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## PREFATORY NO'TE

IT is a matter of great regret to me that illness, pressure of other duties and the excessive size of this volume have caused me to abandon the plan of discussing in Appendices E to II some of the historical problems connected with Ant. XII-XIV, as has been done in Appendices B, C and D. In place of detailed discussions I have given selected bibliographies. I hope to deal with these problems in a work on the history of the Jews during the period of the Second Commonwealth, which should appear some time after the completion of the last volume of this translation of Josephus.

Ralpif Marcus
January 15, 1942
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## IOペ $\triangle$ AÏKH』 APXAIOAOГIAこ

## BIBAION IB

（i．1）＇$A \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv ó $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ M Maкє $\delta$ óv $\omega \nu$





 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ סѐ Maкє
 Sє̀ тои́т $\omega \nu$ каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o v s ~ \phi \iota \lambda о т \iota \mu о ข \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu ~$

 $\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̂ v$ каi $\pi о \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ v ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \pi о \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$







[^0]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES BOOK XII

(i. 1) ${ }^{a}$ Harivg overthrown the Persian empire and Quarrels of settled the affairs of Judaea in the manner described Alexander's. above, Alexander, the king of Macedon, died. ${ }^{b}$ And his empire fell to the share of many, Antigonus becoming master of Asia, and Seleucus of Babylon and the nations thereabouts, while Lysimachus ruled the Hellespont, Cassander held Macedon, and Ptolemy, the son of Lagus, took Egypt. ${ }^{c}$ But, as these quarrelled and fought jealously with one another, each for his own kingdom, the result was that continual and prolonged wars arose, and the cities suffered through their struggles and lost many of their inhabitants, so that all of Syria at the hands of Ptolemy, the son of Lagus, who was then called Soter (Saviour), ${ }^{d}$ suffered the reverse of that which was indieated by his surname. And this king seized Jerusalem by resorting to cunning and deceit. For he entered the
${ }^{-}$At Babylon, in June, 323 u.c.
c He was appointed satrap of Eyypt by Philip Arrhidaeus, the new Macedonian king, about 5 months after Alexander's death, and assumed the title of king about $305 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{C}$. ; $f f$. E. Bevan, A History of Egypt under the Itolemaic Dynasty, 192t, pp. 18 ff.
${ }^{d}$ Necording to Pausanias, i. 8. 6, it was the Khodians who gave I'tolemy this surname. Bevan, p. 5l, say's he assumed the title " saviour and lord" between 308 and 306 в.с.
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 ả $\mu \nu \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, oủ $\delta \in \nu^{1}$ үàp vi $\pi \epsilon \nu o ́ o v \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \iota о \nu, ~ к u i ~$


 тب̣) $\lambda о ́ \gamma \omega$ тои́т к каi 'A 1








 $\pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ a i \chi \mu a \lambda u ́ \tau o v s ~ \lambda a \beta \omega ̀ \nu \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \eta ิ S ~ o ’ p \epsilon \iota \nu \eta ิ s$ 'Iovঠaías каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{I} \epsilon \rho о \sigma o ́ \lambda \nu \mu \alpha$ то́т $\omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$ т $\bar{\eta} s$

 тoùs ả $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ ' I \epsilon \rho о \sigma o \lambda u ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ ӧркшv фидакウ̀v каi тàs тíттєıs $\beta є \beta \alpha \iota о \tau \alpha ́ \tau о и я ~$

 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\mu a ́ \chi \eta, ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ a v ̇ \tau \omega ̂ v ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \dot{u} ~ ф \rho о u ́ p ı a ~ к а \tau а-~$ $\lambda о \chi i ́ \sigma a s ~ к а i ~ т о i ̂ s ~ М а к є \delta o ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ ' А \lambda є \xi а \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a ~ . ~$ ${ }^{1}$ oúठ̇̀ FVW.

a Or "religious serupulonsness," if we suppose that Josephus is giving the word $\delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \delta$ aumovia a more favourable connotation (as in Ant. x. 42) than his source Agatharchides. 4

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 4-8

city on the Sabbath as if to sacrifice, and, as the Jews Ptolemy did not oppose him-for they did not suspect any Soter takes hostile act-and, because of their lack of suspicion and the nature of the day, were enjoying idleness and ease, he became master of the city without difficulty and ruled it harshly. This account is attested by Agatharchides of Cnidus, the historian of the Diadochi, who reproaches us for our superstition, ${ }^{a}$ on account of which we lost our liberty, in these words. ${ }^{b}$ " There is a nation called Jews, who have a strong and great city called Jerusalem, which they allowed to fall into the hands of Ptolemy by refusing to take up arms and, instead, through their untimely superstition submitted to having a hard master." This, then, was the opinion which Agatharchides expressed about our Cf.Aristeas nation. Now Ptolemy, after taking many captives $\$ 13$. both from the hill country of Judaea and the district round Jerusalem and from Samaria and those on Garizein, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ brought them all to Egypt and settled them there. ${ }^{d}$ And, as he recognized that the people of Jerusalem were most constant in keeping their oaths and pledges, as shown by the reply which they gave to Alexander when he sent an embassy to them after defeating Darius in battle, he assigned many of them to his garrisons, and at Alexandria gave them equal
${ }^{5}$ The following statement, included in a longer excerpt from Agatharchides, is found in $A p$. i. 205 ff .
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Nount Gerizin, where the Samaritan temple stood, of. Ant. xi. 310, 316.
${ }^{d}$ This information is taken in part from the Letter of Aristeas, on which see $\S 11$ note $b$, but the following sentence on the reply made by the Jews to the envoys of Alexander and on the alleged civic rights of the Jews is not found in Arist. The date of the settlement of I'tolemy's captives in Egypt is not known ; perhaps it was after the battle of Gaza between Ptolemy and Densetrius Poliorectes in 312 в.с.

## JOSEPHUS












 Гарıらєіг őроs кє $\epsilon є$ vóvт $\omega \nu$.




${ }^{1}$ є́кovoíws om. P.

${ }^{3}$ Naber: av่roís codd.
${ }^{4}$ кai $\epsilon ้ \nu$ om. E L Lat.
a 'The historicity of Josephus' various references to the civic rights of Alexandrian Jews will be discussed in an appendix to the last volume of this translation.

- This statement is ascribed to Hecataeus of Abdera in Ap. i. 186 f ., who is quoted as saying that after the battle of Gaza many of the inhabitants of Syria ( = Palestine), "hearing of his kindtiness and humanity ( $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \pi \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ каi фi入av$\theta \rho \omega \pi i a v)$, desired to accompany him to Egypt and to associate themselves with his realm," and that among these was "Ezechias, a chief priest (apxıєpєús) of the Jews." The authenticity of these quotations from Ilecataeus is defended by (among others) H. Lewy, ( $f f$. Ant. xi. 339 note) and A. Olmstead, who writes in the Journal of the American Oriental Society, lvi., 1936, p. 244, "So long as this was the only reference to Ezechias, its authenticity might be denied, but by his excavations at Beth Kur Professor Sellers has given us
civie rights with the Macedonians ${ }^{a}$ and exacted oaths of them that they would keep faith with the descendants of him who had placed them in a position of trust. But not a few of the other Jews as well came to Egypt of their own accord, for they were attracted by the excellence of the country and Ptolemy's liberality. ${ }^{b}$ Their descendants, however, had quarrels with the Samaritans because they were determined to keep alive their fathers' way of life and customs, and so they fought with each other, those from Jerusalem saying that their temple was the holy one, and requiring that the sacrifices be sent there, while the Shechemites ${ }^{c}$ wanted these to go to Mount Garizein.
(ii. 1) Alexander reigned twelve years, and after Ptoleny him Ptolemy Soter forty-one ${ }^{d}$; then Philadelphus ${ }_{\text {adelphus }}^{\text {Phil- }}$ took over the royal power in Egypt and held it for orders another witness, again contemporary, a Philisto-A rabian coin with the names of Jehohanan and Hezekiah in Hebrew [O. R. Sellers, Citadel of Beth Zur, 1933, pp. 73 ff.]. Jehohanan is Honnai or Onias I, the true high priest, Hezekiah or Ezechias is a high priest, his chief financial officer, what the Greeks called סוo七 $\eta$ r $\eta$ s, who naturally placed his name alsn on the coins he minted. With this unexpected proof that llecataens knew more than his critics, we are constrained to accept his other statements, that Jewish soldiers were taken to Egypt by Ptolemy I, given some sort of modified citizenship, and granted lands under military tenure." For detailed discussions of Jewish settlements in Jgypt in the early Hellenistic period of. L. Fuchs, Die Juden Aegyptens, 1921, pp. 5 f., and A. Tscherikower, Jews and (ireeks (in Hebrew), 1930, pp. 278 ff ; for the literature consult W. Schubart, Einführung in die P'apyruskunde, 1918 pp. 339 f .
c Gr. "Sikemites"; Shechem was the biblical name of the eity later oecopied by the Samaritans; of. Ant. xiii. 7.5.
"Variant "forty" ; he was satrap from 323 to 305 r.c., and king from 305 to $\$ 83$ b.c., thus ruling Egypt for 41 years.
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 то仑̂ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega s, \sigma \pi o v \delta a ́ \zeta \omega v$ єi $\delta v v a \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i ̈ \eta ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a ~$


 ( $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma v \lambda \lambda o \gamma \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \beta \iota \beta \lambda i ́ \omega \nu$




 єival каi тарà тoîs 'Iovסaioıs $\tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \alpha \rho$ ' av̀тồs








[^1]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 11-15

thirty-nine years ${ }^{a}$; and he had the Law translated ${ }^{b}$ and released from slavery some hundred and twenty thousand ${ }^{c}$ natives of Jerusalem who were slaves in Egypt, for the following reason. Demetrius of Phalerum, ${ }^{d}$ who was in charge of the king's library, was anxious to collect, if he could, all the books in the inhabited world, and, if he heard of, or saw, any book worthy of study, he would buy it ; and so he endeavoured to meet the wishes of the king, for he was very much desoted to the art of book-collecting. Now, when Ptolemy once asked him how many tens of thousands of books he had already gathered together, he replied that the present number was about two hundred thousand but that within a short time he would assemble some five hundred thousand. He added that he had been informed that among the Jews also there were many works on their law, which were worthy of study and of a place in the king's library, but, being written in the script and language of this people, they would be no small trouble to have translated into the Greek tonguc. For, he said, though their script seemed to be similar to the peculiar Syrian (Aramaic) writing, and their language to sound like the other, it was, as it happened, of a
conmentary, is by R. Tramontano, La Lettera di Aristea a Filorrate, 1931. For the relation of Josephus' text to that of Arist. consult G. Stählin, Josephus und der Aristeasbrief in Theologische Studien und Fritiken, cii., 1930, pp. 323-331. Josephus reproduces about one-third of his original, the chief omissions being Arist. §§ 82-171 and ss 1s7-292.
" Arist. " a little more than 100,000 " : rf. $\S 24$ note.
${ }^{4}$ A famous Athenian philosopher of the l'eripatetic school, born c. 350 b.c. He came to Egypt in 297 b.c. and was employed in state affairs by Ptolemy I, but was dismissed and hanished by l'tolemy Philadelphus soon after his accession to the throne. Arist,'s account of him is therefore fictitious.
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 'Iovסai' $\omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi^{\iota} \epsilon \rho \in \hat{\imath} \quad \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ रí $\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.









 $19 \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ av̇тoús. $\pi \rho о \sigma \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ oủv ${ }^{4} \kappa \alpha i \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \quad \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ ó 'Apıбтаїоs, $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$
 20 " ov̉ $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha a \tau \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s, \hat{\omega} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o \rho \hat{a} \nu$,


${ }^{1}$ єv่тороиิขтаs l'LW fort. recte.<br>2 ф८лотццои́ $\epsilon$ уог LIVW et fort. Lat.<br>${ }^{3}$ 'Xpıotéos P: 'Apıotéas Arist. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{FLV}$ : Sé rell.

[^2]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 15-20

distinet type. 'There was, however, nothing, he said, to prevent them from having these books translated and having the writings of this people also in their library, for he ${ }^{a}$ had abundant resources from which to meet the expense. And so the king, deciding that Demetrius had given him excellent advice as to how to realize his ambition of obtaining ${ }^{b}$ a large number of books, wrote to the high priest of the Jews that this might be done.
(2) Now a certain Aristaeus, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ who was one of the king's closest friends and was respected by him for his discreet behaviour, ${ }^{d}$ had even before this often made up his mind to urge the king to set free the Jewish captives throughout his kingdom, and, judging this to be a favourable moment for his request, he first spoke of it to the commanders of the bodyguard, Sosibius of Tarentum ${ }^{e}$ and Andreas, ${ }^{f}$ and urged them to second his efforts in the matter on which he was about to petition the king. And so, when he had secured the assent of the forementioned men, Aristaeus went to the king and addressed him in the following words. "We ought not, O King, to allow ourselves to be deceived, but to show the truth as it is;
" Gr. Aristaios; the name is spelled " Iristeas" in the original Letter.
" Or, more literally, " moderation."
e The only Sosibius known to have lived at Alexandria in the reign of P'tolemy Philadelphus was an historian who came from Sparta. Better known is the Sosibius mentioned by Polybius, xv. 25 ff ., as the $\psi \epsilon v \delta \epsilon \pi i \tau \rho o \pi o s$ of I'tolemy Philopator, of. Bevan, pp. 230 ff ; his son, also named Sosibius, was a member of the bodygnard of Ptolemy Epiphanes. For other persons of this name living in Egypt 'ff. 'Tramontano's note ad loc.
$t$ There secms to be only one prominent Audreas in Egypt hnown to us, a physician at the court of P'tolemy lhilopator, cf. Polybius v. 81.
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vórovs ov̉ $\mu \in \tau \alpha \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a \iota ~ \mu o ́ v o v ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каì $\mu \in \theta \in \rho \mu \eta$ -


 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ баvтои $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda о \nsim \chi i ́ a$ каi $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota ~ \pi о \iota \omega \nu$
 $\lambda \epsilon i ́ a \nu$ oov סє́́тоvтos тov̂ $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ v o ́ \mu o v s ~$




 тоîs є’ $\xi a i \rho \epsilon \tau о \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \rho \eta \sigma к \epsilon i a \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta-~$ $\mu \in ́ v o \iota s{ }^{3}$ àmóßos $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \tau p i ́ \delta a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ̇ v ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\eta}$




${ }^{1}$ A (corr.) : і́тоіншs rell.
${ }^{3}$ ทัウ่のavies FVE.
 codd.
 honorem dei, quem eximia religione placant, liberos eos patriae moribusque suis restitue Lat.
${ }^{5} \delta \dot{\eta}$ om. PFLV.

[^3]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 20-23

for, since we have decided not only to transcribe ${ }^{a}$ the laws of the Jews but also to translate them for your pleasure, by what right should we do this while so many Jews are slaves in your kingdom ? In accordance, therefore, with your magnanimity and goodness set them free from their misery, since the God who gave them their laws is the same who presides over your kingdom, as I have succeeded in learning after much study. For both they and we worship the God who created the universe, whom we call by the appropriate term Zēna, ${ }^{b}$ giving Him that name from the fact that He breathes life ( $\varepsilon \bar{e} n$ ) into all creatures. ${ }^{c}$ Do you, then, for the honour of God restore (their freedom) to those who worship Him with peculiar devotion but have been deprived of their native land and the manner of life which they led there. ${ }^{d}$ You should, however, know, O King, that it is not because I am related to them by race or am their countryman that I ask these things on their behalf, but I urge you to do this because all men are the handiwork of God,
F. Wutz, Die Transkriptionen con der sifpturinta bis zu Hieronymus, Pt. I, 192j, to mean " transliterate," that is, to transcribe the Hebrew text in Greek characters (as in Origen's Hexaplar). Proceeding on this assumption, Wintz attempts to prove that the Septuagint is a translation made not from a Hebrew text in Hebrew characters, but from a Hebrew text in Greek characters. This theory (first proposed by Tychsen in the 18th century) is generally rejected by scholars, rightly so in the opinion of the present writer.

- Accis. case of "Zeus."
c Such etymologizing of divine names to show the universal and natural attributes of the Greek gods was common in the stoic writings of the late Hellenistic period : a similar etymology of "Zeus" appears in the fragments of the Hellenistic Jewish philosopher Aristobulus (ap. Eusebius, Praeparatio

d Text somewhat uncertain.
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 таракалы.'
24 (3) 'Tâ̂t' єimóvtos tô̂ 'Apıaтaiov ảvaß入є́ mas












 $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$ v́тє́б $\chi \in \tau о \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \bar{\omega} s \quad \tau \epsilon$ є้ $\chi о \nu \tau а$ каі

 тoùs víò тov̂ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \chi \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha s ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ к а i ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$






## ${ }^{1}$ סє́ка Zonaras Lat. wrist.: « $\beta^{\prime}$ Syucellus.

 ${ }^{4} \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta$ § Cucecji : $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ cod. E.
a This last sentence gives a somewhat different sense from the corresponding section in Arist., in which I risteas expresses confidence that God will cause the king to grant his request because He favours men who pray with pure motives.
" Arist. " a little more than 100,000 ."

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 23-27

and particularly because I know that He is pleased with those who do good. ${ }^{a}$ "
(3) When Aristaeus had spoken these words, the king looked at him with a cheerful and happy expression, and asked, "How many tens of thousands to be set free do you suppose there will be ?" And when Andreas, who was standing beside him, replied that there would be a little more than a hundred and ten $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aris } \\ & 19\end{aligned}$ thousand, ${ }^{b}$ the king said, " It is indeed but a small gift that you are asking, Aristaeus." But Sosibius and the others present said that he ought to make a thank-offering worthy of his own magnanimity to God who had bestowed the kingdom on him, and so, being gently persuaded by them, he gave orders that, when they paid the soldiers their wages, they shonld also pay them a hundred and twenty drachmas ${ }^{c}$ for every captive they had. And, as for what they ${ }^{d}$ requested, he promised to publish a decree conceived in liberal terms and giving effect to the proposal of Aristacus and, what was more, the will of God, in accordance with which he said that he would set free not only those brought by his father and his army, but also those who had previously been found in the kingdom and any who were subsequently brought in. And although they said that the cost of redeeming them would be more than four hundred talents, ${ }^{e}$ he
${ }^{c}$ Only 20 drachmas in Arist.
d Variant" he " (Aristeas).

- So Arist. here, but cf. \& 33 note $e$. The silver talent was equal to 6000 drachmas; the reckoning in Arist. of a little more than 100,000 slaves at 20 drachmas cach would give somewhat less than 400 talents, whereas Josephus' reckoning of 110,000 slaves at 120 drachmas each would give 2200 tatents. Thus he is inconsistent in here reproducing Arist.'s total of 400 talents (unless, indeed, 120 drachmas is a seribal error for 20).
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 тウ̀̀ то仑 татро̀s $\pi \rho \circ \alpha i \rho \in \sigma \iota \nu$ каі тарà тò סє́ov


 30 тои́тоข тoîs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu \in ́ v a \iota . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta i ́ к а \iota o v ~$

 оікєтєiaus ővтаs 'lovסaious, тò $\pi \rho о \gamma є \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v s, ~ к а i ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ v a ~ \pi \epsilon р i ~ \tau о и ́ т \omega \nu ~ к а к о ข р у \epsilon i v, ~ \dot{~} \lambda \lambda ’$ 31 v́такоv́єเข тоîs тробтєтаүнє́voıs. ßoúdoнаи $\delta$ є̀


 тоі̂s є่ $\mu a v \tau о \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \imath \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma о \hat{v} \mu a \iota ~ \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu . \pi \rho о \sigma-$
 ${ }^{2}$ voцitcor 1. 1 II.
${ }^{3}$ Sè FLV.

- ex. Irist. Hudson: $\pi \rho o \gamma \rho a \phi$ às codd. : dispositiones Lat.
granted it ; and as evidence of the king's munificence they ${ }^{a}$ decided to preserve a copy of the decree, which was as follows: "All the slaves whom those serving in our father's army took captive after invading Syria and Phoenicia and subduing Judaea, and brought to our cities and our country and sold them, and those slaves who were formerly in my kingdom and any who have recently been imported-all these their owners shall set free and receive a hundred and twenty drachmas ${ }^{b}$ for each slave, the soldiers to get this redemption money together with their wages, the others from the king's exchequer. For I believe that it was contrary to my father's intention and to what is right that they were made captives, and that their country was ravaged through the army's lack of discipline, and also that from their removal to Egypt the soldiers have greatly benefited. Having regard, therefore, to justice and feeling pity for those who have been unworthily oppressed, I command their owners to set free those Jews who are in their service, for whom they are to receive the forementioned sum, and no one shall act dishonestly in this matter, but they shall obey these orders. And it is my will that they present their lists ${ }^{c}$ of slaves before those who are in charge of the matter within three days after the publication of this edict, and that they produce their slaves promptly. For I regard this as being to the interest of my government. And any-

[^4]
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 $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ס' $\dot{v \pi \epsilon} \rho$ є́ $\eta_{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ каі $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho а к о ́ \sigma \iota \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\pi \rho a \tau \tau о \nu$ oi $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о ́ \tau а \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon " \kappa о \sigma \iota ~ к а і ~ є ́ к а т о ̀ v ~ \delta р а \chi \mu a ́ s, ~$

 $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \in \iota \nu \tau \grave{̀} \pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \prime \nu O \nu$.






$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { ar } \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \tilde{v}^{\text {N }} \text { haber. } \quad{ }^{2} \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu \text { PAW. } \\
& { }^{3} \text { таүнáт } \omega \nu \text { FV Artist. } \\
& { }^{4} \text { I' wrist.: 'pk } \delta \text { oûvą ant } \delta \text { ouvvac well. } \quad{ }^{5}+\text { toútoıs Hudson. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Arista. adds that the person denounced is to become the slave of the informer.
b The variant, usually meaning " tribute," is corrupt.
c Variant (also in Arist.) "paymasters of the troops."
d Banking was a royal monopoly in lerypt; for a brief account of the royal bankers $c f$. Bevan, pp. 150 ff .

## JFWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 31-34

one who so wishes may inform against those who disobey, ${ }^{a}$ and it is my will that their property be turned over to the royal estate." When this edict was read over to the king. it contained all the other provisionbut omitted the directions concerning the Jews whe had previously or subsequently been brought into the country, and so he himself magnanimously added his humane instruetions eoncerning them as well ; and as the money for expenses ${ }^{b}$ was to be paid out as a lump sum, he ordered it to be apportioned between the officials of the government ${ }^{c}$ and the royal bankers. ${ }^{d}$ When this was done, the decree of the king was quiekly earried out in just seven days, and the redemption-money came to more than four hundred and sixty ${ }^{e}$ talents, for the slave-holders collected the hundred and twenty ${ }^{f}$ drachmas even for infants, as if the king had commanded that payment should be made for these too, when he announced that they should receive the forementioned sum for each slave. ${ }^{9}$
(4.) When this had been done on a lavish seale in Demetrius aecordance with the king's wish, he ordered Demetrius to present a memorial of the deeree concerning the enpying of the Jewish books. for nothing used to be directed by the kings in a haphazard manner, but

- Arist. 660; cf. $\S 27$ note e of Phalerum presents a memorial of the proposed translation.
Aristeas § 28.
$f$ Irist. 90.
o 'The totals in both Arist. and Josephus fail to agree with the sums indicated by the number of persons ransomed: in Arist. the simm of 660 talents is too great for 100,000 at 20 drachmas and their children, unless there were as many children as adnlts (in which case 660 talents would he just about right). while the 460 talents of Josephus (in itself a reasonable sum for 100,000 adults ant a third as many (hildren at 20 drachmas each) would suffice for only $93,1(0)$ persons at 120 drachmas each.


## JOSEPHUS

 $\epsilon i \sigma \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s^{1}$ àvтiүрафоv каi тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ кататє́тактає каi тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{\text {os }} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$




 36 vinฑिрХє тоьoûtov. " $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega$ тара̀ $\Delta \eta$ $\mu \eta \tau \rho i o v . ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \tau a ́ \xi a v \tau o ́ s ~ \sigma o v, \hat{\omega} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}, \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \tau \epsilon$






 $37 \sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ av̇тà каi à $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ グ $\epsilon ้ \delta \epsilon \iota^{3} \quad \sigma \epsilon$ -






${ }^{3}$ Damisius: ${ }^{2} \chi \in \iota$ codd. EL Lat. fort. recte.

a Meaning slightly uncertain, possibly " so that the great skilfulness of the craftsman was quite clear to any who saw (the offerings)."
${ }^{b} \nu \quad \mu_{0} \theta \in \sigma i a$ is a word frequently used by Hellenistic Jewish writers to denote the Pentateuch.
everything was done with great care. Therefore a eopy of the memorial and of the letters has been set down here, as well as the number of the dedicatory offerings sent (to Jerusalem) and the workmanship of each, so that the great skilfulness of the craftsman may be quite clear to any who sees this account ${ }^{a}$ and that the artificer of each may beeome known for the outstanding quality of his work. Now, as for the copy of the memorial, it read as follows: "To the great king from Demetrius. You have commanded, O King, that the writings whieh are still wanting to complete the library shall be collected and that those whieh are imperfeet shall be given the necessary care, wherefore I have taken pains in this matter, and I wish to inform you that we still lack, among others, the books of the Jewish legislation. ${ }^{b}$ For being written in Hebrew characters and in the language of that nation they are unintelligible to us. And it so happens that they have been copied ${ }^{c}$ with less care than they needed, ${ }^{,}$beeause they have not yet been made an object of royal concern. But it is neeessary that these too should be found among your books in an emended form, for their legislation is very wise and pure as a result of coming from God. For this reason,
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 бvvaүá $\omega \mu \in \nu$ ．＇
40 （5）Tolaútทs oủv тท̂s єiaסóaєws $\gamma \in \nu \circ \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$ ó

 ä $\phi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סov入єvóvтผv $\pi \alpha \rho ' ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ \omega \nu ~$


 41 $\alpha \sigma v \lambda \lambda o ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o ́ v ~ \tau \iota ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ оs．$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каi тоѝs


 voнíбнатos єis Ovoías каi тàs 入oıтàs хрєías трòs



$$
{ }^{1} \text { урацда́тсшン } 1 .
$$

${ }^{2}$ каï $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ex Irist．Nies＂：$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ codd． E ．



[^6]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 38-42

Hecataeus of Abdera ${ }^{a}$ tells us, the poets and historians have made no mention of it or of the men whose lives have been governed by it, on the ground that it was saered and not to be revealed by profane mouths. If, then, O King, it be your pleasure, write to the high priest of the Jews to send six elders from each tribe who are most versed in their laws, in order that when we have learned from them the elear and consistent meaning of these and obtained an accurate translation, we may have a collection of these books which shall be worthy of their contents and of your design."
(5) Such, then, was the memorial, and, when it was Ptolemy submitted, the king ordered a letter to be written Phil-phus about these matters to Eleazar, the high priest of the Jews, informing him, at the same time, of the release of the Jewish slaves in their country; and for the making of mixing-bowls, shallow bowls and libation bowls he sent fifty talents' weight of gold ${ }^{b}$ and an incalculable number of precious stones. He also ordered the keepers of the chests in which the stones lay to leave to the craftsmen themselves the choice of whatever kind they wished. He also direeted that money to the amount of one hundred talents be given to the priest ${ }^{c}$ for saerifices and other necessities. Now I shall describe the objeets and the form of their was a contemporary of Ptoleny 1 ( $c f$. Dr. Thackeray's note on 1p. i. 183), or a Hellenistic Jewish psendepigraph, ("I'scudo-Hecatacus ") has long been disputed. H. Lews ( $f f .89$ note $b$ ) believes that the phrase (in Arist. $\S 31$ ) "because the view of life contained in them (the books of Moses) has something sacred and solemn" is a quotation from the genuine Hecataens, For a more detailed discussion of this point $c f$. Tramontano, pp. 94 ff.
b Arist. adds " and 70 talents of silver."

- Variant " temple."
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 $\pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ a u ̉ z o v ̂ ~ \sum i ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ \gamma i ́ \gamma v \in \tau a \iota ~ \delta \iota a ́ d o \chi o s, ~ o ́ ~ к а i ~ \delta i ́ к а н о s ~$







 ov̂s aix $\mu a \lambda \omega \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau a s ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ П є р \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ őт' є’кра́тоvv



 46 тoîs Aijvatioos $\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ фо $\beta \in \rho o i$, т $\eta \nu \quad \alpha \rho \chi \eta \nu^{3}{ }^{3} \epsilon \gamma \dot{\omega}$

 $\mu v \rho ı \alpha ́ \delta a s ~ a i \chi \mu a \lambda \omega ́ \tau \omega v$ סovגєvóvт $\omega v$ à $\pi \epsilon \in \lambda v \sigma \alpha$, тoîs
> ${ }^{1} \mathrm{P}: ~ \mu c o \theta o \phi o p i a t s ~ r e l l . ~ A r i s t . ~$
> ${ }^{2}$ каі $\chi$ шріа каі AWE.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES. XII. 42 46

workmanship after I have reproduced a copy of the letter written to the high priest Eleazar, who obtained this office in the following way. ${ }^{a}$ On the reath of the high priest Onias, he was succected by his son Simon, who was surnamed the Just becanse of both his piety toward God and his benevolence to his countrymen. But as he, when he died, left an infant son named Onias, his brother Eleazar, of whom we are now writing, took over the high priesthood. and it was to him that Ptolemy wrote in the following manner. " King Ptolemy to Eleazar the high priest, greeting. There are many Jews settled in my kingdom who were made captives by the Persians when they were in power and whom my father honoured, cmrolling

Ptolemy's
letter to Eleazar. Aristeas \$ 35 some of them in his army with high pay, and entrusting to others, who came to Egypt with him, the guarding of the fortresses ${ }^{b}$ in order that they might inspire the Egyptians with fear ${ }^{c}$ : and, when I took over the royal power, I showed kindness to all men, especially to your fellow-citizens, ${ }^{,}$of whom I have set free over one hundred thousand captive slaves, ${ }^{e}$ paying their ing Arist. to mean that the Jewish garrisons were intended to protect the Ptolemaic ruler against native uprisings.
${ }^{d}$ This use of modiral, both in Arist. and Josephus, to denote the co-religionists in Egypt of the Jews of l'alestine is one of several instances which show how far it was from the Hellenistic mind, whether pagan or Jewish, to distinguish between race and nationality or between mationality and religion, as is assumed by S . \%aitlin in Jevish Quarterly Reviex, N..S. xxvi., 1936, pp. 313-348.

- M. Rostortzeff writes in Yole Clussical Studies, iii., 1932, p. 68, " Many Jewish slaves might have appeared on the Alexandrian market after or during the so-called liirst Syrian Whar of Ptolemy Philadelphus: it seems probahle that a little later a detail of that $\delta$ óqpapua was reculated hy a spectial order, of which a fragment is P' Hib, 29 (c. 265 в.c.)." See also W. L. Westermann in AJP 39 (1938), 19 2s.
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 троvoias ảvá $\theta \eta \mu a$ тоиิтo каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \alpha 0 \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu . ~$

 $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̈ $\gamma \nu \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$, каi $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda$ -





 є' $\pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ סógav $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu^{3} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta^{\prime}-$








$2+\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a \imath(\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a s$ E) FL V'E Lat.
${ }^{3} \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ post $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \in v \tau \omega \nu$ hab. P.IW.
a Text slightly uncertain; Arist. has roùs $\delta$ è $\delta v v a \mu$ évous
 similar phrases cf. § 215, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ aủ $\grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta v v a \tau \omega \hat{v}$ (also found in Herodotus), and 1 Mace. X. 37, каі є̇к тои́тшу
 riorev: the similarity of this last clanse with the phrase in Arist. $\$ 37$ is one of the points of Momigliano's argument, Prime Lince di Storia della Tradizione Maccabaica, 1931, 26
owners the redemption-money out of my own pirse. Those who were in the prime of life I cnrolled in the army list, and on others, who might be of service to us and occupy positions of trust at court, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ I have conferred this honour in the belief that I should thus be making a welcome and also very considerable offering to God in return for His providential eare of me. Being also desirous to confer a favour both on these Jews and on all those throughout the habitable world, I have decided to have your Law translated and, when it has been rendered from the Hebrew into a Greek text, ${ }^{b}$ to have it deposited in my library. You will, therefore, do well to seleet ${ }^{c}$ from each tribe six good men of advanced age who by reason of their age are well versed in the laws and will be able to make an accurate translation of it. For I believe that from this achievement the greatest glory will accrue to us. And I have sent Andreas, the commander of the bodyguard, and Aristaeus-men whom I hold in the greatest honour-to diseuss these matters with you, and by their hands I have also sent dedicatory offerings as first-fruits ${ }^{d}$ for the temple, and one hundred talents of silver for sacrifices and other purposes.
p. 164, that Arist. is in part based on 1 Macc. Other parallels to the phrase in Arist. are cited by Tramontano in his note ad loc.
" रра́ $\mu$ aoı here (and in Arist.) means, of course, " literature " not " letters" (i.e. characters). This passage is therefore no support for the transcription theory of Wutz, cf. $\S 20$ note $a$.
c The variant adds " and seud."
d Lit. " first-fruits (or "oblations") of dedicatory-offerings "; ảmapxai in ixx transtates Heb. terümãh "offering" (to the priest or temple) as well as rêšith "first-fruits." Andrews takes the phrase as appositional with the " 100 talents of silver."
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 50-55

And so, if you write to us what your pleasure is, you will confer a farour on us."
(6) Aecordingly, when the letter of the king had The high been delivered to Jleazar, he wrote back in reply to $\begin{gathered}\text { priest's } \\ \text { reply to }\end{gathered}$ it as obligingly as possible. "Eleazar the high priest Ptolemy. to King Ptolemy greeting. If you and Queen Aristeas Arsinoe ${ }^{a}$ and your children ${ }^{b}$ are in good health, all is well with us. On receiving your letter we were greatly pleased with your proposal, and gathering together the people, we read it to them and made plain to them the piety which you show toward Gorl. We also showed them the twenty shallow bowls of gold, the thirty of silver and the five mixing-bowls and the table for offerings ${ }^{c}$ and the hundred talents for saerifices and for the other things which the temple may need, whieh gifts were brought by Andreas and Aristaeus, your most honoured friends, who are good men, eminent in learning and worthy of your own excellent qualities. Be assured that we shall submit to any thing that is of benefit to you, even though it exceed our nature, ${ }^{d}$ for we ought to make a return for the kindness which you have shown our fellow-citizens in various ways. We therefore promptly offered sacrifices on behalf of you and your sister and children and friends, e and the people offered up prayers that your plans may be realized and that your kingdom may be preserved in peace and that
c The table of shew-bread is meant ( $c f$. $\mathcal{\&} \$ 60 \mathrm{ff}$.), which in ixx Chron. is called "the table of setting forth" ( $\pi \rho 0 \theta$ 白 $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ), with which davá $\theta$ Gots here is probably synonymous.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lit. "beyond" or "against nature "; $\pi$ a à фúouv (in Arist.) is translated by Andrews " even though your request is very unusual."

- For historical instances of saerifices performed in the Termple at Jerusalem on behalf of pagan rulers $c f$. Schürer ii. 302 ff .
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[^9]```
2 €́кáбтov V.
\({ }^{3}\) oióv \(\tau \in \mathrm{FV}\) ed. pr.
```

" Variant "I."

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 55-60

the translation of the Law may be of benefit to you and reach the end which you desire. We ${ }^{a}$ have also chosen six elders from each tribe and have sent them along with the Law. And it will be the part of your piety and uprightness to send back the Law when it has been translated, together with those who are bringing it, in safety. May you keep well."
(7) This, then, was the high priest's reply. But I have not thought it necessary to report the names of the seventy ${ }^{b}$ elders who were sent by Eleazar and brought the Law, their names being set down at the end of the letter. ${ }^{c}$ However, as for the magnificence and workmanship of the dedicatory offerings which the king sent to the temple of God, I have thought it not inappropriate to describe them, in order that the king's eagerness to honour God may be apparent to all. For the king gave unlimited sums to be spent for these gifts and was constantly with the craftsmen, and looking over their work, did not allow any of the objects to be carclessly or indifferently made. How magnificent each of these was I shall describe, although perhaps my History does not call for such an account, because I believe that in this way I shall bring home to my readers the king's love of art and his magnanimity.
(8) First of all I shall give a description of the Ptolemy table. Now the king had in mind to make this object of unusually large dimensions, and he gave orders to learn the size of the table which was set up (in the
${ }^{b}$ Arist. 7~. Josephus carelessly forgets that there were 6 from each of the 12 tribes $(\S 56)$. I'ossibly from this state ment in Josephus comes the familiar designation of the
 (although of' also occurs in the mss.), in Latin S'eptuaginta.
c Arist. $\S 8$ 47-50 (the names being fictitious).
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 $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о v \rho \gamma i ́ a s ~ a ̈ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o s ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ v i \pi \epsilon \rho-~$ ßoдウ̀v тô̂ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \theta$ ovs $\gamma \epsilon ́ v \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~(\beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ov̉к



 $\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ่ \nu$ ои̉к ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega$ тท̀v $\quad \pi \rho о \ddot{\sim} \pi a ́ \rho \chi о v \sigma \alpha \nu$ v̇тє $\rho$ -







 Єं $\pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$.


a ie. in Scripture.
${ }^{b}$ In the following sections on the table of shew-bread Arise. (followed by Josephus) greatly amplifies the brief description given in the $1 . x x$, Ex. xxv. $23 \mathrm{ff} .$, xxxvii. 10 ff , although the Lxx was supposedly not yet in existence. Beside committing an anachronism the author of this psendepigraph has freely used his imagination in describing the table, and his 32

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 60-64

temple) at Jerusalem, to see how large it was and whether it was possible for a larger one than this to be constructed. And when he learned what the size of the existing table was and that there was nothing to prevent a larger one being made, he said that he would like to construct one as much as five times as large as the one there, but was afraid that it might be of no use in the temple ministrations because of its excessive size, for it was his wish to make dedicatoryofferings not merely for show but also to be of use in the temple ministrations; it was for that reason, he reflected, that the former table had been constructed of moderate proportions, and not through lack of gold; and so he decided not to go beyond the existing table in size, but to construct one more remarkable for the variety and beauty of its materials. And, as he was clever in understanding the nature of all sorts of things and devising new and wonderful objects, he himself, where there were no written directions, ${ }^{a}$ furnished a design of his own invention and, after explaining it to the craftsmen, ordered them to make these objects, and, where there were written directions, he ordered the men to follow these exactly and complete their work similarly.
$(9)^{b}$ Having, therefore, undertaken to make a table after this model, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ they constructed one two and a of the table;
description remains obseure in many places. The notes following are meant chiefly to clarify the relation of Josephins' text to that of Arist. : for fuller explanations of the architectural or decorative details the reader should consult the commentaries on Arist.
c Other translators of Josephus rentcr ímooт $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ áuevot merely hy "having undertaken" (to make), neglecting its special sence "to make something after a model," and by placing a comma before катєбкє́́a̧ov, leave this verl) as a needless doublet of того̛́ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon v o l}$.
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 $\pi v \kappa \nu a i ̂ s, ~ a i ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \kappa u ́ \kappa \lambda о \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \zeta \eta s \in ौ ौ \lambda \eta \nu \tau о$. 68 v́nò $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \tau v ́ \pi \omega \sigma \iota \nu \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu o v, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$


${ }^{1}$ èvvóuctes PAW: format Lat.



- Arist. 2 (as in Scripture).
${ }^{\circ}$ So in Scripture and in Eusebius' paraphrase of Arist.; 34


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 64-68

half ${ }^{a}$ cubits in length, one in width ${ }^{b}$ and one and a half in height, and made the whole foundation of the work Aristeas out of gold. Moreover they wrought a rim of a handbreadth and twisted wave-mouldings carved in low relief of a rope-design, of which the modelling was a marvellously faithful imitation, on all three surfaces. For these (rims) were triangular, and each angle had the same pattern worked in it, so that, when they were turned, the same form without any difference appeared on every surface. Now, on the rim, the side sloping down toward ${ }^{c}$ the table had lovely modelling, but the side turned outwards was adorned with even greater beauty of workmanship, since it came under the eye of the spectator. For that reason the upper edge, where the two surfaces met, was an acute angle, and no one angle, of which there were three, as we have said before, appeared less than the others when the table was earried round. And in the coils of the relief-work were set precious stones, one beside another, and they were secured with gold pins by which they were pierced. The side of the rim which slanted upward to meet the eye was ornamented with an egg-pattern made of most beautiful stone resembling in its carving the continuous flutings which ran all round the table. And below the eggmodelling the craftsmen set round a wreath on which were carved in relief the likenesses of all linds of fruit, so that clusters of grapes hung down and ears
the dimension of width is omitted in the mss. of Arist. itself, probably through an oversight.
"Conjectured; mss." turning out" ; Arist. has тò $\mu$ èv $\epsilon$ is
 puzzling ; Dr. Thackeray, in his translation of Arist., helpfully suggests that it was a " triangular rotatory bar crowning the border and turning on pivots at the corner."
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${ }^{1}$ ar $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ om. li\ Lat. Arist.

$$
{ }^{2} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \text { LAW. }{ }^{3} \text { катаклєі́бєоь FLAVW. }
$$

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 68-72

of grain stood up and pomegranates were inclosed. ${ }^{a}$ And they fashioned stones for every species of the above-mentioned fruits, so that each was represented in its own colour, and they fastened them to the gold ${ }^{b}$ ronnd the whole table. Similarly, below the wreath another egg-pattern was made, and flutings were carved in low relief. the table being constructed with the same appearance of variety of workmanship and elegance on both ends, ${ }^{c}$ so that, even when the table was turned the other way there was no difference in the two wave-mouldings and rims, but the same form of decoration extended right down to the feet. For they made a plate of gold four fingers wide along the whole width of the table, into which they set the feet and then fastened them to the table near the rim by pins and clamps, in order that, on whichever side the table was placed, they might present the same appearance of original workmanship and costliness. On the Top of the table itself ${ }^{d}$ they carved a meander, in the midst of table. which they set valuable stones of various forms like $\S 66$. stars, ${ }^{e}$ such as the ruby and emerald, each of which sparkled most delightfully to the eyc, and other kinds of stones which are most sought after and desired for their precious quality. Next to the meander was carried round a network of rope-design, ${ }^{f}$ with a
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The exact meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к є к \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \quad$ (not found in Arist.) is doubtful; it seems to be something like "were outlined distinctly."
${ }^{\circ}$ Less probably (with Andrews) " with gold."
c Whether ends or sides are meant is far from clear.
${ }^{d}$ i.e. the top surface.
e 'This detail, peculiar to Josephus, probably rests, as Dr. Tharkeray suggests, on a reading $\pi \lambda \epsilon a \delta \omega \nu \pi o \lambda v \epsilon \delta \omega \nu$ "pleiads of varions forms" in Arist. §66, where our mss.

'The "rope-design" is a detail added by Josephus.
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 ${ }_{73} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \pi о \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ ai кєфа入ídes $\epsilon i s$ крiva $\mu \epsilon$ -














 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ oü $\tau \omega$ ovv $\delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota^{\prime} \eta s, \dot{\omega} s$



 $\pi \circ \lambda v \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a ̨ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ ü $\lambda \eta s$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi о \iota \kappa \iota \lambda i ́ a ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ к а \lambda \lambda о v \eta ิ S$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { є́ктєти́тшто P. } \ 1 W^{\prime}: ~ i m p o s i t i ~ L a t . ~
\end{aligned}
$$
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 72-77

central panel shaped like a lozenge, into which were pressed stones of crystal and amber, and these by their appearance of regular alternation ${ }^{a}$ afforded a wonderfully attractive sight to behold. As for the feet, they had capitals made to imitate unfolding lilies, with their petals bent back under the table, while within they held their stamens erect to be seen. And they had a base made of ruby a handbreadth high, which presented the appearance of a pedestal ${ }^{b}$; it was eight fingers wide, and on it the whole shaft ${ }^{c}$ of the foot rested. They also carved each of the feet in relief with most delicate and painstaking modelling, creating ivy and vine-branches and clusters of grapes, so that one would suppose they were not other than real. For, as they moved in the wind because of their lightness and fine-edged tenuousness, they gave the appearance of natural things rather than of artificial imitations. The workmen also showed originality in constructing the whole table in the form ${ }^{d}$ of a triptych, the parts being so smoothly held together that the places where they were joined could not be seen or even suspected. And the thickness of the table was no less than half a cubit. And so this dedicatory-offering was finished, such being the preciousness of its material and the variety of ornament and the imitative skill of the craftsmen in modelling, in accordance with the great munificence of the king, for he was eager to produce a table which, if it was not to be greater in size than
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## JOSEPHUS



 то入̀̀ крєíттоva каі $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau о \nu$ à $\epsilon \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$.











81 єis кúкגоข $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v a \iota s$. тoùs $\mu \epsilon ่ v$ ov̂v xpvбє́ous
 тоv, катєбкєv́aoav тòv, тро́тоv oi $\delta$ ' ảpүúpeol тผ̂v


 סє́ тои́тоьs ó $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u ̀ s ~ к а i ~ ф \iota a ́ \lambda a s ~ т р ı a ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha, ~ \hat{\omega v}$
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, NII. 77-83

the one already dedicated to God, should at least in artistry and originality and splendour of construction be far superior and generally admired.
(10) Of the mixing-bowls two were of gold, ${ }^{a}$ having scales in relief from the base to the middle, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ with various stones fastened in the coils. ${ }^{c}$ Then above this ${ }^{d}$ was a meander, a cubit in height, formed by the combination of stones of all kinds, and next to it was some carved fluting, and above this a pattern of interlacing lozenges, resembling a net, extended to the brim. The spaces between were filled with bosses of stones four fingers in depth, which added bcauty. And the brim of the mixing-bowl was wreathed with the stalks ${ }^{e}$ and blossoms of lilies and clusters of grapes, which were carried round in a circle. Now this was the way in which they had made the mixingbowls, each of which contained two amphoreis. ${ }^{f}$ As for the silser ones, they shone much more brilliantly than mirrors, so that the images of any who approached could be seen in them more clearly. The king also had them make, in addition to these, thirty" shallow bowls of which the parts that were of gold but not studded with precions stones were overlaid ${ }^{h}$ with tendrils of ivy and vine-leaves, ${ }^{i}$ artistically carved in relicf. These excellent effects were achieved partly through the skilfulness of the workers, who

[^14]
## JOSEPHUS





 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa \grave{\omega}$ s av̉тòs тоîs катабкєvá弓ovaı




85 (11) Tav̂̃a $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \in ́ v \tau \alpha$ єis 'I $\epsilon \rho \circ \sigma o ́ \lambda v \mu a$




 ovaiav aùт $\hat{\nu} \nu$ каi тov̀s $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \delta о \mu \eta \prime к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma-$

 ảфıко́лєvo兀 тás $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda a ̀ s ~ a ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa o ́ \mu \iota \zeta о \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega}$
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 83-88

were admirable in their craft, but much more through the zeal and munificence of the king, for not only did he furnish the craftsmen with a lavish and generous abundance of material, but he also gave up attending to public affairs and himself came to see the artisans and supervised the whole work. This was the reason for the craftsmen's diligence, for, taking an example from the king and the zeal shown by him, they applied themselves to their tasks with greater will to labour.
(11) a These, then, were the dedicatory-offerings Arrival of sent to Jerusalem by Ptolemy. Now Eleazar, the high priest, after dedicating them to God and honouring the bearers, gave them gifts to take to the king, and the Jewish elders at Alexandria Aristeas sent them back to the king. And when they came to Alexandria, and Ptolemy heard of their arrival and of the coming of the seventy ${ }^{b}$ elders, he at once sent for Andreas and Aristaeus, his envoys. Accordingly, when they came, they delivered to him the letters which they had brought him from the high priest, and reported to him all that the high priest had suggested that they should convey by word of mouth.c Thereupon, being eager to meet the elders who had come from Jerusalem to translate the laws, he gave orders to dismiss any others who might be present on official business, thereby doing something very unusual and contrary to custom. For those who were brought by such reasons used to come before him on the fifth day, while envoys were admitted after a month. On this occasion, however, to Jerusalem, a description of the temple and Akra, and Eleazar’s philosophical defence of the Mosaic law.
${ }^{6}$ ('f. § 57 note $b$.
" Text and meaning of the last clanse uncertain: Arist. has simply "we delivered the letters from Eleazar."

## JOSEPHUS




 $\tau \hat{\omega} v \quad \delta \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ aîs $\epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \epsilon i \chi \chi o v$ тov̀s






 $91 \tau \hat{\omega} 0 \epsilon \hat{\omega}$, ô̂ rov̀s vópous єival $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \in \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$. Є̇к-
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 88-93

he dismissed these people and awaited those who had been sent by Eleazar. Now when the elders came with the gifts which the high priest had given them to take to the king and with the leather skins on which the laws were written in letters of gold, ${ }^{a}$ he questioned them about these books. So they unrolled the wrappings and showed them to him, whereupon the king marvelled at the fineness of the membranes and the impossibility of telling where they were joined, so well were they fitted together ; and, having done so for a long while, he said that he was thankful to them for coming, and more so to him who had sent them, but most of all to God, whose laws these were. Then both the elders and the others present cried out with one voice to wish the king happiness, at which he burst into tears through excess of pleasure, since it is natural for great joy to be expressed by the same signs as gricf. ${ }^{b}$ He then ordered the books to be given to those in charge of the records, ${ }^{c}$ and only then did he greet the men, saying that it was right for him first to speak of the things for whieh he had summoned them and then to address them. He promised, moreover, that he would make a speeial occasion of the day on which they had come to him and would celchrate it every year so long as he lived, for, he said, the day of their coming happened to be the same as that of the victory

 generally translated "put the rolls back in their place" or "in order." Other scholars assume that Josephus has misunderstood this phrase, but his interpretation may be correct : of. the phrase $\tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \dot{\beta} \xi \epsilon \omega s \beta \iota \beta \lambda i a$ cited from the papyri hy F. Preisigke, Fachucirter des öffentlichen Vercaltumysdienstes Aegyptens, 1915, p. 169, and trauslated by him as "die Amtsakten " (" official records ").

## JOSEPHUS


 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \tau a \xi \in \nu$ av̉тoîs $\delta o \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}$ кад入ía vas $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ ӓкра.
















${ }^{2}$ ögaıs P : öซaı out FV: öco out Naker.
${ }^{3}$ éxov FV: corrupt extraneis Lat.
${ }^{4}$ бvvєбто́рєбє L. LW : $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi о ́ \rho เ \sigma \epsilon$ Cocceji.

[^17] 46

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 93-96

which he had gained over Antigonus in a naval battle: ${ }^{a}$ and so he invited them to dine with him, and direeted that they should be given the best lodgings near the eitadel. ${ }^{b}$

(12) Accordingly Nicanor, who was the officer in Ptolemy charge of the reception of guests, ${ }^{c}$ called Dorotheus, ${ }^{\text {preparew }}$ reception who took care of these matters, and told him to pre- for the | Jewish |
| :---: | pare whatever food was required by each. Now these elders. matters were arranged by the king in the following Aristeas way : for each city that had its own ${ }^{d}$ habits of diet there was a person who looked after these and prepared all food for visitors in accordance with their customs, in order that they might have their usual kind of fare at the banquet-table, and so have the more pleasure and not take offence at anything to which they might be unaceustomed. And this is just what was done in their case, Dorotheus being put in eharge of these matters because of his exaetness in the details of living. ${ }^{e}$ He therefore spread out ${ }^{f}$ all the materials at his disposal for such receptions, and had the couches divided into two rows,

Arsinoe II is assumed in Arist. to have been alive at the time of this victory, and neither battle was fought before 269 в.с., when she died; cf. Bevan, Ptol. p. 68, and Tramontano, p. 113 (with literature).

- The Jews of Alexandria lived near the royal palace or citadel (cf. Dr. Thackeray's note to $A p$. ii. 33), in the northcastern part of the city by the sea. The l'alestimian elders, therefore, were appropriately lodged there.
"In Arist. Nicanor is called ápxıๆт ós " chief physician," which most editors emend to ápxєסє́aqpos, probably meaning " chief steward ", or " chief major-domo " ('Tramontano).
" Lit. " the same."
- Arist. has $\pi \rho o \sigma \in \chi$ є́ $\sigma$ тatos $\ddot{\omega} \nu$, "because he was most attentive " or " conscientions."
f Text and meaning uncertain; perhaps " arranged in good order."


## JOSFPPHUS






 таи̂та viтทрєтєîv. Sıò каi то̀̀s iєрокท́pикаs каi
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, NII. 96-100

the king having so commanded ; for he had ordered that half the guests should recline beside him and the others behind his own couch. ${ }^{a}$ thus neglecting nothing in which he might show them honour. And when they had been seated in this manner, he told Dorotheus to serve them after the fashion to which all those who had come to him from Judaea were acenstomed. He therefore dispensed with the sacred heralds and sacrificers and the others who used to offer prayers, but, instead, the king called upon one of the visitors, named Elissaeus, ${ }^{b}$ who was a priest, to offer prayer. And so he stood in their midst and prayed for the happiness of the king and his subjects. Thereupon applause and cries of joy arose from all sides, and, when they had done, they turned to feasting and enjoying the good things that had been prepared. But the king, after waiting for what seemed a sufficiently long time, began to philosophize and asked each one of them about problems of nature, ${ }^{c}$ and when, after considering the questions, they gave precise explanations concerning every single problem suggested to them for discussion, he was delighted with them and made the banquet last for twelve ${ }^{d}$ days, so that anyone who wishes to find out the details
mean " at his left," since it was the custom to recline on the left arm, and so those seated at the king's left would be somewhat behind him. Chamonard, however, renders the second phrase by "à une table placée derrière la sienne."
${ }^{0}$ Gr. Elissaios = Heb. Elisha ; Arist. has Eleazar, which some editors corrcet to Elisha on the basis of Josephus' reading.
" Or " problems of moral philosophy " : for this meaning of фvatкós (e<p. in Stoicism) cf. (hrysippus, ed. v. Arnim,


${ }^{\text {d Only }} 7$ days in Arist. (\$275).

## JOSEPHUS


 101 （13）Єavцáלovtos $\delta^{\prime}$ av่тov̀s ov̉ $\mu$ óvov tov̂ $\beta a \sigma \iota-$






 àvà трía Soөश̂vaı $\tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ каi тоv̀s àтоката－


 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \eta ̄ \sigma o \nu$ каi $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \phi v \rho a \nu, \pi \rho о \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$






${ }^{2}$ ётし 弓ทтойขтєs PAE.
${ }^{3}$ Niese: $\tilde{\omega}^{v}$ ä̀ codd.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 100-104

of the questions discussed at the banquet can learn them by reading the book which Aristaeus composed on this account. ${ }^{\alpha}$
(13) ${ }^{b}$ Now it was not only the king who admired them, but also the philosopher Menedemus, ${ }^{c}$ who said that all things were governed by providence, and it is natural that through it power and beauty of speech

The Jewish elders begin work on the tran lation. Aristeas $82!1$. are diseovered ${ }^{d}$; after this they left off inquiring into these problems. Then the king said that he had Aristeas already experienced the greatest of blessings through \& 243. their being there, for he had profited by learning from them how he ought to reign, and he ordered that eaeh of them should be given three talents and have attendants to take them back to their lodgings. ${ }^{e}$ After an interval of three days Demetrius took them with him and, after walking seven stades ${ }^{f}$ along the seaembankment to the island ${ }^{g}$ and crossing over by the bridge, proceeded to the north side and called a meeting in a house which had been built near the shore and was excellently fitted for the consideration of serious matters because it was so quiet there. And so he brought them there and requested them, since they had everything they might need for the translation of the law, to earry out their task without interruption. 'Thercupon they set to work as am-
 is clearer, "it follows that all power and beauty of speech proceed from God."

- The corresponding passage in Arist. is obscure, є́ка́oтщ
 катабт ${ }^{\prime} \sigma$ огт $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha$; it may mean, as Josephus paraphrases, that each elder was given his three talents by a slave and accompanied by the same slave to his lodging.
s Somewhat less than a mile.
- Of Pharos. A map of Alcxandria, showing the sites mentioned here, is given in Bevan, Ptol.
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa є v a \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ ßабı入єî ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \in \tau \alpha \xi \in \gamma \alpha ́ \rho$ )












 $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ả $\gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủzoîs $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \in \tau \grave{\eta} \nu^{1} \quad \gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \nu$, $\pi а р \epsilon к \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma a ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon$ סoûvaı каì тoîs $\dot{\eta} \gamma о \cup \mu \epsilon ́ v o เ s ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
${ }^{1}$ є $є \in \rho \gamma \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \nu \mathrm{PFL}$.
a 3 r.м.
${ }^{-}$From this sentence (in the corresponding passage in Arist.. $\$ 804$ ff.) it appears that the translators spent the late afternoon and evening at or near l'tolemy's palace, and after paying their respects at court every morning, left for the island of Pharos (for ámŋ́ $\epsilon a v$, " went back," Arist. has àтєरن́orтo, "were dismissed "). For the hearing of this point on the date of the composition of Arist. see 11. Willrich, Crkundenfihlschnrng in dor hellemistiscle-jïdischen Literatur, 1924, r. 88, and Tramontano's note ad loc. (eersus Willrich).
${ }^{\circ}$ L. Sukenik, Ancient Synayngues in Palestine and 52
bitiously and painstakingly as possible to make the translation aceurate, continuing at their work until the minth hour, ${ }^{a}$ when they took a recess to attend to their bodily wants, for food was liberally supplied them and Dorotheus, moreover, furnished them with many of the dishes prepared for the king-this by his command. And early each day they would go to the court, pay their respeets to Ptolemy and then go back to the same place ${ }^{b}$ and, after washing their hands in the sea and purifying themselves, ${ }^{c}$ would betake themselves in this state to the translation of the laws. Now, when the Law had been transeribed and the work of translation brought to an end in seventy-two days, Demetrius assembled all the Jews at the same place where the laws had been rendered, and in the presence of the translators read them aloud. Thereupon the people expressed their approval of the elders who had interpreted the Law, and also praised Demetrius for conceiving the idea through which he had become the originator of great benefits to them, and they urged him as well
Greece, 1934, pp. 49 f., writes: " Although official Judaism has preserved no trace of a precept to that effect, there is abundant evidence that Jews in Hellenistic comntries built their synagoguts by preference in the proximity of water. Josephus, Ant. xiv. 10, 23, para. 255, tells of a decision of the people of Halicarnassus to suffer the Jews to ... build synagognes, as was their custom, by the sea. It Philippi the apontle I'aul and his companions went forth on a Sabbath outside the town gate near the river where they supposed there was a synagrogue ( Acts xvi. 13).
"As we have seen, the synagogues of Delos, Aerina and Niletus in fact lie close to the edge of the shore." Me adds in a note, "It scems plausible to seek the motive for this Jewish custom of the Diaspora in . . . the ritual uncleanness of the land of the Gentiles," and cites an illustrative passage from Mekhilta, the rabbinic commentary on Exodus (xii. 1).
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 $\nu \epsilon \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \gamma \nu \epsilon \prime \mu \eta \nu$ є́кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu, \epsilon \ddot{\imath} \tau \iota \varsigma \ddot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ v$ $\tau \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ ópâ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ vó $\mu \omega$ そ̈ $\lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \pi о \nu, \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$



110 （14）＇ЕХápך $\mu \epsilon ่ v$ оv̂v ó $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau о v ́ \tau \psi, ~$
 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu, \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta^{15} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu$ ảvaүvш $\omega \sigma-$




 $\mu \eta ́ \tau \rho \iota o s ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ v \alpha$ то入 $\mu \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s$ т̂̂v vó $\mu \omega \nu$ тоv́т $\omega v$




```
\({ }^{1}\) ウ’ \(\xi i \omega \sigma a ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \mathrm{FV}: \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \omega ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s\) rell．
```




```
    \({ }^{4} \delta \epsilon \in\) av̇т \(\omega\), AW.
```

```
    \({ }^{4} \delta \epsilon \in\) av̇т \(\omega\), AW.
```


${ }^{6}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a v \tau \epsilon s$ FLAVE.
Єєо́тоитоs Dindorf: Єєо́тоито́s $\tau \epsilon$ codd.
54

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 108-112

to give their leaders the Law to read ${ }^{a}$; and all of them, including the priest and the eldest of the translators ${ }^{b}$ and the chief officers of the community, ${ }^{c}$ requested that, since the translation had been so successfully completed, it should remain as it was and not be altered. Accordingly, when all had approved this idea, they ordered that, if anyone saw any further addition made to the text of the Law or anything omitted from it, he should examine it and make it known and correct it ${ }^{d}$; in this they acted wisely, that what had onee been judged good might remain for ever.
(14) And so the king rejoiced at this act as well, seeing his design result in a useful accomplishment, but especially did he rejoice when the laws were read to him, and he was amazed at the depth of mind and wisdom of the lawgiver ; and he began to discuss with Demetrius how it was that though this legisla- Ariste. tion was so admirable none of the historians or poets had made mention of it. Thereupon Demetrius explained that no one had ventured to undertake a description of these laws beeause of their divine and awful nature, ${ }^{e}$ and that some who had already attempted this had been afflieted by God; and he
a Arist. " urged him to have the whole Law copied and give (a copy) to their leaders" (the original translation being meant for the king's library).
"Or " the elders who were the translators."
c The organization of the Jewish community ( $\pi$ ддíтєuдa) in Alexandria will be described in an appendix to the last volume of this translation.
d Arist. "he (Demetrius) ordered them to pronounce a curse, in aceordance with their custom, on any who should alter, by adding or changing, any of the words which had been written, or by omitting anything " ; cf. Deut. iv. 2 , xii. 33.
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 тòv $\theta$ єóv.


 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$, ïva $\delta \iota a \mu \epsilon i v \eta$ тâ̂тa каӨap̂̂s, тov́s $\tau \epsilon$
 $115 \tau \hat{\eta} S$ 'Iovסaías $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma i ́ \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau о \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ av̇тoîs каi ${ }^{1} \tau t$ om. AWE.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ A famous Greek historian from Chios, who flourished in the sccond half of the 4 th century b.c., and came to Vgypt in the reign of Ptolemy I. Only fragments of his works, including the IMellenica and Ihilippica, have survived, some of theil among the papyri found by Grenfell and Hont at Oxyrhynchus. Josephus mentions him again in Ap. i. :2:21.
${ }^{b}$ Andrews comments, "He is described (Ihot. Cod. 1r6) as a busybody ( $\pi$ odv $\rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ ), whieh gives point to the $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \gamma a \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon$ vos of [Arist.] § 315." c Or "profanc."
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Arist. " Ind I have heard from Theolectes ": it is not clear in Arist., however, whether Demetrins or Aristeas is the speaker.
e Theodectes of Phaselis, who was a rhetorician as well as a tragic poet, lived most of his life at Athens, where he was a pupil of Plato and Isocrates, and a friend of Aristotle (cf. Eth. 56

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 112-115

told how, when Theoponpus ${ }^{a}$ wished to relate something about them, he had become disturbed in mind for more than thirty days and during lucid intervals had tried to appease God, suspecting that it was from this source that his madness came ; not only that, but he learned from a dream that this misfortune had befallen him because he had been too curious ${ }^{b}$ about divine things and wished to disclose them to common ${ }^{c}$ men, and so he gave up his plan and recovered his reason. Demetrius also informed him that it was reported ${ }^{d}$ of Theodectes, the tragic poet, ${ }^{e}$ that, when he wished to mention in one of his dramas the matters written in the sacred book, ${ }^{f}$ his eyes were afflicted with cataracts, and, when he recognized the cause, he rid himself of this disease by propitiating God.
(15) The king, then, having received these books from the hands of Demetrius, did obeisance to them ${ }^{g}$ and ordered that great care should be taken of the books in order that they might remain intact ; he also invited the translators to conse to him frequently

Ptolemy's gifts to the
Jewish elders and high priest. Aristeas $\$ 317$. from Judaca, for this would be profitable for them Nic. vii. 7. 6, 1150 b ). He is said to have been defeated by Theopompus in a rhetorical contest arranged by Artemisia at Halicarnassus. There seems to be no evidence that he visited Egypt.

A fragment of a Hellenistic drama on a biblical theme (the Exodus) survives in the excerpts from the Exagoge of the Jewish poet Ezekiel in Eusebins, Praep. Evang. ix. 28 ff., separately edited by J. Wieneke, Ezechielis Iuduei poetae Alexandrini . . Erağ̄gè, 1931.
g Or, less probably, "having received these (explanations) from Demetrius, did obeisance to them (the Jews) "; the corresponding passage in Arist. apparently refers to the books (so Tramontano) ; moreover it is inherently more likely that the king did obeisance to the sacred books than to the Jews.
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 $\pi о \rho \phi v ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ каi $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu o \nu ~ \delta \iota \alpha \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \eta$ каi $\beta v \sigma \sigma i \nu \eta S$
 $\tau \rho v ́ \beta \lambda \iota a$ каi бтоvঠєîa каi кратท̂pas хрvбоиิs $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ 118 àvá $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ סv́o. $\quad \pi а \rho \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ aviтòv каi $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu$ $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \pi \omega s, \epsilon i^{1} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \alpha ́ \nu$




 Фı $\lambda \alpha \delta \epsilon ́ \lambda \phi$ ov $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \beta \eta ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$.
119 (iii. 1) "E $\tau v \chi o \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \beta \alpha a \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ 'A ${ }^{1}$ єїтотє cod. NC ap. Hudson.

[^21]
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both on account of the honour to be received from him and the gifts ${ }^{a}$ they would gain. At this time, he said, it was only right to send them home, but, if they came to him of their own will, they would obtain all that their wisdom deserved to obtain and his own generosity was able to provide. For the time being, therefore, he sent them home, giving each of them three very fine garments, two talents of gold, a small wine-cup worth a talent, ${ }^{b}$ and the covering for a banquet-table. ${ }^{c}$ Now these gifts he gave them to keep for themselves, but to the high priest Eleazar he sent by them ten couches with feet of silver and the furnishings belonging to them and a small winecup ${ }^{d}$ worth thirty talents and, in addition to these, ten garments, a purple robe, a very handsome crown and a hundred pieces of fine-linen weave, as well as shallow bowls and cups and libation-bowls ${ }^{e}$ and two golden mixing-bowls to be dedicated to God. He also requested of him by letter that, if any of these men wished to come to him, he should permit them to do so, for he highly valued the society of those possessed of learning, and took pleasure in using his wealth for the benefit of such persons. These, then, were the things done by Ptolemy Philadelphus in appreciation and honour of the Jews. ${ }^{f}$
(iii. 1) They also reccived honour from the kings Sileucus of Asia when they served with them in war. ${ }^{g}$ For the Jews.

[^22]
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 'Iovסaiovs $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ßou入o $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda о ф v ́ \lambda \omega ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda a i ́ \omega ~ \chi р \hat{\eta}-$








 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad$ 'Р $\omega \mu \alpha i \omega \nu$ є̀ $\pi \iota \epsilon i \kappa \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о ф \rho о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \nu$,



 123 úmє́ $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu a \nu$, ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ ن ́ \pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~$
 каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ ò $\rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \in \omega \nu$

${ }^{1}$ E cod. NC ap Hudron: Nekávep codd. Lat.
2 тои́тov тó \& 11 F : : тó $\mathrm{FL}, \mathrm{V}$.
${ }^{3}$ éké $\lambda \epsilon \cup \sigma \epsilon \nu$ onn. FLTV Lit.
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example, Seleucus Nicator ${ }^{a}$ granted them citizenship in the cities which he founded in Asia and Lower Syria and in his capital, Antioch, itself, and declared them to have equal privileges with the Macedonians and Greeks who were settled in these cities, so that this citizenship of theirs remains to this very day ; and the proof of this is the fact that he gave orders that those Jews who were unwilling to use foreign oil should receive a fixed sum of money from the gymnasiarchs to pay for their own kind of oil ${ }^{b}$; and, when the people of Antioch proposed to revoke this privilege, Mucianus, who was then governor of Syria, maintained it; and afterwards, when Vespasian Vespasian and his son Titus became masters of the habitable maintain world, and the Alexandrians and Antiochians asked that the Jews should no longer continue to have the rights of citizenship, they did not obtain their request. Jewish privileges. Cf. B.J. vii 110 f. From this one may get some notion of the fairness and generosity of the Romans, especially of Vespasian and Titus, for in spite of having suffered great hardships in the war with the Jews and feeling bitter toward them because they had not laid down their arms and persisted in fighting to the very last, they still did not deprive them of their existing rights of citizenship, mentioned above; indeed ${ }^{c}$ they overcame their former anger as well as the demands of the Alexandrians and Antiochians, who were powerful
a The founder of the Seleucid kingdom in Syria and Asia Ninor: he ruled (officially) from 312 to $281 / 0$ в.с.
${ }^{0}$ On the reluctance of the Jews to use gentile oil cf. Vita 74 and B.J. ii. 591; cf. also Rostortzeff in CAH vii. 178 f., commenting on a Greek inscription (SEG ii. 663) of a Hellespontine city from the time of Antiochus III, "the treasury also allows a certain quantity of olive oil for the needs of the city's palaestrac and gymnasia." See also Appendix C.

- Variant " but."
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 $\mu \iota \sigma o \pi o \nu \eta i ́ a s ~ \epsilon ̇ v \delta o \hat{v} \nu a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda \hat{v} \sigma a i ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̉ \rho-$
 àv $\alpha \rho a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ a u ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ o ̋ \pi \lambda \alpha ~ к а i ~ \chi \omega \rho \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau a s ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~$

 vंтарХо́vт $\omega v$.



 'Avтíozos ó $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon$ úкои víwvós, ò тарà $\tau 0 i ̂ s{ }^{~}{ }^{2} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$

$126 \epsilon i$ av $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu$ av̉roîs 'Iovסaîoı, $\sigma \epsilon \in \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s$





${ }^{1}$ oủk є̇̇ıккаiouv P: oủk єivaı סíkaıov AW Lat.: oủ סíкaıov FLVE.
${ }^{2}$ 'A $\gamma \rho i ́ \pi \pi т$ е PFVE.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{E}: \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ codd. : possiderent Lat.

${ }^{5}$ aủroîs FLV.
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communities, so that neither out of favour to these nor out of detestation of the people they had fought did they yield in any respect to the temptation of revoking any of the ancient acts of kindness to the Jews, but said that those who had taken up arms against them and engaged in battle with them had paid the penalty, and they would not allow those who had done no wrong to be deprived ${ }^{a}$ of their existing rights.
(2) And we know that Marcus Agrippa ${ }^{b}$ had a similar view concerning the Jews, for when the Agrippa Ionians agitated against them and petitioned Agrippa that they alone might enjoy ${ }^{c}$ the citizenship which Antiochus, the grandson of Seleucus, called Theos ${ }^{d}$ by the Greeks, had given them, ${ }^{e}$ and claimed that, if the Jews were to be their fellows, ${ }^{f}$ they should 27 fr. worship the Ionians' gods, the matter was brought to trial and the Jews won the right to use their own customs, their advocate being Nicolas of Damascus ${ }^{g}$; for Agrippa gave his opinion that it was not lawful for him to make a new rule. ${ }^{h}$ But if anyone wishes

For $\mu \in \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota=$ " enjoy " see Ant. xvi. 39, 41 and B.J. vii. 44; cf. p. 742.
${ }^{d}$ He ruled from 262 to 247/6 в.c.
"avंтois, " them," is, as Reinach remarks, ambiguous, being applicable either to the Greeks or to the Jews, or to both. It is, however, probable that the Greeks alone are meant; see the discussion in Appendix C, pp. 741-742.
$f$ Lit. " those of the same family " or "class."

- Cf. Ant. i. 94 note $b$, and the $\Lambda$ ppendix to the last volume of this translation, on Josephus' sources for Hellenistio history.
${ }^{n}$ 'The reason for Agrippa's favourable decision is somewhat differently explained in Ant. xvi. 60. The above, $\S \S$ 125-126, is included (as a paraphrase) among the fragments of Nicolas' History, by F. Jacoby, Die Fragmente der griechischen Historiker, Pt. II A, 1926, p. 379 (fr. 81).
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129 (3) Toùs $\gamma$ à $\rho$ 'Iovסaious $\epsilon$ ' $\pi$ ' 'AvtióXov тov̂
 $\tau \epsilon^{3} \pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} S$ aย่ $\omega \hat{\nu}$ какоv-
 $130 \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \hat{v} \tau \circ s \gamma$ à $\rho$ à̉rov̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ Ф ı \lambda о \pi \alpha ́ \tau о р а ~ П \tau о-~$ $\lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ o v ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ v i o ̀ v ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ П \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ o v ~$



 $\tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \epsilon v ่ \pi \rho a \gamma i a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ ' A \nu \tau \iota o ́ \chi o v ~ к а i ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \theta a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~$


$$
{ }^{1} \delta \grave{\eta} \mathrm{FL}: \text { oưv } \mathrm{AW} .
$$

${ }^{2}{ }^{\sim}{ }^{\sim} \theta \epsilon \nu$ yoûv FLV: ${ }^{\circ} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ Naber.
${ }^{3}$ aủzoús $\tau \epsilon$ om. FVE Lat. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{P}$ : raûta rell.
 ${ }^{6}$ coni. Niese: тоопи̂s codd.
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to learn the details, let him read the hundred and twenty-third and hundred and twenty-fourth books of Nicolas' History. Now concerning the decision of Agrippa there is perhaps no reason to be surprised, for at that time our nation was not at war with the Romans; but one may properly be amazed at the generosity of Vespasian and Titus who acted with moderation after the wars and great struggles which they had with us. But I shall return to the account from which I digressed into these remarks. ${ }^{a}$
(3) When Antiochus the Great reigned over Asia ${ }^{b}$ it was the lot of the Jews to undergo great hardships through the devastation of their land, as did also the inhabitants of Coele-Syria, For while he was at war from the with Ptolemy Philopator and with his son Ptolemy, surnamed Epiphanes, they had to suffer, and whether he was victorious or defeated, to cxperience the same fate ${ }^{c}$; so that they were in no way different from a storm-tossed ship which is beset on either side by heasy seas, finding themselves crushed between the successes of Antiochus and the adverse turn of his fortunes. When, however, Antiochus had de-
${ }^{a}$ That is, to the account of Jewish history under the Seleucids.
${ }^{6}$ From 223 to 187 b.c.
c The armies of Antiochus the Great and of Ptolemy Philopator fought in 221 b.c. near the Lebanon, and again between 219 and 218 в.c., in the same region and in the cities of the Decapolis (Polyb. v. $45,70 \mathrm{ff}$.). In 217 b.c. Philopator decisively defeated Antiochus at the battle of Raphia near the sea-coast of Palestine, a few miles S.W. of Gaza; as a result of this defeat Antiochus, had to "evacuate the whole country up to the Lebanon" (Bevan, Ptol. p. 2:29). l'hilopator died in 203 b.c., and two years later his successor P'olemy Epiphanes had to give up Palestine to Antiochus' victorious forces, $c f$. note $a, p .66$.

## JOSEPHUS

$\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau о \iota ~ т o ̀ v ~ П т о \lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ o v ~ o ́ ~ ' A v \tau i ́ o \chi o s ~ \tau \grave{\eta ̀ \nu ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ a \nu ~}$










 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta^{1}$ aủrô̂ $\tau \hat{\eta} \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota a ̣ ̂ ~ \kappa \alpha i$





 ${ }^{1}$ \#ấav PFV.
"From the following sentence it seems that by "Ptolemy" here Josephus means Ptolemy Philopator. After Philopator's death (203 в.c.) and Ptolemy Epiphanes' accession Antiochus defeated the latter's general, the Aetolian Scopas, in two campaigns between 201 and 198 b.c., and finally ended Ptolemaic rule in Palestine. Thus Josephus is inaccurate in saying that Antiochus defeated Ptolemy if, as is generally assumed, he means Ptolemy Philopator, cf. Bevan, II. Sel. ii. 37, note 6, "Coele-Syria had thus to be conquered twice by Antiochus subsequently to Raphia. This is the real fact at the basis of Josephus' statement that Antiochus conquered it before the death of Ptolemy Philopator. Josephus makes a hasty inference from his knowledge that Scopas had found the country in Seleucid occupation." I venture to suggest, however, that Josephus' inaccuracy is more apparent than 66
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feated Ptolemy, he annexed Judaea. ${ }^{a}$ And on the death of Philopator his son sent out a great force with Scopas as general against the people of Coele-Syria, and he took many of their cities and also our nation, which went over to him after being attacked. ${ }^{b}$ But not long afterwards Antiochus defeated Scopas in a battle near the sources of the Jordan," and destroyed a great part of his army. And later, when Antiochus took possession of the cities in Coele-Syria ${ }^{d}$ which Scopas had held, and Samaria, the Jews of their own will went over to him and admitted him to their city and made abundant provision for his entire army and his elephants ; and they readily joined his forces in besieging the garrison which had been left by Scopas in the citadel of Jerusalem. ${ }^{e}$ Accordingly Antiochus, considering it just to requite the zeal and exertions of the Jews on his behalf, wrote to his governors ${ }^{f}$
real. Above, in § 130, he speaks of Antiochus' victories and defeats in wars with I'hilopator and Epiphanes. In the present passage it is quite possible that by "Ptolemy " he means not Philopator but Epiphanes, and that we shonld render the $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ in the following sentence by "for " and not by " and " or "but," thus making the sentence explanatory of the preceding one : i.e. the passage would read, "When, however, Antiochus had defeated Ptolemy (Epiphanes), he annexed Judaea. For on the death of Philopator his son (Epiphanes), ctc."
${ }^{6}$ Presumably this information comes from Polybius, cf. § 136.
c At Paneion or Paneas, modern Banias, the Caesarea Philippi of the New Testament, so called after the Tetrareh Philip, cf. Ant. xviii. 28, B.J. ii. 168.
"Possibly "Coele-Syria" here means the Deeapolis, of. below. § 136 and Ant. xi. 25 note; it may, however. be merely a repetition of Coele-Syria in § 131, which means Palestine and Syria south of the Lebanon.

$$
\text { C C. } \$ 252 \text { note } e
$$

' Or " generals," cf. § 138 note $b$.
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тoîs фì̉oıs, $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoîs 'Iovסaious vimè $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \hat{U}$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \theta o \iota, ~ к \alpha i ̀ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta \omega \rho \epsilon a ̀ s ~ a ̂ s ~ v i t \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 Sè $\tau$ às $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda a ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$ $\gamma \rho a \phi \in i \sigma \alpha s, \pi \rho \circ \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ©́s $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \in \hat{\imath}$ тои́тots $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$














${ }^{1}$ Bazaréar Niese: Bataniam Lat.
${ }^{2}$ тò $\pi \rho о a a \gamma o \rho є v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \mu a ́ \lambda ı a \tau a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ o n . ~ P W ~ L a t . ~$
${ }^{3} \tau \eta{ }^{2} \mathrm{\delta} \delta^{\mathrm{\epsilon}}$ PW Lat.
 FLV.

a "Friends" here probably has its technical meaning; in the Macedonian kingdoms there were two orders of the military aristocracy (as earlier in P'ersia), that of Kinsmen (of the king) and that of Friends, of. Bevan, 11. Sel. ii. 280 ff .
${ }^{b}$ The following excerpts from Polybius (not elsewhere preserved) hardly "attest" Joscphus' statements about Antiochus' appreciation of the help given him by the Jews, as is pointed out by Reinach, who suspects Josephus of "throwing dust in his reader's eyes." On the other hand Bevan, H. Sel. ii. 297, holds " that Antiochus should in such 68
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and Friends, ${ }^{a}$ bearing witness to the Jews concerning the good treatment which he had received at their hands, and announcing the rewards which he had decided to give them on that aecount. I shall, there- Polybius' fore, cite the letters written to his governors concerning them, first explaining that Polybius of Megalopolis attests these statements of mine ${ }^{b}$; for in the sixteenth book of his Mistory he says the following. " Scopas, the general of Ptolemy, set out for the upper country ${ }^{c}$ and during the winter subdued the Jewish nation." And in the same book he says that, after Scopas was defeated by Antiochus, " Antiochus took Batanaia, ${ }^{d}$ Samaria, Abila ${ }^{e}$ and Gadara, ${ }^{f}$ and after a short time there also came over to him those Jews who live near the temple of Jerusalem, as it is called, concerning which we have more to say, especially concerning the renown of ${ }^{g}$ the temple, but we shall defer the account to another occasion." Now this is what Polybius relates. But we shall return to the
circumstances have shown some favours to the Jews and made presents to the Temple is in itself extremely likely."
c Northern Palestine is meant.
${ }^{d}$ Roughly corresponding to bibl. Bashan, the region north and east of the Decapolis.
e Not Abel-beth-maacah, modern Abil south of the Lebanon ( $f f$. Ant. xix. 275) or Abel-shittim, modern Kihirbet el-Keffrein, a few miles east of the Jordan in the latitude of Jericho (cf. Ant. iv. 176 note b), but a third Abel or Abila is meant, about 10 miles N.E. of Gadara, a little W. of the Yarmuk river where it flows north.
${ }^{\prime}$ A city of the Decapolis, nodern Mukēs, well known from the Gospels; it lies a few miles E. of the confluence of the larmuk and Jordan rivers. Josephus mentions it frequently in his account of later history (B.J. i., Ant. xiv.-xvi.).

- Or "concerning the divine manifestation connected with," '̇тьфávє ${ }^{\prime}$ having both these meanings, and the context not being decisive, but cf. Hecataeus ap. Diod. Sic. xl. 3. 3.
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 $\epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ vi $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v s^{3} \sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon-$




${ }^{3}$ adv $\theta \rho(\hat{\pi} \pi$ out FV .

[^24]
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main subject of our narrative, after first citing the letters of King Antiochus. ${ }^{a}$
$\checkmark$ " King Antiochus to Ptolemy,b grceting. Inasmuch as the Jews, from the very moment when we entered their country, showed their eagerness to serve us and, when we came to their city, gave us a splendid reception and met us with their senate ${ }^{c}$ and furnished an abundance of provisions to our soldiers and elephants, and also helped us to expel the Egyptian garrison in the citadel, ${ }^{\frac{d}{d}}$ we have seen fit on our part to requite them for these acts and to restore their city which has been destroyed by the hazards of war, ${ }^{e}$ and to repeople it by bringing back to it those who have been dispersed abroad. In the first place we have decided, on account of their piety, to furnish them for their sacrifices an allowance of sacrificial animals, wine, oil and frankincense to the of Coele-Syria and Phoenicia under Antiochus the Great, cf. NFichel, Recueil d'inscriptions grecques, 1900, No. 1229 (p. 858) ; Bevan, H. Sel. ii. 297, writes, "In objecting that Ptolemy was made governor in 218, Juden u. Giriechen, p. 40, Willrich is thinking of the date in which he was in the Egyptian service, I'olyb. v. 65. 3. That he deserted to the Seleucid in 218 with Ceraeas and Hippolochus, Polyb. v. 70. 10 , is conjecture only. When he was made governor of Coele-Syria there is absolutely nothing to show." (Of this reply to his objection Willrich takes no notice in his later work, Urkundenfülschung in der hellenistisch-judischen Literatur, 1924.)
" The $\gamma \in p o v a i a, ~ l i t . ~ " c o u n c i l ~ o f ~ e l d e r s, " ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~ t h e ~ c h i e f ~$ Jewish legislative and judicial body under the presidency of the high priest, corresponding to the later Sanhedrin. In the books of Maccabees the members of this council are usually callcd "elders ( $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ út $\epsilon \rho \circ$ ) of the people." (For the Jewish yєpovaia in the cities of the Diaspora, see the useful work by J. B. Frey, Corpus Inscriptionum Iudaicarum, I, 1936, pp. Kxxxv ff.) See further Appendix ID.
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 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ Мıßávov $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu$ òs $\pi \rho a \sigma \sigma o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s . ~$





${ }^{1}$ iєpâs Niese: iєpàs $5^{\prime}$ Grotius: pro $\sigma \epsilon \mu \delta \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ápтáßas iepàs ad similam hab. Lat.
${ }^{2} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \epsilon \theta 1 \bar{\omega} \nu$ con. Niese.
${ }^{3}$ Niese: $\delta$ éo co dd.
${ }^{a}$ Drachmas are meant.
${ }^{b}$ Text uncertain; among other things we expect the number of artabae to be given. The artaba was an Egyptian (originally Persian) measure of varying capacity, normally about 40 litres, according to A. S. Hunt and C. C. Edgar, Select Papyri (Loeb Classical Library), i. 447. According to F. Heichetheim, Wirtschaftliche Schankungen der Zeit ron Alexander bis Augustus, 1930, pp. 118 ff ., the cost of an artaba of wheat in Egypt during the Sod century varied from 2 to 5 drachmas (in exceptional years less than 2 or more than 5).
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value of twenty thousand pieces of silver, ${ }^{a}$ and sacred artabae of fine flour ${ }^{b}$ in accordance with their native law, ${ }^{c}$ and one thousand four hundred and sixty medimini ${ }^{d}$ of wheat and three hundred and seventyfive medimni of salt.e And it is my will that these things be made over to them as I have ordered, and that the work on the temple be completed, including the porticoes and any other part that it may be necessary to build. The timber, moreover, shall be brought from Judaea itself and from other nations ${ }^{f}$ and Lebanon without the imposition of a toll-charge. The like shall be done with the other materials needed for making the restoration of the temple more splendid. And all the members of the nation shall have a form of government in accordance with the laws of their country, and the senate, the priests, the scribes of the temple ${ }^{g}$ and the temple-singers

[^26]
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 143 бтєфаขı兀єкой ${ }^{1}$ фópov каì то̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu .{ }^{2} \quad i v a$

 $\beta \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau a i o v ~ \mu \eta$ iòs à $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \iota v$ єival $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \rho \iota \omega ̂ \nu$ є̇ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v s \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ фóp $\omega \nu, \omega ゙ \sigma \tau \epsilon$ av̇т $\hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon \pi \pi a v o \rho \theta \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$




 $\nu$ v́v $\omega \nu$ Sè каi тò í íoòv $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma \rho а \mu \mu а ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$






${ }^{1}$ oтєфаvítov FV: regio Lat.
${ }^{3}$ €̧̇o̊v єival FLVA marg.
${ }^{5}$ ơv $\nu \nu$ om. FLV Lat.
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shall be relieved from the poll-tax ${ }^{a}$ and the crown-tax and the salt-tax ${ }^{b}$ which they pay. And, in order that the city may the more quickly be inhabited, I grant both to the present inhabitants and to those who may return before the month of Hyperberetaios ${ }^{\text {c }}$ exemption from taxes for three years. ${ }^{d}$ We shall also relieve them in future from the third part of their tribute, so that their losses may be made good. And as for those who were carried off from the city and are slaves, we herewith set them free, both them and the children born to them, and order their property to be restored to them."
(4) Now these were the contents of the letter. And out of reverence for the temple he also published a proclamation throughout the entire kingdom, ${ }^{e}$ of which the contents were as follows. "It is unlawful

Decree ol Antiochus IIl con cerning the temple and Jerusalem. for any foreigner to enter the enclosure of the temple which is forbidden to the Jews, except to those of them who are accustomed to enter after purifying themselves in accordance with the law of the country. Nor shall anyone bring into the city the flesh of horses or of mules or of wild or tame asses, or of leopards, first month of the year, according to the older Hebrew calendar.
${ }^{d}$ For other instances of tax-exemptions allegedly granted the Jews by foreign rulers, cf. Ant. xi. 61 (1 Esd. iv. 49Darius), xii. 151 (Antiochus III), xiii. 52 ( 1 Macc. x. 29-Demetrius) : Herod the Great also did so on at least two occasions, cf. Ant. xv. 303, xxii. 25. For an actual instance of such an exemption by Antiochus III to a Hellenistic city cf. Rostovtzeff, CAII vii. 179.

- Even those scholars who consider this decree genuine admit that this phrase, "throughout the entire kingdom,", is a later addition, or at least an exaggeration on Josephus' part.
' On this prohibition cf. B.J. v. 194 note c . 227; for similar rabbinic restrictions cf . Mishnah, Kélim i. 8.
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тє каi $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ каi $\lambda \alpha \gamma \bar{\omega} \nu$ каi ка月ódоv $\delta \epsilon^{1}$





 x cióas."








 $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho i \zeta \rho \nu \tau a s, \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta s$ є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s \quad \hat{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu$



 ${ }^{1}$ §è om. FLVAW.
${ }^{2}$ èmırétpantac LAW' Lat. (vide.).
${ }^{a}$ Jews were forbidden to eat the flesh of the animals here mentioned, but there is no evidence that they were forbidden to use them (at least the tame ones) for any purpose, or bring their skins into Jerusalem. In this curious prohibition Büchler sees another indication that Antiochus' decree originally applied to the Samaritan temple on Mount Gerizim : cf. $\Lambda$ appendix D.

- There are extant a number of ancient Jewish Greek inscriptions which mention fines to be paid the Jewish community or synagogue treasury for violation of Jewish re76
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foxes or hares or, in general, of any animals forbidden to the Jews. Nor is it lawful to bring in their skins or even to breed any of these animals in the city. ${ }^{a}$ But only the sacrificial animals known to their ancestors and necessary for the propitiation of God shall they be permitted to use. And the person who violates any of these statutes shall pay to the priests a fine of three thousand drachmas of silver. ${ }^{b}$ "

He also testified in writing to our piety and loyalty Letter ot when, on the occasion of his being in the upper Antiochus satrapies, he learned of revolts in Phrygia and Lydia, zeuxis, and ordered Zeuxis, his governor, ${ }^{c}$ and one of his governor of close friends, to send some of our people from Babylonia to Phrygia. He then wrote as follows. " King Antiochus to Zeuxis, his father, ${ }^{d}$ greeting. If you are in good health, it is well. I also am in sound health. Learning that the people in Lydia and Phrygia are revolting, I have come to consider this as requiring very serious attention on my part, and, on taking counsel with my friends as to what should be done, I determined to transport two thousand Jewish families with their effects from Mesopotamia and Babylonia to the fortresses and most important ligious statutes, e.g. from Smyrna, Tlos, Hierapolis in Phrygia ( 1000 denarii), and Stobi in Macedonia ( 250,000 denarii !!).
${ }^{c}$ It is generally assumed that this was the Zeuxis who was satrap (or governor, oтpatךүós) of Babylonia c. 220 в.c. (Polyb. v. 45 ff. ) and that he, in turn, was the Zeuxis who was satrap of Lydia c. 201 b.c. (Polyh. xvi. 1. 8). Assuming the identity of these three, we must further suppose that Zeuxis was transferred from Babylonia to Lydia sometime after 213 13.c. when Antiochns III conquered the provinces in Asia Hinor held by Achacus.
d Another inslance of the title "father" given by a Seleucid king to his officer occurs in Ant xiii. 127 (t Mace. xi. 32-Denctrins to Lasthenes); cf. also Aut. xi. 218 (Apocr. Esther xiii. 6-Artaxerses to Haman).
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 каітєє є́є $\rho \omega ́ \delta o u s$ ővтоs тои́тоvs ${ }^{2} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon i v$, vimo$\sigma \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s,{ }^{3}$ vó $\mu o \iota s$ aủzoùs $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota^{4}$ тoîs idiots.
 то́тоvs, єïs $\tau \epsilon$ оікобоцías оiкıิ̂v aủтоîs $\delta \omega ́ c \epsilon i s$
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \nu$, каi $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ' \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} s ~ к а \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$


 тоîs єis тàs $\chi \rho \epsilon i ́ a s ~ v i \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau о v ิ \sigma \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a u ̋ \tau \alpha \rho к є s, ~ i ้ \nu а ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi \alpha \rho ’ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau v \gamma \alpha a ́ v o v \tau \epsilon s$ фìav$\theta \rho \omega \pi i a s, \pi \rho о \theta \nu \mu о-$


 'Avtıóxov фi入ías tô $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ ádou $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ o u s ~$

$1+$ av̉т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ FLV fort. recte: tò $\theta \epsilon \hat{c} o v$ coni. Holleaux.
${ }^{2}$ ex Vossiano Havercamp: toútov AW: tov̂ rell.
 ut promittas Lat.


[^28] Deity " as being more appropriate in the mouth of a pagan 78
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places. For I am convinced that they will be loyal guardians of our interests because of their piety to God, ${ }^{\alpha}$ and I know that they have had the testimony of my forefathers to their good faith and eagerness to do as they are asked. It is my will, thereforethough it may be a troublesome matter-that they should be transported and, since I have promised it, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ use their own laws. And when you have brought them to the places mentioned, you shall give each of them a place to build a house and land to cultivate and plant with vines, and shall exempt them from payment of taxes on the produce of the soil for ten years. ${ }^{c}$ And also, until they get produce from the soil, let them have grain measured out to them for feeding their servants, and let there be given also to those engaged in public service ${ }^{d}$ sufficient for their needs in order that through receiving kind treatment ofrom us they may show themselves the more eager in our cause. And take as much thought for theiry nation as possible, that it may not be molested by anyone." Concerning, then, the friendship of Antiochus the Great for the Jews let the testimony here given suffice. ${ }^{e}$
ruler. If we accept the variant, there is, of course, no need to emend.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Text doubtful; one may also render (after "transported "), " and that (you) should promise that they may." - Cf. above § 143 note $d$.
 somewhat doubtful, but cf. Ant. xiii. 67, тais бaîs є́ $\xi \cup \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon i v$ xpciacs, which probably means "to serve your (Ptolemy Philometor's) interests."

- Reinach properly reminds us that Josephus himself, Ant. xiv. 187 , admits that many people doubted the authenticity of the Judacophile decrees attributed to the Persians and Macedonians, because they were preserved only by the Jews and " other barbarians."
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 155 каi Sıaıpє $\epsilon$ 'iv $\omega \nu$ ais ar $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~$
 татрíठas фородоүєiv, каi ovva日рoíלovтєS тò $\pi \rho о \sigma-$



 ${ }^{1}$ 'Isovнаias P'.

[^29]
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(iv. 1) ${ }^{a}$ After this Antiochus made a treaty of Ptolemy friendship with Ptolemy, ${ }^{b}$ and gave him his daughtor End the ${ }^{\text {En }}$ Cleopatra in marriage, ${ }^{c}$ making over to him as her dowry Coele-Syria, ${ }^{d}$ Samaria, Judaea ${ }^{e}$ and Phoenicia. ${ }^{f}$ And when the tribute was divided between the two sovereigns, ${ }^{g}$ the prominent men purchased the right to farm the taxes in their several provinces ${ }^{h}$ and, collecting the sum fixed, paid it to the royal pair. At this time the Samaritans, ${ }^{i}$ who were flourishing, did much mischief to the Jews by laying waste their land and carrying off slaves ${ }^{j}$; and this happened in Cleopatra, surnamed the Syrian, to Egypt to Ptolemy, giving him as a marriage-present Coele-Syria, which he had himself taken from Ptolemy "' so also Porphyry, ap. Eusebius, Chronicon, mentioning Syria, Samaria and Judaea, and Jerome on Daniel xi. 17, who specifies "all of Coele-Syria." In view of the fact that the Seleucids ruled all of Palestine and Syria after the victories of Antiochus the Great over the army of Ptolemy Epiphanes, 200-198 в.c. (cf. $\S 131$ notes), many scholars believe that the assignment of the revenues of CoeleSyria to Ptolemy Epiphanes was never carried out, but if by "Ptolemy "' in $\S 155$ (cf. note ad lor.) Epiphanes is meant, it follows that Josephus (or his source), at any rate, believed that Ptolemy actually had complete or partial control of Palestine and Transjordan, in spite of the carlier Seleucid conquest of the country; see the article of Cuq, cited in Appendix E .

0 That is, as Holleaux convincingly shows, REJ xxxix., 1599, pp. 161 ff., between Ptolemy Epiphanes and his wife Cleopatra, not between Ptolemy and Antiochus IIl. Only thus can we understand the point of the joke made by the Tohiad Joseph, § 178. For Momigliano's objection see his monograph cited in $\Lambda$ ppendix E.
${ }^{n}$ Lit. "countries"; the subdivisions of Palestine and Transjordan are meant.
${ }^{1}$ Gir. Samarians, cf. Ant. ix. 61 note $c$.
, Büchler, Tob. p. S8, suggests that Josephus' authority here is a Samaritan, who originally referred to the attack of the Ptolemaic forces in Samaria on the pro-Seleucid party in Judaea in 218 в.c.
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 $\nu a \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda a \beta \epsilon \nu, \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ôv катабтрє́భavта тòv ßíov















${ }^{1}$ èठégaro FLVE.
 quae secl. Niese, Naber.
${ }^{3}$ ws om. FLVE Lat.
${ }^{a}$ On the high priests here mentioned see Appendix B.
${ }^{6}$ In §44.
c i.e. Ptolemy Epiphanes; after "Ptolemy" the variant adds, "Euergetes, who was the father of Philopator." This point is discussed in Appendix E; here it may suffice to remark that while the variant is not necessarily an "absurd gloss," as Reinach too decidedly calls it, it is probably an addition to Josephus' text inade to remove the difficulty caused by representing Coele-Syria as subject to Ptolemy Epiphanes after the definitive conquest of the country by the Seleucids in 198 b.c. Since Josephus has already got beyond the reign of Euergetes (246-221 в.c.) and has already mentioned Epiphanes and his contemporary Antiochus III 82
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the high-priesthood of Onias. For, when Eleazar died, his uncle Manasses took over the high priesthood, and, after he departed this life, the office came to Onias, who was a son of Simon, called the Just. ${ }^{a}$ And Simon was a brother of Eleazar, as I have said before. ${ }^{b}$ This Onias was small-minded and passionately fond of money and since for this reason hevdid not render on behalf of the people the tribute of twenty talents of silver which his fathers had paid to the kings out of their own revenues, he roused the anger of King Ptolemy. ${ }^{c}$ And the king sent an envoy to Jerusalem to denounce Onias for not rendering the tribute, and threatened that, if he did not receive it, he would parcel out their land and send his soldiers to settle on it. Accordingly, when the Jews heard the king's message, they were dismayed, but Onias was not put out of countenance by any of these threats, so great was his avarice.
(2) Now there was a certain Joseph, who was still a young man but because of his dignity and foresight

Joseph, the Tobiad, and his uncle, Onias 1I.
( $\S 131 \mathrm{ff}$. ), and since Joseph the Tobiad is obviously dealing with Epiphanes ( $c f$. above, note $f$, p. 80) and not Euergetes, it is reasonable to suppose that the mention of Euergetes in the variant is an interpolation. On the other hand, in $\$ 223$ Josephus (or at least one of his sources) states that Joseph died about the time of Seleucus IV's accession, which was in 187 b.c., after being tax-collector for 22 years, so that he must have begun his work in 209 b.c. at the latest, that is, several years before the accession of Ptoleny Epiphanes, which was in $204 / 3$ в.c. Moreover, it is probable that he retired from office some years before his death in 187 в.c., probably at the time of the Seleucid conquest of Cocle-Syria in 198 в.c., which would move back the beginning of his term of office to 221 b.c. at the end of the reign of Euergetes; so that the interpolation here appears to have bcen the work of someone who was aware of the inconsistency of the sources used by Josephus in his narrative of the Tobiads.
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 то入ítas，$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta$ óvта $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta a-~$
 $163 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ グ $\mu$ épovs．$\tau 0 \hat{v}$ §̀̀＇Oviov $\mu \eta \eta^{\tau} \epsilon$

${ }^{1}$ каi סıкацoov́v FLVE．
${ }^{2}$ FVA corr．：Фıкódav cell．：Ficulam Lat．

[^30]
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had a reputation for uprightness ${ }^{a}$ among the inhabitants of Jerusalem, his father being Tobias, ${ }^{b}$ and his mother a sister of the high priest Onias ; and, when his mother informed him of the envoy's arrival -for he himself happened to be away in the village of Phichola, ${ }^{c}$ from which he had originally cone-, he went to the city (of Jerusalem) and upbraided Onias for not regarding the safety of his fellow-citizens and for being willing, instead, to place the nation in danger by withholding the money on account of which, Joseph said, he had received the chief magis- . tracy ${ }^{d}$ and had obtained the high-priestly office. s, But, if he was so passionately fond of money that for its sake he could endure to see his country endangered and his fellow-citizens suffer all sorts of things, he advised him to go to the king and request him to remit to him either the whole of the money or a part of it. As Onias, however, answered that he did not desire to hold office and said that he was ready to

 ${ }^{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \dot{\delta} \epsilon \iota \xi \epsilon$, and Sirach xlv. 24, of Phineas, the priest, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$
 in the carly Hellenistic period the high priest was regarded as the chief magistrate of the Jews. Below, in $\$ 285$, Josephus says that after Mattathias' death, his son Judas sncceeded to the $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a \sigma i a v ~ \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega v$, which clearly means the assumption of chief authority or leadership of the rebels, and is therefore not a technical term of civil office (under the Seleucids). Nor does there appear to be sufficient ground for believing that Joseph later (cf. § 167) assumed the $\pi \rho o \sigma r a \sigma i a v$ and thus deprived Onias of part of his official rank, as maintained by Büchler and, more recently, by Momigliano and Fruin. The same problem arises in connexion with the quarrel about the agoranomia between the prostatés Simon and the high priest Onias, 2 Macc. iii. 4, on which see the works cited in $\Lambda$ ppendix G.
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 ко́та каi $\delta \omega \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \vee о s ~ a u ̀ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi о \lambda u \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota ~ \delta \omega \rho є а i ̂ s ~$
 є́ $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a, ~ ф \rho a ́ \sigma a s ~ a u ̀ \tau ب ̣ ~ к а i ~ a u ̛ \tau o ̀ s ~$


 Aï

入íav $\grave{\eta} \gamma a ́ \pi \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \prime \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau о \hat{v}$ 'Oviov à $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \circ \sigma \mathcal{v}_{\nu} \eta \nu$
 ${ }^{1}$ Eùє $\rho \gamma \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \nu$ Птодєцаїо PAW.
2 ๆủХapíaтєı FLV.
${ }^{3}$ ảmó FLVE: a Lat.
${ }^{a}$ Observe that Onias offers to give up the office of high priest, not that of prostatēs.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 163-167

give up the high-priesthood if that were possible, ${ }^{a}$ and would not go ${ }^{b}$ to the king, for he was in no way concerned about these matters, Joseph asked him whether he would give him'leave to go as an envoy ${ }^{c}$ to Ptolemy ${ }^{d}$ on behalf of the nation. And, when Onias gave his permission, Joseph went up to the temple and, calling the people together in assembly, exhorted them not to be disturbed or frightened because of his uncle Onias' neglect of them, and begged them rather to keeptheir minds free of fear and dark forebodings ; for he promised that he himself would go as an envoy to the king and persuade him that they were not doing any wrong. And so, when the people heard this, they thanked Joseph, while he himself went down from the temple and hospitably received the envoy sent by Ptolemy; and after presenting him with valuable gifts and entertaining him lavishly for many days, he sent him on ahead to the king, tclling him that he himself would follow. For he had, indeed, become even more eager to meet the king, when the envoy encouraged 7 him and urged that he go to Egypt, and promised to see that he should obtain from Ptolemy whatever he desired; for the envoy greatly admired his liberality ${ }^{e}$ and the ${ }^{-}$dignity of his character.
(3) And so the envoy went to Egypt and reported to the king thie arrogant behaviour of Onias, and informed him of the excellence of Joseph and that Alexandris.
" Lit. "go up," i.e. to a higher authority. The Jewish sources almost always speak of " going down " to Egypt from Palestine.
c This expression seems to be another indication that our text does not imply a separation between the offices of high priest and civil ruler (under Ptolemaic suzerainty).
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Variant " I'tolemy Euergetes," $c f . \S 158$ note $c$.
c Or " ingenuousness."
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta{ }^{\circ} \varsigma^{*}$ rival $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ av่тô̂




 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \phi i ́ d o u s ~ є i s ~ \Sigma a \mu a ́ p \epsilon ı a \nu ~ к а i ~ \delta a-~$










 $\delta \rho \epsilon \iota a \nu \dot{a} \phi \iota \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ Мє́ $\mu \phi \epsilon \iota$ тòv Птодє $\mu a \hat{\imath} o \nu^{3}$

## ${ }^{1}$ Niese: $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ Tôv cold.

${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}$ : ${ }^{\prime} \kappa$ refl.

a That is, merely their spokesman or envoy ( $c f . \& 161$ note $d$ ), as the context indicates. If he had been formally elected by the people to the highest civil office, Josephus' source would have said so ; at any rate the king himself would have had to recognize him as such.
${ }^{-}$Those scholars who believe (not without reason) that these events should be placed in the reign of Ptolemy Philopator, whose queen's name was Arsinoe, may assume that Josephus' authority, living in the end or list century bic., was more familiar with the name Cleopatra, borne by several Ptolemaic queens after Epiphanes' wife, and thus made a natural slip in calling Arsine Cleopatra (unless, of course,
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he intended to come to him to ask that the sins of his people be excused, for he was their protector. ${ }^{a}$ Indeed, he continued to use such oextravagance"of speech in praising the young man that he disposed both the king and his wife Cleopatra ${ }^{b}$ to feel friendly toward Joseph even before his arrival. Now $\S$ oseph, after sending to his various friends in Samaria ${ }^{c}$ and borrowing money, made ready the things needed for his journey, such as clothes, drinking-vessels, and pack-animals, which equipment he procured for about twenty thousand ${ }^{d}$ drachmas, and came to "Alexandria. But it so happened that at that time all the chiefmen and magistrates of the cities of Syria and Phoenicia were coming there to bid for the tax-farming rights which the king used to sell every year to the wealthy men in each city. ${ }^{e}$ When these men, therefore, saw Joseph on the road, they made ${ }^{0}$ fun of his poverty and bareness. But when he arrived at Alexandria, he heard that Ptolemy was in Memphis, and so he

Cleopatra is substituted for Arsinoe by Josephus himself, cf. A ppendix E). Livy makes a similar slip, xxvii. 4. 10.
${ }^{c}$ The friendship of the (Immonite) Tobiads with the Samaritans goes back to the time of Nehemiah, cf. Ant. xi. 174 (Neh. iv. 3).
${ }^{d}$ This and similar large sums freely mentioned in the Joseph-Hyrcanus story ( $c f$. . ss 150 ff .) are in keeping with the fictitious nature of the details of the narrative, though the general contents and background may be quite historical.
e Cf. Rostovtzeff in C $A H$ vii. 129 f., "The tax-farmers were local people, but the taxes were put up to auction not locally but at Alexandria. This is proved by various documents in the Zeno letters (esp. P. Cairo Zen. 5903i) which show that the picture of an auction of provincial tases drawn by Josephus in his wonderful story of the farmer of tribute from Coele-Syria . . is on the whole accurate." The revenue system of Ptolemaic Egypt is admirably deseribed by C. Préaux, L'Economie royale des Lagirles, 1939, pp. 61-435.
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 "aủtề $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \eta ̂ \rho a s " ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \lambda a v \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega s ~$









 є $\varnothing \epsilon \rho о \nu$.



${ }^{2}$ каi $\delta \grave{\eta} \mathrm{P}$ : каi rell.
${ }^{3}$ aitıâo $\theta a \iota$ P. ${ }^{4}$ évঠautâoOaı V .
a Text slightly uncertain. ${ }^{b}$ Or " ambitious."
c That is, before he was greeted by Joseph; cf. Ant. xi. 331 for a similar courtesy shown by Alexander the Great to the Jewish high priest Jaddua.
90
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met him there and presented himself to him. ${ }^{a}$ Now the king was sitting in a chariot with his wife and with his friend Athenion-this was the man who had been an envoy to Jerusalem and had been entertained by Joseph-, and as soon as Athenion caught sight of him, he introduced him to the king, saying that this was the person whom he had described to him, when he returned from Jerusalem, as an excellent and liberal ${ }^{b}$ young man. Ptolemy, therefore, first greeted him ${ }^{c}$ and even invited him to come up into his chariot, and when he was seated, began to complain about the actions of Onias. Then Joseph said, "Pardon him because of his age ${ }^{d}$; for surely you are not unaware that old people and infants are likely to have the same level ofintelligence. But from us who are young you will obtain everything so as to find no fault." Thereupon ${ }^{*}$ Ptolemy, being pleased - with the charm and ready"wit of the young man, began to be still fonder of him as though he were an old and tried friend, so much so that he told him to take up his residence in the palace and had himen a guest at his own table every day. Accordingly, when the king came to Alexandria, and the chief men of Syria saw Joseph seated at his side, they were disagreeably affected.
d According to $\$ \$ 44,157$. Onias was a son of Simon the Just whom Josephus makes a contemporary of Ptolemy Philadelphus, and was a child when his uncle Eleazar succeeded Simon, some time in Philadclphus' reign. Thus Onias must have been at least 70 years old at this time, which is supposedly after 193/2 в.c., the date of Ptolemy Epiphanes' marriage to Cleopatra (cf. §154). Although Josephus is mistaken in placing Simon the Just so early in the 3rd century в.c. (cf. Appendix B), he is at least consistent in making Onias an old man at this time.
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 тoùs $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ c̀vov $\mu$ évovs $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon v$ ès $\sigma v \nu \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$











 $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$ є́катє́คоv $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \gamma v \eta \sigma о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \delta i ́ \delta \omega \mu i ́ ~ \sigma o \iota . " ~ " ~$



${ }^{1}$ є́ктє́ $\mu \psi \epsilon \omega \mathrm{FLV}$.
${ }^{2}$ тои̂то om. P: каi тои̂то FLV: каì таи̂та E.
${ }^{3}$ бขขєлітрабкєข P. 1 W E .

* $\delta$ ôvą om. P.IWE.

[^31]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 175-179

(4) Now when the day came round on which the rights to farm taxes in the cities were to be sold, bids were made by those eminent in rank in the various provinces. ${ }^{a}$ When the sum of taxes from CoeleSyria ${ }^{b}$ and from Phoenicia and Judaea with Samaria added up to eight thousand talents, ${ }^{c}$ Josephucame forward and accused ${ }^{7}$ he bidders of having made an agreement to offer the king low price for the taxes, whereas he for his part promised'to give double that amount and send over to the king the property of those who had been remiss toward his house ; for this right was sold ${ }^{d}$ along with that of farming the taxes. Thereupon the king, who heard him gladly, said that he would confirm the sale of the tax-farming rights to him, as he was likely to increase his revenue, but asked whether he also had some persons to give surety for him; he then answered very cleverly, " Yes, I will offer persons of the very best character, whom you will not distrust." And when the king asked him to tell who they were, he replied, "I offer you, O King, you yourself and your wife as the persons who will give surety for me, each to guarantee " the other's share." e At this "Ptolemy laughed and granted him the tax-farming rights without guarantors. This act gave great pain to those who had come to Egypt from the cities, for they considered themEgypt itself (de degypto) in the time of Ptolemy Philadelphus as 14,800 talents; Herodotus, iii. 91 , gives 350 talents as the tribute taken by Darius from Phoenicia, Palestine and Cyprus: Cicero estimates the total revenue of Ptolemy Auletes as 12,500 talents. Cff. also 2 Macc. iv. 8 ff .
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Variant " he (the king) sold."

- The point of this witticism was first satisfactorily explained by Holleaux, $c f$. $\S 155$ note $g$, "les deux $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ sont les deux parts des impôts syriens . . . au roi Joseph offre pour garant sa femme, à la reine il offre son mari."
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 aioxúvŋs.

 $\theta \epsilon \iota a ́ v ~ \tau \iota v a ~ \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ v, ~ i ̈ v a ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ v ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ к а \tau \alpha-~$







 $182 \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂, \delta \eta \lambda \bar{\omega} \nu$ aủ $\bar{\omega}$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu a$. $\theta a v \mu \alpha ́-$

 ßоv́лєта८. тои̂т' ảкоv́баvтєs oi इúpoı катєл入á $\gamma \eta$ -
 $\tau$ о̀̀s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Абка入 $\omega \nu \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ăv $\delta \rho \alpha \alpha_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$, àvoíरovtєs $\tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a s ~ \epsilon ́ \delta ́ ́ \chi o v \tau o ~ \pi \rho о \theta u ́ \mu \omega s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$

 є́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau o v ̀ s ~ \phi o ́ \rho o u s ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{̣}$ oûs $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon S$
 $18 \ddagger$ ov̉oías aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ . ~ \sigma v \nu a \gamma a \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu$

${ }^{1} \pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\omega} v \mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ PAE: excidisse fort. equitum numerum coni. Niese.
${ }^{2}$ xılious $\mathrm{FL}(\mathrm{V})$. $\quad{ }^{3}$ єiкòs ${ }^{\text {jun }} \mathrm{PE}$. 94
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selves slighted. "And so they returned with discomfiture to their respective provinces.
(5) Then Joseph, after getting from the king two thousand ${ }^{a}$ foot-soldiers-for he had asked to have some assistance, in order that he might be able to in Palestine. use force with any in the cities who treated him with contempt-and borrowing five hundred talents ${ }^{b}$ in Alexandria from the friends of the king, "set out for Syria. And coming to Ascalon, he demanded tribute from the people of the city, but they not only refused to give him anything, but even insulted him to boot; he therefore arrested some twenty of their principal men ${ }^{c}$ and put them to death, and sent their property, which all together was worth a thousand talents, to the king, informing him of what had happened. Thereupon Ptolemy, who admired his spirit and commended his actions, "permitted him to do whatever he wished. When the Syrians heard of this, they were struck with consternation and, having a terrible example of the consequences of disobedience in the execution of the men of Ascalon, they opened their gates and readily admitted $\mathscr{y}_{\text {oseph }}$ and paid the tribute. And when the inhabitants of Scythopolis ${ }^{d}$ also attempted to insult him and would not render him the tribute which they formerly paid without any dispute, he put to death their chief men as well and sent their property to the king. Having thus collected great sums of money and made great profits
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 то仑 á áє $\lambda \phi$ ov̂




 $\epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota o v ~ \epsilon u ่ \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \pi o \hat{u} s ~ \epsilon \rho a \sigma \theta \epsilon i s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega}$ тоиิто $\mu \eta \nu v ́ \epsilon \iota, ~ т а р а к а \lambda ิ ิ \nu ~ a v ̉ т o ́ v, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon i ~ к а i ~ \nu o ́ \mu \omega ~, ~$ $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \omega ́ \lambda \nu \tau a \iota ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ ' I o v \delta \alpha i o \iota s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda o \phi u ́ \lambda \omega ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a ́-~$





 बvvє́ $\rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ тои̂ ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi о \hat{v}$ Өvरатрí, каi тоúтоv
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from farming the taxes, he used his wealth to make permanent the power which he now had, thinking it prudent to preserve the source and foundation of his present good fortune by means of the wealth which he had himself acquired ; and so he surreptitiously sent many gifts to the king and to Cleopatra and to their friends and to all those who were powerful at court, purchasing their goodwill through these gifts.
(6) This good fortune he enjoyed for twenty-two How ${ }^{3}$ Joseph years, ${ }^{a}$ becoming the father of'seven sons by one wife, begot his son and also begetting a son, ${ }^{0}$ named ${ }^{\circ}$ Hyrcanus, by the Hyreanus daughterof his brother Solymius, whom he married under the following eircumstances. He once came to Alexandria. with his brother as he was taking there his daughter, who was of marriageable age, in order that he might marry her to one of the Jews of high rank; and when Joseph was dining with the king, a beautiful dancing-girl came into the banquet-room, and Joseph, having fallen'fin love with her, told his brother of this and begged him, since the yews were prevented by law from having intercourse with a foreign woman, to aid in concealing his $\sin$ and do him a good service by making it possible for him to satisfy his desire. Thereupon his brother gladly undertaking to be of service, ${ }^{\text {G }}$ beautified his own daughter and brought her to him by night to sleep with him. But Joseph in his drunken state did not know how matters really were, and so hechad intercourse with his brother's daughter, and when this had happened several times, he fell still more violently in love with her. He then told his brother that he

$$
\text { a Cf. § } 158 \text { note } c \text {. }
$$
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 $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \nu$ ódòv $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ סv́o $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є’ $\rho \eta \mu i a \nu \quad \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$


 ${ }^{1}$ ои̉к cd. : оủk adv cold.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{3}+\text { on } \nu о \mu \text { 人 } V \text {. } \\
& { }^{4}+\mu \iota \kappa \rho \circ \dot{\nu} \varphi \check{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \mathrm{P} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

a In § 186. One as. here " shortly before."

- Will rich, $J G \mathrm{pp} .93 \mathrm{ff}$, , sees in this portrait of the young Hyrcanus an example of the Jews' admiration for "a bold and precocious intelligence," and compares him with the young Samuel, the young David, the young Daniel and the 98


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 188-193

was risking his life for a dancer whom the king would perhaps not allow him to have. But his brother urged him not to be anxious, telling him to enjoy without fear the woman whom he loved, and to make her his wife ; and he revealed the truth to him, how he had chosen to dishonour his own daughter rather than see him fall into disgrace, and so Joseph, commending him for his brotherly love, married his daughter and by her begot a son named 'Hyrcanus, as we said before. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Now this child while still a young"lad of thirteen years showed such natural courage and intelligence that he became an object of violent jealousy to his brothers because of his great superiority The remark. able character of the young Hyrcanus. and enviable qualities. ${ }^{b}$ But when Joseph, wishing to learn which of his sons was naturally well disposed to virtue, sent them one after another to those who were then famed as teachers, the other sons because of their laziness and disinclination for work returned to him foolish and ignorant ; and after that he sent out his youngest son Hyrcanus a two days' journey into the wilderness to sow the ground, giving him three hundred yoke of oxen, but hiding the yokestraps. He, however, on coming to the place without having the straps, disregarded the counsel of the ox-
historian Josephus himself ( $c f$. Vita $\mathfrak{i} \mathrm{ff}$.) : in the further details of the narrative he detects traces of older biblical motifs, such as the deception practised by Laban on Jacob, the envy of Joseph's brothers, the favour shown to Joseph hy l'haraoh. At the same time he traces a parallelism between the Tobiad story and the narrative concerning the high priests Jason and Menelaus in 2 Macc., concluding (p. 102) that "Joseph of the Tobiad story is really the Menelaus of 2 Macc., while Hyrcanus is a free remodelling (Umgestaltuny) of Jason." There is, of coursc, no doubt that a large part of the Tobiad story is fiction, but historical elements also are to be found.
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 тıvas тò̀s iцávтas, тòv $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каıрòv $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$

















 $197 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a . ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \pi a \rho a u \tau \eta-$



[^35]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 193-197

drivers, who advised him to send some men to his father to bring back the straps, for he thought that he ought not to lose time in waiting for those who might be sent, and instead conceivedaan ingenious plan worthy of an older man. Accordingly, he slaughtereduten yoke of oxen and after distributing their meat among the workmen, he cut up their hides and made straps with which he fastened the yokes; and when he had in this way sown the ground which his father had ordered him to sow, he returned to him. And when he eame, his father was more than delighted with his good sense, and commending the quickness of his intelligence and the boldness combined with this, he loved him still more as if he were his only genuine son, and this vexed his brothers.
(7) About this time he was told by someone that a Hyreanus son had been borit to King Ptolemy, a and all the lead- sets out for ing men of Syria and the territory subject to him were setting out with a great array for Alexandria to Scelcbrate the birthday of the child; he himself was son. kept from going by his age, but he tried his sons to * sce whether any of them was willing to go abroad to the king. ${ }^{b}$ The elder sons, however, begged to be excused, saying they were too rough ${ }^{c}$ for such company, but they advised him to send their brother c. 193 в.c. It is therefore unlikely that at the birth of Ptolemy's son (whether elder or younger), he " was kept from going by his age" or had sons old enough to go abroad. This is one of several difficulties caused by Josephus' statements that Joseph's activity began in the reign of Ptolemy Epiphanes.
${ }_{c}$ In this connexion we may mention the correspondence of an earlier Tobiad with Ptolemy Philadelphus preserved in the papyri ( $f f$. works cited in Appendix E), which shows how "rongh" an Ammonite chief could be, even when addressing a king.
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 $\gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$ סє̀ торєv́бєє
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Hyrcanus; gladly listening to them, therefore, he called Hyrcanus and asked him whether he was able and willing to travel to the king. And when he undertook oto go, saying he would not need much money for the journey-for, he added, he would live reasonably, so that ten thousand drachmas would suffice him-Joseph was pleased with his son's'moderation. But a little while afterward the son advised his father not to send the king gifts from where he was, ${ }^{a}$ but to give him a letter to his steward in Alexandria in order that he might provide him with money to buy the most beautiful and precious things which he could find. Joseph, therefore, thinking that the cost of the presents for the king would be ten otalents, commended his son for his excellent suggestion, and wroterto his teward Arion, who managed all the wealth which he had in Alexandria, amounting to not less than three thousand talents. ${ }^{b}$ For Joseph used s to send to Alexandria the money collected from ${ }^{*}$ Syria, and when the appointed day came on which he was required to pay over the tribute to the king, he would write to Arion to do this. And so, having asked his father for a letter to this man, and having received it, Hyrcanus set out for Alexandria. But when he had gone, his brothers wrote to all the

- Jerusalem, cf. § 222.
${ }^{b}$ Cf. § 176, where Joseph offers to pay Ptolemy double the sum of 8000 talents for the right to farm the taxes of (oele-Syria. Here the 3000 talents apparently represent his profits for several years. But these figures should not he taken too serionsly, since, as we have seen, this part of the Tobiad story is largely fictitious.
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 $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu$.

 aủтov̂ тóซa ßov́ $\epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ v ~\left(\eta ้ \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \epsilon^{1} \delta^{\prime}\right.$


 $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\rho} \rho$ aủrov̂ $\sigma v \nu a \gamma a ́ \gamma o 九 ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ o u ̉ \sigma i ́ a \nu ~ \pi o \nu \omega ̂ \nu \nu^{3}$ каì $\tau \alpha i ̂ s$








 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' T ’ \rho к а \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \theta а \nu \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ้ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ~ \pi \omega ิ s ~ a ̀ \pi о-~$
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friends of the king that they should make an end of him.
(8) When he came to Alexandria, he delivered the letter to Arion, who asked him how many talents he wished to have-he hoped that he wouldask for ten or a little more-, but when he said the needed a "thousand, Arion became angry and rebuked him for having chosen a dissolute way of life, and explained to hin how his father had amassed his wealth by working hard and restraining his desires, and he advised him to imitate the example of his sire. He added that he would giverhim no more than ten talents, and these for presents to the king. At this the youth was provoked and threw ${ }^{2}$ Arion into chains. But Arion's wife informed Cleopatra of this and begged her to rebuke the youth-for Arion was held by her in great esteem-, whereupon Cleopatra made the matter known to the king. So Ptolemy sent to Hyreanus, saying he was surprised that having been sent to him by his father, he had not appeared before him and had, moreover, imprisoned the steward. He ordered him, therefore, to come and explain to him the reason for his conduct. But Hyrcanus is said to have replied to the man sent by the king that he should tell him that there was a law in his country which prevented the celebrant of a birthday from partaking of a sacrifice before he had gone into the
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 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta \nu$ グ $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu$ ．＂äv ov̂v $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ко入áל $\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ тoùs
 катафроуŋӨخ́бєбӨає．＂таиิт＇а́коv́баs o Пто入є－ $\mu \alpha i ̂ o s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon ่ т \rho a ́ \pi \eta ~ к а i ~ т \grave{\eta} v ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о ф \rho о \sigma v ́ v \eta v$

 $\delta \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \theta \eta$ тòv т $о$ о́тоv каi ӧть $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a ~ \beta о \eta ́ \theta \epsilon \iota a ́ ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma т \iota \nu ~$ $\alpha v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega}$ ，Sov̀s $\tau \alpha$ Хì入ıa $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \iota \delta i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$


 татє́ра тциŋ́v．$\lambda \alpha ́ \theta \rho a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ трòs тоѝs є’ $\mu \pi o ́ \rho o v s$
 үра́ $\mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ к а i ~ a ̉ к \mu a \iota o \tau a ́ \tau о v s, ~ Є ์ v o ̀ s ~$



<br>${ }^{2}$ 日v̂̃aı AW ：$\theta \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \mathrm{MV}$ ．

[^39]temple and sacrificed to God ${ }^{a}$; it was in accordance with this same principle that he himself had not come oto the king, but was waiting to bring the gifts to him ${ }^{3}$ who had been his father's benefactor. As for the slave, he had punished him for disobeying the orders which he had been given ; for it made no difference, he added, whether one were a small master or a great one. "For if we do not punish such fellows, even you may, expect to be held in contempt by your subjects." When Ptolemy heard this, he fell to laughing, and admired the high spirit of the youth.
(9) But Arion, on learning that this was the way hyrcanus the king felt and that there was no help for him, is mocked gave the thousand talents to the youth, and was rivals. released from chains. And after letting "three days go by, Hyrcanus paidhis respects to the royal pair, who were glad to see him and entertained him in friendly fashion in honour of his father. Then he secretly went to the slave-dealers and bought from them a hundred boys who were well educated and in the prime of youth, at a talent apiece, and a hundred virgins at the same price. Now once when he was
no indication in this story that Hyrcanus had renounced Judaism) ; the temple of Onias was founded considerably later, $c f$. $\S \S 3 s 7 \mathrm{ff}$. Furthermore, according to Josephus himself, Ap. ii. 205, Jewish law "does not allow the birth of our children to be made an occasion for festivity "-how much less the birth of pagan children; so also in the Talmud birthday anniversaries are mentioned as a pagan custom, cf. S. Kranss, Talmudische Archäoloyie ii. 8. Thus there conld hardly be a law "in his (Hyrcanus') country "applying to this celebration (if by $\theta$ voia such a celebration is meant-the use of this word here is a further difficulty). I'erhaps, the whole problem hardly merits serious consideration in view of Hyrcanus' obvious invention of a custom, and the fictitious nature of the narrative.
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 ঠєєкто, ${ }^{2}$ тарака入єба́ขт $\omega \nu$ аи่то̀v т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ катакєєцє́v $\omega \nu$
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 $\gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu, \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s^{4}$ є’кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ảvaкрот $\eta \sigma \alpha \iota, \quad \tau \eta ิ S$





## ${ }^{1}$ ávńpovv PFLV.

 ${ }^{3}$ өavца̧́єє PAWE. ${ }^{4}$ каi тávтаs PAWE.
invited together with the leading men of the country to feast with the king, he was placed at the foot of the table, being slighted as still a youth by those who assigned the places according to rank. And all those who reclined at table with Hyreanus piled ap before him the bones of their portions-from which they themselves had removed the meat-, so as to cover the part of the table where he reclined, whereupon Tryphon, who was the king's jester and was appointed to make jokes and raise laughter when there was drinking, with the eneouragement of those who reclined at the table, stood up before the king and said, " My lord, do you see the bones lying before Hyrcanus? From this you may guess that his ${ }^{6}$ father has stripped ${ }^{\text {andl }}$ Syria in the same way as Hyrcanus has left these bones bare of meat." The king then laughed at Tryphon's words, and asked Hyreanus why there were so many bones lying before him, and he replied, " It is natural, my lord; for dogs eat the bones together with the meat, as these men do "-and he looked toward those who reclined there, indicating that there was nothing lying before them-, " but men eat the omeat and throw the bones away, which is just what I, being a man, have now done." Thereupon the king, who admired his reply for being so clever, and to show approval of his wit, ordered all to applaud. ${ }^{a}$ But the next day Hyreanus, going to each of the king's friends and the men powerful at court, and paying his respects to them, inquired of their servants what gift
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their masters were going to give the king for the child's birthday. And when they said that some were going to give gifts worth ten talents, while of the others, who were of high rank, each would give in accordance with the amount of his wealth, he pretended ${ }^{\circ}$ to be grieved at not being able to bring so large a present, saying he had no more than five talents. Accordingly, when the servants heard this, they reported it to their masters. And they rejoiced at the thought that Joseph would be judged unfavourably and offend the king by the smallness of his present ; and when the day came, the others brought their offerings to the king, which in the case of those who believed themselves to be unusually munificent were not worth more than twenty talents, but ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Hyr}$ canus brought the hundred boys and hundred virgins whom he had purchased, and giving each of them a talent to carry, presented them, the boys qo the king, and the girls to Cleopatra. And while all were astonished at the unexpected lavishmess of his gifts, including the royal pair themselves, he also gave to ,the king's friends and to those who were in attendance -on him gifts worth many talents so as to escape any danger from them; for Hyrcanus' brothers had o written to them to make an end of him. Then $\rightarrow$ Ptolemy in admiration of ${ }^{a}$ the young man's magnanimity directed him to take whatever present he wished. But he asked that the king do no more for him than to write to his father and brothers about him. And so the king, after showing him the highest honour and giving him splendid presents, wrote to his father and brothers and to all his governors and administrators, and sent him away. But when Hyrcanus'
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## ${ }^{1}$ tò LAMW Zonaras.


a A. Tscherikower, Jews and Greeks (in Hebrew), 1930, pp. 175 f., plausibly suggests that the real reason for Joseph's later hostility toward Ityrcanus and for the envy of Hyrcanus' brothers was the young man's success in taking from his father the position and perquisites he had obtained from Ptolemy.
brothers heard that he had obtained these favours from the king and was returning with great honour, they went out to meet him and do away with him, , and himas even though their father knew of it ; for being brothers. angry with himbecause of the money which had been spent for the presents, he felt no concern for his safety; his anger at his son had, however, been concealed by Joseph, who feared the king. ${ }^{a}$ And when Hyrcanus' brothers encountered him ilin battle, he killed many of the men with them and also two of the brothers themselves, while the rest escaped to their father in Jerusalem. Hyrcanus therefore went to that city, but as no one admitted him, he withdrew in fear to the country across the river Jordan, and there made his home, sevying tribute on the barbarians. ${ }^{b}$
(10) ${ }^{c}$ At that time there had begun to reign ${ }^{d}$ over Asia 'Seleucus, surnamed Soter, e who was the Death of son of Antiochus the Great. And then also died $\begin{gathered}\text { collector } \\ J \text { oseph }\end{gathered}$ Hyrcanus' father $\mathrm{V}^{2}$ oseph, who had been an excellent and high-minded man and had brought the Jewish people from poverty and a state of weakness to more splendid opportunities of life during the twenty-two years when he controlled the taxes of Syria, Phoenicia
${ }^{-}$Cf. § 229.
${ }^{c}$ It is generally assumed, with reason, that the following section, $\$ 293-236$ (with the exception, of course, of the Spartan letter, $\$ 8225-227$ ), comes from another and more historical source than the preceding section of the Tobiad story.
d 'ُßariגєvaє is probably an inceptive aorist; it may, however, be the "complexive " or "concentrative" aorist, meaning simply " reigned," as in § $234(\hat{\eta} \rho \xi \epsilon)$.
${ }^{e}$ The surname of Seleucus IV (187-175 b.c.) was Philopator; Soter was the surname of his uncle Seleucus III (226-223 в.с.).
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 $\mu a \tau \alpha$ ठьатє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ тàs є̇тьбто入ás. т̀̀ $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v a$
 єं $\pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon$ 'avos.'





- ßоидоíдє ${ }^{\text {a }}$ P.
${ }^{5}$ тò aúzò FV Lat.
${ }^{6}$ mapà V .
a Cf. § 175, "the taxes of Cocle-Syria, Phoenicia and Judaea with Samaria."
${ }^{b}$ Simon II. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Onias III, cf. Appendix 13.
${ }^{d}$ For literature on this letter (found in 1 Macc. xii. 20 ff . and referred to again in Ant. xiii. 167), see Appendix F.
e More correctly Areus (as in some sss., Ant. xiii. 16i). There were only two Spartan kings of this name, Areus I, 309-265 в.c., and Areas II, who died as a child in 255 b.c. Josephus is therefore mistaken in placing it in the time of 114
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and Samaria. ${ }^{a}$ And death also came to his uncle Onias, who left the high priesthood to his son Simon. ${ }^{b}$ When he too died, his son Onias ${ }^{\text {c }}$ became his successor in office, and it was to him that the Lacedaemonian king Areios sent an embassy with a letter, of which the following is a copy. ${ }^{d}$ "Areios, ${ }^{e}$ king of the Lacedaemonians, ${ }^{f}$ to Onias, greeting. We have come upon a certain document from which we have learned etter of Areios, king of Sparta, to Onias. 1 Macc. xii. that the Jews andvLacedaemonians are of one race and are related by descent from Abraham. ${ }^{g}$ It is right, therefore, that you as our brothers should send to us to make ${ }^{\text {known }}$ Whatever you may wish. We also shall do this, and shall consider what is yours as our own, and what is ours we shall also share with you. ${ }^{h}$ Demoteles, ${ }^{i}$ the courier, ${ }^{j}$ is bringing this letter ${ }^{k}$ to you. The writing is square. ${ }^{l}$ The seal is an cagle holding fast a serpent ${ }^{m}$."
(II) Such, then, were the contents of the letter Hyrcams sent by the Lacedaemonian king. Now on the death withiraws

Onias III instead of Onias 1, who was high priest c. 300 в.c. ( $c f$. $A n t$ xi. 347).
' 1 Macc. Spartiates. (We must remember that the text of 1 Macc. here is a Greek translation of a Hebrew translation of the original Greek letter.)
"So 1 Mace. : cf. Ant. xiv, 255 where the Pergamenes mention the friendship existing between their ancestors and the Jews in the time of Abraham.
${ }^{n}$ The next three sentences are not found in 1 Macc.

- Reinach suggests that the name Demoteles is borrowed from Xenophon, Hell. vii. I. 32, where it is given to a Spartan herald (who acts as a messenger).
"Lit. "who is carrying the letter."
${ }^{k}$ émaorodàs here, like Lat. litterae, has the force of a singular.
"Or perhaps "written on a square sheet" as Hudson suggests and Whiston translates.
${ }^{m}$ This design was common on seals of the ancient Near East.
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 229 ठ८́є́ттך тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os. каi oi $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon i o v s$ тоîs $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma-$ ßuтє́poıs avvє $\mu a ́ \chi o u v$ каi ó ảpхıерєùs इíp $\omega \nu$ סıà







 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ катаขтєкрv̀ $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ öpovs $\pi \epsilon \in \tau \rho a s, \delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\omega} \nu$ av̉ $\bar{\eta} s$




$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{3} \pi_{\rho \rho \sigma \text { éxov }} \text { P: sinistram extensionem Lat. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^44]
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of Joseph there arose factional strife among the people fortress in on account of his sons. ${ }^{a}$ For the elderbbrothers made Trans. war'on Hyrcanus, who was the youngest of Joseph's children, and the population "was divided"into two camps. And the majority fought on the "side of the elder*brothers, as did the high priest Simon because of kinship with them. ${ }^{b}$ "Hyrcanus, therefore, gave up his intention of returning to Jerusalem, and settled in the country across the Jordan, where he continually ${ }^{2}$ warred on the Arabs until he killed many of them and took many captive. And he built a strong fortress, ${ }^{c}$ which was constructed entirely of white marble up to the very roof, ${ }^{d}$ and had beastsof gigantic size carved on it, e and he enclosed it with a wide and *deep moat. He also cut'through the projecting rock opposite the mountain, and made caves many stades in length; then he made chambers in it, some for banqueting and others for sleeping and living, and ever, that by ovryévecav Josephus means something like "common interests."
' The ruins of what was probably Hyreanus' fortress have been diseovered at 'Arāk el-'Emïr in 'Transjordan, c. 10 miles N.W. of Heshbon and $c .12$ miles E. of the Jordan ; they are fully deseribed in Publications of the Princeton Archaeological Expedition to Syria in 1901/5. Momigliano points out, ITobiadi, pp. 170 ff., that Josephus is mistaken in saying that Hyrcanus built the fortress, since, as the Zenon papyri show, there was a fortress (Gr. Bāpts, Aram. birtā) there as carly as the time of 1'tolemy I'hiladelphus. The name Töbiyāh (Tobiah) inserihed on the rock in one of the caves nearly probably refers to an carly Tobiad, perhaps to the Tobiah who was a contemporary of Nehemiah.
"Variant " down to the very ground." The context (sce next note) secms to favour the reading adopted above.

- The arehacological finds reveal a frieze of lions running aeross the wall just helow the roof, of. C. Watzinger, Denkmäler Palästinas ii., 1935, Tafel 22 (reconstruction after Butler).
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 $233 \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \kappa о \delta o ́ \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ठ̇̀ каi aủ入às $\tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \iota$ ठıа－
 каi тоьои̂тоv ảтєрүаба́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оs тòv тóто⿱ Túpov
 ＇Apaßias каi $\tau \eta ̂ s ~ ' I o v \delta a i a s, \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v}$＇Iopסávov，




 Пто入єцаîos ó т̂̂S Aívúntov ßaoı入єv́s，каi aùzòs



 ＇Avтíoхov，каi $\delta \epsilon i \sigma a s ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ бv $\lambda \lambda \eta \phi \theta \epsilon i s ~ \dot{v} \pi$＇aủтô̂


$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { єiб九є́vą FLV. }{ }^{2} \text { каі опı. FV'M. } \\
& { }^{3} \text { âs каi FLV : кai rell. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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he let into it an abundance of running water, which was both a delight and an ornament to his countryestate. ${ }^{a}$ The entrances of the caves, however, he made narrower, so that only one person and no more could enter at one time; and this arrangement he made deliberately for the sake of safety, in order to aroid the danger of being besieged and taken by this brothers. In addition he also built enclosures remarkable for their size, and adorned them with vast parks. And when he had completed the place in this manner, he named it ${ }^{\text {T Tyre }}{ }^{b}$ This place is between Arabia and Judaea, across the Jordan, not far from Essebonitis. ${ }^{c}$ And hequled over those parts for seven years, during allothe time that Seleucus reigned over Asia. ${ }^{d}$ Now when this king died, his brother'Antiochus, surnamed Epiphanes;"occupied the throne after him. And ${ }^{-}$Ptolemy, the king of Egypt, who was also surnamed Epiphanes, 'died, e leaving two sons who were still quite young, the elder being called Philometor, ${ }^{f}$ and the younger Physcon. ${ }^{g}$ As for ollyrcanus, seeing how great was the power which Antiochus had, and fearing that he might be captured by him and punished for what he had done to the
c Bibl. Heshbon, cf. § 229 note $c$.
d Josephus' language is careless here ; Seleucus IV ruled from 187 to 175 b.c. $=13$ years. Possibly, as Otto suggests, P'I' ix. 530 , he means that the 7 ycars of Ilyrcanus' rule over Transjordan all came within the limits of Seleucus' reign.
${ }^{e}$ Here too Josephus is somewhat careless, since Ptolemy Epiphanes died in 181 b.c., a few years before the accession of Antiochus Epiphanes to the Seleucid throne.
' He reigned from 181 to 145 B.c. (during part of which time his brother l'hyscon contested his right to the throne).

- He reigned from 145 to 116 b.c., his official surname being Eucrgetes (II).


## JOSEPHUS

 $\tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta ' ~ o v ̉ \sigma i ́ a \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o u ̂ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ ' A \nu \tau i ́ o x o s ~ \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v e \iota . ~$



 тà $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ то̂ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ \tau о u ́ \tau o v ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ к а \sigma \tau а . ~$


 à $\delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega}$ 'Ovía $\tau о$ v̌vo $\mu a$. $\sum i \mu \omega \nu$ रà $\rho$ oûтoı $\tau \rho \in i ̂ s$
 239 «єршбúvך, каӨ̀̀s $\delta \epsilon \delta \eta \lambda \omega ́ \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$. ó $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu$ oûv 'I $\eta \sigma \sigma 0 \hat{S}$
 Mєvé入aos. $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau o s ~ o u ̂ v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v ~ a ̉ \rho \chi-~$ «єрє́ $\omega \mathrm{s}$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ к а \tau а \sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha ~$




$$
{ }^{1}+{ }^{\prime} I \eta \sigma o \hat{~ P A M W ' . ~}
$$
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Arabs, he ended his life by his own hand. And all his property was seized by Antiochus. ${ }^{a}$
(v.1) About this same time the high priest'Onias ${ }^{b}$ also "died, and Antiochus gave the high' priesthood ${ }^{\text {bto }}$ his brother ${ }^{c}$; for the son whom Onias had left was still an infant. But we shall relate all the facts con- Antiphanes. cerning this son ${ }^{d}$ in the proper place. ${ }^{e}$ Jesus, how-ever,-this was the brother of Onias-was \&deprived of the high-priesthood when the king became angry with him and gave it ${ }^{\text {to }}$ o his youngest brother, named 'Onias ; for Simon had three sons, and the high-priesthood came to all three of them, as we have shown. ${ }^{f}$ Now Jesus changed his name to $\uplus$ Jason, while Onias was called Menelaus. ${ }^{g}$ And when the former high priest Jesus rose against Menelaus, who was appointed after him, the populace was divided between the two, the Tobiads being on the side of Menelaus, while the majority of the people supported Jason ${ }^{h}$; and being of Benjauln ( $=$ Miniamin ! cf. $\mathscr{2}$ Chron. xxxi. 15). Moreover, in contrast to what Josephus writes below about Jason expelling the Tobiads from Jerusalem, he says in B.J. i. 31 that it was Onias "one of the chief priests" who did so. A nother difficulty is that Josephus's language in the following sentence, " and when the former high priest Jesus rose against Menclaus, who was appointed after him," seems to indicate that Menelaus was not a brother of Jason. On the relation of this confused passage (which several scholars, including E. Meyer, think worthless) to other passages in Josephus and 2 Macc. see the works cited in Appendix G.
${ }^{n}$ Observe that above, in $\S 229$, the majority of the perple (in Jerusalem) sides with the (presumably) pro-Selencid Tobiads against the pro-Ptolemaic Hyreanus, while here the majority sides with Jason against the pro-Selencid Tohiads and Menelaus (Jason, of comrse, after his deposition by Antiochus must have become at least passively pro-P'tolemaic). Thus, according to Josephus here, the sympathy of the majority in Jerusalem changed from the Seleneids to the I'tolemies sometime after the aceession of Antiochus.
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 каi oi $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s$ oi rồ Twßiov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \nu \tau i ́ o \chi o v ~$
 $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ o u s ~ v o ́ \mu o u s ~ \kappa а \tau а \lambda \iota \pi o ́ v \tau \epsilon S ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \kappa а \tau ' ~ a u ̉ \tau о u ̀ s ~$



 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta ̀ \nu$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \nu \psi \alpha \nu$, $\dot{\omega} s a ̈ \nu \epsilon i \epsilon \nu$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \eta ̀ \nu$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota \alpha \quad \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ є́ $\mu \mu о \hat{v} v \tau о \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda_{0} \in \theta v \hat{\omega} \nu^{1}$











$$
{ }^{1} \mathrm{FLV}: \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \epsilon^{\epsilon} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \text { rell. }
$$

${ }^{a}$ At this point begins Josephus's paraphrase of 1 Maccabees (i. 14-xiii. 42), which continues to Ant. xiii. 214 (cf. note ad loc. on his omission of the last three chapters of 1 Macc.). The English reader will find a convenient translation with commentary by W. Oesterley in CAP i. 1-66; the older commentary by C. Grimm in O. Fritzsche, Furzgefasstes exeget isches Mandbuch $z u$ den Apokryphen, 1553, is still valuable; also useful is a recent German translation with commentary by H. Bévenot, Die beiden Makkabüerbücher (in Feldmann und Herkenne, Die heilige Schrift), 1931: the topography of the Maccabcan campaigns is discussed by Pere F. Abel in RB xxii-xxv, 1923-1926. For works on the 122
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hard pressed by him, Menelaus and the Tobiads with- The helledrew, and going to Antiochus informed him that they wished to abandon their country's laws and the way of life prescribed by these, and to follow the king's Epiphanes. laws and adopt the Greek way of life. ${ }^{a}$ Accordingly, they petitioned him to permit them to buildra gymnasium in Jerusalem. And when he had granted this, they also concealed the circumcision of their private parts in order to be Greeks even when runclothed, and giving up whatever other national customs they had, they imitated the practices of foreign nations. ${ }^{b}$
(2) ${ }^{c}$ But Antiochus, in whose own kingdom things were going well, determined'to march 'against Egypt because he coveted it and also because he held in contempt the sons of Ptolemy, who were still weak and

The Romans frustrate Antiochus' attempt on Egypt. 1 Mace. i. 16 . not yet able to govern so great a state. And so he arrived at Pelusium with a great force, ${ }^{d}$ and circumrenting Ptolemy Philometor by cunning, occupied Egypt ; and after he had arrived in the neighbour-
chronology and the date of the beginning of the Seleucid era used in 1 Macc. see Appendix J. I follow Bickermann in taking Oct. 312 в.c. as the beginning of the era for events of Seleucid history, and Nisan (roughly April) 311 b.c. for events of internal Jewish history.

- 1 Macc. i. 11 ff . places these attempts at hellenization in " those days," i.e. after the accession of Antiochus Epiphanes, while 2 Macc. iv. 10 ff . ascribes them to the high priest Jason, earlier, in the reign of Seleucus IV. Tscherikower, p. 205, makes the interesting suggestion that Jason and the hellenizers really wanted to establish a Greek polis in Jerusalem in order to secure the economic privileges enjoyed by Hellenistic citics.
c In the following section, $\$ \$ 242-245$, Josephus supplements from Greek sources the brief account of Antiochus' expeditions to Egypt griven in 1 Macc. i. 17-19.
${ }^{d}$ In the suminer of 169 в.c.
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$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \rho \mu \eta \sigma \in \nu$ є่ $\pi i \quad \tau \eta ̀ \nu$＇$A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \prime \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ ，凶́s то入ьоркía тарабтךбо́ $\mu \in \nu$ оs аv่тウ̀v каi то̀v
 єкроv́ $\theta \eta \delta^{\prime}$ оvं $\tau \hat{\eta} s$＇$А \lambda \epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \mu o ́ v o v ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda i ̀ ~ к а i ~$


 катà $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon ́ a, ~ \grave{s ~ \tau \eta ́ v ~}$ $\tau \epsilon$＇Iovסaíav є’ $\chi є \iota \rho \omega ́ \sigma a \tau о$ каi тòv vaóv．Є’v $\gamma$ àp $\tau \hat{\eta}$

 $\alpha \kappa \rho \iota \beta \in \grave{s}$ av่т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\pi \alpha \nu \in \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \quad \dot{v} \phi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \iota \nu$.
 $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ ' P \omega \mu a i ́ \omega \nu$ б́́os ó $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u ̀ s ~ ' A v \tau i ́ o \chi o s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ~$

 каi трі́тш $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тоѝs àтò $\sum є \lambda \epsilon u ́ к о v ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon i ̀ s ~$


 $\pi о \lambda \lambda$ ov̀s ảтє́ктєıvє т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ тảvavтía фроvov́vт $\omega \nu$ ，каi $\chi \rho \eta ́ \mu a \tau a$ то入入̀̀ $\sigma v \lambda \eta \prime \sigma a s ~ v i \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon \nu$ єis＇Avтıó－ $\chi \in \iota a \nu$ ．
${ }^{1}$ toírve E：om．PLAMiW．
${ }^{a}$ P＇tolemy Physcon．
${ }^{b}$ On the ultimatum delivered to Antiochns by（iains Popilins Laenas，the head of the Roman embassy，see I＇olyb． xxix．27．＇This，however，was in 168 в．c．，$f f$ ．note $d$ below．
c Josephus is apparently referring to the very bricf state－ ment in B．J．i． $3 t$ that＂when Antiochus Epiphanes was dis－ puting with Ptolemy VI the suzerainty of Syria，dissension arose among the Jewish nobles，＂althongh nothing is said there abont the intervention of the liomans．Some scholars． therefore，consider this one of the non－verifiable cross－ 124

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 243-247

hood of Memphis and taken possession of this city, he set out for Alexandria with the intention of reducing it by siege and getting into his power the Ptolemy ${ }^{a}$ who was reigning there. He was, however, repelled not only from Alexandria, but also from the whole of Egypt, for the Romans instructed him to keep away from the country, ${ }^{b}$ as we have already related somewhere in an earlier passage. ${ }^{c}$ But I shall give a detailed aecount of this king, how he became master of Judaea and the temple ; for since in my first work I mentioned these things only in summary fashion, I have thought it necessary now to go back and give a more exact aecount of them.
(3) King Antiochus, then, returning from Egypt through fear of the Romans, marched against the city of Jerusalem, and entering it in the hundred and forty-third year of the Seleucid reign, ${ }^{d}$ took the city without a battle, for the gates were opened to him by those who were of his party. And having become master of Jerusalem in this way, he killed many of those who were in opposition, ${ }^{e}$ and taking large sums of money as spoil, ${ }^{f}$ he returned to Antioch.
references (on which see the Appendix in the last volume of this translation). Even if Josephus is referring to a passage which is either non-existent or non-extant, it may he that in doing so he was under the impression that he had written about the liomans and Antiochus in B.J., but did not take the trouble to look up the earlier passage. I hardly think that Reinach, following Destinon, is justified in labelling the present crossreference an "étourderie," and holding that the formnla " as we have already related, ete." is mechanically copied from his source, since he is here apparently paraphrasing the source, not copying it verbatim.
${ }^{d}$ In the autumn of 169 b.c. after his first campaign in Egypt but before the Romans intervened.
-Cf. B.J. i. 32, "slew a large number of P'tolemy's followers."
${ }^{1}$ Cf. note e, p. 196.
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 каi $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \hat{\eta}$ каi $\tau \rho i \not \tau \eta, \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ סvvá $\mu \epsilon \omega s$ àvaßŋ̄vaı тòv ßабı入є́a єís＇Iєробó入vца каi троб－ $\pi о \not \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu=\nu \quad \epsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\eta \eta \nu \quad \dot{a} \pi a ́ \tau \eta \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s, ~}$







 Өvo九aбтท́pıa，каі $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ кататєтабرа́т $\omega \nu$ àто－


 1 W＇：＇E乡єлє́ous P：Zadaıồs F ：Zadєov̂s V．
a 168 в．с．The 145 th yr ．Scl．extended from Oct． 168 to Oct． 167 b．c．：the second invasion，therefore，was only a little more than a year afler the first，according to our reckon－ ing，not＂two years later，＂as the first invasion fell in the second half of the 143 rd yr．Sel．and the second invasion in the first half of the 145th yr．Sel．，but the interval was counted as 2 yrs ．See further Bickermann，GM，pp．160－168．
＂The mss．of 1 Macc．i．5t have＂15th day，＂but this is an error for＂ 25 th day，＂as is shown by other passages in 1 Nace．and by Jewish tradition．
r Heh．Kislew，roughly December．
${ }^{\text {d }}$ On the equation Chaslen－A pellaios，cf．Ant．xi． 148 note $e$ ．
－The 153 rd Olympiad（not mentioned in 1 Macc．）extended 126
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(4) Two years later, as it happened, in the hundred and forty-fifth year, ${ }^{a}$ on the twenty-fifth ${ }^{b}$ day of the month which by us is called Chasleu, ${ }^{c}$ and by the Macedonians Apellaios, ${ }^{,}$in the hundred and fiftythird Olympiad, $e$ the king went up to Jerusalem, and by pretending to offer peace, overcame the city by otreachery. But on this occasion he did not spare even those who admitted him, because of the wealth of the temple, ${ }^{f}$ but through greed --for he saw much gold in the temple and an array of very costly dedicatoryofferings of other kinds-, and for the sake of taking this as spoil, he went so far as to violate the treaty which he had made with them. And so he stripped the temple, carrying off the vessels of God, the golden lampstands and the golden altar and table and the other altars, and not even forbearing to take the curtains, which were made of fine linen and scarlet, ${ }^{g}$ and he also emptied the temple of its hidden treasures, from July 168 to July 164 b.c. The events of the 25th of Kislew, however, were concerned with the desecration of the temple according to 1 Macc., not with Antiochus' plundering of the temple treasury and carrying off the temple vessels, which occurred in his first invasion of Jerusalem in 169 в.с. Moreover the desecration and massacre in 168 в.c. were not directed by Antiochus personally, but by his " chicf tribute-collector " (äpरovтa фopoخoरias) according to 1 Macc. i. 20. This official is to be identified with Apollonius the Mysarch (commander of the Mysian guard, cf. Polyb. xxx. 25. 3) mentioned in 2 Macc. v. 24 (the reading ápXovza фopodorias in 1 Macc. was plausibly explained many years ago by Hitzig as arising from Heb. க́ar ham-missìm," chief of taxes," for śar ham-másim, " chief of the Mysians ").
' i.e. on the first occasion Antiochus had spared some of the inhabitants because he hoped through them to get the wealth of the temple. The Gr. may, however, mean that on this occasion his greed caused him to kill even his Jewish partisans.

- The material of the curtains is not mentioned in 1 Macc.


## JOSEPHUS




 каi $\delta \iota \alpha \rho \pi \alpha ́ \sigma a s ~ \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ่ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi-$

 $252 \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os．є่vє́ $\pi \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ аv̇т $\bar{\varsigma} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ кád－




 äкра каi то仑̂ $\pi \lambda \eta$ خ́ $\theta$ os oi ả $\sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i ̂ s ~ к а i ~ \pi о \nu \eta \rho о i ~ \tau о ̀ \nu ~$ $\tau \rho о ́ \pi о \nu, ~ \dot{v} \phi ’ \hat{\omega} \nu$ mo 入入̀̀ каi $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha}$ тоùs то入iтаs


a All this plunder was taken in the first invasion，accord－ ing to 1 Mace．i． 20 ff ．，off．above，$\$ 248$ note $e$ ．
${ }^{5}$ The number of those killed is not specified in 1 Mace．
c 1 Mace．i． 31 has merely＂burned it＂（the city）．
d Schiirer，i． 198 note 37，is probably right in assuming that this Seleucid citadel was built on the southern spur of the eastern hill，south of the temple（cf．Ant．vii．62 notes），and 128
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and left nothing at all behind, ${ }^{a}$ thereby throwing the Jews into deep mourning. Moreover he forbade them to offer the daily sacrifices which they used to offer to God in accordance with their law, and after plundering the entire city, he killed some of the people, and some he took captive together with their wives and children, so that the number of those taken alive came to some ten thousand. ${ }^{b}$ And he burnt the finest parts ${ }^{c}$ of the city, and pulling down the walls, built the Akra (citadel) in the Lower City ${ }^{d}$; for it was high enough to overlook the temple, and it was for this reason that he fortified it with high walls and towers, and stationed a Macedonian garrison therein. Nonetheless there remained in the Akra those of the people who were impious and of bad character, and at their hands the citizens were destined to suffer many terrible things.e The king also built a pagan altar upon the temple-altar, and
distinguishing it from the earlier, Persian and pre-Hasmonacan citadel north of the temple ( $c f$. Neh. ii. 8 ff ., Aristeas $\$ \S 100 \mathrm{ff}$., Ant. xii. 133 ff ., 2 Mace. iv. 12 ff .) which was rebuilt by the later Hasmonaeans (cf. Ant. xv. 403) and again by Herod, who named it Antonia ( $c f$. Ant. xviii. 91, B.J. v. 238 ff . ; its site and structure have recently been discussed by Père H. Vincent in $R B$ xlii., 1933, pp. 83-113). The Scleucid eitadel is located on the southern spur of the eastern hill also by E. Meyer, Ursprung ii. 158, Dalman, Sucred Sites, p. 273 ; $c f$. also Tramontano, pp. 86 ff., who agrees substantially with Schiirer.

- 1 Mace. i. 34 says that the citadel was occupied by an
 men," probably intending the latter phrase to be in apposition with the "sinful nation" and meaning the Syrians. Josephus, however, assumes that two different groups are meant, the Syrian soldiers and the renegade Jews; the latter are mentioned separately in vs. 52 as the cause of much evil to the land. See further Bickermann, GM, pp. 71-73.
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$\kappa а \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \phi a \xi \epsilon, ~ \theta v \sigma i ́ a \nu ~ o v ่ ~ v o ́ \mu ı \mu о v ~ o v ̉ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \pi a ́ т \rho ı o v ~ \tau \eta ी ~$









 $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iov $\delta a i ́ \omega \nu$ oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ є́коขтi oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каi $\delta i$ '

 тато九 каi $\tau$ às $\psi v \chi a ̀ s ~ \epsilon v j \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ o u ̉ k ~ \epsilon ́ \phi \rho o ́ v \tau \iota \sigma a \nu ~$





 रvvaîкаs каi $\tau о \grave{s} \pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ a v ่ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ov̂s $\pi \epsilon p$ เє́ $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \circ \nu$
 ${ }^{1}$ пробध́ta̧̧ev om. PFV.
${ }^{2}$ кódaaı l'FLV' Lat. (vid.). ${ }^{3}$ úmoф'́povtes FLV'.


${ }^{a} 1$ Mace. does not mention the sacrifice of swine on the temple-altar (although i. 44 ff . might be taken to imply this, since the sacrifice of swine in "the cities of Judah" is mentioned in connexion with the profanation of the temple) ; vs. 54 speraks of the erection on the temple-altar of a $\beta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \nu \gamma \mu \alpha$ $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$, which is the Gr. equivalent of the siqquis mésomém A.V." abomination that maketh desolate ") in Dan. xi. 31, xii. 11; this term, according to Nestle (ap. Montgomery, 130
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slaughtered swine thereon, ${ }^{a}$ thereby practising a form of sacrifice neither lawful nor native to the religion of the Jews. And he compelled them to The syrians give up the worship of their own God, and to do persecute reverence to the gods in whom he believed; he then 1 Macc. i. 44. commanded them to build sacred places in every city and village, and to set up-bltars on which to sacrifice swine daily. He also ordered them not to circumcise their children, threatening to punish anyone who might be found acting contrary to these orders. He also appointed overseers who should assist in compelling them to carry out his instructions. And so, many of the Jews, some willingly, others through fear of the punishment which had been prescribed, followed the practices ordained by the king, but the worthiest people and those of noble soul disregarded him, and held their country's customs of greater account than the punishment with which he threatened them if they disobeyed; and being on that account maltreated daily, and enduring bitter torments, they met their death. Indeed, they were whipped, their bodies were mutilated, ${ }^{b}$ and while still alive and breathing, they were crucified, ${ }^{c}$ while their wives and the sons whom they had circumcised in despite of the king's wishes were strangled, the children being made to Dan., p. 388), is " a contemptuous surrogate for the name of the highest pagan deity "- in this case, Zeus Olympios, cf. 2 Mace. vi. 2. The swine is also mentioned by Posidonius, fr. 109 FGH 2A, and in the rabbinic work on the Maccabaean revolt, called Megillath Beth Has̈mônai or Megillath 'Antìókíss (ed. Kahana, Siphrûth ha-histōriā hay-yisrä'ēlith, 1923 i. 17).
"One ms. adds, " and bravely suffering all manner of terrible things."
${ }^{c}$ The scourging and crucifixion are not mentioned in 1 Macc.
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 какоі как $\omega$ s д̀ $\pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \lambda \nu \nu \tau т$.








 259 इıкípoıs $\sum \iota \delta \omega \nu i \omega \nu$. оi ท̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ \gamma o v o 九 ~ \delta \iota a ́ ~$




 260 oas Avaias. бô̂ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тoîs 'Iovסaious тท̂s movnpías

 тоьєî є́кєivoıs, таîs ó $\mu$ oíaıs aitíaıs $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a ́ \pi \tau о v \sigma \iota \nu,{ }^{2}$


${ }^{1}$ aủxнoùs $\left.\tau \eta ̂ s ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a s\right] ~ \sigma v \chi v o u ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~(~ \tau . ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ a u \chi \nu o u ̀ s ~$ tr. F) doouov่s FLV Lat. : av̉ $\chi \mu$ ov̀s E.
${ }^{2} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha ́ \pi т о \nu \sigma \iota \mathrm{PA} \ W \mathrm{~W}$.
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hang from the necks of their crucified parents. And wherever a sacred book or copy of the Law was found, it was destroy ed ; as for those in whose possession it was found, they too, poor wretches, wretchedly perished.
(5) ${ }^{a}$ But when the Samaritans saw the Jews The suffering these misfortunes, they would no longer appeal to admit that they were their kin or that the temple on Antiochus Garizein was that of the Most Great God, thereby acting in accordance with their nature, as we have shown ${ }^{b}$; they also said they were colonists from the Medes and Persians, and they are, in fact, colonists from these peoples. Accordingly, they sent envoys to Antiochus with a letter in which they made the following statements. "To King Antiochus Theos Epiphanes, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ a memorial from the Sidonians in Shechem. ${ }^{d}$ Our forefathers because of certain droughts ${ }^{e}$ in their country, and following a certain ancient superstition, ${ }^{f}$ made it a custom to observe the day which is called the Sabbath by the Jews, and they erected a temple without a name on the mountain called Garizein, and there offcred the appropriate sacrifices. Now you have dealt with the Jews as their wickedness deserves, but the king's officers, in the belief that we follow the same practices as they through kinship, with them, are involving us in similar charges, whereas we are Sidonians by origin, as is evident from our state documents. We therefore
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 $\pi \rho о \sigma a ́ \pi \tau о v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iovסaícv aitias, $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi



 '́ Хоvтєs $\mu \epsilon i$ íovás $\sigma o \iota ~ \pi о \iota \eta ́ \sigma o \mu \epsilon v ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma o ́ \delta o v s . " ~ " ~$




 $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ن́m' aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö $\tau \iota \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} v$ тoîs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iovסaic $\nu$
 ${ }^{1}$ इa $\mu \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ FLV.

- Probably to be identified with Apollonius the Mysarch sent to Jerusalem by Antiochus in 168 в.c., cf. $\S 248$ note $e$. Willrich, Urkundenfälschung, p. 15, believes that Josephus here designates Apollonius governor (meridarch) of Samaria on the basis of 1 Macc. iii. 10, which says that A pollonius set out from Samaria with an army to attack Judaca.
${ }^{b}$ Grimm identifies Nicanor with the Nicanor, son of Patrochus, mentioned in 2 Mace. viii. 9 ff. ( $c f . \S 298$ note $h$ ) as one of the king's ministers who hoped to raise money for the payment of the Seleucid indemnity to Rome by selling Jewish captives as slaves.
c Zeus Xenios (" protector of strangers ") according to 2 Mace. vi. 2. The latter reading is preferred by Cook, Rel., p. 188, who writes, " the hospitable Zeus would reflect oriental ideas illustrated both in the old 'covenant god' of Shechem and in Allah as the protector of guest-clients" ; so, earlier, 134
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petition you as our benefactor and saviour to command Apollonius, the governor of the district, ${ }^{a}$ and Nicanor, the royal agent, ${ }^{b}$ not to molest us in any way by attaching to us the charges of which the Jews are guilty, since we are distinct ${ }^{6}$ from them both in race and invcustoms, and we ask that the temple without a name be known as that of Zeus Hellenios.c For if this be done, we shall cease to be molested, and by applying ourselves to our work in security, we shall make your revenues greater." To this petition of the Samarians the king wrote the following reply. "King Antiochus to Nicanor. The Sidonians in Shechem have submitted a memorial which has been filed. ${ }^{d}$ Now since the men sent by them have represented to us sitting in council with our friends that they are in no way concerned in the complaints brought against the Jews, but choose to live in
Freudenthal, Mell. Stud., p. it note, on the ground that the Samaritans would have worshipped the Phoenician BaalMoloch (cf. Ant. viii. 145 note $d$ ) in the form of Zeus Xenios. Montgomery, Sam., p. if note, makes the interesting conjecture that the epithet Xenios " may have been suggested by the first syllable of Gerizim, ger, i.e. 'stranger'" (in this connexion we may note that a Samaritan writer, " PseudoEupolemus," ap. Eusebius, Praep. Evang. ix. 17, interprets the name Mount Gerizim-'Aprapıらiv as ópos vifíarou " Mount of the Most High "; this, of course, does not decide the question which epithet is correct). The epithet Hellenios would be the probable choice only if the Samaritans were stressing their Greek sympathies (cf. § 263 and Ant. xi. 344) while Xenios would be suitable whether they thought primarily of their Greek sympathies or their Phoenician (or Shechemite) origin. On the whole, Xenios is more likely to have beer correct.
"Or perhaps " the memorial (memorandum) herewith noted (or " cnclosed ")"; on the meaning of катахшрiک() cf. Preisigke, Facheörter, p. 107, and Wclles, Royal Corr., pp. 101 f., 181.
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 каıбєка́тך.






${ }^{1}$ каі є́катобтิ̂ add. Vaillant: om. cold. Lat.
${ }^{2}$ Hyrcani Lat. : ont. cd. pr.
${ }^{3}{ }^{3} \omega \delta \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\mu}$ FVV Silas: M $\omega \delta a \epsilon \epsilon \hat{L} \mathrm{~L}^{1} \Lambda \mathrm{MW}$ : $\mathrm{N} \omega \delta \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{L}^{2}$ : M $\omega \delta \in \hat{\eta} \mathrm{E}$ : Morin Lat.: M $\quad$ ode it Exc.
${ }^{4}$ FLV : 'lew amos vel.: lab out Iobab Lat.
${ }^{5}$ Wadis FAM: Ta $\delta \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ Exc.
${ }^{6}$ ©atis LAM : Ma Mathias Lat.: ©á tess Exc.: ©áoos Syneełlus.
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accordance with Greek customs, we acquit them of these eharges, and permit their temple to be known as that of Zeus Hellenios, as they have petitioned." In this fashion he also wrote to A pollonius, the districtgovernor, in the hundred ${ }^{a}$ and forty-sixth year, ${ }^{b}$ on the eighteenth of the month Hekatombaion Hyrkanios. ${ }^{\text {c }}$
(vi. 1) At this same time there was a man living Mattathias in the village ${ }^{d}$ of Modai ${ }^{e}$ in Judaea, named Matta- and his thias, the son of Joannes, the son of Symeon, the son 1 Mace. ii. 1 of Asamonaios, ${ }^{f}$ a priest of the course ${ }^{g}$ of Joarib ${ }^{h}$ and a native of Jerusalem. He had five sons, Joannes ealled Gaddes, ${ }^{i}$ Simon called Thatis, ${ }^{i}$ Judas called
"Hyrkanios" a corruption of "Kronios "? It seems strange, to be sure, that an Attic rather than a Macedonian month should be named in either passage, but Niese, Kritik, p. 107, argues that Antiochus " who introduced the $\Lambda$ ttic documentary style into Antioch" might well have adopted Attic month-names as well.
${ }^{d}$ Called a " city " ( $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ) in 1 Macc. ii. 15 ff .

- Variants Modeeim, Modaiei, Modin, etc. (most mss. in R.J. i. 36 have Modeein); 1 Macc. Modein; in rabbinic literature Môdêin or Môdt̂ith. Its site is the mod. Ras Medieh or el-Medieh, c. 7 miles S.E. of Lydda and c. 17 miles N.W. of Jerusalem.
f Hašmônai in rabbinic literature; he is not mentioned in 1 Macc. Josephus himself claims descent from the Hasmonaeans, Vita 2.
- I Macc. " of the sons." On the priestly courses cf. Ant. vii. 365 note $c$ and Vita 2 note $a$.
${ }^{n}$ So 1 Macc. $(=\mathrm{Heb}$. Yôyārib) ; variants Joab, Jobab.
- Variant Gaddis (so most mss. of 1 Mace.). This surname is connected by some scholars with Gad, the Semitic god of fortune.
${ }^{j}$ Variants Thathis, Matthis, etc. ; 1 Macc. 'Thassi. Winer ( 1 p . Grimm) long ago suggested a derivation from Aram. t'sas "boil, ferment," hence " the zealot," and so, more recently, Bévenot, but this etymology seems to me very farfetcherd.
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 av̇тoîs rival vim è $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \rho i \not \omega \nu ~ \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o \theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ v$







 каì тоѝs то入íтаs, каì $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau о и ิ \tau о ~ \tau є \mu \eta \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$









## ${ }^{1}$ Макаßаîos P: Machabeus Lat.

${ }^{2}$ 'Anфoûs LAMW': apus Lat.: $\Sigma a \pi \phi o u ̂ s ~ S y n c e l l u s . ~$
${ }^{3} \mathrm{FV}$ Lat. : áфó $\beta \omega \mathrm{s} \mathrm{P}: \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} s$ rel.
${ }^{4}$ є $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta \sigma ะ \mathrm{FLV}$.
${ }^{a}$ Gr. Makkabaios. Many different etymologies have been proposed for this name, the most widely accepted being from maqqūbāh, " hammer," hence "the Hammerer," but in view of our uncertainty whether the Heb. (or Aram.) form 138
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Maccabaeus, ${ }^{a}$ Eleazar called Auran, ${ }^{b}$ and Jonathan ${ }^{c}$ called Apphus. ${ }^{d}$ Now this Mattathias lamented to his sons over the state of things, the plundering of the city and the spoiling of the temple, and the misfortunes of the people, and said it was better for them to die for their country's laws than to live so ingloriously.e
(2) But there came to the village ${ }^{f}$ of Modai the Mattathias officers appointed by the king to compel the Jews to defies the carry out his ordinances, and they ordered the in- Antiochus habitants to sacrifice as the king had ordered; and as ${ }_{1}^{\text {Epiphaness }}$ Macc. ii. Mattathias was held in esteen because of various 15 . things and especially because of his goodly sons, they invited him to be the first to sacrifiee-for, they said his fellow-citizens would follow him, and for that reason he would be honoured by the king-, but Mattathias refused to do so, saying that even if all the other nations obeyed the commands of Antiochus whether through fear or through desire to please ${ }^{g}$ he himself and his sons would thever be persuaded to abandon ${ }^{4}$ heir native form of worship. But when he had ceased speaking, one of the Jews came forward and in their midst sacrificed as Antiochus had commanded, whereupon Mattathias in rage rushed upon
had the consonants $m q b y$ or $m k b y$, it seems unwise to accept any of those proposed.
${ }^{b} 1$ Nacc. Auaran. Grimm suggests a derivation from Heb. hur, "to penetrate," hence "the Borer."
${ }^{c}$ Gr. Jōnathès.
${ }^{d}$ Miehaelis (ap. Grimm) connects this with the Heb. and Syr. root lips, and interprets the name as " the cunning one" ("der Schlane "), but this root means " to dig, search," and it is difficult to understand the basis of his interpretation.
e Variants " impionsly " and (one ms.) " without fear."
1 Cf. $\$ 265$ note $d$.

- Variant " or through beneficence."
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$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ Є̇ $\chi о ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ котíठаs, каi av̇тóv $\tau \epsilon$

 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$, каi тòv $\beta \omega \mu o ̀ v$ каӨє $\lambda \grave{\nu} \nu$ àvє́ккра $\gamma \epsilon \nu$,

$$
271
$$







 Sє̀ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ oi $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ßaбı入є́ $\omega$ s $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \circ i$, cai $\tau \grave{\eta} v$

 273 тoùs 'Iovסaíous. каi ката入аßóvтєs тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о \nu$ av̀rov̀s є̇ $\pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon i \rho o v \nu \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu о \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau a s$ aipєî$\theta a \iota$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau \alpha$ каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ av̀тoîs àvá $\gamma \kappa \eta \nu$







 tibus Lat.

${ }^{4}$ oúdèv FLV Exc.
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hin with his sons, who had broad knives, ${ }^{a}$ and eut down the man himself, and also made an end of Apelles, ${ }^{b}$ the king's officer, who was compelling them to sacrifice, together with a few of his soldiers ${ }^{c}$; and after pulling down the pagan altar, he cried out, "Whoever is zealous for our country's laws and the worship of God, let him come with me !" So saying, he set out with his sons into the wilderness, ${ }^{d}$ leaving behind all his property in the village. And many others ${ }^{e}$ also did the same, and fled with their children and wives to the wilderness, where they lived in caves. But when the king's officers heard of this, they took as many soldiers as were then in the citadel of Jerusalem, $f$ and pursued the Jews into the wilderness ; and when they had bvertaken them, they tried at ${ }_{31}$. first*to persuader them to repent and choose a course which was for their own good, and not to bring upon the king's men the necessity of treating them in aecordance with the laws of war ${ }^{g}$; the Jews, however, did not accept their terms, but showed $a^{*}$ hoctile spirit, whereupon they attacked them on the Sabbathday and 解解 ${ }^{h}$ them in their caves, just as they were, for not only did the Jews not resist, but they
" 1 Mace. ii. 28, " into the hills," but the wilderness is mentioned in the next verse.
" Text emended after Zonaras: mss. "others" or " many."
${ }^{f} 1$ Mace. " the forces who were in Jerusalem, the city of David." Josephus must be right in assuming that the Alkra is there meant.

- Variant " treating thens as enemies in war."
n Variant "slaughtered"; 1 Macc. "killed." It is noteworthy that 2 Mace. vi. 11 speaks of refugee Jews being burned in the caves, to which they had fled to observe the Sabbath secretly, by the Phrygian gencral Philip, this being before the Maccabaean revolt.
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 $\epsilon v ่ \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ єis $\left.\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \prime \rho \iota \xi{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta \nu \eta\right) \cdot \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$ $\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ тov̀s oủ $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath \tau \epsilon \tau \mu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$ є̇кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$
 є́кßад⿳㇒⿵冂⿻丷木幺𠃌。


[^50]did not even stop up the entrances to the caves. And they forbore to resist because of the day, being unwilling to violate the dignity of the Sabbath even when in difficulties, for the law requires us to rest on that day. $\cdot$ And so about a thousand with their wives and children died by suffocation in the caves; but many escaped and joined Mattathias, whom they appointed their leader. And he instructed them to fight even on the Sabbath, saying that if for the sake of observing the law they failed to do so, they would be their own enemies, for their foes would attack them on that day, and unless they resisted, nothing would prevent them from all perishing without striking a blow. These words persuaded them, and to this day we continue the practice of fighting even on the Sabbath whenever it becomes necessary. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ So Mattathias gathered a large force round him, ${ }^{b}$ and pulled down the pagan altars, and killed as many of those who had sinned as he could lay his hands on-for many of them in fear of him had scattered among the neighbouring nations; and as for the boys who had not been circumcised, he ordered them to be circumcised, and drove out the officers who had been appointed to prevent this.
(3) But after being in command for a year, he fell initiative in this action is not attributed to Mattathias, and suggests that Josephus has here the intention of justifying his co-religionists for having fought on the Sablath in the war with Rome. But 1 Macc. does attribute the initiative to Mattathias and his friends, and just about as much stress is laid on this episode in 1 Macc. as in Josephus' text. I doubt, therefore, whether Reinach's point is well taken.
${ }^{6}$ Josephus omits any reference (rf. 1 Mace. ii. 42) to the ovvar $\omega$ خो 'Acidaiwv, "company of pions men (Heो). hasidim)," who joined Mattathias at this time: cf. also $\S 396$ note $d$.
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$\pi \rho о \sigma к а \lambda є i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau о ⿱ 亠 乂 ~ \pi а i ̂ \delta a s, ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon р \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma а ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma ~$ av̉тov̀s＂$\epsilon ่ \gamma \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ ，＂$\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$ ，＂${ }^{\hat{\omega}} \pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \delta \in S$ ，ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \mu \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$


 каі $\theta \rho \epsilon \psi а \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \pi \rho о \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \nexists \theta \eta ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \sigma \omega ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{a}$



 $\kappa \alpha i ~ a ̉ v a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi a ́ v \omega ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \psi v \chi a ̀ s ~ о и ̆ т \omega ~$










 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega}$ ，каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̀ ~ \tau \iota s ~ v i \mu \hat{\nu} \nu ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \phi v к \epsilon \nu ~ a ́ \mu \epsilon i v \omega \nu$

${ }^{1}$ тои́цò ф фо́vqua] patrias leges I ait.
${ }^{2}$ aj̧ious FV'Lat. : ásı $\omega \sigma a \iota$ W.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \circ \dot{v} s \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon i v a l] \dot{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{v} \mathrm{~V}$.
${ }^{\text {® }}$ aủzoîs I': aủz $\hat{\omega} \mathrm{F}:$ aúzò LVW: om. Lat.
${ }^{6} \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ r \in \iota$ LV Lat. (vid.).
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ill, and ealling his sons, made them stand round him, Mattathias and said, "I myself, my sons, am about to go the dying to destined way, but my spirit I leave in your keeping, his :ons. and I beg you not to be unworthy guardians of it, but Cf. 1 Macc. to be mindful of the purpose of him who begot you and brought you up, and to preserve bur country's customs and to restore our ancient form of government, which is in danger of passing away, and not to make common cause with those who are betraying it whether of their own will or through compulsion ; but since you are my sons, I wish you to remain constant as such ${ }^{a}$ and to be superior to all force and compulsion, being so prepared in spirit as to die for the laws, if need be, and bearing this in mind, that when the Deity sees you so disposed, He will not forget you, but in admiration of your heroism will give them ${ }^{b}$ back to you again, and will restore to you your liberty, in which you shall live securely and in the enjoyment of your own customs. ${ }^{c}$ For though our bodies are mortal and subject to death, we ${ }^{d}$ can, through the memory of our deeds, attain the heights of immortality ${ }^{e}$; it is this which I wish you to be in love with, and for its sake to pursue glory and undertake the greatest tasks and not shrink from giving up your lives for them. But most of all I urge you to be of one mind, and in whatever respect one of you is superior to the others, in that to yield to one another, and so make the best use of your several
c In the preceding Josephus converts into philosophical language what is in 1 Macc. a simple appeal by Mattathias to his sons to remember the heroism of the great national figures from Abraham to Daniel.
"Variant " they " (i.e. bodies).
e In I Macc. Nattathias refers not to brief mortality in general, but to the short-lived glory of wicked men.
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 $\theta \epsilon о \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i ̂ s$, каì $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \alpha \mu \nu \nu$ aúт $\hat{\nu} \nu^{1}$ aü $\xi \in \tau \epsilon$."







 $\pi \rho о \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega s$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ тоט́s $\tau \epsilon$


 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \bar{\eta} \nu$.






${ }^{1}$ av่ $\frac{1}{\omega} v$ Mss. : aú $\bar{\omega} \nu$ Capps.

$$
\text { a } 1 \text { Mace. " you." }
$$
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abilities. And since your "brother Simon excels in muderstanding, look upon him as your father, and follow whatever counsel he gives you; but Maccabaeus you shall take as commander of the army because of his courage and strength, for he ${ }^{a}$ will avenge our nation and will punish our enemies. And also admit to your ranks the righteous and pious, and so increase their ${ }^{b}$ power."
(4) Having addressed his sons in these words, he prayed to God to be their ally and to recover for the people its own way of life once more ${ }^{c}$; and not long afterwards he died and was buried in Modai, the entire people making great lamentation for him. And he was succeeded as first in authority by his son Judas, also known as Maccabaeus, in the hundred and forty-sixth year. ${ }^{d}$ Then Judas with the ready assistance of his brothers and others drove the enemy out of the country, and made an end of those of his countrymen who had violated their fathers' laws, and purified the land of all pollution.e
(vii. 1) Hearing of this, Apollonius, the governor of Victories of Samaria, ${ }^{f}$ took his force of men and set out against $\begin{gathered}\text { Judas over } \\ \text { Apollonius }\end{gathered}$ Judas. But Judas on meeting him and engaging him and seron. in battle defeated him, and killed many of the enemy, liii. 20. among them their general Apollonius himself, and taking as spoil the sword which Apollonius was then
" For aútề "their" Prof. Capps suggests aút $\omega$, " your own."
c 1 Macc. says merely, " he blessed them."
${ }^{d}$ The official 146th year Sel. extended from Oct. 167 to Oct. 166 в.c., by Jewish reckoning ( $c f$. § 240 note $a$ ), from April 166 to April 165 в.c.

- This last sentence is a brief summary of 1 Nacc. iii. 1-9 which poetically describes Judas' prowess.
${ }^{\prime}$ Probably the same as A pollonius the Mysarch of 2 . Iace., $c f . \S 248$ note $e$ and $\S 261$ note $a$.
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 $288 \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu \nu$ à $\nu \in \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．Ци́p $\omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ó $\tau \bar{\eta} S$ коílךs ミupías oтратךүós，àкои́баs öть то入入oi





 каi т $\mathrm{\omega} \nu$＇Iou



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ \delta ı a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ o ̉ \lambda \iota \gamma o ́ т \eta \tau а ~ к а i ~ \delta \iota ’ ~ a ̀ \sigma \iota-~$



## ${ }^{1} \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．．．кодáЦєเv om．E Lat．

${ }^{2}$ Niese：$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ cold．：perveniens Lat．

[^52]
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using, kept it for himself ${ }^{a}$; he also left more of them wounded, and after taking much booty from the camp of the enemy, he withdrew. But when Seron, who was governor of Coele-Syria, ${ }^{b}$ heard that many had joined themselves to Judas, and that he had already surrounded himself with a force to be reckoned with in a contest of war, he decided to march against him, considering it his duty to try to punish those who had violated the king's commands. He therefore gathered together whatever force he had, and having also enrolled the fugitives and irreligious men among the Jews, he came against Judas ; and having adranced ${ }^{c}$ as far as the village of Baithoron ${ }^{d}$ in Judaea, he encamped there. But Judas, meeting him there and intending to engage him, saw that his soldiers were shrinking from the battle because of their small number and lack of food-for they had fasted, , and so he began to encourage them, saying that victory and mastery
 this connexion, however, it may be worth while to mention Michaelis' suggestion (ap. Grimm) that Josephus' इíp $\omega \nu$, $\delta^{3}$
 sar hêl 'Arām "commander of the army of Syria," which Josephus, taking hel to mean "valley," translated "governor of Coele-Syria"; unfortunately, as Grimm remarks, hél (construct of hayl) does not mean " valley " in Hebrew (though we have hayle " valley " in Aramaic) ; this too may be an instance of Josephus' guessing at the Ifeb. (or Aram.) original underlying his Gr. text of 1 Macc. There can be no question of his use of a Gr. text ; whether he also had a Semitic text is doubtful.
" 1 Mace. "to the ascent of Baithoron," i.e. between Bethhoron the Úpper, mod. Beit-'̂r el-fôqâ, and Beth-horon the Lower, mod. Beit-' Or t!-taltit, c. 12 miles N.W. of Jerusalem, on the road which passes through Lydda and Jaffa.

- 1 Mace. " we have not eaten to-day."
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 vо́ $\mu \omega \nu$ каі тє́кขшv á $\gamma \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ к \iota s ~$
 29ㄴ ర́va

 さúpous．$\pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau o s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau о 仑 ̂ ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o v ̂ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ \phi \epsilon u ́-~$




 $\lambda \omega s ~ \omega ’ \rho \gamma i ́ \sigma \theta \eta$ тоîs $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \circ \iota s, \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \alpha \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu$


 $29+\epsilon$ єтєi ठє̀ тò $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ v ~ \delta \iota a \nu \epsilon i ́ \mu a s ~ \epsilon ́ c ́ p a ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \theta \eta-~$
 （ov̈тє $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oi фópo九 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S$ Є＇тє



## ${ }^{1}$ о́ктакьтхıíous $\mathrm{M}^{2} \mathrm{E}$ Lat．

a In 1 Macc．Judas does not allude to their forefathers； Jovephus may here be thinking of Mattathias＇dying speech， 1 Nace．ii． 50 ff ．，$r f . \S \$ 279 \mathrm{ff}$ ．
－I Mace．does not say explicitly that Seron fell，but that ＂Seron and his army were crushed．＂
＂ 1 Macc．＂to the land of the Philistines．＂
d Nothing is said at this point in 1 Macc．about＂mer－ cenaries from the islands，＂but they are mentioned later（vi．29） 150
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over the enemy lay not in numbers, but in being pious toward the Deity. And of this they had the clearest example in their forefathers, who because of their righteousness and their struggles on behalf of their own laws and children had many times defeated many tens of thousands ${ }^{a}$; for, he said, in doing no wrong there is a mighty force. By saying this he persuaded his men to hold in contempt the great numbers of their adversaries and to encounter Seron, and so, after engaging the Syrians, he routed them, for when their ecmmander fell, ${ }^{b}$ they all made haste to flee, thinking that their safety lay in that. But Judas pursued them as far as the plain. and killed about eight hundred of the enemy ; the rest, however, escaped to the sea-coast. ${ }^{c}$
(2) Hearing of this, King Antiochus was greatly incensed by what had happened, and having collected all of his own forces and taking with him many mercenaries from the islands, ${ }^{d}$ he made preparations to invade Judaea about the begiming of the spring. ${ }^{e}$ But when he had distributed the soldiers' pay, he saw

Antiochus Epiphanes sets ont for Persia, leaving. Lysias in command. 1 Mace. iii. 27. that his treasuries were failing and that there was a lack of money-for not all the tributc had been paid because of uprisings among the (subject) nations, ${ }^{f}$ and also, being munificent and liberal with gifts, he had not limited himself to his actual resources, ${ }^{9}$ -
in connexion with Antiochus Eupator. In bibl. language " the islands " usually include the Greek-speaking lands of the Mediterranean coasts.

- 165 в.c., cf. below, $\S 297$ note $f$.
' 1 Mace. " and the tribute of the country was small because of the dissension and harm which he had brought upon the land."
- The extravagance of Antiochus Epiphanes is vividly described in Polyb. xxvi. 1.
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Пєроíठa торєvөєis тov̀s фópous $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ \sigma v v-~$


 Eủфрáтоv ठєє́тоита ${ }^{2}$ тотаной каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$






 $\prod_{\epsilon \rho \sigma i \delta \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}}$ єкатобт仑̂ каi тєббаракобт仑̂ каі
 ảvє́ßaıvє $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ävш батратєías. ${ }^{.}$

${ }^{1}$ Post aủtب̂ excidisse verna mapaסov̀s aủtệ ex Lat. coli. Niese.
${ }^{2}$ ex Lat. Hudson: $\delta \iota \eta$ ю́коута cod.
${ }^{3}$ er $\omega$ ar adv out P .

${ }^{a}$ According to 1 Macc. iii. 32 Lysias was " of the family
 2 Mace. xi. 1, ovy $\bar{\in} \nu \eta^{\prime} s$ " kinsman (of the king)": this is not to be taken literally, but in the sense of a noble of high rank, cf. Bevan, H. Sel. ii. 281. He had lately been governor of Coele-Syria and Phoenicia, according to 2 Mace. x. It, and if that is true, Josephus is mistaken in calling Sermon governor of Coele-Syria at this time, cf. $\$ 288$ notes $b$ and $c$.
"Niese conjectures from the lat. that after "held in honour by him " the words " and gave him" have fallen out. But if we accept Ihadson's emendation (see next note), the text may be allowed to stand.
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and so he decided first to go to Persia and collect the tribute of that country. He therefore left in charge of the government a certain Lysias, ${ }^{\alpha}$ who was held in honour by him ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and ruled over the country from ${ }^{c}$ the Euphrates river as far as the borders of Egypt and Lower Asia, ${ }^{d}$ and he also left behind a part of his force and his elephants ; and he charged Lysias to bring up his son Antiochus ${ }^{e}$ with the greatest care until he returned, and when he had subdned Judaea and reduced its inhabitants to slavery, to make an end of Jerusalem and destroy the Jewish race. Having given these instructions to Lysias, King Antiochus marched away to Persia in the hundred and fort $y^{-s e v e n t h} y$ year, ${ }^{f}$ and after he had crossed the Euphrates, went on into the Upper Satrapies. ${ }^{g}$
(3) Thereupon Lysias chose Ptolemy, the son of
c If for Sıє́tovta " ruled over." conjectured by Hudson
 ing," we shall have to accept Niese's further conjeeture that the Gr. has lost two words after "held in honour by him," and read " and gave him the country extending from," etc.
""Lower Asia" is not mentioned in t Macc.; what Josephus means by the term is not clear.

- Antiochus V Eupator, who was only 9 ycars old, according to Appian, Syr. 45.
${ }^{1}$ The 147 th year Sel. extended from Oct. 166 to Oct. 165 n.c. Although 1 Maec. speaks of Persia, it probably refers to Antiochus' first eastern campaign, against Artaxias, king of Armenia, cf. Appian, Syr. 45, Diod. Sic. xxxi. 17. The passage in Tacitus, Hist. v. 8 probably refers to Antiochus Sidetes' expedition against the P'arthians (cf. Ant. xiii. 253), not to Antiochus Epiphanes, cf. W. Otto, Zur Geschichte der Zeit des 6. Ptolemäers (Abhandlungen der bayerischen Akademie der W'issenschaften. Phil.-hist. Abteilung, N.F. Heft 11). München, 1931, p. 85 note 3.
" 1 Nace. " the upper conntry" ( (às ėmáve xẃpas)-a tern used by Polybius and Arrian for Armenia, Media, Persia, etc.
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Dopunévovs кai Nıкávopa каi Гopriav, adv Spas



 299 ai' $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \delta \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ катабтритотє $\pi \epsilon$ v́ovта८. $\pi \rho о \sigma \gamma i-$






 'loúdas катаvoŋ́баs є'тєөөє тоѝs оiкєious $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$






${ }^{1}$ esr FV: in Lat.
" He bears the surname of Macron in 2 Macc. x. 12 ; he had been Egyptian governor of Cyprus under Ptolemy Philometor (Poly). xxvii. 13), but deserted to Antiochus Epiphanes (2. Macc. x. 13). Apparently he succeeded Lysias (or Seron?, cf. above, p. 152 note $a$ ).
${ }^{b}$ The son of Patroclus, according to 2 Macc. viii. 9. If he is the same person as the Nicanor sent against Judas by Demetrius, who is said to have escaped from lome with Demetrius in 162 в.c. ( 1 Macc. vii. $26=\$ 8402$ ff., cf. Polyp. xxxi. 14), we must suppose that he went to Rome some time after Lysias assumed the regency.
$15 t$

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 298-301

Dorymenes, ${ }^{a}$ and Nicanor ${ }^{b}$ and Gorgias, ${ }^{c}$ persons of Lysias sends power among the Friends ${ }^{d}$ of the king, and giving Ptolemy, over to them a force of forty thousand foot-soldiers and Gorgias and seven thousand horsemen, sent them out against Judaea. And when they had gone as far as the city ${ }_{\text {iii. }}^{1}$ Mace. of Emmaus, ${ }^{e}$ they encamped in the plain. Then there came to them allies from Syria and the surrounding territory, and many of the Jewish refugees, ${ }^{f}$ and also certain slave-dealers, who with the intention of buying the expected captives brought chains with which to bind those who might be taken, and a store of gold and silver to pay for them. But when Judas caught sight of the camp and the great numbers of his adversaries, he tried to persuade his own soldiers to have courage, and exhorted them to place their hopes of victory in God and to make supplication to Him dressed in sackeloth according to their ancestral custom, and by exhibiting to Him this form of supplication, usual in time of great danger, to constrain Him to grant them victory over their foes. ${ }^{9}$ Then he
c From what follows it appears that Gorgias most actively exercised the military command.
" "Friends" has here its technical meaning, cf. § 134 note $a$.

- The Christian Nicopolis; its site is the modern 'Amuas c. 15 miles N.W. of Jerusalem and c. 8 miles S.W. of Bethhoron the Lower. If this Emmaus is the same as that mentioned in Luke xxiv. 13, we must assume that Luke's reckoning of 60 stades (c. 7 miles) for its distance from Jerusalem is an crror (but a c.l. gives 160 stades $=18$ miles); cf. Dalman, Sarred Sites. pp. 226 ff., and Dr. Thackeray's note on J..J. vii. 217, which mentions another Emmaus, 30 stades (c. 3 miles) from Jernsalem.
f The Jewish allies of the Syrians are not mentioned in 1 Mace., but cf. § 305 note a.
- In 1 Mace. (iii. 47-53) there is a more detailed description of the ceremonies performed at Mizpeh.
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 үápovs ảто入úqas каi тoùs тàs ктท́бєєs $\nu \in \omega \sigma \tau i$




廿vхiav каi кıvбv́vшv катафро́vךбוv ката入єiттєта८．${ }^{4}$

 $303 \pi \eta \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma \alpha \nu, \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu^{5}$ vं $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ Є＇$\xi$ ovoías то仑 $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$
 ov̂v Є’v тب̂ $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \iota ~ к є \iota \epsilon \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ ن ́ \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \tau а v ́ т \eta \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ a ̉ \pi o-~$ גаßєîv каi тòv єúdaípova каi $\mu а к а ́ \rho ı о \nu ~ \beta i ́ o \nu ~ a ̉ v a-~$



 $\theta \alpha \nu \epsilon i \nu$ каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ vimá $\rho \xi \epsilon \iota \nu^{8}$ ท̀ $\gamma о v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ ，тò $\delta^{\prime}$ v̇ $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa о v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ ，$\epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon$ р́as $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s$

 ov゙т $\omega \mathrm{s}$ тàs $\psi v \chi a ̀ s ~ \eta u ̀ \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota^{10}$ ふ̀s av̋pıov á $\mu$＇


