MEMOIRS

 \mathbf{OF}

THE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA.

Palæontologia Indica,

BEING

FIGURES AND DESCRIPTIONS OF THE ORGANIC REMAINS PROCURED DURING THE PROGRESS OF THE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA.

PUBLISHED BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

New Series.

Vol. IX, Memoir No. 1.

On the Blake Collection of Ammonites from Kachh, India,

By
L. F. SPATH, D.Sc., F.G.S.

CALCUTTA:

SOLD AT THE OFFICE OF THE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA, 27, CHOWRINGHEE ROAD.

ON THE BLAKE COLLECTION OF AMMONITES FROM KACHH, INDIA.

 \mathbf{BY}

L. F. SPATH, D.Sc., F.G.S.

The collection of Kachh ammonites made by the late Prof. J. F. Blake, and already referred to by Dr. Kitchin (43) in these memoirs, is now preserved in the British Museum (Natural History) and includes an interesting suite of over 570 specimens. Through the kindness of Drs. Smith Woodward and Bather the writer was permitted to study this collection, a few specimens in which Prof. Blake had named himself. Though there are a certain number of new species, these are not specially dealt with in the present paper, the object of which is rather to give a provisional account of the examination of the collection as a whole.

In order satisfactorily to revise the species of Jurassic ammonites from Kachh, a study of Waagen's types (100) would be necessary. The writer has unfortunately not been able to re-examine these types, so that it is feared that the true affinities of some of Waagen's species may still remain uncertain. According to Noetling (63) Waagen's figures are in many cases considerably, and not always happily, restored. But Noetling himself misrepresented the Baluchistan fauna he was describing; for the majority of his ammonite species are of Argovian, not Callovian age. Noetling not only followed Waagen in misinterpreting Sowerby's A. lamellosus and A. opis but also mistook Waagen's Perisphinctes spirorbis for P. aberrans, owing to the wrong numbering of Waagen's plates, though he reexamined Waagen's type.

Noetling's "Sphaeroceras bullatum," very distinct from the Kachh "Sphaeroceras" cosmopolita, Parona and Bonarelli sp. (70a), also "Perisphinetes" balinensis and "P." baluchistanensis, Noetling, are probably of Callovian age; and the two latter species are of the type of Grossouvria furcula and G. aff. spirorbis (Neumayr) Waagen, here recorded from the Middle and Lower Chari groups of Jumara. The large example of Macrocephalites macrocephalus, figured by Noetling on pl. viii, may also belong to the formosus-group of early macrocephali, discussed below, though similar forms, here referred to a new genus, occur again, e.g. in the upper beds of Gangta Bét, associated with typical Argovian Perisphinetes. There seems to be no doubt, however, that the forms described by Noetling as Macrocephalites polyphemus, lamellosus, opis, subcompressus and transiens do not belong to the Callovian true macrocephali, and the confusion or many developments of different dates by Lemoine (48a) who more recently published a revision of the genus "Macrocephalites," has greatly complicated, instead of simplified, matters.

Again. Parona and Bonarelli (70b), who had dealt with the genus "Macrocephalites" ten years earlier, compared with M. tumidus (Reinecke) the holotype of A. herveyi. Sowerby (88, pl. 195, upper figure) though the more finely costate original of Sowerby's very misleading lower figure may be closer to Reinecke's species than the true A. herveyi. Parona and Bonarelli also included in Macrocephalites tumidus both M. grantanus (Oppel) and Stephanoceras tumidum, Waagen (non Reinecke), also A. herveyi, Sowerby 1840, (89, pl. xxiii, fig. 5) all of which species are quite distinct, as is Blake's (2a) "Macrocephalites" herveyi (non Sowerby).

There is thus a far too comprehensive interpretation of species and genera by many writers, and it may be recalled that Kitchin (43) in 1900, thought that the proportion of Kachh Cephalopoda formerly considered to be identical with European types, namely, 47 out of 156, was too large. Nevertheless, when strictly contemporaneous faunas are compared, e.g. the Aspidoceras-Peltoceratoides-Perisphinctes assemblage of Vieil St. Rémi, Ardennes, with the corresponding faunas in the Dhosa Oolites, there is striking agreement, though Cardiocerates are absent in India. It is hoped that the present attempt to correlate the Kachh fauna with European equivalents will prove of interest, likewise the incorporation in this paper, of a revision of the generic nomenclature, and the addition of the writer's interpretation of Sowerby's types of Kachh ammonites (89, 90), also preserved in the British Museum (Geological Society Collection) (1 & 21), and, like Waagen's species, generally much misunderstood.

The localities represented by the largest number of specimens are :—Kantcote (109), Khera (= Keera) (59), Jumara (57), Jikadi (21), Badi (40), Wanda (30), South Maujal (30), Katrol (28), Barodia (23), Ler (= Leir or Lair) (East 17, West 4), Jooria (20). The remaining localities include Jarra (= Jara), Gangta Bét, Soorka, Lodai, Narrha (= Nurrha), Charvar, known from Waagen's descriptions, and eighteen others. Lists of ammonites from some of these localities are given at the end of this paper, with the zonal information as marked by Prof. Blake on the specimens. Unfortunately there appears to be no manuscript record of these beds with their thicknesses and other desirable information, and the remainder of the specimens bear merely locality labels.

Eight examples of Cretaceous Ammonoids from the "Top-Beds" of Artara are referred to the Aptian. The Jurassic ammonites range from the Callovian to the Kimmeridgian, but do not represent a continuous succession of zones. Dacqué (26) considered the 1,800 m. of Kachh deposits to form a complete series from the Bathonian to "beyond the Tithonian." The Kachh ammonites as yet known, however, represent but fragments of the various divisions of the Middle and Upper Jurassic, as will be seen on perusal of Table II.

To take only the case of the macrocephali, there are several Callovian horizons containing these forms (not-separated in Table II) in addition to those of the Cornbrash. The macrocephalus beds of Kachh may not correspond with the exact horizons studied by Model (57) in Franconia and by Buckman (11, vol. IV,

part 32) in England, but until exact data are to hand from other comparable localities, and the ideal universal sequence of the various horizons in the "macrocephalus beds" is established, an exact determination of the position within the old "macrocephalus zone" of the Kachh representatives is impossible.

As regards the larger divisions, equal caution must be exercised. The Kachh fauna, e.g., does not appear to include deposits corresponding with undescribed Madagascar and Somaliland faunas of Argovian? and "Tithonian" ages, or anything comparable to the very peculiar (Callovian?) fauna described by Neumayr (59) and Crick (20) from Western Australia, whence Moore (58) had recorded a very doubtful A. macrocephalus. There may be enormous gaps, probably very incompletely bridged by plant-bearing beds; and a frequency of non-sequences is now found to be very general, though mostly not evident, in apparently continuous sections. When facies and lithology are similar, and the beds have, as in the Alps, been metamorphosed and disturbed tectonically, serious errors of observation have resulted (73). Any Bathonian or Callovian remnant in the Alps that happens to follow, non-sequentially, on the Bajocian is referred to the "Klaus Beds" and the dissimilarity of the ammonite faunas of these beds of different ages is erroneously attributed to horizontal variation. When the full sequence of all the horizons is known the thickness of the Jurassic will be found to be incomparably greater than is believed at present. The evolution of the ammonites could not have been nearly so rapid as is generally supposed on account of the discontinuous nature of even the most representative sections.

A few words are necessary with regard to the classification here adopted. The writer feels that the conventional "laws" of development, as enunciated by Hyatt and Buckman, will not enable us to solve the problem of ammonite phylogeny. The widespread simplification of suture-lines in post-Triassic stocks has not been fully appreciated and highly significant is the fact that *Phylloceratidae* alone survive into the Jurassic and, with Lytoceratids, persist to the uppermost Cretaceous. The appearance of ornamentation in Phylloceratids and Lytoceratids, from the Hettangian *Pleuracanthites* up to *Phylloceras strigile* of the Spiti Shales, with consequent modification of the suture line, also has not attracted attention. In the writer's opinion the natural order of Jurassic ammonites may well be from complex to simple, *i.e.* the reverse of that given by Buckman (10c). In the circumstances, it is impossible to regard the classification here adopted as anything but provisional.

With highly specialised nomenclature and the popular skipping of hypothetical stages the lineal descendance of the family Oppelidae from Bajocian Oppelia might be brilliantly elaborated; but it is far more probable that this family comprises merely a heterogeneous assemblage of genera that occupy a position intermediate between the persisting Phylloceratidae and Lytoceratidae on the one hand, and the trachyostracous families on the other, corresponding to that of the Cretaceous Desmoceratidae. That is to say, successive waves of so-called

Lissoceras, "Haploceras" and other intermediate types, derived probably via Sowerbycerates and Rhacophyllitids, like the earlier Pleuracanthites, Ectocentrites, Aegolytoceras (gen. nov.1). Derolytoceras. (2). Amphiceras and others. took on coarse ornamentation, with corresponding change in the suture line. In Cretaceous times, the same two more or less stationary parent-stocks (Phylloceratidae and Lytoceratidae) still persist and radiate continuously short-lived adaptive offshoots. Some of the less specialised (or ornamented) of these, appearing seemingly as "discontinuous modifications" or "de Vriesian mutations" at successive levels, may simulate a series and the "family" Desmoceratidae includes such. a heterogeneous assemblage of transitional forms, having a common origin in Phylloceratidae whereas the (sculpturally) more highly differentiated but suturally reduced mutations and certain aberrant lateral offshoots we include in a convenient family Hoplitidae, also a heterogeneous group of descendants of the polyphyletic Desmoceratidae. Suffice for the present to point out that the ammonite families here discussed are morphological rather than genetic units, and that family names like Pachyceratidae, Proplanulitidae, Perisphinctidae, &c. are used, as appeared to the writer most expedient with the material at hand, for groups of genera connected (morphologically) by transitions, by geological occurrence and close association in date. These families will of course be revised later with a view to their direct or indirect connection with the fundamental families Phulloceratidae and Lytoceratidae.

PHYLLOCERATIDAE.

The family is represented by twenty-three specimens of *Phylloceras*, including the Callovian *Ph. disputable* (Zittel) Waagen, *Ph. vicarium* Waagen, and the group of *Ph. mediterraneum* (Neumayr) var. *indica*, Lemoine. *Ph. lodaense*, Waagen, from the Dhosa Oolite, is numerously represented, and three examples from the Upper Jurassic are referred to *Ph. insulare*, Waagen. No *Sowerbyceras* has yet been recorded from Kachh.

The writer, on a previous occasion, (92a) rejected the divisions within the genus *Phylloceras* proposed by Prinz (74), and must oppose similarly the attempts of Jullien (41) and Buckman (11a) to split up the genus *Phylloceras*, though Douvillé (29) indeed has adopted Jullien's 'Triphyllites' for the well-known *Ph. disputabile*, here recorded.

LYTOCERATIDAE.

The family includes four examples of Lytoceras ('Thysanolytoceras') adeloides (Kudernatsch) Waagen, from the Chari group, and one specimen of a Lytoceras of the montanum group, from the Kimmeridgian (?) of North Moondan.

¹ For Geyeria, Fucini, non auet. Type; A. serorugatus, Stur. (Fucini; "Cetcna." Pal. Ital., Vol. VII, 1901, p. 76, pl. XII, fig. 9).

² Restricted to *D. torium*, Quenstedt (Rosenberg) of the Middle Lias ("Lias. Ceph. Fauna d. Kratz-A. &c." *Beitr. Pal. Geol. Öst.-Ung.*, Vol. XXII, 1909, p. 250, pl. XI(II), figs. 29a-d.).

