G$. Since $m$ is a prime number, and $j$ must be greater than 1 , we have $m=j$.

Let $M$ be a function in $\Omega$ of the roots of $f(x)=0$, and let $\boldsymbol{M}$ belong to the sub-group $Q$. Then $\boldsymbol{M}$ is a function in $\Omega$ of $\boldsymbol{X}$, § 165.
$\Lambda$ gain, by $\S 165$, (Hor. I, the domain of $\Omega_{(H)}$, is a divisor of the domain $\Omega_{(T)}$. But the degree of $\Omega_{(X)}$ is prime, being by definition, $\S 132$, of the same degree as that of the equation $h(x)=0$, which has X as a root.

Snce $\Omega_{(H)}$ is a divisor of $\Omega_{(X)}$, and the degree of $\Omega_{(X)}$ is prime, we must have $\Omega_{(X)}=\Omega_{(A)}$. Hence, not only is $M$ a function in $\Omega$ of $X$, hut $X$ is a function in $\Omega$ of $M$, and either function admits of all the substitutions that the other does. Hence $\boldsymbol{X}$, like $M$, belougs to the group, $Q$.

Operate uron $X$ with the sulstitutions of $G$, and we get the following distinct values: $\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{X}^{\prime}{ }_{m-1}$. By $\S 161$ these values are roots of an irreducible equation. This must be identical with the irreducible equation $h(x)=0$, since the two have the root $X$ in common, $\S 126$. Thus, the values $X, X_{1}$, $X_{m-1}$, and $X, X_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, X_{m-1}^{\prime}$, are equal respectipaly.

Let $s$ be a substitution in $G$ which changes $X$ to $X_{1}$. That same substitution transforms the sub-group) ( $)$ into the conjugate sub-group $s^{\prime}\left(Q s \equiv Q_{1}\right.$. Now the substitutions in the sub-group $Q_{1}$ leave $X_{1}$ unchanged. For, to operate with the substatutions in $Q_{1}$ is the same as to operate with $s^{-1} Q s$, where $s^{-1}$ changes $X_{1}$ to $X$, and $X$ remains unaltered by the substitutions in $Q$, while $s$ changes $X$ back to $X_{1}$. But $X$ and $X_{1}$ are roots of a cyclic equation; hence $X_{1}$ is a function in $\Omega$ of $X$, and $X$ is a function in $\Omega$ of $X$, so that $X$ and $X_{1}$ belong to the same group, Q. Therefore, $Q=Q_{1}$.

Since the same reasoning applies to $X$ and any one of the other roots $X_{2}, \cdots, X_{m}$, it follows that $Q$ is identical with all of its conjugate groups; that $1 s, Q$ is a normal suld-group of $G$, having the index $m$.
194. The Converse Theorem. If the group $G$ of the equation $f(x)=0$ has a normal sub-group ( 2 , whose index is a prime number m, then, by watjuction of a root of a cyclic equation of the degree $m$, the group $G$ is reduced to $Q$.

If the group ( ${ }^{7}$ has a normal sub-group $Q$ of the prime index $m$, and if we select a function $M$ whuch belongs to the subgronp $Q$, the conjugate functions all belong to the same group Q. By $\S 162$, each function $M, M_{1}, \cdots, M_{m-1}$, is contained in the domain $\Omega_{(w)}$. Hence thens domain is a normal domain, § 132, and $M$ is the root of a normal equation, $\$ 139$. In the domain $\Omega_{(N)}$ we have $Q$ as the group of the equation $f(. r)=0, \S 163$. But, if $m$ is a prime number, the normal equation is also a cyclic equation; for, the degree $m$ of the normal equation is also the order of the (ialois group, $\S \S 149,1 \tilde{0} 0$. Take any substitution $s$ (not the identical substitution) in the Galois group. The different powers of $s$ constitute a sub-group, the order of which is a factor of the order of the Galois group. As $m$ is prime, the order of $s$ must be $m$ and the sub-group is $s, s^{2}, s^{3}, \cdots, s^{m}$. The Galois group and its sub-group, being of
the same order, are identical. Hence the (ralois group is the cyclic group, $s, s^{2}, \cdots, s^{m}$, and the normal equation is a cyclic equation, § 170 .
195. Metacyclic Equations. An equation is called metaryelic or solvable, when its solution can be reduced to the solution of a series of cyclic equations. Nbelian equations are a special class of metacyclic equations. The latter embrace all equations that are solvable by radicals, and no others.

In $\$ 191$ it was shown that any equation which can be solved by raducals can be solved by the aid of cyelice equations of prime index. In § 193 it was shown that if the adjunction of a root of a cyclic equation of prime degree reduces the group $G$, there exists a normal sub-group whose index is a prime number; while in $\$ 194$ it was shown that, if $G$ has a normal sul-group, the reduction can always be effected by the adjunction of such a root.
196. Criterion of Solvability. Thut a !iven culyelorctic pquation be metaryclic it is mecessary cond suffirient that there paxist a series of groups

$$
\left(i_{r}^{\prime}, i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{k}^{\prime}=1\right.
$$

the first of which is the (xullois gromp of the equrtion in $\Omega$, the last of which is the itlentical group, eurll (fromp being a normal subgroup of the preceding aud of a prime index.

The group $G$ of a metacyclic equation must have a normal sub-group of an index $j$ that is a prime number. ( all this subgroup ( $X_{1}$. If $g_{1}$ consists of the identical substitution only (whose orrler is 1 ), then $j={ }_{1}^{P}$. That is, the order of $G$ itself is prime, and $G_{r}^{\prime}$ has no sub-groups, except 1. This can happen only when $G$ itself is a cyclic group, and the given metacyclic equation is itself only a cyclic equation.