```
    \({ }^{1}\) каi таद̆เápxous om. PE Lat. \({ }^{2}\) тара́р \(\mu\) PE.
```





```
- Niese: катабкєンáбая PAMW: катабкєvá̧єн FLV.
                        \({ }^{10} \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \rho \in \pi \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \circ \iota\) om. PFLV.
```

a＂And lower officers＂（кai zakıáp犭ous；on the meaning of this term $c f . A n t$ ．vii． 26 note $d$ ）is omitted in the variant； 156
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drew them up, according to the ancient custom of their fathers, under commanders of thousands and lower officers, ${ }^{a}$ and having dismissed the newly married men, and sent back those who had recently acquired property, in order that they might not, for the sake of enjoying these things, be too eager to live and so fight with too little spirit, ${ }^{b}$ he urged his soldiers on to the contest with these words. ${ }^{c}$ "No Judas en-
 will be more need for courage and contempt of danger 1 Mace. than at the present moment. For if you now fight bravely, you may recover that liberty which is loved for its own sake by all men, but to you most of all happens to be desirable because it gives you the right to worship the Deity. Since, therefore, at the present moment it lies in your power either to recover this liberty and regain a happy and blessed life "-by this he neant a life in accordance with the laws and customs of their fathers- " or to suffer the most shameful fate and to leave your race without any seed by being cowardly in battle, exert yourselves accordingly, bearing in mind that death is the portion even of those who do not fight, and holding firmly to the belief that if you die for such precious causes as liberty, country, laws and religion, you will gain eternal glory. Make ready, therefore, and be prepared in spirit ${ }^{d}$ so that at daybreak to-morrow you may meet the enemy."

1 Maec. has "commanders of thousands and commanders of hundreds and commanders of fifties and commanders of tens " (v.l. omits the last).
${ }^{0}$ On these exemptions see Deut. xx. 5 ff. (Ant. iv. 298).
${ }^{\circ}$ In the following, $\$ \$ 302-304$ Josephus greatly amplifies Judas' speech as given in 1 Maec. iii. 58-60.
" Variant " make ready your spirits."
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305 (t) Kai oo $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ 'Iov́das $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \pi a \rho a \theta a \rho \sigma v ́ v \omega \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 Горүià $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ каі $\chi \iota \lambda i ́ \omega \nu$ $i \pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon \omega v$, ö $\pi \omega s$ סıà $\tau \hat{\eta} S v v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \eta$ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ 'Iov́ $\delta a$,


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ '́ $\pi \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i v$, каi таи̂та $\delta \iota \eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta S$



 от $\alpha \tau о \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \omega$ тоѝs Є̀ $\chi \theta \rho o v ̀ s ~ o ̀ ~ \Gamma o \rho \gamma i ́ a s, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ' ~ v ं \pi о-~$


 $\lambda_{\epsilon \mu i o \iota s ~ o ́ ~ ' I o u ́ \delta a s ~}^{\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} ~ \tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi i \lambda i ́ \omega \nu ~ ф a u ́ \lambda \omega s ~} \dot{\omega} \pi \lambda \iota \sigma-$
 ápıбта $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o v{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \epsilon \tau$ ' $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i a s ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s$

 $\theta \epsilon i ̂ o v ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \pi o v ~ к а i ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ o v ̃ т \tau s ~ \epsilon ै \chi o v a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



${ }^{1} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon ф \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s$. FL.
2 E: 'Iovסaious codd. Lat.
3 є́ $\left.\pi \epsilon \iota \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \not \mu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} v\right]$ є́ $\pi \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{FV}$ : quatenus invaderent Lat.

[^53]
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(4) These were the words which Judas spoke to Judas encourage his army. But the enemy sent Gorgias defeats the with five thousand foot-soldiers and a thousand Emmaus. horsemen to fall upon Judas by night for which ${ }^{1 \text { Mace. }}$ purpose he took some of the Jewish refugees as guides ${ }^{a}$; and when the son of Mattathias became aware of this, he deeided to fall upon the enemy's camp himself, and to do this when their force was divided. Haring, therefore, supped in good time and left many fires in his camp, ${ }^{b}$ he marched all night toward those of the enemy who were in Emmaus. And when Gorgias found that his foes were not in their camp, he suspected that they had withdrawn and hidden themselves in the mountains, and so he deeided to go in search of them wherever they might be. But near dawn Judas appeared before the enemy at Emmaus with three thousand men poorly armed because of their poverty, and when he saw that his foes were excellently protected and had shown great skill in taking up their position, he urged his own men ${ }^{c}$ on, saying that they must fight even if with unarmed bodies. and that the Deity had on other occasions in the past given the vietory over more numerous and well-armed enemies to men in their condition because He admired their courage, ${ }^{d}$ and he ordered the trumpeters to sound the signal. Then falling upon the unsuspecting enemy and strik-

Josephus assumes that the Akra was occupied by renegade Jews.
${ }^{0}$ These are details inferred from the statement in 1 Macc. iv. 6 that Judas surprised the enemy by his appearance early the next day.
e So the Epitome : mss. " the Jews."
d Because of His mercy and the covenant with the fathers, according to 1 Macc.
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 aủrô̂ $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau a ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau a s, ~$ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \dot{\psi} \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ oi $\tau о \hat{v}$ lop
 тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu$ є́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu \cdot$ oo $\gamma$ aa $\rho$ катvòs









a Variant (corrupt) Gadara. Gazara (so 1 Mace.) is the bibl. Gezer, modern Tell Jezar, on the Philistine-Judaean border (cf. Ant .v. 83 note), and c. 5 miles N.W. of Emmaus.
${ }^{b}$ So most mss. of Josephus and 1 Mace. : variant Judaea. Since Idumaea lay rather to the S.E. of this region, the reading seems strange, unless as Abel and liévenot assume, "Idnmaea " is here used as a vague term for the non-Jewish territory S. of Judaea.
160
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ing terror into their hearts and throwing them into confusion，he killed many of those who opposed him， while the rest he pursued as far as Gazara ${ }^{a}$ and the plains of Idumaea ${ }^{b}$ and Azotus ${ }^{c}$ and Jamneia，${ }^{d}$ and of these there fell some three thousand．Judas，Gorgias however，exhorted his soldiers not to be too hungry force flees for spoil，for there still awaited them a contest and Juda battle against Gorgias and the force with him ；but，iv． 17. he said，when they had conquered these also，then they might take spoil in security，having only this task and nothing else to undertake．${ }^{e}$ But while he was still addressing his soldiers in these words，the men with Gorgias looked down from the heights and saw that the army which they had left in the camp had been routed，and that the camp had been burned ；for the smoke brought to them from a dis－ tance evidence of what had happened．Accordingly， when the men with Gorgias discovered that this was how things were，and perceived that Judas＇men were ready for battle，they too became frightened and turned to flee．f Thereupon Judas，with the know－ ledge that the soldiers with Gorgias had been de－ feated without fighting，returned and carried off the spoil，and taking much gold and silver and stufls of

[^54]
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 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́dєv $\theta \epsilon p i ́ a v$ бvvє $\beta$ ád $\lambda \epsilon \tau \tau о$.


 $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \quad i \pi \pi \epsilon i 今, \quad \epsilon \quad \nu \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ єis тウ̀ $\nu$ 'Iovסaíav, ${ }^{2}$ каi

 $\mu v р i ́ \omega \nu$ 'Iov́ $\alpha$, s, каi $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s ~ i \delta \omega ̀ \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$











${ }^{1}+\chi$ х́par L.IMW.
2 'İov
${ }^{3}$ Naber: aùtò $\mathrm{I} \backslash \backslash \mathrm{M}$ : aùtê rell.
ง ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ P $\backslash \mathrm{MW}$ : fieri Lat.
${ }^{5}$ ioxupà L. 1 MW Lat. : єixєv Naber.
a This would be the 148th yr. Sel. (cf. § 297), which extended from Oct. 165 to Oct. 164 в.c., more exactly in the autumn of 165 в.c., cf. $\S 321$.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ V'ariant Idumaea, and so most nss. of 1 Macc. ; either reading may be correct, since Bethsur was on the border between Judara and Idumaea.
c "Into the hill comintry" is a detail added by Josephus.
${ }^{d}$ The modern Khirbel et-Tुubeiqah, a few miles N.W. of 162
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purple and hyacinth, returned home, rejoicing and praising God in song for his successes ; for this victory contributed not a little to the regaining of their liberty.
(5) But Lysias, who was dismayed at the defeat of the men sent out by him, in the following year ${ }^{a}$ collected sixty thousand picked men and five thousand horsemen, and with these invaded Judaea, ${ }^{b}$ and going up into the hill country, ${ }^{c}$ encamped at Bethsura, ${ }^{d}$ a village in Judaea. There Judas met him with ten thousand men, and seeing the great number of the enemy, he prayed to God to be his ally against them, e and on engaging the enemy's skirmishers, ${ }^{f}$ defeated them and slew about five thousand of them, thereby becoming an object of fear to the rest. Indeed, ${ }^{g}$ when Lysias saw the spirit of the Jews and that they were prepared to die if they could not live as free men, he feared this desperate resolution of theirs as strength, ${ }^{h}$ and taking the remainder of his force, he returned to Antioch, where he remained to enlist mercenaries and make preparations to invade Judaea with a greater army.
(6) And now that the generals of King Antiochus

Hebron (cf. Ant. viii. 246 note $e$ ). It was an important citadel as early as the Persian period. For the archaeological finds of the Persian and Hellenistic periods see O. Sellers, The Citadel of Beth-Zur. 1933.

- The prayer is given at greater length in 1 Macc. iv. 30 ff .
' For "skirmishers" 1 Macc. has simply "army";
 aủт $\hat{\nu} \nu$.
- Gr. á $\mu \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon c$ calls attention to something umusual, and, if such colloquialisms were permitted in this translation, might well be rendered " believe it or not."
${ }^{n}$ Text slightly uncertain; 1 Macc. does not give this particular motive.


## JOSEPHUS

 б九áoas ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau$ à то入入às viкas，âs ó $\theta \epsilon$ òs aủтoîs













 каi тò $\theta v \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \eta ́ \rho เ o \nu ~ к а \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ к ~ \lambda i ́ \theta \omega \nu ~ \sigma \nu \mu \mu i к т \omega \nu ~$


${ }^{1}$ є́ $\mu \pi є \tau а ́ \sigma \mu a \tau a ~ P F V: ~ v e l a ~ L a t .: ~ \pi є \rho ı т є т а ́ \sigma \mu а т а ~ e d . ~ p r .: ~$ таратєта́वдата Naber．
${ }^{2}$ катєткєи́aテєข AMW．
${ }^{3} \lambda \epsilon \lambda а т о \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \in v \omega \nu$ FLV．


a 1 Macc．describes their distress in greater detail．
${ }^{6}$ Cf．the statement in B．J．i．39，＂he expelled the troops from the upper city and confined them to the lower portion（ $f$ the town，known as Akra，＂which is not based on 1 Macc．
c The altar of incense．
${ }^{d}$ This last detail is an addition to 1 Mace．
e The altar of burnt－offerings．Josephus omits the detail （1 Macc．iv．46）that the stones of the desecrated altar were 164
had been defeated so many times, Judas assembled Purification the people and said that after the many victories which God had given them, they ought to go up to Jerusalem and purify the temple and offer the customary sacrifices. But when he came to Jerusalem with the entire multitude and found the temple desolate, the gates burned down and plants growing up by themselves in the sanctuary because of the desolation, he began to lament with his men in dismay at the appearance of the temple. ${ }^{a}$ Then he selected some of his soldiers and commanded them to keep fighting the men who guarded the Akra ${ }^{b}$ until he himself should have sanctified the temple. And when he had carefully purified it, he brought in new vessels, such as a lampstand, table and altar, ${ }^{c}$ which were made of gold, ${ }^{d}$ and hung curtains from the doors, and replaced the doors themselves; he also pulled down the altar, ${ }^{e}$ and built a new one of various stones which had not been hewn with iron. ${ }^{f}$ And on the Rededica-twenty-fifth of the month Chasleu, ${ }^{g}$ which the Mace- tion of the put away " on the temple-hill in a fitting place until a prophet iv. ${ }^{1}$ Mace. should come and give a decision about them," perhaps because in Josephus' time it was the general belief that true prophetic inspiration had ceased with Haggai, Zechariah and Malachi, but cf. Ant. xiii. 300 note $a$.

1 'This last detail is an expansion of 1 Mace. iv. $4 \pi$, " and
 the Law, and built a new altar after the fashion of the former one"; here גïous óגoкגخ́pous represents Heb. 'abanim है॰lemôth as in mxx Deut. xxvii. 6 et al.; elsewhere (e.g. Ex;; xx. 25) lex has dílous ou $\tau \mu \eta \tau$ oús " stones not cut (by iron)."

- Variants Exeleos, Yellaios, Chaslaios, etc., = Heb. Kislew, roughly December ( 165 b.c.) : the same date is given in Megillath Ta'anith (ed. Lichtenstein. /ILC A viii.-ix., 1931/2, p. 341), "On the twenty-fifth (of Kislew) is the festival of Hanukkah, lasting eight days, on which it is forbidden to fast " (cf. below, $\S 325$ notes).
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Макє ${ }^{\prime}$








 каi єiкádı тоv 'A $\pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda a i o v ~ \mu \eta \nu o ́ s, ~ o ̉ \lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota a ́ \delta \iota ~ є ́ к а-~$

 'А Атє $\lambda \lambda a i o v ~ \mu \eta \nu o ́ s, ~ o ̛ \gamma \delta o ́ c ~ к а i ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma а р а к о \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ каі








 aùzov́s, ن̈́ $\mu \nu o \iota s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \psi a \lambda \mu o i ̂ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \grave{\partial} \nu ~ \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu^{1}$


[^55]donians call Apellaios, ${ }^{n}$ they kindled the lights on the lampstand and burned incense on the altar and set out the loaves on the table and offcred whole burntofferings upon the new altar. These things, as it chanced, took place on the same day on which, three years before, their holy service had been transformed into an impure and profane form of worship. F'or the temple, after being made desolate by Antiochus, had remained so for three years ${ }^{b}$; it was in the hundred and forty-fifth year that these things befell the temple, on the twenty-fifth of the month Apellaios, in the hundred and fifty-third Olympiad. ${ }^{c}$ And the temple was renovated on the same day, the twentyfifth of the month Apellaios, in the hundred and forty-eighth year, in the hundred and fifty-fourth Olympiad. ${ }^{d}$ Now the desolation of the temple came about in accordance with the prophecy of Daniel, which had been made four hundred and eight years before ${ }^{e}$; for he had revealed that the Macedonians would destroy it.
(7) And so Judas together with his fellow-citizens celebrated the restoration of sacrifices in the temple for eight days, omitting no form of pleasure, but feasting them on costly and splendid sacrifices, and while honouring God with songs of praise and the

The Jews
celebrate
the festival
of lights
(Hanukkah). 1 Macc. iv. 56.
from July $16+$ to July 160 b.c., and thus cannot correspond to the 148 th yr . Sel. which began in Oct. 165 b.c. (the official reckoning) or the spring of 164 b.c. (the Jewish reekoning), it is likely that, as Bickermann suggests, PW xiv. 784, Josephns' source was using the so-called Macedonian Olympiad-era, which preceded the $\Lambda$ ttic by a year: possibly, however, as Bickermann remarks, Josephus' Olympiad reckoning is erroncous here as elsewhere.
e This wonld be in 576 b.c., or, if " before " means " before the rededication." in 573 в.c. ; for the prophecy see Dan. xi. 31 and vii. 25 (Ant. x. 275).

## JOSEPHUS

324 aủ $\frac{1}{}$







 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu, \kappa \alpha i$ то̀̀s $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \rho о \mu \dot{\alpha} s$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} v \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega v$ àvárкаs $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \eta$ Х $\chi \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$.





$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ँ \tau \epsilon \rho \pi о \nu \text { PL. \MW Lat. : єँ } \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \mathrm{E} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^56]
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playing of harps, at the same time delighted them. ${ }^{n}$ So much pleasure did they find in the renewal of their customs and in unexpectedly obtaining the right to have their own service after so long a time, that they made a law that their descendants should celebrate the restoration of the temple service for eight days. And from that time to the present we observe this festival, which we call the festival of Lights, giving this name to it, I think, from the fact that the right to worship appeared to us at a time when we hardly dared hope for it. ${ }^{b}$ Then Judas erected walls round the city, ${ }^{c}$ and having built high towers against the incursions of the enemy, he placed guards in them ; and he also fortified the city of Betbsura in order that he might use it as a fortress in any emergency caused by the enemy. ${ }^{d}$
(viii. 1) When these things had been done in this Jodas' fashion, the surrounding nations, who resented the reviving of the strength of the Jews, banded together against them and destroyed many of them, whom victorips over the surroundinz they had got into their power ${ }^{e}$ through ambushes recent work of O. Rankin, The Origin of the Festival of Itanukkah, 1930. In 2 Macc. the festival is called "Tabernacles ( $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu o \pi \eta \gamma i a$ ) of the montl) of Kislew '" the usual rabbinic name is Hanukkah "dedication," cf. évkaiva in John x. 22, and the texts cited by Strack-Billerbeck, ad loc., ii. 539 . Derenbourg, p. 62 note 2, suggests that the nane "Lights," which is not found elsewhere, may go back to an abbreviation of Heb. y'mé nérôth sel Ulanukkah "days of (the festival of) the lights of dedication." The practice of lighting candles on each of the eight days of the festival (one on the first day, two on the second, ete.) is still observed by the majority of Jews.
"I Macc. "Monnt Sion," i.e the temple-hill.
"1 Macc." that the prople might have a fortress over against Idumaea," cf. $\S 313$ note $b$.
e Variant " whom they thought to get into their power."
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 329 каi тoùs äv $\nu \rho a s \delta_{\iota \epsilon} \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \nu$. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau$ ' $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$


 тódıv, каі та́s тє үvvaîкаs av̀т $\omega \nu$ каі $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu \alpha$


${ }^{1} \gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu a$ ed. pr. : oió $\mu \in \cdot$ L. IMWE.
${ }^{2}+$ тоis каi Niese.
 mitates Lat.: 'Aкра $\beta \in \nu \tau \eta \dot{\nu \eta}$ Syncellus.
${ }^{4}$ ミaßaávou LAMW. ${ }^{5}$ ív PLat.

a The phrase " ambushes and plots " is an amplification of 1 Macc. v. 2, "and they planned (éßoudev́aquto) to destroy the race of Jacob."
" I Macc. " against the descendants (lit. " sons ") of Esau in Idumea (v.l. Judaea)," rf. note following.
c Variants Akrabatine, Akrabettene, ete. : 1 Macc. (most mss.) Akrabattine; this may have been the $\Lambda$ krabatene near Sheehem (cf. B.J. ii. 235, iii. 55 ft al.), but more probably the bibl. Akrabbim (Num. xxxiv. 4, Jos. xv. 3), modern Naqb es-Safa, S.E. of the S. end of the Dead Sea: Bévenot, however, following Hölscher, favours the former becanse of the reference to the Baanites (sec note following) in the next sentence.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lit. " sons of Baanes" : 1 Mace. " sons of Baian." Père Abel connects this name with the Beon (Ethiopic Bêwón) 170
and plots. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Against these enemies Judas waged continuous war in an attempt to check their inroads and the mischief which they were doing the Jews. And falling upon the Idumaeans, the descendants of Esau, ${ }^{b}$ at Akrabatene, ${ }^{c}$ he killed many of them and took their spoil. He also hemmed in the Baanites, ${ }^{d}$ who were ambushing the Jews, and after besieging them closely, burned their towers and destroyed their men. Then be set out from there against the Ammanites, who had a great and numerous force, which was led by Timotheus. And when he had subdued them also, he took the city of Jazora, e and after taking captive their wives and children, ${ }^{f}$ and burning the city, ${ }^{g}$ he returned to Judaea. Thereupon the
in Jubilees xxix. 10 and Beon (Heb. Béôn, lxx Batáv) in Num. xxxii. 3-the latter being explained by many scholars as haplology for Baal Meon, modern Ma'in, c. 5 miles S.W. of Medeba in Noab.

- I Macc. Jazer, identified by Père Abel with modern K'hirbet Şâr, c. 10 miles W. of 'Ammân and c. 7 miles N.E. of 'Arâq el-'Emîr. Perhaps this identification finds support in the mention (1 Macc. v. 13) of the Jews in the territory of 'Iubias ( $\epsilon v$ roîs Tovßiou) if this means the 'Tobiad stronghold at 'Arâq el-'Emîr ( $c f$. $\S 230$ note $c$ ), and not the bibl. Toh, S.E. of the Sea of Galilee.

1 The reference here to wives and children, not mentioned in I Macc. in connexion with Jazer, may, as Reinach assnmes, be due to Josephus' misunderstanding of the phrase $\tau \dot{\eta} v$ 'là̧̀ $\kappa$ каi ràs $\theta$ vरaтépas "Jazer and its daughters (i.e. daughter-cities) ": it scems rather strange, however, that Josephus should have misunderstood so common a bibl. idiom, and the phrase may have been added to conform with the verse below ( 1 Haec. v. $13=\$ 330$ ) which mentions the wives and children of the Jews taken captive hy Timotheusthese not being referred to by Josephus.

- The burning of Jazer is not mentioned in I Mace.: perhaps Joscphus has repeated this detail from the preceding passage ( 1 Macc. v. $5=\S 328$ ) about the Baanites.
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 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda \omega े \nu$ тои́ $\tau \omega \nu$ ，кảк $\tau \hat{\eta}$ S Га入ı入aías ä $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda о \iota$
 Птодєرаîठos каi Tv́pov каi $\sum \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \nu о$ каі т $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ $a ̆ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \epsilon^{\epsilon} \theta \nu \omega \nu^{1} \tau \bar{\eta} s$ Гa入ıдаías．
332 （2）Поòs ổv à $\mu \phi \quad \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \eta ̉ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$







 ＇Iovסaíav каi $\sigma v v a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ v a$ ，є̈ $\omega$ s






$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{4} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \gamma \in \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{VV} \text { : aliis civitatibus Lat. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^57]
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neighbouring nations, on learning that he had returned, gathered together in Galaaditis ${ }^{a}$ against the Jews who were in their borders. But these fled to the fortress of Diathema ${ }^{b}$ and sent to Judas, informing him that Timotheus was making an effort to seize the place in which they had taken refuge. And while these letters were being read, there came messengers from Galilee also, announcing that a force had been raised against him by those in Ptolemais, ${ }^{c}$ Tyre and Sidon and the other nations ${ }^{d}$ of Galilee.
(2) Judas, therefore, considering what had to be done in both these cases of need which had been reported, commanded his brother Simon to take some three thousand of the picked men and go out to the

Simon invades Galilee. 1 Mace. ソ. 16. help of the Jews in Galilce, while he himself and his other brother Jonathan with eight thousand soldiers set out for Galaaditis; and over the remainder of the force he left Joseph, the son of Zacharias, and Azarias, whom he commanded to guard Judaea carefully and not to join battle with anyone until he himself returned. And so Simon went to Galilee, and engaging the foe, put them to flight, and after pursuing them as far as the gates of Ptolemais, killed about three thousand of them ; then taking the spoil of the slain,
b I Macc. Dathema : identified by Père van Kasteren (ap. Abel) with modern el-llogn c. 20 miles E. of the Jordan in the latitude of Beth-shean (Scythopolis), and c. 35 miles W. of the supposed site of Bozrah, Bosrí eski-Sím: Bévenot, following Hülscher, identifies Dathema with er-Ramtheh c. 10 miles N.E. of el-Hosn.
c Bibl. Accho, modern *Akô, a famous city in Hellenistic times, at the N. end of the bay of IIaifa, opposite Mount Carmel : it is described by Josephus in B.J. ii. 188 ff,
"Variant " and the gentiles ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu), "$ cf. I Macc., " Galilee of the gentiles (aं $\lambda$ loфúd $\lambda v$ )."

## JOSEPHUS

Hє́v Saious, каi тウ̀v àтобкєvŋ̀v av̇т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ є่таүо́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ vos єis $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ оікєíav $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu \nu^{1}$ à $\nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \in \nu$.
33.7 (3) 'Ioúסas $\delta$ è ó Маккаßаîos каi ó ả $\delta \in \lambda \phi$ о̀s
 $\kappa \alpha i$ ódòv $\alpha \pi^{\prime}$ av̉то仑 $\tau \rho \iota \omega \bar{\omega}$ àvv́ravтєs $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ тоîs














$$
{ }^{1} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \text { om. FL. } \ M V W \text { Lat. }
$$

${ }^{2}$ Boróppar FV: Booróppav L: Betsuram Lat.


[^58]
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and bringing back the Jews who had been made captive by them, and their belongings, ${ }^{a}$ he returned once more to his own country.
(3) As for Judas Maccabaeus and his brother victories of Jonathan, they crossed the river Jordan, and after Jndas and covering a distance of three days' march from it, ${ }^{b}$ in Gilead. they came upon the Nabataeans, ${ }^{c}$ who greeted them ${ }_{v}^{1}$ Macc. peaceably. And they told him what had happened to those in Galaaditis, and that many of them were in distress after being shut up in the fortresses and cities of Galaaditis ${ }^{d}$; and when they urged him to march speedily against the foreigners and to try to save his eountrymen ${ }^{e}$ from them, he followed their advice, and returned into the wilderness; then falling first upon the inhabitants of Bosora, ${ }^{f}$ and taking that city,g he destroyed all the males and those able to fight, ${ }^{h}$ and set fire to the city. And not even when night came on did he call a halt, but marched through the night toward the fortress ${ }^{i}$ where the Jews had been shut up when Timothens invested
modern account of this people see G. Robinson, The Sarcophatys of an Ancient Civilization, 1930, ch. xxviii. (by A. P. Scott).
${ }^{d}$ The names of these cities are given in 1 Mace. v. 26 as Bosora, Bosor, Alema, Chasphor, Maked and Karnaim, cf. below, § 340.
e There is no need to render ó $\mu$ oє $\theta$ veís here or elsewhere by "coreligionists" rather than "countrymen," since the distinction is a modern one: 1 Nace. has "brothers."
f Variants Bosorra, Bossora: I Mace. Bosor, prohably bibl. Bozrah of Moab, modern Bosrâ eski-Sàm, cf. § 330 note $b$.
" Emended text : mss. add " and occupying it " or " and overthrowing it."
${ }^{n}$ This last detail seems to be hased on a careless reading of 1 Macc. v. 28, " he slew every male at the point of the sword."

- i.e. Dathema, $c f$. above, § 330 .
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 $\pi \rho о \sigma \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha$ т $\tau$ о̀̀s $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s, \kappa \alpha i$ тov̀s $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$


 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \lambda \phi \bar{\omega} \nu$ каi $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \omega \bar{\nu} \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \iota \nu \delta v \nu \epsilon \bar{v} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho о \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \varsigma$ ，

 öть Маккаßаīos є̈̈ $\eta$ ，$\pi \epsilon i ̂ \rho a \nu ~ ク ゙ \delta \eta ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho о ́ т є \rho о \nu ~$









341 （リ）X $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \nu$ тарабкєvaба́ $\mu \epsilon$ vos，каi $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha ́ \chi о v s ~ a ̈ \lambda-~$ dovs $\tau \epsilon \pi а р а \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega \nu} \kappa \alpha i$＇$А \rho \alpha ́ \beta \omega v$ тьvas $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\omega}$
 FIS Lat．
－is P：om．FL．
${ }^{3}$ Maáф ${ }^{2} \mathrm{FL} \mathrm{V}$ et AM marg．：Mádлa W ：Mellam Lat．
${ }^{4}$ Хабөонакь P：Хабфюнакєі（F）V：Хабдофа́кпу L：Ка－ офоца́х $\downarrow \nu(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{M}$ ：K $\alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \kappa є \hat{\imath}$（sic） W ：Castomachi Lat．
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the place with his foree, and reached it at dawn. And finding that the enemy was already assaulting the walls, some bringing up ladiders to seale them, and others siege-engines, he ordered the trumpeter to sound the eharge ; then, after urging his soldiers to face danger gladly for their brothers and kin, he divided his army into three parts, and fell upon the enemy's rear. And when 'Timotheus' men recognized Maccabaeus, of whose courage and good fortune in war they had already had proof, ${ }^{a}$ they took to flight; but Judas followed them closely with his army, and slew as many as eight thousand. Then turning aside to one of the gentile ${ }^{b}$ cities called Mella, ${ }^{c}$ he took this also, and killed atl the males, and burned the eity itself. From there he moved on, and subdued Chasphomake ${ }^{d}$ and Bosor ${ }^{e}$ and many other cities of Galaaditis.
(4) Not long after this Timotheus made ready a Judas great force, and taking, in addition to other allies, some of the Arabs whom he persuaded by payment of identified with Tell Mas fa near şâf, c. 5 miles N.W. of Jeraš (Gerasa). But the reading Maspha in 1 Mace. is questioned by Père Abel, while the Mella of Josephus may just possibly, as Grimm surmises, be a corruption of Alema, mentioned earlier in 1 Macc. (v. 26).
${ }^{d}$ Variants Chasthomaki, Chasphomakei, ete.; the readings of all the sss. of Joseplus combine into one name those of two cities named separately in 1 Nace. Chasphor (v.l. Chasphon, ef. Kaspin, Chaspin in 2 Maec. xii. 13) and Maked; Casphor is identified ly Père Abel, following Hölscher, with el-Mezeirib situated on one of the tributaries of the Yarmuk, where now the old Roman road, the lilgrim road of the Muslims and the Hejaz railway all meet: Maked is more tentatively identified by l'ere Ahel with Tell el-Jamid on the Yarmuk river, $c$. 10 miles due W. of $e l$-Mezeirib.

- Probahly modern Buş el-Hariri, c. 20 miles N.E. of el-Mezeirib.
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 бv $\mu \beta$ ádo七єv єis $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ ’ I o v \delta a i o t s, ~ \pi \rho о \theta u ́ \mu \omega s ~$







 34 каi тıvès $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ aủт $\omega$ v $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \delta \rho a \sigma \alpha \nu$, oi $\delta$ ' єis тò ка入oú-
 $\tau \epsilon v \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s . ~ ' I o v ́ \delta a s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ к а \tau а-~$ $\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ a v ̉ \tau о v ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \grave{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$.




${ }^{1}$ 'Pa $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{A}$ M: 'Paф $\hat{\nu}$ FLV: Rophon Lat.
${ }^{2} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \mathrm{FL}$. M .

[^60]
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money to join his campaign, he led his army across the stream opposite Romphon ${ }^{a}$-this was a city-and exhorted his soldiers, if they engaged the Jews in battle, to fight eagerly and prevent them from crossing the stream ; for, he prodicted, if the Jews crossed, they themselves would be defeated. But when Judas heard that Timotheus had made ready for battle, he took all his own force and hastened to meet the enemy ; and after crossing the stream, he fell upon his foes, and slew some of them who opposed him, and struck fear into the others and forced them to throw away their arms and flee. And so some of them cscaped, while others took refuge in the sacred precinct called Enkranai, ${ }^{b}$ where they hoped to find safety. But Judas took this city, and killed the inhabitants, and also burned the sacred precinct; thus he accomplished the destruction of the enemy under various forms. ${ }^{c}$
(5) Having achieved these things and gathered together the Jews in Galaaditis with their children and wives and belongings, he was ready to lead them baek to Judaea. But when he came to a certain city

Judas' further victories in Gilead. 1 Мace. v. 45.
to in the text above : this identification is questioned by Bévenot, who prefers er-Raffe, c. 15 miles N.E. of el-Mezeirib. Grotius (ap. Grimm) and Hudson connect liaphon with the Raphana mentioned by Pliny, Hist. Nat. v. 16, as one of the cities of the Decapolis:

- Variant Enkarnain ; the readings of all the mss. of Josephus are corruptions of the phrase in 1 Macc. év Kapraív " in Carnaim ": this is the bibl. Carnaim, identified by P'ere Abel and Bévenot with Seikh Saad, c. 10 miles due N. of el-Mezeirib, and c. 2 miles N. by W. of Tell 'Astara (bibl. Ashtaroth). Karnaim and Ashtaroth are coupled in Gen. xiv. 5 and Jnbilees xxix. 10.
 of Thucydides, cf. Thuc. iii. 51. 5 and vii. 29. 5.
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 S८à $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ à $\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v \cdot \tau \alpha ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi u ́ \lambda a s ~ \lambda i ́ \theta o ı s$







 $\epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu ' ́ \gamma \alpha ~ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ o v, ~ o \hat{v} ~ к \epsilon i ̄ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$





 тoîs $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v$ 'Iov




${ }^{1}$ є̇тєфра́кєєаи Dindorf.

${ }^{3}$ ب̈́ $\delta$ ovtes M.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 346-350

by the name of Emphron, ${ }^{a}$ which lay on his road, as it was not possible for him to avoid it by taking another road, ${ }^{b}$ and being unwilling to turn back, he sent to the inhabitants and requested them to open their gates and permit him to go on through their city ; for they had blocked the gates with stones, and had cut off any passage through it. The Emphraeans, however, would not consent to this, and so he urged on his men and surrounded the city and besieged it, and after investing it for a day and a night, he took the city, and killed all the males who were in it, and burned it all down, ${ }^{c}$ and so made a way ; but so great was the number of the slain that they had to walk over their dead bodies. And after crossing the Jordan, they came to the Great Plain, in front of which lies Bethsane, ${ }^{d}$ by the Greeks called Scythopolis. And setting out from there, they came to Judaea, playing harps and singing songs of praise and observing such forms of merry-making as are customary at celebrations of a victory ${ }^{e}$; then they offered the sacrifices of thanksgiving for their successes and for the safety of their army, for not one of the Jews had met death in these wars.
(6) Now Joseph, the son of Zacharias, and Azarias, Gorgias whom Judas had left in command at the time when defeats the Simon was in Galilee warring against those in Ptolemais, and Judas himself and his brother Jonathan
tude of Beisan (Seythopolis), and 8 miles W. by S. of Irbid (Arbela): it is probably the Гєфро仑้ of Polyb. v. 70. 12, as suggested by Graetz.
${ }^{6}$ Because of the difficult terrain.
" 1 Macc. " uprooted it."
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Bibl. Beth-shean, mod. Beisîn, cf. Ant. v. 83 note $h$.

- According to 1 Macc., it seems, the rejoicing began only when they reached Jerusalem.
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 тòv 'І $\omega ́ \sigma \eta \pi о \nu ~ к а i ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' A \zeta а р i ́ a \nu ~ \pi \tau \alpha i ̂ \sigma \mu \alpha ~ \theta a \nu \mu \alpha ́-~$










${ }^{1}$ фєúyovar AMW Lat. : фvүóvтєs E.
${ }^{2}$ ópèv FL. 1 MW: onı. E.
${ }^{3}$ סcúкоутat om. PAMWE Lat.
${ }^{4}$ MápıaбarV.
a ('f. § 30)8 note $d$.
b (f. §298.
" The variant omits "were pursued."
"So 1 Mace. : variant "momntains."

- In place of the sentence about Judas' cleverness 1 Mace. gives the explanation that Joseph and Azariah were defeated 182
were in Galaaditis-they too wished to acquire the reputation of being generals valiant in action, and so they took their force and went to Jammeia. ${ }^{a}$ But Gorgias, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ the commander of Jamneia, met them there, and in the engagement which took place they lost two thousand men of their army, and flecing, were pursued ${ }^{c}$ as far as the borders ${ }^{d}$ of Judaea. This reverse befell them because they disobeyed the instructions of Judas not to engage anyone in battle before his arrival ; for in addition to the other instances of Judas' cleverness, one might well admire him also for having foreseen that such a reverse would come to the men under Joseph and Azarias if they departed in any respect from the instructions given them. ${ }^{e}$ Meanwhile Judas and his brothers were Judas' warring on the Idumaeans ${ }^{f}$ without ceasing, and victories in pressed them closely on all sides; and after taking the city of Hebron, they destroyed all its fortifications and burned its towers ${ }^{9}$; and they ravaged the foreign territory, including the city of Marisa, ${ }^{h}$ and coming to Azotus, ${ }^{i}$ they took this city and sacked it. ${ }^{j}$ Then they returned to Judaea, carrying much spoil and booty.
because "they were not of the seed of those men (i.e. the Hasmonacans) by whose hand salvation was given to 1srael."
' I Macc. " the sons of Esau," which, of course, means the Idumacans.
- 1 Macc. adds that they captured the villages near Hebron.
${ }^{n}$ The reading "Samaria " in the Gr. mss. of 1 Nace. is generally recognized to be a eorruption of "Mari-a" (alno found in most mss. of Q Maec. xii. 35) ; it is the bibl. Mareshalt near the Philistine border, cf. Ant. viii. 216 note $i$.
- Bihl. Ashdod, cf. § 3n note $c$.
${ }^{5} 1$ Macc. adds that they burned the carved idols found there.
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 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \beta \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \tau \alpha \nu$, oûs $\pi \mathrm{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \alpha s$ тoîs


 єis vóбоv катє́ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$, ท̀s $\mu \eta \kappa v \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$ каi av̉گavo-
a His eastern campaign lasted from about the summer of 165 n.c. to the summer of 163 n.c., cf. $8 \$ 297$ note $f, 361$ note $a$.
${ }^{b}$ So 1 Mace., probably referring to the province, not the city, of Elymais = hibl. Elam, and corresponding to Susiana, the eighth in the list of provinces of Darius' empire, as given ly Iterodotns. According to 2 Mace. ix. 2 it was Persepolis that Antiochus attempted to despoil.

- So Polyl, xxxi.9(11). 1, of. Jerome on Dan. xi., who gives the Latin form Diana, citing l'olybins and Diodorus: Appian, Syria 66, gives the goddess's name as Aphrodite: 1 Natec. omits her name. Both names, Artemis and Aphro18. 4


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 354-357

(ix. 1) About the same time King Antiochus, as he Antiochus was entering the upper country, ${ }^{a}$ heard of a city in Epiphanes Persia of surpassing wealth, named Elymais, ${ }^{b}$ and that there was in it a rich temple of Artemis, ${ }^{c}$ which was full of all kinds of dedicatory offerings, as well as of arms and breastplates which he learned had been left behind by Alexander, the son of Philip, king of Macedon. And so, being excited by these reports, he set out for Elymais, and assaulted it and began a sicge. As those within the city, however, were not dismayed either by his attack or by the siege, but stoutly held out against him, his hopes were dashed ; for they drove him off from the city, and went out against him in pursuit, so that he had to come to Babylon ${ }^{d}$ as a fugitive, and lost many of his army. And as he was grieving over this failure, some men brought him news also of the defeat of the generals whom he had left to make war on the Jews, and of the strength which the Jews now had. And so, with the Death of anxiety over these events added to his former anxiety, Antiochus he was overwhelmed, and in his despondency fell ill ; 1 Mace. vi. 8 and as his illness lingered on, and his sufferings in-
dite, are merely hellenizations of the Oriental Nanaia or Anaitis, cf. 2 Macc. i. 13 and Cook, RAP, pp. 218, 223.
d So 1 Macc.; Polybius gives the name of the city in which Antiochus died as Tabac in P'ersia. This is prohably an crror for Gabae, a city in (iabiane, a sub-province (eparchia) of Elymais, according to Strabo xv. 728 and xvi. 745. Ecbatana is given in 2 Nace. ix. 3 as the name of the city where Antiochus heard the news of the Jews' successes in the West ; this, in turn, is identified by Kugler, pp. 387 ff., with Aspadana (mod. Ispahan), once apparently called Gai or Gabac. Niese, GGMS iii. 218 note 3, corrects I'olybius' Tabate to Gabac, but in Kritik, pp. 19 f., suggests that here 2 Mace. confuses Antiochus Epiphanes with Antiochus Sidetes. See now W. W. Tarn, The 'ireeks in Buctriu. pp. 463-466.

## JOSEPHUS

$\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu, \sigma v v \epsilon i s$ ö ő $\iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu, \sigma v \gamma-$


 тòv vaòv каi то̂̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ катафроиク́баS．каi таи̂та


 ＇Артє́ $\mu \iota \delta o s ~ i є \rho o ̀ v ~ \sigma v \lambda \eta ̄ \sigma a \iota \cdot ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{a ̀ \rho ~ \mu \eta к є ́ \tau \iota ~ \pi о \iota \eta ̄ \sigma а \iota ~}$





 $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu^{5} \dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \mu i \zeta$ оv $\sigma \iota \nu .{ }^{6}$
（2）＇O $\delta$＇＇A $\nu \tau i ́ o \chi o s ~ \pi \rho i \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ка入є́ $\sigma \alpha s$



 $\pi \rho о \nu \circ \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} S$ av̇тô каi $\tau \eta \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


## ${ }^{1}$ кai toûro FLV ：тои̂тo PW．

${ }^{2}$ каi $\sigma \nu \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a s ~ \Lambda M W E: ~ \sigma u \lambda \eta ́ \sigma a s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ V: ~ \sigma u \lambda \eta ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ N a b e r . ~$ ${ }^{3} \mu \in$ om．PFL $A M$ ．

 ${ }^{6}$ ed．pr．：voцu̧óvтんи（voцiگovtos $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ ）codd．

> a Or " intimated." Variant "one is."

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 357-361

creased, he perceived that he was about to die ; he therefore called together his friends and told them that his illness was severe, and confessed ${ }^{a}$ that he was suffering these afflictions because he had harmed the Jewish nation by despoiling their temple and treating God with contempt; and with these words he expired. Accordingly I am ${ }^{b}$ surprised that Polybius of Megalopolis, who is an honest man, says that Antiochus died because he wished to despoil the temple of Artemis in Persia ${ }^{c}$; for merely to wish a thing without actually doing it is not deserving of punishment. But although Polybius may think that Antiochus lost his life on that account, it is much more probable that the king died because of sacrilegiously despoiling the temple in Jerusalem. Concerning this matter, however, I shall not dispute with those who believe that the cause given by the Megalopolitan is nearer the truth than that given by us. ${ }^{d}$
(2) Now before he died, Antiochus summoned Philip is Philip, one of his companions, e and appointed him $\begin{gathered}\text { appointed } \\ \text { regent of }\end{gathered}$ regent of his kingdom, and giving him his diadem and the Seleucid robe and seal-ring, ordered him to take these and give them to his son Antiochus; and he requested Philip vi. 14. to look after his son's education and to guard the kingdom for him.f And Antiochus died in the
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## JOSEPHUS



 §єіккขvбı ßабıлє́a, калє́баs aùтòv Eùта́тора.

 то入入à тoùs 'Iovסaious єipүágavтo тoùs $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ảva-









 $\epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu ~ к а i ́ ~ \tau ı \nu \alpha s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ o ́ \mu о i ́ \omega \nu ~ к а i ~ a ̉ \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega \nu}$



${ }^{1}$ кai om. FY'W Lat.
a The 149 th yr. Sel. extended from Oct. 164 to Oct. 163 в.c. Other ancient sources indicate that Antiochus died in the spring or summer of 163 b.c., so, e.g., Eusebius, Chronicon (ed. Aucher 1. 348), who gives Olymp. 154.1 = July 164 to July 163 1.c.: this date is rather arbitrarily corrected by some scholars (cf. Niese, GGMS iii. Q18 note 7) to Olymp. 153.4 $=$ July 165 to July 164 b.c. Niese considers the date given by Josephus and 1 Macc. vi. 16 " a deliberate or carclesis alteration" of the real date, and prefers the account of 2 Macc. xi. 23 ff ., which implies that Antiochus' death was known in Syria-or at least that his snn Antiochus Eupator was recognized as king-as early as the 148th yr. Sel., that 188

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 361-364

hundred and forty-ninth year. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Then Lysias, after informing the people of his death, appointed his son Antiochus king-for he had charge of him,-and called him Eupator.
(3) At this time the garrison in the Akra of Jerusalem and the Jewish renegades ${ }^{b}$ did much harm to the Jews; for when they went up to the temple with the intention of sacrificing, the garrison would sally out and kill them-for the Akra commanded the temple. ${ }^{c}$ And so, as a result of these experiences, Judas determined to drive out the garrison, and gathering together all the people, he stoutly besieged those in the Akra. This was in the hundred and fiftieth year of the Seleucid reign. ${ }^{d}$ Accordingly, he constructed siege-engines, and erected earthworks, and assiduously applied himself to the capture of the Akra. But many of the renegades within the Akra went out by night into the country, and having gathered together some of the irreligious men like themselves, came to King Antiochus ${ }^{e}$ and said that they did not deserve to be left to suffer these hardships at the hands of their countrymen, especially as they were enduring them for the sake of his father,
is, before Oct. 164 r.c. Kugler, pp. 390 ff., dates Antiochus' death in March or April, 164 b.c.

- The Jewish renegades are not mentioned at this point in 1 Macc. They are, indeed, mentioned further on, in vs. 21,
 'Iopan'd, but 1 Macc. does not say that they were in the $1 \mathrm{~h} r a$, as Josephus states in $\S 364$; cf. $\$ \S 252$ note $e, 305$ note $a$.
- Here too Josephus amplifies I Macc.
${ }^{6}$ 'The 150 th yr. Sel. extended from Oct. 163 to Oct. 162 $13 . \mathrm{c}$. 2 Macc. xiii. 1 places the invasion of Judaca by Antiochus Eupator and I ysias ( $c f . \S 367$ ) in the 149 th yr. Sel. $=164 / 3 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{c}$.
- At Antioch, of. § 367. 1 Macc. does not say where the king was.
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 aas ò $\pi \alpha i ̂$ ' 'Avтioxos $\dot{\omega} \rho \gamma i \sigma \theta \eta$, каi тov̀s $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ óvas





367
 є́к $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'Avтוoхєías $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ \vaiov $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a-$
 $\epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'ISovpaiav є̇ $\kappa \epsilon i ̂ \theta \epsilon \nu \in i s \mathrm{~B} \epsilon \theta \sigma o v ́ p a \nu$ àvaßaiveı тó入ıv $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha ~ o ̉ \chi v \rho a ̀ \nu^{1}$ каi $\delta v \sigma a ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \nu$, каi $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$

 aùтov̂ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu(\epsilon ่ \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \xi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \circ \nu$






$$
{ }^{1} \text { íqXupàv PMI : è̉upà̀ } \mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{1} .
$$
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 364-369

for they had broken with their ancestral religion and had adopted that which he had commanded them to follow ; and now, they continued, the citadel was in danger of being taken by Judas and his men, as well as the garrison stationed there by the king, unless some assistance were sent by him. When the young Antiochus heard this, he became angry, and sending for his officers and Friends, ordered them to collect mercenaries and those in his kingdom who were of military age. And so an army was collected, which consisted of about a hundred thousand foot-soldiers and twenty thousand horsemen ${ }^{a}$ and thirty-two elephants.
(4) Thereupon he took this force and set out from Antio. Antioch with Lysias, who was in command of the chus V entire army, and after coming to Idumaea, he went up from there to Bethsura, ${ }^{b}$ a very strong city and one difficult to take, and he invested the city and besieged Eupator invades Judaea. it. However, as the people of Bethsura strongly resisted and burned his supply of siege-engines-for they sallied out against him,-much time was consumed in the siege. And when Judas heard of the king's advance, he left off besieging the Akra, and went to meet the king, pitching his camp near the mountain passes, at a place called Bethzacharias, ${ }^{c}$
5000 horsemen and 80 elephants (2 Mace. has 110,000 foot-soldiers, 5300 horsemen and 22 elephants). On the number of elephants (32) given above, Abrahams, Campaigns, p. 30, remarks, " this agrees with Polybius who (in the procession at Antioch in 165 b.c.), in addition to a few chariot elephants, describes the presence of "thirty-six elephants in single file, with all their furniture on.' 'The coincidence of numbers is almost exact."

- Cf. § 313 note b.
 6 miles N.E. of Bethsur.
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 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu \quad \pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota} \tau \circ i ̂ s ~ \pi о \lambda_{\epsilon \mu i o \iota s,} \gamma \nu \mu \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \alpha$,

 $\kappa є \kappa \rho а \gamma o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ аv่т $\hat{\nu}$. таиิта óp̂ิv ó 'Iov́ठas ov̉ $\kappa а \tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \eta, \delta \epsilon \xi$ á $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оs $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu v a i \not \omega s$ тov̀s $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s$


${ }^{2}+$ каi ío $\chi$ vро̀̀s AMW.
${ }^{3}$ Naber: фídous codd.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ About 8 miles; this estimate (not given in 1 Mace.) is a little more than the actual distance between Bethzacharias and Bethsur, given in the preceding note. Père $A$ bel locates the aetual battlefield at Ballutat el-\erza, c. $\frac{1}{2}$ mile S. of Beit Skiaria.
" Josephus omits the detail in 1 Mace. that the Syrians " showed the blood of grapes (i.e, red wine) and mulberries " to the elephants to make them fieree. It has been conjectured by Wellhausen (cited hy Bévenot) that the Heb. original had hirwâh "intoxicated" and that this was corrupted to, or mistaken for, her'âh "showed."

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 370-373

which was seventy stades ${ }^{a}$ away from the enemy. Thereupon the king set out from Bethsura and lcd his The battle army to the passes and Judas' camp; and at daybreak he drew up his army for battle. ${ }^{b}$ And he made charace. his elephants follow one another, since they could not ${ }^{\text {vi. }}{ }^{33}$. be placed side by side in an extended line because of the narrow space. ${ }^{c}$ Round each elephant there advanced together a thousand foot-soldiers and five hundred horsemen ; and the elephants carried high ${ }^{d}$ towers and archers.e He also made the rest of his force ascend the mountains on either side, putting his lightarmed troops ${ }^{f}$ in front of them. Then he ordered his army to raise the battle-cry, and set upon the enemy, uncovering his shields of gold ${ }^{g}$ and bronze so that a brilliant light was given off by them, while the momtains re-echoed the shouts of his men. Judas saw this, and yet was not terrified, but valiantly met the enemy's charge, and slew some six hundred of their skirmishers. And his brother Eleazar, whom they
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 тòv' v́ぬŋ
 єivau, тарєßá入єто $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a ~ \epsilon u ̉ к \alpha \rho \delta i ́ \omega s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi ’ ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~$ о́ $\mu \eta \prime \sigma \alpha s, ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \mu ' ̀ \nu ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ’ \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~$ $\kappa \tau \epsilon i v a s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda o v s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \delta a \sigma \epsilon \nu$, vimo $\delta \dot{v} s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ vimò $\tau \grave{\eta \nu} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$ каi $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\xi} \alpha_{S}$ aंтє́ктєıvє тòv $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$.

 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ aं $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha s, \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega$ тоv́т $\omega$ тòv ßíov катє́ $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon \nu$.











 оркิิv то̀ є’v 'I $\epsilon \rho о \sigma о \lambda ข ́ \mu о \iota s ~ \pi р о \sigma \epsilon к а ө \epsilon ' \zeta є \tau о, ~ к а \rho-~$
 $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ò $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} s$ є่ $\pi^{\prime}$ av̉тoùs $\epsilon$ є́ $\tau \eta \sigma \epsilon ~ \mu \eta \chi \alpha ́ \nu \eta \mu \alpha$,


${ }^{1}$ oũtes FLAMW Lat.
${ }^{2}$ є่ $\pi i$ тà FLAMWE .
${ }^{3}$ д̀ $\epsilon$ vòv VE.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{5} \mathrm{M} \text { Zonaras: aủroùs rell. }
\end{aligned}
$$

19. 

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 373-378

ealled Auran, ${ }^{a}$ on seeing that the tallest of the Eleazar elephants was armed with breastplates like those of is crushel the king, and supposing that the king was mounted on it, risked his life by rushing upon it boldly, and by an elephant. after killing many of the men round the elephant and seattering the others, he slipped under the elephant's belly and killed it with a thrust. But the animal came down upon Eleazar and erushed the hero under its weight. And so, after bravely ${ }^{b}$ destroying many of the foe, Eleazar met his end in this manner.
(5) Thereupon Judas, seeing how strong the enemy Antiochus was, retired to Jerusalem ${ }^{c}$ and prepared himself for a siege. And Antioehus sent a part of his army to Bethsura to assault it, while he himself with the captures Bethsur. 1 Macc. vi. 49. rest of his foree came to Jerusalem. Now the inhabitants of Bethsura, being overawed by his strength, and seeing how searee their provisions were, surrendered to him, after reeeiving sworn assuranees that they should suffer no harm at the hands of the king. ${ }^{d}$ Then Antiochus took the eity and did nothing to them beyond expelling them unarmed; and he stationed his own garrison in the city. But the siege $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}$ then of the temple in Jerusalem kept him there a long Jesieges time, for those within stoutly resisted ; and every 1 Hacc. siege-engine which the king set up against them, they, in turn, countered with another engine. Their supply of food, however, had begun to give out, for the present crop had been consumed, and the ground
a Cf. § 266 note $b$.

- Variant " And after so bravely."
c According to B.J. i. 45 Judas withdrew to Gophna, N. of Jerusalem.
d I Macc. says nothing of these "sworn assurances," but merely that the king " made peace with them."
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 тод入ої тоєүарои̂v т $\hat{\nu} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota о р к о ч \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \delta р а \sigma к о \nu ~$
 $i \epsilon \rho \hat{\varphi}$ ката入єєфө̄ŋvaı.
 тoıav̂ta ovvéßaıvev єivaı тà $\pi \rho \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$. Nvaias $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$



 тоıท̂баı фаvєрòv тoîs oтратьы́таıs каi тoîs $\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mu o ́ \sigma \iota v$






${ }_{2}{ }^{+}$'Avtioxos E Lat.
${ }^{3}$ E Lat. : $\dot{\delta} \eta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \eta$ каi codd.
${ }^{4}$ aủzoîs ed. pr.: om. Lat.
${ }^{5}$ тодихроисштáty LAMW.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Josephus here too amplifies somewhat. The date of this seventh (sabbatical) year is a matter of dispute. According to $\S \S 363$ ff. Lysias' eampaign against Bethsur began in the 150 th yr. Sel., extending from Oct. 163 to Oct. 162 b.c.; as the sabbatical year was reckoned from Tishri (ronghly October), we may assume that this one coincided with the 150 th yr. Sel., and that it was toward the end of this year, about the summer of 162 n.c., that the besieged inhabitants of Jerusalem began to feel the lack of food. This reckoning is supported by the statement in Ant. xiii. 234 that a sabhatical year began after Simon's death; as this occurred about February 135 в.с., the sabbatical year then began in 196
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had not been tilled that year, but had remained umsown beeause it was the seventh year, ${ }^{a}$ during which our law obliges us to let it lie uncultivated. ${ }^{b}$ Many of the besieged, therefore, ran away because of the lack of necessities, so that only a few were left in the temple.
(6) Such were the circumstances of those who were besieged in the temple. But when Lysias, the commander, and the king were informed that Philip was coming against them from Persia to seeure the government for himself, they were ready to abandon the siege and set out against Philip; they decided, however, not to reveal their plan to the soldiers and their

Antiochus Eupator, threatened by Philip's advance, makes terms with the Jews in Jerusalem. 1 Macc. vi. 55. officers, ${ }^{c}$ but, instead, the king ordered Lysias to address him ${ }^{d}$ and the officers publicly and say nothing of the trouble with Philip, but merely show that the siege would take a very long time, and the place was very strong, and explain that their supply of food had Oct. 135 b.c., the sabbatical years being the following: (1) Oct. 163 to Oct. 162 b.c. (2) Oct. 156 to Oct. 155 в.c. (3) Oct. 149 to Oct. 148 b.c. (4) Oct. 142 to Oct. 141 b.c. (5) Oct. 135 to Oct. 134 b.c. This reckoning seems however to be contradicted by the statement in Ant. xiv. 475 that Herod and Sossius captured Jerusalem during a sabbatical year, since that event occurred in the summer of 37 в.c., and thus the sabbatical year began in Oct. 38 в.c., which does not fit in with the table given above. But Josephus may have been inexact in language there, $c f$. note ad loc.
${ }^{6}$ Cf. Ex. xxiii. 10 f., Lev. xxv. 2 ff.
${ }^{c}$ Our text of 1 Macc. vi. 57 states that Lysias addressed the king, the officers and the men at the same time, eimev
 ${ }_{a} \nu \delta$ pas, hut it is quite possible that Josephus' text of 1 Mace. read differently (some mss. and the Syriac version have " the nohles" for "the men"). It is likely, moreover, that Josephus infers secrecy on the king's part from the fact that Lysias does not mention Philip in his specech.
"Variant " them."
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 381 катабтท̂бaı $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Є่v $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ßабı入єía $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, каi





 $\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu$.









 384 каi Mevélaos є̇калєîto. Avoías $\gamma$ à $\sigma v v \in \beta$ oú $\lambda \in v \sigma \epsilon$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тòv Mєvє́ ${ }^{2} a o v a ̀ v \in \lambda \epsilon i ̂ v$, $\epsilon i ̂ \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~$


 ${ }^{2}$ каi om. PFLV.
${ }^{3}$ тapacтâбav $\mathrm{PFL} \Lambda^{2} V$.

c 1 Macc. " the king and the officers," of. $\$ 380$ note $c$.
b Variant " to accompany him." The above reading is closer to 1 Mace., ка日єî̀єv тò $\tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o s ~ к ข к \lambda o ́ \theta \epsilon v . ~$
c The following scetion, $\S \S 383-388$, is not taken from 1 Macc.
${ }^{1}$ Zeitlin, Meg. Taanit, pp. 80 f., connects with this event 198
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already begun to fail, and that it was necessary to put in order many of the affairs of the kingdom, and that it seemed much better to make a treaty with the besieged and scek the friendship of their whote nation by permitting them to observe their fathers' laws, the loss of which had caused them to begin the present war ; and that then they should return home. Lysias spoke in this manner, and both the army and their officers ${ }^{a}$ were pleased with his advice.
(7) And so the king sent to Judas and those who were being besieged with him, and offered to make peace with them and allow them to live in accordance with their fathers' laws. Thereupon the Jews gladly accepted his proposals, and after receiving sworn assurances of his good faith, went out from the temple. But when Antiochus entered it and saw how strong the place was, he violated his oaths, and ordered his force to go round ${ }^{b}$ and pull down the wall to the ground. ${ }^{c}$ After doing this, he returned to Antioch, ${ }^{d}$ taking with him the high priest Onias, who was also called Menelaus. ${ }^{e}$ For Lysias had advised the king to slay Menelaus, if he wished the Jews to remain quiet and not give him any trouble ; it was this man, he said, who had been the canse of the mischief
the statement in Megillath T'a'anith under the 28th of Shebat (roughly February) that "Antiochus departed (or "was made to depart ") from Jerusalem," while Derenbourg, p. 59, and Lichtenstein, Fastenrolle p. 279, connect with this period the statement in Megillath Ta'anith under the 28th of Adar (roughly March) that " the good news came to the Jews that they need not depart from the Law" ( $c f .2$ Mace. xi. 24 ff.), which statement the ancient seholion refers to the time of Hadrian. In view of the vagueness of these statements, it is safer not to connect them with known historical events.

- He was, according to $\S 235$, the youngest son of Simon II and the brother of Onias III and Jesus-Jason.
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 on ßaбı入єv̀s ais Bépoıav $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ Supías $\delta \iota \in ́ \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \nu$,











 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{a s}$, à $\lambda \lambda$ ' $\dot{\text { úò }}$ Avoíov $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s, \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \theta \epsilon i \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 фє́́yєє $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ М \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ A i \gamma v ́ \pi \tau o v ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a . ~$


${ }^{1}+$ 'Avtioxor AMW'.<br>2 'Iáкчноs PFLW' 'I $\omega$ а́кєєцоs $\mathrm{A}^{2} \mathrm{ME}:$ Joachim Lat.<br>${ }^{3}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \in i s$ LA MW W. ${ }^{4}$ ópồ PFLA marg. V.<br>${ }^{5}$ Dekker: áфíє $\theta$ ar cod. E : relictus Lat.
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by persuading the king's father to compel the Jews to abandon their fathers' religion. Accordingly, the king sent Menelaus to Beroea in Syria, and there had him put to death ${ }^{a}$; he had served as high priest for ten years, ${ }^{b}$ and had been a wicked and impious man, who in order to have sole authority for himself had

Execution of the
high priest Menelaus; accession of Alcimus. compelled his nation to violate their own laws. The high priest chosen after the death of Menelaus was Alcimus, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ also called Jakeimos. ${ }^{d}$ Now when King Antiochus found ${ }^{e}$ that Philip had already seized control of the government, he made war on him, and after getting him into his power, killed him. Then Onias fv Onias, ${ }^{f}$ the son of the high priest, ${ }^{g}$ who, as we said $\begin{gathered}\text { Hees to } \\ \text { Egypt and }\end{gathered}$ before, ${ }^{h}$ had been left a mere child when his father builds a died, seeing that the king had slain his uncle Mene- themple laus and had given the high priesthood to Alcimus, although he was not of the family of high priests, ${ }^{i}$ because he had been persuaded by Lysias to transfer the office from this house to another, fled to Ptolemy, the king of Egypt. And being treated with honour
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Variants Joakimos, Joakeimos (Joachim).

- Variant " saw."
' i.e. Onias IV (if we disregard Onias-Menelaus in the numbering of Oniad high priests).
${ }^{2}$ Onias III. According to B.J. vii. 423 it was an Onias, son of Simon, who fled to Ptolemy-this would be Onias III and not Onias IV, as here and in Ant. xiii. 62 ff. The Onias who was slain at Daphne near Antioch by Andronicus, the minister of Antiochus Epiphanes, at the instigation of Menelaus, according to 2 Macc. iv. 32 ff ., was Onias III, and not Onias IS: his martyrdom is probably alluded to in Dan. ix. 26, " the anointed one " (A.V. "Messiah "), meaning the anointed high priest. ${ }^{n}$ In § 237.
${ }^{i}$ According to 1 Mace. vii. 14 the Asidaioi ( $=$ Heb. I!nsidim) or pious Jews spoke of Alcimus as " a priest of the seed of Aaron," without specifying whether he was of the high-priestly family ; of. Ant. xx. 235.
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aủтov̂ $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon о \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \alpha s ~ \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \tau o ́ \pi о \nu^{1}{ }^{1} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \nu о \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega}$






















## ${ }^{1}$ то́тоv Herwerden : тóто⿱ ar $\xi \iota \omega ́ \sigma a s ~ c o d d . ~$ ${ }^{2}$ тoút $\omega \nu$ V Lat.

${ }^{3}$ rpıồ $\mathrm{PFV}^{1}$ : Thrum Lat.
${ }^{4}$ ar $\lambda \lambda \omega$ PFLV.
a In Ant. xiii. 62 ff.
${ }^{b} 1$ Macc. vii. 1 dates this event in the 151 st yr. Set., which extended from Oct. 162 to Oct. 161 b.c. The account in Polybius (see next note) pretty definitely fixes it in the autumn of $16=$ в.с.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Demetrius I Soter, the son of Seleucus IV' Philopator and the nephew of Antiochus Epiphanes, had been a hostage in 202
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by him and his wife Cleopatra, he received a place in the nome of Heliopolis, where he built a temple similar to that in Jerusalem. Of this, however, we shall give an account on a more fitting occasion. ${ }^{a}$
(x. 1) About the same time ${ }^{b}$ Demetrius, the son of Seleucus, ${ }^{c}$ escaped from Rome, and occupying Tripolis in Syria, ${ }^{d}$ placed the diadem on his own head; then he gathered round him a number of mercenaries, and entered the kingdom, where all the people received him gladly and submitted to him. They also seized King Antiochus and Lysias, and brought them to him alive. And by order of Demetrius these two were inmediately put to death, ${ }^{e}$ Antiochus having reigned two years, ${ }^{f}$ as has already been related elsewhere. ${ }^{9}$ Then there came to him in a body many of the wicked and renegade Jews, among whom was the high priest Alcimus, and they accused their whole nation, especially Judas and his brothers, saying that they had killed all the king's friends, and had destroyed all those in the kingdom who were of his party and

Demetrius
escapes from
Rome and
becomes
king of
Syria.
1 Mace.
vii. 1. awaited his coming, and had driven the present speakers out of their country and made them aliens in Rome during the latter's reign. The story of his escape, when refused permission to return to Syria by the Roman Senate, is vividly narrated by his friend and counsellor, the historian Polybius, xxxi. 11 (19) ff.
${ }^{d}$ So 2 Macc. xiv. 1; 1 Macc. has only " a city on the seacoast " (of Phoenicia).

- According to 1 Macc. Demetrius' order was given in a less explicit form, " Do not show me their faces," probably in order to evade direct responsibility for their execution.

1163 to 16 ? b.c. inclusive.

- No such passage is found in Josephus; this may, however, be a reference to another historian, and not to Josephus' earlier writing: cf. the Appendix on the sources of Josephns for the Hellenistic-Roman period in the last volume of this translation.
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 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a v \tau a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v ~ i \delta i ́ \omega v ~ \tau \iota v a ̀ ~ \phi i ̀ \lambda \omega v ~ \gamma v \omega ̂ v a \iota ~ \delta i ' ~ a v ่ т o \hat{v}$

393
(2) 'O סє̀ $\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota o s ~ \pi \alpha \rho о \xi \nu \imath \theta \epsilon i s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota ~ В а к-~$






 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' I o v ́ \delta a v ~ к а i ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̀ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o u ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂, ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~$














 remorari fecit Lat.
${ }^{a} 1$ Nacc. calls him " one of the Friends ( $c f . \S 134$ note a) of the king," without specifying which king, but presumably meaning Demetrius.
b Nacc. " a great man in the kingdom and one faithful to the king."
204

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 392-396

a strange land : and now they requested him to send one of his own friends and learn from him what bold crimes had been committed by Judas and his men.
(2) And so Demetrius, being roused to anger, sent out Bacchides, a friend of King Antiochus Epiphanes, ${ }^{a}$ and a worthy inan, ${ }^{b}$ who had been entrusted with the government of all Mesopotamia, ${ }^{c}$ and giving him a force of soldiers, and putting Alcimus under his protection, instructed him to kill Judas and the men with

Demetrius
sends
Bacchides
against Judas. 1 Масс. vii. 8. him. Thereupon Bacchides set out with his force from Antioch, and when he came to Judaea, sent to Judas and his brothers to discuss friendship and peace, for he planned to take him by deceit. But Judas did not trust him, for he saw that he had come with such an army as one has when going to war, but not when making peace. Some of the citizens, ${ }^{d}$ however, giving car to the peace proposals made by Bacchides, and believing that they would suffer no harm at the hands of Aleimus, who was their countryman, ${ }^{e}$ went over to them, and after receiving oathis from both men that neither they themselves nor those who were of their mind should suffer in any way, put themselves in their hands. But Bacelides made light of his oaths, and killed sixty of them ; and so, by not keeping faith with the first, deterred the others who were thinking of going over to him from doing so. And
c Jowephus misunderstands the phrase in 1 slacc.. кขрıєv́ovra ėv тề mépav qoû moтaцov̂, which means that he was governor of the country uest of the Euphrates, whether North Syria (so Meyer, Urspmung ii. 242 note 4) or Coele-Syria (so Wellhausen cited by Neyer, cf. Ant. xi. 25 note $a$ ), and not Mesopotamia.
d These were the seribes and Asidaioi (=Heh. IIasidim) or pious and peace-loving Jews, who did not, it seems, fully sympathize with the Hasmonaeans, but $c f .8401$ note a.
e 1 Nacc. " a priest of the seed of Iaron," cf. $\S 387$ note $i$.

## JOSEPHUS

 В $\eta \rho \zeta \eta \theta \grave{\omega}^{1} \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ є่ $\dagger \epsilon ́ v \in \tau о$ ，$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a s ~ \sigma v \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \in \iota$
－$\pi o \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ av̇тo $o \lambda o u ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ к а i ́ ~ \tau u ' a s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \lambda a o v ̂, ~$
 $\pi \hat{\alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ ن ீ \pi \alpha к о v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ' А \lambda к i ́ \mu \omega \cdot ~ к а i ~} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \hat{\alpha} s ~ \tau \iota \nu о s$,

 vi $\pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon \nu$ ．
 $\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \kappa \alpha i$ бvvєis öть катабкєváбаs єüvovv

 $\chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu$ ó $\iota \lambda \hat{\omega \nu}, \tau a \chi \grave{v} \delta \eta ̀, \mu a ́ \lambda a \quad \chi \in i \rho a ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ каi
 $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} a \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\pi \epsilon \phi v \gamma a \delta \epsilon \cup \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ ，ois $\dot{\jmath} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \iota s$ каi $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \chi \rho \omega ́ \jmath \mu \in \nu O s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \eta ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \tau о ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu$,

 ＇Iov́סas $\gamma \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu^{6}$ каi $\pi о \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta а \rho к о ́ \tau а ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



${ }^{1}$ B $\eta \theta \zeta$ そु $\theta \dot{\omega}$ FV : Birzitho Lat.
${ }^{2}$ Naber: aủzov̂ codd.
${ }^{3}$＇Iáкıцоs P：＇I $\omega \alpha$ ќкє $\mu$ оs E：Ioachim Lat．
${ }^{6}$ кai scel．Naber．
${ }^{5} \eta \check{\eta} \delta \eta$ om．PL Lat．
${ }^{6}$ yıvó $\mu$ हvov IWM corr．
a Variant Bethzetho；most mss．of t Mace．have B $\eta \zeta^{\prime} \theta$ ，but Luc．BaıӨら̆apá．This Bezeth or Bethzetho is probably not the well－known Bezetha，the northern quarter of Jerusalem． since the text of 1 Macc．implies that Bacchides marched some distance from the city，but，as Père $A$ bel suggests，mod．Beit Zeita，c． 3 miles N．of Bethsur． 1 Macc．speaks of Bac－ chides＇victims being slaughtered near a great cistern，the 206
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when, after marching out of Jerusalem, he came to a village called Berzetho, ${ }^{a}$ he sent his men to seize many of the deserters ${ }^{b}$ and some of the people, and after killing all these, commanded all who lived in the country to obey Alcimus; and leaving him with enough of an army to enable him to keep the country under his control, he returned to Antioch ${ }^{c}$ to King Demetrius.
(3) But Alcimus, wishing to strengthen his authority, and perceiving that by making the people feel friendly toward him he would govern with greater security, led them on with kind words, and speaking to every-

Alcimus attempts to conciliate the Jews. 1 Mace. vii. 21 . one in a pleasant and gracious manner, very soon indeed acquired a large body of men and a force behind him, ${ }^{d}$ who were for the most part from the irreligious and renegades, and these he used as his attendants and soldiers in going through the country ; and all those whom he found in it siding with Judas he slew. When Judas, therefore, saw that Alcimus had now become powerful and had put to death many of the good and pious men of the nation, he also went through the country, and put to death those who sided with the enemy. And when Alcimus saw that he was
ruins of which Père Abel thinks may still be seen at $\overline{\mathrm{u}} \hat{\mathrm{ffin}}$ close by Beit Zeita. Meyer, on the other hand, Ursprung ii. 244 note 1, adheres to the older view that Bezetha (or Bethesda) is meant, and connects the cistern in 1 Macc. with the "pool of Bethesda" mentioned in John v. 2.
" 1 Nace. vii. 19 " those of the deserters who were with him," which may mean cither the Jews who had deserted to the Syrians ( $c f$. vs. 则 $1=\S 400$ ) and whom he was now punishing for their carlier disobedience, or the Jews who had first sided with the Syrians, but later went over to Judas.
c 1 Macc. omits " to Antioch."
${ }^{d}$ This explanation of the way in which Alcimus acquired a following is an addition to 1 Macc.
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 $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$.
(4) 'O $\delta$ є́ $\Delta \eta \mu \eta$ трьоs тоиิт’ $\eta$ ’ $\delta \eta$ каi тоîs iठío七s















$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \text { Dindorf: } \delta \hat{\varphi} \text { codd. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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not able to withstand Judas, but was inferior to him in strength, he decided to turn for help to his ally King Demetrius. Accordingly, he went to Antioch and roused the king's anger against Judas, at whose hands he said in his accusation, he had suffered many injuries, which would become still greater unless Judas were first caught and brought to punishment by having a strong force sent against him. ${ }^{a}$
(4) Thereupon Demetrius, beginning to believe Demetrius that it would be hazardous to his own interests also to $\begin{gathered}\text { sends } \\ \text { Nicanor }\end{gathered}$ do nothing about Judas' growing strength, sent out against Nicanor, the most deroted and faithful of his Friends ${ }^{b}$ Judas. -for it was he who had escaped with him from the vii. 26. city of Rome, ${ }^{c}$--and giving him as large a force as he thought would be sufficient for him to use against Judas, ordered him to deal unsparingly with the nation. But when Nicanor came to Jerusalem, he decided not to fight Judas immediately, but chose to get him into his power by deccit, and so he sent him offers of peace, saying that there was no necessity for their making war and facing danger, but he would give Judas his oath that he should suffer no harm ; for, he said, he had come with some friends to make clear to them what the intentions of King Demetrius
denonnce Judas as leader of the Asiduioi, really the peaceloving Jews, cf. $\S 396$ note $d$.

- 1 Mace. " one of his honoured officers (áp $\alpha o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ )," 2 Mace. xiv. 12 " the elephantarch."
${ }^{c}$ Neither I Macc. nor 2 Macc, mentions Nicanor's having been in Kome with Demetrius, which information Josephus must have got from Polybius, cf. Polyb. xxxi. 14(22). 4. It is doubtful whether he is the same Nicanor whom Lysias sent against Judas in 165 b.c., $c f . \S 998$ note $b$. Bevan, $H$. Sel. ii. 200 note 5 , writes, "One suspects that Josephus had nothing to go upon, except that he knew from Polybius that a Nicanor had been on that occasion with Demetrius."


## JOSEPHUS

$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v ~ \tau o \hat{v} \beta$ ßađìє́cus Siávoıav, ís $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ tov̂





 405 öт ós $\sigma v \lambda \lambda a ́ \beta \omega \sigma \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' I o v ́ \delta a v . ~ o ́ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ avvєis $\tau \eta ̀ \nu$



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta v} \mu a ́ \chi \eta v$ оvцßád $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota} \kappa \alpha \tau a ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a \quad \kappa \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu$



 ßuтє́p

${ }^{1}$ V Lat. vid. : кai $\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \grave{v}$ rell.
${ }^{2}$ 'Iovoaious PFLVEA marg.
${ }^{4}$ ó $\delta$ é Dindorf: ôs Hudson: кai codd.
${ }^{5}$ Dindorf: $\tau \grave{v}$ 'Iov́סav codd.
${ }^{6}$ tis AMWE.
${ }^{8}$ є̇к FLVE.
7 є́тєi PFLV.
${ }^{9}$ ن́mavт ${ }^{\prime} \sigma a v \tau \epsilon$ LAM.
${ }^{10} \mathrm{MV}$ : є̇ $\pi \iota \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ rell.
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were, and how he felt toward their race. ${ }^{a}$ 'This offer, which was made by the enroys of Nicanor, was believed by Judas and his brothers, and not suspecting any treachery, they gave pledges to him, and received Nicanor with his force. But he, after greeting Judas, and while conversing with him, gave his men a certain signal by which they were to seize Judas. He, however, saw through the plot, and dashing out, escaped to his own men. ${ }^{b}$ Accordingly, since his purpose and the trap had become known, Nicanor decided to make war on Judas; but the other, having organized his men and prepared for battle, engaged him at a certain village called Kapharsalama, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ and defeated him and forced him to flee to the Akra in Jerusalem. ${ }^{d}$
(5) And again, e as Nicanor was coming down from the Akra to the temple, he was met by some of the priests and elders, who greeted him and showed him the sacrifices which they said they were offering to God on behalf of the king. Thereupon he fell to
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 $\tau \rho \iota \alpha ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ В $\eta \theta \omega \rho о \hat{v}$, $\quad \tau \rho a \tau о \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \tau a l$, $\delta \iota \sigma-$












${ }^{1}$ Dindorf: $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \omega ́ \eta ~ a u t ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \delta \hat{\omega}$ codd. E.
${ }^{2}$ B $\eta \theta \omega \rho \frac{1}{}$ P: Baı $\theta \omega \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \mathrm{FL}: \mathrm{B} \epsilon \theta \omega \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \mathrm{V}$ : Bethoro Lat.
${ }^{3}$ eis xidious AMW : xidious E Lat.
 є $\chi \omega \nu \mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ : socios habens Lat.
" 1 Mace, "burn."

- Variant Baithoron, cf. $\S 289$ note $d$.
- P'ere Abel accepts the identification of Adasa with mod. Khirbet 'Adaseh earlier proposed by Guérin, although this 212


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 406-410

cursing them, and threatened that, if the people did not give Judas up to him, he would pull down ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the temple when he returned. After making these threats, he left Jerusalem, while the priests burst into tears in their distress over his words, and supplicated God to deliver them from their enemies. Now after Nicanor had left Jerusalem, he came to a ccrtain village called Bethoron, ${ }^{b}$ and there encamped, being joined by another force from Syria. And Judas encamped at Adasa, ${ }^{c}$ another village thirty stades ${ }^{c}$ distant from Bethoron, with two thousand men in all. ${ }^{d}$ These he exhorted not to be overawed by the numbers of their adversaries nor to reflect how many they were about to contend against, but to bear in mind who they were and for what prize they were facing danger, and bravely encounter the enemy ; and then he led them out to battle. And engaging Nicanor, Judas' ric. he defeated his adversaries after a severe fight, and tory over killed many of them; and finally ${ }^{e}$ Nicanor himself fell, fighting gloriously. When he fell, his army did at Adasa. 1 Macc. not stay, but having lost their commander, threw away all their armour, and turned to flight. But Judas
site is really 60 stades (c. $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ miles) from Beit-' $\hat{U}$, el-F'ôq $\hat{a}$ (Bethoron), and not merely 30 stades as Josephus states (no distance is given in 1 llace.). Other scholars, including Schürer, identify Adasa with mod. 'Aldaseh N.E. of Bethoron in the vicinity of Jifna (Gophna), on the basis of B.J. i. 45 ff . where the account of Judas' retreat to Gophna after the battle of Bethzacharias (cf. ss 369 ff .) is followed by that of his death (!) at Acedasa (=Adasa !), but the passage in B.J. is entirely unreliable. We must, it seems, suppose, with Père Abel, that Josephus errs here ill giving the distance between Adasa and Bethoron as 30 stades.
> ${ }^{d}$ Variant $1000 ; 1$ Macc. 3000.
> - 1 Macc. says that Nicanor was the first to fall. Josephus also adds the phrase "fighting glorionsly."

## JOSEPHUS


 $411 \mu$ iovs. oi $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \nu$ av̉zaîs ảкоv́ovтєs $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \eta \eta^{\prime} \delta \omega \nu \dot{\omega} \pi \lambda \iota \sigma-$



 $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \kappa a ́ \tau \eta$ тои̂ $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \tau о 仑 ̂ ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u ~ \pi а \rho a ̀ ~$


 то८ то仑 र $\rho o ́ v o v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ้ \theta v o s ~$


 $\kappa а \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o s ~ \tau o ̂ ~ a ́ \gamma i o v ~ \pi a \lambda a ı o ̀ v ~ o ̂ v ~ к а i ̀ ~ к а \tau-~$ $\epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu$ v́тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i \omega \nu^{1} \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta{ }^{1}$


 $414 \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma a \rho a$. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тov́тov $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ ả $\rho \chi-$
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 410-414

pursued ${ }^{a}$ and slew them, and caused the trumpets to signal to the surrounding villages that he was defeating the enemy. When their inhabitants heard this, they leaped to arms, and heading off the fugitives, met them face to face, and killed them, so that from this battle not a single man escaped out of the nine thousand who were in it. ${ }^{b}$ Now the victory took place on the thirteenth of the month which is called Adar by the Jews, and Dystros by the Macedonians. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ And the Jews celebrate their rictory every year in this month, and observe this day as a festival. ${ }^{d}$ But though the Jewish nation for a little while after that date had respite from war and enjoyed peace, thereafter it was again to undergo a period of struggle and danger.
(6) ${ }^{e}$ As the high priest Alcimus was planning to The painful pull down the wall of the Holy Place, ${ }^{f}$ which was very death of Aleimus. old ${ }^{g}$ and had been erected by the ancient ${ }^{h}$ prophets, 1 Macc. a sudden stroke from God ${ }^{i}$ seized him, by which he was brought speechless to the ground, and after suffering torment for many days, he died, having been high priest for four years. ${ }^{j}$ And when he died, 1 macc. vii. 1.
d Cf. Megillath Ta'anith under this date, "On the 13th (of Adar) is the day of Nicanor," cf. also Ant. xi. 292 note $c$. The year of the victory over Nicanor is not given in 1 Macc., but on the basis of 1 Macc. ix. 3 we may date the battle in March 161 в.c.

- The following section on Alcimus is placed in 1 Macc. (ix. 54 ff .) after the death of Judas, in the 153 rd yr. Sel. = 160/59 в.с.
' 1 Macc. " the wall of the inner court of the sanctuary."
- Detail not found in 1 Macc.
" Variant " holy."
' 1 Macc. omits " from God."
${ }^{6}$ From 162 в.с. ( $f f . \S 385$ note $c$ ) to 159 в.с. inclusive ( $c f$. above, note $e$ ), counting part of a year as a full year.


## JOSEPHUS





 Фí̀ıттоv каi тòv $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a v ~ ' A v \tau i ́ o \chi o v, ~ Є ̈ \gamma v \omega ~ \phi ı \lambda i ́ a \nu ~$

 viòv каi 'Iáqova тòv 'E $\lambda \epsilon \alpha$ 弓ápov, тарєка́ $\epsilon \epsilon \iota$ ठi'









1 кататєтоуท́кабє PV.
${ }^{2} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a \mathrm{PFLV}$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ This statement, repeated in $\S \S 419$ and 434, has no basis in 1 Mace. ; it is, moreover, contradicted by Josephus himself, who says, Ant. xx. 237, that after the death of Alcimus there was no high priest in Jerusalem for seven years (i.e. until Jonathan became high priest). According to early rabbinic tradition, of. Derenbourg, p. 58, Mattathias and his sons were all high priests. If not actually high priest, Judas was, at any rate, the head of the Jewish prople, as Schïrer points ont, i. 219 .
${ }^{-}$Cf. § 413 note $e$.
c Either the territory of the Gauls in Asia Minor, which the Romans raided in 189 в.c. or Cisalpine Gaul, which the Romans conquered in 190 b.c.
d 1 Maee. Smavias "Spain," which the Romans conquered in part in 201 n.c. 1 Mace. refers to the precious metals of this country.
a reference to the victory of the liomans at Lama in 216

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 414-417

the people gave the high priesthood to Judas ${ }^{\text {a }}$; thereupon, ${ }^{b}$ having heard of the power of the Romans and that they had subdued Galatia ${ }^{c}$ and Iberia ${ }^{d}$ and Carthage in Libya, ${ }^{e}$ and in addition had conquered Greece ${ }^{f}$ and the kings Perseus, ${ }^{g}$ Philip ${ }^{h}$ and Antiochus the Great, ${ }^{i}$ he decided to make a treaty of friendship with them. ${ }^{j}$ Accordingly, he sent to Rome his friends Eupolemus, the son of Joannes, and Jason, the son of Eleazar, and through them requested the Romans to become his allies and friends, and to write to Demetrius that he should not make war on the Jews. When the envoys sent by Judas came to Rome, the Senate received them, and after they ${ }^{k}$ had spoken about their mission, agreed to the alliance. It also made a decree concerning this, and sent a copy to Judaea, while the original was engraved on bronze tablets and deposited in the Capitol. ${ }^{l}$ It read as
202 b.c. 1 Macc. does not mention the Carthaginians by name, but probably refers to them (viii. 4) as " the kings . . . from the end of the earth."
f 1 Mace. "the Kitians," here meaning the Macedonians.

- The last Macedonian king, who was defeated by L. Acmilius Paulus at Pydna in 168 b.c.
${ }^{n}$ 'The father of Perseus, defeated by T. Quinctius Flaminimus at Cynoscephalae in 197 b.c. (1 Macc. mentions Philip before P'erseus).
${ }^{i}$ Antiochus III, having been defeated in several battles by the Lomans, the last at Magnesia in 189 b.c., was forced to pay a large indemnity and annual tribute to Rome. Josephus omits further details about the Romans given in 1 Macc. viii. 6-16.
${ }^{3}$ On the problem of the first diplomatic retations between Julaea and Rome, and on the anthenticity of the decree of the Roman senate quoted in $\$ 8417 \mathrm{ff}$. ( $=1$ Macc. viii. 23 ff .) see literature cited in Appendix J.
${ }^{k}$ Variant " it " (the senate).
${ }^{1} 1$ Mace. says naïvely that the copy sent to Jcrusalem was engraved on bronze tablets.


## JOSEPHUS






 каi $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu, ~ \grave{\alpha} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}^{2}$ 'P $\omega \mu \alpha i \omega \nu$ є' $\pi i \omega \omega \imath^{\prime 1}$ тıvєs, 'nov-








 ойт $\omega$ s $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \beta \eta ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$.
420 (xi. 1) $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota o s \delta^{\prime}$ ar $\pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$ au $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 au $\hat{\varphi}$ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau о \varsigma, \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ В а к \chi i ́ \delta \eta \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $421 \delta v v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \omega$ s $\epsilon$ is $\tau \eta{ }^{2} \nu$ 'Io


<br>${ }^{3} \gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta \omega \mathrm{~F}: \gamma \epsilon \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{~V}: \gamma i \gamma v \in \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{AMW}$.<br>${ }^{4}$ §è тò P Lat.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII. 417-421

follows. "A decree of the Senate concerning a treaty The Roman of alliance and goodwill ${ }^{a}$ with the Jewish nation. No treaty with one of those who are subject to the Romans shall 1 Haec. make war on the Jewish nation, or furnish to those viii. 23. who make war on them any grain, ships or money. ${ }^{b}$ And if any attack the Jews, the Romans shall assist them so far as they are able, and on the other hand, if any attack the Romans, the Jews shall help them as allies. ${ }^{c}$ And if the Jewish nation ${ }^{d}$ wishes either to add anything to, or remove anything from, this treaty of alliance, this shall be done with the concurrence of the Roman people, ${ }^{e}$ and whatever may be added shall be valid." $f$ The decree was signed ${ }^{g}$ by Eupolemus, the son of Joannes, and by Jason, the son of Eleazar, Judas being high priest of the nation, and his brother Simon commander. ${ }^{h}$ This, then, is how the first treaty of friendship and alliance between the Romans and the Jews came about.
(xi. 1) Now when Demetrius was informed of the Demetrius death of Nicanor and of the destruction of the army $\begin{gathered}\text { Bands } \\ \text { Bachides }\end{gathered}$ with him, he again sent out Bacchides ${ }^{i}$ with a force against to Judaca. Sctting out from Antioch, he came to $\begin{aligned} & \text { Judas. } \\ & \text { Slace. ix. } 1\end{aligned}$
c In these two sentences also Josephus alters the phraseology of 1 Nacc. to conform with Greek usage.
d 1 Nace. " if either side."
 both Romans and Jews) ; to the phrase кoเviŋ . . . $\gamma v \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ то仑 $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v$ in Josephus Täubler, 1 mp . Rom. p. 241, cites parallels

${ }^{\prime} 1$ Macc. adds that the Romans wrote to Demetrins warning him not to molest their Jewish allics.

- Lit. " written."
${ }^{n}$ This sentence is not found in 1 Macc. On the alleged high-priesthood of Judas, $c f$. $\S+14$ note $a$.

1 Nacc. adds "and Neimus," which Josephus omits because he has already reported Alcimus' death, cf. § 413 note $e$.

## JOSEPHUS

'Iovסaiav, є̇v 'A $\rho \beta \eta$ ท́doıs $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \Gamma a \lambda \iota \lambda a i a s ~ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha-~$









 $\dot{v \pi}$ ò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ оікєі́ $\omega \nu$ отрать $\omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$

 о́ктакобí $\omega v$ бvцßадєîv тоîs той ${ }^{4}$ Baкхídov, каi

 Barziton Lat.: B $\eta \theta \zeta \eta \theta \dot{\omega}$ (ef. ad § 39\%) Naber.
${ }^{2}$ dno milia Lat.

${ }^{4} \mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ tô FLAMVW. ${ }^{5} \tau \in \mathrm{P}$.
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Judaea and encamped at Arbela, a city in Galilee ${ }^{a}$; and after besieging those who were in the caves there ${ }^{b}$-for many had taken refuge in these,-he captured them, and departing from there, hastened toward Jerusalem. ${ }^{c}$ But when he learned that Judas had encamped at a certain village by the name of Berzetho, ${ }^{d}$ he pushed on to meet him with twenty thousand foot-soldiers and two thousand horsemen ; while Judas' whole force amounted to only a thousand.e When these saw the great numbers of Bacchides' men, they became afraid, ${ }^{f}$ and abandoning their lines, all but eight hundred fled. But Judas, Judas enalthough abandoned by his own soldiers, and with the enemy pressing him and allowing him no time to rally his force, was ready to engage Bacchides' men with courages his small force. his eight hundred; and so he exhorted these few ${ }^{g}$ to
${ }^{b}$ The caves (sec preceding note) are not mentioned in 1 Macc.
${ }^{c} 1$ Mace. dates this in the first month of the 152 nd yr . Sel. $=$ April 161 в.c.
${ }^{d}$ Variants Barzetho, Birzetho, Zetho, etc.; 1 Macc. Berea, v.ll. Beerzath, Berethiim. This site was earlier identified (cf. Schürer i. 222 note 36) with Bir ez-Zeit c. 2 miles N.W. of Gophna (mod. Jifnâ) and c. 15 miles N. of Jerusalem. Père Abel, however, positing the reading Bereth in 1 Macc., conjectures that the Heb. original had Birath, which he identifies with mod. el-Bireh c. 10 miles N. of Jerusalem, commanding the road between Jerusalem and Samaria. He holds that this conjecture is supported by the fact that Elasa, mentioned in 1 Mace. as the site of Judas' eamp over against
 than a mile S.W. of el-Bireh.

- Variant 2000 ; 1 Macc. " 3000 picked men." Judas must have had much more than a 1000 men, if, after most of them had fled ( $c f$. below), there were still 800 left.
' Variant " these feared the great number of Bacchides' men."
- Reading $\gamma \epsilon$.


## JOSEPHUS

424 тòv кívסvvov，тарєка́入єє $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ v$ є่ $\pi i$ тท̀v $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$ ．т $\omega \hat{\nu}$


 үóvт $\alpha^{3}$ тoùs ííous тoîs є’ $\chi$ ण роîs $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ v, ~ " ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~$

 $\tau \eta ̀ v$ ó $\pi \alpha \rho \omega ́ v ~ \mu о \imath ~ к а \iota \rho o ̀ s ~ ф \epsilon ́ \rho є \imath ~ к а i ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega S ~$ а̇тодє́ซөає $\mu \alpha \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v, ~ \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma о \mu a \iota, ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu v a i ́ \omega s ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~$


 $\lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha s, \pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ то̂ै кıvঠv́vov катафроขท́－




 427 фá入aү $\frac{s}{}$ ，aủzòs $\delta^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \nu$ є̇ $\pi i$ тov̂ $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota o \hat{v}$ кє́ $\rho \omega s$ ．ou゙т $\omega s$ $\delta \epsilon ่ \quad \sigma v \nu \tau \alpha ́ \xi \alpha_{S} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \alpha ́ \nu, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon i \quad \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon ́ \mu \iota \xi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \epsilon \nu \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau о \pi \epsilon \prime \delta \omega, \quad \sigma \eta \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \quad \tau \grave{\nu} \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \kappa \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

428 тò $\delta$＇av̉тò тоıท́баs ó＇Iov́סаs $\sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ тоîs то入є－


${ }^{1}$ シ̈ каi PFW.
${ }^{2}$ aúroùs A MW corr.
${ }^{3}$ avvarayóvtas PL. 1.11 W .
${ }^{4} \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o{ }^{\prime}{ }^{5} \eta{ }^{\eta}$ coni. : tò $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \tilde{\eta}$ codd.
${ }^{5} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta a \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~L} \backslash \mathrm{M} W$.
${ }^{6}$ W: $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon i ̂ r a \iota ~ r e l l .: ~ \pi \rho o i ̈ ́ v a \iota ~ B e l k e r . ~$

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XH. 424-428

face danger bravely, and urged them to advance to battle. They, however, said that they were not sufficiently strong to fight so great an army, and advised him to retreat for the time being, and so save them, but, when he had assembled his men, ${ }^{a}$ to engage the foe then. "May the sun not look upon such a thing," ${ }^{b}$ he replied, " as that I should show my back to the enemy. But cven if the present moment brings death to me, and I must inevitably perish in the fight, I will stand my ground, valiantly enduring all things ${ }^{c}$ rather than flee now and so bring disgrace upon my former achicvements and upon the glory won through them." ${ }^{d}$ So he spoke to those who were left, urging them to show contempt for danger and join battle with the enemy.
(2) Meanwhile Bacchides led his force out of their Bacchides camp, and drew them up for battle; his horsemen he stationed on either wing, and the light-armed troops Berzetho. and archers he placed in front of his main body, $e^{1}$ ix. Mace. while he himself was on the right wing. Having marshalled his army in this way, he came close to the enemy's lines, and ordered his trumpeter to sound the charge, and his army to raise the battle-ery and go forward. And Judas, doing the same, engaged the enemy, and as both sides fought stoutly, the battle was prolonged till sunsct; but Judas, seeing
a Variant " when they had assembled their men."
b Josephus varies the phrase in 1 Nace. $\mu \dot{\prime}$ ноь үévoıтo $\pi о \imath \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ \tau \grave{o} \pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu \alpha$ тои̂то, which reflects the Heb. idiom $=$ "God forbid! "
c The variant, apparently a corruption through dittography, adds "that are to come."
"1 Macc. " Let us die bravely for the sake of our brothers, and let us not leave any stain on our reputation" (or " glory ").

- Lit. " the entire phalanx."


## JOSEPHUS

 $\grave{\epsilon} v \quad \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \hat{\varphi}$ кє́ $\rho \alpha \tau \iota \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v o v, \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ тov̀s






 $430 \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ к а т o ́ t \iota v ~ \gamma \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon v o \iota . ~ o ́ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \phi v \gamma \epsilon i ̂ v ~ o v ̉ ~$




 431 ả $\phi \epsilon i s . \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau o s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ ' I o v ́ \delta a, ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ v a ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda o u \pi o ̀ v ~$




 ovб८, $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \sigma v \chi \nu \alpha ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \pi \lambda \eta ́ \theta o v s ~$


> 1 'Ȩâ P: Gazara (om. öpous . . . $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$ онє́vov) Lat. ${ }^{2} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ PFLVE. ${ }^{3}$ дıúкоขтєs V.
${ }^{4}$ M $\omega \delta \epsilon \epsilon i \mu \mathrm{FV}:$ M $\omega \delta \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mathrm{L}:$ M $\omega \delta a \iota \epsilon \mathrm{~A} M \mathrm{~W}:$ Modin Lat.
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that Bacehides and the strongest part of his army were on the right wing, took his bravest men and made for that part of the line, and falling upon the troops there, broke their solid ranks. Then thrusting himself through their midst, he forced them to flee, and pursued them as far as Mount Aza, ${ }^{,}$as it is called. But when those of the left observed the rout of their The death right wing, they encircled Judas as he was pursuing of Judas it, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and coming up behind him, caught him in their 1 Macc. midst. And so, being unable to flee, and surrounded by the enemy, he stood there with his followers and fought. But after killing many of his adversaries, he became worn out, and himself fell ; and so, still performing glorious deeds as he was dying, like those which he had performed in the past, he breathed his last. When Judas fell, his followers, having no one to look to thereafter, and being deprived of so great a commander, fled forthwith. ${ }^{c}$ But Simon and Jonathan, the brothers of Judas, obtained his dead body from the enemy under a truce, and carrying it to the village of Modeein, ${ }^{d}$ where their father also had been buricd, performed the last rites ; and the people mourned him for many days, and publicly honoured him with the customary ceremonies. Such was the

Torrey, $J / 3 L$ liii., t934, p. 32, less plausibly supposes that the
 "ad ma'aleh hū-här " up to the slope of the hill." Both these attempts to eliminate the proper name seem less convincing than the proposal of Père Abel to explain AZAOPOTE in Josephus as an haplography of AZSPOR OPOYD, and to identify this $A$ zorns with mod. $e l-{ }^{-}\langle\stackrel{u}{u}$ ur, a hilly site $c .6$ miles N.E. of el-Bîreh (Berzetho).
" Variant "they encircled and pursued Judas."

- Josephus here amplifies the brief statement in I Mace. (ix. 18), "Aud Judas fell, and the rest fled."
${ }^{4}$ Variants Modeei, Modaiei, etc., of. § 265 note e.


## JOSEPHCS

 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ̂ o \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о \pi$ о́ $\lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu^{1} \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$, каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$





 є́тоs трі́тор катабХळั̀ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \theta a \nu \epsilon \nu$.
${ }^{1} \mu \epsilon \gamma а$ дóтoд $\mu$ ov coni. Niese.
${ }^{2} \delta^{\prime}$ P' om. rell.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES. XII. 433-434

end of Judas, who had been a valiant man and a great warrior, ${ }^{a}$ and mindful of the injunctions of his father Mattathias, had had the fortitude to do and suffer all things for the liberty of his fellow-citizens. And such was the prowess of this man that he left behind him the greatest and most glorious of memorials-to have freed his nation and rescued them from slavery to the Macedonians. And he had held the high priesthood for three years when he died. ${ }^{b}$
" Conjectured variant " greatly daring."

- Cf. $\$ 414$ note $a$. The final section, $\S \S 433-434$, is an addition to 1 Macc.


## BIBAION IГ

(i. 1) Tiva $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv тоótov $\tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iov $\alpha a i ́ \omega \nu$ є" $\theta \nu$ vos катабоv入 $\omega \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ aù兀ò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Макє $\delta o ́ v \omega \nu$



 'Iov́סov $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu^{1}$ öбov $\hat{\eta} \nu$ є̈ $\tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тѝ̀ $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota o \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \phi u ́ \eta ~ \tau о і ̂ \varsigma ~$





 4 סóvas. Вакхíŋŋs $\delta$ є̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iovסaíwv тov̀s ảmo-


 'Iov́סov фídous каi $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ द́єє
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(i. 1) In what manner the Jewish nation regained Bacchides its liberty after the Macedonians had subjugated it, oppresses and how many and how severe were the struggles after through which their commander Judas went before Judas's death. he died fighting on their behalf, we have related in 1 Mace. the preceding book. Now after the death of Judas ${ }^{a}$ all those who remained of the godless, and the transgressors against their country's manner of life once more rose up among the Jews, and flourishing on all sides, did them injury. And their wickedness was aecompanied by a famine which seized upon the country, so that through the lack of necessities and their inability to hold out against the afflictions caused both by the famine and by their foes, many deserted to the Macedonians. Then Baechides gathered together those of the Jews who had given up the eustoms of their country and had chosen the kind of life common to other nations, and entrusted to them the government of the country ; and these men seized the friends of Judas and those who sympathized with him, and delivered them to Bacehides, whereupon he first tortured and maltreated them at his pleasure, and then made an end of them in this way. After this calamity had befallen the Jews, which was greater than any they had experieneed
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 ${ }_{6} \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ є̀v oîs какоîs ${ }^{3} \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. ó $\delta^{\prime}$ 'I $\omega v \alpha{ }^{\prime} \theta \eta s$ ф $\eta$ баs
 $\sigma \theta \epsilon i s ~ \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} v$ єîval $\chi \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu \tau \alpha \dot{ } \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \hat{v}, \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s$ àтобєiкขvтає $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iovסaí $\omega \nu$.





 каi ттара入аßо́vтєs тov̀s єं $\tau \alpha i ́ \rho o v s ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau а s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~$





 $10 \sigma \tau \rho a \tau о \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon v \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma$ à $\nu \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta u ́ v a \mu \nu \nu$. ' $\mathrm{I} \omega$ -
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \pi \iota \kappa \rho \omega \hat{s} \text { I'FLV: miserabiliter Lat. }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{4} \text { тоиิто om. PF. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 5-10

since their return from Babylon, ${ }^{a}$ those of Judas' companions who survived, seeing their nation perish so miserably, went to his brother Jonathan and begged him to imitate his brother, who in his concern for his countrymen had died on behalf of the liberty of them all, and not suffer the nation to be without a defender or be destroyed by its present afflictions. Thereupon Jonathan said that he was ready to die for them, and so, being considered in no way inferior to his brother, he was appointed commander of the Jews. ${ }^{b}$
(2) But Bacehides heard of this, and fearing that Jonathan Jonathan might eause trouble to the king and the from Macedonians, as Judas had done before him, he Bacchides sought to kill him by treachery. ${ }^{c}$ That this was his ix. Macc. intention, however, was not unknown to Jonathan and his brother Simon, and when they learned of it, they took all their companions and fled in haste to the wilderness which was nearest to the city, ${ }^{d}$ and on coming to the body of water called the Pool of Asphar, e remained there. But when Bacchides became aware that they had removed and were now in that place, he set out against them with his entire foree and eneamped across the Jordan and there rested his
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## JOSEPHUS

 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ́ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ \nu ~ ' ~ І ~ \omega a ́ v \nu \eta \nu ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ к а i ~ \Gamma a \delta \delta i \nu \nu^{1}$



 баvтєs є́к M $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \text { áßas } \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ o i ~ ' A \mu a p a i ́ o v ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s ~\end{aligned}$ aủ兀óv $\tau \epsilon \sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ v o v \sigma \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \sigma u ̀ v ~ a u ̉ \tau(\hat{i}$, каi
 'I $\omega a ́ v \nu \eta \nu$ каi тov̀s є́тaípous av̉тov̂ mávтas. סíкךv





 $\pi \alpha \rho о р \mu \eta ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ є ́ \tau \alpha i ́ p o v s ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \psi v \chi \widehat{\omega} v$
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 10-13

force. ${ }^{a}$ Thereupon Jonathan, learning that Bacchides had come against him, sent his brother John, also called Gaddis, ${ }^{b}$ to the Nabataean Arabs ${ }^{c}$ to leave his equipment with them until they should fight against Bacehides, for they were friends of the Jews. But as John was on his way to the Nabataeans, the sons of Amaraios ${ }^{d}$ lay in ambush for him outside the city of Medaba, ${ }^{e}$ and seized both him and his men, and after plundering all that they were carrying, killed John and all his companions. Nevertheless they suffered fitting punishment for this at the hands of his brothers, as we shall presently relate. ${ }^{f}$
(3) Now when Bacehides learned that Jonathan Bacchides had encamped in the marshes of the Jordan, ${ }^{g}$ he $\begin{gathered}\text { Jews on the the } \\ \text { attack }\end{gathered}$ waited for the day of the Sabbath and then came Sabbath. against him, thinking that he would not fight on that $t_{\mathrm{ix}, 43}^{1 \text { Macc. }}$ day because of the Law. But Jonathan exhorted his companions, telling them that their lives were in danger, since they were hemmed in between the
${ }^{-}$Cf. Ant. xii. 966 note $i$.
${ }^{c}$ Cf. Ant. xii. 335 note $c$.
${ }^{d}$ Bibl. Jambri ('I $\mu \beta \beta \iota, v . l$. ' $\left.\lambda \mu \beta \rho i ́\right)$; prob., as ClermontGanneau suggested long ago, from the name Yiamrî, found on a Nabatacan inscription near Medeba. Some of the older scholars held that the original Heb. of 1 Macc. had Amorite ('emôì), as Josephus' Greck implies, becanse Medeba is mentioned as an Amorite city in Num. xxi. 29-31. However Medeba was generally known as a Moabite city.
e Bibl. Medeha, mod. Mädabrā, c. 12 miles S.E. of the N. end of the bead Sea.
' In §§ 18-21. In 1 Macc. the story of Jonathan's and Simon's revenge comes directly after the story of John's murder.

- The battle must have taken place on the East of Jordan, thongh this is not clear from 1 Macc., and apparently not clear to Josephus, who has Jonathan cscaping across the river to 'Transjordan, $c f$. below, § 14.


## JOSEPHUS

$\mu \epsilon ́ v o t s ~ \tau о 仑 ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi о \tau а \mu о \hat{v} ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu ~ \omega ́ s ~$

 $\tau \hat{\omega}$ Өє $\hat{\omega}$ viк $\eta v$ aùzoîs $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \chi \epsilon i ̂ v, ~ \sigma v v a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$







 тоv Вакхíסov єis тウ̀v є̀v＇I $\epsilon \rho о \sigma о \lambda u ́ \mu о \iota s ~ a ̈ к р а \nu . ~$














 V：．Imathuntem I．at．
${ }^{8}$ Bat日apoùr 1＇：Betwoì V＇：Baitapov II：Betharon Lat．
 Lat． ${ }^{10}$ Єоко́ap W ：＇Toconam Lat．（vid．）．
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, NIII. 13-17

river and the enemy and so were unable to escape -for the enemy was attacking them in front, and the river was behind them-, ${ }^{a}$ and after praying to God to grant them the victory, he joined battle with the enemy. He had felled many of them when he saw Bacchides boldly advancing on him, and so he stretched out his right hand to strike him. But Bacchides saw the blow coming and evaded it, whereupon Jonathan leaped into the river with his companions and swam across, and in this manner they escaped to the other side of the Jordan, for the enemy no longer followed them across the river; and Bacchides straightway returned to the citadel in Jerusalem, having lost about two thousand ${ }^{b}$ of his army. Bacchides then occupied many cities of Judaea and fortified them, such as Jericho, Emmaus, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Beth-horon, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Bethel, Thamnatha, ${ }^{e}$ Pharatho, ${ }^{f}$ Tochoa ${ }^{g}$ and Gazara ${ }^{h}$; in each of these cities he built towers, and surrounded them with strong walls of exceeding height, and stationed forces in them in order that they might be able to issue from them and harass the Jews. Above all he fortified the citadel in Jerusalem. ${ }^{i}$ He also took the sons of the chief men of
${ }^{b}$ Only 1000 in most mss. of 1 Macc. (e.l. 3000).
${ }^{c}$ V'ariant Amathūs. Emmans is mod. 'Amwās, c. 20 miles N.W. of Jerusalem. ${ }^{d}$ Cf. Ant. xii. 289 note $d$.
e Prob. bibl. Timnath-Serah, and mod. Khirbet Tibna, according to Abel, G1', ii. 482, c. 12 miles N.E. of Emmans.
${ }^{\prime}$ Identified by some with bibl. Pirathon and mod. Farata, c. 6 miles S.W. of Shechem (mod. Nablës) ; this identification is questioned by Albright in BASOR, 49 (Feb. 1933), 26.

- 1 Nacc. has Tephon or 'Tepho, identified by Abel and others with bibl. 'Tappuah and mod. Seikh Abū Zarad, c. 25 miles due N. of Jerusalem.
${ }^{n}$ Cf. Ant. xii. 305 note $a$.
- Josephus omits Bethsur, mentioned in 1 Macc. ix. 52.


## JOSEPHUS



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' I \omega \nu a ́ \theta \eta v$ каi тòv ar $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v ~ a v ̉ \tau о \hat{v}$ 之'íf $\omega \nu \alpha$, тov̀s 'A $\mu a \rho \alpha i o u ~ \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta a s ~ a ̀ \pi \eta ' \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ' aùтoîs $\gamma a ́ \mu o v$ є́mıтє
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 17-22

Judaea as hostages and shut them up in the citadel and in this manner kept them under guard.
(4.) About this time ${ }^{a}$ someone came to Jonathan Jonathan and his brother Simon with the report that the sons of avenge the Amaraios were celebrating a wedding and bringing murder of the bride, who was the daughter of one of the dis- brother tinguished men among the Arabs, ${ }^{b}$ from the city of John, 1 Mace. Nabatha, ${ }^{c}$ and that the procession accompanying the ix. 37. girl would be a splendid and costly one. Thereupon Jonathan and Simon, thinking that a most favourable opportunity had arisen for avenging their brother, and believing that they could exact satisfaction from them with the greatest ease for the lilling of John, set out for Medaba and lay in wait for their foes in the mountains. And when they saw them conducting the maid and her bridegroom and a great company of friends, as is usual at a wedding, they sprang out from their ambush and killed them all, and after taking as spoil the ornaments and the rest of the possessions that were then being taken along by these people, turned back. Such, then, was the punishment which they inflicted on the sons of Amaraios for killing their brother John, for these men themselves and the friends who accompanied them, and their wives and children, perished to the number of about four hundred. ${ }^{d}$
(5) And so Simon and Jonathan retmrned to the marshes of the river and remained there, while Bacehides, after securing all Judaca with garrisons, Nabadath, Gabadan. Abel, GP, carte viii, locates it 2 miles S.W. of Medeba. Klein, $1 Y$ Y 6 , corrects the name to N:rrhatta, near Caesarea on the coast; with this the reading " of Canaan " seems to him to agree better than wilh a site in Transjordan.
${ }^{d}$ No number is given in I Mace.

## JOSEPHUS
















 каi тòv ßабı入є́a тєขтท́коvта av̀т $\omega \nu$ тov̀s $\dot{\eta} \gamma o v-$
 $\tau \alpha \dot{d} \delta \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}$ каi тоîs $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha i p o \iota s ~ \epsilon i s ~ B \eta \theta a \lambda a \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu^{2}$ àva-


 $27 \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu . ~ В а к \chi i ́ \delta \eta s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau$ ’ảкоv́баs $\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$
${ }^{1}$ aiađó $\mu$ eros L².\IW.
${ }^{2}$ Vithalagam Lat.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 22-87

returned to the king. ${ }^{a}$ And thereafter the affairs of the Jews were peaceful for two years. ${ }^{b}$ But the renegades ${ }^{c}$ and godless men, seeing that Jonathan and his followers were living in the country in the greatest security because of the peace, sent to King Demetrius and urged him to send Bacehides to seize Jonathan, for this, they informed him, could be done without difficulty, and if his men fell upon them unexpectedly in one night they could kill them all. The king therefore sent Bacchides, and when he came to Judaca, he wrote to his friends, both Jews and allies, to seize Jonathan for him. And they all made an effort to do so, but were not able to get hold of Jonathan-for he had become aware of the plot and guarded himself elosely- ${ }^{\text {d }}$, whereupon Bacchides, being enraged at the renegades for having, as he thought, deceived him and the king, seized fifty of their leaders and killed them. ${ }^{e}$ But Jonathan, in fear of Bacchides, withdrew with his brother and his companions to Bethalaga, ${ }^{f}$ a village in the wilderness, and building towers and surrounding it with walls, kept himself safely protected. When Bacchides Bacehides heard of this, he led out the army that was with him, and killed them." Most commentators take this to mean that Jonathan killed fifty of the Jews who called Bacchides besieges Jonallian. 1 Mace. to Judaea. Perhaps Josephus takes Bacchides to be the subject here because of vs. 69 (see below, § 31), which says that l3acchides, angry at the siege he was forced to undergo by Jonathan and Simon, killed many of the Jews who had invited him to attack the Hasmonacan forees.
${ }^{\prime}$ Brthbasi in 1 Nace. Oesterley, CAI', suggested a connexion with the I'ady el-Bassah, E. of Tekoah, and recently l'ere Abel has identified it more exactly with Khirbet Beit-Bassa, c. 3 miles N. by E. of Tekoah. Klein, E Y', p. 56, suggests that the original name was Beth Nibshan, found in Josh. xv. 60. Wut the form Bethalaga in Joscphus still remains a puzzle.

## JOSEPHUS






 $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota^{2} \tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{~B} \alpha \kappa \chi i ́ \partial \eta \eta \pi о \lambda=\mu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma о \downarrow \tau \alpha$, $\lambda^{\prime} \theta \rho \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ аủтòs

 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau о \hat{v}$ Вакхíßov $\sigma \tau \rho а \tau о \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \omega$, каi $\sigma \nu \chi \nu o v ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 aủтoîs, каi $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\tau \grave{a} \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota о \rho-$






 риүádas, oî $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha v \tau o ~ \pi а \rho a ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v} \beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s$



 бßєv́єтає $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̀ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ ф ८ \lambda i ́ a s ~ к а i ~ \sigma v \mu \mu а х i a s, ~$
 ${ }^{3}$ aùrṑ ${ }^{\prime}$ '.
${ }^{2} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \pi \pi \epsilon$ 011. АМWЕ.
${ }^{4} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ т $̀ \nu$ толєоркіал L A MW.
and taking along his Jewish allies, came against Jonathan and assaulted his fortifications and besieged him for many days. Jonathan, however, did not yield before the severity of the siege, but after holding out stoutly, left his brother Simon in the city to carry on the fight with Bacchides, and himself secretly went out into the country; then having gathered together a large foree from among those who sympathized with him, ${ }^{a}$ he fell upon Bacchides' eamp by night and slew a good many of them, thus making known to his brother Simon that he had fallen upon the foe. When Simon beeame aware that the enemy were being killed by him, he too sallied out against them and burned the engines which the Macedonians had used in the siege, and caused the slaughter of a considerable number of their men. But Bacchides, seeing himself hemmed in by his foes, some of them pressing him in front and others from behind, fell into a despondent and disturbed state of mind, for he was confounded at the unexpected outcome of the siege. However he vented his anger at these reverses on the renegades who had sent for him to the king, for he believed they had deceived him. ${ }^{b}$ And he wished to end the siege and, if possible, return home without dishonour. ${ }^{c}$
(6) But Jonathan, learning what was in his mind, sent envoys to him to propose a friendly alliance and
a 1 Nacc. ix. 66 reads, " And he (Jonathan) smote Odoares and his brothers and the sons of Phasiron in their tents." Possibly Josephus read є́ $\pi \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \xi \in \nu$ instead of $\epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \alpha \xi \in \nu$ " he smote," and took the verse to mean that Jonathan enlisted the help of these tribes, not that he attarked them.
${ }^{6} \mathrm{Cf}$. above, $\$ 25$ note $e$.
c Or "to end the siege without dishonour, if possible, and return home."

## JOSEPHUS












 тò $\epsilon \theta \nu o s$.


 $\pi \rho o \delta o \sigma i a s ~ \tau \omega \hat{\nu}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \delta \nu^{5} \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\omega} s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\epsilon i ̂ \chi o v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta ́ \tau \rho ı o v ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ ن i \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \phi а \nu i ́ a \nu ~$ 36 aủ兀ô̂ каì тò $\delta v \sigma \epsilon ́ v \tau \epsilon \cup к т о \nu . ~ a ̉ \pi о к \lambda \epsilon i \sigma a s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a u ́ \tau o ̀ v ~$
 aủ兀òs oủk ä $\pi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'Av
${ }^{1} \epsilon \dot{\cup} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu \mathrm{FLS}$ Lat.

4 ' $\Lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \alpha v \delta \rho o v$ om. PF' E Lat.
 $\epsilon ้ v \delta o v \mathrm{P}$.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 32-36

that each side might return to the other the prisoners they had taken. ${ }^{a}$ Thereupon Bacchides, considering this the most dignified way of withdrawing, made a compact of friendship with Jonathan, and they swore not to make war against each other again ; and after returning the prisoners and getting back his own men, he returned to Antioch to the king, ${ }^{b}$ and after this withdrawal never again invaded Judaea. On obtaining this freedom of action, Jonathan made his residence in the city of Machma, ${ }^{c}$ and there administered the affairs of the people and punished the wicked and godless, and so purged the nation of them.
(ii. 1) Now in the hundred and sixtieth year, ${ }^{d}$ Alexander Alexander, ${ }^{e}$ the son of Antiochus Epiphanes, ${ }^{f}$ went up to Syria and succeeded in occupying Ptolemais ${ }^{g}$ through the treason of the soldiers within, for they were hostile to Demetrius because of his arrogance and unapproachableness. For he had shut himself in a palace with four towers which he had built not far from Antioch, and admitted no one, but was lazy
Jerusalem ; cf. Ant. vi. 98 note e. Jonathan must have ruled in comparative peace for about seven years, from 160 to 153 в.с.
${ }^{d} 153$ 2 b.c. Alexander arrived in Palestine in the summer of 15 в.с.

- Variant omits the name.
${ }^{1}$ So he is ealled in 1 Macc. The ancient Greek historians say that Alexander was an impostor put forward in their own interests by Attalus II of I'ergamum and l'tolemy VI Philometor of Egypt, who persuaded the Roman Senate to recognize his claim to the Seleucid throne. On Alexander's surname Balas see § 119 note $a$. The following narrative, to $\S 37$, is hased on a Greek source, probably P'olybius or Nieolas of Damascus. See the Appendix in vol. ix. of this translation.
- Mod. 'Akk̄̄, cf. Ant. xii. 331 note $c$.


## JOSEPHUS



 $\mu a i ̂ ̀ \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' A \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho o v ~ a ́ \kappa o v ́ \sigma a s ~ o ́ ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta ं \tau \rho \iota o s ~ \eta ̄ \gamma \epsilon \nu ~$





 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon \nu$ оûv aùt $\hat{\omega}$ ovva日








 ßaбı $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega s$ oтратıàv $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi то̀̀s ó $\mu \eta$ роия







${ }^{1}$ à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ каi FL AMVW Lat.
 ${ }^{5}$ тодє́ $\quad$ ous PFV.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 36-42

and carcless about public affairs, for which reason the hatred of his subjects was still more inflamed against him, as we have already related elsewhere. ${ }^{a}$ But when Demetrius heard that Alexander had come to Ptolemais, he took his entire army and led it against him. He also sent envoys to Jonathan to propose a friendly alliance, for he had determined to anticipate Alexander lest he should be before him in treating with Jonathan and obtaining assistance from him. This he did from fear that Jonathan might bear him a grudge for his former enmity, and therefore join in the attack on him. Accordingly he instructed him to collect a force and provide arms, and to recover the Jewish hostages whom Bacchides had shut up in the citadel of Jerusalem. On receiving this message from Demetrius, Jonathan came to Jerusalem and read the king's letter in the hearing of the people and of those who guarded the citadel. And when these instructions were read, the godless men and the renegades of the citadel were in great fcar, now that the king had permitted Jonathan to raise an army and recover the hostages. But he restored every one of them to his parents. So Jonathan took up his residence ${ }^{b}$ in Jerusalem, making various repairs in the city and arranging everything according to his own liking. Thus he ordered the walls of the city ${ }^{c}$ also to be built of square stones in order that they might be more secure against the
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## JOSEPHUS

ó $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ oi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ф $\quad$ ovpícuv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ '̇v $\tau \hat{\eta}$ 'Iovסaía


 $\mu о \hat{\imath} \rho a \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iovסаícv каi $\pi \epsilon \phi \epsilon v \gamma о ́ \tau \omega \nu$


43
 $\kappa \alpha i^{1} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha \nu$ аu’то仑 каi ơ $\pi о ́ \sigma \alpha ~ \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega ̂ \nu$
 єï $\dot{\text { úтò } \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau р i ́ o v ~ к а i ~ B a \kappa \chi i ́ \delta o v ~ т о и ̂ ~} \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i ́ o v ~$


 каі $\mu \imath ̂ \sigma o s ~ о і к є \imath ̂ o \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \chi є \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta ́ \tau \rho \iota о \nu, ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ $44 \pi \epsilon \pi о \nu \theta \grave{\omega} s$ vi $\pi$ ’ $\alpha \cup \jmath \tau о \hat{v} к а к \dot{\alpha}$ каі $\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta к \omega ́ s . ~ " ~ \epsilon i$



 $45 \nu a ́ \theta \eta \nu$, $\quad \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau о \iota \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda \eta \prime \nu . ~ " \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u ̀ s$

 тоข̂то $\pi \epsilon \pi о ́ \mu ф а \mu \epsilon \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma є ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ ф ı \lambda i ́ a s ~ к а i ́ ~ \sigma u \mu-~$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \text { moderious V Lat. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathfrak{\eta}$ add. Dindorf.

$$
{ }^{6} \text { ф chías каi om. AMWF. }
$$

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 42-45

enemy. ${ }^{a}$ When the defenders of the garrisons in Judaea observed this, they all left their posts and fled to Antioch, with the exception of those in the city of Bethsur and those in the citadel of Jerusalem, ${ }^{b}$ for these consisted of the greater part of the godless and renegade Jews, and for that reason they did not abandon the garrisons.
(2) But when Alexander learned of the promises which Demetrius had made to Jonathan, knowing of his courage and what great things he had accomplished in the war with the Macedonians, and, on the other hand, how greatly he had suffered at the hands of Demetrius and Demetrius' general Bacchides, he told his Friends ${ }^{c}$ that at the present juncture he could find no better ally than Jonathan, who was courageous in battle ${ }^{d}$ and also had his own grounds for hating Demetrius, having suffered many injuries at his hands as well as having inflicted them on him. " If, then, we decide to make him our friend against Demetrius, nothing would be of more advantage just now than to invite him to make an alliance with us." Accordingly when it was decided by himself and his Friends to send to Jonathan, he wrote the following letter.e " King Alexander to his brother Jonathan, greeting. We have long heard of your courage and loyalty, and for this reason have sent to you to pro-
a Variant "war."

- Jerusalem is not specifically mentioned at this point in 1 Macc. For a brief summary of the recently discovered archaeological material bearing on the occupation of Bethsur see Albright in BASOR, 43 (Oct. 1931), pp. $2-12$ or Watzinger ii. 24 f . and Tafel 3.
- Cf. Ant. xii. 133 note.
d Vriant "against the enemy."
$e^{\text {e }}$ On the anthenticity of this lctter and that of Demetrius below of. literature cited in Appendix J.
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 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\circ}{\text { on }} \boldsymbol{\text { о }}$









 ката入і́тоь каıро̀v ais тои̂то. үра́фєє тоívvу каі

 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \theta \nu \in \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iovסaicov $\chi a i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta} \delta \iota \epsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta$ '$\sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \phi \iota \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \sigma a \sigma \iota \nu ~ v i \mu a ̂ s ~$






${ }^{1}$ iєратькク̀̀ P : sacerdotal Lat.
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pose a friendly ${ }^{a}$ alliance. We therefore elect you this day high priest of the Jews with the title of my Friend. I have also sent you as gifts a robe of purple and a gold crown ; and I request you, who have been honoured by us, to act toward us in like manner."
(3) On receiving this letter, Jonathan, at the time Demetrius of the festival of Tabernacles, put on the high- makes priestly robe, this being four years after the death of his brother Judas-for there had been no ligh priest during this time-, ${ }^{b}$ and gathered together a ${ }^{\text {x. }}{ }^{21}$. large force and forged a great number of arms. But Demetrius was greatly grieved on learning these things, and they caused him to blame himself for his dilatoriness in not anticipating Alexander by himself extending privileges ${ }^{c}$ to Jonathan instead of leaving the other this opportunity. Accordingly he also wrote a letter to Jonathan and the people, which read as follows. "King Demetrius to Jonathan ${ }^{d}$ and the Jewish nation, greeting. Since you have preserved your friendship for us, and in spite of their tempting offers have not joined yourselves to my foes, I commend you for this loyalty on your part, and exhort you to continue in the same course, for which you shall receive a recompense from us and our favour. For I shall release the greater part of you from the tribute and imposts which you have paid to my royal predecessors and to me, and for the
era, cf. Ant. xii. 240 note a). Judas died in 159 b.c.; Lnus Jonathan's accession to the high priesthood took place seven years after Judas' death, cf. Ant. xx. 237 ; for a similar contradiction cf. Ant. xii. 414 and note.
c This incaning of $\phi \omega \lambda \downarrow \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \in \in \epsilon v$ might have been included in the vocabulary given by Welles, Royal Corr., p. 373.
${ }^{4} 1$ Macc. omits Jonathan's name.
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu$, ov̂s $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \phi \dot{\phi} \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$, каi $\alpha, \nu \tau i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu \tau о \pi a \rho \chi \iota \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ 'Iovסaiạ
 paias, тoúzov ${ }^{1} \pi \alpha \rho a \chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega}$ víîv ảmò $\tau o v ̂ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ on $\rho \omega \nu$ ave $\hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta s$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$.








${ }^{1} \mathrm{E}$ : $\tau$ रórous cold.
${ }^{2}$ фpoupoùs om. AMW.
${ }^{4}$ є́opт̀̀ $\mathfrak{a} \pi a \sigma a \mathrm{E}$.
${ }^{5} \tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ P: ~ \tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a i ~ L \Lambda M I W . ~$
${ }^{6}$ ク̊ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \iota ~ L A M W$.
${ }^{a}$ On these and the following taxes see Bikerman, Inst. Sél. pp. 111-114.
" On " toparchy " (for which 1 Macc. has " nome ") as a subdivision of the nome see Schalit, pp. 21, 29 ff .
c 1 Macc. x. 30 reads, " And now I release you and exempt all the Jews from the tributes ( $\phi$ of $\omega \nu$ ) and from the payment of the salt-tax and the crown-taxes; and a third of the seed and a half of the fruit of trees, which it is my due to receive, I give up my right to receive from today and henceforth 250
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present I remit to you the tribute which you have always given. In addition I excuse you from payment of the salt-tax and crown-tax, ${ }^{a}$ which you have made to us, and in place of the third part of the grain and the half of the fruits of trees I remit to you my share thereof from this day on. And as for the poll-tax which was to be paid to me by the inhabitants of Judaea and the three toparchies ${ }^{b}$ adjoining it, Samaria, Galilee and Peraea, I exempt you from this now and for all time. ${ }^{c}$ And it is my wish that the city of Jerusalem shall be sacred and inviolable and be free to its borders from the tithe and tolls. And the citadel I place in the hands of your high priest Jonathan, and such men as he shall judge to be faithful and friendly to him, he may place in it as a garrison to guard it for us. And I also set free those Jews who have been taken captive and are in slavery in our realm. ${ }^{d}$ And I command that the Jews' beasts of burden shall not be requisitioned ${ }^{e}$ for our army, and that on the Sabbaths and all festivals and the three days preceding a festival ${ }^{f}$ the Jews
from the land of Judah and from the three nomes added to it, from Samaritis and Galilee." Several scholars delete "Galilee" and understand the text to mean three districts of Samaria, namely Aphairema, Lydda and Lamathaim, mentioned by name in 1 Macc. xi. 34, and alluded to in 1 Macc. x. 38 ; cf. § 125 note $a$. Josephus seems to have interpreted the passage in the light of the divisions of Jewinh territory in the 1 st century A.d. Cf. further Dr. Thackeray's note on Ap. ii. 43 and Büchler's remarks quoted in Appendix C of vol. vi. of this translation.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Cf. the similar act of P'tolemy II Philadelphus narrated in Aristeas § 12 f . (Ant. xii. 17 ff .).

- On this meaning of árүapevégoà cf. Hatch, Essuys in Biblical Greek, p. 37.
' 1 Macc. adds " and three days after."
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 $\hat{\omega} v$ каì тò є̇ $\mu \grave{v}$ бтра́тєч $\mu \alpha$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota . ~ к а \tau \alpha-~$ $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \delta^{\prime}$ aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oûs $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \in i s \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ф $\rho \circ$ úpıa, $\tau \iota \nu a ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}^{1}$








 $\kappa \alpha i ́ \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha, ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठє̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ ช́ov $\tau \alpha$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$



${ }^{1}$ Sè каì FLV.
${ }^{3}$ qoùs є่้ coni. : roîs codd.
${ }^{4}$ ex Mace. Bekker: трокєєн́́voıs codd.; propositis Lat.
${ }^{5} \tau \hat{\eta}$ 'Iovסaíạ vouois ex Macc. Grotins: Єंv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ 'Iovסaía vó $\mu$ ots codd.
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shall be exempt from labour. ${ }^{a}$ In the same manner do I set free the Jewish inhabitants of my realm and assure them of not being molested ; and to those who wish to serve in my army I give leave to do so, and this shall be permitted to as many as thirty thousand, and wherever they go they shall receive the same pay as my own army. Some of them I shall place in garrisons, and others in my bodyguard, and I shall make them officers at my court. ${ }^{b}$ I also permit them to live in accordance with their country's ${ }^{c}$ laws and to observe them, and it is my will that those living in the three districts added to Judaea ${ }^{d}$ shall be subject to these laws, ${ }^{e}$ and that it shall be the concern of the high priest that not a single Jew shall have any temple for worship other than that at Jerusalem. ${ }^{f}$ And I give out of my own revenue one hundred and fifty thousand drachmas ${ }^{g}$ yearly for the expenses of the sacrifices, and it is my wish that whatever is left over from this sum shall be yours. ${ }^{h}$ As for the ten thousand drachmas ${ }^{i}$ which the kings used to receive from the temple, these I remit to you because they belong to the
f 1 Macc. x .38 merely says that the inhabitants of the three newly acquired districts "shall not obey any authority other than that of the high priest." Josephus paraphrases freely. Ile also omits the donation of Ptolemais in vs. 39.

- 1 Macc. x. 40, "fifteen thousand shekels of silver" which would make only 30,000 drachmas or at most 60,000 (Attic) according to Josephus' reckoning in Ant. iii. 194.
${ }^{n} 1$ Macc. x. 41, "And all the overplus which the finance officials (oi $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\prime} \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ ) did not give as in former times, from now on they shall give for the work of the temple," which scems to mean the additional grants to the temple formerly made by the Seleucid (and Ptolemaic) kings and recently withheld by Selencid officials.
' 1 Macc. " 5000 shekels "; cf. note $g$ above.
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 $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v ~ \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \tau а \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ v a \nu \tau i ́ o u s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \phi u \gamma \eta ̀ \nu ~ к а i ~$
 ס८apтáלє тò $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu$, тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon \xi \iota o ́ \nu$, out $\sigma v \nu-$






${ }^{1}$ каi rô̂s $\lambda_{\text {eitovproîs LAMWE.. }}^{3}$.
${ }^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~L} \Lambda . \ 1 \mathrm{WE}$.
є̇даúvє兀 P .
${ }^{a} 1$ Macc. x. 46 f . states that Jonathan and the people distrusted the promises made by Demetrius, and remained loyal to Alexander Balas.
254
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priests who minister in the temple. And all those who take refuge in the temple at Jerusalem or in any place to which its name is attached, whether because they owe money to the king or for any other reason, shall be set free, and their possessions shall be left untouched. I also permit you to repair and rebuild the temple, the expense of which shall come out of my revenue ; and I give you leave to build the walls of your city and to erect high towers and to restore all these at my expense. Moreover, if there is any garrison which is to the advantage of the country of the Jews to have strengthened, this too shall be done at my expense."
(4) These, then, were the promises and favours ${ }^{a}$ which Demetrius offered when he wrote to the Jews. Meanwhile King Alexander gathered together a large force of mercenaries and soldiers from Syria who joined him, and marched against Demetrius. ${ }^{b}$ And

Demetrins is slain in battle with Alexander Balas. 1 Mace. x. 48. in the battle which took place the left wing of Demetrius put their adversaries to flight, and pursuing them for a great distance, killed a great many of them and plundered their camp; but the right wing, where Demetrius happened to be, was defeated. And though all the others fled, Demetrius fought valiantly and slew not a few of the enemy, but in pursuing the others, he rode his horse into a deep swamp from which it was difficult to get out, and as his horse fell just there, he was unable to escape, and

The following narrative, to $\S 80$, is from a Hellenistic source. 'The section on the battle between Demetrius and Alexander is paralleled in Justinus xxxv. and Appian, Syria 67. Bevan, II. Sel. ii. 211, thinks all these accounts are hased on l'olybius, but T. Reinach and Kolbe point to the chronological discrepancy, see next note.
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61 ảval $\rho \in \theta$ ท̂val. тò $\gamma$ à $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a u ̀ \tau o ̀ v ~$

 $\pi \epsilon$ os $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu v a i \omega s$ à $\pi \epsilon \mu a ́ \chi \epsilon \tau о$, каi $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a i ̂ o v$


 es каi $\grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda o \iota s^{1}{ }^{1} \delta \epsilon \delta \eta \lambda \omega ́ \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$.
62 (iii. 1) 'O $\delta$ et 'Oviov too ar $\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \omega s$ viols $\delta \mu$ -
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ П т о \lambda є \mu а i ̂ o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota к а \lambda о и ́ \mu є \nu о \nu ~$



 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Pi \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ к а i ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~$








${ }^{1}$ ar $\lambda \lambda$ os $\sigma v \nu \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{P}$.
${ }^{2}$ катабт ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon$ Naber.
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was slain. For the enemy, seeing what had happened to him, turned round, and encircling Demetrius, all threw their javelins at him. But though he was on foot, he valiantly fought them off, until finally, after receiving many wounds and no longer being able to hold out, he fell. Such was the fate that overtook Demetrius after a reign of eleven years, ${ }^{a}$ as we have related elsewhere. ${ }^{b}$
(iii. 1) ${ }^{c}$ Now the son of the high priest Onias, who The high had the same name as his father, having fled to priest onias King Ptolemy surnamed Philometor, was living in Alexandria, as we have said before ${ }^{d}$; and seeing that Judaea was being ravaged by the Macedonians and their kings, and desiring to acquire for himself eternal fame and glory, he determined to send to King Ptolemy and Queen Cleopatra and request of them authority to build a temple in Egypt similar to that at Jerusalem, and to appoint Levites and priests of his own race. In this desire he was encouraged chiefly by the words of the prophet Isaiah, who had lived more than six hundred years before and had foretold that a temple to the Most High God was surely to be built in Egypt by a Jew.' Being, there-
passage in Josephus: probably the formula is taken over from his source, $c f$. Ant. xii. 390 note $g$.
c On this story of Onias IV and the parallel account in 13.J. vii. 423-432, cf. literature cited in Appendices E and G.
${ }^{d}$ In Ant. xii. 386 ff .
e Cf. Is. xix. 19, "In that day shall there be an altar to the Lord in the midst of the land of Egypt, and a pillar at the border thereof to the Lord." Many commentators suspect vss. $18-25$ of this chapter of having been interpolated by a writer of the Hasmonaean perind; in rs. 18 some scholars emend "ir ha-heres " city of destruction" to "ir ha-heres "eity of the sun," supposing this to be an allusion to the name Heliopolis "city of the sun."
vol. vil
12
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 66 є" $\theta$ vows, каi $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \tau о v s ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \grave{\omega} \nu ~ \pi а \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а Ө \hat{\eta} \kappa о \nu ~$

 isp $\bar{\nu} v$ каi тò $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тàs $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon i ́ a s ~ o v ̉ \chi ~ o ́ ~ \mu о \delta о \xi \epsilon i v,{ }^{1}$



 каi $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa$ о́s, оікобон $\bar{\sigma} \alpha \iota$ vаòv $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon \gamma і ̈ \tau \tau \omega$



 68 dove of $\mu o ́ v o \iota \alpha \nu, ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \sigma a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ' \xi v \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ̂ v ~ \chi \rho \epsilon i ́ a \iota s ' ~ к а i ~$


$$
{ }^{1} \text { of } \rho \circ \dot{\prime} \delta o \xi o \nu \mathrm{FV} \text {. }
$$

a Probably not the war between Antiochus Epiphanes and Ptolemy Philometor, but the war between Philometor and his rival Ptolemy VII Euergetes, in which case Onias is to be identified with the Jewish general Onias mentioned in Ap. ii. 49. Büchler conjectures (Tobiaden, pp. 246 ff.) that Onias' contemporary Dositheus, a Samaritan, sought to establish a rival temple in Egypt.

- Most scholars, following Naville and Flinders Petrie, locate the site at the mod. Tell el-Yehüdiyeh, c. 30 miles N.E. of Memphis. In B.J. vii. 426 Josephus locates it at a 258
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fore, excited by these words, Onias wrote the following letter to Ptolemy and Cleopatra. "Many and great are the services which I have rendered you in the course of the war, ${ }^{a}$ with the help of God, when I was in Coele-Syria and Phoenicia, and when I came with the Jews to Leontopolis in the nome of Heliopolis ${ }^{b}$ and to other places where our nation is settled; and I found that most of them have temples, contrary to what is proper, and that for this reason they are ill-disposed toward one another, as is also the case with the Egyptians because of the multitude of their temples and their varying opinions about the forms of worship ; and I have found a most suitable place in the fortress called after Bubastis-of-the-Fields, which abounds in various kinds of trees and is full of sacred animals, wherefore I beg you to permit me to cleanse this temple, which belongs to no one and is in ruins, and to build a temple ${ }^{c}$ to the Most High God in the likeness of that at Jerusalem and with the same dimensions, ${ }^{,}$on behalf of you and your wife and children, in order that the Jewish inhabitants of Egypt may be able to come together there in mutual harmony and serve your interests. For this indced is what the prophet Isaiah foretold, 'There shall be an altar in Egypt to the
distance of 180 stades (c. 20 miles) from Memphis. For the literature on the topography see Schiirer iii. 97 n. ${ }_{2} 5$ and Petrie, Hyksos and Israelite Cities, 1906. The temple was
 mentioned in Ant. xiv. 133.

- Tscherikower, pp. $286 \mathrm{ff} .$, makes the interesting suggestion that the building of the temple was only incidental to the settling of a military colony of Jews by Onias.
${ }^{d}$ In B.J. vii. 427 Jovephus states merely that the altar of the Onias temple resembled that at Jerusalem.
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 ápapтíav каì тク̀̀ то仑 vómov тарáßaбıv єis

 К $\lambda \epsilon о \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \alpha$ 'Ovía $\chi a i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ \alpha ̀ \nu \epsilon ́ \gamma \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v$ oov $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 $\pi \tau \omega к о ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu а к а Ө a ̂ p a \iota, ~ \pi \rho о б а \gamma о р є о о ́ \mu є \nu о \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} S$





 §окєîv єis тòv $\theta$ єòv є’ $\xi \eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \nu \alpha \iota . "$
72 (3) \aßùv oûv тòv тóтov ó 'Ovías катєбкє́́aбєv'




${ }^{1}$ ex Lat. Hudson: àvé́रpaұєv codd.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{E}:$ ả̀тє $\gamma \rho \dot{́} \psi \in \nu\left(-\psi \in \mathrm{FL} M \mathrm{~N}^{\circ}\right)$ codd.
${ }^{3}$ ध́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \eta^{2}$ l'FLV $^{\prime}$ : petitionem Lat.

 ${ }^{6} \mu$ éd
${ }^{7} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$ om. I'FV: Iudaicae antiquitatis Lat.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES. XIII. 68-72

Lord God,' and many other such things did he prophesy concerning this place."
(2) This, then, is what Onias wrote to King Ptolemy. And one may get a notion of the king's piety and that of his sister and wife Cleopatra from the letter which they wrote in reply, for they placed the blame for the sin and transgression against the

Ptolemy Philometor allows Onias to build a temple at Leontopolis. Law on the head of Onias, ${ }^{a}$ writing the following reply. "King Ptolemy and Queen Cleopatra to Onias, greeting. We have read your petition asking that it be permitted you to cleanse the ruined temple in Leontopolis in the nome of Heliopolis, called Bubastis-of-the-Fields. We wonder, therefore, whether it will be pleasing to God that a temple be built in a place so wild ${ }^{b}$ and full of sacred ${ }^{c}$ animals. But since you say that the prophet Isaiah foretold this long ago, we grant your request if this is to be in accordance with the Law, so that we may not seem to have sinned against God in any way."
(3) And so Onias took over the place and built a temple and an altar to God similar to that at Jerusalem, but smaller and poorer. But it has not seemed to me necessary to write about its dimensions and its vessels now, for they have already been described
${ }^{a}$ From what follows it would seem that the only possible sin consisted in choosing a pagan site for the temple. The problem of the validity of a sacrificial site outside Jerusalem, which most scholars helieve to have been implicitly forbidden by the legislation of Deuteronomy, is not raised here. The rabbis, however, seem to have accorded the Onias temple some degree of sanctity, cf. Mishnah, Menaloth, xiii. 10, and the works cited in Appendix K.

- Lit. " wanton "; á $\sigma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a$ " wantonness" is connected with idolatry in Wisdom of Solomon, xiv. 26.
" Variant " unholy " ; if " sacred " is the correct reading, it means " sacred to the Egyptians."
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 а́ркои́vтшs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath}, \delta \in \delta \eta_{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \iota$.
















 $\mu \epsilon ่ v$ oûv ßacı $\lambda \epsilon$ v̀s modloùs $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ фíh $\omega v$ єis $\sigma v \mu \beta o v-$

$77 \tau \omega \nu$. oi $\delta$ ' $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ 'A $\lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a ~ \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ ' I o v-$
${ }^{1}$ Niese: $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \in$ v́ovтas codd. Lat.


${ }^{5}$ रoûv AMW: oưv E.. $\quad{ }^{6}$ тòv AMW fort. recte.
 i. 201 .
${ }^{8}$ Dindorf: $\pi \circ \iota \eta \eta_{\sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ c o d d . ~}^{\text {. }}$
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in the seventh book of my Jenish War. ${ }^{a}$ And Onias found some Jews of his own kind, and priests and Levites to minister ${ }^{b}$ there. Coneerning this temple, however, we have already said enough.
(4) Now there arose a quarrel between the Jews Ptolemy in Alexandria and the Samaritans who worshipped frilometor at the temple on Mount Gerizim, which had been Alexandrian built in the time of Alexander, ${ }^{c}$ and they disputed about their respective temples in the presence of Ptolemy himself, the Jews asserting that it was the with the Samaritans, temple at Jerusalem which had been built in accordance with the laws of Moses, and the Samaritans that it was the temple on Gerizim. ${ }^{d}$ And they requested the king to sit in council with his Friends and hear their arguments on these matters, and to punish with death those who were defeated. Accordingly, Sabbaeus and Theodosius made speeches on behalf of the Samaritans, while Andronicus, the son of Messalamus, ${ }^{e}$ spoke for the inhabitants of Jerusalem and Judaea. And they swore by God and the king that they would give their proofs in accordance with the Law, and requested Ptolemy to put to death anyone whom he might find violating these oaths. And so the king brought many of his Friends into his council and sat to hear the speakers. And the Jews who were then in Alexandria were in great
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Saîo $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a ~ \eta ̉ \gamma \omega \nu i ́ \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảv $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ oís ả $\gamma a-$



 каі Єєобобiov $\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$ 'Avסроviкн




 $\lambda а \mu \pi \rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \delta \omega \rho \epsilon a i ̂ s, \tau o \hat{v} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu^{3}$ Гарıऽєiv $\dot{\omega} s$ ov̉ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$

 $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon є \imath \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ к р i ̂ v a \imath ~ \mu \grave{v}$ катà тov̀s Mavoє́os


 'Iovסaious ката̀ Птолєнаîov тòv Фıлони́тора таиิта $\hat{\eta} \nu$.
80 (iv. 1) $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v \delta^{\prime}$ àтo日avóvтos द̀v $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu a ́ \chi \eta$,

 торє Птодєцаíw $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$ aùтov̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma a ́ \mu о \nu$







[^89]${ }^{2}$ д̇ло́ тє AM : om. W. $\quad{ }^{3} \delta^{\prime}$ év $\mathrm{FLV}: ~ \delta e ̀ ~ r e l l . ~$ 264
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anxiety about the men whose task it was to express indignation on behalf ${ }^{a}$ of the temple at Jerusalem, for they were resentful that any should seek to destroy this temple which was so ancient and the most celebrated of all those in the world. But as Sabbaeus and Theodosius permitted Andronicus to make the first speech, he began with proofs from the Law and the succession of the high priests, showing how each had become head of the temple by receiving that office from his father, and that all the kings of Asia had honoured the temple with dedicatory-offerings and most splendid gifts, while none had shown any respect or regard for that on Gerizim, as though it were not in existence. By these and many similar arguments Andronicus persuaded the king to deeide that the temple at Jerusalem had been built in accordance with the laws of Moses, and to put to death Sabbaeus and Theodosius and their party. These, then, were the things that befell the Jews in Alexandria in the reign of Ptolemy Philometor.
(iv. 1) ${ }^{b}$ Now after Demetrius had died in battle, as we have related above, ${ }^{c}$ Alexander ${ }^{d}$ took over the royal power in Syria, and wrote to Ptolemy Philometor to ask for the hand of his daughter in marriage ; for, he said, it was right that Ptolemy should form a 1 Macc. connexion with one who had recovered his father's throne and had been restored to it by the providence of God, and had conquered Demetrius, and on other grounds as well would be not unworthy of such an alliance with him. And l'tolemy, who gladly accepted his suit, wrote a reply, saying that he rejoiced
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 $\iota \chi \nu \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota, \sigma v \nu \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\nu}{ }^{1} \delta^{\prime} \alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i s ~ \Pi \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu a t ̂ \delta \alpha ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ $\theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \iota ~ a ้ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ’ к \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu . ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~$ $\alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \alpha u ́ т \eta s ~ \pi а р а \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \pi ’ ~ A i \gamma u ́ \pi \tau o v, ~$ 82 ка่кєî бvขоъкíбєıv aùт仑̂ тท̀̀ таîठa．каi Пто入є－
 $\delta \eta ิ s ~ \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \Pi \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha, ~ К \lambda \epsilon о т а ́ т \rho \alpha \nu ~ a ̈ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \theta v-$
 є่ $\Pi$ є́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho о \alpha \pi \eta \nu \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha, \delta i ́ \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ av̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha$
 Soûvaı $\beta$ aбı入є́a．


 $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha s$ каi $\delta \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$ av̉тoùs $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \omega ิ s,{ }^{2} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $84 \pi \alpha \rho$＇á $\uparrow ф о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha v \sigma \epsilon \quad \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ ．＇$\ \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho о s$


 $\nu \alpha$ ऽ єis $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau ' ~ a v ่ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \rho о є \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau a s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~$
 $85 \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ аv่т $\hat{u} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ．то仑̂то $\delta є ่ ~ \pi о \iota \eta \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$


 àтє́ $\delta \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu, \mu \eta े ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \omega \sigma i ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ к \alpha к о ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \iota o ́ т \epsilon \varsigma . ~$ тобаv́тท $\delta$ є̀ $\sigma \pi о v \delta \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тòv＇I $\mathrm{I} \omega \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu$ ó $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} s$
${ }^{1}$ ảmavтầ P＇FV＇． ${ }^{3}$ каi pr．PFV．
${ }^{2} \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \hat{s} \mathrm{P}$. ${ }^{4}$ isian $\mathrm{P}^{1}{ }^{12} \mathrm{~V}$ ．
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at Alexander's recovery of his father's throne ; and he promised to give him his daughter, and told him to meet him at Ptolemais where he would bring his daughter, for, he said, he would himself escort her from Egypt as far as that city, and there he would give him his child in marriage. And so, having written this, Ptolemy came in haste to Ptolemais, bringing his daughter Cleopatra. ${ }^{a}$ And finding Alexander waiting to meet him there, as he had instructed him, he gave him his daughter, and, for her dowry, as much silver and gold as a king was expected to give. ${ }^{b}$
(2) While the wedding ceremonies were being celebrated, Alexander wrote to the high priest Jonathan, asking him to come to Ptolemais. Thereupon he came to the kings, and having made them splendid gifts, ${ }^{c}$ was rewarded with honours from both of them. ${ }^{d}$ And Alexander compelled him to take off his own garment and put on one of purple, and making him sit with him on the dais, ordered his officers to go with him into the midst of the eity and proclaim that no one was permitted to speak against him or to cause him any trouble. When the officers had done this, those men who had been prepared to accuse Jonathan and were hostile to him, seeing the honour that was done him by the king's proelamation, ran away for fear that they might receive some further harm. And so great was the friendly interest
${ }^{a}$ This was, according to 1 Macc. x. 57, in Sel. yr. $162=151 / 0$ в.с.
${ }^{6} 1$ Macc. says merely that the marriage was celebrated in great splendour. It was Jonathan (sce bclow) who gave gold and silver to the two kings.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cf. previous note.
${ }^{d} 1$ Nace. x. 60, " he found favour with them."

## JOSEPHUS

 àvapáuar $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ фíд $\omega v$.















 Өappєîs т $\hat{\eta}$ бavтov̂ $\delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota$, катаßàs ais тò $\pi \epsilon \delta i ́ o v$

## ${ }^{1}$ L $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ úкє $1 a v$ conj. Bevan.

${ }^{2}$ PV Lat.: $\Delta \alpha^{\prime} o v$ LAMWE: ŏv F cum Mace. ${ }^{3}$ ф́́ $\rho \epsilon$ PFLVW. ${ }^{4}$ Namer: aủoòv cod.
a 1 Macc. x. 65, " inscribed him as one of his First Friends, and made him general and meridareh "-that is, military and civil governor of Judaea, although the Syrian garrison remained in the citadel of Jerusalem, cf. below § 121.
${ }^{6}$ The 165 th yr. Sel. extended from Oct. 148 to Oct. 1.47 nsc., or, by Jewish reckoning, from April 147 to April 146 B.c.
" Bevan, II. Set. ii. 301, suggests emending "Cilicia" to "Seleucia" (in Pieria, not far from Antioch). 1 Mace. x. 67 has, " to the land of his fathers," presumably meaning Syria. According to Justinus xxxv. 2-1 Demetrius II had been living 268
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in Jonathan shown by King Alexander that he even inscribed him as his First Friend. ${ }^{a}$
(3) But in the hundred and sixty-fifth year ${ }^{b}$ De- Demetrius metrius, the son of Demetrius, embarked from Crete il il opposes with many mercenaries, with whom Lasthenes the Balas. Cretan had furnished him, and sailed to Cilicia. ${ }^{c} \begin{gathered}1 \mathrm{Maccc} . \\ \mathrm{x} \text {. }\end{gathered}$. When Alexander heard of this, he was thrown into a state of anxiety and confusion, and at once hastened from Phoenicia to Antioch in order to make his position there secure before Demetrius should arrive. He also left as governor of Coele-Syria Apollonius ${ }^{d}$ Taos, ${ }^{e}$ who came to Jamneia with a great force and sent to the high priest Jonathan, saying it was unjust that he alone should live in security and with freedom to do as he liked, not being subject to the king ; it was, he said, bringing on him the reproach of all men that he did not make himself subject to the king. ${ }^{f}$ " Do not, therefore, deceive yourself," he added, "sitting in the mountains and thinking that you are strong; but if you have confidence in your force, come down to the plain and measure your force at Cnidus (in Caria), in relation to which Cilicia, on the border of Syria, might be considered part of the " land of his fathers," and so interpreted by Josephus, even if he had no Hellenistic source. Moreover Demetrius fell back on Cilicia later, as Josephus tells us in $\S 145$; it may therefore be assumed that he had originally set out from there as from his base of operations.
${ }^{\text {d }} 1$ Macc. correctly states that Apollonius was appointed by Demetrius, not by Alexander; it was on the latter's behalf that Jonathan fought against Apollonius.

- Variant " Daos"; 1 Macc. x. 69, "Apollonius, who was over (тòv övта) Cocle-Syria." Some scholars take Josephus" qáop to be a transposition of the two syllables ôvтa in 1 Macc., others take övтa to be a corruption of ráov. The point remains unsettled.
' These references to the king are added by Josephus.
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 $\phi \in u ́ \xi \eta$.'
(1) Пapogvvөєis $\delta$ ' є̇ $\pi i$ тoútoıs ó 'I $\omega v a ́ \theta \eta s$



 $\pi u ́ \lambda a s . ~ \phi \rho o u \rho a ̀ v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ै \nu \delta o v ~ \epsilon i ́ x o v ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ ' A \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega v i o v ~$



 $\kappa а \tau \epsilon i \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ v́тò тô 'I $\omega \nu$ vá $\theta$ ov, трıбұi入íovs im-









 LAMWE: tamquam recedens Lat.

${ }^{6} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{LAMW}$
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against my army, and the final vietory will show which is the braver of the two. You should know, however, that the best men of each eity are in my army, and these are the very men who have always been victorious over your ancestors. And you shall have a contest with us on ground where one cannot fight with stones, but with arms, and where there is no place to which you can flee when defeated."
(4) Greatly angered by these words, Jonathan eol- Jonathan lected ten thousand picked soldiers and set out from Jerusalem with his brother Simon, and coming to Joppa, eneamped outside the city, for the inhabitants elosed their gates against him, having had a garrison stationed within the eity by Apollonius. But as Jonathan was preparing to besiege them, ${ }^{,}$they were afraid that he might take their city by storm, and so they opened the gates to him. And when Apollonius heard that Joppa had been occupied by Jonathan, he took three thousand horsemen and eight thousand foot-soldiers ${ }^{b}$ and came to Azotus, ${ }^{c}$ and departing from there, made an easy and slow march until he came to Joppa; here he retired and drew Jonathan into the plain, for he had sublime confidence ${ }^{d}$ in his horsemen, and placed his hopes of victory in them. Thereupon Jonathan advanced and pursued Apollonius to Azotus, and the latter, when onee the enemy was in the plain, turned back and
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 סє̀ тov̀s oùv aủт仑̂ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon ~ ф \rho a \xi a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$



 $\delta \iota к \nu \epsilon i \tau o ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тà $\beta a \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon v a, \sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon-$



 толє́ $\mu \iota \iota$, vои́баs $\Sigma l \mu \omega \nu$ кєкцико́таs av̀то̀̀s оข $\mu$ -
 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủ $\frac{\imath \hat{v}}{\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \chi \theta \rho o v ̀ s ~}$
 oí imтєîs oủס’ av̉тoì $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \tau о \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~$


${ }^{1}$ тарєкєлєи́бато FLAMVW: hortatus est Lat.

 Naber.
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engaged him in battle. Now Apollonius had placed a thousand horsemen in ambush in a valley ${ }^{a}$ to surprise the enemy in the rear, but Jonathan became aware of this and was not dismayed; he drew up his army in a square ${ }^{b}$ and prepared ${ }^{c}$ to fight the enemy on either line by opposing them whether they attacked his front or his rear. And as the battle continued until the evening, he gave his brother Simon part of his force and commanded them to engage the main body of the foe, while he ordered his own men to make a fence of their shields, and so receive the javelins thrown by the horsemen. Accordingly, they did as they were commanded, while the enemy's horsemen hurled javelins at them until they had no more left, without injuring them at all, for the missiles did not reach their bodies, but glanced off the shields that were joined in a fence and compactly united, and so they were easily turned aside and fell back harmless. ${ }^{d}$ And as the enemy were fatigued from throwing javelins at them from early morning until late evening, Simon, who perceived that they were weary, engaged their main body, and through the great ardour which his soldiers showed put the foe to flight. And when their horsemen saw the foot-soldiers fleeing, they no longer stayed, but being fatigued from fighting until evening, and having lost hope of aid from the foot-soldiers,
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 таs aútoùs $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \sigma к о \rho \pi \iota \sigma \theta \eta ิ ァ a \iota ~ \tau о v ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ o v . ~$
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 $\phi v \gamma o ́ v \tau \alpha{ }_{S} \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \nu$ ．тò $\delta \epsilon \in \pi \hat{\alpha} v \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\tau \hat{\eta}$


 ＇Аока́入шva тараүі＇єєтаו，каi катабтратотєঠєú－




 $102 \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s . \quad$＇$А \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho о s$ ठ＇áкоv́cas $\dot{\eta} \tau \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu$
 $\chi \alpha i \rho \in \iota \nu$, öт $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ тウ̀v av่тô̂ $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu$＇ $\mathrm{I} \omega \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \eta \eta$ оvvє́ $\beta a \lambda \epsilon$ фìخш ővтı каі $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega, ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota ~$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' I \omega v a ́ \theta \eta \nu ~ \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ avi $\hat{\omega}$ каі $\gamma \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ к а і ~ \tau \iota \mu a ̀ s ~$
${ }^{1}$ Hudson：$\pi \rho o \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o v$ cod．E ：exierunt Lat．
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they too fled in disorder and confusion, with the result that their lines were broken and they were scattered all over the plain. And Jonathan pursued them as far as Azotus, slaying many of them, and forced those who despaired of being saved to take refuge in the temple of Dagon ${ }^{a}$ which was in Azotus. But Jonathan took the city by a sudden attack, and burnt it and the surrounding villages as well. Nor did he spare the temple of Dagon, but burnt this too and slew those who had taken refuge in it. And the total number of the enemy who fell in battle and were burnt to death in the temple was eight thousand. Then, having conquered so great a force, he marched off from Azotus and came to Ascalon; and as he was encamped outside the city, the inhabitants of Ascalon came out to meet him, bringing him presents to show he was welcome, and honouring him. He therefore expressed approval of their friendly intention, ${ }^{b}$ and returned from there to Jerusalem, taking along much booty which he had seized in the victory over his enemies. Now when Alexander heard that his general Apollonius had been defeated, he pretended to be pleased, as if it had been against his will that Apollonius ${ }^{\text {c }}$ fought with Jonathan who was his friend and ally, and he wrote to Jonathan, testifying to his worth by giving him
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 каi тウ̀v тотархiav av̀ $\bar{\eta} s ~ \epsilon i s ~ к \lambda \eta \rho o v \chi i a v ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota . ~$



 $\pi a ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho о \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega s$ av̀тòv ai $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ ' $A \lambda \epsilon \xi a ́ v \delta \rho o v$




 105 каi Пто入єцаîos $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime}$ áкоv́баs $\dot{\eta} \sigma v ́ \chi а \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
 $\xi \epsilon \nu i(\omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho ’$ av̀ $\tau о \hat{v} \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} S$

 'Iєробóд $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.


${ }^{1} \pi о ́ \rho \pi \eta \nu \chi \rho v \sigma \epsilon ́ a \nu$ secl. Niese. ${ }^{2}$ as Niese.
a For similar gifts given to Jonathan by Antiochus VI see § 146.
b "Kinsmen " is, of course, an honorary title, cf. Ant. xii. 295 note $a$.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Bibl. Ekron (cf. Ant. v. 87), mod. 'Aqir, the northernmost of the Philistine cities, a little E. of Jamneia.
${ }^{d}$ In contrast to Josephus and Diodorus xxxii. 9, 1 Mace. xi. I says that Itolemy came to Syria with treachery toward Alexander. Whatever his original intentions may have 276
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rewards and honours, including a gold brooch, ${ }^{a}$ such as are customarily given to kinsmen of kings, ${ }^{b}$ and he turned over to him Akkaron ${ }^{c}$ and its district as land for settlement.
(5) It was also at about this time that King Ptolemy, surnamed Philometor, came to Syria with a force of ships and foot-soldiers to fight as an ally of Alexander, who was his son-in-law. ${ }^{d}$ And all the cities, at Alexander's command, gladly welcomed him, and escorted him as far as the city of Azotus, where all the inhabitants clamorously demanded satisfaction from him for the burning of their temple of Dagon, and accused Jonathan of destroying it and wasting their territory with fire and killing many of their men. And while Ptolemy heard these complaints in silence, Jonathan went to meet him at Joppa, and received from him splendid gifts and all kinds of honours; he then escorted him as far as the river called Eleutherus, ${ }^{e}$ and again returned to Jcrusalem.
(6) ${ }^{f}$ But when he came to Ptolemais, ${ }^{g}$ Ptolemy, contrary to all expectation, came near being slain when
heen, his friendly behaviour toward Jonathan, the ally of Alexander, scems to show that he did not at first manifest hostility toward Alexander, but did so only when he realized the weakness of Alexander's position or, as Diodorus says,

e The mod. Nahr el-Kebir, N. of Orthosia, on the border between Phoenicia and Seleucid Syria. Reinach thinks it doublful that Jonathan accompanied I'tolemy further than Ptolemais.
${ }^{8}$ The following section, to $\S 109$, is based on a Hellenistic source, probably Nicolas of Damascus, to judge from the use of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa є к \rho о ч к \tilde{́} s$ in § 108 ; cf. also below, p. 279 note c.

- Bevan, II. Sel. ii. 219, suggests that P'tolemais was the seat of the court of Alexander.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \text { ais } \gamma \text { vvaîк P'FV. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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a plot was formed against him by Alexander through Ammonius, who happened to be his friend. And when the plot was discovered, Ptolemy wrote to Alexander and demanded that Ammonius be given up to him for punishment, saying that a plot had been formed against him by Ammonius, for which he expected him to pay the penalty. ${ }^{a}$ But when Alexander refused to give him up, he understood that it was Alexander who had plotted against him, and so he felt very bitter toward him. Now Alexander had earlier offended the Antiochians because of Ammonius, for they had suffered many evils at his hands. Nevertheless Ammonius met with punishment for his reckless crimes, being cut down shamefully as a woman, for he had made an effort to conceal himself in a woman's dress, as we have related elsewhere. ${ }^{b}$
(7) ${ }^{c}$ Ptolemy, however, who blamed himself for Ptolemy having given his daughter in marriage to Alexander, Philometor and for making an alliance with him against De- Alexander metrius, dissolved the connexion with him ; and having taken his daughter from him, he promptly sent to Demetrius, proposing a friendly alliance, and promising to give him his daughter to wife, and to restore to him his father's throne. Thereupon De-
(see below § 117). Herais, having suffered a mysterious change of sex, adopted male attire, and as a mounted soldier, accompanied Alexander on his flight.
${ }^{c}$ The following section, to $\S 121$, is hased chiefly on a Hellenistic source, though there are some verbal parallels to 1 Macc. xi. 10-20. Here again Nicolas of Damascus appears to be the immediate source, partly because of such words and
 § 118 (cf. -Int. v. 125, going back to Xenophon, Mem. ii. 1.31).
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 то̀» $\Delta \eta \mu \eta$ йт $\rho$ юо .
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metrius, being pleased with the offer made through his envoys, accepted the alliance and the marriage. But one difficult task still remained for Ptolemy, which was to persuade the Antiochians to accept Demetrius, toward whom they felt hostility because of the lawless acts committed against them by his father Demetrius. But he accomplished this also, for the Antiochians hated Alexander on account of Ammonius, as we have related, ${ }^{a}$ and were ready to drive him out of Antioch. And so, being expelled from Antioch, he came to Cilicia. And Ptolemy, on coming to the Antiochians, was proclaimed king by them and their armies, and was compelled to put on two diadems, one being that of Asia, and the other that of Egypt. However, being a good and upright person by nature, and not being ambitious of dazzling fortune, ${ }^{b}$ and, in addition, being skilled in reading the future, he determined to refrain from appearing to give the Romans any reason for disliking him; and bringing together the Antiochians in assembly, he sought to persuade them to accept Demetrius, saying that if Demetrius were well received by them, he would not bear them any grudge on account of his father, and he agreed to be a counseller of good and a guide to Demetrius, and promised that if Demetrius attempted any unworthy acts, he would not permit him to carry them out. For himself, he asserted, the throne of Egypt was enough. And with these words he persuaded the Antiochians to accept Demetrius.
(8) Meanwhile Alexander, who had set out from Death of Ptolemy "In § $108 . \quad$ V Variant " of the possessions of others."
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 aủ兀òv $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тov̂ $\gamma а \mu \beta \rho o \hat{v} \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v$

 117 avסpov．ov̂̃os $\mu$ èv oûv єís＇Apaßiav фєú $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ ．

 Пто入є $\mu \alpha$ îov à $\pi$ обєє $\sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ катаßа入єі̂v，тоv̀s $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$





 $\kappa \epsilon \phi а \lambda \eta ̀ \nu \quad$ ó $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$＇A $\rho \dot{\beta} \beta \omega \nu$ 反vvá $\sigma \tau \eta S \quad$ à $\pi о \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$
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 ávסрщ $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \iota ~ \chi а \rho a ̂ s ~ к а i ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ к а \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$
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Cilicia for Syria with a large army ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and a great supply of arms, burned and plundered the territory of the Antiochians, whereupon Ptolemy marched against him with his son-in-law Demetrius-for he had already given him his daughter in marriage-and they defeated Alexander and put him to flight. ${ }^{b}$ And so he fled to Arabia. Now it happened that in the battle Ptolemy's horse was alarmed on hearing the trumpeting of an elephant, and unseating Ptolemy, threw him to the ground; and when the enemy saw this, they rushed upon him and inflicted many wounds on him about the head, so as to bring him to the point of death ; and when his bodyguards snatched him from their hands, he was in so serious a condition that for four days he was unable either to understand anything or to utter a word. Meanwhile the Arab chieftain Zabeilus ${ }^{c}$ cut off Alexander's head and sent it to Ptolemy, who recovered from his wounds on the fifth day and coming to his senses, at the same time heard the news of Alexander's death and saw his head-most pleasant things to hear and to see. But soon afterwards having his fill of joy at Alexander's death, he himself passed away. Now Alexander,
${ }^{\text {a }}$ In 145 b.c.; $c f$. below, $\S 119$ note $b$ and Bevan, Ptol. p. 305 n .1 .
${ }^{6}$ At the river Oenoparas in the plain of Antioch ; $c f$. Strabo xvi. 751.
c He is called Zaboliel in 1 Macc. xi. 17. According to Diodorus, xxxii. 9, Mexander was murdered by two of his officers, named Heliades and Casius. Moreover Diodorus calls the Arab chief Diocles.
 bilus Lat.
${ }^{2}$ ávavevєuкш̀s PFLV: elevatus Lat.

## JOSEPHUS

 ä $\lambda \lambda$ доьs $\delta \epsilon \delta \eta \lambda \omega ́ \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$. ${ }^{1}$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̇ \tau o ̀ v ~ \sigma u \mu \mu а х i ́ a s ~ є ̀ к \lambda а \theta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ к а i ̀ ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \nu-$ $\theta \epsilon \rho o ̀ s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu$ av̉ $\tau o \hat{v}$ каì $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S \mathrm{~K} \lambda \epsilon о \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \alpha s ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o v$ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta$ 's. oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega ิ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \phi \epsilon v ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ aủтô̂




 каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \nu a s ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \pi \epsilon \phi \epsilon \cup \gamma o ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \iota \nu$
 $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{I} \omega \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \theta \eta s$ є́ $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu a ̂ \tau o ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aï $\rho \in \sigma \iota \nu, \pi \epsilon \pi \iota-$ бтєvко́тєs $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ò оиро́т $\eta \tau \iota$ то仑 хшрiov, $\nu \cup к \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~$






$$
{ }^{1} \delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau a c \mathrm{LAMW} \text { fort. recte. }
$$

${ }^{2}$ Hudson: Niка́vшo codd. E Lat. Syncellus.
${ }^{8}$ mompiav LANHW.
${ }^{4} \mathrm{P}$ : Ł̇ $\mu$ ク́voov rell.

[^98]
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surnamed Balas, ${ }^{a}$ was king of Asia for five years, ${ }^{b}$ as we have related elsewhere. ${ }^{c}$
(9) But after assuming the royal power, Demetrius, surnamed Nicator, ${ }^{d}$ wiekedly began to destroy the troops of Ptolemy, quite forgetting the alliance with him and that Ptolemy was his father-

Accession of De-
metrius II Nicator. in-law and related to him through his marriage with Cleopatra. Accordingly the soldiers fled from his attack ${ }^{e}$ to Alexandria, but Demetrius secured possession of the elephants. Meanwhile ${ }^{f}$ the high priest Jonathan gathered together an army from all Judaea, and assaulted and besieged the citadel in Jerusalem which held a Macedonian garrison and some of the godless Jews who had abandoned their native customs. These men at first made light of Jonathan's devices for capturing the citadel, for they had confidence in the strength of the place, but some of the worthless fellows in it went out by night and came to Demetrius to inform him of the siege of the citadel. Being, therefore, greatly angered by this report, he took his force and came against Jonathan from Antioch. And when he reached Ptolemais, he wrote

He appeared as a claimant to the throne in 153 в.c., cf. $\S 35$ note $f$. 1 Macc. dates the accession of Demetrius II in the 167 th year Sel., which extended from Oct. 146 to Oct. 145 b.c.
" Variant " has been related elsewhere," which would have to mean in the works of other historians, since there is no such cross-reference in Josephus. If we accept the reading given in the translation, we must assume that Josephus has taken over the phrase from his Hellenistic source.
${ }^{d}$ Conjectured : mss. Nicanor. His full name was Demetrios Theos Nikator Philadelphos, cf. Bevan, II. Sel. ii. 223.
" Variant (corrupt) " villainy."
' Here Josephus resumes his paraphrase of 1 Mace. (xi. 20).

## JOSEPHUS

$\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́ \omega \nu$ aủ $\begin{gathered}\text { òv } \sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i s ~ П \tau o \lambda \epsilon-~\end{gathered}$
 ठє́ $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s$ тô $\lambda a o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ каi тоùs
 $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta о \varsigma \quad \xi \in \nu i \omega \nu$ коці'ц $\omega \nu, \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta ं \tau \rho \imath о \nu$,








 126 бто入ás, aî $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon i ̂ \chi o \nu ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu . ~ " ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u ̀ s ~$
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and commanded Jonathan to hasten to him there. Thereupon Jonathan, although he did not stop the siege, took with him the elders of the people and the priests, and came to Demetrius, bringing gold and silver and garments and a multitude of presents; and when he presented him with these, he softened the anger of the king ; and being honoured by him, he received confirmation of his tenure of the highpriesthood, just as he had obtained it from the kings before him. Nor did Demetrius give credence to the renegades who brought accusations against Jonathan, but when Jonathan requested him to let him pay three hundred talents for all Judaea and the three toparchies of Samaria and Joppa and Galilee, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ he did so, and gave him a letter concerning all these matters, of which the contents were as follows. " King Demetrius to his brother Jonathan and to the Jewish nation, greeting. We have sent you a copy Demetrius of the letter which I have written to our Kinsman Jonathan. Lasthenes, ${ }^{b}$ in order that you may know what is in xi. 1 Macc . it. 'King Demetrius to his father ${ }^{c}$ Lasthenes, greeting. Inasmuch as the Jewish nation is friendly to us and justly observes its obligations toward us, I have decided to present to it, in return for its good-
a 1 Nace. xi. 28 reads, " And Jonathan requested the king to make Judaea free of tribute and the three toparchies and Samaritis, and promised him three hundred talents." We should read, as Josephus did, " the three toparchies of Samaritis (Samaria)," nainely, Aphairema, Lydda and Ramathaim, mentioned in $\S 127$ ( 1 Macc. xi. 3t) : cf. $\S 50$ note $c$. Joppa (in $\S 50$ Peraea) and Galilee are added by Josephus.

Lasthenes the Cretan (cf. above, § 86) was probably governor of Coele-syria.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ For an carlier instance of this honorary title see $A n t$. xii. 148.

## JOSEPHUS





 $\kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \phi \cup \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \alpha \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \dot{\eta о \nu \tau \alpha ~} \tilde{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$,






 каi $\mu \eta \delta$ '́va кívסvvov $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ то入є́ $\mu$ оv фóßov vimáp-



 $\mu i ̂ \sigma o s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa$ тoútov үivєтаı $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ois


