Michigan Silversity of Michigan Silverics 1817



COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY STUDIES IN COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS

EDITED BY

LOUIS H. GRAY

PROFESSOR OF ORIENTAL LANGUAGES IN COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

VOLUME I INTRODUCTION TO SEMITIC COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS

INTRODUCTION TO SEMITIC COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS

BY LOUIS H. GRAY

PROFESSOR OF ORIENTAL LANGUAGES
IN COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY



NEW YORK: MORNINGSIDE HEIGHTS
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS
1934

289

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

COPYRIGHT 1934 COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS

PUBLISHED 1934

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THE CAYUGA PRESS . ITHACA, NEW YORK

Digitized by Google Original from UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

. ...

T_o RICHARD J. H. GOTTHEIL

Teacher, Colleague, Friend
In honour of his seventieth birthday

PREFACE

The purpose of this 'Introduction to Semitic Comparative Linguistics' is defined by its title. It desires neither to supplant nor to supplement existing comparative grammars of Semitic, nor does it seek to be an historical or presentational grammar of any member of that group. I have long felt, however, that the Semitic comparative grammars thus far published are too complicated, and at once too general and detailed, for beginners in this branch of linguistics; and I also believe that a comparative study based primarily upon Hebrew, the Semitic language most familiar to younger students, may aid very materially in a grasp of Semitic linguistics as a whole. For comparison with Hebrew, I have drawn, first of all, upon Arabic, which is not only the Semitic tongue best known next to Hebrew, but also appears by far the most retentive of Proto-Semitic conditions both in phonology and in morphology. What seems strange and arbitrary when Hebrew is studied as an isolated language, becomes natural and almost inevitable when compared with Arabic, Aramaic, Accadian, and other cognate dialects.

Particular attention has been given to selection of examples in illustration of every statement made; and the Bibliography, which seeks to list the principal studies which have appeared since 1875, will, it is hoped, provide references for further research on the part of the student.

While an elementary grammar may well be considered no place for presentation of personal views, I have not refrained from stating them whenever it seemed that they might advance knowledge on the subject, notably in regard to bθγαδkθφαθ, šθwā, accent, determinants, vocalic alternation, arrangement of noun-bases in logical rather than in traditional order, gender, 'δθ: 'ēθ, 'wāw consecutive,' 'telic' and 'atelic' instead of 'perfect' and 'imperfect', and verbs with geminate medial (§§ 14, 33, 69-85, 91 [cf. 404], 93-7, 99 sqq., 177-90, 211, 347-51, 360 sqq., 409-12 respectively). Even if some or all of these be rejected, their rejection will scarcely impair the practical utility of the volume. Though interpretations be disproved or denied, the basal facts remain.

In great part, this book has been to me an essay in method. Primarily an Indo-Europeanist, I have sought to apply the principles of Indo-European linguistics to Semitics. Nowhere else has linguistic method been so highly developed, so severely tried, or proved so rich in results as in Indo-European; and in these pages I have sought an-

other test of its general validity by applying it to a very important linguistic family which I see no reason to believe connected with Indo-European. The method seems to me to have met this test with equal profit both for Semitic and for Indo-European. Similar procedure with regard to Dravidian and other linguistic families would almost certainly lead to results of scientific value; and a grammar of Aramaic from the comparative point of view appears to me to be among the prime desiderata of Semitics.

For help in my work I am indebted to more than one. First of all, to Marcel Cohen of the Ecole des langues orientales and the Ecole pratique des hautes études, who read the original draft of my manuscript, and who gave freely of his time and learning in many pleasant mornings at Viroflay; then to my own teacher Richard Gottheil and to my pupil Dr. Isaac Mendelsohn, to both of whom I owe many suggestions of value; to the Council for Research in the Humanities at this University, who enabled me to visit France in 1929 to work on this book, and who contributed liberal financial support toward its publication; to the Columbia University Press for equal generosity; to Drs. Mendelsohn and Ralph Marcus for reading the proofs; and to my wife, who voluntarily lent her technical training not only to the drudgery of preparing my copy for press, but also to its proof-reading. If at times I have not seen my way clear to follow the counsels of these very true friends, I have differed only after deep and careful consideration. For any possible errors in method, presentation, or results arising from such divergencies, I alone am responsible.

LOUIS H. GRAY

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK JANUARY 4, 1934

CONTENTS

rincipal A	bbreviations	10 M					*	75	*	20	20	P	p. xii-xiv
Franscriptic	on	Ser 1 (9)		*		*		*	*	*	*3	p	p. xv–xvi
Chapter													
I. The	Semitic Ling	uistic (Gro	up		*	*	•		* 5	22	*0	§§ 1-13
II. Phon	ology												
Α.	The Proto-S	Semitic	Ph	one	tic 8	Syst	tem						14
В.	Representat	ion of	Pro	oto-	Sem	itic	So	unc	ds i	n th	ne I	Iis-	
	torical S	emitic	Dia	lec	ts		$\langle \hat{x} \rangle$			90	*3	¥5	15-33
C.	Assimilation	1				ý.							34-8
D.	Dissimilatio	n .	29							*	*		39-42
	Elision .												43-7
F.	Haplology									,			48
G.	Metathesis		19									*	49-51
H.	Vocalic Pro	thesis a	and	Ep	enth	esis	3						52-7
	Gemination											*:	58-63
	Inorganic C												64
	Pausal Forn												65-8
L.	Accent .		- 1	15	100				Ţ,		140		69-85
III. Morr	phology in G	eneral											
	Bases			774	0	9	7	0		٠	•	2	86-90
В.	Determinan	ts .	880	33	88	38	22	8					91-2
	Vocalic Alte												93-7
IV. Nour													,,,,
			0.40										98
	Formation 1. Base	es with	out	Fo	rma	tive	28	0.71		(2)	(2)		
	8.	Mono	svll	abio	Ba	ses							99
		Disyll						÷	- 1	-2	2	0	100-15
		Trisyl						-	-	- 2		3	116-52
	2. Bas												3-
		Bases	3 (4)			16.VS	ativ	29	100		197		153-66
		Bases							- 10	10	9	-	167-76
B	Gender .									ं			177-90
1000	Number .						95 94	1.5	98	.5	- O	<u>*</u>	191-8
1223	Declension										23		199-222
V. Pron								*			ैं	·	223
	Personal Pr	onoun							7.	*	127		224
A.	r. Inde												- 3
	2. Affi										•	•	225-35
	Z. Aill	Acu re	1901	1451	101	wu	us						236-40

	В.	Demon	strat	ive	Pro	noi	uns :	an	d the	A	rtic	le				241-6
	C.	Relativ	e Pr	ono	uns		90	Ç.	2	30	200	10		100		247-50
	D.	Interro	gativ	re F	ron	our	ns		943	*0	80			33 9 33		251-4
VI.	Num	erals														300 M
	A.	Cardina	als					ÿ,	25	36				10		255-67
	В.	Ordinal	ls .	*	*	20	*	*:	*:	100	k::	20*83		218	22 23	268-72
	C.	Fractio	nals				323		**	20	28	23	.3			273
VII.	Parti	cles .				٠		٠		•		10				274
	A.	Adverb	s													
		I.	Adv	erb	s of	No	n-N	on	ninal	O	rigi	n				
			a.	Re	lati	ve					10	50	120		950	275-8
			b.	Int	err	oga	tive	80	*2	*:	10	*3			٠	279-80
			c.	Vo	lunt	tati	ve	¥.	20	20	20	0				281
			d.	As	sert	ion	al	*	*	Sa	50	50		010	83.0	282
			e.	Ne	gati	ions	al	×				83				283
		2,	Adv	erb	s of	No	omir	al	Orig	in	03	20	277			284-9
	В.	Preposi	itions	3.	*	8		83		*2	10	53	•		535	290-1
	C.	Conjun	ction	ıs				(4)	80	90	*0	63	63			292-3
	D.	Interje	ction	s												294-5
VIII.	Verb	s	*	*			36	*	*	K		•	63		0.00	296-301
	A.	Stems														
		I.	Bas	es v	vith	out	Pre	for	rmati	ive	s					
									oic B							302-11
			b.	Ba	ses	with	a Sec	cor	nd Co	nse	ona	nt C	ern	nina	ated	312-15
			c.	Ba	ses	wit	h F	irs	t or	Sec	cone	d C	ons	ona	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{t}$	
						_										316
			d.	Ba	ses	wit	h Tl	hir	d Co	nso	onai	nt I	Repe	eate	$^{\mathrm{ed}}$	317-18
			e.	Ba					nd a				A 11 A 78.			
						N. 11.					49	٠	•	•	•	319-20
		2.							tives							
									orma						100	321
									orma							322-4
			c.	Ba	ses	wit	h Pr	ef	orma	tiv	e ta	-		•	•	325-32
																333-5
																336-9
			Dis	ylla	bic	Ger	mina	te	d Ba	ses	20	*50	53	(3)	٠	340-1
	10000	Moods			\times				**							342-57
		Tenses									•	20				358-65
	D.	Conjug														Germana de con-
		I.														366-71
		2	Tel	10'												272-6

		0	NTI	EN	гs						хi
3.	Imperative	*:		•	*07	192	100	5.00		136	377-80

3.	Impera	tive	+		*3	50	100			53.6	27.	356	377-80
4.	Infiniti	ves a	and	Pa	rtic	iple	s	•	,	334	35	24	381-3
E. Synops	is of the	e Ty	pes	of	the	Str	ong	Ve	erb		225	32	384
ı,	Qal .	•	*:	*8	*Si			٠	8.	 ::•	88		385-8
2.	$Ni\varphi$ 'al	1.88	20	\$3	26	.2	533			12	82	192	389-90
3.	Pi'ēl	es.	**	25	*11		0.50	:•::		28		28	391-2
4.	Pu'al		*0	K.)	40	10					134	100	393-4
5.	$\mathrm{Hi}\varphi$ 'īl			Ç.		5	(1985)			(%	9	20	395-6
6.	Hog'al		921	200	100	100	50.00		0.9	33.6	234	68	397-8
7.	Hiθpa'	ēl .			*3	200	+6		5360	264	103		399-400
8.	Rare F	orma	atio	ns	30						33	88	401-2
F. Weak	Verbs .	*	*:	*	* 30 *3	•					639	000 000	403-5
I.	Verbs	with	Ini	tial	n-		410				3		406-7
2.	Verbs	with	Ph	ary	nga	ls							408
	Verbs			11000700				1	***	540		99	409-13
4.	Verbs	with	,	21	12	10	100			943	35	84	414-16
5.	Verbs	with	i, 1	ι	*1	***	601	190				224	417-21
Bibliography	* *	98 348	*	90 90	***	*55	0.00		300	124	3	pp.	119-139
Index of Biblical H	ehrow I	Word	0										TAILTAN

PRINCIPAL ABBREVIATIONS

abs. = absolute

acc. = accusative

Acc. = Accadian

AJSL = American Journal of Semitic Languages and Literatures, 1884 sqq.

Arab. = Arabic

Aram. = Aramaic

B = Bergsträsser, Einführung in die semitischen Sprachen

BA = Beiträge zur Assyriologie, 1890 sqq.

Bib. Aram. = Biblical Aramaic

B-L = Bauer-Leander, Historische Grammatik der hebräischen Sprache des Alten Testamentes

Brugmann, Grundriss = K. Brugmann, Grundriss der vergleichenden Grammatik der indogermanischen Sprachen, 2nd ed., 3 vols. (4 parts), Strasbourg, 1897-1916

BSLP = Bulletin de la société de linguistique de Paris, 1871 sqq.

BZ = Biblische Zeitschrift, 1903 sqq.

Cohen, Système = Cohen, Le Système verbal sémitique et l'expression du temps

com. = common

const. = construct

Egypt. = Egyptian

emph. = emphatic

Eth. = Ethiopic

fem. = feminine

G-B = Gesenius, Hebräische Grammatik . . . verfasst von G. Bergsträsser

gen. = genitive

GSAI = Giornale della società asiatica italiana, 1887 sqq.

Heb. = Hebrew

I-E = Indo-European

impf. = imperfect

impv. = imperative

indic. = indicative

inf. = infinitive

 $JA = Journal \ asiatique$, 1822 sqq.

JAOS = Journal of the American Oriental Society, 1849 sqq.

JBL=Journal of Biblical Literature, 1881 sqq.

JPOS = Journal of the Palestine Oriental Society, 1920 sqq.

JQR = Jewish Quarterly Review, 1890 sqq.

JRAS=Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, 1834 sqq.

juss. = jussive

KVG = Brockelmann, Kurzgefasste vergleichende Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen

Mand. = Mandaean

masc. = masculine

Mesop. = Mesopotamian

Min. = Minaean

Miš. = Mišnāic Hebrew

Mod. = Modern

Mor. = Moroccan

MSLP = Mémoires de la société de linguistique de Paris, 1868 sqq.

nom. = nominative

n. s. = new series

O = O'Leary, Comparative Grammar of the Semitic Languages

OLZ = Orientalistische Literaturzeitung, 1898 sqq.

/ P = Brockelmann, Précis de linguistique sémitique

pass. = passive

part. = participle

perf. = perfect

pers. = person

Phoen. = Phoenician

PJAram. = Palestinian Jewish Aramaic

plur. = plural

P-S = Proto-Semitic

Pun. = Punic

REJ = Revue des études juives, 1880 sqq.

SA = South Arabic

Sab. = Sabaean

Sem. = Semitic

sing. = singular

subj. = subjunctive

SWAW = Sitzungsberichte der Wiener Akademie der Wissenschaften, historisch-philosophische Klasse, 1848 sqq.

Syr. = Syriac

Syro-Palest. = Syro-Palestinian

Talm. = Talmudic

Targ. = Targumic

- Trip. = Tripolitan
- Tun. = Tunisian
- Vendryes, Langage = J. Vendryes, Le Langage, Paris, 1921 (Eng. transl., London and New York, 1925)
- VG=Brockelmann, Grundriss der vergleichenden Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen
- W = Wright, Lectures on the Comparative Grammar of the Semitic Languages
- Wright, Grammar = W. Wright, A Grammar of the Arabic Language, 3rd ed. by W. R. Smith and M. J. de Goeje, 2 vols., Cambridge, 1896-8
- WZKM = Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, 1887 sqq.
- Z = Zimmern, Vergleichende Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen
- ZA = Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, 1886 sqq.
- ZAW = Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft, 1881 sqq.
- ZDMG=Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, 1847 sqq.
- ZS = Zeitschrift für Semitistik, 1922 sqq.
- *=hypothetical form
- >= becomes
- <=derived from

TRANSCRIPTION

Only Arabic (with which Ethiopic coincides) and Hebrew (with which Syriac coincides, except for the vowels, recorded separately) are given here; Accadian transcription is self-evident.

I. Consonants

Ara	abic	H	ebrew
1	,	×	,
ب	b	2	b, B
		3	g, γ
œ	t	n	g, γ t, θ
ى	ţ		
5	ğ		
5	<u>ķ</u>	п	h.
ċ	b		
3	d	7	d, δ
せってさいいい	t <u>t</u> <u>ğ</u> <u>h</u> <u>b</u> <u>d</u> <u>r</u>		
3	r	7	r
5	z 8	פ פ סיי	z
w	8	D	8
		8	ś
ش	š	8	z 8 8 8
9	8	x	8
ض	d.		
و مو مو مون	š d t z	8	ţ
ظ	Z.		
3	•	y	•
غ	ġ		
	f	Ð	p, φ
ق	\boldsymbol{q}	P	q
ك	\boldsymbol{k}	>	k, χ
J	$egin{array}{c} q \ k \ l \end{array}$	7	1
^	m	ק ל מ	m
Ü	m n	3	n
8	h	п	h
ä	h	ה ה	h
رة هن م و قد هن م	w	?	w
S	y	•	y

II. Vowels

		11. 10	WELD		
Ara	abic	Hebr	rew	Syria	C
1	a	-	a	*	а
		$pa\theta ah$ furt.	Q	100000000	
1,1	ā	÷	ā, o	•	ā
		-	ă		
		-	e	. (e
		-	ē	<u> -</u> {	ē
		-	ě	S.	
		-	ə, O		
7	i	S			
5	ī	1 '	i, ī		ī
		,1	ō		
			ŏ		
÷	u	{ -	u, ū		
-	ū	(,	,	*	ū

INTRODUCTION TO SEMITIC COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS

1.0

CHAPTER I

THE SEMITIC LINGUISTIC GROUP

- § 1. The Semitic group of languages, like all other linguistic divisions, is characterised by certain regular correspondences in sounds, in inflexions, and, in the main, in syntax between its various members, whereas no such regular correspondences exist between the languages of this group and those of other linguistic families. Resemblances, and even identities, in vocabulary are of minor importance in determining linguistic affinities, since chance coincidences are not unknown, and since words are frequently borrowed by one language, or even by a whole language-group, from another, such loan-words often being so completely assimilated that they share in all subsequent mutations in phonology and morphology which take place in the adopting language or group of languages. None of the 'characteristics' commonly alleged for the Semitic group (or for other groups), e.g. triconsonantal bases, fundamental nature of the consonants as contrasted with the inflexional role of the vowels, etc., really characterises it over against all other groups in the world. Its particular regular correspondences, on the other hand, truly delimit it and contrast it with every other linguistic family.
- § 2. The Semitic languages fall into five major divisions, each with a larger or smaller number of dialects varying in antiquity, geographical extent, and historical, literary, and linguistic importance, to say nothing of the possibility (perhaps even the probability) that some members of the group may have vanished without leaving a trace behind.
- § 3. The usual classification of Semitic is East Semitic and West Semitic, the latter subdivided into (i) North-West and (ii) South-West Semitic.
- § 4. East Semitic is represented solely by Accadian (formerly—and still popularly—called Assyrian, Babylonian, or Assyro-Babylonian) with a rich inscriptional literature from the first half of the 3d millennium to the closing centuries B.C. The first of all the Semitic languages to depart from the Proto-Semitic homeland (§ 13), travelling the greatest distance of them all, passing only through areas inhabited by speakers of non-Semitic language-groups, and making its permanent home among the non-Semitic Sumerians, it underwent changes which make it, despite its antiquity, by no means the most

4

representative of Proto-Semitic speech. It is divided into the Assyrian and Babylonian dialects, each with the three periods of Old, Middle,

and New.

§ 5. North-West Semitic is represented especially by Canaanite and Aramaic. To the Canaanite group belong (a) Old Canaanite glosses and words in Tell-el-Amarna Tablets (15th cent. B.C.), etc.; (β) Phoenician, with many inscriptions, mostly short, from the middle of the 9th cent. B.C. (but chiefly from the 5th cent.), dying out by the 2nd cent. A.D., though continued until the 6th cent. in North Africa by Punic (inscriptions and a few lines in the *Poenulus* of Plautus); (γ) Moabite (Mēša' Inscription of the 9th cent. B.C.) and (δ) Hebrew. The latter is by far the most important member of this group and the only one which has survived as a spoken language to the present day. Old Hebrew, in which the overwhelming part of the Old Testament is composed (the sole exceptions being the Aramaic passages in Gen. xxi, 47, Jer. x, 11, Dan. ii, 4b-vii. 28, Ezra iv, 8vi. 18, vii, 12-26), was vernacular from the 2nd millennium B.C. (Song of Deborah, Judges v) until about the 4th cent. B.C., the major portion of the Old Testament dating between the 9th and 6th centuries, though Old Hebrew was written artificially as late as 100 A.D. In addition to the documents of the Old Testament, there are a couple of Old Hebrew inscriptions of the 9th and 8th (or 7th) centuries, some shards (9th cent.), seals, coins, weights, etc. Dialects existed (cf. Judges xii, 6), and the Old Testament itself shows traces of dialectic differences, though to what degree is still matter of dispute. On their return from the Exile (536 B.C.), the Jews found Aramaic the prevailing language in Palestine, and this ultimately gained supremacy. Nevertheless, Hebrew did not vanish entirely, but survived to form the basis of Talmudic Hebrew (also called Rabbinical, and even New Hebrew), the language of the Mišnā, and of the Hebrew portions of the Talmūðīm, Midrāšīm, etc., from the 2nd cent. A.D. till about the rise of Islam in the 7th cent. A.D. It then became a learned and religious language (Mediaeval Hebrew) in which much was written; and with the development of Jewish nationalism, the attempt has been made, especially in Palestine, to revive it as a vernacular (Neo-Hebrew, Modern Hebrew). To this group belongs also (e) the language of the tablets from Ras Shamra, showing close affinities with Old Hebrew and Phoenician, but probably the independent language of this area before the Aramaean invasion in the third millennium B.C. (cf. J. Cantineau, 'La Langue de Ras Shamra,' in Syria xiii [1932], 164-9.)

- § 6. Aramaic is divided into Western and Eastern. The former comprises (a) Old Aramaic inscriptions (Hamā and Zingīrli, early 8th cent. B.C.; Nabataean, 1st cent. B.C. to 1st cent. A.D.; Palmyrene, 1st cent. B.C. to 3rd cent. A.D.; and Sinaitic, 1st to 4th centuries A.D.); (β) Biblical Aramaic (often incorrectly termed Chaldaean); (γ) an important series of papyri found in Egypt; (δ) Judaeo-Aramaic of the Targūmīm and the Palestinian Talmūδ; (ε) Christian Palestinian Aramaic (5th to 6th centuries A.D.—portions of the Bible and translations from Greek); and (ζ) Samaritan (3rd to 4th centuries A.D.—translation of, and commentary on, the Pentateuch). Formerly the lingua franca throughout Palestine, Syria, etc., and the language of Christ, Western Aramaic was supplanted by Arabic in the 9th cent. and it now survives only in and near Ma'lūla in the Anti-Libanus.
- § 7. The presence of Eastern Aramaic is attested in the Accadian area from the 9th cent. B.C. and is common on Accadian dockets in the 7th; in the 5th, it was similarly employed in Babylonia; and it even spread to the Upper Indus, to Cappadocia, and to Western China. Its principal documents are (a) the Judaeo-Aramaic of the Babylonian Talmū δ (circa 4th to 6th centuries A.D.); (β) Mandaean (7th to 9th centuries), syntactically the most valuable of all non-Jewish Aramaic dialects since its literature is original, whereas the records of the others are translations; (γ) Syriac (3rd to 14th centuries), spreading from the region of Edessa as far as Persia, but divided in the 5th cent. by politico-ecclesiastical conditions into Jacobite and Nestorian; and possessed of a very rich theological literature and of some inscriptions, the earliest from the 1st cent. A.D.; (δ) Harranian, known only from a few glosses; and (ϵ) modern dialects spoken in Mesopotamia (Mōşul, Tür 'Abdın) and in the Persian area of Urmī.
- § 8. South-West Semitic is composed of North Arabic, South Arabic, and Ethiopic. The first sub-group is earliest known from 'Liḥyānian' (between the 2nd or 1st cent. B.C. and the 4th or 5th cent. A.D.) and Tamūdian inscriptions (of wholly uncertain date), and Ṣafāitic graffiti (probably of the first centuries A.D.). The chief member, however, is Arabic, famous as the language of the Qur'ān (based on the dialect of Mecca) and the vehicle of one of the greatest literatures of all the Orient, first attested by an inscription of A.D. 328 and spreading wherever Muḥammadanism has gone. It was divided into several dialects, none of which has survived, and has, in turn, given rise to a large number, notably Arabian (Hijāz, Najd, Yemen,

Hadramaut. Datina, Oman, Muscat), Iraqian (Baγdāδ, Mōsul, Mardin), Syro-Palestinian (Aleppo, Beirut, Damascus, Lebanon; Jerusalem, Syrian desert), Egyptian, Maltese, Libyan and Tripolitan, Tunisian, Algerian (Constantine, Algiers, Oran), Andalusian (8th to 16th centuries), Moroccan, and Hassānī (from Mauritania to Timbuktu).

§ 9. South Arabic is represented only by inscriptions (Minaean, Sabaean, Qatabānian, and Hadramautian) ranging, perhaps, from the 8th cent. B.C. to the 6th cent. A.D., and by the modern dialects of Mahrī, Qarawī (or Garwī), and Soqotrī.

§ 10. The Ethiopic group represents the last great Semitic migration, probably some centuries before the Christian era, and finds its closest affinities in South Arabic. It is divided into Ethiopic proper (also called Ge'ez), first appearing on Aksūmite inscriptions of the 4th cent. A.D. and possessed of a fairly abundant literature (5th to 10th centuries, but artificially preserved as a learned language to the present day), and having as its linguistic successor Tigriña or Tigray (little written except as employed by the officials of the Italian colony of Eritrea); and into Tigrē (without written literature), Amharic (from the 14th cent.; strongly influenced by Cushite), Gafat, Argobba, Hararī, and Gurāgē.

§ 11. Semitic seems to be connected with Egyptian and its descendant Coptic (3rd to 17th centuries A.D.), and so, very possibly, with all African languages (Sudanese, Guinean, and Bantu) between the Sahara in the north and the Hottentot-Bushman group in the south; and it likewise appears to be cognate with Hamitic, which comprises the extinct Libyan (also called Numidian; several hundred short inscriptions, chiefly from the Roman period, scattered from Sinai to the Canary Islands) and the modern Berber dialects, as well as with Cushite (Beja, Afar and Saho, Somali, Galla, Agaw, and Sidama). Repeated attempts have been made to demonstrate a kinship between Semitic and Indo-European, but no cogent evidence has thus far been adduced in support of this view.

§ 12. From the material presented by the various Semitic languages and dialects enumerated above one may reconstruct, in great part, an hypothetical Proto-Semitic. The principle here followed is that, as observation shows, language tends to become simplified in the course of history, whence Classical Arabic is generally regarded as the most primitive Semitic speech extant. In reality, however, the problem is not quite so simple, for there is always the possibility, frequently demonstrable evidence, that new forms may be created.

and that whole languages of early date may have vanished. In Semitic further complications arise from the lack of vocalisation in inscriptions and manuscripts (except in Ethiopic and in such sacred texts as the Bible and Qur'ān), so that, in great part, only the consonants are certain. A form thus reconstructed is to be regarded merely as a formula from which a given phenomenon in all known Semitic languages may be derived; whether such a form once actually existed is neither affirmed nor denied; it is only tentative, and is subject to modification or cancellation in the light of subsequent investigation.

§ 13. The home of Proto-Semitic is best sought in Arabia, whence the various Semitic migrations appear to have set forth: the Accadians about the beginning of the 4th millennium B.C., the Aramaeans about 2500 B.C., the Hebrews about 1400 B.C., and the Ethiopians some centuries B.C. From what region the ancestors of the Proto-Semites came is still quite unknown, the most likely theory being that it was North Africa. Attempts to draw isoglottic lines marking identical phenomena in the various dialects would show a bewildering confusion, increased by the difficulty, if not the impossibility, of determining, in many instances, whether the identity in question has really been inherited jointly or is the result of parallel, but independent, evolution. With all due allowance for possibilities of linguistic borrowing, it is wisest to seek in such cases for some criterion afforded, perchance, by history and its ancillary sciences. Finally, one should note that the problem of the Semitic race must not be confused with that of the Semitic languages, for race and language have no necessary or inherent connexion.

CHAPTER II

PHONOLOGY

A. THE PROTO-SEMITIC PHONETIC SYSTEM [VG i, § 35; KVG § 3; P § 40; Z § 4, a; O § 9; B p. 4; B-L i, 191, note 1; G-B i, §§ 14, 30.]

§ 14. Comparison of the phonetic systems of the various historic Semitic dialects shows that all sounds found in them may be derived from the following, arranged in order of articulation from the back to the front of the vocal organs:

	Plosives	Fricatives	Sibilants	Affricative	Laterals	Rolled	Nasals		Sonants	
Glottals	2:	h								
Pharyngals		ķ '								
Uvulars	\boldsymbol{q}	b ø								
Velars	k g	$(\chi) \ (\gamma)$		[ø]	513				a (ā ă)
Palatals		į	8					$i = \bar{e}$	ī	(e
Palato- alveolars			š					e	ě	9)
Emphatics	ţ [d]	þ ģ	ş [z]							
Coronal alveolars	t d	(θ) (δ)	8 Z		ı	r	n			
Inter- dentals		þ ð								
Labio- dentals		(φ) (β)								
Bilabials	p b	¥					m		u (ō	ū ŏ)

Where two sounds appear in one category (e.g. k and g), the first is voiceless, and the second is voiced. The sounds in parentheses are later developments peculiar to North-West Semitic and are to be

pronounced as in Modern Greek or as in Scottish nicht, German Nacht; Dutch geen, North German tagen; English thin, then, fear, Castillian caba respectively. Those in brackets are special evolutions of South-West Semitic. The b and 5 would be 'emphatics' corresponding to θ and δ . The pharyngalised 'emphatics' 'are produced with the body of the tongue somewhat in the same position as for English l. The tongue is somewhat tense, and the tip is pressed firmly against the palate' (G. Noël-Armstrong, General Phonetics, 3rd ed., Cambridge, 1924, § 130). The closure for the voiceless uvular q 'is made by the lowest part of the velum (with the uvula) and the most backward part of the tongue'; while the voiced fricative \dot{q} is obtained by voicing (i.e. setting the vocal chords in motion) the voiceless fricative [H] (which seems not to occur in Semitic), for whose production 'it is only necessary to aim at $x[\chi]$ with the tongue in the q position' (ib. §§ 120, 125). The glottal plosive '[?] 'is produced by closing the glottis and releasing the closure with a sudden plosion. The effect on the ear is that of a very weak cough intended to clear a slight obstruction from the passage between the vocal chords' (ib. § 121). It occurs frequently in Danish (though with no orthographic mark), as hun? 'dog', but hun 'she', and often in English dialects, as [ka?in] 'cutting'. The pronunciation of h is similar to that of h in English aha, oho, boohoo, ahoy [əha:, ohou, buhu:, əhəi]; h appears to be 'a very strongly whispered h, somewhat of the nature of a "stage whisper", produced in all probability by narrowing of the false glottis'; and 'seems to be its voiced counterpart (ib. § 127). The modern pronunciation of Semitic languages, as the Ashkenazic, Sephardic, and Yemenite in Hebrew, is far from trustworthy in determining that of earlier periods: pronunciation is subject everywhere to more or less rapid changes even in relatively static communities, and such change is accelerated by migration and by the speech of the neighbouring communities. Hebrew b, g, d were obviously voiced plosives, since the Septuagint usually transcribes them by β , γ , δ (e.g. $\beta \dot{\alpha} a \lambda = b a' a l$, $\Gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma a \lambda a =$ Gilgāl, $\Delta \dot{a} \nu = D\bar{a}n$), while k, p, t were aspirates [k', p', t'] (e.g. $\chi \dot{a} \varphi =$ $ka\varphi$, $\theta \dot{a}v = t\bar{a}w$). Between vowels (including $\check{s}\partial w\bar{a}$ mobile; cf. § 33) and immediately before consonants, all, just as in Aramaic, became their corresponding fricatives $[\beta, \gamma, \delta, \varphi, x, \theta]$, e.g., between vowels: $z\bar{a}\beta ah$ 'slaughter', Syr. zəβaḥ (P-S *δabaḥ-, nāγaš 'approach', nāδar 'vow', $b\bar{a}\chi\bar{a}h$ 'weep', Syr. $b\partial\chi\bar{a}$, $s\bar{a}\varphi\bar{o}n$ 'north', $p\bar{a}\theta ah$ 'open', Syr. $p\partial\theta ah$; before plosives: kāθaβtā 'thou [masc.] hast written', Syr. kəθaβt (*katabta ⟨ P-S *katabata (cf. § 376), dā'aγt 'thou [fem.] hast been afraid,' limmaδtā 'thou [masc.] hast taught', yiχtōβ 'he will write', Syr. $ne\chi t\bar{u}\beta$, 'ā $na\varphi t\bar{a}$ 'thou [masc.] hast been angry', $ma\theta n\bar{u}$ 'we have died'; before other consonants: $\check{s}i\beta t\bar{o}$ 'his tribe': $\check{s}\bar{e}\beta e t$; ' $a\beta n\bar{o}$ 'his stone', Syr. ' $a\beta ne\hbar$: ' $e\beta e n$; $ni\gamma$ ' $\bar{\imath}$ 'my hurt': $ne\gamma a$ '; $q\bar{e}\delta m\bar{a}\hbar$ 'eastward': $qe\delta e m$; $si\delta q\bar{\imath}$ 'my righteousness': $se\delta e q$; $\acute{s}i\chi l\bar{o}$ 'his wisdom': $\acute{s}e\chi e l$; $\hbar e \varphi s\bar{o}$ 'his delight': $\hbar \bar{e}\varphi e \bar{s}$; $si\varphi r\bar{\imath}$ 'my book', Syr. $se\varphi r(\bar{\imath})$: $s\bar{e}\varphi e r$; $pi\theta \hbar\bar{o}$ 'his gate': $pe\theta a\hbar$; $no\theta \check{s}\bar{\imath}$ 'my uprooting': $n\partial\theta \bar{o}\check{s}$. It is even possible that at one period Hebrew had affricates like those in Swiss German Kchind, German Pferd, English eighth, whence such transcriptions as $\Sigma \epsilon \pi \varphi \acute{\omega} \rho a = Sipp\bar{o}r\bar{a}\hbar$, ' $\Lambda \kappa \chi \acute{\omega} = {}^i Akk\bar{o}$, $Ma\tau\theta a\theta las = Matti\theta - y\bar{a}\hbar$; for though these transcriptions are usually explained as due to Greek influence, the combinations $\pi \varphi$, $\kappa \chi$, $\tau \theta$ are found in Greek only in foreign, dialectic, reduplicated, and pet words.

B. Representation of Proto-Semitic Sounds in the Historical Semitic Dialects

[VG i, §§ 45-52; KVG §§ 13-20; P §§ 50-78; W pp. 42-93; Z §§ 4 c-13, 16-25; O §§ 10-20, 41-52; B-L i, § 14 a-n'.]

§ 15. Taking the sounds in the foregoing table in the order of plosives and fricatives, sibilants, liquids (lateral and rolled), nasals, and sonants ('vowels'), the chief representations of the Proto-Semitic phonological system in the five principal groups of Semitic dialects are as follows:

Class	P-S	Acc.	Heb.	Aram.	Arab.	Eth.
Glottal plosive	,	,	,	,	,	,
" frientives	h	,	h	h	h	h
" fricatives	- b	b	ķ	<u>h</u>	b	b
Pharyngal "	ḥ	,	ķ	ķ	<u>ķ</u>	<u>h</u>
Pharyngal "	*	,	•		•	•
Uvular plosive	\boldsymbol{q}	q, g	\boldsymbol{q}	q	\boldsymbol{q}	\boldsymbol{q}
" fricative	– ġ	,	•	×.	ġ	•
Walan alasinas	\boldsymbol{k}	\boldsymbol{k}	\boldsymbol{k}	k	k	k
Velar plosives	g	\boldsymbol{g}	\boldsymbol{g}	\boldsymbol{g}	ğ	\boldsymbol{g}
" conents	\boldsymbol{a}	a, e, i	$a^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$	\boldsymbol{a}	a^2	\boldsymbol{a}
" sonants	- ā	$\bar{a},\; \bar{e},\; \bar{\imath}$	ō	$ar{a}^3$	$ar{a}^4$	$ar{a}^5$

¹ For Heb. modifications of P-S vowels see notes to § 21.

5) ō occasionally in Tigriña.

²) o in contact with 'emphatic' sounds; often) i in closed syllables in Mod. Arab.

 ³) ō in West Syr.
 ⁴) ō in South Arabia east of Datina, and occasionally in other dialects.

II

P-S	Acc.	Heb.	Aram.	Arab.	Eth.
i	,	y	y	\boldsymbol{y}	\boldsymbol{y}
- ś	š	8	, 8	š	š
$i \\ \bar{\imath}$	i ī, ē	i ī	$rac{e^6}{ar{\imath}^7}$	i \bar{i}	ə ī
· š	š	š	š	8	8
ţ	ţ	ţ	t	ţ	ţ
þ,	8 8	8 8	<i>t</i>	z, d	ş d
ş	8	8	8	8	ş
t d	t d	t, 08 d	t, 08 d	t, 08 d	t d
s z	8 2	8 2	8 2	8 Z	8 2
l r	$\frac{l}{r}$	l r	l r	l r	l r
n	n	n	n	n	n
b	š z	ă Z	$\frac{t}{d}$	$\frac{t}{d}$	8 2
¥	*5	w, y	w, y	\boldsymbol{w}	\boldsymbol{w}
p b	$_{b}^{p}$	$_{b}^{p}$	p b	f b	f b
m	m	m	m	m	m
u \bar{u}	u \bar{u} , $\bar{\imath}$, \bar{e}	u $ar{u}$	\bar{u}^9 \bar{u}^{10}	u ū	ə ü
ai au	$ay, \tilde{e}, \tilde{i}, ue$ \tilde{u}	ay, ē aw, ō	$ay, ar{e}^{11}$ $aw, ar{o}^{13}$	$ay^{12} \\ aw^{14}$	ay,ē aw,ō
	i š t k Š s t d s z l r n b Š ų p b m u ū ai	P-S Acc. i i i i i i i i i i i i i	P-S Acc. Heb. i ' y s s s s s i i i i ī ī,ē ī s s s s t t t t b s s s s s s s t t t t, o d d d s s s s z z z l l l r r r n n n b s s s z z u ' w, y p p p b b b m m m u u ū ū,ī,ē ū ai ay,ē,ī,ue ay,ē	P-S Acc. Heb. Aram. i ' y y s s s s s i i i e ⁶ i i, ĕ i i ⁷ s s s s s t t t t t, o ⁸ t, o ⁸ d d d d s s s s s z z z z l l l l l r r r r n n n n h s s s t o z d u ' w, y w, y p p p p b b b m m m m u u u u ⁹ ū ū, ī, ĕ ū ū ¹⁰ ai ay, ĕ, ī, ue ay, ĕ ay, ĕ ¹¹	P-S Acc. Heb. Aram. Arab. i ' y y y s s s s s s i i i i e s i ī ī,ē ī ī ī ī s s s s s s t t t t t t t s s s s s

14) ō in Mod. Arab. generally, but ū in North Africa, and occasionally in Egypt.

^{&#}x27; or ē in Bib. Aram.
' or ē in East Syr.
' As final of fem. nouns in -a and 3rd sing. fem. perf. (see §§ 66, 375; written

^{*}As final of fem. nouns in -a and 3rd sing. fem. perf. (see §§ 66, 375; written silent h [h] in Heb. and Arab.).

*§ \(\delta \) in open accented syllables; \(\rangle \) and \(\delta \) in shut and open accented syllables respectively in East Syr.

**In ay in accented and \(\delta \) in unaccented syllables; \(\rangle \) in final accented open syllables.

*In ay in accented and \(\delta \) in unaccented syllables; \(\rangle \) in final accented open syllables.

*In ay in open syllables, \(\delta \) in North Africa, and occasionally in Egypt.

*In aw in open syllables; \(\delta \) in shut syllables in Bib. Aram. and East Syr.; \(\rangle \) \(\delta \) in the syllables in West Syr.

*In ay in Mod. Arab. generally, but \(\delta \) in North Africa, and occasionally in Egypt.

*In Mod. Arab. generally, but \(\delta \) in North Africa, and occasionally in Egypt.

§ 16. Reversing the table just given, Hebrew phonology is seen to have the following correspondences in the other Semitic dialects and in Proto-Semitic (the Hebrew velar, coronal-alveolar, and bilabial fricatives— χ , γ ; θ , δ ; φ , β —are omitted from this list as being developed secondarily, as also in Aramaic, from their corresponding plosives; cf. § 20):

	Class	Heb	. Aram.	Arab	Eth.	Acc.	P-S
٢	Glottal plosive	,	,	•	,	٠,	9
11	" fricative	h	h	h	h	,	h
h	Pharyngal fricatives	<u>h</u>	ķ	h, h ', ġ	h, b	', ', <i>b</i>	h, b ', g
3	Uvular plosive	\boldsymbol{q}	\boldsymbol{q}	\boldsymbol{q}	\boldsymbol{q}	q, g	q
200	Walan alasiana	\boldsymbol{k}	k	\boldsymbol{k}	\boldsymbol{k}	\boldsymbol{k}	k
	Velar plosives	g	g	ğ	g	\boldsymbol{g}	g
•		a	a, e	a, i	a, e	a, e, i	a, i, o
	" sonants	ā	a, ā	a, ã	a, ā	$a, e, i, \\ \bar{a}, \bar{e}, \bar{\imath}$	ā,î
		ă	\boldsymbol{a}	a	a	a, e, i	a, i, u
	Palatal fricative	y	\boldsymbol{y}	y, w	y, w	,	i, u
·.	" sibilant	. 8)	8	š	š	š	8
		í	e, a	i, a	e, a	i, a, e	i, a, u
		ī	$\bar{\imath}$, a , e	$\bar{\imath}$, i	$\bar{\imath}$, e , a .	$ar{\imath},~i,~ar{e}$	ī
	" sonants	e	$e, a, \bar{\imath}$	a, i, ī	e, a, ī	$a,e,i,\ ar{e},ar{\imath}$	a, i, ī
		$ ilde{e}$	\bar{e} , $\bar{\imath}$, ay	ī, ay	$\bar{e}, \bar{\imath}, ay,$	\bar{i} , \bar{e} , ay , i , ue	ī, aį
		ě	e, a, u	a, i, u	e, a		a, i, u
		a	100	1000		e, a, i, u	11.41
	Palatalo-alveolar sibilar	ıt š	š, t	s, <u>t</u>	s, ś	š	š, þ
v.	Emphatic plosive	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ
V.	" sibilant	8	ş, ţ, '	ş, z, d	ş, d	8	ş, þ, ŏ
	0 11 1 1 1	t	t	t	t	t	t
ē:	Coronal alveolar plosive	d	d	d	d	d	d
	" sibilant	8	8	8	8	8	8
	sidiant	8 z	z, d	z, d	z	Z	z, 8

C	Class	Heb	. Aram.	Arab	. Eth.	Acc.	P-S
C 1 1 1		ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı
Corona	al alveolar liquids	r	r	r	r	r	r
"	" nasal	n	n	n	n	\boldsymbol{n}	n
Bilabial fricative		\boldsymbol{w}	\boldsymbol{w}	\boldsymbol{w}	\boldsymbol{w}	', u	¥
Labial plosives		\boldsymbol{p}	\boldsymbol{p}	f	f	\boldsymbol{p}	p
Labiai	piosives	b	b	b	b	$oldsymbol{b}$	b
,,	nasal	m	m	m	m	m	m
		\boldsymbol{u}	u	\boldsymbol{u}	e	u	u
		\bar{u}	$ar{u}$, $ar{a}$	\bar{u} , \bar{a}	\bar{u} , \bar{a}	$ar{u},ar{a},ar{e},ar{\imath}$	ū
"	sonants	0	\boldsymbol{u}	\boldsymbol{u}	e	u	u
	sonants	ō	ō, aw, ā,	\bar{a} , aw ,	$\bar{o}, aw, \bar{a},$	$\bar{a}, \bar{e}, \bar{\imath},$	ā, au,
	福		u, \bar{u}	u, \bar{u}	\bar{e},\bar{u}	u, \bar{u}	u, \bar{u}
		ŏ	\boldsymbol{u}	u	e	u	u
		ay	ay , \bar{e}	ay	ay , \bar{e}	$ay, \bar{e}, i,$	aį
Diphthongs						ue	
		aw	aw, ō	aw	aw, ō	$ar{u}$	au

- § 17. Any investigation of Hebrew vocalism as presented in the Received Text and in grammatical studies is rendered extremely difficult from the very first by the fact that one does not know what was the vowel-system of the language at the period when it was a living vernacular except that it doubtless had the vowels \tilde{a} , \tilde{t} , \tilde{a} , and probably e, o, and o, as well as other shadings, just as in Modern Arabic, where the written vocalisation gives little hint of its real complexity. How these sounds were distributed, supposing that they actually existed, must thus far remain matter of conjecture.
- § 18. Old Hebrew ceased to be a spoken language about the 4th cent. B.C., and the Masoretic vocalisation was not reduced to writing until thirteen centuries later. The earliest systems of indicating vowels probably received their impetus from Syrian Christians confronted by the necessity of vocalising their texts of the Old and New Testaments for those living in lands of Persian speech. It was, very possibly, from the Syrian school refounded at Nisibis in the 5th cent. A.D. that Jews living in Palestine derived their inspiration to vocalise, for the earliest method of Hebrew vowel-pointing seems to have been the 'Palestinian', from which the 'Babylonian' was developed in the 6th or 7th cent. Of both these systems sufficient fragments survive to

give a fairly clear idea of their nature; and each was supralinear, using the Hebrew matres lectionis to indicate the vowel-sounds, just as the Syrians employed the Greek vowel-characters. From the 'Palestinian' pointing the 'Tiberian' was developed toward the end of the 8th cent., and this ultimately displaced both the others, except in South Arabia.

- § 19. The 'Palestinian' and the 'Babylonian' systems alike endeavoured to represent the pronunciations current at their periods, and the same statement holds true both of the Samaritan pointing of the Hebrew Pentateuch and of the various transcriptions in Greek and Latin letters from the time of the Septuagint to that of St. Jerome. Yet these transliterations themselves reveal changes of pronunciation, notably between the Septuagint and the Hexapla of Origen; and the Septuagint was the work of many hands over a period of at least three or four centuries. In any event, one has no demonstrably exact knowledge of Hebrew vocalism during the period in which it was a living tongue.
- § 20. 'Tiberian' vocalisation, unlike all the others, represents a learned attempt to carry through consistently a system based on grammatical theory. Nevertheless, some of the very divergencies found amid its general uniformity may be survivals of earlier pronunciations, so that they should not be dismissed lightly as mere 'irregularities' or 'errors'. In not a few cases the Masoretic pointing is probably a late figment, as in the place-names $Mi\gamma d\bar{o}l$ ' $M\dot{\alpha}\gamma\delta\omega\lambda o\nu$ ', Qiryāθayim 'Καριαθαίμ'. It is obvious that no accurate study of Hebrew vocalism as it actually was pronounced is as yet possible; and all investigations of it based on Masoretic pointing—or, indeed, in the present state of knowledge, on any other system or on ancient transliterations—must be conducted with much reserve. The same statement seems to hold, at least in some measure, for Hebrew consonantism, notably in case of secondary gemination (see §§ 58-60). Nevertheless, in the present state of knowledge, the conventional 'Tiberian' system, despite its many dubieties, must continue to be the point of departure.
- § 21. The tables on pages 15-18 will serve to illustrate the correspondences indicated in the tables in §§ 15-16.
- § 22. From these tables it is obvious that Acc. stands alone in changing P-S h, h, ', g, g, and g to '. Only Heb. retains P-S g; only Aram. represents g by ', g by g; g by g; only Arab. preserves g, changes g to g, and represents g and g by g, g by g, and g by g; only Eth. represents g by g. Acc., Heb., and Eth. agree against Aram.

ILLUSTRATION OF CORRESPONDENCES

Sound	Heb.	Meaning	Aram.	Arab.	Eth.	Acc.	P-S
'='	'āḥaz	grasp	'eḥaδ	'ahada	'ahza	'ahāzu	'aha\u03-
b=b	bāla'	swallow	bəla'	bala'a	bala'a	$balar{u}$	bala'-
g = g	$g\bar{a}m\bar{a}l$	camel	$gaml\bar{a}$	ğamalu"	gamala	gammalu	gamal-
d = d	$d\bar{a}l\bar{a}h$	draw water	$d\partial lar{a}$	$dal\bar{a}$	dalawa	$dalar{u}$	dalay-
h = h	$laha\beta$	flame	$šalhe\beta$	$lahabu^n$	lāhb	la'bu	lahb-
w = u	wə	and	w_{∂}	wa	wa	$ar{u}$	ųа
Sz	zāra*	sow seed	zəra'	zara'a	zara'a	$zirar{u}$	zara'-
$z = \{ x \}$	$zar{a}eta a h$	slaughter	$d \partial \beta a h$	dabaha	zabaha	$zibar{u}$	dabah-
, Sh	$h\bar{a}l\bar{a}eta$	milk	$hal \partial eta \bar{a}$	ḥalību"	ḥalab	'alibu	ḥalab-
$h = \begin{cases} h \\ h \end{cases}$	<u></u> hāmēš	five	<i>hammeš</i>	$hamsu^n$	hams	hamšu	hamš-
t = t	bāṭal	cease	bəţel	baṭala	baṭala	baţālu	baṭal-
(i	$y\bar{a}m\bar{i}n$	right hand	$yamm\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}$	$yam\bar{\imath}nu^n$	yamān	'imnu	iamīn-
$y = \hat{y}$	$y\bar{a}la\delta^{1}$	bear, beget	$ile\delta$	walada	walada	$'al\bar{a}du$	yalad-
k = k	$kele\beta$	dog	$kalb\bar{a}$	$kalbu^n$	kalb	kalbu	kalb-
l=l	lāβēš	clothe	ləβeš	labisa	labisa	$lab\bar{a}\check{s}u$	labiš-
m = m	$d\bar{a}m$	blood	$d otam \bar{a}$	$damu^n$	dam	damu	dam-
n = n	$nar{a}eta a$	flow	$n \ni \beta a'$	naba'a	naba'a	$nabar{u}$	naba'-
s = s	$'ar{a}sar$	tie, bind	'esar	'asara	'asara	'asāru	'asar-
· 5	'āzaz	be strong	'azz	'azza	'azaza	'ez ēzu	'azaz-
$'=\left\{_{\dot{g}}\right\}$	$`ere\beta$	evening	$`are\beta$	$\dot{g}arbu^n$	`arab	'er ēb	ġarb-

¹ Such words as Heb. wālāš 'child' are probably dialectic; only we 'and' indubitably retains original initial y. For the probable explanation of the apparent change cf. §§ 91, 404, 417.

ILLUSTRATION OF CORRESPONDENCES (continued)

Sound	Heb.	Meaning	Aram.	Arab.	Eth.	Acc.	P-S
p = p	$par{a} heta a \dot{h}$	open	рэθаḥ	fataḥa	fataḥa	pītū, patū	pataḥ-
(\$	şāraḥ	cry, roar	şərah	şaraha	şaraha	şarāhu	sarah-
$s = \langle b \rangle$	$nar{a}$ ş ar	watch	nəţar	nazara	nașara	naşāru	nabar-
$\hat{s} = \begin{cases} \hat{p} \\ \hat{p} \end{cases}$	şar	enemy	$^{\circ}arr \partial heta ar{a}$	$darratu^n$	dar	şarru	ŏarr-
q = q	$q\bar{a}r\bar{e}\beta$	approach	$qəre\beta$	qariba	qaraba	qarābu	qarib-
r = r	'arba'	four	'arba'	'arba'u"	'arbā'	'arba'u	'arba'-
$ \acute{s} = \acute{s} $	śūm, śīm	put, place	$s\bar{a}m$	šāma	šēma	šāmu	śajam-
x_ \s	$\check{s}\bar{e}n$	tooth	šennā	$sinnu^n$	senn	šinnu	šinn-
$\check{s} = \begin{cases} \check{s} \\ \flat \end{cases}$	$\check{s}\bar{a}eta ar$	break	təβar	tabara	sabara	šabāru	þabar-
t = t	$tar{e}\check{s}a$	nine	təšaʻ	tis'u"	tes ' $ar{u}$	tīšu	tiš'-
(a^2)	$ra\beta$	great	$ra\beta$	$rabbu^n$	(rababa)	$rab\bar{u}$	rabb-
$a = \begin{cases} i^3 \end{cases}$	$y \ni \theta a \delta$	of a peg		watidi*			yatid-
(04	ba'al	owner, lord	ba ʻ $l\bar{a}$	ba'lu"	$b\bar{a}$ ' l	bēlu	ba'l-
$\bar{a} = a^5$	∫kāβēδ	liver	$ka\beta \partial \delta \bar{a}$	$kabidu^n$	kabd	kabittu	kabid-
	$(aqr\bar{a}\beta)$	scorpion	$eqaraetaar{a}$	'aqrabu"	'aqrab	'aqrabu	'aqrab-
$ \ddot{a} = \begin{cases} a^6 \\ i^6 \end{cases} $	'ăḥāzanī	he hath grasped me	'eḥaδ	'ahada	'ahza	'ahāzu	'aha\u00e4-
$a = i^{6}$	<u> ḥămōr</u>	ass	<i>ḥəmārā</i>	himāru"	SA HMR	'imēru	ḥimār-

² Almost only in closed accented syllables, cf. Heb. plural rabbānīm.

³ In doubly closed accented internal syllables previous to the loss of their original final vowel ('Philippi's law').

⁴ ba'al (*be'el (*ba'l with a (e through influence of the pharyngal.

⁵ In final accented and in open pre-tonic syllables.

⁶ Only with pharyngals.

ILLUSTRATION OF CORRESPONDENCES (continued)

Sound	Heb.	Meaning	Aram.	Arab.	Eth.	Acc.	P-S
ay = ai	laylāḥ	night	lelyā	laylatu"	lēlīt	līlātu	lail-7
$aw = \bar{a}u^8$	$m\bar{a}we\theta$	death	$mawt\bar{a}$	$mawtu^n$	$m \tilde{o} t$	$m\bar{u}tu$	mayt-
. 529	'innəβēy	grapes	$enb \partial \bar{a}$	'inabu"	SA 'NB	'inbu	'inab-
$i = \begin{cases} a_{10} \end{cases}$	$kitt\bar{e}\beta$	write much	$katte\beta$	kattaba	kattaba	ukattab	kat(a)tab-
	(mī	who?	- 2		$m\bar{\imath}$	$m\bar{\imath}$	$m\bar{\imath}$
$\bar{\imath} = \bar{\imath}^{11}$	('āsīr	captive	'assīra	'asīru"		'asēru	'asīr-
	$ye\delta \partial \chi em^{12}$	your hand	'īδā	yadu*	'ed	'īdu	iad-
(a	$kele\beta^{13}$	dog	$kalb\bar{a}$	kalbu*	kalb	kalbu	kalb-
$e = \{i^{14}\}$	yöşerəxā	thy fashioner	$(k\bar{a}\theta e\beta$	kātibu"	20.070,000	kātību	kātib-)
₹15	šem ōneh	eight	təmān ē	tamāni*	$sam\bar{a}n\bar{\imath}$	šamānū	<i>bamānī</i>
- (i16	šēn	tooth	šennā	sinnu"	senn	šinnu	šinn-
$\bar{e} = \begin{cases} a_{i}^{17} \end{cases}$	$b\bar{e}\theta$	house	baytā	baytu*	bēt	bītu	bait-

7 Originally probably *lailai-.

11 Except in unaccented final syllables, where \$\bar{i}\$ \ e.

12 In closed unaccented syllables.

13 Cf. kaləβēy 'dogs' (construct); keleβ (*kaleβ (*kalb (§§ 121-2).

14 In closed unaccented syllables.

15 In unaccented final syllables.

16 In open accented syllables.

17 In unaccented syllables and those with secondary accent.

⁸ In closed syllables aw, as 'āwel 'iniquity' beside 'awlō 'his iniquity'.
9 Only in closed unaccented syllables, particularly in 'səγōlates' (cf. §§ 121-4).
10 Only in closed unaccented syllables, particularly in case of i (*a + *a. This change is peculiar to the 'Tiberian' pointing; 'Babylonian' here most frequently shows a, as do the transcriptions of the Septuagint and St. Jerome, e.g. Máβσαρις, Mabsar from 'Tiberian' Mißsar.