If $A_{1}$ is not 1 , then, since the equation is, by hypothesis, solvable by radicals, $G_{1}$ must again have a normal sub-group $G_{2}$,
whose index is a prime number $j_{2}$. Continuing in this way, we finally arrive at the identical group 1 . This proves the theorem.
197. Criterion Applied. The Galois group of the general equation of the $n$th degree is the symmetrical group of the $n$th degree. The symmetric group has always the alternating group as a sub-group. This alternating sul)-group is a normal sub-group, of the index 2. It becomes the group of the given equation by the adjunction of the square root of the discrimnnant. The principal series of composition, $\S 110$, is $G_{2}^{(2)}, 1$, for the quadratic; $\left(X_{0}^{(3)},\left(X_{3}^{(3)}, 1\right.\right.$, for the gemeral cuble; and $G_{.4}^{(4)}$, $G_{12}{ }^{(4)}, G_{4}{ }_{4}^{(4)} \mathrm{II}, G_{2}{ }_{2}^{(4)}, 1$, for the general quartic. In these cases the alternating group is seen to have a normal sub-group of prime index. We are going to show that when the degree of the general equation is greater than 4 , and, consequently, the degree of the (Galois group is greater than $t$, the alternatmo group has no normal sulb-group of prime index.
198. Theorem. Aln alternating group of higher idefree then the fouth has no normal sul-group of prime index.

All substitutions of an alternating group are even, $\$ \$ 99,100$, and are expressible as the proluct of cycles of three elements, § 93. Let these sulbstitutions be so expressed.

We first establish the possibility of selecting a substitution $s$ in the alteruating group, so that a given cycle of three elements, say (123), will be transformed into any other cycle of three elements occurring in the alternating group. Suppose that $1,2, \dot{3}, t, r, t, u, v$, are elements of the group and we wish to transform (1 2 3) into ( $r+11$ ). It is easily seen that the substitution $s=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3 \\ r & 4 & 4\end{array}\right)$ will do it; for, $s^{-1}(123) s=(r t u)$. That $s$ is a substitution in the alternating group is clear, since, $\S 82, s=(12 t)(12 r)(3+c)(3+u)$, an recn substitution.

Next, let $Q$ be a normal sub-group of the alternating group, let $s_{1}$ be any substitution in $Q$ (except the substitution 1 ), and $s$ any substitution in the alternating group. It is easy to see that, by the property of normal sub-groups, $s^{1} s_{1} s$ is also a substitution in $Q$.

If $s_{1}$ consists of a cycle of three elements, we can, by proper selection of $s$ in ihe operation $s^{-1} s_{1} s$, transform $s_{1}$ into any other cyole of three elements. Therefore, $Q$ must contain all 'ycha sulstitutions of three elements whenever it contains one of them, and most, consequently, be identical with the alternating group.

Since $s_{1}^{-1}$ and $s^{-1} s_{1} s$ are both substitutions in $(Q$, their product must be ; namely,

$$
\lambda=s_{1}^{-1} \cdot s^{1} s_{1} s
$$

We shall now show that, whenever $n>4$, s can always be chosen from the substatutions of the alternathing group in such a way that the substitution $\lambda$ represents a cycle of three elements, thereby showing that the normal sul-group $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is really rdentical with the altermating gronp; in other words, showing that there is no normal sub-group, distinct from the alternating group itself, exeept the group) 1.

To show this, we assume that all the substitutions in the alternating group and in ( $\downarrow$ are resolved (as they always can be) into cycles so that no two cycles have an element in common, § 86. In the formation of $\lambda$ there is no need whatever of considering those cycles in the substitutions $s_{1}$ whose elements are unaffected by $s$, because in the product $s_{1}{ }^{-1} s^{-1} s_{1}$ they rancel each other. We shall consider separately the different forms which $s_{1}$ may take, when $n>4$.
(1) Let some one substitution $s_{1}$ in the normal sub-group) $Q^{2}$ have a eycle ( $12: 3 . . m$ ) wholh consists of more than three elements. Then $s_{1}=(123 \cdots m) r_{1} r_{2} \cdots$, where $c_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots$ arr cyeles which do not contain the elements $123 \ldots \mathrm{~m}$. ('hoose $s=(1: 3)$, then $s_{1}{ }^{-1} s^{-1} s_{1}=s_{1}^{-1}(132) s_{1}=(243)$, and $\lambda=s_{1}{ }^{-1} s{ }^{1} s_{1} s=(243)$. $(123)=(124)$. Hence $Q$ contains a substitution $\lambda$ consisting
of a cycle of three elements, and therefore $Q$ is identical with the alternating group. Thus, there is no normal sub-group containing the substitution (123 $\cdots n t) c_{1} c_{2} \cdots$.
(2) Let some one substitution $s_{1}$ in $Q$ consist of two or more cycles, two cycles of which contain each three elements. Let these two cycles be (123)(456). Take $s=(134)$, then $s_{1}^{-1} s^{-1} s_{1}=(251)$ and $\lambda=(251)(134)=(12534)$. This substitution, $\lambda$, found in $Q$, has more than three elements in its cycle, and comes under case (1). Hence, there is no normal sub-group of the alternating group contamng a substitution $s_{1}=(123)(456)$.
(3) Let $s_{1}$ consist of eycles, embracing one cycle of three elements and another of two elements, viz., the cycles (123)(45). Choose $s=(124)$, then $\lambda=(253)(124)=(12534)$, which comes under case (1). Hence, there is no normal sub-group containing $s_{1}=(12: 3)(45)$.
(4) Let $s_{1}$ embrace three transpositions, (12)(34)(56). ('hoose $s=(135)$, then $\lambda=(264)(135)$, which comes under case (2). Thus the possibility of the existence of a normal sub-group, containing $s_{1}=(12)(34)(56)$, is exeluded.
(5) Let $s_{1}$ consist, in part or wholly, of two transpositions and one invariant element. That 1 s , let $s_{1}$ contain among its cycles (12)(34)(5). Take $s=(125)$ and we get $\lambda=(125)(125)$ $=(152)$. Hence, $Q$ again coincides with the alternating group.
The above cases exhaust all the cases which are possible when $n>4$.
When $u=4$, a new possibility arises; namely, $s_{1}=(12)(34)$. No matter what substitution in the alternating group $G_{12}{ }^{(4)}$ is chosen for $s$, we fail to get for $\lambda$ a cycle of three elements. On the other hand, the sub-group

$$
1,(12)(34),(13)(24),(14)(23)
$$

satisfies the characteristic property of a normal sub-group of $G_{12}{ }^{(4)}$.