The absence of the very evolute and compressed Lytoceratids of the group of Lytoceras ('Protetragonites') tripartitum, Raspail sp. (=Polystomiceras, gen. nov.) as of the genus Soverbyceras is interesting; for in East Africa they occur with Phylloceras cf. disputabile and other forms in Callovian beds that present close analogy with those of the Crimea, described by Tsytovitch (97), and that were thought to correspond in age with at least part of the macrocephalus beds of Kachh. The writer may here also recall that when describing this East African fauna (92b) he stated that the zone of M. macrocephalus (Cornbrash) should be included in the Bathonian, and the Callovian considered to begin with the zone of Proplanulites koenigi. Subsequent study of Blake's types of Cornbrash ammonites and numerous Continental forms of Macrocephalites has, however, shown that the typical Macrocephalites, that belong to the Callovian, are not represented in Blake's Bathonian (Cornbrash) fauna. The genus Polystomiceras thus ranges into the Callovian.

OPPELIDAE.

The family is represented by fifty-nine specimens, besides an example of an Aptychus, from the Dhosa Oolite of Jikadi (Bed 22), somewhat like Waagen's fig. 8a of Plate XI, but wider, which may possibly belong to a different family. The Callovian Oppelids include Alcidia cf. subdisca, d'Orbigny sp., A. nurrhaensis Waagen sp., and A. cf. subcostaria (Waagen non Oppel) and a slightly later group of species, perhaps true Hecticoceras, including H. lairense, H. trilineatum, and H. crassefalcatum, Waagen sp., with some resemblance to Buckman's genus Putealiceras. The writer's opinion on the grouping of certain forms of Hecticoceras was given in a previous paper (92c). To this group also belongs 'Oppelia' orientalis, d'Orbigny non Waagen=A. corrugatus, J. de C. Sowerby 1840, pl. XXIII, fig. 12, No. 9983, Geol. Soc. Coll., non A. corrugatus, J. de C. Sowerby, Min. Conch. 1824, pl. 451, fig. 3= Oppelia ignobilis, Waagen non Sowerby sp. The forms are probably not directly related to the earlier 'Hecticoceras' of the primaevum group, also found in the British Stonesfield Slate (= A. waterhousi, Phillips non Morris & Lycett) and there associated with a new genus Neactinoceras (type: Ammon. micromphalus, Phillips, [72], as represented by B. M. No. Ci4082) which was not recorded by Woodward (101). This new genus includes also Amm. busqueti, Grossouvre (37a).

There are also three specimens of Oecotraustes cf. conjungens (Mayer) Waagen and "Oe. conjugens", Loczy (50a) non Waagen, and an example of 'Oppelia' orientalis Waagen non d'Orbigny, for which latter the new name suborientalis and the new genus: Hecticoceratoides, gen. nov. (type = specimen No. 117, agreeing with Waagen's fig. 5 of pl. XI) are proposed. This may be a development of the subpunctato-group of "Hecticoceras".

A. fornix, J. de C. Sowerby (No. 19079, Geol. Soc. Coll.) is transitional from Alcidia to the more involute Petitelercia but has the peculiar rows of fine tubercles of P. multiformis, Grossouvre sp. (36).

Twenty-one Upper Callovian and Dhosa Oolite examples are referred to Lunuloceras' and Sowerby's type of A. ignobilis (non Waagen, No. 9984, Geol. Soc. Coll.) is probably an immature specimen of a species of this group. Since Hecticoceras lunula Reinecke sp., according to Reuter (77b), however, occurs below the punctata-group of Hecticoceras, the term Lunuloceras cannot really be used for these untuberculate Oxfordian forms. Some at least, e.g. the Wanda examples mentioned below, may belong to Trimarginites.

There are also one *Taramelliceras* (cf. *episcopalis*, de Loriol) and two doubtful *Ochetoceras* (hersilia-group).

The Upper Jurassic forms include mostly Neumayriceras (kachhensis, Waagen and allied species) but also one 'Haploceras' (=Glochiceras?) and two Streblites. There are no examples of 'Haploceras' deplanatum Lemoine (non Waagen?) sp., so common in Madagascar, and associated with peculiar Aspidocerates and other undescribed forms, also unrepresented from India. The Madagascar beds are classed by Lemoine (48e) and Haug (38) as Sequanian or Kimmeridgian and compared with the Katrol Group of India and the Mombasa beds of East Africa (27) but are probably of different age from either. The Streblites are not well enough preserved for exact comparison with Uhligites hectori, n. n. = Ammonites (Kawhia) in Hector (40) and the Tithonian group of U. kraffti (Uhlig & Suess) and U. crassicostatus, Uhlig sp. (98), dealt with by the writer in a paper on "Ammonites from New Zealand" (94). The suture-line, however, of the Kachh Streblites is of the same type as that of U. nouhuysi, Boehm (5) or of U. motutaranus, Boehm (6a) with the ventral lobe reaching not lower than the apex of the first lateral saddle, and with an extremely wide first lateral lobe.

"Hecticoceras" kobelli, Oppel sp., also from the Katrol-group (?), but not represented in the present collection belongs to a group of forms for which the new genus Hildoglochiceras, gen. nov. (genotype: H. latistrigatum, Uhlig sp. (98), p. 27, pl. II, figs. 4a-c, pl. III, fig. 5, cast in B. M. No. C8596) is proposed. This genus has no affinity whatever with the Callovian Hecticoceras here discussed.

Apart from the eight Aptian ammonites described in the final paragraph of this paper, the remaining 475 examples belong to what formerly was regarded as one super-family "Stephanoceratidae." This is now subdivided into a number of families, the inter-relations of which, however, have yet to be determined. If, as the writer believes, the fundamental and persisting ammonite families Phylloceratidae and Lytoceratidae have repeatedly, during the Jurassic period, replenished e.g., "Oppelids," by the acquisition of ornamentation and consequent modification of the suture-line, it will seem unnecessary temporarily to emend the existing families. This is done here merely to facilitate the grouping together of genera of corresponding sets of strata; moreover very restricted families comprising only more or less contemporaneous genera, are more likely to include genetically allied units.

The genus "Macrocephalites" in the customary interpretation, is represented by 116 specimens, but of these only certain Callovian species are here included in that genus. Mr. Buckman's family:—

MACROCEPHALITIDAE,

the earlier Sphaeroceratidae, Morphoceratidae and Tulitidae, which successively produced somewhat similar types. The bullati of the macrocephalus-zone, for which the new genus Kheraiceras is proposed (genotype: K. cosmopolita, Parona and Bonarelli sp. = Stephanoceras bullatum, Waagen, pl. 129, pl. xxxii, fig. 1) and which are not directly related to the Bathonian so-called 'bullati' of the family Morphoceratidae, belong to the family Macrocephalitudae, but not the Cadoceratid group of 'Macrocephalites' ishmae, Keyserling sp., which probably includes the form compared to M. compressus (Quenstedt) by Madsen (53). This lineage is renamed Arcticoceras, gen. nov. (genotype=A. ishmae, Keyserling sp., in Keyserling & Krusenstern: 'Petschora' 1846; p. 331, pl. xx, figs. 8·10) and is related to Pseudocadoceras, Buckman, but does not comprise 'A. ishmae, var. arcticus,' Newton (61).

The collection includes twenty-four specimens of the typical Macrocephalites madagascariensis, Lemoine, M. chariensis and M. semilaevis (Waagen), M. tumidus (Waagen non Reinecke), and M. formosus, Sowerby sp., the examples of the last species shewing perfect agreement with Sowerby's holotype (B. M. No. 9978, Geol. Soc. Coll.). Waagen's St. macrocephalum, depicted on pl. xxvii, figs. 1a, b, included in the synonymy of M. madagascariensis (= noetlingi) by Lemoine (48c), is close to Sowerby's species, but there is only one fragmentary British example from Northamptonshire in the B. M. (No. 82389) that has some resemblance to the forms of this formosus-group.

The specimen figured by J. de C. Sowerby as A. herveyi (89, pl. xxiii, fig. 5, non 90, p. 719, nec 88, pl. 195) agrees with Waagen's St. tumidum, pl. xxvi, and has the suture-line of fig. 1c of pl. xxvii (B. M. No. 9976, Geol. Soc. Coll.). It is thus not identical with Macrocephalites grantanus as Oppel (66) and Waagen (100a) thought, or with M. tumidus, Reuter (77a), though the latter form which also occurs in the Kellaways Clay of Chippenham, appears to be very similar. An example agreeing with Waagen's fig. 2, pl. xxvii, also erroneously called Steph. tumidum, but with a much wider whorl-side and smaller umbilicus, connects the two species M. tumidus (Waagen non Reinecke) and M. madagascariensis, Lemoine.

The true M. herveyi (Sowerby) and its compressed representative (M. compressus, Blake pars, non Quenstedt) and the tumid varieties, leading to M. typicus, Blake, and M. herveyi, Lissajous (49) non Sowerby, on the one hand, and to M. macrocephalus, Blake non auct., M. tumidus (Reinecke) Blake, and M. terebratus, Phillips sp., on the other, may not be represented in India (Pleurocephalites and Catacephalites, S. Buckman).

Another twenty-four specimens include the more coarsely ornamented group of Macrocephalites dimerus, diadematus, chrysoolithicus, magnumbilicatus (Waagen),

grantanus (Oppel, Waagen), subtrapezinus (Waagen), and lamellosus, Waagen non Sowerby sp. The true M. lamellosus Sowerby (B. M. No. 9979, Geol. Soc. Coll.) is not represented in the Blake Collection. It differs from Waagen's St. lamellosum and from the forms figured by Bukowski (13) and Burckhardt (14) in having the ribs simply concave forwards, not biconcave; but its suture-line is not visible, and it is not certain that it belongs to this genus. The European forms closest to the true A. lamellosus appear to be undescribed types of the herveyi-group (B. M. No. 82389 from Northants, and 74227 from Niort, Deux Sèvres), which, however, are more evolute, whereas the true Macrocephali (e.g., C 10564 from Chanaz, Savoy) have quite a different rib-curve. M. diadematus, Waagen, as here interpreted, however, may be distinguished from M. macrocephalus, Blake non auct., (2b) only by greater thickness.

A new compressed form of the group of M. chrysoolithicus somewhat resembles 'M.' rotangi, Boehm (4a) mentioned below, and is another species that might be mistaken for one of the later 'Macrocephalites' of the maya-group; but its suture-line is of the type of that of M. chrysoolithicus, and there are comparable examples in the British Cornbrash, apparently connecting this species with M. terebratus, Phillips sp. (= M. macrocephalus, Blake, pl. III, fig. 6 only). The difference in the type of suture-line, namely, a radial or descending line in the Callovian, and an ascending, arched line in the Argovian forms, mentioned by Waagen (100b) and Uhlig (98) generally holds good, notwithstanding Boehm's criticisms (8) but the bases of the lobes are ascending in most 'Macrocephalites.'

Four examples, including one new species, of the group of M. subcompressus (Waagen) and keeuwensis, Boehm (7a), lead to Steph. eucyclum, Waagen, for which the new genus Eucycloceras, gen. nov. (type = specimen 246, agreeing with Waagen's fig. 1 of pl. XXXV) is proposed. This is represented by six examples, from No. 10, Khera Hill, associated with Macrocephalites (Eucycloceras?) of the subcompressus type (Middle Chari Group) and thus not of Dhosa Oolite age as Waagen and Boehm thought. In the Kosmoceratid genera Kepplerites and allies, with somewhat similar outer whorls, the ventral area has a distinct flattened zone (runcinate stage) in the young. Quenstedt's M. compressus, which is more finely ornamented than M. typicus, Blake, has nothing to do with this Indian subcompressus-group, characterised by peripheral projection of the radii; and Blake's M. compressus includes both forms such as the figured example, comparable to the inner whorls of Quenstedt's 'Riesen-tumidus' (76a) and resembling M. canizzaroi (Gemmellaro) and M. pilleti, Parona and Bonarelli, and the new but common species of the herveyi-group, referred to before.

The later 'Macrocephalites,' belonging to a number of lineages, are here provisionally included in the family:—

PACHYCERATIDAE, Buckman emend.