```
    2' 'A\rho\mua0ai\mu F: 'Pa\mua0á L\MW: 'Pa\mua0\epsiloń\mu V: Ramathe
Lat.
        3 vûv AMWW Lat.
    4, extorqueatur Lat. : \pi\alpha\rhoа\beta\iotaa\sigma0\etá\sigma\epsilon\tauа\iota ed. pr.
    5 \epsiloṅ\pi\iotaóv\tauа FVW : \epsilon̈\pi\epsilon\iota\taua LAM : in posterumı Lat.
```

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Previously granted to the Jews by Demetrius I, cf. above, $\S 50$.
${ }^{6}$ Probably the mod. et-Taiybeh, c. 4 miles N.E. of Bethel, and the same site as the Ephraim of the New Testament, John xi. 54: cf. Abel, GP ii. 135 and Dalman, p. 217, also Klein, pp. 137-138. The older name of this site was 'Afra, according to Dalman.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The later Diospolis, mod. Ludd, c. 10 miles S.E. of 288
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will, the three districts, ${ }^{a}$ Aphairema, ${ }^{b}$ Lydda ${ }^{c}$ and Ramathain, ${ }^{d}$ which have been taken from Samaria and annexed to Judaea, together with what appertains to them. And, in addition, ${ }^{e}$ whatever the kings before me received from those who offered sacrifice at Jerusalem, and whatever they received of the fruits of the earth and trees, and the other things due to us, as well as the salt marshes and the crowns that were brought to us-all these I remit to them, ${ }^{f}$ and none of these things shall be wrongfully taken from them either now or for all time. ${ }^{g}$ See to it, therefore, that a copy of these instructions be made and given to Jonathan and set up in a conspicuous place in the holy temple.'" Such were the contents of the letter. And when Demetrius saw that peace obtained and that there was no danger or fear of war, he dismissed his army and reduced their pay, ${ }^{h}$ and continued to give their pay only to the mercenaries who had come up with him from Crete ${ }^{i}$ and from the other islands. Accordingly, he incurred the cnmity and hatred of the soldiers to
Jaffa and 6 miles W. of Modin, the birtliplace of the Hasmonaean rulers.
${ }^{d}$ Variants Armathaim, Ramatha. It is the mod. Rentis, c. 15 miles N.E. of Lydda, the native place of Joseph of Arimathaea, and perhaps the home of Samuel, cf. Ant. v. $3+2$.

- Yariant " now."
${ }^{\prime}$ Cf. the similar exemptions promised by Demetrius I, § 48. In the last clause, "none of these things," Josephus
 1 Mace. xi. 36, covers up the incompleteness of vss. 34-35, where we miss some mention of the payment expected by Dencetrius II.
" Variant " or in the future." The text adopted agrees with I Mace.
${ }^{n}$ The reduction of pay is not mentioned in 1 Mace.
- Crete is not specifically mentioned in 1 Mace.


## JOSEPHUS





131 (v. 1) ' $\Lambda \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \nu o \eta ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta u ́ \sigma \nu o \iota a \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 Tри́ф $\omega v$ є̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ s, \pi a \rho a \gamma i v \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ M a ́ \lambda \chi o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$
 каi $\delta \eta \lambda c ́ \sigma a s ~ a u ̛ \tau ب ิ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a-~$




 є́кข兀каิтаו т门̀v $\pi \rho \circ \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̂ ~ T \rho u ́ \phi \omega \nu ~ \pi а р є к \alpha ́ \lambda є \iota . ~$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v ~ \tau \alpha ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ ้ ~ \tau о u ́ \tau o เ s ~ \dot{v} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \epsilon \nu$.
 $\mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ äкра $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' I $\epsilon \rho о \sigma о \lambda \tilde{v}^{\mu} \omega \nu$ каі тоùs
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \chi \omega ́ \rho a$ ф фочроús, ${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta \prime \tau \rho ı о \nu ~ \delta \hat{\omega} \rho \alpha$


${ }^{1}$ ' $\epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ LAMW cod. Bus. E corr. : $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu V^{2}$ : capere Lat.: $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \epsilon i v$ Hudson.
 pios PFV.
a The preceding sentence is an amplification of 1 Macc. xi. 35 , "and all the forees of his fathers became hostile to him."
b That his given name was Diodotus and his native place Apamea (more exactly Casiana, near Apamea) are facts taken by Josephus from a Hellenistic source; cf. Diodorus xxxiii. 4 and Strabo xvi. 752.
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whom he no longer gave any money, whereas the kings before him had continued to give them the same pay eren in time of peace, in order to keep them loyal and make them zealous to fight for them if there should ever be need. ${ }^{n}$
(v. 1) It was natural, therefore, that when this Tryphon disaffection of the soldiers toward Demetrius was perceived by one of Alexander's generals Diodotuc, surnamed Tryphon, ${ }^{b}$ who was a native of Apamea, he went to Malchus ${ }^{c}$ the Arab, who was bringing up Alexander's son Antiochus, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ and after revealing to him the army's dissatisfaction with Demetrius, persuaded him to give Antiochus over to him, saying that he would make him king and would restore to him his father's throne. Now Malchus at first opposed this because of distrust, but finally, after Tryphon had pleaded with him a long while, he was won over to the plan which Tryphon was urging him to accept. ${ }^{e}$ Such, then, was the state of this man's affairs.
(2) Meanwhile the high priest Jonathan, who wished the men in the citadel of Jerusalem to leave, ${ }^{f}$ as well as the Jewish renegades and godless men and the garrisons in the entire country, sent envoys with gifts to Demetrius, ${ }^{9}$ and requested him to expel those who were in the fortresses of Judaea. There-

[^99]
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 $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ троката入а́ßоاєv av̉тóv，$\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ öтגа каi $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ тоîs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i o \iota s$ av̉тоv̂ трóт $\omega$






 $\pi о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ סè тoùs＇Avтוoұєîs кратои̂vтas oi＇Iovסaîo，
 є̋ßa入入ov тoùs＇Avtıoхєîs，каi то̂̂ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ av̀тoí ть



$$
{ }^{1} \mathrm{~V}: \text { єv่oxodeì rell. E. }
$$

－This refers to the revolt of the Antiochenes and Larissans and others，caused by Tryphon．
${ }^{b}$ The following section，to $\S 144$ ，is based chiefly on a Hellenistic source．
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upon Demetrius promised not only to grant this request, but also to do more for him at the end of the war which he had on his hands; for, he said, all his time was just now taken up with this. ${ }^{a}$ And he asked Jonathan to send him assistance, informing him that his force had revolted. And so Jonathan sent him three thousand picked soldiers.
(3) ${ }^{b}$ But the Antiochians, who hated Demetrius The Jews because of the ill-treatment they had received at his hands, and also were hostile to him on account of II sublifue the many crimes whieh his father Demetrius had committed against them, were waiting for an oppor- xi. 45. tunity which they might seize to fall upon him. And so, becoming aware that assistance had come to Demetrius from Jonathan, and reflecting that unless they acted quickly to anticipate him, he would collect a great force, they hastily seized their arms, and surrounding his palace as if in a siege, blocked the exits and sought to get the king into their hands. But when he saw that the populace of Antioch was ready to make war on him and was under arms, he took his mereenaries and the Jews sent by Jonathan, and cngaged the Antiochians; but he was overpowered by them-for many tens of thousands ${ }^{c}$ were there-and was beaten. Now when the Jews saw the Antiochians getting the upper hand, they went up to the roofs of the palace buildings, and from there hurled missiles at the Antiochians ${ }^{d}$; and while they themselves, being high above their opponents, were too far away to be hurt by them, they could inflict much damage on them by fighting from above;

[^100]
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 тòv $\tau \rho \frac{́ \pi}{\pi} \sigma \nu, \pi a \rho a ́ \delta o \xi o v ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \beta \eta ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta i ́ \omega \xi \iota v . ~$


 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \omega \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，каi $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \mu \dot{\epsilon} v ~ \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi-$
 $142 \pi a \rho a \delta o \hat{v} v a \iota$ aú aย̉тоі̂ऽ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тєтод $\mu \eta \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$ кататаv́єє $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu$.











 тク̀̀ ミupiav $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тô $\pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ ' A \nu \tau i o ́ \chi o v ~(~ \mu є \iota р a ́ к \iota o \nu ~$

[^101] 291
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and so they drove them out of the adjoining houses, whieh they quickly set on fire ; and as the houses were close togcther and mostly built of wood, the flames spread over the whole city and entirely consumed it. Thereupon the Antiochians, being unable to give help or to control the fire, turned to flight. But the Jews, leaping from roof to roof, pursued them in this manner, and a very strange manner of pursuit it was. Now when the king saw that the Antiochians were striving to save their children and wives, and for that reason were no longer fighting, he set upon them from other narrow streets, and on encountering them, killed many of them, so that they were foreed to throw down their full armour and surrender to Demetrius. But he forgave them their acts of defiance, and so put an end to the uprising. He then presented the Jews with the spoils he had gained, and thanked them for having been chiefly responsible for his victory, after which he sent them back to Jerusalem to Jonathan with an acknowledgment of his assistance. Later on, however, he behaved basely toward him and belied his promises, threatening him with war unless he paid him all the kinds of tribute which the Jewish nation was required to pay from the time of the first kings. ${ }^{a}$ And this threat he would Demetrius It's ingratitude tsward the Jews. 1 Macc. have carried out, if Tryphon had not kept him from doing so and diverted his preparations against Jonathan into eoneern for his own interests. ${ }^{b}$ For Tryphon had returned to Syria from Arabia with the
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 $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} v, \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ є’кфє́ $\rho є \iota$ т òs тòv $\Delta \eta$ -

 $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \in \iota$.
145 (1.) $\Delta \eta \mu \eta ́ \tau \rho \iota o s \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂v $\dot{\eta} \tau \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s$ ảvє $\chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \in i s$ Kıдıкíav, ò סє̀ таîs 'Avтíoұos $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi а s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' ~ I ~ \omega-~$



 $\sigma \kappa є v ́ \eta ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\kappa \pi} \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$ каi $\pi о \rho \phi \nu \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \in \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$, $\chi \rho \eta \hat{\sigma \theta a \iota}$ тои́тоıs є่ $\pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \pi \omega \nu$, á $\pi \epsilon \prime \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon$, каi $\pi о ́ \rho \pi \eta$
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota \phi_{i} \lambda \omega \nu .{ }^{3}$ тòv á $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v \delta^{\prime}$ av̉тô̂ Eíf $\mu \nu \alpha$



$\left.{ }^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu\right] \tau o ̀ \nu \pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau о \nu$ [' $\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau \circ \nu$ LAMW.
${ }^{3}$ фídov PHLAMW.
${ }^{4}$ ex Mlacc. Hudson: кגí $\mu$ тоs ( pr . тoû PFV) codd. : regione Lat.
${ }^{a} 1$ Macc. xi. 55 says that the troops turned against Demetrius because he had "told them to go to the devil ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa о р а ́ к є \sigma \epsilon \nu) . "$
b The elephants ( 1 Mace. xi. 56 has "beasts ") were probably those brought by Ptolemy Philometor (cf. above, § 117) which later eame into the possession of Demetrius.
c 1 Macc. does not tell where Demetrius fled; Livy, Epit. lii., gives Seleucia (on the coast), which is probably the correct rcading, if, as stated by lievan, M. Sel. ii. 227, Tryphon " had some footing in Cilicia." 296
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young Antiochus-he was still a mere lad-, and placed the diadem on his head. And as the entire body of soldiers who had deserted Demetrius because they had not received their pay ${ }^{a}$ went over to him, he declared war against Demetrius, and engaging him in battle, overcame him and took possession both of the elcphants ${ }^{b}$ and of the city of Antioch.
(4) Demetrius, therefore, on being beaten, retired to Cilicia, ${ }^{c}$ while the young Antiochus sent envoys with letters to Jonathan, proposing to make him his friend and ally, to confirm him as high priest, and to yield to him the four districts which had been added to the territory of the Jews ${ }^{d}$; moreover, he sent him vessels and cups of gold and purple garments, with permission to use them, and presented him with a gold brooch and the right to be called one of his First Friends.e He also appointed Jonathan's brother Simon commander of the army from the Ladder ${ }^{f}$ of Tyre to Egypt. Thereupon ${ }^{g}$ Jonathan, being pleased

[^103] Nōqūra.

- $\S 147$ is an addition to 1 Macc.
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 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \in \tilde{v} \pi \alpha ́ \theta o o$.

 $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \hat{\eta} \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o i ̂ s, \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \check{\omega}^{\omega} \rho \mu \eta \sigma \in \nu$



 $\tau \omega \nu$, aùzov́s $\tau \epsilon$ тои́тovs $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$

 $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta$ а $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v ~ \delta i ́ к \eta \nu ~ \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota v ~ \hat{\omega} v$







${ }^{1}$ Dindorf: àmoঠ $\omega$ च codd.
${ }^{3}$ aủzòv om. I'l゙V.
${ }^{2}$ tis lPLVV.
${ }^{4}$ tis FLVE.
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with the honours conferred upon him by Antiochus, sent envoys both to him and to Tryphon, professing to be his friend and ally, and agreeing to fight with him against Demetrius, for, he explained, Demetrius had shown him no gratitude for the many favours he had received from him in time of need, but had, on the contrary, done him further wrong in return for the kindnesses he had received.
(5) ${ }^{a}$ Accordingly, when Antiochus gave him leave to gather together a large force from Syria and Phoenicia ${ }^{b}$ and fight against Demetrius' generals, Jonathan at once set out for the cities thereof. But these, while they received him splendidly, gave him xi. 60 . no troops. ${ }^{c}$ And so from there he went to the city of Asealon, where the inhabitants met him with honours and gifts, whereupon he urged them and cvery one of the cities in Coele-Syria as well to abandon Demetrius and join Antiochus, and to fight together with him in an attempt to exact satisfaction of Demetrius for whatever wrongs he may have done them; for, he said, there were many reasons why they should be willing to take his side. ${ }^{d}$ And when he had persuaded the eities to agree to an alliance with Antiochus, he went to Gaza in order to gain for Antiochus their goodwill also. But he found the people of Gaza much more hostile than he had expected, and they shut their gates against him, and
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 aùzoîs $\gamma \iota \nu \frac{\mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~}{\mu \epsilon ̇ v} \lambda \nu \pi o u ̂ \nu \eta$ そ̋ $\delta \eta \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v$,



 хíav. oi $\mu \epsilon ̇ \nu \gamma$ àp äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon i ́ \rho a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \quad \tau \dot{\chi} \chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, то́тє $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \mu \alpha \chi \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \hat{\alpha}$



 $\chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ व̈ $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ є่ $\pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ ä $\chi \rho \iota \quad \Delta а \mu а \sigma \kappa о \hat{v}$.

 ( $\mu \in \tau \alpha \xi v^{10} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \tau i v \alpha u ̈ \tau \eta \tau \hat{\eta} S \tau \epsilon$ Тuрíwv $\gamma \hat{\eta} S$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} S$
 $\chi \omega \rho \eta$ चुa collocanda esse putat Holwerda.

4 Bekker: тарацє́vєıv codd. ${ }^{5}$ ékєivov М. МW.

${ }^{8} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta$ óv $\tau \omega \nu$ I'FLV: $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \mathrm{E}$.
${ }^{9}+\pi$ ó $\lambda \iota \nu$ P'LV. ${ }^{10} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ óov I'PLV.
a The desertion of Demetrius is a detail not found in 1 Nace.
though they had deserted Demetrius, ${ }^{a}$ resolved not to go over to Antiochus. This provoked Jonathan to besiege them and to ravage their territory, and investing Gaza with a part of his army, he himself with the rest of it overran their land, destroying and burning it. When the people of Gaza saw in what a plight they were, and that no help was coming to them from Demetrius, but that, on the contrary, distress was already upon them, while the likelihood of assistance was still remote and it was uncertain whether it would come at all, they decided that it would be wise to give up waiting for assistance, and to remedy their distress. ${ }^{b}$ Accordingly, they sent to Jonathan and proposed a friendly alliance. For before they experience misfortune, human beings do not understand what is good for them ; only when they find themselves in some difficulty and after stubbornly resisting what they might better have done when they were quite unharmed, do they finally choose to do this when once they have been afflicted. ${ }^{c}$ And so Jonathan made a friendly agreement with them and accepted their hostages, and sent these off to Jerusalem, while he himself marched through the whole country as far as Damascus.
(6) But when news came to him that Demetrius' Jonathan generals were adrancing ${ }^{d}$ to Kedasa ${ }^{e}$ with a great invades ${ }_{\text {Galilee }}$; army-this city lics between the land of Tyre and

- This sentence is an addition to 1 Mace. Galilee ; Simon
captures Bethsur.
e Similar moralizing additions to biblical passages may be xi. 63. found in the earlier books of $A n t$. As in the present instances they often contain Thucydidean echoes.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Variant " But when Demetrius' soldiers were advancing."
e lax Kádes ( $2 . l$. K $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \epsilon s$ ), Luc. Ké $\delta \in s:$ it is the bibl. Kadesh of Naphtali, N.W. of Lake //fuleh, cf. Ant. v. 63.
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 $\chi \omega \rho i o v ~ \delta \iota a \phi \theta a \rho \omega \bar{\sigma} \tau \nu, \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi а \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{7} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sum i ́ \mu \omega \nu а$
 та日єìv, ката入ıтєìv тò хшрíov каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta ́ т р ı о \nu ~$

 фроирàv каӘíat $\eta \sigma \nu^{8}$ idíav.

 є́тú $\gamma \chi a \nu \epsilon \nu$ є̇ $\sigma \tau \rho а \tau о \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \cup \kappa \omega ́ s) ~ \epsilon i ́ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а \lambda о u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~$
${ }^{6}$ évaráv P PL V : constituisse Lat.
${ }^{7}$ Pr. каi PFLAM. ${ }^{8}$ є́ $\gamma к а \theta$ íarŋби Herwerden.
a More exactly Kadesh was in the territory of Tyre, north of Galilee ; cf. Carte VHI in Abel, GP, vol. ii.
"Variant " to the assistance of the Galilaeans." Josephus
 $\tau \bar{\eta} s \chi_{\rho} \epsilon i a s$, which seems to mean, "wishing to draw him (Jonathan) off from his purpose," i.e. of helping Antiochus 302
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Galilee ${ }^{a}$; for they supposed that they could draw him off from Syria to Galilee as an ally of the latter country, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and that he would not suffer the Galilaeans, who were of his own people, to be attacked by the enemy-, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ he went out to meet them, leaving his brother Simon in Judaea; and Simon also gathered together as considerable an army as was possible from this country, and encamped before Bethsur ${ }^{d}$ to besiege it, this bcing a very strong fortress in Judaea, which was held by a garrison of Demetrius. But of this we have spoken before. ${ }^{e}$ And when Simon raised earthworks and set up siege-engines and showed much vigour in besieging Bethsur, ${ }^{f}$ the garrison were afraid that the place might be taken by storm and they be destroyed; and so they sent to Simon and requested that they might leave the place and go back to Demetrius, on receiving sworn assurances that they should suffer no harm at his hands. ${ }^{g}$ He therefore gave them these pledges, and putting them out of the city, stationed his own garrison therein.
(7) Meanwhile Jonathan set out from Galilee from the waters of Gennesar, ${ }^{h}$ as they are called-for this was where he was then encamped--, and proceeded

Jonathan defeats Demetrins Il in Galilee. 1 Mace. xi. 67.
$\imath s$. Denctrius. Moreover for $\chi$. $\quad$ ías Josephus, as Grimm remarks, seems to have read xápas, as do some $1 x x$ mss.
c This reference to the kinship of the Galilaeans and Jcws is an addition to 1 Macc. It should be noted that at this time Galilee was still chiefly gentile, and was not judaized until the time of Iyrcanus or Aristobulus ; cf. Sehüler i. 276.

$$
\text { dCf. Ant. xii. } 313 \text { note } d . \quad \text { In } \S .12
$$

$f$ These details are not found in 1 Macc.
g 1 Macc. says nothing of these conditions of surrender.
a See the detailed description of Gennesar (Gennesareth) in B.J. iii. 506-521.
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 тои̂ $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu ~ ' I \omega \nu a ́ \theta \eta \nu$ ' ' $\pi^{\prime}$ aùzoùs $\beta a \delta i-$


 $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu, \pi а р є \sigma \kappa є \cup a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau о$ каi av̉тòs тov̀s ioiovs





 коvта тòv ápıөдòv viтє́ $\mu \epsilon \iota v a \nu$, каi MaтӨias ó


 Ө́́ $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ катє́ $\pi \lambda \eta \xi \alpha \nu$ av̀тоѝs каi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \chi \in \rho \sigma i ̀ ~ a ̀ \pi-~$



 oर̂ тò $\sigma \tau \rho а т o ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta o \nu ~ \tilde{\eta} \nu$ тoîs $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o \iota s .{ }^{3}$
> ${ }^{1}$ גохท́aалтаs PFMV.
> 2 oí $\tau о \lambda \mu \eta \rho \bar{s}$ ] тoд $\mu \eta \rho \bar{\omega} s \delta_{\epsilon}$ l'FV.
> ${ }^{3} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ I'LV Lat.

[^106]
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to the plain of Asor, ${ }^{a}$ not knowing that the enemy were there. ${ }^{b}$ But as Demetrius' men had learned the day before ${ }^{c}$ that Jonathan was coming against them, they set an ambush of men to lie in wait for him in the mountains, while they with the main army went to meet him in the plain. And when Jonathan saw them ready for battle, he too prepared his own soldiers for the contest as well as he could. Thereupon the men placed in ambush by Demetrius' generals appeared on the rear of the Jews, and they, fearing that they would be caught between two fires and be lost, made haste to flee. And so they all abandoned Jonathan, except a few, some fifty in number, ${ }^{,}$who stood their ground, among them Matthias, the son of Absalom, and Judas, the son of Chapsaios, ${ }^{e}$ who were the commanders of the entire force; and these with recklessness and despair pushed back the enemy, and dismaying them by their courage and strength, made them turn and flee. ${ }^{f}$ And when those of Jonathan's soldiers who had retreated saw the enemy in rout, they rallied after their flight and hastened to pursue them, which they did as far as Kedasa, ${ }^{g}$ where the enemy had their camp.
coming is a detail not found in t Macc., but possibly based on Josephus' mistaken reading of the clause in 1 Macc. xi. 67, " and they (Jonathan's men) got up early in the mornir.g ( $\omega p \theta \rho \iota \sigma a \nu \tau \dot{~} \pi \rho \omega i ́)$ to go to the plain of Asor."
d I Macc. gives no number, and mentions only Matthias and Judas.

- 1 Macc. X $\alpha \lambda \phi i$ or Xàфei.
' Josephus slightly amplifies the account of the two herocs' deed; on the other hand he omits the detail of Jonathan's rending his garments and praying, with earth on his head, in distress at the rout of his men.
- Cf. $\$ 154$ note $e$.
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163 (8) K $\rho a \tau \eta$ そ́баs oûv 'I $\omega v a ́ \theta \eta s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a ́ \chi \eta ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \omega ̂ s ~$

 vov̂v $\pi \rho o v o i a ́ a ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \chi \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath}$, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' P \omega \mu a i o v s ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma-$




















|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

a 3000, according to 1 Mace.
${ }^{b} 1$ Macc. xii. 1, "And Jonathan saw that the occasion aided him" (öтı ó кaıós aùvê ovvє $\rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\text { i }}$ ). 1 Macc. is notably sparing in allusions to divine intervention.
${ }^{c}$ In the time of Judas; cf. Ant. xii. 415 ff . (1 Macc. viii. 1 ff .).
306
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(8) Having, therefore, won a brilliant victory, in Jouathan which he killed two thousand ${ }^{a}$ of his foes, Jonathan renews the returned to Jerusalem. And when he saw that by Rome. God's providence all his affairs were going to his | 1 Ma . 74. |
| :--- | liking, ${ }^{b}$ he sent envoys to the Romans, for he wished to renew the friendship which his nation had formerly had with them. ${ }^{c}$ These same ${ }^{d}$ envoys he instructed to risit the Spartans on their return from Rome, and to remind them of the Jews' friendship and kinship with them. ${ }^{e}$ Accordingly, when they came to Rome, they appeared before the Senate and delivered the message of the high priest Jonathan, saying that he had sent them to confirm the alliance, whereupon the Senate ratified its former decrees concerning friendship with the Jews, and gave them letters to take to all the kings of Asia and Europe and to the magistrates of the citics, ${ }^{f}$ in order that through them they might obtain safe-conduct to their own country; and on their return they came to Sparta and delivered to them the letter which they had received from Jonathan, of which the following is a copy. "Jona- The Jews' than, high priest of the Jewish nation, and the senate letter to the and council of priests ${ }^{g}$ to their brothers, the ephors $\begin{aligned} & \text { spartans. } \\ & \text { Mace. }\end{aligned}$ xii. 6.

[^107] ment in 1 Macc. xii. 4, "And they (the Romans) gave them letters to those in every place."

- Variant "community (кo七vóv) of Jews." 1 Macc. xii. 6 reads, "Jonathan, high priest, and the senate of the nation and the priests and the rest of the people ( $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ s$ ) of the Jews." The variant, кoıvòv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iov $\delta a i \omega v$, would seem to correspond closely to the expression heber ha-Yehidim found on coins of John Hyrcanus, cf. Schürer i. 269 n. 25 ; for examples of the narrower meaning of кoıvóv ( $=$ council) see Schalit, p. 117 n. 14.
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$\mu o v i \omega \nu$ є́фópors каi $\gamma \epsilon \rho о v \sigma i ́ a ~ к а i ~ \delta \eta ́ \mu \omega ~ т о i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \delta \epsilon \lambda-~$






 $\tau \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \iota \tau o ̀ ~ \alpha \nu \tau i \gamma \rho a \phi o \nu, \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ є̇ $\delta \epsilon \xi \xi^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$


 $168 \sigma \theta a \iota^{4} \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тò $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ оưv ${ }^{5}$ троката́рхєしข $\tau \eta ิ S$



 iєpaîs каi $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \omega v u ́ \mu o r s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a i s ~ \theta v \sigma i a s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o v \tau \epsilon s$ каi $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho \quad \tau \hat{\eta} S$ vj $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a s ~ \tau \epsilon$

${ }^{1} \pi a \rho ’ \dot{\eta} \mu i v$ om. PF.
2 "A $\rho \in \omega s$ I': "A $\rho \in о$ FV et sim. mos infra.
${ }^{3} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tilde{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \mathrm{P}$.
${ }^{4} \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{AMW}$ : credidimus Lat.

${ }^{7}$ a evan $\lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$ LAW.

[^108]
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and senate and people of Lacedaemon, ${ }^{,}$greeting. If you are well, and your public and private affairs are proceeding satisfactorily, it would be as we wish; we are also well. ${ }^{b}$ When in former times there was brought by Demoteles ${ }^{c}$ to Onias, who was our high priest, from Areius, ${ }^{d}$ your king, a letter, of which a copy is appended, $e$ concerning the kinship which exists between us and you, we gladly received the letter and showed ourselves kindly disposed toward both Demoteles and Areius, although we needed no such evidence since the kinship had been made certain through our sacred writings; nor $\operatorname{did}^{f}$ we see fit to be beforehand in recognizing the relation, lest we might seem to be greedy in seeking the honour conferred by you ${ }^{g}$; and though a long time has passed since our kinship was first discussed, ${ }^{h}$ yet, when we offer sacrifices to God on the holy days and memorial days, ${ }^{i}$ we continue to entreat Him for your well-being and victory. And though we have been involved earlier, in Ant. xii. 225 ff ., by Josephus, who assumes that the Onias meant is Onias III. $f$ Variant " do."

- The preceding (from "although we needed no such evidence ") is an amplification, based on a misunderstanding or different reading of 1 Macc. xii. 9, "We, therefore, although we have no need of these things (i.e. the alliances with Sparta), since we find comfort in the holy writings which we possess."
"Variant "was first completed." 1 Macc, xii. 10 has, " Much time has elapsed since you sent to us."
i 1 Macc. xii. 11 reads, "both on our festivals and on the other appropriate days we remember, etc." Possibly the "memorial " (or "eponymous") days refer to the Jewish New Year on the 1st of Tishri, called a " memorial of blowing of trumpets" in Lev. xxiii. 9.4. There may also be a connexion with the usage of "eponymous" in arrhon eponymos, whose accession marked the new year in several Greek states.
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$\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ठıà тท̀v т̂̂v $\gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \nu \iota \omega ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$

 то⿱̀s $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s, ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' P \omega \mu a i ́ o u s ~ N o v-~$ $\mu \eta$ йıov тòv＇Avтוó $о v^{3}$ каi＇Avтiттатроv тòv＇ I á－
 $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}, \epsilon \in \delta \omega ́ \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ аv่тоîs каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda a ́ s, ~$

 $\grave{\eta} \mu i \nu, \kappa \alpha i \pi \epsilon \rho i \frac{\hat{\omega} \nu}{\nu}$ äv $\delta \epsilon ́ \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \epsilon S$ $\dot{\omega} s \in i S$


 $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \in v o \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \phi \iota \lambda i ́ a s ~ к а i ~ \sigma ч \mu \mu a \chi i a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$ av่тoùs ả $\pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \nu$.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇Iov $\delta a i \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu, a i ̂ \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha, \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i v \omega \nu \pi \rho a \gamma-$ $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ סıaфópws ن̇ $\pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \mu \beta a \nu o v, ~ \hat{\omega} \nu$ خ̀ $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ Фapı－ $\sigma \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ є̀ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau о, \dot{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sum a \delta \delta о v к а i ́ \omega \nu, \dot{\eta} \tau \rho i \tau \eta ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$


 $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ．$\tau \grave{o}$ ठє̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇E $\sigma \sigma \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ रévos $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta ้ v$

${ }^{1}$ ädloıs LAMIW I．at．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \text { ข́ } \mu \hat{\imath} \text { PFLL'. }{ }^{3} \text { 'Avлццáxov FLAMVW'. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{5} \text { фı入íav AMW. }{ }^{6} \mu \text { è̀ oûv I'FVL. } \\
& \text { ì } \mu \hat{\nu} \text { aủzoîs LAMWE. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^109]
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in many wars through the covetousness ${ }^{a}$ of our neighbours, we resolved not to trouble you or any other people connected with us. But having overcome our enemies, we have sent to the Romans Numenius, the son of Antiochus, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and Antipater, the son of Jason, who belong to our senate and are held in honour by us, ${ }^{c}$ and have given them a letter to you also, in order that they may renew our ties with you. ${ }^{d}$ You will do well, therefore, also to write us and instruct us concerning anything you may need, being assured that we shall be eager to carry out your wishes in all respects." $e$ And the Lacedaemonians received the envoys in a friendly manner, and after making a decree concerning a friendly alliance with the Jews, sent them on their way.
(9) ${ }^{f}$ Now at this time there were three schools of thought among the Jews, which held different opinions concerning human affairs; the first being thought. that of the Pharisees, the second that of the Sadducees, and the third that of the Essenes. As for the Pharisees, they say that certain events are the work of Fate, ${ }^{g}$ but not all ; as to other events, it depends upon ourselves whether they shall take place or not. The sect of Essenes, however, declares that

- The last sentence amplifies 1 Macc. xii. 18.
$f$ The following passage on the Jewish sects will be discussed, together with related passages, in an appendix in the last volume of this translation. Here it may suffice to note that Josephus (or his source-probably Nicolas of Damascus) presents the varying religions and social philosophies of the three groups in such a way that they will be more intelligible to Greek readers.
- Fate is here, of course, the Greck equivalent of what we should call Providence. Cf. further G. F. Moore, "Fate and Free Will in the Jewish Philosophies according to Josephus," HTR xxii. (1929), 371-389.
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 $\beta \imath \beta \lambda \omega$ тท̂s ’lovбаїкฑ̂s $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon$ 'as.
174 (10) Oí סє $\tau 0 \hat{v}$, $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon-$







 є́бтратотєঠєvко́тєs. $\tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ ठє̀ катабко́т $\omega \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau$ '




 ${ }^{1}$ Dindorf: ov̌тє codd. R.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}$ : riӨєvтaı rell. E: supponunt Lat.
${ }^{3}$ av่тои่s om. 1 .
a B.J. ii. 119-166.
${ }^{6}$ Gr. Amathitis, elsewhere in Josephus (e.g. Aut. i. 13s, vii. 107) called Amathñs or Amathe ; it is the mod. Hamĩ. The city of Hamath, in the Hellenistic period called Epiphania, lay on the Orontes river, c. 50 miles N.E. of the 312

Fate is mistress of all things, and that nothing befalls men unless it be in aecordance with her deeree. But the Sadducees do away with Fate, holding that there is no such thing and that human actions are not achieved in accordance with her decree, but that all things lie within our own power, so that we ourselves are responsible for our well-being, while we suffer misfortune through our own thoughtlessness. Of these matters, however, I have given a more detailed account in the second book of the Jerrish History. ${ }^{a}$
(10) Now Demetrius' generals, wishing to make good the defeat they had sustained, gathered together a foree larger than their former one, and came against Jonathan. But he had learned of their advance. and went quickly to meet them in the region of Hamath, ${ }^{\text {sii. }}$. ${ }_{2+}$. for he determined not to allow them time enough to invade Judaea. And he encamped at a distance of fifty stades ${ }^{c}$ from the enemy, and sent men to spy on their camp and see how it was laid out. When the scouts had reported all these things to him, and by night ${ }^{d}$ had captured some men, who revealed to him that the enemy were about to set upon him. he, being forewarned, took measures for his safety by placing outposts outside the camp and keeping his force under arms throughout the entire night ; and Eleutherus river mentioned below in $\$ 1 \% 9$. In " the region of Hamath "Josephus must include territory considerably south of the city of Ilamath; otherwise we fail to understand why the Syrians should have retreated across (i.e. to the north of ) the Elentherns.
${ }^{c}$ C. 6 miles. No distance is mentioned in 1 Macc.
"Perhaps the word vuктós "by" night " should be placed after the relative pronoun oi, to agree with 1 Nace. xii. 26 , which says that the scouts reported that the enemy intended to attack by night.
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 $177 \pi \rho о \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, oi $\delta$ є $\tau$ ой $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i ́ o u ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma о i ~ \pi v \theta o ́-~$

 тоîs є́ $\chi$ णроîs $\gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu \epsilon ́ v a \iota, ~ к а i ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к а ิ \nu^{3}$



 о́ $\omega \hat{\nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \text { oi } \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \iota o \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu ~ a v ̇ \tau o v ̀ s ~ v i \pi o \lambda a ́ \beta o \iota \epsilon \nu, ~}{ }^{6}$ $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$. ó $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \quad$ 'I $\omega \nu \alpha \dot{\theta} \eta S$ "̈ $\omega \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu i \xi \alpha S$
 179 бvvєis őть $\pi \epsilon \phi \epsilon v ์ \gamma \alpha \sigma \iota \nu, \epsilon \in \delta i ́ \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$. оv̉ $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau о \iota ~ \phi \theta \alpha ́ v \in \iota$






${ }^{1}$ edd.: $\mu а \chi о \mu$ évous aut $\mu а \chi \eta \sigma o \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s ~ c o d d . ~$
${ }^{2} \mu \epsilon \mu a \theta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau a$ AMWE: cognovisse lat.
${ }^{3}$ проббокш́vтшv LAMW: тробঠокойvтаs ed. pr.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{5} \mathrm{P} \text { : } \dot{\omega} \text { säv rell. E. }
\end{aligned}
$$

a In the preceding two sentences Josephus amplifies 1 Mace. xii. 27-28.
${ }^{b}$ The mod. Nahr el-helir, mentioned earlier in § 105 ( $c f$. note there).
"The phrase "were on safe ground " is Thucydidean, cf. Thuc. viii. 39. 4.
${ }^{\text {d }} 1$ Mace. xii. 31-32, " And Jonathan turned aside against 314
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he exhorted them to keep their spirits high and their senses alert enough to fight eren at night if necessary, that their enemy's plan might not take them unaware. But when Demetrius' generals discovered that Jonathan knew their plan, they were no longer able to use sound judgment, and were disturbed at having been found out by their foes; nor could they expect to overcome them by any other means, now that their stratagem had failed, for they did not consider themselves a match for Jonathan's men, if they were to fight in the open. They therefore resolved on flight, and after lighting many fires in order that, when the enemy saw them, they might believe they were still there, they retreated. ${ }^{a}$ And when Jonathan came close to their camp at dawn and found it deserted, he realized that they had fled, and went in pursuit of them, but was not quick enough to overtake them, for they had already crossed the Eleutherus river ${ }^{b}$ and were on safe ground. ${ }^{c}$ He therefore turned back from there to Arabia and made war on the Nabataeans, driving off many of their cattle and taking captives, and then went to Damaseus, where he sold them all. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ About the same time his
the Arabs called Zabadaeans, and defeated them and took their spoil. And removing thence he cane to Damascus and marched throngh the whole country." Perhaps Josephus connects the Zabadaeans of 1 Macc. with the Nabataean $\Lambda$ rabs, who then lived considerably further south, because he associates them with " Zabdiel the Arab," whe cut off the head of Alexander Balas ( $\$ 118=1$ Macc. xi. 17) . Some older commentators, however, ( ap. Grimm) mention Zabdini, a district N.W. of Damascus, near the Eleutherus. Whence Josephus derived the detail of Jonathan's sale of the cattle in Damascus is more difficult to explain. Possibly
 " he distributed " or the like.
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 тòv 入aòv ä äav $\alpha$ єis тò iєpòv 'I $\omega v a ́ \theta \eta s$ $\sigma v \nu є \beta o v-$



 $\pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ä $\lambda \lambda о$ т $\tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o s ~ a ̉ v o \iota к о \delta о \mu \eta \sigma а \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ a ̉ \pi о ф р а ́ \xi а \iota ~$








$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { тà фpoúpaa AMWE: фpoupiós rell. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{4}$ ă Yopà AMWE.
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brother Simon went through all Judaea and Palestine ${ }^{a}$ as far as Ascalon, making their fortresses secure ${ }^{b}$ and strengthening them with works and guards, ${ }^{c}$ and then went to Joppa, occupied it and introduced a large garrison into it ${ }^{d}$; for he had heard that the inhabitants of Joppa were ready to deliver up their city to Demetrius' generals.
(11) And so, having regulated these matters, both Jonathan Simon and Jonathan came ${ }^{e}$ to Jerusalem. Here Jonathan gathered all the people together in the 1 suace. temple ${ }^{f}$ and advised them to repair the walls of ${ }^{\text {xii. } 35 .}$ Jerusalem, and to set up again the part of the wall round the temple which had been thrown down, and to fortify the temple precincts by high towers, ${ }^{9}$ and, in addition, to build still another wall in the midst of the city to keep the garrison in the citadel from reaching the city, ${ }^{h}$ and in this way cut off their large supply of provisions; he further advised them to make the fortresses throughout the country far stronger than they were in their present state of security. And so, when this plan was approved by the people, Jonathan himself began the building in the city, and sent out Simon to make the fortresses
through the comntry as far as Ascalon and the neighbouring fortresses."
${ }^{d}$ The introduction of the large garrison is not mentioned in 1 Macc. Joppa had earlier been taken by the Jews, $c f . \S \S 92 \mathrm{ff}$. (1 Mace. x. 76 ff .).

- Variant "returned."
${ }^{\prime}$ The temple is not mentioned in 1 Mace.
- 1 Macc. does not mention the temple wall (and towers), destroyed carlier by $\Lambda$ ntiochus Eupator, cf. Ant. xii. 383 (1 Macc. vi. 62). On the text of I Nacc. here see C. Torrey JBL liii. (1931), 3z-33.
" Variant "the market-place" (agora). The " city" is the western part of Jerusalell or "Upper City."
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 $\pi a \rho a \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ aíтov̀s $\dot{v} \pi \iota \sigma \chi \nu о \cup ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$, оиүката-







 ä $\lambda \lambda$ oıs $\delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \iota .{ }^{2}$




> ${ }^{1}$ катаßàs PFL: ảvaßàs coni. Niese.
> ${ }^{2} \delta \in \delta \eta$ ஷর́ка $\mu \in \nu$ A MIW Lat.
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in the country secure. ${ }^{a}$ Meanwhile ${ }^{b}$ Demetrius Demetrins crossed into Mesopotamia, wishing to occupy both ${ }_{\text {captured }}^{\text {II }}$ that country and Babylon, and, by taking possession of the Upper Satrapies, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ to make these his base for an attempt to control the entire kingdom. For the Greeks and Macedonians living in this region were in fact continually sending envoys to him, promising to go over to him, if he would come to them, and to join him in making war on Arsaces, the king of the Parthians. ${ }^{d}$ Elated by these hopes, he set out for their country, being determined that, if he should subdue the Parthians and acquire a force of his own, he would make war on Tryphon and drive him out of Syria. And as the people of the country received him gladly, he gathered a force together and made war on Arsaces, but lost his entire army and was himself taken alive, ${ }^{e}$ as has been related elsewhere. ${ }^{f}$
(vi. 1) As for Tryphon, when he learned that Demetrius' undertaking had come to such an end, he ceased to support Antiochus, but, instead, plotted to kill him and seize the throne himself. $g$ There was, in Olymp. 160, $2=139 / 8$ в.c. For a diseussion of the chronology of the campaigns, partly based on cuneiform records, sce Debevoise, pp. 22-25.
${ }^{d}$ This was Arsaces VI, Mithridates I, who ruled from 171 to 138 в.c.

- In 138 в.c. He was treated honourably and given the daughter of Mithridates in marriage.
${ }^{\prime}$ 'Not in Josephus' works. The formula is taken over from his source, unless it means "in the works of others."
- Josephus, in amplifying 1 Macc. xii. 39, agrees with Appian, Syr. 67-68 and Justinus xxxvi. 1 in plaeing Tryphon's usurpation after the eapture of Demetrius by the Parthians. But, as the coins show and as is indicated by 1 Naec. xiii. 31, 4.1. Tryphon's reign is to be dated from 112 or 141 18.c. ; cf. Schiurer i. 172 and Bevan in C $A / I /$ viii. 527.
$\alpha v ่ \tau о \bar{v} \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \pi \rho о \alpha i \rho є \sigma \iota v ~ \tau \alpha v ́ т \eta \nu ~ o ́ ~ \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ ' I ~ I \omega v a ́ \theta o v ~$

 188 каi то́тє тоîs $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath^{\prime}$ тòv ' $\backslash \nu \tau i ́ o \chi o v ~ \epsilon ’ \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon i ̂ ’ . ~ a ̀ \pi a ́ \tau \eta ~$





 $\delta \omega ́ \rho o \iota s ~ a v ̀ \tau o ̀ v ~ к а i ~ ф ı \lambda о ф \rho о v \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota, ~ к а i ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o ́ \sigma \iota v$ $\alpha ข ่ \tau o v ิ ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \alpha \rho \chi \epsilon i v, ~ ' I \omega v a ́ \theta \eta ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon, ~ \tau о ข ́ \tau o เ s ~$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ ßоvגó $\mu \in \nu$ оs єüvoıav каi $\pi \alpha \hat{\alpha} \alpha \nu$ ن́тóvoıav Є́ $\xi \in \lambda \epsilon i ̄ v ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а \tau а ф \rho о \nu \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ v ~ a ̉ \phi u ́-~$



 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$ av̇тòv o’入íyovs єis $\Pi \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ \delta a \quad \sigma v v \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ v$


 $\pi \alpha \rho \in i ̂ v a \iota$.
 oas, à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon v ่ v o i ́ a s ~ к а i ~ \gamma v \omega ́ \mu \mu s ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta o v ̂ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$ Tри́фнva $\sigma v \mu \beta о v \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma \alpha s, ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ v \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda v \sigma \epsilon, \quad \tau \rho \iota \sigma \iota \lambda i ́ o u s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ката-
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however, an obstacle to this plan, namely his fear of Jonathan, who was Antivehus' friend, and for that reason he determined first to get rid of Jonathan, and then make the attempt on Antiochus. And deciding to make an end of him by deceit and treachery, he went from Antioch to Bethsan, ${ }^{a}$ called Seythopolis by the Greeks, where Jonathan met him with an army of forty thousand picked men, for he suspected that Tryphon had come to attack him. Accordingly, when Tryphon discovered that Jonathan was ready for battle. he flattered him with presents and friendliness, and ordered his officers to obey Jonathan, for he hoped by these means to convince him of his goodwill and to remove all his suspicions, in order that Jonathan might make light of these and be taken off his guard, foreseeing nothing. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{He}$ also adrised him to dismiss his army, for now, he said, there was no need to bring it with him, since there was no war, and peace reigned over all ; he invited him, however. to keep a few men about him and go with him to Ptolemais, saying that he would deliver up that city to him and give into his power all the other strongholds that were in the country ; it was for this reason, he said, that he had come there.
(2) And so, having no suspicion of these thinge, rryphon but believing that Tryphon had given him this advice captures out of goodwill and in sincerity, Jonathan dismissed his army and kept only three thousand men, of whom treachery. he left two thousand in Galilee, while he himself xii. 46. with a thousand went to Ptolemais with 'reyphon.

## a Mod. Beision, cf. Ant. v. s.3 note $h$.

- Tryphon's motives are not stated at this point in 1 Mace.

$$
4 \epsilon \pi^{\prime} \quad 1 . \| W
$$
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 à $\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$. oi $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ av̇тò̀s $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon S$ i $\delta$ óv $\nu \epsilon S$


 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{I} \omega \nu$ á $\theta$ ov $\sigma v ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu$ каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ бv̀v av̉т $\hat{\omega}$












 $\epsilon i \chi \epsilon \nu$ àvaßàs єis тウ̀v 'Iovסaíav $\pi$ о $\lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i v$ тoùs ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$
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But the inhabitants of Ptolemais shut their gatesthis they had been ordered to do by Tryphon-, ${ }^{a}$ and he ${ }^{b}$ took Jonathan alive and killed all the men with him. He also sent troops against the two thousand men who had been left in Galilee, ${ }^{c}$ in order to destroy them as well, but they, at the rumour of what had befallen Jonathan and his men, protected themselves with their arms and succeeded in getting out of the country before the arrival of the men dispatched by Tryphon; and when the troops sent against them by Tryphon saw that they were ready to fight for their lives, they returned to Tryphon without molesting them in any way.
(3) But when the inhabitants of Jerusalem heard of the capture of Jonathan and of the destruction of the soldiers with him, they lamented the fate that had befallen him above all, and sorely did they all miss the hero; at the same time, as was natural,

The Jews are
dismayed by Jonathan's capture 1 Mace. xii. 52. a great fear fell upon them and troubled them that now, when they were deprived of both the courage and foresight of Jonathan, the surrounding nations, who were hostile to them and had remained quiet only because of Jonathan, might rise up against them, and that they might be forced to do battle and undergo the most extreme dangers. And what they suspected did in fact befall them, for when the foreign nations heard of Jonathan's death, they began to make war on the Jews, thinking them to be without a leader. As for Tryphon, he too gathered a force together with the intention of going up to Judaea and making war on its inhabitants. 'There-

[^114]
## JOSEPHUS





























[^115]upon Simon, seeing that the people of Jerusalem were simon en. dismayed at these happenings, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and wishing by his words to make them more courageous and resolute in opposing Tryphon who was advaneing against them, ealled the people together in the temple ${ }^{b}$ and there began to exhort them as follows. "It was for your liberty, my countrymen, that I and my brothers together with our father have gladly ${ }^{c}$ dared death, as you cannot fail to know by now. And having such good examples before me, and believing ${ }^{d}$ that the men of my house were born to die on behalf of our laws and our religion, I know not any fear great enough to drive this thought from my ${ }^{\text {c }}$ mind or to introduce in its place a love of life and contempt for glory. Wherefore, as you are not without a leader who is able to suffer and do the greatest things on your behalf, follow me eagerly against whomsoever I may lead you. For neither am I better than my brothers, that I should spare my own life, nor am I worse, that I shonld flee from or reject what seemed to them the noblest thing of all, that is, to die for the laws and the worship of your God. But in whatever way I must show myself to be a true brother of theirs, in that way I will show it. For I am eonfident that I shall take vengeance on the enemy, and that I shall deliver you all with your wives and children from their violenee, and that with God's help I shall preserve the temple inviolate; for I see that the
a The phrase "seeing that in. . were dismayed at these happenings " is reminiseent of 'Thucydides ii. 59. 3.

- Mace. does not mention the temple : $c f$. $\$ 181$ note $f$.
c Variant omits "gladly."
- Text slighty emended.
" Variant (corrupt) " yomr."


## JOSEPHUS

 тодє $\mu \in \hat{v} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ v a \iota . " ~$

 $\epsilon \in \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa o ̀ s ~ v ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda i a s ~ a ̉ \nu \eta \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \theta \eta^{1} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \mu \epsilon i v \omega$















 $\kappa а i ~ \Sigma i \mu \omega v ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\eta} S$ avitô $\delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s ~ \epsilon i s ~ " A \delta \delta \iota \delta a$
 24 и́то́кєєта८ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} S$ 'Iovסaías $\pi \epsilon \delta i ́ a$. $\gamma v o v ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ T $\rho u^{\prime}-$
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nations hold you in contempt as being without a leader, and are eager to make war." ${ }^{a}$
(4) By making this speech Simon restored courage to the multitude, and from having been erushed in spirit through timidity they were now raised to a their leace better spirit and good hope, so that all the people xiii. 7. cried out with one voice that Simon should be their leader and have authority over them, and so take the plaee of his brothers Judas and Jonathan, saying they would be obedient to whatever commands he would give them. ${ }^{b}$ Thereupon he quickly collected all those of his own force who were able to fight, and made haste to rebuild the walls of the city; and when he had made it secure with very high and strong towers, he sent one of his friends, Jonathan, the son of Absalom, ${ }^{c}$ with an army to Joppa, ordering him to drive out its inhabitants, for he was afraid that they might deliver up the city to Tryphon. ${ }^{d}$ He himself remained to guard Jerusalem. ${ }^{e}$
(5) Meanwhile Tryphon set out from Ptolemais Tryphon's with a great army and came to Judaea, bringing furher also Jonathan as his prisoner. And Simon with his toward the foree met him at the city of Addida, ${ }^{f}$ which is situated ${ }_{1}^{\text {Jews. }}$ Mac on a hill, with the plains of Judaea lying below it. xiii. 12 . But when Tryphon learned that Simon had been yr. $170=143 / 2$ b.c. by 1 Macc. xiii. 41 f., xiv. 27 . Cf. atso $\$ 212$ note $b$.
${ }^{c}$ Some commentators identify him with the Absalom whose son Mattathias was one of Jonathan's trusted officers, cf. ahove § 161 ( 1 Mace. xi. 70).
${ }^{d}$ This motive is not stated in I Macc.

- So Josephus muderstands 1 Nacc. xiii. 11, which reads, " and he (Jonathan the son of Absalom) remained there (at Joppa) in the city."

Mod. el-lladithe, c. 4 miles N.E. of Lydda, according to Abel, GiP ii. 310.

## JOSEPHUS


 av่тóv, $\epsilon i$ $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \lambda v \theta \eta ̄ v a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v ~ ' I ~ I \omega v ' a ́ \theta \eta \nu, ~$













 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a \ell ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ хрク́ната aùт $\bar{\omega}$ каi тoùs vioùs $\ddot{\eta}$ тоîs







 ${ }^{1} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon$ L. . ММWE.
${ }^{a} 1$ Macc. xiii. 15 reads, " because of the money which yonr brother Jonathan owes the royal treasury through the office he holds ( $\delta c^{\prime}$ às xpeias), we are keeping him under 328
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appointed by the Jews as their leader, he sent to him with the intention of getting the better of him by deceit and treachery, and told him, if he wished his brother Jonathan to be released, to send a hundred talents of silver and the two sons of Jonathan as hostages, to ensure that when he was set free, he would not cause Judaea to revolt from the king ; at the moment, he said, he was being kept in chains on account of the money which he had borrowed from the king and still owed him. ${ }^{a}$ Now Simon was not unaware of 'Tryphon's artfulness, but clearly saw that he would lose any money he might give him, and still not free his brother, and along with him would be giving over his sons to the enemy; fearing however, that he might be denounced to the people as being the cause of his brother's death if he gave neither the money nor his sons for him, he gathered his army together and informed them of 'Tryphon's offer, adding that it held a snare and a plot, but that, in spite of this, it was better to send him the money and Jonathan's sons than to refuse to listen to Tryphon's proposals, and so ineur blame, as if he were unwilling to save his brother. ${ }^{b}$ Simon therefore sent off Jonathan's sons and the money as well. But Tryphon, on receiving them, did not keep his pledge nor release Jonathan, but, instead, took his army and marched all through the country; and deciding to go up to Jerusalem through Idumaea
guard." Josephus takes xpeias " office" in another sensc, "debts." The allusion in 1 Mace. is to tribute demanded from Jonathan as a vassal of the Seleucid king, cf. above § $1 \geqslant 5$ (1 Mace. xi. 28).
o Josephus invents the detail of Simon's consulting his army, and greatly amplifies the two verses, 1 Nace. siii. 1718, which describe Simon's suspicions of Tryphon.

## JOSEPHLTS

" $\Lambda \delta \omega \rho a^{1} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ ' I \delta o u \mu a i a s . ~ a ̀ v \tau \iota \pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon$ ' ó
 $\mu \in \nu o s$ द̇' $̇$ '̇vavtías aủtov̂.
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 M $\omega \delta \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \tau \rho i \delta \iota, \pi \epsilon \in \nu \theta o s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ aủ $\frac{\hat{\omega}}{} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \pi a ̂ s ~ o$



 $\lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota$, каi $\sigma \tau u ́ \lambda o u s ~ \mu o v o \lambda i ́ \theta o v s, ~ \theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ i \delta \epsilon i ̂ v ~$
${ }^{1}$ ex Macc. Iludson: $\Delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$ codd. Lat.
${ }^{2}$ ódeútev FLV, om. E.
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thereafter, ${ }^{,}$he finally came to Adora, ${ }^{b}$ a city in Idumaea. Simon, however, with his army marched in the same direction, always encamping opposite him.
(6) But when those in the citadel ${ }^{c}$ sent to Tryphon and urged him to hasten to them and send them provisions, he made ready his cavalry in the expectation of being in Jerusalem that very night. A heavy snow, however, fell during the night, which covered the roads and lay so deep that it made the way impassable, especially for the feet of horses, and so prevented his coming to Jerusalem. ${ }^{d}$ For this reason Tryphon set out from Adora, and reaching CoeleSyria, ${ }^{e}$ hurriedly invaded Galaaditis, where he killed Jonathan ${ }^{f}$ and ordered that he be buried, and then returned to Antioch. But Simon sent to the city of Basca ${ }^{g}$ and brought back the bones of his brother, which he buried in Modeei, ${ }^{h}$ his birthplace, while all the people made great lamentation over him. And Simon also built for his father and brothers a very great monument of polished white marble, and raising it to a great and conspicuous height, made porticoes round it, and erected monolithic pillars, a
${ }^{c}$ The Syrian garrison and Jewish renegades in the Akra of Jerusalem.
${ }^{d}$ The picturesque details of the snowstorm are added by Josephus.

- Here "Coele-Syria" includes Transjordan, cf. Ant. xi. 25 note $a$.

1 In the city of Bascama, see next note.

- Bascama (Ваокана́) in 1 Macc.; it is identified by Bévenot witl mod. Tell Bāzük, N.E. of the lake of Calilee; this identification is questioned by Abel, GP ii. 261 , who suggests el-(fummeize (" the sycamore ") in the same region, on the basis of the supposed cetymology of Bascama.
${ }^{n}$ Bibl. Modin, rf. Ant. xii. 265 note e.
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 $\gamma \epsilon ́ v o u s .{ }^{2}$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ тои́тои $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \hat{\eta} S$ ìv тov́tots j̉v. $^{\nu}$









${ }^{1}$ àpхєєратєúas LWE.
${ }^{2}$ Ludacorum Lat.: étvous coni. Herwerden: post yévous

${ }^{3}$ '́ni тoîs P'.
 leg. Naber cum V.
${ }^{6}$ S. Spanheim: Nıкárwp codd.
a 1 Macc. does not mention the porticoes: Josephus, on the other hand, omits the panoply and carved ships of the monument. On the architcelure see Watzinger, Denkmäler. ii. 22: see also W'. W'. 'Tarn in J/IS 59 (1939), 125-126.

- In Ant. xx. 238 Josephus gives 7 years for Jonathan's term as high priest. The correct figure is 10 years; Jonathan 332
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wonderful thing to see. ${ }^{a}$ In addition to these he built for his parents and his brothers seven pyramids. one for each, so made as to exeite wonder by their size and beauty ; and these have been preserved to this day. Such was the zeal which we know to have been shown by Simon in burying Jonathan and building monuments to his family. Now when Jonathan died as high priest, he had been ruter of the nation for four years." These, then, were the circumstances of his death.
(7) And Simon, after being chosen high priest by the populace, in the first year of his high-priesthood liberated the people from servitude to the Macedonians, so that they no longer had to pay tribute to them. This liberation and exemption from tribute came to the Jews in the hundred and seventieth year of the Syrian ${ }^{c}$ kingdom, reckoned from the time when Seleucus, surnamed Nicator. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ occupied Syria. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ And so great was the respect of the people for Simon that in their contracts with one another, as well as
died in $143 / 2$ b.c. ( $c f$. $\S 201$ note $b$ ). and was officially recognized as high priest by Alexander Balas in 152 b.c. (rf. \$ 46 $=1$ Nacc. x. 21). thus ruling 10 years. Either Josephus is careless here, as in Ant. xx., or there is a scribal error. P'erhaps, as Reinach suggests. the $\begin{gathered}\dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma a p a s \\ \text { is an expansion of }\end{gathered}$ the numeral letter $\delta^{\prime}$, which in turn was an abbreviation of an original $\delta$ éкa " ten."
" Variant " Assyrian."
" Correction of ms. "Nicanor." a scribal error also found in $A n t$. xii. 119 and xviii. 372.

- The 170th yr. Sel.. by Jewish reckoning (cf. Ant. xii. 210 note a), began in April 142 в.c. 1 Macc. xiii. 34-40 gives in some detail the concessions made hy Demetrims 11 to the Jews before his Parthian expedition (cf. below, \$218 note $b$ ) : these constitated recognition of their polilical independence. On the Jewish coins supposedly coined under Simon see the works cited in Appendix M.
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 ${ }^{2}$ tov̂ LV : rove kaif: cai rall.
${ }^{3}$ émápXov AMWE. ${ }^{4}$ évôv LA marg. M mary.
${ }^{5}$ 「á jav PFLV.

a Conjectured for ms. " in."
${ }^{b}$ Cff. 1 Macc. xiii. 42, "Simon, the high priest and general (oтрaтŋүoû) and leader ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma o u \mu \epsilon ́ v o v)$ of the Jews." " Ethnarch" was the title given to later Hasmonaean rulers by the liomans, of. Ant. xiv. 151, 191 et al.
c Variant " nations."
${ }^{d}$ Here, with 1 Macc. xiii. 42, ends Josephus' paraphrase (though not necessarily his use) of the apocryphal book, although it contains three and a half more chapters covering the rule of Simon. For this and the following periods Josephus relies on Hellenistic sources (chiefly Nicolas of Damascus), which he had earlier used for his account of the later Hasmonaean and Herodian periods in his Jewish 33 +
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in public documents, they dated them "from ${ }^{a}$ the first year of Simon, the bencfactor and ethnarch of the Jews " ${ }^{b}$; for under his rule they prospered exceedingly and overcame the foes ${ }^{c}$ that surrounded them. ${ }^{d}$ For Simon subducd the city of Gazara ${ }^{e}$ and Joppa and Jamneia, and also took the citadel at Jerusalem by siege, razing it to the ground that it might not serve his foes as a base to occupy and do mischief from it, as they were then doing. ${ }^{f}$ Having done this, he thought it would be an excellent thing and to his advantage to level also the hill on which the citadel stood, in order that the temple might be higher than this. Accordingly, he called the people to an assembly and sought to persuade them to have this done, reminding them how they had suffered at the hands of the garrison and the Jewish renegades, and also warning them of what they would suffer if a foreign ruler should again occupy their realm, and a garrison should be placed therein. With these words he persuaded the people,

Har (cf. $\S 225$ note $c)$. Various explanations have been given of Josephus' abandonment of 1 Macc. at this point; some scholars hold that the last three and a half chapters of 1 Nacc. were a late addition, not known to Josephus ( $r f$. the discussions in Thackeray, Josephus, p. 86 and Ricciotti, Introduzione, pp. 140-143). It is more likely that Josephus found it more convenient to use only his Hellenistic sources for Simon's period than to continue excerping from them to fill ont the narrative of 1 Nacc.
" Variant " Gaza" as in 1 Macc. xiii. 43 (but Gazara in xiii. 53) ; B.J. i. 50 has Gazara, which is correct. On the site $r f$. Ant. xii. 308 note $a$. 1 Macc. xiii. 53 tells us further that Simnn left his son John (Hyrcanms) as governor of Gazara.
' The expulsion of the Syrian garrison is dated the 23rd of Iyyar (roughly May) in 1 Macc. xiii. 5I and Megillath Ta'anith.
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 marg. Il marg.
 $\mu \in \operatorname{los}$ caber. ${ }^{3}$ Sot zions l'.
a Josephus' statements here and in B..J. i. 50 that simon levelled the (S.E.) hill, on which the citadel (okra) stood, are in contradiction to 1 Mace. xiv. 37, which says that Simon fortified the citadel. More correct is Josephus' statement in B.J. v. 139 that the Hasmonacans (i.e. one of Simon's successors-probably John Hyreanus) levelled the citadel hill, $\circ f$. Dr. 'Thackeray's note on the last passage.
336
since he was recommending what was to their advantage. And so they all set to and began to level the hill, and without stopping work night or day, after three whole years brought it down to the ground and the surface of the plain. And thereafter the temple stood high above everything else, once the eitadel and the hill on which it stood had been demolished. Such was the nature of the things aceomplished in the time of Simon. ${ }^{a}$
(vii. 1) Not long after Demetrius had been taken Tryphon captive, ${ }^{b}$ Tryphon, acting as the guardian of Alex- $\begin{gathered}\text { kills } \\ \text { Antiochus }\end{gathered}$ ander's son Antioehus, surnamed Theos, ${ }^{c}$ put him to ${ }^{~} 1$ and death after he had reigned four years. ${ }^{d}$ And while claims the he gave out that Antiochus had died under the hands of the surgeon, ${ }^{e}$ he sent his friends and intimates to go among the soldiers, promising to give them large sums of money if they would cleet him king, pointing out that Denietrius had been made captive by the Parthians, and that if his brother Antiochus ${ }^{f}$ came to the throne, he would make them suffer severely, in taking revenge for their revolt. And so, hoping for an easy living if the throne were given to Tryphon, they made him their ruler. But on becoming the master of the state, Tryphon revealed his rascally
b Tryphon's usurpation preceded Demetrius' captivity, cf. above $\S 187$ note $e$.
c For his full regnal title see § 131 mote $d$.
${ }^{d}$ From 145 to 142 b.c. He was about seven years old at his deatl, of. Bevan, II. sel. ii. zas note 4.
e Variant "died of excessive indulgence"; conjectured variant "died while being bled." A similar story is told in Livy, E:pit. lv. 'The other someres, 1 Mace. xiii. 31, Diodorus xxxiii. 28, Appian, Syr. 68, Juslinus xxxvi. 1. 7, do not tell how Antiochus was killed.
' Antiochus VII (Sidetes), cf. § 222 note.
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${ }^{1}$ н́évo $Л \mathrm{MW}$.
 FV) тเขผิv cold.
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nature ; for while he was a private person he had courted the multitude and assumed a character of mildness, thus leading them on to do as he wished, but once he had obtained the royal power, he threw off all pretence and became the Tryphon he really was. Now by this course he strengthened his foes, for the army, which hated him, revolted and went over to Cleopatra, ${ }^{a}$ the wife of Demetrius, who with her children was at that time shut up in Seleucia. And as Demetrius' brother Antiochus, surnamed Soter, ${ }^{b}$ was wandering about, for at Tryphon's instance no city admitted him, Cleopatra sent to him, inviting him to come and marry her and take the throne. ${ }^{c}$ The reason why she invited Antiochus on these terms was partly that her friends persuaded her to do so, and partly that she feared that some of the people of Seleucia might deliver up the city to Tryphon.
(2) Antiochus, therefore, came to Seleucia, and as Demetrius his strength increased daily, he set out to make war on Tryphon; and having defeated him in battle. he drove him out of Upper Syria into Phoenicia, where he pursued him, and when he took refuge in Dora, with simon. a fortress difficult to take, besieged him there. He also sent envoys to Simon, the high priest of the Jews, to propose a friendly alliance. ${ }^{d}$ And Simon gladly accepted his offer, and lavishly supplied the
latter was the surname of Demetrius I) appears elsewhere as a surname of Antiochus VII, whose official surname was Fuergetes, and whose popular name was Sidetes (from the city of Side in Pamphylia, where he was brought up).
"Thus Antiochus V'II became the third husband of Cleopatra, $c f$. above, $\xi 221$ note $a$.

- Antiochus's letter to Simon is quoted in 1 Macc. xv. 1-9. Tryphon's flight to Dora is mentioned in vs. 11.
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${ }^{1}$ тòv PV . ${ }^{2}$ A<compat>ᄀ: каі rell. каітєр conic. Niese. 3 є่ктє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ F


[^119] 340

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 224-227

soldiers who were besieging Dora with great sums of money and provisions, which he sent to Antiochus, so that for a short while he was considered one of his elosest friends. ${ }^{a}$ As for Tryphon, he fled from Dora to Apamea, and on being besieged and eaptured there, was put to death, after reigning three years. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
(3) ${ }^{c}$ Antiochus, however, through covetousness and dishonesty forgot the services which Simon had rendered him in his necessity, and giving a foree of soldiers to Cendebaeus, one of his Friends, sent him off to plunder Judaea and seize Sinon. But Simon heard of Antiochus' lawless conduct, and though he was now an old man, nevertheless was aroused by the unjust treatment he had received from Antiochus, and being filled with a spirit stronger than his years, took command in the war like a young man. ${ }^{d}$ And so he sent his sons ${ }^{e}$ on ahead ${ }^{f}$ with the soldiers who were the best fighters, while he himself advanced ${ }^{g}$ Ant. and B.J. Josephus in writing this portion of Ant. made use of the same sources (chiefly Nicolas of Damascus and Strabo) which he had before him carlier in writing B.J. i. and ii., but with variations in wording, some corrections and considerable additions. Moreover, as Dr. Thackeray has snecinctly formulated the relation between the parallel accounts (in an unpublished note), "Aut. besides reverting to and making fuller use of the original somrces, has had B.I. . before him (sic) and aimed at avoiding repetition. The greater freedom with which I..J. had treated the souree left Ant, at liberty to adhere more closely to its language." See further the Appendix on Josephns' sources, in the last volume of this tranlation.
${ }^{d}$ Aceording to I Mace. xvi. 3 Simon took no part in the war and gave the command to his sons; but the wording of vss. $4-7$ might easily lead one to suppose that the pronominal suhject is Simon (Luc. supplies the name Joannes $=$ John).

- Judas and John, cf. I Mace. xvi. 2.
' Variant " sent his sons out."
- Variant " came near."
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 Хои̂vтоs є́ $\rho \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ à $\nu \epsilon \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon, \Delta а \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$.



${ }^{1}$ Niese: toúrous aut toúrcuv codd.

${ }^{3}$ 光 v om. LAMW.
${ }^{4}$ три̂тa $\tau a i ̂ s$ Niese: $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a$ L. LMWE: $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau a \iota s ~ r e l l . ~$
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with his force in another direction, and posting many of his men in ambush in the defiles of the mountains, came through without losing a single engagement ${ }^{a}$; and after defeating the enemy everywhere, he passed the rest of his life in peace. He also made an alliance with the Romans. ${ }^{b}$
(t) Now he ruled over the Jews for eight years in all, ${ }^{c}$ and died while at a banquet, as a result of the plot formed against him by his son-in-law Ptolemy, who then seized and imprisoned his wife and two sons, ${ }^{d}$ and also sent men to put to death his third son John, also called Hyrcanus. ${ }^{e}$ But the youth, being aware of their coming, escaped danger at their hands and hastened to the city, ${ }^{f}$ trusting in the people to help him because of his father's good deeds and the masses' hatred of Ptolemy. When, therefore, Ptolemy also made an effort to enter through another gate, the populace drove him away, for they had already admitted Hyrcanus.
(viii. 1) And so Ptolemy withdrew to one of the myrcanus fortresses above Jericho, which was called Dagon. ${ }^{g}$ succeevls But Hyrcanus, having assumed the high-priestly simon. office of his father, first propitiated God with sacrifices, and then marched out against Ptolemy and attacked his stronghold ; and though in all other
Simon was killed in the eleventh month, Shebat, of the 173th yr. Sel. = February 135 b.c. ('This date is brought down a year by Kolbe, Beitrïge, p. 27.)
${ }^{d}$ Mattathias and Judas. They and their mother were killed. cf. below, § 235.1 Macc. xvi. 16, in reporting the death of the sons, fails to mention their mother.

- He was at Gazara, according to 1 Macc. xvi. 19, which ends its account of John Hyrcanus here.
f Jerusalem is meant.
- A corruption of Dok (1 Macc. xvi. 15), the mod. 'Ain Duq. c. 3 miles N.W. of Jericho.
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respects he was superior to him, in one thing he was at a disadvantage, that is, in feeling pity for his mother and brothers. For Ptolemy had brought them up on to the wall and maltreated them in the sight of all, threatening to hurl them down headlong if Hyrcanus did not give up the siege. And so, reflecting that the more he slackened his efforts to capture the place, the greater was the kindness he would show those dearest to him by sparing them suffering, Hyrcanus relaxed his eagerness. His mother, however, stretched out her hands, beseeching him not to weaken on her account, but to give way to his anger so much the more, and make every effort to take the place and get his foe into his power and avenge those dearest to him. For, she said, it would be pleasant for her to die in torment if the enemy, ${ }^{a}$ who was doing these things to them, paid the penalty for his crimes against them. Now when his mother said these things, Hyrcanus was seized with a powerful desire to capture the fortress, but when he saw her being beaten and torn apart, he became unnerved and was overcome with compassion at the way in which his mother was being treated. But while the siege was bcing protracted in this manner, there came round the year in which the Jews are wont to remain inactive, for they observe this custom every scventh year, just as on the seventh day. ${ }^{b}$ And Ptolemy, being relieved from the war for this reason, killed the brothers and mother of Hyreanus, and
a Variant " Ptolemy " (who is named at this point in the parallel, B.J. i. 58).
${ }^{b}$ This sabbatical year extended from Oct. 135 to Oct. 131 b.c., cf. Ant. xii. 378 note $a$ and xiv. 475 note $a$.
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after doing so, fled to Zenon, surnamed Cotylas, who was ruler ${ }^{a}$ of the city of Philadelphia. ${ }^{b}$
(2) But Antiochus, being resentful of the injuries Antiochus he had received from Simon, invaded Judaea in the Sidetes fourth year of his reign and the first of Hyrcanus' Judiea. rule, in the hundred and sixty-second Olympiad. ${ }^{c}$ And after ravaging the country, he shut Hyreanus up in the city itself, which he surrounded with seven camps, but at first he accomplished nothing whatever because of the strength of the walls and the valour of the besieged, and also, indeed, because of the lack of water, although of this they were relieved by a great downpour of rain which came with the setting of the Pleiades. Thereupon on the north side of the wall, where the ground happened to be level, he crected a hundred towers, each three stories high, on which he mounted companies of soldicrs. And every day he made an attack, and by cutting a deep double ${ }^{d}$ ditch of great width, shut the inhabitants up within the walls. They, however, contrived to make frequent sallies against the enemy, and whenever they took them off their guard, inflicted much in the (third year of the) 162 nd Olympiad, Schürer, i. 259 note $\overline{5}$, suspects the text of Eusebius; he partially reconciles the contradictions by suggesting that the war lasted from 134 to 132 b.c. 'That the siege lasted more than a year is indicated, as schiirer points out, by the fact that near its begiming occurred the November rains ( $\delta$ vopév̀ns $\pi \lambda \in \epsilon$ dóos, $\S 237$ ) and that it was still going on the following October. when the festival of Tahernacles came rowd ( $\$ 2+41$ ). Noreover, if Josephus' source here used the so-called Nacedonian Olympiad era which preceded the Attic by a year (accoreling to Bickermanm, of. Aut. xii. 32t note d), the discrepancy becomes still less. No dates or details of the siege are given in the parallel, B.J. i. 61.
${ }^{d}$ The variants make no reference to the ditch being double.
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damage on them, and if the enemy perceived them, they easily retired. When, however, Hyrcanus observed that his great numbers were a disadvantage because of the rapid consumption of provisions by them, and that the work which was being accomplished in no way corresponded to the number of hands, he separated from the rest those who were useless, and drove them out, and retained only those who were in the prime of life and able to fight. ${ }^{a}$ But Antiochus, on his side, prevented those who had been rejected from going out, and so, wandering about the walls between the lines, they were the first to be exhausted by their cruel sufferings and were on the point of perishing miserably. Just then, however, the festival of Tabernacles came round, and those within the city took pity on them and admitted them again. And Hyrcanus sent to Antiochus, re- The questing a truce of seven days on account of the festival, which Antiochus, deferring to his piety chivalry of Antiochus toward the Deity, granted and moreover sent a magnificent sacrifice, consisting of bulls with gilded horns and cups of gold and silver filled with all kinds of spices. And those who were at the gates received the sacrifice from the men who brought it, and took it to the sanctuary, while Antiochus feasted his army, being very different from Antiochus Epiphanes who, when he captured the city, sacrificed swine upon the altars and bespattered the temple with their grease, thus perverting the rites of the Jews and the piety of their fathers, by which acts the nation was driven
a There are several Thucydidean reminiscences in the

 dं $\chi \rho$ eiov in § 240: cf. Thuc. iv. 31. 1 and ii. 77 f. Cf. also below, § 245 note.
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## ${ }^{1} \delta^{\prime}$ oủk Niese：$\delta^{\prime}$ ant $\delta e ̀$ codd．


${ }^{3}$ lisc．Iludson：àd入ŕdous codd．
${ }^{4} \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \xi \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \xi$ l＇VE Vixc．


₹ E Lxc．：ả入ウ̄́lous codd．
${ }^{a}$ Cf．Ant．xii． 953 ff ．
－So Josephas calls him in Ant．vii．393，although this surname is not found elsewhere，$c f$ ．above，$\S: 2 z \geq$ note $b$ ．
${ }^{c}$ Similar charges of separateness are made against the Jews by the advisers of Antiochas VII in the parallel account in Diodorus xxxiv．1，of which most scholars，follow－ ing C．Miiller，consider P＇osidonius to be the source．Jose－ phus probably knew l＇osidonius at second hand through Nicolas of Damascus（whom he quotes below，$\$ 251$ ）．The phrase used of the Jews in Diodorus is $\mu$ óvous $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi a ́ v \tau \omega v$ 350
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to war and became his implacable enemy. ${ }^{a}$ This Antiochus, on the other hand, because of his exaggerated deroutness was by all men called Eusebes (the Y'ious). ${ }^{b}$
(3) Now Hyrcanus, being favourably impressed by his affability, and learning of his reverence for the Deity, sent envors to him with the request that he restore to the Jews their native form of government. And Antiochus did not thrust his letter aside, nor did he take note of those who urged him to extirpate this nation because of the scparateness of their way of life, ${ }^{c}$ but, as he believed that in all things they had acted with piety, he replied to the enroys that the besieged should hand over their arms, pay tribute to him for Joppa and the other cities bordering on Judaea, and receive a garrison, and that on these terms they might be freed of the war. But the Jews, while they were ready to accept the other terms, would not agree to the garrison, since they did not come into contact with other peoples because of their separateness. In place of the garrison, however,

 do not take part in social intercourse with other nations, and regard them all as enemies." The charge of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \xi i a$ "separateness" appears elsewhere in pagan writers on Judaism, $c f$. the summary in Juster i. 46. Classic expression is given to this accusation by Haman (Est. iii. 8), cf. Ant. xi. 212 , where Josephus supplies the adjective ä aкктov "unfriendly " or "clannish." Incidentally, much is to be said for the wiew of Derenbourg, pp. $\tilde{i} 6-\hat{i} s$, that $\dot{\alpha} \mu k \dot{\xi} \dot{a}$ is the translation (better, the equivalent) of Heb. perissusth, i.e. separatism from paganism, whence the name Pharisecs "scparatists," applied to this group by their priestly and militarist opponents (the Sadducees); see further the Appendix on the Jewish sects in the last volume of this translation.
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 $\pi \lambda о$ úт $\omega$ тоùs $\pi \dot{\omega} \pi о т \epsilon \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ v i \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda \epsilon, ~ \tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi i ̀ \lambda \iota a ~$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ atp













$$
{ }^{1} \text { Cocceji : únò cod. E. }
$$



[^124]they offered hostages and five hundred talents of silver, three hundred of which and the hostages they gave at once among them being Hyrcanus' own brother ${ }^{a}$; and these the king accepted, and pulled down the walls encircling the city. ${ }^{b}$ And so, on these conditions, Antiochus raised the siege and withdrew. ${ }^{c}$
(4) Hyrcanus also opened the tomb of David, who fyrcanus surpassed all other kings in wealth, and took out Ansists three thousand talents of silver, and drawing on this sum, became the first Jewish king to support foreign troops. ${ }^{d}$ And ${ }^{e}$ he made a friendly alliance with Antiochus, and admitting him into the city, lavishly and generously supplied his army with all they needed. And when Antiochus undertook an expedi- II. tion against the Parthians, Hyrcanus set out with him. ${ }^{f}$ On this we have the testimony of Nicolas of Damascus, who writes as follows. "Áfter defeating Indates, the Parthian general. and setting up a trophy at the Lycus river, ${ }^{g}$ Antiochus remained there two days at the request of the Jew Hyrcanus because of a festival of his nation on which it was not customary for the Jews to march out." Nor does he speak
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$\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉ $\psi \epsilon v ́ \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ．$̀ v \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \grave{\eta}$


 $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ отратiâs àmє́ßa入є каi av̉тòs àmód入uтau，



 ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{} \delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \iota .{ }^{1}$
254 （ix．1）＇Tркаขòs ठє̀ áкоv́баs тòv＇A vтıóхои $\theta a ́-$




 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o v ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s$ ai $\rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ，इíк九цá $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho$ òs тои́тoıs




$\left.{ }^{1} \delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \iota\right] \dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \iota ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{P}$ ．

 possidebat Lat．：oo тарب́кєє Naker．
a This passage，as Reinach notes，may have a bearing on the relations of Hyrcanus with the Pharisees and Sadducee （see below，ss $2 s 8 \mathrm{ff}$ ．），if we assume that Pentecost fell on the first day of the week（Sunday）not by accident，as it might in the Pharisaic system of the calendar，but by in－ tention，as in the Sadducacan system，based on a literal interpretation of Leviticus xiii．il tr．
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falsely in saying this; for the festival of Pentecost had come round, following the Sabbath, ${ }^{a}$ and we are not permitted to march either on the Sabbath or on a festival. But on engaging Arsaces the Parthian in battle, Antiochus lost a great part of his army and was himself slain ${ }^{b}$; thereupon his brother Demetrius ${ }^{c}$ succeeded to the throne of Syria, after Arsaces had released him from captivity at the time when Antiochus invaded Parthia, as has already been related elsewhere. ${ }^{d}$
(ix. 1) So soon as he heard of the death of Anti- Hyrcanus ochus, ${ }^{e}$ Hyrcanus marched out against the cities of Syria, thinking to find them, as indeed they were, empty of fighting men and of any able to deliver them. And he captured Medaba ${ }^{f}$ after six months, during which his army suffered great hardships; next he captured Samoga ${ }^{g}$ and its environs, and, in addition to these, Shechem and Garizcin and the Cuthaean nation, which lives near the temple built after the model of the sanctuary at Jerusalem, which Alexander permitted their governor Sanaballetes to build for the sake of his son-in-law Manasses, the
${ }^{0}$ On the confusion in some ancient sources between the deaths of Antiochus IV and of Antiochus VHI cf. Ant. xii. 355 note $d$ and Otto in ABAW. Phil.-Hist. Abt., N.F.M. 11 (1934), p. 85 n. 3.
${ }^{e}$ Demetrius II, cf. $\S s, 184 \mathrm{ff}$.
d Variant "related elsewhere in our writings." The formula is taken over from Josephus" source, unless, of course, "elsewhere" means the writings of other historians.
e In 139 в.c.; the parallel in B.J. i. 62 says that Hyrcanus marched out inmediately after Antiochius' invasion of Parthia, at least half a year earlier.
${ }^{\prime}$ 'The ancient Moabite city, mod. Madabū, at this time in Nabataean hands, off. § 11.

V'ariant "Samega"; identified by Abel, (il' ii. 443, with mod. Samak, c. 8 miles N.E. of Medaba.
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 äта⿱亠乂⿰丿丿⿱二小欠
 $\tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu o \iota v \tau o ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ aỉסoîa каi тoîs＇lovסaíwv vó $\mu \circ{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$



入oımòv＇Iovסaíous．
（2）＇T＇$\rho \kappa \alpha \nu o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{~ o ́ ~ a ̀ \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon \grave{v} s ~ a ̀ v a \nu \epsilon \epsilon ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~}$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' P w \mu a i o v s ~ \phi i \lambda i a v ~ \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$




 Soukiov vioû Míє $\eta v_{i} a^{8}$ каi 「aîou $\sum \epsilon \mu \pi \rho \omega \nu i o v$


＇$\dot{\text { un }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \chi \in \nu \mathrm{PFV}$ ．
 Lat．：$\pi о \mu \pi i \omega$ Exc．
${ }^{7}$ ex Lat．Niese：Mavviou aut Maviou（om，P）codd．
${ }^{8}$ Manutius：Mentina codd．：Tpopevtiva Ritschl．
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brother of the high priest Jaddua, as we have related before. ${ }^{a}$ Now it was two hundred years later that this temple was laid waste. ${ }^{b}$ Hyrcanus also captured the Idumaean cities of Adora ${ }^{\text {c }}$ and Marisa, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and after subduing all the Idumaeans, permitted them to remain in their country so long as they had themselves circumcised and were willing to observe the laws ${ }^{e}$ of the Jews. And so, out of attachment to the land of their fathers, they submitted to eircumcision and to making their manner of life conform in all other respects to that of the Jews. And from that time on they have continued to be Jews. ${ }^{f}$
(2) ${ }^{g}$ Now as the high priest Hyrcanus wished to renew the friendship with the Romans, he sent an embassy to them. And the Senate received his letter,
renews the
treaty with Rome. and made an alliance of friendship with him in the following terms. "Fannius, the son of Marcus, the praetor, ${ }^{h}$ convened the Senate on the eighth day before the Ides of February in the Comitium ${ }^{i}$ in the presence of Lucius Mallius, ${ }^{j}$ the son of Lucius, of the Menenian ${ }^{k}$ tribe, and of Gaius Sempronius, ${ }^{l}$ the
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Bibl. Mareshah, mod. Tell Sandahanna, cf. Ant. viii. 246 mote $i$.

- Variant " customs " or " ordinances."
' The remarks on the building of the Samaritan temple and the judaizing of the Idumaeans have no parallel in B.J. i. 62-63.
- On the problem whether the following document is to be dated in the reign of Antiochus VII Sidetes (c. 132 в.c.) or in the reign of his son, Antiochus IX Cyzicenus (c. 105 b.c.), see the works eited in Appendix J.
${ }^{\text {n }}$ arparŋүós is the translation of Lat. praetor, cf. Magie, pp . 6, 11 . ' Conj. for corrupt readings of mss.
' Conj. for mss. " Man(n) ius."
* Conj. for mss. " Mentinan."
${ }^{6}$ G. l'annius and G. Smpronius were praetors in 13! в.c., of. Nünzer in PW G. 195s and 11A. 144.
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ‘ P \omega \mu a i o v s ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ ঠ $\eta \mu о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho а \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$,













 таи̂тa àvavє $\omega \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \phi i \lambda i ́ a v ~ к а i ~ \sigma v \mu \mu а \chi i ́ a \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$

${ }^{2}$ Гáלんpa I＇F゙ ${ }^{1}$ W．Zora Lat．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{4} \text { каi } \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \text { om. L, М.MW Exc. Lat. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{6} \psi \eta \lambda a \phi \eta \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha \text { FLI : gesta Lat. : } \lambda є \eta \lambda a \tau \eta \theta \epsilon \in v \tau \alpha \text { Naber. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^127]son of Gaius, ${ }^{a}$ of the Falernian tribe, to discuss the matters presented by the envoys Simon, the son of Dositheus, and Apollonins, the son of Alexander, and Diodorus, the son of Jason, worthy and excellent men sent by the Jewish people, who also spoke of the friendship and alliance existing between their people and the Romans, and of public affairs such as their request that Joppa and its harbours and Gazara and Pegae ${ }^{b}$ and whatever other cities and territories Antiochus took from them in war, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ contrary to the decree ${ }^{d}$ of the Senate, be restored to them, and that the soldiers of the king be not permitted to march through their eountry or those of their subjects, and that the laws made ${ }^{e}$ by Antiochus during this same war contrary to the decree of the Senate be annulled, and that the Romans send envors to bring about the restitution of the places taken from the Jews by Antiochus and to estimate the value of the territory ruined during the war, and also that they give the Jewish envoys letters to the kings and free cities to assure their safe return homeward. Concerning these matters, therefore, it has been deereed that the allianee of friendship be renewed with the worthy men who have been sent by a worthy and friendly
${ }^{\circ}$ Mod. Rās el ‘Ain, c. 10 miles N.E. of Joppa, cf. B.ASOR 11 (Oct. 1923), pp. 6 ff.
c If this decree was passed in the time of Antiochus VII, the reference is probably to his recapture of the cities and harbours (on the Philistine coast) for which he had earlier demanded tribute from the Jews, off above, $\S 246$.
${ }^{d}$ סó $\gamma \mu \mathrm{a}$ is usinally the translation of Lat. senatus consultum, sometimes of senotus decretum, cf. A. A. Schiller, Textbook of Romem Lanr, p. 11?.
"Variant " things attempted" (lit. " groped after") ; conjectured variant "spoil taken."
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265 каi фìخov." $\pi \epsilon \rho i \mu^{\prime} \nu \tau o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu^{1}$ ả $\pi-$


 Sov̂vaí $\tau \epsilon$ av̉тoîs тòv oтратŋүòv Фávvıov хрŋ́ $\mu a \tau \alpha$
 266 Фávvios $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu$ ov̂v oữ


 тароvaíav.



 $\tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha v ่ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \chi \forall \alpha \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu ~(\pi о \nu \eta \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$
 є่тぃкд$\eta \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s, ~ o ̈ \pi \omega s ~ \tau \iota \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ $\Sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ úкоv $\gamma \in ́ v o v s ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \delta \hat{\omega}$ aủтoîs àmoд $\eta \psi o ́ \mu \epsilon v o v^{6}$ 268 тท̀v $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu . ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ ठє Пто入єцаíov тє́ $\mu \nless \alpha \tau о s$
 $\mu \in v o v$, каi $\mu a ́ \chi \eta s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta ́ т \rho \iota o v ~ \gamma \in \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s, ~ o ́ ~$

' $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ АМW Exc.: rebus ablatis Lat.

3 Naber: ó $\pi \omega s{ }^{n} \nu v$ codd.
${ }^{4}+\tau \epsilon$ ММW
 ${ }^{7}$ Zeßivav PW': Zebenna Lat., cf. § 273.
a Variant " matters." As Reinach notes, the reference is probahly to the Jewish appeal to Rome to intervene in their quarrel with Antiochus, which the Senate politely tabled.
people." Concerning the letters, ${ }^{a}$ however, they replied that they would deliberate when the Senate should have leisure from its own affairs, and that they would take eare that no similar injustice should be done them in future, and also that the praetor Fannius should give them money from the public treasury for their return home. Accordingly Fannius dismissed the Jewish envoys in this manner, giving them money from the public treasury and a decree of the Senate to those who were to conduct them on their way and furnish them a safe return home.
(3) ${ }^{b}$ Such, then, was the situation under the high priest Hyreanus. As for King Demetrius, who was eager to mareh against Hyrcanus, he found neither the time nor the oecasion for doing so, as both the $\begin{aligned} & \text { IIf }\end{aligned}$ Syrians and his soldiers were hostile to him-for he was a seoundrel-and sent envoys to Ptolemy, surnamed Physcon, ${ }^{c}$ asking him to give them someone of the family of Seleucus to occupy the throne. Ptolemy therefore sent Alexander, also called Zebinas, ${ }^{d}$ with an army, and a battle with Demetrius took place, ${ }^{e}$ in whieh Demetrius was defeated; he then fled to
${ }^{\circ}$ The following section, chiefly on Seleucid history, to § 275, like the lioman deeree preceding, has no parallel in B.J.
c Ptolemy VII Eucrgetes II, nicknamed Physcon (" fat paunch ") ; he was a brother of Ptolemy Philometor, and reigned from 146 to 116 n.c.; on his ennity to the Jews of Egypt see Ap. ii. 51-55.
${ }^{4}$ This name, writlen 7abinas in some ancient sources, is the Gr. form of Aram. Zebind " the bought one "; it occurs as a Jewish name in Eara x. 43. According to Justinus, xxxix. 1. 4, \%ehinas was an Egyptian youth whom I'tolemy put forward as an adopted son of $\Lambda$ Antiochus Sidetes ; according to I'orphyry ( (11). Euschius, Chron. ed. Schoene i. 257), he was represented to be a son of Alexander Balas.

- Near Damascus, of. Bevan, II. Sel. ii. 250.
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 $\mu a ́ \chi \eta$ Sıaфөєípєтaє．















$$
{ }^{2} \delta \epsilon \delta \eta \lambda \omega \dot{\kappa} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \text { \MW: }
$$
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Ptolemais to his wife Cleopatra, ${ }^{a}$ but as his wife would not receive him, he went from there to Tyre, where he was captured and put to death after suffering severely at the hands of those who hated him. ${ }^{b}$ Thereupon Alexander took over the royal power and made friends with the high priest Hyrcanus. Later, ${ }^{c}$ in a battle with Demetrius' son Antiochus, surnamed Grypus, ${ }^{d}$ he was defeated and killed.
(x. 1) But when Antiochus took over the royal power in Syria, he was wary of marching upon Judaea, for he heard that his brother on his mother's sidehe too was called Antiochus ${ }^{e}$-was collecting a force against him from Cyzicus. ${ }^{f}$ And so he decided to remain in his own land and prepare himself to meet the incursion of his brother, who was surnamed Cyzicenus because he had been brought up in the city of Cyzicus, being the son of Antiochus, surnamed Soter, ${ }^{g}$ who had met death among the Parthians; this Antiochus (Soter) was a brother of Grypus' father Demetrius, for it so happened that Cleopatra had been married to the two brothers, as we have narrated elsewhere. ${ }^{h}$ And Antiochus Cyzicenus came into Syria and waged continuous war upon his brother
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 vaíov каıроѝs каі $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon ̀ \pi i ~ \tau о v ́ т o ı s ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a ̉ d \epsilon \lambda-~$



 какои̂vтоs, фаvєри̂s каi aủ̃òs тท̀v aúzô̂ $\pi \rho \circ a i ́-$ $\rho \in \sigma \iota \nu$ ढ̇ $\pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon i ́ \kappa \nu v \tau о$, каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\pi^{\prime}$ Aíyúmтоv $\sigma \nu \mu-$ $\mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$ є́ $\rho \eta \mu o v$ óp $\omega \nu$ тòv 'Avтíoхov, каi av̉тóv $\tau \epsilon$ $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau а ~ к а к \hat{\omega} s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̀ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̂ ~ \epsilon ̇ v ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~$







${ }^{1}$ пробєìq $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ coni. Niese.
${ }^{2} \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \lambda \hat{\phi} \omega \nu$ coni. Richards-Shutt.
${ }^{3}+$ 「roкavós LAMWE.
a From 122 to 113 b.c. Grypus ruled alone; from 113 to 111 b.c. he was kept out of Syria by Cyzicenus; in 111 B.c. he returned and took the greater part of the country from Cyzicenus, who had to be content with ruling Coele-Syria (Phoenicia and lalestine). Hostilities between them contimued until the death of Grypus in 96 b.c.
b "All this time" means the years 129 to 104 в.c., when Hyrcanus died.
364
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for many years. ${ }^{a}$ But during all this time Hyrcanus Hyreanus lived in peace ${ }^{b}$; for after the death of Antiochus (Sidetes) he too revolted from the Macedonians, and no longer furnished them any aid ${ }^{c}$ either as a subject limself independent of the or as a friend; instead, his government progressed and flourished greatly during the reign of Alexander Zebinas ${ }^{d}$ and especially under these brothers. For the war between them gave Hyrcanus leisure to exploit Judaea undisturbed, with the result that he amassed a limitless sum of money. Moreover, when Cyzicenus ravaged his land, e he openly showed his intention, ${ }^{f}$ and seeing that Antiochus had been deserted by his Egyptian allies ${ }^{g}$ and that both he and his brother were faring badly in their struggle with each other, he ${ }^{h}$ showed contempt for both of them.
(2) And so he marched against Samaria, a very strongly fortified city; how this city was founded ${ }^{i}$ by Herod under the name of Sebaste, as it is now called, we shall relate in the proper place. ${ }^{j}$ And he attacked and besieged it vigorously; for he hated the Samaritans as scoundrels bccause of the injuries which, in obedience to the kings of Syria, they had
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 $\theta \epsilon i s ~ \delta ’$ ä $\chi \rho \iota ~ \Sigma \kappa v \theta о \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ vimò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$












```
\({ }^{1}\) (us Niese: ©́s ảmó P : ảmò rell.
    \({ }^{3}\) трòs тòv А МWЕ.
```

```
\[
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{4} \text { ôs } \tau \dot{\text { ò }} \text { Л. } \mathrm{MWE} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\]
```
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done to the people of Marisa, who were colonists and allies of the Jews. ${ }^{a}$ Accordingly he made a trench round the city on all sides, and a double wall for a distance of some eighty stades, ${ }^{b}$ and placed his sons Antigonus and Aristobulus in charge. And as they pressed the siege, the Samaritans were finally brought by famine to such a state of need ${ }^{c}$ that they were forced to take for food even things that are not used for that purpose, and at the same time to call upon Antiochus Cyzicenus for help. ${ }^{d}$ He readily came to their assistance, but he was defeated by Aristobulus and was pursued by the brothers as far as Scythopolis, where he made his escape. The brothers then returned to Samaria and once more shut up the Samaritans within the wall,e so that a second time they had to call upon this same Antiochus for aid; he thereupon applied to Ptolemy Lathyrus ${ }^{f}$ for six thousand men, which the latter sent to him against the wish of his mother, ${ }^{g}$ who all but drove him from the kingdom when she heard of it; and with these Egyptians Antiochus at first invaded and ravaged Hyrcanus' territory like a brigand, for he dared not meet him in battle face to face-his force was not adequate for that-, but supposed that by damaging

A similar confusion occurs in the mss. of 1 Macc. v. 66, cf. Ant. xii. 353 note $h$. b C. 9 miles.
c A Thucydidean phrase (Thuc. i. 49. 7) found also in § 3s2, but not in the parallel R.J. i. 64.
"The parallel in B.J. i. 65 has " Antiochus Aspendius," meaning Antiochus VIII Grypus; if that is correct, the sicge must have taken place before 113 s.c., cf. $\$ 272$ note $a$. But, as Schürer remarks, i. 268 n. 22, the appeal of Antiochus to I'tolemy I athyrus favours a later date, shortly before 107 B.c.
© The following sections, to $\$ 299$, have no parallels in 13.J. (except for a brief phrase or two in 85281 and 285).
' See note $a$, p. 370. - Cleopatra III, $c f . \$ 274$ note $g$.

## JOSEPHUS






280
(3) Ka入入íuavסpos $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ oûv $\theta \rho a \sigma v ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon-~$







 סıaбкáұas $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ aủtท̀̀ $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ єis $\chi a \rho a ́ \delta \rho a s^{1} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha-$ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i v, \tau \grave{a}$ б $\eta \mu \epsilon i a$ тô $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi о \tau \epsilon ่ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$









tibus et post reversis I at.
${ }^{4}$ ұapá $\delta \rho a v \mathrm{~L} . \ \ \$.
${ }^{a}$ On the Syrian coast, N. of Berytus.
b According to the parallel in F.J. i. 66 the Jews took Scythopolis by arms after the fall of Samaria, and then 368
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his territory he would compel Hyrcanus to raise the siege of Samaria. However, after losing many of his men by falling into ambushes, he went off to Tripolis, ${ }^{a}$ leaving Callimandrus and Epicrates to direct the war against the Jews.
(3) But as Callimandrus attacked the enemy too recklessly, he was put to rout and killed on the spot. As for Epicrates, out of greed for money he openly betrayed Scythopolis and other places near it to the Jews, ${ }^{b}$ but could not bring the siege of Samaria to an end. And so Hyrcanus captured the city after besieging it for a year, but not being content with that alone, he effaced it entirely and left it to be swept away by the mountain-torrents, ${ }^{c}$ for he dug beneath it until it fell into the beds of the torrents, and so removed all signs of its ever having been a city. ${ }^{d}$ Now about the high priest Hyrcanus an extraordinary story ${ }^{e}$ is told how the Deity communicated with him, for they say that on the very day on which his sons fought with Cyzicenus, Hyrcanus, who was alone in the temple, burning incense as high priest, ${ }^{f}$ heard a voice saying that his sons had just defeated occupied the conntry between Scythopolis (mod. Beisïn) and Mount Carmel.
" Variant " a mountain-torrent." For evidences of Hyrcanus' destruction see Reisner, Fisher, Lyon, Harvard Excavations at Samaria (1909-1910), 2 vols., 1924.
${ }^{d}$ The capture of (the wall of) Samaria is mentioned in Megillath Ta'anith under the date of 25th of Heshwan (November).

- For a rabbinic parallel to this story, see Derenhonrg. p. 74.
$s$ Presumably on the Day of Atonement, on the loth of Tishri, of. Lev. xvi. 1? ff.; if that is so, there is a chronological discrepancy between this story and the notice in Megillath Ta'anith (cf. above, note d). The rabbinic parallels to Josephus' account do not indicate when Hyrcanus reccived the revelation.
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$\pi \rho о є \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ Є＇к $\tau о \hat{v} v \alpha o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \nu \tau i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \quad \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \dot{\nu}$
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${ }^{3}{ }_{\eta} \mu i \hat{\imath}$ каi FL ．

2 anvè日óvtes PFV．
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Antiochus. And on coming out of the temple he revealed this to the entire multitude, and so it aetually happened. This, then, was how the affairs of Hyreanus were going.
(4) At this time not only were the Jews in Jerusalem and in the country (of Judaea) in a flourishing condition, but also those who lived in Alexandria and in Egypt and Cyprus. For Queen Cleopatra, who was at war with her son Ptolemy, surnamed Lathyrus, ${ }^{a}$ appointed as her generals Chelkias ${ }^{b}$ and Ananias, ${ }^{c}$ sons of the Onias who had built the temple in the nome of Heliopolis, which was similar to the one at Jerusalem, as we have related before. ${ }^{d}$ And having entrusted her army to them, Cleopatra did nothing without their approval, as Strabo of Cappadocia also testifies, when he writes as follows. "For the majority, both those who came back from exile and those who were later sent to Cyprus by Cleopatra, immediately went over to Ptolemy. And only the Jews of the distriet named for Onias remained faithful to her, beeause their fellow-citizens ${ }^{e}$ Chelkias and Ananias were held in speeial favour by the queen." ${ }^{\prime} f$ This, then, is what Strabo says.
b The Gr. form of bibl. Hilkiah (Hilqiyähii).
c 'The Gr. form of bibl. Hananiah' (Hananyāh).
"Variant " elsewhere "; cf. $\$ \S$ 62- 73.
"Or "co-religionists," cf. Ant. xii. 46 note $d$.
${ }^{\prime}$ Gutschnid (cited by Fuchs, p. 16) suggests that Chelkias is the unnamed general of Cleopatra III, referred to in Justinus xxxix. 4, who was executed for letting P'tolemy escape hor hands. lieinach, REJ xl. (1900), pp. 50 ff ., identifies as a son of Chelkias the atparqүós of the Heliopolitan nome mentioned in an inscription of 102 в.c. The struggle referred to in the excerpt from Strabo was that hetween Ptolemy Lathyrus and Cleopatra III during the years 107-102 в.c., $c f$. Bevan, l'tol. pp. 329-331.
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 292 "̈ $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ то仑̂ $\lambda \alpha \circ \hat{v}$." тท̀v $\delta^{\prime}$ aitíav av่тô $\pi v \theta o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$
${ }^{1}$ Tє . . . viồ om. PFVE.
${ }^{2}$ fìd̀ PFLAMW : facile Lat. ${ }^{3}{ }^{\text {fon om. LAMWE. }}$

${ }^{6}$ émauvoúcı PFIS.
${ }^{5}$ au̇toi WVE.


[^133](5) ${ }^{a}$ As for Hyreanus, the envy of the Jews was The aroused against him by his own successes and those Pharisens of his sons ${ }^{b}$ : particularly hostile to him were the Hyreanus Pharisces, who are one of the Jewish schools, as we the live up have related above. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ And so great is their influence priesthiood. with the masses that even when they speak against a king or high priest, ${ }^{d}$ they immediately gain credence. Hyrcanus too was a diseiple of theirs, and was greatly loved by them. And once he invited them to a feast and entertained them hospitably, and when he saw that they were having a very good time, he began by saying that they knew he wished to be righteous and in everything he did tried to please God and them-for the Pharisees profess such beliefs; at the same time he begged them, if they observed him doing anything wrong or straying from the right path, to lead him back to it and correct him. But they testified to his being altogether virtuous, and he was delighted with their praise. However, onc of the guests, named Eleazar, ${ }^{e}$ who had an evil nature and took pleasure in dissension. said, "Since you have asked to be told the truth, if you wish to be righteous, give up the high-priesthood and be content with governing the people." And when Hyrcanus instead of Johanan (John); on the confusion of the two names in Hebrew cf. Derenbourg, p. 80 note 1, p. 95 note 1.
"The variant omits " and those of his sons."
c $\$ \$$ 171-173.
${ }^{d}$ As Reinach notes, the distinction here made between the king and the high priest indicates Josephus' nse of a source of Herod's time, presumably Nicolas of Damascus. To this I may add the observation that the style of most of the passages on the Jewish sects suggests the hand of Nicolas.

- The Hasmonaean ruler's critic is called Judah in the Talmudic parallel.
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asked him for what reason he should give up the high-priesthood, he replied, "Beeause we have heard from our elders that your mother was a eaptive in the reign of Antiochus Epiphanes." $a$ But the story was false, and Hyreanus was furious with the man, while all the Pharisees were very indignant.
(6) 'Then a certain Jonathan, ${ }^{b}$ one of Hyreanus' elose friends, belonging to the sehool of Sadducees, who hold opinions opposed to those of the Pharisees, said that it had been with the general approval of all the Pharisees that Eleazar had made his slanderous statement ; and this, he added, would be clear to Hyrcanus if he inquired of them what punishment Eleazar deserved for what he had said. And so Hyrcanus asked the Pharisees what penalty they thought he deserved-for, he said, he would be convinced that ${ }^{c}$ the slanderous statement had not been made with their approval if they fixed a penalty commensurate with the crime-, and they replied that Eleazar deserved stripes and ehains ; for they did not think it right to sentence a man to death for calumny, and anyway the Pharisees are naturally lenient in the matter of punishments. At this Hyrcanus became very angry and began to believe that the fellow had slandered him with their approval. And Jonathan in partieular inflamed his anger, and so worked upon him that he brought him to join the Sadducaean party and desert the Pharisces, and to abrogate the regulations ${ }^{d}$ which they had established
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 Фарıбаíwv тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0 \text { os } \sigma u ́ \mu \mu а \chi o v ~ \epsilon ́ \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu . ~ a ̀ ~}^{\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}}$


（т）＇个’ $\rho \kappa \alpha \nu o ̀ s ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \pi a v ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota v ~ к а i ~ \mu \epsilon \tau ' ~$

 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{a}$ ката．入ıт兀̀̀v vioùs $\pi \epsilon \prime \nu \tau \epsilon, \tau \rho \iota \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma-$
 $300 \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \tau \mu \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i a s \cdot \sigma v \nu \eta \nu \nu$
${ }^{3}+\beta i \beta \lambda \omega$ L: : secundo volumine Lat.

+ $\delta \epsilon \delta \eta \lambda \dot{\kappa} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon v$ ( $+\beta^{\prime} \beta \lambda \omega$ l') PFLV Lat.
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for the people, and punish those who observed them. Out of this, of course, grew the hatred of the masses for him and his sons, but of this we shall speak hereafter. ${ }^{a}$ For the present I wish merely to explain that the Pharisees had passed on to the people certain regulations handed down by former generations and not recorded in the Laws of Moses, for which reason they are rejected by the Sadducaean group, who hold that only those regulations should be considered valid which were written down (in Scripture), and that those which had been handed down by former generations ${ }^{b}$ need not be observed. And concerning these matters the two parties came to have controversies and serious differences, the Sadducees having the confidence of the wealthy alone but no following among the populace, while the Pharisees have the support of the masses. But of these two schools and of the Essenes a detailed account has been given ${ }^{c}$ in the second book of my Judaica. ${ }^{d}$
(7) ${ }^{e}$ And so Hyrcanus quieted the outbreak, ${ }^{f}$ and The provilived happily thereafter; and when he died after administering the government excellently for thirty- tiftrcanus. one years, ${ }^{g}$ lic left five sons. Now he was accounted by God worthy of three of the greatest privileges, the rule of the nation, the office of high-priest, and

* In $\S \S 301$ ff., 320 ff .
- Lit. " by the fathers."
"Variant " we have given." "B.J. ii. 119 ff .
* At this point the parallelism with B.J. resumes, of. B.J. і. 67.
' Meaning the opposition of the Pharisees to Hyrcanus and his sons, as is more clearly stated in the parallel in B.J.
- The mss. of the parallel, B.J. i. 68, give 33 years, but Hegesippus agrees with this passage and Ant. xx. 240 in giving 31 years, which is correct, since Hyrcanus ruled from 135 to 104 b.c.
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 ки́pıo九 троєїтєv. $\hat{\omega} \nu$ т $\nu \quad \kappa а \tau а \sigma \tau \rho о ф \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~$ $\mu a \theta \epsilon i ̂ v$ ớov $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ тô̂ $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ v i \pi \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu^{1}$ єùтvxías

301 (xi. 1) Tє $\mathrm{T} \epsilon v \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a v \tau o s ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ aủzoîs $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ matpòs ó

 $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о$ к $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau i \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota^{2} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \rho а к о \sigma i \omega \nu \quad$ à $\rho \iota \theta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$


 à $\delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ тòv $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ' aùтòv 'Avтíyovov, тоûтov $\mu \epsilon ่ v$




 $303 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$. $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau i ́ \theta \eta \sigma \iota \iota \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho i ̀ \kappa \alpha i$ тòv ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v$

${ }^{1}$ vं $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \sigma a \nu \mathrm{P} \mathrm{FL}$

${ }^{2}$ ex Argumentis Niese: є̇ $\pi \iota \tau i \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ codd.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{3} \text { Baßuдิ̂vos I'FLV. } \\
& { }^{4} \epsilon \pi \pi a \nu \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \text { FLS Zonaras. } \\
& { }^{5} \text { калалєітєє PFL: каталі́тоь \’: reliquerat Lat. } \\
& { }^{6} \delta_{\iota \epsilon} \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \nu \mathrm{PAMW}: \delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{~F} .
\end{aligned}
$$

a Thus he possessed the attributes of the ideal ruler, according to Stoic belief and Philo ; for similar passages in Hellenistic literature cf. P. Wendland, Die hellenistischerömische Kultur, and recent works on Philo, such as those by Bréhier and Goodenough. It should be noted that Josephus (or his source) does not use "prophecy" in the 378
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the gift of prophecy ${ }^{a}$; for the Deity was with him and enabled him to foresee and foretell the future ; so, for example, he foretold of his two elder sons that they would not remain masters of the state. And the story of their downfall is worth relating, to show how far they were from having ${ }^{b}$ their father's good fortune.