The group 1 is a normal sub-group of any group, but it is not a normal sub-group of prime inclex for alternating groups of degrees higher than the fourth. The order of the alternating group of the $n$th degree is $\frac{\frac{n}{2}}{2}$. Now $\frac{n}{2} \div 1$ is the index of the group 1 under the alternating group. When $n>4$, this index never is a prime number. Hence the theorem is established.
199. Insolvability of General Equations of the Fifth and Higher Degrees. From $\$ \S 196,198$, it appears that the general equations of higher degree than the fourth do not satisfy the conditions of solvability. However, a special equation of a higher degree than the fourth, whose group is uot the symmetric or the alternating group, may possess the necessary series of normal sub-groups of prime index, and may be solvable by radicals. Thus, any equation of the fifth degree whose group is not the symmetric or alternating group can be solved by madirals.
(Of the 295 substatution-groups whose degree does not exceed eight, only 28 are insolvable. See Am. Jour. of Muth., Vol. 21, p. 326.

Ex. 1. Show that the quartic in Ex. 9, § 159, is metacyclic, but not Abelian ; find its principal series of composition.
200. A Criterion of Metacyclic Equations of Prime Degree. All algebraic equations of the first four degrees are metacyclic. The following process enables one to ascertain whether a given equation of the fifth or a higher prime degree is metacyclic or not.

If the given irreducible equation $f(x)=0$ is motacyclic, then one of the series of groups $G, G_{1}, \cdots, G_{k}$ in $\S 196$ must be the Galois group of the given equation. Proceeding as in $\S 159$, let $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ be its roots; also let $\eta$ be a function of $\alpha_{0}$, $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n 1}$, formally unaltered by the substitutions in $G$ and those only, where $G$ is the group of highest order in this series. Let the index of $G$ with respect to the symmetric group of
degree $n$ be $j$. Operating upon $y$ with the substitutions of the symmetric group we get $j$ expressions for $y$, distinct ir form, viz., $y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{y}$. Construct the equation of degree $j$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(y) \equiv\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right) \cdots\left(y-y_{J}\right)=0 . \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of I are symmetric functions of the roots, $\alpha_{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$; hence they are rational in $\Omega$ and can be computed.

If in the function $y$ we substitute the values of the roots of a metacyelic equation of the $n$th degree, $y$ assumes a numerical value which lies in $\Omega$. For, assuming that the equation is metacyelic, its Galois group must be either $G$ or one of the subgroups $G_{1}, \cdots,\left(G_{k}, \S 196\right.$; hence ! armits the substitutions of the (ralois group and is, therefore, a number in $\Omega \Omega$, § 1.54 .

Conversely, if the function ! becomes a number in $\Omega$, when the values of the roots of $f(x)=0, n$ beng prime, are substituted in it, so that I has a rational root, which is not a multiple root, then is $f(r)=0$ metacyelle. For, under these conditions $y$ belongs to $(x)$ and the Galois group of $f(x)=0$ must be either $G$ or one of ats sul-groups, $\$ 1.59$. If it is $(f$, then the conclusion follows at ouce; if it is one of its sul-groups, it can be shown (the proof is here omittel) that, when $u$ is prime, the sul-group is one of the metacyelie groups $\left(r_{1},\left(f_{2}, \cdots, G_{k-1}\right.\right.$, so that $f(x)=0$ is a metacyclic equation.*

Hence the rule: Select a function y, firmally unaltered by the substitutions in $G$, amd those cm! ! so that $F^{\prime}(y)=0$ has mo multiple roots. If $F(y)=0$ has a rational root, $f(x)=0$ is metacyclic, othervise it is insolvable.

Theoretically, it matters not what function of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$ is selected for $y$, if only it belongs to the group $G$. Practically, much depends upon this selection, as the algebraic operations are very much more complicated with some functions than with others. The computation of the coefticients of $F(/ I)=0$ is

[^9]usually very laborious even in the case of the quintie. Inasmuch as Bring, in 1786, and Jerrard, in 18:34, were able to transform the general quintic to the form $x^{3}+c \cdot d=0$ (for this transformation, see Netto's Alyelrac, Vol. I, 1p. 121, 1203), it is of interest to compute $F^{\prime}(y)=0$ for this sperial form.

Ex. 1. Find the condition that the equation .ro $+r a+d-0$, when rreducible, shall be metaryche.

Referring to $\$ 104$, we see that for the quintic the metweyclie group of the highest order (t is (uhride) in. As a function belongmg to this group select (following ('. Runge, Artu Muth i (148i), p. 17is) !', where


Here $J=6$ and $F^{\prime}(\prime)=0$ is a resolent equation of the sixth degree. We find it convement to connaler if itsell, which is not a metaryche functom. Operated upon by the symmethe growl, ! guldis twelve values, of whech six differ fom the other sux smply in sign. Let one net of six values be $y_{1},!, 2, \cdots, y_{6}$. Also lat the equation of whelh they are roots be

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{6}+u_{1} y^{5}+u_{2} y^{4}+a_{1} y^{3}+a_{1} y^{2}+u_{5} y+u_{6}=0 . \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{0}$ are not necessarily rational numbers, but they are symmetric tunctoms of $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{6}$. Consider $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{1}$ as functions of $\alpha_{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$, and operate upon them with the alternat mig gromp; the values $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{\mathrm{g}}$ are merely permuted among themselves. Substitutions wheh do not belong to the alternating group brine about a change in sign. The coefficients $\mu_{1}, a_{2}$. ., $"_{6}$ are therefore either symmetric or alternating functions of $\alpha_{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$. Of these $a_{2}, a_{4}, a_{0}$ are symmetric functions becanse, being homogeneous functions of aren degree, they are not affected by changes of signs in $!_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{6}$. On the other hand, $\alpha_{1}, \mu_{3}, u_{5}$ are alternating functions of $u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}$, being homogeneons functions of odld degree.