A fragmentary specimen of the Oxfordian genus Pachyceras? with rounded umbilical tubercles, comes from No. 22 at Jikadi, associated with Peltoceras diversi-

forme, Lunuloceras, etc. Considering the complete absence of Cadoceratidae and Cardioceratidae in the Kachh Jurassic (see 85) the record of this unique Pachyceras? is of interest. Now the stock which gave rise to Pachyceras and Tornquistes in the European Jurassic may also include the ancestral forms of the 'Macrocephalites' here discussed: for the young of A. maya, J. de C. Sowerby and of allied species (Waagen. pl. XXVIII, fig. 2 and pl. XXXII, fig. 4) reveal very broad. strongly rursiradiate costae, and a suture-line like that of M. greppini, P. de Loriol (51a), thus suggesting affinity with a tumid Quenstedtoceratid stock (compare e.g., Quenstedticeras(?) tumidum, Reeside) (80). Direct connection, perhaps, is improbable, but at any rate the stocks here discussed seem more closely allied to the family Cadoceratidae and especially its branch Pachyceratidae, than to the group of 'Perisphinctes' praecursor, Waagen. This was included in Grossouvria by Siemiradzki (87a), but may be nearer to Poculisphinctes, S. Buckman and the writer was at first inclined to regard it as the ancestor of the maya-group.

For this latter group the new genus

Mayaites, gen. nov.

(genotype A. maya. J. de C. Sowerby, 1840, Trans. Geol. Soc. (II), vol. v, pl. lxi, fig. 8, B. M. No. 10074, Geol. Soc. Coll.) is proposed. Waagen's "Steph." maya is thinner and has finer secondary costation than Sowerby's type, and is here renamed M. Lemoini, n. n. Apart from this typical group represented by twenty-seven examples, and comprising in addition, "Macrocephalites' rotangi and batavo-indicus, Boehm (4b), 'M.' subtrapezinus, Lemoine non Waagen, and, probably, 'Kossmatia' uhligi, Lemoine (48b), and the East African forms figured by Tornquist (96) &c., the genus Mayaités 1 includes also the compressed M. transiens (Waagen) and allied species (15 examples), further the depressed M. subtumidus Waagen sp. To the last species represented by eleven examples, some being varieties, also belongs A. herveyi, J. de C. Sowerby, 1840, p. 719 (woodcut), No. 10067, non A. herveyi, J. Sowerby 1818, nec A. herveyi, J. de C. Sowerby, 1840, pl. xxiii, fig. 5 (= Macrocephalites tumidus (Reinecke) Waagen, see ante).

The group of *Mayaites polyphemus*, Waagen sp., which grows to gigantic size and shews a striking similarity to *Tornquistes* on the outer whorl, is represented by two examples.

Some new forms, of Otoites-aspect, are coarser in costation than the typical young Mayaites, and widely umbilicated, i.e., are close to the young "St." elephantinum, figured by Waagen on pl. XXXII, fig. 4. The type of A. elephantinus, Sowerby (No. 9977, Geol. Soc. Coll.) unfortunately does not show the suture-line, but with a number of ribs equal to that of Waagen's specimen

^{1 &#}x27;M' waageni and 'M' kitchini, Uhlig (98a) probably belong to Graviceras.

(pl. XXXI, fig. 3) there is a decided sinus forward peripherally and the costae are very sharp. The new genus:—

Dhosaites, gen. nov.

is proposed for this elephantinus-group, connected with the genus Mayaites by forms like No. 266, somewhat resembling the evolute varieties of "M." palmarus, and "M." cocosi, Boehm. The genus also includes D. grayi, n. n. (= Steph. nepalensis, Waagen non Gray, p. 136, pl. XXXV, fig. 2, No. 279) and a new intermediate species (No. 322). The last two shew a striking resemblance, except in suture-line, to the Callovian group of Macrocephalites dimerus and M. magnumbilicatus, Waagen sp.; Lemoine, indeed, considered the closely similar D. bambusae, Boehm sp. to be near to the Callovian species, though Boehm had correctly compared it to Waagen's "Steph. nepalensis." Boehm's (7b) comparison of D. bambusae, however, to the true Macrocephalites mantavaranus, Boehm, of the formosus-group, is less fortunate.

'Stephanoceras (Ammonites) macrocephalus' recorded by Newton (60) from North of Andranosamonta, Madagascar, may represent a globose development of Dhosaites, but in the bluntness of its ribs resembles Tornquistes. It is distinct in matrix from the ammonites described by the same author from South of Ankaramy, also preserved in the British Museum, namely, "Steph. calloviense," (perhaps Mayaites tenuicostatus, Boehm. sp.) "S. macrocephalum" and "S. herveyi," one example of the last species (No. C. 3589) possibly identical with "Macrocephalites" elephantinus, of Lemoine and thus a Dhosaites.

The Mombasa fauna, according to the rich collections in the British Museum, includes an undescribed species (No. C. 10988) that is transitional in shape and ornamentation from Mayaites olcostephanoides, Tornquist, to Dhosaites.

In connection with the genera Mayaites and Dhosaites it may be convenient to refer to A. fissus and A. opis, Sowerby, and to a number of other groups that have erroneously been attached to the Upper Jurassic "Macrocephalites." Sowerby's type of A. fissus (pl. LXI, fig. 11, B. M. No. 10077, Geol. Soc. Coll.) is poorly preserved but quite unlike Waagen's figure of the same species (pl. XXXVII, fig. 1, p. 134); for whereas the latter shews a smooth periphery on the body-chamber, bringing it close to A. opis, Sow. the holotype has extremely coarse and blunt ribbing across the siphonal area of the body-chamber. This type of costation is not found in any other Indian form known to the writer, but in some Portlandian Perisphinctids of the gorei and pseudogigas zones, and possibly pathological. The wide external saddle of the suture-line of this doubtful species is somewhat like that of Eucycloceras eucyclum (Waagen, pl. XXXV, fig. 1c).

A. opis, Sow. was apparently confused by Waagen with the Callovian Eucycleceras, but his Argovian form, as represented probably by the last quarter of a whorl of the example depicted on pl. XXXVI, fig. 1a, is before the writer in a specimen from Kalabagh (Dr. Fleming Coll., Geol. Soc. 9377. "below Coal-

Shale," 65). The new genus **Subkossmatia** (genotype: A. opis, Sowerby, non Waagen, 1840, pl. XXIII, fig. 9, B. M. No. 9980, Geol. Soc. Coll.) is proposed for this stock, perhaps allied to the unconstricted Upper Argovian "Idoceras" of the planula-group.

Sowerby's type does not shew the weakening of the costae on the periphery-characteristic of Waagen's form and found also in Waagen's "Steph." fissum. doubtfully included in this genus. Both these species will require new specific names, if the figures are reliable.

The peripheral aspect and distinctive ribbing of these forms of Subkossmatia, to which may perhaps be added S. alfurica, Boehm sp. suggest that they are not related to Mayaites of the transiens-group as Waagen and later authors held. Subkossmatia is provisionally included with Ringsteadia in the family **Idoceratidae**, n.

Some forms of the genus Dhosaites superficially resemble A. nepalensis, Blanford (3) non Gray (= blanfordi, n. n.) refigured as "Simbirskites" nepaulensis, Gray, by Uhlig. The new genus Grayiceras is here proposed for this development (genotype; G. blanfordi, n. n. = Simbirskites nepaulensis, Blanford non Gray, in Uhlig (98), pl. XLV. A, fig. 1, B. M. No. 1016, Geol. Soc. Coll. 22). This Tithonian development has no affinity with the later, equally sharply ribbed, but tuberculate genus Simbirskites of the family Olcostephanidae, to which Uhlig had referred it with a number of allied species. "Simbirskites" mexicanus, Burckhardt, from the Durangites Beds, is very close to Gray's type of G. nepaulense (B. M. No. C5052) and also belongs to the genus Grayiceras.

The genus Kossmatia, Uhlig, which includes only K. tenuistriata. Gray sp. (genotype, see 35 and Crick 22 and 23), K. desmidoptycha, Uhlig, K. richteri, Oppel sp., and such Mexican and Crimean forms as K. victoris, Burckhardt sp. (16 & 17) and K. pontica, Retowski sp. (81) is not represented in the Blake Collection. Like Durangites, it may belong to the family Berriasellidae, and it shews close resemblance to the Tithonian Spiti-Shale genus Paraboliceras (type: P. jubar, Strachey-Blanford sp., B. M. No. C. 5043) which probably is closely allied to Berriasella. The resemblance of Paraboliceras propinguum. Uhlig sp. to Grossouvria is merely an illustration of homoeomorphy, and it may be added here that the ammonite from New Guinea, figured by Etheridge (31) as allied to A. lingulatus (Quenstedt) probably belongs to a species morphologically intermediate between Kossmatia desmidoptycha and the genus Paraboliceras. It was compared by Etheridge to A. leai, Forbes (34) but that species (holotype in B. M. Geol. Soc. Coll.) is a Barremian Pulchellia, close to P. didayana (d'Orbigny) Kilian (42).

REINECKEIDAE.

The family is represented by five examples of the Callovian genus Reineckeia. one (413) of which seems to agree with J. de C. Sowerby's type of R. arthritica (B. M. No. 9981, Geol. Soc. Coll.). A. artriticus. d'Orbigny (68) = R. gigonda-

sensis. Steinmann, is a far more coarsely ornamented form than Sowerby's species, the latter being closer to R. rehmanni, Oppel sp. (67), which, according to Reuter (77b) occurs below R. anceps and the (still higher) Collotia fraasi (zone of Kosmoceras castor and K. pollux). Two fragmentary examples probably also belong to the rehmanni-group and are compared to R. nodosa, Till, which is a close ally of Sowerby's and Waagen's forms. It may be added that the latter author's R. arthritica, separated from Sowerby's type by Lemoine (48d) may be a badly drawn example of the same species.

A young Reineckeia cf. anceps (auct.) (365) is just a trifle too much inflated for R. stuebeli, Steinmann; another (404) can be referred to R. cf. greppini (Oppel) Lemoine, and a fragmentary specimen probably represents a large perisphinctoid form of a Reineckeia or Collotia.

The family Reineckeidae should probably include also Erymnoceras, Hyatt and Oecoptychius, Neumayr, referred by Buckman respectively to Pachyceratidae and Morphoceratidae (10b, 11d).

Parapatoceras, gen. nov. (type: A. calloviensis, Morris, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (I), Vol. V, 1846, p. 32, pl. VI, fig. 3) was included by Hyatt with the Bajocian Spiroceras, Quenstedt, 1858, non Meek (genotype: S. bifurcatum Quenstedt) and occurs also in Kachh. It is believed that Parapatoceras is not a descendant of the earlier Parkinsonid Spiroceras, but, as seems more likely from stratigraphical considerations alone, an independent development perhaps of the later family Reineckeidae.

The genus "Perisphinctes" s. l. is represented by 311 examples, or more than half the total number of specimens, here referred to several families. The earliest perisphinctoid genera, of the families Parkinsonidae and Zigzagiceratidae, are not represented. Two fragmentary examples, with strongly projected peripheral ribs, resemble P. hians, Waagen (pl. LVII, fig. 2) which looks somewhat like Procerites; but another large new species, labelled by Prof. Blake "possibly A. mutans" (327), and having the suture-line and general appearance of P. hians, possesses inner whorls that point rather to the later Grossouvria-stock and it is thus surmised that these large specimens represent adult Kinkeliniceras.

For the Grossouvria-stock and its important branches, to avoid giving a new name, Mr. Buckman's family term:—

PROPLANULITIDAE, emend.

is here adopted, and the genera included in this family are: Siemiradzkia, Hyatt; Grossouvria, Siemiradzki; Subgrossouvria, nov.; Villanya, Till; Choffatia, Siemiradzki; Obtusicostites, Kinkeliniceras, Crassiplanulites, Buckman; Proplanulites, Teisseyre; Binatisphinetes and Hamulisphinetes, Buckman. The Bathonian genus Siemiradzkia, including the very variable group of A. aurigerus, Oppel (Grossouvre, 37b) is probably not directly related to Ataxioceratidae, with which family Buckman (11b) had united it.