ILLUSTRATION OF CORRESPONDENCES (continued)

Sound	Heb.	Meaning	Aram.	Arab.	Eth.	Acc.	P-S
√ ∫a ¹⁸	'ĕḥōz	grasp!	'eḥað	'aḥaḏa	'ahza	'ahāzu	'aha\u00e4-
$\check{e} = \begin{cases} a \\ i^{18} \end{cases}$	'ělōgh	God	'allāhā	'illāhu"	SA 'LH	('ilu)	'illāh-
(a19	$z \partial \chi \bar{a} r \bar{i} m$	males	$de\chi r\bar{a}$	dakaru"	SA DKR	zikaru	გეგი გენამანი გენა გენამა გენამა გენა გენა გენა გენა გენა გენა გენა გენ
$a = \langle i^{19} \rangle$	təšaʻ	nine	təšaʻ	tis'u"	tes'ū	tīšu	tiš'-
u^{19}	bəxōr	firstborn	$bu\chi r\bar{a}$	(bikru*)	bak ^w er	bukru	bukur-
$u = u^{20}$	$kull\bar{a}m$	all of them	kul	kullu"	k^w ell	kullatu	kull-
$\vec{u} = \vec{u}$	šūm	garlic	tūmā	tūmu"	sõmat	šūmu	þūm-
$ o = u^{21} $	$oznar{\imath}$	mine ear	'eδnā	'udnu"	'ezn	'uznu	'u\don-
$(\bar{a}^{22}$	šəm öneh	eight	təmān ē	tamāni"	samānī	šamānū	þamānī
$\bar{a} = au^{23}$	$m\bar{o}\theta\bar{\imath}$	my death	mawtā	mawtu*	mōt	mūtu	maut-
$\bar{o} = \begin{cases} u^{24} \end{cases}$	'ōzen	ear	'eδnā	'udnu"	'ezn	'uznu	'uon-
(\bar{u}^{24})	$kamm\bar{o}n$	cummin	kammūnā	kammūnu"	kamūn	kamūnu	kam(m)ūn-
$\delta = \hat{u}$	šibbŏlīm	ears of grain	šebbeltā	sunbulatu ⁿ	sabal	šubultu	$\S u(n)bul$ -

¹⁸ In open pre-tonic syllables, chiefly with pharyngals.

¹⁹ In open unaccented syllables.

²⁰ In closed unaccented syllables, especially before gemination.

n In closed unaccented syllables; 'Babylonian' pointing here retains u throughout.

[&]quot; In accented syllables.

In unaccented syllables and those with secondary accent. In šōr 'bull' (Syr. tawrā, Arab. tawru", Eth. sōr, Acc. šūru, P-S þayr-) and yōm 'day' (Syr. yawmā, Arab. yawmu", Eth. yōm, Acc. 'ūmu, P-S jaym-), etc., the unaccented form has been extended by analogy to the accented, which should be in Heb. *šawr, *yawm, etc.

²⁴ In open accented syllables.

and Arab. in representing b by b and b by b; Acc. and Heb. agree against all the rest in representing b by b and b by b; Acc., Arab., and Eth. agree against Heb. and Aram. in representing b by b; Heb., Aram., and Eth. agree against Acc. and Arab. in changing b to b; Heb. and Aram. agree against the rest in changing b to b and (frequently) b to b; and Arab. and Eth. agree against the rest in changing b to b.

- § 23. The Hebrew sounds in which two or more Proto-Semitic sounds have coalesced, together with the criteria for determining which of these Proto-Semitic sounds the Hebrew sound in question represents, are as follows.
- § 24. When Heb. z = Aram. d and Arab. \underline{d} , but z in all other Sem. dialects, it represents P-S δ . When it equals z in all other Sem. dialects, it represents P-S z.
- § 25. When Heb. h = h in all other Sem. dialects (except Acc., which here always has '), it represents P-S h. When it equals h in Acc., Arab., and Eth., it represents P-S h.
- § 26. The change of P-S h to h in Heb. seems later than the Septuagint, for this version transcribes h by χ , and omits all transliteration of h, e.g. $Xa\rho\rho\dot{a}\nu = H\bar{a}r\bar{a}n$ (cf. Acc. $harr\bar{a}nu$), $A\chi\dot{a}\zeta = Ah\bar{a}z$ (cf. Arab. ahada): $E\zeta\epsilon\kappa\dot{a}s = Hizq\bar{\imath}yy\bar{a}h\bar{u}$ (cf. Arab. hazaqa), $I\sigma a\dot{a}\kappa = Yish\bar{a}q$ (cf. Arab. dahiqa).
- § 27. When Heb. y=y in all other Sem. dialects (except Acc., which here always has '), it represents P-S i. When it equals w in Arab. and Eth., it represents P-S i.
- § 28. When Heb. '=' in all other Sem. dialects (except Acc., which here always has '), it represents P-S '. When it equals Arab. \dot{g} , it represents P-S \dot{g} .
- § 29. It is possible, though absolute proof and disproof are alike difficult in view of the scanty evidence, that the Septuagint transcribes \dot{g} by γ , and omits transliteration of ', as $\Gamma a\sigma i\omega\nu$ (' $A\sigma\epsilon\dot{\omega}\nu$) = 'Eşyōn (cf. Arab. $\dot{g}adya'u$), $\gamma\dot{b}\mu\rho\rho$ = 'ōmer (cf. Arab. $\dot{g}umaru^n$), but ' $A\beta\delta\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\chi$ = 'E $\beta\epsilon\dot{\delta}$ Mele χ (cf. Arab. ' $abdu^n$), $\beta a\dot{\alpha}\lambda = ba'al$ (cf. Arab. $ba'lu^n$). The fragments of the Hexapla of Origen have γ = 'only once in common nouns ($\beta\epsilon\gamma\alpha\beta\rho\dot{\omega}\theta = b\dot{\sigma}'a\beta r\bar{\sigma}\theta$ 'against furies', Ps. vii, 7; cf. Arab. 'abara); and St. Jerome represents 'only by a vowel or by σ 0, never by σ 2, the same being true of the Punic passages given in transliteration in the Poenulus of Plautus. It is by no means impossible that at a very early period Heb. possessed both 'and σ 3, and that the double transcription of 'in the Septuagint preserves a dim remi-

niscence of this fact. The confusion in the use of o and γ —itself a transition to the later abandonment of γ , which by that period had come to be pronounced, at least intervocalically, as a fricative with the value of y or $(g)h^1$ —shows, however, that any real trace of \dot{g} in Heb. had so long since vanished in pronunciation (if it ever existed there) that it was unrecorded in any of the North-West Sem. alphabets.

- § 30. When Heb. s=s in all other Sem. dialects, it represents P-S s. When it equals t in Aram., z in Arab., and s in Eth. and Acc., it represents P-S b. When it equals 'in Aram., z or d in Arab., d in Eth., and s in Acc. only, it represents P-S b.
- § 31. *§* retains its P-S value only in Heb., corresponding to *§* in Aram. and to *§* in all other Sem. dialects.
- § 32. When Heb. š equals Aram. and Acc. š, but Arab. and Eth. s, it represents P-S š. When it equals t in Aram., \underline{t} in Arab., s in Eth., and š in Acc., it represents P-S b.
- § 33. Note should also be taken of the linguistic signification of šəwā mobile and šəwā quiescens, both having the same pointing in Heb., but possessing very different values, the former denoting the sub-breve ə, the latter absence of any vowel.² Historically, as is evident from comparison with other Sem. languages, šəwā mobile indicates Heb. retention, in sub-breve form, of a vowel which had been full in the P-S period; šəwā quiescens marks vowellessness dating from that period,³ e.g. Heb. yeδəxem 'your hand', Arab. yadukum, P-S *jadu-kumā, Heb. 'ezkorəxā 'I shall remember thee', Arab. 'adkuruka, P-S *'aŏkuru-kā, as contrasted with Heb. kāθaβtā 'thou hast written', yixtōβ 'he will write', Arab. katabta, yaktubu, P-S *katabta, *jaktubu. One may, accordingly, lay down the principle that the series fricative + plosive (šəwā quiescens) denotes P-S vowellessness; fricative + fricative (šəwā mobile) implies the presence of a vowel in P-S.
- ¹ H. Thackery, Grammar of the Old Testament in Greek, i, Cambridge, 1909, § 7, 29-30.
- ² Similar ambiguities, but more obvious, are found in the use of the same 'Tiberian' points to indicate i, \bar{i} ; u, \bar{u} ; \bar{a} , o, and in the double value of $s\bar{\nu}\gamma\bar{o}l$ in the type of $kele\beta$ 'dog', where the nature of the first e (accented) is clearly not the same as that of the second (unaccented). The $\bar{\nu}\nu\bar{u}$ medium found in the construct plural of ' $s\bar{\nu}\gamma\bar{o}$ lates', e.g. $mal\bar{\nu}\chi\bar{e}y$: $m\bar{\nu}l\bar{u}\chi\bar{i}m$ 'kings' (on the analogy of the type of $di\beta\bar{\nu}\bar{e}y$: $d\bar{\nu}\beta\bar{u}\bar{u}$ 'words', where the $\bar{\nu}\bar{\nu}\bar{u}$ is etymologically justified, cf. sing. $d\bar{u}\beta\bar{u}$ (*dabar) has no historic reason for existence (cf. singular $mele\chi$ (*malk, Acc. malku, maliku, Arab. malku, maliku).
- *Whether a still older vowel had here been lost in the earlier stages of P-S, or whether P-S here never possessed a vowel, is a question as yet unanswered, but it seems probable, on the whole, that it had, so that P-S *katabta, *jaktubu were developed from a still earlier *katabata, *ja/ukutubu/i (§§ 376, 371; cf. also § 20).

C. Assimilation

[VG i, §§ 53-80; KVG §§ 21-44; P §§ 79-131; O §§ 21-30, 63; B-L i, §§ 15-19; G-B i, §§ 19, 27, a.]

§ 34. Assimilation is the endeavour to harmonise two dissimilar sounds when in close contact. It may be either (a) progressive, when the second of such sounds is made to harmonise with the first (n+t) nn, or (b) regressive, when the first is assimilated to the second (n+t)t. Normally, consonants thus affected are in immediate contact, though occasionally they may be separated by vowels (e.g. Heb. $\dot{a}\beta a\delta$, Syr. $\dot{a}\beta a\delta$, Arab. $\dot{a}bada$ 'perish': Acc. ' $ab\bar{a}tu$; Heb. $q\bar{a}tal$, Syr. qatal 'kill': Arab. qatala, Eth. qatala); vowels undergoing assimilation are usually separated by consonants.

§ 35. (a) Progressive:

t+h \rangle tt: Heb. $g \ni m \bar{a} l a t t \bar{u}$ 'she weaned him' $\langle *g \ni m \bar{a} l a t - h \bar{u}$.

n+h > nn: Heb. $yiqq\bar{a}henn\bar{u}$ 'he will take him' $\langle *yiqq\bar{a}hen-h\bar{u}$.

s+t > st: Heb. $nistadd\bar{a}q$ 'we shall justify ourselves' (*nistadd $\bar{a}q$ (for the metathesis see § 50).

q+t \rangle qt: Heb. $q\bar{a}t\bar{a}l$, Aram. $q \Rightarrow tal$ 'kill': Arab. qatala, Eth. qatala.

§ 36. (b) Regressive—(i) Consonants:

t+d > dd: Heb. middabbēr 'speaking' ⟨ *mitdabbēr.

t+t \) tt: Heb. $yittamm\bar{a}$ 'he will defile himself' (* $yittamm\bar{a}$.

t+z > zz: Heb. hizzakkū 'make yourselves clean!' (*hitzakkū.

 $t+k \rangle kk$: Heb. $tikk\bar{o}n\bar{e}n$ 'she will be restored' $\langle *titk\bar{o}n\bar{e}n$.

 $t+n \rangle nn$: Heb. $hinnabb^{3}\bar{u}$ 'prophesy!' $\langle *hitnabb^{3}\bar{u}$.

 $d+t \rangle tt \rangle t \rangle \theta$ (final): Heb. 'aha\theta 'una' (*'ahad\theta (cf. Arab. 'ahadatu").

 $n+m \rangle mm$: Heb. yimmāṣē 'it will be found' $\langle *yinmāṣē$.

 $n+g \rangle gg:$ Heb. yiggaš 'he will approach' $\langle *yingaš.$

 $n+l \rangle ll$: Heb. $yill\bar{a}\beta\bar{e}t$ 'he will be overthrown' (* $yinl\bar{a}b\bar{e}t$.

 $n+k \rangle kk$: Heb. $yikk\bar{a}h\bar{e}\delta$ 'it will be hidden' $\langle *yink\bar{a}h\bar{e}d$.

 $n+t \rangle tt$: Heb. $n\bar{a}\theta att\bar{i}$ 'I have given' $\langle *n\bar{a}tant\bar{i}$.

n+p \rangle pp: Heb. 'appī 'my nose' \langle *'anpī (Acc. 'appu, Syr. 'appayyā: Arab. 'anfu", Eth. 'anf).

n+s) ss: Heb. hissīl 'he hath delivered' (*hinsīl.

r+k \rangle kk: Heb. $kikk\bar{a}r$ 'round weight, talent' $\langle *karkar$ (Syr. $kakr\bar{a}$, SA KRKR).

(It will be observed that in Hebrew consonantal assimilation affects particularly the alveolars, including the alveolar nasal.)

§ 37. (ii) Vowels:

a) e in an open syllable before e (of secondary development), as keleβ 'dog' (*kaleβ (*kalb (see §§ 121-2), and after ā such e) ā

- by progressive assimilation, as Heb. hā'āreş 'the earth', but 'ereş (Acc. 'erşetu, Syr. 'ar'ā, Arab. 'ardu", SA 'RD, P-S 'ar\overline{5}-).
- ă occasionally) ŏ before pharyngals and velars, e.g. Heb. niβŏhāl
 'troubled' beside niβəhāl; 'eššŏqāḥ 'let me kiss' ⟨ *'anšăqāḥ (cf.
 'e'ĕβōrāḥ 'let me pass through' beside 'e'bərāh).
- ē (⟨ai⟩ ey in open accented syllables when the following syllable contains ā, as Heb. bāneyxā 'thy sons', but bənēyxem 'your sons' (cf. Arab. banīka, banīkum).
- § 38. The reduced vowels of the Heb. proclitics lə, bə, kə, wə (*la, *bi, *ka, *ya are assimilated before pharyngals with ḥāṭēφs to the full vowel corresponding to the particular ḥāṭēφ concerned, as Heb. la'āzōr 'to help' (*lə'āzōr (*la'azōr (cf. liχtōβ 'to write'); bahālīχōθām 'in their goings' (*bəhālīχōθām (*bahalīkōtām; ka'ārī 'like a lion' (*kə'ārī (*ka'arī (cf. Acc. 'aria); we'ĕmeθ 'and truth' (wə'ĕmeθ (*we'ĕmeneθ (*ya'amanat (cf. Arab. 'amanatu").

D. DISSIMILATION

VG i, §§ 83-96; KVG §§ 46-58; P §§ 134-43; O §§ 31-6, 64; B-L i, § 21; G-B i §§ 20, b-c, 27, b.]

§ 39. Dissimilation is the reverse of assimilation, i.e. it is an effort to avoid repetition of the same sound or of two sounds of identical type or position by substituting for one of the sounds in question another of similar type or position. Normally such substitution is progressive, although it may be regressive; and usually, though not invariably, it affects sounds which are not immediately contiguous.

§ 40. (a) Consonants:

- b) μ: Heb. kōχāβ 'star' (*kabkab (Acc. kakkabu, Syr. kawχəβā, Arab. kawkabu*, Eth. kōkab, P-S *kabkab- [Mahrī kebkīb is probably a secondary restoration rather than a retention of the original type]).
- z) d (before liquids): Heb. nāδar 'vow' beside nāzar (Acc. nazāru, Syr. nɔδar, Arab. nadara, P-S *naðar-; the P-S dissimilation would be d : δ).
- §) ś.: Heb. śāḥaq 'laugh' beside ṣāḥaq (Arab. ḍaḥiqa, Syr. gəḥeχ by special Aram. dissimilation from *'əḥeχ, P-S *Ṣaḥak-; Heb. q, as in Eth. šaḥaqa, is due to progressive assimilation, cf. § 35).
- l) n: Heb. yālīn 'it will pass the night': laylāh 'night' (Acc. līlātu, Syr. lelyā, Arab. laylatu", Eth. lēlīt, P-S *lail-).
- l
 ightharpoonup r: Heb. karbal 'bemantle' (*kalbal (or r
 ightharpoonup l: *karbar? see § 64).

§ 41. Dissimilatory disappearance of consonants, with compensatory lengthening, is frequently found in reduplicated formations, as Heb. qīqālōn 'disgrace', cf. Syr. qulqālā 'disgrace'; Heb. ḥāṣōṣərāḥ 'clarion' (*ḥaṣarṣarat; ṭōṭāφāḥ 'frontlet between the eyes' (*ṭapṭapat (cf. also Heb. kōχāβ, § 40). Similarly i and u disappear in Hebrew when immediately before the kindred ī and ō, as Heb. ṣəβā'īm 'gazelles': ṣəβī (Acc. ṣabītu, Syr. ṭaβiyā, Arab. ẓabyu", P-S *þabi-); Heb. nə'ōθ 'meadows': nāwāḥ.

§ 42 (b) Vowels:

- u) i before ō: Heb. šibbōleθ 'ear of grain' (*šubbōləθ (*šunbult-(cf. Acc. šubultu, Arab. sunbulatu*).
- \bar{u} $\rangle \bar{i}$ before \bar{o} : Heb. $h\bar{i}s\bar{o}n$ 'outer, external': $h\bar{u}s$ 'the outside'.
- ō) ī before ō: Heb. rīšōn 'first': rōš 'head' (cf. § 44).
- $\bar{a}u$, \bar{u} \rangle \bar{t} before \bar{o} : Heb. $ni\chi\partial h\bar{o}$ 'before it': $n\bar{o}\chi ah$ 'in front'; $t\bar{i}\chi\bar{o}n$ 'middle': $t\bar{a}we\chi$ $(t\bar{o}\chi)$ 'midst'; \rangle \bar{e} after \bar{u} : Heb. $l\bar{u}l\bar{e}$ 'unless' $\langle *l\bar{u}-l\bar{o}$ (cf. Arab. $lawl\bar{a}$).

E. ELISION [O §§ 73-4; B-L i, § 25.]

§ 43. In contact with vowels, ', i, u, and h, unless initial, tend to disappear, with contraction or compensatory lengthening of the vowels concerned.

§ 44. ':

- a'a > ā: Heb. bāδā 'devise', Syr. bəδā, but Arab. bada'a.
- i'a > ē: Heb. ṣāmē 'be thirsty', Acc. ṣūmu, but Arab. zami'a, P-S *pami'a.
- ' disappears in doubly closed syllables, with compensatory lengthening, as Heb. rōš 'head' (*rāš (*ra'š (Acc. rēšu Syr. rīšā, but Arab. ra'su*, Eth. re's, P-S *ra'š-; cf. Heb. plur. rāšīm (*rə'āšīm); and also in final syllables, as Heb. śānēθā 'thou hast hated', Syr sənayt, but Arab. šani'ta.

§ 45. i:

- aia) a: Heb. dān 'judge', Acc. dānu, Syr. dān, Arab. dāna, P-S *dāna (*daiana (contrast impfs. Heb. yāδīn, Acc. 'idīn, Syr. nəδīn, Arab. yadīnu, P-S *iadiinu); Heb. bāχāḥ 'weep', Acc. bakū, Syr. bəχā, Arab. bakā, Eth. bakaya, P-S *bakā (*bakaia (contrast impfs. Heb. tiβkeḥ, Acc. 'ibku, Spr. neβkē, Arab. yabkī, Eth. yebkī, P-S *iabkiiu).
- ajī \ ā'ī: Heb. şəβā'īm 'gazelles' beside şəβāyīm (*şabaj-īm (cf. § 41).
 ii \ ī: Heb. yāśīm 'he set', Acc. 'išīm, Syr. nəsīm, Arab. yašīmu, Eth. yešīm, P-S *jaśjimu.

§ 46. 4:

- aya) ā: Heb. qām 'stand', Syr. qām, Arab. qāma, Eth. qōma (cf. Acc. kānu 'exist, be firm', Arab. kāna), P-S *qāma (*qayama (contrast impfs. Heb. yāqūm, Syr. nəqūm, Arab. yaqūmu, Eth. yeqūm, P-S *jaqyumu); Heb. dālāḥ 'draw water', Acc. dalū, Syr. dəlā, Arab. dalā, Eth. dalawa, P-S *dalā (*dalaya (contrast impfs. Heb. *yiðleḥ, Acc. 'idlu, Syr. neðlē, Arab. yadlū, Eth. yedlū, P-S *jadluyu).
- $au\bar{a} \rangle \bar{o}$: Heb. $q\bar{o}m$ 'stand' (inf. abs.) $\langle *q\bar{a}m \langle *qau\bar{a}m \text{ (cf. Heb. } k\bar{a}\theta\bar{o}\beta, \text{Arab. } kat\bar{a}bu^n \rangle$.
- aui \) aii \) ē: Heb. gēr 'sojourner', Syr. giyyūr, Arab. ǧāru", Eth. ger, geyur, P-S *gauir-.
- ya > ō: Heb. māqōm 'place', Pun. (Plautus) macom, Arab. maqāmu*, P-S *maqām- (*maqyam-.
- uu) ū: Heb. yāqūm 'he will stand', Syr. nəqūm, Arab. yaqūmu, Eth. yeqūm (cf. Acc. 'ikūn, 'he will exist', Arab. yakūnu), P-S *jaquumu.
- § 47. h is lost in suffixes after a, ai, t, u, e ⟨ i, and often after šəwā: Heb. qəṭālō 'he killed him' ⟨ *qaṭal(a)-hū (Syr. qaṭleḥ, Arab. qaṭala-hu); Heb. gəmallā(y)ū 'his camels', Syr. gamlaw(hī) (cf. Arab. qaṣṣabīhi 'of his executioners') ⟨ *gamallai-hū; Heb. qəṭaltīm 'I killed them' ⟨ *qaṭalti-him ⟨ *qaṭalti-humū (cf. Arab. qaṭaltu-hum); Heb. 'āβī(w) 'his father' ⟨ *'abī-hū (cf. Arab. 'abī-hi); Heb. yiqṭəlēm 'he will kill them' ⟨ *yiqṭil(i)-him ⟨ *iaqtul(u)-humū (cf. Arab. yaqtulu-hum); Heb. bayyōm 'by day' ⟨ *bə-ha(i)-iōm.

F. HAPLOLOGY

[VG i, § 97; KVG § 59; P § 144; O §§ 70-3; B-L i, § 22; G-B i, § 20 e.]

§ 48. Haplology, the excision of one of two identical consonants closely following each other in the same word, as Gk. ἀμφορεύς (*ἀμφι-φορευς, Lat. sēmodius (*sēmi-modius, Fr. idolâtre (Eng. idolater) (Lat. idololatres (Gk. είδωλολάτρης, has no absolutely certain occurrences in Hebrew, though it is found elsewhere in Semitic.

G. METATHESIS

[VG i, § 98; KVG § 60; P § 146; O § 75; B-L i, § 23; G-B i, § 20 d.]

- § 49. Metathesis is the transposition of sounds normally in contact, as Lat. vespa: OHGerm. wefsa, Eng. wasp; Mod. Gk. πρικός: Gk. πικρός; Span. milagro: Lat. miraculum; Eng. griddle: Scottish girdle.
- \S 50. The t of the reflexive verb, when combined with an initial sibilant of a verbal base (in Arab. also with d), underwent metathesis

(and assimilation; see § 35) even in P-S; Heb. 'eštammēr 'I shall keep myself from' (*'atšammir; yistabbēl 'it will drag itself along' (*yatsabbil; yiśtā'ēr 'he shall storm against' (*yatśa'ir; niṣṭaddāq 'we shall justify ourselves' (*natṣaddaq; Acc. 'uštakkan 'make oneself': šakānu 'make' (this metathesis carried throughout all verbs of the 'Iφta'al type [=Heb. Hiθpa'ēl] in Acc.); Syr. 'eštəβī 'be seized' (*'atšabī; 'estəβar 'be believed' (*'atṣabar; 'ezdakkī 'be justified' (*'atṣakkī; 'eṣṭəleβ 'be crucified' (*'atṣalib; Arab. izdağara 'drive away' (*itzağara; iṣṭabaġa 'be dipped' (*itṣabaġa; iḍṭaraba 'be troubled' (*itḍaraba (this metathesis in all Arab. verbs of the eighth form, whence iktataba (*itkataba).

§ 51. Metathesis also occurs sporadically, instances in Hebrew being: śalmāḥ 'mantle' beside śimlāḥ (cf. Arab. šamlatu"); tə'ālāḥ 'water-course': Arab. tal'atu"; ruṭăφaš 'grow fresh': Syr. ṭarpāšəθā 'thin flesh', Arab. ṭarfaša 'be convalescent'; gāzar: gāraz 'cut', Arab. ǧazara, ǧaraza, Syr. gəzar, Eth. gazara; keśeβ: keβeś 'lamb', Acc. kabšu, Arab. kabšu"; 'āṣam 'shut the eyes', Arab. ġamaḍa.

H. Vocalic Prothesis and Epenthesis VG i, § 82; KVG § 45; P §§ 132-3; O §§ 65-9; Z § 22; W pp. 93-4; B-L i, § 20.]

- § 52. Vocalic prothesis, illustrated by Vulgar Lat. escutum, Span. escudo, Fr. écu: Lat. scutum, Ital. scudo 'shield' (cf. also Mišnāic 'isqūtellā ⟨ Vulgar Lat. escutella ⟨ Lat. scutella 'dish'), is comparatively rare in Hebrew. It appears, however, in the perf., impv., and inf. of the Hiθpa'ēl and in the impv. and inf. of the Niφ'al (see §§ 321, 389), e.g. hiθkattēβ ⟨ *itkattib (the h added by analogy with the Hiφ'īl and Hoφ'al; cf. §§ 321-3) ⟨ *tkattib ⟨ *takattiba (cf. Arab. takattaba); hikkāθēβ ⟨ *inkatib ⟨ *nakatib ⟨ *nakatib (cf. Acc. naktib, Arab. inkatib). Here belong, further, such words as 'ăziqqīm 'fetters' beside ziqqīm (cf. Aram. zəqaq 'fetter'); 'ăβa'bū'āḥ 'blister, boil': Talm. be'ba', Syr. ba'bū'yā; 'ezrōa' 'arm' beside zərōa' (Acc. zurū, Syr. dərā'ā, Arab. dirā'u", Eth. mazrā'et, P-S *ŏirā'-): 'eṣ'āδāḥ 'armlet' beside ṣə'āδāḥ; 'argāz 'coffer': Arab. riǧāzatu"; 'eṣba' 'finger', Arab. 'iṣba'u", Eth. 'aṣbā'et, but Syr. ṣeβ'əθā.
- § 53. Vocalic epenthesis, as in Ital. biasimare (OFr. blasmer (Mod. Fr. blâmer) 'blame', or in vulgar Eng. umberella, chiminey, occurs regularly in the second syllable of Heb. 'səγōlates' (see §§ 121-4), as Heb. keleβ 'dog', but kalbī 'my dog' (Acc. kalbu, Syr. kalbā, Arab. kalbu", Eth. kalb, P-S *kalb-); Heb. zēxer 'remembrance', but zixrī 'my remembrance' (Acc. zikru, Arab. dikru", P-S *δikr-); Heb.

'ōzen 'ear', but 'oznī 'my ear' (Acc. 'uznu, Syr. 'eδnā, Arab. 'udnu", Eth. 'ezn, P-S *'uδn-).

- § 54. Epenthetic a (paθaḥ furtivum) occurs in Hebrew before final ', ḥ, and h after any long vowel except ā, as rūạḥ 'spirit', but rūḥī 'my spirit' (Syr. rūḥā, Arab. rūḥuⁿ); zərōā' 'arm', but zərō'ī 'my arm' (Acc. zurū, Syr. dərā'ā, Arab. dirā'uⁿ, P-S *δirā'-); gāβōāh 'high', but plur. gəβōhīm.
- § 55. At a much later period the epenthetic vowel was i, u after i', u' respectively, and the first vowel \rangle ∂ (under Aramaic influence?), e.g. P-S * ∂ i'b- 'wolf' (Acc. $z\bar{b}u$, Syr. $d\bar{c}\beta\bar{a}$, Arab. di'bu, Eth. ze'b) \rangle Heb. *zi'bu \rangle *zi'ib(u) \rangle * $z\partial$ i'b \rangle $z\partial$ i' $\bar{e}\beta$; P-S *mu'd- 'abundance' (Acc. mu'du) \rangle Heb. *mu'du \rangle *mu'ud(u) \rangle * $m\partial$ i'u0 \rangle * $m\partial$ i'u0. The type of Heb. $d\partial\beta a\delta$ 'honey' (Acc. $di\delta pu$ [for the metathesis see § 51], Syr. $de\beta\delta\bar{a}$, Arab. dib(i)su, dubsu, SA DBS) may actually be Aramaic. The 's $\partial\gamma\bar{o}$ lation' here was far younger than in the usual type of 's $\partial\gamma\bar{o}$ lates' such as Heb. $mele\chi$.
- § 56. In closed syllables ai \rangle aii and au \rangle auu \rangle aue (usually written $\bar{a}ue$), as Heb. $bayi\theta$ 'house' beside const. $b\bar{e}\theta$ (Acc. $b\bar{u}u$, Syr. $bayt\bar{a}$, Arab. $baytu^n$, Eth. $b\bar{e}t$, P-S *bait-); Heb. $m\bar{a}we\theta$ 'death' beside const. $m\bar{o}\theta$ (Acc. $m\bar{u}tu$, Syr. $mawt\bar{a}$, Arab. $mawtu^n$, Eth. $m\bar{o}t$, P-S *maut-).
- § 57. Many forms usually regarded as epenthetic and often marked with dāγēš forte dirimens may be explained as survivals of an original vowel which normally suffered complete syncope as early as the Proto-Semitic period. Here, perhaps, belong, e.g., Heb. 'innəβēy 'grapes' beside 'ēnāβ (Acc. 'inbu, Syr. 'enbəθā, Arab. 'inabu", P-S *'inab-); miqqəδāš 'sanctuary' beside miqdāš (i.e. *miqdáš (*miqdaš (*miqdaš (*miqdaš (; qaššəθōθām 'their bows' beside qəšāθōθ (Acc. qaštu, Syr. qeštā, Eth. qast, P-S *qašt- (*qašat-).

I. GEMINATION AND SIMPLIFICATION [VG i, § 41 v-nn; KVG § 9 D; P § 48; Z § 14; B-L i, § 24; G-B i, § 24.]

- § 58. (a) Gemination. Secondary gemination (geminations etymologically justified are not considered here) occurs when a short vowel plus a doubled consonant corresponds to a long vowel plus a single consonant.
- § 59. To this category belong, notably, in Hebrew $h\bar{a}$ 'the' and $m\bar{a}h$ 'how! what?' (see §§ 245, 252), as $ham\text{-mele}\chi$ 'the king' (* $h\bar{a}\text{-malk}$; $mah\text{-}t\bar{o}\beta$ 'how good!' = * $mat\text{-}t\bar{o}\beta$ (* $m\bar{a}\text{-}t\bar{o}b$, as well as the impf. with 'wāw consecutive', as $way\text{-}yi\chi t\bar{o}\beta$ 'and he wrote' (*ya-iaktub (cf. §§ 67, 79, 347-48, 350-53).

- § 60. Sporadic instances of such gemination are, with a, Heb. gəmallīm 'camels' beside gāmāl (but Acc. gammalu as contrasted with Syr. gamlā, Arab. ğamalu", Eth. gamala); pəlaggāḥ 'stream' beside peleγ 'channel, canal' (cf. Acc. palgu, Arab. falağu", Eth. falag); muṣṣāq 'molten' (const.) beside mūṣāq; 'ăβaddōn 'destruction' (cf. ἀβαδδών, Rev. ix, 11) beside 'ăβēδāḥ 'thing lost'; with i (rare): Heb. 'issār 'binding obligation' (Syr. 'essārā) beside 'esərāh 'her bond'; with u (regularly in nouns): Heb. yullaδ 'he was born' beside yālaδ 'he begat' (Arab. wulida, walada); 'ămuqqāḥ 'profunda' beside 'āmōq (cf. Arab. 'amāqatu" 'depth').
- § 61. With pharyngals and r, which are never geminated in the Masoretic text, either a long vowel may be written instead of a short as compensation for lack of gemination; or a short vowel may be written with gemination implied (dāγēš forte implicitum), as, on the one hand, Heb. bēraχ 'bless' (*birrak (*barraka (Arab. barraka); mē'ēn 'refuse' (*mi''in (*ma''ana; 'ēḥar 'delay' (*'iḥhar (*'aḥhara (Arab. 'aḥḥara); on the other hand, Heb. 'aḥēr 'another' beside 'āḥērīm (*'aḥḥir; niḥēš 'practice augury' (*niḥḥiš (*naḥḥaša; bi'ēr 'burn' (*bi''ir (*ba''ara; ni'ēṣ 'contemn, spurn' (*ni''iṣ (*na''aṣa; mihar 'hasten' (*mihhar (*mahhara.

The Septuagint still shows gemination of r, as $\Gamma b\mu o\rho\rho a = -i\check{A}m\bar{o}r\bar{a}h$, $\Sigma \dot{a}\rho\rho a = \check{S}\bar{a}r\bar{a}h$ (cf. Heb. $\check{s}\bar{a}rar$ 'rule'), $Xa\rho\rho\dot{a}\nu = H\bar{a}r\bar{a}n$ (cf. Arab. $Harr\bar{a}nu^n$).

§ 62. Historically, it would appear that this gemination represents a transition-stage, due to a strong stress-accent, from an original short to a tone-long vowel in Hebrew. It seems, moreover, to have formed part of the general shifting of Hebrew and Aramaic accentuation from the first to the last syllable; and was aided, in all probability, both by the fact that the stress-accent resulted in a drawl which lengthened the vowel upon which it rested, and also by the fact that one long vowel or consonant is equal in length of time of utterance to two short (e.g. $\bar{a}l = 2 + 1 = all = 1 + 2 = aal = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3$). The development of $g\bar{a}m\bar{a}l$, $gomall\bar{a}m$ 'camel(s)', for instance, would seem to have been:

*gámalu > *gámmalu > *gámalu > *gāmálu > *gāmálu > *gāmálu > gāmál

*gámalīm > *gámmalīm > *gámalim > *gāmálīm > *gāmállīm > gāmallīm > gəmallīm

The final stage of gəmallīm would have been *gəmālīm, as in the regular Hebrew type of nəḥāšīm ((*nəḥāššīm?): nāḥāš 'serpent(s)'. The coexistence of the Hebrew types gəmallīm and nəḥāšīm, for which

no rule seems possible, appears due to inadvertent retention in the Masoretic text of the older beside the younger stage. (For the accentual problems involved, see § 76.)

§ 63. (b) Simplification. Simplification of etymologically justified gemination is found especially either in final position, as Heb. raβ 'great' (Acc. rabu, Syr. raβ, Arab. rabbu", P-S *rabb-) beside plur. rabbīm; or in unaccented syllables before šəwā, as Heb. məβaqəšīm 'petentes' (*məβaqqəšīm (*mubaqqišīm beside sing. məβaqqēš (*mubaqqiš (cf. Arab. type mukattibīna, mukattibu").

J. INORGANIC CONSONANTS [VG i, § 39 b-e; KVG § 7 Aa; B-L i, §§ 21 e, 80 r, 82 y.]

§ 64. The view has been advanced that the n in Heb. $k\bar{a}m\bar{o}n\bar{i}$ 'like me', $\tilde{S}\bar{\imath}l\bar{o}n\bar{i}$ 'Shilonite' beside $\tilde{S}\bar{\imath}l\bar{o}(\underline{h})$ 'Shiloh', $G\bar{\imath}l\bar{o}n\bar{i}$ 'Gilonite' beside $G\bar{\imath}l\bar{o}\underline{h}$ 'Giloh', ' $\check{a}h\bar{o}rann\bar{\imath}\theta$ 'backwards', $q\bar{\imath}b\bar{o}rann\bar{\imath}\theta$ 'mournfully' is 'euphonic', being inserted to avoid the contact of two vowels. It appears more probable, however, that the $-n\bar{\imath}$ of $k\bar{a}m\bar{o}n\bar{\imath}$ is the verbal pronominal suffix used instead of the nominal suffix $-\bar{\imath}$ (see §§ 236, 238) to prevent hiatus, and the other instances of (n)n are nominal (or adjectival) formatives (cf. §§ 167-72?). The participle $m\bar{\imath}\chi urb\bar{\imath}al$ 'bemantled', which has been regarded as possessing an inorganic r to escape gemination ($\langle *mukubbalu \rangle$, is more readily explicable as of the type kutbal (passive of kitbal, see § 316), found in $m\bar{\imath}husp\bar{\imath}as$ 'flaked, scaled', kurbal being dissimilated (cf. § 40) from *kulbal or *kurbar (cf. Acc. karballatu 'head-covering', Syr. $karb\bar{\imath}al\bar{\imath}a\bar{\imath}a$ 'cock's comb'). No indubitable examples of inorganic consonants seem quotable in Hebrew.

K. PAUSAL FORMS

[VG 1, § 43 c, pκ, qo, rη-θ; KVG § 11 bγ, eλ, fλ, gε; B-L i, §§ 13, 26 g-n; G-B i, § 29.]

- § 65. Influenced in the main by accent (cf. §§ 69-85), words in Semitic frequently assume one form when used in context with other words, and another form when standing immediately before a pause in the sentence in which they occur or at the end of a sentence.
- § 66. The 'absolute case' of the noun (§ 212-14) is, in reality, the pausal form, just as the 'construct' (§§ 77, 212-14) is a short context-form, as Heb. $h\bar{a}$ -' $i\check{s}\check{s}\bar{a}h$ $t\bar{o}\beta a\theta$ - $\acute{s}e\chi el$ 'the woman (was) good-understanding' (i.e. good as to her understanding). Here, too, belongs the loss of final P-S t in fem. nouns in a and in the 3rd sing. fem. perf. (written h in Heb. and Arab.; see §§ 15, note 1, 179, 188, 375), as Heb. ' $\bar{a}m\bar{a}h$ 'handmaid', Acc. 'amtu, Syr. ' $am\bar{a}$, Arab. 'amah, Eth. 'amat, P-S

*'amat- (abs.) beside Heb. 'ămaθ, Acc. 'amt, Syr. 'amaθ, Arab. 'amatu", P-S *'amatu (const.).

§ 67. In pausal forms an accented short vowel often becomes long, as Heb. $\delta \bar{a}\beta \bar{a}'t\bar{a}$ 'thou art full' beside $\delta \bar{a}\beta a't\bar{a}$ (Arab. $\delta abi'ta$); $\delta \bar{a}m\bar{a}r$ 'keep' beside $\delta \bar{a}mar$ (cf. Arab. $\delta amara$), and so even contrary to etymology, as Heb. $\delta amara$ (garden' beside $\delta amara$). On the other hand, the short vowel is retained in the impf. with 'wāw consecutive' in the Ni ϕ 'al and Hi ϕ 'il of verbs in δa , as Heb. $\delta amara$ 'and he was weaned': $\delta amara$ way-yagge $\delta amara$ (with $\delta amara$) 'and he brought near': $\delta amara$ (juss.); cf. also such Qals as $\delta amara$ 'and he sat': $\delta amara$ 'and he died': $\delta amara$ 'and also sporadically elsewhere.

§ 68. In pausal forms of 'səγōlates' (§§ 121-4), the older accent is retained as contrasted with the shifted stress in the context-forms, as Heb. perī 'fruit': pərī (cf. Acc. pir'u, Syr. pe'rā); ḥōlī 'illness': ḥŏlī (cf. Acc. halū).

L. ACCENT

[VG i, §§ 42-3; KVG §§ 10-11; P § 49; Z § 26; B pp. 22, 38, 62-3, 81-2, 98, 113, 127, 162-3; B-L i, §§ 12-13; G-B i, §§ 21-2.]

§ 69. Accent is governed either by pitch (musical accent) or by stress (expiratory accent), the former characterised by raising or lowering the pitch of the voice in pronouncing the syllable or syllables of a word, and the latter by the greater or less stress laid upon the syllables involved. The two are not of necessity mutually exclusive; the same language may (and often does) show both musical and expiratory accentuation, each on a different syllable, in the same word. Accent may, moreover, be either free, i.e. appearing now on one, now on another, syllable within the inflexion of a given word; or it may be fixed, i.e. restricted to the same syllable throughout such inflexion. Since words are not, generally speaking, isolated entities, but are commonly used in combination with other words to form sentences or clauses of sentences, the accent of one word very frequently affects that of the word or words connected with it by the speaker; and, finally, distinction must be drawn between the main, or primary, accent of a word or word-group (such primary accent not being necessarily the original, prehistoric accent) and the secondary, or subordinate, accent, which normally is influenced by purely rhythmical considerations.

§ 70. In the absence of direct statements, of trustworthy tradition, or of usage in living speech, it is difficult, if not impossible, to determine the existence of pitch-accent in any language or languagegroup; but the presence of stress-accent may very frequently be traced, particularly by observation of vocalic modifications of various sorts, and especially by loss or reduction of a vowel after a stressed syllable, whereas vowels which are long either by nature or by position tend to attract stress. In the case of the Semitic languages, direct evidence is found only for stress-accent, yet it appears practically certain that pitch-accent coexisted.

§ 71. In the historic period, it would seem, though much remains uncertain, that Accadian accented the last syllable, if long; but that, if this was short, it stressed the last syllable which was long either by nature or by position. In Canaanite, including both Hebrew and Aramaic, the prevailing stress was on the final syllable. Ethiopic shows a marked tendency to accent the antepenult. In Arabic the traditional stress is on the first long syllable from the end (or on the first syllable if the word contains only short vowels, excluding 'connective alif', which is purely secondary in origin, as Arab. uktub as contrasted with Acc. kutub, Heb. kəθōβ, Aram. kəθoβ, Eth. keteb, P-S *kutub; Arab. inkataba as contrasted with Acc. naktub(u), Heb. niχtaβ, P-S *nakataba; cf. §§ 52, 377, 389-90). Arabic almost certainly represents conditions nearest to the final stage of Proto-Semitic (cf. § 12). The accent of a number of Semitic languages, such as Phoenician and South Arabic, is unknown; of most of them our knowledge is very imperfect, and in no case is it derived from the periods when they flourished best. The forward shift of accent in Hebrew, Aramaic, and Ethiopic most probably arose independently in their respective linguistic areas.

§ 72. In the earliest period of Proto-Semitic the accent seems to have fallen on the first syllable of the word-base both in nouns and in verbs, e.g. *gámal- 'camel', *kátaba 'write' (cf. §§ 62, 78). The original verb-accent on the first syllable is very clearly indicated in Hebrew verbs of the geminate medial type (see §§ 88, 409-13), as yā'ōz 'he will be strong', 'ūzāḥ 'be strong!' (Syr. 'azz, Arab. 'azza ⟨ *'ázaza, but Acc. 'ezēzu, Eth. 'azaza; cf. Heb. ḥam 'be hot', Syr. ḥam, Arab. ḥamma, but also, on the analogy of kāθaβ, Heb. kāφaφ 'bend, be bowed', Acc. kapāpu, Syr. kaφ, Arab. kaffa) ⟨ *¡á-'uzz ⟨ *¡á-'uz (u)z and *'úz(u)z respectively (*¡a-'uzúz and *'uzúz would give in Heb. *ya'ŭzōz and *'āzōz). This accent was earlier than the Canaanite change of ā to ō, which takes place only in stressed syllables, as Heb. 'ōrərū 'they have laid bare' ⟨ *'ōrarū ⟨ *'árarū ⟨ *'árarū.

- § 73. Determinative prefixes were similarly stressed, as *má-katabu- > *má-k(ə)tabu- (Heb. miχtāβ 'writing', Syr. maχtəβā, Arab. maktabu"; cf. Heb. mal'āχ 'messenger, angel', Arab. mal'aku" (*má-la'aku-); *įá-kutubu > *įá-k(ə)tubu (Heb. yiχtōβ, Arab. yaktubu); *ná-kataba > *ná-k(ə)taba (Heb. niχtaβ, Acc. naktub(u); Arab. inkataba implies a later *nkataba (*na-kátaba by analogy with kátaba); *įá-na-katību > *įá-n(ə)-katību (Arab. yankatību; Heb. yikkāθēβ (*įэ-nə-katību).
- § 74. At a later period, Proto-Semitic tended to accent syllables which were long either by nature or by position, as *iά-qauamu > *iά-qūmu (§ 46) > *ia-qūm (Heb. yāqūm, Arab. yaqūmu); *kátabtā > *katábtā (Heb. kaθάβtā, Arab. katábta).
- § 75. Canaanite (and, independently, Ethiopic) developed a tendency to shift the stress-accent from the first syllable to the penultimate, with subsequent loss of a final short vowel, e.g. *kátaba > *katába > *katába (Heb. kāθáβ, Syf. kəθáβ, but Arab. kátaba), *gámalu 'camel' > *gamálu > *gamál (Heb. gāmál, Syr. gəmál, but Arab. ğámaluⁿ). With the loss of this final, the stress now fell upon the last syllable except, notably, in verbs with personal endings in -tī, -tā, -nū, -nā (kāθáβtī, kāθáβtā, kāθáβnū, tiχtöβnāḥ), in nouns, verbs, and particles with suffixed personal pronouns (e.g. ἐρφāθέγnū 'our lips', qəṭaltīhū 'I killed him', 'alēyχem 'to you'), in səγōlates (§§ 121-24), e.g. kéleβ 'dog' (*kálb-, Acc. kalbu, Syr. kalbā, Arab. kalbuⁿ, Eth. kalb), and before the old accusative ending -ā, preserved with the meaning 'toward' (§ 217), as 'árṣāḥ 'toward the land' (cf. Arab. 'arḍaⁿ). In Hebrew the secondary accent is on the pretonic syllable; in Aramaic, on the pre-pretonic.
- § 76. The cause of the accent-shift in Hebrew, Aramaic, and Ethiopic is quite uncertain unless it was due to considerations of rhythm arising from 'construct' combinations. It would appear, however, that in the Proto-Hebrew noun a short open vowel had already been lengthened by stress-accent before this shift occurred and before the loss of final short syllables (§§ 62, 75), but before the change of P-S ā to ō (§ 72). When the accent shifted, a short open vowel in the newly accented syllable was likewise lengthened, or else the consonant was lengthened by gemination (§ 62), though the latter phenomenon survives in relatively few instances, probably because gemination had other, and much more important, significations (cf-§§ 135-40, 312-15); and the short inflexional endings then disappeared because of the stress on the preceding syllable (§ 75).

- § 77. The probable development of the accent of the Hebrew noun has been outlined in § 75. When, however, it was used in 'construct' position (cf. §§ 66, 212), it lost its own accent and, becoming proclitic, had only a secondary accent. Consequently, its initial vowel was reduced, if in an open syllable, to šəwā, while the second vowel, now standing in a doubly closed syllable with an accent merely secondary, was shortened, as *dabàr ham-mélek 'the word of the king' (more strictly, 'the king-word') ultimately (*dàbaru hā-málki) Heb. dəβàr ham-mélex. Open vowels in syllables before the pretonic primary accent are reduced to šəwā, as Heb. dəβārfm 'words' (*dabarfm (*dábarīm; diβərēy ham-mélex 'the words of the king' (*dabarī ham-mélek (*dàbarī hā-málki.
- § 78. In verbs, which, unlike nouns, are used for the most part as context forms (in combination with following nouns either as subjects or as objects), there are marked survivals, on the one hand, of an original stress on the first syllable; and there is evidence, on the other hand, that not only was their accent-system developed later than that of nouns, since their perfect shows the reduction of an open vowel to šəwā after an open vowel lengthened under an original accent (e.g. Heb. kāθəβά ⟨*kắtəbū ⟨*kátəbū; cf. Arab. kátabū), but also that their accent was not shifted until after the disappearance of inflexional endings in short vowels (cf. § 75). Thus one may explain such sequences as P-S *qàtala hā-gámala 'he killed the camel' > *qàtala hā-gámala > *qàtal hā-gāmála > *qàtal
- § 79. In the imperfect, on the contrary, the accent-shift, because of the lengthening of the second vowel, would seem to have taken place before the loss of the final short inflexional ending, but later than the change of accent-position in the noun, since in the imperfect an open vowel is reduced to šəwā after an open vowel lengthened under the original accent—a phenomenon not found in the noun—thus explaining the sequence *iaqutulu hā-gāmala 'he will kill the camel' \rightarrow *iaqətulu hā-gāmala \rightarrow *iəqətulu hā-gāmala \rightarrow *iəqətulu hā-gāmāla \rightarrow *iəqətulu hā-gāmāl. A trace of the original accent is preserved in the construction with 'wāw consecutive', as Heb. way-yēšeβ 'and he sat' beside yēšēβ (cf. § 67).

§ 80. The chronological order of the accent-shift in Hebrew would seem to have been nouns, imperfects, and perfects (cf. also §§ 351, 353, 362).

§ 81. The change of original i to a in unaccented doubly closed syllables (§ 21, note 3) in Hebrew, as yəθàδ hā-'δhel 'tent-pin' beside

- $y\bar{a}\theta\dot{e}\delta$ (cf. Arab. $watidu^n$) shows that the case-terminations had disappeared in disyllabic (originally trisyllabic) nouns earlier than in monosyllabic (originally disyllabic), so that, as contrasted with const. $y\partial\theta a\delta$, one finds const. ben, bin beside abs. $b\bar{e}n$ 'son' (Acc. binu, Arab. $ibnu^n$, SA BN, P-S *bin-).
- § 82. Hebrew final syllables in $-\bar{a}$ are the result of secondary lengthening of a secondarily stressed syllaba anceps, since P-S \bar{a} > Heb. \bar{o} (§ 15), e.g. Heb. 'att $\bar{a}h$ 'thou' (masc.) \langle *att \bar{a} \langle *ánta (cf. § 36) \langle *ánt \bar{a} (see § 225); 'arṣ $\bar{a}h$ 'toward the land' (cf. Arab. 'arḍaⁿ).
- § 83. The treatment of original pretonic i varies, sometimes becoming \bar{e} and sometimes ϑ . Thus one has Heb. ' $\bar{e}n\bar{a}\beta$ 'grape' (Arab. ' $inabu^n$) \langle *'inab- and $h\check{a}m\bar{o}r$ 'ass' (Acc. ' $im\bar{e}ru$, Arab. $him\bar{a}ru^n$) \langle * $him\bar{a}r$ (pretonic u) ϑ under like conditions, as Heb. $b\vartheta r\bar{o}\mathring{s}$ 'cypress, fir' [Acc. $bur\bar{a}\mathring{s}u$], $r\vartheta h\bar{o}\beta$ 'broad open place' [Arab. $ruh\bar{a}b$] \langle * $bur\bar{a}\flat$, * $ruh\bar{a}b$ -). The reason for the divergence of treatment seems due to the different length of vowels, i becoming \bar{e} before a tone-long in Hebrew, but ϑ before an original long, with the result that at an earlier stage both types *'inab- and * $h(\vartheta)m\bar{a}r$ had the same length of two morae, a long vowel being equivalent in time to two short (cf. § 62).
- § 84. If the syllable with the main accent is preceded by three open syllables with originally short vowels, or by a closed syllable followed by two open syllables with originally short vowels, the first (if open) and third vowels > θ, but the second, now being in a semi-closed syllable, is retained, as *bάśarakèm 'your flesh' (Arab. bάšaru-kum) > *baśárakèm > bəśàrəχém; *mízbaḥakå 'thine altar' (Arab. mádbaḥaka) > mìzbaḥăχā.
- § 85. The sentence-stress in Hebrew, as in Arabic, falls on the close, with the result that special phenomena are presented by pausal forms under accent (§§ 65-8).

CHAPTER III

MORPHOLOGY IN GENERAL

[VG i, § 101; KVG § 63; P § 149; B pp. 10-11; B-L i, § 27; G-B ii, § 1.]

A. BASES

- § 86. In Semitic, as in most other linguistic families, morphology affects three categories: nouns, pronouns, and verbs. Adjectives coincide, from the morphological point of view, with nouns; adverbs, conjunctions, and prepositions are stereotyped forms of nouns; interjections, in the strict sense of the term, e.g. Heb. 'āh 'ah!', has 'silence!', 'ī 'woe!', fall outside consideration here as having no inflexion.
- § 87. Nouns and verbs are connected in that, for the most part, they are evolved from identical bases which are in themselves neither nominal nor verbal, and which possess only a fundamental meaning of the vaguest and most general type. By prefixing, affixing, or, much more rarely, infixing certain elements to these bases, they become nouns or verbs (Arab. malak-a malik-uⁿ = Lat. rex [(*reg-s] reg-na-vi-t); and the meaning may further be modified by placing after such prefixed or before such affixed inflexion certain other elements, in themselves neither nominal nor verbal, called 'determinants' or 'formatives' (§ 91; cf. Lat. can-o 'sing', can-t-o 'sing loudly', can-t-ill-o 'sing softly', can-t-uri-o 'chirp', can-t-il-o 'sing often'). If, then, one designates the base by B, the determinant by D, and the inflexion by I, one has the following formula for a word (W):

$$(I) + (D) + B + (D) + I = W$$

§ 88. In the historic period of Semitic, the great majority of bases appear as trisyllabic, e.g. *kataba, Heb. kāθaβ, but a number, mostly very primitive, are disyllabic, such as *'abu- 'father' (Heb. 'āβ), and there are even a few monosyllabic, notably *pu 'mouth', *δa 'this' (Heb. peḥ, zeḥ). In the earliest Proto-Semitic, trisyllabic and disyllabic bases probably existed side by side, but there seems to be some reason to believe that many trisyllabic bases were developed from earlier disyllabic by adding determinants whose meaning has utterly vanished (§ 91). Furthermore, the 'geminate medial' type of verbs (§§ 72, 409-13) is apparently an extension of a disyllabic base merely for the sake of conformity with the prevailing trisyllabic scheme (cf. Heb. bālal 'mix, confuse': Arab. balla: Syr. balbel, P-S *bal(a)l- (*balala- (*bala-la-).