If $D$ is the discriminaut of the quintir, then $\sqrt{ } 15$ is a function of $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}$ belonging to the alternating group. Hence the coefficients $a_{1}, a_{3}, a_{5}$ are of the form $m_{1} \sqrt{ } D, m_{2} \sqrt{ } D_{1}, m_{1} \sqrt{ } D$, where $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{s}$ are symmetric integral functions. With respect to $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$, it is seen that $a_{1}$ is of the second degree. But $a_{1}$ is also of the form $n_{1} \vee \sqrt{D}$, where $m_{1}$ is integral and $\sqrt{ } \overline{1}$ is of the tenth derree. Hence we must have $m_{1}=0$. Similarly, $a_{3}$ being of the sixth degree, ylelds $m_{3}=0$. On the other hand, $a_{5}$ and $\sqrt{D}$ are both of the tenth degree. Write $a_{5}=n \sqrt{ } \bar{D}$. Equation I becomes

$$
y^{6}+c_{2} y^{4}+a_{4} y^{2}+m \sqrt{ } D y+a_{6}=0
$$

In the equation $x^{5}+r x+d=0, c$ and $d$ are homogeneous functions of the roots of the degrees 4 and $\delta$, respectively. Since $a_{2}, a_{4}, a_{6}$ are of the degrees $4,8,12$, we may write

$$
a_{2}=m_{2} c^{c}, a_{4}=m_{4} c^{2}, a_{6}=m_{6} c^{3}
$$

where $m_{2}, m_{4}, m_{6}$ are integers. To find the values of $m, m_{2}, m_{4}, m_{6}$ assign to $c$ and $l$ the special values $r=-1, l \div 0$ Then $D=-4$; the five roots are $0, \imath, \iota^{2}, i^{3}, \iota^{t}$, the six values $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{i}$ are $-2 \iota,-2 i,-2 \imath$, $-2 i, 2+4 i,-2+4 i$. Equation II becomes
$0=y^{6}-\iota_{2} y^{\prime}+m_{4} y^{2}-m_{0}+16 i m y=(!1+2 \imath)^{4}\left(y^{2}-8 i y-20\right)$

$$
=y^{\prime}+20 y^{4}+240 y^{2}-320+512 i y
$$

Hence $m_{2}=-20, m_{4}=240, m_{6}=-320, m=32$. Substıtuting in II, and squarmg to remove the radical, we have
III
or

$$
\left(y^{6}-20 c y^{4}+240 c^{2} y^{2}+320 c^{3}\right)^{2}=4^{5} D y^{2}
$$

where $D=4^{4} c^{5}+55^{5} d^{4}$. Write $y^{2}=4 z$; then $y^{2}$ being metacyclic, so is $z$. We obtain

$$
\left(z^{3}-5\left(z^{2}+15 c^{2} z+5 c^{3}\right)^{2}=D z\right.
$$

IV
which may also be written

$$
(\because-r)^{4}\left(z^{2}-6 c z+2 \pi c^{2}\right)=5^{5} d^{4} z
$$

If $x^{5}+c x+d=0$ is irrolucible, it is metacyclic when IV or $V$ has a rational root, and then only If the quintic is reducible, it is always solvable. For a different treatment of the quintic see Glashan and Young in Am. JI,ur. of Math. 7 (1885), and espectally McClintock, ib. 8 (1886) and 20 (1898).

Ex. 2 Show that no equation of the form $x^{5}+5 x+5 t=0$ is metacyclic, where $t$ is any meger not a multiple of 5 .

By $\S 129$, the equation is irreducible. It IV in Ex 1 has in this instance a rational root, it must be integral, since the coefficients of the quintic are integral and the first term is $r^{5}$. It must also be a divisor of the absolute term $25 c^{6}$ or $5^{8}$. But no factor of $5^{8}$ is a root of the equation.

Ex. 3. Show that $x^{5}+15 x+12=0$ is irreducible and metacyclic.
Ex. 4. Is $x^{5}+5 x^{4}+10 x^{8}+10 x^{2}+7 x+5=0$ metacyclic? Transform so as to remove the second term.
,

Ex 5. In V, Ex. 1, let $d=c \mu, z=c \lambda$, where $\mu$ and $\lambda$ are numbers in the domain $\Omega_{(1)}$, or in any other domam. Show that $x^{5}+c x+c l=0$ is always metacyclic when

$$
\begin{gathered}
c=-\frac{5^{5} \mu^{4} \lambda}{(\lambda-1)^{4}\left(\lambda^{2}-6 \lambda+25\right)} \\
d=(\lambda-1)^{4}\left(\lambda^{2}-6 \lambda+25\right)^{-}
\end{gathered}
$$

Ex. 6. Construct the metacyelic yuntic in which $\mu=\sqrt{2}, \lambda=\sqrt{6}$. See Ex. 5.

Ex 7. Is $x^{5}+r+1=0$ metacyelie?
Ex 8. There is a theorem to the effect that all irroucible, metacyelic equations of the wixth degree $n$ a doman $\$ 2$ maty be fonnd by aljoining to $\Omega$ a square root and then formmg in the entarged domain all cubie equa-
 $\sqrt{2}$ to $\Omega_{(1)}$, we may write $r^{3}+x+1+v^{\prime} 2=0$ and obtath, by taansposing $\sqrt{2}$ and squaring, the metacyene sextie $x^{6}+2 x^{4}+2 x^{3}+x^{2}+x-1=0$. Derive similar equatuons, using the rational $\sqrt{ }$ : .

Ex. 9. Show that $x^{3}+5 p c^{4}+10 \mu^{2} x^{3}+10 p^{3} x^{2}+5 p^{3} x+p^{5}-1=0$ is metaryche. Also determme its (ialois group.
fucrease its roots by $p$.
Ex. 10. Show that $y^{5}+n y^{3}+\frac{1}{1} p^{2} y+r=0$ is motacyclic.
Take

$$
y=z-\frac{p}{6} \approx
$$

Ex 11. Prove that equation $V$ in Ex. 1 cin have no rational root when $c= \pm 1$. Then prove that, if $x^{5} \pm x+11 \cdots 0$ is solval) $\pm$, it, is rerlucible.

Ex. 12 Show that $x^{5} \ldots$... $1-0$, where 1 is not a perfert fifth power, is metacyche and has the group (iso ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) in the domann $\Omega_{(1,1)}$.