Pictonidae, fam. nov., comprising among others the genera *Pictonia* and *Rasenia*, which were included here by Buckman, (11c) (and to which must perhaps be added *Gravesia*) in the writer's opinion represent a distinct group, more closely connected with **Aulacostephanidae**, fam. nov.

This family Proplanulitidae, as here understood, is well represented. Twenty-two examples agree with the typical Grossouvria, e. g. the Balin forms, G. balinensis, G. euryptycha and G. furcula, Neumayr sp., G. funata (Oppel) Waagen sp., G. graciosa Siemiradzki, G. anomala, Loczy sp. and G. lateralis (Waagen). G. calva. Sowerby sp. (B. M. No. 10075, Geol. Soc. Coll.) and its probable close ally G. indica, Siemiradzki (= Perisphinctes spirorbis, Waagen non Neumayr, pl. L, fig. 1 non. 2) belong to this group, whereas six other examples are close to the rather distinct G.(?) congener, Waagen sp. and G.(?) undulatocostata, Milachewitch sp. (56).

Twenty-two mostly small specimens of the group of Grossouria curvicosta. Waagen non Oppel (= G. anomala, Loczy, pars) come from higher beds. Some of these, however, may belong to Perisphinctidae (Poculisphinctes). It should be pointed out here that Reuter (77b) records, e. g. G. subtilis, Neumayr sp. from both the "zone of M. macrocephalus" and from the "zone of Kosmoceras ornatum."

For P. aberrans, Waagen, pl. XL, fig. 1, non. 2 (=? Perisph. jupiter, Loczy. non A. jupiter, Steinmann) represented by specimen 339 (genoholotype) which shows extremely evolute inner whorls, the new genus Subgrossouvria, gen. nov. is proposed. Another specimen (351), between S. morley-daviesi, n. n. (= P. aberrans, Waagen, pl. XLI, fig. 2 only) and S. coronaeformis, Loczy sp. (50b), and only a little stouter than S. villanoides, Till sp. (95), also belongs to this genus. A Hamulisphinetes sp. (A. maritimus, Bean MS.) in the British Museum (39530) has some resemblance to these forms.

In addition to one example of Choffatia cf. cobra (Waagen) from W. of Kotai, anceps-zone (429), there are forty-four specimens of Obtusicostites and Kinkeliniceras. Obt. paramorphus, Waagen sp. (No. 416) has inner whorls of the type of Grossouvria subtilis (Neumayr), and the genus Obtusicostites includes also O. obtusicosta (genotype) e. g. No. 391, O. buckmani n. n. (= P. obtusicosta : Waagen, pl. XXXVIII, fig. 3 only), e. g. No. 375, O. angygaster, Waagen sp. and O. dhosaensis Waagen sp. The last is close to the group of 'Perisphinctes' omphalodes, Waagen, with a number of new species; and Mr. Buckman includes 'P.' omphalodes in Obtusicostites. But the omphalodes-group is scarcely separable from the mutans-group (wrongly quoted by Waagen as of Katrol age) and from the genus Kinkeliniceras, which latter is represented by K. cf. pendambilianum, Dacqué sp. K.? mutans is quite different from Grossouvria indica, Siemiradzki, and like many other Indian species was misinterpreted by most authors. The more compressed species of this group greatly resemble Grossouvria of the type of G. cf. junata (Oppel) Waagen sp. (pl. XLVII, fig. 2), but the young whorls of the aurigerus-type are characteristic.

One Callovian specimen (493) resembles Grossouvria? pseudorion, Waagen sp., but is thinner, and has the costation interrupted on the periphery. Another

form (No. 447) from the athleta beds of No. 2, S. Maujal, resembles the much later Ataxioceras, e.g. an example of Ataxioceras schilli (Oppel) Siemiradzki from Pamproux, Deux Sèvres, in the Blake collection; but its ornament is distinctly biconcave forwards. These two forms cannot be placed in any of the known genera.

The great majority of Argovian Perisphinctoids from the Dhosa Oolite and Kantcote Sandstone belong to the family:—

PERISPHINCTIDAE, S. S.

connected (morphologically) with the Grossouvria assemblage of the family Proplanulitidae.

Especially the group of Perisphinctes plicatilis (Sowerby) Waagen is well represented and includes numerous examples of P. rota, Waagen, P. obliqueplicatus. Waagen and P. indogermanus, Waagen, P. plicatiloides, O'Connell (64) and P. cf. stenocycloides, Siemiradzki, further transitional forms to P. wartae, Bukowsk and P. grossouvrei, Siemiradzki. This group of forms may indicate a slightly higher horizon (zone of P. cf. wartae, triplex &c. in Salfeld) (83) than the less numerously represented group of P. martelli (Oppel), which includes also P. cf. marcoui (de Loriol) Ronchadzé (82) P. cf. occultefurcatus, Ronchadzé non Waagen, P. orbignyi, de Loriol, &c. Both the above groups are provisionally left in Perisphinctes (including Dichotomoceras and Kranaosphinctes, Buckman) and this genus is here taken to include also the group of forms that is represented in the present collection by P. subevolutus, subcolubrinus and pagri, Waagen and P. bernensis, de Loriol. The latter assemblage is connected with the first group of Perisphinctes by forms allied to P. indogermanus, Waagen. A number of young or poorly preserved specimens cannot be definitely classed as Perisphinctes s. s.

The forms referred to above as near to P. wartae (Bukowski) and P. grossouvrei (Siemiradzki) lead to the groups of Ataxioceras(?) virguloides, Waagen sp., A. cf. praestenocyclus, Dacqué sp. (28), A. leiocymon (Waagen) and A. lucingensis (Favre), here classed with the family:—

ATAXIOCERATIDAE, Buckman emend.

Some of the more involute, young Ataxioceratids, however, may belong to Discosphinetes, Dacqué, or to Involuticeras, Salfeld, also included in this family and certain transitional forms recently figured by Fischer (33) indicate that Sutneria may also belong to the family Ataxioceratidae. From both Kantcote and Barodia, there are represented in the collection Perisphinetids of several (Argovian and later) horizons, including the genus Biplices (tiziani-group), mingled with a number of developments of Ataxioceratids, comprising probably Planites, e. g., Pl. cf. polyplocoides and Pl. polyplocoides-inconditus (Fontannes) Choffat sp. 19).

It may here be added that one of Choffat's figures is cited by Siemiradzki (57b) in the synonymy of *P. polygyratus* (Reinecke) which is the genolectotype of *Planites*. But Siemiradzki's "Mutationsreihe des *Per. polygyratus*" for which Buckman (10a) reintroduced de Haan's *Planites*, includes a heterogeneous assemblage of forms belonging to different families.

The correct identification of genera and species, however, is not easy, e. g. the very common 'Perisphinetes' virguloides, Waagen, though doubtfully classed with Ataxioceras, is apparently very close to Perisphinetes chloroolithicus (Gümbel) non Waagen, as refigured by Reuter (78) and Klebelsberg (44), a characteristic form of the transversarius beds, belonging to the true Argovian Perisphinetida

Perisphinctids and Ataxioceratids may be very similar, and when, as in Kachh, they are all preserved in the same red ironstone, identification of young specimens may become impossible. Well-preserved adult examples, however, enable us further to separate from the Kimmeridgian Ataxioceratids here discussed, the two allied groups of A. torquatus (Sowerby), included by Uhlig in Aulacosphinctes, the type of which probably belongs to a different family; also of Amm. pottingeri, Sowerby, grouped by the same author with Virgatosphinctes.

The group of A. pottingeri, Sowerby, "Peris." katrolensis, and 'P.' euplocus, Waagen, with very depressed inner whorls, is here separated as Katroliceras. gen. nov. (genotype : A. pottingeri, J. de C. Sowerby, 1840, p. 183, pl. LXI, fig. 1, B. M. No. 10076, Geol. Soc. Coll., wrongly compared by d'Orbigny (Prodrome, I, p. 329) to the Peltoceratid A. chauvinianus). It is well represented in the present collection, as also is the group of "Perisphinctes" torquatus (Sowerby) Waagen, and P. bathyplocus Waagen. The latter group further includes P. occultefurcatus and alterneplicatus, Waagen, recently recorded from Persia by Fischer (32), further possibly, P. bleicheri, (de Loriol) Waagen, and P. eudichotomus (Zittel) For this group is proposed the new genus:—Torquatisphinctes. gen. nov. (genotype: A. torquatus, J. de C. Sowerby, 1840, pl. LXI, fig. 12, B: M. No. 10078, Geol. Soc. Coll.). Such forms as P. (Aulacosphinctes) cf. kokeni (Behrendsen), P. (Aulagosphinctes) bangei, and others from the "Lower Portlandian" (= Middle Kimmeridgian) of Mexico, described by Burckhardt (18). show great resemblance to the Kachh species. A new form (No. 439) from the Middle Kimmeridgian Belemnite Marls of Joorun associated with Torquatisphinates torquatus (Sowerby), Torq. alterneplicatus (Waagen) Physodoceras cf. binodiferum (Waagen), Neumayriceras kachhense (Waagen) &c., connects this group with the genus Planites, so that Torquatisphinctes and its ally Katroliceras are referred to the family Ataxioceratidae.

It may be added that *Physodoceras* cf. binodiferum (Waagen) from Joorus is almost indistinguishable from *Ph. bispinosum* (Quenstedt) (76c) of the White Jura $\delta = eudoxus$ or pseudomutabilis beds of north-western Europe; also that Crick had compared his Arabian 'Perisphinctes' cf. torquatus (25) to forms of the "tenuilobatus zone." The Middle Kimmeridgian Torquatisphinctes is more

or less homoeomorphous with the Argovian Perisphinctes, but the inner whorls. alone cannot be satisfactorily determined in any of these genera.

Uhlig's Perisphinctes (Aulacosphinctes) torquatus (non Sowerby) (98b) belongs to a group of torms probably unrepresented in the Kachh fauna. The new genus Aulacosphinctoides, gen. nov. is proposed for this group (genotype: A. infundibulus, Uhlig p. p. = A. biplex (Sowerby) Blanford, in Salter & Blanford: "Pal. Niti," 1865, pl. XI, fig. 1, B. M. Coll. 5033 = Perisphinctes of biplex (Sowerby), in Reed (79). Its inclusion by Uhlig in Aulacosphinctes (genotype: A. mörickianus, Oppel, Uhlig, pl. XXXVIII, fig. 6) was admittedly provisional. Referable also to Aulacosphinctoides may be the New Zealand Perisph. browner, Marshall (54, 6b), and some allied forms mentioned by the writer (94), but an example comparable to 'Aulacosphinctes' sparsicosta, Uhlig, from "Argillaceous Limestone Level behind Tai Parit, Bau, Upper Sarawak, Borneo" (J. B. Scrivenor, Coll. B. M.) and associated with two Lithacoceras is probably a Pseudovirgatites and of danubiensis to ulmensis date. The typical Aulacosphinctes may be close to the ancestral stock of Blanfordiceras, n. n. olim 'Blanfordia' preoccupied and other Berriasellidae, and are excluded from Ataxioceratidae.

This family, however, includes Lithacoceras, Hyatt, created for the Middle-Kimmeridgian ulmensis-group. This genus seems to lead to Uhlig's Virgatosphinctes here restricted to the Tithonian group of V. minusculus, Uhlig. (98c). It is probably represented by nineteen examples, mostly V. (?) denseplicatus, Waagen sp. Both Lithacoceras and Virgatosphinctes are characterised by the slender elements of their minutely indented suture-lines. In the group of Perisphinctes eastlecottensis, Sa'feld, of post-nikitini age, resembling V. (?) denseplicatus, but probably more closely related to the Upper Kimmeridgian Virgatitids, the suture-line is considerably simplified.

The connection with Ataxioceratidae of the genus Pseudovirgatites, Vetters (99) non Schneid (86) including e. g. P. scruposus, seorsus (Oppel) and P. densistriatus (Burckhardt, 15a) is uncertain. It probably includes other Mexican and Argentinian forms recorded by Burckhardt (16, 15b), and Douvillé (30) and undescribed species in a Somaliland Collection before the writer, associated with other unnamed Kimmeridgian perisphinctoids.