7

1

§ 89. Pronominal bases differ from the nominal-verbal type in that they very rarely develop either nominal or verbal forms.

§ 90. The question has frequently been raised whether nouns are derived from verbs, or verbs from nouns. There seems reason to hold that verbs are later than nouns in the general evolution of language (cf. § 80), and in many language-groups verbs are obviously nouns in origin. So far as Semitic is concerned (and the same statement appears valid regarding Indo-European), however, it would seem that, apart from obvious deverbal nouns and denominative verbs, verbs and nouns developed from bases which were too general and vague in meaning to be either in reality.

B. DETERMINANTS

[S. T. H. Hurwitz, Root-Determinatives in Semitic Speech, New York, 1913.]

§ o1. As in Indo-European, the problem of the determinant, which indubitably existed, is far from easy, and the one systematic treatment of the subject needs complete revision. The following examples, however, independently drawn, of disyllabic bases made trisyllabic by what appear to be determinants seem fairly certain: Heb. 'āmal: mālal 'languish' (\sqrt{ML}); Heb. $g\bar{u}r$: $y\bar{a}\gamma\bar{o}r$ 'dread, fear': Arab. wağira (\sqrt{GR}); Heb. $d\bar{a}'\bar{e}\beta$ 'become faint' : $d\bar{u}\beta$ 'pine away' (√DB); hāqāḥ : hāqaq 'cut in' : Arab. haqqu" 'crevice in ground' (\sqrt{HQ}) ; Heb. yāraq : rāqaq 'spit' : Arab. rayyaqa 'moisten with spittle' (\sqrt{RQ}) ; $m\bar{a}$ 'as 'flow' : $m\bar{a}s\bar{a}h$, $m\bar{a}sas$ 'melt, dissolve' (\sqrt{MS}) ; Heb. ' $\bar{u}r$: ' $\bar{a}r\bar{a}h$ ' 'be exposed': ' $\bar{a}rar$ 'strip oneself' (\sqrt{R}); Heb. $g\bar{a}lal$ 'roll' : $g\bar{i}l$ 'circle, age' : galgal 'wheel' (\sqrt{GL}); $y\bar{a}$ 'at 'cover' : 'āṭāḥ 'wrap oneself' (\sqrt{T}); Heb. yāṣar : ṣūr 'form, fashion' (\sqrt{SR}); $b\bar{u}z:b\bar{a}z\bar{a}h$ 'despise': Arab. bada'a ($\sqrt{B\delta}$); Heb. $d\bar{a}\chi\bar{a};d\bar{a}\chi\bar{a}h$ 'crush': $d\bar{u}_{\chi}$: Arab. $d\bar{a}ka$: dakka 'pound, beat': Acc. $d\bar{a}ku$ (med. u) 'kill' (\sqrt{DK}) ; Heb. $l\bar{l}s$ 'scorn': Arab. $las\bar{a}$ 'insult' (\sqrt{LS}) ; Heb. $s\bar{u}s$ 'peep, gaze' : Arab. şa'şa'a 'try to open eyes (puppy)' (√ṢṢ); Heb. śūr 'saw' : Arab. našara, wašara (\sqrt{SR}); Heb. $t\bar{a}$ 'a' 'mock' : Arab.

¹e.g. Libyco-Berber, Cushite, and Egyptian (Cohen, Système, § 9); African: Wolof (F. Müller, Grundriss der Sprachwissenschaft, Vienna, 1876-88, I, ii, 97), Vei (I, ii, 153), Somrai (I, ii, 160), Kunama (III, i, 59), Hottentot (I, ii, 12, 15), Bushman (iv, 10); Asian: Ostyak (II, i, 115-16; for Finno-Ugric generally, J. Szinnyei, Finnisch-ugrische Sprachwissenschaft, 2nd ed., pp. 119, 121-2, Leipzig, 1922), Aleut (Müller, II, i, 149), Tibeto-Burman (Linguistic Survey of India, III, i, 8, 27, 185, 192, 201, 209, 217, 308, 320, 376, 386, 456; III, iii, 17, Calcutta, 1909, 1904), Dravidian (ib. IV, iv, 294, 415, Calcutta, 1906); North American: Aleut (Müller, II, i, 173), Algonkin (II,i, 199); Central American: Chipanec (iv, 184); South American: Betoi-Yaruro (II, i, 362), Kichua (II, i, 374), Lule (II, i, 410), Yahgan (iv, 214). Cf. in general Müller, I, i, 123-8; Vendryes, Langage, pp. 139-40 (Eng. transl. pp. 117-18).

nataģa 'calumniate' (\sqrt{TG}); Heb. hāla χ 'go, come' : Arab. la'aka, 'alaka 'send' : 'alāka 'transmit message' (√LK); Heb. ḥālā : ḥālāḥ 'be weak, ill': Arab. halla 'diminish': nahala (\(\sqrt{H}L\)\); Heb. kārāh: Arab. 'akara 'dig' (\sqrt{KR}); Heb. gāzaz 'shear' : gāzāh 'cut, sever' : Arab. $\check{g}azza$ 'shear': $wa\check{g}aza$ 'cut (discourse) short' (\sqrt{GZ}); Heb. $h\bar{a}\theta a\theta$ 'be shattered, dismayed': Arab. $hat\bar{a}$ 'be broken (by anger, fear)' (√HT); Heb. 'āsas 'press, crush, tread down' : Arab. wa'asa 'trample' (\sqrt{S}); Heb. $d\bar{a}\bar{s}\bar{a}$ 'sprout' : Arab. $d\bar{s}satu^n$ 'thick forest' : wadasu" 'first plants covering ground' (\sqrt{DS}) ; Heb. $s\bar{a}\beta\bar{a}$: Arab. sa'aba 'drink deep' (\sqrt{SB}) ; Heb. $l\bar{a}'at$ 'cover' : $l\bar{u}t$ 'enwrap' : Arab. latta: lāta 'hide' (\sqrt{LT}); Heb. šā'a φ 'crush, trample': šū φ 'bruise': Arab. nasafa 'break and scatter' $(\sqrt{\check{S}P})$; Heb. ' $\check{a}\beta a\delta$: Arab. $b\bar{a}da$ 'perish' (\sqrt{BD}); Heb. 'ānaq : nā'aq 'groan' : Arab. naqqa 'croak, cluck, miau' (\sqrt{NQ}); Heb. $y\bar{a}\beta\bar{e}\dot{s}$ 'be dry': Arab. basbasu" 'desert and uncultivated land' (\sqrt{BS}) ; Heb. $y\bar{a}naq$ 'suck': Arab. $naq\bar{a}$ 'suck marrow from bone' (\sqrt{NQ}); Heb. $y\bar{a}\check{s}a\beta$ 'sit': Arab. $\underline{t}abba$ 'seat oneself firmly' $(\sqrt{\mathfrak{p}}B)$.

§ 92. Similarity of meaning has caused many bases to undergo more or less modification.¹ Thus Heb. 'āχēn 'surely' (cf. kēn 'so') may derive its ā from 'āmēn 'verily'; P-S *'aqrab- 'scorpion' (Acc. 'aqrabu, Heb. 'aqrāβ, Syr. 'eqarəβā, Arab. 'aqrabu", Eth. 'aqrab; cf. Arab. aqara 'wound'?) its b from such animal-names as *kalb- 'dog', *dubb- 'bear', *δi'b- 'wolf', etc. (Heb. keleβ, dōβ, zə'ēβ, etc.); Heb. rāmas 'trample', if for *rāφas (cf. Syr. rəφas, Arab. rafasa 'kick'), its m from rāmaś 'creep'; and Heb. 'āṣam 'shut the eyes', if for *'āmaş (cf. Syr. 'əmaş, Arab. ġamaḍa), may be influenced by 'āṭam 'shut' (Arab. 'aṭama 'contract, stop').

C. Vocalic Alternation

§ 93. Though the existence of this phenomenon in Semitic has been noticed only briefly hitherto $(VG i, \S 42 e-f)$, it appears to be much more important than has thus far been supposed. Its underlying principle is that vowels are retained under a stress-accent, are prolonged under such accent if the vowel of the syllable immediately following disappears, are reduced (a diphthong in such case retaining only its second component) or disappear in an unstressed syllable. One has, accordingly, in Semitic five grades: prolonged (P), full (F), reduced (R), vanishing (V), and zero (Z), which appear as follows:

¹ For similar phenomena in I-E see H. Guntert, Über Reimwortbildungen im Arischen und Altgriechischen, Heidelberg, 1914.

	Vowel	Diphthong
P	$ar{a},ar{\imath},ar{u}$	āį, āų
\mathbf{F}	a, i, u	aį, aų
\mathbf{R}	a	$ otan i \ angle ar{\imath}, \ ou \ angle ar{u}$
\mathbf{v})	i, u
Z 1	{ o	a
Z 2)	o

§ 94. From this point of view, as § 97 shows, all forms of nouns and verbs in Semitic may be interpreted as various alternation-grades of a base type *ka(i/u)ta(i/u)b(a) (*ka(ia/ua)ta(ia/ua)b(a), with the twenty-six theoretical possibilities of PP, PF, PR, PV, PZ, FP, FF ZR, ZV, ZZ 1, ZZ 2, of which twenty-one actually occur: PF, PR, PV, FP, FF, FR, FV, FZ, RP, RR, VP, VF, VR, VV, VZ, ZP, ZF, ZR, ZV, ZZ 1, ZZ 2. One may, however, explain *ka(ia/ua)ta(ia/ua)-b(a) as *katab(a) with the infixed determinants -ia- or -ua- after the first or second syllable, or even after both (cf. the types of Heb. $l\bar{\imath}$ s 'scorn' (P-S *laiasa, Heb. $d\bar{\imath}$ x 'crush' (P-S *dauaka; cf. §§ 45, 46, 91), whence

$$\begin{array}{l} *kataib \\ *kataib \\ *kaitab \\ *kaitab \\ *kaitab \\ *kaitab \\ *kaitaib \\ *kaitaib$$

§ 95. Excluding these infixed determinants as of secondary origin, the typical Semitic base is found to be represented by *qatalwith the six grades—all found in Arab. qatala 'kill'—PF qātala 'fight'; FP qatālu" 'weapon'; FF qatala 'kill'; FZ qatlu" 'act of killing'; ZP 'a-qtālu" 'enemies'; ZF 'a-qtala 'expose to death'.

§ 96. For bases of the type of P-S *xajac, xayac (where x and c stand for any consonant), one finds, from the bases *ţajab- 'good', *śajab- 'hoary', *dajan- 'judge', *bajan- 'son', FF Heb. ţōβ 'good' (*ţāb- (*ṭajab-, FZ Arab. šaybu" 'white hair', RR Heb. dīn 'judgement' (*dəjən-, ZZ 1 Heb. bin, ZZ 2 Arab. i-bn 'son'.

§ 97. The representation of these grades in Semitic would be as follows, examples of those actually found being given in parentheses:

Base *xu-: P * $x\bar{u}$ - (Arab. $f\bar{u}$ 'mouth)', F *xu- (Arab. $f\underline{u}$ -mu" 'mouth'), R *xv- (Heb. peh 'mouth'), Z *x- (Eth. 'a-f 'mouth').

Base *xac-: P *xāc-, F *xac- (Arab. ḥamu*, Heb. ḥām 'husband's father'), R *xəc- (Syr. ḥəmā 'father-in-law'), Z *xc-.

Base *xaiac-: PP *xāiāc-, PF *xāiac-, PR *xāiəc-, PZ *xāic; FP *xaiāc, FF *xaiac-) *xāc- (Acc. ṭābu, Heb. ṭōβ 'good'), FR *xaiəc-, FZ *xaic- (Arab. šaybu" 'white hair'); RP *xəiāc-, RF *xəiac-, RR *xəiəc-) *xīc- (Arab. dīnu", Heb. dīn 'judgement'), RZ *xəic-; ZP *xiāc-, ZF *xiac-, ZR *xiəc-, ZZ 1 *xic- (Acc. binu, Heb. bēn 'son'), ZZ 2 *xc- (Arab. 'i-bnu" 'son').

Base *xayac-: PP *xāyāc-, PF *xāyac-, PR *xāyəc-, PZ *xāyc-; FP *xayāc-, FF *xayac-) *xāc-, FR *xayəc-, FZ *xayc- (Arab. tawru*, Heb. šōr 'bull'); RP *xəyāc-, RF *xəyac-, RR *xəyəc-) *xūc- (Acc. šūmu, Heb. šūm 'garlic'), RZ *xəyc-; ZP *xyāc, ZF *xyac-, ZR *xyəc-, ZZ 1 *xuc- (Acc. mutu, Heb. mōθ 'mortal'), ZZ 2 *xc-.

Base *katab-: PP *kātāb-, PF *kātab- (Arab. 'ālamu", Heb. 'ōlām 'long time'), PR *kātəb-, PZ *kātb-; FP *katāb- (Acc. šalāmu, Heb. šālōm 'peace'), FF *katab- (Arab. daqanu", Heb. zāqān 'chin, beard'), FR *katəb-, FZ *katb- (Arab. kalbu", Heb. keleβ 'dog'); RP *kətāb-, RF *kətab-, RR *kətəb-, RZ *kətb-; ZP *ktāb- (Arab. mi-zmāru", Heb. mi-zmōr 'melody'), ZF *ktab- (Arab. ma-l'aku", Heb. ma-l'āk 'messenger, angel'), ZR *ktəb-, ZZ *ktb-.

Base *kataib-: PP *kātāib-, PF *kātaib-, PR *kātīb- (Syr. kārīχā 'weaver's beam'), PV *kātīb- (Acc. kātīlu, Heb. kōθēβ), PZ 1 *kātəb-, PZ 2 *kātb-; FP *katāib-, FF *kataib-, FR *katīb- (Arab. 'asīru", Heb. 'āsīr 'captive'), FV *katīb- (Arab. kabīdu", Heb. kāβēδ 'liver'), FZ 1 *katəb-, FZ 2 *katb-; RP kətāib-, RF *kətaib-, RR *kətīb-, RV *kətīb-, RZ 1 *kətəb-, RZ 2 *kətb-; ZP *ktāib-, ZF *ktaib-, ZR *ktīb- (Heb. ma-ngīnāḥ 'lampoon'), ZV *ktīb- (Arab. ma-nsīku", Heb. ma-ssēχāḥ 'molten image'), ZZ 1 *ktəb-, ZZ 2 *ktb-.

Base *kataub-: PP *kātāub-, PF *kātaub-, PR *kātūb- (Arab. rāḥūlu" 'camel-saddle'), PV *kātub-, PZ 1 *kātəb-, PZ 2 *kātb-; FP *katāub, FF *kataub-, FR *katūb- (Acc. batūlu, Heb. bəθūlāḥ 'maiden'), FV *katub- (Heb. 'āsūr 'captured'), FZ 1 *katəb-, FZ 2 *katb-; RP *kətāub-, RF *kətaub-, RR *kətūb-, RV *kətub-, RZ 1 *kətəb, RZ 2 *kətb-; ZP *ktāub-, ZF *ktaub-, ZR *ktūb- (Arab. ma-lbūsu", Heb. ma-lbūš 'raiment'), ZV *ktub- (Arab. ma-qburu" 'grave'), ZZ 1 *ktəb-, ZZ 2 *ktb-.

Base *kaitab-: PP *kāitāb-, PF *kāitab-, PR *kāitəb-, PZ *kāitb-; FP *kaitāb- (Arab. hayṣārun 'lion'), FF *kaitab- (Arab. ṣaydaqun'true'), FR *kaitəb-, FZ *kaitb-; RP *kītāb- (Arab. ḍīrābun 'striking'), RF *kītab-, RR *kītəb-, RZ *kītb-; VP *kitāb- (Arab. ḥimārun, Heb. ḥāmōr 'ass'), VF *kitab- (Arab. ḍila'un, Heb. ṣēlā' 'rib'), VR *kitəb-, VZ *kitb- (Acc. zikru, Heb. zēxer 'remembrance'); ZP *kətāb-, ZF *kətab-, ZR *kətəb-, ZZ 1 *kətb-, ZZ 2 *ktb-.

Base *kautab-: PP *kāutāb-, PF *kāutab-, PR *kāutəb-, PZ *kāutb-; FP *kautāb- (Arab. tawrābu" 'dust'), FF *kautab- (Arab. ğawzalu", Heb. gōzāl 'young of birds'), FR *kautəb-, FZ *kautb-; RP *kūtāb-, RF *kūtab-, RR *kūtəb-, RZ *kūtb-; VP *kutāb- (Acc. burāšu, Heb. bərōš 'cypress, fir'), VF *kutab- (Arab. quṭamu" 'eater'), VR *kutəb-, VZ *kutb- (Acc. 'uznu, Heb. 'ōzen 'ear'); ZP *kətāb-, ZF *kətab-, ZR *kətəb-, ZZ 1 *kətb-, ZZ 2 *ktb-.

Base *kaitaib-: PP *kāitāib-, PF *kāitaib-, PR *kāitīb, PV *kāitīb-, PZ 1 *kāitəb-, PZ 2 *kāitb-; FP *kaitāib-, FF *kaitaib-, FR *kaitīb, FV *kaitīb-, FZ 1 *kaitəb-, FZ 2 *kaitb-; RP *kītāib-, RF *kītaib-, RR *kītīb-, RV *kītīb-, RZ 1 *kītəb-, RZ 2 *kītb-; VP *kitāib-, VF *kitaib-, VR *kitīb- (Arab. kibīrun 'great,' Heb. gəβīr 'lord'), VV *kitīb- (Arab. 'ibilun 'camel-herd'), VZ 1 *kitəb-, VZ 2 *kitb-; ZP *kətāib-, ZF *kətaib-, ZR *kətīb-, ZV *kətib-, ZZ 1 *kətəb-, ZZ 2 *ktb-.

Base *kautaub: PP *kāutāub-, PF *kāutaub-, PR *kāutūb-, PV *kāutub-, PZ 1 *kāutāb-, PZ 2 *kāutb-; FP *kautāub-, FF *kautaub-, FR *kautūb-, FV *kautub-, FZ 1 *kautāb-, FZ 2 *kautb-; RP *kūtāub-, RF *kūtaub-, RR kūtūb-, RV *kūtub-, RZ 1 *kūtāb-, RZ 2 *kūtb-; VP *kutāub-, VF *kutaub-, VR *kutūb- (Acc. rukūšu, Heb. rəχūš 'property'), VV *kutub- (Arab. ğunubun 'strange', Heb. šəχōl 'bereavement'), VZ 1 *kutāb-, VZ 2 *kutb-; ZP *kətāub-, ZF *kətaub-, ZR *kətūb-, ZV *kətub-, ZZ 1 *kətəb-, ZZ 2 *ktb-.

Base *kaitaub-: PP *kāitāub-, PF *kāitaub-, PR *kāitūb-, PV *kāitub-, PZ 1 *kāitəb-, PZ 2 kāitb-; FP *kaitāub-, FF *kaitaub-, FR *kaitūb- (Arab. ġaytūlu" 'thick darkness'), FV *kaitub-, FZ 1 *kaitəb-, FZ 2 *kaitb-; RP *kītāub-, RF *kītaub-, RR *kītūb-, RV *kītub-, RZ 1 *kītəb-, RZ 2 *kītb-; VP *kitāub-, VF *kitaub-, VR *kitūb- (Acc. šibūbu 'radiance'), VV *kitub- (Amarna kilubi, Heb. kəlūβ 'basket, cage'), VZ 1 *kitəb-, VZ 2 *kitb-; ZP *kətāub-, ZF *kətaub-, ZR *kətūb-, ZV *kətub-, ZZ 1 *kətəb-, ZZ 2 *ktb-.

Base *kautaib-: PP *kāutāib-, PF *kāutaib-, PR *kāutīb-, PV *kāutīb-, PZ 1 *kāutəb-, PZ 2 *kāutb-; FP *kautāib-, FF *kautaib-, FR *kautīb-, FV *kautīb-, FZ 1 *kautəb-, FZ 2 *kautb-; RP *kūtāib-, RF

*kūtajb-, RR *kūtīb-, RV *kūtib-, RZ 1 *kūtəb-, RZ 2 *kūtb-; VP *kutājb-, VF *kutajb- (Arab. kulaybu" 'little dog'), VR *kutīb-, VV *kutib- (Arab. du'ilu" 'jackal'), VZ 1 *kutəb-, VZ 2 *kutb-; ZP *kətājb-, ZF *kətajb-, ZR *kətīb-, ZV *kətib-, ZZ 1 *kətəb-, ZZ 2 *ktb-.¹

¹ In view of the facts that $\vartheta=R$ of a,i,u, but Z of ai,au, that i,u=V of ai,au besides being their own F, and that $\bar{\imath},\bar{u}$ may be either R of $\vartheta i,\vartheta u$ or their own P, 114 of these 268 theoretic possibilities are ambiguous, and of the remaining 154, only 50 are here recorded, leaving 104 purely hypothetical, some of which (notably the grades in PP) are utterly impossible. To these may be added 26 equally theoretical grades Z_2P , etc., for *kaitab-, etc. (*ktāb-, etc.), all of which are likewise ambiguous.

CHAPTER IV

NOUNS

A. FORMATION

[VG i, §§ 114-223; KVG §§ 75-113; P §§ 160-1; Z § 53; O §§ 108-20; B p. 14; B-L i, § 61; G §§ 81-6.]

§ 98. The great majority of Semitic noun-formations are represented in Hebrew, and these Hebrew types may be classified as follows in logical order (the reverse of the traditional arrangement) from monosyllabic to disyllabic, trisyllabic, and other polysyllabic bases.¹

1. Bases Without Formatives

a. Monosyllabic Bases

§ 99. Type *xu-. P-S *pū- 'mouth', Acc. pū, Heb. peḥ, Arab. fū, Eth. 'af; P-S *δa- 'this', Heb. zeḥ, Bib. Aram. dā, Arab. dū, Eth. ze.

b. Disyllabic Bases

1. With a Short Vowel

§ 100. Type *xac-. P-S *ham- 'father-in-law', Acc. 'emu, Heb. hām, Syr. həmä, Arab. hamu", Eth. ham.

§ 101. Type *xic-. P-S *šim- 'name', Acc. šumu, Heb. šēm, Phoen. ŠM, Syr. šəmā, Arab. ismu", Sab. SM, Eth. sem.

§ 102. Type *xuc-. P-S *mut- 'mortal, man', Acc. mutu, Heb. mōθ, Eth. met.

2. With a Long Vowel

§ 103. Type *xāc- (*xajac-, *xayac- (§§ 45, 46, 96). P-S *tāb-'good', Acc. ṭābu, Heb. ṭōβ, Syr. ṭāβā, Arab. ṭābu*.

§ 104. Type *xīc-. P-S *dīn- 'judgement', Heb. dīn, Syr. dīnā, Arab. dīnu".

§ 105. Type *xūc-. P-S *þūm- 'garlic', Acc. šūmu, Heb. šūm, Syr. tūmā, Arab. tūmu", Eth. sōmat.

3. With a Diphthong

§ 106. Type *xaic-. P-S *śaib- 'old age', Acc. šību, Heb. śēyβ, Syr. sayβāθā, Arab. šaybu", Eth. šībat.

The bases here termed 'disyllabic' and 'trisyllabic' seem actually to have been such in the earliest times, but by the end of the Proto-Semitic period they had lost their final vowel, so that the historical forms imply *xac-, *katab-, etc., (*xaca-, *kataba-, etc., with the result that they are generally called 'biliteral' and 'triliteral'.

§ 107. Type *xauc-. P-S *paur- 'bull', Acc. šūru, Heb. šōr, Syr. tawrā, Arab. tawru, Eth. sōr (the entire group was almost certainly borrowed from I-E or 'Mediterranean'; cf. E. Boisacq, Dictonnaire étymologique de la langue grecque, p. 945, Paris, 1916).

4. With Second Consonant Geminated

§ 108. Type *xacc-. P-S *kapp- 'palm of the hand, sole of the foot', Acc. kappu, Heb. kaφ, Syr. kappā, Arab. kaffuⁿ; P-S *śaqq- 'sackcloth', Acc. šaqqu, Heb. śaq, Syr. saqqā, Eth. šaq (Gk. loan-word σάκκος).

§ 109. Type *xicc-. P-S *šinn- 'tooth', Acc. šinnu, Heb. šinnō 'his tooth', Syr. šennā, Arab. sinnu", Eth. sen.

§ 110. Type *xucc-. P-S *kull- 'all', Acc. kullatu, Heb. kullō 'all of him', Syr. kul, Arab. kullu", Eth. kwel.

5. With Complete Reduplication of Base

§ 111. Type *xacxac-. P-S *kabkab- 'star' (§ 40), Acc. kakkabu, Heb. kōχāβ, Syr. kawχəβā, Arab. kawkabuⁿ, Eth. kōkab; P-S *lailai- 'night' (§ 21, note 7), Acc. līlātu, Heb. laylāḥ, Syr. lelyā, Arab. laylatuⁿ, Eth. lēlīt.

§ 112. Type *xacxūc-. P-S *baqbūq- 'flask', Heb. baqbūq, Arab. baqbūqatu*.

§ 113. Type *xucxuc-. P-S *gulgul- 'skull', Acc. gulgullu, Heb. gulgōleθ (Gk. Γολγοθά).

§ 114. Type *xacāxic-. P-S *'arā'ir- 'juniper', Heb. 'ărō'ēr (cf. Arab. 'ar'aru").

§ 115. The types *xacxuc- and *xacxāc- are too ambiguous to be considered here (cf. B-L i, § 61, gδ, iδ). The types *xicxic-, *xicxīc-, *xucaxic-, and *xucāxic- are found sporadically in other Semitic languages, as Arab. silsilatu 'chain', midmīdu 'false', şumaşimu 'energetic', ğulāğilu 'bold'; and *xicxic-, *xicxac-, and *xicxuc_ occur in Mišnāic, as pilpēl 'pepper', pišpāš 'wicket', qilqūl 'disorder'

c. Trisyllabic Bases

1. With a Short Vowel in Both Syllables

§ 116. Type *katab-. P-S *garab- 'scab', Acc. garābu, Heb. gārāβ, Syr. garəβā, Arab. ğarabu"; P-S *paraš- 'horse', Heb. pārāš, Arab. farasu", Eth. faras.

§ 117. Type *katib-. P-S *kabid- 'liver', Acc. kabittu, Heb. kāβēδ, Syr. kaβdā, Arab. kabidu", Eth. kabd.

§ 118. Type *katub-. P-S *'aśur- 'decade', Heb. 'āśōr (cf. for formation Acc. šamuhu 'growing luxuriantly', Arab. šakusu" 'hard').

NOUNS 43

§ 119. Type *kitab-. P-S *\(\forall ila'\)- 'rib', Acc. \$\(\varepsilon\) lu, Heb. \$\(\varepsilon\) la'u, Syr. 'el'\(\varapsilon\), Arab. \$\(\delta\) lia'u.

§ 120. Type *kutub-. P-S *bukur- 'first-born', Acc. bukru, Heb. bəχōr, Syr. buχrā; P-S *qubul- 'front', Heb. qəβōl 'battering engine', Arab. qubulu* 'front'. (The type *kitub- is found in Amarna kilubi, Heb. kəlūβ 'basket, cage'.)

2. With a Short Vowel in the First Syllable, No Vowel in the Second

§ 121. These nouns are the so-called sə γ ōlates of Hebrew, their development being, e.g. P-S *kalbu- 'dog' \rangle *kalb \rangle *kaleb \rangle Heb. $kele\beta$. For the types represented by Heb. də β aš 'honey', zə' $\bar{e}\beta$ 'wolf,' and mə' $\bar{o}\delta$ 'abundance' see § 55.

§ 122. Type *katb-. P-S *kalb- 'dog', Acc. kalbu, Heb. keleβ, Syr. kalbā, Arab. kalbu", Eth. kalb.

§ 123. Type *kitb-. P-S *δikr- 'remembrance', Acc. zikru, Heb. zēχer, Arab. dikru"; P-S *'igl- 'calf', Heb. 'ēγel, Syr. 'eγlā, Arab. 'iğlu", Eth. 'eg"el.

§ 124. Type *kutb-. P-S *'uδn-'ear', Acc. 'uznu, Heb. 'ōzen, Syr. 'eδnā, Arab. 'udnu", Eth. 'ezn.

3. With a Short Vowel in the First Syllable, a Long Vowel in the Second

§ 125. Type *katāb-. P-S *šalām- 'welfare', Acc. šalāmu, Heb. šālōm, Syr. šəlāmā, Arab. salāmu*, Eth. salām.

§ 126. Type *katīb-. P-S *'asīr- 'captive', Heb. 'āsīr, Arab. 'asīru"; P-S *marīr- 'bitter', Heb. mərīrī, Syr. marīrā, Eth. marīr; P-S *ṣaġīr- 'little', Heb. ṣā'īr, Syr. ṣə'īrā, Arab. ṣaġīru" (cf. also, for formation, Acc. talīmu 'brother').

§ 127. Type *katūb-. P-S *batūl- 'maiden', Acc. batūlu, Heb. bəθūlāħ, Syr. bəθūlā, Arab. batūlu".

§ 128. Type *kitāb-. P-S *himār- 'ass', Acc. 'imēru, Heb. hamār, Syr. həmārā, Arab. himāru*.

§ 129. Type *kutāb-. P-S *burāþ- 'cypress, fir', Acc. burāšu, Heb. bərōš, Syr. bərōθā (Gk. loan-word βράθυ); P-S *ruḥāb- 'broad open place', Heb. rəḥōβ, Arab. ruḥābu".

§ 130. Type *kutūb-. P-S *rukūš- 'property', Acc. rukūšu, Heb. rəχūš.

With a Long Vowel or Diphthong in the First Syllable, A Short Vowel in the Second

§ 131. Type *kātab-. P-S *'ālam- 'long time', Heb. 'ōlām, Syr. 'āləmā, Arab. 'ālamu", Eth. 'ālam.

- § 132. Type *kātib-. P-S *qātil- 'killing', Acc. qātilu, Heb. qōṭēl, Syr. qāṭel, Arab. qātilu", Eth. qātel.
- § 133. Type *kautab-. P-S *gauzal- 'young of birds', Heb. $g\bar{o}z\bar{a}l$, Arab. $\check{g}awzalu^n$ (Syr., with metathesis, $zu\gamma l\bar{a}$).
- § 134. The types *kitib-, *kutab-, *kitūb- (cf. Acc. šibūbu 'radiance'), *kitūb-, *kūtūb- are too uncertain for consideration here (cf. VG i, §§ 121, 118, 139; B-L i, §§ 61 w'''-y''', aβ-dβ, sa, tβ). The type *kutaib- is found in Syr. 'uzaylā 'gazelle', Arab. kulaybu" 'little dog'; *kātūb- in Syr. kārūχā 'weaver's beam'; *kātūb- in Syr. ḥāṣōδā 'sickel', Arab. rāḥūlu" 'camel-saddle'; *kaitāb- in Arab. ṣaydaqu" 'true'; *kaitāb- in Arab. haysāru" 'rending'; and *kaitūb- in Arab. ġaytūlu" 'thick darkness'.

5. With Geminated Middle Consonant

- § 135. While nouns with geminated middle consonants are found in the Proto-Semitic period, they apparently arose in its later stages, were probably of secondary development, and were relatively unimportant. Whether the earliest type was *kattab-, etc., or was *katataba- > *kattaba- > *kattaba- > *kattab(a)-, etc., can scarcely be determined from the evidence accessible.
- § 136. Type *kattab-. P-S *'aijal- 'hart, stag', Acc. 'ayalu, Heb. 'ayyāl, Syr. 'aylā, Arab. 'iyyalu", Eth. hayyal.
- § 137. Type *kattāb-. P-S *gabbār- 'strong', Heb. gibbōr, Syr. gabbārā, Arab. ğabbāru" (cf., for formation, Acc. habbātu 'robber', Eth. 'assāb 'hireling').
- § 138. Type *kattīb-. P-S *kabbīr- 'great', Heb. kabbīr, Syr. kabbīrā (cf., for formation, Acc. habbīlu 'bad').
- § 139. Type *kattūb-. P-S *'ammūd- 'pillar', Heb. 'ammūδ, Syr. 'ammūδā (cf., for formation, Acc. paššūru 'bowl, dish', Arab. farrūqu", 'timid').
- § 140. Type *kuttub-. P-S *quppud- 'porcupine', Heb. qippōδ, Syr. quppəδā; (cf., for formation, Acc. burrumu 'woven variegatedly').

6. With Third Consonant Duplicated

- § 141. This type, represented by *katbab-, etc., (*katəbab- (*katababa, etc., obviously developed late in the Proto-Semitic period, primarily with an iterative or intensive meaning, and was distributed only sporadically, though found in all Semitic languages.
- \S 142. Type *katbab-. Heb. ra'ănān 'luxuriant', ša'ănān 'secure' (cf. Heb. $r\bar{a}$ 'an 'be luxuriant', šā'an 'be at ease', only in Pa'lēl [\S 3 17]).

§ 143. Type *katbāb-. Heb. nahălōl 'pasture'.

NOUNS 45

§ 144. Type *katbīb-. Heb. saγrīr 'steady rain' (cf., for formation, Acc. namrīru 'brilliance', Syr. zahrīrā 'radiance').

§ 145. Type *katbub-. Heb. gaβnōn 'peak'.

§ 146. Type *katbūb-. Heb. na'āṣūṣ 'thorn-bush' (cf., for formation, Arab. šayhūhatu" 'old age').

§ 147. Type *kutbab-. Heb. 'umlal 'feeble' (cf. Heb. Pu'lal 'umlal 'grow feeble': 'āmāl 'be weak' and, for formation, Arab. duḥlalu" 'intimacy').

§ 148. Type *katibab-. Heb. (late) 'ămēlāl 'feeble'.

7. With Both Second and Third Consonants Duplicated

§ 149. Type *katabtab-. Heb. yəraqraq 'greenish' (cf., for formation, Syr. šəlamləmā 'complete', Arab. 'arakraku" 'strong', Tigrē hatamtam 'babbling').

§ 150. Type *katabtūb-. Heb. hăβarbūrāḥ 'stripe' (cf., for formation, Syr. pəraḥrūḥtā 'spark', Tigrē 'ebelbūl 'scattered').

§ 151. Type *katibtīb-. Heb. yəφēḥφīyāḥ (Miš. and Mas. yəφēḥφiyyāḥ) 'pulchra', (cf., for formation, Eth. ḥamalmīl 'green').

§ 152. The types *kattib-, *kattub-, *kuttāb-, *kuttūb-, *katabtub-, *katabtūb- are too uncertain for consideration here (cf. VG i, §§ 146, 147, 153, 145, 157, 174, 175; B-L i, § 61 bγ-cγ, dγ, aδ-bδ, fγ, uγ-zγ, nδ, οδ). The type *kitbāb- is found in Arab. timlālu" 'badly clothed'; *kitbāb- in Acc. 'irnintu 'strength', Arab. ziḥlīlu" 'smooth', Eth. kenfīf 'shore'; *kutbūb- in Arab. duḥlulu" 'intimacy'; *kutbūb- in Arab. zuḥlūlu" 'smooth'; and *kutubtub- in Tigriña sewunwun 'movement'.

2. Bases With Formatives

a. Bases With Preformatives

With Preformative Vowel

§ 153. Types *'aktab-, 'iktab-. P-S *'arba' 'four', Acc. 'arba'u, Heb. and Syr. 'arba', Arab. 'arba'uⁿ, Eth. 'arbā'; P-S *'iþkāl- 'cluster', Heb. 'eškōl, Aram. 'iθkəlā, Arab. 'iṭkāluⁿ; Mišnāic has also the type *aktūb-, as 'asqūφāḥ 'threshold'.

§ 154. When forms with and without an initial vowel appear side by side, as Heb. 'eşba' 'finger', Arab. 'işba'u", Eth. 'aşbā't, but Syr. $\$e\beta' \ni \theta\bar{a}$, beside Bib. Aram. 'eşba', or Heb. 'ezrōa' 'arm' beside $z \ni r\bar{o}a'$, such a vowel is merely prothetic (§ 52).

2. With Preformative i

§ 155. Types *iaktūb-, *iaktab-. P-S *iaḥmūr- 'roebuck', Heb. yaḥmūr, Syr. yaḥmūrā, Arab. yaḥmūru*; Heb. yishār 'oil'.

With Preformatives š- and s-

§ 156. In Hebrew apparently only in šalheβeθ 'flame' (Syr. šalhebbīθā) and šəqa'ărūrāḥ 'hollow, depression' (very frequent in Acc., as šulputtum 'ruin'), and possibly in Heb. sanwērīm 'sudden blindness' (cf., for formation, Acc. saḥluqtu 'destruction', Miš. səγalgal 'round').

4. With Preformative m-1

- § 157. Type *maktab-. P-S *mal'ak- 'messenger', Heb. mal'āχ, Arab. mal'akuⁿ, Eth. mal'ak; P-S *markab- 'chariot', Acc. narkabtu (n (m by dissimilation before the labial), Heb. merkāβāḥ, Syr. markaβəθā, Arab. markabuⁿ; P-S *maškan- 'dwelling-place', Acc. maškanu, Heb. miškān, Syr. maškənā, Arab. maskanuⁿ.
 - § 158. Type *maktib-. Heb. margē'āh 'repose', Arab. marği'u".
 - § 159. Type *maktīb-. Heb. mangīnāḥ 'lampoon' (cf., for formation, Syr. maφṣī'ā 'breach').
 - § 160. Type *maktūb-. Heb. malbūš 'raiment', Arab. malbūsu* (cf. also, for formation, Syr. maχšūlā 'offense'; this is the regular form of the passive participle of the kataba type in Arabic).
 - § 161. Type *miktāb-. Heb. mišqāl 'weight', Arab. mitqālu".
 - § 162. The types *miktab-, *maktub-, and *maktāb- are too uncertain for discussion here (cf. VG i, §§ 197, 202, 199; B-L i, § 61 $x\epsilon$, $y\zeta$, $e\eta$).

5. With Preformative t-

- § 163. Type *taktab-. Heb. tō'ām 'twin' (*taw'am- (cf. § 21, note 23), Arab. taw'amuⁿ (cf. Arab. wā'ama 'agree'); Heb. tēymān 'south', Syr. taymnā (cf., for formation, Acc. tamharu 'battle', Eth. tayfan 'young bull').
- § 164. Type *taktib-. Heb. tašbēş 'chequered work' (cf., for formation, Acc. tašqirtu 'falsehood').
- § 165. Type *taktūb-. Heb. tamrūr 'bitterness' (cf., for formation, Syr. tahtūrā 'flattery').
- § 166. The types *taktāb-, *taktīb- (in Hebrew probably only in words borrowed from Aramaic), and *taktub- are too uncertain for discussion here (cf. VG i, §§ 206-7, 209; B-L i, § 61 v η , w η , r η).
- ¹ The view, expressed, e.g., in VG i, § 195, and B-L i, § 61, u_{\epsilon}, that this m is from the pronoun * $m\bar{a}$ 'what?' (cf. §§ 251-2; e.g. P-S * $m\bar{a}$ 'aqāma [bihī] 'what he stands in' > * $m\bar{a}q\bar{a}m$ 'place,' Heb. $m\bar{a}q\bar{o}m$, Arab. $maq\bar{a}mu^n$) seems very dubious; the origin of the preformative is so obscure that it is at present best left undiscussed.

b. Bases With Afformatives

With Afformative -ān-

§ 167. Type *katbān-. Heb. qaômōn 'eastern' (cf., for formation, Acc. šakrānu 'drunkard', Arab. sakrānu", Syr. garbānā 'leprous').

§ 168. Type *kitbān-. Heb. hešbōn 'reckoning', Arab. hisbānu" (cf., for formation, Syr. beţlānā 'cessation').

§ 169. Type *kutbān-. Heb. yiθrōn 'advantage', Syr. yuθrānā; Heb. ḥesrōn 'deficiency', Syr. ḥusrānā (cf., for formation, Acc. dulḥānu 'disturbance', Arab. kufrānu" 'thanklessness').

§ 170. Type *katabān-. Heb. rə'āβōn 'hunger' (cf., for formation, Acc. šarraqānu 'thief', Syr. 'aβeδānā 'destruction', Arab. ḥadaṭānu" 'stroke of fate').

§ 171. Type *maktabān-. Heb. maššā'ōn 'guile' (cf., for formation, Syr. ma'bərānā 'transit').

2. With Afformative -n-

§ 172. Heb. sippōren 'finger-nail' (cf. Acc. supru, Syr. ţeφrā, Arab. zufru", Eth. sefr,* P-S þufr-).

3. With Afformative -m-

§ 173. Heb. śāφām 'moustache' (cf. Acc. šaptu 'lip', Heb. śāφāħ, Syr. seφθā, Arab. šafatu", and, for formation, Arab. şildāmu" 'hard').

4. With Afformative -l-

§ 174. Heb. karmel 'garden-land, garden-growth' (cf. Heb. kerem, Syr. karmā, Arab. karmuⁿ, Eth. kerm 'vine[-yard]'). That this group is borrowed from Indo-European (cf. VG i, § 223, 1) is by no means certain; it may be of pre-Semitic origin, and deformed by popular etymology.

5. With Afformative -īi-, -āi-

§ 175. These afformatives are found in all Semitic languages, -īi- and -āi- side by side in Accadian and Ethiopic, -āi- alone in Aramaic, and -īi- alone in Hebrew and Arabic. They denote 'connexion with', 'origin from' in every conceivable sense, e.g. Heb. Ṣōrī 'Tyrian' (Acc. şurrāya), 'Iβrī 'Hebrew' (cf. 'āβar 'cross over'), qaδmōnī 'eastern' (cf. qaδmōn 'eastern'), raγlī 'foot-soldier' (cf. reγel 'foot'), şiφ'ōnī 'basilisk, adder' (cf. şeφa' 'basilisk, adder'). Compare, for formation, Syr. Dayşenāy 'citizen of Dayṣān', malkāyā 'royal', Arab. Miṣrīyu" 'Egyptian', maǧusīyu" 'Magian', šamsīyu" 'solar', Eth. nazāzī 'comforter', tafṣānī 'last'.

§ 176. Afformatives in $-\bar{u}n$ -, $\bar{o}n$ -, -ain-, -aim-, -ai-, -e-, $-\bar{e}$ -, $-\bar{e}$ -, $-\bar{e}$ - are too uncertain for discussion here (cf. B-L i, § 61, $v\theta$, $d\iota$ - $g\iota$); but note should be made of the formatives $-\bar{o}n$, $-\bar{a}n$, -ayim (later confused with the dual [§ 206]), $-\bar{o}$, $-\bar{a}m$, $-\bar{o}m$ in place-names, as Heb. $\check{S}\bar{o}m\bar{\sigma}r\bar{o}n$: Bib. Aram. $\check{S}\bar{a}m\bar{\sigma}rayin$ 'Samaria'; $D\bar{o}\theta\bar{a}n$: $D\bar{o}\theta ayin$ (Septuagint $\Delta\omega\theta\dot{a}\iota\mu$); ' $E\gamma l\bar{o}n$: 'Eyn ' $E\gamma layim$; $M\bar{\sigma}\gamma idd\bar{o}$: $M\bar{\sigma}\gamma idd\bar{o}n$; ' $Eyl\bar{a}m$; $Gi\delta\bar{\sigma}$ ' $\bar{o}m$ (Septuagint $\Gamma\epsilon\delta\dot{a}\nu$).

B. GENDER

[VG i, §§ 224-7, ii, § 26; KVG § 114; P §§ 162-4; Z § 54; W pp. 131-9; O § 121; B-L i, § 62; G §§ 80, 122; Féghali-Cuny, Du genre grammatical en sémitique, Paris, 1924.]

§ 177. The Semitic languages have, in the historical period, only two genders, 'masculine' and 'feminine', which include, without reference to distinctions of sex, both animate (active) beings whether male or female, and inanimate (passive) things. In the most general terms, male animate (active) beings, or things which the primitive mind may so regard, are masculine; female animate (active) beings, or things (passive) which the primitive mind may consider female, and things inactive or inanimate (whether by primitive or by modern standards), as well as abstracts, collectives, diminutives, and pejoratives, are feminine.

§ 178. In the older period of Semitic, many 'masculine' (i.e. active) nouns show no distinction of form for male and female beings, e.g. P-S *'abu- 'father', Acc. 'abu, Heb. 'āβ, Syr. 'aβā, Arab. 'abu", Eth. 'ab; P-S *'umm- 'mother', Acc. 'ummu, Heb. 'ēm, Syr. 'emmā, Arab. 'ummu", Eth. 'em; P-S *himār- 'ass', Acc. imēru, Heb. ḥāmōr, Syr. ḥəmārā, Arab. ḥimāru"; P-S *'atān- 'she-ass', Acc. 'atānu, Heb. 'āθōn, Syr. 'attānā, Arab. 'atānu"; (cf. also Arab. ḥāmilu" 'pregnant', nāhidu" 'with swelling breasts', 'āqiru" 'sterile' [but Heb. 'āqār, 'ǎqārāḥ]).

§ 179. Side by side with the nouns denoting male beings (or those regarded as males), there appeared, as early as the Proto-Semitic period, a special characteristic for nouns denoting female beings (or those regarded as female)—the determinant -(a)t-, as P-S *bin-t- 'daughter', Acc. bintu, Heb. baθ ((*bint-), Arab. bintuⁿ beside P-S *bin- 'son', Acc. binu, Heb. bēn, Arab. ibnuⁿ; P-S *'amat- 'female slave', Acc. 'amtu, Heb. 'ămaθ (const.), Syr. 'amaθ (const.), Arab. 'amatuⁿ, Eth. 'amat; P-S *'āarrat- 'rival-wife', Acc. şerretu, Heb. ṣārāḥ, Syr. 'arrəθā, Arab. ḍarratuⁿ; and this becomes the regular way of distinguishing between masculine and feminine, as Heb. par 'steer', pārāḥ 'heifer'; śar 'prince', śārāḥ 'princess'; Mō'āβī 'Moabite',

Mō'ăβiyyāḥ 'Moabitess'; 'elem 'young man', 'almāḥ 'young woman'; tōβ 'bonus', tōβāḥ 'bona' (cf. Acc. bēl 'god', bēlitu 'goddess'; Syr. malkā 'king', malkəθā 'queen'; bīš 'malus', bīštā 'mala'; Arab. maliku" 'king', malikatu" 'queen'; 'azīmu" 'magnus', 'azīmatu" 'magna'; Eth. negūš 'king', negešt 'queen'; lehīq 'old man', leheqt 'old woman').

- § 180. The names of things inanimate or inactive (passive) from the primitive point of view, though grammatically feminine in Semitic, frequently show no difference in form from the terms for animate (active) beings, whether male or female. Such grammatical feminines are represented by Heb. kikkār 'round weight, talent,' ṣāφōn 'north', hereβ 'sword' (cf. Arab. harbatun 'dart, javelin'; Syr. harbā is generally masc., rarely fem.), 'ōzen 'ear', neφeš 'breath, soul'.
- § 181. Nouns of this category sometimes vary between masculine and feminine, as Heb. dereχ 'way, road', lāšōn 'tongue' (Acc. lišānu, Syr. leššānā, Arab. lisānuⁿ, Eth. lesān), šemeš 'sun' (P-S *šamš-, Acc. šamšu, Syr. šemšā, Arab. šamsuⁿ [dissimilated from *samsuⁿ; cf. §§ 39-40]; Acc. and Aram. only masc., Arab. only fem.); Arab. baṭnuⁿ 'belly' (Heb. beṭen only fem.), kabiduⁿ 'liver' (Heb. kāβēδ only masc.); Syr. sahrā 'moon'. The 'feminine sign' is found in such words as Heb. gəδērāḥ 'wall' beside masc. gāδēr (cf. Arab. ğadruⁿ, ğidāruⁿ), gulgōleθ 'skull' (but Acc. gulgullu). Such instances of apparent instability may be due to a divergence of point of view, the same word being regarded now as 'animate' ('active, masculine'), now as 'inanimate' ('inactive, passive, fem.' = 'neuter').'
- § 182. Abstract nouns normally have the 'feminine sign', as Heb. nəqāmāḥ 'vengeance' beside masc. nāqām (cf. fem. Syr. nəqaməθā, Arab. niqmatu"), 'ezrāḥ 'help' beside masc. 'ēzer (cf. Syr. fem. 'eδarəθā beside masc. 'eδrā), ţōβāḥ 'welfare', gōlāḥ 'exile(s)'.
- § 183. Diminutives, which include pejoratives, have the 'feminine sign', as Heb. məlūnāḥ 'hut': mālōn 'lodging-place, inn, khan'; yōneqeθ 'twig': yōnēq 'sapling'; kippāḥ 'frond, branch': kaφ 'palm of the hand' (cf. Syr. kappəθā 'bowl'); 'iššeḥ 'offering made by fire': 'ēš 'fire' (cf. Acc. išātu 'fever', Syr. 'eššāθā, Eth. 'esāt). Here, too, probably belong the names of weak or timid living creatures, apparently as being considered 'passive' rather than 'active', e.g. Heb. 'arneβeθ 'hare' (Syr. 'arnəβā, Arab. 'arnabu", both generally fem., though occasionally masc.), yōnāḥ 'dove' (Syr. yawnā usually fem.; cf., without 'feminine sign', Syr. neqyā 'sheep').
- ¹ The primitive Indo-European views on gender seem equally applicable to Semitic; cf. A. Meillet, 'La Catégorie du genre et les conceptions indo-européennes' in his Linguistique historique et linguistique générale, 2nd ed., Paris, 1926, pp. 211-29.

- § 184. Collectives, which are often difficult to distinguish from abstracts, and which are frequently equivalent to plurals (see § 193), appear without 'signs' as (a) both masc. and fem., (b) masc., (c) fem., and with 'signs' as (d) fem. To the type (a) belong Heb. 'ŏnī 'ships, fleet', bāqār 'cattle' (Arab. baqaru", cf. also baqaratu" 'single head of cattle'; Syr. baqrā is fem. only); to (b) (the great majority in Heb.) Heb. bōser 'sour grapes' (Arab. busru"), bɔ'īr 'beasts, cattle', zīz 'moving creatures' (cf. Acc. zizānu 'reptiles'), 'ōφ 'flying creatures' (Syr. 'awφā), 'ayiṭ 'bird(s) of prey', qimmōś 'thistles, nettles', rexeš 'steeds', remeś 'creeping creatures', šereş 'swarming creatures' (Syr. šerṣā 'reptiles'); to (c) Heb. ş'ōn 'small cattle', şeφardēā' 'frogs'; to (d) Heb. bo'šāḥ 'stinking weeds', bəhēmāḥ 'beast(s)', dāγāḥ 'fish' collectively as contrasted with dāγ 'a single fish', 'ăβuddāḥ 'servants' as contrasted with 'eβeδ 'servant', şir'āḥ 'hornets'.
- § 185.) Particular interest attaches, in this connexion, to the Semitic nomina unitatis, which, with the 'feminine sign',¹ indicate a single specimen of the class denoted by the corresponding 'masculine' noun, e.g. Heb. 'ŏniyyāḥ 'ship': 'ŏnī 'ships, fleet'; śa'ārāḥ 'single hair': śē'ār 'hair' collectively (so also Arab. ša'[a]ratu": ša'[a]ru"); šīrāḥ 'single song': šīr 'song, poem'; ləβēnāḥ 'brick' (Arab. labinatu" 'single brick': labinu" 'brick' collectively); dəβōrāḥ 'bee' (cf. Arab. dibru" 'swarm of bees'); nəmālāḥ 'ant' (Arab. namlatu" 'single ant': namlu" 'ant' collectively); ef. also Arab. baṭṭatu" 'one drake or duck': baṭṭu" 'duck' collectively; dahabatu" 'piece of gold': dahabu" 'gold'.
 - § 186. In all these four categories the 'feminine' would seem to have denoted originally not a being regarded by the primitive mind as female, but an inanimate or passive thing, not merely inactive in itself, but also quite too vague and general ('abstract' perhaps suggests too high a grade of mental development) to possess activity; the 'masculine', on the contrary, was everywhere considered as animate and active. For other 'feminine' formatives in $-\bar{a}'u$ -, $-\bar{a}$ -, $-\bar{e}$ -,
 - § 187. While the various Semitic languages show, even in their earliest historical periods, a confusion in gender which doubtless existed in at least the later strata of Proto-Semitic, it would seem that the majority of 'masculines' and 'feminines' fall into the two categories of 'animate' and 'inanimate' (or 'active' and 'passive') in so far
 - ¹ It is possible, however, that this -t is not the 'feminine sign,' but the demonstrative and determinant particle -t- (cf. § 255, note), so that, e.g., Arab. dahabatuⁿ would primarily have meant 'that (particular piece of) gold' as contrasted with dahabuⁿ 'gold (generally speaking)'.

as 'masculine' and 'feminine' do not connote beings respectively male and female. One may further have ground for supposing that the 'feminine', when not referring to objects actually female or so considered in primitive thought, may have absorbed the functions of a still earlier 'inanimate' or 'neuter' ('passive') gender. It is particularly interesting—and justly suggestive—to observe in this connexion that Indo-European likewise shows a close affinity between the neuter plural in a collective sense and the feminine singular: both end in $-\bar{a}$ for -o- and -a-stems (neuter and fem. respectively also in -a-; cf. for neuters Vedic yugā, Old Church Slav. iga 'yokes': Latin iugā, Greek $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho \alpha$ 'gifts'); the neuter plural is occasionally used as a collective singular (cf. Iliad xx, 268: χρυσὸς γὰρ ἐρύκακε, δῶρα $\theta \epsilon o i o$); and in Greek, the older Avesta, and (very rarely) in the Rig-Veda a neuter plural subject takes a verb in the singular. Indeed, it was the resemblance between the Semitic 'broken plural', in form and function a singular 'feminine' noun (see § 193), and the $-\bar{a}$ of the Indo-European feminine singular and neuter plural which led to the formulation of the view now generally held by Indo-Europeanists regarding the origin of the 'feminine' declension in their group of languages;1 and it may also be observed that in Romance the disappearance of the Latin neuter has caused many neuter plurals to become feminine singulars, as Lat. folia \ Ital. foglia, Fr. feuille, Span. hoja, etc.2

§ 188. Returning to Semitic, one may suggest—though proof can thus far scarcely be alleged—that the 'feminine' -t- was originally a sign, not of a true animate, active feminine, but of an inanimate, passive neuter; and that the sign of such neuters was transferred to words denoting female beings because passivity is characteristic of the female as contrasted with the activity of the male. When it was felt necessary to distinguish females from males (notably in adjectives), the old 'passive' inanimate (neuter) sign was extended to serve as a grammatical characteristic for true feminines, with the result that the new true animate feminine completely usurped the functions of the old inanimate neuter, whose original nature was entirely forgotten, surviving only as a 'grammatical feminine'.