Ex. 13. Prove that an irreductble equation $, 1,1)=0$ of the prine degree $n$ can become redncible by adjoming a radical $\stackrel{m}{\vee}(1$, where $u$ is prime, only when $n=n$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{m}-a=0 \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

be irreducible, let it have the roots $\gamma, \omega \gamma, \cdots, \omega^{m-1} \gamma$, where $\omega$ is a complex $m$ th root of unity. Let $f(r)=0$ become reducible when $\gamma$ is adjoined to $\Omega$, so that

$$
f(x)=f_{1}(x, \gamma) \cdot f_{2}(x, \gamma)
$$

root $\gamma$ in common. Then II must be satisfied by all the roots of $I$. Multiplying together the members of the $m$ equations thus obtained, we get
where

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x)^{m}=F_{1}(x) \cdot F_{2}(x) \\
F_{1}(x)=f_{1}(x, \gamma) \cdot f_{1}(x, \omega \gamma) \cdots f_{1}\left(x, \omega^{m-1} \gamma\right) \\
F_{2}(x)=f_{2}(x, \gamma) \cdot f_{2}(x, \omega \gamma) \cdots f_{2}\left(x, \omega^{m-1} \gamma\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$F_{1}(x)$ and $F_{2}(x)$ are respectively of the degrees $m u_{1}$ and $m n_{2}$; their coefficients, bemg symmetric finctions of the roots of $I$, le $1 m \Omega 2$. since $f\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ is irreducible and $m$ and $n$ are both prime, we minst have

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}(r)-f(r)^{p}, F_{2}(r)=f(r)^{q} \\
p n=m m_{1}, q^{\prime \prime}-m u_{2}, n_{1}+n-m, u-m
\end{gathered}
$$

Ex. 14. Show that m E 1 . $13 . f\left(i^{\prime}\right) \cdot f_{1}(x, \gamma) \cdot f_{1}(x, \omega \gamma) \cdots f_{1}\left(x, \omega^{n-1} \gamma\right)$, where $f_{1}(x, \gamma)$ is irreducible in the domain $\$ 2(\omega, \gamma)$, and is linear with respect to $x$.

Ex. 15. Show that if $f_{i}(x, \gamma)=0$ yields in Ex. 14
then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{0}=c_{0}+c_{1} \gamma+c_{2} \gamma^{2}+\cdots+c_{n-1} \gamma^{n} \\
& \mu_{1}=c_{0}+c_{1} \omega \gamma+c_{2} \omega^{2} \gamma^{2}+\cdots+c_{n-1} \omega^{n} \gamma^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

etc., where $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}$, etc., are roots of $f(x)=0$, and $r_{0}, r_{1}, \cdots, r_{n}$ are numbers in $\Omega$. Show that the difference of two roots of $f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$ camot be a number in $\$ 2$.

Ex. 16. Prove that an irreducible solvable cuintic with real coefficients cannot have three real roots and two complex ronts.

Show that the Galois group (1) must be of the fifth degree ; (2) can-
 (4) to test $\left(\gamma_{20^{(5)}}\right.$, take $y^{2}$ in Ex. 1, which admits it. If any two roots, say $\alpha_{0}$ and $\ell_{1}$, are assumed to be conjugate imaginaries, then

$$
y=\alpha_{0} \cdot 1+\alpha_{1} B+C
$$

where $A, B, C$ are real values. Since $A=\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}, B=\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}$, we camot have $A=13$, because that would make $\alpha_{2}=\iota_{4}$. Thus, we see that $y$ cannot be real. Consequently $y^{2}$ cannot be real, unless $y$ is a pure imaginary. Hence $y=\left(\alpha_{0}-\left(x_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{4}-\left(x_{2}\right)\right.\right.$. That $y^{2}$ may lie in $\Omega$, we must have $y=i \sqrt{f} \cdot \sqrt{g}$ and $\ell_{0}-\left(\iota_{1}=i \sqrt{ } f, \alpha_{4}-\ell_{l_{2}}=\sqrt{ } g\right.$, where $f$ and $g$ are positive numbers in $\Omega \Omega$ But by Ex. 1.i, $f$ and $!f$ camot be perfect squares. By Exs. 13, 14, 16 we see that the roots of the given quintic are numbers in the domain $\Omega_{(\omega, \gamma)}$, where $\omega$ is a complex titth root of unity and $\gamma$ is a root of the irreducible equation $!^{5}-a=0$. Hence $\sqrt{ } f$ and $\sqrt{g}$ do not lie in $\Omega_{(\omega, \gamma)}$ and the equations $\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}=i \sqrt{ } f, \mu_{4}-\mu_{2}=\sqrt{ } \dot{y}$ are impossible. Consequently $G_{20}{ }^{(5)}$ is not the group, $\S 155, B$.
(5) ${ }^{3}$ Since $\left(\gamma_{10}\right)^{(5)}$ does not alter $y^{2}$, it is not the group).
(i) Hence the gromp) must be ( $\mathrm{r}_{120}{ }^{(i)}$ or (igot ${ }^{(i)}$, both insolvable.

For different jroofs see Weber's 11 !ffira, Vol. I, p. 666), and Weber's Encyklopádie der Elpmentaren 1 lyebra umel 1 mulysis, p. 327.

Ex 17. Show that $x^{5}-4 x-2=0$ has two complex roots and is insolvable. For the approximate values of the real roots, see § 20.