Contrary to the opinion of Douvillé (69) the family

VIRGATITIDAE, nov.

is not represented in Kachh, since apparently there is a gap in the succession after the Middle Kimmeridgian. This family includes besides the typical Virgatites (genotype: V. virgatus, v. Buch sp.) and a number of other developments, with simplified suture-lines, yet to be separated, the new genus Pallasiceras nov. (genotype: P. rotundum, J. Sowerby sp., 88, p. 169, pl. CCXCIII, fig. 3, as represented by No. 345, L. F. S. Coll., the holotype being worn). This biplex' or pallasianus' stock was recognised by Uhlig as distinct from Virgatites, but d'Orbigny's type of A. pallasianus (69) unfortunately is poorly preserved

and forms associated with it by Douvillé (69) and Michalski (55) are true Virgatites of an earlier horizon. Miss Healey's (39) 'Olcostephanus' pallasianus (d'Orbigny) var. nov., on the other hand has the ribbing of Pallasiceras, but not its 'inverse' and greatly reduced suture-line, and the British Museum specimens of Pallasiceras from near Moscow (e. g. Nos. 33497, C 2370, 19601, 22620), probably belonging to unnamed species, differ from d'Orbigny's type in having a depressed whorl-section, also in details of ribbing. According to Prof. Blake's collection Pallasiceras is not represented in the Kachpur sequence (71a).

The outer whorls of Pallasiceras resemble those of the earlier Holcostephanoides, gen. nov. (type: Ol. acuticostatus, Michalski, 55, p. 71, pl. V, fig. 5, B. M. No. 33498, Murchison Coll.) proposed for a group of 'Virgatites' with coronate inner whorls, but no bundling of ribs. On the other hand, Epivirgatites, gen. nov. (type: 'P.' nikitini, Michalski = 'Perisphinctes' polygyratus (Trautschold?) Pavlow 71b, B. M. No. C 23283) from just above the virgatus horizon, has perisphinctoid inner whorls, similar to those of Pallasiceras, but these are retained, together with a well-individualised second lateral lobe, and pronounced umbilical projection of the suture-line. The poorly-preserved 'Virgatites' recorded by the writer from Spitsbergen (93) probably belong to this genus.

Numerous specimens of *Epivirgatites nikitini* are in the Blake Collection, from beds 2 and 3 of Kachpur, still associated in the former with true *Virgatites*. Certain coarsely-ribbed forms of the *panderi*-group are morphological transitions from *Epivirgatites* to the later, more simplified *Pallasiceras*.

From these Virgatitids are to be separated the **Craspeditidae**, fam. nov., including **Craspedites**, Pavlow, (1) **Subcraspedites**, gen. nov. (genotype: A. plicomphalus, Sowerby, 88, pl. 404, B. M. No. 43892b), **Garniericeras**, n. n. (olim 'Garnieria' preoccupied) (lectotype: 'Oxynoticeras' catenulatum, Trautschold sp.) and **Kachpurites**, gen. nov. (type: 'Neumayria' fulgens, Trautschold, Nikitin, 62). On the other hand, the genus **Subneumayria**, nov. (type: 'Neumayria' ordonezi, Burckhardt, 16, p. 11, pls. I & II) does not belong to the Craspeditidae, or the Polyptychitid genera Nikitinoceras, Sokolov (= Temnoptychites, Pavl.) and **Neocraspedites**, gen.nov. (type: 'Craspedites' semilaevis v. Koenen, 45).

The families Virgatitidae and Craspeditidae are outside the scope of the present paper, but in connection with their absence from Indian deposits an interesting question arises. Do the Spiti Shales comprise the Middle Kimmeridgian, followed non-sequentially by Lower Berriasian strata? Compared with the presumably missing Upper Kimmeridgian and Portlandian below, and part of the Valanginian above, this Berriasian portion of the Spiti Shales would represent but a small period in the ideal time-scale. Information at present available and the extraordinary incompleteness of the Jurassic record as a whole, favour the view that the Spiti Shales very incompletely bridge the gap from the Upper Jurassic to the Lower Cretaceous. Present opinion, however, accepting the "boreal"

¹ The type, C. subditus, Trautschold sp., has been erroneously recorded from the Specton Clay, the lowest (non-Kimmeridgian) beds of which are of post. Platylenticeras age, i.e., Valanginian, not 'Aquilonian.'

character of Virgatitidae and Craspeditidae, and relying on such unsatisfactory evidence as e. g. the presence of 'Aucella of the mosquensis group' in the Lower Berriasian Durangites-Proniceras-Kossmatia beds of Mexico (probably corresponding to the Paraboliceras beds of the Spiti Shales) assumes the correspondence in time of the latter with the Epivirgatites or Kachpurites beds of Russia, themselves separated by a large number of hemerae not realised by Lahusen (46). The Epivirgatites beds probably follow below the "Lower Tithonian" portion of the Stramberg sequence, with the Upper Kimmeridgian genera Pseudovirgatites, Simoceras etc.

The family

PELTOCERATIDAE, nov.

is represented by 20 examples, belonging partly to the typical group of Peltoceras athleta (Phillips), also occurring in the "Kelloway Rock" of Scarborough (12) and referred by Reuter (77c) to the "zone of Kosmoceras ornatum"; partly to the group of 'P.' semirugosum Waagen and 'P.' arduennense (d'Orbigny). It seems advisable to separate the latter Argovian group from the true earlier Peltoceras and the new genus Peltoceratoides, gen. nov. is here proposed (genotype: P. semirugosus, Waagen, pl. XIV, fig. 1, B. M. No. 175, Blake Coll.) P. arduennensis (d'Orbigny) is distinguished from P. semirugosus merely by retaining throughout the same typical ornamentation. P. constantii (d'Orbigny) de Loriol sp. (51b), P. instabilis (Uhlig) Lahusen sp. (47), also P. cf. athletoides (Lahusen) de Loriol sp. (51c) are other forms represented in the Kachh Jurassic (Dhosa Oolite).

The holotype of Sowerby's A. armiger (89, fig. 13 on plate, reduced to one-half, fig. 11 in description, B. M. No. 9982, Geol. Soc. Coll.) has an outer whorl like *Peltoceras diversiforme* (Waagen) but the medium-sized whorls are unrecognisable and the inner whorls are unlike those of any described *Peltoceras*, except possibly those of *P. ngerengianum*, Dacqué (27a).

The Peltoceratids were excluded from 'Aspidoceratinae' already by Salfeld (84) and in the family Peltoceratidae is here comprised the new genus Gregoryceras, established for the Argovian group of 'Peltoceras' transversarium, Quenstedt (75) which includes e. g. the ammonites described by the writer (91) from Tunis. For the later A. bimammatus Quenstedt (76b) the new genus Epipeltoceras is proposed, the holotype to be an example in the British Museum (No. C. 22359, Blake Coll.) from Château Neuf, France.

Aspidoceratidae.

The genus Aspidoceras is represented by fifteen examples, among them A. perarmatum, Waagen non Sowerby sp., A. cf. indorossicum, Borissjak, A. cf. ponderosum (Waagen) Borissjak (9), A. sparsispinum, Waagen, A. cf. perisphinctoides (de Loriol), and a fine series of specimens of A. tenuispinatum, Waagen, from the Kantcote Sandstone, very close to A. nikitinianum, Borissjak, and A. ægir (Oppel) in de Loriol (52).

The genus Physodoceras includes six examples, referable to Ph. binodiferum, Waagen, from the Katrol group, a species briefly referred to on page 15.

The family

SIMOCERATIDAE.

is represented by Waagenia sp. n.? aff. hybonota (Oppel) from the Upper Katrol Group = (Middle Kimmeridgian). It is possible that the fragment figured by Waagen (pl. XXI, fig. 4) as Aspidoceras sp. ind. belongs to a larger example of the same species. The perisphinctoid genera of this family do not seem to occur in Kachh.

The Cretaceous forms in the Blake Collection include one fragmentary example of a *Cheloniceras*, allied to *A. martini* (d'Orbigny) in Waagen (family *Parahoplitidae*), and seven examples of *Ancyloceras*, including "*Crioceras*" australe, Waagen non Moore. They come from the "Top Beds" of Artara. The *Crioceras*? (B. M. No. C. 9297) figured and described by Crick (24) from the N. W. Indian Frontier, apparently has no affinity with the Kachh forms. It is worn to such an extent as to be quite unrecognisable.

The two Tables below show (I) the approximate distribution of the various general here discussed in the different beds, and (II) a rough correlation of the Kachh strata with a provisional list of successive ammonite horizons characteristic of the European Jurassic. The number of 'subzones' could have been increased very considerably, but the correlation of 'boreal' with southern ammonite stocks is still too uncertain, and the axiom that dissimilar ammonite faunas indicate deposits of different dates must be applied with caution in view of the fact that generally only modified derivatives; but not the conservative parent-stocks, occur beyond the Tethys. The Dhosa Oolite almost certainly comprises only some of the Argovian hemerae, indicated in Table II, between arduennensis below and achilles above, not an unbroken sequence.

TABLE I.

Distribution of Ammonite Genera in the Jurassic Strata of Kachh.

(Some records [W] are added on Waagen's authority.)

			CHARL			Katrol	Umia	
Fam	Patchar	Lower.	Middle.	Upper.	Dhosa Oolite	Gp.	Gp.	
Phylloceratidae :	Phylloceras		×	×	×	х	x	
Lytoceratidae :	Lytoceras		X	X	l	1	W	X
Oppelidae :	Alcidia		W	X	X			
- FF '	Hecticoceras (Putealiceras?)		w	×	X			·
44.	'Lunuloceras'			ļ	X	X		
	Trimarginites	.	Ì		l	X		
	TT-stiness-tuide.			X		!	i	
•	Oecotraustes	. I w	l	Ι x .	Х		l	•••
	Petitclercia		l	7		l		
	Phlycticeras			l	W.	١		
	Taramelliceras					X	l	
	Ochetoceras		1			Ϊ́х		l
	Neumayriceras				j	1	Χ̈́	···
	Glochicerasi		}		***		w	х
	Hildowlookiaanan	1	· "	• • •	···		w	l -
	Clama 1.7.24 a. a.]		٠)	x	
Managan balitidas	26	: w	l ïï	?				1
Macrocephalitidae :		· [1	×	•••	1	1	
	Eucycloceras	•	W	· ^		•••		1 .,
. •*	Kheraiceras · · ·	•	1 "		•••			***

Table I—contd.

Distribution of Ammonite Genera in the Jurassic Strata of Kachh—contd.

					1	Снаві.			Dhosa	 Katrol	Umia
Families and genera.					Patcham.	Lower.	Middle.	Upper.	Oolite.	Gp.	Gp.
Pachyceratidae :	Pachyceras .			-					-		
e ainglesamain.	Mayaites .	•	•	:		***			X		
	Dhosaites .	•	•	- 1]	···	Î Î		
Reineckeidae :	Reineckeia .	•	•	٠,	***		Ϋ́				•••
nerneckendde:	Parapatoceras	•	•	٠1		***	w	•••	•••	l	•••
D.,	Grossouvria .	•	•	- [×	ж	ï.;	***		• • • •
Proplanulitidae :		-	•	٠,	•••		Î î		'''		•••
	Subgrossouvria	•	•	٠ ا	}		Î	•••			
	Choffatia	•	•	٠ļ	•••	***		₩	•••	'''	
	Obtusicostites	•	•	٠ ا	,	•••	X	X		}	•••
	Kinkeliniceras		•	٠		***	Х	Х			•••
[doceratidae?	Subkossmatia		•	٠ ا	1	•••		•••	X		
Perisphinctidae :	Perisphinctes		•	. 1		***			Х		•••
•	Biplices .		•	.		•••			Х		
Ataxioceratidae :	Ataxioceras .			٠1	[***			î	X	***
	Planites .			.						X	
	Katroliceras .			.			.,.			Х	
	Torquatisphinctes			.		•••				X	
	Virgatosphinctes			. 1						X	Х
	Aulacosphinctoides			. I			l				X
Peltoceratidae :	Peltoceras .		_	Ĭ		•••		X	•		
	Peltoceratoides	•		: 1		•••			X		•••
Aspidoceratidae : •	Aspidoceras .	•		- 1			1	ł	Î Â		
	Physodoceras	•		٠,		***		•••	, ·	X	w
CC 417				٠.	•••	***	l	• • • •	1	Î Â	
Simoceratidae :	Waagenia .	•	•	٠ ا	***	***	•••	•••	•••	_ ^	•••

TABLE II.