(xi. 1) After their father's death the eldest son Aristobulus Aristobulus saw fit to transform the government into $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { becomes } \\ & \text { ruler with }\end{aligned}$ a kingdom, which he judged the best form, and he was the title of the first to put a diadem on his head, ${ }^{c}$ four hundred and eighty-one years and three.months after the time when the people were released from the Babylonian captivity and returned to their own eountry. ${ }^{d}$ Now of his brothers he loved only Antigonus, who was next in age, and considered him worthy of a position like his own, while he kept his other brothers in chains. He also imprisoned his mother, who had disputed the royal power with him-for Hyreanus had left her mistress of the realm-, and carried his cruelty so far that he eaused her to die of starvation in prison. And to the death of his mother he added that of
biblical sense, cf. Ant. xii. 318 note $e$, and Moore, Judaism i. 421.
"The variant "how far they surpassed " is obviously

c Strabo, xvi. 2. 40, attributes this innovation to Aristobulus' successor, Alexander Jannacus. The title "king" (melek) does not appear on the Heb, coins of Aristobulus, cf. works cited in Appendix L. The statement below that Hyrcanus left his wife mistress of the realm gives plausibility to Ricciotti's suggestion that stories about Alexander Jannaeus have become confused with those about A ristobulus.
${ }^{d}$ B.J. i. 70 gives 471 years. Both numbers are too large, since the return from Babylon was in 537 u.c.; cf. Dr. Thackeray's note to the parallel in B.J.
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 каi то仑 àvúтоттоs єîval $\tau \alpha \dot{d} \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{̣} \pi \rho о \nu о \omega \hat{\nu}$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$

## ${ }^{1}$ єँ $\tau v \chi \in \nu \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~L}$. MW'.

${ }_{2} \pi \rho o \dot{s}$ PFLV.

380

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 303-307

his brother Antigonus, whom he seemed especially to love and had made his associate in the kingdom, for he was alienated from him by calumnies which at first he did not believe, disregarding the things that were said, partly because he loved Antigonus and partly because he believed that he was being calumniated out of envy. But on one occasion when Aristobulus Antigonus had returned from a campaign with glory. ${ }^{a}$ becomes ${ }^{\text {benvious of }}$ as the season of the festival during which tabernacles his brother are erected to God was at hand, it chanced that Antigonus. Aristobulus fell ill, and Antigonus, arrayed in great splendour and with his heary-armed soldiers about him, went up to the temple to celebrate the festival and to pray earnestly for his brother's recovery; thereupon the unscrupulous men who were bent on disrupting the harmonious relation between them, found in Antigonus' ambitious display and in the successes he had achieved, a pretext to go to the king and maliciously exaggerate the pomp of his appearance at the festival, saying that everything that had been done was out of keeping with the behaviour of a private person and that his actions rather had the indications of one who imagined himself a king, and that he had come with a strong body of troops with the intention of killing Aristobulus, reasoning that it would be absurd for him to believe that he had won any great distinction in having a share in high office when he might just as well be king himself.
(2) Aristobulus reluctantly began to believe these charges, ${ }^{b}$ and taking care not to be suspected by his

Aristobulus has
Autigonus murdered.
a Probably in the Lebanon region, cf. below, §319, or in Galilee, cf. B.J. i. 76.
b Variant "Aristobulus hearing these charges and believing them."
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${ }^{1} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon v o ̀ s ~ L A M W E L a t$.<br>${ }^{2}+\alpha{ }^{2} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ ей $\nu \tau \alpha$ NMWE.<br>${ }^{3}$ Cocceji : v́nєє $\delta$ ó $\mu \epsilon$ vos codd. E.<br>4 'Eqбaîov AMW' E Lat. ( $\gamma \rho$ 'Eaoŋvòv marg. AM).
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brother and at the same time thinking of his own safety, stationed his bodyguards at intervals in a dark underground passage-for he was lying ill in the castle afterwards called Antonia ${ }^{a}$-and gave orders that none of them should touch Antigonus if he were unarmed, but should kill him if he came to the king with his armour on. Moreover he himself sent to Antigonus, asking him to come unarmed. But the queen and the men who were plotting with her against Antigonus persuaded the messenger to say the opposite, namely that his brother had heard that he had equipped himself with arms and military gear, ${ }^{b}$ and invited him to come to him armed, in order that he might see his equipment. Accordingly, Antigonus, who had no suspicion of foul play and was confident of his brother's friendly feeling towards him, came to Aristobulus just as he was, in full armour, to show him his arms. But when he reached Straton's Tower, as it is called, just where the very dark passage was, the bodyguards killed him. Now his death clearly proves that there is nothing more powerful than envy and calumny, nor anything that more easily disrupts friendship and the ties of nature than these influences. And in this connexion one the proph may well wonder at the story of a eertain Judas of ecy of the Essene group, who had never been known to Essene. speak falsely in his prophecies, but when he saw Antigonus passing by the temple, cried out to his companions and disciples, who were together with him for the purpose of recciving instruction in forebaris (cf. B.J. i. 76), rebuilt by the Hasmonaeans and later by Herod, cf. Ant. xii. 251 note $d$. To the literature cited there add Watzinger, Denkmäler ii. 31 ff .
"The parallel in B.J. i. 76 adds, " in Galilee, and that illness prevented him from paying a visit of inspection."
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[^137]a Variant " present."

- C. 65 miles.
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telling the future, that it would be well for him to die as one who had spoken falsely, since Antigonus was still alive, although he had foretold that he would die at the place called Straton's Tower, and now he saw him alive ${ }^{a}$; for the place where he had foretold that Antigonus would be murdered was some six hundred stades ${ }^{b}$ from where he now was, and the greater part of the day had already passed, so that his prophecy was unfortunately likely to prove false. But as he was saying this and lamenting, the news came that Antigonus had been killed in the underground passage, which was also called Straton's Tower-by the same name, that is, as Caesarea on the sea-coast. ${ }^{c}$ It was this fact, therefore, that had confused the prophet.
(3) But Aristobulus was soon seized by remorse Aristofor the murder of his brother, and this was followed by illness, his mind being so troubled by his guilty deed that his inward parts were corrupted by intense pain, and he vomited blood. And onee one of the servants who waited on him was carrying this blood away and slipped and spilled it-by divine providence, I believe-on the very spot where the stains made by the blood of the murdered Antigonus were still to be seen. Thereupon a cry went up from those who saw this that the servant had spilled the blood there deliberately, and when Aristobulus heard it, he asked what the reason for it was, and as they did not tell him, he became still more determined to find out, for in such cases men naturally suspect the worst in what is covered by silence. But when, under his threats and the constraint of fear, they
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 317 бv$\gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu$ oûs фóvov $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau i v o s, ~ \grave{\omega}$ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ảvaı $\delta \in ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о v, \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} v$ ó $\phi \epsilon \iota \lambda о \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu \quad a ̉ \delta \in \lambda \phi \circ \hat{v}$




 ті̀े татрíßа，подєни́баs＇Itovpaious каі то入入ウ̀v







 тробєктท́бато каi тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ \tau о 仑 ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ ' I \tau о v \rho a i ́ \omega \nu ~$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \hat{\eta}$.

a The words attributed to Aristobulus are more Hellenistic than Jewish in spirit，and remind one of the dramatic passages on Herod＇s family relations ；they point to Nicolas of Damas－ cus as the source．
${ }^{-}$From 104 to 103 b．c．
${ }^{c}$ As we have no coin of Aristobulus I with a Greek inscription，we do not know for certain whether he officially used the title Philhellene．But Schürer＇s suggestion，i． 275 n．6，that $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \sigma a s ~ \Phi i \lambda e ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ means only＂he behaved 386
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told him the truth, he was stricken in mind by his consciousness of guilt, and weeping freely, with deep groans exclaimed, " I was not destined, I see, to escape the notice of God in committing such impious and unholy crimes, but swift punishment has overtaken me for the murder of my kin. How long, then, O most shameless body, will you keep within you the life that is forfeit to the spirits of my brother and mother? Why, instead of giving this up to them at one stroke, do I merely offer my blood drop by drop as a libation to those who have been so foully murdered !"a And scarcely had he spoken these words when he died; in his reign of one year, ${ }^{b}$ with the title of Philhellene, ${ }^{c}$ he conferred many benefits on his country, for he made war on the Ituracans ${ }^{d}$ and acquired a good part of their territory for Judaea and compelled the inhabitants, if they wished to remain in their country, to be circumcised and to live in accordance with the laws of the Jews. He had a kindly nature, and was wholly given to modesty, as Strabo also testifies on the authority of Timagenes, e writing as follows. "This man was a kindly person and very serviceable to the Jews, for he acquired additional territory for them, and brought over to them a portion of the Ituraean nation, whom he joined to them by the bond of circumcision."
like a Philhellene " can hardly be aecepted in preference to the translation given above, as Meyer rightly insists, Ursprung ii. 277 n .1 . The detail about Aristobulus' title and the following section to $\$ 320$ have no parallels in B.J.
${ }^{4}$ They lived in the Lebanon region with the Phoenician hinterland on the W., and the country S. of Damascus on the E. ; cf. Strabo 753-756. Aristobulus' conquests must have extended to northern Galilee, cf. B.J. i. 76 and Schürer i. 276.

- Historian of the 1st century b.c., cf. Ap. ii. $8 \pm$ note $c$.
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 $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ к а i ̆ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta ̂ S ~ a u ̀ \tau o v ̂ ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \pi о т \epsilon{ }^{4}$










${ }^{1}$ इàć $\mu \eta$ LAMW゙た Lat．：Daגiva etiam Eusebius，Syn－ cellus．
${ }^{2}$＇Iávaıov P：＇Iavvéav WE：Ianneum Lat．
${ }^{3}$ каі оm．LAMW＇に．
${ }^{4} \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \iota \mathrm{P}$ ．
${ }^{5} \delta$ è E ：om．PF．

[^139]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 320-323

(xii. 1) On the death of Aristobulus his wife Alexander Salina, ${ }^{a}$ by the Greeks ealled Alexandra, released his Jannaeus brothers-for Aristobulus had imprisoned them, as king. we have said before-, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and appointed as king Jannaeus, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ also known as Alexander, who was best fitted for this office by reason of his age and his evenness of temper ${ }^{d}$; but it had been his fate to be hated by his father from the time he was born, and never to come into his sight so long as he lived. Now the reason for this hatred is said to have been as follows. Of all his sons Hyreanus loved best the two elder ones, Antigonus and Aristobulus; and onee when God appeared to him in his sleep, he asked Him whieh of his sons was destined to be his suecessor. And when God showed him the features ${ }^{e}$ of Alexander, he was grieved that this one should be the heir of all his possessions, and so he let him be brought up in Galilee from his birth. ${ }^{f}$ God, however, did not deceive Hyrcanus. For after the death
two queens. On the problem whether the widow of the presumably childless Aristobulus was required by Jewish law to contract a levirate marriage with his brother see the discussions in Aptowitzer xviii-xx and Klansner ii. 122 f.
${ }^{-}$In $\S 302$.
c The Gr. Iannaios is a hellenization of Heb. Yannai, cf. $\S 288$ note $a$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Or perhaps $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho ⿺ o ́ \tau \eta s$ here means, as sometimes in Byzantine Gr., "knowing his place," indicating that Alexandra expected to dominate her second husband. If so, she must have been disappointed, as the sequel shows. The following sections, to $\S 356$ (except for brief phrases in $\$ 8323$ and 337 ) have no parallels in B.J.
e Chamonard translates характ $\eta \rho a s$ as "letters of the name," and lieinach notes that the meaning of the Gr. is very doubtful. It seems clear to me.
$f$ This does not necessarily mean that Galilee was wholly judaized in Hyrcanus' time, cf. Schüreri. 276 n. 10.

## JOSEPHUS



 $\epsilon{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$.
324 (2) Ka $\alpha a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} v$ ả $\rho \chi \eta ̀ \nu$ ôv $\ddot{\varphi} \in \tau \sigma \sigma \nu \mu-$












 каi $\tau v \rho a \nu \nu i ́ \delta \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta а \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s$






${ }^{1}$ Quae sequuntur in \$§ 325-327 corrupta esse putat Niese.
${ }^{2} \pi a \rho \eta ̄ \nu$ ante кai $\tau a ̀ \quad \Delta \omega \rho a ̀ ~ h a b . ~ P F . ~ \quad{ }^{3} \phi \hat{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ M.
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of Aristobulus it was he who took over the royal power, putting to death one of his brothers who had designs on the throne, while he held in honour his other brother ${ }^{a}$ who preferred to live without taking part in public affairs.
(2) ${ }^{b}$ Then, leaving his realm in a condition which he thought advantageous to himself, Alexander marched against Ptolemais, and after defeating its inhabitants in battle, he shut them up in the city and surrounding it, besieged them. For of the cities on the coast there remained only Ptolemais and Gaza to be subdued by him, and also Straton's Tower ${ }^{c}$ and Dora, ${ }^{d}$ which the local ruler ${ }^{e}$ Zoilus held. Now as Antiochus Philometor ${ }^{f}$ and his brother Antiochus, surnamed Cyzicenus, were fighting each other and destroying their own forces, no help could be given by them to the people of Ptolemais; but while they were being hard pressed in the siege, Zoilus, who held Straton's Tower, appeared with a company of soldiers that he maintained, ${ }^{g}$ and as he had ambitions to make himself absolute ruler because of the struggle between the two kings, he gave some slight help to the people of Ptolemais. Nor were the kings so friendly to them that they could hope for any assistance from them, for both of them were in the position of athletes whose strength is exhausted but who are ashamed to yield, and so continue to prolong the conmonacans' struggle to wrest Palestinian territory from the Selencids, cf. $\$ \$ 274 \mathrm{ff}$.

- The later Caesarea, of. $\$ 313$ note $f$.
${ }^{d}$ Cf. Ant. v. 83 note g, xiii. 223.
- On this meaning of túpavoos see $\S 235$ note $c$. Zoilns scems originally to have been ruler of Gaza, of. below, § 334 .
f The official surname of Antiochus VIII Grypus.
- The phrase ov́vzaypa $\tau \rho \dot{\phi} \phi \epsilon i v$ is also fonnd in the citation from Strabo in Ant. xiv. 116.


## JOSEPHUS





 $\lambda_{\epsilon \mu \alpha \iota \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon к \alpha ́ \lambda o v v ~ \epsilon ́ \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \sigma v ́ \mu \mu а \chi o v ~ \epsilon ̇ к ~ \tau и ̆ \nu}$



 каi $\pi о \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o v s ~ a u ̀ \tau ب ̂ ~ \sigma u \lambda \lambda \eta ं \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu, ~$

 $\pi \iota \theta a \nu o ̀ s ~ \ddot{\omega} \nu$ av̀тoîs тótє каi $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \bar{\omega} \nu, \mu \in \tau \alpha-$


 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta ~ \pi a \rho a \delta o ́ v \tau a s ~ a u ́ \tau o u ́ s, ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о и ́ \tau \omega ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$








${ }^{3}$ Gutschmid: àmoфvyeiv codd. Lat.
${ }^{4}$ єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ed. pr.
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test by periods of inactivity and rest. The only hope that was left them was in the sovereigns of Egypt ${ }^{a}$ and in Ptolemy Lathyrus, the ruler of Cyprus, who had been driven from his realm by his mother Cleopatra, and had come to Cyprus. ${ }^{b}$ And so the The people people of Ptolemais sent to him and begged him to of Ptolomais come to their aid and save them from the hands of Alexander, by whon they were endangered. The envoys led him to hope ${ }^{c}$ that when he crossed to Syria, he would have the people of Gaza on the side of those of Ptolemais, as well as Zoilus, and they told him further that the Sidonians and many others would join him ; being, therefore, full of high hope, he made haste to sail. ${ }^{d}$
(3) Meanwhile, however, the people of Ptolemais Ptolemy had been persuaded to change their plans by De- $\begin{gathered}\text { Lathyrus } \\ \text { sails for } \\ \text { dor }\end{gathered}$ maenetus, who had their confidence at that time and syria. influenced the people; he said that it would be better for them to risk a contest with the Jews, although the outcome was uncertain, than to accept open servitude by delivering themselves up to an absolute ruler, and in addition not only have the present war on their hands, but also a much more serions one arising from Egypt. For Cleopatra would not permit Ptolemy to provide himself with an arny from the neighbouring eities but would come against them with a great force, since she was eager to drive her son out of Cyprus too. Moreover, if Ptolemy were disappointed in his expectations, he could again find a refuge in Cyprus, whereas they themselves would be in the greatest danger. Now though
${ }^{c}$ ėme $\lambda \pi \iota \sigma \alpha \dot{v} \tau \omega \nu$ is a Thucydidean word ('Thuc. viii. 1. 1) found also in Ant . viii. 205, xv. 207, 353.
${ }^{d}$ On the numismatic evidence for the expedition of Ptolemy Lathyrus see $A$. Brett in $A J A$ xłi. (1937), 452-463.

## JOSEPHUS

ov̂v II $\tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̄ o s ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi o ́ \rho o \nu ~{ }^{1} \mu a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \eta \grave{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$






 є́фро́vт兀ら站．
334 （4．）＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ סѐ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ Z \omega i ̀ \lambda o v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 Пто入є $\mu \alpha \hat{\iota} \circ \nu$ ó＇ $\mathrm{A} \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s, a \dot{a} \pi a \gamma a \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$

 $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s, \phi а \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} s$ ठє̀ фi入íav каi $\sigma \nu \mu \mu а х i ́ a \nu$
 ápүvpiov тádavтa $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau о, ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ~ a ̉ v \tau i ~$



 336 v̈́ттєроv $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ áкои́баs $\lambda a ́ \theta \rho a ~ \delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu^{5}$ av̉тòv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ a v ̉ \tau o \hat{v} \mathrm{~K} \lambda \epsilon о \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho a \nu$ ，入úєє $\tau о \cup ̀ s$




${ }^{3}$ ex Lat. Niese: इvка́ $\mu \nu \omega v$ codd.
${ }^{4}$ quattuor milia Lat.
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Ptolemy on the way over learned of the change of mind of the people of Ptolemais, he nevertheless sailed on, and landing at Sycamina, ${ }^{a}$ as it is called, there disembarked his force. The army with him, both foot and horse, numbered some thirty thousand in all, and these he led to the neighbourhood of Ptolemais and encamped there ; but as they would neither admit his envoys nor listen to his proposals he was in great anxiety.
(4) However when Żoilus and the people of Gaza Alexander came to him with the request that he would aid them, ${ }_{\text {attempts }}^{\substack{\text { Jannaeus }}}$ as their territory was being ravaged by the Jews to trick under Alexander, Alexander in fear of Ptolemy $\begin{gathered}\text { Ptotemy } \\ \text { Lathyrus. }\end{gathered}$ raised the siege and led his army home again, and thereafter resorted to cunning; for while secretly sending for Cleopatra to attack Ptolemy, he openly proposed a friendly alliance to him, thus acting a part. He also promised to give him four hundred talents of silver, asking him in return to put the local ruler Zoilus out of the way and to assign his territory to the Jews. And so Ptolemy at that time gladly formed a friendship with Alexander, and laid hands ${ }^{b}$ on Zoilus. But later, when he heard that Alexander had secretly sent ${ }^{c}$ to his mother Cleopatra, he broke the sworn agreements he had made with him, and attacked Ptolemais, and when it refused to admit him, besieged it. Then, leaving his generals and a part of his force to carry on the siege, he set out
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 av $\delta \rho o s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \tau o \hat{v} ~ \Pi \tau o \lambda \epsilon \mu a i o v ~ \delta ı a ́ v o ı a v ~ \mu a \theta \grave{\omega v} ~ \sigma v \nu-$





 $\lambda \in i ́ a \nu$.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о \rho \theta \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s, \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о \beta a \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu \quad \eta ้ \epsilon \iota \pi о \lambda \epsilon-$


 тотанои̂, каі $\beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \sigma \tau \rho а т о ́ т \epsilon \delta о \nu ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ $339 \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu$. єîरє $\mu$ є́vтоь тov̀s $\pi \rho о \mu а \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$ о̀кта$\kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ o v s$, oṽs є́катоутаца́ұоиs $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \gamma о ́ \rho є \cup \sigma \in \nu$,
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with the rest of his army to subdue Judaea. But when Alexander learned of Ptolemy's intention, he also collected an army, consisting of about fifty thousand natives, or eighty thousand, as some writers state, and taking this force went out to meet Ptolemy. Ptolemy, however, made a sudden attack on Asochis, ${ }^{a}$ a city of Galilee, on the Sabbath, and taking it by storm, captured about ten thousand persons and a great deal of booty besides.
(5) He also made an attempt on Sepphoris at a Ptolemy little distance from the city which had just been Lathyrus sacked, ${ }^{b}$ but lost many of his men, and went on to Alexander fight Alexander. Alexander met him in the neigh- Jannaens bourhood of the river Jordan, at a place called Jordan. Asophon, ${ }^{c}$ not far from the river Jordan, and pitched his camp close to the enemy. He had, moreover, eight thousand front-line fighters, whom he called " hundred-fighters," carrying long shields covered with bronze. Now Ptolemy's front-line fighters also had round shields covered with bronze, but as his troops were inferior to the enemy in other respects, they were more cautious about risking an engagement. However they were not a little encouraged by the tactician Philostephanus, who told them to cross the river, which was between their camp and the enemy's. ${ }^{d}$ And Alexander decided not to prevent
plain of Asochis are several times mentioned in Vita, e.g. \&s 207, 233, 304. P'tolemy's attack on Asochis is mentioned, without details, in B.J. i. $86 . \quad$ i.e. Asochis.
" Variant "Anaphon." It is probably the hibl. Saphon, mod. Tell Sa'idiye, a little E. of the Jordan, where the II udi F'afrinji flows into the river, $c f$. Albright, ap, Ahel ii. 4th.
${ }^{d}$ The Gr. construction is awkward, hut the meaning is clear: evidently oú $\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \dot{v}$ has the force of a gen. abs. with övzos understood.

## JOSEPHUS


 $341 \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\mu a ́ \chi \eta s$ ov̉ $\delta v v a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s . ~ \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ à $\rho \chi a ̀ s^{2} \mu \hat{v} \nu$ ov̂v


 $\gamma \iota \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$, Фıлобтє́ $\phi a \nu o s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta u ́ v a \mu \iota \nu ~ \delta \epsilon-$

 тovs $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \beta a \iota v \epsilon ~ \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \mu \eta ̀ \quad \beta o \eta \theta o v ́ v \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$




 344 ктєívovб九 каi ai $\chi є i ̂ \rho \in s ~ \pi а \rho \epsilon i ̂ \eta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu . ~ \tau \rho \iota \sigma \mu \nu \rho i o v s ~$
 $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \mu \nu \rho i o v s ~ \epsilon i ้ p \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu) \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ тov̀s $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$
 бцафиүєìv $\chi \omega \rho i a$.

 $\kappa \kappa ́ \mu \mu a \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'Iovסaias катє́ $\mu \epsilon \iota \tau \nu$, âs $\gamma v v a \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ каi $\nu \eta \pi i \omega \nu$, ढ̇кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon$ то̀̀s $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$
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their crossing, thinking that he would the more easily take the enemy if they had the river behind them and so were unable to flee. And at first both sides equally performed deeds of prowess and daring, and great was the slaughter in both armies ; but as Alexander's men were getting the upper hand, Philostephanus divided his force and skilfully came to the relief of those who were giving ground. And as no one came to aid that part of the Jewish force which was falling back, it had to flee ; and not even those near them helped them, but joined in their flight. Ptolemy's men, however, did just the opposite, for they followed the Jews and killed them, until finally, when they were completely routed, they tracked them down to slaughter them until their swords became blunted with killing, and their hands were utterly tired. It was said, in faet, that thirty thousand of them perished-Timagenes ${ }^{a}$ says there were fifty thousand-, while as for the rest, some were taken captive, and others escaped to their native places.
(6) After this victory Ptolemy overran ${ }^{b}$ other terri- Ptoremy tory, and when evening fell, halted in some villages inthyrus of Judaca, which he found full of women and infants ; Judzea. he thereupon commanded his soldiers to cut their throats and chop them up and then to fling the pieces
${ }^{a}$ C'f. § 319 note $e$.
b Variant " seized."
${ }^{1}$ е̇vó $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \mathrm{FL}$. MW.

${ }^{3}$ oi фovévovtes P1PL. ${ }^{4}$ ảv $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \Lambda M W$ Lat. : áv $\delta p a s \mathrm{E}$. ${ }^{6}$ Niese: $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ PFL: $\phi \in u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota v$ rell. E. ${ }^{6} \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \nu$ PF.

## JOSEPHUS





 347 íठóvтєs. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ठє̀ каi $\Sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \beta \omega \nu$ каi Nıко́даоs öть


 348 (xiii. 1) K $\lambda \epsilon о \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \alpha ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ó $\rho \bar{\omega} \sigma a$ đòv viòv aủ $\xi \alpha \nu o ́-$








 $\sigma a \sigma \alpha$ ठ̀̀ $\tau o ̀ v$ viòv 'A $\lambda \bar{\prime} \xi a v \delta \rho o v \quad \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega$


${ }^{3}$ є $\lambda a \beta \in$ М MWE Lat.
5 є́ $\pi i$ та̂̂S $\pi$ údaıs . . . $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v]$ dum iam in portis suis eum praesentem sperarat esse futurnm et amari ab aegyptiis propter virtutem eins putaret eum Lat.
${ }^{6}$ aủroîs L: om. PF.

a There is no need to emend ámáp $\quad \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ to $\alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ "go away," as Naber does. As Richards and Shutt note, the former reading is right in the sacrificial sense. It may he added that the incident here related bears a partial resemblanee to the story told in Herod. iii. 11 of the Greek 400

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 345-350

into boiling cauldrons and to taste of them. ${ }^{a}$ This order he gave that those who had eseaped from the battle and had returned to their homes might get the notion that the enemy were eaters of human flesh, and so might be the more terrified by this sight. And both Strabo and Nicolas say that they treated the Jews in the manner whieh I have just mentioned. Ptolemy's men also took Ptolemais by storm, as we have shown elsewhere. ${ }^{b}$
(xiii. 1) When Cleopatra saw her son growing in cleopatra power, and ravaging Judaea with impunity and hold- forees ing Gaza subjeet to him, she decided not to be idle Lathyrus while he, having grown greater, was at her cates to leave and eoveted the throne of Egypt; and so she at onee set out against him with a sea and land force, appointing as leaders of her entire army the Jews Chelkias and Ananias. ${ }^{c}$ At the same time she sent the greater part of her wealth and her grandsons ${ }^{d}$ and her testament to Cos for safe keeping.e Then she commanded her son Alexander ${ }^{f}$ to sail toward Phoenieia ${ }^{g}$ with a mercenaries in Egypt who slew the sons of the traitor Phanes. "When the last was dead, water and wine were poured into the bowl, and all the soldiers tasted of the biood, and so went into battle."
${ }^{b}$ No such passage is found in Josephus, unless he refers to the siege in $\S 336$; the formula is probably taken over from his source.
${ }^{c}$ On these Jewish generals see $\S 287$ note $f$.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Bevan, Ptol. p. 330, writes, " One of these grandchildren was apparently the young Ptolemy Alexander [Ptolemy X], a son of Alexander I [Ptolemy [X]; who the others were we do not know (Bouché-Leclercq conjectures children of Soter and Selene [cf. Justinus xxxix. 4. 1])."
e In the sanetuary of Asclepius.
' Ptolemy IX.
g The variant (to " toward Phoenicia ") " if flight should take place" makes no sense.

## JOSEPHUS








 ミvpíav, ठєóкогта Пто入єнаîov.







 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\delta \omega ́ \rho \omega \nu$ тробє $\lambda$ Өóvтоs ${ }^{6}$ каі $\theta \epsilon \rho а \pi \epsilon i a s ~ o ́ \pi о i ́ a s ~$



 $\pi \epsilon \rho u \delta \epsilon i v \quad \epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ à $\nu \delta \rho i$ є́vi $\tau о \sigma о \hat{\tau} \tau о \quad \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os ả $\gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$



${ }^{1}$ Holwerda : aùr $\bar{s}$ codd. E .
${ }^{2}$ रà $\mathrm{A} M \mathrm{M}$ : om. Lat. : $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ Niese.
${ }^{3}$ àmò PV. ${ }^{4}$ Sє́є́триєи PLV.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{7} \text { Naber: 'Iovoaíwv codd. Lat. }
\end{aligned}
$$

a Text slightly emended.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 350-354

great fleet, while she herself ${ }^{a}$ came to Ptolemais with her entire force, and when the inhabitants refused to admit her, besieged the city. Thereupon Ptolemy ${ }^{b}$ left Syria and hastened to Egypt, ${ }^{c}$ thinking to get possession of it suddenly while it was left without an army, but he was disappointed of his hope. It was just at this time that Chelkias, one of Cleopatra's two commanders, died in Coele-Syria while in pursuit of Ptolemy.
(2) When Cleopatra heard of her son's attempt and learned that his plans concerning Egypt had not prospered as he had expected, she sent a portion of her army against him and drove him out of the country. And so he left Egypt once more and spent the winter at Gaza. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Meanwhile Cleopatra besieged the garrison in Ptolemais and took it and the city itself. And when Alexander ${ }^{d}$ came to her ${ }^{e}$ with gifts and such marks of attention as were to be expected after the harsh treatment he had suffered at the hands of Ptolemy-for he had no other course of safety than this-, some of her friends advised her to take these things and at the same time invade his country and occupy it, and not suffer such an abundance of resources to belong to one man, who was a Jew. ${ }^{f}$ Ananias, however, gave the opposite advice, saying that she would commit an injustice if she deprived an ally of his own possessions, " "especi-
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бías，＂каi $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ ท̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu . ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$



 тòv＇A入є́ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \rho o v, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ бvццахíav $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~$














 $\rho \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha,{ }^{4} \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ a u ̉ \tau o \hat{v}$ K $\lambda \epsilon о \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho a \nu ~ \epsilon i S$



${ }^{1}$ катабкєvá乌єı l'L: катабкєváбєє 1': habebis Lat.
${ }^{2}$ кádııота каі] $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ Ni'se.
${ }^{3}$ трооךүо́рєибє $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}(\mathrm{F})$.
${ }^{\wedge}$ дєтакєХшрұко́та LAMWE.
a $\S \S 356-357$ have parallels in B．J．i．86－87．
${ }^{6}$ Here meaning Transjordan，cf．Ani．xi． 25 note $a$ ．
c Mod．Mukes，a few miles E．of the confluence of the larmuk and Jordan rivers，$c f$ ．Ant．xii． 136 note $f$ ． 404.

## JEIVISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 354-359

ally one who is our kinsman. For I would have you know that an injustice done to this man will make all us Jews your enemies." By this exhortation of Ananias Cleopatra was persuaded not to do Alexander any wrong, but instead she made an alliance with him at Scythopolis in Coele-Syria.
(3) ${ }^{a}$ Thereupon Alexander, being rid of his fear of Ptolemy, at once marched on Coele-Syria ${ }^{b}$ and took Gadara ${ }^{c}$ after a siege of ten months, and also took

Alexander Jannaeus destroys Gaza. Amathn̄s, ${ }^{d}$ the greatest stronghold of those occupied beyond the Jordan, where Theodorus, the son of Zenon, kept his best and most valuable possessions. This man fell upon the Jews unexpectedly and killed ten thousand of them, and plundered Alexander's baggage. ${ }^{e}$ These misfortunes did not, however. dismay Alexander, who marched on the cities of the coast, Raphia ${ }^{f}$ and Anthedon, ${ }^{g}$ the name of which King Herod later changed to Agrippias, ${ }^{h}$ and took this ${ }^{i}$ too by storm. And ${ }^{j}$ when he saw that Ptolemy had withdrawn from Gaza to Cyprus, and his mother Clcopatra to Egypt, in his anger with the Gazaeans for having summoned Ptolemy to help them, he besieged their city and plundered their territory. But
d Mod. Tell 'Ammata, a few miles N.E. of the confluence of the Jabbok and Jordan rivers, in the same latitude as shechem.
e He also recovered his own property, according to B.J. i. $8 \%$.
${ }^{\prime}$ Mod. Refah, c. 30 miles S.W. of Gaza, near the Egyptian border.

Mod. Khirbet Tida, according to Abel ii. 245, c. a mile N. of Ciaza.
${ }^{n}$ Cff. B.J. i. 416, where it is called Agrippeion.
" Apparently" territory " is to be supplied.
$f$ The following sections, to $\S 372$, have no parallels in B.J.






 бvбт $\epsilon$＇́фоvтаи，каi тоîs Гa̧aioss т тогßа入óvтєs



 $\sigma \theta a \iota) \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$ av̀ $\bar{\omega} \nu^{3}$ тウ̀v $\epsilon \dot{v} \psi v \chi i ́ a \nu^{4}$ каi



 то入ítaıs єủסокцнєiv，ктєivas aủтòv каi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega-$




 ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ є́кєîvol $\tau a ̀ s ~ \psi v \chi a ̀ s ~ a ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu v \epsilon i ̂ s, ~ a ̀ ~ d \lambda a ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau о ̂ ̂ s ~$
${ }^{1}$ civium Lat．；oiкєт $\omega \nu$ haud rectum esse putat Niese． ${ }^{2}$ Sioxidious W．
${ }^{3}$ av̇т $\omega ि \nu$ Ifudson cum E：$\delta^{\prime}$ av̉r $\hat{\nu}$ codd．


${ }^{a}$ Lat．（reading oiкєíwv for oiкєт $\omega \nu$ ）has＂citizens，＂a read－ ing adopted by Chamonard．
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Apollodotus, the general of the Gazaeans, fell upon the camp of the Jews by night with two thousand mercenaries and ten thousand slaves, ${ }^{a}$ and so long as night lasted, the Gazaeans were victorious, for they made the enemy believe ${ }^{b}$ that it was Ptolemy who had attacked them; but when day came, and this belief proved false, the Jews, on learning the true state of things, reformed their ranks and attacked the Gazaeans and slew about a thousand ${ }^{c}$ of them. The Gazaeans, however, held their ground and did not yield either through lack of supplies or because of the number of their slain-for they were ready to suffer any fate rather than fall into the hands of the enemy-, and their courage ${ }^{d}$ was heightened by the expectation that Aretas, the king of the Arabs, would come to their assistance. ${ }^{e}$ But first, as it happened, Apollodotus was put to death, for his brother Lysimachus, who was envious of his prestige with the people of the city, killed him ; he then united ${ }^{f}$ the army and delivered the city to Alexander. On first entering, Alexander acted peacefully, but subsequently he loosed his force on the Gazaeans and let his men arenge themselves on them. And so they went off, some in one direction and others in another, killing the Gazaeans. These, however, were by no means meanspirited, but on the contrary defended themselves

[^146]
## JOSEPHUS

$\pi \alpha \rho a \pi i \pi \tau о v \sigma \iota$ ả $\mu v \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ ' I o v \delta a i o v s ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~$





 oi $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha к o ́ \sigma \iota o \iota ~ \sigma \nu \mu \phi v \gamma o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{4}$ єis тò то仑̂






 оара́коита каi $\pi \epsilon ́ v \tau \epsilon$, ßабı入єv́баs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ є̀vvє́u каi




 кадои́ $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$ оs $\pi а \rho а \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon i s ~ " А \rho a \delta o v ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon р \iota-~$
${ }^{1}$ Naber: aủтêv eodd.

${ }^{5}$ Iludson anctore Petavio: 'Avtiozos kai 'Avzioxos P: 'Avtioxos кai 'Avtcrivos ('Avtćvos F'E) rell. E. Lat.
${ }^{a}$ One gets the impression from $\S 358$ that the siege of Gaza began soon after Ptolemy Lathyrus and Cleopatra III withdrew from Palestine, c. 102 b.c. But in $\S 365$ Josephus synchronizes the end of the siege with the murder of Antiochus Grypus which took place in 96 r.c. However the phrase "about this same time" may be a vague indication 408
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against the Jews with whatever weapons came to hand and slew as many of them as they themselves had lost. And some of them, being left alone, set fire to their houses in order that nothing might remain in them for the enemy to take out as spoil. Others with their own hands made away with their children and wives, this being the means by which they were compelled to deliver them from slavery to their foes. Of the councilmen there were five hundred in all who took refuge in the temple of Apollo-for the attack had come just when they were sitting in council-, but Alexander slew them there, and having pulled the city down upon them, returned to Jerusalem after spending a year on the siege. ${ }^{a}$
(4) About this same time Antiochus, surnamed The Grypus, met death as the victim of a plot formed by fratricidal Heracleon ; he was forty-five years of age and reigned wars of the twenty-nine. ${ }^{b}$ And his son Seleucus, ${ }^{c}$ on succeeding to his throne, waged war with his father's brother, who was surnamed Cyzicenus, and after defeating him, captured and killed him. ${ }^{d}$ But not long afterward Antiochus, ${ }^{e}$ the son of Cyzicenus, who ${ }^{f}$ was called Euscbes (the Pious), came to Aradus, ${ }^{g}$ and having
of time, as elsewhere in Josephus. We may therefore suppose that Gaza was taken nearer 100 13.c. than 96 b.c.

This would make his reign begin 125/4 в.c., the usual reckoning, $c f$. Schürer i. 176. But Antiochus Grypus began to rule Syria de facto $c .122$ b.c., $c f . \S 272$ note $a$.
c Seleucus VI Epiphanes Nicator.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ According to Porphyry, ap. Eusebius, Chron. i. 260, Cyzicenus was carried by his horse into the enemy's lines, and killed himself to avoid capture.

- Antiochus X Eusebes Philopator.
, i.e. the son.
- On the Syrian coast, $c$. half-way between Laodicea and Tripolis.
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 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota a$ каì $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \nu$ aủтòv $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фì $\lambda \omega \nu$.



 Фí入ıттos $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \delta \iota a ́ \delta \eta \mu a ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \tau i v o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~$











${ }^{2}$ maidòs om. PE Lat. ${ }^{3}$ трitov P.
${ }^{4}$ Eǘкаıрои ed. pr.

 V: Gaminorum Lat. : Гa入a $\delta \eta \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ ed. pr.

[^147]put on the diadem, waged war with Seleucus, and after defeating him, drove him ${ }^{a}$ out of the whole of Syria. Seleucus thereupon fled to Cilicia, and coming to Mopsuestia, again tried to exact money from its inhabitants. But the people of Mopsuestia were indignant at this, and setting fire to his palace, destroyed him together with his friends. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Then while Antiochus, ${ }^{c}$ the son of Cyzicenus, was reigning over Syria, Antiochus, the brother of Seleucus, made war on him, but was defeated and perished with his army. And after his death his brother Philip ${ }^{d}$ put on the diadem and began to reign over a part of Syria. Thereupon Ptolemy Lathyrus sent for their fourth ${ }^{e}$ brother, called Demetrius Akairos ${ }^{f}$ (the Illtimed), from Cnidus and made him king at Damascus. These two brothers were courageously opposed by Antiochus, ${ }^{g}$ but he soon died; for he went to the aid of Laodice, queen of the Samenians, ${ }^{h}$ who was waging war with the Parthians, and fell fighting bravely. ${ }^{i}$ And so Syria was held by the two brothers

- Antiochus XI Epiphanes Philadelphus.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Philip Epiphanes Philadelphus.
e One ms. has " third," either a scribal error or unjustified correction.
s Demetrius III Theos Philopator Soter was nicknamed Eukairos, " The Timely " (so the ed. pr. reads here) ; B.J. i. 92 agrees with the mss. of $A n t$. in reading " Akairos."
- Cyzicenus' son, Antiochus X Euscbes Philopator.
" Variants "Galieni," "Galilaeans," "Galaadenians," "Gamini." The Samenians were an Arab tribe, according to Stephanus of Byzantium; for further discussion see J. Dobiaš in Archio Orientalni 3 (1931), 221-223 (cited by Debevoise, p. 46 n. 66).
- According to Appian, Syr. 19, 70, Antiochus Eusebes was driven out of Syria by the Armenian king Tigrancs ( 83 в.c.) ; according to Porphyry, ap. Eusebius, Chron. i. 261, he had earlier fled to the Parthian court.
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 $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu$ ar $\lambda \lambda$ orts $\delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \iota .{ }^{1}$
372 (5) 'А入є́ ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oikєícuv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~$














 Mwaßítas каi Гa入aaסíтas ais фópov ar $\pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$,



${ }^{3} \tau \eta{ }^{5} s$ on. 1 'E: festivitas tabernaculorum Lat.
${ }^{4}$ є' $\xi$ ai $\left.\mu a \lambda \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu\right]$ ai x ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \tau o v$ PFV : de captiva Lat.
${ }^{5}$ є́тє́фраттєь LAMWF.
${ }^{6}$ Niese: катєрітєє $\mathrm{P}: \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \mathrm{~W}:$ катє́ррьлтє Tel. : expugnavit Lat.

[^148]Demetrius and Philip, as has been related ${ }^{a}$ elsewhere. ${ }^{b}$
(5) ${ }^{c}$ As for Alexander, his own people revolted against him-for the nation was aroused against him -at the celebration of the festival, ${ }^{d}$ and as he stood beside the altar and was about to sacrifice, they pelted him with citrons, it being a custom among the Jews that at the festival of Tabernaeles everyone holds wands made of palm branehes and citronsthese we have described elsewhere ${ }^{e}$; and they added insult to injury by saying that he was descended from captives and was unfit to hold office ${ }^{f}$ and to sacrifice; and being enraged at this, he killed some six thousand of them, and also placed a wooden barrier about the altar and the temple as far as the coping (of the court) which the priests alone were permitted to enter, and by this means blocked the people's way to him. ${ }^{g}$ He also maintained foreign troops of Pisidians and Cilicians, for he could not use Syrians, being at war with them. And after subduing the Arabs of Moab and Galaaditis, whom he forced to pay tribute, he demolished Amathūs, as Theodorus did not venture to meet him in the field. ${ }^{h}$
details of $\S \S 372-373$, however, are not found in B.J. For rabbinic parallels to this story and other traditions concerning Alexander Jannaeus see Derenbourg, pp. 96-101.
" Variant " a festival," as in B.J.: Lat. "the festival of Tabernacles." "The festival" would correspond exactly to the rabbinic Heb. term he-hāg, indicating the festival of Tabernacles as the festival par excellence.

- Ant. iii. $245 . \quad{ }^{\prime}$ As high priest, cf. $\$ 292$.
- The allusion to the barrier is puzzling, since such a barrier (called yeiouov or $\theta \rho \iota \gamma \kappa o ́ s) ~ h a d ~ b e e n ~ b u i l t ~ b y ~ S o l o m o n, ~$ according to Josephus, Ant. viii. 95, and was presumably found in the second temple, as in Herod's temple, $c f$. B.J. v. 226.
${ }^{n}$ Cf. §§ 356-357.
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 каi тi ßoúdovтаı $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a l, \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s^{3}$ є’ßó $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ à $\pi о-$


377 (xiv. 1) 'O $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ б $\tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ каì тара-
 є́ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau о \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$. 'A $\lambda \in \epsilon \in \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \tau \sigma \theta \circ \phi o ́-$


 $378 \tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi i ́ \lambda \iota o \iota, \pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \tau \in \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon s \mu v \rho \iota \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon s . \quad \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$



${ }^{2}$ Taudavíoos ex B.J.coni. Niese : 'Iovóávioos P: Гaגa rell.

 пávтєS E.

[^149]Then he engaged in battle with Obedas, the king of the Arabs, and falling into an ambnsh in a rough and difficult region, he was pushed by a multitude of camels into a deep ravine near Garada, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a village of Gaulanis, ${ }^{b}$ and barely eseaped with his own life, and fleeing from there, came to Jerusalem. But when the nation attacked him upon this misfortune, he made war on it and within six years slew no fewer than fifty thousand Jews. And so when he urged them to make an end of their hostility toward him, they only hated him the more on account of what had happened. And when he asked what he ought to do and what they wanted of him, ${ }^{c}$ they all cried out, "to die"; and they sent to Demetrius Akairos, asking him to come to their assistance. ${ }^{d}$
(xiv. 1) Thereupon Demetrius came with his army, Demetrius and taking along those who had summoned him, Akairos eneamped near the city of Shechem. And Alexander Alexander on his side took six thousand two hundred mercen- Jannaeus. aries and about twenty thousand Jews who favoured his cause, and went out to meet Demetrius, who had three thousand horse and forty thousand foot. ${ }^{e}$ Now there was much activity in both eamps, the one side attempting to cause Alexander's mercenaries to desert because they were Greeks, while the other
one ms. "Iūdanis," the rest "Galaadetis." From the deseription of the locality Abel, $G P^{\prime}$ ii. 149 n .3 , conjectures that it is the mod. Squifiye, c. a mile E. of the Sea of Galilee, N.E. of Hippos.
${ }^{\text {© }}$ Text slightly emended.
${ }^{d}$ C. 88 в.c.; cf. Schürer i. 282 n. 19 and Bevan, H. Sel. ii. 261.

- These numbers differ from those of B.I. i. 93, which gives : for Alexander, 9000 mereenaries ( 1000 horse +8000 foot) and 10,000 Jews; for Demetrius, 3000 horse and 14,000 foot.
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Sè тov̀s oùv $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i ́ \omega$ 'Iovסaious. $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ p o v ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\pi \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \delta v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau o s, a ̉ \lambda \lambda$ ' $\epsilon i s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$,


 $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.


 $\chi \omega \rho \in i ̂ ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta ́ \tau \rho \iota o s . ~ \mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ ठє̀ $\tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha$ oi 'Iovסaîo






 ஸ́s óктакобiovs, тov̀s $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тaîठas aù $\bar{\omega} \nu$ каì $\tau$ às


 Кік $\eta \nu$, єi каi тà $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, őтєр $\hat{\eta} v$ єiкós, є่та入а兀-



 Өón F: Betoópaıs E: Bethomis Lat.

${ }^{5}$ mpòs aùroùs P Lat.: $\pi$ ap’ aùtoû F : $\pi \rho o ̀$ aùtoû rell.: $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~$ aùrờ ed. pr.
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made the same appeal to the Jews who were with Demetrius. But as neither side could persuade the other, they engaged in battle, and Demetrius was victorious, while all the mercenaries of Alexander met death after giving proof of their loyalty and courage. Many of Demetrius' soldiers, however, also died.
(2) ${ }^{a}$ Alexander thereupon fled to the mountains, where out of pity for him at this reverse six thousand Jews gathered to his side. And at this Demetrius withdrew in alarm. ${ }^{b}$ But later on the Jews fought against Alexander and were defeated, many of them dying in battle. The most powerful of them, however, he shut up and besieged in the city of Bethoma, ${ }^{c}$ and after taking the eity and getting them into his power, he brought them back to Jerusalem ; and there he did a thing that was as cruel as could be: while he feasted with his concubines in a conspicuous place, he ordered some eight hundred of the Jews to be crucified, and slaughtered their children and wives before the eyes of the still living wretches. This was the revenge he took for the injuries he had suffered ; but the penalty he exacted was inhuman for all that, even though he had, as was natural, gone through very great hardships in the wars he had fought against them, and had finally found himself in danger ${ }^{d}$ of losing both his life and his throne, for they were not

[^151]
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 $\chi \omega \rho i ́ a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇A $\rho a ́ \beta \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \alpha \rho a \delta o v ̂ v a \iota$ ，öт $\pi \omega s$

 $383 \xi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oûv oủk ${ }^{2} \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \epsilon i ́ \omega s$ סокє $\hat{\imath}^{3}$ таûта







（3）$\Delta \eta \mu \eta$ трıоs $\delta^{\prime}$ є́к $\tau \eta{ }^{\prime} S$＇Iovסaías à $\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ єis

 $\Sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ঠє̀ ó $\tau \bar{\eta} S$ Bєpoías $\tau$ úpavvos Ф८入ímт山
 єка入єїто каі Мıөрьба́тךv тòv इıváкךv тòv Пар－



> ${ }^{1}$ લ̇v aủ่ๆ̂ om．P．$\quad{ }^{2}$ oưv oủk om．P Lat． ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ ：סокєî rell．
> ${ }^{\wedge}$ ex IDiod．Sic．Niese：$\Delta$ єĭov P：Zí̧ov rell．Lat． ${ }^{5}$ єัт $\pi$ архог E ．

[^152]
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satisfied to carry on the struggle by themselves but brought foreigners as well, and at last reduced him to the necessity ${ }^{a}$ of surrendering to the king of the Arabs the territory which he had conquered in Moab and Galaaditis and the strongholds therein, in order that he ${ }^{b}$ might not aid the Jews in the war against him ; and they committed countless other insulting and abusive acts against him. But still he scems to have done this thing unnecessarily, ${ }^{c}$ and as a result of his excessive cruelty he was nieknamed Thrakidas (the " Cossack '") by the Jews. ${ }^{d}$ Then his opponents, numbering in all about eight thousand, fled by night and remained in exile so long as Alexander lived.e And he, being rid of the trouble they had caused him, reigned thereafter in complete tranquillity.
(3) Now when Demetrius returned from Judaea to Beroea ${ }^{f}$ he besieged his brother Philip with ten thousand foot and a thousand horse. Thercupon Straton, Parthia. the ruler of Beroea, allied with Philip, called in Azizus, ${ }^{g}$ the phylarch ${ }^{h}$ of the Arabs, and Mithridates Sinakes, the governor of the Parthians. ${ }^{i}$ And so they came with a large foree and besieged Demetrius in his barricaded camp, and under pressure of arrows
e It is thought by some scholars that this exile is alluded to in Megillath Ta'anith under date of the 17th of Adar, where the scholiast connects the text with Alexander Jannacus. Some scholars also believe that the flight and exile of Alexander's Jewish opponents is to be connected with the founding of the sect that produced the so-called Covenant of Damascus. See the works cited in Appendix K.
${ }^{5}$ Mod. Aleppo (Haleb), c. 60 miles E. of Antioch.

- Emended from Diodorus Siculus (xl. 1a, b) : one ms. " Deizus," the rest " Zizus."
${ }^{n}$ i.e. tribal chief (sheikh).
${ }^{i}$ Probably governor of Mesopotamia, cf. Bevan, H. Sel. ii. 261, Debevoise, p. 49.
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 бvvє́ $\beta a \iota v \epsilon{ }^{1}$ тои́тоvs $\pi \rho о і ̂ к а ~ \tau о \hat{s}{ }^{\prime} А \nu \tau \iota о \chi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ảm-



 $\sigma \chi \dot{\omega} \nu$ aù $\bar{\eta} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon u \sigma \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ इupias.







 áxápıotos єis aùtòv $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ к а i ~ \mu \eta \delta e ̀ v ~ \grave{\omega} \nu$
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and thirst they compelled the men inside with him to surrender. Then they carried off the spoil of the country, and taking Demetrius with them, sent him to Mithridates, who was then reigning over the Parthians, ${ }^{a}$ while those captives who happened to be citizens of Antioch they restored without ransom to the Antiochians. But Mithridates, the king of Parthia, held Demetrius in the greatest honour until Demetrius' life came to an end through illness. Philip, however, immediately after the battle marehed on Antioch, and after seizing it, became king of Syria.
(xv. 1) Then Antiochus, called Dionysus, ${ }^{b}$ who was Antiochus a brother of Philip and had designs on the throne, Dionysos came to Damascus, and getting the government of Judaea, the eity into his hands, became king. ${ }^{c}$ But when he but is slain set out on a campaign against the Arabs, his brother Arabs. Philip heard of it and marched on Damascus. Thereupon Milesius, who had been left to guard the citadel and ${ }^{d}$ the Damaseenes, delivered up the city to him ; but as he showed himself ungrateful to Milesius and gave him none of the things which Milesius had hoped for when he admitted him, but on the contrary tried to make it seem as if he had taken the city through the fear inspired by him instead of rewarding Milesius as he ought for his services, he became an object of suspicion and was again driven out of Damascus; for onee, when he had set out for the hippodrome,
${ }^{a}$ Mithridates II, who reigned c. 123 to 88/87 в.c., of. Debevoise, p. 50 n. 79.

- Variant "Dionysius." This was Antiochus XII Dionysus Epiphanes Philopator Callinicus, the youngest son of Antiochus VIII Grypus.
c In 86/5 b.c., according to the coins.
d Perhaps we should read " of."
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 каi $\pi$ úp





 ar $\pi \epsilon \in \theta a \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho a \beta o \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\varphi}$ тоvoथ̂v $\iota^{4} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$. $\pi \epsilon-$

 $\phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \in \tau \alpha \iota$.
 ' $\mathrm{A} \rho \in ́ \tau \alpha s, ~ к \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \rho \chi \eta ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
${ }^{1}$ Хaßepбаßâ P: Хap弓aßà FV: Cafarsaba Lat.
${ }^{2} \mu \epsilon \sigma о \pi$ и́ $\rho \gamma$ а FV'E.
${ }^{3}$ є́ $\xi$ ŋ́коута P .
${ }^{4}$ ขєкойтє P.
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Milcsius shut the gates on him and kept Damascus safe for Antiochus. And when Antiochus heard of Philip's experience, he returned from Arabia and at once took the field, marching on Judaca ${ }^{a}$ with eight thousand heavy-armed soldiers and eight hundred horse. Thereupon ${ }^{b}$ Alexander, who feared an invasion by him, dug a deep trench, beginning at Chabarsaba, which is now called Antipatris, ${ }^{c}$ as far as the sea at Joppa, where alone it was possible to attack; and he erected a wall and set up wooden towers and firing-platforms ${ }^{d}$ for a distance of a hundred and fifty stades, ${ }^{e}$ and then awaited Antiochus's attack. But Antiochus burned all these constructions and so made his army pass through this way to Arabia. ${ }^{f}$ At first the Arab king retreated but afterward suddenly appeared with ten thousand horse, and though Antiochus on meeting them fought valiantly, he was killed just as he was gaining the victory aud was coming to the aid of part of his army that was in difficulties. ${ }^{g}$ And when Antiochus fell, his army fled to the village of Kana, ${ }^{\text {h }}$ where the greatest part of it perished of hunger.

(2) After his death Aretas began to reign over Aretas Coele-Syria, ${ }^{i}$ being called to the throne by those who | invades |
| :---: |
| $J u d a z a i$ |

" Lit. "spaces between the towers" for artillery, cf. B.J. iii. 80. They are not mentioned in the parallel, B.J. i. 99.
"One ms." sixty." 150 stades $=c .17$ miles.
1 The Nabataean Arabs under Aretas III had pushed on to the S. and E. of Judaea, and soon held Transjordan as far north as Damascus, cf. below, § 392 .
"One ms. "was victorions." The battle took place c. 85 в.c.
${ }^{n}$ Not the bibl. Cana in Galilee but mod. Qina, a few miles E. of the southern end of the Dead Sea, according to Abel, GP ii. 149.

- Here $=$ the region about Damascus.


## JOSEPHUS

 Mєvvaiov $\mu \hat{i} \sigma o s . ~ \sigma \tau р а \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma a s ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$

 ＇Iovסaías．



 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ є́ $\pi i$ Г Гav́davav каi $\sum \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota a \nu \epsilon ' \xi \omega ́ \rho \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．
 ＇Аvтıóxov $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ фа́раүүа каі Га́ $\mu a \lambda a$ тò фрои́pıоv．є́ $\gamma к а \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i ́ \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \kappa \grave{\omega}$ є є̈тоs $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oт $\rho a \tau \epsilon i a s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ o i к \epsilon i a \nu ~$
 єùmpaүíav $\delta є \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ．

 ＇Iovסaîou，$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta ~ \mu \grave{v} v \Sigma_{\tau \rho} a^{\tau} \tau \omega v o s ~ \pi u ́ \rho \gamma o v$
> ${ }^{1}$ Hudson ：$\Delta i ́ a v$ codd．：Níav Syncellus：Пé $\lambda \lambda a \nu$ B．J．
> ${ }^{2}$ Essamon Lat．：Г＇́fa⿱亠乂⿱亠乂，B．J．
> ${ }^{3} \mu a ́ \chi \eta$ FLA ${ }^{1}$ VW Lat．：cf．$\delta$ oà $\mu a ́ \chi \eta s$ B．J．

${ }^{a}$ King of Calchis in the Lebanon region．
${ }^{b}$ Mod．el－Huditha，c． 4 miles N．E．，of Lydda，cf．$\S 203$ note $f$ ．
＂Gr．＂Dion，＂a city of the Decapolis，perhaps mod． Tell el－Ǎ̌̌ari，as suggested by Schwartz，ap．Abel，GP ii．307． For＂Dium＂B．J．i．10t has＂Pella．＂
＂For＂Essa＂we should read＂Gerasa＂with B．J．i． 104. It is the mod．Jerash on the Jabbok river in the Decapolis． Its antiquities are well known throngh the excavations of Yale University，cf．C．Kraeling（ed．），Gerasa， 1938.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 392-395

held Damascus because of their hatred of Ptolemy, the son of Mennaeus. ${ }^{a}$ From there he marched on Judaea and defeated Alexander in a battle near the fortress of Adida, ${ }^{b}$ but after coming to terms with him, withdrew from Judaea.
(3) Thereupon Alexander once more marched on the city of Dium ${ }^{c}$ and captured it, and then led his army against Essa, ${ }^{d}$ where Zenon's ${ }^{e}$ most valuable possessions were, and surrounded the place with three Alexander walls; and after taking the city without a battle, ${ }^{f}$ he set out against Gaulana and Seleucia. ${ }^{g}$ After taking these cities as well, he captured in addition the Valley of Antiochus, ${ }^{h}$ as it is called, and the fortress of Gamala. ${ }^{i}$ And having serious ground for complaint against Demetrius, the governor of these districts, he deprived him of office, and having spent three whole years in the field, ${ }^{j}$ returned to his own country, where the Jews welcomed him eagerly because of his successes.
(4) ${ }^{k}$ Now at this time the Jews held the following cities of Syria, Idumaea and Phoenicia ${ }^{l}$ : on

E Puler of nearby Philadelphia, cf. $\$ 325 . \quad$ B.J. i. 104 has Alexander "Theodorus," the son of Zenon, cf.$\S 356$.
'Variant " in battle." In B.J. $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha ́ x \eta s$ " in battle " is emended by some scholars to díxa $\mu$ áx ${ }^{\prime}$ " "without a battle."

- Mod. Selüqiye, c. 10 miles S.E. of Lake Hüleh.
${ }^{n}$ Apparently in Gaulanitis.
$i$ Mod. Jamle, c. 12 miles E. of the Sea of Galilee, mentioned frequently in Vita.
${ }^{3}$ C. 83 to 80 b.c.
${ }^{k}$ The following sections, to $\S 407$, except for a few words in $\S \S 398$ and 404 , have no parallels in B.J.
'As Reinach, following Tuch, notes, a list of cities similar to the following is given by Syncellus i. 558 ed. Dindorf ; see also Ant. xiv. 18 for other cities conquered by Alexander Jannaeus.


## JOSEPHUS

＇А $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega v i a \nu ~ ' І о ́ \pi \pi \eta \nu ~ ' І а ́ \mu \nu є є а \nu ~ " А \zeta \omega \tau о \nu ~ Г а ́ \zeta а \nu ~$








 ä $\lambda \lambda a s$ тє $\pi$ ódєıs $\pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon v o v ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \Sigma v p i a s ~ a i ̂ ~ \eta ु \sigma a \nu ~$ $\kappa а \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho а \mu \mu$ е́vą．



 F（？）．
${ }^{2}$ V Lat．：＂A $\alpha a \rho a$ P：T＇áלapa rell．
3 ＇Tuch：「au入aviti $\delta a$ codd．Lat．
－Tucl cum Hudson ：「áßaגa codd．
${ }^{5}$＇Op $\omega \nu \alpha \mu$ ．．．Ө $\omega \nu$ 人 Niese duce Tuch ：ор $\omega v a \mu \alpha \gamma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \theta \omega \nu$ aut op $\omega v a \iota \alpha \iota \mu \tau \alpha \iota \lambda \iota \theta \omega \nu a$ codd．：Oronemegaeton Lat．
${ }^{6}$ Zapà FlıMV：Zápa II：Zora Lat．
＂катє́वкаұєข PLAM Lat．
＂oủx om．P＇Lat．cod．Neap．aliique．

$$
{ }^{\circ} C f, \S 324 .
$$

＂Mod．Arsüf，between Joppa and Straton＇s Tower．
${ }^{1}$ Cf．§361．$\quad$ Of．$\S 35 \%$ ．$\quad$ Cf．§ 357.
－Nod．el－Aris on the border of Palestine and Egypt．
${ }^{m}$ Variants " Adara," "Gazara" ; cf. § 356.
${ }^{n}$ Cf. §393.

- Conjectured from ms. "Gabala ": cf. §391.
the sea-coast, Straton's 'Tower, ${ }^{a}$ Apollonia, ${ }^{b}$ Joppa, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Jamneia, ${ }^{d}$ Azotus, ${ }^{e}$ Gaza, ${ }^{f}$ Anthedon, ${ }^{g}$ Raphia ${ }^{h}$ and Rhinocorura ${ }^{i}$; in the interior, toward Idumaea, Adora ${ }^{j}$ and Marisa, ${ }^{k}$ and the whole of Idumaea and Samaria and Mount Carmel and Mount Tabor and Seythopolis ${ }^{l}$ and Gadara ${ }^{m}$; in Gaulanitis they had Seleucia ${ }^{n}$ and Gamala ${ }^{0}$; and in Moab, Essebon, ${ }^{p}$ Medaba, ${ }^{2}$ Lemba, ${ }^{r}$ Oronaim, ${ }^{s}$ Agalain, ${ }^{t}$ Thona, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ Zoara, ${ }^{v}$ the Valley of the Cilicians ${ }^{w}$ and Pella ${ }^{x}$ this last eity Alexander's men demolished because the inhabitants would not agree to adopt the national customs of the Jews-, and others of the principal eities of Syria which had been subdued.
(5) But after these conquests King Alexander fell ill from heavy drinking, and for three years ${ }^{y}$ he was afflicted with a quartan fever, but still he did not Alexander Jannaeus on his give up campaigning until, being exhausted from his
p Bibl. Heshbon of Moab, mod. Hesbūn, c. 12 miles E. of deathbed adrises his wife to make peace the N. end of the Dead Sea.
- Cf. § 255.
${ }^{r}$ Called Libba in Ant. xiv. 18 ; it is mod. Khirbet Libb, c. $S$ miles S.W. of Medaba.
${ }^{8}$ 'The reading of the next three names is doubtful here as in the parallel, Ant. xiv. 18. The following identifications are based on Abel, G'P ii. 149.

Oronaim is bibl. Horonaim, mod. el-Arāq, c. 6 miles E. of the S. end of the Dead Sea.
${ }^{t}$ Bibl. Eglaim, mod. Rujm el-Jilimeh, c. 10 miles N.E. of Horonaim.
u Mod. eth-Theniyeh, c. 3 miles S.F. of Eglaim.
v Bibl. Koar, in the Gor Safiyeh, c. 2 miles S. of the S. end of the Dead Sea.
${ }^{*}$ Apparently in the Decapolis; cf. Klein in MGUFJ 59 (1915), 169.
${ }^{x}$ Cf. B.J. i. 104; it is Talmudic Pablel, mod. Fihl or Faliil, c. 8 miles S.E. of Scythopolis (Beisun) and 3 miles E. of the Jordan.
v 79 to 76 в.с.

## JOSEPHUS



 ßaбí入ıбनa $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ oैvта каi $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i a \nu$

 тov̀s $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha s ~ d ̀ \pi \omega \delta \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \tau о$, каi " тivи катадєiтєєs оข̃т $\omega s$ є̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ к \nu \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi \alpha \rho ’ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~ \beta о \eta-~$ $\theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \delta \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon v a$ " $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̀ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon " ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$ " каi таиิт'

 бєтаı $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a \nu ~ a ̉ \sigma \phi а \lambda \omega ̂ s ~ к а т \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu ~$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau a s, ~ c ̌ \omega s ~ a ̈ v ~ \epsilon ’ \xi ́ \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi \omega р i o v . ~$




 є"фабкє $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \psi a \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \mu \iota \sigma о \hat{v \tau а s ~ к а i ~ ф ı \lambda i ́ \omega s ~}{ }^{5}$ бьакєє-






$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { monte Lat. }{ }^{2} \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ o m . ~ L A M W E . ~
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{4} \text { Naber: } \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \hat{s} \text { codd. }{ }^{5} \text { İnesti: фínous codd. } \\
& { }^{6} \phi \theta \text { ог } \omega \text { aı PFLW. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Heb. Ragab or Regeb (Mishnah), identified by Abel, $G P$ ii. 427, as by some earlier scholars, with mod. Rājib, 428

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 398-403

labours, he met death in the territory of the Gerasenes while besieging lagaba, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a fortress across the Jordan. And when the queen saw that he was on the point of death and no longer held ${ }^{b}$ to any hope of recovery, she wept and beat her breast, lamenting the bereavement that was about to befall her and her children, and said to him, "To whom are you thus leaving me and your children, who are in need of help from others, especially when you know how hostile the nation feels toward you!" Thereupon he advised her to follow his suggestions for keeping the throne secure for herself and her children and to conceal his death from the soldiers until she had captured the fortress. And then, he said, on her return to Jerusalem as from a splendid victory, she should yield a certain amount of power to the Pharisees, for if they praised her in return for this sign of regard, they would dispose the nation favourably toward her. ${ }^{c}$ These men, he assured her, had so much influence with their fellow-Jews that they could injure those whom they hated and help those to whom they were friendly; for they had the complete confidence of the masses when they spoke harshly of any person, even when they did so out of envy; and he himself, he added, had come into conflict with the nation because these men had been badly treated by him. "And so," he said, " when you come to Jerusalem, send for their
c. 8 miles E. of the Jordan and 14 miles W. of Jeraš (Gerasa). Schiirer, i. 284 n .26 , had carlier doubted this, on the ground that this site must already have been in Alexander's hands, being so near Amathūs; but this assumption is by no means necessary.
b Variant " did not hold."
${ }^{c}$ For the rabhinic traditions concerning Alexander's relations with the I'harisees see Derenbourg, pp. 96-101.
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$\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha s^{1} a v ่ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi a \sigma a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$








 то८єîv $\mu$ оv какшิs тòv $\nu \in \kappa \rho o ̀ v ~ \delta \iota a \theta \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$,

 $\beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma \alpha s \delta^{\prime}$ є̀vòs $\delta \epsilon ́ o \nu \tau \alpha{ }^{4} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha$.
405 (xvi. 1) 'H $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ’ $A \lambda \epsilon \xi a ́ v \delta \rho a$ đò ф $\rho o u ́ \rho ı o \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \xi \epsilon \lambda o v ̂ \sigma a$



 406 vous $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $̇ \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon$ кai фílous. oi $\delta$ ' $\epsilon i$ is $\tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os








 cd. pr.


4 évòs déovza] êv каi P'.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 403-407

partisans, ${ }^{a}$ and showing them my dead body, permit them, with every sign of sincerity, to treat me as they please, whether they wish to dishonour my corpse by leaving it unburied because of the many injuries they have suffered at my hands, or in their anger wish to offer my dead body any other form of indignity. Promise them also that you will not take any action, while you are on the throne, without their consent. If you speak to them in this manner, I shall receive from them a more splendid burial than I should from you; for once they have the power to do so, they will not choose to treat my corpse badly, and at the same time you will reign securely." With this exhortation to his wife he died, after reigning twenty-seven years, ${ }^{b}$ at the age of forty-nine.
(xvi. 1) Thereupon Alexandra, after capturing the fortress, ${ }^{c}$ conferred with the Pharisees as her husband had suggested, and by placing in their hands all that concerned his corpse and the royal power, stilled their anger against Alexander, and made them her well-wishers and friends. And they in turn went to the people and made public speeches in which they recounted the deeds of Alexander, and said that in him they had lost a just king, and by their eulogies they so greatly moved the people to moum and lament that they gave him a more splendid burial than had been given any of the kings before him. Now ${ }^{d}$ although Alexander had left two sons, Hyrcanus and Aristobulus, he had bequeathed the royal
a Conjectured for mss. "soldiers"; the Epitome and ed. pr. have "chiefs."
${ }^{\circ} 103$ to 76 в.c. ${ }^{\circ}$ Of Ragaba, off. $\S 398$.
Queen Alexandra and her sons
d $\S \S 407-411$ have partial parallels in B.J. i. 109-114.
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 є' $\eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu a \rho \tau \epsilon$ סvo $\chi \in \rho a i v \epsilon \iota \nu$.







 Súvapir oi Фapıraîol каì زàp фuүádas oûtol











 ${ }^{3}$ Baaidià P.
${ }^{2}$ P Lat.: $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v a ~ r e l l . ~$

-     + ov P .
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 407-411

power to Alexandra. Of these sons the one, Hyrcanus, was incompetent to govern and in addition much preferred a quiet life, while the younger, Aristobulus, was a man of action ${ }^{a}$ and high spirit. As for the queen herself, she was loved by the masses because she was thought to disapprove of the crimes committed by her husband.
(2) Alexandra then appointed Hyrcanus as high priest because of his greater age but more especially because of his lack of energy ${ }^{a}$; and she permitted the Pharisees to do as they liked in all matters, and also commanded the people to obey them; and whatever regulations, introduced by the Pharisees in accordance with the tradition of their fathers, had been abolished by her father-in-law Hyrcanus, these she again restored. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ And so, while she had the title of sovereign, the Pharisees had the power. For example, they recalled exiles, and freed prisoners, and, in a word, in no way differed from absolute rulers. Nevertheless the queen took thought for the welfare of the kingdom and recruited a large force of mercenaries and also made her own force twice as large, with the result that she struck terror into the local rulers round her and received hostages from them. And throughout the entire country there was quiet except for the Pharisees; for they worked upon the feelings of the queen and tried to persuade her to kill those who had urged Alexander to put the eight hundred to death. ${ }^{c}$ Later they themselves cut down ${ }^{d}$ one of them, named Diogenes, and his death was followed by that of one after the other, until the

- See Derenbourg. pp. 103-113. According to B.J. i. 111 , Pf therisees. the Pharisees "took advantage of her simplicity."
- Cf. above, $\S 350$. "Lit. " slaughtered."
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 $\tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ офíal $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon i-$



 ßогк $\eta \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ ко́ттєGӨal, ${ }^{2} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \iota a ̂ s ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a s ~ o v ̋ \sigma \eta s . ~$








 є́ $\chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ тồ à à $\delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa \delta \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta \sigma a v \cdot ~ a ̀ v \tau i ~ \pi a v \tau o ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$



> 2 кó $\psi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ P'AI.
> - $\mathrm{A}^{1}$ : taṽтa A corr., rell. ${ }^{6}$ oủdé 1 '.
> ${ }^{5}$ ed. pr.: $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \hat{i v a l}$ codd.
> ${ }^{8}$ Dindorf: $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \omega \hat{\omega} \in \nu$ codd.
> ${ }^{9}$ àmıтíav om. HLAMVW.
> 10 coni. : aủroîs codd.
> ßaбi入íaon V.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 411-414

leading citizens came to the palace, Aristobulus among them-for he was obviously resentful of what was taking place, and let it be plainly seen that if only he should get the opportunity, he would not leave his mother any power at all--, and they reminded her of all that they had achieved in the face of danger, whereby they had shown their unwavering ${ }^{a}$ loyalty to their master ${ }^{b}$ and had therefore been judged worthy by him of the greatest honours. And they begged her not to crush their hopes completely, ${ }^{c}$ for, they said, after escaping the dangers of war, they were now being slaughtered at home like cattle by their foes, and there was no one to avenge them. They also said ${ }^{d}$ that if their adversaries were to be contented with those already slain, they would bear with equanimity what had taken place, out of genuine devotion to their masters ; but if, on the other hand, these men were to continue in the same course, let them, they begged, at least be given their freedom; for they would never bring themselves to seek any means of safety but what should come from her, and would welcome death in her palace so long as they might not have disloyalty on their conseience. ${ }^{e}$ It would be disgraceful both for them and for her who ruled as queen, they added, if, being abandoned by her, they should be given shelter by the enemies of her husband; for Aretas the Arab and the other princes would consider it of the utmost value to
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 415 áкоvбӨŋ̂vaı．єí $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta$ ，тó $\gamma \epsilon \delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu, \epsilon i$ тov̀s



 $\tau \in$ v́ovтas．${ }^{5}$
416 （3）Пo入入̀̀ тoıav̂ta $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega v$ каì єis oíkтov $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кıv$\delta v \nu \epsilon v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ тoùs＇$A \lambda \epsilon \xi$－
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega ิ \tau \epsilon \epsilon \stackrel{\omega}{\rho} \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ єis $\delta$ áкрva• $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$








 тòv viòv＇A є́ $\pi i \quad \Delta а \mu \alpha \sigma \kappa \grave{v} \kappa к \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Птодєцаiov то仑 Mevvaiov
${ }^{1}$ mov om．FLAMVW．${ }^{2}+a v ่ \tau \omega ิ \mathrm{P}$ ．
${ }^{3}$ тò $\pi \rho i \nu \mathrm{P}$ ．${ }^{4}$ Richards et Shutt：aủzov̀s codd．
 пioтıv т $\eta \rho \frac{v}{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} a s$ excidisse coni．Richards et Shutt．
${ }^{6}$ बфíau aủroîs V：oфiour rell．
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enlist such men as mercenaries, whose very name, they might say, had caused these princes to shudder before they had heard it (spoken aloud). But if this could not be, and she had determined to favour the Pharisees above all others, let her, as the next best thing, station each of them in one of the garrisons, for, if some evil genius were thus wroth with the house of Alexander, they at least would show themselves (loyal) ${ }^{a}$ even though living in humble circumstances.
(3) Speaking in this vein at great length, they called upon the shades of Alexander to take pity on those who had been killed and those who were in danger, whereupon all the bystanders burst into tears. And Aristobulus in particular made plain his

Aristobulus II denounces his mother for supporting the Pharisees. sentiments by denouncing his mother bitterly. But still they themselves were to blame for their misfortunes, in allowing a woman to reign who madly desired it in her unreasonable love of power, and when her sons were in the prime of life. And so the queen, not knowing what to do consistent with her dignity, entrusted to them the guarding of the fortresses with the exception of Hyreania, ${ }^{b}$ Alcxandreion ${ }^{c}$ and Machaerus, ${ }^{d}$ where her most valuable possessions were. And ${ }^{e}$ not long afterward she sent out her son Aristobulus with an army to Damascus against Ptolemy, the son of Mennaeus, ${ }^{f}$ as he was
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(4) Kaтà $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тоv̂тov тòv каıрòv ả $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau а \iota ~ T \iota-$







 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ T \imath \gamma \rho a ́ v \eta \nu$. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau \cup ́ \gamma \chi \alpha \nu o \nu$ oûv av̉т $\hat{\varphi}$ каi







 ${ }^{4}$ ó . . Baoıteùs om. PE Lat.

${ }^{7} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \eta \mathrm{~F}^{1} \mathrm{I}$ A MW.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII. 418-421

called, who was a troublesome neighbour to their city. $\mathrm{He}^{a}$ returned, however, without having accomplished anything noteworthy.
(4) About this time news came that Tigranes, king of Armenia, ${ }^{b}$ with an army of three hundred thousand ${ }^{c}$ men had invaded Syria and was coming against Judaea. ${ }^{d}$ This naturally frightened the queen and her people. And so they sent many valuable gifts and enroys to him as he was besieging Ptolemais. For Queen Selene, also called Cleopatra, ${ }^{e}$ was then ruling over Syria and she induced the inhabitants to shut their gates against Tigranes. The envoys therefore met with him and asked him to grant favourable terms to the queen and her people. Thereupon he commended them for coming so great a distance to do homage to him, and gave them reason to hope for the best. But hardly had Ptolemais been captured when news came to Tigranes that Lucullus, ${ }^{f}$ who was pursuing Mithridates, ${ }^{g}$ had failed to catch him, as he had fled to the Iberians, ${ }^{h}$ and had therefore ravaged
a One ms. " they."

- The variant omits " king of Armenia."
c Variant "five hundred thousand."
${ }^{d}$ Tigranes first invaded Syria in 83 b.c. and held a large part of the country until he was finally driven out by the Romans in 69 в.с.
e Daughter of Ptolemy Physcon and Cleopatra 111: she was married successively to Ptolemy Soter, Antiochus Grypus, Antiochus Cyzicenus and Antiochus Eusebes; cf. Strabo xvi. 749, Appian, Syr. 69 and Bevan, HI. Sel. ii. 304.
${ }^{1}$ Lucius Licinius Lucullus, Roman consul in 74 b.c. and commander of the army in the east during the next five years.
- Nithridates VI Eupator, king of Pontus 115 to 63 b.c., one of liome's most formidable adversaries.
${ }^{n}$ In the Caucasus. According to Appian, Mithr. 83, and other sources, Mithridates fled to Tiyranes in Armenia, after his defeat by Lucullus at Cabcira in 72 в.с.
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 $424 \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o v \tau o s ~ \delta \iota a \delta \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ aj $\rho \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ \hat{v}$. $\xi v \nu \eta \eta^{\delta} \delta \epsilon \iota$ Sє̀ $\dot{\eta} \gamma v \nu \eta ̀ ~ \mu o ́ v \eta ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota, ~ \hat{\eta} \nu ~ к а \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ \theta \iota ~$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta ิ s, \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \hat{\alpha} s$. каi $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о \nu$ àфєко́ $\mu \in \nu$ оs єis









${ }_{2}$ то́тє ex Lat. ins. Herwerden.
 ${ }^{4}$ Гadaiorचs ed. pr.: Galestis I at.
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Armenia and was besieging (the capital). ${ }^{a}$ And when Tiguanes learned of this, he withdrew to his own country.
(5) ${ }^{b}$ Some time after this the queen was strieken Alexandra by a serious illness, whereupon Aristobulus deeided $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phd the } \\ & \text { Phisees }\end{aligned}$ to make an attempt to seize power, and slipped are alarmed away by night with one of his servants, and went to the fortresses where his father's friends had been stationed. For while he had long resented the things his mother was doing, he was just then especi- power. ally fearful that on her death their whole family might come under the rule of the Pharisees, for he saw the incapacity of his brother, who was destined to sueceed to the throne. The only one informed of his deed was his wife, whom he had left in the city with their children. ${ }^{c}$ And he first came to Agaba, ${ }^{d}$ where he found Palaestes, ${ }^{e}$ one of the leading men, and was given shelter by him. Now on the next day the queen became aware of Aristobulus' flight, and for a time she believed that his departure was not for the purpose of beginning a revolt. But when suecessive messengers came to report that he had captured the first fortress, and after that the second, and after that all of them-for when once the first had made a beginning they all hastened

- The following sections, to the end of the book, have partial parallels in I.J. i. 117-119.
${ }^{c}$ Two sons and two daughters, of. Ant. xiv. 79.
"Lat. "Gahatha." Reinach hesitantly suggests" Gaha," a place near Carmel. Perhaps we should read " Ragaba," the fortress captured by Alexander and Alexandra in Transjordan, cf. $\$ 8398,405$. This region seems to be indicated as Aristobulus' first base of operations by the later battle at Jericho, Ant. xiv. $4(=13 . J$. i. 120).
e Ed. pr. and Lat. "Galestes," cf. W'. Otto, AB. 1 II , N.F. 17 (1938), 36-39.
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 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \chi \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma v \nu a \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \in \chi \theta \eta,{ }^{3}$ à ${ }^{\prime}$ ề $\delta \dot{\eta}$ каі ко́б $\mu$ оs









 $\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ' $\tau o ̀ v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ ' A \rho ı \sigma \tau o ́-~$


 $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ - $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ т̀̀v кivঠuvov ov̉ $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$


${ }^{2}{ }_{\tau \in} \mathrm{P}$ : onl. rell.



- Naber: $\delta$ é codil.



[^161]to submit to his will-, then at last both the queen and her people were in the greatest dismay. For they knew that Aristobulus was not far from being able to seize the throne for himself, and they were very much afraid that he might exact satisfaction for the excesses which they had practised on his house. They therefore decided to place his wife and children in the fortress overlooking the temple. ${ }^{a}$ And Aristobulus received such large contributions from many sources ${ }^{b}$ that there was already a veritable royal train about him. For in barely fifteen days he had occupied twenty-two fortresses, and obtaining resources from these, he gathered an army from Lebanon, Trachonitis and the local princes. These men readily submitted to him, being drawn to the stronger side, and at the same time believing that if they aided Aristobulus they could exploit his kingdom no less than those who were closely related to him, ${ }^{c}$ on the ground that they had been the means of his eonquering it. Meanwhile the elders of the Jews and Hyreanus went to the queen and begged her to give them some counsel about the present situation. For, they said, Aristobulus was already master of almost the entire country by virtue of having occupied so many fortresses; but it was not their place, however seriously ill she might be, to make plans by themselves while she was still alive; and yet the danger was not at all far off. ${ }^{d}$ Thereupon she told them to do whatever they thought expedient,
> - Text slightly uncertain.
> " Or " no less than the lands acquired by them," $c f$. above, § 319 ; text slightly emended ; most sss. have " those not expected," which is meaningless.
> ${ }^{d}$ This last clause is Thucydidean, $c f$. Thuc. iv. 34. 3 and vi. 91.3 ; it has a parallel in Ant. xvii. 5.
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 431 тò $\gamma$ à $\pi \alpha \rho o ̀ v ~ к \rho \epsilon i ̂ \tau \tau o v ~ a ̀ \xi ı o ̂ \sigma a ~ \tau o ̂ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda l o v \tau o s ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~$

 єis $\gamma$ о仑̂v то仑̂тo $\tau \hat{̣}$ оїк $\dot{\alpha} \tau \cup \chi$ ias $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu, \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ кıvঠঠ́v$\nu \nu \nu \kappa \alpha i$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v o s ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ a v ̉ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu ~ \pi \rho o \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a, ~{ }^{5}$



${ }^{3}$ áєi $\left.\pi \tau \alpha \iota o ́ v \tau \omega \nu\right]$ Єंv áкцท̂ $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ coni. Havercamp.


 $\mu o ́ v \omega \nu$ I‘LW: $\pi \rho \circ \eta \gamma o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ V : potentium amicorum gubernatione Lat.
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saying that there were many resources left to them, namely a nation in a sound condition, an army, and money in the various treasuries. As for herself, she was no longer greatly concerned about affairs of state, as her physieal strength was almost spent.
(6) Not long after she had spoken these words, The death she died, having reigned nine years ${ }^{a}$ and having lived thid charac-. seventy-three years in all. She was a woman who alexandra. showed none of the weakness of her sex ${ }^{b}$ : for being one of those inordinately desirous of the power to rule, she showed by her deeds the ability to earry out her plans, and at the same time she exposed the folly of those men who continually fail to maintain sovereign power. ${ }^{c}$ For she valued the present more than the future, and making everything else secondary to absolute rule, ${ }^{d}$ she had, on account of this, no consideration for either decency or justice. At least matters turned out so unfortunately for her house that the sovereign power whieh it $e^{e}$ had aequired in the face of the greatest dangers and difficulties was not long afterward taken from it beeause of her desire for things unbecoming a woman, and beeause she expressed the same opinions as did those ${ }^{f}$ who were hostile to her family, and also because she left the kingdom without anyone who had their interests at heart. ${ }^{9}$ And even after her death she caused the
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 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau а \cup ́ \tau \eta \nu \mu о \nu \beta i \beta \lambda \omega$ add. P'.
palace to be filled with misfortunes and disturbances which arose from the public measures taken during her lifetime. Nevertheless, in spite of reigning in this manner, she had kept the nation at peace. Such, then, was the end of Alexandra. ${ }^{a}$

- One ms. (P) adds, "In the following book I shall proceed to relate what befell her sons Aristobulus and Hyrcanus after her death."


## BIBAION I $\triangle$

(i. 1) T $\mathrm{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta a \sigma i ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$




 iotopíà каì тウ̀v $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ar $\gamma \nu o o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ тoîs $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha$ ar $\oint \chi \alpha \circ o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu^{2}$







 aùтoîs $\mu \epsilon$ є́ $\lambda$ дovaıv $\pi \rho о \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha s .{ }^{6}$
${ }^{1} \pi \rho о \theta v \mu о и ́ \mu \epsilon v o \iota \mathrm{PF}^{1}$.
${ }^{2} \delta_{\epsilon \hat{i}} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ I' Lat. : $\delta i \not ̃ \mu \epsilon \nu$ well.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}: \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a s ~ r e l l . ~$ ${ }^{4}+\delta \epsilon i$ FLJW et Lat. vide. : $+\delta \epsilon i v$ AM.
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## BOOK XIV

(i. 1) Having related the history of Queen Alexandra Introducand her death in the preceding book, we shall now speak of the events that followed immediately thereafter, keeping in mind one thing above all else, which is not to omit anything whether through ignorance or fault of memory. For while the relation and recording of events that are unknown to most people because of their antiquity require charm of exposition, such as is imparted by the choice of words and their proper arrangement and by whatever else contributes elegance to the narrative, in order that readers may receive such information with a certain degree of gratification and pleasure, nevertheless what historians should make their chief aim is to be accurate and hold everything else of less importance than speaking ${ }^{a}$ the truth to those who must rely upon them in matters of which they themselves have no knowledge. ${ }^{b}$
with Book XIV Josephus begins to use Nicolas of Damascus as his chief source (continuing to Ant. xvii. 206), but it should be noted that Josephins has frecly drawn on Nicolas in the preceding book as well, as some of the notes indicate ; see also the Appendix on sources in the last volume of this translation. The reader may also be referred to the detaiked but often speculative study of the parallelism between $\mathrm{A} u$. xiv. and B.J. i. by K. Laqueur in Der judische Historiker Flavius Josephus, 1920, pp. 128-221.
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ่ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' A \rho ı \sigma \tau o ́ ß o v \lambda o s, ~ к а i ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta s ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\gamma \in \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$ т $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ ' $\mathrm{I} \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \iota$ то入入oò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega$ $5 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ av่тov̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ́ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v ~ a v ่ \tau o \mu o \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \iota v . ~ o \hat{v}$
 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \theta a$ бvvє́ßaıvє катєî$\chi \chi \theta a \iota^{2} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \beta$ oúخov $\gamma v$ vaîка каi тоùs $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ u ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ s, ~$

 $6 \pi \rho о \sigma \beta a \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$. ${ }^{4}$ каi $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$




 deरıє $\rho \omega \sigma$ vivas fell., om. Lat.
${ }^{2}$ кратєíctal LANHVE marg.
${ }^{3}$ post $\pi \rho о \epsilon ө \emptyset \eta^{\prime} \kappa а \mu \epsilon \nu$ lacunam statuit Niese.

${ }^{5}$ toútous Hudson.
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(2) ${ }^{a}$ Now when Hyrcanus assumed royal power, ${ }^{b}$ in Hyrcanus II the third year of the hundred and seventy-seventh and aristoOlympiad, the Roman consuls being Quintus Hor- make an tensius and Quintus Metellus, the same who was $\begin{gathered}\text { agreemen } \\ \text { whereby }\end{gathered}$ surnamed Creticus, ${ }^{c}$ Aristobulus promptly declared Aristobulus war on him, and in the battle which he fought near king. Jericho many of the soldiers of Hyrcanus deserted to his brother. Upon this he fled to the citadel, where Aristobulus' wife and children had been confined by his mother, as we have said before. ${ }^{d}$ And those of the opposite faction who had taken refuge in the precincts of the temple he attacked and seized.e And after proposing to his brother that they come to an agreement, he ended hostilities on the terms that Aristobulus should be king, while he himself should live without taking part in public affairs, and be undisturbed in the enjoyment of the possessions that he then had. ${ }^{f}$ This pact they made under the auspices 6 months, and in Ant. xv. 180 that after Alexandra's death Hyrcanus was king 3 months; this makes a total of 3 years and 9 months for the two reigns; reckoning back from 63 в.c., when Pompey ended Aristobulus's reign, we arrive at 67 в.c. for the accession of Hyrcanus. Schürer, i. 256 n .1 , is probably right in assuming that Josephus has here relied on a chronological hand-book and has given a date inconsistent with native tradition.
${ }^{d}$ Ant. xiii. $4 \geq 6$.

- B.J. i. 120 says more concretely that Hyrcanus seized Aristobulus' wife and children as hostages.
${ }^{\prime}$ Josephus here and in the parallel, B.J. i. 121, does not make it clear whether Hyrcanus gave up the high priesthood as well as the throne to Aristobulus, though the language in both passages (especially Ant. xiv. 7) suggests that he did. However, in Ant. xiv. 41, 97 and xx. 243-244 Josephus says plainly that Aristobulus became high priest in place of Hyrcanus. There is therefore no reason to assume, as some scholars do, that IIyrcanus continued as high priest between 67 and 63 в.c. See further A. Schalit, BJPOS 6 (1939), 145-143.
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 9 pis $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' T ’ \rho к а \nu o ̀ v ~ \epsilon u ̉ v o ı a \nu . ~ N ı к o ́ \lambda a o s ~$







 каi $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ रvvaıкòs aùтov̂ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ v ~ a ̀ \pi o \delta \epsilon \iota \xi a ́ v \tau \omega 1>$

${ }^{1}$ тuүхáver om. FLAMI'WE.
${ }^{2}+\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mathrm{P}$.
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of the temple, and after confirming their agreement by oaths and pledges and embracing one another in the sight of all the people, they withdrew, Aristobulus to the palace, and Hyrcanus, as one who was now a private citizen, ${ }^{a}$ to the house of Aristobulus.
(3) But there was a certain friend of Hyreanus, an Idumaean called Antipater, who, having a large fortune and being by nature a man of action and a trouble-maker, was unfriendly to Aristobulus and quarrelled with him because of his friendliness toward Hyrcanus. Nicolas of Damascus, to be sure, says that his family belonged to the leading Jews who came to Judaea from Babylon. ${ }^{b}$ But he says this in order to please Antipater's son Herod, who became king of the Jews by a certain turn of fortune, as we shall relate in the proper place. ${ }^{c}$ This Antipater, it seems, was first called Antipas, which was also the name of his father, ${ }^{d}$ whom King Alexander ${ }^{e}$ and his wife appointed governor of the whole of Idumaea, and they say that he made friends of the neighbouring
carried off by Idumaean robbers as a boy; see further next note.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ In Ant. xv. In B.J. i. 123 Josephus says merely tha Antipater was an Idumaean by race and that " his ancestry, wealth and other advantages put him in the front rank of his nation." For a discussion of Josephus' varying attitude toward the father of Herod the Great and his apologist, Nicolas of Damascus, see Schürer i. 292 n. 3, Laqueur, pp. 136-139, Otto, Her. pp. 15, 17-18 and Jacoby, FGH ii. 381 and Commentary to fr. 96, p. 255 . The latter argues that Nicolas really did invent a Babylonian Jewish ancestry for Herod, and that Hölscher, in $l^{\prime} l \mid$ ix. 1971 f., is wrong in believing that a Jewish polemicist has falsified Nicolas' account.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ According to Julius Africanns (see above, note $b$ ), Antipater's father was named Herod.

- Jannaeus.
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 ка入шvíтas $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota$ ，то入入aîs aủtoùs каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a \iota s$


 $\mu \hat{\iota} \sigma o s, ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \sigma v \nu \iota \sigma \tau a ̣ ̂ ~ \kappa а \tau ’ ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ к \rho u ́ \phi a ~ \delta ı a \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о S ~$















 ф оóv $\eta \mu$ а．

 خ̀нє́pas $\pi \lambda a \tau \tau о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs каi $\delta \iota a \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ то̀̀s aủтòv

$$
{ }^{1} \tau \in \text { PFV. } \quad{ }^{2} \text { Niese: aủ } \tau \grave{v} \text { codd. E. }
$$

Arabs and Gazaeans and Ascalonites, and completely won them over by many large gifts. Now the younger Antipater looked jealously on Aristobulus' power, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and fearing that he might suffer harm because of his hatred for him, he stirred up the powerful ${ }^{a}$ Jews against him in secret conversations, saying that it was wrong to ignore the fact that Aristobulus wrongly held royal power and had driven his brother from the throne although he was the elder, and now occupied it though it belonged to the other by right of seniority. These were the arguments he unceasingly continued to address to Hyrcanus, adding that he was in danger of losing his life unless he insured his safety by taking himself out of his way. ${ }^{b}$ For Aristobulus' friends, he said, were losing no opportunity of advising him to do away with Hyrcanus, as he would then hold power securely. But Hyrcanus gave no credence to these words, for he was naturally a decent man and because of his kindliness did not readily listen to slander. But his ineffectualness ${ }^{c}$ and weakness of will made him scem ignoble and unmanly to those who observed him. Aristobulus, however, was of the opposite nature, being a man of action ${ }^{c}$ and alert spirit.
(4) ${ }^{d}$ And so when Antipater saw that Hyrcanus was paying no attention to what he said, he did not let a day go by without bringing false charges against Aristobulus before him, and slandering him by saying
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 'Араßía, каi $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega т о \nu ~ ' Т \rho к а \nu o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~}$

 $16 \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v$. 入aß̀̀v סè $\tau$ às $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota s$ oo 'A vтímaтроs










 âs 'A $\lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s ~ o ́ ~ \pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho ~ a u ̀ \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau \omega ि v ' ~ ' A \rho a ́ \beta \omega \nu ~$
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that he wished to kill Hyrcanus ; and by dint of constant pressure he persuaded him to take his advice and flee to Aretas, ${ }^{a}$ the Arab king, promising that if he followed his advice, he too would be his ally. When Hyrcanus heard that this would be to his advantage, he was ready to flee to Aretas, for Arabia borders on Judaea. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ However, he first sent Antipater to the Arab king to receive sworn assurances that if he came to him as a suppliant, Aretas would not deliver him up to his enemies. When Antipater had received these sworn assurances, he returned to Hyrcanus at Jerusalem ; and not long afterward he slipped out of the city by night, taking Hyrcanus with him, and after travelling a great distance, ${ }^{c}$ brought him to the city called Petra, where the palace of Aretas was. Being a very good friend of the king, he urged him to bring Hyrcanus back to Judaea; and as he did this every day without intermission and offered him gifts in addition, he finally persuaded Aretas. ${ }^{d}$ Moreover Hyrcanus also promised him that if he were restored and received his throne, he would return to him the territory and the twelve cities which his father Alexander had taken from the Arabs.e These were Medaba, ${ }^{f}$ Libba, ${ }^{g}$ Dabaloth, ${ }^{h}$ Ara-
city see G. Robinson, The Sarcophagus of an Ancient Civilization, 1930.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Antipater also used flattery, according to B.J. i. 124-126.
e Some of the cities (which are not mentioned in B.J.) had been captured by Hyrcanus I. The correct forms of some of the names are very doubtful ; cf. the list in Ant. xiii. 395.
' Cf. Ant. xiii. 255.

- Variants " Libanthra," "Livias."
${ }^{n}$ Conjectured, by Abel, G1' ii. 148, for as. "Nabaloth," "Naballo"; it is prob. hibl. Beth Diblathaim, mod. Deleilât, c. 5 miles N゙.E. of Libba.

 19 （ii．1）Tov́т $\omega \nu$ av̉т $\hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vimoo $\chi \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu ~ \gamma \in \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' T \rho к а \nu o ̀ v ~ a v ̀ \tau о \mu о \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v ~ \mu о \nu \omega \theta є i s ~ o ̀ ~ ' A \rho t-~$
 ＇A $\rho \dot{\beta} \beta \omega \nu$ ßacı入є̀̀s $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma a \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu$ каі $\pi \rho о \sigma \beta a \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ iєр̣̣̂ тòv＇A











${ }^{2}{ }^{~ ' P a \beta a \theta a ́ ~} \mathrm{~F}$＇：Bap $\beta a \theta a \mathrm{~L}$ ：Єapáßa日a $\mathrm{A}^{1} \mathrm{M}^{1}$ ：Єapaßa⿱à V： Єараßа日д̀ W．


 L：＇Op⿳亠凶禸 $\Lambda \iota \gamma \mu \dot{\omega}(\nu)$ Mápı（ $\sigma$ ）$\sigma a$ rell．

6 ＂Opvßa LAMVW．
${ }^{7}$＇I $\delta a \nu \mu a i \omega \nu$ P．${ }^{8} \pi a ́ \sigma \chi \alpha$ PF¹E．
a Variants＂Rabatha，＂＂liarbatha，＂＂Tharabatha＂；it is bibl．Rabbath Moab，mod．Rabba，c． 15 miles E．of the Dead Sea and S．of its centre．
－Variant＂Galan＂；cf．Ant．xiii． 397 note $t$.
batha, ${ }^{a}$ Agalla, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Athone, ${ }^{c}$ Zoara, ${ }^{d}$ Oronain, ${ }^{e}$ Gobolis, ${ }^{\text {P }}$ Arydda, ${ }^{g}$ Alusa ${ }^{h}$ and Orybda. ${ }^{i}$
(ii. 1) Because of these promises which were made to him, Aretas marched against Aristobulus with an army of fifty thousand horsemen and footsoldiers as well, ${ }^{j}$ and defeated him in battle. After his victory many deserted to Hyrcanus, and Aristobulus, being left alone, fled to Jerusalem. Thereupon the Arab king took his whole army and attacked the temple, where he besieged Aristobulus; and the citizens, joining Hyrcanus' side, assisted him in the siege, while only the priests remained loyal to Aristobulus. And so Aretas placed the camps of the Arabs and Jews ${ }^{k}$ next to one another, and pressed the siege vigorously. But as this action took place at the time of observing the festival of Unleavened Bread, which we call Phaska, ${ }^{\text {l }}$ the Jews of best repute left the country and fled to Egypt. Now there was a certain Onias, ${ }^{m}$ who, being a righteous man and dear to God,

Aretas and the partisans of Hyrcanus besiege Aristobulus in the temple during Passover.

The saintly
Onias prays for peace but is stoned to death.
"Variant "Thone" ; cf. Ant. xiii. 397 note $u$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf. Ant. xiii. 397 note $v$.
e The following three names are restored by Niese. On Oronain cf. Ant. xiii. 397 note $s$.
$f$ According to $A$ bel, GP ii. 148, this is Gabalos, mod. elJebalin, c. 6 miles E. of Zoara ; cf. also Ant. ii. 6 note o.

- The mss. have "Sarydda," " Rydda," " Marisa." Abel locates Arydda near mod. Naqb el- Arüd in the Negeb.
n Variant "Lus(s)a." Elusa, mod. Khalasu, was an important city of the Negeb in Roman and Byzantine times.
i Variant "Oryba." $\Lambda$ bel, GP ii. 148, suggests mod. - Abda, c. 20 miles S.E. of Elusa.
; B.J. i. 126, " fifty thousand, both horsemen and footsoldiers." k Variant "Idumaeans."
- Variant "Pascha," cf. Ant. ix. 263 note a. This Passover must have fallen in $\Lambda$ pril 65 n.c., see helow, $\S 25$.
${ }^{m}$ For the rabbinic parallel to the following story of Onias see Derenbourg, pp. 112-113.


## JOSEPHUS






 'Apıoтoßov́خov каi тิ̂v $\sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\nu} \nu^{1}$ av่тov̂.

 $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö $\lambda \omega \nu$, $\epsilon \in \pi \epsilon i$ oi $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \not \epsilon \circ \hat{v} \nu v \bar{v} \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$



 $\sigma \tau a ́ v \tau \epsilon S$ оі $\pi о \nu \eta \rho \circ i$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ 'lov $\alpha a i \not \omega \nu$ катє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma a \nu$.
25 (2) 'O $\delta \epsilon ̀$ $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s ~ a v ่ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \chi \rho \eta ิ \mu a ~ \epsilon ́ \tau \iota-~$









 Өú $\mu \omega$ s ö $\tau \epsilon$ ' $\lambda \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \beta o v \lambda o s ~ к а i ~ o i ~ i є р є i s ~ v i \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu, ~$


${ }^{1}$ aтратьт $\omega \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{L} \backslash \mathrm{M}$.
${ }^{a}$ This second mention of I'assover ( $c f . \S \preceq 1$ ) and the two 460
had once in a rainless period prayed to God to end the drought, and God had heard his prayer and sent rain ; this man hid himself when he saw that the civil war continued to rage, but he was taken to the camp of the Jews and was asked to place a curse on Aristobulus and his fellow-rebels, just as he had, by his prayers, put an end to the rainless period. But when in spite of his refusals and excuses he was forced to speak by the mob, he stood up in their midst and said, " O God, king of the universe, since these men standing beside me are Thy people, and those who are besieged are Thy priests, I beseech Thee not to hearken to them against these men nor to bring to pass what these men ask Thee to do to those others." And when he had prayed in this manner the villains among the Jews who stood round him stoned him to death.
(2) But God straightway punished them for this savagery, and exacted satisfaction for the murder of Onias in the following manner. While the priests and Aristobulus were being besieged, there happened to come round the festival called Phaska, at which it is our custom to offer numerous sacrifices to God. ${ }^{a}$ But as Aristobulus and those with him lacked victims, they asked their countrymen to furnish them with these, and take as much money for the rictims as they wished. And when these others demanded that they pay a thousand drachmas for each animal they wished to get, Aristobulus and the pricsts willingly accepted this price and gave them the money, which they let down from the walls by a rope. Their
different reasons given, in $\$ 525$ and 28 , for the divine visitation show that Josephus has been careless in combining his sources.
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 $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \rho а к о ́ \sigma \iota a ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \alpha ́ \lambda a \nu \tau \alpha$, то仑 $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ' T'ркаขо仑̂
 31 'Aрıбтоßои́\ov viтóб $\chi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$. каì үà $\rho$ єüтороs хр $\eta$ $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi$ оs $\hat{\eta}^{\nu}$ каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ท̉ $\xi i o v$


> 1 A : aủroîs rell. E.
> ${ }_{2}$ каi om. P. ${ }_{3}$ رèv om. I'.

[^168]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 27-31

countrymen, however, after receiving the money did not deliver the victims, but went to such lengths of villainy that they violated their pledges and acted impiously toward God by not furnishing the sacrificial victims to those who were in need of them. ${ }^{a}$ But the priests, on suffering this breach of faith, prayed to God to exact satisfaction on their behalf from their countrymen ; and He did not delay their punishment, but sent a mighty and violent wind to destroy the crops of the entire country, ${ }^{b}$ so that people at that time had to pay eleven drachmas for a modius of wheat.c ${ }^{c}$
(3) ${ }^{d}$ Meanwhile Pompey sent Scaurus also ${ }^{e}$ to Syria, as he himself was in Armenia, still making war on Tigranes. ${ }^{f}$ And when Scaurus came to Damascus, he found that Lollius and Metellus had just taken the city, and so he hurried on to Judaea. On his arrival enroys came to him from both Aristobulus and Hyrcanus, each of whom asked him to come to his aid. Aristobulus offered to give him four hundred ${ }^{g}$ talents; and though Hyrcanus promised him no less a sum, he accepted the offer of Aristobulus, for he was both wealthy and generous and asked for more moderate terms, whereas Hyrcanus was poor and

[^169]
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 32 тои́тн тоúvv $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \in \nu$ os $\delta \iota a ̀ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a s$


 $\Delta а \mu а \sigma \kappa o ̀ v ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \epsilon \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，＇Apıбтóßov入os $\delta є$＇
 каvòv Є’ $\sigma \tau р \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon$ ，каi $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ av่тоîs $\pi \epsilon \rho i^{1}$ тòv

 каi Фа入入ícv ${ }^{3}$ on ’Avтıта́троv ar $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ́ s . ~$



 ＇A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \beta o v \lambda o s, ~ a ̈ \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda о \nu ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\eta} \nu$ є́к $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$ $35 \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu . \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v} \delta \omega ́ \rho о v \kappa \alpha i \Sigma \tau \rho a ́ \beta \omega \nu$
 Aiүúттоv $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon i ́ a$ каi $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi \alpha \nu o s ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \omega ̂ \nu ~$


[^170] Lat．
a B．．J．i． 128 has＂Three hundred talents offered by A ristobulus outweighed considerations of justice．＂Laqueur． pp．143－145，attributes the more favourable attitude toward Aristobulus and the criticism of Hyrcanus in Ant．to Josephus＇later anti－Herodian bias．
${ }^{b}$ Schlatter，p． 393 n .1 ，conjectures that this place，other－ wise unknown，was somewhere near Jericho．

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 31-35

niggardly and held out untrustworthy promises for greater concessions. ${ }^{a}$ Nor was it as easy to take by foree a city which was among the most strongly fortified and powerful, as to drive out some fugitives together with the host of Nabataeans, who were not well fitted for warfare. And so he took Aristobulus' side for the reasons mentioned above, and accepting the money, put an end to the siege by commanding Aretas to withdraw or else be declared an enemy of the Romans. Then Scaurus again withdrew to Damascus, while Aristobulus with a large foree marched against Aretas and Hyrcanus, and on engaging them at a place called Papyron, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ defeated them in battle and killed some six thousand of the enemy, among the fallen being Phallion, ${ }^{c}$ the brother of Antipater.
(iii. 1) When Pompey not long afterward came to Damascus and was advancing into Coele-Syria, ${ }^{d}$ there came to him envoys from all of Syria and Egypt and Judaca. Aristobulus, for example, sent him a fine gift, which was a golden vine worth five hundred talents. This gift is also mentioned by Strabo of Cappadoeia in the following words. "There also came from Egypt an embassy and a crown worth four thousand pieces of gold, and from Judaea
c Variant "Cephalion." He is not mentioned elsewhere, except in B.J., which has "Phallion."
d Note that $\$ 834$ - 36 refer to the spring of 63 b.c., while §8 37 ff . (also fromı Strabo) go back to the autumn of 64 в.c. Josephus has again been careless in combining his new source, Strabo, with his earlier source in B.J., namely Nieolas of Damascus. Pompey's relations with the Jewish factions are also described in Diodorus xl. 2. Cf. further Laqueur, pp. 145-148, who conjectures that the common source of Strabo and Diodorus was Theophanes of Mytilene, the friend and biographer of Pompey.

## JOSEPHUS

 3fi тоиิто $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau о \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \widehat{\omega} \rho о \nu ~ i \sigma т о р \eta ́ к а \mu \epsilon \nu ~ к а i ~ ท \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~$


 кобícuv та入ávт $\omega \nu$. 'Apıбтóßou入ov $\mu$ èv ô̂v тоиิто

37 (2) М $\epsilon \tau$ ’ oủ $\pi о \lambda \grave{v} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \hat{\eta} \kappa о \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$









$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1' Apıa ookoúdov E: Aristoboli filii Alexandri Lat. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{3}$ Nicomedes Lat.
${ }^{4}$ §єv́тєpov LAMW.
${ }^{5}$ ad Damascum venire Lat.

[^171]
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either a vine or garden; terpōle (delight) ${ }^{a}$ is what they called this work of art. Moreover we ourselves ${ }^{b}$ have examined this gift, which has been set up in the temple of Jupiter Capitolinus at Rome, and has an inscription reading, "From Alexander, ${ }^{c}$ the king of the Jews.' It was valued at five hundred talents. And it is said to have been sent by Aristobulus, the ruler of the Jews."
(2) And not long afterward ${ }^{d}$ envoys again came to him, Antipater on behalf of Hyrcanus, and Nicodemus ${ }^{e}$ on behalf of Aristobulus: the latter, indeed, also accused Gabinius ${ }^{f}$ and Scaurus of taking money from him, Gabinius first getting three hundred talents, and Scaurus later four hundred talents ; and so Aristobulus made these men his enemies in addition to the others he had. Pompey thereupon told the disputants to come to him, ${ }^{g}$ and at the beginning of spring, took his force from their winter quarters, and set out for the region of Damascus.
certain, so far as Nicolas is concerned, it must be admitted that it is more in the style of Strabo. For these reasons I also have assumed that " we ourselves " means Strabo.
" The Epitome has "Aristobulus," Lat. has "Aristobulus, son of Alexander ": these are probably scribal corrections. It is quite possible that Aristobulus presented a gift with the name of his father Alexander (Jannaens) inseribed on it, the gift having originally been meant for presentation by Alexander. One may also render the Gr. here, "having an inscription of Alexander, the king of the Jews," i.e. Alexander's name may not have appeared as that of the donor. See Reinach, Textes, p. 93 n. 3.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ In the autumn of 64 в.с. (see $\S 34$ note $d$ ), when I'ompey was at $\Lambda$ spis (exact location unknown) in Syria, according to Dio Cassius xxxvii. 7.
e Lat. "Nicomedes."
${ }^{\prime}$ Gabinius has not been mentioned before; cf. $\S 29$ note e.

- Lat. " to come to Damascus."


## JOSEPHUS


 39 'Avтioxos, каi т $̀ \nu$ Пто入єцаíov той Mevvaiov








 $\pi \rho о \sigma а \gamma о \rho є v о \mu є ́ v \eta \nu ~ \Sigma u \rho i ́ a \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta s^{3}$ єis










1 Dindorf: катєขó $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ codd. E.
${ }^{2}$ є̀ $\lambda a r t o \nu$ Niese cum F ..

${ }^{4}$ каi тò . . . $\left.\dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu\right]$ in medio positum montem ascendit et ad inferiorem Syriam Damascumque pervenit Lat.

[^172]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 38-42

And on the way he demolished the citadel at Apamea, which Antiochus Cyzicenus had built, and he also derastated ${ }^{a}$ the territory of Ptolemy, the son of Mennacus, ${ }^{b}$ a worthless fellow, no less than was Dionysius ${ }^{c}$ of Tripolis, a relative of his by marriage, who was beheaded; but Ptolemy escaped punishment for his sins by paying a thousand talents, with which Pompey paid the wages of his soldiers. He also destroyed the fortress of Lysias, ${ }^{d}$ of which the Jew Silas was lord. And passing the cities of Heliopolis and Chalcis, he crossed the mountain that divides the region called Coele-Syria from the rest of Syria, e and came to Damascus. Here he heard the case of the Jews and their leaders, Hyreanus and Aristobulus, who were quarrelling with one another, while the nation was against them both and asked not to be ruled by a king, saying that it was the custom of their country to obey the priests of the God who was venerated by them, but that these two, who were descended from the priests, were seeking to change their form of government in order that they might become a nation of slaves. As for Hyrcanus Hyreanus, he charged that though he was the elder and Aristobulus accuse each
tioned on a coin of A. Plautius, aedile in 54 b.c., as suggented other before by Reinach, ap. Schïrer i. 295 n. 1 t.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Exact site unknown, but somewhere in the Lebanon region.
"The variant " I'clla" is excluded by the context. Pompey marched from N. to S.-Apamea to Heliopolis to Chaleis to Damasens. The distinction between CoeleSyria = l'alestine and Transjordan and the rest of Syria = Northern Syria is also made in $\S 79$ (if we accept Niese's reading) and Philo, Leg. ad Gaium 36, as earlier commentators have pointed ont. 'The mountain referred to is Anti-Lebanon. For Talmudic reference to this mountainons country see Derenhourg, p. 100 n .
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 каi тàs ко́наs каi тà фádара каi тò̀ ă入入о⿱


46 （3）Поцтท́ıos $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тои́т $\omega v$ àкои́баs каі катаүvoùs ＇Apıoтoßov́خov ßíav，тóтє $\mu \grave{v} v$ aủzov̀s à $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon$
 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \iota \nu, \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha,{ }^{4} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mathrm{~N} \alpha \beta \alpha-$