Ex. 18. Show that $x^{5}-16 x^{2}+2 x+6=0$ is insolvable.
Ex. 19. Show that $x^{5}+1+i=0$ is metacyclic.
Ex. 20. Determme which of the following are metacyelic:
(a) $x^{5}+5 x+3 i=0$.
(b) $x^{5}-2 i x+7=0$.
(r) $\frac{x^{\prime}-1}{x-1}=0$.
(d) $x^{5}-27 x^{3}+3 x+6=0$.
201. Historical References. For the development of the earlier and more elementary parts of the theory of equations ronsult the hastories of mathematics written by Ball, Fuk, Marie, Zenthen, and ('ajori, and the "Notes" at the close of the first volnme of Burnside and Panton's Theory of Equations. Or, better yet. consult the momunemtal work by Moritz Cantor, entitled Vorlesun!fen ihbre (irsshicht dar Muthrmatik. Firr the later developinents, read C. A. Bjerknes' Vifls-ILrmrih dlirl 'Paris. 1885); Evariste Galois' Gumes, edited by licard (1897); H. Bukhardt's "Anfange der Gruppentheorie und Paolo Ruftim," m the Zotserh. für Mathemutzk und Physek (Vol. 37, Sup, pjo. 119-150, 1802). Read artucles in the Bulletin of the American Mothrmatiral Soriaty, by James l'ierpont, on Lagrange's place in the theory of sulstitutons (Vol. 1, pp. 2, 196-204, 1895), on the early history of (ialois' theory of equations (Vol. 4, pp. 332337, 1808), on Galois' Collected Works (Vol. 5, pp. 20f-300, 18019) ; by G. A. Miller, a report on recent progress in the theory of the groups of a fimte order (Vol. 5, pp. 227-249, 1809) ; liy Hemy B. Fine, on " Kronecker and his Arithmetical Theory of the Algehrace Equation" (Vol. 1, pp. 173184, 1892). Consult also James l'erpont, "Zur (ieschechte der (ileichung des V. Grades (bis 1858)," in Mowatshrfte fïr Muthomutlh unt Physik (Vol. 6, pp. 15-(i8, 1895) ; G. A. Miller on the history of neveral fundamental theorems in the theory of groups of a tinte order, in the American Mathematical Monthly (Vol. 8, pp. 213-21t, 1901) ; Felix Klein, Vorlpsungen über dus Ihosufder (188t), also Lectures on Mathematies (the Evanston Colloguium, 1894) ; 3 S. Kaston, The Construrtive Development of Group-theory (1'hladelphia, 1902).

## ANSWERS

§ 6, Ex 4: 47112.
Ex. 5: - $25249 \%$.
Ex. 6: $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sqrt{-\overline{2} 9})$.
§ 14, Ex. 3: - $\frac{1}{3} \pm \sqrt{ }$.
Ex. 4 : $-\frac{3}{2},-1 \pm \sqrt{ }$.
Ex 5: $-\frac{1}{2},-5,-5$.
Ex. 6. $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3},-3,-3$.
§ 15. Ex. 2: $u^{2}-2 b$.
Ex. 6: ${ }^{1-c^{\prime}}$
Ex. 7. $u^{2}-2 ね$.
Ex. 8. : $\mathfrak{c}-\mathrm{al}$.

Ex. 12- - $2_{1}^{2}, \frac{1}{105}$.
§ 21, Ex. 4: - 1 triple, ${ }_{2}^{5}$ double.
§ 29. Ex. 7: $\quad x^{4}-\left(60 x^{2}+700 x-\right.$ $100=0$.
Ex. 8: $\quad x^{4}-3 x^{3}+768 x+$ $10 \% 4=0$.
§ 31, Ex. 3 : $\mu_{m}=0$.

§ 34, Ex. 1: $H=\frac{1}{3}, G_{i}=-12$.
 $I=-5.4$.
§ 35, Ex. 5: $\quad z^{3}-42 z^{2}+441 z+$ $1: 48=0$.
§ 37, Ex. 2: 'Two of the roots of $I$ are equal, or the three are in arithmetical progression.
Ex 3: - 2376.
Ex. 4: 0.

S 39, Ex. 2 : (1) 41, 1 $\frac{1}{5}$.
(2) $4 \frac{1}{3}, 1 \frac{1}{2} 9$.
(3) 37,7 .
(4) 31,31 .

S41, Ex 3: (1) $z_{5}^{2}$ and - 5.
(2) 4 and - ?
(3) 12 and $-\frac{19}{29}$.
§ 42, Ex. 3: (1) Between 8 and 9, -1 and $-2,-4$ and -5 .
(2) Brtweril: $:$ and 4, 4 and 5, - 4 and $-6,--$ and --6.
(:3) Betwern 1 and 2 , 2 and 3, 5 and 6, 6 and 7.
§ 44, Ex. 2 : 150, :31.
§ 49, Ex. 5 : (1) two real 2.+, 2. +
(2) $3.21,3.22,-17.4$.
(3) three real.
§ 50, Ex. 1: $H=9, \quad\left(\frac{1}{i}=25, \quad I=\right.$ 280, $J=-040,1)=+$.
§ 56, Ex. 1 : (1) 1.35759..
(2) $-1.53172 . \cdot$
(3) .885119..
$-1.46057$.
(4) 1.3518.
(5) $1.51851 .$.
-. $50849 .$.
$-1.24359$.
§ 67, Ex. 1: - 1, $-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-3}, \pm i$
§ 71, Ex. 1 :
$\frac{(3 c-a b)\left(a^{2}-2 b\right)}{\left(b^{2}-2 a c\right)\left(a^{2} b-b^{2}-3 a c\right)}$.
Ex. 5 : For $f(x)=0$, $a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2}-$ $2 a_{2}^{2}-u_{1} \mu_{3}+4 a_{4}$.
Ex. 6: $-a_{2} a_{3}+3 a_{1} a_{4}-\bar{b} a_{5}$. Yes.
Ex. 7: $\frac{18}{b_{0}^{2}}\left(b_{1}^{2}-b_{0} b_{2}\right)$.
Ex. 8: 24.
Ex. 11: $x^{3}-a_{2} x^{2}+\left(\mu_{1} a_{3}-\right.$ $\left.4 a_{4}\right) x-u_{3}^{2}-a_{1}^{2} a_{4}+4 a_{2} a_{4}$ $=0$.
Ex. 15: -2 $\boldsymbol{t}_{1}^{3}+9 a_{1} a_{2}+$ $271_{3}$.
Ex. $17: x^{3}-127+\sqrt{D}=0$. §77, Ex. 3 : The roots of $49 a^{2}-$ $1(i: 3 a+283=0$.
Ex. 5: $n$.
Ex. 6: 0.
§ $93, \mathrm{Ex}$ 1. (12:3)(412)(25i).
§ 113, Ex. $4 \quad\left(i_{1}{ }^{(4)} 11\right.$.
Ex. 5. (if(4) II.
Ex. 6: ( $i_{4}^{(t)}$ II.
§ 123, Шx 2: (r) $\sqrt{ } 5$.
(id) $\sqrt{ } \cdots$
(r) $\sqrt{ }-1$.