§ 189. The question may also be raised whether the -a- which, with -t-, characterises the Semitic feminine, may not have been originally identical with that of the accusative ('passive') singular of the 'masculine' ('active') gender (§§ 199, 206), the later nominative

¹ See especially J. Schmidt, Die Pluralbildungen der indogermanischen Neutra, pp. 10-11, 22-3, Weimar, 1889.

² W. Meyer-Lübke, Grammaire des langues romanes, ii, Paris, 1890-1905, § 54.

and genitive 'passive' being added on the analogy of the 'active' gender, precisely as seems to have been the procedure in Indo-European.¹ The characteristic -at- was carried over into the dual, and in the plural it was lengthened to -āt-, probably on the analogy of the masculine (masc. acc. sing. -a: fem. acc. sing. -at = masc. acc. plur. -ā[na]: fem. acc. plur. -āt). It is noteworthy, finally, in view of the fact that the 'broken plural' of Semitic is really a collective singular (§ 193), that the feminine plural is inflected as a singular (-ātu, -āti, -āta as contrasted with the masc. -ū[na], -ī[na], -ā[na]; for the inflexions see §§ 201-2).

§ 190. For the Semitic system of genders the following scheme may, accordingly, be drawn:

Anima	Inanimate	
Masculine, F	Neuter	
Active case sing.	-u	(-at-u)
Passive case sing.	-a	-at-a
Active case dual	-au(ni)	(-at-au[ni])
Passive case dual	$-ar{a}(ni)$	-at- $\bar{a}(ni)$
Active case plur.	$-ar{u}(na)$	$ (-\bar{a}t-u)$
Passive case plur.	$-\tilde{a}(na)$	-āt-a

C. NUMBER

[VG i, §§ 228-44; KVG §§ 115-16; P §§ 165-9; Z § 55; W pp. 145-52; O §§ 122-5; B-L i, § 63; G §§ 87-8, 123-4.]

§ 191. In the historic period, Semitic has three numbers: singular, dual, and plural. Adjectives, however, possess no dual, and the pronoun shows it only in the second and third persons in Arabic (§ 226).

§ 192. The singular denotes either (a) a single being or thing or (b) a group of beings or things regarded collectively, as (a) P-S *iad-hand', Acc. 'idu, Heb. yāδ, Syr. 'iδā, Arab. yadu", Eth. 'ed; (b) Heb. bāqār 'cattle', Syr. baqrā, Arab. baqaru"; Acc. zizānu 'reptiles', Heb. zīz 'moving creatures'.

§ 193. The collective singular readily develops into a psychological plural, a phenomenon particularly frequent in South Semitic (North and South Arab., Eth.) as the so-called 'broken plural', which is treated grammatically as a feminine singular (§§ 187, 189) and normally takes its verb in the feminine singular (unless referring to distinctly male beings), not in any form of the plural. As matter

¹ C. C. Uhlenbeck, 'Agens und Patiens im Kasussystem der indegermanischen Sprachen', in *Indogermanische Forschungen*, xii (1901), 170-1.

of fact, the 'broken plural' is not, in origin, a plural at all, but is really a collective singular.

§ 194. The following list gives the most certain words of this type in Heb.: 'ēβer 'pinions' ('eβrāḥ 'pinion'), 'ĕγōz 'nuts' (Arab. ǧawzun' 'nuts', ǧawzatun' 'nut'), 'āzēn 'implements', 'āḥū 'reeds', 'ŏnī 'ships, fleet' ('ŏniyyāḥ 'ship'), 'assīr 'prisoners', bo'šāḥ 'stinking weeds', bəhēmāḥ 'beast(s)', bōser 'sour grapes' (Arab. busrun), bə'īr 'beasts, cattle', bāqār 'cattle' (Arab. baqarun, but baqaratun' 'single head of cattle'), dim'āḥ 'tears', zīz 'moving things', ṭaφ 'children', 'ăβuddāḥ 'servants', 'ōφ 'flying creatures', 'ayiṭ 'bird(s) of prey', 'ărāβ 'steppedwellers', pōl 'beans', ş'ōn 'small cattle' (also ṣōneḥ), ṣippōr 'bird(s)', ṣəφardēṇ 'frogs', ṣir'āḥ 'hornets', qimmōś 'thistles, nettles', rāhīṭ 'rafters, boards'(?), reҳeβ 'chariots', reҳeš 'steeds', remeś 'creeping things', šereṣ 'swarming things'. From Accadian one may cite zizānu 'reptiles', and from Syriac ḥemrā 'asses' (sing. ḥəmārā), quryā 'cities' (sing. qərīθā).

§ 195. In Arabic and Ethiopic the 'broken plural' is developed to such degree that it usurps in great measure the true plural of distribution. In other words, the original concept of a collective singular has here tended increasingly to disappear, its primary connotation being replaced by a pluralistic and distributive force.¹

§ 196. The dual denotes two beings or things, as Acc. 'idān, Hebyāðayim, Bib. Aram. yəδayin, Syr. 'iðayyā, Arab. yadāni, Eth. 'edē' 'two hands'. Except in Arabic, the dual tends to disappear in favour of the plural² and to be used, where it survives at all, to denote objects which occur normally only in pairs, as Acc. 'uznān 'two ears', 'inān 'two eyes', šaptān 'two lips', birkān 'two knees' (Heb. 'oznayim, 'ēynayim, śəφāθayim, birkayim), Heb. šənayim 'two', kappayim 'two palms of the hands or soles of the feet', raγlayim 'two feet', nəḥuštayim 'brazen fetters', na'ălayim 'pair of sandals', qarnayim 'two horns', kənāφayim 'two wings', məṣiltayim 'cymbals', melqāḥayim 'tongs, snuffers', mōzənayim 'balance' (§ 44); Syr. saθeyn 'two se'ahs' (Heb. sāθayim), təreyn 'two', maθeyn 'two hundred' (Heb. māθayim), 'esrīn 'twenty' (Acc. 'ešrā, Heb. 'eśrīm, Arab. 'išrūna, Eth. 'ešrā, P-S *'iśrā-, dual of *'aśr- 'ten'); Eth. haq''ē 'two hips', dēdē 'door' (

Wright, Grammar, i, §§ 304-6. I-E knows the same phenomenon of a collective singular with plural force, as Gk. ῶς φάσαν ἡ πληθύς (Iliad ii, 278), Lat. omnis Graecia... decoravere (Cato apud Aulus Gellius III, vii, 19), Goth. setun bi ina managei 'ἐκάθητο περὶ αὐτὸν ὅχλος' (Mark iii, 32), Eng. His Majesty's Government are.

² The same statement holds true of I-E, cf. A. Cuny, Le Nombre duel en Grec, pp. 67 sqq., Paris, 1906.

*daddē (*daltē (Heb. dəlāθayim, i.e. the two leaves of the door). The dual may even be used in collections of pairs, as Heb. kol birkayim 'all knees', šēš kənāφayim 'six wings', šib'āḥ 'ēynayim 'seven eyes'.

§ 197. The plural denotes more than two beings or things regarded, primarily, as taken distributively, collectivity being expressed originally, as noted in §§ 192, 193, 195, by the singular, as Arab. 'abdūna 'slaves' individually ('servi') as contrasted with 'abīdu'' 'slaves' collectively ('servitium'), e.g. Acc. rīšū 'heads' (Heb. rāšīm), 'ilū, 'ilāni 'gods', ṣalmānu 'pictures'; Heb. ṣaddīqīm 'righteous men', zəχārīm 'males', kəsāφīm 'pieces of silver'; Syr. talmīδīn 'disciples', 'īδīn 'festivals'; Arab. sāriqūna 'thieves', 'alamūna 'worlds'; Eth. qasīsān 'priests'.

§ 198. The plural may also be expressed by reduplication, as Hebrew p̄ιφiyyōθ 'cutting edges' beside piyyōθ, mēmē 'water' beside mayim; Syr. ḥaδḥəδānē 'certain ones', daqdəqē 'little ones'; Arab. ✓ qasāqisatu* 'priests'.

D. DECLENSION

[VG i, §§ 245-8, ii, §§ 30-4, 154-73, 184-8, 197-212, 225-9; KVG §§ 117-19; P §§ 170-9; Z §§ 56-7; W pp. 139-52; O §§ 126-32; B pp. 14-15, 19; B-L i, §§ 64-65; G §§ 89-91, 125-30.]

§ 199. The Semitic languages, as exemplified by Early Accadian and Classical Arabic, possessed three cases, nominative, genitive ('adnominal'), and accusative, characterised by -u, -i, and -a respectively, as Acc. šarru, šarri, šarra 'rex, regis, regem', Arab. 'abdu, 'abdi, 'abda 'servus, servi, servum'.

§ 200. The dual inserts -a- before the case-ending, which, unless followed by another word, is extended by adding -ni; and the plural is formed by lengthening the case-ending of the singular, with an extension, unless followed by another word, in -na (§ 213). The origin of all these inflexions is wholly obscure (for attempted explanations cf., eg., VG i, §§ 245 a, 244, 242; B-L i, §§ 65 b, 63 c, e, h).

§ 201. The Proto-Semitic system of case-endings would seem to
have been:

	Sing.	Dual	Plur.
Nom.	-14	$-a$ - $u(ni)$ \rangle $-au(ni)$	$-ar{u}(na)$
Gen.	-i	$-a$ - $i(ni)$ \rangle $-ai(ni)$	$-ar{\imath}(na)$
Acc.	-a	-a-a (ni) \rangle - $\tilde{a}(ni)$	$-\bar{a}(na)$

¹ Heb. plurals of the type of kəsāφīm, səφārīm 'books', qŏδāšīm 'sanctuaries' from 'səγōlate' singulars keseφ, sēφer, qōδeš (cf. §§ 121-4) instead of *kaspīm, *siprīm, *qudšīm seem due rather to the analogy of dəβārīm, etc., than to be 'broken plurals' of the types *katab-, *kitab-, *kutab-.

§ 202. In the feminine, the system is somewhat different (cf. § 180):

	Sing.	Dual	Plur.
Nom.	-at-u	$-at-a-u(ni)$ \rangle $-atau(ni)$	-āt-u
Gen.	-at-i	$-at-a-i(ni)$ \rangle $-atai(ni)$	$-\bar{a}t$ - i
Acc.	-at-a	$-at-a-a(ni)$ \rangle $-at\bar{a}(ni)$	−āt−a

§ 203. In the historical period this scheme is profoundly modified in the masculine (for the feminine see § 189). As regards the singular, only Early Accadian and Classical Arabic have retained the old differentiation of cases. In later Accadian the case-endings indeed survive, but in utter confusion; in Hebrew, Aramaic, and Ethiopic they appear (save for certain exceptions discussed in §§ 217-18, 220, 222) only in the singular before affixed pronouns with no seeming consciousness of their original values, with the result that the singular shows but one form in the majority of Semitic languages.

§ 204. In the dual the primitive nominative seems to have disappeared, and to have been replaced in Accadian and Arabic by the accusative, while the other dialects, including Modern Arabic, have extended the genitive to include the old nominative and accusative.

§ 205. In the plural, Accadian and Classical Arabic have retained the nominative, but have made the genitive a general oblique plural case; Ethiopic employs the accusative as the general plural; Hebrew, Aramaic, and Modern Arabic make the Proto-Semitic genitive do duty for all three original cases.

§ 206. The case-endings of the chief Semitic languages may be tabulated as follows for the masculine:

	Acc.	Heb.	Aram.	Arab.	Eth.
Nom. Sing	gu		<u> </u>	-u	
Gen. "	-i	100 M	55	-i	-
Acc. "	-a	-		-a	-
Nom. Dua	al $-\bar{a}(n)$	(($-ar{a}(ni)$	(
Gen. "	$\left\{ -ar{e}(n) \right\}$	$\langle -ayim \rangle$	{-eyn, -ayir	$n, -\bar{n}$	\
Acc. "	$\int_{-e(n)}$	(-ayin), -a	$\left\{ _{-eyn,\;-ayin} ight.$	$\int_{-ay}^{-ay} ni$	' (
Nom. Plu	rū, -ān	u (($-ar{u}(na)$	(
Gen. "	S and	$ \bar{e} \begin{cases} -\bar{\imath}m \ (-\bar{\imath}n), \end{cases} $	$-\bar{e}y\langle -\bar{i}n, -ay$	$\begin{cases} -\bar{\imath}(na) \end{cases}$	$\langle -\bar{a}n \rangle$
Acc. "	$)^{-ani}$	e (($\int_{-i(na)}^{-i(na)}$	(

§ 207. The reason for -m- in the Hebrew (also Phoenician and Amarna Tablets) dual and plural instead of -n-, as in the other

Semitic dialects, is uncertain. It may be due to the analogy of the second person perfect masculine plural of the verb (e.g. Heb. $k \ni \theta a \beta tem$, Arab. katabtum), or of the masculine plural pronouns of the second and third persons (e.g. Heb. 'attem, -kem, $h \bar{e}m$, -hem; Arab. 'antum[u], -kum[u], hum[u]); or m and m may be of independent origin, but similar or identical in meaning.

§ 208. The older ending -ayin, $-\bar{\imath}n$ is also found (in addition to frequent Aramaisms in later portions of the Old Testament) in Heb. $midd\bar{\imath}n$ 'carpets', $\bar{\wp}i\bar{\delta}\bar{o}n\bar{\imath}n$ 'Sidonians', $r\bar{a}\bar{\wp}\bar{\imath}n$ 'runners', etc. (cf. Meša Inscription MLKN 'kings', etc.).

§ 209. The $-\bar{e}$ -y of the Hebrew construct plural (§§ 212, 214) is probably due to analogy with the dual.

§ 210. The direct object is frequently indicated, especially when some obscurity might otherwise be caused or (particularly in Arabic) in connexion with prepositions, by a particle which appears in the following forms: Acc. $y\bar{a}ti$, Heb. ' $\bar{e}\theta$ (with nouns), ' $\bar{o}\theta$ (with pronouns), Punic yth, Syr. $y\bar{a}\theta\bar{a}$ (cf. $l\bar{e}y\bar{a}\theta\bar{e}h$ 'sibi ipsi'), Arab. ' $l\bar{e}y\bar{a}$, Eth. $kl\bar{e}y\bar{a}$. The origin of this particle is much disputed. According to Praetorius (ZDMG lv [1901], 369-70), the basal form *' $\bar{a}ti$ \rangle *' $\bar{a}t$ \rangle Heb. ' $\bar{o}\theta$, whereas in a closed syllable *' $\bar{a}ti$ \rangle *'at \

§ 211. Apparently 'ōθ (*āųāḥ and 'ĕθ (*āįāḥ stand to each other in the same relation as Heb. dūš, dīš 'tread, thresh', kūr, kīr 'furnace', śūm, śīm 'put, place', hūm, hīm 'make noise' (§ 404), etc. They both seem to be cognate with Syr. yāθā 'essence, being, self', with a weakening of meaning first to a demonstrative (cf. §§ 241-6 and the development of Heb. neφeš 'soul') 'ipse'), and then to a mere accusatival sign analogous to the use of Bib. Aram. dī, Syr. də, Acc. ša 'that' as a genitival exponent (e.g. Bib. Aram. šəmēh dī-'ĕlāhā 'his name, that of God') 'the name of God'). Like Heb. 'ăšer (cf. § 247), these words are 'empty', i.e. originally semantemes possessed of a complete signification of their own, they have become simply morphemes, quasi-inflexional particles.¹

§ 212. Semitic nouns (and adjectives) show a twofold mode of inflexion according to whether (a) the noun (or adjective) in question is unlimited by another noun or by a pronoun ('casus absolutus'), or

¹ Cf. VG i, § 106; ii, §§ 212, 215 (for the use of də, etc., §§ 164-7). For a discussion of 'full' and 'empty' words—a terminology borrowed from Chinese grammar—cf. Vendryes, Langage 98-100, 196-203 (Eng. transl. 83-4, 164-70).

whether (b) it is so limited ('casus constructus'). When thus limited, the construct loses its accent in favour of the limiting noun and has only a secondary accent (see § 77), the complex of limited and limiting word becoming, in reality, a quasi-compound, e.g. Heb. 'iššāḥ tōβāḥ 'a good woman', 'iššāḥ tōβaθ lēβāβ 'a woman good as to heart, a good-hearted woman', dāβār 'a word', dəβar han-nāβī 'the word of the prophet, the prophet-word', meleχ 'king', malkī 'my king'.

- § 213. Only the dual and plural, however, show a difference of endings between the absolute and construct. The absolute shows -ni in the masculine and feminine dual, and -na in the masculine plural, which do not appear in the construct (§ 200). The general evidence of linguistics would imply that the longer form, the absolute, was the earlier.
- § 214. The difference between the absolute and construct cases in the historic Sem. languages may be tabulated as shown on page 58.
- § 215. Proto-Semitic distinguished in the singular (and feminine plural) between an indeterminate and a determinate noun by appending to the former -m (-n in Arab.), as Acc. šarrum: šarr, Arab. malikun: maliku 'a king: a particular king'.¹ This 'mimation' is perhaps present in a few words in other Semitic languages, as Heb. ḥinnām 'freely', rēyqām 'emptily', 'umnām, 'omnām 'verily', yōmām (Syr. 'īmāmā) 'by day', šilšōm 'day before yesterday', piθ'ōm 'suddenly', Eth. gēšam 'tomorrow'.
- § 216. As already noted (§ 203), the case-endings of the singular have disappeared in Hebrew, Aramaic, (Modern Arabic,) and Ethiopic, except for a few survivals, particularly in connexion with personal pronouns affixed to the noun and the verb (§§ 221, 367-8, 373-4).
- § 217. The accusative singular survives in Hebrew and Judaeo-Aramaic in the sense of (a) 'place toward which' and (b) 'place where', as Heb. 'arṣāḥ 'earthwards', Bāβelāḥ 'to Babylon', miδbārāḥ 'toward (at) the desert', ṣāφōnāḥ 'northward', ham-mizbēḥāḥ 'on the altar', and even, by analogy, in the plural, as šāmaymāḥ 'heavenward', Kaśdīmāḥ 'unto the Chaldaeans', miy-yāmīm yāmīmāḥ 'from time to time', and in the construct, as mizrəḥāḥ haš-šemeš 'toward the sunrising, toward the east'; Judaeo-Aram. taḥtāḥ 'beneath', tammāḥ 'there'.
- § 218. In a very few words (all proper names) the old nominative and genitive case-endings seem to have survived in Hebrew,
- ¹ Whether this 'mimation' is derived from $m\bar{a}$ 'some' (cf. § 252), as is supposed by VG i, § 246 C, a; B-L i, § 65 y, seems very uncertain.
 - ² The same usage is found in I-E; cf. Brugmann, Grundriss, II, ii, § 525.

ABSOLUTE AND CONSTRUCT

	Acc.	$\mathbf{Heb}.$	Syr.	Arab.	Eth.
Abs. sing. masc.	katbu	kāθāβ	kəθab	katabu(n)	}katab
Const. ""	katab	kəθaβ	kəθaβ	katabu	
Abs. " fem.	katabtu	kəθāβā <u>ħ</u>	kaθbā	katabatu(n)	$\}$ katabat
Const. " "	katbat	kiθəβaθ	kaθbaθ	$katabat\underline{u}$	
Abs. dual masc.	(katbān	kəθāβayim	(kaθbeyn	katabāni	$\left. iggr\} (katabar{a}$
Const. ""	katbā	kiθəβā	kaθbey	katabā	
Abs. " fem.	$katb[t]\bar{a}n$	*kiθəβāθayim	*kaθəβteyn	katabatāni	$\}$ katabatā
Const. " "	$katb[t]\bar{a})$	*kiθəβəθēy	kaθəβtey)	katabatā	
Abs. plur. masc. Const. ""	katbāni katbā	kэθāβīт kiθəβēy	$ka heta bar{\imath}n$ $ka heta bay$	katabūna katabū	}katabān
Abs. " fem. Const. " "	katbāti katbāt	$k eg \theta \bar{a} eta \bar{o} \theta$ $k i \theta^e eta \bar{o} \theta$	kaθbān kaθbāθ	katabātu(n) katabātu	}katabāt

ENDINGS BEFORE PERSONAL PRONOUNS

		Heb.	Syr.	Egypt. Arab.	Eth.	P-S
Sing.	ıst com.	$malk\bar{\imath}$	$malk(\overline{\imath})$	malki	negūšeya	malki-ja
"	2nd masc.	$malk u \chi ar{a}$	malk $ ilde{a}\chi$	malkak	negūšeka	malka-kā, malki-kā
"	2nd fem.	$malk ar{e}_{oldsymbol{\chi}}$	$malke\chi(i)$	malkik	negūšekī	malki-kt
"	3rd masc.	malkō, malkēhū	malkeh	malkoh	negūšū (*negūšehū	malka-hū, malki-hū
"	3rd fem.	malkāh, malkehā	malkāh	malk(1)ha	negūša (*negūšehā	malka-šā, malki-šā
Plur.	1st com.	$malkar{e}nar{u}$	$malkar{a}n(ar{a})$	malkĭna	negūšenā	malka-nā, malki-nā
"	2nd masc.	$malk \ni \chi em$	$malk arrow \chi ar{o}n$	malkikum	negüšekemü	malka-kumű, malki-kumű
"	2nd fem.	$malk u \chi en$	$malk \ni \chi eyn$	malki kum	negūšeken	malka-kinnā, malki-kinnā
"	3rd masc.	$malk\bar{a}m$	malkəhön	malkĭhum	negūšōmū (*negūšehōm	malka-humā ū
"	3rd fem.	$malkar{a}n$	malkəheyn	malkĭhum	negūšān (*negūšehān	malka-šinnā

§ 219. In connexion with these first components one must note that throughout Semitic their pre-forms have their singular case-endings in long (not short) vowels: *'abū, *'aḥū, *ḥamū, *pū, etc., apparently to make the very rare monosyllabic base (§ 99) conform to the usual disyllabic type.

§ 220. In such Hebrew constructions as the $w\bar{a}w$ and $y\bar{o}\delta$ compaginis, e.g. $b\ni n\bar{o}$ $Sipp\bar{o}r$ 'son of $Sipp\bar{o}r$ ' (later, when the original meaning of the \bar{o} was forgotten, used with the feminine, as $hay\theta\bar{o}$ -'ereş 'beast of earth', $ma'y\ni n\bar{o}-m\bar{a}yim$ 'spring of water'), $\delta\bar{o}\chi\ni n\bar{i}$ səneh 'dweller in a thorn-bush', $b\ni n\bar{i}$ ' $a\theta\bar{o}n\bar{o}$ 'his ass's colt' (also with the feminine, as 'al-di $\beta r\bar{a}\theta\bar{i}$ malk \bar{i} - $\delta e\bar{o}eq$ 'after the order of Melchizedek'), the \bar{o} and \bar{i} probably represent pronouns of the 3rd singular masculine and feminine (§ 236), \bar{i} here being dialectic in Hebrew (cf. Phoen. 'abd \bar{i} 'his servant').

§ 221. Before affixed personal pronouns the old case-endings of the singular may survive in Hebrew, Aramaic, Egyptian (also Syro-Palestinian) Arabic, and Ethiopic, as given in the table on page 50.1

In the dual and plural, the construct ending is employed throughout.

§ 222. Besides the cases already considered, Proto-Semitic apparently had a locative in -ā, of which traces survive in Acc. šēpū'a 'at my feet, rittū'a 'in my fingers', Syr. kaddū 'sufficiently, enough', Arab. fawqu 'above', taḥtu (Eth. tāḥtū) 'beneath', qablu 'previously', ba'du 'afterward', Eth. la'lū 'above', qadīmū 'aforetime', dā'emū 'however,' and perhaps, with 'mimation' (§ 215), Heb. šilšōm 'day before yesterday', piθ'ōm 'suddenly' (cf. Eth. temālem 'yesterday), without it yaḥdāw 'together'.²

¹ B-L i, §§ 29 f'-h'; 65 c-d, deny that these are case-endings, regarding them, rather, as svarabhakti-vowels introduced for phonetic reasons. For the Proto-Semitic forms of the affixed pronouns see §§ 236, 240.

² So VG i, § 245 h, $\delta\delta$; B-L i, § 65 z-b', however, regard the first two Hebrew words as dialectic for $-\bar{a}m$ (affixed pronoun of the 3rd plural masculine), and the third as a plural with an affixed pronoun of the 3rd singular masculine.

CHAPTER V

PRONOUNS

§ 223. Pronouns form a category distinct from those of nouns and verbs in that their bases are wholly unlike those which may develop into either nouns or verbs (§ 87); and, unlike nouns and verbs, they are uninflected in Semitic. Whether the pronouns have been evolved from exclamatory particles, as is sometimes maintained, seems highly doubtful; but it would appear that they served as inflexional prefixes and suffixes for the verb (§ 239).

A. Personal Pronouns

§ 224. In Semitic, personal pronouns assume different forms according to their employment either (a) as independent (used only as subjects), or (b) as affixed as enclitics (in genitival relation to nouns [§ 221] or in objectival relation to verbs [§§367-8, 373-4] and certain particles). The two classes of independent and affixed pronouns have scarcely any etymological connexions except in the third persons, which were originally demonstrative (§ 246), not personal, in character.

1. Independent Personal Pronouns

[VG i, § 104, ii, §§ 149-53; KVG § 66; P § 150; Z §§ 27-8; W pp. 98-106; O §§ 76-80; B pp. 7-8; B-L i, § 28; G § 32.]

§ 225. Historically the independent pronouns appear in the chief Semitic languages, together with their implied forms in Proto-Semitic, as shown on page 62.

§ 226. Dual pronouns appear only in Classical (not Modern) Arabic, where they show no distinctions of gender and seem to be secondary formations from the plural (2nd com. 'antumā, 3rd com. $hum\bar{a}$).

§ 227. For Hebrew the following developments from the Proto-Semitic forms deserve consideration. 1st sing. com.: P-S *'anā > 'ănī with ă instead of *ā probably through Aramaic influence, and with $\bar{\imath}$ by analogy with the affixed form -(n) $\bar{\imath}$ (§ 236); in the older form 'ānō $\chi\bar{\imath}$ (*'anākū, * \bar{u}) $\bar{\imath}$ by like analogy.

§ 228. 2nd sing. masc.: 'att (*'antā appears thrice in the Bible.

§ 229. 2nd sing. fem.: the older form 'attī (*'antī appears seven times in $K \ni \theta \bar{\imath} \beta$.

§ 230. 3rd sing. and plur. fem.: as in Aramaic and Arabic, P-S initial š has been replaced, according to the conventional explanation,

INDEPENDENT PERSONAL PRONOUNS

		Acc.	Heb.	Syr.	Arab.	Eth.	P-8
Sing.	ıst com.	'anāku	'ānōχī, 'ănī	'enā	'anā	'ana	'an-ā(kŭ)
"	2nd masc.	'atta	'attāh, 'att	'att (Bib. Aram. 'antā)	'anta	'anta	'an-t-ā
"	2nd fem.	'atti	$'att(\bar{\imath})$	$'att(\bar{\imath})$	'anti	'antī	'an-t-t
"	3rd masc.	šū('atū)	$h\bar{u}'$	hū	huwa	we'etū	h-ū-'a
"	3rd fem.	$\S{\bar\imath}(at{\bar\imath})$	hī'	hī	hiya	ye'etī	š-ī-'a
Plur.	ıst com.	('ă)nīnu, ('a)nīni	('ă)naḥnū (Miš. 'ănū)	('ana)ḥnan (Bib. Aram. 'ănaḥnā, Miš. 'ănan)	naḥnu (Egypt. iḥna, Trip. ḥne)	neḥna	naḥ-nū
"	2nd masc.	'attunu	'attem	'atton (Bib. Aram. 'antūn)	$`antum(ar{u})$	'antemmū	'an-t-um-ŭ
"	2nd fem.	'attina	$att\bar{e}n(\bar{a}h)$	'atteyn	'antunna	'anten	'an-t-inn-ā
"	3rd masc.	$\check{s}un(u)$	$(h)\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}\underline{h})$	hennön (Bib. 'Aram. himmö[n	$hum(\bar{u})$	'emūntū (we'etōmū)	h-um-ũ
"	3rd fem.	$\check{s}in(a)$	$(h)\bar{e}n(n\bar{a}\underline{h})$	henneyn (Bib. Aram. 'innīn)	hunna	'emāntū (we'etōn)	š-inn -ā

by h through analogy with the masculine, whereas in Accadian the masculine h has been replaced by δ through analogy with the feminine.

§ 231. 1st plur. com.: the older form $nahn\bar{u}$ occurs only five times, being generally transformed to 'ănahnū by analogy with the sing. 'ănī.

§ 232. 2nd plur. masc.: 'attem \langle *'antim \bar{u} \langle *antum \bar{u} owes its e (instead of \bar{o} , \bar{u} , as in Aramaic) to analogy with the fem.

§ 233. 2nd plur. fem.: 'attēn (only once) and 'attēnāḥ (four times) are evolved from *'antinna and *'antinnā respectively.

§ 234. 3rd plur. masc.: as in the corresponding pronoun of the 2nd plur., $h\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}h)$ owes its vocalism to the corresponding feminine.

§ 235. 3rd plur. fem.: $h\bar{e}n$ and $h\bar{e}nn\bar{a}h$ (for the initial h see § 230) are regarded as evolved from *šinna and *šinnā respectively.

2. Affixed Personal Pronouns

[VG i, §§ 105-6, ii, §§ 174-83, 213-15; KVG § 67; P § 151; Z § 29; W pp. 94-8; O §§ 81-6; B p. 8; B-L i, § 29; G § 33.]

§ 236. Historically the affixed pronouns appear in the chief Semitic languages, together with their implied forms in Proto-Semitic, as shown on page 64.

§ 237. For Hebrew the following developments from the Proto-Semitic forms deserve consideration. The substitution of h for \check{s} in the 3rd sing, and plur, fem., like the \check{e} of the 2nd and 3rd plur, masc., is due to the same analogy as that found in the corresponding independent forms (§§ 230, 232, 234), while $-n\bar{u}$ instead of *- $n\bar{a}$ in the 1st plur, com. is the result of analogy with the corresponding independent form (§ 231).

§ 238. In the 1st sing. com., *-(i)ia is the affixed form employed with nouns and particles, and *-niia that used with verbs. The -n-of the latter has been explained as parasitic (cf. § 64, and Heb. $k\bar{a}m\bar{o}n\bar{a}$ 'like me', $k\bar{a}m\bar{o}n\bar{a}$ 'like us'), but is more probably based on analogy with the plur. *-nā.

§ 239. The following table shows the relations of the true personal pronouns (i.e. of the first and second persons) to the personal

It seems more probable, however, that Proto-Semitic originally had two distinct bases for this number, one in \S and the other in h, of which Accadian chose the former, and all the rest the latter, while Mahrī retains both (he 'he', se 'she'; plurals hem, sen). For this hypothesis the author is indebted to the acute suggestion of his pupil Dr. Isaac Mendelsohn that Proto-Semitic $h\bar{u}'a$, $\bar{u}'a$, $\bar{u}'a$, etc., are really composite formations from $h-\bar{u}'a$, $\bar{u}'a$, etc., he being identical with the demonstrative $h\bar{u}$ (\S 245), and \S appearing in Heb. \S (late), which is composed of the demonstrative $\bar{u}'a$, and \S appearing in Heb. \S (late), which is composed of the demonstrative $\bar{u}'a$, and \S appearing in Heb. \S (late), which is composed of the demonstrative $\bar{u}'a$, and $\bar{u}'a$ for the masculine, and $\bar{u}'a$ for the feminine.

AFFIXED PERSONAL PRONOUNS

	Acc.	Heb.	Syr.	Arab.	Eth.	P-S
Sing. 1st com.	- ya , - $\bar{\imath}$, - $n\bar{\imath}$	$-\bar{\imath}$, $-n\bar{\imath}$	$-(\overline{\imath})$, $-n(\overline{\imath})$	$-(i)ya$, $-\bar{\imath}$, $-n\bar{\imath}$	-ya, -nī	-(i)ja, -nija
" 2nd masc.	-ku	$-\chi \bar{a}$	$-(\bar{a})\chi$	-ka	-ka	-k-ā
" 2nd fem.	-ki	-x	$-(\bar{e})\chi(\bar{\imath})$	-ki	-kī	-k-t
" 3rd masc.	-šū	$-(h)\bar{u}$, $-\bar{o}(h)$	$-(u)h\bar{\imath}$, $-eh$	-hu	- $h\bar{u}$, - \bar{o}	$-h$ - \bar{u}
" 3rd fem.	-šā, -ši	- $har{a}$, - $ar{a}h$	$-ar{a}h$	-hā	$-(h)\bar{a}$	-š-ā
Plur. 1st com.	-ni, $-nu$	$-nar{u}$	-a(n)	$-n\bar{a}$	-na	-nā
" 2nd masc.	-kunu	$-\chi em$	$-\chi \bar{o}n$	$-kum(\tilde{u})$	$-kemmar{u}$	$-k$ - um - \tilde{u}
" 2nd fem.	-kina	$-\chi en(\bar{a}\underline{h})$	$-\chi eyn$	-kunna	-ken	-k-inn-ă
" 3rd masc.	-šunu, -šun- ūti, -šun-ūši	-hem, - $\bar{a}m(\bar{o})$, - $\bar{e}m\bar{o}$	-hōn	$-hum(\bar{u})$	$-(h)\bar{o}m\bar{u}$	-h-um-ŭ
" 3rd fem.	-šina, -šin-ātu, -šin-āšim	-hēn, -ān, -hēnnāḥ	-heyn	-hunna	$-(h)\bar{o}n$	-š-inn-ā

affixes of the perfect ('telic') and imperfect ('atelic') 'tenses' of the verb in Proto-Semitic (cf. §§ 362, 376, 371 [p. 96]):

		Independent	Affixed	Perfect	Imperfect
Sing.	1st com.	'an- $\bar{a}(kar{u})$	-(i)ia, $-niia$	$-k\bar{u}$	'a-
"	2nd masc.	'an-t-ă	-k-ă	-t-a	t-
"	2nd fem.	'an-t-#	-k-t	$-t$ - $\bar{\iota}$	t-
Plur.	1st com.	naḥ-nŭ	-nā	- $nar{a}$	n-
"	2nd masc.	'an-t-um-ŭ	$-k$ - um - \tilde{u}	-t-um-ă	t-
"	2nd fem.	'an-t-inna-ă	-k-inn-ă	-t-inn-å	t-

§ 240. Because of the evidence of the verb, the suggestion has been made, with some plausibility, that the earliest Proto-Semitic forms of the personal pronouns were: sing. 1st com. *' $a(k\tilde{u})$, plur. * $n\tilde{a}$; sing. and plur. 2nd com. * $t\tilde{a}$; 3rd com. * $i\tilde{a}$.

B. Demonstrative Pronouns and the Article [VG i, § 107, ii, §§ 38-41; KVG § 68; P §§ 152-6; Z §§ 30-2; W pp. 106-15; O §§ 87-97; B p. 8; B-L i, §§ 30-1; G §§ 34-5, 126, 136.]

§ 241. The only demonstrative pronouns widely represented in Semitic are, for the sing., P-S * $\delta \bar{\imath}$, * $\delta \bar{a}$, which seems originally to have had no distinction of gender (cf. Arab. $d\bar{a}$ masc., $d\bar{\imath}$ fem., as contrasted with Heb. $z\bar{o}\theta$ fem., zeh masc.); and for the plur., P-S *'illa, *'illai, *'ul(l)a.

§ 242. Historically, these are represented as follows: sing.: Heb. ze h (masc.) $\langle *\delta \bar{\imath}, z\bar{o}$ (fem.) $\langle *\delta \bar{a}; Talm. Aram. d\bar{e}(n)$ (masc.) $\langle *\delta \bar{\imath}, d\bar{a}$ (fem.) $\langle *\delta \bar{a}; Arab. d\bar{a}$ (masc.), $d\bar{t}, t\bar{t}, t\bar{a}$ (fem.); Eth. $z\bar{e}$ (masc.), $z\bar{a}$ (fem.); plur.: Heb. ' $\bar{e}l$ $\langle *'illa$ beside ' $\bar{e}lleh$ $\langle *'illa\hat{\imath}; Bib. Aram. 'ill\bar{e}n$ (epicene); Arab. 'ula(y) $\langle *'ul(l)a$ (epicene); Eth. 'ell \bar{u} (masc.), 'ell \bar{a} (fem.) $\langle *'illa;$ cf. Acc. 'ull \bar{u} 'is'.

§ 243. These early forms of the demonstrative pronouns were subsequently affected to some degree by the nominal declension. In the singular, *δī, being regarded as a gen., was supplemented in part by a nom. *δū (poetic Heb. masc. zū, Arab. dū, dī, dā masc., dātu, dāti, dāta fem.; Heb. zōθ fem.); and the plural shows Bib. Aram. 'illēn, Arab. 'ulū, 'ulī masc., 'ulātu, 'ulāti fem.

§ 244. Heb. zeh and zō occasionally serve for relative pronouns (§ 247; cf. also Eth. sing. za relative, zĕ demonstrative; plur. 'ella relative, 'ellū demonstrative), and another interchange of the two categories is seen in Arab. 'alladī 'who' (fem. 'allatī, plur. 'al'ulā) as contrasted with Heb. masc. hallāz(eḥ) (*hallað (*hā-'allað, fem. hallēzū probably (*halliðū (*hā-'alliðū.

§ 245. The element *hā just mentioned is not only found in such words as Arab. $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ 'this', $h\bar{a}kah\bar{a}$ 'accipe', Syr. $h\bar{a}\delta\bar{a}$, $h\bar{a}leyn$ 'this, these', $h\bar{a}w$, $h\bar{a}n\bar{o}n$ 'is, ei', etc., but serves in Hebrew, Phoenician, and the inscriptions of Ṣafā, Liḥyā, and Tamūd as a prefixed article, while in Aramaic it is affixed for the same purpose (e.g. Heb. $hammele\chi$ 'the king'; Phoen. HRBT = har-rabbat [?] 'the great lady'; Syr. $malk\bar{a}$ 'the king': $m\bar{o}le\chi$ 'king'). In Heb. $h\bar{a}$ \() ha with gemination before the initial consonant of a following word (§ 59); but this gemination drops, often with compensatory lengthening, before pharyngals and r (e.g. * $h\bar{a}$ - $mele\chi$) ham- $mele\chi$, but $h\bar{a}$ -' $\bar{e}m$ 'the mother', $h\bar{a}$ - $r\bar{a}q\bar{a}q$ ' 'the firmament', $h\bar{a}$ - $h\bar{a}r$ 'the mountain').

§ 246. The pronouns used to denote the third persons were originally demonstratives, and clear survivals of this still appear in Accadian, Hebrew, and Syriac, as Acc. 'alu šū 'this city', narkabtu šī 'this chariot'; Heb. hu' had-dāβār 'this (is) the word', hay-yōm ha-hū' 'that day', bay-yāmīm ha-hēmmāḥ 'in those days', Syr. hī saybārəθeḥ 'that fasting of his'.

C. RELATIVE PRONOUNS

[VG i, § 109, ii, §§ 366-9, 375-9; KVG § 70; P § 157; Z § 33; W pp. 116-20; O §§ 98-101; B pp. 9-10; B-L i, § 32; G §§ 36, 138; C. Gaenssle, The Hebrew Particle

§ 247. While in Heb. zeħ and zō are occasionally employed as relatives (§ 244 and zū always, cf. Bib. Aram. dī, Egypt. Aram. [Elephantine Papyri] zī, Syr. də, Arab. alla-dī, Eth. za, etc.), any real pronoun of this category has been practically supplanted in all the earlier portion of the Old Testament by 'āšer, a noun which originally meant 'place' (Acc. 'ašru 'place' [cf. 'ašar 'in'], Syr. 'aθar 'place', Arab. 'aṭaru", Eth. 'ašar 'footstep, mark'), and which appears only in the construct (its ā may be due to Aram. influence).

§ 248. The nominal origin of 'ăšer explains the syntactic peculiarity of phrases containing it, as kol-remeś 'ăšer hū'-ḥay 'every moving thing that liveth', han-nāβī 'ăšer šəlāḥō YHWH 'the prophet whom YHWH hath sent', gōy 'ăšer ləšōnō 'a people whose tongue', 'ereş 'ăšer-šām haz-zāhāβ 'a land where there is gold', kol-ham-māqōm 'ăšer nāβō šāmmāḥ 'every place whither we shall come', hā-'ǎδāmāḥ 'ăšer luqqaḥ miš-šām 'the ground from whence he was taken'.²

¹ The same development is seen in Indo-European; see K. Brugmann, Die Demonstrativpronomina der indogermanischen Sprachen, pp. 16-17, 127-9, Leipzig, 1904.

² For I-E parallels cf. Mod. Gk. ol γυναῖκες ποῦ μ' ἐφώναξαν 'the women who called me', τὰ παιδιὰ ποῦ γνωρίζω τὴ μάννα τους 'the children whose mother I know'; Mod. West and Upper Germ. die Frau wo ich gesehen habe 'the woman whom I have seen'.

§ 249. The original nominal force of 'ăšer is seen in such passages as ba-'ăšer $k\bar{a}ra$ ' šām $n\bar{a}\varphi al$ 'where he bowed, there he fell', 'el-'ăšer $t\bar{e}l\partial\chi\bar{i}$ ' $\bar{e}l\bar{e}\chi$ 'whither thou goest, I will go'.

§ 250. In later portions of the Old Testament, however, as everywhere in the Mišnā, a real relative pronoun, originally only North Semitic, appears in šā, še, šə (Phoen. 'š, Pun. [Plautus] asse, esa, Acc. ša 'who'; cf. § 230, note).

D. Interrogative Pronouns

[VG i, §§ 110-11, ii, §§ 370-4; KVG §§ 71-2; P §§ 158-9; Z § 34; W pp. 120-5; O §§ 102-6; B p. 9; B-L i, § 33; G §§ 37, 137.]

- § 251. The Proto-Semitic interrogative pronoun was *mī, *mā, which, like the demonstrative *δī, *δā (§ 241), evidently had primarily no distinction of gender, although in Hebrew the two were specialised as animate (masc.-fem.) and inanimate respectively.
- § 252. The historic forms are as follows: *mī, Acc. mī intensive particle, mīnu 'what?', Heb. mī, Mod. Arab. mīn 'who(m)?', Eth. mī, ment 'what?'; *mā, Heb. māḥ (see § 59), Syr., Arab. mā 'what?', Eth. mā intensive particle, kamā 'how?', and, with various extensions, Acc., Eth. mannū, Syr. mān(ā), mōn, Arab. man 'who?', Heb. mazzeḥ ⟨ *mā-zeḥ, Targ. māδēn, Arab. mā dā 'what?'.
- § 253. Besides *mī, *mā, an interrogative particle *'ai (§ 279) also existed, as is evident from the interrogative adjectives Acc. 'ayyū, Miš. 'ēy-zeḥ, Syr. ay-nā (fem. 'ay-δā, plur. 'ay-leyn), Arab. 'ayyu*, Eth. 'ay 'which?' (cf. also Acc. 'ayka 'wherever', Heb. 'ayyēḥ 'where?', 'ayin 'whence?', Syr. 'aykā 'wherever', 'aykannā 'how?', Arab. 'ayna, Eth. 'aytē 'where?').
- § 254. Indefinite pronouns, strictly speaking, do not exist in Semitic.

CHAPTER VI

NUMERALS

[VG i, § 249, ii, §§ 189-96; KVG § 120; P §§ 180-6; Z 58; B p. 15; B-L i, § 79; G §§ 97-8, 134.]

A. CARDINALS

§ 255. In Semitic, the cardinals for 'one' and 'two' are adjectives; those from 'three' to 'ten' are abstract nouns (masculine and feminine absolute and construct) followed by the genitive plural of the persons or things numbered, but opposed in gender (i.e. if the noun is masculine, the numeral is feminine, and vice versa); in those from 'eleven' to 'nineteen' the digit precedes the decimal with a similar inversion of gender (i.e. if the persons or things numbered are masculine, the decimal is also masculine, but the digit is feminine); 'twenty' is originally the dual of 'ten' (§ 196), and the other decades are plurals of the digits; 'hundred' and 'thousand' are nouns of normal types.

§ 256. In counting, the usual order is that of decreasing sequence, e.g. 4675 = 4000 + 600 + 70 + 5; and distributives, except in Aramaic and Arabic, where nouns are employed (Bib. Aram. taltā, Arab. tiltā* 'every third'), are expressed by doubling the cardinal concerned, as Heb. $\delta i\beta' \bar{a}h \delta i\beta' \bar{a}h$ 'seven each', $\delta \bar{e}\delta w\bar{a} - \delta \bar{e}\delta$ 'six each'. Multiplicatives are indicated either by the masculine singular (implying the loss of a feminine noun like pa'am denoting 'times') or by the feminine dual, as Heb. $\delta e\beta a'$, $\delta i\beta' \bar{a}\theta ayim$ 'seven times'.

§ 257. The absolute forms of the digits are shown on page 69.

§ 258. 'One': Heb. 'eḥāð (*'aḥḥad- (cf. § 21, note 12) is properly the pausal form as contrasted with the const. form 'aḥað (*'aḥadu; 'aštēy is used solely in combination with 'āśār, 'eśrēḥ 'ten', and finds cognates only in Acc. 'ištēn and, probably, Qatabānian 'ŚTN. Eth. 'aḥattī is formed by analogy with the pronoun ye'etī 'she' (see § 225).

§ 259. 'Two': Heb. štayim ((*eštayim? cf. § 52) (*šətayim (once actually šəθēy) is for *šettayim (with the vocalism of the masc. šənayim) (*šittayim (*þintaj- (cf. G § 97 b, note 1; B-L i, § 79 c);

¹ The reason for this apparent inversion of gender is matter of debate. The best explanation seems to be given by Barth, who holds that the ending -tu of the 'feminine' numeral was originally neither masculine nor feminine (cf. Acc. šū'a-tū 'he', šī'a-tī 'she', Eth. we'e-tū 'he', ye'e-tī 'she', kel'ē-tū, kel'ē-tī 'two' [§§ 225, 259]), -t- being a demonstrative and determinant element (also found, it may be suggested, in the nomen unitatis [§ 185]). This became confused with the 'feminine sign' -t- (§§179, 188); and since a feminine thus seemed to govern a masculine, the ending of the numeral was dropped, by reverse analogy, before a feminine noun, so that a masculine would appear to govern a feminine. Primarily, the numeral seems in Semitic to have been neither a noun nor an adjective, but a distinct and separate category.

Digitized by Google

Original from	
HAIIVEDOTY OF MICHA	

ABSOLUTE FORMS OF THE DIGITS

	Acc.	Heb.	Syr.	Arab.	Eth.	P-S
1st masc.	'ēdu, 'ištēn	'eḥāô, 'aštēy	ḥaδ	'aḥadu"	'aḥadū	'aḥadu
" fem.	'ettu, 'ištēnit	'aḥaθ, 'aštēy	ḥəδā	'iḥdā'u"	'aḥattī	'aḥadtu
2nd masc.	šinā	šənayim	təreyn	iṯnāni	$(kel'\bar{e}[t\bar{u}])$	pinai
" fem.	šittā	štayim	tarteyn	itnatāni	(kel' ētī)	pintaj
3rd masc.	šalāšu	šālōš	$t ilde{a} \theta$	talātu"	šalās	þalāþu
" fem.	šalaštu	šəlōšā h	$t ilde{\partial} \bar{a}$	talātatu"	$šal\bar{a}st\bar{u}$	þalāþatu
4th masc.	'arba'u	'arba'	'arba'	'arba'u"	'arba'	'arba'u
" fem.	'irbittu	'arbā'āh	'arbə'ā	'arba'atu"	'arba'atu	'arba'atu
5th masc.	hamšu	hāmēš	hammeš	hamsu"	hames	hamišu
" fem.	hamištu	hămiššā <u>h</u>	hamšā	hamsatu*	hamestū	hamišatu
6th masc.	šeššu	šēš	šeθ	sittu"	sessu	šidbu
" fem.	šeššit	šiššā <u>h</u>	('e)štā	sittatu"	$sedest\bar{u}$	šidþatu
7th masc.	sibu	šeβa*	šəβa*	sab'u"	sab ' \bar{u}	šab'u (*sab'u
" fem.	sibittu	šiβ'āh	šaβ'ā	sab'atu"	sab'atū	šab'atu (*sab'atu
8th masc.	$sam\bar{a}nu$	šəmōneh	təmān ē	tamāni*	samānī	þamānī (*þamaniju
" fem.	samāntu	šəm önā h	təmānyā	tamāniyatu"	samānītū	þamānijatu .
oth masc.	tišu	tēša'	təša'	tis'u"	tes'ū	tiš'u
" fem.	tišit	tiš'āh	teš'ā	tis'atu"	tes'atū	tiš'atu
10th masc.	'ešru	'eśer, 'āśār	'əsar	$a\check{s}(a)ru^n$	`ašrū	$a\check{s}(a)ru$
" fem.	'ešertu,	'ăśārāh,	'esrā,	'ašaratu",	'ašartū	'ašar(a)tu,
7:5×7:074)	'ešrit	'eśrēh	'esrē	'ašrata	154,650,6750	`ašratu

the Syr. forms have a dissimilatory $r \langle n \ (treyn \langle *treyn \rangle (tenneyn \langle *tinten; cf. \S 40); the Eth. word is cognate with Acc. kilalī, Heb. kil'ayim 'two kinds', Arab. <math>kil\bar{a}(ni)$ 'both'.

§ 260. 'Three': in the fem. const., the abs. *palāpatu (Heb. šəlōšāħ) > *palāptu, whence Heb. const. šəlōšeθ.

§ 261. 'Five': the Heb. fem. abs. hāmiššāh instead of *ḥāmēšāh is influenced by analogy with the regular šiššāh 'six' (fem.), and its const. hāmēšeθ instead of the regular *ḥāmešeθ (*ḥamištu has ē instead of e through analogy with the regular masc. hāmēš; Syr. shows hammeš instead of *ḥəmeš through analogy with 'arba' 'four'.

§ 262. 'Six': from P-S *šidþu one would expect, by Philippi's Law (§ 21, note 3), Heb. *šāš (*šaš (cf. § 36), the actual form šēš being influenced by analogy with ḥāmēš 'five'; the fem. const. šēšeθ (*šidþatu has similarly been influenced by the corresponding form ḥāmēšeθ 'five'.

§ 263. 'Seven': the Acc. forms sibu, sibittu suggest that the earliest P-S had *sab'u, *sab'atu, their s being changed to š through analogy with P-S *šidbu, *šidbatu 'six'.

§ 264. 'Eight': Acc. samānu, samāntu, instead of *šamānu, šamāntu, have s instead of š () through analogy with sibu, sibittu 'seven'.

§ 265. 'Ten': except in Eth., this shows two forms, one for 'ten' only, and another for 'x+ten': (a) 'ten' masc.: P-S *ašru, Acc. 'ešru, Heb. 'eśer, Syr. 'əsar, Arab. 'ašru", Eth. 'ašrū; (b) 'x+ten' masc. P-S *'ašaru, Heb. 'āśār, Arab. 'ašaru"; (c) 'ten' fem.: P-S *'ašar(a)tu, Acc. 'ešertu, Heb. 'ăśārāḥ, Syr. 'esrā, Arab. 'ašaratu", Eth. 'ašartū; (d) 'x+ten': P-S *'ašratu, Acc. 'ešrit, Heb. 'eśrēḥ (probably borrowed from Aram.), Syr. 'esrē, Arab. 'ašrata.

§ 266. 'Eleven' to 'nineteen': for 'eleven', Heb. has the digit in the const. (masc. 'aḥaδ 'āśār, fem. 'aḥaθ 'eśrēḥ), as is shown (despite the ambiguity of 'aḥaδ and 'aḥaθ, which may be either abs. or const.) by masc. 'aštēy 'āśār, 'aštēy 'eśrēḥ; for 'twelve' either abs. or const. may be used (masc. šənēy[m] 'āśār, fem. štēy[m] 'eśrēḥ); from 'thirteen' to 'nineteen' the masc. has the digit in the const., as šəlōš 'eśrēḥ 'thirteen', while the fem. has the abs., as šəlōšāḥ 'āśār.

§ 267. The decades from 'twenty' to 'ninety' are uninflected absolutes. 'Twenty', as being 'two tens', was originally a dual *'iśrā, as is still the case in Accadian, South Arabic, and Ethiopic ('ešrā, 'išray, 'ešrā; see § 196), these languages forming the other decades analogously in the dual (šalāšā, talāṭay, šalāsā 'thirty', etc.), while elsewhere in Semitic the plural of the decades from 'thirty' on (Heb. šəlōšīm, Syr. təlāθīn, Arab. talāṭūna 'thirty', etc.) has pluralised the original dual 'twenty' (Heb. 'eśrīm, Syr. 'esrīn, Arab. 'išrūna').

B. ORDINALS

§ 268. Semitic has ordinals only from 'first' to 'tenth', after which cardinals alone are found, as is frequently the case even in the first decade to denote years and days, e.g. Heb. bi-šənaθ šālōš 'in the third year', ba-ḥāmiššāḥ la-ḥōδeš 'on the fifth (day) of the month'.

§ 269. As in I-E¹, the ordinal 'first' is not derived from the cardinal 'one', but from various noun-stems, as Heb. rīšōn by vocalic dissimilation from rōš 'head' (§ 42; cf. Acc. rēštū 'first': rēšu 'head'); Acc. maḥrū: maḥāru 'be in front'; Syr. qaδmāyā, Eth. qadāmī: Acc. qudmu 'front, former time', Heb. qeδem 'front, east', Syr. qəδem, Arab., Eth. qadama 'precede'; Arab. 'awwalu: Heb. 'ūlām 'porch'.

§ 270. The other ordinals are formed from the corresponding cardinals, but from varying bases. In Accadian they are based on *katub-, in Hebrew and Aramaic on *katūb-, and in Arabic and Ethiopic on *kātūb- (cf. §§ 118, 126, 132).