```
1 tis P.
```

${ }^{2}$ non tantum pro regni ambitione quantum metu Lat．
${ }^{3}$ ข́тє́кєчขто FLいW。
${ }^{4}+\kappa а \lambda \omega \hat{s}$ L．$\$ MW．

[^173]
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brother, he had been deprived of his rights as firstborn by Aristobulus, and that he had but a small part of the country under his rule, ${ }^{a}$ while Aristobulus had the rest, which he had taken by forcc. He also denounced him as the one who had instigated the raids against neighbouring peoples and the acts of piracy at sea, ${ }^{b}$ and added that the nation would not have rebelled against him if he had not been a man given to violence and disorder. In making these accusations he was supported by more than a thousand of the most reputable Jews, whom Antipater had provided for that purpose. Aristobulus, on the other hand, blamed Hyrcanus' fall from power on his own character, which was ineffectual and therefore invited contempt; as for himself, he said that he had of necessity taken over the royal power for fear ${ }^{c}$ that it might pass into the hands of others, and that his title was exactly the same as that of his father Alexander. He then called, as witnesses to these statements, some young swaggerers, who offensively displayed their purple robes, long hair, metal ornaments and other fincry, which they wore as if they were marching in a festive procession instead of pleading their canse. ${ }^{d}$
(3) When Pompey had heard these claims, he condemned Aristobulus for his violence, but for the moment dismissed the claimants with a courteons Aristobnlus speech, saying that he would settle all these matters $e \begin{gathered}\text { prepares } \\ \text { to resist. }\end{gathered}$ when he came to their country, after he had first seen how things were with the Nabatacans. Until the 1st century b.c., especially off the coast of Cilicia, and which l'ompey was appointed to suppress in 67 в.c.
"Lat. " not so much out of desire to reign as for fear."
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf. the description of Herod's appearance on a similar occation in § 173. "The variant ackls " satisfactorily."

## .JOSEPHUS

 $\chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu \dot{a} \pi о \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma \eta$ каi $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}^{1} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ \delta \omega \nu$.


 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'Inv









 $50 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ v . ~ o ́ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi a \rho a \iota \nu o u ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi o-$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̀ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}$, $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i ́ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$


${ }^{1}$ Niese: $\delta_{\iota}$ кклєь $\theta$ өín od. E.


${ }^{5}$ ais on (ob om. W') 'Apıaroßoúlou FLAMIWW Lat. vida.: є̈̈ш 'Apıatoßoúlou Gutschmid.

[^174]then he told them to keep the peace; at the same time he treated Aristobulus with deference for fear that he might ineite the country to rebellion and block his passage through it. This, however, was, as it happened, the very thing which Aristobulus did, for without waiting for any of the things to be done of which Pompey had spoken to him. he eame to the city of Dium, ${ }^{a}$ and from there set out for Judaea.
$( \pm)^{b}$ But Pompey, who was angered by this action, Pompey took the army that he had prepared against the Nabataeans, and the auxiliaries from Damaseus and the rest of Syria, as well as the Roman legions already at his disposal, and marched against Aristobulus. After passing through Pella and Scythopolis, he came to Coreae, ${ }^{c}$ which is the beginning of Judaea as one goes through the interior, and from there sent to Aristobulus, who had taken refuge in Alexandreion, ${ }^{d}$ a very beautifule stronghold situated on the top of a mountain, and commanded him to come to him. Thereupon Aristobulus, whom many of his men urged not to make war on the Romans, ${ }^{f}$ came down and after arguing with his brother about his right to the throne, again went up to the eitadel with Pompey's consent ; and this he did two or three times. for on
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 тои̂ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ката入v́єє аúтò ${ }^{3}$ каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$










54 （iv．1）इ $\tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon v \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i{ }^{\text {＇}} \mathrm{I} \epsilon \rho \imath \chi o u ̂ \nu \tau a$ ，
 $\beta a ́ \lambda \sigma \alpha \mu о \nu \quad \mu \nu ́ \rho \omega \nu$ àкро́татоv，ô $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta a ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu$






${ }^{1} \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Gutschmid．
${ }^{2} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ є́pú $\mu a \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$ 人MW Lat．
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ ：aữòv rell．${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}$ ：aủ $\frac{\text { ê rell．}}{}$
${ }^{5}$ post á $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{verba}$ モ́autòv à $\phi \epsilon \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ ex exidisse coni． Richards et shutt．
${ }^{6} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ モ̇к P E ：$\delta \iota a ̀$ rell．
＂Conjectured variant＂he flattered him（I＇ompey）because of the hope．＂
＂Variant＂strongholds．＂
＂It has been conjectured that the words＂depose him 474

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 51-55

the one hand he cherished the hope ${ }^{a}$ that he would obtain the kingdom from Pompey, and so feigned obedience to everything he commanded, and on the other hand, he retired to the stronghold ${ }^{b}$ in order not to weaken his force and to prepare for himself supplies for making war, as he feared that Pompey might transfer ${ }^{c}$ the royal power to Hyrcanus. Pompey, however, commanded him to deliver up his strongholds and give the orders therefor to his garrison conmanders in his own handwriting-for they had been forbidden to accept orders in any other form,and so he obeyed, but retired resentfully to Jerusalem and set about preparing for war. And not long afterward Pompey led his army against him ; and on the way there came to him messengers from Pontus, who informed him of the death of Mithridates at the hands of his son Pharnaces. ${ }^{d}$
(iv. 1) He then encamped near Jericho, ${ }^{e}$ where Aristobulus they cultivate the palm tree and opobalsamum, that fails to most excellent of ointments, which, when the shrubs arc cut with a sharp stone, oozes out like sap, and at dawn set out for Jerusalem. ${ }^{f}$ And Aristobulus, thinking better of his plan, ${ }^{g}$ came to Pompey and promis- arrest. ing to give him money and admit him into Jerusalem, begged him to stop the war and do as he liked peaceand " have fallen out before "transfer." Ant. is rather fuller on the subject of Aristobulus' motives than the parallel in $B$ B.J.
${ }^{d}$ In the spring of 63 b.c. Appian, Mithr. 111-112, says that Mithridates was killed, at his own request, by one of his bodyguard after his son's revolt.
e According to I3.J. i. 138 the news of Mithridates' death reached Pompey near Jericho.
' I full description of Jericho and its vegetation is given in J.J. iv. 459-175.
" He was " terrified," according to B.J. i. 139.

## JOSEPHUS



 $\tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \tau \epsilon \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega S$ à $\pi о \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i S$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ र $\rho \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha \mu \grave{\eta}$







 $\tau \in \rho \hat{\omega}$ т $\quad$ ávv $\tau \in \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \iota \sigma \mu \in ́ v o \nu$.
 ó


 $\phi \theta a ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ Sè ô̂́o九 тò iєpòv ката入ац阝ávova九，каi









[^176]a Conjectured variant＂partisans，＂which would agree more closely with B．J．i． 140 ．
476

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. $55-60$

ably. On his making this request Pompey pardoned him and sent Gabinius and some soldiers to get the money and take over the city. None of these promises was carried out, however, and Gabinius returned after being shut out of the eity and failing to receive the money ; for Aristobulus' soldiers ${ }^{a}$ had not permitted the agreement to be carried out. And ${ }^{b}$ Pompey, being seized with anger at this, placed Aristobulus under arrest, and himself went to the city, which was strongly fortified on all sides except on the north, where it was weak. For it is surrounded by a broad and deep ravine which takes in the temple, and this is very strongly proteeted by an encireling wall of stone. ${ }^{c}$
(2) But among the men within the city there was the dissension, for they were not of one mind concerning $\begin{gathered}\text { partisans of } \\ \text { Aristobulus }\end{gathered}$ their situation ; to some it seemed best to deliver in Jerusalem the eity to Pompey, while those who sympathized with Aristobulus urged that they shut Pompey out Pompey. and make war on him beeause he held Aristobulus prisoner. It was this party that made the first move and occupied the temple, and eutting the bridge that stretehes from it to the eity, ${ }^{d}$ prepared themselves for a siege. But those of the other faction admitted Pompey's army and handed over to him the city and the palace. Pompey thereupon sent his legate Piso with an army to guard the city and the palace, ${ }^{e}$ and fortified the houses adjoining the temple and the places round the temple outside. His first step was to
${ }^{b}$ §§ 57-79 have parallels in B.J. i. 141-158; on the parallelism see Laqueur, pp. 161-163.
c See the fuller description of Jerusalem and its natural divisions in B.J. v. 136-141.
d The Upper City, on the western hill.

- Of the Hasmonaeans, cf. B.J. ii. 34.
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \pi т а \nu \tau \alpha ~ ' T ' \rho к а \nu о 仑 ~ \pi \rho о \theta u ́ \mu \omega s ~ \dot{v} \pi \eta \rho є \tau о ข ิ \nu \tau о \varsigma . ~$
 $61 \rho \epsilon \iota \circ \nu$ тov́ $i \in \rho o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s$, ő $\theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \pi i \mu a \chi o \nu . ~ a ̀ \nu-$
 та́фроя тє о́ри́рикто каі ßаӨєía тєртєíXєто фа́-

 тò $\chi \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ óб $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \iota ~ \tau а \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega ́ \rho \omega s^{s}$ є̀ $\gamma \eta \gamma є \rho \tau о, \tau \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ v-$ $62 \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta{ }^{2} \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \xi$ ü $\lambda \eta \nu \quad$ ' $\mathrm{P} \omega \mu \alpha i \omega \nu \nu$. каi єं $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ тоиิт'





 тv́ттоขтаs ả $\mu v ́ v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta i ́ \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ o ́ ~ v o ́ \mu о s, ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota ~$ $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \Omega$ тov̀s $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu$ iovs ov̉к $\epsilon$ 'ạ.


${ }^{1}$ E: $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon к а \lambda \epsilon i \tau o ~ c o d d . ~$
${ }^{2}$ є่тєíरıбє FLAMVW.
${ }^{3}$ P Lat. : ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \theta \theta \epsilon$ rell. E: ${ }^{*} \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ Dindorf.
' $\epsilon \phi^{\prime}$ ov์ $\delta \dot{\eta} \mathrm{P}$ ': ' ' $\phi^{\prime}$ ov์ $\delta(\hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon$ rell.: in qua valle lat.
 нéroıs E .
${ }^{a}$ A Thucydidean phrase (Thuc. v. 76. 1). The parallel in B.J. i. I 44 has dóyoıs $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$. A variation of the Thucydidean phrase is $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ очц $\beta a \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$ in $A n t$. xviii. 102.
478

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 60-64

offer conciliatory terms ${ }^{a}$ to those within, but as they would not listen to his proposals, he fortified the surrounding places with walls, with Hyrcanus willingly assisting him in all ways. And at dawn ${ }^{b}$ Pompey pitched his camp on the north ${ }^{c}$ side of the temple, where it was open to attack. But even here stood great towers, ${ }^{d}$ and a trench had been dug, and the temple was surrounded by a deep ravine; for there was a steep slope on the side toward the city after the bridge was destroyed, and at this spot Pompey by great labour day by day had caused earthworks to be raised, for which the Romans cut down the timber round about. And when these were high enough, though the trench was filled up with difficulty because of its immense depth, he moved up and set in place the siege engines and instruments of war that had been brought from Tyre, and began to batter the temple with his catapults. But if it were not our national custom to rest on the Sabbath day, the earthworks would not have been finished, because the Jews would have prevented this; for the Law permits us to defend ourselves against those who begin a battle and strike us, but it does not allow us to fight against an enemy that does anything else. ${ }^{e}$
(3) ${ }^{f}$ Of this fact the Romans were well aware, and on those days which we call the Sabbath, they did
" Variant "within" (the city): conjectured variant " without."
${ }^{c} C f$. §57. What follows here indicates that Pompey attacked the temple from the west as well as north.
${ }^{d}$ The towers are not mentioned in B.J. They were probably those of the Hasmonaean fortress N.W. of the temple (the later Antonia), cf. Ant. xiii. 30 î note.
eCf. Ant. xii. 277 (and note) for a different formulation of this principle.
' §§ 6.4-68 have only a few verbal parallels in B.J. i. 146-148.

## JOSEPHUS



 65 тavิтa єîvaı. $\mu a ́ \theta o \iota ~ \delta ’ ~ a ้ \nu ~ \tau \iota S ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ vim ep-

 фóßор є́ $\mu \pi о \delta \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ трòs тàs iєpovpүías, ar $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ais тท̂s ท́ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \pi \rho \omega і ̈ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \epsilon ’ \nu a ́ т \eta \nu ~ \omega ̈ \rho \alpha \nu ~$

 66 каi $\gamma$ à $\rho$ à入ov́aŋs $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ тó入єws $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ трíтov $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu a \tau \hat{\eta}$



 67 iєpê, oi $\delta$ є̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a i ̂ S ~ \theta v \sigma i ́ a \iota s ~ o v ̉ \delta e ̀ v ~ \hat{\eta} \tau \tau o v ~ i \epsilon p o v p-~$



 $\kappa \rho \epsilon i \tau \tau о \nu ~ \epsilon i \nu \alpha \iota ~ \nu о \mu i \zeta о \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ \dddot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \quad \tau \iota \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

$$
{ }^{1} \pi a v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{I}^{\prime} .
$$

${ }^{2}$ Tudaiou P': Toudiou FLA MW.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 64-67

not shoot at the Jews or meet them in hand to hand combat, but instead they raised earthworks and towers, and brought up their siege-engines in order that these might be put to work the fullowing day. And one may get an idea of the extreme piety which we show toward God and of our strict observance of the laws from the fact that during the siege the priests were not hindered from performing any of the sacred ceremonies through fear, but twice a day, in the morning and at the ninth hour, ${ }^{a}$ they performed the sacred ceremonies at the altar, and did not omit any of the sacrifices even when some difficulty arose because of the attacks. And indeed when the city was taken, in the third month, ${ }^{b}$ on the Fast Day, in the hundred and seventy-ninth Olympiad, in the consulship of Gaius Antonius and Marcus Tullius Cicero, ${ }^{c}$ and the enemy rushed in and were slaughtering the Jews in the temple, those who were busied with the sacrifices none the less continued to perform the sacred ceremonies; nor were they compelled, either by fear for their lives or by the great number of those already slain, to run away, but thought it better to endure whatever they might have to suffer there beside the altars than to neglect any of the
planation of the difficulty was given long ago by Herzfeld (cited by Schürer i. 298 n. 23 and Reinach). Josephus is here supplementing Nicolas' account from those of Strabo and other historians, who, like many pagan writers, erroneously referred to the Sabluath as a fast day; cf. Strabo xvi. 763 , who says I'ompey took Jernsatem "on a fast day, they say, when the Jews refrain from all work," and Dio Cassius xwii. 16, who says that the city was taken "on the day of Kronos" (=the Sabbath). The city probably fell about July 63 bs.c. if, as Josephus (or Nicolas) says, the siege lasted three months, since Pompey apparently started operations in the spring of 63 в.c. ( $c f$. 853 note $d$ ).

## JOSEPHUS

$68 \nu о \mu i \mu \omega \nu$ ．öт८ ठє́ ov̉ 入ójos тav̂ta $\mu$ óvov є́ $\sigma \tau i v$

 $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ àvaүрáభ Nıкódaos каi mрòs aùtoîs Títos \íßıos ó тท̂s

 $\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ ò $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi u ́ \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ катךขє́ $\chi \theta \eta$ каi
 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ av่т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ Kopvŋ́入ıos Фav̂otos 上v́d入a $\pi a \hat{s}$

 є́ $\pi о \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ к а \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \theta a ́ t \epsilon \rho o v ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s, ~ \delta i ̀ \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~$
 $70 \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\psi}$ ．фóvov $\delta^{\prime}$ ทิv $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ ảváт $\lambda \epsilon \alpha$ ．каi т $\frac{\omega}{\nu}$ ＇Iov
 є’ррíттоขv каi $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ є่vıє́vтєS $\epsilon i s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ о i к i ́ a s ~ \epsilon ’ \nu \epsilon \pi i ́ \mu-~$


 $\alpha i \chi \mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau о s ~ к \alpha i ~ ' A \psi a ́ \lambda \omega \mu о s, ~ \theta \epsilon i ̂ o s ~ a ̈ \mu \alpha ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon \nu-$ $\theta \epsilon \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \rho \iota \sigma \tau о \beta о v ́ \lambda о v . ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \nu о \mu \eta ' \theta \eta ~ \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ оvं $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \dot{a}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тòv vaòv äßaтóv $\tau \epsilon$ oैvта є’v $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho i \nu$ хрóvب


${ }^{1}$ ed. pr. Lat.: Фpoupías 1': Фpoúpoos rell.
${ }^{2}$ Saruvpious LiAMW Lat.

[^178]
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ordinances. And that this is not merely a story to set forth the praises of a fictitious piety, but the truth, is attested by all those who have narrated the exploits of Pompey, among them Strabo and Nicolas and, in addition, Titus Livius, the author of a History of Rome.
(4) Now when the siege-engine was brought up, the largest of the towers was shaken and fell, making a breach through which the enemy poured in ; first among them was Cornelius Faustus, the son of Sulla, who with his soldiers mounted the wall, and after hin the centurion Furius, with those who followed him, on the other side, and between them Fabius, another centurion, with a strong and compact body of men. And there was slaughter everywhere. For some of the Jews were slain by the Romans, and others by their fcllows ${ }^{a}$; and there were some who hurled themselves down the precipices, ${ }^{b}$ and setting fire to their houses, burned themselves within them, for they could not bear to accept their fate. And so of the Jews there fell some twelve thousand, ${ }^{c}$ but of the Romans only a very few. ${ }^{d}$ One of those taken captive was Absalom, the uncle and at the same time father-in-law of Aristobulus. ${ }^{e}$ And not light was the sin committed against the sanctuary, ${ }^{f}$ which before that time had never been entered or secn. For Pompey and not a few of his men went into it and
${ }^{6}$ A Thucydidean phrase (Thuc. vii. 4t. 8), also found in the parallel in B.J.
" Variant "twenty-two thousand"; B.J. has "twelve thousand."
${ }^{4}$ B.J. adds that many Romans were wounded.

- Cf. Ant. xiii. 323.
' The Temple building itself, called tò äyov in B.J. (cf. Ant. viii. 71), as opposed to its precinets.
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 $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon \bar{v} \sigma \iota \nu . o^{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu^{1} \delta \epsilon \in \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \zeta^{\prime} \zeta_{\eta S} \tau \epsilon \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\eta} s^{2}$ каi
 $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu, \chi \omega \rho i s ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau о и ́ т \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho o i ̂ s ~ i \epsilon p \omega ̂ \nu ~$ $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ais $\delta v ́ o ~ \chi \iota \lambda \iota a ́ \delta a s ~ \tau a \lambda a ́ v \tau \omega \nu, ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~$







 бато. тòv $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Фâ̂бтоv каi тov̀s äג入ovs öбoı т仑̂ $\tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \in \iota ~ \pi \rho о \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega s$ є́ $\pi \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ т̂̂v $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ar $\rho \iota-$ $74 \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ خ̀ $\xi^{\prime} \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$. каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ' $\mathrm{I} \epsilon \rho \circ \sigma o ́ \lambda \nu \mu \alpha$ vito $\pi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ фópov 'Pwนaíoıs є́ $\pi$ 'ín $\sigma \epsilon \nu$, âs $\delta є$ є̀ $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ oi


${ }^{1}$ єúp $\dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{~A}$ MW: invenerunt I at.
 $\chi \rho v \sigma a ̂ s \mathrm{~W}$ : mensam auream Lat.
${ }^{3} \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \xi$ LiAM Lat. * atovסєîa LW: omovסía AM.
${ }^{5} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os LA MW Lat.
a So also says Cicero, Pro Flacco 67. However, Dis Cassius, xxvii. 16. 4, says that "all these things were plundered." Meyer, Ursprung, ii. 312 n. 1, calls the latter statement " unquestionably false." There seems to be no allusion to any plundering by Pompey in the apocryphal collection, Psalms of Solomon, which date from about this period.
" Pompey's " piety" and " virtuous character" are not mentioned in B.J. This omission in B.J., not commented 48 +

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 72-74

saw what it was unlawful for any but the high priests to see. But though the golden table was there and the sacred lampstand and the libation vessels and a great quantity of spices, and beside these, in the treasury, the sacred moneys amounting to two thousand talents, he touched none of these ${ }^{a}$ because of piety, and in this respect also he acted in a manner worthy of his virtuous character. ${ }^{b}$ And on the morrow he instructed the temple servants to cleanse the temple and to offer the customary sacrifice to God, and he restored the high priesthood to Hyrcanus because in various ways he had been useful to him and particularly because he had prevented the Jews throughout the country from fighting on Aristobulus' side; and those responsible for the war he executed by beheading. He also bestowed on Faustus and the others who had mounted the wall with alacrity fitting rewards for their bravery. And he made Jerusalem tributary ${ }^{\text {c Ponpoyss }}$ to the Romans, and took from its inhabitants the cities of Coele-Syria which they had formerly subdued, ${ }^{d}$ and placed them under his own governor ${ }^{e}$; upon by Laqueur, seems to contradict his theory (cf. $\S 50$ note $f$ ) that Ant. conforms less to the "official Roman Politik" than does B.J. On the other hand it must be admitted that his theory is supported by the fact that Ant . omits. in \& 73, the statement in B.J. i. 153, " by these methods, in which goodwill played a larger part than terrorism, he (Pompey), like the able general he was, conciliated the people."
c $\dot{v} \pi$ от $\epsilon \lambda \bar{\eta}$ фópov is a Thucydidean phrase (Thuc. i. 66), not found in the parallel, B.J. i. 151, which has émıráarє фópov.

Jerusalem is here mentioned as the political centre of the Jewish state.
${ }^{\text {d C C }}$ 'f. the partial list of conquered cities in Ant. xiii. 395.

- The legate of the province of Syria, cf. $\S 76$.
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 ミтрátculos $\pi$ úp $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda о \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega}_{S}$ каi $\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ тє каі vaоîs коб $\mu \eta_{-}$







 78 टúpoıs，каi $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega ~ ŋ ̈ ~ \mu u ́ p \iota \alpha ~ \tau a ́ \lambda a \nu \tau \alpha ~$
 каi $\dot{\eta}$ ßaбı入єía $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu^{3}$ тồs катà үє́vos ar $\rho \chi$－
${ }^{1}$ ката⿱тграфєīav P：dirutam Lat．


[^179]and the entire nation, whun betore had raised itself so high, he confined within its own borders. He also rebuilt Gadara, ${ }^{a}$ which had been demolished a little while before, to please Demetrius the Gadarene, his freedman; and the other eities, Hippus, Scythopolis, Pella, Dium, ${ }^{b}$ Samaria, as well as Marisa, Azotus, Jamneia and Arethusa, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ he restored to their own inhabitants. ${ }^{d}$ And not only these cities in the interior, in addition to those that had been demolished, but also the coast cities of Gaza, Joppa, Dora and Straton's Tower-this last city, which Herod refounded magnificently and adorned with harbours and temples, was later renamed Caesarea ${ }^{e}$-all these Pompey set free and annexed them to the province. $f$
(5) ${ }^{g}$ For this misfortune which befell Jerusalem ${ }^{n}$ Hyrcanus and Aristobulus were responsible, because of their dissension. For we lost our freedom and became subject to the Romans, and the territory which we had gained by our arms and taken from the Syrians we were compelled to give back to them, and in addition the lomans exaeted of us in a short space of time more than ten thousand talents ; and the royal power which had formerly been bestowed

Decapolis, as Schïrer remarks, i. 299 n. 25 , the era of which hegins with their liberation by Pompey. Josephus here mentions only the most important citics in this region.

- Cf. Int. xv. 331 ff .
${ }^{\prime}$ Of Syria, as B.J. i. 157 explicitly says. On the civic status of Judaea and the surrounding territory under Roman rule between 63 and 37 b.c., see works cited in $A_{\text {ppendix L. }}$.
${ }^{\prime}$ S ${ }^{5}$ 76-78 have no parallel in B.J. Lapueur, p. 162, sees in these sections another (indirect) attack of Josephus on the Herodian nsurpers. One wonders why Josephus should here disguise a sentiment expressed so clearly elsewhere in Aut., e.g. §491. " Variant "those in Jerusalem."

The Jewish leaders' responsibility for the national misfortune.
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 $\chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu$ סoûvaı, каi av̇тòs ${ }^{11}$ є่ $\gamma \gamma v \eta \tau \eta ̀ s ~ \tau \rho \iota а к о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$
${ }^{1}$ rum̀ om. AN1 Lat.
 I': т $\eta \nu$ коí $\lambda \eta \nu$ rell. Lat. ${ }^{3}$ eis om. l'.

- veẃтaтos P\MIW Lat.
 V': ảvєконí̧єто Ciutschmid.

6 §váá入ेтоv ['.
${ }^{8}$ тарєі́хєто F L,
10 каi om, P.

7 P: 入ецш́ттoitos rell.
9 I': ن́тov̂สav rell.
11 av่тoîs l'.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 78-81

on those who were high priests by birth became the privilege of commoners. But of this we shall speak in the proper place. ${ }^{a}$ Now ${ }^{b}$ Pompey gave over to Scaurus Coele-Syria and the rest of Syria ${ }^{c}$ as far as the Euphrates river and Egypt, and two Roman legions, and then went off to Cilicia, making haste to reach Rome. ${ }^{d}$ And with him he took Aristobulus in Pompey chains, together with his family; for he had two daughters and as many sons; but one of them, Alexander, got away, while the younger son, Antigonus, was carried off to Rome together with his to Rome. sisters. ${ }^{e}$
(v. 1) Scaurus then ${ }^{f}$ marched against Petra in
(v. 1) Scaurus then marched against Petra in

Arabia, and because it was difficult of access, ${ }^{g}$ ravaged the country round about it, but as his | carries |
| :---: |
| Aritol | Aristobnius and his tamily as gonus, was carried off to Rome together with his to Rome. army suffered from hunger, Antipater, at the command of Hyrcanus, furnished him with grain from Judaea and whatever other provisions he needed. And when Antipater was sent by Scaurus as an envoy to Aretas because of their friendly relations, he persuaded him to pay a sum of money to save his country from being ravaged, and himself be-

${ }^{a}$ In Ant. xv.
${ }^{\circ}$ On the parallelism between $\S \S 79-126$ and B.J. i. 157186, see Laqueur, pp. 163-165.
${ }^{c}$ Conjectured by Niese. as. P' omits " and " after "CoeleSyria "; the other ms. omit "and the rest of Syria." Niese's conjecture is supported by B.J. i. 157.
${ }^{d}$ Pompey spent the winter of $63-63$ и.c. in Asia Minor, and did not reach Rome until the following winter, 62-61 в.c. Here too, as in §31, Josephus' chronology is rather confused.

- Antigonus later eseaped from liome with his father, cf. $\$ 96$.
'The "then " (Gr. $\delta \epsilon$ ) is merely transitional. B.J. has "meanwhile," which is chronologically smoother, as Scaurus marehed on Petra in 62 b.c.
- Variant " difficult to capture."
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 'Aрє́тav Є̇ $\pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau о и ̂ \tau o ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a l ~ \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s . ~$
 кататрє́Хоутоs тои 'Apıбтоßov́lov $\pi a \iota \delta o ́ s, ~ \sigma \tau \rho a-$








 סє́ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \chi ı \lambda i ́ o \iota s ~ i \pi \pi \epsilon i ̂ s, ~ ' A \lambda \epsilon \xi a ́ v \delta \rho \epsilon \iota o ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon ~$




 'Iovסaiovs, $\hat{\omega} \nu ~ \Pi є \iota \theta o ́ \lambda a o s ~ \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \tau o ~ к а i ~ M a ́ \lambda ı \chi o s, ~$


${ }^{1}$ 1' Lat. : $i \backslash \lambda$ fell.

a Cf. B.J. i. 159, " and the Arab king was persuaded to pay three hundred talents." Laqueur does not comment on this discrepancy, which, incidentally, is difficult to reconcile with his theory about the anti-1 Lerodian changes in Ant.

- Gabinius had been consul in 58 bic. and came to Syria as proconsul in 57 bic. In B.J. i. 160 Josephus says that he came as successor to Scaurus, overlooking the two interrening governors, cf. Dr. Thackeray's note ad loo. On Gabinius' extortions from the provincials see Dis Cassius xxxix. 55-56 and Cicero, Pro Sestio 43.


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, NIV. 81-84

came surety for three hundred talents. ${ }^{a}$ And on these terms Scaurus ended the war, being no less eager to have this come about than was Aretas.
(2) But some time later, while Alexander, the son Gabinius of Aristobulus, was overrunning Judaea, Gabinius becomes came to Syria from Rome as governor, ${ }^{b}$ and after Syria and achiering many other things worthy of note, also deleats marched against Alexander ; for Hyrcanus was no longer able to hold out against the strength of Alexander, who was actually attempting to raise again the wall of Jerusalem which Pompey had destroyed. But this he was stopped from doing by the Romans there. ${ }^{c}$ He then went round the country and armed many of the Jews, and soon collected ten thousand heavy-armed soldiers and fifteen hundred horse, and fortified the strongholds of Alexandrcion near Coreae and Machaerūs ${ }^{d}$ near the mountains of Arabia. ${ }^{e}$ Gabinius therefore went out against him, sending ahead Mark Antony with some other officers. These armed the Romans who accompanied them, ${ }^{f}$ and beside them the Jews who were submissive, led by Peitholaus and Malichus, and taking along
c Schalit, p. 35, suggests that these liomans were negotintures or business agents who had come to exploit Judaea's farms and industries.
${ }^{d}$ On these two fortresses see Ant. xiii. $11 i$ notes $c$ and $d$. Aristobuhus had earlier yielded them to Pompey, cf. SE 50-5?. I'resumably Alexander now refortified them.
e Ant. omits the fortress of Hyrcania, mentioned in B.J. i. 161 (on its location see Ant. xiii. 117 note $b$ ). Laqueur, p. 217, explains its omission here by supposing that Josephus was ignorant of its location. 'This seems doubtful, particularly because of its being mentioned together with the other iwo fortresses below, in § 89.
${ }^{1}$ These Liomans (perhaps also negotiutores, of. above, note c) are not mentioned in B.J.
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 $\mu a i ̂ o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega{ }^{\prime}$, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ i $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ o v s, \zeta \omega \gamma \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ov̉к є̇入áттous.

 $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ av̉ $\tau \circ i ̂ s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$




 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \pi \omega \prime \nu, \quad$ є" $\omega s^{1}$ ä้ $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \pi о \lambda \iota о \rho \kappa \eta \theta \hat{\eta}^{2}$ тò $\chi \omega \rho i o \nu$,





 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \tau \tau \epsilon, \beta \epsilon \beta a i ́ \omega s$ оікү $\theta \bar{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \tau$ то́тє ovvє́ $\beta a \iota \nu \epsilon \tau$ тàs




## ${ }^{1}$ Niese: wis codd. E: ut Lat.
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Antipater's guard ${ }^{\text {a }}$ also, went to meet Alexander. Gabinius himself followed them with his main body. Alexander therefore retired to the neighbourhood of Jerusalem, where the two forces fell upon each other and a battle took place, in which the Romans killed about three thousand of the enemy, and captured as many alive. ${ }^{b}$
(3) Meanwhile Gabinius came to Alexandreion and invited those within to cease hostilities, ${ }^{e}$ agreeing to pardon them for their past offences. But as many of the enemy were eneamped before the stronghold, the Romans set upon them ; and Mark Antony, who fought with distinetion ${ }^{d}$ and killed many men, was voted the prize for bravery. Finally Gabinius left a part of his army there until the fortress should be taken by siege, and himself went through the rest of Judaea, and whenever he came upon a ruined city, he gave directions for it to be rebuilt. And so there were rebuilt Samaria, Azotus, Seythopolis, Anthedon, Raphia, Adora, Marisa, Gaza and not a few others. ${ }^{e}$ And as the people obeyed Gabinius' orders, these eities, which had long been desolate, could now be safely inhabited. ${ }^{f}$
(4) Having taken these measures throughout the country, Gabinius returned to Alexandreion, and as

Alexander subinits to Gabinins.

Alexander fled to Alexandreion, which explains why Gabinius attacked it, cf. $\S \S 86, \$ 9$.
c троикалєíто єis סıadúaєıs is another Thucydidean borrowing (Thuc. iv. 19. 1), not found in B.J.
${ }^{d}$ According to B.J. i. 16.5 Antony had always fought bravely on every battleficld ( (тavzaxov̂ . . . áєí).
e B.J. adds Apollonia, Jamneia and Gamala (variants: Gabala, Gadara). Possibly " Ganala" is a corruption of "Gaza."
 i. 2. 1) in this passage.
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 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \quad \delta \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \iota \delta o v ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \bar{\epsilon} \rho v \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

















${ }^{1}$ I': кратúvavtos sell. E. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Taś́pos P '.
 V: こauф́́pos $W$ : Уamфúpos E: Sefforis L. at.

${ }^{6}$ I' Lat. : dंvatexi'̧en refl.
a B.J. i. 168 adds " to prevent their serving as a base of operations for another war."
" For synhedria B.J. has " synods" (ovvóסous).
c On these terms and the nature of Cabinius' administrative measures in Judaea see works cited in Appendix L.
${ }^{d}$ So also B.J., but with the majority of scholars we must correct "Gadara" to "Gazara" (in N.W. Judaea) ; cf. $49+$
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he pressed the siege strongly, Alexander sent envoys to him, asking pardon for his offences and giving up the strongholds of Hyrcania and Machaerūs, and afterwards Alexandreion as well. And so Gabinius demolished them. ${ }^{a}$ For Alexander's mother, who was on the side of the Romans, since her husband and her other children were held at Rome, came to him with the request that he do this, and he granted it ; and after settling matters with her, he brought Hyrcanus to Jerusalem, to have charge of the temple. He also set up five councils (synhedria), ${ }^{b}$ and divided the nation into as many districts ${ }^{c}$; these centres of government were : first, Jerusalem, next, Gadara, ${ }^{d}$ third, Amathūs, ${ }^{e}$ fourth, Jericho, and fifth, Sepphoris in Galilee. ${ }^{f}$ And so the people were removed from monarchic rule and lived under an aristocracy. ${ }^{g}$
(vi. 1) But Aristobulus escaped from Rome to Judaea, ${ }^{h}$ and proposed to rebuild Alexandreion, which had just been demolished, whereupon Gabinius sent

Aristobulus escapes from Rome and raises aut anmy in Judaea.

Schürer i. 339 n. 5, whose historical argument is sound, although his explanation of "Gadara" as an Aramaized form of " Gazara" is philologically questionable, since $d$ in Aramaic corresponds to $z$ in Heb. only when the latter $=$ proto-Scmitic at (but cf. W. F. Albright, From the Stone Age to Christianity, p. 336 1. 10. It may be that Josephus or a scribe wrote "Gadara" for "Gazara" because the former city lay near Amathons, the next on the list. Albright, in JBL $56(1937), 1,162$ n. 52 , insists that Gadara is not Gazara hit " one of the many towns derived from the stem gdr." Schalit, p. 31, also reading "Gadara," identifies it with (iadora (mod. Tell Judär near es-Sal!) in 'Transjordan ; hut Schiirer's oljections to the similar theery of Schlatter, J. 224, still hold.
e In Trausjordan, of. Ant. xiii. 356 note $d$.
$f$ The variant "Judaca" must be a seribal error.

- By "aristocracy" Josephus means priestly rule, as he explains in Ant.xi. 111. " In 56 n.c.
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 є́ $\rho \rho \epsilon о \nu$, каi $\delta \grave{\eta}$ каi $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о ь s ~ \chi a i \rho о \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ a ́ \epsilon i i^{3}$


















${ }^{1}$ I'E: : $\rho$ ós rell.



${ }^{5}$ yeviaíws om. FLAMV Lat.
${ }^{6}$ каiтєр A M et I at. vid.

> a Variants "Servidius " and (in B.. $P_{0}$ ) " Servianus." o always" is found only in ms. P.
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against him a body of soldiers led by Sisenna, Antony and Servilius ${ }^{a}$ to prevent him from occupying the place and to arrest him. For many of the Jews had flocked to Aristobulus, both on account of his former glory and especially because they always ${ }^{b}$ welcomed revolutionary movements. Among others a certain Peitholaus, who was legate ${ }^{c}$ at Jerusalem, deserted to him with a thousand men. Many ${ }^{d}$ of those who joined him, however, were unarmed. But Aristobulus, who had decided to retire to Machaerūs, dismissed these men, who were without equipmentfor they were of no use to him in action, -and taking the men who were armed, amounting to some eight thousand, marched away. And as the Romans fell upon them valiantly, they were defeated in battle; for though the Jews fought manfully ${ }^{e}$ and eagerly, the enemy were too strong for them, and they were put to flight. Some five thousand of then were slain, while the rest were scattered and tried to save themselves as best they could. Aristobulus, however, escaped ${ }^{f}$ to Machaerūs with more than a thousand men, and fortified the place, and though he was faring badly, none the less he was still of good hope. ${ }^{g}$ But

Aristobulus is taken captive to Rome a second time. after withstanding a siege of two days and receiving many wounds, ${ }^{h}$ he was taken prisoner and brought to
c "Legate" (Lat. legatus) here probably has the sense of "deputy-governor " of the province.
d Variant " most." e Most mss. omit " manfully."
${ }^{\prime}$ B.J. i. 172 says that two thousand took refuge on a hill, and that Aristobulus and the remaining thousand cut their way through the Roman lines.
? Of raising another army, according to B.J. i. 173.
${ }^{n}$ His wounds are not mentioned in B.J., which says instead that he held ont "beyond his strength " (vimè
 rather than his own.

## JOSEPHUS

$\mu \epsilon \tau$ ' 'Avтıरóvov тô̂ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta o ́ s$, ös $\delta \dot{\eta}$ каi $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \phi v \gamma \epsilon \nu$







 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ 'lovסaíav ${ }^{\text {є }} \pi \pi \alpha \nu \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.










${ }^{1} \mathrm{E}$ Lat.: $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ таûva I : то́тє rell.
${ }^{2}$ 'ISovpaiav AMDW. ${ }^{3}$ E: oтpatiàv codd. Lat.




${ }^{5}$ aúvòs P : ơvṽas Naber.

[^181]
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Gabinins together with his son Antigonus, who had, by the way, fled from Rome with him. And having met with sueh ill fortune, Aristobulus was sent to Rome a second time; and there he was kept in chains, after being king and high priest three years and six months ${ }^{a}$; he was, moreover, a man of distinction and magnanimity. His children, however, were released by the Senate because Gabinius wrote that he had promised their mother this when she surrendered the strongholds. And so ${ }^{b}$ they returned to Judaea. ${ }^{\text {c }}$
(2) Now while Gabinius was on an expedition Gabinius in against the Parthians and had already erossed the Euphrates, he changed his mind and returned to Egypt to restore Ptolemy to his kingdom. ${ }^{d}$ But these events have been related elsewhere. ${ }^{e}$ On this campaign, moreover, Gabinius, in aecordanee with Hyreanus' instructions to him, ${ }^{f}$ was supplied with grain, arms and money by Antipater, who also won over the Jews above Pelusium to his side and made them his allies to aet as guards of the entrances to Egypt.g But when Gabinius returned from Lgypt,
by a certain Archelaus of l'ontus who had married Queen Berenice III, cf. Bevan, P'tol. pp. 355-357.
e i.e. by other historians.
' i.e. to Antipater. The text has been slightly cmended ; the mss. read "on the campaign which he (Gabinius) had undertaken against Hyrcanus." Some scholars correct " Hyrcanus" to " Archelaus" (see note $d$ above), but my emendation is supported by B.J. i. 175 which mentions Hyrcanus together with Antipater; $c f$. also $\S 80$ above, where Antipater performs a similar service кат' '̇vodìv


- On Jews as river-guards in Egypt see Ap, ii. 64 (eited by Ir. Thackeray in his note on 13..J. i. 175) and Fuchs, pp. 65-66. C'f. also below, §§ 130-131.
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Aiүúттои ката入анßávєє тク̀v ミvрíav $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma є \iota ~ к а i ~$


 $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \cup \prime \mu a \tau \iota$ ठє $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega$ т $\eta \nu \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ є่ $\pi \epsilon \rho \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о S$
 $\tau \epsilon^{3}$ ő о́оs тò ка入оข́ $\mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ Гapı弓єiv av $\mu \phi v \gamma o ́ v \tau a s$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ к є \iota т о{ }^{4}$ тодьорк $\hat{\nu} \nu$.
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega ́ v, ~ ' A \nu \tau i \pi \alpha \tau \rho о \nu$（ $\sigma v v \epsilon \tau o ̀ s ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\top} \nu$ ）$\pi \rho о$－ $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \nu є \nu о \sigma \eta к o ́ \tau \alpha s, ~ \epsilon i ~ \pi \alpha v ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \delta v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta ~$




 Гаßıvíш，каi $\sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \omega ̀ \nu \nu ~ \grave{\eta \tau \tau \alpha ิ \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \alpha ข ่ \tau \omega ิ ~}$ $\mu$ рі́шv $\pi \epsilon \rho і$ то̀＇I $\tau \alpha \beta v ́ \rho \iota o v$ ó $\rho о s$.

 є่ $\pi i$ Naßaтaious $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \kappa \alpha i$ кратєî $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu$ тои́т $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\mu a ́ \chi \eta, ~ \Pi a ́ \rho \theta \omega \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi v \gamma a ́ \delta a s ~ M \iota \theta \rho t \delta a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ каi＇${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \rho$－


$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { eis I'. }{ }^{2} \text { Niese: óaous codd. } \\
& { }^{3} \text { тє I': tò rell. }{ }^{4} \text { каì } \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ к є є т о ~ l ゙ L A M V W . ~ \\
& { }^{5} \text { тà add. (iutschmid. }
\end{aligned}
$$

a Antipater＇s＂good sense＂is not mentioned in the parallel in B．J．This fact may be adduced as another detail 500
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he found Syria a prey to uprisings and disorder; for Aristobulus' son Alexander had later come into power a second time and had forced many of the Jews to revolt, and was marching over the country with a large army and killing all the Romans he met, and was elosely besieging those who had taken refuge on Mount Gerizim, as it is called.
(3) On finding Syria in this condition, Gabinius Gabinius sent Antipater, who was a man of good sense, ${ }^{a}$ to the unruly elements, to see whether he could put a stop to their mad behaviour and persuade them to return to a more reasonable frame of mind. And so he came and brought many of them to their senses and induced them to do their duty ; but he could not restrain Alexander, who with an army of thirty thousand Jews went to meet Gabinius, and was defeated in an engagement near Mount Tabor, in which ten thousand of his men fell. ${ }^{b}$
(t) Gabinius then settled affairs at Jerusalem in accordance with the wishes of Antipater, ${ }^{c}$ and marched against the Nabataeans, whom he overcame in battle ${ }^{d}$; and he also sent on their way Mithridates ${ }^{e}$ and Orsanes, ${ }^{f}$ fugitives from the Parthians, who had come to him, though the story was that they escaped from
in the argument against Laqueur's theory that Ant. is more anti-Herodian than $/ B . J$.
"B.J. i. 177 adds " and the remainder fled and dispersec."
c $\dot{\omega}{ }^{\circ} \dot{\eta}^{\circ} \nu A_{\epsilon} \lambda_{0} \cdot \tau \iota$ is reminiscent of the Thucydidean phrase
 the parallel in B.J.; the precise Thucydidean phrase is used in Aut. xv. $48 . \quad{ }^{8}$ In the spring of 55 в.с.
e Mithridates III of Parthia, cf. Dehevoise, pp. 75-95.
$f$ Debevoise gives the name as "Orsames," a form I have not found in the ancient sources : if this form is correct, it probably represents Iranian Arsama, written 'rsm in the Aramaic papyri of Elephantine.
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 'Iovঠaíous үра́фєє Nıко́daos ó $\Delta а \mu а \sigma к \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ к а i ~$
 $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$.
105 (vii. 1) K $\rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ \Pi a ́ \rho \theta o v s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega v ~ \sigma \tau \rho a-$
















$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { oтрaтià 1': } \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a \nu \text { V' Lat. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
{ }^{3} \text { P'}^{2} \mathrm{E} \text { L at. : } \theta \eta \sigma a v \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \text { rell. }{ }^{4} \text { र } \rho v o i o v \mathrm{P} \text {. }
$$

 i. 12s.5) : cf. Dr. Thackeray's note on another phrase from the same passage in 'thucydides in the parallel, B.J. i. IFs.
b Variant " during the campaign."
c M. Licinius Crassus came to Syria as proconsul in the spring of st bic.; on his. Parthian campaign see the full bibliography in Deheroise, p. 78 n. 36.
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him. ${ }^{a}$ And so, having performed great and brilliant deeds during his term as governor, ${ }^{b}$ Gabinius sailed for Rome, handing over his province to Crassus. ${ }^{c}$ Now the expeditions of Pompey and Gabinius against the Jews have been written about by Nicolas of Damascus and Strabo of Cappadocia, neither of whom differs in any respect from the other. ${ }^{d}$
(vii. 1) Crassus, intending to mareh against the Parthians, came to Judaea and carried off the money in the temple, amounting to two thousand talents, which Pompey had left, and was prepared to strip the sanctuary of all its gold, which amounted to cight Jerusatem. thousand talents.e He also took a bar of solid beaten gold, weighing three hundred minae; the mina with us is equal to two and a half pounds. ${ }^{f}$ 'This bar was given to him by the guardian of the money, ${ }^{g}$ a priest named Eleazar, not because of rascality-for he was a good and upright man,-but because, being entrusted with the kecping of the curtains of the sanctuary, which were of admirable beanty and costly workmanship, and hung from this bar, he saw Crassus intent on gathering up the gold, and had fears for
dThis bibliographical note indicates that for part of $\S \S 106-118$, which have no parallel in $B . J .$, Josephus has used Strabo to supplement his earlier source, Nicolas; of. the Appendix on Josephus' sources in the last volume of this translation.

- That is, the gold was worth 8000 talents of silver, as liemach remarks.
${ }^{\prime}$ In the only other reference to the mina in Josephus, Ant. ii. 33, he equates it loosely with the lleb. shekel. According to Kramss, Talmudische Archäologie ii. 106, the Heb. mina weighed only $1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ Roman pounds. Reinach gives 728 grammes for the Heh, mina and 818 for $2 \frac{1}{2}$ Roman pounds. Thus Josephus', equation here is inaccurate.
- Variant " treasuries."
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 द' $\xi \in \phi o ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
 '̇v $\tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$ i $\epsilon \rho \hat{\varphi},{ }^{2} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ оiкоv-


 ठє́ ả $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho о \nu$ тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$ Өоs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta-$




 є́Өєто є̇кєî $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon о \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \alpha$ $\dot{\eta}$ ßабì $\lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha$, каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



${ }^{1}$ VE et I at. vid. : кai toû rell.
${ }^{2}$ va ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2} \mathrm{~L}$ AMW. ${ }^{3}$ tis $\mathrm{K} \hat{\omega}$ om. P .
${ }^{4} \tau \grave{a}$ т $\tau \hat{\nu}$ 'lov Holwerda.
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the whole ornamentation of the sanetuary ; and so he gave him the bar of gold as a ransom for all the rest, reeeiving his sworn assuranee that he would not remove anything else from the sanctuary but would be content merely with what was to be given him by the priest-a present worth many tens of thousands (of drachmas). Now this bar was in a hollow wooden bar, a fact whieh was unknown to all others, and which Eleazar alone knew. Crassus, however, although he took this bar with the understanding that he would not touch anything else in the temple, violated his oath and carried off all the gold in the sanctuary.
(2) But no one need wonder that there was so mueh wealth in our temple, for all the Jews throughout the habitable world, and those who worshipped God, ${ }^{a}$ even those from Asia and Europe, had been

The wealth and intluence of the Jews of the Diaspora. contributing to it for a very long time. And there is no lack of witnesses ${ }^{b}$ to the great amount of the sums mentioned, nor have they been raised to so great a figure through boastfulness or exaggeration on our part, but there are many historians who bear us out, in partieular Strabo of Cappadocia, who writes as follows. "Mithridates ${ }^{\text {c }}$ sent to Cos and took the money which Queen Cleopatra had deposited there, and eight hundred talents of the Jews." Now there is no public money among us except that which is God's, and it is therefore evident that this money was transferred to Cos by the Jews of Asia because

[^182]
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 'Iovסaious $\pi \iota \theta a \nu o ̀ v ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau ' ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau i ~ \pi o \iota \eta ̂ \sigma a l, ~ \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{K} \nu \rho \eta \nu a i \omega \nu,{ }^{5}{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \begin{array}{r}\eta \\ \tau\end{array} \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu, \tau \rho i \tau \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau о i ́ k \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$
${ }^{2}$ aủròs ó tr. FLANIVW.

${ }^{3}$ post $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ lacunam statuit Niese.
${ }^{4}$ ©́s aủtôv Holwerda: $\grave{\omega} v$ FLAMVW: om. P: quae (totum orbem complevit) Lat.
${ }^{5}$ Kvpqvaíw PF: Kıpqvaíw $\mu \in \rho i \bar{\delta} \epsilon s$ coni. Richards et Shutt.

[^183]
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of their fear of Mithridates. ${ }^{a}$ For it is not likely that those in Judaea, who possessed a fortified city and the temple, would have sent money to Cos, nor is it probable that the Jews living in Alexandria would have done this either, since they had no fear of Mithridates. And this same Strabo in another Strabo on passage testifies that at the time when Sulla erossed over to Greece to make war on Mithridates, and sent Lucullus to put down the revolt of our nation in Cyrene, ${ }^{b}$ the habitable world was filled with Jews, for he writes as follows. "There were four classes in the state of Cyrene ; the first consisted of citizens, the second of farmers, the third of resident aliens
sum of 800 talents is not incredible if we remember that contributions to the temple from Jews in the Diaspora consisted of many gifts in addition to the annual didrachm payment, cf. Heichelheim, Rom. Syria, p. 74. However, it would be much easier to accept Josephus' explanation if he had mentioned 80 talents instead of 800 . See now J. Cohen, Judaica et Aegyptiaca (1941), pp. 60-64.
${ }^{6}$ The last king of Cyrene, Ptolemy Apion, natural son of Ptolemy VII Physcon, on his death in 96 в.c. bequeathed his kingdom to Rome. For several years the Romans left the government in the hands of the Greek cities of Cyrenaica, which did not become a Roman province until 74 b.c. In the winter of $87 / 6$ b.c. Sulla sent Lacullus to restore order in Cyrene, which, according to Plutarch, Lucullus 2, had been disturbed by "continual tyrannies and wars." The Jews are not mentioned. The passage in Plutarch is prohably based on Strabo (Jacoby, FGiII ii. C, p. 294 is non-committal about l'lutarch's use of 'Strabo here), and Strabo, in turn, probably used Posidonius as a source, of. Schiirer i. 4? It may be that Josephus' text originally contained a reference to the Jewish revolt, which has been accidentally omitted, as Niese supposes, or that he has added the reference to the Jewish uprising on his own account, as Jacoby holds. If we accept the former view, we may suppose that the Cyrenaean Jews were dissatisfied with the civic status granted them by the Greek authorities.
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 AMD.

${ }^{5} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ тє Ai үútтov . . . vó $\left.\mu o \iota s\right]$ nam Aegyptus et Cyrenaea dam sub unum regnum fuissent omnem conversationem horum aemulatae sunt it ut scripturis forum uterentur et praesertinı pecunias secundum patrias leges Indeorum congregarent Lat.
${ }^{6}$ Gutschmid : катоєкía cod.
${ }^{7}$ Gutschmid: $\chi$ wis sod.

[^184](metics), and the fourth of Jews. ${ }^{a}$ This people has ${ }^{b}$ already made its way into every city, and it is not easy to find any place in the habitable world which has not received this nation and in which it has not made its power felt. ${ }^{c}$ And it has come about that Cyrene, which had the same rulers ${ }^{d}$ as Egypt, has imitated it in many respects, particularly in notably encouraging and aiding the expansion of the organized groups of Jews, which observe the national Jewish laws.e In Egypt, for example, territory has been set apart for a Jewish settlement, ${ }^{f}$ and in Alexandria a great part of the city has been allocated to this nation. ${ }^{g}$ And an ethnarch of their own has been installed, who governs the people and adjudicates suits and supervises contracts and ordinances, just as if he were the head of a sovereign state. ${ }^{h}$ And so this nation has flourished in Egypt because the Jews
period, the age of Augustus. This has been pointed out by Bousset-Gressmann, Die Religion des Judentums, p. 6i, n.1.
" Or " in which it has not become dominant," as Chamonard and Reinach (Textes, p. 92) translate. Although є̇ткратєiv usually means " to gain the mastery," " prevail," it may also mean, as I think it does here, "to make one's power felt." It seems to me unlikely that Strabo (or even Posidonius, who was not particularly friendly to the Jews) would have gone so far as to say that the Jews of the Diaspora dominated the various cities in which they lived. But I should hesitate to press the point. It may be added that one might also translate " which has not been occupied by it."
${ }^{d}$ i.e. the Itolemies, $c f . \S 114$ note $b$.

- Text and meaning uncertain. Reinach translates ovvтáy $\mu a \tau \alpha$ as " colonies," which seems doubtful. It may mean "classes" or "orders." The old Latin translation makes no sense.
${ }^{\prime}$ Text slightly emended.
- Cf. Ap. ii. 33-36.
${ }^{n}$ This must have been written before Augustus replaced the ethnarch by a senate, $c f$. I'hilo, In Flaccum 74 ff .
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тov̀s ${ }^{1}$＇Iovסaious cai $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v ~ \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota{ }^{2} \tau \eta ̀ \nu$



 $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \delta \grave{\eta}$ тav̂ ta $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \iota$ ．
119 （3）K $\rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \delta ı ぃ к \eta ́ \sigma a s ~ o ̂ v ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ ß o v ́-~$
 au $o \dot{s} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv $\sigma \dot{v} v \pi \alpha \nu \tau i \quad \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\psi}$ ，$\omega$ каi є̀v ä入入oıs $\delta \epsilon \delta \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \tau a l$ ，Ká $\sigma \sigma \iota o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon i s ~ \sum v p i a \nu$

 $120 \mathrm{~K} \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o v ~ \nu i ́ к \eta \nu . ~ a v ̂ \theta ı s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \epsilon i s ~ T v ́ \rho o \nu ~ « ́ ф \iota к o ́ \mu є v o s ~$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{\nu} \theta \epsilon \in \omega s^{3}$ ai $\epsilon \hat{\imath}$, каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \rho \iota \sigma \mu \nu \rho i o v s$



 то́тє ä乡юos $\hat{\eta} \nu$ каi $\pi a \rho$＇＇ISovpaioıs，${ }^{4} \pi a \rho$＇$\hat{\omega} \nu^{5}$



$$
{ }^{1} \text { qoùs om. FLAMVW. }{ }^{2} \text { Bekker : er } \sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota \text { cord. }
$$


${ }^{5} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \omega^{\omega} \Lambda^{1}$ V＇WE Lat．：$\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\omega} \nu$ refl．
${ }^{6}$ Kúmpıv FI AM Lat．
a Text slightly uncertain．That this statement about the Egyptian origin of the Jews，which is refuted by Josephus in Ap．i．104，223， 278 ，ii． 28 et al．，is here allowed to stand indicates that he has been pretty accurate in quoting Strabo．
${ }^{b}$ By other historians．Crassus was defeated near Carrhae in Mesopotamia in the spring of $53 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{c}$ and soon after was killed．Debevoise writes，p．95，＂The disaster which the Roman arms had suffered at Carrhae made certain the 510
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were originally Egyptians and because those who left that country made their homes near by ${ }^{a}$; and they migrated to Cyrene because this country bordered on the kingdom of Egypt, as did Judaea-or rather, it formerly belonged to that kingdom." These are Strabo's own words.
(3) Now when Crassus had arranged everything the way he wished, he set out for Parthia and perished together with his entire army, as has been related elsewhere. ${ }^{b}$ Cassius, ${ }^{c}$ however, fled to Syria and took possession of it, ${ }^{d}$ thus standing in the way of the Parthians who were making incursions into the country as a result of their victory over Crassus. Later he came to Tyre, and then went up to Judaea. Here he fell upon Tarichacae, ${ }^{e}$ which he quickly took, and made slaves of some thirty thousand men. He also killed Peitholaus, who had continued the revolt led by Aristobulus ; and this he did at the instigation of Antipater, who at that time had great influence with him, and was then held in the greatest esteem by the Idumaeans also, from among whom ${ }^{f}$ he took a wife of a distinguished Arab family, named Cypros ${ }^{g}$; and by her he had four sons, Phasael,
supremacy, at least for the time being, of pro-Parthian over pro-Roman sentiment among the Jews." But this could have been true only of a section of the Jews in Palestine during the carly Herodian period.
${ }^{c}$ C. Cassius Longinus, who had been the quaestor of Crassus.
${ }^{d}$ Cassius governed Syria 53 to 51 b.c.

- By "Judaca" Josephus (or his source) here means Jewish territory in Palestine. Tarichacae was, of course, in Galilee (see the map in vol. iii. of this translation).
$f$ Text slightly uncertain. It is Josephus' new source that now introduces $A$ ntipater for the first time, it seems.

0 Variant "Cypris," as in the mss. of B.J.
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o u s ~ \delta v v a ́ \sigma \tau a s ~ \phi ı \lambda i ́ a v ~ к а i ~ \xi є v i ́ a v, ~$ $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ס̀̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ " A \rho a ß a, ~ \hat{\psi}$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \alpha$


 $\dot{v} \pi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \iota$.








 Kaíoароs $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon$ '́ovтєs $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$, каi ó vєкро̀s








2 áv'єvт $\rho \in \pi i \zeta$ o I'ost.
3 w̌varo PVE.
${ }^{a} C f . \S \S 14 \mathrm{ff}$. $\quad{ }^{b}$ In Jamuary, 49 в.c.
c This statement is confirmed by Dio Cassius xli. 18. 1.
${ }^{d}$ 'The preservation of corpses in honey is mentioned by Herodotus i. 198 (among the Babylonians, cf. R. Campbell 512
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Herod, who later became king, Joseph and Pheroras, and a daughter, Salome. This Antipater had formed relations of friendship and hospitality with other princes, especially with the king of the Arabs, the same to whom he had entrusted his children when making war on Aristobulus. ${ }^{a}$ And so Cassius removed his eamp and hastened to the Euphrates, to meet the enemy who were coming against him from that direction, as has been related by others.
( $\dagger$ ) Some time later, when Caesar beeame master Caesar of Rome after Pompey and the Senate had fled across the Ionian sea, ${ }^{b}$ he released Aristobulus from prison, and having decided to send him to Syria, ${ }^{c}$ put two legions at his disposal in order that he might win support in that country, now that he had the means to do so. Aristobulus, however, could not enjoy the fulfilment of the hopes which he had of the power given him by Caesar, for the partisans of Pompey got to him first and made an end of him by poison ; and he was buried by those who favoured Caesar's cause, ${ }^{d}$ his corpse lying preserved in honey for a long while, until Antony finally sent it back to Judaea and had it placed in the royal sepulchres. And Scipio, ${ }^{e}$ whom Pompey had instructed to kill Alexander, the son of Aristobulus, charged the youth with his original offences against the Romans, and executed him by Thrinpson in AJSL. 47 (1930), p. 23 n .1 ), Pliny, Hist. Nat. xiv. 13 (cited by Hudson), in the Bab. Talmud, Baba Bathra 3 b) (cf. Kranss, Talmudische Archüologie ii. 474 n .416 ). Other ancient references are given in the following works, listed by my friend Dr. Allan Roos: Thos. Greenhill, Nehrokëdeia, 170亏̈; Jean Nicolas Gannal, Ilistory of Limbalming (trans. by R. Harlan), 18.10; B. F. Beck, Honey and Health, 1938.

- Q. Metellus Scipio, father-in-law of Pompey and proconsul of Syria, 49-48 в.c.
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 каi $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi а s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ v i o ̀ v ~ Ф ı \lambda \iota \pi \pi i ́ \omega v a ~ є i s ~ ' А \sigma к а ́ \lambda \omega \nu а ~$



 aủтòv ó $\pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ Пто入є $\mu a i ̂ o s ~ \gamma а \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'A $\lambda \epsilon \xi$ -
 $\mu \epsilon \nu O s \delta_{\iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \iota}$.








${ }^{1}$ tis om. PE.

${ }^{2} \mathrm{MI}: \tau \epsilon \gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$ rell. E.

[^185]
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beheading. In this manner he died at Antioch. But his brother and sisters were taken by Ptolemy, the son of Mennaeus, ${ }^{a}$ who was prince of Chalcis at the foot of Mount Lebanon. And he sent his son, Philippion, to Ascalon to Aristobulus' wife, telling her to send back with him her son Antigonus and her daughters, one of whom, Alexandra, Philippion fell in love with and married. But afterwards his father Ptolemy put him to death and married Alexandra, and continued to look after her brother and sister.
( riii .1 ) ${ }^{b}$ When Caesar, after his rictory over Pom- Antipater pey and the latter's death, ${ }^{c}$ was fighting in Egypt, ${ }_{\text {Hy reanus }}^{\text {and }}$ Antipater, the governor ${ }^{d}$ of the Jews, under orders assist from Hyrcanus ${ }^{e}$ proved himself useful to Caesar in Casar ir many ways. For when Mithridates of Pergamum, who was bringing an auxiliary force, ${ }^{f}$ was unable to make his way through Pelusium and was delayed quotation from Strabo in $\S 139$, usually $=$ Lat. curator or praefectus, rarely $=$ procurator $\quad($ Judaeae $)$, as in Ant. xviii. 89. In xvii. 6 it is applied to Saturninus, governor of Syria ( $=$ legatus Augusti pro prattore), who is elsewhere called خ $\gamma \epsilon \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu ;$ in $B . J$. i. 225 Herod is appointed $\epsilon \pi \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} s$ of all Syria, while in the parallel Ant. xiv. 280 he is called $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ́ s$. From these passages it would seem that Antipater was given a special office in 4 S в.c. by Caesar, or earlier (cf. § 103) by Gabinius. He was not made procurator of Judaea, ধ̇míтpoтos, until 47 b.c., $c f$. § 143 . Schürer, i. 313 n .14 , suggests that Gabinius had made him procurator, but if so this office must have been extra ordinem, since Judaea had been divided into five districts by Gabinius, as is pointed out by Momigliano, Ricerche, p. 207. It may be, however, that Josephus here anticipates; Caesar came to Egypt in the autumn of 48 b.c. but did not get help from Mithridates and Antipater until the spring of $4 \tilde{\pi}$ b.c. On this campaign see W. Judeich, Cäsar im Orient, 1885.

- Hyrcanus is not mentioned in the parallel, B.J. i. 187; $c f$. above, note $l$.
' In addition to the 37 th legion sent from Syria.
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 роvv, à $\pi о \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ vimèp Kaíoapos $\pi \rho о \theta v \mu i a s$

 $130 \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̀ v a ̈ \pi \pi \alpha \sigma a \iota$. Mı $\theta \rho \iota \delta a ́ t \eta s \delta_{\epsilon}$ äраs є̀к ป̀vias єis

 סє̀ 'Avтíтaтроs, каi $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о s$ катабúpas $\tau \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v}$




 тои́тovs $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ av̉т $\omega \nu$ ф $\rho о \nu \eta ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ o ́ \mu o ́ \phi u \lambda o v ~$ 'Avтitataros, каi $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi a s ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$
 фídovs єivaı Kaíoapos тарєка́入єє каi छ'є́vıа каi $132 \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ т̀̀ є̀ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \dot{\delta} \epsilon \iota \alpha$ Хор $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \quad \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\omega}$. каi оi

${ }^{1}$ ó Loaímou Niese: ó ovaццои P: oo viós aúrô̂ AMW Lat.:

${ }^{2}$ оiкойvтєs Lat.
${ }^{3}$ oi 'lovoaîo P': 'Iovסaîou Aíyúntıo rell. E L.at.
4 $\chi$ úpar secl. Niese.
${ }^{a}$ As Dr. Thackeray notes in B.J. j. 187, Ascalon was 6 days' march from Pelusium, so that Mithridates must have been warned well in advance of the refusal of passage.

- Text slightly uncertain. This l'tolemy is to be distinguished from the son of Mennaeus in the same region. 516
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at Ascalon, ${ }^{a}$ Antipater arrived with three thousand heavy-armed Jewish soldiers, and also managed to get the chiefs of Arabia to come to his aid; and it was owing to him that all the rulers of Syria furnished aid, not wishing to be outdone in their zeal for Caesar; among these were the prince Jamblichus and Ptolemy, the son of Soemus, ${ }^{b}$ who lived on Mount Lebanon, and almost all the citics. Mithri- Antipater dates then left Syria and came to Pelusium, and persuades as its inhabitants would not admit him, besieged the city. Foremost in bravery was Antipater, who in Erypt to go over was the first to pull down part of the wall, and so opened a way for the others to pour into the city. This was how he took Pelusium. But when Mithridates and Antipater with their men were on their way to Caesar, the Jews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ who inhabitated the district of Onias, ${ }^{d}$ as it was called, prevented them from doing so. Antipater, however, persuaded them too to side with his party on the ground of their common nationaiity, especially when he showed them a letter from the high priest Hyrcanus, in which he urged them to be friendly to Caesar and receive his army hospitably and furnish it with all things necessary. And so, when they saw that Antipater and the

He and Jamblichus are otherwise unknown. Soemms may possibly be the ruler mentioned in Vita 52 : the name is Arabic and Nabataean, found in Petra and Ituraea, cf. Ant. xv. 185, xvii. 5t, xx. 158 et al. The original form was perhaps Arab. Suhaym, cf. H. Wuthnow, Die semitischen Menschennamen in griech. Inschrift. u. Pap.d. vord. Orients, 1930, p. 130. On the name Jamblichus see Ant. xiii. 131 mote $c$.
c Variant " the Egyptian Jews."

- Not far from Memphis, though perhaps not to be identified with the site of the Onias temple, cf. Ant. xiii. 65 note $b$ and Schürer iii. 97 n. 25.
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 тоข́тоvs $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda a \mu \beta$ ál＇єו．




 то仑̂ Mı日िьঠáтоv кє́раs каi та日єîv äv є̇кıvסט́vєvбє тà $\delta \epsilon \iota v o ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha, ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \eta ’ o ́ v a ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi о \tau \alpha \mu o \hat{v}$ oùv тoîs oikєioıs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ ' A v \tau i \pi a \tau \rho o s ~ \pi a \rho \alpha-~$ $\theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \quad \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \eta \kappa \bar{\omega} s$ グ $\delta \eta$ тoùs $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o u s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~$






 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s ~ a i ̈ \tau \iota o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' A \nu \tau i \pi a \tau \rho o v ~ a ̉ \pi o ф a i v \omega \nu, ~ \check{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon$


 тov̀s à $\gamma \omega \bar{\omega}$ as aủt $\hat{\varphi}$ ．
${ }^{1} \mathrm{P}$ ：$\sigma v v \epsilon \lambda \theta$ óvcas rell．F．$\quad{ }^{2}$ ws ${ }^{\eta} \delta \eta \eta$ FLAMW et Lat．vid． ${ }^{3} \pi a \rho \in \lambda \eta \lambda v^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota$ LAMW et Lat．vid．
${ }^{4} \pi \rho о т \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon є$ Р＇．
${ }^{5}$ Niese：є́ка́лєє codd．
 ${ }^{7}$ тєббара́коขта LAMIW lat．
a The parallel，B．J．i．190，does not tell how $\Lambda$ ntipater won the support of the Egyptian Jews by using Hyrcanus＇name ： $c f$ ．above，$\S 127$ note $b$ ．
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high priest had the same wish, they complied. ${ }^{a}$ And when those in the neighbourhood of Memphis heard that these Jews had joined Caesar's side, they too invited Mithridates to come to them. Accordingly he came and took them into his army as well.
(2) And when he had passed round the region Caesar comcalled the Delta, he engaged the enemy at the mends AntiCamp of the Jews, ${ }^{b}$ as it is called. Mithridates com- ${ }^{\text {parowess in }}$ manded the right wing, and Antipater the left. And $\frac{\text { battle in }}{\text { Egypt. }}$ when they met in battle, Mithridates' wing gave way and would have been in danger of suffering a very grave disaster, if Antipater, who had already defeated the enemy (opposite him), had not come running with his own soldiers along the bank of the river and rescued him, at the same time putting to flight the Egyptians who had defeated Mithridates. And continuing in pursuit, he also seized their camp, and brought back ${ }^{c}$ Mithridates, who had been separated far from him in the rout. Of the latter's men some eight hundred fell, while Antipater lost only fifty. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Mithridates thereupon wrote an account of this to Caesar, declaring that Antipater had been responsible for their victory and also for their safety ; and as a result of this, Caesar commended Antipater on that occasion, and, what is more, made use of him for the most dangerous tasks throughout the entire war. The natural result was that Antipater was wounded in some of the battles.e

[^186]
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 'フ๋廿єкра́тоиs óvó $\mu a \tau о s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ о и ̆ т \omega s " ~ " ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \mu є ̀ \nu ~$


 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa є v a ́ \sigma \alpha \iota, ~ к а i ~ \tau о v ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о v s ~ \delta v v a ́ \sigma \tau \alpha я ~ \pi \rho о т \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \alpha \iota, ~$


140 (4.) 'Е $\lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ бє каí 'Avтírovos ó 'Apıбтoßoúduv



${ }^{1}$ Dindorf: $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̂ s ~ c o d d . ~ E: ~: ~ m i l i t i a e ~ L a t . ~$

${ }^{3}$ Dindorf : oтpatıâs cold. E: militias Lat.
${ }^{4}$ то́тє om. PE.
${ }^{5} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta{ }^{2} \mathrm{I}$ ': calamitatem Lat.
a Caesar sailed for Syria in the spring of 47 13.c. to attack Pharnaces, King of Bosporus, who was threatening the Roman forces in $A$ ia Minor ; on the chronology see Judeich, pp. 108 ff .

Caesar did this to please Antipater, adds B.J. i. 19.4. Hyrcanus had probably resumed the office of high priest after the imprisonment of Aristobulus in 63 в.c., cf. above, $\S 7$ note $a$.
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(3) Moreover, when Caesar in the course of time Iyrcanus concluded the war and sailed to Syria, ${ }^{a}$ he honoured ${ }^{\text {is rewardes }}$ him greatly; while confirming Hyrcanus in the high- for aiding priesthood, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ he gave Antipater lioman citizenship and his army ingyt. exemption from taxation everywhere. It is said by many writers that Hyrcanus took part in this eampaign ${ }^{c}$ and came to Egypt. ${ }^{d}$ And this statement of mine is attested by Strabo of Cappadocia, who writes as follows, on the authority of Asinius.e "After Mithridates, Hyrcanus, the high priest of the Jews, also invaded Egypt." And again this same Strabo in another passage writes as follows, on the authority of Hypsierates. ${ }^{f}$ " Mithridates went out alone, but Antipater, the procurator ${ }^{g}$ of Judaea, was called to Ascalon by him and provided him with an additional three thousand ${ }^{h}$ soldiers, and won over the other princes : and the high priest Hyrcanus also took part in the campaign." $i$ These are Strabo's own words.
(4) But at that time ${ }^{j}$ Antigonus, the son of Aristobulus, also came to Caesar and bewailed the sad fate ${ }^{k}$ of his father, saying that it was on Caesar's account that Aristobulus and his brother had died, the one having been put out of the way by poison, and the
son of II, appeals to Caesar against the usurpation of Hyrcanus.
${ }^{d}$ This statement and the following quotation from Strabo are not found in B.J.

- Asinius Pollio, a contemporary of Iulins Caesar, wrote a History, now lost except for brief fragments, which covered the period $60-c .40$ b.c.
${ }^{1}$ An older eontemporary of Strabo ; his works, now lost, except for fragments, seem to have dealt with the history and ethnography of the Near East and Africa.
- Cf. above, § 127 note $d$.
${ }^{n}$ Only 1500 are mentioned in Caesar's decree, § 193.
"Text slightly emended: mss. "army."
; The variant omits " at that time."
* Variant " the death."
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 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu, \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ s$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ à $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi a \iota \nu \epsilon$ тoùs $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ tòv







 áठヶкíav тоиิто $\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i v$ тои̂ $\delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma a \nu \tau o s . ~$




${ }^{1}$ Niese：$\delta \grave{e}$ aut $\delta$＇codd．
${ }^{2}$ ка日’ av́тov̂ Naber：кат’ aủтov̂ codd．edd．
${ }^{3}$ aủtòs W Lat．
${ }^{5}$ àvápтaoтov $\mathrm{FLA}^{2} \mathrm{M}$ IW ：tractum Lat．
${ }^{6}$ крат $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \tau \mathrm{FLAMW}$ ．

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf．above，ss 124－125．
${ }^{b}$ Ant．omits the criticism（obviously repeated from Nicolas of Damascus）of Antigonus for his attack on Anti－ pater and Hyrcanus，which is expressed in the parallel， B．J．i．195．It also omits Antigonus＇charge that his adver－ saries had supported Caesar in Egypt not out of goodwill but out of fear．
522
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other exeeuted by beheading at the hands of Scipio ${ }^{a}$; and he begged Caesar to take pity on him for having been driven from his realm ; and in this comnexion he accused Hyreanus and Antipater of governing the people by violence and having acted lawlessly toward him. ${ }^{b}$ But Antipater, who was present, defended himself on these points of the accusation which he saw had been brought against him, and declared that Antigonus and his fellows were revolutionaries and fomenters of sedition ${ }^{c}$; at the same time he reealled how he had laboured on behalf of the Romans and assisted them in their plans of war, speaking of things to which his own person testified ${ }^{d}$; with justice, he added, had Aristobulus been deported to Rome, for he had always been hostile to the Romans and never well-disposed toward them. As for the brother of Antigonus who had been punished ${ }^{e}$ for brigandage by Seipio, he had met the fate he deserved, and if he had suffered this punishment it was not because of any violence or injustice on the part of him who had inflicted it.
(5) After Antipater had made this speech, Caesar Caesar and appointed Hyrcanus high priest, ${ }^{f}$ and gave Antipater the Roman power to rule in whatever form he preferred. And as the latter left the decision to him, he appointed

Antipater and Hyrcanus.

- Antipater defends himself more dramatically in B.J. i. 197; he strips off his clothes to show his scars, and also speaks more rhetorically against the revolutionary tendencies of the family of Aristobulus.
${ }^{d}$ Apparently this is a reference to his sears (cf. preceding note) ; others translate, " speaking of things of which he (Caesar) was a witness."
e Variant " scized."
${ }^{\prime}$ And ethnarch, according to the decrees cited in $\S \S 190 \mathrm{ff}$. liy the Jews he seems to have been called king, of. $\S \S 157$, 172.
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 145 " $\Lambda \epsilon$ є́кıos Ov̉a入є́pıos $\Lambda \epsilon$ ккíov viós $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o s^{2}$ avv-


 146 каi IIa $\pi \epsilon i \rho \imath o s ~ K v p i v a . ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \hat{\omega} v ~ ' A \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi a v \delta \rho o s^{3}$


 üт $\eta \rho \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' P \omega \mu a i o v s ~ \chi a ́ \rho ı т а s ~ к а i ~ т \eta ̀ \nu ~ ф ı \lambda i ́ a v, ~$





 ёрритто E: Exc. : nam adhuc diruti iacebant I.at.
${ }^{2}$ consul lat. ${ }^{3}$ 'Avítatpos coni. Ritschl.
${ }^{a} C f$. above, $\S 127$ note $d$.
${ }^{n}$ In B.J. i. 199 this favour is granted Antipater. In the change of persons Laqueur, p. t65, sees another instance of Josephus" " systematic political revision " of B.J.
c On the varions Roman and Hellenistic decrees cited in Ant. xiii. and xiv. see works listed in Appendix J.
a The following decree is to be dated, not in the time of Hyrcanus II (the decrees of Caesar issued on his behalf are cited below, $\S \varsigma 190 \mathrm{ff}$.), but probably in the time of Simon, 524
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him procurator of Judaea. ${ }^{a}$ He also permitted Hyrcanus, who had asked this farour of him, to rebuild the walls of his native city, ${ }^{b}$ for they had been lying in ruins ever since Pompey demolished them. And he sent instructions to the consuls at Rome to record these grants in the Capitol.c And the decree enacted by the Senate was as follows. ${ }^{d}$ "Lucius Valerius, son of Lucius, the praetor, e consulted with the Senate on the Ides of December in the Temple of Concord. ${ }^{f}$ And at the writing of the decree there were present Lucius Coponius, son of Lucius, of the Colline tribe, and Papirius of the Quirine tribe. Whereas Alexander, ${ }^{g}$ son of Jason, Numenius, son of Antiochus, and Alexander, son of Dorotheus, envoys of the Jews and worthy men and allies, have discussed the matter of renewing the relation of goodwill and friendship which they formerly maintained with the Romans, and have brought as a token of the alliance a golden shield worth fifty thousand gold pieces, ${ }^{h}$ and have asked that letters be given them to the autonomous cities and kings in order that their country and ports may be secure and suffer no harm, ${ }^{i}$ it has been demore exactly in 139 b.c.; cf. 1 Macc. xv. 16-21, where a similar letter of the Roman consul Lucins is cited : cf. further works cited in Appendix J.
e Or " consul," as the Latin translation has it; of orparт $\gamma$ ós as "practor" and "consul" see Magie, pp. 74, 81.
$f$ Schürer, i. 251 n. 22 end, argues against Momnisen (Hermes ix. (18\%5), 281 ff.$)$ that this may be an earlier Temple of Concord than that built in 121 B.c., and that thus the dating of the decree in 139 r.c. is not excluded.
" Ritschl proposes to read "Antipater," to make the decree agree with 1 Nacc. xiv. 22, which names the envoys Numenius, son of Intiochus, and Intipater, son of Jason.
${ }^{n} \mathrm{Cf}$. 1 Macc. xiv. 21, xv. 18, which mention a gold shield of 1000 minae $=50,000$ didrachms or staters.

- Such kings are enumerated in I Macc. xv. 22.
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 фı入íav каi $\chi \alpha ́ \rho ı \tau а s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̀ \tau o v ́ s, ~ к а i ~ o ̈ \sigma \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \delta є \eta ́-~$














 igitur componere lat．
${ }^{2}$ èvátov єiкобтoû toni．Homolle．
${ }^{3}$ ठク̀ A MW．$\quad$ no入入à kail aủtòs P ．
${ }^{5}$ ar $\pi \in \delta o ́ 0 \eta$ Krebs．
${ }_{7}^{6}$ тoîs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̂ s ~ o m . ~ P, ~ s e c l . ~ N i e s e . ~$
 $\mu$ oúo os sod．
${ }^{8}$ є́vбєка́т $\eta 2^{0}$ add．Dindorf．
${ }^{9}$ Corsini ：ar $\rho \chi \iota \rho \in \dot{v} s$ cold．Exc．Lat．
${ }^{\text {a }}$＇There is no reason why Josephus＇source should give the date of a Roman decree in terms of the Macedonian calendar；moreover the decree is dated internally on the Ides of December，while P＇anemus＝June－July．The sentence evidently refers to the following Athenian decree，which is to be dated in the time of Hyreanus I（see notes following）； we must，however，emend＂ninth＂to＂twenty－ninth，＂as Homolle proposes，which would give ns 106／5 nsc．，agreeing with the date of the archonship of Agathocles；we must 526
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creed to form a relation of goodwill and friendship with them and to provide them with all the things which they have requested, and to accept the shield which they have brought." This took place in the ninth year of Hyrcanus the high priest and ethnarch, in the month Panemus. ${ }^{a}$ Among the Athenian people The also Hyrcanus obtained honours, for he had been of Athenians great service to them. And they wrote and sent him Hyrcanus. a resolution, of which the contents were as follows. " In the presidency and priesthood of Dionysius, son of Asclepiades, on the fifth day before the end of the month of Panemus, a decree of the Athenians was delivered to the magistrates. ${ }^{b}$ In the archonship of Agathocles, ${ }^{c}$ when Eucles, son of Xenander, of the Aithalidean deme, ${ }^{d}$ was scribe, on the eleventh of the month of Munychion, ${ }^{e}$ on the eleventh day ${ }^{f}$ of the prytany, a meeting of the presiding officers (proedroi) being held in the theatre, Dorotheus of the Erchian deme ${ }^{g}$ and his fellow presiding officers
also delete the words " and ethnarch," which could hardly have been applied to Hyrcanus I.
${ }^{b}$ As Reinach points out, this first sentence is introductory, and refers to the transmission of the decree to the Jews by some Hellenistic city.
${ }^{\text {c }} 106 / 5$ b.c.; see W. B. Dinsmoor, The Archons of Athens in the Hellenistic Age, 1931, pp. 275-277 and W. Pritchett and B. D. Meritt, The Chronology of Hellenistic Archons 1941, p. xxxiv.
${ }^{d}$ Reinach's conjecture, on the basis of a contemporary inseription (CIA ii. ${ }^{2}$ 2984) for mss. " son of Menander, of the Alimusian deme."

- Thus the decree was passed two months earlier than Hyrcanus received it, cf. above, note a.
f The day of the prytany, missing in the mss., is supplied by Dindorf.
${ }^{9}$ Text slightly emended; for 'Epxtev's " of the Erchian deme " the mss. have ápxıє $\rho \in \dot{v}$ " high priest."
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 $\pi a ́ \sigma \eta ~ \chi \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ a u ̉ т о ข ̀ s ~ \sigma \pi о v \delta \hat{\eta}$, каi тоѝs







 153 ả $\gamma a \theta$ óv, $\tau \iota \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{̣}$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v \omega$ ả $\rho \iota-$




${ }^{1}$ édogє ins. Boeckh. ${ }^{2}$ 'A $\lambda \epsilon \xi a ́ v \delta \rho o u$ secl. Homolle.
${ }^{3}$ Etoóóтou toû Dioठúpou coni. Damont: Diovvaiou tô̂
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supervised the voting ${ }^{a}$ when the people passed ${ }^{b}$ the motion of Dionysius, son of Dionysius, as follows. ${ }^{c}$ Inasmuch as Hyreanus, son of Alexander, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ the high priest and ethnarch ${ }^{e}$ of the Jews, has continued to show goodwill to our people as a whole and to every individual citizen, and to manifest the greatest zeal on their behalf, and when any Athenians come to him either on an embassy or on a private matter, he receives them in a friendly manner and sends them on their way with precautions for their safe return, as has been previously attested, it has therefore now been decreed on the motion of Theodotus, son of Diodorus, ${ }^{f}$ of the Sunian deme, who reminded the people of the virtues of this man and of his readiness to do us whatever good he can, to honour this man with a golden crown as the reward of merit fixed by law, and to set up his statue in bronze in the precincts of the temple of Demos and the Graces, ${ }^{a}$ and to announce the award of the crown in the theatre at the Dionysian festival when the new tragedies are performed, and at the Panathenaean and Eleusinian
been added by Josephus or his source, under the impression that Hyrcanus II was meant instead of Hyrcanus I.

- The words " and ethnarch " must also be an addition, see $\S 14 \mathrm{~S}$ note $a$ above.
' "Theodotus, son of Diodorus" is conjectured by Dumont (cited in Reinach's note) for mss. "Theodorius (variant "Dionysius "), son of Theodorus," on the basis of a contemporary inscription.
- This temple was near the Agora ; cf. Chas. H. Weller, Athens and its Monuments, 1913, p. 81, "A small precinct which Pausanias fails to mention has been uncovered in the railroad artery at the north end of the Colonus Agoraeus, the precinct of Demus and the Graces . . . we know from literary references that various bronze statues stood here." Sce also W. Judeich, Topographie v. Athen, 2nd ed. p. 36?.
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 ікаข $\omega$ s. ${ }^{9}$
156 (ix. 1) Kaîoap $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Sьoккíбаs $\tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta \grave{\nu} \nu$ ミ̇vpíav




 'Eגєuowaíwv codd.
${ }^{2}$ каi secl. Niese: каi Ilzo入є $\mu$ aious coni. 'T. Reinach.




${ }^{8}+$ тòv à $\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \in ́ a ~ к а i ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ т о u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ 1 ' . ~$
iкаขิิs on. P.
${ }^{10}$ Opúdov LA 1 : $\theta_{\text {pú }} \lambda_{\text {dov MF marg. }}$ : seditionem Lat.

[^188]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 153-156

festivals and at the gymnastic games ${ }^{a}$; and that the magistrates shall take eare that so long as he continues to maintain his goodwill toward us, everything which we can devise shall be done to show honour and gratitude to this man for his zeal and generosity, in order that by these measures our people may show that it approves of good men and holds them worthy of a fitting reward, and may rival those already honoured in the zeal shown toward us ${ }^{b}$; and that enroys shall be chosen from among all the Athenians to convey this resolution to him and request him to accept these honours and to endeavour at all times to do good to our city." What we have here set down concerning the honours paid to Hyrcanus ${ }^{\text {c }}$ by the Romans and the people of Athens seems sufficient.
(ix. 1) ${ }^{d}$ Now when Caesar had settled the affairs of statipater Syria, he sailed away. And Antipater, after escorting restores Caesar out of Syria, returned to Judaea and at once Judaea. raised again the wall which had been demolished by Pompey, ${ }^{e}$ and going about the country suppressed temporary inscriptions, " at the gymnastic games of the Panathenaean and Eleusinian and Ptolemaic festivals."
${ }^{0}$ The text and meaning of the last clause are slightly uncertain. Reinach emends to read, "and that all may rival the one thus honoured (i.e. Hyrcanus) in zeal toward us." But Reinach's "thus " ( $\omega \delta \epsilon$ ) would have to be oüTws, as Prof. B. D. Meritt writes privately through Prof. Capps: Prof. Meritt also suggests that $\zeta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta($ (v.l. $-\epsilon$ ) may be an iotacism for $\zeta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma t$. Prof. Post reads $\delta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta$, "reveal the zeal . . . of those already honoured." But I think $\zeta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta$ may stand. $\quad$ мs. P adds "the high priest."
${ }^{d}$ Here is resumed the parallelism between Ant. and B.I.I.; $\S \S 156-170$ are parallel to B.J. i. 201-211; cf. Laquenr, pp. 171-18.4, who in these sections, as elsewhere, sees evidence of Josephus' later anti-Herodian bias.

- Cf. $\$ 14$.
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 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s$ тúpavvov, 'Pwhaious $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каi Kaíoара тıкроѝs



158 (2) Bраঠ̀̀v $\delta$ ' о́ $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi v $\omega \theta \hat{\eta}$ тòv 'T’ркаvóv, Фaбáŋ入ov $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ тòv $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ v́тaтov av́тô̂ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu$










 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{P}$ ': $\tau$ à rell. E .
${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ à P : om. rell. E.
${ }^{3}$ ' $\delta$ ' ' є́autov̂ om. 1' Zonaras.
${ }^{4}$ кє' coni. Casaubon. ${ }^{5}$ катаб ${ }^{\text {c̀̀ }} \mathrm{V}$.
" By the Jews he was called "king," by the Romans, " ethnarch ": cf. $\S 143$ mote $f$.
" B.J. i. 202 has " enemies in place of rulers and friends." 532
disorders therein by both threatening and advising the people to remain quiet. For, he said, those who were on the side of Hyreanus would be left in peace and could live undisturbed in the enjoyment of their own possessions, but if they elung to the hope of achieving something by revolution and were counting on any gains therefrom, they would have in him a master in place of a protector, and in Hyrcanus a tyrant in place of a king, ${ }^{a}$ and in the Romans and Caesar bitter enemies in place of rulers. ${ }^{b}$ For they would not allow any man to be removed from office whom they themselves had placed therein. Through sueh words he restored order throughout the country by his own efforts.
(2) But as he saw that Hyrcanus was dull and sluggish, ${ }^{c}$ he appointed his eldest son Phasael governor of Jerusalem and the surrounding region, and entrusted Galilee to his second son Herod, who was still quite young; he was, in fact, only fifteen years old. ${ }^{d}$ But his youth in no way hindered him, and being a young man of high spirit, he quickly found an opportunity for showing his prowess. For on learning that Ezekias, a bandit leader, was overrunning the borders of Syria with a large troop, he eaught and killed him and many of the bandits with him. This achievement of his was greatly admired by the Syrians, for he had cleared their country of a gang
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 тó入ıv, ойтє $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho о к a ́ \lambda \omega s$ тоîs $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho \rho о \sigma-$







 'Avтíтaтроv каi $\tau o v ̀ s ~ v i o v ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o v^{10} ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega s ~ a u ̉-~$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma o ́ \delta \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} S$ 'Iovo人aias каi $\tau \hat{\omega \nu}{ }^{\prime} T \rho$ -
${ }^{1}$ PV: $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ rell. $\quad{ }^{2}$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \bar{\eta} s$, Svpías FLAMWW.

${ }^{4}$ є̇vovatépous PE: fautores Lat.
 ${ }^{6}$ oùdèv oia P : oủdevòs à lixe. ${ }^{7}$ кai om. P'V.


- oủ $\pi a \rho \in ́ \beta \eta$ FLAMIW. $\quad{ }^{10}+\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aùtoû LAMW. 53.


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 160-163

of bandits of whom they longed to be rid. And so they sang his praises for this deed throughout their villages and cities, saying that he had given them peace and the secure enjoyment of their possessions. And through this action he became known to Sextus Caesar, a kinsman of the great Caesar and governor of Syria. ${ }^{a}$ Thereupon the desire to emulate Herod's achievements seized his brother Phasael, and being moved by the thought of the reputation Herod had won, he was ambitious not to be behind him in achieving like fame; and so he made the inhabitants of Jerusalem feel very friendly toward him, and though he kept the city under his own rule, he did not show any lack of discretion in governing it ${ }^{b}$ or abuse his authority. This situation made it possible for Antipater to receive from the nation the respect shown a king and such honour as might be enjoyed by one who is an absolute master. With all this glory, however, he did not, as so often seems to happen, ${ }^{b}$ in any way alter his friendship and loyalty to Hyrcanus.
(3) ${ }^{c}$ But when the leading Jews saw Antipater and his sons growing so great through the goodwill of the nation and the revenues which they received
a Sextus Caesar was sent to govern Syria in the summer The leading Jews aceuse Antinater and his sons betore Hyrcanus. of 47 b.c., cf. Schïrer i. 309. He was murdered by Caccilius Bassus, a partisan of Pompey, in 46 в.c., cf. below § 268.
 Thucydidean (Thuc. vi. 44.4) as is ofa каi $\phi \lambda \lambda \epsilon \bar{\imath}$ in $\$ 169$ (Thuc. iii. $51 . \overline{5}$, iv. 23. 3).
${ }^{c}$ §s 163-167 differ considerably from the account in B.J. i. 208-209 where Antipater appears in a more favourable, and Hyreanus in a more unfavourable, light. Laqueur, pp. 176 ff ., argues that $\$$ § $163-161$ in Ant. were a later addition to $B . J$., which in turn was made to agree with the revised account by being interpolated.

## JOSEPHUS



 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \iota \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' \Upsilon \rho к а \nu o ̀ v ~ а и ́ \tau o ̀ s ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \nu о \sigma \phi i \zeta є \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \alpha ́ \nu$. «́s $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ iठíav, à $\lambda \lambda$ ' ov̉ $\dot{\omega}$ ' $1 \rho \kappa \alpha \nu о v ิ$

 $\hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ oi $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iovঠaícuv óp $\bar{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon S$ тòv

 катךүо́роvv 'Avтıта́троv, каí " $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \pi о ́ \tau ', " ~ " Є ф а-~$ $\sigma \alpha \nu$, " Є̇ $\pi i$ тоîs $\pi \rho a \tau \tau o \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ \eta ̇ \sigma v \chi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ;{ }^{2} \ddot{\eta}^{3}$ oủ

 166 övоца $\mu$ о́vov áкои́оvтк; à $\lambda \lambda$ à $\mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \quad \sigma \epsilon$ $\tau \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha \mu \eta \delta є$ ảкívסvvos єivaı vó $\mu \iota \zeta \epsilon \dot{\rho} a \theta v \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \tau \epsilon$
 $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ 'Avгiтaтןos каi oi $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \epsilon s ~ \alpha ย ่ \tau o \hat{v} \nu \hat{v} \nu$






${ }^{2}$ Hudson Exc.: ض̇ouxá̧eıs codd. E Lat. ${ }^{3}$ 方 1'VAW.
${ }^{5}$ ooc I '.
${ }^{6}$ roùs LAMVW: onmes Lat.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 164-167

from Judaea and Hyrcanus' wealth, they beeame hostile toward him. Moreover Antipater had formed a friendship with the Roman generals, and after persuading Hyrcanus to send them money, he took this gift and appropriated it for himself, and then sent it as though it came from him and were not a gift from Hyrcanus. Hyrcanus heard of this but gave the matter no thought; on the contrary he was actually pleased. ${ }^{a}$ But the chief Jews were in great fear when they saw how powerful and reckless Herod was and how much he desired to be a dictator. ${ }^{b}$ And so they came to Hyrcanus and now openly accused Antipater, saying, "How long will you keep quiet in the face of what is happening? Do you not see that Antipater and his sons have girded themselves with royal power, while you have only the name of king given you ? ${ }^{c}$ But do not let these things go unnoticed, nor consider yourself free of danger because you are careless of yourself and the kingdom. For no longer are Antipater and his sons merely your stewards in the government, and do not deceive yourself with the belief that they are; they are openly acknowledged to be masters. Thus Herod, his son, has killed Ezekias and many of his men ${ }^{d}$ in violation of our Law, which forbids us to slay a man, even an evildoer, unless he has first been condenmed by the
a B..J. says nothing about Antipater's friendship with the Roman gencrals or his appropriation of Hyrcanus' gift. Moreover, according to B.J., Hyrcanus was resentful of Antipater's behaviour and envious of him.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ In B.J. Antipater's detractors are " malicious persons at court who had taken offence at the prudent behaviour either of Antipater or of his sons."
${ }^{\text {c Hyrcanus was officially ethnarch, not king, cf. § } 143}$ note $f$.
"Variant " and his men."
vol. VII
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 є́тó $\mu \mu \sigma \epsilon \nu$.'









 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a, \tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \Gamma a \lambda \iota \lambda a i ́ a \nu$ ôv $\epsilon \in \nu o ́ \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ бицфє́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \tau \rho о ́ \pi о \nu^{2}$ á $\rho \mu о \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$, каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ бтí-











4 каі тà FLTW: каі AM: тò P'E. ${ }^{5}$ ура́ниа PE.
a The Synhedrion is not mentioned by Herod's accusers in B.J.
${ }^{6}$ 'The pleas of the brigands' mothers are not mentioned in B.J.
538

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 167-170

Synhedrion to suffer this fate. ${ }^{a} \mathrm{He}$, however, has dared to do this without authority from you.'
(4) Having heard these arguments, Hyrcanus was persuaded. And his anger was further kindled by the mothers of the men who had been murdered by Herod, for every day in the temple they kept begging the king and the people to have Herod brought to judgment in the Synhedrion for what he had done. ${ }^{b}$ Being, therefore, moved by these pleas, Hyreanus summoned Herod to stand trial for the crimes of which he was accused. ${ }^{c}$ Accordingly, after he had settled affairs in Galilee as he thought was to his best interests, ${ }^{d}$ because his father had advised him not to enter the city as a private individual but with the seeurity of a bodyguard, he came with a troop sufficient for the purposes of the journey, and that he might not appear too formidable to Hyrcanus by arriving with a larger body of men and yet not be entirely unarmed and unprotected; and so he went to his trial. However Sextus, the governor of Syria, wrote to urge Hyrcanus to aequit Herod of the charge, and added threats as to what would happen if he disobeycd.e The letter from Sextus gave Hyrcanus a pretext for letting Herod go without suffering any harm from the Synhedrion; for he loved him as a
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 ov̈т $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ ov̋тє ن́ $\mu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon ’ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ v ~ ن ́ \pi o \lambda \alpha \mu-~$


 $\dot{v} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu,{ }^{4}$ ко́ $\mu \eta \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \epsilon \in \pi \iota \theta \rho \epsilon ́ \psi a s$ каi $\epsilon \in \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \nu$
173 є́v $\delta \epsilon \delta \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v o s . ~ o ̀ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \beta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o s ~ ' H \rho \omega ́ \delta \eta s, ~ ф o ́ v o v ~$



${ }^{2}$ ข́m’ aùvทิร om. P.
${ }^{3} \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{V}$.
${ }^{4} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$ Hudson.
a Josephus' accounts of Herod's trial in B.J. and Ant. are rather confusing. In B.J. i. 211 he states that Hyrcanus acquitted Herod on orders from Sextus Caesar ; in $\$ 8212 \mathrm{ff}$. Herod, after being appointed governor of Coele-Syria, came to Jerusalem with an army, prepared to depose Hyrcanus if summoned to a second trial. Whether the second trial was held or why it should be held after Herod's acquittal ( $\circ f$. Mishnah, Sanhedrin, iv. 1) is not clear. Josephus' statemont in Ant., § 170, that Hyrcanus let Herod go (ámòv̄oaı) evidently refers to an acquittal. The story that follows in §§ 171-176 (which has no parallel in B.J.) should logically precede the statement about Herod's acquittal ; it seems to be a later insertion, probably based on Jewish tradition (for $5 \nmid 0$

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 171-173

son. ${ }^{a}$ But when Herod stood in the Synhedrion ${ }^{b}$ with his troops, he overawed them all, and no one of those who had denounced him before his arrival dared to accuse him thereafter ; instead there was silence and doubt about what was to be done. While they were Samaias in this state, someone named Samaias, ${ }^{c}$ an upright man and for that reason superior to fear, arose and said, "Fellow councillors and King, I do not myself know of, nor do I suppose that you can name, anyone who when summoned before you ${ }^{d}$ for trial has ever presented such an appearance. For no matter who it was that came before this Synhedrion for trial, he has shown himself humble and has assumed the manner of one who is fearful and seeks mercy ${ }^{e}$ from you ${ }^{f}$ by letting his hair grow long and wearing a black garment. But this fine fellow Herod, who is accused of murder and has been summoned on no less grave a charge than this, stands here clothed in purple, with the hair of his head carefully arranged and with his
a rabbinic parallel see Derenbourg, pp. 146-148). But another difficulty remains in Ant. In $\S 17 \%$ Josephus tells us that Hyrcanus postponed Herod's trial ; in $\S 182$ he states
 Thus neither of the two parallel accounts makes it clear whether Herod was tried and acquitted or was released without an actual trial. Incidentally, according to Mishnah, Sanhedrin, ii. 2, the king was not competent to act as a judge.

- The Synhedrion (Heb. Sanhedrin) was the supreme legislative and judicial body of the Jewish state, meeting at Jerusalem.
c Variants "Sameas," "Samaeus (Samaios)." He is mentioned, in Ant.xv. 3, 370, as a disciple of the Pharisee Pollion. On the relation of Samaias to the rabhinic leaders Shemaiah and Shammai see works listed in Appendix K.
d Variant "us."
 Orestes 568.
' Conjectured variant "us."
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 тои́тоเs ov̉к äv $\mu \epsilon \mu \psi\left\langle a i \mu \eta \nu\right.$, єi тò av́тoṽ $\hat{v}^{2} \sigma \nu \mu \phi$ є́pov












 $\chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu$ є’роиิ $\mu \epsilon \nu$.











${ }^{2}$ Naber : aùtov̂ codd. ${ }^{3}$ I'E: àveßád ${ }^{\prime}$ єтo rell.
${ }^{4}$ Kaíapa om. LAMIW.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 173-179

soldiers round him, in order to kill us if we condemn him as the law prescribes, and to save himself by outraging justice. But it is not Herod whom I should blame for this or for putting his own interests above the law, but you and the king, for giving him such great licenee. Be assured, however, that God is great, and this man, whom you now wish to release for Hyreanus' sake, will one day punish you and the king as well." And he was not mistaken in either part of his prediction. For when Herod assumed royal power, he killed Hyreanus and all the other members of the Synhedrion with the exception of Samaias. Him he held in the greatest honour, both because of his uprightness and because when the city was later besieged by Herod and Sossius, he advised the people to admit Herod, and said that on account of their sins they would not be able to escape him. And of these events we shall speak in the proper place. ${ }^{a}$
(5) ${ }^{b}$ Now when Hyreanus saw that the members of the Synhedrion were bent on putting Herod to death, he postponed the trial to another day, and seeretly sent to Herod, advising him to flee from the city, for in that way, he said, he might eseape danger. Herod accordingly withdrew to Damascus as if fleeing from the king, and coming to Sextus Caesar and making his position secure, he was determined not to obey if he were again summoned to a trial before the Syuhedrion. Thereupon the members of the Synhedrion became indignant and attempted

[^191]
## JOSEPHUS





















 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ отратєias ${ }^{6}$ тò äठıкоv, ${ }^{7}$ ठıò каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu i ́ \kappa \eta \nu ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$
${ }^{1}$ каi $\epsilon \pi \pi^{\prime}$ AMVW.
 Naber.
${ }^{3}$ нóv А MW . ${ }^{4} \mathrm{P}$ : aủròv rell.
${ }^{6} \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ FLAMW.
${ }^{6}$ aтpaтıâs PFAMW.
² PV cum B.J. : ă $\delta \eta \lambda$ ov rell.
a In B.J. i. 212 it is not the Synhedrion but the " knaves" (oi novqрoí) at court who instigate Hyrcanus against Herod.
${ }^{6}$ B.J. does not mention Hyrcanus' "cowardice and folly" ; instead, it says that he was inactive because " he 54
to persuade Hyrcanus that all these things were directed against him. ${ }^{a}$ But though he was not unaware of this, he was incompetent to do anything, because of his cowardice and folly. ${ }^{b}$ And when Sextus made Herod governor of Coele-Syria ${ }^{c}$-for he gave him this title in return for money-, ${ }^{,}$Hyrcanus was afraid that Herod would march against him. Nor was this fear long in being realized, for Herod did come against him with an army, being angry because of the trial and because he had been summoned to render an account of himself to the Synhedrion. Herod, how- Herod's ever, was prevented from attacking Jerusalem ${ }^{e}$ by father and his father Antipater and his brother, who went out suade him to meet him and quieted his impetuosity, urging him not to undertake any violent action, but merely from attacking Hyrcanus. to strike terror into Hyrcanus by threats and not proceed further against one who had made it possible for him to attain to his present high office. And as he expressed indignation at having been summoned to stand trial, they begged him to remember his acquittal and to be grateful for it rather than consider the unpleasant side $f$ and be ungrateful for his deliverance. He ought, they said, to reflect that if the Deity decides the changing fortunes of war, the injustice ${ }^{9}$ of his cause might weigh more heavily than his military skill ${ }^{h}$; for that reason he should not be very saw that his adversary had greater strength " ( $\dot{\omega}$ s $\dot{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{pa}$ $\mu$ eícova qòv Scádopov).
c And Samaria, according to B.J. i. 213.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Herod's purchase of office is not mentioned in B.J. On the other hand, B.J. speaks of Herod's popularity with the Jews as an additional reason for Hyrcanus' fear of him.
e In order to depose Hyrcanus, according to B.J. i. 214.
f Cf. Dr. Thackeray's note on тò $\sigma \kappa$ v́日 $\rho \omega \pi$ ov in B.J. i. 21.4.
" Variant " uncertainty."
n Variant " than his army."
vol. VII
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 Sià $\pi$ ov $\quad$ poùs $\sigma v \mu \beta o v ́ \lambda o v s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta i ̀ ~ a u ̉ \pi o ́ v, ~ v i \pi o ́-~$



 oü $\tau \omega s \in i \chi \in \nu$.

 Káт $\omega \nu$, $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha s ~ \delta ' ~ ' T ’ \rho к а \nu o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̛ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi а \rho-~$ $\epsilon к \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota^{5} \beta_{\epsilon} \beta a \iota \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тоòs av̉тòv фı入íav каì







${ }^{1}$ єis aủ̃ò FLAMW.

 Richards et Shutt.


${ }^{6}$ oi om. AV, del. M.
a The parallelism with B.J. breaks off here, to be resumed in § 268.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 183-187

confident of a victory when he was planning to make war on his king and comrade, one who had conferred many benefits upon him but had never done him any unkindness ; as for the things of which he complained, if Hyrcanus had given him the merest suspicion and shadow of harsh treatment, it was through evil counsellors and not of his own accord. To these arguments Herod yielded, believing that it was enough for his future plans merely to have made a show of his strength to the people. This, then, was the state of affairs in Judaea. ${ }^{\text {I }}$
(x. 1) ${ }^{b}$ Caesar on arriving at Rome was ready to sail for Africa to make war on Scipio and Cato, ${ }^{c}$ when Hyrcanus sent to him with the request that he should confirm the treaty of friendship and alliance with him. And here it seems to me necessary to make to the Jews. public all the honours given our nation and the alliances made with them by the Romans and their emperors, ${ }^{d}$ in order that the other nations may not fail to recognize that both the kings of Asia and of Europe ${ }^{e}$ have held us in esteem and have admired our bravery and loyalty. Since many persons, how-

[^192]
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 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \grave{\eta}$ каi $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a^{1} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \circ \hat{v} \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ Є’v $\tau \circ \hat{\imath} \varsigma$









 סó $\not \mu а \tau а$ каi 'Iovגíou Kaíбароs $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ ' \Upsilon ’ \rho к а \nu o ̀ v ~$ каi тò ${ }^{\prime \prime} \theta \nu$ оs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
190 (2) " Гáıos 'Iov́入ıos Kaîoap av̉токрáтшр каi






 є́̀ $\lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau i$ каi $\rho \omega \mu a i \sigma \tau i ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau \omega ~ \chi a \lambda \kappa \hat{\eta}$ тои̂то
 eadem Lat.

[^193]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 187-191

ever, out of enmity to us refuse to believe what has been written about us by Persians and Macedonians because these writings are not ${ }^{a}$ found everywhere and are not deposited even in public places but are found only among us and some other barbarian peoples," while against the decrees of the Romans nothing can be said-for they are kept in the public places of the cities and are still to be found engraved on bronze tablets in the Capitol ${ }^{c}$; and what is more, Julius Caesar made a bronze tablet for the Jews in Alexandria, ${ }^{d}$ declaring that they were citizens of Alexandria -from these same documents I will furnish proof of my statements. Accordingly I will now cite the deerees passed by the Senate and Julius Caesar concerning Hyrcanus and our nation.
(2) "Gains Julius Caesar, Imperator and Pontifex Maximus, Dictator for the second time, ${ }^{e}$ to the magistrates, council and people of Sidon, greeting. If you are in good health, it is well; I also and the army are in good health. I am sending ${ }^{f}$ you a copy of the deeree, inscribed on a tablet, concerning Hyrcanus, son of Alexander, the high priest and ethnarch ${ }^{g}$ of the Jews, in order that it may be deposited among your public records. It is my wish that this be set up on a tablet of bronze in both Greek and Latin.
suseipit undique investigatis exemplaribus . . . senatus consulta, plebiscita de societate et foedere ac privilegio cuicumque concessis."
${ }^{d}$ Cf. Ap. ii. 35-37. The civic status of the Jews in the Hellenistic-Roman Diaspora will be discussed in an Appendix in the last volume of this translation.

* These titles date the document in 47 b.c., off. Holmes iii. 507. It seems to have been written on Caesar's arrival in Syria after the Alexandrian campaign, of. $\S 137$.
${ }^{f} \pi \epsilon \in \pi о \mu \phi a$ is an " epistolary" perfect tense.
- $C f . \S 143$ note $f$.
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 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ रı入ícv $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$ отрать $\omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ бv́ $\mu-$


 aùтov̂ द̀ $\theta v a ́ p \chi a s ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ \omega v ~ \epsilon i ̄ v a \iota ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda o \mu a \iota, ' ~ a ̀ \rho \chi-~$
 $\pi a ́ \tau \rho \iota a$ "̈ $\theta \eta$, єivaí $\tau \epsilon$ av̉тòv каi $\tau$ ov̀s $\pi a i ̂ \delta \alpha s ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~$



 $\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi u ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v \eta \tau a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \zeta \eta ́ \tau \eta \sigma \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \eta ิ S ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ \omega \nu$

 бокєца́弓ш.'
196 (3) Гaîov Kaíбароs aủтoкрáto ${ }^{2}{ }^{8}$ vitátov $\delta \epsilon$ -
$\left.{ }_{2}^{1} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\jmath}\right] \delta{ }^{2} \mathrm{P}$ ': $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Niese.
${ }^{2}$ av̇токра́т $\omega \rho$; . . тò $\delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ ex Lat. Niese: av̇тoкрát $\omega \rho$


${ }^{5} \hat{\eta}$ om. P .
${ }^{6} \tau \in \mathrm{P}$.
${ }^{7}$ 'тap' aùroîs om. P ': $\pi a \rho$ ' av̉roû V : de his Lat.
${ }^{8}$ dictatoris Lat.
a Text slightly emended from Lat. ; Mss. " Imperator for he second time, and Pontifex Maximus."

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 192-196

It reads as follows. ' I, Julius Caesar, Imperator and Pontifex Maximus, Dictator for the second time, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ have decided as follows with the advice of the council. ${ }^{b}$ Whereas the Jew Hyrcanus, son of Alexander, both now and in the past, in time of peace as well as in war, has shown loyalty and zeal toward our state, as many commanders have testified on his behalf, and in the recent Alexandrian war came to our aid with fifteen hundred soldiers, ${ }^{c}$ and being sent by me to Mithridates, surpassed in bravery all those in the ranks, for these reasons it is my wish that Hyrcanus, son of Alexander, and his children shall be ethnarchs of the Jews and shall hold the office of high priest of the Jews for all time in accordance with their national customs, and that he and his sons shall be our allies and also be numbered among our particular friends ; and whatever high-priestly rights or other privileges ${ }^{d}$ exist in accordance with their laws, these he and his children shall possess by my command. And if, during this period, any question shall arise concerning the Jews' manner of life, it is my pleasure that the decision shall rest with them. ${ }^{e}$ Nor do I approve of troops being given winter-quarters among them or of money being demanded of them.
(3) The following are the grants, ${ }^{f}$ concessions and
${ }^{\text {b }} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ ov $\mu \beta$ ouníov $\gamma v \omega ́ \mu \eta s=$ Lat. de consilii sententia, as earlier scholars have pointed out.
c Cf. $\S 139$, where 3000 soldiers are said to have been sent to Mithridates by Antipater.
d Reinach takes $\phi \iota \lambda a ́ v \theta \rho \omega \pi a$ in its "Alexandrian sense" of " pecuniary privileges."

- This probably refers to internal jurisdiction in Judaea, which had been affected by Gabinius' decrees in 53 в.c. ( $c f$. § 90 ), as pointed out by Tǎubler, Imp. Rom. p. 161 n. 3.
f Variant " decrees."
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 є＂$\theta$ vous ä $\rho \chi \eta$ ，каi тò̀s $\delta \in \delta о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \tau o ́ \pi о и я ~ к а \rho \pi i \zeta \omega \nu-~$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇Iovסаícv $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \alpha s^{2}$ тov̀s $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ фı入ías каi




 тоиิто $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ тоîs катà $\pi$ ód८v ${ }^{4}$ тацíaıs каi тоîs
 каi そ＇є́vla тoîs $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon i ̂ v ~ к а i ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$

199 （4）＂Гáıos Kaî̃ap av̇токра́т $\omega \rho$ §ıкта́т $\omega \rho^{5}$ ข̈та－
 $\epsilon \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ є่ $\pi i \quad \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \sigma v \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \tau о v^{6} \kappa \alpha i$ то仑̂
 aùтóv $\tau \epsilon{ }^{9}$ каi тà тє́кva aùтоv̂ à $\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ̂ S ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { P Lat. : } \delta \in \delta o \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \mathcal{v a} \text { FLAMV. } \\
& { }^{3} \text { каi } \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon u \tau a ̀ s \mathrm{P} \text {. } \\
& { }^{4} \tau \grave{\eta} v \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \mathrm{P} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left.{ }^{7} \tau \circ \hat{v} \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \circ \nu\right] \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \eta \eta^{\mu} \varphi \mathrm{P}$.
${ }^{8} \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \mathrm{PV}$.
${ }^{a}$ According to Holmes，iii．507，the following document dates from 46 b．c．or later，since Caesar was not Consul in 47 в．с．But Viereck，pp． 97 ff．，earlier held that Joseplius 552

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 196-199

awards made by Gaius Caesar, Imperator and Consul. ${ }^{a}$ "That his children shall rule over the Jewish nation and enjoy the fruits of the places given them, and that the high pricst, being also ethnarch, shall be the protector of those Jews who are unjustly treated. And that envoys be sent to Hyrcanus, son of Alexander, the high priest of the Jews, to discuss terms of friendship and alliance. And that a bronze tablet containing these decrees shall be set up in the Capitol and at Sidon and Tyre and Ascalon and ${ }^{b}$ in the temples, engraved in Latin and Greek characters. Also that this decree shall be communicated to all the quaestors and magistrates of the several cities ${ }^{c}$ and to our friends, that hospitality may be shown the envoys, and that these ordinances may be published everywhere."
(4) "Gaius Caesar, Imperator, Dictator and Consul, ${ }^{d}$ in recognition of the honour, virtue and benevolence of Hyrcanus, son of Alexander, and in the interest of the Senate and people of Rome, has granted that both he and his sons shall be high and his sons. priests and priests ${ }^{e}$ of Jerusalem and of their nation with the same rights and under the same regulations
is not quoting literally but paraphrasing the text of a senatus consultum (סórpa, § 198) issued at the end of 47 в.с. ; so also Momigliano, Ricerche, p. 201.
"Perhaps " and "should be deleted.
c ms. P " in the city," meaning Rome. According to the other mss. Caesar was providing for hospitality to the Jewish envoys in the cities of Asia while en route.
${ }^{d}$ If this means Caesar’s first dictatorship ( 48 в.c.), we
 567. Momigliano dates it in 48 b.c., other scholars in 47 , 46 or 44 b.c., see works listed in Appendix J.
e For "priests" Chamonard and Reinach read "ethnarchs."
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 т $̀ \nu$ iєршбv́v $\eta \nu^{2}$ ঠıакатє́бұоv."






 тov̀s $\tau \in \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$.'
202 (6) " 「áıos Kaı̂oap av̇токра́тшр" тò $\delta \in v ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$





${ }^{3}$ §eúrepov coni. Ritschl: $\tau$ pízov coni. Petitus ap. Hudson.
${ }^{4}$ є̌т८ l': on. l’ quo duce secl. Niese.
${ }^{5}$ nópous Lowthins.
 modii subducantur lat.
${ }^{7}$ dictator Lat. unde aùroкра́т $\omega \rho$ дıктáт $\omega \rho$ coni. Niese.
${ }^{8} \tau \in \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$. . . є́тovs] Ioppenses tributa Hierosolymorum civitati pracstent excepto septimo anno Lat.

[^194]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 199-202

as those under which their forefathers uninterruptedly held the office of priest." $a$
(5) "Gaius Caesar, Consul for the fifth time, ${ }^{b}$ has decreed that these men shall receive and fortify the city of Jerusalem. ${ }^{c}$ and that Hyreanus, son of Alexander, the high priest and ethnarch of the Jews, shall occupy it as he himself may choose. And that in the seeond year of the rent-term ${ }^{d}$ one kor ${ }^{e}$ shall be deducted from the tax paid by the Jews, and no one shall make profit out of them, nor shall they pay the same tribute."
(6) "Gaius Caesar, Imperator for the second time, ${ }^{f}$ has ruled that they shall pay a tax for the eity of Jerusalem, Joppa excluded, every year except in the seventh year, ${ }^{g}$ which they eall the sabbatical year,
included parts of the following sections, 205-211. See works listed in Appendis J.
" $\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma t s$ " rent-term " was probably taken over from P'tolemaic usage, of. W. Westermann in AJP 59 (1938), 9. If the "scond year of the rent-term" here coincides with a sabbatical year (as one naturally supposes), it confirms the dating of the document in 44 в.c., as the sabbatical year would be that which extended from Oct. 44 to Oct. 43 13.c. This dating, moreover, fits in perfectly with the reckoning of sabbatical years given above, Ant. xii. 378 note $a$; see also below, § 375.

- The kor $=3$ ro litres or 11 bushels. Either the Romans used the Hebrew name in dealing with Palestine or Josephus (or his source) has substituted the Hebrew name for the Greek.
f Niese suggests reading, with Lat., " Imperator and Dictator for the second time." Caesar's second dictatorship fell in 47 в.с. Niese earlier suggested emending tò $\delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ to to $\delta^{\prime}$ " for the fourth time," i.e. in 44 is.c.
g The Lat. reads, " the inhabitants of Joppa shall pay tribute to the city of Jerusalem except in the seventh year "; presmably this means the tribute formerly paid to the liomans, but see below, $\S 205$.
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 $\delta \epsilon \in \nu \delta \omega \nu$ картòv $\lambda а \mu \beta a ́ v o v \sigma \iota ~ \mu \eta ́ \tau \epsilon \quad \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho о v \sigma \iota \nu$.
 à $\pi \sigma \delta \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, тò $\tau \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \rho \tau о \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu,{ }^{1} \pi \rho o ̀ s$








 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ aưrov̀s $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$. 'Іó $\pi \pi \eta \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu, \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi$ '
 $\mu a i ́ o v s ~ \phi ı \lambda i ́ a v, ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ิ v ~ \epsilon i v a l, ~ к а \theta \grave{\omega} s ~ к а i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$,

 av่тov̂ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \nu \epsilon \mu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \quad \chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ \lambda \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v o s$


${ }^{2} \mu \eta{ }^{2} r \epsilon$ ảv $\alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \omega \nu \mathrm{P}:$ om. rell. Lat.
3 coni.: àvıoràs $\mathrm{P}:$ àvıarậ rell.: évıarậ Hudson: èvıarท̂ Naber.

 militibus liceat pecunias exigere Lat.
${ }^{5}$ каі ঠьакатє́бхои оm. FLV. ${ }^{6} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ add. Viereck.
$7+{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \nu V$.
${ }^{8} \dot{\epsilon} v$ add. duce Viereck.

> a lieinach substitutes $\mu \eta v i$ " month " for $\check{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ ", year." b Most ass. omit " or pro-magistrate."

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 202-206

because in this time they neither take fruit from the trees nor do they sow. And that in the second year ${ }^{a}$ they shall pay the tribute at Sidon, consisting of one fourth of the produce sown, and in addition, they shall also pay tithes to Hyreanus and his sons, just as they paid to their forefathers. And that no one, whether magistrate or pro-magistrate, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ praetor or legate, shall rise auxiliary troops in the territories of the Jews, nor shall soldiers be allowed to exact money from them, ${ }^{c}$ whether for winterquarters or on any other pretext, but they shall be free from all molestation. ${ }^{d}$ And whatever they may hereafter acquire or buy or possess ${ }^{e}$ or have assigned to them, all these they shall keep. It is also our pleasure that the city of Joppa, which the Jews had held from ancient times when they made a treaty of friendship with the Romans, ${ }^{f}$ shall belong to them as at first ; and for this city Hyrcanus, son of Alexander, and his sons shall pay ${ }^{g}$ tribute, collected from those who inhabit the territory, as a tax on the land, the harbour and exports, payable at ${ }^{h}$ Sidon in the
c Text slightly emended.
d Viereck cites as a parallel to these exemptions the law De Thermessibus in CIL i. 204.
e The variant omits " or possess."
$f$ This apparently refers to Simon's conquest of Joppa in 142 в.c., cf. Ant. xiii. 215 ; Joppa was anmexed to the Roman province of Syria by Pompey in 63 в.c., $c f$. above, § 76.
" The words "shall pay" are conjecturally supplied; one ms. adds" shall have "after " Hyreanus." The sentence is differently translated by Heichetheim, Rom. Syria, p. 232, " and that Hyrcanus, the son of Alexander, and his sons, have as tribute of that city from those that occupy the land and for what they export every year to Sidon, etc." But this rendering requires emendation of the Greek, which Heichetheim does not supply.
n The word " at " is conjecturally supplied.
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 207 Sév $\delta \rho \omega v$ картòv $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v o v \sigma \iota v . ~ \tau a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к и ́ \mu а s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$













 каi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \tau a i ̂ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ v i \pi ’ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ้ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon$




[^195]

${ }^{5}$ mai ex lat. ins. Hudson.
${ }^{6} \mathrm{P}$ ': ö tan cis rell.

[^196]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 206-210

amount of twenty thousand six hundred and seventyfive modii every year except in the seventh year, which they call the sabbatical year, wherein they neither plow nor take fruit from the trees. As for the villages in the Great Plain, ${ }^{a}$ which Hyrcanus and his forefathers before him possessed, it is the pleasure of the Senate ${ }^{b}$ that Hyrcanus and the Jews shall retain them with the same rights as they formerly had, and that the ancient rights. which the Jews and their high priests and priests ${ }^{e}$ had in relation to each other should continue, and also the privileges which they received by vote of the people and the Senate. And that they be permitted to enjoy these rights at Lydda also. ${ }^{d}$ As for the places, lands and farms, the fruits of which the kings of Syria and Phoenicia, ${ }^{e}$ as allies of the Romans, were permitted to enjoy by their gift, these the Senate decrees that the ethnarch Hyrcanus and the Jews shall have. And that to Hyrcanus and his children and to the envoys sent by him shall be given the right to sit with the menrbers of the senatorial order as spectators of the contests of gladiators and wild beasts ; and ${ }^{f}$ that when they request permission of the Dictator or Master of the horse ${ }^{g}$ to enter the Senate chamber,

[^197]
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211 (7) " Гáıos Kaîбap, aủтокрátшр ঠıктáтнр тò тє́тартоข víaто́s $\tau \epsilon$ тò $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau о \nu, ~ \delta ı к \tau а ́ \tau \omega \rho ~ a ̉ \pi о-~$


 aủтократо́р $\omega \nu$ є̀v таîs є̇тархiaıs $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \eta \sigma a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$











 petiverint dictatorem vel magistrum equitum ut in senatum eos introducant et responsa eis tradant Lat.
${ }^{2}$ is P: quatenus Lat.
${ }^{3}$ 'Iov́rros Гáıos coni. Petitus: Пómגıos Уépovílıos Ov̉atías coni. Mendelssohn: Ovíßıos 「áıos coni. Gutschmid: Пóт入ıos Eepovídlos 'Iaqupıoós coni. Lange.
${ }^{1}$ viooo oтрaт $\eta \gamma o \dot{s} \mathrm{P}$ : ó oтрат $\eta \gamma$ òs F : del. Lange.
 Lange.
${ }^{6}$ Mapícu coni. Schïrer.
a 'Text slightly uncertain.

- These titles would date the document in January or February, $4 t$ в.c., according to the chronology in Holmes iii. 560
they shall admit them and shall give them an answer ${ }^{a}$ within ten days at the latest from the time when a decree is passed."
(7) "Gaius Cacsar, Imperator, Dictator for the Julius fourth time, Consul for the fifth time, designated Caesar comDictator for life, ${ }^{b}$ made the following speech con-loyalty of cerning the rights of Hyrcanus, son of Alexander, the Jews. the high priest and ethnarch of the Jews. 'Inasmuch as the high commanders in the provinces before me have testified on behalf of Hyrcanus, the high priest of the Jews, and of the Jews themselves before the Senate and the people of Rome, and the people and Senate have expressed thanks to them, it is fitting that we too should be mindful of this and provide that there be given by the Senate and people of Rome to Hyrcanus and the Jewish nation and the sons of Hyrcanus a token of gratitude worthy of their loyalty to us and of the benefits which they have conferred upon us.'
(8) " "Julius Gaius, ${ }^{d}$ Praetor, Consul ${ }^{e}$ of the Julius Romans, to the magistrates, council and people of Caesar to l'arium, ${ }^{f}$ greeting. The Jews in Delos and some of of Parium.
567 . It probably belongs with the senatus consultum cited in $\S \S 207-211$ : cf. also $\S \delta 220 \mathrm{ff}$.
© Ritschl, followed by Mendelssohn and Viereck, dates the following document in 46 в.с.
${ }^{d}$ The name is quite uncertain. For various conjectures see the critical note. Viereck fawours Lange's conjecture " Publius Servilius Isauricus."
e Lange, Viereck and others emend arparचyòs vimazos to avov́maros "proconsul," but the former is defended by Juster i. 142 n. 3.
${ }^{1}$ P'arium was on the coast of the Troad, east of the Hellespont. Schiirer conjectures Пapíw " the people of Paros." The island of Paros is c. 10 miles S. of Delos, mentioned in the next sentence. Juster, i. $1 \nsupseteq \mathrm{n} .4$, defends the reading Mapıav $\begin{aligned} & \text { ', citing Haussouillier in } B C H \\ & 8 \\ & \text { (1884), } \\ & 149 \mathrm{ff} \text {. }\end{aligned}$
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$\pi \alpha \rho о i ́ \kappa \omega \nu$＇Iovסaí $\omega \nu$ ，$\pi \alpha \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \nu^{1}$
 $\kappa \omega \lambda$ и́єтє aủzoùs тoîs тaтрioıs є̈ $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ каі iєроîs













 $\sigma а \tau \epsilon$ ，то仑̂то $\dot{\alpha} \kappa v \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma a \iota ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ a v ̇ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\rho \in \tau \dot{\eta} v$ каi єüvoเav．＂
217 （9）Мєтà Sè qòv 「aîov Өávatov Ма̂ркоs＇Av－


 каi фı入íav тоòs av̀тoùs є̇тоínбav．каi mávza ov


${ }^{1}$ ทㄱ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ p \omega \nu \mathrm{LV}$ ．
${ }^{2}$ Mendelssohn：$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ òs каi $\begin{gathered}\text { üatos codd．：} \sigma \tau \rho а \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ к а ~\end{gathered}$ om．Lat．

${ }^{4} \mathrm{I}$ ：$\Delta 0 \lambda o \beta$ é $\lambda \lambda a s$ rell．hic et infra．
${ }^{5} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ PE：om．rell．
${ }^{6} \pi а р а т є ́ \theta \epsilon \iota a \iota$ PV．
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the neighbouring Jews, some of your ${ }^{a}$ envoys also being present, have appealed to me and declared that you are preventing them by statute from observing their national customs and sacred rites. Now it displeases me that such statutes should be made against our friends and allies and that they should be forbidden to live in accordance with their customs and to contribute money to common meals and sacred rites, for this they are not forbidden to do even in Rome. For example, Gaius Caesar, our consular praetor, ${ }^{b}$ by edict forbade religious societies to assemble in the city, but these people alone he did not forbid to do so or to collect contributions of money or to hold common meals. ${ }^{c}$ Similarly do I forbid other religious societies but permit these people alone to assemble and feast in accordance with their native customs and ordinances. And if you have made any statutes against our friends and allies, you will do well to revoke them because of their worthy deeds on our behalf and their goodwill toward us."
(9) After the death of Gaius, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Marcus Antonius and Publius Dolabella, the consuls, convencd the Senate and having introduced the envoys sent by Hyrcanus, discussed the requests they presented, and made a treaty of friendship with them. And the Senate voted to grant them everything they sought. I here-

- Variant " our."
- Conjectured for ss. " praetor (or "commander ") and consul"; the Lat. has only "consul." These titles are strange, applied to Julius Caesar.
c A similarly protective attitude toward the Jews is revealed in the decrees cited below, $\$ \$ 241-261$. On Caesar's treatment of other religious groups in Rome see Suetonius, Iul. 42. 3, " cuncta collegia praeter antiquitus constituta distraxit."
${ }^{d}$ March 15̈, 44 b.c.
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 oûtov．
 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu$ ढ̇к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \delta \eta \mu о \sigma i \omega \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \omega .{ }^{2} \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \rho \iota \omega \hat{\nu} \epsilon i \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$＇$А \pi \rho \iota \lambda \lambda i \omega \nu \nu$＇ेv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \hat{\omega}$

 пiксоs ${ }^{8}$ \єншvía Kov́ıvтos，Гáıos Kavєivlos ${ }^{8}$


 П入aútıos Пот入iov Патєєрía，Ма̂ркоs Гє́ $\lambda \lambda \iota o s{ }^{15}$


${ }^{1}$ Koivz $\mu$ Kopvך入í om．Lat．：Kotit om．AM．

 Lat．
${ }^{3}$ ex Lat．edd．Kaptov́voos codd．

${ }^{5}$ Gronovius：$\Sigma$ ¿epovívoos codd．
${ }^{6}$ Mendelssohn：Пaлivos，Пaлєivos，Пamтivos codd．
${ }^{7}$ Gronovius：Nє $\mu \omega$ ría codd．
${ }^{8}$ Kavívos FiLAM．${ }^{9}$ T $\eta \lambda \eta \pi v a \mathrm{I}$ ．
10 ＇Peßídos FLAM．
${ }^{11}$ Títıos coni．Mendelssohn．
${ }^{12}$ Aevкiov viós Modlía om．PAM．

${ }^{14}$ ed．pr．：N $\epsilon \mu \omega v i a$（N $\epsilon \mu \omega v a$ P）codd．
${ }^{15}$ Niese：Déd $\lambda \iota o s,{ }^{2} A \sigma e ́ \lambda \lambda l o s, ~ \Sigma a \sigma e ́ \lambda \lambda l o s ~ c o d d .: ~ ' \Lambda \kappa v ́ \lambda ı o s ~$ Gronovius．
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with give the decree itself in order that the readers of this History may have before them a proof of these statements. It read as follows.