Approximate Position of Kachh Strata in Jurassic Sequence.

Stages.	Zones.	Subzones.			
l'ithonian (Lower Berriasian)	privasensis	cutical control contro			
Portlandiau	giganteus pseudogigas gorei rotundus				
Upper .	virgatus	pectinatus nikitini virgatus miatchkowiensis danubiensis			
	steraspis	vimineus linensis bleicheri			
immeridgian Mid lle ,	gigas	beckeri			
	eudoxus	eudoxus pseudomutabilis balderus			
Lower .	tenuilobatus	f mulabilis polyplocus platynota platyulus			

⁽¹⁾ Lower part only = Upper Katrol ?

^(*) Umia Group. (pars) in Waagen?

Table II—contd.

Approximate Position of Kachh Strata in Jurassic Sequence—contd.

Stages.		Zones.	Subzones.				
<u> </u>				(bimammatus	{ baylei anglica achilles		
Argovian .	•	•		transversarius	wartae promiscuus martelli Dhosa Oolite (1)		
				perarmatus	(goliathus		
				cordatus	arduennensis		
Divesian .	•	•	•	lamberti	occulatum vertumnus lalandei		
			'	(athleta	bicostata Up. Chari Group. athleta		
allovian .				anceps	anceps		
				macrocephalus .	\begin{cases} koenigi \ macrocephalus b \ macrocephalus a \ \ \end{cases}. \end{cases} Low. Chari Group.		
Bathonian?	•	•	•	•••••	Patcham Group.		

(1) Including Kantcote Sandstone.

LISTS OF AMMONITES.

The names of ammonites from certain Kachh localities, with the numbers of the beds (as marked on the specimens in Prof. Blake's Collection), and presumable horizons are given below.

I.—Khera (=Keera or Kira).

Dhosa Oolite—

No. 1 . Phylloceras cf. lodaense, W. (90); Mayaites? sp. juv. (371); Perisphinctes cf. plicatilis (W.) Sow. sp. (369), P. cf. indogermanus, W. (367), P. cf. subevolutus, W. (368), P. sp. ind. (370).

Middle Chari Group-

No. 3 . Lytoceras sp. (108); Reineckeia cf. anceps, auct. juv. (365); Grossouvria cf. lateralis, W. sp. (362); Kinkeliniceras aff. omphalodes, W. sp. and spp. n. (360, 1, 3, 4, 6.)

No. 3 or 4 . Macrocephalites aff. diadematus, W. sp. (260).

"Bottom of 6" Obtusicostites sp. nov. (359).

No. 7 . Phylloceras mediterraneum (Neum.) var. indica, Lem. (89); Hecticoceras? cf. punctatum (Stahl) W. sp. (118), Grossouvria cf. funata (Op.) W. sp. (357-8), G.? aff. congener, W. sp. (355-6); Kinkeliniceras? sp. ind. (430).

No. 8 . . Grossouvria aff. balinensis (Neum.?) W. sp. (354), G. sp. nov.? (353).

Lower Chari Group-

Nos. 7-10. Lytoceras cf. adeloides, Kud. sp. (106); Macrocephalites aff. semilaevis, W. sp. (259); Eucycloceras eucyclum, W. sp. (258).

No. 10 . Macrocephalites? (Eucycloceras?) subcompressus, W. sp. (248), M.? sp. nov. (compressus, auct. non Que.) (265); Eucycloceras eucyclum (W.) and var. (246-7, 249-51).

```
Lower Chari Group-contd.
    No. 11
                  . Macrocephalites aff. formosus, Sow. sp. (245).
    No. 12
    No. 14
```

. Grossouvria ef. curvicosta (Op.) W. sp. (352).

. Phylloceras disputabile (Zitt.) W. (86, 7); Macrocephalites cf. formosus, Sow. sp. (240), M. cf. lamellosus, W. non Sow. sp. (239).

No. 15 . Macrocephalites? sp. ind. (350).

No. 20 . Grossouvria? congener, W. sp. (349).

"Below Oxfordian" Phylloceras mediterraneum (Neum.) var. indica, Lem. (88); Lytoceras adeloides, Kud. sp. (110); Macrocephalites tumidus (Rein.) W. sp. (252), M. formosus, Sow. sp. (253), M. sp. juv. cf. dimerus, W. sp. (255), M. chrysoolithicus, W. sp. (256, 7), M. ef. chariensis, W. sp. (254), Grossouvria sp. ind. (372).

Up. Gold. Oolite Macrocephalites aff. formosus, Sow. sp. (241, 3), M. chariensis, W. sp. (242); Grossouvria? (Subgrossouvria?) aff. evoluta, Neum. sp. (351).

Gold. Oolite . Macrocephalites ef. chrysoolithicus, W. sp. (244).

Low. Gold. Ool. Macrocephalites sp. (238).

Khera? . Macrocephalites formosus, Sow. sp. (237).

II.—Jamura,

Katrol Group-

. Neumayriceras aff. kachhense, W. sp. (116); "Perisphincles" sp. ind. (348). Unclassified Dhosa Oolite-

. Phylloceras sp. ind. (94); Mayaites maya (Sow.) var. (284); Perisphinctes No. 1 rota W. (342), P. indogermanus, W. (343, 5-7), P. subevolutus, W. (341), P. sp. juv. (344); Peltoceratoides sp. n? aff. semirugosus, W. sp. (173-4,

205); Aspidoceras ef. ponderosum (W.) Bor. (187).

Middle Chari Group-

. Kinkeliniceras aff. omphalodes, W. sp. (437), K. mutans, W. sp. (340). No. 3

. Subgrossouvria aberrans, W. sp. (339). No. 7

. Kinkeliniceras aff. omphalodes, W. sp. (335), K. aff. mutans, W. sp. (336); No. 8 Reineckeia cf. nodosa, Till (337, 8).

(?Middle and) Lower Chari Group-

. Phylloceras cf. vicarium, W. (105); Alcidia cf. subdisca, d'Orb. sp. (111), No. 10 A. cf. subcostaria (Op.) W. sp. (112-3, 15) Hecticoceratoides suborientalis, nov. (117); Oecotraustes cf. conjungens (May.) W. (114). Macrocephalites (Eucycloceras?) cf. subcompressus W. sp. (223), M. spp. (235, 6, 6a); Grossouvria aff. spirorbis Neum. sp. (334).

. Macrocephalites chariensis, W. sp. (222), M. diadematus, W. sp. (226), M. Nos. 9-11 cf. chrysoolithicus, W. sp. (225, 229).

. Macrocephalites charrensis, W. sp. (221, 228), M. cf. diadematus, W. sp. No. 11 (234), M. cf. subtrapezinus, W. sp. (224), M. dimerus, W. sp. (233), M. magnumbilicatus, W. sp. (232), M. cf. semilaevis, W. sp. (227), M. spp. (230, 1); Grossouvria aff. curvicosta, (Op.) W. sp. (331), G. furcula, Neum. sp. 330, G. patina Neum. sp. (332), G. euryptycha, Neum. sp. (333).

Lower Chari Group-

. Macrocephalites cf. chariensis W. sp. (219), M. sp. (220); Grossouvria? Below 12. aff. congener, W. sp. (329).

No. 13a . Phylloceras sp. ind. (85).

. Macrocephalites madagascariensis, Lem. (217), M. aff. chariensis, W. sp. 13 or 14a . **(2**18).

III,--Jikadi.

No. 4 "Perisphinates" sp. ind. (617).

Dhosa Oolite--

No. 20 . Phylloceras lodaense, W. (97); Perisphinctes cf. martelli (Op.) W. (438), P. sp. ind. (282).

Athleta-Beds-

No. 21 or 22 . Peltoceras ? sp. ind. (malform.) (186).

No. 22 . . . Phylloceras sp. (204); "Oppelia" sp. ind. (143); "Lunuloceras" sp. juv. (144-7); Aptychus (435); Pachyceras? sp. nov.? (281); Grossouvria sp. juv. (433-4); Peltoceras diversiforme, W. and var. (171-2, 206).

Middle Chari Group-

No. 23 . Kinkeliniceras aff. omphalodes (W.) and var. (432, 436), K.? cf. hians, W. sp.? (142).

IV.—Badi.

Dhosa Oolite-

Up. Zone? Badi Mayaites transiens, W. sp. (270).

Up. Zone, E. Mayaites cf. subtumidus, W. sp. (277), M. aff. transiens, W. sp. (407), M. sp. ind. (209, 280); Perisphinctes cf. indogermanus, W. (409, 10, 12); Peltoceratoides semirugosus, W. sp. (179, 80), P. cf. athletoides (Lah.) de Lor. (181, 411); Phylloceras cf. lodaense, W. (91, 92); Hecticoceras punctatum (Stahl) W. (148-50); Mayaites cf. maya, Sow. sp. (278, 408); Dhosaites grayi, nov. (279); Peltoceratoides semirugosus, W. sp. (178).

No. 3, E. Badi . Peltoceratoides semirugosus, W. sp. (176).

No. 3, Badi Mayaites aff. polyphemus, W. sp. (271), M. sp. nov. (272), Perisphinctes aff. rota, W. (395-6), Peltoceratoides semirugosus, W. sp. (175, 397), P. sp. nov. aff. semirugosus, W. sp. (177).

Middle Chari Group-

Clay above Low.

Zone E. Badi . Kinkeliniceras aff. omphalodes, W. sp. (405).

Low. Zone E.

Badi . Macrocephalites? sp. (269); Grossouvria cf. funata (Op.) W. sp. (400); Kinkeliniceras aff. omphalodes, W. sp. (402-3), K. aff. mutans, W. sp. (401), K. cf. angygaster, W. sp. (399).

Low. Zone, Badi Obtusicostites cf. dhosaensis, W. sp. (393); Kinkeliniceras cf. omphalodes, W. sp. (394), K.? cf. hians, W. sp. (392).

Nucula Flags W.

Badi . . Obtusicostites obtusicosta, W. sp. (391).

V.-Wanda.

Dhosa Oolite—

Zone 1 . Phylloceras lodaense, W. (95); Lytoceras sp., very large (107); Trimarginites sp. cf. delemontanus, Op. sp. (155-6); Mayaites spp. nov. (468, 470, 211); Perisphinctes rota, W. (469, 471-2), P. sp. ind. (473), P. sp. juv. (474-7); Aspidoceras sparsispinum, W. (188), A. perarmatum, W. non Sow. sp.—cf. indorossicum, Bor. (189, 478).

Middle Chari Group-

Zone 2 . "Lunuloceras" sp. juv. (130-1, 134-5, 466); Grossouvria spp. juv. (462-5, 467).

Zone 3 . Kinkeliniceras aff. omphalodes W. sp. (460-1).

VI.-S. Maujal.

Dhosa Oolite-

Top Beds . Perisphinctes cf. rota, W. (387), P. sp. ind. (386).

Athleta Beds-

No. 2 . Alcidia nurrhaensis, W. sp. (119-20); Hecticoceras? lairense, W. sp. (121-2, 124-5); "Lunuloceras" sp. juv. (127, 208); Oecotraustes cf. conjugens, Loczy (123), Oe. sp. ind. (126), Grossouvria curvicosta (Op.) W. sp. and var. (376, 380-85), G. spp. nov.? (374, 447); Obtusicostites aff. buckmani, n. n. (373, 378); Peltoceras athleta, W. non Phill? (185), P. sp. n. aff. athleta unispinosus, Quenst. (169), P. sp. n. aff. diversiforme, W. (170.)