Ex $3: \sqrt{ } 5+\sqrt{ }: 3+\sqrt{-1}$.
§ 128, Ex. $2:(1)$, (3), (4), (7),
(8), are reducible.
§ 133, Ex. 7: $12=(i+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})$. § 135, Ex. 7: Try $N=\ell+\ell^{4}+\ell^{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
=\kappa^{4}+\left(\alpha^{4}\right)^{4} \\
+\left(\alpha^{4}\right)^{2}
\end{array}
$$

§ 141, Ex. $2:$ Let $x=4 x_{1}+1$.
S 142, Exs. 2, 3 : No.
§ 148, Ex. 3 : ( $1\left(l_{3}\right)$.
§ 159, Ex. $8:(\alpha) P=1$.
(b) $P=G_{2}{ }^{(2)}$.
(c) $P=G_{4}^{(4)} I I$.
(d) $P=1$.
(e) $P=G_{3}{ }^{(3)}$.
(g) $P=G_{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{I}$.
(i) $P=G_{4}{ }^{(4)}$ III.
(k) $P=$ the product of $\left(f_{8}{ }^{(4)}, G_{2}{ }^{(2)}, G_{2}{ }_{2}^{(2)}\right.$, ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}{ }^{(2)}$, each group involving distinct roots of its own as elements.
(l) $P=1 \frac{1}{4} 4^{(4)} \mathrm{III}$, or a sub-group.
(m) Cis ${ }^{(3)}$.
(11) Let $x=y-1$.
§ 163, Ex. $2 \cdot 4 \ell_{1}=1-z-v+$

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{1}+z_{1} . \\
4 \mu_{2}=1+z-w+ \\
u_{1}-z_{1} . \\
4 u_{3}=1-z+w- \\
w_{1}-z_{1} . \\
4 \ell_{1}=1+z+w- \\
u_{1}+z_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

§ 188, Ex. $1: \operatorname{Ci}_{f^{(5)}}^{(1)}$
§ 199, Ex. $1: G_{8}{ }^{(4)}, G_{4}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{II}, G_{2}{ }^{(4)}, 1$
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Abel, 233.
Abelian equations, 210.
Abelan groups, 210.
Adjunction, 185, 151, 219.
Algebrace numbers, 136.
Algebrac solutaons, 60, 219; of cubic and quatie, 68.
Angle, trisection of, 207, 208.
Bachmann, 200.
Ball, 23;3.
Beman, W. W., 206.
Binomial equations, 74, 219.
Bupuadrate, see Quartic.
Bjerknes, C. A., 23:).
Budan, 50.
Burkhardt, 233.
Bunside and Panton, 79, 233.
Cantor, M., 233.
Cardan's formula, 69.
Carvallo, M. E., if
Cole, F. N., 189.
Complex routs, (i, 12, 58, 67, 232.
Composite sub-groups, 123.
Conjugate sub-groups, 122.
Constructions by ruler and compasses, 202.
Continuity of $f(x), 25$.
Cross-ratio, 100, 127.
Cube, duplication of, 207.

Cubic, algebraic solution, 88 ; cyclic. 196 ; equation of squared diffeences of, :38, in reducible case, 6 208 ; nature of roots, 41 , redu. ing cubic, 72, removal of second term, 36.
Cyclic equations, 187, 106, 108, 220.
('yclic function, 115. 127, 128, 1333.
('yclic group, 11:), 128, 132, 1:33.
Cyclotomic eq.ations, 142, 188.
Delian problem, 207.
De Monre's theorem, 24.
Descartes, ion.
Descartes' Rule of Signs. 7, 50.
Dialytic method of elmination, 05.
Disermmont. 110; of quadratic, 97 ; of cubse. 10, of quartic, 59; of $f(x) \quad-10,!4 ;$
Division of the cincle, 75, , 203-206.
Domain, defined, 1:94, oonjugate, 143; degree of. 1t2; Galois, 153; normal, 142, $1: 0$; primitive, 144 ;
substitutions of, 160 .
Duplication of the cube, 207.
Easton, B. S , 23:3.
Eisenstein's theorem, 141.
Eliminants, 92.
Equal roots, 21, 53, 142.

Equations, Abelian, 210; algebraic, 2; algebraie solution of, 219; binomial, 74, 219; cubic, 3f, 38, 41, 68, 699, 72, 19\%, 208; cyche, 187,220 ; cyclotomic, 142 ; irreducible, 1:37; metacyclic, 223; quadratic, 184; quartic, 185; quintic, 186, 227, 22:3, 232, 2:33; reciprocal, 333 .
Euler's cubic, 71.
Euler's method of elmination, 94 .
Euler's solution of quartic, 71.
Fine, II. B., 233.
Fink, 233.
Fourier, 50.
Function, def. 1; alternatung, 115; "helongs to," 115, 124, 125; cyclic, 115, 127, 128; derived, 18; Sturm's, \%) ; resolvents of Lagrange, 129: symmetric, 13, 84, 114.

Galoss, 148, 176, 2:33.
Galois' theory of numbers. 134 ; domain, 153 ; resolvent. 155, 15k, reduction of, 174, 178; groups, 164, determmation of, 169.
Gauss, 26,206 ; Lemma, 138.
Siraphic representation, 15, 23, 75.
Groups, 112, Abelian, 210; alternating, 115) ; (omposite, 123; cyclic, 115, 128, 132, 1:33; degree and order of, 113; Galois, 164; index of, 122; hist of, 118, 119; norinal sub-groups, 122; 124; primtive and imprimitive, 116; simple, 122 ; sub-groups, 120 ; symmetric, 114; transitive and mtransitive, 116.

IIermite, 137.
Itistorical references, 233 .
IIomographic transformation, 99.

Horner's method, 63
Imaginary roots, 6, 42, 58, 67, 232.