§ 271. The ordinals from 'second' to 'tenth' are as follows:

	*katub-	*katīb-		*kātib-	
	Acc.	Heb.	Syr.	Arab.	Eth.
'second'	šanū	šēnī	taryānā	$t\bar{a}ni^n$	$s\bar{a}n\bar{\imath}t$
'third'	šalšu	šəlīšī	t ə l i θ ā y ā	tālitu"	šales
'fourth'	ribu	$r \partial eta i$ ' $\bar{\imath}$	$r \partial \bar{\imath} \dot{a} y \bar{a}$	rābi'u"	$r\bar{a}be$ '
'fifth'	hanšu, haššu	<u>hămīšī</u>	<i>ḥəmīšāyā</i>	hāmisu"	hāmes
'sixth'	šiššu	šiššī	$\hat{s}\partial\bar{\theta}\bar{\imath}\theta\bar{a}y\bar{a}$	$s\bar{a}disu^n$	$s\bar{a}des$
'seventh'	$sibar{u}$	šəβī'ī	šəβī'āyā	sābi'u"	$s\bar{a}be$ '
'eighth'	samānu	šəmīnī	təmīnāyā	ţāminu*	$s\bar{a}min$
'ninth'	tešū	təšī'ī	təšī'āyā	tāsi'u"	tāse'
'tenth'	'ešru	'ăśīrī	'əsīrāyā	'āširu"	'āser

§ 272. For 'sixth' the regular Hebrew form would be $*\check{s}\flat\delta\bar{\imath}\check{s}\bar{\imath}$, but the actual form $\check{s}\check{\imath}\check{s}\check{\imath}$ has been modelled on the cardinal $\check{s}\check{e}\check{s}$, $\check{s}\check{\imath}\check{s}\check{a}h$; Arab. $s\bar{a}disu^n$ instead of $*s\bar{a}di\underline{t}u^n$ shows assimilation of \underline{t} to s because of the initial s (contrast the cardinal $sittu^n$ (P-S $*\check{s}id\flat u$).

C. Fractionals

§ 273. The normal Semitic type of fractional is *kutb- (see § 124), e.g. Acc. šuluštu 'one-third'; Heb. hōmeš, Syr. humšā, Arab. himsu" 'one-fifth' (in Ethiopic, however, this form is, rather, multiplicative, as šels 'triple'). Generally speaking, fractionals are expressed in Hebrew (as in Accadian) by ordinals.

¹ Brugmann, Grundriss II, ii, §§ 4, 47-8.

CHAPTER VII

PARTICLES

[VG i, §§ 250-5, ii, §§ 25 d, 34 e, 45 d, 156 b (adverbs); §§ 12, 56-9, 104-9, 276, 457-8 (command and prohibition); §§ 144, 160, 187-8, 235-67, 348-53, 413-18 (prepositions); §§ 285-90, 302-11, 394-412, 419-56, 464-5 (conjunctions); §§ 3, 7, 19 (interjections); KVG §§ 69, 73, 121-2; P § 187; Z § 59; O §§ 158-63; B pp. 16-17; B-L i, §§ 80-3; G §§ 99-105.]

§ 274. The term 'particles' includes, with far from scientific accuracy, those miscellaneous words which cannot obviously be grouped under the great categories of nouns, pronouns, and verbs. Here belong, notably, adverbs (including words of affirmation, negation, and interrogation), prepositions, conjunctions, and interjections. In most traceable instances these words are nominal or pronominal in origin, with a few derived from verbs; but very frequently the underlying noun has vanished as such even in the Proto-Semitic period, while, on the other hand, certain nouns have developed into particles in historic times.

A. ADVERBS

Adverbs of Non-nominal Origin

a. Relative

§ 275. With h- (cf. the demonstrative article *hā, § 245): Heb. hālōm, Arab. halumma 'hither' (cf. Syr. ləhal 'thither'); Heb. hēnnāḥ 'hither', Arab. hinnā 'here'; Heb. hēn, hinnēḥ 'voici' (without h-, Acc. 'enna, Syr. 'ēn, Arab. 'inna 'voici'); Heb. hā '-ne', hālō, 'nonne' (lit. '[is] that not [the case]?'), Arab. (h)al '-ne', and also Arab. hayya 'hither', Et. heya 'here'.

§ 276. With k-: Heb. kōḥ, kāχāḥ 'thus', Syr. ləχā 'thither', mekkā 'thence', Eth. kaḥa(kī) 'there'; Heb., Aram. kə, Arab. ka 'as'; Heb. kī 'that', Syr. kay 'therefore', Arab. kay 'in order that', Eth. kē 'therefore'; Heb. kēn, Acc. 'akanna, Syr. hāχannā 'so'; Heb. 'aχ, 'āχēn 'surely'; Heb. 'eyχ(āḥ), Acc. 'ayka, 'ēka, Syr. 'ayχā, 'how? where?' (cf. Arab. lākinna 'not so, but'); Arab. kayfa 'as, how?' (without k-, Eth. 'efō 'as'; without k-aṣ-, Heb. pō[ḥ] 'here' [cf. § 292]).

§ 277. P-S *pamma 'there, then': Heb. šām, Syr. tammān, Arab. tamma 'there'.

§ 278. P-S *'a\u03d5 'then': Heb. '\u03c4z (archaic '\u03c4zay), Arab. 'i\u03c4(\u03c4) 'then', Eth. ye'ez\u03c4 'now'.

b. Interrogative

§ 279. P-S *'a \dot{i} (cf. § 253): Heb. 'ay, 'ayy $\bar{e}h$, 'ayin, ' $\bar{e}y\varphi\bar{o}h$, ' $\bar{e}y\chi(\bar{a}h)$, Acc. 'ayka, 'aykanna, Syr. 'ay $\chi\bar{a}$, Arab. 'ayna, Eth. 'ayt \bar{e}

'where?'; Heb. 'ayin, 'ēyn 'is not' (e.g., 'ēyn rō'ānī 'there is none that seeth me'); in origin probably a rhetorical question, 'where [is the one] seeing me? [nowhere!]').

§ 280. P-S *mā: Heb. māθay, Acc. 'immati, Syr. 'emmaθ (*'ina matai, Arab. matā 'when?'.

c. Voluntative

§ 281. Acc. and Heb. lū, Arab. lāw 'O that!'

d. Assertional

§ 282. P-S *įišaį 'there is': Acc. 'išu 'est illi', Heb. yēš, Syr. 'īθ \ *'īš (probably by analogy with layθ 'is not' \ *lā 'iθay \ *lā įišaį), Arab. laysa \ *lā įisa 'is not'.

e. Negational

§ 283. P-S *'alā(?): Acc., Syr., Arab. lā, Heb. lō 'not' beside Acc. 'ul, Heb., Arab. 'al 'not' (Eth. 'albō 'there is not', 'alkkō (*'al-kō 'not').

2. Adverbs of Nominal Origin

§ 284. Adverbs of nominal origin are, for the most part, in the accusative,¹ as Acc. 'atarta 'mightily', Heb. hay-yōm 'today', yaḥaō 'together' (as a noun, 'unitedness'), mə'ōō 'very' (as a noun, 'abundance', cf. § 55), kəβār 'already', 'ūlām (once 'ullām) 'nevertheless', səβīβ 'around' (as a noun, 'surrounding area'), šəβa' 'seven times', māḥār 'tomorrow', Syr. šappīr 'beautifully', tāβ 'well', bīš 'badly', sāχ 'thoroughly', Arab. 'abadan' 'always', ğiddan' 'very', šimālan' 'to the left', katiran' 'greatly', laylan' 'at night', yawman' 'one day', 'al-yawma' 'today'.

§ 285. In Hebrew and Aramaic, however, the adverb generally assumes the form of the feminine (i.e., probably, the acc. neuter; cf. §§ 186-90), as Heb. Υəhūδīθ 'in Jewish, Jewishly', nōrā'ōθ 'fearfully', niφlā'ōθ 'wonderfully', rīšōnāḥ 'first(ly)', rabbaθ (also raβ, rabbāḥ) 'greatly', šēnīθ 'secondly'; Syr. pa'yaθ 'beautifully', šəwāθ, šawyaθ 'simultaneously', ḥayyaθ 'in living wise', Yawnā'īθ 'in Greek, Hellenically', šappirā'īθ 'beautifully', ṭāβā'īθ 'well'.

§ 286. Hebrew also employs various substitutes for adverbs.

(a) Prepositional phrases like bə-'aḥaθ- 'once' (lit. 'in one'), kə-'eḥāδ 'together' (lit. 'as one'), lə-βaδ 'alone' (lit. 'for separation'), mib-bayiθ 'within' (lit. 'from house'), 'aδ-mə'ōδ 'exceedingly' (lit. 'to abundance'; cf. § 284), lə-māḥār 'tomorrow' (lit. 'to the morrow'; cf. § 284), mē-'āz

¹ For similar phenomena in Indo-European see Brugmann, Grundriss II, ii, § 558.

'since' (lit. 'from then'; cf. § 278), 'al-kēn 'therefore' (lit. 'on so'; cf. § 276); cf. Arab. li-dālika 'therefore' (lit. 'for this'), li-mā 'why?' (lit. 'for what?'), Eth. ba-'entaze 'therefore' (lit. in this').

§ 287. (b) Stereotyped imperatives (or, possibly, infinitives absolute), especially of the $\text{Hi}\varphi$ 'īl (see §§ 395-6), as $\text{hašk}\bar{e}m \ w \rightarrow \text{ha}'\bar{a}r\bar{e}\beta$ 'at morning and evening' (lit. 'start early, make it evening'), $\text{harb}\bar{e}h$ 'greatly' (lit. 'make it great').

§ 288. (c) Sentences, as maddūą' 'wherefore?' (*māh yāδūạ' 'quo cognito?' (cf. Arab. mudrīka 'why?' (*mā yudrīka 'what makes thee know?').

§ 289. In Accadian, abstracts with affixed personal pronouns serve as adverbs, e.g. balţussu (*balţūt-šu 'in living fashion' (lit. 'his living state'), 'ēdišu 'he alone' (cf. Syr. balḥūδaw, Eth. bāḥtītū 'he alone' (*'in his solitude'), -iš(u) being developed from such phrases into a mere adverbial ending, as šattišu 'annually', šēlabiš 'foxily'.

B. Prepositions

§ 290. Like the adverbs, the majority of Semitic prepositions are nominal in origin, as is still evident in Heb. 'aḥar, 'aḥārēy 'after' (as a noun, 'hinder part'), 'ēṣel 'beside' (as a noun, 'proximity'), bəlī 'without' (as a noun, 'destruction'; cf. Acc. balū, balī 'without'), ba'að 'away from, behind' (cf. Arab. bu'du" 'distance, separation,' ba'du 'after'), zūlað 'except' (as a noun, 'removal'), mūl, mō(')l 'before' (as a noun, 'front'), neγeð 'in front of', nōχaḥ 'straight before' (cf. nāχōạḥ 'straight[ness]'), səβīβ 'around' (cf. § 284).

§ 291. The following prepositions are common to more than one Semitic language: P-S *'ilaį 'to', Acc. 'ilī, Heb. 'el, 'ĕlēy, Arab. 'ilā ('ilay before affixes); P-S *'itt 'with', Acc. 'itti, Heb. 'ēθ; P-S *bi 'in', Acc. $ba\check{s}u$ (Eth. $b\bar{o}$) 'in him') 'there is', Heb., Syr. $b\hat{o}$, ba (with a by analogy with the preposition la), Arab. bi, Eth. ba; P-S *bain 'between', Acc. 'ina bīrīt ((*'ina baināt), Heb. bēyn, Syr. baynay, Arab. bayna, Eth. bayna, baynāt (cf. Heb. bēnayim 'space between two armies', Arab. baynu" 'interval'); P-S *ka 'like', Acc. kī, Heb. ke, ka, Syr. $a(y)\chi$, $a\chi w\bar{a}\theta$, Arab., Eth. ka; P-S *la 'to', Acc. $lap\bar{a}n$ (Heb. liφənēy) 'before' (lit., 'to the face of'), Heb., Syr. lə, la, Arab. li (with i by analogy with the preposition bi), la (before affixes), Eth. la; P-S *min 'from', Heb. min, Syr. men, Arab. min; P-S *'adai 'up to, until', Acc. 'adī, Heb. 'aδ, 'ăδēy, Syr. 'əδammā (*'aδaymā, Sab. 'D(Y); P-S *'alaį 'on, upon', Acc. 'elī, Heb. and Syr. 'al, 'ălēy (cf. Heb. 'al 'height'), Arab. 'alā ('alay before affixes), Eth. la'la (la'lē before affixes; double preposition with la 'to'); P-S *'im 'with', Heb. 'im, Syr. 'am, Arab. (with metathesis) ma'a; P-S *taḥtai 'under', Heb. $taḥa\theta$, $taḥt(\bar{e}y)$, Syr. $təḥ\bar{o}\theta(ay)$, $təḥ\bar{e}\theta$, taḥtay, Arab. taḥta, Eth. taḥta, $taḥt\bar{e}$.

C. Conjunctions

§ 292. The chief conjunctions found in Hebrew in common with other Semitic languages are as follows: P-S *'au 'or', Acc. 'ū, Heb. 'ō, Syr., Arab., Eth. 'aw; P-S *'im 'if', Heb. 'im, Syr. 'en, Arab. 'im, Eth. 'emma (*'en-ma; P-S *'apa 'and also', Heb. 'aφ (cf. also Heb. pō[h] 'here', § 276), Syr. 'āφ, Arab. fa; P-S *μa 'and', Acc. 'u, Heb. wa, wə, Syr. wə, Arab., Syr. wa; P-S *kai 'in order that', Acc., Heb. kī, Syr., Arab. kay, Eth. kē.

§ 293. Hebrew has, moreover, a conjunction pen 'lest' which is also found in North Semitic inscriptions, and which appears to be cognate with Heb. pānāḥ, Syr. pənā 'turn', Arab. faniya 'pass away' (cf. also Arab. fanā'u" 'perishability, annihilation').

D. Interjections

§ 294. Interjections are, properly speaking, mere reflex emotional exclamations with no real linguistic basis. Here belong Heb. ' $\check{a}h\bar{a}h$, ' $\check{o}(y)$, ' $\check{a}h$, ' \check{i} , $h\bar{a}h$, $h\bar{o}(y)$ 'alas!', $h\bar{e}$ ' 'lo!', he' $\check{a}h$, 'aha!', has 'hush!'.

§ 295. The imperative is sometimes used, with loss of all verbal force, as an interjection, as Heb. $l\bar{e}\chi$, $l\bar{e}\chi\bar{a}h$ 'come!', $r\bar{e}h$ 'lo!', $q\bar{u}m\bar{a}h$ 'up!'; and the same statement holds true for nouns, as $h\bar{a}l\bar{l}l\bar{a}h$ 'far be it!' (lit., 'ad profanum').

For the problem of the 'wāw consecutive' see §§ 347-53.

CHAPTER VIII

VERBS

§ 296. The verb, whose place in Semitic morphology has already been outlined (§ 87), is characterised by mood, 'tense' (more properly 'aspect'), person, gender, and number. The basal principles of the latter two have received consideration in connexion with analogous phenomena in the noun (§§ 177-98).

§ 297. As regards the category of persons, it is enough to say that, as in Indo-European, they are three: first (giving the action or state of the speaker or speakers; I salute you, we exist), second (indicating the action or state of the person[s] or thing[s] addressed by the speakers; thou salutest us, ye exist), and third (denoting the person[s] or thing[s] of whom or of which some action or state is predicated; he saluteth thee, they exist) for all moods, 'tenses', genders, and numbers. The first person (probably being regarded as animate or active only; cf. § 177) is found in the masculine gender alone; and it is wholly absent from the imperative. Moods and 'tenses' will be discussed in §§ 342-57 and §§ 358-65 respectively.

§ 298. Verbs possess a number of categories ('stems') expressed by various modifications of the base (cf. §§ 87-90) both internal (vocalic alternations, gemination of the second consonant; §§ 93-7, 312-15) and external (prefixes; §§ 321-39), these defining the action as active, passive, neutral ('stative'), causative, intensive, conative, reciprocal, reflexive, iterative, terminative, etc.

§ 299. In Semitic the term 'active' includes not merely transitive verbs, but many which are intransitive (e.g. not merely *kataba 'he wrote', but also * $ka\delta aba$ 'he lied'). The essential meaning of the active is 'to perform an action' whether directly affecting another person or thing (transitive) or not (intransitive), thus distinguishing it from the neutral, which means simply 'to be in a certain condition or state' whether complete in itself (intransitive, e.g. Heb. $k\bar{a}\beta\bar{e}\delta$ 'be heavy', $q\bar{a}t\bar{o}n$ 'be small') or incomplete (transitive, e.g. Heb. $h\bar{a}\varphi\bar{e}s$ 'find [a thing] delightful' as well as 'be delighted [with a thing]').

§ 300. The difference between 'strong' and 'weak' verbs, the former having three unchangeable consonants, the latter not, is apparent rather than real, the underlying morphology being the same in both categories (cf. also §§ 91, 403-21).

§ 301. Verb-bases (cf. §§ 87-90, 98, note 1) are mostly trisyllabic; monosyllabic bases are here unknown, but such types as VERBS 77

*kabkab- (§§ 340-41) seem to have been disyllabic in origin. As in other Semitic languages, certain types in Heb. are probably new formations (cf. §§ 318, 320, 336-9, 341), and here, as elsewhere, many denominatives have been created.

A. STEMS

[VG i, § 257, ii, §§ 70-3; KVG § 123; P §§ 189-97; Z § 36; W pp. 198-226; O §§ 135-43; B pp. 12-13; B-L i, § 38; G §§ 39, 43, 51-5; G-B ii, §§ 2, 14-20.]

1. Bases Without Preformatives

a. Simple Trisyllabic Bases
(*katab-, *katib-, *kātab-, *kūtib-)

§ 302. Of the three types *katab-, *katib-, *katub-, the first is primarily active, while the second and third are neutral, denoting respectively transitory, accidental state or condition, and permanent, essential state or condition. The query may be raised, in view of the durative aspect of these two types, whether they may not be survivals, completely verbalised, from the same source as the Accadian 'permansive' (§ 363).

§ 303. Type *katab-. Proto-Semitic *tabaḥ- 'slaughter', Acc. tabāḥu, Heb. tāβaḥ, Syr. təβaḥ, Arab. and Eth. tabaḥa; P-S *naḥar- 'guard, watch', Acc. naṣāru, Heb. nāṣar, Syr. nəṭar, Arab. naṣara, Eth. naṣara.

§ 304. Type *katib-. P-S *jabiš- 'be dry', Heb. yāβēš, Syr. yəβeš, Arab. yabisa, Eth. yabesa; P-S *šalim- 'be sound, complete', Acc. šalim, Heb. šālēm, Syr. šəlem, Arab. salima.

§ 305. Type *katub-. This type is very rare, except in Arab. (e.g. ḥasuna 'be beautiful', ṭaqula 'be heavy', kabura 'be large'). In Hebrew the only occurrences are yāγōr 'be afraid' (cf. Arab. waǧira), yāχōl 'be able' (cf. Acc. 'akālu), yāqōš 'lure', qāṭōn 'be little' (cf. Syr. qəṭan), šāχōl 'be bereaved' (cf. Targ. təχōl, təχēl, Arab. ṭakila). In other dialects the type is even more sporadic, e.g. Acc. maruş 'be ill'; Syr. qəφōδ 'bristle up' (beside qəφaδ), Mand. təqun 'be firm' (cf. Syr. təqen, Heb. tāqan), bəṭun 'be pregnant' beside bəṭin (cf. Syr. bəṭen, Arab. baṭuna 'be big-bellied'); in Eth. the types *katib- and *katub- coincide.

§ 306. As has just been implied by such examples as Heb. tāqan, Syr. təqen, Mand. təqun, the three types may co-exist, not merely in different languages, but in the same one, as Heb. 'āhaβ, 'āhēβ 'love', 'āšam, 'āšēm 'offend, be guilty', dāβaq, dāβēq 'cling' (Syr. dəβaq, dəβeq), šāχan, šāχēn 'dwell', šāχal, šāχōl 'be bereaved'; Syr. ḥəsan, ḥəsen 'be strong'; Arab. qadama 'go first', qadima 'be returned from a journey', qaduma 'be first'; baṭana 'strike on the belly',

baţina 'be replete with food', baţuna 'be big-bellied', the differences of type corresponding to differences of connotation.

§ 307. Type *kutib-. This type, the passive of *katab-, survives in its original form only in Arabic, as ruziqa 'be granted', hurima 'be deprived', ğu'ila 'be put', duriba 'be beaten'. In Biblical Aramaic it appears with $\bar{\imath}$ (i by analogy with the participle, e.g. $i = \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath}$ 'be driven out', $k = \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath}$ 'be written', $y = \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath}$ 'be given'.

§ 308. In Hebrew, *kutib- seems to have become *kuttab- in the perfect, with $a \ i$ by analogy with other Hebrew forms of passive meaning (see §§ 311, 318, 320, 321, 330, 341) and with a secondary gemination, apparently through confusion with the type *kuttab-(pass. of *kittēb-; cf. § 315); and *joktab- (*juktab- in the imperfect, with $o \ u$ by analogy with the type *joktab- (pass. of *hiktīb-; cf. § 324).

§ 309. The criterion for distinguishing between *kuttab- (*kutib-as the passive of *katab- and *kuttab- as the passive of *kittēb- is as follows: *kuttab- and *joktab- are really perfect and imperfect passive Qal if (a) the corresponding perf. *kittēb- either does not occur or possesses a different meaning, and if there is no corresponding impf. *joktab-; (b) if the corresponding impf. *jaktīb- and perf. *hoktab- do not occur. Here belong, e.g. Heb. 'ukkal, yə'ukkal 'be devoured' (*'ukal, *yə'ukal (cf. Arab. 'ukila, yu'kalu), luqqah, yuqqah 'be taken' (*luqah, *yulqah; the perfects 'ussar 'be taken prisoner', huṣṣaβ 'be hewn', yullaδ 'be born', yuṣṣar 'be formed', nuppah 'be blown', 'ubbaδ 'be worked', 'uzzaβ 'be deserted', šuggal 'be ravished', suṭṭaφ 'be scoured', šuppaҳ 'be poured out'; and the imperfects yəḥuppaś 'will be sought out', yuqqam (*yunqam 'will be avenged', yuttan (*yuntan 'will be given', yuttaṣ (*yuntaṣ 'will be broken down', yuttaš (*yuntas 'will be uprooted'.

VERBS 79

§ 311. Type *kūtib-. This passive of *kātab- (cf. Arab. kūtiba: kātaba) is excessively rare in Hebrew, as šōraš 'be rooted', and probably in Hos. xiii, 3, where yəsō'ar, rather than the yəsō'ēr of the text, is favoured by Septuagint ἀποφυσώμενος, Vulgate raptus, Pəšiṭtā pəraḥ (Eng. vers., 'driven with the whirlwind'). The a instead of ē (i is doubtless due to analogy with the passive types kuttaβ and hoχtaβ (see §§ 308, 318, 320, 321, 330, 341, 402).

b. Bases With Second Consonant Geminated (*kattab-, *kuttib-)

§ 312. Type *kattab-. This is represented historically by Acc. kattab, Heb. kittaβ, kittĕβ, Bib. Aram. kattiβ, Syr. katteβ, Arab. kattaba (Egypt. Arab. also kattib), and Eth. kattaba (§§ 391-2). Its primary meaning is intensive, but it also serves frequently to form denominatives.

§ 313. In Hebrew, one finds both kittaβ and kittěβ, as hiššaβ 'consider, plan', limmaδ 'teach', 'ippar 'cast dust': kibběs 'wash', dibběr 'speak', kipper 'cover over, propitiate'; and the two types may exist side by side in the same word, as giddal, giddēl 'make great', bēraχ, bērēχ 'bless', qiṣṣaṣ, qiṣṣēṣ 'cut off'. Only a is found in the perfect forms dibbartā 'thou hast spoken', etc.; and the original a of the first syllable appears solely in naššanī 'he hath made me forget' (in paronomasia with the proper name Mənaššeḥ in Gen. xli, 51).

§ 314. Heb. $kitt\bar{e}\beta$ (*kattib (for the first i see § 21, note 10) has received its \bar{e} (i by analogy with the imperfect (cf. §§ 315, 324, 325, 329, 334, 335; similarly in Bib. Aram. and Syr.; in Egypt. Arab. i may be due to weakening in an unaccented syllable). One may suggest that the true Hebrew form was $kitta\beta$, and that $kitt\bar{e}\beta$ was introduced under Aramaic influence, or that Proto-Semitic had both *kattab- and *kattib- (cf. *katab-, *katib- above, §§ 303-4), of which only *kattab- survived in Arabic and Ethiopic, and *kattib- in Aramaic, while Hebrew shows both.

§ 315. Type *kuttib-. This type, the passive of *kattab-, is found only in Hebrew and Arabic, as Arab. durriba 'be beaten violently', kussira 'be broken in pieces', quttila 'be massacred'; Heb. hullaq 'be divided', suppar 'be recounted', qubbar 'be buried', lummaδ 'be taught', kubbas 'be washed', kuppar 'be covered, atoned for' (occasionally o appears instead of u [cf. § 21, note 21], as mə'oddām 'reddened', šoddəδāḥ 'she hath been devastated' beside šuddəδāḥ, masc. šuddaδ; cf. also §§ 393-4). The a of Heb. kuttaβ (*kuttib- is by analogy with the imperfect (cf. §§ 314, 324, 325, 329, 334, 335).

Whether *kuttib- is a survival of a Proto-Semitic formation preserved only in Hebrew and Arabic, or was developed independently in each by analogy with *kutib- as a passive of *katab-, *katib-, *katub- (cf. §§ 307-9), is uncertain, though the former seems more probable.

c. Bases With First or Second Consonant Repeated (*katkab-, *kutbat-)

§ 316. These two types are represented by the Hebrew noun zarzīφ 'dripping' and by the participle məḥuspās 'scaled off', as well as, perhaps, by Heb. məχurbāl 'bemantled' (cf. §§ 64, 402).

d. Bases With Third Consonant Repeated (*katabab-, *kutabib-)

§ 317. Type *katabab-. This type is historically represented by Heb. kiθbaβ, Aram. kaθbaβ, kaθbeβ, Arab. iktabba, Eth. katbaba (cf. also Acc. šuqamumu 'stand upright', šuqalulu 'hang', 'ušparir 'spread out', 'ušþarir 'be still'), the meaning being durative and denominative, or, in Arabic, possession of colours or defects. The Hebrew, Aramaic, and Ethiopic forms show *katbab- ⟨ *kat(a)bab-, and the Arab. *ktabb- ⟨ *k(a)tab(a)b-. Here belong Heb. ša'ānan 'be at ease, secure', ra'ānan 'be green'; Syr. 'aβdeδ 'enslave', 'azrar 'enwrap', 'aynen 'eye'; Arab. isfarra 'be yellow', iswadda 'be black', i'waǧǧa 'be crooked', iḥwalla 'squint', irbatta 'be scattered, disordered', irqadda 'run quickly'; Eth. bardada 'hail', galbaba 'enwrap', gabsasa 'plaster', ḥanqaqa 'be anxious' (cf. § 402).

§ 318. Type *kutabib-. This passive of the foregoing is found only in Heb. 'umlal 'be weak, languish' with $a \ (i \text{ as in other passives})$ (cf. §§ 308, 311, 320, 321, 330, 341, 402).

e. Bases With Second and Third Syllable Reduplicated (*katabatab-, *kutibatib-)

§ 319. Type *katabatab-. This type, iterative in force, is found in Hebrew only in səḥarḥar 'throb', translated in Talmudic Aramaic by the similar form səmarmar 'feel terror'; but in Ethiopic it is not uncommon, as 'anbalbala 'flame', 'aḥmalmala 'become green', 'aftaltala 'clean by rubbing' (*aktabtaba (*k[a]tab[a]taba; cf. also § 402). The twelfth form of the Arabic verb, iktautaba, may have developed by dissimilation from *iktabtaba, as iḥdaudaba 'be arched', iḥšaušana 'become very rough', i'ṣauṣaba 'be gathered together', imlaulaḥa 'be salt'.

§ 320. Type *kutibatib-. This passive of the foregoing is found only in Heb. homarmar 'be in ferment' and homarmar 'be reddened'

VERBS 81

(cf. Arab. hamara 'ferment, leven', and hamira 'be red' respectively; for a instead of i see §§ 308, 311, 318, 321, 330, 341, 402).

2. Bases With Preformatives

a. Bases With Preformative na-(*nakatab-)

§ 321. The form *nakatab- is found in Accadian, Hebrew, Arabic, and (in a few tetrasyllabics) Ethiopic, but not in Aramaic, the historical types being Acc. 'ikkatab (*nkatab (*n(a)katab, Heb. niχtaβ (*nakatab (*n(a)k(a)tab-, Arab. inkataba (*n(a)katab- (cf. Moroccan Arab. nktab, and see §§ 389-90). The meaning is primarily reflexive, and then frequently passive, as Acc. 'ippalis 'see', 'ikkašid 'be forgotten', 'ippariš 'flee', 'iššakin 'take place'; Heb. nišmar 'be on one's guard', nistar 'hide oneself, be concealed', niδraš 'let oneself be consulted, sought out', niš'al 'ask for oneself'; Arab. inkasara 'be broken', inqaṭa'a 'be ended', inḥaṭama 'be broken', inhazama 'be put to flight', inḥada'a 'let oneself be deceived'; Eth. 'anfara'aṣa 'hop'.

Bases With Preformative ha-(*hakatab-, *hukatib-)

§ 322. Type *hakatab-. This appears historically in Heb. $hi\chi t\bar{\imath}\beta$ (*hakətib (*hak(a)tab-, with i instead of a most probably on the analogy of the corresponding form of verbs with medial i (e.g. $h\bar{e}\beta\bar{\imath}n$ 'understand, discern, teach' (*həbəian (*hab(a)ian-; cf. §§ 45, 419-20), Bib. Aram. $ha\chi t\bar{e}\beta$, Eth. (in early inscriptions) haktaba (cf. §§ 395-6). The meaning is essentially causative, as Heb. $hiqd\bar{\imath}s$ 'consecrate', $hisd\bar{\imath}q$ 'justify', $hi\chi b\bar{\imath}s$ 'make heavy, cause to be honoured', $heh\bar{s}\bar{\imath}\chi$ 'darken', $hi\gamma b\bar{\imath}r$ 'confirm', $he'd\bar{\imath}\varphi$ 'have a surplus', $hi\bar{s}k\bar{\imath}l$ 'consider, prosper, teach'; Bib. Aram. $hanp\bar{e}q$ 'bring forth', $halb\,\bar{e}s$ 'clothe', $ha\bar{s}p\bar{e}l$ 'humble'.

§ 323. Arabic shows a few traces of the preformative ha- in such verbs as harāḥa 'give rest' (*harauaḥa beside the usual 'arāḥa, harāda 'wish' beside 'arāda, harāqa 'pour out' (Heb. hērīq) beside 'arāqa, haymana 'believe' (Heb. he'ĕmīn). This preformative must not be confused with the preformative 'a in the types of Syr. 'aχteβ, and Eth. 'aktaba.

§ 324. Type *hukatib-. This passive of the foregoing appears only in Heb. $ho\chi ta\beta$, $hu\chi ta\beta$, and Bib. Aram. $ho\chi ta\beta$, with a instead of i on the analogy of the imperfect (cf. §§ 314, 315, 325, 329, 334, 335), as Heb. $homla\chi$ 'be made king', $hu\check{s}la\chi$ 'be thrown'; Bib. Aram. $honha\theta$ 'be deposed', $ho\theta qan$ 'be established' (cf. §§ 397-8).

82 VERBS

c. Bases With Preformative ta-(*takatab-, *takutib-, *takātab-, *takattab-, *takuttib-, *takatbab-, *takabkab-)

§ 325. Type *takatab-. This type, with reflexive (and so, frequently, quasi-passive) force, seems to be found in Heb. hiθpāqēδ 'present oneself for enumeration', with a corresponding pass. *takutib-, in Heb. $ho\theta p\bar{a}qa\delta$ 'be mustered', with $\bar{e} \langle a \text{ and } a \langle u \text{ respectively} \rangle$ through analogy with their imperfects (cf. §§ 314, 315, 324, 329, 334, 335). The type would thus be cognate with Acc. 'iktatab, Bib. Aram. (h)iθkəθēβ, Syr. 'eθkəθeβ, Arab. iktataba (by metathesis (*itkataba; Tun. Arab. tktab) (*t(a)katab- (Arab. pass. uktutiba), Eth. taktaba, as Acc. 'iptalah 'be afraid'; Bib. Aram. hiθgəzēr 'be cut out', 'iθ'ăqēr 'be uprooted'; Syr. 'eθgəβel 'be created', 'eθpəleγ 'be divided'; Arab. iftaraga, go asunder', iltamasa 'search for', iqtatala 'fight', irtada'a 'be turned back'; Eth. tanše'a 'arise' (*tan(a)ša'a. In Ras Shamra texts the type *k(a)tatab-, corresponding exactly to Acc. 'iktatab, Arab. iktataba, is seen in TMTHS 'thou shalt set thyself against' (cf. THTPK 'thou shalt overturn', inscription of Ahīrām of Byblos, contrasted with Heb. $ti\theta happ \bar{e}\chi$; cf. also Moabite W'LTHM 'and I warred'; JRAS 1932, p. 895). Question thus arises as to whether two distinct P-S formations should not be postulated—one in *katatab-(represented in Acc., Ras Shamra, Moabite, and Arab.), the other in *takatab- (found in Heb., Aram., Tun. Arab., and Eth.). On the other hand, infixation is otherwise unknown in the formation of either verbs or nouns in Semitic, so that it would seem more likely that *katatab- has arisen from *takatab- by metathesis (cf. § 50).

§ 326. Here, as in other types with preformative ta-, Hebrew (and often Biblical Aramaic) prefixes h by analogy with verbs with the true preformative h- (§§ 322-4).

§ 327. Type *takātab-. This type, with conative-reflexive force, is found in Hebrew, e.g., hiθgō'aš 'toss, reel', hiθrō'a' 'be broken asunder', hiθpōrar 'be split' (cf. § 402), but it also appears in Arab. takātaba, itkātaba (Egypt. Arab. itkātab, Syr. Arab. takātab, Mor. Arab. tkātab (*t[a]kātaba), Eth. takātaba, as Arab. taġāfala 'be off one's guard, neglect', tamāraḍa 'feign illness'; Eth. tasākala 'be propitious', tawāsaba 'intermarry'. The type *takātib- occurs in Hebrew (§ 402).

§ 328. Type *taktib- (*tak(a)tib-. This may be found in Heb. tirgaltī 'I taught to walk', təθaḥāreḥ 'thou wilt hotly contend', and also in Syr. targem 'interpret, translate' (cf. § 402, and Arab. tarğama 'translate', Acc. targumānu 'interpreter').

§ 329. Type *takattab-. This type appears historically as Acc. 'uktattib (with metathesis; vocalism!), Heb. $hi\theta katt \bar{e}\beta$ (with $\bar{e} \langle a \text{ by }$ analogy with the impf. [cf. §§ 314, 315, 324, 325, 334, 335], and inorganic h [cf. § 326]), hiθkattaβ, Bib. Aram. (h)iθkattaβ, Syr. 'eθkattaβ (*t(a)kattab-, Arab. takattaba, itkattaba (Egypt. Arab. itkattab, Syr. Arab. takattab, Mor. Arab. tkattab), Eth. takattaba (cf. §§ 399-400). In meaning, it is the reflexive of *kattab- (§ 312). As examples one may cite Acc. 'uptarriš 'ransom', 'uktanniš 'assemble'; Heb. hiθhallēχ 'walk about', $hi\theta pall \bar{e}l$ 'intercede' (with retention of original a, $hi\theta'anna\varphi$ 'be angry', $hi\theta'azzar$ 'gird oneself'); Bib. Aram. $hi\theta baqqar$ 'have search made', hiθhabbal 'be destroyed'; Syr. 'eštammaš 'be ministered to' (for the metathesis see § 50), 'eθbarra x 'be blessed', 'eθḥassan 'fortify oneself', 'estakkal 'understand'; Arab. takassara 'be broken in pieces', tahawwafa 'be afraid', ta'azzama 'be proud', tanaşşara 'become a Christian', tanammara 'become savage as a leopard', tatallaba 'seek earnestly'; Eth. takaddana 'be covered', taqaddasa 'be declared holy'.

§ 330. Type *takuttib-. This passive of the foregoing appears in Heb. *tukattaβ, huθkattab, Arab. tukuttiba (vocalised by analogy with other passives [see §§ 308, 311, 318, 320, 321, 330, 341, 402]; for h see § 326), as Heb. huṭṭammā ⟨ *hutṭammā 'be defiled', hukkabbas ⟨ *hut-kabbas 'be washed', huddaššənāḥ ⟨ *hutdaššənāḥ (erroneously pointed huddašənāḥ) 'be made fat'; Arab. tuṭulliba 'be sought earnestly'.

§ 331. Type *takatbab- (*takatabab- (cf. § 317). This type occurs in Hebrew only in hištaḥāwāḥ (*tašaḥuauā 'prostrate oneself', but finds parallels in Acc. 'uktabib, Syr. 'eθkaθbaβ, and Eth. takatbaba, as Acc. 'uštaḥrir 'rest'; Syr. 'eθbawrar 'be amazed', Eth. tazangwagwa 'be ridiculed' (cf. § 402).

§ 332. Type *takabkab- (*takabakab- (for *kab[a]kab- see § 340). This is found in Heb. hiθkaβkēβ (with ē (a by analogy with the type *kittēβ, § 314; cf. also §§ 310, 313, 329, 338-40, 402), Syr. 'eθkaβkaβ, Arab. and Eth. takabkaba, as Heb. hištaqšēq 'rush to and fro', hiθhalhēl 'writhe with anxiety', hiθmarmēr 'become embittered', hiθgalgēl 'roll oneself', hišta'āša' 'delight oneself'; Syr. 'eθbalbal 'be confused', 'eθza'za' 'be moved'; Arab. takabkaba 'be overthrown', taġarġara 'gargle', tawaswasa 'be perplexed', tazalzala 'be agitated, tremble'; Tigrē teqaṭqaṭa 'be broken', tekalkala 'surround'. The type *takābkib-occurs in Heb. (§ 402).

d. Bases With Preformative š- and s-(*šaktab-, *saktab-)

§ 333. In Hebrew, these types occur only in the Mišnā, though they are found in other Semitic languages. Like the types with preformative ha-, 'a- (§§ 322-4), they are causative in force.

§ 334. Type *šaktab. This is found in Acc. 'ušaktib, Miš. šaχtēβ (for ē instead of a cf. §§ 314, 315, 324, 325, 329, 335), Syr. šaχteβ, as Acc. 'ušapriš 'cause to fly', 'ušamqit 'throw down'; Mis. ši'bēδ 'enslave' (cf. Heb. he'ĕβīδ 'force to work like a slave'), šilhēβ 'be aflame', širbēβ 'be great'; Syr. ša'beδ 'enslave', šaḥleφ 'alter'.

§ 335. Type *saktab-. This type (cf. Arab. istaktaba (*sa-ta-kataba) is represented by Miš. saχtēβ (for ē instead of a cf. §§ 314, 315, 324, 325, 329, 334), Syr. saχteβ, Min. saktab, as Miš. sargēl 'draw lines'; Syr. sarheβ 'hasten', saqbel 'oppose' (cf. Heb. hiqbīl, Arab. istaqbala), Min. saqnaya 'dedicate', sašraḥa 'make flourish'; and here, too, may belong such Arabic verbs as sadala 'let the hair hang down': dāla (*dauala 'hang down', saḥata 'scratch off': ḥatta 'scratch', saṭaḥa 'spread out': ṭaḥā 'be spread out'.

e. Bases With Preformative n-t- and n-s-t-(*natakātab-, *natakattab-, *natakabakab, *nasatakatab-)

§ 336. These types, all of recent formation and reflexive-passive meaning, occur only in Hebrew.

§ 337. Type *natakātab-. This type is found only in Mišnāic, as $ni\theta r\bar{o}q$ 'be made empty' (*natarāuaq-, $ni\theta r\bar{o}$ 'a' 'be broken' (cf. Heb. $hi\theta r\bar{o}$ 'a').

§ 338. Type *natakattab-. This type > Heb. niθkattēβ (with ē ⟨ a by analogy with the type hiθkattēβ, § 329; cf. also §§ 310, 313, 314, 332, 339-40) occurs in Heb. nikkappēr ⟨ *niθkappēr 'be covered over, forgiven', niwwassēr ⟨ *niθwassēr 'be disciplined, corrected'; Miš. (where it practically supplants the type hiθkattēβ) niθpattaḥ 'open oneself' (Heb. hiθpattaḥ), niθkawwēn 'determine upon', niθqabbēl 'receive', niθnassāḥ 'be tempted', niθ'aṣṣēm 'quarrel', and with the usual metathesis st ⟨ ts, etc. (§ 50), nistappēγ 'be dried up', ništappāḥ 'recover one's senses'.

§ 339. Types *natakabakab-, *nasatakatab-. These types > Heb. niθkaβkēβ, nistaχtēβ (with ē ⟨ a by analogy with the type hiθkattēβ, § 329; cf. also §§ 310, 313, 314, 329, 338, 340), occur only in Mišnāic, as, for the former, niθgalgēl 'be rolled' (Heb. hiθgalgēl), niθnamnēm 'fall asleep'; and for the latter, ništaḥrar 'be set free', ništa'bēδ 'become a slave'.

3. Disyllabic Geminated Bases

(*kabakab-, *kubakib-)

§ 340. Type *kabakab-. This appears historically as Heb. kiβkēβ (with ē (a by analogy with the type kittēβ § 314; cf. also §§ 310, 313, 329, 332, 338-9), Syr. kaβkeβ, Arab. and Eth. kabkaba, as Heb. gilgēl 'roll', kilkēl 'sustain, support', ši'āša' 'comfort, delight in', tiltēl 'hurl'; Syr. balbel 'confuse', garger 'drag', za'za' 'arouse', ramrem 'raise, exalt'; Arab. ġarġara 'gargle', zalzala 'shake', ḥamḥama 'neigh', ḥašḥaša 'make rustle', waswasa 'whisper'; Eth. badbada 'be destroyed', tantana 'waver', dabdaba 'be restless' (cf. § 402).

§ 341. Type *kubakib-. This passive of the foregoing appears in Heb. kolkal 'be supplied with', šo'āša' 'be fondled' (for a instead of i see §§ 308, 311, 318, 320, 321, 330, 402).

B. Moods

[VG i, § 259; KVG § 125; P §§ 199-200; Z §§ 43-44; W pp. 188-95; O § 145; B p. 18; B-L i, § 36 a-e'; G §§ 40 a, 46, 48, 58 i-l, 75 k, 106 p, 107 m-x, 108-10, 159 d; G-B ii, § 10; Cohen ch. iv; Driver §§ 44-48, 183.]

§ 342. Of all the Semitic languages, Classical Arabic shows the greatest number of moods, of which it has six: indicative, subjunctive, apocopated (jussive), energetic, cohortative (?), and imperative, appearing respectively as yaktubu (impf.), yaktuba, yaktub, yaktuban-(na), 'aktubā (?), uktub. Next come Accadian and Hebrew with five each: for the former, indicative, subjunctive (or relative), energetic, cohortative (?), and imperative ('iktub, 'iktubu, 'iktuba, 'iktuban or 'iktubana, kutub), and for the latter, indicative, jussive (apocopated), energetic, cohortative, and imperative $(yixt\bar{o}\beta, ya\chi t\bar{e}\beta)$ [Hi φ 'il (§§ 395-6); elsewhere generally coinciding in form with the imperfect, but also distinguished in the Qal of verbs with medial i or u (§§ 419-20), and in all forms of verbs with final -h (§ 421), as $y\bar{a}q\bar{u}m$: $y\bar{a}q\bar{o}m$; $yi\gamma leh$: $yi\gamma el$, $yi\chi t \ni \beta en$, $yi\chi t \ni \beta \bar{a}h$, $k \ni \theta \bar{o}\beta$); then, with four, Bib. Aram.: indicative, jussive, energetic, and imperative (yiχtuβ, yiχtəβō [only 3 plur. masc.], yiχtəβinn- [3 sing. masc., yiχ $t \ni \beta unn$ - 3 plur. masc.], $k \ni \theta u \beta$); with three, Eth.: indicative, jussive, and imperative (yekateb, yekteb, keteb); and with two, Syr. and Mod. (e.g. Egypt.) Arab.: indicative and imperative (neχtoβ, kəθοβ; yiktub, 'iktub).

¹ The ending -eh in the imperfect of verbs with final -h is the reduction of the diphthong ay; the e is, therefore, to be considered longer than tone-long \bar{e} .

² The nomenclature adopted by B-L—'affect-aorist', 'short aorist', and 'wāw-aorist'—seems to offer no appreciable advantages, and 'aorist', in particular, is liable to confusion with the somewhat different I-E aorist (cf. § 358, note).

§ 343. Of all these moods, two alone are independent: the indicative, denoting a fact; and the imperative, denoting a command. The former will be considered in the following sections; the latter (see §§ 377-80) shows a special form only in the second singular masculine (Acc. kutub, Heb. $k \partial \bar{b} \beta$, Syr. $k \partial b \partial \beta$, Arab. uktub, Eth. keteb); and one observes that, just as in Indo-European, it is precisely the second singular masculine imperative which shows the bare base-form; in other words, the second singular masculine of the imperative is, so to say, the 'vocative' of the verb, the remaining persons assigned to this mood being based upon the subjunctive or (more probably) jussive.

§ 344. The cohortative is clearly found only in Hebrew, though it may possibly be seen in Accadian and Arabic; and it occurs chiefly in the first singular and plural (rarely in the third singular) in the forms 'eχtəβāḥ ⟨*'aktubā, etc., as Heb. 'ešmərāḥ 'let me keep', 'āqūmāḥ 'let me arise', nənattəqāḥ 'let us break asunder', yāḥīšāḥ 'let him hasten', tāβō'āḥ 'let her come'. This seems to be a lengthening of the Semitic subjunctive (cf. Arab. yaktuba), perhaps for emphasis (cf. Arab. pausal 'aktubā), although it is also explained as an amalgamation with an exclamation ā, or as a compensatory lengthening for loss of the energetic -n- (cf. § 355). A similar formation seems present in such Hebrew imperatives as šomrāḥ 'keep!', miχrāḥ 'sell!' (cf. Acc. 'alka 'go!', qība 'say!').

§ 345. The three dependent moods in Semitic are the subjunctive (from which the Hebrew and Arabic cohortatives appear to be derived), the jussive (or apocopated), and the energetic. Their meanings seem best retained in Arabic, which alone has kept all three. Here the subjunctive indicates an act dependent upon the statement of the previous clause, and future to it in point of time, so that it is used to express purpose, result, etc. The jussive implies a command in the third person or prohibition weaker than in an imperative; and the energetic is employed chiefly in asseverations. These moods correspond, rather roughly, to the Indo-European subjunctive, injunctive,² and optative respectively.

§ 346. In Hebrew (except in the $\text{Hi}\varphi$ 'īl; cf. §§ 395-6), Syriac, and Modern Arabic, however, the loss of the final vowel of the in-

¹ e.g. Gk. ἄγε, Lat. age; cf. Brugmann, Grundriss II, iii, §§ 474-8.

² The Indo-European injunctive, seen most clearly in Indo-Iranian, is, outwardly, the augmentless indicative of an augmented tense (generally aorist, less commonly imperfect), used in a voluntative or future sense, and also serving for all persons of the imperative except the second singular (cf. Brugmann, *Grundriss* II, iii, §§ 428-9).

flexion has caused the subjunctive and jussive to coincide in form with the imperfect (Heb. $yi\chi t\bar{o}\beta$ [but $\text{Hi}\varphi$ 'īl $ya\chi t\bar{e}\beta$: $ya\chi t\bar{i}\beta$], Syr. $ne\chi to\beta = \text{Arab}$. yaktubu, yaktuba, yaktub).

§ 347. This coincidence has not only caused considerable confusion in Hebrew between imperfect, subjunctive, and jussive, but may also explain one of the most puzzling phenomena of Hebrew syntax, the 'waw consecutive', whereby an (at least apparent) imperfect preceded by waw has the force of a perfect, and a perfect, under like conditions, has the force of an imperfect, as $k\bar{\imath} \ r\bar{a}'\bar{\imath}\theta\bar{\imath}$ ' $\ell\bar{\imath}\bar{b}\bar{\imath}m$. . . wat-tinnāṣēl naφšī 'for I have seen God . . . and my life is preserved', $y \bar{e} \bar{s} \bar{e} \dots w \bar{s}$ -'āma $\delta w \bar{s}$ -qārā 'he will go . . . and stand and call'. Outside Hebrew, this construction is found in South Arabic (YGRBSM WSNKR WSF' WMSR 'will remove and alter and destroy and take away'), in Moabite (WYHLPH 'and he succeeded him', W'S 'and I built'), in the formulaic Phoen. WKN 'and it (they) shall be' of the sacrificial tariffs, in very early Aramaic (Hamā θ WY'NNI 'and he answered me'), and possibly in Punic (caneth . . . iadedin = QNYTY . . . W"D'DH-N 'may I get . . . and restore' [?], Plautus, Poenulus 932). Here 'and' apparently = 'so that'.

§ 348. The 'wāw consecutive' seems to possess some degree of resemblance with the Arabic 'fa (or wa) of simultaneousness', as iğfir lī . . . fa-'adhula 'l-ğannata 'pardon me . . . so that I may enter Paradise', wa-lā taṭġaw fīhi fa-yaḥulla 'alaykum ġaḍabī 'and do not exceed therein, lest my wrath alight upon you', hallā tadrusu fa-taḥfaza 'why dost thou not study, that thou mayest learn by heart?', mā ta'tīnā fa-tuḥaddiṭanā 'thou never comest to us to tell us something', lā tanha 'an ḥuluqi' wa-ta'tiya miṭlahu 'do not restrain (others) from a habit while thou practisest one like it', hal ta'kulu 's-samaka wa-tašraba 'l-labana 'dost thou eat fish while drinking milk?', this construction of fa (or wa) with the subjunctive being used in clauses of result when the preceding clause contains 'an imperative (affirmative or negative), or words equivalent in meaning to an imperative; or else it must express a wish or hope, or ask a question; or, finally, be a negative clause'.¹

§ 349. Side by side with this is another Arabic construction in which the imperfect indicative, appended to a preceding perfect without any intervening particle, forms a secondary subordinate clause expressing (a), if referring to an act future in relation to the perfect, 'the state in which the subject of the previous perfect found himself, when he completed the act expressed by that perfect'; or

¹ Wright, Grammar, ii, § 15 d-e; VG ii, §§ 78 bθ; 302 c, i.

- (b), if referring to an act continuing during the past time, 'the state in which the subject of the previous perfect found himself, when he did what that perfect expresses,' as (a) 'atā 'ilā 'ayni mā'i" yašrabu 'he came to a spring of water to drink', 'arsala yu'limuhu bi-dālika 'he sent to inform him of this'; (b) inkafa'a yaḥmadu maġdāhu 'he returned lauding his morning walk', ǧā'ū 'abāhum yabkūna 'they came to their father weeping'.
- § 350. It would seem, on the whole, that the apparent imperfect in Hebrew after 'wāw consecutive' was (a) a real imperfect when expressing concomitance or an act future to the completed act; but (b) a true subjunctive when expressing a state resultant upon the perfected state or denoting the purpose for which the perfected state exists. Hence such sentences as Heb. qāβar 'aβrāhām 'eθ-śārāḥ 'ištō 'el-mə'āraθ śəδēḥ ham-maxpēlāḥ . . . way-yāqom haś-śāδeḥ wə-ham-mə'ārāḥ . . . lə'aβrāhām 'Abraham buried Sarah his wife in the cave of the field of Machpelah . . . so that the field and the cave . . . were made sure unto Abraham'; bə-θummī tāmaxtā bī wat-taṣṣīβēnī lə-φāneyxā lə-'ōlām 'Thou upholdest me in mine integrity, to set me before Thy face forever', appear to represent primitive constructions with subjunctives of result and purpose respectively (yāqom (*iaqūma, etc.) the result-clauses being numerically by far more numerous.
- § 351. The sequence of perfect with imperfect after 'wāw consecutive' probably arose first, the sequence of imperfect with perfect being formed by analogy, especially as (a) the imperfect is clearly older than the perfect (cf. §§ 78-80, 361), and (b) the Semitic perfect has only the two independent moods (indicative and imperative).
- § 352. Even where, however, the apparent imperfect in Hebrew was really a subjunctive or jussive (cf. § 354), all knowledge of its origin had long since been lost, so that it was felt to be merely an imperfect indicative, thus aiding the analogical sequence of imperfect with (true) perfect after 'wāw consecutive'.
- § 353. The imperfect with 'wāw consecutive' is usually accented on the penult if an open syllable with a long vowel (yaqām: way-yāqom). In the perfect, on the other hand, the accent is regularly advanced from the penult to the last syllable in the first and second singular masculine (but not in the first plural), while wāw has normal nominal vocalisation (kāθάβtā: wə-kāθαβtā). The accent of the imperfect, under these conditions, is best explained as retention of the original Proto-Semitic accent, with inorganic doubling of the initial preformative (cf. §§ 59, 67, 73, 79); the accent of the perfect, on the

¹ Wright, Grammar, ii, § 8 d-e.

contrary, shows a later, specifically Canaanite, development (cf. §§ 75-76, 78-80), with analogical shifting of stress to the last syllable, even in the first singular and second singular masculine.

§ 354. The apparent imperfect in prohibitions, as al-tiqqah 'thou shalt not take', 'al-tišlaḥ 'stretch not forth', is really a jussive, as is clear from such Hiφ'īls forms as al-talšēn 'slander not!' (imperfect *tal- \tilde{sin}), 'al-taš $h\bar{e}\theta$ 'destroy not!' (imperfect $ya\tilde{s}h\tilde{i}\theta$), 'al-taš $e\beta$ 'refuse not!' (imperfect tāšīβ); but with a real imperfect in 'al-tabbīt 'look not!'1. The jussive likewise is frequently used after $w\bar{a}w$, as $qah\ w\bar{a}$ - $l\bar{e}\chi\ \bar{u}$ - $\theta h\bar{u}$ 'išš $\bar{a}h$ 'take (her), and go, and let her be a wife' (after imperative or cohortative), tāšeθ-hōšeχ w-īhī lāylāh 'make Thou darkness, and be it night' (in conditional sentences; i.e. 'if Thou shalt make darkness, it will be night'); and in the milder type of commands, as yəhī 'or 'let there be light'. All these find parallels in Arabic, as lā tuḥzan 'grieve not!', lā na'ud 'let us not return!', 'iš qani'a" takun malika" 'live contented, be thou king' (='and thou wilt be king'; Arab. has no wa in this type), li-yaktub 'let him write!'. Here again the jussive retains the Proto-Semitic accent on the first syllable in many instances (cf. Heb. jussive $yi\gamma el$ (* $iigl: impf. yi\gamma l\acute{e}h$) and the original vocalisation ($y\acute{a}\chi t\bar{e}\beta$) (*idktib-: yaxtiβ [for ī in the latter, see § 322]). Very rarely, the jussive is used after prohibitive $l\bar{o}$, as $l\bar{o}$ - $\theta\bar{o}s\bar{e}\varphi$ 'add not'!