Middle Chari Group-

Low. Part of Oxfordian.—Obtusicostites buckmani, n. n. (375); Kinkeliniceras omphalodes, W. sp. (379), K. aff. mutans, W. sp. (377).

Nodule Beds . Phylloceras cf. plicatum, Neumayr. (267).

VII.-Katrol.

Katrol Group—

No. 2, Katrol Hill. Streblites sp. (163); Katroliceras pottingeri, Sow. sp. (506, 509), K. cf. sparsiplicatum, W. sp. (511).

No. 2, Outer Katrol. Katroliceras cf. katrolense, W. sp. (517, 520), K. sp. ind. (518, 19).

Top of 2, Katrol. Torquatisphinetes cf. torquatus, Sow. sp. (508, 512, 516), T. cf. eudichotomus W. non Zitt. sp. (513), T. cf. bleicheri (de Lor.) W. sp. (514); Katroliceras cf. pottingeri, Sow. sp. (510, 515), K. cf. euplocus, W. sp. (507).

2 or 3, Katrol Hill. Torquatisphinctes aff. torquatus, W. non. Sow. sp. (501), T. ef. bathyplocus W. sp. (503), T. sp. ind. (502), T. sp. juv. (504), Katroliceras pottingeri, Sow. sp. (505).

No. 3 . Torquatisphinctes aff. torquatus (Sow.) and bathyplocus, W. sp. (500, 521).

Dhosa Oolite?—

Top 4, W. Katrol. "Perisphinctes" (Grossouvria?) spp. juv. (522, 524-6).

No. 4, W. Katrol. "Hecticoceras" sp. ind. juv. (207).

VIII.—East Leir (= Ler or Lair).

Middle Chari Group-

Nucula Flags . Grossouvria sp. (490).

In Stream . "Oppelia" cf. orientalis (d'Orb. non W.) = ignobilis W. non Sow. sp. (157a); Kinkeliniceras aff. mutans? W. sp. (157b).

No. 6 . Grossouvria? sp. juv. (491).

No. 7 . Obtusicostites? sp. juv. (492).

No. 8 . Hecticoceras? cf. lairense, W. sp. (158-60), gen. nov.? (perisphinctoid) (493).

Dhosa Oolite-

No. 10 . Mayaites cf. maya, Sow. sp. (283), Perisphinctes cf. rota, W. (496), P. sp. ind. (494-5); Aspidoceras sp. ind. (190).

Above 10 . Perisphinctes cf. obliqueplicatus, W. (497).

Katrol Group-

No. 13 . . . Torquatisphinctes aff. torquatus, Sow. sp. (498).

No. 15 . Torquatisphincles cf. bleicheri (de Lor.) W. sp. (499).

IX.-Jooria.

Dhosa Oolite--

Up. Zone, W. Phylloceras lodaense, W. (93); Taramelliceras sp. juv. (183); Hecticoceras?

punctatum (Stahl) W. sp. (151); Mayaites cf. maya, Sow. sp. (275),

M. cf. transiens, W. sp. (389), M. spp. juv. (276, 210); Perisphinctes

sp. juv. (390).

Middle Chari Group-

Mid. Zone, E. Grossouvria cf. graciosa, Siem. (418-9); Obtusicostites paramorphus, W. sp. (416); Kinkeliniceras cf. omphalodes, W. sp. and spp. (388, 417, 420).

Low. Zone E. . Macrocephalites (Eucycloceras?) cf. compressus, auct. sp. (268), Reineckeia sp., anceps, W. pars. (404), R. cf. arthritica, Sow. sp. (413); Grossouvria cf. graciosa, Siem. (415); Kinkeliniceras sp. (398, 414).

X.-Tramau River.

Dhosa Oolite-

Zone 1 . Mayaites subtramidus, W. sp. (319), M. cf. transiens, W. sp. (320), M. sp. juv. (321).

Top of Zone 1 . Perisphinctes aff. plicatilis (Sow.) W. (579).

Above Zone 1 . Dhosaites sp. n. aff. grayi, n. (322); Perisphinctes plicatilis (Sew.) W. (575-6), P. of. indogermanus, W. (577), P. sp. ind. (578); Ataxioceras? of. virguloides, W. sp. (574); Aspidoceras sp. (580).

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- 1. Blake, J. F.—' List of the Types & Figd. Spec. in the Coll. of the Geol. Soc. of London.' 1902.
- 2. ,, ,, 'Fauna of the Cornbrash.' Mon. Pal. Soc. 1905-07; (a) p. 46, pl. IV, fig. 2, pl. III, fig. 7. (b) pl. IV, fig. 1.
- 3. Blanford, H. F.—In Salter & Blanford: 'Palæontology of Niti &c.' 1865, p. 77, pl. XIV, figs. 1a, b.
- 4. Boehm, G.—'Beitr. z. Geol. v. Niederländ. Indien.' I, 3. 'Oxford d. Wai Galo.' Palæontogr-Suppl. IV, Lief. 2, 1907. (a) p. 88, pl. XIX, fig. 3 and pl. XX. (b) e. g. pls. XVIII-XXII.
- 5. " "Geol. Mitt. a. d. Indo-Austral. Archipel." VI, c. "Jura v. Rotti, Timor, Babar & Buru." N. Jb. f. Min. &c., Beil. Bd. XXV, 1908, p. 30, text-fig. 5.
- " "Grenzschichten zw. Jura & Kreide." N. Jb. f. Min. &c, I, 1911, (a) text-fig. 1,
 p. 18. (b) p. 19, pl. II, fig. 2.
- 7. " 'Unteres Callovien.' Beitr. z. Geol. v. Niederländ. Indien, loc. cit., I, 4. 1912, (a) pl. XXXVI, fig. 3 only (typus). (b) p. 157.
- 8. " 'Unter. Callovien & Coronatensch. &c.' Nova-Guinea. Vol. VI, Geol., pt. 1, 1912, p. 12.
- 9. Borissjak, A.—' Die Fauna d. Donez Jura.' I. Cephalop. Mém. Com. Géol. St. Pétersb., N. S., Livr. 37, 1908, pl. VII.
- 10. Buckman, S. S.—' Yorkshire Type Ammonites.' Vol. II, 1913. (a) p. iv, (b) 1918, p. xiii, (c) 1919, p. xvi.
- * 11. ,, 'Type Ammonites.' Vol. III, 1921; (a) pl. 266. (b) p. 31. (c) p. 33. (d) 1930, p. 22.
 - 12. ,, 'The "Kelloway Rock" of Scarborough.' Q. J. G. S., Vol. LXIX, 1913, p. 154.
 - 13. Bukowski, G.—' Jurabild. v. Czenstochau.' Beitr. Pal. Geol. Ost.-Ungarn, Vol. V, 1886, p. 125 (51) pl. XXVI, fig. 19.
 - 14. Burckhardt, C.—' Coupe Géol. de la Cordillère &c.' Ann. Mus. de la Plata. Soc. Geol. y Min. III, 1900, p. 11, pl. XIX, fig. 13.
 - 15. , 'Beitr. z. Kenntn. d. Jura & Kreideform. d. Cordillere.' I. Palæontgr. Vol. L, pts. 1 & 2, 1903, (a) pl. VI, figs. 1-4. (b) pls. IV-VII.
- 16. , 'La Faune Jurass. de Mazapil.' Bol. Inst. Geol. Mexico. No. 23, 1906, p. 131, pl. XXXVI, figs. 1-6.
- 17. , Faunes Jurass. & Crét. de San Pedro del Gallo.' ib. Bol. No. 29, 1912, p. 131.
- 18. ,, 'Faunas Juras. de Symon &c.' ib. Bol. No. 33, Vol. 1 (text) 1919, Vol. II (Atlas) 1921.
- 19. Choffat, P.—'Faune Jurass. d. Portugal.' I. Ammon. du Lusitan. Comm. Trab. Geol. Port. 1893, pl. XII, figs. 1-4.
- 20. Crick, G.C.—'On a Coll. of Jurass. Cephalop. fr. W. Australia.' Geol. Mag. Dec. IV, Vol. I, 1894.
- 21. , 'List of Types & Figd. Spec. of Foss. Cephalop. in the British Museum.' 1898.
- 22. ,, 'Note on Dr. J. E. Gray's Type-Spec. of Jurass. Ammon. fr. India.' Proc. Malac. Soc. Vol. V, 1903, pt. 4.
- Cephalop. Strach by Coll. Himalaya. Geol. Mag. N. S. Dec. V, Vol. I, 1904, pp. 5-7.

- 24. Crick, G. C.—' Cephalop. fr. N. W. Indian Frontier.' Geol. Mag. N. S. Dec. V, Vol. I, 1904. pp. 490-493.
- 25. ,, in Newton & Crick: 'Jurass. Moll. fr. Arabia.' Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. Ser. 8, Vol. II, 1908, p. 14, pl. III, fig. 1.
- 26. Dacqué, E.—' Der Jura i. d. Umgeb. d. Lemur. Kontinents.' Geol. Rundschau, Vol. I, pt. 3, 1910, p. 155.
- ' 27. , 'Dogger & Malm aus Ostafrika.' Beitr. Pal. Geol. Öst.-Ungarn, Vol. XXIII, 1910, (a) p. 38, pl. VI, figs. 5-7.
- 28. , 'Jura in Abessynien.' ib. Vol. XXVII, 1914, p. 10, pl. II, fig. 2.
- 29. Douvillé, H.— Les Ter. Second. dans le Massif du Moghara.' Mém. Acad. Sci., Instit. de France, Vol. LIV, 1916, p. 11.
- 30. ,, R.—' Céph. Argentins.' Mém. Soc. Géol. France, Pal. Vol. XVII, 1910, p. 8, &c., pls. I & III.
- 31. Etheridge, R.—'Our Pres. Knowl. of the Pal. of New-Guinea.' Rec. Geol. S. New South Wales, Vol. I, pt. 3, 1889, (1890) p. 176, pl. XXIX, fig. 4 (fig. slightly reduced).
- 32. Fischer, E.— Jura & Kreide Verstein. aus Persien. Beitr. Pal. Geol. Öst.-Ungarn, Vol. XXVII, Heft. 4, 1915, p. 243, pl. XX, fig. 7.
- 33. "Üb. einige neue oder in Schwaben bisher unbek. Verst. d. Br. & Weiss. Jura."