(10) " Decree of the Senate, copied from the Treasury, from the public tablets of the quaestors, Quintus Rutilius and Quintus Cornelius being quaestors of the city, second tablet, first column. ${ }^{a}$ Three days before the Ides of April, ${ }^{b}$ in the Temple of Concord, there being present at the writing Lucius Calpurnius Piso of the Menenian tribe, Servius Sulpicius ${ }^{c}$ Quintus of the Lemonian tribe, Gaius Caninius Rebilus of the Teretine tribe, Publius Tedetius, ${ }^{d}$ son of Lucius, of the Pollian tribe, ${ }^{e}$ Lucius Apulius, son of Lucius, of the Sergian tribe, Flavius, son of Lucius, of the Lemonian tribe, Publius Plautius, son of Publius, of the Papirian tribe, Marcus Gellius, ${ }^{f}$ son of Marcus, of the Maecian tribe, Lucius Erucius, ${ }^{g}$ son of Lucius, of the Steletinian tribe, Marcus Quintus Plancinus, son of Marcus, of the
a Text emended : mss. " second tablet and from the first ones." Viereck's emendation is supported by the rescript of 73 b.c. to the magistrates of Oropus ( $c f$. Viereck, p. 39),
 cera" column " or "page," cf. Horace, Serm. ii. 5. 51-54, Suetonius, Nero 17.
${ }^{b}$ April 11, 44 b.c. This was the date of the registration of the senatus consultum enacted before Caesar's death, as stated below, § 222.
c Conjectured for mss. " Papinius."
d "Titius" is conjectured by Mendelssoln.
e The variant omits " son of Lucius, of the Pollian tribe."
' Conjectured for mss. "Sellius," "Asellius," etc. Gronovius conjectures " Aquilius."

- "Raiscius" is conjectured by Mendelssohn.
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 $\Phi \epsilon \beta \rho o v a p i \omega v$＇̀v $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ vậ̂ $\tau \hat{\eta} S$＇Opovoías．oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$












| Поплı́a FАM．${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}: \Pi \lambda a ́ \gamma к ı \lambda$ as aut II入入́кı入入os rell． |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | aut इ̇́orios coni．Mendelssohn． |
| ${ }^{4} \pi \epsilon \rho i \stackrel{\oplus}{\omega} \nu$ Пóm $\lambda \iota o s$ coni．Mendelssohn． |  |
| $\mu \mathrm{c} 1$ | ${ }^{6}$ quod decretunn est Lat． |
| l $\omega$ áııpı $\mathrm{Pr}^{11}$ ． | pr．：＇Ovazo 1＇：＇Ovío |
| ${ }^{9}$ atpatıâs P＇M． | 10 каi om． P ． |

a＂Sergius＂or＂Sestius＂is conjectured by Mendelssohn． The text is obviously incomplete．
＂Antony，after Caesar＇s death，agreed to Dolabella＇s assmmption of consular office，although he had previonsly objucted．
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Pollian tribe, and Publius Serrius. ${ }^{a}$ Publius Dolabella and Marcus Antonius, the consuls, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ made speeches. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ As for the deeision rendered by Gaius Caesar. with the concurrence of the Senate, concerning the Jews, which there was not time to have registered in the Treasury, this matter we wish to be disposed of as the consuls Publius Dolabella and Marcus Antonius have decided, and that these decisions be recorded in tablets and brought to the quaestors of the eity, and that they take care to have them inseribed on two-leaved tablets. They were dated the fifth day before the Ides of February ${ }^{d}$ in the Temple of Coneord. ${ }^{e}$ The envoys from the high priest Hyrcanus were the following : Lysimachus, son of Pausanias, Alexander, son of Theodorus, Patroclus, son of Chaireas, and Jonathan, son of Onias."
(11) One of these envoys Hyrcanus sent also to Dolabella Dolabella, who was then governor of Asia, ${ }^{f}$ requesting him to exempt the Jews from military service and permit them to maintain their native customs and live in accordance with them. And this request he readily obtained; for Dolabella, on receiving the letter from Hyrcanus, without cven taking counsel, sent to all (the officials) in Asia, and wrote to Ephesus,

${ }^{4}$ Felruary 9, 41 в.с.

- The Temple of Concord, however, was the place of registration, not of enactment, of the decrec, cf. above, $\S(119$ note $b$.
${ }^{1}$ Dolatella was appointed governor of Syria (not of the province of $\lambda$ sia) in Junc, 14 b.c., and set out for Syria at the end of the year. On his way through Asia he killed Trebonius, governor of Asia, who had been one of Caesar's assassins. Soon after, in 43 в.c., Dolabella was blockaded in Landicea by Cassius, and committed suicide.
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 то́́то⿱⿱亠䒑日。
225 （12）＂＇Е $\pi i \quad \pi \rho \nu \tau \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \omega s$＇A $\rho \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$ оs $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \Lambda \eta$－



 $\epsilon \phi a ́ v \iota \sigma \epsilon ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тоv $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta u ́ v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \omega v$ каi
 ка0̀̀s каi oi $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \epsilon ’ \mu о \hat{v} \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o ́ v \epsilon s, ~ \delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$



 катй $\pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ．＇
 $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon v \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̇ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ́ \chi а р і ́ \sigma a \tau o ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon-$






[^199]${ }^{4}$ Kovıvııícuv ex seqq．（\＄s 234，237）coni．Viereck，Niese．

[^200]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 224-223

the chief city of Asia, about the Jews. His letter read as follows.
(12) " In the presidency of Artemon, on the first Dolabella's day of the month of Lenacon, ${ }^{a}$ Dolabella, Imperator, ${ }_{\substack{\text { letwer to } \\ \text { Ephesus. } \\ \hline}}^{\text {D }}$ to the magistrates, council and people of Ephesus, grecting. Alexander, son of Theodorus, the envoy of Hyrcanus, son of Alexander, the high priest and ethnarch of the Jews, has explained to me that his co-religionists ${ }^{b}$ cannot undertake military service because they may not bear arms or march on the days of the Sabbath; nor can they obtain the native foods to which they are accustomed. I, therefore, like the governors before me, grant them exemption from military service and allow them to follow their native customs and to come together for sacred and holy rites in accordance with their law, and to make offerings for their sacrifices; and it is my wish that you write these instructions to the various cities.'
(13) These, then, were the favours which Dola- Ientulus bella granted to our people when Hyrcanus sent an exempts envoy to him. And Lucius Lentulus, the consul, ce dewish cizens of declared. "Those Jews who are Roman citizens and observe Jewish rites and practise them in Ephesus, $\mathrm{I}^{d}$ tary service released from military service before the tribunal on the twelfth day before the Kalends of October ${ }^{e}$ in consideration of their religious scruples, in the consulship of Lucius Lentulus and Gaius Marcellus. Those
by the Senate to recruit two legions in the province of $\lambda$ sia, cf. Caesar, Bell. Cii. iii. 4. His decree is cited by subordinate Roman officials in the cities of $A$ sia, $8 \$ 230-246$.
"Conjectured from Latin for mss. "he."
e September 19, 49 в.c., but 'Oкт ${ }^{\circ} \beta$ piov is probably a copyist's error for Kovivaidicuv, which would give June 19th as in 8 S $234,937$.
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229 Маркє́ $\lambda \lambda \omega$ vimáтoıs．тарท̂бал Tíтos＂А $\mu \pi \iota o{ }^{1}$ Tírov viós Bádßos ${ }^{2}$＇Oparía $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \eta$＇s，Tíros Tóryıos Títov viós Kpootopiva，Kóıvtos Kaíolos ${ }^{3}$
 $\Sigma \in \rho o v i ́ \lambda ı o s ~ \Gamma a i o v ~ v i o ́ s ~ T \eta \rho \eta т i v a ~ B \rho a ́ к \chi o s ~{ }^{5} \chi^{\prime} \lambda i ́-$ apхos，Пómגıos Kגov́oıos ${ }^{6}$ Пот入íou Oи̇єтшрía ${ }^{7}$「ádios，「álos इévtıos 「aiov viós इaßariva．＇


 $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ каì тарà Фavviou $\tau o \hat{v} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma v^{11}$

 au่าoîs $\delta \iota \in \nu 0 \chi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ．＂
231 （14）Ч＇ท́ $\phi \iota \sigma \mu a \Delta \eta \lambda i ́ \omega \nu$ ．＂є่ $\pi^{\prime}$ ă $\rho \chi о \nu \tau о s$ Boı $\omega \tau о \hat{v}$ $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \Theta a \rho \gamma \eta \lambda \iota \omega ̂ \nu o s ~ є i к о \sigma \tau \hat{\eta}, ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta-$
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon i \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，ó каi $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau о-$


${ }^{1}$ Borghesi：＂ $\mathrm{A} \pi \pi$ tos codd．
${ }^{2}$ Borghesi：Bádyos PLA：Bádıos F：Гá入ßos M．
${ }^{3}$ Káoıos F：Ká $\sigma \sigma \iota$ L：＇Páoıos AM：＇Paíoos Gronovius：
＇Paíkıos Mendelssohn．

${ }^{5}$ Вра́ккоз P：Bрárхоs M．
${ }^{6}$ Kadoúolos vel Kגoúlos coni．Niese．
？Gronovius ：є̀ $\gamma \omega$ P ：＇Eтшpía V ：＇Epwpía rell．
${ }^{8}$ post 「aiou lacunam indicavit Gronovius，cf．$\$ 239$.
－Borghesi：＂Amaros codd．
${ }^{10}$ Borghesi cum Lat．：Bádlos PF：Bé $\beta_{\beta o s}$ rell．
${ }^{11}$ Ernesti ：ả $\rho \chi เ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ ov codd．：tribunum plebis Lat．
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present were the legate Titus Ampius Balbus, ${ }^{a}$ son of Titus, of the Horatian tribe, Titus Tongius, son of Titus, of the Crustuminian tribe, Quintus Caesius, ${ }^{a}$ son of Quintus, Titus Pompeius Longinus, son of Titus, the military tribune Gaius Servilius Bracehus, son of Gaius, of the Teretine tribe, Publius Clusius Gallus, son of Publius, of the Veturian ${ }^{a}$ tribe, Gaius Sentius, son of Gaius . . . son of . . . of the Sabatine tribe."
' Titus Ampius Balbus, son of Titus, legate and propraetor, ${ }^{b}$ to the magistrates, council and people of Ephesus, greeting. Lucius Lentulus, the consul, has at my petition exempted the Jews in Asia from military service. And on making the same request later of Fannius, the propraetor, and of Lucius Antonius, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ the proquaestor, I obtained my request ; and it is my wish that you take care that no one shall molest them."
(14) Decree of the Delians. "In the archonship Decree of of Boeotus, on the twentieth day of the month of the people Thargelion, ${ }^{d}$ response of the magistrates. The legate Marcus Piso, when resident in our city, having been placed in charge of the recruiting of soldiers, summoned us and a considerable number of citizens, and ordered that if there were any Jews who were Roman
a Name slightly emended.
b = Lat. legatus pro pratore, cf. Magie, p. 9.
${ }^{c}$ Identified by lieinach and Juster, i. 14.5, with Lucius Antonius, son of Marcus, mentioned below, § 235.
${ }^{d}=$ May June in the Roman calendar. The year is probably 49 в.c., cf. Juster, i. 146, cs. Mendelssohn and Haussoullier, BCII 8 (1884), 150, who place it in 48 в.c. Reinach conjectures that Dclos at this time was attuched to the Roman province of Asia.
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тодітає 'P $\omega \mu$ ai $\omega v$ тои́тoıs $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon ่ v o \chi \lambda \hat{\eta} ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i$

 'Iovסaious $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a s . ~ \delta \iota o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{s}{ }^{2} \delta \in \hat{\imath}$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \eta \mu \bar{\eta} \nu$ є́ $\langle\eta \phi і \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о$.
233 (15) " Гáıos Фávııos 「aîov viols $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s$











 $\lambda \alpha \nu \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$ Kovivтi入i $\omega \nu^{6}$.'
$23 \overline{\text { (17) " } \Lambda о и ́ к \iota o s ~ ' А \nu \tau и ́ v ı o s ~ М а ́ \rho к о v ~ v i o ̀ s ~ a ̀ v \tau \iota-~}$

 Lat.
" Conjectured for mss. " you."

- See below, $\S 235$.
c = Lat. praetor pro consule ; it is not necessary to emend
 document does not belong to the decrees based on the ruling of Lucius Lentulus in 49 b.c., unless Gaius Fannius is to be 572
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citizens, no one should bother them about military service, inasmuch as the consul Lucius Cornelius Lentulus had exempted the Jews from military service in consideration of their religious scruples. We ${ }^{a}$ must therefore obey the magistrate." Similar to this was the decree concerning us which the people of Sardis passed. ${ }^{b}$
(15) " Gaius Fannius, son of Gaius, proconsular Letter of praetor, ${ }^{c}$ to the magistrates of Cos, greeting. I would have you know that envoys have come to me from the people the Jews, asking to have the decrees concerning of Cos. them which were passed by the Senate. These decrees are herewith appended. It is my wish therefore that you take thought and care for these men in accordance with the decree of the Senate, in order that they may safely be brought through your country to their home."
(16) d" Lucius Lentulus, consul, declares: ' In con- statement sideration of their religious scruples I have released those Jews who are Roman citizens and appeared to me to have and to practise Jewish rites in Ephesus. Dated the twelfth ${ }^{e}$ day before the Kalends of July.'" $f$
(17) ${ }^{g}$ " Lucius Antonius, son of Marcus, proquaes- Letter of tor and propraetor, to the magistrates, council and identified with the Fannius mentioned in $\S 230$. For the to sardis. various conjectures about the date of this document (ranging from 161 to 44 b.c.) see works listed in Appendix J.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ This document seems to be a variant of that cited in §s 2 2 8-2:29.

- Variant " thirteenth."
${ }^{1}$ Variant " Octoher," "f. $\S 298$ note $e$. The reading here adopted corresponds to June 19, 49 в.c.
${ }^{9}$ This document seems to be an extension of the rights granted to the Jews of Ephesus by Lucius Lentulus, to include general religious toleration, cf. Juster i. 145.
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 єккрьга.'
236
(18) " Ма̂ркоs Пóтлıos $\Sigma$ птovpíov" viòs каi



 'Рwraíwv 'Iovбaiovs' iєpà 'Iovбаїк̀̀ тонєiv

 Kovivti入í $\omega \nu^{10}$.' '"

${ }^{1}$ vi $\mu$ étepor P. ${ }^{2}$ aủroùs codd. : éautoùs Hudson.
${ }^{3}$ aủroîs motề tr. AM.
${ }^{4}$ Gronovius: $\Sigma_{\text {tióóo P P }}$ : Hovpiov rell. : Publii Lat.
${ }^{5}$ каі om. $\mathrm{l}^{\prime}$.
${ }^{6}$ \ovкíov P: Мápкоs . . . \ov́кıos] Marcus Lucius Marci Publii filius Lat.
$7+\pi o เ ท ́ \sigma \eta \mathrm{FI}$ A M.

${ }^{9} \delta_{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \mathrm{FL}$ IM.
 Iulias Lat.

[^201]
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people of Sardis, greeting. Jewish citizens of ours ${ }^{\text {a }}$ have come to me and pointed out that from the earliest times they have had an association of their own in accordance with their native laws and a place of their own, ${ }^{b}$ in which they decide their affairs and controversies with one another ; and upon their request that it be permitted them to do these things, I decided that they might be maintained, and permitted them so to do."
(18) c "Marcus Publius, son of Spurius, ${ }^{d}$ and Petition to Marcus, son of Marcus, and Lucius, son of Publius, ${ }^{e}$ Lentulus. declared: 'We have gone to the proconsul ${ }^{f}$ Lentulus and informed him of the statement made by Dositheus, son of Cleopatrides, the Alexandrian, to the effect that, if it seemed proper to him, in consideration of their religious scruples he should exempt from military service those Jews who are Roman citizens and are accustomed to practise Jewish rites. And he ${ }^{g}$ did exempt them on the twelfth ${ }^{h}$ day before the Kalends of July.' "' $i$
(19) " In the consulship of Lucius Lentulus and Decree of § $\$ 238-240$ probably refer to the same decree of Lentulus as is cited in $\S 234$.
${ }^{d}$ Name slightly emended.
" Others read, with one ms. and Lat., " Marcus Lucius, son of Marcus Publius." As Lucius and Publius are not gentilicia we should have to assume a corruption in the latter reading, as well as in the name "Marcus Publius, son of Spurius." Possibly, however, as Gronovius suggests ap. Hudson-Havercamp, these men were Roman plebeians and converts to Judaism ; in that case the names Marcus I'ublius, Marcus Lucius might be gemuine.
${ }^{1}$ Reinach alters to "consul" as in § 234.

- Variant "I."
" Variant " thirteenth."
"Some mss. combine the variants " July " and " October." On the date see $\S \geq 28$ note $e$.
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 Kólvtos Kaíalos ${ }^{2}$ Koìvzov，Títos Mounท́ıos ${ }^{3}$ Títou

 Потגiov viós Ov̇єтcupiá ${ }^{1}$ Гádдos，Гáıos Tєútıos ${ }^{5}$
 239 viós Aíı入ía L＇éppavos，Гáıos Houтทíos 「aîov viós ミaßativa，Títos＂A $\mu \pi \iota{ }^{6}$ Títov Mévavopos，
 кıos Iláккьos $\Lambda є v \kappa i o v ~ K o \lambda \lambda i v a ~ K a \pi i \tau \omega v, ~ A u ̉ \lambda o s ~$ Фov́pıos ${ }^{7}$ Aüגov viós Tє́ $\rho \tau i o s, " A \pi \pi \iota o s ~ M \eta \imath a ̂ s . ~$ 240 є̇ $\pi i$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ ó $\Lambda \epsilon ́ v \tau \lambda o s$ סó $\gamma \mu a$ є́ $\xi \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \tau о .{ }^{\circ}$ то入íтаs

 ноvías ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \in \kappa \alpha a \dot{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \nu \sigma a$ ．＇





## ${ }^{1}$ Borghesi：＂A $\pi \pi$ tos codd．

${ }^{2}$ ex $\S 229$ coni．Niese：＇Paío七os codd．
${ }^{3}$ FL：Пíıos rell．
${ }^{4}$ Gronovius ：＇Eywpєia P：＇Epwpia rell．
${ }^{5}$ T ét $\tau$ los F ．${ }^{6}$ Mendelssohn：＂$\Lambda \pi \pi \iota o s$ codd．
${ }^{7}$ Aû̉os Фov́pıos Gronovius：Aü̉los Фpov́poos codd．
8 Пaúdou FLAM．${ }^{\rho} \mathrm{P}^{\prime}:$＇＇$\eta^{\prime} \nu \in \gamma \kappa \epsilon$ rell．
 Rabilio Lat．：＇P $\in \beta$ í $\lambda \omega$ Ritschl．
${ }^{11}$ Homolle：v̇тáт $\omega$ codd．
12 Niese：$\epsilon \in \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda o v$ codd．

> a Name slightly emended.
> " Conjectured from $\S 229$ for ms." Raesius."
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pressed wish you are attacking the Jews and forbid them to observe their Sabbaths, perform their native rites or manage their produce ${ }^{a}$ in accordance with their custom; and that he had announced this decree ${ }^{b}$ in accordance with the laws. I would therefore have you know that after hearing the arguments of the opposing sides, I have decided that the Jews are not to be forbidden to follow their customs."
(22) Decree of the people of Pergamum. "In the Decree of presidency of Cratippus, ${ }^{c}$ on the first of the month Pergamum. Daisios, a decree of the magistrates. As the Romans in pursuance of the practices of their ancestors have accepted dangerous risks for the common safety of all mankind and strive emulously to place their allies and friends in a state of happiness and lasting peace, the Jewish nation and their high priest Hyrcanus ${ }^{d}$ have sent as envoys to them Straton, son of Theodotus, Apollonius, son of Alexander, ${ }^{e}$ Aeneas, son of Antipater, Aristobulus, son of Amyntas, and Sosipater, ${ }^{f}$ son of Philip, worthy and excellent men, and have made representations concerning certain particular matters, whereupon the Senate passed a decree concerning the matters on which they spoke, to the effect

[^202]
## JOSEPHUS


















${ }^{1} \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau р i o v$ coni. Ritschl. ${ }^{2}$ каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \mathrm{FL}$.AM.
${ }^{3}$ aủz $\hat{\omega} \nu$ Gronovius: au่ $\hat{\omega}$ Gutschmid.
$5+\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ FL $\Lambda M$.
a Most scholars assume that this is Antiochus IN Cyzicenus, son of Antiochus VII Sidetes, cf. Ant. xiii. 216 ff ., 270 ff . Some scholars, however, propose to read "son of Demetrius," meaning Antiochus Sidetes, son of Demetrius I (less probahly Antiochus VIII Grypus, son of Demetrius II). With the latter I agree. The emendation "son of Demetrius " is not too arbitrary, considering that in several places Josephus' text gives the wrong surname to a Seleueid ruler ; see further the following notes.
${ }^{\circ}$ This seems to be a reference to the harbours, ineluding Joppa, taken from the Jews by Antiochus Sidetes, cf. Ant. xiii. 261.

The variant " shall not be lawful" makes no sense if " for them " means "for the Jews."
that King Antiochus, son of Antiochus, ${ }^{a}$ shall do no injury to the Jews, the allies of the Romans ; and that the fortresses, harbours, territory and whatever else he may have taken from them shall be restored to them ${ }^{b}$; and that it shall be lawful ${ }^{c}$ for them to export goods from their harbours and that no king or pcople exporting goods from the territory of the Jews or from their harbours shall be untaxed except only Ptolemy, king of Alexandria, ${ }^{d}$ because he is our ally and friend ; and that the garrison in Joppa shall be expelled, as they have requested. And one of our council, Lucius Pettius, e a worthy and excellent man, has given orders that we shall take care that these things are done as the Senate has decreed, and that we shall see to the safe return of the envoys to their homes. We have also admitted Theodorus to the council and assembly, accepting from him the letter and the decree of the Senate; and after he had addressed us with great earnest-
${ }^{d}$ Reinach suggests that Ptolemy IX Alexander is meant; he was called to Egypt from Cyprus by his mother Cleopatra III in 107 b.c. If the Pergamene decree is to be dated in the reign of Antinchus Sidetes (who died in 139 b.c.), Reinach’s conjecture is inıpossible, and I'tolemy VII Euergetes II (I'hyscon) must be meant. On his friendly relations with Rome, cf. 1)iodorus xxxiii. 28 a: he says that Scipio Aemilianus and his colleagues visited Egypt (c. 135 b.c.) and
 ба́ $\mu \in$ voo.
e F. Miinzer in $P \mathrm{IV}^{*} 19$ (1938), 1381 suggests that in spite of the wording of the text L. Pettins may have been a member of a Commission of the lioman Senate or even a practor in liome. This would be more likely if we accepted Reinach's emendation of $\tau \bar{\eta} \beta$ oud $\hat{\eta}$ for $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ßov $\lambda \hat{\eta} s$, meaning that L. Pettius gave orders to the council of Perganum, not that he was one of the council.
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 коu $\hat{\eta}$ тávтаs $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каi кат' iठíav тoùs $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ aủтòv ảфıкооин́́vovs, тá $\tau \epsilon$ үрá $\mu \mu a \tau \alpha$ єis тà




 каvòv тò àvтíyрафоv то仑 $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau o s ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon i s ~$






 тоîs $\delta \eta \mu$ обіоья єи́рі́бконєข үра́ $\mu \mu а \sigma \iota$.'




## ${ }^{1}$ av̉т $\hat{\nu}$ v Hindson.

${ }^{2}$ aữòv . . . $\phi_{i \lambda} \lambda_{i ́ a \nu}$ ] cum cis nostras amicitias Lat. ${ }^{3}$ à $\epsilon i$ add. Niese.
${ }^{6}$ Nécuvos coni. Wilhelm.
${ }^{6}$ Mevódtov Wilhelm.

a The term " allies of the Romans " might still have been used some years after Pergamum becane a Roman possession in 133 в.c. For a parallel Prof. James Oliver kindly refers me to an inscription of Sagalassus of A.d. 292 in Suppl. Epigr. Gr. ii. 73.5.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 238-241

Gaius Marcellus. Present were the legate Titus Lentulus Ampius ${ }^{a}$ Balbus, son of Titus, of the Horatian tribe, exempting Titus Tongius of the Crustuminian tribe, Quintus citizens of Caesius, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ son of Quintus, Titus Pompeius Longinus, $\begin{gathered}\text { Ephesus } \\ \text { from mili }\end{gathered}$ son of Titus, of the Cornelian tribe, the military tary service. tribune Gaius Servilius Bracchus, son of Gaius, of the Teretine tribe, Publius Clusius Gallus, son of Publius, of the Veturian ${ }^{\text {a }}$ tribe, the military tribune Gaius Teutius, son of Gaius, of the Aemilian tribe, Sextus Atilius Serranus, son of Sextus, of the Aemilian tribe, Gaius Pompeius, son of Gaius, of the Sabatine tribe, 'Titus Ampius Menander, son of Titus, Publius Servilius Strabo, son of Publius, Lucius Paccius Capito, son of Lueius, of the Colline tribe, Aulus Furius ${ }^{a}$ Tertius, son of Aulus, ${ }^{c}$ Appius Menas. In their presence Lentulus announced the following deeree. In consideration of their religious scruples I have released before the tribunal those Jews who are Roman citizens and are aceustomed to observe Jewish rites in Ephesus."
(20) "The magistrates of Laodicea to the proconsul Gaius Rabirius, ${ }^{d}$ son of Gaius, greeting. Sopatrus, the enroy of the high priest Hyrcanus, ${ }^{e}$ has delivered to us a letter from you, in which you have informed

Letter of magistrates of Laodicea to Gaius Rabirius. us that certain persons have come from Hyrcanus,
c Variant " Paulus."
"The readings "proconsul" for ms. "consul" and "Rabirius" for "Rabellius" are proposed by Homolle, BCH 6 (1882), 608-612, on the basis of an inscription found at Delos. Juster, i. 146 n .7 , suggests that the document is to be dated in 45 b.c.

- Probably Hyrcanus II (see preceding note) ; but strong arguments have been advanced for the view that this docu ment like the Pergamene decree below, $\$ 8.247-255$ (which mentions a Jewish envoy named Sosipater), is to be dated in the reign of Hyrcanus 1; see works listed in Appendix J.
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 iєpà є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ катà тoùs $\pi a \tau \rho i o v s ~ v o ́ \mu o v s, ~ o ̈ \pi \omega s ~$










 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota . "$





${ }^{1}$ roîs 'Iovóaioıs AM Lat. ${ }^{8}$ Ov̉atias coni. Bergmann.
${ }^{2} \gamma \epsilon$ AM et Lat. vid.
4 इípov coni. Wilhelın.
${ }^{a}$ Reinach, assuming that Hyrcanus I is meant here, believes that the documents in question are decrees of the Roman Senate. Juster, who thinks that Hyrcanus II is meant, argues contra that in the earlier period the Roman Senate adopted, in such cases, a tone " très modéré, plutôt courtois."
578

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 241-245

the high priest of the Jews, bringing documents coneerning their nation, ${ }^{a}$ to the effect that it shall be lawful for them" to observe their Sabbaths and perform their other rites in accordance with their native laws, and that no one shall give orders to them, because they are our friends and allies, and that no one shall do them an injury in our province ${ }^{c}$; and as the people of Tralles ${ }^{d}$ objected in your presence that they were dissatisfied with the decrees concerning them, you gave orders that they should be carried out, adding that you have been requested to write also to us about the matters concerning them. We, therefore, in obedience to your instructions, have accepted the letter delivered to us and have deposited it among our public archives; and to the other matters on which you have given us instructions we shall give such attention that no one shall ineur blame."
(21) " Publins Servilins Galba, ${ }^{e}$ son of Publius, pro- Letter of consul to the magistrates, council and people of Miletus $f$ grceting Prytanis, son of Hermas ${ }^{g}$ a citizen Galba to of yours, came to me when I was holding court at Miletus. Tralles and informed me that contrary to our ex-
" Variant " the Jews."
c The province of Phrygia.
${ }^{d}$ Probably Tralles in Caria, c. 75 miles W. of Laodicea. Both cities lay near the Maeander river.
e The reading " Vatias" for "Galba " proposed by Bergmann, Philologus 2 (1847), p. 684, is adopted by many seholars, but rejected by Reinach and Juster i. 147 n .1.
${ }^{1}$ Juster, citing Haussoullier, Milet, p. 258, points out that under Roman rule Miletus had no assembly or civic autonomy before 46 r.c.

- Wilhelm, JO.Al 8 (1905), 242 (cited by Juster), proposes "son of Simos" on the basis of an inscription, probably lst century b.c., found at Thera.


## JOSEPHUS



 au̇тóv $\tau \epsilon$ катà тov̀s vópovs $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \kappa \epsilon ́ v a \iota^{1}$ тò ${ }^{2} \psi \eta$ خ́－

 є́крıva $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega \lambda v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ o v s ~ \tau о i ̄ s ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \epsilon ้ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota ~$ $\chi \rho \bar{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota . '$
 Кратіттоv $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \triangle a \iota \sigma i o v ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \eta^{5} \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta ~ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha-~$



 248 єv̉סaıцоvía каi $\beta \epsilon \beta a i ́ a ~ к а \tau а \sigma \tau \eta ̄ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ є i \rho \eta \prime \nu \eta, ~ \pi є ́ \mu \psi \alpha \nu-$ тos $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ т o u ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \epsilon " ~ ق v o v s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ \omega v ~ к а i ~$

 249 тıлáтроv，＇Aрıттóßovגоv＇A $\mu$ úvтov，$\sum \omega \sigma i \pi a \tau \rho о v$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кат⿳亠口冋 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ є’ $\mu \phi а \nu \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, ~ \epsilon ’ \delta o \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu ~ \dot{\eta}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { єủӨvขкє́vą } \mathrm{P} \text {. }{ }^{2} \text { тò Síkaıo } \mathrm{P} \text {. } \\
& 3 \text { aủzóv } \\
& \psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a \text { om. Lat. } \\
& { }^{5} \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \text { om. I' Lat. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 260-263

restored to them by the Roman Senate and people, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ they may, in accordance with their accepted customs, come together and have a communal life ${ }^{b}$ and adjudicate suits among themselves, ${ }^{c}$ and that a place be given them in which they may gather together with their wives and children and offer their ancestral prayers and sacrifices ${ }^{d}$ to God, it has therefore been decreed by the council and people that permission shall be given them to come together on stated days to do those things which are in accordance with their laws, and also that a place shall be set apart by the magistrates for them to build and inhabit, ${ }^{e}$ such as they may consider suitable for this purpose, and that the market-officials of the city shall be charged with the duty of having suitable food for them brought in."
(25) Decree of the people of Ephesus. "In the Decree of presidency of Menophilus, on the first of the month Ephesus, Artemision, ${ }^{f}$ the following decree was passed by the people on the motion of the magistrates, and was announced by Nicanor. Whereas the Jews in the city have petitioned the proconsul Marcus Junius
${ }^{6}$ The variant omits " and have a communal life"; the reference is to the organization of the Jewish community (politeuma) in Sardis.
c Variant " and that we shall not have legal disputes with them "-an inferior reading. The document cited in § 235 states that the Jews of Sardis have had their own courts "from the earliest times." In this document they are permitted to have a synagogue in addition.
" "Sacrifices" (tvaias) must here be used in the larger sense of " offerings."
" Reinach assumes that a "ghetto" is meant, but тóтov here probably means a synagogue or communal building, $c f$. A. D. Nock in HTR 29 (1936), 46.
${ }^{1}$ March ${ }^{2}+\mathrm{t}_{1}$ in the Koman calendar, according to Gardthausen, Augustus, i. 64, ii. 72 (cited by Juster i. 148 n. 10).
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 т $\eta \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ баß阝áт $\omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$














 $267 \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon$ ä $\mu \alpha$ каі à $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \eta$ $\pi а \rho \eta \tau \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu$, ova $\delta \in ́ v a$

${ }^{1} \tau \hat{\eta} \beta$ оидท̂ каi om. P Lat. ${ }^{3}$ Iudaicas Lat.
${ }^{2}$ є̇тเтєтра́ $\phi \theta \omega \mathrm{F} \mathrm{L} .1 \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{4} \gamma \rho a ф \grave{\eta} v$ l' $^{\prime}$.

> is conn. Niese.
a Variant "Marcus Junius Pompeius, son of Brutus." Ritschl, Mendelssohn, Schiirer and others think that the original reading gave the name of the celebrated Marcus Brutus, who was in Asia in 42 b.c. Reinach suggests that the name was that of Marcus Juncos, governor of Asia and Bithynia in it b.c. See works listed in $\Lambda$ ppendis J.

- ie. the proconsul.


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 263-267

Brutus, son of Pontius, ${ }^{a}$ that they might observe their Sabbaths and do all those things which are in accordance with their native customs without interference from anyone, and the governor ${ }^{b}$ has granted this request, it has therefore been decreed by the council and ${ }^{c}$ people that as the matter is of concern to the Romans, no one shall be prevented from keeping the Sabbath days nor be fined for so doing. but they shall be permitted to do all those things which are in accordance with their own laws."
(26) Now there are many other such decrees, Conclusion passed by the Senate and the Imperators ${ }^{d}$ of the or citations Romans, relating to Hyrcanus ${ }^{e}$ and our nation, as well rocuments. as resolutions of cities and rescripts of provincial governors ${ }^{f}$ in reply to letters on the subject of our rights, all of which those who will read our work without malice will find it possible to take on faith from the documents we have cited. For since we have furnished clear and visible proofs of our friendship with the Romans, indicating those decrees engraved on bronze pillars and tablets which remain to this day and will continue to remain in the Capitol, I have refrained from citing them all as being both superfluous and disagreeable ; for I cannot suppose that anyone is so stupid that he will actually refuse to believe the statements about the friendliness of
c The variant omits " council and."
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Such as Julius Caesar, Mark Antony and Dołabella.

- Josephus must mean Hyrcanus II, although some of the decrees cited above probably date from the time of Hyrcanus I (e.g. $\$ 5.247 \mathrm{ff}$.).
' Chamonard translates, "d'actes des magistrats en réponse aus lettres des gouverneurs" "; but ì $\gamma \epsilon \mu$ órv is clearly dative of agent depending on the passive participle
 (Magie, p. 85).
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 $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, каi $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ o v ̉ \chi ~ v i \pi о \lambda \eta ं \psi є \tau \alpha \ell ~ \pi \epsilon p i ̀ ~ \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon i v a i ́ ~$

 vovs тoùs каироข̀s $\gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \eta \lambda \omega ́ \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$.








 $\sigma v \mu \mu a \chi i a \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \prime \kappa \nu \omega \nu$, катà $\mu \nu \eta \prime \mu \eta \nu$ $\hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon \dot{u} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta \sigma \alpha \nu^{5}$ ن́тò Kaíбароs, каi סıà тои̂то





${ }^{1}$ mıaтє̂̀aą P. $\quad{ }^{2}$ фi九ías Hudson.
 ed. pr.
${ }^{4}$ Niese : iँ $\pi \pi \omega \nu$ P: i $\pi \pi o \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ rell.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{P}:$ є $\dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta \theta \in$ ín $\sigma a \nu$ rell.
${ }^{6}$ ex B.J. edd. : Mápкos codd. E Lat, hic et infra.

[^203]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 252-256

ness and pointed out the virtues and generosity of Hyrcanus and how he confers benefits upon all men generally, and in particular upon those who come to him, we deposited the documents in our public archives and passed a decree that we on our part, being allies of the Romans, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ would do everything possible on behalf of the Jews in accordance with the decree of the Senate. ${ }^{b}$ And when he delivered the letter to us. Theodorus also requested our magistrates to send a copy of the decree to Hyrcanus, as well as envoys who would inform him of the friendly interest of our people, and would urge him to preserve and increase his friendship with us and always ${ }^{c}$ be responsible for some act of good in the knowledge that he will receive a fitting recompense, and also remembering that in the time of Abraham, who was the father of all Hebrews, our ancestors were their friends, as we find in the public records." ${ }^{d}$
(23) Decree of the people of Halicarnassus. "In the priesthood of Memmon, e son of Aristides and, by adoption, of Euonymus, ${ }^{f}$. . . of Anthesterion, ${ }^{g}$ the people passed the following decree on the motion
${ }^{\circ}$ I'resumably by supporting the Jews against Antiochus, at least in theory. Possibly some practical support might have been given in the form of trade agreements.
" Always" is added conjecturally.
${ }^{d}$ On the similar claim of kinship between the Jews and Spartans dating from the time of Abraham, see Ant. xii. 226 and works listed in Appendix F.

- Wilhelm, JÖAI 8 (1905), 235-241 (cited by Juster i. 148 n .3 ), proposes to read " Neon "on the bases of inscriptions (of unknown date) in $B C H 4$ (1850), 397 and 14 (1890), $102,402$.
'Wilhelm, loc. cit., emends to " Menollus."
- February March. The day of the month must have been given originally.
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 vómovs, каi $\tau \grave{a} s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon v \chi a ̀ s ~ \pi o t \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$











|  | aiov PF. | одє Mud |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | + $\alpha^{2} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \bar{\eta} \mathrm{P}$ P. | raı scel. |

c Probably, but not certainly, a Roman official.
${ }^{6}$ Reinach prefers to translate ràs $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \cup \chi$ às $\pi о \iota \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ as " faire des prieres," which is possible though less likely ; cf. the implied reference to a synagogue in the following decree.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ On synagognes built near the water see Ant. xii. 106 note $c$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The decree must originally have specified the amount of the fine.

- The relation belween this decree and the letter of Lucilis 586
of Marcus Alexander. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Whereas at all times we have had a deep regard for picty toward the Deity and holiness, and following the example of the people of Rome, who are benefactors of all mankind, and in conformity with what they have written to our city concerning their friendship and alliance with the Jews, to the effect that their sacred services to God and their customary festivals and religious gatherings shall be carried on, we have also decreed that those Jewish men and women who so wish may observe their Sabbaths and perform their sacred rites in accordance with the Jewish laws, and may build places of prayer ${ }^{b}$ near the sea, ${ }^{c}$ in accordance with their native custom. And if anyone, whether magistrate or private citizen, prevents them, he shall be liable to the following fine ${ }^{d}$ and owe it to the city."
(21) Decree of the people of Sardis.e "The follow- Decree or ing decree was passed by the council and people on the motion of the magistrates. Whereas the Jewish citizens ${ }^{f}$ living in our city ${ }^{g}$ have continually received many great privileges from the people and have now come before the council and the people and have pleaded that as their laws and freedom have been

Antonius to Sardis in $\S 235$ ( $\mathrm{ff} . \S 232$ ) is not clear, but the present document seems to be later.
${ }^{f}$ W. Tarn, Hellenistic Civilization, p. 176 n. 1, remarks,
 in terms ; the interpolation of moditaı is self-evident." So also Reinach had earlier noted that $\pi$ ohitat is "tres embarrassant," and may bc an error arising from the following $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}$ or else mean moגitaı 'Pwuaiou. Conceivably, however, the menbers of a Jewish politeuma within the Ilellenistic polis may have been called moגĩaı (for iooтодîтaı). See further the appendix on the status of Jews in the Diaspora in the last volume of this translation.
"One ms. adds "from the earliest times."
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 таîs $\pi \rho о \alpha \pi о \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v a \iota s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \iota s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu$ т̀̀ катà тov̀s av่т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ vó $\mu o v s, ~ a ̉ \phi o p \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota ~ \delta ' ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ к а i ~$



 $\pi о \iota \epsilon i ̂ v ~ \epsilon i \sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota . "$


 $263 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau v \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$



${ }^{3}$ aúroús ex Lat. Niese: aủzov́s codd.

${ }^{6}$ Полппі $\omega$ FLAM.
${ }^{7}$ Bрои́тov FLAM: Ма́ркч . . . Bрои́тш] Ма́ркш 'Iovvíш
 Kaıri $\omega \nu$ Ritschl.
${ }^{a}$ Viereck, p. 109, argues that this last clause refers to the Jews of Palestine in the time of Hyrcanus II, but if so one would expect the decree to state this explicitly.
the Romans towards us, when they have demonstrated this in a good many decrees relating to us, or will not admit that we are making truthful statements on the basis of the examples we have given. And herein we have set forth our friendship and alliance with the Romans in those times.
(xi. 1) ${ }^{a}$ About the same time disturbances broke Antipater out in Syria for the following reason. Bassus $\begin{gathered}\text { supports } \\ \text { the }\end{gathered}$ Caecilius, one of Pompey's sympathizers, ${ }^{b}$ formed a Caesarians plot against Sextus Caesar, ${ }^{c}$ and after killing him, against the took over his army and made himself master of the country ${ }^{d}$; thereupon a great war began ${ }^{e}$ near Apamea, for Caesar's generals ${ }^{f}$ marched against him with a force of cavalry and infantry. Antipater also sent them reinforeements together with his sons, being mindful of the benefits they had received from Caesar and on that account thinking it just to avenge Sextus and exact satisfaction from his murderer. As the war was prolonged, Murcus ${ }^{g}$ came from Rome to take Sextus' command, and (Julius) Caesar was killed by Cassius, Brutus and their followers in the Senate-house, after having held power for three
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Holmes, iii. 326 n. 5, accepts this statement that Bassus was a Pompeian sympathizer (with which Livy and Dio Cassius agree) as more accurate than other ancient accounts that Julius Caesar had left a legion in Syria under Bassus.
${ }^{-}$Cf. § 170 .
${ }^{d}$ In 46-45 в.c., while Julius Caesar was fighting the Pompeians in Africa.
 phrase (Thuc. i. 15. 2), found elsewhere in Josephus.
${ }^{1}$ Under C. Antistius Vetus, $c f$. Dio Cassius xlvii. 27 and Dr. Thackeray's note on B.J. i. 218.

- Emended (with B.J.) from mss. " Marcus." L. Statius Murcus was sent to Syria by Julius Caesar at the beginning of 44 в.c. to oppose Bassus.
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 оvขєрршүо́тоs каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇v $\tau \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \sigma \tau \rho a-~$
 тає Ká $\sigma \sigma \iota o s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \grave{L ~}$ $272 \tau \eta े \nu$ ' $А \pi \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho а \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta \alpha \cdot \kappa а i ̀ ~ \lambda u ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \iota о \rho-~$
 тòv Mốркоv, та́s $\tau \epsilon \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon เ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \rho \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ o ̈ \pi \lambda a ~$

 $\kappa \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$, є̇ $\pi \tau \alpha \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota a ~ \tau \alpha ́ \lambda a \nu \tau \alpha ~ a ̉ \rho \gamma u p i o v ~ \epsilon i \sigma \pi \rho а \tau \tau o ́-~$
 $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha, \mu \epsilon \rho i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$








${ }^{1} \delta \grave{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{V}$ : in his verbs corruptelam latere suspicatur Niese.
${ }^{a}$ From the battle of Pharsalia, Aug. 9, 48 в.c. to March 15, 44 b.c. was a little over three years and seven months, the figure given in B.J. i. 218.
${ }^{-}$Buy other historians.
c $\pi$ одє́ $\mu$ on $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \rho \omega \gamma o ́ \tau o s$ is another Thucydidean phrase (Thus. i. 66), found also in B.J. and $A p$.
${ }^{d}$ Richards and Shit, guided by the Latin, would change the punctuation and the tense of $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \eta \psi o \mu \in v o s$ to read, "Cassius arrived in Syria ; and after having taken over." 594
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years and six months. ${ }^{a}$ This, however, has been related elsewhere. ${ }^{b}$
(2) On the outbreak of the war ${ }^{c}$ that followed Caesar's death and the dispersal to various quarters of all in authority in order to raise an army, Cassius arrived in Syria to take over ${ }^{d}$ the armies near Apamea. And after raising the siege, he won over both Bassus and Murcus, and descending upon the cities, he collected arms and soldiers from them, and imposed heavy tribute upon them. Worst of all was his treatment of Judaea, from which he exacted seven hundred ${ }^{e}$ talents of silver. But Antipater, secing that affairs were in fearful disorder, ${ }^{f}$ apportioned the exacting of money and gave each of his sons a part to collect, and gave orders that some of it was to be raised by Malichus, ${ }^{,}$who was hostile toward him, and the rest by others. And Herod, being the first to raise the sum set for him from Galilee, ${ }^{h}$ became especially friendly with Cassius. For he thought it prudent to court the Romans and seeure their goodwill at the expense of others. ${ }^{i}$ But the officials of

- So B.J. also : Syncellus, i. 576 , gives 800 , but see note $h$ below.
' Prof. Post suggests, " viewing events in great terror and confusion." ̇̇v фó $\beta \omega$ каi тapax $\mathfrak{\eta}$ is also Thucydidean (Thuc. iii. 79. 3) cf. Ant. ii. 100.
- Text slightly uncertain. Malichus (or Malchus-both forms are found in mss. of Josephus and contemporary inscriptions) was a Jewish noble who supported Hyrcanus, of. § 84 : he should not be confused with the Arab king Malchus, $c f . \S \S 370 \mathrm{ff}$.
${ }^{n} 100$ talents, according to B..J. i. 221. Apparently Syncellus (note $e$ above) has included this with the 700 talents raised in Judaea in the sum obtained by Cassius.
" At the expense of others" is a phrase not found in B.J. On this "anti-Herodian" addition see Laqueur, p. 187 .
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 $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu^{2} \epsilon \in \pi \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \alpha i$, каi $\tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \xi \eta \nu \delta \rho a \pi o ́-$

















$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { oi } \mathrm{P} \text {. }{ }^{2} \text { пód } \lambda \omega \nu \text { om. PE. }
\end{aligned}
$$

> rell. 4 'Iovסaicur PFL.
> ${ }^{5}$ cum multis pecuniis a Iudea Lat.
> ${ }^{6}$ cival P .
> ${ }^{7} \mu$ évтoı ed. pr.

[^204]the other cities, every last man of them, were sold as slaves, and at that time Cassius reduced to servitude four eities, of which the most important were Gophna ${ }^{a}$ and Emmaus, ${ }^{b}$ the others being Lydda ${ }^{c}$ and Thamna. ${ }^{d}$ And Cassius was moved by anger to the point of doing away with Malichus-for he had started to attack hime -had not Hyrcanus through the agency of Antipater sent him a hundred talents of his own money ${ }^{f}$ and so stopped his hostile move.
(3) But when Cassius had left Judaea, ${ }^{9}$ Malichus Malichus plotted against Antipater, thinking that his death $g$ plots against would make for the seeurity of Hyrcanus' rule. ${ }^{h}$ Antipater's These plans of his did not, however, remain unknown life. to Antipater, who, on learning of them, moved across the Jordan and collected an army of Arabs as well as natives. Thereupon Malichus, being a shrewd ${ }^{i}$ fellow, denied the plot and defended himself under oath before both him and his sons, ${ }^{j}$ saying that with Phasael guarding Jerusalem and Herod having
${ }^{1}$ Hyrcanus is not mentioned in B.J.; cf. Laqueur, p. 187, who cites a similar anti-Herodian alteration in $\$ 127$.
" Lat. adds, " with much money." Whether Josephus here refers to the events of the first half of 43 в.c., w..en Cassius was preparing to fight Dolabella (§ 223) or of the first half of 42 b.c.. when he was preparing to fight Mark Antony and Octavian, is not clear. If the latter, he is anticipating events that took place after the murder of Antipater in 43 в.с. (8282) : cf. Otto, Herodes, pp. 21-92.
${ }^{n}$ In B.J. Malichus is said to have plotted against Antipater " to remove one who was an obstacle to his malpractices." This difference of motive is duly stressed by Laqueur, p. 188.
""Shrewd" ( $\delta$ tuós) in -Ant. replaces the stronger "shanelessness " ( ảvaı $\delta$ eióa) ascribed to Malichus in B.J.
, In B.J. Phasael and Herod act as mediators with Antipater, who apparently was not directly approached by Malichus.
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 $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho о v ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi а р а к а \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$.












> ${ }^{1}{ }^{\epsilon} \beta \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ FLA: ${ }^{\circ} \beta \beta a \lambda \epsilon$ MV.
> ${ }^{2} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ FLAMV: ơ̂v $\tau \grave{\nu} v$ E.
> ${ }^{3}$ ex B.J. edd.: Mápкои codd. E Lat. hic et infra. ${ }^{4}$ тà E: om. codd. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{PE}: \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ rell.
> ${ }^{7}{ }^{\circ} \nu \mathrm{P}$.
> ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Naber: aủzov̂ codd. ${ }^{9}$ totius Lat., ef. P.J. ${ }^{10}$ тоиิто Herwerden.

[^205]custody of the arms, he would never have entertained such a notion seeing how impossible it would be ; and so he became reconciled with Antipater, and they came to an agreement at the time when Murcus ${ }^{a}$ was governing Syria, who, on lcarning that Malichus was stirring up a revolt in Judaea, ${ }^{b}$ came very near putting him to death, but on the plea of Antipater spared his life.
(4) ${ }^{c}$ Now Antipater in saving Malichus' life had unwittingly, it turned out, saved his own murderer. For Cassius and Murcus collected an army and entrusted the entire charge of it to Herod; and they made him governor of Coele-Syria, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ giving him ships ${ }^{e}$ and a force of cavalry and infantry, and also promised to appoint him king of Judaea after the war which

The
Herodians'
growing power under the Romans leads Malichus to poison Antipater. they had just then begun with Antony and the young Caesar. ${ }^{f}$ And as Malichus was now in greater fear than ever of Antipater, he sought to put him out of the way, and with money persuaded Hycanus' butler, at whose house they were both being entertained, to kill Antipater ${ }^{g}$ by poisoning ; and having
d "Procurator of all Syria," according to B.J. Otto, Herodes, p. 22, douhts this latter appointment in view of the chronology ( $c f . \$ 274$ note $g$ ), and because it is contradicted by a statement in Appian, Bell. Cic. iv. 63, that Cassius entrusted Syria to his nephew, who is not named, see below, $\S 295$ note $g$. Momigliano, Ricerche, pp. 219 f., notes these difficulties, but suggests that Herod held two different posts at different times. More probably Ant . is a correction of B.J.

- Ships are not mentioned in B.J.
; That is, Octavian, the later Augustus, cf. $\$ 301$ note $f$.
- Lit. "the man "; whether tòv áv $\delta \rho a$ is merely neutral here or has favourable connotation (" worthy," as sometimes in Josephus) is difficult to tell. Below, in § 283, applied to Malichus, it means "the fellow" (although the English context there requires the translation " man ").
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 283 Mádeхos каi $\notin \xi a \rho v o s ~ \hat{\eta} v ~ \tau о ̂ ̂ ~ ф o ́ v o v . ~ к а i ~ ' A \nu \tau i ́-~$





 $\tau \dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho o ́ s, \mu \grave{\eta} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v$ ката́рхєєข vo $\mu \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \frac{}{\epsilon} \mu-$ $28 \pm$ фu入íou. $\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon$ ô̂v ar $\pi o \lambda o \gamma i ́ a v ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ M a \lambda i ́ x o v ~ \pi \rho o \sigma-~$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тòv 'Avтıта́троv какоирүท̂бає Ва́vатоv, та́фоv










${ }^{1}$ ar $\nu \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{PE}:$ є̀ $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{V}$.
${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon i \theta_{\epsilon \tau \tau \iota} \mu \epsilon ่ \nu$ ou์v FLAM.

[^206]
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soldiers there, he restored order in the eity. But to Herod and Phasael, who, on learning of the plot against their father, were incensed, Maliehus again denied any part in it and professed to have no knowledge of the murder. This was the manner in which Antipater died, a man distinguished for piety, justice and devotion to his country. ${ }^{a}$ But while one of his sons, Herod, resolved to avenge his father at once by leading his army against Malichus, the elder son Phasael thought it better to get their man by cunning lest it should be thought that they were beginning a civil war. $\mathrm{He}^{b}$ therefore aceepted Malichus' defence and pretended to believe that he had done nothing criminal in connexion with Antipater's death; he then arranged the burial of his father. As for Herod, he came to Samaria and finding it in a sorry condition, repaired the damage, and put an end to the quarrels among its people.
(5) Not long afterwards, when the festival ${ }^{c}$ took place at Jerusalem, he came to the eity with his soldiers, and Malichus in fear sought to persuade Hyrcanus not to permit him to enter. Hyrcanus let himself be so persuaded, and gave the pretext for

Herod $\stackrel{\text { enters }}{\substack{\text { entersalem } \\ \text { Jen }}}$ in defiance of Hyrcanus and
Malichus. keeping him out that it was not proper to admit a crowd of foreigners when the people were in a state of ritual purity. But Herod paid little attention to anywhere, one would expect a considerably less favourable estimate in Ant. if Laqueur's theory of the anti-Herodian revision of B.J. in Ant. is correct. But, on the contrary, $A n t$. is more favourable than B.J. It is significant that Laqueur passes over this difficulty in silence.
${ }^{b}$ Apparently Phasael is meant, but possibly, as in B.J., it is Herod who accepts Malichus' defence and buries Antipater.
c The festival of Tabernacles (Oct. 4.3 в.c.) is probably meant, $c f$. Ant. xiii. 372 note $d$.
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$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu^{1} \nu v ́ \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ єï $\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$, каi





 o甘aı тòv Mádıхоv.

 $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o v$ Мá $\lambda_{\iota \chi o s, ~ a ̀ v \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ v ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}} \pi a \tau \rho i ́$, каi $\lambda \alpha ́ \theta \rho \alpha$ סıатє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ Т ~ Т ~ v ́ \rho \varphi ~ \chi \iota \lambda \iota-~$

 Kaбoiov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \eta ่ \epsilon \sigma a \nu ~ к o \iota v \hat{\eta} ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha ́ v o v s ~ \tau \epsilon$




 є' $\gamma \nu \omega$ тойтóv $\tau \epsilon$ vंтєкклє́భ



${ }^{1}$ praecepta Lat. : $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \epsilon \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ coni. Niese.
${ }^{2}$ фídov Lat. Zonaras.


 Naber.
602
his messengers, ${ }^{a}$ and entered the city by night, to the terror of Malichus, who, however, did not give up his assumption of innocence but wept for Antipater and ostensibly mourned his memory as a friend; nevertheless he secretly provided himself with a bodyguard. ${ }^{b}$ But Herod and his friends still thought it best not to unmask his pretence ; on the contrary, they, in turn, treated Maliehus with friendliness in order to avoid suspicion.
(6) However Herod wrote to Cassius about the death of his father, and he, knowing what kind of man Malichus was, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ wrote in reply that he should avenge his father, and he seeretly sent to the military tribunes at 'Tyre, ordering them to assist Herod in his plan to carry out justice. Now when Cassius had taken Laodicea, ${ }^{d}$ and they presented themselves officially, bringing him crowns and money, Herod expected that Malichus would meet his punishment on coming there. He, however, being near Tyre in Phoenicia, suspected what was being done, and played for greater stakes; and as his son was a hostage in 'Tyre, he came to the city, determined to steal him away and depart for Judaea and then, when Cassius was marching in haste against Antony, ${ }^{e}$ to cause the nation to revolt, and seize power for himself. These plans, however, were opposed by
a Variant, eonjeetured from Latin, " to the laws of purity."

- This detail is not given in B.J.
c Cf. 13.J. i. 230, "Cassius, who had other grounds for hating Malichus "; the reference is to Malichus' attempt to stir up a revolt in Judaea, cf. § 979 .
${ }^{d}$ After besieging Dolabella, who surrendered and committed suicide $c$. June, 43 r.c., $c f$. Minnzer in P'W iv. 1308.
- Cassins did not leave Syria until carly in 42. b.c. The events here referred to took place in the latter half of 43 s.c.
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 $\mu o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ adv $\nu \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \grave{\omega} \nu$ є̇ $\pi v \nu \theta a ́ v \in \tau o \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тòv











${ }^{1} \mathrm{P}:$ катаvoŋ́oas rel. E. $\quad{ }^{2}$ os P : om. well.
${ }^{3}$ ext $\pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda 0$ óvтє P .
${ }^{4}$ Фîhe $\xi$ ex Lat. conic. Richards et Shot.
${ }^{5}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu$ ั̆
${ }^{a}$ Lit. " daimon " ; B.J. i. 233 has " Destiny "' ( тò $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$ ). Schlatter, Theol. Jos., p. 35, remarks that Josephus uses to סaıuóvıov as equivalent to to $\theta$ єîov.
" B.J. has merely, " invited him (Malichus) and Hyrcanus to dinner."
c In B.J. Hyrcanus says more concretely, "Cassius has saved both me and my country by destroying one who conspire against both." Josephus there adds, "Whether he 604
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a heavenly power ${ }^{a}$ and by Herod, who was clever enough to perceive his intention, and sent ahead his servant, ostensibly to prepare a dinner-for he had earlier spoken of entertaining them all ${ }^{b}$-but in reality to go to the military tribunes, whom he persuaded to come out against Malichus with their daggers ready. So they came out, and meeting him near the city on the seashore, stabbed him to death. Hyrcanus was struck speechless with amazement at what had been done, and on recovering with some difficulty, inquired of Herod's men what this act might mean and who had had Malichus slain. But when they said that Cassius had ordered this, he commended the deed, saying that Malichus was a very bad man and a conspirator against his country. ${ }^{c}$ Such, then, was the penalty which Malichus paid for his lawless act against Antipater.
(7) But when Cassius left Syria, ${ }^{d}$ disturbances arose in Judaea. For Helix, ${ }^{e}$ who had been left behind with an army in Jerusalem, ${ }^{f}$ marched against Phasael, and the citizens took up arms. Now Herod was on parture of his way to Fabius, who was governor at Damascus, ${ }^{g}$
expressed his real opinion or from fear acquiesced in the deed, was uncertain."
${ }^{\text {¿ }}$ See above, $\S 290$ note $e . \quad$ Lat. "Felix."
${ }^{f}$ By Hyrcanus, probably, on his leaving for Tyre, as Reinach suggests.

- Reinach queries whether this Fabius may not have been the nephew left by Cassius in Syria, whose name is not given by Appian, Bell. Civ. iv. 63 (cf. § 280 note d). Schürer, however, citing Noris, Cenotaphia Pisana, 1681, p. 280, suggests that the nephew was Lucius Cassius, later killed at Philippi, according to Appian, Bell. Civ. iv. 135. If this is true, Fabius must have been the governor appointed to succeed Cassius' nephew, probably early in 42 b.c.


## .IOSEPHUS







 є́фрои́рєı каi Mágaঠav тò $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \rho v \mu \nu o ́ t a \tau o v . ~$

 $\chi \omega р i ́ a ~ \dot{~ v ́ o ́ \sigma \pi o v \delta o v ~ a ̀ m e ́ \lambda} \lambda \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
297 (xii. 1) 'Avtíqovor' $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ tòv 'Apıatoßov́lov, oтpaтıàv d̀ $\theta \rho о i ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ каi Фáßıov $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \nu \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha ~ \chi \rho \eta ́-~$ $\mu a \sigma t$, кат $\eta \gamma \epsilon$ Мтодєرаios ó Mevvaiov סı̀ $\tau \grave{o}$
 Tvpíwv ката入є入oítєı Káббıos тúpavvov. тvpavvíaı ${ }^{9}$



 $\mu \epsilon ̇ v$ av̉тòv à $\phi \epsilon i ́ \lambda \epsilon \tau o$, тoùs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Tupícuv фpoupoùs $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega s$ à $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda v \sigma \epsilon \nu$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ oís каi $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon a ̀ s$ סoùs $299 \delta_{\iota \alpha} \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \epsilon v ̈ v o v v . ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \delta \iota a \pi \rho a \xi \alpha ́-~$
${ }^{1}$ Naber : aủroû codd.
${ }^{2}$ ed. pr.: каi V : om. rell.

[^207]
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but although he wished to rush to his brother's side, was prevented by illness; finally Phasael by his own efforts got the better of Helix and shut him up in a tower, but later let him go under a truce; he also reproached Hyrcanus for acting with his foes although he had received many kindnesses from him. For Malichus' brother, having stirred up a revolt, was then guarding a good many fortresses, including Masada, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the strongest of all. Accordingly when Herod had recovered from his illness, he came against him and took from him all the fortresses he held, after which he released him under a truce.
(xii. 1) But Antigonus, the son of Aristobulus, who had collected an army and sought the favour of defeats Fabius with bribes, was brought back to his country by Ptolemy, the son of Mennaeus, because of their kinship. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ He was also aided by Marion, whom Cassius had left as prince ${ }^{c}$ of Tyre, for that worthy on occupying Syria had controlled it through small principalities. ${ }^{d}$ Marion therefore invaded Galilee, which lay on his borders, and captured three strongholds, in which he placed garrisons. But Herod came against him also and took from him all these places ${ }^{e}$; the Tyrian garrison, however, he considerately released, and even gave gifts to some of them out of goodwill to their city. ${ }^{f}$ After achieving these things,

[^208]
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 $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \frac{\nu}{}$ aтєфа́voıs ảvédovv 'T’ркаvós $\tau \epsilon$ каi oi






 ßv́татоs үivєтаı таîs 'Avтíтaтроs.
 Kaîoap $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \Phi_{\imath} \lambda i ́ \pi \pi \pi o v s, ~ \omega ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \pi a \rho ’ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ \delta \epsilon-~$





${ }^{1}$ ѐт $\pi \gamma а \mu \beta \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \tau о$ AMt.
${ }^{2}$ is . . $\delta \in \delta \dot{\eta} \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \tau a \iota$ om. VE.
s 'Itadias ex Lat. et B.J. conn. Aldrich : Гa入hías cod. E.
${ }^{a}$ B.J. is also vague about the site of the battle.
" Cf. B.J. i. 240, " he returned to Jerusalem, where his success won him all men's hearts."
${ }^{c}$ This was Mariamme, who is named at this point in B.J., which inaccurately speaks of Herod's marriage ( $\epsilon \pi \iota y a \mu i a \nu$ ) to her. The marriage did not take place until some five years later, see below, $\S 467$ (parallel with B.J. i. 344).
${ }^{d}$ The three sons were Alexander, Aristobulus (III), and one who died in infancy ; the two daughters were Salampsio and Cypros, cf. B.J. i. 435, 566 and Ant. xviii. 130.

- Perhaps we should interpret $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau o \hat{v} \epsilon \ddot{\epsilon}$ rows as "of the (Jewish) nation," rather than of Herod's nation, the ldu608
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he went to meet Antigonus, and joining battle with him, defeated him and drove him out of Judaea before he had time to penetrate further than its border. ${ }^{a}$ And when he arrived in Jerusalem, Hyrcanus and the people wreathed his head with crowns. ${ }^{b}$ As Herod had already become connected by an agreement of marriage with the family of Hyrcanus, he was for that reason the more protective of him; he was, in fact, about to marry the daughter of Aristobulus' son Alexander and granddaughter of Hyrcanus, ${ }^{c}$ by whom he was to become the father of three sons and two daughters. ${ }^{d}$ He had previously married a plebeian woman of his own nation, ${ }^{e}$ named Doris, by whom he had his eldest son Antipater.
(2) Meanwhile Cassius was conquered by Antony and Caesar ${ }^{f}$ at Philippi, ${ }^{g}$ as has been related by others. ${ }^{h}$ And after their victory Caesar proceeded to Italy, ${ }^{i}$ while Antony departed for Asia ${ }^{j}$; when he M. Antony in Asia came to Bithynia, he was met by embassies from all parts. Also present were the leading Jews, who brought accusations against Phasael and Herod to
maean. In B.J. i. 241 Doris is said to be a " distinguished

 latter statement is suspected by Otto, Herodes, p. 23 note.

1 The young Gnaeus Octavius was officially recognized as Julius Caesar's heir in August 43 b.c. under the name of Gaius Julius Caesar Octavianus. Later, in 27 в.c., he adopted the surname (or epithet) Augustus.

- In Macedonia, October 42 в.c.
${ }^{n}$ The variant omits the last clause.
" Italy" is conjectured from Lat. and B.J. for mss. " Gaul." The former is historically correct.
${ }^{5}$ Antony was in Asia Minor in the spring of 41 b.c. On his activity there see Plutarch, Ant. 24, Appian, Bell. Civ. v. 4 and Dio Cassius xlviii. 24.
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 ＇Iovסaiovs ov̉ vó $\mu \omega$ mo入є́ $\mu$ оv，үрá廿аvта тоîs катà

 305 тav̂тa крivas＇Avтćvıos סíкаıa тoùs＇Iovסaiovs

 Sıáта $\gamma \mu a^{4} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi о \nu^{5} \tau a v ̀ \tau a ́ .{ }^{6}$


 $307 \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ то仑̂ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \mu a \tau o s$. Nvбípaxos Mavaaviou каі＇I $\omega ́ \sigma \eta \pi о s ~ M \epsilon v v a i o v ~ к а i ~ ' A \lambda e ́ \xi а \nu \delta \rho o s ~ \Theta \epsilon o \delta \omega ́ \rho o v ~$

${ }^{1}$ äца om. P. $\quad{ }^{2}$ toîs $\mathrm{P}:$ om. rell.
${ }^{3}+$ каі P . $\quad{ }^{2}+\underset{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \mathrm{P}}{ }$.
${ }^{5}$ ठıата́үрата тєріє́хогта coni. Richards et Shutt.
${ }^{6}$ coni. Hudson : raû̃a codd.

[^209]
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the effect that while Hyreanus had the outward appearanee of sovereignty, it was they who had all the power. But Herod, who was held in great honour by Antony, ${ }^{a}$ came to him to defend himself against his aceusers, and in this way his adversaries did not even get a chance to speak, for this serviee had been obtained by Herod from Antony with money. And ${ }^{b}$ when Antony came to Ephesus, the high priest Hyrcanus and our nation sent an embassy to him, bringing a golden crown and requesting that he would write to the provincial governors to set free those Jews who had been taken captive by Cassius in violation of the laws of war, and restore to them the territory of whieh they had been deprived in the time of Cassius. ${ }^{c}$ These demands Antony deeided the Jews were justified in making, and so he immediately wrote to Hyreanus and the Jews. He also sent to the Tyrians a decree ${ }^{d}$ to the same effeet. ${ }^{e}$
(3) f" Mareus Antonius, Imperator, to Hyrcanus, high priest and ethnarch, and to the Jewish nation, ${ }^{g}$ greeting. If you are in good health, it is well. I also am in good health, as is the army. The envoys Jows, grantLysimachus, son of Pausanias, Josephus, son of privileges Mennacus, and Alexander, son of Theodorus, ${ }^{h}$ who met me at E.phesus, have renewed the mission pre-
${ }^{n}$ SS $304-323$ have no parallel in B.J.
c Sec above, § 297.
${ }^{d}$ Two decrees are cited, \$§ $314 \mathrm{ff} ., 319 \mathrm{ff}$.
e Conjectured for uss. " containing these things."
' For a brief discussion of the following decrees see works listed in $\Lambda$ ppendix J.
"So ms. P; the rest have, "to Hyrcanns, high priest and ethnarch of the Jews."
${ }^{n}$ Two of these men, Lysimachus and Nlexander, are mentioned above in § 219 as envoys sent to liome by Hyrcanus.

## JOSEPHUS



 308 є́ $\mu \phi а \nu i ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$. $\quad \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon$ 'vos ov̂v каı є’к т̂̂̀v $\pi \rho a \gamma-$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta \mu a ̂ s, ~ к а i ~ \tau о ̀ ~ a ̉ p a \rho o ̀ s ~ i ́ v e ̂ ̂ \nu ~ \eta ̂ \theta o s ~ к а i ~} \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta$ є̀s

 $\delta \eta ́ \mu \omega \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P} \omega \mu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \eta \prime \tau \epsilon \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu^{3}, \mu \eta \prime \tau \epsilon$




 ả $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \phi \theta a \iota ~ \delta o \kappa о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$, ôs каi av̉тòs ả $\delta \delta \hat{\omega} s \in \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta \epsilon$
 тàs $\theta \epsilon о \mu a ́ \chi o u s, ~ a ̂ s ~ i ́ \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon ́ \xi а т о ~ \grave{\eta}$ Макє ̋ठıos av่тô̂s $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ àvooí $\omega v$ тод $\eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ả $\eta \rho$, каi

 єv̉фvєîs ката入ацßаvó $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s ~ a ̉ \pi о-~$

${ }^{1}$ оікєьóтๆта LAMW Lat.
${ }^{2}$ iठıov $\left.\tilde{\eta} \gamma \eta \mu \alpha \iota\right]$ proprium te nobiscum esse iudicavimus Lat. : post $\eta \gamma \eta \mu a \iota$ excidisse verba non pauca putat Nicse.
${ }^{3} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$ W : óoícy coni. Naber.

- خ̀ $\rho \dot{\mu} \omega \nu$ PFLV.
${ }^{5} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho т \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{P}$.
${ }^{6}$ post ouvєкро́тovv lacunam indicat Dindorf.
${ }^{7}$ Bekker: каі то́тоиs codd.
${ }^{8} \tau \eta \grave{\nu} \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \mathrm{LAMW}$.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 307-310

viously carried out by them in Rome, and have conscientiously discharged their present mission on behalf of you and the nation, making clear the goodwill you have for us. Being, therefore, persuaded by both deeds and words that you have the friendliest feelings for us, and being aware of your obliging and pious nature, I regard your interests as my own. ${ }^{a}$ For when our adversaries and those of the Roman people overran all Asia, sparing neither cities nor temples, and disregarding the sworn agreements they had made, it was not only our own battle but that of all mankind in common that we fought when we avenged ourselves on those who were guilty both of lawless deeds against men and of unlawful acts against the gods, from which we believe the very sum turned away, as if it too were loath to look upon the foul deed against Caesar. ${ }^{b}$ But their god-defying plots, which Macedonia received as though its climate were proper to their unholy crimes, and the confused mob of half-crazed villains whom they got together at Philippi in Macedonia, where ${ }^{c}$ they occupied places naturally favourable and walled in by mountains as far as the sea, so that the passage ${ }^{d}$ could be controlled through only one gate ${ }^{e}$-these
latter part of the sentence may have read originally, "I regard it as my own concern that you have suffered injury at the hands of Cassius " or the like.
b This bit of rhetoric appears to be based on a passage from a lost play of Sophocles on Thyestes or Atreus, describing the sm's behaviour when Thyestes feasted on his sons; cf. Seneca, Thyestes 775 ff . and Hyginus, Fabulae 88, " ad id scelus etiam sol cursum avertit."
c Text slightly uncertain.
d Variant " the entire passage."

- A rather different description of the site is given, in some detail, by Appian, Bell. Civ. iv. 105-106.
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 хр $\bar{\sigma} \theta a \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda o \mu a \iota . ~ T v p i o v s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \omega \lambda v ́ \omega ~ \beta ı a i o v s ~$



314 (4) " Марркоs 'Аvтćvıos aùтокра́тшр Tvрíwv





${ }^{1}$ post танєєv́є $\sigma$ at lacunam statuit Gutschmid.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}$ : ámodaútur rell.

${ }^{4}$ Dindorf: ávadépetv codd.: refovemus Lat.: ívaф'́pécau ed. pr.
${ }^{6}$ Mendelssolin : фı $\lambda a v \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \omega$ codd. Lat.
${ }_{6}$ occurrissent Lat., unde ímaviךoávicuv coni. Dindorf.
${ }^{7}$ каі P : om, rell. Lat. vid.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 310-315

plots and this mob, condemned by the gods for their unjust enterprise, we have overcome. And Brutus, who fled to Philippi and was hemmed in by us, shared the ruin of Cassius. Now that these men have been punished, we hope that henceforth we shall enjoy peace and give Asia respite from war. We are therefore ready to let our allies also participate in the peace given us by God ; and so, owing to our victory, the body of Asia is now recovering, as it were, from a serious illness. Having, therefore, in mind to promote the welfare both of you and your nation, I shall take care of your interests. And I have also sent notices throughout the cities that if any persons, whether freemen or slaves, were sold at auction ${ }^{a}$ by Gaius Cassius or by those subordinate to him, they shall be released; and it is my wish that you shall enjoy the privileges granted by me and Dolabella. ${ }^{b}$ And I forbid the Tyrians to use violence against you, and command that they restore whatever they possess belonging to the Jews. As for the crown which you have sent, I have accepted it."
(4) " Marcus Antonius, Imperator, to the magis- M. Antony's trates, council and people of Tyre, greeting. It has $\frac{1}{\text { letter to }}$ Tyre, on been made known to me ${ }^{c}$ at Ephesus by the envoys behalf of of Hyrcanus, the high priest and ethnarch, that you rights. are in possession of their territory, which you invaded during the time when our adversaries were in control ${ }^{d}$; and since we have undertaken a war for

[^211][^212]${ }^{8} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ Hudson.
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 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ є̀ $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \dot{\eta} \quad \sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu$,




 $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa$ óт $\omega \nu$, тov́s $\tau \epsilon$ бu $\mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s$


 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \circ \nu \epsilon \in \pi a ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \beta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$

 318 av̉тoùs $\pi o \iota \epsilon i v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ i \delta i ́ \omega \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota v . ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota v a ~$
 тov̀s тótovs $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \in \sigma \tau a \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu i ̀ v ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta ~ \chi \rho \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
 фиخаббóvт $\omega \nu$."

 ${ }^{1}$ а̇тобоөйvac P .
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 315-319

the supreme power, and having in mind the cause of piety and justice, have taken vengeance on those who neither remembered kindnesses nor observed their oaths, it is my wish that our allies ${ }^{a}$ shall have peace at your hands, and that whatever you have received from our opponents shall not be retained by you but shall be restored to those from whom it was taken. For none of these men ${ }^{b}$ obtained his province or army by grant of the Senate, but they seized them by force, and by an act of violence presented them to those who had been useful to them in their unjust activities. And now that they have paid the penalty, we think it right that our allies shall remain in undisturbed possession of whatever they formerly owned, and also that you, if you now hold any places which belonged to Hyreanus, the ethnarch of the Jews, as recently as one day before Gaius Cassius, waging an unlawful war, invaded our ${ }^{c}$ province, you shall return them to him, and shall not use any force against them in order to make them incapable of managing their own possessions. And if you have any plea against him in justification, you will be permitted to make it when we come to these parts, for we preserve the rights of all our allies equally in giving judgment."
(5) "Marcus Antonius, Imperator, to the magis- M. Antony trates, council and people of Tyre, greeting. I have to the $\begin{gathered}\text { Tyrians. }\end{gathered}$

[^213]
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ảтє́бтадка $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v i \mu a ̂ s, ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ o ̂ ̂ ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda о \mu a \iota ~ ن ̛ \mu a ̂ s ~$ фроvтíral, ìva aủrò єis тàs $\delta \eta \mu о \sigma i a s ~ \epsilon ̀ v \tau \alpha ́ \xi \eta \tau \epsilon$



 $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \eta \mu о \sigma i \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ єiтєย.









 $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s, \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ тоîs $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ кvрiots.


 тòv oủ $\mathfrak{v i \pi \alpha \kappa о v ́ \sigma а \nu \tau \alpha . ~}$
323 (6) Tò $\delta$ ' aúтò тоиิто каi $\Sigma_{\imath} \delta \omega \nu i o \iota s ~ к а i ~ ' A \nu \tau \iota o-~$





4 $\sigma \tau \rho a r o \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \omega$ FLAMW Lat. : hic lacunam statuit Niese. ${ }^{5}+$ 'Ioudaíw P .
${ }^{7}$ єival om. PFV.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 319-323

sent you my edict, and it is my wish that you take care to register it in the public tablets in Latin and Greek characters, and, when it is written, keep it in the most conspicuous place in order that it may be read by all. 'Statement of Marcus Antonius, Imperator, one of the triumvirs appointed to govern the republic. ${ }^{a}$ Whereas Gaius Cassius in the late rebellion ${ }^{b}$ seized a province which did not belong to him, and after oceupying it with armed forces, plundered it and our allies, ${ }^{c}$ and forced the surrender of the Jewish nation, which was a friend of the Roman people, we, therefore, having overcome his madness by our arms, do establish order by our edicts and decisions in the territories plundered by him, so that they may be restored to our allies. And whatever was sold belonging to the Jews, whether persons or possessions, shall be released, the slaves to be free, as they were originally, and the possessions to be returned to their former owners. And it is my wish that whoever disobeys my edict shall be brought to trial, and if such a person is convicted, it shall be my concern to prosecute the offender in accordance with the seriousness of his act.'"
(6) In the same way he also wrote to the people Letters of Sidon, Antioch and Aradus. ${ }^{d}$ Now we have cited to Sidon, these documents in a suitable place, for they will be and Aradus. proofs of our statements concerning the thoughtfulness which the Romans showed for our nation.

[^214][^215]
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324 (xiii. 1) M $\epsilon \tau$ à $\delta$ є̀ тaûta єis Eupíav 'Avtcuiov



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ aủróv, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ aùт $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau o v ̀{ }^{1}$












${ }^{1}$ aủ่ $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau o u ̀ s ~ V E: ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ P: ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ r e l l . ~$
${ }^{2} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ o m . ~ L a t . ~$
${ }^{3}$ ôs $\left.\left.\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta\right\rangle s\right] \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \eta_{s} \gamma$ à HLAMW .
 deesse putat Niese.
 каi ठє́ка $\mathrm{E}: \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon к а i \delta \epsilon к а ~ \delta е ̀ ~ r e l l . ~ L a t . ~$

[^216]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 324-326

(xiii. 1) ${ }^{a}$ When Antony afterwards came to Syria, Antony at Cleopatra met him in Cilicia ${ }^{b}$ and made him a captive ${ }_{\text {favours }}^{\text {Daphe }}$ of love. And once again ${ }^{c}$ a hundred of the most Herod. influential Jews came before him to accuse Herod and his friends, ${ }^{d}$ putting forward their most skilful speakers. But Messala ${ }^{e}$ spoke against them on behalf of the young men in the presence of Hyrcanus, who had by then become Herod's kinsman by marriage. ${ }^{f}$ And when Antony had listened to both sides at Daphne ${ }^{g}$ and inquired of Hyrcanus which were the better leaders of the nation, he replied, " Herod and his people," whereupon Antony, who had from of old been friendly with them because of the hospitable relations which he had formed with their father when he was with Gabinius, ${ }^{h}$ appointed both Herod and Phasael tetrarchs, ${ }^{i}$ and entrusted to them the government of the Jews ; he also wrote letters (to this effect), and put in chains fifteen of their adversaries, ${ }^{j}$
sailed up the Cydnus river in a golden barge, $c f$. Plutarch, Ant. 26. See above, \& 302.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ B.J. " accused the brothers " (Herod and Phasael).
e M. Valerius Messala Corvinus; see Dr. Thackeray's note on B.J. i. 243.
$f$ Herod was still only the betrothed, not the husband of Hyrcanus' granddaughter Mariamme, cf. $\S 300$ note $c$.

A suburb of Antioch, as B.J. explains.
${ }^{n}$ Cf. $\S s, 54-86$.
${ }^{i}$ This (with the parallel B.J. i. 24.) is the first occurrence in Josephus of this title, so well known from the New Testament in connexion with the later Herodians. Whatever the official position of Herod and Phasael as tetrarchs (see works listed in Appendix L), it is likely that the Romans still regarded Hyrcanus, the ethnarch, as nominally head of the state.
" Variant " of the rebels." These fifteen were of the influential Jewish spokesmen mentioned above, as B.J. makes clear.
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 $\tau \epsilon$ 'Hpúdou каi $\tau о \hat{u}$ á $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ \hat{v}$ aủто仑̂, $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ катà

 каi тоîs $\pi \epsilon \rho i ~ ' Н \rho \omega ́ \delta \eta \nu ~ \sigma v \gamma к а \theta \iota \sigma \tau а ́ v a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \rho \chi \eta ’ \nu . ~$











${ }^{1}$ PVE: $\sigma \tau a \sigma เ \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ rell.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{E}$ et Lat. vid. : iסpuro codd.
${ }^{3} \pi \rho o \sigma \iota \omega \nu$ М M: $\pi \rho o \dot{s}$ इı $\delta \hat{\omega} v a \mathrm{P}$.
4 aútề ex B.J. coni. Lowthins: aútoîs codd. E. ${ }^{3}$ PVE lat. : Ġvious rell.
${ }^{6} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{is}$ om. P.

[^217]
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but as he was about to kill them, Herod's intercession saved their lives. ${ }^{a}$
(2) Not even on their return from the embassy, The Romane however, did they remain quiet, but again a thousand some stack men met Antony at Tyre, where he had decided to Herod's go. And as Antony had already been heavily bribed ${ }^{\text {opponerts. }}$ by Herod and his brother, ${ }^{b}$ he ordered the local magistrate to punish the enroys of the Jews, who were bent on revolution, and to establish Herod ${ }^{c}$ in power. But Herod hastily went out to them-for they ${ }^{d}$ had taken up a position on the beach before the city-, and urged them, Hyrcanus being with him, ${ }^{e}$ to go away, saying that great harm would befall them if they proceeded to a contest. But as they refused to take his advice, the Romans ${ }^{f}$ immediately rushed upon them with their daggers and killed some and wounded a still greater number, ${ }^{9}$ while the rest escaped to their homes and remained there in great terror without moving. ${ }^{h}$ But when the populace cried out against Herod, Antony in a rage killed those who had been taken prisoners.
(3) ${ }^{i}$ Two years later ${ }^{j}$ Syria was occupied by Pacorus, Antigonus

- The sing. pron. is conjectured from B.J. for sss. " them " purchases (i.e. the deputies).
f At Antony's command, according to B.J.
- Variant "wounded some."
n Ant. omits the statement, in B.J. i. 246, that " burial for the dead and medical attention for the wounded were granted by Hyrcanus."
- The parallelism between $\$ \$ 330-369$ and B.J. i. 24-2-273, dealing largely with the Parthians, is discussed by Laqueur, pp. 189-193.
; The dating seems to be from about the time of the battle of Philippi (cf. § 301), as the Parthians invaded Syria in 40 b.c. (spring). Olmstead, JAOS 56 (1936), 255 sees an allusion to the Parthian invasion in Enoch, clı. 56. For rabbinic traditions see works listed in Appendix K.
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 тара入аß̀̀v Sıaтрáттєтаı фı入íav $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A v \tau i \gamma o v o v ~$

 331 vov. ${ }^{2}$ 'Avтíүovos Sè $\dot{u} \pi \iota \sigma \chi \nu \epsilon i ̄ o ~ \chi i ́ \lambda \iota \alpha ~ \tau a ́ \lambda a \nu \tau \alpha ~ к а i ~$ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о \sigma i a s ~ \gamma v v a i ̂ k a s ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ П a ́ p \theta o ı s, ~ \epsilon i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v$













 sim. infra.
${ }^{2}$ §vrá $\mu \epsilon v$ v Niese: $\delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ c o d d . ~$
${ }^{3}$ ката́yovтєs 'Avtíyovov] deducente cos Antigono Lat.
${ }^{4}$ катабкаұанє́vŋ P: vexaturam Lat.
${ }^{5}$ '̇к $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ sqq. corrupta esse monet Niese.
a Orodes II (see the list of Parthian rulers in Debevoise, p. 270).
" Variants "Bazaphranes," "Barzapharmanes," etc. : in B.J. most mss. have "Barzaphranes." The Iranian original, roughly transcribed, was Barzafarna " exalted in glory" (cf. F. Justi, Iranisches Namenbuch, p. 65).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ See above, § 297.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, NIV. 330-334

the son of the Parthian king, ${ }^{a}$ and Barzaphranes, ${ }^{b}$ the sapport Parthian satrap. At the same time Ptolemy, the $\begin{gathered}\text { from the } \\ \text { Parthians. }\end{gathered}$ son of Mennaeus, died, ${ }^{c}$ and his son Lysanias on succeeding to his throne made a pact of friendship with Antigonus, the son of Aristobulus, in which matter he found the satrap useful, for he had great influence with him. ${ }^{d}$ And Antigonus promised to give the Parthians a thousand talents and five hundred women ${ }^{e}$ if they would deprive Hyrcanus of power and give it over to him, and destroy Herod and his people. ${ }^{f}$ He did not in fact give them these, ${ }^{g}$ but nevertheless the Parthians for the sake of these rewards marched against Judaea, bringing Antigonus back to his country; Pacorus went along the sea-coast while the satrap Barzaphranes went through the interior. Now whereas the Tyrians excluded Pacorus, the people of Sidon and Ptolemais admitted him. However, Pacorus sent out a troop of cavalry to Judaea to make a reconnaissance of the country and also to co-operate with Antigonus under the command of the cupbearer of the king, who had the same name as himself. ${ }^{h}$ And as some of the Jews near Mount

[^218]
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 335 ßабí入єєа रुкоу каi таиิта є̇тодєо́ркоиv. троб-



 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v ~ o i ̉ k i a s ~ ф \rho o v \rho \eta ́ \sigma o v \tau a s ~ a u ̀ \tau a ́ s, ~ o v ̈ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi a v a \sigma \tau a ̀ s ~$








 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò iєрòv à $\theta \rho o i \zeta \zeta o v \tau a \imath ~ \mu v \rho \iota a ́ \delta \epsilon s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \omega \nu$
 om. ME:

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The text s slightly uncertain. B.J. i. 250 has, " while these troops (of Pacorus) were raiding Carmel, Jews flocked to Antigonus," etc.
" With their help" is an emendation of mss. reading, "Antigonus expected them to take," etc.

- Apparently the Plain of Sharon is meant, of. Abel, GP i. 414 (who refers to Strabo xvi. 2.27 f., as does Debevoise. 626


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 331-339

Carmel came to Antigonus ${ }^{a}$ and were ready to join him in the invasion, Antigonus expeeted to take some part of the territory with their help, ${ }^{b}$ namely, the place called The Grove (Drymoi) ${ }^{c}$; and although some opponents engaged them, these men got away to Jerusalem, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ and on being joined by others, formed a large body and came against the palace, which they proceeded to besiege. But Phasael and Herod ${ }^{e}$ came to the assistance of the besieged, and in the battle which was fought in the market-place the young men ${ }^{f}$ defeated the enemy; and after pursuing them into the temple, they sent some soldiers ${ }^{g}$ to the adjacent houses to guard them, but as the soldiers were left without reinforeements, ${ }^{n}$ the people rose against them and burnt them to death in the houses. For this outrage Herod, however, soon afterwards took vengeance on his adversaries, and engaging them in battle, killed many of them.
(4) During the daily skirmishes that took place the encmy were waiting for the arrival of the multitude from the country ${ }^{i}$ who were coming for the celebration of Pentecost, as it is ealled, which is a festival. And when this day came, there were many tens of thousands of armed and unarmed men gathered p. 111 n. 74). Avi Yonal, p. 18, identifies it more elosely as a wood near Arsüf (Apollonia).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ B.J. i. 250 says that Antigonus' partisans "repulsed the enemy and rushed in pursuit to Jerusalem."
e B.J. here has Hyreanus in place of Herod, but see next note. f B.J. "Herod and his men."

- B..J. " sixty men."
$n$ The lack of reinforcements is a detail omitted in B.I.
- B.J. i. 253 speaks of the arrival of the country people but does not say that the Herodians' enemies were waiting for them. The use of the word "enemies " here points to a source favourable to Herod, i.e. Nicolas of Damascus.
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 iєрòv каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ oi $\pi a \rho o ́ v \tau \epsilon S, \pi \lambda \eta े \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{a}$




 $\epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \phi \epsilon v \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu, ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ єis тò í $i \in o ́ v$, є"бт兀 $\delta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon " \xi \omega ~ \chi а \rho a ́ к \omega \mu a \cdot ~ \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \tau \iota ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ \theta \iota . ~$




 баขтоs каi $\delta \epsilon \xi ̆ а \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о v ~ \xi \in \nu i ́ a, ~ П а ́ к о р о s ~ \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota ~$ $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi а \rho a ̀ ~ B a \rho \zeta а ф \rho a ́ v \eta \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ v, ~ \delta o ́ \lambda o v ~$

 $\pi \rho a \tau \tau о \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \beta а \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ a ̈ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~$
 $\lambda$ ev́ovtos.





$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { áóт } \lambda \omega \nu \text { cum L Dindorf coni. Naber. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{4}$ Dindorf : vi $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 s$ codd. E.
${ }^{6} \delta^{3}$ ouv P : oưv M : yoûv rell. E.
${ }^{6}$ тєитакобious E.
a B.J." killed very many and routed the rest."
round the temple. The neweomers held the temple and the city except for the palaee and its environs, for these Herod was protecting with a few soldiers. And so, while Phasael guarded the wall, Herod with a company attacked the enemy in the suburbs and after a stout fight routed many tens of thousands ${ }^{a}$; some of them fled to the city, others to the temple, and still others to some outer ramparts which were there. And Phasael also gave him assistance. Thereupon Pacorus, the Parthian general, b. at Antigonus' request came to the city with a few horsemen, ${ }^{c}$ ostensibly to put an end to the uprising, but in reality to help Antigonus obtain power. And when Phasael met him and received him hospitably, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pacorus persuaded him to go on an embassy himself to Barzaphranes, for this was part of a plot he had devised against him. Phasael, suspecting nothing, let himself be persuaded although Herod did not approve of what was being done because of the faithlessness of the barbarians, and advised him, instead, to attack Paeorus and the others who had come. ${ }^{e}$
(5) And so Hyrcanus and Phasael went off on the The embassy, and Pacorus escorted them, leaving with Parthians Herod two hundred ${ }^{f}$ horsemen and ten of the Free- Plassael. men, ${ }^{9}$ as they were called. But when they came to
${ }^{b}$ The cuphearer ( $\$ 333$ ), not the king's son.
" B.J. " with five hundred horsemen."
${ }^{d}$ In this statement and in § 346 Otto, Herodes, p. 26, sees an indication of Phasacl's attempt to win the Parthians over from Antigonus.
e B.J. " to kill the plotter" (Pacorus).
' 'The Epitome has " five hundred "; B.J. does not specify the number.
g Most of the l'arthian soldiers were slaves, according to Justinus xli. 25, cited (after Reinach) in Dr. Thackeray's note on B.J. i. 255.

## JOSEPHUS

















 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ н́́vтol $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ a v ̃ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' О ф є ́ \lambda \lambda \iota o s ~$
 $\sigma \iota \omega \tau a ́ \tau o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \notin ้ \nu$ ミupía тóтє, каi $\pi \lambda о i ̂ a ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$

 $\nu \epsilon v \in \iota \nu \tau a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \cdot \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ S̀̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ B a \rho \zeta \alpha-~$ $\phi \rho a ́ \eta \eta$ oủ Síkaıa тolєîv aủtòv ě $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$, тolav̂тa
${ }^{1} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ A IIW : provinciae Lat.
${ }^{2}$ v́ $\phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\tau} \epsilon \mathrm{SPFL}:$ ảфєoтйтєs ex R.J. coni. T. Reinach. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{PE}$ : єía rell.
 ${ }^{5}$ Niese: $\neq \omega s a ̈ \partial v$ codd.
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Galilee, those of the enemy who were stationed in that region ${ }^{a}$ met them with arms. And though Barzaphranes at first received them cordially and gave them gifts, he then began to plot against them. Phasael and his party were thereupon brought to Ecdippa ${ }^{b}$ overlooking the sea; and when they there heard that Antigonus had promised the Parthians a thousand talents and five hundred women at their expense, ${ }^{c}$ they now had suspicions of the barbarians. Furthermore someone informed them that a plot was being hatched against them to be carried out by night, and that an unseen guard was placed round them; and they would have been seized had it not been that the enemy were waiting until the Parthians at Jerusalem should have seized Herod lest upon their doing away with the envoys first, Herod should learn of it and escape. And these reports were actually so, and their guards were seen. Aecordingly some of the men urged Phasael to mount his horse immediately and not wait any longer ; especially was he pressed to do this by Ophellius, who had heard these things from Saramalla, at that time the wealthiest man in Syria, and he promised him boats for his flight, for the sea was near. ${ }^{d}$ Phasael, however, did not think it right to desert Hyrcanus or to endanger his brother ${ }^{e}$; but he went to Barzaphranes and told him that he was doing the wrong thing in
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廿єvঠєîs aùтòv vimo廿ías тарágal，à àńє $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s$ Па́корог．





 $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ Пáp $\theta \omega \nu$ à $\pi \iota \sigma \tau i a s$ ，oüs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ ou入－
 Пáp $\theta \omega v$ тoîs $\delta v v a \tau o i s^{5}$ ís ov̉ouv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä̀ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$

 Өóvта $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ тєíXovs vimavтâv тoîs тà $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$





${ }^{1}$ Boudópevov FLV．
${ }^{2}$ ех B．J．Niese：какі ${ }^{2}$ оита codd．
${ }^{3}$ ex Lat．et B．J．（cf．supra § 333）Hudson ：є̇̉voûxos codd．
 et Shutt．${ }^{5}$ AMW ：סvvatwтátos rell．Lat．
${ }^{6}$ ís ．．．$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ ótas om．Lat．
a Variant＂in wishing such things＂；B．J．agrees with the reading adopted．
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forming such plots ${ }^{a}$ against them, for if it was money he wanted, he would get more from him (Phasael) than Antigonus was giving him, and that in any case it was a terrible thing to kill envoys who had come to him trustfully and were doing no wrong. ${ }^{b}$ But in reply to this speech the barbarian swore that there was no truth in these suspicions and that, on the contrary, the suspicions that disturbed Phasael were false : he then went off to join Pacorus. ${ }^{c}$
(6) But when he had gone, some of the Parthians put Hyrcanus and Phasael in chains, and the $y^{d}$ bitterly reviled the Parthians for their perfidy. "Now the cup-bearer ${ }^{e}$ who had been sent to Herod had orders to lead him outside the walls and seize him. But cartl fortunately messengers had been sent by Phasael to inform him of the faithlessness of the Parthians ; and so, when Herod learned that the enemy had seized them, he went to Pacorus ${ }^{f}$ and the influential Parthians as the masters of the others. But they, although they knew everything. deceitfully pretended innocence and said that he should go with them before the wall in order to meet the bearers of letters, for they had not yet been seized by their adversaries but had come with a report of all that Phasael had accomplished. Herod, however, did not believe them, for he had heard from others of his brother's capture ; and at the prompting of Hyreanus'
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 тoùs Iláp月ovs. oí $\mu \epsilon ่ v$ ov̉v ă $\lambda \lambda o \iota \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma-~$








 $353 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ кıv

 є’ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ä $\xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma a ́ \mu о \nu ~ ' A \lambda \epsilon \xi a ́ \nu \delta \rho о v ~ \theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$ той 'A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \beta o v ́ \lambda o v ~ \pi a \iota \delta o ́ s, ~ \tau \eta ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau а u ́ \tau \eta s ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~$
 ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ́ v, ~ \tau \eta ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i \alpha \nu ~ \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda о \nu$
 $354 \lambda \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ тov่s $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ o v s . ~ \hat{\omega} \nu ~ o v ่ \delta \epsilon i s ~ a ̈ \nu ~ o v ̈ \tau \omega ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho o ̀ s ~$


 оіцшүŋิs à $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi о v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ тウ̀v татрíठa каi фìдоvs

$$
{ }^{1} \text { ámó } \mathrm{P} .
$$
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daughter, ${ }^{a}$ to whose daughter he was betrothed, he became still more suspicious of the Parthians. Now though the others paid no attention to her, he himself had faith in her as a very sensible woman.
(7) While the Parthians deliberated what they Herod should do-for they did not like the idea of openly $\begin{gathered}\text { eseapes } \\ \text { with his }\end{gathered}$ attacking so powerful a man-and postponed the fanily to matter to the next day. Herod, who was in great perturbation and gave more weight to what he had heard about his brother and the Parthians' plot than to the other side, decided when evening came to take this opportunity to flee and not to delay as if there were some uncertainty of danger from the enemy. ${ }^{b}$ Accordingly he set out with those soldiers whom he had there, and mounted the women on beasts of burden, including his mother and sister and the daughter of Alexander, the son of Aristobulus, whom he was to marry, and her mother, who was a daughter of Hyrcanus; he also took his youngest brother and all the servants and the rest of the erowd that was with them, and unknown to the enemy followed the road to Idumaea. ${ }^{c}$ And no enemy would have been found so hard of heart that on witnessing what was taking place at that time he would not have pitied their fate as the wretehed women led their infants and with tears and wailing left behind their native either " Nariamme" is a gloss or the text should read " the daughter of Hyrcanus and the mother of Mariamme," since the description of the woman fits the mother better than the danghter, as Dr. Thackeray points out in his note on the parallel in B.J.

- The foregoing is an amplification of B.J. i. 263.

C According to B.J. Herod covered their retreat. The following sections in Ant., $85351-358$ a, have no parallel in B.J. Otto, Herodes. p. 26, questions the authenticity of some of the details following ; see also Laqueur, p. 192.

## JOSEPHUS

 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \epsilon \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$.











 $\delta \iota \omega ́ к о \nu \tau \epsilon s, \tau \rho \iota \beta \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \bar{\iota}$ тò $\pi \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \sigma \mu a^{4} \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$.
$357 \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ रoûv aủтòv тò छ̇í申os каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau a$ $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ aútòv катє́ $\sigma \chi o \nu$ oi $\pi a \rho o ́ v \tau \epsilon S, ~ \tau \hat{\Theta} \tau \epsilon$






 àvакт $\eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs $\tau \eta \nu^{\prime} \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$ каi $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i a s ~ \hat{\eta} s$ ó
 ó óv, $\sigma v \nu \tau o v \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a v ~ \pi o \iota o v ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi о р \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~$

${ }^{4} \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ V : passionis Lat.
${ }^{5}$ Niese: aùroùs P : aùzòv rell.
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country and their friends in chains; nor did they expect anything better for themselves. ${ }^{a}$
(8) Nevertheless Herod let his spirit rise above the The tribula blow caused by this misfortune, and being himself tions of the of good courage ${ }^{b}$ in the face of misfortune, went to Herodians. the others along the road and urged each of them also to have courage and not give himself wholly over to grief, for this, he said, would hinder them in their flight, in which alone their safety lay. And so at Herod's exhortation they tried to bear their troubles. But once when a wagon overturned and his mother was in danger of death, he was near to taking his own life because of his anguish on her aecount and his fear that as a result of the delay caused by the overturn the enemy might overtake them in pursuit. Indeed he had drawn his sword and was about to stab himself when those about him restrained him and prevailed upon him by their number and also by telling him that it was not right for him to abandon them and leave them in the power of their foes, for it was not the act of a noble man to free himself from danger and disregard that of his friends. And so, being forced to desist from his rash act against himself by shame at their words and by the number of those who stayed his hand from carrying out his plan, he revived his mother and procured for her such care as was possible in the short time at his disposal, and continued on his way, making the journey to the fortress of Masada ${ }^{c}$ at great speed. Many were the
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 $\mu \in \nu 0 s \pi \alpha ́ \sigma a s$ є̇víк $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．



 360 ката̀ т $\grave{v} \nu$ ó óóv．ойs $\delta \grave{\eta}$ каi т $\rho \in \psi a ́ \mu \in \nu о$ каі

 тод入ои̂ то仑 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ v \tau о я ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda є \mu о \nu ~ \pi а \rho є \sigma к є v a \sigma-~$



 סє̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} S$＇I ${ }^{\prime}$











${ }^{1} \mathrm{PE}$（ut in B．J．）： $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ rell．

${ }^{3}$ е́ктוбє hic ponit Lowthius：post Baaidev́ous hab．codd． （om．L）．
＋coni．Schlatter：Өpク́oạ aut Єpク́бoạ codd．：Risa Lat．， cf．B．．I．et infra $\S 400$.
${ }_{5}^{5}$ émayoнévov P．${ }^{6}$ ávaגaßù̀ P ．
638

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 358-362

battles he fought with the Parthians who harassed him in pursuit, and he was victorious in all of them.
(9) But during his flight he was not safe from the Jews either, for they too attacked his party when they were sixty ${ }^{a}$ stades from the eity and engaged them in hand to hand combat along the road; but these too he routed and crushed as if he were in no such helpless and difficult position ${ }^{b}$ but were excelcellently prepared for war and had a great advantage; and later when he beeame king, he built a wonderful palace on the spot where he defeated the Jews, and founded a eity round it, ${ }^{c}$ which he ealled Herodia. ${ }^{d}$ Now when he came to a place in Idumaea called Oresa, ${ }^{e}$ he was met by his brother Joseph, and he held a couneil to see what he must do about his general situation, for a great number of people, apart from his mereenaries, aecompanied him, and the fortress of Masada, in which he proposed to take refuge, was too small to hold so great a erowd. He therefore sent most of them away, numbering over nine thousand, and told them to seck safety in flight to various places in Idumaea, and gave them provisions for the journey. Those, however, who were lightly armed and his nearest relatives he took with him and reached the fortress, where he left the women and their followers,
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 то́入ıv $\delta v v a \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ a่vє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$.
365 (10) Kai 'Avтízovos $\mu \epsilon ่ v$ оüтws катахөєis єis



 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тòv $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \grave{o} v$ ن́moб $\chi o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$.




${ }^{1}$ oűт $\omega$ LAMW: om. FV.
${ }^{2}$ 'I $\epsilon \rho о \sigma о \lambda v ́ \mu \omega \nu \mathrm{P}$. ${ }^{3}$ о́үбоض́коута PE.
 ${ }^{5}$ ex Lat. et B.J. Niese: 'Iovסaiav codd. E.
${ }^{6}$ Niese: $\tau \epsilon$ codd. ${ }^{7}$ тарабта̀̀ V: тарарпа́аал Naber.
${ }^{8}$ є่ $\pi เ \tau \epsilon ์ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota$ P.
${ }^{9}$ тò $\omega$ тío V .
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who numbered some eight hundred，for there was sufficient grain and water and other necessities in the place ；and he himself set out for Petra in Arabia． But when day came，the Parthians plundered all the possessions of the people of Jerusalem，as well as the palace，leaving untouched only the funds of Hyrcanus， which amounted to three hundred ${ }^{a}$ talents．Much of Herod＇s property，however，escaped，especially all that with his usual foresight he had succeeded in removing beforehand to Idumaea．${ }^{b}$ But the Parthians were not satisfied with what they found in the city， and so they went out and ravaged the Jews＇country as well，and also destroyed the important city of Marisa．${ }^{c}$
（10）In this way was Antigonus brought back to Judaea by the Parthian king and took over Hyrcanus and Phasael as prisoners．He was，however，very despondent over the escape of the women whom he had planned to give to the enemy，for this was the

Antigonus mutilates Hyтсапия and has Phasael killed． reward he had promised them together with money．${ }^{d}$ And being fearful that the people might restore Hyrcanus to the throne，he went up to him where he was being guarded by the Parthians，${ }^{e}$ and cut off his ears，${ }^{f}$ thus taking care that the high priesthood
＂See above， 343 ．Antigonus disappointment is not mentioned in B．J．；see below，$\S 369$ note $c$ ．
e According to B．J．Hyrcanus threw himself at the feet of Antigonus．
f Variant＂ear．＂B．J．says that Antigonus＂with his teeth mutilated＂（ $\lambda \omega \beta$ âtą roîs ò òov̂वıv）Hyrcanus＇cars． Julius Africanus ap．Syncellus（cf．H．Gelzer，Sextus Julius Africanus，p．262）says that Antigonus＂cut off his ears＂ （ároтєر⿳亠丷厂彡 aùтoû và $\dot{\omega} \tau a$ ），while Syncellus himself says that Antigonus＂cut off his ears with his teeth＂（roîs ódoṽou $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ avंтov $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha)$ ．The latter statement seems to he a conflation of the two accounts in Josephus；＂cut off＂is a strange verb in combination with＂teeth．＂

## JOSEPHUS

$\tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \alpha ’ \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma u ́ v \eta \nu$ ठı̀ тò $\lambda є \lambda \omega \beta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ，то仑̂ vópou

 aúтòv áтоoфф́ттєє园 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \alpha ~ o u ̉ \chi i ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \theta a ́ v a \tau o v ~$





 368 av่тòv áфєí̀єто тòv то入є́ $\mu \iota \frac{\nu}{}$ ．$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о v \sigma \iota ~ \delta ', ~ 屯 ́ s ~$ траи́цатоs $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o v ~ \gamma є \nu о \mu є ́ v o v ~ ф а р \mu а ́ к о ь s ~ а ข ̉ т o ̀ v ~$ ن́тотє́ $\mu \psi a s ~ i a \tau \rho o u ̀ s ~ ' A v \tau i ́ \gamma o v o s ~ e ́ s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ~ \theta \epsilon р а т \epsilon i ́ a ~$
 $369 \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ a ̉ \phi \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \psi v \chi \eta ̀ \nu v ~ o ́ ~$
 ＇H $\mathrm{H} \omega \dot{\delta} \eta v$ тov̀s $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ o v s ~ \delta \iota a \pi \epsilon ф \epsilon v \gamma o ́ \tau \alpha, ~ \sigma ф o ́ \delta \rho a ~$

 $\tau \iota \mu р \eta \dot{\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \in \nu о \nu . ~}$
370 （xiv．1）＇ $\mathrm{H} \rho \omega \dot{\delta} \delta \eta \nu \delta \epsilon$ тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta-$ ко́т $\omega \nu$ аย่тòv как $\omega \nu \nu$ on катє́ $\pi \lambda \eta \tau \tau \epsilon \nu, \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \pi о i ́ \epsilon \iota$


## ${ }^{1}$ оіктро́татоу V： ：$\delta$ єwóтатò E．

${ }^{2}$ PE：$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \xi a s$ V ：$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \rho a ́ \xi a s$ rell． з є̇кঠıкŋิбац P＇Е．
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should never come to him another time, because he was now mutilated, and the law requires that this office should belong only to those who are sound of body. ${ }^{a}$ As for Phasael, one must admire his courage, for though he knew that he was marked for slaughter, he did not look upon death as terrible in itself bat believed that it was a most bitter and shameful thing to suffer at the hands of a foe ; and so, not having his hands free to destroy himself because of his chains, he dashed his head against a rock and removed himself from the world of the living, which he thought was the best thing to do in view of his helpless position, and thus he deprived the enemy of the power of killing him as they pleased. But some say that when he had incurred this serious wound, Antigonus quietly sent physicians as if to heal it, and had him killed by deadly poisons which they applied to his wound. ${ }^{b}$ However, before he breathed his last, Phasael heard from some woman that his brother Herod had escaped the enemy, and so he bore his death with cheerfulness, for he left bchind one who would avenge his death and was able to punish his foes. ${ }^{\text {c }}$
(xiv. 1) ${ }^{d}$ Herod, however, was not discouraged by The Arab the magnitude of the dangers that encompassed him ; instead they made him the keener in attempt- deelines to ing ${ }^{e}$ perilous deeds. And so he went to Malchus, ${ }^{f}$ aid Herod.
their prize, the women promised them by Antigonus, and that they carried Hyrcanus a prisoner to Parthia.
${ }^{d}$ On the parallelism between $\S \$ 370-359$ and B.J. i. 274285 see Laqueur, pp. 193-199.

- Variant "contriving."
' Variant " Malichus." He is not to be confused with the Jewish supporter of H yrcanus mentioned above, \& 273 . The Arab (Nabataean) King Malchus was a successor of Aretas III, see above, $\delta \delta 14 \mathrm{ff}$. Some of the following incidents are related by Dio Cassius xlviii. 41.
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 є̋ $\sigma \pi \epsilon v \delta \epsilon ~ \lambda v \tau \rho \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu$ av่тóv,

 $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a i \tau i ́ a \nu ~ є ́ \pi \tau \alpha є \tau \hat{\eta} \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu о \nu \tau \alpha$,

 є’кє́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ av̉тòv ảvaұшрєîv ( $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon ́ v \alpha \iota{ }^{6}$ үà $\rho$

 $\kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ̀v $\tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ тоîs "Apaభıv єis тои̂то є’vaүóvт $\omega \nu$, ö $\pi \omega s$ ả $\pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ та̀s таракатаӨท́каs, âs $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ ' A v \tau \iota \pi a ́ \tau \rho o v ~ \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ є ̋ \tau v \chi o v), ~$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̇ \tau o u ́ s, ~ \mu o ́ v o v ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \xi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\pi о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu), \tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ víтє $\alpha a i ́ a ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \epsilon i s$
${ }^{1}$ Má入ıхov P . ${ }^{2} \stackrel{\oplus}{\omega} \tau \epsilon$ Niesc: öтє codd. E.

${ }^{4}$ גútpov. . . тa入ávт $\omega \nu$ ] Tyriis argenti trecenta talenta promittendo Lat.
${ }^{8} \mathrm{PV}: \pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{i} \lambda a \iota$ rell.
${ }^{7}$ ov̉ подloùs FLAMW.
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the Arab king, who had previously received many benefits from him, ${ }^{a}$ in order to receive a return and to get money, which was what he most needed, either as a loan or as a gift from one who had obtained many sums from him. For as he did not know what had happened to his brother, he was in haste to ransom him from the enemy, being ready to pay as his ransom as much as three hundred talents in coin. And for this purpose he also took along Phasael's son, who was seven years old, to offer him as security to the Arabs. ${ }^{b}$ But he was met by messengers from Malchus, who through them ordered Herod to retire, for the Parthians, he said, had instructed him not to receive Herod; this he used as a pretext for not repaying his debts, and the influential Arabs urged him on to this in order that they might withhold from Herod the sums which they had received in deposit from Antipater. Thereupon he replied to them that he had come to them with no thought of making trouble but only to discuss matters which were of the greatest importarce to him. ${ }^{c}$
(2) Then, on deciding to retire, he very prudently took the road to Egrpt. And on that occasion he lodged in a certain temple where he had left many ${ }^{d}$ of his followers. The next day he came to Rhino-
${ }^{\text {a }}$ From Herod's father, according to IB.J., but see below, § 372.
${ }^{b}$ B.J. adds a rather obscure allusion to Tyrian guarantors ; $c f$. the mention of Tyrians in the Latin translation of the preceding sentence.
c B.J. i. 277 says that Herod gave the reply " which his feclings ( $\tau$ ò $\pi \dot{a} \theta o s$ ) dictated," indicating a harsher tone than that used in Ant.
d Variant "not many." The temple was probably Idumacan.

## JOSEPHUS

'Рıроко́роура, є̇кєî каi т̀̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тòv à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v$





 $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ К ~ К ~ є о \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \alpha s ~$


 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$. $\delta \eta \lambda o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \omega .{ }^{1}$
 $\chi \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \iota \sigma \phi о \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \nu \mu o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \epsilon i s ~ ' P o ́ \delta o \nu \delta \iota a \sigma \omega ́-$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ K a ́ \sigma \sigma \iota o v ~ \pi о \lambda є ́ \mu о ⿱ ~ к є к а к \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu, ~ o v ̉ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ̀ v ~$




${ }^{1}$ кєı $\mu \notin v \omega \nu$ cod. Busb. ap. Hudson: $\delta o v o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ Namer.
 ant Sapinium Lat.

[^227]coroura, ${ }^{a}$ where he heard of his brother's fate. ${ }^{b}$ Malchus, however, had a change of heart and hurried after Herod, but gained nothing thereby, for Herod was by now a great way off, in his haste to reach Pelusium. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ But when he eame there, the ships anchored there prevented him from sailing to Alexandria, whereupon he appealed to their commanders and was eseorted by them to the city with respect and great deference, and was detained there by Cleopatra. ${ }^{d}$ She was not able, however, to persuade him to remain, for he was eager to get to Rome although it was winter and Italy was reported to be disturbed and in great disorder. ${ }^{e}$
(3) And so from there he set sail for Pamphylia,f and after encountering a violent storm barely reaehed Rhodes in safety by throwing the eargo overboard. There he was met by two of his friends, Sappinus ${ }^{g}$ and Ptolemy. And finding the city damaged from the war against Cassius, ${ }^{h}$ he did not hesitate to help it even though he was in need of funds, but actually exceeded his means in restoring it. ${ }^{i}$ He also built a trireme, and setting sail from there for Italy with his friends, landed at Brundisium. From there he
command an expedition she was preparing." If this statement is authentic, it may refer to an attempt on her part to aid Antony, who had set out against the Parthians early in 10 в.c.
e Perhaps this is a reference to the Perusine war in 41-40 b.c. between Octavian and Lucius Antonius, brother of Antony, as liicciotto suggests in his note on B.J. i. 279.
$f$ In the autumn of 40 в.с.
? Greek " Sappinos "; variant " Sappinas," B.J. "Sapphinius."
${ }^{n} \ln 42$ в.с.
'Nothing is said in B.J. about llerod's restoration of Rhodes.

## .JOSEPHUS













 $\sigma \pi \epsilon u ́ \delta \omega \nu$ ढ̇mi тàs $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \pi i \delta a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho ’ ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ к а i ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$ $\mu o ́ v \eta \nu$ ßoŋ̀ $\theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$.



 $382 \mu \nu \eta \mu^{\mu} \eta \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S$ 'Avтıाáтроv $\xi_{\epsilon \nu i ́ a S, ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}} \kappa \alpha i$ vimò


 тò $\pi \rho$ òs 'Avtíyovov $\mu \hat{\imath} \sigma o s$ ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ каi
${ }^{1} \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau \alpha \mathrm{LA}$ MW. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{PE}:$ каі rell.
 statuit Niese: deflebat periclitare Lat., unde rò émıঠıaкıסvvєข́єьv катє $\delta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho v \in \nu$ coni. Richards et Shutt.
${ }^{7}$ каі ${ }^{\prime}$ s om. 1 '.
${ }^{5}$ öт om. $\Lambda$ WW Lat.

[^228]went to Rome where he first of all related to Antony ${ }^{a}$ what had befallen him in Judaea, and how his brother Phasael had been seized by the Parthians and put to death, and that Hyrcanus was being held a prisoner by them, and that they had set up Antigonus as king after his promise to give them a thousand talents and five hundred women, who were to be of the first families and of their own race, ${ }^{b}$ and that he had removed the women by night and had escaped from the hands of his foes after enduring many hardships. Then he said ${ }^{c}$ that his relatives shared his danger by being besieged, and told how he had sailed through a storm, and how he had made light of every danger in his haste to reach Antony, in whom lay his hope and only help.
(4) Antony was mosed to pity by the reverses of Antony and Herod, and indulged in the familiar reflection con- octavius cerning those who are placed in so high a station, arid Herod. that they too are subject to the rulc of fortune; and partly in memory of Antipatcr's hospitality, ${ }^{,}$partly because of the money which Herod promised to give him if he became king, e as he had promised once before when he was appointed tetrarch, ${ }^{f}$ but chiefly because of his hatred of Antigonus-for he considered him and the marriage of Antony to Octavian's sister Octavia (November).
b i.e. of the Jewish race. It may, however, mean " of his (Herod's) family."
c Text slightly uncertain; perhaps with the Latin we should read " then he bewailed the fact."
${ }^{d}$ See above, $\S 326$.

- As a second motive B.J. mentions Antony's admiration of Herod's prowess ( ${ }^{\dot{a}} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ), and not the bribe offered him. In this substitution Laqueur, p. 194, sees another instance of the anti-Herodian spirit of $A n t$. as compared with B.J.

1 See above, § 326.
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 аúтò ${ }^{3}$ 'Aтратivos, ${ }^{4}$ тарабт $\eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' Н \rho \omega ́ \delta \eta \nu ~$
 каi $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu$ av̉тòs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' P \omega \mu a i o v s ~ \epsilon i \chi \chi \epsilon \nu ~ \epsilon u ̛ \nu o 九 a \nu ~ v i \pi-~$

 тробє́кроибєь aùтоîs $\mu$ о́vov, àd入’ őть каi тарà Пáp $\theta \omega \nu$ т $̀ \nu$ à $\rho \chi \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \beta o \iota, ~ ' P \omega \mu a i o v s ~ v i \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \omega ́ v . ~$


 каi $\delta o ́ \xi ̌ a \nu ~ \tau о и ิ \tau о ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \psi \eta \phi i \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha \iota . ~$
${ }^{1}$ VE: oт $\quad$ atcás rell. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{PE}: ~ \tau \in$ rell.
${ }^{3} \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aútò $\nu$ ] $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ aúz $\hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{P}: \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aùzoû coni. Richards et Shutt.
${ }^{4}$ ex Lat. et B.J. Niese duce Hudson: 'גypativos P: इатратı̂vos rell.
${ }^{5}$ тоút $\omega$ FE.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Not least because Antigonus was allied with Rome's dangerous enemy Parthia, see below, § 384.

- Octavian, the later Augustus.
c Octavian's adoptive father, Julius Caesar ; for these campaigns see above, $\$ \approx$ 197-136.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ B.J. does not mention Octavian's desire to do Antony a favour ; instead, it says that Octavian recognized Herod's 650


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 352-35.5

a seditious person and an enemy of the Romans ${ }^{a}$ he was eager to give Herod the assistance he asked for. As for Caesar, ${ }^{b}$ because of the campaigns in Egypt on which Antipater had served with his father, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ and his hospitality and goodwill at all times, and also as a favour to Antony, who was very zealous on Herod's bchalf, he was more ready to grant this rank and to co-operate in the things which Herod wished. ${ }^{d}$ And so Messala ${ }^{e}$ and Atratinus ${ }^{f}$ after him convened the Senate, and presenting Herod, dwelt on the good deeds of his father, and recalled the loyalty which Herod himself had shown toward the Romans; at the same time they brought accusations against Antigonus, whom they declared an enemy, not only because of the first offence he had eommitted against them ${ }^{g}$ but because he had received his kingly title from the Parthians, thus showing no regard for the Romans. And when the Senate had been aroused by these charges, Antony eame forward and informed them that it was also an advantage in their war with the Parthians that Herod should be king. And as this proposal was acceptable to all, they voted accordingly. ${ }^{h}$
"enterprising character." Laqueur, pp. 194-195, mistranslating є́тоццо́тєроs in $\S 383$ (as Prof. Post notes), remarks that Ant. does not clearly explain why Octavian showed himself even more zealous than Antony in Herod's behalf.

* M. Valerius Messalla Corvinus; cf. Dr. 'Thackeray's note on B.J. i. $2+3$.
${ }^{\prime}$ Conjectured from Latin and B.J. for mss. " Agratinus" or "Satrapinus." The person meant is L. Sempronius Atratinus, then augur, according to lieinach.
- In aiding his father Aristobulus II, see above, \&\& 125 ff.
${ }^{n}$ The naming of Herod to the kingship by Antony and Octavian is mentioned also by Strabo xvi. 765, Appian, Bell. Civ. v. 75 and Tacitus, Mist. v. 9.
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396 (5) K ai то仑̂тo тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma เ \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ ' A \nu \tau \omega \nu i o v$











 ßou入ท̂s, $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \epsilon ' \chi о \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ ‘ Н \rho \omega ́ \delta \eta \nu ~ ' A \nu \tau \omega ́ v ı o s ~ к а i ~$








${ }^{3}$ vícvê ex Lat. Hudson: ' $\Lambda \lambda \epsilon \xi a ́ v \delta \rho \omega$ víwvê pod.: 'Apıato-
 transp.) coni. Phaletranus.
 cat Lat.

z' $\mathrm{H} \rho \omega \dot{\delta} \eta \nu \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{P}$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ §§ 386-387 have no parallel in B.J.; see below, § 387 note $d$.
b The mss. add " Alexander," probably a scribal error. $\Lambda$ ristobulus (III) is meant. 652

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 386-389

(5) a But this was the greatest sign of Antony's The honour devotion to Herod, that not only did he obtain the kingship for him, which he had not hoped for-he Herod by had come to the eapital not to elaim the kingship for himself, for he did not believe the Romans would offer it to him, since it was their eustom to give it to one of the reigning family, but to claim it for his wife's brother, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ who was a grandson of Aristobulus on his father's side and of Hyreanus on his mother's ${ }^{c}$ -but he also made it possible for Herod in only seven days altogether to obtain these unexpected grants and leave Italy. ${ }^{d}$ This youth, however, Herod put to death, as we shall relate at the proper time. ${ }^{e}$ Now when the Senate was adjourned, Antony and Caesar went out with Herod between them, and the consuls and other magistrates leading the way, in order to saerifice and to deposit the deeree in the Capitol. Then Antony entertained him on the first day of his reign. Thus did Herod take over royal power, reeeiving it in the hundred and eighty-fourth
c His father was Aristobulus II's son Alexander, and his mother was Hyreanus II's daughter Alexandra.
${ }^{d}$ Otto, Merodes, p. 28, expresses scepticism about Herod's original intention of claiming the throne for Aristobulus, not for himself, on the ground that Herod was in Italy so short a time; he sees in this passage an attempt to clear Herod of overweening ambition. On the other hand, Laqueur, pp. 195-199, in the interest of his theory about the anti-Herodian alterations and additions in $/ \operatorname{lnt}$., resorts to a rather artificial explanation of secondary changes in Ant. to make the point that far from clearing Herod here, Josephos is critieising him for making use of Aristobulus in the belief that the Roman poliey of retaining the reigning dynasty would make his own appointment as king impossible. Lagueur combines $\$$ § 386388 with $403-405$ and 489 as anti-Herodian revisions of Josephus' source, here supposedly the memoirs of Herod himself. - Ant. xv. 53 ff .

## JOSEPHUS

 Донєтiov Ka入ßivov ${ }^{2}$ тò $\delta \epsilon$ и́тєроу каi 「aîov＇Aaviou $\Pi \omega \lambda i ́ \omega \nu o s$.











 каi $\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' A \nu \tau i ́ \gamma o v o \nu, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$

 бтратךүòs $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \Sigma v \rho i ́ a s ~ \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ \Pi a ́ p \theta o v s ~$





 ${ }^{1}$ Caiou FLMV Lat．
${ }^{2}$ P：кai＇Alßtrou rell．：Albino Lat．
${ }^{3}$ amavi弓ovtas F L AW．
${ }^{1}$ ws om． $\mathrm{A}^{1}{ }^{1}$ Lat．：кai Hudson．


[^229]
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Olympiad, ${ }^{a}$ the consuls being Gnaeus Domitius Calvinus, for the second time, and Gaius Asinius Pollio.
(6) ${ }^{b}$ All this time Antigonus was besieging those in Masada, who had all other necessary provisions and lacked only water ${ }^{c}$; on this account Herod's brother Joseph planned to flee with two hundred Joseph, the
brother of Herod, of his people to the Arabs, for he had heard that Malchus regretted the wrongs which he had done Herod. ${ }^{d}$ But he was stopped by a rain which God sent in the night, for once the cisterns were filled with water, they no longer needed to flee; instead, they were now encouraged, not merely because they had an abundance of what they had lacked before, but rather because this seemed an act of God's providence ${ }^{e}$; and so they sallied out, and engaging Antigonus' men, sometimes openly and sometimes from under cover, destroyed many of them. ${ }^{f}$ Meanwhile Ventidius, ${ }^{g}$ the Roman general sent from Syria to keep back the Parthians, after disposing of them, ${ }^{h}$ The Romans extort money from Antigonus. made a side-march into Judaea, ostensibly to give aid to Joseph, but in reality the whole business was a device to obtain money from Antigonus; at any rate he encamped very near Jerusalem and extorted from Antigonus as much money as he wanted. Then he himself withdrew with the greater part of his force ; sce Laqueur, pp. 199-205, according to whom Josephus in Ant. is hostile to Antigonus as well as to Herod.
${ }^{c}$ See above, § 362. © See above, §§ 370-375.

- These reflections on Providence are not found in B.J.
' I3.J. adds that the Herodians met with occasional reverses and were sometimes forced to retire.
- P. Ventidius Bassus had been sent to Asia by Antony in 40 в.с.
${ }^{n}$ In 39 в.с. Ventidius defeated the combined forces of Labienus and the Parthians; $c f$. Debevoise, pp. 114-116 for the ancient sources.
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 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o u s ~ \tau \iota \nu \grave{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бтратוшт $\hat{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$, ôv
 є่ขох入оíๆ, $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к а і ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ a u ̀ \tau \hat{̣} ~ П \alpha ́ \rho \theta o v s ~$ $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \mu \nu \nu \epsilon i{ }^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$




















P Lat. : тóд $\mu \eta \mu a$ rell.
${ }^{2}$ cum Lat. Dindorf: Є̇тa
${ }^{3}$ ex B.J. Usserius: $\Delta \epsilon \lambda a i o u$ I': B $\delta \epsilon \lambda \lambda i o u$ FLAM : B $\delta \epsilon \lambda i o u$ V: B $\delta \epsilon \lambda$ hous W: Delium Lat.

* tòv $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ LAMIW.



> a Variant " his shameless act."
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 393-397

but in order that his extortion ${ }^{a}$ might not be detected, he left Silo ${ }^{b}$ behind with a certain number of soldiers ; to him also Antigonus paid court in order that he might not cause any trouble, hoping at the same time that the Parthians would once more give him help.
(xv. 1) By this time Herod had sailed from Italy ${ }^{c}$ Herod, supto Ptolemais and had collected a not inconsiderable force of both foreigners and his countrymen, and was marching through Galilee against Antigonus. And larye numbers he was supported by Silo and Ventidius, for they had of Jews. been persuaded by Dellius, ${ }^{d}$ who had been sent by Antony, to join in restoring Herod to his country. And so while Ventidius was quieting the disturbances that had been created in the cities by the Parthians, Silo remained in Judaea, having been corrupted by bribes from Antigonus. Nevertheless Herod'sstrength increased day by day as he went forward, and all Galilee, except for a few of its inhabitants, came over to his side. But when he set out to reach those in Masada-for it was essential to save the people who were being besieged in the fortress, since they were his relatives-he found Joppa in his way, and as it was hostile to him, he had first to capture it in order that no stronghold should be left on his rear in the enemy's hands while he was moving on Jerusalem. But as Silo made this a pretext for departing, and

[^230]
## JOSEPHUS

$\tau \eta \nu \pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ à $\pi \alpha v a \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ，каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇Iov－
 бтí申ovs $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \xi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$ каi $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \tau a \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ v$ тov̀s＇Iovסaious，





 є̇пi $\beta_{a \sigma \iota} \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \beta \epsilon \beta a i ́ \omega ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda o u \pi o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i ́ \chi o v . ~$
399 ，（2）＂НӨроьбто $\delta \grave{\eta}^{3}$ Súvaцıs $\beta$ арєîa，каi троїóvтоs




 ク้є $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ ' I ~ I \epsilon \rho о \sigma o ́ \lambda v \mu a, ~ \sigma v \nu \eta ̄ \pi \tau \epsilon^{6} \delta^{\prime}$ aủ $\tau \hat{\omega}$ тò
 $401 \tau \hat{\eta} s$ тó入еws $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ í $\chi \grave{\nu} \nu$ кататлаүє́vтєs．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau о-$


 бтîфоs $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \theta \epsilon о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu^{7}$ каi тоîs тротєтаүнє́voıs єis




${ }^{1}$ Tûv ．．．aữóvo om．P．

$$
{ }^{2} \pi \in I^{\prime}
$$

${ }^{3} \delta^{\prime} \eta \dot{\eta}$ PAMWW：$\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{E}$ ．
${ }^{4}$ mapa入aßùv P．
 ＇Pv́oav W＇corr．：＇Pウ̄ooav V：Risam Lat．


éstóvad P．
658
the Jews pursued him, Herod fell upon them with a small body of men and routed them, and so saved Silo, who was defending himself feebly ; and after taking Joppa he hastened to rescue his relatives in Masada. Thereupon the local inhabitants joined him, some because of their friendship with his father, ${ }^{a}$ others because of his reputation, and still others as a return for benefits received from both of them, but the majority because of the hopes which they placed in him as one who would thereafter have a secure position as king.
(2) Thus a strong force had been collected, and as Herod and it advanced, Antigonus occupied the most suitable silo besiege places for passage with snares and ambushes, but in did not the least, or at best only slight, damage thereby to the enemy. Then Herod having recovered his relatives from Masada and taken the fortress of Oresa, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ went on to Jerusalem, where he was joined ${ }^{c}$ by Silo's army and many from the city who were intimidated by his strength. When he encamped on the western side of the city, the guards who had been stationed in this quarter hurled javelins and shot arrows at them, while some ran out in a compact body and fought hand to hand with his front-line men ; but Herod gave orders that his men should first make a proclamation before the wall that he had come for the good of the citizens and the welfare of the city, bearing no grudge even against those who were openly his foes, but, on the contrary, being
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$\sigma \omega \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \xi \omega \nu ~ к а i ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta \iota a ф о р \omega \tau a ́ \tau o \iota s ~ a ̉ \mu \nu \eta-~$ 403 бтíav $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ єis aủtòv á $\mu a \rho \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ ठє̀

 отра́тєчца ш́s $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a v ̀ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \delta \iota к а є о \sigma u ́ v \eta \nu ~$







 'Pwhaíovs каi iєрєîs ővтєs ov̉к àv єiкко́та та́бхочєv
 aùт $\hat{\nu} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma о ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\pi \rho о є \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ єis $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a s$, 'Avtíyovos ả $\mu v v^{v} a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi o u s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi-~$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi v ́ \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ є่ $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \alpha \nu \tau o$.

 ó入íyovs $\sigma \pi a ́ v \iota \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu ~ к а \tau а \beta о \alpha ิ \nu ~ к а і ~$ хрйдата єis трофàs aitєiv, каi $\chi є ч \mu a ́ \sigma о \nu \tau а s ~ a ̀ \pi-~$
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ őv $\tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ ठıà $\tau \grave{o}$ vinò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\nu \tau \iota \gamma o ́ v o v$