§ 355. The Semitic energetic shows two forms, *jaktuban and *jaktubanna, of which Hebrew and Arabic alone retain both; Accadian has only the former ('iktuban, 'iktubana), and Aramaic only the latter (Bib. Aram. yəδaḥālinnanī 'it made me afraid', yəšamməšūnnēh 'they ministered unto him'). In Hebrew, the type *jaktuban appears, only with affixed pronouns, in such forms as yō'īδennī 'he will appoint me a time', təβa'āθannī 'thou terrifiest me', yəҳabbəδānnī 'he glorifieth me', yišmā'ekkā 'he will hear thee', 'ettəqenkā 'I shall pluck thee away', tinṣərekkāḥ 'she will keep thee', yaḥqərennū 'he will search him out', yiqqāḥennāḥ 'he will take her'.

§ 356. The second type of the energetic, *iaktubanna, may be the basis of the Hebrew affixed intensive particle -(n)nā, which is used with the cohortative (as 'ērəδāḥ-nnā 'let me go down', na'bərāḥ-nnāḥ 'may we pass through'), the jussive (as yēšeβ-nā 'let him abide', yō'mərū-nā 'let them say', təδabber-nā 'let her speak'), the imperative (as hippāreδ nā 'separate thyself', 'imrī-nā 'say thou' [fem.], sūrū nā

¹ In Indo-European, prohibition is not expressed by the imperative, but by the injunctive (cf. § 345, note 1)—as was still the case in Indo-Iranian—and its surrogates, such as the aorist subjunctive in Greek, the optative ('subjunctive') in Latin, the subjunctive in Armenian, the optative in Gothic (for details cf. Brugmann, Grundriss II, iii, §§ 733-41).

'turn aside'), and once (Gen. xl, 14) the perfect (wə-'āśīθā-nnā 'and thou shalt make'). The particle is likewise added to adverbs, conjunctions, and interjections, as 'ayyēḥ-nā 'where?', 'im-nā 'if', 'al-nā 'not', hinnēḥ-nā 'lo', 'ōy-nā 'woe!'.

§ 357. It would seem that such forms as na'bərāḥ-nnāḥ were developed from *na'burannā, yēšeβ-nā (*jašibannā, etc., comparable directly with Arab. yaktubanna, and that these (like the corresponding Aramaic forms) were evolving from the type *jaktuban by the addition of the emphatic particle -na.

C. Tenses

[VG i, §§ 258, 261, 264, ii, §§ 45 b, a; 74-9, 81-5, 324-8; KVG §§ 124, 129; P §§ 198, 208-9; Z § 38; O §§ 144, 149; B pp. 10-11, 17-19; B-L i, § 35; G §§ 40 a, 47 a (note), 106-7; G-B ii, §§ 3-9; Driver, passim; Cohen, espec. §§ 4-9, 16-23.]

§ 358. The ancient Semitic languages possessed at least two 'tenses', commonly called 'perfect' and 'imperfect'. The term 'tense' is here, however, rather a misnomer, for the 'perfect' and 'imperfect' do not denote *time* of action or state so much as *type* of action or state—the former an action or state completed, and hence 'perfect'; the latter an action or state not completed, and hence 'imperfect'.

§ 359. The Semitic concept is more nearly paralleled by the Indo-European 'aspect',² or temporal quality of action, though here again Semitic shows a marked divergence from Indo-European in that the 'aspect' of the latter is primarily either 'durative' or 'momentary' (e.g. 'beat' as contrasted with 'strike'). It seems to find its closest analogues in the 'present' and 'preterite' of Finno-Ugric, the former denoting incomplete, and the latter complete, action (e.g. Vogul minèγ-m, mənγə-m 'I [am] going' ['shall go'], minsə-m 'I went'),³ as well as in Kunama (e.g. i-lab-é-na 'it is becoming [will become] dry', i-lāb-ke 'it became [has become] dry') and other Sudano-Guinean languages,⁴ and, in Indo-European, in the Latin division of tenses

¹ B-L call the 'perfect' and 'imperfect' 'nominal' and 'aorist' respectively; but the Indo-European aorist (cf. Brugmann, Grundriss II, iii, §§ 665-71) is not precisely the Semitic 'aorist', and 'nominal' is too glottogonic in implication. The current terminology is admittedly misleading.

² For Indo-European 'aspect' see, e.g., Brugmann, Grundriss, II, iii, §§ 38, 46-9, 634-45; A. Meillet, Linguistique historique et linguistique générale, 2nd ed., pp. 181-90, Paris, 1926; J. Vendryes, Langage, pp. 116-21, 129-31 (Eng. transl., pp. 98-102, 109-11).

² J. Szinnyei, Finnisch-ugrische Sprachwissenschaft, 2nd ed., pp. 119, 123-4, Leipzig, 1922 (another type of Finno-Ugric 'preterite' denoting either complete or incomplete action is not here concerned); A. Sauvageot, in A. Meillet and M. Cohen, Les Langues du monde, p. 170, Paris, 1924.

⁴ F. Müller, Grundriss der Sprachwissenschaft, III, i, 61, Vienna, 1876-88 (cf. I, ii, 67, II, ii, 174, for similar phenomena in Bari and Samoyede); M. Delafosse, in Meillet-Cohen, p. 471.

into 'infectum' (present, imperfect, future) and 'perfectum' (perfect, pluperfect, future perfect.1

- § 360. The best terminology for the Semitic 'tenses' would seem to be the 'accompli—inaccompli' of Cohen, which may be rendered, to avoid all confusion with the established connotations of 'perfect' and 'imperfect' in Indo-European linguistics, by 'telic' and 'atelic' (actions or states).
- § 361. Of these two 'aspects', the 'atelic' is obviously older than the 'telic'. Some evidence has already been adduced (§§ 78-80; cf. §§ 351, 353) from the contrasting accentuational evolution of the two; and it is equally significant that the 'atelic' alone has moods (cf. §§ 342, 351).
- § 362. Apparently Semitic had at one time only a single 'tense', the 'atelic', which expressed action or state for past, present, and future alike. This seems to have been truly verbal. The 'telic', on the other hand, would appear to have developed later, and to have been formed by a noun of action (*katab-, *katib-, *katub-; cf. §§ 116-18) which in the third singular masculine sufficed in itself to serve as a verb, while the other persons received the appropriate personal pronouns either prefixed (in Acc., as taktub) or affixed (in the other languages, as Arab. katabta; cf. § 239), so that *katab- meant something like 'he (is) a writer', and *katabtā 'thy (being) a writer', etc., = 'he hath written, thou hast written', etc.²
- ¹ A. Meillet and J. Vendryes, Traité de grammaire comparée des langues classiques, §§ 384-90, Paris, 1924; Meillet, Esquisse d'une histoire de la langue latine, pp. 20-3, 2d ed., Paris, 1931.
- ² Parallels to such a construction can be cited from a number of language-groups, e.g. I-E Skt. periphrastic future dātā, dātāsi '(he is a) giver, thou art a giver' = 'he will give, thou wilt give', and perfect bandhayām āsa 'he has caused to be bound'; Old Pers. ima tya manā kartam 'hoc (est) quod mihi factum (est)' = 'this is what I have done' > Mod. Pers. kardam 'I have done'; even nouns of action and agent occasionally take direct objects in the accusative, as Skt. dātā vāsūni 'giver of good things', Lat. quid tibi nos tactiost? 'why dost thou touch us?' (cf. Brugmann, Grundriss II, ii, § 527, I). In Turkish, the past and the conditional are true verbs (sevdim 'I [have] loved', sevsem 'if I love'); the other tenses are composed of a participle and a personal form of the substantive verb, as seviyorum 'I love', probably (*sevi-yor-[maq]-um 'I am the one going in loving', etc. (letter of M. Vladimir Minorsky, 23 October 1929; in all these tenses the third singular has no personal ending, the participle alone sufficing). From the languages cited in § 90, note, one may mention here, for Africa: Vei i-ro 'thy saying' = 'thou sayest' (cf. i-fa 'thy father'), Somrai ni-kōī 'thy going' = 'thou goest' (cf. ni-yō 'thy camel'), Kunama nilābke (*ena é-lab-ke 'thy becoming dried up' = 'thou becomest dried up' (cf. é-wa 'thy father'); for Asia: Vogul mənγə-n 'thy going' = 'thou goest' (cf. lələ-n 'thy soul'), Aleut syu-gum-in 'thy taking' = 'thou takest' (cf. ada-n 'thy father'), Nēwārī chhā dā 'thy beating' = 'thou beatest', Rai ānā-ā yām-ta 'by thee a striking' = 'thou strikest'; North America: Kadiac txutšixa-n 'thy taking' = 'thou takest' (cf. ata-n 'thy father'), Algonkin ki-sakiha-tok 'my perhaps loving him' = 'perhaps I love him'; Central America: Chipanec i-papame-

§ 363. Besides these two 'tenses', Accadian has another, the 'permansive', its type being *kat(i)b- or *kat(u)b-, and its aspect durative. Like the 'telic', it is a nominal formation, its terminations being, except in the third singular masculine (where the simple baseform suffices), wholly pronominal, as šakin 'he is (was, will be) making', šaknat, šaknat(\bar{a}), jaknat(\bar{a}), jaknat(jaknat), jaknat(jaknat). This 'tense' does not occur in the other Semitic languages, but one may suggest that the 'neutral' verbs from the bases jaknat(jaknat), jaknat(jaknat

§ 364. It seems evident, moreover, that, long before the Proto-Semitic period, Semitic knew the 'durative' and 'momentary' aspects (cf. Gothic gabairan 'bear a child': bairan 'be carrying'; Lat. concipio 'grasp at once' [e.g. in the mind]: capio 'be seizing') simultaneously with the 'telic' and 'atelic'; and the very plausible hypothesis has been advanced by Cohen (p. 18) that the 'accompli', before assuming the aspect which it possesses in the historic period, was a durative analogous to the Accadian permansive.

§ 365. Except for the Accadian permansive, durative aspect was expressed in Semitic in historic times, not by a verbal form, but by a 'nominal sentence' (phrase nominale),¹ in which the predicate is a participle, as Heb. hā-'ārōn wə-yiśrā'ēl w-īhūδāḥ yōšəβīm . . . wa'δōnī yō'āβ we-'aβəδēy 'ἄδōnī . . . ḥōnīm 'the ark, and Israel, and Judah (are) abiding . . . and my lord Joab, and the servants of my lord . . . (are) encamping', tō'ănāḥ hū(a)-məβaqqēš mip-pəlištīm ū-βā'ēθ ha-hīy(a) pəlištīm mōšəlīm bə-yiśrā'ēl 'he (was) seeking (an occasion) from the Philistines, for at that time the Philistines (were) ruling over Israel'; Syr. 'aryā gēr besrā 'āxel 'for the lion (is always) eating meat'; Arab. 'as-samā'u munfaṭiru" bi-hi 'heaven (is) being reft asunder by it', huwa qā'ilu" la-kum 'he (is always) saying to thee'.

he 'thy speaking' = 'thou speakest' (cf. se-he 'thine'); South America: Yaruro ea-me 'thy willing' = 'thou wilt' (cf. itkši-me 'thy hand'), Kichua apa-n-ki 'thy carrying' = 'thou carriest' (cf. láma-iki 'thy llama'), Lule amaitsi-tse 'thy loving' = 'thou lovest' (cf. umue-tse 'thy mother'), Yaghan s-ušōχ-mūtū 'thy giving' = 'thou givest' (cf. sa-dāšināka 'thy cousin').

¹ Vendryes, Langage, pp. 144-6, 148-9 (Eng. transl. pp. 121-3, 125-6); B pp. 15-16.

93

D. Conjugation of the Strong Verb

[VG i, §§ 260, 262, 273; KVG §§ 126-7, 138; P §§ 201-5, 241; Z §§ 38-42, 44-5; W pp. 165-91; O §§ 146-9; B pp. 11-12; B-L i, §§ 40-2, 48; G §§ 44, 46-7, 57-60; G-B ii, §§ 4-5, 14 a-h.]

1. 'Atelic'

§ 366. The inflexion of this 'tense' in the chief Semitic languages is given on page 94.

§ 367. With the affixed pronoun of the first singular $(-n\bar{\imath})$, these persons show the following forms in Hebrew and Aramaic (Syriac), which alone of the Semitic languages make any noteworthy change of verbal termination under such conditions:

			Hebrew	Syriac
3rd s	sing	. masc.	$yi\chi t \partial eta ar{e} n ar{\imath}$	$ne\chi t \partial \beta an(\bar{\imath})$
"	"	fem.	$ti\chi t \partial eta \bar{e} n \bar{\imath}$	$te\chi t \partial \beta an(\bar{\imath})$
2nd	"	masc.	tiχtəβēnī	$te\chi t \partial \beta an(\bar{\imath})$
"	"	fem.	$ti\chi t \partial eta \bar{\imath} n \bar{\imath}$	$te\chi t \partial ar{\imath} n \bar{a} n(\bar{\imath})$
ıst	"	com.	$(e\chi t\partial eta ar{e} nar{\iota})$	$(e\chi t\partial \beta an[\bar{\imath}])$
3rd 1	plur	. masc.	$yi\chi t \partial ar{u} n ar{\imath}$	$ne\chi t \partial ar{u} n \bar{a} n(\bar{\imath})$
"	"	fem.	$(ti\chi t\partial eta ar{u}nar{\imath})$	$ne\chi t \partial ar{a} n \bar{a} n(\bar{\imath})$
2nd	"	masc.	$ti\chi t ightarrow eta ar{u} n ar{\imath}$	$te\chi t \partial ar{u} n \bar{a} n(\bar{\imath})$
"	22	fem.	$(ti\chi t \ni \beta \bar{u}n\bar{\imath})$	$te\chi t \partial ar{a} n \bar{a} n(\bar{\imath})$
ıst	"	com.	$(ni\chi t\partialetaar{e}nar{\imath})$	$(ne\chi t \partial \beta an[\bar{\imath}])$

§ 368. Similarly, the third singular masculine in these two groups (Heb. $yi\chi t\bar{o}\beta$, Syr. $ne\chi t\bar{o}\beta$) shows, with the affixed pronouns of all persons (cf. §§ 236-8), the following forms:

			Hebrew	Syriac
3rd s	sing	. masc.	$yi\chi t ightarrow eta ar{e} har{u}$	$ne\chi t \partial \beta e h$, ' $ne\chi t \partial \beta \bar{\imath} w(hi)$
"	"	fem.	$yi\chi t \partial \beta e h \bar{a}$	$ne\chi t \ni \beta \bar{\iota} h$
2nd	"	masc.	$yi\chi to eta artheta \chi ar{a}$	$ne\chi t \partial \beta \bar{a} \chi$
"	"	fem.	$yi\chi t\partialetaar{e}\chi$	$ne\chi t \ni \beta e \chi(\bar{\imath})$
ıst	"	com.	$yi\chi t ightarrow eta ar{e} n ar{\imath}$	$ne\chi t ightarrow eta an(ar{\imath})$
3rd 1	plur	. masc.	$yi\chi t \partial \beta \bar{e} m$	
"	"	fem.	$(yi\chi t\partial \beta \bar{e}n)$	
2nd	"	masc.	$yi\chi to \beta \ni \chi em$	$ne\chi t\bar{u}\beta \bar{\sigma}\chi \bar{o}n$
"	"	fem.	$(yi\chi to\beta \partial \chi en)$	$ne\chi t\bar{u}\beta \partial \chi eyn$
ıst	"	com.	$yi\chi t \partial eta \bar{e} n \bar{u}$	$ne\chi t \partial \beta an$

§ 369. The Hebrew third singular masculine and feminine, second singular masculine, and first singular and plural seem to show

'ATELIC' IN SEMITIC

			Accadian		Hebrew	Syriac Arabic		Syro-Palestinian	Ethiopic
			'Present'	'Preterite'				Arabic	
3rd s	sing	. masc.	'ikatab	'iktub	yiχtōβ	neχtōβ	yaktubu	yiktub	yekateb
"	"	fem.	takatab	taktub	$ti\chi t\bar{o}\beta$	$te\chi t\bar{o}\beta(\bar{\imath})$	taktubu	tiktub	tekateb
2nd	"	masc.	takatab	taktub	$ti\chi t\bar{o}\beta$	teχtōβ	taktubu	tiktub	tekateb
"	"	fem.	$takatab\bar{\imath}$	$taktub\bar{\imath}$	$ti\chi t \partial \bar{n}(n)$	$te\chi t \partial \bar{n}$	taktubīna	ı tiktəbī	$tekateb\bar{\imath}$
ıst	"	com.	'akatab	'aktub	$e\chi t\bar{o}\beta$	$e\chi t \delta \beta$	'aktubu	'iktub	'ekateb
3rd o	dua	l masc.					yaktubān	i	
"	"	fem.					taktubāni	i	83
2nd	"	com.					taktubāni	i	
3rd p	plur	. masc.	$'ikatabar{u}$	$iktubar{u}$	$yi\chi t \partial ar{u}(n)$	$ne\chi t \partial \beta \bar{u} n$	yaktubūn	a (yekatebū
"	"	fem.	'ikatabā	'iktubā	tiχtōβnāh	$ne\chi t \partial \beta \bar{a} n$	yaktubna	yiktəbū	yekatebā
2nd	"	masc.	$takatab\bar{u}$	$taktub\bar{u}$	$ti\chi t \partial ar{u}(n)$	$te\chi t \partial \bar{u}n$	taktubūn	a luna	tekatebū
"	"	fem.	$takatab\bar{a}$	taktubā	tiχtōβnāh	textəβān	taktubna	tiktəbū	tekatebā
ıst	"	com.	nikatab	niktub	$ni\chi t\bar{o}\beta$	$ne\chi t\bar{o}\beta$	naktubu	niktub	nekateb

-i as their final vowel as contrasted with the Arabic -u, while Syriac appears to have had both i and a ($ne\chi t \ni \beta an[i]$: $ne\chi t \ni \beta e h$). The original preformatives ya-, ta-, na- reappear in the $Hi\varphi$ 'īl and $Ho\varphi$ 'al (§§ 395-8), as well as in the Qal of verbs with initial pharyngals, geminated medials, and medial u ($ya\chi t i \beta$, $ya' am \delta \delta$, $ya \delta i \beta$, ya q i m, etc.; §§ 408-13, 419-20).

§ 370. In the third singular feminine, all dialects show t- $\langle *y$ -, probably by analogy with the feminine ending -at of both the noun and the third singular feminine of the perfect, and the Hebrew third plural feminine was similarly formed, the original preformatives appearing very rarely, as in $ya'\check{a}m\bar{o}\delta n\bar{a}h$ 'they shall stand up', way- $yi\check{s}\check{s}arn\bar{a}h$ 'and they went straight'. In Syriac, the third singular masculine $ne\chi t\bar{o}\beta$ and the third plural $ne\chi t\bar{o}\beta\bar{u}n$, $ne\chi t\bar{o}\beta\bar{u}n$ are best explained as analogical with the first plural $ne\chi t\bar{o}\beta$ (similarly the first sing. in Moroccan, Tunisian, and Tripolitan Arabic: nekteb, nuktub, nektib; Biblical Aramaic, however, has $yi\chi tu\beta$, $yi\chi t\bar{o}\beta\bar{u}n$, $yi\chi t\bar{o}\beta\bar{u}n$).

§ 371. The Proto-Semitic inflexion of the 'atelic' would seem to have been as shown in the table on page 96.

2. 'Telic'

§ 372. The inflexion of this 'tense' in the chief Semitic languages is given on page 97.

§ 373. With the affixed pronoun of the first singular (-nī), these persons show the following forms in Hebrew and Aramaic (Syriac), which alone of the Semitic languages make any noteworthy change of verbal termination under such conditions:

			£.	Hebrew	Syriac
3rd s	sing	. masc.		$k \partial \theta \bar{a} \beta a n \bar{\imath}$	$ka\theta ban(\overline{\imath})$
"	"	fem.		$k \partial \theta \bar{a} \beta a \theta n \bar{\imath}$	$k \partial \theta a \beta \partial \theta a n(\bar{\imath})$
2nd	,,	masc.		$k \partial \theta a \beta t a n \bar{\imath}$	$k \partial \theta a \beta t \bar{a} n(\bar{\imath})$
**	**	fem.		$k \partial a \beta t \bar{\imath} n \bar{\imath}$	$k \partial \theta a \beta t \bar{\imath} n(\bar{\imath})$
ıst	"	com.		$(k \partial \theta a \beta t \bar{\imath} n \bar{\imath})$	$(k \partial \theta a \beta tan[\bar{\imath}])$
3rd I	3rd plur. masc.)	kəθāβūnī	$ka\theta bar{u}n(ar{a}n)(ar{\imath})$
"	"	fem.	ſ	кэварині	$ka\theta b\bar{a}n(\bar{\imath}),\ k \partial \theta a\beta eyn\bar{a}n(\bar{\imath})$
2nd	"	masc.)	1.00-01	$k \partial \theta a \beta t \bar{u} n \bar{a} n(\bar{\imath})$
**	"	fem.	ſ	$k \partial \theta a \beta t \bar{u} n \bar{\imath}$	$k \partial \theta a \beta t e y n \bar{a} n(\bar{\imath})$
ıst	"	com.		$(k \partial \theta a \beta n \bar{u} n \bar{\imath})$	$(k \partial \theta a \beta n \bar{a} n [\bar{\imath}])$

'ATELIC' IN PROTO-SEMITIC

	Sing.	Dual	Plur.
3rd masc.	ia/u - ${k(u)tub \brace k(i)tib}$ - u/i	$\left\{ ia/u - {k(u)tub \choose k(i)tib} - \bar{a}(nt) \right\}$	ia/u - ${k(u)tub \atop k(i)tib}$ - $\bar{u}(n\bar{a})$
" fem.	ia/u - ${k(u)tub \brace k(i)tib}$ - u/i	$\binom{ka/a^2}{k(i)tib}$	ia/u - ${k(u)tub \choose k(i)tib}$ - (n) ā
2nd masc.	ta/u - ${k(u)tub \brace k(i)tib}$ - u/i	$\begin{cases} ta/u - {k(u)tub \brace k(i)tib} - \bar{a}(nt) \end{cases}$	$ta/u-{k(u)tub \brace k(i)tib}-ar{u}(nar{a})$
" fem.	$ta/u-{iggl\{k(u)tub\} \over k(i)tib}$ - $ar{\imath}(nar{a})$	$\int_{0}^{ta/a^{2}} k(i)tib \int_{0}^{-a(nt)}$	ta/u - ${k(u)tub \brace k(i)tib}$ - (n) ă
1st com.	'a/'u- ${k(u)tub \brace k(i)tib}$ -u/i		na/u - ${k(u)tub \choose k(i)tib}$ - u/i

'TELIC' IN SEMITIC

			Accadian 'permansive'	Hebrew	Syriac	Arabic	Syro-Palestinian Arabic	Ethiopic
3rd s	sing.	. masc.	katib	$k\bar{a}\theta a\beta$	kəθaβ	kataba	katab	kataba
"	"	fem.	katbat	$k\bar{a}\theta \hat{\rho} \beta \bar{a} h$	$ke\theta ba\theta$	katabat	katabat	katabat
2nd	"	masc.	$katb\bar{a}t(\bar{a})$	$k\bar{a}\theta a\beta t\bar{a}$	$k \partial \theta a \beta t$	katabta	katabt	katabka
,,	"	fem.	katbātī	$k\bar{a}\theta a\beta t(\bar{\imath})$	$k \partial \theta a \beta t(\bar{\imath})$	katabtī	kətabtī	$katabk\bar{\imath}$
ıst	"	com.	$katb\bar{a}k(\bar{u})$	$k\bar{a} heta aeta t\bar{\imath}$	$ke\theta be\theta$	katabtu	katabt	$katabk\bar{u}$
3rd	dual	masc.				katabā		
"	,,	fem.				$katabat\bar{a}$		
2nd	"	com.				$katabtumar{a}$		
3rd	plur	. masc.	$katbar{u}$	10-0-	$k \partial \theta a \beta(\bar{u} - n)$	$katabar{u}$	1	katabū
"	,,	fem.	$katb\bar{a}$	$k\bar{a}\theta \partial \beta \bar{u}$	$k \partial \theta a \beta (eyn)$	katabna	} katabū	katabā
2nd	"	masc.	katbātunū	kəθaβtem	$k \partial \theta a \beta t \bar{o} n$	katabtum(u)	1 1	katabkemmi
"	"	fem.	$katb\bar{a}tin\bar{a}$	$k \partial \theta a \beta ten$	$k \partial \theta a \beta t e y n$	katabtunna	kətabtū	katabken
ıst	"	com.	$katb\bar{a}n\bar{\imath}/\bar{u}$	$k\bar{a} heta aeta nar{u}$	$k \partial \theta a \beta n (-an)$	$katabn\bar{a}$	kətabnā	katabna

§ 374. Similarly, the third singular masculine in these two groups (Heb. kāθaβ, Syr. kəθaβ) shows, with the affixed pronouns of all persons (cf. §§ 236-8), the following forms:

		Hebrew	Syriac
3rd sing. n	nasc.	$k \partial \theta \bar{a} \beta \bar{a} h \bar{u}$	$ka\theta beh$
	em.	$k \partial \theta \bar{a} \beta \bar{a} h$	$ku\theta b\bar{a}h$
2nd " n	nasc.	$k \partial \bar{a} \beta \partial \chi \bar{a}$	$ka\theta b\bar{a}\chi$
" " f	em.	$k \partial \theta \bar{a} \beta \bar{e} \chi$	$ka\theta be\chi(\overline{\imath})$
1st sing. c	om.	$k \partial \theta \bar{a} \beta a n \bar{\imath}$	$ka\theta ban(\overline{\imath})$
3rd plur. n	nasc.	$k \partial \theta \bar{a} \beta \bar{a} m$	
" " f	em.	$k \partial \theta \bar{a} \beta \bar{a} n$	
2nd " n	nasc.	$(k \partial \theta a \beta \partial \chi e m)$	$k \partial \theta a \beta \partial \chi \bar{o} n$
" " f	em.	$(k \partial \theta a \beta \partial \chi e n)$	$k \partial \theta a \beta \partial \chi e y n$
ıst " c	om.	$k \partial \theta \bar{a} \beta \bar{a} n \bar{u}$	$ka\theta ban$

§ 375. In Hebrew, the 3rd sing. fem. $k\bar{a}\theta\bar{a}\beta\bar{a}h$ (*kat(a)bat (cf., with affixed pronoun, $k\bar{a}\theta\bar{a}\beta a\theta n\bar{\imath}$) is formed like 'feminine' nouns in $-\bar{a}h$ (*-at (cf. § 179). In the first singular common in Hebrew, as in Aramaic and Arabic, t has been substituted for Proto-Semitic k (preserved in Accadian and Ethiopic) by analogy with the second singular and plural (conversely, Ethiopic here has k for t by analogy with the first singular common), and $\bar{\imath}$ for \bar{u} by analogy with the pronominal affix $-n\bar{\imath}$. In the second plural masculine, Hebrew substitutes e for u by analogy with the second plural feminine; and in the latter, Arabic reverses the order by substituting u for i on the analogy of the second plural masculine. In the first plural common, Hebrew substitutes \bar{u} for \bar{a} by analogy with the independent pronoun (' \bar{a}) $nahn\bar{u}$ 'we'.

§ 376. The Proto-Semitic inflexion of the 'telic' would seem to have been:

	Singular	Dual	Plural
3rd masc.	kataba	$katab\bar{a}$	$katab\bar{u}$
" fem.	katabat	katabatā	katabā
2nd masc.	katab(a)ta	1.4-1/-14	katab(a)tumŭ
" fem.	$katab(a)t\bar{\imath}$	} katab(a)tumā	katab(a)tinnă
1st com.	$katab(a)k\bar{u}$	<i>*</i>	$katab(a)n\bar{a}$

3. Imperative

§ 377. The inflexion of this mood in the chief Semitic languages is shown on the opposite page.

IMPERATIVE IN SEMITIC

·	Accadian	Hebrew	Syriac	Arabic	Syro-Palestinian Arabic	Ethiopic
2nd sing. masc. " " fem.	kutub $kut(u)b\bar{\imath}$	kəθōβ kiθəβī	$k extstyle heta ar{o} eta \ k extstyle heta ar{o} eta(ar{\imath})$	uktub uktubī	uktub, ktūb (u)ktəbī, ktubī	keteb ketebī
" dual com.				uktubā		
" plur. masc. " fem.	$kut(u)b\bar{u}$ $kut(u)b\bar{a}$	kiθəβū kəθōβnāḥ	$k ota heta ar{o} eta(ar{u}n) \ k ota heta ar{o} eta(eyn)$	uktubū uktubna	$ (u)ktəbar{u}, ktubar{u}$	ketebū ketebā

§ 378. With affixed pronouns, as in §§ 367-8, 373-4, one has:

		Hebrew	Syriac
(a)	2nd sing. masc.	$ko\theta \partial \beta \bar{e}n\bar{\imath}$	$k \partial \theta \bar{o} \beta a y n(\bar{\imath})$
	" " fem.	$ko\theta \partial eta \bar{n} \bar{n}$	$k \partial \theta \bar{o} \beta \bar{\imath} n(\bar{\imath})$
	" plur. masc.	1.:0.0.	$k\bar{o} heta\partialar{u}n(\bar{a}n)(\bar{\imath})$
	" " fem.	$\begin{cases} ki heta atural eta ar{u}nar{\imath} \end{cases}$	$k \partial \theta \bar{o} \beta e y n \bar{a} n(\bar{\imath})$
(b)	3rd sing. masc.	$ko\theta \partial eta ar{e} har{u}$	$k \partial \theta \bar{o} \beta \bar{a} y (h \bar{\imath})$
	" " fem.	$ko\theta \partial eta ehar{a}$	$k \partial \theta \bar{\delta} \beta e y h$
	ıst " com.	$ko\theta \partial \beta \bar{e}n\bar{\imath}$	$k \partial \bar{\theta} \bar{\delta} \beta a y n(\bar{\imath})$
	3rd plur. masc.	$ko\theta \partial eta ar{e}m$	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
	" " fem.	$(ko\theta \partial \beta \bar{e}n)$	
	ıst " com.	$ko\theta \partial eta ar{e} nar{u}$	$k \partial \theta \bar{o} \beta a y n$

§ 379. The second singular masculine is identical in form with the verbal base, and the remaining persons with the corresponding persons of the jussive, except that the imperative has no preformatives (cf. § 343).

§ 380. The Proto-Semitic inflexion of the mood would seem to

have been:
Singular

Dual

and masc. k(u)tub, k(i)tibfem. $k(u)tub\bar{i}, k(i)tib\bar{i}$ Plural

and masc. $k(u)tub\bar{u}, k(i)tib\bar{u}$ fem. $k(u)tub\bar{u}, k(i)tib\bar{u}$ fem. $k(u)tub\bar{u}, k(i)tib\bar{u}$

4. Infinitives and Participles

[VG i, § 263, ii, §§ 81-91; KVG § 128; P §§ 206-7; W pp. 195-8; O §§ 150-1; B-L i, §§ 36 d'-l', 43, 48 y'-g"; G §§ 45, 50, 61 a-e, h, 113-6; G-B ii, §§ 11-13.]

§ 381. The infinitives and participles (verbal nouns and adjectives respectively) which appear in Hebrew are represented in the chief Semitic languages and in Proto-Semitic by the forms shown in the table on the opposite page.¹

§ 382. For the types *k(u)tub-, $*kat\bar{a}b$ -, $*k\bar{a}tib$ -, $*kat\bar{u}b$ - see §§ 120, 125, 132, 127, and for *katb- (Acc. pass. part. and Arab. inf.) and $*makt\bar{u}b$ - (Arab. pass. part.) §§ 122, 160. With Heb. $k\bar{a}\theta\bar{o}\beta$ cf. such Arab. infinitives as $\$al\bar{a}hu^n$ 'be in good condition', $fas\bar{a}du^n$ 'be spoiled', $dah\bar{a}bu^n$ 'go away,' $naf\bar{a}du^n$ 'penetrate', and such Eth. participles as $nag\bar{a}\$\bar{i}$ 'king' ('reigning one'); with Heb. $k\bar{a}\theta\bar{u}\beta$, Acc. $ba'\bar{u}l\bar{a}ti$ 'sub-

¹ For the manifold forms of the infinitive, e.g. in Arabic and Talmudic Aramaic, see, besides the bibliography cited, Wright, Grammar, i, §§ 195-203; C. Levias, Grammar of the Aramaic Idiom contained in the Babylonian Talmud, §§ 222-3, Cincinnati, 1900.

INFINITIVES AND PARTICIPLES

		Accadian	Hebrew	Syriac	Arabic	Ethiopic	Proto-Semitic
Inf. const. " abs.		kātābu	k≥θōβ kāθōβ	$(me\chi ta\beta)$	(katbu*)	(katībōt)	$k(u)tub$ - $kat\bar{a}b$ -
Part. act.	masc.	$k\bar{a}tibu$	$k\bar{o}\theta\bar{e}\beta$	$k\bar{a}\theta eeta$	$k\bar{a}tibu^n$	$(kat\bar{a}b\bar{\imath})$	kātib-
" "	fem.	kātībtu	∫ kōθəβāḥ ⟨kōθeβeθ	$k\bar{a} heta auetaar{a}$	$k\bar{a}tibatu^n$		kātibat-
" pass.	masc. fem.	$(katbu) \ (katibtu)$	kāθũβ k∍θūβāḥ	$(k \partial \bar{\theta} \bar{\imath} \beta)$ $(k \partial \bar{\theta} \bar{\imath} \beta \bar{a})$	(ma-ktūbu ⁿ) (ma-ktūbatu ⁿ)	ketūb	katūb- katūbat-

IO2 VERBS

jects', Arab. qabūlu" 'go forward', rasūlu" 'envoy', 'arūsu" 'bridegroom' ('wedded'), Syr. rəḥūmṭā 'beloved', gənūβtā 'stolen goods'; with Eth. katībōt, Arab. raḥīlu" 'travel', barīqu" 'gleam', 'azīmu" 'resolve'; with Syr. kəθīβ (cf. § 126), Heb. 'āsīr 'captive', māšīạḥ 'anointed', Arab. nasīğu" 'woven', naḥīru" 'slaughtered', ğarīḥu" 'wounded'; with Syr. meχtaβ (cf. § 157), Arab. madḥalu" 'enter', maḥbasu" 'imprison'.

§ 383. In the derived forms (omitting the various new types of the infinitive in Arabic and Ethiopic) the formations are: (a) for the infinitive:—Accadian, permansive base (§ 363); Hebrew, the base of the atelic (the absolute has \bar{o} except in the Hi φ 'īl, Ho φ 'al, and Hi θ pa'ēl, where it has \bar{e} ; cf. §§ 395-400); Syriac, $k(a)t(t)\bar{a}b\bar{u}$ (cf. §§ 125, 137) with preformative ma-; (b) for the participle:—Accadian, base of the atelic in -i- with preformative mu-; Hebrew, atelic base with preformative ma- (the reflexive-passive is characterised by \bar{a} , and the Ni φ 'al has no preformative); Syriac, atelic base with preformative ma- (passives are characterised by a instead of e); Arabic and Ethiopic, atelic base with preformative mu- and ma- respectively.

E. Synopsis of the Types of the Strong Verb

§ 384. Consideration has already been given to the distinction between 'active' and 'neutral' verbs (§§ 298-9) as well as to the various verb-formations (§§ 302-41), and in the foregoing section the inflexion of the simplest of these (represented by the Hebrew Qal, etc.) has been discussed. Since the remaining chief types show little that cannot readily be inferred from the principles given in previous pages, it would seem sufficient simply to summarise them as seen in the Hebrew verb, following the order conventionally adopted.

1. Qal

§ 385. The distinction between the 'active' verb and the two categories of 'neutral' verbs is maintained practically throughout.

§ 386. This material seems to imply that even the late Proto-Semitic period knew much confusion between the three types, and one may suggest that the earlier forms were as follows (those of which no traces exist being enclosed in brackets):

Telic	Atelic	Imperative	Infinitive		Passive
kataba	katubu	k(a)tub	$[k(a)t\bar{u}b$ -]	participle [kātub-]	participle $k(a)t\bar{u}b$ -
katiba	katabu	k(a)tab	$k(a)t\bar{a}b$ -	[kātab-]	$[k(a)t\bar{a}b-]$
katuba	katibu	k(a)tib	$k(a)t\bar{\imath}b$ -	kātib-	$k(a)t\bar{\imath}b$ -

QAL

			Accadian	Hebrew	Aramaic	Arabic	Ethiopic	Proto-Semitic
Telic	а		*kataba¹	$k\bar{a}\theta a\beta$	$k \partial \theta a \beta$	kataba	kataba	kataba
"	i		katiba	$k\bar{a}\theta\bar{e}\beta$	$k \partial \theta e \beta$	katiba	1	katiba
"	u		katuba	$k\bar{a}\theta\bar{o}\beta$	Man. $k \partial \theta u \beta^2$	katuba	}katba	katuba
Atelic	a		'iktub	$yi\chi t\bar{o}\beta$	Bib. $yi\chi tu\beta$	yaktubu, yaktibu³	yekteb4	ia/uk(u)tubu/i ia/uk(i)tibu/i
"	i		'iktab	1	D:L \4-0	yaktabu	yektab	ia/uk(a)tabu/i
"	u		iktib	$yi\chi ta\beta$	Bib. $yi\chi ta\beta$	yaktubu	yekteb	ja/uk(u)tubu/i
Impv.	a		katab	kəθōβ	$k \partial \theta \bar{u} \beta$	uktub	keteb	k(u)tub, k(i)tib
"	i u		kitib kutub	$k \partial \theta a \beta$	$k \partial a \beta$	iktib, iktab	ketab keteb	k(a)tab k(u)tub
Inf.	a		katābu	kāθōβ⁵	}	{)	katūb-, katīb-
"	i u		}kat ēbu	$k ext{$\partial \theta a eta^6$}$	$me\chi ta\beta$	katbu*	katībōt	katāb- katūb-
Act.	part	. a) '	$k\bar{o}\theta\bar{e}\beta$)))	kātub-, kātib-
"	"	i	kātibu	$k\bar{a}\theta\bar{e}\beta$	$k\bar{a}\theta e\beta$	kātibu"	$(kat\bar{a}b\bar{\imath})$	kātab-
"	"	u)	$k\bar{a}\theta\bar{o}\beta^7$))	kātib-
Pass.	"	a)))))	$k(a)t\bar{u}b$ -, $kat\bar{u}b$ -
"	"	i	katbu ⁸	$k\bar{a}\theta\bar{u}\beta$	$k \partial \theta \bar{\imath} \beta$	maktūbu ⁿ	ketūb	$k(a)t\bar{a}b$ -
"	"	u)))	Jacobson Mariana)	$k(a)t\bar{u}b$ -

¹ This permansive form seems not to occur. ² Occasionally also in Syriac, as $q = \varphi \bar{o} \delta$ 'bristle'; cf. Targ. Aramaic $d = m u_\chi$ 'sleep' (so also in Jewish and Christian Palestinian Aramaic).

3 Usually yaktabu in the presence of pharyngals.

4 Subjunctive (ind. yekateb throughout).

5 The usual Hebrew infinitive is from the nominal type *kutub- (cf. § 120).

6 By analogy with atelics in a.

7 From the adjectival types *kātib-, *katīb-, *katāb (§§ 132, 117, 125).

8 From the adjective type *katb- (§ 122).

§ 387. In Hebrew and Aramaic the second type has superseded the third in the atelic and imperative; in Ethiopic, the second and third have coalesced in the telic, as have the first and third in the atelic and imperative. For the earlier stages of Proto-Semitic, the infinitive and participle should, it would seem, be re-arranged as shown on the opposite page.

§ 388. If this classification be correct, it would seem that the infinitives and active participles, as well as the Aramaic passive participles, were essentially 'neutral' in their original meaning as expressing a state of being; only the passive participle was primarily 'active' as denoting the recipient of an action.

2. Nig'al

§ 389.				
9 3-3.	Accadian	Hebrew	Arabic	Proto-Semitic
Telic	'ikkatab	$ni\chi ta\beta$	inkataba	nakataba
Atelic	'ikkatib	$yikk\bar{a}\theta \bar{e}\beta$	yankatibu	ja/unakatibu/i
Impv.	nak(a)tib	$hikk\bar{a}\theta \bar{e}\beta$	inkatib	nakatib
Inf. const.		$hikk\bar{a}\theta\bar{e}\beta$?
" abs.	nak(a)tubu	(niχtōβ, (hikkāθōβ	inkitābu"	?
Part.	mukkat(i)bu	$ni\chi t\bar{a}\beta$	$munkatibu^n$?

§ 390. For the development of the historical forms of the telic see §§ 52, 321. The Hebrew atelic $yikk\bar{a}\theta\bar{e}\beta$ and impv. $hikk\bar{a}\theta\bar{e}\beta$ are developed from * $y \ni nk\bar{a}\theta\bar{e}\beta$ \langle *ian(a)katib- and $n\ni \chi\bar{a}\theta\bar{e}\beta$ \langle *n(a)katib respectively (for the frequent Hebrew pausal form $yikk\bar{a}\theta a\beta$ see § 21, note 3). The prothetic h in the Hebrew imperative and infinitives is due to analogy with verbs having a true preformative h (cf. §§ 322-4, 326). With the Hebrew inf. const. $hikk\bar{a}\theta\bar{e}\beta$ \langle *nakatib- cf. such Arabic infinitives as $kadibu^n$ 'lie', $dahiku^n$ 'laugh', $sariqu^n$ 'rob', though the Hebrew form is probably on the analogy of the atelic. The part. is formed after the telic $ni\chi ta\beta$, just as the 'neutral' participles $z\bar{a}q\bar{e}n$ and $q\bar{a}t\bar{o}n$ apparently coincide with their corresponding telics.

3. Pi'ēl

§ 391. See table on page 106.

§ 392. For the telic see also §§ 312-14; the original a always appears in Heb. $kitta\beta t\bar{a}$ 'thou hast written', etc. The infinitive absolute is formed on the model of the corresponding Qal; and the infinitive construct and participle are by analogy with the atelic.

INFINITIVES AND PARTICIPLES OF QAL

			Proto-Semitic	Accadian	Hebrew	Aramaic	Arabic	Ethiopic
Inf. a			$[kat\bar{u}b-]$	1112/201133				
" i			katāb-	katābu	$k\bar{a}\theta\bar{o}\beta$			(cf. act. part. katābī)
" u			$kat\bar{\imath}b$ -	$kat \bar{e}bu$				$kat\bar{\imath}b\bar{o}t$
Act. p	art.	a	$[k\bar{a}tub-]$					
27	"	i	$[k\bar{a}tab-]$					
"	,,	u	kātib-	$k\bar{a}tibu$	$k\bar{o}\theta\bar{e}\beta$	$k\bar{a}\theta eeta$	kātibu"	
Pass.	"	a	$k(a)t\bar{u}b$ -		$k\bar{a}\theta\bar{u}\beta$		maktūbu"	ketūb
**	"	i	$[k(a)t\bar{a}b$ -]					
"	22	u	$k(a)t\bar{\imath}b$ -			$k ota heta \overline{\imath} eta$		

PI'ĒL

	Accadian	Hebrew	Syriac	Arabic	Ethiopic	Proto-Semitic
Telic	'ukattab	kittēβ, kittaβ	katteβ	kattaba	kattaba	kattaba
Atelic	`ukattib	$y = \chi a t t \bar{e} \beta$	$n \vartheta \chi atte \beta$	yukattibu	yekatteb	įa/ukattibu/i
Impv.	ka/uttib	$katt \bar{e} \beta$	$katte\beta$	kattib	katteb	kattib
Inf. const.		$kattar{e}eta$?
" abs.	kuttubu	$katt\bar{o}\beta$	$m u \chi att \bar{a} eta \bar{u}$	taktību"	kattābī	?
Part.	mukattibu	məχattēβ	$m \hat{\sigma} \chi atte \beta$	mukattibu"	makatteb	mukattib-

4. Pu'al

§ 393·	Hebrew	Arabic	Proto-Semitic
Telic	$kutta\beta$	kuttiba	kuttiba
Atelic	$y \ni \chi utta \beta$	yukattabu	ja/ukuttabu/i
Impv.			(kuttab)
Inf. const.			?
" abs.	$kutt\bar{o}\beta$?
Part.	$m u \chi u t t \bar{a} \beta$	$mukattabu^n$	mukattab-

§ 394. For the general formation of this type see § 315 and cf. the Ho φ 'al (§§ 397-8). With the Hebrew infinitive absolute cf. such Arabic intensive adjectives as $huss\bar{a}nu^n$ 'very handsome', $kurr\bar{a}mu^n$ 'very noble', $kubb\bar{a}ru^n$ 'very large'; and with the participle cf. Syr. $m \approx \chi atta\beta$, Eth. makattab. The Arabic atelic is formed on the analogy of that of the passive of the 'first form' (= Heb. Qal), $yuktabu \ll kutabu$ (cf. §§ 307-9).

Hiφ'īl

§ 395. See table on page 108.

§ 396. For the general formation of the type see § 322, and for the preformatives ha-, a-, a-, a-, and a- (Syr. $akte\beta$, Arab., Eth. aktaba; Acc. aktab, Miš. $axte\beta$, Syr. $axte\beta$, Mis. $axte\beta$, Syr. $axte\beta$, Min. aktab) see §§ 322-4, 333-5. Instead of Heb. atelic $axte\beta$ one would expect $axte\beta$, as is actually found in the jussive and with $axte\beta$ one would expect $axte\beta$, as is actually found in the jussive and with $axte\beta$ and $axte\beta$ and the $axte\beta$ and $axte\beta$ and $axte\beta$ and the $axte\beta$ and $axte\beta$ and $axte\beta$ and $axte\beta$. This $axte\beta$ are to be by analogy with the regular atelic $axte\beta$ of verbs with medial $axte\beta$ (§§ 419-20), as $axte\beta$ (Qal $axte\beta$ and Hiaxte). In axte (I axte), axte (I axte), axte (I axte), axte), and as in the Pi'el and Hiaxte) and Hiaxte1: Arab. I axte1 and Hiaxte2 and Arab. In axte3 are preserved in axte4 and analogy into the telic, though the original axte4 is preserved in axte4 and analogical axte5 instead of axte6, axte6, while the infinitive absolute is modelled on the imperative.

6. Hoφ'al

\$ 397.	Hebrew	Bib. Aram.	Arabic	Proto-Semitic
Telic Atelic	hoχtaβ yoχtaβ	hoχtaβ	['uktiba yuktabu	huk(a)tiba ia/uhuk(a)tabu/i
Impv. Inf. const.				(huk[a]tab)
" abs.	$ho\chi t\bar{e}\beta$?
Part.	{moχtāβ (muχtāβ	{maχtaβ (Syr.) {məhoχtaβ	muktabu*]	muhuk(a)tab-

HI P'ĪL

	Accadian	Hebrew	Biblical Aramaic	Arabic	Ethiopic	Proto-Semitic
Telic	['ušaktab	$hi\chi t\bar{\imath}\beta$	$ha\chi treve{e}eta$	['aktaba	'aktaba	hak(a)taba
Atelic	`uš $aktib$	$ya\chi t\bar{\imath}\beta$	y ə $ha\chi tar{e}eta$	yuktibu	$y\bar{a}kteb$	ia/uhak(a)tibu/i
Impv.	šuktib	$ha\chi t \bar{e} \beta$	$ha\chi t \bar{e} \beta$	'aktib	'akteb	hak(a)tib
Inf. const.		$ha\chi t\bar{\imath}\beta$			$^{\prime}aktar{a}bar{\imath}$?
" abs.	šuktubu	$ha\chi tar{e}eta$	$ha\chi tar{a}etaar{a}ar{h}$	'iktābu"	$akteb\bar{o}(t)$?
Part.	mušaktibu]	$ma\chi t\bar{\imath}\beta$	$m i ha \chi t \bar{e} eta$	muktibu*	makteb]	mahak(a)tib-

HIOPA'EL

	Accadian	Hebrew 1	Biblical Aramaic	Arabic	Ethiopic	Proto-Semitic
Telic	['uktattaba	hiθkattēβ	$hi\theta kattaeta$	takattaba	takattaba	takattaba
Atelic	`uktattib	$yi\theta katt \bar{e} \beta$	$yi\theta kattaeta$	yatakattabu	yetkattab	ja/utakatta/ibu/i
Impv.	kutattib	$hi\theta katt \bar{e} \beta$	$hi\theta kattaeta$	takattab	takattab	takatta/ib
Inf. const.	kutattubu	$hi\theta katt \bar{e}\beta$		takattubu"	$takatteb\bar{o}(t)$?
" abs.		$hi\theta kattar{e}eta$	hiθkattāβāħ		$(takatt\bar{a}b\bar{\imath})$?
Part.	muktattibu]	$mi\theta katt \bar{e}\beta$	$mi\theta kattaeta$	$mutakattibu^n$		mutakattib-

§ 398. For the general formation of the type see § 324, and cf. the Pu'al (§ 393). Besides forms in o, forms in u occur (Heb. $hu\chi ta\beta$, etc.). The infinitive is formed by analogy with that of the $\text{Hi}\varphi'\text{Il}$ (§ 396). Ethiopic shows a pass. part. maktab.

7. $Hi\theta$ pa'ēl

§ 300. See table on page 100.

§ 400. For the general formation of the type see § 329. The correspondences of Acc.-Heb. 'uktattib, kutattib=yiθkattēβ, hiθkattēβ as contrasted with Aram.-Arab. yiθkattaβ, hiθkattaβ=yatakattabu, takattab suggest that Proto-Semitic had a double form *(ia/u)takattab-, *(ia/u)takattab-, and Hebrew itself shows the latter type in the imperative and pausal atelic. As in the Pi'ēl (cf. §§ 312-14, 392), Hebrew has changed the Proto-Semitic a of the telic to ē by analogy with the atelic, though the original vowel survives in hiθkattaβtā, etc.; and both infinitives are vocalised on the model of the atelic. Syriac and Egyptian Arabic show iktattab, yitkattab, itkattab for Classical Arabic takattaba, yatakattabu, takattab.

8. Rare Formations

§ 401. It may be of practical convenience to give a tabular list of one example of each form of verbs of rare type (see, respectively, §§ 310, 311, 327, 330, 318, 317, 331, 340, 341, 332, 319, 320, 316, 328).

§ 402. See table on pages 111, 112, 113.

F. WEAK VERBS

[VG i, §§ 265-72; KVG §§ 130-7; P §§ 213-40; Z §§ 46-52; W pp. 227-85; O §§ 152-7; B-L i, §§ 49-59; G §§ 62-78; G-B ii, §§ 21-31.]

§ 403. While the 'weak verbs' (those, in Hebrew, beginning with n, with a pharyngal for any of the three consonants, with the second consonant [apparently] repeated to serve also as the third, and with 'a, u, or i as one of the three) present considerable practical difficulty, which is increased by the crossings of analogy, they offer so little new in principle—even verbs 'doubly weak'—that they may be discussed very briefly from the purely linguistic point of view.