Imprimitive group, 116, 127.
Invariaut sub-groups, 122.
Irreducible case in cubic, 69, 208.
Klem, F., 206, 233.
Kronecker, 187, 233.
Lagrange, 233; resolvents of, 129 ; theorem of, 176.
Lindemann, 137.
Marle, 233.
Matthiessen, L., 186.
McClimtock, E., 67, 230.
Metacyclic equations, 223, 227.
Miller, (i. A., 233.
Moritz, 20.
Multiple roots, 21, 53, 142.
Netto. 189, 218, 228, 229.
Newtom, 50.
Newton's formula for sums of powcrs, 84.
Newton's method of approximation, (if).
Normal domain, 142, 145, 150.
Normal equations. 149, 1:51.
Normal sub-groups, 122; of prime index. 124.
Numbers, algebrair, 136 ; conjugate, 144 ; primitive, 144,147 ; transcenilental, 137.

I'anton, see Burnside and Panton.
licard, enis.
l'perpont, J., 233.
Primitive congruence roots, 199.
Primitive domains, $144,147$.
Quadratic equation, 184.

Quartic, cyclic, 198 ; Fuler's solution, 71 ; groups of, 172,173 ; in the Galois theory, 185 ; nature of roots, 56 ; removal of second term, 37 ; symmetric functions of roots, 91 ; when solvable by square roots, 72.
Quintic, 186, 227, 229, 232, 233.
Radicals, solution by, 60.
Reciprocal equations, 33, depression of, 81.
Reducibility, 134, 135, 139.
Reducing cubic, 72.
Regular polygons, inscription of, 20.

Resolvents of Lagrange, 129.
Resultants, 92.
Rolle's theorem, 49.
Roots, 2 ; complex, 6, 42, 58, 67, 232 ; fractional, 61 ; fundamental theorem, 26 ; incommensurable, 61 ; integral, 62 ; multuple or equal roots, $21,5: 3,142$; of unity, 76 , 108 , primitive, 78 ; primitive congruence roots, 199 ; reciprocal, 33.
Ruffini, P., 233.
Runge, C., 220.
Self-conjugate sub-groups, 122.
Simple groups, 122.
Smith, I). F., 206.
Solvable equations, 223.
Sturm, 50.

Sturm's theorem, 50, 51; applied to quartic, 56.
Sub-groups, 120 ; index of, 122 ; of prime index, 124.
Substitutions, 104; cyclic, 107; even and odd, 111; identical, 106 ; inverse, 106 ; laws of, 105 ; product of, 105.
Substitution groups, see Groups.
Sylvester, 50.
Sylvester's method of elimination, 95 . Symmetric functions, 13, 84, 114 ; fundamental theorem, 87 ; elimination by, 93.
Symmetric group, 114.
Synthetic division, 3.
'Taylor's theorem, 19.
Transcendental numbers, 137.
Transpositions, 109.
Trigonometric solution of irreducible case, 70 ; of binomial equations, 74, 82, 83.
Trisecting an rugle, 207, 208.
Tschirnhausen's transformation, 09, 102.

Unity, roots of, 76, 198 ; primitive roots of, 78.

Waring, 50.
Weber, H., 29, 134, 228, 231.
Zeuthen, 233.


[^0]:    *The results in an example marked with a * will be used in later examples

[^1]:    * For historical and critical remarks on the numprons proofs which have been given ot this throrem, see the Encyklopialip d. Muth. Wiss., I B 1a, No. 7; see also Moritz in Am. Muth. Mouthly, Vol. 10, p. 159. (Gauss gave four profis of this theorem, the fourth (1849) being a simplification of the first (1799). The one given here is in substance Gauss's proof of 1849. It is geometrical in character, and is open to the objection raised in the foot-note of § 2.2.

[^2]:    * See Emory McClintock, "A Method for Calculating Simultaneously All the Roots of an Equation," in the American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. XVII., pp. 89-110; M. E. Carvallo, Methode pratique pour la Resolution numérique complète des Equations algebriques ou transcenduntes, Paris, 1896.

[^3]:    *For the proof, see Burnside and Panton, Vol. I, 1899, p. 96. We have followed the exposition of the subject of the roots of unity given by these authors.

[^4]:    * The above proof of Sylvester's method is the one usually given. Attention should be called to the fact that it is not shown there that the different powers of $x$ have values that are consistent.

[^5]:    * Ex. 1. Show that the square root of the discriminant of an equation of the $n$th degree, expressed as a function of the roots, is a function which belongs to the alternating group of the $n$th degree.

[^6]:    * If the coefticients of $f(x)-0$ are mdependent varubles, then its roots are madependent of each other. A function of the roots must therefore be looked upon as having an alteration in "ulue whenever the function experieuces an alteration 1 m form. In other words, when the roots are independent of each other, two functions of these roots are equal to each other only when they are identically equal. In the present chapter the roots are so taken.

    When the coefficients of $f(x)=0$ represent particular numerical values, its roots are fixed valnes Two functions of these roots may be numerically equul to each other even when they have different forms. Hence, in an equation whose coefficients have special values, a function of the roots may be formully altered by a substitution and yet experience no change in numerical vulue. Take, for instance, the equation with special coefficients, $x^{8}=1$. If $\omega$ is one of its complex roots, we may write $\alpha_{0}=\omega, \alpha_{1}=\omega^{2}, \alpha_{2}=\omega^{3}$. The function $\alpha_{0}{ }^{2} \alpha_{1}$ is altered in form by the substitution ( $\alpha_{0}\left(\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right.$, but not in value; for, $u_{0}{ }^{2} \mu_{1}=\mu_{2} 2 \dot{\alpha}_{0}=\omega$. That functions of $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, may have different forms, but the same numerical value is seen also in the equalities

[^7]:    * In the exposition of the Ga,ois theory in this and the succeeding chapters we have followed the treatment given by H. Weber in his Lehrbuch der Algebra, Vol. I, pp. 491-698.

[^8]:    * Ex. 1. Given that $x^{4}+x^{3}+1=-0$ has the Galois group Ge4 (4) for $\Omega^{(1)}$. Adjoin in succession four inatonals $M$ and show that the Galos group is reduced and the domain is enlarged as molncated below.

[^9]:    * For a complete discussion see H. Weber, llyelru, Vol. I, 1898, §§ 188, or E. Netto, Alyebra, Vol H, 1900, § fi11-ili5.