 Jabresh. Ver. Vat. Naturk. Württemb. Vol. LXIX, 1913, pp. 31-59, pl. V, figs. 21 & 23.
- 34. Forbes, E.—' Report on Foss. fr. S. Fé de Bogota &c.' Q. J. G. S., Vol. I, 1845, p. 178, figs. 12 a, b.
- 35. Gray, J. E.- 'Illustr. of Indian Zoology &c.' 1830-32, Vol. I, pl. C, fig. 4.
- 36. Grossouvre, A. de—'Callov. de l'Ouest de la France.' Bull. Soc. Géol. France, (3) Vol. XIX, 1891, No. 5, p. 260, pl. IX, fig. 1.
- 37. , 'Bajocien-Bathonien dans la Nièvre.' ib. (4), Vol. XVIII, 1918. (a) p. 412, pl. XIV, fig. 2. (b) p. 385, pl. XV, figs. 3-8.
- 38. Haug, E.—'Traité de Géol.' Vol. II, fasc. 2, 1907, pp. 1074-5.
- 39. Healey, M.—' Notes on Upp. Jurass. Ammon.' Q. J. G. S., Vol. LX, 1904, p. 60, pl. XII, figs. 1 & 2.
- 40. Hector, J.—'Outline Geol. of New-Zealand.' Ind. & Col. Exh. London, 1886, New-Zealand Court. Catal. & Guide to Geol. Exhibits, p. 68, text-fig. 33, 2.
- 41. Jullien.—'Ét. Phylloceras Jurass. & Crét.' C. R. Somm. Soc. Géol. France, 19 June, 1911, p. 129.
- 42. Kilian, W.— 'Unterkreide (Palaeocretacicum)' in Frech: 'Lethaea Geognost.' II, Mesozoic. Vol. III, Kreide, pt. I, Lief. 2, 1910, p. 267.
- 43. Kitchin, F. L.—' Jurassic Fauna of Kutch.' Vol. III, pt. I, Brachiopoda. Mem. Geol. Sur. India, Pal. India, Ser. IX, 1900, p. 3.
- 44. Klebelsberg, R. v.—'D. Perisph. d. Krakauer Unteroxfordien.' Beitr. Pal. Geol. Öst.-Ungarn, Vol. XXV, 1912, p. 197, pl. XVIII, fig. 4.
- Koenen, A. v.— Ammonitiden d. Nordd. Neocom. Abh. K. Preuss. Geol. L.A., N.F., Vol. 24, 1902, p. 80, pl. V, fig. 8 & 9.
- 46. Lahusen, J.-'Üb. d. Russ. Aucellen.' Mém. Com. Géol. St. Pétersb., Vol. VIII, No. 1, 1888, p. 26.
- 47. , 'Fauna d. Jurass. Bild. d. Rjasan Gouv.' Mém. Com. Geol. St. Pétersb., Vol. I, 1883, pl. X. fig. 12.
- 48. Lemoine, P.—' Pal. de Madagascar.' VIII, 'Amm. d'Analalava.' Ann. de Pal., Vol. V, fasc. 4, 1910. (a) List on pp. 28-31. (b) pl. IV, fig. 5 & pl. V, fig. 7. (c) pp. 31 & 51. (d) p. 9. (e) p. 45.

- 49. Lissajous, M.- 'Jurass. Maconnais.' 1912, p. 49, pl. VI, fig. 7.
- 50. Loczy, L.—'A villányi callovien-ammonitesek monogr.' Geol. Hungar. Vol. I, pts. 3 & 4.
 1915. (a) p. 302, pl. III, figs. 8 & 9. (b) p. 365, pl. IX, fig. 5, pl. XII, fig. 5.
- 51. Loriol, P. de—'Étude Oxf. Infér. Jura Bernois.' Mém. Soc. Pal. Suisse, Vol. XXV, 1898;
 (a) p. 71, pl. V, fig. 15. (b) pl. VII, figs. 6-7. (c) pl. VII, figs. 10-11.
- 52. ,, 'Oxf. Supér. & Moyen d. Jura Ledonien.' ib. Vol. XXX, 1903, pl. XIV, figs. 1-2.
- 53. Madsen, V.—'On Jurass. Fossils fr. E. Greenland.' Mus. Min. Géol. Univ. Copenhague, Comm. Pal. No. 6, 1904, p. 192.
- Marshall, P.— Some New Zealand Fossil Ceph. Trans. N. Z. Instit., N. S., Vol. XLI, 1909,
 p. 144, pl. XIVa, fig. 4.
- Michalski, A.—'Amm. d. Unt. Wolga Stufe.' Mém. Com. Géol. St. Pétersb., Vol. VIII, 1894,
 pl. IV, fig. 5.
- 56. Milachewitsch, C.— Sur les Couches à A. macrocephalus en Russie. Bull. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscou, Vol. LIV, 1879, No. 3, p. 15, pl. I, figs. 2-4.
- 57. Model, R.— Beitr. z. Kenntn. d. Amm. Fauna d. Macrocephalus-Schichten &c.' Erlangen, 1914. (See review in N. Jb. f. Min. &c., 1916, I, pp. 123-4.)
- 58. Moore, C.—' Australian Mesozoic Geol. & Pal.' Q. J. G. S., Vol. XXVI, 1870, pp. 230-32, pl. XV, fig. 5.
- 59. Neumayr, M.—'Einige Jurafossil. a. West-Australien.' Ann. III, 'Geogr. Verbreit. d. Juraformation.' Denkschr. K. Akad. Wiss. Wien. Vol. L, 1885, p. 140.
- 60. Newton, R. B.— 'Appendix on the Fossils' in Baron: 'Notes on the Geology of Madagascar.' Q. J. G. S., Vol. XLV, 1889, p. 334.
 - 61. Newton & Teall.—' Notes on a Cell. of Rocks & Foss. fr. Franz-Josef Land &c.' Q. J. G. S., Vol. LIII, 1897, p. 500, pl. XL, fig. 1?.
- 62. Nikitin, S.—' Jura Ablag. zw. Rybinsk, etc.' Mém. Acad. Imp. Sci. St. Pétersb., Sér. VII, Vol. XXVIII, 1881, p. 63, pl. VI, fig. 48.
- 63. Noetling, F.—' Fauna of the Kellaways of Mazár Drik.' Mem. Geol. Surv. India, Pal. Indica, Ser. XVI, Vol. I, pt. 1, 1897, p. 1.
- 64. O'Connell, M.—'The Jurass. Amm. Fauna of Cuba.' Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist., Vol. XLII, 1920, p. 670, pl. XXXVI, figs. 1-2.
- 65. Oldham, R. D.—' Geology of India.' 2nd ed., 1893, p. 228.
- 66. Oppel, A.—' Die Juraformation &c.' Wurttemb. Naturwiss. Jahresh., Vols. XII-XIV, 1856-8, p. 548.
- 67. , 'Pal. Mitt.' Vol. I, 1862, p. 153, pl. XLVIII, fig. 1.
- 68. Orbigny, A. de—'Pal. Franc., Ter. Jurass.' Vol. I, 1842-51, pl. CCXXIV.
- 69. Palæontologia Universalis. 1911, pl. CCVIII, fig. H.
- 70. Parona & Bonarelli.—' Sur la faune du Callovien Infér. &c.' Mém. Ac. Sci. Savoie., Ser. 4, Vol. VI, 1897; (a) p. 146, (b) p. 152.
- 71. Pavlow, A.—'Ét. s. 1. Couches Jurass. & Crét. Russie.' I. Bull. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscou, 1889, No. 1. (a) p. 27, (b) p. 60, pl. III, fig...11.
- 72. Phillips, J.—'Geology of Oxford.' 1871, p. 177, pl. X, fig. 38.
- 73. Pia, J. v.—'Zur Frage d. Lückenhaftigk. d. Alp. Jura &c.' Mitt. Geol. Ges. Wien, Vol. XII (1919) 1920, pp. 116-134.
- 74. Prinz, G.—'D. Fauna d. Ält. Jurabild. N. Ö. Bakony.' Mitt. Jb. Ung. Geol. Anst. Vol. XV, 1904, p. 40.
- Quenstedt, F. A. v.—' Die Cephalopoden.' Vol. I of Petrefact. Deutschlands. 1849, pl. XV, fig. 12.

- Quenstedt, F. A. v.— D. Ammon. d. Schwäb. Jura. (a) 1886, pl. LXXVI, fig. 19, (b) 1887,
 pl. XCV, fig. 1, p. 881, (c) 1888, pl. CXVIII, figs. 1 & 2.
- 77. Reuter, L.—' Die Ausbild. d. Ob. Br. Jura i. N. Teile d. Fränk. Alb.' Geogn. Jahresh., Vol. XX, (1907) 1908, (a) fig. 5 on p. 88. (b) p. 127, (c) p. 97.
- 78. .. 'D. Ob. Br. Jura am Leyerberg b. Erlangen.' Sitz. Ber. d. Phys. Med. Soz. Erlangen, Vol. XLI, 1910, text-fig. 2 on p. 86.
- 79. Reed, F. R. C.—'Fossils from Nepal.' Geol. Mag. N. S. Dec. V, Vol. V, 1908, p. 259.
- Reeside, J. B.— Some American Jurass. Ammon.' Prof. Pap. 118, U. S. Geol. Surv. 1919,
 p. 17, pl. IV, figs. 8-10, pl. V, figs. 3-4.
- 81. Retowski, O.—'D. Tithon. Abl. v. Theodosia.' Bull. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscou, Année 1893, Nos. 2 & 3, p. 256, pl. X, fig. 9.
- 82. Ronchadzé, J.—' Perisphinctes de l'Argovien &c.' Mém. Soc. Pal. Suisse. Vol. XLII, 1917, p. 26, pl. III, fig. 29.
- 83. Salfeld, H.—'Die Gliederung d. Ob. Jura in N. W. Europa.' N. Jb. f. Min. &c., Beil. Bd. XXXVII, 1914, p. 128.
- 84. ,, 'Üb. einige Aspidoceraten Oberoxf. & Kimm. &c.' XII. Jahresber. Niedersächs. Geol. Ver. Hanover, 1919, p. 21.
- 85. ,, 'Das Problem d. Borealen Jura & d. Borealen Unterkreide.' Central-blatt f. Min. &c., 1921, No. 6, pp. 169-74.
- 86. Schneid, Th.—' Die Ammonitenfauna d. Obertithon. Kalke v. Neuburg a. D.' Geol. & Pal. Abhand. N. F. Vol. XIII, Heft 5, 1915, p. 72.
- 87. Siemiradzki, J. v.— Monogr. Perisphincles. Palaeontograph. Vol. XLV, 1898. (a) p. 120-(b) p. 167.
- 88. Sowerby, J. & J. de C.— 'Mineral Conchol. of Great Britain.' 7 vols., London 1812-46.
- 89. Sowerby, J. de C., in Grant.—'Memoir to Illustrate a Geol. Map of Kutch.' Trans. Geol. Soc., Ser. 2, Vol. V, 1840, pl. XXIII.
- in Sykes.—' A Notice Respecting some Fossils coll. in Kutch, &c.' b. pl. LXI, and pp. 715-719.
- 91. Spath, L. F.—'Jurassic Ammonites from Jebel Zaghuan.' Q. J. G. S., Vol. LXIX, 1913, pp. 575-9.
- 92. ,, 'Jurassic Ammonites from East-Africa &c.' Geol. Mag., Vol. LVII, 1920,
 (a) p. 315. (b) p. 312. (c) pp. 358-60.
- 93. ,, 'Ammonites from Spitsbergen.' Geol. Mag., Vol. LVIII, 1921, p. 351.
- 94. ,, 'Ammon. fr. New Zealand.' Appendix to Trechmann: 'The Jurassic of New Zealand.' (See Proc. Geol. Soc., No. 1074, July 1st, 1921, p. 102.)
- 95. Till, A.—'Die Ammon. Fauna d. Kelloway v. Villány.' Beitr. Pal. Geol. Öst.-Ungarn, Vol. XXIV, 1911, pt. 3, pl. VI, figs. 6-7.
- 96. Tornquist, A.—'Fragm. einer Oxford Fauna v. Mtaru &c.' Jb. Hamb. Wiss. Anst. Vol. X, 1892, pt. 2, 1893, pp. 270-77, pls. I-III.
- 97. Tsytovitch, X. de—'Sur. quelq. Ammon. Callov. d. 1. Crimée &c.' Ann. Géol. & Minéral. Russie, Vol. XIV, livr. 7-8, 1912, pp. 195-6.
- 98. Uhlig, V.—' Fauna of the Spiti-Shales.' Mem. Geol. Surv. India, Pal. India, Ser. XV, Himalay. Foss., Vol. IV, fasc. 2. 1910. (a) pl. LXXVII, figs. 1-6. (b) p. 368, pl. LXIX, fig. 2, pl. LXXI, figs. 1-2. (c) p. 317, pl. LVI, fig. 2.
- 99. Vetters, H.—'D. Fauna der Juraklippen zw. Donau & Thaya.' Beitr. Pal. Geol. Ost.-Ungarn, Vol. XVII, 1905, p. 227.
- 100. Waagen, W.—' Jurassic Fauna of Kutch.' I, Cephalop. Mem. Geol. Surv. India, Pal. Indica.
 1875; (a) p. 123; (b) p. 108.
- 101. Woodward, H. B.- 'The Jurassic Rocks of Britain.' Vol. IV, 1894.