§ 404. It seems significant, considering the possibility (if not the probability) that many Semitic bases were originally disyllabic (cf. §§ 88, 98, note, 301), that the same 'weak verb' occurs in more than one type, not merely in Hebrew as compared with other Semitic languages, but even within Hebrew itself (cf. § 91). Here belong, for example, Heb. 'āmal: mālal 'languish'; dā'ēβ 'become faint': dūβ 'pine away'; hāγāḥ: yāγāḥ 'remove': Arab. waǧā 'repel'; Heb. hāmāḥ,

III

RARE FORMATIONS

				Pōlēl, Pō'ēl	Pōlal, Pō'al	$\mathrm{Hi} heta$ põlēl, $\mathrm{Hi} heta$ põ'ēl
Telic	3rd	sing.	masc.	{'ōnēn nōδaδ	rōmam	
"	22	"	fem.	hōlălā h		hi0m ōţəţā h
"	2nd	"	masc.	$k\bar{o}nant\bar{a}$	<u> </u> hōlaltā	200000000000000000000000000000000000000
"	"	,,	fem.		123	
,,	ıst	,,	com.	∫šōšēθī (rōmamtī	<u> </u> <u> </u>	$hi\theta$ $\ddot{o}rart\bar{\imath}$
"	3rd	plur.	masc.	zōrmū	šōrāšū	$hi\theta m\bar{o}\gamma\bar{a}\gamma\bar{u}$
"	"	"	fem.			5-2-15-2-1
"	2nd	"	masc.			
"	"	"	fem.			
"	ıst	"	com.			
Atelic	3rd	sing.	masc.	yəhōlēl	yərō'ā'	{yiθhōlēl {yiθgōδαδ
"	"	,,	fem.	$t otam ar{o} heta ar{e} heta$		tištōhāh
"	2nd	"	masc.	$t alpha \chi \bar{o} n \bar{e} n$	təmöyəyenn-āh	$ti\theta g \bar{o} \delta a \delta$
"	"	"	fem.	2003 1.5. 10 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		$ti\theta g \bar{o} \delta \check{a} \delta \bar{\imath}$
"	ıst	"	com.	'eqōmēm		$e\theta q\bar{o}t\bar{a}t$
"	3rd	plur.	masc.	yəβöqăqū	yəḥōlalū	$yi\theta g\bar{o}\delta \partial \delta \bar{u}$
"	"	"	fem.		tərömamnāh	$ti\theta m \bar{o} \gamma a \gamma n \bar{a} h$
"	2nd	,,	masc.	tə' ön ēnū		$ti heta gar{o}\delta alpha \delta ar{u}$
27	"	"	fem.	tə' öb ēbnā h		20 m2 20 m 0 20
"	ıst	"	com.			$ni\theta$ $\delta\delta\bar{a}\delta$
Impv.	2nd	sing.	masc.	$k \bar{o} n \bar{e} n$		
"	"	"	fem.			hiθrōʻāʻī
"	"	plur.	masc.	rōməmū		$hi heta qar{o}$ šəš $ar{u}$
"	"	"	fem.	52		hiθšōţaţnāḥ
Inf. co	nst.			∫mōθēθ ⟨bōšasəχem		$hi heta gar{o}lar{e}l$
" ab	os.			hōyō		hiθrō'ă'āḥ
Juss.				100 000 PO		4943511011-7949624001017- 79 02
Part.				mər öm ēm	mərōmam	$mi\theta g ar{o}rar{e}r$

Continued on following page

I I 2 VERBS

RARE FORMATIONS (continued)

				$\mathrm{Ho} heta$ pa'al	Pu'lal	Pa'lēl	$\mathrm{Hi} heta\mathrm{pa'l\bar{e}l}$
Telic	3rd	sing.	masc.	en Carler	'umlal	ša' ănan	hištaḥāwāḥ
,,	,,	"	fem.	huţţammā'āḥ	'umləlā þ	ra'ănānāh	
"	2nd	"	masc.	reapparenta are	amoun	ra ananag	hištaḥăwīθā
"	"	"	fem.				mona, autoa
"	ıst	"	com.				hištaḥăwēyθī
"	ard	plur.	masc.	hoθpāqəδū	'umləlū	ša'ănānū	hištahăwū
"	",,	",	fem.			D. 200 (200) 200 (200)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
"	2nd	,,	masc.				
"	"	,,	fem.				
"	ıst	"	com.				
Atelio	c 3rd	sing.	masc.				yištahăweh
"	,,	,,	fem.				
"	2nd		masc.				
"	"	,,,	fem.				
"	ıst	,,	com.				
"		plur	masc.				yištaḥăwū
,,	,,	,,	fem.				grotanta
,,	2nd	,,	masc.				
"	"	,,	fem.				tištaķăweynā
"	ıst	,,	com.				riotanawey na
Impv			. masc.				
"	"	"	fem.				hištahăwī
"	"	plur	masc.				hištahăwū
"	"	"	fem.				, and a second
Inf. c	onst.	Ž.		$hukkabb\bar{e}s$			hišta h ā w ō $ heta$
" a	bs.						
Juss.							yištaķū
Part.					məšōβeβeθ (fem.)	məţaḥăwē (pl.)	mištaḥăweḥ

RARE FORMATIONS (continued)

				Pilpēl, Polpal	$\mathrm{Hi} heta\mathrm{palpal}$	Pe'al'al, Po'al'al, Pu'la', Ti φ 'al
Telic	3rd	sing.	masc.		22-31 7/82	Pə. səḥarḥar
"	.,,	"	fem.			
"	2nd	"	masc.	kilkaltā-m		
"	"	"	fem.			
,,	ıst	"	com.	$gilgaltar{\imath}$	$hi heta mahmar{a}htar{\imath}$	Ti. tirgaltī
21	3rd	plur.	masc.	$kolkəl\bar{u}$	$hi\theta mahməhar{u}$	Po. homarmərū
"	"	,,	fem.			TO DEFECT AND PROPERTY OF COURTS AND
,,	2nd	,,	masc.			
"	"	"	fem.			
"	ıst	"	com.		$hi\theta mahm \bar{a}hn \bar{u}$	
Atelic	3rd	sing.	masc.	$y u \chi a l k \bar{e} l$	$yi\theta mahmah$	
"	,,	,,	fem.	t əş a φ ş $ar{e}$ φ	$ti\theta halhal$	
"	2nd	,,	masc.		,	
,,,	"	"	fem.			
"	ıst	,,	com.	\check{a} s $a\varphi$ s $ar{e}\varphi$	'ešta' ăšā'	
**		olur.	masc.	yəša'aš'ū	yištaqšəqūn	
,,	"	"	fem.	3	311	
,,	2nd	"	masc.	təšāʻŏšāʻū		
***	"	"	fem.			
,,	ıst	,,	com.			
Impv.	20	sing.	masc.			
,,	"	,,	fem.	salsəleh		
,,	" r	olur.	masc.		$hi\theta mahməhar{u}$	
22	", "	"	fem.			
Inf. co	nst.			$kalk\bar{e}l$	$hi\theta mahmar{e}ah$	
" al	os.			'ar' ēr		
Juss.						
Part.				m ightarrow q arqar	$mi\theta mahmar{e}gh$	Pu. məḥuspās

hūm, hīm, hāmam 'make noise': Arab. hamhama 'murmur'; Heb. ḥāqāḥ: ḥāqaq 'cut in'; gūr: yāγōr 'fear, dread': Arab. wağira; Heb. 'īφ: yā'ēφ: Syr. 'āφ 'be weary, faint'; şūq: yāşaq 'pour'; yāraq: rāqaq 'spit': Arab. rayyaqa 'moisten with spittle'; Heb. 'āṭāḥ 'wrap oneself': yā'at 'cover'; māsāh: māsas 'melt, dissolve': mā'as 'flow'; 'ūr: 'ārāh 'be exposed': 'ārar 'strip oneself'; śūṭ 'swerve': śāṭāḥ 'turn aside': Arab. šatta 'be removed, distant'; Heb. $d\bar{a}\chi\bar{a}(h)$ 'crush': $d\bar{u}\chi$: Arab. dāka: dakka 'beat'; Heb. lūg' or lā'a' 'swallow': Arab. walaġa 'lap up (dog)'; Heb. 'ūn 'dwell': Arab. ġaniya 'live (in a place)'; Heb. sūs 'peep, gaze': Arab. şa'şa'a 'try to open eyes (puppy)'; Heb. šāmēm 'be appalled': Arab. sa'ima 'feel disgust'; Heb. tā'a' 'mock': Arab. nataģa 'calumniate'; Heb. hālāh 'be weak, ill': Arab. halla 'diminish': naḥala 'become thin'; Heb. kārāḥ: Arab. 'akara 'dig'; Heb. zāgag 'refine, purify': Arab. daqqa 'assay, test'; Heb. māqaq 'decay': Arab. māqa 'perish'; Heb. 'āsas 'press, crush, tread down': Arab. wa'asa 'trample'; Heb. $s\bar{a}\beta\bar{a}$: Arab. sa'aba 'drink deep'; Heb. $n\bar{a}'as$ 'contemn, spurn': Arab. $n\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ 'flee, avoid'; Heb. $s\bar{a}'a\varphi$ 'crush, trample': $s\bar{u}\varphi$ 'bruise': Arab. nasafa 'break and scatter'; Heb. yānaq 'suck': Arab. naqā 'suck marrow from bone'; Heb. yāşar: şūr 'form, fashion': Arab. ṣāra 'cut'; Heb. yāšaβ 'sit': Arab. tabba 'seat oneself firmly'; Heb. 'āβaδ: Arab. bāda 'perish'; Heb. 'ālaş: Arab. lazza 'urge'; Heb. 'ānas 'compel': Arab. nassa: nasa'a: nāsa 'drive cattle'; Heb. 'ānag: nā'ag 'groan': Arab. naqqa 'croak, cluck, miau'; Heb. 'āṭam: Syr. ṭam 'shut'; Heb. būs 'trample': Syr. bəsā 'despise'.

§ 405. It is noteworthy that the rare Hebrew conjugations (§ 402) are found only in connexion with weak verbs, especially those with medial $\psi(i)$ (§§ 419-420) and with geminated medial consonant (§§ 409-13), except Pōlēl for $l\bar{a}\bar{s}\bar{o}n$ 'slander' (part. $m \bar{s} l\bar{o} \bar{s} n\bar{\imath}$ [Qərē]) and $l\bar{a} \bar{a} \varphi a t$ 'judge, govern' (part. $l\bar{a} \bar{s} \bar{o} \varphi a t$ 'my opponent at law'); and Ho θ pa'al for $l\bar{a} \bar{s} a s$ 'wash' (inf. $l\bar{a} k a b b \bar{e} s$) and $l\bar{a} q a b$ 'visit, muster, appoint' (perf. $l\bar{a} \theta a s$).

1. Verbs With Initial n-

§ 406. Verbs with initial n- present peculiarities only in Accadian, Aramaic, and Hebrew, where the n disappears in the imperative (in Hebrew, usually also in the infinitive construct) and is assimilated to the following consonant when, in course of inflexion, it ceases to be initial; in Arabic and Ethiopic (except occasionally in South Arabic), such verbs are entirely regular.

§ 407. Hebrew shows this assimilation (except before pharyngals) in Qal, Ni φ 'al, Hi φ 'īl, and Ho φ 'al; in Syriac, in Pə'al, 'A φ 'el, and

'Eθta φ 'al, e.g. Heb. $n\bar{a}\gamma a\check{s}$ 'approach', atelic Qal $yigga\check{s}$, impv. $ga\check{s}$, inf. const. $ge\check{s}e\theta$, telic Ni φ 'al $nigga\check{s}$, Hi φ 'īl $higg\bar{\imath}\check{s}$, Ho φ 'al $hugga\check{s}$; Syr. $n\flat\varphi aq$ 'go out', atelic Pe'al $nepp\bar{o}q$, telic ' $A\varphi$ 'el 'appeq, 'E θ ta φ 'al ' $e\theta$ tappaq; Acc. $naq\bar{a}ru$ 'be otherwise', telic 'iqqur, etc. In Hebrew, the initial consonant of $l\bar{a}qah$ 'take' is commonly supposed to be treated similarly (but cf. Arab. $qah\bar{a}$ 'carry off'?).

2. Verbs With Pharyngals

§ 408. Verbs with pharyngals are strong outside Hebrew.

3. Verbs With Geminate Medial

§ 409. The origin of Semitic verbs with geminate medial consonant (sometimes called 'solid verbs') is not wholly clear, but a comparison of their atelic and telic with those of the strong verb, as given on page 116, may help toward an elucidation.

§ 410. It seems fairly evident that this type is evolved from an original disyllabic base by gemination of the second syllable to gain conformity with the conventional trisyllabic verb, e.g. *iabuzu-z-u > *iabzu-z-u: *baza-za- = *iakutub-u > *iaktubu: *katab-a.

§ 411. So far as the telic is concerned, this seems adequate, except that the type of Heb. bāzaz, Eth. bašaša as contrasted with Heb. ham, Eth. hamma suggests a later development which chanced to coincide with the earlier pre-form (cf. Acc. 'išalal), through analogy with the strong telic.

§ 412. In the atelic the type *iabzu-zu \ *iabuzu-zu would give Acc. *ibzuz (exactly represented by the type 'išlul), Heb. *yiβz(ōz), Syr. *neβz(ōz), Arab. *yabzu(zu), Eth. *yebz(ez) (exactly represented by the types yeḥšeš, yeḥmam), so that only Accadian and Ethiopic retain the original formations (though the Ethiopic may be a recreation). The historic Hebrew and Aramaic types yāβōz, nebbōz (⟨*nəβōz⟩, Arab. yabuzzu ⟨*iabuz(z)u (cf. Heb. atelic 3rd plur. masc. yāsōbbū, Bib. Aram. impv. 2nd plur. masc. gōddū with ō⟨u by analogy with the 3rd sing. masc.; contrast 3rd plur. fem. Heb. təsubbeynāḥ, Arab. yabzuzna) seem due to a development *iabuzu⟩ *iabzu⟩ *iabzu-z-u⟩ *iabuzzu (by vocalic metathesis between identical consonants—zu-z⟩ uzz²⟩. A trace of the older form possibly survives in the type of Heb. yissōβ, yissəβū beside yāsōβ, yāsōbbū, Syr. nessōβ ⟨*iasbu-b-u (even Heb. 2nd sing. fem. tissōbbī), with ss ⟨ sb (the Hebrew type is usually explained as an Aramaism, but this seems open to question).

§ 413. The only other point regarding verbs with geminate medial which need be considered here is that their intensives, though

STRONG VERBS AND SOLID VERBS

		Accadian	Hebrew	Syriac	Arabic	Ethiopic	Proto-Semitic
Atelio	strong	'iktub	yiχtōβ	neχtōβ	yaktubu	yekteb	ia/uk(u)tubu/i
"	geminate	'išlul	yāβōz, yēḥam	nebbōz	yabuzzu	yehšeš, yehmam	?
Telic	strong	'ikatab	$k\bar{a}\theta a\beta$	$k \partial \theta a \beta$	kataba	kataba	kataba
"	geminate	išalal	bāzaz, ḥam	baz	bazza	hašaša, hamma	?

frequently of the same type as in the strong verb, often have the forms Pōlēl, Pōlal, or Hiθpōlēl (cf. § 402). Thus Heb. hālal 'be boastful' shows both sets complete, but with different shades of meaning: Pi'ēl hillēl 'praise': Pōlēl hōlēl 'make foolish'; Pu'al hullal 'be praised': Pōlal hōlal 'be mad'; Hiθpa'ēl hiθhallēl 'boast oneself': Hiθpōlēl hiθhōlēl 'act like a madman'. Or the Pilpēl, etc. (cf. § 402), may be used, as Heb. Pilpēl ši'āša' 'sport', Palpal ša'ša' 'be fondled', Hiθpalpēl hišta'āša' 'delight oneself' (Qal *šā'a' not recorded).

4. Verbs with '

§ 414. Verbs with initial 'present little new except that 'tends to disappear in the atelic after preformatives, as P-S *ia'huδu 'will seize', Acc. 'ēhuz, Heb. yōḥēz, Syr. nēḥōδ, but Arab. ya'huḍu, Eth. ya'aḥaz (note, however, Arab. impv. huḍ, and Syr. Arab. atelic yāḥuḍ, yōḥuḍ, and cf. § 44).

§ 415. Verbs with medial 'follow the usual rules for pharyngals; in Syr., 'disappears, as nešal: Heb. yiš'al, Arab. yas'alu 'will ask' (Mor. Arab. sāl, 'isāl).

§ 416. Verbs with final 'retain it only in Arabic and Ethiopic; in Accadian and Aramaic, they coincide completely with verbs in final i; in Heb., 'is here retained only when beginning a syllable, e.g. Acc. malū 'be full, fill', Heb. mālē, Syr. məlā, Arab. mala'a (but Syr. Arab. qirī, yiqrā 'read', Mesop. Arab. qara, yaqra: Heb. qārā, yiqrā).

5. Verbs With i, u

§ 417. Verbs with i or u as one of their three consonants may be treated together. Those with initial u in Proto-Semitic are represented by verbs with initial i in Hebrew and Aramaic, as Heb. yālaδ 'bear, beget', Syr. 'īleδ, but Acc. 'ūlid, Arab., Eth. walada, though this may well be a difference of determinants rather than a phonetic change (cf. §§ 91, 404).

§ 418. Initial ψ (but not ψ) vanished in the Proto-Semitic period in the imperative second singular masculine, as Heb. $ha\beta$ 'give!', Arab. wahaba, yahabu, $hab \ \langle \ *\psi(u)hab; P-S \ *\psi(u)lid, \ *\psi(i)lid$ 'bear, beget!', Acc. lid, Heb. $l\bar{e}\delta$, Syr. ' $\bar{i}la\delta$ (by analogy with verbs with initial ψ), Arab. lid, Eth. lad; but P-S * ψ (u)baš 'dry!' (Acc. 'ešir 'be just!', Arab. $\bar{i}sir$), Heb. $y\bar{e}\beta a\bar{s}$, Syr. $\bar{i}\beta a\bar{s}$, Arab. $\bar{i}bas$, Eth. yebas. The same phenomenon is found in the atelic (except in Accadian), as P-S * ψ (a) ψ (a) ψ (a) ψ (b) will bear, beget', Acc. ' ψ (a) ψ (b) yele ψ (b), Syr. ψ (c) No. ψ (c) yele ψ (c), Heb. ψ (c) yelead; but P-S * ψ (a) ψ (c) as yelead; heb. ψ (c) yelead; heb. ψ (c) yelead; heb. yelead; heb. yelead; heb. yelead.

§ 419. The essential characteristics of verbs with original medial u and i result from loss of the semi-vowel, which regularly disappears between two short vowels, or between a long and a short vowel, with contraction of the vowels thus left juxtaposed (cf. §§ 45-6). The most convenient means of distinguishing between the two types is observation of the atelic of the first form, as P-S *qauama, *iaquumu 'stand' (Acc. 'ikān, 'ikūn 'be', Arab. kāna, yakūnu (P-S *kauana, *iakuunu), Heb. qām ((*qōm through analogy with qamtā (*qāmtā (*qauamtā, etc.), yāqūm, Syr. qām, nəqūm, Arab. qāma, yaqūmu, Eth. qōma, yeqūm; but P-S *šajama, *jašjimu, 'put, place, set', Acc. 'išām, 'išīm, Heb. śām, yāšīm, Syr. sām, nəsīm, Arab. šāma, yašīmu, Eth. šēma, yešīm.

§ 420. The two types coincide in Hebrew, except in the atelic Qal, and further confusion arises from the frequent interchange of i and u (cf. §§ 91, 404); while analogy has been active in these verbs in all the Semitic languages, levelling their natural development to a specious uniformity.

§ 421. Verbs with final u and i show similar contraction (except in Ethiopic, where the original form has been re-created); and for the most part (except in Classical Arabic and Ethiopic) the type in i has supplanted that in u, as P-S *dalaua, *ialduu 'draw water', Acc. 'idli, 'idali, Heb. dālāh, yiδleh, Syr. dəlā, neδlē, Arab. dalā, yadlū, Eth. dalawa, yedlū; but P-S *bakaia, *iabkiu 'weep', Acc. 'ibki, 'ibaki, Heb. bāxāh, yiβkeh, Syr. bəxā, neβkē, Arab. bakā, yabkī, Eth. bakaya, yebkī. Acc. preserves a few traces of the type in u, as 'imnu 'count' (but Arab. manay-), 'aqmu 'burn' beside 'imni, 'aqmi.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

This list seeks simply to record the more noteworthy treatises and studies on Comparative Semitic and Hebrew Linguistics, especially from 1875 onward, without any attempt to present a Bibliography that is technically complete.

- Abel, C., Einleitung in ein aegyptisch-semitisch-indoeuropäisches Wurzelwörterbuch, Leipzig, 1886.
- Ueber Wechselbeziehungen der ägyptischen, indoeuropäischen und semitischen Etymologie, i, ib. 1889.
- Ahrens, K., 'Der Stamm der schwachen Verba in den semitischen Sprachen', in ZDMG lxiv (1910), 161-94.
- Albert, E., 'Zur Umschrift und Aussprache von 1 and z', in ZAW xxxiv (1914), 58-63.
- Albrecht, K., 'Das Geschlecht der hebräischen Hauptwörter', ib. xv (1895), 313-25; xvi (1896), 41-121.
- Albright, W. F., 'Notes on Egypto-Semitic Etymology', in AJSL xxxiv (1918), 81-98, 215-55; JAOS xlvii (1927), 198-237.
- Allen, E. P., 'On the Semitic Emphatic Consonants', in Proceedings of the American Oriental Society, xiv (1888), cviii-cxii.
- Anastase, M. de St.-Elie, 'L'Article en hébreu', in Al-Machriq, xi (1908), 257-60.
- Artrom, E. S., 'Le Influenze delle consonanti sulle vocali nei segolati maschili ebraici', in GSAI, n.s. i (1928), 122-45.
- Barth, J., 'Assyrisches iš, hebräisch-aramäisches t als Adverbialendung', in ZA xxviii (1914), 307-9.
- "Beiträge zur Pluralbildung des Semitischen', in ZDMG lviii (1904), 431-46.
- ---- 'Beiträge zur Suffixlehre des Nordsemitischen', in AJSL xvii (1901), 193-208.
- ------ 'Das passive Qal und seine Participien', in Jubelschrift zum siebzigsten Geburtstag des Dr. Israel Hildesheimer, Berlin, 1890, pp. 145-53.
- ——'Die Casusreste im Hebräischen', in ZDMG liii (1899), 593-9.
 ——Die Nominalbildung in den semitischen Sprachen, 2nd ed., Leipzig, 1894.
- "Die Pôlēl-Conjugation und die Pôlāl-Participien", in Semitic Studies in Memory of Alexander Kohut, Berlin, 1897, pp. 83-93.
- ———Die Pronominalbildung in den semitischen Sprachen, Leipzig, 1913.

- Etymologische Studien zum semitischen, insbesondere zum
hebräischen Lexikon, ib. 1893.
'Formangleichung bei begrifflichen Korrespondenzen', in
Orientalische Studien Theodor Nöldeke gewidmet,
Giessen, 1906, pp. 787-96.
Sprachwissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Semitischen, 2
parts, Leipzig, 1907-11.
'Vergleichende Studien', in ZDMG xli (1887), 603-41; xlii
(1888), 341-58; xliii (1889), 177-91; xlvi (1892), 684-708.
——Wurzeluntersuchungen zum hebräischen und aramäischen Lexi-
kon, Leipzig, 1902.
'Zum semitischen Demonstrativ d', in ZDMG lix (1905), 159-
63.
- 'Zur Flexion der semitischen Zahlwörter', ib. lxvi (1912), 94-
102.
'Zur Frage der Nominalbildung', ib. xliv (1890), 679-98.
'Zur hebräischen und aramäischen Verbalflexion', ib. lvi
(1902), 239-48.
'Zur vergleichenden semitischen Grammatik', ib. xlviii (1894),
1-21.
'Zwei pronominale Elemente', in AJSL xiii (1897), 1-13.
Bauer, H., 'Die israelitischen Personennamen im Rahmen der
gemeinsemitischen Namengebung', in OLZ xxxiii (1930), 588-95.
[2] 시간 경험 경험 경험 경험 경험 경험 경험 경험 시간 사람들은 경험
——Die Tempora im Semitischen, ihre Entstehung und ihre Ausge-
staltung in den Einzelsprachen, Berlin, 1910.
Semitischen', in <i>Islamica</i> ii (1926), 5-10.
'Mitteilungen zur semitischen Grammatik', in ZDMG lxvi
(1912), 103-14. (Cf. J. Barth, ib. p. 339, and Bauer's reply, ib.
pp. 475-6.)
'Noch einmal die semitischen Zahlwörter', ib. pp. 267-70. (Cf.
J. Barth, ib. p. 527; Bauer, ib. p. 787.)
'Semitische Sprachprobleme', ib. lxviii (1914), 365-72. (Cf.
Bauer, ib. pp. 596-9; lxix [1915], 561-3; T. Nöldeke, in ZA xxx
[1916], 163-70; xxxi [1917], 401-9.)
720 A20 A20 A20 A20 A20 A20 A20 A20 A20 A
schen Sprachen', in OLZ xxix (1926), 801-3.
"Zur Entstehung des semitischen Sprachtypus', in ZA xxviii
(1914), 81-91.
——Zur Frage der Sprachmischung im Hebräischen, Halle, 1924.

- Bauer, H., and P. Leander, Historische Grammatik der hebräischen Sprache des Alten Testamentes, i, ib. 1922 (abbreviated B-L).
- Beer, G., 'Einige Bemerkungen zur hebräischen Grammatik', in ZAW xxxiv (1914), 54-7.
- Bennett, W. H., 'Notes on the Use of the Hebrew Tenses', in Hebraica ii (1886), 193-208; iii (1887), 22-9.
- Bergsträsser, G., 'Das hebräische Präfix v', in ZAW xxix (1909), 40-56.
 Einführung in die semitischen Sprachen, Munich, 1928 (abbreviated B).
- ----- 'Mitteilungen zur hebräischen Grammatik', in OLZ xxvi (1923), 253-60, 477-81; xxvii (1924), 582-6.
- "Semitistisch-hebräistische Bemerkungen', in ZS viii (1932), 1-40.
- Bertin, G., 'Suggestions on the Formation of the Semitic Tenses', in JRAS 1882, 105-18.
- ---- 'Suggestions on the Voice-Formation of the Semitic Verb', ib. 1883, 387-418.
- Bittner, M., 'Der gemeinsemitische Ausdruck für "Zunge"—ein nomen agentis', in WZKM xxiii (1909), 144-50.
- Blake, F. R., 'Studies in Semitic Grammar', in JAOS xxxv (1915), 375-85.
 - "The Expression of Indefinite Pronominal Ideas in Hebrew', ib. xxxiv (1914), 115-228.
 - "The Hebrew Hatephs', in Oriental Studies . . . in Commemoration of the Fiftieth Anniversary of Paul Haupt, Baltimore, 1926, pp. 329-43.
 - ------ 'The Hebrew Meteg', in JAOS xxxii (1912), 78-102.
 - ----- 'The Internal Passive in Semitic', ib. xxii (1901), 45-54.
 - "The So-called Intransitive Verbal Forms in Hebrew', ib. xxiv (1903), 145-204.
 - ----- 'Vocalic r, l, m, n in Semitic', ib. xxxi (1911), 217-22.
 - Böttcher, F., Ausführliches Lehrbuch der hebräischen Sprache, ed. F. Mühlau, 2 vols., Leipzig, 1866-68.
 - Brockelmann, C., Ägyptisch-semitische Etymologien', in ZS viii (1932), 97-117.
 - ----- 'Beiträge zur hebräischen und zur aramäischen Grammatik', in ZA xiv (1899), 343-8.

- ——'Diminutiv und Augmentativ im Semitischen', in ZS vi (1928), 109-34.
- ----- 'Gibt es einen hamitischen Sprachstamm?', in Anthropos xxvii (1932), 797-818.
- -----Grundriss der vergleichenden Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen, 2 vols., Berlin, 1908-13 (abbreviated VG).
- ——Kurzgefasste vergleichende Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen, Berlin, 1908 (abbreviated KVG).
- ----- 'Semitische Analogiebildungen', in ZDMG lxvii (1913), 107-12.
- ----- 'Semitische Reimwortbildungen', in ZS v (1927), 6-38.
- ——Semitische Sprachwissenschaft, Berlin, 1906. (French translation by W. Marçais and M. Cohen, Précis de linguistique sémitique, Paris, 1910; abbreviated P.)
- -----'Zur hebräischen Lautlehre', in ZDMG lviii (1904), 518-24.
- Brooks, W. H. S., Vestiges of the Broken Plural in Hebrew, Dublin, 1883.
- Budde, K., 'Zur Geschichte der tiberiensischen Vokalisation', in Orientalische Studien . . . Theodor Nöldeke . . . gewidmet, Giessen, 1906, pp. 651-7.
- Buhl, F., Om oprindelsen af nogle svage rødder i semitisk', in Oversigt over det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs Forhandlinger, 1901, 109-13.
- Burney, C. F., 'A Fresh Examination of the Current Theory of the Hebrew Tenses', in *Journal of Theological Studies*, xx (1919), 200-14.
- Cantineau, J., 'Accadien et sudarabique', in BSLP xxxiii (1932), 175-205.
- Caspari, W., 'Ueber semasiologische Untersuchungen am hebräischen Wörterbuche', in ZAW xxvii (1907), 162-211.
- ----- 'Zum hebräischen Demonstrativ', in ZS vii (1931), 41-52.
- Cazet, C., Du mode de filiation des racines sémitiques et de l'inversion, Paris, 1882.
- ——Généalogies des racines sémitiques, ib. 1886.
- Chajes, H. P., Beiträge zur nordsemitischen Onomatologie, Vienna, 1901 (= SWAW cxliii, no. 4).

- Christian, V., 'Das Wesen der semitischen Tempora', in ZDMG lxxxi (1927), 232-58. (Cf. P. Leander, ib. lxxxii [1928], 142-2; Christian ib. lxxxiii [1929], 80-1.) -'Die deiktischen Elemente in den semitischen Sprachen', in WZKM xxxi (1924), 137-92. —'Die Entstehung der semitischen Kasusendungen', in ZS iii (1924), 17-26. -'Zur inneren Passivbildung im Semitischen', in WZKM xxxiv (1927), 263-70. Cohen, J., Wurzelforschungen zu den hebräischen Synonyma der Ruhe, Berlin, 1912. Cohen, M., 'Langues chamito-sémitiques', in Meillet and Cohen, Les Langues du monde, Paris, 1924, pp. 81-151; Maps 2, 4. —Le Système verbal sémitique et l'expression du temps, ib. 1924 (abbreviated Cohen, Système). —'Les Résultats acquis de la grammaire comparée chamitosémitique', in Revue des cours et conférences, xxxv (1934), 238-52. -'Sur les premières personnes des formes verbales à préfixe en chamito-sémitique', in Actes du premier congrès international des linguistes, Leiden, 1928, pp. 150-4. -'Verbes déponents internes (ou verbes adhérents) en sémitique', in MSLP xxiii (1929), 225-48. Cuny, A., 'Contribution à la phonétique comparée de l'indo-européen et du chamito-sémitique', in BSLP xxxii (1931), 29-53. -'Essai sur l'évolution du consonantisme dans la période du sémitique commun', in MSLP xv (1909), 1-31. -Etudes prégrammaticales sur le domaine des langues indoeuropéennes et chamito-sémitiques, Paris, 1924. - 'Indo-européen et sémitique', in Rev. de phonétique, 1912, 101-32. ——'La Catégorie du duel dans les langues indo-européennes et chamito-sémitiques', in Memoires publiés par l'Académie Royale de Belgique, II, xxviii (1930). -'Les Mots du fonds préhellénique en grec, latin et sémitique occidental', in Review des études anciennes, xii (1910), 154-64.
- and Oriental Society, 1918-19, 55-69.
 Delitzsch, F., Prolegomena eines neuen hebräisch-aramäischen Wörter-buchs, Leipzig, 1886.

Davies, T. W., 'The Infinitive, Especially the Infinitive Absolute, in

Hebrew and Its Cognates', in Journal of the Manchester Egyptian

——Studien über indogermanisch-semitische Wurzelverwandtschaft, ib. 1873.

- ——The Hebrew Language Viewed in the Light of Assyrian Research, London, 1883.
- Denio, F. B., 'The Relations Expressed by the Genitive in Hebrew', in JBL xix (1900), 107-13.
- Dhorme, P., 'La Langue de Canaan', in Revue biblique internationale, n. s. x (1913), 369-93; xi (1914), 37-59, 344-72.
- ------'Les Formations par analogie dans le verbe hébreu', ib. iii (1906), 114-26.
- Diehl, W., Das Pronomen personale suffixum 2. und 3. pers. plur. des Hebräischen in der alttestamentlichen Ueberlieferung, Giessen, 1895.
- Dörwald, P., Die Formenbildungsgesetze des Hebräischen, Berlin, 1897.
 Drexel, A., 'Der semitische Triliteralismus und die afrikanische Sprachforschung', in WZKM xxxi (1924), 219-36, 249-77; xxxii (1925), 1-30.
- Drival, E. van, Grammaire comparée des langues sémitiques et de l'égyptien, 2nd ed., Paris, 1879.
- Driver, G. R., 'The Origin of "Hireq Compaginis" in Hebrew', in Journal of Theological Studies, xxvi (1925), 76-7.
- Driver, S. R., A Treatise on the Use of the Tenses in Hebrew, 3rd ed., Oxford, 1892.
- Durand, A., 'Le Pronom en égyptien et dans les langues sémitiques', in JA IX, v (1895), 412-63.
- Eberharter, A., 'Die hebräischen Nomina auf 11 sprachgeschichtlich untersucht', in BZ xii (1914), 337-52.
- -----'Zu den hebräischen Nomina auf m', ib. ix (1911), 113-19.
- Eitan, I., 'Contribution à l'histoire du verbe hébreu (nippa'el ou nif'al intensif)', in JPOS i (1921), 42-7.
- ------'La Répétition de la racine en hébreu', in JPOS i (1921), 171-86.
- -----'Light on the History of the Hebrew Verb', in JQR n. s. xii (1922), 25-32.
- Ember, A., Egypto-Semitic Studies, Leipzig, 1930.

- ----- 'Semito-Egyptian Sound-Changes', ib. xlix (1911), 87-92.
- ------ 'Several Semito-Egyptian Particles', in ZA xxviii (1914), 302-6.
- ———The Pluralis Intensivus in Hebrew, Baltimore, 1905.
- Erman, A., 'Das Verhältnis des Aegyptischen zu den semitischen Sprachen', in ZDMG xlvi (1892), 93-129.
- Ewald, H., Ausführliches Lehrbuch der hebräischen Sprache, 8th ed., Göttingen, 1870.
- Féghali, M., and A. Cuny, Du genre grammatical en sémitique, Paris, 1924.
- Finck, F. N., Die Sprachstämme des Erdkreises, 3rd ed., Leipzig, 1923, pp. 24-32.
- Fischer, A., 'Flüstervokale im Semitischen?', in ZDMG lxxi (1917), 446-7.
- Flashar, M., 'Das Ghain in der Septuaginta', in ZAW xxviii (1908), 194-220, 303-13.
- Fraenkel, S., 'Zum sporadischen Lautwandel in den semitischen Sprachen', in BA iii (1898), 60-86.
- Frankenberg, W., Der Organismus der semitischen Wortbildung, Giessen, 1913.
- Friedrich, J., 'Der Schwund kurzer Endvokale im Nordwestsemitischen', in ZS i (1922), 3-14.
- Gaenssle, C., The Hebrew Particle אשר, Chicago, 1915.
- Gaster, M., 'Die Unterschiedslosigkeit zwischen Pathah und Segol', in ZAW xiv (1894), 60-4.
- Gees, F. W., 'Das endschwache Zeitwort in hebräischen Eigennamen', in AJSL xxvii (1911), 301-11.
- Gerber, W. J., Die hebräischen verba denominativa, insbesondere im theologischen Sprachgebrauch des Alten Testaments, Leipzig, 1896.
- Gesenius, W., *Hebrew Grammar*, 28th ed.; Eng. transl. A. E. Cowley, 2nd ed., Oxford, 1910 (abbreviated G).
- ——Hebräische Grammatik mit Benutzung der von E. Kautzsch bearbeiteten 28. Auflage von Wilhelm Gesenius' hebräischer Grammatik verfasst von G. Bergsträsser, Leipzig, 1918 sqq. (abbreviated G-B).
- Giebe, A., Beobachtungen über das hebräische Adjectiv in den Psalmen in etymologischer und syntaktischer Hinsicht, 2 parts, Naumberg, 1900-1.

- Ginsberg, H. L., 'Studies on the Biblical Hebrew Verb', in AJSL xlvi (1930), 53-8, 127-38.
- Gray, L. H., 'Notes étymologiques sur les "verbes faibles" en hébreu biblique', in *Archiv Orientální* v (1933), 124-30.
- —— 'Observations on the Phonology of the bəγαδkəφαθ', to appear in AJSL.
- "The Punic Passages in the "Poenulus" of Plautus, ib. xxxix (1923), 73-88.
- Grimme, H., 'Die jemenische Aussprache des Hebräischen und Folgerungen daraus für die ältere Sprache', in Festschrift E. Sachau . . . gewidmet, Berlin, 1915, pp. 125-42.
- ----- 'Semitische P-Laute', in ZDMG lxviii (1914), 259-69.
- Guidi, I., 'La Pronuncia del Şērē', in Verhandlungen des dreizehnten Orientalisten-Kongresses, Leiden, 1904, pp. 208-10.
- Gulkowitsch, L., Die Abstraktbildungen in der hebräischen Sprachgeschichte, insbesondere die auf -ut, Leipzig, 1930.
- Halévy, J., 'La Désinence du pluriel dans les langues sémitiques', in REJ xvi (1888), 138-40.
- -----'L'Article hébreu', ib. xxiii (1891), 117-21.
- ------'Les Quadrilittères à la seconde radicale redoublée', in JA IX, xix (1902), 136-7.
- ------'L'Origine de la transcription du texte hébreu en caractères grecs dans les Hexaples d'Origène', ib. xvii (1901), 335-41.
- Halper, B., 'The Participial Formations of the Geminate Verbs', in ZAW xxx (1910), 42-57, 99-126, 201-28.
- Hartmann, M., Die Pluriliteralbildungen in den semitischen Sprachen, mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Hebräischen, Chaldäischen und Neusyrischen, Halle, 1875.
- Haupt, P., 'Assyrian Phonology, with Special Reference to Hebrew', in Hebraica i (1885), 175-81.
- 'Die semitischen Sprachlaute und ihre Umschrift', in BA i (1890), 249-67.
- "Die semitischen Wurzeln QR, KR, XR', in AJSL xxiii (1907), 241-52.
- ----- 'Semitic Verbs Derived from Particles', ib. xxii (1906), 257-61.

- "Studies on the Comparative Grammar of the Semitic Languages with Special Reference to Assyrian: The Oldest Semitic Verb-Form', in JRAS 1878, 244-52.
- ----- 'The Ending of the Semitic Genitive', in JAOS xlv (1925), 311-15.
- ----- 'The Names of the Hebrew Vowels', ib. xxii (1901), 13-17.
- "Ueber die Halbvocale u und i, in BA i (1890), 293-300.
- Hehn, J., 'Waw inversivum', in Beiträge zur alttestamentlichen Wissenschaft Karl Budde . . . überreicht, Giessen, 1920, pp. 83-92.
- Herner, S., Syntax der Zahlwörter im Alten Testament, Lund, 1893.
- Hillel, F., Die Nominalbildungen in der Mischnah, Frankfort, 1891.
- Hirschfeld, H., 'The Pronunciation of the Letter AYN', in JQR iv (1892), 499-502.
- Holma, H., 'Zur semitisch-hamitischen Sprachverwandtschaft', in ZA xxxii (1918), 34-47.
- Homburger, L., 'Les Langues africanes modernes et l'égyptien ancien', in MSLP xxiii (1929), 149-74.
- "Les Dialectes coptes et mandés', in BSLP xxx (1930), 1-57.
- Hommel, E., Der musikalische Akzent des Hebräischen, Leipzig. 1917.
- Hommel, F., 'Ueber den Grad der Verwandtschaft des Altägyptischen mit dem Semitischen', in BA ii (1894), 342-58.
- Huizinga, A. H., Analogy in the Semitic Languages, Baltimore, 1901.
- Hupfeld, 'System der semitischen Demonstrativbildung', in Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, ii (1839), 124-63, 427-82.
- Hurwitz, S. T. H., Root-Determinatives in Semitic Speech, New York, 1913.
- Hüsing, G., 'Zum Lautwert des x', in OLZ x (1907), 467-70.
- Jenrich, W., Der pluralis fractus im Hebräischen, Halle, 1883.
- Jensen, P., 'Ausruf, Frage und Verneinung in den semitischen Sprachen', in Zeitschrift für Völkerpsychologie und Sprachwissenschaft, xviii (1888), 419-30.
- Joüon, P., 'Etudes de morphologie hébraïque', in Biblica i (1920), 353-71.
- Kahan, J., Ueber die verbalnominale Doppelnatur der hebräischen Participien und Infinitive und ihre darauf beruhende verschiedene Konstruktion, Leipzig [1889].

Kahle, P., 'Beiträge zur Geschichte der hebräischen Punktation', in ZAW xxi (1901), 273-317. --- 'Das Problem der Grammatik des Hebräischen', in Indogermanische Forschungen, xlv (1927), 395-410. -Der masoretische Text des Alten Testaments nach der Ueberlieferung der babylonischen Juden, Leipzig, 1902. — 'Die überlieferte Aussprache des Hebräischen und die Punktation der Masoreten', in ZAW xxxix (1921), 230-9. -Masoreten des Ostens. Die ältesten punktierten Handschriften des Alten Testaments und der Targume. Leipzig, 1913. -Masoreten des Westens, 2 vols., Stuttgart, 1927-30. Kautzsch, E., Die Aramäismen im Alten Testament, i, Halle, 1902. -- 'Die sogenannten aramaisierenden Formen der Verba y'y im Hebräischen', in Orientalische Studien . . . Theodor Nöldeke . . . gewidmet, Giessen, 1906, pp. 771-80. Kelly, F. T., 'Some Notes on the Shewa', in Journal of the Society for Oriental Research, iv (1920), 82-6. - 'Stray Notes on the "A" Class Segholates', ib. v (1921), 96-9. ---- 'The Imperfect with Simple Waw in Hebrew', in JBL xxxix (1920), 1-23. Kieckers, E., 'Der hamito-semitische Sprachstamm', in his Die Sprachstämme der Erde, 34-55, Heidelberg, 1931. Klingenheben, A., 'Die Tempora Westafrikas und die semitischen Tempora', in Zeitschrift für Eingeborenen-Sprachen, xix (1928), 241-68. Knudtzon, J. A., Om det saakaldte perfektum og imperfektum i Hebraisk, Oslo, 1889. -'Vom sogenannten Perfekt und Imperfekt im Hebräischen', in Actes du VIII^{me} congrès international des orientalistes, Section sémitique (b), 73-83, Leiden, 1891-93. -'Zur assyrischen und allgemein semitischen Grammatik', in ZA vi (1891), 299-310, 405-31; vii (1892), 33-63. Koch, A., Der semitische Infinitiv, Stuttgart, 1874. Köhler, L., 'Der hebräische Imperfekttypus jêšēb', in OLZ xx (1917), König, E., 'Das l-Jaqtul im Semitischen', in ZDMG li (1897), 330-7. -'Der generelle Artikel im Hebräischen', in ZAW xliv (1926), — 'Die Bedeutung des hebräischen מין, ib. xxxi (1911), 133-46. -----'Die Ueberwucherung des Status constructus-Gebrauchs im Semitischen', in ZDMG liii (1899), 521-4.

——— Hebräisch und Semilisch. Prolegomena und Grundlinien einer
Geschichte der semitischen Sprachen, Berlin, 1901.
vols. (in three parts), Leipzig, 1881-97.
'Neuere Stammbildungstheorien im semitischen Sprachgebiete',
in ZDMG lxv (1911), 709-28.
'Principien und Resultate der semitischen Grammatik', ib.
7.43.54 B.
li (1897), 623-46.
'Ueber den Lautwert des hebräischen y', in WZKM xxvii
(1913), 65-70.
sches Literaturblatt, 1898, 249-54.
xviii (1902), 129-48.
——'Zur Verbalflexion im Semitischen', in ZDMG lxvi (1912),
261-6.
Könnecke, C., Die Behandlung der hebräischen Namen in der Septua-
ginta, Stargard, 1885.
Kraetzschmar, K., 'The Origin of the Notae Relationis in Hebrew',
in <i>Hebraica</i> , vi (1890), 296-302.
Künstlinger, D., 'The Numeral "Two" in the Semitic Languages', in
$JQR \times (1898), 462-9.$
Zur Theorie der Zahlwörter in den semitischen Sprachen,
Berlin, 1897.
Lagarde, P. de, Uebersicht über die im Aramäischen, Arabischen und
Hebräischen übliche Bildung der Nomina, Göttingen, 1889 (= Ab-
handlungen der königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, xxxv,
Part 3; Index and Appendix ib. xxxvii [1891], Part 2).
Lajčiak, J., Die Plural- und Dualendungen am semitischen Nomen,
Leipzig, 1902.
Lambert, M., 'De la formation des racines trilitères fortes', in Semitic
Studies in Memory of Alexander Kohut, Berlin, 1897, pp. 354-62.
——'De la vocalisation des ségolés', in REJ xxxiii (1896), 18-23.
'De l'emploi des suffixes pronominaux avec noun et sans noun
au futur et à l'impératif', ib. xlvi (1903), 178-83.
——'Du passé optatif en hébreu', ib. lxxx (1925), 218-19.
'L'Accent tonique en hébreu', ib. xx (1890), 73-7.
'La Trilitéralité des racines y'y et 'y', ib. xxxv (1897), 203-12.
'Le Futur qal des verbes à première radicale vav, noun ou
alef', ib. xxvii (1893), 136-41.
WICE 1 ID: AATH (1003/1 130 41)

- -'Le Groupement des langues sémitiques', in Cinquantenaire de l' Ecole pratique des hautes etudes, Paris, 1921, pp. 51-60. "L'Emploi du nifal en hébreu", in REJ xli (1900), 196-214. ------'Les Anomalies du pluriel des noms en hébreu', ib. xliii (1901), 206-14. —'Les Pointes voyelles en hébreu', ib. xxvi (1893), 274-7. —'Le Vav conversif', ib. 47-62. ----- 'Observations sur la théorie des formes nominales de M. Barth', in JA VIII, xv (1890), 164-79. —'Quelques remarques sur l'adjectif en hébreu et en arabe', in Festschrift zum 80. Geburtstage Moritz Steinschneider's, Leipzig, 1896, pp. 16-20. —'Remarques sur la formation du pluriel en hébreu', in REJ xxiv (1892), 99-111. -Traité de grammaire hébraïque, Paris, 1931 sqq. Landsberger, B., 'Prinzipienfragen der semitischen, speziell der hebräischen, Grammatik', in OLZ xxix (1926), 967-76. Leander, P., 'Das Wesen der semitischen Tempora', in ZDMG lxxxii (1928), 142-3. —'Der Kampf zwischen Philippis Gesetz und dem Systemzwange in der hebräischen Sprachgeschichte', in Le Monde oriental, vi (1912), 185-92. —'Die vermutete Pausaldehnung o) ā im Hebräischen', ib. iii (1909), 174-7. - 'Einige hebräische Lautgesetze chronologisch geordnet', in Sertum philologicum Carolo Ferdinando Johansson oblatum, Göteborg, 1910, pp. 122-30. - 'Einige hebräische Lautgesetze chronologisch geordnet', in ZDMG lxxiv (1920), 61-76. - 'Zur hebräischen Lautgeschichte', in Le Monde oriental, iv (1910), 34-8. Levias, C., 'On the Etymology of the Term ševa', in American Journal of Philology, xvi (1895), 28-37. — 'Sevâ and Hâtēph', in AJSL xiii (1897), 79-80. ——'The Names of the Hebrew Vowels', in Hebrew Union College Annual, 1904, 138-46. ----- 'The Palestinian Vocalization', in AJSL xv (1899), 157-64. Lewin, B., 'Die Assimilation der Paarworte in der Bibel', in Jahrbuch der jüdisch-litterarischen Gesellschaft, 1908, 234-42.
- Lexa, F., Comment se révèlent les rapports entre les langues hamitiques, sémitiques et la langue égyptienne dans la grammaire des pronoms

- personnels, des verbes et dans les numéraux cardinaux 1-9, n. p., n. d. [1922?].
- Lindberg, O. E., Studier öfver de semitiska ljuden w och y, Lund, 1893.
 ——Vergleichende Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen, i (consonantism), Göteborg, 1897.
- Littmann, E., 'Ain und die emphatischen Laute', in ZS ii (1924), 274-5.
- Maas, A. J., 'Pronominal Roots', in Hebraica, v (1889), 192-5.
- Macdonald, D. B., 'The Interchange of Sibilants and Dentals in Semitic', in AJSL xv (1889), 100-4.
 - Margoliouth, G., "The Supralinear Punctuation, Its Origin, the Different Stages of Its Development, and Its Relations to Other Semitic Systems of Punctuation", in Proceedings of the Society for Biblical Archaeology, xv (1922-23), 164-205.
 - Margolis, M. L., 'Notes on Semitic Grammar', in Hebraica, x (1894), 188-92; AJSL xii (1896), 197-229; xix (1903), 45-8.
 - ------'The Place of the Word-Accent in Hebrew', in JBL xxx (1911), 29-43.

 - ------ 'Transliterations in the Greek Old Testament', in JQR n. s. xvi (1926), 117-25.
 - Meinhof, C., 'Was können uns die Hamitensprachen für den Bau des semitischen Verbum lehren?', in Zeitschrift für Eingeborenen-Sprachen, xii (1922), 241-75.
 - Meister, R., 'Zur Transkription der hebräischen Gutturale durch die Septuaginta', in Wiener Studien, xxviii (1907), 160-1.
 - Meloni, G., Saggi di filologia semitica, Rome, 1913.
 - Meriggi, P., 'Il Problema della parentela dell' indoeuropeo col semitico', in Festschrift Meinhof, Hamburg, 1927, pp. 416-24.
 - Möller, H., 'Die gemein-indogermanisch-semitischen Worttypen der zwei- und dreikonsonantigen Wurzel', in Kuhn's Zeitschrift, xlii (1908), 174-91.
 - ----- 'Die semitischen p-Laute', in ZDMG lxx (1916), 145-63.

 - ——Semitisch und Indogermanisch, i (consonantism), Copenhagen, 1906.
 - ——Vergleichendes indogermanisch-semitisches Wörterbuch, Göttingen, 1911.

- Montgomery, J. A., 'Alleged Intensive Noun-Formations in the Semitic', in JAOS xlvi (1926), 56-8.
 ——'The Nominal Prefix n in Some Hebrew Names', ib. xliii (1923), 50-1.
 Moore, G. F., 'On a New Theory of the Nature of the So-called Emphatic Consonants in the Semitic Languages', in Proceedings of the American Oriental Society, xiii (1888), ecciv-eccvii.
 Müller, A., 'Semitische Nomina. Bemerkungen zu de Lagarde und Barth', in ZDMG xlv (1891), 221-38.
 Müller, D. H., 'Das Substantivum verbale', in Orientalische Studien
 - Müller, D. H., 'Das Substantivum verbale', in Orientalische Studien
 Theodor Nöldeke . . . gewidmet, Giessen, 1906, pp. 781-6.
 ——'Ueber den Gebrauch des äussern Plurals masc. in den süd-

- Müller, F., 'Der Dual in den semitischen Sprachen', in SWAW lxxix (1875), 449-60.
- ----- 'Die hamito-semitische Sprachstamm', in his Grundriss der Sprachwissenschaft, Vienna, 1876-88, III, ii, 224-419.
- Naville, E., Evolution de la langue égyptienne et les langues sémitiques, Paris, 1920.
- Nestle, E., 'Schin-Sin. Ein Beitrag zur späteren Geschichte des hebräischen Alphabets', in Actes du XI^{me} congrès des orientalistes, Paris, 1898, Section iv, 113-16.
- —— 'Spiritus asper und lenis in der Umschreibung hebräischer Wörter', in Philologus, lxviii (1909), 456-63.
- Nix, L., 'Zur Erklärung der semitischen Verbalformen', in ZA x (1885), 174-92.
- Nöldeke, T., Beiträge zur semitischen Sprachwissenschaft, Strasbourg, 1904.
- ------ 'Der Dual im Semitischen', in Zeitschrift für Völkerpsychologie und Sprachwissenschaft, vii (1871), 403-11.
- ---- Die semitischen Sprachen, 2nd ed., Leipzig, 1899.
- -----'Inkonsequenzen in der hebräischen Punktation', in ZA xxvi (1912), 1-15.
- —— Neue Beiträge zur semitischen Sprachwissenschaft, Strasbourg, 1910.
- -----'Untersuchungen zur semitischen Grammatik', in ZDMG xxxvii (1883), 525-40; xxxviii (1884), 407-22.

- "Zur semitischen Pluralendung", in ZA xviii (1904), 68-72.
- Noth, M., Die israelitischen Personennamen im Rahmen der gemeinsemitischen Namengebung, Stuttgart, 1928.
- Nyberg, H. S., 'Wortbildung mit Präfixen in den semitischen Sprachen', in Le Monde oriental, xiv (1920), 177-289.
- Nylander, K. U., Om kasusändelserna i Hebräiskan, Upsala, 1882.
- O'Leary, De Lacy, Comparative Grammar of the Semitic Languages, London, 1923 (abbreviated O).
 - Olshausen, J., Lehrbuch der hebräischen Sprache, Brunswick, 1861.
 - Oštir, K., 'Zum Verhältnis des indogermanischen x-Lautes zu den semitischen Kehlkopf-Lauten', in Anthropos viii (1913), 165-80.
 - Pedersen, J., 'Semiten (Sprache)', in Reallexikon der Vorgeschichte, ed. M. Ebert, Berlin, 1928, xii, 14-50.
 - Philippi, F., 'Das Zahlwort Zwei im Semitischen', in ZDMG xxxii (1878), 21-98.
 - "Der Grundstamm des starken Verbums im Semitischen und sein Verhältnis zur Wurzel', in Morgenländlische Forschungen . . . H. L. Fleischer . . . gewidmet, Leipzig, 1875, pp. 71-106.
 - ---- 'Die Aussprache der semitischen Consonanten 1 und '', in ZDMG xl (1886), 639-54.
 - ----- 'Die semitischen Verbal- und Nominalbildung in ihrem Verhältnis zu einander', in BA ii (1894), 359-89.
 - "Nochmals die Aussprache der semitischen Konsonanten und '', in ZDMG li (1897), 66-104, 338-9.
 - ——Wesen und Ursprung des Status constructus im Hebräischen, Weimar, 1871.
 - Porath, E., 'Die Passivbildung des Grundstammes im Semitischen', in Monatsschrift für Geschichte und Wissenschaft des Judentums, n. s. xliii (1926), 180-93, 250-60.
 - Porges, N., 'Ueber die Verbalstammbildung in den semitischen Sprachen', in SWAW lxxix (1875), 281-354.
 - Praetorius, F., 'Fu'ail im Hebräischen und Syrischen', in ZDMG lvii (1903), 524-9.
 - ------ 'Ueber das babylonische Vokalisationssystem des Hebräischen', ib. liii (1899), 181-96.
 - ——'Ueber den Einfluss des Accentes auf die Vocalentfaltung nach Gutturalen', in ZAW iii (1883), 211-19.
 - Ueber den rückweichenden Accent im Hebräischen, Halle, 1897.
 - -----'Ueber den sogenannten Infinitiv absolutus des Hebräischen', in ZDMG lvi (1902), 546-50.

- ----- 'Ueber den Ursprung des Dagesch forte conjunctivum', in ZAW iii (1883), 17-31.
- ----- 'Ueber die aramäischen und arabischen Passivperfekta', in ZS ii (1924), 134-41.
- -----'Ueber einige Arten hebräischer Eigennamen', in ZDMG lvii (1903), 773-82. (Cf. ib. lxi [1907], 759.)
- ------'Ueber einige Pluralformen des Semitischen', ib. lvi (1902), 685-96.
- ------'Ueber einige weibliche Caritativnamen im Hebräischen', ib. lvii (1903), 530-4.
- -----'Zur hebräischen und aramäischen Grammatik', ib. lv (1901), 359-70.
- ----- 'Zur Kausativbildung im Semitischen', in ZS v (1927), 39-42.
- Rahlfs, A., 'Zur Setzung der Lesemütter im Alten Testament', in Nachrichten der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, phil.-hist. Klasse, 1916, 315-47.
- Rammelt, G., Ueber die zusammengesetzten Nomina im Hebräischen, Halle, 1883.
- Reckendorf, H., 'Artikelhafter Gebrauch des Personalpronomens und Verwandtes im Semitischen', in ZDMG liv (1900), 130-6.
- ----- 'Der Bau der semitischen Zahlwörter', ib. lxv (1911), 550-9.
- ----- 'Zur Karakteristik der semitischen Sprachen', in Actes du X^{me} congrès des orientalistes, Leiden, 1897, Section II, 3-9.
- Reinisch, L., Das persönliche Fürwort und die Verbalflexion in den chamito-semitischen Sprachen, Vienna, 1909.
- 'Das Zahlwort 4 und 9 in den chamito-semitischen Sprachen', in SWAW exxi (1890), no. 12.
- Renan, E., Histoire générale et système comparé des langues sémitiques, i, 3rd ed. (all published), Paris, 1863.
- Revillout, E., 'Essai sur la vocalisation hébraïque', in Revue égyptologique, xiii (1911), 137-58; xiv (1912), 82-7.
- ------'Les Voyelles en égyptien et dans les langues sémitiques', ib. xiii (1911), 134-6.
- Rhodokanakis, N., 'Reduplikation und Vokaldehnung, Druck und Ton in der semitischen Nominalbildung', in WZKM xxix (1915), 60-73.
- Rimalt, E., 'Wechselbeziehungen zwischen dem Aramäischen und dem Neubabylonischen', in WZKM xxxix (1932), 99-122.
- Robinson, T. H., 'Some Features of the Sibilants in the Semitic Languages', in *Journal of the Manchester Egyptian and Oriental* Society, 1918-19, 81-9.