```
            \({ }^{1}\) ă \(\rho \chi \in \epsilon\) P. \({ }^{2}\) ed. pr.: \(\tau \in\) codd. : om. E.
\({ }^{3}\) тò̀ P : om. rell. E.
                                    * аи́тоí om. VE.
```

                                    \({ }^{5}\) PAM : \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \tilde{u}_{\mu}\) vor rell. E.
    a The preceding passage, $\S \S 403-404$, on the Hasmonaean claim to the kingship is an addition to $B . J$. ., which says 660

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 402-406

ready to forget the offences which his most determined adversaries had committed against him. But Antigonus in answer to Herod's proclamation told Silo and the Roman army that it would be contrary to their own notion of right if they gave the kingship to Herod who was a commoner and an Idumaean, that is, a half-Jew, when they ought to offer it to those who were of the (royal) family, as was their custom. And, he argued, if they were now illdisposed toward him and were determined to deprive him of the kingship on the ground that he had received it from the Parthians, there were at least many of his family who might lawfully receive the kingship, for they had committed no offence against the Romans, and were priests ; and thus they would be unworthily treated if they were deprived of this rank. ${ }^{a}$ Such things they said to one another and were proceeding to imprecations when Antigonus permitted his men to battle with Herod's men from the wall. ${ }^{b}$ But the enemy shot their arrows and opposed them with so much spirit that they easily drove them from the towers.
(3) Then it was that Silo showed openly that he had taken a bribe ${ }^{c}$; for he got a good many of his own soldiers to ery aloud about the lack of provisions, to demand money for food, and to insist that they be
silo proves to be an unreliable ally of Herod. taken to suitable quarters for wintering, since the region about the city was a waste as a result of the
merely that "Antigonus issued counter-exhortations forbidding any to listen to these proclamations (of Herod) or to go over to the enemy." See above, $\S 357$ note $d, \S 390$ note $b$.

- The text is probably faulty. B.J. reads more intelligibly, "Herod at once gave his men permission to battle with the men on the wall."
${ }^{\text {c }}$ From Antigonus, see above, § 395 and below, $\$ 412$.
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 $\kappa \alpha i^{1} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \mu \dot{\eta}$ ката入ıтєîv aủzóv, Kaíбарós













 $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta$ ó $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оє тоîs 'Avтєүóvov тробтá $\mu \mu a \sigma \iota$ каi $\pi о \lambda u ̀$




 каi $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ oфópovs $\mu \iota \gamma a ́ \delta a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o i ̂ s ~ o ̀ \lambda i ́ \gamma o v s ~ \tau \omega ै \nu ~$
 $\mu \epsilon ̀ v \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ є́к $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ ката入аßผ́v, $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о \sigma i ́ v s$


$$
{ }^{1} \text { каî tov̀s } \mathrm{P} \text {. }
$$

${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}: \pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \dot{\imath} \tau \omega \nu$ rell. : honoratum Lat.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}: \alpha \dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu \mathrm{P}: \dot{\epsilon} \pi \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon}$ rell.
${ }^{4}$ I'E: катаүаүєîv rell.

$$
{ }^{5} \text { tis P. }
$$

${ }^{6}$ PE: ámodıтєîv rell.
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ravaging by Antigonus' soldiers; and so he began to move his camp and attempted to withdraw. But Herod persisted in urging the officers under Silo and their soldiers not to desert him, for Caesar as well as Antomy and the Semate had given him encomragement ${ }^{a}$; he would, he said, take care that they should have plenty of provisions, and would readily furnish them with an abundance of the things they wanted. After making this plea he at once set out into the country and left Silo no longer any pretext for withdrawing, for he brought back a greater mass of provisions than anyone had hoped for. and also instructed those around Samaria who had become friendly toward him ${ }^{b}$ to bring down to Jericho grain, wine, oil, eattle and all other things in order that there should be no lack of supplies for the soldiers for some days to come. But these activities did not remain unknown to Antigonus, who at once sent off men throughout the country to obstruct and waylay those who were collecting food ; and in obedience to Antigonus' orders they mustered a large body of armed men near Jericho, and taking up posts in the hills, kept a look-out for the men who were bringing provisions. Herod, however, did not remain still while this was being done, but took ten cohorts, five Roman and five Jewish, and a mixed mercenary force, to which he added a few mounted men, and marched on Jericho: and while he found the city deserted, he seized five hundred men occupying the heights with their wives and families, but after capturing

## " Variant (as in B.J.) " had given him a commission."

" Or "had become suhject to him" : Samaria (an I Idumaea) had been added to Herod's territory by Mark Antony, according to Appiarr, Bell. Civ. v. 75 ; cf. Momigliano, Ricerche, pp. 347-350.

## JOSEPIIUS





 $\pi \rho о \sigma к є \chi \omega \rho \eta к v i ́ a s ~ \delta \iota a \phi \bar{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$, 'I $\delta о v \mu \alpha i \alpha \nu^{2}$ каі Гадı412 daíav каi इapápєıav. Є̈тvХє $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ киi 'Avтíүоvos $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \sum i ́ \lambda \omega \nu o s ~ a ̀ v \tau i ~ \tau \eta ิ S ~ \delta \omega \rho о \delta о к i а s ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ ن ́ \pi о-~$

 Sıทิ $о \nu$ ávєє $\mu \epsilon \prime \nu o \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$.

 $\sigma \grave{v} \delta \iota \sigma \chi \iota$ ío七s ó $\pi \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha \iota s$ каi $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha к о \sigma i o \iota s ~ i \pi \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$



 $\chi \omega р i ́ \omega \nu$ ن́т' 'Avтıүóvov фроираîs катєı $\lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\nu \tau \iota \gamma o ́ v o v ~ \phi \rho o v \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ vi $\pi \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta$ óv $\tau \omega \nu, \epsilon \in \nu$ ả $\phi \theta$ ó-
 $\tau \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่v $\sigma \pi \eta \lambda \alpha i ́ o \iota s ~ к а т о \iota к о и ́ v \tau \omega \nu, ~ i \pi \pi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \pi ’$ aưтоѝs ï $\lambda \eta \nu$ є́ктє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ каi óт $\lambda \iota \tau \iota к о \hat{v} \tau р і ́ a ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$,

 ${ }^{3}$ 'Lovouiav L Lat.

2 'Iovoaian LA.IIW'.
${ }^{4}$ ádlous om. P .

[^232]
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them, released them ; then the Romans fell upon the city and plundered it, finding the houses full of all kinds of valuable things. And after leaving a garrison at Jericho, the king returned and dismissed his Roman army to their winter quarters in the distriets which had joined his side, ${ }^{a}$ namely Idumaea, ${ }^{b}$ Galilee and Samaria. At the same time Antigonus through bribery obtained Silo's consent to quarter a part of his army in Lydda, ${ }^{c}$ seeking to win Antony's favour thereby. And so the Romans put aside their arms and lived on the fat of the land. ${ }^{d}$
(4) Herod, however, did not choose to remain inaetive, but sent off his brother Joseph to Idumaea with two thousand foot-soldiers and four hundred mounted men, ${ }^{e}$ while he himself went to Samaria, where he left his mother and his other relatives, who had by now made their way out of Masada, and proceeded to Galilee to capture some of the strongholds which had been occupied by the garrisons of Antigonus. He reached Sepphoris in a snow-storm, and as Antigonus' garrison had quictly withdrawn, he eame into possession of an abundance of provisions. From here he then sent out a troop of cavalry and three companies of foot-soldicrs against some brigands living in caves, for he had made up his mind to put an end to their depredations ${ }^{f}$; these eaves were very
c Mod. Ludd, c. 10 miles S.E. of Jaffa, rfo. Aut. xiii. 12i note $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{p}$. 288). Dr. Thackeray comments on the paraltel, B.J. i. 303, that this was " an action apparently designed to weaken the allegiance of the lioman troops."
${ }^{d}$ During the winter of $39-38$ n.c.

- 13.J i. 303 adds "to prevent any insurrection in favour of Antigomus."
f Herod had earlier encontered "brigands" in Galilee, see above, $\S$ § 159-160. But in this case they were more probably political opponents, as Otto remarks, Ilerodes, p. 30. VOL. Yil
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 баракобтท̀v $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ аи̇тòs $\hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ таvбтратьâ, каì

 $\delta^{\prime}$ aùzòs $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ oтíhous $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \epsilon i s ~ \phi u \gamma \eta ̀ v ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~$


 $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \Gamma a \lambda \iota \lambda a i ́ a \nu ~ \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 Síous, 'Avtiyóvov трофàs $\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \epsilon v$ oủ $\theta$ '́ $\lambda$ ovtos.
 є́ $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon$ ठ̀̀ каі̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о и ̀ s ~ к v ́ к \lambda \omega ~ к \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha}$


 voıav Фєрผ́pa $\tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$,
 ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau a s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ a ̀ \phi \theta o v i a ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{2} \text { ex Lat. Niese: aù } \omega \hat{\omega} \text { codd. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{3}$ äd $\lambda$ ous кат’ äd $\lambda a s$ ex Lat. coni. Bekker: кат’ äג $\lambda a s$ codd. ${ }^{4}$ кai $\epsilon i s$ ed. pr. : tis codd. E.

[^233]${ }^{7} \tau \bar{\omega} v$ àvaүкаícı om. I'.
${ }^{8}$ ä $\mu a \tau \epsilon \chi \chi{ }^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \iota$ I' Lat.

[^234]
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near a village called Arbela. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Forty days later he himself came with his entire army, and under the enemy's bold ${ }^{b}$ attack the left wing of his line gave way, but when he appeared in person with a compact body of men, ${ }^{c}$ he put to flight those who had before been victorious, and rallied those of his men who were flecing. And he pressed on in pursuit of the enemy as far as the river Jordan, to which they fled along different ${ }^{d}$ roads ${ }^{e}$; and so he got into his hands afl the people of Galilee except those who lived in the eaves ${ }^{f}$; he then distributed money, giring each of his men a hundred and fifty drachmas, and considerably more to the officers, and dismissed them to their winter quarters. Meanwhile Silo and the officers of the men who were in winter quarters came to him because Antigonus was unwilling to furnish them with food ; that worthy had fed them for a month and no longer ; he had, moreover, sent out orders to the inhabitants round about that they were to gather up all the provisions throughout the country and flee to the hills in order that the Romans might be entirely without necessary food and so perish of hunger. Accordingly Herod entrusted the care of these men to Pheroras, his youngest brother, and ordered him to fortify Alexandreion also. And he quickly made it possible for the soldiers to have of a later synagogue may still be seen there, cf. E. Sukenik in JPOS 15 (1935), p. 1+43.
b Variant "swift."
c B.J. i. 306 reads a little differently, "Herod instantly wheeling round his troops from the right wing, where he was in command."
${ }^{d}$ Text slightly emended from Latin.

- B.J. i. 307 adds that he destroyed large numbers of the enemy.
' Probably near Arbela.
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 ミí̀ $1 \omega v a \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \mu \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ I a ́ p \theta o v s, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi-~$

 421 калєîv тoùs ov $\mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s . ~ o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ v ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$


 каі катà тò $\mu \epsilon \sigma а і т а \tau о \nu ~ a ̀ т о к р \eta ́ \mu \nu о и s ~ \epsilon ' \chi о \nu \tau а ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$


 каӨíєı таútas $\sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho a i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \lambda v ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̀ \kappa \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~$





 $\kappa \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda a \rho \nu \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ бфадєрàv єîvaı $\sigma v \nu \in ́ \beta a \iota v \epsilon$,

$$
{ }^{1} \text { rov̂ठє om. P. }
$$

 ${ }^{3}$ V : vi $\pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi{ }^{\circ}$ rell. E.
${ }^{4} \mu \epsilon ̀ v \delta \grave{\eta}$ Bekker : $\mu$ évтoı $\gamma \in \mathrm{P}$ : $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota ~ r e l l . ~ E . ~ . ~$
a Nothing is said about Silo or Antigonus in the parallel, B.J. i. 308, which says merely that l'heroras was instrueted to take charge of the commissariat (áyopá) of Herod's army and to fortify Alexandreion (see above, §92). Laqueur, 668

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 419-424

an abundance of the necessary provisions, and also restored Alexandreion, which had been left in ruins. ${ }^{a}$
(5) About the same time, while Antony was staying at Athens, ${ }^{b}$ Ventidius in Syria sent for Silo to join him against the Parthians, ${ }^{c}$ but instructed him first to assist Herod in the present war and then summon their allies to the Romans' own war. But Herod, who was hastening against the brigands in the caves, sent ${ }^{d}$ Silo off to Ventidius, and set out against them by himself. Now their caves were in hills that were altogether rugged, having their entrances half-way up the sheer cliffs and being surrounded by sharp rocks; in such dens did they lurk with all their people. Thereupone the king, whose men were unable either to climb up from below or creep upon them from above because of the steepness of the hill, had cribs built and lowered these upon them with iron chains as they were suspended by a machine from the summit of the hill. The cribs were filled with armed men holding great grappling hooks, with which they were supposed to draw toward them any of the brigands who opposed them, and kill them by hurling them to the ground. The lowering of the cribs was proving to be a risky business because of the
p. 202 , argues that $\S 418$ is not an original part of Josephus' source, abbreviated in B.J., but a later addition, probably by Josephus himself rather than from a second source, designed to show the unreliability of Antigonus.
${ }^{b}$ Antony and his wife Octavia went to Athens in the autumn of 39 в.с. ; he remained there for two years with brief trips to Asia and Italy.
${ }^{c}$ For an account of this campaign (against Pacorus) in the spring of 38 в.c. see Debevoise, pp, 116-120.
"B.J. i, 309 more pointedly reads "gladly sent off."
e §s 4.3-128 add considerable detail to the brief account of the attack on the caves given in B.J. i. 311.
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${ }^{1}$ Niese: $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v$ codd. E.
${ }^{2}$ тois P: om. FE.

$$
{ }^{3}+\tau \in \mathrm{P} .
$$

${ }^{4} \pi \in \rho i$ om. ed. pr., secl. Schmidt.


${ }^{7}$ coni. Cocceji : є́тькクрикєvaаде́vou codd.
${ }^{8} \mathrm{P}$ : $\pi \lambda \in ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \nu$ rell. E. $\delta$ ó om. PWE.
immense depth that lay below them, although the men within them had crerything they needed. But when the cribs were let down, none of the men standing near the entrances of the caves dared come forward; instead, they remained quiet out of fear, whereupon one of the soldiers in irritation at the delay caused by the brigands who dared not come out, girded on his sword, and hodding on with both hands to the chain from which the crib was suspended, lowered himself to the entrance of a cave. And when he came opposite an entrance, he first drove back with javelins most of those who were standing there, and then with his grappling hook drew his opponents toward him and pushed them over the precipice; after this he attacked those within and slaughtered many of them, whereupon he re-entered the crib and rested. Then fear seized the others as they heard the shrieking, and they despaired of their lives; all action, however, was halted by the coming on of night ${ }^{a}$; and many, after sending spokesmen with the king's consent, ${ }^{b}$ surrendered and made their submission. ${ }^{c}$ The same method of attack was used the following day, when the men in the baskets ${ }^{d}$ fell upon themstill more fiercely and fought at their doors and threw flaming fire inside, and so the caves, which had much wood in them, were set on fire. Now there was an old man shut up within one of the caves with his seven children and his wife : and when they begged him to let them slip throngh to the enemy, he stood at the entrance

[^235]
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 є́кє $\chi є i \rho \omega \tau о$.














 tots.
434 (7) ' Ev סє̀ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v}$ Пaкópov $\pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau o s ~ \epsilon ̀ v ~$ $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \eta$ каі̀ тิ̂v Па́ $\rho \theta \omega \nu \pi \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu^{3} \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ ßоך $\theta$ òv ó Bevтídos 'Hpúdŋ Maxaıpâv oùv סvai тáү $\mu a \sigma \iota$


$$
\text { I є́ } \pi \epsilon \lambda \text { OóvтєS l'L } \triangle . \ W \text {. }
$$

${ }^{2}$ тє каi АМWЕ.
${ }^{3}$ I'V: тралє́vт $\omega v$ rell. I at.
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and cut down each of his sons as he came out, and afterwards his wife, and after hurling their dead bodies over the precipice, threw himself down upon them, thus submitting to death rather than to slavery. But before doing so, he bitterly reviled Herod for his meanness of spirit, although the king for he was a witness of what was happening-stretched out his right hand and promised him full immunity. By sueh methods, then, all the caves were finally taken.
(6) The king thereupon appointed Ptolemy general in that region, and departed for Samaria with six hundred mounted men and three thousand foot- of Heral soldiers to try the issue of battle with Antigonus. Ptolemy, however, was unsuccessful in his command, and the men who had formerly disturbed Galilee fell upon him and took his life; after doing this, they fled in a body to the marshes and other inaccessible places, harrying and plundering the entire country thereabout. But Herod returned and punished them: some of the rebels he slew, and those who had taken refuge in fortified places he subjected to a siege and killed, and demolished their strongholds as well. After putting an end to the rebellion in this way, he also fined the cities a hundred talents.
(7) Meanwhile, after Pacorus had fallen in battle and the Parthians had been defeated, ${ }^{a}$ Ventidius at the urging of Antony ${ }^{b}$ sent Machaeras to the assistance of Herod with two legions and a thousand horse.

The Ronan general
Machapras gives Herod ground for complaint.

[^236]
## JOSEPHUS

435 Maxaıpâs $\mu$ èv oûv, 'Avtıरóvou ka入oûvtos aùtòv













 oî $\beta \lambda a ́ \nLeftarrow o v \sigma \iota ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o v ~ a v ̇ \tau o ̀ v ~ \ddot{\eta}$ тov̀s $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ o v{ }^{\circ}$.





${ }^{1}$ Dindorf: $\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ c o d d . ~ E . ~}$
${ }^{2}$ Namer: aủroû coda.

${ }^{4} \mathrm{E}$ : om. L: $\tau \epsilon$ refl.

[^237]Now Machaeras, being invited by Antigoms and bribed by him, went off against Herod's wish, as if to reconnoitre his position ; but Antigonus, suspecting his intentions in coming, did not admit him, but kept him away with sling-shots, and so revealed his own purpose. Thereupon Maehaeras, perceiving that Herod had given him excellent advice and that he himself had made a mistake in disregarding his counsel, withdrew to the city of Emmaus ${ }^{a}$ and slaughtered all the Jews whom he met on the way, whether friends or foes, in anger at the treatment he had suffered. ${ }^{b}$ Enraged at these acts, the king went to Samaria, for he had decided to go to Antony about these matters and say that he had no need of such allies, who would do more harm to him than to his enemies, and that he was quite able to crush Antigonus by himself. ${ }^{c}$ But Machaeras, who accompanied him, begged him to remain, or, if he were bent on going, at least to leave his brother Joseph as their associate in the war with Antigonus. ${ }^{d}$ And so, at
was forced to retire to Emmans and rejoin Herod, on the way killing all the Jews he met, not sparing even the Herodians. Otto, Herodes, p. 31 note, assumes that in Ant. Josephus used two contradictory sources, while Laqueur, pp. 202-205, argues that Josephus has tendentionsly altered B.J. in writing Ant. out of hostility to Antigonus (while still being hostile to his opponent Herod). But so far as Antigonus is concerned the only difference between $B . J$. and $A n t$. is that in the former he tries to bribe Machacras unsuccessfully, and in the latter succecds in bribing him. Thus the alleged antiAntigonus revision of $A n t$. is not very clear.
${ }^{c}$ Contrast B.J. i. 320, "Indignant at these acts Herod hastened to attack Machaeras as an enemy, but restraining his anger, set out instead to lay before Antony an accusation of his lawlessness."
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Herod's brother Joseph is not mentioned by Machaeras in the parallel, B.J. i. 320.
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тои̂ Maxaıpâ $\delta є \eta \theta \epsilon \in v \tau о s, ~ к а \tau а \lambda \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тòv＇ $1 \omega$＇
 $\nu \epsilon v \in \iota \nu \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{̣}$ Маха兀рậ $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
439
（8）Av̉ròs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A v \tau \omega ́ v \iota o v ~ \epsilon ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon v \delta \epsilon v ~(\epsilon ̇ \tau u ́ \gamma \chi a \nu \epsilon ~$

 $410 \mu a \chi i a \nu$ av̀тب̣ $\pi a \rho \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu \quad \pi a \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s \delta^{\prime}$ єis


 тоѝs $\beta$ ар $\beta$ ápovs каi то入入ov̀s ảvaıрєîv ov̉ то入 $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu-$
 $441 \tau \hat{\eta} S$ óסov̂．катà $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu o ̀ v ~ \delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ इaцo－
 тоv̀s фо七т $\omega v \tau \alpha s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A v \tau \omega ́ \nu \iota o \nu, ~ \delta \rho v \mu \omega ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha} s$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{PE}: \delta \epsilon$ rell．
${ }^{2}$ каi secl．Dindorf．${ }^{3} \tau о \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ Dindorf． ante aut post $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \Sigma a \mu o \sigma a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ aliquid excidisse putat Niese． ${ }^{5}$ ä̀ secl．Naber．

[^238]the earnest entreaty of Machaeras, Herod became reconciled and left his brother Joseph there with an army, warning him not to take any risks or to quarrel with Machaeras. ${ }^{a}$
(8) ${ }^{b} \mathrm{He}$ himself hastened to Antony, who was just Herod then besieging Samosata, a place near the Euphrates, with horsemen and foot-soldiers who had come to his assistance. ${ }^{c}$ When he arrived at Antioch, ${ }^{d}$ he found many men gathered there who were anxious to reach Antony but dared not set out for fear of the barbarians who were attacking men on the way and slaying many of them ; these he encouraged and became their leader on the road. But two days' march from Samosata ${ }^{e}$ there was an ambush of barbarians lying in wait for those who were on their way to Antony. And as there were thickets covering the entrance to the plains, they had there placed in ambush ${ }^{f}$ not a few horsemen to remain quiet until those passing through should reach the place where
see Laqueur, pp. 205-210, who assumes that in Ant. Josephus used a second source beside Nicolas of Damascus, namely the Memoirs of Herod himself. But this assumption seems to contradict Laqueur's theory that $A n t$. is more anti-Herodian than B.J.
${ }^{c}$ Antony had come to supersede Ventidius who was besieging the Parthians' ally Antiochus of Commagene. For the ancient sources see W. W. Tarn in CAH x. 53 note 3 and Debevoise, p. 120 note 103.
" B.J. adds that " Herod quickened his pace, as he saw in this a favourable opportunity for displaying his courage and strengthening his hold "pon Antony's affection." The details of Herod's march and reception by Antony in $\$ 8439-$ 444 are not found in the corresponding sections, B.J. i. 321-32.2.
e This would he about 40 miles S.W. of Samosata, probably near the southern border of Commagene.
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442 є้ $\lambda \theta o \iota \epsilon \nu$. $\quad \omega$ s $\delta^{\prime}$ oi $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \circ \iota \delta \iota \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$, $\dot{\omega} \pi \iota \sigma \theta 0 \phi v-$

























${ }^{1} \mathrm{~V}: \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu i \xi a s \mathrm{P}: \quad \sigma \nu \mu i \xi a s$ rell. E.
${ }^{2} \tau \eta \grave{\nu}$ add. Niese.
${ }^{3}$ є́んádouv P: є̇тєка́̉ouv AM.
${ }^{4}$ ás $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon v$ secl. Ernesti.
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horses could be used. As the first men went through, Herod was guarding the rear, and when the men in ambush, numbering some five hundred, suddenly ${ }^{a}$ fell upon them and routed those in front, the king rushed up and by the force of his charge at once drove the enemy back; at the same time he raised the spirits of his men and filled them with courage: and when those who had before been fleeing turned back to fight, the barbarians were killed on all sides. But the king pressed on, slaying as he went, and after recovering all the plunder that had been taken, consisting of a great many pack-animals and slaves, he went ahead. And being attacked by a larger number of men in the thickets near the entrance to the plain, he engaged them too with a stout body of men and routed and killed many of them, thus making the road safe for those who followed. Thereupon they hailed him as their saviour and protector.
(9) And when he came near Samosata, Antony sent his army with his own equipment to meet him, assigning these men as an honour to Herod and also as an aid to him ; for he had heard of the barbarians' attacks upon them. Moreover when Herod arrived, he was very glad to see him, and on learning of his feats on the way, he clasped his hand and expressed admiration of his prowess ; and Antony himself welcomed him with an embrace on seeing him, ${ }^{b}$ and showed him special honour, for he had recently appointed him king. ${ }^{c}$ But not long afterwards
${ }^{a}{ }^{\text {a }}$ 免amıvaiws is also Thucydidean.

- The text here is confused and repetitious.
${ }^{c}$ See above, $\S 366$. B.J. i. 322 says merely that Antony " largely increased both his honours and his hopes of the kingship."


## JOSEPHUS

 тарабóvтоs каi $\delta \grave{a}$ тоиิто $\pi a v \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau о 仑 ̂ ~ \pi о \lambda є ́ \mu о v, ~$






 $\pi а \rho \eta \eta_{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ó ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ òs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' \Lambda \nu \tau \epsilon ́ v \iota o \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \rho \chi o ́-$









$450 \sigma \pi \epsilon i ̂ \rho a \iota ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a ́ \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$. крати́баs $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu^{5}$


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota ~ \delta v \nu a \tau \hat{\omega} v$, $\tau o \dot{v} s \tau \dot{\alpha}$ 'Hpúסov фро-
${ }^{1}$ av̇тós $\tau \epsilon \ldots$. . ảrodeíjas] et osculabatur eum et pracronebat sibi quem paulo ante regem ipse noonstraverat Lat. ${ }^{2}$ Sivpíav ex B.J. add. Hudson.
${ }^{3}$ Sé post aúròs tr. Richards et Shutt.
${ }^{4}$ av่т $\omega$ ข om. L Lat.
${ }^{5}$ inimicos Lat.

[^239]
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Antiochus ${ }^{a}$ surrendered the stronghold, ${ }^{b}$ and thus the war came to an end; thereupon Antony entrusted Syria ${ }^{c}$ to Sossius with instructions to aid Herod, and himself departed for Egypt. ${ }^{d}$ And so Sossius sent two legions ahead to Judaea to assist Herod, $e$ and himself followed with the greater part of his army.
(10) Before this, however, Joseph had met his Joseph is death in Judaea under the following circumstances. killed in Forgetting the orders which his brother had given Jericho. him when going off to Antony, he pitched camp in the hills-for when Machaeras gave him five cohorts, he hastened to Jericho with the intention of reaping all their grain-and as the Roman army was newly recruited and had no experience of war, being raised mostly from Syria, he was left in a difficult position when the enemy attacked him there, and so he was killed, fighting bravely; his entire army was also lost, six cohorts being destroyed.f And Antigonus seized the dead bodies ${ }^{g}$ and cut off Joseph's head, which his brother Pheroras ransomed for fifty talents. And after this the Galilaeans rebelled against the nobles in their country and drowned the partisans
peace with Antiochus on the latter's payment of 300 talents (instead of 1000 earlier demanded by Ventidius). Tarn, loc. cit., calls this story " absurd."
" "Syria" is conjecturally supplied from B.J.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ According to P'lutarch, lor. cit., Antony returned to Athens from Syria. In B.J. the instructions to Sossius are mentioned after the account of Joseph's defeat at Jericho, instead of before it, as here ; $\$ 447=B . J$. i. 327 , while $\$ \S 448$ $450=13 . J$. i. 3 3 3-3-326.
e Tarn remarks, C $1 / / \mathrm{x} .54$, that this was a " rare instance of a foreigner commanding lioman troops."
$f$ 'This detail is not found in IB.J.

- Lat. " the enemy "; our text is supported by B.J.


## JOSEPHUS


 $\chi \omega \rho i ́ o v \epsilon \notin \omega \chi$ v́ $\rho o v$.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon ́ a, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ v ~ \Delta a ́ \phi \nu \eta ~ \tau \eta ̄ s ~ ’ A v \tau ı о \chi є i ́ a s ~$



 \íßavov тò ő $\rho о$ о $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \tau \alpha \iota$ ，o’ктакобíovs $\mu \in ̀ v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\tau а ́ \gamma \mu a ~ \epsilon i s ~ \Pi \tau о \lambda є \mu а i ̂ \delta a ~ \pi \alpha р а \gamma i v є \tau а \iota, ~ к а ̉ к є i ̂ \theta є \nu ~$ $\nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu a \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho \circ \eta ์ \epsilon \iota ~ \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta ิ S$
 $\theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S$ тท̂ $\mu a ́ \chi \eta$ катєклєíб $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ єis хшрiov ö $\theta \epsilon \nu$


 отратiàv єis тàs $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o v ~ к \omega ́ \mu \alpha s$ ．є̀ $\lambda$ 月óvтоs $\delta$＇




「グөav rell．

a Of Ciennesaret（the Sea of Galilee）．
b B．J．has＂Idumaea，＂probably the correct reading since， as Otto points out，Herodes，p．32．Judaca had not been held by Herod，while，according to I．J．i．303，he had earlier feared a revolt in Idumaea．
c Name slightly cmended after B．J．The site may have been S．W．of I Iebron，$c f$ ．Dr．Thackeray＇s note on B．J．i． 326. 682

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 450-454

of Herod in the lake ${ }^{a}$; a good part of Judaea ${ }^{b}$ also revolted. Machaeras then fortified the place called Gittha. ${ }^{\text {c }}$
(11) But messengers came to the king to report Herod these happenings, and at Daphne near Antioch they hastens to informed him of his brother's fate, which, however, he himself was expecting from certain dream visions which elearly foreshadowed his brother's death. ${ }^{d}$ He therefore hastened on his way, and when he came to Mount Lebanon, took on eight hundred men of that region, and with a Roman legion as well came to Ptolemais ; from there he set out by night with his army and proeeeded through Galilee.e When the enemy met him, they were defeated in battle and were shut up in the fortress from which they had set out the day before ; he then attacked them repeatedly at dawn, f but not being able to do anything because of a severe storm whieh broke out, he led his army back to the villages nearby. But when a second legion eame to him from Antony, ${ }^{g}$ the men who were holding the fortress were terrified and abandoned it by night. And the king hastened to Jericho with the intention of taking revenge on
${ }^{\text {d }}$ B.J.'s language is more dramatic, " springing in dismay from his bed, he was met by the messengers bringing news of the catastrophe."
e The stop at I'tolemais is not mentioned in B.J. L aqueur, pp. 206-207, argues that in Ant. Josephus has deliberately suppressed the details of his source which showed Herod's passionate haste to avenge his brother. But B.J. is simply more rhetorical than Ant., see preceding note and below, on $\S 458$.
' The variant omits "at dawn," a derail not found in B.J. ; the word $\varepsilon \omega \theta \epsilon v$ may be a scribal error due to the preceding toủv $\tau \in \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \vartheta$.

- See above, § 447.


## IOSEPIIUS





 $\lambda a \beta o v ̂ \sigma \alpha ~ \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \nu, \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ v \tau a s ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v$
 Sıaфvүóvтa кívovov．
456





 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma \tau \rho а \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ v ~ П a ́ \pi \pi о \nu$ oैvоца oั̀v $\delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota$



 Sıaði入iovs ővтas є́фóvєvaєv，av̉тás $\tau \epsilon \tau$ т̀s $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{I}^{\prime}(\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \tau o c s): \pi \epsilon \lambda \tau o \hat{s}$ rell．${ }^{2}$ av̉r $\hat{\omega}$ FLAMW．
${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}(\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \tau \omega): \pi \epsilon \lambda \tau \omega ̣$ rell．：$\pi \epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \tau \eta \mathrm{E}$.

${ }^{6} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}:$ ：тара入аßふ̀v rell．
 є＇ソката入єıфө́єvтаs rell．：relictos Lat．
${ }^{8}$ ex B．J．Niese ：$\epsilon \pi i$ codd．

[^240]
## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 454-458

them for his brother. And when he had found quarters, he entertained the men in authority, and after the party, sent away the guests and went to his room. And from the following incident one may see how well disposed God was toward the king. ${ }^{a}$ For the roof of the house eollapsed ${ }^{b}$ without killing anyone who was caught within; so that they all believed that Herod was a favourite of God for having escaped so great and unexpected a danger.
(12) On the following day, however, six thousand Antigonns' of the enemy deseended from the summits of the hills to fight, and struck terror into the Romans. ${ }^{c}$ dereroded at The light-armed troops eame close and east javelins ${ }^{d}$ Jericho. and stones at the king's men who had come out against them, and one of them struck the king himself in the side with a javelin. Antigonus then sent a general named Pappus with a foree ${ }^{e}$ to Samaria, wishing to give the enemy the impression that he was fighting with men to spare. And while he occupied himself with the general Machaeras, Herod took five eities, and after slaughtering those who were caught ${ }^{f}$ in them-about two thousand - and burning the cities themselves, returned to face Pappus, who had pitehed his camp near a village ealled Isana. ${ }^{g}$

[^241]
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 бтє́ $\gamma \alpha$ к кратєî тои́т $\omega \nu$, каi тoùs oj ó́фovs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $460 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\omega \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha$ ar $\theta \rho o ́ \omega \nu \quad \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$. тov́тovs $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$












${ }^{1}$ Hudson: 'I $\epsilon \rho \iota \chi o u$ s sod. E.
${ }^{2}$ ar $\lambda \lambda \eta s$ PE: om. rell. Lat.
${ }^{3}$ є่тє́кєьто V fort. recte.
${ }^{4} \tau \iota \omega \nu \mathrm{P}$ : $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{~F}$ 。

${ }^{6}$ є́к $\tau o ̀ s(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu)$ coni. Lowthius.
${ }^{7}$ Niese: $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ cold.

[^242]Meanwhile many people streamed to him from Jericho and the rest of Judaea ${ }^{a}$; and when he drew near the enemy, they boldly advanced to attack him, but on engaging them in battle he overcame them, and to avenge his brother ${ }^{b}$ he followed and killed them as they fled to the village. The houses were filled with armed men, and many ${ }^{c}$ took refuge on the housetops, but he seized these, and on pulling down the roofs of the houses, saw the space below filled with soldiers wedged in tightly together. Accordingly his men hurled stones at them from above ${ }^{d}$ and slew them in heaps, one upon another. And the most terrible sight of any during the war was this mass of countless dead bodies lying one upon another within the walls of the houses. ${ }^{e}$ It was this action which did most to break the spirits of the enemy, who were waiting to see what would happen ; for great crowds were seen coming together from a distance about the village, and they now fled ; and if a severe storm ${ }^{f}$ had not prevented, the king's army, made confident by their vietory, would have marehed on Jerusalem as well and ended the whole business ; for Antigonus was already considering headlong flight and withdrawal from the eity.

[^243]462 (13) Tóтє $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ oûv ó ßaoı $\lambda \epsilon$ ús, ỏぬía $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ท̂v,


 463 ovvє́ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$, ôv катà $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \pi \rho o ́ v o \iota a v ~ \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \phi u \gamma \epsilon \cdot ~ \gamma v \mu \nu o \hat{v}$




 aùтòr $\delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о s$ каi трíтоs ó $\mu \circ$ íws $\dot{\omega} \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota$,












 каі $\pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о ́ v ~ \pi о т є ~ П о р \pi \eta ́ \iota o s . ~ \tau \rho \iota \sigma i ̀ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega \nu}$