- Rosenberg, H., 'Das Geschlecht der Hauptwörter in der Mischna', in Monatsschrift für Geschichte und Wissenschaft des Judentums, lii (1908), 206-16, 285-301, 426-34, 560-77, 673-96. -'Notizen aus der tannaïtischen Literatur über das Geschlecht der hebräischen Hauptwörter', in ZAW xxviii (1908), 144-7. - 'Zum Geschlecht der hebräischen Hauptwörter', ib. xxv (1905), 325-39. Růzička, R., Beiträge zur Erklärung der nomina segolata im Hebräischen, Prague, 1904. -'Der Wiedergabe des nordsemitischen y durch h im Assyrischen als eine Parallele der Transkription von y durch γ bei den LXX', in Biblische Zeitschrift, xi (1913), 342-9. -'Die Wurzel r' in den semitischen Sprachen', in ZA xxv (1911), 114-38. -Konsonantische Dissimilation in den semitischen Sprachen, Leipzig, 1909. --- 'Nochmals zur Frage der Existenz des g im Ursemitischen', in WZKM xxviii (1914), 21-45. —'Ueber die Existenz des $\dot{\epsilon}$ im Hebräischen', in ZA xxi (1908), 293-340. - 'Zur Frage der Existenz des g im Ursemitischen', in WZKM xxvi (1912), 96-106. Sachs, H., Die Partikeln der Mischna, Berlin, 1897. Sarauw, C., 'Das altsemitische Tempussystem', in Festschrift Wilhelm
 - Thomsen . . . dargebracht, Leipzig, 1912, pp. 59-69.

 "Der hebräische Lokativ', in ZA xx (1907), 183-9.
 - Scerbo, F., 'Dei verbi in media waw', in GSAI xxi (1908), 111-15.
- ----'Dell' aleph quiescente', ib. xix (1906), 191-3.
- -----'Uso dell' articolo presunto errato in ebraico', ib. pp. 187-90.
- ----- 'Verbi biconsonantici in ebraico', ib. xxii (1909), 295-300.
- Schaade, A., 'Zwei Studien über das grammatische Geschlecht im Semitischen', in ZS v (1927), 185-94.
- Schlatter, A., 'Die hebräischen Namen bei Josephus', in Beiträge zur Förderung christlicher Theologie, xvii (1913), 117-244.
- Schmidt, W., Die Sprachfamilien und Sprachenkreise der Erde, Heidelberg, 1926, pp. 56-62.
- Schmiedl, A., 'Eine sprachvergleichende Studie', in Monatsschrift für Geschichte und Wissenschaft des Judentums, 1 (1906), 59-67.
- Schreiner, M., 'Zur Geschichte der Aussprache des Hebräischen', in ZAW vi (1886), 213-59.
- Schultze, M., Zur Formenlehre des semitischen Verbs, Vienna, 1886.

- Schulz, A. O., Ueber das Imperfekt und Perfekt mit 1 (1) im Hebräischen, Kirchhain, 1900.
- Schwab, M., Les Points-voyelles dans les langues sémitiques, Paris, 1879.
- Schwabe, F., Die Genusbestimmung des Nomens im biblischen Hebräisch, Jena, 1894.
- Segal, M. H., 'Mišnaic Hebrew and its Relation to Biblical Hebrew and to Aramaic', in JQR xx (1908), 647-737.
- Seidel, M., 'Û as an Old Plural Ending of the Hebrew Noun', in JAOS xxxvii (1917), 165-7.
- Sellin, E., Die verbal-nominale Doppelnatur der hebräischen Participien und Infinitive und ihre darauf verschiedene Construktion, Leipzig, 1889.
- Sethe, K., 'Die ägyptischen Ausdrücke für "jeder" und ihre semitischen Entsprechungen', in ZS v (1927), 1-5.
- Siegfried, C., 'Die Aussprache des Hebräischen bei Hieronymus', in ZAW iv (1884), 34-83.
- Sievers, E., Studien zur hebräischen Metrik, i, Leipzig, 1901.
- Speiser, E. A., 'Secondary Developments in Semitic Phonology: An Application of the Principle of Sonority', in AJSL xlii (1926), 145-69.
- Sperber, H., 'Das Alphabet der Septuaginta-Vorlage', in OLZ xxxii (1929), 533-9.
- Spiro, J., 'Les Origines des langues sémitiques', in Revue de théologie et de philosophie, xxx (1897), 144-68.
- Sprengling, M., 'Zur Priorität des "Apocopatus", in ZDMG lxx (1916), 542-6.
- Stade, B., Lehrbuch der hebräischen Grammatik, i, Leipzig, 1879.
- Staples, W. E., 'The Hebrew of the Septuagint', in AJSL xliv (1928), 6-30.
- Stein, A., Der Stamm der Hithpael im Hebräischen, Schwerin, 1893.
- Stein, S., Das Verbum der Mischnahsprache, Berlin, 1888.
- Tegnér, E. H. W., De vocibus primae radicalis w earumque declinatione quaestiones semiticae comparativae, Lund, 1869.
- Till, W., 'Die Zusammenhänge zwischen den ägyptischen und semitischen Personalpronomina', in WZKM xxxiii (1926), 236-51.
- Torczyner, H., Die Entstehung des semitischen Sprachtypus. Ein Beitrag zum Problem der Entstehung der Sprache, Vienna, 1916.

- -'Zur Bedeutung von Akzent und Vokal im Semitischen', in ZDMG lxiv (1910), 269-311. -'Zur Geschichte des semitischen Verbums', ib. lxvii (1913), 645-52. — 'Zur semitischen Verbalbildung', ib. lxvi (1912), 87-93. Toy, C. H., 'Problems of General Semitic Grammar', in American Journal of Philology, i (1880), 416-26. -'Semitic Notes', ib. v (1884), 493-500. -'The Massoretic Vowel-System', in Hebraica, i (1885), 137-44. Trombetti, A., 'Delle relazioni delle lingue caucasiche con le lingue camito-semitiche e con altri gruppi linguistici', in GSAI xv (1902), 177-201. -Indogermanische und semitische Forschungen. Vorläufige Mittheilungen, Bologna, 1897. -- 'Sulla origine delle consonanti enfatiche nel semitico', in Memorie dell' accademia delle scienze di Bologna, hist.-phil. section, I, v (1911), 3-50. Ulmer, F., Die semitischen Eigennamen im Alten Testament auf ihre Entstehung und Elemente hin untersucht, i, Leipzig, 1901. Ungnad, A., 'Das Nomen mit Suffixen im Semitischen', in WZKM xx (1906), 167-83. ———Das Wesen des Ursemitischen, Leipzig, 1925. —'Der hebräische Artikel', in OLZ x (1907), 210-11. — 'Die Bezeichnung der Verbalstämme im Semitischen', ib. ix (1906), 45-7. ----- 'Die gegenseitigen Beziehungen der Verbalformen im Grundstamm des semitischen Verbs', in ZDMG lix (1905), 766-8. 'Die Grundform des hebräischen Artikels', ib. lxii (1908), 80-2. -----'Ueber Analogiebildungen im hebräischen Verbum', in BA v (1906), 233-78. —— 'Zum hebräischen Verbalsystem', ib. VI, iii (1907), 55-62. ------'Zur Erklärung der hebräischen nomina segolata', in ZA xvii (1903), 333-43. Uppenkamp, A., Beiträge zur semitisch-indogermanischen Sprach-
- Uppenkamp, A., Beiträge zur semitisch-indogermanischen Sprachvergleichung, Düsseldorf, 1895.
- Vilenčik, J., 'Welchen Wert hatte ضر im Ursemitischen?', in OLZ xxxiii (1930), 89-98.
- Viscasillas y Urriza, M., 'Paralelo entre los verbos defectivos árabes y los respectivos hebreos, caldeos, siriacos y etiopes', in Homenaje á D. Francisco Codera, Zaragoza, 1904, pp. 51-66, 51a-61a.

- Vollers, K., 'Arabisch und Semitisch. Gedanken über eine Revision der semitischen Lautgesetze', in ZA ix (1894), 165-217.
- ------ 'Semitische Miszellen', ib. vii (1903), 305-32.
- Wächter, A., 'Israelitische Namen', in Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie, xlix (1906), 153-93.
- Walker, D. A., The Semitic Negative with Special Reference to the Negative in Hebrew, Chicago, 1896.
- Weill, J., 'La Prononciation de l'hébreu prémassorétique', in REJ lxxxv (1928), 214-21.
- Wellhausen, J., 'Ueber einige Arten schwacher Verba im Hebräischen', in his Skizzen und Vorarbeiten, Berlin, 1899, vi, 250-260.
- Wensinck, A. J., 'Some Aspects of Gender in the Semitic Languages', in Verhandelingen der Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam, Afdeeling Letterkunde, n. s. xxvi, no. 3 (1927).
- Wijnkoop, J. D., 'Hebrew Verbs with a Biliteral Stem', in JQR x (1898), 503-12.
- Wilensky, M., 'Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der tiberiensischen Punktation', in ZAW xliii (1925), 270-4.
- Winckler, H., 'Bemerkungen zu dem Ersatz des Artikels durch das Pronomen', in ZDMG liii (1899), 525-33.
- Wolfenson, L. B., 'The Pi'ēl in Hebrew', in JAOS xxvii (1906), 303-16.
- Worrell, W. H., 'Noun Classes and Polarity in Hamitic and Their Bearing upon the Origin of the Semites', in JPOS i (1921), 15-21.
- Wright, W., Lectures on the Comparative Grammar of the Semitic Languages, Cambridge, 1890 (abbreviated W).
 - Wutz, F. X., 'Die Bedeutung der Transskriptionen in der Septuaginta', in BZ xvi (1924), 193-213.
 - —— Die Transcriptionen des Hebräischen von der Septuaginta bis Hieronymus, 2 vols., Stuttgart, 1925-33.
 - ------ 'Ist der hebräische Urtext wieder erreichbar?', in ZAW xliii (1925), 115-19.
 - Yahuda, A. S., Die Sprache des Pentateuch in ihren Beziehungen zum Ägyptischen, i, Berlin and Leipzig, 1929.
 - Yellin, D., 'The Hippa'el-Nif'al Conjugation in Hebrew and Aramaic, and the Assimilation of n in the Hitpa'el Conjugation', in JPOS iv (1924), 85-106.
 - Zetterstéen, K. V., De semitiska Språken, Upsala, 1914.
 - Zimmern, H., 'Das Verhältnis der babylonisch-assyrischen Sprache zur Hebräischen', in E. Schrader, Die Keilinschriften und das Alte Testament, 3rd ed., Berlin, 1902, pp. 644-53.

- "Das Verhältnis des assyrischen Permansivs zum semitischen und zum ägyptischen "Pseudoparticip", in ZA v (1890), 1-22.
 Vergleichende Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen, Berlin, 1898 (abbreviated Z).
- Zorell, F., 'Spiritus asper und lenis hebräischer Wörter und Eigennamen im Griechischen', in Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie, xxiv (1900), 734-8.
- Zyhlarz, E., Ursprung und Sprachcharakter des Altägyptischen, Berlin, 1933.

INDEX OF BIBLICAL HEBREW WORDS

References are to paragraphs.

'āβ, 88, 178	'ayyēh, 253, 279	'ānēφ, 'ānaφ, 14, 329
'āβαδ, 34, 91, 404	'ayyēḥ-nā, 356	'ānaq, 91, 404
'ăβaddōn, 'ăβēδāḥ, 6c	$'\bar{e}y\chi(\bar{a}h)$, 276, 279	'āsar, 21, 97, 309
'eβen, 14	ayyat, 130	'āsīr, 21, 97, 126, 382
'ἄβα'bū'āḥ, 52	'ayin, 'ēyn verb, 279	'assīr, 194
'ēβer, 'eβrāḥ, 194	'ayin adverb, 253, 279	'issār, 60
'ĕγōz, 194	'ēyφōħ, 279	$a\varphi$ noun, 36
'āhaβ, 'āhēβ, 306	'aχ, 92, 276	'aφ adverb, 292
'ăhāh, 294	'āχal, 309	'esba', 52, 154
'õ, 292	'āχēn, 276	'ēsel, 290
'āwāḥ, 211	'al, 283	'eş'āðāh, 52
'ō(y), 294	'el, 'ĕlĕy, 291	'arba', 'arbā'āh, 21,
'ōy-nā, 356	'ēl, 242	153, 257
'ūlām noun, 269	'ělōgh, 21	'argāz, 52
'ūlām adverb, 284	'ēlleh, 242	'ărī, 38
'āz, 278, 286	'al-nā, 356	'arneβeθ, 183
'ăzay, 278	'ālaş, 404	'ereş, 37
'ōzen, 21, 53, 97, 124,		'arşāh, 75, 82, 217
180, 196	'ēm, 178	'ēš, 'iššeh, 183
'āzēn, 194	'āmāḥ, 66, 179	'eškōl, 153
'ăziqqīm, 52	'āmal, 91, 147, 318,	'āšam, 'āšēm, 306
'āzar, 329	402, 404	'ăšer, 211, 247, 248, 249
'ezrōa', 52, 154	'umlal, 147	'ēθ prep., 291
'āḥ, 86, 294	'ămēlāl, 148	'ēθ, 'ōθ acc. sign, 210,
'eḥaō, 36, 257, 258,	'āman, 323	211
266, 286	'āmēn, 92	'att(āh), 82, 225, 228
'āḥū, 194	'im-nā, 356	'att(1), 225, 229
'āḥaz, 21, 414	'umnām, 'omnām, 215	'attem, 207, 225, 232
'Āḥāz, 26	'āmar, 356	'attēn(āh), 225, 233
'aḥēr, 61	'ěmeθ, 38	'āθōn, 178
'ahar, 'ahărēy, 290	'ănaḥnū, 225, 231, 375	В
'āḥar, 61	'ănī, 225, 227, 231	
'ăḥōrannīθ, 64		bə, 38, 286, 291
'āļam, 92, 404	'ŏniyyāḥ, 185, 194	bo'šāh, 184, 194
ĩ, 86, 294		Bāβelāḥ, 217
ay, 279		baδ, 286
	, 404	bāδā, 44

bở', 344 bữs, 91	bəhēmāh, 184, 194	gāβar, 322	dīn, 96, 97, 104
būz, 91			
būs, 404 bāzāḥ, 91 bāzāḥ, 91 bāzaḥ, 91 bāzaḥ, 91 bāzaḥ, 11 bādal, 21 bāḍal, 21 baḍal, 22, 396 beṭm, 181 bōn, 322, 396 bēyn, 291 bayiθ, 21, 56, 286 gōzāl, 97, 133 bāxāḥ, 14, 45, 421 gāzaḥ, gāzaz, 91 bayiθ, 21, 56, 286 gōzāl, 97, 133 dāṣēn, 330 bāxāḥ, 14, 45, 421 gāzar, 51 baḍāl, 290 balal, 88 galgal, 91 balal, 88 galgal, 91 balal, 88 calgal, 91 balal, 88 galgal, 91 balal, 89 bala', 21 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōleθ, 113, 181 bera, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōleθ, 113, 181 ba'ar, 275 bān, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōleθ, 113, 181 ba'ar, 21 ba'ar, 29 ba'ar, 61 ba'ar, 184, 194 ba'ar, 184 ba'ar, 184 ba'ar, 194 ba'ar, 184 ba'ar, 194 ba'ar, 184 ba'ar, 194 ba			
bāzāḥ, 91 gāðal, 313 dām, 21 bāzaz, 411, 412 Giðððm, 176 dim'āḥ, 194 bātlal, 21 gāðēr, gaðērāḥ, 181 dān, 14, 45 bēten, 181 gölāḥ, 182 derex, 181 bēten, 181 gözāḥ, gāzaz, 91 dārāš, 321 bēwn, 291 gāzah, gāzaz, 91 dāšā, 91 bēwn, 291 gāzar, 51 Dōbān, Dōθayin, 176 bōxōr, 21, 120 gūl, 91 Dōbān, Dōθayin, 176 batlal, 1, 290 Gilöḥ, Gīlōnī, 64 H bālal, 88 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōleð, 113, 181 hā, 292 bēra, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōleð, 113, 181 hā, 294 ba'a, 220 gāmal, 35, 67 li hāyāḥ, 402 b'a'añ, 29 gāmal, 35, 67 li hāyāḥ, 402 b'a'añ, 29 gāmal, 21, 60, 62, 75 hāl, 294 ba'a'að, 350 gāraš, 327 hāl, 294 ba'a'að, 355 gārāḥ, 116 hū('), 47, 75, 225, 246 ba'a'að, 355 gārāḥ, 116 hū('), 47, 75, 225, 246 ba'a'a, 61 gāraz, 51 bālāz, 40, 413<			
bāzaz, 411, 412 Giðə'ōm, 176 dim'āḥ, 194 bāṭal, 21 gāðēr, gəðērāḥ, 181 dān, 14, 45 beten, 181 gölāḥ, 182 derex, 181 bēn, 322, 396 gūr, 91, 402, 404 dāraš, 321 bēyn, 291 gāzāḥ, gāzaz, 91 dāšān, 330 bayiθ, 21, 56, 286 gōzāl, 97, 133 dāšēn, 330 baxāḥ, 14, 45, 421 gāzar, 51 Dōθān, Dōθayin, 176 bayið, 21, 120 gīl, 91 H bala, 290 Gīlōḥ, Gīlōnī, 64 H bālal, 88 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bāla', 21 Gilgāl, 14 hā, 275 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōleð, 113, 181 hā, 294 ba'að, 290 gāmal, 35, 67 lhāyāḥ, 402 11 hāyāh, 402 11 hāyāḥ, 402 120 gāmal, 21, 60, 62, 75 hāl, 294 ba'að, 290 gāmāl, 21, 60, 62, 75 hāl, 294 ba'að, 355 gārāß, 116 hā('), 47, 75, 225, 246 bā'ar, 61 gārāß, 116 hā('), 225, 246 bāgaq, 401 D hāllāz(eḥ), hallēzū, 244 bā			
bāṭal, 21 gābēr, gəbērāḥ, 181 dān, 14, 45 beṭen, 181 gōlāḥ, 182 derex, 181 bīn, 322, 396 gūr, 91, 402, 404 dāraš, 321 bēyn, 291 gāzāḥ, gāzaz, 91 dāšā, 91 bayiθ, 21, 56, 286 gōzāl, 97, 133 dāšēn, 330 bāxāḥ, 14, 45, 421 gāzar, 51 Dōθān, Dōθayin, 176 bayōr, 21, 120 gīl, 91 balī, 290 Gīlōḥ, Gīlōnī, 64 H bālal, 88 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bāla', 21 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōled, 113, 181 hā-, 275 bēer, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōled, 113, 181 hā-, 275 bēer, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōled, 113, 181 hā-, 275 bar, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōled, 113, 181 hā-, 275 beer, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōled, 113, 181 hā-, 14, 275 beer, 38, 37, 129 gāmal, 35, 67 II hāyāḥ, 402 II hāyāḥ, 402 II hāyāḥ, 402 II hāyāḥ, 404 hāh, 294 hā', 19, 294 hā', 19, 294 hā'('), 47, 75, 225, 246 ba'ar, 61 gāraṣ, 116 hā'('), 225, 246 hā(y), 294 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
beten, 181			
bīn, 322, 396 gūr, 91, 402, 404 dāraš, 321 bēyn, 291 gāzāḥ, gāzaz, 91 dāšā, 91 bayiθ, 21, 56, 286 gōzāl, 97, 133 dāšēn, 330 bāxāḥ, 14, 45, 421 gāzar, 51 Dōθān, Dōθayin, 176 bayōr, 21, 120 gīl, 91 H ball, 290 Gīlōḥ, Gīlōnī, 64 H bālal, 88 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bālal, 82 Gilgāl, 14 hā, 59, 245 bālal, 88 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bālal, 89 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179 gulgōleθ, 113, 181 hā, 294 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179 gulgōleθ, 113, 181 hā, 294 bèra, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179 gāmal, 35, 67 II hāyāḥ, 402 Bba'ab, 290 gāmal, 35, 67 II hāyāḥ, 402 bèra'hôl, 29 gāmal, 35, 67 II hāyāḥ, 404 bà'ar, 61 gāraš, 327 hō(y), 294 bà'ar, 61 gāraz, 51 bā(y), 294 bà'ar, 84 192, 194 dā'ēβ, 91, 404 hālō, 275 baqag, 401 D	. T. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	3 TOTAL BUTTON BUTTON OF THE STATE OF THE ST	
bēyn, 291 gāzāḥ, gāzaz, 91 dāšā, 91 bayiθ, 21, 56, 286 gōzāl, 97, 133 dāšēn, 330 bāxāḥ, 14, 45, 421 gāzar, 51 Dōθān, Dōθayin, 176 bayōr, 21, 120 gīl, 91 H bālal, 290 Gīlōḥ, Gīlōnī, 64 H bālal, 88 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bālal, 21 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōleθ, 113, 181 hā-, 275 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, 220 gālal, 91, 332, 339, 239, 230 laāyāḥ, 402 bòser, 184, 194 340, 402 I hāyāḥ, 402 bòser, 184, 194 340, 402 II hāyāḥ, 404 bà'ab, 290 gāmal, 35, 67 II hāyāḥ, 404 bà'ar, 61 gā'aš, 327 hāh, 294 bà'ar, 61 gā'aš, 327 hō(y), 294 ba'ār, 84, 194 gēr, 46 hā('), 47, 75, 225, 246 bā'r, 184, 194 gāraz, 51 hālāz, 294 bāgaq, 401 D hālāz, 29, 194 hālō, 275 bāgar, 184, 192, 194 dā'ēß, 91, 404 hālāx, 29, 195, 329 bāgar, 184, 192, 194 dā'ēß, 91, 404 hālāx, 29, 413 <			
bayiθ, 21, 56, 286 gōzāl, 97, 133 dāšēn, 330 bāχāḥ, 14, 45, 421 gāzar, 51 Dōθān, Dōθayin, 176 bəχōr, 21, 120 gīl, 91 H bālal, 88 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bāla', 21 Gilgāl, 14 hā, - 275 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōleθ, 113, 181 -hā, 236 bēser, 184, 194 340, 402 I hāγāḥ, 402 bè'aβrōθ, 29 gāmal, 35, 67 II hāγāḥ, 404 bà'aβ, 300 gāmāl, 21, 60, 62, 75 hāh, 294 ba'al, 14, 21, 29 gan, 67 hū('), 47, 75, 225, 246 bā'ar, 61 gā'aš, 327 hō(y), 294 bā'ah, 355 gārāß, 116 hā('), 225, 246 bāqāq, 401 bāqār, 184, 192, 194 dā'ēß, 91, 404 hālax, 291, hallēzū, 244 bāqaq, 401 D hallāz(eḥ), hallēzū, 244 bāqār, 184, 192, 194 dā'ēß, 91, 404 hālax, 91, 295, 329 bāgār, 84 D hālax, 402, 413 hālax, 402, 413 bərōš, 83, 97, 129 77, -hem, 207, 236 hēm(māḥ), 47, 207, 255, 234, 246 baðar, 84 197, 212 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Department of the second of th</td>			Department of the second of th
bāχāḥ, 14, 45, 421 gāzar, 51 Dōθān, Dōθayin, 176 bəχōr, 21, 120 gīl, 91 H bālal, 88 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bāla', 21 Gilgāl, 14 hā-, 275 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, 220 gulgōleθ, 113, 181 hā-, 235 bōser, 184, 194 340, 402 I hāγāḥ, 402 bò'aβrōθ, 29 gāmal, 35, 67 II hāγāḥ, 404 bà'a 3, 290 gāmāl, 21, 60, 62, 75 hāh, 294 bà'ar, 61 gā'aš, 327 hū('), 47, 75, 225, 246 bā'ar, 184, 194 gēr, 46 hūm, hīm, 211, 404 bā'ar, 184, 194 gāraš, 327 hālō, 275 bā'ar, 61 gāraš, 327 hālo, 294 bā'ar, 184, 194 gēr, 46 hūm, hīm, 211, 404 bā'ar, 184, 194 gāraš, 31 hālō, 275 bāqār, 184, 192 gāraz, 51 hālō, 275 bāqār, 184, 192, 194 dā'ēβ, 91, 404 hālax, 91, 295, 329 bāqār, 184, 192, 194 dā'ēβ, 91, 404 hālax, 91, 295, 329 bāgār, 84 bāgār, 33, n. 2, 36, hālal, 402, 413 hālax, 92, 295, 329		gōzāl, 97, 133	
bəχör, 21, 120 gīl, 91 H bəlī, 290 Gīlōḥ, Gīlōnī, 64 H bālal, 88 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bāla', 21 Gilgāl, 14 hā-, 275 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōleθ, 113, 181 hā-, 236 220 gālal, 91, 332, 339, bē', he'āḥ, 294 I hāγāḥ, 402 bòser, 184, 194 340, 402 II hāγāḥ, 402 bà'abrāb, 290 gāmal, 35, 67 II hāγāḥ, 404 bà'a'a, 290 gāmal, 21, 60, 62, 75 hāh, 294 bā'ar, 61 gā'aš, 327 hō(y), 294 bà'ār, 184, 194 gēr, 46 hūm, hīm, 211, 404 bā'a'a, 355 gārāβ, 116 hā'), 225, 246 bāqabūq, 112 gāraz, 51 hālā, 275 bāqaq, 401 D hallāz(eḥ), hallēzū, 244 bāqar, 184, 192, 194 dā'ē, 91, 404 hālax, 91, 295, 329 bāqar, 313 dāβar, 313, 356 hālmax, 91, 295, 329 bāgār, 84 197, 212 hālom, 275 bašās, 402 daβar, 313, 356 hēm(māḥ), 47, 207, bašās, 402 daβar, 318, 185 hāmam			Dōθān, Dōθavin, 176
balī, 290 Gīlōḥ, Gīlōḥ, Gīlōnī, 64 bālal, 88			
bālal, 88 galgal, 91 hā, 59, 245 bāla', 21 Gilgāl, 14 hā-, 275 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, galgōleθ, 113, 181 -hā, 236 pēser, 184, 194 340, 402 I hāγāḥ, 402 bèvaβrōθ, 29 gāmal, 35, 67 II hāγāḥ, 404 bà'að, 290 gāmāl, 21, 60, 62, 75 hāh, 294 bà'að, 290 gāraš, 327 hā('), 47, 75, 225, 246 bà'ar, 61 gā'aš, 327 hā(y), 294 bà'ār, 184, 194 gēr, 46 hūm, hīm, 211, 404 bā'að, 355 gāraā, 116 hā('), 225, 246 bāqaq, 401 D hallāz(eḥ), hallēzū, 244 bāqar, 184, 192, 194 dā'ē, 91, 404 hālax, 91, 295, 329 bāqaš, 63 dāßaq, dāßēq, 306 hālax, 91, 295, 329 bāqaš, 63 dāßar, 313, 356 hālax, 91, 295, 329 bārax, 61, 313 dāßar, 33, n. 2, 36, hālām, 275 hēm(māḥ), 402, 413 bərōš, 83, 97, 129 77, 197, 212 hēm(māḥ), 47, 207, bāšar, 84 197, 212 hēm(māḥ), 47, 207, bāšar, 84 197, 212 hēm(māḥ), 47, 207, <t< td=""><td></td><td>Gīlōh, Gīlōnī, 64</td><td>H</td></t<>		Gīlōh, Gīlōnī, 64	H
bāla', 21 Gilgāl, 14 hā-, 275 bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgāleθ, 113, 181 -hā, 236 220 gālal, 91, 332, 339, hē', he'āḥ, 294 bōser, 184, 194 340, 402 I hāyāḥ, 402 bò'aβτōθ, 29 gāmal, 35, 67 III hāyāḥ, 404 bà'að, 290 gāmāl, 21, 60, 62, 75 hāh, 294 bà'al, 14, 21, 29 gan, 67 hū('), 47, 75, 225, 246 bā'ar, 61 gā'aš, 327 hō(y), 294 bō'ār, 184, 194 gēr, 46 hūm, hīm, 211, 404 bā'aθ, 355 gārāβ, 116 hā(), 225, 246 bāqbūq, 112 gāraz, 51 hāllā, 227,5 bāqaq, 401 D hāllāz(eḥ), hallēzū, 244 bāqār, 184, 192, 194 dā'ēβ, 91, 404 hālax, 91, 295, 329 bāqaš, 63 dāβaq, dāβēq, 306 hālīxāḥ, 38 bāqaš, 63 dāβar, 313, 356 hālom, 275 bərōš, 83, 97, 129 77, -hem, 207, 236 bərōš, 83, 97, 129 77, -hem, 207, 236 bərōš, 84 197, 212 hēm(māḥ), 47, 207, bašār, 84 197, 212 hēm(māḥ), 47,		galgal, 91	
bēn, 37, 81, 96, 97, 179, gulgōleθ, 113, 181	5.00 kg (1.00 g) (1.90 kg (1.00 kg (1.0	Gilgāl, 14	hă-, 275
220 gālal, 91, 332, 339, hē', he'āḥ, 294 bōser, 184, 194 340, 402 I hāγāḥ, 402 bə'aβrōθ, 29 gāmal, 35, 67 II hāγāḥ, 404 ba'að, 290 gāmāl, 21, 60, 62, 75 hāh, 294 bā'al, 14, 21, 29 gan, 67 hū('), 47, 75, 225, 246 bā'ar, 61 gā'aš, 327 hō(y), 294 bə'īr, 184, 194 gēr, 46 hūm, hīm, 211, 404 bā'aθ, 355 gāraβ, 116 hī('), 225, 246 baqbūq, 112 gāraz, 51 hālāz(eḥ), hallēzū, 244 bāqar, 184, 192, 194 dā'ēβ, 91, 404 hālax, 91, 295, 329 bāqaš, 63 dāβar, 313, 356 hālax, 91, 295, 329 bāqaš, 61, 313 dāβar, 33, 356 hālom, 275 bərōš, 83, 97, 129 77, -hem, 207, 236 bərōš, 83, 97, 129 77, -hem, 207, 236 bāšas, 402 daβār, 32 225, 234, 246 baθ, 179 daβāš, 55, 121 -hem, 236 baθulāḥ, 97, 127 daβaš, 55, 121 -hen, 236 dāγ(āḥ), 184 hēn(nāḥ), hinnēḥ, adv. gāβōgh, 54 dōg, 92		2 -1 0 0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			hē', he'āḥ, 294
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		gāmāl, 21, 60, 62, 75	
$b\bar{a}$ 'ar, 61 $g\bar{a}$ 'as, 327 $ho(y)$, 294 $h\bar{u}m$, $h\bar{i}m$, 211, 404 $b\bar{a}$ 'a θ , 355 $g\bar{a}r\bar{a}\beta$, 116 $h\bar{u}$ ('), 225, 246 $h\bar{u}a\bar{b}a$ 'a θ , 355 $g\bar{a}r\bar{a}\beta$, 116 $h\bar{u}$ ('), 225, 246 $h\bar{u}a\bar{b}a$ 'aqq, 401 D $hall\bar{a}z(e\bar{h})$, $hall\bar{e}z\bar{u}$, 244 $h\bar{a}a$ 'aq, 184, 192, 194 $d\bar{a}$ 'e \bar{b} , 91, 404 $h\bar{a}la$ 'x, 91, 295, 329 $h\bar{a}a$ 'a \bar{b} 'aqx', 61, 313 $d\bar{a}\beta$ aq, $d\bar{a}\beta\bar{e}q$, 306 $d\bar{a}\beta$ ar, 313, 356 $d\bar{a}\beta$ ar, 33, n. 2, 36, $h\bar{u}a$ 'b'm, 275 $h\bar{u}a$'s, 83, 97, 129 $h\bar{u}a$'s, 84 $h\bar{u}a$'s, 197, 212 $h\bar{u}a$'s, 84 $h\bar{u}a$'s, 197, 212 $h\bar{u}a$'s, 190 $h\bar{u}a$ '			$h\bar{u}(')$, 47, 75, 225, 246
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	bā'ar, 61		$h\bar{o}(y)$, 294
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	bā'aθ, 355	gārāβ, 116	$h\bar{\imath}(')$, 225, 246
$b\bar{a}qaq$, 401 D $hall\bar{a}z(e\hbar)$, $hall\bar{e}z\bar{u}$, 244 $b\bar{a}q\bar{a}r$, 184, 192, 194 $d\bar{a}'\bar{e}\beta$, 91, 404 $h\bar{a}la\chi$, 91, 295, 329 $b\bar{a}qa\bar{s}$, 63 $d\bar{a}\beta aq$, $d\bar{a}\beta\bar{e}q$, 306 $h\bar{a}la\chi$, 61, 313 $d\bar{a}\beta ar$, 313, 356 $h\bar{a}lal$, 402, 413 $h\bar{a}la\bar{g}r$, 33, n. 2, 36, $h\bar{a}l\bar{b}r$, 275 $-hem$, 207, 236 $h\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}\hbar)$, 47, 207, $b\bar{a}\bar{s}ar$, 84 197 , 212 $h\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}\hbar)$, 47, 207, $d\bar{a}\beta\bar{a}r$, 38 $h\bar{a}lal$, 402, 413 $h\bar{a}l\bar{b}r$, 275 $-hem$, 207, 236 $h\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}\hbar)$, 47, 207, $d\bar{a}\beta\bar{a}r$, 84 $d\bar{a}\beta\bar{a}r$, 320 $d\bar{a}\beta\bar{a}r$, 321 $d\bar{a}\gamma(\bar{a}\beta)$, 185 $d\bar{a}\gamma(\bar{a}\beta)$, 184 $d\bar{a}\gamma(\bar{a}\beta)$, 185 $d\bar{a}\gamma(\bar$	baabūa. 112	gāraz, 51	hălō, 275
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D	hallāz(eh), hallēzū, 244
$b\bar{a}qa\check{s}, 63$ $d\bar{a}\beta aq, d\bar{a}\beta \bar{e}q, 306$ $h\bar{a}l\bar{i}\chi \bar{a}h, 38$ $b\bar{a}ra\chi, 61, 313$ $d\bar{a}\beta ar, 313, 356$ $h\bar{a}lal, 402, 413$ $bere\chi, 196$ $d\bar{a}\beta \bar{a}r, 33, n. 2, 36, h\bar{a}l\bar{o}m, 275$ $-hem, 207, 236$ $h\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}h), 47, 207, 212$ $b\bar{a}\dot{s}\bar{a}r, 84$ $197, 212$ $h\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}h), 47, 207, 225, 225, 234, 246$ $ba\theta, 179$ $ba\theta \bar{a}l\bar{a}h, 97, 127$ $dalaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa$		$d\bar{a}'\bar{e}\beta$, 91, 404	hālax, 91, 295, 329
$b\bar{a}ra\chi$, 61, 313 $d\bar{a}\beta ar$, 313, 356 $h\bar{a}lal$, 402, 413 $d\bar{a}\beta \bar{a}r$, 33, n. 2, 36, $h\bar{a}l\bar{b}m$, 275 $-hem$, 207, 236 $h\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}h)$, 47, 207, 212 $h\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}h)$, 47, 207, 225, 234, 246 $h\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}h)$, 179 $d\bar{a}\beta \bar{a}r$, 185 $h\bar{a}mam$, $h\bar{a}m\bar{a}h$, 404 $d\bar{a}\beta \bar{a}r$, 184 $h\bar{e}n(n\bar{a}h)$, pron. 225, $d\bar{a}\gamma(\bar{a}h)$, 184 $h\bar{e}n(n\bar{a}h)$, pron. 225, $d\bar{a}\beta \bar{a}\rho \bar{a}h$, 54 $d\bar{a}\beta \bar{a}\rho \bar$		$d\bar{a}\beta ag$, $d\bar{a}\beta \bar{e}g$, 306	hălīχāḥ, 38
berex, 196 bərōš, 83, 97, 129 bāśār, 84 bāšas, 402 bəθūlāh, 97, 127 G $d\bar{a}\beta\bar{a}r$, 33, n. 2, 36, hǎlōm, 275 -hem, 207, 236 hēm(māh), 47, 207, di $\beta r\bar{a}\theta\bar{n}$, 220 di $\beta r\bar{a}\theta\bar{n}$, 185 da $\beta \bar{a}\eta\bar{a}\theta\bar{n}$, 185 da $\beta \bar{a}\eta\bar{a}\bar{b}\eta\bar{a}\bar{b}\eta\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}\eta\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{b}b$			hālal, 402, 413
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		dāβār, 33, n. 2, 36,	hălōm, 275
$b\bar{a} \pm \bar{a} + \bar{b} + \bar{b} \pm \bar{b} + \bar{b} +$	harāš 82 oz 120		-hem, 207, 236
$b\bar{a} \dot{s} a s$, 402 $di \beta r \bar{a} \theta \bar{n}$, 220 225 , 234, 246 $da \beta \bar{o} r \bar{a} h$, 185 $h \bar{a} m a m$, $h \bar{a} m \bar{a} h$, 404 $b a \theta \bar{a} h$, 97, 127 $da \beta \bar{a} h$, 184 $da \gamma (\bar{a} h)$, 185 $da \gamma (\bar{a} h)$, 184 $da \gamma (\bar{a} h)$, 185 $da \gamma (\bar{a} h)$, 184 $da $	hā śār 84		$h\bar{e}m(m\bar{a}h)$, 47, 207,
$ba\theta$, 179 $d alpha eta ar{c} a ar$	E11 104	5.05 (a) Fig. 1 (b) 2.7 (b) 20 (c)	225, 234, 246
$b \partial \theta \bar{u} l \bar{a} h$, 97, 127 $d \partial \beta a \check{s}$, 55, 121 -hen, 236 $d \bar{a} \gamma (\bar{a} h)$, 184 $h \bar{e} n (n \bar{a} h)$, pron. 225, $d \bar{u} \beta$, 91, 404 235 $d \bar{o} \beta$, 92 $h \bar{e} n (n \bar{a} h)$, hinn $\bar{e} h$, adv. $g a \beta n \bar{o} n$, 145 $d \bar{u} \chi$, 91, 94, 404 275	had rec		hāmam, hāmāḥ, 404
$d\bar{a}\gamma(\bar{a}\underline{h})$, 184 $h\bar{e}n(n\bar{a}\underline{h})$, pron. 225, $d\bar{u}\beta$, 91, 404 235 $d\bar{o}\beta$, 92 $h\bar{e}n(n\bar{a}\underline{h})$, hinnē \underline{h} , adv. $ga\beta n\bar{o}n$, 145 $d\bar{u}\chi$, 91, 94, 404 275	bahūlāh on ran	dəβaš, 55, 121	-hen, 236
G $d\bar{u}\beta$, 91, 404 235 $g\bar{a}\beta\bar{o}gh$, 54 $d\bar{o}\beta$, 92 $h\bar{e}n(n\bar{a}h)$, $hinn\bar{e}h$, adv. $ga\beta n\bar{o}n$, 145 $d\bar{u}\chi$, 91, 94, 404 275	000 atan, 97, 127	$d\bar{a}\gamma(\bar{a}h)$, 184	$h\bar{e}n(n\bar{a}h)$, pron. 225,
$g\bar{a}\beta\bar{o}gh$, 54 $d\bar{o}\beta$, 92 $h\bar{e}n(n\bar{a}h)$, $hinn\bar{e}h$, adv. $ga\beta n\bar{o}n$, 145 $d\bar{u}\chi$, 91, 94, 404 275	G	dūβ, 91, 404	
$ga\beta n\bar{o}n$, 145 $d\bar{u}\chi$, 91, 94, 404 275	gāβōah, 54	$d\bar{o}\beta$, 92	
	gəβīr, 97	dūš, dīš, 211	

has, 86, 294	ḥālal, 402	Y
ha'ărēβ, 287	hālīlāh, 295	-1, 64, 236
harbēh, 287	ḥālaq, 315	yāβēš, 91, 304, 418
haškēm, 287	ḥām, 97, 100	yāγāḥ, 404
w	Ḥămūṭal, Ḥămīṭal, 218	yāγōr, 91, 305, 404
-ō, 236	ḥāmam, 72, 409, 411	yāδ, 21, 33, 192, 196
wə, 21, 38, 59, 67, 79,		yāhaβ, 418
347-8, 350-3	ḥămōr, 21, 83, 97, 128,	Yəhūδīθ, 285
wālāô, 21, n. 1	178	yōm, 21, n. 23
ward, 21, 11. 1	ḥāmēš, hāmiššāh, ḥā-	yōmām, 215
Z	mēšeθ, 21, 257, 261,	yōnāḥ, 183
zə'ēβ, 55, 92, 121	262, 268	yaḥaδ, 284
zōθ, 241, 242, 243, 244	hōmeš, 273	yaḥdāw, 222
zāβaḥ, 14, 21	ḥămīšī, 271	yaḥmūr, 155
zeh, 88,99, 241, 244, 247	hinnām, 215	yāχōl, 305
zū, zō, 242, 243, 244, 247	haspas, 64, 316, 402	yālab, 21, 60, 309, 417,
zūlaθ, 290	ḥesrōn, 169	418
zīz, 184, 192, 194	ḥāφē\$, 299	yāmīn, 21
zāχāħ, 36	ḥēφeş, 14	yānaq, 91, 404
zāχar, 33	ḥāφaś, 309	yōnēq, yōneqeθ, 183
zēχer, 53, 97, 123	hāsēβ, 309	$y\bar{a}sa\varphi$, 354
zāχār, 21, 197	hăşöşərāh, 41	yāsar, 338
ziqqīm, 52	hāqāḥ, hāqaq, 91, 404	yā'aδ, 355
zāqān, 97	ḥāqar, 355	yā'aṭ, 91, 404
zāqēn, 390	hereβ, 180	yā'ēφ, 404
zāqaq, 404	ḥārāḥ, 328	$y \ni \varphi \bar{e} h \varphi i y y \bar{a} h$, 151
zarzīφ, 316	Ḥārān, 26, 61	yişhār, 155
zāram, 402	ḥāšaβ, 313	Yishāq, 26
zāra', 21	ķešbon, 168	yāşaq, 404
zərögʻ, 52, 54, 154	ḥāšaχ, 322	yāṣar, 21, 91, 309, 404
H	$ha\theta a\theta$, 91	yāqōš, 305
hăβarbūrāh, 150	Ţ	yārað, 356
hūl, 332, 402	ṭāβaḥ, 303	yāraq, 91, 404
hūs, hīson, 42	<i>ξōβ</i> , 96, 97, 103, 179,	yəraqraq, 149
hūš, 344	212	$y\bar{e}\check{s}$, 282
Hizqiyyāhū, 26	ţōβāħ, 182	yāšaβ, 67, 79, 91, 356,
ḥayθō, 220	ṭūl, 340, 402	404
<i>ḥālā(ḥ)</i> , 91, 404	ţōţāφāħ, 41	yāšar, 370
L=1=0	ţāmē, 36, 330, 402	yāθēδ, 21, 81
ḥālāβ, 21 ḥŏlī, 68	tauto, 20, 220, 40m	94000, 21, 01

K	kārāḥ, 91, 404	māh, 59, 252
-χ, 236	kerem, karmel, 174	māhah, 402
kə, 38, 276, 286, 291	keśeβ, 51	māhar, 61
-kā, 33, 236	Kaśdīmāh, 217	Mō'āβī, Mō'ăβiyyāh,
kāβēδ verb, 299, 322,		179
355, 363		$m\bar{u}\gamma$, 402
kāβēδ noun, 21, 97,	L	mūţ, 402
117, 181	lə, 38, 286, 291	mūl, mō(')l, 290
kāβas, 313, 315, 330,	lō', 283	$m\bar{u}\theta$, 14, 67, 402
402, 405	lā'aţ, 91	$m\bar{o}\theta$, 97, 102
kəβār, 284	lāβat, 36	māweθ, 21, 56
kabbīr, 138	ləβēnāḥ, 185	mizbēah, 84
keβeś, 51	lāβēš, 21	mizbēḥāḥ, 217
kōh, 276	lahaβ, 21	mazzeh, 252
kōχāβ, 40, 111	lū, 281	mizmōr, 97
kūl, 340, 341, 402	lūţ, 91	mizrəḥāḥ, 217
kūn, 36, 402	lūlē, 42	māḥār, 284, 286
kūr, kīr, 211	lūn, līn, 40	$m\bar{\imath}$, 21, 252
kāḥaδ, 36	lūgʻ, lāʻaʻ, 404	may, 198
kī, 276, 292	laylāḥ, 21, 40, 111	māχar, 344
kāχāḥ, 276	līş, 91, 94	$mi\chi t\bar{a}\beta$, 73
kikkār, 36, 180	lāmab, 14, 313, 315	mālē, 416
kōl, 21, 110	liφənēy, 291	mal'āχ, 73, 97, 157
kil'ayim, 259	lāqaḥ, 35, 309, 354,	malbūš, 97, 160
keleβ, 21, 33, n. 2, 37,	355, 407	mālōn, məlūnāh, 183
53, 75, 92, 97, 121,	lāšōn verb 354, 405	meleχ, 33, n. 2, 55, 212
122	<i>lāšōn</i> noun, 181	mālaχ, 324
kəlūβ, 97, 120	W	mālal, 91, 404
-kem, 33, 75, 207, 236	М	melqāḥayim, 196
kammön, 21	mə'ōδ, 55, 121, 284,	min, 286, 291
kāmōnū, 238	286	mangīnāh, 97, 159
kāmōnī, 64, 238	mē'āḥ, 196	Mənaššeh, 313
-ken, 236	mōzənayim, 196	māsāḥ, māsas, 91, 404
$k\bar{e}n$, 92, 276, 286	mā'ēn, 61	massēχāħ, 97
kānāφ, 196	mā'as, 91, 404	ma'yənō, 220
keseφ, 197	Miβṣār, 21, n. 10	māṣā, 36
$ka\varphi$, 14, 108, 183, 196		məşiltayim, 196
kippāḥ, 183	Miγdōl, 20	mūṣāq, muṣṣaq, 60
$k\bar{a}\varphi a\varphi$, 72	maδ, 208	miqdāš, 57
$k\bar{a}\varphi ar$, 313, 315, 338	miδbārāḥ, 217	māqōm, 46, p. 46, n.
karbal, 40, 64, 316	maddūą', 288	māqaq, 404

margē'āh, 158	nāşar, 21, 303, 355	'ōφ, 184, 194
merkāβāh, 157	nāqam, 309	I 'ūr, 402
mārar, 332	nāqām, nəqāmāh, 182	II 'ūr, 91, 404
mərīrī, 126	nōrā'ōθ, 285	'āzaβ, 309
maššā'ōn, 171	nāšāķ, 313	'āzaz, 21, 72
māšīah, 382	nāšaq, 37	'āzar, 38
miškān, 157	nāθan, 36, 309	'ēzer, 'ezrāh, 182
mišqōl, 161	nāθaş, 309	'āṭāḥ, 91, 404
māθay, 280	nāθaq, 344, 355	'ayit, 184, 194
Mattiθ-yāḥ, 14	nāθaš, 14, 309	'Eyṭām, 176
	W.	'ayin, 196
N	S	'īφ, 404
-nā, 356	8∂'āḥ, 196	'Akkō, 14
nā'as, 61	sāβā, 91, 404	'al, 'ălēy, 75, 286, 291
nā'aq, 91, 404	sāβaβ, 310, 369, 412	'elem, 'almāh, 179
$n\bar{a}\beta\bar{a}$, 36	<i>səβīβ</i> , 284, 290	'ōlām, 97, 131
$n\bar{a}\beta at$, 354	$s\bar{a}\beta al$, 50	'im, 291
nāβa', 21	$sa\gamma r\bar{\imath}r$, 144	'āmab, 369, 370
neγeδ, 290	<i>sūr</i> , 356	'ammūδ, 139
neγa', 14	sāḥar, 319, 402	'āmōq, 60
nāyaš, 14, 36, 67, 407	sālal, 402	'ōmer, 29
nāδαδ, 402	sanwērīm, 156	'Ămōrāh, 61
nāδar, 14, 40	sā'ar, 311	'ēnāβ, 21, 57, 83
nahălōl, 144	sēφer, 14, 197	'ānan, 402
-nū, 236	$s\bar{a}\varphi ar$, 315	
nāwāh, 41	sāθar, 321	'āsas, 91, 404
nāzar, 40	•	'āφar, 313
naḥnū, 225, 231		Eşyōn, 29
nāḥaš, 61, 62	'āβαδ, 309, 334	'āşam, 51, 92
nəḥōšeθ, 196	'εβεδ, 184	'āqār, 178
-nī, 64, 236, 367, 373,	'Eβeδ Meleχ, 29	'aqrāβ, 21, 92
		'ărāβ, 194
375 nāvah 42 200	'āβar, 37, 175, 356,	'ereβ, 21
nōχaḥ, 42, 290	357	'ārāḥ, 91, 404
nāχōạḥ, 290	$I\beta r\bar{\imath}$, 175	'ārar, 72, 91, 402, 404
nəmālāh, 185	'ēγel, 123	'ărō'ēr, 114
na'al, 196	'Eγlōn, 176	'āśāḥ, 356
na'ăşūş, 146	'αδ, 'ἄδēy, 291	'eśer, 'āśār, 'ǎśārāh,
nāφaḥ, 309	$\dot{a}\delta a\varphi$, 322	'eśrēħ, 257, 258,
$ni\varphi l\bar{a}'\bar{o}\theta$, 285	'ūδ, 402	265, 266
neφeš, 180, 211	'āwel, 21, n. 8	'āśōr, 118
nāşal, 36	'ūn, 404	'ăśīrī, 271

 \mathbf{R} 'eśrīm, 196, 267 \$āφōn, 14, 180 rā'āh, 295 şāφōnāh, 217 'aštēy, 257, 258, 266 rōš, 42, 44, 197, 269 şeφa', şiφ'önī, 175 P rīšōn, 42, 269 şāφαφ, 402 peh, 88, 97, 99, 198 rīšonāh, 285 sippor, 194 $p\bar{o}(h)$, 276, 292 raβ, rabbāh, rabbaθ, 21, Şippöräh, 20 pōl, 194 şəφardēa', 184, 194 63, 285 rəβī'ī, 271 peleγ, pəlaggāḥ, 60 sipporen, 172 pālal, 329 reyel, 175, 196 sar, 21 pen-, 293 rāγal, 328, 402 şārāh, 179 pānāh, 293 raγlī, 175 şāraḥ, 21 Pənū' ēl, Pənī' ēl, 218 rāhīţ, 194 Şōrī, 175 pa'am, 256 rūgh, 54 şir'āh, 184, 194 pāqab, 325, 402, 405 rūm, 402 Q pārab, 356 rūa', 402 $q\bar{a}\beta al$, 335 par, pārāh, 179 rəḥōβ, 83, 129 *qəβōl*, 120 rutăφaš, 51 pərī, 68 qāβar, 315 pārar, 327 rīq, 323 qebem, 14, 269 pārāš, 116 rēygām, 215 qabmön, 167, 175 piθ'ōm, 215, 222 техеβ, 194 qabmönī, 175 pāθaḥ, 14, 21, 338 rexes, 184, 194 $q \ge \delta \bar{o} rann \bar{\imath} \theta$, 64 peθah, 14 rəχüš, 97, 130 qōδeš, 197 rāmas, 92 qāδaš, 322 rāmaś, 92 8'on, 184, 194 qūt, 402 remeś, 184, 194 89βī, 41, 45 qūm, 46, 74, 295, 344, rə'āβōn, 170 şāδēq, şāδōq, 35, 50, 369, 396, 419 rā'an, 142, 317, 402 322 qāṭal, 34, 35 raʻănān, 142 şeδeq, 14 qāţōn, 299, 305, 363, rā'a', 327, 337 saddīq, 197 390 rāş, 208 şūδ, 402 qīqālōn, 41 rāqaq, 91, 404 şūş, 91, 404 qimmōś, 184, 194 S şūq, 404 qippōδ, 140 şūr, 91, 404 śāβa', 67 qāşaş, 313 şāḥaq, 39 śūţ, 404 qārā, 416 Şīδōnī, 208 qārēβ, 21 śūm, śīm, 21, 45, 211, \$ēlā', 97, 119 Qiryāθayim, 20 419 şāmē, 44 śūr, 91 qeren, 196 śāḥaq, 40 soneh, 194 qārar, 402 śāţāħ, 404 qāšaš, 402 \$9'āδāh, 52 $ś \bar{e} y β(\bar{a} h)$, 106 gešeθ, 57 şā'īr, 126

010 020		A 100 A
śāχal, 322	šāḥāḥ, 331, 402	šēn, 21, 109
śeχel, 14	šāḥaḥ, 402	šēnī, šēnī θ , 271, 285
śalmāh, 51	šāḥaθ, 354	šənayim, štayim, šənēy,
śimlāh, 51	šāţaφ, 309	štēy, šənaθ, 196, 257,
śānē, 44	$\delta \bar{\imath} r(\bar{a}h)$, 185	259, 266
śē'ār, śa'ărāh, 185	šāχal, šāχōl, 305, 306	šāsāh, 310, 402
śā'ar, 50	šəχōl, 97	šā'a', 332, 340, 341,
śāφāħ, 75, 173, 196	šāxan, šāxēn, 306	402, 413
śāφām, 173	šōχənī, 220	šāφaţ, 405
śaq, 108	šel, 230, note	šāφαχ, 309
sar, 179	šalheβeθ, 156	šəqa'ărūrāh, 156
śārāḥ, 61, 179	Šīlō(h), Šīlōnī, 64	šāqaq, 332, 402
śārar, 61 .	šālaḥ, 354	šereş, 184, 194
Š	šālōm, 97, 125	šāraš, 310, 311, 402
ša, še, šə, 250 .	šāla x, 324	šeš, šiššāh, šēšet, 256,
šā'al, 321, 415	šālēm, 304	257, 262, 271
šā'an, 142, 317, 402	šālōš, šəlōšāh, 257, 260,	šiššī, 271, 272
ša'ănān, 142	266	
šā'aφ, 91, 404	šəlōšīm, 267	T
šēβeţ, 14	šəlīšī, 271	tāw, 14
šibbōleθ, 21, 42	šilšom, 215, 222	tō'ām, 163
šeβa', šiβ'āḥ, 256, 257,		tāweχ, 42
284	šēm, 101	$taha\theta$, $taht(\bar{e}y)$, 291
šəβī'ī, 271	šāmaymāķ, 217	tīχōn, 42
šāβar, 21	šāmēm, 404	tēymān, 163
šāγēl, 309	šəmöneh, šəmönāh, 21,	tamrūr, 165
<i>šā</i> δαδ, 315	257	tə'ālāh, 51
šūβ, 354, 402	šəmīnī, 271	tā'a', 91, 404
šūţ, 402	šāma', 355	tāqan, 305, 306
šūm, 21, 97, 105	šāmar, 50, 67, 321, 344	tašbēs, 164
šūφ, 91, 404	Šōmərōn, 176	tēša', tiš'āh, 21, 257
šōr, 21, n. 23, 97, 107		təšī'ī, 271

THE END

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY NEW YORK

FOREIGN AGENT

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

HUMPHREY MILFORD

AMEN HOUSE, LONDON, E.C. 4