```
{ } ^ { 1 } \text { E Lat.: } \tau \epsilon \text { codd.}
```



```
\({ }^{5}\) отратотє \(\delta \epsilon\) v́єтаи l'E.
```

${ }^{2}$ ท๋ $\sigma a v$ каi om. AMW.
${ }^{4} \tau \bar{\omega} V$.
${ }^{6}$ Niese: és codd. E.
${ }^{a}$ On the slight differences of detail between Aut. and B.J. in the following (perhaps apocryphal) story see Laqueur, pp. 209-210 (rather too subtle).

Or "unarmed."
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, NIV. 462-466

(13) ${ }^{a}$ At this point the king ordered his soldiers to have their supper, as it was late, and he himself, miraculons being tired out, went into a room to bathe. And assassina. here he came into very great danger, but by the providence of God, escaped it. For he was naked b and taking his bath, with only a single servant in attendance. in an inner chamber, where several of the enemy, who were armed, had taken refuge out of fear : and while he was bathing, one of them stole by ${ }^{c}$ with his sword drawn, and went out through the door, and after him a second and a third who were similarly armed, but in their consternation they did the king no injury, and were content to have got away into the open without suffering any harm. The following day he cut off the head of Pappus, who had already been slain, and sent it to Pheroras in revenge for what his brother had suffered. For it was Pappus who had been his executioner. ${ }^{d}$
(14) ${ }^{e}$ When the storm subsided, he removed from Herodinter. there and came near to Jerusalem, encamping close to the city. This was in the third year after he had been made king at Rome.f He then moved his camp to marry and came close to the wall, encamping before the ${ }^{\text {at Samaria. }}$ temple, which was the point where the wall could most easily be assaulted, for he had made up his mind to attack at the same place where Pompey had attacked before. ${ }^{g}$ On this site he made three lines

[^244]
## JOSEPHUS

 $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon " ~ є \gamma о \nu ~ \chi \rho \omega ́ \mu \mu є \nu o s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$




 $\epsilon і р \eta \tau \alpha \iota$.


 Є' $\chi \omega \nu^{2}$ i $\pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon$ каi $\pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \tau о$ סє̀ каi ó
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o ̀ v$ ä $\gamma \omega \nu$ * $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \rho \iota \sigma \mu v \rho i o u s^{3} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 $\tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o s, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \epsilon к а ́ \theta \eta \nu \tau о ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \beta о \rho \epsilon i ́ \omega ~ \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$





 є’кєívov $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon^{11} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~ \sigma v \gamma к \lambda \eta ́ \tau о v ~ \delta o ́ \gamma \mu \alpha . ~$ 470 (ح) $\mathrm{Z} \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta \bar{\varsigma} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho о \theta v \mu i \alpha s$ каі ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \iota \delta о s$, äтє
${ }^{1}$ aтратòs I'E: om. Lat.: $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$. . . $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ́ s ~ d e l . ~ H e r-~$ werden.
${ }^{2}$ є́ $\chi \omega \nu$ om. PE Lat.
${ }^{3} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ трєб $\mu v \rho i o u s$ PV : трєб $\mu$ úpıo rell.
${ }^{4}$ ย̇лò Naber. ${ }^{5}$ ed. pr.: atpatıâs codd.

${ }^{7} \tau \in \mathrm{ed}$. pr.
${ }^{9}$ áтобталєis FLAMW.
${ }^{8}+\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ E.
${ }^{11}$ ßaoincùs єï P .
$\qquad$
a Mariamme.

## JEWISH ANTIQUTTIES, NHV. 466-470

of earthworks and erceted towers, employing a great many hands in the work and cutting the timber round about. He then put capable men in charge of these works, and while his army was still eneamped, himself went off to Samaria to marry the daughter ${ }^{a}$ of Alexander, son of Aristobulus, to whom he was betrothed, as I have said before. ${ }^{b}$
(xvi. 1) After the wedding Sossius, who had sent his forces ahead through the interior, came through Herod join torces. Phoenicia, the general himself coming ${ }^{c}$ with a large number of horsemen and foot-soldiers. And the king also arrived from Samaria, leading a considerable army in addition to the one he had earlier, there being about thirty thousand. ${ }^{d}$ All these assembled before the wall of Jerusalem and took up their position at the north wall of the city ; they made up an army of eleven divisions of foot-soldiers and six thousand mounted men, as well as auxiliaries from Syria ${ }^{e}$ : and there were two commanders, Sossius, who had been sent as an ally by Antony, and Herod, acting on his own behalf, to take the royal power from Antigonus, who had been declared an enemy at Rome, ${ }^{f}$ and in his stead to become king himself in accordance with the decree of the Senate.
(2) It was with great zeal and bitterness, ${ }^{g}$ the entire The ingennity and
${ }^{\text {b }}$ See above, § 300. B.J. i. 344 adds, "He made his wedding an interlude ( $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \rho \gamma o v$ ) of the siege, for he was already contemptuons of the enemy."
c Text awkward and probably corrupt; it is clear, however, from the parallel in B.J. that " the general " is Sossius.
${ }^{d}$ B.J. does not give the number of IIerod's men.
e B.J. adds " who formed no small part (of his army)."
$f$ There is no mention of Antigonus in the parallel, B.J. i. 346 .

- Instead of " zual and bitterness," B.J. speaks of the " agitation " (єтєта́ракто) of the people within the eity.


## JOSEPHUS










 $\epsilon \lambda o ́ \chi \iota \zeta \epsilon, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta ́ \delta \epsilon \iota a \quad \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu$ о́т $\pi \iota \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha}$











${ }^{1} \pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta$ ours PE.
 Cévios W.
${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E}: ~ є \dot{v} \phi \eta \mu i \alpha a$ cod.
${ }^{5}$ PVE: p̀vaдévou rell.
${ }^{6} \mathrm{~F}$ L Lat. : évròs tod.
${ }^{7}$ Niese: $\sigma v \chi{ }^{\nu} \omega \hat{s}$ code. E et Lat. vid.
" Variant " multitude ", or "populace.",
b Variants " were left," " were caught."
${ }^{c}$ So the Epitome; mss. " in praise of." The text is rather obscure, but from B.J. it is elear that (Messianic) prophecies are meant.
nation ${ }^{a}$ being gathered together, that the Jews who bravery were confined ${ }^{b}$ within the walls fought against Herod of the and his men ; many were the invocations made about the temple, and many were the things said to eneourage ${ }^{c}$ the people, to the effect that God would deliver them from danger. And everything on the land outside the city ${ }^{d}$ had been carried off, so that nothing was left that might serve as food for men or beasts; and by secret raids also they caused a lack of provisions. But ${ }^{e}$ when Herod observed this, he took steps against the raids, on the one hand, by posting ambushes in the most suitable places, and against the lack of provisions, on the other hand, by sending divisions of armed men to gather supplies from a distance, so that within a short time they had a great abundance of necessities. And the three lines of earthworks had been raised with ease, for there were a great number of hands now continuously $f$ at work, and as it was summer, ${ }^{g}$ there was no hindrance to their erection either from the weather or from the workmen; and so they brought up their engines and battered the wall, trying every expedient. They did not, however, intimidate those within the city, who on their part contrived a good many counterdevices against those of their enemies; they would dash out and set fire to their works, some of them half-finished, others completed; they would also
${ }^{d}$ So Epitome and Lat. (agreeing with B.J.); wss. "everything within the eity:"
e There are several Thucydidean touches in the following sections (which have only partial parallels in B.J. i. 348-351): àтєтєixusov and àmovoía in \& 4ǐs, and ávititadov in \& 479.
${ }^{5}$ Text slightly emended.

- Of 37 в.c. (see below on 8 88 487-488). Herod and Sossius had encamped ontside Jerusalem as carly as the spring of 37 n.c. according to \& 46 J . This detail is not found in IB.J.


## JOSEPHUS












 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \quad \tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \iota s ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho a ́ к о \nu \tau а$, 兀ò $\delta є ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ч ́-$ $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ́ \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \cdot$ каí $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $i \epsilon \rho o ̀ \nu$

 Lat. Hudson.
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 474-476

fight hand to hand, but while they were not inferior to the Romans in daring, they fell short of them in science. Against the siege-engines they devised counter-defences when their first constructions gave way, and fought underground when they met the enemy in the mines; and acting in desperation rather than with foresight, they persevered in the war to the very end-this in spite of the fact that a great army surrounded them and that they were distressed by famine and the lack of necessities, for a sabbatical year happened to fall at that time. ${ }^{a}$ The first to mount the wall were twenty ${ }^{b}$ picked men, and after these came Sossius' centurions. The first wall was taken in forty days, ${ }^{c}$ and the second in fifteen more ; and some of the porticoes round the temple were burnt, which Herod accused Antigonus of
pp. 20-27, that the 27 years do not give 36 в.с. but Jan. (10th of Tebet), 37 в.с., reckoning 26 years and a fraction from Pompey's entry in the summer of 63 в.с., is invalidated by, among other things, the reference to the summer in $\S 473$. (3) Josephus knew that the siege took place in the summer of 37 B.c. and was referring, rather vaguely, to a sabbatical year that began soon after the fall of Jerusalem, that is, in Oct. 37 s.c. This is not so improbable as it may seem at first. If the inhabitants of Jerusalem were distressed by famine in the summer of 37 в.c., they would not be able to lay in an extra supply of provisions for the latter part of the sabbatical year beginning in October-hence their desperation. To be sure, the $2 \pi$ years of $\S 405$ make a difficulty here, and we should have to assume that Josephus made a slip in arithmetic.

One hardly knows which assmmption to prefer, in view of the apparently irreconcilable inconsistencies in Josephus himself, apart from his inconsistency with other ancient sonrces (see below, § 487-488 notes).

- B.J. does not give the number.
c This detail and those following in §§ 476-478 have no parallel in B.J.
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 $\kappa \alpha i ~ \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ oiкías $\sigma v \nu \omega \theta$ ои́ $\mu \in \nu \circ \iota$ каi $\tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \alpha \hat{\varphi}$




 каi 'Avтíyovos $\mu \eta \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon$ т $\bar{s}$ тá入aь $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ то́тє



$$
{ }^{1} \tau \in \mathrm{VE} .
$$

${ }^{2}$ vex $\epsilon \hat{\text { is }} \mathrm{V}$ : quoscumque invenissent Lat.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ Lat. : $\pi \epsilon \rho ı \pi$ аіоитоs $\mathrm{F}: ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma$ óv os vel.

[^246]
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setting on fire, making an effort to draw upon him the hatred of the Jews by this charge. ${ }^{a}$ And when the outer precincts of the temple and the Lower City had been captured, the Jews fled into the inner precinct of the temple and the Upper City ${ }^{b}$; and fearing that the Romans would prevent them from offering the daily sacrifices to God, they sent an embassy to beg that they would permit them to have only vietims brought in for them ; and this request he granted, in the belief that they would yield. But when he saw that none of the things he expected of them was being done, and that, on the contrary, they were resisting strongly for the sake of Antigonus' kingship, he attacked them and took the city by storm. And soon every quarter was filled with the blood of the slain, ${ }^{c}$ for the Romans were furions at the length of the siege, ${ }^{d}$ while the Jews on Herod's side were anxious not to lcave a single adversary alive. And so they were slaughtered in heaps, whether crowded together in alleys and houses or seeking refuge in the temple; no pity was shown either to infants or the aged, nor were weak women spared, but even though the king sent word around, urging them to forbear, not one of them held his hand, but like madmen they fell upon persons of every age. It was then that Antigonus, without stopping to reflect either on his former or his present fortunes, came down from the castle, and fell at Sossius' feet.

[^247]
## JOSEPHUS

$\alpha v ่ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ o i к \tau \epsilon i \rho \alpha s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~ є ่ \pi \epsilon к р о ́ т \eta \sigma \epsilon{ }^{1}$

 $\delta \epsilon \theta \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon ́ ф v \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \tau о$.
 $\lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu \tau о \hat{v}$ кратฑ̂бає каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda о ф v ́ \lambda \omega \nu^{2} \sigma v \mu-$ $\mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu . ~ \stackrel{\omega}{\rho} \mu \eta \tau о$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ то̀ $\xi \epsilon \nu \iota к о ̀ \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ оs є́ $\pi i$ $\theta$ є́av 433 то仑̂ $\tau \epsilon$ ípov̂ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тòv vaòv á $\gamma i ́ \omega \nu$ ．ò $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$



 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta ̀ v$ то́入ıv ápтаүás，то入入̀̀ $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \iota о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Sigma o ́ \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho \omega ิ \nu ~ ' P \omega-$










 $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ єن̉тороиิขтаs．

 $\phi v \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ V ：à $\lambda \downarrow o \tau \rho ı o \phi u ́ \lambda \omega v$ ed．pr．
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, NIV. 481-486

He, however, did not in the least pity him for suffering a change of fortune, but applauded him ${ }^{a}$ unrestrainedly, and called him Antigone ${ }^{b}$; nevertheless he did not leave him unguarded, as a woman would have been, but had him put in ehains and kept under guard.
(3) And Herod, after cheeking his enemies, took Herod care also to check his foreign allies; for the crowd of strangers was bent on getting a view of the temple and the holy things in the sanctuary, and the king held them back, in some cases by appeals, in others by threats, and sometimes even by arms, for he regarded victory as something more bitter than defeat if any of the things forbidden to men's eyes should be seen by them. He also tried to prevent the plundering that went on throughout the city by strenuously arguing with Sossius that if the Romans emptied the city of its wealth and its men, they would leave him king of a wilderness, and that he would eonsider the sovereignty of the habitable world a poor recompense for the murder of so many citizens. And when Sossius said that he had been right to permit his soldiers this plundering in return for their labours in the siege, Herod replied that he himself would distribute rewards to each of them out of his own purse. In this way he purchased security for the rest of the city, and fulfilled his promise ; for he gave splendid gifts to every soldicr and proportionate gifts to their officers, while to Sossius himself he made most regal presents, so that all went away with a goodly share of wealth.
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## JOSEPHUS

















${ }^{1}$ Hudson: Kavvióo M : Kavidiou vel. : Kavdíou Syncellus.

${ }^{a}$ Except for the statement in $\S 488$ about Sossius' dedication of the golden chain and his taking Antigonus to Antony for punishment ( $=$ B.J. i. 35\%), these last sections in $A n t$. have no parallel in B.J.
${ }^{b}$ Name slightly emended.
c Their consulship fell in the year 37 b.c. The 185 th Olympiad ended on June 30, 37 bic. Dis Cassius, xix. D2, dates the fall of the city in the consulship of Clandins and Norbanus $=38$ в.c. see following note.
${ }^{d}$ More nearly 26 years, see above $\S 475$ note $a$ and $c f$. § 66 on Pompey's capture of the city in 63 в.с. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ трíто⿱ $\mu \hat{\eta} v a$ т $\hat{\eta}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \underline{\text { a }}$. Dio Cassins, loo. cit., says that the city
 evidently meaning the Sabbath (as in the case of Ptolemy I, ref. Ant. xii. 4, and Pompey (\%), Ant. xiv. 66 with notes); but by : $\eta \sigma \tau \in i a s$ Josephus here seems to mean the Day of Atonement, which in 37 в.c. fell on October 3rd. There has 700

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIV. 487-490

(4) ${ }^{a}$ This calamity befell the city of Jerusalem The execuduring the consulship at Rome of Marcus Agrippa and Caninius ${ }^{b}$ Gallus, in the hundred and eighty-fifth Olympiad, ${ }^{c}$ in the third month, on the day of the tion of Antigonus, the last Fast, as if it were a recurrence of the misfortune which came upon the Jews in the time of Pompey, for they were captured by Sossius on the very same day, twenty-seven years later. ${ }^{d}$ And Sossius dedicated a golden crown to God and departed from Jerusalem, taking Antigonus in chains to Antony. ${ }^{e}$ But Herod feared that if Antigonus were kept under guard by Antony and brought to Rome by him, he might plead the justice of his cause before the Senate and show that he was descended from kings while Herod was a commoner, and that his sons ought to reign by virtue of their lineage, even though he himself had committed offences against the Romans ${ }^{\boldsymbol{f}}$; been considerable discussion of the actual date of the city"s fall, and various attempts have been made to reconcile the conflicting data; see the detailed discussions (with bibliography) in Schürer i. 358 n. 11, Otto, Herodes, p. 33 n. 2, Zeitlin, MT, pp. 20-27, Laqueur, pp. 211-212 and Kugler, pp. $418-422$. Here it may suffice to remark that while the year 37 в.c. is certain, the month cannot be determined with absolute certainty, but was probably July and not October. The former is more likely if we assume, with Otto, that the reference to the Day of Atonement (if $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a s$ here means that fast day and not an earlier fast day in the calendar, e.g. the fast of the month Tammuz) is based on a popular tradition that songht to discredit Herod by making him responsible for invading the city on the very sacred Day of Atonement.
e Antony had left Italy for Greece in the autumn of 37 в.с. and soon after went to Antioch. It was here that Antigonus was brought to him in the winter of that year, $c f$. Wilcken in $P W^{\prime}$ i. 2420 and Tarn in C. $4 H$ x. 54-55.
${ }^{\prime}$ Apparently Herod had no confidence that the Romans would continuc to prefer him to the Hasmonaeans as they had done in 40 в.c., see above, $\$ 386$.

## JOSEPHUS


 Sє́ous $\mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ ' H \rho \omega ́ \delta \eta s ~ a ̀ \pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma є \tau a l, ~ \pi a v ́ є \tau а \iota ~ \delta ’ ~$







 тои̂тo $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ тò тє́ dos $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ 'Agapwvaiov $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \bar{\alpha} S$ $\pi а \rho \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \dot{\phi} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$.
${ }^{2}$ révous add. duce Niese.
a B.J. i. 357 says that Antigonus fell beneath the axe. According to Bio Cassius, xix. 22, Antony had Antigonus scourged while bound to a cross, a punishment " which no

## JEWISH ANTTIQUITIES, XIV. 490-491

and because of this fear Herod gave Antony a large bribe and persuaded him to put Antigonus out of the way. ${ }^{a}$ And when this was done, Herod was freed of his fear, and at the same time the rule of the Asamonaean line came to an end after a hundred and twenty-six years. ${ }^{b}$ Theirs was a splendid and renowned house because of both their lineage and their priestly office, as well as the things which its founders achieved on behalf of the nation. But they lost their royal power through internal strife, and it passed to Herod, the son of Antipater, who came from a house of common people and from a private family that was subject to the kings. ${ }^{c}$ Such, then, is the account we have received of the end of the Asamonaean line.
other king had suffered at the hands of the Romans," and then had him beheaded or had his throat cut ( $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \phi a \xi \in \nu)$.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ This would take us back to 163 or 162 в.с. Apparently Josephus is placing the beginning of the Hasmonaean dynasty at about the time when the last Oniad high priest, Onias IV, fled to Egypt, cf. Ant. xii. 385-388.

- Cf. § 78 .


## APPENDIX 1

## AN ANCIENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

## BIBAION IB




 $\Phi \iota \lambda a ́ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ os $\tau 0$ òs 'Iov $\delta a i \omega v$ vó $\mu$ ovs $\epsilon i s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ ' E \lambda \lambda \eta-$


 $\theta \in \hat{\varphi}$.

 aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ \sigma a \iota s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota .{ }^{3}$

 Пто入єнаîov тòv 'Ельфацท̂.
 'Ovíav тòv à $\rho \chi \iota \in \rho \in ́ a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iov

 $\phi a \nu \hat{\eta}$.
${ }^{1}$ numeros hab. ( $\alpha^{\prime}-\kappa \alpha^{\prime} \mathrm{L}, \alpha^{\prime}-\kappa \beta^{\prime} \mathrm{AW}, \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{XV}$ III Lat.) LAW Lat., om. rell.
${ }^{2}$ катщ́кьбєข ( $-\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ V) PFLV. ${ }^{3}+$ катоккібаขтєs P . 701

## APPENDIX A

## AN ANCIENT TABLE OF CONTENTS <br> BOOK XII

In this edition
section page
(i) How Ptolemy, the son of Lagus, took Jerusalem and Judaea by treachery and deceit, and transported many of its inhabitants to Egypt ${ }^{a}$
(ii) How his son Ptolemy, surnamed Philadelphus, had the Jewish laws translated into the Greek tongue, and released many captives as a favour to their high priest Eleazar, and made many dedicatory-offerings to God
(iii) How the kings of Asia honoured the Jewish nation, and made the Jews citizens ${ }^{b}$ in the cities founded by them
(iv) The amendment of their ill fortune through Joseph the Tobiad, who formed a friendship with Ptolemy Epiphanes
(v) The friendship and alliance of the Lacedaemonians with Onias, the high priest of the Jews
(vi) The factional strife of the Jewish leaders, and how they appealed to Antiochus Epiphanes

| 1 | 2 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11 | 6 |
| 119 | 58 |
| 154 | 80 |
| 205 | 114 |
| 237 | 120 |
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES，XII


 єंбúd $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．




 ク$\delta \eta$ ，$\pi \alpha \rho a \delta o ́ v \tau o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} v \tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o-$ ora⿱ia口 тoîs maıбi้v．
i．＇$\Omega_{s}$ ò viòs aủroû＇Iov́סas toîs＇Avtióxov $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \circ i ̂ s ~ \mu \alpha \chi \in \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$, тoùs＇Iovסaiovs єis $\tau \dot{\eta} \gg$
 $\epsilon \delta \in i ́ \chi \theta \eta$ vimò $\tau o \hat{v} \lambda a o v ̂$.


 ＇Iovסaiav каì ท̂тта каi סıaфөорà $\tau \circ \hat{v} ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau о \hat{v}$.


$\iota \delta^{\prime}$ ．＇$\Omega_{S}{ }^{6}$ इ＇í $\mu \nu$ ó ú $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ s ~ a u ̀ \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \sigma \alpha ́-~$
 $\alpha \cup ่ \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ．
 i．marg．Díncuvos $\gamma \rho$＂Hpuvos èv älloıs A：$\Lambda$ vaiov ex Lat． Hindson．
${ }^{2}$ oтратєváá $\mu \in$ vol FV．

${ }^{4}$ tis om．FLAW Lat．

${ }_{7}^{6} \delta \delta \dot{\epsilon}(+o \dot{o}$ F）FLV capiti praecedenti adiungentes．
${ }^{7}$ бтратєvad́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ os om．FL．V．
${ }^{8}$ е่кра́т $\quad$ аал LV．
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## AN ANCIENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

(vii) How Antiochus marched against Jerusalem and took the city and despoiled the temple
section page
(viii) How, when Antiochus forbade the Jews to live by their native laws, Mattathias, the son of Asamonaios, alone defied the king, and defeated the generals of Antiochus
(ix) The death of Mattathias at an advanced age, and how he handed over the command of affairs to his sons .
(x) How his son Judas, after doing battle with the generals of Antiochus, restored to the Jews their native form of government, and was chosen high priest by the people ${ }^{a}$

| 246 | 124 |
| :--- | :--- |

(xi) How Antiochus' general Apollonius invaded Judaea and was defeated and killed
(xii) The campaign of Saion ${ }^{b}$ and Gorgias against Judaea, and the defeat and destruction of their army
(xiii) How Judas marched against the Ammanites and Galadatis (Gilead), and was victorious
(xiv) How his brother Simon marched against the Tyrians and the people of Ptolemais, and conquered them

334172
a The restoration of " the native form of government " (i.e. resumption of the temple service) came after the events mentioned below in section xii, while Judas' election as high priest (§414) should come after section xx.

- Corruption of Seron.


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII-XIII

 єंगi 'Iovסaious каі $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau \alpha$.
 Пє́роаıs.




 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ є̇к $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'Iovסaías 'Avтioxos.
$\iota \theta^{\prime}$. ' $\Omega_{s}$ Вакхî̀ $\eta$ s ó $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i ́ o v ~ \sigma \tau \rho а т \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ є ̇ \pi \iota-~$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu O s$ тoîs 'Iovסaioıs, àтpaктоs àvє́ $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta а \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha . ~$
 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ \dot{a} \pi \omega \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \tau \circ$ ov̀v $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \hat{\alpha} .{ }^{1}$
$\kappa \alpha^{\prime}$. "O $\mathrm{O} \iota ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ ' I o v \delta a i a \nu ~ B а к \chi i ́ \delta \eta s ~$

$\kappa \beta^{\prime}$. ' $\Omega_{s}$ 'Iov́ठas $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \alpha ́ \rho \eta ~ \mu а \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s . ~$


## BIBAION IT




$$
{ }^{2}+\text { aủroù } \mathrm{l}^{\prime} .
$$
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## AN ANCIENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

(xv) ${ }^{a}$ The campaign of Antiochus' general Lysias against the Jews, and his defeat

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 313 | 162 |
| 354 | 184 |
| 367 | 190 |
| 382 |  |
| 382 | 198 |
| 393 | 204 |
| 4.02 | 208 |
| 420 | 218 |
| 426 | 222 |

This book covers a period of a hundred and seventy years.

## BOOK XIII

SECTION PAGE
(i) How Jonathan, who was a brother of Judas, on the latter's dcath took over the leadership.

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 228 |
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## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES，XIII



$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．＂O $\mathrm{O} \iota$＇A $\lambda \in$＇́gav
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta$ трго⿱．






$5^{\prime}$ ．＇H＇Oviou фi入ía $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ Ф ı \lambda о \mu \eta ́ т о р а ~$





$\eta^{\prime}$ ．＇$\Omega_{S} \Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \rho \mathrm{oos}$ ó $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i o v ~ \pi a i ̂ s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon$ v́баs

 фı入íav $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' I \omega v a ́ \theta \eta \nu ~ \pi о ı \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon v o s . ~$


 бv́ $\mu \mu \alpha \chi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \omega \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu$ ．
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## AN ANCIENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

## SECTION PAGE

(ii) How he fought with Bacchides and compelled him to make an agreement of friendship with him and leave the country
(iii) How Alexander, the son of Antiochus Epiphanes, came to Syria and waged war on Demetrius
(iv) How Demetrius sent envoys to Jouathan and made an alliance with him and presented both him and our nation with many gifts Jonathan high priest, persuaded him to be his ally
(vi) The friendship which Onias formed with Ptolemy Philometor at this same time, and how he built the so-called Temple of Onias in addition to that which existed at Jerusalem
(vii) How Alexander, after the death of Demetrius, greatly honoured Jonathan
(viii) How Demetrius, the son of Demetrius, sailed to Syria from Crete, and after fighting with Alexander and conquering him, became king and made an agreement of friendship with Jonathan
(ix) How Tryphon of Apamca overcame Demetrius in battle and gave the kingship to Antiochus, the son of Alexander, and himself made Jonathan his ally
$131 \quad 290$
(x) How, when Demetrius had been

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII

$\lambda \eta \phi \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau о$ т $\pi а \rho \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ v \delta \eta \sigma \epsilon$ тòv 'I $\omega \nu a ́ \theta \eta \nu$ каi $\delta o ́ \lambda \omega$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̉ d \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ \Sigma i ́ \mu \omega v a . ~$

 à $\rho \chi \iota \in \rho \in ́ a$.



 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon$ 之íf $\omega \nu \alpha$ ка̉кєivos ${ }^{2}$ K $\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \beta a i ̂ o \nu$ тòv $\sigma \tau \rho a-$

 бvцтобí









 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̀ \tau o ̀ v ~ к а i ~ \phi i \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \epsilon о s . ~$
$1+$ каì тои̂тov $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ ảvєî̀ $\epsilon \mathrm{P}$.
${ }^{2}$ каi PFLV Lat.
${ }^{3}+\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ х $о о ́ v \propto$ FLAMVW.

* кадои́ $\mu є$ ооs om. FLAMVW.


## AN ANCIENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

## SECTION PAGE:

taken eaptive by the Parthians, Tryphon riolated his agreement with Jonathan and seizing him by treaehery, killed him and waged war on his brother Simon

187
318
(xi) How the nation entrusted the leadership to Jonathan's brother Simon and appointed him high priest

213
332
(xii) How Simon besieged Tryphon in Dor, having become an ally of Antiochus, the brother of Demetrius, surnamed Eusebes
(xiii) How, when Tryphon had been put to death, Antioehus made war on Simon, and the latter defeated his general Cendebaeus and drove him out of Judaea
(xiv) How Simon was treacherously slain at a banquet by his son-in-law Ptolemy, and how Ptolemy bound Simon's wife and children and attempted to seize power for himself .
(xv) How Simon's youngest son Hyreanus forestalled Ptolemy, and taking over the leadership, besieged ${ }^{a}$ him and shut him up within a fortress ealled Dagon
(xvi) How Antiochus, called Euscbes, ${ }^{b}$ marehed against Hyreanus, and after investing the city of Jerusalem, gave up the siege when he received from Hyrcanus three hundred talents, and how he made an alliance of friendship with him

> a Variant " for a long time besieged."
> b Antiochus Sidetes is meant.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES，XIII


 ஸ́s то入入às тódєєऽ ката̀ крáтоs єỉdєv．${ }^{1}$
$\imath^{\prime}$＇．Фi入ía $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' \Upsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \nu o ̀ \nu{ }^{2}{ }^{\prime} A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha ́ v \delta \rho o v ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ Zaßiva кд $\eta$ ө́є́vтоs．
$\iota \theta^{\prime}$ ．＇$\Omega_{s}$ ì $\tau \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s$ и́ $\phi$＇＇T’ркаиой＇Avтioхоs ó







 ца́хך каі viкә．






 ＇Tркагои FLV．




${ }^{9} \lambda \in \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon$ vos om．FLAMVW．
$10+\tau o ̀ v \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$＇Iovסaíav Sє́́movтa P，
${ }^{11}+\mu a ́ \chi \eta$ P．

## AN ANCIENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

(xvii) Hyrcanus' expedition against Syria after the death of Antiochus in Media, and how he took many cities by storm ${ }^{a}$
(xviii) The friendship ${ }^{b}$ of Alexander, called Zabinas, with Hyrcanus

SECTION PAGE
(xix) How Antiochus Cyzicenus was defeated by Hyrcanus and driven out of Judaea ${ }^{c}$
(xx) How Aristobulus ${ }^{d}$ took over the royal power and was the first to assume the diadem
$301 \quad 378$
(xxi) How, on the death of Aristobulus, ${ }^{e}$ his brother Alexander took over the royal power and marched upon Syria, Phocnicia and Arabia, and subdued many nations ${ }^{f}$
(xxii) The war against him and victory of Ptolemy Lathyrus . . .
(xxiii) How Demetrius, called Eukairos, ${ }^{9}$ marched against Alexander ${ }^{h}$ and conquered him
377414
a The variant adds, " after besieging them, and made them tributary."

- The variant adds, " and sustained affection . . . toward."
c Variant (to this whole section) "How Antiochus Cyzicenus came to the aid of the Samaritans who were being besieged by Hyrcanus, and being defeated, fled from Judaea with I Iyrcanus' sons in pursuit."
d Variants "Aristobulus, his brother": "Aristobulus, the son of Hyrcanus."
- Variant "Aristobulus, the ruler of Judaea."
' Variants " many of these nations ": " many foes."
- Variant " Akairos," cf. Ant. xiii. 369 note $f$.
n Variant "Alexander, the ruler of Judaea."


## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XIII-XIV


 $\kappa \epsilon$ '. ' $\Omega_{S} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad$ ' $A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho o v \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\eta}$

 $\delta o ́ \xi \eta s \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \theta a \nu \epsilon \nu$.
 каi ठv́o.

## BIBNION I $\Delta$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' र ’ \rho к а \nu o ̀ v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ v ~ \pi \epsilon р i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ßабı入єías каi

 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ 'A
$\beta^{\prime}$. Пєрi 'Avтıта́троv каi $\gamma \epsilon \in v o v s$ aùтоv̂ каi тiva $\tau \rho о ́ \pi о v$ є’к $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\alpha} s$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau v \chi о v ́ \sigma \eta S$ ảфор $\mu \hat{\eta} s$ єis $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho о ́ т \eta \tau \alpha$ каi $\delta$ ógav á $\mu \alpha$ тоîs $\pi \alpha \iota \sigma i \nu ~ \pi \rho о \eta \chi \chi \eta \eta$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { ex Lat. (liber pater) Niese: } \Delta \text { lovvaiou codd. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{3}$ каi $\beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma a \sigma a ~ o m . ~ P . ~$
${ }^{4}$ vє́́татоs AMW.
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## AN ANCIENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |  | paoe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (xxiv) The expedition of Antiochus, |  |  |
| surnained Dionysos, ${ }^{a}$ against Judaea, | 387 | 420 |
| (xxv) How, after the death of Alex- |  |  |
| der, his wife Alexandra held the |  |  |
| ears, and died after livin | 40 | 430 |

This book covers a period of eighty-two years.

## BOOK XIV

(i) How, after the death of Alexandra, her younger ${ }^{d}$ son Aristobulus fought with his brother Hyrcanus over the kingship and overcoming him, pursued him to the fortress in Jerusalem ; and how later they came together and decided that Aristobulus should be king while Hyrcanus should be a private citizen

1448
(ii) Concerning Antipater and his line, and in what manner from a modest beginning and slight opportunity he advanced together with his sons to splendour and glory and greatness of power, and how, after Antipater had persuaded Hyrcanus to flee from Jeru-

SECTION PAOE
${ }^{a}$ Name slightly emended.
b Variant " how he showed his prowess and superiority in battle." Aecording to Joscphus' text, Antiochus Dionysos was killed in battle with the Arabs, fighting valiantly.
c The variant omits " after living."
" Variant " youngest."
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 $\delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ каі Хри́цата іттобхо́ $\mu \in \nu о$.


 каі $\pi є \rho \iota к а Ө і \sigma \alpha s ~ \tau о ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \rho а т о ́ \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu ~ є ̇ т о \lambda \iota о ́ \rho к є \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu}$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.
 ミupíav Má
 бицнахіая таракадои̂vтєs.
$\epsilon^{\prime}$. "От兀 इкаиิроз $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho а к о \sigma i o ı s ~ \delta ı a \phi \theta а р є i s ~ \tau а \lambda a ́ v-~$



$\zeta^{\prime}$. ' $\Omega_{S}$ Поцлпiov єis $\Delta а \mu а \sigma \kappa o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ ' A \rho-~$





 єis 'Iovסaiav, каi $\dot{\omega}$ à àavaкт $\eta$ баитоs aùzov̂ каi

${ }^{1}$ ís . . . $\delta \iota к a \iota \neq \gamma$ oûrtaı om. PFL Lat.
 ${ }^{3} \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{j} \lambda o \iota s ~ o m . ~ P F L: ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu W$ W.
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salem to Aretas the Arab king, he came and appealed (to Aretas) to restore Hyrcanus to the throne, promising to give him much land and money
(iii) How Aretas gave shelter to Hyrcanus and marched against Aristobulus, and on meeting him, overcame him in battle and pursued him to Jerusalem and surrounded the city with an army and besieged it
(iv) How Pompey the Great sent Scaurus from Armenia to Syria, and how envoys came to him from Hyrcanus and Aristobulus, begging him to make an alliance
(v) How Scaurus, being bribed with four hundred talents, went over to Aristobulus
(vi) How Hyrcanus and Aristobulus disputed before Pompey about their rights to the throne ${ }^{a}$
(vii) How, when Pompey came to Damaseus from Armenia, Aristobulus and Hyrcanus came to him, disputing about their rights to the throne ${ }^{b}$.
(viii) The postponement of the case by Pompey, saying that he would give a decision concerning their complaints against one another when he should come to their country, and how Aristobulus, perceiving what Pompcy's intention toward him was, withdrew to Judaca, and how when Pompey in indignation marched against him, he withdrew to

452

| 19 | 458 |
| :--- | :--- |
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 ঠvякатана́ұŋтоv．









 бódv $\mu$ а．




 є́ $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau о$ ，каі Поит $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \bar{\eta} s$ тól $\epsilon \omega s$




 є́то入ьо́ркєє тウ̀v $\pi$ ód七v，каì $\delta \epsilon ́ \chi о \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ a u ̀ \tau o ̀ v ~ o i ~$
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the fortress of Alexandreion, which was strong and difficult to assault
(ix) How Pompey perceived this, and by using a stratagem, ${ }^{a}$ persuaded Aristobulus to leave the fortress and come down to him, assuring him that he would confirm his rule, and how Aristobulus was persuaded by these words, and though strongly maintaining his rights against his brother, was compelled to write to his garrison-commanders in his own hand and to surrender his fortresses to Pompey .
(x) How Aristobulus did this through fear, and later, being aggrieved at not obtaining from Pompey any of the things which he expected, withdrew to Jerusalem.
(xi) How, when Pompey followed close on his heels with an army, Aristobulus had a change of heart and proceeded to Jericho and met him, begging pardon for his offences and promising to deliver the city and money as well, and how, when Pompey sent Gabinius with picked soldiers to take over the city and the money, the people of Jerusalem, on seeing Aristobulus placed under guard, shut their gates against the Romans.
(xii) How in anger at this act Pompey put Aristobulus in chains, and brought up his force, besieged the city, and how the

SECTION PAGE
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$\tau \dot{\alpha}$ 'T’ $\rho \kappa \alpha \nu о \hat{v}$ ф $\rho о \vee о \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu a ̈ \nu \omega$, oi

$\iota \gamma^{\prime}$. ' $\Omega_{S}$ aipєî катà кра́тоs тò íро̀v каi тク̀v

ı $\delta^{\prime}$. Пєрi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ є̇тıєıкєías aùтov̂ каi $\theta \epsilon о \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a s$,





 इирías є̇тiтротоข катє́入ıтєข.







 тро̀s 'Т'ркауо̀v каі 'Avтітатроу.
$\eta^{\prime}$. ' $\Omega_{S}$ vimò Гaßuviov крат $\theta \epsilon \epsilon i s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a ́ \chi \eta$ каi
 оркєїто.
$\iota \theta^{\prime}$. ' $\Omega_{S}$ Гаßivıos $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma a ́ \sigma \eta S ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta \hat{\eta}_{S}$
 T22

## AN ANCIENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

| partisans of Hyrcanus admitted him into |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ulus fled to the temple | 57 | 476 |
| (iii) How Pompey took the temple |  |  |
| and the Lower City by storm in the third |  |  |
| onth | 64 | 478 |
| (xiv) Concerning Pompey's modera- |  |  |
| and piety in that he touched none |  |  |
| s much | 72 | 482 |
| (xv) How Pompey, after accomp |  |  |
| ing these things and making Judaea subject to tribute and appointing Hyrcanus ethnarch, brought back Aristobulus and |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| his family captive to Rome, and left |  |  |
| Scaurus as governor of Syria | 74 | 48 |
| (xvi) How, when Scaurus marched |  |  |
| upon Petra, the capital of the Arabs, and |  |  |
| esieged it, and his soldiers were in need, |  |  |
| Antipater persuaded the Arab king to |  |  |
| give Scaurus three hundred talents and |  |  |
| make an alliance with him . . | 80 | 48 |
| (xvii) How Alexander, the son of |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| coming to Judaea, where a large army |  |  |
| was raised for him, waged war on Hyr- |  |  |
| (xviii) How Alcxander was conquered |  |  |
| in battle by Gabinius and was shut up |  |  |
| within the fortress of Alexandreion and |  |  |
| besieged <br> (xix) How Gabinius seized Alexander, | 84 | 490 |
|  |  |  |
| whom his mother Alexandra had per- |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ ' A \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ß o u ́ \lambda o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \epsilon v o \iota, ~ \lambda v ̂ \sigma a \iota$ каi àтотє́ $\mu \psi а \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{v} \nu \mu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a, \delta \eta \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ av̉ァท̂s тò
 $\tau \eta \chi^{\chi}$ ápıтos $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 oтóßovגov єis 'lovסaiav 入aßஸ̀v Гaßivos aì $\mu a ́ \lambda \omega-$

$\kappa a^{\prime}$. Kра́ббоv катà $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ є̇тi Пá $\rho$ Oovs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a \nu$
 $i \in \rho \hat{\omega} \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.





 'Aрıбтóßovдоs фариа́кс. ${ }^{\text {º }}$

 каi тov̀s 'Iovઠaiovs av̉т $\hat{\varphi}$ бv $\mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma a v . ~$
${ }_{2}$ ảv ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon \mathcal{V} \mathrm{I}:$ ảvтє́ $\sigma \tau \rho є \psi \in \nu$ V'.

${ }^{3}+\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{P}$.



> a Variant " and returned to Rome."
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|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| to the Senate, asking it to release and |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| of Alexander, who had been put in chains together with their father Aristo- |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| bulus, (Gabinius) pointing out her loyalty |  |  |
| to the Romans and that she was worthy | 89 |  |
| (xx) How, when Aristobulus thereafter fled from Rome to Judaea, Gabinius made him a prisoner and again sent him |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| to Rome ${ }^{a}$ (xxi) The expedition | 92 | $49 \pm$ |
| Judaea in the course of his Parthian campaign, and his plundering of the |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| money in the temple | 105 |  |
| (xxii) The flight of Pompey to Epirus |  |  |
| and the arrival in Syria of Scipio, sent by |  |  |
| him with orders to kill Alexander (xxiii) How Caesar ${ }^{b}$ released Aristo- |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| (xxiii) How Caesar ${ }^{b}$ released Aristobulus ${ }^{c}$ and was prepared to send him to |  |  |
| Judaea with two legions, and how Aristo- |  |  |
| bulus, being first reached by the parti- |  |  |
| sans of Pompey, was destroyed by |  |  |
| (xxiv) Cacsar's campaign against |  |  |
| pt, and how Hyreanus and Anti- |  |  |
| pers fongl by hic nide and made |  |  |
| ews his allies | 12 |  |
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 тท̀v，$\mu a ́ \chi \eta v ~ a ́ \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau о u ̂ т о ~ ф ı \lambda i ́ a ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$

 $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i \delta o s$ ávaбт $\eta \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \tau \epsilon i \chi \eta .{ }^{1}$
 $\tau \rho \circ \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ Є่ $v \epsilon \chi \in i \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
$\kappa \zeta^{\prime} . \mathrm{Kaí} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{\rho оs} \mathrm{\epsilon ̇} \mathrm{\pi} \mathrm{\iota} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\tau о} \mathrm{\lambda аi} \mathrm{каi} \mathrm{\delta ó} \mathrm{\gamma} \mathrm{\mu ата} \mathrm{\sigma и} \mathrm{\gamma к} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\eta ́} \mathrm{\tau ои}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' I o v \delta a i ́ o u s ~ \phi i \lambda i ́ a s . ~$
$\kappa \eta$＇．＂Отı＇Avтíтaтроs тоîs таıoiv＇H $\rho \omega ́ \delta \eta ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$
 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{\text {＇}} \mathrm{I} \epsilon \rho \circ \sigma о \lambda \nu ́ \mu \omega \nu$.
$\kappa \theta^{\prime}$ ．＇$\Omega_{S} \mathrm{Kaî} \mathrm{\sigma a} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{\sum} \mathrm{\epsilon ́} \mathrm{\xi} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\tau os} \mathrm{\delta} \mathrm{\omega ро} \mathrm{\delta ок} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{\theta} \mathrm{\epsilon is} \dot{v} \phi ’$

 $\tau \eta ิ s$ коí入ךs $\sum u \rho i ́ a s$.
$\lambda^{\prime}$ ．＇$\Omega_{s} \mathrm{Ká} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\sigma ıos} \mathrm{Kaí} \mathrm{\sigma a} \mathrm{\rho os} \mathrm{ả} \mathrm{\pi o} \mathrm{\theta avóv} \mathrm{\tau os} \mathrm{\epsilon is} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\eta ̀} \mathrm{\nu}$ ＇Iovסaíav ảvaßàs тท́v тє $\chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \nu ~ \epsilon ́ к а ́ к \omega \sigma \epsilon ~ к а i ~$

 $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i ̂ o s ~ \epsilon \prime \delta o \xi \in$ Kaббíc．
$\lambda \alpha$ ．Ma入íxov $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \grave{\eta} \tau о \hat{v} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ " H \rho \omega ́ \delta \eta v ~ \sigma \tau \alpha-~$


1 катєбт ${ }^{1} \alpha \mu \mu \in ́ v a \quad \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \eta{ }^{\prime}$ ．
${ }^{2}$＇Iovoaias AMW．

${ }^{5}+$ фородоүŋ́баs P．


 тòv Má入ıхov édoдoфóv $\eta \sigma \epsilon v$ FL．
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(xxv) The prowess of Antipater who fought eagerly in the battle, and his friendship with Caesar resulting therefrom, and how Caesar in his joy at the rictory greatly honoured Hyrcanus and permitted him to rebuild the walls ${ }^{a}$ of his native city
(xxvi) How he entrusted to Antipater the government of Judaea
(xxvii) The letters of Caesar and the decrees of the Senate concerning their friendship with the Jews
(xxviii) How Antipater left the supervision of affairs to his sons, in Galilee ${ }^{b}$ to Herod, and in Jerusalem to Phasael ${ }^{c}$

(xxix) How Sextus Caesar, being bribed by Herod as governor of Syria, made Herod great and honoured him, appointing him ruler of Coele-Syria
$180 \quad 54$
(xxx) How Cassius on Caesar's death went up to Judaea and ravaged the country and collected eight hundred talents from the Jews, and how Herod appeared to Cassius diligent in collecting the money
(xxxi) The death of Malichus, who had rebelled against Herod, which was brought about by Cassius' command ${ }^{d}$.

| 271 | 591 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 288 | 602 |

a One ms. " the ruined walls."

- Variant " Judaea."
c Variant " Philip."
" Variant (to whole section) " How Malichus treacherously killed Antipater by poisoning, assisted by Hyrcanus' butler who had been paid therefor ; how Herod at Cassius' order killed Malichus by treachery."
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 $\pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma a v \tau o s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \tau o ̂ ~ ' H \rho \omega ́ \delta o v . ' ~ 1 ~$
$\lambda \gamma^{\prime}$. इтратєía Пáp月 $\omega v$ єis इupiav, ка日’ $\hat{\eta} \nu$ тòv 'Apıбтoßoúdov viòv 'Avtízovov єis tìv ßaaideíav катท́үаүоข.
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#### Abstract

SECTIOS PAGE (xxxii) The execution by Antony, on reaching Syria after the victory in Macedonia, of the envoys from Judaea, which took place when Antony became indignant at their accusation of Herod, which act he committed when Herod had persuaded him thereto with money ${ }^{a}$. (xxxiii) The expedition of the Parthians to Syria, in the course of which they restored Antigonus, the son of Aristobulus, to the throne (xxxiv) How the Parthians took captive Hyrcanus and Herod's brother Phasael ${ }^{b}$ (xxxy) How Herod fled from there (Judaea) to Italy, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ and coming to Rome and appealing to Antony, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ promised him large sums of money and was appointed |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\{324$ |  |
| 327 | 620  <br> 1 622 |
| 330 | 622 |
| 342 | 628 |
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 'Iovסaías. ${ }^{1}$
 єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'Iovסaiav каi $\mu$ а́хך $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \nu \tau i ́ \gamma o v o v, ~$
之í入 $\omega \nu$ os.















 кир $\omega \sigma \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \eta \mathrm{L}$ L.


 є̀ $\gamma \in$ үо́vєє L .
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| by the Senate and Caesar as King of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| (xxxvi) The subsequent voyage of |  |  |
| Herod from Rome to Judaea and his battle with Antigonus, being accom- |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| panied by a Roman army and their com- | $39+$ | 656 |
| (xxxii) How, after Jerusalem was |  |  |
| lo, Antigonus was put to |  |  |
| eath by Sossius and Herod ${ }^{b}$ | 4.68 | 690 |

This book covers a period of thirty-two years.
a One ms. has, in place of this whole section, "How Hyrcanus and Phasael, who had gone as envoys to the Parthians, were seized by them and put in chains, and how Herod learned of their capture and escaped. How after Herod's flight the Parthians plundered the city of Jerusalem and the palace, and handed over Hyrcanus and Phasael in chains to Antigonus. Further, how Hyrcanus had his ears mutilated by Antigonus in order that he might not again hold the priesthood, and how Phasael preferred to slay himself in order that his foe might not accomplish this deed. How Herod after escaping from the Parthians came to Malchus the Arab king, and when the latter refused to receive him, proceeded through Egypt and safely reached the Romans. How Herod with the active help of Antony was named King of Judaca, this being sanctioned by Caesar and the Roman Senate."
${ }^{b}$ One ms. has, in place of this whole section, " How, when Silo and Sossius besieged the city with the Roman armies, it was captured ; and how Antigonus was put to death while Herod came into possession of the throne."
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The Date of the Higi Priest Simon the Just (the Righteous)
Selected literature :
Bloch, Heinrich, Die Quellen des Flavius Josephus. 1879. Pp. 147-150, 161-163.

Derenbourg, pp. 41-52.
Destinon, J., Die Quellen des Flavius Josephus, etc. 1882. Pp. 29-39.

Finkelstein i. 62-61, ii. 575-580.
The Anshe Keneset Ha-gedolah," ${ }^{J} B L 59$ (1940), $455-470$.
Hölscher, G., " Die Hohenpriesterliste bei Josephus," SB Heidelberger Akad. Wiss., 1939.
Moore, George F., " Simeon the Righteous," Jerish Studies in Memory of Israel Abrahams. 1927. Pp. 348-364.
Schürer i. 181-182 ; ii. 355 ff.
Willrich, JG, pp. 105-115.
Zeitlin, Solomon, art. in Hebrew in Ner Máarabi, 1925, pp. 137-141.
The last high priest mentioned in the Old Testament is Jaddua, a contemporary of Darius III and Alexander the Great (Neh. xii. 22). The high priest in the time of Seleucus IV c. 180 в.c. was Onias III, who figures prominently in 2 Maccabees (iii. 1 ff .). 732
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For the succession of high priests in the intervening period of about 150 years we are almost wholly dependent upon Josephus, who is supplemented to only a slight extent by rabbinic tradition.

In Books XI and XII Josephus gives the following scheme of high priests, which in a later passage (Ant. xx .261 ) he represents as based on written sources.
Onias I, successor of Jaddua, c. 300 b.c. (Ant. xi. 347). Simon the Just, son of Onias I, time of Ptolemy I (Ant. xii. 43, 157).
Eleazar, brother of Simon the Just, time of Ptolemy II (Ant. xii. 44).
Manasses, uncle of Eleazar (Ant. xii. 157).
Onias II, son of Simon the Just, time of Ptolemy IV', V (Ant. xii. 157).
Simon II, son of Onias II, time of Ptolemy V and Antiochus III (Ant. xii. 224).
To this list we may tentatively add the name of Hezekiah, mentioned in Ap.i. $18 \dot{7}^{\circ}$ as a contemporary of Ptolemy I ; he was either a high priest or assistant high priest ( $c f$. Ant. xii. 9 note $b$ ).

There are two things about this scheme that strike one as peculiar. 'The first is that the young son of Simon I should have been preceded not only by his uncle Eleazar (which in itself is not remarkable) but also by his uncle's uncle Manasses.

The second point, which here chiefly concerns us, is Josephus' attribution of the epithet " the Just " or " the Righteous " (Heb. has-saddiq) to the first priest named Simon (Heb. Sime ôn). Other sources pretty clearly indicate that Simon the Just was not Simon I but Simon II, who flourished about a century later.

It is true that one rabbinic tradition makes Simon
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the Just a contemporary of Alexander the Great (scholion to Megillath T'áanit with a parallel in Bab. Talmud, Yoma 69 a ; this story is translated in Appendix C to vol. vi, pp. $517-518$ ). But this variant of Josephus' story about the meeting of Jaddua and Alexander has little historical value, particularly so far as chronology is concerned; moreover it is quite possible that, as Zeitlin argues, the king in question was originally not Alexander but Antiochus III.

The other rabbinic (and Apocryphal) traditions point more clearly to the end of the third century b.c., not the end of the fourth, as the period when Simon the Just flourished. 'The relevant material may bricfly be summarized as follows.

Eeclesiasticus or the Wisdom of Sirach celebrates, near the end of the book (ch. l), the greatness of the high priest "Simon, son of Onias" as he presides over a solemn ceremony in the temple. The author is clearly writing of a contemporary and of a scene which he himself has witnessed, and the description of the high priest's activity and influence best fits the high priest whom rabbinic sources call Simon the Just. Now the book of Ecclesiasticus on internal grounds and on the evidence of the prologue has been dated by almost all scholars at c. 200 b.c. Thus we have one support for the assumption that Simon the Just lived around 200 b.c. and not a century earlier. The identity of Sirach's Simon with Simon the Just would be further strengthened if we assumed with Derenbourg that the reference in 1.2 to the high priest's ercetion of a wall and double colonnade in the temple is to be connected with a passage in the latter of Antiochus III (Ant. xii. 141) saying that the Seleucid king permits the work on the temple to be completed
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" including the porticoes and any other part that it may be necessary to build."

Again the rabbinic traditions prescrved in Tosephta Sotah xiii. 6-8, Jerus. 'Talmud Yoma 43 c, Bab. Talmud Yoma $39 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, Menahot 109 b about the high priest Onias who built a temple in Egypt state that he was the son of Simon the Just, and so indicate that Simon 1I, not Simon I, is meant.

Finally the succession of rabbinic authorities during the Hellenistic period as given in the Mishnah treatise Abot makes Simon the Just the first in a series of seven generations of teachers of whom Jose ben Joezer is in the third, Simeon ben Shetah is in the fifth, and Hillel and Shammai in the seventh. Since Jose ben Joezer was a contemporary of the high priest Alcimus (161 в.c.), Simeon ben Shetah a contemporary of Alexander Jannaeus and Alexandra, and Hillel and Shammai of Herod the Great, it is obvious that in this passage Simon the Just must be placed at c. 200 в.c.

Less unambiguous is the statement in Abot i. 2 that Simon the Just was one of the survivors (miš-ře $\left.{ }^{-} \hat{a r e} \hat{e}\right)$ of the Great Assembly ( $k^{e}$ eneset hag-gè -dôlāh). The constitution and dates of the Great Assembly are problems which the vagueness of rabbinic tradition eoncerning this body makes it difficult to solve. Finkelstein has plausibly argued that the Great Assembly was the body convolicd by Simon the Just c. 200 b.c. to promulgate certain measures by which the intcrests of the plebcians in the Jewish state would better be served than they had been in the precxisting Gerousia. But in that case we should have to assume either that the Mishnah has here, as elsewhere, been chronologically inexact in making Simon the Just one of the "survivors " of this body or else
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that the word ${ }^{2} e^{\prime}$ 'arê " survivors " is a scribal error for râłe " heads"-an assumption that is made by Zeitlin. In any case the evidence of Eeclesiasticus taken together with the majority of rabbinic passages seems sufficient to warrant the assumption that Simon the Just lived c. 200 b.c. and that Josephus is mistaken in making him a contemporary of Ptolemy I.

## APPENDIX C

Tile Early Seleucid Rulers and the Jews
Selected literature :
Bevan, II. Sel. ii. 165-167.
Krauss, S., " Antioche," REJ 45 (1902), 27-29.
Niese, GGMS i. $39 \pm$ n. 4.
Schürer iii. 79-84.
Tscherikower, pp. 296, 335-339.
Willrich, $J G$ pp. 29-33, 37.
Crkundenfülschung, p. 16.
The general problem of the civic rights and privileges enjoyed by the Jews in the Hellenistic-Roman Diaspora will be discussed in an appendix to the last volume of this translation. The present appendix is concerned only with the statements made by Josephus concerning the Jewish policies of the Seleucid rulers before Antiochus III (on the latter see the following appendix).

Only two carly Seleucid kings are specifically mentioned in this connexion by Josephus : Seleucus 1 Nicator, the founder of the dynasty, and Antiochus II Theos.

With the former of these the following passages deal. Ant. xii. 119-12t, Selcucus Nicator granted the Jews citizenship " in the cities which he founded in Asia and Lower Syria and in his capital Antioch vol. ViI

2 A 2
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itself, and deelared them to have equal privileges with the Macedonians and Greeks who were settled in these cities, so that this citizenship of theirs remains to this very day; and the proof of this is the fact that he gave orders that those Jews who were unwilling to use foreign oil should receive a fixed sum of money from the gymnasiarchs to pay for their own kind of oil ; and when the people of Antioch proposed to revoke this privilege, Mucianus, who was then governor of Syria, maintained it." Joscphus goes on to say that similarly Titus and Vespasian refused to deprive the Alexandrian and Antiochian Jews of citizenship. Ap. ii. 39, " Our Jewish residents in Antioch are called Antiochenes, having been granted rights of citizenship by its founder Seleucus. Similarly, those at Ephesus and throughout the rest of Ionia bear the same name as the indigenous citizens, a right which they received from Alexander's successors ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ס८aס́ó $\omega \nu$ )." To these two passages we may add another which touches on the history of the Jews in Antioch before the Roman period, B.J. vii. 43-45, " But it was at Antioch that they specially congregated, partly owing to the greatness of that eity, but mainly because the kings after Antiochus had enabled them to live there in security. For although Antiochus surnamed Epiphanes sacked Jerusalem and plundered the temple, his successors on the throne restored to the Jews of Antioch all such votive offerings as were made of bronze, to be laid up in their synagogue, and moreover, granted them citizen rights on an equality with the Greeks."

In trying to determine the historicity of the claim that Seleucus I gave the Jews citizenship and other
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privileges in Antioch and elsewhere, it is well to remember that both Ant. and Ap. which specifically make this claim are suspected of containing exaggerations of an apologetic nature to a greater extent than is B.J.. which says nothing about Seleucus Nicator's eoncern for the Jews. Moreover in B.J.'s statement that the kings after Antiochus enabled the Jews to live at Antioch in security the Antiochus referred to is much more likely to be Antiochus III than Antiochus I, as Dr. Thackeray suggests in his note. The context indicates that Josephus is speaking of the friendly Jewish policy of all the Seleucids after Antiochus III, to which that of Antiochus Epiphanes formed the sole exception. Morcover an Antiochus without surname is mueh more likely to be the wellknown Antiochus III the Great than the obscure Antiochus I.

Furthermore it is unlikely that there were enough Jews in Syria and Asia during the reign of Seleucus I to warrant special legislation on their behalf. It is true that Jews were beginning to settle in Egypt in considerable numbers soon after 300 B.c., but this does not seem to have been the case in Seleucid territory. Willrich and others have correetly pointed out that as late as the time of the Maecabees the Jewish settlement in Gilead was small enough to be brought en masse to Judaea. Other Apocryphal and rabbinic sources (see below) indicate that the Jewish community in Antioch first attained importance about 200 в.с. Nor is there reason to believe that the Jews of Palestine were of sufficient interest to Seleueus I for him to take favourable action toward their eo-religionists in Syria and Asia. Onc might argue, to be sure, that the Jewish settlement in Babylonia was of
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some importance to Seleucus, but, as Tscherikower reminds us, there is no reason to believe that Josephus' sources made any reference to the Babylonian Jews of that period. Finally, it may be noted that in another passage, $B . J$. vii. 107 ff ., which relates that Titus refused to expel the Jews from Antioch or to remove the bronze tablets on which their privileges were inscribed, there is no mention of Seleucus at all.

Another point to be considered is Josephus' statement in Ant. that proof of Seleucus I's interest in the Jews is the fact that he ordered the gymnasiarchs to give money for oil to those Jews who were unwilling to use pagan oil. That some of the Seleucid rulers did make such grants is proved by the inscription cited in one of the notes to this passage (p. 61 note $b$ ), and that the Jews of the Diaspora were reluctant to use pagan oil is shown by other passages in Josephus, cited in the same note, and by the discussion of this matter in the Bab. Talmud Abodah Zarah 36 a, b. The latter passage reports a controversy between the Hillelites and Shammaites, dating from about the end of the first century b.c. In a private communication Professor Louis Ginzberg expresses the opinion that many of the regulations discussed in this passage were " not new ordinances but reinforcements." He does not, however, conclude from the Talmudic statements that the Jews of the Diaspora abstained from the use of pagan oil as early as the time of Seleucus I. On the other hand, Krauss, who cites this and other 'Talmudic passages, expresses doubt that there was a considerable Jewish Diaspora in Syria in the time of the early Seleucid rulers. The rabbinic traditions about Antioch point to a separate golah (Diaspora) in
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the time of Onias III but not earlier. The grant of oil, therefore, is more plausibly to be ascribed to Antiochus III than to Scleucus I. Here, too, as in the case of other pro-Jewish enactments attributed by Josephus to the founders of the Ptolemaic and Seleucid dynasties, an apologetic motive is to be suspected. Whether Willrich is right in tracing this passage to Jason of Cyrene (on whose work 2 Maccabees is based) is a point to be discussed in another place.

We may now turn to another passage which some seholars have considered to refer to the Jewish policy of Antioehus II Theos (261-247 в.c.). In Ant. xii. 125-127 Josephus tells us that the Greeks of Ionia agitated against the Jews and petitioned Mareus Agrippa (during the years 16-13 в.c.) that " they alone might enjoy the citizenship which Antiochus, the grandson of Seleucus, called Theos by the Greeks, had given them, and claimed that if the Jews were to be their fellows, they should worship the Ionians' gods "; the passage goes on to say that after a hearing at which Nicolas of Damascus spoke as advocate of the Jews, Agrippa gave his opinion that it was not lawful for him to make a new rule. This passage must be considered in connexion with Ant. xvi. $27-60$ which relates in greater detail how Nicolas successfully pleaded for the preservation of the privileges of the Jews in Ionia (chicfly matters of religious observance), which Agrippa was the more inclined to respect because of his friendship with Herod. In the latter passage it is elearly the privileges and ediets of toleration which the Romans had granted that are involved, not the eivic rights or privileges which the Jews claimed to have received from the Seleucids. It is
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therefore reasonable to suppose that the phrase " the citizenship which Antiochus . . ' Theos ... had given them " refers to the democratic constitution set up in the cities of Asia by Antiochus II (attested by other Greek sources cited by Schürer) and that "them" refers to the Greeks and not to the Jews. If we take Ant. xii. 125 -127 together with Ant. xvi. 27 ff ., we see that the Ionian Greeks were attempting to have Agrippa revoke the edicts of toleration granted the Jews by the Romans, presumably in the time of Julius Caesar (cf. Ant. xiv. 190 ff .), which the anti-Jewish party claimed gave the Jews as great a measure of civic right as the Greeks had been enjoying as citizens since the time of Antiochus II. Here, as Wellhausen and Tscherikower remind us, Josephus confuses citizenship with privileges and grants of religious freedom. But he does not plainly say that it was Antiochus II who had given the Jews citizenship, though his language is slightly ambiguous, perhaps intentionally so. Nor should undue stress be placed on the word $\mu \epsilon \tau \in \chi^{\omega} \sigma \iota$ in this passage (restored from Epitome for mss. $\mu \in \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda\left(\omega \sigma_{\ell}\right.$ ), as though it implied participation by Jews and Greeks in citizenship, since its use in similar passages taken from Nicolas shows that it has the technical sense of " enjoy " (civic rights, ete.).

In conclusion, we may say that it is very improbable that the Jewish communities in Seleucid Syria and Asia were granted cither citizenship or special privileges before the time of Antiochus III.
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## Antiochus III and the Jews (Ant. xii. 129-153)
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During the struggle for the possession of Palestine and Transjordan between Antiochus the Great and Ptolemy Epiphanes between 201 and 198 b.c. the majority of Jews seem to have sided with the Seleucids (sce the works listed in Appendix l:). Accordingly, Josephus tells us, Antiochus rewarded the Jews by extending certain privilcges to them in respect of taxes, freedom of religion, maintenance of the temple cult and the like. The three documents which contain these royal grants and privileges are cited in xii. $138-153$, and have naturally aroused a great deal of discussion among modern scholars, who have expressed divergent opinions about their genuineness and accuracy.

Before examining the documents in detail, it may be well to make some general observations about the nature of Hellenistic royal letters and Josephus' use of sources bearing on the position of the Jews in the Hellenistic Diaspora.

On the basis of such a collection as Welles' Royal Correspondence, which contains seventy-five texts principally from the Seleucid and Attalid kingdoms, and other collections of scores of papyri from the Ptolemaic period, it should be possible to determine with a fair degree of assurance whether the enactments ascribed to Scleucid and Ptolemaic kings by Josephus and other Hellenistic Jewish writers are obvious forgeries or not. (Incidentally, these same collections enable us to distinguish to some extent between Ptolemaic and Seleucid epistolary formulas.)
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But the problem is more complicated than a mere matter of obvious forgery or obvious authenticity. We are dealing with material that does not fall into the category of the obvious. True, it is of some help to find that most of the royal letters and memoranda cited by Jewish writers closely conform to the pattern of authentic documents of this kind. But this conformity is only partial evidence of their genuineness. There is no reason why Hellenistic Jewish fabricators should not have made use of epistolary manuals, the existence of which is attested by ancient authors, to devise imaginary letters concerning the Jews, or have copied the royal decrees inscribed on stone and set up in the public squares of Hellenistic cities, or have altered genuine decrees by inserting favourable references to the Jews. On the other hand, there is no reason to condemn as wholly spurious a Ptolemaic or Seleucid decree cited by Josephus or an Apocryphal author merely because in some respects it deviates from the forms known to us from inscriptions and papyri. In the first place, we are not at present in a position to fix the chronological and local variations of the style of Hellenistic chancelleries with absolute accuracy. In the second place, we must allow for the occasional possibility that the king may have made informal additions to the formal letter drawn up by his official, or have issued a general statement as a temporary measure without bothering with the conventional forms, or, in the case of a Seleucid enactment, may have ordered that a form of religious toleration carlier extended to one minority group be applied to another group, actually quite different in culture, but supposed by the king to have the same system of sacrifices, cult restrictions and the likc.

## JEWISH ANTIQUITIES, XII

Such possibilities will be concretely illustrated below. At this point it may suffice to point out that the methods of Formgeschichte and Gattungsgeschichte eannot altogether replace the study of historical context and common sense.

As for the general reliability of such an apologisthistorian as Josephus and of such theological historians as the authors of the Letter of Aristeas, 2 Maccabees and similar works in matters relating to the treatment of Jews by the successors of Alexander the Great, it will be freely admitted by a conscientious seholar that the documents eited by them must be treated with a certain amount of scepticism in view of the fact that these writers undoubtedly tend to exaggerate the friendliness shown toward the Jews by the earlier Ptolemies and Seleucids, and that Josephus (or his source) has, whether carelessly or deliberately, confused special privilege with citizenship and civic equality. But such proper scepticism should not make us unrealistic. A grant or ordinance which may justly be suspected of spuriousness if attributed to Ptolemy I or Seleucus I may have every probability of genuineness if attributed to Antiochus III. The historical setting and documentary parallels must be carefully evaluated.

In this connexion a word may be said about the view held by several scholars that most of the proJewish royal Hellenistic decrees cited in Josephus, Aristeas and other Jewish works are of a picce with the pro-Jewish decrees ascribed to Persian kings in the biblical book of Ezra, and that both sets of documents are spurious. The remarkable similarity between them in such details as the immunities and privileges of temple officials, subventions to the
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saerificial expenses, etc., is in itself no proof that the Hellenistic decrees are the invention of Jewish apologists. In the first place, recent independent investigation of Achaemenian policy by such competent Iranian scholars as H. H. Schaeder, has tended to support the arguments of earlier scholars, such as Eduard Meyer, that the Aramaic decrees in Ezra are genuine. In the second place, classical scholars, including some who have no special interest in Jewish history, have shown that in matters of imperial organization the Seleueids to a large extent followed the policy of the Achaemenians, so that it should not surprise us to find them granting the same privileges to the temple at Jerusalem as the Persian kings are said to have granted. The preceding arguments are, of course, secondary to the argument based on parallels found in genuinc inscriptions of Hellenistic kings, which are mentioned below. A third point that might be mentioned is the fact that edicts of religious tolerance, in general similar to those ascribed to the later Seleucids, were granted by the early Roman emperors, whom we have no reason to consider as innovators in this respect.

Turning now to the documents cited in Ant. xii. 138-153, we shall first review briefly the views of those scholars who have expressed only a general or summary opinion about their genuineness (Schubart, Laqueur, Wellhausen, Nicse, Gractz, Schürer, Klausner, Dubnow, Bevan, Meyer, Rostovtzeff), and then consider the arguments of those who have examined onc or more of the three documents in some detail (Willrich, Büchler, Tscherikower, Zueker, Bickermann).

It is disappointing to find that so competent a
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scholar as Schubart in the course of a long and instructive discussion of Hellenistic royal letters dismisses the letters of Antiochus III to Ptoleny and Zeuxis as spurious without attempting to analyse them or to set up eriteria of style applicable to them. Laqueur, dealing with a similarly broad subject, is a little more helpful in showing how the wholly negative criticism of Willrich and Kolbe (concerning the documents in 2 Maccabees) must be considerably restricted in the light of relevant parallels from inscriptions and the bearing of the specific situation.

Wellhausen, who holds that in general Josephus' statements about the policy of Hellenistic kings toward the Jews are to be suspected of exaggeration and apologetic tendencies, refers in detail only to Antiochus III's letter to Zeuxis on the transportation of two thousand Jewish families from Mesopotamia to Lydia and Phrygia, and questions its authenticity on the ground that the names of the colonists are not given. This objection will be considered below.

Niese's opinion is that the form and contents of all three documents are strongly suspect, but he gives no detailed argument except that Josephus obviously considers the Zeuxis letter to have been written after the conquest of Jerusalem, whereas in fact it must have been written before this. He also refers to Willrich's criticisms (sce below), which, however, he says are only negatively valid, presumably questioning Willrich's view that the documents are Jewish inventions of Roman date. Niese suggests that the letter to Zeuxis was put out by the Jews of Phrygia and Lydia who wished to base their claim to civic equality with the Macedonian katoikoi on the alleged colonization by Antiochus III ; on this see below.
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Graetz seems to accept the genuineness of two of the three documents, assuming with Grotius that the privileges ascribed therein to Antiochus are identical with the royal $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \alpha$ mentioned in 2 Macc. iv. 11 as having been granted to " John, father of Eupolemus." The second document (§146) he admits is of doubtful genuineness, but does not explain why.

Schürer, whose work is concerned primarily with the period after Antiochus III, only incidentally mentions the king's grants to the temple and cult, and assumes that they are historical.

Klausner takes for granted the authenticity of all the documents and confines himself, in citing evidence, to the argument that the repair of the temple mentioned in Sirach (see Appendix B) indicates the interest of Antiochus in the temple.

Dubnow also accepts the three documents as authentic, remarking in a footnote that Niese and Wellhausen have questioned their genuineness but arguing that they should not be wholly rejected merely because certain details are improbable. He further ventures the rash suggestion that Josephus has taken the documents from Polybius, who is quoted in the preceding sections of Ant. xii.

Bevan, as usual, takes a sensible view of the problem and balances the probabilities. His brief comments are worth quoting in full. "I incline to doubt, with Willrich (Judaica, p. 58) and Büchler (Tobiaden u. Oniaden, p. 143 f .), the genuineness of the letters of Antiochus III, given by Joseph. Arch. xii. § 138 f., not so much because of any impossibility in them (which I do not think Willrich or Büchler succeeds in making out), but because of the readiness with which such documents were forged in post-Maccabaean
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times (see Willrich, Juden $u$. Gricchen, Judaica, passim). If, however, they are not genuine, they are forged by some one familiar with the history of the time and the style of such reseripts. He knew of Zeuxis, the governor of Lydia (perhaps from Polybius), and Ptolemy, the son of Thraseas, the governor of Coele-Syria. (In objecting that Ptolemy was made governor in 218, Juden u. Griechen, p. 40, Willrich is thinking of the date in which he was in the Egyptian service, Polyb. v. 65, 3. That he deserted to the Seleucid in 218 with Ceraeas and Hippolochus, Polyb. v. 70,10 , is a conjecture only. When he was made governor of Coele-Syria there is absolutely nothing to show.) He is also right in exhibiting the Jews as friendly to Antiochus. The detail of the Egyptian garrison, not mentioned in our fragments of Polybius, may therefore be taken as true. That Antioehus should in such circumstances have shown some favours to the Jews and made presents to the Temple is in itself extremely likely." After reading this, one is inclined to ask the judicious historian of the Seleueid dynasty why the privileges which he admits Antiochus most probably granted to the Jews cannot be just those recorded in the letters cited by Josephus? In other words Bevan seems less doubtful of the genuineness of the documents than he himself claims to be.

Eduard Meyer, who has no marked sympathy with the Jews cither of antiquity or modern times, objects to what he calls the unjustified doubts of the authentieity of the documents expressed by Schubart, and charges that the doubting modern critics of Josephus cannot " feel themselves" into the ancient situation. As a parallel to the restrictions on foreigners approach-
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ing the temple precinct mentioned in the second document, he cites the well-known Greek inscription of Roman times (OGIS 598, cf. Ant. xv. 417), $\mu \eta \theta^{\prime} \mathrm{\epsilon} v a$
 фи́ктоv каі̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ ßódov, ктд. Meyer admits that Josephus exaggerates in saying that Antiochus published his programma throughout the entire kingdom.

Rostovtzeff does not commit himself about the geruineness of the letter to Zeuxis, which alone he notices, but remarks that its style is singularly like the letter of Antiochus to the city of Amyzon, and adds that " there is no doubt that the letter gives us exactly the normal procedure when the Seleucids founded a military colony." The extant fragments of the Amyzon inscription (Welles Nr. 40) read,

 added that the attribution of the Amyzon inscription to Antiochus III is not certain but probable. Welles takes it to be the writing of a royal official of the king when the latter was active in Caria before the Syrian campaign of 201 в.с.

In considering the more detailed treatments of other recent scholars, we shall find it most convenient to take each of the three documents separately, first reporting the negative criticisms of Willrich and Büchler, and then giving the counter-arguments of Tscherikower, Zucker and Bickermann, and the present writer's as well.

## I. The Letter to Ptolemy (xii. 138-144)

Willrich in some places expresses the opinion that Josephus has taken the Scleucid decrees from such
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apologists as Jason of Cyrene and Pseudo-Hecataeus, and in other places points out that some of the enactments attributed to Antiochus III and earlier kings really date from Roman times; but his argument that Jason of Cyrene and Pseudo-Hecatacus wrote in the first century в.с. is far from convincing. The main points of his argument against the authenticity of the Ptolemy letter are as follows. The addressee, Ptolemy son of Thraseas, is known to have been governor of Coele-Syria before 218 в.с. but not later. (This argument has been answered by Bevan, see above.) The pro-Jewish provisions of the letter bear a suspicious resemblance to those attributed to Ptolemy Philadelphus in Aristeas and to Persian kings in the book of Ezra. (This argument too has been broadly dealt with in the first part of the present Appendix.) The porticoes of the temple which the king offers to have repaired indicate that the temple of Herod is really in the writer's mind. The poll-tax was not known in the Seleucid kingdom and was first introdueed under Quirinius. (On this point see note $a$ to $§ 142$ on p. 74 and also the diseussions of Tscherikower and Bickermann below.) The return of Jewish captives is questionable, for it is difficult to see how Jews could have been taken off if the Egyptian garrison in Jerusalem was forced to surrender; this section, therefore, is to be suspected of imitating the reference in Aristeas to the freeing of Jewish captives in Egypt by Ptolemy Philadelphus. (Incidentally, the historicity of the latter story is not wholly disproved by the recent studies of slavery in Ptolemaic Egypt by W. L. Westermann and others.) A final objcction raised by Willrich, in common with some other scholars, is that there is no
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mention of the Jewish high priest in the letter, as might be expected in an official document.

An even more detailed and extended attack on the genuineness of the letter is made by Büchler, who not only takes account of the criticisms of Wellhausen and Willrich but in some cases improves on them or replaces them by other criticisms. Büchler's arguments are ingenious and elaborate, but some of his statements are inaccurate, and his unsystematic skipping from document to document combined with extreme dialectical subtlety results in some confusion of intention. In addition to these defects is the artificiality of treatment involved in his fixed notion that most of the Hellenistic decrees cited in Josephus reflect the Jewish-Samaritan controversies of the first century в.c. The substance of his theory about this particular document seems to be that a Jewish apologist writing in Herodian times has altered an original Samaritan forgery which ascribed to Antiochus III certain grants made to the Samaritan temple on Mt. Gerizim. The following are the salient points of his argument. It is noteworthy that in the section taken from Polybius that precedes the letter to Ptolemy and relates the conquest of CoeleSyria by Antiochus there is special emphasis on Samaria (not apparent to the present writer), and one may infer that $\$ \S 135-136$ originally dealt with the conquest of Samaria rather than of Judaea: the former was of greater military importance than Jerusalem, and also had an Egyptian garrison. The refcrence in $\S 141$ to the importing of wood for the temple is more appropriate to Samaria than to Jerusalem. On the other hand, Büchler continues, the letter makes the city and temple identical, which
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does not fit the Samaritan theory, since the fortress of Samaria was separate from the temple on Mt. Gerizim except in the time of Gabinius, $c f$. Ant. xiv.
 connected with priests and Levites as in the decrec. The assurance of religious and political freedom for the Jews given in § 142 pre-supposes that restrictions of this kind had been imposed on the Jews shortly before, but no such restrictions are likely to have been imposed by either the Ptolemics or the Seleucids before Antioehus IV Epiphanes. After going through the list of persccutions in the period after Antioehus Epiphanes, Büchler finds that the implied restrictions and the damage to the temple resulting from the siege must have been those due to Pompey in 63 в.с. He therefore concludes that the letter reflects the liberal enactments of Julius Cacsar, who, for example, remitted Jewish taxes for a time. As for the Jewish captives mentioned in the letter, they were probably those taken to Rome by Pompey; their release may have been due to Mark Antony, who is said by Dio Cassius to have freed the captives taken by Cassius. It is no aceident, says Büchler, that the grants actually made by Julius Caesar were ascribed by the Jewish author of the decree to Antiochus III, since he meant the document to be an answer to the Samaritans who boasted that Antiochus IV had shown special favour to their temple on Mt. Gerizim. The reference to the repair of the porticoes of the temple is to the Herodian temple, but this preserved the arehitectural features of a much earlier time ; so too the Levites had carlier enjoyed special privileges. The omission of the name of the high priest is a characteristic of forged decrees

## APPENDIX D

ascribed by their Jewish authors to pagan rulers, as is seen from the Aramaic documents in Ezra, which were taken as a model by Hellenistic Jewish apologists. (See the comment on Willrich and the first part of this Appendix, and on Bickermann, below.) Perhaps, Büchler adds, the high priest is not mentioned here because Hyrcanus II did not enjoy exemption from taxation. The mention of the senate under the name of $\gamma \in \rho \circ \sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} a$ points to the Egyptian origin of the decree.

Let us now consider the counter-arguments of Tscherikower, Zucker and Bickermann (the last of whom has made so thorough an investigation of the letter to Ptolemy that he has left little to add).

Tscherikower points out that Antiochus III's liberality to the temple is inherently probable in view of the tolerance shown by Ptolemies and Seleucids to the religions of minority groups; as an example of this he cites the decree of a King Antiochus concerning the temple of Zeus (=Baal) in Baetocaece in Syria (OGIS $262=$ Welles 70 ). This decree is dated by Welles $c$. the end of the first century в.c. and is translated by him as follows. " King Antiochus to Euphemus, greeting. The inclosed memorandum has been issued. See then that its provisions are carried out as far as concerns you. Report having been brought to me of the ' power' of the god Zeus of Baetocaece, it has been decided to grant him for all time the place whence the ' power' of the god issues, the village of Baetocaece-formerly the property of Demetrius the son of Demetrius and grandson of Mnaseas, . . . of the Apamean satrapy -with all its property and possessions according to the existing surveys and with the harvest of the
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present year, so that the revenue from this may be spent by the priest chosen by the god in the customary manner for the monthly sacrifices and the other things which increase the dignity of the temple, and also that there may be held each month on the fifteenth and thirtieth days fairs free from taxation; (it has been decided further) that the temple should be inviolable and the village exempt from billeting, as no objection has been raised; that anyone who should violate any of the above provisions should be held guilty of impiety; and that copics (of this memorandum) should be inscribed on a stone stele and placed in the same temple. It will be necessary then to write to the usual officials so that these provisions may be carried out."

Here a word of caution is in place concerning Tscherikower's use of this decree. While it is of value in furnishing a model of the Seleucid chancellery style in such matters, the reader may be reminded that it was probably issued by a Seleucid king who was in greater need of support from a local community and therefore more anxious to conciliate it than was the case with Antiochus III who was dealing with the former subjects of his Ptolemaic rival. However, as Bevan has remarked, it is very likely that Antiochus III made an effort to please the Jews, and if he did so, would have chosen some such means as his successor did in trying to please the pcople of Baetocaece.

Tscherikower further argues, convincingly enough, that the tax-exemption and return of the captives mentioned in the letter to Ptolemy were in keeping with the policy of Hellenistic kings, and have a parallel in Antiochus III's treatment of Lysimachia
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in Thrace (cf. Appian, Syria 1). This, then, would make unnecessary Büchler's assumption that these grants were originally made by Julius Caesar and Mark Antony. Against Willrich's objection that poll-taxes were not known in the Scleucid kingdom under Antiochus III Tscherikower argues that we know too little about Seleucid taxes to be dogmatic on this point (see also Bickermann below) ; moreover, even if it were true that the Jews at that time paid taxes only through the high priest, as Willrich claims, there is no good reason why the Seleucid king should not have intervened on occasion. These considerations seem to dispose of some of the chief objections raised against the general trustworthiness of the letter to Ptolemy.

Like Eduard Meyer and Tscherikower, Zucker also finds support for the historicity of the documents in parallels from Hellenistic decrees, e.g. SEG ii. 663. He notes that in distinction from the Persian decrees quoted in Eara vi-rii, Antiochus' grant of exemption from taxes for the gerousia and scribes is something new ; he further supposes that from the earlier Seleucid point of view the gerousia corresponded to the boule of the Hellenistic city-states, but that in the time of Antiochus III the Jewish gerousia must have been a council of temple officials assisting the high priest, something like the sacred collegium of the Roman empire, hence a iepù ßovdí. Particularly interesting is Zucker's citation of a verse from Judith (xi. 13-14) which refers to the authority of the gerousia over the temple revenues. In citing the Baetocaece decree as a parallel, he points out that in it taxexemption is granted for only one year, so that Antiochus III's grant for three ycars is exceptional.
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Zucker concludes by expressing the opinion, quite plausible in the present writer's judgment, that the tax-exemption granted to the temple officials was a tradition inherited by the Seleucids from the Persian kings.

To Bickermann we owe the most complete and valuable study of the letter to Ptoleny. Some of the arguments he advanees in defence of its genuineness have been anticipated by one or another of the seholars mentioned above; aceordingly only the more important of the new arguments he has given will be summarized, and no attempt will be made to inelude all the textual comments, some of them very useful, which he has made.

Bickermann regards the letter to Ptolemy as the "Seleucid charter of Jerusalem," and is chiefly interested in trying to show its political motivation and results. He first stresses the importance of the Jews' services to Antiochus III in furnishing him with provisions, as is indieated by a passage in Polybius, v . 70. 5 (218 в.c.), and in helping to dislodge the Egyptian garrison from the citadel N.W. of the temple, as is indicated by Porphyry ap. Jerome on Daniel xi. 15, "For a long time Antiochus with the help of the Jews besieged the garrison established by Scopas in the citadel of Jerusalem."

He then shows that in the first part of the letter the friendly acts of the Jews are arranged in crescendo order, which is in aecord with good chancellery style, of. Welles Nrs. 22, 71; furthermore the expression of royal gratitude for sueh services is a feature of official Hellenistic style. Antiochus shows his gratitude in two ways, by restoring the city and by repopulating it. As parallels to these acts, Bieker-
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mann cites the passage in Appian (see above) and the collection of texts published by Holleaux in BCH, 1924, pp. 30 ff .

Dealing with the " dispositions " in the second part of the letter, Bickermann calls attention to the fact that viv $\alpha \beta \xi \iota$ in $\S 140$ is used in the sense of "aid," "contribution " (cf. OGIS 1. 13), whereas in Ptolemaic Greek the word means " salary "; thus he disposes of the theory of Büchler and Reinach that the document is a fabrication of Egyptian Jews. That Antiochus III should have given money for the temple instead of gifts in kind, as did Darius and Artaxerxes according to Ezra vi-vii (here Bickermann has made a slip; Artaxerxes gave money, not gifts in kind, according to Ezra vii. 15 ff.) is in keeping with the Hellenistic practice of adaeratio.

On the problem of taxation Bickermann, who is a leading authority on the subject, admits that we have no direct evidence for the poll-tax in Seleucid times (see above), but points out that this tax is named among the sources of income of the satraps (read " kings" ?) in Pseudo-Aristotle's Economics 1346 a, a work which reflects conditions in Asia under the Diadochi. He also argues that there is nothing remarkable in the fact that Antiochus' letter is addressed to the governor of Coele-Syria and not directly to the Jews, since a number of instances are known of the former practice though it is less usual than the latter; he refers to Welles Nr. 9 and to 1 Macc. xi. 32, 2 Macc. xi. 22.

Bickermann reconstructs the political situation as follows. In conformity with the principles of Greek public law Antiochus III everywhere regulated the status of reconquered cities. After taking Jerusalem
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he did the same, and rewarded the Jews for the services they had rendered him in his war with Ptolemy Epiphanes. In the Greek polis re-establishment of the civie constitution meant autonomy, more or less. In the case of Jerusalem it meant royal recognition of the authority of the Jewish constitution which was nothing else than the law of Moses. From this followed such enactments as the interdiction of aceess to the temple for non-Jews. In making these grants to the Jews Antiochus was following the example of his Hellenistic predecessors, who in turn had followed the precedent set by the Persian kings. Thus the letter to Ptolemy formed the Seleucid " charter " of Jerusalem, and was probably renewed by Seleucus IV and Antiochus IV, but eventually revoked by the latter.

More speculative is Bickermann's treatment of the gifts made by Antiochus to the temple. He distinguishes between the daily saerifices made on behalf of the Jewish people and paid for by them, and the burnt-offering sacrificed on behalf of the king and paid for by him. But, argues Biekermann, Antiochus' eontribution amounted to about twice as much as was needed for the royal saerifices according to Talmudic estimates; hence we may suppose that the king not only paid the expense of the daily sacrifiee made in his name but also some of the expense of the Tamid sacrifice made on behalf of the Jews. For Hellenistic parallels to such contributions he refers to Holleaux's collection of texts in BCH, 1924.

One more important detail is interestingly discussed by Biekermann, namely the absence of the high priest's name in the letter to Ptolemy. He points out that the Jewish state, being an aristocracy,
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was represented by the gerousia in foreign relations. The high priest is not mentioned in the Persian decrees or in the Seleucid documents quoted in 1 and 2 Maccabces before the time of Jonathan, whereas the gerousia continues to be mentioned beside the high priests in doeuments from the later Hasmonaean period. With this interpretation of the status of the Jewish senate compare that of Zucker above ; the two views are not necessarily in conflict, since both Zucker and Bickermann would presumably recognize that in the time of Antiochus III the high priest shared authority over the temple with the gerousia. Bickermann also remarks that in distinction from the Persian kings, who exempted all the clerics of the temple from taxation, Antiochus III limited taxexemption to the priests and two classes of Levites-a limitation that he believes to be in accord with the policy of Hellenistic rulers toward the oriental clergy.

The reader has had placed before him the chief arguments for and against the genuineness of all or most of the first document ascribed to Antiochus III by Josephus. Although certainty is not likely to be attained in such a matter, I believe that the weight of evidence supports those scholars who accept Antiochus' letter to Ptolemy as an actual decree issued by the king soon after his conquest of CoeleSyria.

## II. The Ritual Interdictions (xii. 145-146)

More of a puzzle in some ways than the letter to Ptolemy is the brief section purporting to be a proclamation ( $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a$ ) which Antiochus III published " throughout the entire kingdom " and in which nonJews are forbidden to enter the temple enclosure,
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and all persons are forbidden to bring into Jerusalem the flesh or hides of horses, mules, assee, etc., under penalty of a fine.

The mere prohibition of entrance to the temple enclosure is not remarkable; such a prohibition, under penalty of death, existed in Roman times, as is proved not only by the statement of Josephus in Ant. xv. 417 but also by the discovery of copics of the original inscription erected in the temple precinct (see above on Eduard Meyer and $c f$. Schürer ii. 272 and Iliffe in QSDAP vi. 1936, 1-3). On the fines to be paid to Jewish communities for violation of their religious statutes see note $b$ on p. 76 of this volume. But that the Jews at this time or any time should have objected to the bringing into Jerusalem of horses, asses, mules, etc., alive or dead is incredible. This fact makes it impossible to believe that the document is a Jewish fabrication, as some scholars have claimed ; why should any Jew, however zealous for the prestige of his temple and sacred city, have invented such an unlikely detail? It has been pointed out that the Jews did not eat such animals ; it should be added that they did not use them as sacrificial animals cither, so that there would have been no point in forging a prohibition of their importation into Jerusalem, especially when a good many non-Jews must have known that such animals were permitted to serve as beasts of burden in the city.

Büchler's theory that the document reflects the Jewish-Samaritan controversies of the first century b.c. over the respective merits of the temple in Jerusalem and the temple on Mt. Gerizim is not very convincing. Against this complicated assumption that Josephus' Jewish source has recast an
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original Samaritan polemic seeking to show that Antiochus Epiphanes, the desecrator of the temple in Jerusalem, had been very scrupulous in respecting the Levitical prescriptions of the Gerizim temple, it may be argued that such an exaggerated Samaritan claim is inherently improbable, and that even if such a claim had been made by a Samaritan writer, it is difficult to believe that a Jewish apologist would have been naive enough to make the imaginary restrictions apply to Jerusalem.

Tscherikower, who upholds the genuineness of the document, attempts to account for the curious restriction as well as for the statement about the publishing of the proclamation throughout the entire kingdom by assuming that the decree has been preserved in an imperfect and incomplete form. This is probably true, but does not quite satisfactorily explain the statement about the importation of the animals. I venture to suggest another explanation, on the assumption that the document is not a fabrication. My suggestion is that Antiochus gave orders to the official in charge of such matters to draw up a statement protecting the sanctity of the temple, as he had presumably been requested to do by some of the Jewish leaders in touch with the Seleucid court ; but this official, being ignorant of the exact nature of Jewish ritual, simply chose a formula that was in use for the protection if the cults of various Hellenistic and Syrian citics, and slightly altered it to make it apply to Jerusalem in spite of the fact that it did not wholly fit the requirements of the Jews. For an example of such a Hellenistic decree we may cite an inscription from Ialysus in Rhodes (Dittenberger, Sylloge, 3rd ed. $338=$ Michel, Recueil 436, referred to
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by Willrich), which prohibits the bringing into the temple or temple precincts any horse, ass, mule, cte. It is also possible, assuming the imperfection and incompleteness of the present text, that the document originally prohibited the importation of all animals on the Sabbath, and that this enactment has, as a result of text corruption, been altered in Josephus' source to a prohibition of the importation of ritually unclean animals at any time.

## III. The Letter to Zeuxis (xii. 148-153)

The same difference of opinion that exists among modern scholars about the genuineness of Antiochus III's letter to Ptolemy and his proclamation concerning the temple and Jerusalem is found in their views concerning the letter to Zeuxis, in which Antiochus commands that two thousand Jewish families be transported from Mesopotamia and Babylonia and settled in the rebellious provinces of Lydia and Phrygia to guard the interests of the Seleucid government, and at the same time directs that they be provided with houses and land and be exempted from taxes on produce for ten years.

Willrich objects that this document in wholly in the style of the Jewish apologist Pseudo-Hecataeus, the assumed source of the passage in Aristeas §§ 12-13 which states that Ptolemy I transported Jews from Palestine to Egypt and settled them in garrisons there. As we have pointed out in notes to this volume, recent investigations by Hans Lewy and others have shown that some of the fragments attributed to Pseudo-Hecataeus are probably from the works of the genuine Hecatacus, a non-Jewish his76.1
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torian of the early third century в.с., and are in accord with historical fact. Morcover we know from Aramaic and Greek papyri that Jews were actually taken into military service in Persian and Ptolemaic Egypt. Willrich also suggests that the letter to Zeuxis has as its historical basis Herod's settling of Jewish colonists from Babylonia in Batanaea, of. Ant. xvii. 23-25. In this case as in other arguments against the genuineness of Hellenistic decrees quoted by Josephus, Willrich seems to be eating his critical cake and having it too. If the letter to Zeuxis is an apologetic Jewish invention modelled on PseudoHecataeus, who must have written before 100 b.c., it cannot also be based on an incident that took place late in Herod's reign. Incidentally the circumstances of the Herodian settlement of eastern Jews in Batanaea were rather different from those of the alleged settlement by Antiochus III ; in the former case a military group of only six hundred men was involved. But even if the circumstances were more nearly alike, the fact that a quasi-Jewish king like Herod transported Jews from Babylonia (they were originally from Babylonia but later settled at Antioch) to Batanaca is no proof that Antiochns III did not transport Jews from Babylonia to Lydia and Phrygia.

Niese, who strongly suspects the genuineness of the letter to Zeuxis, suggests that it was circulated by the Jews of Asia Minor who wished to base their claim to civic equality with the Macedonians on their colonization there by Antiochus III. But the question remains, even supposing that the Jews of Asia Minor wished to make propaganda of this sort, how did they come to be in Lydia and Phrygia in considerable numbers if Antiochus III did not settle
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them there? Niese is correct, to be sure, in pointing out that Josephus is mistaken in making it appear that the transportation and settlement took place after Antiochus III's conquest of Cocle-Syria in 201-198 в.c.

Nor can we give much weight to the argument of Wellhausen and Willrich that if the letter were genuine it would specify the names of the colonists and the localities in Lydia and Phrygia where they were to be settled. We must remember, as Tscherikower remarks, that Antiochus was campaigning in the Last (in $206 / 5$ 13.c.) and was presumably writing in haste.

It cannot be denied that there is room for suspicion of Jewish apologetic retouching of the original letter, as in $\S 150$ where Antiochus refers to the Jews" " piety to God " (but see the note on this phrase), and to the testimony of his forefathers concerning the loyalty of the Jews ; but even these complimentary allusions may be genuine, as part of the conventional documentary style (see Bickermann's treatment of this general subject).

It seems to me, then, that there is no convincing evidence against the genuineness of Antiochus III's letter to Zeuxis.
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[^0]:    a Josephus＇sources for Hellenistic history will be discussed in an appendix to the last volume of this translation．

[^1]:    a From 283 to 245 b.c.
    ${ }^{\bullet}$ Here begins Josephus" close paraphrase of the so-called Letter of Aristeas, a Hellenistic Jewish apologetic work, probably written sometime in the ind century $\quad$.c. The English reader may conveniently consult the following translations (with notes): H. St. J. Thackeray in the Jewish Quarterly Revierr, xv., 1903, pp. 337-391, also in a srparate volumse, revised, 1928; M. Indrews in CAP ii. 8:3-122; H. Merchan, The oldest lersion of the Bible, 1932. The noost learned and comprehensive edition, with translation and 8

[^2]:    a Variant " they."

    - Variant " had given him excellent advice in his (Demetrius') zeal to obtain."

[^3]:    a That is, to make a copy of the Hehrew original (to be ohtained from Palestine); $\mu \epsilon \tau а \gamma \rho a \dot{\psi}$ a, however, is taken by 12

[^4]:    " Variants " he" and "I "; Arist. has " I believe it will be useful to include (катакєХшрíөal) a copy of the decree."

    - Cf. $\S 25$ note $c$.
    e "Lists" is restored from Arist., the mss. of Josephus have " notices" (of sale).

[^5]:    c The exact meaning of $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \nu \tau \alpha a$ in $\Lambda$ rist., which Josephus has taken over in the infin. $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \alpha{ }^{2} \theta a \iota$, is a matter of dispute. Some scholars take it to mean "interpreted" and think it refers to previous Greek translations of the Pentatench, cf. Z. Frankel, Vorstudien zu der Septuaginta, 1841, p. 61, note $k$. It scems clear from the context, however, that it refers to Hebrew mss. of the Pentatench which have been carelessly copied from an original scroll (presumably kept in the Temple at Jerusalem).
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conjectured: mss. "than are " $(c f$. Arist. "than exist." which probably means " than exist elsewhere").

[^6]:    a Whether Arist．here cites the genuine work of the Gireek historian Hecatacms，who wrote a History of Egypt and 22

[^7]:    a The following sections, $\$ \S$ 43-44, come from another source than Arist. On Simon the Just and the other high priests of the pre-Maccabean period, of. Appendix 13.

    - Lit. " the fortresses and the guarding of these."
     $\mu \grave{\eta}$ é $\chi \eta$ Sod $\tau$ oúтwr, "in order that throngh them the ligyptian nation might not have any fear "; most editors of $\lambda$ rist., however, omit $\mu \bar{\eta}$, " not," as do JosephnsandEusebins, understand-

[^8]:    a This was Arsinoe 11, daughter of I'tolemy I and successively wife of Lysimachus, I'tolemy Keranos and her brother Ptolemy l'hiladelphus, whom she married between 279 and 274 в.c., after he had banished his first wife, Arisinoc, the daughter of Lysimachus.

    - Probably the children of Ptolemy Philadelphus and Arsinoe 1, adopted by Arsinoe Il (cf. schol. on Theocritus xvii. 128).

[^9]:    ${ }^{1} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu \mathrm{PL}$ I at. fort. rete.

[^10]:    " Lit. "parallel proximity (or "resemblance") of furm"; no such phrase is found in Arist.

[^11]:    " кр $\quad$ тis (also found in Arist.) usually means " base" or " step " of a building, altar, etc.
    "Lit. "plate": Antrews "expanse," Meecham "weight."
    "For oхŋ̂भa " formı" Arist. has oтó $\mu$ " top " or " front" (lit. " mouth ").

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ av่า $\mathfrak{y} \mathrm{P}$ IW.

[^13]:    a "Of gold" is omitted in the mss. of Arist., probably through oversight.
    ${ }^{6}$ Lit. " girdle."
    " Arist." scales " (фodí $\delta \omega \nu)$.

[^14]:    ${ }^{d}$ i.e the relicf work ( (opeiav) : $\Lambda$ rist. omits the pronoun.
    e The exact moaning of opida乡ı here (and in § 82) is mcertain ; the word is not found in Arist.
    ' Arist. "more than two melretai"; the amphoreus was the same as the metretes, equal to c. 9 gatlons or 40 litres.
    *No number is given in Arist.
    n Lit. "were shaded."
    i Arist." about the rims they wove a wreath in relief work of ivy and myrtle and olive."

[^15]:    ${ }^{a}$ Here Josephus omits a large part of Irist. (ss 83-171), which includes an account of the Alexandrian courtiers' visit

[^16]:    ${ }^{a}$ I. Ahrahams remarks, Jewish Quarterly Reciew, xiv., $1902, p .340$, "there is some rabhinie confirmation that the xpugorpaфia [' writing in gold'] . . . was associated with the scrolls of the law uned in Alexandria. The statement in Aristeas confuses the whole as. with the divine name. The name of (iod (according to Tract. Sopherim, i. 10) was so written in an Alexandrian codex."
    ${ }^{6}$ 'This psychological explanation is added by Josephus. 4.

[^17]:    ${ }^{a}$ If this is a reference to the battle of Cos c. 258 в.с. (for the date see the chronological note of W. Tarn in CAII vii. 862 ), it is an error or a deliberate correction of history, for Ptolemy Philadelphus was decisively defeated by Antigonus Gonatas in that battle. No other naval battle between these two rulers is known to us. Some years later there was a naval battle at Andros between a I'tolemy and an Antigonus, but it is not certain whether the Ptolemy was Philadelphus or his successor Euergetes, or whether the Antigonus was Gonatas or his successor Doson, or finally whether the battle was a victory or a defeat for the Egyptians. Moreover,

[^18]:    1 тotov̂тov FVE e.t fort. Lat.

[^19]:    a The seating arrangement is not quite clear. Some scholars take àd xeipa (conj, in Arist. for ăvapxa of the mss.) to mean " at his right," and $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} v$ aútoû $\kappa \lambda \iota \sigma i \alpha v$ to 48

[^20]:    a Thus Josephus summarizes the long section in Arist． （ $\$ 8$ 187－292），which reports the dialectical discussion at the banquet table between the king and the several elders on matters of public morality．
    ${ }^{b}$ Here Josephus reverts for a moment to the beginning of A rist．＇s account of the symposinm， $8 \leqslant$ 201－202．
    －This celebrated philosopher from Eretria was a con－ Amporary of Ptolemy Philadelphus and a friend of Antigonus Gonatas．Most scholars doubt that he was ever in Alex－ andria，but Tramontano holds it possible，$c f$ ．his note ad loc． 50

[^21]:    ${ }^{a}$ So our mss. of Arist. § 318, $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \nu \delta \omega \rho i a s ; ~ s o m e ~ e d i t o r s, ~$ however, follow Mahaffy in reading moגvwpias, "consideration."
    "For кидíкьо (also in Arist.) some editors read кидєкєiov, " side-board," and one or two talse " a talent" to be its weight, not its value.

[^22]:    " Or perhaps " a banquet-table for three with its furnishings."
    ${ }^{d} C f$. note $b$ above.

    - The libation-bowls are not mentioned in our mss. of Arist.
    ${ }^{1}$ Here ends Josephus' paraphrase of Arist.
    - On the privileges here asserted to have been granted the Jews by the early Seleucid rulers, see Appendix C.

[^23]:    a Variant (after " penalty ") " and it was not right to deprive those who had done no wrong."

    - The famous friend and son-in-law of the emperor Augustus, who visited the East as his vice-regent during the years 16-13 в.c., cf. Ant. xvi. 12 ff ., and the recent biography by M. Reinhold, 1933.
    " Lit. " share," so the Epitome ; the mss. have " seck."

[^24]:    a The authenticity of the letters and decrees ascribed to Antiochus the Great in $\S \S 138-153$ is discussed in Appendix D.

    - Probably Ptolemy, son of Thraseas, who was governor 70

[^25]:    ${ }^{d}$ Cf. $\$ 252$ note $e$. - Variant " men."

[^26]:    c Probably the temple measure is meant. We need not suppose that the mention of artabae indicates " an Egyptian redactor" of the letter, as Büchler and Reinach snppose. Ptolemaic measures continued to be used in I'alestine even after the Seleucid conquest; moreover á $\rho \tau \alpha \dot{\beta} \eta$ was a " Hebrew measure " (cf. Heb. 'ardā̄b) according to Epiphanius (cited by S. Krauss, Talmudische Archöologie ii. 395). Krauss equates the Ptolemaic artaba with the Heb. leomer.
    ${ }^{d}$ The (Attic and Sicilian) medimnus $=c .50$ litres. Whether the number 1460 has any significance $(=365 \times 4)$ and presupposes an Egyptian "solar year," as Bïchler suggests, seems to me very doubtful.
    ${ }^{e}$ This royal grant of provisions for the temple reminds us of those said to have been made by Cyrus, Ant. xi. 16 ff. ( 1 Esd. vi. 99 : Ezra vi. 9 ff.), who gave 20,500 artabae of wheat (!): by Darius, Ant. xi. 62, 102 (1 Fisd. iv. 52 ff .); and by X̌rrxes (bibl. Artaxerxes), Ant. xi. 127 (1 Esd. viii. 19 ff.).
    ' Suggested emendation "foreigners " or " gentiles."

    - "Seribes of the temple" are mentioned in Ant. xi. 128 (1 Esd. viii. 22), cf. Appendix D.

[^27]:    ${ }^{a}$ H. Willrich, Urkuadenfülschung, p. 73, objects that the Jews did not pay a poll-tax, or other taxes, directly to the Seleucid king, but we know too little of the Seleucid system of taxation to judge. It may be, moreover, that in Seleucid times the poll-tax, like the later Roman tributum capitis in the provinces, included a variety of personal and business taxes, cf. Arnold and Bouchier, The Roman System of Provincial Administration, 1914, pp. 199 ff . On the poll-tax in the Seleucid kingdom see Schürer i. 229 note 14, Bikerman, Inst. Sél. p. 111, Rostovtzeff, $\mathrm{HH}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{pp} .469,471$.

    - Emended text; mss. "other taxes."
    c Roughly October, corresponding to Heb. Tishri, the

[^28]:    a Variant "their God": Holleaux emends to "the

[^29]:    a The long section which follows, §§ 154-236, on the Tobiads, Joseph and Hyrcanus, has been the subject of much scholarly discussion because it is obviously derived from several sources, some trustworthy, others fictitious. The various problems, chronological and otherwise, are treated in the works cited in Appendix E.
    ${ }^{b}$ Ptolemy Epiphanes, who reigned from 204/3 to $181 / 0$ b.c.
    c The marriage took place c. 193 s.c. ; it had been announced earlier, c. 196 в.c., cf. Bevan, II. Sel. ii. 57 and Holleaux, CA H viii. 199.
    d Possibly " Cocle-Syria" here means the Decapolis, or, more broadly, Trausjordan, cf. Ant. xi. 25 note $a$, xii. 133 note $d$; Bevan, II. Sel. ii. 297, suggests Galilee (with a question-mark).
    e One ms. Idimaca.
    $f$ 'This gift is mentioned in several ancient sources: Polyp. xxviii. 20.9, ". . . the agreement which those in Alexandria asserted had recently been made between I'tolemy and his (Antiochus Epiphanes') father, to the effect that I'tolemy should receive Coele-Syria as a dowry when lie married Cleopatra, the mother of the present (Egyptian) ruler "; Appian, Syr. 5, "Being about to make war on the Romans, he (Antiochus III) attempted to win over the neighbouring kings by alliances of marriage, and sent his daughter 80

[^30]:    a Variant（after＂but＂）＂because of his dignity（or seriousness）and care for justice had a（good）reputation．＂
    ＂Or perhaps＂a Tobiad，＂ie．a descendant of the Tobiah who was a contemporary of Nehemiah．
    c Variant Phicola（Gr．Phikola）；the site has not been identified，but presumably was in Transjordan，since the Tohiads were originally Ammonites ；cf．$\S 230$ note $c$ ．
    ${ }^{d}$ Whether mpooza⿱ia口 here is merely a synonym of $\tau \bar{\eta} s$ ápxıєpaтькท̂s $\tau \mu \hat{\eta} s$ or has a distinct，technical meaning， indicating a civil office（cf．Preisigke．Fachwörter，p．152）is still a matter of dispute．For a discussion on this see works cited in Appendix E．Here it may be noted that the passages following and outside texts favour the former alternative，$c f$ ． 84

[^31]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. § 155 note $h$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Probably Transjordan (and Galilee ?), of. $\$ 154$ note $d$ and \&221.
    c This is obviously too large a sum in the light of other estimates of revenues which have come down to us (cf. Bouché-Leclercq, iii. 401) : Jerome gives the revenue from 92

[^32]:    a Variant 1000 ; possibly, as Niese suggests, the number of horsemen also was originally given but has accidentally been omitted from the text.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. $\S 168$ note $d$.
    c The variant (after " arrested "), " their principal men, as was natural," is corrupt.
    ${ }^{d}$ Cf. Ant. v. 83 note $h$.

[^33]:     ávסрєєav є̇ $\lambda \theta \omega \dot{\omega}$ FLV.

[^34]:    ${ }^{2} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \alpha \iota$ FLV.
    ${ }^{3}$ FV: ${ }^{\circ} p a$ rell.
    vol. VII
    D 2

[^35]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Ptolemy Epiphanes' elder son, I'tolemy Philometor, was born in 187 or 186 в.c.; his younger son, I'tolemy Euergetes Il (Ihyseon) was born sometime after 185 в.c., $c f$. Bevan, Ptol. pp. 282-285.

    - Above, in $\S 160$, Josephus has described Joseph as " still a young man" when he became tax-collector of CoeleSyria after Ptolemy's marriage with Cleopatra, whieh was 100

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ ed. pr.: $\pi о \rho є \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta$ a codd. E.
    ${ }^{2}$ хр $\boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu \mathrm{FLM} .1$ corr.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'Apicuv LV'J' hic et infra, I'A infra: ' $\Lambda \rho \in i \omega \mathrm{~W}$.

[^37]:    $1 \eta^{\mu} \lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \mathrm{FIV} . \quad{ }^{2}$ ćs Niese.

[^38]:    ${ }^{3}$ Niese: $\dot{\omega}$ s $\pi o \nu \omega ̂ \nu(+\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v \mathrm{FV},+\pi \lambda \epsilon$ iova M) codd.
    ${ }^{4}$ є̈лє $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\text { omn. PrMV. }}$
    ${ }^{5}$ Herwerden: $\gamma \in \nu v \eta \theta$ évza codd.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ovaıĉv I': tòv . . . $\theta v o i ́ a s \mid ~ c o m m u n e s ~ e p u l a s ~ p r i u s ~ a t-~$ lingere Lat.
    ${ }^{7} \pi \rho \dot{\nu}$ äv ó $\pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ suppl. Herwerden.

[^39]:    a It seems necessary to adopt Herwerden＇s emendation $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda$ ıá̧ovтa，＂celebrant of a birthday，＂for $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \in \in i \tau a$, ＂one begotten＂or＂male child＂；but we can hardly accept his second suggestion，which is to read＂before his（the child＇s） father had gone into the temple，＂since Hyrcanus could hardly expect Ptolemy to believe that a Jewish law obliged a Jew to wait for a pagan father to sacrifice in a pagan temple． On the other hand，if we assume that Hyrcanns＇excuse was that he had gone to a temple to sacrifice，the question remains what Jewish temple in Egypt he could have gone to（there is 106

[^40]:    a Varian' " ordered all to applaud and show their approval of his wit." A similar story is told by Herodotus iii. 32.

[^41]:    ${ }^{6}$ àmoঠєхонévous FLMV Lat. fort. recte.
    ${ }^{6}$ á $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \nu \theta$ ávєто PFMV.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1} \gamma \in v \epsilon \theta \lambda i ́ \omega$ FLAMV. $\quad{ }^{2}$ V Lat. (vid.): $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ óv $\omega \nu$ rell.

[^43]:    a Variant " hailing " or " welcoming."

[^44]:    a On the factional strife arising in Jerusalem from division of sympathies between the pro-Ptolemaic and pro-Seleueid parties see the works cited in Appendices E and G.
    " At least two problems are here involved, (1) the identity of the " high priest Simon," whether Simon II whose death has been mentioned above, $\S 295$, or Simon the $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a \dot{\tau} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ тov̂ iepov, whose quarrel with the high priest Onias is deseribed in $\mathcal{2}$ Mace. iii. 4 ff. ; (2) if, as is probable, the Simon here meant is Simon II, of the Oniad family, why does Josephus say that he favoured Hyreanus" brothers "because of kinship with them "? All the sons of Joseph were related to the high pricst Onias II through their father, cf. $\S 160$; the statement of the " Tobiad romance," $\$ \S 187 \mathrm{ff}$., that Hyreanus was the son of Joseph by a different mother would only make his relation to the high priestly family closer, since his mother was also related to the high priest. It is just possible, how-

[^45]:    ${ }^{a}$ This is undoubtedly the meaning of aủ $\eta$ h here（though below，in $\$ 233$ ，it seems to have its more common meaning of＂court＂or＂enclosure＂）；cf．W．F．Albright，BASOR 49，Ieb．1933，p．29，＂The residence of the Tobiad family may perhaps have been a fortified villa．＂
    b Tyre（Tyros）is the Gr．form of Aram．tîrū，＂mountain＂ （ cf．Heb．ṣ̂̂r，＂rock＂＂or＂rock－fortress＂）．

[^46]:    a Cf. 2 Macc. iii. 11 ff . where we are told that the fortune
     Ursprung ii. 132 note 2, "nicht 'Sohn des Tobias,' sondern 'aus dem Geschlecht Tobias '"') was seized from the treasury of the temple in Jerusalem by Heliodorus, the minister of Selcucus IV. ${ }^{\circ}$ Onias III, cf. §224.
    " Variant " his brother Jesus," see directly below.
    ${ }^{d}$ Onias IV. $\quad$ In $\$ \S 387$ ff. and Ant. xiii. 62 ff .
    ${ }^{\prime}$ 'The three sons being Onias III, Jesus-Jason, and Onias (!)-Menelaus.

    - According to 2 Macc. iv. 23 Menelaus was a brother, not of Onias III, but of Simon, the $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau$ á $\eta \mathrm{\eta}$ sov̂ ífov̂; Sinon, in turn, was, according to $\underset{2}{2}$ Macc. iii. 4, $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \bar{\eta} s$ Bevıapiv $\phi u \lambda \hat{\eta}_{s}-$ either of the tribe of Benjamin or of the priestly family 120

[^47]:    ${ }^{a}$ For literature on the following section, $\$ \S 257-264$, not found in 1 Macc. (but $c f$. the brief allusion to Antiochus' 132

[^48]:    a The "hundred" has been inadvertently omitted from the ass.
    ${ }^{6}$ The 146 th yr. Sel. extended from Oct. 167 to Oct. 166 nc.
    ${ }^{c}$ The $\Lambda$ tic (and Delian) month Hekatombaion coresponged to July-August ( $c f$. Ant. iv. 84). What "Hypkanios" means is an unsolved puzzle. Another instance of an unknown name given to a Greek (Macedonian) month occurs in 2 Macc. xi. 21, namely $\Delta$ нобкорьviou (vol. $\Delta$ оокорíov) but this has been plausibly emended to $\Delta$ ios K povíoov on the basis of Plutarch, Thess. 12, Kpoviou $\mu \eta v o{ }^{\circ}$ of vv 'Екатонßаıิิva калоиิбш ( $c f$. Büchler, Tob. p. 154 note). Is there any connexion between these two passages, and is 136

[^49]:    ${ }^{a} 1$ Mace. does not mention here either the sons or the knives: the same amplification is found in B.J. i. 36.
    ${ }^{b}$ His name is not given in 1 Macc., which calls him simply "the ling's man "; in B.J. i. 36 Josephus calls him Baychides.
    ${ }^{c}$ The killing of the soldiers is not mentioned in 1 Macc. 140

[^50]:    a This rule was followed in the rabbinic period，although making war on the Sabbath is expressly forbidden in the book of Jubilees，1． 12 （ $c f$ ．L．Finkelstein，Some Examples of the Maccabaean Halakah，JBL xlix．1930，pp．20－42）and the Jews refrained from attacking the Romans on the Sabbath when Pompey besieged Jerusalem（Ant．xiv．63）；in the great war with Rome，however，the Jews were compelled to violate the Sabbath by fighting（B．J．ii．517）．Reinach in his note on the text above observes that Josephus dwells on this episode and amplifies the text of 1 Macc．，where，he says，the 142

[^51]:    a Variant＂but being worthy sons of mine，to remain so．＂
    b i．e．the laws：the variants give no clear grammatical object of＂will give back．＂

[^52]:    a 1 Mace．＂and Judas took the sword of Apollonius and was fighting therewith all his days．＂
    b 1 Mace．＂commander of the army of Syria＂（ $\subset f$ ．next note and $\$ 905$ note $a$ ）．
    c Conjectured；mss．＂approached．＂The text of 1 Mace．
     $\pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ ，which is corrected by M．Schwabe and E．
    
     $\pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \beta \frac{\lambda}{\eta}$ on the theory that the original Heb，had way－ ye＇esöph＂he gathered together，＂but the Gr．translator read rayyj̈seph＂he continued．＂That Josephus has ovvaraycuv is not in itself clear evidence that he used a Heb．text of 1 Mace．（on which of．F．Perles in REJ lxxxi．，1921，p．179）， since he nav easily have guessed the Heb．lying behind the 148

[^53]:    " 1 Macc. " the men from the Ahra (oi vioi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ăкраs) were his guides": here, as in $\$ 252$ ( $c f$. note ad loc.), 158

[^54]:    c Bibl．Ashdod，probably modern Esdlud，in the Philistine plain near the coast．
    ${ }^{\text {d Bibl．Jabniel，modern Franeh（ } f f \text { ．Ant．v．} 87 \text { ）also in the }}$ Philistine plain，r． 10 miles N．E．of $A$ zotus．
    ＂＇hee last phrase（＂having only this task，etc．＇）is an addition to I Nacc．
    ＇I Mace．adds єis $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda o \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ ，presumably to the Philistine plain，ád入óфu入o兀＂foreigners＂being a standing bibl．designation for the Philistincs；iti s possible，bowever， that some other region is meant，cf．the use of d $\lambda \lambda$ ó $\phi v \lambda o$ in 1 Maec．iv． 26 （＝Syrians）．

[^55]:    a The Macedonian month-name is not given in 1 Mace.; on the equation Kislew-Apellaios cf. Ant. xi. 148 note $e$.
    ${ }^{b} 2$ Macc. x. 3 wrongly gives 2 years as the interval, on which $c f$. Otto, Ptol. p. 40 note 2, and Meyer, Ursprung ii. 459 .
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cf. above, § 248 notes.
    ${ }^{d}$ December, 165 b.c. Nthough the 15 th Olympiad (not mentioned in 1 Maec.) is generally reekoned as extending 166

[^56]:    a Variant (after " sacrifices "), " and they honoured God with songs of praise and the playing of harps, and rejoiced."
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Josephns explains the name "festival of Lights" as referring to the sudden deliverance which was like a light appearing in the darkness of despair (a somewhat similar explanation is given in the scholion to Megillath Ta'anith, "because they went out from oppression to deliverance" they made Hanukkah a permanent festival) ; it is puzzling, however, that he does not directly connect the name with the kindling of lights in the temple lampstand ( cf . above, $\S(319$ ). In connexion with the 8 days of the celebration, the schotion to Megillath Ta'anith briefly relates the finding of the single jar of oil which would have sufficed for only one day's illumination had not a miracle caused it to last 8 days; for other rabbinic passages cf. Schürer i. 209 note 61 , or the more 168

[^57]:    a Bibl．Gilead，the territory lying between the Jarmuk and Jabhok rivers in＇Iransjordan：perhaps it is used here in 1 Mace．in a wider sense to include the territory farther east （so Schiirer and Père Abel）．

[^58]:    a 1 Macc. does not specify Jewish captives, but " those from Galilee and in Arbatta (location uncertain) with their wives and children and all that belonged to them," presmuably meaning the Jews resident among the gentiles of Galilee.
    b 1 Mace. "a three-days' journey in the wilderness."

    - Originally an $A \mathrm{rab}$ tribe ( $c f$. Ant. i. $Q 20 \mathrm{ff}$.) dwelling N.E. of the peninsular of Sinai ; in the 6th century b.c. they occupied Petra, formerly held by the Edomites; during Hellenistic and lioman periods they spread north and east through Transjordan as far as Palmyra where many inscriptions (in Aramaic) have been found. Josephus gives further details of their history in Ant. siii. 10 ff . For a convenient 174

[^59]:    a This last phrase is an addition to 1 Macc．
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf．$\$ 331$ note $d$ ． 1 Mace．does not so describe the city here．
    c Variants Maaphe，Malla；1 Macc．Maspha，probably bibl．Mizpeh of Gilead，which in turn is perhaps to be 176

[^60]:    a Variants Ramphon, Raphon (so 1 Mace.), Rophon i $^{\text {it }}$ is identified by Père Abel, following Buhl, with Tell eš-Sihib, c. 4 miles S.W. of el-Mezeirib, near one of the tributaries of the Yarmuk river, which is probably " the stream "referred 178

[^61]:    ${ }^{a} 1$ Macc. Fphron, identified by Père Abel with mod. et-Taybeh, c. 8 miles E. of the Jordan, a little N. of the lati180

[^62]:    ${ }^{\text {c }} C f$. Polyb. xxxi. 9 (11), also referred to in Ap. ii. 84, together with Strabo, Nicolas of Damascus, Timagenes, Castor and Apollodorus.

    * Text slightly uncertain.
    " 1 Macc. " Friends," probably in a technical sense, cf. § 134 note $a$.
    ' Earlicr (cf. § $296=1$ Macc. iii. 33) Antiochus had entrusted Lysias, his regent in the W'est, with this office, and it was Lysias who actually groverned after Antiochus' death, cf. § 379.

[^63]:    a So most mss. of 1 Macc., but cod. A has " horses." In B.J. i. 41 Josephus gives the numbers as 50,000 foot-soldiers, 190

[^64]:    c This sentence is an amplification of the text of cod. A and Luc. in 1 Nacc. vi. 35, "And they divided the elephants among the defiles," reading фáparyas for фádayzas "phalanxes."
    " The variant adds " and strong."
    e 1 Nacc. gives the number of men in the tower or howdah as 30 (v.l. 32), an impossible mumber, plausibly explained by Rahlfs, Z.AW, N.F. xi., 1934, pp. 78 ff., as a corruption of $\Delta^{\prime}=4$ to $\Lambda^{\prime}=30$. Perhaps Josephus has omitted thic detail because of its incredibility.
    $f$ "Light-armed troops" (廿८入oús) is Naber's conjecture for mss. "friends" ( $\phi$ ídous) ; although the conjecture has no support in the text of 1 Nacc., it is plansible in view of the parallel in § $4 \geq 6$.

    - The shiclds of gold (also mentioned in 1 Mace.) are a fictitious detail. Polybius tells us, xi. 9. 1, that I'hilopoemen had his soldiers keep their arms bright in order to inspire the enemy with fear.

[^65]:    a A similar account of Menelaus' exceution is given in 2 Mace, xiii. 4.
    b This would place the beginning of his office about 172 в.с.
    ${ }^{c}$ Gr. Alkimos. According to 1 Mace. vii. 5 ff . it was King Demetrius ( $f f$. below) who appointed Alcimus high priest. 2 Mace. is inconsistent on this point ; in xiv. 3 it states that Alcimus had been high priest before Demetrius became king, while in xiv. 13 it says that Demetrius ordered his general to " appoint" Alcimus high priest; possibly, however, the latter expression (катабт $\tilde{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ) may here mean "to reinstate." In any case Aleimus probably succeeded Menelaus toward the end of 162 в.c.
    200

[^66]:    a 1 Macc. says merely that Alcimus accused Judas of evil deeds. It is noteworthy that 2 Macc. xiv. 6 ff. makes Alcimus 208

[^67]:    ${ }^{a} 1$ Nacc. does not say that Nicanor spoke of Demetrius' attitude toward the Jews. Is there, perhaps, some connexion between this statement in Josephus and that of $\mathcal{Z}$ Macc. xiv. 5 , that Demetrius inquired of Alcimus how the Jews were disposed toward him?
    "Variant "the Jews." In the preceding sentences, Josephus amplifies somewhat.

[^68]:    c 1 Macc. Chapharsalama. Formerly identified with mod. Kefar Sallam on the road from Ramleh to Caesarea, it is now located by Père Abel near Khirbet Deir Sellam, c. 5 miles N.E. of Jerusalem.
    d The text of this paragraph has been emended in two places by Dindorf; according to the ms. reading it was Nicanor who defeated Judas and forced him to flee to the Akra. It scems necessary to accept Dindorf's emendations for two reasons, first because 1 Macc. says plainly that Nicanor, having lost 500 men, fled to Jerusalem (" the city of David '"), and second because the Akra was in the hands of the Syrians, and it must therefore have been Nicanor, and not Judas, who fled there. Schürer, however, i. 21 in note 96 , insists that Nicanor could not have suffered a " real defeat" since, according to 1 Macc., he lost only 500 men (a c.l. gives 5000 ).

    - $\epsilon \tau \iota$ is preferable to the variant $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ " when "; it introduces another instance of Nicanor's treachery.

[^69]:    ${ }^{3}$ á $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \omega \boldsymbol{m V E}$ MV.

[^70]:    ${ }^{a}$ As far as Gazera (bibl. Gezer) according to 1 Macc., which adds that this was " one day's journey from Adasa." Gezer is, in fact, c. 20 miles W. of Khirbet 'Adaseh.
    ${ }^{b}$ Their number is not given in 1 Mace.
    ${ }^{c}$ Roughly March; it preceded by one day the festival of Purim, as noted in 2 Macc. xv. 36 (which calls the latter " Mordecai's Day ").

[^71]:    ${ }^{a}$ Josephus substitutes this technical phrase for the informal
     'Iovסaiov" may it go well with the Romans and the Jewish nation." We must remember that the Greek text of the treaty in 1 Macc. is a translation from Hebrew, and this, in turn, a translation of the Greek original.
    b 1 Macc. adds "arms."

[^72]:    ${ }^{a} 1$ Maec. " And they took the road to Galgala (v.l. "Galaad") and encamped against Maisaloth in Arbela." Josephus thus omits Maisaloth, and apparently equates Galilee with Judaea, probably using " Judaca" in the broader sense of "Jewish territory," as in J.J. i. 309. Père Abel follows Josephus in reading Galilee for Galgala or Galaad, and with Robinson explains Maisaloth, not as a proper name, but as the transliteration of Heb. mesillôth, here meaning "ascent" (in the lxx of 2 Chron. ix. 11 duaßácets renders $m{ }^{e}$ sillôth). This Arbcla in Galilee would then be the same as that mentioned in Vita 188,311 et al. as a region of caves, as Josephus describes it here, and is therefore to be identified with mod. Irbîd, a few miles W. of the Sea of Galilee, a little S.W. of Nagdala and N.W. of Tiberias.

[^73]:     oopous " as far as Mount Azotus"-this cannot, of course, be the city of Azotus (bibl. Ashdod) in the Philistine plain. Michaelis (ap. Grimm) long ago ingeniously suggested that Azotus ( = Ashdod) in 1 Macc . is a misunderstanding of Heb. 'ašdôth hā-hār " the slopes of the mountain (hill)," while 221

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ A MIW Lat.: $\pi a \rho '$ ' $'$ : $\pi a ̂ ̀ r ~ r e l l . ~$ ${ }^{2} \tau \bar{\omega}$ Niese.
    ${ }^{8} \tau \in$ om. AMW: $\gamma \in \mathrm{P}$. $\pi a \rho \in \delta_{i}^{\prime} \delta o \sigma a \nu$ AMWE. 228

[^75]:    ${ }^{a}$ In 161 в.c.

[^76]:    a The return from Babylon is substituted by Josephus for " the time that a prophet was not seen among them," $c f$. 1 Mace. ix. 27, referring to Malachi probably.
    b 1 Macc. ix. 31 says simply, " and Jonathan at that time took the leadership upon him."
    c Bacchides' fear and treachery are not mentioncd in 1 Nacc.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Of Tekoah, c. 6 miles S. of Bethlehem ; cf. Ant. ix. 12. On this wilderness $c f$. Abel, $G l^{\prime}$ i. 136-4.37.

    - Identified by Abel in $R B$ with mod. Bir ez-Za'feran, c. 3 miles S. of Tekoah.

[^77]:    a That Bacchides " rested his force" is a detail apparently
     $\tau \bar{\nu} \nu \alpha \beta \beta a ́ \tau \omega v$, " and Bacchides knew (of it) on the Sabbath day." Josephus' text must have read, like Luc., $\tau \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ p a r$, which Joscphus took to mean that Bacchides observed the Sabbath. Incidentally the verse is out of place, as Bacchides had no reason to cross the Jordan until he learned that the Jews were there ; $c f$. below.

[^78]:    a See note $g$ on p． 233.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ 「aßatâ V at fort. W.
    ${ }^{2}$ is om. P' LL.

[^80]:    a Cf. above § 11 note $f$.
    ${ }^{b}$ I Macc. has " of Canaan."
    ${ }^{c}$ So some rx mss.; the majority have Nadabath, rel. 236

[^81]:    ${ }^{a}$ At this point (ix. 54) 1 Macc. relates the death of the hirh priest Aleimus in the 153 rd yr. Sel. $=160 / 59$ в.с. ; this has already been mentioned by Josephus in Ant. xii. 413.

    - According to 1 Macc. the two-years peace came after the death of Aleimus.
    ${ }^{c}$ Cf. Ant. xii. 252 note $e$.
    ${ }^{d}$ The guarding of himself is a detail not found in 1 Macc.
    e 1 Macc. ix. 61 reads, " and they seized of the men of the country, the leaders of the wickedness, about fifty men, 238

[^82]:    a Josephus takes 1 Mace. ix. $\gamma 0$ to refer to an exchange of prisoners, although the context, esp. vs. 72 , shows that the return of the Jewish prisoners only is meant.
    b 1 Macc. " to his own land."
    ${ }^{c}$ Bibl. Michmash, mod. Muklımūs, c. 8 miles N.W. of 242

[^83]:    ${ }^{a}$ As there is no such passage in Josephus, we may assume that this phrase is taken over from his source.
     131), also found in Ant. viii. 350.
    c 1 Macc. x. 11, " the walls and the mount of Sion," that is, the temple hill, the walls of which had been destroyed by Aıtiochus Eupator ; cf. Aut. xii. 382 ff . ( $=1$ Macc. vi. 60 ff .).

[^84]:    a The variant omits " friendly."

    - 1 Macc. x. 21, " in the seventh month of the hundred and sixtieth year," ie. in the autumn of 152 bic. (here reckoning the spring of 311 bic. as the beginning of the Seleucid 248

[^85]:     Welles, Royal Corr., p. 16.
    b I Macc. x. 37, "And some shall be placed over the affairs of the kingdom which are matters of trust."
    "Conj. : мss. " ancestral."
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Cf. above, $\S 50$ note $c$.

    - 'Text slightly uncertain.

[^86]:    a Polybius and Eusebius give him a reign of 12 years. I te began to rule in 162 b.c. ( $c f$. Ant. xii. 359 note b), and his death probably occurred in 150 в.c., cf. Kolbe, p. 56. As both Demetrius and Alexander were usurpers, it is difficult to determine just how long their reigns should be considered to have lasted. Usurpers often issued coins before they were generally recognized as kings.
    ${ }^{b}$ Variant "in other works of ours." There is no such 256

[^87]:    a Variant " Judaica." The reference is to $13 . J$. vii. 426 ff . ${ }^{\circ}$ Conjectured : sss. " ministering " (present tense). ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cf. Ant. xi. 324.

[^88]:    ${ }^{d}$ For a similar, earlier controversy see $A n t$. xii. 10. Büchler (see note above) believes that the quarrel was not over the rival claims of the temples of Jerusalem and Gerizim but of the Jewish and Samaritan temples in Egryt. It may be noted here that it is extremely difficult to determine how much of this account is historical, and whether it is based on a Palestinian or Hellenistic Egyptian source.

    - The same name (a Greek form of Heb. Mésullām) is written Mosollamos in Ap. i. 201.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ PFLV.

[^90]:    a Variant " concerning."

    - Here Josephus resumes his paraphrase of 1 Naccabees. ${ }^{c}$ In § 61.
    ${ }^{d}$ Surnamed Balas, of. § 119.

[^91]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} 1$ Mace. x. 76 states that Jonathan had aetually begun the siege when the city opened its gates.

    - The latter number is not given in 1 Mace, at this point, but is based on vs. 85 (see below, $\S 100$ ), which gives 8000 as the number of the slain in Apollonius' force in the battle of Azotus.
    ${ }^{e}$ Bibl. Ashdod.
    ${ }^{4}$ On this meaning of калафроvєiv of. Aut. vii. 61 note $b$.

[^92]:    ${ }^{a}$ This valley is not mentioned in 1 Macc.
    ${ }^{b}$ This is a detail not found in 1 Maec.
    c Variant " exhorted (his men)."
    ${ }^{d}$ The preceding is an amplification of the brief statement in 1 Macc. x. 80, "and they surrounded the eamp (of Jonathan) and shot darts at his pcople."

[^93]:    a＇The old West－Semitic grain－god，adopted by the Philis－ tines，cf．Ant．vi． 1 ff．Hill，Cat．Greek Coins Pal．p．lxiv， doubts the attribution to Azotus of the 4 th century stater representing a bearded fish－like sea－god，who might be meant for Dagon because popular belief associated him with fish （Heb．dag＝＂fish＂）．
    27.4

[^94]:    ${ }^{6}$ Jonathan's approval is not mentioned in 1 Macc.
    ${ }^{c}$ As was remarked above, $\S \$ 8$ note $d$, Apollonius was fighting for Demetrius 1I, not for Alexander Balas. The following section is therefore a distortion of 1 Macc. x. S8-89, which tells how Alexander honoured Jonathan for his victory over Apollonius. Josephus' phrase $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \pi о є і$ iтo $\chi$ дipєєу " pretended to be pleased " is in direct contradiction to the phrase
     still further to honour Jonathan."

[^95]:    a This incident appears to be referred to in 1 Macc. xi. 10, in which Ptolemy says, "I regret having given him (Alexander) my daughter, for he has sought to kill me." Ammonius is not mentioned in Diodorus xxxii. 9 c.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ There is no such passage in Josephus; he has taken over the formula from his source. The story of Ammonius being disguised as a woman has a curious parallel in Diodorms's account, xxxii. 10, of Herais, the daughter of Diophantus, who lived in Arabia, whither Alexander fled 278

[^96]:    ${ }^{1} \delta \eta$ LIW: om. E.
    ${ }^{2}{ }_{\eta}^{j} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \mathrm{P}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ à $\lambda \lambda о \tau \rho i \omega \nu$ LIMWE Lat.
    
    
     280

[^97]:    ${ }^{8}$ є่ $\gamma \chi \in \iota \rho \circ \hat{v} v \tau$ I'PLV'E Lat. (vid.).

[^98]:    ${ }^{a}$ Grimm, on 1 Macc. x. 1, and Schürer, i. 237 n. 11, point out that Strabo, xvi. 951 , calls him Balas Alexander; $c f$. Justinus xxxv. 1.6. Evidently Balas was his given name; it is probably from $A$ ram. Ba'al, a hypocoristic theophorous name.
    ${ }^{6}$ Officially from 150 to 145 в.c., as his coinage indicates. 284

[^99]:    ${ }^{c}$ In 1 Nacc. his name is Inalkue. Diodorus has Iamblichus ('lá $\beta \beta \lambda \iota x o s)$, which is the usual Greek transcription of Arabic or Nabataean $y m l k r$. Perhaps, as Grimm suggests, he was the successor of Zabeilus (variants: Zabdiel, Diocles ; cf. \& 118), who killed Alexander Balas.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Tryplion gave hin the official name Antiochos Theos Epiphanes Dionysos.
    e In mentioning Nalchus' mistrust of Tryphon, Josephus amplifies 1 Macc. xi. 40.
    ' Variant " wished to expel the men, etc."

    - The envoys and gifts are not mentioned in 1 Mace.

[^100]:    ${ }^{c} 120,000$, according to 1 Macc. xi. 45.
    ${ }^{d}$ The fighting from the roofs and other details are not mentioned in 1 Macc.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad$ वv $\mu \mu \boldsymbol{\chi} i a \nu$ coni．Schmidt．
    ${ }^{2}$ aủzồ PF゙LV．

[^102]:    a The demand of tribute is not mentioned in 1 Macc., which says merely (xi. 53) that " he belied all that he had said, and became estranged from Jonathan, and did not reward him for the loyalty which he had shown him, and oppressed him severely."
    " Variant " concern about him (Tryphon)."

[^103]:    ${ }^{d}$ The clause " which had been added to the territory of the Jews" is not found in 1 Macc. Modern scholars who do not assume that Josephus added it erroneously are divided in opinion concerning the location of the fourth district; some think Ptolemais is meant, some Akkaron (Ekron), given to Jonathan earlier by Alexander Balas (1 Macc. x. 89) ; Abel, GP ii. 135, suggests Acrabattene. Others, rejecting Josephus' interpretation, assume that Judaca itself is meant. The first three districts are, of course, those taken from Samaria, namely $\Lambda$ phairema, Lydda and Ramathain ( $c f$. above, $\S 127$ ).
    " 1 Mace. xi. 57, " one of the lriends of the king." On
    
    s "Ladder" is eonjectured; the mss. have "region." The Ladder of 'Tyre was the coastline between 'Tyre and Ptolemais, including the mod. Rās el-Abyad and Räs en-

[^104]:    ${ }^{a}$ In §§ 148-153 Josephus greatly amplifies (from a now lost Hellenistic source) 1 Macc. xi. 60-62.
    ${ }^{6}$ Phoenicia is not mentioned in 1 Macc., which says, xi. 60, 298

[^105]:    " And Jonathan went out and marehed beyond the river (probably through Transjordan, not through 'Transcuphrates =Syria, as Bévenot supposes) and in the cities, and the whole force of Syria gathered to him as allies."

    - This last sentence contradicts 1 Macc. xi. 60, quoted in the preceding note.
    " § 149 , from the words, "whereupon he urged thein " is an addition to 1 Macc.

[^106]:    ${ }^{a}$ Bibl. Hazor, S.W. of Lake Muleh; of. Ant. v. 199 note $d$.
    " Jonathan's ignorance of the enemy's position is implied but not stated in 1 Macc. xi. 68, "And behold, the host of foreigners met him in the plain."
    c That the enemy knew the day before of Jonathan's 304

[^107]:    " Variant " And his."

    - On Jews and Spartans see works cited in Appendix K .
    ' Here Josephus expands and clarifies the obscure state-

[^108]:    a 1 Macc. has merely, " to the Spartiates, their brothers." Some commentators take " brothers" here to connote ethnic relations.
    ${ }^{b}$ This formula is not found in 1 Mace.
    ${ }^{c}$ Demoteles is not mentioned in 1 Macc. ; cf. Ant. xii. 227 note $i$.
    ${ }^{d}$ Gr. Areios, variant Areas (the more correct form) ; 1 Macc. Dareios, cf. Ant. xii. 226 note e.

    - The letter of the Spartans, 1 Macc. xii. 19-23, is given 308

[^109]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} 1$ Macc．does not mention the covetousness of the neighbours of the Jews． －Variant Antimachus．
    c This clause is added by Josephus．
    ＂Variant＂may renew the friendship that exists between us．＂
    310

[^110]:    a Palentine here=1'hilistia. The two countries are not mentioned in 1 Mace.
    b Variant "making them secure with fortresses (or garrisons)."
    ${ }^{c} 1$ Mace. xii. 33 says merely that Simon " marched 316

[^111]:    ${ }^{a}$ Josephus omits the statements in 1 Macc. xii. 37-38 about the condition of part of the city wall, and Simon's capture of Adida in the Shephetah.
    b'The following section, to $\$ 187$, is based on a Hellenistic source, probably Nicolas of Damascus.
    c The countries E. of the Euphrates. Demetrius invaded Parthia c. 140 r.c. According to 1 Macc. xiv. 1 it was in the Sel. yr. $172=141 / 0$ b.c.; according to Porphyry it was 318

[^112]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{I}$ ': є̇тi rell.
    
    

[^113]:    a This important detail is omitted by 1 Nacc.

[^114]:    " 1 Macc. " they " (the inhabitants of Ptolemais).
    " 1 Macc. xii. 49 adds, "and the great plain" (of Esclraelon).

[^115]:    
     $\sigma a \mu \in \nu$ V:. $\quad{ }^{4}$ zois $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{A}: \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{MW}$ : om. FV'.
    ${ }^{5} \delta \in \delta о \gamma \mu$ évov МММ:
    
    ${ }^{7} \dot{\nu} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ Р1.
    sis om. PLV.

[^116]:    a Josephus, as is his wont, rhetorically embellishes Simon's speceh as given in 1 Mace, xiii. 3-6.
    ${ }^{b}$ Simon's election as leader of the Jews is dated in Sel. 326

[^117]:    a Tryphon's design on Jerusalem is inferred by Josephus from the words ধ́кúкגшaav ódóv in 1 Mace. xiii. 20.
    ${ }^{6}$ Conjectured from 1 Mace. for Dora in the mss. of Josephns (who criticizes Mnaseas, cited by Apion, for a similar mistakc, cf. Ap. ii. 116). Adora is bibl. Adoraim, mod. Düra, 5 miles S.W. of Hebron, near the border between Judaea and Idumaea.
    330

[^118]:    ${ }^{a}$ Daughter of Ptolemy Philometor and former wife of Alexander balas, $c f$. $\&$ so.
    ${ }^{b}$ Cf. below, § 244 and Ant. vii. 393 where Josephus calls hin Antiochus Eusebes. Neither Eusebes nor Softer (the 338

[^119]:    n According to 1 Mace. xv. 26-31 Antioch us VII refused to accept help from Simon, and broke off their alliance. demanding the return of Joppa and Gazara, or indemnity and tribute.
    b More exactly 4 years, from 142 to 138 sc.. according to the coinage, if. Bevan in CAHI viii. 527.

    - The war between Antiochus VII and Simon is described in greater detail in 1 Mace. xv. 38 -xvi. 10 .

    At about this point in Ant. begins the parallelism between

[^120]:    a The chief engagement took place near Ce.lron, mod. Qutru, c. 6 miles N.E. of Azotus.
    b 'The details of the alliance are given in 1 Macc. xv. 16-24.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ From 14: to 135 b.c. Aceording to 1 Mace. xvi. 14 342

[^121]:    ${ }^{4}$ IItoдє $\mu$ aîos A $^{1}$.

[^122]:     A.IIW: $\theta \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \operatorname{vos} \mathrm{E}$ : construens lat.

[^123]:    a qúpavvos "tyrant" and qupavvєúєiv are applied by Josephus to native rulers of small territories.
    ${ }^{b}$ Bibl. Rabbath Ammon, mod. 'Ammīn in Transjordan.
    ${ }^{c}$ The several dates here given do not synchronize. The fourth year of Antiochus' reign and the first of Hyrcanus' was $135 / 4$ 13.c., while the 162 nd Olympiad began in July 132 n.c. Although Porphyry (ap. Eissebius, Chron. ed. Schoene i. 255) also places Antiochus' siege of Jerusalem 346

[^124]:    a This brother's name is unknown. Two of Hyrcanus' brothers had recently been killed ( $c f . \S 235$ ) and, as Reinach notes, Josephus in $\S 228$ seems to imply that they were his only brothers.

    - Some historians take this to mean that only the battlements of the walls ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ áv $\nu=$ " crown ") were destroyed. But, as Schürer points out, the other ancient sources speak of the destruction of the walls themselves (later rebuilt by Hyrcanus, cf. 1 Macc. xvi. 23) ; cf. Diodorus xxxiv. 1 and l'orphyry (ap. Eusebius, Chron. ed. Schoene i. 255).

[^125]:    ${ }^{c}$ Possibly this event is referred to in Megillath Ta'anith under date of 2 sth of Shebat (Feb.-March), "Antiochus departed from Jerusalem " : cf. Lichtenstein, Fastenrolle, pp. 287-988 and Ant. xii. 383 note d.
    d According to Ant. vii. 393 Hyrcanus gave part of this money to Antiochus to raise the siege. It was probably on this occasion that Hyrcanus appealed for help to Rome, cf. Ant. xiii. 260-965, xiv. 247-255.

    - 55 250-253 have no parallel in B.J.
    $f$ In 130 в.c. The campaign is described in Diodorus xxxiv. 15-17, Justinus xxxviii. 10, Livy, lipit. lis., Appian, Syr. 68, l’orphyry (ap. Euscbius, Chron. i. 955 ). The ruler of P'arthia was P'hraates II ; $c f$. Debevoise, pp. 31-34.
    - The Greater Lab in Assyria.

[^126]:    ${ }^{3}$ In Ant．xi．322－324．
    ＊This would place the building of the temple c． 330 в．с．， $c f$ ．vol．vi．Appendix 13，p．509．The destruction of the Samaritan temple by Hyrcanus is probably allnded to in Megillath Ta＇anith，under date of 21 st of Kislew（December）， as＂the day of Mount Gerizim．＂
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cf．above，$\S 207$ note $e$ ．

[^127]:    a So ed．pr．：mss．＂Pennaeus．＂

[^128]:    ${ }^{a}$ Ili，former wife，who had marricd his brother Antiochus Sidetes when Demetrius was captured，$c f$ ．abore，$s=221-223$. Cleopatra was reunited with Demetrius on the death of Antiochus Sidetes．
    －According to Appian，Syr．68，and Livy，Epit．1x．，it was Cleopatra who ordered Demetrius to be killed．This was in $126 / 5$ b．c．
    －In 1ころ， 2 в．c．
    362

[^129]:    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Antiochus V'll Grypus, the son of Demetrins 11 by Cleopatra, $r f . \S 271$ and next note.

    - Antiochus IX Cyzicenus was the son of Antiochus Sidetes: thus he was hoth a step-brother and a consin of Antiochus (irypus, as Josephus explains in § 271.
    ' lieinach suspects the words "from Cyzieus" of being interpolated, but gives no reason for his suspicion. Possibly in Josephus' source these words originally followed the surname " Cyzicenus" to explain it.
    " "Soter " is not elsewheregiven as a surname of Antiochus VII, of. § 222 note a.
    ${ }^{n}$ Cf. § 2.2. However, as Reinach notes, Josephus may have taken over the cross-reference from his source.

[^130]:    " Lit. " furnished anything," for which Niese conjectures " held to them." "Cf. above, § 268.

    - Cf. §278. ' i.e. his hostility.
    - In 106 b.c. Ptolemy VIII Soter II Lathyrus came to Syria as an ally of Cyzicenus, while his mother Cleopatra III was supporting Grypus, cf. Bevan, Ptol. pp. 328-330, and §§ 278 ff.
    " Variant " Hyrcanus."
    "Or, as we should say, "refounded." On the technical concept of city-founding see A. Tscherikower, Die hellenistischen Städtegriundungen wom Alexander d. Gr. bis allf dif Römerzeit (Philologus, Supj)lbd, xix. H. 1), 1927.
    " Variant " in good time "; the reference is to Aut. xv. 296 ff.

[^131]:    a Marisa, an Idumaean city, had recently been captured by Hyrcanus, of. § 25i ; but it is difficult, as Reinach remarks, to see how the Samaritans could have got to a city in Idumaea. I suggest, therefore, that "Marisa" is a textual error for "Samaria," meaning that part of Sainaria the territory, not the city, presumably colonized by Hyrcanus after he took Shechem and other Samaritan cities, $c f$. § 2555. 366

[^132]:    a Lathyrus（＂chick－pea＂）was the popular name of Ptolemy VIII Soter II，cf．$\S \geq 74$ note $g$ ． 370

[^133]:    a The following story of Hyrcanus' break with the Pharisees, $\$ 288-298$, has a parallel in the Bab. Talmud, Qiddušin 66a, for which see Derenbourg, pp. 79-81; there the IJasmonaean ruler is called Yannai ( = Alexander Jannacus) 372

[^134]:    a This would have been a violation of the laws, based on Lev. xxi. 1t, concerning the genealogical qualifications of the high priest.
    374

[^135]:    ${ }^{b}$ Variant John (Gr. Joannes) ; the Sadducaean spokesman is called Eleazar in the Talmudic parallel.
    c Variant " would test whether."
    ${ }^{d}$ These legal innovations are noted in the Mishnah, Ma'aser Sheni, v. 15, Sotah ix. 10.

[^136]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The fortress north of the temple on the site of Nehemiah's 382

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ тарıóora LAMWE.
    ${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{om}$. LAMVWE.

[^138]:    ${ }^{c}$ Herod changed the name of Straton's 'Tower to Caesarea, cf. Ant. xv. 331-341.
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[^139]:    a Variant＂Salome＂（her name is not given in the parallel， B．J．i．85）．Her Heb．name was probably Selamsîyôn（cf． Eadaupú，Ant．xviii．170），of which＂Salome＂might be a diminutive，of．Derenbourg，p．102，n．9．＂Salina＂is probably a hellenized form of her Heb．name，perhaps influeneed by that of her contemporary，Selene（ $f f . \$ 420$ ）． That Josephris considered Aristohnlus＇widow to be identical with Alexander Jamnaeus＇wife Alexandra is clear from the context and chronology，although he does not make this ex－ plicit by saying that Salina married Jannaeus．Madden， p．71，is one of the few scholars who distinguish between the 388

[^140]:    a Named Absalom, and later father-in-law of Aristobulus II, cf. Ant. xiv. 71.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Here Josephus resumes the narrative of the Has390

[^141]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cleopatra III and her younger son Ptolemy IX Alexander.
    ${ }^{\circ} C f . \S 274$ note $q$.
    392

[^142]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ A port just S. of the promontory of Carmel, the Talmudic Siqmóna, mod. Tell es-Semak.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ It is not certain from the Gr. whether Ptolemy killed Zoilus or merely imprisoned him.
    " Variant " was secretly sending."

[^143]:    ${ }^{5}$ P Lat. : $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \mu \pi о ́ \mu \epsilon v \circ v$ rell.

[^144]:     $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{F}$ : A sochiton Lat.
    ${ }^{2}$ ' A $\sigma a \phi \bar{\omega} \nu$ LAMW Lat. ${ }^{3}$ super quem Lat.
    a The Talmudic Sikim, mod. Whirbet cl-Lim, c. 5 miles N.W'. of Sepphoris, $c \dot{f}$. Avi Yonah, p. 32. The city and 396

[^145]:    ${ }^{6}$ Ptolemy VIII Soter (Lathyrus).
    ${ }^{c}$ His mother's naval force stopped him at Pelusium. He presently returned to Cyprus (c. 102 в.c.), cf. $\$ 35 \mathrm{~S}$.
    d Alexander Jannaeus.
    e Variant " got round her."
    f Text slightly emended: the sss. reading, " such an abundance of good Jews to belong to one man," is obvionsly corrupt.
    "Or "authority."

[^146]:     ii. 84. 1).
    " One ms. " two thousand." "Variant " zeal."
    " Most mss. add "as he had promised." The Nahatacan king Aretas II is to be distinguished from Aretas III who played an important part in Jewish history in the time of Hyrcanus II, cf. Ant. xiv. 14 ff.
    ' Or " disciplined."

[^147]:    a The singular number of the verbs in this sentence has been substituted by Hudson for the plural of the mss.
    " Cf. Appian. Syr. 69, "he was burned at the gymnasium." Porphyry, ap. Eusebius, Chron. i. 262, says that he committed suicide to escape being burned in the palace.

[^148]:    a Variant " as we have related."
    " i.e. by other historians. If the reading $\delta \in \delta \eta \lambda \dot{\kappa} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ " we have related " is adopted, the formula must be explained as taken over from Josephus' source, probably Nicolas of Damascus.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ At this point the parallels with B.J. are resumed; the 412

[^149]:    a Variants "Gadara," " Charadra." The fact that the place is a village makes the reading "Gadara " (a city) douhtful. See next note.
    ${ }^{b}$ Emended, with Schürer, Niese and others, from B.J.: 414

[^150]:    ${ }^{a}$ § $\S$ 379-389 have only partial parallels in B.J. i. 95-99.

[^151]:    - Probably because he was now at war with his brother Philip, cf. §384.
    c B.J. has "Bemesclis." Klein, in Tarbiz i. (1939/30), 157, corrects the spelling to Bemekchis=Meb. Bet ha-Melek. The eity is probably mod. Misilye, c. 10 miles N.E. of Samaria (Sebaste), cf. Ivi Yonah, p. 26, Abel, (iP ii. 173.
     Thuc. iv. 92. 4.

[^152]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Again a Thucydidean phrase，Thuc．i．49．7． i．e．the Arab king．
    ＂Variant＂seems to have done this thing deliberately．＂ ${ }^{d}$ The Thracians had a reputation for great ferocity． 418

[^153]:     eival ouvéßaıe V.
    ${ }^{2}$ Doovvóos LAMWE: liber pater Lat.
    ${ }^{3}$ simul cum Lat.: $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ Hudson: $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ каì Holwerda: secl. Ibbetson, Naber: кai post ôs tr. Warmington.
    ${ }^{4} \mu \eta \grave{\eta} \delta \omega \rho \circ v \not \mu \epsilon \nu=s$ AM.

[^154]:    a According to B.J. i. 99, Antiochus Dionysus was merely passing through Judaea to attack the Arabs.
    ${ }^{b}$ Here is resumed the close parallelism with B.J., down to $\S 395$.
    ${ }^{c}$ Cf. Ant. xiv. 142. More exactly Chabarsaba (Heb. Kep epharsābā, mod. Kefr Saba) lay near Antipatris, cf. Klein, KY 79 and $\Lambda$ bel, GP ii. 245 ; the latter locates Chabarsaba c. 10 miles N.E. of Joppa, and identifies Antipatris with mod. Fejja c. 6 miles further south.

[^155]:    ${ }^{5} \gamma \in \mathrm{om}$. FAMVWE.

[^156]:    a The contrast between tó $\delta \rho a \sigma r \eta \rho o v ~ a n d ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̈ \pi \rho a \gamma \mu o v ~ i s ~$ Thucydidean (Thuc. ii. 63. 2), and occurs again in Ant. xiv. 13: тò Spaotŕpıov alone occurs in B.J. i. 283 and elsewhere.

[^157]:    a Lit. " firmness of," also a Thucydidean phrase (Thuc. ii. 89. 4), found elsewhere in Josephus, e.g. Ant. xv. 193, B.J. vii. 139.

    - Alexander Jannaeus.
    c Lit. " turn their hopes completely back."
    ${ }^{d}$ § $\$ 412-418$ have no parallels in B.J.
    - Text slightly uncertain.

[^158]:    a The text is clearly defective，but the context makes it probable that the word＂loyal＂or the like is to be supplied．
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Mod．Khirbet Mird，c． 8 miles S．E．of Jerusalem， according to Abel，GP ii． 350.
    436

[^159]:    c Talmudic Sartaba, mod. Qarn Sarṭabeh, c. 3 miles S.W. of the confluence of the Jabbok and Jordan rivers; cf. Abel, $G P$ ii. 241 f. and $B A S O R 62$ (April 1936), 14 ff .
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Mod. Khirbet Mukūer, c. 5 miles E. of the Dead Sea, in the latitude of Bethsur; cf. $\Lambda$ bel, GP ii. 371 f . and Glueck in BASOR 65 (Feb. 1937), 25.
    e ss 418-121 have partial parallels in B.J. i. 115-116.
    ${ }^{\prime}$ C $f$. § 392.

[^160]:    a In the Gr. there is no object for the verb "was besieging," which can hardly be taken to govern the preceding noun "Armenia." As the text stands, the city of 'Tigranocerta must be meant, even if we do not adopt Niese's conjecture, reading " Tigranocerta" for " and Tigranes " in the following sentence. For this siege see H. A. Ormerod in CAH ix. $365-367$.
    440

[^161]:    " The Baris, later called Antonia, as Josephus explains in 13.J. i. 118 ; cf. above, § 307 note $a$.

[^162]:    ${ }^{a} 76$ to 67 в.c. $\quad{ }^{b}$ Compare $\S \S 408$ ff.
    "Apparently this means " who never have enough power in their grasp"; conj. " who are at the height of their power."
    ${ }^{\text {d}}$ є'үкратйs $\alpha \rho \chi \epsilon เ \nu$ is also Thucydidean, cf. Thuc. i. 76. 1.
    "Or" she ": variant " they."
    f Irof. Post conjectures, "she lent the weight of her authority to those who," etc.

    - Text slightly emended; some mss. have " without any to guide it (i.e. the kingdom)."

[^163]:    a Variant " to be accurate and speak."
    ${ }^{b}$ For similar observations on the duty of the historian see B.J. i. 16, Ant. i. 4 et al. Reinach stresses the fact that 448

[^164]:    ${ }^{a}$ §§ 4-8 have partial parallels in B.J. i. 120-123.
    o Variant "the high priesthood." The reading "royal power" ( (Raoideiav) is supported by B.J. i. 120 and other passages, referred to in the following notes.
    c The Olympiad and consular years correspond to $70 / 69$ b.c.: thus Hyrcanus would have assumed royal power two years before the death of Alexandra, if she died in 67 b.c., as is most probable. Moreover we are told in Ant. xiv. 97 and xx. 244 that Aristobulus reigned 3 years and 450

[^165]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Laqueur, pp. 13.-136, pointing out that Ant. gives Hyrcanus a less important position than B.J. (which says that "Hyrcanns, while abdicating the throne, should enjoy all his other honours as the king's brother '"), attributes this slight difference, as similar ones, to Josephus' altered attitude toward the Herodians, whose ancestor Antipater was responsible for Hyrcanus' later triumph over Aristobulus.
    b Justin Martyr, Dial. c. Tryph. 52, says that Antipater was an Ascalonite; Julius Africanus, ap. Eusebius, /list. Eccl. i. 7. 11, says that Antipater's father Herod was a temple-slave of Apollo at Ascalon and that Antipater was

[^166]:    ${ }^{a}$ Gr. $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a \nu . . . \delta v v a \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ o v \tau a s . ~ T w o ~ s i m i l a r ~ r e p e t i-~$ tions of a root occur in this section : ä $\delta \iota \kappa о \nu . . . \dot{\alpha} \delta i ́ \kappa \omega s$ and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ v́т $\epsilon \rho \circ \nu$. . . $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon і$ îov.
    b Text slightly emended. mss.: " putting him (Aristobulus) out of the way."
    ${ }^{c}$ On this Thucydidean contrast of $\tau \dot{\partial}$ ä $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu o \nu$ and $\delta \rho a \sigma-$ $\tau \eta ́ p i o s ~ s c e ~ A n t . ~ x i i i . ~ 408 ~ n o t e ~ a . ~$
    ${ }^{d}$ §§ 14-19 have partial parallels in B.J. i. 124-126.

[^167]:    ${ }^{a}$ Areas III ; cf. Ant. xiii. 360 note $e$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. Ant. xiii. 391 note $f$.
    ${ }^{c}$ Petra is more than a hundred miles from Jerusalem as the crow flies. For a convenient account of the Hellenistic 456

[^168]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ In the rabbinic story the besiegers send up a pig for sacrifice.
    ${ }^{b}$ Gractz, ap. Derenhourg, p. 114 n. 3, in this connexion cites a passage from Dio Cassius, xxxvii. 11, conceruing an earthquake in Asia in 64 b.c.
    c The modius $=c$. $\frac{1}{4}$ bushel. On the price of wheat in this period see $A n t$. xii. 140 note $a$ and, further, F. Heichelheim, 462

[^169]:    "Syria," in T. Frank, An Economic Survey of Ancient Rome, vol. iv. 1938.
    ${ }^{d}$ § 29-34 have partial parallels in B.J. i. 127-131.
    e i.e. in addition to Gabinius. One ms. omits "also."
    ' Tigranes surrendered to Pompey in the autumn of 66 в.с. Scaurus was sent to Damascus the following spring. M. Cary in CAH ix. 381 n .1 states that "The chronology of the Roman occupation of Syria as given in Josephus' Jevish, Antiquities ( $£ 834-36$ as against 29-33) is sadly confused." He does not make clear, however, wherein the confusion lies.

    - 300, according to B.J. i. 128.

[^170]:    ${ }^{1}$ I＇じ：$\epsilon ่ \pi i$ rell．
    2 Capiron Lat．
    

[^171]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ From the description of the object as a vine or garden it may be conjectured that its Heb. name was 'eden $=$ "delight."
    ${ }^{b}$ Does the citation from Strabo end with the preceding sentence, as Naber assumes, or contimue to the words "five hundred talents," as Niese assumes, or further, to the words "ruler of the Jews," as Reinach and, apparently, Schürer assume? On this depends the answer to the problem who is meant by "we ourselves." Schürer, i. 295 n .12 , points out that if Josephus were here writing in the first person he would have mentioned the burning of the temple of Jupiter in A.D. 69. Reinach, moreover, argues that the phrase тò 'Iovסaicuv $\delta v v a a^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta v$, " ruler of the Jews," is not in the style of either Josephus or Nicolas. Though this is not 466

[^172]:    a Text slightly emended.

    - Cft. Ant. xiii. 392, 48.
    e Possibly to be identified with the Baechius Judaeus men468

[^173]:    a Probably in Idmmaea，where Antipater was strongest．
    ${ }^{b}$ We do not know what part Aristobulus played in the pirate raids which were a great menace in the early part of 470

[^174]:    a Conjectured from B.J. ( $\pm$ toonód $\lambda \omega$ s) for ms. Deilum or Delinm. On the site of Diam cf. Ant. xiii. 393 note $c$. Some scholars take B.J. i. 133 to mean that Pompey led Aristobulus from Damascus to Diam before the latter set out for Judaea. But this is a doubtful interpretation. 472

[^175]:    ${ }^{6}$ On the parallelism between $\$ \$ 48-56$ and B.J. i. 13.3-140 see Laqueur, pp. 158-161.
    c Mod. Toll Mazār near Qurarrī, c. 3 miles N.W. of the confluence of the Jabbok and Jordan rivers, and the same distance N.E. of Alexandreion : $c f$. Abel, $G P$ ii. 301 and Moulton in B.AがOR 62 (April 1936), 14.
    " Mod. Qarn Sartabeh, cf. Int. xiii. 417 note $c$.
    " B.J. " lavishly equipped."
    ' B.J. mentions "the irresistible might of the Romans." Laqueur thinks that this phrase is omitted in Int. becanse Josephus "had freed himself from the official Roman Polilik." But cf. § 72 noteb (p. 48.4).

    YOL. VII

[^176]:    ${ }^{1}$ oтaбॉшт $\omega$ N Niese．
    ${ }^{2}$ є́коұа⿱⿱亠䒑日，om．PE Lat．

[^177]:    a 3 pom. On these daily sacrifices see Ant. iii, 237 note $d$.
    ${ }^{b}$ Not the third month of the Jewish year (roughly June) but the third month of the siege, as we are told in B.J. i. 149 ; see next note.
    c The 179th Olympiad (first year) extended from July 64 to July 63 nc.; the consulship of Antonius and Cicero was in 63 bic.; the combination of the two dates gives us the first half of 63 в.c. for the capture of the city. This date cannot be harmonized with the mention of the Fast Day, if by this Josephus means the Day of Atonement, which falls on the 10th of Tishri (roughly October). A plausible ex480

[^178]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ That is，by Jews of the opposite faction，as we are told in B．J．i． 150 ．
    482

[^179]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cf．Ant．xiii．356，where its capture，but not its destruct－ tion，is mentioned．
    ${ }^{b}$ Diam is not mentioned in the parallel in B．J．
    ${ }^{c}$ Arethusa，not mentioned previously in Ant．，should be a city on the coast，to judge from its position in the list，and is therefore presumably to be distinguished from the Arethusa （mod．Restian）in northern Syria，near Emus（looms）．
    d To this list of cities we should add several more in the 486

[^180]:    a étaıəıкóv is a Thueydidean term (Thuc. viii. 48. 3), for which B.J. has oi $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \tau$.
    ${ }^{b}$ Josephus omits to say here, as he does in 13.J. i. 163, that 492

[^181]:    a This refers to the period before Ponpey's capture of Jernsalem in 63 в.c., $c f . \$+$ note $c$.
    b Variants " after this," "then."
    c The variant "Idumata" is a scribal error.
    ${ }^{d}$ Gahinius came to Egypt in the spring of 55 n.c. with I'tolemy XI Anletes, to restore him to the throne then held 498

[^182]:    ${ }^{a}$ These were undoubtedly pagan semi-proselytes; cf. the ancient references in Juster i. 974.n. 6.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ oủk á $\mu a ́ \rho \tau u \rho o v$ is another Thucydidean phrase (Thuc. ii. 41. 4).
    c Mithridates VI Eupator, who defeated the Roman army in Asia Ninor in 88 b.c.

[^183]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ On Cleopatra III of Egypt and her deposit of money in Cos in 102 b.c. see Ant. xiii. 349. Reinaeh conjectures that the 800 talents were deposited at the same time by her Jewish generals Chelkias and Ananias, sinee the sum is inuch too large to represent the contributions of the Jews of Asia Minor. Similarly Willrich, Urkundenfälschung, p. 74, insists that it was the Jews of Egypt who took away their money in fear of l'tolemy Lathyrus, and he charges Josephus with ignorance of the real situation in 88 b.c. But Strabo's language suggests that the 800 talents came from a different period (otherwise he would have written, "the money which Cleopatra and the Jews had deposited '). Moreover the 506

[^184]:    a That the Jews had a separate status from that of metics is quite in accord with what we know of their position in the Hellenistic states; $c f$. the Appendix on this subject in the last volume of this translation.
    b Variant " had." The change of tenses in this quotation indicates that Strabo was speaking, in this sentence, and also further on, not of the period of Sulla but also of his own 508

[^185]:    a Cf. above $\S 39$ note $b$.
    ${ }^{6}$ On the parallelism between $\S \S$ 197-155 and B.J. i. 187200 see Laqueur, pp. 165-171, 223. Here again Laqueur tries to show that Josephus' attitude toward Antipater and Herod is less favourable in Ant. than is that of his source (Nicolas) in B.J.; at the same time he admits that Nicolas had probably exaggerated the services of Antipater at the expense of Hyrcanus. So too Motzo in a monograph on this subject, cited by Monigliano, Ricerche, pp. 189-190. Sce further works cited in Appendix L.
    ${ }^{c}$ Caesar defeated Pompey at Pharsalus in August (Julian reckoning), 48 s.c. Pompey was killed when he landed in Egypt in September, 18 в.c.
    $\epsilon \pi \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta$, the title also given to Antipater in the 514

[^186]:    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. above, $\S 131$ note $d$.
    " Text slightly emended : mss. " called."
    d Variant " forty "; B3.J. i. 192 has " eighty."

    - This tribute to Antipater's prowess seems no less notable than that given in the parallel, B.J. 192-193-contrary to Laqueur's views. B.J. has some enlogistic phrases missing in Ant. but en revanche it lacks others found here.

[^187]:    ${ }^{a}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \psi \eta^{\eta} \phi \zeta \zeta \epsilon$ is the equivalent of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau a \dot{\tau} \epsilon \iota$, of.$W$. Larfeld, Griechische Epigraphik, 1914, p. 333.
    ${ }^{b}$ This word, missing in the mss., is supplied by Boeckh.
    c The preceding formulae correspond perfectly with the usual style of an Athenian decree, of. Larfeld, loc. cit.
    " The words " son of Alexander" (Jannaeus) must have 528

[^188]:    * Text uncertain ; perhaps it read originally, " at the gymnastic games of the Panathenaean and Eleusinian festivals" or, as Reinach suggests, on the basis of con530

[^189]:    c B.J. i. 203 reads "sluggish and without the energy necessary to a king."
    " As Otto points out, Herodes, p. 18, Josephus' emphasis on Herod's youth indicates that "fifteen years" is not a scribal error for "twenty-five years." However Josephus is inconsistent; in Ant. xvii. 148 he tells us that Herod was about seventy when he died (in 4 в.c.), hence he must have been about twenty-five in 47 в.c.

[^190]:    c On the competence of Synhedrion and king in such cases see Finkelstein ii. 6st ff.
    " Variant " as he thought it to his best interests to secure them in this way."
    ${ }^{e}$ The compulsion exercised by Sextus Caesar is cited by Schalit, p. 60, as evidence that Judaea at this time was still in the position of a civitas stipendiaria, to which it had been reduced by l'ompey and Gabinins. But as Julins Caesar had already improved, or was about to improve, the political status of Judaea, it may be that Sextus Caesar was exceeding his anthority. Sce further works listed in $\Lambda$ ppendix $L$.

[^191]:    ${ }^{a}$ Ant. xv. 3 ff .
    ${ }^{6}$ On the parallelism between $\$ \$$ 178-184 and B.J. i. 212-215 see Laqueur, pp. 181-186.

[^192]:    ${ }^{6}$ On the authenticity, dates, etc., of the official documents quoted in the following chapter see works listed in Appendix J. Here it may suffice to remark that the order of the documents is confused and that the reading of many names is douthtful.
    c Preparations were made in the summer of 57 b.c. Caesar sailed for Ifrica early in Octolser: for details of the canpaign see T. Rice Ilolmes, The Rnman Republic, iii. $53+\mathrm{ff}$.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ аи̇токр́́тореs $=$ Lat. imperatores.
    e Meaning the l'ersian and Seleucid kings and Roman emperors. Although $\beta$ aat $\lambda \epsilon$ ús is not generally applied to the Roman emperor before the second century a.d., Joseplims twice speaks of the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i s$ т $\bar{\omega} \nu$ 'P' $\omega \mu \alpha i \omega \nu$, in B.J. iii. 351 and iv. 596 .

[^193]:    " Variant " no longer."
    ${ }^{6}$ That is, non-Cireek-speaking or oriental peoples.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Aecording to Suetonius, Vesp. 8. 4, Vespasian replaced the archives of the Capitol which had been burned in A.D. 69 , "ipse restitutionem Capitolii aggressus . . . aerearumque tabularum tria milia quae simul conflagraverant restituenda 548

[^194]:    a Variant " high priest."
    ${ }^{b}$ This would be in 44 b.c. Some scholars, however, emend " fifth time" to " second time" and refer the document to 47 b.c., although Caesar was not Consul in that year, or to 46 b.c. ; see next note.
    ${ }^{c}$ As Sehürer points out, i. 346 n. 24, Caesar had given Hyrcanus permission to rebuild the walls of Jerusalem in 47 в.c., $c f . \S 144$. Niese therefore assumes that we have here a senatus consultum of 44 n.c. confirming the oral decrees given by Caesar a few years earlier. Mendelssohn and Viereck also assume that a senatus consultum of leb. 44 в.с. 55.

[^195]:    ${ }^{1}$ каi тoîs iєpєv̂oıv om. FLV Lat. fort. rete.
    ${ }^{2}$ coli. : er $\pi i$ cold.

[^196]:    a Of Esdraelon, cf. Ant. xii. 315.
    ${ }^{6}$ These phrases, in the opinion of several scholars, indicate that we have here the fragments of a senatus consultum of 44 в.c., see above.

[^197]:    c The variant omits " and pricsts." The reference is to priestly revenues.
    d Text doubtful ; "̈ть "also " is my cmendation of $\epsilon \pi i$.
    e 'These kings are probably dynasts of Syria, to whom Pompey had granted Jewish territory, as Schürer suggests, i. 347 n. 25 , not the Selencid kings, as Reinach suggests. The allusion to their being " allies of the Romans " makes the latter supposition improbable.
    f "And" is conjecturally supplied.

    - In Latin mayister equitum ; the reference here is probably to Mark Antony, cf. Plutarch, Aul. 8.

[^198]:    ${ }^{16}$ Гєрои́кьоs I': 'Paíoкьos Mendelssohn.
    ${ }^{17} \mathrm{P}$ : T $\eta \lambda \eta$ тiva aut T $\eta \lambda \iota \tau i v a$ rell.

[^199]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{P}: \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta$ rell．Lat．，ef．$\S 26 \geq$.
    ${ }^{2}$ árioss P ．
    ${ }^{3}$ ex Lat．edd．：ámé $\lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon$ codd．

[^200]:    a January 2．4， 43 в．c．
    ${ }^{6}$ On this special use of moditar sec Ant．xii． 46 note $d$ ．
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Lucius Lentulus Crus，consul in 49 в．c．，was commissioned

[^201]:    a Variant " yours," which would mean the Jewish citizens of Sardis alone.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Probably a synagogue, cf. S. Krauss, Synagogale Altertiimer, p. 185.
    c The statement in $\S \S 236-237$ and the subjoined decree in 574

[^202]:    ${ }^{a}$ Exactly what priestly revenues (for Jerusalem) are meant is not clear ; картós in the lxx sometimes means " first-fruits," but here it may include tithes, as Reinach suggests.
    ${ }^{-}$Text slightly uncertain.
    c A prytanis named Cratippus is mentioned in a Pergamene inscription to be dated 150-50 b.c., according to Juster, i. 134 n. 3, citing Ath. Mitt. 17 (1902), 126 and JÖAI 8 (1905), 238. See further note $a$ on p. 582.
    ${ }^{d}$ Hyrcanus I is meant.
    e An Apollonius, son of Alexander, is mentioned as an envoy of Hyrcanus I to Rome in Ant. xiii. 260.
    ${ }^{\prime}$ Cf. $\S 241$ note $e$.

[^203]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Here is resumed the parallelism with $B . J$. : $\$ \S 965-279$ are parallel with B.J. i. 216-224, cf. Laqueur, pp. 186-188. 592

[^204]:    ${ }^{a}$ According to Abel, ii. 339 and Avi Yonah, p. 11, this is mod. Jifna ( 3 miles) N.W. of Bethel. It was the capital of a toparchy in Roman times, as were the other cities here mentioned, of. Dr. Thackeray's note on B.J. iii. 55.
    ${ }^{b}$ Later Nicopolis, Mod. Amwas, cf. Ant. xiii. 15 note $c$.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Later Diospolis, mod. Ludd, cf. Ant. xiii. 127 note $c$ (p. 289). ${ }^{d}$ ('f. Ant. xiii. 5 note $e$.
    "Cf. B.J. i. 222, " He was proceeding so far as to put Malichus to death for tardiness in levying the tribute." 596

[^205]:    a Correction of mss. " Marcus," cf. § 270 note $g$.

    - One ms. "Syria."
    c Un the parallelism between $\$ \S 280-369$ and IB.J. i. 225-273 see Laqueur, pp. 188-193. He is unable to find any "remarkable "discrepancies or tendentious alterations in these sections.

[^206]:    a In B..J. Josephus characterizes Antipater as "a man of great energy in the conduct of affairs, especially in recovering and preserving the kingdom for Hyrcanus." Here, if 600

[^207]:    a Modern Sebbe on the E. shore of the Dead Sea; see the full description of the Hasmonaean and Herodian fortresses in B.J. vii. 280 ff ., and A. Schulten (et al.), Die Burg des Herodes, 1933.
    ${ }^{b}$ Ptolemy, ruler of Chalcis in Lebanon, had married Alexandra, the sister of Antigonus, a few years earlier, see above, § 126.
    606

[^208]:    ${ }^{c}$ On this meaning of Túpavros see Ant. xiii. 235 note $a$.
    " Variant " through tyranny " : the reading here adopted is supported by B.J. i. 239 .
    e From the decree of Antony cited below, $\$ s 314 \mathrm{ff}$., it appears that Herod was not entirely successful in expelling the Tyrians from Jewish territory.
    ${ }^{f}$ IJ.J. i. 238 says more frankly, " to procure for himself the favour of the citizens, and for the prince (Marion) their hatred."

[^209]:    a This detail is not mentioned at this point in B．J．On Antony＇s friendship with Herod＇s father，who had been his host more than a decade earlier，see $\S 326$（parallel with B．J．i．244）． 610

[^210]:    a The text is obscure and probably incomplete. The

[^211]:    a The Greek here closely follows the Latin idiom sub hasta venire. ${ }^{\circ}$ See above, $\S \S 217 \mathrm{ff}$, 224 ff .
    c Text slightly uncertain.
    ${ }^{\text {d Cf. }}$ Cf 8 297-298.

[^212]:    ${ }^{8}$ évavtı $\omega \theta$ évt $\omega v$ AMW.

[^213]:    a The word " allies " (ov $\mu$ áxoıs) should not be taken too seriously, since Judaea was in reality a vassal state within the Roman empire.

    - The party of Brutus and Cassius.
    " For $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ " our" Reinach suggests $\dot{v} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ " your," on the ground that Syria had never been assigned to Antony as a province. But "our " may refer to the Caesarian party, represented in this case by Dolabella, see above, § 289 note $d$.

[^214]:    ${ }^{a}$ Here the Greek translates the Latin formula triumviratus rei publicae constituendae (this passage is not noted by Magie, p. 100). ${ }^{b}$ 'Text slightly emended.

    - Text slightly uncertain, perhaps incomplete.
    "The variant " the Arabs" is a scribal error.

[^215]:    - 'Apaßios F corr. AMV: 'Apios W.

[^216]:    ${ }^{a}$ At this point is resumed the parallelism with B.J.; §8 324-354 are parallel with B.J. i. 245-263.

    - In the late summer of 41 в.c. Antony had summoned her to answer a charge (not founded in fact) of aiding Cassius. It was on this occasion that Cleopatra, adorned as Aphrodite, 690

[^217]:    a Herod's intercession is not mentioned in B.J.

    - See above, $\$ 303$. The bribe is not mentioned a second time as here in the parallel, B.J. i. 245.
    c B.J. " the tetrarchs."
    "So the Epitome and Lat. : mss. "he."

[^218]:    ${ }^{d}$ Niese's slight alteration of the text (one consonant), here adopted, makes the clause mean that the satrap had great influence with Antigonus; the sss. reading means that Lysanias had great influence with the satrap.
    e According to B.J. i. 248 it was Lysanias who promised the bribe to the Parthians. From this and similar discrepancies, Laqueur argues (unconvincingly) that Ant. shows a more intense nationalism than B.J. But in B.J. i. 257 Antigonus is cited as the one responsible for the promised bribe-a passage that Laqueur does not mention.
    f The request for the destruction of the Herodians is not mentioned in B.J. Again Laqueur fails to comment.

    - This statement too is an addition to B.J.
    ${ }^{n}$ i.e. Pacorus, as is more clearly stated in B.J.

[^219]:    a Text uncertain ; the variant has " those who were in command of the cities "; for "were stationed" Reinach conjectures, from B.J., "those of the enemy who were in revolt." 630

[^220]:    ${ }^{\text {o }}$ The name Ecdippa is restored from B.J.; the mss. have the awkward reading " with horsemen." Ecdippa is bibl. Achzils, mod. ez $/ \bar{i} 6$ on the coast midway between Carmel and Tyre, cf. Aut. v. 85 note $c$.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ According to R.J. the five hundred women included " most of their own " (the Herodians').
    ${ }^{d}$ The promise of boats is a detail omitted in B.J.

    - The second motive is not mentioned in B.J.

[^221]:    ${ }^{6}$ The last clause has no parallel in B.J.
    c The king's son. 'The cupbearer Pacorus was busy with Herod, of. IB.J. i. $261=$ Int. \& 319.
    ""They" is conjectured from R.J. for mss. "he " (Ihasael).
    " So B.J. : mš. "eunuch."
    'From the !, atin lichards and Shutt conjecturally supply "indignantly."

[^222]:    a Alexandra, the mother of Mariamme (on the betrothal see above $\S 300$ ). B.J. i. 26 ! has " Mariamme, the daughter of Hyrcanus, most sagacious of women "; there, it seems, 63.

[^223]:    " "Themselves" is an emendation of mss. " them " (i.e. their friends).
    ${ }^{-}$The phrase $\pi a \rho \iota \omega \nu . .$. Oappєiv . . . $\pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \tau \circ$ is reminiseent of Thucydides iv. 94. 2 and vii. 76.
    c Sce above, § 296 note $a$.

[^224]:    a So B.J. ; variant in Ant. " six." 60 stades $=c .7$ miles.
    ${ }^{b}$ The words ámopia and áváyк $\eta$ are coupled in Thucydides vi. 68.4.
    c Text slightly uncertain.
    ${ }^{d}$ Called Herodeion in B.J. i. 265 ; a description of the site is given in B.J. i. 419. It is the mod. Jebel el-Fureidis, c. 4 miles S.E. of Bethlehem, and is to be distinguished from the fortress Herodeion, cf. Aut. xvi. 13.
    " Oresa" is Schlatter's excellent emendation of mss. "Thresa" or "Rhesa " (B.J.) ; it is bibl. Horeshah, mod. Khirbet h"horeisa, c. 8 miles S. of Hebron (cf. Abel, GP ii. 350 and 1 vi Yonah, 1. 22).

[^225]:    " Variant "eighty." B.J. has " no more than three hundred" ; cf. Laqueur, p. 190.
    "Conjectured from Lat. and B.J. for mss. "Judaea."
    ' Mod. Tell Sandahanna, cf. Ant. viii. 246 note $i$.

[^226]:    ＂（if．Ant．iii．2is－zity on Lev．xxi． 17 ff ．A rabbinic parallel，on the mutilation of a（Sadducacan）high priest by biting his ear，is found in the Toseplsta，Sarah iii．8；see works listed in Appendix K ．
    ${ }^{\circ}$ According to Julius Africanus（see above，§ 366 note $f$ ）， Phasael perished in battle with the Parthians．
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Phasael＇s statement is given as a direct quotation in B．J．， which adds（§273）that the Parthians were disappointed of 642

[^227]:    a Mod. el-'Ariš on the border of Palestine and Egypt, of. Ant. xiii. 395.
    ${ }^{5}$ I.I. adds that the news grieved him greatly.
    ${ }^{6}$ Pelusium was r. 80 miles from Rhinocoroura.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ According to B.J. Cleopatra " hoped to get Herod to 646

[^228]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 'This was probably abont December 40 в.c., soon after the P'act of Brundisiun, (October) between Octavian and Antony 6.48

[^229]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ This is slightly inaccurate，as the 18tth Olympiad had ended several months earlier（July 40 в．c．）．
    ${ }^{\circ}$ On the parallelism between $\S \S 390-438$ and B．J．i．286－320 651

[^230]:    ${ }^{6}$ His name is given as Pupedius (cll. Pompedius, Pop pedius) Silo in Dio Cassius xtviii. 41.
    ${ }^{c}$ Herod had sailed from Italy in December, 40 в.с. or January, 39 b.c. (see above, $\$ 5379,357$ notes). Ventidius was near Jerusalem in the latter part of 39 н.с. (cf. Debevoise, p. 116). Herod must therefore have had more than half a year to prepare a force against Antigonus.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Name slightly emended from B.J.

[^231]:    a Antipater being an Idumaean, see above, $\S \S 8-10$.
    " On this conjecture for mss. " Rhesa" (or "Physa") see abore, $\$ 361$ note $e$.
    c Text slightly uncertain.

[^232]:    a Or "which had been added to his territory," see the preceding note.
    " Variant (wrongly) " Judaca "; B.J. has "Idumaca." 634

[^233]:    6 ${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \nu$ FLV'E.
    ${ }^{6}$ фєúyєir P.

[^234]:    a Mod. K゙hirbet Irbid, a few miles W. of the Sea of Galilee and N.W. of Tiberias, cf. Ant. xii. $4 \geqslant 1$ note $a$. In Vita 188 Josephus calls the place "the Cave of Arbela." The ruins 666

[^235]:    a The word "night" is conjecturally supplied from the Latin.
    ${ }^{6}$ Text slightly emended.
    c B.I., on the contrary, says that "not one of them voluntarily surrendered."
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Or "cribs," see above, § 123.

[^236]:    " Variant "had been routed." The battle took place in June, $3 \times$ r.c. at Gindarus in the Syrian district of Cyrrhestica. For the ancient sources see Debevoise. pp. 118-119, notes 97-10\%.
    ${ }^{6}$ Who was now (summer of 35 в.c.) in Syria, see below, §439.

[^237]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cf. Ant. xiii. 15 note $c$.

    - 'The preceding account of Nachaeras' actions differs in some important details from the parallel, B.J. i. 317-319, which says that Antigonus, complaining bitterly of Herod, trice to bribe Machacras, but the bribe was refused because Machacras respected the orders of Ventidius and was also tempted by a larger bribe offered by Herod: after being repulsed by Antigonus, Machaeras in shame (nothing is said of his belated recognition of the soundness of Herod's advice) 674

[^238]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ In B．J．Herod＇s warning to Joseph not to engage Antigonus（nothing is said about quarrelling with Machaeras， although his untrustworthiness is mentioned）follows the account of the sicge of Samosata instead of preceding it， as here．
    ${ }^{6}$ On the parallelism between $\S \S 439-464$ and B．J．i．321－342 $6 \% 6$

[^239]:    ${ }^{a}$ King of Commagrene, see above, $\S 439$ note $c$.
    ${ }^{6}$ I3..J. says that Merod's arrival " brought the siege to a conclusion." According to Plutareh, Ant. 31, Antony made 680

[^240]:    a The matter is put less factually in IB．J．i．332，which says that Herod＂judged this to be a sign both of perils and of preservation in the coming war．＂
    ${ }^{b}$ Presumably in an earthquake，as is supposed by J．Garstang，The Story of Jericho（1940），p． 136. 68 ．

[^241]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ B.J. i. 332 says, on the contrary, that Antigonus' troops had not the courage to come to close quarters with the Romans. Possibly in Ant. we should read, not éфóßouv, but '̇фoßov̂vтo (tov̀s 'Pwpaious) " but they were afraid of the liomans." ${ }^{d}$ Text slightly emended.
    e us. P " a large force."
    8 So the editions: mss. " left."

    - Not "Kana" as in B.J. throngh a scribal error. Isana is bibl. Jeshanah ( $f f$. Ant. viii. 281), identified by Albright, BASOR 9 (February 1923), p. 7 (cf. Abel, GP ii. 36.4 and Klein, EY, p. 85 note 8) with mod. Burj el-Isâneh, c. 20 miles N. of Jerusalem on the Nablus road.

[^242]:    a Variant "from Jericho and Judaea"; B.J. "from Jericho and the rest of the country ( $\chi$ was) "-probably meaning Judaea. I.J. adds that these recruits came, " some drawn by hatred of Antigonus, others by his own successes, the majority by a blind love of change."
    686

[^243]:    - This is more dramatically expressed in B.J.i. 336, " with his memories of his murdered brother, hazarding all to be avenged on his murderers." There are several other dramatic phrases in these sections of B.J. not paralleled in Ant.
    " Variant " some "; B.J. supports our text.
    ${ }^{d}$ This detail is not found in B.J.
    " roíx $\omega$ " "house-walls" is conjectured for mss. $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ " city-walls." A eonjectured variant is "outside " for mss. " within." B.J. has "there was such a heap of corpses that the streets were impassable."
    ${ }^{\prime}$ This must have been during the winter of 38-37 b.c. or in the early spring of 37 в.с.

[^244]:    " The variant " advanced upon him " is excluded by the context. © See above, $\S 450$.
    e On the paraltelism between $\$ 8465-491$ (end of book) and B.J. i. 343-357 see Laqueur, pp. $210-215$.
    ' More exaetly two and a half years after his appointment : this had taken place in the late autumn of 40 b.c. (see ahove, \& 359) and it was now the early spring of 37 в.с.
    ${ }^{3}$ See ahove, $\$ s 60 \mathrm{ff}$.

[^245]:    a This is another detail not found in B.J. Josephus here seems to say that the siege took place during a sabbatical year, namely that which extended from Oct. 38 to Oct. 37 в.c.. as most scholars assume. But there is good reason to believe that this sabbatical year extended from Oct. 37 to Oct. 36 rec. (see the notes on fut. xii. 378 , xiii. .34 , xiv. 201 and below, $\S 487$ ). We must therefore, it seems, make one of the three following assumptions: (1) Josephus knew that the siege occurred in the summer of the year corresponding to 37 bic. but was mistaken in saying (or implying) that this fell in a sabbatical year (beginning Oct. 38 в.c.). (2) Josephus assumed that the siege took place during a sabbatical year, in the summer of 36 H.c. This seems to be borne ont by the statement below, $\& 488$, that Sossins and Herod captured Jerusalem 27 years after Pompey had done so ( 63 b.c.) : thus Jerusalem fell in 36 н.c. as maintained by Gimpach and ('aspari (up. Schürer i. 358 n. 11). Zeitlin's argument, MT, 694

[^246]:    a Laqueur, pp. 213-214, ingeniously argues that the seemingly anti-1 lerodian details in these two added sections really conceal the pro-llerodian bias of Josephus' source here, namely Herod's Memoirs.

    - 'The Upper City lay on the western hill. 696

[^247]:    ${ }^{c}$ At this point is resumed the close parallelism with B.J.; §§ $479-486=$ B.J. i. $351-356$.
    ${ }^{d}$ According to B.J. i. 351 the Jews sustained the siege into the fifth month, while Ant., below, \& 487, appears to state that the city fell in the third month (of the siege) or even less (see above, $\S 4.76$ ) ; but the point of time to which " the third month " refers in Aut. is by no means certain.

[^248]:    a That is, ironically; conjectured variant " jeered at him." B.J. has " burst into immoderate laughter at him."

    - The feminine form of the name Antigonus.

[^249]:    a Variant " and settled many of its inhabitants in Egypt."
    " One ms. " and settled the Jews and made them citizens." VOL. VII

    22
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[^250]:    a This section belongs before section xiii.

[^251]:    ${ }^{1}$ aủróv $\tau \epsilon$ om．PFLV Lat．

[^252]:    a The variant omits this section.

    - Niese brackets this section as a duplication of the preceding one.
    718

[^253]:    ${ }^{a}$ It was Aristobulus who resorted to a stratagem，accord－ ing to Josephus．Perhaps we should read $\chi \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v$ for хрךоа́ $\mu \in \nu$ v．
    720

[^254]:    "One ss. adds, " when Pompey had fled together with the Senate."
    c One ms. adds, "from chains."
    "One ms. adds, " and how Scipio at Pompey's order executed Alexander, the son of Aristobulus, by beheading."

[^255]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     ктєlvev FL.

    ```
    \({ }^{2}\) ís . . . є̄̀aßov om. Lat.
    \({ }^{3} \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \omega v\). . . 'Itadiav om. Lat.
    + Sєŋ \(\theta\) cis 'Avcurion om. Lat.
    ```

[^256]:    a Variant (to whole section) "How, when Cassius had retired from Syria and Herod had proceeded to Fabius, Helix collected an army against Phasael, and how Helix, being defeated, took refuge in a tower and Phasael let him go under a truce. How Antigonus, the son of Aristobulus, being aided by Marion, the prince of Tyre, encountered Herod and was routed and driven from Judaea. How, after the defeat of Cassius in Macedonia at the hands of the young Caesar and Antony, Herod with large sums of money courted the farour of Antony, who had come to Bithynia, and how on that account Antony did not receive those who came with the intention of accusing Herod; and how he wrote to the Tyrians on behalf of the Jews. How, when Antony again came to Syria, and certain men accused Herod and Phasael, not only did he not listen to them, but he also appointed Herod and Phasael tetrarchs, and killed some of their accusers, ten in number " (cf. § 294).

    - The Lat. omits this section.
    c Lat. omits " fled from there to Italy."
    "Lat. omits "appealing to Antony."

